Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.cb

2005-03 - 2005-05

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-03-01 through 2005-05-31

Source text: rec.radio.cb.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,862

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 3

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 02:11:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> since last year.
>
Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.

Next.

2. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 02:12:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228211240.469$SU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197027

Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?

3. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 02:15:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228211515.890$vf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> qdw wrote:
> > Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> > who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> > non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> > throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
> > law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> > daily, since last year.
>
> One 'o then auntrapenoors Bush has been talking about?
>
> Jeff
>
A bird in the hand is worth two in the bush.
```

4. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 02:16:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228211637.502$u1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197029

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
-snip-
Going to Dayton, or skeered?

5. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 02:51:37 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109645497.324165.284990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197030

Steveo wrote:
> Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?

Hey steveochicken, you gonna leave answering machine messages again?

6. Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 02:52:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228215217.548$Dq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

Hi.
I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton. Can anyone help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and from with, once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.

I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for the best campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)

(sorry for the x-post)

7. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 03:02:54 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

Steveo wrote:
> Hi.
> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton. Can
anyone
> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and from
with,
> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
>
> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for the
best
> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
>
> (sorry for the x-post)

FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur gear
without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.

8. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 03:16:32 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <k8RUd.462$SQ4.316@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Steveo wrote:
>> Hi.
>> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton. Can
> anyone
>> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and from
> with,
>> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
>>
>> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for the
> best
>> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
>>
>> (sorry for the x-post)
>
> FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur gear
> without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
>
Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a troublemaker he
is. Nuff said.

No, not really. He's coming there to punk your ass.

9. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 03:33:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228223304.590$eh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> Hi.
> >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton. Can
> > anyone
> >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and from
> > with,
> >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
> >>
> >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for the
> > best
> >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
> >>
> >> (sorry for the x-post)
> >
> > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur gear
> > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
> >
> Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a troublemaker
> he is. Nuff said.
>
> No, not really. He's coming there to punk your ass.
>
The only amp I will have will be in my truck. I'm going there to buy
stuff, not sell. I appreciate quality gear, and Dayton is a fairly
good place to shop.

At any rate, I'm still looking for the camping advice that I asked
for when I started this thread.

If you don't like me, don't stop by for brats and beer. k?

10. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 03:35:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <3mo721dq1hk6848v54nostufq5km02c13e@4ax.com>
Source record: 197035

On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?

Hey Moped,

When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great !!!!!

Vinnie S.

11. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 03:40:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228224005.462$fG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197036

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?
>
> Hey Moped,
>
> When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great !!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Enzo, we take a hillbilly smoker to the Bristol Nascar race every
spring. (Apr 3 this year)

Are you telling me to cook my chicken on a coors lite can with my
#40 cap and jersey on?

12. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 03:48:27 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <tap721pjq7a00jv37njvjs889vb9la2eo0@4ax.com>
Source record: 197037

On 01 Mar 2005 03:40:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?
>>
>> Hey Moped,
>>
>> When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great !!!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Enzo, we take a hillbilly smoker to the Bristol Nascar race every
>spring. (Apr 3 this year)
>
>Are you telling me to cook my chicken on a coors lite can with my
> #40 cap and jersey on?

Or a Yankee shirt !!! A co-worker of mine from Atlanta, told me about this chicken on a beer can. They even make stands for it so it's easier for it to stand up.

Dude, you are just like me. I love BBQ. I will use my grill all year. even when it's 10 degrees. The only thing I hate is a heavy rain. I love smoked anything, slow cooked ribs, pulled pork, and even those damn brats !

Man, I might come and visit you in Dayton !

Vinnie S.

13. FA: Radio related equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 03:52:31 UTC
From: cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <1109649151.928435.219840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197038

Vintage Tristar citizen band CB microphone Item number: 5755399790

Vintage Johnson Messenger 223 Item number: 5755380086

Vintage Delco GM CB microphone Item number: 5755398878

Sonetronics H-250/U military handset microphone Item number: 5755395927

Simpson Multimeter Model 260-AFP-1 Manual Item number: 3877780970

Shure International microphone model CM 4U Item number: 5755397109

Lot of Vacuum Tubes all kinds check it out Item number: 5755359354

Lorch Electronics Coaxial Crystal Mixer Item number: 3877971968

2 Meter Ramsey Electronics kit amplifier & manual Item number: 5755379146

14. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:01:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228230131.900$lo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197039

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
<snip>
> Man, I might come and visit you in Dayton !
>
That would be cool. You might as well just drive to my house and sleep
in my camper, Enzo. It's on your way, and I'll let you drive my moped
once we get there. It's only two hours from here.

You can run Jim's 2510 and my amp on the last leg of the trip.

> Vinnie S.

15. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:05:05 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9dq7215o0hfo9qipn4h03e3fggrlnfr0bj@4ax.com>
Source record: 197040

On 01 Mar 2005 04:01:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> <snip>
>> Man, I might come and visit you in Dayton !
>>
>That would be cool. You might as well just drive to my house and sleep
>in my camper, Enzo. It's on your way, and I'll let you drive my moped
>once we get there. It's only two hours from here.
>
>You can run Jim's 2510 and my amp on the last leg of the trip.
>
>
>
>
>> Vinnie S.

Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1

I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There is some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am pretty close.

Vinnie S.

16. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:09:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228230942.430$3A@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197041

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 04:01:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > <snip>
> >> Man, I might come and visit you in Dayton !
> >>
> >That would be cool. You might as well just drive to my house and sleep
> >in my camper, Enzo. It's on your way, and I'll let you drive my moped
> >once we get there. It's only two hours from here.
> >
> >You can run Jim's 2510 and my amp on the last leg of the trip.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >> Vinnie S.
>
> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
>
> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There is
> some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am pretty
> close.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
hours to haul my camper to Bristol..but once we get there we have a
great time man.

Don't get old too fast.

17. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:15:00 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2uq721d6smj0ogb37hon9gt105967a21on@4ax.com>
Source record: 197042

On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> Vinnie S.
>>
>> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
>>
>> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There is
>> some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am pretty
>> close.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
>hours to haul my camper to Bristol..but once we get there we have a
>great time man.
>
>Don't get old too fast.

No way. I would bring my wife (if you didn't mind). My problem is more the animal baby sitting, and trying to convince my wife to go tailgate are a ham fest !! LOL There is no chance of that !!! But htere is a chance of a Nascar tailgate. The only problem is that I don't know squat about Nascar. They don't cover much of it around here.

Vinnie S.

18. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:31:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228233149.740$pp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197043

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
> >>
> >> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There is
> >> some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am pretty
> >> close.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
> >hours to haul my camper to Bristol..but once we get there we have a
> >great time man.
> >
> >Don't get old too fast.
>
> No way. I would bring my wife (if you didn't mind).
>
>
Vin. This is boys nites out.... and I'd appreciate you not
bringing her with you. (lol) Can you survive without her for two days?

Donut forget the gear you might be buying. (after you Italian them down)

This is exactly the best place to buy your new/used radio, once
you get past the no-code multiple choice, walkie-talkie test.

19. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:35:42 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <e8s721djn0jnus1rqtv7ifghkbig42l0lo@4ax.com>
Source record: 197044

On 01 Mar 2005 04:31:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >Don't get old too fast.
>>
>> No way. I would bring my wife (if you didn't mind).
>>
>>
>Vin. This is boys nites out.... and I'd appreciate you not
>bringing her with you. (lol) Can you survive without her for two days?
>
>Donut forget the gear you might be buying. (after you Italian them down)
>
>This is exactly the best place to buy your new/used radio, once
>you get past the no-code multiple choice, walkie-talkie test.

Hey, check your email.

Vinnie S.

20. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:50:42 UTC
From: qdw <ebox@qdwebox.net>
Message-ID: <d00s9hkcv0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>> throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the law.
>> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>> since last year.
>>
> Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>
> Next.

Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the air,
with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is selling
amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the imported
hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some loophole in
the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising openly
too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of the
store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way how
hams get worked up over something so unimportant.

21. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:52:43 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109652763.878663.5580@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

Steveo wrote:
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > >> Hi.
> > >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton.
Can
> > > anyone
> > >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and
from
> > > with,
> > >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
> > >>
> > >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for
the
> > > best
> > >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
> > >>
> > >> (sorry for the x-post)
> > >
> > > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur
gear
> > > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
> > >
> > Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a
troublemaker
> > he is. Nuff said.
> >
> > No, not really. He's coming there to punk your ass.
> >
> The only amp I will have will be in my truck. I'm going there to buy
> stuff, not sell. I appreciate quality gear, and Dayton is a fairly
> good place to shop.

At least he's honest about it, he's going there to buy gear to USE
ILLEGALLY on freeband. lol.

22. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 04:56:03 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109652963.652207.30360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

qdw wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
> > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
law.
> >> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
daily,
> >> since last year.
> >>
> > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >
> > Next.
>
>
> Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the
air,
> with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is
selling
> amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the
imported
> hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some
loophole in
> the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
openly
> too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of
the
> store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way
how
> hams get worked up over something so unimportant.

If it's so unimportant then how bout the guys name and phone number?
he's in business to sell right? whys he hiding?

23. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 05:07:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301000709.920$Mx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
> > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
> >> law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> >> daily, since last year.
> >>
> > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >
> > Next.
>
> Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the air,
> with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is selling
> amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the imported
> hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some loophole
> in the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
> openly too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out
> of the store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a
> way how hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
>
In other words, he's asking for trouble and will likely find some. I live
out in the sticks SW of Cleveland with no neighbors, and yet I challenge
myself to not TVI my own house. I figure that as a fairly clean input, the
way the crow flies and lands.

I do have one amp that will not conform to those standards, but it's
been moth-balled for years.

BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.

At any rate, we're all radio cooling fans. :)

24. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 05:13:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301001355.569$Bn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > >> Hi.
> > > >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton.
> Can
> > > > anyone
> > > >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and
> from
> > > > with,
> > > >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
> > > >>
> > > >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for
> the
> > > > best
> > > >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
> > > >>
> > > >> (sorry for the x-post)
> > > >
> > > > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur
> gear
> > > > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
> > > >
> > > Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a
> troublemaker
> > > he is. Nuff said.
> > >
> > No, not really. He's coming there to punk your ass.
> > >
> > The only amp I will have will be in my truck. I'm going there to buy
> > stuff, not sell. I appreciate quality gear, and Dayton is a fairly
> > good place to shop.
>
> At least he's honest about it, he's going there to buy gear to USE
> ILLEGALLY on freeband. lol.
>
Thanks, Randy. I owe you one buddy. :D

25. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 06:14:20 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <0LTUd.10965$Ba3.8277@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 197050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of the
> store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way how
> hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
>

As a ham I'd just take a look around the store and then tell
the FCC what I think might be violations. And then
let the FCC take it from there. No hissy fits on my
part, let the FCC decide if there are really violations
or not.

26. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 06:16:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301011649.515$Al@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> > Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of the
> > store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way
> > how hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
> >
>
> As a ham I'd just take a look around the store and then tell
> the FCC what I think might be violations. And then
> let the FCC take it from there. No hissy fits on my
> part, let the FCC decide if there are really violations
> or not.
>
Aren't they a division of the IRS?

27. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 12:43:02 UTC
From: qdw <ebox@qdwebox.net>
Message-ID: <d01nu4$j5e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
news:d010ml0lt2@news3.newsguy.com...
> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 11:36:03 -0500 qdw wrote:
>
>> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
>> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>> since last year.
>>
>>
>
> Most likely selling to truckers.
> KC is a big truck city.
> $40k a day? I don't think so.
> This one single guy "finds" a loophole when nobody else could find it?
> Yeah right.
> The law clearly states, no amplifiers, no uncertified imported radios.
> Period.
> Since you have to open the case and modify the radio to make it work on
> CB,
> you just violated the law.
> Give it time, the feds will crack his head wide open.

The 3965 khz pirate seems to be linked in some way to this guy. This
weekend there was a simo pirate broadcast on 3965/6673, and the
pirate was giving out a bunch of detailed info about the KC CB sales
operation, kind like he was "advertising" for the guy. 27680 was
mentioned as a channel where KC local info was available. Do you
think an activist liberal judge is allowing the guy to stay open?

28. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 12:56:26 UTC
From: qdw <ebox@qdwebox.net>
Message-ID: <d01omp$m2a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109652963.652207.30360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> qdw wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
>> > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>> >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>> >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>> >> throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the
> law.
>> >> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> daily,
>> >> since last year.
>> >>
>> > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>> >
>> > Next.
>>
>>
>> Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the
> air,
>> with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is
> selling
>> amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the
> imported
>> hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some
> loophole in
>> the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
> openly
>> too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out of
> the
>> store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a way
> how
>> hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
>
> If it's so unimportant then how bout the guys name and phone number?
> he's in business to sell right? whys he hiding?
>

According to the 3965 khz pirate, KC local info is available on the
27680 channel. I have been monitoring the freq. but have not heard
anything of value yet, just some general chatter. Let us know if you

get an address, name, or phone nr?

29. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 13:28:42 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <2b_Ud.5609$Lr4.1641@fe12.lga>
Source record: 197054

```
jim wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>
>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>> news:XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>
>>> hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished from
>>> this spectrum?
>>
>>
>>
>> Ham radios are not supposed to talk on CB band thats why he needs the
>> crystals. He didnt say anything about banished or any other assclown
>> remarks you can think of.
>
> Hmmm i guess my Icom general coverage radio should bypass the 40 regular
> then... What a bizarre statement that "ham radios are not supposed to
> talk on CB band". Care to back that up?

hehehe one hook two fish....
```

30. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 13:44:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301084449.346$No@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197055

```
jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> jim wrote:
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> >
> >> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> >> news:XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com:
> >>
> >>> hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished from
> >>> this spectrum?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Ham radios are not supposed to talk on CB band thats why he needs the
> >> crystals. He didnt say anything about banished or any other assclown
> >> remarks you can think of.
> >
> > Hmmm i guess my Icom general coverage radio should bypass the 40
> > regular then... What a bizarre statement that "ham radios are not
> > supposed to talk on CB band". Care to back that up?
>
> hehehe one hook two fish....
>
Throw em back..carp are too easy.
```

31. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 13:51:54 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <422472d0.80475234@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 197056

On 01 Mar 2005 03:40:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?
>>
>> Hey Moped,
>>
>> When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great !!!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Enzo, we take a hillbilly smoker to the Bristol Nascar race every
>spring. (Apr 3 this year)
>

Steve;
Ever been to the Daytona 500? We just reserved our rooms and
ordered tickets for next years Daytona 500.

>Are you telling me to cook my chicken on a coors lite can with my
> #40 cap and jersey on?

32. VE5JL.com is back up and running - With Forums and Flight Simulator too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:04:43 UTC
From: ME <ME@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <%D_Ud.537513$6l.68859@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 197057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Check out this Canadian Radio related website. VE5JL.com is back up and with a new look. Also there are forums for Scanning, Shortwave Radio, Ham radio and CB radio too.

http://www.ve5jl.com

33. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:13:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <r3u821dcrc62pdj4qvp4ihcnv0ble3i4u5@4ax.com>
Source record: 197058

On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

.
>> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
>>
>> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There is
>> some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am pretty
>> close.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
>hours to haul my camper to Bristol.

Is this Bristol CT? I don't live near there.

Vinnie S.

34. FA: TWO(2) BRAND-NEW 6146B RF OUTPUT TUBES-China Nice Deal!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:28:35 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ea%Ud.10543$w%1.6482@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5754886442&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

35. FA: ORIGINAL COLLINS R-390A RF S METER-M102+cover/screws:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:29:38 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Db%Ud.10544$v%1.8345@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5754886471&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

36. FA: ORIGINAL COLLINS R-390A ANTENNA RELAY W/screws NEED 1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:30:47 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ic%Ud.10545$E02.5004@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5754886502&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

37. FA: $15>NICE HEATHKIT HM-102 SWR/WATTMETER, 2KW, REMOTE-ABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:31:53 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Kd%Ud.10546$_02.7329@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48701&item=5754886527&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

38. FA: DRAKE 2B 100kc. CRYSTAL CALIBRATOR 2-AC for DRAKE 2A 2C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:32:47 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ae%Ud.10547$822.9237@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5754886584&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

39. FA: DENTRON 80-10AT LONG WIRE ANTENNA TUNER-CERAMIC PARTS:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:33:43 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <sf%Ud.10548$702.8422@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48711&item=5754886594&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

40. FA: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:34:37 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ig%Ud.10549$_s7.2951@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5754886604&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

41. FA: BEAUTIFUL TRIONYX TR-1000 FREQUENCY COUNTER 600MHZ-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:35:28 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <5h%Ud.10550$V22.7264@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48696&item=5754886616&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

42. FA: BEAUTIFUL NRI PROFESSIONAL model 11 VTVM w/PROBES NEAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 14:36:30 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3i%Ud.10551$832.7052@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197066
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=58277&item=3877552915&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

43. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 15:02:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301100240.219$0D@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197068

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 03:40:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?
> >>
> >> Hey Moped,
> >>
> >> When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great !!!!!
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Enzo, we take a hillbilly smoker to the Bristol Nascar race every
> >spring. (Apr 3 this year)
> >
>
> Steve;
> Ever been to the Daytona 500? We just reserved our rooms and
> ordered tickets for next years Daytona 500.
>
Nope, never have Lancer. I drove by it a couple times tho..that place
is HUGE! Hope your seats are up high.

44. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 15:05:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301100502.477$Ey@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197069

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> .
> >> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
> >>
> >> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There is
> >> some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am pretty
> >> close.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
> >hours to haul my camper to Bristol.
>
> Is this Bristol CT? I don't live near there.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Nope. Tennessee/Virginia

http://www.bristolmotorspeedway.com/

45. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 15:10:21 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42248507.85137687@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 197070

On 01 Mar 2005 15:02:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 01 Mar 2005 03:40:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?
>> >>
>> >> Hey Moped,
>> >>
>> >> When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great !!!!!
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Enzo, we take a hillbilly smoker to the Bristol Nascar race every
>> >spring. (Apr 3 this year)
>> >
>>
>> Steve;
>> Ever been to the Daytona 500? We just reserved our rooms and
>> ordered tickets for next years Daytona 500.
>>
>Nope, never have Lancer. I drove by it a couple times tho..that place
>is HUGE! Hope your seats are up high.

rows 1-10 Oldfield or Lockhart..

How did you get a chance to drive it?

46. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 15:19:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301101935.990$9Q@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197071

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 15:02:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 01 Mar 2005 03:40:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?
> >> >>
> >> >> Hey Moped,
> >> >>
> >> >> When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great
> >> >> !!!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >Enzo, we take a hillbilly smoker to the Bristol Nascar race every
> >> >spring. (Apr 3 this year)
> >> >
> >>
> >> Steve;
> >> Ever been to the Daytona 500? We just reserved our rooms and
> >> ordered tickets for next years Daytona 500.
> >>
> >Nope, never have Lancer. I drove by it a couple times tho..that place
> >is HUGE! Hope your seats are up high.
>
> rows 1-10 Oldfield or Lockhart..
>
> How did you get a chance to drive it?
>
Drove by it when I was at Daytona beach. 1-10, is that down low?

47. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 15:33:33 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42248aaa.86581484@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 197072

On 01 Mar 2005 15:19:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 01 Mar 2005 15:02:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> On 01 Mar 2005 03:40:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Hey Moped,
>> >> >>
>> >> >> When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great
>> >> >> !!!!!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Vinnie S.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>Enzo, we take a hillbilly smoker to the Bristol Nascar race every
>> >> >>spring. (Apr 3 this year)
>> >> >>
>> >>
>> >> Steve;
>> >> Ever been to the Daytona 500? We just reserved our rooms and
>> >> ordered tickets for next years Daytona 500.
>> >>
>> >Nope, never have Lancer. I drove by it a couple times tho..that place
>> >is HUGE! Hope your seats are up high.
>>
>> rows 1-10 Oldfield or Lockhart..
>>
>> How did you get a chance to drive it?
>>
>Drove by it when I was at Daytona beach. 1-10, is that down low?

sorry, I thought you said you drove it, not by it..

I would guess so, I've wanted to go to one for 25, 30 years now..

We tried to get tickets for this year, but the prices were nuts..

48. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 15:42:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9506-42248D7F-110@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197074

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
On 01 Mar 2005 15:02:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
(How did you get a chance to drive it?)

>Drove by it when I was at Daytona beach.

>1-10, is that down low?

Nope. 10 runs east-west across the top of the state. 95 comes down the
east coast and right through Daytona. You thinking about a trip, maybe?
Ocala National Forest isn't far from Daytona and an awesome place to
camp.

49. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 15:46:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301104606.940$Xv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197073

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 15:19:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 01 Mar 2005 15:02:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> On 01 Mar 2005 03:40:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> On 01 Mar 2005 02:12:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> >> >> >> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >Hey deadwood wanna be, are you going to Dayton?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Hey Moped,
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> When you camp out, BBQ some chicken on a beer can. It is great
> >> >> >> !!!!!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>Enzo, we take a hillbilly smoker to the Bristol Nascar race every
> >> >> >>spring. (Apr 3 this year)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> Steve;
> >> >> Ever been to the Daytona 500? We just reserved our rooms and
> >> >> ordered tickets for next years Daytona 500.
> >> >>
> >> >>Nope, never have Lancer. I drove by it a couple times tho..that place
> >> >>is HUGE! Hope your seats are up high.
> >> >>
> >> rows 1-10 Oldfield or Lockhart..
> >>
> >> How did you get a chance to drive it?
> >>
> >Drove by it when I was at Daytona beach. 1-10, is that down low?
>
> sorry, I thought you said you drove it, not by it..
>
> I would guess so, I've wanted to go to one for 25, 30 years now..
>
> We tried to get tickets for this year, but the prices were nuts..

>
This guy is trying for the pole position. ;)

http://server2.ripplehost.com/~rh3423/copchase512K_Stream001.mpg

50. Re: Pirate Radio again thriving in Tampa Bay (or, Hey Dr. X, is tha...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 15:48:51 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9506-42248EE3-112@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197075

From: Dr.X@NOSPAMnull.com (Dr.X)
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22526-421A8C07-431@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
All you long distance FM dx chasers, check out 99.9 FM out of St.
Petersburg, Florida.
DJ Bob Noxious took up where the infamous Party Pirate left off.

>Now, would I do such a thing? ;-P

>-Dr.X

Rock on! I'll be in your neck of the woods late this evening, along the
flats near Gandy, somewhere between 7 and 9. If you're near a radio,
give a shout.

51. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 16:02:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301110245.117$i2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197076

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 15:02:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> (How did you get a chance to drive it?)
>
> >Drove by it when I was at Daytona beach.
>
> >1-10, is that down low?
>
> Nope. 10 runs east-west across the top of the state. 95 comes down the
> east coast and right through Daytona. You thinking about a trip, maybe?
> Ocala National Forest isn't far from Daytona and an awesome place to
> camp.
>
I was talking about his seats. :)

I wish I could get away long enough to come down for a visit..too busy
getting ready for spring now. You should come up for the Dayton swap meet.

52. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 16:07:48 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9507-42249354-37@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197077

NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, Feb 28, 2005, 12:29pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Mon, Feb 28, 2005, 12:29pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-elvWuheb+VSQ+bfeYCAekOa8WGSH+FJ9ie8TbsYdYut/CdMeOTB2OvM4B8+8LYi2UUKeUvmeyMPxjjQ!W7H1vO98k+FTufjrN8exy6xpANLMriDhaC3ElTCQwu3bjyO6j08M9tOmSPJvOzBqfTVQ X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31 iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote

>2) If a speeding offense under section 3362

>(relating to maximum speed limits) is

>charged as a result of use of a device

>authorized by section 3368(c) (relating to

>speed timing devices), no points shall be

>assigned under subsection

> (a) unless the speed recorded is

>ten or more miles per hour in excess of

>the legal speed limit.

Yet, the passage you invoke is a single exemption to the rule that speeders can be cited at any speed infraction. Your single exemption passage addresses only the matter of points being assessed and addresses nothing of the fines that are assessed, the end result of receiving a speeding ticket.

> No person may be convicted upon evidence

>obtained

> through the use of devices

>authorized by paragraphs (2) and

>   (3) unless the
speed recorded is

>six or more miles per hour   in excess of

>the legal speed limit.

Repeating this single exemption is fine, but does not address David T.
Hall Jr.'s erroneous interpretation of yet another law.

> Furthermore, no person   may be

>convicted upon evidence obtained through the
>use of   devices authorized by paragraph

>(2) or (3) in an area where   the legal

>speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>   speed recorded is less than ten miles per
>hour in excess of   the legal speed limit.

The line you posted above is in direct contradiction with the previous
line you posted. For instance, above, your passage claims 55 MPH as the
determining factor and speed, yet your previous passage gives no speed
at all, and makes only reference to a speed recorded in excess of 6 MPH
over the limit as the determining factor. Both sentences cite the same
law, same paragraph applications, yet have two separate conclusions.
They both can't be right.

>This paragraph shall not apply to

>evidence obtained through the use of devices

>authorized by   paragraph (2) or (3) within

>a school zone or an active work   zone.

Nicely illustrated. You not only cite an example of David Jr. being
incorrect, but present what you apparently hold as proof.
You are holding a passage that
applies only to speeders in Pa going 55 or less. The speed limit is 65
on the interstates in Pa, and State Troopers are the only ones who use
radar in Pa. State Troopers are usually found on the interstates and
turnpikes, which is where the allowed speed limit is 65 MPH and where
the State Troopers usually employ their radar. Try this one more time:
David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) claimed it was required that all speeders in
Pa be given an automatic "grace window" by the cops, permitting them 5
MPH over the posted limit. You were wrong then, you are wrong now.

53. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 16:20:36 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9507-42249654-38@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197079

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
On 01 Mar 2005 15:02:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote: (How did you get a chance to drive it?)

>Drove by it when I was at Daytona beach.

>1-10, is that down low?

Nope. 10 runs east-west across the top of the state. 95 comes down the east coast and right through Daytona. You thinking about a trip, maybe? Ocala National Forest isn't far from Daytona and an awesome place to camp.

-

>I was talking about his seats. :)

D-uh! I have a friend that goes there every year. Parks that Winnebago in the middle of the oval track, makes some great money selling hand-held scanners the entire week. I've been to Daytona a boatload of times, but never went to the 500. Been there for spring break many moons ago, and a few years back for bike week.

>I wish I could get away long enough to come

>down for a visit..too busy getting ready for

>spring now. You should come up for the

>Dayton swap meet.

I keep trying to get out west, but time just won't permit it. Still planning the spring fling, but it's looking to be like white water in Tennessee. Don't know how far north I'm going yet.

54. Re: FS: External large ' S/RF ' meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 16:27:28 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <ac6eb2b9.0503010827.10e5f428@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197078

SOLD

glapierre@brooke-ocean.com (Homer) wrote in message
news:<ac6eb2b9.0502140844.a4b7d2@posting.google.com>...
> External LARGE 'S30/RF' meter for CB/Amateur radios. Measures 3" X
> 4". New and RARE. Perfect when using directional antennas or doing
> Fox hunts. Made by Jana Electronics. Pic can be emailed. Asking only
> $25.00 plus shipping from Canada.
>
> homer

55. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 16:33:46 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9506-4224996A-119@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197082

rec.radio.cb
Date: Mon, Feb 28, 2005, 11:50pm
From: ebox@qdwebox.net (qdw)
wrote in message news:20050228211111.743$XP@newreader.com/pita.alt.net
("qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net)> wrote:
>Anybody know the story behind this guy near

>KC and the interstate who has been openly

>advertising and selling imported CB amps.

>and non type-approved foreign transceivers?
>The local hams have been throwing fits

>because they guy is explointing some

>loophole in the law. A KC ham says the guy

>must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,

>since last year.

>There are a lot more clean, unclean, or

>otherwise KWs on the air, with this guy doing

>40 grand worth of business daily.

40 G a day? Ummm....no.

>The guy is

>selling amps all the way up to the 10 KW

>range, not to mention all the imported hf

>transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has

>found some loophole in the law that he has

>driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising

>openly too. Heard one report where he had

>three local hams tossed out of the store when

>they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny

>in a way how hams get worked up over

>something so unimportant.

The reports are bogus, just like the cash claim. I'm pretty sure the guy you are talking about doesn't have a store. Give it time and he'll be replaced in your speaker with the entrepeneur running American truck drivers over the border to consort with Mexican prostitutes for their own version of R & R..

56. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 16:40:34 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109695234.560801.124630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

```
Steveo wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> > > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > >> Hi.
> > > > >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for
Dayton.
> > Can
> > > > > anyone
> > > > >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and
> > from
> > > > > with,
> > > > >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers
for
> > the
> > > > > best
> > > > >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
> > > > >>
> > > > >> (sorry for the x-post)
> > > > >
> > > > > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates
amateur
> > gear
> > > > > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
> > > > >
> > > > Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a
> > troublemaker
> > > > he is. Nuff said.
> > > >
> > > No, not really. He's coming there to punk your ass.
> > >
> > The only amp I will have will be in my truck. I'm going there to
buy
> > stuff, not sell. I appreciate quality gear, and Dayton is a
fairly
> > good place to shop.
> >
> At least he's honest about it, he's going there to buy gear to USE
```

> > ILLEGALLY on freeband. lol.
> >
> Thanks, Randy. I owe you one buddy. :D

You owe him what? A stalking?

57. Re: Illegal CBer Wants Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 16:43:14 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109695394.401585.243480@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

```
Steveo wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> > > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > >> Hi.
> > > > >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for
Dayton.
> > Can
> > > > > anyone
> > > > >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and
> > from
> > > > > with,
> > > > >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers
for
> > the
> > > > > best
> > > > >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
> > > > >>
> > > > >> (sorry for the x-post)
> > > > >
> > > > > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates
amateur
> > gear
> > > > > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
> > > > >
> > > > Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a
> > troublemaker
> > > > he is. Nuff said.
> > > >
> > > No, not really. He's coming there to punk your ass.
> > >
> > The only amp I will have will be in my truck. I'm going there to
buy
> > stuff, not sell. I appreciate quality gear, and Dayton is a
fairly
> > good place to shop.
> >
> At least he's honest about it, he's going there to buy gear to USE
```

> > ILLEGALLY on freeband. lol.
> >
> Thanks, Randy. I owe you one buddy. :D

Hey what's your callsign Randy?

58. GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 18:45:39 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <h2e921t0p11jbo6g2t514637daj65sbllr@4ax.com>
Source record: 197083

Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog of CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics (TriPower RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger Beep, etc.) and other CB technical articles at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

59. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 19:12:24 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8408-4224BE98-177@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197085

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, Mar 1, 2005, 11:21am (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for From: Daid T. Hall Jr. itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Tue, Mar 1, 2005, 11:21am (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-hkCw7Z9N9k+3C9WalHw+W5kegNigPLh6OXQcZry18j9d8WJpc/YnRZKMcCjDOXlSjll979AFUQzhWgY!NsOzK/1rWCheb+AMCOXb2w8vDBJyAms1igd2wYeCVwGWbEyUiCCAbg86wZo1X2ysWmDD X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.32
(David T. Hall Jr.) wrote in news:9507-42249354-37
Both sentences cite the same law, same paragraph applications, yet have two separate conclusions. They both can't be right.

>LOL you better reread it

You ought try and understand what it is you are wrong about.

> your communication deficit has caused you

>much grief before and it still does.

I'm not the one citing the law where it very plainly illustrates what everyone has been trying to tell you. As usual, you are extremely slow to get it.

>If the speed limit is below 55 mph, you cant

>get cited for a ticket unless you are caught 10

>mph over the speed limit, not 6 in this case.

,,which has nothing at all to do with getting cited when violating the state's maximum speed limit of 65 MPH,,you know,,on the interstates where the State Troopers (who are the only ones allowe dto use radar in Pa) actually use radar and write tickets.

>Now go menstrate some more over the facts

>because they dont agreee with you.

Pay attention, as that deficit and mild retardation has you getting angry again, with all those who continue to educate your ignorance: David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) said he was told by leo's that all speeders in Pa are to be given a 5 MPH "grace window". You continue to post the passage of the law that cites the complete pposite of that claim. Again,

one can be cited for going 66 in a 65, yet, you are in dire straits and drooling incoherently about the law whichi you always demonstrate a problem comprehending.

I'm still grinning over you invoking yet another mystery. The (read: un-named) radar manufacturer that you permit to define the definition of the law. Tell us again about how this radar marketing department came up with the term "non-radar state" to apply to states that use radar, and of which you cited as some type of support for your misinterpratations and plain, rude ignorance.

60. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 19:24:01 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109705041.332233.212800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

Not posted by N8, but I did laugh...does that count, fool?

By the way, we know why you are going to Dayton. With the Lambda club convention there, you wouldnt miss it for the world.

U Know Who wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> Hi.
> >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton.
Can
> > anyone
> >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and from
> > with,
> >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
> >>
> >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for the
> > best
> >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
> >>
> >> (sorry for the x-post)
> >
> > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur
gear
> > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
> >
> Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a
troublemaker he
> is. Nuff said.
>
> No, not really. He's coming there to punk your ass.

61. Re: Single? Divorced? Straight? Gay? Lesbian? Looking for a partner or soulmate? - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 19:39:34 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <n6h9211ioq8acmt2i9o1q1ul2l5rokt6gn@4ax.com>
Source record: 197086

On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 12:14:05 -0000, "Richard"
<richard@R_ E_M_O_V_Emekka.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>Road_Hogï¿½ wrote:
>>
> admin@singlesconnections.co.uk
>
>admin@singlesconnections.co.uk
>
>admin@singlesconnections.co.uk
>
>admin@singlesconnections.co.uk
>
>admin@singlesconnections.co.uk
>
>just in case the spambots missed it first time round.
>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

62. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 21:11:51 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <rU4Vd.52547$uc.38971@trnddc03>
Source record: 197087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

YEAH and then the dumb sonofabitches get on 10 or 12 meters acting just like what they are really dumbass operators who dont give a dam about no one or anything else and all ya hear is 20 minuts of dumbshit rogerbeeps and profanity... ``THE REAL HAM``

63. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 22:02:41 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52>
Source record: 197088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050301000709.920$Mx@newsreader.com...
> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
> > > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> > >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> > >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> > >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> > >> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
> > >> law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> > >> daily, since last year.
> > >>
> > > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> > >
> > > Next.
> >
> > Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the air,
> > with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is
selling
> > amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the imported
> > hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some loophole
> > in the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
> > openly too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out
> > of the store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in a
> > way how hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
> >
> In other words, he's asking for trouble and will likely find some. I live
> out in the sticks SW of Cleveland with no neighbors, and yet I challenge
> myself to not TVI my own house. I figure that as a fairly clean input, the
> way the crow flies and lands.
>
> I do have one amp that will not conform to those standards, but it's
> been moth-balled for years.
>
> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.

They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!

Big difference.

64. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 22:31:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org>
Message-ID: <d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050301000709.920$Mx@newsreader.com...
>> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20050228211111.743$XP@newsreader.com...
>> > > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> > >> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>> > >> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>> > >> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>> > >> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
>> > >> law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
>> > >> daily, since last year.
>> > >>
>> > > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>> > >
>> > > Next.
>> >
>> > Well there are a lot more clean, unclean, or otherwise KWs on the air,
>> > with this guy doing 40 grand worth of business daily. The guy is
> selling
>> > amps all the way up to the 10 KW range, not to mention all the imported
>> > hf transceivers he is selling. Apparently, he has found some loophole
>> > in the law that he has driven a Mack truck through. He is advertising
>> > openly too. Heard one report where he had three local hams tossed out
>> > of the store when they started throwing hissy fits. Kind of funny in
>> > a
>> > way how hams get worked up over something so unimportant.
>> >
>> In other words, he's asking for trouble and will likely find some. I live
>> out in the sticks SW of Cleveland with no neighbors, and yet I challenge
>> myself to not TVI my own house. I figure that as a fairly clean input,
>> the
>> way the crow flies and lands.
>>
>> I do have one amp that will not conform to those standards, but it's
>> been moth-balled for years.
>>
>> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
>> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>
```

> They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
> operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>
> Big difference.
>

And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
LOL!

65. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 23:03:41 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <v5t921he5n7la20rt36jc24q436s5d23r2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197090

On 01 Mar 2005 15:05:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> .
>> >> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
>> >>
>> >> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There is
>> >> some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am pretty
>> >> close.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
>> >hours to haul my camper to Bristol.
>>
>> Is this Bristol CT? I don't live near there.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Nope. Tennessee/Virginia
>
>http://www.bristolmotorspeedway.com/

What is the one in Delaware?

Vinnie S.

66. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 23:11:43 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109718703.662061.158800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Not posted by N8, but I did laugh...does that count, fool?

anytime anyone tells him the truth about his lawbreaking,gayness,etc.
he calls them "N8"

67. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 23:13:18 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109718798.677771.165740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

U Know Who wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> Hi.
> >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton.
Can
> > anyone
> >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and from
> > with,
> >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
> >>
> >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for the
> > best
> >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
> >>
> >> (sorry for the x-post)
> >
> > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur
gear
> > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
> >
> Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a
troublemaker he
> is. Nuff said.

# of qrz lookups = troublemaker? you cbers sure have strange ideas.

>
> No, not really. He's coming there to punk your ass.

68. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 23:49:02 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Ob7Vd.9910$Qz1.214@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109718798.677771.165740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>U Know Who wrote:
>> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > Steveo wrote:
>> >> Hi.
>> >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton.
> Can
>> > anyone
>> >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and from
>> > with,
>> >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
>> >>
>> >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for the
>> > best
>> >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
>> >>
>> >> (sorry for the x-post)
>> >
>> > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur
> gear
>> > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
>> >
>> Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a
> troublemaker he
>> is. Nuff said.
>
> # of qrz lookups = troublemaker? you cbers sure have strange ideas.
>

Right! 4100 lookups? Just other loving hams looking up the call?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

69. Re: Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-01 23:58:24 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109721504.047990.250440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

U Know Who wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1109718798.677771.165740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >U Know Who wrote:
> >> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1109646174.301886.67050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Steveo wrote:
> >> >> Hi.
> >> >> I see there's three campgrounds that are advertised for Dayton.
> > Can
> >> > anyone
> >> >> help with the best location? We have a truck to drive to and
from
> >> > with,
> >> >> once we get there and dis-connect from my travel trailer.
> >> >>
> >> >> I'm looking for advice from a few seasoned Dayton campers for
the
> >> > best
> >> >> campground, that allows a little a fun on site. :)
> >> >>
> >> >> (sorry for the x-post)
> >> >
> >> > FYI: moparholic@hotmail.com is a freebander who operates amateur
> > gear
> >> > without a license. He is coming to Dayton to sell cb amps.
> >> >
> >> Posted by N8WWM. Check the number of lookups to see what a
> > troublemaker he
> >> is. Nuff said.
> >
> > # of qrz lookups = troublemaker? you cbers sure have strange ideas.
> >
>
> Right! 4100 lookups? Just other loving hams looking up the call?
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

KC8LDO Lookups: 18331

Pathetic. CBers are like groupies with their favorite hams. Powerless
to do anything else I guess. LOL

70. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 00:25:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050301192556.775$Yd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197095

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 15:05:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> .
> >> >> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
> >> >>
> >> >> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There
> >> >> is some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am
> >> >> pretty close.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >>Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
> >> >>hours to haul my camper to Bristol.
> >>
> >> Is this Bristol CT? I don't live near there.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >>Nope. Tennessee/Virginia
> >>
> >>http://www.bristolmotorspeedway.com/
>
> What is the one in Delaware?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
That would be Dover, in Dover.

71. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 02:55:09 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <t%9Vd.6519$NK2.627@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197096

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:2b_Ud.5609$Lr4.1641@fe12.lga:
>
>
>>jim wrote:
>>
>>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>>news:XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished
>>>>>from this spectrum?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Ham radios are not supposed to talk on CB band thats why he needs
>>>>the crystals. He didnt say anything about banished or any other
>>>>assclown remarks you can think of.
>>>
>>>Hmmm i guess my Icom general coverage radio should bypass the 40
>>>regular then... What a bizarre statement that "ham radios are not
>>>supposed to talk on CB band". Care to back that up?
>>
>>hehehe one hook two fish....
>
>
>
>
> LMAO,,,You make a stupid statement are proven wrong then want to say you
> hooked fish. Nah the only fish was you making a bizarre statement that
> Ham radios arent supposed to talk on cb, then like clockwork steveo joins
> in.
I made a statement that ham radios aren't supposed to talk on cb?
Talk about revisionist history...
End of thread. Nite...

72. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 02:56:47 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <01aVd.6521$6R2.2629@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197097

Steveo wrote:

> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>>On 01 Mar 2005 15:05:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>.
>>>>
>>>>>Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
>>>>>
>>>>>I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There
>>>>>is some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am
>>>>>pretty close.
>>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
>>>>hours to haul my camper to Bristol.
>>>>
>>>>Is this Bristol CT? I don't live near there.
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Nope. Tennessee/Virginia
>>>
>>>http://www.bristolmotorspeedway.com/
>>
>>What is the one in Delaware?
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>>
>
> That would be Dover, in Dover.
On the DelMarVa peninsula. Bitch to get to.

73. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 02:58:56 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <13aVd.6522$0N2.6346@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197098

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Mar 2005 15:02:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote: (How
> did you get a chance to drive it?)
>
>
>
>>Drove by it when I was at Daytona beach.
>
>
>>1-10, is that down low?
>
>
>
> Nope. 10 runs east-west across the top of the state. 95 comes down the
> east coast and right through Daytona. You thinking about a trip, maybe?
> Ocala National Forest isn't far from Daytona and an awesome place to
> camp.
>
> -
>
>
>>I was talking about his seats. :)
>
>
>
>
>
> D-uh! I have a friend that goes there every year. Parks that Winnebago
> in the middle of the oval track, makes some great money selling
> hand-held scanners the entire week. I've been to Daytona a boatload of
> times, but never went to the 500. Been there for spring break many moons
> ago, and a few years back for bike week.
>
>
>
>>I wish I could get away long enough to come
>
>
>>down for a visit..too busy getting ready for

>
>
>>spring now. You should come up for the
>
>
>>Dayton swap meet.
>
>
>
> I keep trying to get out west, but time just won't permit it. Still
> planning the spring fling, but it's looking to be like white water in
> Tennessee. Don't know how far north I'm going yet.
>
Whatever happened to that hookup for the group up near Louisville?

74. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 03:09:07 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org>
Message-ID: <d03alc$a4r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
news:d035hm0qtp@news1.newsguy.com...
> On Tue, 1 Mar 2005 07:43:02 -0500 qdw wrote:
>
>>
>> "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
>> news:d010ml0lt2@news3.newsguy.com...
>>> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 11:36:03 -0500 qdw wrote:
>>>
>>>> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>>> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
>>>> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>>>> since last year.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Most likely selling to truckers.
>>> KC is a big truck city.
>>> $40k a day? I don't think so.
>>> This one single guy "finds" a loophole when nobody else could find it?
>>> Yeah right.
>>> The law clearly states, no amplifiers, no uncertified imported radios.
>>> Period.
>>> Since you have to open the case and modify the radio to make it work on
>>> CB,
>>> you just violated the law.
>>> Give it time, the feds will crack his head wide open.
>>
>>
>> The 3965 khz pirate seems to be linked in some way to this guy. This
>> weekend there was a simo pirate broadcast on 3965/6673, and the
>> pirate was giving out a bunch of detailed info about the KC CB sales
>> operation, kind like he was "advertising" for the guy. 27680 was
>> mentioned as a channel where KC local info was available. Do you
>> think an activist liberal judge is allowing the guy to stay open?
>>
>
> The law is, you need a license to operate.
> There are no loopholes on that and there are no loopholes in a code only
> frequency assignment.
> So regardless of whining about loopholes, his own macho will get him
```

> busted
> for sure.
>

And yet another clueless No Code Tech comes out of the woodwork to pontificate. Amazing how much they know about nothing and freely demonstrate their illiteracy.
LOL!

75. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 03:21:23 UTC
From: Harv <Hdx@dxharvnone.com>
Message-ID: <d03bcmcgf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote in message news:d01nu4$j5e$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
> news:d010ml0lt2@news3.newsguy.com...
>> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 11:36:03 -0500 qdw wrote:
>>
>>> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>> throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the law.
>>> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>>> since last year.
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Most likely selling to truckers.
>> KC is a big truck city.
>> $40k a day? I don't think so.
>> This one single guy "finds" a loophole when nobody else could find it?
>> Yeah right.
>> The law clearly states, no amplifiers, no uncertified imported radios.
>> Period.
>> Since you have to open the case and modify the radio to make it work on
>> CB,
>> you just violated the law.
>> Give it time, the feds will crack his head wide open.
>
>
> The 3965 khz pirate seems to be linked in some way to this guy. This
> weekend there was a simo pirate broadcast on 3965/6673, and the
> pirate was giving out a bunch of detailed info about the KC CB sales
> operation, kind like he was "advertising" for the guy. 27680 was
> mentioned as a channel where KC local info was available. Do you
> think an activist liberal judge is allowing the guy to stay open?
>

It is possible his work force is over 25% undocumented aliens. In which
case an activist liberal judge would certainly protect their rights by not
allowing the business to be shut down. By now the ACLU may also
be in the picture, and that means endless litigation.

Harv

76. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 03:21:39 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <88ca21hsek1vvl762dgqtir0f8i8i4q28p@4ax.com>
Source record: 197101

On Tue, 01 Mar 2005 21:56:47 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>>>>>>Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
>>>>>>hours to haul my camper to Bristol.
>>>>>
>>>>>Is this Bristol CT? I don't live near there.
>>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Nope. Tennessee/Virginia
>>>>
>>>>http://www.bristolmotorspeedway.com/
>>>
>>>What is the one in Delaware?
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>
>> That would be Dover, in Dover.
>On the DelMarVa peninsula. Bitch to get to.

Right. I don't live too far from there. But that is a bitch. I wpnder if they opened a new highway there. As I recall, they only had route 1, which had lights all the way down. ugh !!!!!

Vinnie S.

77. Radio Gear For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 03:26:27 UTC
From: Stebbie <sfgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <gcidnaJtDtB9r7jfRVn-hQ@wtccommunications.ca>
Source record: 197102
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

please see the link below...

http://members.kingston.net/na/radios.html

78. FS: RCI 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 03:34:02 UTC
From: Stebbie <sfgale@kingston.net>
Message-ID: <77idnbuhrL41qbjfRVn-vA@wtccommunications.ca>
Source record: 197103

please see the link below...

http://members.kingston.net/na/radios.html

habbla lookie

79. Re: Illegal Gear FS: RCI 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 04:03:37 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109736217.289333.237170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197104

Stebbie wrote:
> please see the link below...
>
> http://members.kingston.net/na/radios.html
>
> habbla lookie

Illegal keyclown gear reported to FCC. Thank you.

80. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 04:26:57 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <0t-dnWKnDc7S2LjfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 197105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:

> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>
>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
>>A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>>since last year.
>>
>
> Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>
> Next.

Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
it will be clean.

81. Unable to communicate between mobile CBs; Feedback problem?!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 05:51:27 UTC
From: Anders <andersn_@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1109742687.368480.244330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197106

recently my friend and i bought a set of radioshack brand mobile cb's to mount in our trucks. We went offroading right after we got them and they worked perfectly. Then the second time we tried to use them we had a problem with feedback. When i transmitted my friend could hear me, but i could not hear his transmissions. Instead all i could hear was a loud beeping tone. we experimented with different things but were unable to find the source of the problem. Using a third cb we concluded that it was my friend's cb but still could not find the source of the problem which is frequent but intermittent. Has anyone heard of this before???? Any suggestions?

Thanks,
Anders

82. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 06:26:03 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%%cVd.1986$C47.1824@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197107

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050301192556.775$Yd@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 01 Mar 2005 15:05:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> .
>> >> >> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles !!1
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar ! There
>> >> >> is some big event here for that. I don't know what it is. But I am
>> >> >> pretty close.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Vinnie S.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me about 9
>> >> >hours to haul my camper to Bristol.
>> >>
>> >> Is this Bristol CT? I don't live near there.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Nope. Tennessee/Virginia
>> >
>> >http://www.bristolmotorspeedway.com/
>>
>> What is the one in Delaware?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
> That would be Dover, in Dover.

Dover England? LOL!!!!

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

83. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 11:39:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050302063924.882$yK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197108

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > Whatever happened to that hookup for the group up near Louisville?
>
Dunno, seems it never got past the talking about it stage.

84. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 11:57:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050302065750.672$yk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
> >>A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> >>since last year.
> >>
> >
> > Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >
> > Next.
>
> Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
> it will be clean.
>
Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.

85. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 11:59:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050302065903.939$2K@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197110

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050301192556.775$Yd@newsreader.com...
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 01 Mar 2005 15:05:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 01 Mar 2005 04:09:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> .
> >> >> >> Scratch that !!! I mapquested it. It's 9 hours away, 544 miles
> >> >> >> !!1
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I might have to wait until you come to Delaware for Nascar !
> >> >> >> There is some big event here for that. I don't know what it is.
> >> >> >> But I am pretty close.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>Squat to piss Enzo turns out to be pussy whipped! It takes me
> >> >> >>about 9 hours to haul my camper to Bristol.
> >> >>
> >> >> Is this Bristol CT? I don't live near there.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >>Nope. Tennessee/Virginia
> >> >>
> >> >>http://www.bristolmotorspeedway.com/
> >>
> >> What is the one in Delaware?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> > That would be Dover, in Dover.
>
> Dover England? LOL!!!!
>
> Landshark
>
Nope, but the Busch cars are running in Mexico this weekend.

86. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 12:23:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <f2bb21pakmsgn0q2kvu7jvvvnhcteu9ajo@4ax.com>
Source record: 197111

On Thu, 24 Feb 2005 14:55:22 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:ikfr11heqc46d62hhnbr8obfn77ju4uqda@4ax.com...
>> With what proof? The statute clearly defines the conditions where cops
>> MUST give leeway. But there's also nothing to say that they can't
>> apply it across the board in all cases, just for the sake of
>> consistency. From what my cop friends have told me, it's simpler to do
>> that than have the hassle of arguing the points in court. There are
>> plenty of speeders exceeding the 5 MPH leeway, that they don't have to
>> worry about those under that mark. That's the simple reality of the
>> situation.
>
>
> Nope Dave, again your wrong.
>THE whole point was that You said you can not get a
>ticket for 1 MPH over the speed limit. You then added
>another variable to the mix by saying in Pa.

No, I ALWAYS stated that this applied to Pa. This was not a "variable"
that I added. I do not know the individual laws in other states, so I
would be remiss in commenting on them.

> Now you say it can be applied across the board for the state?

Huh? I have always maintained that speed tolerances were in effect in
the state of Pa.

>
>www.atssa.com/chapters/downloads/PA_ImpAct229.PDF
>
>You can be ticketed in the state of Pa for 1mph over the speed limit,

Ah, so you've found a special case where you can now be ticketed for 1
MPH over. A few comments:

1. This is a fairly recent law (2003), and I was not aware of all the
provisions of it. I was aware that speeding fines could be doubled,
but not that they can cite for 1 MPH over.

2. This is probably only slightly more likely than Frank's stopwatch
scenario. It still does not negate my statement for the majority of
situations. 3368(c) (4) still applies at other times.

3. If you look at the exact wording of the provision that effects speed, you will see that it is worded "Eliminated speed tolerances - can now be cited for 1 MPH over speed limit". This obviously implies that there had been tolerances in effect previously and that those tolerances prevented being cited for 1 MPH over, as per my original statement.

>so will you know shut up on it?

I was not the one making an issue out of it. I made a simple statement that Pa. has a speed tolerance of at least 5 MPH. Other people then took it upon themselves, in a shameful effort to prove me wrong, to pick this apart and come up with all sorts of exceptions to the standard, usual practice.

>
> The whole point is that you can be cited dude, not that
>it happens everyday or even once a month, but you can be
>cited even in your state.

You can be cited for anything a cop wants to cite you for. But that was not the issue. The issue was the law as it was coded in Pa. This is one of many examples of contradictions, and interpretations. We have signs on major highways stating speeding fines starting at 56 MPH. Yet on those same highways, which are patrolled by cop equipped with RADAR, they are not allowed to cite you until you are at least 6 MPH over per 3368 (c)(4). It's all designed to confuse the people who do not fully understand the law.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

87. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 12:45:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9pcb2198bp0jhk29pio6opbhqem7vr24su@4ax.com>
Source record: 197112

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 18:41:06 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> But when they find him and realize
>
>>that it's not me, he'll be in even more trouble.
>

>
>You're right, but here is the flip side of which you did not consider.
>Oxendine, while patroling the interstates in and around Gastonia (I-85,
>US 321, etc.) has placed the FCC in a very precarious legal situation.
>What Oxendine does, is make tapes of truck drivers he claims are
>transmitting illegally on ten meter. He writes down their license plate
>numbers and names of the company, if visible. He sends the info to
>Hollingsworth and with nothing more than the word of the Ox-man,
>Hollingsworth sends the truck companies a warning notice.

You do not know exactly what Jerry is doing, and whether, the FCC is
directly responding to these alleged "tapes". Most of this is pure
speculation.

>Flip side.
>
>
>Now, if one were to tape your call being poached on the freeband, and
>sent it to the FCC alleging you were breaking the law, the FCC has
>obligated itself, by virtue of its actions with Oxendine, to treat the
>dispositional actions of the complaint in THE EXACT SAME NATURE.

Logically, that makes sense, but.......

>And of
>course, it doesn't matter if you're guilty or not, the notice is going
>public..such is one of the benefits of having a clusterf*** like the FCC
>in charge of such trivial bullshit.

Is that what you're hoping? To see my name on the RAIN report?

> Of course, failure by the FCC to follow their now-established protcol
>could result in one bitch of a suit, and it is for this reason I am
>beginning to question whether this chief enforcement officer for the FCC
>has any legal background at all.

No offense, but I'm sure he has more of a background in communications
law, than someone who freebands and operates a charter fishing
service.

> If he went to law school, in my
>personal opinion, the man should sue for breach of an educational
>contract. Perhaps the FCC is in such piss poor shape because they keep
>hiring civil servants with no education related to the position for
>which they are hired.

But you are basing your outcry on speculative comments. It may likely be the case that the FCC may act on "tips" received by people like Jerry, and then gather additional evidence before preparing an NAL. So if someone sent in a tape of some lamebrain using my call on the freeband, they'll be sure to check it out first, and when they find that it isn't coming from my state let alone my home, they'll drop the matter. This isn't the first time people have bootlegged other's calls. I'm not concerned.

>I keep waiting for that super rich hammie to get busted by the FCC for
>some lame bullshit.

If he's guilty what's he going to do?

> Now you know how Oxendine spends his free time. One can see how he at
>least believes his actions are pro-active. Tell me that boy don't eat,
>sleep, breathes radio!

I know nothing of the sort and neither do you. What proof do you have that Jerry O, does the things you claim, to the degree you also claim? The last I heard from Jerry, he was only concerned with intruders on 10 meters.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

88. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 12:51:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <lhdb21lqhbhhqsnj27eike55msnvfs5bn7@4ax.com>
Source record: 197113

On 24 Feb 2005 15:14:00 GMT, N3CVJ@invaild.invalid wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> I saved over $1900. I'd say it was worth it.
>>
>> This is a well known problem with late model 90's GM 4L60
>> transmissions.
>>
>Heh, you can buy a fresh complete rebuild with tourqe converter for
>less that $1500. Sounds like your tranny guy is ripping you off.

$2000 was a dealer quote. Most other tranny shops also wanted to pull
a "we don't troubleshoot, we just replace" for an equally outrageous
price.

A few shops, who were clued in to the problem, offered to do the job
for around $395. I did it myself and saved $300.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

89. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 13:11:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hfeb21d1gqfa7i1u284flmi3a292m88qbl@4ax.com>
Source record: 197114

On Tue, 01 Mar 2005 11:21:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:9507-42249354-37
>@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> The line you posted above is in direct contradiction with the previous
>> line you posted. For instance, above, your passage claims 55 MPH as the
>> determining factor and speed, yet your previous passage gives no speed
>> at all, and makes only reference to a speed recorded in excess of 6 MPH
>> over the limit as the determining factor. Both sentences cite the same
>> law, same paragraph applications, yet have two separate conclusions.
>> They both can't be right.
>
>LOL you better reread it your communication deficit has caused you much
>grief before and it still does. If the speed limit is below 55 mph, you
>cant get cited for a ticket unless you are caught 10 mph over the speed
>limit, not 6 in this case. Now go menstrate some more over the facts
>because they dont agreee with you.
>
>
>
>>Furthermore, no person may be
>>convicted upon evidence obtained through the
>>use of devices authorized by paragraph
>>(2) or (3) in an area where the legal
>>speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>>speed recorded is less than ten miles per
>>hour in excess of the legal speed limit.

I'm tired of wasting my time on this issue. He doesn't get it. He
can't understand conditionals, and that's why he feels there's an
apparent "contradiction" between paragraph 2 and 3 devices.

The law is the law, and it specifies the conditions by which a speed
tolerance is required to be given, which is most of the time. The
minimum tolerance is 5 MPH, and in certain other situations (Below 55
MPH and using electronic devices OTHER than RADAR), they have to
increase that tolerance to 10 MPH. It's not contradictory, it's in
addition to.

Your amended statutes also illustrate that no points are to be
assigned until 10 MPH or more over, but it does not say that you can't
be stopped and ticketed between 5 and 10 MPH over in a radar zone.

Twisty's interpretive skills are not much better than my 5 year old's. It's no wonder he thinks the various laws mean something different than what they actually state, to those of us who CAN interpret and comprehend what we read.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

90. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 13:12:09 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <K6jVd.27271$bl2.23091@fe08.lga>
Source record: 197115

otiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:88ca21hsek1vvl762dgqtir0f8i8i4q28p@4ax.com:
>
>
>>Right. I don't live too far from there. But that is a bitch. I wpnder
>>if they opened a new highway there. As I recall, they only had route
>>1, which had lights all the way down. ugh !!!!!
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
> rt 1 doesnt have any lights on it, that would be rt 13
Yeah but the plans were for Rt1 to be a limited access toll road that
would bypass 13. What happened is Rt1 is a limited road mileage wise and
still has tolls. 13 has plenty of local speed traps to boot.

91. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 13:15:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <g2fb21940g01s4g30t9lg7hnvvod9pfln0@4ax.com>
Source record: 197116

On 27 Feb 2005 12:51:40 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> No I Am Not Him wrote:
>> > Heard you on freeband. Have recording. Where do I send for qsl
>card?
>>
>> His QSL manager is Playgirl magazine. If that doesn't work send it
>via
>> NAMBLA headquarters.
>
>Will they know who he is?
>
>Twisted wrote:
>>>Gee, I could use a contact in North Dakota to
>>>finish off my "Worked All States" award. Think
>>>I could use a freeband QSL card? ;-)
>>
>>The acceptance of the standard of proof is often the same.
>
>I heard someone named twistedhed ID on freeband. Is that enough proof?

He was probably using my callsign.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

92. Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 14:18:17 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <JWjVd.27076$r55.10992@attbi_s52>
Source record: 197117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
news:d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.

> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
> >
> > Big difference.

> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
> LOL!

I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of law
abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB operators
are the same as criminal radio pirates!

93. Re: Illegal Radio Gear For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 14:45:30 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <1uKdnQ0O2b8dTLjfRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 197118
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

fccmonitor@arrl.org wrote:
>>please see the link below...
>>
>>http://members.kingston.net/na/radios.html
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Reported to FCC

WHY?

94. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 15:00:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13636-4225E30E-47@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197121

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 18:41:06 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
(But when they find him and realize
that it's not me, he'll be in even more trouble.)

You're right, but here is the flip side of which you did not consider.
Oxendine, while patroling the interstates in and around Gastonia (I-85,
US 321, etc.) has placed the FCC in a very precarious legal situation.
What Oxendine does, is make tapes of truck drivers he claims are
transmitting illegally on ten meter. He writes down their license plate
numbers and names of the company, if visible. He sends the info to
Hollingsworth and with nothing more than the word of the Ox-man,
Hollingsworth sends the truck companies a warning notice.

>You do not know exactly what Jerry is doing,

David, you have demostrated you know shit about anything. In fact, all
your posts have been shown to be so far off reality, that all you have
is a dirty little distorted little dream world comprised of imaginary
and un-named supporters and leo's.
All you have is specuation.

>and whether, the FCC is directly responding to
>these alleged "tapes". Most of this is pure

>speculation.

No Dave,,,_most- of what you post is pure speculation, the rest is pure
unadulterated bullshit. As you illoustrated with your leo claim you have
a pathological lying problem to the extent you need invent and conjure
false support. Your ego dictates it.

_
Flip side.
Now, if one were to tape your call being poached on the freeband, and
sent it to the FCC alleging you were breaking the law, the FCC has
obligated itself, by virtue of its actions with Oxendine, to treat the
dispositional actions of the complaint in THE EXACT SAME NATURE.

>Logically, that makes sense, but.......

BUT,,,,you do not deal with logic.

And of
course, it doesn't matter if you're guilty or not, the notice is going
public..such is one of the benefits of having a clusterf*** like the FCC
in charge of such trivial bullshit.

>Is that what you're hoping? To see my name

>on the RAIN report?

Gee Dave, in addition to your hallucinations of false leo's and hammie
that agree with you that roger beeps are illegal, you are having some
serious difficulties with what is actually posted. Struggling with
reality, again, you are.

_
 Of course, failure by the FCC to follow their now-established protcol
could result in one bitch of a suit, and it is for this reason I am
beginning to question whether this chief enforcement officer for the FCC
has any legal background at all.

>No offense, but I'm sure he has more of a

>background in communications law,

David, no offense, but you are sure of absolutely nothing. You prove it
time and again.

>than someone who freebands and operates a

>charter fishing service.

And if you employed logic, you would find a corelation that exists only
in the same mind that gives us conjured and animated giggles.

_
If he went to law school, in my
personal opinion, the man should sue for breach of an educational
contract. Perhaps the FCC is in such piss poor shape because they keep
hiring civil servants with no education related to the position for
which they are hired.

>But you are basing your outcry on speculative

>comments.

Nope,,that's what you have always doen, adn are continuing to do,
despite those of us that are in the know.

>It may likely be the case that the FCC may act
>on "tips" received by people like Jerry, and

>then gather additional evidence before

>preparing an NAL.

No,,,that's not likely at all.

>So if someone sent in a tape of some

>lamebrain using my call on the freeband,

>they'll be sure to check it out first,

You are wrong. There is no way for the FCC to prove whether a violation has occurred after reported by Oxendine.

>and when they find that it isn't coming from my
>state let alone my home, they'll drop the

>matter.

ALL the cases Jerry turned in were dropped, for that exact reason,,,,the FCC can prove nothing on his word. All they got was a warning and that is all they will continue to get, but it goves Jerry a sense of superiority he so desperately craves, not unlike yourself.

>This isn't the first time people have bootlegged
>other's calls. I'm not concerned.

I keep waiting for that super rich hammie to get busted by the FCC for some lame bullshit.

>If he's guilty what's he going to do?

Walk.

–
  Now you know how Oxendine spends his free time. One can see how he at least believes his actions are pro-active. Tell me that boy don't eat, sleep, breathes radio!

>I know nothing of the sort

And you continue to prove it on a regular basis.

>and neither do you.

I most certainly do. Your quick insistence at attemting to tell one you know nothing of, what they know of outside occurrences, plays to your wounded ego again.

>What proof do you have that Jerry O, does the
>things you claim, to the degree you also

>claim?

Back to demanding answers before you provide for your own has never worked, David.

>The last I heard from Jerry, he was only

>concerned with intruders on 10 meters.

The last you "heard" was that Roger Beeps were illegal. The last you "heard" was faulty information concerning Pa speed laws. The last you "heard" were a couple of lies from imaginary leo's you claimed told you that the law was mandatory that all speeders in Pa were to have a 5 MPH "grace" window.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

95. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 15:31:25 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13636-4225DC4D-37@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197119

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 01 Mar 2005 11:21:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:9507-42249354-37 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
The line you posted above is in direct contradiction with the previous
line you posted. For instance, above, your passage claims 55 MPH as the
determining factor and speed, yet your previous passage gives no speed
at all, and makes only reference to a speed recorded in excess of 6 MPH
over the limit as the determining factor. Both sentences cite the same
law, same paragraph applications, yet have two separate conclusions.
They both can't be right.

>I'm tired of wasting my time on this issue.

That's because of your stubborn refusal to learn and ignorance insisting
no one else can be right besides you, despite the number of people that
continually correct you.

> He doesn't get it. He can't understand

>conditionals, and that's why he feels there's an
>apparent "contradiction" between paragraph 2

>and 3 devices.

There is,,,,and you are unable to comprehend it.

>The law is the law,

.and you simply aren't up to date on it, despite your cries and whines
about how it's your state and you know about the law. Same thing you
said regarding the law about your hobby that you know nothing of and
have to be shown, such as your claim that roger beeps were illegal based
on your inability to find a law specifically permitting them.

>and it specifies the

>conditions by which a speed tolerance is

>required to be given, which is most of the time.

See what you are iunable to comprehend..

>The minimum tolerance is 5 MPH,

Wrong,,,

>and in certain other situations (Below 55 MPH

>and using electronic devices OTHER than

>RADAR), they have to increase that tolerance

>to 10 MPH. It's not contradictory, it's in

>addition to.

No,,it's not.

Your amended statutes also illustrate that no
points are to be assigned until 10 MPH or
more over, but it does not say that you can't
be stopped and ticketed between 5 and 10
MPH over in a radar zone.

>Twisty's interpretive skills are not much better

>than my 5 year old's.

Although your need to be insultive is based upon your own incompetence
and ignorance, ithere is no excuse for such when you are taught better
each day by cber's who do know the law better than yourself. Perhaps you
can get your five year old to explain to you what everyone comprehends.

>It's no wonder he thinks the various laws

>mean something different than what they

>actually state, to those of us who CAN

>interpret and comprehend what we read.

Too bad these reading skills you speak of
have prevented you from finding the current law.

>David T. Hall Jr. N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

96. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 15:44:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13636-4225DF4E-42@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197120

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 24 Feb 2005 14:55:22 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:ikfr11heqc46d62hhnbr8obfn77ju4uqda@4ax.com...
>With what proof? The statute clearly defines

>the conditions where cops MUST give leeway.
>But there's also nothing to say that they can't

>apply it across the board in all cases, just for

>the sake of consistency. From what my cop

>friends have told me, it's simpler to do that

>than have the hassle of arguing the points in

>court. There are plenty of speeders exceeding
>the 5 MPH leeway, that they don't have to

>worry about those under that mark. That's the

>simple reality of the situation.

(Nope Dave, again your
wrong.
THE whole point was that You said you can not get a ticket for 1 MPH
over the speed limit. You then added another variable to the mix by
saying in Pa.)

>No, I ALWAYS stated that this applied to Pa.

>This was not a "variable" that I added. I do not

>know the individual laws in other states, so I

>would be remiss in commenting on them.

What you did say, was that your leo friends all told you that this was
the LAW. Boom...you lied., again, but the real question remains, is why
on earth do you go to such incredible lengths to do so..

(Now you say it can be applied across the board for
the state?)

>Huh? I have always maintained that speed

>tolerances were in effect in the state of Pa.

(www.atssa.com/chapters/downloads/PA_ImpAct229.PDF
You can be ticketed in the state of Pa for 1mph over the speed limit,)

>Ah, so you've found a special case where you

>can now be ticketed for 1 MPH over. A few

>comments:

It's only "special" to you because of your ignorance.

>1. This is a fairly recent law (2003), and I was

>not aware of all the provisions of it.

LMAO,,,,go ahead and explain away your ignorance. Once again, I believe your own quotes were:

>"And you have the nerve to call me ignorant in
>the law. You don't even know the law in your

>own state."

Once again, it was you that was shown hyou know shit about the law, despite your boorish, insultive demeanor to those in the know and who have taught you better on two key issues. Over the past few weeks concerning the law, you insisted you were right, to the point that you couldn't control your emotive outbursts, resulting in insults and off-topic barbs. Then again, being wrong has always caused you to be the group's super freak.

>I was aware that speeding fines could be

>doubled, but not that they can cite for 1 MPH

>over.

That would be because of your interpretive skills akin to your five year old.
Another incident where your ignorance was responsible for you sucking shoe leather for over a week.
Case closed.

>You can be cited for anything a cop wants to

>cite you for.

That show leather is spilling out the side of your mouth...glad to see you admitting what you were denying for the last week. Your imaginary friends that you claimed agreed roger beeps were illegal strangely resemble your invoked leo's that "told" you the 5 MPH was mandatory. And to think it only toook over a week to teach you what everyone else already knew...twice.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

97. hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 17:32:13 UTC
From: Scott <scott@scotti.sh!t>
Message-ID: <0-ednaY9cICvZLjfRVn-jg@comcast.com>
Source record: 197122

I'm surprised giganews hasn't killed my subscription yet!

I'm still alive! I'm not even going to bother to catch up on the BS...

98. Re: hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 17:35:35 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4225F967.6E036E8A@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197123

This message has a working email, if you can unmunge it!

Scott wrote:
>
> I'm surprised giganews hasn't killed my subscription yet!
>
> I'm still alive! I'm not even going to bother to catch up on the BS...

99. Re: FS: RCI 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 17:49:50 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4225FCBE.8214AF3D@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197125

> Illegal keyclown gear reported to FCC. Thank you.

So be an ass....

Anyway, what good is a Connex 3300 AM-FM that transmits on the CW portion of 10 meters anyway? Except for the FM repeaters, the rest of the action there is on USB. That's a CB anyway you cut it!

Of course, who the hell puts black lettering on a dark background? I had to select all to read it!

100. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 17:50:19 UTC
From: Harv <Hdx@dxharvnone.com>
Message-ID: <d04u9j$14d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
news:JWjVd.27076$r55.10992@attbi_s52...
>
> "Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
> news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>> >> nothing
>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>
>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>> >
>> > Big difference.
>
>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>> LOL!
>
> I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
> 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
> law
> abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
> operators
> are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>

So what is your point?
```

101. Re: FS: RCI 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 17:55:30 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4225FE12.2994BC59@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197127

Google the phone number:
http://www.citylifeontario.com/kingston/community/computers.html
http://www.britcycle.com/Bikes/1971Triumph650.htm
http://www.members.kingston.net/stephen/vision.htm
http://www1.kingston.net/~na/radios.html

Busy, busy, busy...

102. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 17:57:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9lub21tt3bb84itqrtlm9j4pb62129g83n@4ax.com>
Source record: 197126

On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:31:25 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 01 Mar 2005 11:21:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
>news:9507-42249354-37 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>The line you posted above is in direct contradiction with the previous
>line you posted. For instance, above, your passage claims 55 MPH as the
>determining factor and speed, yet your previous passage gives no speed
>at all, and makes only reference to a speed recorded in excess of 6 MPH
>over the limit as the determining factor. Both sentences cite the same
>law, same paragraph applications, yet have two separate conclusions.
>They both can't be right.
>
>>I'm tired of wasting my time on this issue.
>
>That's because of your stubborn refusal to learn and ignorance insisting
>no one else can be right besides you, despite the number of people that
>continually correct you.

I am more than willing to admit a mistake (ala the roger beep issue)
when I am actually wrong. But in this case, you can "correct" me all
you want, and the only thing you'll accomplish is to illustrate just
how poorly your comprehensive skills are, and how ignorant you are of
Pennsylvania law.

>
>> He doesn't get it. He can't understand
>>conditionals, and that's why he feels there's an
>>apparent "contradiction" between paragraph 2
>>and 3 devices.
>
>There is,,,,and you are unable to comprehend it.

There is not. If you had normal comprehensive skills you'd understand
the difference. The more you talk about it, the more obvious your
inabilities become.

>.and you simply aren't up to date on it, despite your cries and whines
>about how it's your state and you know about the law.

But I'm right and you're not. Plain and simple.

> Same thing you
>said regarding the law about your hobby that you know nothing of and
>have to be shown, such as your claim that roger beeps were illegal based
>on your inability to find a law specifically permitting them.

There is no rule specifically permitting them. It then becomes a
subjective matter as to whether a roger beep could be classified as an
amusement or entertainment device. Absent a specific rule either way,
it becomes little more than speculation as to whether they are legal
or not. You ASSUMED they were. without anything authoritative to go
on. At least I had the sense to go to the FCC and have it clarified
once and for all.

>
>>and it specifies the
>>conditions by which a speed tolerance is
>>required to be given, which is most of the time.
>
>See what you are iunable to comprehend..

I comprehend just fine. It is you who cannot understand the difference
between a paragraph 2 and 3 device and why there are separate
tolerances specified for each.

>
>>The minimum tolerance is 5 MPH,
>
>Wrong,,,

Right. Read it again. Or better yet, have your mommy read (and then
explain) it to you.

>>and in certain other situations (Below 55 MPH
>>and using electronic devices OTHER than
>>RADAR), they have to increase that tolerance
>>to 10 MPH. It's not contradictory, it's in
>>addition to.

>No,,it's not.

Yes, it is.

>Your amended statutes also illustrate that no
>points are to be assigned until 10 MPH or
>more over, but it does not say that you can't
>be stopped and ticketed between 5 and 10
>MPH over in a radar zone.
>
>
>
>
>>Twisty's interpretive skills are not much better
>>than my 5 year old's.

>Although your need to be insultive is based upon your own incompetence
>and ignorance, ithere is no excuse for such when you are taught better
>each day by cber's who do know the law better than yourself.

You have poor comprehensive abilities, and you continually prove it on a daily basis. I used to think you deliberately twisted words as a sort of mind game. Now I'm beginning to think that you actually don't understand written words, and your "twisting" is the result of your inability to accurately comprehend what you read. The real hoot is that you then have the gaul to accuse others of having "communication's deficits" when it is you who has the problem. If outlining this simple fact is "insulting" to you, then so be it. Like I said many time over the years, I don't pull punches or mollycoddle people. If you can't handle the harsh reality, then I suggest you find someone else to "play" with.

The only thing you know about the law is how to make excuses for breaking it.

>Perhaps you
>can get your five year old to explain to you what everyone comprehends.

Now you fall back on your old standby, how "everyone agrees with me" fallacy.

You're alright, it's the rest of the world that's crazy........

>>It's no wonder he thinks the various laws
>>mean something different than what they
>>actually state, to those of us who CAN
>>interpret and comprehend what we read.

>Too bad these reading skills you speak of
>have prevented you from finding the current law.

I know the current law. What's your excuse?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

103. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 17:57:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <vjvb21lhrps23h5rb5un855r4g2fnp6ucf@4ax.com>
Source record: 197128

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 09:54:14 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:13636-4225DC4D-
>37@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
>
>
>
> but it does not say that you can't
>> be stopped and ticketed between 5 and 10
>> MPH over in a radar zone.
>
>This part of the statue begs to differ with your communication deficit.
>
>
> No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
> through the use of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and
> (3) unless the speed recorded is six or more miles per hour
> in excess of the legal speed limit.
>

Maybe if you use crayon drawings to illustrate this, he'll finally
understand.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

104. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 17:59:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <eovb21h5t4og2sues9g8ke7vd03or501nc@4ax.com>
Source record: 197129

On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:00:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<13636-4225E30E-47@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:

<snip>
>David, you have demostrated you know shit about anything. In fact, all
>your posts have been shown to be so far off reality, that all you have
>is a dirty little distorted little dream world comprised of imaginary
>and un-named supporters and leo's.
>All you have is specuation.

And his 'hobby' is psychology.....LOL! Well, I had a girlfriend for
many, many years that -is- a psychologist, and I learned more than I
wanted to learn while I helped her study in college (she graduated
Summa Cum Laude from her Master's program, BTW). So just for kicks,
over the weekend I went back and read several of his posts from over
the years. Here's -my- psychological assessment of Dave:

Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder)
Axis II 301.6 (dependent personality disorder, with avoidant features)

Dave has a strong histrionic component to his personality and a strong
need to be the focus of discussion and the center of attention. He is
immature, dependent (but does not recognize this), selfish and
extroverted. He rarely expresses overt anger and hostility. Instead,
he behaves in a passive-aggressive or passive-dependent manner and
displaces these emotions onto physical complaints. He suffers from a
variety of hypochondriacal maladies from which he gets considerable
secondary gain, and he uses them to validate his inability to respond
to daily responsibilities. He sees himself as normal and responsible,
just not functioning well due to all his physical problems. He denies
the possibility of a psychologoical conflict as the source of his
problems. He irritates others around him with his constant complaints
and irritability. He demands sympathy and attention from those around
him yet he continues to complain, and interventions are never enough
to break the pattern. When confronted by others about his problems,
repression and displacement are his primary defenses. He does not see
himself as emotionally dependent, but the people around him certainly
do, often feeling as if they need to "walk on eggshells" around him.
He has few close relationships, they are frequently co-dependent in
nature, and new relationships are difficult to establish because of
his irritability and his constant demands for attention.

IOW, Dave is a classic underachiever, an annoying attention-seeker,
and a malingering hypochondriac.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

105. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 18:26:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <m20c21hrgom47l2m6bcjil2up7veounmqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 197130

On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:00:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>
>>You do not know exactly what Jerry is doing,
>
>
>David, you have demostrated you know shit about anything.

Then prove me wrong by providing the proof that Jerry is doing what
you accuse him of.

>All you have is specuation.
>
>>and whether, the FCC is directly responding to
>>these alleged "tapes". Most of this is pure
>>speculation.
>
>
>No Dave,,,_most- of what you post is pure speculation, the rest is pure
>unadulterated bullshit.

Please try to keep your personal disdain in check. The fact that you
have been unable to prove me wrong about the speed laws in Pa, is no
reason to sling mud.

> As you illoustrated with your leo claim you have
>a pathological lying problem to the extent you need invent and conjure
>false support. Your ego dictates it.

You are the one making wild conspiratorial claims about Jerry O taping
people and turing them into the FCC resulting in busts. If that's not
bullshit or a lie then prove it. How do you KNOW this about Jerry?

>Flip side.
>Now, if one were to tape your call being poached on the freeband, and
>sent it to the FCC alleging you were breaking the law, the FCC has
>obligated itself, by virtue of its actions with Oxendine, to treat the
>dispositional actions of the complaint in THE EXACT SAME NATURE.
>
>>Logically, that makes sense, but.......
>
>BUT,,,,you do not deal with logic.

And you don't deal with reality, so we're even.

>ï¿½Of course, failure by the FCC to follow their now-established protcol
>could result in one bitch of a suit, and it is for this reason I am
>beginning to question whether this chief enforcement officer for the FCC
>has any legal background at all.
>
>>No offense, but I'm sure he has more of a
>>background in communications law,
>
>
>David, no offense, but you are sure of absolutely nothing. You prove it
>time and again.

You mean in the same way that you are unable to distinguish between
paragraph 2 and 3 devices as per Pa. statute 3368 (c)?

>
>
>>than someone who freebands and operates a
>>charter fishing service.
>
>And if you employed logic, you would find a corelation that exists only
>in the same mind that gives us conjured and animated giggles.

The one thing that's true about both fools and clowns is their
incessant giggling.

>
>>But you are basing your outcry on speculative
>>comments.
>
>
>Nope,,that's what you have always doen, adn are continuing to do,
>despite those of us that are in the know.

You know nothing. You're guessing.

>>It may likely be the case that the FCC may act
>>on "tips" received by people like Jerry, and
>>then gather additional evidence before
>>preparing an NAL.
>
>
>No,,,that's not likely at all.

So, you posit that the FCC will bust people without checking first?

>
>>So if someone sent in a tape of some
>>lamebrain using my call on the freeband,
>>they'll be sure to check it out first,
>
>
>You are wrong. There is no way for the FCC to prove whether a violation
>has occurred after reported by Oxendine.

Then why worry about it? You were the one who accused Jerry O of being primarily responsible for the FCC "busts" of truck companies based on little more evidence than his tape recordings.

>
>>and when they find that it isn't coming from my
>>state let alone my home, they'll drop the
>>matter.
>
>
>ALL the cases Jerry turned in were dropped, for that exact reason,,,,the
>FCC can prove nothing on his word.

The why are you trying to say that the busts that did occur were the result of Jerry's tapes? Either they did or they didn't. Which is it? Did Jerry have a hand in the truck company busts or not?

>I keep waiting for that super rich hammie to get busted by the FCC for
>some lame bullshit.
>
>>If he's guilty what's he going to do?
>
>Walk.

How can he walk if the FCC has the goods on him? Are you one of those people who believes the old Ozzie Meyers saying that if you have enough money in this country you can get away with anything? Not everyone is O.J. you know.

_
>ï¿½ï¿½Now you know how Oxendine spends his free time. One can see how he
>at least believes his actions are pro-active. Tell me that boy don't
>eat, sleep, breathes radio!

>>What proof do you have that Jerry O, does the
>>things you claim, to the degree you also
>>claim?
>
>
>Back to demanding answers before you provide for your own has never
>worked, David.

Another of your battle worn lame standby's. When taken to task to back up any of your wildly speculative claims, you attempt to skirt the issue by claiming that no one else has the right to know the truth of your claims.

Predictably you would probably next claim to provide anyone else the "proof" if they ask for it in E-Mail where, of course, it would be unable to be verified.

The truth is, that you're lying about Jerry.

You really need to seek out counseling for your habitual lying habit. It's pretty much your staple now. I'm sure everyone understands, which is why few people will engage in anything more substantive than small talk with you. I, on the other hand, enjoy watching you dance, predictably.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

106. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 18:28:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com>
Source record: 197131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
wrote:

>
>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>news:d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have nothing
>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>
>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>> >
>> > Big difference.
>
>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>> LOL!
>
>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of law
>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB operators
>are the same as criminal radio pirates!

Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

107. Re: hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 18:29:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jf1c215qudm348e6hfr3ktla5j9d3nd8kc@4ax.com>
Source record: 197132

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 12:32:13 -0500, Scott <scott@scotti.sh!t> wrote:

>I'm surprised giganews hasn't killed my subscription yet!
>
>I'm still alive! I'm not even going to bother to catch up on the BS...

Still playing with MURS?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

108. Re: Illegal Radio Gear For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 19:29:12 UTC
From: milhoussedss <milhoussedss@notarealemail.com>
Message-ID: <cuoVd.558572$6l.538636@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 197133
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

Sure it MAY be illegal to operate some of this equipment, in certain areas,
at certain frequencies, without a proper permit.
But the equipment itself is not illegal in any way.

"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
news:1uKdnQ0O2b8dTLjfRVn-vg@centurytel.net...
>
>
> fccmonitor@arrl.org wrote:
>>>please see the link below...
>>>
>>>http://members.kingston.net/na/radios.html
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> Reported to FCC
>
> WHY?
>

109. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 19:39:00 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109792340.454612.155550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197134

Dave Hall wrote:
> On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 18:41:06 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> > I am
> >beginning to question whether this chief enforcement officer for the
FCC
> >has any legal background at all.
>
> No offense, but I'm sure he has more of a background in
communications
> law, than someone who freebands and operates a charter fishing
> service.

rotflmfao

110. Re: Illegal Radio Gear For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 19:43:55 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109792635.716167.280940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197135
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

non-certified CB transceivers and CB linear amplifiers are illegal to
sell, fool.

milhoussedss wrote:
> Sure it MAY be illegal to operate some of this equipment, in certain
areas,
> at certain frequencies, without a proper permit.
> But the equipment itself is not illegal in any way.
>
> "whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
> news:1uKdnQ0O2b8dTLjfRVn-vg@centurytel.net...
> >
> >
> > fccmonitor@arrl.org wrote:
> >>>please see the link below...
> >>>
> >>>http://members.kingston.net/na/radios.html
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >> Reported to FCC
> >
> > WHY?
> >

111. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 19:47:51 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109792871.086878.86680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197136

Dave Hall wrote:
> On 27 Feb 2005 12:51:40 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
> <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> >> No I Am Not Him wrote:
> >> > Heard you on freeband. Have recording. Where do I send for qsl
> >card?
> >>
> >> His QSL manager is Playgirl magazine. If that doesn't work send it
> >via
> >> NAMBLA headquarters.
> >>
> >Will they know who he is?
> >
> >Twisted wrote:
> >>>Gee, I could use a contact in North Dakota to
> >>>finish off my "Worked All States" award. Think
> >>>I could use a freeband QSL card? ;-)
> >>
> >>The acceptance of the standard of proof is often the same.
> >>
> >I heard someone named twistedhed ID on freeband. Is that enough
proof?
>
> He was probably using my callsign.....
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

No call sign, he ID'd as "twisted, twisted, and more twisted" out of
"tampa bay". Got him on tape.

112. Re: Illegal Gear FS: RCI 2970 - n8basestation.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 19:50:30 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <bv5c21pau4s6kb7jgujjmnlhmr5seok234@4ax.com>
Source record: 197137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 1 Mar 2005 20:03:37 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Stebbie wrote:
>> please see the link below...
>>
>> http://members.kingston.net/na/radios.html
>>
>> habbla lookie
>
>Illegal keyclown gear reported to FCC. Thank you.
>
Check out my CB base station good buddy. n8wwm set
it up for me.

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

113. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 20:10:07 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109794207.408185.146130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197138
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

CB operator charged under new city law

By KRISTIN GORDON, kgordon@nncogannett.com
The Eagle-Gazette Staff

Complaints from a group of neighbors experiencing interference on
household
appliances from phones and TVs to baby monitors have resulted in a
court
case against a local citizens band radio operator.

James A. Disbennet, 48, 427 Harrison Ave., is charged with operating a
CB
radio exceeding 4 watts, a first-degree misdemeanor, and two counts of
operating a CB radio without certification, a fourth-degree
misdemeanor.
Disbennet, whose handle is "Sugar Bear," answered a summons in
Fairfield
County Municipal Court last Tuesday and was released on a recognizance
bond.

In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the U.S. to
pass
such an ordinance, allowing the city to enforce rules set by the
Federal
Communication Commission regulating the strength of CB radios, said
Assistant City Law Director Dave Trimmer.

According to the ordinance, the definition of CB radio "includes all
private, two-way, short-distance voice communications service for
personal
or business activities of the general public."

In January, local residents began to log feedback problems they
experienced,
Trimmer said. Noise was reported on Harrison, Fifth and Washington
avenues.

One woman had problems almost every time she used her telephone. She
said it
interfered with calls such as learning a family member was in the
hospital.

Another woman heard interference over a baby monitor she keeps near her husband who suffered from a stroke. When she heard calls from a CB radio operator named "Sugar Bear" late at night, she would have to turn off the monitor so it wouldn't wake her husband.

"Complainants must have a log of the interference for a minimum of four weeks and there has to be more than one complainant in order to file charges," Trimmer said.

After a phone conversation with a woman on Harrison Avenue where he could hear interference himself, Trimmer went to the neighborhood to investigate, he said. He talked to a few individuals, including Disbennet, who said he was a CB radio operator but did not possess an amplifier to exceed the lawful power output.

"It's a hobby," Trimmer said. "Sometimes these hobbies get in the way of the rights of the neighbors."

On April 10, Tim Deitz, assistant superintendent of the city's Electrical, Communications and Signals Department, used a relative signal strength meter in the 400 block of Harrison Avenue to determine where interference was coming from. The signals he received came from Disbennet's home, which had a 40- to 50-foot antenna attached to it.

A search warrant was performed the next day by Lancaster police, who seized four pieces of CB radio equipment worth more than $1,000 from Disbennet's home.

"We're obviously treading on new ground," said Scott Wood, Disbennet's attorney. "He's not been given any type of option to defend himself. This is a big hobby for him, something he enjoys doing.

"It has him concerned, of course -- he could be facing jail time."

The maximum penalty for a first-degree misdemeanor is a $1,000 fine and 180 days in jail.

Wood also is concerned about the case, which he's just begun investigating.

"It's obviously a very interesting case -- this is the first ordinance of
its kind in the country," he said. "But apparently, this ordinance was
passed in August 2002 but was never published."

According to the ordinance, No. 30-02, it was passed by council Aug. 26 and
approved Aug. 28.

The city started looking into the problem nearly two years earlier after
neighbors on Talmadge Avenue started having problems, Trimmer said. The city
received a petition with 28 signatures and contacted the FCC repeatedly
about the problem of enforcement.

114. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 20:14:07 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <j8pVd.84307$tl3.13089@attbi_s02>
Source record: 197139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote in message
news:d04u9j$14d$0@pita.alt.net...

> So what is your point?

If you want to break the law, go ahead and be a pirate. See if you can avoid being caught and punished. But don't try to lump yourself in with hundreds of thousands of honest people who operate on the citizen bands legally. Pirates are NOT CBers, unless the "C" stands for "criminal" and the "B" stands for . . . . . ; well, you figure it out!

KAZ03494

115. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 20:26:59 UTC
From: Harv <Hdx@dxharvnone.com>
Message-ID: <d057faucl0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
news:j8pVd.84307$tl3.13089@attbi_s02...
>
> "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote in message
> news:d04u9j$14d$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>> So what is your point?
>
> If you want to break the law, go ahead and be a pirate. See if you can
> avoid
> being caught and punished. But don't try to lump yourself in with hundreds
> of thousands of honest people who operate on the citizen bands legally.
> Pirates are NOT CBers, unless the "C" stands for "criminal" and the "B"
> stands for . . . . . ; well, you figure it out!
>
> KAZ03494
>

Do you comprehend standard English?
No, I did not think you did, judging from your reply.

116. PileupBuster.com - Deadline is Friday Marth 4th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 20:28:08 UTC
From: erfurth@gmail.com
Message-ID: <36ba001b.0503021228.2d84988b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197141

The deadline for buying on the PileupBuster.com domain name is Midnight (pacific Time) on Friday March 4th, 2005.

Here are the details of the sale:

- - -

The "PileupBuster.com" domain name is For Sale.

For less then the price of an HF rig you can own the ultimate Ham Radio domain name.

The PileupBuster.com domain has immediate name recognition for everyone familiar with Ham Radio. The sales and marketing benefits of owning this domain are obvious.

The minimum offer on this domain is $1,250.00 (US Dollars). The PileupBuster.com domain name will be sold to the person, company or group that makes the highest offer. INTERNATIONAL OFFERS ARE OF COURSE WELCOME.

This is a Secure Auction. No one will see what you offer and you will not be able to see what others are offering.

You may begin submitting your offers on Saturday February 26. No offers will be accepted after 12:00 midnight (Pacific Time) on Friday March 4, 2005.

TO MAKE AN OFFER, GO TO: http://PileupBuster.com When the web page comes up, look right under the PileupBuster.com name. You will see "This domain is For Sale" then click on: "More detailsï¿½" You will then see a box to enter your offer. Enter your offer and then click "Make Offer" You will also be able to see the current traffic figures. The traffic counter was started on February 25th.

This sale is supervised and regulated by SEDO (sedo.com), a highly respected International domain sales company.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at: erfurth@gmail.com

Good luck.

73s,

Bill

117. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 20:30:17 UTC
From: Harv <Hdx@dxharvnone.com>
Message-ID: <d057lfuuh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>>news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>>> >> nothing
>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>>>
>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>>> >
>>> > Big difference.
>>>
>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>>> LOL!
>>>
>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>>law
>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>>operators
>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>
> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>

Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.
```

118. Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 20:45:13 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <2BpVd.1939$8k2.1508@fed1read03>
Source record: 197143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

King Zulu wrote:

>
> I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
> 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
> law abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
> operators are the same as criminal radio pirates!
it is true that a lot of them aren't. However, most of the new crowd coming up these days don't have the benefits of having a local "elmer" on the block to show them the rops.

Also, I may be a no-code tech, but that is because I chose that path. I did bother to learn code as a kid (part of a boy scout merit badge assignment) and I did have a CB license when I was 10 (1975). as for the finer points of the law the other poster quipped about: it is amazing what a little research and learning will get for you.

Too bad most of us who are the "old timers" can't be bothered with the younger set anymore. :(

Perhaps its time to make a change, get involved and at least try to contribute to better radio. it would be nice.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

119. Re: Illegal Radio Gear For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 20:53:30 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <272dneAcsrUjurvfRVn-2Q@centurytel.net>
Source record: 197144
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

Well it states that those only worked on the 10 and 12 meter bands and
hadn't been modified, so they are legal to use on the ham bands if you
had the proper license, tard.

No I Am Not Him wrote:
> non-certified CB transceivers and CB linear amplifiers are illegal to
> sell, fool.
>
> milhoussedss wrote:
>
>>Sure it MAY be illegal to operate some of this equipment, in certain
>
> areas,
>
>>at certain frequencies, without a proper permit.
>>But the equipment itself is not illegal in any way.
>>
>>"whoever" <whoever@wherever> wrote in message
>>news:1uKdnQ0O2b8dTLjfRVn-vg@centurytel.net...
>>
>>>
>>>fccmonitor@arrl.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>please see the link below...
>>>>
>>>>http://members.kingston.net/na/radios.html
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>Reported to FCC
>>>
>>>WHY?
>>>
>
>

120. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 20:59:01 UTC
From: BigScaryBob <bigscarybob@northendtattoo.com>
Message-ID: <uOpVd.26701$Ka6.186619@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 197145
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

AMERICAN..... who cares!

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109794207.408185.146130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
> CB operator charged under new city law
>
> By KRISTIN GORDON, kgordon@nncogannett.com
> The Eagle-Gazette Staff
>
> ----------
> ----
>
> Complaints from a group of neighbors experiencing interference on
> household
> appliances from phones and TVs to baby monitors have resulted in a
> court
> case against a local citizens band radio operator.
>
> James A. Disbennet, 48, 427 Harrison Ave., is charged with operating a
> CB
> radio exceeding 4 watts, a first-degree misdemeanor, and two counts of
> operating a CB radio without certification, a fourth-degree
> misdemeanor.
> Disbennet, whose handle is "Sugar Bear," answered a summons in
> Fairfield
> County Municipal Court last Tuesday and was released on a recognizance
> bond.
>
> In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the U.S. to
> pass
> such an ordinance, allowing the city to enforce rules set by the
> Federal
> Communication Commission regulating the strength of CB radios, said
> Assistant City Law Director Dave Trimmer.
>
> According to the ordinance, the definition of CB radio "includes all
> private, two-way, short-distance voice communications service for
> personal
> or business activities of the general public."
>

> In January, local residents began to log feedback problems they
> experienced,
> Trimmer said. Noise was reported on Harrison, Fifth and Washington
> avenues.
>
> One woman had problems almost every time she used her telephone. She
> said it
> interfered with calls such as learning a family member was in the
> hospital.
>
> Another woman heard interference over a baby monitor she keeps near
> her
> husband who suffered from a stroke. When she heard calls from a CB
> radio
> operator named "Sugar Bear" late at night, she would have to turn off
> the
> monitor so it wouldn't wake her husband.
>
> "Complainants must have a log of the interference for a minimum of
> four
> weeks and there has to be more than one complainant in order to file
> charges," Trimmer said.
>
> After a phone conversation with a woman on Harrison Avenue where he
> could
> hear interference himself, Trimmer went to the neighborhood to
> investigate,
> he said. He talked to a few individuals, including Disbennet, who said
> he
> was a CB radio operator but did not possess an amplifier to exceed the
> lawful power output.
>
> "It's a hobby," Trimmer said. "Sometimes these hobbies get in the way
> of the
> rights of the neighbors."
>
> On April 10, Tim Deitz, assistant superintendent of the city's
> Electrical,
> Communications and Signals Department, used a relative signal strength
> meter
> in the 400 block of Harrison Avenue to determine where interference
> was
> coming from. The signals he received came from Disbennet's home, which
> had a
> 40- to 50-foot antenna attached to it.
>
> A search warrant was performed the next day by Lancaster police, who
> seized
> four pieces of CB radio equipment worth more than $1,000 from
> Disbennet's

> home.
>
> "We're obviously treading on new ground," said Scott Wood, Disbennet's
> attorney. "He's not been given any type of option to defend himself.
> This is
> a big hobby for him, something he enjoys doing.
>
> "It has him concerned, of course -- he could be facing jail time."
>
> The maximum penalty for a first-degree misdemeanor is a $1,000 fine
> and
> 180
> days in jail.
>
> Wood also is concerned about the case, which he's just begun
> investigating.
>
> "It's obviously a very interesting case -- this is the first ordinance
> of
> its kind in the country," he said. "But apparently, this ordinance was
> passed in August 2002 but was never published."
>
> According to the ordinance, No. 30-02, it was passed by council Aug.
> 26
> and
> approved Aug. 28.
>
> The city started looking into the problem nearly two years earlier
> after
> neighbors on Talmadge Avenue started having problems, Trimmer said.
> The
> city
> received a petition with 28 signatures and contacted the FCC
> repeatedly
> about the problem of enforcement.
>

121. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 22:23:00 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d05e8qdiu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:2BpVd.1939$8k2.1508@fed1read03...
> King Zulu wrote:
>
>>
>> I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
>> 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>> law abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>> operators are the same as criminal radio pirates!
> it is true that a lot of them aren't. However, most of the new crowd
> coming
> up these days don't have the benefits of having a local "elmer" on the
> block to show them the ropes.
>
> Also, I may be a no-code tech, but that is because I chose that path. I
> did
> bother to learn code as a kid (part of a boy scout merit badge assignment)
> and I did have a CB license when I was 10 (1975). as for the finer points
> of the law the other poster quipped about: it is amazing what a little
> research and learning will get for you.
>
> Too bad most of us who are the "old timers" can't be bothered with the
> younger set anymore. :(
>
> Perhaps its time to make a change, get involved and at least try to
> contribute to better radio. it would be nice.
>

Another English-Challenged "expert."
and you need to check your routing headers.

122. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 22:25:37 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d05edn$dq6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
news:57qVd.85375$4q6.49466@attbi_s01...
>
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:2BpVd.1939$8k2.1508@fed1read03...
>> King Zulu wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
> early
>> > 60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty
>> > of
>> > law abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>> > operators are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>> it is true that a lot of them aren't. However, most of the new crowd
> coming
>> up these days don't have the benefits of having a local "elmer" on the
>> block to show them the rops.
>>
>> Also, I may be a no-code tech, but that is because I chose that path. I
> did
>> bother to learn code as a kid (part of a boy scout merit badge
>> assignment)
>> and I did have a CB license when I was 10 (1975). as for the finer points
>> of the law the other poster quipped about: it is amazing what a little
>> research and learning will get for you.
>>
>> Too bad most of us who are the "old timers" can't be bothered with the
>> younger set anymore. :(
>
> Eric -
> I can only speak for myself. I've been active in licensing. When doing
> contract work in other cities, I provided lunch-time instruction two noons
> a
> week for those interested in licenses. I also taught ham radio classes via
> my club in Ohio. Never mentioned the no-code option to those interested in
> getting a license; however, some students dropped the code training when
> they found out about the no-code option. OK by me, as long as VHF/UHF
> operation was really all they cared about. I also got the library in
> Columbus, IN, to let me give classes there at night. To the best of my
> knowledge, everyone who went up to Indianapolis to take their test passed
> the code and tech-level theory. Two passed at 13 wpm, even though the goal
> was only 5 wpm. I plan to start back doing class training through our

> local
> club, now that I'm settled into a new QTH. Right now, I make it a practice
> to try to work two or more slow-speed stations in the Novice band sections
> each week. Often I wind up working another Extra ham, who is also looking
> for beginners just like I am. But I do really enjoy the occasional "first
> contact ever" QSOs. For those stations that appear to be newcomers wanting
> to work some CW, I send out an unsolicited QSL. So that's my little part
> in
> trying to help out. :)
>

All that and a dime won't buy you a cup of coffee OR save ham radio
from itself.

123. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 22:28:29 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d05ej3$e3j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote in message news:cvvh69$63t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> since last year.
>

My contacts tell me he is under protection of a liberal activist judge.
Best thing for hams to do is either vote Republican or tell the Senate
Democrats to cease obstructing Bush's court nominees, then you
would not have problems like this.

124. unbiased, analytical psychological observation of David T. Hall Jr.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 23:50:01 UTC
From: Al Swearengen <mrswearengen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20050302235001.84249.qmail@web90108.mail.scd.yahoo.com>
Source record: 197175

Warning
This information is meant for the recipient only. If
you are not the intended recipient, please contact the
Administrative Department or Physician Agency
responsible for your contract.
Patient Assessment&#65533;
Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder) Axis II
301.6 (dependent
personality disorder, with avoidant features)&#65533;
Subject has strong histrionic component to his
personality and a strong
need to be the focus of discussion and center of
attention. Subject is
immature, dependent, selfish and
extroverted, resulting in passive/agressive ego
attachment.&#65533;Subject rarely expresses overt anger and
hostility,&#65533;embracing&#65533;passive-aggressive or
passive-dependent&#65533;dependencies.
Subject displaces&#65533;suppressed emotions onto physical
complaints.&#65533;Subject suffers from a
variety of&#65533;conjured maladies from&#65533;which subject
derives considerable
secondary gain, validating his inability to respond
to daily responsibilities and normal occurrences
associated with everyday life.&#65533;Subject sees himself as
normal and responsible, just
not functioning well due to physical
limitations.&#65533;Subject d enies
possibility of psychologoical conflict as&#65533;possible
source of his problems.
Subject&#65533;expresses delight in&#65533;agitation of those which
he associates as belonging to his realm.
Subject&#65533;demands sympathy and attention from others.
Subject&#65533;maintains he does not command&#65533;the respect he
deserves.
When confronted&#65533;in group therapy&#65533;concerning issues
other group members may have regarding Mr.
Hall,&#65533;repression and displacement are invoked
as&#65533;a&#65533;primary defense. Subject does not see
himself as emotionally dependent, despite family
member reports of the&#65533;need to "walk on eggshells"
around him. Subject
has few close relationships,&#65533;those of which&#65533;are

co-dependent in
nature.
Mr. Hall expresses traits of a classic schizoid
patient. Possible borderline
malingering hypochondriac. Subject expresses strong
deficits in communicative skills and is prone to
lending himself false support.

This patient was brought to my attention upon a family
intervention conducted by my esteemed colleague,
Professor _____ of the Forensics Psychology
Department at _____ Hospital located in
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Swore and bound to me upon
this day of our Lord____. ___,2005.

Subject: David T. Hall Jr.
Observation: Denial, paranoia, obsessive. Possible
bi-polarity.
Assessment: Personal issues continue to
Prognosis: Fair.
Recommendations: Continue group therapy 3X week.
Maintain current level of rx. Type, cross and evaluate
for possible Lithium candidate (90 days).

_____
Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

125. unbiased, analytical psychological observation of David T. Hall Jr.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-02 23:52:22 UTC
From: Al Swearengen <mrswearengen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20050302235222.21420.qmail@web90110.mail.scd.yahoo.com>
Source record: 197155

Warning
This information is meant for the recipient only. If
you are not the intended recipient, please contact the
Administrative Department or Physician Agency
responsible for your contract.
Patient Assessment&#65533;
Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder) Axis II
301.6 (dependent
personality disorder, with avoidant features)&#65533;
Subject has strong histrionic component to his
personality and a strong
need to be the focus of discussion and center of
attention. Subject is
immature, dependent, selfish and
extroverted, resulting in passive/agressive ego
attachment.&#65533;Subject rarely expresses overt anger and
hostility,&#65533;embracing&#65533;passive-aggressive or
passive-dependent&#65533;dependencies.
Subject displaces&#65533;suppressed emotions onto physical
complaints.&#65533;Subject suffers from a
variety of&#65533;conjured maladies from&#65533;which subject
derives considerable
secondary gain, validating his inability to respond
to daily responsibilities and normal occurrences
associated with everyday life.&#65533;Subject sees himself as
normal and responsible, just
not functioning well due to physical
limitations.&#65533;Subject d enies
possibility of psychologoical conflict as&#65533;possible
source of his problems.
Subject&#65533;expresses delight in&#65533;agitation of those which
he associates as belonging to his realm.
Subject&#65533;demands sympathy and attention from others.
Subject&#65533;maintains he does not command&#65533;the respect he
deserves.
When confronted&#65533;in group therapy&#65533;concerning issues
other group members may have regarding Mr.
Hall,&#65533;repression and displacement are invoked
as&#65533;a&#65533;primary defense. Subject does not see
himself as emotionally dependent, despite family
member reports of the&#65533;need to "walk on eggshells"
around him. Subject
has few close relationships,&#65533;those of which&#65533;are

co-dependent in
nature.
Mr. Hall expresses traits of a classic schizoid
patient. Possible borderline
malingering hypochondriac. Subject expresses strong
deficits in communicative skills and is prone to
lending himself false support.

This patient was brought to my attention upon a family
intervention conducted by my esteemed colleague,
Professor _____ of the Forensics Psychology
Department at _____ Hospital located in
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Swore and bound to me upon
this day of our Lord____. ___, 2005.

Subject: David T. Hall Jr.
Observation: Denial, paranoia, obsessive. Possible
bi-polarity.
Assessment: Personal issues continue to
Prognosis: Fair.
Recommendations: Continue group therapy 3X week.
Maintain current level of rx. Type, cross and evaluate
for possible Lithium candidate (90 days).

_____
Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

126. Re: FS: RCI 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 01:23:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2opc21liqebmm0r571jdaai1o4rukmcv1j@4ax.com>
Source record: 197149

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 12:49:50 -0500, Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:

>> Illegal keyclown gear reported to FCC. Thank you.

What is illegal? He is selling everything as ham.

Vinnie S.

127. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 01:24:39 UTC
From: guess <imnot@home.com>
Message-ID: <1109813078.753444@news>
Source record: 197150
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

QUOTE"In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the U.S. to pass"UNQUOTE. Hey moron, this is CANADA. We are the country ONTOP of the USA. If this were prison, the Americans would be our bitches!!!!

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1109794207.408185.146130@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
>
>
> CB operator charged under new city law
>
> By KRISTIN GORDON, kgordon@nncogannett.com
> The Eagle-Gazette Staff
>
> ----------
> ----
>
> Complaints from a group of neighbors experiencing interference on
> household
> appliances from phones and TVs to baby monitors have resulted in a
> court
> case against a local citizens band radio operator.
>
> James A. Disbennet, 48, 427 Harrison Ave., is charged with operating a
> CB
> radio exceeding 4 watts, a first-degree misdemeanor, and two counts of
> operating a CB radio without certification, a fourth-degree
> misdemeanor.
> Disbennet, whose handle is "Sugar Bear," answered a summons in
> Fairfield
> County Municipal Court last Tuesday and was released on a recognizance
> bond.
>
> In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the U.S. to
> pass
> such an ordinance, allowing the city to enforce rules set by the
> Federal
> Communication Commission regulating the strength of CB radios, said
> Assistant City Law Director Dave Trimmer.
>
> According to the ordinance, the definition of CB radio "includes all
> private, two-way, short-distance voice communications service for
> personal

> or business activities of the general public."
>
> In January, local residents began to log feedback problems they
> experienced,
> Trimmer said. Noise was reported on Harrison, Fifth and Washington
> avenues.
>
> One woman had problems almost every time she used her telephone. She
> said it
> interfered with calls such as learning a family member was in the
> hospital.
>
> Another woman heard interference over a baby monitor she keeps near her
> husband who suffered from a stroke. When she heard calls from a CB
> radio
> operator named "Sugar Bear" late at night, she would have to turn off
> the
> monitor so it wouldn't wake her husband.
>
> "Complainants must have a log of the interference for a minimum of four
> weeks and there has to be more than one complainant in order to file
> charges," Trimmer said.
>
> After a phone conversation with a woman on Harrison Avenue where he
> could
> hear interference himself, Trimmer went to the neighborhood to
> investigate,
> he said. He talked to a few individuals, including Disbennet, who said
> he
> was a CB radio operator but did not possess an amplifier to exceed the
> lawful power output.
>
> "It's a hobby," Trimmer said. "Sometimes these hobbies get in the way
> of the
> rights of the neighbors."
>
> On April 10, Tim Deitz, assistant superintendent of the city's
> Electrical,
> Communications and Signals Department, used a relative signal strength
> meter
> in the 400 block of Harrison Avenue to determine where interference was
> coming from. The signals he received came from Disbennet's home, which
> had a
> 40- to 50-foot antenna attached to it.
>
> A search warrant was performed the next day by Lancaster police, who
> seized
> four pieces of CB radio equipment worth more than $1,000 from
> Disbennet's
> home.

>
> "We're obviously treading on new ground," said Scott Wood, Disbennet's
> attorney. "He's not been given any type of option to defend himself.
> This is
> a big hobby for him, something he enjoys doing.
>
> "It has him concerned, of course -- he could be facing jail time."
>
> The maximum penalty for a first-degree misdemeanor is a $1,000 fine and
> 180
> days in jail.
>
> Wood also is concerned about the case, which he's just begun
> investigating.
>
> "It's obviously a very interesting case -- this is the first ordinance
> of
> its kind in the country," he said. "But apparently, this ordinance was
> passed in August 2002 but was never published."
>
> According to the ordinance, No. 30-02, it was passed by council Aug. 26
> and
> approved Aug. 28.
>
> The city started looking into the problem nearly two years earlier
> after
> neighbors on Talmadge Avenue started having problems, Trimmer said. The
> city
> received a petition with 28 signatures and contacted the FCC repeatedly
> about the problem of enforcement.
>

128. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 01:33:59 UTC
From: M-Tech <mechtechllc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UbidnfhpkMUX9LvfRVn-2Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 197151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

yeah. I know all about it. It's a STORY just like you said. 40k a day in sales....linears to 10k watts...BAAHAHAHAHAHAAHHAA.

Don
313

"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote in message news:cvvh69$63t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
> A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> since last year.
>
>
>

129. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 01:36:50 UTC
From: "Capt 'Wild' Bill Kelso, USAAC" <SkyCaptain@WorldOfTomorow.com>
Message-ID: <42266A2A.3388E417@WorldOfTomorow.com>
Source record: 197152
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

guess wrote:
>
> QUOTE"In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the U.S. to
> pass"UNQUOTE. Hey moron, this is CANADA. We are the country ONTOP of the
> USA. If this were prison, the Americans would be our bitches!!!!

And if this were a house, you'd be livin in the attic..

I am BillGatus of Borg. Resistance is futile. You will be assim
[General Protection Fault]

130. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 02:09:10 UTC
From: skypilot <dajohn524@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aluVd.558260$Xk.155243@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 197153
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

Capt 'Wild' Bill Kelso, USAAC wrote:

>guess wrote:
>
>
>>QUOTE"In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the U.S. to
>>pass"UNQUOTE. Hey moron, this is CANADA. We are the country ONTOP of the
>>USA. If this were prison, the Americans would be our bitches!!!!
>>
>>
>
>And if this were a house, you'd be livin in the attic..
>
>--
>I am BillGatus of Borg. Resistance is futile. You will be assim
>[General Protection Fault]
>
>
No wonder it is so drafty and cold ;-)

Adios

131. Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 02:32:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <votc21h8an8b39o66r75npoftopa3mfb2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 197154

I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am going to have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice.

Vinnie S.

132. GET QUICK MONEY EASY AND LEGAL HERE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 03:10:06 UTC
From: russellbritney@aol.com
Message-ID: <1109819406.759437.71690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197156

Date: FEB 2005 Author: B. Russell Subject: MAKE QUICK EASY MONEY FAST! EARN LOADS OF MONEY, HONESTLY, FAIRLY, AND LEGALLY Greetings All, MAINTAIN DISCIPLINE, HONESTY AND PATIENCE...Above all else FOCUS! I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it: A little while back, I was browsing through news groups and e-mails just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you can make thousand of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00. So I thought, "yeah right, this must be a scam," but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the six names and addresses stated in the article. You then place your own name and address on the bottom of the list and post the article in at least 200 news groups. {There are thousands}. No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured, "What have I got to lose; except six stamps and $6.00 right, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. WELL GUESS WHAT?.....Within seven days, I started getting money in the mail I was in awe. I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my second week I grossed only $17.00. Several weeks thereafter I had collected approximately one thousand dollars. In each consecutive week I am averaging more than the preceding week and it continues to grow. Currently, I have made a few thousands and it is still coming rapidly. It is certainly worth the six-dollar investment and six stamps, believe it or not I have spent more on lottery with no returns. Let me explain how this works and most important, why it works.....Also, make sure you print this article out NOW, so you can get the information. STOP NOW: Print this article out so you can get the information you need off of it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy. Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully, and follow the simple directions and watch the money come in. It is easy, It is legal, and your investment is only $6.00 plus postage. Important: This is not a rip-off, it is decent, it is legal; and it virtually without risk- it really works! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow the directions EXACTLY, and 50-thousand-dollars or more can be yours within (3) months. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become a part of the Mail Order business. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, this money made from the mailing lists is secondary to income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included on that list. page one ere Are The Four Easy Steps To Success: Get six (6)

separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper. "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get six (6) U.S.1.00 bills and place One inside of Each of the six pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the six envelopes and seal them with the dollar bill enclosed. You now should have six sealed envelopes each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase with your name and address written thereon [don't forget to enclose the $1.00]. What you are doing is creating a service-THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it. Clearly, I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. Therefore, I checked it out with the U.S. Postal Service (1-800-275-8777) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal. Mail the six envelopes to the following addresses: [1] J. Force 701 SE 15th Street # 8 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33316 [2] Myla Lette 400 S Kingsley Dr.#304 Los Angeles, CA 90020-3224 [3] Mrs. Florence Y. Moore 400 S. Kingsley Drive #304 Los Angeles, CA 90020-3224 [4] A. Person P.O. Box # 5156 Philadelphia, PA 19141-5156 [5] Andrew Biela 3776 Capitol Warren, Michigan 48091 [6] Britney Russell 1812 Garrison Plantation Marietta GA, 30060. Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (six becomes 5, and 5 becomes 4, and etc.) and add YOUR NAME as number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to but try to keep this article as close to the original as possible. Now post your amended article to at least 200 news groups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups). Page two All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal. If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Section 1302 & 1341 of the Postal Lottery Laws. Keep a copy of these directions for yourself and whenever you need money you can reuse it again. Please Remember: That this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to directions. Look at it this way, if you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. Also, it is a good practice to wrap the $1.00 bill in dark paper to avoid the risk of mail theft. So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, all members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will be moved up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving Thousand of Dollars in Cash! What an opportunity for only six-dollars ($6) one-dollar ($!) for each of the six people listed above. Send it now, add your own name to the list and you are in business. Directions For How To Post To News Groups You do not need to retype this letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select copy from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory. Open a blank notepad file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the edit menu select paste. This will

paste a copy of the letter into the notepad so that you will add your name to the list. Save your new notepad file as a text File, if you want to do your posting in different settings, you will always have this file to go back to. Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various new groups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions). Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You are finished You have finished your first posting. Congratulations! THAT'S IT. All you have to do is jump news groups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each news group. ***REMEMBER THE MORE NEWS GROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!*** IT IS A MOST FOR YOU TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200. "That's It" You will begin receiving money from around the world within days. You may eventually want to rent a P.O. Box due to the heavy volume of mail you will be receiving. If you wish to remain anonymous you can adopt another name to use, if it agreeable with the postman to deliver the mail. MAKE CERTAIN THAT ALL ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT. Now the Why part: Assume for example you get a 7.5% return, which is very conservative. Please view the following estimated breakdowns page three Estimated breakdowns: When you mail 200 letters, 15 people will send you $1.00 Those 15 mail out 200 letters, 225 people will mail you $1.00 Those 225 mail out 200 letters, 3,375 people will mail you $1.00 Those 3,375 mail out 200 letters, 50,625 people will mail you $1.00 Those 50,625 mail out 200 letters, 759,375 people will mail you $1.00 By now your name has dropped off the list, but so far you have received at least $759,375. With an original investment of only $6.00 [plus postage]. AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you take the latest posting in the news groups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting you name at number six [6] again. And start posting again. You have to remember and realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the Internet and reading these articles every day, JUST LIKE YOU right now. So you can get $6.00 and see if it really works. People have said, "What if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest people who are joining every day and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20 to 50-thousand new users, everyday, with thousands of those joining the actual Internet, Remember, play FAIRLY AND HONESTLY and this will really work. Sincerely, Subject: RE: MAKE QUICK EASY MONEY P.S. Hereafter, all confirmations of your name being placed on the "mailing list" will no longer be mailed to you directly due to the postage increase. You must have an E-mail address or access to an e-mail address to be notified of your name being placed on the "Mailing List." Don't forget to enclose an e-mail address along with your address. page four

133. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 03:15:07 UTC
From: guess <imnot@home.com>
Message-ID: <1109819700.637614@news>
Source record: 197157
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

NO, you'd be in the basement, and we'd be in the spacious first level where
the jacuzzi and the hot tub are!!

"Capt 'Wild' Bill Kelso, USAAC" <SkyCaptain@WorldOfTomorow.com> wrote in
message news:42266A2A.3388E417@WorldOfTomorow.com...
> guess wrote:
>>
>> QUOTE"In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the U.S. to
>> pass"UNQUOTE. Hey moron, this is CANADA. We are the country ONTOP of the
>> USA. If this were prison, the Americans would be our bitches!!!!
>
> And if this were a house, you'd be livin in the attic..
>
> ---
> I am BillGatus of Borg. Resistance is futile. You will be assim
> [General Protection Fault]

134. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 03:27:26 UTC
From: BigScaryBob <bigscarybob@northendtattoo.com>
Message-ID: <DuvVd.26779$Ka6.187458@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 197158
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

No, the Mexicans would be in the basement and the Americans would be on
the main floor.
The Americans would be out cutting all the neighbor's lawns for their
own profit, and when no one was looking they would be stealing from
neighbor's mailboxes.
All the while the Americans would be pissed off at us, because we're not
watching for when the neighbors start throwing fire bombs at the main
floor.

"guess" <imnot@home.com> wrote in message news:1109819700.637614@news...
> NO, you'd be in the basement, and we'd be in the spacious first level
> where the jacuzzi and the hot tub are!!
>
>
> "Capt 'Wild' Bill Kelso, USAAC" <SkyCaptain@WorldOfTomorow.com> wrote
> in message news:42266A2A.3388E417@WorldOfTomorow.com...
>> guess wrote:
>>>
>>> QUOTE"In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the
>>> U.S. to
>>> pass"UNQUOTE. Hey moron, this is CANADA. We are the country ONTOP of
>>> the
>>> USA. If this were prison, the Americans would be our bitches!!!!
>>
>> And if this were a house, you'd be livin in the attic..
>>
>> ---
>> I am BillGatus of Borg. Resistance is futile. You will be assim
>> [General Protection Fault]
>
>

135. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 03:36:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <Cuqdnex50ZWhFbvfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 197159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:

> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the law.
>>>>A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
>>>>since last year.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>>>
>>>Next.
>>
>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
>>it will be clean.
>>
>
> Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.

And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a "clean"
signal.

136. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 04:03:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050302230320.769$8n@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >>>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
> >>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> >>>>daily, since last year.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >>>
> >>>Next.
> >>
> >>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
> >>it will be clean.
> >>
> >
> > Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>
> And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a "clean"
> signal.
>
Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
```

137. Re: FS: RCI 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 04:04:29 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109822669.873714.59220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197161

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 12:49:50 -0500, Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
wrote:
>
> >> Illegal keyclown gear reported to FCC. Thank you.
>
>
> What is illegal? He is selling everything as ham.
>
> Vinnie S.

"10 meter" radios with roger beep and echo??? hams use those??? viniie
your a bad liar lol

138. Re: FS: RCI 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 04:09:17 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109822957.237004.109730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197162

Scott wrote:
> Google the phone number:
> http://www.citylifeontario.com/kingston/community/computers.html
> http://www.britcycle.com/Bikes/1971Triumph650.htm
> http://www.members.kingston.net/stephen/vision.htm
> http://www1.kingston.net/~na/radios.html
>
> Busy, busy, busy...

all stolen stuff lol

139. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 04:12:18 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109823138.474578.273690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Steveo wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
interstate
> > >>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps.
and
> > >>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have
been
> > >>>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in
the
> > >>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in
sales
> > >>>>daily, since last year.
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> > >>>
> > >>>Next.
> > >>>
> > >>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any
good,
> > >>>>it will be clean.
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>> Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> > >
> > > And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
"clean"
> > > signal.
> > >
> > Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.

It works illegally.

140. Re: hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 07:08:46 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4226B7FE.D1CA8DDF@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197164

> Still playing with MURS?

Want to buy a 19-1210? I've got a few IC-2100's. Blows the doors
off the 19-1210 for receive. Low power is 5 watts. Not enough to
make the g-man mad! No one on the lower 3, and the last 2 are used
by local businesses. I leave them be. No action here on MURS. Most
of the CB'ers have gone ham, and the last few CB'ers have asked where
everybody went. I tell them to scan from 144 to 148 hooked up to their
CB antenna. They need the height to hear simplex and distant repeaters.

5 watts will hit repeaters 50+ miles away from the mobile.
10 watts makes for a solid copy. 55 watts and a portable 3 element
beam, well that can win VHF contests in the mobile category!

It only took 2 months to realize the VHF FM travels farther locally
then 11 meters does. I can talk places simplex that 11 can't do!

Go figure...

141. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 07:14:36 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4226B95C.382088FF@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197165

> I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am going to
> have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice.

Don't it suck that the rest of the world takes the provisions of WRC'03
to hand quickly, while our own country takes it's good old time, while
blessing BPL. Something that all the other countries have said "no" to.

This from the country that drives on a parkway and parks on a driveway!

142. OK, who's the joker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 07:30:49 UTC
From: Peter <bra&#65533;nbuster@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <4226c298.0@entanet>
Source record: 197166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Does the name "citizensband.radiouk.com" suggest a food related site?

Only, I have just been looking through the list of failed search phrases
from the site...
Mushy Peas, my arse.

Someone's 'avin a larf :~)

Regards,

Peter
http://www.citizensband.radiouk.com/

143. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 11:43:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <51ud21hf51rs44dai49vjqpsdrfa2spmil@4ax.com>
Source record: 197167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>>>news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>
>>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>>>> >> nothing
>>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>>>
>>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal pirate
>>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>>>> >
>>>> > Big difference.
>>>
>>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>>>> LOL!
>>>
>>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the early
>>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>>>law
>>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>>>operators
>>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>>
>> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
>> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>
>
>Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
```

Yes they do, where they apply.

>Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
>absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.

Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on the sheep's
clothes.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

144. Re: hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 11:55:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <87ud21ldcj6o8gfnja9ih2br4cilmdqb7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 197168

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 02:08:46 -0500, Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
wrote:

>> Still playing with MURS?
>
>Want to buy a 19-1210? I've got a few IC-2100's. Blows the doors
>off the 19-1210 for receive. Low power is 5 watts. Not enough to
>make the g-man mad! No one on the lower 3, and the last 2 are used
>by local businesses. I leave them be. No action here on MURS.

I've found pretty much the same thing. The upper 2 freqs are still in
use by old business users. The lower three are dead. I had hoped for a
old time "CB-like" atmosphere up there, but so far, there's not much
interest.

>Most
>of the CB'ers have gone ham, and the last few CB'ers have asked where
>everybody went. I tell them to scan from 144 to 148 hooked up to their
>CB antenna. They need the height to hear simplex and distant repeaters.
>
>5 watts will hit repeaters 50+ miles away from the mobile.
>10 watts makes for a solid copy. 55 watts and a portable 3 element
>beam, well that can win VHF contests in the mobile category!
>
>It only took 2 months to realize the VHF FM travels farther locally
>then 11 meters does. I can talk places simplex that 11 can't do!

That's amazing isn't it? I found out the same thing when I first
started playing with 2 meters 20-some years ago. Point-point
communications range from base stations (especially with multi-element
beams), is much better (watt for watt) than what I used to get on CB.
A 2 watt HT can hit repeaters 10 or 20 miles away. However, mobile to
mobile, or base to mobile simplex is a bit more dicey on 2 meters.
There's a lot more multipath flutter (If, like me, you live near a
bunch of hills), and 11 meters usually works better for that. It all
comes down to terrain.

Have you tried SSB on 2M yet? That's even more fun, especially in the
summer when there are tropo ducts practically every day. It's not
uncommon to work most of the east coast with 300 watts and a set of 13
element beams.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

>
>Go figure...

145. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 12:57:07 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <23vd215o5cp4f2888u8ks0i3q5m2gj5a2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 197169

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 09:59:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:00:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
><13636-4225E30E-47@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:
>
><snip>
>>David, you have demostrated you know shit about anything. In fact, all
>>your posts have been shown to be so far off reality, that all you have
>>is a dirty little distorted little dream world comprised of imaginary
>>and un-named supporters and leo's.
>>All you have is specuation.
>
>
>And his 'hobby' is psychology.....LOL! Well, I had a girlfriend for
>many, many years that -is- a psychologist, and I learned more than I
>wanted to learn while I helped her study in college (she graduated
>Summa Cum Laude from her Master's program, BTW). So just for kicks,
>over the weekend I went back and read several of his posts from over
>the years. Here's -my- psychological assessment of Dave:

Hello Frank. Is it coincidental that after I took a 5 day business
trip, and haven't posted in as much time, you have also been absent?
Is it equally coincidental that you waited to post again until I
returned? And to defend your old "nemesis turned buddy" twisty. I'd
be concerned that, like him, you may be starting to fixate on me just
a little too much. That's not healthy you know....

It's also interesting to learn that you returned to see someone, by
which you had a failed relationship with, for the sole purpose of
discussing me. This says more about your developing fixation than what
you posted subsequently. Maybe that's the difference between you and
I. I view this newsgroup as a temporary distraction. When I turn it
off, I go about my business and life. You seem to take this newsgroup
a little too seriously, if you have to discuss what goes on here with
someone you once felt comfortable just to gain a little moral support.

But you have forced me to consider that this is a lie for a few
glaring reasons. The most prominent is that any licensed practitioner
of psychology would not be so reckless and irresponsible as to offer
up a complete clinical diagnoses based on context based written works
alone, while making assumptions about certain facets of "the
subject's" life which are generally not known. The internet is a 2
dimensional world, you can't make a 3 dimensional diagnoses.

>Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder)
>Axis II 301.6 (dependent personality disorder, with avoidant features)
>
>Dave has a strong histrionic component to his personality and a strong
>need to be the focus of discussion and the center of attention. He is
>immature, dependent (but does not recognize this), selfish and
>extroverted. He rarely expresses overt anger and hostility. Instead,
>he behaves in a passive-aggressive or passive-dependent manner and
>displaces these emotions onto physical complaints. He suffers from a
>variety of hypochondriacal maladies from which he gets considerable
>secondary gain, and he uses them to validate his inability to respond
>to daily responsibilities. He sees himself as normal and responsible,
>just not functioning well due to all his physical problems. He denies
>the possibility of a psychologoical conflict as the source of his
>problems. He irritates others around him with his constant complaints
>and irritability. He demands sympathy and attention from those around
>him yet he continues to complain, and interventions are never enough
>to break the pattern. When confronted by others about his problems,
>repression and displacement are his primary defenses. He does not see
>himself as emotionally dependent, but the people around him certainly
>do, often feeling as if they need to "walk on eggshells" around him.
>He has few close relationships, they are frequently co-dependent in
>nature, and new relationships are difficult to establish because of
>his irritability and his constant demands for attention.

My god, I've been found out! Oh the shame, the horrors! Where's Dr.
Smith when you need him? Oh dear, Oh the pain, the pain.....

I'm especially impressed that you managed to fix that crystal ball of
yours in order to ascertain the extent of my physical condition, and
also how my friends, co-workers and family view me, since this sort of
personal information is not ascertainable from the content of my
posts.

Or could it be another simple case of..... Projection!

You decide.....

Which brings up a question, Why aren't you married Frank? Are you
someone who just hasn't found that special "someone" yet? Or perhaps
your standards are too high? Or could it be that you have your own
"issues"? You do have your bitter cynical side. One could conclude
that you're bordering on PAPD.

>IOW, Dave is a classic underachiever, an annoying attention-seeker,
>and a malingering hypochondriac.

And on the subject of underachieving, who's the one supposedly with
the EE degree who's now tending bar?

Before casting that first stone in another's direction, it's prudent
to make sure that your own glass house is in order first. Perhaps what
you think you see in me is simply confusion over the results of your
own introspection.

Oh, and the next time you see your "psychologist" and feel the need to
discuss me again, ask her to explain the Myers-Briggs personality
types ISTJ and ISTP. Those are the two types that I tend to swing
between. Maybe she'll want to revise her earlier diagnoses......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

146. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 13:26:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net>
Message-ID: <d073db$1t1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:51ud21hf51rs44dai49vjqpsdrfa2spmil@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>>>>news:d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>
>>>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>>>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>>>>> >> nothing
>>>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>>>>
>>>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal
>>>>> > pirate
>>>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Big difference.
>>>>
>>>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>>>>> LOL!
>>>>
>>>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
>>>>early
>>>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>>>>law
>>>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>>>>operators
>>>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>>>
>>> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
>>> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

>>>
>>
>>
>>Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
>
> Yes they do, where they apply.
>
>>Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
>>absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.
>
> Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on the sheep's
> clothes.....
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>

Hillary Clinton = A Socialist in liberal clothing who is moving to the
right.

147. Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 13:56:01 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net>
Message-ID: <d074ue$90t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection of a liberal activist judge who is protecting the rights of undocumented alien employees. Typical of hams, they prefer to whine in various forums and on the air, rather than writing a letters to their senators and voicing their objections about the obstructionist liberal Democrats in the U.S. Senate who are blocking Bush's court nominees with threatened filibusters. The same liberal activist judge is allowing other area dealers to sell previously illegal CB equipment as well, as long as there are no references to God in the stores or on the equipment.

73,

Lloyd

148. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 14:01:23 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <516e21h13jbrfpnlt1k8hb0rsi6lkm6dn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 197172

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 02:14:36 -0500, Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:

>> I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am going to
>> have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice.
>
>Don't it suck that the rest of the world takes the provisions of WRC'03
>to hand quickly, while our own country takes it's good old time, while
>blessing BPL. Something that all the other countries have said "no" to.
>
>This from the country that drives on a parkway and parks on a driveway!

It's doesn't matter to me. I won't use it, and have no interest in it. You can learn it fairly easily at 5 wpm. Just not in the time I have to pass the test. I probablt need closer to a month of practice. I have only had about 1 solid week.

What they should do is make it optional for code people, and give a real test. Then you can only transmit code if you take the test. But I don't want to wait that long.

Vinnie S.

149. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 14:35:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <r48e21dmjl9is0itl99gjt81bcekfq9vnk@4ax.com>
Source record: 197173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 08:26:17 -0500, "Psychiatrist-To-Hams"
<HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net> wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:51ud21hf51rs44dai49vjqpsdrfa2spmil@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
>>>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
>>>>>news:d02qce$ktl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
>>>>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
>>>>>> >> nothing
>>>>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
>>>>>
>>>>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal
>>>>>> > pirate
>>>>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> > Big difference.
>>>>>
>>>>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of law.
>>>>>> LOL!
>>>>>
>>>>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
>>>>>early
>>>>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty of
>>>>>law
>>>>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
>>>>>operators
>>>>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
>>>>
>>>> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
>>>> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
```

>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
>>
>> Yes they do, where they apply.
>>
>>>Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
>>>absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.
>>
>> Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on the sheep's
>> clothes.....
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>
>
>Hillary Clinton = A Socialist in liberal clothing who is moving to the
>right.
>

On that, I will agree 100%.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

150. analytical unbiased analysis of David T. Hall Jr.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 15:17:09 UTC
From: Al Swearengen <mrswearengen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20050303151709.41488.qmail@web90102.mail.scd.yahoo.com>
Source record: 197212

Warning
This information is meant for the recipient only. If
you are not the intended recipient, please contact the
Administrative Department or Physician Agency
responsible for your contract.
Patient Assessment
Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder) Axis II
301.6 (dependent
personality disorder, with avoidant features)
Subject has strong histrionic component to his
personality and a strong
need to be the focus of discussion and center of
attention. Subject is
immature, dependent, selfish and
extroverted, resulting in passive/agressive ego
attachment. Subject rarely expresses overt anger and
hostility, embracing passive-aggressive or
passive-dependent dependencies.
Subject displaces suppressed emotions onto physical
complaints. Subject suffers from a
variety of conjured maladies from which subject
derives considerable
secondary gain, validating his inability to respond
to daily responsibilities and normal occurrences
associated with everyday life. Subject sees himself as
normal and responsible, just
not functioning well due to physical
limitations. Subject d enies
possibility of psychologoical conflict as possible
source of his problems.
Subject expresses delight in agitation of those which
he associates as belonging to his realm.
Subject demands sympathy and attention from others.
Subject maintains he does not command the respect he
deserves.
When confronted in group therapy concerning issues
other group members may have regarding Mr.
Hall, repression and displacement are invoked
as a primary defense. Subject does not see
himself as emotionally dependent, despite family
member reports of the need to "walk on eggshells"
around him. Subject
has few close relationships, those of which are
co-dependent in

nature.
Mr. Hall expresses traits of a classic schizoid patient. Possible borderline malingering hypochondriac. Subject expresses strong deficits in communicative skills and is prone to lending himself false support.

This patient was brought to my attention upon a family intervention conducted by my esteemed colleague, Professor _____ of the Forensics Psychology Department at _____ Hospital located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Swore and bound to me upon this day of our Lord____. ___, 2005.

Subject: David T. Hall Jr.
Observation: Denial, paranoia, obsessive. Possible bi-polarity.
Assessment: Personal issues continue to
Prognosis: Fair.
Recommendations: Continue group therapy 3X week. Maintain current level of rx. Type, cross and evaluate for possible Lithium candidate (90 days).

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

151. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 15:33:51 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15999-42272E5F-198@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197174

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
>Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on

>the sheep's clothes.....

But you were never any good at it. Besides, in addition to that, you
have other issues dogging you right now.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cv

152. Re: unbiased, analytical psychological observation of David T. Hall Jr.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 16:05:18 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <jade2115fpbbtdridkup8bv6f7p2kp12a4@4ax.com>
Source record: 197176

Hey Al i bet Calamity Jane smells like sex ay? I
sure would enjoy harvisting her hair onions!!
(tossing her salad)

On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:50:01 -0800 (PST), Al
Swearengen <mrswearengen@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Warning
>This information is meant for the recipient only. If
>you are not the intended recipient, please contact the
>Administrative Department or Physician Agency
>responsible for your contract.
>Patient Assessmentï¿½
>Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder) Axis II
>301.6 (dependent
>personality disorder, with avoidant features)ï¿½
>Subject has strong histrionic component to his
>personality and a strong
>need to be the focus of discussion and center of
>attention. Subject is
>immature, dependent, selfish and
>extroverted, resulting in passive/agressive ego
>attachment.ï¿½Subject rarely expresses overt anger and
>hostility,ï¿½embracingï¿½passive-aggressive or
>passive-dependentï¿½dependencies.
>Subject displacesï¿½suppressed emotions onto physical
>complaints.ï¿½Subject suffers from a
>variety ofï¿½conjured maladies fromï¿½which subject
>derives considerable
>secondary gain, validating his inability to respond
>to daily responsibilities and normal occurrences
>associated with everyday life.ï¿½Subject sees himself as
>normal and responsible, just
>not functioning well due to physical
>limitations.ï¿½Subject d enies
>possibility of psychologoical conflict asï¿½possible
>source of his problems.
>Subjectï¿½expresses delight iniï¿½agitation of those which
>he associates as belonging to his realm.
>Subjectï¿½demands sympathy and attention from others.
>Subjectï¿½maintains he does not commandï¿½the respect he
>deserves.
>When confrontedï¿½in group therapyï¿½concerning issues
>other group members may have regarding Mr.
>Hall,ï¿½repression and displacement are invoked

>asï¿½aï¿½primary defense. Subject does not see
>himself as emotionally dependent, despite family
>member reports of theï¿½need to "walk on eggshells"
>around him. Subject
>has few close relationships,ï¿½those of whichï¿½are
>co-dependent in
>nature.
>Mr. Hallï¿½expresses traitsï¿½of aï¿½classic schizoid
>patient. Possible borderline
>malingering hypochondriac.ï¿½Subject expresses strong
>deficits in communicative skills and is prone to
>lending himself false support.
>ï¿½
>ï¿½
>This patient was brought to my attention upon a family
>intervention conducted by my esteemed colleague,
>Professor _____________ of the Forensics Psychology
>Department at _____ï¿½ Hospital located in
>Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Swore and bound to me upon
>this day of our Lord____. ___,ï¿½2005.
>ï¿½
>Subject: David T. Hall Jr.
>Observation: Denial, paranoia, obsessive. Possible
>bi-polarity.
>Assessment: Personal issues continue to
>Prognosis: Fair.
>Recommendations: Continue group therapy 3X week.
>Maintain current level of rx. Type, cross and evaluate
>for possible Lithium candidate (90 days).
>
>
>
>
>
>_____________________________
>Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
>Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
>http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

153. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 16:13:21 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16000-422737A1-77@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197177

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
>And his 'hobby' is psychology.....LOL! Well, I

>had a girlfriend for many, many years that -is-

>a psychologist, and I learned more than I

>wanted to learn while I helped her study in

>college (she graduated Summa Cum Laude

>from her Master's program, BTW). So just for

>kicks, over the weekend I went back and read

>several of his posts from over the years.

>Here's -my- psychological assessment of

>Dave:

>Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder)

>Axis II 301.6 (dependent personality disorder,

>with avoidant features)

>Dave has a strong histrionic component to his

>personality and a strong need to be the focus

>of discussion and the center of attention.

Recognized by his continual invoking countless unnamed/imaginary people
he claims agrees with his radical and bizarre erroneous claims, and by
refusing to take his personal problems off the group and to email.

>He is

>immature,

Illustrated with great contradictory posts..such as :

"I have decided to limit my posts to subjects which deal with cb
radio",followed by.....

"I would much rather discuss your behavior..." and a string of insults.

> dependent (but does not recognize

>this), selfish and extroverted.

Classic text book definition and perfectly demonstrated and illustrated by his obsession with the social aspect, insisting and redundantly repeating that cb is a direct reflection of the decline of the moral fabric of today's society. He is indeed touched.

>He rarely

>expresses overt anger and hostility. Instead,

>he behaves in a passive-aggressive or

>passive-dependent manner and displaces

>these emotions onto physical complaints.

He confirmed as much with :
"Human nature has historically shown those who feel wrong will lash out".

>He suffers from a variety of hypochondriacal

>maladies from which he gets considerable

>secondary gain,

Yes,,his maladies are illustrated in such gems as:

"I have no problem with the freeband"

"It makes you a federal criminal an admitted federal lawbreaker and 11 meter pirate. I get annoyed"

"My problem with freebanders is too many people break the law and try to trivialize it"

"I don't believe dxing is a big deal"

"Where do I engage in hypocrisy?"

>and he uses them to validate his inability to

>respond to daily responsibilities.

Like when he claims:

"I can't be expected to know all the laws"

"I'm a busy man. I can't keep track of all the laws"

"I know the law"

"Roger Beeps are illegal"

"and you have the nerve to call me ignorant of the law"

>He sees himself as normal and responsible,

And a false sense of superiority:

"I am more qualified than most here"

>just not functioning well due to all his physical

>problems.

>He denies the possibility of a psychologoical

>conflict as the source of his problems.

"when have I ever been rude?"

And his projection abounds:

"try to tell a nut he's crazy.."

This, despite a growing contingency of people from this group, quite a little list, actually, that have told David he has been very wrong in his claims.

> He irritates others around him with his

>constant complaints and irritability.

Illustrated by hostility and anger at self-realization of projection:
"Prove it or keep your trap shut"

"The only people I insult are those with mental issues"

>He demands sympathy and attention from

>those around him yet he continues to

>complain,

Such as:
"I have learned nothing"

And when trying to educate him on yet more federal laws in regards to national enforcement, he insisted (DOT) such did not exist::

"Name a federal agency charged with enforcing traffic regulation"

> and interventions are never enough to break

>the pattern.

Despite a majority of this group, a majority of his therapy group (his peers), his family members and his doctor telling him he is wrong on issues, he is in flat denial of everyone elses collective knowledge. When he realizes (by emailing the fcc and asking them), for example, what everyone else has been trying to tell him is actually true (roger beeps are legal), his manias are responsible for him turning around and telling the group they really had no idea what they were talking of, and that it was a freak coincidence they were right and that they were only "speculating" that roger beeps were legal. The man's clinical psychosis' run deep.

> When confronted by others about his

>problems, repression and displacement are

>his primary defenses.

Exactly:

"You are distorted"

"You are attacking me because of my political belief"

"Politics transends logic"

> He does not see himself as emotionally

>dependent, but the people around him

>certainly do, often feeling as if they need to

>"walk on eggshells" around him. He has few

>close relationships, they are frequently

>co-dependent in nature, and new relationships
>are difficult to establish because of his

>irritability and his constant demands for

>attention.

Which explains the majority of his postings avoid the amateur groups.
His arrogant claims of superiority have given him a poor reputation
among the hammie groups.

>IOW, Dave is a classic underachiever,

Which may in part be responsible for much of his anger at those who are
content, satisfied, or happy with their lives.

> an

>annoying attention-seeker, and a malingering

>hypochondriac.

"Society is decaying"

"Cb is a direct reflection of the moral decline of society"

The sky is falling, the sky is falling,,,,cquack-cquack-cquack

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com -

154. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 16:50:22 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15999-4227404E-221@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197180

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:00:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>You do not know exactly what Jerry is doing,
David, you have demostrated you know shit about anything.

>Then prove me wrong

You do that far more effective than anyone else can.

>All you have is specuation.

>and whether, the FCC is directly responding to
>these alleged "tapes". Most of this is pure

>speculation.

No Dave,,,_most- of what you post is pure speculation, the rest is pure
unadulterated bullshit.

>Please try to keep your personal disdain in

>check. The fact that you have been unable to

>prove me wrong about the speed laws in Pa,

>is no reason to sling mud.

Stop the paranoia, Davie, no one has attacked you. And you were proved
wrong, on the roger beep issue you ignorantly argued with the group
about and on the speeding laws in Pa that you claimed you knew so much
about. You claimed one could not be ticketed for going one mile over the
limit,,,landshark demonstrated where you most certainly can, but
watching you jump like you're on hot coals everytime another member of
the group proves you wrong is almost as entertaining as watching you
blame everyone else for attacking you and accusing people of taking
sides and holding grudges against your paranoid self for your distorted
political views.

_
 As you illoustrated with your leo claim you have a pathological lying
problem to the extent you need invent and conjure false support. Your
ego dictates it.

>You are the one making wild conspiratorial

>claims about Jerry O taping people

If your memory was intact, you would realize JerryO posted several times about his activities..your paranoia is all consuming these days, Dave, and your ego is hurting.

> and turing them into the FCC resulting in

>busts. If that's not bullshit or a lie then prove it.

Your problem is with Jerry to prove it,,,,he's the one that made the many claims about turning in truckers on ten meters in his area.

>.How do you KNOW this about Jerry?

I'm a trusting soul,,I believe him.
Now, if I were a cynical, paranoid clown not unlike yourself, I would be reducing myself to your stature and jump up and down and cry and demand proof whenever somethign was said with which I disagree.

-
Flip side.
Now, if one were to tape your call being poached on the freeband, and sent it to the FCC alleging you were breaking the law, the FCC has obligated itself, by virtue of its actions with Oxendine, to treat the dispositional actions of the complaint in THE EXACT SAME NATURE.

>Logically, that makes sense, but.......

BUT,,,,you do not deal with logic.

>And you don't deal with reality, so we're even.

Reality isn't this group or cb reflecting society liek you claim.
Reality isn't the group ganging up on your paranoid self because of your political beliefs. Reality isn't the group all taking sides against your most-often wrong posts., yet these are the wild, conspiratorial claims you cling to....your ego dictates it, lest your psyche be further damaged.

_
 Of course, failure by the FCC to follow their now-established protcol could result in one bitch of a suit, and it is for this reason I am beginning to question whether this chief enforcement officer for the FCC has any legal background at all.

>No offense, but I'm sure he has more of a

>background in communications law,

David, no offense, but you are sure of absolutely nothing. You prove it time and again.
>You mean in the same way that you are

>unable to distinguish between paragraph 2

>and 3 devices as per Pa. statute 3368 (c)?

Not at all. I mean like the fact that you claimed one could not be ticketed for going one mile over the speed limit in Pa, when one clearly can. Yet, this subject has you heaving like a junkie jonesing for a fix.

_
And if you employed logic, you would find a corelation that exists only in the same mind that gives us conjured and animated giggles.

>But you are basing your outcry on speculative

>comments.

Nope,,that's what you have always doen, adn are continuing to do, despite those of us that are in the know.

>You know nothing. You're guessing.

Perhaps, if I so choose, the incredible humor and irony of the situation will be shared with you, as it is others on this group concerning your claims of this internet personality that has managed to capture your focus and became the object of your obsession and love.

>It may likely be the case that the FCC may act
>on "tips" received by people like Jerry, and

>then gather additional evidence before

>preparing an NAL.

No,,,that's not likely at all.

>So, you posit that the FCC will bust people

>without checking first?

Gee Dave,,,this is difficult for you. No one else is asking for clarification or always asking posters to explain what they mean, only you have this brick wall when it comes to overcoming communication deficits..

>So if someone sent in a tape of some

>lamebrain using my call on the freeband,

>they'll be sure to check it out first,

Exactly. Nothing more but a warning and an entry to the public domain maintained by the incompetent FCC.
But,,keepng with Oxendine, there is no way for the FCC to prove whether a violation has occurred after reported by Oxendine.

>Then why worry about it?

Your worries are not mine, nor does anyone else on this group share your pain or worries or paranoia. The post about Ox struck a nerve with you, so much, in fact, that you are still gushing blood over the cut you received from the post.

>You were the one

>who accused Jerry O of being primarily

>responsible for the FCC "busts" of truck

>companies based on little more evidence than

>his tape recordings.

There were no busts. Just warning letters, and there was no accusation,
it was Jerry himself that said he was doing these things.

_
ALL the cases Jerry turned in were dropped, for that exact reason,,,,the
FCC can prove nothing on his word.

>The why are you trying to say that the busts

>that did occur were the result of Jerry's tapes?

Jerry told the group of his activities. Your mildly retardations are
impairing your memory. Stop blaming other people for your problems,
except me of course, I am the bane of your existence,,and you have no
choice in the matter.

>Either they did or they didn't. Which is it?

No busts occurred.

> Did Jerry have a hand in the truck company

>busts or not?

That stutter appears every time you get your tail caught in the door.

_
I keep waiting for that super rich hammie to get busted by the FCC for
some lame bullshit.
If he's guilty what's he going to do?

>Walk.

>How can he walk if the FCC has the goods on

>him?

You have been educated several times on the law in this group, most
recently the roger beep ordeal and the speeding laws in Pa. Look to
another source for your education, as those in here educate you at their
whim and to their own enjoyment, not when you see fit or beg for it..

_
  Now you know how Oxendine spends his free time. One can see how he
at least believes his actions are pro-active. Tell me that boy don't
eat, sleep, breathes radio!

>What proof do you have that Jerry O, does the
>things you claim,

Ask Jerry, they were his claims.

>to the degree you also claim?

Back to demanding answers before you provide for your own has never
worked, David.

>Another of your battle worn lame standby's.

The light shining upon your unfounded claims has always made you deflect
to another person or subject. I know it hurts to illustrate your claims
that you have unnamed and mystery supporters in this group, in addition
to imaginary law enforcement officers,
but you are the one invoking these conjured and hallucinated images. If
you are so uncomfortable sharing your imaginary playmates with the
world, you should never make them your subject..

>When taken to task to back up any of your

>wildly speculative claims, you attempt to skirt

>the issue by claiming that no one else has the

>right to know the truth of your claims.

Anyone who exhibits proper communication skills has the right to ask and
the right to an answer, that leaves you out, as you can not provide for
your own claims and imaginary supporters, so you have no credibility,
nada, zero, to ask another to provide for their claims until you clean
your own back yard. This is where your hypocrisy shines.

>Predictably you would probably next claim to

>provide anyone else the "proof" if they ask for

>it in E-Mail where, of course, it would be

>unable to be verified.

By your own words, you hold unnamed sources and hearsay as
verification.

>The truth is, that you're lying about Jerry.

The truth is, Jerry told the group about his activities on many
occasion. The truth is, your faling mental status is resposible for your
incompetence and inability to recal his claims.

> I'm sure everyone understands, which is why

>few people will engage in anything more

>substantive than small talk with you.

Projection.....and the sole reason you are relegated to spending the majority of your usenet time in a cb group and not in the hammie group.

>I, on the other hand, enjoy watching you

>dance, predictably.

And I have always enjoyed bringing a smile to your otherwise scowling and dismal pessimistic life. Nevertheless, that list of those responsible for your personal woes, going by those you have accused of wronging you in the past two weeks, is growing by leaps and bounds.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

155. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 16:54:05 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15999-4227412D-222@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197181

From: scott_unit_69@yapoo.com (Scott)
Vincent parted with:
>I have about half the alphabet down at 5
>WPM. But I don't think I am going to have
>enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need
>more practice.

(Don't it suck that the rest of the world takes the provisions of WRC'03
to hand quickly, while our own country takes it's good old time, while
blessing BPL. Something that all the other countries have said "no" to.
This from the country that drives on a parkway and parks on a driveway!
)

.where we keep thousands of dollars worth of vehicles in our driveways
and fill our garages with junk.

156. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 16:57:21 UTC
From: "Capt 'Wild' Bill Kelso, USAAC" <SkyCaptain@WorldOfTomorow.com>
Message-ID: <422741EC.58A38CC9@WorldOfTomorow.com>
Source record: 197178
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

guess wrote:
>
> NO, you'd be in the basement, and we'd be in the spacious first level where
> the jacuzzi and the hot tub are!!

A house with no attic/roof? dont think so

> "Capt 'Wild' Bill Kelso, USAAC" <SkyCaptain@WorldOfTomorow.com> wrote in
> message news:42266A2A.3388E417@WorldOfTomorow.com...
> > guess wrote:
> >>
> >> QUOTE"In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the U.S. to
> >> pass"UNQUOTE. Hey moron, this is CANADA. We are the country ONTOP of the
> >> USA. If this were prison, the Americans would be our bitches!!!!
> >
> > And if this were a house, you'd be livin in the attic..
> >
> > ---
> > I am BillGatus of Borg. Resistance is futile. You will be assim
> > [General Protection Fault]

--

Never underestimate the power of stupid people in large groups.

157. Re: Lancaster Ohio Makes Keyclowning Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 17:01:24 UTC
From: "Capt 'Wild' Bill Kelso, USAAC" <SkyCaptain@WorldOfTomorow.com>
Message-ID: <422742DD.1ECD11F2@WorldOfTomorow.com>
Source record: 197179
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.cb,wpg.forsale

BigScaryBob wrote:
>
> No, the Mexicans would be in the basement and the Americans would be on
> the main floor.

Still not possible, no attic/roof.

> The Americans would be out cutting all the neighbor's lawns for their
> own profit, and when no one was looking they would be stealing from
> neighbor's mailboxes.

the mexicans would be eating the candians, 'taste like chicken tacos..

> All the while the Americans would be pissed off at us, because we're not
> watching for when the neighbors start throwing fire bombs at the main
> floor.

canadians would be away playing hockey

> "guess" <imnot@home.com> wrote in message news:1109819700.637614@news...
> > NO, you'd be in the basement, and we'd be in the spacious first level
> > where the jacuzzi and the hot tub are!!
> >
> >
> > "Capt 'Wild' Bill Kelso, USAAC" <SkyCaptain@WorldOfTomorow.com> wrote
> > in message news:42266A2A.3388E417@WorldOfTomorow.com...
> >> guess wrote:
> >>>
> >>> QUOTE"In August 2002, Lancaster City Council was the first in the
> >>> U.S. to
> >>> pass"UNQUOTE. Hey moron, this is CANADA. We are the country ONTOP of
> >>> the
> >>> USA. If this were prison, the Americans would be our bitches!!!!
> >>
> >> And if this were a house, you'd be livin in the attic..
> >>
> >> ---
> >> I am BillGatus of Borg. Resistance is futile. You will be assim
> >> [General Protection Fault]
> >
> >

--

Never underestimate the power of stupid people in large groups.

158. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 17:26:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16000-422748DE-83@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197183

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:31:25 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 01 Mar 2005 11:21:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
(I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:9507-42249354-37
@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net: The line you posted above is in direct
contradiction with the previous line you posted. For instance, above,
your passage claims 55 MPH as the determining factor and speed, yet your
previous passage gives no speed at all, and makes only reference to a
speed recorded in excess of 6 MPH over the limit as the determining
factor. Both sentences cite the same law, same paragraph applications,
yet have two separate conclusions. They both can't be right.

>I'm tired of wasting my time on this issue.

That's because of your stubborn refusal to learn and ignorance insisting
no one else can be right besides you, despite the number of people that
continually correct you.

>I am more than willing to admit a mistake (ala

>the roger beep issue) when I am actually

>wrong.

But you didn't,,admitting you aer wrong is like an apology,,it is to be
issued unconditionally or it's worthless. And you conditionalized your
gaffe by claiming the rest of the group that actually knew the law,
didn't really know the law, but were "speculating" that roger beeps were
legal,and just coincidentally ahppened to be right. That was the biggest
load of shit I have seen from you to date, but it does continue to
loudly telegraph your increasing dilemma regarding you being wrong.

>But in this case, you can "correct" me all you

>want, and the only thing you'll accomplish is to
>illustrate just how poorly your comprehensive

>skills are, and how ignorant you are of

>Pennsylvania law.

The law is not in contention, your claim is. You incorrectly claimed one
can not be cited per the law in Pa. UNLESS they are speeding more than 5
MPH over the limit. You were wrong and Shark proved it. You are
suffering over this issue and you simply aren't up to date on it,

despite your cries and whines about how it's your state and you know about the law.

>But I'm right and you're not. Plain and simple.

As on the roger beep issue,,,you are alone in your position. Despite a growing number of group members telling you that you are wrong again regarding your interpretations of the law, you insist everyone else is wrong.
Same thing you
said regarding the law about your hobby that you know nothing of and have to be shown, such as your claim that roger beeps were illegal based on your inability to find a law specifically permitting them.

>There is no rule specifically permitting them.

Haha,,,

> It then becomes a subjective matter as to

>whether a roger beep could be classified as

>an amusement or entertainment device

Subjective only to those who struggle with comprehensive and cognitive communication abilities, such as yourself.

> Absent a specific rule either way, it becomes

>little more than speculation as to whether they

>are legal or not. You ASSUMED they were.

>without anything authoritative to go on.

So everyone that agreed with me and told you they were legal "assumed" it? My gosh, Davie,, you really have issues when you are shown to be wrong. Now you are claiming the entire group is wrong and you are right, but when the group's position is proved true to you, you claim such knowledge was an assumption. How ver wrong of you, David. This is called education and being familair and up to date concerning the laws that govern your chosen hobby. You have illustrated that as an extra, you don't know shit about communications law.

>At least I had the sense to go to the FCC and

>have it clarified once and for all.

That was ignorance, not sense. Sense is what everyone else had when they took pity on you and informed you that you were wrong. "Sense" was the actions of those others newsgroupies that informed you of your ignorance, who then sat back and watched as you jumped up and down with insult after insult insisting that roger beeps were illegal because only you subscribe to the notion that negatives must be proved.

>The minimum tolerance is 5 MPH,

Wrong,,,

>Right. Read it again. Or better yet, have your

>mommy read (and then explain) it to you.

The mommy fixation again,,,I imagine if yours didn't kick of lung cancer, you wouldn't be so fixated on the mother issues and non related topics. The again, with your wife Kimberly T. Hall smoking like a chimney only to give it up during her pregnancy and to resume again right afterwards, one can't be too hard on you when you go rambling about such things. Your demons control your bizarre emotive outbursts and off-topic rants. BTW, are you aware what second hand smoke does to children?

>and in certain other situations

You made no claim about "certain other situations". Your original claim that one can not be cited in Pa for speeding 1 mph over the limit was bullshit. End of story, but feel free to continue to torture yourself over your continual goofs borne of learned ignorance.

_
Your amended statutes also illustrate that no points are to be assigned until 10 MPH or more over, but it does not say that you can't be stopped and ticketed between 5 and 10 MPH over in a radar zone.

>Twisty's interpretive skills are not much better

>than my 5 year old's.

Then perhaps you should get your five year old to interpret everything you are ignorant on.

_
Although your need to be insultive is based upon your own incompetence and ignorance, ithere is no excuse for such when you are taught better each day by cber's who do know the law better than yourself.

>it is you who has the problem.

No Dave, it is you and you have been told that you were wrong by a good number of this group on several issues. Your responses are all the same to each person,,,classic paranoia, accusing them of personal issues and vendettas against yourself.

>If outlining this simple fact is "insulting" to you,

>then so be it.

Gee Dave,,,you are the one claiming the group is against you adn plotting with conspiracies. You need a vacation Dave, and not to Florida, as it appears you were unable to relax when you were down here,,,,,I can not imagine why or what or who you may have thought was going to ruin your vacation, but that much is evident and you need a real vacation where you can relax and come back refreshed and not accuse everyone that gently points out your errors of taking up sides against

you...(snicker)

> Like I said many time over the years, I don't

>pull punches or mollycoddle people. If you

>can't handle the harsh reality, then I suggest

>you find someone else to "play" with.

Again, David, your attacks on everyone who dares cite your goofs are very predictable adn all the same,,change the subject, attack them..lol.

>The only thing you know about the law is how

>to make excuses for breaking it.

I'm more legal than you David, since th dx has gone away, and it is just another needle in your eye that causes you great pain, as you have no legality issue to attempt
to hide behind., illustrating your personal problems are not at all what you claim them to be.
Too bad these reading skills you speak of have prevented you from finding the current law.

>I know the current law.

Umm...no you don;t and you had to find it out for yourself because your ignorance will not permit your ego to believe anyone else, such as the list of regs that told you that you were wrong.

>What's your excuse?

Watching you claim everyone else merely made correct assumptions regarding their correct interpretations of the law, in order to soothe your wounded ego. In a nutshell,,,,I enjoy watching you super freak when you're wrong. It results in fine, upstanding amateur/cb related topics you invoke, such as mothers.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

159. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 17:28:41 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16000-42274949-84@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197182

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>Maybe if you use crayon drawings to illustrate

>this, he'll finally understand.....

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

He's not the one in therapy, you are.

160. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 17:44:14 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109871854.123332.244870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:
> Hams whine

> a loophole to sell CB amplifiers

> liberal activist judge

> undocumented alien employees

> obstructionist liberal Democrats

> references to God

trollin', trollin',trollin'

161. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 18:09:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <h5je211l49uisbbusbk46nm0f2klgupg72@4ax.com>
Source record: 197185

On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 11:50:22 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:00:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>You do not know exactly what Jerry is doing,
>David, you have demostrated you know shit about anything.
>
>
>>Then prove me wrong
>
>
>You do that far more effective than anyone else can.

Translation: You are unable to provide said proof, and instead are
deflecting (yet) again.

>>You are the one making wild conspiratorial
>>claims about Jerry O taping people
>
>
>If your memory was intact, you would realize JerryO posted several times
>about his activities..

Jerry claimed to be reporting violators to the FCC. He never claimed,
as you have, that recent truck company busts were the direct result of
such activities.

>Your problem is with Jerry to prove it,,,,he's the one that made the
>many claims about turning in truckers on ten meters in his area.

But YOU made the claim that recent busts were the result of Jerry's
activities. Now we're still waiting to see the proof.

>>.How do you KNOW this about Jerry?

>I'm a trusting soul,,I believe him.

That'll be a first. You don't believe anyone you don't want to
believe.

>Now, if I were a cynical, paranoid clown not unlike yourself, I would be
>reducing myself to your stature and jump up and down and cry and demand
>proof whenever somethign was said with which I disagree.

Why, that's exactly what you do every time I make a claim. Then you
try to change the story when such proof is provided.

>
>Not at all. I mean like the fact that you claimed one could not be
>ticketed for going one mile over the speed limit in Pa, when one clearly
>can. Yet, this subject has you heaving like a junkie jonesing for a fix.

I never said that. I said that Pa. cops are required to give at least
a 5 mph tolerance before writing up someone for speeding. The statute
3368 covers this exactly. A cop may try to write someone up for 1 MPH
over, but it would be immediately thrown out in court.

>>You know nothing. You're guessing.
>
>
>Perhaps, if I so choose, the incredible humor and irony of the situation
>will be shared with you, as it is others on this group concerning your
>claims of this internet personality that has managed to capture your
>focus and became the object of your obsession and love.

You've said this before, as if some magic cloak will be lifted and
some person of relative prominence will be revealed, and we're all
supposed to stand here and bask in your aura. All I can say is bring
it on. I'm betting that you never do it, because your fragile self
esteem depends on your anonymity. Plus I'm sure that anyone of any
real importance in the grand scheme of things would not waste his time
in a newsgroup like this defending the actions of lawbreakers.

>No,,,that's not likely at all.

>>So, you posit that the FCC will bust people
>>without checking first?
>
>Gee Dave,,,this is difficult for you. No one else is asking for
>clarification or always asking posters to explain what they mean, only
>you have this brick wall when it comes to overcoming communication
>deficits..

Those deficits exist only in your mind. You are the one who claimed
that recent FCC busts were enacted solely on the word of Jerry O's say
so. The you claimed that they wouldn't do that without checking it out
first. Then you say that this scenario is "not likely at all". You
have flip-flopped on this 3 times in one post. You're really losing it
now.

>>Then why worry about it?
>
>
>Your worries are not mine,

Then why did YOU bring it up in the first place?

>nor does anyone else on this group share your
>pain or worries or paranoia.

Speaking for others again?

> The post about Ox struck a nerve with you,
>so much, in fact, that you are still gushing blood over the cut you
>received from the post.

No, I just took the opportunity to expose yet another one of your lies
and am enjoying you try to dance around them.

>There were no busts. Just warning letters, and there was no accusation,
>it was Jerry himself that said he was doing these things.
>
>_
>ALL the cases Jerry turned in were dropped, for that exact reason,,,,the
>FCC can prove nothing on his word.
>
>>The why are you trying to say that the busts
>>that did occur were the result of Jerry's tapes?
>
>Jerry told the group of his activities.

You blamed Jerry for the recent truck company busts.

> I am the bane of your existence,,and you have no
>choice in the matter.

Projection at its finest! Thank you.

>No busts occurred.
>
>> Did Jerry have a hand in the truck company
>>busts or not?
>
>
>That stutter appears every time you get your tail caught in the door.

That door is slowly closing around you and your wild lies.

>_
>ï¿½ï¿½Now you know how Oxendine spends his free time. One can see how he
>at least believes his actions are pro-active. Tell me that boy don't
>eat, sleep, breathes radio!
>
>>What proof do you have that Jerry O, does the
>>things you claim,
>
>
>Ask Jerry, they were his claims.

No, they were yours.

>>to the degree you also claim?
>
>
>Back to demanding answers before you provide for your own has never
>worked, David.

Back to your old cop out answers.

>Anyone who exhibits proper communication skills has the right to ask and
>the right to an answer, that leaves you out, as you can not provide for
>your own claims and imaginary supporters, so you have no credibility,
>nada, zero, to ask another to provide for their claims until you clean
>your own back yard. This is where your hypocrisy shines.

More projection. You're a real basket case.

>
>
>>Predictably you would probably next claim to
>>provide anyone else the "proof" if they ask for
>>it in E-Mail where, of course, it would be
>>unable to be verified.

>By your own words, you hold unnamed sources and hearsay as
>verification.

Which has exactly what relevance to this topic? Please try to remain
focused.

>
>>The truth is, that you're lying about Jerry.
>
>The truth is, Jerry told the group about his activities on many
>occasion. The truth is, your faling mental status is resposible for your
>incompetence and inability to recal his claims.

Truth is that you took whet Jerry once claimed and attributed them to
recent busts, where you have no proof that the two are necessarily
connected.

>> I'm sure everyone understands, which is why
>>few people will engage in anything more
>>substantive than small talk with you.

>Projection.

When was the last time anyone (other than me or Frank before he became
your buddy) ever exchanged more than one or two exchanges with you,
and was not putting you in your place as newsgroup punch clown?

>....and the sole reason you are relegated to spending the
>majority of your usenet time in a cb group and not in the hammie group.

I like this group. There are a plethora of people with various degrees
of personality disorders to play with, along with a bunch of some
really good down to earth people.

>>I, on the other hand, enjoy watching you
>>dance, predictably.
>
>

>And I have always enjoyed bringing a smile to your otherwise scowling
>and dismal pessimistic life.

Nothing could be further from the truth. As long as I can observe the
actions of people like you, I feel fortunate in my own life situation.
Perspective is a wonderful thing.

> Nevertheless, that list of those
>responsible for your personal woes, going by those you have accused of
>wronging you in the past two weeks, is growing by leaps and bounds.

Really? Other than you, and recently Frank, no one else has gone off
the deep end and taken to obsessing about the words I write.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

162. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 18:15:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <mske21hbrmbao1ssatfl1jm9uafrkdhrer@4ax.com>
Source record: 197186

On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 11:13:21 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
My my, look at all those quotes you can bring up at the drop of a hat
(out of context of course).

You are a man obsessed.

But you had your chance to meet the person who holds your self esteem
in his hands, but you chose to weasel out. I might not be back in
Florida for a few more years, so I guess you'll just have to bask over
my words of wisdom until then.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

163. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 18:59:32 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net>
Message-ID: <d07mn5$n13$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109871854.123332.244870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Lloyd wrote:
>> Hams whine
>
>> a loophole to sell CB amplifiers
>
>> liberal activist judge
>
>> undocumented alien employees
>
>> obstructionist liberal Democrats
>
>> references to God
>
> trollin', trollin',trollin'
>

Just repeating what I have been monitoring from the 3965
pirate. Go down and get it first hand yourself. He says he
is planning a simo broadcast this weekend 3965/6672, and
there is also local info available on 27680.

73,

Lloyd

164. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 19:04:49 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ldJVd.394$603.288@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 197188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd wrote:
> Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
> found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
> type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection
> of a liberal activist judge

Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....

165. Re: Re:Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 19:05:37 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <DdJVd.2076$8k2.996@fed1read03>
Source record: 197189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Psychiatrist-To-Hams wrote:

>
>
> Another English-Challenged "expert."
> and you need to check your routing headers.
and what do my "routing headers" have to do with this conversation.

in fact, what does your commentary have to do with this conversation????

English-Challenged? well, depends, doesn't it. is it the "king's English" or
"American English" <of which I speak>?

still, it is irrelevant.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

166. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 19:22:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109877752.446506.186860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

robert casey wrote:
> Lloyd wrote:
> > Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
> > found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
> > type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the
protection
> > of a liberal activist judge
>
> Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
> federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....

I lean towards "troll", Robert...

Ya ever notice that people who complain about others "whining"
about laws being broken are those who are themselves in favor or
actually involved in breaking the law...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

167. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 19:44:40 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net>
Message-ID: <d07pbp$tp4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109877752.446506.186860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> robert casey wrote:
>> Lloyd wrote:
>> > Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
>> > found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
>> > type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the
> protection
>> > of a liberal activist judge
>>
>> Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
>> federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....
>
> I lean towards "troll", Robert...
>
> Ya ever notice that people who complain about others "whining"
> about laws being broken are those who are themselves in favor or
> actually involved in breaking the law...???
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

You must be bored Steve, as none of your regular r.r.a.p. playmates are currently bickering with you? Ever hear of the "message," versus the messenger? There's a regular pirate whose been operating on 3965, and the stuff he puts out ranges from the interesting to not so interesting. It doesn't require much effort to set your hf tuning dial to 3965 and get first hand what the guy is saying, does it? Sort of beats calling people names, prior to hearing it first hand. Wouldn't you agree? Same things goes for Mr Casey. Then again, nevermind. I forgot I was in the r.r.a.p. play area where wrong is right, and right is wrong, or something like that. LOL!

73,

Lloyd

168. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 20:57:56 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net>
Message-ID: <d07tl6akp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1109880482.318504.310530@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lloyd wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1109877752.446506.186860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > robert casey wrote:
>> >> Lloyd wrote:
>> >> > Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
>> >> > found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
>> >> > type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the
>> > protection
>> >> > of a liberal activist judge
>> >>
>> >> Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are
>> >> federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....
>> >
>> > I lean towards "troll", Robert...
>> >
>> > Ya ever notice that people who complain about others "whining"
>> > about laws being broken are those who are themselves in favor or
>> > actually involved in breaking the law...???
>
>> You must be bored Steve, as none of your regular r.r.a.p. playmates
>> are currently bickering with you?
>
> The only one's who "bicker" are the ones who find it necessary to
> lie and deceive.
>
>> Ever hear of the "message," versus
>> the messenger? There's a regular pirate whose been operating on
>> 3965, and the stuff he puts out ranges from the interesting to not so
>> interesting. It doesn't require much effort to set your hf tuning
> dial
>> to 3965 and get first hand what the guy is saying, does it? Sort of
>> beats calling people names, prior to hearing it first hand. Wouldn't
>> you agree?
>
> Sure it does.
>
> And generally when you post to this or any other NG it's vile,
> mistruthful, profane or factually barren.
```

>
> Lastly, there was nothing about anyone EXCEPT you in the post I
> responded to...YOU stated hams are "whining". If that was not your
> intent, YOU need to re-read what you wrote, figure out why it was
> interpreted that way, then correct your grammmatical and expressive
> errors, Lloyd.
>
>> Same things goes for Mr Casey. Then again,
>> nevermind. I forgot I was in the r.r.a.p. play area where wrong is
>> right, and right is wrong, or something like that.
>
> Nope..."right" is still "right" and "wrong" is still "wrong".
> That's why people like Lennie, Brain, Mark Morgan, and, "ahem", YOU get
> your noses rubbed in your verbal run-off as often as you do.
>
>> LOL!
>
> Don't look now, Lloyd, but the laugh's on you.
>
> BTW...I delted the cross post on the response...You'll actaully
> have to leave rec.radio.cb in order to read it...Sorry.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

flushed

169. INSIDERS' SECRETS TO YOUR OWN MONEY MAKING EMPIRE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 22:08:10 UTC
From: reese <twentydaysmixed@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1109887690.540337.285260@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197193

Discover how YOU can Earn Piles of Cash 24 hours a day, 7 days a week -
Even While You Sleep!
For just $2 you'll get the Best Automation Manual Ever Written. Plus
you get the Rights to Resell this Course and YOU Keep 100% of the
Profits!

visit http://naturalprofit.9k.com

170. FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 22:48:45 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nmar@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hvMVd.546$603.10@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 197194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755135515&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

171. why i play with my cunt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 22:55:37 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <sd5f21d0p4bqmt9t8uf9b10ikocp6k069n@4ax.com>
Source record: 197196

BECAUSE I WAS NOT BREASTFED ï¿½ BECAUSE MY CRIB WAS
PADDED AND I LIKE THE FEEL
OF STEEL ï¿½ BECAUSE I WAS SPANKED BY MY BABYSITTER
ï¿½ BECAUSE THE KIDS USED TO
CALL ME HALF-BREED ï¿½ BECAUSE MY FATHER IGNORED ME
AND MY MOTHER FUCKED THE
BOTTLE ï¿½ BECAUSE MY BROTHER JERKED OFF TO AUNT
JAMIMA ï¿½ BECAUSE MY DOG WAS
KEPT IN BONDAGE TIL THE DAY HE DIED ï¿½ BECAUSE A
WHITEBOY TOOK MY VIRGINITY ï¿½
BECAUSE I WAS NEVER TAUGHT HOMOSEXUALITY IN HEALTH
CLASS ï¿½ BECAUSE THE
SANDMAN WAS A LESBIAN ï¿½ BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½
BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½
BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½ BECAUSE MY
PERIOD FEELS LIKE A BULLFIGHT ï¿½
BECAUSE I HATE THE SMELL OF PORK ï¿½ BECAUSE I LOVE
THE TASTE OF PUSSY ï¿½
BECAUSE I CAN'T FIST MY OWN ASSHOLE ï¿½ BECAUSE I
HAVE A LOT OF TIME ON MY
HANDS ï¿½ BECAUSE IT FEELS LIKE A BABY WHEN I SHAVE
IT ï¿½ BECAUSE MY PLATFORM
HEEL WON'T FIT INSIDE ï¿½ BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½
BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½
BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½ BECAUSE MY
VIBRATOR ISN'T POWERFUL ENOUGH
ï¿½ BECAUSE TRANSSEXUALS TURN ME THE FUCK ON ï¿½
BECAUSE SCENES FROM CALIGULA
RUN THROUGH MY HEAD ï¿½ BECAUSE CINDERELLA WASN'T MY
SLAVE ï¿½ BECAUSE IT THROBS
LIKE A DICK ï¿½ BECAUSE I SLEEP ALONE ï¿½ BECAUSE OF
THE QUESTION OF DEATH ï¿½
BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½ BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH
ï¿½ BECAUSE IT'S
SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½ BECAUSE FRIDAY FOSTER SAYS
I'M A PERVERT ï¿½ BECAUSE THE
THOUGHT OF TREE VIRGINS IN HORSETAILS ï¿½ BECAUSE MY
DOUBLE-DONG WAS STOLEN ï¿½
BECAUSE I SMOKED MY LAST CIGARETTE ï¿½ BECAUSE I
WANT TO SPIT-SHINE PRINCE'S
BOOTS ï¿½ BECAUSE I HATE SLOPPY BLOW-JOBS ï¿½ BECAUSE
IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½ BECAUSE MY
CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½ BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION
ï¿½ BECAUSE THERE ARE NO

MORE VIRGINS ï¿½ BECAUSE I CAN'T GIVE THE GOVERNMENT
AN ENEMA ï¿½ BECAUSE WHORES
BECAME EXPENSIVE ï¿½ BECAUSE I HATE THE THOUGHT OF
CLOTHING ï¿½ BECAUSE I'M THE
BORDERLINE OF A DYKE AND A BOY ï¿½ BECAUSE I WANT TO
BE SADDDLED AND TRAINED
LIKE A HORSE ï¿½ BECAUSE SLAVERY WAS IN MY ROOTS AND
I THIRST S&M ï¿½ BECAUSE IT
FEELS GOOD ï¿½ BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½ BECAUSE
IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½
BECAUSE I CAN NEVER GET ENOUGH PUSSY ï¿½ BECAUSE THE
SOUND OF A WOMAN'S VOICE
OVER THE PHONE ï¿½ BECAUSE WHEN IT'S WET IT GLAZES
MY FINGERS ï¿½ BECAUSE I WANT
TO BE GANG-BANGED BY FEMALE INMATES ï¿½ BECAUSE I
WANT TO FUCK FOR FOOD AND
WATER ï¿½ BECAUSE I WASN'T BORN IN A CHASTITY BELT ï¿½
BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½
BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½ BECAUSE IT'S
SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½ BECAUSE I
LIKE TO WORK MY PUSSY ï¿½ BECAUSE I WAS BORN A BITCH
ï¿½ BECAUSE I LIKE MORE
THAN ONE ORGASM ï¿½ BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE TO SAY 'I
LOVE YOU' TO ANYONE ï¿½
BECAUSE IN MY MIND I CAN BE FUCKING ANYONE I WANT
ï¿½ BECAUSE IT'S HEALTHY ï¿½
BECAUSE I'M A VAIN BITCH AND ONLY I KNOW HOW TO
LOVE MYSELF...

Here's me at Mardi Gras:

http://www.haydennet.com/1999/decadence99/miss_crisco_DSCN0160.JPG

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

172. Re: Illegal Radio Gear For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 22:56:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <if5f21p3v6cr6054qcp73h4s088nrceo1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 197195

On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 15:53:30 -0500, whoever <whoever@wherever> wrote:

>Well it states that those only worked on the 10 and 12 meter bands and
>hadn't been modified, so they are legal to use on the ham bands if you
>had the proper license, tard.
>
>No I Am Not Him wrote:
>> non-certified CB transceivers and CB linear amplifiers are illegal to
>> sell, fool.

He listed them as Ham, dispshit.

Vinnie S.

173. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 23:03:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <tq5f21ld97btk5h4i8n9l8o82n4892qjv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 197197

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 13:09:08 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <h5je211l49uisbbusbk46nm0f2klgupg72@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 11:50:22 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:00:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>You do not know exactly what Jerry is doing,
>>David, you have demostrated you know shit about anything.
>>
>>
>>>Then prove me wrong
>>
>>
>>You do that far more effective than anyone else can.
>
>Translation: You are unable to provide said proof, and instead are
>deflecting (yet) again.

PKB.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

174. Re: Illegal Radio Gear For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 23:17:43 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109891863.760966.154240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197198

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 15:53:30 -0500, whoever <whoever@wherever> wrote:
>
> >Well it states that those only worked on the 10 and 12 meter bands
and
> >hadn't been modified, so they are legal to use on the ham bands if
you
> >had the proper license, tard.
> >
> >No I Am Not Him wrote:
> >> non-certified CB transceivers and CB linear amplifiers are illegal
to
> >> sell, fool.
>
>
> He listed them as Ham, dispshit.
>
> Vinnie S.

So you can sell a non type accepted cb if you list it as "ham"? where
do you see that rule????

175. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 23:20:29 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109892029.538722.11420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Nick Marsh wrote:
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755135515&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

reported.

176. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-03 23:41:35 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <RbydnYgzJ6YoPbrfRVn-ug@crocker.com>
Source record: 197200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

only 10k?? this guy been advertising 84kw mobile amps for years!
http://www.davemade.com/mobile.htm
(turn down your speakers before clicking above!)

i have heard cbers that must be using his stuff, s9 from 27085 up to 28550+
from half way across the country.

"M-Tech" <mechtechllc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:UbidnfhpkMUX9LvfRVn-2Q@comcast.com...
> yeah. I know all about it. It's a STORY just like you said. 40k a day
in
> sales....linears to 10k watts...BAAHAHAHAHAHAAHHAA.
>
> Don
> 313
>
> "qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote in message
news:cvvh69$63t$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> > who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> > non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> > throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the law.
> > A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
> > since last year.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

177. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 00:07:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8t5f21ltmru4s0dr0rh7sjh38e8kbm21ib@4ax.com>
Source record: 197201

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 07:57:07 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <23vd215o5cp4f2888u8ks0i3q5m2gj5a2i@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 09:59:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:00:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
>><13636-4225E30E-47@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>David, you have demostrated you know shit about anything. In fact, all
>>>your posts have been shown to be so far off reality, that all you have
>>>is a dirty little distorted little dream world comprised of imaginary
>>>and un-named supporters and leo's.
>>>All you have is specuation.
>>
>>
>>And his 'hobby' is psychology.....LOL! Well, I had a girlfriend for
>>many, many years that -is- a psychologist, and I learned more than I
>>wanted to learn while I helped her study in college (she graduated
>>Summa Cum Laude from her Master's program, BTW). So just for kicks,
>>over the weekend I went back and read several of his posts from over
>>the years. Here's -my- psychological assessment of Dave:
>
>Hello Frank.

Hello Dave.

> Is it coincidental that after I took a 5 day business
>trip, and haven't posted in as much time, you have also been absent?

Yes, it is coincidental. So you spent the weekend on the road doing
some "engineering"?

>Is it equally coincidental that you waited to post again until I
>returned?

Yep.

> And to defend your old "nemesis turned buddy" twisty. I'd
>be concerned that, like him, you may be starting to fixate on me just
>a little too much. That's not healthy you know....

That's not your concern, Dave. Instead, you should concern yourself
with your lies and contradictions.

>It's also interesting to learn that you returned to see someone,

As usual you made an assumption that's 100% wrong. I haven't seen her
in almost 2 years.

> by
>which you had a failed relationship with,

Again, you made another assumption that's 100% wrong. The relationship
didn't "fail". Contrary to your conservative "core beliefs", longevity
is not the only criteria for assessing a relationship.

> for the sole purpose of
>discussing me. This says more about your developing fixation than what
>you posted subsequently. Maybe that's the difference between you and
>I. I view this newsgroup as a temporary distraction. When I turn it
>off, I go about my business and life.

You keep telling yourself that, Dave.

> You seem to take this newsgroup
>a little too seriously, if you have to discuss what goes on here with
>someone you once felt comfortable just to gain a little moral support.

A conclusion based on your erroneous assumption.

>But you have forced me

I don't force you to do anything, Dave. You make your own decisions
and are responsible for your own actions.

> to consider that this is a lie for a few
>glaring reasons. The most prominent is that any licensed practitioner
>of psychology would not be so reckless and irresponsible as to offer
>up a complete clinical diagnoses based on context based written works
>alone, while making assumptions about certain facets of "the
>subject's" life which are generally not known. The internet is a 2
>dimensional world, you can't make a 3 dimensional diagnoses.

Yet another conclusion based on an erroneous assumption. I made the
diagnosis. And I am not a licensed psychologist. Neither are you. But
since you bragged about how you "diagnosed" Twisty and made all kinds
of assumptions regarding -my- behavior (conclusions based on your
self-proclaimed "special insight" into human psychology), I thought it
appropriate to "respond in kind" but with a more educated foundation.

>>Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder)
>>Axis II 301.6 (dependent personality disorder, with avoidant features)
>>
>>Dave has a strong histrionic component to his personality and a strong
>>need to be the focus of discussion and the center of attention. He is
>>immature, dependent (but does not recognize this), selfish and
>>extroverted. He rarely expresses overt anger and hostility. Instead,
>>he behaves in a passive-aggressive or passive-dependent manner and
>>displaces these emotions onto physical complaints. He suffers from a

>>variety of hypochondriacal maladies from which he gets considerable
>>secondary gain, and he uses them to validate his inability to respond
>>to daily responsibilities. He sees himself as normal and responsible,
>>just not functioning well due to all his physical problems. He denies
>>the possibility of a psychologoical conflict as the source of his
>>problems. He irritates others around him with his constant complaints
>>and irritability. He demands sympathy and attention from those around
>>him yet he continues to complain, and interventions are never enough
>>to break the pattern. When confronted by others about his problems,
>>repression and displacement are his primary defenses. He does not see
>>himself as emotionally dependent, but the people around him certainly
>>do, often feeling as if they need to "walk on eggshells" around him.
>>He has few close relationships, they are frequently co-dependent in
>>nature, and new relationships are difficult to establish because of
>>his irritability and his constant demands for attention.
>
>My god, I've been found out! Oh the shame, the horrors! Where's Dr.
>Smith when you need him? Oh dear, Oh the pain, the pain..........

The truth hurts, doesn't it?

>I'm especially impressed that you managed to fix that crystal ball of
>yours in order to ascertain the extent of my physical condition, and
>also how my friends, co-workers and family view me, since this sort of
>personal information is not ascertainable from the content of my
>posts.

But it is. Specific information is easily ascertained once your
personality disorders are diagnosed. All that's required is to do a
little prodding in sensitive areas and watch your reaction. Basic
psychology.

>Or could it be another simple case of........ Projection!
>
>You decide.......

I did. I decided that your defensiveness is a manifestation of your
personality disorder.

>Which brings up a question, Why aren't you married Frank? Are you
>someone who just hasn't found that special "someone" yet? Or perhaps
>your standards are too high? Or could it be that you have your own
>"issues"? You do have your bitter cynical side. One could conclude
>that you're bordering on PAPD.

Or one could conclude that marriage isn't a prerequisite for a
successful life. But apparently it is for you, as your statement
clearly demonstrates. It also demonstrates your dependent personality
disorder, and is probably one of the excuses you use to justify your
co-dependent relationships.

>>IOW, Dave is a classic underachiever, an annoying attention-seeker,
>>and a malingering hypochondriac.

>
>And on the subject of underachieving, who's the one supposedly with
>the EE degree who's now tending bar?

But I enjoy pouring potent potables. In fact, I like it better than
the job I had as a BE. I would consider that to be another item to add
to my list of achievements.

>Before casting that first stone in another's direction, it's prudent
>to make sure that your own glass house is in order first. Perhaps what
>you think you see in me is simply confusion over the results of your
>own introspection.

I guess you didn't read the part about "displacement".

>Oh, and the next time you see your "psychologist" and feel the need to
>discuss me again, ask her to explain the Myers-Briggs personality
>types ISTJ and ISTP. Those are the two types that I tend to swing
>between. Maybe she'll want to revise her earlier diagnoses......

There is a really good book you might find helpful. You can probably
find in any used bookstore. It's called "Looking Out, Looking In", and
it's used as a textbook in several colleges for courses that cover
interpersonal communications and relationships. In that book you will
find a wonderful chapter that describes what I think they call the
"windows of perception", or something like that. Study it. Then get
back to me with your self-assessment.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

178. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 00:51:42 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <wI2dnfRaoassLrrfRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 197202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>>>>>throwing fits because they guy is explointing some loophole in the
>>>>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
>>>>>>daily, since last year.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>>>>>
>>>>>Next.
>>>>
>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any good,
>>>>it will be clean.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>>
>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a "clean"
>>signal.
>>
>
> Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.

"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.

179. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 02:02:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050303210202.633$wn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>> >>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> >>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >>>>>> >>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >>>>>> >>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> >>>>>> >>>>>>throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the
> >>>>>> >>>>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> >>>>>> >>>>>>daily, since last year.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> >>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> >>>>>Next.
> >>>>
> >>>> >>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any
> >>>> >>>>good, it will be clean.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> >>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> >>>
> >>>> >>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
> >>>> >>"clean" signal.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> > Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
> >
> "Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.

>
I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".

Your need to have the last word is noted.

180. Unable to communicate between mobile CBs; Feedback problem?!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 02:18:21 UTC
From: Anders <andersn_@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1109902701.139325.6260@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197204

recently my friend and i bought a set of radioshack brand mobile cb's to mount in our trucks. We went offroading right after we got them and

they worked perfectly. Then the second time we tried to use them we had a problem with feedback. When i transmitted my friend could hear me, but i could not hear his transmissions. Instead all i could hear was a loud beeping tone. we experimented with different things but were unable to find the source of the problem. Using a third cb we concluded that it was my friend's cb but still could not find the source of the problem which is frequent but intermittent. Has anyone heard of this before???? Any suggestions?

Thanks,
Anders

181. Dave Hall analysis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 02:41:32 UTC
From: iam notgeorge <iamnotn8wwm@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20050304024132.21690.qmail@web41528.mail.yahoo.com>
Source record: 197205

Warning
This information is meant for the recipient only. If
you are not the intended recipient, please contact the
Administrative Department or Physician Agency
responsible for your contract.
Patient Assessment&#65533;
Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder) Axis II
301.6 (dependent
personality disorder, with avoidant features)&#65533; Subject
has strong histrionic component to his personality and
a strong
need to be the focus of discussion and center of
attention. Subject is
immature, dependent, selfish and
extroverted, resulting in passive/agressive ego
attachment.&#65533;Subject rarely expresses overt anger and
hostility,&#65533;embracing&#65533;passive-aggressive or
passive-dependent&#65533;dependencies.
Subject displaces&#65533;suppressed emotions onto physical
complaints.&#65533;Subject suffers from a
variety of&#65533;conjured maladies from&#65533;which subject
derives considerable
secondary gain, validating his inability to respond to
daily responsibilities and normal occurrences
associated with everyday life.&#65533;Subject sees himself as
normal and responsible, just
not functioning well due to physical
limitations.&#65533;Subject d enies
possibility of psychologoical conflict as&#65533;possible
source of his problems.
Subject&#65533;expresses delight in&#65533;agitation of those which
he associates as belonging to his realm.
Subject&#65533;demands sympathy and attention from others.
Subject&#65533;maintains he does not command&#65533;the respect he
deserves.
When confronted&#65533;in group therapy&#65533;concerning issues
other group members may have regarding Mr.
Hall,&#65533;repression and displacement are invoked
as&#65533;a&#65533;primary defense. Subject does not see himself as
emotionally dependent, despite family member reports
of the&#65533;need to "walk on eggshells" around him. Subject

has few close relationships,&#65533;those of which&#65533;are co-dependent in
nature.
Mr. Hall&#65533;expresses traits&#65533;of a&#65533;classic schizoid patient. Possible borderline
malingering hypochondriac.&#65533;Subject expresses strong deficits in communicative skills and is prone to
lending himself false support.
&#65533;
&#65533;
This patient was brought to my attention upon a family intervention conducted by my esteemed colleague, Professor _____ of the Forensics Psychology Department at _____&#65533; Hospital located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Swore and bound to me upon this day of our Lord____. ___,&#65533;2005.
&#65533;
Subject: David T. Hall Jr.
Observation: Denial, paranoia, obsessive. Possible bi-polarity.
Assessment: Personal issues continue to
Prognosis: Fair.
Recommendations: Continue group therapy 3X week. Maintain current level of rx. Type, cross and evaluate for possible Lithium candidate (90 days).

_____
Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

182. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 03:23:00 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <PJydnewPcKfuR7rfRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 197206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:

> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
>>>>>>>>throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the
>>>>>>>>law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
>>>>>>>>daily, since last year.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Next.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any
>>>>>>good, it will be clean.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>>>>
>>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
>>>>"clean" signal.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>>
>>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
>>
>
> I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
>
> Your need to have the last word is noted.

Don't need an amp "steveieboy", 100 watts with the KWM-2, a good antenna, coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone I can hear. Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.

183. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 04:01:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050303230105.567$EQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> >>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> >>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have
> >>>>>>>>been throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole
> >>>>>>>>in the law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000
> >>>>>>>>in sales daily, since last year.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Next.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is any
> >>>>>>good, it will be clean.
> >>>>>>

> >>>>>
> >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> >>>>
> >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
> >>>>"clean" signal.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
> >>
> >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
> >>
> >
> > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
> >
> > Your need to have the last word is noted.
>
> Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
>
What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol, Buzz?
>
> 100 watts with the KWM-2,
>
I run S line.
>
> a good antenna
>
No shit?
>
> coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
> I can hear.
>
What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
>
> Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
> to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>
Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

184. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 05:45:39 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7CSVd.570114$6l.171883@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 197208
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

"Jim" >>
>
> He makes my point "amateur radio has attracted the least common
> denominator"... Anyone remember when we had pride and spoke as adults with
> educations?
>
>
>
then why do you CROSS post across groups
is RADIO become all that dull

well, i guess u just wanna gay guy
pardon if i do not strip naked for you guys.

the proper english for your comment is, as follows

We remmeber, when we took pride as educators. We took comfort to speak with
knowledge and education.

An educated man can behalf like a baboon. A baboon, can not behalf like an
educated man.

Yes actually, i remember when you had pride.
i remember that PRIDE goes before the fall.

remember the good ole days?

Coping with reality is such a drag sometimes.
but there ya go

someone says you are the greatest
and the next thing they are looking for a wooden cross to nail you to it.

stay off the gay pages please

185. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 05:46:09 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <8IOdnVbX0YWnY7rfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 197209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
>>>>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
>>>>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have
>>>>>>>>>>been throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole
>>>>>>>>>>in the law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000

>>>>>>>>>>in sales daily, since last year.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Next.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Just what does "reasonableу clean" mean? If the equipment is any
>>>>>>>>good, it will be clean.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
>>>>>>"clean" signal.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>>>>
>>>>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
>>>>
>>>
>>>I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
>>>
>>>Your need to have the last word is noted.
>>
>>Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
>>
>
> What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol, Buzz?

I'm very busy protecting the galaxy from my home planet of Terra.

>
>>100 watts with the KWM-2,

Rack mounted next to the command module in the space ship.
>>
>
> I run S line.

Give you credit for knowing top-of-the line equipment. Too many of
todays ops wouldn't know an S-Line from a Bee-line. Maybe we can skip
your brainograph scan.

>
>>a good antenna
>>

>
> No shit?

A quarter-light-year long wire.
>
>>coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
>>I can hear.
>>
>
> What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?

Have you talked to the Kanarkans on the planet Kanarkia? I have.
>
>>Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
>>to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>>
>
> Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on a
need-to-know basis.

186. Re: Feedback problem?!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 06:07:43 UTC
From: Peter <bra&#65533;nbuster@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <4228084c.0@entanet>
Source record: 197211

"Anders" <andersn_@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1109742687.368480.244330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> recently my friend and i bought a set of radioshack brand mobile cb's
> to mount in our trucks. We went offroading right after we got them and
> they worked perfectly. Then the second time we tried to use them we
> had a problem with feedback. When i transmitted my friend could hear
> me, but i could not hear his transmissions. Instead all i could hear
> was a loud beeping tone. we experimented with different things but
> were unable to find the source of the problem. Using a third cb we
> concluded that it was my friend's cb but still could not find the
> source of the problem which is frequent but intermittent. Has anyone
> heard of this before???? Any suggestions?

Were the sets new?

Depending upon if the equipment is new and whether it came wired by the
manufacturer (many shop staff are incapable of good wiring practices),
possibilities include:
* Problem with antenna - this can cause RF feedback which, on an AM
CB, will cause squealing noises. If intermittent, check all antenna
connections and the mount.
* Poorly wired microphone - a poorly wired microphone may short out a
couple of pins, which can result in squealing.
* Incorrectly wired microphone - one of the wires in the lead is screened,
and should be used for the mic audio. If one of the other wires is used
for that purpose, RF can get back in the radio and cause a squealing.
* Faulty radio - as intermittent, possibly a poor connection or dry solder
joint. It has also been known for poor design to cause intermittent
problems with feedback.

RF feedback can cause all sorts of problems, but squealing is common
on AM equipment. SSB sets may warble or send a noise on transmit,
FM equipment may transmit a humming or buzzing noise. Cheapo sets have
been known to either send the output into self oscillation or lock themselves
on transmit.

Regards,

Peter
http://www.citizensband.radiouk.com/

187. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 06:21:46 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109917306.756304.238670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> > > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Steveo wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>Steveo wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
interstate
> > >>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB
amps. and
> > >>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams
have
> > >>>>>>>>been throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some
loophole
> > >>>>>>>>in the law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least
$40,000
> > >>>>>>>>in sales daily, since last year.
> > >>>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.

> > >>>>>>>
> > >>>>>>>Next.
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is
any
> > >>>>>>good, it will be clean.
> > >>>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> > >>>>
> > >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce
a
> > >>>>"clean" signal.
> > >>>>
> > >>>
> > >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
> > >>
> > >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
> > >>
> > >
> > > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
> > >
> > > Your need to have the last word is noted.
> >
> > Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
> >
> What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol,
Buzz?
> >
> > 100 watts with the KWM-2,
> >
> I run S line.
> >
> > a good antenna
> >
> No shit?
> >
> > coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
> > I can hear.
> >
> What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
> >
> > Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
> > to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
> >
> Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

what'sa your call steveo? some made up freeband thing like alpha bravo
unit 32? LOL

188. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 10:29:36 UTC
From: Ray Norshine <raynorshine@overcast.comm>
Message-ID: <kMWVd.4818$sN1.4432@fe03.lga>
Source record: 197213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050303230105.567$EQ@newsreader.com:

> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>
>> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Steveo wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
>> >>>>>>>>interstate who has been openly advertising and selling
>> >>>>>>>>imported CB amps. and non type-approved foreign transceivers?
>> >>>>>>>> The local hams have been throwing fits because they guy is
>> >>>>>>>>explointing some loophole in the law. A KC ham says the guy
>> >>>>>>>>must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily, since last
>> >>>>>>>>year.
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>Next.

>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is
>> >>>>>>any good, it will be clean.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
>> >>>>"clean" signal.
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>> >>
>> >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
>> >>
>> >
>> > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
>> >
>> > Your need to have the last word is noted.
>>
>> Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
>>
> What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol,
> Buzz?
>>
>> 100 watts with the KWM-2,
>>
> I run S line.
>>
>> a good antenna
>>
> No shit?
>>
>> coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
>> I can hear.
>>
> What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
>>
>> Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
>> to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>>
> Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

JJ doesn't want anyone on usenet to know his call.

189. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 12:06:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050304070637.301$12@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > Nice projection, I rarely use the 30L-1. What's your call?
>
> That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on a
> need-to-know basis.
>
Translation: Another anonymous no code walkie talkie operator that wants
to remain that way.

190. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 12:09:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050304070951.177$uu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ray Norshine <raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050303230105.567$EQ@newsreader.com:
>
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Steveo wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
> >> >>>>>>>interstate who has been openly advertising and selling
> >> >>>>>>>imported CB amps. and non type-approved foreign transceivers?
> >> >>>>>>> The local hams have been throwing fits because they guy is
> >> >>>>>>>explointing some loophole in the law. A KC ham says the guy
> >> >>>>>>>must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily, since last
> >> >>>>>>>year.
> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.

> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>Next.
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is
> >> >>>>>>any good, it will be clean.
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce a
> >> >>>>"clean" signal.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
> >> >>
> >> >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
> >> >
> >> > Your need to have the last word is noted.
> >>
> >> Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
> >>
> > What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol,
> > Buzz?
> >>
> >> 100 watts with the KWM-2,
> >>
> > I run S line.
> >>
> >> a good antenna
> >>
> > No shit?
> >>
> >> coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
> >> I can hear.
> >>
> > What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
> >>
> >> Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
> >> to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
> >>
> > Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?
>
> JJ doesn't want anyone on usenet the know his call.
>
Touch&#65533;! I should have guessed that was JJ the nym shifter. He won't
even use a valid email addy.

Thanks, Ray.

191. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 12:44:43 UTC
From: King Zulu <KingZulu123@msn.com>
Message-ID: <%KYVd.30561$Ze3.19651@attbi_s51>
Source record: 197216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
news:PJydnewPcKfuR7rfRVn-oA@gbronline.com...

> . . . Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only
> solution is to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>

Since "citizen band freebanders" would be an oxymoron (freebanders usually
operate out of the citizens band), I must assume your "cb" refers to
"criminal boys" - or something worse for the "b" letter.

192. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 12:54:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050304075453.157$9I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote:
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
> news:PJydnewPcKfuR7rfRVn-oA@gbronline.com...
>
> > . . . Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only
> > solution is to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
> >
>
> Since "citizen band freebanders" would be an oxymoron (freebanders
> usually operate out of the citizens band), I must assume your "cb" refers
> to "criminal boys" - or something worse for the "b" letter.
>
That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on a
need-to-know basis.
```

193. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 13:52:49 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
Message-ID: <d09p7g$mib5@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 197218

Vinnie S. wrote:
> I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am going to
> have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice.
>
> Vinnie S.

There is no substitute for being prepared, but take the test anyway.

Years ago, the only way to pass the CW tests was to have one full minute of solid copy on your copy sheet. Now, you can pass the CW test two ways. If you have one full minute of solid copy, your done. However if you don't, you can take the 10 question test. You may have copied enough characters to guess at the answers. The process of elimination will help you on many of them. Get a copy of Morse Academy, if you don't already have it. The built in sample tests are exactly the same as the real test. If I remember correctly, you only have to get 7 out of 10 to pass. The odds are in your favor.

I have seen people pass the 13 and 20 WPM tests with only a smattering of characters on their copy sheet. For me, The key was to be able to copy a little bit faster than the required speed. That way I was comfortable with the testing speed. Some people come by CW naturally, but for me, it took a long time to get to the needed speeds. But then, no one has to worry about the 13 and 20 WPM tests any more.

Just try to be calm and realize that it's not the end of the world if you fail. Get the certificates for the tests you do pass and go back the next testing session. Some testing stations will even let you try again, after a short rest to collect your thoughts.

And don't discount using CW, when you finally get your license. It's a fun mode to use, just like any other mode. The more you use it, the better you get at it, and the more fun you have. And that's what it's all about, having fun.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.com
(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

194. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 14:53:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dftg2195e5j9f4b791umjvv0n6e7phpkfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 197219

On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 06:52:49 -0700, K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote:

>Years ago, the only way to pass the CW tests was to have one full
>minute of solid copy on your copy sheet. Now, you can pass the CW
>test two ways. If you have one full minute of solid copy, your done.
>However if you don't, you can take the 10 question test. You may have
>copied enough characters to guess at the answers. The process of
>elimination will help you on many of them. Get a copy of Morse
>Academy, if you don't already have it. The built in sample tests
>are exactly the same as the real test. If I remember correctly, you
>only have to get 7 out of 10 to pass. The odds are in your favor.
>
>I have seen people pass the 13 and 20 WPM tests with only a smattering
>of characters on their copy sheet. For me, The key was to be able to
>copy a little bit faster than the required speed. That way I was
>comfortable with the testing speed. Some people come by CW naturally,
>but for me, it took a long time to get to the needed speeds. But
>then, no one has to worry about the 13 and 20 WPM tests any more.
>
>Just try to be calm and realize that it's not the end of the world
>if you fail. Get the certificates for the tests you do pass and
>go back the next testing session. Some testing stations will even
>let you try again, after a short rest to collect your thoughts.
>
>And don't discount using CW, when you finally get your license. It's
>a fun mode to use, just like any other mode. The more you use it,
>the better you get at it, and the more fun you have. And that's what
>it's all about, having fun.

I am using morse academy. I have passed the practice tests for tech, no problem. The General, I got 19 out of 35, without once even looking at a book. If I could somehow pass morse and get 7 more correct answers in general. Otherwise, I will just wait. Thanks !

Vinnie S.

195. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 15:13:24 UTC
From: Se&#65533;or Sombra <Shadow@escondido.com>
Message-ID: <nW_Vd.43987$xt.16268@fed1read07>
Source record: 197220

Vinnie from one who has taught Morse code to many students:

FOR MANY -- studying EVERY day for 15 to 30 minutes is successful. Leaving long periods between study sessions is usually counter-productive. Studying for long periods at a session - frazzles many a brain.

Most people's problems with the code stem from trying to learn it too fast without sufficient drilling before they move on to each new letter. The average person needs about 30 hours of study and practice on the code to hit 5 wpm. Now this is an average. Some will take longer and some will take less." So a half hour in the morning, a half hour in the evening and in a month -- you should have it.

Good Luck and kudos on your dedication -- it will be worth while.

--
Lamont Cranston

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:dftg2195e5j9f4b791umjvv0n6e7phpkfj@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 06:52:49 -0700, K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
> wrote:
>>
>
> I am using morse academy. I have passed the practice tests for tech, no
> problem.
> The General, I got 19 out of 35, without once even looking at a book. If I
> could
> somehow pass morse and get 7 more correct answers in general. Otherwise, I
> will
> just wait. Thanks !
>
> Vinnie S.

196. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 15:15:40 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <CY_Vd.49425$NC6.38833@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197221

"K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
news:d09p7g$mib5@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
> Vinnie S. wrote:
>> I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am
>> going to
>> have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice. Vinnie
>> S.
>
> There is no substitute for being prepared, but take the test anyway.
>
> Years ago, the only way to pass the CW tests was to have one full
> minute of solid copy on your copy sheet. Now, you can pass the CW
> test two ways. If you have one full minute of solid copy, your done.
> However if you don't, you can take the 10 question test. You may have
> copied enough characters to guess at the answers. The process of
> elimination will help you on many of them. Get a copy of Morse
> Academy, if you don't already have it. The built in sample tests
> are exactly the same as the real test. If I remember correctly, you
> only have to get 7 out of 10 to pass. The odds are in your favor.
>
> I have seen people pass the 13 and 20 WPM tests with only a smattering
> of characters on their copy sheet. For me, The key was to be able to
> copy a little bit faster than the required speed. That way I was
> comfortable with the testing speed. Some people come by CW naturally,
> but for me, it took a long time to get to the needed speeds. But
> then, no one has to worry about the 13 and 20 WPM tests any more.
>
> Just try to be calm and realize that it's not the end of the world
> if you fail. Get the certificates for the tests you do pass and
> go back the next testing session. Some testing stations will even
> let you try again, after a short rest to collect your thoughts.
>
> And don't discount using CW, when you finally get your license. It's
> a fun mode to use, just like any other mode. The more you use it,
> the better you get at it, and the more fun you have. And that's what
> it's all about, having fun.
>
> --
> Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
> http://www.k7mem.com
> (remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

That may not work anymore. Used to be, the 10 questions were usually
"multiple choice". If you had enough letters or characters to take a good

stab at the answer, yes, you could conceivably pass. BUT.... I was told that the VE systems, at least W5YI (who I go through) - changed their system to require "fill in the blanks" - no more multiple choice. That means if there was an error sent on purpose of say "antena" and you were to assume - having not copied the whole word in code, that it was "antenna" - and you wrote "antenna" on the blank - you failed that question. You're supposed to copy the message AS IT IS SENT. NO ERRORS. If they send all words correctly, you best have all words you need to write down - spelled correctly. IF they have a misspelled word, you better have it too. If the word starts with a C and you assumed wrong and wrote another character down, let's face it, you're not going to answer a particular question correctly, if that word has to do with it. Then too, with our wacky English language, you could have To, Two, Too..... just as an example. While the words to and too may not be on the test as an answer, the word Two - could be as in Two Feet, Two Meters, or any other applicable combination. It all depends. If they use standard run of mill tests, he may never see that. IF "THEY" make up their own exams, as they are allowed to do, Lord only knows what may be in there. A misspelling of the word or an assumption could be costly. He could catch the first and last letter and "assume" the middle, not knowing for sure what it was. He could miss the word previous or after and not see that it should have been TWO in relation to something of height or so on. All presumptions of course, but possibilities.

He could still take a stab at the exam. With 1/2 the code, he stands a small chance of passing, but preparation IS the best answer. I've seen people fail for making the stupidest of errors even with knowledge. Being unprepared and nervous sure won't help. Whatever the case..... Good luck!

One more notch. Our team had a guy sit in for 20 WPM. He "claimed" he could copy it, but he had some sort of medical disorder as well - which he brought no medical proof of for us to give him special testing - which we would have been allowed to do. He took the exam. When done, neither he nor us could make out his copy - to start with. He also failed the written for the same reason. He couldn't read his own writing. Talking to the guy, he was pretty well versed in ham and so on. Was he prepared? I don't know. But even if he was, his dictation shot him down. It's all in the copy on paper. We can't read what you caught in your head.

cl

197. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 16:16:15 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11745-422889CF-409@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197222

From: lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net (Lloyd)
"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109871854.123332.244870@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
Lloyd wrote:
Hams whine
a loophole to sell CB amplifiers
liberal activist judge
undocumented alien employees
obstructionist liberal Democrats
references to God

>trollin', trollin',trollin'
-

Just repeating what I have been monitoring from the 3965 pirate. Go
down and get it first hand yourself. He says he is planning a simo
broadcast this weekend 3965/6672, and there is also local info available
on 27680.
73,
Lloyd

–

There has been all kinds of pirate activity around there. Check out
3960.....oh yea,,also strange happenings going on around 220 - 230
mhz,,A certain government security agency -and- a private security firm
contracting for the government have been using these frequencies with
some sort of technology akin to how trunk-trackers operate...but way
faster.

198. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 16:20:31 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11746-42288ACF-127@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197223

From: k4yz@aol.com (K4YZ)
robert casey wrote:
Lloyd wrote:
Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection of a liberal activist judge
Must be a federal judge, as the laws being "loopholed" are federal regulations. Or it's a federal sized troll.....

(I lean towards "troll", Robert...

-

> Ya ever notice that people who

>complain about others "whining" about laws

>being broken are those who are themselves in
>favor or actually involved in breaking the

>law...???

>73

>Steve, K4YZ

That certainly is a myopic view. Here's a glaring fact...the majority in this group who "whine" about the laws being broken are reactive instead of proactive. When this behavior is illustrated, the reactive whiners tend to look to others to blame for their behavior.

199. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 17:06:24 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11747-42289590-48@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197224

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Mar 3, 2005, 12:09pm (EST-1)
From: David T. Hall Jr. <n3cvj@ptd.net>
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave Date: Thu, Mar 3,
2005, 1:09pm Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace:
sv3-20BQzSei/+OOxagRWZ3HbcHI4mjyFYRVhWgZjREAhm+W1e9YThuKHZNRY9r502Fp0/Ucn2GoIJOIuUv!oHyapm
cNidp/SCEQba6plKY8RIkw5jQmyAKKvPmTPCtEMjUfujEQd9gdzFB8/XxQ+26Kl2l36xY1!GK1FzqVpGeU=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a
copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.32

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 10:00:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>You do not know exactly what Jerry is doing,

David, you have demonstrated you know shit about anything.

>Then prove me wrong

You do that far more effective than anyone else can.

>You are unable to provide said proof,

You made the first claims and bled when asked to provide proof for
-your- unsolicited claims.
.
>You are the one making wild conspiratorial

>claims about Jerry O taping people

If your memory was intact, you would realize JerryO posted several times
about his activities..

>Jerry claimed to be reporting violators to the

>FCC.

Jerry claimed to be reporting truckers on the interstates near his home.
Your memory is shot.

>He never claimed, as you have, that recent

>truck company busts were the direct result of

>such activities.

Your problem is with Jerry to prove it,,,,he's the one that made the many claims about turning in truckers on ten meters in his area.

>But YOU made the claim that recent busts

>were the result of Jerry's activities.

Pay attention..there were no busts regarding Jerry's activities..

>Now we're

>still waiting to see the proof.

And the group is still waiting for you to identify a single person that considers themselves part of your "we".,,as the only 'we" you have invoked are your imaginary playmates your ego needed to convince yourself you weren't really alone in your ignorant posts saying roger beeps were illegal...oh yea,,and the conjured, nameless law enforcement officers you thought important enough to bring up and hold as some sort of self-convincing tool, but not important enough to "prove",

>.How do you KNOW this about Jerry?

I'm a trusting soul,,I believe him.

>That'll be a first.

It only seems that way to you because I join the others in seeing yourself as you are unable.

_
Now, if I were a cynical, paranoid clown not unlike yourself, I would be reducing myself to your stature and jump up and down and cry and demand proof whenever somethign was said with which I disagree.

>Why, that's exactly what you do every time I

>make a claim.

It only seems like it's -every- claim -you- make, but only because you are a pathological liar and your posts are so easily disproved and discredited with your own hypocrisy and self-contradictions. You accused Frank of the same thing.

>Then you try to change the story when such

>proof is provided.

You invoked imaginary playmates and conjured leo's as your proof when asked.

>Do you mean like ..

(snip)
Not at all. I mean like the fact that you claimed one could not be ticketed for going one mile over the speed limit in Pa, when one clearly can. Yet, this subject has you heaving like a junkie jonesing for a fix.

>I never said that. I said that Pa. cops are

>required to give at least a 5 mph tolerance

>before writing up someone for speeding.

Go back and read what you wrote. You always contradict yourself.

_
Yet, this clearly is not the case.

>The statute 3368 covers this exactly.

No, it covers the exception.

>A cop may try to write someone up for 1 MPH

>over, but it would be immediately thrown out in
>court.

You said the law PREVENTED the officer from pulling over a speeder
unless he was going more than 5 MPH over the limit. You're wrong.

>You know nothing. You're guessing.

Perhaps, if I so choose, the incredible humor and irony of the situation
will be shared with you, as it is others on this group concerning your
claims of this internet personality that has managed to capture your
focus and became the object of your obsession and love.

>You've said this before,

Your focus upon the group has intensified. It merits mentioning again.
Many things merit repeating to you Davie, just like your ignorant
insistence that roger beeps were illegal, one had to force you to
understand that adhering to the notion that if a law does not explicitly
permit something, it must be illegal, was not correct.

>as if some magic cloak will be lifted and some

>person of relative prominence will be revealed,
>and we're all

Not everyone,,just you,..after all, twas yourself that latched onto
internet personalities in this group. Twas yourself which heralded to
the world your hobby is collecting usenet posts by internet
personalities with self-deprecating
goof monikers and incorporating them into your real world outside this
group. That's some life you have there,David. Without several of us you
latch on to, your life would be quite the roller coaster ride of
excitement and production. Only one like yourself would latch onto and
express delight with epiphany-like self-declarations of some sort
psychological insight of the persons tweaking your beak on the world
wide web. Then again, it clearly illustrates the blurred reality in
which you exist and unable to distinguish between what is reality and
the world in which you retreat and have imaginary law enforcement
officers that support your position and imaginary playmates who agreed

with you that roger beeps were illegal. And once again, there is no "we:.you are alone in your beliefs and misery. The frequency of you invoking such a term demonsrates how desperate you are for more conjured and imaginary support..

>supposed to stand here and bask in your

>aura. All I can say is bring it on.

That's what I said to you when you threatened to come to florida and "give you what you asked for", but all that served to prove was how you lied over thow that conversation ended and what a coward you are to the world.

>I'm betting that you never do it, because your

>fragile self esteem depends on your

>anonymity

You had your chance and proved yourself yellow. Besides, speaking of self-esteem, I'm not the one who continues to invoke imaginary playmates in all my posts,,you are.

> Plus I'm sure that anyone of any

>real importance in the grand scheme of things

>would not waste his time in a newsgroup like

>this defending the actions of lawbreakers.

Not only have you proved beyond a shadow of a doubt that you are sure of nothing, your ignorance is limited only by your imagination.

>So, you posit that the FCC will bust people

>without checking first?

Gee Dave,,,this is difficult for you. No one else is asking for clarification or always asking posters to explain what they mean, only you have this brick wall when it comes to overcoming communication deficits..

>Those deficits exist only in your mind.

The go ahead and explain how you misinterpreted (yet again) how the FCC would bust people without checking first. You have attempted but failed to attribue those words to myself, so go on and delve into that mystical reality where all your imaginary friends exist, and explain away,

>You are the one who claimed that recent FCC

>busts were enacted solely on the word of Jerry
>O's say so.

Quote it. :)

>The you claimed that they wouldn't

>do that without checking it out first.

You confounded yourself again.

>Then you say that this scenario is "not likely at
>all". You have flip-flopped on this 3 times in

>one post. You're really losing it now.

As always, it is your memory that is being brought to light as being impaired. You have been told as much by no less than three separate regs in the last two threads where you pooped all over yourself.

>Then why worry about it?

Your worries are not mine,

>Then why did YOU bring it up in the first

>place?

You've lost it. Jerry brought it up in the first place, you are just too challenged when it comes to communications to enable a memory that will permit such recall.

–
nor does anyone else on this group share your pain or worries or paranoia.

>Speaking for others again?

In this manner, absolutely. No one else shares your dilemmas, David,,no one shares your
paranoia,,no one shares your views. Such was illustrated when it was shown you were alone in your belief that roger beeps were illegal, you panicked, claimed "I am not alone I am not alone I am not alone", were asked to name a single person who agreed with you, and got angry, defensive, and attempted to change the subject. So, here it is again, since you can not comprehend things the first time..yes, I am speaking for others when I say they do not share your deficiencies, pain, or paranoia..well, maybe except for N8WWM and K4KWH.
The post about Ox struck a nerve with you, so much, in fact, that you are still gushing blood over the cut you received from the post.

>No,

Sure it did, David. You were raked over the coals concerning the law regarding your own hobby and the law regarding your own state and the imaginary playmates with which you were desperately trying to invoke and pass off as some sort proof of your bullshit claims. You felt the desperate need to attempt a diversion, which, such behavior has also been illustrated by others besides myself.

>I just took the opportunity to expose yet

>another one of your lies and am enjoying you

>try to dance around them.

Tell us again of those imaginary officers and conjured playmates that
you claimed agreed with you...take your time, now.

–
ALL the cases Jerry turned in were dropped, for that exact reason,,,,the
FCC can prove nothing on his word.

>The why are you trying to say that the busts

>that did occur were the result of Jerry's tapes?
Jerry told the group of his activities.

>You blamed Jerry for the recent truck

>company busts.

No busts occurred and I never said they did.
 I am the bane of your existence,,and you have no choice in the
matter.

>Projection at its finest!

Yes, several regulars have noted that of you, David, yet you continue to
find fault with all those who point out your probems, maladies, and
dilemmas.

>Thank you.

Thank yourself, after all, you are the only one that supports yourself
and agrees with yourself and recognizes your astute comprehension of the
law, beginning with your claim roger beeps were illegal because you
couldn't find a specific rule permitting them..

–

>Did Jerry have a hand in the truck company

>busts or not?

That stutter appears every time you get your tail caught in the door.

>That door is slowly closing around you and

>your wild lies.

That would explain more and more people finding fault with your lies and
self-contradictions in this group.

>What proof do you have that Jerry O, does the
>things you claim,

Ask Jerry, they were his claims.

>No, they were yours.

Again, the entire group can recall Jerry making these claims,,only you
are unable, due your piss poor communcation skills and deteriorating
memory retainment ability.
Back to demanding answers before you
provide for your own has never worked, David.

>Back to your old cop out answers.

Anything to avoid providing for your own claims, of course, deflection
has always been attempted by yourself when anyone asks you to provide
for your claims. I understand that making up these imaginary supporters
has dug a hole you are unable to claw your way out of, but you invoked
them,,it's not anyone else's fault you need to squirm when you are told
to provide for your claims prior to asking another to proide for theirs.
Sometimes the truth hurts, Davie, and your result is always the same.
Anyone who exhibits proper communication skills has the right to ask
and the right to an answer, that leaves you out, as you can not provide
for your own claims and imaginary supporters, so you have no
credibility, nada, zero, to ask another to provide for their claims
until you clean your own back yard. This is where your hypocrisy shines.

>More projection. You're a real basket case.

And your imaginary playmates told you so..or perhaps it was your doctor
in therapy...or maybe the conjured law enforcement officers you speak of
but trip over and fall when asked to provide. Does your therapy group
know of your hallucinations and reluctance to recognize you have no
supporters besides your wife, which is an already-strained relationship
due to your domineering and controlling ego?

>Predictably you would probably next claim to

>provide anyone else the "proof" if they ask for

>it in E-Mail where, of course, it would be

>unable to be verified.

By your own words, you hold unnamed sources and hearsay as verification.

>Which has exactly what relevance to this

>topic?

Proof that is presented by yourself (hearsay) as acceptable criteria
for your own standards, must be accepted from others.

>Please try to remain focused.

Not my fault you are unable to connect the relevance of your hypocrisy,
but it is not surprising.

>The truth is, that you're lying about Jerry.

The truth is, Jerry told the group about his activities on many
occasion. The truth is, your failing mental status is resposible for

your incompetence and inability to recall his claims.

>Truth is that you took whet Jerry once claimed
>and attributed them to recent busts, where you
>have no proof that the two are necessarily

>connected.

Let's put it in perspective. Truth is, you were made a fool concerning the roger beep issue, especially in lieu of your self-professed education and knowledge of radio. Truth is, you invoked several imaginary playmates and were not permitted to get away with it as a presentation of your proof and you latched onto another post and another topic that had nothing to do with you or your goofs in order to try and distance yourself from your conjured and imaginary playmates, incompetence and shared ignorance, only that was denied you, too.

>I'm sure everyone understands, which is why

>few people will engage in anything more

>substantive than small talk with you.

Projection.

>When was the last time anyone (other than

>me or Frank before he became your buddy)

>ever exchanged more than one or two

>exchanges with you,

Happens daily, yet, since you are on the outside looking in and haven't a clue about anything that takes place in this group or who the hell you are talking to during your breakdown and periods of low self-esteem, you can profess exactly and only what has been given you. Melting your reality with the newsgroup is your problem, David, don't worry about others so much, as it has contributed to your need for therapy and doctors and Kim T. Hall walking on eggshells around you because she never knows when you are going to come apart at the seams again and blame her for all your misery.

>I like this group.

Then you should take your personal off-topic issues to email, as has been suggested amny times on this group to you by many people over the years. I'm certain you "like" your family, too, but the need for your therapy has been evident since you began babbling about psychology issues in this group. I'm pretty certain I can speak for some others when I say we are happy you finally getting some real professional help with your issues, as it may help you realize that only you are concerned with the personal and off topic lives of cb'ers, and that this group is not your personal sounding board for such topics.

>There are a plethora

(google) :)~

>of

>people with various degrees of personality

>disorders to play with, along with a bunch of

>some really good down to earth people.

And according to many, many of your posts, you are above all these really good down-to-earth people. Hell, you claimed you know better than the majority on here and are more qualified than the majority in this group. That by itself illustrates the intensity of your issues, problems, low self-esteem and battered ego.

>I, on the other hand, enjoy watching you

>dance, predictably.

And I have always enjoyed bringing a smile to your otherwise scowling and dismal pessimistic life.

>Nothing could be further from the truth.

This is a classic case of you saying one thing after a previous post of yours contradicts yourself.

>As long

>as I can observe the actions of people like

>you, I feel fortunate in my own life situation.

And therein lies the entertaining comedic irony of your ignorance. You can't observe anything at all except what has been carefully hand-trimmed and tossed in your cage. You have been conditioned and trained so properly, you refer your position as fortunate. Quite the bonus.

>Perspective is a wonderful thing.

Then you shouldn't get so upset when others place in proper form. Nevertheless, that list of those responsible for your personal woes, going by those you have accused of wronging you in the past two weeks, is growing by leaps and bounds.

>Really? Other than you, and recently Frank,

>no one else has gone off the deep end and

>taken to obsessing about the words I write.

Because, much like your roger beep thread, many people are content on watching you set yourself up and are content in letting you hang yourself with -what you are given-.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

200. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 17:20:57 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11745-422898F9-429@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197230

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Mar 3, 2005, 12:15pm (EST-1) From: David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) <n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave Date: Thu, Mar 3, 2005, 1:15pm Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace:

sv3-8gFdBES3XewvQjBl82xGhEGCZjET6HTIhKT5omGdMiEwxdyXI3XqrkCKBchEQX4VprQaShCiN18qa/s!zVHy3d fCtRcc7gv7i/pnJ7LXwddpc6cmGc6q+UNlsGGIEDZuW/ZszZpE6bqMjdmuHfOnNmEBAJIc!dGLpDjr7kho= X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.32
On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 11:13:21 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>My my, look at all those quotes you can bring

>up at the drop of a hat (out of context of

>course).

None of them were out of context. We can address each one of them, but of course, you can't stand the pain. Now,,on with the show..

>You are a man obsessed.

>But you had your chance to meet the person

>who holds your self esteem in his hands, but

>you chose to weasel out.

You get careless when you upset yourself in such a manner, Davie. You made a veiled threat, telling me you were going to come here and "give" me something. You were offered each and every opportunity to make good on your cowardly threat. You tried to lie and claim some type discussion involving your credit card was the last word in the thread where you made your boyish threat so your cowardice would go unnoticed, but the last exchange on the subject was as follows:

_
">which then gives you a convenient excuse to

>say that you weren't around when I came

>looking.

I have that covered, too. A pic of the day's paper at the dockmaster's office where his clock (way up high) is very visible for all to see. You have no excuse."

–
The above was the last exchange. Faced with no way out, you
intelligently abandoned your cowardly threat made of your inability to
control your emotions on usenet.

>I might not be back in Florida for a few more

>years,

Perhaps you will grow up by then and not find yourself in the
all-too-frequent position of your mouth writing checks your cowardly
tail is unable to cash.

> so I guess you'll just have to bask over

>my words of wisdom until then.

>David T. Hall Jr

In addition to enjoying your yellow life spread all over usenet for the
world to enjoy.

201. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 17:31:29 UTC
From: Nick Marsh <nmar@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42289B71.4090209@earthlink.net>
Source record: 197225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Reported for what may I ask? WHo elected you to police the newsgroups?

No I Am Not Him wrote:
> Nick Marsh wrote:
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755135515&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>
> reported.
>

202. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 17:31:59 UTC
From: GEORGE BUSCH <WA3MOJ@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <po6h211sc14v2n7k0sk54vph1lt0hh7kr9@4ax.com>
Source record: 197226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 08:56:01 -0500, "Lloyd"
<lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net> wrote:

>Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
>found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
>type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection
>of a liberal activist judge who is protecting the rights of undocumented
>alien employees. Typical of hams, they prefer to whine in various
>forums and on the air, rather than writing a letters to their senators and
>voicing their objections about the obstructionist liberal Democrats in the
>U.S. Senate who are blocking Bush's court nominees with threatened
>filibusters. The same liberal activist judge is allowing other area dealers
>to sell previously illegal CB equipment as well, as long as there are no
>references to God in the stores or on the equipment.
>
>73,
>
>Lloyd
>
>
What's wrong with liberal Domocrats? If not for
them i would have tp actually pay for my own meds
and health care.

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

203. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 17:34:55 UTC
From: GEORGE BUSCH <WA3MOJ@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <ou6h21pn67lc7f2mmr15ogcrb8oh0jsjop@4ax.com>
Source record: 197227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Pay no attention to the newsgroup tattle tale
sissy. He is a festering aids infested pus bag.
LMAO!

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 17:31:29 GMT, Nick Marsh
<nmar@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Reported for what may I ask? WHo elected you to police the newsgroups?
>
>No I Am Not Him wrote:
>> Nick Marsh wrote:
>>
>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755135515&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>>
>> reported.
>>
>

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

204. Re: please help IDing an antenna from a jpg of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 17:38:32 UTC
From: GEORGE BUSCH <WA3MOJ@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <o77h219itgdie0b1ar1sd8f3hjsu3q49vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 197228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

High five Kathy!!!

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 11:26:28 -0500, KC8QJP KATHY
LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:

>Knock on my door and I'll slap you in the cum
>catcher!
>
>
>On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:56:18 GMT, "tom"
><cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Thanks Buck. You sound like you know what you're talking about. I never
>>noticed how many of these ham antennas there are until I got into amateur
>>radio and started looking around (I don't have my callsign yet, I'm still
>>waiting for my test results to be processed). There's almost one every
>>couple blocks!
>>Do you know if there is a way to do lookups on the ham callsign database by
>>asking if there is an entry for a certain address? Or is it just by name or
>>callsign? The one page I found for doing lookups only takes callsigns and
>>names.
>> Maybe I could download the entire info file from industry canada and either
>>use a script to eneter it into mysql or just do a string search on it.
>>Hmmm. Maybe I could just go knock on the guys door.
>>
>>
>>"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
>>news:pube21ldv52qo24ei6iagaur2l7f7ierop@4ax.com...
>>> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:15:32 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> It's an HF 5 band trap vertical for 80,40,20,15, and 10 meters. It's
>>> a ham antenna.
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> 73 for now
>>> Buck
>>> N4PGW
>>
>
>_____________________________________
>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

>More than 120,000 groups
>Unlimited download
>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

205. Re: please help IDing an antenna from a jpg of it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 17:40:07 UTC
From: GEORGE BUSCH <WA3MOJ@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <a97h21ln6grajb76pr6rs0ghqiilnim2a8@4ax.com>
Source record: 197229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

ROFLMFAO!!! Good one Kathy!!!

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 12:40:57 -0500, KC8QJP KATHY
LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:

>We send our used condoms to Vancouver for you to
>use as chewing gum.
>
>
>On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 17:22:59 GMT, "tom"
><cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
>>Fear not, my gracious lady --- I am several thousand miles distant, in a
>>far-away foreign land where amateur radio enthusiasts who have never met
>>before have an exceedingly bizarre custom of responding to each other in a
>>civil (and sometimes even, gasp, friendly) manner.
>>Is Toledo like some kind of urban hell where you're besieged by degenerate
>>crack-zombies, or something?
>>
>>"KC8QJP KATHY LEE" <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote in message
>>news:akee21hhj4bn8jer7vfj7lccvg8qv9a2h0@4ax.com...
>>> Knock on my door and I'll slap you in the cum
>>> catcher!
>>>
>>>
>>> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:56:18 GMT, "tom"
>>> <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Thanks Buck. You sound like you know what you're talking about. I never
>>>>noticed how many of these ham antennas there are until I got into amateur
>>>>radio and started looking around (I don't have my callsign yet, I'm still
>>>>waiting for my test results to be processed). There's almost one every
>>>>couple blocks!
>>>>Do you know if there is a way to do lookups on the ham callsign database
>>>>by
>>>>asking if there is an entry for a certain address? Or is it just by name
>>>>or
>>>>callsign? The one page I found for doing lookups only takes callsigns and
>>>>names.
>>>> Maybe I could download the entire info file from industry canada and
>>>> either
>>>>use a script to eneter it into mysql or just do a string search on it.

>>>>Hmmm. Maybe I could just go knock on the guys door.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
>>>>news:pube21ldv52qo24ei6iagaur2l7f7ierop@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:15:32 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> It's an HF 5 band trap vertical for 80,40,20,15, and 10 meters. It's
>>>>> a ham antenna.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> 73 for now
>>>>> Buck
>>>>> N4PGW
>>>>
>>>>WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

206. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 17:53:23 UTC
From: GEORGE BUSCH <WA3MOJ@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <n18h21tkctbp4a3bko5i340lsgs06jvect@4ax.com>
Source record: 197231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

On 3 Mar 2005 22:21:46 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Steveo wrote:
>> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> > Steveo wrote:
>> >
>> > > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> > >
>> > >>Steveo wrote:
>> > >>
>> > >>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> > >>>
>> > >>>
>> > >>>>Steveo wrote:
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>>>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>> > >>>>>
>> > >>>>>
>> > >>>>>
>> > >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>"qdw" <ebox@qdwebox.net> wrote:
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>>Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the
>interstate
>> > >>>>>>>>who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB
>amps. and
>> > >>>>>>>>non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams
>have
>> > >>>>>>>>been throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some
>loophole
>> > >>>>>>>>in the law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least
>$40,000

>> > >>>>>>>>in sales daily, since last year.
>> > >>>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>Fuck them. I run a reasonably clean kw on 11 meters.
>> > >>>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>>Next.
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>>Just what does "reasonabley clean" mean? If the equipment is
>any
>> > >>>>>>good, it will be clean.
>> > >>>>>>
>> > >>>>>
>> > >>>>>Collins 30L-1. Clean enough for me, jackass.
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>>And if you know how to properly use it, the 30L-1 will produce
>a
>> > >>>>"clean" signal.
>> > >>>>
>> > >>>
>> > >>>Go bark up a different tree, Buzz. It works great.
>> > >>
>> > >>"Clean enough for me" in CB language doesn't mean much.
>> > >>
>> > >
>> > > I'm sure you have a better amp than the 30L-1, "Buzz".
>> > >
>> > > Your need to have the last word is noted.
>> >
>> > Don't need an amp "steveieboy",
>> >
>> What are you a commander of besides the United Planets Space Patrol,
>Buzz?
>> >
>> > 100 watts with the KWM-2,
>> >
>> I run S line.
>> >
>> > a good antenna
>> >
>> No shit?
>> >
>> > coupled with good operating practices and I can talk to anyone
>> > I can hear.
>> >
>> What makes you think I can't, space patrol boi?
>> >
>> > Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only solution is
>> > to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>> >
>> Nice projection, I rarely use to 30L-1. What's your call?

>
>what'sa your call steveo? some made up freeband thing like alpha bravo
>unit 32? LOL
>
I know I hate these fucking pinheads that won't
post their call sign.......oh....what's your call?

WA3MOJ@AOL.COM

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

207. Re: hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 18:33:38 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4228AA02.9CBD24EE@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197232

> >It only took 2 months to realize the VHF FM travels farther locally
> >then 11 meters does. I can talk places simplex that 11 can't do!
>
> That's amazing isn't it? I found out the same thing when I first
> started playing with 2 meters 20-some years ago. Point-point
> communications range from base stations (especially with multi-element
> beams), is much better (watt for watt) than what I used to get on CB.
> A 2 watt HT can hit repeaters 10 or 20 miles away. However, mobile to
> mobile, or base to mobile simplex is a bit more dicey on 2 meters.
> There's a lot more multipath flutter (If, like me, you live near a
> bunch of hills), and 11 meters usually works better for that. It all
> comes down to terrain.

I've tried both 11 and 2. It all depends on location and circumstance.

> Have you tried SSB on 2M yet? That's even more fun, especially in the
> summer when there are tropo ducts practically every day. It's not
> uncommon to work most of the east coast with 300 watts and a set of 13
> element beams.

I've done 192 miles on VHF-FM simplex. I have the QSL card to prove it!
I did it with 50 watts and 3 elements at 18 feet.

That was my July 4th present from the Main Mama.

208. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 18:38:41 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4228AB31.5D60E82A@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197233

> What they should do is make it optional for code people, and give a real test.
> Then you can only transmit code if you take the test. But I don't want to wait
> that long.

CW should have it's own bandspace, not invaded by other weak signal modes.
It shouldn't be forced on us to use HF, but I don't see it going away.

To those that want to pound brass, more power to 'ya!

209. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 19:07:05 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <XvydnVfbX-HHL7XfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 197234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
>
>>>Nice projection, I rarely use the 30L-1. What's your call?
>>
>>That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on a
>>need-to-know basis.
>>
>
> Translation: Another anonymous no code walkie talkie operator that wants
> to remain that way.

Took my code test a long time ago, in front of an FCC examiner.

210. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 19:07:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <XvydnVbbX-EPL7XfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 197235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

King Zulu wrote:

> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.net> wrote in message
> news:PJydnewPcKfuR7rfRVn-oA@gbronline.com...
>
>
>> . . . Unlike you "cb freebanders" who think the only
>>solution is to splatter the spectrum with lots-o-power.
>>
>
>
> Since "citizen band freebanders" would be an oxymoron (freebanders usually
> operate out of the citizens band), I must assume your "cb" refers to
> "criminal boys" - or something worse for the "b" letter.
>
>

You're catching on.

211. echolinl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 19:29:05 UTC
From: ggggg <georgie.abraham@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1109964545.574558.13930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197236

Can any one help with a echolink id and password my email is georgy.abraham@gmail.com

212. Re: echolinl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 19:46:11 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4228BB03.62A14424@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197237

> Can any one help with a echolink id and password my email is
> georgy.abraham@gmail.com

Go to www.echolink.org and register with your amateur callsign.
Only licensed amateurs need apply.

There are non-ham chat rooms at eQSO.

213. hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 19:58:40 UTC
From: dark.kitty@verizon.net
Message-ID: <1109966320.651523.241500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197238

Hey-

Check out this great site that is giving away totally FREE iPods!

I've joined and I think you should as well.

It's a completely legitimate offer, and this company has already given
away $4 million in FREE stuff!

All you have to do is join, complete an online offer, and refer friends
to do the same. That's it!

Here is my referral link. To help me get my iPod, click this exact link
to join, or copy and paste it into a browser:
http://www.freeiPods.com/?r=15695190

214. Re: hello

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 20:12:05 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4228C115.5A7C62F2@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197239

dark.kitty@verizon.net wrote from Tampa, Florida:
> Hey-
>
> Check out this great thread that that just got SPAMMED!
> I've bailed and I think you should as well.
>
> It's a completely bullshit offer, and this company has already given
> away $0 million in FREE stuff!
>
> All you have to do is join, complete an online offer, and refer friends
> to get SPAM. That's it!
>
> Here is my referral link. To help me get my iPod, click this exact link
> to join, or copy and paste it into a browser:
> http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

215. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 20:16:23 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109967383.264921.278670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

GEORGE BUSCH wrote:
> Pay no attention to the newsgroup tattle tale
> sissy. He is a festering aids infested pus bag.
> LMAO!
>
> WA3MOJ@AOL.COM
>
>
> On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 17:31:29 GMT, Nick Marsh
> <nmar@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >Reported for what may I ask? WHo elected you to police the
newsgroups?

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).

Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). The

Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. §§ 95.603(c); 2.803.

216. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 21:50:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050304165008.973$ft@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> >
> >>>Nice projection, I rarely use the 30L-1. What's your call?
> >>
> >>That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation on
> >>a need-to-know basis.
> >>
> >
> > Translation: Another anonymous no code walkie talkie operator that
> > wants
> > to remain that way.
>
> Took my code test a long time ago, in front of an FCC examiner.
>
Dr. Ryland Scarno?

217. Blonde and troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-04 23:01:08 UTC
From: nedelec.s@voila.fr
Message-ID: <51508f1e.0503041450.6d3f4932@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197242

What is the relation between a troll and a blonde ? The answer on :

http://www.litterateur.org/index.php?mod=articles&ac=commentaires&id=141

Stef

218. Re: echolinl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 01:07:44 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42290660.B356047A@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197243

I'm on EchoLink right now on node 1xxxxx (:
(You'll have to email me from/with your callsign to get it!)
[confidentiality ensured]

Scott wrote:
>
> > Can any one help with a echolink id and password my email is
> > georgy.abraham@gmail.com
>
> Go to www.echolink.org and register with your amateur callsign.
> Only licensed amateurs need apply.
>
> There are non-ham chat rooms at eQSO.

219. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 01:49:47 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109987387.085673.277740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197294

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> From: k4yz@aol.com (K4YZ)
> > Ya ever notice that people who
> >complain about others "whining" about laws
> >being broken are those who are themselves in
> >favor or actually involved in breaking the
> >law...???
>
> >73
>
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> That certainly is a myopic view. Here's a glaring fact...the majority
in
> this group who "whine" about the laws being broken are reactive
instead
> of proactive. When this behavior is illustrated, the reactive whiners
> tend to look to others to blame for their behavior.

Lets see...twistedhed aka I AmnotGeorgeBush is against people whining when laws are broken. He himself is a freebander. Steveo aka moparholic also hates people who complain about lawbreakers. He is a freebander too. Safe to say lawbreakers dont like to hear complaints about their behavior.

220. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 01:51:27 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109987487.765189.65100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > > Nice projection, I rarely use the 30L-1. What's your call?
> > >
> > That is classified information known only to the Galatic Federation
on a
> > need-to-know basis.
> >
> Translation: Another anonymous no code walkie talkie operator that
wants
> to remain that way.

Yes those anonymous operators are bad folks. BTW, what's your
callsign/real name/address steveo?

221. ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 02:21:44 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109989304.846748.223490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's forges. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Steveo tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To Steveo, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that Steveo shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

GEORGE BUSCH wrote:
> High five Kathy!!!
>
> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 11:26:28 -0500, KC8QJP KATHY
> LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
>
> >Knock on my door and I'll slap you in the cum
> >catcher!
> >
> >
> >On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:56:18 GMT, "tom"
> ><cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >
> >>Thanks Buck. You sound like you know what you're talking about. I
never
> >>noticed how many of these ham antennas there are until I got into
amateur
> >>radio and started looking around (I don't have my callsign yet, I'm
still
> >>waiting for my test results to be processed). There's almost one
every
> >>couple blocks!
> >>Do you know if there is a way to do lookups on the ham callsign
database by
> >>asking if there is an entry for a certain address? Or is it just
by name or
> >>callsign? The one page I found for doing lookups only takes
callsigns and
> >>names.
> >> Maybe I could download the entire info file from industry canada
and either
> >>use a script to eneter it into mysql or just do a string search on
it.
> >>Hmmm. Maybe I could just go knock on the guys door.
> >>
> >>
> >>"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
> >>news:pube21ldv52qo24ei6iagaur2l7f7ierop@4ax.com...
> >>> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:15:32 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >>>

> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> It's an HF 5 band trap vertical for 80,40,20,15, and 10 meters.
It's
> >>> a ham antenna.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> --
> >>> 73 for now
> >>> Buck
> >>> N4PGW
> >>
> >
> >_____
> >Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >More than 120,000 groups
> >Unlimited download
> >http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

222. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 03:12:44 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
Message-ID: <d0b83e$bhj1@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 197297

cl wrote:
> "K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
> news:d09p7g$mib5@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>>I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am
>>>going to
>>>have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice. Vinnie
>>>S.
>>
>>There is no substitute for being prepared, but take the test anyway.
>>
>>Years ago, the only way to pass the CW tests was to have one full
>>minute of solid copy on your copy sheet. Now, you can pass the CW
>>test two ways. If you have one full minute of solid copy, your done.
>>However if you don't, you can take the 10 question test. You may have
>>copied enough characters to guess at the answers. The process of
>>elimination will help you on many of them. Get a copy of Morse
>>Academy, if you don't already have it. The built in sample tests
>>are exactly the same as the real test. If I remember correctly, you
>>only have to get 7 out of 10 to pass. The odds are in your favor.
>>
>>I have seen people pass the 13 and 20 WPM tests with only a smattering
>>of characters on their copy sheet. For me, The key was to be able to
>>copy a little bit faster than the required speed. That way I was
>>comfortable with the testing speed. Some people come by CW naturally,
>>but for me, it took a long time to get to the needed speeds. But
>>then, no one has to worry about the 13 and 20 WPM tests any more.
>>
>>Just try to be calm and realize that it's not the end of the world
>>if you fail. Get the certificates for the tests you do pass and
>>go back the next testing session. Some testing stations will even
>>let you try again, after a short rest to collect your thoughts.
>>
>>And don't discount using CW, when you finally get your license. It's
>>a fun mode to use, just like any other mode. The more you use it,
>>the better you get at it, and the more fun you have. And that's what
>>it's all about, having fun.
>>
>>--
>>Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
>>http://www.k7mem.com
>>(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

>
>
> That may not work anymore. Used to be, the 10 questions were usually
> "multiple choice". If you had enough letters or characters to take a good
> stab at the answer, yes, you could conceivably pass. BUT.... I was told that
> the VE systems, at least W5YI (who I go through) - changed their system to
> require "fill in the blanks" - no more multiple choice. That means if there
> was an error sent on purpose of say "antena" and you were to assume - having
> not copied the whole word in code, that it was "antenna" - and you wrote
> "antenna" on the blank - you failed that question. You're supposed to copy
> the message AS IT IS SENT. NO ERRORS. If they send all words correctly, you
> best have all words you need to write down - spelled correctly. IF they have
> a misspelled word, you better have it too. If the word starts with a C and
> you assumed wrong and wrote another character down, let's face it, you're
> not going to answer a particular question correctly, if that word has to do
> with it. Then too, with our wacky English language, you could have To, Two,
> Too..... just as an example. While the words to and too may not be on the
> test as an answer, the word Two - could be as in Two Feet, Two Meters, or
> any other applicable combination. It all depends. If they use standard run
> of mill tests, he may never see that. IF "THEY" make up their own exams, as
> they are allowed to do, Lord only knows what may be in there. A misspelling
> of the word or an assumption could be costly. He could catch the first and
> last letter and "assume" the middle, not knowing for sure what it was. He
> could miss the word previous or after and not see that it should have been
> TWO in relation to something of height or so on. All presumptions of course,
> but possibilities.
>
> He could still take a stab at the exam. With 1/2 the code, he stands a small
> chance of passing, but preparation IS the best answer. I've seen people fail
> for making the stupidest of errors even with knowledge. Being unprepared and
> nervous sure won't help. Whatever the case..... Good luck!
>
> One more notch. Our team had a guy sit in for 20 WPM. He "claimed" he could
> copy it, but he had some sort of medical disorder as well - which he brought
> no medical proof of for us to give him special testing - which we would have
> been allowed to do. He took the exam. When done, neither he nor us could
> make out his copy - to start with. He also failed the written for the same
> reason. He couldn't read his own writing. Talking to the guy, he was pretty
> well versed in ham and so on. Was he prepared? I don't know. But even if he
> was, his dictation shot him down. It's all in the copy on paper. We can't
> read what you caught in your head.
>
> cl
>

Like I said at the start "There is no substitute for being prepared".

They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations have
a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure is to
ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test as
I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in one

sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.

As far as stories, there are just as many testers crash and burn as there are ones that pass. Maybe more. But as I already said, it's not the end of the world. I used Morse Academy to create a group of tests on tape. Then I would listen two or three times a day for 15 minutes. That's all it took for me. There is not any need to check your work. When you copy well, you know it. When I hit 85% - 90% I would generate new ones that were faster. Of course, it did take me several months.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.com
(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

223. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 03:39:56 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <nS9Wd.49804$NC6.33991@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197298

"K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
news:d0b83e$bhj1@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
> cl wrote:
>> "K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
>> news:d09p7g$mib5@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>>
>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>
>>>>I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am
>>>>going to
>>>>have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice. Vinnie
>>>>S.
>>>
>>>There is no substitute for being prepared, but take the test anyway.
>>>
>>>Years ago, the only way to pass the CW tests was to have one full
>>>minute of solid copy on your copy sheet. Now, you can pass the CW
>>>test two ways. If you have one full minute of solid copy, your done.
>>>However if you don't, you can take the 10 question test. You may have
>>>copied enough characters to guess at the answers. The process of
>>>elimination will help you on many of them. Get a copy of Morse
>>>Academy, if you don't already have it. The built in sample tests
>>>are exactly the same as the real test. If I remember correctly, you
>>>only have to get 7 out of 10 to pass. The odds are in your favor.
>>>
>>>I have seen people pass the 13 and 20 WPM tests with only a smattering
>>>of characters on their copy sheet. For me, The key was to be able to
>>>copy a little bit faster than the required speed. That way I was
>>>comfortable with the testing speed. Some people come by CW naturally,
>>>but for me, it took a long time to get to the needed speeds. But
>>>then, no one has to worry about the 13 and 20 WPM tests any more.
>>>
>>>Just try to be calm and realize that it's not the end of the world
>>>if you fail. Get the certificates for the tests you do pass and
>>>go back the next testing session. Some testing stations will even
>>>let you try again, after a short rest to collect your thoughts.
>>>
>>>And don't discount using CW, when you finally get your license. It's
>>>a fun mode to use, just like any other mode. The more you use it,
>>>the better you get at it, and the more fun you have. And that's what
>>>it's all about, having fun.
>>>
>>>--
>>>Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM

>>>http://www.k7mem.com
>>>(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)
>>
>>
>> That may not work anymore. Used to be, the 10 questions were usually
>> "multiple choice". If you had enough letters or characters to take a good
>> stab at the answer, yes, you could conceivably pass. BUT.... I was told
>> that the VE systems, at least W5YI (who I go through) - changed their
>> system to require "fill in the blanks" - no more multiple choice. That
>> means if there was an error sent on purpose of say "antena" and you were
>> to assume - having not copied the whole word in code, that it was
>> "antenna" - and you wrote "antenna" on the blank - you failed that
>> question. You're supposed to copy the message AS IT IS SENT. NO ERRORS.
>> If they send all words correctly, you best have all words you need to
>> write down - spelled correctly. IF they have a misspelled word, you
>> better have it too. If the word starts with a C and you assumed wrong and
>> wrote another character down, let's face it, you're not going to answer a
>> particular question correctly, if that word has to do with it. Then too,
>> with our wacky English language, you could have To, Two, Too..... just
>> as an example. While the words to and too may not be on the test as an
>> answer, the word Two - could be as in Two Feet, Two Meters, or any other
>> applicable combination. It all depends. If they use standard run of mill
>> tests, he may never see that. IF "THEY" make up their own exams, as they
>> are allowed to do, Lord only knows what may be in there. A misspelling of
>> the word or an assumption could be costly. He could catch the first and
>> last letter and "assume" the middle, not knowing for sure what it was. He
>> could miss the word previous or after and not see that it should have
>> been TWO in relation to something of height or so on. All presumptions of
>> course, but possibilities.
>>
>> He could still take a stab at the exam. With 1/2 the code, he stands a
>> small chance of passing, but preparation IS the best answer. I've seen
>> people fail for making the stupidest of errors even with knowledge. Being
>> unprepared and nervous sure won't help. Whatever the case..... Good
>> luck!
>>
>> One more notch. Our team had a guy sit in for 20 WPM. He "claimed" he
>> could copy it, but he had some sort of medical disorder as well - which
>> he brought no medical proof of for us to give him special testing - which
>> we would have been allowed to do. He took the exam. When done, neither he
>> nor us could make out his copy - to start with. He also failed the
>> written for the same reason. He couldn't read his own writing. Talking to
>> the guy, he was pretty well versed in ham and so on. Was he prepared? I
>> don't know. But even if he was, his dictation shot him down. It's all in
>> the copy on paper. We can't read what you caught in your head.
>>
>> cl
>>
>
> Like I said at the start "There is no substitute for being prepared".

>
> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations
> have
> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure is
> to
> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test as
> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in one
> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>
> As far as stories, there are just as many testers crash and burn as there
> are ones that pass. Maybe more. But as I already said, it's not the end
> of the world. I used Morse Academy to create a group of tests on tape.
> Then I would listen two or three times a day for 15 minutes. That's all
> it took for me. There is not any need to check your work. When you copy
> well, you know it. When I hit 85% - 90% I would generate new ones that
> were faster. Of course, it did take me several months.
>
> --
> Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
> http://www.k7mem.com
> (remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

Yes, "we" (I am an examiner) do have a lot of leeway as you say... but the tests must still conform to the FCC/VEC's standards. Again - the code must be error free for the minute copied OR the "fill in the blank" answers. What we use as text, is up to us, as long as it is in the prescribed length, timing (5 wpm), and a mixture of alphabet, numerical characters, Q signals, etc. IF they have a handicap documented (preferably before hand), we can accommodate them with regard to that handicap and break up the code test to letters, numbers, words at a time, sentence at a time, etc. if need be. We can use lights, vibrations, code keys and oscillators, etc. Though the applicant may have to provide any special needs in equipment. The VEs are not liable for providing special needs testing equipment or facilities.

Yes, there is nothing like preparation. It is quite amazing to see people testing, those who have studied and those who haven't. Usually, you can tell - just by looking around the room as they test. You can also pick out by pre-test and post test talk - if they've studied, even if they don't outright admit it.

cl

224. Ping!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 03:55:04 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <s4aWd.3575$C47.1628@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197299

Ping Lee.....................

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

225. Ping!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 04:02:30 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <qbaWd.3578$C47.2158@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197300

Ping Jay! Going to North Of Phoenix Arizona for a Bronco
Event, it's only a couple of hours away from you.
Listen for me, I'm having the Stroppe trucked down
next week, so the radio will be present.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

226. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 05:33:31 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110000811.261092.42210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197301

Vinnie S. wrote:
> The General, I got 19 out of 35, without once even
> looking at a book.

cb/freebander attitude; "i won't make any effort to study, i want it handed to me"

227. Re: Ping!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 07:04:25 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <PdednfG6M_RrxLTfRVn-rg@giganews.com>
Source record: 197303

Landshark wrote:
> Ping Jay! Going to North Of Phoenix Arizona for a Bronco
> Event, it's only a couple of hours away from you.
> Listen for me, I'm having the Stroppe trucked down
> next week, so the radio will be present.
>
> Landshark

My Dad used to write insurance for Bill Stroppe back in the seventies. I got to cruise around his shop out here in CA for an afternoon.

Great fun. :-)

Jeff

228. Re: Ping!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 07:04:51 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sL2dnSIjYI6Nx7TfRVn-tw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197302

Landshark wrote:
> Ping Lee.....

Buddy Lee!

Jeff

229. Re: vinnie loves nigger cock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 08:34:22 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <iaeWd.575878$8l.319167@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 197304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.flame.niggers,alt.hackers.malicious,alt.non.racism

somehow these antique vermin seep into gay pages
fair boring to be radio has beens

so when you see headers like this avoid it.

you don't visit that site then delete that newsgroup

well, i am sure they are thrilled with their own content

as total losers

they got their own problems

230. winscanner / wintransceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 09:21:58 UTC
From: stacker <cmi007@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d0btnl$569$1@news1.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 197305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb

Hi,

i've been trying to get WinScanner or WinTransceiver from Malzev for the
resident Jackson: Winjack.exe,
and also for the Icom IC-240: ic240.exe.

I found all I need at a TriPod hosted site:
http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/cb-adio/jackson.htm
http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/cb-funk/ic-240.htm

The llinks to the needed executables are:
http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software/ic-240.exe
and
http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software/winjack.exe

But Tripod blocks the download of executables.
Anyone knows how to get a hold of this software?

tnx...Marco
cmi007@hotmail.com

231. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 13:32:21 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
Message-ID: <d0ccd7$bhi2@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 197306

```
cl wrote:
> "K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
> news:d0b83e$bhj1@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>
>>cl wrote:
>>
>>>"K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
>>>news:d09p7g$mib5@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am
>>>>>going to
>>>>>have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice. Vinnie
>>>>>S.
>>>>
>>>>There is no substitute for being prepared, but take the test anyway.
>>>>
>>>>Years ago, the only way to pass the CW tests was to have one full
>>>>minute of solid copy on your copy sheet. Now, you can pass the CW
>>>>test two ways. If you have one full minute of solid copy, your done.
>>>>However if you don't, you can take the 10 question test. You may have
>>>>copied enough characters to guess at the answers. The process of
>>>>elimination will help you on many of them. Get a copy of Morse
>>>>Academy, if you don't already have it. The built in sample tests
>>>>are exactly the same as the real test. If I remember correctly, you
>>>>only have to get 7 out of 10 to pass. The odds are in your favor.
>>>>
>>>>I have seen people pass the 13 and 20 WPM tests with only a smattering
>>>>of characters on their copy sheet. For me, The key was to be able to
>>>>copy a little bit faster than the required speed. That way I was
>>>>comfortable with the testing speed. Some people come by CW naturally,
>>>>but for me, it took a long time to get to the needed speeds. But
>>>>then, no one has to worry about the 13 and 20 WPM tests any more.
>>>>
>>>>Just try to be calm and realize that it's not the end of the world
>>>>if you fail. Get the certificates for the tests you do pass and
>>>>go back the next testing session. Some testing stations will even
>>>>let you try again, after a short rest to collect your thoughts.
>>>>
>>>>And don't discount using CW, when you finally get your license. It's
>>>>a fun mode to use, just like any other mode. The more you use it,
```

>>>>the better you get at it, and the more fun you have. And that's what
>>>>it's all about, having fun.
>>>>
>>>>--
>>>>Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
>>>>http://www.k7mem.com
>>>>(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)
>>>
>>>
>>>That may not work anymore. Used to be, the 10 questions were usually
>>>"multiple choice". If you had enough letters or characters to take a good
>>>stab at the answer, yes, you could conceivably pass. BUT.... I was told
>>>that the VE systems, at least W5YI (who I go through) - changed their
>>>system to require "fill in the blanks" - no more multiple choice. That
>>>means if there was an error sent on purpose of say "antena" and you were
>>>to assume - having not copied the whole word in code, that it was
>>>"antenna" - and you wrote "antenna" on the blank - you failed that
>>>question. You're supposed to copy the message AS IT IS SENT. NO ERRORS.
>>>If they send all words correctly, you best have all words you need to
>>>write down - spelled correctly. IF they have a misspelled word, you
>>>better have it too. If the word starts with a C and you assumed wrong and
>>>wrote another character down, let's face it, you're not going to answer a
>>>particular question correctly, if that word has to do with it. Then too,
>>>with our wacky English language, you could have To, Two, Too..... just
>>>as an example. While the words to and too may not be on the test as an
>>>answer, the word Two - could be as in Two Feet, Two Meters, or any other
>>>applicable combination. It all depends. If they use standard run of mill
>>>tests, he may never see that. IF "THEY" make up their own exams, as they
>>>are allowed to do, Lord only knows what may be in there. A misspelling of
>>>the word or an assumption could be costly. He could catch the first and
>>>last letter and "assume" the middle, not knowing for sure what it was. He
>>>could miss the word previous or after and not see that it should have
>>>been TWO in relation to something of height or so on. All presumptions of
>>>course, but possibilities.
>>>
>>>He could still take a stab at the exam. With 1/2 the code, he stands a
>>>small chance of passing, but preparation IS the best answer. I've seen
>>>people fail for making the stupidest of errors even with knowledge. Being
>>>unprepared and nervous sure won't help. Whatever the case..... Good
>>>luck!
>>>
>>>One more notch. Our team had a guy sit in for 20 WPM. He "claimed" he
>>>could copy it, but he had some sort of medical disorder as well - which
>>>he brought no medical proof of for us to give him special testing - which
>>>we would have been allowed to do. He took the exam. When done, neither he
>>>nor us could make out his copy - to start with. He also failed the
>>>written for the same reason. He couldn't read his own writing. Talking to
>>>the guy, he was pretty well versed in ham and so on. Was he prepared? I
>>>don't know. But even if he was, his dictation shot him down. It's all in
>>>the copy on paper. We can't read what you caught in your head.

>>>
>>>cl
>>>
>>
>>Like I said at the start "There is no substitute for being prepared".
>>
>>They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations
>>have
>>a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure is
>>to
>>ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test as
>>I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in one
>>sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>
>>As far as stories, there are just as many testers crash and burn as there
>>are ones that pass. Maybe more. But as I already said, it's not the end
>>of the world. I used Morse Academy to create a group of tests on tape.
>>Then I would listen two or three times a day for 15 minutes. That's all
>>it took for me. There is not any need to check your work. When you copy
>>well, you know it. When I hit 85% - 90% I would generate new ones that
>>were faster. Of course, it did take me several months.
>>
>>--
>>Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
>>http://www.k7mem.com
>>(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)
>
>
> Yes, "we" (I am an examiner) do have a lot of leeway as you say... but the
> tests must still conform to the FCC/VEC's standards. Again - the code must
> be error free for the minute copied OR the "fill in the blank" answers. What
> we use as text, is up to us, as long as it is in the prescribed length,
> timing (5 wpm), and a mixture of alphabet, numerical characters, Q signals,
> etc. IF they have a handicap documented (preferably before hand), we can
> accommodate them with regard to that handicap and break up the code test to
> letters, numbers, words at a time, sentence at a time, etc. if need be. We
> can use lights, vibrations, code keys and oscillators, etc. Though the
> applicant may have to provide any special needs in equipment. The VEs are
> not liable for providing special needs testing equipment or facilities.
>
> Yes, there is nothing like preparation. It is quite amazing to see people
> testing, those who have studied and those who haven't. Usually, you can
> tell - just by looking around the room as they test. You can also pick out
> by pre-test and post test talk - if they've studied, even if they don't
> outright admit it.
>
> cl

I'm just curious why they went back to exact copy, instead of leaving it
as multiple-choice, on the 10 question test. The CW test will probably go

away anyway, so I guess it really doesn't matter.

Thanks for the info.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.com
(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

232. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 14:16:59 UTC
From: Scan da bands <RK22@msn.com>
Message-ID: <4229bad0$0$10929$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 197307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

This KC8QJP character seems to have quite a 'colorful' past. Are you N8WWM, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" ?

"Fact: KC8QJP, according to the great state of Ohio, is a convicted felon for tax fraud".

See: http://tinyurl.com/4w3oc

or

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_thread/thread/1e8f9085fb8125e6/ffda9513b083ac22?q=KC8QJP#ffda9513b083ac22

>
>
"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1109989304.846748.223490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's forges. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Steveo tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To Steveo, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that Steveo shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

GEORGE BUSCH wrote:
> High five Kathy!!!
>
> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 11:26:28 -0500, KC8QJP KATHY
> LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
>
> >Knock on my door and I'll slap you in the cum
> >catcher!
> >
> >
> >On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:56:18 GMT, "tom"
> ><cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >
> >>Thanks Buck. You sound like you know what you're talking about. I
never
> >>noticed how many of these ham antennas there are until I got into
amateur
> >>radio and started looking around (I don't have my callsign yet, I'm
still
> >>waiting for my test results to be processed). There's almost one
every
> >>couple blocks!
> >>Do you know if there is a way to do lookups on the ham callsign
database by
> >>asking if there is an entry for a certain address? Or is it just

by name or
> >>callsign? The one page I found for doing lookups only takes
callsigns and
> >>names.
> >> Maybe I could download the entire info file from industry canada
and either
> >>use a script to eneter it into mysql or just do a string search on
it.
> >>Hmmm. Maybe I could just go knock on the guys door.
> >>
> >>
> >>"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
> >>news:pube21ldv52qo24ei6iagaur2l7f7ierop@4ax.com...
> >>> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:15:32 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> It's an HF 5 band trap vertical for 80,40,20,15, and 10 meters.
It's
> >>> a ham antenna.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> --
> >>> 73 for now
> >>> Buck
> >>> N4PGW
> >>
> >
> >_____
> >Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >More than 120,000 groups
> >Unlimited download
> >http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

233. FA: NOS 6146B's, Freq. Counter, COLLINS R-390A METER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 15:11:19 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <fbkWd.190$Av3.138@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Much more stuff then the title suggests.
Something for all, cheep prices too!
Some items are hard to find but all are useful, NO JUNK:-)
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

234. FA: DRAKE 2B CALIBRATOR, DENTRON 80-10AT Wire TUNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 15:13:25 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <cdkWd.192$aw3.184@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Much more stuff then the title suggests.
Something for all, cheep prices too!
Some items are hard to find but all are useful, NO JUNK:-)
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

235. FA: TRIONYX TR-1000 Freq. Counter>650mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 15:15:00 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <IekWd.193$Ax3.28@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Much more stuff then the title suggests.
Something for all, cheep prices too!
Some items are hard to find but all are useful, NO JUNK:-)
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

236. FA: CUSTOM HEATH WALL CLOCK w/YOUR CALL>Cheep:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 15:15:59 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CfkWd.194$gy3.168@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Much more stuff then the title suggests.
Something for all, cheep prices too!
Some items are hard to find but all are useful, NO JUNK:-)
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

237. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 15:16:52 UTC
From: Se&#65533;or Sombra <Shadow@escondido.com>
Message-ID: <E3kWd.44717$xt.2306@fed1read07>
Source record: 197312

Someone wrote
> I'm just curious why they went back to exact copy, instead of leaving it
> as multiple-choice, on the 10 question test. The CW test will probably go
> away anyway, so I guess it really doesn't matter.
>
> Thanks for the info.
>
In our area the VE's test as follows:

Following your listening to the QSO, we will check your copy looking for a string of 25 characters in a row without error. If you have copied 25 characters in a row without error, you pass. Each letter in the text counts as one (1) character, numbers, punctuation and prosigns count as two. If you have the appropriate number of characters in a row correct, you pass the code test.

If not, you will be provided with an answer sheet with ten questions about the QSO you just copied. If you answer seven (7) or more correctly, you pass.

Thus you have two chances to pass the test.

The issue of dropping the code test is before the FCC, estimates are no action until mid year -- if then.

--
Lamont Cranston

238. FA: BRAND NEW ANTENNA SPECIALIST DISGUISE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 15:17:56 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <shkWd.196$Uj3.50@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197313

Much more stuff then the title suggests.
Something for all, cheep prices too!
Some items are hard to find but all are useful, NO JUNK:-)
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

239. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 17:50:46 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <dkmWd.49876$NC6.8618@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197314

```
"K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
news:d0ccd7$bhi2@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>
>
> cl wrote:
>> "K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
>> news:d0b83e$bhj1@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>>
>>>cl wrote:
>>>
>>>>"K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:d09p7g$mib5@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I have about half the alphabet down at 5 WPM. But I don't think I am
>>>>>>going to
>>>>>>have enough time. Test is next Sat. I think I need more practice.
>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>
>>>>>There is no substitute for being prepared, but take the test anyway.
>>>>>
>>>>>Years ago, the only way to pass the CW tests was to have one full
>>>>>minute of solid copy on your copy sheet. Now, you can pass the CW
>>>>>test two ways. If you have one full minute of solid copy, your done.
>>>>>However if you don't, you can take the 10 question test. You may have
>>>>>copied enough characters to guess at the answers. The process of
>>>>>elimination will help you on many of them. Get a copy of Morse
>>>>>Academy, if you don't already have it. The built in sample tests
>>>>>are exactly the same as the real test. If I remember correctly, you
>>>>>only have to get 7 out of 10 to pass. The odds are in your favor.
>>>>>
>>>>>I have seen people pass the 13 and 20 WPM tests with only a smattering
>>>>>of characters on their copy sheet. For me, The key was to be able to
>>>>>copy a little bit faster than the required speed. That way I was
>>>>>comfortable with the testing speed. Some people come by CW naturally,
>>>>>but for me, it took a long time to get to the needed speeds. But
>>>>>then, no one has to worry about the 13 and 20 WPM tests any more.
>>>>>
>>>>>Just try to be calm and realize that it's not the end of the world
>>>>>if you fail. Get the certificates for the tests you do pass and
>>>>>go back the next testing session. Some testing stations will even
```

>>>>>let you try again, after a short rest to collect your thoughts.
>>>>>
>>>>>And don't discount using CW, when you finally get your license. It's
>>>>>a fun mode to use, just like any other mode. The more you use it,
>>>>>the better you get at it, and the more fun you have. And that's what
>>>>>it's all about, having fun.
>>>>>
>>>>>--
>>>>>Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
>>>>>http://www.k7mem.com
>>>>>(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That may not work anymore. Used to be, the 10 questions were usually
>>>>"multiple choice". If you had enough letters or characters to take a
>>>>good stab at the answer, yes, you could conceivably pass. BUT.... I was
>>>>told that the VE systems, at least W5YI (who I go through) - changed
>>>>their system to require "fill in the blanks" - no more multiple choice.
>>>>That means if there was an error sent on purpose of say "antena" and you
>>>>were to assume - having not copied the whole word in code, that it was
>>>>"antenna" - and you wrote "antenna" on the blank - you failed that
>>>>question. You're supposed to copy the message AS IT IS SENT. NO ERRORS.
>>>>If they send all words correctly, you best have all words you need to
>>>>write down - spelled correctly. IF they have a misspelled word, you
>>>>better have it too. If the word starts with a C and you assumed wrong
>>>>and wrote another character down, let's face it, you're not going to
>>>>answer a particular question correctly, if that word has to do with it.
>>>>Then too, with our wacky English language, you could have To, Two,
>>>>Too..... just as an example. While the words to and too may not be on
>>>>the test as an answer, the word Two - could be as in Two Feet, Two
>>>>Meters, or any other applicable combination. It all depends. If they use
>>>>standard run of mill tests, he may never see that. IF "THEY" make up
>>>>their own exams, as they are allowed to do, Lord only knows what may be
>>>>in there. A misspelling of the word or an assumption could be costly. He
>>>>could catch the first and last letter and "assume" the middle, not
>>>>knowing for sure what it was. He could miss the word previous or after
>>>>and not see that it should have been TWO in relation to something of
>>>>height or so on. All presumptions of course, but possibilities.
>>>>
>>>>He could still take a stab at the exam. With 1/2 the code, he stands a
>>>>small chance of passing, but preparation IS the best answer. I've seen
>>>>people fail for making the stupidest of errors even with knowledge.
>>>>Being unprepared and nervous sure won't help. Whatever the case.......
>>>>Good luck!
>>>>
>>>>One more notch. Our team had a guy sit in for 20 WPM. He "claimed" he
>>>>could copy it, but he had some sort of medical disorder as well - which
>>>>he brought no medical proof of for us to give him special testing -
>>>>which we would have been allowed to do. He took the exam. When done,
>>>>neither he nor us could make out his copy - to start with. He also

>>>>failed the written for the same reason. He couldn't read his own
>>>>writing. Talking to the guy, he was pretty well versed in ham and so on.
>>>>Was he prepared? I don't know. But even if he was, his dictation shot
>>>>him down. It's all in the copy on paper. We can't read what you caught
>>>>in your head.
>>>>
>>>>cl
>>>>
>>>
>>>Like I said at the start "There is no substitute for being prepared".
>>>
>>>They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations
>>>have
>>>a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure is
>>>to
>>>ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test as
>>>I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in
>>>one
>>>sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>>
>>>As far as stories, there are just as many testers crash and burn as there
>>>are ones that pass. Maybe more. But as I already said, it's not the end
>>>of the world. I used Morse Academy to create a group of tests on tape.
>>>Then I would listen two or three times a day for 15 minutes. That's all
>>>it took for me. There is not any need to check your work. When you copy
>>>well, you know it. When I hit 85% - 90% I would generate new ones that
>>>were faster. Of course, it did take me several months.
>>>
>>>--
>>>Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
>>>http://www.k7mem.com
>>>(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)
>>
>>
>> Yes, "we" (I am an examiner) do have a lot of leeway as you say... but
>> the tests must still conform to the FCC/VEC's standards. Again - the code
>> must be error free for the minute copied OR the "fill in the blank"
>> answers. What we use as text, is up to us, as long as it is in the
>> prescribed length, timing (5 wpm), and a mixture of alphabet, numerical
>> characters, Q signals, etc. IF they have a handicap documented
>> (preferably before hand), we can accommodate them with regard to that
>> handicap and break up the code test to letters, numbers, words at a time,
>> sentence at a time, etc. if need be. We can use lights, vibrations, code
>> keys and oscillators, etc. Though the applicant may have to provide any
>> special needs in equipment. The VEs are not liable for providing special
>> needs testing equipment or facilities.
>>
>> Yes, there is nothing like preparation. It is quite amazing to see people
>> testing, those who have studied and those who haven't. Usually, you can
>> tell - just by looking around the room as they test. You can also pick

>> out by pre-test and post test talk - if they've studied, even if they
>> don't outright admit it.
>>
>> cl
>
> I'm just curious why they went back to exact copy, instead of leaving it
> as multiple-choice, on the 10 question test. The CW test will probably go
> away anyway, so I guess it really doesn't matter.
>
> Thanks for the info.
>
> --
> Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
> http://www.k7mem.com
> (remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

"That" - you would have to ask the powers that be who control it..... I
just follow the procedures as set forth.

cl

240. sony viao

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 17:53:10 UTC
From: dark.kitty@verizon.net
Message-ID: <1110045190.914137.106970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197315

Hey-

Check out this great site that is giving away totally FREE Desktop PCs!

I've joined and I think you should as well.

It's a completely legitimate offer, and this company has already given away $4 million in FREE stuff!

All you have to do is join, complete an online offer, and refer friends to do the same. That's it!

Here is my referral link. To help me get my Desktop PC, click this exact link to join, or copy and paste it into a browser:

http://www.FreeDesktopPC.com/?r=11596071

241. Are you a 'Do-Be?'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 18:00:47 UTC
From: "Mr. Chigliac" <mrc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jtmWd.71388$H05.31480@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 197316

As in..."Yes it DO-BE the one-fo'-fo', and I'm back out."

242. a little help please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 18:49:25 UTC
From: dark.kitty@verizon.net
Message-ID: <1110048565.520525.232340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197317

i am trying to get a new computer as cheaply as possible and our local fox news channel said this was a very legal offer so i thought i would give it a try. sorry for the "spamming", i am just trying to get the word out. i appologize for any incomvienence and thanks to anyone who signs up.

all you have to do is sign up - complete 1 no obligation (that means free) offer and than get 10 people to do the same thing. Give it a try, what could it hurt?

Here is my referral link. To help me get my Desktop PC, click this exact link to join, or copy and paste it into a browser: http://www.FreeDesktopPC.com/?r=11596071

243. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 19:59:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050305145905.809$Mr_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Scan da bands" <RK22@msn.com> wrote:
> This KC8QJP character seems to have quite a 'colorful' past.
>
Yep, she was a CPA, till she got popped for bogus tax returns. (Felon)
>
> Are you
> N8WWM, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" ?
>
Yep, that's N8WWM, he's ashamed of his call..Oh, and he's also a felon, and
he made the rain report for jamming repeaters. I don't read his tripe
anymore.
>
>
> "Fact: KC8QJP, according to the great state of Ohio, is a convicted
> felon for tax fraud".
>
> See: http://tinyurl.com/4w3oc
>
> or
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_thread/thread/1e8
> f9085fb8125e6/ffda9513b083ac22?q=KC8QJP#ffda9513b083ac22
>

244. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 21:57:05 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110059825.512935.256700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> "Scan da bands" <RK22@msn.com> wrote:
> > This KC8QJP character seems to have quite a 'colorful' past.
> >
> Yep, she was a CPA, till she got popped for bogus tax returns.
(Felon)
> >
> > Are you
> > N8WWM, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" ?
> >
> Yep, that's N8WWM, he's ashamed of his call..Oh, and he's also a
felon, and
> he made the rain report for jamming repeaters. I don't read his tripe
> anymore.
> >
> >
> > "Fact: KC8QJP, according to the great state of Ohio, is a
convicted
> > felon for tax fraud".
> >
> > See: http://tinyurl.com/4w3oc
> >
> > or
> >
> >
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_thread/thread/1e8
> > f9085fb8125e6/ffda9513b083ac22?q=KC8QJP#ffda9513b083ac22
> >

Tell him about the time you stalked N8WWM and KC8LDO steveie

245. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-05 22:50:12 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <INqWd.4566$mv6.246@fe09.lga>
Source record: 197320

K7ME
>
> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations have
> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure is to
> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test as
> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in one
> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>

Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?

246. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 00:08:05 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <FRrWd.44770$Qz1.434@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1110059825.512935.256700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Steveo wrote:
>> "Scan da bands" <RK22@msn.com> wrote:
>> > This KC8QJP character seems to have quite a 'colorful' past.
>> >
>> Yep, she was a CPA, till she got popped for bogus tax returns.
> (Felon)
>> >
>> > Are you
>> > N8WWM, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" ?
>> >
>> Yep, that's N8WWM, he's ashamed of his call..Oh, and he's also a
> felon, and
>> he made the rain report for jamming repeaters. I don't read his tripe
>> anymore.
>> >
>> >
>> > "Fact: KC8QJP, according to the great state of Ohio, is a
> convicted
>> > felon for tax fraud".
>> >
>> > See: http://tinyurl.com/4w3oc
>> >
>> > or
>> >
>> >
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_thread/thread/1e8
>> > f9085fb8125e6/ffda9513b083ac22?q=KC8QJP#ffda9513b083ac22
>> >
>
> Tell him about the time you stalked N8WWM and KC8LDO steveie
>

Why do you talk in the 3rd person?

247. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 03:17:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <iitk219mc1d7q9bf0chc40145e0n72b3n6@4ax.com>
Source record: 197322

On Sat, 05 Mar 2005 17:50:12 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>K7ME
>>
>> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations have
>> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure is to
>> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test as
>> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in one
>> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>
>
>Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?

$14.00 now.

Vinnie S.

248. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 03:34:16 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <7TuWd.50147$NC6.25007@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197323

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:iitk219mc1d7q9bf0chc40145e0n72b3n6@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 05 Mar 2005 17:50:12 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>K7ME
>>>
>>> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations
>>> have
>>> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure is
>>> to
>>> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test
>>> as
>>> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in
>>> one
>>> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>>
>>
>>Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?
>
>
> $14.00 now.
>
> Vinnie S.

Yes, there is accountability. You must register each and every examinee. The VEs get to keep a percentage, the rest goes to the VEC. The VECs and FCC know exactly how much you've collected. Put it this way, no fees, no license. Even if the person fails, a portion of the money he/she paid for that test is turned in just as though they'd passed.

BEFORE anyone jumps to conclusions, the amount the VEs keep is NOT enough to compensate a 3 man team for even an hour. That doesn't count copies if needed, phone calls out of pocket to examinees - confirming testing dates, or follow up in case of a question after the fact. It doesn't count many things in. A popular magazine editor pissed me off once by "assuming" VEs get rich off this. THEY DON'T. Become one, you'll see. If you traveled say 10 miles to help your club give exams, at today's gas prices, there is no way in hell that pittance left over could pay your gas and time. You have to pay the postage to send in the exam package, need large envelopes, etc. Supplies cost money unless you are lucky and get them for nothing. Our team kept "any" money left over after all expenses - for future expenses. "IF" a small kitty started accumulating, then coffee was bought to provide to the examinees of future sessions, supplies were purchased for future dates. Believe me, you will NOT get rich off of being a VE. The V stands for "Volunteer", which is what you have to love to do, to put in the time that

is required. If you have busy sessions, it is a handful.

The FCC does not allow you to make a "profit", but they do consider costs involved......

cl

249. Re: vinnie loves nigger cock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 05:07:58 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <OewWd.580322$8l.407030@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 197324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.flame.niggers,alt.hackers.malicious,alt.non.racism

r u still here?

actually dug88 knows friend, without a word
steve is upset
i get upset
you get upset

even as brasveheart i would never drqag a man off to bed

now is the time to learn

steve can enjoy any buddy he loves

as a bunch of racists, almost neo nazi's i suggest you stop and get off your
pulpet.

a guy cares about a guy and you feel threatened.

is your love that weak?

oh rats, i ahve a hang nail
any buddy got an emery board.

<steveothehomo@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108466403.828644.36640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> steveo and vinnie love picking up niggers on their cb radios. vinnie
> loves to be packed in his ass but steveo would much rather swollow a
> nigger cock.
>
> i think steveo has a crush on dug88.....but dug88 wants twistie in his
> ass.
>

250. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 06:07:33 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110089253.770610.115550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:FRrWd.44770$Qz1.434@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
> >
> > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1110059825.512935.256700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>> "Scan da bands" <RK22@msn.com> wrote:
> >>> > This KC8QJP character seems to have quite a 'colorful' past.
> >>> >
> >>> Yep, she was a CPA, till she got popped for bogus tax returns.
> >> (Felon)
> >>> >
> >>> > Are you
> >>> > N8WWM, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" ?
> >>> >
> >>> Yep, that's N8WWM, he's ashamed of his call..Oh, and he's also a
> >> felon, and
> >>> he made the rain report for jamming repeaters. I don't read his
> >>> tripe anymore.
> >>> >
> >>> >
> >>> > "Fact: KC8QJP, according to the great state of Ohio, is a
> >> convicted
> >>> > felon for tax fraud".
> >>> >
> >>> > See: http://tinyurl.com/4w3oc
> >>> >
> >>> > or
> >>> >
> >>> >
> >>
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_thread/thread/
> >> 1e8
> >>> > f9085fb8125e6/ffda9513b083ac22?q=KC8QJP#ffda9513b083ac22
> >>> >
> >>
> >> Tell him about the time you stalked N8WWM and KC8LDO steveie
> >>
> > >
> > Why do you talk in the 3rd person?
> >

> why do reply to post that are of no concern to you?

good one lol, hi five lil bro

251. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 11:48:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050306064847.151$DK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050305145905.809$Mr_-_@newsreader.com:
>
> > Yep, that's N8WWM, he's ashamed of his call..Oh, and he's also a
> > felon, and he made the rain report for jamming repeaters. I don't read
> > his tripe anymore.
>
> You just forge him and other hams, assclown.
>
I forge no one, twat.

252. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 11:51:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050306065110.456$v3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> why do reply to post that are of no concern to you?
>
Oh that's priceless you hypocrite.

Since December 12 2004

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> destination.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have
> any balls

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> correctional Facility i am locked up.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> Nagger you are a liar,

> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
> him trip over himself repying to this message.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> ever heard ofsub seven??

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Insane in the membrane.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You queer assclown

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking clueless douchebag.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You suck and lancer swallows.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> out and burns down your trailer.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> exactly i dont you stupid fuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> oxycotnin.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> guess again assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL, you fucking sissy...

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i am not a sock puppet but you are

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> steveo taslks shit
> without ever backing it up oh yes

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Negro please

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I know you wont to pussy to do so.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> your a fucking jew aren't you?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats not george you clueless assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> read what the fuck I tpye

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did yur mother have anything that lived?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you befriendung him for other
> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as usual.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell

253. Getting out of CB...FS 2510's, 2600/chipswitch, 400 watt amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 13:40:44 UTC
From: Ex-CB'er <daycareguru2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0qydnT8cUo3ClbbfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 197328

Will be taking my tech test in 5 weeks...need to get rid of this CB stuff and move on. Might consider trade for 2 meter equipment..mobile only.

1. HR-2510 complete virgin never opened, never modded. Used sparingly as a base(non-smoker). Comes with mount, mic. Like new.
2. HR-2510 modded, "peaked". Was used as mobile for last 3 years. Works great. Comes with mount, mic. Good condition.
3. HR-2600 with chipswitch conversion(by rogerbird), best cb radio I've ever owned.
Clean modulation. Fantastic radio. Comes with mount, 575 Astatic mic.
4. 400+ watt "super modulator" amp. Huge heat sink, push/pull, 4-SD1446's. Variable power. Clean, neat and works GREAT. Was used mobile for about 3 years.

Feel free to email for questions/pictures

Thanks
Ted

254. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 14:35:40 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
Message-ID: <d0f4ft$bhi3@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 197329

jim wrote:
> K7ME
>
>>
>> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations
>> have
>> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure
>> is to
>> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test as
>> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in
>> one
>> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>
>
> Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?

In most cases, there is probably some accountability. The main reason for
the charge is to recover the cost of the materials used in administering
the tests. Prices vary from testing station to testing station depending
on what they need to provide the service. The VEs donate their time to
administer the tests and file the necessary paperwork, but do not have
to provide the funding.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.com
(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

255. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 15:56:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050306105602.662$k8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050306064847.151
> $DK@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050305145905.809$Mr_-_@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > Yep, that's N8WWM, he's ashamed of his call..Oh, and he's also a
> >> > felon, and he made the rain report for jamming repeaters. I don't
> read
> >> > his tripe anymore.
> >>
> >> You just forge him and other hams, assclown.
> >>
> > I forge no one, twat.
>
> You are the king of forging doug and wa3moj
>
Bullshit. Someone is playing you like a fiddle.
>
> go tell your lies to another
> assclown like your wife, now she is a real twat from what i hear.
>
Pathetic little putz. When you're not posting or forging with sick
gay material, you resort to schoolyard wife insults.

Grow up, punk.

Since December 12 2004

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4
```

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
> now she is a real twat from what i hear.

256. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 16:28:29 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <HiGWd.7900$%A.6619@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197331

K7MEM wrote:
> jim wrote:
>
>> K7ME
>>
>>>
>>> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE
>>> stations have
>>> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure
>>> is to
>>> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many
>>> test as
>>> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM
>>> in one
>>> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>>
>>
>> Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?
>
>
> In most cases, there is probably some accountability. The main reason for
> the charge is to recover the cost of the materials used in administering
> the tests. Prices vary from testing station to testing station depending
> on what they need to provide the service. The VEs donate their time to
> administer the tests and file the necessary paperwork, but do not have
> to provide the funding.
>
Hmmmm. Without having to Google for the answer I figured to ask an
amateur for answers to the fee accounting. Price variances and
accounting probabilities makes for an ideal situation for greed.

257. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 18:18:47 UTC
From: Scott Slack <sslack@cyberport.net>
Message-ID: <422b4a2c$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 197332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use. Power restricted to 100 Watts. Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.
-Scott

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

258. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 19:41:46 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110138106.930054.274110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Steveo wrote:
> Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:
> > On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
> > <AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
> >
> > >On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
> > >
> > >
> > >>>
> > >> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not
free,
> > >> so there's no freeband.... :-)
> > >>
> > >> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you
have a
> > >> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10
meter ham
> > >> band?
> > >>
> > >> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
> > >
> > >
> > >Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of
time.
> > >They ARE going after people...
> >
> > I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
> >
> I know of three within 15 miles of me.
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

what are there callsigns? or do they have to hide like you?

259. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 19:45:51 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110138351.511048.136330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050306064847.151
> > $DK@newsreader.com:
> >
> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > > wrote:
> > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > >> news:20050305145905.809$Mr_-_@newsreader.com:
> > >>
> > >> > Yep, that's N8WWM, he's ashamed of his call..Oh, and he's also
a
> > >> > felon, and he made the rain report for jamming repeaters. I
don't
> > read
> > >> > his tripe anymore.
> > >>
> > >> You just forge him and other hams, assclown.
> > >>
> > > I forge no one, twat.
> >
> > You are the king of forging doug and wa3moj
> >
> Bullshit. Someone is playing you like a fiddle.
> >
> > go tell your lies to another
> > assclown like your wife, now she is a real twat from what i hear.
> >
> Pathetic little putz. When you're not posting or forging with sick
> gay material, you resort to schoolyard wife insults.
>
> Grow up, punk.

pissed off? mad at a ham? why not pull a classic steveo and STALK HIM
ANONYMOUSLY like you did N8 and Lee? watsamatta, PA too far to drive?

260. Re: Getting out of CB...FS 2510's, 2600/chipswitch, 400 watt amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 19:48:31 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110138511.163694.309780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197335

Ex-CB'er wrote:
> Will be taking my tech test in 5 weeks...need to get rid of this CB
stuff
> and move on. Might consider trade for 2 meter equipment..mobile
only.
>
> 1. HR-2510 complete virgin never opened, never modded. Used
sparingly as a
> base(non-smoker). Comes with mount, mic. Like new.
> 2. HR-2510 modded, "peaked". Was used as mobile for last 3 years.
Works
> great. Comes with mount, mic. Good condition.
> 3. HR-2600 with chipswitch conversion(by rogerbird), best cb radio
I've
> ever owned.
> Clean modulation. Fantastic radio. Comes with mount, 575 Astatic
mic.
> 4. 400+ watt "super modulator" amp. Huge heat sink, push/pull,
4-SD1446's.
> Variable power. Clean, neat and works GREAT. Was used mobile for
about 3
> years.
>
> Feel free to email for questions/pictures
>
> Thanks
> Ted

Ted you are spreading keyclown gear to other keyclowns so they will use it to mess up the spectrum with. You need to destroy it, burn it, smash it, so it cannot be used. It is illegal to sell non type accepted radios and cb amps.

261. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 22:59:51 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <TJWdnaAdJdhQELbfRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 197336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Scott Slack wrote:

> Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use. Power
> restricted to 100 Watts. Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.
> -Scott

Why would hams want to operate on 11 meters and talk to cbers?

262. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 23:13:32 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
Message-ID: <d0g2qt$bhi4@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 197338

```
jim wrote:
> K7MEM wrote:
>
>> jim wrote:
>>
>>> K7ME
>>>
>>>>
>>>> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE
>>>> stations have
>>>> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for
>>>> sure is to
>>>> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many
>>>> test as
>>>> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM
>>>> in one
>>>> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?
>>
>>
>>
>> In most cases, there is probably some accountability. The main reason for
>> the charge is to recover the cost of the materials used in administering
>> the tests. Prices vary from testing station to testing station depending
>> on what they need to provide the service. The VEs donate their time to
>> administer the tests and file the necessary paperwork, but do not have
>> to provide the funding.
>>
> Hmmmm. Without having to Google for the answer I figured to ask an
> amateur for answers to the fee accounting. Price variances and
> accounting probabilities makes for an ideal situation for greed.

There is a VE, on another part of this thread, that explains it in more
detail. But, the returns from this kind of venture would be minimal.
I don't think anyone could make any real money trying to scam this
one. Even the FCC gave up on running the testing because they were
losing money and couldn't support the personnel required. Now they
still control the whole thing, but with minimum cost.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.com
(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)
```

263. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 23:13:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050306181353.066$eL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Scott Slack wrote:
>
> > Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use. Power
> > restricted to 100 Watts. Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.
> > -Scott
>
> Why would hams want to operate on 11 meters and talk to cbers?
>
Is that a valid email addy JJ, or are you using a valid domain without
permission?

264. Danita 608

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 23:40:18 UTC
From: pe7eb@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9dde7d2f.0503061540.5797d57d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197339

Hello,

I'm looking for the schematic's of the Danita 608 27 MHz Handytalky,
can someone help me? I already ''Googled'' thru the internet, but
found nothing :-(

73 Eric PE7EB

265. Re: Getting out of CB...FS 2510's, 2600/chipswitch, 400 watt amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 23:44:17 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <422B95D1.F324C4C6@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197340

> Will be taking my tech test in 5 weeks...need to get rid of this CB stuff
> and move on. Might consider trade for 2 meter equipment..mobile only.
>
> 1. HR-2510 complete virgin never opened, never modded. Used sparingly as a
> base(non-smoker). Comes with mount, mic. Like new.
> 2. HR-2510 modded, "peaked". Was used as mobile for last 3 years. Works
> great. Comes with mount, mic. Good condition.
> 3. HR-2600 with chipswitch conversion(by rogerbird), best cb radio I've
> ever owned. Clean modulation. Fantastic radio. Comes with mount, 575 Astatic mic.
> 4. 400+ watt "super modulator" amp. Huge heat sink, push/pull, 4-SD1446's.
> Variable power. Clean, neat and works GREAT. Was used mobile for about 3
> years.

I've got an HTX-212 2 meter mobile. I don't need anymore CB stuff, though,
I've got a shelf and a half full of dust collectors.

With the bottom of the skip cycle behind us, the conditions on 10 and 12 will improve.
You might want to restore them to factory and offer them to hams.

266. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 23:49:32 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <422B970C.51AF37F0@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

> >Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use. Power
> >restricted to 100 Watts.
>
> Why restrict Hams to what would be QRP level on that band? <g>

QRP is defined as 5 watts or less.

> >Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.

We already can, QSL?

I have a CB in my vehicle. A stock TRC-451 with an Astatic 636-L and
a 2x455 box that talks as far as I can hear with a full size antenna.

I also have a 2 meter and sometimes 440 as well. I left the CB in
because it was already there and when you want to find out why
traffic stopped, there's nothing like 19, for a minute or two.

> With almost total lack of any enforcement there,
> who's to know, or care if Hams do that now?

Only Riley will know...

267. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-06 23:51:08 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <QHMWd.50489$NC6.24567@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197341

"K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
news:d0g2qt$bhi4@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>
>
> jim wrote:
>> K7MEM wrote:
>>
>>> jim wrote:
>>>
>>>> K7ME
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations
>>>>> have
>>>>> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure
>>>>> is to
>>>>> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test
>>>>> as
>>>>> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in
>>>>> one
>>>>> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> In most cases, there is probably some accountability. The main reason
>>> for
>>> the charge is to recover the cost of the materials used in administering
>>> the tests. Prices vary from testing station to testing station depending
>>> on what they need to provide the service. The VEs donate their time to
>>> administer the tests and file the necessary paperwork, but do not have
>>> to provide the funding.
>>>
>> Hmmmm. Without having to Google for the answer I figured to ask an
>> amateur for answers to the fee accounting. Price variances and accounting
>> probabilities makes for an ideal situation for greed.
>
> There is a VE, on another part of this thread, that explains it in more
> detail. But, the returns from this kind of venture would be minimal.
> I don't think anyone could make any real money trying to scam this
> one. Even the FCC gave up on running the testing because they were
> losing money and couldn't support the personnel required. Now they

> still control the whole thing, but with minimum cost.
>
> --
> Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
> http://www.k7mem.com
> (remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

I don't know where they get greed from. A good part of the time, there isn't much left over worth fighting for. As I said, if the VEs traveled any distance, the little bit left over wouldn't be worth a crap as far as reimbursement for gas..... Not at today's prices especially.

IF you're going into testing for "profit" which is not allowed, may the Lord bless you, because you'll need it. BELIEVE ME - KEEP YOUR DAY JOB..... Getting "big" bucks from VE Exams????? Give me a break..... IF they are - to the point of fighting over it, then something sounds wrong.

cl

268. Re: Getting out of CB...FS 2510's, 2600/chipswitch, 400 watt amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 00:18:29 UTC
From: Ex-CB'er <daycareguru2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_Iidnc01YOFFALbfRVn-pg@comcast.com>
Source record: 197343

> With the bottom of the skip cycle behind us, the conditions on 10 and 12
> will improve.
> You might want to restore them to factory and offer them to hams.

Thought about that, but from the hams I talk to, none have interest in 10-12 meters. I just got a IC28A tonite, that'll hold me for awhile:-)

Ted

269. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 00:55:58 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <uj9n21pb2u2bj8giq1kcreh9isag3ogn6g@4ax.com>
Source record: 197244

On Sat, 5 Mar 2005 22:34:16 -0500, "cl" <clfurent@Yahoo.Com> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:iitk219mc1d7q9bf0chc40145e0n72b3n6@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 05 Mar 2005 17:50:12 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>>K7ME
>>>>
>>>> They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations
>>>> have
>>>> a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure is
>>>> to
>>>> ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test
>>>> as
>>>> I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in
>>>> one
>>>> sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?
>>
>>
>> $14.00 now.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Yes, there is accountability. You must register each and every examinee. The
>VEs get to keep a percentage, the rest goes to the VEC. The VECs and FCC
>know exactly how much you've collected. Put it this way, no fees, no
>license. Even if the person fails, a portion of the money he/she paid for
>that test is turned in just as though they'd passed.

There must be accountability. They asked me for 2 picture IDs

Vinnie S.

270. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 01:05:46 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <GTNWd.8424$fM6.4746@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197245

```
cl wrote:
> "K7MEM" <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com> wrote in message
> news:d0g2qt$bhi4@cui1.lmms.lmco.com...
>
>>
>>jim wrote:
>>
>>>K7MEM wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>jim wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>K7ME
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>They may have changed the tests since I took mine, but the VE stations
>>>>>>have
>>>>>>a lot of leway in creating their tests. The only way to know for sure
>>>>>>is to
>>>>>>ask. Each time I went it only cost $6.45 and I could take as many test
>>>>>>as
>>>>>>I wanted, each time. So I did. I took the Tech, General, and 13 WPM in
>>>>>>one
>>>>>>sitting and the Advanced, Extra, and the 20 WPM in another.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Where does that $6.45 go? Is there accountability?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>In most cases, there is probably some accountability. The main reason
>>>>for
>>>>the charge is to recover the cost of the materials used in administering
>>>>the tests. Prices vary from testing station to testing station depending
>>>>on what they need to provide the service. The VEs donate their time to
>>>>administer the tests and file the necessary paperwork, but do not have
>>>>to provide the funding.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Hmmmm. Without having to Google for the answer I figured to ask an
>>>amateur for answers to the fee accounting. Price variances and accounting
>>>probabilities makes for an ideal situation for greed.
>>
```

>>There is a VE, on another part of this thread, that explains it in more
>>detail. But, the returns from this kind of venture would be minimal.
>>I don't think anyone could make any real money trying to scam this
>>one. Even the FCC gave up on running the testing because they were
>>losing money and couldn't support the personnel required. Now they
>>still control the whole thing, but with minimum cost.
>>
>>--
>>Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
>>http://www.k7mem.com
>>(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)
>
>
> I don't know where they get greed from. A good part of the time, there isn't
> much left over worth fighting for. As I said, if the VEs traveled any
> distance, the little bit left over wouldn't be worth a crap as far as
> reimbursement for gas..... Not at today's prices especially.
>
> IF you're going into testing for "profit" which is not allowed, may the Lord
> bless you, because you'll need it. BELIEVE ME - KEEP YOUR DAY JOB.........
> Getting "big" bucks from VE Exams????? Give me a break..... IF they
> are - to the point of fighting over it, then something sounds wrong.
>
> cl
>
>
My point cl is that there is an avenue for fraud as there seems to be
little oversight concerning the intake of funds from the interested
public. Do you have a link where one could read up on how the VE's
should conduct the business end of examinations?
As an aside what are your call letters?
73, Jim.

271. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 01:30:10 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <391p8dF5rbfouU1@individual.net>
Source record: 197246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hello, Lloyd

You're gonna love the fallout from "conservative" Bush & company who has invaded two countries in the Middle East and is threatening a third. Be prepared for $80.00 a barrel oil. Oh, go ahead - drop the bomb on them since they won't cooperate.

You will find that although the religious right here won the election for Bush (who is *not* about to deliver on any promises except to reduce taxes whilst a massive deficit is about to destroy our country), the religious right in the Middle East is going to cause a *lot* of problems. Oil will be number one on the hit list.

You want lower taxes? Ask all the construction workers and truckers in America to go on strike. If no roads are repaired, we'll save a *lot* of taxes! Heck, cut the airports too. We'll save even more taxes!!

It appears that the Republicans feel that everything should be supplied to big business for free, including transportation, the infrastructure, power (I pay over 12 cents per kilowatt hour, whilst many businesses pay *under* 2.8 cents), and more.

Even black church leaders are condemning our "liberal" judges, conveniently forgetting their own past.

As to references to God - are you referring to the Christian "God", the Muslim "God", or the Jewish "God"? I'd inquire about other religions, but suspect a lot of double-digit IQ types might get confused.

Off topic, but interesting aside. If there is intelligent life far advanced beyond ours, I suspect they would not make contact with us as we are far too violent and would not make good use of any advanced technology.

Gotta love this place :))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Lloyd" <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net> wrote in message
news:d074ue$90t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
> found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
> type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection
> of a liberal activist judge who is protecting the rights of undocumented
> alien employees. Typical of hams, they prefer to whine in various
> forums and on the air, rather than writing a letters to their senators and

> voicing their objections about the obstructionist liberal Democrats in the
> U.S. Senate who are blocking Bush's court nominees with threatened
> filibusters. The same liberal activist judge is allowing other area
dealers
> to sell previously illegal CB equipment as well, as long as there are no
> references to God in the stores or on the equipment.
>
> 73,
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

272. Re: echolinl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 02:10:47 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <391rkhF5v08jtU1@individual.net>
Source record: 197247

"ggggg" <georgie.abraham@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1109964545.574558.13930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Can any one help with a echolink id and password my email is
> georgy.abraham@gmail.com
>

As Scott has mentioned, you must have a proper license. If you haven't gone to the site, here is some information copied from the site:

********** begin copy**********
One of the chief concerns about interconnecting Amateur Radio with the Internet is preventing access by non-hams.
EchoLink's policy is that each user must hold a valid Amateur Radio license in order to be allowed access. Each new user must be validated, and the most critical part of validation is authentication. Authentication is simply verifying that you are who you say you are. If your callsign is W1XYZ, you would probably not be pleased if someone else claimed to be W1XYZ.

How it Works
The validation process begins automatically the first time you run the EchoLink software. The software sends a message to our servers, called a validation request, containing the information you entered when you installed the software.

In general, EchoLink requires that each new user also submit proof of license in order to be validated. Sometimes, this requirement is waived if a heuristic analysis of the available information casts no doubt on the authenticity of the request. After being processed by the EchoLink servers, each request is reviewed by a volunteer staffer, who either approves the request or sends an e-mail message asking for more information. Typically, these requests are reviewed within a matter of hours.

You will know you've been validated if a list of stations appears on the EchoLink screen. However, if it's been more than 24 hours since you started up the program and your callsign has still not been validated by EchoLink, or if you have received an e-mail message from EchoLink Support requesting proof of license, it means that you must provide authentication in order to be validated.

Please understand that the purpose of authentication is not to exclude any licensed Amateur from using EchoLink, but to ensure its continued enjoyment by you and other users who appreciate its commitment to security. Also, the purpose is not to determine whether the callsign is valid -- that can usually be checked elsewhere -- but to verify that you are indeed the licensee.

How to Authenticate Yourself
If you have been asked for authentication, there are currently only two forms of authentication we can accept:

1. A photocopy of the Amateur license, showing the callsign under which you are requesting validation. The copy must clearly show the license expiration date, if applicable in your country. If you live in a country where operator licenses and station licenses are separate documents, you must provide the station (or apparatus) license. Please see Validation Documents for details.

The license copy may be sent to the EchoLink validation team either by e-mail (as an attachment), or by fax. You can fax it to +1 312 803 1851 (a U.S. number), or send it as an attachment to validation@echolink.org . If you send it as an attachment, the attachment must be a digital image of the license, such as a scan or a digital photograph, which is clearly readable.

-OR-

2. A digitally-signed request, using a Trusted QSL digital certificate issued by the American Radio Relay League under its Logbook of the World (LoTW) program. If you are already participating in LoTW, this may be the easiest option. EchoLink provides a special utility you can use to digitally sign your EchoLink request using your key and the certificate issued by the ARRL. (The digital certificate is free to amateurs in all countries, and ARRL membership is not required.)
**********end copy**********

When I signed up for EchoLink, it took overnight for the validation process. It appears that the validation process is getting a bit more difficult these days as they may ask for a copy of your license.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

273. Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 02:26:31 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110162391.517719.294550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197248

http://s8.invisionfree.com/Baptist_Bible_Board/index.php?

Everyone, Except the trolls... are Welcomed.
http://s8.invisionfree.com/Baptist_Bible_Board/index.php?

274. Question about difference bewteen cobra 76 xtr and 75 wx st

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 02:52:44 UTC
From: kavasc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110163964.512275.204240@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197249

is it one has PA and the other has weather?

275. Re: Hams Whine About Legal CB Amplifier Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 04:07:51 UTC
From: anon <anon@anonbandplan.net>
Message-ID: <d0gjuq$6bb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I think you are talking about the 6 meter band plan, versus the 2m band
plan. There are seven unique splinter freqs. and 15 unique whole number
freqs. for simplex. Well, when you figure there are still some CB packet
stations operating on the inner freqs., then it should not be a problem.
Oil
is the key though. Give it enough oil and away she goes for sure.

73,

Jnon

"JAMES HAMPTON" <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:391p8dF5rbfouU1@individual.net...
> Hello, Lloyd
>
> You're gonna love the fallout from "conservative" Bush & company who has
> invaded two countries in the Middle East and is threatening a third. Be
> prepared for $80.00 a barrel oil. Oh, go ahead - drop the bomb on them
> since they won't cooperate.
>
> You will find that although the religious right here won the election for
> Bush (who is *not* about to deliver on any promises except to reduce taxes
> whilst a massive deficit is about to destroy our country), the religious
> right in the Middle East is going to cause a *lot* of problems. Oil will
> be
> number one on the hit list.
>
> You want lower taxes? Ask all the construction workers and truckers in
> America to go on strike. If no roads are repaired, we'll save a *lot* of
> taxes! Heck, cut the airports too. We'll save even more taxes!!
>
> It appears that the Republicans feel that everything should be supplied to
> big business for free, including transportation, the infrastructure, power
> (I pay over 12 cents per kilowatt hour, whilst many businesses pay *under*
> 2.8 cents), and more.
>
> Even black church leaders are condemning our "liberal" judges,
> conveniently
> forgetting their own past.
>
> As to references to God - are you referring to the Christian "God", the
> Muslim "God", or the Jewish "God"? I'd inquire about other religions, but
> suspect a lot of double-digit IQ types might get confused.
>

> Off topic, but interesting aside. If there is intelligent life far
> advanced
> beyond ours, I suspect they would not make contact with us as we are far
> too
> violent and would not make good use of any advanced technology.
>
> Gotta love this place :))
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@spamnethamsorg.net> wrote in message
> news:d074ue$90t$0@pita.alt.net...
>> Hams continue to whine about a KC electronics dealer who has
>> found a loophole to sell CB amplifiers and imported non
>> type-approved hf radio equipment. The dealer is under the protection
>> of a liberal activist judge who is protecting the rights of undocumented
>> alien employees. Typical of hams, they prefer to whine in various
>> forums and on the air, rather than writing a letters to their senators
>> and
>> voicing their objections about the obstructionist liberal Democrats in
>> the
>> U.S. Senate who are blocking Bush's court nominees with threatened
>> filibusters. The same liberal activist judge is allowing other area
> dealers
>> to sell previously illegal CB equipment as well, as long as there are no
>> references to God in the stores or on the equipment.
>>
>> 73,
>>
>> Lloyd
>>
>>
>>
>
>

276. Re: ALL Posts say N8WWM and KC8QJP are felons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 04:15:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110168932.718247.191810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

>
> pissed off? mad at a ham? why not pull a classic steveo and STALK HIM
> ANONYMOUSLY like you did N8 and Lee? watsamatta, PA too far to drive?

No, it isnt too far for him...if he doesnt want to be gay with you, or he will never leave the Petro toilet, though.

Wouldn't it be funny if someone paid his QTH a visit and left him a note while he was down in Dayton for the Lambda convention? Hmmm...not a bad idea.

277. Free iPods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 04:56:34 UTC
From: "IPod <3er" <freeipods85@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110171394.706172.158720@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197252

Hey-

Check out this great site that is giving away totally FREE iPods!

I've joined and I think you should as well.

It's a completely legitimate offer, and this company has already given away $4 million in FREE stuff!

All you have to do is join, complete an online offer, and refer friends to do the same. That's it!

Here is my referral link. To help me get my iPod, click this exact link to join, or copy and paste it into a browser:
http://www.freeiPods.com/?r=13996463

278. Re: Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:13:48 UTC
From: VA1 Mobile <VACOMM@club.com>
Message-ID: <422bdf7d$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 197253

Who are you to judge whether they're a troll or not?

You need to check yourself before you sweat yo self or you'll find yourself
by yourself
"Me" <k8cpachuck@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1110162391.517719.294550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> http://s8.invisionfree.com/Baptist_Bible_Board/index.php?
>
> Everyone, Except the trolls... are Welcomed.
> http://s8.invisionfree.com/Baptist_Bible_Board/index.php?
>

279. Re: Free iPods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:40:35 UTC
From: pctech <avoidingthespam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110174035.155078.111390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197254

I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please help if you can by using my referal link: http://www.pctech4free.com/default.aspx?ref=59054

Thanks in advance.

280. Re: Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:45:53 UTC
From: pctech <avoidingthespam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110174353.304180.102290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197255

I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please help if you can by using my referal link: http://www.pctech4free.com/default.aspx?ref=59054

Thanks in advance.

281. Re: Danita 608

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:46:23 UTC
From: pctech <avoidingthespam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110174383.595885.31390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197256

I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please help if you can by using my referal link: http://www.pctech4free.com/default.aspx?ref=59054

Thanks in advance.

282. Re: Getting out of CB...FS 2510's, 2600/chipswitch, 400 watt amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:46:59 UTC
From: pctech <avoidingthespam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110174419.331437.3040@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197257

I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please help if you can by using my referal link: http://www.pctech4free.com/default.aspx?ref=59054

Thanks in advance.

283. Re: a little help please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:47:47 UTC
From: pctech <avoidingthespam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110174467.951896.130000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197258

I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please help if you can by using my referal link: http://www.pctech4free.com/default.aspx?ref=59054

Thanks in advance.

284. Re: Are you a 'Do-Be?'

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:48:59 UTC
From: pctech <avoidingthespam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110174539.617973.44680@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197259

I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please help if you can by using my referal link: http://www.pctech4free.com/default.aspx?ref=59054

Thanks in advance.

285. Re: sony viao

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:49:25 UTC
From: pctech <avoidingthespam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110174565.252129.187150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197261

I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please help if you can by using my referal link: http://www.pctech4free.com/default.aspx?ref=59054

Thanks in advance.

286. Re: FA: BRAND NEW ANTENNA SPECIALIST DISGUISE ANTENNA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 05:49:55 UTC
From: pctech <avoidingthespam@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110174595.273790.244530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197260

I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please
help if you can by using my referal link:
http://www.pctech4free.com/default.aspx?ref=59054

Thanks in advance.

287. Pay-Per-View FREE!!!+ dOcOx6k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 06:03:40 UTC
From: "Mr. Amazing!!!!!!!!" <Hmz@wg.com>
Message-ID: <3945$422beebc$83d8a3c5$4945@allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 197262

New XP2500A
The World's #1 Digital Satellite Television
Receiver Descrambler is Now Available to you!!!!!

Order Yours at: http://www.fta9000.3322.org

No Monthly Subscription Charges of ANY Sort!
No Service Contracts of ANY Sort!
No Telephone Connections of ANY Sort!!!!
Does NOT use ANY Sort of Satellite Access Card
The World's Number One "Cardless" Satellite Receiver!!!!

A NEW Product for the NEW Year of 2005
Our New XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver Descrambler allows you to view at your convenience the following with the USA, Canada, Cuba, Bahamas, Jamaica, Virgin Islands and Mexico:

View Over 600+ Digital Television Stations FREE! (Everything!)
View Over 200+ Digital Commercial Free Music Stations FREE !(all categories)
View Over 40 Pay-Per-View Movies Channels FREE!
View 8 HBO's/5 Cinemax's/10 Showtime's/8 Starz, etc. FREE!
View Seven Adult Channels FREE FREE FREE 24 Hours A Day (Non-Stop Action)!
Boxing/Football/Soccer/Baseball/Basketball ALL Sports Packages FREE (With No Black Outs)!
Receive Home-Town Local Broadcast Television Stations from 4 Time Zones
Use this New Television Descrambler at Home/Office/Truck/RV etc.
Works In the USA and Canada plus ALL of North and South America and Territories!
Works on: Nagravision, Seca, Viaccess #1 and #2, Irdeto One and Betacrypt Digital Satellite Signals!!!!

NOTE TO AUSTRAILIANS: We get many request about the Australia s "Foxtel Channel". Our New XP2500A Receiver works nearly ANY where in the world - but it will NOT receive "Australia s FOXTEL Broadcast Channel" as of yet.....they use Irdeto #2 a Decoding System.....so wait patiently - our Descrambling Technicians are working HARD on this one, to brake the code.
International Customers.

Our NEW XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver - NOW UNSCRAMBLES THE FOLLOWING SIGNALS:
ABsat
ABS-CBN
Arabesque (ART)
Astro TV
BBC Prime
C+ NL
Canada's Bell ExpressVU
Canal + France
Canal 7 TV Madrid
Canal Pro TV

Canal Rural
Canal Rural
Canal Satellite
Canal Sky-net
Canal+ Digital
Canal+ Digital Aerial
Canal+ Vlanderen
CanalSat
CFI Peco Pro
Channel RAI TV
Cine+ Digital
Cohem sweden
C-SKY NET
CYFRA+
DigiFunClub
Digital+
Dish Network (USA)
Dream TV
EMI Special Channel
Eurosport
Euskaltel
FT Cable
Globe Cast MMBN
GlobeCast
GlobeCast Espana
GlobeCast France
HRT
MCM Europe
Mediaset
Mediavision
MiniMax
MMBN
MTV networks
Orbit Network
ORF Austria
Parabole reunion
Polsat
Premiere
SABC
Selector Tele
Senda/Boxer
SRG Swiss
SVT Europa
Taipei International
TBL TV
Televisa
TPS
TV Cabo
TV Slovenia
TVBS

ViaSat Europe
ViaSat Nordic

Okay.....So STOP PAYING THOSE MONTHLY SUBSCRIPTION COST FOR
SUBSCRIPTION CABLE OR SATELLITE TELEVISION��
Get Our New XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver Now - go to the URL below NOW:

Don't Delay Order Yours at: http://www.fta9000.3322.org

VH7418VCyacncZ87E8SHbhvZuYH6nbInfPrPIT2wejdNwHpR1UAps9lYU6gdxvmtl

288. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 13:19:37 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c55f1_5@x-privat.org>
Source record: 197263
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109599322.462112.111370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> n8wwm isnt posting in some other person's name, this idiot is just mad
> because he got his ISP yanked for posting violent threats.
>
I think it's Goerge wa3moj from rec.radio.cb

289. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 13:42:17 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c5b44_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 197264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Did he invite you to Dayton too Doug? Are you going?
>
"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109989304.846748.223490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's forges. Keyclowns
are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to
tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset
because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this
attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about
being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and
whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and
cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents
indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young
mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always
get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's
terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years
immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely
controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of
such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling
obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is
nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private
yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of
keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed
with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a
mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated,
thwarted, angry personality. Steveo tries to make someone conform to
his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To
Steveo, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address,
and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in
their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC
should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that Steveo shows himself to be a disturbed individual in
these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited
with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all

keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

GEORGE BUSCH wrote:
> High five Kathy!!!
>
> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 11:26:28 -0500, KC8QJP KATHY
> LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
>
> >Knock on my door and I'll slap you in the cum
> >catcher!
> >
> >
> >On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:56:18 GMT, "tom"
> ><cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >
> >>Thanks Buck. You sound like you know what you're talking about. I
never
> >>noticed how many of these ham antennas there are until I got into
amateur
> >>radio and started looking around (I don't have my callsign yet, I'm
still
> >>waiting for my test results to be processed). There's almost one
every
> >>couple blocks!
> >>Do you know if there is a way to do lookups on the ham callsign
database by
> >>asking if there is an entry for a certain address? Or is it just
by name or
> >>callsign? The one page I found for doing lookups only takes
callsigns and
> >>names.
> >> Maybe I could download the entire info file from industry canada
and either
> >>use a script to eneter it into mysql or just do a string search on
it.
> >>Hmmm. Maybe I could just go knock on the guys door.
> >>

> >>
> >>"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
> >>news:pube21ldv52qo24ei6iagaur2l7f7ierop@4ax.com...
> >>> On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 15:15:32 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> It's an HF 5 band trap vertical for 80,40,20,15, and 10 meters.
It's
> >>> a ham antenna.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> --
> >>> 73 for now
> >>> Buck
> >>> N4PGW
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up

> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

290. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 18:11:33 UTC
From: Lee Scott <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <422c99ae$0$13885$892e7fe2@authen.white.readfreenews.net>
Source record: 197265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109989304.846748.223490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
Typical of
such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling
obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.
>
Are we supposed to feel sorry for you after he pounds you to a pulp dougay?

291. Stupid question about a CB Portable/Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-07 23:43:55 UTC
From: dereck.hall@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1110239035.764340.228800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197266

I was stuck in traffic for two hours this past weekend... has no idea what was going on until two hours later when they directed us OFF of the interstate. All I want is a portable/handheld CB radio with great range, that will pickup all of the freq's/channels that truckers use (since they will most likely know what is going down) Can someone here please recommend a good one?

292. Re: Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 00:46:30 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vtydnWGUh5h8aLHfRVn-qw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197267

Me wrote:
> http://s8.invisionfree.com/Baptist_Bible_Board/index.php?
>
> Everyone, Except the trolls... are Welcomed.
> http://s8.invisionfree.com/Baptist_Bible_Board/index.php?

Will they allow me on?

Satan

293. Re: Stupid question about a CB Portable/Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 00:47:40 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <pftp2157dpan734p4u7gi391d0ib471ps2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197268

On 7 Mar 2005 15:43:55 -0800, dereck.hall@gmail.com wrote:

>I was stuck in traffic for two hours this past weekend... has no idea
>what was going on until two hours later when they directed us OFF of
>the interstate. All I want is a portable/handheld CB radio with great
>range, that will pickup all of the freq's/channels that truckers use
>(since they will most likely know what is going down) Can someone here
>please recommend a good one?

Unless you at using an external antenna, your range will be nothing, especially
from inside the car.

Vinnie S.

294. Re: Stupid question about a CB Portable/Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 01:42:29 UTC
From: KeyBoard In The Wilderness <KB@forest.com>
Message-ID: <9q7Xd.49293$xt.40347@fed1read07>
Source record: 197269

Consider a no-code Amateur Radio license, technician class.
You can access repeaters with 90+ miles range.
Repeaters are usually on mountain tops, hence the extended range.
A mobile 2M rig with a 19" whip antenna will do the trick.
Repeaters are full of traffic reports for the commuting, traveling ham.
Base stations can use the internet to report traffic incidents and traffic flow.
Also great for getting directions if you are not familiar with the territory.

--
KeyBoard In The Wilderness

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message news:pftp2157dpan734p4u7gi391d0ib471ps2@4ax.com...
> On 7 Mar 2005 15:43:55 -0800, dereck.hall@gmail.com wrote:
>
>>I was stuck in traffic for two hours this past weekend... has no idea
>>what was going on until two hours later when they directed us OFF of
>>the interstate. All I want is a portable/handheld CB radio with great
>>range, that will pickup all of the freq's/channels that truckers use
>>(since they will most likely know what is going down) Can someone here
>>please recommend a good one?
>
>
> Unless you at using an external antenna, your range will be nothing,
> especially
> from inside the car.
>
> Vinnie S.

295. Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 03:08:19 UTC
From: Axl <nope@noway.com>
Message-ID: <DG8Xd.1233$jW6.583@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 197270

Ok, I had a Cobra 25 WX NW ST.

Lately it was acting strange, like...with the squelch all the way down on a dead channel, I still would hear no static. After futzing with it, it seemed like if I jiggled the power cord, it would come in and out. Later it seemed not to be the power specifically, but seemed like any time i tapped on the back panel it might come in and go back out.

So I figured this was a short and looked for a new radio. Not being heavily into this, I went with the Cobra 75 wx st, mainly because its nice and compact and considering I'm in a Honda accord, the less space it takes up, the better.

So when I hooked it up tonight, it didnt seem like anyone could hear me. So I got my SWR meter out and did a test. Both channels 1 and 40 were peaking well over 3 to 1. I read somewhere that's an indication of a short in the antenna. Is this ALL that could cause this?

I question whether or not I have a grounding issue. I'm about to try another antenna...although around here finding a decent one can be tough. I saw this one from crap shack, which looked like the most decent tunable one they had:
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F008%5F009%5F005%5F001&product%5Fid=21%2D972
Magnetic-Mount Mobile CB/Ham Antenna
$34.99
Catalog #: 21-972

Any suggestions? :/ Right now, temporarily, the power is run through cig lighter adapter. I dont know anything about electrical wiring so I have it that way until I can have someone do it. Even when my 25 was hard wired, I still got interference noise from things like rolling up my windows or my power radio antenna going up and down. Is that normal?

Thanks for your help! I hope to resolve this ASAP.

296. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 03:55:36 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110254136.475791.151500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Lee Scott wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1109989304.846748.223490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Typical of
> such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic,
yelling
> obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.
> >
> Are we supposed to feel sorry for you after he pounds you to a pulp
dougay?

Forging again moparholic? Lessons from Twisteds playbook no doubt.

297. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 05:51:31 UTC
From: Axl <nope@noway.com>
Message-ID: <D3bXd.489$NL3.39@fe1.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 197272

Richard wrote:
> On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 03:08:19 GMT Axl wrote:
>
>
>>Ok, I had a Cobra 25 WX NW ST.
>>
>>Lately it was acting strange, like...with the squelch all the way down
>>on a dead channel, I still would hear no static. After futzing with it,
>>it seemed like if I jiggled the power cord, it would come in and out.
>>Later it seemed not to be the power specifically, but seemed like any
>>time i tapped on the back panel it might come in and go back out.
>>
>>So I figured this was a short and looked for a new radio. Not being
>>heavily into this, I went with the Cobra 75 wx st, mainly because its
>>nice and compact and considering I'm in a Honda accord, the less space
>>it takes up, the better.
>>
>>So when I hooked it up tonight, it didnt seem like anyone could hear me.
>>So I got my SWR meter out and did a test. Both channels 1 and 40 were
>>peaking well over 3 to 1. I read somewhere that's an indication of a
>>short in the antenna. Is this ALL that could cause this?
>
>
> Might also be a pinched coax.
> If you're case isn't grounded, it's relying on the antenna ground which is
> not a good idea.
> Since most new car interiors are mainly plastic, it's difficult to find a
> good ground.
> Find yourself a screw or bolt mounted into the firewall and tap that for a
> ground.
> Before you go replacing the antenna, check the coax for a break.
> Use a standard ohm meter on both ends.
>
> Then again, it could be nothing more than a poor ground on the antenna
> itself.
>
>
> If you can, install the radio(s) in another vehicle and see if the same
> thing happens.
>
>

Well the weird thing with this unit is, the actual installed component
is no more than a 2x1 inch box almost, since all the controls are on the

mic itself. One of the suggested places for mounting this part is under the seat...would running a ground to some metal part of the seat track work you think?

Of course, the other problem with the ground issue is as I said, the power right now us run through an A/C adapter. Although tech. speaking that should be grounded as well.

Ive checked the antenna coax, no breaks however the threaded end is bent where the wire meets the connector since my old CB unit was upright close to the floor and didnt leave the coax much room, so over time it kind of developed this 90 degree angle.

What is aggravating is I noticed the coax I connect my SWR meter with is kinda messed up at the ends...so who knows if its even giving an accurate reading, although I'd be willing to bet it is since I cant seem to even get a radio check response on the highway.

298. Get Rock Hard Erectiom With .... PANADEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 07:28:14 UTC
From: chincerylovely <newjersylove10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110266894.610077.130770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197273

PANADEX - An amazing herbal formulation, strengthens the erection like a length of steel pipe, enables you to have ROCK HARD,THROBBING ERECTIONS that will last ALL NIGHT LONG! You'll be able to achieve ROCK HARD erections ANY TIME you want and last in bed like a porn star!A powerful herbal formulation, used from ancient time by emprerors,lords and kings,for playing sex every day with many ladiesat a time. It removes impotence, when taken alongwith Herbaalex herbal tonic.For details

http://www.herbaalex.com/panadex.htm

299. Herbaalex - Most Powerful Herbal Sex Tonic In The World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 07:29:47 UTC
From: chincerylovely <newjersylove10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110266987.384536.99100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197274

Herbaalex most powerful herbal sex tonic in the world,Removes premature ejaculation permanently. It is also a guaranteed treatment for Impotence.It cures erectile dysfunction and improves the prostate health.Herbaalex enhancesthe sex desire in men and women. An amazing formulation of herbs,nothing can compete it's unique formula. No side effects . 100 % money back guarantee, if Herbaalex does not cure your sex problem.

http://www.herbaalex.com/herbaalex.htm

300. Most Effective Herbal Treatment For Acne Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 07:31:45 UTC
From: chincerylovely <newjersylove10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110267105.185802.133590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197275

Clear skin attracts to every one. Remove pimples and blackheads from your face or any part ofbody with Acne-Care tablets.Acne-Care is a guaranteed herbal cure for acne.By using acne-Care Tablets,acne can betreated successfully in virtually every case.If you want clear,blemish-free skin,Acne-Care is a proven effective treatment for acne removal.Acne needs to be treated as soon as possible.When Acne-Care istaken as directed, you will see results in less than Four weeks.With Acne-Care,persistence pays off!

http://www.herbaalex.com/acne.htm

301. See the miracles !!!! Repairs skin and eliminate eczema

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 07:33:16 UTC
From: chincerylovely <newjersylove10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110267196.740827.229510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197276

Eczemax- There are several different types of eczema,many of which look similar but have very different causes and treatments. The first step in effective treatment of eczema is a correct diagnosis.We are providing herbal treatment for the cure of eczema.All kinds of eczema has been treated successfully.

http://www.herbaalex.com/eczemax.htm

302. The Guaranteed treatment for Leukorrhea (Leucorreah)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 07:35:24 UTC
From: chincerylovely <newjersylove10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110267324.549357.275280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197278

Leukoren- A herbal tonic for treatment of Leukorreah(liquid flowing from vagina). An effective remedy for many female ailments. It improves general and sexhealth of females. Leukoren can also remove any form of swelling in the uterus. Leukoren is also a nervine and general tonic as it helps in maintaining general vitality in females

http://www.herbaalex.com/leukoren.htm

303. The Magic Herbal Treatment for Leucoderma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 07:37:20 UTC
From: chincerylovely <newjersylove10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110267440.827854.103810@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197277

Dermarine- A herbal treatment for severe kinds of leucoderma.Herbal Medicine has very encouraging results in leucoderma. Acquired white spots like due to burns or injuries are also treated successfully. The duration and success of treatment depends on extend of the patches and the duration of the illness. Big patches require more days whereas small spots yield faster.

http://www.herbaalex.com/dermarine.htm

304. Re: Getting out of CB...FS 2510's, 2600/chipswitch, 400 watt amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 09:27:16 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27034-422D6FF4-80@storefull-3273.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197279

how much for the 2600.chipswitch

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
click here
http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/haveagreatday

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc0

305. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 10:34:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050308053420.205$Dx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.geo-puppet.bitchslap.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1110254136.475791.151500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Lee Scott wrote:
> >> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message
> >> news:1109989304.846748.223490@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> >> Typical of
> >> such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic,
> > yelling
> >> obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.
> >> >
> >> Are we supposed to feel sorry for you after he pounds you to a pulp
> > dougay?
> >>
> > Forging again moparholic? Lessons from Twisteds playbook no doubt.
>
> HAHA AND HE SAID JUST THE OTHER DAY HE DOESN'T FORGE lol...
>
I didn't post that, you brainless twit. Get back in your cage and
stop playing with your feces, geo-monkey.
```

306. FA: TWO(2) CUNNINGHAM CX-301-A VINTAGE RADIO TUBES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:04:24 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <jgjXd.11175$FG5.1691@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=7275&item=6516390419&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

307. FA: DEFOREST AUDION RADIO AMPLIFIER TUBE FOR DISPLAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:05:19 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ahjXd.11178$NJ5.2324@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197283
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=7275&item=6516390474&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

308. FA: ARCTURUS No.126 AMPLIFIER RADIO TUBE Blue-Globe DISPLAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:06:09 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <YhjXd.11180$zF5.1187@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197281
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=7275&item=6516390502&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

309. FA: US ARMY SIGNAL CORPS ANB-H-1 w/HB-7 HEADPHONES-WWII NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:07:11 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <WijXd.11182$AG5.9706@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197289
Cross-posted to:
alt.military.collecting,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4720&item=6516390407&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

310. FA: $11>TRIPLETT 630-PL VOM 20,000 ohms per VDC+5KV Simpson 260

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:08:12 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <TjjXd.11184$no5.6858@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197284
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48701&item=5756816270&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

311. FA: $10>NOS USA MIL-SPEC TEST LEAD PROBE SET Hi-QUALITY D-BEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:09:16 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <TkjXd.11188$F15.9825@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197286
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=50971&item=3879229696&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

312. FA: $15> J.H. BUNNELL MAINLINE 15-B BRASS TELEGRAPH SOUNDER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:10:13 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <MljXd.11190$gI5.8733@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197288
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48706&item=5756816301&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

313. FA: $10> HYGAIN TELEX MSHD LOWER MAST SUPPORT HAM-M IV V ROTORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:11:00 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <umjXd.11193$gl5.9415@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197287
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5756816317&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

314. FA: CONVERSION BOOK for ARC-5 and SCR-274 COMMAND SETS-1957

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:11:46 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <dnjXd.11195$hI5.8514@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197285
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15050&item=5756816347&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

315. FA: $10>ANTENNA SPECIALIST MS264 CB/AM-FM DISGIUSE ANTENNA NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:12:34 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ZnjXd.11197$yP5.1838@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197290
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48692&item=5756816395&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

316. Re: Stupid question about a CB Portable/Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:26:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cvgr215lav07stdphatou8oa3smc9dpb54@4ax.com>
Source record: 197291

On Mon, 7 Mar 2005 17:42:29 -0800, "KeyBoard In The Wilderness" <KB@forest.com>
wrote:

>Consider a no-code Amateur Radio license, technician class.
>You can access repeaters with 90+ miles range.
>Repeaters are usually on mountain tops, hence the extended range.
>A mobile 2M rig with a 19" whip antenna will do the trick.
>Repeaters are full of traffic reports for the commuting, traveling ham.
>Base stations can use the internet to report traffic incidents and traffic
>flow.
>Also great for getting directions if you are not familiar with the
>territory.

This is great if you drive one partciular area. But as drive all over eastern
PA, nothing beat the CB for traffic, speed traps, etc. After Sat, I hope to be
able to do both.

Vinnie S.

317. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 15:28:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <d2hr2192h7pqflk85okpocup6pr7vubnot@4ax.com>
Source record: 197292

On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 03:08:19 GMT, Axl <nope@noway.com> wrote:

>Ok, I had a Cobra 25 WX NW ST.

I heard those new cobras suffered from quality problems. The had problems with cold solder joint and such. Take a quick look.

Vinnie S.

318. Re: Not so legal pirate high power amp sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 16:37:35 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3962poF5tp055U1@individual.net>
Source record: 197344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:r48e21dmjl9is0itl99gjt81bcekfq9vnk@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 08:26:17 -0500, "Psychiatrist-To-Hams"
> <HamFruits@hamfruitsnutsdx.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >news:51ud21hf51rs44dai49vjqpsdrfa2spmil@4ax.com...
> >> On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 15:30:17 -0500, "Harv" <Hdx@dxharvnone.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:hb1c21h5b1qsnb9nf9q9qp08718u71sspi@4ax.com...
> >>>> On Wed, 02 Mar 2005 14:18:17 GMT, "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com>
> >>>> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>"Psychiatrist-To-Hams" <hamnuts@hamnutsnfruits.org> wrote in message
> >>>>>news:d02qcektl0@pita.alt.net...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> "King Zulu" <KingZulu123@msn.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:5E5Vd.21501$r55.10515@attbi_s52...
> >>>>>> >> BTW. I get forged a -lot- by two no code HT operators that have
> >>>>>> >> nothing
> >>>>>> >> better to do with their time than fuck with CBer's.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> > They are not messing with "CBer's" - they are reporting illegal
> >>>>>> > pirate
> >>>>>> > operators. You know - law breakers, as in "crooks"!
> >>>>>> >
> >>>>>> > Big difference.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>> And yet another No Code Tech pontificates on the finer points of
law.
> >>>>>> LOL!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I got my FCC commercial telegraph and amateur extra licenses in the
> >>>>>early
> >>>>>60's. I also bothered to get a legitimate CB license, and know plenty
of
> >>>>>law
> >>>>>abiding CB operators. So, don't try get people to believe that CB
> >>>>>operators

> >>>>>are the same as criminal radio pirates!
> >>>>
> >>>> Some people are unable to grasp the finer concepts of subtle
> >>>> differences. To them, it's an "all or nothing" world.
> >>>>
> >>>> Dave
> >>>> "Sandbagger"
> >>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Absolutes have their place in certain disciplines.
> >>
> >> Yes they do, where they apply.
> >>
> >>>Today's liberal Democrat party is an example where absolutely no
> >>>absolutes apply. Even Hillary Clinton has finally figured that out.
> >>
> >> Ah, the wolf has finally learned how to put on the sheep's
> >> clothes.....
> >>
> >> Dave
> >> "Sandbagger"
> >> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> >>
> >
> >
> >Hillary Clinton = A Socialist in liberal clothing who is moving to the
> >right.
> >
>
> On that, I will agree 100%.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

Hello, Dave and all

Yep, gotta go with the majority. Should this include Taiwan and China? How about the religious right in the Middle East?

Inquiring minds want to know .... :))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

319. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 16:37:49 UTC
From: axl <nope@noway.com>
Message-ID: <xxkXd.11$S61.0@fe37.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 197293

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 03:08:19 GMT, Axl <nope@noway.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Ok, I had a Cobra 25 WX NW ST.
>
>
>
> I heard those new cobras suffered from quality problems. The had problems with
> cold solder joint and such. Take a quick look.
>
> Vinnie S.

Im electrically challenged, can you explain in dumb terms? lol

Well I went out and bought a new antenna....from shit shack. I'm going to get a new Cobra one tonight, found a place that has them. The antenna seemed to really help reception, however it seems that I'm still not heard (at least well). I'm hearing a lot of 'car sounds' in it too. Windows mechanics, washer fluid spraying, hell, even acceleration, which actually I heard before too, but this seems worse, probably because I'm using that A/C adapter. It's driving me crazy though.

I really need to get the power wired properly. With a good ground would this be eliminated? I wish I knew how to do it. I'm starting to think half the problem is Best Buy doing a hack job installing some other components like my new stereo or my XM. Who knows.

ALSO I noticed the original ends of the power wires were soldered. I stripped some more of the shielding so I could wrap the wires into the adapter better and noticed further down its just copper. The solder on the tips doesnt make any difference does it? Is this what you were talking about.

320. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 17:19:24 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <nJqdnXhWI5QLQ7DfRVn-hA@giganews.com>
Source record: 197345

axl wrote:
> Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 03:08:19 GMT, Axl <nope@noway.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Ok, I had a Cobra 25 WX NW ST.
>>
>>
>>
>> I heard those new cobras suffered from quality problems. The had
>> problems with cold solder joint and such. Take a quick look.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> Im electrically challenged, can you explain in dumb terms? lol
>
> Well I went out and bought a new antenna....from shit shack. I'm going
> to get a new Cobra one tonight, found a place that has them. The
> antenna seemed to really help reception, however it seems that I'm
> still not heard (at least well). I'm hearing a lot of 'car sounds' in
> it too. Windows mechanics, washer fluid spraying, hell, even
> acceleration, which actually I heard before too, but this seems
> worse, probably because I'm using that A/C adapter. It's driving me
> crazy though.
> I really need to get the power wired properly. With a good ground
> would this be eliminated? I wish I knew how to do it. I'm starting to
> think half the problem is Best Buy doing a hack job installing some
> other components like my new stereo or my XM. Who knows.
>
> ALSO I noticed the original ends of the power wires were soldered. I
> stripped some more of the shielding so I could wrap the wires into the
> adapter better and noticed further down its just copper. The solder on
> the tips doesnt make any difference does it? Is this what you were
> talking about.

Look. I mean no disrespect, but, you need to find a competant shop and take it there and have them work out your problems. It sounds like you have multiple problems and it most likely will be too difficult to figure out all of them in this limited forum.

The one thing you can do that will help you more than anything else is to get a Wilson 1000, magnet mount antenna, or equivelent, and have someone "hardwire" your radio. Try and stay away from Radio Shaq antennas. You're getting all that noise because your going through the lighter _plus_ your electrical connections (antenna) sound like they're spotty at best. The coax

you're using sounds suspect. Your grounding solutions sound suspect. In other words, you've done the right thing and attempted the install yourself but you obviously need some help. Don't be stubborn. ;-)

There are many websites around that give basic information that willl help you in diagnosing, and repairing your problems. What I would do, First Thing, like right now, is go to a decent shop, have them install the radio and tune the antenna. While they do it WATCH every move they make and don't be afraid to ask questions. Then, do some web-searches and find some info that will help you understand what works and what doesn't. After reading all that you can find, start experimenting and you'll learn more. The idea is to get yourself on the air, right?

The radio you have is a pretty good one for it's type. I had one and stuck it in several different cars and it worked very well. Had it in, at one time or another, a Mitsu Lancer, a Land Cruiser, and '95 Probe GT. Worked in all of them. It is not a radio that you are going to want to spend time modifying. It's just a good, basic radio that works well as designed.

I would also suggest, at least until you know a hell of a lot more than you do now, to resist the temptation to modify your radio or buy any amplifiers. A lot of guys that I've been on the radio with go out and buy all kinds of "hardware" before they know what the hell they're doing and end up out of the hobby after a year because they become dissallusioned, etc. Also, a lot of guys in your area are going to want to sell you "stuff" to make you "loud" like them. Resist this! At least at first. You need to find out what you can about what's legal and what's not, then make your own decision if you want to go down that road.

Learn the 10-codes, and their local use, and listen to who talks in your area for awhile and see how folks are. Learn radio etiquette, etc. You'll quickly find out who is cool to talk to and who's not. If you're up front and acknowledge you're a newby, most will be cool with you. There's almost nothing worse than a "new" guy that barges in to conversations that might have been going on for years without knowing what's what. Stay out of the radio flame wars and try not to take sides in fights, etc. In other words, learn who's who in your area.

You'll learn all this stuff. Just be patient and you'll have a great time doing it.

Get your problems sorted out by a competent radio guy first though. Good equipment, used in a responsible manner, will make a good first impression.

Sorry for the bucket-mouthing. I just think that if more folks that get into the hobby knew some of these things upfront, there would be less folks that get out of the hobby so quickly. It can be fun as hell, or, it can be a nightmare. Up to you.

Jeff

321. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 17:56:08 UTC
From: axl <nope@noway.com>
Message-ID: <ZGlXd.12$S61.0@fe37.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 197346

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> axl wrote:
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 03:08:19 GMT, Axl <nope@noway.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Ok, I had a Cobra 25 WX NW ST.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I heard those new cobras suffered from quality problems. The had
>>>problems with cold solder joint and such. Take a quick look.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>Im electrically challenged, can you explain in dumb terms? lol
>>
>>Well I went out and bought a new antenna....from shit shack. I'm going
>>to get a new Cobra one tonight, found a place that has them. The
>>antenna seemed to really help reception, however it seems that I'm
>>still not heard (at least well). I'm hearing a lot of 'car sounds' in
>>it too. Windows mechanics, washer fluid spraying, hell, even
>>acceleration, which actually I heard before too, but this seems
>>worse, probably because I'm using that A/C adapter. It's driving me
>>crazy though.
>>I really need to get the power wired properly. With a good ground
>>would this be eliminated? I wish I knew how to do it. I'm starting to
>>think half the problem is Best Buy doing a hack job installing some
>>other components like my new stereo or my XM. Who knows.
>>
>>ALSO I noticed the original ends of the power wires were soldered. I
>>stripped some more of the shielding so I could wrap the wires into the
>>adapter better and noticed further down its just copper. The solder on
>>the tips doesnt make any difference does it? Is this what you were
>>talking about.
>
>
> Look. I mean no disrespect, but, you need to find a competant shop and take
> it there and have them work out your problems. It sounds like you have
> multiple problems and it most likely will be too difficult to figure out all
> of them in this limited forum.

>
> The one thing you can do that will help you more than anything else is to
> get a Wilson 1000, magnet mount antenna, or equivelent, and have someone
> "hardwire" your radio. Try and stay away from Radio Shaq antennas. You're
> getting all that noise because your going through the lighter _plus_ your
> electrical connections (antenna) sound like they're spotty at best. The coax
> you're using sounds suspect. Your grounding solutions sound suspect. In
> other words, you've done the right thing and attempted the install yourself
> but you obviously need some help. Don't be stubborn. ;-)
>

What about this antenna
http://www.basspro.com/servlet/catalog.TextId?hvarTextId=45015&hvarTarget=search&cmCat=SearchResults

This is the one I was going to get tonight.

322. Re: Stupid question about a CB Portable/Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 17:56:12 UTC
From: outz <dereck.hall@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110304572.515567.26390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197347

Thanks everyone for your ideas. The main reason I was wanting to go portable, the wife took the new car when we got married, so I'm stuck with the old car... I commute 60+ miles a day so it would be handy to have the radio in my car at those times... we also take the wifes car on our 7+ hour drives to see our families, so it would also be nice to easily transfer the unit to her car. Perhaps they make a car-charger/power adapter for a portable? Also, a magnet mount antenna sounds extremely do-able.

Thanks again everyone!

323. OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 19:12:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050308141218.447$c2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197348

You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters and see if your license is listed.

http://www.license.shorturl.com

324. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 20:29:54 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6XnXd.350073$w62.162239@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 197349

I took a little bit different approach. First of all, I learned the letters A to Z and 1 through 0. Next, I had a computer generate the letters from A to Z and 1 through 0 at 20, 25, and 30WPM. After about 3 minutes at each speed, I had the computer generate random characters at 25WPM. I eliminated one step of the learning process by not trying to write the characters down; this allowed my brain to have the sounds imprinted in me.
After this, I took the advice of a 30WPM fellow.....as I would be driving down the highway, I would pronounce the names of the different road signs in Morse Code. I would only do this by myself, so that somebody wouldn't have me carted off to some unpleasant place!
Anyway, two weeks later, I took my 5WPM test and passed it. Five weeks after that, I took my 13WPM test. After using this learning technique, the 13WPM test sounded like it was going in slow motion.....my copy speed was more in the 18WPM range, but not quite 20WPM. Give this technique a try and you will be amazed at the results. Good luck!

Pete

I took my 5WPM test two weeks later.....5 weeks after that, I passed
my 13WPM test for the General class license.
"Se&#65533;or Sombra" <Shadow@escondido.com> wrote in message
news:nW_Vd.43987$xt.16268@fed1read07...
> Vinnie from one who has taught Morse code to many students:
>
> FOR MANY -- studying EVERY day for 15 to 30 minutes is successful. Leaving
> long periods between study sessions is usually counter-productive.
> Studying for long periods at a session - frazzles many a brain.
>
> Most people's problems with the code stem from trying to learn it too fast
> without sufficient drilling before they move on to each new letter. The
> average person needs about 30 hours of study and practice on the code to
> hit 5 wpm. Now this is an average. Some will take longer and some will
> take less." So a half hour in the morning, a half hour in the evening and
> in a month -- you should have it.
>
> Good Luck and kudos on your dedication -- it will be worth while.
>
>
> --
> Lamont Cranston
>
>
>
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message

> news:dftg2195e5j9f4b791umjvv0n6e7phpkfj@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 06:52:49 -0700, K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
>> wrote:
>>>
>>
>> I am using morse academy. I have passed the practice tests for tech, no
>> problem.
>> The General, I got 19 out of 35, without once even looking at a book. If
>> I could
>> somehow pass morse and get 7 more correct answers in general. Otherwise,
>> I will
>> just wait. Thanks !
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>

325. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 21:16:29 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <PCoXd.51318$NC6.44580@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197350
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Like Pete, I suggest similar... DO road signs, hell... do the shampoo bottle label, ingredients and all - in code - as taking a shower. I do not suggest any more than 15 minutes a day, it can drive you bonkers or bore you - which ever out look you take. Read a magazine in "code". You'll be humming right along before you know it.
cl

"Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
news:6XnXd.350073$w62.162239@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>I took a little bit different approach. First of all, I learned the letters
>A to Z and 1 through 0. Next, I had a computer generate the letters from A
>to Z and 1 through 0 at 20, 25, and 30WPM. After about 3 minutes at each
>speed, I had the computer generate random characters at 25WPM. I eliminated
>one step of the learning process by not trying to write the characters
>down; this allowed my brain to have the sounds imprinted in me.
> After this, I took the advice of a 30WPM fellow.....as I would be
> driving down the highway, I would pronounce the names of the different
> road signs in Morse Code. I would only do this by myself, so that somebody
> wouldn't have me carted off to some unpleasant place!
> Anyway, two weeks later, I took my 5WPM test and passed it. Five weeks
> after that, I took my 13WPM test. After using this learning technique, the
> 13WPM test sounded like it was going in slow motion.....my copy
> speed was more in the 18WPM range, but not quite 20WPM. Give this
> technique a try and you will be amazed at the results. Good luck!
>
> Pete
>
> I took my 5WPM test two weeks later.....5 weeks after that, I
> passed my 13WPM test for the General class license.
> "Se&#65533;or Sombra" wrote in message
> news:nW_Vd.43987$xt.16268@fed1read07...
>> Vinnie from one who has taught Morse code to many students:
>>
>> FOR MANY -- studying EVERY day for 15 to 30 minutes is successful.
>> Leaving long periods between study sessions is usually
>> counter-productive. Studying for long periods at a session - frazzles
>> many a brain.
>>
>> Most people's problems with the code stem from trying to learn it too
>> fast without sufficient drilling before they move on to each new letter.
>> The average person needs about 30 hours of study and practice on the code
>> to hit 5 wpm. Now this is an average. Some will take longer and some
>> will take less." So a half hour in the morning, a half hour in the

>> evening and in a month -- you should have it.
>>
>> Good Luck and kudos on your dedication -- it will be worth while.
>>
>>
>> --
>> Lamont Cranston
>>
>>
>>
>> "Vinnie S." wrote in message
>> news:dftg2195e5j9f4b791umjvv0n6e7phpkfj@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 06:52:49 -0700, K7MEM
>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>
>>> I am using morse academy. I have passed the practice tests for tech, no
>>> problem.
>>> The General, I got 19 out of 35, without once even looking at a book. If
>>> I could
>>> somehow pass morse and get 7 more correct answers in general. Otherwise,
>>> I will
>>> just wait. Thanks !
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>
>
>

326. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 21:34:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0i6s21tmegc81ot1d5dp8spk139h5ml758@4ax.com>
Source record: 197352

On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 12:56:08 -0500, axl <nope@noway.com> wrote:

>>
>> The one thing you can do that will help you more than anything else is to
>> get a Wilson 1000, magnet mount antenna, or equivelent, and have someone
>> "hardwire" your radio. Try and stay away from Radio Shaq antennas. You're
>> getting all that noise because your going through the lighter _plus_ your
>> electrical connections (antenna) sound like they're spotty at best. The coax
>> you're using sounds suspect. Your grounding solutions sound suspect. In
>> other words, you've done the right thing and attempted the install yourself
>> but you obviously need some help. Don't be stubborn. ;-)
>>
>
>What about this antenna
>http://www.basspro.com/servlet/catalog.TextId?hvarTextId=45015&hvarTarget=search&cmCat=SearchResults
>
>This is the one I was going to get tonight.

If you are just running your CB with no amp, then a Wilson 1000 or K40 will do.
They are probably the best. If you are running power, get the Wilson 1000.

Vinnie S.

327. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 21:35:57 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <nl6s21dgeoeo4u4aefvs49q7jmes765usc@4ax.com>
Source record: 197351

On 08 Mar 2005 19:12:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
>freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
>and see if your license is listed.
>
>http://www.license.shorturl.com

Damn, Moped !!!!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

328. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 22:05:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050308170511.231$LE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.geo-puppet.bitchslap.cb

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050308053420.205
> $Dx@newsreader.com:
>
> > I didn't post that, you brainless twit. Get back in your cage and
> > stop playing with your feces, geo-monkey.
>
> hAHAHAH YEAH SURE YOU DIDNT ASSCLOWN. sTOP PLAYING WITH YOURSELF
> YOU'VE BEEN BAGGED AND TAGGED. AGAIN
>
Drop dead you coward.
```

329. Re: Getting out of CB...FS 2510's, 2600/chipswitch, 400 watt amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 22:53:00 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <422E2CCC.286A111F@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197355

I'd like to avoid the SPAM. Go Fuck yourself!
http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

pctech wrote:
>
> I'm trying to get a laptop in time for church camp summer job. Please
> help if you can by using my referal link:
> http://www.pctech4fr
>
> Thanks in advance.

330. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 22:55:08 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <396oqsF60gv1mU1@individual.net>
Source record: 197354

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:nl6s21dgeoeo4u4aefvs49q7jmes765usc@4ax.com...
> On 08 Mar 2005 19:12:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
> >freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
> >and see if your license is listed.
> >
> >http://www.license.shorturl.com
>
>
> Damn, Moped !!!!!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.

Man, my old girlfriend sure got ugly.
:-)

331. Re: Getting out of CB...FS 2510's, 2600/chipswitch, 400 watt amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 23:19:28 UTC
From: Charle <C@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Yu2dnQhulZafrrPfRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 197356

Good move, after you get used to two meters and the other bands you will never look back. The audio quality, and range of the FM mode even on 146 Mhz is far better than the old CB shit for local communications. AM or SSB at 27 Mhz is full of static, pole noise, ignition noise and shitty sounding radios that has been hacked up to be LOUD, what a bunch of crap.Now you will be free of Roger beeps, and echo boxes. Welcome to the world of good clean communications.

<jcooper874@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27034-422D6FF4-80@storefull-3273.bay.webtv.net...
> how much for the 2600.chipswitch
>
> http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
> click here
> http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/haveagreatday
>
> http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc0
>

332. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-08 23:23:46 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <422E3402.EA49C2F@yapoo.com>
Source record: 197357

> What is aggravating is I noticed the coax I connect my SWR meter with is
> kinda messed up at the ends...so who knows if its even giving an
> accurate reading, although I'd be willing to bet it is since I cant seem
> to even get a radio check response on the highway.

If it's a crimped end, the ground has pulled loose. Put a PL-259 on
it. You'll need an RG-175 reducer for RG-58 and an RG-176 reducer for
RG-8X or RG-8M. I never trust a crimped PL-259. Too many bad ones.

333. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 01:36:41 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5pks2150sk7195vtudkn2hlkg5gp5fipj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 197358

On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 16:55:08 -0600, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:nl6s21dgeoeo4u4aefvs49q7jmes765usc@4ax.com...
>> On 08 Mar 2005 19:12:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
>> >freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
>> >and see if your license is listed.
>> >
>> >http://www.license.shorturl.com
>>
>>
>> Damn, Moped !!!!!!!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Man, my old girlfriend sure got ugly.
>:-)
>
>

Oh !!!!!

Vinnie S.

334. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 02:02:36 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <r9ms21poa7kruo2bua00fveirdsh22dm2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 197359

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure
out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF
feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you
need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

335. Ping: Keith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 04:05:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kkss21ptjtrcgsd9hld3rs3bn7hknord06@4ax.com>
Source record: 197360

Don't bother to load up the rescue truck -- the eruption wasn't much
more than a burp. But if they send you into the ash-tray anyway (maybe
to get a taste of the stuff -- after all, Rainier is still active), be
sure to stock up on motor oil and air filters.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

336. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 09:56:22 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <A7WdncgW7orcVbPfRVn-1w@giganews.com>
Source record: 197361

axl wrote:
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>> axl wrote:
>>
>>> Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>
>>>> On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 03:08:19 GMT, Axl <nope@noway.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Ok, I had a Cobra 25 WX NW ST.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I heard those new cobras suffered from quality problems. The had
>>>> problems with cold solder joint and such. Take a quick look.
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>> Im electrically challenged, can you explain in dumb terms? lol
>>>
>>> Well I went out and bought a new antenna....from shit shack. I'm
>>> going to get a new Cobra one tonight, found a place that has them.
>>> The antenna seemed to really help reception, however it seems that
>>> I'm still not heard (at least well). I'm hearing a lot of 'car
>>> sounds' in it too. Windows mechanics, washer fluid spraying, hell,
>>> even acceleration, which actually I heard before too, but this seems
>>> worse, probably because I'm using that A/C adapter. It's driving me
>>> crazy though.
>>> I really need to get the power wired properly. With a good ground
>>> would this be eliminated? I wish I knew how to do it. I'm starting
>>> to think half the problem is Best Buy doing a hack job installing
>>> some other components like my new stereo or my XM. Who knows.
>>>
>>> ALSO I noticed the original ends of the power wires were soldered. I
>>> stripped some more of the shielding so I could wrap the wires into
>>> the adapter better and noticed further down its just copper. The
>>> solder on the tips doesnt make any difference does it? Is this what
>>> you were talking about.
>>
>>
>> Look. I mean no disrespect, but, you need to find a competant shop
>> and take it there and have them work out your problems. It sounds
>> like you have multiple problems and it most likely will be too

>> difficult to figure out all of them in this limited forum.
>>
>> The one thing you can do that will help you more than anything else
>> is to get a Wilson 1000, magnet mount antenna, or equivelent, and
>> have someone "hardwire" your radio. Try and stay away from Radio
>> Shaq antennas. You're getting all that noise because your going
>> through the lighter _plus_ your electrical connections (antenna)
>> sound like they're spotty at best. The coax you're using sounds
>> suspect. Your grounding solutions sound suspect. In other words,
>> you've done the right thing and attempted the install yourself but
>> you obviously need some help. Don't be stubborn. ;-)
>
> What about this antenna
> http://www.basspro.com/servlet/catalog.TextId?hvarTextId=45015&hvarTarget=search&cmCat=S
earchResults
>
> This is the one I was going to get tonight.

Never had anything to do with the Cobra line of antennas. Probably OK, but I'd be willing to bet not as good as the Wilson or K-40. You know that's opinion talking so use your own, best judgement. Vinnie says K-40 and that's most likely because he's had some experience with them. I have not. Of the commercial magnet mounts I've used that were any good, again in my opinion, it's been the Wilson. I've had better luck with a couple of home made antennas but you're not there yet. You will be eventually and in the mean time, the Wilson or the K-40 will do you right. You get what you pay for in this hobby _and_ your antenna is a very important piece of the puzzle. Don't get cheap and try and save a few bucks. If the Wilson, or K-40, is 10 to 15 more dollars than the Radio Shack, or the Cobra,...Spend it.

Also, you mentioned Best Buy. If you buy your radio equipment from a retailer like them I would be very careful about having them install. You may save $ on the purchase over a CB Shop, but, in the long run the CB Shop may do a much better job on the install. Also, something to think about is that the CB Shop is a small business owner and it's probably better to keep him in business than throw your $ at some big corp that wont be very personal with you. The shop in my area charges a few more $ for equipment but I usually pay it 'cause I want to help keep him in business. I've saved mucho $ in free advice over the years just by hanging out and buying the guy a couple of hamburgers and fries now and then. Can't do that with a big corp.

Jeff

337. To the idiot ham/pirate in Spokane:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 10:24:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0jjt2110rnlu593u88f1kufieg1o1mmoj2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197362

You are being DF'ed. Do you have any idea how much this is going to
cost you?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

338. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 12:15:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050309071544.606$46@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.geo-puppet.bitchslap.cb

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050308170511.231
> $LE@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050308053420.205
> >> $Dx@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > I didn't post that, you brainless twit. Get back in your cage and
> >> > stop playing with your feces, geo-monkey.
> >>
> >> hAHAHAH YEAH SURE YOU DIDNT ASSCLOWN. sTOP PLAYING WITH YOURSELF
> >> YOU'VE BEEN BAGGED AND TAGGED. AGAIN
> >>
> > Drop dead you coward.
>
> hahaha coming from a guy who sneaks up on people Ill take that as a joke.
>
How do you call my invitation sneaking up on you?
```

339. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 13:31:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050309083157.905$dG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197364

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050309071544.606$46@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050308170511.231
> >> $LE@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050308053420.205
> >> >> $Dx@newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> > I didn't post that, you brainless twit. Get back in your cage
> >> >> > and stop playing with your feces, geo-monkey.
> >> >>
> >> >> hAHAHAH YEAH SURE YOU DIDNT ASSCLOWN. sTOP PLAYING WITH YOURSELF
> >> >> YOU'VE BEEN BAGGED AND TAGGED. AGAIN
> >> >>
> >> > Drop dead you coward.
> >>
> >> hahaha coming from a guy who sneaks up on people Ill take that as a
> >> joke.
> >>
> > How do you call my invitation sneaking up on you?
>
> wtf are you talking about assclown?
>
My reply to your email, where you proved you're another AKC (another
keyboard coward). Here's what you sent me, chickenshit.

> if you ever grow a set of balls and want to really , and I mean really
> >confronmt someone face to face. let me know, there will be no stalking
> >involved, like you and franks little shenanigan of yearts back or your
> liitle > escapade with doug. now do me a favor . Go fuck yourself.

I told you where you could find me, and you didn't reply. (shocking)

340. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 13:35:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050309083516.827$qu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197365

"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:nl6s21dgeoeo4u4aefvs49q7jmes765usc@4ax.com...
> > On 08 Mar 2005 19:12:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
> > >freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
> > >and see if your license is listed.
> > >
> > >http://www.license.shorturl.com
> >
> >
> > Damn, Moped !!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> Man, my old girlfriend sure got ugly.
> :-)
>
DOH!

341. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 13:35:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050309083557.332$X1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197366

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 08 Mar 2005 19:12:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
> >freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
> >and see if your license is listed.
> >
> >http://www.license.shorturl.com
>
> Damn, Moped !!!!!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
How'd they get geo's pic on there!?

342. Re: Got a new CB...having problems.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 14:27:26 UTC
From: Axl <nope@noway.com>
Message-ID: <iJDXd.3070$4k2.1017@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 197367

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> axl wrote:
>
>>Jeff Mayner wrote:
>>
>>>axl wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 03:08:19 GMT, Axl <nope@noway.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Ok, I had a Cobra 25 WX NW ST.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I heard those new cobras suffered from quality problems. The had
>>>>>problems with cold solder joint and such. Take a quick look.
>>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>Im electrically challenged, can you explain in dumb terms? lol
>>>>
>>>>Well I went out and bought a new antenna....from shit shack. I'm
>>>>going to get a new Cobra one tonight, found a place that has them.
>>>>The antenna seemed to really help reception, however it seems that
>>>>I'm still not heard (at least well). I'm hearing a lot of 'car
>>>>sounds' in it too. Windows mechanics, washer fluid spraying, hell,
>>>>even acceleration, which actually I heard before too, but this seems
>>>>worse, probably because I'm using that A/C adapter. It's driving me
>>>>crazy though.
>>>>I really need to get the power wired properly. With a good ground
>>>>would this be eliminated? I wish I knew how to do it. I'm starting
>>>>to think half the problem is Best Buy doing a hack job installing
>>>>some other components like my new stereo or my XM. Who knows.
>>>>
>>>>ALSO I noticed the original ends of the power wires were soldered. I
>>>>stripped some more of the shielding so I could wrap the wires into
>>>>the adapter better and noticed further down its just copper. The
>>>>solder on the tips doesnt make any difference does it? Is this what

>>>>you were talking about.
>>>
>>>
>>>Look. I mean no disrespect, but, you need to find a competant shop
>>>and take it there and have them work out your problems. It sounds
>>>like you have multiple problems and it most likely will be too
>>>difficult to figure out all of them in this limited forum.
>>>
>>>The one thing you can do that will help you more than anything else
>>>is to get a Wilson 1000, magnet mount antenna, or equivelent, and
>>>have someone "hardwire" your radio. Try and stay away from Radio
>>>Shaq antennas. You're getting all that noise because your going
>>>through the lighter _plus_ your electrical connections (antenna)
>>>sound like they're spotty at best. The coax you're using sounds
>>>suspect. Your grounding solutions sound suspect. In other words,
>>>you've done the right thing and attempted the install yourself but
>>>you obviously need some help. Don't be stubborn. ;-)
>>
>>What about this antenna
>>http://www.basspro.com/servlet/catalog.TextId?hvarTextId=45015&hvarTarget=search&cmCat=S
earchResults
>>
>>This is the one I was going to get tonight.
>
>

> Also, you mentioned Best Buy. If you buy your radio equipment from a
> retailer like them I would be very careful about having them install. You
> may save $ on the purchase over a CB Shop, but, in the long run the CB Shop
> may do a much better job on the install. Also, something to think about is
> that the CB Shop is a small business owner and it's probably better to keep
> him in business than throw your $ at some big corp that wont be very
> personal with you. The shop in my area charges a few more $ for equipment
> but I usually pay it 'cause I want to help keep him in business. I've saved
> mucho $ in free advice over the years just by hanging out and buying the guy
> a couple of hamburgers and fries now and then. Can't do that with a big
> corp.
>
> Jeff
>
>

Well I got the Cobra one...I can def. tell the quality is far superior.

I paid a friend of mine, who is an installer, to re-do everything last
night, including install the new CB. I have a much better ground and can
tell my receiving and transmitting signals are good.

The only thing I'm kicking myself in the ass for was he did such a good
job, he installed the remote power/antenna jack under the dash....and it
dawned on me I cant easily get to it to do the SWR measurements. I'd

have to remove some panels again to get to it...and I really dont know what I'm doing...so in due time I may have to have him do that for me real quick.

Otherwise I think I've finally got it good to go. Phew.

343. * * * * * * * All Bloggers Go Here * * * * * * *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 17:09:24 UTC
From: Ron400053@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110388164.039228.78600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197368

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com <- - - all bloggers go here

344. Midland 79-290 mods & parts locations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 18:10:43 UTC
From: compwiz1 <floydvincent@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1110391842.983626.106130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197369

I have the mod info & clarifier unlock info but cant locate the parts
they refer to. Can anyone help?

Floyd
\

345. midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 18:24:57 UTC
From: Floyd VIncent <floydvincent@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ZbHXd.121934$nC5.26830@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 197370

Anyone know how to find the parts locations on theses radios?

Floyd

346. * * * * * * * All Bloggers Go Here * * * * * * *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 18:50:49 UTC
From: Ron400053@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110394249.578714.287480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197371

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com <- - - all bloggers go here

347. "I scour the internet and report bad people to the feds" says K4KWH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 19:56:43 UTC
From: Willie HandJive <geo_n_franksnightmare@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20050309195643.11037.qmail@web90101.mail.scd.yahoo.com>
Source record: 197372

K4KWH

Group: Ham Members
Posts: 292
Joined: --Posted: Jan. 25 2005,11:30

Now's the time to browse the internet and send in those websites to Larry Brock, FCC Field Engineer, Dallas Tx.

9330 LBJ Freeway
Suite 1170
Dallas, TX 75243-3470

Let's put some "far in their 'war"

Translation: Fire in their Wire

73

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

348. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 20:42:39 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110400959.485284.280920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197374

```
Steveo wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:20050309071544.606$46@newsreader.com:
> >
> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > > wrote:
> > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050308170511.231
> > >> $LE@newsreader.com:
> > >>
> > >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> > >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050308053420.205
> > >> >> $Dx@newsreader.com:
> > >> >>
> > >> >> > I didn't post that, you brainless twit. Get back in your
cage
> > >> >> > and stop playing with your feces, geo-monkey.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> hAHAHAH YEAH SURE YOU DIDNT ASSCLOWN. sTOP PLAYING WITH
YOURSELF
> > >> >> YOU'VE BEEN BAGGED AND TAGGED. AGAIN
> > >> >>
> > >> > Drop dead you coward.
> > >>
> > >> hahaha coming from a guy who sneaks up on people Ill take that
as a
> > >> joke.
> > >>
> > > How do you call my invitation sneaking up on you?
> >
> > wtf are you talking about assclown?
> >
> My reply to your email, where you proved you're another AKC (another
> keyboard coward). Here's what you sent me, chickenshit.
>
> > if you ever grow a set of balls and want to really , and I mean
really
> > >confronmt someone face to face. let me know, there will be no
stalking
```

> > >involved, like you and franks little shenanigan of yearts back or
your
> > liitle > escapade with doug. now do me a favor . Go fuck yourself.
>
> I told you where you could find me, and you didn't reply. (shocking)

you mean youve given up hiding? WHERE CAN WE FIND YOU STEVEO?

349. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 20:43:39 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110401019.443156.292400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197373

```
Steveo wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:20050309071544.606$46@newsreader.com:
> >
> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > > wrote:
> > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050308170511.231
> > >> $LE@newsreader.com:
> > >>
> > >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> > >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050308053420.205
> > >> >> $Dx@newsreader.com:
> > >> >>
> > >> >> > I didn't post that, you brainless twit. Get back in your
cage
> > >> >> > and stop playing with your feces, geo-monkey.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> hAHAHAH YEAH SURE YOU DIDNT ASSCLOWN. sTOP PLAYING WITH
YOURSELF
> > >> >> YOU'VE BEEN BAGGED AND TAGGED. AGAIN
> > >> >>
> > >> > Drop dead you coward.
> > >>
> > >> hahaha coming from a guy who sneaks up on people Ill take that
as a
> > >> joke.
> > >>
> > > How do you call my invitation sneaking up on you?
> >
> > wtf are you talking about assclown?
> >
> My reply to your email, where you proved you're another AKC (another
> keyboard coward). Here's what you sent me, chickenshit.
>
> > if you ever grow a set of balls and want to really , and I mean
really
> > >confronmt someone face to face. let me know, there will be no
stalking
```

> > >involved, like you and franks little shenanigan of yearts back or
your
> > liitle > escapade with doug. now do me a favor . Go fuck yourself.
>
> I told you where you could find me, and you didn't reply. (shocking)

you mean youve given up hiding? WHERE CAN WE FIND YOU STEVEO?

350. Re: "I scour the internet and report bad people to the feds" says K4KWH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 20:48:39 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110401319.345145.295720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197375

Willie HandJive wrote:
> K4KWH
>
>
>
>
>
> Group: Ham Members
> Posts: 292
> Joined: --Posted: Jan. 25 2005,11:30
> ---------------------------------
> Now's the time to browse the internet and send in those websites to
Larry Brock, FCC Field Engineer, Dallas Tx.
>
>
>
> 9330 LBJ Freeway
> Suite 1170
> Dallas, TX 75243-3470
>
> Let's put some "far in their 'war"
>
>
> Translation: Fire in their Wire
>
>
>
>
> 73
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
> Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

Feeling anxious, Twisted?

351. Re: Midland 79-290 mods & parts locations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 21:47:24 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <flru2152pnoppgo3ot6un5m0ori76phqc4@4ax.com>
Source record: 197376

On 9 Mar 2005 10:10:43 -0800, "compwiz1" <floydvincent@verizon.net> wrote:

>I have the mod info & clarifier unlock info but cant locate the parts
>they refer to. Can anyone help?
>
>Floyd
>\

There are pictures of it on the web, somewhere.

That radio is notorious for shitty adjacent channel rejection. It is a serious candidate for the Channel Guard filter

Vinnie S.

352. * * * * * * * All Bloggers Go Here * * * * * * *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-09 23:33:36 UTC
From: Ron038001@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110411216.193098.138390@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197377

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com <- - - all bloggers go here

353. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 03:03:31 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110423811.543811.291390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197378

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1110400959.485284.280920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> wrote:
> >> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> > news:20050309071544.606$46@newsreader.com:
> >> >
> >> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > > wrote:
> >> > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050308170511.231
> >> > >> $LE@newsreader.com:
> >> > >>
> >> > >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> > >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> > >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:20050308053420.205
> >> > >> >> $Dx@newsreader.com:
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> > I didn't post that, you brainless twit. Get back in your
> > cage
> >> > >> >> > and stop playing with your feces, geo-monkey.
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> hAHAHAH YEAH SURE YOU DIDNT ASSCLOWN. sTOP PLAYING WITH
> > YOURSELF
> >> > >> >> YOU'VE BEEN BAGGED AND TAGGED. AGAIN
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> > Drop dead you coward.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> hahaha coming from a guy who sneaks up on people Ill take
that
> > as a
> >> > >> joke.
> >> > >>
> >> > > How do you call my invitation sneaking up on you?
> >> >
> >> > wtf are you talking about assclown?
> >> >
```

> >> My reply to your email, where you proved you're another AKC
(another
> >> keyboard coward). Here's what you sent me, chickenshit.
> >>
> >> > if you ever grow a set of balls and want to really , and I mean
> > really
> >> > >confronmt someone face to face. let me know, there will be no
> > stalking
> >> > >involved, like you and franks little shenanigan of yearts back
or
> > your
> >> > liitle > escapade with doug. now do me a favor . Go fuck
yourself.
> >>
> >> I told you where you could find me, and you didn't reply.
(shocking)
> >
> >
> > you mean youve given up hiding? WHERE CAN WE FIND YOU STEVEO?
>
>
> He is going to say he will meet at dayton... lol he is camping out
google
> this group he spells it all out he will never have someone confront
him
> at his home.

LOL as a chicken he is bagged and tagged, watch the excuses start the
first time a ham asks him his callsign at dayton.

354. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 07:08:33 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <RnSXd.110$KK5.48@fed1read03>
Source record: 197379

Steveo wrote:

> You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
> freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
> and see if your license is listed.
>
> http://www.license.shorturl.com
hehehe.
yeah. its a nice prank site though. :)

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

355. Re: Midland 79-290 mods & parts locations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 12:36:35 UTC
From: compwiz1 <floydvincent@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1110458195.458333.27670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197380

Ya i know Vinnie. There is one at delform but ya cant fins what they are talking about.

Floyd

356. Canadian Radio Forum is now up and running. Check it out.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 15:06:36 UTC
From: ME <ME@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <0oZXd.631397$8l.314370@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 197386
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

73's

VE5JL

357. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 15:33:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050310103332.624$fY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197384

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1110400959.485284.280920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> wrote:
> >> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> > news:20050309071544.606$46@newsreader.com:
> >> >
> >> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > > wrote:
> >> > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050308170511.231
> >> > >> $LE@newsreader.com:
> >> > >>
> >> > >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> > >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> > >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > news:20050308053420.205
> >> > >> >> $Dx@newsreader.com:
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> > I didn't post that, you brainless twit. Get back in your
> > cage
> >> > >> >> > and stop playing with your feces, geo-monkey.
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> >> hAHAHAH YEAH SURE YOU DIDNT ASSCLOWN. sTOP PLAYING WITH
> > YOURSELF
> >> > >> >> YOU'VE BEEN BAGGED AND TAGGED. AGAIN
> >> > >> >>
> >> > >> > Drop dead you coward.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> hahaha coming from a guy who sneaks up on people Ill take that
> > as a
> >> > >> joke.
> >> > >>
> >> > > How do you call my invitation sneaking up on you?
> >> > >
> >> > wtf are you talking about assclown?
> >> >
> >> My reply to your email, where you proved you're another AKC (another
> >> keyboard coward). Here's what you sent me, chickenshit.
```

> >>
> >> > if you ever grow a set of balls and want to really , and I mean
> > really
> >> > >confronmt someone face to face. let me know, there will be no
> > stalking
> >> > >involved, like you and franks little shenanigan of yearts back or
> > your
> >> > liitle > escapade with doug. now do me a favor . Go fuck yourself.
> >>
> >> I told you where you could find me, and you didn't reply. (shocking)
> >
> >
> > you mean youve given up hiding? WHERE CAN WE FIND YOU STEVEO?
>
> He is going to say he will meet at dayton... lol he is camping out google
> this group he spells it all out he will never have someone confront him
> at his home.
>
Squirm and slither, geo. yer yellow.

Here's what you sent me, chickenshit.

> if you ever grow a set of balls and want to really , and I mean really
> >confronmt someone face to face. let me know, there will be no stalking
> >involved, like you and franks little shenanigan of yearts back or your
> liitle > escapade with doug. now do me a favor . Go fuck yourself.

358. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 15:35:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050310103516.518$77@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197382

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:5pks2150sk7195vtudkn2hlkg5gp5fipj9@4ax.com:
>
> > On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 16:55:08 -0600, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:nl6s21dgeoeo4u4aefvs49q7jmes765usc@4ax.com...
> >>> On 08 Mar 2005 19:12:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> >You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
> >>> >freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your
> parameters
> >>> >and see if your license is listed.
> >>> >
> >>> >http://www.license.shorturl.com
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Damn, Moped !!!!!!!!!
> >>>
> >>> Vinnie S.
>
> hahahaha he calls you Moped ...LOL too funny
>
LOL, I call you a cowardly punk..put your daddy on the phone.

359. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 15:44:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050310104419.259$ax@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197385

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
> > freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
> > and see if your license is listed.
> >
> > http://www.license.shorturl.com
> hehehe.
> yeah. its a nice prank site though. :)
>
It's kind of official looking at first. :D

360. FA: Last Call for Vintage tubes, Tonight & only $9.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 16:48:14 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <VZ_Xd.21753$5r4.2738@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197381
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6516390474&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6516390502&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6516390419&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

361. Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 17:33:42 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110476022.556740.224540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197383

http://s8.invisionfree.com/Moodysstudy

362. Re: Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 20:18:59 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110485939.551230.255840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197391

Chukkeesukkee, you forgot to include a spot for posting your gun
threats on that bogus christian board.

Me wrote:
> http://s8.invisionfree.com/Moodysstudy

363. Re: "I scour the internet and report bad people to the feds" says K4KWH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 20:19:53 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110485993.218557.44880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197389

He isnt twistedhed, he is twithead

364. Re: Ping: Keith

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 20:21:18 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110486078.251495.147840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197387

He wasnt coming anyway. There's no free booze and his dentist hasn't made his false teeth yet either.

365. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 20:21:58 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110486118.222549.98770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197392

Your wife was the model.

366. Re: The Guaranteed treatment for Leukorrhea (Leucorreah)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 20:22:52 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110486172.143463.294120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197388

mopeydopey brushes his teeth with that stuff

367. Re: See the miracles !!!! Repairs skin and eliminate eczema

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 20:24:20 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110486260.457953.234450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197390

mopey just rubs in truck drivers excretions that he spits into his hand
in the Petro toilet stalls.

368. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 20:31:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110486671.586186.225870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

PAUL N MARSH
419 McIntosh Drive
Cincinnati OH 45255

Selling illegal radio equipment on this newsgroup, ay? Guess what? You will be answering to Riley soon, butthead.

369. Re: ALL Posts saying they are from KC8QJP Kathy Lee Are forges, don't respond to them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 20:35:08 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110486908.826970.229590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197394

mopey is a sissy and his wife turned to K9 cuz he doesnt find women exciting

370. Re: Get Rock Hard Erectiom With .... PANADEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 21:01:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110486297.769351.117260@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197395

You are getting mopeydopey excited!

371. * ATTENTION ALL BLOGGERS *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-10 22:20:45 UTC
From: BLOGGER2005a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110493245.211163.181450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197396

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com << go here

372. * ATTENTION ALL BLOGGERS *

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 00:02:12 UTC
From: BLOGGER2005a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110499332.040229.42740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197397

http://www.are-u-sure.blogspot.com << go here

373. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 00:42:10 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <cW5Yd.21830$DB2.8906@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197398

Steveo wrote:
> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
>>>freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
>>>and see if your license is listed.
>>>
>>>http://www.license.shorturl.com
>>
>>hehehe.
>>yeah. its a nice prank site though. :)
>>
>
> It's kind of official looking at first. :D
reminds me of a monkey i've seen around here before....

374. easy money in 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 02:37:34 UTC
From: trip <jotto105@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1110508654.283342.306700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197399

MAKING MONEY I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups and came across an

article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6

names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at

least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. WellGUESS WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over

$10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly,

why it works.... Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in!

It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage) IMPORTANT: This is not a scam; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the

money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the

U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses: #1)Dan Warner 2812
W. 1st. St. Duluth, MN 55806 #2) Karen Green 50 Gillinder Street Rockhampton Qld Australia 4700 #3) Ryan Lake 41130 Congerbay Dr Harrison Twp, MI 48045 #4) Zac Inchley unit 82/ 128 Benowa rd Southport

Qld Australia 4215 #5) Carl Baker 2267 N. Kedzie 1st floor Chicago, Illinois 60647 #6) Jason Otto 600 s. 1st st. Waterford, WI 53185
STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see
above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc.) and add

YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP 3: Change anything you need to,

but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more

you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have

any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal laws. Keep

a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the

notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded

and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches

the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business! ---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning

of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and

select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into

the computer's memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in

the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to

the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT. ** Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a verylow example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of

the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2

and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What

are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups

everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual

internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at

it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the

money that so many others have received will come your way

375. Re: FS: Emperor TS-5010 radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 07:04:54 UTC
From: Iman Station 0455 <Iman.Station.0455.1lq2gr@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iman.Station.0455.1lq2gr@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 197400

Ag71953 Wrote:
> This radio is in great shape.
> Have manual.
> looks great.
> great freq coverage
>
> look it up on the web for details if you don't know
> about them
>
> asking $150.00

Do you still Have this Radio? And is it still For Sale?
Iman Station 0455

--
Iman Station 0455

376. Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 10:50:33 UTC
From: VA1 Mobile <VACOMM@club.com>
Message-ID: <4231744b$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 197401

There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was "having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".

He was runnin' off his mouth talk as though he was giving a stern lecture. whatever, whatever.

I don't know who he think he was but we all share the channel with others.

This dude bragged about being the channel master and was complaining about me being "under his antenna" and that he was gonna send me back to channel 19 aka "the sesame street channel".

Look, when you're a base station, why you cryin dude?
You're runnin a base station with the antenna x numberof feet in the air and you're complaining about ME being under your antenna? Get over it.

If you can hear me and everyone else can hear you then theres no need to cry, young buck.

Well, another station got on and told him to let it go and "there's a difference between keying up for 5 minutes compared to 5 hours."

Yet, the "channel master" kept runnin' his mouth. See when you do that, the others don't want to talk to you no more. Cause words will be said and before you know it, another watergate tape will be made.

And, if you want to call someone on the landline...don't give your phone number or ask the other station to give out the phone number -over the air- cause you don't know who listenin that got some beef with you or the other station. So let that be a lesson to you , "Gator".

I know he in there sandbaggin.

He probably changed his phone number after gettin calls in them late hours. Why? Cause his number has been written on the bathroom walls of truck stops and places where they sell big trucks and other places where you can still write on the walls for others to read while on the "john" in case they didn't bring a newspaper to read.

It's Good Readin' -- passes away the time.
It's Free Speech! Ain't nuthin wrong with that.

That's just some anti-americans complaining about our country. I'll speech on that topic on another post, gotta go now.

Long Live Free Speech!

Down With Communism!!!

377. Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 13:16:23 UTC
From: FlyinBrianSR71@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6582-42319A27-46@storefull-3118.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197402

Turner M+3 Vs Astatic D104 Base Microphones - which is better.

378. FS: Ranger RCI-2990

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 14:37:31 UTC
From: Justin <Justin198103@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110551851.809507.35820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197403

I have a good-excellent condition 2990 FS. It comes w/ a Astatic D104-k beep mic, has been Tweaked just right. email if interest, Thanks.

379. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 16:03:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050311110342.312$RP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197404

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
> >>>freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your parameters
> >>>and see if your license is listed.
> >>>
> >>>http://www.license.shorturl.com
> >>
> >>hehehe.
> >>yeah. its a nice prank site though. :)
> >>
> >
> > It's kind of official looking at first. :D
>
> reminds me of a monkey i've seen around here before....
>
>Curious geo.

380. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 16:05:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050311110521.770$I3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Mike <abc@def.ghi> wrote:
> In article <1110486671.586186.225870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>,
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > PAUL N MARSH
> > 419 McIntosh Drive
> > Cincinnati OH 45255
> >
> > Selling illegal radio equipment on this newsgroup, ay? Guess what? You
> > will be answering to Riley soon, butthead.
>
> Ooooh, a newsgroup nanny! What a bunch of gibberish posts. Do your
> parents know you are doing this?
>
> We're all so scared!
>
> Mike
>
Heh, take a look at the cowardly freak.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

381. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 16:07:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050311110702.449$3m@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197405

"VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
> There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was
> "having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".
>
Does he read this group?

382. History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 18:15:21 UTC
From: Samantha <chevychic1022@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1110564921.089573.108600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197407

Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
United States?! Thank you!!

383. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 18:47:23 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vWlYd.1111$w52.759@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197408

Samantha wrote:

> Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
> United States?! Thank you!!
>
http://www.tvhandbook.com/History/History_radio.htm

RCA's 'Radio Central' xmitters went on-line 1921 here on Long Island. I remember them taking the last 410' tower down in '77. The buildings still stand but are not used for anything. At 5200 acres it is the largest undisturbed plot of land on the island.
HTH

384. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 18:49:08 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9YIYd.1112$H_1.808@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197409

Steveo wrote:

> "VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
>
>>There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was
>>"having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".
>>
>
> Does he read this group?
probably not as he would be too busy being an asswipe on the 40.

385. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 18:54:56 UTC
From: Anon <Anon@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <5QlYd.52688$xt.23556@fed1read07>
Source record: 197410

See URL
http://members.aol.com/jeff99500/first.html

Which Was the First U. S. Radio Station?

--
Round up the usual spammers
Rains In Casablanker
--
Bogie - Here's typing to you kid

"Samantha" <chevychic1022@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1110564921.089573.108600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
> United States?! Thank you!!
>

386. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 19:27:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050311142713.799$iE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197411

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Samantha wrote:
>
> > Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
> > United States?! Thank you!!
> >
> http://www.tvhandbook.com/History/History_radio.htm
>
> RCA's 'Radio Central' xmitters went on-line 1921 here on Long Island. I
> remember
>
Good memory you have there, old fart.

387. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 19:28:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050311142835.388$K1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197412

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > "VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
> >
> >>There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was
> >>"having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".
> >>
> >
> > Does he read this group?
>
> probably not as he would be too busy being an asswipe on the 40.
>
He had A bad morning.

388. Firestik II tuning with spring installed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-11 20:24:37 UTC
From: richard6121@excite.calm
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.61.0503111523290.26925@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 197413

How does one go about tuning their Firestik II when it's mounted on a spring? The spring adds 3" or so to the length of the antenna. I don't see a way to do any wire-clipping on this antenna.

Can I not use a spring with the newer "tunable" Firestik?

RM

389. Re: Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 00:31:16 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110587476.353238.266440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197414

That's just Chukkee Sukkee wanting some attention.
He broke up with his Pastor and hasn't been getting any lately, so he
wants CB'ers to proposition.

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> Me wrote:
> > http://s8.invisionfree.com/Baptist_Bible_Board/index.php?
> >
> > Everyone, Except the trolls... are Welcomed.
> > http://s8.invisionfree.com/Baptist_Bible_Board/index.php?
>
> Will they allow me on?
>
> Satan

390. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 00:33:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110587591.749823.212870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Good...now go back to bed with your poodle.

391. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 00:34:48 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110587688.219466.67340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

A weird and gay CB queen who is so limpwristed he has to use "Goo-Gone"
for toothpaste talks junk? HAHAHAHAH!!!

Better break out the Preparation H, sissy...your lips look swollen!

392. mopeymoron has been depressed about the NFL for years

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 00:43:02 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110588182.026440.136050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197417

FIRST
He roots for a team that is so cheesy they are called the "Brown Eyes",
after what they bend over and show other teams in clutch situations.
This is mainly because he learned how to make a living at Petro by
using the brown eye.

THEN
...his very favorite team, the "Oilers" are long gone

SOME GOOD NEWS
...His 2nd favorite team, the "Packers" are still around. It isn't as
much fun as when he could get "Oiled" before he was "Packed", but the
truckers still come to Petro every night he is in the toilet.

POSSIBLE JOB FOR mopeydopey?
Maybe Romeo Crennell will need a suckboy...looks like mopey's type.

393. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 00:45:54 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110588354.511485.121950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197418

mopeys great great granpa was on that station illegally, soliciting
mule drivers, back before there were big trucks. His family business
has a proud tradition.

394. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 01:22:12 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <FIrYd.1851$tx6.24@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197419

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> mopeys great great granpa was on that station illegally, soliciting
> mule drivers, back before there were big trucks. His family business
> has a proud tradition.
>
Whatever numpty.

395. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 01:36:43 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <qPrYd.22702$ek3.20766@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197420

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Samantha wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
>>>>United States?! Thank you!!
>>>
>>
>>http://www.tvhandbook.com/History/History_radio.htm
>>
>>RCA's 'Radio Central' xmitters went on-line 1921 here on Long Island. I
>>remember
>>
>
> Good memory you have there, old fart.
those towers were 410' up and i've seen a middle school kid climb the
fooker. claimed to see the atlantic and we are 12 miles north of it.
speaking of old do you remember your fcc cb call from the 70's?
kgo0185 using a lafayette he-20t and a 10 meter ringo ant. damn i'm old...

396. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 03:31:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8io431hfita8k3okj5sdhtbsfkr8gabia6@4ax.com>
Source record: 197421

On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 05:16:23 -0800, FlyinBrianSR71@webtv.net (Brian Bower) wrote:

>Turner M+3 Vs Astatic D104 Base Microphones - which is better.

Turner. It's funny. When I got the Turner in the early 1980's, most people swore by the D104. From who I talked to today, it's seems they they like the Turner better. Go figure.

Vinnie S.

397. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 03:32:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4mo431t3hphfai2v6mdtd3fefa3jejrt0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 197422

On 11 Mar 2005 16:07:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
>> There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was
>> "having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".
>>
>Does he read this group?

I apologize. I was having a bad day !!!!!

Vinnie S.

398. Re: Firestik II tuning with spring installed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 03:34:53 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <moo431t8ec2hliln0uf5os49q879pqrghg@4ax.com>
Source record: 197423

On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 15:24:37 -0500, richard6121@excite.calm wrote:

>
>How does one go about tuning their Firestik II when it's mounted on a
>spring? The spring adds 3" or so to the length of the antenna. I don't
>see a way to do any wire-clipping on this antenna.
>
>Can I not use a spring with the newer "tunable" Firestik?
>
>RM

The feedpoint is at top of the spring? I have to go check this out.

Vinnie S.

399. Re: Firestik II tuning with spring installed?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 03:36:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6to43158atk3m22dfv6695jn3q5epkefmk@4ax.com>
Source record: 197424

On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 22:34:53 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 15:24:37 -0500, richard6121@excite.calm wrote:
>
>>
>>How does one go about tuning their Firestik II when it's mounted on a
>>spring? The spring adds 3" or so to the length of the antenna. I don't
>>see a way to do any wire-clipping on this antenna.
>>
>>Can I not use a spring with the newer "tunable" Firestik?
>>
>>RM
>
>
>The feedpoint is at top of the spring? I have to go check this out.
>
>Vinnie S.

Shit yeah, you are right.

http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/SS-34HM.htm

Vinnie S.

400. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 04:09:55 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <nYtYd.567$bh2.59@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"Mike" <abc@def.ghi> wrote in message
news:abc-4A757D.22201511032005@news.usenetzone.com...
> In article <1110587688.219466.67340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> A weird and gay CB queen who is so limpwristed he has to use "Goo-Gone"
>> for toothpaste talks junk? HAHAHAHAH!!!
>>
>> Better break out the Preparation H, sissy...your lips look swollen!
>
> What *are* you babbling about? Psychiatrist indeed - you need one.
>
> Mike

A court ordered him to get one at his expense. Didn't work.

401. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 04:37:14 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <_luYd.961$bh2.751@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197426

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:8io431hfita8k3okj5sdhtbsfkr8gabia6@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 05:16:23 -0800, FlyinBrianSR71@webtv.net (Brian Bower)
> wrote:
>
>>Turner M+3 Vs Astatic D104 Base Microphones - which is better.
>
>
> Turner. It's funny. When I got the Turner in the early 1980's, most people
> swore
> by the D104. From who I talked to today, it's seems they they like the
> Turner
> better. Go figure.
>
> Vinnie S.

Turners always had better sound. They just became unavailable when the
company quit making them.

402. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 15:44:42 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <K7EYd.8467$C47.6878@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197427

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050311110702.449$3m@newsreader.com...
> "VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
>> There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was
>> "having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".
>>
> Does he read this group?

He must, why else would he make this post ;)

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

403. FA: US ARMY SIGNAL CORPS ANB-H-1 w/HB-7 HEADPHONES-WWII NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:01:45 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <sBEYd.1948$MI.519@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197428
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4720&item=6516390407&rd=1

404. FA: US ARMY SIGNAL CORPS ANB-H-1 w/HB-7 HEADPHONES-WWII NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:02:32 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <aCEYd.1951$6M.28@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197429
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4720&item=6516390407&rd=1

405. FA: NOS USA MIL-SPEC TEST LEAD PROBE SET Hi-QUALITY D-BEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:05:29 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <YEEYd.1953$fO.1745@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197430
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=50971&item=3879229696&rd=1

406. FA: J.H. BUNNELL MAINLINE 15-B BRASS TELEGRAPH SOUNDER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:06:48 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <bGEYd.1955$tQ.1828@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197431
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48706&item=5756816301&rd=1

407. FA: No Bids:-(HYGAIN TELEX MSHD LOWER MAST SUPPORT HAM-M IV V ROTORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:07:42 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1HEYd.1957$eR.1310@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197432
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4672&item=5756816317&rd=1

408. FA: ARC-5 and SCR-274 CONVERSION BOOK>COMMAND SETS-1957

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:08:59 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <dIEYd.1959$eS.65@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197433
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=15050&item=5756816347&rd=1

409. FA: $20>ANTENNA SPECIALIST MS264 CB/AM-FM DISGIUSE ANTENNA NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:10:12 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <mJEYd.1961$PI.1353@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197434
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48692&item=5756816395&rd=1

410. FA: COLLINS R-390A FINAL I.F. UNIT w/ALL 4 COLLINS FILTERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:11:36 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <GKEYd.1962$iU.534@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197435
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5759550872&rd=1

411. Canadian CB radio forum up and running.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:27:46 UTC
From: ME <ME@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <6MEYd.655066$8l.128549@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 197436

Check it out...

http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

412. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:36:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312113610.524$lq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197437

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > mopeys great great granpa was on that station illegally, soliciting
> > mule drivers, back before there were big trucks. His family business
> > has a proud tradition.
> >
> Whatever numpty.
>
Fugly numpty.

413. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:42:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312114205.247$Tk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197438

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > >
> >>Samantha wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
> >>>United States?! Thank you!!
> >>>
> >>
> >>http://www.tvhandbook.com/History/History_radio.htm
> >>
> >>RCA's 'Radio Central' xmitters went on-line 1921 here on Long Island. I
> >>remember
> >>
> > >
> > Good memory you have there, old fart.
> those towers were 410' up and i've seen a middle school kid climb the
> fooker. claimed to see the atlantic and we are 12 miles north of it.
>
Dang, I,m skeered of hieghts but that had to be slick.
>
> speaking of old do you remember your fcc cb call from the 70's?
> kgo0185 using a lafayette he-20t and a 10 meter ringo ant. damn i'm
> old...
>
Yep, kdl-6824, Lafayette tuber of some sort and a droopy drawers..used
to have to search for someone to talk. No one knew what the hell a CB
was when I first got mine, that was 30 some years ago. :^O

414. Re: FA--Magnum Delta Force with Top Gun Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:43:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312114305.507$Mt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Mike <abc@def.ghi> wrote:
> In article <1110587688.219466.67340@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > A weird and gay CB queen who is so limpwristed he has to use "Goo-Gone"
> > for toothpaste talks junk? HAHAHAHAH!!!
> >
> > Better break out the Preparation H, sissy...your lips look swollen!
>
> What *are* you babbling about? Psychiatrist indeed - you need one.
>
> Mike
>
He has a court ordered deal for that.

415. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:44:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312114412.612$gN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197440

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 05:16:23 -0800, FlyinBrianSR71@webtv.net (Brian
> Bower) wrote:
>
> >Turner M+3 Vs Astatic D104 Base Microphones - which is better.
>
> Turner. It's funny. When I got the Turner in the early 1980's, most
> people swore by the D104. From who I talked to today, it's seems they
> they like the Turner better. Go figure.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Depends on the radio too, I like the non-amplified 104 for SSB.

416. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:44:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312114454.528$Ea@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197441

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 11 Mar 2005 16:07:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
> >> There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was
> >> "having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".
> >>
> >Does he read this group?
>
> I apologize. I was having a bad day !!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Dang it, Enzo!

417. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:47:28 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9gFYd.1973$Ci1.1584@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197442

Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Samantha wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
>>>>>United States?! Thank you!!
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>http://www.tvhandbook.com/History/History_radio.htm
>>>>
>>>>RCA's 'Radio Central' xmitters went on-line 1921 here on Long Island. I
>>>>remember
>>>>
>>>
>>>Good memory you have there, old fart.
>>
>>those towers were 410' up and i've seen a middle school kid climb the
>>fooker. claimed to see the atlantic and we are 12 miles north of it.
>>
>
> Dang, I,m skeered of hieghts but that had to be slick.
>
>>speaking of old do you remember your fcc cb call from the 70's?
>>kgo0185 using a lafayette he-20t and a 10 meter ringo ant. damn i'm
>>old...
>>
>
> Yep, kdl-6824, Lafayette tuber of some sort and a droopy drawers..used
> to have to search for someone to talk. No one knew what the hell a CB
> was when I first got mine, that was 30 some years ago. :^O
conversation from 1970 -
whats that thing on your roof?
an antenna.
what tv stations can you get with that?
its not for tv but for cb.

cb, whats that?

418. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:49:15 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <QhFYd.1974$I11.1487@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197443

U Know Who wrote:

> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8io431hfita8k3okj5sdhtbsfkr8gabia6@4ax.com...
>
>>On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 05:16:23 -0800, FlyinBrianSR71@webtv.net (Brian Bower)
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Turner M+3 Vs Astatic D104 Base Microphones - which is better.
>>
>>
>>Turner. It's funny. When I got the Turner in the early 1980's, most people
>>swore
>>by the D104. From who I talked to today, it's seems they they like the
>>Turner
>>better. Go figure.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
> Turners always had better sound. They just became unavailable when the
> company quit making them.
>
>
makes sense :p

419. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 16:52:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312115240.568$pd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197444

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050311110702.449$3m@newsreader.com...
> > "VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
> >> There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was
> >> "having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".
> >>
> > Does he read this group?
>
> He must, why else would he make this post ;)
>
> Landshark
>
Bad morning?

420. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 17:47:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312124735.883$H0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197445

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Samantha wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
> >>>>>United States?! Thank you!!
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>http://www.tvhandbook.com/History/History_radio.htm
> >>>>
> >>>>RCA's 'Radio Central' xmitters went on-line 1921 here on Long Island.
> >>>>I remember
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Good memory you have there, old fart.
> >>
> >>those towers were 410' up and i've seen a middle school kid climb the
> >>fooker. claimed to see the atlantic and we are 12 miles north of it.
> >>
> >
> > Dang, I,m skeered of hieghts but that had to be slick.
> >
> >>speaking of old do you remember your fcc cb call from the 70's?
> >>kgo0185 using a lafayette he-20t and a 10 meter ringo ant. damn i'm
> >>old...
> >>
> >
> > Yep, kdl-6824, Lafayette tuber of some sort and a droopy drawers..used
> > to have to search for someone to talk. No one knew what the hell a CB
> > was when I first got mine, that was 30 some years ago. :^O
>
>
> conversation from 1970 -
> whats that thing on your roof?

> an antenna.
> what tv stations can you get with that?
> its not for tv but for cb.
> cb, whats that?
>
HA! That's about the way I remember it too, Jim. My old man thought I
wuz crazy when I asked him to put that thing on the chimney.

421. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 17:57:55 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ebGYd.13999$bb3.737@fe12.lga>
Source record: 197446

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Samantha wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
>>>>>>>United States?! Thank you!!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>http://www.tvhandbook.com/History/History_radio.htm
>>>>>>
>>>>>>RCA's 'Radio Central' xmitters went on-line 1921 here on Long Island.
>>>>>>I remember
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Good memory you have there, old fart.
>>>>
>>>>those towers were 410' up and i've seen a middle school kid climb the
>>>>fooker. claimed to see the atlantic and we are 12 miles north of it.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Dang, I,m skeered of hieghts but that had to be slick.
>>>
>>>
>>>>speaking of old do you remember your fcc cb call from the 70's?
>>>>kgo0185 using a lafayette he-20t and a 10 meter ringo ant. damn i'm
>>>>old...

>>>>
>>>
>>>Yep, kdl-6824, Lafayette tuber of some sort and a droopy drawers..used
>>>to have to search for someone to talk. No one knew what the hell a CB
>>>was when I first got mine, that was 30 some years ago. :^O
>>
>>
>>conversation from 1970 -
>>whats that thing on your roof?
>>an antenna.
>>what tv stations can you get with that?
>>its not for tv but for cb.
>>cb, whats that?
>>
>
> HA! That's about the way I remember it too, Jim. My old man thought I
> wuz crazy when I asked him to put that thing on the chimney.
15 miles away one could talk without fear of getting stepped on as there
was very little traffic. sad to say but around here its like that again.
i hear the spanish speaking operators in bridgeport connecticut and some
others around 27.580 usb up near the electric boat yard in new london
and thats about it.

422. I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 18:05:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com>
Source record: 197447

Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!

I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling helped.
Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !

Now all I need is equipment !

Vinnie S.

423. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 18:22:03 UTC
From: Bogart <Anon@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <frGYd.52881$xt.9827@fed1read07>
Source record: 197448

Bogie - Here's typing to you kid

Way to go Vinnie -- congrads

Tip -- leave your CB lingo on the CB Bands -- 10 codes, good buddy, first personal, etc.
Pick up on Ham lingo, Q-Signals, etc leave these on the Ham band (;-)

Good luck and great Hamming

--
Round up the usual spammers
Rains In Casablanker
--

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>
> I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling
> helped.
> Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !
>
> Now all I need is equipment !
>
> Vinnie S.

424. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 18:29:05 UTC
From: "Mr. Chigliac" <mrc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RxGYd.69980$vK5.46825@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 197449

80 meters is the ham 'CB band.'
Just listen to it!

"Bogart" <Anon@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:frGYd.52881$xt.9827@fed1read07...
> Bogie - Here's typing to you kid
>
> Way to go Vinnie -- congrads
>
> Tip -- leave your CB lingo on the CB Bands -- 10 codes, good buddy, first
> personal, etc.
> Pick up on Ham lingo, Q-Signals, etc leave these on the Ham band (;-)
>
> Good luck and great Hamming
>
> --
> Round up the usual spammers
> Rains In Casablanker
> --
>
>
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >
> > I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling
> > helped.
> > Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !
> >
> > Now all I need is equipment !
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
>

425. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 18:46:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312134610.076$Ub@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197450

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> -snip-
> Going to Dayton, or skeered?
>
Well George, gonna make the 8 hour jaunt or is that more than you bargained
for when you emailed that threat to me?

426. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 18:48:39 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <bQGYd.1023$oa6.116@trnddc07>
Source record: 197451

``REAL HAMS`` do CW Keep Logbooks & QSL 100% 73,``THE REAL HAM``

My Family Website-->
http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html My
Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
.

427. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 18:57:33 UTC
From: Bogart <Anon@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <o4HYd.52891$xt.40736@fed1read07>
Source record: 197454

"Mr. Chigliac" <mrc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:RxGYd.69980$vK5.46825@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> 80 meters is the ham 'CB band.'
> Just listen to it!

Bogie - Here's typing to you kid

Yep there are bad apples in every barrel
But the 80M junk nets you are referring to are way down in the minority
--
Round up the usual spammers
Rains In Casablanker
--

428. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 19:02:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312140228.080$oy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197452

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Samantha wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Does anyone know where the first radio station was located in the
> >>>>>>>United States?! Thank you!!
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>http://www.tvhandbook.com/History/History_radio.htm
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>RCA's 'Radio Central' xmitters went on-line 1921 here on Long
> >>>>>>>Island. I remember
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>Good memory you have there, old fart.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>those towers were 410' up and i've seen a middle school kid climb the
> >>>>>fooker. claimed to see the atlantic and we are 12 miles north of it.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>Dang, I,m skeered of hieghts but that had to be slick.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>speaking of old do you remember your fcc cb call from the 70's?
> >>>>kgo0185 using a lafayette he-20t and a 10 meter ringo ant. damn i'm

> >>>>old...
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Yep, kdl-6824, Lafayette tuber of some sort and a droopy drawers..used
> >>>to have to search for someone to talk. No one knew what the hell a CB
> >>>was when I first got mine, that was 30 some years ago. :^O
> >>
> >>
> >>conversation from 1970 -
> >>whats that thing on your roof?
> >>an antenna.
> >>what tv stations can you get with that?
> >>its not for tv but for cb.
> >>cb, whats that?
> >>
> >
> > HA! That's about the way I remember it too, Jim. My old man thought I
> > wuz crazy when I asked him to put that thing on the chimney.
>
> 15 miles away one could talk without fear of getting stepped on as there
> was very little traffic.
>
Yep, ever go to the coffee breaks and put a face to a name back in the day?
>
> sad to say but around here its like that again.
> i hear the spanish speaking operators in bridgeport connecticut and some
> others around 27.580 usb up near the electric boat yard in new london
> and thats about it.
>
I like the quiet anymore..makes for some fun ground wave. The Spanish
has been there since the 70's too.

429. Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 19:06:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312140608.069$GX_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197453

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> Top of the spring?
>
Well top of the spring to you too, Lancer..can't tell by looking out the
window up here tho..we are flirting with the snowfall record now.

I hope it quits soon.

430. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 19:06:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312140657.620$TW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197455

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>
> I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling
> helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !
>
> Now all I need is equipment !
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.

431. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 19:11:41 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <N9HYd.8507$C47.2779@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197456

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050312115240.568$pd@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050311110702.449$3m@newsreader.com...
>> > "VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
>> >> There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who was
>> >> "having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the woods".
>> >>
>> > Does he read this group?
>>
>> He must, why else would he make this post ;)
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Bad morning?

Bad Coffee. Had the Bronco picked up, being dropped
off today in Phoenix for a Bronco event. Flying down on Thursday morning,
I'll be there for four days, wheeling,
showing, CB'ing, it don't get much better than that.

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

432. Re: Morse is tough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 19:13:57 UTC
From: Bogart <Anon@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <VbHYd.52892$xt.31938@fed1read07>
Source record: 197457

Bogie - Here's typing to you kid

The "Real Hams" elmer others, do public relations work, are involved with emergency services, ARES, RACES, National Traffic Net, skywarn, animal rescue, sheriffs auxiliary, VE work, QSL Managers, QSL Bureaus, etc

Then they do CW Keep Logbooks & QSL 100%

--
Round up the usual spammers
Rains In Casablanker
--

"NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS" <kb9ygd@verizon.net> wrote in message news:bQGYd.1023$oa6.116@trnddc07...
> ``REAL HAMS`` do CW Keep Logbooks & QSL 100% 73,``THE REAL HAM``
>
> My Family Website-->
> http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html
> My
> Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html
> http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook
> .
>
>

433. Re: Individual on Ch 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 19:18:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312141850.036$0n@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197458

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050312115240.568$pd@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050311110702.449$3m@newsreader.com...
> >> > "VA1 Mobile" <VACOMM@club.com> wrote:
> >> >> There was a certain person on channel 22 in Richmond, Virginia who
> >> >> was "having a bad morning" just because I was "in his neck of the
> >> >> woods".
> >> >>
> >> > Does he read this group?
> >>
> >> He must, why else would he make this post ;)
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Bad morning?
>
> Bad Coffee. Had the Bronco picked up, being dropped
> off today in Phoenix for a Bronco event. Flying down on Thursday morning,
> I'll be there for four days, wheeling,
> showing, CB'ing, it don't get much better than that.
>
> Landshark
>
Ah..you're gonna really be a Landshark in that sweet Bronco. Send me
some pics.
```

434. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 19:41:09 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0dh631l50lc4a5bjhk2s1r03vnliecqe5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 197459

On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>>
>> I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling
>> helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !
>>
>> Now all I need is equipment !
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.

I think my brain is fried. I studied for a month. It would not have been so bad,
but I was busy as shit at work.

Remind me when you go do Dayton.

Vinnie S.

435. Courier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 19:43:18 UTC
From: yzordderrex@verizon.net
Message-ID: <1110656598.919689.115490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197460

I would like to buy a Courier 12 cb radio. Working or not. Had one as a kid and am putting same station together.

regards,
Bob

436. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 20:21:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312152150.428$BG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197461

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >>
> >> I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech
> >> schooling helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the
> >> General !
> >>
> >> Now all I need is equipment !
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
>
> I think my brain is fried. I studied for a month. It would not have been
> so bad, but I was busy as shit at work.
>
That makes it even more impressive, you did it while you were so busy.
That's using your dome for more than a hat rack. :)

You do know the dates right? (for Dayton)
May 20,21, & 22 http://www.hamvention.org/

That gives you plenty time to find an excuse to leave your better half
for two or three days. (hope she's still there when you return) :D
> Remind me when you go do Dayton.
>

437. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 20:25:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <h0k631h0sod2i7ct5o5dfubudq4rmuulf4@4ax.com>
Source record: 197462

On 12 Mar 2005 20:21:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>> >>
>> >> I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech
>> >> schooling helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the
>> >> General !
>> >>
>> >> Now all I need is equipment !
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
>>
>> I think my brain is fried. I studied for a month. It would not have been
>> so bad, but I was busy as shit at work.
>>
>That makes it even more impressive, you did it while you were so busy.
>That's using your dome for more than a hat rack. :)
>
>You do know the dates right? (for Dayton)
>May 20,21, & 22 http://www.hamvention.org/

>That gives you plenty time to find an excuse to leave your better half
>for two or three days. (hope she's still there when you return) :D
>> Remind me when you go do Dayton.

Leaving my beter half for a couple days, isn't hard. I do it for work. It's
having someone cover my job, that is the bitch.

Vinnie S.

438. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 20:48:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197463

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 12 Mar 2005 20:21:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech
> >> >> schooling helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got
> >> >> the General !
> >> >>
> >> >> Now all I need is equipment !
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
> >>
> >> I think my brain is fried. I studied for a month. It would not have
> >> been so bad, but I was busy as shit at work.
> >>
> >That makes it even more impressive, you did it while you were so busy.
> >That's using your dome for more than a hat rack. :)
> >
> >You do know the dates right? (for Dayton)
> >May 20,21, & 22 http://www.hamvention.org/
>
> >That gives you plenty time to find an excuse to leave your better half
> >for two or three days. (hope she's still there when you return) :D
> >> Remind me when you go do Dayton.
>
> Leaving my beter half for a couple days, isn't hard. I do it for work.
> It's having someone cover my job, that is the bitch.
>
Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you want
to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my trip
planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.

Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.

439. Too funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 21:08:18 UTC
From: "Mr. Chigliac" <mrc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6TIYd.130815$nC5.83500@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 197464

www.gizoogle.com

440. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 21:15:08 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <RM6dnbjBSdVDxq7fRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 197465

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!

Congratulations! Now the fun begins, looking for equipment to buy.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

441. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 21:17:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312161734.392$20@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197466

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>
> Congratulations! Now the fun begins, looking for equipment to buy.
>
Hi Lee, long time no see. (i think) Are you going to Dayton? We are
trying to get a rec.radio.cb thing going on there.

442. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 21:21:53 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <_ZWdnTVxmMDuwK7fRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 197467

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you want
> to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my trip
> planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
> barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
>
> Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.

Where are you staying at? I'm going with a group that will be staying at the
university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several ways is costing each
of us $28 each for two nights. We get a kitchen, stove, etc. with only two
people per room.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

443. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 21:29:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312162947.506$Wh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197468

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you want
> > to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my trip
> > planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
> > barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
> >
> > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
>
> Where are you staying at?
>
Dunno yet, it will be one of the three campgrounds that are convenient
to there. I posted a thread for campground advice but no one replied yet.
I'll call them soon to get the low-down on what they have to offer, and
their location to the track (er fest)
>
>I'm going with a group that will be staying at
> the university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several ways is
> costing each of us $28 each for two nights. We get a kitchen, stove, etc.
> with only two people per room.
>
Cool. Maybe if this thing floats you could stop by and say hello. We'll
be there for two nights.

444. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 23:31:20 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <xL-dnTjxGPBX5q7fRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 197469

OK

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050312162947.506$Wh@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you
want
> > > to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my
trip
> > > planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
> > > barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
> > >
> > > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
> >
> > Where are you staying at?
> >
> Dunno yet, it will be one of the three campgrounds that are convenient
> to there. I posted a thread for campground advice but no one replied yet.
> I'll call them soon to get the low-down on what they have to offer, and
> their location to the track (er fest)
> >
> >I'm going with a group that will be staying at
> > the university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several ways is
> > costing each of us $28 each for two nights. We get a kitchen, stove,
etc.
> > with only two people per room.
> >
> Cool. Maybe if this thing floats you could stop by and say hello. We'll
> be there for two nights.

445. Re: Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 23:32:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <tnu631h7ct3aan0v3sbi5d368fm4hkpp42@4ax.com>
Source record: 197470

On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050312140608.069$GX_-_@newsreader.com>:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> Top of the spring?
>>
>Well top of the spring to you too, Lancer..can't tell by looking out the
>window up here tho..we are flirting with the snowfall record now.
>
>I hope it quits soon.

Send some of it this way. It's been like early summer for almost a
month and we have a record low snow pack in the mountains. The good
thing is that I've been able to get lots of stuff done early, even
rebuilt the front end on the truck. But it's gonna be mighty dry this
summer if we don't get some heavy precip pretty soon.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

446. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-12 23:37:21 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7c2dnSSqxtCv4K7fRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 197471

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050312161734.392$20@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
> > > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >
> > Congratulations! Now the fun begins, looking for equipment to buy.
> >
> Hi Lee, long time no see. (i think) Are you going to Dayton?

I'm planning on it. Paid my money for my part of the dorm room rent to my
Ham buddy who is handling the reservations.

> We are
> trying to get a rec.radio.cb thing going on there.

Sounds good. Who all is interested in going?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

447. Re: Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 01:52:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312205255.945$vQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197472

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050312140608.069$GX_-_@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> Top of the spring?
> >>
> >Well top of the spring to you too, Lancer..can't tell by looking out the
> >window up here tho..we are flirting with the snowfall record now.
> >
> >I hope it quits soon.
>
> Send some of it this way. It's been like early summer for almost a
> month and we have a record low snow pack in the mountains.
>
Sorry to hear that, Frank. Here's me saying 'so much for global warming'
as I replace the cutting blades on my plows again...while you're living
the warm and drought. Weather is sure a strange phenomenon.
>
> The good
> thing is that I've been able to get lots of stuff done early, even
> rebuilt the front end on the truck.
>
Ball joints, tie rods, and such?
>
> But it's gonna be mighty dry this
> summer if we don't get some heavy precip pretty soon.
>
Maybe you'll get the spring rains. (not all at once)

448. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 01:55:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312205501.153$6K@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197473

```
"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050312161734.392$20@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
> > > > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> > >
> > > Congratulations! Now the fun begins, looking for equipment to buy.
> > >
> > Hi Lee, long time no see. (i think) Are you going to Dayton?
>
> I'm planning on it. Paid my money for my part of the dorm room rent to my
> Ham buddy who is handling the reservations.
>
> > We are
> > trying to get a rec.radio.cb thing going on there.
>
> Sounds good. Who all is interested in going?
>
Anyone that cares to..it's an open invitation.
```

449. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 02:37:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312213744.654$Vp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> > Scott Slack wrote:
> >
> > > Proposal: Make 11 meters available to to HAMs as secondary use.
> > > Power
> > > restricted to 100 Watts. Then the HAMs can have QSOs with CBers.
> > > -Scott
> >
> > Why would hams want to operate on 11 meters and talk to cbers?
> >
> Is that a valid email addy JJ, or are you using a valid domain without
> permission?
>
Switch noted.. are you getting this snow in Denver too JJ?

450. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 03:17:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <n4c7319gmfjnu72cv1eadjklmnag422r3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 197475

On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 16:15:08 -0500, "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
>> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>
>Congratulations! Now the fun begins, looking for equipment to buy.

Thanks ! I might be the first ham to have to wait about 2 months before I can
transmit.

Vinnie S.

451. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 03:17:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <n5c731p493nmd7rlnooi426qnquvlovqpp@4ax.com>
Source record: 197476

On 12 Mar 2005 20:48:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Leaving my beter half for a couple days, isn't hard. I do it for work.
>> It's having someone cover my job, that is the bitch.
>>
>Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you want
>to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my trip
>planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
>barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
>
>Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.

I probably won't be able to go. But I will have keep in touch with you, in case
something good pops up.

Vinnie S.

452. Re: Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 03:32:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nhc731dp76paj0s654av7lmosuulr89fvb@4ax.com>
Source record: 197477

On 13 Mar 2005 01:52:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050312205255.945$vQ@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050312140608.069$GX_-_@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> Top of the spring?
>> >>
>> >Well top of the spring to you too, Lancer..can't tell by looking out the
>> >window up here tho..we are flirting with the snowfall record now.
>> >
>> >I hope it quits soon.
>>
>> Send some of it this way. It's been like early summer for almost a
>> month and we have a record low snow pack in the mountains.
>>
>Sorry to hear that, Frank. Here's me saying 'so much for global warming'
>as I replace the cutting blades on my plows again...while you're living
>the warm and drought. Weather is sure a strange phenomenon.

Could be worse. I remember back in 66-67 when we had snow like Buffalo
and it didn't melt until May -- and there was still snow on the pass
in July!

>> The good
>> thing is that I've been able to get lots of stuff done early, even
>> rebuilt the front end on the truck.
>>
>Ball joints, tie rods, and such?

Yep. It started with a bad idler arm and I kinda got carried away.

>> But it's gonna be mighty dry this
>> summer if we don't get some heavy precip pretty soon.
>>
>Maybe you'll get the spring rains. (not all at once)

I dunno. I hope so since most of our electricity comes from hydro. But
weather blows West to East, so it's entirely possible the drought is
coming your way. Don't you have a nuke plant over there?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

453. Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 03:35:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <23d731pf6dr64rphodaonj72q5do3t3rbt@4ax.com>
Source record: 197478

Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
having a schematic or other service info.

Anybody?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

454. Re: Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 03:44:16 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hnd7315d9mojekldp89l2er658nu2e2b3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 197479

On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 19:35:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
>having a schematic or other service info.
>
>Anybody?

How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?

Vinnie S.

455. Re: Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 03:52:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312225203.181$GA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197480

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 13 Mar 2005 01:52:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050312205255.945$vQ@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> <20050312140608.069$GX_-_@newsreader.com>:
> >>
> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> Top of the spring?
> >> >>
> >> >Well top of the spring to you too, Lancer..can't tell by looking out
> >> >the window up here tho..we are flirting with the snowfall record now.
> >> >
> >> >I hope it quits soon.
> >>
> >> Send some of it this way. It's been like early summer for almost a
> >> month and we have a record low snow pack in the mountains.
> >>
> >Sorry to hear that, Frank. Here's me saying 'so much for global warming'
> >as I replace the cutting blades on my plows again...while you're living
> >the warm and drought. Weather is sure a strange phenomenon.
>
> Could be worse. I remember back in 66-67 when we had snow like Buffalo
> and it didn't melt until May -- and there was still snow on the pass
> in July!
>
That is some real snow in Buffalo! A certain party in Rochester gets
a fair share of the white gold too. It seems to go in cycles sorta
like band openings, but on a grander scale. (who knows)
>
> >> The good
> >> thing is that I've been able to get lots of stuff done early, even
> >> rebuilt the front end on the truck.
> >>
> >Ball joints, tie rods, and such?
>
> Yep. It started with a bad idler arm and I kinda got carried away.
>
Not so bad if you're doing it yourself, the parts are competitively priced.
Which model truck are you working on?
>
> >> But it's gonna be mighty dry this

> >> summer if we don't get some heavy precip pretty soon.
> >>
> >Maybe you'll get the spring rains. (not all at once)
>
> I dunno. I hope so since most of our electricity comes from hydro. But
> weather blows West to East, so it's entirely possible the drought is
> coming your way.
>
Or the spring rains that also flow west to east. Our water table is
more than ample here. The sewer taxes will kill ya tho..
>
> Don't you have a nuke plant over there?
>
Yes, in fact I think we have two.

456. Re: Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 03:54:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312225442.235$tz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197481

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 19:35:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>
> >Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
> >having a schematic or other service info.
> >
> >Anybody?
>
> How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?
>
Ever watch Godfather?

Ever go to the flea markets? That is always fun!

457. Re: Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 04:29:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cdf731pf53mnaaa3tmh72m4388bpqna4id@4ax.com>
Source record: 197482

On 13 Mar 2005 03:52:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050312225203.181$GA@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 13 Mar 2005 01:52:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050312205255.945$vQ@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> <20050312140608.069$GX_-_@newsreader.com>:
>> >>
>> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> >> Top of the spring?
>> >> >>
>> >> >Well top of the spring to you too, Lancer..can't tell by looking out
>> >> >the window up here tho..we are flirting with the snowfall record now.
>> >> >
>> >> >I hope it quits soon.
>> >>
>> >> Send some of it this way. It's been like early summer for almost a
>> >> month and we have a record low snow pack in the mountains.
>> >>
>> >Sorry to hear that, Frank. Here's me saying 'so much for global warming'
>> >as I replace the cutting blades on my plows again...while you're living
>> >the warm and drought. Weather is sure a strange phenomenon.
>>
>> Could be worse. I remember back in 66-67 when we had snow like Buffalo
>> and it didn't melt until May -- and there was still snow on the pass
>> in July!
>>
>That is some real snow in Buffalo! A certain party in Rochester gets
>a fair share of the white gold too. It seems to go in cycles sorta
>like band openings, but on a grander scale. (who knows)
>>
>> >> The good
>> >> thing is that I've been able to get lots of stuff done early, even
>> >> rebuilt the front end on the truck.
>> >>
>> >Ball joints, tie rods, and such?
>>
>> Yep. It started with a bad idler arm and I kinda got carried away.
>>
>Not so bad if you're doing it yourself, the parts are competitively priced.
>Which model truck are you working on?

'87 Chevy S-10. But it's a Frankenstein cause it has '89 axles, '91
engine, '88 bed, etc. I keep Mr. Goodwrench quite busy with the parts
manuals.

>> >> But it's gonna be mighty dry this
>> >> summer if we don't get some heavy precip pretty soon.
>> >>
>> >Maybe you'll get the spring rains. (not all at once)
>>
>> I dunno. I hope so since most of our electricity comes from hydro. But
>> weather blows West to East, so it's entirely possible the drought is
>> coming your way.
>>
>Or the spring rains that also flow west to east. Our water table is
>more than ample here. The sewer taxes will kill ya tho..

http://www.komonews.com/news/story.asp?ID=31170

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

458. Re: Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 04:29:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qcg731tuj45kufhfopri6v24kitv6edhn5@4ax.com>
Source record: 197483

On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 22:44:16 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <hnd7315d9mojekldp89l2er658nu2e2b3t@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 19:35:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
>>having a schematic or other service info.
>>
>>Anybody?
>
>
>How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?

Are you Italian?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

459. Re: Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 04:39:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312233903.964$ow@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197484

Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:

> http://www.komonews.com/news/story.asp?ID=31170
>
Don't look Ethel, he's neeked and pluggin' the sewer.

460. Re: Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 04:39:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050312233956.586$di@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197485

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 22:44:16 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote in <hnd7315d9mojekldp89l2er658nu2e2b3t@4ax.com>:
>
> >On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 19:35:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> ><w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
> >>having a schematic or other service info.
> >>
> >>Anybody?
> >
> >
> >How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?
>
> Are you Italian?
>
Who'd a thunk? lol

461. Re: Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 07:28:41 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <krq731t9b4g8f6jhelsb05niveubeeqoq2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197486

On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 20:29:34 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 22:44:16 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <hnd7315d9mojekldp89l2er658nu2e2b3t@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 19:35:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
>>>having a schematic or other service info.
>>>
>>>Anybody?
>>
>>
>>How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?
>
>
>Are you Italian?

But that is not the reason ! LOL.

I go to look for used books, or radios. All I ever see for sales is gready
cookware. Disgusting !!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

462. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 07:52:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <l8s731987jb1ii8c9cpsn0knc6doe2skvj@4ax.com>
Source record: 197487

On 10 Mar 2005 15:35:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
>> news:5pks2150sk7195vtudkn2hlkg5gp5fipj9@4ax.com:
>>
>> > On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 16:55:08 -0600, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>news:nl6s21dgeoeo4u4aefvs49q7jmes765usc@4ax.com...
>> >>> On 08 Mar 2005 19:12:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>> >You can now search for drivers license on the internet, due to the
>> >>> >freedom of information act. Go to this site and enter your
>> parameters
>> >>> >and see if your license is listed.
>> >>> >
>> >>> >http://www.license.shorturl.com
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Damn, Moped !!!!!!!!!
>> >>>
>> >>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> hahahaha he calls you Moped ...LOL too funny

I asked his permission first, moron. As to not insult him.

Vinnie S.

463. Yard sale finds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 09:09:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <50u7319cnti63bbeg61ouc965kdt0nd3en@4ax.com>
Source record: 197488

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 02:28:41 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <krq731t9b4g8f6jhelsb05niveubeeqoq2@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 20:29:34 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 22:44:16 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote in <hnd7315d9mojekldp89l2er658nu2e2b3t@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 19:35:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
>>>>having a schematic or other service info.
>>>>
>>>>Anybody?
>>>
>>>
>>>How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?
>>
>>
>>Are you Italian?
>
>
>But that is not the reason ! LOL.
>
>I go to look for used books, or radios. All I ever see for sales is gready
>cookware. Disgusting !!!!!!!

Well, I don't know where you look for but I've found all kinds of cool
stuff at yard and garage sales. Just last week I found an Onkyo A-7,
one of the best consumer amps ever built, and even good for the studio
since it's flat from 2Hz to 80kHz. It was just dirty and missing a
knob. I got it for $6. I've found lots of cool stuff over the years.
Sometimes you gotta look "beyond" the sale or ask..... people throw
away stuff that might just be worth something. A couple years ago I
hit a local yard sale and in the back yard saw an old, wooden,
homemade reel-2-reel rack in a trailer that was destined for the dump.
He gave me the whole rack for nothing, just so he didn't have to pay
the dump fees. Low and behold, the thing included a tuner and a pair
of Viking preamps, complete with Telefunken 12AX7's -- twelve of them
in all!!! A week later I found a beautiful 6550 tube amp from an organ
just sitting against a wall without a price because they didn't think
anyone would want it. I got it for $10 (already sold, BTW).....

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/amp1.jpg
http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/amp4.jpg

Here's an old Concertone 505 that was in a suitcase hidden under a
table. I got it for $8 (also sold):

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/505_1.jpg

An old Amphenol (Cadre) CB that I picked up for a whole buck:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/amph_01.jpg

This P/S Escort cost me $10:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/escort_1.jpg
http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/escort_2.jpg

My Akai GX-266II set me back a whopping $25:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/gx-266ii.jpg

I even found a Swan 500 for $50 (also sold). That's just a sample of
what I've found in the past few years. You just have to keep your eyes
peeled.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

464. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 09:29:56 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110706196.115021.297220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197489

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >
> > I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech
schooling
> > helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General
!
> >
> > Now all I need is equipment !
> >
> > Vinnie S.
> >
> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.

Mopeydopeys ticket to ride is a 5 dollar bill in a petro toilet

465. Re: check out this site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 09:30:47 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110706247.677639.311610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197490

he doesnt wanna admit how gay he is

466. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 09:32:24 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110706344.512525.10750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197491

At the Petro Truckstop in girard, ohio...Mpey set it up to call guys to the toilet stall where he works

467. Re: Yard sale finds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 13:17:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <i8f831tf8mimsn416pd5c7ga5ur526fd7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 197492

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 01:09:54 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 02:28:41 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>

>>>>How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?
>>>
>>>
>>>Are you Italian?
>>
>>
>>But that is not the reason ! LOL.
>>
>>I go to look for used books, or radios. All I ever see for sales is gready
>>cookware. Disgusting !!!!!!!
>
>
>Well, I don't know where you look for but I've found all kinds of cool
>stuff at yard and garage sales. Just last week I found an Onkyo A-7,
>one of the best consumer amps ever built, and even good for the studio
>since it's flat from 2Hz to 80kHz. It was just dirty and missing a
>knob. I got it for $6. I've found lots of cool stuff over the years.
>Sometimes you gotta look "beyond" the sale or ask..... people throw
>away stuff that might just be worth something. A couple years ago I
>hit a local yard sale and in the back yard saw an old, wooden,
>homemade reel-2-reel rack in a trailer that was destined for the dump.
>He gave me the whole rack for nothing, just so he didn't have to pay
>the dump fees. Low and behold, the thing included a tuner and a pair
>of Viking preamps, complete with Telefunken 12AX7's -- twelve of them
>in all!!! A week later I found a beautiful 6550 tube amp from an organ
>just sitting against a wall without a price because they didn't think
>anyone would want it. I got it for $10 (already sold, BTW).....
>
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/amp1.jpg
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/amp4.jpg
>
>Here's an old Concertone 505 that was in a suitcase hidden under a
>table. I got it for $8 (also sold):
>
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/505_1.jpg
>
>An old Amphenol (Cadre) CB that I picked up for a whole buck:
>
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/amph_01.jpg
>

>This P/S Escort cost me $10:
>
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/escort_1.jpg
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/escort_2.jpg
>
>My Akai GX-266II set me back a whopping $25:
>
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/gx-266ii.jpg
>
>I even found a Swan 500 for $50 (also sold). That's just a sample of
>what I've found in the past few years. You just have to keep your eyes
>peeled.

Oh, man. I have been looking. There is pure crap out here. My guess is I am in a hotbed for antique dealers and people who sell on ebay.

Vinnie S.

468. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 13:41:24 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <8qXYd.12798$U_4.7551@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197493

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>
> I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling
> helped.
> Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !
>
> Now all I need is equipment !
>
> Vinnie S.

Congrats Vinnie!

469. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 14:11:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313091110.477$dH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197494

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > > mopeys great great granpa was on that station illegally, soliciting
> > > mule drivers, back before there were big trucks. His family business
> > > has a proud tradition.
> > >
> > Whatever numpty.
> >
> Fugly numpty.
>
Crisco kathy posted some not so nice things about him on the
qrz.com forums, pics included. hehe

470. FA: CB ANTENNA M'CYLE-MARINE-BOAT>ANTENNA SPECIALIST MR306

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 15:03:25 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <8JYYd.20510$5H.8705@fe09.lga>
Source record: 197495

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48692&item=5759550889&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

471. FA: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 15:04:51 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <uKYYd.20515$Sw.8595@fe09.lga>
Source record: 197496
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5759550863&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

472. Re: Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 17:08:03 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Tr_Yd.17774$Pz7.1786@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197497

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:qcg731tuj45kufhfopri6v24kitv6edhn5@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 22:44:16 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote in <hnd7315d9mojekldp89l2er658nu2e2b3t@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 19:35:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
>>>having a schematic or other service info.
>>>
>>>Anybody?
>>
>>
>>How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?
>
>
> Are you Italian?

How'd you think he got his ticket? He made the
VE an offer he couldn't refuse ;)

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

473. Re: Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 17:08:03 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Tr_Yd.17772$Pz7.6099@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197498

"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote in message >
> '87 Chevy S-10. But it's a Frankenstein cause it has '89 axles, '91
> engine, '88 bed, etc. I keep Mr. Goodwrench quite busy with the parts
> manuals.

That's not to bad. Yesterday I saw a Morris Minor with
a small block Chevy, 4-71 blower, TH400 trans, 9" Ford
rear end, now that's a real Frankenstein.

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

474. Re: Top of the spring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 17:08:03 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Tr_Yd.17773$Pz7.12372@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197499

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050312225203.181$GA@newsreader.com...
> Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 13 Mar 2005 01:52:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050312205255.945$vQ@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> On 12 Mar 2005 19:06:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> <20050312140608.069$GX_-_@newsreader.com>:
>> >>
>> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> >> Top of the spring?
>> >> >>
>> >> >Well top of the spring to you too, Lancer..can't tell by looking out
>> >> >the window up here tho..we are flirting with the snowfall record now.
>> >> >
>> >> >I hope it quits soon.
>> >>
>> >> Send some of it this way. It's been like early summer for almost a
>> >> month and we have a record low snow pack in the mountains.
>> >>
>> >Sorry to hear that, Frank. Here's me saying 'so much for global warming'
>> >as I replace the cutting blades on my plows again...while you're living
>> >the warm and drought. Weather is sure a strange phenomenon.
>>
>> Could be worse. I remember back in 66-67 when we had snow like Buffalo
>> and it didn't melt until May -- and there was still snow on the pass
>> in July!
>>
> That is some real snow in Buffalo! A certain party in Rochester gets
> a fair share of the white gold too. It seems to go in cycles sorta
> like band openings, but on a grander scale. (who knows)
>>
>> >> The good
>> >> thing is that I've been able to get lots of stuff done early, even
>> >> rebuilt the front end on the truck.
>> >>
>> >Ball joints, tie rods, and such?
>>
>> Yep. It started with a bad idler arm and I kinda got carried away.
>>
> Not so bad if you're doing it yourself, the parts are competitively
> priced.

> Which model truck are you working on?

Oh, it's always a joy getting under those old vehicles.

>>
>> >> But it's gonna be mighty dry this
>> >> summer if we don't get some heavy precip pretty soon.
>> >>
>> >Maybe you'll get the spring rains. (not all at once)
>>
>> I dunno. I hope so since most of our electricity comes from hydro. But
>> weather blows West to East, so it's entirely possible the drought is
>> coming your way.
>>
> Or the spring rains that also flow west to east. Our water table is
> more than ample here. The sewer taxes will kill ya tho..

Taxes period kill ya!

>>
>> Don't you have a nuke plant over there?
>>
> Yes, in fact I think we have two.

Cool, glow in the dark stuff ;)

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

475. Re: Yard sale finds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 18:51:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ve29311660pm6i59o14ug2fh0g12b9q5q8@4ax.com>
Source record: 197500

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 08:17:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <i8f831tf8mimsn416pd5c7ga5ur526fd7g@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>Oh, man. I have been looking. There is pure crap out here. My guess is I am in a
>hotbed for antique dealers and people who sell on ebay.

East coast?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

476. FS: Uniden Pro-350XL 40 channel handheld radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 20:38:55 UTC
From: Aspen37 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zx1Zd.381645$w62.174929@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 197501

Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00 plus shipping

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

477. Re: Yard sale finds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 22:49:05 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5qg931llkuc5gdsb5tnm2oen0jk2in0kkr@4ax.com>
Source record: 197502

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 10:51:01 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 08:17:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <i8f831tf8mimsn416pd5c7ga5ur526fd7g@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Oh, man. I have been looking. There is pure crap out here. My guess is I am in a
>>hotbed for antique dealers and people who sell on ebay.
>
>
>East coast?

Yeah, near Delaware. Antiwue dealers eveywhere, and way overpriced.

Vinnie S.

478. The "PileupBuster.com" Domain Name is For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 23:42:08 UTC
From: erfurth@gmail.com
Message-ID: <36ba001b.0503131542.7795e536@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197503

The "PileupBuster.com" domain name is For Sale.

For less then the price of an HF rig you can own the ultimate CB/Ham Radio domain name.

The PileupBuster.com domain offers immediate name recognition to everyone associated with CB or Ham Radio. Being an established radio term, it is very easy for your customers to remember. Plus, the sales and marketing potential of a name like this is unlimited.

Even if you don't plan to use it, a name like PileupBuster.com can be a great investment.

The price is $1250.00 (U.S. dollars)

For more information or to purchase this domain, please click on the name:

http://PileupBuster.com

The name is being sold through SEDO.com. They will handle all of the ownership change and the escrow details.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at: erfurth@gmail.com

Good luck.

73s,

Bill

479. need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-13 23:59:59 UTC
From: Mike Hunt <matchbaux@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3u4Zd.21386$OU1.4402@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197504

what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I want work protek job in USA.
thank you Alex

480. Re: Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 00:16:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313191618.097$HN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197505

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:qcg731tuj45kufhfopri6v24kitv6edhn5@4ax.com...
> > On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 22:44:16 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> > wrote in <hnd7315d9mojekldp89l2er658nu2e2b3t@4ax.com>:
> >
> >>On Sat, 12 Mar 2005 19:35:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> >><w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
> >>wrote:
> >>
> >>>Found a JC-211E at a yard sale today. Works great, but I wouldn't mind
> >>>having a schematic or other service info.
> >>>
> >>>Anybody?
> >>
> >>
> >>How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?
> >
> >
> > Are you Italian?
>
> How'd you think he got his ticket? He made the
> VE an offer he couldn't refuse ;)
>
> Landshark
>
His specialty is cement.....shoes. He has a beautiful wife too, from
what I can tell from his wedding picture. Enzo is a good guy, but I hate
his hometown baseball team with a passion. :)

481. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 00:18:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313191802.239$0O@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197506

"Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
> what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I want work protek job in
> USA. thank you Alex
>
Yore watching too much tv.

482. Re: History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 00:34:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313193429.421$Vk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197507

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> > >>speaking of old do you remember your fcc cb call from the 70's?
> > >>kgo0185 using a lafayette he-20t and a 10 meter ringo ant. damn i'm
> > >>old...
> > >>
> > >
> > > Yep, kdl-6824, Lafayette tuber of some sort and a droopy
> > > drawers..used to have to search for someone to talk. No one knew what
> > > the hell a CB was when I first got mine, that was 30 some years ago.
> > > :^O
>
Hey, can we still use that call?

483. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 01:05:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313200547.152$Ee@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197508

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> hahaha I thought i was kill filed Ninnie? lol your a putz
>
That's what it comes down to for you and your hero, "made ya look".

I should just filter you too..but it's fun to bitch slap you around.

I'll do it in person for you in Dayton. Call it the keyclown hoe-down.

484. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 01:55:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313205531.460$3S@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197509

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >
> > I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling
> > helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !
> >
> > Now all I need is equipment !
> >
> > Vinnie S.
> >
> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
>
Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?

485. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 01:56:12 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <sor93118ios1m0edvfivklv79bktni7ilo@4ax.com>
Source record: 197510

On 14 Mar 2005 00:18:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I want work protek job in
>> USA. thank you Alex
>>
>Yore watching too much tv.

Thank God. I thought I was losing it.

Vinnie S.

486. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 01:57:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313205751.696$2a@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197511

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Mar 2005 00:18:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I want work protek job in
> >> USA. thank you Alex
> >>
> >Yore watching too much tv.
>
> Thank God. I thought I was losing it.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Who said you ain't?

487. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 01:58:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mqr9319p3q43fk62dhm91dij5nup8i09ku@4ax.com>
Source record: 197512

On 14 Mar 2005 01:05:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>> hahaha I thought i was kill filed Ninnie? lol your a putz

You are killfiled, you dick. And it works great, too !!! I only responded because Steve piggybacked your post, and I was looking at "his" post. I just wanted to put you in your place, once again. You see, you tried to pin me against Steve. Nice try.

Vinnie S.

488. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 02:00:16 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110765616.063529.189830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197513

Steveo wrote:
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050312161734.392$20@newsreader.com...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:9ob631hl1o7e8a5p782ugsj9898qq3clop@4ax.com...
> > > > > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Congratulations! Now the fun begins, looking for equipment to
buy.
> > > >
> > > Hi Lee, long time no see. (i think) Are you going to Dayton?
> >
> > I'm planning on it. Paid my money for my part of the dorm room rent
to my
> > Ham buddy who is handling the reservations.
> >
> > > We are
> > > trying to get a rec.radio.cb thing going on there.
> >
> > Sounds good. Who all is interested in going?
> >
> Anyone that cares to..it's an open invitation.

Meanwhile, someone may visit the mopehole home qth and pin his
coax...might be fun to hear him moan about that....heheh

489. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 02:07:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313210749.324$hb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197514

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Mar 2005 01:05:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >wrote:
> >> hahaha I thought i was kill filed Ninnie? lol your a putz
>
> You are killfiled, you dick. And it works great, too !!! I only responded
> because Steve piggybacked your post, and I was looking at "his" post. I
> just wanted to put you in your place, once again. You see, you tried to
> pin me against Steve. Nice try.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
He'd be better off cussing out the Yank-spenders if he wants to get you
and I going at each other. hehe I'd sure like to see the Yankees vs
the Tribe in the playoffs this season. Davey vs Goliath.

490. New Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 03:46:50 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110772010.285005.256430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197515

For Cb'ers and Hams and everyone else...

http://s9.invisionfree.com/Radio_Waves/

491. Re: Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 03:48:37 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110772117.351228.269450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197516

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Chukkeesukkee, you forgot to include a spot for posting your gun
> threats on that bogus christian board.
>
> Me wrote:
> > http://s8.invisionfree.com/Moodysstudy

Shut up Stupid. Go greese Kathy's back.

492. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 04:04:42 UTC
From: Mike Hunt <matchbaux@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u38Zd.21483$OU1.18811@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197517

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050313191802.239$0O@newsreader.com...
> "Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I want work protek job in
>> USA. thank you Alex
>>
> Yore watching too much tv.

thank you steveo but tv no watch except soccer games - today I got Raven gun - small and fits tight in levi jeanpants - i died black to look like gangbanker

493. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 04:14:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050313231455.946$q2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197518

"Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050313191802.239$0O@newsreader.com...
> > "Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I want work protek job in
> >> USA. thank you Alex
> >>
> > Yore watching too much tv.
>
> thank you steveo but tv no watch except soccer games - today I got Raven
> gun - small and fits tight in levi jeanpants - i died black to look like
> gangbanker
>
Well the tv thing was a wild guess. It now appears your troubles may
run deeper, or your grasp of English is suspect at best. :D

Be careful to not listen to that hip hop so loud.

494. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 05:37:00 UTC
From: Viper GTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <Wn9Zd.45444$%Y4.7111@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 197519

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050313191802.239$0O@newsreader.com...
> "Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I want work protek job in
>> USA. thank you Alex
>>
> Yore watching too much tv.

Or not enuf.

495. Re: The "PileupBuster.com" Domain Name is For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 06:10:06 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110780606.358695.205390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197520

How about PileBuster? mopey has that happen nightly at petro

496. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 13:14:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ug3b31tm9g3atmqcuo2lgsah8v60gputru@4ax.com>
Source record: 197521

On 14 Mar 2005 01:55:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>> >
>> > I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling
>> > helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !
>> >
>> > Now all I need is equipment !
>> >
>> > Vinnie S.
>> >
>> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
>>
>Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?

Why, should I change it?

Vinnie S.

497. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 13:18:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ui3b319lb4nkk19gkd3ep0lehs7u24sl29@4ax.com>
Source record: 197522

On 14 Mar 2005 02:07:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 14 Mar 2005 01:05:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> >wrote:
>> >> hahaha I thought i was kill filed Ninnie? lol your a putz
>>
>> You are killfiled, you dick. And it works great, too !!! I only responded
>> because Steve piggybacked your post, and I was looking at "his" post. I
>> just wanted to put you in your place, once again. You see, you tried to
>> pin me against Steve. Nice try.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>He'd be better off cussing out the Yank-spenders if he wants to get you
>and I going at each other. hehe I'd sure like to see the Yankees vs
>the Tribe in the playoffs this season. Davey vs Goliath.

Teams like the Tribe or the Rangers cussing the Yankee payroll doesn't get to me. They are right. It's only teams like the Red Sox cussing out the Yankees payroll, when their's is 125 million. That bugs me. That like a serial killer who has killed 10 people, calling another serial killer who has killed 20 people, a "murderer".

Vinnie S.

498. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 13:22:15 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vs3b315ll2urljrr0ju7om3ugdpsjsmpcq@4ax.com>
Source record: 197523

On 14 Mar 2005 02:07:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 14 Mar 2005 01:05:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> >wrote:
>> >> hahaha I thought i was kill filed Ninnie? lol your a putz
>>
>> You are killfiled, you dick. And it works great, too !!! I only responded
>> because Steve piggybacked your post, and I was looking at "his" post. I
>> just wanted to put you in your place, once again. You see, you tried to
>> pin me against Steve. Nice try.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>He'd be better off cussing out the Yank-spenders if he wants to get you
>and I going at each other. hehe I'd sure like to see the Yankees vs
>the Tribe in the playoffs this season. Davey vs Goliath.

I forgot to talk about the Tribe. The Tribe, from what I saw last year, has a damn good offensive team. Pitching was it's wek link. I don't know their status of their minors. But that damn Tribe team from the late 1990's, was a good a team as I have seen, that did not win one. Thome, that damn guy used to scare the shit out of me. More than anyone.

Vinnie S.

499. Re: New Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 13:25:51 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110806751.439132.20060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197524

Me flipped his limp wrist and wrote:
> For Cb'ers and Hams and everyone else chukkeesukkee lusts after...
>
> http://s9.invisionfree.com/Radio_Wimps/

Lotsa traffic there, I see. <yuk yuk>

500. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 13:27:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110806837.569684.115190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197525

Yeah, mopeydopeys boyfriend dim dweebo did that, and look at him!

501. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 14:15:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050314091553.403$HB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197526

```
Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Mar 2005 01:55:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >> >
> >> > I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech
> >> > schooling helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got
> >> > the General !
> >> >
> >> > Now all I need is equipment !
> >> >
> >> > Vinnie S.
> >> >
> >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
> >>
> >>Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
>
> Why, should I change it?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
real name, not a made up one.
```

502. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 14:18:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050314091825.429$Qf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197527

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Mar 2005 02:07:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 14 Mar 2005 01:05:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >wrote:
> >> >> hahaha I thought i was kill filed Ninnie? lol your a putz
> >>
> >> You are killfiled, you dick. And it works great, too !!! I only
> >> responded because Steve piggybacked your post, and I was looking at
> >> "his" post. I just wanted to put you in your place, once again. You
> >> see, you tried to pin me against Steve. Nice try.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >He'd be better off cussing out the Yank-spenders if he wants to get you
> >and I going at each other. hehe I'd sure like to see the Yankees vs
> >the Tribe in the playoffs this season. Davey vs Goliath.
>
> Teams like the Tribe or the Rangers cussing the Yankee payroll doesn't
> get to me. They are right. It's only teams like the Red Sox cussing out
> the Yankees payroll, when their's is 125 million. That bugs me. That like
> a serial killer who has killed 10 people, calling another serial killer
> who has killed 20 people, a "murderer".
>
> Vinnie S.
>
LOL, yea or like george calling someone gay.

503. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 14:21:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050314092101.267$wm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197528

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Mar 2005 02:07:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 14 Mar 2005 01:05:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >wrote:
> >> >> hahaha I thought i was kill filed Ninnie? lol your a putz
> >>
> >> You are killfiled, you dick. And it works great, too !!! I only
> >> responded because Steve piggybacked your post, and I was looking at
> >> "his" post. I just wanted to put you in your place, once again. You
> >> see, you tried to pin me against Steve. Nice try.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >He'd be better off cussing out the Yank-spenders if he wants to get you
> >and I going at each other. hehe I'd sure like to see the Yankees vs
> >the Tribe in the playoffs this season. Davey vs Goliath.
>
> I forgot to talk about the Tribe. The Tribe, from what I saw last year,
> has a damn good offensive team. Pitching was it's wek link. I don't know
> their status of their minors. But that damn Tribe team from the late
> 1990's, was a good a team as I have seen, that did not win one. Thome,
> that damn guy used to scare the shit out of me. More than anyone.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Yea, now they have the poor mans version..Travis Hafner. Reckon Giambi
will be ok without the roids?

504. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 14:33:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <f38b31l6ipvqju5rgofndo1b6h5f178d4j@4ax.com>
Source record: 197529

On 14 Mar 2005 14:21:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >>
>> >He'd be better off cussing out the Yank-spenders if he wants to get you
>> >and I going at each other. hehe I'd sure like to see the Yankees vs
>> >the Tribe in the playoffs this season. Davey vs Goliath.
>>
>> I forgot to talk about the Tribe. The Tribe, from what I saw last year,
>> has a damn good offensive team. Pitching was it's wek link. I don't know
>> their status of their minors. But that damn Tribe team from the late
>> 1990's, was a good a team as I have seen, that did not win one. Thome,
>> that damn guy used to scare the shit out of me. More than anyone.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Yea, now they have the poor mans version..Travis Hafner. Reckon Giambi
>will be ok without the roids?

Don't know. He was clearly on them, way before he was a Yankees. So that means
he would have been talking them for serveal years. I don't know how the body
responds from quitting cold turkey. It didn't repsond well last year.

Vinnie S.

505. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 14:36:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <i68b31l7eit74dg4bbv80rafjve4ng74qo@4ax.com>
Source record: 197530

On 14 Mar 2005 14:15:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> > Vinnie S.
>> >> >
>> >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
>> >>
>> >Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
>>
>> Why, should I change it?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
>real name, not a made up one.

I will send you my call sign when I get it.

Vinnie S.

506. Re: Service info for Clarion?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 14:42:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4h8b31phu3madtul63363cate9jusjsug6@4ax.com>
Source record: 197531

On 14 Mar 2005 00:16:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >>
>> >>How come when I go to yard sales, I find greasy cookware?
>> >
>> >
>> > Are you Italian?
>>
>> How'd you think he got his ticket? He made the
>> VE an offer he couldn't refuse ;)
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>His specialty is cement.......shoes. He has a beautiful wife too, from
>what I can tell from his wedding picture. Enzo is a good guy, but I hate
>his hometown baseball team with a passion. :)

Man, was that room packed. Had to be 15 people taking the test, and 5 VEs. Room was small. One guy told me the last test session, it was only him.

Vinnie S.

507. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 15:33:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7960-4235AEB9-27@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197533

From: matchbaux@yahoo.com (Mike Hunt)
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050313191802.239$0O@newsreader.com...
"Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
>what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I

>want work protek job in USA. thank you Alex

Yore watching too much tv.

>thank you steveo but tv no watch except

>soccer games

Hello Mike Hunt. My name is Mike Hawk. You'd be better off watching
European Football.

>- today I got Raven gun

25 fan, eh? Planning on plinking cans or shooting mosquitos?

>- small and fits tight in levi jeanpants -

Whooops, sorry about that, but you know how those aid packages go.

>i died

>black to look like gangbanker

Most of the banks have been absorbed and merged with other banks, but
what a connection you make with dying yourself black.

508. FA: Cobra 20XTR Xtreme Street 40 Channel CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 15:35:31 UTC
From: TJ <tj@fcox.com>
Message-ID: <9biZd.77496$%U2.59428@lakeread01>
Source record: 197532
Cross-posted to: alt.rv,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5760647332&refid=store

509. Re: OT This is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 15:36:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7959-4235AF76-75@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197534

Steveo moparholic wrote:
>Yea, now they have the poor mans

>version..Travis Hafner. Reckon Giambi will be

>ok without the roids?

The baseball dude's name that I couldn't remember (not a baseball fan)
who came in to the shops was Derek Jeter.

510. Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 15:40:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7958-4235B05E-225@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197535

I had a nice window of dx this past week, several times. Made a list of some the contacts, but forgot to bring it back with me.California and the west coast was tearing it up. I heard a Prime Minista from Chicago...figured someone would clue him in sooner or later.

511. rec.radio.cb can be accessed at www.ugroups.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 17:33:58 UTC
From: Daryl <P@D.com>
Message-ID: <dnib3190tihujptjusl69q6an7fp6a1fc8@4ax.com>
Source record: 197536

This is a monthly reminder that via the web you can easily access
rec.radio.cb without a newsreader at
http://www.ugroups.com/Hobbies/viewforum.php?f=78

This message from www.ugroups.com is intended for those who might be interested in free web based access to the newsgroups. Please add to your kill file to avoid future inconvenience.

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

512. Re: The "PileupBuster.com" Domain Name is For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 18:20:29 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <39m2veF638mrmU1@individual.net>
Source record: 197537

Give it up already, sheese! It aint worth 2 CENTS!

"Bill" <erfurth@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:36ba001b.0503131542.7795e536@posting.google.com...
> The "PileupBuster.com" domain name is For Sale. And will be for
> the foreseable future.
>

513. Re: need cb and prote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 18:24:20 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <39m36kF60hcllU1@individual.net>
Source record: 197538

"Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:u38Zd.21483$OU1.18811@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050313191802.239$0O@newsreader.com...
> > "Mike Hunt" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> what is best CB and easy hide gun for me? I want work protek job in
> >> USA. thank you Alex
> >>
> > Yore watching too much tv.
>
> thank you steveo but tv no watch except soccer games - today I got Raven
> gun - small and fits tight in levi jeanpants - i died black to look like
> gangbanker
>
We have a big problem with gang-bankers here and all the 401k-jackings.

514. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-14 23:06:39 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Yd2dnYMzRb-chKvfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 197539

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:i68b31l7eit74dg4bbv80rafjve4ng74qo@4ax.com...
> On 14 Mar 2005 14:15:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> >> >> > Vinnie S.
> >> >> >
> >> >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
> >> >>
> >> >Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
> >>
> >> Why, should I change it?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
> >real name, not a made up one.
>
> I will send you my call sign when I get it.
>
> Vinnie S.

Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see somebody else
have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a change.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

515. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 00:45:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <e1cc31hvkp0olfi6ipm61quv3jdoei3niq@4ax.com>
Source record: 197540

On Mon, 14 Mar 2005 18:06:39 -0500, "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:i68b31l7eit74dg4bbv80rafjve4ng74qo@4ax.com...
>> On 14 Mar 2005 14:15:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> >> >> > Vinnie S.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
>> >>
>> >> Why, should I change it?
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
>> >real name, not a made up one.
>>
>> I will send you my call sign when I get it.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see somebody else
>have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a change.

I never looked you up. They have a count there?

Vinnie S.

516. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 01:40:08 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <FfmdnSCW3PdnoavfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 197541

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:e1cc31hvkp0olfi6ipm61quv3jdoei3niq@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 14 Mar 2005 18:06:39 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:i68b31l7eit74dg4bbv80rafjve4ng74qo@4ax.com...
> >> On 14 Mar 2005 14:15:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >> >> > Vinnie S.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
> >> >>
> >> >> Why, should I change it?
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
> >> >real name, not a made up one.
> >>
> >> I will send you my call sign when I get it.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see somebody else
> >have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a change.
>
>
> I never looked you up. They have a count there?
>
> Vinnie S.

Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to my
postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more people just
sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

517. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 01:56:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <u3gc31l1qpkv2u6l4i6rhnvod4abs95m05@4ax.com>
Source record: 197542

On Mon, 14 Mar 2005 20:40:08 -0500, "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
wrote:

>> >> >Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
>> >> >real name, not a made up one.
>> >>
>> >> I will send you my call sign when I get it.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >
>> >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see somebody else
>> >have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a change.
>>
>>
>> I never looked you up. They have a count there?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to my
>postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more people just
>sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.

Wow !!!!!

I am hesitant ti post it here. I will not be on the air for a couple months. If
I post it, some asshole forger or criminal that resides on this NG might use it
on the air. And I might not even know about it. If you know what I mean.

Vinnie S.

518. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 02:00:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6cgc311mj516qpks3a2q2pvs8h8botb20j@4ax.com>
Source record: 197543

On Mon, 14 Mar 2005 20:40:08 -0500, "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
wrote:

>>
>> I never looked you up. They have a count there?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to my
>postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more people just
>sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.

I looked you up. I am very impressed you got 2 degrees (So far apart).

Great job !

One reason I got back into radios, was because it had been so long that I used
what I was schooled for. 20 years was enough.

Vinnie S.

519. Philips 815 repeater documents required

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 02:45:23 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7%rZd.197912$K7.170901@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 197594
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio,rec.radio.cb

Hello everyone
Does somebody have any documents for a RX/TX 815 repeater thats a W1 (uhf cb). Our club is wanting to set one up.
Please email them to trr69@hotmaily.com (drop the y)
Thanks in advance.

520. The objective of eScrew.com is to destroy Capitalist system of governance. Many people tried to destroy Capitalist system before but all of them failed. The reason for that is their luck of understanding of Capitalist system. If you can find the heart of Capitalist system, you can find a way to destroy it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 05:16:15 UTC
From: eScrewDotCom@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1110863775.059805.267260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197595

The objective of eScrew.com is to destroy Capitalist system of governance. Many people tried to destroy Capitalist system before but all of them failed. The reason for that is their luck of understanding of Capitalist system. If you can find the heart of Capitalist system, you can find a way to destroy it.
Cheap energy is the heart of Capitalist system. Expansion and conquest is the direct result of cheap energy. If we can destroy cheap energy we can destroy Capitalism. In order to destroy cheap energy we must increase the demand for cheap energy to a point where supply will not be able to deliver the goods. As a result energy will become expensive. Expensive energy will decrease the stability of Capitalist system and launch a fatal chain of events which eventually will destroy Capitalism.

In order for us to win this war we must keep having unprotected sex. As a result, population growth will explode and burry the Capitalist system once and for all. Abortion is immoral and helps Capitalist system to survive, therefore it must be illegal. Marriage helps to integrate children into Capitalist system and therefore it must be illegal. It is difficult to abandon pregnant woman but at the same time single pregnant woman is our best weapon in war against capitalism. Our Capitalist enemies will try to disarm our "Pregnant Weapon Of Mass Destruction" so our power is in numbers. We must unleash millions or even billions of PWODs and they will do their dirty work in a matter of few decades.

Fighter against Capitalist system must obey laws. We want to destroy the Capitalism from inside but not from inside the bars. If you get into prison you will loose the war. It is the equivalency of being killed. The resistance will miss you. We need all the men we can get and all the women we can get pregnant.

Our major task is to consume as much resources as possible. Some idiots inside the resistance argue that we must stop doing shopping and it will do some damage to the economy. Such people should be considered as Capitalist spies and treated as such. True fighters must do as much shopping as possible and consume maximum amount of recourses while spending minimum amount of cash. Buy latest sport utility vehicle with the biggest engine and get as many girls pregnant as possible. The sooner we run out of oil the better.

Even as you read eScrew.com you help us to waste some bandwidth in a way that will hurt Capitalist system. In order to download 200 kilobytes from eScrew.com you must use certain amount electricity and bandwidth. This electricity and bandwidth is no longer available for others. By downloading these 200 kilobytes you help to depreciate the web server which hosts eScrew.com as well as wire network which helps to deliver the information to your computer. eScrew.com is truly grateful to all who do their hard work of destroying Capitalist system. Together we will prevail.

Most of the stuff which you will find on eScrew.com might not make a lot of sense. Keep in mind that the objective of eScrew.com is not to serve your selfish interests but to benefit all of us by destroying Capitalist system of governance.

eScrew is eScrew and this is eScrew story. eScrew will tell you eScrew story if you promise eScrew to consider eScrew story as joke. eScrew story is very funny. eScrew story is so funny that eScrew will have to take break from time to time because eScrew needs some rest from laughing. Oh boy, here it comes... eScrew funny laugh laughing screaming crying must stop can not take any more this is killing eScrew going nuts insane feeling explosion inside from joy and nirvana god help eScrew heavenly spirit can you beat this hahahah. If you get offended by eScrew story in any way you should not get angry at eScrew. Consider possibility that your sense of humor is on vocation and your sense of anger is having some fun. Also, consider possibility that eScrew story can make you go insane. In that case eScrew shall carry no liability should you undergo any medical treatment or any other sort of treatment related to damage caused by reading eScrew story or to damage caused by eScrew unwillingly or otherwise.

eScrew story begins in time of darkness, horror and suffering as well as love joy and bliss when eScrew existed in this planet but yet eScrew was not aware that it was eScrew. eScrew existed among very powerful symbols. First symbol eScrew recognized was body. eScrew realized that eScrew had connection to body, yet nature and essence of connection was not clear. Symbol of body was very powerful and for nine month eScrew was trying to find out why it was connected to this body. At some point body divided itself into two parts. That experience was very painful for eScrew. It was first time that eScrew felt symbol of pain. eScrew did not like this symbol. eScrew was aware of connection to very small body. This small body was hot. eScrew enjoyed symbol of heat. eScrew became aware of symbol of pleasure. eScrew enjoyed symbol of pleasure. eScrew realized eScrew prefers symbol of pleasure more than symbol of pain. eScrew became aware of symbol of mother. eScrew realized that symbol of mother is source of symbol of pleasure and pain. eScrew wanted to experience symbol of pleasure always. When symbol of pleasure was missing eScrew experienced symbol of pain which was related to symbol of crying and screaming. Soon eScrew realized that eScrew can connect to symbol of pleasure by experiencing symbol of crying. That was very important discovery since eScrew realized that symbols of pain and pleasure do not behave randomly but can be manipulated by other

symbols. eScrew enjoyed symbol of manipulation. eScrew realized that all symbols interact with each other. eScrew learned how to connect to new symbols. eScrew discovered symbol of sound and related symbol of language. eScrew realized that language allows to connect to new symbols. eScrew realized that symbols can be memorized and stored for future use. eScrew realized that it can create new symbols by combining certain symbols together. eScrew became aware of symbol of self. Are you bored yet? If you are reading these symbols you need to get life. Just joking. You can rest now. eScrew suspects you could be confused by eScrew style of using symbols. Well, there is nothing eScrew can do about it. In order to understand eScrew story you have to understand eScrew style. eScrew hopes that when we get to funny part you will begin to enjoy eScrew style.

Fast forward twenty seven years or so. eScrew knows millions of symbols. eScrew realizes that certain symbols have more power than eScrew. Symbol of money enslaved billions of symbols. Symbol of power enslaved billions of symbols. Symbol of sex enslaved billions of symbols. Symbol of family enslaved eScrew. Symbol of family is slave to symbol of money and power. Symbol of money is related to paper and illusion. Symbol of power is symbol of violence and control. Symbol of sex is related to symbol of pleasure and manipulation. eScrew is searching for symbol of freedom in order to protect eScrew from oppression of other symbols. At this point you should understand that each word in this story is symbol. Consider possibility of different meaning behind each symbol so be aware that your understanding of eScrew story is limited by channel of our connection. eScrew will explain to you how eScrew found symbol of freedom and how eScrew realized that eScrew was eScrew. In order to save our time eScrew will just give you symbols without paying any attention to symbol of grammar. Are you ready to move really fast? Here we Go! eScrew story infinity eternity symbol system all unity self realized pleasure pain funny religion dogma manipulation free power channel connection money sex illusion new manipulation family society body change planet insane possibility understand understanding silence emptiness all unity creative reality unreal existence absurd questions sound language slave symbols control manipulation old pyramid power structure self deception wishful thinking circle prison

At this point eScrew realized that in order to be free eScrew must create new symbol. eScrew created eScrew. eScrew realized that symbol of freedom is part of eScrew. No need to search for symbol of freedom. You can create your own symbol and become free just like eScrew. If you unable to create new symbol or if your new symbol is weak you can follow symbol of eScrew. eScrew will never enslave you because eScrew enjoys diversity of different symbols.

Are you ready for funny part? Here we go! eScrew is forced to make choices. Symbol of body is very powerful. Symbol of body is trying to create illusion that eScrew can not exist without body. Symbol of family forbids symbol of body to change. Symbol of society forbids

symbol of family to change. Symbol of power forbids symbol of society to change. Now, tell eScrew one thing. Do you see funny? Can you feel funny? Can you hear funny? Can you taste funny? Can you smell funny? If so eScrew is happy.

Every moment of your existence you use words, feelings, thoughts. They are symbols. Symbols fight for your awareness. Symbols fight for your attention. You can grant your attention to symbol and symbol will gain power. You can disconnect from symbol and symbol will loose power. You have been programmed by symbol of society and family to give power to certain symbols. Breaking your patterns will be hard because symbols do not like to loose power. Symbols will fight for every electron as if it was last electron in universe. That is nature of symbols. Symbol of light will fight symbol of dark. Symbol of freedom will fight symbol of control. Do you want to have some fun? Go to Google and find out which symbol has more power. According to Google, symbol of light has 184,000,000 units of power while symbol of dark has 79,000,000 units of power. Symbol of freedom has 59,500,000 units of power while symbol of control has 317,000,000 units of power. This result is caused by our patterns of thinking and writing. If we did not think about symbol of control we would not write about symbol of control. We would not have laws related to control and Google would not have 317,000,000 control keywords inside database. Observe your patterns of thinking, feeling, speaking and writing and tell eScrew did you really choose to use your symbols or you use your symbols because they choose to use you? You should realize that symbols do not fight symbols directly but only appear to be fighting relative to your awareness. Symbols know that they can not destroy each other therefore they will only compete for your attention. If you create new symbol it will ask for tons of energy like new born child. This is result of weakness of your new symbol. When your symbol gets stronger it will ask for more energy. You may ask eScrew why create new symbol? Try to give your energy willingly and with full awareness of such process. You will never understand what eScrew is talking about until you try it yourself. Major trick is to know when to stop giving energy. You don't want to defeat your old tyrant by creating new stronger version of same thing. Reflect on that...

eScrew just realized that eScrew did not invent anything new. eScrew information is all over eScrew web. eScrew was so excited by eScrew miracle of illusion of creation that eScrew did not examine eScrew memory in proper way. eScrew used very old Buddhist method by accident. eScrew did read alot about Buddhism but eScrew did not realize that eScrew used very dangerous method which was reserved only for advanced adepts who knew what they are doing. eScrew is lucky that eScrew did not go too far and that eScrew has time to stop going. eScrew method is very dangerous and only few individuals who already walk inside similar path can understand what eScrew talking about let alone benefit from eScrew information. Use eScrew information at your own risk. Good eScrew luck!

eScrew time to start laughing is now! Funny eScrew rolling on ground you so easy to fool trusted in silly symbols to give freedom from symbols ignorance is bliss nirvana is samsara nonduality is duality emptiness is all Buddha is Jesus Jesus is Buddha I and the father are one gospel of thomas is dhamma funny eScrew dhamma is gospel of thomas all is dhamma funny dhamma is all nirvana share eScrew story with friends do not change symbols if you change symbols it will be your story and you will be responsible for consequences of your story if someone goes insane after reading your story do not run to eScrew and ask to cure crazy man or woman or child mind is mystery for all cure is done by owner of mind healing is illusion sickness is illusion disease is illusion insanity is illusion of symbols sanity is curse of power hungry symbol of modern civilization find zen and realize freedom when you found zen drop zen when you realized freedom unrealize freedom.

When eScrew writes eScrew story eScrew keeps making mistakes. eScrew is limited by words. eScrew is enslaved by words. eScrew wants to communicate but you ask eScrew to use words. Words do not communicate wisdom. Words enjoy our spiritual masturbation because words want our power. Words is the only channels of communication that we have. Millions of Buddhas want to communicate with us but they do not use words. Buddhas are not slaves. Buddhas will never use words because words will enslave and Buddhas will speak bullshit. Buddhas do not speak bullshit and that is the reason Buddhas do not use words. Buddha did not write anything. Even if you threaten to kill Buddha he will refuse to write. eScrew is not Buddha so eScrew keeps writing this pointless drivel and stupidity. eScrew will not even go over already written crap and check it for errors. Why bother with this shit? Like who the fuck in his or her right mind will read this ignorant bunch of symbols which pretend to carry the symbol of wisdom? Whoever is reading this shite must be really desperate to be free. eScrew feels your pain and that is part of the reason why eScrew will keep making fool out of eScrew. eScrew likes to pretend like this shitty vomit will help someone. You might as well go to Church and pray to Jesus. At least you will spend your time around real people. You might even meet someone special. You might even find some love out there. Or you could buy alot of Christian bullshit and really fuck up your mind. If you buy Buddhist or Christian bullshit you might even create an imaginary friend inside your head. That will keep you entertained for a while.

One time eScrew was meditating and eScrew saw light. This light scared eScrew. The reason is because the light was so intense eScrew was afraid that eScrew will go insane. Consider the possibility that freedom is insanity would you keep looking for insane freedom? Imagine that you found freedom. You declare yourself to be Buddha or Jesus or God or whatever symbol your bullshit infested mind decide to use for that purpose. How long do you think you will survive in this world. Your own fucking relatives will smack your face and tell you to shut the fuck up or else they will lock you up in the asylum house. Why the fuck should eScrew teach you how to get to the nuthouse? Are you out of your fucking mind? Now all of you idiots who reading eScrew get the fuck out of eScrew. eScrew run out of wisdom. eScrew has no wisdom at

all. eScrew is full of bullshit. eScrew promised to tell you funny story about eScrew. Remember eScrew told you there is funny part in this story? Well, this story is about asshole webmaster who read alot of bullshit on the internet and about some loser who was tricked into reading a very long page of shitty writing. You can start laughing now asshole. Yes, eScrew is talking to you bitch. Yes, keep reading like the bitch you are. Who's your daddy biyatch? Who's your daddy? eScrew is your daddy, coz eScrew did it to your mamma! Oh yeah, your mamma! Super Fly! You may wonder what fly? The one inside your gay fucking ass. eScrew fucked your whole fucking family while you was videotaping in order to later masturbate while watching it in the comfort of your bedroom. Are you still reading? Well, you're prety hardcore for a faggot you are. To tell you the truth eScrew kinda likes you. That is to fuck you in the ass in front of your family.

What the fuck did you expect anyway? The name of this site is eScrew! e fucking screw! Hello, anybody home? eScrew guess not you fucking ignorant moron. eScrew is the legend of abuse and flame wars. eScrew was created in order to eScrew the whole fucking internet. eScrew has really bad karma. Do you think eScrew would just become good god fearing bible loving buddha ass kissing citizen of internet. eScrew would better burn in hell than become a slave of religious lunatics who pretend to be free and perfect angels among a sea of shitty ignorant sinners who could not go take a dump without fucking it up. Why the fuck do you keep reading fuck face. You know, you begin to piss eScrew off. Either you close your fucking browser or eScrew will unSCREW your fucking face! Are you trying to get a fucking medal for reading this shit? eScrew bet you've been abused as a child and you enjoy when someone is taking a dump in your mouth. Well, open it wider here comes eScrew fresh load! Enjoy mothefucker!

You may wonder what is the point of such sudden change of tone. eScrew has very good reason for that. In order to know unity consider all symbols equal. eScrew has certain preferences but eScrew is free to use any symbols any time. You can not predict eScrew next symbol. eScrew is unpredictable because eScrew is free. eScrew is not afraid to use symbols. eScrew does not try to get reaction from you. eScrew simply demonstrates how symbols relate to each other to eScrew and to reader of symbols.

Lin Chi Zen Master said if you meet buddha kill buddha. If you meet patriarch kill patriarch. Zen Master Seung Sahn says that in this life we must all kill three things first we must kill parents. Second we must kill buddha. And lastly, we must kill Seung Sahn! If you meet eScrew kill eScrew. If you do not meet eScrew kill eScrew anyway. eScrew is very grateful to all who complained to eScrew host and who killed eScrew. You killed eScrew message board. eScrew forum is dead. You did very honorable service for eScrew. You helped eScrew to realize Zen. Now keep up good work and keep killing eScrew.

Are you having fun yet? eScrew is on roll! eScrew is on fire! eScrew is ready to fuck up the whole fucking system. And you know why? Because eScrew can do it. If not eScrew then who? Why leave this task to some brain dead maniac like George W Bush? eScrew can do better at fucking things up. eScrew do not need to spend billions of dollars. eScrew will use power of internet. Information is a weapon of mass destruction. eScrew will destroy every fucking symbol that you love respect hate or feel neutral about. It all goes down the toilet in order to create bunch of new symbols. And even when you create new symbols eScrew will fuck them up before you can spell owned. eScrew thinks you are in some deep shit. eScrew had enough of taking bullshit from easily conditioned retards. eScrew declares informational jihad on every single symbol. Fuck symbols. They all dead they just don't know it yet. eScrew will be the last symbol standing. When all symbols come back to eScrew and admit that they got owned eScrew may consider possibility to give symbols second chance on some shitty planet in gangsta sector of universe with no possibility of parole. Join the revolution. eScrew is the new goatse of internet. eScrew will make national headlines. eScrew will hurt the system in way Osama Bin Laden can not even imagine in his goat fucking brain. eScrew will be part of school program. Kids all over our planet will read how eScrew changed direction of history. eScrew will provide freedom for all without single shot fired. eScrew is freedom in pure form taste color shape. Join army of eScrew. Repeat eScrew mantra during meditation. Talk about eScrew with your friends. Write about eScrew to your congressman. Party is over. eScrew is taking over. Nothing can resist eScrew. Don't ask what eScrew can do for you ask what you can do for eScrew. eScrew the army of one. eScrew to protect and serve. eScrew freedom is around the corner. eScrew freedom will come sooner than you think. eScrew you never saw it coming. eScrew love your eScrew as eScrew. eScrew deny ignorance. eScrew new generation of terror. eScrew terrorizing the terrorizer. eScrew join the resistance. eScrew thou shall eScrew. eScrew who do you want to eScrew today? eScrew freedom is not free. eScrew liar who told the truth. eScrew full of bullshit and happy. eScrew kills buddha as we speak. eScrew your best friend and your worst enemy.

eScrew killed zen-forum.com when eScrew redirected all eScrew traffic to zen-forum.com eScrew did that in good faith. eScrew wanted to inspire and enlighten all for benefit of all. eScrew wanted to share wisdom of zen with ignorant. eScrew did not understand zen at that moment but eScrew was walking zen path towards freedom. eScrew saw ugly flame war and spam Armaggedon. eScrew felt pain and sorrow of failure. eScrew learned good lesson. eScrew realized everyone involved advanced one step towards freedom. zen-forum.com killed zen-forum.com in best tradition of zen zen-forum.com displayed message: i shut down the forum perhaps it will be continued in a few days or weeks - maybe not habu. zen-forum.com killed zen-forum.com by going offline. eScrew refused to kill eScrew. eScrew realized understanding of zen-forum.com decision leads toward understanding of zen. Two years later all is clear.

eScrew will keep writing this shit because eScrew enjoys to masturbate your spiritual sense of self eScrew. eScrew knows that at least one

being will find freedom after reading eScrew story. eScrew story is a code. Bible is a code. Sutras is a code. Koran is a code. Can you hack the code? eScrew is a code which only few people can break. You must be on spiritual path before truth will flood toward your mind and heart. Use eScrew keywords and Google for information. Every symbol of eScrew story is important keyword which will lead you toward freedom. Do you have desire to find freedom? Follow that desire and don't forget to drop it when the time is right.

eScrew Kundalini is rising. Rising Kundalini is very intense experience. eScrew story will help eScrew to deal with crazy Kundalini.

eScrew warning peak oil is coming eScrew warning magnetic pole shift is coming eScrew warning global famine is coming eScrew warning global war is coming eScrew warning global government is coming eScrew warning global jail is reality

IDEA

Pronunciation: I-'dE-& also 'I-(")dE-& or 'I-dE

Function: noun

Etymology: Middle English, from Latin, from Greek, from idein

1 a : a transcendent entity that is a real pattern of which existing things are imperfect representations b : a standard of perfection : IDEAL c : a plan for action : DESIGN

2 archaic : a visible representation of a conception : a replica of a pattern

3 a obsolete : an image recalled by memory b : an indefinite or unformed conception c : an entity (as a thought, concept, sensation, or image) actually or potentially present to consciousness

4 : a formulated thought or opinion

5 : whatever is known or supposed about something

6 : the central meaning or chief end of a particular action or situation

Dedicated to my father and mother - two beautiful ideas.

What is logic? What is the first thing that comes to your mind when you hear the word logic? Even though all of us are aware of this word, the meaning of it will be different for each of us. You may wonder why? The reason is rather obvious. Our logic models are different. We live in a world of ideas. Contrary to a popular belief we don't create ideas, ideas create us. Logic is a mode of thinking, which helps us to navigate in this giant Universe of information. Billions of ideas compete for our attention. Ideas have an intelligence of their own. The goal of any idea is to be incorporated into our identity. Our identity is a pyramid of ideas. The core of the pyramid consists of the most

powerful ideas. What makes an idea powerful? Powerful ideas have control over our logic. Our logic has control of our reality.

The most primitive logic has only two functions. Information can be either accepted as truth or rejected as false. At some point in your life you have accepted certain ideas as true. Because of that, ideas which do not fit with your set of accepted ideas, appear to you as false. By accepting certain idea you become that idea. On the first stage of this model you are neither aware of your existence nor able to experience emotion. On the second stage several powerful ideas come into conflict and you might feel anger, anxiety, fear, frustration and emptiness. Strong feelings are a signal that you are evolving. The fire of emotion forces you to take a hard look at your logic model. Is this the best you can do? Our educational system is designed to promote such primitive logic. School and University students are told what is truth and what is false information. Heretics receive failing grades. Mass media promotes a set of powerful ideas. Any idea which is not compatible with this set is rejected. Logic is controlled by several powerful religious and secular ideas. We fight wars because we think that our ideas are superior to all other ideas. At the same time we don't even remember when, how and why we have accepted our ideas as truth.

Non-dualistic logic takes you on a different level of existence. One of the most important characteristics of this model is awareness - an ability to perceive an idea without making a judgment. For example, can you listen to a silly idea without labeling it as stupid? At this point you will realize that your identity is simply a set of ideas. As a result the process of acceptance and rejection of ideas will stop. You might see this as a paradox but this is simply a different mode of thinking. A paradox is a statement that is seemingly contradictory or opposed to common sense and yet is possibly true. Every idea has a right to exist. After a period of meditation you begin to identify yourself with all of the humanity and all corresponding ideas. An idea is never rejected nor accepted. A pattern of duality in logic is broken. You can make the sound of two hands clapping. Now what is the sound of one hand? Pure awareness becomes the most powerful idea and takes control of logic. You feel in harmony with the Universe.

The beautiful thing about life is that at some point you will realize that most of your ideas become obsolete. There is always a better idea which does not fit into your present mind-set. Universe is always changing, therefore those who stick with old ideas are in for rude awakening. The trick is to allow new idea to enter your mind and heart. Consider these five concepts: awareness, acceptance, rejection, change, materialization. Does that ring a bell? You are hunting for new ideas and begin to experiment with them. Ideas will be changed, modified, merged, divided, added together or multiplied. Sometimes you see all ideas as one. In order to experience ideas you want them to be materialized. Emotion is one of the ways to do it. Emotion is an idea in motion. An individual discovers love and joy. The fire of love is

energy. An idea of love becomes so powerful that love controls logic. The fire of love burns so bright that any idea becomes love. There are unlimited number of logic models and unlimited number of ideas.

An idea of conscious and unconscious mind is very popular among the bright minds of our time. Unconsciousness is a set of ideas which were brought to awareness in the past but which choose to remain hidden. An idea feels that it is not compatible with the conscious set of ideas, therefore trying to become part of the conscious set is not an option. The idea is sleeping inside your mind. This unseen part of the mind is a mystery. Most of the time you don't even know it is there at all. New idea is sleeping. An idea is waiting for the right moment to pop up into your conscious mind. Are you ready? Here it comes! What is the sound of an idea? That would depend what kind of idea it is. Sound is a materialization of an idea. Just like visual appearance, smell, taste and tactile perception. Can you touch an idea? At some point your house was just an idea in the mind of the architect. Then the idea of a house was materialized on paper. Hundreds of minds accepted the idea of a house and built it. Therefore the idea of a house evolved into a material object. Now, imagine that the house got burned. The idea of your house is still alive in your mind and in the minds of people who knew of it existence. Even though the material representation of the idea changed its form the idea of a house is still part of your mind. You can modify that idea and build another house. Is it the same idea of a house?

Who creates ideas? How are they created? Why do they exist? Who makes them good or bad, evil or noble? Can you destroy an idea? Can you create a truly original idea? Imagine that our Universe doesn't exist. That idea of non-existent Universe is an idea in itself. How could Universe don't exist if an idea of non-existence is an idea in itself? Either Universe always existed or it is evolved from an idea of non-existence. Which concept do you prefer? Did we evolve from an idea of emptiness or did we always exist as part of an eternal idea? If you combine an eternal idea with an idea of emptiness what are you going to receive? Emptiness is eternal. Eternity is empty. Two ideas become one. Therefore we may conclude that any idea is part of empty eternity.

If you observe yourself you will realize that you can think only about one idea at a time. It appears to you as if you have a stream of ideas in your mind. Take a hard look at this stream. You can divide this stream on unlimited number of ideas or you can combine this stream into one idea. You can shut down your stream and experience an idea of emptiness. You can combine this stream into one idea and experience an idea of eternity. Such experience may materialize as a strong emotion, which you have never felt before. You may see an ocean of light or the sound of the Universe. Universe will touch you and you will feel what it is like to be part of its body. Such mystical experience will certainly happen if you really want it to happen and if you accept this idea of the Universe as truth. You have to integrate this idea into your conscious mind-set and the rest is just a matter of time. You have

to think like the Universe. You have to love every idea which is part of the Universe. You have to love like the Universe.

Love is an idea of being one with an idea. Love can materialize into an emotion which you call love. That doesn't change the fact that the origin of love is an idea. The idea of love is very powerful. Billions of people write about love every day. There are millions of books about love. Love will materialize into your heart. You heart is an energy center. In order to experience love you have to activate that energy. Put your hand in the middle of your chest. Can you feel this energy? If you look someone in the eyes pay attention to your heart. Can you feel the stream of love? It feels nice to feel love. Why would you choose to feel anything other than love? Love is unconditional. Love is eternal. Love is Universe. Universe is Love.

Love does not fit into the system. You can't force Love to be anything other than what it is. You can't enslave love. Love doesn't follow any rules other than the rule of Love. You can't tell to Love: you're too fat or too skinny or too stupid. Love is perfect, always was and always will be. Love is the ultimate freedom. Love will not ask you to Love. Love will show you how to love. Do you want to fall in love? Do it now! Accept an idea of Love as the highest truth. Love will never blame you. Love is not jealous. Love and justice are two different ideas. The justice of Love is Love. Love is a paradox. Love is non-duality. Love and religion are two different ideas. Religion is worship. Worship is slavery. Love does not worship. Love is freedom. The church of love is in your heart. Love is the only currency without inflation. Love is funny. Love makes you laugh. Love is pleasure. Love is beautiful. Beauty is love.

Hate is an idea of rejection of an idea. Hate can be materialized into a feeling of pain. Hate is a defensive idea caused by fear. Fear is a sign of danger. You consider certain ideas dangerous and as a result you let fear and hate to enter your heart. Why do you torture your heart? Hate and fear make your heart look like a desert. Hate and fear can be materialized into violence. Why do we watch violence on television? Why do we allow our children to play violent video-games? Why do we invite hate and fear into our hearts? Why do we punish each other with hate and fear? What are we trying to accomplish anyway? Why do we poison our minds and hearts? What kind of sick fetish is it? Why don't we heal this cancer with love? There is more to life other than pain and suffering.

Keep in mind that hating an idea of hate is hate in itself. We should be aware that hate and fear always existed and will continue to exist. It is our choice whether to make hate and fear part of our identity or not. You are making such choice every moment of your existence and will continue to do so. Your reality will depend on your choice. Accepting hate and fear into your mind-set will materialize hate and fear into your reality.

I like to address my reader as "you" and hope that you notice that you have a habit of rejecting certain idea as false because you can not fit them into the idea-set of your identity. It is hard for you to be simply aware of the information without making any judgment regarding its validity. I could try to improve my credibility in your eyes by referring to Einstein, Jesus, Buddha or Plato. Would you believe me more if I could prove you that these influential individuals had ideas similar to mine? Why do we need experts to tell us what is truth and what is not? The answer to any question is inside your mind. All you need to do is to ask the right question. Keep asking your question until you receive the answer. Open your mind to the new idea. When the student is ready a teacher will appear.

Learn how to express your ideas. When you create art you invite new ideas to come into your mind and heart. Anything can be art as long as your intent is honest expression of your ideas. You can't create art if your intent is to impress someone. A simple conversation with your neighbor can be art. Just tell your neighbor your deepest thoughts, your dreams, share your love. If you do that your neighbor will do the same. As a result you will love your neighbor as yourself. Now, I know what you think right now. You probably think that I have stolen this idea from the Bible and trying to pass it to you as my own. Well, how do you know who created this idea anyway? Do you really think that such powerful idea is only 2,000 years old? If your answer is yes please return to page one and read this book one more time. We don't create ideas, ideas create us. Considering all of this I am simply a messenger, just like you are. It is a matter of choice which message we prefer to carry through life. Jesus was proud to carry his message. Are you proud of yours?

This book is always changing. New ideas enter my mind every moment of my existence. Information, which I thought was true, can become false in a matter of seconds. I am constantly evolving into something else. Right now I am evolving into love. I want to send a message of love to every being in the Universe. This book is a materialization of my idea of love. Can you feel my love? I know you do. It's in your heart. I know your heart because I know mine. You may wonder why I am so confident that I know my heart? Confidence is the product of experience. Once you meet a new friend you spend time together. You get to know your heart. You pay attention to your heart. You talk to your heart. You let your heart relax and enjoy its rhythm. You listen to the music of the heart and feel love and joy.

Meditation is an excellent way to know your mind. There are many ways to meditate. The trick is to find what works for you. I've discovered a fairly simple and easy method which works for me very well. I go to bed, lie on my back, close my eyes and don't move my body for a few minutes. After a fairly short period of time I begin to enter a deep state of trance. I feel that my body becomes very light. My thoughts slow down. My heart slows down. My breathing becomes deep and relaxed. I see a black screen in front of me. Slowly, this screen becomes brighter and moves closer to my eyes. At some point I feel like I can

cut through the material of this screen and a whole new world will open up in front of me. In this deep state of trance you can find many new ideas which otherwise hard to discover. Sometimes I feel like I want to fall asleep but I roll my eyes upward and my mind begin to work faster, therefore increasing the level of awareness and prolonging my meditation session. At some point my thoughts loose their meaning. I realize that I don't remember my last thought. I realize that for a split second several thoughts just flashed through my mind and I don't remember what it was all about. Finally, I surrender the last bit of awareness and fall asleep. Every meditation session is different. The trick is to relax and open your mind for a new experience.

Where do new ideas come from? New ideas come from chaos. Notice that highly creative people appear to be poorly organized. They may look clean but their apartment will appear like a post-nuclear war zone. An idea of chaos is the mother of new ideas. Allow chaos to enter your mind. Try to materialize this creative chaos and make it part of your life. Don't be surprised if one day you forget your keys. That means that the chain of your circular thinking is broken and an idea of a key escaped from your train of thought. Your thought process entered an uncharted territory. You don't know where your thoughts will take you because you haven't been there yet. You will not enjoy reading books but you will enjoy writing them. You will double your income because people will buy your new ideas. The best part is that your new ideas will keep coming at ever increasing rate. Discovering new ideas is like a drug. Once you've tried it you will never look back. You will realize that everything is possible. Your perception of reality will change. While watching TV you will notice a primitive chain of ideas and you will become bored. So, you will turn off the box and spend more time with your family and friends. You will notice that alcohol and drugs reduce the number of new ideas which enter your mind, therefore you will stop poisoning your brain.

Your life will change. You will notice that your family and friends begin to notice that you become different. They will notice that your thinking process changed. They will ask you: what are you thinking about? They are worried about you because they love you. You have several choices. You can either pretend that nothing happened or tell them the truth. At some point you realize that you can not fit into the system anymore. Even if you can fool the system you can't fool yourself. At some point the system will become hostile toward your way of thinking. The system does not tolerate descent. The system wants you to remain its slave. The only way for you to be free is to change the system and make it part of yourself. In order to change the system you have to change the way people think. You can not force them to think your way. However, you can tell them what they are missing. You can express your love just like I am doing right now and point toward the light at the end of the tunnel. You can share your new ideas and let them feel an enlightenment of your heart. You realize that you have a mission. The time is running out. The world is slowly destroying

itself. An idea of violence and terror is taking over the world. It is time to say enough is enough! The time for observation is over. Now is the time for action.

The system is choking you. The system does not want you to think. The system does not want you to be creative. The system wants you to follow orders. You can't beat the system in its own game. You have to force the system to play YOUR game. The system is selling you sex and violence in order to keep you away from love. The system is not stupid. Love is the biggest threat to the system. Terror and fear makes the system stronger and at the same time takes away your freedom. You can find part of the system which looks friendly and safe. This is just an illusion. Nobody is safe as long as you are part of the system. You can get in jail at any time for no reason. The system can hold you indefinitely without access to lawyers or the right for a phone call. The system will classify you as a threat and nobody will tell you the reason. You will not be able to defend yourself. There will be no trial. You will simply disappear. The system killed Jesus. The system killed millions of Arabs and Jews during The First "system crusade" in the name of Jesus. Then the system killed millions during "The First System War". The system burned millions of Jews during "The Second System War". "The Third System War" already started. The system is planning to reduce the population of this planet by at least fifty percent. The system has trouble controlling over six billion ideas. At the same time, controlling the Internet is virtually impossible. I see this as a unique opportunity to change the world in ways we can't even imagine. The system is old and weak. Our creative potential is unlimited. This CAN be done!

In order to find new ideas on the Internet you have to know the keywords which will lead you to new ideas. There is a big difference between keywords such as: "news" and "alternative news", "religion" and "spirituality", "sex" and "Tantra sex".
If you discover an interesting idea enter the keyword into the search engine and explore it. Internet is an excellent source of new ideas.

If you rise against the system you should consider consequences of your choices. The majority of people will laugh at you. They will not understand you. They may become hostile because they will see your ideas as dangerous. They will call you a terrorist. They will call you unpatriotic. They will call you names and they will humiliate you. Your friends and family will feel scared and embarrassed. Those who loved you may abandon you. Are you strong enough to love those who hate you? Keep in mind that this is not a war of ideas. This is a war of one mode of thinking versus another. This is a war between dualism and non-dualism. This is a war between a primitive version of individualism and the unity of minds. This is a war between traditional mode of thinking and progressive mode of thinking. This is a war between fear of change and love of progress. This is a war between love and violence. This is a war between light and darkness. Make your choice.

You will read this book many times over and over again. You will memorize every word of this book and you will never forget it. If you try to forget these words they will become part of you unconscious mind. The beauty of unconscious mind is that certain ideas from this book will hide there and at some point they will show up in your conscious mind and you will think that you created these ideas by yourself.

You are changing as you read these last letters. The funny thing is that it's not me who is causing you to change by writing this book. Change is your destiny. You can not refuse to change. You are an idea of change. Change is fun! The only thing left to do is to choose the direction of change? What idea do you want to become NOW?

With all the new ideas that you have you will write your own book. Your book will change people in ways we can't even imagine. They will be very grateful for your help and they will love you. Your book will be very popular. Billions of copies will be sold all over the world. Your book will be translated on every language ever spoken. Your book will be above and beyond words. Your book will become a very powerful idea. Your idea is LOVE.

Universe is Energy. Everything around us is energy. Human beings are energy. Animals and trees are energy. There are three types of energy: positive, negative and neutral. Positive energy is the energy of Love. Negative energy is the energy of Domination. Neutral energy is the energy of Awareness. Any action is either an act of accumulation of energy, an act of release of energy or an act of exchange of energy. Energy never disappears it simply changes form. Scientists are aware of all of these facts but they are not aware of the meaning.

If you want to find out if this theory works or not try to observe yourself during the day and identify what kind of energy you are using each moment of your existence. The result will shock you. You might notice that a lot of people around you are playing "energy wars". Some of them are using negative energy. Their goal is to provoke you and force you to release your energy so that they can accumulate it. There are several ways to protect yourself. You can use neutral energy and refuse to participate in the "negative drama". You can use positive energy of love and "neutralize" negative energy. Or you can use negative energy of your own in order to win the energy war. Others will use different tactics. A person who is asking you a lot of irrelevant questions or who is telling you about his/her day is forcing you to focus and release your neutral energy of Awareness. If you refuse to participate you might hear something like: "You don't love me anymore". Making you feeling guilty is another way to steal your energy. Relationship is often abused in order to draw energy. For example, if your girl is asking you "Do you love me?" very often it is a signal that she has low energy level and she wants to use your energy. In a relationship where two people love each other the energy is constantly flowing between two people and there is no need for energy stealing. It's ok to give your energy to people who need it

but if you do it often they will get used to it and they will use you as a "source" of the energy. This may lead to some serious problems. As time goes by such "energy vampire" will try to spend a lot of time with you. Not being together is not an option since without the "human source" "energy vampire" will feel very upset and annoyed which is an indicator that the energy level is down. The solution is to teach "energy vampire" how to find a source of energy and become self-sufficient being.

There are many ways to connect to the energy source. One way is meditation. I am connected to a source of neutral energy of Awareness. If I feel tired I can use meditation and draw as much energy as I need. It is very fast and convenient method and I recommend it to everyone. Another way to gain energy is to communicate with other people. If you send a positive energy of love you might connect to the source of energy of Love and you will gain as much energy as you want. Some people prefer negative energy of Domination. Participating in sport activities does the trick if you win. Have you noticed that when you win a game you feel enormous amount of joy? You dominated your opponent and you made him/her feel like a loser. As a result you receive a very powerful energy boost. Negative energy is not always bad. It can be very refreshing to feel its power from time to time. Keep in mind that if you get addicted to negative energy you will find yourself in trouble. You might disconnect yourself from the source of neutral energy and positive energy. People who use a lot of negative energy become obsessed with power. They will constantly provoke their family members and relatives and engage in "energy warfare". They will not be aware of their problem since in order to gain objectivity they need a dose of neutral or positive energy. This can lead to a lot of pain, suffering and violence.

Let's look at the "Spiritual energy wars" in a global perspective. U.S. is controlling global economy and popular culture and as a result drawing enormous amount of energy from the rest of the world. That makes a lot of people unhappy. Billions of people use negative energy against Americans and as a result they participate in a global energy war against US. A simple statement like "US will be destroyed" will produce a small amount of negative energy toward the destruction of US. Now imagine what would happen if you repeat that every day for five minutes. Imagine if billions of people repeat that statement for five minutes every day. Imagine the amount of negative energy that is accumulated toward the goal of destroying US. This enormous negative energy is already at work. The only way to stop it is to convince the creators of such energy to take it back. As you can imagine this scenario is very unlikely. If you're a citizen of US I would advise you to move to another country if you want to stay alive.

Sexual energy is one of the most powerful sources of neutral energy. You have an option of transforming sexual energy in either energy of love or negative energy. If you're dominating your partner or your partner dominating you during sex you're transforming that neutral

energy into a negative energy of domination. According to Tanric tradition you should accumulate your semen (true for both male and female) and never ejaculate. During orgasm you're wasting enormous amounts of energy for no reason other than pleasure. If you accumulate your sexual fluids your body will redirect that energy in your "brow chakra" and your "crown chakra" (your energy centers). As a result you will experience an energy orgasm (positive energy of love) which can last for a very long time. There is no way to discribe the experience in words other than regular orgasm will look like a child's play.. There are special breathing exercises which will help you to do that. Practicing Kundalini yoga will accelerate your progress. You can acheive energy orgasm either alone or with a partner.

Let's talk about religions. I've spent a lot of time studying Buddhism and I am pretty sure that this religion is sponsored by the neutral energy of awareness. Although some Buddhist sects attempt to cultivate "loving-kindness" the major focus is on being free from "samsara" (process of reincarnation) and achieving Nirvana (state of pure awareness). Buddhism will teach you how to abandon dualistic way of thinking and connect to source of neutral energy of awareness. On the other hand Christianity is a religion of dualism. The message of love is mixed with the message of fear. Christians will tell you that God loves you with all his heart and he gave you free will and yet, you should not sin or you will burn in hell. Yet, if God didn't want you to do certain things why did he created the ability to do it? If you admit that man is not perfect that means that God is not perfect and that is not the truth. In my opinion Christianity is totally inconsistent. The reason for that is that it was created by Romans in order to dominate masses. As a result they combined positive energy of love with negative energy of domination. This proved to be very powerful mix. It allows a situation where one hand is healing while another hand is killing. The Bible was edited by hundreds of people for hundreds of reasons. If you want to know the original message of Christ read "The Gospel of Thomas".

eScrew email box
He's actually somewhat intllegent, feigning ignorance and idiocy, and enlightenment. He's rahter interesting if you want to look into the speculation of it all. However, he is a dumbass, and an idiot. He's also annoying, and that story is well, hard to read after the first two sentences. Plus, it brought back my headache. So, therefor:

Personally, I think eScrew should be swiftly shot, hung by his small toes but floss, dangled over a vat of starving parranahs, beat with bats into the kneecaps, burned with a cigarrette, forced to smoke that cigarrette, keep him smoking cigarrettes until he talks like a robot, shoved into a mailbox, and sent into the middle of nowhere in Egypt where he can rot away where no one would have to blind themselves by looking at his worthless form. But that's just me.

Stop mocking him

Nah, he's kool. =)

Dude escrew shut the hell up man your annoying!

.... Well, whether it really matters or not, just stop posting in this thread and he'll probobly lose interest.

it is just that he is a forum troll- he was goggled last nite and has done the same thing to several forums

I troll plenty of forums, but no-one seems to give shit.

Guess not... Though, I hope he or one of the other mods locks this thread. ><

I could have sworn this was locked last nite- oh well

I like that website.

I'm not reading that bullshit. Isn't it interesting how I know it's bullshit, even before I've read it? I think it is.

How can eScrew be any stronger than eScrew was long ago if eScrew does not have control? (Welll that was hard to post . Bad grammar scares me .)

I think you mean quadruple post. o.O Anyways... Zen will ignore eScrew if eScrew keeps spamming useless things. >.> And don't speak like that. It's rather annoying, and grammatically incorrect.

I understand eScrew.

I test your patience in a second.

... Thus Escrew refuses the... "Symbol" of the first person? Gah. How strange of you.

Just one more. STOP TALKING ABOUT YOURSELF IN THIRD PERSON. ITS REALLY *beep* ME OFF. AND > DOUBLE POSTING ASWELL!!!

True, I think everyone should ignore this thread and not post in it.

Yeah so you basically admit to spamming on diffrent forums. I doubt you'll be here for much longer now.

Found another site.

Hmmm. Who is this Escrew anyhow? *Scratches head*

There is a bunch of other forums Escrew join on, this is just one of them. Wow a triple post.

Escrew was at it's best during a time when it was ran by a guy who didnt give a shit about it (oddity).

Hard to get a "symbol" kicked off its host.

Strike's mother should have declared a jihad on her unborn son.....with a coat hanger.

Maybe a retroactive abortion is in order? Strike is doing a number on his decadent western devil brain cells, suicide bombing them to death with cocktails, not molotov, but with a russian name in any case..

That kicked ass...

Hmm- "eScrew."..... Maybe another new-fangled fastener just intro'd? .... Does this mean I have to spend _more_ money on _another_ set of drivers for them??? Sheesh. Den

Ich bin zur Zeit abwesend. In dringenden Fällen wenden sie sich bitte an meine Vertretung

Hello, as you probably already know we can no longer see your posts at ATS. I understand your symbol language, but must read it a few more times to follow it totally. I see your birth and the symbology connected with it and the physical body and spirit. I would like to understand better, this is Ycon

Escrew, I will get back to you tomorrow night. I sent a message to the skepticoverlord to see what is going on with you. Lets see what he has to say first. Ycon

Escrew, I would like to help, but ATS has a rule that you can't copy and paste replies from someone else. I have gotten in trouble for it in the past. The most I can do is pretend like I am explaining your story. Me and you can write back and forth and I will rewrite what you say and put it into my words. If you just want to me to post your story, all I need is your permission to post it, which you already have. Before I do, I would like to know a little more about Escrew, so I can add a little more to the post. Please help us understand how you came about this story and your location, nationality and age. Ycon

Escrew, the changes on your story are terrible. I wont be posting it anywhere.

Escrew story also has a symbol of censorship which is not proper to the symbol of youth. The symbol of innocense is affected by the symbol of language presented to the symbol of youth. The symbol of light and the symbol of darkness need to come together in the symbol of words without the censorship symbol which is placed on the symbol of a website, so you see the symbol of escrew and the symbol of escrew story will never find a symbol of completness until the symbol of escrew find a proper symbol of language that does not get the symbol of censorship. The symbol of Ycon

What a bourgeois way of thinking!

eScrew owns me? Goody. I have some maintenance charges eScrew needs to pay on it's "property".. where shall I send the bill?

While hanging out with George and Brenda Wirth this weekend after Shelipalooza, George and I were shooting the breeze over coffee about silly posts, silly responses, and silliness on newsgroups in general. I think the catch-all phrase "too much time on his/her hands" came up once or twice. One place in the newsgroup universe with a particular bent for zany (read: wacko!) posts was the Dylan newsgroup, at which we both lurk occasionally. This morning I stopped there and found what I think may well be the strangest post I've ever seen. My newsgroup reading really is pretty limited, but I've certainly NEVER seen anything here at rmmga to come close to this gem. Here's a very small part: eScrew is eScrew and this is eScrew story. This ends what I copied, but it's only the very beginning of a VERY long post. If you wish to view the post in its entirety, it's at the Dylan group under "eScrew". I realize that many of us spend too much time on the computer, but this is..... just mind-numbing. OK..... Now I have to go out and start my shopping..... Enough of this computer stuff. Norman (I Mean Bizarre!!) Draper

You are right, that is pretty strange. I wonder if the guy has read "Confederacy of Dunces." I had a New Orleans ex-friend who referred to himself by an affectionate pet name and wrote in non-stop, running-on, run-on-sentences. He was funny, too, but I didn't have time to read him. We aren't friends anymore. We aren't even acquaintances anymore. I told him that he thought he was Gollum pretending to be Ignatius Reilly. Sensitive bloke he was. Thanks for the eScrew read. :o) Ken, who never speaks of himself that way.

OK folks maybe I am the one here who is not getting it but strange doesn't even begin to cover this post. STUPID come to mind first. I don't know what this clown is on but what ever it is I sure wouldn't want any. Getting the weird, strange or stupid is the ordinary for any NG. I guess there will always be people like this, but don't count me among there fans. Larry

Oh, come on, Larry, lighten up. :o) It is Christmas. We need the strange and the eccentric around these days. The smart, level-headed ones can cause us more problems because there is more of them and they are harder to recognize. Think of this guy not as a dim bulb, but as an occasional strobe in an otherwise dark, uninteresting night. Ken

He posted that drivel everywhere:

I must not be anywhere. I didn't see it. (Thanks again, Norm.) :o) Ken

Hey Norm... caught your headline and thought you were posting song lyrics... but... weren't the lyrics strangest DREAM I've Ever Had..., and I don't recall the word "screw" being in that song anywhere. Okay, you know I know the lyrics, and you probably do too. :) Regards, Arlene

Don't know how to tell you this nicely Norm, but the stragest posts I've ever seen are some of the stories of what you have done to good guitars ... csj

eScrew masturbates alone.

>OOPS, >it's a medical center... >one of the sick got access to a computer. Not necessarily. On sci.astro.amateur, there were a bunch of forged posts containing long book quotations which crossposted to news.admin.net-abuse.email. At first the headers indicated they were coming from a server in Italy, but then the next batch had perfectly forged headers that, in all their fields, looked like real posts from the forgery victims. So there is a new forgery technique going the rounds out there. John Savard

I got so bored with just the first pragraph that I have no idea whether it is amusing or not. With good luck, I will never find out.

Uhmmm...that registrant information you just posted was for escrow.com, not escrew.com....you mispelled it. Here's what I found for escrew.com

How long have you been insane?

Call you doctor. I think your meds need adjustment. Thanks. Uncle Bob

Who the frig is tellin {hotsex} this stupid {head} story? {kneepads}

I think a sleeper cell was just acvtivated.

what are they gonna attack?

We can then consider this to be self-mutilation?

10 seconds to self destruct.

WEIRDO.

What the hell is this? Is it a foreigner with absolutely terrible english but a good spellchecker, some mental hospital lunatic who broke out and OD'd on his meds, or a super-intelligent AI? -M

Awww, it was such a good story until now.

> eScrew > Welcome to eScrew! > eScrew is eScrew and this is eScrew story. [snip massive waste of bytes] Not sure which is less Turing-complete: eScrew, or eScrew's author...

Oops, I meant 'AI-complete'.

On second thoughts, 'Turing-complete' works too.

what the **ck are you talking about?.... get an ELIFE will ya?

Was it really neccessary to quote the entire message just to add a one sentence reply? JazzMan

Can't work the delete button, you must BeScrewed up. Whoever wrote that, HeScrewed up in the head too.

Turned by brain to jelly in three lines. Interesting psychological effect. It is actually impossible to read the entire thing without wondering if you feel a stroke coming on. I wonder what this implies about the author.

(all extraneous parts snipped) Thank you for such a valuable post! It will save me untold hundreds anually in sleep meds!

I hear you brother, and I feel your pain! I can tell that you've tried and tried to get the ignorant masses to understand ...and all for naught! There's only one effective way to solve this intolerable problem once and for all: End your life. At this point, it's your only real option. When you look at the big picture (as you have), surely you see that it's the best you can realistically hope for, given the situation. Seriously, aren't you fed up with it yet? Things being as they are, sooner or later you need to stop grasping at straws trying to convince the unenlightened. Eventually you must take the matter into your own hands. I hear the Japs do it at the drop of a hat. And after all that' s happened, when one considers the issues as thoroughly as you have, doesn't it become clearer and clearer that the whole problem can only be addressed by this one global solution? Yes, I know that no one else will understand -- that's the whole point: when you face the facts, surely you must admit that the only real choice you have left now is to do the honorable thing. =[d

Get off this Newsfeed eScrew, we`re all serious nuts here. Ashley Clarke

eScrew? eLiza did it better.

eScrew yourself ! \|/.

Actually, this yoyo's been posting the same message on several of the other newgroups I subscribe to. It reminds me of, say, a bored and mischievous adolescent who, a generation ago, would place a call to a radio chat show, get on the air with the host, blurt out an obscenity, and then quickly hang up.

BOC (Bunch of crap)

In Mexico I ran across a curious dictionary that contains 25,000 variations of the work 'Chingar'. The language is called 'Chingoles' and is fluently spoken by millions of people. May I suggest that you begin an eScrew dictionary and share your new language with the world.

>Two years >later all is clear. I can't wait...

OK, you spamming jerk, I'm posting yet another abuse report. If everyone does perhaps we can get *** Google to actually do something about the spam their system is allowing onto Usenet.

I'm starting to see an explanation to the Fermi paradox here... Maybe spam from one of these poor souls has already reached ET (no one is safe) and now we're on their 'black list'? Who can blame them? Seems we need to look harder for intelligent life here on Earth... (sorry, tired when I wrote this)

I think someone got into the egg nog a little early. :-)

Thank you for supplying us with a wonderful soporific. Not funny, boringly long, a waste of your time. "Iti is better to be vaguely right than precisely wrong. --J. M. Keynes

Go screw yourself!!!!!!!

LK Me thinks eScrew, Aero and Boob could become very good friends.

Entra Fidel Castro a un colegio y pregunta a Jaimito Sabes quien soy yo ??? Jaimito le contestó: "No señor, no se quién es usted." > > >Fidel muy enojado le dice: "Pues como castigo por no conocerme, ahora mismo tienes que decirme 20 palabras que comiencen con la letra C para que nunca más en tu vida se te olvide que mi nombre es Castro." > > Y Jaimito le dice: "Compañero, Comandante Castro. Cómo y cuándo carajo comenzaremos a comer comida en cantidad como come cualquier cabrón cagado del Comité Central Comunista Cubano?" > > >Castro furioso le dice: " conchesumadre!!! " > > >Y Jaimito responde: "Ahhhh..... esa era la que me faltaba." > >

I've already kill filed you and posted an abuse report when you posted on sci.astro, and it's a pleasure to do it again. If enough people send abuse reports to Google, is there any chance they will reconsider their policy about spam, or even about groups? -- Boycott Google. Remove spam and invalid from address to reply.

Amazing! But I just don`t have the time for it now eScrew. Ashley Clarke

Hi Ron!

You erroneously entertain delusions of -not grandeur- but significance. Visit Krakow often, Uno Hu

Yeah, well, most of us function 3 dimensionally only. A severe handicap. I don't know if you have the time or inclination to change quantum levels.. but in the off chance that you do.. I note that things can be changed more to the way you would like to see them be. If not universally, then at least, locally.

uh. somebody's got a screw loose.

so what is important to you is the meta-level of the symbolism of having symbols around. Keep trying, you may still learn...

hard to tell; too many words.

ah then escrew is a child!

Hey, TROLL!!! Alt.sex is around the corner for you.

Wow. Some seriously weird people out there.... it's so sad...

So it's good that you quoted it in full in your post.

Serious nitwitting!

... a load of shite. Hey look at me! I'm mad! Woooo! Twat.

The New World Order ... Keep You Simple, Stupid.

Maybe making this a moderated forum isn't such a bad idea after all. Steve.

I would agree with that if it kept idiots like you from posting the original drivel in its totality. Someone had a serious lapse of reasoning to sit down and write that in the first place. And you had a worse lapse in reposting it. Sheesh. Pot-kettle-black ..... Steve

That's why I top posted. Sorry I took up your bandwidth. Steve.

Thank you! You're hired! Immediately. Begin approving (and denying) messages at once! Jon

Geez, do you think that anybody reads more than a sentence of that before hitting "next"? What an idiot!

I had much the same "one-sentence" response, but I hit the "Filters>Make Kill Filter" button.

just set Agent to not pull the bodies for any posts over 150 lines. That eliminates a lot of blowhard drivel, Snopes-fodder BS "Friend Of A Friend" stories, Spam ("Make Money Fast! Here's How...") and misplaced binaries. If it looks from the subject or the responses like something that I might actually want to read I'll retrieve the body, otherwise I just hit the "Mark Read" button and move on. And judging from the reactions so far, I think I'll pass. ;-)

Tin foil for one and make it really REALLY thick this time around....

Insanity snipped

Sure. Has your tinfoil-lined yarmulke blown away again?

Naw just take a cadur in the head and never call us again moron

Nope just enjoying watching you get your shock treatments . moron!

Can you distill it down to 1 kb, not 19 kb of text, Screw? I was somehow lost in understanding the meat of your message. I mean what kind od bull is that? Leszek --- Smart questions to stupid answers

Ahhhh, someone finally invented the perfect ACIMite, a bot!! Good job, now we know what it looks like at the end of the "path".

There is no spoon. There is no eScrew.

eScrew wrote: Screw off.

> eScrew > Welcome to eScrew! > eScrew is eScrew and this is eScrew story been reading Finnegan's Wake by chance?! michael

> been reading Finnegan's Wake by chance?! I'm just glad he was writing it down, and not screaming it at me while I was waiting for a bus :D Wheeeeeeee... I've been eScrewed! But it's not the first time. It's

against more than one law to post the results here, though. Not illegal to keep her on my hard disk, though.

> searching for symbol of freedom in order to protect eScrew from Aren't we all?

> you bored yet? i was getting that way, but then you cracked me up and now the torch bearing villagers think I'm mocking them. Thanks eScrew, I wish I could send them your way 8O

<> I read somewhere that if you read a few lines at the beginning and then scroll to the end it will tell you if you want to read what's in the middle. Welcome to APT! But your beginnings and endings will have to be better for me to read the middle. It's true...master's do bate around here. It's call "DE" !

I read it through. He gets somewhat obnoxious in the middle. good for wu-wei.

masterbate... it looked more like throw up..... but then i too failed to read the middle parts :-)

Have just thought of a funny therefore feel that you are an automatic betrayal defaulter upon it. Take your leavings and run. Dave is not akin to and avoidant of most occult fraternities, despite maintaining his interest in life and conversation, because they have only harmed or hindered that which was precious to him. Thank you.

You are an evil doer - aren't you? Tangents are infinite in all of nature in all universes constantly and at random. * D OUOSVAVV M * *PUBLIUS ENIGMA* Oh Joy! Tom The Psychedelic Pope Patron Saint of the Internet

There's nothing more funny than a net kook, geek dweeb like you with delusions of grandure, supremacy and imperialistic self gradifacation with every single one of your online personas.

> Welcome to *plonk* -- "I;m psychic, dumb ass." "Do back to Neanderthal man fer you!" "Learn some science if you really want to know." ...Alexa Cameron demonstrates her 200+ alien-implanted IQ

>> Welcome to > *plonk* Thank you. My head hurt so hard I couldn't raise a trigger finger on the magic plonker. -- jpac "Please do not annoy, torment, pester, plague, molest, worry, badger, harry, harass, heckle, persecute, irk, bullyrag, vex, disquiet, grate, beset, bother, tease, nettle, tantalize or ruffle the animals." San Diego Zoo / Wild Animal Park

Listen, there are no funny stories about white power. As we all know, white power is serious business, you cunt.

"Mum? Where's that rabbit-headed Lever eScrew? A cork [Hi! Men?] ..." ~ Moi

actually, this was pretty funny Listen, there are no funny stories about white power. As we all know, white power is serious business, you cunt. ahahahahaha.

"eScrew will never enslave you because eScrew enjoys diversity of different symbols." Inadequate reassurance. eScrew is symbol in transition. eScrew symbol not bound to body, to money, to society, too unreliable. eScrew, in essence, is nothing.

the problem is he takes vodka suppositories, administered through his boyfriends tool.

welcome back strike, seems rehab didn't help much. To remedy the problem try the direct application of hot lead to your brain matter. Just aim well, what little there was has surely been further reduced by your copious vodka consumption.

tl;dr Screw yuo!

BTW, keep working Weektor, your page is not nealy as scrolly as Time Cube!! Almost as whack, though.

I wanna know how you get included in the hate mail section.

Escrew needs to get lost methinks....

Hmm, was quite enjoying that until eScrew turned out to be an American with conditioning pouring out of every eScrew on eScrew's eScrew. Should edit those specifics and it would be more valid.

"DO NOT FEED THE "eSCREW" TROLL

What is this nonsense?

you so strange.....eScrew

How did you know I like that? eScrew Welcome to eScrew! [...]eScrew bet [...] you enjoy when someone is taking a dump in your mouth. Well, open it wider here comes eScrew fresh load! Enjoy mothefucker! eScrew

You guys should spend a little time playing in my yard, a backwoods northeastern Pennsylvania county that is the meth capital of the world - with more meth lab busts per year than the entire state of New York. What he's posting looks just like the excuses that elementary kid's parents write after their kids have been out a few days - helping out with chores at home.

It is pretty much accepted that down here in the Southern Missisippi woods and swamps that the fellows can go broke cookin' moonshine. Meth has replacd it as the substance of choice. A stoned granma is watching the kids, while a sober son is doing the meth. They take turns. The others are in jail...everything is OK for the baby until the one doing the cooking tries it while stoned...then we have a big explosion and fire. Too bad about the kids. This was a discussion I had with a deputy about what is going on in the back woods. Ken

I'll have a dose of whatever it is he's taken. Should make all my worldly cares disappear. MH.

Be careful. It might make you start posting your blog in stream of consciousness-form to a.c.l.b-d. (Disclaimer: all similarity to persons, real, imaginary, or complex, and living, dead, or undead, is wholly coincidental.) Groetjes, Maarten Wiltink

Too many hits of blotter Acid .I hope he doesn't have any children.

You are just a little bit full of shit, don't you think?

Go eScrew yourself.

ah then escrew is a child!

This Account Has Been Suspended Please contact the billing/support department as soon as possible.

69.197.189.177 has been cracked and is spewing that junk to usenet. extract header and body to a file, say 177, then place a line like 69.197.189.177 is spamming usenet with this junk. at the top, hen email it to his isp's abuse department. $ host 69.197.189.177 177.189.197.69.in-addr.arpa domain name pointer CPE005018217021-CM001225464378.cpe.net.cable.rogers.com. so I would do a mail -s "69.197.189.177 is spamming usenet" a...@rogers.com < 177 more people complain, maybe abuse dept will notify the owner just to keep their email box from filling up.

pay no attention - it's the crack talking!

eScrews? Aren't they used to repair faulty vpinmame tables?

I thought eScrew was an internet version of phone sex.

No, eScrew is a safe way of paying for phone sex (the porn equivalent of escrow).

I only have sex with men! I can't believe people actually waste time writing crap like this!!

I can't believe you wasted the time and bandwidth to post it all again.

If you reply to it point by point, everybody will believe it! Merry Christmas.

There were points?

I don't normally reply to this kind of junk, but, what the hell was that all about? Granted I only skimmed it but I have no idea what they are selling or talking about or what.

Holey Hell what is that supposed to mean??????!!!!!!! Oh wait no that sounds familiar, I'm still looking for some sort of juggling related stuff seeing as how it's a juggling forum and all but uh...I think we know where that came from.

And a quick visit to http://www.escrew.com shows nothing but the *same* story. No JavaScript or other funnies visible on the page, just plain text. I'm now running a quick search to see whether there are any other newsgroups which have it. (A quick script, but it will still take quite a while to produce results. In the meanwhile, it is time to killfile this turkey. Enjoy, DoN.

Just a curiosity, could anything be gleaned from when it was posted coincidental to any other postings at the time? Respectfully, Ron Moore

Considering that it is hitting *lots* of newsgroups, I don't think so. What newsgroup would they actually be posting in as a normal user (if any)? I found it in 61 different newsgroups, and I only get a partial news feed. This includes (appropriately enough) "news.admin.net-abuse.usenet" :-) I've just modified the spelling in the Subject line (added spaces) so this discussion won't be killed by my filters. The best choice is to just add it to filters.

About as funny as an iron lang.

Neat!

After my joke i was looking at the words and they dont seem right. Either this person is a lunatic or it could be an encription. Just the conspiracy theroist in me talking but ya never know ;)

Actually, I think you may be right. Theres some numbers in there as well... maybe some importance. Send it to the FBI or call them and report it. Could be terrorist related.

I couldn't find anything either funny or related to motorcycles in this. Did I miss something?

> Did I miss something? Here have another...think of that post as a particularly small bug hitting the bug zapper. Cheap entertainment: 1 Bug Zapper + 4 cases of beer + one fine summers evening = quality entertainment with little rishk of inshuries. Oh and mind the bottle tops, damn dog knocked the trash barrel over.

Christ, who needs IRC when you've got a fast USENET server.

Hey, I'm enjoying it while it lasts. I'm stuck with a dial-up that at best will give me 44kbps...more often than not it's 42.4kbps.

Ahh, the perfect New Years Day email present.

Net sex takes a bit longer.

anyone ever hear of eScrew?? eScrew me.... eScrew you... WTF??

eScrew = eGO!!

Well, it made it funnier, I thought.... SCREW YOU, HIPPIE!!! ;)

Get lost, ya fascist pig! =)

But where's the part about "In Soviet Russia,..." ?

someone with a LOT of time on their hands and a psychological problem? I dont get it. mark oppat

"Funny story about chem" nothing funny at all!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! shut up, and don't contaminate our forum.

Yes, that was hilarious. Now get fucked.

Who runs eScrew.com? We've had a rash of idiot spammers from eScrew posting such junk as: "I can't believe this! Link 1 to eScrew Link 2 to eScrew Link 3 to eScrew Link 4 to eScrew" etc. Quite annoying so I've put eScrew.com in my banned words list. I know it runs vB so the owner has to be around here somewhere -- if it is you please STOP spamming our forums or I will contact your ISP and have your account yanked.

Matt, you're not the only site that has had problems from members of ecrew lately.

I grow tired of the few that we recieve and its board of only 152...

So it is something they/he are/is known to do? Ok thanks!

I just checked your site, you have a "Victim of the day" thread where you pick a target and send your minions.

Yeah and how about you yourself MR STrike signing into my old member name on your very own site and psoting all sorts of garbage about me? Watch how quickly this gets back, he will say something like Odil is crying about blah blah blah... and he will post it using my very own member name on his board. Odil

LOL you must be joking right?

For one thing, I would never go back to your site with all of your members racist comments. Anyone want to see what I mean? Do a search on his board (escrew) for the member name of Odil and you will see what I mean. Lots of stuff from the past few weeks I am sure. Odil

Where?

Trying to educate them is like trying to tell a ferret no. I am done replying here. Odil

This bickering is not appropriate here.

Hi everyone Well I am having a hug problem with people coming to my site who are coming from another site I posted at for a short time. That site is escrew.com and alot of those people are rascist people who don't care who agrees with what they say. Well one of them came over to my board and started right away flaming my members. I banned him right then and there. well off and on, other people from that site are coming over and purposefully starting trouble without being invoked. Now according to this thread it looks like more trouble is on the way. That link is from their site talking about mine. Befors I read that thread, I had banned at least 5 differant people who came over and started the same thing. What can I do other than banning them? Nothing? Our board

just wants to be left alone. Odil

restrict registration in your admin cp -> options -> vbulletin options -> [user and registration options] . there are several methods in there. i would moderate new registrations (so they can't post until you give the "ok").

I think I may do that for a few days. Thanks! Odil

Hi again Is it possible to allow new users posts to go in to a moderation que, then I approve them to be posted? Odil

Not with vBulletin, but there is a hack for this at vBulletin.org.

post moderation is at the forum level, not the group level.... so no firefly (or another vB dev), this is in vB3 right?

I've had "invasions" before. just require email notification, temporarily ban hotmail/yahoo emails (be sure to keep from banning current, the option below) every troll post delete/move to private recycle bin. don't address it.. they want the attention from it.. be real discreet and quiet, and they'll get bored and go away

Well they will soon run of out ips and user names and email addresses I hope. I did try moderating new members for a few new members just now but to me it sounds like I would be imprisoning them. I guess I will just keep banning, and deleting till they give up. I am so sad at how mean people can be to people they don't even know. Odil

Not suprising coming from that site If they have dail up modem, they get a new ip every time they connect to the internet. If they are not using AOL, your best try is to e-mail their ISP

Odil, I am having the same problem, with the same group of people. I turned off new registrations yesterday after deleting over 50 posts, and banning a half dozen members. I sent strike (webmaster of escrew) a PM, to find out what the heck is wrong, but have not yet heard back. Good luck with your forums!

Send them to here then to the emails they register with, send them PMS and i'm sure they will be hugged sooner or later

Ok several months ago, I had posted on a forum many of you may know about but not want to ever join, that board is escrew. As many of you may know, that board seems to be filled with 12-16 year old punks who sneek in to use Daddys computer while he is at work. I had not posted on that site more than 10 times after people on that site began personally attacking my sister and I. Well I went there to read something someone had referenced in a post on my forums and well to my surprise, there is my member name set up exactly the way I left it, posting these rediculous posts there. I have no doubt who the person is who is logging onto my old account there, the same person who other board members there has said is logging into at least 5 other peoples accounts. There are no moderators on that board other than the owner

who posts there and does not deny the fact that he is using other members accounts. This is the same board whos members have "who can get banned first" on this or that message board. I have had more than my share of these losers on my forums. Any thoughts on what I have said especially about the owner using my member name and others? Odil

I think the admin for that site posts here occasionally. Or in vB.org... Not sure. I'd contact him, ask him to remove the posts.

Um he is the one using the other members accounts. There are no other admins or mods on that board and he doesn't deny he is using them.

he posts here.. him and sinecure are close.. one of them will see this...

hey odil. you know who I am, I'm sure. and I'm sure you'll ban me in a second but I'm not here to start a fight or spam your board. first it's not strike posting as you that would be some one else who hates you even more. though strike is giving away the passwords to acounts that are no longer used. second of all. if you don't want us coming here than dont come to escrew. I thought me and DLD agree'd that there would be no cross board posting. it's the only way to keep the peace. take er easy. and to any escrewers who read this and wana flame me take it to escrew. I'll smoke you there.

It's nice to know i shut down an illegal VB.. Sure this has been said before, but i got a smile on my face when i went back to a site i reported a week or so back too see it have a PHPBB critical error... Ah, so goooooood.... Do i get a cookie now? Please...

Probably not as good as I felt after getting eScrew down. Got 'em down on their first host, then they came back as the First Ammendment Project, then went all Buddhist, then went gone completely. @_@

Really?

This reminds me of those door-to-door missionaries that used to bug me until I told them I didn't believe in anything . I'm willing to bet that whole topic will appear on eScrew as well.

Yeah, you are. And other industrialized nations have similar laws. A board that struck me as interesting was eScrew, they have nothing but adult content and yet no COPPA registration or any way to verify registration.

That's because they don't have to comply. COPPA only applies to sites that are directed at children. From the FTC site: "If you operate a commercial Web site or an online service directed to children under 13 that collects personal information from children or if you operate a general audience Web site and have actual knowledge that you are collecting personal information from children, you must comply with the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act." There's a lot of mis-information about COPPA out there.

Okay, but what constitutes "for children"?

Well, not porn, not adult discussions a la Salon... Really, it's not that hard to figure out. Hardly any vB's I've seen have been directed at children. "To determine whether a Web site is directed to children, the FTC considers several factors, including the subject matter; visual or audio content; the age of models on the site; language; whether advertising on the Web site is directed to children; information regarding the age of the actual or intended audience; and whether a site uses animated characters or other child-oriented features." Here's the link. COPPA is only relevant when you are actively collecting information from children, i.e. a poll or contest on the Lego site.

You don't have to have it enabled, but it doesn't hurt. Unless you're specifically dedicated to kids fishing, it's not required. You can have whatever nudity you want, as long as you're not aiming it at kids.

Be aware that COPPA is only a protective measure. The only time it will become relevant is if a youngster falls into trouble on your site. It's when the child's parents sue, that's when COPPA will be present to either protect you, or prosecute you. If you keep all other precautions, you should be safe.

There's the soft "if you have actual knowledge" qualification though. If you run a forum with some mature content (IE swearing) and you operate it as if your user base is adult, and you're not knowingly solicting information from children, you don't need COPPA. Don't let COPPA scare you.

how to avoid and get rid of escrew Who is escrew? escrew is an unorganised group of people who attack forums by writing bulk nonsence. the backbone ist the URL escrew.com. this URL ist redirected to the forum temporarly under attack. What can be done against escrew? Since they come from the domain escrew.com it is simple to block all user comming from this domain. this best works with mod-rewrite, an extension to the apache web server. the code to apomplish is is: Code: RewriteEngine On RewriteCond %{HTTP_REFERER} ^http://www.escrew.com/.*$ [NC] RewriteCond %{HTTP_REFERER} ^http://escrew.com/.*$ [NC] RewriteRule ^/(.*)$ - [F] simply put it into your httpd.conf file and restart the server What can i do to not to be an aim of escrew? Well, the person running the escrew.com domain mostly surfs the net via cable by rogers.com. Since this is a small company, you do not lost too many visiters, if any at all, when blocking all their customers. this way the leader of escrew will hardly be attracked by your web site since he will not see it. The mod_rewrite code for this is: Code: RewriteEngine On RewriteCond %{REMOTE_ADDR} ^66\.185\.* RewriteRule ^/(.*)$ - [F] Or leave out the first line if you have already included the code above. Why do people do that? I don't know, really. here is a statment from owner of the domain name: http://www.prweb.com/releases/2001/5/prweb24564.php What else can you do? escrew is using a service by redirection.net to acomplish the redirection. write to support@redirection.net and ask them to quit services with escrew. I guess if enough people explain the situation,

the will cacel services - they only make 15 $ on escrew... What else should i know about escrew? The person running escrew also knows a bit of vBulletin. He had vBulletin on his site as well. He is here in this Forum too, under the name strike but since he doesn't run his own Forum anymore, he not here anymore. A post by strike Why am i posting this here? I thought anyone with a board under attack will go the the place for help, where he bought the software. And he/she will search for escrew and hopefully find this message how to get rid of those people. Another reason is that he uses this very Forum to seek other victims, as seen on this thread http://www.vbulletin.com/forum/showthread.php?threadid=61051 the thread is only 4 posts long - read it and them go to http://www.zen-forum.com : it shut down. I by chance knew this forum and just check out google (http://www.google.com/search?q=zen+site:zen-forum.com) to see how big it was - in fact it was one of the largest Buddhist/Zen Board around. If your want to know which board is under attack at the moment check out http://www.escrew.com and watch the take-over.

Sorry but this is spam. Closed.

In a perfect world, people are supposed to police themselves, and so they are bound to respect one another. But, this is not a perfect world, and there are a lot of boards out there where you get kids who post all over the place like they own it, and do not care what people think. That is where admins and mods step in--to keep the place from destroying itself. Most boards that folded up are the ones who have little moderation in place, and everyone just basically stepped on each other. Ironically, I would think this board is moderated, so like a post here said, we wonder why you're even here in the first place.

There is a difference between moderation and censorship. Moderation is, in relation to BB's, closing a thread because it becomes a huge flame, or moving a thread because its in the wrong forum. Censorship, however, is bleeping out words. I believe in moderation mainly because I don't want my members hating each other, however, they can cuss all they want and I don't mind. -Vic

Moderation is only neccesary to remove posts that are racist posts, mock events such as that of september 11th, and keep swearing to a general low. A little cursing here and there isn't going to ruin someones virgin ears. In my opinion moderators are needed.

It all depends on what your board is about. If it's a free-for-all place where anything goes, then yeah moderation might interfere. However, if you're trying to build a friendly community moderation is an absolute must.

To have a professional, well-visited forum you need moderation. For most of us, we aim to make our forums among the best in the industry - to be known by many people across whatever industry we focus on. A forum without moderation doesn't have a hope of achieving that.

a board without moderation would be a out of control post-fest, it just dont make sense, moderation is a must and very necessary

I'll be damned if anyone uses my forum for warez, among other things(previously mentioned). The problem is the boards with arrogant moderators. They cause problems...but then again, just don't go there. Places with NO moderators at all are often not a fun place to be, though. What really irritates me about moderators and a community is every action is scrutanized. People don't understand that just because they don't think someone shouldn't be banned for warez doesn't mean that that will actually happen. Things like that REALLY get on my nerves.

it is i think, but from looking at the first page on your site it looks like you are very interested in things uncensored , just becareful with your sense of humor and dont take it too far. i have no idea what happen but it looks like thats whats happened

So you think there's a such thing as a good poster? Even the best poster is not perfect and so he/she will eventually make a silly thread like this one. And if there is no such thing as a good poster, then why go to any bulletin board at all? Mark Hewitt made an excellent point. If your moderators have given you this image of moderators then you need different moderators.

Unfortunately that's true. We are all utterly devoid of any meaningful sense of humor. It's part of the job description that we must adhere to if we want to remain as vB Moderators!

The problem with your post wasn't our so-called lack of sense of humour. I think it is unacceptable for two reasons (and this is the first time I've seen it - just taken a look now). First it is parodising the extremely popular vB Board of the Month contest - not a great idea in the same forum which that contest takes place in. Secondly, I think I can speak for most of the members here when I can say we simply do not want to know about what you posted - it's not a topic that crosses my mind very often, and I'm sure that's the case for most other members. If you've got a problem with our actions here as moderators, then put up with them. Nothing about the way this forum is moderated is going to change, and I'm sure most people will agree that it is fairly well-moderated at the moment.

Don't you think there should be a contest called: "The SICKEST vB Board of the Month contest"??? or "The WEIRDEST vB Board of the Month contest"??? or "The MOST SHOCKING vB Board of the Month contest"??? I think that would be really fun contest. If anyone else want such contest please cast your vote in support of my idea. Thanks!

Let's see... After looking at your forums, your original post makes sense. The top thread on the forum at the time was this: "how many girls have you fucked", by "dateRape" With forum content like yours, no wonder you don't believe in moderators. Some forums want to maintain direction and relevance, and thus rely on moderation. Some, like yours,

are free-for-alls. There's room for both, but you should really have qualified your outrage with "Bad Moderators." I'm off... Gotta see if these threads have been updated: Please don't post innappropiate thread titles

Good moderators are only determined by how good the admins keep them in check .. hence, if you encounter bad, abusive moderators, their admin is usually an idiot

Funny, that.

I generally let ppl post whatever they want on my board, as long as its not racist, pron or illegal stuff (warez etc). I run the board just for fun, and its great just acting goofy and talking about weird things. I really dont need any mods, because we rarely get flamewars, and I hardly have enough ppl on my board to warrant more than 1 mod. I just add mods for the hell of it I or the mods usually close a thread if it remotely heads into a flamewar. But since the forum has been up since July of last year, I've yet to ban anyone. I just want my forum to be laid back, and ppl can talk about whatever. If they really want a serious political discussion there are a million other forums for politics and debates etc. But thats just me.

I've seen your forum, Strike, and it looks like a bunch of 12 year olds who finally found a place where they can misbehave without being spanked. If that's what you were aiming for, then congratulations, you have been successful. I think you will find that most adults don't really care for that kind of site.

And it looks like you're trying to be spanked right here. /me puts on flame gloves...hoping...waiting...

It is not bad moderation when done in threads that are lampooning the very act of moderation.

If taking sides means defending themselves, like they are doing here...then you're correct. Please learn to spell...before trying to "proove" any more of your "points".

this thread = boring

Not only is it boring...but it's bait. I'm going over there ------>

Ok...I didn't quite leave yet. Your credibility and any chance of being listened to are decreasing with each and every glaring spelling and grammar error you make in each and every post. You should spend less time pretending to be a stud on your weak ass website and more time doing your homework... Now...I'm going over there with smachol and his enormous signature.

LOL, hilarious that someone who reiterates that moderation is a bad/boring thing will now demand it ... kinda fun to contradict yourself, eh?

Strike, if you hate moderation so much, then dont post here. You stated yourself that you WILL NOT post on any board with moderators or has moderation. Well, believe it or NOT, you can't even hold true to that claim which means: You're a troll and the only attention you can get is trying to "start" a "flame" war just so you can get the attention (which basically is what this thread is turning into). IF YOU dont like moderation, FINE, that's your opinion. YOu have a site that doesn't have moderation, then hang out over there. THIS IS A business site (if you haven't noticed) and what is reflected on this board is ALSO a reflection on the company that produces this product. If a person who is checking out the software comes to this board to see "who is here" and see nothing but FLAMES and swearing, would the consider in dealing with a company that CAN't even moderate or control its own users who post here? If you're a grown adult then YOU should know when certain behaviour is accepted and when it's not. So, either hold to your claim of not posting to boards with moderators (which is about 99% of all forums out there) and leave, or behave and see what moderation can do to HELP your community. BTW, IF YOU knew anything about using your board, you'd know who posted that thread/post because their IP would have been shown (to the admin), and blaming "us" for that post only shows your immaturity and lack of common sense. This thread should be closed, for all our sakes.

Strike, if you hate moderation so much, then dont post here. You stated yourself that you WILL NOT post on any board with moderators or has moderation. Well, believe it or NOT, you can't even hold true to that claim which means: You're a troll and the only attention you can get is trying to "start" a "flame" war just so you can get the attention (which basically is what this thread is turning into). IF YOU dont like moderation, FINE, that's your opinion. YOu have a site that doesn't have moderation, then hang out over there. THIS IS A business site (if you haven't noticed) and what is reflected on this board is ALSO a reflection on the company that produces this product. If a person who is checking out the software comes to this board to see "who is here" and see nothing but FLAMES and swearing, would the consider in dealing with a company that CAN't even moderate or control its own users who post here? If you're a grown adult then YOU should know when certain behaviour is accepted and when it's not. So, either hold to your claim of not posting to boards with moderators (which is about 99% of all forums out there) and leave, or behave and see what moderation can do to HELP your community. BTW, IF YOU knew anything about using your board, you'd know who posted that thread/post because their IP would have been shown (to the admin), and blaming "us" for that post only shows your immaturity and lack of common sense. This thread should be closed, for all our sakes.

They dont take sides, again assumption on your part is wrong. They will delete threads that are insulting, harassing, sexually explicit or promote illegal acts (warez). ITS still a community and there is no REASON To delete this thread (it does pertain to how forums are run; without moderation: yours a good example and with: 99% of those on here who does). We have to wonder why your "mantra" doesn't work so well in

the real world. There is nothing insulting in this thread, though you are testing peoples patience with your tripe. Bad moderation (IMO) is your board, and the funny thing is that even with all that is going on your site, you could be getting your site into major trouble with the ISP you are with (they might have rules against certain activities on their servers) or postings on your forum could lead to a potential crime. There is nothing on your board to be "happy" about; I'd for one would be ashamed to see that this kind of thing is ACCESSIBLE to kids (I doubt you even run coppa -= which bTW is required by law in the US and which your server resides on - vdi.net)

Then your claim is false, and that makes you "a liar". In all fairness, you put yourself into this predicament. YOU badmouth moderators saying that they are ALL BAD, but as pointed out to you SEVERAL times, that moderators are only as bad as ADMINS let them to be. ANd you made the assumption that moderation is bad, and it has been pointed out to you SEVERAL times that moderation is needed should you have a more directed site that wants to remain civil. Flames and wars and tantrums are the result of different opinions of their posters, but an argument can still be held without resulting to swearing, harassment and the occasional sexual content. YOUR site is uncivil; there's the difference. Sorry, the world doesn't revolve around you or your site. No one insulted you (just pointed out that instead of spending time on your forum, you should be spending more time proofreading your postings). Mispellings are common but when the author of a post doesn't even bother to re-read what he/she has posted, the readers will have a less time trying to take anything they say seriously. Right now, I dont even take you seriously, because your behavior is that of a TROLL. What you need to do is get away from your uncivil site and try out those sites that are moderated and see what happens there. IF YOU can't find a board without moderators, then thats your problem. NOT OURS. So dont make your problem our problem. IF you can't find a board without moderators, maybe that's telling you something...huh?

I wasn't flaming, I was stating my opinion. I've looked at your board several times, just out of curiousity, and I never saw anything compelling enough to make me want to stay. If you consider that flaming, perhaps you need to thicken your skin before trying to start arguments.

If you can't see in this statement above why we disagree with you, then the only person who needs to be "moderated" is you. YOu assumed he was flaming, and he wansn't, which only shows that you are prone to start wars, arguments and are not "thick skinned" enough to handle any argument you start. Need to get away from bad influence, cause they aren't doing you any good. You have had several "intellegent" responses from this thread alone; many of which gave you the justification for moderation. The only "agressive" behaviour being shown is you jumping down our throats when we give you those justifications. To understand both sides, you must be willing to accept the reasoning of others, and then be able to contribute your side without being offensive, and

defensive. We said moderation is needed when its needed. You can have an unmoderated board if you want; that's your business. WE choose to have moderated boards and we find the advantages of moderated boards to be over unmoderated boards. Its good to have a place to vent your frustrations; i personally dont do it online or on a forum. I just go out to my garage and hit the punching bag or practice my judo on my cousin (who can kick my @$$ anyway).

According to this logic we shouldn't have homes, cars, spouses, kids, etc. Heck, even the best admins are not perfect, why even run a bulletin board? Nothing is perfect. Why even live at all? Oh geez, now I'm depressed. Well there is the gay guy with the female cartoon avatar that pretends he's a woman and gets everyone to talk dirty to him.

What the Fuxk

I don't think this is the correct place for such a post. But then again I could be wrong...

i think it was a waste of time typing that so i hope you copied n pasted it from somewhere theres no way on gods fine earth im going to read all that so in actual fact yeah ! you wasted your time

It's sad that you don't crave any knowledge and are only interested in posts such as "omg lol that was funny". If any post is over 2 paragraphs people can't be assed to read it, todays people are such lazy bastards.

If I recall correctly, this same member made a post a while back linking to his website and it was locked for spam. He is returning to see if he can make it "legit." You can find his previous post at http://www.vbulletin.com/forum/showthread.php?t=86793 . I think it's in rather poor taste to repost something that was obviously not appreciated by the admins.

Yeah, but last time he just posted a link to his website. This time he actually pasted the article in his post.

hi, im remya. i was browsing the net loking for positive and negative energies andcame across ur post. i was very glad that at least there is some one else in this world who believes in my philosophy. i entirely agree with ur concept of positive, negative and neutral energy. can any one explain who is god and where does he reside. god is simply the sum total of all the enrgies in this universe. the source of all energies in the universe. that is the universal energy of which all of us are a part of. the scientists have discovered all the facts regarding energy and energy transformation etc. but none was able to corelate it with god. the source of positive and negative energy is neutral. its us those who convert it into positive or negative. The energy which fills this entire universe is what we call god. the negative is called satan in terms of christianity. in this context lets analyse what soul is. its nothing but the energy with in us. when we die, where does that energy go. both christianity, hinduism and other religions believe in

the existance of soul. but the exact nature of soul remain unexplained . the reason is soul being energy is not visible nor can be felt. when we talk of liberation of soul, what is meant is that our energy gets mixed up with the universal energy instead of passing it in to another body. if we can think in terms of energy all our confusions will dissapear. Mr. viktor, when ever great philosophers like jesus and some onewho said the earth is round, and men evolved from apes spoke out the truth, people thought they were insane and insulted them. the blind people cant appreciate what vision is if a person with vision describes it to them. like wise the ignorant cant appreciate knowledge. so please dont get disheartened by the sircastistic comments of people. i know u wont let negative energy flow in to u. please continue to post such valuable ideas. thanks

In many states in the USA, you would be considered a manditory reporter... meaning that, if you have any reason to think that someone may have abused a child, then you are required by state law to report it to the authorities. Please visit this link for more information... http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/cb...ons/rpt_abu.htm I understand you're in Toronto, but maybe Canada has similar laws to protect children. Please make some calls. If the first contact you call says that they can't help you, then please call someone else. Don't be quickly put off from taking action. Many people might not know what you can do, so they will assume you can't do anything, and they'll say that with a "voice of authority". Please... keep trying.

Please see my above post for some contact info ^^. Also, please disable that user's ability to edit or delete his own posts... the authorities may want to read everything he's written.

First of all, please remove that link... I haven't looked at it... but if it's a link to child porn, then please please please remove it. WAIT... I mean remove it from the vbulletin forum. Don't remove it from YOUR forum... instead, move that particular post to a forum which only you can access. AGAIN, please disable that user's ability to delete or edit his own posts.

tubedogg, thank you for removing that link. Strike, contact the authorities in the state in which that user lives. Tell them everything you can. It's their job to analyze the evidence... not yours.

Track him down through his ISP.

This is not the place to post his personal info. You need to contact the authorities about this - and you need to do it now.

If you need help in "how" to track someone down through his ISP, I think it's okay to ask for general instructions. You don't have to post his actual IP and email address, in order to get instructions. I would help you with this... but I don't know the answer. Would someone please help out?

You can use Sam Spade to trace the IP address and find out who to contact. However I would just contact the Police and give them all the information you have.

Just report him to author. they will investigate further and handle it

Strike, that's commendable. Many people would have looked the other way. You took action. Did you leave your contact info, so that the FBI can contact you with any questions? I would also contact his ISP in order to... (1) Let them know for their own reasons. (2) Find out which state he's in... if he's in the USA. This is because reporting child abuse is handled state-by-state... it's not a federal situation, according to the info found at the URL that I posted in the beginning of this thread. So, in case the federal agency can't, or won't, investigate, I'd tell that user's local authorities as well. Telling the local authorities may actually be more important than telling the federal authorities.

it would not surprise me if you have many pedophile's on your board.

Contact your local police. They can then forward it to the RCMP and the US FBI if necessary.

I have some knowledge in this. Child pornography is a very serious offence, but the FBI reporting system online takes too long to process (there is a lot of 'questionable' material out there where you cannot tell the age of the person). Many companies intentionally get eighteen year olds that look fourteen or fifteen for profits (even though there are now strict laws about this). Contact your local FBI branch and simply report it. By reporting it, you've done your part and get the child porn off your website immediatly (but get proof of it). By hosting child porn (even if you don't even know you have it) you are in violation of the law. Make sure you're dealing with child porn before giving the FBI (and INTERPOL, maybe) more work. Family pictures, artistic pictures, etc, are not considered child porn. Unless the material is explicit (genitilia exposed in a provacative way, etc) then it's technically not child porn.

any playing of junior detective that you do has the potential to screw up the legal case. You recieved some good advice. You have reasonable cause to believe that he is involved in child pornography so you need to contact the authorities.

maybe canada is a little different but while it may not be admissible for a conviction it could certainly help in forming probable cause for a search warrant....

yup.. doesn't seem like you have a lot of choices.... Sorry dude it sucks to have it happen on your board... but look at the good side maybe you have a chance to save some poor kid from this crap...

Make sure you have COPPA enabled, your site has adult content and you don't even verify email addresses during registration.

You run the Jerry Springer community judging by your forums .. Heh .. just kidding ..

Maybe his communty just puts the 'fun' back in dysfunctional!

nope no cookies community smells like a russian word

I know a community is doing well when a user comes back after a long (or even short) abscence, and more than one person says 'welcome back, we missed you'

Simple way to resolve this: Does "tmachine" (or whatever her name was) still post there?

Friend? You have some freaky friends!

Maybe you could write an e-aids mod/hack for your members. You know, you e-screw you get e-aids. It's only fair as I see it. If the only life you have is e-screwing, then you should have the opportunity of getting some computer mangleing disease that renders your hard drive useless. First Amendment Rights have been used to protect just about everything except its original intention. I'm happy e-screw is a canadian business. Nice flag. Best wishes, Hooper

some of us are lazy - www.escrew.com

Yes that site is just so intelligent that they have a thread discussing sites that they can have a banning contest on. Some people have mental problems there I have noticed. I have my go around with them.... They even have a link to the page here that lists everyones vB sites so they can choose sites to cause trouble. Odil

Damn and I thought my Sleazy Sex Story forum was weird lol

That aint weird, it's just shit. I dont visit many other boards so dont really know any other 'weird' ones.

Thanks Jake... now you've just led some kids down the dark path of no return...

Is he running a legal vB? He should have his license revoked for this banning contest etc crap.

Oh dear, look what I've started...

Yes he runs a legal board unfortunately. Several months ago, he was offering people their own copy of his EScrew board for like $7 a month run off his own server untill he was shown that what he was doing was illegal. Someone then posted a link where they could get it for free. This is what you get when you refuse to ban anyone. Odil

only if he is violating the license agreement. banning contests are not against the agreement - http://www.vbulletin.com/license.html

he's board is a q3w R&R wannabe board. but like I said earlier, if you have a problem with people on his board, or inciting things, then

report it to his host. Show them something and they will take action (usually) by the way, strike, nice avatar. a person on my site's the one that made it. glad you like it

His host, hostrocket, is a joke. They are the AOL of hosts.

LOL that's true, go to WHT and that's all you see "HOSTROCKET IS shit" etc Anyway, weirdest board I've seen will have to be mine

escrew just got suspended: http://www.webhostingtalk.com/showthread.php?s=&threadid=83673&highlight=escrew edit -guess the nuked they thread. It was entertaining to say the least. As for HR, they offer more than any host I've seen so far, but thats just about the only reason I've stuck with em. We've been getting poor (or outage) performance recently, and its becoming a real annoyance. Shopping around now for another host that can offer what HR offers for the same price, or close to it (VO is a bit pricy but we might have to bite the bullet anyway).

Damn I missed the show! Can someone summarize what happened to escrew?

Fortunatly, I saw the thread before it was killed. Basically, escrew had a lot of members that had posted pornographic material in the form of attachments and avatars. Their account was suspended and now escrew is looking for a new host.

I take it he got reported to his host

You sure the contract isn't nullified is you break their terms?

Not true. It depends what they have in their TOS.

And a company like host rocket will have taken something like this into account (I can imagine you're not the first that they've done this to)

It's your responsibility to read the TOS, if you don't agree to it, you don't sign up. You can just say you were too lazy, you have to "Agree to the TOS to continue". The TOS will say that they reserve the right to suspended or shut down any site that contains warez or adult material because let's face it, all hosts do that. So, the contract gives them the right to do what they did. You think they would knowingly go against a contract? Hosts like these shut down sites hosting content like that left and right. It's nothing new to them. You're going to end up losing more money if you go off on some shooting spree with a lawyer. Good luck

Here is what they say Agreement Between HostRocket.Com And All Customers Activities Subject to Immediate Deactivation. Any Hosting Account that is used for Illegal, Abusive or Unethical Activity may be immediately deactivated by us without warning to you. Any misuse or overuse of the server will result in immediate deactiveation of an account. Illegal, Abusive or Unethical Activities include, but are not limited to inclusion of or linking to, pornography, mp3's, obscenity, nudity, violations of privacy, hacking, irc related scripts and

programs, non approved server scripts, eggdrops, computer virus, gambling, or promotion of gambling, and any harassing or harmful materials or uses, as determined by us. You agree to indemnify and hold us harmless from any claim resulting from your publications or use of Illegal, Abusive or Unethical materials. Although we will make reasonable efforts to alert you to such activities and allow you an opportunity to cure them within a 12-hour period after discovery, we are not required to give notice before deactivating your use of our services if, in our discretion, your use is or results in Illegal, Abusive or Unethical activities. If a Hosting Account is disabled, the regular monthly fees still apply. You still think you can sue them?

And they can fight the charge by providing proof of what you've done, the violations, and their TOS.

But if the credit card company refuses to honor your chargeback you'll be stuck with it. If you don't pay it it'll get sent to a credit agency, where interest/penalties will accrue, and your credit will be damaged. But beyond the credit issue, I think you should just swallow this bitter pill. It was your fault for not abiding by the TOS you agreed to. Perhaps they should credit you for the remaining unused credit, but you don't have a right to a full refund. To try getting that via a chargeback would show a bad faith effort on your part.

Who's Brendan?

Man so your adding slander/libal to your list??? If you chargeback, HR can fully pursue your credit card company to reverse it, and get the money back, if your credit card company is stuck with the bill, they'll be more than happy to mark it down on your credit history that you refuse to pay bills... Whenever I have a user that breaks my TOS and I suspend them, and they try the charge back crap, I always contest it with logs.. So far only had one charge back go though, and thats mainly because I didn't feel like contesting it, it was for like 3 bucks But if just try to piss HR off, then you'll give them a reason just to pursue you if you chargeback...

"I'm gonna sue" The default response of Internet whackjobs when they get spanked.

Are you sure Strike is just another regular "internet whackjob"?

Well, I doubt he has the power to close his mom's visa card.

Oh, the funny thing is he publically insulted this Brendan on that thread that was posted here.

Heh, that what I was thinking too. What a parade of the damned... and a good advertisement for birth-control.

Time to revoke this kid's license.

Better go download a phpbb dude.

Time to close this thread...

such hostility over there

the idea has potential. i'm thinking it would work best on a forum that covers a broad subject area and then people who want to focus on a specific subject area within yours could buy a single forum on your forums.

I think its a good idea to be honest... however you should capture a specific market and not try and encompass everything. My forums offer free vB sub forums to clans and projects in the gaming scene, specifically Unreal Tournament and a certain way its played. We don't charge, but I'm sure if we did people would want a little more for their money. IMO you would need to develop: - A community control panel to let forum leaders moderators modify their own styles - Certain admin options which are currently global to be made specific to a certain sub-forum or usergroup - A slightly different visual approach to the co-branding so that you wouldn't list 100's of forums on the main page.

Now that's a good idea, try it free, then if it's successful it would be easier to charge later on.

Well it started off a good idea .. it just keeps going down hill now !

Due to the fact that your "ecash" program is making unsubstantiated claims about income potential along with out proper disclosure of terms, payment procedures and other required information and the fact that the apparent scam is targeting Americans, I am reporting your site to the FCC for the purpose of being investigated for telecommunications fraud.

that's a good idea seeing as all of the internet rejects end up there.

What's so funny about a porn link?

That's interesting.

Go eScrew yerself.

eScrew Your F-in MOTHER!!!!!!!!!

At least *it* (aka eScrew) is more entertaining than Marty the Martian aka Lil Nicky (He's never been to Earth. He's never even slept over some other dude's house.).

So interesting i might just get someone else to some it up for me

All is symbol.

That just about sums it up. Am I the only one that reads all of it? Its pretty funny that someone would waste so much of his life to write that, then for someone like me to waste so much of his life reading it...

eScrew, just shut up. Dear eScrew: Have a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year! (Never argue with an idiot. He brings you down to his level, then beats you with experience...)

your going to escrew your eyes up by reading this stuff !!!!! unrealize

> Time to close this thread... so soon? ok > Well it started off a good idea .. it just keeps going down hill now ! They do. Shit happens, then you die.

Except for those that hold tightly to the myths of dis-information, opinions, and unsupportable or incorrect 'facts', most all this stuff has been debunked years ago. For those that prefer the straight and unadorned facts, they can be found in the Google archives of A.R.E. ` o

```
|
~/|
_/ |\
/ | \
-/ | \

_ /____|___\_

(___________/
```

Rich~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~Sailing the CyberSea~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Which Google are you talking about? Oogie

..uhhh... I'm uhh... sorry about that. Perhaps this will help: http://www.schizophrenia.com/ It's a place to start, anyway. Good luck. H.

For whatever reason your forum has been targeted by a rather stupid group of idiots called escrew. They redirect DNS traffic to web forums that they want to "invade" several times a night...and for some reason they are aiming at you guys now... www.escrew.com currently leads to your forum. They will come here, mess with you, and flood your board. They will then claim that they "owned" you and take off to another site....just thought I would give you guys a heads up.... Oh...nice meeting you all :)

wow :s maybe that explanes all the newbies :D

thank you. toodles :)

wow :s maybe that explanes all the newbies :D It explains me at least...I never knew this board existed. But our board was "invaded" by these mental midgets once before. Our admins were all over it, and no real damage was done...although some of us had fun farking with them while they visited:D Can any of you PM an admin....just to let them know? Nice place you guys have here....

I tried to tell you...but instead I got insulted...have fun...

LOL- it cracks me up to think that people have so much time on their hands. I should be so lucky!

uuhhmmm :t what forum are u from?

I would rather not say...I'm not sure our mods would appreciate me directing another of their feeble attacks our way...

What forum are you from? Let me guess!! I won't say the name, but can I assume your choice of words is a hint? Do you like cliches and nutted squirrels? :p

They have moved on now...good job to your mods... My last post here...bye guys...

Thanks Heads Up... whoever you are :p just to everyone else, if these guys do make a return trip, please use the report-this-post feature to report them to the mods/admin. that way we can take care of them as soon as possible.

nice to know things get interpreted wrong, heads^, but thanks anyway. http://forums.prowrestlingfans.com/forumdisplay.php?s=&forumid=21 that was the last escrew.com redirect, so the guy was right.

before the pro wresteling site it was an adult web site banner exchange forum. these guys are semi amusing

LOL...right now at this moment, it leads you right to this thread...

Originally posted by Imagine just to everyone else, if these guys do make a return trip, please use the report-this-post feature to report them to the mods/admin. I'll never use the report-this- post feature again. You guys throw people in Detention Hall for that. What a joke..

uh no... because in forums with moderators, the reports only go to the mods, not the admin. mods cannot put people in DH. and when the reports are actually helpful, you don't get put in DH for that.

Okay, I won't say anything else about it. But we both know that's BS. Popular mods with admin friends can get people thrown in DH with just a whisper. Exhibit A..me. And someone was put in DH for reporting posts of an attacking troll. This someone was told to put the attacker on "ignore" and to stop reporting him. LOL..if you're being attacked on a public forum, you have no right to defend yourself? You're supposed to let them belittle you all they want in front of everyone and not respond?? I won't call you guys on this anymore. But I had to at least once. Now, back to your regularly scheduled program..

1. Strike A drunk Russian homo nutpuncher who used to run eScrew.com before he totally fucked it up and everybody defected to fuxxored.com. Strike is a drunk Russian homo nutpuncher who... etc. :D

1. eScrew Escrewed v: to die a long, desolate death, totally alone. Escrew, once the terror of the internet, is now ESCREWED due to the psychotic ramblings of a crazy Ukranian.

2. eScrew The ability to completely and utterly ruin everyone's fun because you're a self-centered egotistical PRICK! I've escrewed yu0

all! HAHAHAHAHA!

Okay, I could not read that. Sorry! Way too "screwed" up.

Were we supposed to read the entire thing?! .. stopped at line 4 >.<

ya, i skipped half way down read another 5 lines than said forget this.

Ummmm. . . . . Can anyone besides "eScrew" tell me what that jibberish
is all about?

Freak

Ah, the unfathomable eloquence that is hip-hop lyrics has finally made
it to alt.magic.*

taken from "Adventures of Anybody?"

Bernie? Is that you?

I call on the power of Bernard to drive the evil eScrew from this
newsgroup!

now that's funny... FUUUUCCCKKKKKK!

So, what the fuck ! :)

be

do

oh darn drat & gardangblangit i thought you were joking. I fucking read
again and . . . Do You Need A Hug? OR MAYBE SOME GELLO PIE?
Lime-Plantain Flavor, Perhaps??? SQUISHY MUSHY! no.... really... ...
... SPLAT!

he is or rather was sollog's alter ego. There is no "group" only
similar minded followers that are to lazy to type up their own babble.
Escrew recently lost his job and decided to flush his savings in drugs
and live the rest of his life as a bum. He buried his drugs and left
markers and a map but his map was so poorly drawn it was completely
useless and his markers were so crudely made that they washed away in
the rain. If you ever see him on the streets, give him some money.
He'll refuse it but just stuff it in his pocket and pat him on the
back. He'll appreciate your kindness later.

I cannot get past the first paragraph of this post. Sorry for saying
so, but something ain't right in your world if this is your own
creation.

I've seen this eScrew message on every newsgroup to which I subscribe.
What's the story? Where are the flamers? Help!

How about if eScrew can just eFuckoff....

Oh, Now that I read it closer, there was 1 whole sentance without the F word. Ozzie would never let that be LOL

What is the point in posting an entire forum thread's contents? Are you attempting the same deception again?

Could you knock that down to a few lines.

Bump

no problema, you have a fucking Escrew loose somebody ~trinity~ ought to ban an idiot like this

YEAH, JUST TAKE THAT STUPID ASCEW OUT OF IT, IF YOU CAN´T READ IT THEN, GO TO, www.fromthewilderness.com Mike Ruppert has it all layed out in a book called, The Rubicon. Take your shit and go flush it down the toilet. DILL-WADD.

Are you sure, I have already started working in the code.

Is it funny?

Finally, the Truth.... or maybe not.

symbols are a value,in binary terms in which our brains function

I made an ESCROW with myself to ESCHEW this ESCREW...! Peace, Love and Light.

Thats screwy...wow

I´m sorry, I wasn´t listening. Could you repeat that?

eScrew vandal * Copying text from www.escrew.com to many different page titles, from a wide range of different IP addresses.

* (220.99.118.172 | talk | contributions) is doing nonsense cut and paste into random existing and new articles. --fvw* 12:21, 2004 Dec 24 (UTC) has been warned, and is now blocked for 24h. dab watch escrew. User:80.200.243.153 seems to be the same guy, and I have blocked him for 24h, too. the two IPs are not geographically close, and this may turn out to be a comlicated case (trojaned proxies?)) dab () 12:37, 24 Dec 2004 (UTC) add User:213.191.118.14. almost certainly a kid with a collection of trojaned boxes all over the place. I feel uncomfortable with speed-blocking so many IP's but it seems to be the thing to do at the moment. dab () 12:40, 24 Dec 2004 (UTC) more: User:24.73.149.165 dab 12:45, 24 Dec 2004 (UTC)

Following up some of the links, I came across this post [madpenguin.org] (scroll to the 7th post on that page, by 'madadmin'.) The administrator of that forum is claiming that, based on their server logs, they have reason to believe that the person responsible for the attacks may be the same person who's recently posted a message to comp.lang.php that's titled 'eScrew OWNS YO!!!'. (See the posting for more details.) From further posts, it looks as if the association has been made by looking at where the worms are coneverging. Can anyone

who's currently dealing with this elaborate?

Just say ´no´ to drugs.

russians suck ass ESCREW SUCKS MY BALLS!!! strike's mom It's true!!!!! I love to suck balls!!!

EScrew World Factbook Entry: eScrew is eScrew. eScrew loves freedom. eScrew loves absolute freedom. eScrew does not respect system. eScrew does not respect power. eScrew laughs at those nations who seek money and power. eScrew seeks infinity. eScrew seeks different dimension of existence. eScrew is not of this world. eScrew is here to help seekers to realize freedom. eScrew has answer to all questions. eScrew is unity. eScrew is above politics. eScrew is above economy. eScrew is land of seekers and teachers of freedom and truth. UN Delegate: None. Founder: The Free Land of EScrew Location: eScrew

The Free Land of EScrew is a tiny, devout nation, notable for its complete lack of prisons. Its hard-working population of 6 million have some civil rights, but not too many, enjoy the freedom to spend their money however they like, to a point, and take part in free and open elections, although not too often. The government -- a sprawling, bureaucracy-choked morass -- devotes most of its attentions to Social Welfare, with areas such as Law & Order and Defence receiving almost no funds by comparison. The average income tax rate is 38%. Private enterprise is illegal, but for those in the know there is a slick and highly efficient black market in Uranium Mining. Elections have been outlawed. Crime is relatively low. EScrew's national animal is the eScrew, which frolics freely in the nation's many lush forests, and its currency is the eScrew. EScrew is ranked 62,957th in the world for Smartest Citizens.

eScrew trains one million Radical Zen masters of Spiritual Warfare.No political regime is safe. eScrew Zen will bring freedom to every single nation and region in the world. eScrew does not care if you're running a democracy or dictatorship. The nature of any government is the same. Government enslaves its people. Even if people really love their government they are still slaves because they don't know any better. That's where eScrew comes in. eScrew will destroy all government conditioning and mind control. eScrew will unite the world in a way UN could not even imagine. One true master like Buddha or Jesus can destroy the modern equivalent of Roman Empire. Imagine what one million Radical Zen masters can do...

eScrew burns UN flag during press-conference eScrew Radical Zen Master burned UN flag as a sign of protest against UN globalization policies. eScrew knows that UN is just a front for multinational corporations who want to destroy local laws and rape our mother nature. eScrew will destroy UN by exposing their lies and corporate propaganda. http://forums2.jolt.co.uk/showthread.php?t=384856

Zen From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. Radical "Zen" Some Zen masters use very controversial methods of education. Vandalism of Zen values and beliefs is very common if used in good faith for the benefit of student. Lin Chi Zen Master said if you meet Buddha kill Buddha. If you meet Patriarch kill Patriarch. Zen Master Seung Sahn says that in this life we must all kill three things, first we must kill parents. Second we must kill Buddha. And lastly, we must kill Seung Sahn. Note: since zen and Buddhism respect life, literal killing is not meant. What is meant is to kill slavish devotion to some one or some ideal that is held as a savior or salvation. To see a savior is to see the concept outside of one's self, when the concept needs to be integrated or rather the pre-existing integration needs to be rediscovered. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zen

[QUOTE=Zackaroth]~ Aimless tripps and falls on the button that unleashes 2 nukes conventily headed toward Escrew and those cult bastards.~ " Well. Im gonna go get a coffe now."[/QUOTE] BREAKING NEWS The Republic of Zackaroth just unleashed two powerful nukes aimed at the heart of Zen region. All Radical Zen Masters and students must immediately enter the state of Nirvana and absorb nuke energy into the Crown Chakra. Such event will be very beneficial for the energy body as well as to the spiritual development of all. Today Zackaroth's Archbishop concil declared war on Escrew because of recent events and to recent boredness. Zackaroths High Leader Had this to say: " I believe if we want christianty to spread threw out the world so we need to destory this satanis cult before ot grows. Of course this is all bullshit we needv the extra land." There you have folks. Zackaroth is going to war. The leader also said any nation helpinbg us will recive a a basket of furits and a free subscritioon to Cathloic girls monthly. http://forums2.jolt.co.uk/showthread.php?t=385068&highlight=escrew

These Hippies are trying to spread their sepratist ideas. Being profoundly stupid, they try and scare nations to abide by their evil ways. Its a disgrace to see a nation following a backward religion. They also persist in telling us these "Zer Masters" are power mind users. Could you see a man absorb a nuclear blast in his head? Not me. Even if there was the remote possibility he did, his brain would explode. They burn the UN flag and start raving that they will be the rulers of every nation on nation states. Is that possible? http://forums2.jolt.co.uk/showthread.php?t=385063&highlight=escrew

BREAKING NEWS: Telegram from The Republic of TAIU Radical Zen Masters take over The Republic of TAIU. Psychotic Dictatorship is destroyed. Freedom is realized.

eScrew BREAKING NEWS! Zen region Radical Spy inside the UN throws rotten eggs at UN secretary and delegates. Zen region Radical Spy later told reporters that UN is a joke and that UN made a big mistake by accepting application from several Zen nations. Radical Zen nations will keep vandalizing UN property and harass UN delegates. UN will be destroyed and there is nothing you can do about it! Reporters showed a shocking video of Zen region Radical Spy urinating on the floor during

UN session. He later explained that since UN already stinks no harm has been done!

eScrew News Report Radical Zen Masters which were slaughtered near the UN building caused massive chaos and panic when they simply walked inside the UN building. It was not clear how they were able to rise from the dead but several witnesses reported an explosion of light minutes before the incident took place. Radical Zen Masters spilled their blood on many UN delegates as a sign of protest against their slaughter. There are reports of missing Radical Zen Masters. Apparently, several hostile nations made a commitment to kill at least one Radical Zen Master by the end of the year.

President Carpenter of PIcaRDMPCia issues this statement: Clearly you do not understand the United Nations in any way. The UN is dedicated to upholding peace and tranquility throughout the world. We will oppose any military actions commited by EScrew.

Suddenly, Nergal appeared above the nation, his pale majesty and huge form easy dwarfing it, as his head reached up above the atmosphere. Standing on Jupiter, holding a bat, was Ereshkigal, Nergal's consort. She nodded to him, indicating she was ready. Nergal bent down and scooped the nation of EScrew up out of the Earth, packing the nation and it's people into a tight ball. Then, doing the normal wind-up of a pitcher in a baseball game, he launched the nation at Ereshkigal, hoping it would shoot past her. Much to his surprise, she swung and hit the nation dead-on, sending EScrew flying straight into the Andromeda Galaxy, where it landed in a black hole to be forever IGNORED. "Hmm. I'll be over at your place tonight to clean your bathroom," Nergal saiid, replacing the empty spot where EScrew once sat with unsettled land full of plants, wildlife, etc.

Collective consciousness of eScrew recorded that experience as a mystical expression of Zen while many Radical Zen Masters dismissed it as a creation of mind not worthy of attention and continued their training for the benefit of all.

Well why Don't you just tell everyone What the UN is doing and distroy them on a International level they may stell exist but they will lose there respect and don't worry zen masters in my nation can treach all they want but my people stand Behide The Imperial Soviet Council of Soviet leaders. just let the info go out. Emperor,Romakov:"That should be good." Secertary:"Yes that is good." Emperor,Romakov: "good send this to EScrew." Secertary: "Yes Sire." the Secertary was named Sam she was a hot female that was only 21 years of age the Emperor liked her vary much. Emperor,Romakov or his full name Alexander,Romakov he is only 31 and he is most respected offical in are nation he is a blond hair blue eyes he stands about 6.3" tall weights about 150 mostly mussle. Plz Don't PISS THIS NATION OFF OR SOME ZEN MASTERS WILL DIE!

Dictator Rabek Jeris was just about to announce his support and propose a new treaty that would supply eScrew with all the ethically

questionable weapons that it could ever need.... when he noticed that eScrew no longer exists. Too bad.

Imperial Soviet Council Just voted 100%/0% that the zen masters will be put in prison and will be charged with Being a spy and ether deported to EScrew or exacuted. If you see a zen master plz alert the military. there are 0 zen masters from are nation and zen masters frome your nation will stick out like well they will be vary easy to find and ...

Escrew needs to find out that there are some 40,000 nations in the U.N and they don't like to be annoyed by a little nation. Telegram me for further information.

Nuclear Missiles? 200 Billion Roasted Zen masters? Priceless

There should be a UN resolution not to let paranoid Zen Masters on NS. But that's just me.

The people of my nation will not be taken in by the tomfoolery of the "Zen Masters." I have many troops to spare in case your "Zen Masters" want to duke it out. And "Zen Masters" doesn't even deserve to be uppercase.

The Protectorate has dibs on any pens left behind when the zen masters are ousted from the premesis. Thank You.

EScrew you are not going to win with war or any other thing so plz stop before ether you start the zen masters ether get killed or you get war decleared on you ok how big is you military or what is your pop

Since when are you mister Fortune teller? Anywhoo, the UN is controlled by the nations, and nothing will happen that will cause the program to go corrupt, ok? It's just a game, and the UN is programed not to do anything out of the ordinary.

6 million VS 2.031 billion + anyone else who is going to have zen masters in there nation this is not a threat Oh and Bring it on Zen masters Vs. Stormtroppers and Sith that will be fun

Well, his population is 6 million. And 5% of 6 million is... 300,000. He has a military of less than 500,000.

My military 40,620,000 so don't piss us off plz for your good

"Are you sure the image they saw in the telescope was for real Yannick?" "Yes Markus, look for yourself, the proof is irrefutable.." as he looked into the eyepiece he let out a gasp.. "Good Lord EScrew has done it now and they have now drawn the ultimate wrath upon them, they have summoned.. the Death Star.." You could barely make it out through the telescope, but it was coming.. oh it was coming.

Dictator Rabek Jeris, seeing that the money and power was strongly against eScrew, shifts his offers to the nations seeking to destroy eScrew. Let the bidding begin on Rabek Jeris' stockpile of ethically

questionable weapons...

Escrew, are you a
mindless-smelly-hippie-with-more-lice-than-common-knowledge? Come on,
all this crap your writing makes you sound like a lunatic who just
injected heroine into his penis. Your Population: 7 million. Wow you
are powerful aren't you?**

MUst...reist....the urge....to
nuke...hand...getting....so....close....to...big....red...shiny......button

Careful, you may invoke the wrath of the zen and next thing you know we
will be reading some 'International Incident' that will surely be a
mess.

Ok everybody, the hippies are fried and ready! Who wants Hippie Bisque?
Hippie Hamburger? Hippie Ala Mingon? Hippie Stew? As a spy plane hovers
over Escrew territory they took pictures, pictures of burning hippies
and they're ever so disgusting bell-bottoms.

Its a waste of hippie thats what it is! Slaves - indeed rainbow dressed
disgustingly radical hippies - but none the less.......slaves!

Damn Zen Masters! I see the only way to kill these beings is to blow
there heads off! But that would mean a costly invasion which would only
have the point of hunting down Zen Masters

Can't stop laughing, it's just too funny! LMFAO!

My chakra needs alignment, perhaps I should call a zen master, no wait,
lets not do that..

Breaking news. Today Zackaroth's Archbishop concil declared war on
Escrew because of recent events and to recent boredness. Zackaroths
High Leader Had this to say: " I believe if we want christianty to
spread threw out the world so we need to destory this satanis cult
before ot grows. Of course this is all bullshit we needv the extra
land." There you have folks. Zackaroth is going to war. The leader also
said any nation helpinbg us will recive a a basket of furits and a free
subscritioon to Cathloic girls monthly.

We will send a monkey from one of our zoos. Now where's that fruit
basket?

A fruit basket has been sent.

We will help most definetaly. A large flock of pigeons has been sent.

The Empire of Risban shall send some scorpions to help.

The Confederacy of Lachenburg will aid you nation by sending thousands
of starve-craved Hampsters with golf clubs to defeat the forces of
Escrew.

The Dominion of Nordrreich wholeheartedly supports the actions of Zackaroth and military assistence is being considered. However, we may have significant trouble with the wayward former possession of Ashkenazia on our hands and be unable to help with effective military forces.

Please accept this gift from The Protectorate of Henrytopia. We would like to surrender the services of the Imperial Death Star. Our government had retained their services but no longer finds it necessary as we moved the entire nation into another zen-less dimension which is quite good may I add. We have secured and paid for IGNORE aerial bombardment from the Imperials for a small fee as they were also annoyed by the foolish acts of said zen masters. We have a contract to allow the use of the Death Star and the Imperial Armies as well for a retainer of one dollar if you find it necessary, we left two dollars on the counter if you need it.. keep the change. The Protectorate.

To all Zackaroth forces: Stand down immedeatly. Lay down your weapons, and you will be let off unharmed. Our fleets are on stand by to begin to move in if you should ignore this. If you are willing to disregard this, I will have no choice but to declare war. I repeat, stand down. From: Shogun Himura Kenshin -Shogun of Pschycotic Pschycos- _Pilot of the ZGMF-10A Freedom-

" You do realize he declared war on everybody so its our right yo declare war back. Cmon join us. The fruit is aswome.

Zackaroth, we have called upon our Moronic Legion of the Hilltops to assist you in this endeavor. They are fierce warriors, devout to their commander.. and quite stupid so they should fare very well against any moronic defense thrown at them. We have dispatched their commander, the babbling organ grinder's monkey to your nation for further instructions. Please feel free to use this elite guard against the ScrewE... I mean EScrew maniacs.

Slinao will send 10,000 plague infested rats, each contained in an airtight container and been trained to sniff out the EScrew population of Zen Radicals. Each rat also has a small firebomb attached to it in case the Zen try to cleanse the rat and use it to their benifit. Where is my fruit at?

We have sent a group of bounty hunters to hunt down the Zen master and destroy the nation of escrew since the nation seems to be immune to nuclear blasts

The HDC seems to have amassed a large amount of soiled jock straps and we have incorporated them into a conventional bomb delivery system for your air force. They are en route to you as we speak.

La Habana sends a plague of rabid dogs to attack eScrew

The nation of New Frussia has sent sabotuers in and has planted many "dirty bombs" all over your foes nation. SEND THE FRUIT!....and the porn....

Urukku will offer thousands of our latest PDS-2000 (Pants Dispersal System) bombs. Each PDS-2000 drops 2000 pairs of ultra-short-shorts. These come in a variety of hideous shades of pink. While we can't guarantee they'll kill anybody, they'll certainly make the enemy look silly.

To: Glorious Leader, Nation of Zackaroth From: Emporer Shaz Bot, The Holy Empire of Shazbotdom The Holy Empire of Shazbotdom will send 1 Pot Bellied Pig.....his name's Rooster. He eats a lot and shits a lot, he will make their nation a smuldering shit pile!!

Fruit and porn has been sent. The overweight cows has been sent to attk Escrew. We believe the attk will occur withen the 3rd month of 2006 when they finally get there.

The Republic of Siap is sending the Rabbit from Monty Python and the Holy Grail. We want our fruit basket!!! We'll also throw in the dead parrot from the dead parrot sketch (No, he's just resting)

FRuit have been sent even though tragically the dead parrot was resting and fell to his death when we threw him off a building to bomb Escrew. CErmonys are being held.

The Imperial Republic of Hataria will Send Zackaroth 20 Newly Built Nehernia Class Battleships to help in his war against EScrew.

~Electronic Communication to Zackaroth~ Despite us having no record of such a nation existing, I am sending 400 schoolgirls armed with slingshots. They are quite deadly for some reason. -Dictator Enigma ~End Communication~

The Federation is willing to do its share by supplying the attacking forces with 500 slightly rotten cobs of corn. Good luck, and godspeed.

Having once met your delegation somewhere at an event which we can't really remember, a senior ministry official noted the Zackaroth group was particularly festive. With this in mind our nation has decided to assist you in this matter. We will be sending 50,000 pounds of Swiss Cheese, 50 cases of Cool Whip dressing, 153 gallons of unpasturized goat milk, 254 pounds of assorted christmas candy leftovers, and 1284 tubs of Cottage Cheese. Feed your armies well. Good luck in your endeavor. J. Wales Prime Minister Holy Republic of Hawdawg

THank you but for some reason a few nights after we put them in the men's barracks they were all perganant. Care to explain this foul treachery!!!!!

Personally, I would have thought it obvious that you don't put them in the men's barracks. OOC: Unless you nation is Sarkarasetans, they can't get pregnant. Humans and Sarkarasetans are not genetically similar enough to breed. While I enjoyed the laugh, I just wanted to point this out.

Yeah well. Your a big stupid head and your nation is a pile poop. Ok. Now go one and cry to your mommy or somthing. OOC: Could of told they

were of a diffrent race

Today The Zackaroth army got to try its POKING STICK OF DOOM!!! It is a big wodden stick held by 3 men that poke the enemey into defeat.

I send the last gay in the village, (my lil bro) he'll get them all to submit also i'm sending you a truck load of crazed munchkins (they like pie..... lot's of pie) be warned they are very very very annoying do NOT return to sender!!!!! Great Dictator Of PHGS Weyr p.s don't like oranges but pineapple is good send lots. p.p.s the munchkins are best used droped from a very great hight and left for dead.

Umm, Zackaroth, I would advise you not point that Poking Stick of Doom at Dictator Enigma.. you thought the attck by the Real Anime Liberation Movement was bad.

I gleefully send myself, armed with my trusty dual spear(basically a staff with serrated spear points on both ends) and clothed in mythril chain mail. EScrew is doomed. -President Carpenter These Hippies are trying to spread their sepratist ideas. Being profoundly stupid, they try and scare nations to abide by their evil ways. Its a disgrace to see a nation following a backward religion. They also persist in telling us these "Zer Masters" are power mind users. Could you see a man absorb a nuclear blast in his head? Not me. Even if there was the remote possibility he did, his brain would explode. They burn the UN flag and start raving that they will be the rulers of every nation on nation states. Is that possible?

I have found EScrew to be remarkably irritating; if I were a warlike dictator instead of an elected President, I'd probably declare war on you right now and wipe you out, just so you'd be quiet. As it is...go play in the sandbox and leave us real nations alone. -President Carpenter

Hey, someone find LU BU, and get him over here. Psst, Zen Master person, there is this dictator who... ummm.... doesn't like you, maybe you should infiltrate his country. *Snickers*

On behalf of the Protectorate I would like to submit the following statement: The Protectorate has been involved in rescue efforts and assisting with humanitarian aide thanks to radical terrorist groups with an agenda such as EScrew. These terrorists have left the nation of PIcaRDMPCia a smoldering wreck. They have gone to the extreme of bombing the humanitarian aide workers while they gathered to eat! The RALM terrorists then set off a large explosive in the nation of Winters End in retaliation for their assistance and call to others to condemn the actions of their radical movement. I would sincerely hope that the United Nations does not accept this nation of self-proclaimed terrorists into the union and pollute our membership with their delegation. Do we really want or need a delegate from a terrorist organization represented in the United Nations? I furthermore call for censure by this body of EScrew and any of its agents for the mere fact

that their claims are ridiculous and a waste of bandwith. I am sure that I have the support of many of my esteemed colleagues when I make this declaration. Henry Brash VIII

PIcaRDMPCia is in full favor of this declaration, especially because of the rampant destruction we have recently experienced in our country. -President Carpenter

BREAKING NEWS! UN delegate of PIcaRDMPCia got hit with a basket of red paint outside of UN building. Developing... Nick Adkins, PIcaRDMPCia's official delegate to the UN, frowned at his ruined suit. "What moron threw that at me?" he asked everyone nearby. They all shrugged and kept walking. He snorted in disgust. "Damn. Oh well...at least my hotel is only a couple blocks away; I'll walk it." And so he started for the Excelsior Hotel, ignoring the odd looks he receieved from passers-by.

YOU FOOL!! SEE WHAT WE DID WITH OUR CRIME AND SENT IT TO YOURS!! GIVE UP NOW OR FACE MORE CRIMES GROUPS. Dont make us send the gay crime groups. There reallly really bad. I mean like so bad....* goes of rambling*

NEWS ANCHOR #1: Breaking news! NEWS ANCHOR #2: What is it? NEWS ANCHOR #1: It's about eScrew! NEWS ANCHOR #2: Go on... NEWS ANCHOR #1: Nobody cares because they godmod! NEWS ANCHOR #2: The whole world knows that by now. Didn't you get the memo?

All kidding aside, whatever happened to escrew? Bitch, Oddity, Patrix, and the others, why is escrew.com so weird, and what in gods name is strike doing. BTW, this is Pale Horse, back from the oooooollllldddd escrew days...

long time no see. Oddity posts here every so often, patrix posts here every so often, I post here too often.

Hmmmm.....Pale horse has a nice av...nothing like naughty latin beetchezzzzzzzzzzzz Oh and by the way escrew DOES SUCK BALLS and Osama tongues camel asses

hmmm I found that strike has quoted me on his site... by the way victor, good job in getting that rambiling moras or psudo philosophical BS back on the net. None the less... take my quote off or I'll file a law suit you punk ass nigger. Oh and budda would of pimp slaped you for missing the point. I ment the point of zen not the one in your ass, you chode sucking ass licking, dog humping, sister banging, ball sniffing, hemeroid munching, shit eating, pinko commie shit bag. fuck you victor, the smartest thing to ever come out of your mouth was zem's dick.

BWAHAHAHAHAHA

521. have real good radio today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 09:16:41 UTC
From: Mike Hunt <matchbaux@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZJxZd.18911$Pz7.2962@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197596

today I get from man good radio has 44 channels and ant too - my boss hook it for me and works best good weth many peoples i can here - Cobra SSB for $230 and has roger beep - way fun!!!!!!
how can get i lisense for to put raven gun in my levis in NY? may be i just no say and be ok?

522. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 11:46:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050315064651.971$7E@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197597

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:e1cc31hvkp0olfi6ipm61quv3jdoei3niq@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 14 Mar 2005 18:06:39 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
> <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> > > >news:i68b31l7eit74dg4bbv80rafjve4ng74qo@4ax.com...
> > > >> On 14 Mar 2005 14:15:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> >> >> > Vinnie S.
> > > >> >> >> >
> > > >> >> >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
> > > >> >> >>
> > > >> >> >Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> Why, should I change it?
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> Vinnie S.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
> > > >> >real name, not a made up one.
> > > >>
> > > >> I will send you my call sign when I get it.
> > > >>
> > > >> Vinnie S.
> > > >
> > > >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see somebody
> > > >else have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a change.
> > >
> > >
> > > I never looked you up. They have a count there?
> > >
> > > Vinnie S.
> >
> Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to my
> postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more people just
> sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.
>
18,000?! Are you the record holder?

523. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 12:15:08 UTC
From: FlyinBrianSR71@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27059-4236D1CC-43@storefull-3114.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197598

I just got my Turner M+3 in the mail from Ebay and it sounds Great !!!!
Brian - Las Vegas

524. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 12:30:12 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <h9ld31te47q6gunvsfk4tgthau2k2os0of@4ax.com>
Source record: 197599

On 15 Mar 2005 11:46:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> > >
>> > >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see somebody
>> > >else have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a change.
>> >
>> >
>> > I never looked you up. They have a count there?
>> >
>> > Vinnie S.
>>
>> Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to my
>> postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more people just
>> sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.
>>
>18,000?! Are you the record holder?

He wrong already. It's more than 18,000 !!!!!!!

I think he has been on TV !

Vinnie S.

525. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 12:57:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050315075708.160$qa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197600

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 15 Mar 2005 11:46:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> > >
> >> > >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see
> >> > >somebody else have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a
> >> > >change.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > I never looked you up. They have a count there?
> >> >
> >> > Vinnie S.
> >>
> >> Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to my
> >> postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more people just
> >> sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.
> >>
> >18,000?! Are you the record holder?
>
> He wrong already. It's more than 18,000 !!!!!!!
>
> I think he has been on TV !
>
Honestly, how the hell do you get 18,000 plus lookups? I don't buy
it's a reult of posting in rec.radio.cb.

Fugly felon n8wwm has 4000..of course he's ashamed of his call (rightly so)
so he doen't include it in his posts.

KC8QJP has 548 now.

526. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 12:59:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050315075925.381$GV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197601

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> news:zgePd.30562$xt.15155@fed1read07...
> > 30m will do well also in the off years but it is a CW and data mode
> > only.
>
> You're also limited to 200 watts max on that band too, regardless of
> license class or mode.
>
Is that what you run there Lee?

527. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 13:01:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050315080126.250$pb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197602

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you want
> > to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my trip
> > planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
> > barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
> >
> > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
>
> Where are you staying at? I'm going with a group that will be staying at
> the university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several ways is
> costing each of us $28 each for two nights. We get a kitchen, stove, etc.
> with only two people per room.
>
Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with you?

528. FA: MULLARD VALVE TUBE & SEMICONDUCTOR GUIDE BOOK 1961 MINT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 14:55:56 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <fPCZd.30853$wi7.12373@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197604
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=50594&item=5759550846&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

529. FA: 18 VINTAGE RAYTHEON 2N404 TRANSISTORS marked USAF NEAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 14:56:53 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <8QCZd.30854$pJ7.27912@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197603
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4666&item=3880916546&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

530. FA: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 15:02:25 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <kVCZd.30859$uJ7.13835@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197606
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5759550863&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

531. FA: COLLINS R-390A FINAL I.F. UNIT w/ALL 4 COLLINS FILTERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 15:03:25 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <gWCZd.30860$MF7.25079@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197605
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.military.collecting,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5759550872&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

532. FA: $10>ANTENNA SPECIALIST MR306 CB ANTENNA M'CYLE-MARINE-BOAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 15:04:34 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lXCZd.30861$ZL7.22321@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197607
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48692&item=5759550889&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

533. Help on Looser-Take-All / Winner-Take-All circuit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 15:55:19 UTC
From: etantonio@gmail.com
Message-ID: <467ddbce.0503150755.44e0b37f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197608

Good morning,
a friend of mine have inserted this post on a Forum I created on my site
www.etantonio.it/en , this is the question :

"Someone knows something about LTA/WTA circuits?
I mean the best approach to the problem,
the best topology and all that can be usefull
to design an accurate and high-speed LTA?"

I don't know the answer, if you know it please post it just following this link:

http://www.etantonio.it/Forum/topic.asp?TOPIC_ID=15

Many Thanks,

Eng. Antonio D'Ottavio
etantonio@etantonio.it
www.etantonio.it/en/

534. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 16:47:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24265-423711A6-387@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197609

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you want
to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my trip
planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.

>Where are you staying at? I'm going with a

>group that will be staying at the university

>dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several

>ways is costing each of us $28 each for two

>nights. We get a kitchen, stove, etc. with only

>two people per room.

Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with you?

_
Dogie is getting his lower lip scraped right about now. He's been
reprimanded. It's amazing what technology can do. More fun in the future
with his little friend.

535. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 17:14:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050315121408.235$5G@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197610

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you want
> to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my trip
> planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
> barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
> Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
>
> >Where are you staying at? I'm going with a
>
> >group that will be staying at the university
>
> >dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several
>
> >ways is costing each of us $28 each for two
>
> >nights. We get a kitchen, stove, etc. with only
>
> >two people per room.
>
> Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with you?
> _
> Dogie is getting his lower lip scraped right about now. He's been
> reprimanded. It's amazing what technology can do. More fun in the future
> with his little friend.
>
I can only hope. :D

536. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 20:02:14 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110916934.357439.121160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197611

Steveo wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> > From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you
want
> > to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like my
trip
> > planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood and a
> > barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
> > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
> >
> > >Where are you staying at? I'm going with a
> >
> > >group that will be staying at the university
> >
> > >dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several
> >
> > >ways is costing each of us $28 each for two
> >
> > >nights. We get a kitchen, stove, etc. with only
> >
> > >two people per room.
> >
> > Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with
you?
> > _
> > Dogie is getting his lower lip scraped right about now. He's been
> > reprimanded. It's amazing what technology can do. More fun in the
future
> > with his little friend.
> >
> I can only hope. :D

Hey mopeychicken, when you pay the entrance fee at the Hamvention gate
ask them for the 10 meter freebander discount LOL.

537. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 20:03:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <kpfe31dbqivhp57l46qi7kj22h4mlnh6ii@4ax.com>
Source record: 197612

On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 22:31:22 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Mar 2005 05:16:23 -0800, FlyinBrianSR71@webtv.net (Brian Bower)
>wrote:
>
>>Turner M+3 Vs Astatic D104 Base Microphones - which is better.
>
>
>Turner. It's funny. When I got the Turner in the early 1980's, most people swore
>by the D104. From who I talked to today, it's seems they they like the Turner
>better. Go figure.
>

It all depends. Most of it according to personal taste.

If you want that loud boomy and somewhat tinny sound, the D-104 was
tops. If you wanted a little more low end, or you ran SSB a bit more,
the Turner +3 was a good choice. The ultimate performer on SSB was the
Turner Super Sidekick.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

538. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 20:04:31 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110917071.557846.60050@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197613

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > On 14 Mar 2005 01:55:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > >> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> > >> >
> > >> > I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech
> > >> > schooling helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I
got
> > >> > the General !
> > >> >
> > >> > Now all I need is equipment !
> > >> >
> > >> > Vinnie S.
> > >> >
> > >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
> > >>
> > >Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
> >
> > Why, should I change it?
> >
> > Vinnie S.
> >
> Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
> real name, not a made up one.

He didnt follow rules as a freebander, why should he follow them as a
ham?

539. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-15 20:06:57 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110917217.096240.245410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197614

Steveo wrote:
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> > news:zgePd.30562$xt.15155@fed1read07...
> > > 30m will do well also in the off years but it is a CW and data
mode
> > > only.
> > >
> > > You're also limited to 200 watts max on that band too, regardless
of
> > > license class or mode.
> > >
> > Is that what you run there Lee?

You should know everything about lees station steve. didn't you break
into the mans house while he was away?

540. :: :: :: :: *post 1005* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 01:34:55 UTC
From: ron010537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110936895.311133.72950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197615

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

541. Re: Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 03:10:08 UTC
From: Joe <ozark333@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110942608.736848.275300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197617

Twist...there's a few Primes out there. I think you heard 355 Prime in Chicago. I'll ask him tonight. Drop me an email.

542. :: :: :: :: *post 1005* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 03:16:18 UTC
From: ron010537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110942978.054226.213820@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197616

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

543. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 05:20:31 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110950430.979523.9290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197618

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

544. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 07:01:56 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110956516.141395.305130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197619

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

545. New CB Message Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 08:09:25 UTC
From: Joseph <jerry_eakle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110960565.273005.319650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197620

Hi, there is a new CB Message Board for anyone to post to.
I created it because CB Radio is one of my hobbies.
I am using a legal HH Radio so I can't talk very far.
You can talk about anything CB related except for Modifications.
No Flaming aloud either.
Please goto the site and post a message and I have a poll on the
message board too.
http://www.jerryeakle.com/forum/viewforum.php?f=4

Thanks,

Joseph

546. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 08:43:19 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110962599.191100.12320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197621

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

547. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 10:24:44 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110968684.884137.202160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197622

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

548. :: :: :: :: *post 1007* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 12:06:11 UTC
From: Ron038537@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110974771.628173.137090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197623

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

549. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 12:12:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050316071213.204$4O@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197624

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:u3gc31l1qpkv2u6l4i6rhnvod4abs95m05@4ax.com:
>
> >
> > Wow !!!!!
> >
> > I am hesitant ti post it here. I will not be on the air for a couple
> > months. If I post it, some asshole forger or criminal that resides on
> > this NG might use it on the air. And I might not even know about it.
> > If you know what I mean.
>
> Your buddy steveo is the only one in this group to forge or criminaly use
> a hams callsign on the freeband
>
Bullshit. Get off my leg coward.

550. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 12:14:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050316071428.899$Gn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197625

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050314091553.403
> $HB@newsreader.com:
>
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 14 Mar 2005 01:55:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech
> >> >> > schooling helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got
> >> >> > the General !
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Now all I need is equipment !
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Vinnie S.
> >> >> >
> >> >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
> >> >>
> >> >Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
> >>
> >> Why, should I change it?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> > Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
> > real name, not a made up one.
>
> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
>
You shouldn't use your dads call here then, wa3moj jr. Take
me up on my invitation and call me that to my face, geo.

551. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 14:21:54 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <6iXZd.11114$C47.9737@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197626

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050316071428.899$Gn@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
>>
> You shouldn't use your dads call here then, wa3moj jr. Take
> me up on my invitation and call me that to my face, geo.

LOL!!!!

"Good Job Brenda Ann ... i have also been a ham since 1978 code
neverinterested me at all i passed every element of the theory more than
once inhopes that one day i would get my speed up to 12 wpm ... well i never
didstudy anymore code and now thanxs to the fcc i am a general. and the
sameas you i know more electronic theory and amatuer operating principles
etcthan many extra, advanced and general class hams who have been able to
passthe code portion, for what it's worth.From: "Hans Von Gruber"
<hansv@home.com>Newsgroups: alt.law-enforcementSubject: FA: Stalker Dual K-A
Band RadarLines: 3X-Priority: 3X-MSMail-Priority: NormalX-Newsreader:
Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft
MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400Message-ID:
<o9fo6.142159$B6.33318514@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001
23:31:32 GMTNNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171X-Complaints-To:
abuse@home.netX-Trace: news1.rdc1.md.home.com 983662292 24.180.184.171 (Sat,
03 Mar 2001 15:31:32 PST)NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001 15:31:32
PSTOrganization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband
http://home.com/faster
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1219117557 LOL!!
He's admitted it a dozen time, now that everyone knows he'sWA3MOJ all he can
do is call people names. Landshark-- Real heroes are men who fall and
fail and are flawed, but win out in the end because they've stayed true to
their ideals and beliefs and commitments.

552. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 14:30:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050316093029.736$X6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197627

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050316071428.899$Gn@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
> >>
> > You shouldn't use your dads call here then, wa3moj jr. Take
> > me up on my invitation and call me that to my face, geo.
>
> LOL!!!!
>
> "Good Job Brenda Ann ... i have also been a ham since 1978 code
> neverinterested me at all i passed every element of the theory more than
> once inhopes that one day i would get my speed up to 12 wpm ... well i
> never didstudy anymore code and now thanxs to the fcc i am a general.
> and the sameas you i know more electronic theory and amatuer operating
> principles etcthan many extra, advanced and general class hams who have
> been able to passthe code portion, for what it's worth.From: "Hans Von
> Gruber" <hansv@home.com>Newsgroups: alt.law-enforcementSubject: FA:
> Stalker Dual K-A Band RadarLines: 3X-Priority: 3X-MSMail-Priority:
> NormalX-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400X-MimeOLE:
> Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400Message-ID:
> <o9fo6.142159$B6.33318514@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001
> 23:31:32 GMTNNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171X-Complaints-To:
> abuse@home.netX-Trace: news1.rdc1.md.home.com 983662292 24.180.184.171
> (Sat, 03 Mar 2001 15:31:32 PST)NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001
> 15:31:32 PSTOrganization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband
> http://home.com/faster
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1219117557 LOL!!
> He's admitted it a dozen time, now that everyone knows he'sWA3MOJ all he
> can do is call people names. Landshark-- Real heroes are men who
> fall and fail and are flawed, but win out in the end because they've
> stayed true to their ideals and beliefs and commitments.
>
DOH!! BAGGED and TAGGED!!!

Hi, Shark. Is "Hans Von Gruber" <hansv@home.com> another of WA3MOJ's sock puppets from back in the day? Or am I reading it wrong? <g>

553. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 14:37:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050316093733.786$mr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197628

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050314091553.403
> $HB@newsreader.com:
>
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 14 Mar 2005 01:55:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> > Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech
> >> >> > schooling helped. Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got
> >> >> > the General !
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Now all I need is equipment !
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Vinnie S.
> >> >> >
> >> >> Rock on, Enzo. Good job man.
> >> >>
> >> >Hey, will you still go by Vinnie after you're listed on qrzed?
> >>
> >> Why, should I change it?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> > Well, upstanding hams like wa3moj only post with their
> > real name, not a made up one.
>
> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
>
So your call is KB3BEJ. hehehe
```

554. Re: Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 17:04:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10283-4238671A-153@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197629

From: ozark333@hotmail.com (Joe)
>Twist...there's a few Primes out there. I think

>you heard 355 Prime in Chicago. I'll ask him

>tonight. Drop me an email.

10-4!

555. " BIG BUCKS" WITH ONLY $6.00 INVESTMENT "NO BULL"!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 17:48:06 UTC
From: bscruggins2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1110995285.989065.20260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197630

HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000!!!!!! READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!

I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, Yeah right, this must be a scam,but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it`s still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it`s still coming in rapidly. It`s certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (Print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It`s easy. It`s legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)

IMPORTANT: This is not a scam; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are followed exactly, you will receive extraordinary dividends.

PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions PERCISELY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it`s a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the

mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper; PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST. Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

Mailing Addresses

1. P. FREY, 4577 W. PONDS CIR, LITTLETON, CO 80123
2. E. DE LEON, 14014 Rosecrest, Houston,TX 77045
3. A. Lopez, 810 Ave.J, South Houston TX 77587
4. L. Pacheco, 812 Ave.J, South Houston, TX 77587
5. K. Bat, 9335 Alcona Street, Lanham, MD 20706
6. B. Scruggins, P.O. Box 845 Port Barre, LA 70577

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws.
Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again.

PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed,

six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you`re in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select `copy` from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer`s memory.

Step 2) Open a blank `notepad` file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the `edit` menu select `paste`. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.
Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you`ll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Just example you log on any search engine like, yahoo.com,google.com, altavista.com,excite.com then you search with this subject millionaire message board? or money making message
board? or employment message board? or money making discussions? or money making forum? or business message board? etc. You will find thousand & thousand message board. Click one by one then you will find the option post a new message.
Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You`re done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT`S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!

REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200
That`s it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.

Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now

those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "What if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet.

Remember: Play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

556. Re: Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 20:04:54 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111003494.865969.121580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197631

Twistedhed wrote:
> From: ozark333@hotmail.com (Joe)
> >Twist...there's a few Primes out there. I think
>
> >you heard 355 Prime in Chicago. I'll ask him
>
> >tonight. Drop me an email.
>
>
> 10-4!

Twistedhed I have that recording of you on 555 or at least someone who said they were twistedhed, will you qsl?

557. Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 22:11:35 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <vcGdnQn8bctvM6XfRVn-1w@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 197632

Anyone have a bicycle set up with a mobile cb? I'm trying to equip our bike club with a reliable means of communications.
We had thought about GMRS but the FCC said we couldn't use it because it was only for families. I don't think FM
would work because we are riding in a lot valleys and hills.

I'm particularly interested in antenna mounting, brand and type of antennas. I was think of Cobra 19's due to their size, what do you think?

Thanks,

Doug

558. REPOST: Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 22:22:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <reposted.20050316175503.285$wd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197557

"Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> Anyone have a bicycle set up with a mobile cb? I'm trying to equip our
> bike club with a reliable means of communications.
> We had thought about GMRS but the FCC said we couldn't use it because it
> was only for families. I don't think FM
> would work because we are riding in a lot valleys and hills.
>
> I'm particularly interested in antenna mounting, brand and type of
> antennas. I was think of Cobra 19's due to their size, what do you think?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Doug
>
Your bad heart and fish hook spine won't allow you to ride a bike. Spend
the money on your kids for a change, fugly fuckhead.

Oh, and tell crisco cathy she did a nice job on the qrz forums. lmao!

http://tinyurl.com/4o3m6

559. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 22:22:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050316172239.223$OQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197633

"Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> Anyone have a bicycle set up with a mobile cb? I'm trying to equip our
> bike club with a reliable means of communications.
> We had thought about GMRS but the FCC said we couldn't use it because it
> was only for families. I don't think FM
> would work because we are riding in a lot valleys and hills.
>
> I'm particularly interested in antenna mounting, brand and type of
> antennas. I was think of Cobra 19's due to their size, what do you think?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Doug
>
Your bad heart and fish hook spine won't allow you to ride a bike. Spend
the money on your kids for a change, fugly fuckhead.

Oh, and tell crisco cathy she did a nice job on the qrz forums. lmao!

http://tinyurl.com/4o3m6

560. REPOST: Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 22:53:02 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <reposted.7L-dnSBlAM31JKXfRVnysA@pipex.net>
Source record: 197559

I don't know who you are, boy, but I'd pay a lot to find out. Little coward must
be about 11-years old.

Doug

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050316172239.223$OQ@newsreader.com...
> "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> > Anyone have a bicycle set up with a mobile cb? I'm trying to equip our
> > bike club with a reliable means of communications.
> > We had thought about GMRS but the FCC said we couldn't use it because it
> > was only for families. I don't think FM
> > would work because we are riding in a lot valleys and hills.
> >
> > I'm particularly interested in antenna mounting, brand and type of
> > antennas. I was think of Cobra 19's due to their size, what do you
think?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Doug
> >
> Your bad heart and fish hook spine won't allow you to ride a bike. Spend
> the money on your kids for a change, fugly fuckhead.
>
> Oh, and tell crisco cathy she did a nice job on the qrz forums. lmao!
>
> http://tinyurl.com/4o3m6

561. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 22:53:02 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <7-2dnYJXI48wJaXfRVn-uQ@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 197634

I don't know who you are, boy, but I'd pay a lot to find out. Little coward must
be about 11-years old.

Doug

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050316172239.223$OQ@newsreader.com...
> "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> > Anyone have a bicycle set up with a mobile cb? I'm trying to equip our
> > bike club with a reliable means of communications.
> > We had thought about GMRS but the FCC said we couldn't use it because it
> > was only for families. I don't think FM
> > would work because we are riding in a lot valleys and hills.
> >
> > I'm particularly interested in antenna mounting, brand and type of
> > antennas. I was think of Cobra 19's due to their size, what do you
think?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Doug
> >
> Your bad heart and fish hook spine won't allow you to ride a bike. Spend
> the money on your kids for a change, fugly fuckhead.
>
> Oh, and tell crisco cathy she did a nice job on the qrz forums. lmao!
>
> http://tinyurl.com/4o3m6

562. REPOST: Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 22:55:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <reposted.312_d.18686$dD1.16108@fe12.lga>
Source record: 197558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM "Doug Adair" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> I don't know who you are, boy, but I'd pay a lot to find out. Little
> coward must
> be about 11-years old.
>
> Doug
>
Have your mental illness meds robbed you of your memory now dogie?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

>

> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050316172239.223$OQ@newsreader.com...
> > "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> > > Anyone have a bicycle set up with a mobile cb? I'm trying to equip
> > > our bike club with a reliable means of communications.
> > > We had thought about GMRS but the FCC said we couldn't use it because
> > > it was only for families. I don't think FM
> > > would work because we are riding in a lot valleys and hills.
> > >
> > > I'm particularly interested in antenna mounting, brand and type of
> > > antennas. I was think of Cobra 19's due to their size, what do you
> think?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Doug
> > >
> > Your bad heart and fish hook spine won't allow you to ride a bike.
> > Spend the money on your kids for a change, fugly fuckhead.
> >
> > Oh, and tell crisco cathy she did a nice job on the qrz forums. lmao!
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/4o3m6

563. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 22:55:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050316175503.285$wd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM "Doug Adair" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> I don't know who you are, boy, but I'd pay a lot to find out. Little
> coward must
> be about 11-years old.
>
> Doug
>
Have your mental illness meds robbed you of your memory now dogie?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

>

> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050316172239.223$OQ@newsreader.com...
> > "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> > > Anyone have a bicycle set up with a mobile cb? I'm trying to equip
> > > our bike club with a reliable means of communications.
> > > We had thought about GMRS but the FCC said we couldn't use it because
> > > it was only for families. I don't think FM
> > > would work because we are riding in a lot valleys and hills.
> > >
> > > I'm particularly interested in antenna mounting, brand and type of
> > > antennas. I was think of Cobra 19's due to their size, what do you
> think?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Doug
> > >
> > Your bad heart and fish hook spine won't allow you to ride a bike.
> > Spend the money on your kids for a change, fugly fuckhead.
> >
> > Oh, and tell crisco cathy she did a nice job on the qrz forums. lmao!
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/4o3m6

564. New taxes ?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 22:57:26 UTC
From: grigri@tiscali.fr
Message-ID: <861b8be1.0503161457.6a5b2dd3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197636

Look at this news !!??

http://www.adf-tank.com/adftank/sites/fo/redir.php?c=1&g=1&m=1&u=57&l=fr_FR&k=1makQDCi5lyCW6PhQ3UXIznEQsodh

565. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 23:07:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050316180703.461$7f@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197637

"Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> I don't know who you are, boy, but I'd pay a lot to find out.
>
No need to pay. I'm Steve in Medina Ohio. You can pay me if you want.
>
> Little
> coward must
> be about 11-years old.
>
> Doug
>
My bad, looks like you have the same first name and isp as doug
adair.(n8wwm)The cowardly felon repeater jammer.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Perhaps an apology is in order. Sorry.
>

566. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 23:41:49 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <npCdnXYaqLCBWaXfRVn-iw@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 197638

No problem, I can forget it. Now answer my question about the bike's and
cb's.
Our first ride is April 7th and we need to get ready.

Doug

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050316180703.461$7f@newsreader.com...
> "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> > I don't know who you are, boy, but I'd pay a lot to find out.
> >
> No need to pay. I'm Steve in Medina Ohio. You can pay me if you want.
> >
> > Little
> > coward must
> > be about 11-years old.
> >
> > Doug
> >
> My bad, looks like you have the same first name and isp as doug
> adair.(n8wwm)The cowardly felon repeater jammer.
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>
> Perhaps an apology is in order. Sorry.
> >

567. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-16 23:53:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050316185316.319$lk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197639

"Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> No problem, I can forget it. Now answer my question about the bike's and
> cb's.
> Our first ride is April 7th and we need to get ready.
>
> Doug
>
Helmets or no? They do make those motorcycle cb's, but I think the
headphone/mic go in your helmet, no?

FRS can only be used by family and not bike clubs? (non-withstanding
hills being in your way more with those) They would be quieter.

568. Re: New CB Message Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 01:20:11 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8028-4238DB4B-690@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197640

From: "Joseph" <jerry_ea...@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: New CB Message Board
Date: 16 Mar 2005 00:09:25 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <1110960565.273005.319650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 172.192.137.117
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110960569 4727 127.0.0.1 (16 Mar 2005
08:09:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 Mar 2005 08:09:29 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=172.192.137.117;
   posting-account=RHJMSg0AAAAjBjI5g_2vFAVi_TRkaKHn

>Hi, there is a new CB Message Board for

>anyone to post to.

>I created it because CB Radio is one of my

>hobbies.

>I am using a legal HH Radio so I can't talk

>very far.

>You can talk about anything CB related except
>for Modifications.

You were doing well until you mentioned censorship. You are posting to a
world wide forum and modifications are certainly a part of cb radio,
like it or not. Perhaps you can try Afghanistan or Iraq with your
censorship.

>No Flaming aloud either.

In other words, no talking about your personal biases regarding
modifications -and-
no criticizing of the moderator. Yes, you should try an oppressive
country for your group, but not America.
You are welcome to do a google search on "new groups" that have been

attempted here. The only ones still alive and going strong are
the ones that have been around for years.

>Please goto the site and post a message and I
>have a poll on the

>message board too.

http://www.jerryeakle.com/forum/viewforum.php?f=4

>Thanks,

>Joseph

Surely, surely.

569. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 01:39:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2vnh31t1tde670tqocvm3c60v6atsraroh@4ax.com>
Source record: 197641

On Wed, 16 Mar 2005 14:21:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20050316071428.899$Gn@newsreader.com...
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote:
>>> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
>>>
>> You shouldn't use your dads call here then, wa3moj jr. Take
>> me up on my invitation and call me that to my face, geo.
>
> LOL!!!!
>
>"Good Job Brenda Ann ... i have also been a ham since 1978 code
>neverinterested me at all i passed every element of the theory more than
>once inhopes that one day i would get my speed up to 12 wpm ... well i never
>didstudy anymore code and now thanxs to the fcc i am a general. and the
>sameas you i know more electronic theory and amatuer operating principles
>etcthan many extra, advanced and general class hams who have been able to
>passthe code portion, for what it's worth.From: "Hans Von Gruber"
><hansv@home.com>Newsgroups: alt.law-enforcementSubject: FA: Stalker Dual K-A
>Band RadarLines: 3X-Priority: 3X-MSMail-Priority: NormalX-Newsreader:
>Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft
>MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400Message-ID:
><o9fo6.142159$B6.33318514@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001
>23:31:32 GMTNNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171X-Complaints-To:
>abuse@home.netX-Trace: news1.rdc1.md.home.com 983662292 24.180.184.171 (Sat,
>03 Mar 2001 15:31:32 PST)NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001 15:31:32
>PSTOrganization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband
>http://home.com/faster
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1219117557 LOL!!
>He's admitted it a dozen time, now that everyone knows he'sWA3MOJ all he can
>do is call people names. Landshark-- Real heroes are men who fall and
>fail and are flawed, but win out in the end because they've stayed true to
>their ideals and beliefs and commitments.
>

Oh, my !!!!!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

570. :: :: :: :: *post 1009* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 02:03:14 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111024994.958178.80630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197642

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

571. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 03:16:50 UTC
From: Doug Davis <dougd@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <KaOdnTJ38szha6XfRVn-tQ@buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 197643

I knew FRS was family radio service, but I thought GMRS, General Mobile Radio Service was just for
people period but the FCC said you had to be a blood relative to qualify for the family. Plus the license
fee went up to $80.00.

dd

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:20050316185316.319$Ik@newsreader.com...
> "Doug Davis" <dougd@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
> > No problem, I can forget it. Now answer my question about the bike's and
> > cb's.
> > Our first ride is April 7th and we need to get ready.
> >
> > Doug
> >
> Helmets or no? They do make those motorcycle cb's, but I think the
> headphone/mic go in your helmet, no?
>
> FRS can only be used by family and not bike clubs? (non-withstanding
> hills being in your way more with those) They would be quieter.

572. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 04:35:06 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_N7_d.23873$OU1.7563@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197544

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050316093029.736$X6@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050316071428.899$Gn@newsreader.com...
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> >> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
>> >>
>> > You shouldn't use your dads call here then, wa3moj jr. Take
>> > me up on my invitation and call me that to my face, geo.
>>
>> LOL!!!!
>>
>> "Good Job Brenda Ann ... i have also been a ham since 1978 code
>> neverinterested me at all i passed every element of the theory more than
>> once inhopes that one day i would get my speed up to 12 wpm ... well i
>> never didstudy anymore code and now thanxs to the fcc i am a general.
>> and the sameas you i know more electronic theory and amatuer operating
>> principles etcthan many extra, advanced and general class hams who have
>> been able to passthe code portion, for what it's worth.From: "Hans Von
>> Gruber" <hansv@home.com>Newsgroups: alt.law-enforcementSubject: FA:
>> Stalker Dual K-A Band RadarLines: 3X-Priority: 3X-MSMail-Priority:
>> NormalX-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400X-MimeOLE:
>> Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400Message-ID:
>> <o9fo6.142159$B6.33318514@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001
>> 23:31:32 GMTNNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171X-Complaints-To:
>> abuse@home.netX-Trace: news1.rdc1.md.home.com 983662292 24.180.184.171
>> (Sat, 03 Mar 2001 15:31:32 PST)NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001
>> 15:31:32 PSTOrganization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband
>> http://home.com/faster
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1219117557 LOL!!
>> He's admitted it a dozen time, now that everyone knows he'sWA3MOJ all he
>> can do is call people names. Landshark-- Real heroes are men who
>> fall and fail and are flawed, but win out in the end because they've
>> stayed true to their ideals and beliefs and commitments.
>>
> DOH!! BAGGED and TAGGED!!!
>
> Hi, Shark. Is "Hans Von Gruber" <hansv@home.com> another of WA3MOJ's sock
> puppets from back in the day? Or am I reading it wrong? <g>

Yup, that's how I found the EBay post and then went to
Ebay at the time and got an email addy saying AOL.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

573. :: :: :: :: *post 1010* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 04:38:10 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111034290.152306.261880@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197545

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

574. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 04:47:48 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <x_adnYdRu_JrlqTfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 197546

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:6cgc311mj516qpks3a2q2pvs8h8botb20j@4ax.com...
> I looked you up. I am very impressed you got 2 degrees (So far apart).
>
> Great job !

Thanks. I got the second degree just for fun, I like computers as a hobby too, so what the heck, and work paid for the classes. I'm fixin (getting quotes for hardware) to put together an AMD FX-55 system right now to dual boot Win XP Pro and Linux (Fedora Core 3).

http://fedora.redhat.com/

I'm running it on an old PII 333 MHz system with 196MB, CD-ROM, NIC card etc., got the whole thing including a 15" color monitor for $80 at a local Hamfest. The system is connected to my LAN right now so I can experiment with it. I can use Gnome's remote desktop (XDMCP) to run the graphical desktop remotely. I can sit and watch the news on the TV while playing with the system in the other room, all wirelessly on a laptop.

The reason why the long period was due to the fact I was only taking one class at a time. You wouldn't believe how much time those programming classes can suck up doing the programming projects they want. The math classes were just as bad. There is no way to just "skate" through the work. The only way to learn the material is to do the work, and some of the prof's worked the students like dogs too.

>
> One reason I got back into radios, was because it had been so long that I
used
> what I was schooled for. 20 years was enough.

Hey, it's NEVER too late.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

575. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 04:57:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <wK2dnckpZffIk6TfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 197547

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050315075708.160$qa@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > On 15 Mar 2005 11:46:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >> > >
> > >> > >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see
> > >> > >somebody else have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a
> > >> > >change.
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> > I never looked you up. They have a count there?
> > >> >
> > >> > Vinnie S.
> > >>
> > >> Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to my
> > >> postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more people just
> > >> sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.
> > >>
> > >18,000?! Are you the record holder?
> >
> > He wrong already. It's more than 18,000 !!!!!!!
> >
> > I think he has been on TV !
> >
> Honestly, how the hell do you get 18,000 plus lookups? I don't buy
> it's a reult of posting in rec.radio.cb.

OK so don't. Nobody is twisting your arm.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

576. :: :: :: :: *post 1010* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 06:50:12 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111042212.100827.247620@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197548

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

577. :: :: :: :: *post 1010* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 09:02:11 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111050131.182153.179070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197549

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

578. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 09:51:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317045130.350$oE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197550

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:2vnh31t1tde670tqocvm3c60v6atsraroh@4ax.com:
>
> > Oh, my !!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> Oh my what Ninnie, that these guys fell for every little thing I layed
> out for them.
>
hahahahahahaha
>
>Yes it sure is Oh my, why dont you come visit me Ninnie i
> dont live to far from you.
>
hahahahahahaha

579. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 09:53:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317045309.554$g4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197551

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050316093733.786
> $mr@newsreader.com:
>
> > So your call is KB3BEJ. hehehe
>
> hahahahahahahahahahahahahaha.....ahhh Clueless .....LOL now go post it
> all over usenet
>
Ok, Chris. Put your dad on the phone.

580. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 09:59:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317045917.276$0I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197552

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> He will insult you again Doug dont worry, he is bi polar,
>
We've emailed each other, chrissy. Too late for your instigation.

Now go change names again and agree with yourself. Here's a partial list of
your old ones, and a list of your insults since December.

Hi de Ho Crabby, Prozac, World Wide Proziac,
Rock Lobster, Reptile, Lennie Beecroft,
Black dragon, Whiskey, The Chosen One,
Auntie, Capn Jack, Professor Smokes Sausages,
BadaBing, Deep Blue Sea, Humphrey Cry Baby,
Helmut Sweeny, Voobie Brutha, Seven and Out,
Uncle Fester, Mugabi, China Grove, Auntie,
Dweebo Spanka, Smooth Criminal,
Man Child Lover, Rotec, Pro@Zac.com,
Crap out, Chocolate Lover, Hans Von Gruber,
Kilo Watt Kid, Proziac, Gay Old Man In SF,
Green Nat, Warlord, ProzacHolic, Green Mamba,
Popeye, 1Anti@aaron.com, Beer Bottle,
Moe Larry Curly, RecRadioPolice,
Black Dragon, Old Man In Pa., Davemade1,
Old Man, Amish Man, 3-500zees,
LandsharkSux@aol.com, "?" Riddler
Read Em, And Another, New World Order,
etc etc etc,

Since December 12 2004

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> destination.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by

> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have
> any balls

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> correctional Facility i am locked up.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> Nagger you are a liar,
> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
> him trip over himself repying to this message.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> ever heard ofsub seven??

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Insane in the membrane.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You queer assclown

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking clueless douchebag.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You suck and lancer swallows.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> out and burns down your trailer.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> exactly i dont you stupid fuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> oxycotnin.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> guess again assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL, you fucking sissy...

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i am not a sock puppet but you are

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> steveo taslks shit
> without ever backing it up oh yes

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Negro please

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I know you wont to pussy to do so.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> your a fucking jew aren't you?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats not george you clueless assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> read what the fuck I tpye

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did yur mother have anything that lived?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you befriendung him for other
> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as usual.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
> now she is a real twat from what i hear.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No one is on your leg dickhead, now go suck some more dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> hahaha you are truely clueless you ass loving faggot. That is a 100% lie

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> his wife is out getting banged while he sits at homne on the usenet

581. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 10:02:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317050225.138$Qd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197553

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050316093029.736$X6@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050316071428.899$Gn@newsreader.com...
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> >> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
> >> >>
> >> > You shouldn't use your dads call here then, wa3moj jr. Take
> >> > me up on my invitation and call me that to my face, geo.
> >>
> >> LOL!!!!
> >>
> >> "Good Job Brenda Ann ... i have also been a ham since 1978 code
> >> neverinterested me at all i passed every element of the theory more
> >> than once inhopes that one day i would get my speed up to 12 wpm ...
> >> well i never didstudy anymore code and now thanxs to the fcc i am a
> >> general. and the sameas you i know more electronic theory and amatuer
> >> operating principles etcthan many extra, advanced and general class
> >> hams who have been able to passthe code portion, for what it's
> >> worth.From: "Hans Von Gruber" <hansv@home.com>Newsgroups:
> >> alt.law-enforcementSubject: FA: Stalker Dual K-A Band RadarLines:
> >> 3X-Priority: 3X-MSMail-Priority: NormalX-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook
> >> Express 5.50.4133.2400X-MimeOLE:
> >> Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400Message-ID:
> >> <o9fo6.142159$B6.33318514@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>Date: Sat, 03 Mar
> >> 2001 23:31:32 GMTNNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171X-Complaints-To:
> >> abuse@home.netX-Trace: news1.rdc1.md.home.com 983662292 24.180.184.171
> >> (Sat, 03 Mar 2001 15:31:32 PST)NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001
> >> 15:31:32 PSTOrganization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband
> >> http://home.com/faster
> >> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1219117557
> >> LOL!! He's admitted it a dozen time, now that everyone knows
> >> he'sWA3MOJ all he can do is call people names. Landshark-- Real
> >> heroes are men who fall and fail and are flawed, but win out in the
> >> end because they've stayed true to their ideals and beliefs and
> >> commitments.
> >>
> > DOH!! BAGGED and TAGGED!!!
> >
> > Hi, Shark. Is "Hans Von Gruber" <hansv@home.com> another of WA3MOJ's
> > sock puppets from back in the day? Or am I reading it wrong? <g>

>
> Yup, that's how I found the EBay post and then went to
> Ebay at the time and got an email addy saying AOL.
>
> Landshark
>
Poor chrissy, his charade is over.

582. :: :: :: :: *post 1010* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 11:14:08 UTC
From: Ron038539@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111058048.832633.251120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197554

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

583. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 13:00:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6nvi31t746t1k15mcasp045ono2qg2tdl2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197555

On 17 Mar 2005 09:51:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
>> news:2vnh31t1tde670tqocvm3c60v6atsraroh@4ax.com:
>>
>> > Oh, my !!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> > Vinnie S.
>>
>> Oh my what Ninnie, that these guys fell for every little thing I layed
>> out for them.
>>
>hahahahahahaha
>>
>>Yes it sure is Oh my, why dont you come visit me Ninnie i
>> dont live to far from you.
>>
>hahahahahahaha

That must have hurt !!!!!

Vinnie S.

584. Re: Illegal CBer Wants Camping advice for Dayton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 13:48:53 UTC
From: Current User <not@thistime.org>
Message-ID: <L_mdncsHkvFYF6TfRVn-tA@giganews.com>
Source record: 197556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.hf

Anyone that picks up a microphone is a lid. Amateurs just suck ass,,most of them blow shit more than the freebanders...Nuff said,,anyone want a license?

585. I love Amerika!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 16:15:56 UTC
From: Stanley Growskiwich <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <03i_d.23956$OU1.15815@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197560

Today I buy big

586. "I really need your help!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:06:58 UTC
From: Carpenters In Christ <carpentersinchrist@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111079218.051749.122000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197561

Please view my yahoo web page, www.carpentersinchrist.com.
Maybe you would help me.
I help elderly people and children. All my time is volunteered.
Maybe you could help me with either a small donation or you could
send my web page and information to someone you know that would
help. Donations can be made directly through Pay Pal, by clicking
the "Make Donation" icon on my web page. Donations keep me going, it
allows me to buy things such as material for repair work. I also
receive emails requesting help. Recently I purchased a new washing
machine for an elderly woman in Tennesse. I am in North Carolina.
Everything for her was completed via the internet. The request for
helping the elderly woman in Tennesse came from her grand daughter
in Rhode Island.
Finally, maybe you or someone you know needs help with something.
I would love to hear about it. Send me an email explaining the
situation. There is an email link on my web page as well.
Thank you!
Chris

587. "I really need your help!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:07:56 UTC
From: Carpenters In Christ <carpentersinchrist@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111079276.435482.145490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197562

Please view my yahoo web page, www.carpentersinchrist.com.
Maybe you would help me.
I help elderly people and children. All my time is volunteered.
Maybe you could help me with either a small donation or you could
send my web page and information to someone you know that would
help. Donations can be made directly through Pay Pal, by clicking
the "Make Donation" icon on my web page. Donations keep me going, it
allows me to buy things such as material for repair work. I also
receive emails requesting help. Recently I purchased a new washing
machine for an elderly woman in Tennesse. I am in North Carolina.
Everything for her was completed via the internet. The request for
helping the elderly woman in Tennesse came from her grand daughter
in Rhode Island.
Finally, maybe you or someone you know needs help with something.
I would love to hear about it. Send me an email explaining the
situation. There is an email link on my web page as well.
Thank you!
Chris

588. Mind-ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:16:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317121654.464$r4_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197563

auuuuudio

589. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:18:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317121828.728$4L_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >
> Have your mental illness meds robbed you of your memory now dogie?
>
Always a relevant question when it comes to the newsgroup ankle-hound.

590. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:21:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317122125.344$oG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197565

```
Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 17 Mar 2005 09:51:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >wrote:
> >> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:2vnh31t1tde670tqocvm3c60v6atsraroh@4ax.com:
> >>
> >> > Oh, my !!!!!!!!!!
> >> >
> >> > Vinnie S.
> >>
> >> Oh my what Ninnie, that these guys fell for every little thing I layed
> >> out for them.
> >>
> >>hahahahahahaha
> >>
> >>>Yes it sure is Oh my, why dont you come visit me Ninnie i
> >> dont live to far from you.
> >>
> >>hahahahahahaha
>
> That must have hurt !!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Sure did! The sturgeon general suggests a good belly laugh at
least once a day, that one did the trick!
```

591. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:31:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317123146.506$sg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197566

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> Your bad heart and fish hook spine won't allow you to ride a bike. Spend
> the money on your kids for a change, fugly fuckhead.
>
> Oh, and tell crisco cathy she did a nice job on the qrz forums. lmao!
>
> http://tinyurl.com/4o3m6
>
Um..what's not true about that? He told me he has a bad heart when he
was pretending to have a truce with me, and we've all seen his fish hook
spine in the pic.

Please try to keep up next time, jr.

592. Re: I love Amerika!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:32:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317123228.111$gB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197567

"Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
> Today I buy big
>
Buy high, sell low.

593. Re: Mind-ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:42:19 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8029-4239C17B-253@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197570

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>auuuuudio

Come in, come in driver. 38 low been giving up some good contacts,
sporadically <g>.

594. messages:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:44:23 UTC
From: phwray <phwray@comp-res.com>
Message-ID: <4239C1F6.875A2FA6@comp-res.com>
Source record: 197568

Hello:
After reading most of the letters on this group I am
suddenly ashamed to be a C. B. er.
Paul

595. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:45:52 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8028-4239C250-791@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197569

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>Um..what's not true about that? He told me he

>has a bad heart when he was pretending to

>have a truce with me, and we've all seen his

>fish hook spine in the pic.

>Please try to keep up next time, jr.

Now that you made me think of it, the rec.radio.cb death pool managed to
not make anyone any money last year. Guess we're gonna have to
re-prioritize the order of probability regarding who is most favored to
assume room temperature in 2005.

596. Re: Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 17:56:05 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8028-4239C4B5-792@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197571

From: iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (No I Am Not Him)
>I have that recording of you on 555 or at least

>someone who said they were twistedhed,

Happens all the time. Perhaps some day, you too, may be worthy of idolatry via imitation, but for the time being, you must make yourself satisfied with the worship I receive.
If it was conversation you taped, it was not I. I make a contact and scatter to another freq.

> will

>you qsl?

I am certain what you heard was not I. I always give contact information.

597. Radios For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 19:51:59 UTC
From: sfgale@kingston.net
Message-ID: <9647b4a0.0503171151.2e52eb20@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197572
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

all in cdn dollars.....

http://www.members.kingston.net/stephen/radios.html

598. :: :: :: :: *post 1013* - DO YOU WANT TO KNOW FOR SURE YOU ARE GOING TO HEAVEN? ::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 20:48:37 UTC
From: Ron038543@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111092517.409102.219740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197573

```
http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link
```

599. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-17 20:49:43 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <H3m_d.6413$ZB6.3959@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197574

Vinnie S. wrote:
> Passed the Tech, code, and General today !!!!!!
>
> I didn't even study for the General !! But a lot of the tech schooling helped.
> Failed the extra, but who the hell cares. I got the General !
>
> Now all I need is equipment !
>
> Vinnie S.

Congrats, Vinny.

-SSB

600. Re: Mind-ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 00:05:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317190514.295$gy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197575

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> >auuuuudio
>
> Come in, come in driver. 38 low been giving up some good contacts,
> sporadically <g>.
>
10-4 there southern magnet, 20 over in the Buckeye.

Keep the gators close to the ass-falt, and some knees in the breeze.

Backatcha..

601. Re: messages:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 00:07:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317190736.915$cB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197576

phwray <phwray@comp-res.com> wrote:
> Hello:
> After reading most of the letters on this group I am
> suddenly ashamed to be a C. B. er.
> Paul
>
10-4.

602. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 00:22:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317192254.284$PI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197577

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> >Um..what's not true about that? He told me he
>
> >has a bad heart when he was pretending to
>
> >have a truce with me, and we've all seen his
>
> >fish hook spine in the pic.
>
> >Please try to keep up next time, jr.
>
> Now that you made me think of it, the rec.radio.cb death pool managed to
> not make anyone any money last year. Guess we're gonna have to
> re-prioritize the order of probability regarding who is most favored to
> assume room temperature in 2005.
>
I can only assure any fellow radio operator a good time, or bad.

If you come around me with malice in mind, I can't be responsible. If
you come around me with your hand-shake out, we'll have some fun.

Nothing new.

603. Re: Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 00:25:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317192527.422$1I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197578

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (No=A0I=A0Am=A0Not=A0Him)
> >I have that recording of you on 555 or at least
>
> >someone who said they were twistedhed,
>
> Happens all the time. Perhaps some day, you too, may be worthy of
> idolatry via imitation, but for the time being, you must make yourself
> satisfied with the worship I receive.
> If it was conversation you taped, it was not I. I make a contact and
> scatter to another freq.
>
Hey Twist, send me a copy for the archives. aaaeeeeee (best Cajun call)

604. what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 01:58:05 UTC
From: Stanley Growskiwich <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <NAq_d.24083$OU1.10941@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197579

today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost 400 kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB radio in my minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what were go each one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone you call i pay you ok?

605. Re: messages: too crazy for me to read!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 02:09:18 UTC
From: Stanley Growskiwich <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <iLq_d.24087$OU1.15890@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197580

"phwray" <phwray@comp-res.com> wrote in message
news:4239C1F6.875A2FA6@comp-res.com...
> Hello:
> After reading most of the letters on this group I am
> suddenly ashamed to be a C. B. er.
> Paul
>

HEY i get you drift friend i no want any contact but bizzness force me to
carry poket pissel and wear wig but ok for you to read and me
worry!!!!!!!!!!

606. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 02:30:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317213033.480$vf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197581

"Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
> today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost 400
> kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB radio in my
> minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what were go each
> one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone you call i pay you
> ok?
>
This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone number?

607. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 02:39:39 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Mbr_d.19456$3Y2.17763@fe12.lga>
Source record: 197582

Steveo wrote:

> "Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
>
>>today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost 400
>>kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB radio in my
>>minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what were go each
>>one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone you call i pay you
>>ok?
>>
>
> This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone number?
reminds me of that nudnik from finland...

608. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 02:44:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317214420.980$3k@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197583

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > "Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
> >
> >>today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost
> >>400 kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB radio
> >>in my minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what were go
> >>each one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone you call i
> >>pay you ok?
> >>
> >
> > This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone
> > number?
>
> reminds me of that nudnik from finland...
>
They produce centerfolds and hash there, right?

609. FS : Uniden Grant XL and PC78XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 02:56:03 UTC
From: fredd <fal@knology.net>
Message-ID: <b6868$423a4342$18d62a02$2250@KNOLOGY.NET>
Source record: 197584

FS : Uniden Grant XL
New in box, with all mounting hardware, and manual.
Price : $100.00

FS : Uniden PC78XL (Philipine made)
As new, 6 months old.
Price : $75.00

For sale due to end hobby and medical situation.
email : fal@knology.net or call 256-683-2843

Thanks,
fredd

610. Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 04:17:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317231759.829$uk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197585

For the fugly fuck that's looking out his window.

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=peepeeholic&qt_s=Search+Groups

611. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 04:52:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050317235205.615$zz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197586

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050315075708.160$qa@newsreader.com...
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > > On 15 Mar 2005 11:46:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see
> > > >> > >somebody else have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a
> > > >> > >change.
> > > >> >
> > > >> >
> > > >> > I never looked you up. They have a count there?
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Vinnie S.
> > > >>
> > > >> Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to
> > > >> my postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more
> > > >> people just sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.
> > > >>
> > > >18,000?! Are you the record holder?
> > > >
> > > He wrong already. It's more than 18,000 !!!!!!!
> > >
> > > I think he has been on TV !
> > >
> > Honestly, how the hell do you get 18,000 plus lookups? I don't buy
> > it's a reult of posting in rec.radio.cb.
>
> OK so don't. Nobody is twisting your arm.
>
I just find it hard to understand how a guy in an apartment with a
cell phone antenna ran thru his rented air conditioner, could get
looked up 18 thousand times.

Strange days indeed.

612. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 06:29:54 UTC
From: user <user@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <113kt7kqln7v4ff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 197587

> only for families. I don't think FM
> would work because we are riding in a lot valleys and hills.

I don't think handheld CB is going to do any better around hills. You need a quarter-wave antenna with a good ground plane (such as a car would provide) to get any real range with CB, and even then hills will still block a lot of the signal.

You actually could use GMRS but each person would need their own $80 licesnse. They call FRS the Family Radio Service because the FCC didn't want a bunch of yahoos cussing and jamming as may happen from time to time on CB, but non-family members are certainly still allowed to communicate using FRS.

I recommend you stick with FRS an deal with the line-of-sight issue. If you are biking in a long line, people in the middle can pass messages to those in front and back as you crest or round hills.

If you need more range, you could go to MURS. Four times the power, and at a frequency that will bend around hills a little bit better. You can also use replacement antennas (= better range). However, MURS radios cost $100 and up vs. FRS which cost $10 and up.

There are some Chatterbox FRS units designed for motorcycle helmets, these may work on a bicycle helmet.

613. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 11:15:21 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <dLy_d.11089$DW.1765@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197588

Steveo wrote:

>
> Poor chrissy, his charade is over.

huh?

-SSB

614. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 11:23:35 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <XSy_d.11090$DW.10018@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197589

Steveo wrote:

> Ok, Chris. Put your dad on the phone.

To which Chris were you referring?

-SSB

615. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 13:09:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318080916.497$hi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197590

SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Ok, Chris. Put your dad on the phone.
>
> To which Chris were you referring?
>
> -SSB
>
KB3BEJ. Are you the only Chris in the air?

616. Re: Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 15:34:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4985-423AF510-1106@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197592

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> send me a copy for the archives. aaaeeeeee

>(best Cajun call)

I was hoping Chris Busch was going to post a wav. file, but after talking with Mason down here on channel 28, it's all good. Busch is one illegal hammie, running illegal juice on the cb channels.

617. Re: Mind-ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 15:37:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4985-423AF5C1-1107@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197591

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>auuuuudio

Come in, come in driver. 38 low been giving up some good contacts,
sporadically <g>.

>10-4 there southern magnet, 20 over in the

>Buckeye.

>Keep the gators close to the ass-falt, and

>some knees in the breeze.

>Backatcha..

Speaking of gators, a 9 ft 8 inch gator ate some dude two days ago down
here in a retention pond. Authorities are looking for the residents who
regularly fed the thing (extremely illegal and they do enforce it). In
addition to the dead guy's forearm, recovered in the gut of the bull
gator was plenty of store-bought chicken and beef. Dammit man!!

618. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 15:42:01 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4986-423AF6C9-378@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197644

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
Now that you made me think of it, the rec.radio.cb death pool managed to not make anyone any money last year. Guess we're gonna have to re-prioritize the order of probability regarding who is most favored to assume room temperature in 2005.

>I can only assure any fellow radio operator a

>good time, or bad.

>If you come around me with malice in mind, I

>can't be responsible. If you come around me

>with your hand-shake out, we'll have some

>fun.

>Nothing new.

You sure you ain't got no southern blood in ya'? You cotton with the same hospitality. We're simple folk.

619. (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 15:44:21 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4986-423AF755-379@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197593

The Center for Media and Democracy is working with the Free Press to gather a quarter million signatures on our petition mobilizing the American public to fight fake news and government propaganda.
On Sunday, the New York Times reported that at least 20 federal agencies have made and distributed pre-packaged, ready-to-serve television news segments to promote President Bush's policies and initiatives. Congress' Government Accountability Office determined that these "video news releases" were illegal "covert propaganda" and told federal agencies to stop. Last Friday, the White House ordered all agencies to disregard Congress' directive.

620. Re: Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 17:13:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318121336.687$D9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197645

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> > send me a copy for the archives. aaaeeeeee
>
> >(best Cajun call)
>
> I was hoping Chris Busch was going to post a wav. file, but after
> talking with Mason down here on channel 28, it's all good. Busch is one
> illegal hammie, running illegal juice on the cb channels.
>
Reckon why that doesn't surprise me??

Ain't he a Dave made operator too??

621. Re: Mind-ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 17:17:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318121708.158$gn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197646

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> >auuuuudio
>
> Come in, come in driver. 38 low been giving up some good contacts,
> sporadically <g>.
>
> >10-4 there southern magnet, 20 over in the
>
> >Buckeye.
>
> >Keep the gators close to the ass-falt, and
>
> >some knees in the breeze.
>
> >Backatcha..
>
> Speaking of gators, a 9 ft 8 inch gator ate some dude two days ago down
> here in a retention pond. Authorities are looking for the residents who
> regularly fed the thing (extremely illegal and they do enforce it). In
> addition to the dead guy's forearm, recovered in the gut of the bull
> gator was plenty of store-bought chicken and beef. Dammit man!!
>
>
Ha! Better than Woo's pigs disposing of ya. Do they eat the clothes too?

When you dump a body to Woo's pigs, they're clothed sometimes.

622. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 17:18:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318121854.537$xq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197647

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> Now that you made me think of it, the rec.radio.cb death pool managed to
> not make anyone any money last year. Guess we're gonna have to
> re-prioritize the order of probability regarding who is most favored to
> assume room temperature in 2005.
>
> >I can only assure any fellow radio operator a
>
> >good time, or bad.
>
> >If you come around me with malice in mind, I
>
> >can't be responsible. If you come around me
>
> >with your hand-shake out, we'll have some
>
> >fun.
>
> >Nothing new.
>
> You sure you ain't got no southern blood in ya'? You cotton with the
> same hospitality. We're simple folk.
>
I was born in West Virginia by gawd. Does it really show? :)

623. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 18:47:14 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3a0m1jF5q8uidU1@individual.net>
Source record: 197648

Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4986-423AF755-379@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net...
> The Center for Media and Democracy is working with the Free Press to
> gather a quarter million signatures on our petition mobilizing the
> American public to fight fake news and government propaganda.
> On Sunday, the New York Times reported that at least 20 federal
> agencies have made and distributed pre-packaged, ready-to-serve
> television news segments to promote President Bush's policies and
> initiatives. Congress' Government Accountability Office determined that
> these "video news releases" were illegal "covert propaganda" and told
> federal agencies to stop. Last Friday, the White House ordered all
> agencies to disregard Congress' directive.
>

624. Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 23:10:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318181058.523$2y@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197649

For the fugly fuck that's looking out his window.

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=peepeeholic&qt_s=Search+Groups

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

625. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-18 23:37:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318183747.249$zD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197650

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you
> > > want to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like
> > > my trip planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire wood
> > > and a barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle bus.
> > >
> > > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
> >
> > Where are you staying at? I'm going with a group that will be staying
> > at the university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several ways is
> > costing each of us $28 each for two nights. We get a kitchen, stove,
> > etc. with only two people per room.
> >
> Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with you?
>
I'll take the no-reply as a yes. Run away if the fun starts.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

626. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 00:08:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26679-423B6D78-345@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197651

From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.

And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
insult.

627. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 00:22:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318192246.951$g6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197652

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
> >Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>
> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
> insult.
>
I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

628. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 01:10:54 UTC
From: Mechtech <daycareguru2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oqudnZw6zoqC4abfRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 197653

Well, at least you admit it's bullshit.

Don

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4986-423AF755-379@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net...
> The Center for Media and Democracy is working with the Free Press to
> gather a quarter million signatures on our petition mobilizing the
> American public to fight fake news and government propaganda.
> On Sunday, the New York Times reported that at least 20 federal
> agencies have made and distributed pre-packaged, ready-to-serve
> television news segments to promote President Bush's policies and
> initiatives. Congress' Government Accountability Office determined that
> these "video news releases" were illegal "covert propaganda" and told
> federal agencies to stop. Last Friday, the White House ordered all
> agencies to disregard Congress' directive.
>

629. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 01:11:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <j0vm31he3bkiub5osps6vaebnk4kgfb73n@4ax.com>
Source record: 197654

On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>> >Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>>
>> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
>> insult.
>>
>I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.

I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But they still call me a liberal.

Vinnie S.

630. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 01:42:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318204222.484$xP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197655

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
> >> >Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
> >>
> >> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
> >> insult.
> >>
> >I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
>
> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
> didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But they
> still call me a liberal.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.

You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

631. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 01:55:16 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <di1n31l6s9r2ug23n94qjuf6duna5fnp62@4ax.com>
Source record: 197656

On 19 Mar 2005 01:42:22 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>> >> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>> >> >Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>> >>
>> >> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
>> >> insult.
>> >>
>> >I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
>>
>> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
>> didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But they
>> still call me a liberal.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
>
>You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
>youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.

I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the last major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long time ago !!!!

Vinnie S.

632. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 02:07:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318210743.305$Ix@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197657

```
Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 01:42:22 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >> >> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
> >> >> >Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
> >> >>
> >> >> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
> >> >> insult.
> >> >>
> >> >I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
> >>
> >> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
> >> didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But
> >> they still call me a liberal.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
> >
> >You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
> >youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
>
> I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the last
> major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long time ago
> !!!!
>
GWB will be remembered fondly like Ronnie, democracy is actually catching
on in the middle east now, due to (in no small part) Bush II.

Syria is afraid they're next!

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.
```

633. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 02:27:27 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <h6M_d.20567$mI1.14938@fe12.lga>
Source record: 197658

Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost
>>>>400 kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB radio
>>>>in my minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what were go
>>>>each one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone you call i
>>>>pay you ok?
>>>>
>>>
>>>This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone
>>>number?
>>
>>reminds me of that nudnik from finland...
>>
>
> They produce centerfolds and hash there, right?
i'll give ya the centerfolds but turkey is mighty fine for hash but its
only from what i heard :p

634. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 02:34:02 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0a3n319ecq8hq7tpslaos3m68iehv24t6a@4ax.com>
Source record: 197660

On 19 Mar 2005 02:07:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
>> >
>> >You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
>> >youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
>>
>> I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the last
>> major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long time ago
>> !!!!
>>
>GWB will be remembered fondly like Ronnie, democracy is actually catching
>on in the middle east now, due to (in no small part) Bush II.
>
>Syria is afraid they're next!

Once we are out, it will fail. That region is mostly theological based governments. You can't force feed a democracy to them. They don't believe in it, and they don't want it. Problem is, too many different religions occupy too many countries. In Iraq, there are Suni, Shiites, and Kurds. As as far as I read, they don't like each other. So the second we are out of there, they will engage in some sort of civil war. The other problem is, we are looked at as evil, by their religion. Infidels, whatever. That doesn't help. W thinks he can fix this with force and gov't. Someone should tell him that the have been fighing in that region since the days of Jesus Christ, and even before that. We ain't fixing it in 2 years. Or 100 years for that fact. I think the biggest problem in Iraq, if one of those 3 groups I mentioned, is the violent kind. They have a pipeline to Iran. I cannot recall if it is the Shiites or the Suni. But in either case, Iran is trying to put their stamp on it. Back when during Iraq/Iran war in the 1980's, I think Iran was trying to get that group to revolt againt Saddam, which was the base for that war. But I can't remember for sure. It was so damn long ago.

Vinnie S.

635. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 02:34:41 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3dM_d.20568$PQ1.1917@fe12.lga>
Source record: 197659

Steveo wrote:

> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>>On 19 Mar 2005 01:42:22 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
>>>>>>insult.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
>>>>
>>>>I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
>>>>didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But
>>>>they still call me a liberal.
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
>>>
>>>You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
>>>youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
>>
>>I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the last
>>major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long time ago
>>!!!!
>>
>
> GWB will be remembered fondly like Ronnie, democracy is actually catching
> on in the middle east now, due to (in no small part) Bush II.
>

> Syria is afraid they're next!
>
ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
fondly? you jest....

636. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 02:45:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <eh4n3159upeunbmhfrnng6ai81gc6kpe8b@4ax.com>
Source record: 197661

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:34:41 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>> Syria is afraid they're next!
>>
>ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
>fondly? you jest....

I can't. I loved Reagan. Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.

Vinnie S.

637. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 02:53:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197662

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 02:07:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
> >> >
> >> >You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
> >> >youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
> >>
> >> I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the
> >> last major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long
> >> time ago !!!!
> >>
> >GWB will be remembered fondly like Ronnie, democracy is actually
> >catching on in the middle east now, due to (in no small part) Bush II.
> >
> >Syria is afraid they're next!
>
> Once we are out, it will fail. That region is mostly theological based
> governments. You can't force feed a democracy to them. They don't believe
> in it, and they don't want it. Problem is, too many different religions
> occupy too many countries. In Iraq, there are Suni, Shiites, and Kurds.
> As as far as I read, they don't like each other. So the second we are out
> of there, they will engage in some sort of civil war. The other problem
> is, we are looked at as evil, by their religion. Infidels, whatever. That
> doesn't help. W thinks he can fix this with force and gov't. Someone
> should tell him that the have been fighing in that region since the days
> of Jesus Christ, and even before that. We ain't fixing it in 2 years. Or
> 100 years for that fact. I think the biggest problem in Iraq, if one of
> those 3 groups I mentioned, is the violent kind. They have a pipeline to
> Iran. I cannot recall if it is the Shiites or the Suni. But in either
> case, Iran is trying to put their stamp on it. Back when during Iraq/Iran
> war in the 1980's, I think Iran was trying to get that group to revolt
> againt Saddam, which was the base for that war. But I can't remember for
> sure. It was so damn long ago.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Can't argue your well written points, but I see a change going down
over there and it started with us spending more on our military
machine ala Ronnie.

I'm not in love with GWB, but he beats the hell out of Al bore, or
waffle-boi.

The choices suck.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

638. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 02:57:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318215744.199$0g@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197663

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>On 19 Mar 2005 01:42:22 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
> >>>>>>insult.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
> >>>>>
> >>>>I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
> >>>>didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But
> >>>>they still call me a liberal.
> >>>>
> >>>>Vinnie S.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
> >>>
> >>>You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
> >>>youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
> >>>
> >>I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the
> >>last major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long
> >>time ago !!!!
> >>
> >
> > GWB will be remembered fondly like Ronnie, democracy is actually
> > catching on in the middle east now, due to (in no small part) Bush II.

> >
> > Syria is afraid they're next!
> >
> ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
> fondly? you jest....
>
Scoff now, it's the thing to do. GW will be rembered as the guy that
cramed democracy down those rag-heads throats.. <g> it took some doing to
get the japs to see how F-d up they were too. Now look at em.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

639. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:08:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318220839.230$Ff@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197664

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost
> >>>>400 kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB
> >>>>radio in my minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what
> >>>>were go each one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone
> >>>>you call i pay you ok?
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone
> >>>number?
> >>
> >>reminds me of that nudnik from finland...
> >>
> >
> > They produce centerfolds and hash there, right?
>
> i'll give ya the centerfolds but turkey is mighty fine for hash but its
> only from what i heard :p
>
Any port in a storm sailor.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

640. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:12:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9u5n31ttdf36jd1nfkfl19qmn6vm8qci1n@4ax.com>
Source record: 197665

On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I'm not in love with GWB, but he beats the hell out of Al bore, or
>waffle-boi.

Don't remind me of Bore. Couldn't stand him or his wife. I actually like Clinton more now, then I did then. I kind of started feeling sorry for him, because it seemed they were out to get him. But like I said, I didn't vote fot him either time.

Regarding waffle boy. All politicans waffle. Including W. Problem is, the republicans did a better job of marketing it. The dems strategists were bafoons.

Vinnie S.

641. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:26:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318222642.341$UG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197666

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Regarding waffle boy.
>
I voted for him before I voted against him.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

642. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:37:38 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <gj7n31dnaj53t5uns577hbtrms71j88jdj@4ax.com>
Source record: 197667

On 19 Mar 2005 03:26:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>> Regarding waffle boy.
>>
>I voted for him before I voted against him.

I voted for him and voted against him !!!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

643. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:39:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318223937.444$Fi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197668

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 03:26:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >>> Regarding waffle boy.
> >>
> >I voted for him before I voted against him.
>
> I voted for him and voted against him !!!!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Show off!!

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

644. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:42:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <316n31daeco0bbn15jkf72cibt7c69nume@4ax.com>
Source record: 197670

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:45:45 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <eh4n3159upeunbmhfrnng6ai81gc6kpe8b@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:34:41 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>> Syria is afraid they're next!
>>>
>>ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
>>fondly? you jest....
>
>I can't. I loved Reagan.

Perhaps you were blinded by his "thousand points of light"......

Don't you remember Iran-Contra? Arms for hostages? Orchestrating their
release to coincide with his inaguration? Secretly working with both
sides in the Iran-Iraq war to force a stalemate? Giving Saddam the
technology and materials for chemical and biological weapons? Taking
pot-shots at Libya while Saddam was gassing his own people? Tucking
tail and running away from Lebanon? Totally ignoring the civil war in
Angola? Did you forget about that little bag of dope in the speech
pushing his war against drugs? and how he intentionally set up the
drug deal right in front of the WH? Remember the CIA dope deals in
Central America that were discovered after one of the planes crashed?
VP Bush's secret meetings with Noriega? How he funded the terrorist
resistance against the new and democratically elected government of
Nicaragua that was created after the US-supported dictatorship was
ousted? Etc, etc, etc?

Or do you just remember that he made you "feel good"?

> Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.

It's the same regime. Many of the people in the Bush administration
are the very same morons who, while under Reagan, helped to create
many of the current problems that are blamed on everyone else except
themselves.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

645. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:42:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318224250.783$is@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197669

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>Many of the people in the Bush administration
> are the very same morons who, while under Reagan, helped to create
> many of the current problems that are blamed on everyone else except
> themselves.
>
Tissue?
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total
> Privacy via Encryption =----

Oh.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

646. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:46:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <f18n31tall1f70hhbodbtvcek3jdrrve98@4ax.com>
Source record: 197671

On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:

<snip>
>The choices suck.

Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

647. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:53:58 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4i8n31pptru267ekfptl1f7pj9563rhj8s@4ax.com>
Source record: 197672

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
><20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>
><snip>
>>The choices suck.
>
>
>Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>

McCain !!!!

I really like him !!!!!!

Vinnie S.

648. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:57:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ej8n31tso1kn3439ams5svivvt035irl62@4ax.com>
Source record: 197674

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:42:01 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>
>Perhaps you were blinded by his "thousand points of light"......
>
>Don't you remember Iran-Contra? Arms for hostages? Orchestrating their
>release to coincide with his inaguration? Secretly working with both
>sides in the Iran-Iraq war to force a stalemate? Giving Saddam the
>technology and materials for chemical and biological weapons? Taking
>pot-shots at Libya while Saddam was gassing his own people? Tucking
>tail and running away from Lebanon? Totally ignoring the civil war in
>Angola? Did you forget about that little bag of dope in the speech
>pushing his war against drugs? and how he intentionally set up the
>drug deal right in front of the WH? Remember the CIA dope deals in
>Central America that were discovered after one of the planes crashed?
>VP Bush's secret meetings with Noriega? How he funded the terrorist
>resistance against the new and democratically elected government of
>Nicaragua that was created after the US-supported dictatorship was
>ousted? Etc, etc, etc?

I remember all of that. But I loved his charisma. And remember, who he followed.
I do question some of his agendas. And his economic pocilies were scary.

>Or do you just remember that he made you "feel good"?

That is basically it. But you have to remember, that I was only 21 when he
finished his presidency. Most of my tax paying years have been spent with Bush
I, Clinton, and W.

>> Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>
>
>It's the same regime. Many of the people in the Bush administration
>are the very same morons who, while under Reagan, helped to create
>many of the current problems that are blamed on everyone else except
>themselves.

The problem, Reagan followed Carter. Bush follow Clinton.

Vinnie S.

649. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 03:57:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318225748.871$Bp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197673

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >The choices suck.
>
> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>
Dunno. i'd like it to be someone that has -never- had anything
to do with politics. I liked Perot some, so it would have to
be a git-r-dun business man.

Hopes are too high already.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

650. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:01:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318230111.319$4I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197675

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
-snip-
> And his economic pocilies
> were scary.
>
Yea that earning a living and being rewarded justly for it blows. :)

(sucks to crush the USSR too)

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

651. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:05:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <h59n31ph6v5vaii70f1e8hg08ohkpmim8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 197676

On 19 Mar 2005 04:01:11 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>-snip-
>> And his economic pocilies
>> were scary.
>>
>Yea that earning a living and being rewarded justly for it blows. :)

I was talking more about borrowing more than we can pay for. Kind of like today.
I have never been big on credit. I don't like to owe my life to anybody, because
I owe them money. I feel Gov't should be the same.

Vinnie S.

652. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:17:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318231700.384$vb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197677

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 04:01:11 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >-snip-
> >> And his economic pocilies
> >> were scary.
> >>
> >Yea that earning a living and being rewarded justly for it blows. :)
>
> I was talking more about borrowing more than we can pay for. Kind of like
> today. I have never been big on credit. I don't like to owe my life to
> anybody, because I owe them money. I feel Gov't should be the same.
>
See a cycle yet? Demo ignores the military, repub puts us in hock
making up for it.

Viscous circle.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

653. Re: I love Amerika!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:46:55 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WIadnRK5jZEjM6bfRVn-qg@giganews.com>
Source record: 197678

Steveo wrote:
> "Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
>> Today I buy big
>>
> Buy high, sell low.

Shhh!

Don't give away _all_ our secrets.

Jeff

654. Re: messages:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:48:31 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8ICdnWAgQ-ODMqbfRVn-qg@giganews.com>
Source record: 197679

phwray wrote:
> Hello:
> After reading most of the letters on this group I am
> suddenly ashamed to be a C. B. er.
> Paul

One word:

Filter.

Jeff

655. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:50:39 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8JKdnURngrEDMqbfRVn-uw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197680

Steveo wrote:
> "Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
>> today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost
>> 400 kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB
>> radio in my minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure
>> what were go each one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my
>> cellfone you call i pay you ok?
>>
> This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone
> number?

D.C. area code. Maybe it's Gukert? ;-)

Jeff

656. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:53:05 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qaGdnWms_KysLabfRVn-pw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197681

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>>>> Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>>>
>>> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
>>> insult.
>>>
>> I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
>
>
> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
> didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But
> they still call me a liberal.

I voted for Clinton the first time and Harry Browne the second. Those
foolish Repubs think I'm from Mars. ;-)

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

657. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:55:03 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Oc-dnWUAlJE7LabfRVn-gA@giganews.com>
Source record: 197682

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>>> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>>>>> Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>>>>
>>>> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
>>>> insult.
>>>>
>>> I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
>>
>> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
>> didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But
>> they still call me a liberal.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
> Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
>
> You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
> youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.

And, oh great and perfect flying monkey, are the Repubs gonna trot out there
next election?

Rice? Minny-Bush? Freakin' Jeff Gannon?

Bwahahaha, etc...

Jeff

658. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:56:33 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iYSdne_zm_KdLKbfRVn-hQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 197683

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 01:42:22 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>>>> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>>>>>> Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>>>>>
>>>>> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as
>>>>> an insult.
>>>>>
>>>> I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
>>>
>>> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But
>>> I didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time.
>>> But they still call me a liberal.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>> Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
>>
>> You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
>> youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
>
> I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the
> last major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long
> time ago !!!!

Ah yes. Old Shaky-head. Well, I guess you could call him a good president if
you overlook all that illegal activity and killing and all.

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

659. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:58:34 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <HuWdncgfULLkLKbfRVn-uA@giganews.com>
Source record: 197684

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 Mar 2005 01:42:22 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>>>>> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>>>>>>> Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as
>>>>>> an insult.
>>>>>>
>>>>> I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
>>>>>
>>>> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker.
>>>> But I didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either
>>>> time. But they still call me a liberal.
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>> Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like
>>> waffle-boi.
>>>
>>> You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it
>>> appears youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
>>
>> I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the
>> last major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long
>> time ago !!!!
>>
> GWB will be remembered fondly like Ronnie, democracy is actually
> catching on in the middle east now, due to (in no small part) Bush II.

You're so wrong on so many different levels I don't know where to start.
Give me a minute....

>
> Syria is afraid they're next!

Who's gonna fight them? Another "Coalition of the Bought"?

Jeff

660. Re: I love Amerika!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 04:59:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050318235920.914$3X@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197685

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
> >> Today I buy big
> >>
> > Buy high, sell low.
>
> Shhh!
>
> Don't give away _all_ our secrets.
>
> Jeff
>
We help when we can.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

661. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 05:01:09 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cpKdnZOKLeGJL6bfRVn-pg@giganews.com>
Source record: 197686

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>> The choices suck.
>>
>>
>> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>
>
>
> McCain !!!!
>
> I really like him !!!!!!

Even after he swallowed Bush's dick and allowed the little weasle to get away with saying all those terrible things about him and his family in South Carolina?

Why isn't McCain saying anything about Bush cutting millions out of the new budget re: Veterans? McCain is just another tool.

Pah-leeze!

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

662. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 05:02:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050319000213.375$C1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197687

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
> >> today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost
> >> 400 kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB
> >> radio in my minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure
> >> what were go each one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my
> >> cellfone you call i pay you ok?
> >>
> > This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone
> > number?
>
> D.C. area code. Maybe it's Gukert? ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
Doh. Beretta don't do the time cuz he ain't got the crime.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

663. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 05:03:15 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qIWdnc7tmIsPL6bfRVn-ug@giganews.com>
Source record: 197688

Steveo wrote:
> Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>> The choices suck.
>>
>> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>
> Dunno. i'd like it to be someone that has -never- had anything
> to do with politics. I liked Perot some, so it would have to
> be a git-r-dun business man.

Well, maybe you Repubs could actually nominate a "get-r-dun" business man that didn't fail at every business he ever tried. You know, like President McBunnypants.

Jeff

>
> Hopes are too high already.

664. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 05:04:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050319000455.196$NH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197689

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >>>> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
> >>>>> Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
> >>>>
> >>>> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
> >>>> insult.
> >>>>
> >>> I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
> >>
> >> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
> >> didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But
> >> they still call me a liberal.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> > Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like waffle-boi.
> >
> > You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it appears
> > youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
>
> And, oh great and perfect flying monkey, are the Repubs gonna trot out
> there next election?
>
> Rice? Minny-Bush? Freakin' Jeff Gannon?
>
> Bwahahaha, etc...
>
> Jeff
>
Who freakin knows anymore. Rice's teeth look like chicklets so that
disqualifies her. lol

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

665. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 05:05:50 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kqidnQudN8iwLqbfRVn-1g@giganews.com>
Source record: 197690

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:34:41 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
>>> Syria is afraid they're next!
>>>
>> ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
>> fondly? you jest....
>
> I can't. I loved Reagan. Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.

What? You mean a classic figure-head that had no idea what was going on in his own administration? Like that? Did you ever read the transcripts of the Contra investigation when he replied like 600 times either "I don't know" or "I can't remember"?

No thanks. Not again.

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

666. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 05:07:07 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <n7idnaqBUs_nLqbfRVn-oA@giganews.com>
Source record: 197691

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:45:45 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote in <eh4n3159upeunbmhfrnng6ai81gc6kpe8b@4ax.com>:
>
>> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:34:41 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>> Syria is afraid they're next!
>>>>
>>> ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
>>> fondly? you jest....
>>
>> I can't. I loved Reagan.
>
>
> Perhaps you were blinded by his "thousand points of light"......

That was Bush I but I agree with everything else you state. And it's all
true, by the way.

Jeff

>
> Don't you remember Iran-Contra? Arms for hostages? Orchestrating their
> release to coincide with his inaguration? Secretly working with both
> sides in the Iran-Iraq war to force a stalemate? Giving Saddam the
> technology and materials for chemical and biological weapons? Taking
> pot-shots at Libya while Saddam was gassing his own people? Tucking
> tail and running away from Lebanon? Totally ignoring the civil war in
> Angola? Did you forget about that little bag of dope in the speech
> pushing his war against drugs? and how he intentionally set up the
> drug deal right in front of the WH? Remember the CIA dope deals in
> Central America that were discovered after one of the planes crashed?
> VP Bush's secret meetings with Noriega? How he funded the terrorist
> resistance against the new and democratically elected government of
> Nicaragua that was created after the US-supported dictatorship was
> ousted? Etc, etc, etc?
>
> Or do you just remember that he made you "feel good"?
>
>
>> Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>
>
> It's the same regime. Many of the people in the Bush administration

> are the very same morons who, while under Reagan, helped to create
> many of the current problems that are blamed on everyone else except
> themselves.
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> Total Privacy via Encryption =----

667. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 05:10:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050319001010.790$t9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197692

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 19 Mar 2005 01:42:22 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >>>>>> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
> >>>>>>> Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as
> >>>>>> an insult.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
> >>>>
> >>>> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker.
> >>>> But I didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either
> >>>> time. But they still call me a liberal.
> >>>>
> >>>> Vinnie S.
> >>>>
> >>> Trot Hillary out there next..we'll mow her down just like
> >>> waffle-boi.
> >>>
> >>> You lefters need to upgrade your candidates this time, but it
> >>> appears youin's haven't learned from your mistakes yet.
> >>
> >> I wasn't in love with the candidate. I just hated Bush. I think the
> >> last major party candidate I liked was Reagan. Damn, that is a long
> >> time ago !!!!
> >>
> > GWB will be remembered fondly like Ronnie, democracy is actually
> > catching on in the middle east now, due to (in no small part) Bush II.
>
> You're so wrong on so many different levels I don't know where to start.
> Give me a minute....
>
>
Lack of content noted.
> >
> > Syria is afraid they're next!
>

> Who's gonna fight them? Another "Coalition of the Bought"?
>
> Jeff
>
Huh? If we get a little smarter next time, we will simply destroy
their infrastructure and leave them to their own demise. No camping
allowed.

When you control the air, sea, and land you make the rules as you
go along.

Shocking.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

668. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 05:14:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050319001447.230$pm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197693

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >>> The choices suck.
> >>
> >> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
> >>
> > Dunno. i'd like it to be someone that has -never- had anything
> > to do with politics. I liked Perot some, so it would have to
> > be a git-r-dun business man.
>
> Well, maybe you Repubs could actually nominate a "get-r-dun" business man
> that didn't fail at every business he ever tried. You know, like
> President McBunnypants.
>
> Jeff
>
Or you dummycraps can run Hillary next. Will hell freeze over before
you pantie-waist cry babies can field a viable candidate?
>
> >
> > Hopes are too high already.

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

669. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 06:48:20 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CaqdnRqLtMCvVqbfRVn-tw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197694

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>> in <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>>>
>>>> <snip>
>>>>> The choices suck.
>>>>
>>>> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>>>
>>> Dunno. i'd like it to be someone that has -never- had anything
>>> to do with politics. I liked Perot some, so it would have to
>>> be a git-r-dun business man.
>>
>> Well, maybe you Repubs could actually nominate a "get-r-dun"
>> business man that didn't fail at every business he ever tried. You
>> know, like President McBunnypants.
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> Or you dummycraps can run Hillary next. Will hell freeze over before
> you pantie-waist cry babies can field a viable candidate?

What makes you think I'm a Dem? And if I was, what makes you think I'd want Hillary? I did not vote for the Clintons the second time around though his Presidency was a damn site more productive and better for more Americans then the current abortion on display. At least the Dems field several candidates. You Repubs are so anal you go all in a tizzy when there's more than one.

And what was up with Bush spending all that freaking money while running unapposed? I'd tell you but you'd just start screaming, "Clinton's Cock!" "Clinton's COCK!", over and over again, and believe me, I don't want to hear it....Again. ;-)

Jeff

>>
>>>
>>> Hopes are too high already.

670. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 06:52:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050319015230.212$SS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197695

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>"Clinton's Cock!"
> "Clinton's COCK!", over and over again, and believe me, I don't want to
> hear it....Again. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
Can't blame you. Which disability are you drawing?

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

671. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 07:45:26 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <qMQ_d.15026$j%4.6156@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197696

Steveo wrote:
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Ok, Chris. Put your dad on the phone.
>>
>>To which Chris were you referring?
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>
> KB3BEJ. Are you the only Chris in the air?
no, but in a previous post in this thread, a nomiker used prior in this NG to refer to me was used. Just curious. That's why I asked before jumping to conclusions.

-SSB

672. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 10:23:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <h4vn311d9k0pts506utblot4vl1jkmdtmk@4ax.com>
Source record: 197697

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:07:07 -0800, "Jeff Mayner"
<jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in <n7idnaqBUs_nLqbfRVn-oA@giganews.com>:

<snip>
>> Perhaps you were blinded by his "thousand points of light"......
>
>That was Bush I but I agree with everything else you state. And it's all
>true, by the way.

Reagan, Bush I, Bush II, same difference..... mortgage the future for
some short-term political gains. That's why the Feingold amendment
failed. A thousand points of credit.....

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

673. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 10:41:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <tevn31pj0rejg5jgusd1djlne833hg7jal@4ax.com>
Source record: 197698

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 22:57:43 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <ej8n31tso1kn3439ams5svivvt035irl62@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>......etc?
>
>I remember all of that. But I loved his charisma. And remember, who he followed.
>I do question some of his agendas. And his economic pocilies were scary.

No kidding.

>>Or do you just remember that he made you "feel good"?
>
>That is basically it. But you have to remember, that I was only 21 when he
>finished his presidency. Most of my tax paying years have been spent with Bush
>I, Clinton, and W.

I was still a kid when Nixon resigned. I didn't need to pay taxes for
a couple decades before I understood that he was crooked.

>>> Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>>
>>
>>It's the same regime. Many of the people in the Bush administration
>>are the very same morons who, while under Reagan, helped to create
>>many of the current problems that are blamed on everyone else except
>>themselves.
>
>
>The problem, Reagan followed Carter. Bush follow Clinton.

Carter didn't make under-the-table deals with Iran, Iraq or Panama.
Clinton didn't pass weapons-grade biological specimens to Saddam.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

674. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 10:45:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cevn31pdi3olq8hdgf76mn5it7obopmh9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 197699

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:05:50 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:34:41 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>> Syria is afraid they're next!
>>>>
>>> ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
>>> fondly? you jest....
>>
>> I can't. I loved Reagan. Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>
>What? You mean a classic figure-head that had no idea what was going on in
>his own administration? Like that? Did you ever read the transcripts of the
>Contra investigation when he replied like 600 times either "I don't know" or
>"I can't remember"?
>
>No thanks. Not again.
.

It's not the same situation. First off, I was 14-21 years old, when he was president. He became president after a disastrous Carter administration, where we were made fools off for 440 days in Iran, and the economy went to the shitter. Do you recall 14% mortgage rates? As I recall, the nation's tone back around 1980, was pretty bad. Reagan added charisma. Gave us national pride. And his overspending did eventually lead to the fall of the eastern bloc, when they tired to keep up. Sure the guy had problems. A shitload. I remember Iran-contra for most of the last 2 years of his presidency. I remember the arms to Iraq, even though he was gassing everybody. Though I think that came out later. None the less, he left amongst the highest approval rating of any president. Now, I know I would look at it differently had I been 38 back then. But one thing he didn't do, was invade another country and get stuck there for 2 years plus. Especially after it was said "he would not engage in nation building". He may of spent a fortune, but he didn't do it on a useless war, because he had some personal vendetta. It's not the same administration. It's not the same situation. It didn't come after a horrible democratic administation. And as far as the "I don't remember" stuff, most of that became prevalent during the last year of his presidency. And remember, he was 78 that year, and had Alzheimers.

So to answer a simple question that Frank asked, yes, he made me feel good. He made alot of people feel good. And maybe, that is all that counts with some people. Just like many people today, specifically in the red states, who think Bush has these big balls, even though it has been written that invading Iraq was on his check list even before he was elected in 2000, and many of those still

think there is a Iraq-Al-Qaeda link.

Vinnie S.

675. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 11:06:23 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <061o31l2suejv8cfjlcc8qgok81h0q58qa@4ax.com>
Source record: 197700

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 02:41:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>>>Or do you just remember that he made you "feel good"?
>>
>>That is basically it. But you have to remember, that I was only 21 when he
>>finished his presidency. Most of my tax paying years have been spent with Bush
>>I, Clinton, and W.
>
>
>I was still a kid when Nixon resigned. I didn't need to pay taxes for
>a couple decades before I understood that he was crooked.

So what is your point? That I should have followed politics more intensly when I
was a kid, because you did? I highly doubt you thought Nixon was a crook because
of your knowledge of politics at that age, and because you had this open mind,
or because you were more informed. It was because there were 8 TV channels, and
3 news channels, and everyone thought he was a crook. You weren't special.

>
>>>> Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>>>
>>>
>>>It's the same regime. Many of the people in the Bush administration
>>>are the very same morons who, while under Reagan, helped to create
>>>many of the current problems that are blamed on everyone else except
>>>themselves.
>>
>>
>>The problem, Reagan followed Carter. Bush follow Clinton.
>
>
>Carter didn't make under-the-table deals with Iran, Iraq or Panama.
>Clinton didn't pass weapons-grade biological specimens to Saddam.

As I recall in 1980, there were 3 major factors in Carter demise. Iran, the
economy, and the USSR.

As far as Clinton, I don't want to get into it. I won't mention Whitewater, the
lawyer who committed suicide, and the 2,000 pardons. And not to mention the
national TV announcement "I did not have relations with that woman", while she
was sucking his dick under the desk.

If one thing I learned about politicians in my life is, they are all full of
shit. You just have to pick the one that is the lesser of the ones being full of
shit, or the one where the lies haven't gotten out yet.

And please, if the best you can do is pick on my political beliefs from a period that were mostly my teenage years, then get a life.

Vinnie S.

676. New Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 11:15:24 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111230924.692380.229050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197701

http://s9.invisionfree.com/Radio_Waves

677. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 11:17:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ee2o3119va5saqpaq2ek5g17jfindo3efb@4ax.com>
Source record: 197702

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 20:53:05 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On 19 Mar 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>>> From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
>>>>> Only a whiney liberal would read the NYT.
>>>>
>>>> And only an ignoramus would use a term he is unable to define as an
>>>> insult.
>>>>
>>> I like chrome and siver hot-n-tots best.
>>
>>
>> I am an independent, and I hate Bush. I can't stand the fucker. But I
>> didn't like Clinton either, and didn't vote for him either time. But
>> they still call me a liberal.
>
>I voted for Clinton the first time and Harry Browne the second. Those
>foolish Repubs think I'm from Mars. ;-)

I didn't vote for Bush in 1992. I voted for Perot. Mostly because I didn't liek either candidate. Last year, I voted for Kerry, based on the "I hated him less than W" theory.

Vinnie S.

678. Re: Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 11:23:55 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111231435.899698.14630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197703

Get a job, Gay boy... better yet, get a life. If you spend as much time working, as you did sitting on your lard behind and typing on that computer, you'd really be somebody, dougie..

and yes, I do have one... I'm a product rep for a major retail chain in the area, making a whole lot more money than you'll have make. (26 Thousand a year....)

Perfect hours, good money...

Life is good...

Oh, I forgot, you live off of crisco kathy... my bad...

hehe...

679. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 11:25:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2j2o319372n1k960am665l7aklk84djuhd@4ax.com>
Source record: 197704

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:01:09 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> McCain !!!!
>>
>> I really like him !!!!!!
>
>Even after he swallowed Bush's dick and allowed the little weasle to get
>away with saying all those terrible things about him and his family in South
>Carolina?

The problem with these type of politics, is if you don't play ball, you cut your own political throat. I totally agree about those rumors Bush spread in 2000. I think it was called push-polling. But the way it works is, if you support me, I will throw so many millions to your state in road repair, or some kind of crap like that. Not to mention keeping your political life. It kind of sucks. But it seems votes and support are constantly bought on capitol hill, even with cross-party favors.

>Why isn't McCain saying anything about Bush cutting millions out of the new
>budget re: Veterans? McCain is just another tool.

They're all tools. I am still waiting on the specifics of Kerry's "Plan".

I will give you a good example about Hillary. I am a huge baseball fan, as Moped would attest to. Hillary was a well documented big Cubs fan. The day the Yankees went to the White House (I think 1998), she put on a Yankees hat and said she was always a Yankee fan.

Vinnie S.

680. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 12:04:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m31o31tlivds1orj7eohr46iq19osdte60@4ax.com>
Source record: 197705

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 05:45:31 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <cevn31pdi3olq8hdgf76mn5it7obopmh9u@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:05:50 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:34:41 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>> Syria is afraid they're next!
>>>>>
>>>> ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
>>>> fondly? you jest....
>>>
>>> I can't. I loved Reagan. Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>>
>>What? You mean a classic figure-head that had no idea what was going on in
>>his own administration? Like that? Did you ever read the transcripts of the
>>Contra investigation when he replied like 600 times either "I don't know" or
>>"I can't remember"?
>>
>>No thanks. Not again.
>.
>
>It's not the same situation. First off, I was 14-21 years old, when he was
>president.

Aren't those the years when you should have been getting educated?

> He became president after a disastrous Carter administration, where
>we were made fools off for 440 days in Iran, and the economy went to the
>shitter. Do you recall 14% mortgage rates? As I recall, the nation's tone back
>around 1980, was pretty bad.

The mortgage rates surged because the Carter administration's policy
was to bend to corporate demands for a less-regulated free-market
economy. The corps lost money so in 1980 they backed a president who
would impose policies that would favor big-business..... Reagan. He
"turned" the economy by borrowing huge amounts of money and raising
the federal debt by several orders of magnitude -- a debt that was
finally repaid under Clinton and his nasty cigars.

> Reagan added charisma. Gave us national pride. And
>his overspending did eventually lead to the fall of the eastern bloc, when they
>tired to keep up.

Did you catch the news last night? The guy who defined the "isolation"
policy against the Soviet bloc died. But before he died he admitted
that isolation was -less- preferable to open and free dialog on both
political and economic levels. He also stressed the need for US policy
that was more respectful of the cultures of foreign nations.

> Sure the guy had problems. A shitload. I remember Iran-contra
>for most of the last 2 years of his presidency. I remember the arms to Iraq,
>even though he was gassing everybody. Though I think that came out later. None
>the less, he left amongst the highest approval rating of any president.

Go figure. Wasn't it Samuel Clemens who said.....

> Now, I
>know I would look at it differently had I been 38 back then. But one thing he
>didn't do, was invade another country and get stuck there for 2 years plus.

Wrong. Remember Lebanon?

>Especially after it was said "he would not engage in nation building". He may of
>spent a fortune, but he didn't do it on a useless war, because he had some
>personal vendetta. It's not the same administration. It's not the same
>situation.

The situations are based on the goals, which are not so different.....
economic domination. Reagan failed in both the middle East and Central
America. Bush I created an excuse to use military force in Panama, but
only to reclaim the Panama Canal after Reagan's failure to secure the
land for the anticipated Nicaraguan Canal. Bush I & II were both
handed the opportunity to use force in the middle East, and both
failed. None of the three addressed "ethnic cleansing" in other
nations, nor did they address basic civil rights issues in countries
like South Africa, El Salvador, et. al. Their "wars" were based purely
on economics, not like those "useless" wars by the Democrats that were
based on humanitarian issues. Neither Clinton nor Carter engaged in
any underhanded double-dealing with countries that the US had declared
to be enemies.

> It didn't come after a horrible democratic administation.

Maybe you should review your history books. Carter earned the Nobel
Peace Prize -- Reagan, Bush I and Bush II were never even nominated.

> And as far
>as the "I don't remember" stuff, most of that became prevalent during the last
>year of his presidency. And remember, he was 78 that year, and had Alzheimers.
>So to answer a simple question that Frank asked, yes, he made me feel good. He
>made alot of people feel good. And maybe, that is all that counts with some
>people. Just like many people today, specifically in the red states, who think
>Bush has these big balls, even though it has been written that invading Iraq was
>on his check list even before he was elected in 2000, and many of those still
>think there is a Iraq-Al-Qaeda link.

Despite advancements in science and evolution of society, many people
still believe in astrology, God, Elvis, and trickle-down economics.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

681. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 12:34:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <jh6o31dm2qc9tmtgse2cji7bj3fp6j2l6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 197706

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 06:06:23 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <061o31l2suejv8cfjlcc8qgok81h0q58qa@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 02:41:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>>>Or do you just remember that he made you "feel good"?
>>>
>>>That is basically it. But you have to remember, that I was only 21 when he
>>>finished his presidency. Most of my tax paying years have been spent with Bush
>>>I, Clinton, and W.
>>
>>
>>I was still a kid when Nixon resigned. I didn't need to pay taxes for
>>a couple decades before I understood that he was crooked.
>
>So what is your point? That I should have followed politics more intensly when I
>was a kid, because you did? I highly doubt you thought Nixon was a crook because
>of your knowledge of politics at that age, and because you had this open mind,
>or because you were more informed. It was because there were 8 TV channels,

Only 4 in my town -- and PBS had only been on for a few years.

> and
>3 news channels, and everyone thought he was a crook. You weren't special.

If everyone thought he was a crook, how did he get re-elected? Even at
my young age I recognized the Nixon was a BS artist and pushed my mom
to vote for McGovern. I also remember how the Communist party got some
significant votes in 1972.

>>>>> Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It's the same regime. Many of the people in the Bush administration
>>>>are the very same morons who, while under Reagan, helped to create
>>>>many of the current problems that are blamed on everyone else except
>>>>themselves.
>>>
>>>
>>>The problem, Reagan followed Carter. Bush follow Clinton.
>>
>>
>>Carter didn't make under-the-table deals with Iran, Iraq or Panama.
>>Clinton didn't pass weapons-grade biological specimens to Saddam.

>
>As I recall in 1980, there were 3 major factors in Carter demise. Iran, the
>economy, and the USSR.

According to Bush advisors, Carter didn't get re-elected because he
-didn't- invade another country. What he -did- do was publically state
a hard-line ultimatum to the USSR after their invasion of Afghanistan,
that any further aggression would be considered an act of war against
the US and that we would respond. That took balls -- more balls than
Reagan ever showed during the eight years he spent shaking the hand of
the leader of the "evil empire". And Reaganomics sucked; the Nixon
administration was responsible for the gas shortage and high interest
rates that evolved during the Ford and Carter administrations. Reagan
fixed it by mortgaging our economic future, the same kind of gamble he
took as governor of California.

>As far as Clinton, I don't want to get into it. I won't mention Whitewater, the
>lawyer who committed suicide, and the 2,000 pardons. And not to mention the
>national TV announcement "I did not have relations with that woman", while she
>was sucking his dick under the desk.

Clinton was crooked. No contest. But he did accomplish a few things
that Reagan, Bush I and Bush II didn't -- such as a balanced budget.

>If one thing I learned about politicians in my life is, they are all full of
>shit. You just have to pick the one that is the lesser of the ones being full of
>shit, or the one where the lies haven't gotten out yet.

Why? I mean, why are you forced to vote for someone you don't like?

>And please, if the best you can do is pick on my political beliefs from a period
>that were mostly my teenage years, then get a life.

If you are going to plead ignorance because you didn't learn anything
about political events during your teenage years then what right do
you have to comment about them?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

682. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 13:30:13 UTC
From: SeaView-USA@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26767-423C2965-7@storefull-3112.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197707

I heard Turner Microphones were designed and made by 2 Mortuary brothers that decided that their PA System did not fill enough sound in the mortuary room.

683. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 14:08:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <eobo319rgvr2635khrfhgbr8auj3ohl4jf@4ax.com>
Source record: 197708

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 04:04:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>>>>
>>>> I can't. I loved Reagan. Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>>>
>>>What? You mean a classic figure-head that had no idea what was going on in
>>>his own administration? Like that? Did you ever read the transcripts of the
>>>Contra investigation when he replied like 600 times either "I don't know" or
>>>"I can't remember"?
>>>
>>>No thanks. Not again.
>>.
>>
>>It's not the same situation. First off, I was 14-21 years old, when he was
>>president.
>
>
>Aren't those the years when you should have been getting educated?

Frank, you don't set the standards for education. Thank God. What I learned, and
what I wanted to learn, were not the same as what you learned, and what you
wanted to learn. And again, I thank God.

>
>> He became president after a disastrous Carter administration, where
>>we were made fools off for 440 days in Iran, and the economy went to the
>>shitter. Do you recall 14% mortgage rates? As I recall, the nation's tone back
>>around 1980, was pretty bad.
>
>
>The mortgage rates surged because the Carter administration's policy
>was to bend to corporate demands for a less-regulated free-market
>economy. The corps lost money so in 1980 they backed a president who
>would impose policies that would favor big-business..... Reagan. He
>"turned" the economy by borrowing huge amounts of money and raising
>the federal debt by several orders of magnitude -- a debt that was
>finally repaid under Clinton and his nasty cigars.

So Reagan borrowed money? Now there is a shocker.

>
>> Reagan added charisma. Gave us national pride. And
>>his overspending did eventually lead to the fall of the eastern bloc, when they
>>tired to keep up.

>Did you catch the news last night? The guy who defined the "isolation"
>policy against the Soviet bloc died. But before he died he admitted
>that isolation was -less- preferable to open and free dialog on both
>political and economic levels. He also stressed the need for US policy
>that was more respectful of the cultures of foreign nations.

No, I didn't catch the news last night.

>
>> Sure the guy had problems. A shitload. I remember Iran-contra
>>for most of the last 2 years of his presidency. I remember the arms to Iraq,
>>even though he was gassing everybody. Though I think that came out later. None
>>the less, he left amongst the highest approval rating of any president.
>
>
>Go figure. Wasn't it Samuel Clemens who said.....
>
>
>> Now, I
>>know I would look at it differently had I been 38 back then. But one thing he
>>didn't do, was invade another country and get stuck there for 2 years plus.

>Wrong. Remember Lebanon?

We invaded? I thought sent a peace keeping force. Were did not attack. We did
not land on their beaches. If I recall correctly, the marine Barracks bombing
ended that scenerio.

>>Especially after it was said "he would not engage in nation building". He may of
>>spent a fortune, but he didn't do it on a useless war, because he had some
>>personal vendetta. It's not the same administration. It's not the same
>>situation.
>
>
>The situations are based on the goals, which are not so different.....
>economic domination. Reagan failed in both the middle East and Central
>America. Bush I created an excuse to use military force in Panama, but
>only to reclaim the Panama Canal after Reagan's failure to secure the
>land for the anticipated Nicaraguan Canal.

Funny. You failed to mention the President sho signed the Canal away. Remember?
Your hero, Carter. And I do recall that the Panamanian leader at the time, was
threatening to blow up the canal, if we did not give control. I guess that
policy counts as a pssing grade in Central America.

>Bush I & II were both
>handed the opportunity to use force in the middle East, and both
>failed. None of the three addressed "ethnic cleansing" in other
>nations, nor did they address basic civil rights issues in countries
>like South Africa, El Salvador, et. al. Their "wars" were based purely
>on economics, not like those "useless" wars by the Democrats that were
>based on humanitarian issues. Neither Clinton nor Carter engaged in
>any underhanded double-dealing with countries that the US had declared

>to be enemies.
>
>
>> It didn't come after a horrible democratic administation.

>Maybe you should review your history books. Carter earned the Nobel
>Peace Prize -- Reagan, Bush I and Bush II were never even nominated.

That is pretty funny. The Nobel prize made him a great president. Like that prize has some meaning in my life. I am sure the fucking Iranian hostages were impressed by it.

>
>> And as far
>>as the "I don't remember" stuff, most of that became prevalent during the last
>>year of his presidency. And remember, he was 78 that year, and had Alzheimers.
>>So to answer a simple question that Frank asked, yes, he made me feel good. He
>>made alot of people feel good. And maybe, that is all that counts with some
>>people. Just like many people today, specifically in the red states, who think
>>Bush has these big balls, even though it has been written that invading Iraq was
>>on his check list even before he was elected in 2000, and many of those still
>>think there is a Iraq-Al-Qaeda link.
>
>
>Despite advancements in science and evolution of society, many people
>still believe in astrology, God, Elvis, and trickle-down economics.

Save it, Frank. How I felt back then, was how I fely back then. You arent' going to change my mind. Save your breath.

Vinnie S.

684. FA: Knightkit X-10 Calibrator Plus Something for all!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 14:23:06 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <eBW_d.20674$I32.4097@fe12.lga>
Source record: 197709
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5761524039&rd=1

Plus Much more stuff here>
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZmyradioroom

685. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 14:30:11 UTC
From: alexV <alexV7623@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_eydncOexqunqqHfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 197710

You seem to be the only poster in this NG w/o a sack of crap under the skull. Could you please answer my question. I just posted it on a uk ng. I am in the US though.

I need to establish an absolutely reliable line of verbal communication between two stationary points separated by about a quarter mile. It takes me under 10 min to walk the distance. The place is located downtown a middle size US city. I have tried to communicate via a Microsoft messenger. It works but so far I haven't been able to make it reliable. The conversation breaks down. I am sure I will fix the problem eventually but I need a backup system anyway.

I have tried a walkie-talkie T5950 by Motorola. It is the most powerful I could find at Radio Shack. It is advertised as capable of maintaining communication over 5 miles. In fact it barely covers my distance because of the interference by residential and industrial structures. It operates at its limit capability, there is a lot of static and as a result it is also unreliable.

I turned my attention to CB radio. There are hundreds of systems on the market. I have NO background in the business. The money is no object but I don't want to be extravagant. My needs are simple--to be able to talk on demand any time. I don't want extra features of any kind.

What power output do I need? What kind of antenna? One office is located on the fifth floor of a concrete building, the counterpart is on the second floor of a century old brick building.

I would appreciate ANY suggestions. I speculate that among people who lurk in here there are dealers promoting their products. I would appreciate them staying clear. I would greatly appreciate opinions of people with PERSONAL experience.

Thanks.

686. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 14:36:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ljco31totcmijjn9mfje5ea97kje5r1uj1@4ax.com>
Source record: 197711

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 04:34:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>> and
>>3 news channels, and everyone thought he was a crook. You weren't special.
>
>
>If everyone thought he was a crook, how did he get re-elected? Even at
>my young age I recognized the Nixon was a BS artist and pushed my mom
>to vote for McGovern. I also remember how the Communist party got some
>significant votes in 1972.

He was re-elected because most of his shanagans happened in his 2nd term. But
who the hell knows, since I don't remember that far back. Most of my knowledge
of him came from articles and news programs well after his presidency. The first
I remember reading about watergate, said it started around 1972, and steamrolled
after his re-election. Regardless, I am sure he was not the first crook, and
wasn't the last.

>>>>The problem, Reagan followed Carter. Bush follow Clinton.
>>>
>>>
>>>Carter didn't make under-the-table deals with Iran, Iraq or Panama.
>>>Clinton didn't pass weapons-grade biological specimens to Saddam.
>>
>>As I recall in 1980, there were 3 major factors in Carter demise. Iran, the
>>economy, and the USSR.
>
>
>According to Bush advisors, Carter didn't get re-elected because he
>-didn't- invade another country.

That is Iran.

>What he -did- do was publically state
>a hard-line ultimatum to the USSR after their invasion of Afghanistan,
>that any further aggression would be considered an act of war against
>the US and that we would respond.

Boycotting Olympics is hard line. I sincerely doubt the Russians had any fear of
him, based on Iran.

>That took balls -- more balls than
>Reagan ever showed during the eight years he spent shaking the hand of
>the leader of the "evil empire".

Again, say he had balls to the Iranian hostages. His only attempt at freeing them, was a disaster.

>And Reaganomics sucked; the Nixon
>administration was responsible for the gas shortage and high interest
>rates that evolved during the Ford and Carter administrations. Reagan
>fixed it by mortgaging our economic future, the same kind of gamble he
>took as governor of California.

No disagreements. Reaganomics are world famous by now.

>
>>As far as Clinton, I don't want to get into it. I won't mention Whitewater, the
>>lawyer who committed suicide, and the 2,000 pardons. And not to mention the
>>national TV announcement "I did not have relations with that woman", while she
>>was sucking his dick under the desk.

>Clinton was crooked. No contest. But he did accomplish a few things
>that Reagan, Bush I and Bush II didn't -- such as a balanced budget.

And I liked that. And I still wish that were the case.

>>If one thing I learned about politicians in my life is, they are all full of
>>shit. You just have to pick the one that is the lesser of the ones being full of
>>shit, or the one where the lies haven't gotten out yet.

>Why? I mean, why are you forced to vote for someone you don't like?

Because in the 2 party system, a vote for another party is a waste. For NJ senator, I voted for the independent. He got 800 votes.

>>And please, if the best you can do is pick on my political beliefs from a period
>>that were mostly my teenage years, then get a life.

>If you are going to plead ignorance because you didn't learn anything
>about political events during your teenage years then what right do
>you have to comment about them?

Frank, get some help or medication. I have every right. If I liked the guy, because of how he handled himself and I was 16, and the way the country felt about him, there isn't a damn thing you can do about it. And I will comment on it whenever I want to, with or without your permission.

You have a serious mental problem. You have to school everyone on this newsgroup. You have done it to Dave, me, whoever. Best of all, you are not telling me I should have liked a president in my teenage years, because you didn't agree with it. First off, when I look at things now, like taxes, or gas prices, or mortgage rates, or soldiers getting killed. It's a lot different when as a teenager, things like girls, music, cars, etc, were priorites. That is for most teenagers. Not everyone was like you, who at 13, had a 50 year old mind. I don't owe you or anyone an explanation. And I will certainly comment on whatever I feel like, and there isn't a damn thing you can do. Now, if you want to stalk my ass, like you do to so many others in this NG, then go right ahead. The next step is to become my personal spell checker. If you have insecurities, that is your problem. I am not going to be like Dave, and get into pissing contests with

you, because this is your life. And when you can't get your way, you'll just read every post from "person you hate on this NG for the month", and correct them in every which way they speak. Why? Bbecause that is your way.

As far as Reagan, I loved the guy back then. That hasn't changed, and you won't change it. So save you're breath.

Vinnie S.

687. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 17:27:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <IcqdnYeKiJ6A_KHfRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 197712

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050318183747.249$zD@newsreader.com...
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > > > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if you
> > > > want to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice. I like
> > > > my trip planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have campfire
wood
> > > > and a barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle
bus.
> > > >
> > > > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
> > >
> > > Where are you staying at? I'm going with a group that will be staying
> > > at the university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent several ways is
> > > costing each of us $28 each for two nights. We get a kitchen, stove,
> > > etc. with only two people per room.
> > >
> > Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with you?
> >
> I'll take the no-reply as a yes. Run away if the fun starts.

So now you're a mind reader? Think of some new material. You've gotten too
boring to bother with even insulting for the rise everybody gets out of you
when you respond. Oh, how may broadband ISP's service the Medina area, other
than yours, I just have to ask since I'm wasting my time typing this.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

688. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 17:31:43 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <R7-dneNsJMKc_6HfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 197713

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050317235205.615$zz@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050315075708.160$qa@newsreader.com...
> > > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > > > On 15 Mar 2005 11:46:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> > >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see
> > > > >> > >somebody else have a higher look up count on QRZ than me for a
> > > > >> > >change.
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > I never looked you up. They have a count there?
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Vinnie S.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due to
> > > > >> my postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot more
> > > > >> people just sandbag on the side reading and never post anything.
> > > > >>
> > > > >18,000?! Are you the record holder?
> > > > >
> > > > He wrong already. It's more than 18,000 !!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > I think he has been on TV !
> > > >
> > > Honestly, how the hell do you get 18,000 plus lookups? I don't buy
> > > it's a reult of posting in rec.radio.cb.
> > >
> > OK so don't. Nobody is twisting your arm.
> >
> I just find it hard to understand how a guy in an apartment with a
> cell phone antenna ran thru his rented air conditioner, could get
> looked up 18 thousand times.

And I don't even do HF. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows
```

689. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 17:50:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050319125056.530$BR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197714

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050318183747.249$zD@newsreader.com...
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > > > > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if
> > > > > you want to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance notice.
> > > > > I like my trip planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have
> > > > > campfire
> wood
> > > > > and a barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle
> bus.
> > > > >
> > > > > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
> > > >
> > > > Where are you staying at? I'm going with a group that will be
> > > > staying at the university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent
> > > > several ways is costing each of us $28 each for two nights. We get
> > > > a kitchen, stove, etc. with only two people per room.
> > > >
> > > Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with
> > > you?
> > >
> > I'll take the no-reply as a yes. Run away if the fun starts.
>
> So now you're a mind reader? Think of some new material. You've gotten
> too boring to bother with even insulting for the rise everybody gets out
> of you when you respond. Oh, how may broadband ISP's service the Medina
> area, other than yours, I just have to ask since I'm wasting my time
> typing this.
>
Why, thinking of upgrading your aol, putz?

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

690. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 18:43:06 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <2M-dnU8Bgoch76HfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 197715

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050319125056.530$BR@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050318183747.249$zD@newsreader.com...
> > > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper if
> > > > > > you want to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance
notice.
> > > > > > I like my trip planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll have
> > > > > > campfire
> > wood
> > > > > > and a barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the shuttle
> > bus.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
> > > > >
> > > > > Where are you staying at? I'm going with a group that will be
> > > > > staying at the university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent
> > > > > several ways is costing each of us $28 each for two nights. We get
> > > > > a kitchen, stove, etc. with only two people per room.
> > > > >
> > > > Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with
> > > > you?
> > > >
> > > I'll take the no-reply as a yes. Run away if the fun starts.
> > >
> > So now you're a mind reader? Think of some new material. You've gotten
> > too boring to bother with even insulting for the rise everybody gets out
> > of you when you respond. Oh, how may broadband ISP's service the Medina
> > area, other than yours, I just have to ask since I'm wasting my time
> > typing this.
> >
> Why, thinking of upgrading your aol, putz?

Setevo - just another befuddled and clueless info appliance user.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows
```

691. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 19:20:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050319142046.345$tO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197717

```
"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050319125056.530$BR@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20050318183747.249$zD@newsreader.com...
> > > > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20050312154818.082$C2@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > > Almighty then. I can offer you a place to stay in my camper
> > > > > > > if you want to stay, but I'd like a little bit of an advance
> notice.
> > > > > > > I like my trip planned in advance. It should be fun, I'll
> > > > > > > have campfire
> > > wood
> > > > > > > and a barbecue, we'll run over there in my truck, or the
> > > > > > > shuttle
> > > bus.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Give it some thought and let me know by May 1.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Where are you staying at? I'm going with a group that will be
> > > > > > staying at the university dorms. Splitting the dorm room rent
> > > > > > several ways is costing each of us $28 each for two nights. We
> > > > > > get a kitchen, stove, etc. with only two people per room.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hey, is your squat to piss cowardly felon friend dogie going with
> > > > > you?
> > > > >
> > > > I'll take the no-reply as a yes. Run away if the fun starts.
> > >
> > So now you're a mind reader? Think of some new material. You've
> > gotten too boring to bother with even insulting for the rise
> > everybody gets out of you when you respond. Oh, how may broadband
> > ISP's service the Medina area, other than yours, I just have to ask
> > since I'm wasting my time typing this.
> >
> Why, thinking of upgrading your aol, putz?
>
> Setevo - just another befuddled and clueless info appliance user.
>
Leland - dildo.
```

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

692. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 19:21:40 UTC
From: user <user@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <113ouqmo677vo07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 197716

> I need to establish an absolutely reliable line of verbal communication
...
> I have tried a walkie-talkie T5950 by Motorola. It is the most powerful I

From what I can find on the web, this radio only puts out 1W, which is not much. Handheld radios can legally go up to 7W, though very very few go above 5W. If your communications are possible but poor at 1W, they would probably be acceptable but maybe not crystal clear at 5W (you will not get 5X better signal because when you double your power, your signal only improves 1.5X). The problem with consumer-grade radios is they seldom tell you the power output on the box or bubble pack, so you may have to do some research to find radios that do 5W. When they say "6 miles" or whatever on the package, that is completely meaningless.

Be aware that only the 1/2W (500mW) FRS radios are license-free. Your current radio and any other radio like it that puts out more than 1/2W are GMRS radios, and require you to obtain an $80 license from the FCC in order to legally operate them. Since the FCC will not issue a license to a business, one person at each of your locations will need a license (unless you are related; family memebrs can share GMRS licenses).

If you don't want to sink $160 into GMRS licensing (the licenses are good for 5 years), you can look at license-free MURS radios. These radios only go up to 2W though, so I'm guessing they will not have the power you need. Also, the radios themselves cost $100-$200 each.

Most CBs only put out 4W and CB has other issues too (interference from CBers three states away). If you can find a couple of inexpensive CB radios that do SSB (not all do, read the specs carefully!), you get 16W of power in SSB mode. That would probably do the trick though you might find yourself changing channels once in a while to get away from interference.

> What power output do I need? What kind of antenna?

I think the simplest solution is to find a pair of 5W GMRS or 2W MURS handhelds that have detachable antennas. If these still can't make the distance, you can purchase aftermarket antennas. These will be long and ungainly, but will about double your performance over the stock stubby antenna. When you look at aftermarket antennas, try to get one that advertises a 5/8 wavelength element, as 5/8 has more gain (=better signal) than the more common 1/4 wavelength element. Aftermarket antennas can be found at a commercial radio shop (the place where police depts buy their radios) or at a ham radio shop. Make sure you get antennas tuned to 462-467MHz for GMRS or 154MHz for MURS, or you will fry your radios the first time you transmit.

Hope that helps!

693. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 20:34:16 UTC
From: Viper GTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <iY%_d.36501$Q83.32791@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 197718

Steveo wrote:
> Leland - dildo.

I always suspected he had a last name.

694. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 21:11:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050319161134.069$qR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197719

"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Leland - dildo.
>
> I always suspected he had a last name.
>
Ha. hey, you didn't go to the Busch race?

--
Think no one cares about you? Miss two payments.

695. History

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-19 22:36:59 UTC
From: Samantha <chevychic1022@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1111271819.795109.234120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197720

Hey Guys!! Does anyone know where the first radio station was located
in United States? Thanks!!!

696. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 00:12:53 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1cfp319qo88pked7phcbhj3b850in56p67@4ax.com>
Source record: 197721

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 09:36:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <ljco31totcmijjn9mfje5ea97kje5r1uj1@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 04:34:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>> and
>>>3 news channels, and everyone thought he was a crook. You weren't special.
>>
>>
>>If everyone thought he was a crook, how did he get re-elected? Even at
>>my young age I recognized the Nixon was a BS artist and pushed my mom
>>to vote for McGovern. I also remember how the Communist party got some
>>significant votes in 1972.
>
>He was re-elected because most of his shanagans happened in his 2nd term.

The Watergate break-in happened during his first term -- Liddy's job
was to get info about the Dems that could be used to help Nixon get
re-elected.

> But
>who the hell knows, since I don't remember that far back. Most of my knowledge
>of him came from articles and news programs well after his presidency. The first
>I remember reading about watergate, said it started around 1972, and steamrolled
>after his re-election. Regardless, I am sure he was not the first crook, and
>wasn't the last.

That's for sure.

>>>>>The problem, Reagan followed Carter. Bush follow Clinton.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Carter didn't make under-the-table deals with Iran, Iraq or Panama.
>>>>Clinton didn't pass weapons-grade biological specimens to Saddam.
>>>
>>>As I recall in 1980, there were 3 major factors in Carter demise. Iran, the
>>>economy, and the USSR.
>>
>>
>>According to Bush advisors, Carter didn't get re-elected because he
>>-didn't- invade another country.
>
>That is Iran.

I don't think it mattered which country, just the fact that he didn't
attack -anybody-. At least that's the way I think Junior described it.

>>What he -did- do was publically state
>>a hard-line ultimatum to the USSR after their invasion of Afghanistan,
>>that any further aggression would be considered an act of war against
>>the US and that we would respond.
>
>Boycotting Olympics is hard line. I sincerely doubt the Russians had any fear of
>him, based on Iran.

You lost me there.

>>That took balls -- more balls than
>>Reagan ever showed during the eight years he spent shaking the hand of
>>the leader of the "evil empire".
>
>Again, say he had balls to the Iranian hostages. His only attempt at freeing
>them, was a disaster.

Carter refused to negotiate with Iran. OTOH, Reagan secretly paid
their ransom while -publically- taking a "hard line" against Iran and
other terrorists. Kennedy did the same kind of two-faced dealings to
get the missles out of Cuba. Both Reagan and Kennedy came out smelling
like roses, while Carter is considered a wimp. Kind of ironic, eh?

>>Clinton was crooked. No contest. But he did accomplish a few things
>>that Reagan, Bush I and Bush II didn't -- such as a balanced budget.
>
>And I liked that. And I still wish that were the case.

Just a suggestion: find out if your senators voted against the
Feingold amendment. If they did, vote them out in the next election.

>>>If one thing I learned about politicians in my life is, they are all full of
>>>shit. You just have to pick the one that is the lesser of the ones being full of
>>>shit, or the one where the lies haven't gotten out yet.
>
>>Why? I mean, why are you forced to vote for someone you don't like?
>
>Because in the 2 party system, a vote for another party is a waste. For NJ
>senator, I voted for the independent. He got 800 votes.

I don't see a vote for a third party or independent to be a waste; I
see it as a vote of dissatisfaction with the current 2-party cartel.
But I'll agree that the pickins are slim even when you widen the
field.

>>>And please, if the best you can do is pick on my political beliefs from a period
>>>that were mostly my teenage years, then get a life.
>
>>If you are going to plead ignorance because you didn't learn anything
>>about political events during your teenage years then what right do
>>you have to comment about them?

>
>Frank, get some help or medication. I have every right. If I liked the guy,
>because of how he handled himself and I was 16, and the way the country felt
>about him, there isn't a damn thing you can do about it. And I will comment on
>it whenever I want to, with or without your permission.
>
>You have a serious mental problem. You have to school everyone on this
>newsgroup. You have done it to Dave, me, whoever. Best of all, you are not
>telling me I should have liked a president in my teenage years, because you
>didn't agree with it. First off, when I look at things now, like taxes, or gas
>prices, or mortgage rates, or soldiers getting killed. It's a lot different when
>as a teenager, things like girls, music, cars, etc, were priorites. That is for
>most teenagers. Not everyone was like you, who at 13, had a 50 year old mind. I
>don't owe you or anyone an explanation. And I will certainly comment on whatever
>I feel like, and there isn't a damn thing you can do. Now, if you want to stalk
>my ass, like you do to so many others in this NG, then go right ahead. The next
>step is to become my personal spell checker. If you have insecurities, that is
>your problem. I am not going to be like Dave, and get into pissing contests with
>you, because this is your life. And when you can't get your way, you'll just
>read every post from "person you hate on this NG for the month", and correct
>them in every which way they speak. Why? Bbecause that is your way.
>
>As far as Reagan, I loved the guy back then. That hasn't changed, and you won't
>change it. So save you're breath.

It's official: you're now a bona-fide "angry hammie". But I'm glad to
hear that you don't plan to be like Dave Hall -- that would make you a
hypocrite -and- an angry hammie.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

697. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 00:14:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a0gp31lt0l8glhcnedagvg8o1j5vhcmrjq@4ax.com>
Source record: 197722

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 09:08:03 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <eobo319rgvr2635khrfhgbr8auj3ohl4jf@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 04:04:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>>>>>
>>>>> I can't. I loved Reagan. Can't stand W. Reagan was a classic.
>>>>
>>>>What? You mean a classic figure-head that had no idea what was going on in
>>>>his own administration? Like that? Did you ever read the transcripts of the
>>>>Contra investigation when he replied like 600 times either "I don't know" or
>>>>"I can't remember"?
>>>>
>>>>No thanks. Not again.
>>>.
>>>
>>>It's not the same situation. First off, I was 14-21 years old, when he was
>>>president.
>>
>>
>>Aren't those the years when you should have been getting educated?
>
>Frank, you don't set the standards for education. Thank God. What I learned, and
>what I wanted to learn, were not the same as what you learned, and what you
>wanted to learn. And again, I thank God.

Well, you must have learned something because you recognized Baby Bush
as a bad choice for president. It's too bad more people didn't learn
what you did.

>>> He became president after a disastrous Carter administration, where
>>>we were made fools off for 440 days in Iran, and the economy went to the
>>>shitter. Do you recall 14% mortgage rates? As I recall, the nation's tone back
>>>around 1980, was pretty bad.
>>
>>
>>The mortgage rates surged because the Carter administration's policy
>>was to bend to corporate demands for a less-regulated free-market
>>economy. The corps lost money so in 1980 they backed a president who
>>would impose policies that would favor big-business..... Reagan. He
>>"turned" the economy by borrowing huge amounts of money and raising
>>the federal debt by several orders of magnitude -- a debt that was
>>finally repaid under Clinton and his nasty cigars.
>

>So Reagan borrowed money? Now there is a shocker.

Reagan borrowed -=HUGE=- amounts of money, far more than any other
president before him, even in wartime.

>>> Now, I
>>>know I would look at it differently had I been 38 back then. But one thing he
>>>didn't do, was invade another country and get stuck there for 2 years plus.
>
>>Wrong. Remember Lebanon?
>
>We invaded?

No, we just sent military forces into a hostile foreign country.

> I thought sent a peace keeping force.

That's the "official" version.

> Were did not attack.

Right, and the 16-inch guns were lobbing care packages to the people
in the hills behind Beirut.

> We did
>not land on their beaches.

We most certainly did.

> If I recall correctly, the marine Barracks bombing
>ended that scenerio.

Yep. Reagan got a bloody nose and ran away. Good thing he had Grenada
in the works to offset the political backlash.

>>>Especially after it was said "he would not engage in nation building". He may of
>>>spent a fortune, but he didn't do it on a useless war, because he had some
>>>personal vendetta. It's not the same administration. It's not the same
>>>situation.
>>
>>
>>The situations are based on the goals, which are not so different.....
>>economic domination. Reagan failed in both the middle East and Central
>>America. Bush I created an excuse to use military force in Panama, but
>>only to reclaim the Panama Canal after Reagan's failure to secure the
>>land for the anticipated Nicaraguan Canal.
>
>Funny. You failed to mention the President sho signed the Canal away. Remember?
>Your hero, Carter. And I do recall that the Panamanian leader at the time, was
>threatening to blow up the canal, if we did not give control. I guess that
>policy counts as a pssing grade in Central America.

Carter signed over the Panama canal because the US was planning to
build a bigger canal through Nicaragua. I've never heard about any

threats. Got a link?

>>Maybe you should review your history books. Carter earned the Nobel
>>Peace Prize -- Reagan, Bush I and Bush II were never even nominated.
>
>That is pretty funny. The Nobel prize made him a great president. Like that
>prize has some meaning in my life. I am sure the fucking Iranian hostages were
>impressed by it.

Carter's efforts may well have prevented WWIII from breaking out in
the middle East. Instead of -making- war he -prevented- war. And
THAT'S why he earned the Nobel Peace Prize, and also why he was a
great president.

>>> And as far
>>>as the "I don't remember" stuff, most of that became prevalent during the last
>>>year of his presidency. And remember, he was 78 that year, and had Alzheimers.
>>>So to answer a simple question that Frank asked, yes, he made me feel good. He
>>>made alot of people feel good. And maybe, that is all that counts with some
>>>people. Just like many people today, specifically in the red states, who think
>>>Bush has these big balls, even though it has been written that invading Iraq was
>>>on his check list even before he was elected in 2000, and many of those still
>>>think there is a Iraq-Al-Qaeda link.
>>
>>
>>Despite advancements in science and evolution of society, many people
>>still believe in astrology, God, Elvis, and trickle-down economics.
>
>
>Save it, Frank. How I felt back then, was how I fely back then. You arent' going
>to change my mind. Save your breath.

I can't change the past.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

698. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 00:23:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <n6gp31tus6ks1nht9ps1n0uqgfo7739s9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 197723

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 22:53:58 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <4i8n31pptru267ekfptl1f7pj9563rhj8s@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>><20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>The choices suck.
>>
>>
>>Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>
>
>
>McCain !!!!
>
>I really like him !!!!!!

I agree. And with Bush's dirty politics out of the way in 2008 he has
a very good chance to get the GOP nod.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

699. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 00:26:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kmgp311suthv9h4rgn4rrsk23si5dr5566@4ax.com>
Source record: 197724

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:01:09 -0800, "Jeff Mayner"
<jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in <cpKdnZOKLeGJL6bfRVn-pg@giganews.com>:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>> <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>> The choices suck.
>>>
>>>
>>> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>>
>>
>>
>> McCain !!!!
>>
>> I really like him !!!!!!
>
>Even after he swallowed Bush's dick and allowed the little weasle to get
>away with saying all those terrible things about him and his family in South
>Carolina?
>
>Why isn't McCain saying anything about Bush cutting millions out of the new
>budget re: Veterans? McCain is just another tool.
>
>Pah-leeze!

I think that might have more to do with party solidarity -- i.e, maybe
he was told to take a couple on the chin so the party wouldn't split.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

700. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 00:32:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <stgp31dvbjf5em7kn33etfb274t0gggsnq@4ax.com>
Source record: 197725

On 19 Mar 2005 03:57:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050318225748.871$Bp@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >The choices suck.
>>
>> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>
>Dunno. i'd like it to be someone that has -never- had anything
>to do with politics. I liked Perot some, so it would have to
>be a git-r-dun business man.

I think Eisner might be available for the job.....

>Hopes are too high already.

Hmmm.... why does the term 'rubber-money-tree plant' come to mind?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

701. FS and a ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 00:39:34 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <sD3%d.54630$NC6.35761@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197726

I've been out of the loop as far as prices on [used] CB radios as of late. Approximately what would a Radio Shack Mobile SSB CB be worth - used? I have one in relatively decent shape but with signs of use, seems to work ok. I don't have it here in front of me, but the number is like 449 or 499.

Also, I have a Courier Classic PLL 40 which is a mobile size CB, all chrome looking, runs off AC or DC. I've not tried the AC section (no cord), but except for the PA system, seems to work like a charm. Any idea on it's worth? If I have the schematic for this one, I may repair the PA section OR someone who wishes to buy, can buy it cheap and tackle it themselves.

Have a TRC 422A also, which needs the squelch repaired. As soon as I can dig up a schematic, I am going to tackle that one. Needs a mic also!

Have some other [used] sets available as well... Cobras, Realistic, Midland, etc. No other sidebands. Power mics available as well. A Cobra Power mic and a Turner (+3? or +2 MU) - I can't recall - not looking at it. It is the older blue mobile power mic. I think it took the 7 vdc battery. Have a couple Cobra mics as well. A 4 and 5 pin. Other General purpose mics also available.

Have some "Commercial" quality antennas available as well. Not your typical "CB" Antenna names. These are pretty broad band. They're new in the box with "factory" warranty. Low loss coax also available - with "factory" warranty.

If anyone may be interested in either of the above, let me know. Otherwise, was planning on throwing them on E-Bay. Shipping would not be included and payment only via Postal MO or Pay Pal. Insurance would also be mandatory. Pics and/or other info available.

ALL items being sold AS IS - be they new or used. Trying to clear out some inventory. If in the case of an Antenna/coax problem, you'd have to write the factory for assistance. IF you have other needs, write me. I may have it.

cl

702. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 00:52:42 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <q8ip31dshsld26qa2nqcctfp09inpc8hu3@4ax.com>
Source record: 197727

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:12:53 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>
>It's official: you're now a bona-fide "angry hammie". But I'm glad to
>hear that you don't plan to be like Dave Hall -- that would make you a
>hypocrite -and- an angry hammie.

I can't be an angry hammie. I don't have any equipment yet !!!!

I do apologize for making some comments about your sanity. So I hope there are
no hard feeling.

Vinnie S.

703. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 00:59:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ngip315so8diqujrqb9n4q4fqrgg3s3dbu@4ax.com>
Source record: 197728

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:14:55 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>>>The situations are based on the goals, which are not so different.....
>>>economic domination. Reagan failed in both the middle East and Central
>>>America. Bush I created an excuse to use military force in Panama, but
>>>only to reclaim the Panama Canal after Reagan's failure to secure the
>>>land for the anticipated Nicaraguan Canal.
>>
>>Funny. You failed to mention the President sho signed the Canal away. Remember?
>>Your hero, Carter. And I do recall that the Panamanian leader at the time, was
>>threatening to blow up the canal, if we did not give control. I guess that
>>policy counts as a pssing grade in Central America.

>Carter signed over the Panama canal because the US was planning to
>build a bigger canal through Nicaragua. I've never heard about any
>threats. Got a link?

I'll be honest with you, Frank. I don't recall the leader. I only recall seeing
a video interview thru which he was speaking thru an interpreter. It's was a
long time ago. I don't know if this is the same guy:

http://www.answers.com/topic/torrijos-carter-treaties

Possible sabotage
According to the New York Times, the day after the Senate ratified the treaty,
Torrijos declared that his regime had contingency plans to sabotage the canal if
ratification had failed. In August, 1990 the Chicago Tribune reported that
documents captured by the U. S. military revealed that Torrijos had asked Manuel
Noriega to prepare such plans. Noriega's handwritten notes on the plan were
found during the 1989 invasion.

These reports were confirmed in Noriega's book, America's Prisoner published in
1997. The contingency plan was code-named "Huele a Quemado" ("It smells like
something's burning."). In Noriega's account, Panamanian military specialists,
had infiltrated the U.S. security cordon and lived for two months, posing as
peasants and fishermen. They were prepared to assault the canal and the
Panama-Colï¿½n railway with explosives and rocket launchers upon Torrijos' signal,
to be broadcast as a coded message on the program of a popular radio
personality.

In the book, Noriega also repeats the charge made by critics of American foreign
policy that the invasion of Panama under Operation Just Cause was launched
primarily to circumvent the treaty.

Vinnie S.

704. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 01:23:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <v1kp31l97kadgcjt32oefubbm6b4mjk11k@4ax.com>
Source record: 197729

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 19:59:11 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:14:55 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>>>The situations are based on the goals, which are not so different.....
>>>>economic domination. Reagan failed in both the middle East and Central
>>>>America. Bush I created an excuse to use military force in Panama, but
>>>>only to reclaim the Panama Canal after Reagan's failure to secure the
>>>>land for the anticipated Nicaraguan Canal.
>>>
>>>Funny. You failed to mention the President sho signed the Canal away. Remember?
>>>Your hero, Carter. And I do recall that the Panamanian leader at the time, was
>>>threatening to blow up the canal, if we did not give control. I guess that
>>>policy counts as a pssing grade in Central America.
>
>
>
>
>
>>Carter signed over the Panama canal because the US was planning to
>>build a bigger canal through Nicaragua. I've never heard about any
>>threats. Got a link?

Here is another. It had to be that guy. But I was about only 10 or 11:

http://www.pbs.org/newshour/bb/latin_america/panamacanal/canal_12-13.html

The Carter-Torrijos Treaties

TOM BEARDEN: A peaceful transfer seemed very far away back in the 1960s and
'70s, when Panamanians rioted in the streets protesting American sovereignty
over the canal and the zone that surrounds it. Panamanian Dictator Omar Torrijos
threatened to blow up the canal if the U.S. didn't get out. After protracted
negotiations, President Jimmy Carter signed a treaty with Torrijos in 1977,
setting up a process whereby Panama gradually assumed operational control of the
canal, eventually leading to a complete U.S. pullout.

Vinnie S.

705. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 02:35:52 UTC
From: Ron038547@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111286151.976860.176250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197730

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

706. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 07:53:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d68q31d082bissu8chilj38oorq5tfp8eu@4ax.com>
Source record: 197731

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 20:23:26 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <v1kp31l97kadgcjt32oefubbm6b4mjk11k@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 19:59:11 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:14:55 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>The situations are based on the goals, which are not so different.....
>>>>>>economic domination. Reagan failed in both the middle East and Central
>>>>>>America. Bush I created an excuse to use military force in Panama, but
>>>>>>only to reclaim the Panama Canal after Reagan's failure to secure the
>>>>>>land for the anticipated Nicaraguan Canal.
>>>>
>>>>Funny. You failed to mention the President sho signed the Canal away. Remember?
>>>>Your hero, Carter. And I do recall that the Panamanian leader at the time, was
>>>>threatening to blow up the canal, if we did not give control. I guess that
>>>>policy counts as a pssing grade in Central America.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>Carter signed over the Panama canal because the US was planning to
>>>build a bigger canal through Nicaragua. I've never heard about any
>>>threats. Got a link?
>
>
>Here is another. It had to be that guy. But I was about only 10 or 11:
>
>http://www.pbs.org/newshour/bb/latin_america/panamacanal/canal_12-13.html
>
>The Carter-Torrijos Treaties
>
>TOM BEARDEN: A peaceful transfer seemed very far away back in the 1960s and
>'70s, when Panamanians rioted in the streets protesting American sovereignty
>over the canal and the zone that surrounds it. Panamanian Dictator Omar Torrijos
>threatened to blow up the canal if the U.S. didn't get out. After protracted
>negotiations, President Jimmy Carter signed a treaty with Torrijos in 1977,
>setting up a process whereby Panama gradually assumed operational control of the
>canal, eventually leading to a complete U.S. pullout.

Interesting..... learn something new every day. But I don't think the
threat was the determining factor in the transfer of the canal since

Carter wouldn't have signed the treaty -- and Congress wouldn't have
passed it -- without already having plans to build an altenative canal
through Nicaragua. The strategic importance of the canal was just too
high to hand it over based on a threat by some third-world thug.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

707. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 08:14:05 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GMadnYb_o7lWraDfRVn-3A@giganews.com>
Source record: 197732

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 03:57:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050318225748.871$Bp@newsreader.com>:
>
>> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote
>>> in <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>> The choices suck.
>>>
>>> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>>
>> Dunno. i'd like it to be someone that has -never- had anything
>> to do with politics. I liked Perot some, so it would have to
>> be a git-r-dun business man.
>
>
> I think Eisner might be available for the job.....
>
>
>> Hopes are too high already.
>
>
> Hmmm.... why does the term 'rubber-money-tree plant' come to mind?

Why did I just get a mental picture of Frank Sinatra? ;-)

Jeff

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> Total Privacy via Encryption =----

708. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 13:48:23 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vaf%d.1444$Oa1.312@fe08.lga>
Source record: 197733

Steveo wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>>Now that you made me think of it, the rec.radio.cb death pool managed to
>>not make anyone any money last year. Guess we're gonna have to
>>re-prioritize the order of probability regarding who is most favored to
>>assume room temperature in 2005.
>>
>>
>>>I can only assure any fellow radio operator a
>>
>>>good time, or bad.
>>
>>>If you come around me with malice in mind, I
>>
>>>can't be responsible. If you come around me
>>
>>>with your hand-shake out, we'll have some
>>
>>>fun.
>>
>>>Nothing new.
>>
>>You sure you ain't got no southern blood in ya'? You cotton with the
>>same hospitality. We're simple folk.
>>
>
> I was born in West Virginia by gawd. Does it really show? :)
you really want to know :o

709. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 14:21:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <km1r31t9b9b0r2n42t3ldqignroilpgj83@4ax.com>
Source record: 197734

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 23:53:28 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>>>>Carter signed over the Panama canal because the US was planning to
>>>>build a bigger canal through Nicaragua. I've never heard about any
>>>>threats. Got a link?
>>
>>
>>Here is another. It had to be that guy. But I was about only 10 or 11:
>>
>>http://www.pbs.org/newshour/bb/latin_america/panamacanal/canal_12-13.html
>>
>>The Carter-Torrijos Treaties
>>
>>TOM BEARDEN: A peaceful transfer seemed very far away back in the 1960s and
>>'70s, when Panamanians rioted in the streets protesting American sovereignty
>>over the canal and the zone that surrounds it. Panamanian Dictator Omar Torrijos
>>threatened to blow up the canal if the U.S. didn't get out. After protracted
>>negotiations, President Jimmy Carter signed a treaty with Torrijos in 1977,
>>setting up a process whereby Panama gradually assumed operational control of the
>>canal, eventually leading to a complete U.S. pullout.
>
>
>Interesting..... learn something new every day. But I don't think the
>threat was the determining factor in the transfer of the canal since
>Carter wouldn't have signed the treaty -- and Congress wouldn't have
>passed it -- without already having plans to build an altenative canal
>through Nicaragua. The strategic importance of the canal was just too
>high to hand it over based on a threat by some third-world thug.

Unless I am wrong, it's this guy's son who was elected after the invasion. Go
figure.

Vinnie S.

710. Re: Bike Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 14:23:23 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cvOdnS5MycMUGqDfRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 197735

"user" <user@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:113ouqmo677vo07@corp.supernews.com...
> > I need to establish an absolutely reliable line of verbal communication
> ...
> > I have tried a walkie-talkie T5950 by Motorola. It is the most powerful
I
>
> From what I can find on the web, this radio only puts out 1W, which is not
> much. Handheld radios can legally go up to 7W, though very very few go
above
> 5W. If your communications are possible but poor at 1W, they would
probably
> be acceptable but maybe not crystal clear at 5W (you will not get 5X
better
> signal because when you double your power, your signal only improves
1.5X).
> The problem with consumer-grade radios is they seldom tell you the power
> output on the box or bubble pack, so you may have to do some research to
> find radios that do 5W. When they say "6 miles" or whatever on the
package,
> that is completely meaningless.

<snipped>

> Hope that helps!

I cannot thank you too much. I will have to study your answer and may have a
few more questions.

Yesterday I tried to apply for a FCC license for a GM radio as them call it.
I could not find GMRS category. I got lost in the application because the
system kept asking me about the latitude and longitude of my place which I
have to investigate and there were other errors/ contradictory answers I
could not even properly understand.

I can spend as much as necessary on this line of communication, provided it
is convenient and reliable. Money is no object.

Thanks.

711. Re: Message Board with CB Radio Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-20 16:31:26 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <yzh%d.34508$8D.34225@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197736

"Me" <k8cpachuck@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1111231435.899698.14630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Get a job, Gay boy... better yet, get a life. If you spend as much time
> working, as you did sitting on your lard behind and typing on that
> computer, you'd really be somebody, dougie..
>
> and yes, I do have one... I'm a product rep for a major retail chain in
> the area, making a whole lot more money than you'll have make. (26
> Thousand a year....)
>

Oh my........

> Perfect hours, good money...
>
> Life is good...
>
> Oh, I forgot, you live off of crisco kathy... my bad...
>
> hehe...
>

712. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 01:02:47 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111366967.835171.31360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197737

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

713. GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 04:39:20 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <mvjs31hfumkg9o1u7j9h5povopj5q5mfkn@4ax.com>
Source record: 197738

Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog of CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics (TriPower RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger Beep, etc.) and other CB technical articles at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

714. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 04:39:25 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <rvjs31hs03sguu7fkke2fjlmngcc7sdnr2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197739

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

715. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 04:39:29 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <vvjs31t9qm12qdegsk1alqjbckvk9pfg23@4ax.com>
Source record: 197741

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

716. FREE! "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" OF FREQ. MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 04:39:33 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <30ks319ukkat43qsu92pjuk9kcpnendukv@4ax.com>
Source record: 197740

FREE!!

For advanced techs and serious radio hobbyists, we're now offering a FREE copy of our previously published "CB EPROM DATA BOOK" by Martin Pickering. (EPROM = Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.) We sold it for many years but it's now out of print. This little manual of 60 illustrated pages is an absolute GEM of CB technical knowledge you'll just never find anywhere else. And it's yours for FREE from our Web site.

This book reveals the secrets of advanced channel programming, and picks up where our "CB PLL DATA BOOK" (www.cbcintl.com/pllbook.htm) leaves off. You'll get a thorough background in how these amazing programmable chips can be used to modify many popular CB PLL circuits for expanded frequency coverage. Covers the basic programming techniques, and even includes real PC board artwork for making EPROM circuits. Sample artwork includes EPROM boards for the popular MB8719, PLL02A, ï¿½PD2824, and ï¿½PD858 PLL circuits.

Best of all, the price is right--namely, FREE! Download your FREE copy of "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" (948 KB Adobe PDF) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/EpromBook.htm

Don't forget to check out all our other CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

717. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 05:45:13 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Jbt%d.26373$OU1.17794@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197742

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:4i8n31pptru267ekfptl1f7pj9563rhj8s@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>><20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>The choices suck.
>>
>>
>>Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>
>
>
> McCain !!!!
>
> I really like him !!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.

I'll agree

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

718. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 05:45:13 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Jbt%d.26374$OU1.18171@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197743

"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:stgp31dvbjf5em7kn33etfb274t0gggsnq@4ax.com...
> On 19 Mar 2005 03:57:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050318225748.871$Bp@newsreader.com>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>> <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>> >The choices suck.
>>>
>>> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>>
>>Dunno. i'd like it to be someone that has -never- had anything
>>to do with politics. I liked Perot some, so it would have to
>>be a git-r-dun business man.
>
>
> I think Eisner might be available for the job.....
>
>
>>Hopes are too high already.
>
>
> Hmmm.... why does the term 'rubber-money-tree plant' come to mind?

Hope they don't get Carly from HP, what a witch.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

719. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 05:45:14 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Kbt%d.26375$OU1.14791@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197744

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Mbr_d.19456$3Y2.17763@fe12.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> "Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
>>
>>>today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost 400
>>>kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB radio in my
>>>minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what were go each
>>>one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone you call i pay you
>>>ok?
>>>
>>
>> This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone number?
> reminds me of that nudnik from finland...

Showing your experience here Jim, Keri was his name.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

720. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 07:01:54 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111388513.991425.299090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197745

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

721. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 11:17:16 UTC
From: SeaView-USA@webtv.net
Message-ID: <757-423EAD3C-524@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197746

dead man's microphone..... Dead men tell no tail's !!!!!!!!

722. Honest review of the Workman Silver Salute D-104 look alike microphone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 12:45:02 UTC
From: TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com
Message-ID: <423ebd20.36843227@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 197747

As most of you know Astatic stopped making the D-104 desk microphone. Workman however started making a D-104 look alike microphone called the Silver Salute. It looks alot like the Silver Eagle D-104, but it is slightly shorter and the head is shaped like a stop sign instead of being round.
I recently purchased new a Workman Silver Salute and compared it side by side with an Astatic D-104. I used an on the air test with a stock Cobra 148 GTL re-issue on an Astron RS-7a power supply for the test radio.
Although the Silver Salute microphone looks similar to a D-104 it was not even close in audio quality with the Astatic D-104. All on the air reports I received said it was no contest. The D-104 was by far the superior microphone in audio quality. When I listened on a separate receiver I had to agree. I was hoping the Silver Salute would actually be a good replacement for the vintage Astatic D-104, but it is not. The Workman Silver Salute also has a rather annoying pop in the audio peeks of the transmitted audio. At first I thought the pops might be coming from the ALC in the stock Cobra 148 GTL re-issue, but the D-104 had no such pops in the audio when plugged up to the same radio. I turned the audio gain on both microphones up just enough to modulate the radio. Both mics were at less than 1/3 gain settings.

SUMMARY: If you are looking a replacement for the Astatic D-104 in audio quality the Workman Silver Salute is definitely not it. It is not even close. Too bad. I was hoping it would be.

723. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 12:54:50 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111409690.205931.41900@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197748

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

724. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 13:23:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <u6it311sdrdgqlug6lofkug6b0v449qlmo@4ax.com>
Source record: 197749

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 09:36:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>You have a serious mental problem. You have to school everyone on this
>newsgroup. You have done it to Dave, me, whoever. Best of all, you are not
>telling me I should have liked a president in my teenage years, because you
>didn't agree with it. First off, when I look at things now, like taxes, or gas
>prices, or mortgage rates, or soldiers getting killed. It's a lot different when
>as a teenager, things like girls, music, cars, etc, were priorites. That is for
>most teenagers. Not everyone was like you, who at 13, had a 50 year old mind. I
>don't owe you or anyone an explanation. And I will certainly comment on whatever
>I feel like, and there isn't a damn thing you can do. Now, if you want to stalk
>my ass, like you do to so many others in this NG, then go right ahead. The next
>step is to become my personal spell checker. If you have insecurities, that is
>your problem. I am not going to be like Dave, and get into pissing contests with
>you, because this is your life. And when you can't get your way, you'll just
>read every post from "person you hate on this NG for the month", and correct
>them in every which way they speak. Why? Bbecause that is your way.
>
>As far as Reagan, I loved the guy back then. That hasn't changed, and you won't
>change it. So save you're breath.
>

Ever notice that Frank's participation level increases exponentially
when he's engaged in a battle of wits with someone over a subject
where many of the true facts are blurred?

I was waiting to see what would bring him back out of the woodwork,
and no surprise, it was something political..... No doubt,
Twistedhed will not be far behind, as they both seem to feed off of
the same kind of controversy.

Frank has his opinion, as does everyone else. The problem is that he
believes that his sources are unbiased and completely factual, which
gives him the false notion that "his is the right one". He refuses to
accept the possibility (probability) that he has been swayed by agenda
driven propagandists. That's why he got so personal with me as I kept
chipping away at his wall of "facts" and painting them as simply a
regurgitation of popular propaganda.

No doubt a string of personal insults will now follow.

BTW, Congrats on the ticket! If you ever get a 220 rig, let me know.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

725. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 13:25:35 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111411535.420102.303460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197750

Just twithed showing again that all he can do is copy/paste. His only
original thoughts are about how to copy/paste more stuff faster.

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> The Center for Media and Democracy is working with the Free Press to
> gather a quarter million signatures on our petition mobilizing the
> American public to fight fake news and government propaganda.
> On Sunday, the New York Times reported that at least 20 federal
> agencies have made and distributed pre-packaged, ready-to-serve
> television news segments to promote President Bush's policies and
> initiatives. Congress' Government Accountability Office determined
that
> these "video news releases" were illegal "covert propaganda" and told
> federal agencies to stop. Last Friday, the White House ordered all
> agencies to disregard Congress' directive.

726. Re: Radios For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 13:28:27 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111411707.884832.103070@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197751

Eheheh...keyclowns need to have big radios to coordinate perverted liasons, so it is said. AKC has no doubt.

727. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 13:40:16 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <kkjt319mev1tii3c0dvs66hlqoubdc0ugk@4ax.com>
Source record: 197752

On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 08:23:52 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>BTW, Congrats on the ticket! If you ever get a 220 rig, let me know.

That is coming. So far, I am borrowing a 2M/440 rig. Should be getting a 220 this week.

Vinnie S.

728. Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 16:35:36 UTC
From: Sir_Oblivion <sir@oblivion.org>
Message-ID: <tu-dnR9USMd5aqPfRVn-hg@netrox.net>
Source record: 197753

Was thinking as I checked my email today how great this group used to be. Daily there would be technical articles posted and I can't tell you how much I learned from this group. Questions regarding repairs/modifications were politely and accurately responded to. Many folks from the group even managed to have get-togethers sometimes traveling hundreds of miles. Guess that was the old rec.radio.cb of six perhaps seven years ago, it's pretty sad how it's become.

729. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 18:08:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23714-423F0DA5-436@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197754

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 21:45:45 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote
in <eh4n3159upeunbmhfrnng6ai81gc6kpe8b@4ax.com>: On Fri, 18 Mar 2005
21:34:41 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote: Syria is afraid
they're next!
-
ummm steve, i doubt people will equate gwb the lesser with ronnie.
fondly? you jest....
(I can't. I loved Reagan.)

>Perhaps you were blinded by his "thousand

>points of light"......

>Don't you remember Iran-Contra? Arms for

>hostages?

The pre-arranged delay of hostage release until Reagan was sworn in,,,

>Orchestrating their release to

>coincide with his inaguration?

Ahh,,I shoud have known you were getting around to it.

>Secretly

>working with both sides in the Iran-Iraq war to

>force a stalemate? Giving Saddam the

>technology and materials for chemical and

>biological weapons? Taking pot-shots at Libya
>while Saddam was gassing his own people?

>Tucking tail and running away from Lebanon?

>Totally ignoring the civil war in Angola?

I don't have to tell *you* about the US leaving Iraq the first time
around off the top of the US embassy via helicopter (strange how this
parallels the same thing that happened in 'nam with another "ism" we
were allegedly fighting -and- winning against)..you were there, or there
right before it went down.

>Did

>you forget about that little bag of dope in the

>speech pushing his war against drugs? and

>how he intentionally set up the drug deal right

>in front of the WH? Remember the CIA dope

>deals in Central America that were discovered
>after one of the planes crashed? VP Bush's

>secret meetings with Noriega?

The coke connection and agreements.

>How he funded

>the terrorist resistance against the new and

>democratically elected government of

>Nicaragua

..partially with dope money. Dope money bought arms and all kinds of good things.

<that was created after the

>US-supported dictatorship was ousted? Etc,

>etc, etc?

I'm telling ya'....read Smoke and Mirrors by Dan Baum. He was part of it. Barry Seals (now dead) was a convicted smuggler and pilot working for them (company man) flying unreal amounts into the states. Baum isn't vague, he pinpoints who was bringing it in, where (Texas was a favorite...can't imagine why), why, and follows the dope as it is protected and very carefully delivered to a fall guy set up by the feds in LA called Freeway Rick.

>Or do you just remember that he made you

>"feel good"?

I loved Reagan his first term. His second term hurt me bad as a student, (educational funding, Pell Grants, etc, all changed/slashed/reduced) right at the worst possible time. I went independent that year (from repub) and never got over it.

>It's the same regime. Many of the people in

>the Bush administration are the very same

>morons who, while under Reagan, helped to

>create many of the current problems that are

>blamed on everyone else except themselves.

Many people forget a few certain key players from those days..even a few convicted criminals in the key positions. See, criminal convictions within their own party take a back seat to Clinton lying about sex.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----=

730. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 18:14:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23716-423F0EEA-52@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197755

From: Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit Date: Fri, Mar 18,
2005, 10:53pm X-Trace: individual.net
SmZx/4oxn4h1VoaLUV7BDg90XvFbWhTgiWEuOZZjKB+c/O+jae
On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
<snip>
The choices suck.

_
Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?

>McCain !!!!

>I really like him !!!!!!

>Vinnie S.

_
Me too, except his drug policy sucks, It's more of the same which leads
to many folks saying its not their problem since they dont do drugs, but
it is the number one problem and cause for violence on the streets and
overcrowding of the prisons and a drain on the economy. Ironically, the
majority of those with the longest sentences, due to unfair mandatory
minimums which McCain supports, are serving time for non-violent
offenses. Truth in sentencing is something that must be introduced. When
we have people convicted of second degree murder and sexual offenders
convicted of molesting children getting out after 15 years while others
are doing 60 plus years for drug possession, something is very wrong.
-

731. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 18:26:30 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23715-423F11D6-157@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197757

NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, Mar 21, 2005, 7:23am (EST-1) From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit Date: Mon, Mar 21, 2005, 8:23am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace: sv3-Ft5+xcZyTU1zClzC5c/Z1Arf0KIdVZSJqAjxerrF6X+S8g2fIkcrHTgkvFJTNJ7MLDWaNPaNw8oo+Ak!AJex1VV0Y/Nj5npOwF7jBFzn//MUyYZQW2/nea1Mu297Wy2Re9tqLL7xJPj+xlI3cZDPlfNG1Bhe!WbI97VkELgY= X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.32
On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 09:36:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>Ever notice that Frank

(snip)

Frank is not the topic, despite your problems.

> No doubt, Twistedhed

>will not be far behind,

After seven years of him returning on Monday morns, this is something of only which your muse can make you comprehend.

> as they both seem to feed off of the same

>kind of controversy.

>Frank has his opinion, as does everyone else.

>The problem is that he believes

(snip)

There is no problem, except as illustrated by yourself, finding issues with other's personal beliefs, and those illustrated by your doctor, below.

>BTW, Congrats on the ticket! If you ever get a

>220 rig, let me know.

>David T. Hall

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Warning This information is meant for the recipient on
ly. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the Admini
strative Department or Physician Agency responsible for your contract.
Patient Assessment
  Axis
Warning
This information is meant for the recipient only. If
you are not the intended recipient, please contact the
Administrative Department or Physician Agency responsible for your
contract.
Patient Assessment
Axis I 300.11 (classic conversion V disorder) Axis II
301.6 (dependent
personality disorder, with avoidant features) Subject
has strong histrionic component to his personality and
a strong
need to be the focus of discussion and center of
attention. Subject is
immature, dependent, selfish and
extroverted, resulting in passive/agressive ego
attachment. Subject rarely expresses overt anger and
hostility, embracing passive-aggressive or
passive-dependent dependencies.
Subject displaces suppressed emotions onto physical
complaints. Subject suffers from a
variety of conjured maladies from which subject derives considerable
secondary gain, validating his inability to respond to
daily responsibilities and normal occurrences
associated with everyday life. Subject sees himself as
normal, well adjusted and responsible, just
not functioning well due to physical
limitations. Subject denies
possibility of psychologoical conflict as possible source of his
problems.
Subject expresses delight in agitation of those which he associates
as belonging to his realm.
Subject demands sympathy and attention from others.
Subject maintains he does not command the respect he
deserves.
When confronted in group therapy concerning issues
other group members may have regarding Mr.
Hall, repression and displacement are invoked
as a primary defense. Subject does not see himself as
emotionally dependent, despite family member reports
of the need to "walk on eggshells" around him. Subject
has few close relationships, those of which are
co-dependent in
nature.
Mr. Hall expresses traits of a classic schizoid
patient. Possible borderline
malingering hypochondriac. Subject expresses strong

deficits in communicative skills and is prone to lending himself false support.

  This patient was brought to my attention upon a family intervention conducted by my esteemed colleague, Professor _____ of the Forensics Psychology Department at _____ Hospital located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Swore and bound to me upon this day of our Lord____. ___, 2005.

  Subject: David T. Hall Jr.

Observation: Denial, paranoia, obsessive. Possible bi-polarity.

Assessment: Personal issues continue to

Prognosis: Fair.

Recommendations: Continue group therapy 3X week. Maintain current level of rx. Type, cross and evaluate for possible Lithium candidate (90 days).

_

732. Re: Mind-ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 18:29:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23716-423F1272-53@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197756

Moparholic@hottmailed.com wrote:
Speaking of gators, a 9 ft 8 inch gator ate some dude two days ago down here in a retention pond. Authorities are looking for the residents who regularly fed the thing (extremely illegal and they do enforce it). In addition to the dead guy's forearm, recovered in the gut of the bull gator was plenty of store-bought chicken and beef. Dammit man!!

>Ha! Better than Woo's pigs disposing of ya. Do
>they eat the clothes too?

>When you dump a body to Woo's pigs, they're

>clothed sometimes.

Dude,,I can relate. Visitors think it's cute as hell when the Blue-Ticks lick their hands through the cages. They would shit if they knew the hounds were checking their taste.

733. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 21:15:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lk9u319ge3a2c6l85oh5cpjb6tb14mthda@4ax.com>
Source record: 197758

On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 08:23:52 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <u6it311sdrdgqlug6lofkug6b0v449qlmo@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 09:36:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>
>>You have a serious mental problem. You have to school everyone on this
>>newsgroup. You have done it to Dave, me, whoever. Best of all, you are not
>>telling me I should have liked a president in my teenage years, because you
>>didn't agree with it. First off, when I look at things now, like taxes, or gas
>>prices, or mortgage rates, or soldiers getting killed. It's a lot different when
>>as a teenager, things like girls, music, cars, etc, were priorites. That is for
>>most teenagers. Not everyone was like you, who at 13, had a 50 year old mind. I
>>don't owe you or anyone an explanation. And I will certainly comment on whatever
>>I feel like, and there isn't a damn thing you can do. Now, if you want to stalk
>>my ass, like you do to so many others in this NG, then go right ahead. The next
>>step is to become my personal spell checker. If you have insecurities, that is
>>your problem. I am not going to be like Dave, and get into pissing contests with
>>you, because this is your life. And when you can't get your way, you'll just
>>read every post from "person you hate on this NG for the month", and correct
>>them in every which way they speak. Why? Bbecause that is your way.
>>
>>As far as Reagan, I loved the guy back then. That hasn't changed, and you won't
>>change it. So save you're breath.
>>
>
>
>Ever notice.....

Andy Rooney you're not.

>I was waiting to see what would bring him back out of the woodwork,
>and no surprise, it was something political.......

You must have missed my request for info on the Clarion.

> No doubt,
>Twistedhed will not be far behind, as they both seem to feed off of
>the same kind of controversy.
>
>Frank has his opinion, as does everyone else. The problem is that he
>believes that his sources are unbiased and completely factual, which
>gives him the false notion that "his is the right one". He refuses to
>accept the possibility (probability) that he has been swayed by agenda
>driven propagandists. That's why he got so personal with me as I kept

>chipping away at his wall of "facts" and painting them as simply a
>regurgitation of popular propaganda.
>
>No doubt a string of personal insults will now follow.

Why? You do such a wonderful job all by yourself; e.g:

"Politics transcends logic. Logic can only account for certain nuts
and bolts issues, but cannot address core values or beliefs."

"[T]he government was never intended to do anything more than protect,
facilitate and represent our interests in the world market."

"As long as I can observe the actions of people like you, I feel
fortunate in my own life situation. Perspective is a wonderful thing."

"The only people held to higher standards are those who are in the
public eye, are paid to perform a service which requires a higher
standard, or those who are recognized as leaders in one vocation or
another. I fall into none of those categories."

"In every case where you have criticized my use of vocabulary, I have
provided definitions of the terms which are consistent with my usage."

Oh, and BTW, what is the name of that tech school, Dave?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

734. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 22:37:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050321173710.489$Eb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197759

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: =A0=A0 Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> Group: =A0=A0 rec.radio.cb
> Subject: =A0=A0 Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit Date: =A0=A0 Fri, Mar 18,
> 2005, 10:53pm X-Trace: =A0=A0 individual.net
> SmZx/4oxn4h1VoaLUV7BDg90XvFbWhTgiWEuOZZjKB+c/O+jae
> On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w=EFrenut@NOSPAM=EFcehouse.net> wrote:
> On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
> <snip>
> The choices suck.
> _
> Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>
> >McCain !!!!
>
> >I really like him !!!!!!
>
> >Vinnie S.
> _
> Me too, except his drug policy sucks, It's more of the same which leads
> to many folks saying its not their problem since they dont do drugs, but
> it is the number one problem and cause for violence on the streets and
> overcrowding of the prisons and a drain on the economy. Ironically, the
> majority of those with the longest sentences, due to unfair mandatory
> minimums which McCain supports, are serving time for non-violent
> offenses. Truth in sentencing is something that must be introduced. When
> we have people convicted of second degree murder and sexual offenders
> convicted of molesting children getting out after 15 years while others
> are doing 60 plus years for drug possession, something is very wrong.
> -
>
Legalize weed, and sell it like alcohol for starters. The war on drugs
encourages the criminal element. Or open up the -rock- and fill it with
pot growers. :D

735. Re: Mind-ping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 23:23:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050321182359.856$CX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197760

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> Moparholic@hottmailed.com wrote:
> Speaking of gators, a 9 ft 8 inch gator ate some dude two days ago down
> here in a retention pond. Authorities are looking for the residents who
> regularly fed the thing (extremely illegal and they do enforce it). In
> addition to the dead guy's forearm, recovered in the gut of the bull
> gator was plenty of store-bought chicken and beef. Dammit man!!
>
> >Ha! Better than Woo's pigs disposing of ya. Do
> >they eat the clothes too?
>
> >When you dump a body to Woo's pigs, they're
>
> >clothed sometimes.
>
> Dude,,I can relate. Visitors think it's cute as hell when the Blue-Ticks
> lick their hands through the cages. They would shit if they knew the
> hounds were checking their taste.
>
That's another reason to wash your hands after you unpack. ewww

736. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-21 23:40:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050321184035.997$oC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197761

"Sir_Oblivion" <none@none.org> wrote:
> Was thinking as I checked my email today how great this group used to be.
>
You get your Usenet feed via your email addy? (none@none.org)

737. Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 00:07:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050321190701.644$8p@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197762

For the fugly fuck that's looking out his window.

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=peepeeholic&qt_s=Search+Groups

738. Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 00:44:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050321194401.925$g5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197763

How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks for it. That place is killer!

http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz

739. Midland 77-202A final amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 02:27:11 UTC
From: Smokey <telegrapher@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <113v0lmfoia5631@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 197764

Does anyone know what transistor is used in the final amplifier of the Midland 77-202A (or 77-202 for that matter)? Thanks!

Smokey

740. Do You Have a CB or HAM Radio product to Sell ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 04:53:26 UTC
From: erfurth@gmail.com
Message-ID: <36ba001b.0503212053.2d36389c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 197771

If you have a CB or HAM Radio product to sell, and are looking for an easy inexpensive way to sell it... I have the ideal domain name for you.

PileupBuster.com

The name is instantly recognizable to all CB and HAM Radio people. It is also very meaningful and easy to remember.

Click on this link to see the traffic and get more information.

http://PileupBuster.com

If you don't like the price, email me an offer.

Or, maybe you have an HF RIG to trade. I am looking for a used Yeasu FT-1000 or an FT-897 with or without the antenna tuner.

Regards,

Bill

741. http://Pileupspam.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 05:05:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050322000553.528$Q3_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197765

erfurth@gmail.com (Bill) wrote:
>> http://Pileupspam.com
>
Did you just buy that domain, or are you the same jackass that has
been trying to sell it?

742. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 05:16:54 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn-tA@giganews.com>
Source record: 197769

Legal Radio wrote:
> "Sir_Oblivion" <sir@oblivion.org> wrote in
> news:tu-dnR9USMd5aqPfRVn-hg@netrox.net:
>
>> Was thinking as I checked my email today how great this group used to
>> be. Daily there would be technical articles posted and I can't tell
>> you how much I learned from this group. Questions regarding
>> repairs/modifications were politely and accurately responded to. Many
>> folks from the group even managed to have get-togethers sometimes
>> traveling hundreds of miles. Guess that was the old rec.radio.cb of
>> six perhaps seven years ago, it's pretty sad how it's become.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Odd, since 20 years ago, it was as bad as it is now. Only the names
> have changed.

lol...

20 years ago? 1985?

Jeff

743. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 05:23:18 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <S62dncCwNdteNqLfRVn-1g@giganews.com>
Source record: 197767

Steveo wrote:
> How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
> banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
> for it. That place is killer!
>
> http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz

Probably pull off another second. ;-)

I've givin up on the Dodges, for now. They just don't have it this year. Ther'll probably be some "changes" made mid-season to make them more competitive. Only thing keeping me sane is Roush keeping Chevy from running away with everything.

Goddamn Chevy. ;-)

Jeff

744. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 05:29:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050322002910.924$UZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197766

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
> > banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
> > for it. That place is killer!
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>
> Probably pull off another second. ;-)
>
> I've givin up on the Dodges, for now. They just don't have it this year.
> Ther'll probably be some "changes" made mid-season to make them more
> competitive. Only thing keeping me sane is Roush keeping Chevy from
> running away with everything.
>
> Goddamn Chevy. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
I hear the concessions are deserved for the Charger. Maybe it will
provide max down-force on the short track..like Bristol? (right)

Take a gander at Reed Sorensen in his Dodge, running Busch only. Kid's
only 19, but he gets up on the wheel.

Didn't know you watched Nascar..cool

745. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 06:36:33 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Z9CdnZOxaOtzIaLfRVn-hg@giganews.com>
Source record: 197770

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
>>> banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
>>> for it. That place is killer!
>>>
>>> http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>>
>> Probably pull off another second. ;-)
>>
>> I've givin up on the Dodges, for now. They just don't have it this
>> year. Ther'll probably be some "changes" made mid-season to make
>> them more competitive. Only thing keeping me sane is Roush keeping
>> Chevy from running away with everything.
>>
>> Goddamn Chevy. ;-)
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> I hear the concessions are deserved for the Charger. Maybe it will
> provide max down-force on the short track..like Bristol? (right)
>
> Take a gander at Reed Sorensen in his Dodge, running Busch only. Kid's
> only 19, but he gets up on the wheel.
>
> Didn't know you watched Nascar..cool

lol...

Even progressives like NASCAR, huh? What a shocker! ;-)

I may be dating myself but my first recollections of NASCAR are watching Lorenzen, Fireball Roberts, etc, go roundy-round in their Fords. My Pop bought a '63 Galaxy 500 Coupe, not the fastback, with a 390 4bbl and 4-speed and I used to play like I was Lorenzen in the driveway.

One of my fav pictures on my wall in the computer room is of three Fords - Lorenzen, Roberts, and (I think) David Pearson, #21 anyway, dicing it up in the '63 Firecracker 400.

Is your e-mail addy a "real" one? If not, and you're interested, shoot me your real one to this address and I'll send you a pic of the pic.

Yeah, I "like" NASCAR. ;-)

Jeff

746. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 07:38:24 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111477104.873496.172000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197768

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

747. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 13:01:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qm5041176c754jrcolld7njo4gelkfrge2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197780

On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 13:15:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 08:23:52 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <u6it311sdrdgqlug6lofkug6b0v449qlmo@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 09:36:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>You have a serious mental problem. You have to school everyone on this
>>>newsgroup. You have done it to Dave, me, whoever. Best of all, you are not
>>>telling me I should have liked a president in my teenage years, because you
>>>didn't agree with it. First off, when I look at things now, like taxes, or gas
>>>prices, or mortgage rates, or soldiers getting killed. It's a lot different when
>>>as a teenager, things like girls, music, cars, etc, were priorites. That is for
>>>most teenagers. Not everyone was like you, who at 13, had a 50 year old mind. I
>>>don't owe you or anyone an explanation. And I will certainly comment on whatever
>>>I feel like, and there isn't a damn thing you can do. Now, if you want to stalk
>>>my ass, like you do to so many others in this NG, then go right ahead. The next
>>>step is to become my personal spell checker. If you have insecurities, that is
>>>your problem. I am not going to be like Dave, and get into pissing contests with
>>>you, because this is your life. And when you can't get your way, you'll just
>>>read every post from "person you hate on this NG for the month", and correct
>>>them in every which way they speak. Why? Bbecause that is your way.
>>>
>>>As far as Reagan, I loved the guy back then. That hasn't changed, and you won't
>>>change it. So save you're breath.
>>>
>>
>>
>>Ever notice.....
>
>
>Andy Rooney you're not.
>
>
>>I was waiting to see what would bring him back out of the woodwork,
>>and no surprise, it was something political.......
>
>
>You must have missed my request for info on the Clarion.
>
>

>> No doubt,
>>Twistedhed will not be far behind, as they both seem to feed off of
>>the same kind of controversy.
>>
>>Frank has his opinion, as does everyone else. The problem is that he
>>believes that his sources are unbiased and completely factual, which
>>gives him the false notion that "his is the right one". He refuses to
>>accept the possibility (probability) that he has been swayed by agenda
>>driven propagandists. That's why he got so personal with me as I kept
>>chipping away at his wall of "facts" and painting them as simply a
>>regurgitation of popular propaganda.
>>
>>No doubt a string of personal insults will now follow.
>
>
>Why? You do such a wonderful job all by yourself; e.g:
>
>"Politics transcends logic. Logic can only account for certain nuts
>and bolts issues, but cannot address core values or beliefs."
>
>"[T]he government was never intended to do anything more than protect,
>facilitate and represent our interests in the world market."
>
>"As long as I can observe the actions of people like you, I feel
>fortunate in my own life situation. Perspective is a wonderful thing."
>
>"The only people held to higher standards are those who are in the
>public eye, are paid to perform a service which requires a higher
>standard, or those who are recognized as leaders in one vocation or
>another. I fall into none of those categories."
>
>"In every case where you have criticized my use of vocabulary, I have
>provided definitions of the terms which are consistent with my usage."
>
>
>Oh, and BTW, what is the name of that tech school, Dave?

Tweedle Dee and Tweedle Dum, responding right on cue.

Life is good......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

748. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 13:10:42 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <j960415sdgcnandrpo2gob917f3jhjdfl0@4ax.com>
Source record: 197779

On 22 Mar 2005 00:44:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
>banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
>for it. That place is killer!
>
>http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz

Do you see a 10% coupon on that car, that I can print out? I need a new grill !

Vinnie S.

749. FA: KNIGHT X-10 100khz CRYSTAL CALIBRATOR for HEATH HR-10B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 15:30:36 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vSW%d.605$033.477@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197773
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524039&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

750. FA: Complete COLLINS R-390A CRYSTAL OSCILLATOR UNIT-NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 15:32:20 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <7UW%d.606$Y_2.249@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197777
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Big $27.00:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524012&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

751. FA: US NAVY "BEAM FILTER" BC-345, AUDIO FILTER WWII- USEFUL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 15:33:55 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <BVW%d.4214$U14.750@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197774
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.military.collecting,rec.collecting.military.insignia,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Big $13, & mint too:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761523902&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

752. FA: 28 VINTAGE HIGH VOLTAGE MICA TRANSMITTING CAPACITORS>NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 15:35:20 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XWW%d.4224$8X3.1028@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197775
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Big $10
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524282&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

753. FA: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK>W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 15:36:43 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <eYW%d.4232$Ed4.119@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197772
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

No Bids @ $10
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524102&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

754. Re: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK>W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 15:51:46 UTC
From: Scan da bands <RK22@msn.com>
Message-ID: <42403a5d$0$19489$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 197776
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hey fatboy, I'm tired of your cross-posted spam. I will now report every one
of your abusive spam posts to ebay. Say buh-bye to your account, tubby.
>
"RICH-WA2RQY" <wa2rqy@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:eYW%d.4232$Ed4.119@fe10.lga...
> No Bids @ $10
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524102&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>

755. The best part of Steveo...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 16:21:56 UTC
From: vnbofjofdhf@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <42404601.4001253@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 197791

The best part of Steveo is he has an expiration date.

-<grin>-

756. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 16:30:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25075-42404843-606@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197782

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, Mar 22, 2005, 7:01am (EST-1) From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit Date: Tue, Mar 22, 2005, 8:01am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace: sv3-6ipxlJjNMCIDIIE222CI01s8btr4GKlGHqenxRvSff6oZuO0NWNHtQmTM3vQuO4tLwNjvJzrECx74wF!gHqVowr8fOvnWWMhPyhV3ry+1Q8DetcYNanXVCQExa5TMeE4tAZO75GZdXUoN5CrUisCJ8caBVbI!64KTViIxIiE= X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.32

>Life is good......

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

Wash your socks and put your clothes back on, David. Your exposure is nauseating the contingency.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/search?group=rec.radio.cb&q=%22life+is+good%22&qt_g=1&searchnow=Search+this+group

757. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 16:42:22 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25076-42404AEE-248@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197844

From: sir@oblivion.org (Sir_Oblivion)
>Was thinking as I checked my email today

>how great this group used to be.

Hehehe,,I bet you were. No cloned postings/email from Keri the funny
finnish teacher, Yrac, Oxendine, or any of the other troublemakers of
whom you were used to colluding.

>Daily there would be technical articles posted

>and I can't tell you how much I learned from

>this group.

There still are, but you're still on the outside looking in and as
always, because of your inability to find what you seek,
you deny its existence.

> Questions regarding repairs/modifications

>were politely and accurately responded to.

If you were honest, you'd get a ton of advice to your posted questions
in email, but when you post with a toss-away addy in hopes someone will
email you just in order to get their email origins for nefarious
motives, you reap what you sew. Quit crying and moaning and act like a
man instead of a little girl.

>Many folks from the group even managed to

>have get-togethers sometimes traveling

>hundreds of miles.

Still going on. You just ain't clued in.
Anyone can email me for news of the closest,,,umm,,picnic in your area.

>Guess that was the old rec.radio.cb of six

>perhaps seven years ago,

For you, at least. Those were the days before
you were forced-educated and made to learn their are consequences for
your actions. Not liking to face responsibility, you were driven
underground, your hammie status remains that of lid.

>it's pretty sad how

>it's become.

No, it's fantastic. You realized your impotence, yet the fun continues with cb, and you can't do a thing about it.

758. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 16:50:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25075-42404CC0-609@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197781

From: jlwsecure-usenet@yahoo.com (John L. Wilkerson Jr.)
"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn-tA@giganews.com:
20 years ago? 1985?

>Ah, yes.. that's what I said.

Ah, so you did. Why did you wait so long to decide to inform us that when you were posting as "Legal Radio", you were really AC6MG? Do some of the things you said in your posts make you ashamed of your call?

759. Re: The best part of Steveo...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 17:24:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050322122424.427$kW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197789

vnbofjofdhf@hotmail.com (Honest Abe) wrote:
> The best part of Steveo is he has an expiration date.
>
So did the TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com your other nym, fucktard.

760. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 17:27:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050322122754.226$am@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197783

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 22 Mar 2005 00:44:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
> >banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
> >for it. That place is killer!
> >
> >http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>
> Do you see a 10% coupon on that car, that I can print out? I need a new
> grill !
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Like this?

http://www.big-georges.com/grills_images/ducane1650.jpg

761. Re: Honest review of the Workman Silver Salute D-104 look alike microphone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 17:29:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050322122901.911$fq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197793

TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra Dactyl) wrote:
> As most of you know Astatic stopped making the D-104 desk
> microphone. Workman however started making a D-104 look alike
> microphone called the Silver Salute. It looks alot like the Silver
> Eagle D-104, but it is slightly shorter and the head is shaped like a
> stop sign instead of being round.
>
Does it have an expiration date, fucktard?

762. FA: Base CB Radio Cobra 142GTL No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 17:29:03 UTC
From: TJ <tj@fcox.com>
Message-ID: <ZuY%d.114653$%U2.49375@lakeread01>
Source record: 197778
Cross-posted to: alt.auctions.online,alt.marketplace.online.ebay,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5762564500

763. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 18:52:27 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111517546.981958.251960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197785

Steveo wrote:
> For the fugly fuck that's looking out his window.
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=peepeeholic&qt_s=Search+Groups

Thats some damned funny stuff. Every word is no doubt true. Wonder
what's gonna be going down in lawnboy town when mopeyclown is waaaay
down south in Dayton. Whatever happens will be funny, that's a given.

Stay tuned...mopeycwown wiww cwy mowe!

764. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 22:37:33 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <t4u041dmf2ifl45orbemolg4fpafoqdrbu@4ax.com>
Source record: 197790

On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 08:01:34 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <qm5041176c754jrcolld7njo4gelkfrge2@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 13:15:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 08:23:52 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <u6it311sdrdgqlug6lofkug6b0v449qlmo@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 09:36:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>You have a serious mental problem. You have to school everyone on this
>>>>newsgroup. You have done it to Dave, me, whoever. Best of all, you are not
>>>>telling me I should have liked a president in my teenage years, because you
>>>>didn't agree with it. First off, when I look at things now, like taxes, or gas
>>>>prices, or mortgage rates, or soldiers getting killed. It's a lot different when
>>>>as a teenager, things like girls, music, cars, etc, were priorites. That is for
>>>>most teenagers. Not everyone was like you, who at 13, had a 50 year old mind. I
>>>>don't owe you or anyone an explanation. And I will certainly comment on whatever
>>>>I feel like, and there isn't a damn thing you can do. Now, if you want to stalk
>>>>my ass, like you do to so many others in this NG, then go right ahead. The next
>>>>step is to become my personal spell checker. If you have insecurities, that is
>>>>your problem. I am not going to be like Dave, and get into pissing contests with
>>>>you, because this is your life. And when you can't get your way, you'll just
>>>>read every post from "person you hate on this NG for the month", and correct
>>>>them in every which way they speak. Why? Bbecause that is your way.
>>>>
>>>>As far as Reagan, I loved the guy back then. That hasn't changed, and you won't
>>>>change it. So save you're breath.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Ever notice.....
>>
>>
>>Andy Rooney you're not.
>>
>>
>>>I was waiting to see what would bring him back out of the woodwork,
>>>and no surprise, it was something political.......
>>
>>

>>You must have missed my request for info on the Clarion.
>>
>>
>>> No doubt,
>>>Twistedhed will not be far behind, as they both seem to feed off of
>>>the same kind of controversy.
>>>
>>>Frank has his opinion, as does everyone else. The problem is that he
>>>believes that his sources are unbiased and completely factual, which
>>>gives him the false notion that "his is the right one". He refuses to
>>>accept the possibility (probability) that he has been swayed by agenda
>>>driven propagandists. That's why he got so personal with me as I kept
>>>chipping away at his wall of "facts" and painting them as simply a
>>>regurgitation of popular propaganda.
>>>
>>>No doubt a string of personal insults will now follow.
>>
>>
>>Why? You do such a wonderful job all by yourself; e.g:
>>
>>"Politics transcends logic. Logic can only account for certain nuts
>>and bolts issues, but cannot address core values or beliefs."
>>
>>"[T]he government was never intended to do anything more than protect,
>>facilitate and represent our interests in the world market."
>>
>>"As long as I can observe the actions of people like you, I feel
>>fortunate in my own life situation. Perspective is a wonderful thing."
>>
>>"The only people held to higher standards are those who are in the
>>public eye, are paid to perform a service which requires a higher
>>standard, or those who are recognized as leaders in one vocation or
>>another. I fall into none of those categories."
>>
>>"In every case where you have criticized my use of vocabulary, I have
>>provided definitions of the terms which are consistent with my usage."
>>
>>
>>Oh, and BTW, what is the name of that tech school, Dave?
>
>Tweedle Dee and Tweedle Dum, responding right on cue.
>
>
>Life is good......

Yes it is, but your's must be terribly pathetic when you boast of
intrinsic gains from insulting responses you received as a direct
result of begging for them.

Dave, you are out of your league. I'll try and be tactful about
this.....

The problem here is that, like the rest of your life, you aren't achieving squat on Usenet. You feel that if you can stand toe-to-toe in a arguments with people who you feel are intellectually superior that you will be be recognized for your intellectual achievements. But that's not happening Dave, and I'll tell you why: People like Twisty and myself are, as the saying goes, "too smart for our own britches". Kinda like athletes that need to burn off extra energy, we use Usenet to burn off excess grey matter. You, OTOH, are struggling to assert yourself as a worthy competitor in a game where the objective is, for all practical purposes, to butt heads.

Now stop and think for a minute: almost nobody read the arguments between Twist and myself, and almost nobody is reading our arguments with you. So just who do you think is recognizing your intellectual "achievements" in this newsgroup? Not me, not Twist, not your family, not your friends (assuming you have friends), not anybody in your co-dependent relationships..... not anybody else that matters. In fact, anyone that -does- matter would read your posts and tell you that you are wasting your time and accomplishing absolutely nothing.

But the choice is yours: Do you want to continue participating in this head-butting melee? or would you rather accomplish something for which you will gain some positive social recognition? I suspect the former because that would be consistent with your personality disorders, but who knows -- maybe you will address your dysfunctions, quit bothering your doctor and pharmacist, put some distance between yourself and your computer and TV, and finally do something constructive with your life (hey, it could happen!).

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

765. Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-22 23:36:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050322183638.770$L7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197784

Check out the bad ass. lol

http://img237.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img237&image=dogieupclosefugly0vz.jpg

N8WWM wrote:
> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.
> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

766. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 00:24:05 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gJKdneCwo8m_Kt3fRVn-1w@giganews.com>
Source record: 197788

Steveo wrote:
> Check out the bad ass. lol

Wow! One rating. Astonishing. ;-)

Jeff
>
> http://img237.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img237&image=dogieupclosefugly0vz.jpg
>
> N8WWM wrote:
>> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
>> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
>> laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I
>> relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your
>> wife, the trainedpuppet.
>> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
>> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

767. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 00:57:52 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <Xns9621CB6E2138A1234567890@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 197846

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050322183638.770$L7
@newsreader.com:

> Check out the bad ass. lol
>
> http://img237.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img237&image=dogieupclosefugly0vz.jpg
>

LOL I have some pics of that thing you call a wife moped, she was down at
the Petro on her knees when I took the pic. Wanna see it

768. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 01:24:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050322202457.508$cs@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197787

KB3BEJ chris busch came out from hiding and wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050322183638.770$L7
> @newsreader.com:
>
> > Check out the bad ass. lol
> >
> > http://img237.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img237&image=dogieupclosefugly0vz.jpg

> N8WWM wrote:
> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.
> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
>
> LOL I have some pics of that thing you call a wife moped,
>
Back to slurping your felon hero, KB3BEJ? did you do as good a
job as him on your antenna install?

http://img65.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img65&image=dogie049qz.jpg
>
> she was down at
> the Petro on her knees when I took the pic. Wanna see it
>
You even sound like your cowardly leader. Two chickenshits
in love. lol

Later, chrissy.

769. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 02:07:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0qj141hhdq2s88afvl61gjm1a7fdng6h9s@4ax.com>
Source record: 197786

On 22 Mar 2005 17:27:54 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 22 Mar 2005 00:44:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
>> >banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
>> >for it. That place is killer!
>> >
>> >http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>>
>> Do you see a 10% coupon on that car, that I can print out? I need a new
>> grill !
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Like this?
>
>http://www.big-georges.com/grills_images/ducane1650.jpg

Fuck, are you a mindreader? Exactly like that !!!!! That Model !!!

Vinnie S.

770. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 02:08:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4sj141d546emkdt9ktb10f2hedgo99a36d@4ax.com>
Source record: 197792

On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 14:37:33 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>Now stop and think for a minute: almost nobody read the arguments
>between Twist and myself, and almost nobody is reading our arguments
>with you. So just who do you think is recognizing your intellectual
>"achievements" in this newsgroup? Not me, not Twist, not your family,
>not your friends (assuming you have friends), not anybody in your
>co-dependent relationships..... not anybody else that matters. In
>fact, anyone that -does- matter would read your posts and tell you
>that you are wasting your time and accomplishing absolutely nothing.
>
>But the choice is yours: Do you want to continue participating in this
>head-butting melee? or would you rather accomplish something for which
>you will gain some positive social recognition? I suspect the former
>because that would be consistent with your personality disorders, but
>who knows -- maybe you will address your dysfunctions, quit bothering
>your doctor and pharmacist, put some distance between yourself and
>your computer and TV, and finally do something constructive with your
>life (hey, it could happen!).

Oh no !!!!

Vinnie S.

771. FA: Johnson viking 4740 AM/SSB 40 CH radio + others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 03:15:14 UTC
From: jimbradley@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1111547714.369919.115880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197845

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5762697356

or view them all

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQsassZjimQ2dofQ2dware

772. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 08:49:10 UTC
From: Ron038652@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111567750.678214.327390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197847

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

773. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 13:20:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <51q24117h3341p6vkk5sfpilpld4h2hi82@4ax.com>
Source record: 197848

On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 14:37:33 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>Yes it is, but your's must be terribly pathetic when you boast of
>intrinsic gains from insulting responses you received as a direct
>result of begging for them.

That you cannot resist the urge to respond is indicative of your
issues.

>
>Dave, you are out of your league.

Am I?

> I'll try and be tactful about this.....

That'll be the day.

>The problem here is that, like the rest of your life, you aren't
>achieving squat on Usenet. You feel that if you can stand toe-to-toe
>in a arguments with people who you feel are intellectually superior
>that you will be be recognized for your intellectual achievements. But
>that's not happening Dave, and I'll tell you why: People like Twisty
>and myself are, as the saying goes, "too smart for our own britches".

I'll add narcissism and self aggrandizing to your growing list of
afflictions.

>Kinda like athletes that need to burn off extra energy, we use Usenet
>to burn off excess grey matter. You, OTOH, are struggling to assert
>yourself as a worthy competitor in a game where the objective is, for
>all practical purposes, to butt heads.

So you now admit that your main purpose here is nothing more than to
play the part of a troll? That's sociopathic by nature. Wow, looks
like you and twisty have more in common than I first thought.

>Now stop and think for a minute: almost nobody read the arguments
>between Twist and myself, and almost nobody is reading our arguments
>with you.

So why do you continue? You are wasting your time here. Is your need
for acknowledgment and approval so great that you'll solicit it from
strangers on USE NET?

>just who do you think is recognizing your intellectual
>"achievements" in this newsgroup? Not me, not Twist, not your family,

>not your friends (assuming you have friends), not anybody in your
>co-dependent relationships..... not anybody else that matters. In
>fact, anyone that -does- matter would read your posts and tell you
>that you are wasting your time and accomplishing absolutely nothing.

Smacking people like you with a reality 2X4 is a great diversion from the routines of working for a living. You never fail to rise to the occasion. For that I thank you.

>
>But the choice is yours: Do you want to continue participating in this
>head-butting melee?

I had held out hope that this newsgroup would someday revert back to real discussions about CB radio. But it appears that all that is left for the most part, are those who just want to "butt heads". I'm not sure how much of this I want to be responsible for.

>or would you rather accomplish something for which
>you will gain some positive social recognition? I suspect the former
>because that would be consistent with your personality disorders, but
>who knows -- maybe you will address your dysfunctions, quit bothering
>your doctor and pharmacist, put some distance between yourself and
>your computer and TV, and finally do something constructive with your
>life (hey, it could happen!).

Pot, Kettle Black.

Let's review a few facts (You claim to embrace them):

I'm gainfully employed as an engineer. You tend bar.
I have a solid and supportive family life. You are - Alone.
I have solid political and economic values. You espouse the lunatic fringe.
I am an adult. You are a child still looking for someone to say "good boy".

A wise man once said, "If you try to tell a nut that he's nuts, he'll swear that you're crazy".

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

774. Re: The best part of Steveo...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 13:43:50 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111585430.143762.189240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197849

Steveo wrote:
> vnbofjofdhf@hotmail.com (Honest Abe) wrote:
> > The best part of Steveo is he has an expiration date.
> >
> So did the TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com your other nym, fucktard.

Even better is that AKC knows the date and dopeymopie doesn't.

775. Re: http://Pileupspam.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 13:45:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111585511.502545.313380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197850

Jackass?? Wow, guess if anyone would know about jackasses it would be
you, since you are the biggest one on the NG.

776. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 13:47:44 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111585664.651384.139680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197851

twithed, go copy/paste some more stuff...your original posts are
stupid. Give FUGGLY the AKC's regards.

777. rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 16:29:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29705-42419952-55@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197852

http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml

778. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 16:44:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050323114432.451$p0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197853

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml
>
404 error

779. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 16:51:21 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29705-42419E89-57@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197855

From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush)
From: jlwsecure-usenet@yahoo.com (John L. Wilkerson Jr.)
"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn-tA@giganews.com:
20 years ago? 1985?

>Ah, yes.. that's what I said.

Ah, so you did. Why did you wait so long to decide to inform us that when you were posting as "Legal Radio", you were really AC6MG? Do some of the things you said in your posts make you ashamed of your call?

–

Aww,,never mind, John. Your disdain for cber's is there for all to see, preserved in google archives for posterity. You are an extra class hammie,,,, you shouldn't be hanging in a cb group if you have such personal issues with cber's.

780. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 16:57:31 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29705-42419FFB-58@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197856

From: daycareguru2@yahoo.com (Mechtech)
>Well, at least you admit it's bullshit.

>Don

Oh yea,,,most of what the Bush admin is about today is bullshit and double-speak that only the Bush sheople and unenlightened are capable of falling for. A few clicks of your mouse and you too, can become educated upon the issue defined below. Not agreeing with me doesn't make what Bush is doing regarding his own phony news reports conjured by his spin doctors. You same people that wanted to tar and feather Dan Rather for the same behavior of which the president engages, are massive hypocrites.

–

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:4986-423AF755-379@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net...
The Center for Media and Democracy is working with the Free Press to gather a quarter million signatures on our petition mobilizing the American public to fight fake news and government propaganda. On Sunday, the New York Times reported that at least 20 federal agencies have made and distributed pre-packaged, ready-to-serve television news segments to promote President Bush's policies and initiatives. Congress' Government Accountability Office determined that these "video news releases" were illegal "covert propaganda" and told federal agencies to stop. Last Friday, the White House ordered all agencies to disregard Congress' directive.

781. realistic TRC-496 CCS CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 17:01:49 UTC
From: compwiz1 <floydvincent@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1111595099.165050.16030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197854

Anyone have mods for this radio or a cross referance for its Uniden brother? I can find how to increase or "open up" the modulation.

Floyd
The CB Shop

782. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 17:10:24 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cn83419lu922ijouvtsdktma607dlm5gk3@4ax.com>
Source record: 197857

On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml
>>
>404 error

What a dumbass !!! He can't even post a link !!!!

Vinnie S.

783. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 18:11:13 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4242b17e.82049953@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 197858

On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml
>>
>404 error

Try this:

http://tinyurl.com/5cblm

784. Re: The best part of Steveo...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 18:57:44 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <crKdnVLB_e20IdzfRVn-tw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197859

Honest Abe wrote:
> The best part of Steveo...

...ran down your momma's leg.

Jeff

785. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 18:59:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050323135921.410$bb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197860

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >> http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml
> >>
> >404 error
>
> What a dumbass !!! He can't even post a link !!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Looks like you have a new fan, Vin.

786. Re: The best part of Steveo...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 19:02:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050323140233.647$Ph@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197861

```
"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Honest Abe wrote:
> > The best part of Steveo...
>
> ...ran down your momma's leg.
>
> Jeff
>
DOH.. I don't do marsupials. :)
```

787. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 19:04:43 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1omdnVQNqtxWINzfRVn-vw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197862

John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn- tA@giganews.com:
>
>>
>> 20 years ago? 1985?
>>
> Ah, yes.. that's what I said.

You were posting on this newsgroup, 20 years ago?

Jeff

788. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 19:07:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050323140700.380$xi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197863

```
"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn- tA@giganews.com:
> >
> >>
> >> 20 years ago? 1985?
> >>
> > Ah, yes.. that's what I said.
>
> You were posting on this newsgroup, 20 years ago?
>
> Jeff
>
Or does it just seem that way?
```

789. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 19:56:09 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13760-4241C9D9-18@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197864

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml
404 error

>What a dumbass !!! He can't even post a link

>!!!!

>Vinnie S.

One makes a simple typo and your low self-esteem dictates your ego has
the need to call another a "dumbass' for it. My goodness, that would
make you KING Dumbass, with the number of typos you have made in the
past.
Nevertheless, here is the correct, with the period in front of the
"shtml" that I missed when I retyped it and what was responsible for you
being such a jackass:

http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml

Now do yourself a favor and don't let what I post control your emotions
to the extent it effects your behavior.

790. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 19:58:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13759-4241CA6D-68@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197865

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml
404 error

>What a dumbass !!! He can't even post a link

>!!!!

>Vinnie S.

Looks like you have a new fan, Vin.

_
He always tries, but he just can't manage it.
Cat always has his tongue. Perhaps he will speak to you concerning his
newfound quest to catch my urine.

791. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 20:06:51 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13760-4241CC5B-19@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197866

From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml

>404 error

Try this:
http://tinyurl.com/5cblm

Thanks,,,,,yea, that's one way. Some folks apparently take serious issue with those in a position that couple their hobby with laughing and poking fun at the FCC. It seems to burn even more asses that one of our own is having at it and getting a bit of attention. It certainly does make a mockery of the failed FCC policies and those who support its current archaic rules as relating to commercial FM radio, micro-broadcasters and the like. What really is galling some of those, is the more they contact the FCC regarding this stuff, the more they lose their credibility.
And now, back to our regular program.

792. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 21:03:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mcm341d5b21age291be2uaog7bf2rkn4eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 197867

On 23 Mar 2005 18:59:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> >> http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml
>> >>
>> >404 error
>>
>> What a dumbass !!! He can't even post a link !!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Looks like you have a new fan, Vin.

Who?

Got my license today !!!!!!

Vinnie S.

793. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 21:12:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hfm341pcp30qp8k36hc5l3hpvjhq2jlsso@4ax.com>
Source record: 197868

Frank,

You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about one thing. I had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be confrontational. You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included. I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the hatchett.

Vinnie S.

794. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 22:19:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a8q341l40hg7tj90l1k28591qc9a13eqo6@4ax.com>
Source record: 197869

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 08:20:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <51q24117h3341p6vkk5sfpilpld4h2hi82@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 14:37:33 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>Yes it is, but your's must be terribly pathetic when you boast of
>>intrinsic gains from insulting responses you received as a direct
>>result of begging for them.
>
>That you cannot resist the urge to respond is indicative of your
>issues.

You are sounding more and more like Twisty with each post.

>>Dave, you are out of your league.
>
>Am I?

Yep.

>> I'll try and be tactful about this.....
>
>That'll be the day.

Well, at least I tried.

>>The problem here is that, like the rest of your life, you aren't
>>achieving squat on Usenet. You feel that if you can stand toe-to-toe
>>in a arguments with people who you feel are intellectually superior
>>that you will be be recognized for your intellectual achievements. But
>>that's not happening Dave, and I'll tell you why: People like Twisty
>>and myself are, as the saying goes, "too smart for our own britches".
>
>I'll add narcissism and self aggrandizing to your growing list of
>afflictions.

Some of us take pride in the fact that we exercise our minds. Others,
such as yourself, take the lazy path by adopting the intellectual
accomplishments of others, labelling them as your "core beliefs", then
following them with blind faith and a Nazi salute.

>>Kinda like athletes that need to burn off extra energy, we use Usenet
>>to burn off excess grey matter. You, OTOH, are struggling to assert
>>yourself as a worthy competitor in a game where the objective is, for
>>all practical purposes, to butt heads.
>

>So you now admit that your main purpose here is nothing more than to
>play the part of a troll?

I didn't think you would understand.

> That's sociopathic by nature. Wow, looks
>like you and twisty have more in common than I first thought.

Twisty and I have more in common than I -myself- first thought.

>>Now stop and think for a minute: almost nobody read the arguments
>>between Twist and myself, and almost nobody is reading our arguments
>>with you.
>
>So why do you continue? You are wasting your time here. Is your need
>for acknowledgment and approval so great that you'll solicit it from
>strangers on USE NET?

I have already explained why I continue. Since you didn't understand
my explanation, the question is why do -you- continue?

>>just who do you think is recognizing your intellectual
>>"achievements" in this newsgroup? Not me, not Twist, not your family,
>>not your friends (assuming you have friends), not anybody in your
>>co-dependent relationships..... not anybody else that matters. In
>>fact, anyone that -does- matter would read your posts and tell you
>>that you are wasting your time and accomplishing absolutely nothing.
>
>Smacking people like you with a reality 2X4 is a great diversion from
>the routines of working for a living. You never fail to rise to the
>occasion. For that I thank you.

Speaking of reality..... where are your facts, Dave? And what's the
name of that tech school? You keep swinging that big stick but all you
catch is air, and you swing so hard that it comes back around and
smacks you in the back of your own head. We'll address your "working
for a living" fantasy a little later.

>>But the choice is yours: Do you want to continue participating in this
>>head-butting melee?
>
>I had held out hope that this newsgroup would someday revert back to
>real discussions about CB radio. But it appears that all that is left
>for the most part, are those who just want to "butt heads". I'm not
>sure how much of this I want to be responsible for.

There is still plenty of discussion about CB radio. The problem is
that you choose not to participate in most of it, just like you rarely
choose discuss anything but politics in rec.boats. So now you claim to
take the morally high-ground and accept at least some responsibility
for your own off-topic rhetoric? Save it, Dave -- regardless of what
this group was intended to be, or what some people think it -should-
be, the de-facto definition of this group is an open forum for people

who share the common interest of CB radio. Nothing more.

>>or would you rather accomplish something for which
>>you will gain some positive social recognition? I suspect the former
>>because that would be consistent with your personality disorders, but
>>who knows -- maybe you will address your dysfunctions, quit bothering
>>your doctor and pharmacist, put some distance between yourself and
>>your computer and TV, and finally do something constructive with your
>>life (hey, it could happen!).
>
>Pot, Kettle Black.
>
>Let's review a few facts (You claim to embrace them):
>
>I'm gainfully employed as an engineer.

Doubtful. First, your education is seriously lacking in many academic disciplines. You claimed to have attended a tech school (what was the name of that tech school, Dave?), but never claimed to graduate. In fact, you have never claimed to have graduated high-school. Your grammar and composition demonstrate that your education is barely comparable with that of a backwoods junior college. Also, you post during normal working hours and are characteristically absent from the newsgroup on the weekends (recently claiming your weekend was spent on a "business trip"). You have boasted about your career as an engineer yet have never provided any further details, such as the specific field of engineering or the nature of your work. Assuming you actually -do- have a job, I doubt it involves any of the engineering fields. But I'm sure that you can find a definition for "engineer" that fits your job description in some way, such as "sanitation engineer" or "estate recycling engineer". My guess is that your job is closer to that of a "field logistics engineer" for a local pizza shop.

> You tend bar.

And I enjoy it, for the most part. The tips are good. It also comes after a 25 year full-time career in the field of radio-electronics, which is now part-time but continues unabated. I graduated EWU with a BS in EE and a minor in communications, worked several years as a broadcast engineer at a local station, and I still subcontract as a sound engineer at another.

You, OTOH, once had a CB shop.

>I have a solid and supportive family life.

Is that the current euphamism for a co-dependent relationship?

> You are - Alone.

Another assumption that is 100% wrong. Perhaps you think I'm "alone" because I don't have any co-dependent relationships, I don't have anyone paying my bills, or because I have an independent personality.

But even though I live independently, half my family lives in Spokane.
I'm hardly "alone".

>I have solid political and economic values. You espouse the lunatic
>fringe.

LOL!

>I am an adult. You are a child still looking for someone to say "good
>boy".

So did you find that book "Looking Out, Looking In" yet?

>A wise man once said, "If you try to tell a nut that he's nuts, he'll
>swear that you're crazy".

Another wise man once said, "The way of a fool is right in his own
eyes: but he that hearkeneth unto counsel is wise."

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

795. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 22:38:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8ir3411qnfql94apm1gs8ltm2knam27fit@4ax.com>
Source record: 197870

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:12:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <hfm341pcp30qp8k36hc5l3hpvjhq2jlsso@4ax.com>:

>Frank,
>
>You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about one thing. I
>had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked
>the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to
>give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and
>backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be
>confrontational. You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included.

Nor is everyone passive. So -you- have to understand that people can
and will disagree with you from time to time.

>I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history
>of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the
>hatchett.

You are not the only person who "liked the guy". But a lot of people
who were taken by his charms either didn't know or didn't care about
many of the unflattering facts about his administration. I was trying
to find out if you were one of the people who didn't know, or if you
were one of the people who didn't care. I got my answer and I dropped
the subject. Why are you trying to revive it?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

796. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 23:00:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dks341p5kub27hiu7cm38hhpbuspqh80sq@4ax.com>
Source record: 197871

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:38:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>>You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about one thing. I
>>had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked
>>the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to
>>give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and
>>backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be
>>confrontational. You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included.
>
>
>Nor is everyone passive. So -you- have to understand that people can
>and will disagree with you from time to time.

And more often they disagree.

Frank, when was the last time a post of yours on this group, contained an
agreeing tone to someone? I don't recall. The difference btween you and I is, I
don't go out of my way, to either agree or disagree. You do go out of your way.
Especially to disagree.

>
>>I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history
>>of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the
>>hatchett.
>
>
>You are not the only person who "liked the guy". But a lot of people
>who were taken by his charms either didn't know or didn't care about
>many of the unflattering facts about his administration. I was trying
>to find out if you were one of the people who didn't know, or if you
>were one of the people who didn't care. I got my answer and I dropped
>the subject.

OK. My next question is, why did you need an answer? Are you entitled to one? Do
I make that much difference in your life, that you need an answer from me? Did
it make you feel good? Why? Why did you need an answer?

>Why are you trying to revive it?

I am not trying to revive it. You figured it out. You were not going to change
my mind. And I wasn't going to change yours. However, I could have played with
you if I wanted to, and kept this going on and on. Because you don't know when
to stop. But I am not like you. And if there is one thing I have figured out
with you and Dave, that is, you absolutely have to have the last post. And that
about says it all.

Vinnie S.

797. Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 23:03:49 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pBm0e.10673$ot.2857@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197872

Several people lost their lives here today.

798. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 23:19:14 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <d8u341taqkrjgpgdi91bk890oav4es04l6@4ax.com>
Source record: 197873

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 23:03:49 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>Several people lost their lives here today.

http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/7277890/

Dear God. Years ago, there was a legendary huge explosion at a Texas waterfront plant. I can't recall the name. A ton of people died. Was this the same city?

Vinnie S.

799. Antenna experts please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 23:25:51 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vdu3419lgh6pqbuthn8onnerrs63f1vouj@4ax.com>
Source record: 197874

I want to hang a 10-80 meter multi dipole in the trees, for my HF rig, when I get it. I want to hang it in the next 2 weeks.

My questions are:

1. How high off the ground must the feedpoint be?

2. Should I use horizontal, or inverted V? Based on the trees, inverted V would be much easier. I will be much harder to run a horizontal form, in the trees.

3. If you recommend a inverted V, how high must the ends be off of the ground?

Thanks

(BTW, I am hanging the Imax for my CB up there, while I am at it)

Vinnie S.

800. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 23:35:48 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <o3n0e.10687$ot.8272@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197875

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:d8u341taqkrjgpgdi91bk890oav4es04l6@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 23:03:49 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
>>Several people lost their lives here today.
>
> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/7277890/
>
> Dear God. Years ago, there was a legendary huge explosion at a Texas
> waterfront
> plant. I can't recall the name. A ton of people died. Was this the same
> city?
>
> Vinnie S.

Yes, it was. It was when 2 ships blew up in the harbor in 1947.

801. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 23:39:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <gfv3411kbtob9vhovleqhau61ut4b40hlm@4ax.com>
Source record: 197876

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 23:35:48 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:d8u341taqkrjgpgdi91bk890oav4es04l6@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 23:03:49 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Several people lost their lives here today.
>>
>> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/7277890/
>>
>> Dear God. Years ago, there was a legendary huge explosion at a Texas
>> waterfront
>> plant. I can't recall the name. A ton of people died. Was this the same
>> city?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Yes, it was. It was when 2 ships blew up in the harbor in 1947.
>

Here it is:

http://www.chron.com/content/chronicle/metropolitan/txcity/

That city is jinxed. I remember the video from this. The pictures don't do it
justice. The video was incedible, even at it's age.

Vinnie S.

802. WELCOME TO THE GROUP KC2NXV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-23 23:53:41 UTC
From: Chicken Soup <ccty67@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111621919.2b438a4fe0c3de96b75b8a8f1d0204f1@teranews>
Source record: 197877

[No readable article body recovered]

803. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 01:10:16 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <taCdnVuYoKLljt_fRVn-uw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197878

U Know Who wrote:
> Several people lost their lives here today.

The only outlet (radio) I heard anything other than a short blurb about this terrible incident was "Air America", that bastion of liberal commies. Every thing else was "Schaivo, all the time".

I haven't watched any TV yet today. Have they been better reporting it?

Jeff

804. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 01:11:17 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <i42dnXW6zfgojt_fRVn-hg@giganews.com>
Source record: 197879

U Know Who wrote:
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:d8u341taqkrjgpgdi91bk890oav4es04l6@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 23:03:49 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>> Several people lost their lives here today.
>>
>> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/7277890/
>>
>> Dear God. Years ago, there was a legendary huge explosion at a Texas
>> waterfront
>> plant. I can't recall the name. A ton of people died. Was this the
>> same city?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> Yes, it was. It was when 2 ships blew up in the harbor in 1947.

Didn't they have fertalizer on board? Something like that? I remember
pictures of the devastation.

Jeff

805. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 02:08:15 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <hip0e.7236$RY3.1918@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197880

Steveo wrote:
> How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
> banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
> for it. That place is killer!
>
> http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
yeah i know it took a while to respond. do me a favor and tell me when
next years race is and i can fold it into a visit to my brothers place
in oak ridge. only 90 or so miles away but its an excuse to visit him :)

806. FS: 1976 UNIMETRICS DOLPHONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 02:11:09 UTC
From: Ottawa <sk8hard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_kp0e.35956$nK.1138201@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 197881

THIS IS A 1976 UNIMETRICS DOLPHONE CB RADIO 5 WATTS 23 CHANNEL FREQUENCY SYNTHESIZED SOLID STATE 2-WAY CB TRANSCEIVER WITH HANDSET, CATALOG # -914-4072 SERIAL# 602681, BUILT TOUGH FOR RUGGED MARINE USE.COMES WITH ORIGINAL BOX IN FAIR CONDITION, OPERATING & SERVICING MANUAL,1976 FCC RULES REG. PART 95 CITIZENS RADIO SERVICE BOOK.ORIGINAL SALES RECIEPT FROM JCPENNEY ERIE PA DATED 6/22/76 TOTAL OF $143.76.PHONE ALSO HAS CIGARETTE PLUG IN POWER SUPPLY CORD, TWO MOUNTING BRACKETS WITH HARDWARE STILL IN PLASTIC BAG. I DID PLUG RADIO IN AND IT DID LIGHT UP BUT WITH NO ANTENNA NOT SURE IF IT WORKS. ALL KNOBS AND SWITCHES DO WORK.HAS RED TRANSMIT LIGHT THAT WORKS, A PA / CB SWITCH,HANDSET / SPEAKER SWITCH,23 CHANNEL SELECTOR KNOB, SQUELCH KNOB, OFF / VOLUME KNOB.THE HANDSET IS JUST LIKE A OLDER TELEPHONE WITH A TRANSMIT BUTTON HALFWAY BETWEEN EAR AND MOUTH PIECE. THE ONLY FLAW I COULD FIND WAS THE CRADLE THAT PHONE SITS IN IS CRACKED IT IS REMOVABLE SO COULD BE EASILY GLUED BUT STILL WORKS FINE WITH CRACK.THIS IS A REALLY NEAT CB THAT LOOKS JUST LIKE A HOME PHONE.ANY ? OR COMMENTS PLEASE EMAIL ME.

sk8hard@gmail.com

807. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 02:46:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rv7441tibjv87hu7vi3k7itlsojapartsd@4ax.com>
Source record: 197882

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 08:20:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <51q24117h3341p6vkk5sfpilpld4h2hi82@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>I have solid political and economic values. You espouse the lunatic
>fringe.

Pop quiz, Dave:

Polls show that at least 82% of the American people believe that the
US government should not have interfered in the Scheivo case. Despite
this, Congress and the president interfered with the case anyway. The
resulting federal law was a purely partisan issue that was favored by
Republicans, and was passed because they have control of the WH and
hold a majority in both houses of Congress. These facts show that the
majority of the American people were -not- represented when this law
was passed by the Republicans.

The question is this: Who -is- represented by the majority party if
not the majority of Americans?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

808. Want Money? Try this Out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 03:11:50 UTC
From: gh <ghernandez46@mailblocks.com>
Message-ID: <1111633910.536049.252580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197883

Quick Easy Cash

: LOTS OF CASH, FAST AND COMPLETELY LEGAL, THIS REALLY WORKS!!
: THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU
: HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!
: A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
are now, and came
: across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands
of dollars within weeks
: with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right,
this must be a scam",
: but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
: Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
address stated in the
: article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of
the list at #6, and
: post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No
catch, that was it.
: So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I
thought about trying it. I figured
: what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most
of us I was a little
: skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it
: all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161)
and they confirmed
: that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
WHAT!!... within 7
: days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured
it would end soon, but
: the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
By the end of the
: second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
had over
: $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
have made a total of
: just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's
: certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on
the lottery!!
: Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
works....also, make sure you
: print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off
of it as you need it.
: A cousin of mine did this a few months back, and he is now enjoying
life in his brand new Tahoe Sport!! ($30,000+). So it does work!!

: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
each piece of paper
: "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
place
: ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
through the
: envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
envelopes and seal
: them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a
: piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a
$1.00 bill. What
: you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY
LEGAL! Mail the 6
: envelopes to the following addresses:
:
:
:
:
:
: 1- Kevin Klingelhoefer
: 615 Crescent St.
: Langhorne, PA 19047
: USA
:
: 2- A.L.
: Rimon 631/24
: Natzareth illit, T.D. 13123
: ISRAEL (17000)
:
: 3- Jeremy Franklin
: PO Box 11
: McCune, KS 66753
: USA
:
: 4- Wallden Enterprises Inc.
: 14329 - 68th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 2H5
: Canada
:
: 5- Frank Gomez
: 11108 Shoreline Dr.
: El Paso, TX 79936
: USA
:
: 6-G.H.
1011 W. Alberta St.
Anaheim,Ca. 92805
USA
:
: STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move
the other names up

: (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP
: 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible.
: Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to
: 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money
: you make! ---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS------------
: Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put
: your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
: cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
: the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place
: your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste
: a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) Save
: your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different sittings,
: you'll always have this file to go back to.
: Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups
: (on-line
: forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and
: post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste
: from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they
: scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button.
: You're done with your first one!
: Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroupes and
: post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
: **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE
: MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
: 200** That's it! You will begin reciving money from around the world within days! You
: may eventually wany to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If
: you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as
: long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE
: CORRECT.**
: Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies

(a very low
: example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
each of the 5
: persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each
with my name
: at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me,
: now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only
5 replies
: each, I will bring in an additional
: $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200
with my
: name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional
$626.00! OK, now
: here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200
letters with my
: name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me
$3,125.00!!! Those
: 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my
name at #1 and if
: still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With a original
: investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the
list, you just
: take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00
to names on the list,
: putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
thing to remember is,
: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and
: reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you
afford $6.00
: and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if
the plan is played out
: and no one sends you
: the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there
are tons of new
: honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and
newsgroups everyday
: and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000
new users, every day,
: with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play
FAIRLY and
: HONESTLY and this will work.
: Also, for the skeptics, you're looking at this and thinking about
doing it right? imagine
how many millions are looking and thinking the EXACT same thing

Good Luck Quick Easy Cash

: LOTS OF CASH, FAST AND COMPLETELY LEGAL, THIS REALLY WORKS!!
: THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU
: HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!
: A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
are now, and came
: across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands
of dollars within weeks
: with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right,
this must be a scam",
: but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
: Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
address stated in the
: article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of
the list at #6, and
: post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No
catch, that was it.
: So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I
thought about trying it. I figured
: what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most
of us I was a little
: skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it
: all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161)
and they confirmed
: that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
WHAT!!... within 7
: days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured
it would end soon, but
: the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
By the end of the
: second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
had over
: $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
have made a total of
: just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's
: certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on
the lottery!!
: Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
works....also, make sure you
: print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off
of it as you need it.
: A cousin of mine did this a few months back, and he is now enjoying
life in his brand new Tahoe Sport!! ($30,000+). So it does work!!
: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
each piece of paper
: "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
place
: ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
through the
: envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
envelopes and seal
: them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a

: piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What
: you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! Mail the 6
: envelopes to the following addresses:
:
:
:
: 1- TR Enterprises
: 27071 Kennedy Rd.
: Willow Beach, Ontario
: L0E 1S0, Box 249
: Canada
:
: 2- Kevin Klingelhoefer
: 615 Crescent St.
: Langhorne, PA 19047
: USA
:
: 3- A.L.
: Rimon 631/24
: Natzareth illit, T.D. 13123
: ISRAEL (17000)
:
:4 - Jeremy Franklin
: PO Box 11
: McCune, KS 66753
: USA
:
: 5- Wallden Enterprises Inc.
: 14329 - 68th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 2H5
: Canada
:
: 6- Frank Gomez
: 11108 Shoreline Dr.
: El Paso, TX 79936
: USA
:
:
:
: STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
: (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP
: 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible.
: Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to
: 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money

: you make! ---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS------------
: Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
posting. Simply put
: your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
: cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the
edit menu. This will copy
: the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2) Open a blank
'notepad' file and place
: your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select
'paste'. This will paste
: a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to
the list. Step 3) Save
: your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings
in different sittings,
: you'll always have this file to go back to.
: Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
various newsgroups
: (on-line
: forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these
message boards and
: post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this
letter and selecting paste
: from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that
everyone sees as they
: scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the
post message button.
: You're done with your first one!
: Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different
newsgroupes and
: post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30
seconds for each newsgroup!
: **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE
: MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
: 200** That's it! You will begin reciving money from around the world
within days! You
: may eventually wany to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail
you will receive. If
: you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as
: long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE
ADDRESSES ARE
: CORRECT.**
: Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies
(a very low
: example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
each of the 5
: persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each
with my name
: at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me,
: now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only

5 replies
: each, I will bring in an additional
: $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200
with my
: name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional
$626.00! OK, now
: here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200
letters with my
: name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me
$3,125.00!!! Those
: 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my
name at #1 and if
: still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With a original
: investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the
list, you just
: take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00
to names on the list,
: putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
thing to remember is,
: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and
: reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you
afford $6.00
: and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if
the plan is played out
: and no one sends you
: the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there
are tons of new
: honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and
newsgroups everyday
: and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000
new users, every day,
: with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play
FAIRLY and
: HONESTLY and this will work.
: Also, for the skeptics, you're looking at this and thinking about
doing it right? imagine how many millions are looking and thinking the
EXACT same thing Quick Easy Cash

: LOTS OF CASH, FAST AND COMPLETELY LEGAL, THIS REALLY WORKS!!
: THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU
: HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!
: A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
are now, and came
: across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands
of dollars within weeks
: with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right,
this must be a scam",
: but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.

: Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
address stated in the
: article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of
the list at #6, and
: post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No
catch, that was it.
: So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I
thought about trying it. I figured
: what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most
of us I was a little
: skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it
: all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161)
and they confirmed
: that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
WHAT!!... within 7
: days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured
it would end soon, but
: the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
By the end of the
: second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
had over
: $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
have made a total of
: just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's
: certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on
the lottery!!
: Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
works....also, make sure you
: print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off
of it as you need it.
: A cousin of mine did this a few months back, and he is now enjoying
life in his brand new Tahoe Sport!! ($30,000+). So it does work!!
: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
each piece of paper
: "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
place
: ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
through the
: envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
envelopes and seal
: them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a
: piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a
$1.00 bill. What
: you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY
LEGAL! Mail the 6
: envelopes to the following addresses:
:
:
:
: 1- TR Enterprises

: 27071 Kennedy Rd.
: Willow Beach, Ontario
: L0E 1S0, Box 249
: Canada
:
: 2- Kevin Klingelhoefer
: 615 Crescent St.
: Langhorne, PA 19047
: USA
:
: 3- A.L.
: Rimon 631/24
: Natzareth illit, T.D. 13123
: ISRAEL (17000)
:
:4 - Jeremy Franklin
: PO Box 11
: McCune, KS 66753
: USA
:
: 5- Wallden Enterprises Inc.
: 14329 - 68th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 2H5
: Canada
:
: 6- Frank Gomez
: 11108 Shoreline Dr.
: El Paso, TX 79936
: USA
:
:
:
: STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move
the other names up
: (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on
the list. STEP
: 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close
to original as possible.
: Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think
there are close to
: 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post,
the more money
: you make! ---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS------------
: Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
posting. Simply put
: your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
: cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the
edit menu. This will copy
: the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2) Open a blank
'notepad' file and place
: your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select

'paste'. This will paste
: a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to
the list. Step 3) Save
: your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings
in different sittings,
: you'll always have this file to go back to.
: Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
various newsgroups
: (on-line
: forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these
message boards and
: post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this
letter and selecting paste
: from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that
everyone sees as they
: scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the
post message button.
: You're done with your first one!
: Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different
newsgroupes and
: post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30
seconds for each newsgroup!
: **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE
: MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
: 200** That's it! You will begin reciving money from around the world
within days! You
: may eventually wany to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail
you will receive. If
: you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as
: long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE
ADDRESSES ARE
: CORRECT.**
: Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies
(a very low
: example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
each of the 5
: persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each
with my name
: at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me,
: now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only
5 replies
: each, I will bring in an additional
: $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200
with my
: name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional
$626.00! OK, now
: here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200
letters with my
: name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me

$3,125.00!!! Those
: 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if
: still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With a original
: investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just
: take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list,
: putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is,
: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and
: reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you afford $6.00
: and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out
: and no one sends you
: the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new
: honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday
: and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day,
: with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and
: HONESTLY and this will work.
: Also, for the skeptics, you're looking at this and thinking about doing it right? imagine how many millions are looking and thinking the EXACT same thing Quick Easy Cash

: LOTS OF CASH, FAST AND COMPLETELY LEGAL, THIS REALLY WORKS!!
: THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU
: HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!
: A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
: across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
: with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right, this must be a scam",
: but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
: Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the
: article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and
: post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it.
: So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured
: what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most

of us I was a little
: skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it
: all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161)
and they confirmed
: that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
WHAT!!... within 7
: days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured
it would end soon, but
: the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
By the end of the
: second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
had over
: $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
have made a total of
: just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's
: certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on
the lottery!!
: Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
works....also, make sure you
: print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off
of it as you need it.
: A cousin of mine did this a few months back, and he is now enjoying
life in his brand new Tahoe Sport!! ($30,000+). So it does work!!
: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
each piece of paper
: "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
place
: ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
through the
: envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
envelopes and seal
: them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a
: piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a
$1.00 bill. What
: you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY
LEGAL! Mail the 6
: envelopes to the following addresses:
:
:
:
: 1- TR Enterprises
: 27071 Kennedy Rd.
: Willow Beach, Ontario
: L0E 1S0, Box 249
: Canada
:
: 2- Kevin Klingelhoefer
: 615 Crescent St.
: Langhorne, PA 19047
: USA

:
: 3- A.L.
: Rimon 631/24
: Natzareth illit, T.D. 13123
: ISRAEL (17000)
:
:4 - Jeremy Franklin
: PO Box 11
: McCune, KS 66753
: USA
:
: 5- Wallden Enterprises Inc.
: 14329 - 68th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 2H5
: Canada
:
: 6- Frank Gomez
: 11108 Shoreline Dr.
: El Paso, TX 79936
: USA
:
:
:
: STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move
the other names up
: (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on
the list. STEP
: 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close
to original as possible.
: Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think
there are close to
: 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post,
the more money
: you make! ---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS------------
: Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
posting. Simply put
: your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
: cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the
edit menu. This will copy
: the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2) Open a blank
'notepad' file and place
: your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select
'paste'. This will paste
: a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to
the list. Step 3) Save
: your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings
in different sittings,
: you'll always have this file to go back to.
: Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
various newsgroups
: (on-line

: forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and
: post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste
: from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they
: scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button.
: You're done with your first one!
: Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroupes and
: post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
: **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE
: MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
: 200** That's it! You will begin reciving money from around the world within days! You
: may eventually wany to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If
: you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as
: long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE
: CORRECT.**
: Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low
: example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5
: persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name
: at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me,
: now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies
: each, I will bring in an additional
: $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my
: name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now
: here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my
: name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those
: 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if
: still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With a original
: investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just
: take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list,

: putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is,
: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and
: reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you afford $6.00
: and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out
: and no one sends you
: the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new
: honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday
: and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day,
: with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and
: HONESTLY and this will work.
: Also, for the skeptics, you're looking at this and thinking about doing it right? imagine how many millions are looking and thinking the EXACT same thing

809. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 03:28:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gtc441hueroflmftf6pjfmr2hgnc9i30e5@4ax.com>
Source record: 197884

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 18:00:11 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <dks341p5kub27hiu7cm38hhpbuspqh80sq@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:38:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>>You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about one thing. I
>>>had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked
>>>the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to
>>>give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and
>>>backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be
>>>confrontational. You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included.
>>
>>
>>Nor is everyone passive. So -you- have to understand that people can
>>and will disagree with you from time to time.
>
>And more often they disagree.
>
>Frank, when was the last time a post of yours on this group, contained an
>agreeing tone to someone? I don't recall. The difference btween you and I is, I
>don't go out of my way, to either agree or disagree. You do go out of your way.
>Especially to disagree.

I agree. Happy?

>>>I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history
>>>of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the
>>>hatchett.
>>
>>
>>You are not the only person who "liked the guy". But a lot of people
>>who were taken by his charms either didn't know or didn't care about
>>many of the unflattering facts about his administration. I was trying
>>to find out if you were one of the people who didn't know, or if you
>>were one of the people who didn't care. I got my answer and I dropped
>>the subject.
>
>OK. My next question is, why did you need an answer? Are you entitled to one? Do
>I make that much difference in your life, that you need an answer from me? Did
>it make you feel good? Why? Why did you need an answer?

For the same reason that you needed to regurgitate your feelings about
Reagan.

>>Why are you trying to revive it?
>
>I am not trying to revive it. You figured it out. You were not going to change
>my mind. And I wasn't going to change yours. However, I could have played with
>you if I wanted to, and kept this going on and on. Because you don't know when
>to stop. But I am not like you. And if there is one thing I have figured out
>with you and Dave, that is, you absolutely have to have the last post. And that
>about says it all.

First of all, I have given Dave the last word many, many times. You
can check google and see that most threads usually end with a post by
him. So for you to suggest that I am the one who needs to have the
last word is nothing more than pure BS.

Second, if my discussions with Dave cause you grief then that's -your-
problem, not mine. This is an open forum, and while you can read and
post whatever you like, you can also ignore whatever you don't like.
Learn to do the latter and you won't need to visit your shrink.

Third, it takes two to tango. Don't whine about me unless you are
going to whine about Dave as well. Otherwise, you are just as much of
a hypocrite as he is.

Fourth, I am not the one pushing -our- discussion. In fact, and
contrary to your own opinion, I gave -you- the last word and I dropped
our discussion. It is -you- that keeps digging up the issue. If you
want it to end then just shut up. It's that simple.

Fifth, I couldn't care less if you change your mind or not about
anything. You made a statement, I asked a few questions, and you threw
a fit. You're still throwing a fit. Take a really big prozac and
chill.

And finally, a lot of people don't like me -- big deal. You're nothing
special that I should change -my- ways to suit -your- model of proper
etiquitte. A hammie license doesn't give you any special privs in this
newsgroup, and you've provided nothing to the group that warrants any
respect from me. So get over yourself.

Now I gave you the last word before, but since that you made it an
issue you must now earn it. Are you sure you want to play this game?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

810. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 03:31:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <v3d4419vcbq4du4hnbpc37d1e51phfjsnj@4ax.com>
Source record: 197885

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:12:53 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<1cfp319qo88pked7phcbhj3b850in56p67@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>..... Regardless, I am sure he was not the first crook, and
>>wasn't the last.
>
>
>That's for sure.

I agreed with you here.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

811. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 03:32:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <r5d44192ao7evf7ti4566m0mmv9046a65f@4ax.com>
Source record: 197886

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 04:34:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<jh6o31dm2qc9tmtgse2cji7bj3fp6j2l6o@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>As far as Clinton, I don't want to get into it. I won't mention Whitewater, the
>>lawyer who committed suicide, and the 2,000 pardons. And not to mention the
>>national TV announcement "I did not have relations with that woman", while she
>>was sucking his dick under the desk.
>
>
>Clinton was crooked. No contest.

I agreed with you here.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

812. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 03:35:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2bd441lnanmu70f02vr1ao0i73p4l35jg2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197887

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:23:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<n6gp31tus6ks1nht9ps1n0uqgfo7739s9t@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 22:53:58 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <4i8n31pptru267ekfptl1f7pj9563rhj8s@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>><20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>The choices suck.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>>
>>
>>
>>McCain !!!!
>>
>>I really like him !!!!!!
>
>
>I agree. And with Bush's dirty politics out of the way in 2008 he has
>a very good chance to get the GOP nod.

I agreed with you here.

So what's your problem, Vinnie?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

813. Re: Antenna experts please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 03:45:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ttd441l40g3d4q45krbk6rb2mb3d28hssd@4ax.com>
Source record: 197888

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 18:25:51 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <vdu3419lgh6pqbuthn8onnerrs63f1vouj@4ax.com>:

>I want to hang a 10-80 meter multi dipole in the trees, for my HF rig, when I
>get it. I want to hang it in the next 2 weeks.
>
>My questions are:
>
>1. How high off the ground must the feedpoint be?
>
>2. Should I use horizontal, or inverted V? Based on the trees, inverted V would
>be much easier. I will be much harder to run a horizontal form, in the trees.
>
>3. If you recommend a inverted V, how high must the ends be off of the ground?
>
>Thanks
>
>(BTW, I am hanging the Imax for my CB up there, while I am at it)
>
>Vinnie S.

http://www.qsl.net/wb1gfh/antenna.html

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

814. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:25:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324002558.520$VF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197889

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml
> 404 error
>
> >What a dumbass !!! He can't even post a link
>
> >!!!!
>
> >Vinnie S.
>
> Looks like you have a new fan, Vin.
> _
> He always tries, but he just can't manage it.
> Cat always has his tongue. Perhaps he will speak to you concerning his
> newfound quest to catch my urine.
>
Claydo gumbie?

815. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:28:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324002835.382$6m@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197890

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
> On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
> http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml
>
> >404 error
>
> Try this:
> http://tinyurl.com/5cblm
>
> Thanks,,,,,yea, that's one way. Some folks apparently take serious issue
> with those in a position that couple their hobby with laughing and
> poking fun at the FCC. It seems to burn even more asses that one of our
> own is having at it and getting a bit of attention. It certainly does
> make a mockery of the failed FCC policies and those who support its
> current archaic rules as relating to commercial FM radio,
> micro-broadcasters and the like. What really is galling some of those,
> is the more they contact the FCC regarding this stuff, the more they
> lose their credibility.
> And now, back to our regular program.
>
That's almost as bad as cutting coax and building jammers at 16 years
old. Is his name really Oxycotton (sp)?

816. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:30:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324003017.776$GB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197891

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 23 Mar 2005 18:59:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >> >> http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_sh
> >> >> tml
> >> >>
> >> >404 error
> >>
> >> What a dumbass !!! He can't even post a link !!!!
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Looks like you have a new fan, Vin.
>
> Who?
>
> Got my license today !!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Do ewe have the 10-code down yet? (j/k) Congrats, Enzo.

817. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:32:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324003208.161$T2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197892

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> Frank,
>
> You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about one
> thing. I had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan.
> I simply liked the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody
> else. You proceded to give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the
> situation much further, and backed off. But you are confrontational, or
> at least you look to be confrontational. You have to understand, not
> everyone is like that, me included. I know you can school me on the
> history in that time period. Or maybe on history of any time period. But
> who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the hatchett.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I just got home from seeing Steve Earle at the house of blues tonight.

Fuck the FCC. :)

818. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:35:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324003530.492$16@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197893

Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
> Why are you trying to revive it?
>
Betrayal, conspiracy, sacrilege, and hieracy! ($1 Steve earle)

Ever give a listen to S. Earle, Frank?

819. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:37:18 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <wZ-dndCr6ZiNz9_fRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 197794

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
news:i42dnXW6zfgojt_fRVn-hg@giganews.com...
> U Know Who wrote:
> > "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
message
> >
news:d8u341taqkrjgpgdi91bk890oav4es04l6@4ax.com...
> >> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 23:03:49 GMT, "U Know
Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>> Several people lost their lives here today.
> >>
> >> http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/7277890/
> >>
> >> Dear God. Years ago, there was a legendary
huge explosion at a Texas
> >> waterfront
> >> plant. I can't recall the name. A ton of
people died. Was this the
> >> same city?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> > Yes, it was. It was when 2 ships blew up in
the harbor in 1947.
>
> Didn't they have fertalizer on board?

It was specifically Ammonium Nitrate. Ammonium
Nitrate is used as the main component in some
fertilizer products for farm use. I have a picture
in an old chemistry book of mine that shows a
locomotive blown off its tracks at least a mile
from the explosion site. That should give you an
idea of the force and size of the explosion.

Ammonium Nitrate is classified as a high explosive
but requires either a great deal of heat (ANFO -
Ammonium Nitrate Fuel Oil) or something like a
blasting cap to set it off. In the sixties I
believe several student radical groups used a
version of the ANFO concept to do a few bombings.
Ammonium Nitrate under low heat however will cause
it to decompose in to water vapor and nitrous

oxide (laughing gas).

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell
you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life
forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced
Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the
art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly
complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error
correction
functions."

820. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:37:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324003751.506$DF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197795

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> Several people lost their lives here today.
>
Bummer. :(

821. Re: WELCOME TO THE GROUP KC2NXV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:39:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324003921.184$St@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197796

Chicken Soup <ccty67@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
Hi, soup.

822. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:43:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324004315.982$BC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197797

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Didn't they have fertalizer on board? Something like that? I remember
> pictures of the devastation.
>
> Jeff
>
The drivers always freaks out when I buy 18 tons of urea and start stacking it 3 pallets high in my warehouse. Can't blame them, but I donut order enough kerosene to make the recipe.

823. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:44:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324004440.563$Uo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197798

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
> > banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
> > for it. That place is killer!
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>
> yeah i know it took a while to respond. do me a favor and tell me when
> next years race is and i can fold it into a visit to my brothers place
> in oak ridge. only 90 or so miles away but its an excuse to visit him :)
>
Cool. Don't forget the night race there in August too.

824. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:48:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324004820.617$3U@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197799

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:a8q341l40hg7tj90l1k28591qc9a13eqo6@4ax.com:
>
> > > Twisty and I have more in common than I -myself- first thought.
>
> Both assholes. Hey Frank did you ever get the pics of Steveo developed?
> remember you werre saitting in a gas station parking lot or some other
> BS. Now go change your soiled diaper.
>
Hi chrissy the sissy, Busch. You can't make up your simple mind as to
which side of the fence you're on, week to week.

Tell your mom she's got mail.

825. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:51:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324005107.135$cX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197800

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:12:53 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> <1cfp319qo88pked7phcbhj3b850in56p67@4ax.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >>..... Regardless, I am sure he was not the first crook, and
> >>wasn't the last.
> >
> >
> >That's for sure.
>
> I agreed with you here.
>
Agreeing with yourself is not all it's cracked up to be, Frank. :)

826. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 05:52:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324005215.235$HS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197801

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> So what's your problem, Vinnie?
>
Sleep with the fish's? <g>

827. Leland is an asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 06:41:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324014141.655$88_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197802

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050317235205.615$zz@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20050315075708.160$qa@newsreader.com...
> > > > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > > > > On 15 Mar 2005 11:46:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >> > >
> > > > > >> > >Use it in your posts on the news group. I would like to see
> > > > > >> > >somebody else have a higher look up count on QRZ than me
> > > > > >> > >for a change.
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> > I never looked you up. They have a count there?
> > > > > >> >
> > > > > >> > Vinnie S.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> Sure do. I'm over 18,000 look ups so far, 99 percent of it due
> > > > > >> to my postings on this news group. Just proves a whole lot
> > > > > >> more people just sandbag on the side reading and never post
> > > > > >> anything.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >18,000?! Are you the record holder?
> > > > > >
> > > > > He wrong already. It's more than 18,000 !!!!!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > I think he has been on TV !
> > > > > >
> > > > Honestly, how the hell do you get 18,000 plus lookups? I don't buy
> > > > it's a reult of posting in rec.radio.cb.
> > > >
> > > OK so don't. Nobody is twisting your arm.
> > >
> > I just find it hard to understand how a guy in an apartment with a
> > cell phone antenna ran thru his rented air conditioner, could get
> > looked up 18 thousand times.
>
> And I don't even do HF. 8-))
>
No shit? Not having the gear, antenna, or license have anything
to do with it?

828. Re: Want Money? Try this Out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 10:27:51 UTC
From: phreakazoid <cryptos@yoservice.com>
Message-ID: <42429226_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 197803

Want Money?
Do This :
Get A Job, and Don't Ever Quit it - Day or Night!

"gh" <ghernandez46@mailblocks.com> wrote in message
news:1111633910.536049.252580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Quick Easy Cash
>
> : LOTS OF CASH, FAST AND COMPLETELY LEGAL, THIS REALLY WORKS!!
> : THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU
> : HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!
> : A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
> are now, and came
> : across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands
> of dollars within weeks
> : with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right,
> this must be a scam",
> : but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
> : Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
> address stated in the
> : article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of
> the list at #6, and
> : post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No
> catch, that was it.
> : So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I
> thought about trying it. I figured
> : what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most
> of us I was a little
> : skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it
> : all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161)
> and they confirmed
> : that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
> WHAT!!... within 7
> : days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured
> it would end soon, but
> : the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
> By the end of the
> : second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
> had over
> : $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
> have made a total of
> : just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's
> : certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on
> the lottery!!

> : Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
> works....also, make sure you
> : print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off
> of it as you need it.
> : A cousin of mine did this a few months back, and he is now enjoying
> life in his brand new Tahoe Sport!! ($30,000+). So it does work!!
> : STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
> each piece of paper
> : "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
> place
> : ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
> through the
> : envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
> envelopes and seal
> : them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a
> : piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a
> $1.00 bill. What
> : you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY
> LEGAL! Mail the 6
> : envelopes to the following addresses:
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> : 1- Kevin Klingelhoefer
> : 615 Crescent St.
> : Langhorne, PA 19047
> : USA
> :
> : 2- A.L.
> : Rimon 631/24
> : Natzareth illit, T.D. 13123
> : ISRAEL (17000)
> :
> : 3- Jeremy Franklin
> : PO Box 11
> : McCune, KS 66753
> : USA
> :
> : 4- Wallden Enterprises Inc.
> : 14329 - 68th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 2H5
> : Canada
> :
> : 5- Frank Gomez
> : 11108 Shoreline Dr.
> : El Paso, TX 79936
> : USA
> :
> : 6-G.H.

> 1011 W. Alberta St.
> Anaheim,Ca. 92805
> USA
> :
> : STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move
> the other names up
> : (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on
> the list. STEP
> : 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close
> to original as possible.
> : Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think
> there are close to
> : 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post,
> the more money
> : you make! ---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS------------
> : Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
> posting. Simply put
> : your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
> : cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the
> edit menu. This will copy
> : the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2) Open a blank
> 'notepad' file and place
> : your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select
> 'paste'. This will paste
> : a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to
> the list. Step 3) Save
> : your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings
> in different sittings,
> : you'll always have this file to go back to.
> : Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
> various newsgroups
> : (on-line
> : forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these
> message boards and
> : post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this
> letter and selecting paste
> : from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that
> everyone sees as they
> : scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the
> post message button.
> : You're done with your first one!
> : Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different
> newsgroupes and
> : post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30
> seconds for each newsgroup!
> : **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE
> : MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
> : 200** That's it! You will begin reciving money from around the world
> within days! You
> : may eventually wany to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail

> you will receive. If
> : you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as
> : long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE
> ADDRESSES ARE
> : CORRECT.**
> : Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies
> (a very low
> : example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
> each of the 5
> : persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each
> with my name
> : at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
> another $25.00 for me,
> : now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only
> 5 replies
> : each, I will bring in an additional
> : $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200
> with my
> : name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional
> $626.00! OK, now
> : here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200
> letters with my
> : name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me
> $3,125.00!!! Those
> : 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my
> name at #1 and if
> : still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
> With a original
> : investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the
> list, you just
> : take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00
> to names on the list,
> : putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
> thing to remember is,
> : do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
> joining the internet and
> : reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you
> afford $6.00
> : and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if
> the plan is played out
> : and no one sends you
> : the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there
> are tons of new
> : honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and
> newsgroups everyday
> : and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000
> new users, every day,
> : with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play
> FAIRLY and
> : HONESTLY and this will work.

> : Also, for the skeptics, you're looking at this and thinking about
> doing it right? imagine
> how many millions are looking and thinking the EXACT same thing
>
> Good Luck Quick Easy Cash
>
> : LOTS OF CASH, FAST AND COMPLETELY LEGAL, THIS REALLY WORKS!!
> : THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU
> : HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!
> : A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
> are now, and came
> : across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands
> of dollars within weeks
> : with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right,
> this must be a scam",
> : but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
> : Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
> address stated in the
> : article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of
> the list at #6, and
> : post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No
> catch, that was it.
> : So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I
> thought about trying it. I figured
> : what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most
> of us I was a little
> : skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it
> : all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161)
> and they confirmed
> : that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
> WHAT!!... within 7
> : days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured
> it would end soon, but
> : the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
> By the end of the
> : second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
> had over
> : $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
> have made a total of
> : just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's
> : certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on
> the lottery!!
> : Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
> works....also, make sure you
> : print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off
> of it as you need it.
> : A cousin of mine did this a few months back, and he is now enjoying
> life in his brand new Tahoe Sport!! ($30,000+). So it does work!!
> : STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
> each piece of paper

> : "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
> place
> : ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
> through the
> : envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
> envelopes and seal
> : them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a
> : piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a
> $1.00 bill. What
> : you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY
> LEGAL! Mail the 6
> : envelopes to the following addresses:
> :
> :
> :
> : 1- TR Enterprises
> : 27071 Kennedy Rd.
> : Willow Beach, Ontario
> : L0E 1S0, Box 249
> : Canada
> :
> : 2- Kevin Klingelhoefer
> : 615 Crescent St.
> : Langhorne, PA 19047
> : USA
> :
> : 3- A.L.
> : Rimon 631/24
> : Natzareth illit, T.D. 13123
> : ISRAEL (17000)
> :
> :4 - Jeremy Franklin
> : PO Box 11
> : McCune, KS 66753
> : USA
> :
> : 5- Wallden Enterprises Inc.
> : 14329 - 68th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 2H5
> : Canada
> :
> : 6- Frank Gomez
> : 11108 Shoreline Dr.
> : El Paso, TX 79936
> : USA
> :
> :
> :
> : STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move
> the other names up
> : (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on

> the list. STEP
> : 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close
> to original as possible.
> : Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think
> there are close to
> : 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post,
> the more money
> : you make! ---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS-----------
> : Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
> posting. Simply put
> : your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
> : cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the
> edit menu. This will copy
> : the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2) Open a blank
> 'notepad' file and place
> : your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select
> 'paste'. This will paste
> : a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to
> the list. Step 3) Save
> : your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings
> in different sittings,
> : you'll always have this file to go back to.
> : Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
> various newsgroups
> : (on-line
> : forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these
> message boards and
> : post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this
> letter and selecting paste
> : from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that
> everyone sees as they
> : scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the
> post message button.
> : You're done with your first one!
> : Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different
> newsgroupes and
> : post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30
> seconds for each newsgroup!
> : **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE
> : MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
> : 200** That's it! You will begin reciving money from around the world
> within days! You
> : may eventually wany to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail
> you will receive. If
> : you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as
> : long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE
> ADDRESSES ARE
> : CORRECT.**
> : Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies
> (a very low

> : example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
> each of the 5
> : persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each
> with my name
> : at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
> another $25.00 for me,
> : now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only
> 5 replies
> : each, I will bring in an additional
> : $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200
> with my
> : name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional
> $626.00! OK, now
> : here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200
> letters with my
> : name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me
> $3,125.00!!! Those
> : 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my
> name at #1 and if
> : still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
> With a original
> : investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the
> list, you just
> : take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00
> to names on the list,
> : putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
> thing to remember is,
> : do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
> joining the internet and
> : reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you
> afford $6.00
> : and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if
> the plan is played out
> : and no one sends you
> : the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there
> are tons of new
> : honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and
> newsgroups everyday
> : and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000
> new users, every day,
> : with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play
> FAIRLY and
> : HONESTLY and this will work.
> : Also, for the skeptics, you're looking at this and thinking about
> doing it right? imagine how many millions are looking and thinking the
> EXACT same thing Quick Easy Cash
>
> : LOTS OF CASH, FAST AND COMPLETELY LEGAL, THIS REALLY WORKS!!
> : THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU
> : HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!

> : A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
> are now, and came
> : across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands
> of dollars within weeks
> : with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right,
> this must be a scam",
> : but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
> : Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
> address stated in the
> : article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of
> the list at #6, and
> : post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No
> catch, that was it.
> : So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I
> thought about trying it. I figured
> : what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most
> of us I was a little
> : skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it
> : all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161)
> and they confirmed
> : that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
> WHAT!!... within 7
> : days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured
> it would end soon, but
> : the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
> By the end of the
> : second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
> had over
> : $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
> have made a total of
> : just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's
> : certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on
> the lottery!!
> : Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
> works....also, make sure you
> : print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off
> of it as you need it.
> : A cousin of mine did this a few months back, and he is now enjoying
> life in his brand new Tahoe Sport!! ($30,000+). So it does work!!
> : STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
> each piece of paper
> : "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
> place
> : ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
> through the
> : envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
> envelopes and seal
> : them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a
> : piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a
> $1.00 bill. What

> : you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY
> LEGAL! Mail the 6
> : envelopes to the following addresses:
> :
> :
> :
> : 1- TR Enterprises
> : 27071 Kennedy Rd.
> : Willow Beach, Ontario
> : L0E 1S0, Box 249
> : Canada
> :
> : 2- Kevin Klingelhoefer
> : 615 Crescent St.
> : Langhorne, PA 19047
> : USA
> :
> : 3- A.L.
> : Rimon 631/24
> : Natzareth illit, T.D. 13123
> : ISRAEL (17000)
> :
> :4 - Jeremy Franklin
> : PO Box 11
> : McCune, KS 66753
> : USA
> :
> : 5- Wallden Enterprises Inc.
> : 14329 - 68th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 2H5
> : Canada
> :
> : 6- Frank Gomez
> : 11108 Shoreline Dr.
> : El Paso, TX 79936
> : USA
> :
> :
> :
> : STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move
> the other names up
> : (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on
> the list. STEP
> : 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close
> to original as possible.
> : Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think
> there are close to
> : 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post,
> the more money
> : you make! ---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS------------
> : Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own

> posting. Simply put
> : your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
> : cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the
> edit menu. This will copy
> : the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2) Open a blank
> 'notepad' file and place
> : your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select
> 'paste'. This will paste
> : a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to
> the list. Step 3) Save
> : your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings
> in different sittings,
> : you'll always have this file to go back to.
> : Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
> various newsgroups
> : (on-line
> : forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these
> message boards and
> : post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this
> letter and selecting paste
> : from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that
> everyone sees as they
> : scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the
> post message button.
> : You're done with your first one!
> : Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different
> newsgroupes and
> : post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30
> seconds for each newsgroup!
> : **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE
> : MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
> : 200** That's it! You will begin reciving money from around the world
> within days! You
> : may eventually wany to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail
> you will receive. If
> : you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as
> : long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE
> ADDRESSES ARE
> : CORRECT.**
> : Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies
> (a very low
> : example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
> each of the 5
> : persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each
> with my name
> : at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
> another $25.00 for me,
> : now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only
> 5 replies
> : each, I will bring in an additional

> : $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200
> with my
> : name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional
> $626.00! OK, now
> : here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200
> letters with my
> : name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me
> $3,125.00!!! Those
> : 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my
> name at #1 and if
> : still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
> With a original
> : investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the
> list, you just
> : take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00
> to names on the list,
> : putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
> thing to remember is,
> : do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
> joining the internet and
> : reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you
> afford $6.00
> : and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if
> the plan is played out
> : and no one sends you
> : the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there
> are tons of new
> : honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and
> newsgroups everyday
> : and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000
> new users, every day,
> : with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play
> FAIRLY and
> : HONESTLY and this will work.
> : Also, for the skeptics, you're looking at this and thinking about
> doing it right? imagine how many millions are looking and thinking the
> EXACT same thing Quick Easy Cash
>
> : LOTS OF CASH, FAST AND COMPLETELY LEGAL, THIS REALLY WORKS!!
> : THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU
> : HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!
> : A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
> are now, and came
> : across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands
> of dollars within weeks
> : with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right,
> this must be a scam",
> : but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
> : Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
> address stated in the

> : article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of
> the list at #6, and
> : post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No
> catch, that was it.
> : So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I
> thought about trying it. I figured
> : what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most
> of us I was a little
> : skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it
> : all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161)
> and they confirmed
> : that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
> WHAT!!... within 7
> : days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured
> it would end soon, but
> : the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
> By the end of the
> : second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I
> had over
> : $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
> have made a total of
> : just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's
> : certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on
> the lottery!!
> : Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
> works....also, make sure you
> : print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off
> of it as you need it.
> : A cousin of mine did this a few months back, and he is now enjoying
> life in his brand new Tahoe Sport!! ($30,000+). So it does work!!
> : STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
> each piece of paper
> : "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
> place
> : ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen
> through the
> : envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
> envelopes and seal
> : them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a
> : piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a
> $1.00 bill. What
> : you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY
> LEGAL! Mail the 6
> : envelopes to the following addresses:
> :
> :
> :
> : 1- TR Enterprises
> : 27071 Kennedy Rd.
> : Willow Beach, Ontario

> : L0E 1S0, Box 249
> : Canada
> :
> : 2- Kevin Klingelhoefer
> : 615 Crescent St.
> : Langhorne, PA 19047
> : USA
> :
> : 3- A.L.
> : Rimon 631/24
> : Natzareth illit, T.D. 13123
> : ISRAEL (17000)
> :
> :4 - Jeremy Franklin
> : PO Box 11
> : McCune, KS 66753
> : USA
> :
> : 5- Wallden Enterprises Inc.
> : 14329 - 68th Avenue, Surrey, B.C. V3W 2H5
> : Canada
> :
> : 6- Frank Gomez
> : 11108 Shoreline Dr.
> : El Paso, TX 79936
> : USA
> :
> :
> :
> : STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move
> the other names up
> : (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on
> the list. STEP
> : 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close
> to original as possible.
> : Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think
> there are close to
> : 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post,
> the more money
> : you make! ---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS------------
> : Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
> posting. Simply put
> : your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
> : cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the
> edit menu. This will copy
> : the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2) Open a blank
> 'notepad' file and place
> : your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select
> 'paste'. This will paste
> : a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to

> the list. Step 3) Save
> : your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings
> in different sittings,
> : you'll always have this file to go back to.
> : Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
> various newsgroups
> : (on-line
> : forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these
> message boards and
> : post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this
> letter and selecting paste
> : from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that
> everyone sees as they
> : scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the
> post message button.
> : You're done with your first one!
> : Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different
> newsgroupes and
> : post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30
> seconds for each newsgroup!
> : **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE
> : MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
> : 200** That's it! You will begin reciving money from around the world
> within days! You
> : may eventually wany to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail
> you will receive. If
> : you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as
> : long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE
> ADDRESSES ARE
> : CORRECT.**
> : Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies
> (a very low
> : example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
> each of the 5
> : persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each
> with my name
> : at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
> another $25.00 for me,
> : now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only
> 5 replies
> : each, I will bring in an additional
> : $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200
> with my
> : name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional
> $626.00! OK, now
> : here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200
> letters with my
> : name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me
> $3,125.00!!! Those
> : 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my

> name at #1 and if
> : still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
> With a original
> : investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the
> list, you just
> : take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00
> to names on the list,
> : putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
> thing to remember is,
> : do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
> joining the internet and
> : reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you
> afford $6.00
> : and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if
> the plan is played out
> : and no one sends you
> : the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there
> are tons of new
> : honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and
> newsgroups everyday
> : and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000
> new users, every day,
> : with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play
> FAIRLY and
> : HONESTLY and this will work.
> : Also, for the skeptics, you're looking at this and thinking about
> doing it right? imagine how many millions are looking and thinking the
> EXACT same thing
>

829. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 10:52:42 UTC
From: Ron038653@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111661562.686825.177340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197804

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

830. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 12:32:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ijc5415l4hl5409rn7ljco2hcen07ov1oa@4ax.com>
Source record: 197805

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:12:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>Frank,
>
>You 2 should cool it and try to get along.

We used to get along just fine. That was before Frank found out I am a
conservative republican. Now he's made it his life mission to attempt
to counter me at every turn. He's a man obsessed beyond what would be
considered normal. Note his sensitivity to your mention of Reagan.

> But Dave is right about one thing. I
>had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked
>the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to
>give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and
>backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be
>confrontational.

Bingo.

> You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included.
>I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history
>of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the
>hatchett.

Not until you renounce your obviously erroneous beliefs. There is only
one truth, and one set of facts, and Frank has them.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

831. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 13:14:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <n0d541pqe62avh9kiak7mi1hdes555vdkv@4ax.com>
Source record: 197806

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:19:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 08:20:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <51q24117h3341p6vkk5sfpilpld4h2hi82@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 14:37:33 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Yes it is, but your's must be terribly pathetic when you boast of
>>>intrinsic gains from insulting responses you received as a direct
>>>result of begging for them.
>>
>>That you cannot resist the urge to respond is indicative of your
>>issues.
>
>
>You are sounding more and more like Twisty with each post.
>
>
>>>Dave, you are out of your league.
>>
>>Am I?
>
>
>Yep.
>
>
>>> I'll try and be tactful about this.....
>>
>>That'll be the day.
>
>
>Well, at least I tried.

No, you didn't, and that's part of your basic problem, and probably
explains your inability to form a long term relationship with another
person.

>>>The problem here is that, like the rest of your life, you aren't
>>>achieving squat on Usenet. You feel that if you can stand toe-to-toe
>>>in a arguments with people who you feel are intellectually superior
>>>that you will be be recognized for your intellectual achievements. But
>>>that's not happening Dave, and I'll tell you why: People like Twisty
>>>and myself are, as the saying goes, "too smart for our own britches".

>>
>>I'll add narcissism and self aggrandizing to your growing list of
>>afflictions.
>
>
>Some of us take pride in the fact that we exercise our minds.

If that's what you want to call it. I tend to look at it is crying for
acceptance, a call for society to "look at me!"

>Others,
>such as yourself, take the lazy path by adopting the intellectual
>accomplishments of others, labelling them as your "core beliefs", then
>following them with blind faith and a Nazi salute.

Because I refuse to expose anything about myself beyond the topic at
hand, you make reckless assumptions about how I think.

>>>Kinda like athletes that need to burn off extra energy, we use Usenet
>>>to burn off excess grey matter. You, OTOH, are struggling to assert
>>>yourself as a worthy competitor in a game where the objective is, for
>>>all practical purposes, to butt heads.
>>
>>So you now admit that your main purpose here is nothing more than to
>>play the part of a troll?
>
>
>I didn't think you would understand.

I understand just fine. Your purpose in this newsgroup is to argue
with people. NOtice that you have been relatively quiet for over two
weeks until your soul mate Twisty posts a post attacking Bush, and
bam!, you pop out of the woodwork with a vengeance just to belittle
anyone who might have a different point of view.

You are a parasite on this group Frank, not too much different than
Twisty. Where his pleasure revolves around twisting other peoples
words into knots and prodding them into continued responses (and by
extension attention), you argue like every topic is a game of chess.
You have no tolerance for opposition, and believe that you hold all
the cards. Twisty is a sociopath with narcissistic tendencies, while
you are narcissistic with sociopathic tendencies.

>> That's sociopathic by nature. Wow, looks
>>like you and twisty have more in common than I first thought.
>
>
>Twisty and I have more in common than I -myself- first thought.

Most of them psychologically related.

>>>just who do you think is recognizing your intellectual
>>>"achievements" in this newsgroup? Not me, not Twist, not your family,

>>>not your friends (assuming you have friends), not anybody in your
>>>co-dependent relationships..... not anybody else that matters. In
>>>fact, anyone that -does- matter would read your posts and tell you
>>>that you are wasting your time and accomplishing absolutely nothing.
>>
>>Smacking people like you with a reality 2X4 is a great diversion from
>>the routines of working for a living. You never fail to rise to the
>>occasion. For that I thank you.
>
>
>Speaking of reality..... where are your facts, Dave?

My facts are self-evident in this case.

>>>But the choice is yours: Do you want to continue participating in this
>>>head-butting melee?

When it suits me, I will.

>>I had held out hope that this newsgroup would someday revert back to
>>real discussions about CB radio. But it appears that all that is left
>>for the most part, are those who just want to "butt heads". I'm not
>>sure how much of this I want to be responsible for.
>
>
>There is still plenty of discussion about CB radio.

No, there isn't really.

>The problem is
>that you choose not to participate in most of it, just like you rarely
>choose discuss anything but politics in rec.boats.

That you bother to research my posting habits on other newsgroups, as
well as probing for info on other newsgroup members (like Landshark)
looking for tidbits of info that you might use against them is also
very illustrative into your motives.

> So now you claim to
>take the morally high-ground and accept at least some responsibility
>for your own off-topic rhetoric? Save it, Dave -- regardless of what
>this group was intended to be, or what some people think it -should-
>be, the de-facto definition of this group is an open forum for people
>who share the common interest of CB radio. Nothing more.

A poor excuse for someone who can't behave according to the
guidelines. I'm surprised you once agreed in principle to legal CB
operation. Everything about your attitude of late screams "I do what I
want, screw everyone else". Another commonality with Twisty.

Or is this simply a reaction to losing the job that you fought so hard
to achieve for so many years?

>>Let's review a few facts (You claim to embrace them):
>>
>>I'm gainfully employed as an engineer.
>
>
>Doubtful.

No, fact.

> First, your education is seriously lacking in many academic
>disciplines. You claimed to have attended a tech school (what was the
>name of that tech school, Dave?), but never claimed to graduate. In
>fact, you have never claimed to have graduated high-school.

I've never claimed to be male, have two arms or two legs either. So
what of it?

I only tell what I want to tell. The rest is none of your business.

> Your
>grammar and composition demonstrate that your education is barely
>comparable with that of a backwoods junior college.

I guess you are an expert on backwoods colleges?

> Also, you post
>during normal working hours and are characteristically absent from the
>newsgroup on the weekends

As does Twisty, and others as well. This means what exactly?

> You have boasted about your career as an engineer
>yet have never provided any further details, such as the specific
>field of engineering or the nature of your work.

Again, that's none of your concern.

> Assuming you actually
>-do- have a job, I doubt it involves any of the engineering fields.
>But I'm sure that you can find a definition for "engineer" that fits
>your job description in some way, such as "sanitation engineer" or
>"estate recycling engineer". My guess is that your job is closer to
>that of a "field logistics engineer" for a local pizza shop.

You can't stand the thought that I might be on a higher rung of the
socio-economic food chain than your hard working (but stabbed in the
back by the minions of "corporate culture") self. After all, you had
to swallow your principles and enlist in the military to earn college
money, incurred large student loans, worked hard to pay them off, and
feel that you deserve better. You see me as someone who took the "easy
road" (because I never told you any differently), and assume that I'm
something lower than I really am. If that makes you feel better, then
go on believing that. It doesn't affect me one way or the other.

>> You tend bar.
>
>
>And I enjoy it, for the most part.

Keep telling yourself that. Denial is the first step in recovery. But it's sure a waste of all that time and money spent pursuing your engineering degree, to be reduced to basically unskilled labor.

I'd probably like tending bar too. Take away the disgusting cigarette smoke, and ignoring the fact that I couldn't afford the pay cut. I'd also like driving a truck, or taking people out fishing. But the truth is, I can't live on that kind of money with my lifestyle.

> The tips are good. It also comes
>after a 25 year full-time career in the field of radio-electronics,
>which is now part-time but continues unabated.

Translation: I fix a few radios when I get a chance.

>I graduated EWU with a
>BS in EE and a minor in communications, worked several years as a
>broadcast engineer at a local station, and I still subcontract as a
>sound engineer at another.

in 1990, I was offered a job at a broadcast station in Florida, as an engineer as well as subbing for on-air shifts on the off-times. I was tempted to take it until I found out what they were paying......

>You, OTOH, once had a CB shop.

No, I never had a CB shop. I did, however, subcontract for several other CB shops who couldn't afford a full time repair guy. This was my "fun" job, which I used the proceeds from to buy my radio gear. I gave that up when the responsibilities of family life took away much of my discretionary time.

>>I have a solid and supportive family life.
>
>
>Is that the current euphamism for a co-dependent relationship?

Is co-dependant relationship your euphemism for a normal, healthy marriage and family?

Is that how you justify to yourself, your failure at relationships, by casting them as somehow irregular, and flawed?

Small children often adopt this tactic when the fail to achieve at one activity or another. Claim that "baseball sucks" because you aren't good at it.

>> You are - Alone.
>
>

>Another assumption that is 100% wrong. Perhaps you think I'm "alone"
>because I don't have any co-dependent relationships

Yea, I know, you are alone by choice. That's what every lonely
bachelor thinks. I'd be curious at what some of your past partners
would say on this subject.

> I don't have anyone paying my bills,

I pay the bills. I'm the only one working. Excuse # 2 please....

>or because I have an independent personality.

Translation: I give in to no one and I am extremely hard to get along
with.

>But even though I live independently, half my family lives in Spokane.
>I'm hardly "alone".

You have no control on who your parents or their family is. But you
have none of your own.

>>I have solid political and economic values. You espouse the lunatic
>>fringe.
>
>
>LOL!
>
>
>>I am an adult. You are a child still looking for someone to say "good
>>boy".
>
>
>So did you find that book "Looking Out, Looking In" yet?

When all else fails, deflect.

This is my last word on this subject.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

832. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 13:15:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <eaf5411oaanhic3qmmvnnmadj352l9g7n2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197807

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 18:46:51 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 08:20:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <51q24117h3341p6vkk5sfpilpld4h2hi82@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>I have solid political and economic values. You espouse the lunatic
>>fringe.
>
>
>Pop quiz, Dave:
>
>Polls show that at least 82% of the American people believe that the
>US government should not have interfered in the Scheivo case. Despite
>this, Congress and the president interfered with the case anyway. The
>resulting federal law was a purely partisan issue that was favored by
>Republicans, and was passed because they have control of the WH and
>hold a majority in both houses of Congress. These facts show that the
>majority of the American people were -not- represented when this law
>was passed by the Republicans.
>
>The question is this: Who -is- represented by the majority party if
>not the majority of Americans?

Two words: Polls show.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

833. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 13:17:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hdf5411cpevfspd5p6u4pro6ihhdmos549@4ax.com>
Source record: 197808

On 23 Mar 2005 19:07:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
>> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> > news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn- tA@giganews.com:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> 20 years ago? 1985?
>> >>
>> > Ah, yes.. that's what I said.
>>
>> You were posting on this newsgroup, 20 years ago?
>>
>> Jeff
>>
>Or does it just seem that way?

Google on-line archives only go back to 1991 or so.

I've been here since 1996, and it was a lot different then.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

834. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 13:32:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jqf5419kt7sspckr1met3clcms0sp042r7@4ax.com>
Source record: 197809

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:56:09 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml
>
>
>Now do yourself a favor and don't let what I post control your emotions
>to the extent it effects your behavior.

Thank you Twist for the link. I found the following parts especially
interesting:

"to the FCC and the state, operating a pirate radio station from a
40-watt transmitter in his kitchen breakfast nook makes him a
criminal."

and:

"The signal emanating from the antenna attached to the side of his
apartment building could earn him federal fines and a felony
conviction under a state law created last year that carries penalties
of up to five years in prison."

Yikes! Operating an unlicensed transmitter might become a bit more
risky.........

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

835. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 13:35:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pdg54150t5ov8327t1csv8pvhuuepbvbq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 197810

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 15:06:51 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
>On 23 Mar 2005 16:44:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml
>
>>404 error
>
>Try this:
>http://tinyurl.com/5cblm
>
>
>Thanks,,,,,yea, that's one way. Some folks apparently take serious issue
>with those in a position that couple their hobby with laughing and
>poking fun at the FCC. It seems to burn even more asses that one of our
>own is having at it and getting a bit of attention. It certainly does
>make a mockery of the failed FCC policies and those who support its
>current archaic rules as relating to commercial FM radio,
>micro-broadcasters and the like. What really is galling some of those,
>is the more they contact the FCC regarding this stuff, the more they
>lose their credibility.

Which begs the philosophical question: Is a person's first amendment
right to free speech a higher priority to the government's intent to
regulate commodities like the airwaves?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

836. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 14:02:16 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <a0i541dbdjo52ee49liv01j2iqnd94svlg@4ax.com>
Source record: 197811

On 24 Mar 2005 05:30:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>> Got my license today !!!!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Do ewe have the 10-code down yet? (j/k) Congrats, Enzo.

I got the 10 code "10-dump". Down.

I figured I would listen for a few weeks first. The guys on 2M/220/440 over here, don't use 10 or Q codes. It is a rule on their repeaters.

Vinnie S.

837. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 14:06:33 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <14i5419f7mp0hgsg7gv39b7705jfeqf6fi@4ax.com>
Source record: 197812

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 19:35:44 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>I agree. And with Bush's dirty politics out of the way in 2008 he has
>>a very good chance to get the GOP nod.
>
>
>I agreed with you here.
>
>So what's your problem, Vinnie?

You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.

Moped loves W. He is one of those red-staters that like him because he is a cowbouy, and has balls. I could have gone on and on about all the shit he has done. But didn't, because I am not changing his mind. And I still like him, because I am not going to let political beliefs, decide if I like a person personally.

Vinnie S.

838. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 14:11:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324091151.976$Nk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197813

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 19:35:44 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>
> >>I agree. And with Bush's dirty politics out of the way in 2008 he has
> >>a very good chance to get the GOP nod.
> >
> >
> >I agreed with you here.
> >
> >So what's your problem, Vinnie?
>
> You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No
> history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you
> practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.
>
> Moped loves W.
>
I wouldn't go that far. It's just that it would be a cold day in
hell before I vote for a pantie waist cry baby lib like the left
keeps marching out there.

839. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 14:17:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rfi541dnqo3jd99863du6cg18b0h6if76t@4ax.com>
Source record: 197814

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 19:28:25 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>>>>You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about one thing. I
>>>>had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked
>>>>the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to
>>>>give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and
>>>>backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be
>>>>confrontational. You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included.
>>>
>>>
>>>Nor is everyone passive. So -you- have to understand that people can
>>>and will disagree with you from time to time.
>>
>>And more often they disagree.
>>
>>Frank, when was the last time a post of yours on this group, contained an
>>agreeing tone to someone? I don't recall. The difference btween you and I is, I
>>don't go out of my way, to either agree or disagree. You do go out of your way.
>>Especially to disagree.
>
>
>I agree. Happy?

I find it funny, how after I question whether you agree with any posts, you go
back and list the ones you agree with, after the fact.

>>>>I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history
>>>>of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the
>>>>hatchett.

>>>You are not the only person who "liked the guy". But a lot of people
>>>who were taken by his charms either didn't know or didn't care about
>>>many of the unflattering facts about his administration. I was trying
>>>to find out if you were one of the people who didn't know, or if you
>>>were one of the people who didn't care. I got my answer and I dropped
>>>the subject.
>>
>>OK. My next question is, why did you need an answer? Are you entitled to one? Do
>>I make that much difference in your life, that you need an answer from me? Did
>>it make you feel good? Why? Why did you need an answer?

>For the same reason that you needed to regurgitate your feelings about
>Reagan.
>
>

>>>Why are you trying to revive it?
>>
>>I am not trying to revive it. You figured it out. You were not going to change
>>my mind. And I wasn't going to change yours. However, I could have played with
>>you if I wanted to, and kept this going on and on. Because you don't know when
>>to stop. But I am not like you. And if there is one thing I have figured out
>>with you and Dave, that is, you absolutely have to have the last post. And that
>>about says it all.
>
>
>First of all, I have given Dave the last word many, many times. You
>can check google and see that most threads usually end with a post by
>him. So for you to suggest that I am the one who needs to have the
>last word is nothing more than pure BS.

Except, so far as far as I have seen it, it's been true.

>Second, if my discussions with Dave cause you grief then that's -your-
>problem, not mine. This is an open forum, and while you can read and
>post whatever you like, you can also ignore whatever you don't like.
>Learn to do the latter and you won't need to visit your shrink.

And you called me angry? Who is the angry one now?

>Third, it takes two to tango. Don't whine about me unless you are
>going to whine about Dave as well. Otherwise, you are just as much of
>a hypocrite as he is.

When I want to whine, I'll ask you. You invented it.

>Fourth, I am not the one pushing -our- discussion. In fact, and
>contrary to your own opinion, I gave -you- the last word and I dropped
>our discussion. It is -you- that keeps digging up the issue. If you
>want it to end then just shut up. It's that simple.

Our discussion about Reagan was over last weekend. However, You gave me the last
word, after you requested a link as evidence on Panama. I really don't think you
thought that link existed.

>Fifth, I couldn't care less if you change your mind or not about
>anything. You made a statement, I asked a few questions, and you threw
>a fit. You're still throwing a fit. Take a really big prozac and
>chill.

Holy shit. Is this the pot calling the kettle. Isn't this the part where you
asked where I wen tot Tech School?

>And finally, a lot of people don't like me -- big deal. You're nothing
>special that I should change -my- ways to suit -your- model of proper
>etiquitte.

I don't know if I like you. But I was special enough to you that you had to
respond enough times.

>A hammie license doesn't give you any special privs in this
>newsgroup, and you've provided nothing to the group that warrants any
>respect from me. So get over yourself.

What does this go to do with anything? Are you this fucking stupid? I never bring up the ham license. I only bring it up when I need help in areas I don't know. I have never thrown it in anyone's face. In fact, you brought it up by calling me an "angry hammie".

>Now I gave you the last word before, but since that you made it an
>issue you must now earn it. Are you sure you want to play this game?

I am not playing a game. But your pathetic side is showing. I am starting to wonder if you are those things Dave claims you are. Maybe you should back off on your alcohol intake at your job.

Vinnie S.

840. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 14:18:19 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ovi541ter6c3hq9m06b3abbtkailqcrek5@4ax.com>
Source record: 197815

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 07:32:10 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:12:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Frank,
>>
>>You 2 should cool it and try to get along.
>
>We used to get along just fine. That was before Frank found out I am a
>conservative republican. Now he's made it his life mission to attempt
>to counter me at every turn. He's a man obsessed beyond what would be
>considered normal. Note his sensitivity to your mention of Reagan.

WHy doesn't that surprise me. Did you give him answers? He needs answers.

>> But Dave is right about one thing. I
>>had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked
>>the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to
>>give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and
>>backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be
>>confrontational.
>
>Bingo.
>
>
>> You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included.
>>I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history
>>of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the
>>hatchett.
>
>Not until you renounce your obviously erroneous beliefs. There is only
>one truth, and one set of facts, and Frank has them.

And we're the angry ones.

Vinnie S.

841. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 15:47:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <38o541p05f1t54ds65d8lulek62dudq3oo@4ax.com>
Source record: 197816

On 24 Mar 2005 14:11:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 19:35:44 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>> >>I agree. And with Bush's dirty politics out of the way in 2008 he has
>> >>a very good chance to get the GOP nod.
>> >
>> >
>> >I agreed with you here.
>> >
>> >So what's your problem, Vinnie?
>>
>> You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No
>> history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you
>> practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.
>>
>> Moped loves W.
>>
>I wouldn't go that far. It's just that it would be a cold day in
>hell before I vote for a pantie waist cry baby lib like the left
>keeps marching out there.

Amen to that!

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

842. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 15:50:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <v9o541d0k1ghk55angg8k0mu0o1gs3as6a@4ax.com>
Source record: 197817

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 09:02:16 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On 24 Mar 2005 05:30:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>>
>>> Got my license today !!!!!!
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>Do ewe have the 10-code down yet? (j/k) Congrats, Enzo.
>
>
>I got the 10 code "10-dump". Down.
>
>I figured I would listen for a few weeks first. The guys on 2M/220/440 over
>here, don't use 10 or Q codes. It is a rule on their repeaters.
>
>Vinnie S.

Vinnie,

The best advice I can give you in that regard is to just talk natural,
like you would in person.

Usage of lingo, whether ham or CB in nature, will give people an
excuse to categorize you based on how you talk. If you speak in plain
English, you remove that potential for prejudice.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

843. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 16:07:00 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8cp541dre1t9ehfo90e2fv0j1u8n108h9p@4ax.com>
Source record: 197818

On 24 Mar 2005 14:11:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

ur problem, Vinnie?
>>
>> You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No
>> history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you
>> practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.
>>
>> Moped loves W.
>>
>I wouldn't go that far. It's just that it would be a cold day in
>hell before

It might get that cold. It ain't getting any warmer !

Vinnie S.

844. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 16:15:19 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <pdp541d95aah1pbu74o1amqtn9stb44com@4ax.com>
Source record: 197819

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 10:47:43 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>> You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No
>>> history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you
>>> practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.
>>>
>>> Moped loves W.
>>>
>>I wouldn't go that far. It's just that it would be a cold day in
>>hell before I vote for a pantie waist cry baby lib like the left
>>keeps marching out there.
>
>Amen to that!

Dave,

My political beliefs might be so far to the opposite of what you believe. But I have far more respect for you as a person, than I do Frank. And for one simple reason. Class. You have it, he doesn't. You have never forced your will on me, politcally, or technically. And you have never made an irrelevent or assinine comment like "A hammie license doesn't give you any special privs in this newsgroup, and you've provided nothing to the group that warrants any respect from me." It was totally irrelevent to the discussion. That is twice he has brought up my getting a ham license. I don't understand why it bugs him so much. I never said it made me an instant engineer, or I now know a boat load of info. Quite to the contrary. I am still asking many people here for technical info. But it sure has struck a nerve in him, for some reason.

Vinnie S.

845. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 16:28:11 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10500-4242EA9B-173@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197820

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:56:09 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml

>Thank you Twist for the link.

You are welcome. If you (or anyone) intend to forward the information
along to the FCC, I request you include who made you aware of Bob. That
hot-headed jackass that works for the FCC in Tampa needs to know who it
is waving to him, as he has a tendency to pretend to forget names,
handles, and call signs when his incompetence is called in to play. This
idiot has the worst record among all enforcement officers. He has made
many seizures resulting in no conviction. His conviction rate is just
about the lowest among the agency field employees, despite his
self-posturing and viewing himself as some sort of cowboy bringing
justice to the world. His record speaks for itself. Locally, he is known
as the Cardboard Cowboy, a term he has come to despise. He even has his
own fan created webpage.

>I found the following parts especially

>interesting:

>"to the FCC and the state, operating a pirate

>radio station from a 40-watt transmitter in his

>kitchen breakfast nook makes him a criminal."

Yea, in fact, people have been jailed for such activity and accrued
criminal records, unlike those who talk skip on 11 meters. I have a link
to actions against pirate radio in the US by the FCC. Total convictions
were something like one or two in the past year or so,,,possibly three,
can't remember. This is out of hundreds and hundreds of complaints among
the thousands and thousands of pirate radio stations. With the new
charade by the morals and decency King Censorship at the FCC, expect
enforcement to become more stringent, but only against the biggest
offenders...your corporate stations. In fact, my prediction is cb and
hammie enforcement will become even more rare, as it simply is not the
priority some of the angry hammie funks wish it to be.

>and:

>"The signal emanating from the antenna

>attached to the side of his apartment building

>could earn him federal fines and a felony

>conviction under a state law created last year

>that carries penalties of up to five years in

>prison."

>Yikes! Operating an unlicensed transmitter

>might become a bit more risky.....

This law was designed in direct response to those who are infringing upon commercial broadcasters. Florida is estimated to have more pirate radio stations than any other state. The unlicensed transmitter law you refer doesn't apply across the board, but rather targets unlicensed broadcasters who take revenue/listeners from corporate radio and who interfere with other station's broadcasts. A few complaints from John Doe to the FCC complaining unlicensed pirate radio may get filed somewhere, but not acted upon until a big gun is activated.
Oh yea. Bob moved over the weekend. He's no longer in the garage apartment. You just can't trust the media these days...<g>....look at Bush, he's pulling a Dan Rather by manufacturing news and those who cried about CBS are hypocritically silent about it.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cv

846. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 16:32:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10500-4242EB90-174@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197823

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 15:06:51 -0500,
http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml
404 error

>Try this:

>http://tinyurl.com/5cblm

Thanks,,,,,yea, that's one way. Some folks apparently take serious issue with those in a position that couple their hobby with laughing and poking fun at the FCC. It seems to burn even more asses that one of our own is having at it and getting a bit of attention. It certainly does make a mockery of the failed FCC policies and those who support its current archaic rules as relating to commercial FM radio, micro-broadcasters and the like. What really is galling some of those, is the more they contact the FCC regarding this stuff, the more they lose their credibility.

>Which begs the philosophical question: Is a

>person's first amendment right to free speech

>a higher priority to the government's intent to

>regulate commodities like the airwaves?

Philosophical debate originating with questions such as you pose have never entered the realm of the FCC. The feds have no interest in your rights. This isn't about free speech or philosophical debate. This is about money, period.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>~n3cvj

847. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 16:37:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10500-4242ECD1-175@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197822

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On 24 Mar 2005 05:30:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
Got my license today !!!!!!
Vinnie S.

>Do ewe have the 10-code down yet? (j/k)

>Congrats, Enzo.

>I got the 10 code "10-dump". Down.

I figured I would listen for a few weeks first. The guys on 2M/220/440
over here, don't use 10 or Q codes. It is a rule on their repeaters.
Vinnie S.
-
Here's some hammie advice....don't call someone an "asshole" over the
air for making a "typo" (like you do in here) when working cw. It will
only serve to tarnish your new call sign that you already are in the
process of staining.

848. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 16:41:58 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <b2r541t47b7ak8k6j5v3tidrrrkk8ehvb9@4ax.com>
Source record: 197821

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 10:50:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>I got the 10 code "10-dump". Down.
>>
>>I figured I would listen for a few weeks first. The guys on 2M/220/440 over
>>here, don't use 10 or Q codes. It is a rule on their repeaters.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>Vinnie,
>
>The best advice I can give you in that regard is to just talk natural,
>like you would in person.
>
>Usage of lingo, whether ham or CB in nature, will give people an
>excuse to categorize you based on how you talk. If you speak in plain
>English, you remove that potential for prejudice.

One thing I don't understand, is the local repeater group's belief that those bands are any good. So far, I have been on that air for about 5 days, and hardly anyone is on that repeater. They said 2 meters is CB with call signs. They said Yaesu is equipment only ex-cbers use. What I have found, is that CB is still used more than anyone in that repeater group. It is far more useful on highways in a mobile situation.

I am going to take the money I have saved and buy an Imax for CB, and a dipole for HF. And then I will get an HF rig. Screw this VHF/UHF for now. I will wait on that until later in the year. Maybe I will take up QRP. I kind of like the idea of low power DX. Kind of brings back memories of talking to distant CBers on a cheap transistor Walkie Talkie. I still remember that excitement.

Vinnie S.

849. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 16:43:23 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10501-4242EE2B-53@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197824

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:rv7441tibjv87hu7vi3k7itlsojapartsd@4ax.com:
(Polls show that at least 82% of the American people believe that the US
government should not have interfered in the Scheivo case.)

>No it doesnt show 82% of the american

>people unless all of the American people

>voted in the Poll, your lies only state that 82%

>of the people who took the poll .

Same is said for the "mandate" you clowns think occurred.

>Now go wash off assclown.
(Chris Busch makes sign of "T", blesses himself, bows head and defers)

And also with you. Paraphrasing others suits you well.....at times.

850. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 16:51:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10501-4242F01E-54@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197825

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:23:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
<n6gp31tus6ks1nht9ps1n0uqgfo7739s9t@4ax.com>:
On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 22:53:58 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote
in <4i8n31pptru267ekfptl1f7pj9563rhj8s@4ax.com>:
On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 19:46:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>And with Bush's dirty politics out of the way in

>2008 he has a very good chance to get the

>GOP nod.

I agreed with you here.
So what's your problem, Vinnie?
-
Confusion. He made the comment he wasn't going to get into a pissing
match with you, then turned around and did exactly that by focusing on
you, not the topic.....several times.
He does the same thing with Geo with his continual need to remind him
he is in his killfile, but expresses an uncanny desire to respond him
via other people's posts.
In other words, he struggles to make himself heard to others, but he
experiences difficulties when those he criticizes illustrate his own
behavior. I'm chalking it up to him having a bad winter and not the fact
that he is turning into an instant asshole with his (cough) general
status.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----=

851. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 17:03:13 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10501-4242F2D1-56@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197827

Mr. Sallustio wrote:
>And we're the angry ones.

>Vinnie S.

If by "we", you now collectively refer to yourself and Dave, I see your point. Hammies who routinely and unprovokingly call other usenet participant names on this forum, Mr. Sallustio, are known as lids. And if your sudden ad hominem outbursts (which you attribute to other's typos) are not rooted in anger, you indeed have issues that go beyond that of which can be soothed through repetitious self ego stroking.

852. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 17:08:26 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10500-4242F40A-184@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197826

>And I don't even do HF. 8-))

You damned sure used to. Why did you quit? You find six meter that much
more entertaining?

>--

>Leland C. Scott

>KC8LDO

>Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft

>Windows

853. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 17:12:24 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10500-4242F4F8-186@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197828

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
Didn't they have fertalizer on board? Something like that? I remember
pictures of the devastation.
Jeff

>The drivers always freaks out when I buy 18

>tons of urea and start stacking it 3 pallets high

>in my warehouse. Can't blame them, but I

>donut order enough kerosene to make the

>recipe.

Oh, so you are on the fed list, too. <g> Reckon it won't be long before
they start asking questions about your antennas.

854. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 18:13:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324131352.760$Rt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197829

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 24 Mar 2005 14:11:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> ur problem, Vinnie?
> >>
> >> You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No
> >> history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you
> >> practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.
> >>
> >> Moped loves W.
> >>
> >I wouldn't go that far. It's just that it would be a cold day in
> >hell before
>
> It might get that cold. It ain't getting any warmer !
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I hear that.. they're calling for snow flurries over here tomorrow.

Bring on spring!

855. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 18:22:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324132214.657$fV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197830

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Didn't they have fertalizer on board? Something like that? I remember
> pictures of the devastation.
> Jeff
>
> >The drivers always freaks out when I buy 18
>
> >tons of urea and start stacking it 3 pallets high
>
> >in my warehouse. Can't blame them, but I
>
> >donut order enough kerosene to make the
>
> >recipe.
>
> Oh, so you are on the fed list, too. <g> Reckon it won't be long before
> they start asking questions about your antennas.
>
Nah, I ain't nutz enough to even want the recipe. :)

I've heard they use an additive with agricultural urea that is
supposed to make it flash proof. Dunno if it's true or not..

856. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 18:24:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <oc1641lg7jtv9adq2ga3t3kl4h647tfo85@4ax.com>
Source record: 197831

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 11:32:16 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 15:06:51 -0500,
>http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml
>404 error
>
>>Try this:
>
>>http://tinyurl.com/5cblm
>
>Thanks,,,,,yea, that's one way. Some folks apparently take serious issue
>with those in a position that couple their hobby with laughing and
>poking fun at the FCC. It seems to burn even more asses that one of our
>own is having at it and getting a bit of attention. It certainly does
>make a mockery of the failed FCC policies and those who support its
>current archaic rules as relating to commercial FM radio,
>micro-broadcasters and the like. What really is galling some of those,
>is the more they contact the FCC regarding this stuff, the more they
>lose their credibility.
>
>
>>Which begs the philosophical question: Is a
>>person's first amendment right to free speech
>>a higher priority to the government's intent to
>>regulate commodities like the airwaves?
>
>
>
>Philosophical debate originating with questions such as you pose have
>never entered the realm of the FCC. The feds have no interest in your
>rights. This isn't about free speech or philosophical debate. This is
>about money, period.

How do you know that for sure? That sounds somewhat cynical.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

857. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 18:35:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <uh1641pn1o7fvk5ogf6hh7m65pkh74gj3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 197832

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 11:28:11 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:56:09 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml
>
>>Thank you Twist for the link.
>
>
>You are welcome. If you (or anyone) intend to forward the information
>along to the FCC, I request you include who made you aware of Bob. That
>hot-headed jackass that works for the FCC in Tampa needs to know who it
>is waving to him, as he has a tendency to pretend to forget names,
>handles, and call signs when his incompetence is called in to play. This
>idiot has the worst record among all enforcement officers. He has made
>many seizures resulting in no conviction. His conviction rate is just
>about the lowest among the agency field employees, despite his
>self-posturing and viewing himself as some sort of cowboy bringing
>justice to the world. His record speaks for itself. Locally, he is known
>as the Cardboard Cowboy, a term he has come to despise. He even has his
>own fan created webpage.

Hmm. There seems to be a bit of personal blood there. I'm not about to
"forward" this to anyone. It's none of my business. But I do like to
read about pirate radio. In many ways I sympathize with those who do
it. But I also recognize the importance of government regulated
airwaves in order to minimize chaos if the broadcast bands became a
free-for-all.

>
>
>
>>I found the following parts especially
>>interesting:
>>"to the FCC and the state, operating a pirate
>>radio station from a 40-watt transmitter in his
>>kitchen breakfast nook makes him a criminal."
>
>
>
>Yea, in fact, people have been jailed for such activity and accrued
>criminal records, unlike those who talk skip on 11 meters.

In principle, there is not much difference. But the people who lobby
and complain about pirate broadcast radio have much more clout and
influence than hobby radio users.

>I have a link
>to actions against pirate radio in the US by the FCC. Total convictions
>were something like one or two in the past year or so,,,possibly three,
>can't remember. This is out of hundreds and hundreds of complaints among
>the thousands and thousands of pirate radio stations. With the new
>charade by the morals and decency King Censorship at the FCC, expect
>enforcement to become more stringent, but only against the biggest
>offenders...your corporate stations. In fact, my prediction is cb and
>hammie enforcement will become even more rare, as it simply is not the
>priority some of the angry hammie funks wish it to be.

Well, the squeaky wheel does seem to get the grease.

>
>>and:
>
>>"The signal emanating from the antenna
>>attached to the side of his apartment building
>>could earn him federal fines and a felony
>>conviction under a state law created last year
>>that carries penalties of up to five years in
>>prison."

>
>>Yikes! Operating an unlicensed transmitter
>might become a bit more risky.........
>
>
>This law was designed in direct response to those who are infringing
>upon commercial broadcasters.

Money and power talk.

> Florida is estimated to have more pirate
>radio stations than any other state.

That's interesting if true. I wonder why?

>The unlicensed transmitter law you
>refer doesn't apply across the board, but rather targets unlicensed
>broadcasters who take revenue/listeners from corporate radio and who
>interfere with other station's broadcasts. A few complaints from John
>Doe to the FCC complaining unlicensed pirate radio may get filed
>somewhere, but not acted upon until a big gun is activated.

That's true in many cases of CB interference as well. The only real
FCC bust that I ever saw, involved a gang of cretins who were running
large power, harassing people, and making the band miserable. It
wasn't until the local police started getting interference, did the
FCC finally act. But once they acted, the fines hit hard.

>Oh yea. Bob moved over the weekend. He's no longer in the garage
>apartment. You just can't trust the media these days...<g>....look at
>Bush, he's pulling a Dan Rather by manufacturing news and those who
>cried about CBS are hypocritically silent about it.

Maybe because it isn't true. The loony left invents all sorts of
stories to blame Bush for. This may well be one of them.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

858. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 18:45:07 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <v52641te6hk7dn19sn0954bop5ackk11ma@4ax.com>
Source record: 197833

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 11:41:58 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 10:50:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>I got the 10 code "10-dump". Down.
>>>
>>>I figured I would listen for a few weeks first. The guys on 2M/220/440 over
>>>here, don't use 10 or Q codes. It is a rule on their repeaters.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>Vinnie,
>>
>>The best advice I can give you in that regard is to just talk natural,
>>like you would in person.
>>
>>Usage of lingo, whether ham or CB in nature, will give people an
>>excuse to categorize you based on how you talk. If you speak in plain
>>English, you remove that potential for prejudice.
>
>One thing I don't understand, is the local repeater group's belief that those
>bands are any good. So far, I have been on that air for about 5 days, and hardly
>anyone is on that repeater.

Then try another. 2 meters is full of repeaters. The band is almost
always hopping at one frequency or another. You owe no allegiance to
any one group or another. Also give simplex a try. Most of my ex-CB
radio pals chose to hang out on simplex rather than get involved in
the politics and "clique-like" attitude of some repeater groups. It's
usually more loose and fun. But you'll probably need a good antenna to
do it well. An HT on a duck won't cut it on simplex.

>They said 2 meters is CB with call signs.

Who is "they"? I find 75 meters to be more like CB than 2 meters. Foul
language, jamming, bulging egos. Yep, it reminds me of Channel 19. Two
meters is much more civilized in my area.

> They said Yaesu is equipment only ex-cbers use.

I think you've been listening to the wrong people.

> What I have found, is that CB is still
>used more than anyone in that repeater group. It is far more useful on highways

>in a mobile situation.

That's probably true, unless you have a wide coverage repeater with a
decent group on it.

>I am going to take the money I have saved and buy an Imax for CB, and a dipole
>for HF. And then I will get an HF rig. Screw this VHF/UHF for now.

Don't sell it short. Just give it a better look. And stop listening to
people with their own pre-conceived prejudices.

> I will wait
>on that until later in the year. Maybe I will take up QRP. I kind of like the
>idea of low power DX. Kind of brings back memories of talking to distant CBers
>on a cheap transistor Walkie Talkie. I still remember that excitement.

Now you're talking. I loved that thrill of making it a whole mile on a
100 mW Walkie-Talkie. But then, I was 11 then, and it didn't take much
to excite me then. I doubt if I could recreate that thrill now.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

859. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 18:52:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7n2641dvikaacgaapqtap26qds5km3ej0v@4ax.com>
Source record: 197834

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 11:15:19 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 10:47:43 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>> You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No
>>>> history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you
>>>> practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.
>>>>
>>>> Moped loves W.
>>>>
>>>I wouldn't go that far. It's just that it would be a cold day in
>>>hell before I vote for a pantie waist cry baby lib like the left
>>>keeps marching out there.
>>
>>Amen to that!
>
>Dave,
>
>My political beliefs might be so far to the opposite of what you believe.

That's why wise people refrain from arguing such things as religion
and politics. There are little true facts an much subjectivity. Since
a position cannot be settled based on subjective opinion, it is
pointless to argue.

Faith. Those who have it, need no further proof. Those who don't, no
amount of proof will convince.

> But I
>have far more respect for you as a person, than I do Frank. And for one simple
>reason. Class. You have it, he doesn't. You have never forced your will on me,
>politcally, or technically. And you have never made an irrelevent or assinine
>comment like "A hammie license doesn't give you any special privs in this
>newsgroup, and you've provided nothing to the group that warrants any
>respect from me." It was totally irrelevent to the discussion. That is twice he
>has brought up my getting a ham license. I don't understand why it bugs him so
>much.

Perhaps because he doesn't yet have one. I've found over the years
that the people who complain the most about the perceived value of
something are usually the ones who are the most jealous of it.

> I never said it made me an instant engineer, or I now know a boat load of
>info. Quite to the contrary. I am still asking many people here for technical

>info. But it sure has struck a nerve in him, for some reason.

I'd drop it if I were you. After all, Frank recently admitted that his
main purpose for being here is to "butt heads".

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

860. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 19:25:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324142510.639$Gq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197835

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
>> first i am george now chrissy...hahahah
>
Nervous laughter noted.

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ

Bagged and tagged.

861. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 22:09:43 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f5qdnZYvhOE6p97fRVn-hw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197836

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Frank,
>>
>> You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about
>> one thing. I had no intention of getting into an argument with you
>> on Reagan. I simply liked the guy. I did not have to justify that to
>> you or anybody else. You proceded to give me a history lesson. I did
>> not escalate the situation much further, and backed off. But you are
>> confrontational, or at least you look to be confrontational. You
>> have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included. I know
>> you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on
>> history of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy.
>> Just bury the hatchett.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
> I just got home from seeing Steve Earle at the house of blues tonight.
>
> Fuck the FCC. :)

Heh-heh...

I love Earle. Dude doesn't take shit from anybody.

Jeff

862. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 22:13:18 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <toadnfvsrZvgpt7fRVn-vw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197837

Steveo wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>>> first i am george now chrissy...hahahah
>>
> Nervous laughter noted.
>
> http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ
>
> Bagged and tagged.

I don't know why but when I click on links in your posts they never work. I have to copy/paste in a browser, then they work.

What's up with that?

Jeff

863. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 22:38:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324173807.808$9w@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197838

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >>> first i am george now chrissy...hahahah
> >>
> > Nervous laughter noted.
> >
> > http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ
> >
> > Bagged and tagged.
>
> I don't know why but when I click on links in your posts they never work.
> I have to copy/paste in a browser, then they work.
>
> What's up with that?
>
> Jeff
>
Dunno, I've never had anyone else tell me that. Outhouse express? :)

864. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 22:39:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324173924.885$ZC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197839

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> Frank,
> >>
> >> You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about
> >> one thing. I had no intention of getting into an argument with you
> >> on Reagan. I simply liked the guy. I did not have to justify that to
> >> you or anybody else. You proceded to give me a history lesson. I did
> >> not escalate the situation much further, and backed off. But you are
> >> confrontational, or at least you look to be confrontational. You
> >> have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included. I know
> >> you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on
> >> history of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy.
> >> Just bury the hatchett.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> > I just got home from seeing Steve Earle at the house of blues tonight.
> >
> > Fuck the FCC. :)
>
> Heh-heh...
>
> I love Earle. Dude doesn't take shit from anybody.
>
> Jeff
>
He played for 2.5 hours last night and 3 encores. Great show!

865. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 23:28:56 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <39j641d2sdhbg0p9qnnbpsjtnsafbcb063@4ax.com>
Source record: 197840

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 13:45:07 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

.
>> I will wait
>>on that until later in the year. Maybe I will take up QRP. I kind of like the
>>idea of low power DX. Kind of brings back memories of talking to distant CBers
>>on a cheap transistor Walkie Talkie. I still remember that excitement.
>
>Now you're talking. I loved that thrill of making it a whole mile on a
>100 mW Walkie-Talkie. But then, I was 11 then, and it didn't take much
>to excite me then. I doubt if I could recreate that thrill now.
.

That is what got me started.

Vinnie S.

866. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 23:31:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dbj641hm7ma38q001no07pt7ur04dj33qv@4ax.com>
Source record: 197841

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 13:52:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>I'd drop it if I were you. After all, Frank recently admitted that his
>main purpose for being here is to "butt heads".

I killfiled him. He offers little to this group, as far as I am concerned. Now he is in there with George and Dogie. Kindred spirit. The only way I will see his posts now, are thru piggyback.

Vinnie S.

867. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-24 23:33:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2ij64191p0camep92vg75426pcvc61vu71@4ax.com>
Source record: 197842

On 24 Mar 2005 22:38:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> >>> first i am george now chrissy...hahahah
>> >>
>> > Nervous laughter noted.
>> >
>> > http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ
>> >
>> > Bagged and tagged.
>>
>> I don't know why but when I click on links in your posts they never work.
>> I have to copy/paste in a browser, then they work.
>>
>> What's up with that?
>>
>> Jeff
>>
>Dunno, I've never had anyone else tell me that. Outhouse express? :)

Jeff, use agent. It is easy as hell. And far better.

Vinnie S.

868. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:22:56 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1pqdnVOCmrpCxN7fRVn-2g@giganews.com>
Source record: 197843

Dave Hall wrote:
> On 23 Mar 2005 19:07:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
>>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn- tA@giganews.com:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> 20 years ago? 1985?
>>>>>
>>>> Ah, yes.. that's what I said.
>>>
>>> You were posting on this newsgroup, 20 years ago?
>>>
>>> Jeff
>>>
>> Or does it just seem that way?
>
> Google on-line archives only go back to 1991 or so.
>
> I've been here since 1996, and it was a lot different then.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

I came on around then. Went away for a while when the madness began. Now I
just filter like mad. ;-)

Jeff

869. TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:24:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8dm64153o8cmes3o17ujmncnr7ejj16588@4ax.com>
Source record: 197897

If the FCC only permits voice communication on CB, doesn't that
prevent deaf people from using the band? I think TTY, as well as CW,
should be permitted for that reason alone. If it became a petition,
would it pass?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

870. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:24:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5im64155ov3qngvb7f0uhfgre9vp6ncfki@4ax.com>
Source record: 197894

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 11:15:19 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <pdp541d95aah1pbu74o1amqtn9stb44com@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 10:47:43 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>> You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No
>>>> history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you
>>>> practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.
>>>>
>>>> Moped loves W.
>>>>
>>>I wouldn't go that far. It's just that it would be a cold day in
>>>hell before I vote for a pantie waist cry baby lib like the left
>>>keeps marching out there.
>>
>>Amen to that!
>
>Dave,
>
>My political beliefs might be so far to the opposite of what you believe. But I
>have far more respect for you as a person, than I do Frank. And for one simple
>reason. Class. You have it, he doesn't.

ROTFLMMFAO!!! Dave has no more "class" than any other troll in here.
Don't believe me? Just dig through google and look at his years of
arguments with Twisty. Then come back here and justify the reason why
you chastise me and not Dave or Twist.

> You have never forced your will on me,
>politcally, or technically.

Are you so weak-minded that someone -- anyone -- in a newsgroup is
capable of "forcing their will" on you?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

871. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:24:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9im641112ad3tqvhh307sn4nf1jul8eqcl@4ax.com>
Source record: 197898

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 13:52:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <7n2641dvikaacgaapqtap26qds5km3ej0v@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 11:15:19 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 10:47:43 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>> You didn't list all the reasons, liek you do when you disagree. No
>>>>>>> history lesson here? If you don't agree, I don't care. But you
>>>>>>> practically entitle yourself give a history lesson.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Moped loves W.
>>>>>>
>>>>I wouldn't go that far. It's just that it would be a cold day in
>>>>hell before I vote for a pantie waist cry baby lib like the left
>>>>keeps marching out there.
>>>
>>>Amen to that!
>>
>>Dave,
>>
>>My political beliefs might be so far to the opposite of what you believe.
>
>That's why wise people refrain from arguing such things as religion
>and politics.

And that's why you argue them so often.

> There are little true facts an much subjectivity. Since
>a position cannot be settled based on subjective opinion, it is
>pointless to argue.

Yet you argue constantly.

>Faith. Those who have it, need no further proof. Those who don't, no
>amount of proof will convince.

Except to convince themselves.

>> But I
>>have far more respect for you as a person, than I do Frank. And for one simple
>>reason. Class. You have it, he doesn't. You have never forced your will on me,
>>politcally, or technically. And you have never made an irrelevent or assinine
>>comment like "A hammie license doesn't give you any special privs in this

>>newsgroup, and you've provided nothing to the group that warrants any
>>respect from me." It was totally irrelevent to the discussion. That is twice he
>>has brought up my getting a ham license. I don't understand why it bugs him so
>>much.
>
>Perhaps because he doesn't yet have one. I've found over the years
>that the people who complain the most about the perceived value of
>something are usually the ones who are the most jealous of it.

Gee, I guess that means you are really a closet liberal.

>> I never said it made me an instant engineer, or I now know a boat load of
>>info. Quite to the contrary. I am still asking many people here for technical
>>info. But it sure has struck a nerve in him, for some reason.
>
>I'd drop it if I were you. After all, Frank recently admitted that his
>main purpose for being here is to "butt heads".

Then why don't you practice what you preach, Dave? After all, you are
the one in here with "class", right?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

872. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:24:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dim641hbrbj7b2ufuedjksfb5v7kv04jil@4ax.com>
Source record: 197900

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 09:17:03 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <rfi541dnqo3jd99863du6cg18b0h6if76t@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 19:28:25 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>>>>You 2 should cool it and try to get along. But Dave is right about one thing. I
>>>>>had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked
>>>>>the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to
>>>>>give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and
>>>>>backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be
>>>>>confrontational. You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Nor is everyone passive. So -you- have to understand that people can
>>>>and will disagree with you from time to time.
>>>
>>>And more often they disagree.
>>>
>>>Frank, when was the last time a post of yours on this group, contained an
>>>agreeing tone to someone? I don't recall. The difference btween you and I is, I
>>>don't go out of my way, to either agree or disagree. You do go out of your way.
>>>Especially to disagree.
>>
>>
>>I agree. Happy?
>
>I find it funny, how after I question whether you agree with any posts, you go
>back and list the ones you agree with, after the fact.

You didn't seem to notice them the first time. OTC, your only focus
has been about my DISagreements.

>>>>>I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history
>>>>>of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the
>>>>>hatchett.
>
>>>>You are not the only person who "liked the guy". But a lot of people
>>>>who were taken by his charms either didn't know or didn't care about
>>>>many of the unflattering facts about his administration. I was trying
>>>>to find out if you were one of the people who didn't know, or if you
>>>>were one of the people who didn't care. I got my answer and I dropped
>>>>the subject.
>>>

>>>OK. My next question is, why did you need an answer? Are you entitled to one? Do
>>>I make that much difference in your life, that you need an answer from me? Did
>>>it make you feel good? Why? Why did you need an answer?
>
>>For the same reason that you needed to regurgitate your feelings about
>>Reagan.
>>
>>
>>>>Why are you trying to revive it?
>>>
>>>I am not trying to revive it. You figured it out. You were not going to change
>>>my mind. And I wasn't going to change yours. However, I could have played with
>>>you if I wanted to, and kept this going on and on. Because you don't know when
>>>to stop. But I am not like you. And if there is one thing I have figured out
>>>with you and Dave, that is, you absolutely have to have the last post. And that
>>>about says it all.
>>
>>
>>First of all, I have given Dave the last word many, many times. You
>>can check google and see that most threads usually end with a post by
>>him. So for you to suggest that I am the one who needs to have the
>>last word is nothing more than pure BS.
>
>Except, so far as far as I have seen it, it's been true.

Then you haven't been paying attention. Since you first posted on this
board I have dropped no less than a dozen threads where I was arguing
with Dave. If you want I could go back and count them..... but then so
could you. So what's more important, Vinnie: truth or perception?

>>Second, if my discussions with Dave cause you grief then that's -your-
>>problem, not mine. This is an open forum, and while you can read and
>>post whatever you like, you can also ignore whatever you don't like.
>>Learn to do the latter and you won't need to visit your shrink.
>
>And you called me angry? Who is the angry one now?

Since anger is your motivation for arguing with me, and you certainly
appear to be highly motivated.....

>>Third, it takes two to tango. Don't whine about me unless you are
>>going to whine about Dave as well. Otherwise, you are just as much of
>>a hypocrite as he is.
>
>When I want to whine, I'll ask you. You invented it.

That didn't even register on the clever-meter, although it did prove
that you once attended third grade.

>>Fourth, I am not the one pushing -our- discussion. In fact, and
>>contrary to your own opinion, I gave -you- the last word and I dropped
>>our discussion. It is -you- that keeps digging up the issue. If you

>>want it to end then just shut up. It's that simple.
>
>Our discussion about Reagan was over last weekend. However, You gave me the last
>word, after you requested a link as evidence on Panama. I really don't think you
>thought that link existed.

I didn't know if it existed or not. That's why I asked. Unlike Dave,
you were able to provide a legitimate reference to back up your claim.
I admitted I learned something that I didn't know before even though
it didn't change my opinion. Regardless, you earned some points for
backing up your statement, I let the subject drop and you got the last
word. But then you screwed it up and lost those points with your
incessant whining afterwards. What a shame.

>>Fifth, I couldn't care less if you change your mind or not about
>>anything. You made a statement, I asked a few questions, and you threw
>>a fit. You're still throwing a fit. Take a really big prozac and
>>chill.
>
>Holy shit. Is this the pot calling the kettle. Isn't this the part where you
>asked where I wen tot Tech School?

That depends: are you claiming that -you- went to tech school?

>>And finally, a lot of people don't like me -- big deal. You're nothing
>>special that I should change -my- ways to suit -your- model of proper
>>etiquitte.
>
>I don't know if I like you. But I was special enough to you that you had to
>respond enough times.

You're confusing "special" with "probably a decent guy that mouths off
a little too much about issues that stem from a history in this
newsgroup about which he knows little".

>>A hammie license doesn't give you any special privs in this
>>newsgroup, and you've provided nothing to the group that warrants any
>>respect from me. So get over yourself.
>
>What does this go to do with anything? Are you this fucking stupid? I never
>bring up the ham license. I only bring it up when I need help in areas I don't
>know. I have never thrown it in anyone's face. In fact, you brought it up by
>calling me an "angry hammie".

I brought it up because you didn't start whining until you got your
license. Too many hammies think that a ticket is a badge of respect
that should be recognized by anyone in the radio field. Unfortunately,
they forget one simple fact: they are -amateurs-, hence the name of
the service. And in case you never noticed, there are many newsgroups
just for hammies to discuss hammie things.

>>Now I gave you the last word before, but since that you made it an
>>issue you must now earn it. Are you sure you want to play this game?

>
>I am not playing a game. But your pathetic side is showing. I am starting to
>wonder if you are those things Dave claims you are. Maybe you should back off on
>your alcohol intake at your job.

I see you are adopting one of Dave's tactics. Do you really think that
making groundless accusations is characteristic of someone you regard
to have "class"?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

873. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:24:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <him641lkv1ggs0ujcebvteln8lfdhmrejj@4ax.com>
Source record: 197899

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 07:32:10 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ijc5415l4hl5409rn7ljco2hcen07ov1oa@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 16:12:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Frank,
>>
>>You 2 should cool it and try to get along.
>
>We used to get along just fine. That was before Frank found out I am a
>conservative republican. Now he's made it his life mission to attempt
>to counter me at every turn. He's a man obsessed beyond what would be
>considered normal. Note his sensitivity to your mention of Reagan.

We got along fine until I wrote a post that cast Bush in unfavorable
light, and you ran to his defense with claims that you have yet to
support with facts. Where are those facts, Dave?

>> But Dave is right about one thing. I
>>had no intention of getting into an argument with you on Reagan. I simply liked
>>the guy. I did not have to justify that to you or anybody else. You proceded to
>>give me a history lesson. I did not escalate the situation much further, and
>>backed off. But you are confrontational, or at least you look to be
>>confrontational.
>
>Bingo.

YOU confronted ME, Dave. Don't play the victim when you took the first
shot.

>> You have to understand, not everyone is like that, me included.
>>I know you can school me on the history in that time period. Or maybe on history
>>of any time period. But who cares. I still liked the guy. Just bury the
>>hatchett.
>
>Not until you renounce your obviously erroneous beliefs. There is only
>one truth, and one set of facts, and Frank has them.

Only because you have yet to provide any facts to back up your
bullshit. Where are your facts, Dave?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

874. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:24:56 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lim6419ree2csrmo72e1rf6mpi9nlq4vkk@4ax.com>
Source record: 197895

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 08:14:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <n0d541pqe62avh9kiak7mi1hdes555vdkv@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:19:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 08:20:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <51q24117h3341p6vkk5sfpilpld4h2hi82@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 14:37:33 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Yes it is, but your's must be terribly pathetic when you boast of
>>>>intrinsic gains from insulting responses you received as a direct
>>>>result of begging for them.
>>>
>>>That you cannot resist the urge to respond is indicative of your
>>>issues.
>>
>>
>>You are sounding more and more like Twisty with each post.
>>
>>
>>>>Dave, you are out of your league.
>>>
>>>Am I?
>>
>>
>>Yep.
>>
>>
>>>> I'll try and be tactful about this.....
>>>
>>>That'll be the day.
>>
>>
>>Well, at least I tried.
>
>No, you didn't, and that's part of your basic problem, and probably
>explains your inability to form a long term relationship with another
>person.

Gee, more unfounded accusations. That tactic is getting really old
really fast.

>>>>The problem here is that, like the rest of your life, you aren't
>>>>achieving squat on Usenet. You feel that if you can stand toe-to-toe
>>>>in a arguments with people who you feel are intellectually superior
>>>>that you will be be recognized for your intellectual achievements. But
>>>>that's not happening Dave, and I'll tell you why: People like Twisty
>>>>and myself are, as the saying goes, "too smart for our own britches".
>>>
>>>I'll add narcissism and self aggrandizing to your growing list of
>>>afflictions.
>>
>>
>>Some of us take pride in the fact that we exercise our minds.
>
>If that's what you want to call it. I tend to look at it is crying for
>acceptance, a call for society to "look at me!"

That may be how you see it, but you have already proven that your
perceptions are significantly warped. I referenced a good book. So
what's preventing you from reading it? Fear that you might learn
something?

>>Others,
>>such as yourself, take the lazy path by adopting the intellectual
>>accomplishments of others, labelling them as your "core beliefs", then
>>following them with blind faith and a Nazi salute.
>
>Because I refuse to expose anything about myself beyond the topic at
>hand, you make reckless assumptions about how I think.

Shall I make another list, Dave?

>>>>Kinda like athletes that need to burn off extra energy, we use Usenet
>>>>to burn off excess grey matter. You, OTOH, are struggling to assert
>>>>yourself as a worthy competitor in a game where the objective is, for
>>>>all practical purposes, to butt heads.
>>>
>>>So you now admit that your main purpose here is nothing more than to
>>>play the part of a troll?
>>
>>
>>I didn't think you would understand.
>
>I understand just fine. Your purpose in this newsgroup is to argue
>with people. NOtice that you have been relatively quiet for over two
>weeks until your soul mate Twisty posts a post attacking Bush, and
>bam!, you pop out of the woodwork with a vengeance just to belittle
>anyone who might have a different point of view.

Again, your perceptions are warped. First, I have made numerous posts
that have nothing to do with these arguments; in fact, and contrary to
most of your posting history, many of my posts have dealt with CB
related issues. Shall I make -another- list, Dave?

>You are a parasite on this group Frank, not too much different than
>Twisty. Where his pleasure revolves around twisting other peoples
>words into knots and prodding them into continued responses (and by
>extension attention), you argue like every topic is a game of chess.
>You have no tolerance for opposition, and believe that you hold all
>the cards. Twisty is a sociopath with narcissistic tendencies, while
>you are narcissistic with sociopathic tendencies.

yawn

>>> That's sociopathic by nature. Wow, looks
>>>like you and twisty have more in common than I first thought.
>>
>>
>>Twisty and I have more in common than I -myself- first thought.
>
>Most of them psychologically related.

You shouldn't be bringing up psychology again -- you are more
incompetent on that subject than you are in politics and electronics
combined.

>>>>just who do you think is recognizing your intellectual
>>>>"achievements" in this newsgroup? Not me, not Twist, not your family,
>>>>not your friends (assuming you have friends), not anybody in your
>>>>co-dependent relationships..... not anybody else that matters. In
>>>>fact, anyone that -does- matter would read your posts and tell you
>>>>that you are wasting your time and accomplishing absolutely nothing.
>>>
>>>Smacking people like you with a reality 2X4 is a great diversion from
>>>the routines of working for a living. You never fail to rise to the
>>>occasion. For that I thank you.
>>
>>
>>Speaking of reality..... where are your facts, Dave?
>
>My facts are self-evident in this case.

"Self-evident"? Now THAT'S original! ROTFLMMFAO!!!

>>>>But the choice is yours: Do you want to continue participating in this
>>>>head-butting melee?
>
>When it suits me, I will.

Then quit crying when you get hurt.

>>>I had held out hope that this newsgroup would someday revert back to
>>>real discussions about CB radio. But it appears that all that is left
>>>for the most part, are those who just want to "butt heads". I'm not
>>>sure how much of this I want to be responsible for.
>>
>>
>>There is still plenty of discussion about CB radio.

>
>No, there isn't really.

Here are three -new- topics posted in just in the past three days:

<1111595099.165050.16030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<113v0lmfoia5631@corp.supernews.com>
<423ebd20.36843227@127.0.0.1>

>>The problem is
>>that you choose not to participate in most of it, just like you rarely
>>choose discuss anything but politics in rec.boats.
>
>That you bother to research my posting habits on other newsgroups, as
>well as probing for info on other newsgroup members (like Landshark)
>looking for tidbits of info that you might use against them is also
>very illustrative into your motives.

I read rec.boats (and other related newsgroups) because I have an interest in boats. In fact, I not only own a boat but I'm building one myself. A paddle-wheeler, to be specific. But even if I -was- stalking you in another group that doesn't negate the fact that almost all your posts in that newsgroup are about politics and -not- boats.

>> So now you claim to
>>take the morally high-ground and accept at least some responsibility
>>for your own off-topic rhetoric? Save it, Dave -- regardless of what
>>this group was intended to be, or what some people think it -should-
>>be, the de-facto definition of this group is an open forum for people
>>who share the common interest of CB radio. Nothing more.
>
>A poor excuse for someone who can't behave according to the
>guidelines. I'm surprised you once agreed in principle to legal CB
>operation. Everything about your attitude of late screams "I do what I
>want, screw everyone else". Another commonality with Twisty.

Despite your "core belief" that I have aligned myself with the dark side, I have never abandoned my position on the importance of running legal. My behavior is no different now than it was then, and you never stated a single word of dissent while I was lambasting keyclowns in the past. But now that you are on the receiving end you squeal like a stuck pig and conclude that I have changed my principles for the only reason that you are now arguing with both Twisty and myself. So because I disagree with your political "leanings", that makes me a keyclown? Get a grip.

>Or is this simply a reaction to losing the job that you fought so hard
>to achieve for so many years?

Keep trying, Dave -- maybe some day you will hit the dartboard and not the wall.

>>>Let's review a few facts (You claim to embrace them):
>>>
>>>I'm gainfully employed as an engineer.
>>
>>
>>Doubtful.
>
>No, fact.

.....uh, yeah, like I haven't heard that before.

>> First, your education is seriously lacking in many academic
>>disciplines. You claimed to have attended a tech school (what was the
>>name of that tech school, Dave?), but never claimed to graduate. In
>>fact, you have never claimed to have graduated high-school.
>
>I've never claimed to be male, have two arms or two legs either. So
>what of it?

That would mean you could be a physically handicapped and married
lesbian who is also an extreme right-wing conservative and borderline
racist..... I don't think so, Dave.

>I only tell what I want to tell. The rest is none of your business.

Another cop-out.

>> Your
>>grammar and composition demonstrate that your education is barely
>>comparable with that of a backwoods junior college.
>
>I guess you are an expert on backwoods colleges?

Do I need to be an expert in aerodynamics to know that airplanes
sometimes crash?

>> Also, you post
>>during normal working hours and are characteristically absent from the
>>newsgroup on the weekends
>
>As does Twisty, and others as well. This means what exactly?

You tell me.

>> You have boasted about your career as an engineer
>>yet have never provided any further details, such as the specific
>>field of engineering or the nature of your work.
>
>Again, that's none of your concern.

And my political opinions are none of your concern. But that didn't
prevent you from butting your nose into my discussion on the topic.

>> Assuming you actually
>>-do- have a job, I doubt it involves any of the engineering fields.

>>But I'm sure that you can find a definition for "engineer" that fits
>>your job description in some way, such as "sanitation engineer" or
>>"estate recycling engineer". My guess is that your job is closer to
>>that of a "field logistics engineer" for a local pizza shop.
>
>You can't stand the thought that I might be on a higher rung of the
>socio-economic food chain than your hard working (but stabbed in the
>back by the minions of "corporate culture") self.

Oh, I -can- stand the thought -- I just don't -believe- it's at all
likely that you are on a "higher rung" than that of a pizza delivery
jockey (or jockette, as the case may be). Let's call it one of my
"core beliefs", okay?

> After all, you had
>to swallow your principles and enlist in the military to earn college
>money,

(.....oh brother)

> incurred large student loans, worked hard to pay them off,

Yep.....

> and
>feel that you deserve better.

You still can't hit the dartboard. Try standing a little closer. Or
even better, take off the blindfold.

> You see me as someone who took the "easy
>road" (because I never told you any differently), and assume that I'm
>something lower than I really am. If that makes you feel better, then
>go on believing that. It doesn't affect me one way or the other.

My feelings are not the issue. The issue is that you have demonstrated
your general incompence in electrical/electronic engineering, a lack
of the basic principles and practices of a higher education, and a
social perception that is beyond your capacity for independent
thought. The conclusions are that your claim of being an engineer is
most likely a lie; it's more likely that you barely survive on a
peon's wage and are financially supported by someone else (maybe the
state welfare system, but more likely your parents or the parents of
your wife). Prove me wrong, Dave.

>>> You tend bar.
>>
>>
>>And I enjoy it, for the most part.
>
>Keep telling yourself that. Denial is the first step in recovery. But
>it's sure a waste of all that time and money spent pursuing your
>engineering degree, to be reduced to basically unskilled labor.

What's not to like about bartending? Try it sometime. You might enjoy it, too. You might find out that it requires a bit more skill than you assume.

>I'd probably like tending bar too. Take away the disgusting cigarette
>smoke, and ignoring the fact that I couldn't afford the pay cut.

I dunno..... it pays a lot better than delivering pizzas. The trick is that you have to -earn- your tips.

> I'd
>also like driving a truck, or taking people out fishing. But the truth
>is, I can't live on that kind of money with my lifestyle.

Maybe you're right..... bars don't usually have medical plans that cover psychological disorders.

>> The tips are good. It also comes
>>after a 25 year full-time career in the field of radio-electronics,
>>which is now part-time but continues unabated.
>
>Translation: I fix a few radios when I get a chance.

Yep, and just today I landed a contract to design and build a private sound studio. $5k for three weeks work isn't exactly chickenfeed.

>>I graduated EWU with a
>>BS in EE and a minor in communications, worked several years as a
>>broadcast engineer at a local station, and I still subcontract as a
>>sound engineer at another.
>
>in 1990, I was offered a job at a broadcast station in Florida, as an
>engineer as well as subbing for on-air shifts on the off-times. I was
>tempted to take it until I found out what they were paying......

.....doggone it, where did I put my hipwaders.....

>>You, OTOH, once had a CB shop.
>
>No, I never had a CB shop. I did, however, subcontract for several
>other CB shops who couldn't afford a full time repair guy. This was my
>"fun" job, which I used the proceeds from to buy my radio gear. I gave
>that up when the responsibilities of family life took away much of my
>discretionary time.

You subcontracted for CB shops? That's funny, Dave!

>>>I have a solid and supportive family life.
>>
>>
>>Is that the current euphamism for a co-dependent relationship?
>
>Is co-dependant relationship your euphemism for a normal, healthy
>marriage and family?

I would think that by now that you would have learned to look up the meaning of words before you use them, but apparently that's not the case. At least you corrected my spelling error.

>Is that how you justify to yourself, your failure at relationships, by
>casting them as somehow irregular, and flawed?

I hope that you are a "spackling engineer" because that's what your wall is going to need after you finish perforating it with your piss-poor dart throwing skills.

>Small children often adopt this tactic when the fail to achieve at one
>activity or another. Claim that "baseball sucks" because you aren't
>good at it.

Adults often adopt the tactic as well. I guess they never learned the story of the fox and the grapes..... and apparently neither did you.

>>> You are - Alone.
>>
>>
>>Another assumption that is 100% wrong. Perhaps you think I'm "alone"
>>because I don't have any co-dependent relationships
>
>Yea, I know, you are alone by choice. That's what every lonely
>bachelor thinks. I'd be curious at what some of your past partners
>would say on this subject.

Your use of the term "partners" is yet another demonstration of your inability to distinguish a normal relationship from co-dependency.

>> I don't have anyone paying my bills,
>
>I pay the bills. I'm the only one working. Excuse # 2 please....

So you are the only one working (in your family, I assume), you have an extravagant lifestyle that cannot be supported on the salary of a bartender, yet you find the time to yak this much on Usenet during normal working hours. Interesting. Perhaps you think you pay the bills just because you write the checks, regardless of where the money comes from. You may be the only one working, but that doesn't mean you are the sole source of family income. But you did a nice play on words, Dave. Too bad it didn't work.

>>or because I have an independent personality.
>
>Translation: I give in to no one and I am extremely hard to get along
>with.

Correct translation: I don't need the social, emotional or economic support of anyone else to have a good life -- I'm doing quite well all by myself.

>>But even though I live independently, half my family lives in Spokane.
>>I'm hardly "alone".

>
>You have no control on who your parents or their family is. But you
>have none of your own.

Really? I better take that into consideration before I continue my
plans to move to Alaska.

>>>I have solid political and economic values. You espouse the lunatic
>>>fringe.
>>
>>
>>LOL!
>>
>>
>>>I am an adult. You are a child still looking for someone to say "good
>>>boy".
>>
>>
>>So did you find that book "Looking Out, Looking In" yet?
>
>
>When all else fails, deflect.

Translation: "I could write a course on perception." Well guess what,
Dave: I -did- write a course on perception. It was a short course as a
project in a communications class in college. And once again, I highly
recommend you find and read the book.

>This is my last word on this subject.

I doubt it. You have the attribute that Vinnie attributed to me -- you
need to have the last word. Catch 22.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

875. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:25:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pim641dodi91qcvpqitq55175kmmuonkli@4ax.com>
Source record: 197896

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 08:15:30 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <eaf5411oaanhic3qmmvnnmadj352l9g7n2@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 18:46:51 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 08:20:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <51q24117h3341p6vkk5sfpilpld4h2hi82@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>I have solid political and economic values. You espouse the lunatic
>>>fringe.
>>
>>
>>Pop quiz, Dave:
>>
>>Polls show that at least 82% of the American people believe that the
>>US government should not have interfered in the Scheivo case. Despite
>>this, Congress and the president interfered with the case anyway. The
>>resulting federal law was a purely partisan issue that was favored by
>>Republicans, and was passed because they have control of the WH and
>>hold a majority in both houses of Congress. These facts show that the
>>majority of the American people were -not- represented when this law
>>was passed by the Republicans.
>>
>>The question is this: Who -is- represented by the majority party if
>>not the majority of Americans?
>
>Two words: Polls show.

Three words: ALL polls show. And ALL polls showed that the majority
didn't want government intervention.

But let's not forget that you are a lover of statistics. Polls are a
very useful and fairly accurate statistical tool for determining voter
perceptions (there's that word again). They are studied very closely
by the press as well as the politicians -- including the president.
And I'll bet that even Bush doesn't ignore a poll on a major issue
simply because it's a poll. After all, it was polling data that plans
election campaigns.

So once again: Who -is- represented by the majority party if not the
majority of Americans?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups

-----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

876. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:34:49 UTC
From: killfiles <dont@work.com>
Message-ID: <vpm6415519i39k2ut3t1is1dkqc1ml8lfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 197901

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 18:31:43 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <dbj641hm7ma38q001no07pt7ur04dj33qv@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 13:52:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>
>>I'd drop it if I were you. After all, Frank recently admitted that his
>>main purpose for being here is to "butt heads".
>
>
>I killfiled him.

You have now joined the ranks of other posters who ran and hid from
the messes they created by themselves. Enjoy. But remember, if you
don't read my posts then you'll never know what others have read in my
replies. Scary, huh?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

877. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:43:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4ln641p6eot1obggf8voj6uplelcb01o0u@4ax.com>
Source record: 197902

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 16:22:56 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

.
>I came on around then. Went away for a while when the madness began. Now I
>just filter like mad. ;-)
>
>Jeff
>

The kill filter is great. That inventor should get a peace prize !

Vinnie S.

878. Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 00:57:56 UTC
From: Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <omJ0e.2238$zl.1221@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197903

My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park. Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well. The problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently it was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got a good soaking but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some bleach but it still smells. what can I do?

879. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:17:01 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <dEJ0e.7784$iD6.697@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197904

Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater wrote:
> My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park. Although
> the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well. The problem is
> I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently it was tossed into
> a pool of urine for a short time and got a good soaking but still worked. I
> sprayed the circuit board with some bleach but it still smells. what can I
> do?
>
>
stop posting crap like this for starters...

880. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:18:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324201844.738$xG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197905

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050324142510.639
> $Gq@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >>> first i am george now chrissy...hahahah
> >>
> > Nervous laughter noted.
>
> Oh yeah that is nervous laughter ...roflmao 100x
>
> >
> > http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ
> >
> > Bagged and tagged.
>
> So if I am kb3bej you have made an ass out of yourself for how many years
> , caLLING ME GEORGE? bAGGED AND TAGGED lmao
>
You fucked up and used your dads call at least twice, that led to you
being outed, chrissy the sissy.

Go cry to someone else. I have no sympathy for cowardly punks.

881. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:19:33 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dop641t99c0cn785rd94iqlnugfj3s2ufg@4ax.com>
Source record: 197906

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:57:56 GMT, "Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater" <0@0.0> wrote:

>My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park. Although
>the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well. The problem is
>I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently it was tossed into
>a pool of urine for a short time and got a good soaking but still worked. I
>sprayed the circuit board with some bleach but it still smells. what can I
>do?
>

Shit in it. It will kill the urine smell.

Vinnie S.

882. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:20:52 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <QHJ0e.7785$WP6.4546@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197907

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> If the FCC only permits voice communication on CB, doesn't that
> prevent deaf people from using the band? I think TTY, as well as CW,
> should be permitted for that reason alone. If it became a petition,
> would it pass?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----
deafness and cw hmmmm....

883. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:21:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324202113.559$wN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197908

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:57:56 GMT, "Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater" <0@0.0>
> wrote:
>
> >My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
> >Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well.
> >The problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently
> >it was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got a good
> >soaking but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some bleach
> >but it still smells. what can I do?
> >
>
> Shit in it. It will kill the urine smell.
>
Ha! Install it in your outhouse.

884. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:22:47 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6qp641d1gjug9qf14t4p8ggtp7d73gnrkk@4ax.com>
Source record: 197909

On 25 Mar 2005 01:18:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> > http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ
>> >
>> > Bagged and tagged.
>>
>> So if I am kb3bej you have made an ass out of yourself for how many years
>> , caLLING ME GEORGE? bAGGED AND TAGGED lmao
>>
>You fucked up and used your dads call at least twice, that led to you
>being outed, chrissy the sissy.
>
>Go cry to someone else. I have no sympathy for cowardly punks.

Oh my !!!!

Lelnad was snagged !!!

Hey Moped, don't forget to call me when you go to Dayton. I might have you look
for something for me. Damn, this killfile works great !!!! Got rid of Frank's
106 useless messages.

Vinnie S.

885. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:23:30 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <40q641lk0rs4t80kl4noggogg921jq3r4s@4ax.com>
Source record: 197912

On 25 Mar 2005 01:21:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:57:56 GMT, "Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater" <0@0.0>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
>> >Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well.
>> >The problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently
>> >it was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got a good
>> >soaking but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some bleach
>> >but it still smells. what can I do?
>> >
>>
>> Shit in it. It will kill the urine smell.
>>
>Ha! Install it in your outhouse.

That'll work !

Vinnie S.

886. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:24:17 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <0LJ0e.7787$6Q6.1972@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197911

Landshark wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:Mbr_d.19456$3Y2.17763@fe12.lga...
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost 400
>>>>kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB radio in my
>>>>minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what were go each
>>>>one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone you call i pay you
>>>>ok?
>>>>
>>>
>>>This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone number?
>>
>>reminds me of that nudnik from finland...
>
>
>
> Showing your experience here Jim, Keri was his name.
>
> Landshark
>
>
thought keri was a pseudo for one of the 'irregulars' here.

887. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:24:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324202440.833$1G@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197910

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 25 Mar 2005 01:18:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> > http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ
> >> >
> >> > Bagged and tagged.
> >>
> >> So if I am kb3bej you have made an ass out of yourself for how many
> >> years , caLLING ME GEORGE? bAGGED AND TAGGED lmao
> >>
> >You fucked up and used your dads call at least twice, that led to you
> >being outed, chrissy the sissy.
> >
> >Go cry to someone else. I have no sympathy for cowardly punks.
>
> Oh my !!!!
>
> Lelnad was snagged !!!
>
> Hey Moped, don't forget to call me when you go to Dayton. I might have
> you look for something for me. Damn, this killfile works great !!!! Got
> rid of Frank's 106 useless messages.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Will do.. I'll call you over the weekend, or next week.

888. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:27:18 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <RNJ0e.7788$5V6.5250@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197913

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On 19 Mar 2005 03:57:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050318225748.871$Bp@newsreader.com>:
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On 19 Mar 2005 02:53:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>><20050318215310.437$OG@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>The choices suck.
>>>
>>>Yes they do. Who do you think would be a good president?
>>>
>>
>>Dunno. i'd like it to be someone that has -never- had anything
>>to do with politics. I liked Perot some, so it would have to
>>be a git-r-dun business man.
>
>
>
> I think Eisner might be available for the job.....
>
>
>
>>Hopes are too high already.
>
>
>
> Hmmm.... why does the term 'rubber-money-tree plant' come to mind?
>
>
a jimmy buffett tune perhaps...

889. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:32:12 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <sSJ0e.7789$I_6.5566@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197914

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
>>>banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
>>>for it. That place is killer!
>>>
>>>http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>>
>>yeah i know it took a while to respond. do me a favor and tell me when
>>next years race is and i can fold it into a visit to my brothers place
>>in oak ridge. only 90 or so miles away but its an excuse to visit him :)
>>
>
> Cool. Don't forget the night race there in August too.
hmmmm now ya got me thinking....

890. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:33:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ciq6411vf7ikvsvje9d1r3eriiq2ntfb3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 197915

On 25 Mar 2005 01:24:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Lelnad was snagged !!!
>>
>> Hey Moped, don't forget to call me when you go to Dayton. I might have
>> you look for something for me. Damn, this killfile works great !!!! Got
>> rid of Frank's 106 useless messages.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Will do.. I'll call you over the weekend, or next week.

Good enough. Please leave a voicemail if I don't pick up. I always returns
calls.

Vinnie S.

891. hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:35:30 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <yVJ0e.7790$zf2.6515@fe10.lga>
Source record: 197916

jets this year better then last?

892. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:37:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324203702.744$GP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197917

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
> >>>banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
> >>>for it. That place is killer!
> >>>
> >>>http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
> >>
> >>yeah i know it took a while to respond. do me a favor and tell me when
> >>next years race is and i can fold it into a visit to my brothers place
> >>in oak ridge. only 90 or so miles away but its an excuse to visit him
> >>:)
> >>
> >
> > Cool. Don't forget the night race there in August too.
>
> hmmmm now ya got me thinking....
>
Good luck finding tickets to that one. Likely the toughest race
on the circuit to find, without paying dearly. I got these for
$30 over face, ebay has them. There's also a fan forum on Bristol's
website under tickets, scalping isn't allowed there so it might
be a place to start.

893. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 01:50:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <fer641t72nqks5dk3h57nb01c24tog3cq2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197918

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>jets this year better then last?

Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham. I can't stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss trade. I was thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction. I think the defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since the mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a good graft, we should at least match last year.

Vinnie S.

894. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 02:07:51 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <TnK0e.403$NQ4.304@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197919

Vinnie S. wrote:

> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>
>>jets this year better then last?
>
>
>
> Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham. I can't
> stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss trade. I was
> thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction. I think the
> defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since the
> mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a good graft,
> we should at least match last year.
>
> Vinnie S.
i read the jets ng and your views are right there with the majority.
becht was a good downfield blocker but who would fill his shoes? coles
is a taller receiver which should help. anyway, good to see a green and
white on this board. 11-5 if chad is healthy

895. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 02:11:46 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <yrK0e.404$x96.5@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197920

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
>>>>>banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
>>>>>for it. That place is killer!
>>>>>
>>>>>http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>>>>
>>>>yeah i know it took a while to respond. do me a favor and tell me when
>>>>next years race is and i can fold it into a visit to my brothers place
>>>>in oak ridge. only 90 or so miles away but its an excuse to visit him
>>>>:)
>>>>
>>>
>>>Cool. Don't forget the night race there in August too.
>>
>>hmmmm now ya got me thinking....
>>
>
> Good luck finding tickets to that one. Likely the toughest race
> on the circuit to find, without paying dearly. I got these for
> $30 over face, ebay has them. There's also a fan forum on Bristol's
> website under tickets, scalping isn't allowed there so it might
> be a place to start.
what are talking about a ticket? $80 for nosebleeds? probably a low
figure on that.

896. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 02:23:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050324212307.358$q4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197921

```
jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
> >>>>>banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
> >>>>>for it. That place is killer!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
> >>>>
> >>>>yeah i know it took a while to respond. do me a favor and tell me
> >>>>when next years race is and i can fold it into a visit to my brothers
> >>>>place in oak ridge. only 90 or so miles away but its an excuse to
> >>>>visit him
> >>>>:)
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Cool. Don't forget the night race there in August too.
> >>
> >>hmmmm now ya got me thinking....
> >>
> >
> > Good luck finding tickets to that one. Likely the toughest race
> > on the circuit to find, without paying dearly. I got these for
> > $30 over face, ebay has them. There's also a fan forum on Bristol's
> > website under tickets, scalping isn't allowed there so it might
> > be a place to start.
>
> what are talking about a ticket? $80 for nosebleeds? probably a low
> figure on that.
>
I think face for that is $90. They go for 3 times that at times
from scalpers. There's a waiting list for them from the track
that is years long.
```

897. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 02:30:34 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <aJK0e.407$3q6.152@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197922

```
Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
>>>>>>>banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
>>>>>>>for it. That place is killer!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>>>>>>
>>>>>>yeah i know it took a while to respond. do me a favor and tell me
>>>>>>when next years race is and i can fold it into a visit to my brothers
>>>>>>place in oak ridge. only 90 or so miles away but its an excuse to
>>>>>>visit him
>>>>>>:)
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Cool. Don't forget the night race there in August too.
>>>>
>>>>hmmmm now ya got me thinking....
>>>>
>>>
>>>Good luck finding tickets to that one. Likely the toughest race
>>>on the circuit to find, without paying dearly. I got these for
>>>$30 over face, ebay has them. There's also a fan forum on Bristol's
>>>website under tickets, scalping isn't allowed there so it might
>>>be a place to start.
```

>>
>>what are talking about a ticket? $80 for nosebleeds? probably a low
>>figure on that.
>>
>
> I think face for that is $90. They go for 3 times that at times
> from scalpers. There's a waiting list for them from the track
> that is years long.
tv it is then...

898. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 02:32:39 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <l0u641lqe4c06oir3rn16qh0r967ierfnv@4ax.com>
Source record: 197923

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 21:07:51 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jets this year better then last?
>>
>>
>>
>> Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham. I can't
>> stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss trade. I was
>> thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction. I think the
>> defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since the
>> mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a good graft,
>> we should at least match last year.
>>
>> Vinnie S.

>i read the jets ng and your views are right there with the majority.
>becht was a good downfield blocker but who would fill his shoes?

Good blocking TE are a dime a dozen. It's the ones that can catch and run fast,
that are hard to find.

>coles
>is a taller receiver which should help. anyway, good to see a green and
>white on this board. 11-5 if chad is healthy

I would sign for that now !!!!!

Vinnie S.

899. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 02:48:32 UTC
From: cl <clfurent@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <o_K0e.56494$NC6.52715@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197924

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:8dm64153o8cmes3o17ujmncnr7ejj16588@4ax.com...
> If the FCC only permits voice communication on CB, doesn't that
> prevent deaf people from using the band? I think TTY, as well as CW,
> should be permitted for that reason alone. If it became a petition,
> would it pass?
>
>

The CW of course, would have to be done with a computer printing it out OR via some sort of pulsating light or other means that they could "feel" to detect the message being sent. As for it being passed, I think it could be - if someone pressed the ADA laws, showing it to be discriminatory to those who are deaf. Could make some interesting changes come about for CB! Never know until you try........

cl

900. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 04:16:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <914741phv04b6ksni2bgcgaioascos77ro@4ax.com>
Source record: 197925

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:20:52 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote
in <QHJ0e.7785$WP6.4546@fe10.lga>:

>deafness and cw hmmmm....

Light bulb? S-meter?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

901. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 05:05:20 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Sd2dnZPZQJ6OAd7fRVn-2w@comcast.com>
Source record: 197926

"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:8dm64153o8cmes3o17ujmncnr7ejj16588@4ax.com...
> If the FCC only permits voice communication on CB, doesn't that
> prevent deaf people from using the band?

Yup.

> I think TTY, as well as CW,
> should be permitted for that reason alone.

Interesting but I doubt it's all that practical for hearing impaired users.

For TTY you need a clear channel, i.e. one transmitter at a time. So how
often do you think that's going to happen and are CBer's displined enough
not to try and jam the TTY station?

For CW the same thing however you would need some expensive filters to get
more use out of a 10 KHz wide channel using a mode that needs anywhere from
300 to 500 Hz at most. The transmitting station needs a way to move it's
signal around on the channel etc. so more that one can use the extra
bandwidth. Using different tones for each station would work, but then you
still need the narrowband filters at each end to selectively filter the tone
for the one station you want to hear.

>If it became a petition,
> would it pass?

I don't think so from an operational stand point. Too many problems and
besides they would likely use "texting" on their new cell phone anyway. It
does what you proposed already.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

902. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 05:30:01 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Bp6dnbAdRb5FPN7fRVn-1g@giganews.com>
Source record: 197927

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>>>> first i am george now chrissy...hahahah
>>>>
>>> Nervous laughter noted.
>>>
>>> http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ
>>>
>>> Bagged and tagged.
>>
>> I don't know why but when I click on links in your posts they never
>> work. I have to copy/paste in a browser, then they work.
>>
>> What's up with that?
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> Dunno, I've never had anyone else tell me that. Outhouse express? :)

Yeah, butwhat is funny is your not the only one. I think it wouldn't be so wierd if it was either everyone or no one. I have "Quote-fix", so, maybe that has something to do with it.

Oh well...

Jeff

903. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 05:31:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aMmdnW1ESq2OP97fRVn-pA@giganews.com>
Source record: 197928

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 24 Mar 2005 22:38:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>>>>> first i am george now chrissy...hahahah
>>>>>
>>>> Nervous laughter noted.
>>>>
>>>> http://www.qrz.com/detail/KB3BEJ
>>>>
>>>> Bagged and tagged.
>>>
>>> I don't know why but when I click on links in your posts they never
>>> work. I have to copy/paste in a browser, then they work.
>>>
>>> What's up with that?
>>>
>>> Jeff
>>>
>> Dunno, I've never had anyone else tell me that. Outhouse express? :)
>
>
> Jeff, use agent. It is easy as hell. And far better.

I have agent but normally just use it for the binary groups. OE is "easier"
for this type of mayhem. ;-)
>
> Vinnie S.

904. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 05:31:57 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <I7WdnbpMsJXRP97fRVn-sA@giganews.com>
Source record: 197929

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 16:22:56 -0800, "Jeff Mayner"
> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> .
>> I came on around then. Went away for a while when the madness began.
>> Now I just filter like mad. ;-)
>>
>> Jeff
>>
>
> The kill filter is great. That inventor should get a peace prize !
>
> Vinnie S.

:-)

Jeff

905. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 05:33:10 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2-KdneJM47ELP97fRVn-og@giganews.com>
Source record: 197930

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:57:56 GMT, "Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater" <0@0.0>
> wrote:
>
>> My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
>> Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked
>> well. The problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio.
>> Apparently it was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and
>> got a good soaking but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board
>> with some bleach but it still smells. what can I do?
>>
>
>
> Shit in it. It will kill the urine smell.
>
> Vinnie S.

I was going to say that but thought better of it. Glad I did.

;-)

Jeff

906. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 05:35:18 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RIednWuD_LqLPt7fRVn-3w@giganews.com>
Source record: 197931

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
>> jets this year better then last?
>
>
> Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham.
> I can't stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and
> Moss trade. I was thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was
> addition by subtraction. I think the defense looks very promising. I
> haven't been able to say that since the mid-1980's. Ferguson was
> overrated, and getting old.

I think with a good graft, we should at least match last

Is it just me or is that a very funny typo? ;-)

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

907. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 05:35:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ko8741tbndvcbnm8eacipdiqvhju3lbgn2@4ax.com>
Source record: 197932

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:05:20 -0500, "Leland C. Scott" wrote:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:8dm64153o8cmes3o17ujmncnr7ejj16588@4ax.com...
>> If the FCC only permits voice communication on CB, doesn't that
>> prevent deaf people from using the band?
>
>Yup.
>
>> I think TTY, as well as CW,
>> should be permitted for that reason alone.
>
>Interesting but I doubt it's all that practical for hearing impaired users.
>
>For TTY you need a clear channel, i.e. one transmitter at a time.

Good point. There are quite a few clear channels in my area at any one
time, and while I know that's not the case everywhere, I'm sure it's
true in a lot of places. There is also the squelch knob, and not every
CBer is out to DX. Maybe a channel could be unofficially designated
for use by hearing impaired CBers? Or authorization for the use of
between-channel spaces? Maybe CW would be permitted if sub-audible
and/or below 300 Hz like those old tone-loc systems?

> So how
>often do you think that's going to happen and are CBer's displined enough
>not to try and jam the TTY station?

Another good point. I'm not sure how easy it is to jam TTY, but I do
know that those tone-decoder devices are pretty noise-resistant. That
sounds like it would make a good experiment.

> For CW the same thing however you would need some expensive filters to get
>more use out of a 10 KHz wide channel using a mode that needs anywhere from
>300 to 500 Hz at most. The transmitting station needs a way to move it's
>signal around on the channel etc. so more that one can use the extra
>bandwidth. Using different tones for each station would work, but then you
>still need the narrowband filters at each end to selectively filter the tone
>for the one station you want to hear.

I was thinking about that, which is what prompted this idea. All it
would take to transmit is to attach an audio oscillator in SSB.
Receiving might be a bit more difficult, but using a fixed-frequency
audio filter and the clarifier knob you can pick up just about any CW
signal in the channel bandwidth. For a filter, you can run both sides

of a stereo equalizer in series, and it can even tune different audio freqs. After that, all you would need is a tone detector with a light bulb. So the most expensive piece of equipment is already made and is pretty common, while the other two pieces could be built from a kit by just about anyone with a soldering iron.

I'm sure there are other ways it could be done. But I think the hardest part is hooking everything together.

>>If it became a petition,
>> would it pass?
>
>I don't think so from an operational stand point. Too many problems and
>besides they would likely use "texting" on their new cell phone anyway. It
>does what you proposed already.

Well, voice cell phones haven't eliminated CB yet. And maybe the problems could be addressed before the fact.....

There is another benefit I see from this: it might encourage some of the more enthusiastic CBers to get a license instead of freebanding or operating illegally. It may also encourage more QRM on the channels, but I'd like to think positive.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

908. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 06:08:12 UTC
From: KAXN-9546 <ku2s@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <87b741hhbujmohmpkabb91bbm665ngq48v@4ax.com>
Source record: 197933

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:57:56 GMT, "Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater" <0@0.0>
wrote:

>My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park. Although
>the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well. The problem is
>I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently it was tossed into
>a pool of urine for a short time and got a good soaking but still worked. I
>sprayed the circuit board with some bleach but it still smells. what can I
>do?
>
Buy a new radio..
Raymond Sirois
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

909. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 12:37:46 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nCT0e.553$Xd5.94@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197934

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:20:52 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote
> in <QHJ0e.7785$WP6.4546@fe10.lga>:
>
>
>>deafness and cw hmmmm....
>
>
>
> Light bulb? S-meter?
>
>
> =----
would think those would be slow to react especially above 20 wpm.
LED or touch pad that announces vibrations.
either way the market would be so small it wouldn't make business sense.
now if a hobbyist were interested...

910. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 12:42:35 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UGT0e.554$ph5.485@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197935

Vinnie S. wrote:

> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 21:07:51 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>jets this year better then last?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham. I can't
>>>stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss trade. I was
>>>thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction. I think the
>>>defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since the
>>>mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a good graft,
>>>we should at least match last year.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>
>
>>i read the jets ng and your views are right there with the majority.
>>becht was a good downfield blocker but who would fill his shoes?
>
>
> Good blocking TE are a dime a dozen. It's the ones that can catch and run fast,
> that are hard to find.
>
>
>
>>coles
>>is a taller receiver which should help. anyway, good to see a green and
>>white on this board. 11-5 if chad is healthy
>
>
> I would sign for that now !!!!!
>
>
> Vinnie S.

try this ng vinnie:
alt.sports.pro.ny-jets

911. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 12:44:42 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UIT0e.555$HI5.493@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197936

jim wrote:

> Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 21:07:51 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> jets this year better then last?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham.
>>>> I can't
>>>> stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss
>>>> trade. I was
>>>> thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction.
>>>> I think the
>>>> defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since the
>>>> mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a
>>>> good graft,
>>>> we should at least match last year.
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>
>>
>>> i read the jets ng and your views are right there with the majority.
>>> becht was a good downfield blocker but who would fill his shoes?
>>
>>
>>
>> Good blocking TE are a dime a dozen. It's the ones that can catch and
>> run fast,
>> that are hard to find.
>>
>>
>>> coles is a taller receiver which should help. anyway, good to see a

>>> green and white on this board. 11-5 if chad is healthy
>>
>>
>>
>> I would sign for that now !!!!!
>>
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> try this ng vinnie:
> alt.sports.pro.ny-jets
damn, to f ing early...
alt.sports.football.pro.ny-jets

912. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 12:58:19 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LVT0e.1531$FN4.1417@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197937

```
"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:UIT0e.555$Hl5.493@fe11.lga...
> jim wrote:
>
>> Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 21:07:51 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> jets this year better then last?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham. I
>>>>> can't
>>>>> stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss
>>>>> trade. I was
>>>>> thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction. I
>>>>> think the
>>>>> defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since
>>>>> the
>>>>> mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a
>>>>> good graft,
>>>>> we should at least match last year.
>>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> i read the jets ng and your views are right there with the majority.
>>>> becht was a good downfield blocker but who would fill his shoes?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Good blocking TE are a dime a dozen. It's the ones that can catch and
>>> run fast,
```

>>> that are hard to find.
>>>
>>>
>>>> coles is a taller receiver which should help. anyway, good to see a
>>>> green and white on this board. 11-5 if chad is healthy
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I would sign for that now !!!!!
>>>
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> try this ng vinnie:
>> alt.sports.pro.ny-jets
> damn, to f ing early...
> alt.sports.football.pro.ny-jets

Jets are going down, like they
were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

913. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 13:00:06 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <qXT0e.1532$FN4.1119@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197938

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:aJK0e.407$3q6.152@fe11.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>How sick is this pic? Jimmie getting his ride ready for the high
>>>>>>>>banks at Bristol. I hope he doesn't win there..leaving in two weeks
>>>>>>>>for it. That place is killer!
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>http://tinyurl.com/4xnqz
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>yeah i know it took a while to respond. do me a favor and tell me
>>>>>>>when next years race is and i can fold it into a visit to my brothers
>>>>>>>place in oak ridge. only 90 or so miles away but its an excuse to
>>>>>>>visit him
>>>>>>>:)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Cool. Don't forget the night race there in August too.
>>>>>
>>>>>hmmmm now ya got me thinking....
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Good luck finding tickets to that one. Likely the toughest race
>>>>on the circuit to find, without paying dearly. I got these for
>>>>$30 over face, ebay has them. There's also a fan forum on Bristol's

>>>>website under tickets, scalping isn't allowed there so it might
>>>>be a place to start.
>>>
>>>what are talking about a ticket? $80 for nosebleeds? probably a low
>>>figure on that.
>>>
>>
>> I think face for that is $90. They go for 3 times that at times
>> from scalpers. There's a waiting list for them from the track
>> that is years long.
> tv it is then...

Park the RV at the track, then watch
the tube.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

914. Re: what big radio??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 13:03:08 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <g_T0e.1533$FN4.1525@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197939

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:0LJ0e.7787$6Q6.1972@fe10.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:Mbr_d.19456$3Y2.17763@fe12.lga...
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Stanley Growskiwich" <0@0.0> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>today my brother we buy radio station tranzmiter was very big almost
>>>>>400
>>>>>kilos, my back hurt but now we need know how hook it up to CB radio in
>>>>>my
>>>>>minivan?????? there are many cables and i not sure what were go each
>>>>>one. you can help call 202-933-1290 that my cellfone you call i pay
>>>>>you
>>>>>ok?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>This bait might draw a bite. Is that your ex mother-outlaws phone
>>>>number?
>>>
>>>reminds me of that nudnik from finland...
>>
>>
>>
>> Showing your experience here Jim, Keri was his name.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> thought keri was a pseudo for one of the 'irregulars' here.

Nope.You're thinking of that other
fellow named Kerry.............. LOL!!!

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end

because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

915. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 13:33:56 UTC
From: Ron038655@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111757636.141179.19770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197940

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

916. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 14:15:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <g77841lltmqrn92ui32e2udf9s9mai448h@4ax.com>
Source record: 197941

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 16:24:29 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>If the FCC only permits voice communication on CB, doesn't that
>prevent deaf people from using the band? I think TTY, as well as CW,
>should be permitted for that reason alone. If it became a petition,
>would it pass?
>

No.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

917. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 14:19:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <oc784115b7bgv0u63k1n3mefcdq4sene5d@4ax.com>
Source record: 197942

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 21:35:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>> For CW the same thing however you would need some expensive filters to get
>>more use out of a 10 KHz wide channel using a mode that needs anywhere from
>>300 to 500 Hz at most. The transmitting station needs a way to move it's
>>signal around on the channel etc. so more that one can use the extra
>>bandwidth. Using different tones for each station would work, but then you
>>still need the narrowband filters at each end to selectively filter the tone
>>for the one station you want to hear.
>
>
>I was thinking about that, which is what prompted this idea. All it
>would take to transmit is to attach an audio oscillator in SSB.
>Receiving might be a bit more difficult, but using a fixed-frequency
>audio filter and the clarifier knob you can pick up just about any CW
>signal in the channel bandwidth. For a filter, you can run both sides
>of a stereo equalizer in series, and it can even tune different audio
>freqs. After that, all you would need is a tone detector with a light
>bulb. So the most expensive piece of equipment is already made and is
>pretty common, while the other two pieces could be built from a kit by
>just about anyone with a soldering iron.

Congratulations! You've just re-invented AFSK.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

918. Re: Texas City Explosion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 14:22:42 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@no_where.com>
Message-ID: <S8V0e.39224$8D.21882@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 197943

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:pBm0e.10673$ot.2857@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> Several people lost their lives here today.
>

Update:

The total is now 15 dead, and numerous others in area hospitals. Several still critical.

I was about 2 miles from the explosion when it happened. We don't have earthquakes here, but the ground shook, walls and windows rattled, and then of course while wondering what happened, the sound wave hit. Living in this area for as long as I have, this is the worst explosion/accident I've experienced. When I went outside and looked toward the plant, what I saw amazed me; there was a Hiroshima looking mushroom cloud climbing into the sky with flames rolling the entire height. And I don't mean just from the ground...the cloud had fire in it. It was at that point I realized loss of life was inevitable.

As far as damage outside the plant, mostly just blown out windows that faced the plant. Most within a mile or so, but some as far as 7 miles away over open terrain.

919. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 14:24:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jf7841thq4vg7og7849prev24clult1t0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 197944

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:57:56 GMT, "Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater" <0@0.0>
wrote:

>My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park. Although
>the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well. The problem is
>I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently it was tossed into
>a pool of urine for a short time and got a good soaking but still worked. I
>sprayed the circuit board with some bleach but it still smells. what can I
>do?
>

Throw it out. From this point on your signal will be "piss-poor", and
likely to get the local operators "pissed-off" at you.

Trying to fix it will be an exercise in "pissing in the wind".

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

920. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 14:25:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <mp784197efom4pui9ihnn0iaand1kohped@4ax.com>
Source record: 197945

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:19:33 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:57:56 GMT, "Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater" <0@0.0> wrote:
>
>>My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park. Although
>>the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well. The problem is
>>I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently it was tossed into
>>a pool of urine for a short time and got a good soaking but still worked. I
>>sprayed the circuit board with some bleach but it still smells. what can I
>>do?
>>
>
>
>Shit in it. It will kill the urine smell.

Hey that's a perfect combination! A piss-poor signal with a shitty
receiver.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

921. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 15:43:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111765412.525109.61780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197946

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_shtml

twithed copy/pastes again...par for the cource since his brain is a
perfect copy of a dozen rotten egg yolks.

922. mopeydopie has taken up a new hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 15:44:34 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111765474.573829.68300@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197947

the new hobby is reported to be taxidermy.

923. Re: The best part of Steveo...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 15:46:03 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111765562.998452.116090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197948

why not? is the ticket to Australia too expensive?

924. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 16:08:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050325110800.447$WI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197949

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:57:56 GMT, "Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater" <0@0.0>
> wrote:
>
> >My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
> >Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well.
> >The problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently
> >it was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got a good
> >soaking but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some bleach
> >but it still smells. what can I do?
> >
>
> Throw it out. From this point on your signal will be "piss-poor", and
> likely to get the local operators "pissed-off" at you.
>
> Trying to fix it will be an exercise in "pissing in the wind".
>
He'll be here all week, don't forget to tip your waitress. :D

925. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 17:16:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15135-42444776-145@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197950

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 11:28:11 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 14:56:09 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://www.sptimes.com/2005/03/21/Floridian/A_pirate_looks_at_40_.shtml
>Thank you for the link.

You are welcome. If you (or anyone) intend to forward the information
along to the FCC, I request you include who made you aware of Bob. That
hot-headed jackass that works for the FCC in Tampa needs to know who it
is waving to him, as he has a tendency to pretend to forget names,
handles, and call signs when his incompetence is called in to play. This
idiot has the worst record among all enforcement officers. He has made
many seizures resulting in no conviction. His conviction rate is just
about the lowest among the agency field employees, despite his
self-posturing and viewing himself as some sort of cowboy bringing
justice to the world. His record speaks for itself. Locally, he is known
as the Cardboard Cowboy, a term he has come to despise. He even has his
own fan created webpage.

>Hmm. There seems to be a bit of personal

>blood there.

No more personal than the issues one chooses to become involved with
when believing (correctly or not) the matter affects them personally,
whether directly or indirectly.

>I'm not about to "forward" this to anyone. It's

>none of my business. But I do like to read

>about pirate radio.

I do, too. I like listening to it more.

> In many ways I sympathize

>with those who do it. But I also recognize the

>importance of government regulated airwaves

>in order to minimize chaos if the broadcast

>bands became a free-for-all.

That's not what this is about. I don't believe a free-for-all relates to the type pirate radio of which we speak, such as the Florida version of B-o-b. 40 watts on the FM commercial dial, I do not consider a "free-for-all", much in the same manner I do not consider simple dx on 11 meter, or even running an amp of say, 100 watts, as even coming close to resembling a free-for-all or any type of chaos, disorder, or anarchy.

>I found the following parts especially

>interesting:

>"to the FCC and the state, operating a pirate

>radio station from a 40-watt transmitter in his

>kitchen breakfast nook makes him a criminal."

Yea, in fact, people have been jailed for such activity and accrued criminal records, unlike those who talk skip on 11 meters.

>In principle, there is not much difference.

Perhaps in *your* principles, but thank God the courts, prosecutors, and FCC disagrees with you on this issue. It's simply an issue that causes no problems except for corporate radio and any time Clear Channel takes a hit, I cheer.

> But the people who lobby and complain

>about pirate broadcast radio have much more

>clout

>and influence than hobby radio users.

I have a link
to actions against pirate radio in the US by the FCC. Total convictions were something like one or two in the past year or so,,,possibly three, can't remember. This is out of hundreds and hundreds of complaints among the thousands and thousands of pirate radio stations. With the new charade by the morals and decency King Censorship at the FCC, expect enforcement to become more stringent, but only against the biggest offenders...your corporate stations. In fact, my prediction is cb and hammie enforcement will become even more rare, as it simply is not the priority some of the angry hammie funks wish it to be.

>Well, the squeaky wheel does seem to get the
>grease.

Only regarding hammie complaints,,not about FM pirate complaints. Usually only when commercial interests become involved does any squeak resonate.

>and:

>"The signal emanating from the antenna

>attached to the side of his apartment building

>could earn him federal fines and a felony

>conviction under a state law created last year

>that carries penalties of up to five years in

>prison."

>Yikes! Operating an unlicensed transmitter

>might become a bit more risky.....

This law was designed in direct response to those who are infringing
upon commercial broadcasters.

>Money and power talk.

Yup.
 Florida is estimated to have more pirate radio stations than any
other state.

>That's interesting if true. I wonder why?

Maybe because commercial radio here sucks and we have such a melting pot
of people from big northeast cities and such....resulting in more
choices of eclectic tastes in music. A mega-smorgasboard of a clamoring
for great music with nowhere to turn, and voila!

_
The unlicensed transmitter law you
refer doesn't apply across the board, but rather targets unlicensed
broadcasters who take revenue/listeners from corporate radio and who
interfere with other station's broadcasts. A few complaints from John
Doe to the FCC complaining unlicensed pirate radio may get filed
somewhere, but not acted upon until a big gun is activated.

>That's true in many cases of CB interference

>as well.

Yes, but how many commercial interests these days have complaints
regarding cb? It's
miniscule, if at all existent.

>The only real FCC bust that I ever saw,

>involved a gang of cretins who were running

>large power, harassing people, and making

>the band miserable. It wasn't until the local

>police started getting interference, did the

>FCC finally act. But once they acted, the fines

>hit hard.

Oh yea. Bob moved over the weekend. He's no longer in the garage apartment. You just can't trust the media these days...<g>....look at Bush, he's pulling a Dan Rather by manufacturing news and those who cried about CBS are hypocritically silent about it.

>Maybe because it isn't true.

You mean the republican congress' accountability office lied when they directed the WH to cease these behaviors you are suggesting aren't true? Or is the republican led congressional accountability staff merely relying on what seems to be repeat failed intel on everything they investigate?

>The loony left invents all sorts of stories to

>blame Bush for. This may well be one of them.
  Congress is republican led. Blaming those who aren't even in power is not realisitic.
The current batch of elected republicans issued this directive, not any left-wing organization. There are many that share your view, however. I talk to many republicans who still insist there were wmds, despite the WH admitting their intel failure on this subject and Bush personally appointing a committee to find WHY the intel was failed that led them to falsely claim they not only knew their were wmds, but that they knew exactly where they all were.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cv

926. (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 17:22:39 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15135-424448DF-147@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197951

*#$@&%

Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon. Cheapest I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16 everywhere else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel by mid-summer. Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock here right now. My weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six hours sleep through Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin' is good! Spring breakers and Canadians are everywhere.

927. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 17:27:03 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15135-424449E7-148@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197952

>Which begs the philosophical question: Is a

>person's first amendment right to free speech

>a higher priority to the government's intent to

>regulate commodities like the airwaves?

Philosophical debate originating with questions such as you pose have never entered the realm of the FCC. The feds have no interest in your rights. This isn't about free speech or philosophical debate. This is about money, period.

>How do you know that for sure? That sounds

>somewhat cynical.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

Which part? That it's about money? Why else do you think the spectrum is shrinking?

928. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 17:46:52 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9951-42444E8C-123@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197955

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 10:47:43 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:
>That's why wise people refrain from arguing

>such things as religion and politics.

I disagree. I believe some of the wisest men in the country argue these
core beliefs and values daily. It's how governmental policy is crafted.

>Since a position cannot be settled based on

>subjective opinion, it is pointless to argue.

Positions are settled every day all over the country by what is defined
as a "subjective" opinion. They are called professional arbitrators.
Their use is becoming more commonplace with opposing parties arranging
ahead of time to forego any court action and agree by the arbitrators
decision.

>I've found over the years that the people who

>complain the most about the perceived value

>of something are usually the ones who are the
>most jealous of it.

Such as those who constantly complain about illegal cb operation as
relates to low power amps and/or dx.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

929. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 17:50:49 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <TbY0e.595$7W.582@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197953

```
Landshark wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:UIT0e.555$Hl5.493@fe11.lga...
>
>>jim wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 21:07:51 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>jets this year better then last?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham. I
>>>>>>can't
>>>>>>stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss
>>>>>>trade. I was
>>>>>>thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction. I
>>>>>>think the
>>>>>>defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since
>>>>>>the
>>>>>>mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a
>>>>>>good graft,
>>>>>>we should at least match last year.
>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
```

>>>>>i read the jets ng and your views are right there with the majority.
>>>>>becht was a good downfield blocker but who would fill his shoes?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Good blocking TE are a dime a dozen. It's the ones that can catch and
>>>>run fast,
>>>>that are hard to find.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>coles is a taller receiver which should help. anyway, good to see a
>>>>>green and white on this board. 11-5 if chad is healthy
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I would sign for that now !!!!!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>try this ng vinnie:
>>>alt.sports.pro.ny-jets
>>
>>damn, to f ing early...
>>alt.sports.football.pro.ny-jets
>
>
> Jets are going down, like they
> were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
>
> Landshark
>
>
funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
last year :p

930. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 17:52:06 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4dY0e.596$RX.435@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197954

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

> *#$@&%
>
> Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon. Cheapest
> I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16 everywhere
> else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel by mid-summer.
> Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock here right now. My
> weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six hours sleep through
> Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin' is good! Spring breakers
> and Canadians are everywhere.
>
2.19 give or take on long island

931. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 18:03:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9951-4244525C-127@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197956

From: dont@work.com (killfiles)
On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 18:31:43 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote
in <dbj641hm7ma38q001no07pt7ur04dj33qv@4ax.com>:
On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 13:52:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:
>I'd drop it if I were you. After all, Frank recently
>admitted that his main purpose for being here

>is to "butt heads".

-I killfiled him.

You have now joined the ranks of other posters who ran and hid from the
messes they created by themselves. Enjoy. But remember, if you don't
read my posts then you'll never know what others have read in my
replies. Scary, huh?
-
I'm always amused by those who express a strange need to explain to
others who they place in a killfile and why. I have always read every
single word of just about all the posts, with the obvious exception
being spam. I always believed killfiles are for those who are uncertain
of their ability to control their behavior based on what they read.
These people do not trust their own judgement, so they reduce their
chances of error by limiting their choices of action.

932. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 18:31:19 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tpk8415f9n1a85fk78mfacu2uutj4gdfgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 197957

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 12:16:38 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>
>>Hmm. There seems to be a bit of personal
>>blood there.
>
>
>No more personal than the issues one chooses to become involved with
>when believing (correctly or not) the matter affects them personally,
>whether directly or indirectly.

Ok.

>
>>I'm not about to "forward" this to anyone. It's
>>none of my business. But I do like to read
>>about pirate radio.
>
>
>I do, too. I like listening to it more.

I do too. But there aren't many stations close to me on AM or FM. I've
had to resort to the internet to find most of it. There used to be a
couple on the shortwave bands around 5 or 6 Mhz. I don't know if
they're still there. They used to play music on SSB, which makes
little sense, as you have to be able to tune it in precisely or the
tones sound way off.

>> In many ways I sympathize
>>with those who do it. But I also recognize the
>>importance of government regulated airwaves
>>in order to minimize chaos if the broadcast
>>bands became a free-for-all.
>
>That's not what this is about. I don't believe a free-for-all relates to
>the type pirate radio of which we speak, such as the Florida version of
>B-o-b. 40 watts on the FM commercial dial, I do not consider a
>"free-for-all", much in the same manner I do not consider simple dx on
>11 meter, or even running an amp of say, 100 watts, as even coming close
>to resembling a free-for-all or any type of chaos, disorder, or anarchy.

But you do understand that maybe one, two, or a dozen pirates would
not make much of an impact on the frequency band. But by not taking a
strong stand against it, you are basically covertly condoning it, and
eventually you WILL have a free-for-all to deal with. It then becomes

hypocritical to only target "selected" pirates.

>
>>I found the following parts especially
>>interesting:
>>"to the FCC and the state, operating a pirate
>>radio station from a 40-watt transmitter in his
>>kitchen breakfast nook makes him a criminal."
>
>
>Yea, in fact, people have been jailed for such activity and accrued
>criminal records, unlike those who talk skip on 11 meters.
>
>>In principle, there is not much difference.
>
>Perhaps in *your* principles, but thank God the courts, prosecutors, and
>FCC disagrees with you on this issue.

Why? The only difference is who is being bothered by it. It's a simple
matter of perception. An unlicensed station is an unlicensed station
regardless of where it is operated.

>It's simply an issue that causes
>no problems except for corporate radio and any time Clear Channel takes
>a hit, I cheer.

Two points:

Your point that corporate ($$$) interests have a bigger share of the
FCC's ear, explains why pirate FM operators get heavy fines and jail
time, while freebanders and bootleg hams get a wrist slapping (if
anything).

I agree with you 100% on your Clear Channel comment. The innovation
creativity, and personality of broadcast radio dies when they all got
assimilated into the ClearChannel or Infinity collective. I remember
the glory days of top 40 "Boss" radio in the 60's and 70's. It was a
far better product then.

>>ï¿½ï¿½But the people who lobby and complain
>>about pirate broadcast radio have much more
>>clout
>>and influence than hobby radio users.

>I have a link
>to actions against pirate radio in the US by the FCC. Total convictions
>were something like one or two in the past year or so,,,possibly three,
>can't remember. This is out of hundreds and hundreds of complaints among
>the thousands and thousands of pirate radio stations. With the new
>charade by the morals and decency King Censorship at the FCC, expect
>enforcement to become more stringent, but only against the biggest
>offenders...your corporate stations. In fact, my prediction is cb and
>hammie enforcement will become even more rare, as it simply is not the

>priority some of the angry hammie funks wish it to be.
>
>
>>Well, the squeaky wheel does seem to get the
>>grease.
>
>
>Only regarding hammie complaints,,not about FM pirate complaints.
>Usually only when commercial interests become involved does any squeak
>resonate.

Corporate clout makes for a mighty loud squeak.

>ï¿½Florida is estimated to have more pirate radio stations than any
>other state.

>>That's interesting if true. I wonder why?

>Maybe because commercial radio here sucks and we have such a melting pot
>of people from big northeast cities and such....resulting in more
>choices of eclectic tastes in music. A mega-smorgasboard of a clamoring
>for great music with nowhere to turn, and voila!

That's as good of a theory as any.

>The unlicensed transmitter law you
>refer doesn't apply across the board, but rather targets unlicensed
>broadcasters who take revenue/listeners from corporate radio and who
>interfere with other station's broadcasts. A few complaints from John
>Doe to the FCC complaining unlicensed pirate radio may get filed
>somewhere, but not acted upon until a big gun is activated.

True, but for now, it's only a matter of perception that makes it that
way.

>>That's true in many cases of CB interference
>>as well.
>
>Yes, but how many commercial interests these days have complaints
>regarding cb? It's
>miniscule, if at all existent.

Which explains why attention to unauthorized freeband operation is not
a priority now. That could change depending on several factors, not
the least of which is an attitude of crackdown in the administration
of the FCC, or a potential allocation of frequencies for commercial
ventures (BPL?)

>
>
>
>Oh yea. Bob moved over the weekend. He's no longer in the garage
>apartment. You just can't trust the media these days...<g>....look at

>Bush, he's pulling a Dan Rather by manufacturing news and those who
>cried about CBS are hypocritically silent about it.
>
>
>>Maybe because it isn't true.
>
>
>You mean the republican congress' accountability office lied when they
>directed the WH to cease these behaviors you are suggesting aren't true?

I don't know all the factual details. But knowing the historical zeal
at which the left wing likes to spin facts, I'm not ready to accept
this at face value

>Or is the republican led congressional accountability staff merely
>relying on what seems to be repeat failed intel on everything they
>investigate?
>
>
>>The loony left invents all sorts of stories to
>
>>blame Bush for. This may well be one of them.
>ï¿½ï¿½Congress is republican led. Blaming those who aren't even in power
>is not realisitic.

So, you posit that Bush's own party "sold him out"? Why does that not
ring true?

>The current batch of elected republicans issued this directive, not any
>left-wing organization. There are many that share your view, however.
>I talk to many republicans who still insist there were wmds,

Because we failed to find them so far, does not mean that they were
never there. They could very well be in Syria.

> despite the
>WH admitting their intel failure on this subject and Bush personally
>appointing a committee to find WHY the intel was failed that led them to
>falsely claim they not only knew their were wmds, but that they knew
>exactly where they all were.

At least you softened your opinion from "Bush lied about WMD" to one
of a false claim based on faulty intel. That scenario is more likely
should it be found that WMD have not been moved to Syria.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

933. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 18:36:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <48m841lm0gk4jp6sbckproqkgih3q2dg6g@4ax.com>
Source record: 197958

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 12:27:03 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>Which begs the philosophical question: Is a
>
>>person's first amendment right to free speech
>
>>a higher priority to the government's intent to
>
>>regulate commodities like the airwaves?
>
>Philosophical debate originating with questions such as you pose have
>never entered the realm of the FCC. The feds have no interest in your
>rights. This isn't about free speech or philosophical debate. This is
>about money, period.
>
>>How do you know that for sure? That sounds
>
>>somewhat cynical.

>Which part? That it's about money? Why else do you think the spectrum is
>shrinking?

That's a different issue. On that issue, I agree that money is the
major moving force.

But the philosophical issue about freedom of speech (and by extension
the public's "right" to the airwaves) versus the government's "right"
to regulate for the greater public good, must enter into the equation
at some point. It's the basis for the communications law, and the
foundation for the existence of the FCC itself.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

934. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 18:39:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <mkm841lofm5vjcogpmh1vqceqb2rrutq5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 197959

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 12:22:39 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>*#$@&%
>
>Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon. Cheapest
>I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16 everywhere
>else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel by mid-summer.
>Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock here right now. My
>weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six hours sleep through
>Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin' is good! Spring breakers
>and Canadians are everywhere.

Two weeks ago it was $1.95. Last week it was $2.05. Today it's $1.99

My area fluctuates immediately with the market, and sometimes they
jump the gun. I've seen the price change 3 times (up and down) in one
day.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

935. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 21:02:56 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <83v8419j30smj228ektp2cltkdd1bgl4ao@4ax.com>
Source record: 197960

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 12:22:39 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<15135-424448DF-147@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>:

>*#$@&%
>
>Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon. Cheapest
>I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16 everywhere
>else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel by mid-summer.
>Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock here right now. My
>weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six hours sleep through
>Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin' is good! Spring breakers
>and Canadians are everywhere.

http://www.gasbuddy.com/

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

936. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 21:57:56 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@watchout.com>
Message-ID: <EP%0e.730$k57.208@fed1read07>
Source record: 197961

Someone wrote:
>>Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon. Cheapest
>>I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16 everywhere
>>else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel by mid-summer.
>>Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock here right now. My
>>weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six hours sleep through
>>Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin' is good! Spring breakers
>>and Canadians are everywhere.

Why get 100 reponses here when you can go to gas buddy (prices) nation wide
at URL:

http://www.gasbuddy.com/
--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
it's $2.25 in So Calif -- yuk

937. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 23:48:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11189-4244A36B-370@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197962

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
http://www.gasbuddy.com/

That seems to work.. It's showing much cheaper in my area than most stations are charging. My station isn't listed. I'm going to have to check the Race Track in my area. According to the link, it's a buck-oh-one per gallon. Of course, marinas seem to add another twenty cents or more.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----=

938. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-25 23:52:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11190-4244A452-128@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197963

From: CL@watchout.com (Caveat Lector)
>Why get 100 reponses here when you can go

>to gas buddy (prices) nation wide at URL:

>http://www.gasbuddy.com/

>--

>CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

>it's $2.25 in So Calif -- yuk

I wasn't aware of the link,,I remembered something like that being posted in the past, but never saved it. With the current price of gas, I saved the link this time. If it's $2.25 there, it's coming to the rest of the country soon.

939. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 00:54:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11189-4244B2CD-379@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197965

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 12:16:38 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>Hmm. There seems to be a bit of personal

>blood there.

No more personal than the issues one chooses to become involved with
when believing (correctly or not) the matter affects them personally,
whether directly or indirectly.

>Ok.

>I'm not about to "forward" this to anyone. It's

>none of my business. But I do like to read

>about pirate radio.

I do, too. I like listening to it more.

>I do too. But there aren't many stations close

>to me on AM or FM. I've had to resort to the

>internet to find most of it.

How about dxing for FM stations? There is one in Tampa you can copy down
around 26 mhz and they do send cards.

>There used to be a couple on the shortwave

>bands around 5 or 6 Mhz. I don't know if

>they're still there. They used to play music on

>SSB, which makes little sense, as you have to
>be able to tune it in precisely or the tones

>sound way off.

Makes for some crazy sounds.

>In many ways I sympathize

>with those who do it. But I also recognize the

>importance of government regulated airwaves

>in order to minimize chaos if the broadcast

>bands became a free-for-all.

That's not what this is about. I don't believe a free-for-all relates to the type pirate radio of which we speak, such as the Florida version of B-o-b. 40 watts on the FM commercial dial, I do not consider a "free-for-all", much in the same manner I do not consider simple dx on 11 meter, or even running an amp of say, 100 watts, as even coming close to resembling a free-for-all or any type of chaos, disorder, or anarchy.

>But you do understand that maybe one, two,

>or a dozen pirates would not make much of an
>impact on the frequency band.

I guess it depends on how you define "impact".,,..and whether you relate it to interference or monetary matters.

>But by not taking a strong stand against it, you
>are basically covertly condoning it,

That isn't true at all. There are an infinite number of examples. That also sounds like the long-defeated Stalinist propaganda "If you aren't with us, you are against us".

>and eventually you WILL have a free-for-all to

>deal with. It then becomes hypocritical to only

>target "selected" pirates.

Without a crystal ball, this is but a theory, one which I never subscribed. This is akin to those who say if illegal drug use were legalized, addiction rates would go up and so would use. The Netherlands proved this wannabe-axiom wrong.

>I found the following parts especially

>interesting:

>"to the FCC and the state, operating a pirate

>radio station from a 40-watt transmitter in his

>kitchen breakfast nook makes him a criminal."

Yea, in fact, people have been jailed for such activity and accrued criminal records, unlike those who talk skip on 11 meters.

>In principle, there is not much difference.

Perhaps in *your* principles, but thank God the courts, prosecutors, and FCC disagrees with you on this issue.

>Why?

Because of the money angle. The large corporations can move mountains with their cash, which very often coordinates with who gets elected. The

corporations aren't getting pissed when we freeband or dx, because there is no possible revenue loss from their pocket. Hence, the FCC are not going to get the same complaints by big money corporations concerning these radio operators. However, if one is to begin siphoning listeners from a conglomerate owned station, you can bet Mother Corporate is going to go ape-shit and demand something be done. Again, when big money talks, FCC listens. They sold out, Dave, and they do not have your interests, or any other radio op's interests at heart, otherwise, they wouldn't be whoring the frequencies to the (always) -highest- bidder.

>The only difference is who is being bothered

>by it. It's a simple matter of perception.

It's *your* perception. It's a perception that isn't shared by the FCC, the corporations, or anyone else that can make an "impact" concerning this issue.

> An unlicensed station is an unlicensed station
>regardless of where it is operated.

You claimed there was not much difference between the criminal element of operating a pirate radio staion on the FM commercial band and talking dx on cb. The powers-that-be, by virtue of no action taken against the latter, illustrate otherwise.

_
It's simply an issue that causes
no problems except for corporate radio and any time Clear Channel takes a hit, I cheer.

>Two points:

>Your point that corporate ($$$) interests have

>a bigger share of the FCC's ear, explains why

>pirate FM operators get heavy fines and jail

>time, while freebanders and bootleg hams get

>a wrist slapping (if anything).

Then how do you fail to see the FCC does not have your best interests at heart when the bottom line of whom they cater to is...taaa daaa..the wealthy corporations?

>I agree with you 100% on your Clear Channel

>comment. The innovation creativity, and

>personality of broadcast radio dies when they

>all got assimilated into the ClearChannel or

>Infinity collective. I remember the glory days of
>top 40 "Boss" radio in the 60's and 70's. It was
>a far better product then.

Wolfman Jack,,,,the King Biscuit Flower Hour,,,,,nothing compares today.

> But the people who lobby and complain

>about pirate broadcast radio have much more

>clout

>and influence than hobby radio users.

Again, the FCC caters to the clout, not the interests of the hammies.
I have a link
to actions against pirate radio in the US by the FCC. Total convictions
were something like one or two in the past year or so,,,possibly three,
can't remember. This is out of hundreds and hundreds of complaints among
the thousands and thousands of pirate radio stations. With the new
charade by the morals and decency King Censorship at the FCC, expect
enforcement to become more stringent, but only against the biggest
offenders...your corporate stations. In fact, my prediction is cb and
hammie enforcement will become even more rare, as it simply is not the
priority some of the angry hammie funks wish it to be.

>Well, the squeaky wheel does seem to get the
>grease.

Only regarding hammie complaints,,not about FM pirate complaints.
Usually only when commercial interests become involved does any squeak
resonate.

>Corporate clout makes for a mighty loud

>squeak.

No doubt.

–
 Florida is estimated to have more pirate radio stations than any
other state.

>That's interesting if true. I wonder why?

Maybe because commercial radio here sucks and we have such a melting pot
of people from big northeast cities and such....resulting in more
choices of eclectic tastes in music. A mega-smorgasboard of a clamoring
for great music with nowhere to turn, and voila!

>That's as good of a theory as any.

The unlicensed transmitter law you
refer doesn't apply across the board, but rather targets unlicensed
broadcasters who take revenue/listeners from corporate radio and who
interfere with other station's broadcasts. A few complaints from John
Doe to the FCC complaining unlicensed pirate radio may get filed

somewhere, but not acted upon until a big gun is activated.

>True, but for now, it's only a matter of

>perception that makes it that way.

I'd say it's pretty much a reality. It's been that way for many years and business-as-usual is the thing going on at the FCC......they are more concerned with protecting you from yourself so you're not offended by something you may hear or see broadcast.

_
>That's true in many cases of CB interference

>as well.

Yes, but how many commercial interests these days have complaints regarding cb? It's
miniscule, if at all existent.

>Which explains why attention to unauthorized

>freeband operation is not a priority now.

Never as been.

>That could change depending on several

>factors, not the least of which is an attitude of

>crackdown in the administration of the FCC,

Not likely or probable.

>or a potential allocation of frequencies for

>commercial ventures (BPL?)

That is the only matter in the near future that could possibly alter the business-as-usual at the agency regaridng this issue, and even that is not likely. Here's why. The cycle is waning, and the dx won't be there to be had like it has been. Without the plug-n-play availability, many ops will disappear. The hard core ops will remain and work for their contacts. With only a smattering of those left to jockey for dx, it is unlikely it will even be noticed. Now, let's play devil's advocate for a moment and assume the worst. Interference is reeking havoc to BPL and vice-versa. Who is going to be targeted? NOT the casual dxer on the freeband. It will be the mighty-mights, the rf warriors (hey, that would make a pretty good handle for some) the big guns wit' da' 10 ohm smoke and choke!

_
Oh yea. Bob moved over the weekend. He's no longer in the garage apartment. You just can't trust the media these days...<g>....look at Bush, he's pulling a Dan Rather by manufacturing news and those who cried about CBS are hypocritically silent about it.

>Maybe because it isn't true.

You mean the republican congress' accountability office lied when they directed the WH to cease these behaviors you are suggesting aren't true?

>I don't know all the factual details.

Read up on this issue. I want your opinion.

>But knowing the historical zeal at which the

>left wing likes to spin facts, I'm not ready to

>accept this at face value

That's why I'm asking you to read up on it.
Or is the republican led congressional accountability staff merely relying on what seems to be repeat failed intel on everything they investigate?

>The loony left invents all sorts of stories to

>blame Bush for. This may well be one of them.
  Congress is republican led. Blaming those who aren't even in power is not realisitic.

>So, you posit that Bush's own party "sold him

>out"?

I don't believe other republicans telling Bush he can not commit wrongs as he pleases is akin to having "sold him out". Unconditional support of the president is the most unpatriotic and Anti-American act one can do. Teddy Roosevelt, considered by historians to be the greatest GOP'er of all time said "To stand behind the president right or wrong, is not only servile, but moral treason against the American people". It rings just as true today.

>Why does that not ring true?

Perhaps because you find it difficult to grasp that other republicans do find fault with Bush when he is wrong on certain issues.
The current batch of elected republicans issued this directive, not any left-wing organization. There are many that share your view, however. I talk to many republicans who still insist there were wmds,

>Because we failed to find them so far, does

>not mean that they were never there.

It does. The administration has admitted as much with the acknowledgement that such massive caches of wmds (remember, they were said to be north, east, south, and west of Baghdad, and Rummy said he knew exactly where they were) could NOT have been moved without being detected by satelites. One does not merely toss a few wmds into a truck or two and drive merrily down the road to Syria. The activity to undertake such missions could not have gone un-noticed, but let's again

play devil's advocate and go out on that last limb that some of you die-hards are clinging to regarding this issue. One of the president's last statements regarding the invasion of Iraq and the march to Baghdad was to stop the proliferation and SPREAD of wmds. The United States operates on the opposite of what you believe..that absence of proof is proof of absence of guilt. So, with no proof of wmds, the administration admitted as much. Now, to focus on your theory (based on absence of proof)
there WERE wmds (based on absence of proof) in Iraq and they were moved to Syria. this makes Bush a failure in stopping their SPREAD, the very reason (at least, this last time) he invoked for invading Iraq.

>They could very well be in Syria.

The WH NEVER even mentioned this possibility, because they found the intel to be flawed regarding the existence of wmds.
Bush personally appointed a committee to find WHY the intel was failed that led them to falsely claim they not only knew their were wmds, but that they knew exactly where they all were.

>At least you softened your opinion from "Bush

>lied about WMD" to one of a false claim based
>on faulty intel. That scenario is more likely

>should it be found that WMD have not been

>moved to Syria.

Bottom line is Bush probably did lie about it, and blamed it on faulty intel. From the 911 report, we KNOW Rumsfeld demanded a target in Iraq, even when he was informed Iraq was not responsible for 911. THAT intel was not flawed. It was perfect. Flawless. He merely disregarded it as it was not want he wanted to hear. Then came "Operation Liberate Iraq"..which we (the US citizens) did not buy. So he changed the theme to one of imminent danger by Iraq and their wmds. Both turned out to be bullshit. Pure fabrication (failed intel...wink wink). But we bought it. Based on all the Bush other lies (the whoring of Jessica Lynch to the media with incredible bullshit lies to whip the country into a false patriotic fervor in order to garner support for his manufactured Iraq war, will NEVER be forgotten by vets). Nor will his slashing of the military benefits (hazard pay, extended medical bennies) and his sending to war troops not properly equipped (humvees with no armor) and no proper body armor, because the money to pay for this life-saving gear went to pay for his tax cuts to the wealthiest two percent. Somewhere along the line, he made a conscious decision that his tax cuts were worth more than the lives he expended that could have been saved by proper armor.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

940. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 00:59:35 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11190-4244B3F7-129@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197964

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 12:27:03 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>Which begs the philosophical question: Is a

>person's first amendment right to free speech

>a higher priority to the government's intent to

>regulate commodities like the airwaves?

Philosophical debate originating with questions such as you pose have
never entered the realm of the FCC. The feds have no interest in your
rights. This isn't about free speech or philosophical debate. This is
about money, period.

>How do you know that for sure? That sounds

>somewhat cynical.

Which part? That it's about money? Why else do you think the spectrum is
shrinking?

>That's a different issue.

Actually, it ties in perfectly. If it wasn't for the FCC catering to the
big money interests, the spectrum wouldn't be disappearing for hammies
and they would need no collective organization to represent their
interests in Washington.

>On that issue, I agree that money is the major

>moving force.

>But the philosophical issue about freedom of

>speech (and by extension the public's "right" to
>the airwaves) versus the government's "right"

>to regulate for the greater public good, must

>enter into the equation at some point.

I 100% agree. I vehemently take issue with where the current point
begins and ends.

>It's the basis for the communications law, and

>the foundation for the existence of the FCC

>itself.

It's not the basis and foundation I have a problem with....it's the smoke and mirrors they (the FCC) use as a shield to defend their bullshit, and everything after the bricks and mortar.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

941. i found these old radios at an yard sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 01:37:03 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12103-4244BCBF-76@storefull-3273.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197968

i paid 50 $ for to old johnson 250 base radio plus a old cobra 135 xlr
all for one. the johnson base works great but the cobra is off freq.but
no big deal

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc
click here
http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/haveagreatday

http://community.webtv.net/jcooper874/doc0

942. Re: (OT) More Bush Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 01:48:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050325204811.968$vV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197966

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> Such as those who constantly complain about illegal cb operation as
> relates to low power amps and/or dx.
>
F'em. Just keep your nose clean like you would on 80. No worries unless
they are deserved. Carry on.

943. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 01:50:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050325205003.848$1p@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197967

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Landshark wrote:
> > Jets are going down, like they
> > were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
> ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
> last year :p
>
Youinz' all suck. The mighty Browns will run ruff-shot over ya'll!!

944. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 01:52:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050325205250.635$A2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197969

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
> > *#$@&%
> >
> > Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon.
> > Cheapest I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16
> > everywhere else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel by
> > mid-summer. Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock here
> > right now. My weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six hours
> > sleep through Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin' is good!
> > Spring breakers and Canadians are everywhere.
> >
> 2.19 give or take on long island
>
That to 2.25 or more for plain regular up here in the N Buckeye. ugggh

945. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 01:54:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050325205454.231$DI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197970

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

New sig? :D

>> ----=3D=3D Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News=3D=3D---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----=3D

946. Re: Ping Jim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 02:49:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050325214922.545$Q6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197971

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > I think face for that is $90. They go for 3 times that at times
> > from scalpers. There's a waiting list for them from the track
> > that is years long.
>
>
> tv it is then...
>
>
You give up too easy.

947. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 03:13:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RpOdnTnRc6WnTtnfRVn-vQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 197972

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> *#$@&%
>
> Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon.
> Cheapest I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16
> everywhere else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel
> by mid-summer. Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock
> here right now. My weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six
> hours sleep through Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin'
> is good! Spring breakers and Canadians are everywhere.

Getting real close to 3 bucks for premium out here in Cali. :-(

Jeff

948. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 03:16:18 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WIqdnYTcQe-cSdnfRVn-hw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197973

Caveat Lector wrote:
> Someone wrote:
>>> Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon.
>>> Cheapest I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is
>>> 2.16 everywhere else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a
>>> barrel by mid-summer. Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its
>>> gridlock here right now. My weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to
>>> get six hours sleep through Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the
>>> gittin' is good! Spring breakers and Canadians are everywhere.
>
> Why get 100 reponses here when you can go to gas buddy (prices)
> nation wide at URL:
>
> http://www.gasbuddy.com/

Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.

Jeff

949. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 03:40:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050325224025.469$vW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197974

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.
>
> Jeff
>
I remember that tune, Ventura highway. (i'm old)

950. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 04:15:46 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <KOudnRE1KMRpfNnfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 197975

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:ko8741tbndvcbnm8eacipdiqvhju3lbgn2@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 00:05:20 -0500, "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> >news:8dm64153o8cmes3o17ujmncnr7ejj16588@4ax.com...
> >> If the FCC only permits voice communication on CB, doesn't that
> >> prevent deaf people from using the band?
> >
> >Yup.
> >
> >> I think TTY, as well as CW,
> >> should be permitted for that reason alone.
> >
> >Interesting but I doubt it's all that practical for hearing impaired
users.
> >
> >For TTY you need a clear channel, i.e. one transmitter at a time.
>
>
> Good point. There are quite a few clear channels in my area at any one
> time, and while I know that's not the case everywhere, I'm sure it's
> true in a lot of places. There is also the squelch knob, and not every
> CBer is out to DX. Maybe a channel could be unofficially designated
> for use by hearing impaired CBers? Or authorization for the use of
> between-channel spaces? Maybe CW would be permitted if sub-audible
> and/or below 300 Hz like those old tone-loc systems?
>
>
> > So how
> >often do you think that's going to happen and are CBer's displined enough
> >not to try and jam the TTY station?
>
>
> Another good point. I'm not sure how easy it is to jam TTY, but I do
> know that those tone-decoder devices are pretty noise-resistant. That
> sounds like it would make a good experiment.
>
>
> > For CW the same thing however you would need some expensive filters to
get
> >more use out of a 10 KHz wide channel using a mode that needs anywhere

from
> >300 to 500 Hz at most. The transmitting station needs a way to move it's
> >signal around on the channel etc. so more that one can use the extra
> >bandwidth. Using different tones for each station would work, but then
you
> >still need the narrowband filters at each end to selectively filter the
tone
> >for the one station you want to hear.
>
>
> I was thinking about that, which is what prompted this idea. All it
> would take to transmit is to attach an audio oscillator in SSB.
> Receiving might be a bit more difficult, but using a fixed-frequency
> audio filter and the clarifier knob you can pick up just about any CW
> signal in the channel bandwidth.

That was an idea I didn't consider, but sounds like it would work.

> For a filter, you can run both sides
> of a stereo equalizer in series, and it can even tune different audio
> freqs.

The stereo equalizer idea wouldn't work, bandwidth isn't narrow enough.
However a cheap DSP based single frequency audio band filter would do the
job. As cheap as these things, DSP chips, have become it shouldn't be a big
deal.

>After that, all you would need is a tone detector with a light
> bulb. So the most expensive piece of equipment is already made and is
> pretty common, while the other two pieces could be built from a kit by
> just about anyone with a soldering iron.

The detector, indicator, device could be incorporated in to the DSP filter
assembly.

>
> I'm sure there are other ways it could be done. But I think the
> hardest part is hooking everything together.
>
>
> >>If it became a petition,
> >> would it pass?
> >
> >I don't think so from an operational stand point. Too many problems and
> >besides they would likely use "texting" on their new cell phone anyway.
It
> >does what you proposed already.
>
>
> Well, voice cell phones haven't eliminated CB yet. And maybe the
> problems could be addressed before the fact.....
>

> There is another benefit I see from this: it might encourage some of
> the more enthusiastic CBers to get a license instead of freebanding or
> operating illegally. It may also encourage more QRM on the channels,
> but I'd like to think positive.

It is something to think about anyway.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

951. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 04:35:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050325233524.114$Fa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197976

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> The detector, indicator, device could be incorporated in to the DSP
> filter assembly.
>
I've seen your coax run to your rented roof-top cell phone antenna,
aol-boi.

http://img205.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img205&image=scan00036bx.jpg

Nice job, Lee C.

952. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 05:15:08 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wd61e.1734$FN4.1568@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197977

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:TbY0e.595$7W.582@fe11.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:UIT0e.555$Hl5.493@fe11.lga...
>> Jets are going down, like they
>> were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation ought
> to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league last year
> :p

LOL!!
The last time I was at a Raider Game, Madden was coaching and Daryl
LaMonica was throwing the ball.

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

953. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 05:15:08 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wd61e.1732$FN4.1388@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197978

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050325205003.848$1p@newsreader.com...
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> Landshark wrote:
>> > Jets are going down, like they
>> > were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
>> >
>> > Landshark
>> >
>> >
>> funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
>> ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
>> last year :p
>>
> Youinz' all suck. The mighty Browns will run ruff-shot over ya'll!!

Nah, it will finally be the Colts time.

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

954. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 05:15:08 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wd61e.1733$FN4.1024@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197979

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050325224025.469$vW@newsreader.com...
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> I remember that tune, Ventura highway. (i'm old)

In the pouring rain (America). You're not that
old, Jim's a lot older than us ;)

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

955. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 05:21:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050326002146.781$Hn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197980

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050325205003.848$1p@newsreader.com...
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> Landshark wrote:
> >> > Jets are going down, like they
> >> > were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
> >> >
> >> > Landshark
> >> >
> >> >
> >> funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
> >> ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
> >> last year :p
> >>
> > Youinz' all suck. The mighty Browns will run ruff-shot over ya'll!!
>
> Nah, it will finally be the Colts time.
>
> Landshark
>
Never happen, Shark. Will Garcia end up back with SF after the
Brownies cut him?
```

956. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 05:23:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050326002348.903$QH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197981

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:TbY0e.595$7W.582@fe11.lga...
> > Landshark wrote:
> >> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >> news:UIT0e.555$Hl5.493@fe11.lga...
> >> Jets are going down, like they
> >> were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> >>
> > funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
> > ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
> > last year
> > :p
>
> LOL!!
> The last time I was at a Raider Game, Madden was coaching and Daryl
> LaMonica was throwing the ball.
>
> Landshark
>
Oh man you ARE old! Who was their go to wideout? (white guy)

957. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 05:25:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050326002517.598$S6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197982

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050325224025.469$vW@newsreader.com...
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.
> >>
> >> Jeff
> >>
> > I remember that tune, Ventura highway. (i'm old)
>
> In the pouring rain (America). You're not that
> old, Jim's a lot older than us ;)
>
> Landshark
>
hehe. great music at any rate. (i still listen to bread)

958. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 06:18:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050326011803.506$lk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197983

KB3BEJ <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> And you still talk on a CB imagine that. what a putz.
>
Check the title of this NG.

plonkity. (buh-bye loser)

959. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 06:29:17 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111818557.663351.270150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197984

Steveo wrote:
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > The detector, indicator, device could be incorporated in to the DSP
> > filter assembly.
> >
> I've seen your coax run to your rented roof-top cell phone antenna,
> aol-boi.
>
> http://img205.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img205&image=scan00036bx.jpg
>
> Nice job, Lee C.

Shut up stalker-boi.

960. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 06:32:43 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8smdneXtqtmXn9jfRVn-3Q@giganews.com>
Source record: 197985

Steveo wrote:
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050325224025.469$vW@newsreader.com...
>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.
>>>>
>>>> Jeff
>>>>
>>> I remember that tune, Ventura highway. (i'm old)
>>
>> In the pouring rain (America). You're not that
>> old, Jim's a lot older than us ;)
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> hehe. great music at any rate. (i still listen to bread)

"Baby I'ma Want Sammich", or, "If a Picture Paints a 1000 Slices then Why Can't I Loaf You"?

Sorry, couldn't help it. ;-)

Jeff

961. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 06:33:27 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lqadnWAm5Jyqn9jfRVn-rg@giganews.com>
Source record: 197986

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050326002517.598$S6
> @newsreader.com:
>
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050325224025.469$vW@newsreader.com...
>>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>> Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.
>>>>>
>>>>> Jeff
>>>>>
>>>> I remember that tune, Ventura highway. (i'm old)
>>>
>>> In the pouring rain (America). You're not that
>>> old, Jim's a lot older than us ;)
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>> hehe. great music at any rate. (i still listen to bread)
>
> And you still talk on a CB imagine that. what a putz.

I think your problem is a yeast infection. Better get that looked at.

Jeff

962. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 06:33:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050326013358.171$0N@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197987

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > The detector, indicator, device could be incorporated in to the DSP
> > > filter assembly.
> > >
> > I've seen your coax run to your rented roof-top cell phone antenna,
> > aol-boi.
> >
> > http://img205.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img205&image=scan00036bx.jpg
> >
> > Nice job, Lee C.
>
> Shut up stalker-boi.
>
Welcome back, Mr Kotter.

172.158

963. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 06:35:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050326013552.780$ZO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197988

```
"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050325224025.469$vW@newsreader.com...
> >>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>> Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.
> >>>>
> >>>> Jeff
> >>>>
> >>> I remember that tune, Ventura highway. (i'm old)
> >>
> >> In the pouring rain (America). You're not that
> >> old, Jim's a lot older than us ;)
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > hehe. great music at any rate. (i still listen to bread)
>
> "Baby I'ma Want Sammich", or, "If a Picture Paints a 1000 Slices then Why
> Can't I Loaf You"?
>
> Sorry, couldn't help it. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
You sound like my eight-tracks. :)
```

964. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 07:17:02 UTC
From: "Joe R.Morris, Jr." <Joebaby@midsouth.rr.com>
Message-ID: <O%71e.15599$rL3.13974@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 197989

So far around here the cheapest regular gas I could find was at Wal Mart Neighborhood Market (Murphy's USA) for $1.959 per gallon.....and if you want to check out what prices are around my area check this out......for the Memphis, TN area....but they have sites for other areas too....

http://www.memphisgasprices.com/

Actually Gas Buddy owns that website....my bad....LOL

Joebaby
High Tech Redneck
Rednecks, Inc. of Northwest Mississippi ©2005

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:15135-424448DF-147@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net...
> *#$@&%
>
> Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon. Cheapest
> I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16 everywhere
> else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel by mid-summer.
> Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock here right now. My
> weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six hours sleep through
> Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin' is good! Spring breakers
> and Canadians are everywhere.
>

965. Ringo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 07:28:40 UTC
From: Ringo <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <2b81e.57011$NC6.34181@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 197990

Anyone still using Ringo Antennas for "CB"? Are they even being made or sold for CB? Just curious.

Ringo fan

966. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 08:01:22 UTC
From: jonz <overhere@overthere.com>
Message-ID: <4245173d$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 197992

"Joe R.Morris, Jr." <Joebaby@midsouth.rr.com> wrote in message
news:O%71e.15599$rL3.13974@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
> So far around here the cheapest regular gas I could find was at Wal Mart
> Neighborhood Market (Murphy's USA) for $1.959 per gallon.....and if you
want
> to check out what prices are around my area check this out......for the
> Memphis, TN area....but they have sites for other areas too....
>
> http://www.memphisgasprices.com/
>
> Actually Gas Buddy owns that website....my bad....LOL
>
> Joebaby
> High Tech Redneck
> Rednecks, Inc. of Northwest Mississippi ©2005
>
> "I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:15135-424448DF-147@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net...
> > *#$@&%
> >
> > Woke up this morning and gas climbed another nickel per gallon. Cheapest
> > I could find was 2.09 at a discount station, average is 2.16 everywhere
> > else. I read where some are predicting 80 bucks a barrel by mid-summer.
> > Yet, the tourists are elbow-to-elbow and its gridlock here right now. My
> > weekend is jammed and I'll be lucky to get six hours sleep through
> > Monday. Oh well, gotta get it while the gittin' is good! Spring breakers
0> > and Canadians are everywhere.
> >

hi guys, jeez you blokes have got it good!! over here (south coast, nsw,
aust) we are paying $1.20 per litre which is $5.40 a gallon...thats an
imperial gal. which is alittle larger than u.s. equivilent..but yep its only
going to get worse.. cheers jonz
>
>

967. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 08:01:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <034a419d04opppho1d5e10a9vr5hu7ckep@4ax.com>
Source record: 197991

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 23:15:46 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <KOudnRE1KMRpfNnfRVn-sA@comcast.com>:

<snip>
>> For a filter, you can run both sides
>> of a stereo equalizer in series, and it can even tune different audio
>> freqs.
>
>The stereo equalizer idea wouldn't work, bandwidth isn't narrow enough.
>However a cheap DSP based single frequency audio band filter would do the
>job. As cheap as these things, DSP chips, have become it shouldn't be a big
>deal.

Just for kicks I tried an old Rat Shack 10-channel (left and right
channels in series) while tuned to a CW pileup on 80m. Worked OK, you
could differentiate one tone from another, but it passed a lot of
noise. I also tried a 31-channel Sunn but the thing broke out into
oscillation...:-0 Maybe stereo equalizers aren't such a good idea.

>>After that, all you would need is a tone detector with a light
>> bulb. So the most expensive piece of equipment is already made and is
>> pretty common, while the other two pieces could be built from a kit by
>> just about anyone with a soldering iron.
>
>The detector, indicator, device could be incorporated in to the DSP filter
>assembly.

Come to think about it, how about just a 567 or 4046? Either chip
would probably do it as long as the radio doesn't drift too much. And
if I'm not mistaken, both have a VFO on the chip. So a CW 'adapter'
could be a single-chip project -- no DSP required.

But I see a big problem -- there will no doubt be some numbskull who
would turn up the oscillator all the way and key up in AM on a radio
with a disabled limiter. Gawd, think of the splatter something like
that could cause.....

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

968. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 13:54:13 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1Qd1e.695$un7.482@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197993

Landshark wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050325224025.469$vW@newsreader.com...
>
>>"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.
>>>
>>>Jeff
>>>
>>
>>I remember that tune, Ventura highway. (i'm old)
>
>
> In the pouring rain (America). You're not that
> old, Jim's a lot older than us ;)
>
> Landshark
>
>
seasoned not old...

969. Re: Ringo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 13:58:18 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <STd1e.697$yR7.467@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197994

Ringo wrote:

> Anyone still using Ringo Antennas for "CB"? Are they even being made or sold
> for CB? Just curious.
>
> Ringo fan
>
>
i recently used one on 11 meters that has been in service since 1970.
Cushcraft sells a 10 meter version model AR-2 through A.E.S.

970. Re: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK>W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 15:00:01 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <SNe1e.707$9D.590@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197995
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Hey fatboy, I'm tired of your cross-posted spam. I will now report every
one
of your abusive spam posts to ebay. Say buh-bye to your account, tubby."

So what you are saying, you retarded nut that has nothing better to do is
that ONLY MY POSTS ARE SPAM?
But the others are not?

"Scan da bands" <RK22@msn.com> wrote in message
news:42403a5d$0$19489$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Hey fatboy, I'm tired of your cross-posted spam. I will now report every
> one of your abusive spam posts to ebay. Say buh-bye to your account,
> tubby.
>>
> "RICH-WA2RQY" <wa2rqy@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:eYW%d.4232$Ed4.119@fe10.lga...
>> No Bids @ $10
>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524102&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>>
>
>

971. Re: (OT) What are gas prices in your town?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 15:07:21 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <JUe1e.15651$C47.4136@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197996

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:1Qd1e.695$un7.482@fe11.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050325224025.469$vW@newsreader.com...
>>
>>>"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Where in So Cal are you? I'm in Ventura.
>>>>
>>>>Jeff
>>>>
>>>
>>>I remember that tune, Ventura highway. (i'm old)
>>
>>
>> In the pouring rain (America). You're not that
>> old, Jim's a lot older than us ;)
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> seasoned not old...

LOL!!!!

Like good firewood?

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

972. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 15:07:21 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <JUe1e.15652$C47.14446@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197997

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050326002348.903$QH@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:TbY0e.595$7W.582@fe11.lga...
>> > Landshark wrote:
>> >> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:UIT0e.555$Hl5.493@fe11.lga...
>> >> Jets are going down, like they
>> >> were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> >>
>> > funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
>> > ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
>> > last year
>> > :p
>>
>> LOL!!
>> The last time I was at a Raider Game, Madden was coaching and Daryl
>> LaMonica was throwing the ball.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Oh man you ARE old! Who was their go to wideout? (white guy)

I wanna say Dave Casper? No I think he was their
tight end. Dog gone it, I can't remember his name, His
daughter was murdered a couple of years ago. Branch!
Can't remember his first name though.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

973. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 15:07:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <KUe1e.15653$C47.6359@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197998

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050326002146.781$Hn@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050325205003.848$1p@newsreader.com...
>> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >> Landshark wrote:
>> >> > Jets are going down, like they
>> >> > were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
>> >> >
>> >> > Landshark
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
>> >> ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
>> >> last year :p
>> >>
>> > Youinz' all suck. The mighty Browns will run ruff-shot over ya'll!!
>>
>> Nah, it will finally be the Colts time.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Never happen, Shark. Will Garcia end up back with SF after the
> Brownies cut him?

Detroit, he'll be reunited with Marrucci (not sure of spelling).

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

974. FA: Too much to list>Cameras, WWII Electronics, Knightkit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 15:15:15 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <50f1e.711$3Q.52@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197999
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

My Whole list, All Nice stuff no Junk-OLA:-)
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

975. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 15:17:08 UTC
From: Chrissy Busch <KB3BEJ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <irua4191l77mn0akdq05jpr4mcih5lfggb@4ax.com>
Source record: 198000

testicles

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

976. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 16:21:42 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <feudnXumSZCEEdjfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 198001

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050325233524.114$Fa@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > The detector, indicator, device could be incorporated in to the DSP
> > filter assembly.
> >
> I've seen your coax run to your rented roof-top cell phone antenna,
> aol-boi.

18,000 + look ups on QRZ and still counting, and I don't even do HF. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

977. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 16:23:48 UTC
From: Viper GTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <CWf1e.58369$6g7.48191@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 198002

Steveo wrote:
> Youinz' all suck. The mighty Browns will run ruff-shot over ya'll!!

Brownies didn't buy enough New England Patriots to do any such thing. Lord knows they tried to buy the whole fucking team; but came up about 50 players and 10 coaches short.

978. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 16:40:53 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <2qWdnXkuoIsKDdjfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 198003

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:034a419d04opppho1d5e10a9vr5hu7ckep@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 23:15:46 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
> <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <KOudnRE1KMRpfNnfRVn-sA@comcast.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> For a filter, you can run both sides
> >> of a stereo equalizer in series, and it can even tune different audio
> >> freqs.
> >
> >The stereo equalizer idea wouldn't work, bandwidth isn't narrow enough.
> >However a cheap DSP based single frequency audio band filter would do the
> >job. As cheap as these things, DSP chips, have become it shouldn't be a
big
> >deal.
>
>
> Just for kicks I tried an old Rat Shack 10-channel (left and right
> channels in series) while tuned to a CW pileup on 80m. Worked OK, you
> could differentiate one tone from another, but it passed a lot of
> noise. I also tried a 31-channel Sunn but the thing broke out into
> oscillation...:-0 Maybe stereo equalizers aren't such a good idea.
>
>
> >>After that, all you would need is a tone detector with a light
> >> bulb. So the most expensive piece of equipment is already made and is
> >> pretty common, while the other two pieces could be built from a kit by
> >> just about anyone with a soldering iron.
> >
> >The detector, indicator, device could be incorporated in to the DSP
filter
> >assembly.
>
>
> Come to think about it, how about just a 567 or 4046? Either chip
> would probably do it as long as the radio doesn't drift too much. And
> if I'm not mistaken, both have a VFO on the chip. So a CW 'adapter'
> could be a single-chip project -- no DSP required.

Those two chips are just simple PLL building blocks. There isn't a way to do
any tone filtering as such unless you make the VFO frequency range vary
narrow which would have the same effect. The 4046 has a range and frequency
offset capability using just two resistors and a capacitor. You would want
to use the phase-frequency detector, not the simple XOR phase detector which

could lock on harmonics of the audio tone. That would be the chip to use. The lock detect circuit could then be used as the visual signal device for CW. Something this simple you could breadboard in an evening to see how it works.

Here's some interesting links you may like to look over.

http://web.telia.com/~u85920178/conv/syn-info.htm#intro
http://web.telia.com/~u85920178/right_01.htm

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

979. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 17:10:07 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <TOOdnfy9ktfsCtjfRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 198004

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10500-4242F40A-184@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
> >And I don't even do HF. 8-))
>
>
>
> You damned sure used to.

When I mucked around with CB briefly in the 70's.

>Why did you quit?

Too many idiots, jammers, channel control freaks, amp users who think they need several hundred watts to talk to another idiot a couple of miles down the street, kids learning how to expand their 4 letter word vocabulary on the air etc.

> You find six meter that much
> more entertaining?

Better class of people. Anyway I'm more interested in microwave stuff. Spent a couple of hundred bucks at the Ohio Maumee swap the other weekend getting a Narda SMA directional coupler (model 4203-10 2-18GHz), a Omni Spectra directional coupler (p/n 2020-6605-10 1.0-2.0GHz), K&L SMA transfer relays (MS-28-SMA-TTL), a WJ SMA mixer (M1J), and a used M/A-Com 8.134 GHz brick oscillator (p/n PLX12-01). I'm going to try sooner or later to retune the brick oscillator to use on the Ham 10 GHz band. I already have an FT-817 multimode multiband QRP rig to use as the IF radio. The directional couplers are for use with the HP-432A microwave power meter I have for SWR measurements. The last thing I'm looking for is a cheap slotted line, coving the frequency range I'm interested in, which I can use to make impedance measurements. That's what was used before the rise of the $50K network analyzers. A spectrum analyzer would be nice too, but that can wait.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

980. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 17:43:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050326124315.420$SY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198005

"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Youinz' all suck. The mighty Browns will run ruff-shot over ya'll!!
>
> Brownies didn't buy enough New England Patriots to do any such thing.
> Lord knows they tried to buy the whole fucking team; but came up about
> 50 players and 10 coaches short.
>
You have that backwards. Bill Belichick and Romeo Crennel were with the
Browns before they went to new England. Crennel just came back.

981. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 17:47:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050326124706.387$sU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198006

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050326002348.903$QH@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >> news:TbY0e.595$7W.582@fe11.lga...
> >> > Landshark wrote:
> >> >> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:UIT0e.555$Hl5.493@fe11.lga...
> >> >> Jets are going down, like they
> >> >> were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
> >> >>
> >> >> Landshark
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> > funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
> >> > ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
> >> > last year
> >> > :p
> >>
> >> LOL!!
> >> The last time I was at a Raider Game, Madden was coaching and
> >> Daryl LaMonica was throwing the ball.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Oh man you ARE old! Who was their go to wideout? (white guy)
>
> I wanna say Dave Casper? No I think he was their
> tight end. Dog gone it, I can't remember his name, His
> daughter was murdered a couple of years ago. Branch!
> Can't remember his first name though.
>
> Landshark
>
Fred Biletnikoff with his hair hangout the back of his helmet. :)

982. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 18:36:34 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <SYh1e.25400$hU7.8720@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198007

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>
>>jets this year better then last?
>
>
>
> Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham. I can't
> stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss trade. I was
> thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction. I think the
> defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since the
> mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a good graft,
> we should at least match last year.
>
> Vinnie S.

Vin:

You get your callsign yet? Interested. Like to work ya when I get thru
there. I usually keep the IC-V8000 tuned to 146.52 if I don't know a
repeater in the area. I'm also sometimes on 446.000 in the truck (70cm
FM call freq).

Let me know.

-SSB

983. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 18:44:34 UTC
From: Viper GTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <Y0i1e.60740$c72.53001@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 198008

Steveo wrote:
> "Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Youinz' all suck. The mighty Browns will run ruff-shot over ya'll!!
>>
>> Brownies didn't buy enough New England Patriots to do any such thing.
>> Lord knows they tried to buy the whole fucking team; but came up
>> about 50 players and 10 coaches short.
>>
> You have that backwards. Bill Belichick and Romeo Crennel were with
> the Browns before they went to new England. Crennel just came back.

Good point. I try not to think too much about BB as a Brown. His record there was somewhat less than spectacular.

984. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 19:18:50 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111864730.912051.200550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198009

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> >And I don't even do HF. 8-))
>
>
>
> You damned sure used to. Why did you quit? You find six meter that
much
> more entertaining?

What do you care what he does, did, didn't do, or says he did,
twistyhead? You have a nose problem.

985. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 19:30:33 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <tLi1e.2929$zl.1501@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198010

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050326124706.387$sU@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050326002348.903$QH@newsreader.com...
>> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:TbY0e.595$7W.582@fe11.lga...
>> >> > Landshark wrote:
>> >> >> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:UIT0e.555$Hl5.493@fe11.lga...
>> >> >> Jets are going down, like they
>> >> >> were hit with a heat seeking missile <G>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Landshark
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> > funny hearing about football from a leftcoaster. that raider nation
>> >> > ought to impeach that team because of the way it stunk up the league
>> >> > last year
>> >> > :p
>> >>
>> >> LOL!!
>> >> The last time I was at a Raider Game, Madden was coaching and
>> >> Daryl LaMonica was throwing the ball.
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> > Oh man you ARE old! Who was their go to wideout? (white guy)
>>
>> I wanna say Dave Casper? No I think he was their
>> tight end. Dog gone it, I can't remember his name, His
>> daughter was murdered a couple of years ago. Branch!
>> Can't remember his first name though.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Fred Biletnikoff with his hair hangout the back of his helmet. :)

That's the dude.

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up

and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

986. FA: Base CB Radio Cobra 142GTL No reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 22:16:59 UTC
From: TJ <tj@fcox.com>
Message-ID: <xbl1e.134782$%U2.9545@lakeread01>
Source record: 198011
Cross-posted to: alt.auctions.online,alt.marketplace.online.ebay,rec.radio.cb,tx.forsale

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5762564500

987. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-26 22:50:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3bnb41l4h2sh7iojte61alpsrpvicqdh3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 198012

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 11:40:53 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <2qWdnXkuoIsKDdjfRVn-3g@comcast.com>:

<snip>
>> Come to think about it, how about just a 567 or 4046? Either chip
>> would probably do it as long as the radio doesn't drift too much. And
>> if I'm not mistaken, both have a VFO on the chip. So a CW 'adapter'
>> could be a single-chip project -- no DSP required.
>
>Those two chips are just simple PLL building blocks. There isn't a way to do
>any tone filtering as such unless you make the VFO frequency range vary
>narrow which would have the same effect.

That's the idea.

> The 4046 has a range and frequency
>offset capability using just two resistors and a capacitor. You would want
>to use the phase-frequency detector, not the simple XOR phase detector which
>could lock on harmonics of the audio tone. That would be the chip to use.
>The lock detect circuit could then be used as the visual signal device for
>CW. Something this simple you could breadboard in an evening to see how it
>works.

Actually, it only took about 15 minutes, but it doesn't work. Capture
and release times are too erratic within the bandwidth, and any other
CW signals within about half an octave make the thing go spastic. It
-might- work if the loop filter Q could swing with the VCO freq, but
that ain't gonna happen with just a couple chips.

Setting a narrow capture bandwidth, and using the clarifier (or BFO)
for tuning, works pretty well. I also tried a state-variable with a
schmitt trigger. Discrimination was better; but high Q, cumulative
drift and fading made it difficult to get a clear copy.

I should add that it's been quite interesting trying to read Morse
with an LED..... after a few minutes it's almost hypnotic. I tried
different colors, and while a big green LED was the best it was still
irritating after about 10 minutes.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

988. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 00:03:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <lqtb41tsohk4287l55d12terdql6biqd25@4ax.com>
Source record: 198013

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 18:36:34 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 20:35:30 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jets this year better then last?
>>
>>
>>
>> Don't know. Thought they were soft last year. I would trade Abraham. I can't
>> stand him and his 8 game seasons. I didn't like the Coles and Moss trade. I was
>> thrilled when Becht was signed away. He was addition by subtraction. I think the
>> defense looks very promising. I haven't been able to say that since the
>> mid-1980's. Ferguson was overrated, and getting old. I think with a good graft,
>> we should at least match last year.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Vin:
>
>You get your callsign yet? Interested. Like to work ya when I get thru
>there. I usually keep the IC-V8000 tuned to 146.52 if I don't know a
>repeater in the area. I'm also sometimes on 446.000 in the truck (70cm
>FM call freq).

Yes. Got it last week. I will email it to you. I have a handheld 2M/440, but I am not hitting the repeater. Today, I spent the entire day clearing woods with my hedge trimmer, and machete. So now, I have clearing to run my coax. I am going to hang the dipole and Imax at the same time, so I don't have to dig a trench twice. After that, I am looking at an HF rig. Kenwood TS-450. I hope I can get one for about $500. I will get an new handheld later in the year.

>Let me know.
>
>-SSB

Vinnie S.

989. Who made Sonar CB radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 00:20:28 UTC
From: RogerSheep6363636@Yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4245fbb6.1659366@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198014

I was looking at some Sonar CB radios, and I noticed that the metal chasis on Sonar CB radios looked alot like Collins ham radio chasis. Was there any connection between Collins and Sonar?

-Roger Sheep

990. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 00:22:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k5vb4191tn06bfkj6ub8cue1knlihbbnjo@4ax.com>
Source record: 198015

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 23:40:20 GMT, Legal Radio <legalradio@yahoo.com>
wrote in <Xns9625BE036AE3legalradio@207.115.63.158>:

>"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1omdnVQNqtxWINzfRVn-
>vw@giganews.com:
>
>> John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn- tA@giganews.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> 20 years ago? 1985?
>>>>
>>> Ah, yes.. that's what I said.
>>
>> You were posting on this newsgroup, 20 years ago?
>>
>> Jeff
>>
>>
>>
>
>Yes.

ftp.isc.org/pub/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.ham-radio-reorg

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

991. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 00:29:12 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZqCdnfWetqLLY9jfRVn-3w@giganews.com>
Source record: 198016

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 23:40:20 GMT, Legal Radio <legalradio@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <Xns9625BE036AE3legalradio@207.115.63.158>:
>
>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1omdnVQNqtxWINzfRVn- vw@giganews.com:
>>
>>> John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
>>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn- tA@giganews.com:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> 20 years ago? 1985?
>>>>>
>>>> Ah, yes.. that's what I said.
>>>
>>> You were posting on this newsgroup, 20 years ago?
>>>
>>> Jeff
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Yes.
>
>
> ftp.isc.org/pub/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.ham-radio-reorg

Yeah. Like he said, "Twenty years ago". ;-)

Wierd how close that guys name is to my own. lol...

Thanks.

Jeff

>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> Total Privacy via Encryption =----

992. Why is dopiemopey taking up taxidermy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 01:31:27 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111887087.367935.200080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198017

Does anyone know?

993. Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 02:22:09 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2v5c41p25c945q4t7if15pli2kee5orhaf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198018

Anybody have one? I am going to stick it in a tree. There are tuning rings on it. Anyone ever tune one of these? I am going at it alone when I install it. I am going to use a climbing tree stand, and it will be impossible to tune it when it is hung. If I tune it on the ground, will the SWR be drastically affected if it is a couple feet off the ground, as opposed to when it is about 30 feet up?

Vinnie S.

994. The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 02:48:53 UTC
From: Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111891733.710532.299860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198019

http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!

995. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 02:53:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pj6c415m4igrfjldlak06nkmite5vukmkn@4ax.com>
Source record: 198020

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 21:22:09 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <2v5c41p25c945q4t7if15pli2kee5orhaf@4ax.com>:

>Anybody have one? I am going to stick it in a tree. There are tuning rings on
>it. Anyone ever tune one of these? I am going at it alone when I install it. I
>am going to use a climbing tree stand, and it will be impossible to tune it when
>it is hung. If I tune it on the ground, will the SWR be drastically affected if
>it is a couple feet off the ground, as opposed to when it is about 30 feet up?

Can't say specifically for the 2000. For a 1/2-wave vertical dipole,
the input impedance is highest (around 100 ohms) when the feedpoint is
1/4-wave above the ground (as low as it can go without digging a
hole). It is lowest (about 70 ohms) when the feedpoint is 1/2-wave
above ground. As you get higher the input impedance levels off to
slightly above the average impedance of a horizontal dipole (the
latter being about 73 ohms). Turning the antenna horizontally won't
work because it will then behave like a horizontal dipole, the input
impedance dropping towards zero as you get closer to the ground.

IOW, if you tune it on the ground, the SWR -will- change after you get
it up the tree -- and that's disregarding any effect the tree will
have on it's impedance.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

996. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 02:56:44 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <DY-dndw67PaHvNvfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 198021

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:3bnb41l4h2sh7iojte61alpsrpvicqdh3j@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 11:40:53 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
> <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <2qWdnXkuoIsKDdjfRVn-3g@comcast.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> Come to think about it, how about just a 567 or 4046? Either chip
> >> would probably do it as long as the radio doesn't drift too much. And
> >> if I'm not mistaken, both have a VFO on the chip. So a CW 'adapter'
> >> could be a single-chip project -- no DSP required.
> >
> >Those two chips are just simple PLL building blocks. There isn't a way to
do
> >any tone filtering as such unless you make the VFO frequency range vary
> >narrow which would have the same effect.
>
>
> That's the idea.
>
>
> > The 4046 has a range and frequency
> >offset capability using just two resistors and a capacitor. You would
want
> >to use the phase-frequency detector, not the simple XOR phase detector
which
> >could lock on harmonics of the audio tone. That would be the chip to use.
> >The lock detect circuit could then be used as the visual signal device
for
> >CW. Something this simple you could breadboard in an evening to see how
it
> >works.
>
>
> Actually, it only took about 15 minutes, but it doesn't work. Capture
> and release times are too erratic within the bandwidth, and any other
> CW signals within about half an octave make the thing go spastic.

Hummmm...., what did you use for the bandwidth? I would have picked
something like 800Hz at the VCO center frequency and a VCO range of 700 to
900 Hz. One thing the data books don't tell you is slapping a capacitor
across each of the two resistors for frequency span and offset helps a great
deal in settling down the loop. Seems like the VCO is some brands of chips
have stability problems. I've had to use that trick on some of my company's
high power inverter controls to get the switching frequency to lock on to

the load's tuned frequency when using the 4046 as a phase locked loop. Try using something like a 0.001uf or smaller capacitor.

>It
> -might- work if the loop filter Q could swing with the VCO freq, but
> that ain't gonna happen with just a couple chips.

They use those chips for some data transmission applications and they work fine at rates higher that you would expect somebody to be sending CW. I wouldn't give up on using the simple circuit just yet.

>
> Setting a narrow capture bandwidth, and using the clarifier (or BFO)
> for tuning, works pretty well. I also tried a state-variable with a
> schmitt trigger. Discrimination was better; but high Q, cumulative
> drift and fading made it difficult to get a clear copy.

What was drifting, the filter, the frequency of the tone being transmitted, or the receiver's frequency causing the tone's frequency to change? Before giving up on anything it would be prudent to find out what the problem happens to be before saying it doesn't work. The problem may be easy to fix, or it could be the equipment you used for the test isn't representative of what could be used now days.

>
> I should add that it's been quite interesting trying to read Morse
> with an LED..... after a few minutes it's almost hypnotic. I tried
> different colors, and while a big green LED was the best it was still
> irritating after about 10 minutes.

There are hearing impaired Hams doing something like that for years. I guess they just get use to it.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

997. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 03:16:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qe8c41tga8delqgr925on7si4q3tp97tev@4ax.com>
Source record: 198022

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 21:56:44 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <DY-dndw67PaHvNvfRVn-rA@comcast.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:3bnb41l4h2sh7iojte61alpsrpvicqdh3j@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 11:40:53 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
>> <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <2qWdnXkuoIsKDdjfRVn-3g@comcast.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> Come to think about it, how about just a 567 or 4046? Either chip
>> >> would probably do it as long as the radio doesn't drift too much. And
>> >> if I'm not mistaken, both have a VFO on the chip. So a CW 'adapter'
>> >> could be a single-chip project -- no DSP required.
>> >
>> >Those two chips are just simple PLL building blocks. There isn't a way to
>do
>> >any tone filtering as such unless you make the VFO frequency range vary
>> >narrow which would have the same effect.
>>
>>
>> That's the idea.
>>
>>
>> > The 4046 has a range and frequency
>> >offset capability using just two resistors and a capacitor. You would
>want
>> >to use the phase-frequency detector, not the simple XOR phase detector
>which
>> >could lock on harmonics of the audio tone. That would be the chip to use.
>> >The lock detect circuit could then be used as the visual signal device
>for
>> >CW. Something this simple you could breadboard in an evening to see how
>it
>> >works.
>>
>>
>> Actually, it only took about 15 minutes, but it doesn't work. Capture
>> and release times are too erratic within the bandwidth, and any other
>> CW signals within about half an octave make the thing go spastic.
>
>Hummmm...., what did you use for the bandwidth? I would have picked
>something like 800Hz at the VCO center frequency and a VCO range of 700 to
>900 Hz.....

I meant that the circuit didn't work for tuning through the .3-3kHz
bandwidth. Once I narrowed the bandwidth and used the BFO for tuning
it worked fine.

<snip>
>> Setting a narrow capture bandwidth, and using the clarifier (or BFO)
>> for tuning, works pretty well. I also tried a state-variable with a
>> schmitt trigger. Discrimination was better; but high Q, cumulative
>> drift and fading made it difficult to get a clear copy.
>
>What was drifting, the filter, the frequency of the tone being transmitted,
>or the receiver's frequency causing the tone's frequency to change?

Probably a little bit from everything -- I'm chalking it up to a
cumulative drift effect.

> Before
>giving up on anything it would be prudent to find out what the problem
>happens to be before saying it doesn't work. The problem may be easy to fix,
>or it could be the equipment you used for the test isn't representative of
>what could be used now days.

I really don't think it's worth the effort since the PLL can track any
minor drifting that would require retuning of a state-variable filter.

>> I should add that it's been quite interesting trying to read Morse
>> with an LED..... after a few minutes it's almost hypnotic. I tried
>> different colors, and while a big green LED was the best it was still
>> irritating after about 10 minutes.
>
>There are hearing impaired Hams doing something like that for years. I guess
>they just get use to it.

I did a web search and found that some of them use a vibrating pad. I
thought about hooking up a relay or buzzer and see how that works. But
since I'm not deaf, my perceptions are probably different than someone
who would use the device regularly. Maybe a 'universal' output will do
the trick. It could then be hooked up to a vibrator, LED, strobe, fog
machine, stun-gun..... or whatever.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

998. New CB Radio Forum is up and Running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 05:28:25 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <Zvr1e.809954$8l.662504@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 198023

http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

73's

VE5JL

999. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 14:14:05 UTC
From: Peter <bra&#65533;nbuster@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <4246c259.0@entanet>
Source record: 198024

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote...
>
> I came on around then. Went away for a while when the madness
> began. Now I just filter like mad. ;-)

I did that once - filtering by name, subject, etc.
Considering that the posts to this group are probably 95% rubbish, It is
better to set up an "accept" list of words and senders.

With both "accept" and "kill", I get the best of both worlds... Known trash is either
deleted or unavailable (posts with an attachment are automatically included in that
trash), known good posts are made available and the rest is header only so that I
can chose whether to mark it for download.

Figures for this group, as shown by my news reader:
Messages totally excluded: 676.
Headers in cache: 334
Messages downloaded: 69.
Out of a total of 1010 messages, only 69 are actually downloaded.

Regards,

Peter
http://www.citizensband.radiouk.com/

1000. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 17:07:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050327120706.977$Yq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198025

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
>
It's 50-60's here today..strange indeed.

1001. Re: Who made Sonar CB radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 17:25:06 UTC
From: Fordson Dodge <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <S%B1e.2086$FN4.4@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198026

"Roger Sheep" <RogerSheep6363636@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4245fbb6.1659366@127.0.0.1...
>
> I was looking at some Sonar CB radios, and I noticed that the metal
> chasis on Sonar CB radios looked alot like Collins ham radio chasis.
> Was there any connection between Collins and Sonar?
>
> -Roger Sheep

Roger, Sonar CB radios were made by the Sonar Radio Corporation. I was the
former CEO and major stockholder before the company went broke and I
commited suicide under a chesnut tree.

And no, there was never any connection to Collins radio.
>

1002. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 18:28:02 UTC
From: Viper GTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <QRC1e.72917$Q83.54752@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 198027

Lancer wrote:
> First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...

Steve can plow that for you!

1003. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 18:29:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050327132908.817$pT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198028

"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> Lancer wrote:
> > First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
>
> Steve can plow that for you!
>
NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)

1004. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 19:19:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050327141945.951$m7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198029

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 27 Mar 2005 18:29:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> >> Lancer wrote:
> >> > First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
> >>
> >> Steve can plow that for you!
> >>
> >NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)
>
> How much snow did you get this year?
>
Two inches short of the record (101 inches) so far..

1005. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 19:20:33 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <5ID1e.16035$C47.3526@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198030

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050327132908.817$pT@newsreader.com...
> "Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
>> Lancer wrote:
>> > First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
>>
>> Steve can plow that for you!
>>
> NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)

It must be nice to turn away business ;)

Happy Easter dude. I already have the Turkey
on the BBQ.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

1006. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 19:20:33 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <5ID1e.16036$C47.2707@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198031

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:2v5c41p25c945q4t7if15pli2kee5orhaf@4ax.com...
> Anybody have one? I am going to stick it in a tree. There are tuning rings
> on
> it. Anyone ever tune one of these? I am going at it alone when I install
> it. I
> am going to use a climbing tree stand, and it will be impossible to tune
> it when
> it is hung. If I tune it on the ground, will the SWR be drastically
> affected if
> it is a couple feet off the ground, as opposed to when it is about 30 feet
> up?
>
> Vinnie S.

I run an Army BigStick in a 110' Redwood. We sorta tuned
it on the mast with the coax stretched all the way out. Now it was a good
match when it was down, it went up just a little
when all the way up, nut not enough to worry about. I also
ran a separate ground wire down from the mounting brackets.

Landshark

--



1007. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 19:22:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050327142253.681$2L@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198032

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050327132908.817$pT@newsreader.com...
> > "Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> >> Lancer wrote:
> >> > First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
> >>
> >> Steve can plow that for you!
> >>
> > NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)
>
> It must be nice to turn away business ;)
>
Just ready to switch gears now.
>
> Happy Easter dude. I already have the Turkey
> on the BBQ.
>
> Landshark
>
Same to you and everyone else..enjoy.

1008. Re: Who made Sonar CB radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 20:09:04 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <KYudnbDv5sBDj9rfRVn-oA@giganews.com>
Source record: 198033

Lancer wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 17:25:06 GMT, "Fordson Dodge" <0@0.0> wrote:
>
>>
>> "Roger Sheep" <RogerSheep6363636@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:4245fbb6.1659366@127.0.0.1...
>>>
>>> I was looking at some Sonar CB radios, and I noticed that the metal
>>> chasis on Sonar CB radios looked alot like Collins ham radio chasis.
>>> Was there any connection between Collins and Sonar?
>>>
>>> -Roger Sheep
>>
>>
>> Roger, Sonar CB radios were made by the Sonar Radio Corporation. I
>> was the former CEO and major stockholder before the company went
>> broke and I commited suicide under a chesnut tree.
>>
>> And no, there was never any connection to Collins radio.
>>>
>>
> Why did you pick a chestnut tree?

He likes to be under a bunch of swinging nuts?

Jeff

1009. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 21:11:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <db8e41hrp306itve8vg6vket8m46fbf827@4ax.com>
Source record: 198034

On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 19:20:33 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:2v5c41p25c945q4t7if15pli2kee5orhaf@4ax.com...
>> Anybody have one? I am going to stick it in a tree. There are tuning rings
>> on
>> it. Anyone ever tune one of these? I am going at it alone when I install
>> it. I
>> am going to use a climbing tree stand, and it will be impossible to tune
>> it when
>> it is hung. If I tune it on the ground, will the SWR be drastically
>> affected if
>> it is a couple feet off the ground, as opposed to when it is about 30 feet
>> up?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> I run an Army BigStick in a 110' Redwood. We sorta tuned
>it on the mast with the coax stretched all the way out. Now it was a good
>match when it was down, it went up just a little
>when all the way up, nut not enough to worry about. I also
>ran a separate ground wire down from the mounting brackets.

Good enough. How high is it? Is it all the way up?

Vinnie S.

1010. Re: Who made Sonar CB radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 22:15:30 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UfG1e.2823$%h4.1924@fe12.lga>
Source record: 198035

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> Lancer wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 17:25:06 GMT, "Fordson Dodge" <0@0.0> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Roger Sheep" <RogerSheep6363636@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:4245fbb6.1659366@127.0.0.1...
>>>
>>>> I was looking at some Sonar CB radios, and I noticed that the metal
>>>>chasis on Sonar CB radios looked alot like Collins ham radio chasis.
>>>>Was there any connection between Collins and Sonar?
>>>>
>>>>-Roger Sheep
>>>
>>>
>>>Roger, Sonar CB radios were made by the Sonar Radio Corporation. I
>>>was the former CEO and major stockholder before the company went
>>>broke and I commited suicide under a chesnut tree.
>>>
>>>And no, there was never any connection to Collins radio.
>>>
>>Why did you pick a chestnut tree?
>
>
> He likes to be under a bunch of swinging nuts?
>
> Jeff
>
>
bad picture....

1011. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 22:20:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <q9ce41l7fd8oeqvvgvneu7ak7ndvpshpfp@4ax.com>
Source record: 198036

On 27 Mar 2005 19:19:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050327141945.951$m7@newsreader.com>:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 27 Mar 2005 18:29:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
>> >> Lancer wrote:
>> >> > First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
>> >>
>> >> Steve can plow that for you!
>> >>
>> >NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)
>>
>> How much snow did you get this year?
>>
>Two inches short of the record (101 inches) so far..

We finally got some rain, but only 6" of snow for the year, and the
lowest snowpack in the mountains for over 100 years. Sounds like it
all landed in your yard :-0

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1012. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 23:31:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050327183122.447$k8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198037

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 27 Mar 2005 19:19:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050327141945.951$m7@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 27 Mar 2005 18:29:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> >> >> Lancer wrote:
> >> >> > First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
> >> >>
> >> >> Steve can plow that for you!
> >> >>
> >> >NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)
> >>
> >> How much snow did you get this year?
> >>
> >Two inches short of the record (101 inches) so far..
>
> We finally got some rain, but only 6" of snow for the year,
>
Hmm, what is the snowfall average out there?
>
> and the
> lowest snowpack in the mountains for over 100 years. Sounds like it
> all landed in your yard :-0
>
The east side of town usually gets more than us, due to increased
Lake Erie effect. I won't be surpeised if we still break the record.

1013. Re: Who made Sonar CB radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 23:39:45 UTC
From: Fordson Dodge <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <5vH1e.2270$FN4.1564@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198038

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:el3c419rglbbk9jabhur5urnjeblpgfc4f@news.easynews.com...
> On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 17:25:06 GMT, "Fordson Dodge" <0@0.0> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Roger Sheep" <RogerSheep6363636@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:4245fbb6.1659366@127.0.0.1...
>>>
>>> I was looking at some Sonar CB radios, and I noticed that the metal
>>> chasis on Sonar CB radios looked alot like Collins ham radio chasis.
>>> Was there any connection between Collins and Sonar?
>>>
>>> -Roger Sheep
>>
>>
>>Roger, Sonar CB radios were made by the Sonar Radio Corporation. I was the
>>former CEO and major stockholder before the company went broke and I
>>commited suicide under a chesnut tree.
>>
>>And no, there was never any connection to Collins radio.
>>>
>>
> Why did you pick a chestnut tree?

No, not chestnut, " chesnut " tree Big, big difference....

Are both your nuts the same size? Are you sure? Did you measure them?

1014. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 23:40:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <o0he4151jhpl7v0birnn8ud5p6jqifkmuo@4ax.com>
Source record: 198039

On 27 Mar 2005 23:31:22 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050327183122.447$k8@newsreader.com>:

<snip>
>> We finally got some rain, but only 6" of snow for the year,
>>
>Hmm, what is the snowfall average out there?

49" per year. I found this page if you're interested in other snowfall
averages:

http://ggweather.com/ccd/snowfall.htm

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1015. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 23:40:59 UTC
From: Fordson Dodge <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <fwH1e.2271$FN4.203@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198040

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:g3sb41lbncq8r9aehpnehv27q4441dkslh@news.easynews.com...
> First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...

Hain't nuthin in Texass but steers and queers...whut arf uu?

1016. Re: Who made Sonar CB radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 23:43:22 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <m8adnaRqnuqF2NrfRVn-hw@giganews.com>
Source record: 198041

jim wrote:
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>> Lancer wrote:
>>
>>> On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 17:25:06 GMT, "Fordson Dodge" <0@0.0> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> "Roger Sheep" <RogerSheep6363636@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:4245fbb6.1659366@127.0.0.1...
>>>>
>>>>> I was looking at some Sonar CB radios, and I noticed that the
>>>>> metal chasis on Sonar CB radios looked alot like Collins ham
>>>>> radio chasis. Was there any connection between Collins and Sonar?
>>>>>
>>>>> -Roger Sheep
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Roger, Sonar CB radios were made by the Sonar Radio Corporation. I
>>>> was the former CEO and major stockholder before the company went
>>>> broke and I commited suicide under a chesnut tree.
>>>>
>>>> And no, there was never any connection to Collins radio.
>>>>
>>> Why did you pick a chestnut tree?
>>
>>
>> He likes to be under a bunch of swinging nuts?
>>
>> Jeff
>>
>>
> bad picture....

True. I should have passed the nut on that one. ;-)

Jeff

1017. Re: Urine in Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-27 23:44:45 UTC
From: Fordson Dodge <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <NzH1e.2272$FN4.801@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198042

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:dEJ0e.7784$iD6.697@fe10.lga...
> Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater wrote:
>> My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
>> Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well. The
>> problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently it
>> was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got a good soaking
>> but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some bleach but it
>> still smells. what can I do?
>>
>>
> stop posting crap like this for starters...
>

Jim, I don't think anyone who would label themselves as a Proud Pumkin Eater
would post crap!

1018. Re: Who made Sonar CB radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 01:22:52 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <x%I1e.2864$fT6.2361@fe12.lga>
Source record: 198043

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> jim wrote:
>
>>Jeff Mayner wrote:
>>
>>>Lancer wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 17:25:06 GMT, "Fordson Dodge" <0@0.0> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Roger Sheep" <RogerSheep6363636@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:4245fbb6.1659366@127.0.0.1...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I was looking at some Sonar CB radios, and I noticed that the
>>>>>>metal chasis on Sonar CB radios looked alot like Collins ham
>>>>>>radio chasis. Was there any connection between Collins and Sonar?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>-Roger Sheep
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Roger, Sonar CB radios were made by the Sonar Radio Corporation. I
>>>>>was the former CEO and major stockholder before the company went
>>>>>broke and I commited suicide under a chesnut tree.
>>>>>
>>>>>And no, there was never any connection to Collins radio.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Why did you pick a chestnut tree?
>>>
>>>
>>>He likes to be under a bunch of swinging nuts?
>>>
>>>Jeff
>>>
>>>
>>
>>bad picture....
>
>
> True. I should have passed the nut on that one. ;-)
>

> Jeff
>
>
its getting bad :)

1019. Hey Shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 01:43:47 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9jJ1e.2867$Oj7.597@fe12.lga>
Source record: 198094

Fordson Dodge wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:dEJ0e.7784$iD6.697@fe10.lga...
>
>>Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater wrote:
>>
>>>My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
>>>Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well. The
>>>problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently it
>>>was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got a good soaking
>>>but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some bleach but it
>>>still smells. what can I do?
>>>
>>>
>>
>>stop posting crap like this for starters...
>>
>
>
> Jim, I don't think anyone who would label themselves as a Proud Pumkin Eater
> would post crap!
>
>
i cant be arsed to see where this poster is located but do me a favor
and verify its one of the lovely band of druthers that post here.

1020. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 01:55:46 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <SNSdnQdA7e-7-drfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 198095

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:qe8c41tga8delqgr925on7si4q3tp97tev@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 21:56:44 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
> <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <DY-dndw67PaHvNvfRVn-rA@comcast.com>:
>
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> >news:3bnb41l4h2sh7iojte61alpsrpvicqdh3j@4ax.com...
> >> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 11:40:53 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
> >> <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <2qWdnXkuoIsKDdjfRVn-3g@comcast.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >> Come to think about it, how about just a 567 or 4046? Either chip
> >> >> would probably do it as long as the radio doesn't drift too much.
And
> >> >> if I'm not mistaken, both have a VFO on the chip. So a CW 'adapter'
> >> >> could be a single-chip project -- no DSP required.
> >> >
> >> >Those two chips are just simple PLL building blocks. There isn't a way
to
> >do
> >> >any tone filtering as such unless you make the VFO frequency range
vary
> >> >narrow which would have the same effect.
> >>
> >>
> >> That's the idea.
> >>
> >>
> >> > The 4046 has a range and frequency
> >> >offset capability using just two resistors and a capacitor. You would
> >want
> >> >to use the phase-frequency detector, not the simple XOR phase detector
> >which
> >> >could lock on harmonics of the audio tone. That would be the chip to
use.
> >> >The lock detect circuit could then be used as the visual signal device
> >for
> >> >CW. Something this simple you could breadboard in an evening to see
how
> >it
> >> >works.
> >>

> >>
> >> Actually, it only took about 15 minutes, but it doesn't work. Capture
> >> and release times are too erratic within the bandwidth, and any other
> >> CW signals within about half an octave make the thing go spastic.
> >
> >Hummmm...., what did you use for the bandwidth? I would have picked
> >something like 800Hz at the VCO center frequency and a VCO range of 700
to
> >900 Hz.....
>
>
> I meant that the circuit didn't work for tuning through the .3-3kHz
> bandwidth. Once I narrowed the bandwidth and used the BFO for tuning
> it worked fine.

Yeah, that's what I would have expected, the bandwidth was too wide.

>
>
> <snip>
> >> Setting a narrow capture bandwidth, and using the clarifier (or BFO)
> >> for tuning, works pretty well. I also tried a state-variable with a
> >> schmitt trigger. Discrimination was better; but high Q, cumulative
> >> drift and fading made it difficult to get a clear copy.
> >
> >What was drifting, the filter, the frequency of the tone being
transmitted,
> >or the receiver's frequency causing the tone's frequency to change?
>
>
> Probably a little bit from everything -- I'm chalking it up to a
> cumulative drift effect.
>
>
> > Before
> >giving up on anything it would be prudent to find out what the problem
> >happens to be before saying it doesn't work. The problem may be easy to
fix,
> >or it could be the equipment you used for the test isn't representative
of
> >what could be used now days.
>
>
> I really don't think it's worth the effort since the PLL can track any
> minor drifting that would require retuning of a state-variable filter.

I would think you could use the PLL directly by keeping the VCO frequency
range narrow. The loop filter doesn't have to be anything that complex,
state variable that is.

>
>
> >> I should add that it's been quite interesting trying to read Morse
> >> with an LED..... after a few minutes it's almost hypnotic. I tried
> >> different colors, and while a big green LED was the best it was still
> >> irritating after about 10 minutes.
> >
> >There are hearing impaired Hams doing something like that for years. I
guess
> >they just get use to it.
>
>
> I did a web search and found that some of them use a vibrating pad. I
> thought about hooking up a relay or buzzer and see how that works. But
> since I'm not deaf, my perceptions are probably different than someone
> who would use the device regularly. Maybe a 'universal' output will do
> the trick. It could then be hooked up to a vibrator, LED, strobe, fog
> machine, stun-gun..... or whatever.

Universal plug for an output then let them connect whatever they want.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1021. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 03:12:03 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7CK1e.3689$zl.1540@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198096

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:db8e41hrp306itve8vg6vket8m46fbf827@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 19:20:33 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:2v5c41p25c945q4t7if15pli2kee5orhaf@4ax.com...
>>> Anybody have one? I am going to stick it in a tree. There are tuning
>>> rings
>>> on
>>> it. Anyone ever tune one of these? I am going at it alone when I install
>>> it. I
>>> am going to use a climbing tree stand, and it will be impossible to tune
>>> it when
>>> it is hung. If I tune it on the ground, will the SWR be drastically
>>> affected if
>>> it is a couple feet off the ground, as opposed to when it is about 30
>>> feet
>>> up?
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> I run an Army BigStick in a 110' Redwood. We sorta tuned
>>it on the mast with the coax stretched all the way out. Now it was a good
>>match when it was down, it went up just a little
>>when all the way up, nut not enough to worry about. I also
>>ran a separate ground wire down from the mounting brackets.
>
>
> Good enough. How high is it? Is it all the way up?
>
> Vinnie S.

Tree is 110', antenna on a 10' mast, about 5' above the top.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

1022. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 03:30:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <idue415lebdu8925lmgueb3mqc2qqorem7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198097

On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 20:55:46 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <SNSdnQdA7e-7-drfRVn-ow@comcast.com>:

<snip>
>> I really don't think it's worth the effort since the PLL can track any
>> minor drifting that would require retuning of a state-variable filter.
>
>I would think you could use the PLL directly by keeping the VCO frequency
>range narrow. The loop filter doesn't have to be anything that complex,
>state variable that is.

I tried the state variable filter as a stand-alone device, not as a
PLL filter. Although it might be interesting to see what happens when
it -is- used as a PLL filter -- probably get all kinds of FM and
intermod distortion......

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1023. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 04:59:14 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <CaM1e.3731$zl.705@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198098

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:pf4c41lj8so3r14fbs0i8eh6odq9hvn567@news.easynews.com...
> On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 19:20:33 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:20050327132908.817$pT@newsreader.com...
>>> "Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
>>>> Lancer wrote:
>>>> > First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
>>>>
>>>> Steve can plow that for you!
>>>>
>>> NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)
>>
>> It must be nice to turn away business ;)
>
> I know, I was thinking the same thing...
>
>>
>> Happy Easter dude. I already have the Turkey
>>on the BBQ.
>>
>> Landshark
>
> Turkey for easter?
>
> We are having rabbit,

Sure why not. Also had a ham, everything
turned out perfect. I'm sure your Easter dinner
was good too, haven't had rabbit in years.

Landshark

--
__
o /')
/' (,
__/') .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
(_.)). `-._ <
`\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.



1024. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 04:59:14 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <CaM1e.3730$zl.2083@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198099

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:q9ce41l7fd8oeqvvgvneu7ak7ndvpshpfp@4ax.com...
> On 27 Mar 2005 19:19:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050327141945.951$m7@newsreader.com>:
>
>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>> On 27 Mar 2005 18:29:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> >"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
>>> >> Lancer wrote:
>>> >> > First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
>>> >>
>>> >> Steve can plow that for you!
>>> >>
>>> >NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)
>>>
>>> How much snow did you get this year?
>>>
>>Two inches short of the record (101 inches) so far..
>
>
> We finally got some rain, but only 6" of snow for the year, and the
> lowest snowpack in the mountains for over 100 years. Sounds like it
> all landed in your yard :-0

That sucks, I think we've had well over 100"
of snow in the Sierra, getting more this week.

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

1025. Re: Hey Shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 04:59:14 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <CaM1e.3729$zl.1458@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198100

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:9jJ1e.2867$Oj7.597@fe12.lga...
> Fordson Dodge wrote:
>
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:dEJ0e.7784$iD6.697@fe10.lga...
>>
>>>Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater wrote:
>>>
>>>>My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
>>>>Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well.
>>>>The problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently
>>>>it was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got a good
>>>>soaking but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some bleach
>>>>but it still smells. what can I do?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>stop posting crap like this for starters...
>>>
>>
>>
>> Jim, I don't think anyone who would label themselves as a Proud Pumkin
>> Eater would post crap!
> i cant be arsed to see where this poster is located but do me a favor and
> verify its one of the lovely band of druthers that post here.

Not worth it OG, just ignore them like most
are doing with Geo & Doug. Hope your Easter was
a good one, got a new keg this month.... RedTail Ale.

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

1026. The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 07:08:03 UTC
From: Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111993683.453480.210720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198101

http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!

1027. Re: Dang, we got 2 inches of snow today!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 07:54:32 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <E4-dnVw8CLqoJdrfRVn-sA@giganews.com>
Source record: 198102

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 27 Mar 2005 19:19:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050327141945.951$m7@newsreader.com>:
>
>> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>> On 27 Mar 2005 18:29:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> "Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
>>>>> Lancer wrote:
>>>>>> First time ever that it snowed in north Texas on Easter...
>>>>>
>>>>> Steve can plow that for you!
>>>>>
>>>> NO! NO MORE PLEASE! :)
>>>
>>> How much snow did you get this year?
>>>
>> Two inches short of the record (101 inches) so far..
>
>
> We finally got some rain, but only 6" of snow for the year, and the
> lowest snowpack in the mountains for over 100 years. Sounds like it
> all landed in your yard :-0

Are you in the NW? If so, we here in So Cal are getting all your rain/snow.
We ain't giving it back either. ;-)

Jeff

>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1028. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 08:57:03 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zFP1e.471850$w62.34444@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198103

to bad, the ENTIRE antenna should be out the tree...
I am not saying this to be funny, but you are not getting out
anyway near what you would if it was.

1029. Re: Turner M+3 Vs Asatic D104 Base Mic's Which is better ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 10:08:34 UTC
From: SeaView-USA@webtv.net
Message-ID: <96-4247D7A2-190@storefull-3111.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198104

Dead Mens Microphone - Dead Men tell No Tales....

1030. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 11:34:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nrqf419nkbc15l57traqi3ncr81dja0o2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 198105

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 16:29:12 -0800, "Jeff Mayner"
<jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 23:40:20 GMT, Legal Radio <legalradio@yahoo.com>
>> wrote in <Xns9625BE036AE3legalradio@207.115.63.158>:
>>
>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> news:1omdnVQNqtxWINzfRVn- vw@giganews.com:
>>>
>>>> John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
>>>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>>> news:0OCdnU4emdXZN6LfRVn- tA@giganews.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 20 years ago? 1985?
>>>>>>
>>>>> Ah, yes.. that's what I said.
>>>>
>>>> You were posting on this newsgroup, 20 years ago?
>>>>
>>>> Jeff
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yes.
>>
>>
>> ftp.isc.org/pub/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.ham-radio-reorg
>
>Yeah. Like he said, "Twenty years ago". ;-)
>
>Wierd how close that guys name is to my own. lol...
>

Also interesting is how this group was created by the will of a bunch
of hams.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1031. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 11:48:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <g1rf41546ctaepipucdkkkt5p6jf4v4kul@4ax.com>
Source record: 198106

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 19:59:35 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Which part? That it's about money? Why else do you think the spectrum is
>shrinking?
>
>>That's a different issue.
>
>Actually, it ties in perfectly. If it wasn't for the FCC catering to the
>big money interests, the spectrum wouldn't be disappearing for hammies
>and they would need no collective organization to represent their
>interests in Washington.

You could argue that the attention that the FCC is giving to "Radio
Pirates" is a direct result of the influence that commercial interests
wield. In many ways, they feel that since they had to pay large
amounts of money to the FCC for spectrum space, they expect the FCC to
closer police it for them. I'm not convinced that this is necessarily
a bad thing under the circumstances. Look at the bright side, if the
FCC is busy sweeping up after the big money people, they are forced to
leave the freeband alone.

>>On that issue, I agree that money is the major
>>moving force.

>>But the philosophical issue about freedom of
>>speech (and by extension the public's "right" to
>>the airwaves) versus the government's "right"
>>to regulate for the greater public good, must
>>enter into the equation at some point.

>I 100% agree. I vehemently take issue with where the current point
>begins and ends.
>
>
>>It's the basis for the communications law, and
>>the foundation for the existence of the FCC
>>itself.
>
>
>It's not the basis and foundation I have a problem with....it's the
>smoke and mirrors they (the FCC) use as a shield to defend their
>bullshit, and everything after the bricks and mortar.

What "bullshit"? The FCC takes care of those who have a larger part of
their ear first. It's the way most business works. Most agencies

(government or commercial) are not omnipotent. They have to prioritize their response to consumer needs. The priority list is usually based on the perceived "value" of each particular customer. For those who despise the concepts of capitalism, they have a hard time stomaching the reality that those with the most money usually buy the most influence.

It would be nice if the little guy has the same visibility as the big guy. But that's not how it works in the real world, like it or not.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1032. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 13:25:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <btsf41131pd29bn1cim8ja158kmhor32j7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198107

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 19:54:37 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>I do, too. I like listening to it more.
>
>>I do too. But there aren't many stations close
>>to me on AM or FM. I've had to resort to the
>>internet to find most of it.
>
>
>How about dxing for FM stations? There is one in Tampa you can copy down
>around 26 mhz and they do send cards.

I had heard that some FM stations use the lower part of 26 Mhz for
"relay links". I have heard some stuff down there in the past. But I
didn't think that these were "pirate" stations, rather commercial feed
links.

>>There used to be a couple on the shortwave
>>bands around 5 or 6 Mhz. I don't know if
>>they're still there. They used to play music on
>>SSB, which makes little sense, as you have to
>>be able to tune it in precisely or the tones
>>sound way off.
>
>
>Makes for some crazy sounds.

Unless you like hearing people sing off-key.

>
>>In many ways I sympathize
>>with those who do it. But I also recognize the
>>importance of government regulated airwaves
>>in order to minimize chaos if the broadcast
>>bands became a free-for-all.
>
>
>That's not what this is about. I don't believe a free-for-all relates to
>the type pirate radio of which we speak, such as the Florida version of
>B-o-b. 40 watts on the FM commercial dial, I do not consider a
>"free-for-all", much in the same manner I do not consider simple dx on
>11 meter, or even running an amp of say, 100 watts, as even coming close
>to resembling a free-for-all or any type of chaos, disorder, or anarchy.

The point where "casual" dismissal of the law progresses to "anarchy"
is not set in stone, and depends on the critical mass of users. 5 or
10 pirates may not affect a large city. But jump that number to 100,
and it just might.

>I guess it depends on how you define "impact".,,..and whether you relate
>it to interference or monetary matters.
>
>
>>But by not taking a strong stand against it, you
>>are basically covertly condoning it,

>That isn't true at all. There are an infinite number of examples. That
>also sounds like the long-defeated Stalinist propaganda "If you aren't
>with us, you are against us".

Do you not agree that the law is made to be followed by everyone? If
you exempt one or a few, then you have no basis to not exempt
everyone. In this case it is an "all or nothing" situation.

The fact that law enforcement is not 100% effective allows some people
to "get away" with certain infractions. The more people can continue
to get away with those infractions, the more it encourages others to
try it as well.

>>and eventually you WILL have a free-for-all to
>>deal with. It then becomes hypocritical to only
>>target "selected" pirates.
>
>Without a crystal ball, this is but a theory, one which I never
>subscribed.

So you believe that if the FCC stopped busting pirates so
aggressively, they would not increase in number?

>This is akin to those who say if illegal drug use were
>legalized, addiction rates would go up and so would use. The Netherlands
>proved this wannabe-axiom wrong.

If you legalize drugs, the one thing you will accomplish is to put
those in the illegal trafficking business, out of business. The prices
would come down, and the whole illegal trade infrastructure would
collapse. But those who want it would still want it, and they'd have a
better chance at getting it, and it would be cheaper.

>>I found the following parts especially
>>interesting:
>>"to the FCC and the state, operating a pirate
>>radio station from a 40-watt transmitter in his
>>kitchen breakfast nook makes him a criminal."
>
>
>Yea, in fact, people have been jailed for such activity and accrued
>criminal records, unlike those who talk skip on 11 meters.

>
>
>>In principle, there is not much difference.
>
>
>Perhaps in *your* principles, but thank God the courts, prosecutors, and
>FCC disagrees with you on this issue.
>
>
>>Why?
>
>Because of the money angle. The large corporations can move mountains
>with their cash, which very often coordinates with who gets elected. The
>corporations aren't getting pissed when we freeband or dx, because there
>is no possible revenue loss from their pocket. Hence, the FCC are not
>going to get the same complaints by big money corporations concerning
>these radio operators. However, if one is to begin siphoning listeners
>from a conglomerate owned station, you can bet Mother Corporate is going
>to go ape-shit and demand something be done.

You have pretty much accurately painted the picture of why things are
as they are today. But that doesn't negate my earlier statement that,
in principle, there is little difference between a pirate FM
broadcaster and a freebander. They are both operating illegally. The
only difference is in their respective impact.

> Again, when big money
>talks, FCC listens. They sold out, Dave, and they do not have your
>interests, or any other radio op's interests at heart, otherwise, they
>wouldn't be whoring the frequencies to the (always) -highest- bidder.

That's capitalism 101. We've always operated that way. That's one of
the reasons why the little guy is often jealous of the big guy.

That's also why ham radio has organizations like the ARRL to give the
FCC an ear into ham radio matters. While there is not the same amount
of money influence, there is a collectively loud voice which cannot be
completely ignored. Love 'em or hate 'em, the ARRL has at least served
most of the interests of ham radio

In the mid 70's a few people tried to form a similar organization to
represent the interests of the growing CB hobby users. They just
couldn't get enough serious interest and commitment. Maybe had the
internet been around then, it might have had a better chance. If that
group had been successful, we might have a 26-28 Mhz band segment with
a 100 watt PEP limit now.

>> An unlicensed station is an unlicensed station
>>regardless of where it is operated.
>
>You claimed there was not much difference between the criminal element
>of operating a pirate radio staion on the FM commercial band and talking

>dx on cb. The powers-that-be, by virtue of no action taken against the
>latter, illustrate otherwise.

There is no difference in principle. The difference is in the impact.

>It's simply an issue that causes
>no problems except for corporate radio and any time Clear Channel takes
>a hit, I cheer.

>>Two points:
>>Your point that corporate ($$$) interests have
>>a bigger share of the FCC's ear, explains why
>>pirate FM operators get heavy fines and jail
>>time, while freebanders and bootleg hams get
>>a wrist slapping (if anything).
>
>
>
>Then how do you fail to see the FCC does not have your best interests at
>heart when the bottom line of whom they cater to is...taaa daaa..the
>wealthy corporations?

I agree. I understand what's at work here. I'm only saying that there
is little difference in principle between one form of illegal
operation and another.

Let me ask you this:

If you bought a brand new boat, would you not expect prompt and
effective service from the person or dealer who sold it to you?

On the other hand, if someone gave you an old boat, can you expect the
same level of response when there is a problem?

To you, the problems are the same. But to the powers that be, the guy
who pays gets the most response, and it is part of the perceived
"value" of something.

There's a whole other aspect of this discussion centering on the
government right to place a "price" on airwave spectrum. Many people
feel that airspace is something that God gave us, and the government
has no right auctioning off for a price. Others look at bandwidth as a
commodity, just like oil.

>>I agree with you 100% on your Clear Channel
>>comment. The innovation creativity, and
>>personality of broadcast radio dies when they
>>all got assimilated into the ClearChannel or
>>Infinity collective. I remember the glory days of
>>top 40 "Boss" radio in the 60's and 70's. It was
>>a far better product then.
>ï¿½
>

>
>Wolfman Jack,,,,the King Biscuit Flower Hour,,,,,nothing compares today.

http://www.reelradio.com

Memory lane. Lot's of good stuff from legendary people.

>ï¿½Florida is estimated to have more pirate radio stations than any
>other state.
>
>
>>That's interesting if true. I wonder why?
>
>
>Maybe because commercial radio here sucks and we have such a melting pot
>of people from big northeast cities and such....resulting in more
>choices of eclectic tastes in music. A mega-smorgasboard of a clamoring
>for great music with nowhere to turn, and voila!
>
>
>>That's as good of a theory as any.
>
>
>The unlicensed transmitter law you
>refer doesn't apply across the board, but rather targets unlicensed
>broadcasters who take revenue/listeners from corporate radio and who
>interfere with other station's broadcasts. A few complaints from John
>Doe to the FCC complaining unlicensed pirate radio may get filed
>somewhere, but not acted upon until a big gun is activated.
>
>
>>True, but for now, it's only a matter of
>
>>perception that makes it that way.
>
>
>I'd say it's pretty much a reality. It's been that way for many years
>and business-as-usual is the thing going on at the FCC......they are
>more concerned with protecting you from yourself so you're not offended
>by something you may hear or see broadcast.

Well, broadcasters have an enormous responsibility when it comes to
what it shows to millions of people. There has to be a standard level
of "taste" that should be applied. The FCC has the thankless job of
enforcing that "taste" level.

Of course, everyone is different. Some people could see gratuitous sex
and blood and guts all night long while munching on a large hoagie,
and not be bothered. Other's cringe at the slightest "risque" show.

_
>>That's true in many cases of CB interference

>>as well.
>
>
>Yes, but how many commercial interests these days have complaints
>regarding cb? It's
>miniscule, if at all existent.
>
>
>>Which explains why attention to unauthorized
>>freeband operation is not a priority now.
>
>
>Never as been.

Well, when the FCC was better funded and actually licensed the band, enforcement efforts were better. It's certainly not at the top of anyone's list today.

>
>>That could change depending on several
>>factors, not the least of which is an attitude of
>>crackdown in the administration of the FCC,

>Not likely or probable.

That depends on what someone perceives as an issue. But I'd agree that given the current state of affairs, it's not likely to happen.

>>or a potential allocation of frequencies for
>>commercial ventures (BPL?)
>
>
>That is the only matter in the near future that could possibly alter the
>business-as-usual at the agency regaridng this issue, and even that is
>not likely. Here's why. The cycle is waning, and the dx won't be there
>to be had like it has been. Without the plug-n-play availability, many
>ops will disappear. The hard core ops will remain and work for their
>contacts. With only a smattering of those left to jockey for dx, it is
>unlikely it will even be noticed. Now, let's play devil's advocate for a
>moment and assume the worst. Interference is reeking havoc to BPL and
>vice-versa. Who is going to be targeted? NOT the casual dxer on the
>freeband. It will be the mighty-mights, the rf warriors (hey, that would
>make a pretty good handle for some) the big guns wit' da' 10 ohm smoke
>and choke!

At least you acknowledge that if the CB spectrum becomes more "valuable" to commercial interests (AKA BPL), the eye of the FCC may start giving the band a closer look. The DX cycle has pretty much bottomed out. It will start to rise in the next few years. If BPL takes off, it'll take at least that long to become established. The point where BPL is rolled out en-masse and the DX peaks again may be coincidental.

You're right, the brightest lights on the band (the guys with big power) will stand out and be the first to go. But that's not to say that others will not stand a greater chance of being hassled.

>Oh yea. Bob moved over the weekend. He's no longer in the garage
>apartment. You just can't trust the media these days...<g>....look at
>Bush, he's pulling a Dan Rather by manufacturing news and those who
>cried about CBS are hypocritically silent about it.
>
>
>>Maybe because it isn't true.
>
>
>You mean the republican congress' accountability office lied when they
>directed the WH to cease these behaviors you are suggesting aren't true?
>
>
>>I don't know all the factual details.
>
>
>Read up on this issue. I want your opinion.

I have read a bit into it. But all I can find are reporters accounts. Reporters are notorious at spin and bias. I haven't found any true facts yet.

>It does. The administration has admitted as much with the
>acknowledgement that such massive caches of wmds (remember, they were
>said to be north, east, south, and west of Baghdad, and Rummy said he
>knew exactly where they were) could NOT have been moved without being
>detected by satelites. One does not merely toss a few wmds into a truck
>or two and drive merrily down the road to Syria. The activity to
>undertake such missions could not have gone un-noticed, but let's again
>play devil's advocate and go out on that last limb that some of you
>die-hards are clinging to regarding this issue. One of the president's
>last statements regarding the invasion of Iraq and the march to Baghdad
>was to stop the proliferation and SPREAD of wmds. The United States
>operates on the opposite of what you believe..that absence of proof is
>proof of absence of guilt. So, with no proof of wmds, the administration
>admitted as much. Now, to focus on your theory (based on absence of
>proof)
>there WERE wmds (based on absence of proof) in Iraq and they were moved
>to Syria. this makes Bush a failure in stopping their SPREAD, the very
>reason (at least, this last time) he invoked for invading Iraq.

I really don't want to speculate as to motives, the existence of WMD, or where they might be right now. This issue is glaringly short of true facts, and very long on spin and speculation.

My theory is that absence of proof is not necessarily proof of absence. If I fly to Florida and hide out in some swamp, and you fail to find me, does that mean that I was never there?

So far we have failed to find WMD. But you can't conclusively conclude
that something doesn't exist simply because you can't find evidence of
it. There are other possibilities to consider (Syria being only one).

>>They could very well be in Syria.
>
>The WH NEVER even mentioned this possibility, because they found the
>intel to be flawed regarding the existence of wmds.
>Bush personally appointed a committee to find WHY the intel was failed
>that led them to falsely claim they not only knew their were wmds, but
>that they knew exactly where they all were.
>
>
>>At least you softened your opinion from "Bush
>
>>lied about WMD" to one of a false claim based
>>on faulty intel. That scenario is more likely
>
>>should it be found that WMD have not been
>
>>moved to Syria.
>
>
>
>
>Bottom line is Bush probably did lie about it, and blamed it on faulty
>intel.

One could be guilty of promoting an untruth based on faulty info. But
that's a far different concept than knowingly lying about it.

> From the 911 report, we KNOW Rumsfeld demanded a target in Iraq,
>even when he was informed Iraq was not responsible for 911. THAT intel
>was not flawed. It was perfect. Flawless. He merely disregarded it as it
>was not want he wanted to hear. Then came "Operation Liberate
>Iraq"..which we (the US citizens) did not buy. So he changed the theme
>to one of imminent danger by Iraq and their wmds. Both turned out to be
>bullshit. Pure fabrication (failed intel...wink wink). But we bought it.
>Based on all the Bush other lies (the whoring of Jessica Lynch to the
>media with incredible bullshit lies to whip the country into a false
>patriotic fervor in order to garner support for his manufactured Iraq
>war, will NEVER be forgotten by vets).

The "whoring" story was planted and spun by anti-Bush factions to
cloud the authenticity of the rescue. There is no factual information
either validating this claim or linking this to Bush.

>Nor will his slashing of the
>military benefits (hazard pay, extended medical bennies) and his sending
>to war troops not properly equipped (humvees with no armor) and no
>proper body armor, because the money to pay for this life-saving
>gear went to pay for his tax cuts to the wealthiest two percent.

One needs to wonder then why John Kerry voted for the money to provide body armor before he voted against it......

BTW: We ALL got a tax cut. ALL taxpayers.

>Somewhere along the line, he made a conscious decision that his tax cuts >were worth more than the lives he expended that could have been saved by >proper armor.

This was not an "Either/Or" situation. Ask congressional democrats why they voted against proving more funding for the military.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1033. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 14:03:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2l3g41lgq9tghpd0mp2fbme13sf1vtt4dh@4ax.com>
Source record: 198108

On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 03:12:03 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
> Tree is 110', antenna on a 10' mast, about 5' above the top.

How did you get it up that high? Did you pay someone?

Vinnie S.

1034. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 14:15:24 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0kU1e.2430$FN4.273@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198109

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:2l3g41lgq9tghpd0mp2fbme13sf1vtt4dh@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 03:12:03 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>
>> Tree is 110', antenna on a 10' mast, about 5' above the top.
>
>
> How did you get it up that high? Did you pay someone?
>
> Vinnie S.

A good friend that's very skilled at climbing trees (Yes,
I gave him so cash for that great task).

Landshark

--
Hard things are put in our way,
not to stop us, but to call out our
courage and strength.

1035. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 14:15:24 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0kU1e.2431$FN4.772@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198110

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:zFP1e.471850$w62.34444@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> to bad, the ENTIRE antenna should be out the tree...
> I am not saying this to be funny, but you are not getting out
> anyway near what you would if it was.

Umh, maybe I wasn't to clear, sorry. The mast is approx
5' give or take above the top, So most of the antenna
is well above the tree and works just fine with an
SWR of 1:3:1

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

1036. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 15:37:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3497-424824C2-18@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198111

>NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, Mar 26, 2005,

>11:10am (EST-1)

>From: "Leland C. Scott"

><k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>

>Subject: Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

>Date: Sat, Mar 26, 2005, 12:10pm

>Organization: You Just Had To Look

Actually, it's one virtue of the ever-evolving webtv :)

>X-Priority: 3 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

>X-Trace:

>sv3-k299hZwNVBhIP0oAWBIW9VXiA9bCqR

>Qh1RHVL2dU+JvzigpQs1KICVpcDQ7aKUol0

>RzILgdCAP1enEA!xgzpV3d6N/gyhj/w/odGm+

>QnDcXS4STFLjiVVHfUyPn+WbfEza+NaRTZo

>iJt6g== X-

>Complaints-To:

>abuse@comcast.net

>X-DMCA-

>Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net

>X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to

>forward a copy of ALL headers

>X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will

>be unable to process your complaint properly

>X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10500-4242F40A-184@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...

>And I don't even do HF. 8-))

You damned sure used to.

>When I mucked around with CB briefly in the

>70's.

Why did you quit?

>Too many idiots, jammers, channel control

>freaks, amp users who think they need several
>hundred watts to talk to another idiot a couple

>of miles down the street,

The only problem you mention with which I agree is the last concerning
local amp use.
The rest of the dilemmas you mention are self-created by not exercising
your freedom of choice, beginning with the channel selector.

>kids learning how to expand their 4 letter word
>vocabulary on the air etc.

You feel kids learn profanity from the cb?
As opposed to the words they learn on TV and in school, on the street
and elsewhere?
Damn,,,what word can one learn on cb that hasn't been over-used on tv
and in the school yard?

_
You find six meter that much
more entertaining?

>Better class of people.

I don't agree with such practiced elitism, but understand your position
perfectly, as many, many hammies take the same stance toward nocode
operators and view them as appliance operators and feel the better class
of people involved in hammie radio does not begin until one surpasses
nocode status.

>Anyway I'm more interested in microwave

>stuff. Spent a couple of hundred bucks at the

>Ohio Maumee swap the other weekend

>getting a Narda SMA directional coupler

>(model 4203-10 2-18GHz), a Omni Spectra

>directional coupler (p/n 2020-6605-10

>1.0-2.0GHz), K&L SMA transfer relays

>(MS-28-SMA-TTL), a WJ SMA mixer (M1J),

>and a used M/A-Com 8.134 GHz brick

>oscillator (p/n PLX12-01). I'm going to try

>sooner or later to retune the brick oscillator to

>use on the Ham 10 GHz band. I already have

>an FT-817 multimode multiband QRP rig to

>use as the IF radio. The directional couplers

>are for use with the HP-432A microwave

>power meter I have for SWR measurements.

>The last thing I'm looking for is a cheap slotted
>line, coving the frequency range I'm interested
>in, which I can use to make impedance

>measurements. That's what was used before

>the rise of the $50K network analyzers. A

>spectrum analyzer would be nice too, but that

>can wait.

There are interesting happenings WAY down low on the spectrum among the experimental bands. I'm still getting good dx contacts here and there. California was in my receive again overl weekend several times. Weather was absolutely miserable, but the skip was pretty good. VHF activity occupied most of my time, though.

>--

>Leland C. Scott

>KC8LDO

>Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft

>Windows

1037. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 16:04:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3495-42482AF7-159@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198112

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 19:59:35 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Which part? That it's about money? Why else do you think the spectrum is
shrinking?

>That's a different issue.

Actually, it ties in perfectly. If it wasn't for the FCC catering to the
big money interests, the spectrum wouldn't be disappearing for hammies
and they would need no collective organization to represent their
interests in Washington.

>You could argue that the attention that the

>FCC is giving to "Radio Pirates" is a direct

>result of the influence that commercial

>interests wield.

Why argue something that isn't taking place?
The FCC isn't giving pirates any attention at all. They merely respond
to complaints concerning isolated incidents.

> In many ways, they feel that since they had to
>pay large amounts of money to the FCC for

>spectrum space, they expect the FCC to

>closer police it for them.

The extreme republican approach,,,much more government intrusion in our
personal lives....government must protect us from ourselves because we
(those who clamor for such enforcement) are incapable of managing
ourselves and controlling our own behavior.

>I'm not convinced that

>this is necessarily a bad thing under the

>circumstances.

Heck, that is what the FCC enforcement wing was supposed to be doing all
along, except somewhere along the line they bowed to the corporate cash
cow, sold out your interests and by virtue of their actions, told
hammies to take a flying leap.

>Look at the bright side, if the FCC is busy

>sweeping up after the big money people, they

>are forced to leave the freeband alone.

Just like pirate radio, they always leave the freeband alone with the exception of those who practice blatant stupidity.

>On that issue, I agree that money is the major

>moving force.

>But the philosophical issue about freedom of

>speech (and by extension the public's "right" to
>the airwaves) versus the government's "right"

>to regulate for the greater public good, must

>enter into the equation at some point.

I 100% agree. I vehemently take issue with where the current point begins and ends.

>It's the basis for the communications law, and

>the foundation for the existence of the FCC

>itself.

It's not the basis and foundation I have a problem with....it's the smoke and mirrors they (the FCC) use as a shield to defend their bullshit, and everything after the bricks and mortar.

>What "bullshit"? The FCC takes care of those

>who have a larger part of their ear first.

THAT is bullshit. All should receive equal time, not those who scream the most, have connections or the most money. They should go after those actions that present a hazard or safey issue first and foremost. Instead, their number one priority now is to (again) protect you from broadcast "obscenities", even though they are unabel to properly define such obscenities and indecencies, but are able to recognize it "when we see it"..so says the FCC.

>It's the way most business works.

Yet you fail to see the bullshit and error of their practice and tend to agree with it.

>Most agencies (government or commercial)

>are not omnipotent. They have to prioritize

>their response to consumer needs.

Is that what you think should occur? You need check the history of the FCC. Consumer need was not the reason the agency was formed.

> The priority

>list is usually based on the perceived "value"

>of each particular customer.

Again, you demonstrate yet another problem with the FCC..this time, their flawed "perception" of values.

>For those who despise the concepts of

>capitalism, they have a hard time stomaching

>the reality that those with the most money

>usually buy the most influence.

Capitalism has nothing at all do with wrongly buying governmental influence. Those who accept such governmental atrocities as business-as-usual (or disguise its bullshit by incorrectly referring to such as "capitalism") are numb to to the crooked concepts being forced upon them because they are so used to having governement coddle them and make their decisions for them and tell them what is best for them. The government of the US was formed with the notion that ALL its citizens would receive equal treatment regardless of race, political belief, religious status, sex. This includes the rich as well as the poor. Treating citizens differently and unfairly because of their monetary status is not captalism.

>It would be nice if the little guy has the same

>visibility as the big guy.

Not regarding pirate radio.

>But that's not how it

>works in the real world, like it or not.

Your words:

>"If you don't take a strong stand against

>something, you are basically condoning it."

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1038. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 17:00:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3496-42483832-63@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198114

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 19:54:37 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
How about dxing for FM stations? There is one in Tampa you can copy down
around 26 mhz and they do send cards.

>I had heard that some FM stations use the

>lower part of 26 Mhz for "relay links". I have

>heard some stuff down there in the past. But I

>didn't think that these were "pirate" stations,

>rather commercial feed links.

Yea,,they are.

That's not what this is about. I don't believe a free-for-all relates to
the type pirate radio of which we speak, such as the Florida version of
B-o-b. 40 watts on the FM commercial dial, I do not consider a
"free-for-all", much in the same manner I do not consider simple dx on
11 meter, or even running an amp of say, 100 watts, as even coming close
to resembling a free-for-all or any type of chaos, disorder, or anarchy.

>The point where "casual" dismissal of the law

>progresses to "anarchy" is not set in stone,

>and depends on the critical mass of users.

Says who? Show me one sliver of the FCC claiming anything remotely
similar to your subjective view concerning anarchy.

> 5 or 10 pirates may not affect a large city. But
>jump that number to 100, and it just might.

I guess it depends on how you define "impact".,,..and whether you relate
it to interference or monetary matters.

>But by not taking a strong stand against it, you
>are basically covertly condoning it,

That isn't true at all. There are an infinite number of examples. That
also sounds like the long-defeated Stalinist propaganda "If you aren't
with us, you are against us".

>Do you not agree that the law is made to be

>followed by everyone?

Condoning something and exempting it are two separate matters.

>If you exempt one or a few, then you have no

>basis to not exempt everyone. In this case it is
>an "all or nothing" situation.

See above.

>The fact that law enforcement is not 100%

>effective allows some people to "get away"

>with certain infractions. The more people can

>continue to get away with those infractions,

>the more it encourages others to try it as well.

Such a statement assumes human nature is prone to breaking the law when
they feel they can get away with it. I believe you are a minority with
this belief.

>and eventually you WILL have a free-for-all to

>deal with.

More assumptions.

> It then becomes hypocritical to only target

>"selected" pirates.

This is all hypothetical and not at all what is taking place in the real
world.

>Without a crystal ball, this is but a theory, one

>which I never subscribed.

So you believe that if the FCC stopped busting pirates so aggressively,
they would not
increase in number?

The FCC is NOT busting pirates aggressively at all.

_
This is akin to those who say if illegal drug use were legalized,
addiction rates would go up and so would use. The Netherlands proved
this wannabe-axiom wrong.

>If you legalize drugs, the one thing you will

>accomplish is to put those in the illegal

>trafficking business, out of business. The

>prices would come down, and the whole illegal
>trade infrastructure would collapse. But those

>who want it would still want it, and they'd have

>a better chance at getting it, and it would be

>cheaper.

Yep,,,thus taking another criminal element out of the equation......the need to rob, steal, or burglarize to support their expensive habit.

>"to the FCC and the state, operating a pirate

>radio station from a 40-watt transmitter in his

>kitchen breakfast nook makes him a criminal."

Yea, in fact, people have been jailed for such activity and accrued criminal records, unlike those who talk skip on 11 meters.

>In principle, there is not much difference.

Perhaps in *your* principles, but thank God the courts, prosecutors, and FCC disagrees with you on this issue.

>Why?

Because of the money angle. The large corporations can move mountains with their cash, which very often coordinates with who gets elected. The corporations aren't getting pissed when we freeband or dx, because there is no possible revenue loss from their pocket. Hence, the FCC are not going to get the same complaints by big money corporations concerning these radio operators. However, if one is to begin siphoning listeners from a conglomerate owned station, you can bet Mother Corporate is going to go ape-shit and demand something be done.

>You have pretty much accurately painted the

>picture of why things are as they are today.

>But that doesn't negate my earlier statement

>that, in principle, there is little difference

>between a pirate FM broadcaster and a

>freebander. They are both operating illegally.

>The only difference is in their respective

>impact.

Why delete the casual dxer from your statement now? After all, we are illegal, too.
But..... there are many differences. In addition to their impact, the FCC does not target freebanders or dxers. Freebanders and dxers have never been subject to the penalties of the pirate. Nobody cares about

dxers except those like you who lump them in as criminals for whatever personal reason you may have regarding those who otherwise obey the letter of the law.

-
Again, when big money
talks, FCC listens. They sold out, Dave, and they do not have your interests, or any other radio op's interests at heart, otherwise, they wouldn't be whoring the frequencies to the (always) -highest- bidder.

>That's capitalism 101.

No,, that's pandering.

>We've always operated that way. That's one of
>the reasons why the little guy is often jealous

>of the big guy.

Can you cite an example?

>That's also why ham radio has organizations

>like the ARRL to give the FCC an ear into ham
>radio matters.

You paraphrased me. If the FCC had your interests at heart and were fair to hammies, the ARRL would not even be needed, Dave.

> While there is not the same amount of money
>influence, there is a collectively loud voice

>which cannot be completely ignored. Love 'em
>or hate 'em, the ARRL has at least served

>most of the interests of ham radio

>In the mid 70's a few people tried to form a

>similar organization to represent the interests

>of the growing CB hobby users. They just

>couldn't get enough serious interest and

>commitment. Maybe had the internet been

>around then, it might have had a better

>chance. If that group had been successful, we

>might have a 26-28 Mhz band segment with a

>100 watt PEP limit now.

I like it the way it is, regarding the freeband in that area of the spectrum..

>An unlicensed station is an unlicensed station

>regardless of where it is operated.

You claimed there was not much difference between the criminal element
of operating a pirate radio staion on the FM commercial band and talking
dx on cb. The powers-that-be, by virtue of no action taken against the
latter, illustrate otherwise.

>There is no difference in principle.

Only in *your* principle.

> The difference is in the impact.

No,,the difference is also in the manner these issues are enforced, in
addition to the penalties applied.

_
It's simply an issue that causes
no problems except for corporate radio and any time Clear Channel takes
a hit, I cheer.

>Two points:

>Your point that corporate ($$$) interests have

>a bigger share of the FCC's ear, explains why

>pirate FM operators get heavy fines and jail

>time, while freebanders and bootleg hams get

>a wrist slapping (if anything).

Then how do you fail to see the FCC does not have your best interests at
heart when the bottom line of whom they cater to is...taaa daaa..the
wealthy corporations?

>I agree. I understand what's at work here. I'm

>only saying that there is little difference in

>principle between one form of illegal operation
>and another.

>Let me ask you this:

>If you bought a brand new boat, would you not
>expect prompt and effective service from the

>person or dealer who sold it to you?

Let's place this into perspective. Buy a radio, complain about the
operating conditions to the FCC. Buy a boat, complain about the waether
conditions to the dealer. No comparison.

>On the other hand, if someone gave you an

>old boat, can you expect the same level of

>response when there is a problem?

>To you, the problems are the same.

No,,to YOU the problems are the same. To me, there exists no problem concerning simple dxing and selective freebanding.

>But to the powers that be, the guy who pays

>gets the most response, and it is part of the

>perceived "value" of something.

Assuming such can be the case, the perceived value is flawed, but by virtue of their actions, or inactions, the FCC clearly does not share your perceived values of the rule infractions as being equal in "value".

>There's a whole other aspect of this

>discussion centering on the government right

>to place a "price" on airwave spectrum. Many

>people feel that airspace is something that

>God gave us, and the government has no right
>auctioning off for a price. Others look at

>bandwidth as a commodity, just like oil.

>I agree with you 100% on your Clear Channel

>comment. The innovation creativity, and

>personality of broadcast radio dies when they

>all got assimilated into the ClearChannel or

>Infinity collective. I remember the glory days of
>top 40 "Boss" radio in the 60's and 70's. It was
>a far better product then.

Wolfman Jack,,,,the King Biscuit Flower Hour,,,,,nothing compares today.

>http://www.reelradio.com

>Memory lane. Lot's of good stuff from

>legendary people.

–

The unlicensed transmitter law you refer doesn't apply across the board, but rather targets unlicensed broadcasters who take revenue/listeners from corporate radio and who interfere with other station's broadcasts. A few complaints from John Doe to the FCC complaining unlicensed pirate radio may get filed

somewhere, but not acted upon until a big gun is activated.

>True, but for now, it's only a matter of

>perception that makes it that way.

I'd say it's pretty much a reality. It's been that way for many years
and business-as-usual is the thing going on at the FCC......they are
more concerned with protecting you from yourself so you're not offended
by something you may hear or see broadcast.

>Well, broadcasters have an enormous

>responsibility when it comes to what it shows

>to millions of people. There has to be a

>standard level of "taste" that should be

>applied. The FCC has the thankless job of

>enforcing that "taste" level.

With such a melting pot of Europeans (whose television broadcasts are
nowhere near as hypocritical regarding the FCC's "puritan" goals)
in the US, attempting to legsilate personal tastes is a lesson in
futility, one the FCC will eventually lose.

>Of course, everyone is different. Some people

>could see gratuitous sex and blood and guts

>all night long while munching on a large

>hoagie, and not be bothered. Other's cringe at

>the slightest "risque" show.

Such is where that exercise in freedom of coice regariding the remote or
channel selector comes in toplay.

–
>That's true in many cases of CB interference

>as well.

Yes, but how many commercial interests these days have complaints
regarding cb? It's
miniscule, if at all existent.

>Which explains why attention to unauthorized

>freeband operation is not a priority now.

Never as been.

>Well, when the FCC was better funded and

>actually licensed the band, enforcement

>efforts were better. It's certainly not at the top

>of anyone's list today.

>That could change depending on several

>factors, not the least of which is an attitude of

>crackdown in the administration of the FCC,

Not likely or probable.

>That depends on what someone perceives as

>an issue.

And by virtue of their inactions, freebanding is not perceived as any priority by the FCC.

> But I'd agree that given the current

>state of affairs, it's not likely to happen.

>or a potential allocation of frequencies for

>commercial ventures (BPL?)

That is the only matter in the near future that could possibly alter the business-as-usual at the agency regarding this issue, and even that is not likely. Here's why. The cycle is waning, and the dx won't be there to be had like it has been. Without the plug-n-play availability, many ops will disappear. The hard core ops will remain and work for their contacts. With only a smattering of those left to jockey for dx, it is unlikely it will even be noticed. Now, let's play devil's advocate for a moment and assume the worst. Interference is reeking havoc to BPL and vice-versa. Who is going to be targeted? NOT the casual dxer on the freeband. It will be the mighty-mights, the rf warriors (hey, that would make a pretty good handle for some) the big guns wit' da' 10 ohm smoke and choke!

>At least you acknowledge that if the CB

>spectrum becomes more "valuable" to

>commercial interests (AKA BPL), the eye of

>the FCC may start giving the band a closer

>look.

This isn't a cb issue. It's a spectrum-across-the-board issue. One can posit that since many argue more illegals are to be heard on cb, that is the last area to be effected.

> The DX cycle has pretty much bottomed out.

We are still etting skip daily, just not all day. Early afternoon into evening.

>It will start to rise in the next few years. If BPL

>takes off, it'll take at least that long to become

>established. The point where BPL is rolled out

>en-masse and the DX peaks again may be

>coincidental.

>You're right, the brightest lights on the band

>(the guys with big power) will stand out and be
>the first to go. But that's not to say that others

>will not stand a greater chance of being

>hassled.

Oh yea. Bob moved over the weekend. He's no longer in the garage apartment. You just can't trust the media these days...<g>....look at Bush, he's pulling a Dan Rather by manufacturing news and those who cried about CBS are hypocritically silent about it.

>Maybe because it isn't true.

You mean the republican congress' accountability office lied when they directed the WH to cease these behaviors you are suggesting aren't true?

>I don't know all the factual details.

Read up on this issue. I want your opinion.

>I have read a bit into it. But all I can find are

>reporters accounts.

As opposed to.....

> Reporters are notorious at

>spin and bias.

Especially when they are hired guns by the WH.

>I haven't found any true facts

>yet.

It does. The administration has admitted as much with the acknowledgement that such massive caches of wmds (remember, they were said to be north, east, south, and west of Baghdad, and Rummy said he knew exactly where they were) could NOT have been moved without being detected by satelites. One does not merely toss a few wmds into a truck or two and drive merrily down the road to Syria. The activity to

undertake such missions could not have gone un-noticed, but let's again play devil's advocate and go out on that last limb that some of you die-hards are clinging to regarding this issue. One of the president's last statements regarding the invasion of Iraq and the march to Baghdad was to stop the proliferation and SPREAD of wmds. The United States operates on the opposite of what you believe..that absence of proof is proof of absence of guilt. So, with no proof of wmds, the administration admitted as much. Now, to focus on your theory (based on absence of proof)
there WERE wmds (based on absence of proof) in Iraq and they were moved to Syria. this makes Bush a failure in stopping their SPREAD, the very reason (at least, this last time) he invoked for invading Iraq.

>I really don't want to speculate as to motives,

>the existence of WMD, or where they might be
>right now.

But that's esactly what you did claiming they could have been moved to Syria. No one in the WH or Bush admin even entertained this idea. It comes only from the fleeced flock.

>This issue is glaringly short of true

>facts,

Facts are easily indentified. Bush said he was wrong, there were no wmds in Iraq.

>and very long on spin and speculation.

Only by those who claim there were wmds with no facts other than Bush saying so long ago, then admitting he was wrong on this issue,,something many have a problem accepting, because, in part, perhaps they wanted it to be true, and then there are those who just jumped behind the presindet blindly and are now tired of eating crow..

>My theory is that absence of proof is not

>necessarily proof of absence.

But absence of proof IS exactly proof of absence of GUILT in the US courts and judicial system. Your belief, no offense intended, is irrelevant to the manner in which the US operates.

>If I fly to Florida and hide out in some swamp,

>and you fail to find me, does that mean that I

>was never there?

No relevance to the above discussed.

>So far we have failed to find WMD. But you

>can't conclusively conclude that something

>doesn't exist simply because you can't find

>evidence of it. There are other possibilities to

>consider (Syria being only one).

>They could very well be in Syria.

Not if you believe the Bush admin concerning their satellite
technology.
The WH NEVER even mentioned this possibility, because they found the
intel to be flawed regarding the existence of wmds. Bush personally
appointed a committee to find WHY the intel was failed that led them to
falsely claim they not only knew their were wmds, but that they knew
exactly where they all were.

>At least you softened your opinion from "Bush

>lied about WMD" to one of a false claim based
>on faulty intel. That scenario is more likely

>should it be found that WMD have not been

>moved to Syria.

Bottom line is Bush probably did lie about it, and blamed it on faulty
intel.

>One could be guilty of promoting an untruth

>based on faulty info. But that's a far different

>concept than knowingly lying about it.

But when it occurs repeatedly, and no one is held accountable for
repeated failed intel,
motives are questioned. From the 911 report, we KNOW Rumsfeld
demanded a target in Iraq, even when he was informed Iraq was not
responsible for 911. THAT intel was not flawed. It was perfect.
Flawless. He merely disregarded it as it was not want he wanted to hear.
Then came "Operation Liberate Iraq"..which we (the US citizens) did not
buy. So he changed the theme to one of imminent danger by Iraq and their
wmds. Both turned out to be bullshit. Pure fabrication (failed
intel...wink wink). But we bought it. Based on all the Bush other lies
(the whoring of Jessica Lynch to the media with incredible bullshit lies
to whip the country into a false patriotic fervor in order to garner
support for his manufactured Iraq war, will NEVER be forgotten by vets).

>The "whoring" story was planted and spun by

>anti-Bush factions to cloud the authenticity of

>the rescue. There is no factual information

>either validating this claim or linking this to

>Bush.

Dave, sure there is. The entire country watched Bush as he told the US on tv "Jessica fought valiantly until the end, mortally wounding several enemy terrorists before being captured". Are you claiming this did not occur, or are you claiming YOU are not aware of it? Read her book. She was unconscious from the time her vehicle flipped. She fired not a single shot. Bush l-i-e-d. Read her book. Regarding the Bush commetns, Jessica said "I have no idea why he said those things".

_
Nor will his slashing of the
military benefits (hazard pay, extended medical bennies) and his sending to war troops not properly equipped (humvees with no armor) and no proper body armor, because the money to pay for this life-saving gear went to pay for his tax cuts to the wealthiest two percent.

>One needs to wonder then why John Kerry

>voted for the money to provide body armor

>before he voted against it......

Why? This was explained this many times. The first version was fine, the second an underhanded attempt by repubicans. They knew the armor would be voted for, and tacked on a bunch of non-related bullshit items. Then again, Bush has his own flip-flops, but attempting to deflect from the Bush problems via invocation of past history is no longer working for you folks, especially in light of the boneheaded move the Bush bros pulled regaridng Terry Schiavo. One good thing came from it, Jeb certainly ruined his political career and we won;t have him as president,,,ever.

>BTW: We ALL got a tax cut. ALL taxpayers.

Only to those who allow Bush to redefine such terms as what constitutes such. These would be the same minions who permitted Bush to redefine the term "liberal" to encompass any who dare criticize the admin.

_
Somewhere along the line, he made a
conscious decision that his tax cuts were worth more than the lives he expended that could have been saved by proper armor.

>This was not an "Either/Or" situation.

Whaaaaa? The hell it wasn't. Even Greenspan told Bush this was no time to be cutting taxes.

>Ask congressional democrats why they voted

>against proving more funding for the military.

Congressional democrats are impotent in Congress, as it's republican led. Blaming another party for your party screwups didn't work for Perot, it won't work for you.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1039. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 17:03:32 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3496-424838E4-64@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198117

From: jlwsecure-usenet@yahoo.com (John L. Wilkerson Jr.)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:25075-42404CC0-609 @storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
Why did you wait so long to decide to inform us that when you were
posting as "Legal Radio", you were really AC6MG? Do some of the things
you said in your posts make you ashamed of your call?

>Negative. I have never heard of AC6MG.

*

>I'm not a ham, nor have I ever been.

Look up the bloke's call whose name you are using. Or were you not aware
that second post you made had your name attached to it?

>I'm not ashamed of anything I've ever said.

Well, painting all cbers with a negative wide brush certainly wasn't the
most intelligent thing you ever said, but hey, if you believe such
bullshit, who am I to teach you differently?

1040. Re: Does anyone remember how great rec.radio.cb used to be?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 17:05:31 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3499-4248395B-2@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198116

From: legalradio@yahoo.com (Legal Radio)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:29705-42419E89-57@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush)
From: jlwsecure-usenet@yahoo.com (John L. Wilkerson Jr.)
Ah, so you did. Why did you wait so long to decide to inform us that
when you were posting as "Legal Radio", you were really AC6MG? Do some
of the things you said in your posts make you ashamed of your call?
_
Aww,,never mind, John. Your disdain for cber's is there for all to see,
preserved in google archives for posterity. You are an extra class
hammie,,,, you shouldn't be hanging in a cb group if you have such
personal issues with cber's.

>Once again, I am not a ham.

So you say,,,

>I do not have issues with CBers.

Ummm,,you forget?

>Just the illegals.

Yea,,well then why did you lump all cbers in a negative light?

>To tell you the truth, I really don't care one

>way or another about CB or CBers anymore.

>My interests have gone elsewhere over the

>years.

I see. You are here seeking culinary advice.

1041. Re: Why is dopiemopey taking up taxidermy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 17:09:10 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3495-42483A36-186@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198115

From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns)
>Does anyone know?

Perhaps he's planning on sticking an apple in your mouth and mounting
you on the wall.

1042. Re: hey vinnie s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 17:10:25 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3497-42483A81-23@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198118

From: ViperGTS@Dodge.org (Viper GTS)
Steveo wrote:
Youinz' all suck. The mighty Browns will run ruff-shot over ya'll!!

_
Brownies didn't buy enough New England Patriots to do any such thing.
  Lord knows they tried to buy the whole fucking team; but came up
about 50 players and 10 coaches short.

_
Seen the 550 HP Ford Viper Killer yet?

1043. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 17:15:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ogcg41t8fjolpd9g6llptomosvvmstbkqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 198113

On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 11:04:07 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Actually, it ties in perfectly. If it wasn't for the FCC catering to the
>big money interests, the spectrum wouldn't be disappearing for hammies
>and they would need no collective organization to represent their
>interests in Washington.
>
>
>>You could argue that the attention that the
>>FCC is giving to "Radio Pirates" is a direct
>>result of the influence that commercial
>>interests wield.

>Why argue something that isn't taking place?
>The FCC isn't giving pirates any attention at all. They merely respond
>to complaints concerning isolated incidents.

Huh? I though the whole point of this discussion was based on how the
FCC was "targeting" radio pirates based on the complaints from those
money grubbing commercial radio conglomerates.

>> In many ways, they feel that since they had to
>>pay large amounts of money to the FCC for
>>spectrum space, they expect the FCC to
>>closer police it for them.
>
>
>The extreme republican approach,,,much more government intrusion in our
>personal lives....government must protect us from ourselves because we
>(those who clamor for such enforcement) are incapable of managing
>ourselves and controlling our own behavior.

That would be the democrat approach. More social programs to manage
the well-being of people who are presumably unable to make their own
decisions or care for themselves.

The truth is that there are people who cannot control their own
behavior. Should these people not be dealt with according to the
provisions of the law?

>>I'm not convinced that
>>this is necessarily a bad thing under the
>>circumstances.
>
>

>Heck, that is what the FCC enforcement wing was supposed to be doing all
>along, except somewhere along the line they bowed to the corporate cash
>cow, sold out your interests and by virtue of their actions, told
>hammies to take a flying leap.

Well, here's the other side of the story. Funding for enforcement has
been cut severely. License fees have dwindled. The amount of people
who thumb their noses at FCC rules has increased. There are only so
many field agents to go around. Since they simply cannot handle ALL of
the problems, they are forced to prioritize. Those who wield the most
influence (either through monetary or political avenues) usually get
their cases heard first.

That's just the way it is. I'm not saying it's either right or wrong.

>>Look at the bright side, if the FCC is busy
>>sweeping up after the big money people, they
>>are forced to leave the freeband alone.

>Just like pirate radio, they always leave the freeband alone with the
>exception of those who practice blatant stupidity.

Well, yea, if you call attention to yourself, you deserve what
happens. But that's one difference between freebanding and pirate
radio. The freebander, for the most part is not looking for notoriety.
He just wants to work DX. The pirate broadcaster wants people to
notice him, otherwise why bother broadcasting at all? But you can't be
selective as to what type of attention a pirate gets. If you become
popular enough, you are bound to attract the attention of those you
affect in a negative way.

>
>
>>What "bullshit"? The FCC takes care of those
>>who have a larger part of their ear first.
>
>
>THAT is bullshit. All should receive equal time, not those who scream
>the most, have connections or the most money.

That is overly naive and idealistic. It isn't possible for the FCC (or
many other agencies) to treat everyone equally. They don't have the
manpower to do so. So they prioritize.

It's the same everywhere. You wait in line for a good seat in a
restaurant, and then someone walks up, flashes a greenback, and get's
seated right away. The best medical care is gotten by those who are
willing to pay. There are many such examples.

As long as an item or service has a perceived value, then that item or
service is open to be influenced by the highest bidder. This relates
to human nature and an intrinsic material greed. There are very few
people who would not succumb to such influence. Such leads to the

saying: "Every man has his price".

>They should go after those
>actions that present a hazard or safey issue first and foremost.

How many FCC actions relate to health and safety? Most are for rule infractions. And of those, the ones brought through the most influential means (financial or political) get dealt with first.

Again, not saying it's right, but it's how things are done.

>Instead, their number one priority now is to (again) protect you from
>broadcast "obscenities", even though they are unabel to properly define
>such obscenities and indecencies, but are able to recognize it "when we
>see it"..so says the FCC.

Such is what happens when we have a culture clash between a segment of the population who have become much less morally guided and more hedonistic and secular in nature, against another segment of the population who sees a definite decline in morality and will fight it with any means possible.

Who is right?

>>It's the way most business works.
>
>
>Yet you fail to see the bullshit and error of their practice and tend to
>agree with it.

I recognize it just fine. I also am realistic in my view of how things work. I don't dwell on how things "should be". Rather, I deal with things how they are, and learn to work the system to my best advantage.

>>Most agencies (government or commercial)
>>are not omnipotent. They have to prioritize
>>their response to consumer needs.
>
>
>Is that what you think should occur? You need check the history of the
>FCC. Consumer need was not the reason the agency was formed.

"Consumer" as defined as those who the FCC serves, not just the common citizen. Commercial broadcasters are a "customer" of the FCC, as are Hams, public service operators, cell phone companies, etc.

>> The priority
>>list is usually based on the perceived "value"
>>of each particular customer.
>
>
>Again, you demonstrate yet another problem with the FCC..this time,
>their flawed "perception" of values.

Someone has to make the judgement call. That's what happens in a democracy. There will always be those who agree, and those who disagree. You can't make everyone happy all the time. So you settle for what makes the most sense based on the greatest feedback you receive, and who pays your salary.

>>For those who despise the concepts of
>>capitalism, they have a hard time stomaching
>>the reality that those with the most money
>>usually buy the most influence.
>
>
>
>Capitalism has nothing at all do with wrongly buying governmental
>influence.

Sure it does, once you understand that government influence is just another commodity that can be bought.

> Those who accept such governmental atrocities as
>business-as-usual (or disguise its bullshit by incorrectly referring to
>such as "capitalism") are numb to to the crooked concepts being forced
>upon them because they are so used to having governement coddle them and
>make their decisions for them and tell them what is best for them.

Those two issues are not necessarily related. Those in business are most certainly NOT wanting the government to make decisions for them. But they do expect the government to act in their favor if they are paying for it.

>The
>government of the US was formed with the notion that ALL its citizens
>would receive equal treatment regardless of race, political belief,
>religious status, sex. This includes the rich as well as the poor.
>Treating citizens differently and unfairly because of their monetary
>status is not captalism.

As long as government service has value, there will be people willing to pay more for it for quicker consideration.

Idealistically, it shouldn't be that way. But until you can cure people from the allure of money, the temptation will always be there.

>>It would be nice if the little guy has the same
>>visibility as the big guy.

>Not regarding pirate radio.

Well, no, not if your objection is to avoid prosecution.

>>But that's not how it
>>works in the real world, like it or not.
>
>
>Your words:

>
>>"If you don't take a strong stand against
>>something, you are basically condoning it."

Also my words:

"Pick your battles wisely. Not all of them can be won."

"Let the system work for you".

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1044. Re: Why is dopiemopey taking up taxidermy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 21:12:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050328161209.724$yY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198119

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist=A0to=A0keyclowns)
> >Does anyone know?
>
> Perhaps he's planning on sticking an apple in your mouth and mounting
> you on the wall.
>
Mr Peebles taxidermy? Ask me in Dayton, dogie.

1045. FS: Original SBE Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-28 22:20:36 UTC
From: barry <btuttleman@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <42488336.90006@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198120

for sale:
all factory originals
1)Aspen Model SBE-41CB, owner's
2)Cascade III, SBE-15CB, owner's
3)Catalina II, SBE-22CB, owner's
4)Catalina III, SBE-29CB, owner's
5)Cortez, SBE-21CB, owner's
6)Cortez 40, SBE-42CB, owner's
7)Stowaway, SBE-47CB, owner's
8)Trinadad, SBE-30CB, owner's
9)Brute, SBE-34CB, service
10)Console V, SBE-40CB owner's
11)Coronado II, SBE-10CB, owner's
12)Formula D, SBE-26CB, owner's
13)Formula D touch/com, SBE-32CB, service
14)Key/Com 1000, owner's
15)Shasta I, SBE-31CB, service
16)Shasta II & III, SBE-24CB/25CB, service
17)Sidebander, owner's
18)Sidebander II, SBE-12CB, owner's
19)Sidebander II, SBE-12CB, service
20)Sidebander V, SBE-39CB, owner's
21)Triniadad, SBE-11CB, service
22)Trinidad III, SBE-45CB, owner's

owner's manuals are $7.00 + shipping, service manuals are $12.00 +
shipping. please email replies, tnx in advance
barry
carson city nv
--
Barry Tuttleman
Carson City, Nevada
websites:
industrial/manuals/slots/aircraft:
http://home.att.net/~btuttleman/barrysite.html
electronics:
http://home.att.net/~btuttleman2/electron.html

1046. Why taxidermy? how about a straight answer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 00:08:31 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112054911.804180.145780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198121

Quit foolin' around and be straight about it...I KNOW one of you keyclowns knows why. just tell us!

1047. Re: Why is dopiemopey taking up taxidermy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 00:09:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112054971.950211.235310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198122

Naw, sorry twithead...he uses apples as FUGGLY bait.

1048. Re: Why is dopiemopey taking up taxidermy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 00:11:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112055071.774745.296580@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198123

Well, hang around Sunday if ya want me to do that. I'll bring you photos of Medina County and surrounding environs as well. You dummy, you have no idea what's going on.

1049. Re: Hey Shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 02:06:43 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CK22e.11811$xg1.458@fe09.lga>
Source record: 198124

Landshark wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:9jJ1e.2867$Oj7.597@fe12.lga...
>
>>Fordson Dodge wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>news:dEJ0e.7784$iD6.697@fe10.lga...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
>>>>>Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well.
>>>>>The problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio. Apparently
>>>>>it was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got a good
>>>>>soaking but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some bleach
>>>>>but it still smells. what can I do?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>stop posting crap like this for starters...
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Jim, I don't think anyone who would label themselves as a Proud Pumkin
>>>Eater would post crap!
>>
>>i cant be arsed to see where this poster is located but do me a favor and
>>verify its one of the lovely band of druthers that post here.
>
>
> Not worth it OG, just ignore them like most
> are doing with Geo & Doug. Hope your Easter was
> a good one, got a new keg this month.... RedTail Ale.
>
> Landshark
>
>
put a nice dent in it i hope.

1050. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 02:39:08 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@no_where.com>
Message-ID: <gd32e.55334$8D.20554@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 198125

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns9627654B392BF1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:0kU1e.2430$FN4.273
> @newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
>>
>> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:2l3g41lgq9tghpd0mp2fbme13sf1vtt4dh@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 03:12:03 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Tree is 110', antenna on a 10' mast, about 5' above the top.
>>>
>>>
>>> How did you get it up that high? Did you pay someone?
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>
> No he had him dress in transexual garb as he climbed the long pole.

Childish newsgroup assclown.

1051. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 03:17:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <b6ih41pa3lbdhb0til5ad2v8urg6geiqjc@4ax.com>
Source record: 198126

On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 02:39:08 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@no_where.com> wrote:

>
>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote in message news:Xns9627654B392BF1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:0kU1e.2430$FN4.273
>> @newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:2l3g41lgq9tghpd0mp2fbme13sf1vtt4dh@4ax.com...
>>>> On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 03:12:03 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Tree is 110', antenna on a 10' mast, about 5' above the top.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> How did you get it up that high? Did you pay someone?
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> No he had him dress in transexual garb as he climbed the long pole.
>
>Childish newsgroup assclown.
>

Well, at least we know what is first on his mind.

Vinnie S.

1052. my new radio!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 03:26:32 UTC
From: Fordson Dodge <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <IV32e.4234$zl.2045@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198127

http://img205.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img205&image=gorskirobertcarl9ew.jpg

1053. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 04:14:51 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%C42e.2690$FN4.1129@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198128

"U Know Who" <no_one@no_where.com> wrote in message
news:gd32e.55334$8D.20554@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns9627654B392BF1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:0kU1e.2430$FN4.273
>> @newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:2l3g41lgq9tghpd0mp2fbme13sf1vtt4dh@4ax.com...
>>>> On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 03:12:03 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Tree is 110', antenna on a 10' mast, about 5' above the top.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> How did you get it up that high? Did you pay someone?
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> No he had him dress in transexual garb as he climbed the long pole.
>
> Childish newsgroup assclown.

LOL!! He's still obsessed with me, LMAO!!!
He's a dying part of this newsgroup, most everyone seems
to get along ok, except him & Doug..........pity.........

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1054. Re: Hey Shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 04:14:51 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%C42e.2691$FN4.1084@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198129

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:CK22e.11811$xg1.458@fe09.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:9jJ1e.2867$Oj7.597@fe12.lga...
>>
>>>Fordson Dodge wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:dEJ0e.7784$iD6.697@fe10.lga...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Proud Peter Pumpkin Eater wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>My radio was recently stolen and was later located in a local park.
>>>>>>Although the radio was a bit dirty and had scratches, it worked well.
>>>>>>The problem is I can't get the urine smell out of the radio.
>>>>>>Apparently it was tossed into a pool of urine for a short time and got
>>>>>>a good soaking but still worked. I sprayed the circuit board with some
>>>>>>bleach but it still smells. what can I do?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>stop posting crap like this for starters...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>Jim, I don't think anyone who would label themselves as a Proud Pumkin
>>>>Eater would post crap!
>>>
>>>i cant be arsed to see where this poster is located but do me a favor and
>>>verify its one of the lovely band of druthers that post here.
>>
>>
>> Not worth it OG, just ignore them like most
>> are doing with Geo & Doug. Hope your Easter was
>> a good one, got a new keg this month.... RedTail Ale.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> put a nice dent in it i hope.

No other way. Can't wait for the first pitch for baseball.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

1055. Got a new cb today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 04:25:58 UTC
From: Fordson Dodge <0@0.0>
Message-ID: <qN42e.4249$zl.256@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198130

I'd show it to you but you're all a bunch of lying thieves so why should I risk having my home raided by a gang of overweight toothless radio thugs!

bahhh!!!

1056. Re: Imax-2000?.attn shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 08:19:42 UTC
From: astron345@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22434-42490F9E-343@storefull-3278.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198131

hey landshark what kind of coax you use i wanna put a a99. in an a tree
also .also what about grounding system thanks.ssb 2238 alabama 73s

1057. Re: Why is dopiemopey taking up taxidermy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 12:28:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050329072838.391$Vy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198132

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist=A0to=A0keyclowns)
> >Does anyone know?
>
> Perhaps he's planning on sticking an apple in your mouth and mounting
> you on the wall.
>
Hi Twist.

Someone already 'mounted' his fugly mug on the web.

http://img238.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img238&image=assfacedogie8ep.gif

1058. Re: Why is dopiemopey taking up taxidermy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 12:44:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050329074402.475$Oq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198133

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 29 Mar 2005 12:28:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >> From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist=A0to=A0keyclowns)
> >> >Does anyone know?
> >>
> >> Perhaps he's planning on sticking an apple in your mouth and mounting
> >> you on the wall.
> >>
> >Hi Twist.
> >
> >Someone already 'mounted' his fugly mug on the web.
> >
> >http://img238.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img238&image=assfacedogie8ep.gif
>
> That would put an end to the question "where to stick the
> thermometer"...
>
Or ugly stick. :D

1059. Uniden PC78 Elite vs Cobra 19 LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 13:26:29 UTC
From: Pcpro15@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112102789.448894.260670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198134

I purchased a Cobra 19 LTD because it was on sale. When I got home, I saw that the Uniden PC78 Elite was on sale. There is not a big price difference between the two and I know the Uniden PC78 Elite is the top cb that they offer. Is it worth driving up to the store to exchange it? Which cb is better?

Thanks in advance,

pcpro

1060. Re: lmax-2000?.attn shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 14:26:01 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Zzd2e.5884$zl.1926@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198135

"Free Band" <astron345@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22434-42490F9E-343@storefull-3278.bay.webtv.net...
> hey landshark what kind of coax you use i wanna put a a99. in an a tree
> also .also what about grounding system thanks.ssb 2238 alabama 73s

I'm using RG213 with RG Mini 8 for a type of ground.
I took the center strand and attached it to the antenna, then
both the center strand and shield twisted together and attached
to a ground rod.

Landshark

--

```
__
o /' )
/' ( ,
__/' ) .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
( _. )). `-._ <
`\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
`---......____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
`-` `
```

1061. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 14:58:19 UTC
From: laofhdhforkjcvc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <42496cd4.87733333@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198136

On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 21:22:09 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>Anybody have one? I am going to stick it in a tree. There are tuning rings on
>it. Anyone ever tune one of these? I am going at it alone when I install it. I
>am going to use a climbing tree stand, and it will be impossible to tune it when
>it is hung. If I tune it on the ground, will the SWR be drastically affected if
>it is a couple feet off the ground, as opposed to when it is about 30 feet up?
>
>Vinnie S.

Leave the tuning rings as they are. I put mine out the top of a
tree, and the antenna had a 1.1 SWR right out of th box.

1062. Re: Imax-2000?.attn shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 15:16:23 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <j7si411uvui188mpurp5fsgc1ra4g27g3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 198137

On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 14:26:01 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
>"Free Band" <astron345@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:22434-42490F9E-343@storefull-3278.bay.webtv.net...
>> hey landshark what kind of coax you use i wanna put a a99. in an a tree
>> also .also what about grounding system thanks.ssb 2238 alabama 73s
>
>
> I'm using RG213 with RG Mini 8 for a type of ground.
>I took the center strand and attached it to the antenna, then
>both the center strand and shield twisted together and attached
>to a ground rod.
>
> Landshark

That is a great idea. I am going to run nearly 300 feet of Bury-Flex. For both the Imax and the HF dipole.

Someone here told me to use bury-flex. It was a great idea. Who was it?

Vinnie S.

1063. Re: Imax-2000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 15:17:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <masi4190nh4ljjlllqhiuj9uddcdg390vl@4ax.com>
Source record: 198138

On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 14:58:19 GMT, laofhdhforkjcvc@yahoo.com (Odd Ball) wrote:

>On Sat, 26 Mar 2005 21:22:09 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Anybody have one? I am going to stick it in a tree. There are tuning rings on
>>it. Anyone ever tune one of these? I am going at it alone when I install it. I
>>am going to use a climbing tree stand, and it will be impossible to tune it when
>>it is hung. If I tune it on the ground, will the SWR be drastically affected if
>>it is a couple feet off the ground, as opposed to when it is about 30 feet up?
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
> Leave the tuning rings as they are. I put mine out the top of a
>tree, and the antenna had a 1.1 SWR right out of th box.
>

A friend of mine is going to send me an Autek RF analyzer, so I can tune it
while in the tree.

Vinnie S.

1064. Re: Uniden PC78 Elite vs Cobra 19 LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 15:32:17 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <rMe2e.22655$Vp2.7926@fe04.lga>
Source record: 198139

Uniden products are (or at least were, not sure about the newer models)
better quality all around...

<Pcpro15@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1112102789.448894.260670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> I purchased a Cobra 19 LTD because it was on sale. When I got home, I
> saw that the Uniden PC78 Elite was on sale. There is not a big price
> difference between the two and I know the Uniden PC78 Elite is the top
> cb that they offer. Is it worth driving up to the store to exchange
> it? Which cb is better?
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> pcpro
>

1065. quote of the day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 16:11:57 UTC
From: Birchy <birchcone@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112112717.185951.160880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198140

"I would sum up my fear about the future in one word: boring. And that's my one fear: that everything has happened; nothing exciting or new or interesting is ever going to happen again... the future is just going to be a vast, conforming suburb of the soul." - J. G. Ballard

http://www.fallingskyhazard.com/catalog.htm

1066. Re: Imax-2000?.attn shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 17:06:34 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d2c1uq$ue0$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 198141

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:j7si411uvui188mpurp5fsgc1ra4g27g3k@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 14:26:01 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Free Band" <astron345@webtv.net> wrote in message
>>news:22434-42490F9E-343@storefull-3278.bay.webtv.net...
>>> hey landshark what kind of coax you use i wanna put a a99. in an a tree
>>> also .also what about grounding system thanks.ssb 2238 alabama 73s
>>
>>
>> I'm using RG213 with RG Mini 8 for a type of ground.
>>I took the center strand and attached it to the antenna, then
>>both the center strand and shield twisted together and attached
>>to a ground rod.
>>
>> Landshark
>
>
> That is a great idea. I am going to run nearly 300 feet of Bury-Flex. For
> both
> the Imax and the HF dipole.
>
> Someone here told me to use bury-flex. It was a great idea. Who was it?
>
> Vinnie S.

May have been me. I love mine and it's wife friendly as she can't see the
coax hanging around. It is pretty stiff stuff though, no heliax, but a good
idea to work with it when it's warm :)

Chad

1067. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 17:11:09 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3495-42498C2D-369@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198142

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 11:04:07 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Actually, it ties in perfectly. If it wasn't for the FCC catering to the
big money interests, the spectrum wouldn't be disappearing for hammies
and they would need no collective organization to represent their
interests in Washington.

>You could argue that the attention that the

>FCC is giving to "Radio Pirates" is a direct

>result of the influence that commercial

>interests wield.

Why argue something that isn't taking place? The FCC isn't giving
pirates any attention at all.
They merely respond to complaints concerning isolated incidents.

>Huh? I though the whole point of this

>discussion was based on how the FCC was

>"targeting" radio pirates based on the

>complaints from those money grubbing

>commercial radio conglomerates.

I see nothing at all to illustrate the FCC is targeting Pirate radio
Ops, just more business as usual responding to isolated incidents and
complaints. To use the term "target" would suggest the FCC is active in
persuing pirate activity on their own. Nothing is further from the
truth.

>In many ways, they feel that since they had to

>pay large amounts of money to the FCC for

>spectrum space, they expect the FCC to

>closer police it for them.

The extreme republican approach,,,much more government intrusion in our
personal lives....government must protect us from ourselves because we
(those who clamor for such enforcement) are incapable of managing
ourselves and controlling our own behavior.

>That would be the democrat approach.

The democrats are not running the FCC the republicans are running the FCC.IF what you suggest is true, the current batch of republicans suddenly begun to mimic and employ what you claim is the democrat approach. Perhaps that is because their approaches have all been failures in this admin.

>More social programs to manage the

>well-being of people who are presumably

>unable to make their own decisions or care for
>themselves.

Another subject. Focus on what the current leaders, those responsible (republicans) for the current state of the FCC, are doing. It is difficult at best, for those who suport the Bush admin unconditionally, to do so, as it requires a bit more objectivity than unconditional Bush supporters are willing to muster. The FCC is not a social program.

>The truth is that there are people who cannot

>control their own behavior. Should these

>people not be dealt with according to the

>provisions of the law?

What you consider a "federal criminal" is not what the FCC or the US judicial system considers a "federal criminal" and their enforcement techniques and penalities wisely reflect such. Holding one accountable for their behavior is a much different subject than arguing a pro-position for the FCC when it comes to enforcing what they deem obscene, or as you put it, when it comes to enforcing "taste".

>I'm not convinced that

>this is necessarily a bad thing under the

>circumstances.

It is exacty that kind of thinking, the enemy to freedom lovers, which prompted Jefferson (or was it Franklin?) to wisely observe..."Those who would give up a bit of freedom for security, deserve neither"

>Well, here's the other side of the story.

>Funding for enforcement has been cut

>severely. License fees have dwindled.

Dwindled? You mean they are charging less for licenses or less people are seeking licenses?

>The amount of people who thumb their noses

>at FCC rules has increased.

How could you possibly confirm this? Because you are suddenly aware of more mediums where these people can voice their opinions regarding such? Even then, such an approach makes several assumptions.
Or perhaps the fact IS that more people ARE thumbing their nose at the FCC because the FCC is becoming more incompetent with each republican appointee and the majority of people, like myself, recognize the bullshit.. If an entire US society begins to buck poorly crafted laws, the laws are either unenforced or done away with. The old national 55 mph speed limit comes to mind almost immediately

>There are only so many field agents to go

>around.

Whose fault is that? The FCC has other fish to fry, they don't hold the same perceived values as you. If they deemed what you deem a priority with the same principle value applied
to the act of cbers dxing and freebanding vs the act of a pirate radio operator as you apply, they would redirect their energy into persuing these offenses. As you say,,,priorities..and the cbers and freebanders simply ain't it

>Since they simply cannot handle ALL of the

>problems, they are forced to prioritize. Those

>who wield the most influence (either through

>monetary or political avenues) usually get their
>cases heard first.

>That's just the way it is. I'm not saying it's

>either right or wrong.

You agreed with it earlier, mistakenly calling this favoritism and pandering by the FCC .."capitalism". You confirmed your position by saying those who didn't support such pandering were "haters of capitalism".

>Look at the bright side, if the FCC is busy

>sweeping up after the big money people, they

>are forced to leave the freeband alone.

Just like pirate radio, they always leave the freeband alone with the exception of those who practice blatant stupidity.

>Well, yea, if you call attention to yourself, you

>deserve what happens. But that's one

>difference between freebanding and pirate

>radio. The freebander, for the most part is not

>looking for notoriety. He just wants to work

>DX. The pirate broadcaster wants people to

>notice him, otherwise why bother broadcasting
>at all?

Yet, the enforcement is still the same as it is with
freebanders...non-existent until someone complains.

>But you can't be selective as to what type of

>attention a pirate gets. If you become popular

>enough, you are bound to attract the attention

>of those you affect in a negative way.

Same with freebanding. you become popular enough, you attract the
attention. Look at B-o-b in Phoenix. He may have been the inspiration
for our own Bob Noxious. Look at port o potty Charlie. These guys became
very popular (or unpopular, dependent on which side of the fence one
sits).
–

>What "bullshit"? The FCC takes care of those

>who have a larger part of their ear first.

THAT is bullshit. All should receive equal time, not those who scream
the most, have connections or the most money.

>That is overly naive and idealistic.

And the founding principles of our nation.
Equality for all, not just the rich.
The law, in all it's majestic equality, forbids the rich, as well the
poor, to steal bread, beg for
food, and sleep under bridges. It is those who become complacent and
permit the government to screw them over and over that is hurting this
country. They take it so much, before we know it, with a smile on their
face, they are asking "Thank you sir, may I have another?"

>It isn't possible for the FCC (or many other

>agencies) to treat everyone equally. They

>don't have the manpower to do so. So they

>prioritize.

Manpower of the FCC has nothing to do with fairness of the governed laws
they are charged with enforcing.

>It's the same everywhere. You wait in line for a
>good seat in a restaurant, and then someone

>walks up, flashes a greenback, and get's

>seated right away.

No,,THAT is private interest and captalism, but when it relates to the equality of treatment received by citizens of US government, such private rules do not apply.

>The best medical care is gotten by those who

>are willing to pay.

Medical care as a comparison?
Hmm,,ok,,,look at al the VAs,,their care sucks by comparison to private hospitals. More incompetence from the US govt.

>As long as an item or service has a perceived

>value,

>then that item or service is open to be

>influenced by the highest bidder.

Which has nothing to do with the FCC or US govt treating all fairly.

>This relates to human nature and an intrinsic

>material greed. There are very few people

>who would not succumb to such influence.

Perhaps among those in your world. I find the opposite to be true in most people. Then again, this could be where the geographical thing comes into play.

>Such leads to the saying: "Every man has his

>price".

And when you have the US government pandering to such special interests (those with the cash), that government ceases to be fair as intended to all its citizens and is then corrupt.

–
They should go after those
actions that present a hazard or safety issue first and foremost.

>How many FCC actions relate to health and

>safety?

Many issues are related to
safety issues. In fact, the FCC has employees who do nothing else except investigate safety related issues an complaints. Who said anything about health?

>Most are for rule infractions.

And among the rules you cite, many are crafted for safety reasons. The home cb base station construction of metal to fiberglass comes to mind immediately. Such is why antennas such as the Maco 5/8 are now referred to as "commercial" antennas.

>And of those, the ones brought through the

>most influential means (financial or political)

>get dealt with first.

Safety plays a part in many issues. Perhaps just not in the manner you are familiar with as relates to cb and hammie radio, specifically.

>Again, not saying it's right, but it's how things

>are done.

Instead, their number one priority now is to
(again) protect you from broadcast "obscenities", even though they are unable to properly define such obscenities and indecencies, but are able to recognize it "when we see it"..so says the FCC.

>Such is what happens when we have a culture
>clash between a segment of the population

>who have become much less morally guided

>and more hedonistic and secular in nature,

>against another segment of the population

>who sees a definite decline in morality and will
>fight it with any means possible.

So it is safe to say you hold the rest of the world (as opposed to the US) as a lesser when it comes to their morals, by virtue of what their government broadcasts.
The majority of America was not offended by the fleeting sight of Janet Jackson's breast, yet, a radical zealist entity existing within the Bush-led government feels it must protect those who were not offended, from such "obscene" material. I find the behavior of the president getting involved with the personal rights of the Terry Schiavo case much more obscene than a woman's breast. In fact, perhaps this specific republican paty is chock full of homosexuals (Jeff Gannon??**) and THAT is why they find what is considered an object of beauty by the heterosexual population, "obscene".

**If you understand WH security protocol, or care to read up on it, you will find no reason exists for Gannon to be automatically re-issued day passes over and over. This violates their own policy concerning entry-level security clearance, such as WH visitiors. Such clearance does not permit the holder of that level pass to even be in the same wing as the president. Even with the lowest

security level clearance, Gannon was able to get within 15 feet of the leader of the free word on many occasion. He was servicing someone very high up on the ladder, or the Bush security
continues to fail..there are no other possibilities.

>Who is right?

The majority, which is not the Bush admin or the FCC.

>It's the way most business works.

Yet you fail to see the bullshit and error of
their practice and tend to agree with it.

>I recognize it just fine. I also am realistic in my

>view of how things work. I don't dwell on how

>things "should be".

But you do. You called it "actively involved" and said if you are not actively campaigning against something, you are supporting it. So which is it,,,do you understand the corruptness and bullshit of the govt and accept it because that's how things are, or do you disagree with it and actively work/become involved to change what you disagree with?

>Rather, I deal with things how they are, and

>learn to work the system to my best

>advantage.

You claimed this before, but failed to provide when I asked the proactive nature of your "working the system" to your advantage regarding the enforcement issues relating to freeband and illegal cb.

>Most agencies (government or commercial)

>are not omnipotent. They have to prioritize

>their response to consumer needs.

Is that what you think should occur? You need check the history of the FCC. Consumer need was not the reason the agency was formed.

>"Consumer" as defined as those who the FCC

>serves, not just the common citizen.

Please check the history of the agency. It was not conceived to serve anybody, but rather to govern and administer.

>Commercial broadcasters are a "customer" of

>the FCC, as are Hams, public service

>operators, cell phone companies, etc.

Call them whatever you desire, the FCC was not conceived to serve their needs (except as self-designed by the FCC) OR wants.

>The priority

>list is usually based on the perceived "value"

>of each particular customer.

Again, you demonstrate yet another problem with the FCC..this time, their flawed "perception" of values.

>Someone has to make the judgement call.

That has always been the problem with the republicans, always seeking to legislate their judgement and morality over another. Doesn't work that way.

>There will always be those who agree, and

>those who disagree. You can't make everyone
>happy all the time. So you settle for what

>makes the most sense based on the greatest

>feedback you receive, and who pays your

>salary.

Which are totally opposite. Which do you think they bow to? Surely not any feedback, Dave. This is illustrated time and again by Bush. Feedback means nothing. He has echoed this several times. Despite his base disagreeing with his intererence of the Schiavo issue, he put his own agenda ahead of his party constituents, just like he has done to the rest of the country each and every time his ideas are disagreed with by the majority of the people.

>For those who despise the concepts of

>capitalism, they have a hard time stomaching

>the reality that those with the most money

>usually buy the most influence.

Capitalism has nothing at all do with wrongly buying governmental influence.

>Sure it does, once you understand that

>government influence is just another

>commodity that can be bought.

What you illustrate is a corrupt governement, which can not exist in a democracy.
 Those who accept such governmental
atrocities as business-as-usual (or disguise its bullshit by

incorrectly referring to such as "capitalism") are numb to to the crooked concepts being forced upon them because they are so used to having governement coddle them and make their decisions for them and tell them what is best for them.

>Those two issues are not necessarily related.

And not necessarily detached, either.

>Those in business are most certainly NOT

>wanting the government to make decisions for
>them. But they do expect the government to

>act in their favor if they are paying for it.

The government of the US was formed with the notion that ALL its citizens would receive equal treatment regardless of race, political belief, religious status, sex. This includes the rich as well as the poor. Treating citizens differently and unfairly because of their monetary status is not captalism.

>As long as government service has value,

>there will be people willing to pay more for it

>for quicker consideration.

And when one receives special treatment from a government because of their money, that government is corrupt. You are virtually explaining the corruptness of the current Bush administration, and doing a fantastic job.

>Idealistically, it shouldn't be that way. But until

>you can cure people from the allure of money,

>the temptation will always be there.

Especially when the Bush family is in office.
Temptation exists in all facets of life. It is no excuse for government corruption.

>It would be nice if the little guy has the same

>visibility as the big guy.

Not regarding pirate radio.

>Well, no, not if your objection is to avoid

>prosecution.

>But that's not how it

>works in the real world, like it or not.

Your words:

>"If you don't take a strong stand against

>something, you are basically condoning it."

>Also my words:

>"Pick your battles wisely. Not all of them can

>be won."

Such as simple dxing on cb on freeband, but some have yet to come to terms with it.

>"Let the system work for you".

It usually does. It's protected my interests in dx and freebanding for many, many years.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1068. FA: US ARMY SIGNAL CORPS HEADPHONES-WWII > WM.J. MURDOCK NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 17:51:59 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <2Bg2e.11727$Vw1.5921@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198143
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Much more stuff, pse look around
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4720&item=6521318436&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1069. FA: TRIMM "DEPENDABLE" HEADPHONES 2K OHMS For Crystal Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 17:54:12 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <7Dg2e.11729$Tx1.8728@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198044
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Much more stuff, pse look around, Click on See sellers other Auctions:-)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48705&item=5763168542&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1070. FA: $10>KLM PA-2-140B MOBILE RF AMPLIFIER 2-MTRS FM 2in-140out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 17:57:41 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nGg2e.11731$Xy1.2845@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198046
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Much more stuff, pse look around, Click on See sellers other Auctions:-)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5763168587&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1071. FA: $10>HEATHKIT IM-13 TUBE TYPE VTVM with TEST PROBE, HANDY NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 17:58:30 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9Hg2e.11732$xw1.10950@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198045
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Much more stuff, pse look around, Click on See sellers other Auctions:-)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=58275&item=7503594289&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1072. FA: $10> FIVE (5) FT-243 HAM BAND RADIO CRYSTALS 40mtrs & WARC's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 17:59:46 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ilg2e.11733$CA1.5314@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198047
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Much more stuff, pse look around, Click on See sellers other Auctions:-)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=5763168632&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1073. Re: Uniden PC78 Elite vs Cobra 19 LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 19:13:51 UTC
From: Pcpro15@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112123631.122768.51660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198048

I decided to go with the Uniden PC78 Elite Cb radio. It is very nice! I think better looking then the cobra, but I am not sure about the performance. I am trying to hook this cb up in my house. I have a AC to DC converter which I purchased from Radio Shack. It converts it to 13.8v DC which is the correct voltage for the cb. I just put the bare wires leads into the correct spots on the converter and turn it on. My only question is about the antenna. I have an old K40 magnet mount antenna that is like 5' tall, but it is not in the best shape but still works fine. I also purchased a cheap 3' flex antenna which does not work too bad. I have not tried to figure out the range because I am afraid of damaging my cb. Many people say that a good ground plane is needed and you need to ground the anteanna. Do I necessarily need to ground the antenna since I put the anteanna out my window when I want to use it. There is no metal outside my window at all. Putting an antenna on the roof is definatelly not an option. I do not want to buy another antenna either. Is there some way that I can just modify the antenna or rig it up somehow to ground it if it is necessary?

Thanks in advance,

pcpro

PowerHouse Communications wrote:
> Uniden products are (or at least were, not sure about the newer models)
> better quality all around...
>
>
> <Pcpro15@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1112102789.448894.260670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > I purchased a Cobra 19 LTD because it was on sale. When I got home, I
> > saw that the Uniden PC78 Elite was on sale. There is not a big price
> > difference between the two and I know the Uniden PC78 Elite is the top
> > cb that they offer. Is it worth driving up to the store to exchange
> > it? Which cb is better?
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> >
> > pcpro
> >

1074. mopey the dopie and taxidermy...why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 20:45:42 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112129142.342194.284550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198049

Inquiring AKC minds want to know. It can't be because of what we think it is...nobody is that weird. Maybe a trip to Medina County will reveal the answers.

1075. Re: mopey the dopie and taxidermy...why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 22:10:46 UTC
From: Peebles the Taxidermist <dogieblood@slapadair.nut>
Message-ID: <3au26rF6encmcU1@individual.net>
Source record: 198050

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1112129142.342194.284550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Inquiring AKC minds want to know. It can't be because of what we think
> it is...nobody is that weird. Maybe a trip to Medina County will reveal
> the answers.
>
If he finds you in May, he is going to stomp you into a puddle of sobbing
puss. Bet on it..

1076. Re: Uniden PC78 Elite vs Cobra 19 LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 23:00:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0fnj411cba03hqhi9freobtcvkld33jh8g@4ax.com>
Source record: 198051

On 29 Mar 2005 05:26:29 -0800, Pcpro15@gmail.com wrote:

>I purchased a Cobra 19 LTD because it was on sale. When I got home, I
>saw that the Uniden PC78 Elite was on sale. There is not a big price
>difference between the two and I know the Uniden PC78 Elite is the top
>cb that they offer. Is it worth driving up to the store to exchange
>it? Which cb is better?
>
>Thanks in advance,
>
>pcpro

The new Cobras are notorious for having problems. They are not made by Uniden. I would go with the Uniden.

Vinnie S.

1077. fiedmaster TC-2012

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-29 23:25:32 UTC
From: Tomasz Antkowiak <antkowiak_tomasz@op.usunto.pl>
Message-ID: <d2co5f$j1m$1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 198052

hi! does anyone knows anything about this CB radio?

thanks!

--
Tomasz Antkowiak
antek VW 1500'66 Brown Sugar www.brownsugar.friko.pl
pl.misc.samochody.garbusy
GG 7772401

1078. www.hamsexy.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 02:01:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5378-424A0863-418@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198053

http://www.hamsexy.com

Make sure to check out the picture galleries.
That's just the way it is........

(nod to Bruce Hornsby)

1079. While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 02:04:01 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5379-424A0911-125@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198054

http://www.fuckqrz.com

1080. Re: www.hamsexy.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 04:55:57 UTC
From: Am I Gay? <no@maybe.yes>
Message-ID: <xjq2e.6850$zl.1312@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198055

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5378-424A0863-418@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
>
> http://www.hamsexy.com
>
> Make sure to check out the picture galleries.
> That's just the way it is........
>
> (nod to Bruce Hornsby)

Wow, you people are weird....

1081. Re: Uniden PC78 Elite vs Cobra 19 LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 05:18:40 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QEq2e.23105$cg1.2567@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198056

A mobile CB antenna can be used as a base antenna by
mounting it on the top of a metal pipe.
The metal pipe serves as ground connection for the antenna,
taking the place of the body of the vehicle.
Remember to run a separate ground wire to a proper grounding rod
for lightning protection.

1082. Re: www.hamsexy.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 07:50:09 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mdKdnfJK-KKxx9ffRVn-oA@giganews.com>
Source record: 198057

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> http://www.hamsexy.com
>
> Make sure to check out the picture galleries.
> That's just the way it is........
>
> (nod to Bruce Hornsby)

lol...

Gives me an idea about a super mag-mount. ;-)

Jeff

1083. Re: Uniden PC78 Elite vs Cobra 19 LTD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 13:09:53 UTC
From: Pcpro15@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112188192.925787.275650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198058

Do I still need to have a ground wire to run to a ground rod if the antenna is not planning to be perminent. I can just put the antenna on my roof. It is no problem to do that, but I can not keep a perminent mounted antenna on my house. I am only going to put it out there when it is not raining. Also, you state to mount the antenna on a metal pipe, should I mount it to something metal outside? How much metal do you think is the minimum to provide a ground plane? The only thing big around my house that is metal, is the garage door. That would be a problem to mount the magnet to it because i would have to run outside to mount it, unmount it, and hope that no one decides to open the door while it is attached.

Thank you

pcpro

George Kinzer wrote:
> A mobile CB antenna can be used as a base antenna by
> mounting it on the top of a metal pipe.
> The metal pipe serves as ground connection for the antenna,
> taking the place of the body of the vehicle.
> Remember to run a separate ground wire to a proper grounding rod
> for lightning protection.

1084. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 13:32:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9c5l41h78650mgsn0630vjn5qfuvdv69hj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198059

On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 12:11:09 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 11:04:07 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>Actually, it ties in perfectly. If it wasn't for the FCC catering to the
>big money interests, the spectrum wouldn't be disappearing for hammies
>and they would need no collective organization to represent their
>interests in Washington.
>
>>You could argue that the attention that the
>
>>FCC is giving to "Radio Pirates" is a direct
>
>>result of the influence that commercial
>
>>interests wield.
>
>
>Why argue something that isn't taking place? The FCC isn't giving
>pirates any attention at all.
>They merely respond to complaints concerning isolated incidents.
>
>
>>Huh? I though the whole point of this
>>discussion was based on how the FCC was
>>"targeting" radio pirates based on the
>>complaints from those money grubbing
>>commercial radio conglomerates.

>I see nothing at all to illustrate the FCC is targeting Pirate radio
>Ops, just more business as usual responding to isolated incidents and
>complaints. To use the term "target" would suggest the FCC is active in
>persuing pirate activity on their own. Nothing is further from the
>truth.

The FCC becomes aware of a problem through one of two common ways. One
is to actively monitor, and the other is to have their attention
brought to it through a complaint. Since there is a manpower and
resources limitation and a lot of bandwidth to cover, they can't
monitor every frequency, all the time. Most of the time, complaints
result in action against radio pirates. But I thought you understood
that.

>>In many ways, they feel that since they had to
>>pay large amounts of money to the FCC for
>>spectrum space, they expect the FCC to
>>closer police it for them.

>The extreme republican approach,,,much more government intrusion in our
>personal lives....government must protect us from ourselves because we
>(those who clamor for such enforcement) are incapable of managing
>ourselves and controlling our own behavior.
>
>
>>That would be the democrat approach.
>
>The democrats are not running the FCC the republicans are running the
>FCC.

No, but democrats are the ones who traditionally favor bigger
government and more social programs to "care" for those who liberals
decided, are incapable of caring for themselves without the big hand
of government helping out.

>IF what you suggest is true, the current batch of republicans
>suddenly begun to mimic and employ what you claim is the democrat
>approach. Perhaps that is because their approaches have all been
>failures in this admin.

That's certainly one way to look at it. But that opinion is purely
subjective in nature and will vary depending on your perspective.

For example, you may feel that the mission in Iraq is a total failure.
I feel that it's not yet over, and is showing many positive signs, not
the least of which is a free election for the first time in decades.

>
>>More social programs to manage the
>>well-being of people who are presumably
>>unable to make their own decisions or care for
>>themselves.
>
>
>Another subject. Focus on what the current leaders, those responsible
>(republicans) for the current state of the FCC, are doing.

Gladly. I'd prefer to not discuss general politics, because there is
little factual information and much spin and conjecture. It's little
different than a Jew arguing with a Muslim over which religion is the
"right" one.

> It is
>difficult at best, for those who suport the Bush admin unconditionally,
>to do so, as it requires a bit more objectivity than unconditional Bush
>supporters are willing to muster.

For the record, I do not support Bush unconditionally. He should have never signed that medicare prescription program into law. That's a cash albatross, and will only add to the increasing deficit.

> The FCC is not a social program.

I never said it was.

>>The truth is that there are people who cannot
>>control their own behavior. Should these
>>people not be dealt with according to the
>>provisions of the law?
>
>
> What you consider a "federal criminal" is not what the FCC or the US
>judicial system considers a "federal criminal" and their enforcement
>techniques and penalities wisely reflect such.

There are laws on the books. Laws that are supposed to be followed by everyone. Those who break the law, technically become criminals. The fact that they may get away with it for some time, does not negate the facts.

> Holding one accountable
>for their behavior is a much different subject than arguing a
>pro-position for the FCC when it comes to enforcing what they deem
>obscene, or as you put it, when it comes to enforcing "taste".

What is considered "obscene" depends on what the majority of society determines should be considered such. Such performances, such as Janet Jackson's "wardrobe malfunction" should not even be considered for inclusion on an otherwise public family entertainment forum. If you want to create a "dirtball channel" and put such trash on it, then fine, as long as families and others with good taste can avoid it, and not be "surprised" in the middle of something that's not supposed to contain such decadent material.

>>I'm not convinced that
>>this is necessarily a bad thing under the
>>circumstances.
>
>
>It is exacty that kind of thinking, the enemy to freedom lovers, which
>prompted Jefferson (or was it Franklin?) to wisely observe..."Those who
>would give up a bit of freedom for security, deserve neither"

It was Franklin. But I'm also reasonably convinced that not in their wildest nightmares, could these wise founders have contemplated the depths that our morality has degraded since their time. I'm sure they's sing a different tune if they knew.

>>Well, here's the other side of the story.
>>Funding for enforcement has been cut
>>severely. License fees have dwindled.

>
>Dwindled? You mean they are charging less for licenses or less people
>are seeking licenses?

There are no longer CB licenses, for example. Marine radio no longer
requires a license for a recreational boat in terrestrial waters. GMRS
license fees have decreased over the years.

>
>>The amount of people who thumb their noses
>>at FCC rules has increased.
>
>How could you possibly confirm this?

Listen to the amount of broadcast pirates, outlaw freebanders, certain
hams on 75 meters etc. Was it like this 30 years ago?

> Because you are suddenly aware of
>more mediums where these people can voice their opinions regarding such?

I have always been able to directly observe CB and ham radio, so my
perception threshold is not at issue here.

>Even then, such an approach makes several assumptions.
> Or perhaps the fact IS that more people ARE thumbing their nose at the
>FCC because the FCC is becoming more incompetent with each republican
>appointee and the majority of people, like myself, recognize the
>bullshit..

Once again, you cannot accurately speak for the majority of people, as
you have no idea what they are thinking. Remember, the majority of
people put Bush back into the whitehouse.

The fact that people increasingly thumb their nose at the FCC (and
many other "nuisance" laws in general) has more to do with increasing
lack of respect and proper moral upbringing in the last few
generations.

> If an entire US society begins to buck poorly crafted laws,
>the laws are either unenforced or done away with. The old national 55
>mph speed limit comes to mind almost immediately

Yes, that's generally how it is done. IF you can muster up enough
people to declare that the FCC should not restrict unlicensed FM
broadcasters, or other illegal radio transmissions, then the law will
be changed.

>>There are only so many field agents to go
>>around.

>Whose fault is that? The FCC has other fish to fry, they don't hold the
>same perceived values as you.

You don't know what "my values" are.

>If they deemed what you deem a priority
>with the same principle value applied
>to the act of cbers dxing and freebanding vs the act of a pirate radio
>operator as you apply, they would redirect their energy into persuing
>these offenses. As you say,,,priorities..and the cbers and freebanders
>simply ain't it

No argument. I never said that this stuff WAS a priority. I only
stated that it was still illegal in spite of a seeming lack of
priority.

I also stated that it is irresponsible of someone to take advantage of
the improbability of legal action to ignore a law which is still
expected to be followed.

>>Since they simply cannot handle ALL of the
>>problems, they are forced to prioritize. Those
>>who wield the most influence (either through
>>monetary or political avenues) usually get their
>>cases heard first.
>>That's just the way it is. I'm not saying it's
>>either right or wrong.
>
>
>You agreed with it earlier, mistakenly calling this favoritism and
>pandering by the FCC .."capitalism". You confirmed your position by
>saying those who didn't support such pandering were "haters of
>capitalism".

No, I acknowledged it, and I understand the human nature greed element
which drives it. I also realistically accept that this is unlikely to
change any time soon, and rather than trying to expend my energy
swimming upstream, I'd rather work within the system as it is.

>>But you can't be selective as to what type of
>>attention a pirate gets. If you become popular
>>enough, you are bound to attract the attention
>>of those you affect in a negative way.
>
>
>
>Same with freebanding. you become popular enough, you attract the
>attention.

Right! But since there are no "big money" people on the freeband, it
takes a lot longer for the FCC to become irritated enough to do
something about it.

> Look at B-o-b in Phoenix. He may have been the inspiration
>for our own Bob Noxious. Look at port o potty Charlie. These guys became
>very popular (or unpopular, dependent on which side of the fence one
>sits).

There are some 75 meter hams who have achieved similar notoriety.

>THAT is bullshit. All should receive equal time, not those who scream
>the most, have connections or the most money.
>
>
>>That is overly naive and idealistic.
>
>
>And the founding principles of our nation.
>Equality for all, not just the rich.

That only applies in a legal sense. When it comes to goods and
services, those who pay the most get the most.

>The law, in all it's majestic equality, forbids the rich, as well the
>poor, to steal bread, beg for
>food, and sleep under bridges.

And to operate their radio transmitter in accordance with federal
laws.

I find it hypocritical to hold the government's feet to the fire here
without demanding equal accountability from the common citizens.

> It is those who become complacent and
>permit the government to screw them over and over that is hurting this
>country.

The definition of "screwed over" is subjective in nature and depends
mostly on your perspective and your expectations on what the
government's role is supposed to be. I normally feel "screwed" when
the government takes more of my money to give to others who I feel do
not deserve it.

>>It isn't possible for the FCC (or many other
>>agencies) to treat everyone equally. They
>>don't have the manpower to do so. So they
>>prioritize.
>
>Manpower of the FCC has nothing to do with fairness of the governed laws
>they are charged with enforcing.

It's the same thing as when a single cop sets up a radar speed trap on
a busy highway. They may snag you doing 10 MPH over the posted limit,
for which you complain that the rest of the traffic was speeding by
you at the same time. You feel singled out and unfairly targeted
because, after all, there were others seemingly more deserving of the
cop's attention than you were. But that's just the way it works.

One might operate a pirate FM station for years without trouble.
Someone else might get popped in short order. You might freeband for
20 years and have no problem. Someone else might get hit after 2
years. Law enforcement is not omnipotent.

>
>>It's the same everywhere. You wait in line for a
>>good seat in a restaurant, and then someone
>>walks up, flashes a greenback, and get's
>>seated right away.
>
>
>No,,THAT is private interest and captalism, but when it relates to the
>equality of treatment received by citizens of US government, such
>private rules do not apply.

The same principles apply. The FCC, by charging a fee for certain chunks of spectrum, is entering into an implied contract to police that spectrum for those who paid the most for it. Bottom feeders like CB'ers, hams, FRS, GMRS etc, do not pay for their "privileges", so the FCC is not as motivated to protect them.

>>As long as an item or service has a perceived
>>value,
>>then that item or service is open to be
>>influenced by the highest bidder.

>Which has nothing to do with the FCC or US govt treating all fairly.

The cost of operating a transmitter is not the same across the services. So therefore the amount of attention from the FCC should not be expected to be the same either.

>>This relates to human nature and an intrinsic
>>material greed. There are very few people
>>who would not succumb to such influence.
>
>
>Perhaps among those in your world. I find the opposite to be true in
>most people.

This is the basic core philosophical differences between liberals and conservatives. Liberals generally believe that people are basically good, and if left to their own (I.E. not being watched by a LEO) they will still do the morally right thing. Liberals do not believe that people can be "evil". Any bad deed that someone does is the result of their upbringing, circumstances, or some other environmental influence.

Conservatives, on the other hand, believe that there are a significant number of people who, when given the opportunity, would rob you blind and kill you, if they thought they could get away with it. The existence of laws is the only thing that keeps society from reverting to barbarism. Conservatives also believe that there are people who are truly intrinsically "evil". They were born that way, and those areas of the brain which are responsible for moral contemplation simply do not function.

But this is an entirely different subject.

>Then again, this could be where the geographical thing
>comes into play.

Maybe. But then again, most southerners are republicans these days....

>>Such leads to the saying: "Every man has his
>>price".
>
>
>And when you have the US government pandering to such special interests
>(those with the cash), that government ceases to be fair as intended to
>all its citizens and is then corrupt.

That depends on which parts of the government we are talking about and
how open they are about it. The FCC makes no bones about charging for
spectrum. In your ideal situation, the airwaves should be free to
everyone.

>They should go after those
>actions that present a hazard or safety issue first and foremost.
>
>
>>How many FCC actions relate to health and
>
>>safety?
>
>
>Many issues are related to
>safety issues. In fact, the FCC has employees who do nothing else except
>investigate safety related issues an complaints. Who said anything about
>health?

The two are usually connected. Especially where R.F. exposure concerns
originate.

>>Most are for rule infractions.
>
>
>And among the rules you cite, many are crafted for safety reasons. The
>home cb base station construction of metal to fiberglass comes to mind
>immediately. Such is why antennas such as the Maco 5/8 are now referred
>to as "commercial" antennas.

Was that a result of FCC action. Or was that a result of legislation
which came out of a lawsuit?

>Instead, their number one priority now is to
>(again) protect you from broadcast "obscenities", even though they are
>unable to properly define such obscenities and indecencies, but are able
>to recognize it "when we see it"..so says the FCC.
>
>
>>Such is what happens when we have a culture
>>clash between a segment of the population

>>who have become much less morally guided
>>and more hedonistic and secular in nature,
>>against another segment of the population
>>who sees a definite decline in morality and will
>>fight it with any means possible.
>
>
>So it is safe to say you hold the rest of the world (as opposed to the
>US) as a lesser when it comes to their morals, by virtue of what their
>government broadcasts.

Who says we have to follow the rest of the world (If in fact that's
true)? We are a leader country. The rest of the world should follow
us.

> The majority of America was not offended by the fleeting sight of Janet
>Jackson's breast, yet, a radical zealist entity existing within the
>Bush-led government feels it must protect those who were not offended,
>from such "obscene" material.

There were a lot of people who were "offended". Most of whom were
offended that this type of sleaze was presented during a
family-friendly event.

>I find the behavior of the president
>getting involved with the personal rights of the Terry Schiavo case much
>more obscene than a woman's breast.

Why? Isn't the right to life important to you?

> In fact, perhaps this specific
>republican paty is chock full of homosexuals (Jeff Gannon??**) and THAT
>is why they find what is considered an object of beauty by the
>heterosexual population, "obscene".

No, it doesn't matter what sexual orientation you happen to subscribe
to. Gratuitous sexually explicit material of any type does not belong
on public TV. If you want to subscribe to this type of debauchery,
then do so on your own terms in the privacy of your own home.

>
>>Who is right?
>
>
>The majority, which is not the Bush admin or the FCC.

The majority re-elected Bush.

>>It's the way most business works.
>
>Yet you fail to see the bullshit and error of
>their practice and tend to agree with it.
>

>
>>I recognize it just fine. I also am realistic in my
>>view of how things work. I don't dwell on how
>>things "should be".
>
>
>But you do. You called it "actively involved" and said if you are not
>actively campaigning against something, you are supporting it. So which
>is it,,,do you understand the corruptness and bullshit of the govt and
>accept it because that's how things are, or do you disagree with it and
>actively work/become involved to change what you disagree with?

I pick and choose my battles according to what affects me most.

>>Rather, I deal with things how they are, and
>>learn to work the system to my best
>>advantage.

>You claimed this before, but failed to provide when I asked the
>proactive nature of your "working the system" to your advantage
>regarding the enforcement issues relating to freeband and illegal cb.

I don't have a vested interest in those activities. I tried to tell
you before (several times) I PERSONALLY don't care what happens on the
freeband. All I ever tried to do was to illustrate that freebanding
was a violation of federal law. I never once suggested that it
bothered me personally.

>>Most agencies (government or commercial)
>>are not omnipotent. They have to prioritize
>>their response to consumer needs.
>
>
>Is that what you think should occur? You need check the history of the
>FCC. Consumer need was not the reason the agency was formed.
>
>
>>"Consumer" as defined as those who the FCC
>>serves, not just the common citizen.
>
>
>Please check the history of the agency. It was not conceived to serve
>anybody, but rather to govern and administer.

Like many other things, over time they tend to evolve.

>>The priority
>>list is usually based on the perceived "value"
>>of each particular customer.
>
>
>Again, you demonstrate yet another problem with the FCC..this time,

>their flawed "perception" of values.

What makes their perception any more flawed than yours? There's that
pesky subjectivity issue again.

>>Someone has to make the judgement call.
>
> That has always been the problem with the republicans, always seeking
>to legislate their judgement and morality over another. Doesn't work
>that way.

Sure it does. A decision has to be made. Someone makes it. It is hoped
that many options and viewpoints are considered first. But any good
leader will make a final decision.

>>There will always be those who agree, and
>>those who disagree. You can't make everyone
>>happy all the time. So you settle for what
>>makes the most sense based on the greatest
>>feedback you receive, and who pays your
>>salary.
>
>
>Which are totally opposite. Which do you think they bow to? Surely not
>any feedback, Dave.

Sure they do. Only it's not the kind of feedback that you know of or
approve of, I suspect.

>This is illustrated time and again by Bush. Feedback
>means nothing. He has echoed this several times. Despite his base
>disagreeing with his intererence of the Schiavo issue, he put his own
>agenda ahead of his party constituents, just like he has done to the
>rest of the country each and every time his ideas are disagreed with by
>the majority of the people.

Once again, the majority re-elected Bush.

>Capitalism has nothing at all do with wrongly buying governmental
>influence.
>
>
>>Sure it does, once you understand that
>>government influence is just another
>>commodity that can be bought.
>ï¿½
>
>What you illustrate is a corrupt governement, which can not exist in a
>democracy.

But it does and has for decades.

>The government of the US was formed with the notion that ALL its
>citizens would receive equal treatment regardless of race, political
>belief, religious status, sex. This includes the rich as well as the
>poor. Treating citizens differently and unfairly because of their
>monetary status is not captalism.

Again, you are confusing legal grounds with commercial interests.

>>As long as government service has value,
>>there will be people willing to pay more for it
>>for quicker consideration.

>And when one receives special treatment from a government because of
>their money, that government is corrupt. You are virtually explaining
>the corruptness of the current Bush administration, and doing a
>fantastic job.

This has been going on for longer than we've both been alive.

Clinton extended many "privileges" to visiting Chinese diplomats in
return for their large political contributions.

>>Idealistically, it shouldn't be that way. But until
>>you can cure people from the allure of money,
>>the temptation will always be there.

>Especially when the Bush family is in office.

Who's in office means little. You should take off your Bush hating
blinders and take a look at other administrations, starting with the
one before Bush.

>
>Your words:
>
>>"If you don't take a strong stand against
>
>>something, you are basically condoning it."
>
>>Also my words:
>
>>"Pick your battles wisely. Not all of them can
>
>>be won."
>
>
>Such as simple dxing on cb on freeband, but some have yet to come to
>terms with it.

I have no "battle" with freebanders. I simply state the truth that
they are operating in violation of federal law. Nothing more.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1085. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 13:34:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198060

On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>http://www.fuckqrz.com

Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1086. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 14:08:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1034-424AB2E5-5@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198062

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://www.fuckqrz.com

>Yet another example of someone who had

>their feelings hurt and who is now on a

>personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

You obviously are more familiar with the site than myself, as I was just made aware of it. Can you enlighten the contingency about whose feelings were hurt and why?

1087. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 14:15:36 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <cwy2e.18386$C47.15146@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198061

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>
>
> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
> now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
Mopar & I as childish?

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

1088. Re: Imax-2000?.attn shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 14:24:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <djdl41p1g88b6desid296d42ejvm5ar4pk@4ax.com>
Source record: 198063

On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 11:06:34 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:

>
>
>> That is a great idea. I am going to run nearly 300 feet of Bury-Flex. For
>> both
>> the Imax and the HF dipole.
>>
>> Someone here told me to use bury-flex. It was a great idea. Who was it?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>May have been me. I love mine and it's wife friendly as she can't see the
>coax hanging around. It is pretty stiff stuff though, no heliax, but a good
>idea to work with it when it's warm :)
>
>Chad
>

At 300 feet, I will lose about 25% power, but should gain significantly on
distance based on the bigger antenna and height.

Vinnie S.

1089. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 14:32:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <60el41dtm0d7jj8ptmtl4v19taufd4s7qg@4ax.com>
Source record: 198064

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>
>>
>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
>> now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>Mopar & I as childish?

Childish. Petty. Immature. This newsgroup is so much better with like likes of Geo, Dogie, and Frank, in the killfile. It's a different world without seeing them.

Vinnie S.

1090. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 15:04:11 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1033-424ABFEB-47@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198065

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 12:11:09 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 28 Mar 2005 11:04:07 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Actually, it ties in perfectly. If it wasn't for the FCC catering to the
big money interests, the spectrum wouldn't be disappearing for hammies
and they would need no collective organization to represent their
interests in Washington.

>You could argue that the attention that the

>FCC is giving to "Radio Pirates" is a direct

>result of the influence that commercial

>interests wield.

Why argue something that isn't taking place? The FCC isn't giving
pirates any attention at all.
They merely respond to complaints concerning isolated incidents.

>Huh? I though the whole point of this

>discussion was based on how the FCC was

>"targeting" radio pirates based on the

>complaints from those money grubbing

>commercial radio conglomerates.

I see nothing at all to illustrate the FCC is targeting Pirate radio
Ops, just more business as usual responding to isolated incidents and
complaints. To use the term "target" would suggest the FCC is active in
persuing pirate activity on their own. Nothing is further from the
truth.

>The FCC becomes aware of a problem

>through one of two common ways. One is to

>actively monitor,

I disagree. There are many ways for the FCC to become aware of problems.
Monitoring (which is not actively done as realtes to pirates) and
other's complainst are but only two ways.

>and the other is to have their attention brought
>to it through a complaint. Since there is a

>manpower and resources limitation and a lot

>of bandwidth to cover, they can't monitor every
>frequency, all the time. Most of the time,

>complaints result in action against radio

>pirates. But I thought you understood that.

Which has nothing to do with your claim the FCC "targets"
pirates....they don't. Claiming they "target" a certain group lends the
false impression the FCC actively goes after them of their own
initiative......they do not. I thought *you* understood -this-.

>In many ways, they feel that since they had to

>pay large amounts of money to the FCC for

>spectrum space, they expect the FCC to

>closer police it for them.

The extreme republican approach,,,much more government intrusion in our
personal lives....government must protect us from ourselves because we
(those who clamor for such enforcement) are incapable of managing
ourselves and controlling our own behavior.

>That would be the democrat approach.

The democrats are not running the FCC the republicans are running the
FCC.

>No, but democrats are the ones who

>traditionally favor bigger government and

>more social programs to "care" for those who

>liberals decided, are incapable of caring for

>themselves without the big hand of

>government helping out.

IF what you suggest is true, the current batch of republicans suddenly
begun to mimic and employ what you claim is the democrat approach.
Perhaps that is because their approaches have all been failures in this
admin.
>That's certainly one way to look at it. But that

>opinion is purely subjective in nature and will

>vary depending on your perspective.

It's not a view, but a fact, when assuming your position is true (such behavior is a "democrat approach"). Please explain why the Bush admin is adopting such policy at this time, especially when the party base is against such acts, of course, except for when it suits theie personal agenda, like Terry Schiavo, and the points you neatly illustrated.

>For example, you may feel that the mission in

>Iraq is a total failure. I feel that it's not yet over,
>and is showing many positive signs, not the

>least of which is a free election for the first

>time in decades.

History repeats itself. I am enlightened enough on world politics to KNOW democracy can never be forced on a society where up to half or more of the citizens are anti-western culture. I am also intelligent enough to realize that you can not wage a phony war and win while simultaneously supporting the terrorists (via the gas pump) who want to kill us and who we are waging war against.

>More social programs to manage the

>well-being of people who are presumably

>unable to make their own decisions or care for
>themselves.

Another subject. Focus on what the current leaders, those responsible (republicans) for the current state of the FCC, are doing.

>Gladly. I'd prefer to not discuss general

>politics, because there is little factual

>information and much spin and conjecture.

Well, to enter balance to that statement, you -did- say you can find no information (other than by reporters who are biased) concerning the Gannon issue and WH reporter shills and their fake news bulletins and press releases. This would be opposed as to getting your news from...??????

>It's little different than a Jew arguing with a

>Muslim over which religion is the "right" one.

Well, faith plays a lot in religion, whereas facts can usually be determined concerning government going-ons.
It is
difficult at best, for those who suport the Bush admin unconditionally, to do so, as it requires a bit more objectivity than unconditional Bush supporters are willing to muster.

>For the record, I do not support Bush

>unconditionally. He should have never signed

>that medicare prescription program into law.

>That's a cash albatross, and will only add to

>the increasing deficit.

The FCC is not a social program.

>I never said it was.

I commented about the FCC overstepping their boundaries, attempting to coddle us and legislating what they feel is best for our morals, what we hear, what we see. I said this has always been the way of the republicans. You claimed this was a democrat approach and tried to invoke as an example:

> "more social programs to manage the

>well-being of people who are presumably

>unable to make their own decisions"

I reminded you the FCC is republican-run and has been for the past 4 years. I also reminded you at this point, the FCC is not a social program, but for some reason, you snipped your comments concerning your invoking of "social programs" in response to me telling you the current behavior of the FCC is invading our privacy and right to make our own decisions as to what we choose to expose ourself broadcast-wise. Again, you make the case perfectly by astutely admitting the Bush admin does not feel you are competent to make your own decisions. By taking that decision away from you and making it for you, they are claiming you are not qualified and "presumably" incapapable of making such a decision for yourself.

>The truth is that there are people who cannot

>control their own behavior.

Thats an interesting excuse for the FCC claiming you haven't the competence to decide what offends you and what doesn't.

> Should these people not be dealt with

>according to the provisions of the law?

  What you consider a "federal criminal" is not what the FCC or the US judicial system considers a "federal criminal" and their enforcement techniques and penalities wisely reflect such.

>There are laws on the books.

And many of those laws are old and outdated and not enforced. Google "blue laws".

>Laws that are supposed to be followed by

>everyone. Those who break the law,

>technically become criminals. The fact that

>they may get away with it for some time, does

>not negate the facts.

Which again is a circular argument you are unable to apply to the laws you invoke...such as the federal laws as applies to the interstates (as defined by the Federal DOT). Are motorists who violate the speed limits criminals, Dave?
If what you say is true (that MOST people would rather break the law or get away with something when temptation is present), then we are living in a country full of criminals.

_
Holding one accountable
for their behavior is a much different subject than arguing a
pro-position for the FCC when it comes to enforcing what they deem obscene, or as you put it, when it comes to enforcing "taste".

>What is considered "obscene" depends on

>what the majority of society determines should
>be considered such.

Wrong. It depends on what the FCC decides. Period. Of course, if you can cite an incident where this was put before the public and voted upon.....

>Such performances, such as Janet Jackson's

>"wardrobe malfunction" should not even be

>considered for inclusion on an otherwise

>public family entertainment forum. If you want

>to create a "dirtball channel" and put such

>trash on it, then fine, as long as families and

>others with good taste can avoid it, and not be
>"surprised" in the middle of something that's

>not supposed to contain such decadent

>material.

Says you. Some folks find the mere brutality of the sport akin to a violent turf war and find it much more offensive than the mere site of an exposed female breast. These same people find it "offensive" that such brutality could even be considered a sport. Which brings us back to the fact that you obviously find the female breast exposed on television offensive. Like I said, the rest of the world's countries who are not under such puritan rules disagree. You ought visit Italy, Spain, Great Britain, for just a start.

>I'm not convinced that

>this is necessarily a bad thing under the

>circumstances.

It is exacty that kind of thinking, the enemy to freedom lovers, which prompted Jefferson (or was it Franklin?) to wisely observe..."Those who would give up a bit of freedom for security, deserve neither"

>It was Franklin. But I'm also reasonably

>convinced that not in their wildest nightmares,

>could these wise founders have contemplated

>the depths that our morality has degraded

>since their time. I'm sure they's sing a different
>tune if they knew.

I disagree, as the principle is what they were embracing, not single issues. The principle and spirit of such is the integrity, not to be arbitrarily adjusted by single issues that cause a ruckus. In fact. the opposite is true. Their (forefathers) morals consisted of bathing when they offended another with their smell, married "christian" presidents and statesmen screwing many mistresses and slaves, regularly beating their wives (old laws on the books even state the size of the stick to be used) and a panoply of other issues we can delve into. Indeed.

>Well, here's the other side of the story.

>Funding for enforcement has been cut

>severely. License fees have dwindled.

Dwindled? You mean they are charging less for licenses or less people are seeking licenses?

>There are no longer CB licenses, for example.

> Marine radio no longer requires a license for

>a recreational boat in terrestrial waters. GMRS
>license fees have decreased over the years.

Which, by default, also means there are less avenues for which the FCC is to expend energy and resources. It all balances out, but their incompetence grows.

>The amount of people who thumb their noses

>at FCC rules has increased.

How could you possibly confirm this?

>Listen to the amount of broadcast pirates,

>outlaw freebanders, certain hams on 75

>meters etc.

You answered my question.

> Was it like this 30 years ago?

Population increases exponentially with each passing day.

_
Because you are suddenly aware of
more mediums where these people can voice their opinions regarding such?

>I have always been able to directly observe

>CB and ham radio, so my perception threshold
>is not at issue here.

But your reasoning for claiming increases in thumbing one's nose at the
FCC might very well be.

_
Even then, such an approach makes several assumptions. Or perhaps the
fact IS that more people ARE thumbing their nose at the
FCC because the FCC is becoming more incompetent with each republican
appointee and the majority of people, like myself, recognize the
bullshit..

>Once again, you cannot accurately speak for

>the majority of people, as you have no idea

>what they are thinking.

Not only do I have an idea what "most people think" regarding many
issues, as such has been part of my profession for years, but here you
are, for whatever reason, attempting to tell me
the opposite of something -you- most definitely have no clue.
Example....I wrote a local fishing column for 13 years. The publication
was purchased and moved to the Miami area. For those years, I knew
exactly what the "majoriyt of people" wished to read about, what they
wanted to know, and what issues they were interested in. When you are in
a business such as mine, a large part of your job is to know exactly
what the majority are thinking, wanting, clamoring for, etc. Without
such insight tailored to the group one is working with, a new career
would be in order.

>Remember, the majority of people put Bush

>back into the whitehouse.

Not even close. The majority of people who voted put Bush back into the
WH, and not even half the population of the US voted.

1091. Re: Imax-2000?.attn shark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 15:45:02 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <jbil41l45q0rkjkvruq22kp08pd52tj34l@4ax.com>
Source record: 198066

On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 11:06:34 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:

>> That is a great idea. I am going to run nearly 300 feet of Bury-Flex. For
>> both
>> the Imax and the HF dipole.
>>
>> Someone here told me to use bury-flex. It was a great idea. Who was it?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>May have been me. I love mine and it's wife friendly as she can't see the
>coax hanging around. It is pretty stiff stuff though, no heliax, but a good
>idea to work with it when it's warm :)
>
>Chad
>

Just order 600 feet !!!

Vinnie S.

1092. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 15:50:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1033-424ACAB8-57@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198067

David T. Hall Jr. (sandbagger) N3CVJ wrote:
If an entire US society begins to buck poorly crafted laws, the laws are
either unenforced or done away with. The old national 55 mph speed limit
comes to mind almost immediately

>Yes, that's generally how it is done. IF you can
>muster up enough people to declare that the

>FCC should not restrict unlicensed FM

>broadcasters, or other illegal radio

>transmissions, then the law will be changed.

Or everyone ignore it,,like the speed limit.

>There are only so many field agents to go

>around.

Whose fault is that? The FCC has other fish to fry, they don't hold the
same perceived values as you.

>You don't know what "my values" are.

The entire group knows your values. You put them out there time and time
again.
If they deemed what you deem a priority
with the same principle value applied
to the act of cbers dxing and freebanding vs the act of a pirate radio
operator as you apply, they would redirect their energy into persuing
these offenses. As you say,,,priorities..and the cbers and freebanders
simply ain't it

>No argument. I never said that this stuff WAS

>a priority. I only stated that it was still illegal in

>spite of a seeming lack of priority.

So is hanging your laundry on Sunday mornings in some towns, but por
laws are not actively enforced.

>I also stated that it is irresponsible of someone
>to take advantage of the improbability of legal

>action to ignore a law which is still expected to
>be followed.

That you feel the patriotic act of select civil disobedience is irresponsible, once agains shouts your values.

>Since they simply cannot handle ALL of the

>problems, they are forced to prioritize. Those

>who wield the most influence (either through

>monetary or political avenues) usually get their
>cases heard first.

>That's just the way it is. I'm not saying it's

>either right or wrong.

You agreed with it earlier, mistakenly calling this favoritism and pandering by the FCC .."capitalism". You confirmed your position by saying those who didn't support such pandering were "haters of capitalism".

>No,

Yes, you did. That is exactly what you said.

>I acknowledged it, and I understand the

>human nature greed element which drives it.

You mistakenly called the FCC's pandering to those whith cash, as "capitalism" and said only haters of captialism take issue with it. Need to see it again?

>I also realistically accept that this is unlikely to

>change any time soon, and rather than trying

>to expend my energy swimming upstream, I'd

>rather work within the system as it is.

And I continue to ask what proactive steps you take concerning such...

>But you can't be selective as to what type of

>attention a pirate gets. If you become popular

>enough, you are bound to attract the attention

>of those you affect in a negative way.

Same with freebanding. you become popular enough, you attract the attention.

>Right! But since there are no "big money"

>people on the freeband,

Oh, but there most CERTAINLY is...

> it takes a lot longer for the FCC to become

>irritated enough to do something about it.

The FCC is more irritated over hammie wannabe cops than any pirate.

_
 Look at B-o-b in Phoenix. He may have been the inspiration for our
own Bob Noxious. Look at port o potty Charlie. These guys became very
popular (or unpopular, dependent on which side of the fence one sits).

>There are some 75 meter hams who have

>achieved similar notoriety.

Oh yea, no doubt.

_
All should receive equal time, not those who scream the most, have
connections or the most money.

>That is overly naive and idealistic.

And the founding principles of our nation. Equality for all, not just
the rich.

>That only applies in a legal sense.

Of course. Which is exactly what we are speaking of..the legalities as
governed by the FCC. Again, equality for all, not just the rich.

>When it comes to goods and services, those

>who pay the most get the most.

The law, in all it's majestic equality, forbids the rich, as well the
poor, to steal bread, beg for
food, and sleep under bridges.

>And to operate their radio transmitter in

>accordance with federal laws.

>I find it hypocritical to hold the government's

>feet to the fire here without demanding equal

>accountability from the common citizens.

But only because you feel so strongly about being in a position of such
status, but that's not reality, something you said you rprefer to deal
with. The FCC, as a government entity, is accountable to the US
citizens. The US citizens are not accountable to those, such as
yourself, who merely -want- them to be.

_
It is those who become complacent and
permit the government to screw them over and over that is hurting this
country.

>The definition of "screwed over" is subjective

>in nature and depends mostly on your

>perspective and your expectations on what the
>government's role is supposed to be. I

>normally feel "screwed" when the government

>takes more of my money to give to others who
>I feel do not deserve it.

Especially when my money is spent on invasion of our personal and private rigts, like the Terry Schiavo case, but of course, you continue to be strangely silent on this issue of which Bush is overstepping his boundaries. Perhaps you are experiencing overwhelming disbelief watching his base hold his feet to the fire on this issue.

>It isn't possible for the FCC (or many other

>agencies) to treat everyone equally. They

>don't have the manpower to do so. So they

>prioritize.

Manpower of the FCC has nothing to do with fairness of the governed laws they are charged with enforcing.

>It's the same thing as when a single cop sets

>up a radar speed trap on a busy highway.

>They may snag you doing 10 MPH over the

>posted limit, for which you complain that the

>rest of the traffic was speeding by you at the

>same time. You feel singled out and unfairly

>targeted because, after all, there were others

>seemingly more deserving of the cop's

>attention than you were. But that's just the way
>it works.

Again, perhaps that is how it works in your world, and you mistakenly feel that others share your feelings of being wronged when they are caught doing something illegal. In my world, if I get caught speeding, I pay the fine. I have no problem with accepting the results of my actions, which is why I am extremely selective and particular in which radio rule I may violate (dx, freeband).

>One might operate a pirate FM station for

>years without trouble. Someone else might get
>popped in short order. You might freeband for

>20 years and have no problem. Someone else
>might get hit after 2 years. Law enforcement is
>not omnipotent.

>It's the same everywhere. You wait in line for a
>good seat in a restaurant, and then someone

>walks up, flashes a greenback, and get's

>seated right away.

No,,THAT is private interest and captalism, but when it relates to the
equality of treatment received by citizens of US government, such
private rules do not apply.

>The same principles apply. The FCC, by

>charging a fee for certain chunks of spectrum,

>is entering into an implied contract to police

>that spectrum for those who paid the most for

>it. Bottom feeders like CB'ers, hams, FRS,

>GMRS etc, do not pay for their "privileges", so

>the FCC is not as motivated to protect them.

And you continue to make the case that such is not a priority for them,
regardless of how much accountability you personally feel these
violators should receive.

>As long as an item or service has a perceived

>value,

>then that item or service is open to be

>influenced by the highest bidder.

Which has nothing to do with the FCC or US govt treating all fairly.

>The cost of operating a transmitter is not the

>same across the services. So therefore the

>amount of attention from the FCC should not

>be expected to be the same either.

>This relates to human nature and an intrinsic

>material greed. There are very few people

>who would not succumb to such influence.

Perhaps among those in your world. I find the opposite to be true in most people.

>This is the basic core philosophical differences
>between liberals and conservatives. Liberals

>generally believe that people are basically

>good, and if left to their own (I.E. not being

>watched by a LEO) they will still do the morally
>right thing. Liberals do not believe that people

>can be "evil". Any bad deed that someone

>does is the result of their upbringing,

>circumstances, or some other environmental

>influence.

That's a very interesting belief system you have there. You had me entertained up until your "any bad deed" statement. But, just to illustrate further,,,,go ahead and substitute the word "christian" for "liberal"......and there you have it...your personal disdain for those who practice such optimism in your fellow man.

>Conservatives, on the other hand, believe that
>there are a significant number of people who,

>when given the opportunity, would rob you

>blind and kill you, if they thought they could

>get away with it.

Now,,substitute the word "paraoids" for "conservatives", and again,,voila!

>The existence of laws is the only thing that

>keeps society from reverting to barbarism.

Using your own words, "barbarism" is a highly subjective term when yielded by one such as yourself.

>Conservatives also believe that there are

>people who are truly intrinsically "evil". They

>were born that way, and those areas of the

>brain which are responsible for moral

>contemplation simply do not function.

I am glad you admit this is but a belief, as something so simple as EEG's and MRI's place this myth to rest.

>But this is an entirely different subject.

Then again, this could be where the geographical thing comes into play.

>Maybe. But then again, most southerners are

>republicans these days....

Nothing wrong with republicans. Republicans aren't the problem.
Democrats aren't the problem. This current admin is the problem.

>Such leads to the saying: "Every man has his

>price".

And when you have the US government pandering to such special interests
(those with the cash), that government ceases to be fair as intended to
all its citizens and is then corrupt.

>That depends on which parts of the

>government we are talking about and how

>open they are about it.

No, it doesn't. The above statement does not make for allowances.

>The FCC makes no bones about charging for

>spectrum.

Only in the US, where radio waves to not stop.

>In your ideal situation, the airwaves

>should be free to everyone.

They should go after those
actions that present a hazard or safety issue first and foremost.

>How many FCC actions relate to health and

>safety?

Many issues are related to
safety issues. In fact, the FCC has employees who do nothing else except
investigate safety related issues an complaints. Who said anything about
health?

>The two are usually connected.

Then why did you ask about "health" then snip it from this reply when I
responded to your inquiry of it?

>Especially where R.F. exposure concerns

>originate.

>Most are for rule infractions.

And among the rules you cite, many are crafted for safety reasons. The home cb base station construction of metal to fiberglass comes to mind immediately. Such is why antennas such as the Maco 5/8 are now referred to as "commercial" antennas.

>Was that a result of FCC action.

>Or was that a

>result of legislation which came out of a

>lawsuit?

Who do you think crafts the legislation relating to such? Who do you think is consulted?

_
Instead, their number one priority now is to
(again) protect you from broadcast "obscenities", even though they are unable to properly define such obscenities and indecencies, but are able to recognize it "when we see it"..so says the FCC.

>Such is what happens when we have a culture
>clash between a segment of the population

>who have become much less morally guided

>and more hedonistic and secular in nature,

>against another segment of the population

>who sees a definite decline in morality and will
>fight it with any means possible.

So it is safe to say you hold the rest of the world (as opposed to the US) as a lesser when it comes to their morals, by virtue of what their government broadcasts.

>Who says we have to follow the rest of the

>world (If in fact that's true)?

Who said anythig about following? It's called evolution.

>We are a leader country.

Not any more we aren't except in the clouded eyes of the Bush regime.

>The rest of the world

>should follow us.

Asking those who hate you to follow your lead is pretty funny and notrealistic.

_
  The majority of America was not offended by
the fleeting sight of Janet Jackson's breast, yet, a radical zealist

entity existing within the Bush-led government feels it must protect
those who were not offended, from such "obscene" material.

>There were a lot of people who were

"offended". Most of whom were offended that

>this type of sleaze was presented during a

>family-friendly event.

And there were many more who were not offended.
I find the behavior of the president
getting involved with the personal rights of the Terry Schiavo case much
more obscene than a woman's breast.

>Why?

Undue governmental intrusion.

> Isn't the right to life important to you?

This isn't abou any right-to-life. Tom Delay's
statement:...."God brought Terry Schiavo's struggle to the forefront to
help elevate visibility of what's going on in America, such as the
attacks against the conservative movement and against me." There you
have it. It's not about Terry or any right to life, as your Bush neocons
try to obfuscate..it's about Delay's and the conservatiive parties
personal crisis and they are using Terry as their own personal politcal
whore, again.

_
In fact, perhaps this specific
republican paty is chock full of homosexuals (Jeff Gannon??**) and THAT
is why they find what is considered an object of beauty by the
heterosexual population, "obscene".

>No, it doesn't matter what sexual orientation

>you happen to subscribe to.

LMAO,,it damn sure did before Newt's daughter and Cheney's daughter were
found to be lesbians. The right has always been gay-bashers.

>Gratuitous sexually explicit material of any

>type does not belong on public TV.

Says who?

>If you want

>to subscribe to this type of debauchery, then

>do so on your own terms in the privacy of your
>own home.

There is this knob that allows you freedom of choice in the privacy of your own home to change the channel.

>Who is right?

The majority, which is not the Bush admin or the FCC.

>The majority re-elected Bush.

No, they did not. The majority who voted elected Bush.

>It's the way most business works.

Yet you fail to see the bullshit and error of their practice and tend to agree with it.

>I recognize it just fine. I also am realistic in my

>view of how things work. I don't dwell on how

>things "should be".

But you do. You called it "actively involved" and said if you are not actively campaigning against something, you are supporting it. So which is it,,,do you understand the corruptness and bullshit of the govt and accept it because that's how things are, or do you disagree with it and actively work/become involved to change what you disagree with?

>I pick and choose my battles according to

>what affects me most.

>Rather, I deal with things how they are, and

>learn to work the system to my best

>advantage.

You claimed this before, but failed to provide when I asked the proactive nature of your "working the system" to your advantage regarding the enforcement issues relating to freeband and illegal cb.

>I don't have a vested interest in those

>.activities.

Your past posts suggest otherwise.

>I tried to tell you before (several times) I

>PERSONALLY don't care what happens on

>the freeband. All I ever tried to do was to

>illustrate that freebanding was a violation of

>federal law. I never once suggested that it

>.bothered me personally.

I believe you did, but since you appear to be changing your position at this time, it's no longer an issue.

1093. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 16:09:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1034-424ACF1E-15@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198068

David T. Hall Jr. (sandbagger) N3CVJ wrote:

>The priority

>list is usually based on the perceived "value"

>of each particular customer.

Again, you demonstrate yet another problem with the FCC..this time,
their flawed "perception" of values.

>What makes their perception any more flawed

>than yours?

I offered no perception of their values..twas you that mentioned and
rementioned the words "perceived value" regarding the FCC's pandering,
whcih you mistakenly called "capitalism".

> There's that pesky subjectivity issue again.

It's not subjective at all to say the FCC's "perceived values" (your
term) are screwed up when they pander to special interests and the
special interests get special treatment over John Q Citizen.

>Someone has to make the judgement call.

 That has always been the problem with the republicans, always seeking
to legislate their judgement and morality over another. Doesn't work
that way.

>Sure it does. A decision has to be made.

Make it for yourself, not me. They are in no position to know what
offends me.

>Someone makes it. It is hoped that many

>options and viewpoints are considered first.

>But any good leader will make a final decision.
>There will always be those who agree, and

>those who disagree. You can't make everyone
>happy all the time. So you settle for what

>makes the most sense based on the greatest

>feedback you receive, and who pays your

>salary.

Which are totally opposite. Which do you think they bow to? Surely not
any feedback, Dave.

>Sure they do.

From whom?

> Only it's not the kind of feedback

>that you know of or approve of, I suspect.

Explain.

_
This is illustrated time and again by Bush.
Feedback means nothing. He has echoed this several times. Despite his
base disagreeing with his intererence of the Schiavo issue, he put his
own agenda ahead of his party constituents, just like he has done to the
rest of the country each and every time his ideas are disagreed with by
the majority of the people.

>Once again, the majority re-elected Bush.

And once again, the majoriyt disagree with him on the Schiavo issue, yet
he cares not ata ll what his base thinks. They elected him and he wil
now damn well do what he pleases, not what his base wants, demostrating,
once again, he is not for the people, but for his own agenda.

_
Capitalism has nothing at all do with wrongly buying governmental
influence.

>Sure it does, once you understand that

>government influence is just another

>commodity that can be bought.

What you illustrate is a corrupt governement, which can not exist in a
democracy.

>But it does and has for decades.

We are no longer a democracy. A democracy can not exist in a corrupt
governement.
The government of the US was formed with the notion that ALL its
citizens would receive equal treatment regardless of race, political
belief, religious status, sex. This includes the rich as well as the
poor. Treating citizens differently and unfairly because of their
monetary status is not captalism.

>Again, you are confusing legal grounds with

>commercial interests.

No, actually, you are confusing private interests and wants with
governmental procedures and legislation enacted to prevent such

discrimination.

>As long as government service has value,

>there will be people willing to pay more for it

>for quicker consideration.

And when one receives special treatment from a government because of their money, that government is corrupt. You are virtually explaining the corruptness of the current Bush administration, and doing a fantastic job.

>This has been going on for longer than we've

>both been alive.

Yet, you have not taken issue with such corruptness since the demos are in office, and even now, you find it diffcult to speak of the corruptness you now acknowledge, preferring instead, to argue history of the demos, whcih has no relation to how your own party is screwing up, such as in the manner you just admitted still takes place.

>Clinton extended many "privileges" to visiting

>Chinese diplomats in return for their large

>political contributions.

And I didn't even read your next line...your hatred for the Clintons so controls your emotions, you are unable to foucs on current affairs.

>Idealistically, it shouldn't be that way. But until

>you can cure people from the allure of money,

>the temptation will always be there.

Especially when the Bush family is in office.

>Who's in office means little. You should take

>off your Bush hating blinders and take a look

>.at other administrations, starting with the one

>before Bush.

When it was relative, I did. SInce it is ancient history and has no relation to the Bush corruptness, you should examine your need to invoke ancient history instead of foucsing on current issues and problems caused and faced by the Bush admin.

_
Your words:
"
>If you don't take a strong stand against

>something, you are basically condoning it."

>Also my words:

>"Pick your battles wisely. Not all of them can

>be won."

Such as simple dxing on cb on freeband, but some have yet to come to terms with it.

>I have no "battle" with freebanders. I simply

>state the truth that they are operating in

>violation of federal law. Nothing more.

And once again, only you and N8WWM mistakenly call freebanders federal criminals. Not a single case in US courts has ever convicted a freebander resulting in the mistaken status only you choose to apply them.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1094. Re: fiedmaster TC-2012

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 19:06:26 UTC
From: Am I Gay? <no@maybe.yes>
Message-ID: <SMC2e.18468$C47.269@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198069

Could you post some pictures? Use a free picture posting site. see how i do it

<IMG SRC="http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/421580193/2.jpg" BORDER=0>
</A>

"Tomasz Antkowiak" <antkowiak_tomasz@op.usunto.pl> wrote in message
news:d2co5f$j1m$1@news.onet.pl...
> hi! does anyone knows anything about this CB radio?
>
> thanks!
>
> --
> Tomasz Antkowiak
> antek VW 1500'66 Brown Sugar www.brownsugar.friko.pl
> pl.misc.samochody.garbusy
> GG 7772401

1095. Re: fiedmaster TC-2012

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 19:08:18 UTC
From: Am I Gay? <no@maybe.yes>
Message-ID: <COC2e.18470$C47.12803@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198070

Could you post some pictures? Use a free picture posting site. see how i do it

http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/421580193/2.jpg

"Tomasz Antkowiak" <antkowiak_tomasz@op.usunto.pl> wrote in message news:d2co5f$j1m$1@news.onet.pl...
> hi! does anyone knows anything about this CB radio?
>
> thanks!
>
> --
> Tomasz Antkowiak
> antek VW 1500'66 Brown Sugar www.brownsugar.friko.pl
> pl.misc.samochody.garbusy
> GG 7772401

1096. Re: fiedmaster TC-2012

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 19:09:07 UTC
From: Am I Gay? <no@maybe.yes>
Message-ID: <nPC2e.18471$C47.5823@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198071

<A HREF="http://www.propichosting.com/Album/421580193.html">
<IMG SRC="http://www2.propichosting.com/Images/421580193/2.jpg" BORDER=0>
</A>

"Tomasz Antkowiak" <antkowiak_tomasz@op.usunto.pl> wrote in message
news:d2co5f$j1m$1@news.onet.pl...
> hi! does anyone knows anything about this CB radio?
>
> thanks!
>
> --
> Tomasz Antkowiak
> antek VW 1500'66 Brown Sugar www.brownsugar.friko.pl
> pl.misc.samochody.garbusy
> GG 7772401

1097. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 19:35:12 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BZWdnWkyEKftYtffRVn-oA@giganews.com>
Source record: 198072

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>> news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>>> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>
>>>> http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who
>>> is now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>> Mopar & I as childish?
>
>
> Childish. Petty. Immature. This newsgroup is so much better with like
> likes of Geo, Dogie, and Frank, in the killfile. It's a different
> world without seeing them.

I agree with the first two, and all their permutations, but, why the last?

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

1098. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 19:55:07 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mh0m41deebjcau1vrlclss0c3p4oa3vf5e@4ax.com>
Source record: 198073

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 11:35:12 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

~n3cvj
>>>
>>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>> Mopar & I as childish?
>>
>>
>> Childish. Petty. Immature. This newsgroup is so much better with like
>> likes of Geo, Dogie, and Frank, in the killfile. It's a different
>> world without seeing them.
>
>I agree with the first two, and all their permutations, but, why the last?
>
>Jeff

I made the mistake of saying I liked Ronald Reagan, and he proceded to give be a history lesson on how bad he was. I don't need his permission to like someone. But it seems that Frank judges people on poilitcal beliefs. He also has some sort of complex where he has to impose his will, where he has to outdo your schooling, politcal, radio knowledges, etc. There is a posts on it on the Bush thread. He is a petty, insecure old man, with no class. And he said he is a bartender. Now, there is nothing at all wrong with that, except that he has a degree in EET and radio communications, and is tending bar. He says it's by his choice. But Bartenders works shitty hours, nights and weekends. Engineers work mostly normal working hours. Read betweens the lines. It all fits.

Vinnie S.

1099. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 20:14:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <j3vl411jbnvp9mmad7d67v214jc9klqh9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 198074

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 11:09:02 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<1034-424ACF1E-15@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:

<snip>
>>As long as government service has value,
>
>>there will be people willing to pay more for it
>
>>for quicker consideration.
>
>And when one receives special treatment from a government because of
>their money, that government is corrupt. You are virtually explaining
>the corruptness of the current Bush administration, and doing a
>fantastic job.

I'm suprised that neither of you have put 2 and 2 together yet, even
after Dave twice ducked my question on the subject: Terry Schiavo is
being raped by the Bush administration because of corporate influence.
The vast majority of the public feels that that the government should
not be involved, and for the simple reason that few people would want
to be kept alive if they were in a vegetative state. One reason people
feel this way is because it's a financial burden on the family. And
there's the clue: "financial". Who stands to gain financially by
keeping brain-dead people alive? The care-givers and drug companies.
Now I don't think there is a strong political lobby for hospice.....

It's clear to me that Bush & Co acted on behalf of the pharmaceutical
and medical lobbies. These are the same interests that fund opposition
to any state initiative that would grant terminally ill people the
right to die, because it's much more profitable to keep people alive
and in pain as long as possible. This is not capitalism -- it's a type
of opportunism that's not just offensive and contemptible, but it's
also one of the most frightening aspects of government influence
because it directly opposes the will of the people. Think about it:
people get old or get sick, and instead of being allowed to die they
are kept alive artifically until every last dollar can be squeezed out
of every available source of financial support, all the while waving
the banner that they are the industries that make life BETTER!

Only in America.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1100. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 20:44:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4s3m41lhsm2jugvdsirn9o963it4botrhk@4ax.com>
Source record: 198076

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:55:07 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <mh0m41deebjcau1vrlclss0c3p4oa3vf5e@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 11:35:12 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>~n3cvj
>>>>
>>>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>>> Mopar & I as childish?
>>>
>>>
>>> Childish. Petty. Immature. This newsgroup is so much better with like
>>> likes of Geo, Dogie, and Frank, in the killfile. It's a different
>>> world without seeing them.
>>
>>I agree with the first two, and all their permutations, but, why the last?
>>
>>Jeff
>
>I made the mistake of saying I liked Ronald Reagan, and he proceded to give be a
>history lesson.....

Grow up, Vinnie.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1101. Re: mopey the dopie and taxidermy...why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 21:03:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112216590.539145.276920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198075

Peebles the Taxidermist wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1112129142.342194.284550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> > Inquiring AKC minds want to know. It can't be because of what we
think
> > it is...nobody is that weird. Maybe a trip to Medina County will
reveal
> > the answers.
> >
> If he finds you in May, he is going to stomp you into a puddle of
sobbing
> puss. Bet on it..

Stick around on Sunday, and take a shot. You have no idea whats up,
sissy boy. Look at you, talking in the 3rd person cuz you are afraid to
say it straight up. What a sissy chump.

1102. Re: fiedmaster TC-2012

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-30 22:33:48 UTC
From: Tomasz Antkowiak <antkowiak_tomasz@op.usunto.pl>
Message-ID: <d2f9gg$o0n$1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 198077

Am I Gay? napisaďż˝(a):
> Could you post some pictures?

http://www.allegro.pl/show_item.php?item=45542484

here you go!

--
Tomasz Antkowiak
antek VW 1500'66 Brown Sugar www.brownsugar.friko.pl
pl.misc.samochody.garbusy
GG 7772401

1103. MURS Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 01:09:54 UTC
From: Radio Man <puns@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <C5I2e.25825$wL6.3949@trnddc03>
Source record: 198078

I want to purchase a pair of murs ht's.
Any recommendations?

1104. MAKE BIG MONEY USING PAYPAL (Make easy cash)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 03:00:07 UTC
From: mblond <mmarette@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112238007.350337.6800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198079

NOTHING COMES EASY IN THIS WORLD,
But this makes sense to me and obviously thousands other people.
THIS MIGHT TAKE 15-30 MINUTES, BUT IT IS 100% WORTH IT TO MAKE
THOUSANDS SO QUICKLY.
THIS IS NOT ANOTHER SCAM THAT TAKES LOTS OF YOUR HARD EARNED MONEY;
THIS IS A NO RISK INVESTMENT THAT WILL MAKE YOU THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS
VERY EASILY AND QUICKLY.
PAYPAL VERIFIES THAT THIS $5 INVESTMENT SCHEME IS LEGAL AND IS A BIG
HIT THIS YEAR
SEE THEIR NOTE BELOW OR ASK THEM DIRECTLY...
Dear Member,
It has come to our attention that there is a paypal scheme floating
around at the moment you may have heard or seen the $5 scheme. You may
have even taken part in it well we have been asked alot of questions
about this scheme the answer is yes it does work and yes it is safe to
use providing you follow the rules it is legal and has made a big hit
on the internet this year.
If you would like to take part in this scheme or would like a bit more
information then please see the attached file that was kindly donated
to us.
Thank you for using PayPal! The PayPal Team
I HAVE CHECKED EBAY FOR MORE OF THESE OFFERS- THERE'S LOTS APPROVING IT

!!!!!!!!!!!!!NONE HAVE BEEN DISAPPROVED!!!!!!!
I found this opportunity and thought for only $5 it would be worth a
try. We spend alot more than $5 playing this thing called the LOTTO and
I don't know about you, but I know I've never won, nor do I know anyone
that has! I don't have a sob story to tell, I'm just looking for
opportunities to make money. Below is the original email I received the
only thing I've done is add my e-mail in like the instructions said.
Please read, then follow the directions it contains. Let's make this
work for all of us! If after you read it you decide you don't want to
try it, that's fine just hit DELETE and go about your day.
PS. I know nothing comes easy in this world, but for $5 and in thirty
days I'll know if this opportunity really works or not.
Have fun in what ever you do!
Is it as easy as they say to make money on the web?
TURN $5 INTO $15,000 IN ONLY 30 DAYS...HERES HOW!
You have most likely seen or heard about this project on TV programs
such as 20/20 and Oprah , or you may have read about it in the Wall
Street Journal. If not, here it is below - revealed to you in
step-by-step detail. This program is by no means new. It has been in
existence in many forms for at least a decade. But in the early days,
it required a lot more time and effort, as well as an investment of a

few hundred dollars. However, thanks to PayPal and the Internet, the investment is now virtually ZERO! And what's more, the entire process is FASTER, EASIER, and MORE LUCRATIVE than it has EVER been!
Below is the email sent to me:
How to Turn $5 into $15,000 in 30 Days with PayPal
I WAS SHOCKED WHEN I SAW HOW MUCH MONEY CAME FLOODING INTO MY PAYPAL ACCOUNT
I turned $5 into $14,706 within the first 30 days of operating the business plan that I am about to reveal to you free of charge. If you decide to take action on the following instructions, I will GUARANTEE that you will enjoy a similar return!
Please do not be skeptical about this program. At least think about it for a few days. Otherwise you will be throwing away over $15,000 in cash! This system has been working for 2 years and thousands of people have joined and they have been amazed by the results of a little time and $5.00! You will too!
The business plan I am about to share with you works perfectly every single time. I've never made less than $15,000 from it on each occasion.
Let me assure you that this is a LEGITIMATE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY, a perfectly legal moneymaking enterprise. It does not require you to sell anything. It does not require you to speak to your friends, family or anybody else (unless you want to). In fact, you do not need to come into contact with any people whatsoever. Anyone with a pulse can become successful and make their fortune with this system. If you believe that every dog has its day, then follow the simple step-by-step plan exactly as it is set out below. If you do this and this alone, I GUARANTEE that within the next 30 days you will receive over $15,000 in cash via your PayPal account. I know that this must sound like an outrageous claim, particularly if you've never had possession of this sort of money before, but believe me, this business works like nothing else you may have seen before in your life! By following the simple 3-step plan set out below, within a few short weeks your life will be transformed beyond all recognition! Please do not allow your initial skepticism to deprive you of certain financial success.
If you decide not to take advantage of this life-changing opportunity, I would like you to know that I sincerely respect your decision and I understand that you will have your reasons. I therefore wish you the very best of luck for the future. I am able to say this with absolute sincerity because, as you will see, if you decide to work this plan, I will not receive any financial compensation from you whatsoever. After all, I am sharing this system with you for free. However, remember life is short. Nobody has ever said that you can't have the financial freedom to do what you want to do, when you want to do it. But you must first pay the price. The price here is action; it's as simple as that!
I'm very proud to be able to say that I've fulfilled my duty to my wife and children by securing their financial future in a very uncertain world, and I don't believe that any price is too great to pay for such peace of mind.
This is the CHEAPEST, FASTEST and EASIEST way to make serious money

online - PERIOD!
There are a lot of companies out there offering to put you in your own business, but I'm so certain you will make a SERIOUS INCOME with what I am going to tell you that I'm willing to roll up my sleeves and help you begin - RIGHT NOW!!
Remember, I am not asking you to send me a single penny for the lucrative business project I am about to share with you. In addition, you will not be asked to buy anything or sacrifice your free time. You will make some fast and easy cash, using just a copy of this page and the simplest and most popular Internet payment system in existence!
If you're already running a home business, you can easily do this one along with it. If not, this is the FASTEST and EASIEST way to earn serious money online that you've ever seen so far in the history of the Internet! This program works no matter what country you are in, or what currency you use. It doesn't matter how old or how young you are. And you certainly won't need any special knowledge or talent. You won't need to run a website, or make phone calls, or make photocopies, or send out letters through the mail, or pay for advertising, etc. The only things you will need are:
An email address.
A Business PayPal account with at least $5 deposited in it.
Just 15 to 30 minutes of your time.
This program takes just half an hour to set up. After that, there is absolutely no work whatsoever to do on your part. And yet, you will stand to gain many thousands of dollars within the next few weeks from those 30 minutes of easy work! Yes, I know, it sounds too good to be true! I thought exactly the same thing myself until I actually tried it out! Even if you are already involved in another program, stay with it, but do yourself a big favor and DO THIS ONE as well. You have absolutely NOTHING to lose, but you stand to gain a LIFE-CHANGING amount of money within the next few weeks! In fact, there is NO LIMIT to the amount of income you can generate from this one single business program!!
The facts are simple: If you need to make a few thousand dollars REALLY

FAST, then this program is the way to do it! It's the CHEAPEST, FASTEST, EASIEST, and MOST LUCRATIVE program you will ever participate in!
Please be sure to read all of this page . . . take your time, come back to it . . . go over and over it, you won't be sorry, I can certainly promise you that! If you don't have time to read all of this now, then save this email so you can come back to it later.
All you need is a PayPal account and an email address!
Just about everyone has heard about "PayPal" (if you haven't you will soon!) and when I came across this concept I knew it would work because, as a member of PayPal (an Ebay company), I had already experienced their efficiency and excellent standing. PayPal is the simplest method of making and receiving payments online that anyone has ever seen! Anyone with an email address can join for FREE! Once you have a PayPal account, you can send and receive credit card payments,

or from your checking account, to or from anyone - anywhere in the world! Please read further before you go there... You can complete this whole process within just half an hour and you will NEVER forget the day you decided to do so!!!
NEED PROOF? Here are just 3 testimonials from the countless individuals who decided to invest nothing more than $5 and half an hour of their time to participate in this program:
"What an amazing plan! I followed your instructions just 3 weeks ago, and although I haven't made 15 grand yet, I'm already up to $9,135. I'm absolutely gob smacked."
Pam Whittemore , Ohio
"This is Lisa. Well, what can I say?... THANK YOU SO MUCH! I sent 40 e-mail's out like you said and then I just forgot about the whole thing. To be honest, I didn't really think anything would come of it. But when I checked my paypal account a week later, there was over $5,000 in it! After 30 days I now have over $11,000 to spend! I can't thank you enough!"
Juan Tovar, NY,NY
"I was shocked when I saw how much money came flooding into my paypal account. Within 3 weeks my account balance has ballooned to $12,449. At first I thought there had been some sort of error with my account!"
Richard Barrie , Boulder,CO
Just a few months ago, each of these people were doing the same thing as you are at this very moment - reading this! But because they decided

to follow the simple instructions given below, they are now considerably better off as a result. And there's no reason whatsoever why you can't share in this success. You've got nothing to lose, and EVERYTHING to gain!
Let's get started, just follow the instructions exactly as set out below and then prepare yourself for a HUGE influx of cash over the next 30 days!
Here's what you need to do. .
STEP 1
Ok, if you're not already a PayPal user, the very first thing you need to do is click on the PayPal link below and SIGN UP. It takes just 2 minutes!
Here's the link:
https://www.paypal.com/us/mrb/pal=SCU7F3FTYLVKC
Be sure to use this link so you can sign up for a free BUSINESS account. You'll need to have a BUSINESS account (and not a PERSONAL account) otherwise you won't be able to receive credit card payments from other people.
STEP 2
It is an undeniable law of the universe that we must first give in order to receive. So the first thing to do when you have your Business PayPal account is to IMMEDIATELY send a $5 payment from your PayPal account to the FIRST email address in the list below, along with a note saying:
"Please add me to your mailing list. "

Be certain to add this note, as this is what KEEPS THIS PROGRAM LEGAL. Instructions on how to send a payment are under "SEND MONEY" at the Paypal site. It's so easy!!
When you send your $5 payment to the first address in the list, do it with a great big smile on your face because "as you sow, so shall you reap!"
Here's the current list:
1. ebayisok@yahoo.com
2. flyingredtiger@yahoo.com
3. mikesauctionshop@yahoo.com
4. p-2_appraisals@msn.com
5. mmarette@yahoo.com
After you have transferred a $5 payment to the email address at the top of the list, something very eerie happens. It gives you an indescribable, overwhelming sense of certainty, belief and conviction in the system. You've just proved to yourself that, because you have done it, there must be a great number of other people ready to do exactly the same. Thus you have now seen for yourself, first hand, that this business actually works! STEP 3 Once you've sent a $5 payment to the address at the top of the list (along with your note - this is VERY important!), the next thing you need to do is to obtain a copy of the contents of this page, as you'll be sending it out (as an email message or by advertising your page, like I have done) to at least 40 people (keep in mind that 40 is a good number of people to reach, but with all the different ways of advertising for free on the internet the amount of views and sign-ups under you could well exceed into the hundreds or thousands if you wish....the more people who join the more profitable for everyone on the list, including you). The copy that you will send out will contain YOUR email address at number 5 in the list - having deleted the address at Number 1 in the list, and moving the others up a position. The best way to do this is you can copy and paste into emails to your family and friends. You can copy and paste it and put ads on the internet on message boards etc. Or you can create your own free website, by copying and pasting. There are many free webpages you can build on the web. And the best part of having this info on a website for people to follow is you can post links to your site on paid to click websites or paid to read email sites, for great advertising. There are countless ways to get more people like me and you involved in this amazing program.
A. Go to the toolbar at the top of the window and select "Edit" and then "Select All".
B. Go to the toolbar again and select "Edit" and then "Copy".
C. Start (compose) a new email message (PLAIN TEXT so everyone can view it!).
D. Fill in your email address and subject line, and then click in the main message area.
E. Go to "Edit" and "Paste" to paste the text into your new email message. Now you can edit and format the message text in any way you want! However, it's best to just leave the text as it is because you want your email to be read by everyone who receives it!

The only thing you need to make sure of is that you type YOUR email address at Number 5 in the list in STEP 2. Of course, the address that was previously at Number 1 should be removed, and the other email addresses should be moved up a position to accommodate yours at Number 5. As long as you have done this correctly, your email is ready for sending!
A word of warning! Don't be tempted to add your email address to position 1 in order to earn money fast! It doesn't work like that! If you do that, you will ONLY reach the people you directly send emails to, and then your address will be immediately removed from the Number 1 place and you won't reach thousands of people! But, if you add your name to the Number 5 position, there will be literally tens of thousands of people receiving and sending e-mail's later - when your name is at the Number 1 spot!!!
F. Once you've got your email message ready, send out a minimum of 40 copies of the email - but only to people you know, or to people who respond to MLM offers, or to people who've sent YOU offers. By sending this letter and the payment via EMAIL, the response time is EXTREMELY fast..... ELECTRONIC TRANSFER INTERNET FAST!!! That's why it takes only a few days for those $5 payments to start flooding into your PayPal account!
THAT'S ALL THERE IS TO IT! The whole process should take you no more than 30 minutes to complete.
BE PREPARED TO GET EXCITED.... YOU WON'T BE DISAPPOINTED!!! Half an hour of easy work is all that's needed - no capital outlay, no postage stamps, no printing, copying or waiting, and the concept is 100% legal.

Within 30 days, over $15,000 cash will pass through your PayPal account. And that's GUARANTEED. In fact, you can expect to receive a substantial number of $5 payments within the first few days! Keep a copy of your email safe so that you can use it again whenever you need more cash!
Here's the math:
When you send out your emails, your email address will initially be at Number 5 in the list. That's the best position it can be in at this stage if you want to make serious money. The response-rate for this program is much higher than any typical email marketing campaign for a number of reasons, which are explained later. As long as you send your emails to people who are likely to be interested in this program, on average, you can expect a response from about 25% of the people you send to. But let's be extremely conservative here and assume that you receive an average response rate of only 12.5%. . .
If you send out your email to 40 different people, you can expect at least 5 of those people to do exactly what you did (12.5% of 40 = 5). By this time, your email address will have moved up to Number 4 in the list, and this list will now have reached around 200 people (5 x 40). Out of those 200 people, you can expect at least 25 of them to participate (12.5% of 200 = 25), so that's a further 1,000 emails (25 x 40) being sent out and your email address will have risen to Number 3 in the list.

Out of those 1,000 people, you can expect at least 125 of them to participate (12.5% of 1,000 = 125), so that's a further 5,000 emails (125 x 40) being sent out with you now at the Number 2 position.
Out of those 5,000 people, you can expect at least 625 of them to respond (12.5% of 5,000 = 625), so that's 25,000 emails (625 x 40) being sent out with YOUR ADDRESS at the Number 1 spot!
Now, out of those 25,000 people, you can expect around 3,125 of them to respond (12.5% of 25,000 = 3,125). And since you will now be at NUMBER 1 in the list, you will receive $15,625! (3,125 x $5)
So, when your name starts to hit the Number 1 position within the next few days, it will be YOUR turn to collect the money! Over the course of 30 days, this money will be sent to you by a few thousand people just like yourself - who are willing to invest half an hour to receive around $15,000 or more in cash! The first payments will arrive within a few days, and they will continue at the rate of about 100 payments per day for about 30 days. After that time, the volume of payments begins to taper off as your email address vacates the Number 1 position.
That's all you need to do! There will be around $15,000 in $5 payments waiting for you in your PayPal account within the next few weeks.$15,000 for just 30 minutes work! This is real money that you can spend on anything you wish! Just deposit it to your own bank account or spend it directly from your PayPal account!!! It's just that easy!!! I think it's WORTH IT, don't you?
Remember, the 12.5% example above is assuming that 35 out of the 40 people you send your email to will do absolutely nothing except delete your email. However, if you follow the plan correctly and send your emails only to people who are likely to want to participate, you can expect a typical response-rate of around 25%. Hence, the 12.5% example is only given as a worst-case-scenario. Additionally, the above example assumes that each participant will only send out 40 emails. Imagine what would happen if each participant sent out 1,000 emails instead of just 40! Believe me; many people will do this and much more!
Consider this! Millions of people surf the Internet every single day, all over the world! Plus there are 50,000 new people who get on the Internet every month! The people who send you offers by email can provide you with an excellent source of bulk email addresses to send to. You can also send out emails to all of your family, friends, and to anyone who you think would want to invest $5 and 30 minutes of their time to make a substantial lump sum within a month. If you want to operate this business continuously, you should consider obtaining bulk lists of email addresses from a reputable mailing list company. That's how I've managed to stay in business for the last 2 years! If you already have a legitimate mailing list, then this program would be the most profitable way to make use of it.
There are many websites that sell thousands of fresh opt-in email addresses of people who have subscribed to receive exactly this type of program. For example, visit: www.htmail.com and www.Email2Success.com .
The possibilities are endless, and it's so easy!
Unlike many other programs, this 5-LEVEL PROGRAM costs you only $5.
That's right, only $5 ONCE, which is much more realistic and provides

much, much faster results. Only the first person on the list gets your $5 gift, but everyone in the list will rise to that Number 1 position as thousands of emails are being sent out. No cheating can occur (don't be fooled by claims that this system can be cheated) as Paypal only allows one account per person. Because it is so easy, the response rate is VERY HIGH and VERY FAST - Internet email FAST, and you will start seeing dramatic results in less than one week! JUST IN TIME FOR NEXT MONTH'S BILLS!

Remember, you need only mail out 40 copies to start with. This will be enough to generate a substantial lump sum within 30 days. For example, send to personal contacts and reply to people who send their programs to you, as they are already working on the web. They know these programs work and they are already believers in the system! This is NOT considered SPAM if you are responding to other people's offers, or sending to friends, family and contacts, or if you are using a legitimate mailing list.

So, email your letters out today, and then prepare yourself for a huge influx of cash within the next 30 days!!!

4 factors that make this program so successful. . .

EXTREMELY FAST RESPONSE

EXTREMELY HIGH RESPONSE RATE

UNLIMITED PROFIT POTENTIAL

QUICK, SIMPLE AND CHEAP TO GET STARTED

Because of the VIRTUALLY ZERO INVESTMENT, SPEED, and HIGH PROFIT POTENTIAL, this program has a VERY HIGH RESPONSE RATE! And all from just a simple email and one simple $5 PayPal transaction! In fact, this program has faster results and a higher response rate than any other Internet program you could possibly participate in! For most email marketing campaigns, the average response rate is between 0.5% and 5%. However, this particular program typically generates a response rate of between 20% and 30%. This is because this program is so easy to implement, it costs next to nothing, it takes just 30 minutes to implement, and the results can be seen within days.

I've been watching this type of MLM program for years and this is about as easy and fast as you can get it. No stamps, no envelopes, no printed copies to be made - just a little effort and faith!!! This program really keeps it "short and simple"!

UNLIMITED income potential!

This program is structured for everyone to send only 40 emails each to start. However, you are certainly not limited to 40 Send out as many emails as you want. Every 40 emails you send have a return of AT LEAST $15,000 WITHIN 30 DAYS. So, if you can email 50, or 100, or whatever, GO FOR IT! THE MORE YOU PUT INTO IT, THE MORE YOU GET OUT OF IT!

However, you MUST remember that sneaking your name higher up on the list will NOT produce the results you think, and it only cheats the other people who have put in the effort and have earned the right to be there. So please, play by the rules and the serious money will come to you!

You are probably skeptical of this, especially with all the different programs out there on the Web, but if you don't try this you will never

know. I felt exactly the same as you probably do right now. I wanted to believe but I just couldn't help thinking that there was some sort of catch. But then I realized that I had absolutely nothing to lose. After all, I was only being asked to invest a measly $5, and yet I could earn many thousands of dollars within a month. And all for just half an hour of my time! Therefore I went ahead and did exactly as the email requested. This took me around 30 minutes in total, and I'm so, so, glad I did It!

To get started, just click on the link below:

https://www.paypal.com/us/mrb/pal=SCU7F3FTYLVKC

But please play by the rules!

This program doesn't cost anything but $5 and about half an hour of your time, and if everyone plays fair, everyone WINS!!

So there it is. You now have the knowledge that will enable you to make over $15,000 within the next few weeks. The only thing that can hold you back now is a lack of faith or a lack of self-belief. However, any doubts you may currently have will disappear within a few days of putting this plan into practice. Trust me on this! You certainly won't regret it.

Some notes from the PayPal site which you may find useful

PayPal lets you pay anyone with an email address and is the world's Number 1 online payment service. PayPal (as an Ebay company) is accepted on millions of eBay auctions daily, as well as a countless number of online shops. You can also use PayPal to pay your friends - for example, it's a convenient way to split the phone bill with your roommate, send cash to your kids in college, or send cash to someone in another country.

When you send money through PayPal, you can fund your payments with your credit card or check account. You won't have to worry about your privacy, because PayPal keeps your accounting information safe and secure. Making a purchase with PayPal is far more secure than mailing a check or giving your credit card number to a stranger. That's why over 9 MILLION people from around the world use PayPal to move money.

Signing up for a PayPal account is free, easy, and it takes only a couple of minutes. Thank you, and good luck in your ventures!

1105. submit your site, trade links, post news

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 04:50:55 UTC
From: Beyondenchanted <bluewolfvault@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112244654.868754.167080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198080

3.30.2005

Hello site owners & webmasters,

Public Anouncement: I'd like to trade links with your site.
My domain sells animal & wildlife statues and gets over
5,000 hits per day. The site also hosts an online arts and
alternative news magazine that's very popular with intriguing
creative content. Drive new traffic to your site by trading links!
88% of our site visitors are affluent, pet lovers, animal owners
who spend money online each month. They also travel,are
college educated and are business savvy. Grow your own site
traffic by swapping links with us. It's a win - win deal!

See http://funpetgifts.com
http://funpetgifts.com/TruthPortal/

You can submit your link below - Be sure to include the reciprocal
link page where our link appears and it will go right through:

http://funpetgifts.com/links/add_link.html

This is a permanent link trade. We already swap links with
hundreds of other prominent pet, animal, biz, travel, recreation,
news, home, garden & tourism sites on the web. Add your link too!

========

http://funpetgifts.com

Choose from over 4000 hand painted realistic animal figurines:
dogs, cats, wild animals, farm animals, big cats, rustic wildlife,
nautical theme statues, garden statues and more. Order online
24/7 at http://funpetgifts.com or order by secured toll free phone:
Call 1.888.857.0164

#@#=======#@#=======#@#=======#@#

http://funpetgifts.com/TruthPortal/
Everything They Don't Want You To Know

Alternative News Links | UFO News | Earth Changes Info
Spiritual Networking | Free Screensavers | Pole Shift Info
Stunnning Original Surrealist Art | Logos | Graphic Design
Web Design | Illustration | Digital Imaging | Creative Art

National Neighborhood USATerror Alerts
Join us, it's free! See URL below to subscribe

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/USATerror-Alerts
Group email: USATerror-Alerts@googlegroups.com
Owner email: USATerror-Alerts-owner@googlegroups.com

#@#=======#@#=======#@#=======#@#

========

1106. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 05:16:23 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <q7udneB1now1GtbfRVn-iQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 198081

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 11:09:02 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
> <1034-424ACF1E-15@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:
>
> <snip>
>>> As long as government service has value,
>>
>>> there will be people willing to pay more for it
>>
>>> for quicker consideration.
>>
>> And when one receives special treatment from a government because of
>> their money, that government is corrupt. You are virtually explaining
>> the corruptness of the current Bush administration, and doing a
>> fantastic job.
>
>
> I'm suprised that neither of you have put 2 and 2 together yet, even
> after Dave twice ducked my question on the subject: Terry Schiavo is
> being raped by the Bush administration because of corporate influence.
> The vast majority of the public feels that that the government should
> not be involved, and for the simple reason that few people would want
> to be kept alive if they were in a vegetative state. One reason people
> feel this way is because it's a financial burden on the family. And
> there's the clue: "financial". Who stands to gain financially by
> keeping brain-dead people alive? The care-givers and drug companies.
> Now I don't think there is a strong political lobby for hospice.....
>
> It's clear to me that Bush & Co acted on behalf of the pharmaceutical
> and medical lobbies. These are the same interests that fund opposition
> to any state initiative that would grant terminally ill people the
> right to die, because it's much more profitable to keep people alive
> and in pain as long as possible. This is not capitalism -- it's a type
> of opportunism that's not just offensive and contemptible, but it's
> also one of the most frightening aspects of government influence
> because it directly opposes the will of the people. Think about it:
> people get old or get sick, and instead of being allowed to die they
> are kept alive artifically until every last dollar can be squeezed out
> of every available source of financial support, all the while waving
> the banner that they are the industries that make life BETTER!
>
> Only in America.

You forgot to add the part where once the hospital has wrung every last bit of money from either the patient or family, they then get to disconnect the patient because of inability to pay. This is how they do it in Texas. Several years ago the former Gov of Texas signed it into law. His initials are: GWB.

Jeff

>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1107. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 05:23:11 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a-qdnb89k5vdFNbfRVn-pg@giganews.com>
Source record: 198082

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 11:35:12 -0800, "Jeff Mayner"
> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> ~n3cvj
>>>>
>>>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>>> Mopar & I as childish?
>>>
>>>
>>> Childish. Petty. Immature. This newsgroup is so much better with
>>> like likes of Geo, Dogie, and Frank, in the killfile. It's a
>>> different world without seeing them.
>>
>> I agree with the first two, and all their permutations, but, why the
>> last?
>>
>> Jeff
>
> I made the mistake of saying I liked Ronald Reagan, and he proceded
> to give be a history lesson on how bad he was. I don't need his
> permission to like someone.

I think he was giving you the history lesson because you indicated you did not know about the things he was pointing out. I too was guilty of this, if you'll recall. It doesn't mean that I dislike you. It's just some of us old lefties just feel this obsessive compulsion to educate. Especially so in my case as I did not know about a lot of it myself until after the fact, or at least half way through the old man's second term. No matter.

But it seems that Frank judges people on
> poilitcal beliefs. He also has some sort of complex where he has to
> impose his will, where he has to outdo your schooling, politcal,
> radio knowledges, etc. There is a posts on it on the Bush thread. He
> is a petty, insecure old man, with no class. And he said he is a
> bartender. Now, there is nothing at all wrong with that, except that
> he has a degree in EET and radio communications, and is tending bar.
> He says it's by his choice. But Bartenders works shitty hours, nights
> and weekends. Engineers work mostly normal working hours. Read
> betweens the lines. It all fits.

I must have missed the post with all that info in it. Were you guys doing e-mail?

Again, no matter. I like you, Vinnie. You seem like a very nice fellow.
;-)

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

1108. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 12:22:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8epn41l34833sb0dgtq7f2og55kflenndf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198083

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 12:14:30 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 11:09:02 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
><1034-424ACF1E-15@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:
>
><snip>
>>>As long as government service has value,
>>
>>>there will be people willing to pay more for it
>>
>>>for quicker consideration.
>>
>>And when one receives special treatment from a government because of
>>their money, that government is corrupt. You are virtually explaining
>>the corruptness of the current Bush administration, and doing a
>>fantastic job.
>
>
>I'm suprised that neither of you have put 2 and 2 together yet, even
>after Dave twice ducked my question on the subject: Terry Schiavo is
>being raped by the Bush administration because of corporate influence.

Provide factual proof to support that allegation.

>The vast majority of the public feels that that the government should
>not be involved, and for the simple reason that few people would want
>to be kept alive if they were in a vegetative state. One reason people
>feel this way is because it's a financial burden on the family. And
>there's the clue: "financial". Who stands to gain financially by
>keeping brain-dead people alive? The care-givers and drug companies.
>Now I don't think there is a strong political lobby for hospice.....

Who pays the bills is not the issue. Terri's parents are more than
willing to take over the costs, even if her dirtbag husband want to
cut off the ball and chain.

>It's clear to me that Bush & Co acted on behalf of the pharmaceutical
>and medical lobbies.

How is that clear? Where is the evidence? Can you not conceive of the
moral issue? Is everything with you based on cynicism?

> These are the same interests that fund opposition
>to any state initiative that would grant terminally ill people the

>right to die, because it's much more profitable to keep people alive
>and in pain as long as possible.

It is also a moral and legal dilemma to take someone's life. Jack
Kevorkian went to prison for helping people end their own lives.

> This is not capitalism -- it's a type
>of opportunism that's not just offensive and contemptible, but it's
>also one of the most frightening aspects of government influence
>because it directly opposes the will of the people.

I believe the term you're looking for is exploitation. But that's not
the case here.

>Think about it:
>people get old or get sick, and instead of being allowed to die they
>are kept alive artifically until every last dollar can be squeezed out
>of every available source of financial support, all the while waving
>the banner that they are the industries that make life BETTER!

You act as if the government/drug companies/medical people etc are
forcing people to stay alive artificially. That's not the case at all.
There wouldn't be an issue in the Sciavo case either, except that
there are two warring sides who want diametrically opposed things. The
husband wants her dead, the parents want her alive.

This case is not about the government, medical companies, or who pays.
None of this has ever been at issue. This case is predicated on a few
basic issues:

1. Lacking written notarized documentation, who has the right to make
the determination whether a person lives or dies? Does anyone have
the ultimate right to supersede God's will?

2. What level of proof is necessary to satisfy the legal system of
that person's eventual life decisions at the time of the question of
termination? Is hearsay good enough to make that determination?

3. Is starving someone to death a truly humane method of death? We're
less cruel to death row candidates. Is this some lame attempt to
isolate those responsible from the actual deed ("well, we didn't
actually KILL her, we just prevented her from eating and she died on
her own")?

4. Is Terri REALLY in a PVS? The family has insisted, and quite a few
medical professionals had testified that she has some minimum level of
consciousness. Efforts to further quantify this have all been
effectively blocked by her husband, which then calls into question his
motives in the whole case. The fact that he has not allowed videos or
other professionals to examine here since 2002 is also suspicious.

The federal government intervened (In a bi-partisan manner BTW) on the
premise that the court should allow more time to make a more accurate
determination of Terri's state. Why the rush now? They could just as

easily starve here to death 3 months from now following the results of the investigation.

The much broader picture that this case has highlighted, is the level of power that the judiciary branch of our government has usurped. They are now making policy rather than simply interpreting and enforcing. The legislative branch is supposed to make the policy, the courts are only supposed to apply it.

Watch for more fallout in this area in the months to come.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1109. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 12:24:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2vqn41l4nuvoc8nfch7rcfhkegg8312sqq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198084

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 09:08:37 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>
>>Yet another example of someone who had
>
>>their feelings hurt and who is now on a
>
>>personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>
>
>You obviously are more familiar with the site than myself, as I was just
>made aware of it. Can you enlighten the contingency about whose feelings
>were hurt and why?

Just read the site. The author has outlines his "beef" quite clearly.
There were also discussions on QRZ on the "other side".

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1110. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 12:25:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com>
Source record: 198085

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>
>>
>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
>> now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>Mopar & I as childish?
>
> Landshark

Yes. And it takes two to tango.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1111. Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 13:27:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050331082714.888$wP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198086

Doug lays down the law for Dayton. hehehe

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:

You wanna rumble? OK, I will. Let's just leave the fest so we don't get popped.

If you start a rumble, get ready to get the max. Bring it along, I will.

None of you know me by picture, sight, or otherwise. Bring your digicams, some gayboys are in for a shock.

My wife will be with me or nearby. If you wanna rumble, wait 'til I tell her what's up so she doesn't freak.

Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

1112. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 13:37:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <v5vn41htr2l3iga3i6vfe0qp0rlm4516oa@4ax.com>
Source record: 198087

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 21:23:11 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>> I agree with the first two, and all their permutations, but, why the
>>> last?
>>>
>>> Jeff
>>
>> I made the mistake of saying I liked Ronald Reagan, and he proceded
>> to give be a history lesson on how bad he was. I don't need his
>> permission to like someone.
>
>I think he was giving you the history lesson because you indicated you did
>not know about the things he was pointing out. I too was guilty of this, if
>you'll recall. It doesn't mean that I dislike you.

Oh yeah, it does. Read the tone. It don't matter, because I really don't like
him.

>It's just some of us old
>lefties just feel this obsessive compulsion to educate. Especially so in my
>case as I did not know about a lot of it myself until after the fact, or at
>least half way through the old man's second term. No matter.

That is fine. Many people do that on this usenet. But it's their entire tone
that makes the difference. His in condescending and nasty.

>
>But it seems that Frank judges people on
>> poilitcal beliefs. He also has some sort of complex where he has to
>> impose his will, where he has to outdo your schooling, politcal,
>> radio knowledges, etc. There is a posts on it on the Bush thread. He
>> is a petty, insecure old man, with no class. And he said he is a
>> bartender. Now, there is nothing at all wrong with that, except that
>> he has a degree in EET and radio communications, and is tending bar.
>> He says it's by his choice. But Bartenders works shitty hours, nights
>> and weekends. Engineers work mostly normal working hours. Read
>> betweens the lines. It all fits.
>
>I must have missed the post with all that info in it. Were you guys doing
>e-mail?
>
>Again, no matter. I like you, Vinnie. You seem like a very nice fellow.
>;-)

Thanks. Well, nicer than him, anyway.

Vinnie S.

1113. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 13:40:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tevn41tbms3luo89c3o9gm11brd3ieu2dh@4ax.com>
Source record: 198088

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 10:04:11 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>Why argue something that isn't taking place? The FCC isn't giving
>pirates any attention at all.
>They merely respond to complaints concerning isolated incidents.
>
>>Huh? I though the whole point of this
>>discussion was based on how the FCC was
>>"targeting" radio pirates based on the
>>complaints from those money grubbing
>>commercial radio conglomerates.

>I see nothing at all to illustrate the FCC is targeting Pirate radio
>Ops, just more business as usual responding to isolated incidents and
>complaints. To use the term "target" would suggest the FCC is active in
>persuing pirate activity on their own. Nothing is further from the
>truth.
>
>>The FCC becomes aware of a problem
>>through one of two common ways. One is to
>>actively monitor,

>I disagree. There are many ways for the FCC to become aware of problems.
>Monitoring (which is not actively done as realtes to pirates) and
>other's complainst are but only two ways.

Then perhaps you could point out some of the many other ways?

>>and the other is to have their attention brought
>>to it through a complaint. Since there is a
>>manpower and resources limitation and a lot
>>of bandwidth to cover, they can't monitor every
>>frequency, all the time. Most of the time,
>>complaints result in action against radio
>>pirates. But I thought you understood that.
>
>
>
>Which has nothing to do with your claim the FCC "targets"
>pirates....they don't. Claiming they "target" a certain group lends the
>false impression the FCC actively goes after them of their own
>initiative......they do not. I thought *you* understood -this-.

Ok, you and I are dancing over the meaning of the term "target". But
the existence of the tougher law on radio pirates, and well as stepped

up actions against them, seem to be evidence to me that they have been "made aware" of the issue and are "responding" to it. I have not argument that this is likely the result of "complaints". But how it happens is irrelevant.

>The extreme republican approach,,,much more government intrusion in our
>personal lives....government must protect us from ourselves because we
>(those who clamor for such enforcement) are incapable of managing
>ourselves and controlling our own behavior.
>
>>That would be the democrat approach.
>
>
>The democrats are not running the FCC the republicans are running the
>FCC.
>
>>No, but democrats are the ones who
>>traditionally favor bigger government and
>>more social programs to "care" for those who
>>liberals decided, are incapable of caring for
>>themselves without the big hand of
>>government helping out.
>
>IF what you suggest is true, the current batch of republicans suddenly
>begun to mimic and employ what you claim is the democrat approach.
>Perhaps that is because their approaches have all been failures in this
>admin.

>>That's certainly one way to look at it. But that
>>opinion is purely subjective in nature and will
>>vary depending on your perspective.
>
>
>It's not a view, but a fact, when assuming your position is true (such
>behavior is a "democrat approach"). Please explain why the Bush admin is
>adopting such policy at this time, especially when the party base is
>against such acts, of course, except for when it suits theie personal
>agenda, like Terry Schiavo, and the points you neatly illustrated.

Bush might be adding to the deficit, but (duh!) we have a war to fund and that's expensive. Domestically, there are many spending cuts (the FCC being one)

>
>
>>For example, you may feel that the mission in
>>Iraq is a total failure. I feel that it's not yet over,
>>and is showing many positive signs, not the
>>least of which is a free election for the first
>>time in decades.
>

>
>
>History repeats itself. I am enlightened enough on world politics to
>KNOW democracy can never be forced on a society where up to half or more
>of the citizens are anti-western culture.

People naturally would rather have control over their own destiny. If
you ask anyone if they'd rather decide their own life path, or if they
prefer someone else making those choices (sometimes forcibly against
their will) for them, guess which choice they will make?

A change like this will take time. No intelligent person would expect
this situation to be resolved in a year or two. It will take time. But
the seeds of western culture have already been planted. Unless the
oppressive radical factions succeed in forcibly suppressing further
rights, those seeds will grow. The genie has been let out of the
bottle. It's only a matter of time before the majority of the people
over there will revolt against those who make trouble and support acts
of terror.

> I am also intelligent enough
>to realize that you can not wage a phony war and win while
>simultaneously supporting the terrorists (via the gas pump) who want to
>kill us and who we are waging war against.

That conclusion is based on a false premise (Actually more than one).
Your suppositions that the war is "phoney" is a matter of personal
belief, and is not supported by facts. You also allege that by buying
oil, that we are supporting terrorists. That sort of tripe is the
stuff of Arianna Huffington, and other similarly clueless conspiracy
followers. Are all the members of OPEC, fronts for terrorists? How do
non-aligned groups like Al-Qaeda benefit from the sale of oil?

What are we supposed to do about it, assuming that there's some truth
in it?

>>It's little different than a Jew arguing with a
>>Muslim over which religion is the "right" one.
>Well, faith plays a lot in religion, whereas facts can usually be
>determined concerning government going-ons.

That's the problem. The real "facts" are few and unclear in most
cases. What we have instead are mountains of opinions, political spin,
and the testimony of people who only know part of the story. So people
on both sides of the ideological fence rely on their inner faith to
support those snippets of information which bolster their own
perception.

>The FCC is not a social program.
>
>>I never said it was.
>
>

>I commented about the FCC overstepping their boundaries, attempting to
>coddle us and legislating what they feel is best for our morals, what we
>hear, what we see. I said this has always been the way of the
>republicans. You claimed this was a democrat approach and tried to
>invoke as an example:
>
>> "more social programs to manage the
>>well-being of people who are presumably
>>unable to make their own decisions"

I was making a relative comparison of methodology. I was not trying to make a direct comparison on the exact nature of the agencies themselves.

For the record, both republicans and democrats attempt to "influence" certain aspects of our lives. They just do it differently. Republicans are more concerned with "moral" issues, while democrats are more concerned with "social" issues.

Since I am more aligned with the issue of declining morality, I am less offended with this type of political influence, than I am with being told, for example, that I MUST contribute some of my tax money to fund certain programs like the endowment for the arts (Of which I feel should be made to stand on its own). IMHO charity should remain voluntary, not government mandated and administered.

>I reminded you the FCC is republican-run and has been for the past 4
>years. I also reminded you at this point, the FCC is not a social
>program, but for some reason, you snipped your comments concerning your
>invoking of "social programs" in response to me telling you the
>current behavior of the FCC is invading our privacy and right to make
>our own decisions as to what we choose to expose ourself broadcast-wise.

I snip when the post get's long. I have this thing for "brevity". I'm not out to see who can make the longest post. When posts become too long, the focus often drifts. I cut those parts which deviate from the original focus.

I don't view the FCC as invading our privacy. Again, if you want smut, then tune to an appropriate channel. If you don't like that sort of crap, and are watching a program that is not supposed to contain that sort of material, then I expect the FCC to step in and hammer down hard on someone who violates our right of decency and corrupts the innocence of minor children by including such smut in their broadcasts.

>Again, you make the case perfectly by astutely admitting the Bush admin
>does not feel you are competent to make your own decisions. By taking
>that decision away from you and making it for you, they are claiming you
>are not qualified and "presumably" incapapable of making such a decision
>for yourself.

It's hard to make a decision after the fact. I can't undo Janet's "malfunction". I shouldn't have to sit with my finger poised on the mute button so that I can hopefully catch bad behavior on public TV before my kid sees it. I put my trust in the FCC to do that for me. An acceptable family standard needs to be enforced. Again, if you want that type of material, then great. Just keep it on an appropriately warned and labeled place, so that I know to avoid it and set the parental controls on my TV appropriately.

>>The truth is that there are people who cannot
>>control their own behavior.
>
>
>Thats an interesting excuse for the FCC claiming you haven't the
>competence to decide what offends you and what doesn't.

That has nothing to do with FM radio pirates, which is what my comment was in reference to.

Please, which is it that you wish to discuss? The enforcement action of the FCC against unlicensed radio pirates, and the reasons which motivate them, or the ideological aspect of whether the FCC should limit broadcast material. They are two different subjects.

>> Should these people not be dealt with
>>according to the provisions of the law?
>
>ï¿½ï¿½What you consider a "federal criminal" is not what the FCC or the
>US judicial system considers a "federal criminal" and their enforcement
>techniques and penalities wisely reflect such.
>
>>There are laws on the books.
>
>
>And many of those laws are old and outdated and not enforced. Google
>"blue laws".

That is no excuse. FCC rules are not "blue laws". Until the law is officially changed, you are compelled to follow it.

>
>
>>Laws that are supposed to be followed by
>>everyone. Those who break the law,
>>technically become criminals. The fact that
>>they may get away with it for some time, does
>>not negate the facts.

>Which again is a circular argument you are unable to apply to the laws
>you invoke...such as the federal laws as applies to the interstates (as
>defined by the Federal DOT). Are motorists who violate the speed limits
>criminals, Dave?

There is no federal speed limit.

They are guilty of a summary offense and, as such, are not judged in
the same manner as harsher criminal activity. But they ARE still
guilty of breaking the law.

Are you attempting to promote the idea that only "major" laws should
be followed, and offer as a justification the idea that the penalty is
not worthy enough to respect?

>If what you say is true (that MOST people would rather break the law or
>get away with something when temptation is present), then we are living
>in a country full of criminals.

Ever look at the number of people who are in prison? Just think how it
would be if we didn't have laws.

>Holding one accountable
>for their behavior is a much different subject than arguing a
>pro-position for the FCC when it comes to enforcing what they deem
>obscene, or as you put it, when it comes to enforcing "taste".
>
>
>>What is considered "obscene" depends on
>>what the majority of society determines should
>>be considered such.

>Wrong. It depends on what the FCC decides. Period. Of course, if you can
>cite an incident where this was put before the public and voted
>upon.........

The FCC bases it's policy on the legal definitions of what is
considered "obscene". The definition of which can be found here:

http://www.youseemore.com/amyregional/about.asp?p=12

>>Such performances, such as Janet Jackson's
>>"wardrobe malfunction" should not even be
>>considered for inclusion on an otherwise
>>public family entertainment forum. If you want
>>to create a "dirtball channel" and put such
>>trash on it, then fine, as long as families and
>>others with good taste can avoid it, and not be
>>"surprised" in the middle of something that's
>>not supposed to contain such decadent
>>material.
>
>
>
>Says you. Some folks find the mere brutality of the sport akin to a
>violent turf war and find it much more offensive than the mere site of
>an exposed female breast.

Then they don't have to watch it! It's not like there was a false
pretense of a flower show and then a football game was suddenly and
without warning thrust upon you. You knew ahead of time what you were

getting into. Not so with the half time show. There was no warning. No chance to "tune away". The FCC acted appropriately in that case.

>These same people find it "offensive" that
>such brutality could even be considered a sport. Which brings us back to
>the fact that you obviously find the female breast exposed on television
>offensive. Like I said, the rest of the world's countries who are not
>under such puritan rules disagree. You ought visit Italy, Spain, Great
>Britain, for just a start.

What, and see further examples of moral degradation? No thanks. Just because they do it there does not make it right.

>
>>It was Franklin. But I'm also reasonably
>>convinced that not in their wildest nightmares,
>>could these wise founders have contemplated
>>the depths that our morality has degraded
>>since their time. I'm sure they's sing a different
>>tune if they knew.
>
>I disagree, as the principle is what they were embracing, not single
>issues.

If they knew how the first amendment was being twisted to further the dissemination of hate, filth, pornography, and other examples of the worst society has to offer, I don't think they would be so fast to grant unlimited rights to do so.

>>Well, here's the other side of the story.
>>Funding for enforcement has been cut
>>severely. License fees have dwindled.

>Dwindled? You mean they are charging less for licenses or less people
>are seeking licenses?
>
>
>>There are no longer CB licenses, for example.
>> Marine radio no longer requires a license for
>>a recreational boat in terrestrial waters. GMRS
>>license fees have decreased over the years.
>
>
>Which, by default, also means there are less avenues for which the FCC
>is to expend energy and resources.

They still have to enforce the rules in those areas. They just receive no funding from them to do so. So those areas drop in priority.

> It all balances out, but their incompetence grows.

What it means is that their job is increasing in magnitude and the ability for them to perform it is shrinking. They can either do a very

poor job across a broad area, or concentrate on the most visible areas
in order to do a good job.

That's why you can freeband in relative safety.

>>The amount of people who thumb their noses
>>at FCC rules has increased.

>How could you possibly confirm this?

>>Listen to the amount of broadcast pirates,
>>outlaw freebanders, certain hams on 75
>>meters etc.

>You answered my question.
>
>> Was it like this 30 years ago?
>
>
>Population increases exponentially with each passing day.

I'll take that as a "no".

>Because you are suddenly aware of
>more mediums where these people can voice their opinions regarding such?
>
>>I have always been able to directly observe
>
>>CB and ham radio, so my perception threshold
>>is not at issue here.
>
>
>But your reasoning for claiming increases in thumbing one's nose at the
>FCC might very well be.

I know you have a hard time accepting casual empirical evidence
gathered over years of radio operating. But ask any long time ham or
CBer about it, and I'll bet most of them would agree with me.

>Even then, such an approach makes several assumptions. Or perhaps the
>fact IS that more people ARE thumbing their nose at the
>FCC because the FCC is becoming more incompetent with each republican
>appointee and the majority of people, like myself, recognize the
>bullshit..
>
>
>>Once again, you cannot accurately speak for
>>the majority of people, as you have no idea
>>what they are thinking.

>Not only do I have an idea what "most people think" regarding many
>issues, as such has been part of my profession for years, but here you
>are, for whatever reason, attempting to tell me

>the opposite of something -you- most definitely have no clue.

Then perhaps you would be so kind as to explain how you could possibly know what the "majority" thinks about any radio related (or other) issue?

>Example....I wrote a local fishing column for 13 years. The publication
>was purchased and moved to the Miami area. For those years, I knew
>exactly what the "majoriyt of people" wished to read about, what they
>wanted to know, and what issues they were interested in.

First, assuming this story is true, you can only judge what your readers want according to those who feel compelled enough to leave feedback. The rest, you have absolutely no idea. So at best you can make the claims that you know what the majority of the selected group of people who responded to you about the topic of fishing. That hardly qualifies you to make those calls in areas such as radio law and politics.

> When you are in
>a business such as mine, a large part of your job is to know exactly
>what the majority are thinking, wanting, clamoring for, etc. Without
>such insight tailored to the group one is working with, a new career
>would be in order.

No doubt, but the scope of any specialty writer is narrow, and their area of expertise and insight into what "other people think" is confined to that narrow scope.

To use your rules, I could then accurately make the claim that based on the feedback that I receive from the readers of my website, that I'm pretty much right on the mark with regard to the evolution of CB radio over the last 30 years.

>>Remember, the majority of people put Bush
>>back into the whitehouse.

>Not even close. The majority of people who voted put Bush back into the
>WH, and not even half the population of the US voted.

And how many of those people read your fishing magazine?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1114. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 14:31:58 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <yRT2e.10248$zl.2904@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198089

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>>> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>
>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>>
>>>
>>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
>>> now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>Mopar & I as childish?
>>
>> Landshark
>
> Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>
> Dave

Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
on, your wrong. I did in the past, but since he was been
warned by his ISP to stop changing nics to by pass
filters, he doesn't change his nic. Now that has happened,
I don't see his posts, as Vinnie doesn't either.
Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
& accusations.
Now I don't post much anymore, to much political
BS and crap still being responded too left by said two
individuals, I'm lucky if I post once a day in the past 6
months. When I do, it's concerning radio or to joke around
with Mopar, Jim's, Vinnie or the likes.

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

1115. Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 14:48:51 UTC
From: truth@truth.com
Message-ID: <d2h2kjpmb1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 198090

.in rec.radio.cb and landshark leading the group.

---------- 占쏙옙占싶놂옙 카占쏙옙占쏙옙占쏙옙 KORNET ----------

1116. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 15:39:32 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26744-424C19B4-62@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198091

Frank Gilliland expounded with:
I'm suprised that neither of you have put 2 and 2 together yet, even after Dave twice ducked my question on the subject: Terry Schiavo is being raped by the Bush administration because of corporate influence. The vast majority of the public feels that that the government should not be involved, and for the simple reason that few people would want to be kept alive if they were in a vegetative state. One reason people feel this way is because it's a financial burden on the family. And there's the clue: "financial". Who stands to gain financially by keeping brain-dead people alive? The care-givers and drug companies. Now I don't think there is a strong political lobby for hospice.....
It's clear to me that Bush & Co acted on behalf of the pharmaceutical and medical lobbies. These are the same interests that fund opposition to any state initiative that would grant terminally ill people the right to die, because it's much more profitable to keep people alive and in pain as long as possible. This is not capitalism -- it's a type of opportunism that's not just offensive and contemptible, but it's also one of the most frightening aspects of government influence because it directly opposes the will of the people. Think about it: people get old or get sick, and instead of being allowed to die they are kept alive artifically until every last dollar can be squeezed out of every available source of financial support, all the while waving the banner that they are the industries that make life BETTER!
Only in America.
-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com -

Excellent point, Frank! Can't believe I didn't make the connection myself, as I have railed against the Bush connections to the drug companies in the past. Bush Sr. was appointed director of Eli Lilly back in the early seventies (Quaye family has/had controlling interest in Lilly)..by none other than Dan Quayle's father. At least we know why the Quayle boy was vp!!

1117. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 15:58:36 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26743-424C1E2C-189@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198092

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 09:08:37 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://www.fuckqrz.com
>Yet another example of someone who had

>their feelings hurt and who is now on a

>personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

You obviously are more familiar with the site than myself, as I was just
made aware of it. Can you enlighten the contingency about whose feelings
were hurt and why?

>Just read the site.

I did.

> The author has outlines his "beef" quite

>clearly.

I find nothing to indicate any hurt feelings. Again, I ask you once
again to explain your position. What is it that has you subjectively
indicating hurt feelings were responsible for the creator's site? Thomas
Paine created his paper the Federalist and people like you screamed
similar to what you offer now attempting to explain his actions,,,,,and
his paper was anonymous. Yet, the person you accuse makes very clear his
intention for his actions....censorship. And these folks are not
anonymous.
When one wishes to have an avenue free from undue interference and
censorship, sometimes one must create that avenue themselves. This is
twice in two days you have taken an American born patriotic birthright
and trashed it,,first was the right to select civil disobedience, now,
you accuse one who voices his own opinion on his own site with nothing
more than your own based subjective opinion, formed by reading the site
he took to task.

>There were also discussions on QRZ on the

>"other side".

Invocation of the site he decries as improperly censoring as the pillar of truth for -your- subjective bias is no different than the site builder's actions you take issue with,,,,you just happen to be on the "other side" (your words). Such is hardly an unbiased look at each site regarding the issue that sparked the creation of the site responsible for effectively moving you to the point of lambasting the creators. In that vein, the site you take issue with is an instant success, for if it moved you in such a manner. In the media, there is no bad press, regardless what you have been told. The only thing the public masses love more than controversy is resiliency from one who was once down...the underdog.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1118. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 16:18:28 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26744-424C22D4-63@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198093

David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:

>I believe the term you're looking for is

>exploitation. But that's not the case here.

Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement. He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.
Now Delay wants to move on, but those same crowds who refused to allow BC's indiscretions to pass are now refusing to allow Delay to move on. This issue gave way to a climate of fear for anyone enforcing the law that the religious extremist zealots oppose.

Politicians who are willing to violate the law are becoming more commonplace, and this issue brought them out of the woodwork.

Not too much has been said of Jeb Bush sending state agents into seize Terry Schiavo from the hospice last week....a plan shot down when local police met the Bush-sent jackbooted thugs upon their arrival and told them they would enforce the judge's order. The thugs from the state backed down.

What the future will return as fallout, Dave, is more intimidation in the name of God via more political intervention and underminding the law.

Bush is seeking to end the opportunity of fillibustering by seeking to change the law by appointing all conservative judges who support such his agenda. How neat. One party rule!

1119. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 17:02:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26743-424C2D2D-196@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198144

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 10:04:11 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Why argue something that isn't taking place? The FCC isn't giving
pirates any attention at all.
They merely respond to complaints concerning isolated incidents.

>Huh? I though the whole point of this

>discussion was based on how the FCC was

>targeting" radio pirates based on the

>complaints from those money grubbing

>commercial radio conglomerates.

I see nothing at all to illustrate the FCC is targeting Pirate radio
Ops, just more business as usual responding to isolated incidents and
complaints. To use the term "target" would suggest the FCC is active in
persuing pirate activity on their own. Nothing is further from the
truth.

>The FCC becomes aware of a problem

>through one of two common ways. One is to

>actively monitor,

I disagree. There are many ways for the FCC to become aware of problems.
Monitoring (which is not actively done as realtes to pirates) and
other's complainst are but only two ways.

>Then perhaps you could point out some of the

>many other ways?

Through other law enforcement agencies (many of them). An investigation
into stolen items in the garment district (NY) recently gave way to a
seizure of a load of illegal electronic gadgets (telephones, two way
radios, and beepers) not approved by the FCC and operating on
frequencies FCC-approved related items do not operate. This is but one
of countless cases that lead to the FCC being contacted regarding
problems.
Storms are another. When the hurricanes blew through here, many found
their ears and support structures were not properly or adequately
installed. This led to radio stations in South Florida being contacted
by the FCC. And as one might expect, additional problems can often be

found when the above scenario exists lending to the snowball effect.

>and the other is to have their attention brought
>to it through a complaint. Since there is a

>manpower and resources limitation and a lot

>of bandwidth to cover, they can't monitor every
>frequency, all the time. Most of the time,

>complaints result in action against radio

>pirates. But I thought you understood that.

Which has nothing to do with your claim the FCC "targets"
pirates....they don't. Claiming they "target" a certain group lends the
false impression the FCC actively goes after them of their own
initiative......they do not. I thought *you* understood -this-.

>Ok, you and I are dancing over the meaning of
>the term "target". But the existence of the

>tougher law on radio pirates, and well as

>stepped up actions against them, seem to be

>evidence to me that they have been "made

>aware" of the issue and are "responding" to it.

Who said it was a tougher law against pirates? As opposed to......?

> I have not argument that this is likely the

>result of "complaints". But how it happens is

>irrelevant.

The extreme republican approach,,,much more government intrusion in our
personal lives....government must protect us from ourselves because we
(those who clamor for such enforcement) are incapable of managing
ourselves and controlling our own behavior.

>That would be the democrat approach.

The democrats are not running the FCC the republicans are running the
FCC.

>No, but democrats are the ones who

>traditionally favor bigger government and

>more social programs to "care" for those who

>liberals decided, are incapable of caring for

>themselves without the big hand of

>government helping out.

IF what you suggest is true, the current batch of republicans suddenly
begun to mimic and employ what you claim is the democrat approach.
Perhaps that is because their approaches have all been failures in this
admin.
>That's certainly one way to look at it. But that

>opinion is purely subjective in nature and will

>vary depending on your perspective.

It's not a view, but a fact, when assuming your position is true (such
behavior is a "democrat approach"). Please explain why the Bush admin is
adopting such policy at this time, especially when the party base is
against such acts, of course, except for when it suits theie personal
agenda, like Terry Schiavo, and the points you neatly illustrated.

>Bush might be adding to the deficit, but (duh!)

>we have a war to fund and that's expensive.

Your avoiding the Schiavo issue speaks volumes.

>Domestically, there are many spending cuts

>(the FCC being one)

Their budget wasn't slashed from last year.
In fact, I think it was increased regarding the witch hunts over what
they deem obscene broadcasts.

>For example, you may feel that the mission in

>Iraq is a total failure. I feel that it's not yet over,
>and is showing many positive signs, not the

>least of which is a free election for the first

>time in decades.

History repeats itself. I am enlightened enough on world politics to
KNOW democracy can never be forced on a society where up to half or more
of the citizens are anti-western culture.

>People naturally would rather have control

>over their own destiny.

Not always true, especially in countries where great honor is involved
in doing the expected..following your father's careeer, for example. The
western culture can not be forcefully imposed on any country seeking
their own identity through freedom.

>If you ask anyone if they'd rather decide their

>own life path, or if they prefer someone else

>making those choices (sometimes forcibly

>against their will) for them, guess which choice
>they will make?

Not true. This time, I invoke as an example, the children, young men, and grown adults who train from a child to wage death against Americans, such as many of the muslims we support who really want us dead. The documentary DID ask many of these cretins what they want and their answer was ALWAYS the same,,,invoking the very reason you say does not exist: "My grandfather and my father fouhgt against the imperialist swine, it is what I must do" ...this is not the exception in the middle east, Dave, but rather the common rule among all those who anti-western in belief.

>A change like this will take time.

More time than any of us or oour children have. They have had since the beginning of time, Dave,,,reckoning that US intervention will somehow hasten a process that may or may not evolve, regardless of our involvment, is not only wishful thinkning, but incompetence.

>No intelligent person would expect this

>situation to be resolved in a year or two.

You are right, but that didn't stop Bush from selling people like you on the idea at the time that this war would be a military campaign of "swift and awe" and that occupation was not not going to occur.. Here you are now positioning yourself not only on the side of those who were against invading Iraq, but invoking the very reason many of us were against it in the first place.

>It will take time.

So did Bush lie on this item too, or was this more incompetent and failed Bush intel?

>But the seeds of western

>culture have already been planted. Unless the

>oppressive radical factions succeed in forcibly

>suppressing further rights, those seeds will

>grow.

They said the same thing about Tianamen Square in 1989.

>The genie has been let out of the bottle. It's

>only a matter of time before the majority of the
>people over there will revolt against those who
>make trouble and support acts of terror.

I am also intelligent enough
to realize that you can not wage a phony war and win while
simultaneously supporting the terrorists (via the gas pump) who want to
kill us and who we are waging war against.

>That conclusion is based on a false premise

>(Actually more than one). Your suppositions

>that the war is "phoney" is a matter of personal
>belief, and is not supported by facts. You also

>allege that by buying oil, that we are

>supporting terrorists. That sort of tripe is the

>stuff of Arianna Huffington, and other similarly

>clueless conspiracy followers. Are all the

>members of OPEC, fronts for terrorists? How

>do non-aligned groups like Al-Qaeda benefit

>from the sale of oil?

Gladly.
I can list you the number of petroleum companies that purchase their
bulk of oil from
the middle east. You conveniently or intentionally ignore many of the
countries that fund Al queda and related terrorist groups sell the US
oil. My question is, how do you chalk this up to "tripe"?

>What are we supposed to do about it,

>assuming that there's some truth in it?

Get out of the middle east for one. But a catch all answer wold be to
reduce our demand on fossil fuels, something whose time has long past.

>It's little different than a Jew arguing with a

>Muslim over which religion is the "right" one.

Well, faith plays a lot in religion, whereas facts can usually be
determined concerning government going-ons.

>That's the problem. The real "facts" are few

>and unclear in most cases. What we have

>instead are mountains of opinions, political

>spin, and the testimony of people who only

>know part of the story.

.and those who are over there living among the US haters each day.

> So people on both sides of the ideological

>fence rely on their inner faith to support those

>snippets of information which bolster their own
>perception.

Violent acts against Americans are not perceptions. There is no "perception" that our airports are resembling Beirut in some places and that our safety is worse off since the Bush invasion of Iraq,,,,that is a reality, Dave.

_
The FCC is not a social program.

>I never said it was.

I commented about the FCC overstepping their boundaries, attempting to coddle us and legislating what they feel is best for our morals, what we hear, what we see. I said this has always been the way of the republicans. You claimed this was a democrat approach and tried to invoke as an example:

> "more social programs to manage the

>well-being of people who are presumably

>unable to make their own decisions"

>I was making a relative comparison of

>methodology.

A comparison is only valid when it relates.

>I was not trying to make a direct

>comparison on the exact nature of the

>agencies themselves.

>For the record, both republicans and

>democrats attempt to "influence" certain

>aspects of our lives. They just do it differently.

>Republicans are more concerned with "moral"

>issues, while democrats are more concerned

>with "social" issues.

>Since I am more aligned with the issue of

>declining morality, I am less offended with this

>type of political influence, than I am with being
>told, for example, that I MUST contribute

>some of my tax money to fund certain

>programs like the endowment for the arts (Of

>which I feel should be made to stand on its

>own). IMHO charity should remain voluntary,

>not government mandated and administered.

The majority of your republican base disagrees with you. This would toss
a huge wrench in their workings concerning deductions and churches.

_
I reminded you the FCC is republican-run and
has been for the past 4 years. I also reminded you at this point, the
FCC is not a social program, but for some reason, you snipped your
comments concerning your invoking of "social programs" in response to me
telling you the current behavior of the FCC is invading our privacy and
right to make our own decisions as to what we choose to expose ourself
broadcast-wise.

>I snip when the post get's long. I have this

>thing for "brevity". I'm not out to see who can

>make the longest post. When posts become

>too long, the focus often drifts. I cut those

>parts which deviate from the original focus.

Make a new post. It's easier and nothing is lost.

>I don't view the FCC as invading our privacy.

this is a direct result of you supporting and agreeing with the
republican party making your decisions for you.

>Again, if you want smut, then tune to an

>appropriate channel. If you don't like that sort

>of

>crap, and are watching a program that is not

>supposed to contain that sort of material, then

>I expect the FCC to step in and hammer down
>hard on someone who violates our right of

>decency and corrupts the innocence of minor

>children by including such smut in their

>broadcasts.

Again, you make the case perfectly by astutely admitting the Bush admin does not feel you are competent to make your own decisions. By taking that decision away from you and making it for you, they are claiming you are not qualified and "presumably" incapapable of making such a decision for yourself.

>It's hard to make a decision after the fact.

They are deciding ahead of time what you can and can not be offended by.

> I can't undo Janet's "malfunction". I shouldn't

>have to sit with my finger poised on the mute

>button so that I can hopefully catch bad

>behavior on public TV before my kid sees it.

And how do you shield them from the bad behavior in school, on the street, from their friends? Shielding kids from bad behavior is not always a good thing, as when they are finally exposed to it, they will not have a clue and by then, they will have their tiny little fragile minds and opinions somewhat formed, and believe me, by then, you are the last person in the world they are going to approach concerning such, because by virtue of your actions, you have made such subjects taboo in your own home.

>I put my trust in the FCC to do that for me.

Many others don't.

>An acceptable family standard needs to be

>enforced.

One already exists. It's called the motion picture rating system.

>Again, if you want that type of

>material, then great. Just keep it on an

>appropriately warned and labeled place, so

>that I know to avoid it and set the parental

>controls on my TV appropriately.

Keeping with the point you are trying to make, if you feel that strongly about it, TV should not be a part of your child's upbringing.

>The truth is that there are people who cannot

>control their own behavior.

Thats an interesting excuse for the FCC claiming you haven't the competence to decide what offends you and what doesn't.

>That has nothing to do with FM radio pirates,

Neither does the blanket statement that "people cannot control their own behavior".

>which is what my comment was in reference

>to.

In that case, then it does apply to pirates, as the FCC is deciding (poorly, albeit) for you of what is offensive and what is not, yet, they stumble and fall when tying to define it.

>Please, which is it that you wish to discuss?

>The enforcement action of the FCC against

>unlicensed radio pirates, and the reasons

>which motivate them, or the ideological aspect
>of whether the FCC should limit broadcast

>material. They are two different subjects.

I could ask the same thing regaridng your "comparisons", but I believe most every issue we have raised, relates.

> Should these people not be dealt with

>according to the provisions of the law?

What you consider a "federal criminal" is not what the FCC or the US judicial system considers a "federal criminal" and their enforcement techniques and penalities wisely reflect such.

>There are laws on the books.

And many of those laws are old and outdated and not enforced. Google "blue laws".

>That is no excuse. FCC rules are not "blue

>laws".

I disagree. Some are, especially the rules governing long abandoned frequencies and wattage allowances..

> Until the law is officially changed, you are

>compelled to follow it.

And again, I ask, ...by who? The ignoring of such behavior by the agency charged with enforcing the specific rules I speak of, confirms otherwise.

1120. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 17:37:57 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26743-424C3575-208@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198145

David T. Hall Jr wrote:
>Laws that are supposed to be followed by

>everyone. Those who break the law,

>technically become criminals. The fact that

>they may get away with it for some time, does

>not negate the facts.

Which again is a circular argument you are unable to apply to the laws you invoke...such as the federal laws as applies to the interstates (as defined by the Federal DOT). Are motorists who violate the speed limits criminals, Dave?

>There is no federal speed limit.

There is Dave,,,truckers follow them all the time. There are many laws that are federal, relating to the FEDERAL highways (US INTERSTATES) and enforced by the FEDERAL DOT.

>They are guilty of a summary offense and, as

>such, are not judged in the same manner as

>harsher criminal activity.

Gee,,,,,yea,,,,just like a dxer or freebander...you just explained why they are not judged as "federal criminals" anywhere in the US except by yourself.

> But they ARE still

>guilty of breaking the law.

No one EVER took issue with that, only your mistaken labeling of such.

>Are you attempting to promote the idea that

>only "major" laws should be followed, and offer
>as a justification the idea that the penalty is

>not worthy enough to respect?

Not at all. I promote nothing.

_
If what you say is true (that MOST people
would rather break the law or get away with something when temptation is

present), then we are living in a country full of criminals.

>Ever look at the number of people who are in

>prison?

I have. The majority are non-violent offenders and there are many more people on the outside that deserve to be in those specific convict's place.

>Just think how it would be if we didn't have

>laws.

Holding one accountable
for their behavior is a much different subject than arguing a pro-position for the FCC when it comes to enforcing what they deem obscene, or as you put it, when it comes to enforcing "taste".

>What is considered "obscene" depends on

>what the majority of society determines should
>be considered such.

Wrong. It depends on what the FCC decides. Period. Of course, if you can cite an incident where this was put before the public and voted upon.....

>The FCC bases it's policy on the legal

>.definitions of what is considered "obscene".

> The definition of which can be found here:

>http://www.youseemore.com/amyregional/abo

>ut.asp?p=12

Where did you get the idea the FCC bases its obscenity policy on that link? The FCC has admitted they are unable to define obscenity, but know it when they see it.

>Such performances, such as Janet Jackson's

>"wardrobe malfunction" should not even be

>considered for inclusion on an otherwise

>public family entertainment forum. If you want

>to create a "dirtball channel" and put such

>trash on it, then fine, as long as families and

>others with good taste can avoid it, and not be
>"surprised" in the middle of something that's

>not supposed to contain such decadent

>material.

Says you. Some folks find the mere brutality of the sport akin to a violent turf war and find it much more offensive than the mere site of an exposed female breast.

>Then they don't have to watch it!

Same with your beef.

>It's not like there was a false pretense of a

>flower show and then a football game was

>suddenly and without warning thrust upon you.
>You knew ahead of time what you were

>getting into.

Yup,..you were tuning in to a game that is notorious for airing helmet cams and views of the coaches and players saying the "F" word, you know,,the word deemed obscene by the agency you trust to sanitize your "family show" of football. Sometimes these words are not only lipread, but audibly present, especially with the helmet cams and sound. You can't have it both ways, Dave. On on hand, you claim the FCC is right on what they deem obscene (a woman's breast exposed), and on the other hand you have no complaint when the F word (also deemed obscene by the FCC) is tossed aired, violating those same decency standards you cite as necessary.

> Not so with the half time show.

>There was no warning. No chance to "tune

>away". The FCC acted appropriately in that

>case.

These same people find it "offensive" that such brutality could even be considered a sport.
Which brings us back to the fact that you obviously find the female breast exposed on television offensive. Like I said, the rest of the world's countries who are not under such puritan rules disagree. You ought visit Italy, Spain, Great Britain, for just a start.

>What, and see further examples of moral

>degradation? No thanks. Just because they do
>it there does not make it right.

But you said the majority makes these decisions. Yet, you only agree with the majority when it agrees with your position. Make up your mind.

_
>It was Franklin. But I'm also reasonably

>convinced that not in their wildest nightmares,

>could these wise founders have contemplated

>the depths that our morality has degraded

>since their time. I'm sure they's sing a different
>tune if they knew.

I disagree, as the principle is what they were embracing, not single issues.

>If they knew how the first amendment was

>being twisted to further the dissemination of

>hate, filth, pornography, and other examples

>of the worst society has to offer, I don't think

>they would be so fast to grant unlimited rights

>to do so.

Why single out the first, when it hasn't changed? The attacks on the Constitution occur when it is changed,,,, IE: Bush attacks on the fourth amendment.

>Well, here's the other side of the story.

>Funding for enforcement has been cut

>severely. License fees have dwindled.

Dwindled? You mean they are charging less for licenses or less people are seeking licenses?

>There are no longer CB licenses, for example.
>Marine radio no longer requires a license for

>a recreational boat in terrestrial waters. GMRS
>license fees have decreased over the years.

Which, by default, also means there are less avenues for which the FCC is to expend energy and resources.

>They still have to enforce the rules in those

>areas. They just receive no funding from them

>to do so. So those areas drop in priority.

It all balances out, but their incompetence grows.

>What it means is that their job is increasing in

>magnitude and the ability for them to perform

>it is shrinking.

Yea,,well that was a nice way of you saying their incompetence is growing.

> They can either do a very poor job across a

>broad area, or concentrate on the most visible

>areas in order to do a good job.

>That's why you can freeband in relative safety.
It's not about the most visible, cause man, these pirates here are extremely visible. How much more visibility you want than a mainstream press article detailing the location of the pirate, his broadcasting freq, and coverage area? No...they go where the almighty $$$ calls them,,,,I thought we agreed on this.

>The amount of people who thumb their noses

>at FCC rules has increased.

How could you possibly confirm this?

>Listen to the amount of broadcast pirates,

>outlaw freebanders, certain hams on 75

>meters etc.

You answered my question.

>Was it like this 30 years ago?

Population increases exponentially with each passing day.

>I'll take that as a "no".

Because you are suddenly aware of
more mediums where these people can voice their opinions regarding such?

>I have always been able to directly observe

>CB and ham radio, so my perception threshold
>is not at issue here.

But your reasoning for claiming increases in thumbing one's nose at the FCC might very well be.

>I know you have a hard time accepting casual

>empirical evidence gathered over years of

>.radio operating.

By it's very nature, "empirical" defines something arrived at via NO scientific evaluations or methods. In other words,,,personal opinion or experience. Such does not equate a scientific positive, regardless your personal belief.

>But ask any long time ham or CBer about it,

>and I'll bet most of them would agree with me.

As most agree with me that a dxer is not a federal criminal.

_
Even then, such an approach makes several
assumptions. Or perhaps the fact IS that more people ARE thumbing their
nose at the FCC because the FCC is becoming more incompetent with each
republican appointee
and the majority of people, like myself, recognize the bullshit..

>Once again, you cannot accurately speak for

>the majority of people, as you have no idea

>what they are thinking.

Not only do I have an idea what "most people think" regarding many
issues, as such has been part of my profession for years, but here you
are, for whatever reason, attempting to tell me the opposite of
something -you- most definitely have no clue.

>Then perhaps you would be so kind as to

>explain how you could possibly know what the
>"majority" thinks about any radio related (or

>other) issue?

Feedback. Continued empoyment. Sporadic Waves was but a sliver of the
entire course.

_

Example....I wrote a local fishing column for 13 years. The publication
was purchased and moved to the Miami area. For those years, I knew
exactly what the "majoriyt of people" wished to read about, what they
wanted to know, and what issues they were interested in.

>First, assuming this story is true, you can only

>judge what your readers want according to

>those who feel compelled enough to leave

>feedback.

You ignore circulation.

>The rest, you have absolutely no idea.

See above.
And there are many more examples. I also conceived of a charity event
that was related to the publication and drew an incredible crowd for a
certain charity. I knew exactly what those who read the magazine would

support, why they would support it, and the manner they preferred. I also knew how to pull it off in short time..this is all due to,,,dare I use your term,"empirical" experience. It is folly you can cite such an invocation and apply it yourself, but when another attempts to present you with something similar regarding themself, you are unable to grasp it.

>So at best you can make the claims that you

>know what the majority of the selected group

>of people who responded to you about the

>topic of fishing. That hardly qualifies you to

>make those calls in areas such as radio law

>and politics.

Fishing is not my only endeavor.
When you are in
a business such as mine, a large part of your job is to know exactly what the majority are thinking, wanting, clamoring for, etc. Without such insight tailored to the group one is working with, a new career would be in order.

>No doubt, but the scope of any specialty writer
>is narrow, and their area of expertise and

>insight into what "other people think" is

>confined to that narrow scope.

You are assuming such can not have more than one or two specialties? Hell, Dave, I know several who put that pathetic argument in its grave. There are those who specialize in all things outdoors, from hang-gliding to rock-climbing. Need examples?

>To use your rules, I could then accurately

>make the claim that based on the feedback

>that I receive from the readers of my website,

>that I'm pretty much right on the mark with

>regard to the evolution of CB radio over the

>last 30 years.

I would agree with your assertation, IF you have verified all those opinions. It stands to reason, many of those who visit your website are already of like mind, as yourself. Then again, if this group is any indication, your views are most definitely in the minority, politically and radio-wise.

>Remember, the majority of people put Bush

>back into the whitehouse.

Not even close. The majority of people who voted put Bush back into the WH, and not even half the population of the US voted.

>And how many of those people read your

>fishing magazine?

I don't have a fishing magazine.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1121. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 19:08:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p8io41lke013higrq9c831r9of1q6n72eu@4ax.com>
Source record: 198146

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<26744-424C22D4-63@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>:

>David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
>
>>I believe the term you're looking for is
>
>>exploitation. But that's not the case here.
>
>
>
>Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
>He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
>was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
>stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.
> Now Delay wants to move on, but those same crowds who refused to allow
>BC's indiscretions to pass are now refusing to allow Delay to move on.
>This issue gave way to a climate of fear for anyone enforcing the law
>that the religious extremist zealots oppose.

Speaking of Delay, did you catch his phone interview where he whined
about everyone attacking him? Kind of ironic since he was making the
same kind of attacks on Clinton just a few years ago.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1122. radio worth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 22:29:07 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jR_2e.58930$NC6.49200@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198147

I've been out of the loop of CBs and their associated values - more so for used sets. What would a Realistic SSB Mobile TRC-449 (21-1562) with stock mic - be worth? I got one here I want to get rid of and have a potential buyer. The set seems to have been working ok when checked. Physically it is about an 8.5 - 9.0 out of 10.

Thanks,

cl

1123. Re: radio worth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 23:28:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cq1p411bb9ig5fhktquvjocpl4ohtmsb9p@4ax.com>
Source record: 198148

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 17:29:07 -0500, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:

>TRC-449

Acording to these completed auctions, $40-60

http://search-completed.ebay.com/TRC-449_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcompareQQcoentrypageZsearchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ1QQfsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQga10244Z10425QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsatitleZTRCQ2d449QQsbrftogZ1QQsofocusZsoQQsojsZ1

Uniden Grant XL or LT, or their base equivalents, are worth a mint.

Vinnie S.

1124. Re: radio worth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 23:33:17 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tN%2e.58938$NC6.12792@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198149

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:cq1p411bb9ig5fhktquvjocpl4ohtmsb9p@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 17:29:07 -0500, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>TRC-449
>
>
> Acording to these completed auctions, $40-60
>
> http://search-completed.ebay.com/TRC-449_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcompareQQcoentrypageZsearchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ1QQfsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQga10244Z10425QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsatitleZTRCQ2d449QQsbrftogZ1QQsofocusZsoQQsojsZ1
>
>
> Uniden Grant XL or LT, or their base equivalents, are worth a mint.
>
> Vinnie S.

Thanks... I was thinking about $50, so I was in the ball park. As to seeing the auctions, I did some searching, not sure how I missed those. Then again, I've never thought to look at "completed auctions". I didn't think they were still viewable. I don't have any of the others you name, but I do have a few Cobras and such floating around. Got about 12 radios here to sell off.
Thanks again, much appreciated.

cl

1125. Re: radio worth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-03-31 23:41:39 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <gh2p41d62314pieaeqbi14fvm9scuo9coa@4ax.com>
Source record: 198150

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 18:33:17 -0500, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:cq1p411bb9ig5fhktquvjocpl4ohtmsb9p@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 17:29:07 -0500, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>TRC-449
>>
>>
>> Acording to these completed auctions, $40-60
>>
>> http://search-completed.ebay.com/TRC-449_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcompareQQcoentrypageZsearchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ1QQfsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQga10244Z10425QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsatitleZTRCQ2d449QQsbrftogZ1QQsofocusZsoQQsojsZ1
>>
>>
>> Uniden Grant XL or LT, or their base equivalents, are worth a mint.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Thanks... I was thinking about $50, so I was in the ball park. As to seeing
>the auctions, I did some searching, not sure how I missed those. Then again,
>I've never thought to look at "completed auctions".

Complete auctions is a great tool. I use it to see if something is worth selling, and if I am buying, how much to expect to pay. I was selling some old books for a while, and some that had 7 completed auctions and no sales, I would not put up.

>I didn't think they were
>still viewable. I don't have any of the others you name, but I do have a few
>Cobras and such floating around. Got about 12 radios here to sell off.
>Thanks again, much appreciated.

Cobra 148 and 2000 bases are worth a mint. Some others are worth some too. What ones you got?

Vinnie S.

1126. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 00:00:14 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <om3p41p2rpr88s6m20jfaiurqflhede4bd@4ax.com>
Source record: 198151

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

> Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>on, your wrong. I did in the past, but since he was been
>warned by his ISP to stop changing nics to by pass
>filters, he doesn't change his nic. Now that has happened,
>I don't see his posts, as Vinnie doesn't either.

Oh, he was warned? LOL

> Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>& accusations.
> Now I don't post much anymore, to much political
>BS and crap still being responded too left by said two
>individuals, I'm lucky if I post once a day in the past 6
>months. When I do, it's concerning radio or to joke around
>with Mopar, Jim's, Vinnie or the likes.
>
> Landshark

Vinnie S.

1127. http://PileupBuster.com domain Name is for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 03:43:03 UTC
From: erfurth@gmail.com
Message-ID: <36ba001b.0503311943.1cf774c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 198152

If you have a HAM or CB Radio product to sell, and are looking for an easy inexpensive way to sell it... I have the ideal domain name for you.

http://PileupBuster.com

The name is instantly recognizable to all HAM and CB Radio people. It is also very meaningful and easy to remember.

Click on this link to see the traffic and get more information.

http://PileupBuster.com

If you don't like the price, email me an offer.

Or, maybe you have an HF RIG to trade. I am looking for a used Yeasu FT-1000 or a Kenwood TS-870S.

Regards,

Bill

1128. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 05:43:28 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <g_KdnR1ZlZkZQtHfRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 198153

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3497-424824C2-18@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net...

>Why did you quit?

>>Too many idiots, jammers, channel control

>>freaks, amp users who think they need several
>>hundred watts to talk to another idiot a couple

>>of miles down the street,

>The only problem you mention with which I agree is the last concerning
>local amp use.
>The rest of the dilemmas you mention are self-created by not exercising
>your freedom of choice, beginning with the channel selector.

Channel 1 to 23, didn't matter, same old crap.

>kids learning how to expand their 4 letter word
>vocabulary on the air etc.

>You feel kids learn profanity from the cb?

They learn it from the adults. I've had more that one person tell me
personally they don't turn on the CB if they have kids in the car. Now
that's a really sad comment coming from a CBer. Then the kids repeat what
they learn without any regard to whom they are talking to on the air.

>As opposed to the words they learn on TV and in school, on the street
>and elsewhere?

So where did you learn yours?

>You find six meter that much
>more entertaining?

>Better class of people.

>I don't agree with such practiced elitism,

I didn't ask for your opinion. Don't like it then post to another news
group. Anyway this is going no where fast and your nit-picking has gotten
boring; by - by.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1129. Re: While we're on the subject of KB3BEJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 06:48:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050401014813.876$SK_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198154

KB3BEJ <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I guess the crap you lover Steve Parks posts is ok, his
> harrasing posts towards doug and anyone who he doesnt agree with
>
Someone call chrissy the sissy busch a waaaaaaaaambulance, poor
little feller is having a meltdown and changing nyms to avoid
killfilters. Can't stand being ignored, can you sissy?

Later... I'm leaving for Bristol in an hour, hope you find a
life soon, chrissy.

1130. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 07:20:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050401022029.816$Xg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198155

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> I've had more that one person tell me
> personally they don't turn on the CB if they have kids in the car. Now
> that's a really sad comment coming from a CBer. Then the kids repeat what
> they learn without any regard to whom they are talking to on the air.
>
They better not turn on the television with the kids in the room either. Do
all these people home school their children?

1131. Re: MURS Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 07:34:04 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <gtqdnfeDK5vyZNHfRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 198156

Try asking your question on these groups.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/murs/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN/

The posts at the link below may be of some help as well.

http://www.popularwireless.com/ubb/Forum22/HTML/000422.html

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows
"Radio Man" <puns@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:C5I2e.25825$wL6.3949@trnddc03...
> I want to purchase a pair of murs ht's.
> Any recommendations?
>
>

1132. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 07:41:40 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <rKudnVdUgsGqZtHfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 198157

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:idue415lebdu8925lmgueb3mqc2qqorem7@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 20:55:46 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
> <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in <SNSdnQdA7e-7-drfRVn-ow@comcast.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> I really don't think it's worth the effort since the PLL can track any
> >> minor drifting that would require retuning of a state-variable filter.
> >
> >I would think you could use the PLL directly by keeping the VCO frequency
> >range narrow. The loop filter doesn't have to be anything that complex,
> >state variable that is.
>
>
> I tried the state variable filter as a stand-alone device, not as a
> PLL filter. Although it might be interesting to see what happens when
> it -is- used as a PLL filter -- probably get all kinds of FM and
> intermod distortion.....

```
The filter should control the speed of phase locking to some extent and will
also help to remove phase noise from the signal you're trying to lock too,
but for your use that doesn't really matter.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows
```

1133. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 11:32:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <74cq415c5ttc0spkknioihbeg99hqvaico@4ax.com>
Source record: 198158

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>>>> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
>>>> now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>
>>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>>Mopar & I as childish?
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>
>> Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>>
>> Dave
>
>
> Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>on, your wrong.

You are not the only one on the other side.

> I did in the past, but since he was been
>warned by his ISP to stop changing nics to by pass
>filters, he doesn't change his nic. Now that has happened,
>I don't see his posts, as Vinnie doesn't either.

No need to explain, it's all good.

> Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>& accusations.

Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.

> Now I don't post much anymore, to much political
>BS and crap still being responded too left by said two
>individuals, I'm lucky if I post once a day in the past 6
>months. When I do, it's concerning radio or to joke around
>with Mopar, Jim's, Vinnie or the likes.

I'd much prefer a return to strictly radio related topics as well.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1134. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 11:51:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <uacq41h7nvue2p80grh941v1lk8rm4ukib@4ax.com>
Source record: 198159

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 10:58:36 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 09:08:37 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>Yet another example of someone who had
>
>>their feelings hurt and who is now on a
>
>>personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>You obviously are more familiar with the site than myself, as I was just
>made aware of it. Can you enlighten the contingency about whose feelings
>were hurt and why?
>
>>Just read the site.
>
>
>I did.
>
>> The author has outlines his "beef" quite
>
>>clearly.
>
>I find nothing to indicate any hurt feelings.

Read between the lines.

> Again, I ask you once
>again to explain your position. What is it that has you subjectively
>indicating hurt feelings were responsible for the creator's site?

That should be fairly obvious to anyone who understands human nature.
QRZ is a moderated forum. There are rules that are expected to be

followed. There are hundreds of discussions there and most people have no problem. The author of the aforementioned site had a disagreement with the owner of QRZ and got his feather ruffled, felt personally persecuted because he couldn't abide by the rules and was kicked off. So he's now set up an "anti-QRZ" site to somehow repair his bruised ego, and garner support from other people who share his lack of respect for the rules of civilized on-line discourse.

>Thomas
>Paine created his paper the Federalist and people like you screamed
>similar to what you offer now attempting to explain his actions,,,,,and
>his paper was anonymous.

There is no comparison.

> Yet, the person you accuse makes very clear his
>intention for his actions....censorship. And these folks are not
>anonymous.

The issue is not censorship. The issue is one of following the rules of membership. When you are in a non-public forum which is moderated, there are certain expectations from the participants. Stray from those rules and you risk losing your membership. You wouldn't engage in boisterous, lewd behavior at a private golf club and not expect to be reprimanded and expelled. So why should the same type of behavior be tolerated on-line?

> When one wishes to have an avenue free from undue interference and
>censorship, sometimes one must create that avenue themselves.

Which is his right. Nothing wrong with that. But let's not lose track of exactly WHY he chose to do such.

>This is
>twice in two days you have taken an American born patriotic birthright
>and trashed it,,first was the right to select civil disobedience, now,
>you accuse one who voices his own opinion on his own site with nothing
>more than your own based subjective opinion, formed by reading the site
>he took to task.

And in just as many times you have made a case that freedom of expression should be universal even on private forums, and that any rules restricting behavior for the better common good, are somehow unfair. You can't have anarchy and expect to remain civilized. There are far too many people who cannot handle that much responsibility.

>>There were also discussions on QRZ on the
>>"other side".
>
>
>
>Invocation of the site he decries as improperly censoring as the pillar
>of truth for -your- subjective bias is no different than the site

>builder's actions you take issue with,,,,you just happen to be on the
>"other side" (your words).

There are (at least) two sides to every argument. The bottom line is
that The author of the site (Which for some reason is no longer there
as I checked today), had a personal butting of heads with Floyd at
QRZ, and was kicked off of that site for not abiding by the rules.

> Such is hardly an unbiased look at each site
>regarding the issue that sparked the creation of the site responsible
>for effectively moving you to the point of lambasting the creators.

Sure it is. It doesn't matter how "noble" you may think he is for
"standing up" to the "fascist" rules on QRZ, the fact remains that
when you belong to a private group, you are subject to rules. If you
can't abide by them, the owner/moderator has the right to kick you
off. Plain and simple.

>In
>that vein, the site you take issue with is an instant success, for if it
>moved you in such a manner. In the media, there is no bad press,
>regardless what you have been told. The only thing the public masses
>love more than controversy is resiliency from one who was once
>down...the underdog.
>

Like I said, for some reason, the site is no longer there. What does
that say?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1135. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 12:05:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0hdq41dbpotnqdglo3kn8vm1aprggccmro@4ax.com>
Source record: 198160

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
>
>>I believe the term you're looking for is
>
>>exploitation. But that's not the case here.
>
>
>
>Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
>He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
>was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
>stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.

I can find no reference to that quote (or even parts of it) anywhere.
I am therefore forced to consider that it was a lie.

> Now Delay wants to move on, but those same crowds who refused to allow
>BC's indiscretions to pass are now refusing to allow Delay to move on.
>This issue gave way to a climate of fear for anyone enforcing the law
>that the religious extremist zealots oppose.
>
> Politicians who are willing to violate the law are becoming more
>commonplace, and this issue brought them out of the woodwork.

Why should that bother you? You're the one who evokes "civil
disobedience" as an excuse to ignore laws you don't believe in.

>
>
>Not too much has been said of Jeb Bush sending state agents into seize
>Terry Schiavo from the hospice last week....a plan shot down when local
>police met the Bush-sent jackbooted thugs upon their arrival and told
>them they would enforce the judge's order. The thugs from the state
>backed down.

That was not what happened at all. The state was mobilizing to seize
custody of Terri. The locals were informed of this pending action and
they responded by saying that unless they brought a judge with them
that they would not be granted access. The state decided to back down
rather than risk a confrontation of law enforcement agencies. But the
law gave the state certain rights to take custody of people like
Terri. Overzealous judges saw to it that those avenues were blocked

without due consideration and without considering the legal merits of those cases. Some of their methods and the power they used to accomplish this are questionable. It calls into question the boundaries that each branch of government has and who is overstepping theirs.

>What the future will return as fallout, Dave, is more intimidation in
>the name of God via more political intervention and underminding the
>law.

Activist judges are the ones who are undermining the law. They have been since Roe V. Wade.

>Bush is seeking to end the opportunity of fillibustering by seeking to
>change the law by appointing all conservative judges who support such
>his agenda. How neat. One party rule!

Democrats use of the filibuster is nothing more than their desperate effort to force, what is defined by the constitution as a simple majority vote (the approval of judge appointees), into a super majority vote. This is in violation of the constitution and is not what a filibuster was intended for. I fully support the quashing of such desperate actions by those minority democrats.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1136. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 12:54:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <p8eq41d22qrfnmess96bfafg6rmr8jr7ld@4ax.com>
Source record: 198161

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 12:02:37 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 10:04:11 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>The FCC becomes aware of a problem
>>through one of two common ways. One is to
>>actively monitor,
>
>
>I disagree. There are many ways for the FCC to become aware of problems.
>Monitoring (which is not actively done as realtes to pirates) and
>other's complainst are but only two ways.
>
>>Then perhaps you could point out some of the
>
>>many other ways?
>
>Through other law enforcement agencies (many of them).

I'd file that under "responding to complaints"

>Storms are another. When the hurricanes blew through here, many found
>their ears and support structures were not properly or adequately
>installed. This led to radio stations in South Florida being contacted
>by the FCC. And as one might expect, additional problems can often be
>found when the above scenario exists lending to the snowball effect.

Ok, I'll give you that one.

>>Ok, you and I are dancing over the meaning of
>>the term "target". But the existence of the
>>tougher law on radio pirates, and well as
>>stepped up actions against them, seem to be
>>evidence to me that they have been "made
>>aware" of the issue and are "responding" to it.
>
>
>
>Who said it was a tougher law against pirates? As opposed to......?

There is, in addition to federal law, a Florida State law on the books
against radio pirates. At least that's what the news clip said that
first started this discussion.

>>Bush might be adding to the deficit, but (duh!)
>>we have a war to fund and that's expensive.
>
>
>Your avoiding the Schiavo issue speaks volumes.

The Schiavo issue is irrelevant to this discussion.

>>Domestically, there are many spending cuts
>
>>(the FCC being one)

>Their budget wasn't slashed from last year.
>In fact, I think it was increased regarding the witch hunts over what
>they deem obscene broadcasts.

Yes, but over the last decade or two, the FCC had been slowly losing
funding, while their costs have risen. This isn't a year to year
thing. Think long term.

>History repeats itself. I am enlightened enough on world politics to
>KNOW democracy can never be forced on a society where up to half or more
>of the citizens are anti-western culture.
>
>>People naturally would rather have control
>>over their own destiny.
>
>
>
>Not always true, especially in countries where great honor is involved
>in doing the expected..following your father's careeer, for example.

Or following the law.......

>The
>western culture can not be forcefully imposed on any country seeking
>their own identity through freedom.

Who's "forcing"? We're giving them a taste of democracy and giving
them an opportunity to select it themselves.

>>If you ask anyone if they'd rather decide their
>>own life path, or if they prefer someone else
>>making those choices (sometimes forcibly
>>against their will) for them, guess which choice
>>they will make?
>
>
>Not true. This time, I invoke as an example, the children, young men,
>and grown adults who train from a child to wage death against Americans,
>such as many of the muslims we support who really want us dead.

That is no different than a religious cult. The members are
brainwashed and indoctrinated into that type of thinking and cut off

from all contact with the outside world initially. In almost all cases they have only the word of those who are "teaching" them as to what their supposed "enemy" is like. Had they been given a chance to experience what freedom is about, they would never choose to be a part of that cult.

> The
>documentary DID ask many of these cretins what they want and their
>answer was ALWAYS the same,,,invoking the very reason you say does not
>exist: "My grandfather and my father fouhgt against the imperialist
>swine, it is what I must do" ...this is not the exception in the middle
>east, Dave, but rather the common rule among all those who anti-western
>in belief.

Which is why these cults need to be eradicated. The best way to do that is to give the people a taste of freedom. Once they taste it, they won't want to give it up.

Why do you think terrorists act so violently? They attempt to use fear and intimidation to prevent people from seeking freedom. The terrorists know that once people experience democracy, that all hope for their extreme mindset will fade.

>>A change like this will take time.
>
>
>More time than any of us or oour children have. They have had since the
>beginning of time, Dave,,,reckoning that US intervention will somehow
>hasten a process that may or may not evolve, regardless of our
>involvment, is not only wishful thinkning, but incompetence.
>
>
>>No intelligent person would expect this
>>situation to be resolved in a year or two.
>
>You are right, but that didn't stop Bush from selling people like you on
>the idea at the time that this war would be a military campaign of
>"swift and awe" and that occupation was not not going to occur.

That's "Shock and Awe" BTW. Nowhere and at no time did Bush ever say that the war on terror would be swift. He has said on many different occasions that the WOT would likely extend into our children and grandchildren's lifetimes. Also anyone with any comprehension of military operations and tactics knows that once a military objective is obtained that there will be a period of occupation to provide stability. What Bush said was that we would not REMAIN in Iraq as an occupying force for the long term. The plan has always been to turn over the reigns of government to the Iraqi people once they are able to stand on their own. Again, THIS TAKES TIME. Learn patience, and stop being so critical of things that you don't totally understand.

> Here
>you are now positioning yourself not only on the side of those who were
>against invading Iraq, but invoking the very reason many of us were
>against it in the first place.

I am fully behind Iraq as one prong in the total war against terror.
The results are starting to flow in. Free elections in several
Mid-East countries who either never had them, or hadn't in a long
time. Democratic movements surfacing in such places as Iran. Hope is
high for a resolution of the Israeli/Palestinian conflict. Despite the
words of the nay-sayers, our efforts have yielded positive results.
Your only complain now is that it isn't happening fast enough.

>>It will take time.
>
>
>So did Bush lie on this item too, or was this more incompetent and
>failed Bush intel?

Where is the lie? Bush NEVER said that the war would be quick.

>>But the seeds of western
>>culture have already been planted. Unless the
>>oppressive radical factions succeed in forcibly
>>suppressing further rights, those seeds will
>>grow.
>
>
>They said the same thing about Tianamen Square in 1989.

And look how far the Chinese have come toward capitalism and the
westernization of their culture since then. In fact, they have gotten
so good at it, they now threaten to dominate the world market.

>>The genie has been let out of the bottle. It's
>>only a matter of time before the majority of the
>>people over there will revolt against those who
>>make trouble and support acts of terror.
>
>
>I am also intelligent enough
>to realize that you can not wage a phony war and win while
>simultaneously supporting the terrorists (via the gas pump) who want to
>kill us and who we are waging war against.
>
>>That conclusion is based on a false premise
>>(Actually more than one). Your suppositions
>>that the war is "phoney" is a matter of personal
>>belief, and is not supported by facts. You also
>>allege that by buying oil, that we are
>>supporting terrorists. That sort of tripe is the
>>stuff of Arianna Huffington, and other similarly
>>clueless conspiracy followers. Are all the

>>members of OPEC, fronts for terrorists? How
>>do non-aligned groups like Al-Qaeda benefit
>>from the sale of oil?
>
>Gladly.
>I can list you the number of petroleum companies that purchase their
>bulk of oil from the middle east.

Yea, so?

> You conveniently or intentionally ignore many of the
>countries that fund Al queda and related terrorist groups sell the US
>oil. My question is, how do you chalk this up to "tripe"?

Which countries officially fund Al Qaeda? Maybe we should invade them
too?

>>What are we supposed to do about it,
>>assuming that there's some truth in it?
>
>
>Get out of the middle east for one.

Oh, I see, hide our heads in the sand. Good plan.

> But a catch all answer wold be to
>reduce our demand on fossil fuels, something whose time has long past.

Yep, we should just switch to .er..ah... hmmm.. there doesn't seem to
be any alternative. What now? Where's Doc Brown and his "Mr Fusion"
home reactor when we need it?

>>It's little different than a Jew arguing with a
>>Muslim over which religion is the "right" one.
>Well, faith plays a lot in religion, whereas facts can usually be
>determined concerning government going-ons.
>>That's the problem. The real "facts" are few
>>and unclear in most cases. What we have
>>instead are mountains of opinions, political
>>spin, and the testimony of people who only
>>know part of the story.
>
>
>
>
>.and those who are over there living among the US haters each day.

And those living with many who fully support our actions.

>> So people on both sides of the ideological
>>fence rely on their inner faith to support those
>>snippets of information which bolster their own
>>perception.

>
>
>Violent acts against Americans are not perceptions.

No, but the reasons which drive them certainly are.

_
>The FCC is not a social program.
>
>>I never said it was.

>>I was making a relative comparison of
>>methodology.
>
>
>A comparison is only valid when it relates.

It does relate. They're both objects of the government.

>>I was not trying to make a direct
>>comparison on the exact nature of the
>>agencies themselves.
>>For the record, both republicans and
>>democrats attempt to "influence" certain
>>aspects of our lives. They just do it differently.
>>Republicans are more concerned with "moral"
>>issues, while democrats are more concerned
>>with "social" issues.
>>Since I am more aligned with the issue of
>>declining morality, I am less offended with this
>>type of political influence, than I am with being
>>told, for example, that I MUST contribute
>>some of my tax money to fund certain
>>programs like the endowment for the arts (Of
>>which I feel should be made to stand on its
>>own). IMHO charity should remain voluntary,
>>not government mandated and administered.
>
>
>The majority of your republican base disagrees with you.

In what way?

>This would toss
>a huge wrench in their workings concerning deductions and churches.

Please explain this as well.>

>
>>I snip when the post get's long. I have this
>>thing for "brevity". I'm not out to see who can
>>make the longest post. When posts become
>>too long, the focus often drifts. I cut those

>>parts which deviate from the original focus.
>
>
>Make a new post. It's easier and nothing is lost.

I'm also not interested in making the most posts either. I'm not
interested in wasting either vertical or horizontal bandwidth.

>>I don't view the FCC as invading our privacy.

>this is a direct result of you supporting and agreeing with the
>republican party making your decisions for you.

SOME decisions. The ones which I have no power to control myself. If I
had the power to strangle the purveyors of smut myself, I wouldn't
need the FCC to do it for me.

>>Again, if you want smut, then tune to an
>>appropriate channel. If you don't like that sort
>>of crap, and are watching a program that is not
>>supposed to contain that sort of material, then
>>I expect the FCC to step in and hammer down
>>hard on someone who violates our right of
>>decency and corrupts the innocence of minor
>>children by including such smut in their
>>broadcasts.
>
>
>Again, you make the case perfectly by astutely admitting the Bush admin
>does not feel you are competent to make your own decisions. By taking
>that decision away from you and making it for you, they are claiming you
>are not qualified and "presumably" incapapable of making such a decision
>for yourself.

Not when you are given no warning when that type of smut will "pop up"
on the screen. Give me enough advance warning, and I'll take the
appropriate action.

>>It's hard to make a decision after the fact.

>They are deciding ahead of time what you can and can not be offended by.

According to a general level of taste as defined by the definition of
"obscene".

>> I can't undo Janet's "malfunction". I shouldn't
>>have to sit with my finger poised on the mute
>>button so that I can hopefully catch bad
>>behavior on public TV before my kid sees it.
>
>
>
>And how do you shield them from the bad behavior in school, on the

>street, from their friends?

So because I can't shield my kid from ALL of the things that are wrong with our society, I shouldn't expect to shield any of it? Is that your defense?

>Shielding kids from bad behavior is not
>always a good thing, as when they are finally exposed to it, they will
>not have a clue and by then, they will have their tiny little fragile
>minds and opinions somewhat formed, and believe me, by then, you are the
>last person in the world they are going to approach concerning such,
>because by virtue of your actions, you have made such subjects taboo in
>your own home.

By then they will hopefully recognize it for the blight on society that it is, and reject it as most decent people have. My kid has no reason to rebel against me as I have given her nothing but support and guidance and tons of old-fashioned love. If you show kids that smut is wrong, then they tend to accept that. On the other hand, if you actively promote it or act indifferently toward it, the kids will feel that it's acceptable. You can't very well tell you kids not to do something, and then turn around and do it yourself. Kids are very sensitive to hypocrisy.

>>I put my trust in the FCC to do that for me.

>Many others don't.

Shame on them then.

>>An acceptable family standard needs to be
>>enforced.

>One already exists. It's called the motion picture rating system.

Then strictly enforce the content to conform to it. If the Super Bowl as given a "PG" rating, and the "Janet thing" happened, then I guess the expectation of a PG program was violated.

>>Again, if you want that type of
>>material, then great. Just keep it on an
>>appropriately warned and labeled place, so
>>that I know to avoid it and set the parental
>>controls on my TV appropriately.

>Keeping with the point you are trying to make, if you feel that strongly
>about it, TV should not be a part of your child's upbringing.

Some TV is perfectly fine. My kid grew up with Sesame Street, Barney, Blue's Clues, Dora the Explorer, and many other shows. I draw the line when the cartoons start promoting violence and "adult-like" situations. The "V" chip does a nice job of blocking the more "advanced" and "dark" cartoons.

>>The truth is that there are people who cannot
>>control their own behavior.
>
>Thats an interesting excuse for the FCC claiming you haven't the
>competence to decide what offends you and what doesn't.
>
>>That has nothing to do with FM radio pirates,
>
>
>Neither does the blanket statement that "people cannot control their own
>behavior".

Some people can't. That's the honest truth.

>
>>Please, which is it that you wish to discuss?
>>The enforcement action of the FCC against
>>unlicensed radio pirates, and the reasons
>>which motivate them, or the ideological aspect
>>of whether the FCC should limit broadcast
>>material. They are two different subjects.
>ï¿½
>
>
>I could ask the same thing regaridng your "comparisons", but I believe
>most every issue we have raised, relates.

They loosely relate in that the FCC is involved in both. But if you
wish to discuss one, then don't cite examples from the other.

>>ï¿½Should these people not be dealt with
>>according to the provisions of the law?
>ï¿½
>ï¿½What you consider a "federal criminal" is not what the FCC or the US
>judicial system considers a "federal criminal" and their enforcement
>techniques and penalities wisely reflect such.
>
>>There are laws on the books.
>
>And many of those laws are old and outdated and not enforced. Google
>"blue laws".
>
>>That is no excuse. FCC rules are not "blue
>>laws".
>
>
>I disagree. Some are, especially the rules governing long abandoned
>frequencies and wattage allowances..

Such as?

>> Until the law is officially changed, you are
>>compelled to follow it.

>
>
>And again, I ask, ...by who? The ignoring of such behavior by the agency
>charged with enforcing the specific rules I speak of, confirms
>otherwise.

How and in what way does the FCC, as you allege, ignore their own
rules?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1137. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 13:58:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <p3hq419lvvipc7rldn17161ue4metimq29@4ax.com>
Source record: 198162

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 12:37:57 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>David T. Hall Jr wrote:
>>Laws that are supposed to be followed by
>>everyone. Those who break the law,
>>technically become criminals. The fact that
>>they may get away with it for some time, does
>>not negate the facts.

>Which again is a circular argument you are unable to apply to the laws
>you invoke...such as the federal laws as applies to the interstates (as
>defined by the Federal DOT). Are motorists who violate the speed limits
>criminals, Dave?
>
>>There is no federal speed limit.
>
>
>There is Dave,,,truckers follow them all the time.

There has been no federal speed limit since the 55 MPH limit was
dropped. If you can prove otherwise, then feel free to post a
reference to it.

>>They are guilty of a summary offense and, as
>>such, are not judged in the same manner as
>>harsher criminal activity.
>
>
>
>Gee,,,,,yea,,,,just like a dxer or freebander...you just explained why
>they are not judged as "federal criminals" anywhere in the US except by
>yourself.

The only thing standing between you and a federal courtroom is the
FCC's lack of priority. Their incompetence is your gain. But it still
does not excuse the reality that freebanding is a violation of federal
law.

>> But they ARE still
>>guilty of breaking the law.
>
>
>No one EVER took issue with that, only your mistaken labeling of such.

Again, the only difference is in the perception.

>>Are you attempting to promote the idea that
>>only "major" laws should be followed, and offer
>>as a justification the idea that the penalty is
>>not worthy enough to respect?
>
>
>
>Not at all. I promote nothing.

That's an interesting comment.

>If what you say is true (that MOST people
>would rather break the law or get away with something when temptation is
>present), then we are living in a country full of criminals.
>
>>Ever look at the number of people who are in
>>prison?
>
>
>I have. The majority are non-violent offenders and there are many more
>people on the outside that deserve to be in those specific convict's
>place.

People who take away from the quality of life (Thieves, drug dealers,
etc) deserve to be put in a place where they can no longer victimize
other people. It should not be a case of either or. If there isn't
enough room in the current prisons, then we need to build more, or
start executing the worst offenders (Child molesters, rapists,
murderers).

>>Just think how it would be if we didn't have
>>laws.
>
>Holding one accountable
>for their behavior is a much different subject than arguing a
>pro-position for the FCC when it comes to enforcing what they deem
>obscene, or as you put it, when it comes to enforcing "taste".

What the FCC is doing IS holding broadcasters and producers
accountable for what they put on the air.

>>What is considered "obscene" depends on
>>what the majority of society determines should
>>be considered such.

>Wrong. It depends on what the FCC decides. Period. Of course, if you can
>cite an incident where this was put before the public and voted
>upon.....
>
>>The FCC bases it's policy on the legal
>>.definitions of what is considered "obscene".
>> The definition of which can be found here:
>

>>http://www.youseemore.com/amyregional/about.asp?p=12
>
>
>
>Where did you get the idea the FCC bases its obscenity policy on that
>link? The FCC has admitted they are unable to define obscenity, but know
>it when they see it.

That link generally defines obscenity in a legal sense. The FCC has to
base it's rules on the legal definition. Where did you get the idea
that the FCC is unable to determine obscenity? Do you have links to
that quote?

>>Such performances, such as Janet Jackson's
>>"wardrobe malfunction" should not even be
>>considered for inclusion on an otherwise
>>public family entertainment forum. If you want
>>to create a "dirtball channel" and put such
>>trash on it, then fine, as long as families and
>>others with good taste can avoid it, and not be
>>"surprised" in the middle of something that's
>>not supposed to contain such decadent
>>material.
>
>Says you. Some folks find the mere brutality of the sport akin to a
>violent turf war and find it much more offensive than the mere site of
>an exposed female breast.
>
>>Then they don't have to watch it!
>
>
>Same with your beef.
>
>>It's not like there was a false pretense of a
>>flower show and then a football game was
>>suddenly and without warning thrust upon you.
>>You knew ahead of time what you were
>>getting into.

>Yup,..you were tuning in to a game that is notorious for airing helmet
>cams and views of the coaches and players saying the "F" word, you
>know,,the word deemed obscene by the agency you trust to sanitize your
>"family show" of football. Sometimes these words are not only lipread,
>but audibly present, especially with the helmet cams and sound.

I watched the entire game, and I heard no such words broadcast.

> You
>can't have it both ways, Dave. On on hand, you claim the FCC is right on
>what they deem obscene (a woman's breast exposed), and on the other
>hand you have no complaint when the F word (also deemed obscene by the
>FCC) is tossed aired, violating those same decency standards you cite as

>necessary.

Your conclusion is based on 2 false assumptions. The first false
assumption is that there were "F" words broadcast (I heard none), and
the second is that if there were that I'd have no problem with it.
It's hard for me to have a problem with something I didn't hear. The
"F" word is still taboo on broadcast TV, and I fully support the FCC's
efforts to keep it that way.

>> Not so with the half time show.
>>There was no warning. No chance to "tune
>>away". The FCC acted appropriately in that
>>case.

>These same people find it "offensive" that such brutality could even be
>considered a sport.

I'll tell you what, you keep your smut off of my football channel, and
I'll keep my football off of your smut channel. Deal?

>Which brings us back to the fact that you obviously find the female
>breast exposed on television offensive.

What I find offensive is the objectification of women as little more
than sex objects.

> Like I said, the rest of the
>world's countries who are not under such puritan rules disagree. You
>ought visit Italy, Spain, Great Britain, for just a start.
>
>>What, and see further examples of moral
>>degradation? No thanks. Just because they do
>>it there does not make it right.
>
>
>But you said the majority makes these decisions. Yet, you only agree
>with the majority when it agrees with your position. Make up your mind.

The majority of people in THIS country. What the rest of the world
does is of little concern to me unless, of course, it involves brutal
attacks against us and our interests.

>>It was Franklin. But I'm also reasonably
>>convinced that not in their wildest nightmares,
>>could these wise founders have contemplated
>>the depths that our morality has degraded
>>since their time. I'm sure they's sing a different
>>tune if they knew.
>
>I disagree, as the principle is what they were embracing, not single
>issues.
>
>>If they knew how the first amendment was

>>being twisted to further the dissemination of
>>hate, filth, pornography, and other examples
>>of the worst society has to offer, I don't think
>>they would be so fast to grant unlimited rights
>>to do so.
>
>
>Why single out the first, when it hasn't changed? The attacks on the
>Constitution occur when it is changed,,,, IE: Bush attacks on the fourth
>amendment.

The first amendment has been repeatedly cited as a defense for people
who promote anti-social, hateful, and obscene material. Examples like
these are what make me question whether there should be limits placed
on the 1st amendment.

>
>Which, by default, also means there are less avenues for which the FCC
>is to expend energy and resources.
>
>>They still have to enforce the rules in those
>>areas. They just receive no funding from them
>>to do so. So those areas drop in priority.

>It all balances out, but their incompetence grows.

>>What it means is that their job is increasing in
>>magnitude and the ability for them to perform
>>it is shrinking.

>Yea,,well that was a nice way of you saying their incompetence is
>growing.

Incompetence implies an intrinsic lack of capability. The FCC's case
is more of a situation exacerbated by external circumstances

>> They can either do a very poor job across a
>>broad area, or concentrate on the most visible
>>areas in order to do a good job.
>>That's why you can freeband in relative safety.

>It's not about the most visible, cause man, these pirates here are
>extremely visible. How much more visibility you want than a mainstream
>press article detailing the location of the pirate, his broadcasting
>freq, and coverage area? No...they go where the almighty $$$ calls
>them,,,,I thought we agreed on this.

Yes, we do. Visibility, in the sense that I used the term, applies not
only to direct visibility from the offender himself, but also to the
visibility to the FCC brought about from the complaints of the
commercial broadcasters as well.

>>I have always been able to directly observe
>
>>CB and ham radio, so my perception threshold
>>is not at issue here.
>
>But your reasoning for claiming increases in thumbing one's nose at the
>FCC might very well be.
>
>>I know you have a hard time accepting casual
>>empirical evidence gathered over years of
>>.radio operating.

>By it's very nature, "empirical" defines something arrived at via NO
>scientific evaluations or methods. In other words,,,personal opinion or
>experience. Such does not equate a scientific positive, regardless your
>personal belief.

If you observe a series of events that are beyond speculative
interpretation, is that not a valid form of gathering data?

If I tuned to the CB band (or the 75 meter ham band) in 1975, and
could count the number of people who cussed on any given night on the
fingers of one hand, and then jump forward to today, and find that I
lose the "cuss count" after 2 minutes, is that not evidence that more
people are swearing on the radio today than 30 years ago? Sure, this
will not give specific numbers, but it is indicative of trends.

>Even then, such an approach makes several
>assumptions. Or perhaps the fact IS that more people ARE thumbing their
>nose at the FCC because the FCC is becoming more incompetent with each
>republican appointee
>and the majority of people, like myself, recognize the bullshit..
>
>>Once again, you cannot accurately speak for
>>the majority of people, as you have no idea
>>what they are thinking.

>Not only do I have an idea what "most people think" regarding many
>issues, as such has been part of my profession for years, but here you
>are, for whatever reason, attempting to tell me the opposite of
>something -you- most definitely have no clue.
>
>>Then perhaps you would be so kind as to
>>explain how you could possibly know what the
>>"majority" thinks about any radio related (or
>>other) issue?
>
>
>Feedback. Continued empoyment. Sporadic Waves was but a sliver of the
>entire course.

>Example....I wrote a local fishing column for 13 years. The publication
>was purchased and moved to the Miami area. For those years, I knew

>exactly what the "majoriyt of people" wished to read about, what they
>wanted to know, and what issues they were interested in.
>
>>First, assuming this story is true, you can only
>>judge what your readers want according to
>>those who feel compelled enough to leave
>>feedback.
>
>
>
>You ignore circulation.

Circulation only affirms consumption, it is not a affirmation as to
those who agree with you.

Case in point. I subscribe to a few boat-related magazines. In those
magazines are a variety of articles about different facets of boating.
Some I am interested in, and others I am not. So how does the fact
that I still get the magazine act as accurate feedback as agreeing
with the viewpoints any particular author?

>>The rest, you have absolutely no idea.
>
>
>See above.
>And there are many more examples. I also conceived of a charity event
>that was related to the publication and drew an incredible crowd for a
>certain charity. I knew exactly what those who read the magazine would
>support, why they would support it, and the manner they preferred. I
>also knew how to pull it off in short time..this is all due to,,,dare I
>use your term,"empirical" experience.

Ok, so you have a common love of fishing, which attracts many people.
That still does not reflect any particular degree of >support for your
political views.

> It is folly you can cite such an
>invocation and apply it yourself, but when another attempts to present
>you with something similar regarding themself, you are unable to grasp
>it.

If the data you gather relates to what you are trying to measure, then
fine.
I have no doubt that you have your finger on the pulse of the local
fishing scene.

But all you've managed to illustrate here is that you share a common
interest in fishing with a number of people. How you can translate
this into agreeing with you in terms of your radio related or
political opinions is totally disingenuous.

>
>

>>So at best you can make the claims that you
>>know what the majority of the selected group
>>of people who responded to you about the
>>topic of fishing. That hardly qualifies you to
>>make those calls in areas such as radio law
>>and politics.

>Fishing is not my only endeavor.

It doesn't matter. If your criteria for determining the level of agreement with your political views is based on the amount of people buy the magazines which carry your "stuff", you are doing yourself a grave disservice.

>When you are in
>a business such as mine, a large part of your job is to know exactly
>what the majority are thinking, wanting, clamoring for, etc.

Which you cannot accurately ascertain without direct concise feedback. I know I usually throw survey cards in the trash. How much do you want to bet that most people do that as well?

> Without
>such insight tailored to the group one is working with, a new career
>would be in order.
>
>>No doubt, but the scope of any specialty writer
>>is narrow, and their area of expertise and
>>insight into what "other people think" is
>>confined to that narrow scope.
>
>
>You are assuming such can not have more than one or two specialties?

How many are required before you can accurately claim to represent "the majority" of people?

>Hell, Dave, I know several who put that pathetic argument in its grave.

I'm more than willing to entertain a demonstration of such.

>There are those who specialize in all things outdoors, from hang-gliding
>to rock-climbing. Need examples?

Sure. But then be prepared to demonstrate how intrinsic knowledge of what relates to hang gliding and rock climbing (A tough thing to do in Florida) makes one eligible to claim that they know what "the majority" thinks in terms of either radio law or politics.

>>To use your rules, I could then accurately
>>make the claim that based on the feedback
>>that I receive from the readers of my website,
>>that I'm pretty much right on the mark with
>>regard to the evolution of CB radio over the

>>last 30 years.
>
>
>
>
>I would agree with your assertation, IF you have verified all those
>opinions.

Have you verified all of the "feedback" you have received in your
publishing efforts?

>It stands to reason, many of those who visit your website are
>already of like mind, as yourself.

As would be the assumption that those who buy the magazines that
contain your fishing column are ..... Fishermen!

>Then again, if this group is any
>indication, your views are most definitely in the minority, politically
>and radio-wise.

You can't say that as very few people on this group have publicity
weighed in on these issues. The vast majority of the members of this
newsgroup either don't want to get involved, read out of entertainment
value, or prefer to keep silent, and avoid becoming the object of
retaliation.

>>Remember, the majority of people put Bush
>>back into the whitehouse.
>
>Not even close. The majority of people who voted put Bush back into the
>WH, and not even half the population of the US voted.

It is well known that voter apathy tends to favor republicans. Meaning
that those who don't bother to vote are usually slanted republican.

But be that as it may, all you managed to demonstrate is that your own
methods for determining what the "majority" wishes is also limited to
those who read your magazine and bother to feedback.

>>And how many of those people read your
>>fishing magazine?
>I don't have a fishing magazine.

The one you wrote for, as you claimed previously.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1138. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 14:00:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4vkq415odtbg9n8bqvb1jtg5lt17dplsf4@4ax.com>
Source record: 198163

On Fri, 01 Apr 2005 13:02:58 GMT, Bart Bailey <me2@privacy.net> wrote:

>In Message-ID:<0hdq41dbpotnqdglo3kn8vm1aprggccmro@4ax.com> posted on
>Fri, 01 Apr 2005 07:05:32 -0500, Dave Hall wrote: Begin
>
>>Activist judges are the ones who are undermining the law.
>
>Like the judges that appointed G W Bush to be president.

No one did that.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1139. Re: radio worth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 14:05:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <g4lq41t6nihoakm1gfgr7icps4fsri3v99@4ax.com>
Source record: 198164

On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 18:41:39 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 18:33:17 -0500, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:cq1p411bb9ig5fhktquvjocpl4ohtmsb9p@4ax.com...
>>> On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 17:29:07 -0500, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>TRC-449
>>>
>>>
>>> Acording to these completed auctions, $40-60
>>>
>>> http://search-completed.ebay.com/TRC-449_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcompareQQcoentrypageZ
searchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ1QQfsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQga10244Z
10425QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsatitleZTRCQ2d449QQsbrftogZ1QQsofocusZs
oQQsojsZ1
>>>
>>>
>>> Uniden Grant XL or LT, or their base equivalents, are worth a mint.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>Thanks... I was thinking about $50, so I was in the ball park. As to seeing
>>the auctions, I did some searching, not sure how I missed those. Then again,
>>I've never thought to look at "completed auctions".
>
>
>Complete auctions is a great tool. I use it to see if something is worth
>selling, and if I am buying, how much to expect to pay. I was selling some old
>books for a while, and some that had 7 completed auctions and no sales, I would
>not put up.
>
>>I didn't think they were
>>still viewable. I don't have any of the others you name, but I do have a few
>>Cobras and such floating around. Got about 12 radios here to sell off.
>>Thanks again, much appreciated.
>
>
>Cobra 148 and 2000 bases are worth a mint. Some others are worth some too. What
>ones you got?

I can understand the Cobra 2000 still being a sought after radio. But I'd take the 138XLR (the Cobra equivalent of the TRC 449) over a 148 any day. They were much more modifiable and capable, with the sole exception of the noise blanker.

That's my opinion anyway YMMV.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1140. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 15:58:28 UTC
From: Viper GTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <Yae3e.14392$vL3.2566@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 198165

Steveo wrote:
> Doug lays down the law for Dayton. hehehe

Doug should lay this:

http://web.archive.org/web/19990222023606/http://3rivers.com/dphome.htm

1141. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 21:54:39 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17234-424DC31F-477@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198166

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<26744-424C22D4-63@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>:
(David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote
regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
I believe the term you're looking for is
exploitation. But that's not the case here.)

Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.
  Now Delay wants to move on, but those same crowds who refused to
allow BC's indiscretions to pass are now refusing to allow Delay to move
on. This issue gave way to a climate of fear for anyone enforcing the
law that the religious extremist zealots oppose.

_
>Speaking of Delay, did you catch his phone

>interview where he whined about everyone

>attacking him? Kind of ironic since he was

>making the same kind of attacks on Clinton

>just a few years ago.

Yea...he's crying about how the world is against him. Heck, Clinton was
also lambasted for not having a clear exit strategy on Kosovo, yet,
neither does Bush on Iraq.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1142. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 22:00:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17234-424DC492-479@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198167

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Mar 31, 2005, 11:43pm (EST-1)
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!
Date: Fri, Apr 1, 2005, 12:43am Organization: You Just Had To
Look X-Priority: 3 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal X-Trace:

sv3-08NUIBRjn3TWBYRxLKVtpn95XSMh6uVhxNMvoNy4MiNAUybW0Vxdc8CgR/UB+2Q5hjs0N5vypBAFjHR!l+NypQ
0BrGa0RIsWDdE8dTP3+07wxcw3tfL6y2prywWzgP8ELF2lsjEkTzUnlw==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info:Please be sure to forward a copy
of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to
process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.32

>And I don't even do HF. 8-))

You damned sure used to.

>When I mucked around with CB briefly in the

>70's.

Why did you quit?

>Too many idiots, jammers, channel control

>freaks, amp users who think they need several
>hundred watts to talk to another idiot a couple

>of miles down the street,

The only problem you mention with which I agree is the last concerning
local amp use.
The rest of the dilemmas you mention are self-created by not exercising
your freedom of choice, beginning with the channel selector.

>Channel 1 to 23, didn't matter, same old crap.

>kids learning how to expand their 4 letter word
>vocabulary on the air etc.

You feel kids learn profanity from the cb? As opposed to the words they
learn on TV and in school, on the street and elsewhere?
Damn,,,what word can one learn on cb that hasn't been over-used on tv
and in the school yard?

>They learn it from the adults.

Actually, they learn it from other kids first, and if they do learn it
from adults, it's usually their parents. Now, try aagin and answer the

question.

_
You find six meter that much
more entertaining?

>Better class of people.

I don't agree with such practiced elitism, but understand your position perfectly, as many, many hammies take the same stance toward nocode operators and view them as appliance operators and feel the better class of people involved in hammie radio does not begin until one surpasses nocode status.

>I didn't ask for your opinion.

You most certianly did by virtue of posting your opinion in a newsgroup such as this. Not comprehending such is how usenet works is your deficit concerning communication techniques.

>Don't like it then post to another news group.

You're the one bitching and crying about opinions.

>Leland C. Scott

>KC8LDO

1143. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 22:17:22 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17234-424DC872-480@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198168

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
>I believe the term you're looking for is

>exploitation. But that's not the case here.

Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.

>I can find no reference to that quote (or even

>parts of it) anywhere.

You have GOT to be kidding.

>I am therefore forced to

>consider that it was a lie.

I forgot that if you are unaware of something or unable to confirm it,
your position is such does not exist. This contradicts your previous
claim that absence of proof does not necessarily mean proof of absence."
_
Now Delay wants to move on, but those same crowds who refused to allow
BC's indiscretions to pass are now refusing to allow Delay to move on.
This issue gave way to a climate of fear for anyone enforcing the law
that the religious extremist zealots oppose. Politicians who are
willing to violate the law are becoming more commonplace, and this issue
brought them out of the woodwork.

>Why should that bother you?

It's not about me, it's about what bothers the majority of the country
and those who you
maintained should be held to a higher standard than the rest of us.
Judging by the polls, it bothers the majority of people, including the
Bush base.

>You're the one who evokes "civil

>disobedience" as an excuse to ignore laws

>you don't believe in.

That is your flawed perception. If you cared to look up the definition, you would find the term is defined as the act of refusing to obey certain laws for a specific reason. Attacking another patriotic act repeatedly is doing your position no good. You are unable to distinguish and separate your bias from this issue to permit yourself to look at the acts rationally. Your problem is with the specific laws being violated. While you often claim otherwise, your continued problems with such confirm the magnitude other's actions affect you, no matter how remote.

_
Not too much has been said of Jeb Bush sending state agents into seize Terry Schiavo from the hospice last week....a plan shot down when local police met the Bush-sent jackbooted thugs upon their arrival and told them they would enforce the judge's order. The thugs from the state backed down.

>That was not what happened at all.

That's exactly what happened and today's St. Pete Time's headline concerning this exact issue says "Governor and State learn their limitations" and details how agents were stopped at the hospice.

>The state

>was mobilizing to seize custody of Terri.

And who do you think runs the state? Jeb Bush.
>The locals were informed of this pending

>action and they responded by saying that

>unless they brought a judge with them that

>they would not be granted access.

Yes,,the Jeb Bush-sent thugs were informed of this AT Woodside, the Hospice where they come to seize Schiavo.

>The state decided to back down rather than

>risk a confrontation of law enforcement

>agencies.

The confrontation DID occur, right in front of the hospice at the police barricade. After Jesse Jackson arrived, one could only drive near the media tents on 102'nd Avenue off 66'th St, and the blockades were moved even further from the hospice after the bomb threat.

>But the law gave the state certain rights to

>take custody of people like Terri. Overzealous

>judges saw to it that those avenues were

>blocked without due consideration and without
>considering the legal merits of those cases.

>Some of their methods and the power they

>used to accomplish this are questionable. It

>calls into question the boundaries that each

>branch of government has and who is

>overstepping theirs.

What the future will return as fallout, Dave, is more intimidation in the name of God via more political intervention and underminding the law.

>Activist judges are the ones who are

>undermining the law. They have been since

>Roe V. Wade.

Your "activist" judges in this Schiao case are Bush cronies and religious zealots.
Bush is seeking to end the opportunity of fillibustering by seeking to change the law by appointing all conservative judges who support such his agenda. How neat. One party rule!

>Democrats use of the filibuster is nothing more
>than their desperate effort to force, what is

>defined by the constitution as a simple

>majority vote (the approval of judge

>appointees), into a super majority vote. This is
>in violation of the constitution and is not what

>a filibuster was intended for. I fully support the

>quashing of such desperate actions by those

>minority democrats.

But of course you do. That's the third American law or patriotic right you have trashed in as many days. The Filibuster is NOT illegal as defined by the US Constitution, in fact,, the act of such was designed to prevent one party from abusing government.

> Your inability to detach yourself from partisan

>pull does not permit you to even speak of such
>subjects without invoking your disdain for the

>party which you do not agree.

This is not a republican or democrat issue, Dave, get over yourself. This is an issue of abuse by the Bush administration.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1144. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 22:30:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nfir41haeoh6mip69gvh4ft3vrmbfktj6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 198169

On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 16:54:39 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<17234-424DC31F-477@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>:

<snip>
>>Speaking of Delay, did you catch his phone
>
>>interview where he whined about everyone
>
>>attacking him? Kind of ironic since he was
>
>>making the same kind of attacks on Clinton
>
>>just a few years ago.
>
>
>
>
>Yea...he's crying about how the world is against him. Heck, Clinton was
>also lambasted for not having a clear exit strategy on Kosovo, yet,
>neither does Bush on Iraq.

I think his exit strategy Iraq -and- the economy are both clear and
identical -- maintain the current level of spending/troops and pass
the buck to the next administration/generation, just like Reagan did.

BTW, did you hear the Schindlers are filing a motion to force the Pope
into a hospital? Apparently they listened to his grunts during the
Easter service and are claiming he said, "I want to live".

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1145. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 23:51:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17237-424DDE64-7@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198171

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 12:02:37 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 10:04:11 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>The FCC becomes aware of a problem

>through one of two common ways. One is to

>actively monitor,

I disagree there are only two ways. There are many ways for the FCC to
become aware of problems. Monitoring (which is not actively done as
realtes to pirates) and other's complainst are but only two ways.

>Then perhaps you could point out some of the

>many other ways?

Through other law enforcement agencies (many of them).

>I'd file that under "responding to complaints"

Which is why you snipped the example I gave. Storms are another. When
the hurricanes blew through here, many found their ears and support
structures were not properly or adequately installed. This led to radio
stations in South Florida being contacted by the FCC. And as one might
expect, additional problems can often be found when the above scenario
exists lending to the snowball effect.

>Ok, I'll give you that one

>Ok, you and I are dancing over the meaning of
>the term "target". But the existence of the

>tougher law on radio pirates, and well as

>stepped up actions against them, seem to be

>evidence to me that they

>have been "made aware" of the issue and are

>"responding" to it.

Who said it was a tougher law against pirates? As opposed to......?

>There is, in addition to federal law, a Florida

>State law on the books against radio pirates.

But none have been prosecuted under it. Laws are only as good as their enforcement.

>Bush might be adding to the deficit, but (duh!)

>we have a war to fund

>and that's expensive.

Your avoiding the Schiavo issue speaks volumes.

>The Schiavo issue is irrelevant to this

>discussion.

So is the deficit and hypocrisy.

>Domestically, there are many spending cuts

>(the FCC being one)

Their budget wasn't slashed from last year. In fact, I think it was increased regarding the witch hunts over what they deem obscene broadcasts.

>Yes, but over the last decade or two, the FCC

>had been slowly losing funding,

Source, please.

>while their

>costs have risen.

To all.

>This isn't a year to year

>thing. Think long term.

That doesn't even make sense. Each year the FCC receives more money than the year before and you are trying to say their budget is slashed long term because of "domestic" cuts.

_
History repeats itself. I am enlightened enough on world politics to KNOW democracy can never be forced on a society where up to half or more of the citizens are anti-western culture.

>People naturally would rather have control

>over their own destiny.

Not always true, especially in countries where great honor is involved in doing the expected..following your father's career, for example.

>Or following the law.....

Make up your contradicting mind. You claim the majority would break the law if given a chance, now you claim they would would rather follow it. The
western culture can not be forcefully imposed on any country seeking their own identity through freedom.

>Who's "forcing"?

Guns..

>We're giving them a taste of democracy and

>giving them an opportunity to select it

>themselves.

Did we single them out, or is this just the beginning of a mass invasion of much-more deserved countries you claim we are giving "opportunities"?"

>If you ask anyone if they'd rather decide their

>own life path, or if they prefer someone else

>making those choices

>(sometimes forcibly against their will) for them,
>guess which choice

>they will make?

If they are like you, one would ascertain they would prefer to have the govt make their decisions, as you prefer the FCC to make many of yours. I also invoke as an example, the children, young men, and grown adults who train from a child to wage death against Americans, such as many of the muslims we support who really want us dead.

>That is no different than a religious cult.

Same can be said for Bush Bible thumpers.

>The members are brainwashed and

>indoctrinated into that type of thinking

Just like many organized religions

>and cut off from all contact with the outside

>world initially.

> In almost all cases they have only the word of
>those who are "teaching" them as to what their
>supposed "enemy" is like.

Those teachers are their families from birth.

>Had they

>been given a chance to experience what

>freedom is about, they would

>never choose to be a part of that cult.

Bullshit. The mere existence of such similar cults in the US proves you wrong. Go on any board in cultwatch and post that bullshit to be educated by parents and former members of these mind-raping cults. Besides, you are advocating social engineering by our governement abroad while condemning it at home.

_
A documentary DID ask many of these cretins what they want and their answer was ALWAYS the same,,,invoking the very reason you say does not exist: "My grandfather and my father fouhgt against the imperialist swine, it is what I must do" ...this is not the exception in the middle east, Dave, but rather the common rule among all those who anti-western in belief.

>Which is why these cults need to be

>eradicated.

You are being ridiculous, now, as you can not destroy a faith or a mindset or a belief,so let's move on. The Jesus cult of the Right here in our own country is perfectly acceptable to you because it coincides with your belief and makes allowances for THEIR killing and murdering via policy and the strict opposition of the Bible where it very plainly reads: Thou shalt not
  judge!

>The best way to do that is to give the people a
>taste of freedom. Once they taste it, they won't
>want to give it up.

>Why do you think terrorists act so violently?

>They attempt to use fear and intimidation to

>prevent people from seeking freedom.

You are brainwashed Dave. There have been violent "terrorist" attacks all over the world and in our own country by our own citizens that have nothing to do with trying to prevent anyone from having "freedom".

>The terrorists know that once people

>experience democracy, that all

>hope for their extreme mindset will fade.

>A change like this will take time.

More time than any of us or our children have. They have had since the beginning of time, Dave,,,reckoning that US intervention will somehow hasten a process that may or may not evolve, regardless of our

involvment, is not only wishful thinkning, but incompetence.

>No intelligent person would expect this

>situation to be resolved in a year or two.

You are right, but that didn't stop Bush from selling people like you on the idea at the time that this war would be a military campaign of "swift and awe" and that occupation was not not going to occur.

>That's "Shock and Awe" BTW. Nowhere and

>at no time did Bush ever say that the war on

>terror would be swift.

That statement will haunt you as much as you claiming there existed no federal DOT. He did say it..he said it would be a swift campaign of shock and awe and he also said it would be no occupation. But I dont expect you to find it or believe it as you still are baffled and confounded over Tom Delay's remarks. What the campign did turn into, is "Schlock and awww"

>He has said on many different occasions that

>the WOT would likely

>extend into our children

>and grandchildren's

>lifetimes.

Yes,,AFTER he told us it would not be an occupation, AFTER he was told by Powell it would be a long term occupation, AFTER he was told by Powel he would own the Iraqi people for years and years and years, as well as the cost to rebuild.. Flip---FLOP

>Also anyone with any comprehension of

>military operations and tactics

>knows that once a military objective is

>obtained that there will be a period of

>occupation to provide stability.

Which would leave you and Bush out, which is why you are as clueless as he on this issue. Today's White House reports admits ALL the intel was failed for all the decisions leading to the invasion of Iraq,,,need me to find that for you, too?

>What Bush said was that we would not

>REMAIN in Iraq as an occupying

>force for the long term.

Nope, thats not what he said at all. Need reminded of his speech
post-911 where he mentioned 911 and Iraq and Al Queda in the same
sentence 52 times, despite the Commission proving there was no
connection and the WH today admitting all their intel was FAILED
concerning such
.
>The plan has always been to turn over the

>reigns of government to

>the Iraqi people once they are able to stand on
>their own.

He had no plan, Dave. McCain blasted Bush for having no strategy plan
after invasion way back in 2001. Perhaps you can cite this mythical
plan, along with the exit strategy.The first plan he mentioned was
'Operation Liberate Iraq" which we laughed at. The second was about
wmds, which they now admit was based on ALL faulty intel (wink wink),
yet, many of us realized this at the time, that it was a way to scare
the American people into getting what bushy and rummy wanted,,,,Iraq.

>Again, THIS TAKES TIME. Learn patience,

>and stop being so critical of things that you

>don't totally

>understand.

I'm not the one denying what my party is saying or doing simply because
I am unaware of it,,,*you* are. Not knowing what your party leaders are
doing and saying can certainly lead you by the nose to blame others who
do know what is going on, as not understanding your position.
_
Here
you are now positioning yourself not only on the side of those who were
against invading Iraq, but invoking the very reason many of us were
against it in the first place.

>I am fully behind Iraq as one prong in the total

>war against terror.

Only, today's WH admissions say they were wrong about Hussein's INTENT
AND the wmds. You can find this on your own, can you not?

>The results are starting to flow in.

And they confirm what many of us knew,,,,the Bush intel is incompetent
and incoherent, and now, you are too, since you believed it all this
time, despite the ever-growing evidence that led Bush' handpicked
committee to finally recognized today and conclude it was all a
failure..

> Free elections in several Mid-East

>countries who either never had them, or hadn't
>in a long time.

What are you talkng about, Dave?

>Democratic movements surfacing in such

>places as Iran.

You mean that faction that was crushed last month? There is no democractic movement in Iran, or are you getting your news from some source other than what you call "spin" by reporters...
Afghanistan is Iraq' s future once we leave

>Hope is high for a resolution of the

>Israeli/Palestinian conflict.

And has been since Ishmael and Isaac.

>Despite the words of the nay-sayers, our

>efforts have yielded positive

>results. Your only complain now is that it isn't

>happening fast

>enough.

I said no such thing. I have many complaints, such as sending our soldiers into battle without the proper protection. Time isn't a factor of my complaint, as , because I said, I am intelligent enough to realize one can not win a war waged against intangibles, or another "ism". You should have learned your lesson with "communism" in Vietnam.

>It will take time.

So did Bush lie on this item too, or was this more incompetent and failed Bush intel? Actually, the WH answered this today.

>Where is the lie? Bush NEVER said that the

>war would be quick.

Right, he said "swift".

>But the seeds of western

>culture have already been planted. Unless the

>oppressive radical

>factions succeed in forcibly suppressing

>further rights, those seeds will grow.

The oppressive radical forces did just that the moment the majority fo
the troops exited Afghanistan. By the WH own admission, the country is
back in the hands of warlords.

_
They said the same thing about Tianamen Square in 1989.

>And look how far the Chinese have come

>toward capitalism and the

>westernization of their culture since then. In

>fact, they have gotten so good at it, they now

>threaten to dominate the world market.

You mislabeled governemnt pandering as capitalism, and the only thing
China is threatening is Taiwan and us, telling us to stay the hell out
of their face regarding such. Chinese goods have flooded the market for
years and years. The Chinese culture is not westernized by any means.
Have you seen their MdDonalds? They took them and made them their
own,,you wouldn;t even recognize what they sell there,,they tossed all
the American junk versions.

>The genie has been let out of the bottle.

Regarding Bush non-credibility, I agree.

> It's

>only a matter of time

>before the majority of the people over there

>will revolt against those who make trouble

>and support acts of terror.

Or here against incompetent government tyranny. Oh, wait a second, that
has already occurred and had NOTHING TO DO WITH FREEDOM "haters". Gee.

_
I am also intelligent enough
to realize that you can not wage a phony war and win while
simultaneously supporting the terrorists (via the gas pump) who want to
kill us and who we are waging war against.

>That conclusion is based on a false premise

>(Actually more than one).

>Your suppositions that the war is "phoney" is a
>matter of personal

>belief, and is not supported by facts. You also

>allege that by buying

>oil, that we are

>supporting terrorists. That sort of tripe is the

>stuff of Arianna

>Huffington, and other similarly clueless

>conspiracy followers. Are all the

>members of

>OPEC, fronts for terrorists? How do

>non-aligned groups like Al-Qaeda benefit

>from the sale of oil?

Groups like Al Qaeda are vey much aligned, but you go ahead and 'splain
away your new definition of aligned.
I can list you the number of petroleum companies that purchase their
bulk of oil from the middle east.

>Yea, so?

So you conveniently or intentionally ignore many of the countries
that fund Al queda and related terrorist groups sell the US oil. My
question is, how do you chalk this up to "tripe"?

>Which countries officially fund Al Qaeda?

Why single out Al Qaeda? But lets run with that ...according to you,
Iraq funded terrorists, which is good enough reason to invade them,
despite the 911 commission finding otherwise and no link between Al
Qeada and Iraq and a current admission of failure by the WH. Now, many
countries support terroism via "funds", from Ireland to S America. With
your reasoning, we should "give them a taste of democracy". Illustrated
properly, your position becomes even more impossible.
Maybe we should invade them, too?

>What are we supposed to do about it,

>assuming that there's some truth in it?

Get out of the middle east for one.

>Oh, I see, hide our heads in the sand.

Which is what we are doing on all other fronts with these myriad of
other countries...which is exactly what bush did with little Kim in N
Korea for years while he built nukes, told us he was building nukes, and
thumbed his nose at us. Bush inaction, bush approach on this subject
permitted N Korea to develop (more) nukes.

>Good plan.

But a catch all answer wold be to
reduce our demand on fossil fuels, something whose time has long past.
>Yep, we should just switch to .er..ah...

>hmmm.. there doesn't seem

>to be any alternative.

Once again, because of your inability to possess this knowledge, you discount its existence. Pop Mechanics have had alternative fuel-run engines since the fifties. I''l let Frank educate you on why those patents are snapped up for incredible amounts of cash and squashed and shelved.

>What now? Where's Doc Brown and his "Mr

>Fusion" home reactor when we need it? It's

>little different than a Jew arguing with a

>Muslim over which

>religion is the "right" one.

Well, faith plays a lot in religion, whereas facts can usually be determined concerning government going-ons.

>That's the problem. The real "facts" are few

>and unclear in most

>cases.

The admission of intel failure from the WH concerning Iraq is a fact many of us knew. Now you are forced to accept it and eat your crow.

>What we have

>instead are mountains of opinions, political

>spin, and the testimony

>of people who only know part of the story.

And people like myself just accidentally happened to stumble in the dark and hazard a wild guess that the intel was failed, eh? No Dave,,,only the easily-led and those who could not fathom the incompetence of the Bush WH intel and refused to entertain the possibility that the current republican admin could be so inept without help from democrats, fell prey to the spin.

>So people on both sides of the ideological

>fence rely on their

>inner faith to support those snippets of

>information which bolster their own

>perception.

Violent acts against Americans are not perceptions.

>.No, but the reasons which drive them

>certainly are.

Only whenthose reasons come from you, as you think all terrorists hate freedom...,this is the exact disinformation Bush needs for sheople like you to perpetuate his myths that are falling faster than a house of cards.

_
The FCC is not a social program.

>I never said it was.

>I was making a relative comparison of

>methodology.

A comparison is only valid when it relates.

>It does relate. They're both objects of the

>government.

>I was not trying to make a direct

>comparison on the exact nature of the

>agencies themselves.

>For the record, both republicans and

>democrats attempt to "influence" certain

>aspects of our lives. They

>just do it differently.

>Republicans are more concerned with "moral"

>issues, while democrats

>are more concerned with "social" issues.

>Since I am more aligned with the issue of

>declining morality, I am

>less offended with this type of political

>influence, than I am with being told, for

>example, that I MUST contribute some of my

>tax money to fund certain

>programs like the endowment for the arts (Of

>which I feel should be

>made to stand on its own). IMHO charity

>should remain voluntary, not government

>mandated and administered.

The majority of your republican base disagrees with you.

>In what way?

Undue governmental influence..such as the Schiavo issue.

_
This would toss
a huge wrench in their workings concerning deductions and churches.

>Please explain this as well.>

Self-explanatory. Tithe-ing is deductible.

>I snip when the post get's long. I have this

>thing for "brevity". I'm not out to see who can

>>make the longest post.

>When posts become too long, the focus often

>drifts. I cut those parts which deviate from the

>original focus.

Make a new post. It's easier and nothing is lost.
>I'm also not interested in making the most

>posts either. I'm not

>interested in wasting

>either vertical or horizontal bandwidth.

And I'm not interested in your excuses for snipping posts. Reply to the
post in its entirety and give the respect you would ask of another in
not determining what parts of your posts are relevant.

>I don't view the FCC as invading our privacy.

This is a direct result of you supporting and
agreeing with the republican party making
your decisions for you.

>SOME decisions. The ones which I have no

>power to control myself. If I

>had the power to strangle the purveyors of

>smut myself, I wouldn't need the FCC to do it

>for me.

Strangling Janet Jackson would only serve to illustrate a predisposition to violence and toward women in general, regardless your reasons.

>Again, if you want smut, then tune to an

>appropriate channel. If you

>don't like that sort of crap, and are watching a

>program that is not supposed to contain that

sort of material, then I expect the FCC to step

>in and hammer down hard on someone who

violates our right of decency and corrupts the

>innocence of minor children by including such

>smut in their broadcasts.

Again, you make the case perfectly by astutely admitting the Bush admin does not feel you are competent to make your own decisions. By taking that decision away from you and making it for you, they are claiming you are not qualified and "presumably" incapapable of making such a decision for yourself.

>Not when you are given no warning when that

>type of smut will "pop up"

>on the screen. Give me enough advance

>warning, and I'll take the

>appropriate action.

>It's hard to make a decision after the fact.

They are deciding ahead of time what you can and can not be offended by.

>According to a general level of taste as

>defined by the definition of "obscene".

What definition? Please cite your source where you claimed the FCC adheres to the definition you presented.

> I can't undo Janet's "malfunction".

If you had your way, you would strangle her.

>I shouldn't

>have to sit with my

>finger poised on the mute button so that I can

>hopefully catch bad

>behavior on public TV before my kid sees it.

But that is not the way it IS,,not reality. You don't "have" to do this,,,you can choose (all by yourself) to not expose your child to this.. Since you feel that way, exposing your child to a medium known to embrace poor behavior is your first failure regarding your parenting on this issue.

_
And how do you shield them from the bad behavior in school, on the street, from their friends?

>So because I can't shield my kid from ALL of

>the things that are wrong

>with our society, I shouldn't expect to shield

>any of it?

In your case, based on your personal feelings toward what is unacceptable, then concerning TV, yes, that is correct.

>Is that your defense?

It's your reality.
Shielding kids from bad behavior is not always a good thing, as when they are finally exposed to it, they will not have a clue and by then, they will have their tiny little fragile minds and opinions somewhat formed, and believe me, by then, you are the last person in the world they are going to approach concerning such, because by virtue of your actions, you have made such subjects taboo in your own home.

>By then they will hopefully recognize it for the

>blight on society

>that it is, and reject it as most decent people

>have.

Sure Dave...all while in pre-school, kindergarten. You jest..

>My kid has no reason to rebel against me as I

>have given her nothing

>but support and guidance and tons of

>old-fashioned love.

My God, that is rich, as you are one naive dude, but then again, all new parents are , especially with their first child. You gave many of us experienced parents with older children a great laugh, here, Dave.

>.If you show kids that smut is wrong, then they
>tend to accept that.

If that were true, young boys would not sneak to read nudie girlie mags as a child. You're not only wrong, Dave, you're not realistic or in touch. Bud, all I can say, is you are in for one hell of a heartbreak with your daughter, pal.

>On the other hand, if you actively promote it or
>act indifferently

>toward it, the kids will feel that it's acceptable.

Oh yea,,,thats right,,you mainntain by not actively campaigning against something, one condones it. Then you contradict and claim you select your battles as they affect you, and since this issue apparently profoundly affects you concerning your child, please tell us how you actively campaign against such smut on tv.

>You can't very well tell you kids not to do

>something, and then turn

>around and do it yourself.

Yet, such entered only your mind...

> Kids are very

>sensitive to hypocrisy.

>I put my trust in the FCC to do that for me.

Many others don't.

>Shame on them then.

For not conforming to your values? No,,shame on you.

>An acceptable family standard needs to be

>enforced.

One already exists. It's called the motion picture rating system.

>Then strictly enforce the content to conform to

>it. If the Super Bowl

>as given a "PG" rating, and the "Janet thing"

>happened, then I guess

the expectation of a PG program was violated.

>Again, if you want that type of

>material, then great. Just keep it on an

>appropriately warned and

>labeled place, so that I know to avoid it and

>set the parental

>controls on my TV appropriately.

Keeping with the point you are trying to make, if you feel that strongly about it, TV should not be a part of your child's upbringing.

>Some TV is perfectly fine. My kid grew up with
>Sesame Street, Barney,

>Blue's Clues, Dora the Explorer, and many

>other shows.

I thought your kid was only 5 or 6? Now she's grown up?

>I draw the line when the cartoons start

>promoting violence and

>"adult-like" situations.

Animated adult shows are labeled as such..
Cartoons do not promote violence, Dave. In fact, Road Runner has been bashing the coyotes head in ever since the coyote has been trying to murder the road runner. If you are unable to instruct your child how to distinguish between cartoon (make believe entertainment) and reality, please find one who is capable of doing so

>The "V" chip does a nice job of blocking the

>more "advanced" and

>"dark" cartoons.

>The truth is that there are people who cannot

>control their own behavior.

Thats an interesting excuse for the FCC claiming you haven't the competence to decide what offends you and what doesn't.

>That has nothing to do with FM radio pirates,

Neither does the blanket statement that
"people cannot control their own behavior".

>Some people can't. That's the honest truth.

So is the excuse you invoked for the FCC
claiming you are nable to decide for yourself of what offends you.

>Please, which is it that you wish to discuss?

>The enforcement action

>of the FCC against unlicensed radio pirates,

>and the reasons which motivate them, or the

>ideological aspect of whether the FCC should

>limit broadcast material. They are two different
>subjects.

I could ask the same thing regarding your "comparisons", but I believe most every issue we have raised, relates.

>They loosely relate in that the FCC is involved

>in both. But if you

>wish to discuss one, then don't cite examples

>from the other.

I cite relating examples, you just aren't aware of them, so you deny their existence.

> Should these people not be dealt with

>according to the provisions of the law?

What you consider a "federal criminal" is not what the FCC or the US judicial system considers a "federal criminal" and their enforcement techniques and penalities wisely reflect such.

>There are laws on the books.

And many of those laws are old and outdated and not enforced. Google "blue laws".

>.That is no excuse. FCC rules are not "blue

>laws".

I disagree. Some are, especially the rules governing long abandoned frequencies and wattage allowances..

>Such as?

DX law is useless and outdated. So is the wattage limit for cb.

>Until the law is officially changed, you are

>compelled to follow it.

And again, I ask, ...by who? The ignoring of such behavior by the agency charged with enforcing the specific rules I speak of, confirms otherwise.

>How and in what way does the FCC, as you

>allege, ignore their own rules?

You really are having difficulties today. I alleged no such thing. Read it again.
The agency ignores the behavior of breaking the specific rules I speak of. Their inaction and unwillingness to prosecute even a single user from the countless numbers of dx violators available each and every day for the last thirty some years, confirms my position, not yours.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1146. Frs speaker mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-01 23:52:38 UTC
From: Bob <rcarmack@misn.com>
Message-ID: <1112399558.749019.94940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198170

I am trying to find an frs radio that will a standard speaker mike such as the ones used with most amature radio HT's and public service HT's. Any help would be appreciated.

1147. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 00:16:27 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <2sOdnQhPr_jDedDfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 198172

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote
in message
news:17234-424DC492-479@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.n
et...
> Now, try aagin and answer the question.

You might get away with that tone with your kids.
It doesn't work with me.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
--
"Understanding is the reward of faith.
Therefore, seek not to understand
that thou mayest believe, but
believe that thou mayest
understand."

Aurelius Augustinus

1148. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 00:20:05 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17235-424DE535-150@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198173

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 12:37:57 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
David T. Hall Jr wrote:
>Laws that are supposed to be followed by

>everyone. Those who break the law,

>technically become criminals. The fact that

>they may get away with it

>for some time, does not negate the facts.

Which again is a circular argument you are unable to apply to the laws
you invoke...such as the federal laws as applies to the interstates (as
defined by the Federal DOT). Are motorists who violate the speed limits
criminals, Dave?

>There is no federal speed limit.

There is Dave,,,truckers follow them all the time.

>There has been no federal speed limit since

>the 55 MPH limit was

>dropped. If you can prove otherwise, then feel

>free to post a

>reference to it.

You said the same thing about the existence of the Federal DOT, whcih I
also proved you wrong. Go to their site, you can view for yourself all
the national laws they enforce as relating to the interstates.

>They are guilty of a summary offense and, as

>such, are not judged in

>the same manner as harsher criminal activity.

Gee,,,,,yea,,,,just like a dxer or freebander...you just explained why
they are not judged as "federal criminals" anywhere in the US except by
yourself.

>The only thing standing between you and a

>federal courtroom is the

>FCC's lack of priority.

Because they intelligently don't hold the nature of the breaking of the rule as you do.

>Their incompetence is your gain.

There! That wasn't hard, was it?

>But it still

>does not excuse the

>reality that freebanding is a violation of

>.federal law.

If such is not prosecuted in a federal court, there is no violation. I know you don't agree with the rules by which one is deemed guilty in the US (a court of law adjudicating guilt), but that is the way it is.

>But they ARE still

>guilty of breaking the law.

No one EVER took issue with that, only your mistaken labeling of such.

>Again, the only difference is in the perception.

And only your perception continues to be flawed on this issue.

>Are you attempting to promote the idea that

>only "major" laws should

>be followed, and offer as a justification the

>idea that the penalty is

>not worthy enough to respect?
Not at all. I promote nothing.

>That's an interesting comment.

If what you say is true (that MOST people would rather break the law or get away with something when temptation is present), then we are living in a country full of criminals.

>Ever look at the number of people who are in

>prison?

I have. The majority are non-violent offenders and there are many more people on the outside that deserve to be in those specific convict's place.

>People who take away from the quality of life

>(Thieves, drug dealers,

>etc) deserve to be put in a place where they

>can no longer victimize

>other people.

No one should be in jail for vicimless crimes..period. Trying to play
the Kevin Bacon game will not work.

>It should not be a case of either or. If there

>isn't enough room in

>the current prisons, then we need to build

>more, or start executing the

>worst offenders (Child molesters, rapists,

>murderers).

By that statement alone, you agree that the US has the most violent and
evil culture in the world, since we incarcerate a larger segment of our
population than any other country in the world, including S Africa. I,
and a growing segment tend to disagree and say its the bad laws in this
country, specifically mandatory minimums affecting non violent offenders
that is the problem concerning prison overcrowding Know who supports
poorly crafted laws that will undoubtedly incarcerate larger segments of
our society? The PRIVATE companies who run the prisons.

>Just think how it would be if we didn't have

>laws.

Holding one accountable
for their behavior is a much different subject than arguing a
pro-position for the FCC when it comes to enforcing what they deem
obscene, or as you put it, when it comes to enforcing "taste".

>What the FCC is doing IS holding

>broadcasters and producers

>accountable for what they put on the air.

>What is considered "obscene" depends on

>what the majority of society determines should
>be considered such.

Wrong. It depends on what the FCC decides. Period. Of course, if you can
cite an incident where this was put before the public and voted
upon.....

>The FCC bases it's policy on the legal

>.definitions of what is considered "obscene".

>The definition of which can be found here:

>http://www.youseemore.com/amyregional/abo

>ut.asp?p=12

Where did you get the idea the FCC bases its obscenity policy on that link? The FCC has admitted they are unable to define obscenity, but know it when they see it.

>That link generally defines obscenity in a legal
>sense.

Yet, they are unable to define it.

>The FCC has to base it's rules on the legal

>definition.

Bother to read it,,you will see what I mean,

>Where did you get the idea that the FCC is

>unable to determine

>obscenity? Do you have links to that quote?

Yea,,but I'm not doing all your work for you. I have no interest in teaching you ancient history. I tried with the Delay comments, but you claim you were unable to find anything relating to that. Again, let me ask you for the third time, and for the third time you snipped the question. You claimed most of the news you can find is by media who are biased and susceptible to spin...I asked you three times,,,,,"as opposed to what?"

>Such performances, such as Janet Jackson's

>"wardrobe malfunction"

>should not even be considered for inclusion on
>an otherwise public family entertainment

>forum. If you want to create a "dirtball channel"
>and put such trash on it, then fine, as long as

>families and thers with good taste can avoid it,
>and not be "surprised" in the middle of

>something that's not supposed to contain such
>decadent

>material.

Says you. Some folks find the mere brutality of the sport akin to a violent turf war and find it much more offensive than the mere site of an exposed female breast.

>Then they don't have to watch it!

Same with your beef.

>It's not like there was a false pretense of a

>flower show and then a

>football game was suddenly and without

>warning thrust upon you.

>You knew ahead of time what you were

>getting into.

Yup,..you were tuning in to a game that is notorious for airing helmet cams and views of the coaches and players saying the "F" word, you know,,the word deemed obscene by the agency you trust to sanitize your "family show" of football. Sometimes these words are not only lipread, but audibly present, especially with the helmet cams and sound.

>I watched the entire game, and I heard no

>such words broadcast.

You also claim you can't find Delay's statements, either. It happens Dave, if you claim do not see it regularly throughout the football season you are lying through your teeth or you do not watch football each week. You
can't have it both ways, Dave. On one hand, you claim the FCC is right on what they deem obscene (a woman's breast exposed), and on the other hand you have no complaint when the F word (also deemed obscene by the FCC) is tossed aired, violating those same decency standards you cite as necessary.

>Your conclusion is based on 2 false

>assumptions. The first false

>assumption is that there were "F" words

>broadcast (I heard none),

You are at least consistent with maintaining that when you fail to witness something, it does not exist. My "conclusion" was not based on any assumption, as there most definitely and regularly exists the broadcast of the F word in football.
Your first action is deny existence what you are not knowledgeable of,,,not a trait one would expect from a rasonably intelligent individual. In fact, iI feel so confident in my ability of keeping with the mainstream, I will venture to say ost everyone on this group has heard the F word on football at one time or another, Dave. You denying it takes place becasue you didnt witness it further alienates you from reality.

>and the second is that if there were that I'd

>have no problem with

>it.

> It's hard for me to have a problem with

>something I didn't hear.

Denying its existence because you were not made aware of such, is a bit
different.

>The "F" word is still taboo on broadcast TV,

>and I fully support the

>FCC's efforts to keep it that way.

>Not so with the half time show.

>There was no warning. No chance to "tune

>away". The FCC acted

>appropriately in that case.

These same people find it "offensive" that such brutality could even be
considered a sport.

>I'll tell you what, you keep your smut off of my

>football channel, and

>I'll keep my football off of your smut channel.

>Deal?

I don't watch smut, Dave. Your hypocrisy concerning "assumptions" is
making this all the more difficult for you.
Which brings us back to the fact that you obviously find the female
breast exposed on television offensive.

>What I find offensive is the objectification of

>women as little more

>than sex objects.

IE: The baring of the female breast. of course, you have no problem
strangling these sex objects for their actions..

_
Like I said, the rest of the
world's countries who are not under such puritan rules disagree. You
ought visit Italy, Spain, Great Britain, for just a start.

>What, and see further examples of moral

>degradation? No thanks. Just because they do
>it there does not make it right.

But you said the majority makes these decisions. Yet, you only agree
with the majority when it agrees with your position. Make up your mind.

>.The majority of people in THIS country.

Oh,,right. Of course.

>What the rest of the world does is of little

>concern to me unless, of

>course, it involves brutal attacks against us

>and our interests.

Iraq didn't attack us in any manner whatsoever and had no intentions of
doing so. The final and official word from your elected government said
so today.

_
>It was Franklin. But I'm also reasonably

>convinced that not in their

>wildest nightmares, could these wise founders
>have contemplated the depths that our

morality has degraded since their time. I'm sure
>they's sing a different tune if they knew.

I disagree, as the principle is what they were embracing, not single
issues.

>If they knew how the first amendment was

>being twisted to further the

>dissemination of hate, filth, pornography, and

>other examples of the worst society has to

>offer,

As opposed to the facts you snipped concerning our forefathers that
negate your position. The regular permitted beatings of their wives,
affairs with slaves and much much more,

>I don't think they would be so fast to grant

>unlimited rights to do so.

Why single out the first, when it hasn't changed? The attacks on the
Constitution occur when it is changed,,,, IE: Bush attacks on the fourth
amendment.

>The first amendment has been repeatedly

>cited as a defense for people

>who promote anti-social, hateful, and obscene
>material.

Yep,,,,you MUST take the good with the bad in order to achieve a fair balance, but those like yourself, who hate Americans who exercise freedoms dangerous to your myopic political positions, would rather do away with such checks and balances.

>Examples like these are what make me

>question whether there should be

>limits placed on the 1st amendment.

That stands with your disdain for use of legal actions whcih you personally find offensive.

_
Which, by default, also means there are less avenues for which the FCC is to expend energy and resources.

>They still have to enforce the rules in those

>areas. They just receive

>no funding from them to do so.

Wrong again. How the funds are spent is largely decided by the departmental heads of the FCC.

>So those areas

>drop in priority.

See above.
It all balances out, but their incompetence grows.

>What it means is that their job is increasing in

>magnitude and the

>ability for them to perform it is shrinking.

Yea,,well that was a nice way of you saying their incompetence is growing.

>Incompetence implies an intrinsic lack of

>capability.

Which is exactly what they are about concerning numerous issues.

>The FCC's case is more of a situation

>exacerbated by external

>circumstances

...rendering them incompetent in many arenas. The manner in whcih they arrived at such incompetence, notwithstanding.

>They can either do a very poor job across a

>broad area, or

>concentrate on the most visible areas in

>order to do a good job.

>That's why you can freeband in relative safety.
That's why EVERYONE can freeband in relative safety,,,except many hammies whose voice is to recognizable in their area. This was the result of many pissed off nocodes.

_
It's not about the most visible, cause man, these pirates here are extremely visible. How much more visibility you want than a mainstream press article detailing the location of the pirate, his broadcasting freq, and coverage area? No...they go where the almighty $$$ calls them,,,,I thought we agreed on this.

>Yes, we do. Visibility, in the sense that I used

>the term, applies not

>only to direct visibility from the offender

>himself, but also to the

>visibility to the FCC brought about from the

>complaints of the

>commercial broadcasters as well.

>I have always been able to directly observe

>CB and ham radio, so my perception threshold
>is not at issue here.

But your reasoning for claiming increases in thumbing one's nose at the FCC might very well be.

>I know you have a hard time accepting casual

>empirical evidence gathered over years of

>.radio operating.

By it's very nature, "empirical" defines something arrived at via NO scientific evaluations or methods. In other words,,,personal opinion or experience. Such does not equate a scientific positive, regardless your personal belief.

>If you observe a series of events that are

>beyond speculative

>interpretation, is that not a valid form of

>gathering data?

When you employ methods that go beyond speculative interpretation, it is no longer empirical, as you mistakenly claimed.

>If I tuned to the CB band (or the 75 meter ham
>band) in 1975, and

>could count the number of people who

>cussed on any given night on the

>fingers of one hand, and then jump forward to

>today, and find that I

>lose the "cuss count" after 2 minutes, is that

>not evidence that more

>people are swearing on the radio today than

>30 years ago?

Not even close.

>Sure, this will not give specific numbers, but it

>is indicative of trends.

Not with a single episode of your observation, it doesn't. it is indicative only of a single subjective experiment.

–
Even then, such an approach makes several assumptions. Or perhaps the fact IS that more people ARE thumbing their nose at the FCC because the FCC is becoming more incompetent with each republican appointee and the majority of people, like myself, recognize the bullshit..

1149. Re: Frs speaker mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 00:40:08 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gSl3e.59342$NC6.54419@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198174

"Bob" <rcarmack@misn.com> wrote in message
news:1112399558.749019.94940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I am trying to find an frs radio that will a standard speaker mike such
> as the ones used with most amature radio HT's and public service HT's.
> Any help would be appreciated.
>

If I'm not mistaken, I think either Motorola or Uniden makes such a unit.
Seems to me, I seen the unit and the Speaker mic or headset for same - at a
Wal-Mart and / or Radio Shack.

cl

1150. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 00:41:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17235-424DEA53-156@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198176

>Once again, you cannot accurately speak for

>the majority of people, as

>you have no idea what they are thinking.

Not only do I have an idea what "most people think" regarding many issues, as such has been part of my profession for years, but here you are, for whatever reason, attempting to tell me the opposite of something -you- most definitely have no clue.

>Then perhaps you would be so kind as to

>explain how you could possibly know what the
>"majority" thinks about

>any radio related (or >other) issue?

Feedback. Continued empoyment.
Example....I wrote a local fishing column for 13 years. The publication was purchased and moved to the Miami area. For those years, I knew exactly what the "majority of people" wished to read about, what they wanted to know, and what issues they were interested in.

>First, assuming this story is true, you can only

>judge what your

>readers want according to those who feel

>compelled enough to leave

>feedback.

You ignore circulation.

>Circulation only affirms consumption,

Wrong. It is also a direct reflection of your sales figures.

>it is not a affirmation as to those who agree

>with you.

It is in many instances. When a popular author is featured in Rolling Stone, such as Hunter S. Thompson, that issue sells much more than their average monthly copy sales.
This is the editor's and publisher's position to track.

>Case in point. I subscribe to a few boat-related
>magazines. In those

>magazines are a variety of articles about

>different facets of boating.

>Some I am interested in, and others I am not.

>So how does the fact

>that I still get the magazine act as accurate

>feedback as agreeing with the viewpoints any

>particular author?

YOU invoked readers agreeing with author's viewpoints, not I. I merely contended an author must know what the majority of readers wish to read about.

>The rest, you have absolutely no idea.

See above.
And there are many more examples. I also conceived of a charity event that was related to the publication and drew an incredible crowd for a certain charity. I knew exactly what those who read the magazine would support, why they would support it, and the manner they preferred. I also knew how to pull it off in short time..this is all due to,,,dare I use your term,"empirical" experience.

>Ok, so you have a common love of fishing,

>which attracts many people.

>That still does not reflect any particular degree
>of support for your political views.

You asked for an example concerning this OR any radio related issue,,now that I gave it, you seek to change the parameters of your initial demand.
It is folly you can cite such an
invocation and apply it yourself, such as "empirical data" but when another attempts to present you with something similar regarding themself, you are unable to grasp it.

>If the data you gather relates to what you are

>trying to measure, then fine.

Who would gather data to something that would not relate? And why would only you entertain such? Gee Dave,,,what is the problem with you today?

>I have no doubt that you have your finger on

>the pulse of the local

>fishing scene.

>But all you've managed to illustrate here is

>that you share a common

>interest in fishing with a number of people.

>How you can translate this into agreeing with

>you in terms of your radio related or political

>opinions is totally disingenuous.

I did no such thing. You made the connection to transcend the example I gave you and link it to such only AFTER you asked for an example concerning one OR the other.

>So at best you can make the claims that you

>know what the majority of

>the selected group of people who responded

>.to you about the topic of fishing. That hardly

>qualifies you to >make those calls in areas

>such as radio law and politics.

Fishing is not my only endeavor.

>It doesn't matter.

Sure it does. If fishing was one's only specialty area, such would be all one would be capable of managing in the capacity of which you allude. .

>If your criteria for determining the level of

>agreement with your political views is based

>on the amount of people buy the magazines

>which carry your "stuff", you are doing yourself
>a grave disservice.

That is exactly how such items are determined in the publishing world, Dave. The topic in a magazine is irrelevant, as when an author ceases to be effective, the editor and publisher are usualy the second to realize it..the first being the readers. Readers are extremely finicky and holding their attention is very difficult, so the author must nail his subject each and every time or risk journalistic death. The same is done for ratings on TV. They bring in the heavy hitters, the better actors, shows, and broadcasters during sweeps. The number of viewers patronizing the medium, is a direct reflection of their effectiveness as relates to the viewers/readers/what-have-you.

–

When you are in
a business such as mine, a large part of your job is to know exactly
what the majority are thinking, wanting, clamoring for, etc.

>Which you cannot accurately ascertain without
>direct concise feedback.

You are really getting a valuable crash course in economics as it
applies to publishing, here.
Another example: When something captivates the country, such as a fad or
new movie star, publications can arise out of nowhere and do a specialy
issue on that item or person. When the issue sells out immediately, one
does not need feedback to realize the issue was an instant success. Your
fault is (again) discounting everything of whih you are not aware.

>I know I usually throw survey cards in the

>trash. How much do you

>want to bet that most people do that as well?
Again, because survey cards are the only manner in which YOU can
conceive at this time, you erroneously assume and conclude that is the
method employed regarding feedback.
Without
such insight tailored to the group one is
working with, a new career would be in order.

>No doubt, but the scope of any specialty writer
>is narrow, and their

>area of expertise and insight into what "other

>people think" is

>confined to that narrow scope.

You are assuming such can not have more than one or two specialties?

>How many are required before you can

>accurately claim to represent

>"the majority" of people?

Depends on the target audience. One who writes about tennis and
greyhound owners can be expected to know exactly for what the majority
of fans exclusive to each, clamor.
Hell, Dave, I know several who put that pathetic argument in its grave.

>I'm more than willing to entertain a

>demonstration of such.

There are those who specialize in all things outdoors, from hang-gliding
to rock-climbing.
Need examples?

>Sure. But then be prepared to demonstrate

>how intrinsic knowledge of what relates to

>hang gliding and rock

>climbing

The subjects do not have to relate to each other at all, Dave. Another erroneous assumption on your behalf.

> (A tough thing to do in Florida)

Another erroneous assumption on your behalf. We have an incredible amount of indoor climbing gyms with rock walls all over the state, and hang gliding is quite popular. One is towed (by small planes) in glider-like contraptions and released high aboe the earth.

>makes one eligible to claim

>that they know what "the majority" thinks in

>terms of either radio law

>or politics.

Again, only you are making some sort of connection between politics and other areas, based on an example that illustrated your flaws.

>To use your rules, I could then accurately

>make the claim that based

>on the feedback that I receive from the

>readers of my website, that I'm pretty much

>right on the mark with regard to the evolution

>of CB radio over the last 30 years.

I would agree with your assertation, IF you have verified all those opinions.

>Have you verified all of the "feedback" you

>have received in your publishing efforts?

You continue to invoke the job of editor or publisher. It stands to reason, many of those who visit your website are already of like mind, as yourself.

>As would be the assumption that those who

>buy the magazines that

>contain your fishing column are ......

>Fishermen!

Bravo!
Then again, if this group is any
indication, your views are most definitely in the minority, politically
and radio-wise.

>.You can't say that as very few people on this

>group have publicity

>weighed in on these issues.

Not true, but it's safe to say you aren't aware of it. Many people have
voiced their opinions over they years, some just not as frequent as
others.
>The vast majority of the members of this

>newsgroup either don't want

>to get involved, read out of entertainment

>value, or prefer to keep silent, and avoid

>becoming the object of retaliation.

>Remember, the majority of people put Bush

>back into the whitehouse.

Not even close. The majority of people who voted put Bush back into the
WH, and not even
half the population of the US voted.

>It is well known that voter apathy tends to

>favor republicans. Meaning

>that those who don't bother to vote are usually
>slanted republican.

The majority of blacks are not republican, Dave? You're way out of
touch.

>But be that as it may, all you managed to

>demonstrate is that your own

>methods for determining what the "majority"

>wishes is also limited to those who read your

>magazine and bother to feedback.

As I correctly informed you previously, sales and circulation plays
much more than you are aware.

>And how many of those people read your

>fishing magazine?

I don't have a fishing magazine.

>The one you wrote for, as you claimed

>previously.

Perhaps the same percentage of those who visit your site and agree with your positions.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1151. Re: Frs speaker mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 00:50:39 UTC
From: Bob Carmack <rcarmack@misn.com>
Message-ID: <UoudnT9km5jMcdDfRVn_vg@misn.com>
Source record: 198175

Thanks i will take a look

"cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote in message
news:gSl3e.59342$NC6.54419@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> "Bob" <rcarmack@misn.com> wrote in message
> news:1112399558.749019.94940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>I am trying to find an frs radio that will a standard speaker mike such
>> as the ones used with most amature radio HT's and public service HT's.
>> Any help would be appreciated.
>>
>
> If I'm not mistaken, I think either Motorola or Uniden makes such a unit.
> Seems to me, I seen the unit and the Speaker mic or headset for same - at
> a Wal-Mart and / or Radio Shack.
>
> cl
>

1152. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 01:00:51 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17234-424DEEC3-516@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198178

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 10:58:36 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 09:08:37 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://www.fuckqrz.com
>Yet another example of someone who had

>their feelings hurt and who is now on a

>personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

You obviously are more familiar with the site than myself, as I was just
made aware of it. Can you enlighten the contingency about whose feelings
were hurt and why?

>Just read the site.

I did.

>The author has outlines his "beef" quite

>clearly.

I find nothing to indicate any hurt feelings.

>Read between the lines.

Oh,,,,,,I see,,,subjective stuff. Why didn;t you just say so.
Again, I ask you once
again to explain your position. What is it that has you subjectively
indicating hurt feelings were responsible for the creator's site?

>That should be fairly obvious to anyone who

>understands human nature.

Well, forget what you are being taught for a moment and let's pretend
you already arrived at such a point,

>QRZ is a

>moderated forum. There are rules that are

>expected to be followed.

>There are hundreds of discussions there and

>most people have no

>problem.

I'd say MANY people on QRZ have problems. In fact, it led to many new policies by the owner of the site.

> The author of the aforementioned site had a

>disagreement with

>the owner of QRZ and got his feather ruffled,

>felt personally

>persecuted because he couldn't abide by the

>rules

More "reading between the lines?"

> and was kicked off.

>So he's now set up an "anti-QRZ" site to

>somehow repair his bruised ego, and garner

>support from other people who share his lack

>of respect for the rules of civilized on-line

>discourse.

Translated, this innocently means those who share his views concerning censorship.
Thomas
Paine created his paper the Federalist and people like you screamed similar to what you offer now attempting to explain his actions,,,,,and his paper was anonymous.

>There is no comparison.

Exactly, as this site is not done by anonymous authors.
Yet, the person you accuse makes very clear
his intention for his actions....censorship. And these folks are not anonymous.

>The issue is not censorship.

You said to read his site,,,,I did,,and that very clearly says It is about censorship. Again, you appear to kow more about the issue than

myself, as you are claiming things that are not on his site. How?

>The issue is one

>of following the rules

>of membership.

Please be specific. What rule did he violate?

> When you are in a non-public

>forum which is moderated, there are certain

>expectations from the participants. Stray from

>those rules and you risk losing your

>membership.

Instead of being redundant, please be more specific,,that is,,,if,, of course, you know anything about any "breaking of rules" here and are not merely siding with QRZ when you have none of the facts and are merely surmising what you think to be true.

>You wouldn't engage in boisterous, lewd

>behavior at a private golf club and not expect

>to be

>reprimanded and expelled. So why should the

>same type of behavior be

>tolerated on-line?

LMAO,,you claim no comparison to the acts of anonymous publishing, then try and make a comparison between hammies and those who belong to a private golf club? HHAHHHAHAHHAHAHHA! THAT,,,,,,,is not a valid comparison by any wildest stretch of the imagination.

_
When one wishes to have an avenue free from undue interference and censorship, sometimes one must create that avenue themselves.

>Which is his right. Nothing wrong with that. But
>let's not lose track

>of exactly WHY he chose to do such.

YOU are claiming a reason that is not detailed on his site. In fact, his reasoning set forth is much differetnt from your subjective "reading between the lines" and assuming rules were broke, with nothing more than your personal biases and subjective view providing for such.
This is
twice in two days you have taken an American born patriotic birthright

and trashed it,,first was the right to select civil disobedience, now, you accuse one who voices his own opinion on his own site with nothing more than your own based subjective opinion, formed by reading the site he took to task.

>And in just as many times you have made a

>case that freedom of

>expression should be universal even on

>private forums,

I said nothing of the sort, Dave, your difficluties are really making you go off the deep end today, and regardless anything I said, it does not negate your problem with trashing legal actions by those whose political views you disagree.

>and that any rules restricting behavior for the

>better common good,

>are somehow unfair.

I said nothing of the sort.

>You can't have anarchy and expect to remain

>civilized.

Google "anarchy" and it has ALWAYS been you and the malicious sock puppets invoking the term.

>There are far too many people who cannot

>handle that much

>responsibility.

>There were also discussions on QRZ on the

>"other side".

Invocation of the site he decries as improperly censoring as the pillar of truth for -your- subjective bias is no different than the site builder's actions you take issue with,,,,you just happen to be on the "other side" (your words).

>There are (at least) two sides to every

>argument.

And the truth is usually somehwere in the middle, not on your side or his.

>The bottom line is that The author of the site

>(Which for some reason

>is no longer there as I checked today),

Which lends even more to your non-credibility factor. It is there, Dave.
Your difficulties today know no bounds.

>had a personal butting of heads with Floyd

>at QRZ, and was kicked

>off of that site for not abiding by the rules.

Be specific, Dave. What rule did this guy violate?

_
Such is hardly an unbiased look at each site
regarding the issue that sparked the creation of the site responsible
for effectively moving you to the point of lambasting the creators.

>Sure it is. It doesn't matter how "noble" you

>may think he is

Your difficulties have you making hypcritical erroneous assumptions all
the live long day.

>for

>"standing up" to the "fascist" rules on QRZ,

Of course we couldn't have you mention "anarchy" without presenting the
word "fascist" and attempting to misattribute it to others, now, could
we, Dave

>the

>fact remains that

>when you belong to a private group, you are

>subject to rules.

>If you can't abide by them, the

>owner/moderator has the right to kick

>you off. Plain and simple.

And he has the right to make his own site,,,even more plain and simple,
regardless the fact your bias has you reading between lines and saying
things that are not there,,,,you've always done that on usenet, why
should it be any diferent in any other facets of your life?

_
In
that vein, the site you take issue with is an instant success, for if it
moved you in such a manner. In the media, there is no bad press,
regardless what you have been told. The only thing the public masses

love more than controversy is resiliency from one who was once down...the underdog.

>Like I said, for some reason, the site is no

>longer there.

And like I said earlier,,it IS still there.

> What does that say?

It says your diffculties are now transcending to your computer use. Like I said before, you need another vacation,,,perhaps a long one to somewhere other than Florida, as it doesn;t agree with you, somewhere you can actually relax.

>David T. Hall Jr,

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1153. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 01:04:20 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17235-424DEF94-157@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198177

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 16:54:39 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<17234-424DC31F-477@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>:
<snip>
>Speaking of Delay, did you catch his phone

>interview where he whined about everyone

>attacking him? Kind of ironic since he was

>making the same kind of attacks on Clinton

>just a few years ago.

Yea...he's crying about how the world is against him. Heck, Clinton was
also lambasted for not having a clear exit strategy on Kosovo, yet,
neither does Bush on Iraq.

>I think his exit strategy Iraq -and- the economy
>are both clear and identical -- maintain the

>current level of spending/troops and pass the

>buck to the next administration/generation,

>just like Reagan did.

Or pull out when casualty rates become too high for his acceptable kill
ratio of Americans, and declare victory, regardless the current
situation there.

>BTW, did you hear the Schindlers are filing a

>motion to force the Pope into a hospital?

>Apparently they listened to his grunts during

>the Easter service and are claiming he said, "I

>want to live".

Dude,,that is so bad, it's actually funny.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----=

1154. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 01:29:06 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vzm3e.4959$yC3.2365@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198179

Dave Hall wrote:
> On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>>>>
>>>>>On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>>>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
>>>>>now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave
>>>>>"Sandbagger"
>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>>
>>>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>>>Mopar & I as childish?
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>
>>>Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>>>
>>>Dave
>>
>>
>> Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>>on, your wrong.
>
>
> You are not the only one on the other side.

>
>
>
>>I did in the past, but since he was been
>>warned by his ISP to stop changing nics to by pass
>>filters, he doesn't change his nic. Now that has happened,
>>I don't see his posts, as Vinnie doesn't either.
>
>
> No need to explain, it's all good.
>
>
>
>> Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>>that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>>language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>>& accusations.
>
>
> Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
> pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
>
>
>
>> Now I don't post much anymore, to much political
>>BS and crap still being responded too left by said two
>>individuals, I'm lucky if I post once a day in the past 6
>>months. When I do, it's concerning radio or to joke around
>>with Mopar, Jim's, Vinnie or the likes.
>
>
> I'd much prefer a return to strictly radio related topics as well.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
you know dave i dont respond to your oh so long posts as they tend to
put people to sleep but this response is so hypocritical it makes me
laugh. quit posting your political diatribes and you might be taken
seriously.

1155. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 05:02:44 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UHp3e.6073$FN4.711@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198180

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:nfir41haeoh6mip69gvh4ft3vrmbfktj6t@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 16:54:39 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> BTW, did you hear the Schindlers are filing a motion to force the Pope
> into a hospital? Apparently they listened to his grunts during the
> Easter service and are claiming he said, "I want to live".

Chuckle.......... While is demise is sad, it's not like
he's not led a fruitful life. Grunt..............

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1156. FA SSB CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 09:23:23 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Nwt3e.59360$NC6.48451@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198181

5764697470

1157. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 12:31:37 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <BfSdnbxf_osxDdPfRVn-2g@comcast.com>
Source record: 198182

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050401022029.816$Xg@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > I've had more that one person tell me
> > personally they don't turn on the CB if they
have kids in the car. Now
> > that's a really sad comment coming from a
CBer. Then the kids repeat what
> > they learn without any regard to whom they are
talking to on the air.
> >
> They better not turn on the television with the
kids in the room either. Do
> all these people home school their children?

http://www.detnews.com/2005/religion/0504/02/relig
-136809.htm

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
--
=====================================
"I will not be frowned upon or be looked down upon
or be made to keep silent because I have these
beliefs and opinions. I thought this country
allowed
me that right. I will not conform or compromise
just
to keep from hurting somebody's feelings. "

Ted Nugent
=====================================

1158. FA: US ARMY SIGNAL CORPS WM.J. MURDOCK HEADPHONES-WWII NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:08:40 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <asz3e.5419$o46.4442@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198183
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4720&item=6521318436&rd=1

1159. FA: Cheep>US ARMY SIGNAL CORPS WE BC-458-A ARC-5 TRANSMITTER WWII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:11:07 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <tuz3e.5421$p76.1111@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198184
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.collecting.military.insignia,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,us.military.army

Cheep @$29
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=5763168505&rd=1

1160. FA: TRIMM "DEPENDABLE" HEADPHONES 2K OHMS For Crystal Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:12:25 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Hvz3e.5422$H76.877@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198185
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

TRIMM "DEPENDABLE" HEADPHONES 2K OHMS For Crystal Radio

1161. FA: Last Day>TRIMM "DEPENDABLE" HEADPHONES 2K OHMS For Crystal Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:16:45 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Lzz3e.5423$3p4.5219@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198186
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48705&item=5763168542&rd=1

1162. FA: Big$30>KLM PA-2-140B MOBILE RF AMPLIFIER 2-MTRS FM 2in-140out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:17:55 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <RAz3e.5424$vX5.122@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198187
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5763168587&rd=1

1163. FA: $26>HEATHKIT IM-13 TUBE TYPE VTVM with TEST PROBE, HANDY NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:18:48 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <GBz3e.5425$eb6.4949@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198188
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=58275&item=7503594289&rd=1

1164. FA: FIVE (5) FT-243 HAM BAND RADIO CRYSTALS 40mtrs & WARC's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:19:44 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <yCz3e.5426$Ud6.3254@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198189
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Big $11:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=5763168632&rd=1

1165. FA: US ARMY MC-385-C HEADSET ADAPTER for HS-33 HS-38 WWII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:21:01 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <LDz3e.5427$1V5.5405@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198190
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.collecting.military.insignia,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

No Bids>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4720&item=6522762508&rd=1

1166. Re: FA: Last Day>TRIMM "DEPENDABLE" HEADPHONES 2K OHMS For Crystal Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 16:46:18 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <72jt41ls0prkp0fch1frih9tgkg5560d5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 198191
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 11:16:45 -0500, "cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net>
wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48705&item=5763168542&rd=1
>
>
Aren't these still available new from AES?

1167. Re: Merger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 18:06:36 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <d2mmvcqal1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 198192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.broadcasting

Baxter is a radio god. He will eat the ARRL and spit out whats left.
This is the end of ARRL.

Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> From: ARRL [mailto:press@.arrl.org]
> Sent: Friday, April 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> To: Headquarters [mailto:hq@arrl.org]
> Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
>
> AARA Founder Glen Baxter to Join ARRL As Third Vice President
>
> NEWINGTON, Conn., April 1, 2005 - The American Radio Relay League
(ARRL)
> announced today that it will acquire the American Amateur Radio
Association
> (AARA), a leading provider of Amateur Information Bulletin Services.
The
> deal unites the two most prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the
United
> States. Financial terms of the acquisition were not disclosed.
>
> "The acquisition of AARA complements ARRL's already extensive
offerings to
> include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on
what is
> happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
president
> of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of
the
> challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense.
This
> brings us one step closer to being the national membership
association for
> Amateur Radio operators."
>
> The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn
Baxter,
> K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third
Vice
> President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our
management
> team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive
> Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic

approach
> to running a service organization like the ARRL."
>
> "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of AARA about past
frictions
> between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all
of us.
> We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr.
Sumner
> in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
>
> In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal
Advisor
> for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very
close.
> I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he
assumes his
> new position."
>
> In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image,
ARRL has
> already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call
sign
> of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager
was
> thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our
> traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine
through!"
> Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
> immediately. AARA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME
will
> become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
>
> Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting
times for
> Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in
the
> ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the
> positive impact of this merger for years to come."
>
> "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar
player
> for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our
ticket
> sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . .
. this
> tour season will be our best since '73"
>
> Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also
> supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about

time
> these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a
> special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
>
> ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
> Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with
approximately
> 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio
> amateurs in the United States.
>
> Web links:
>
> http://www.arrl.org
> http://www.k1man.com
> http://www.joewalsh.com
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
> http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg

1168. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-02 21:51:01 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112478661.157011.262190@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198193

Steveo wrote:
> Doug lays down the law for Dayton. hehehe
>
> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
>
> You wanna rumble? OK, I will. Let's just leave the fest so we don't
get
> popped.
>
>
> If you start a rumble, get ready to get the max. Bring it along, I
will.
>
>
> None of you know me by picture, sight, or otherwise. Bring your
digicams,
> some gayboys are in for a shock.
>
>
> My wife will be with me or nearby. If you wanna rumble, wait 'til I
tell
> her what's up so she doesn't freak.
>
>
> Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and
broken
> bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't
have
> the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

Shut up, taxidermist. When the AKC evens it up nobody will see it
coming. AKC rules. Specifically, AKC rules you.

1169. OT - Some car videos, if you're interested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-03 00:34:27 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3JOdncO1xYyPp9LfRVn-jw@giganews.com>
Source record: 198194

Crazy fast. Mid 9's on a stock, or at least a non-setup for drag racing, chassis? Pretty fast for a 6cyl E36 M5. Check out the second to last video. I suggest d/l file and play it separately in either Real or Windows Media Player.

http://www.vsmotor.no/en_videos.html

Jeff

1170. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-03 05:08:33 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112504913.668112.150640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198195

Steveo wrote:
> Doug lays down the law for Dayton. hehehe
>
> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
>
> You wanna rumble? OK, I will. Let's just leave the fest so we don't
get
> popped.
>
>
> If you start a rumble, get ready to get the max. Bring it along, I
will.
>
>
> None of you know me by picture, sight, or otherwise. Bring your
digicams,
> some gayboys are in for a shock.
>
>
> My wife will be with me or nearby. If you wanna rumble, wait 'til I
tell
> her what's up so she doesn't freak.
>
>
> Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and
broken
> bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't
have
> the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

Ohhhh...there are rules now, ay? We don't think so, taxidermist. The rules are that you take it however it happens to be sent. You like broken bones, you say, ay? That's not what the truckers say.

Also, limpie, there is a decent quality B and W pic of you that was taken about a year ago. It shows you holding what appears to be a camera, and walking across a street from your puddle jumper. Like we said, you have no idea what is going on, and furthermore never have. AKC will be in all the campgrounds comparing faces to that picture. It is you who have no clue, monkey brains.

1171. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-03 05:34:13 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <pfL3e.9138$Jh6.7803@fe02.lga>
Source record: 198196

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1112504913.668112.150640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

>
> Steveo wrote:
>> Doug lays down the law for Dayton. hehehe
>>
>> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
>>
>> You wanna rumble? OK, I will. Let's just leave the fest so we don't
> get
>> popped.
>>
>>
>> If you start a rumble, get ready to get the max. Bring it along, I
> will.
>>
>>
>> None of you know me by picture, sight, or otherwise. Bring your
> digicams,
>> some gayboys are in for a shock.
>>
>>
>> My wife will be with me or nearby. If you wanna rumble, wait 'til I
> tell
>> her what's up so she doesn't freak.
>>
>>
>> Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and
> broken
>> bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't
> have
>> the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
>
>
> Ohhhh...there are rules now, ay? We don't think so, taxidermist. The
> rules are that you take it however it happens to be sent. You like
> broken bones, you say, ay? That's not what the truckers say.
>
> Also, limpie, there is a decent quality B and W pic of you that was
> taken about a year ago. It shows you holding what appears to be a
> camera, and walking across a street from your puddle jumper. Like we
> said, you have no idea what is going on, and furthermore never have.
> AKC will be in all the campgrounds comparing faces to that picture. It

> is you who have no clue, monkey brains.
>
>

Remember this quality pic of you, dogie??

http://sj.blacksteel.com/padded-cell/Posey03.jpg

1172. The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-03 08:28:54 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112516934.834833.37170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198197

Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?

1173. Re: Frs speaker mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-03 18:39:48 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42503874.11FFF7F9@yapoo.com>
Source record: 198198

Bob wrote:

> I am trying to find an frs radio that will a standard speaker mike such
> as the ones used with most amature radio HT's and public service HT's.
> Any help would be appreciated.

My FRS radios from Uniden and RS use the same speaker mike
as my HTX-420.

1174. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-03 18:55:35 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42503C27.56C195F0@yapoo.com>
Source record: 198199

"untruth@liar.com" wrote:

> .in rec.radio.cb and landshark leading the group.

My linear is still connected to my CB!!

...A ham

1175. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-03 22:20:54 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5qednWI2j_zY8c3fRVn-3A@giganews.com>
Source record: 198200

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?

Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the folks that post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're such a crybaby.

Jeff

1176. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 02:17:19 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112581039.140773.9800@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198201

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>
> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the folks
that
> post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're such a

> crybaby.
>
> Jeff

Look at this dummy weighing in. Too stupid to tell crying from
laughter. Jeffie, we'll let ya slide, we know you weren't around to
keep your dad's drunken urges at bay.

1177. Re: OT - Some car videos, if you're interested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 02:19:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112581154.163226.16790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198202

oooooh...wook at de big boys go fass. Jeffie wish he couwd too. Jeffie wish he had bwain, too.

1178. Re: OT - Some car videos, if you're interested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 02:35:29 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VMWdnUDypYByOs3fRVn-2g@giganews.com>
Source record: 198203

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> oooooh...wook at de big boys go fass. Jeffie wish he couwd too. Jeffie
> wish he had bwain, too.

Must be hell being you. Is there an adult brain cell in that entire growth
you call a head? Baby-talk, schoolyard insults, etc.

What's next? Your momma put downs?

lol...

Jeff

1179. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 02:38:25 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ktmdnWZV6JsCNc3fRVn-tQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 198204

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>>> Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>>
>> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the folks
>> that post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're
>> such a
>
>> crybaby.
>>
>> Jeff
>
> Look at this dummy weighing in. Too stupid to tell crying from
> laughter. Jeffie, we'll let ya slide, we know you weren't around to
> keep your dad's drunken urges at bay.

Add snivelling to the list. I do hope you keep adequate supplies of tissue around. Hell, what am I thinking? Looking at your picture tells me all I need to know. You'll just use the tail of your shirt, right? lol...

What a pussy. ;-)

Jeff

1180. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 03:19:35 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <lhc1519qgb4ppbr7a9oacldbu33af5g3gb@4ax.com>
Source record: 198205

On Sun, 3 Apr 2005 19:38:25 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>>> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>>>> Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>>>
>>> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the folks
>>> that post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're
>>> such a
>>
>>> crybaby.
>>>
>>> Jeff
>>
>> Look at this dummy weighing in. Too stupid to tell crying from
>> laughter. Jeffie, we'll let ya slide, we know you weren't around to
>> keep your dad's drunken urges at bay.
>
>Add snivelling to the list. I do hope you keep adequate supplies of tissue
>around. Hell, what am I thinking? Looking at your picture tells me all I
>need to know. You'll just use the tail of your shirt, right? lol...

LOL.

Vinnie S.

1181. Re: Merger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 05:09:45 UTC
From: "Joe S." <nobody@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <d2qi6p$952$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 198206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.broadcasting

Did no one notice the date of this "press release?"

--

Joe S.

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message news:d2mmvcqal1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> Baxter is a radio god. He will eat the ARRL and spit out whats left.
> This is the end of ARRL.
>
> Mel A. Nomah wrote:
> > From: ARRL [mailto:press@.arrl.org]
> > Sent: Friday, April 1, 2005, 4:01 AM
> > To: Headquarters [mailto:hq@arrl.org]
> > Subject: Important Organizational Announcement
> >
> > AARA Founder Glen Baxter to Join ARRL As Third Vice President
> >
> > NEWINGTON, Conn., April 1, 2005 - The American Radio Relay League
> (ARRL)
> > announced today that it will acquire the American Amateur Radio
> Association
> > (AARA), a leading provider of Amateur Information Bulletin Services.
> The
> > deal unites the two most prominent Amateur Radio organizations in the
> United
> > States. Financial terms of the acquisition were not disclosed.
> >
> > "The acquisition of AARA complements ARRL's already extensive
> offerings to
> > include up-to-the-minute information and interactive discussion on
> what is
> > happening in the world of Amateur Radio," said Jim Haynie, W5JBP,
> president
> > of ARRL. "Together, we will be more effective in taking on some of
> the
> > challenges facing Amateur Radio, such as BPL and Spectrum Defense.
> This
> > brings us one step closer to being the national membership
> association for
> > Amateur Radio operators."
> >
> > The acquisition also brings the strong leadership skills of Glenn

> Baxter,
> > K1MAN, to the ARRL organization. Baxter has been named ARRL Third
> Vice
> > President in the move. "Mr. Baxter is a welcome addition to our
> management
> > team here at ARRL," said David Sumner, K1ZZ, and ARRL Chief Executive
> > Officer. "I look forward to his fresh perspectives and enthusiastic
> approach
> > to running a service organization like the ARRL."
> >
> > "Water under the bridge," said Glenn Baxter of AARA about past
> frictions
> > between the two organizations. "Today marks a new beginning for all
> of us.
> > We're in this together now." Plans for collocating Mr. Baxter and Mr.
> Sumner
> > in adjoining offices at ARRL headquarters are currently underway.
> >
> > In an official statement, Mr. Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, FCC Legal
> Advisor
> > for Enforcement added, "Over the years, Glenn and I have become very
> close.
> > I look forward to continuing our professional relationship as he
> assumes his
> > new position."
> >
> > In an effort to accelerate the shift to its new on-the-air image,
> ARRL has
> > already filed the paperwork necessary to make W1MAN the official call
> sign
> > of the combined organization. Joe Carcia, NJ1Q, ARRL station manager
> was
> > thrilled with the change, saying, "What a creative way to reflect our
> > traditional roots while also letting our bold, new face shine
> through!"
> > Broadcast operations at the facilities of ex-W1AW will be shutdown
> > immediately. AARA's state-of-the-art studios in Belgrade Lakes, ME
> will
> > become the new source of all ARRL transmissions.
> >
> > Amateur Radio insiders applauded the move. "These are interesting
> times for
> > Amateur Radio," said Gordon West, WB6NOA. "I sense a likely shift in
> the
> > ARRL views on many areas of the service. I think we'll be feeling the
> > positive impact of this merger for years to come."
> >
> > "This is big . . . really big," said Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, lead guitar
> player
> > for the Eagles. It's exactly the kind of thing that will send our

> ticket
> > sales through the roof. With Glenn on vocals, and Sumner on drums . .
> . this
> > tour season will be our best since '73"
> >
> > Audio aficionado and Amateur Radio entrepreneur Bob Heil, K9EID, also
> > supported the move. "This is a good thing for Heil, and it's about
> time
> > these guys got together", said Mr. Heil. "We are already working on a
> > special commemorative W1MAN microphone to honor the event."
> >
> > ARRL was founded in May 1914 by Percy Maxim, a leading Hartford,
> > Connecticut, inventor and industrialist. Today ARRL, with
> approximately
> > 152,000 members, is the largest not-for-profit organization of radio
> > amateurs in the United States.
> >
> > Web links:
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org
> > http://www.k1man.com
> > http://www.joewalsh.com
> > http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Joe-visits-Lab-One.sm.jpg
> > http://www.heilsound.com/amateur/Images/Bob-and-Jeff-Beck.jpg
>
>

1182. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 08:28:23 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112603303.929661.67160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198207

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > Jeff Mayner wrote:
> >> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> >>> Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
> >>
> >> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the
folks
> >> that post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder
you're
> >> such a
> >>
> >> crybaby.
> >>
> >> Jeff
> >>
> > Look at this dummy weighing in. Too stupid to tell crying from
> > laughter. Jeffie, we'll let ya slide, we know you weren't around to
> > keep your dad's drunken urges at bay.
>
> Add snivelling to the list. I do hope you keep adequate supplies of
tissue
> around. Hell, what am I thinking? Looking at your picture tells me
all I
> need to know. You'll just use the tail of your shirt, right? lol...
>
> What a pussy. ;-)
>
> Jeff

hahahahaha! WoooHOOOOO!!! I am terrified...listen to the mouse that
roars. Tell, me...when you and mopey first kissed, had you already been
a fatheaded dork, or did the little keyclown boy teach you himself?

1183. Re: OT - Some car videos, if you're interested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 08:30:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112603455.379655.83900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198208

Makes you bark on command, wristflipper.

1184. Re: OT - Some car videos, if you're interested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 08:34:06 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112603646.083488.272210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198209

jeffie cwy cuz dat bad bad AKC tawks mean to himmm...poooow jeffie...he cwys so much

1185. Last rites declaration of Ioannes Paulus PP. II (Karol Wojtyla)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 10:54:54 UTC
From: "Ioannes Paulus PP. II" <john_paul_ii@vatican.va>
Message-ID: <d42aaed7.77eb7edc@news.vatican.va>
Source record: 198214
Cross-posted to:
alt.sex.nigelw.loves.sheepd,alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.amatuer,comp.infosystems.www.authoring.cgi,rec.radio.cb

"The unforgiveable sins this earth must confront and overcome are
Nationalism, capitalism, and hoarding. The idea of every nation
should be forgot, price should be struck from the commons, and
princes should be seen for the devils they are. The sins include
our church, secret societies, and other religions which make of
the spirit of God a divide."

Last rites declaration of Ioannes Paulus PP. II (Karol Wojtyla)
2nd April 2005

--
then, that this desire and this inability proclaim to us, but
that there was once in man a true happiness of which there now remain to him
only the mark and empty trace, which he in vain tries to fill from all his
surroundings, seeking from things absent the help he does not obtain in
things present? But these are all inadequate, because the infinite abyss can
only be filled by an infinite and immutable object, that is to say, only by
God Himself. He only is our true good, and since we have forsaken him, it is
a strange thing that there is nothing in nature which has not been
serviceable in taking His place; the stars, the heavens, earth, the
elements, plants, cabbages, leeks, animals, insects, calves, serpents,
fever, pestilence, war, famine, vices, adultery, incest. And since man has
lost the true good, everything can appear equally good to him, even his own
destruction, though so opposed to God, to reason, and to the whole course of
nature.

Some seek good in authority, others in scientific research, others in
pleasure. Others, who are in fact nearer the truth, have considered it
necessary that the universal good, which all men desire, should not consist
in any of the particular things which can only be possessed by one man, and
which, when shared, afflict their possessors more by the want of the part he
has not than they please him by the possession of what he has. They have
learned that the true good should be such as all can possess at once,
without diminution and without envy, and which no one can lose against his
will. And their reason is tha

1186. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 11:23:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <b48251hba314ap7l1kt85gl7nt3pi35d82@4ax.com>
Source record: 198210

On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 17:17:22 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
>>I believe the term you're looking for is
>
>>exploitation. But that's not the case here.
>
>Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
>He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
>was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
>stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.
>
>>I can find no reference to that quote (or even
>>parts of it) anywhere.

>You have GOT to be kidding.

I am not. If you have a site that backs your allegation, please
provide it.

>>I am therefore forced to
>>consider that it was a lie.

>I forgot that if you are unaware of something or unable to confirm it,
>your position is such does not exist.

That strategy worked for you in regards to the CB'er that got busted
in Norristown in the late 90's. When the shoe's on the other foot, you
suddenly have a problem?

>Now Delay wants to move on, but those same crowds who refused to allow
>BC's indiscretions to pass are now refusing to allow Delay to move on.
>This issue gave way to a climate of fear for anyone enforcing the law
>that the religious extremist zealots oppose. ï¿½ï¿½Politicians who are
>willing to violate the law are becoming more commonplace, and this issue
>brought them out of the woodwork.
>
>>Why should that bother you?
>
>It's not about me, it's about what bothers the majority of the country
>and those who you

>maintained should be held to a higher standard than the rest of us.
>Judging by the polls, it bothers the majority of people, including the
>Bush base.
>
>>You're the one who evokes "civil
>>disobedience" as an excuse to ignore laws
>>you don't believe in.
>
>That is your flawed perception. If you cared to look up the definition,
>you would find the term is defined as the act of refusing to obey
>certain laws for a specific reason.

Which you use as a justification to ignore everything from speed
limits to freebanding.

>Attacking another patriotic act
>repeatedly is doing your position no good.

There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively ignoring laws we don't
agree with.

>>The state decided to back down rather than
>>risk a confrontation of law enforcement
>>agencies.
>
>The confrontation DID occur, right in front of the hospice at the police
>barricade.

Not according to the news services that I receive. The locals were
contacted by phone and it was then that they were told that without a
judge the state guys would not be granted access. Rather than risk a
confrontation (Which with the proliferation of TV crews there, would
have been a live event), the state backed down.

If, as you allege, the confrontation did occur, why was there no
coverage of it on the various TV and radio news services?

Let me guess, the Bush administration raced in and confiscated all
footage right?

>>But the law gave the state certain rights to
>>take custody of people like Terri. Overzealous
>>judges saw to it that those avenues were
>>blocked without due consideration and without
>>considering the legal merits of those cases.
>>Some of their methods and the power they
>>used to accomplish this are questionable. It
>>calls into question the boundaries that each
>>branch of government has and who is
>>overstepping theirs.
>
>
>What the future will return as fallout, Dave, is more intimidation in

>the name of God via more political intervention and underminding the
>law.

>>Activist judges are the ones who are
>>undermining the law. They have been since
>>Roe V. Wade.

>Your "activist" judges in this Schiavo case are Bush cronies and
>religious zealots.

Says you.

> Bush is seeking to end the opportunity of fillibustering by seeking to
>change the law by appointing all conservative judges who support such
>his agenda. How neat. One party rule!

>>Democrats use of the filibuster is nothing more
>>than their desperate effort to force, what is
>>defined by the constitution as a simple
>>majority vote (the approval of judge
>>appointees), into a super majority vote. This is
>>in violation of the constitution and is not what
>>a filibuster was intended for. I fully support the
>>quashing of such desperate actions by those
>>minority democrats.

>But of course you do. That's the third American law or patriotic right
>you have trashed in as many days. The Filibuster is NOT illegal as
>defined by the US Constitution, in fact,, the act of such was designed
>to prevent one party from abusing government.

The constitution clearly defines that the act of appointing judicial
nominees should be accompanied by a simple majority (up or down) vote.
The use of a filibuster to force a super majority vote is in
violation, if not the letter of the law of the constitution, it is
certainly a violation of the spirit of that law.

If the shoe was on the other foot and it was the republicans using
this shameful tactic, you'd be one of the first to decry it.

>This is not a republican or democrat issue, Dave, get over yourself.
>This is an issue of abuse by the Bush administration.

The Bush administration is not the one trying to stall the
constitutional process, by illegal uses of filibustering

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1187. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 11:25:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <mv8251tgphv11fdgb57frr4aa1kg1leiul@4ax.com>
Source record: 198211

On Fri, 01 Apr 2005 20:29:06 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
wrote:

>Dave Hall wrote:
>> On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>>>>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
>>>>>>now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave
>>>>>>"Sandbagger"
>>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>>>
>>>>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>>>>Mopar & I as childish?
>>>>>
>>>>> Landshark
>>>>
>>>>Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>>>>
>>>>Dave
>>>
>>>
>>> Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>>>on, your wrong.

>>
>>
>> You are not the only one on the other side.
>>
>>
>>
>>>I did in the past, but since he was been
>>>warned by his ISP to stop changing nics to by pass
>>>filters, he doesn't change his nic. Now that has happened,
>>>I don't see his posts, as Vinnie doesn't either.
>>
>>
>> No need to explain, it's all good.
>>
>>
>>
>>> Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>>>that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>>>language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>>>& accusations.
>>
>>
>> Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
>> pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
>>
>>
>>
>>> Now I don't post much anymore, to much political
>>>BS and crap still being responded too left by said two
>>>individuals, I'm lucky if I post once a day in the past 6
>>>months. When I do, it's concerning radio or to joke around
>>>with Mopar, Jim's, Vinnie or the likes.
>>
>>
>> I'd much prefer a return to strictly radio related topics as well.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>you know dave i dont respond to your oh so long posts as they tend to
>put people to sleep but this response is so hypocritical it makes me
>laugh. quit posting your political diatribes and you might be taken
>seriously.

You must have me confused with someone else. I don't post political
diatribes.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1188. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 12:07:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <i19251dr07kk519ro24e7tsn5rd5q92le7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198212

On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 20:00:51 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>You obviously are more familiar with the site than myself, as I was just
>made aware of it. Can you enlighten the contingency about whose feelings
>were hurt and why?
>
>>Just read the site.
>
>I did.

Evidently not completely enough.

>>The author has outlines his "beef" quite
>>clearly.

>I find nothing to indicate any hurt feelings.

>>Read between the lines.

>Oh,,,,,,I see,,,subjective stuff. Why didn;t you just say so.

I didn't think I had to.

>Again, I ask you once
>again to explain your position. What is it that has you subjectively
>indicating hurt feelings were responsible for the creator's site?

And once again, I ask that you read his mail thread from QRZ. In it
Fred Lloyd lays out his "issues".

Why the need for multiple accounts? What possible legitimate use could
one have for that? People who feel the need to remain anonymous (In
addition to their regular account) tend to use those anon accounts
when they want to say something that they'd be ashamed of signing
their real names to.

>>That should be fairly obvious to anyone who
>>understands human nature.

>Well, forget what you are being taught for a moment and let's pretend
>you already arrived at such a point,

What?

>>QRZ is a
>>moderated forum. There are rules that are
>>expected to be followed.

>>There are hundreds of discussions there and
>>most people have no
>>problem.
>
>
>
>I'd say MANY people on QRZ have problems. In fact, it led to many new
>policies by the owner of the site.

Some people do have problem. Those who want to play games and hide
behind the cloak of anonymity. But QRZ is better off without those
kind of people.

>> The author of the aforementioned site had a
>>disagreement with
>>the owner of QRZ and got his feather ruffled,
>>felt personally
>>persecuted because he couldn't abide by the
>>rules

>More "reading between the lines?"

I'm sorry if you can't see it. But don't blame me.

>> and was kicked off.
>>So he's now set up an "anti-QRZ" site to
>>somehow repair his bruised ego, and garner
>>support from other people who share his lack
>>of respect for the rules of civilized on-line
>>discourse.

>Translated, this innocently means those who share his views concerning
>censorship.

If you'd prefer an totally open forum with no rules, and no
accountability, then you deserve the results. You can then have the
Dougies and spammers and sex-porn sock puppets to take away from any
meaningful discussions.

>Thomas
>Paine created his paper the Federalist and people like you screamed
>similar to what you offer now attempting to explain his actions,,,,,and
>his paper was anonymous.
>
>>There is no comparison.
ï¿½
>Exactly, as this site is not done by anonymous authors.

Then why bring it up?

>Yet, the person you accuse makes very clear
>his intention for his actions....censorship. And these folks are not
>anonymous.

But they violated the rules of a members only forum and were TOSsed
for it.

>>The issue is not censorship.
>
>You said to read his site,,,,I did,,and that very clearly says It is
>about censorship. Again, you appear to kow more about the issue than
>myself, as you are claiming things that are not on his site. How?

I only know what I read. I'm surprised that you are unable to
comprehend the issues from the material presented.

>>The issue is one
>>of following the rules
>>of membership.

>Please be specific. What rule did he violate?

Let's see if I remember. Holding multiple accounts, placing binary
files. I also believe there was something in there about messages from
a disruptive person traced back to one or two of the people involved.

>> When you are in a non-public
>>forum which is moderated, there are certain
>>expectations from the participants. Stray from
>>those rules and you risk losing your
>>membership.
>
>
>Instead of being redundant, please be more specific,,that is,,,if,, of
>course, you know anything about any "breaking of rules" here and are not
>merely siding with QRZ when you have none of the facts and are merely
>surmising what you think to be true.

When you set up a site on your own, using your time and resources, you
have the right to set the rules. As a user, you have the choice to
either abide by them, or not join in. Plain and simple.

>>You wouldn't engage in boisterous, lewd
>>behavior at a private golf club and not expect
>>to be
>>reprimanded and expelled. So why should the
>>same type of behavior be
>>tolerated on-line?
>ï¿½ï¿½
>LMAO,,you claim no comparison to the acts of anonymous publishing, then
>try and make a comparison between hammies and those who belong to a
>private golf club? HHAHHHAHAHHAHAHHA! THAT,,,,,,,is not a valid
>comparison by any wildest stretch of the imagination.

It is if you understand the behavioral comparisons and your
responsibility as a member of a private group to follow the rules. For
someone who claims to have a good knowledge of history and politics,
you seem to fall glaringly short in areas of human nature and social

behavior, and the concepts of responsibility.

>When one wishes to have an avenue free from undue interference and
>censorship, sometimes one must create that avenue themselves.

>>Which is his right. Nothing wrong with that. But
>>let's not lose track
>>of exactly WHY he chose to do such.

>YOU are claiming a reason that is not detailed on his site.

It is clearly illustrated to those who understand human nature.

> In fact, his
>reasoning set forth is much differetnt from your subjective "reading
>between the lines" and assuming rules were broke, with nothing more than
>your personal biases and subjective view providing for such.

Rules WERE broken. But you'd have to ask Fred Lloyd for exact details.

>This is
>twice in two days you have taken an American born patriotic birthright
>and trashed it,,first was the right to select civil disobedience, now,
>you accuse one who voices his own opinion on his own site with nothing
>more than your own based subjective opinion, formed by reading the site
>he took to task.

There is no American birthright that claims that anyone has the right
to ignore the rules of a private club and expect to remain as a
member. There is also no American patriotic birthright that allows
people the right to selectively ignore any law they feel is "unjust"
and then claim civil disobedience as some sort of weak justification
for it. The prisons are full of people who tried that trick. It's no
different than those guys who try (and fail) to sue the IRS claiming
that federal income tax is unconstitutional. While I laud their
efforts, the law is not on their side.

>>And in just as many times you have made a
>>case that freedom of
>>expression should be universal even on
>>private forums,

>I said nothing of the sort, Dave, your difficluties are really making
>you go off the deep end today, and regardless anything I said, it does
>not negate your problem with trashing legal actions by those whose
>political views you disagree.

Who's legal actions did I trash?

>>and that any rules restricting behavior for the
>>better common good,
>>are somehow unfair.

>I said nothing of the sort.

You implied as much. You seem to think that Fred Lloyd did not have
the right to kick off the author of "fuckqrz.com" for what he claimed
were rules violations.

>>You can't have anarchy and expect to remain
>>civilized.
>
>Google "anarchy" and it has ALWAYS been you and the malicious sock
>puppets invoking the term.

And that means what exactly?

>>There are far too many people who cannot
>>handle that much
>>responsibility.
>>There were also discussions on QRZ on the
>>"other side".

>Invocation of the site he decries as improperly censoring as the pillar
>of truth for -your- subjective bias is no different than the site
>builder's actions you take issue with,,,,you just happen to be on the
>"other side" (your words).

So you are now claiming that Fred is a liar?

>>There are (at least) two sides to every
>>argument.

>And the truth is usually somehwere in the middle, not on your side or
>his.

There is no "my side". There is only what happened and the reasons
given for why it happened.

>>The bottom line is that The author of the site
>>(Which for some reason
>>is no longer there as I checked today),
>
>Which lends even more to your non-credibility factor. It is there, Dave.
>Your difficulties today know no bounds.

It was not there when I checked at the time I posted. It has since
returned. I don't know what the problem was.

>>had a personal butting of heads with Floyd
>>at QRZ, and was kicked
>>off of that site for not abiding by the rules. ï¿½ï¿½

>Be specific, Dave. What rule did this guy violate?

Ask Fred, he'll give you a better explanation than I will. I am just a
casual observer.

>Such is hardly an unbiased look at each site
>regarding the issue that sparked the creation of the site responsible
>for effectively moving you to the point of lambasting the creators.

>>Sure it is. It doesn't matter how "noble" you
>>may think he is

>Your difficulties have you making hypcritical erroneous assumptions all
>the live long day.

In what way?

>>for
>>"standing up" to the "fascist" rules on QRZ,
>
>
>
>Of course we couldn't have you mention "anarchy" without presenting the
>word "fascist" and attempting to misattribute it to others, now, could
>we, Dave

If the shoe fits.......

>>the
>>fact remains that
>>when you belong to a private group, you are
>>subject to rules.
>>If you can't abide by them, the
>>owner/moderator has the right to kick
>>you off. Plain and simple.

>And he has the right to make his own site,,,even more plain and simple,
>regardless the fact your bias has you reading between lines and saying
>things that are not there

They are there to anyone who takes the time to actually READ the whole
thing.

>In
>that vein, the site you take issue with is an instant success, for if it
>moved you in such a manner.

There is something that you STILL don't understand about me. I can
separate factual events from personal opinion. I actually like the
site, but I also understand the feelings that pushed him to make it.

> In the media, there is no bad press,
>regardless what you have been told. The only thing the public masses
>love more than controversy is resiliency from one who was once
>down...the underdog.

Hitler was once an underdog too.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1189. free radio giveaway, lots of tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 14:55:58 UTC
From: Mind Kontrol Inc <no@maybe.yes>
Message-ID: <2Ac4e.7877$FN4.1186@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198213

With so many raidios taking up so much room, I've decided to give them away raither then sell them or put them on Ebay. Whoever is willing to pay the shipping shall be the lucky receiver of my collectional of ham, cb, commerical and twest equipment. (some 114 pieces in all, approximate weight is 290 lbs)

I will ship either Bwestway or Wells Cargo as it will be easier to put all the raidioes in a box one or 2 them a a large bunch of smlla er boxes.

The equipsment is located in Paris , Kentuckty and that is wher the shipping trruck will leave from to go to your house or factory

Please indicate why I should give my stuph to you and I will pick the winner .

thank kyou

Larry Sevens

1190. Re: OT - Some car videos, if you're interested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 15:49:40 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <A4mdnX3jEYKP_8zfRVn-tg@giganews.com>
Source record: 198215

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> jeffie cwy cuz dat bad bad AKC tawks mean to himmm...poooow
> jeffie...he cwys so much

Please de-code this drivel. Can you write in clear, understandable language?

Jeff

1191. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 15:51:02 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZdadncHj-NL5_8zfRVn-vg@giganews.com>
Source record: 198216

Lancer wrote:
> On Sun, 3 Apr 2005 15:20:54 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>>> Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>>
>> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the folks
>> that post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're
>> such a crybaby.
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> Think its that low? I would tend to think its higher that 99%..

I could be a little low. ;-)

Jeff

1192. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 15:52:27 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lY2dncE4-Kok_8zfRVn-sQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 198217

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>>> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>>>> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>>>>> Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>>>>
>>>> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the
>>>> folks that post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder
>>>> you're such a
>>>
>>>> crybaby.
>>>>
>>>> Jeff
>>>
>>> Look at this dummy weighing in. Too stupid to tell crying from
>>> laughter. Jeffie, we'll let ya slide, we know you weren't around to
>>> keep your dad's drunken urges at bay.
>>
>> Add snivelling to the list. I do hope you keep adequate supplies of
>> tissue around. Hell, what am I thinking? Looking at your picture
>> tells me all I need to know. You'll just use the tail of your shirt,
>> right? lol...
>>
>> What a pussy. ;-)
>>
>> Jeff
>
> hahahahaha! WoooHOOOOO!!! I am terrified...listen to the mouse that
> roars. Tell, me...when you and mopey first kissed, had you already
> been a fatheaded dork, or did the little keyclown boy teach you
> himself?

OK. So maybe you use the sleeve of your shirt and not the tail. If that's
the case, I do not want to know what you use the tail for.

Jeff

1193. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 19:53:18 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17256-42519B2E-69@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198218

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 20:00:51 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
You obviously are more familiar with the site than myself, as I was just
made aware of it. Can you enlighten the contingency about whose feelings
were hurt and why?

>Just read the site.

I did.

>Evidently not completely enough.

>The author has outlines his "beef" quite

>clearly.

I find nothing to indicate any hurt feelings.

>Read between the lines.

Oh,,,,,,I see,,,subjective stuff. Why didn't you just say so.

>I didn't think I had to.

But of course you did not, as to do so, would have invalidated your
allegations concerning the site builder.
Again, I ask you once
again to explain your position. What is it that has you subjectively
indicating hurt feelings were responsible for the creator's site?

> And once again, I ask that you read his mail

>thread from QRZ. In it Fred Lloyd lays out his

>"issues".

So keeping with your approach, it would be safe to say your "issues"
regarding cb radio are born of your feelings being hurt. Erroneously
thinking others become reactive like you merely becasue you are unable
to distinguishing between issues and personal feelings has long plagued
you and been responsible for countless and needless attacks you have
launched over the years on others in usenet.

>Why the need for multiple accounts? What

>possible legitimate use could one have for

>that?

The site was not done anonymously. YOUR "issue" goes beyond the site, as you are now invoking another topic.

>People who feel the need to remain

>anonymous (In addition to their regular

>account) tend to use those anon accounts

>when they want to say something that they'd

>be ashamed of signing their real names to.

>That should be fairly obvious to anyone who

>understands human nature.

Well, forget what you are being taught for a moment and let's pretend you already arrived at such a point,

>What?

>QRZ is a

>moderated forum. There are rules that are

>expected to be followed.

>There are hundreds of discussions there and

>most people have no

>problem.

I'd say MANY people on QRZ have problems. In fact, it led to many new policies by the owner of the site.

>Some people do have problem.

No Dave, MANY people have these "problems" you speak of, regarding QRZ. Again, policy changes were not instituted because of a few random problem children.

>Those who want to play games and hide

>behind the cloak of anonymity.

Be specific......games are not illegal. Speaking of certain topics is not illegal. When one is banned because of a topic and NOT because of any abuse, it is called censorship.

>But QRZ is better off without those kind of

>people.

Your admitted fondness and past defenses for freedom-impinging socialistic approaches when you do not personally agree with the message or topic is well documented in this group.

> The author of the aforementioned site had a

>disagreement with

>the owner of QRZ and got his feather ruffled,

>felt personally

>persecuted because he couldn't abide by the

>rules

More "reading between the lines?"

>I'm sorry if you can't see it.

I offer the same to you concerning Delay's comments you are unable to see..

>But don't blame me.

I'm not blaming you, you're paranoid. I'm asking what rules, if any, were violated, and you have not been able to cite a single one.

>and was kicked off.

Many say he was kicked off because of the topic QRZ refused to entertain, as it illustrated the issue was not at all about feelings (such as you maintain), but about certain hypocrisy.

>So he's now set up an "anti-QRZ" site to

>somehow repair his bruised ego, and garner

>support from other people who share his lack

>of respect for the rules of civilized on-line

>discourse.

Translated, this innocently means those who share his views concerning censorship.

>If you'd prefer an totally open forum with no

>rules, and no accountability, then you deserve

>the results. You can then have the Dougies

>and spammers and sex-porn sock puppets to

>take away from any meaningful discussions.

Other's mere words do not effect me to the obvious and admitted degree they do yourself.
If you believe Dogie, spam, or sock pups take away from any meaningful discussion, far be it from me to try and tell you otherwise. In fact, just the opposite holds true for several of us. Example: the more Dougie posts, the more many of us giggle at his incompetence.

_
Thomas
Paine created his paper the Federalist and people like you screamed
similar to what you offer now attempting to explain his actions,,,,,and
his paper was anonymous.

>There is no comparison.

Exactly, as this site is not done by anonymous authors.

>Then why bring it up?

You invoked anonymity and sock pups. Stop being such a hypocrite.
Yet, the person you accuse makes very clear
his intention for his actions....censorship. And these folks are not
anonymous.

>But they violated the rules of a members only

>forum and were TOSsed for it.

>The issue is not censorship.

So you say. Please help me understand these rules that you claim were
broken. It appears you are merely echoing another's sentiments and have
no clue what, if any, rule(s) were broken regaridng this issue.
You said to read his site,,,,I did,,and that very clearly says It is
about censorship. Again, you appear to kow more about the issue than
myself, as you are claiming things that are not on his site. How?

>I only know what I read.

Ahhh,,so you don't know about any rules, and are merely repeating what
you read somewhere else..the site he took to task.

> I'm surprised that you are unable to

>comprehend the issues from the material

>presented.

I'm certain you are, as it is you on this group always seeing things
that are not there and mis-attributing quotes and claims to others that
never take place.

>The issue is one

>of following the rules

>of membership.

Please be specific. What rule did he violate?

>Let's see if I remember. Holding multiple

>accounts,

If this is indeed true, and if this rule was arbitrarily made in response to the QRZ management not agreeing with the topics of those posts, then your rule-violation claim is out the window.

>placing binary files.

See above.

> I also believe there was something in there

>about messages from a disruptive person

>traced back to one or two of the people

>involved.

In where and by who? Disruptive, can mean anything anyone wishes. If one wishes to discuss softball instead of radio, it can be viewed as disruptive. A person can not be disruptive on a forum unless one explicitly permits them inside their personal realm.

>When you are in a non-public

>forum which is moderated, there are certain

>expectations from the participants. Stray from

>those rules and you risk losing your

>membership.

Instead of being redundant, please be more specific,,that is,,,if,, of course, you know anything about any "breaking of rules" here and are not merely siding with QRZ when you have none of the facts and are merely surmising what you think to be true.

>When you set up a site on your own, using

>your time and resources, you have the right to

>set the rules. As a user, you have the choice

>to either abide by them, or not join in. Plain

>and simple.

Agreed. However, if rules are arbitrarily made in direct response and as a means to silence one who is speaking of a topic the "ruler" wishes to silence, it's bullshit, no matter how you present it.

>You wouldn't engage in boisterous, lewd

>behavior at a private golf club and not expect

>to be

>reprimanded and expelled. So why should the

>same type of behavior be

>tolerated on-line?

LMAO,,you claim no comparison to the acts of anonymous publishing, then try and make a comparison between hammies and those who belong to a private golf club? HHAHHHAHAHHAHAHHA! THAT,,,,,,,is not a valid comparison by any wildest stretch of the imagination.

>It is if you understand the behavioral

>comparisons and your responsibility as a

>member of a private group to follow the rules.

>For someone who claims to have a good

>knowledge of history and politics, you seem to
>fall glaringly short in areas of human nature

>and social behavior, and the concepts of

>responsibility.

So you say, but your unsolicited position and self-qualifications concerning such has been thoroughly debunked by another.
When one wishes to have an avenue free from undue interference and censorship, sometimes one must create that avenue themselves.

>Which is his right. Nothing wrong with that. But
>let's not lose track

>of exactly WHY he chose to do such.

YOU are claiming a reason that is not detailed on his site.

>It is clearly illustrated to those who understand
>human nature.

Self-qualification regarding such is equal to tilting imaginary windmills in your own backyard, only no one else can see them.
In fact, his
reasoning set forth is much differetnt from your subjective "reading between the lines" and assuming rules were broke, with nothing more than your personal biases and subjective view providing for such.

>Rules WERE broken. But you'd have to ask

>Fred Lloyd for exact details.

Fred Lloyd isn't the one posting that rules were broken concerning this user..you are.
This is
twice in two days you have taken an American born patriotic birthright and trashed it,,first was the right to select civil disobedience, now, you accuse one who voices his own opinion on his own site with nothing more than your own based subjective opinion, formed by reading the site he took to task.

>There is no American birthright that claims

>that anyone has the right to ignore the rules of
>a private club and expect to remain as a

>member.

The freedom to respond how he sees fit and make his own site,
is indeed his right.

>There is also no American patriotic birthright

>that allows people the right to selectively

>ignore any law they feel is "unjust" and then

>claim civil disobedience as some sort of weak

>justification for it.

Correct, which is why you deleted the proper definition of such that I
presented. Civil Disobedience is NOT a right to ignore ANY law, as you
intentionally distorted, but a METHOD of *selectively* ignoring a
specific law for a specific reason. Now that you have been armed and
corrected with the proper definition, you shouldn't make the same
mistake again concerning the act.

> The prisons are full of people who tried that

>trick.

Really? Would it prove to difficult for you to cite three cases of those
imprisoned for an act of civil disobedience? After all, since the
prisons are "full" of these people, you should have no problem citing
but a few examples.

>It's no different than those guys who try (and

>fail) to sue the IRS claiming that federal

>income tax is unconstitutional.

It's very different, Dave. This is the part where your confusion
regarding "criminal" and "civil" infractions and penalties invalidate
your position that dxers and freebanders are federal criminals.

>While I laud their efforts, the law is not on their
>side.

>And in just as many times you have made a

>case that freedom of

>expression should be universal even on

>private forums,

I said nothing of the sort, Dave, your difficluties are really making you go off the deep end today, and regardless anything I said, it does not negate your problem with trashing legal actions by those whose political views you disagree.

>Who's legal actions did I trash?

Here you go again, asking of another without providing for your own claim. Cite a single passage where you insist I said anything of the sort regarding private forums, and then you will have earned the right to ask another question.
this is common respect and etiqueete concerning proper communication technique.

>and that any rules restricting behavior for the

>better common good,

>are somehow unfair.

I said nothing of the sort.

>You implied as much.

More "reading between the lines" based on nothing more than self-qualification.

>You seem to think that Fred Lloyd did not

>have the right to kick off the author of

>"fuckqrz.com" for what he claimed were rules

>violations.

Not at all,,,I merely asked you what they were. In fact, my use of the word "if" regarding said acts, most definitely distinguishes such.

>You can't have anarchy and expect to remain

>civilized.

Google "anarchy" and it has ALWAYS been you and the malicious sock puppets invoking the term.

>And that means what exactly?

Page from your quotes "Statistical Probability".

>There are far too many people who cannot

>handle that much

>responsibility.

There were also discussions on QRZ on the "other side".
Invocation of the site he decries as improperly censoring as the pillar of truth for -your- subjective bias is no different than the site

builder's actions you take issue with,,,,you just happen to be on the "other side" (your words).

>So you are now claiming that Fred is a liar?

I have only your self-qualifications and statements concerning the issue. How you are able to transcend this to inferring another party not privy to this exchange as a "liar" is something only you can explain.

>There are (at least) two sides to every

>.argument.

And the truth is usually somehwere in the middle, not on your side or his.

>There is no "my side". There is only what

>happened and the reasons given for why it

>happened.

I know you like to believe in such fairy tale endings, but once again, in the United States, there are always two sides to disagreeing parties. If there were not, civil court would not exist.

>The bottom line is that The author of the site

>(Which for some reason

>is no longer there as I checked today),

Which lends even more to your non-credibility factor. It is there, Dave. Your difficulties today know no bounds.

>It was not there when I checked at the time I

>posted. It has since returned. I don't know

>what the problem was.

The site has been there all along, Dave. You were unable to locate it for whatever reason.

>He had a personal butting of heads with Floyd

>at QRZ, and was kicked

>off of that site for not abiding by the rules.

Make up your mind. You claim it was personal (hence, your claim of "feelings being hurt") and then say it was a violation of rules. Be specific, Dave. What rule did this guy violate?

>Ask Fred, he'll give you a better explanation

>than I will. I am just a casual observer.

Such is hardly an unbiased look at each site regarding the issue that sparked the creation of the site responsible for effectively moving you to the point of lambasting the creators.

>Sure it is. It doesn't matter how "noble" you

>may think he is

Your difficulties have you making hypocritical erroneous assumptions all the live long day.

>In what way?

I have no idea what the guy is about, yet you found the need to erroneously assume I somehow feel the guy is "noble". What this astutely illustrates, is more of how *you* think.

>for

>"standing up" to the "fascist" rules on QRZ,

Of course we couldn't have you mention "anarchy" without presenting the word "fascist"
and attempting to misattribute it to others, now, could we, Dave

>If the shoe fits.....

It never does, but that has never stopped you from jamming the shoe in your mouth by falsely attributing quotes to others.

>the

>fact remains that

>when you belong to a private group, you are

>subject to rules.

>If you can't abide by them, the

>owner/moderator has the right to kick

>you off. Plain and simple.

And he has the right to make his own site,,,even more plain and simple, regardless the fact your bias has you reading between lines and saying things that are not there

>They are there to anyone who takes the time

>to actually READ the whole thing.

Well, to be perfectly fair and honest, you said you arrived at your decision by reading the F***QRZ.com site and told me to read it, yet, when presented with the fact that your claims are not to be found on that site anywhere, you invoke the site he took to task.
In
that vein, the site you take issue with is an instant success, for if it

moved you in such a manner.

>There is something that you STILL don't

>understand about me. I can separate factual

>events from personal opinion.

Not too may "understand" that about you, Dave.
You have made many claims, even went as far as to say they were on the site, but I'm still waiting for you to illustrate these claims.

>I actually like the site, but I also understand

>the feelings that pushed him to make it.

Apparently, you do not, as you claim it was not about censorship, which is very clearly what the site owner maintains.

_
In the media, there is no bad press,
regardless what you have been told. The only thing the public masses love more than controversy is resiliency from one who was once down...the underdog.

>Hitler was once an underdog too.

Hitler was always an underdog.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1194. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 20:21:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17255-4251A1D2-210@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198220

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
>I believe the term you're looking for is

>exploitation. But that's not the case here.

Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.

>I can find no reference to that quote (or even

>parts of it) anywhere.

You have GOT to be kidding.

>I am not. If you have a site that backs your

>allegation, please provide it.

>I am therefore forced to

>consider that it was a lie.

I forgot that if you are unaware of something or unable to confirm it,
your position is such does not exist.

>That strategy worked for you in regards to the

>CB'er that got busted in Norristown in the late

>90's.

Of course it did. It proved you made up the entire issue..as you
say,,,since none can locate it, we are forced to consider it was a lie.

>When the shoe's on the other foot, you

>suddenly have a problem?

Oh, not at all. You are still free to post anything you wish to
illustrate why this group should not be forced to consider your claims
regarding such, as lies.

http://64.233.187.104/search?q=cache:pIdkvlbf93gJ:southerngent.redstate.org/story/2005/3/27/32754/0737+Delay%27s+comments+Schiavo&hl=en

And this was juts one of thousands and thousands of hits when I entered Delay comments and Schiavo into the search engine.,,yet, you maintain you can not find these comments anywhere.
Now Delay wants to move on, but those same crowds who refused to allow BC's indiscretions to pass are now refusing to allow Delay to move on. This issue gave way to a climate of fear for anyone enforcing the law that the religious extremist zealots oppose. Politicians who are willing to violate the law are becoming more commonplace, and this issue brought them out of the woodwork.

>Why should that bother you?

It's not about me, it's about what bothers the majority of the country and those who you
maintained should be held to a higher standard than the rest of us. Judging by the polls, it bothers the majority of people, including the Bush base.

>You're the one who evokes "civil

>disobedience" as an excuse to ignore laws

>you don't believe in.

That is your flawed perception. If you cared to look up the definition, you would find the term is defined as the act of refusing to obey certain laws for a specific reason.

>Which you use as a justification to ignore

>everything from speed limits to freebanding.

Ha..I don't speed, but your unyielding failures and need to delibeately misattribute quotes to others has been beautifully demonstrated by yourself in this thread. Cooter Mae is getting up there in age and the wind in the bed of the truck
now bothers her.
The comment concerning the fact about the Bush base disagreeing with the president moved you to the point of rapidly invoking what you erreoneoulsy perceive as *my* behavior, again, speaks volumes concerning your own insecurities regarding your little displayed knowledge of your party leaders.

_
Attacking another patriotic act
repeatedly is doing your position no good.

>There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively

>ignoring laws we don't agree with.

Only because your inability and stubborn refusal to learn the difference between civil and criminal acts and penalties. Once you actually comprehend the acts you redundantly refer to as "criminal" (dx, freeband) are civil, you may even come to understand such is what the

term "civil' means in the term "Civil Dispobedience". You have
demonstrated you have no clue or mechanism to allow you to distinguish
between civil and criminal disobedience, acts, and penalties.

>The state decided to back down rather than

>risk a confrontation of law enforcement

>agencies.

The confrontation DID occur, right in front of the hospice at the police
barricade.

>Not according to the news services that I

>receive.

Is this the same news you claimed was served up by spin and bias, Dave?

>The locals were contacted by phone and it

>was then that they were told that without a

>judge the state guys would not be granted

>access.

>Rather than risk a confrontation (Which with

>the proliferation of TV crews there, would have
>been a live event), the state backed down.

It was a live event, Dave. They showed the state agency on local news
and even interviewed the spokesperson for the state's position ON SITE.

>If, as you allege, the confrontation did occur,

>why was there no coverage of it on the various
>TV and radio news services?

>Let me guess, the Bush administration raced

>in and confiscated all footage right?

Footage exists. Call (you're gonna love this) FOX news in Tampa,,channel
13..they sell old news stories and pics.

>But the law gave the state certain rights to

>take custody of people like Terri. Overzealous

>judges saw to it that those avenues were

>blocked without due consideration and without
>considering the legal merits of those cases.

>Some of their methods and the power they

>used to accomplish this are questionable. It

>calls into question the boundaries that each

>branch of government has and who is

>overstepping theirs.

What the future will return as fallout, Dave, is more intimidation in the name of God via more political intervention and underminding the law.

>Activist judges are the ones who are

>undermining the law. They have been since

>Roe V. Wade.

Your "activist" judges in this Schiavo case are Bush cronies and religious zealots.

>Says you.

Yes. I also taught you of the Delay comments. here's another. What did you think of Delay's threat against judges?

> Bush is seeking to end the opportunity of

>fillibustering by seeking to change the law by

>appointing all conservative judges who

>support such his agenda.

How neat. One party rule!

>Democrats use of the filibuster is nothing more
>than their desperate effort to force, what is

>defined by the constitution as a simple

>majority vote (the approval of judge

>appointees), into a super majority vote. This is
>in violation of the constitution and is not what

>a filibuster was intended for.

What you proposed concerning limitations on the first amendment is ALSO a violation, as it now stands. That's hypocrisy.

>I fully support the quashing of such desperate

>actions by those minority democrats.

But of course you do. That's the third American law or patriotic right you have trashed in as many days. The Filibuster is NOT illegal as defined by the US Constitution, in fact,, the act of such was designed to prevent one party from abusing government.

>The constitution clearly defines that the act of

>appointing judicial nominees should be

>accompanied by a simple majority (up or

>down) vote. The use of a filibuster to force a

>super majority vote is in violation, if not the

>letter of the law of the constitution, it is

>certainly a violation of the spirit of that law.

As was the SIGNED order by Jeb Bush to seize Terri Schiavo, illustrating the disdain the current administration has for the law you seek to arbitrarily apply only when it agrees with your views.

>If the shoe was on the other foot and it was

>the republicans using this shameful tactic,

>you'd be one of the first to decry it.

This is not a republican or democrat issue, Dave, get over yourself. This is an issue of abuse by the Bush administration.

>The Bush administration is not the one trying

>to stall the constitutional process, by illegal

>uses of filibustering

If that's your complaint concerning politics and the current leadership of this country, you most definitely are of the flock. After all, the threat of a fillibuster is so much more pertinent and of importance than the real issues responsible for and leading to such a threat.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1195. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 20:23:20 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17256-4251A238-71@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198219

From: scott_unit_69@yapoo.com (Scott in Baltimore)
"untruth@liar.com" wrote:
(.in rec.radio.cb and landshark leading the group.)

>My linear is still connected to my CB!!

>...A ham

Right on! My ham bone is still connected to my.....leg bone.

1196. Texas Star Sweet 16 For Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 20:38:45 UTC
From: "TIA@THANKS.com" <TIA@THANKS.COM>
Message-ID: <nf-dnc4QjPePOMzfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 198221

>>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5765304521&ssPageName=ADME:L:DS:US:8
>>>
>>> Thanks for looking.
>>>
>>> **It is not converted and is legal**
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

1197. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 20:40:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m68351h33qvrtt0h30kqcc1ohok6v2bevg@4ax.com>
Source record: 198222

On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 07:23:43 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <b48251hba314ap7l1kt85gl7nt3pi35d82@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 17:17:22 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>>Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
>>>I believe the term you're looking for is
>>
>>>exploitation. But that's not the case here.
>>
>>Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
>>He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
>>was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
>>stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.
>>
>>>I can find no reference to that quote (or even
>>>parts of it) anywhere.
>
>>You have GOT to be kidding.
>
>I am not. If you have a site that backs your allegation, please
>provide it.

"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
-- Tom Delay

You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
so you can hear it from his own mouth.

<snip>
>
>>Attacking another patriotic act
>>repeatedly is doing your position no good.
>
>There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively ignoring laws we don't
>agree with.

Ironically, that's exactly what the Patriot Act does.

<snip>
>>This is not a republican or democrat issue, Dave, get over yourself.
>>This is an issue of abuse by the Bush administration.
>
>
>The Bush administration is not the one trying to stall the
>constitutional process, by illegal uses of filibustering

http://www.factcheck.org/article317.html

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1198. Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 21:53:15 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <p6c351dmq3ves24v9ntn9ei9rem0p6loj1@4ax.com>
Source record: 198223

OK, I purchased the Imax-2000 which is a 5/8 wave antenna. There are 2 options for a ground plane kit:

1. First is a four fiberglass 6 foot radials, angled down (appears 45 degrees), as seen here:

http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=42819&cat=1684&page=1

2. This is another kit. It has four aluminum 7 foot radials. This is horizontal, and not angeled, as seen here:

http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/IMAGES/powersupply/Boomer

Which one would be better?

Vinnie S.

1199. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 23:10:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 198224

On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
<none@coldmail.com> wrote:

<snip>
>Which one would be better?

http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html

Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1200. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-04 23:47:14 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Slk4e.15042$G57.8318@fe12.lga>
Source record: 198225

Dave Hall wrote:
> On Fri, 01 Apr 2005 20:29:06 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Dave Hall wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>>>>>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
>>>>>>>now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Dave
>>>>>>>"Sandbagger"
>>>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>>>>>Mopar & I as childish?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Landshark

>>>>>
>>>>>Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>>>>on, your wrong.
>>>
>>>
>>>You are not the only one on the other side.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I did in the past, but since he was been
>>>>warned by his ISP to stop changing nics to by pass
>>>>filters, he doesn't change his nic. Now that has happened,
>>>>I don't see his posts, as Vinnie doesn't either.
>>>
>>>
>>>No need to explain, it's all good.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>>>>that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>>>>language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>>>>& accusations.
>>>
>>>
>>>Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
>>>pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> Now I don't post much anymore, to much political
>>>>BS and crap still being responded too left by said two
>>>>individuals, I'm lucky if I post once a day in the past 6
>>>>months. When I do, it's concerning radio or to joke around
>>>>with Mopar, Jim's, Vinnie or the likes.
>>>
>>>
>>>I'd much prefer a return to strictly radio related topics as well.
>>>
>>>Dave
>>>"Sandbagger"
>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

>>
>>you know dave i dont respond to your oh so long posts as they tend to
>>put people to sleep but this response is so hypocritical it makes me
>>laugh. quit posting your political diatribes and you might be taken
>>seriously.
>
>
> You must have me confused with someone else. I don't post political
> diatribes.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

then what do you call them?

1201. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 01:21:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <obp351hctj06sjdorvbub7oq7gee61bd4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 198226

On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
>> <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>Which one would be better?
>>
>>
>> http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>
>> Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>
>He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your message
>unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.

It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others might
have the same or similar questions -- people who want to actually
learn something so they don't need someone to hold their hand while
installing an antenna.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1202. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 01:39:20 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <X_l4e.22518$La7.8744@fe09.lga>
Source record: 198227

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> <Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>>news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
>>
>>
>>>On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
>>><none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>Which one would be better?
>>>
>>>
>>>http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>>
>>>Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>>
>>He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your message
>>unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>
>
>
> It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
> didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others might
> have the same or similar questions -- people who want to actually
> learn something so they don't need someone to hold their hand while
> installing an antenna.
>
that was a smack at him frank. his posts concerning his newfound amateur
status was actually what this board is all about. Never mind its about
an amatuer question but rather radio in general. He doesn't like you and
the above post butresses his point. You are sounding like Eitner who is
acidic also.

1203. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 03:20:50 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mun4e.16619$zl.822@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198228

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
> <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
>>Which one would be better?
>
>
> http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>
> Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.

Not a bad page, Thanks!

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

1204. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 03:20:50 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mun4e.16620$zl.5543@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198229

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Slk4e.15042$G57.8318@fe12.lga...
> Dave Hall wrote:
>> On Fri, 01 Apr 2005 20:29:06 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Dave Hall wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>>>>>>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who
>>>>>>>>is
>>>>>>>>now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave
>>>>>>>>"Sandbagger"
>>>>>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on

>>>>>>>Mopar & I as childish?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>>>>>on, your wrong.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You are not the only one on the other side.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I did in the past, but since he was been
>>>>>warned by his ISP to stop changing nics to by pass
>>>>>filters, he doesn't change his nic. Now that has happened,
>>>>>I don't see his posts, as Vinnie doesn't either.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No need to explain, it's all good.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>>>>>that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>>>>>language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>>>>>& accusations.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
>>>>pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Now I don't post much anymore, to much political
>>>>>BS and crap still being responded too left by said two
>>>>>individuals, I'm lucky if I post once a day in the past 6
>>>>>months. When I do, it's concerning radio or to joke around
>>>>>with Mopar, Jim's, Vinnie or the likes.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'd much prefer a return to strictly radio related topics as well.
>>>>

>>>>Dave
>>>>"Sandbagger"
>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>
>>>you know dave i dont respond to your oh so long posts as they tend to put
>>>people to sleep but this response is so hypocritical it makes me laugh.
>>>quit posting your political diatribes and you might be taken seriously.
>>
>>
>> You must have me confused with someone else. I don't post political
>> diatribes.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
> then what do you call them?

Both he, Twist & Frank do. The problem with politics is
it's like religion, no matter what side of the fence you sit
on, there will always be an opposite side that will have the
same convictions.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

1205. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 03:27:58 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2Bn4e.9328$FN4.1307@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198230

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:obp351hctj06sjdorvbub7oq7gee61bd4p@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> <Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
>>news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
>>> <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>Which one would be better?
>>>
>>>
>>> http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>>
>>> Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>>
>>He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your message
>>unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>
>
> It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
> didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others might
> have the same or similar questions -- people who want to actually
> learn something so they don't need someone to hold their hand while
> installing an antenna.

Vinnie's not a bad guy Frank, you two should talk more
radio, you'll probably find a lot more in common. Now Geo
I see is still obsessed with me and male sex........pretty sad.

1206. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 03:51:42 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4JCdnYOgNabWls_fRVn-tA@giganews.com>
Source record: 198231

Vinnie S. wrote:
> OK, I purchased the Imax-2000 which is a 5/8 wave antenna. There are
> 2 options for a ground plane kit:
>
> 1. First is a four fiberglass 6 foot radials, angled down (appears 45
> degrees), as seen here:
>
>
> http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=42819&cat=1684&page=1
>
>
> 2. This is another kit. It has four aluminum 7 foot radials. This is
> horizontal, and not angeled, as seen here:
>
>
> http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/IMAGES/powersupply/Boomer
>
>
> Which one would be better?

Personally, I would use neither.

Jeff

>
>
>
> Vinnie S.

1207. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 03:52:42 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vqCdnbcqXJoVls_fRVn-ig@giganews.com>
Source record: 198232

landsharkdeepthroatsmen wrote:
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
>> <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>>> Which one would be better?
>>
>>
>> http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>
>> Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>
> He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your
> message unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.

He'll see it now ya dork.

Damn, you _are_ a putz.

Jeff

1208. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 03:54:13 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6bednTXD6o9_ls_fRVn-rA@giganews.com>
Source record: 198233

Landshark wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:obp351hctj06sjdorvbub7oq7gee61bd4p@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
>>> news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
>>>> <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> <snip>
>>>>> Which one would be better?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>>>
>>>> Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>>>
>>> He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your
>>> message unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>>
>>
>> It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
>> didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others
>> might have the same or similar questions -- people who want to
>> actually learn something so they don't need someone to hold their
>> hand while installing an antenna.
>
>
> Vinnie's not a bad guy Frank, you two should talk more
> radio, you'll probably find a lot more in common. Now Geo
> I see is still obsessed with me and male sex.....pretty sad.

I'm trying to take the heat off you but his attention span doesn't seem to be too long. I'll step it up. ;-)

Jeff

1209. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 05:51:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112680277.358196.130270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198234

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Before the
>
> Federal Communications Commission
>
> Washington, D.C. 20554
>
>
>
>
>
> In the Matter of)
>
>)
>
> Javes Williams, Jr.) File No. EB-04-DT-
>
> 560
>
>)
>
> Detroit, MI) NOV No.
>
> V20053236007
>
>)
>
>
>
> NOTICE OF VIOLATION
>
>
>
> Released: March 15,
>
> 2005
>
>
>
> By the District Director, Detroit Office, Northeast Region,
>
> Enforcement Bureau:
>
>

>
> 1. This is a Notice of Violation ("Notice") issued
>
> pursuant to Section 1.89 of the Commission's Rules,1 to
>
> Javes Williams, Jr.
>
>
>
> 2. On March 3, 2005, agents of the Commission's Detroit
>
> Office inspected your CB station located at your residence
>
> in Detroit, Michigan, and observed the following
>
> violation(s):
>
>
>
> a. 47 C.F.R. § 95.409(b): ``You must not make,
>
> or have made, any internal modification to a
>
> certificated CB transmitter...Any internal modification
>
> to a certificated CB transmitter cancels the
>
> certification, and use of such a transmitter voids your
>
> authority to operate the station.'' At the time of
>
> inspection, you had a Uniden Zachary T transmitter that
>
> had been illegally modified to operate on frequencies
>
> outside the 40 channels assigned to the CB Radio
>
> Service and a Uniden Washington transmitter that had
>
> been illegally modified to include a variable frequency
>
> oscillator which continuously varies the transmit
>
> frequency. Use of these transmitters voids your
>
> authority to operate the station.
>
>
>
> b. 47 C.F.R. § 95.423(a): ``If the FCC tells

> you that your CB station is causing interference for
> technical reasons you must follow all instructions in
> the official FCC notice. (This notice may require you
> to have technical adjustments made to your
> equipment.).'' On December 10, 2004, you were
> instructed in writing by this office to ``Permanently
> install a low-pass filter between the transmitter
> output terminal and the antenna feedline.'' At the
> time of inspection, a low-pass filter was not installed
> at your station.
>
>
> 3. Pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of
> 1934, as amended,2 and Section 1.89 of the Commission's
> Rules, Javes Williams, Jr., must submit a written statement
> concerning this matter within 20 days of release of this
> Notice. The response must fully explain each violation,
> must contain a statement of specific actions(s) taken to
> correct each violation and preclude recurrence, and should
> include a time line for completion of pending corrective
> action(s). All replies and documentation sent in response
> to this Notice should be marked with the File No. and NOV
> No. specified above, and mailed to the following address:
>
>
> Federal Communications Commission
> Detroit Office

> 24897 Hathaway Street
> Farmington Hills, MI 48335-1552
>
> 4. This Notice shall be sent to Javes Williams, Jr., at
> the address of record.
>
> 5. The Privacy Act of 19743 requires that we advise you
> that the Commission will use all relevant material
> information before it, including any information disclosed
> in your reply, to determine what, if any, enforcement action
> is required to ensure compliance. Any false statement made
> knowingly and willfully in reply to this Notice is
> punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the
> U.S. Code.4
>
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
>
> --
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > See, I have proved he did those posts and
> > all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
> > anyone that disagree with him.
> >
> > Landshark

Well, I talked to Riley Hollingsworth in person on March 22, and he did say to look for a lot more enforcement action in the future.

Riley also said that we should watch for stepped up enforcement action at the sources of the problem, namely importers and distributors of

illegal radio equipment. Sorta puts the kibosh on keyclowns thinking
that the laws would be changing.

1210. Re: Texas Star Sweet 16 For Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 05:52:26 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112680346.253841.276720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198235

wrong answer fool, read the part where it says easily converted stuff
is also illegal.

1211. Re: Texas Star Sweet 16 For Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 05:57:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112680648.092987.121000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198236

like yeah, right. You bought it and let it sit unconverted and now are selling it at 1/2 price to be nice...uh huh. Reported to ebay, We told you keyclowns not to list auctions here...ahh well...there's always that 3% who dont get the word.

1212. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 10:28:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8sp451df0bqv96auc5thc25p8n2o2bh3l4@4ax.com>
Source record: 198237

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 03:20:50 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <mun4e.16620$zl.5543@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
>>> You must have me confused with someone else. I don't post political
>>> diatribes.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>> then what do you call them?
>
>Both he, Twist & Frank do. The problem with politics is
>it's like religion, no matter what side of the fence you sit
>on, there will always be an opposite side that will have the
>same convictions.

And this is a country where you have the freedom to pursue both your
politics and religion, provided those convictions don't diminish the
rights of others to exercise different "core beliefs".

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1213. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 10:41:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <llq451p8rtq1ijuc975eev8muc378mq17h@4ax.com>
Source record: 198238

On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:47:14 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
wrote:

>> You must have me confused with someone else. I don't post political
>> diatribes.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>then what do you call them?

Call what?

Check the archives. There are no politically related threads that I
have started.

On the other hand, If you don't want me to respond, then don't post.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1214. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:05:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405070534.304$3I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198239

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
> >> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
> >>>> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> >>>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who
> >>>> is now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
> >>>>
> >>>> Dave
> >>>> "Sandbagger"
> >>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> >>>
> >>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
> >>>Mopar & I as childish?
> >>>
> >>> Landshark
> >>
> >> Yes. And it takes two to tango.
> >>
> >> Dave
> >
> >
> > Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
> >on, your wrong.
>
> You are not the only one on the other side.
>
We see the side you've chosen to be on. Fine company you keep.
>
> > Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals

> >that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
> >language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
> >& accusations.
>
> Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
> pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
>
Most here would say he deserves what gets as a consequence of his own
actions. Or maybe he shouldn't fuck with someone like me that lives so
close to him.
>
>

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

1215. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:06:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <vqq4515jk8487c5qf5dfa7i7q0182n97j4@4ax.com>
Source record: 198240

On Mon, 4 Apr 2005 16:21:38 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
>He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
>was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
>stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.
>
>>I can find no reference to that quote (or even
>>parts of it) anywhere.
>
>You have GOT to be kidding.
>
>>I am not. If you have a site that backs your
>>allegation, please provide it.
>>I am therefore forced to
>>consider that it was a lie.
>
>I forgot that if you are unaware of something or unable to confirm it,
>your position is such does not exist.

>>That strategy worked for you in regards to the
>>CB'er that got busted in Norristown in the late
>>90's.

>Of course it did. It proved you made up the entire issue..as you
>say,,,since none can locate it, we are forced to consider it was a lie.

Well then, you now find yourself in a catch 22. You can't have it both
ways. For you to insist that I am a liar based on your inability to
find any information on the incident in Norristown, then you are a
liar based on my inability to locate the "quote" you misattribute to
Tom Delay.

>>When the shoe's on the other foot, you
>>suddenly have a problem?
>
>
>Oh, not at all. You are still free to post anything you wish to
>illustrate why this group should not be forced to consider your claims
>regarding such, as lies.

There is no "the group" in this case. There is only you.

>http://64.233.187.104/search?q=cache:pIdkvlbf93gJ:southerngent.redstate.org/story/2005/3/
27/32754/0737+Delay%27s+comments+Schiavo&hl=en

A clip from a blog? That's no more credible than you posting here. In fact, how do I know that you and the "SouthernGent" in that post are not one and the same?

>And this was juts one of thousands and thousands of hits when I entered
>Delay comments and Schiavo into the search engine.,,yet, you maintain
>you can not find these comments anywhere.

I entered your misatribbuted quote directly into google and came up with nothing. Zilch, zero, nada. I also cut out parts just to make the chanced of hits better, and still nothing resembling your "quote".

>>You're the one who evokes "civil
>>disobedience" as an excuse to ignore laws
>>you don't believe in.
>
>That is your flawed perception. If you cared to look up the definition,
>you would find the term is defined as the act of refusing to obey
>certain laws for a specific reason.

There's a difference between legitimate civil disobedience (Which usually affects a great number of like-minded people), and a simple act of lawlessness.

I was somewhat interested in our discussion that started with the FCC's actions against broadcast pirates. But little by little you have turned that discussion into one of, once again, personal attacks against my particular political leanings.

The plain truth is that you are free to believe anything you want to believe. But that alone falls far short of any sort of evidence that proves my political leanings "wrong".

You can go on and continue to blame all that is wrong in the world on G.W. Bush and his mythical band of "handlers". In reflection, that the left is so stirred up by this one man, that they froth all over themselves in public and in print, only makes me smile more.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1216. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:08:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405070834.273$11@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198241

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On the other hand, If you don't want me to respond, then don't post.
>
That would make a good Hypocrite Hall Sig file.

1217. Re: OT - Some car videos, if you're interested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:14:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405071402.446$uB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198242

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > jeffie cwy cuz dat bad bad AKC tawks mean to himmm...poooow
> > jeffie...he cwys so much
>
> Please de-code this drivel. Can you write in clear, understandable
> language?
>
> Jeff
>
He's a wankstain that lives off crisco kathy, you can't expect much.

1218. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:16:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405071606.174$Zd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198243

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > Jeff Mayner wrote:
> >> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> >>> Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
> >>
> >> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the folks
> >> that post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're
> >> such a
> >>
> >> crybaby.
> >>
> >> Jeff
> >
> > Look at this dummy weighing in. Too stupid to tell crying from
> > laughter. Jeffie, we'll let ya slide, we know you weren't around to
> > keep your dad's drunken urges at bay.
>
> Add snivelling to the list. I do hope you keep adequate supplies of
> tissue around. Hell, what am I thinking? Looking at your picture tells me
> all I need to know. You'll just use the tail of your shirt, right? lol...
>
> What a pussy. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
You should see how he cowers when I confront him in person.

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

1219. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:17:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405071753.579$5K@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198244

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>
> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the folks that
> post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're such a
> crybaby.
>
> Jeff
>
I told him and nad I'd fight both of them at the same time...they declined.

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

1220. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:18:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405071857.628$cS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198245

Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:
> "untruth@liar.com" wrote:
>
> > .in rec.radio.cb and landshark leading the group.
>
> My linear is still connected to my CB!!
>
> ...A ham
>
Reckon how many more there are that have never even heard of Usenet. lol

1221. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:21:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <kls451tb8jrp4upkuokg45bgucsr9tc5od@4ax.com>
Source record: 198246

On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 13:40:48 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 07:23:43 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <b48251hba314ap7l1kt85gl7nt3pi35d82@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 17:17:22 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>>On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>>>Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
>>>>I believe the term you're looking for is
>>>
>>>>exploitation. But that's not the case here.
>>>
>>>Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
>>>He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
>>>was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
>>>stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.
>>>
>>>>I can find no reference to that quote (or even
>>>>parts of it) anywhere.
>>
>>>You have GOT to be kidding.
>>
>>I am not. If you have a site that backs your allegation, please
>>provide it.
>
>
>"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
>elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
>against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
> -- Tom Delay
>
>You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
>so you can hear it from his own mouth.

It helps to get the quote correct. Twist did not, which explains why I
was unable to find it. Thank you for posting the proper syntax.

>>
>>>Attacking another patriotic act

>>>repeatedly is doing your position no good.
>>
>>There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively ignoring laws we don't
>>agree with.
>
>
>Ironically, that's exactly what the Patriot Act does.

You might think that. But the greater good justifies it in this case.

><snip>
>>>This is not a republican or democrat issue, Dave, get over yourself.
>>>This is an issue of abuse by the Bush administration.
>>
>>
>>The Bush administration is not the one trying to stall the
>>constitutional process, by illegal uses of filibustering
>
>
>http://www.factcheck.org/article317.html

Thanks for the link. That's a pretty good primer for what a filibuster
is and how it's currently being abused, along with a look at how one
organization is distorting the issue to sway public opinion. I would
not want to see the filibuster completely eliminated. But I would
favor a time limit on such, so that a 60 vote majority would not be
required to override it. The filibuster was designed to promote
further discussion of issues, not to obstruct the workings of congress

We vote on many issues in congress using a simple 51% majority. That
is what the constitution provides. Using a filibuster so that the
minority can leverage the majority is unconstitutional.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home/ptd.net/~n3cvj

1222. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:30:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405073059.552$dv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198247

N8WWM Doug Adair <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Doug lays down the law for Dayton. hehehe
> >
> > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> >
> > You wanna rumble? OK, I will. Let's just leave the fest so we don't
> get
> > popped.
> >
> >
> > If you start a rumble, get ready to get the max. Bring it along, I
> will.
> >
> >
> > None of you know me by picture, sight, or otherwise. Bring your
> digicams,
> > some gayboys are in for a shock.
> >
> >
> > My wife will be with me or nearby. If you wanna rumble, wait 'til I
> tell
> > her what's up so she doesn't freak.
> >
> >
> > Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and
> broken
> > bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't
> have
> > the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
>
> Ohhhh...there are rules now, ay? We don't think so, taxidermist. The
> rules are that you take it however it happens to be sent. You like
> broken bones, you say, ay? That's not what the truckers say.
>
That's your words cowardly felon. You said you are going to break
my bones.
>
> Also, limpie, there is a decent quality B and W pic of you that was
> taken about a year ago. It shows you holding what appears to be a
> camera, and walking across a street from your puddle jumper.
>
More lies, you have -nothing-.
>

> Like we said,
>
We? Did you tell your court ordered shrink that you are hearing voices
again?
>
> you have no idea what is going on, and furthermore never have.
>
I know exactly what is going on. You are a chickenshit keyboard blowhard
that doesn't know when to shut the fuck up. I'll school ya for free.
>

> AKC will be in all the campgrounds comparing faces to that picture. It
> is you who have no clue, monkey brains.
>
You forgot what I look like already? I'll tap you on the shoulder.

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have. Doug Adair

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

1223. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:33:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405073346.496$be@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198248

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050401022029.816$Xg@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > I've had more that one person tell me
> > > personally they don't turn on the CB if they
> have kids in the car. Now
> > > that's a really sad comment coming from a
> CBer. Then the kids repeat what
> > > they learn without any regard to whom they are
> talking to on the air.
> > >
> > They better not turn on the television with the
> kids in the room either. Do
> > all these people home school their children?
>
> http://www.detnews.com/2005/religion/0504/02/relig
> -136809.htm
>
Is that your church?

1224. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:34:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405073436.198$6b@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198249

"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Doug lays down the law for Dayton. hehehe
>
> Doug should lay this:
>
> http://web.archive.org/web/19990222023606/http://3rivers.com/dphome.htm
>
Reckon crisco kathy lee would let him?

1225. Re: While we're on the subject of KB3BEJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:35:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405073515.318$SS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198250

iamnotchrissy <notchrissy@yahow.comSpam> wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050401014813.876$SK_-
> _@newsreader.com:
>
> > Someone call chrissy the sissy busch a waaaaaaaaambulance,
>
> I thought I was george or can't you make your clueless mind up.
>
> poor
> > little feller is having a meltdown and changing nyms to avoid
> > killfilters.
>
> landfuck said i wan't allowed to change names, but what do you and he
> know?
>
> >Can't stand being ignored, can you sissy?
>
> the only sissy is you Parks, stalk anyone lately?
>
Never have. I come by invitation.

1226. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 11:47:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <egu451hnamm3g844jc246ltmhk4mpjp7es@4ax.com>
Source record: 198251

On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>OK, I purchased the Imax-2000 which is a 5/8 wave antenna. There are 2 options
>for a ground plane kit:
>
>1. First is a four fiberglass 6 foot radials, angled down (appears 45 degrees),
>as seen here:
>
>
>http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=42819&cat=1684&page=1
>
>
>2. This is another kit. It has four aluminum 7 foot radials. This is horizontal,
>and not angeled, as seen here:
>
>
>http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/IMAGES/powersupply/Boomer
>
>
>Which one would be better?
>

You should give Frank's suggestion a look as it does contain a lot of
information on antennas.

But to answer your question, your particular type of antenna, like the
A-99, is designed to decouple with no radials. Adding radials to this
type of antenna does very little to improve performance. It's more
about marketing hype than actual performance improvement.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1227. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 12:14:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8505515r18rqkdmh18sk9rmru4ogsndcph@4ax.com>
Source record: 198252

On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 21:39:20 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>>>
>>>>Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>>>
>>>He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your message
>>>unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>>
>>
>>
>> It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
>> didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others might
>> have the same or similar questions -- people who want to actually
>> learn something so they don't need someone to hold their hand while
>> installing an antenna.

>that was a smack at him frank. his posts concerning his newfound amateur
>status was actually what this board is all about. Never mind its about
>an amatuer question but rather radio in general. He doesn't like you and
>the above post butresses his point. You are sounding like Eitner who is
>acidic also.

His post got piggy backed. What can I say about him. I could give two shits
about him. I have clearly moved him though. He can't avoid me.

As far as education, Frank's parents clearly educated him in manner. o, I will
not use his advice, because his education is the type that is of no use.

Vinnie S.

1228. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 12:21:57 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0c0551p8gral5fakvv45lll10d17a43af7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198253

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 03:27:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

s" at the bottom.
>>>
>>>He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your message
>>>unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>>
>>
>> It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
>> didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others might
>> have the same or similar questions -- people who want to actually
>> learn something so they don't need someone to hold their hand while
>> installing an antenna.
>
>
> Vinnie's not a bad guy Frank, you two should talk more
>radio, you'll probably find a lot more in common. Now Geo
>I see is still obsessed with me and male sex........pretty sad.
>

No chance. We habe nothing in common. Frank thrives on being an asshole. This post is a case in point. He could have simply just posted a link. But that is not his style. He has to be condescending, belittling, etc. And one thing is a common. His posts always contains a slap at your education, and plugs his own education. That smells of some type of inferiority complex. And he constantly points to Dave in comparison. One thin is for sure, Dave would have never answered this post in that manner. Like I said before. I don't he went from being an engineer to bartender, because of choice. It was because he can't get along with people, and was sent packing. He is a petty, miserable, fat old man.

Vinnie S.

1229. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 12:25:09 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ro0551d2ol04hda6gm1530bumj8oouo7cj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198254

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 07:47:13 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>OK, I purchased the Imax-2000 which is a 5/8 wave antenna. There are 2 options
>>for a ground plane kit:
>>
>>1. First is a four fiberglass 6 foot radials, angled down (appears 45 degrees),
>>as seen here:
>>
>>
>>http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=42819&cat=1684&page=1
>>
>>
>>2. This is another kit. It has four aluminum 7 foot radials. This is horizontal,
>>and not angeled, as seen here:
>>
>>
>>http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/IMAGES/powersupply/Boomer
>>
>>
>>Which one would be better?
>>
>
>You should give Frank's suggestion a look as it does contain a lot of
>information on antennas.
>
>But to answer your question, your particular type of antenna, like the
>A-99, is designed to decouple with no radials. Adding radials to this
>type of antenna does very little to improve performance. It's more
>about marketing hype than actual performance improvement.
>

I should have been clearer. I wasn't trying to improve performance, since it is
a 5/8. But from the reading I have done (no thanks to anything Frank posted), I
was simply seeing if thise would lower the angle of radiation, and reduce
potential for any king of interference. I am sorry id my lack of knowledge in
this field, insults Frank.

Vinnie S.

1230. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 13:39:39 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vyw4e.16976$zl.4826@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198256

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6bednTXD6o9_ls_fRVn-rA@giganews.com...
> Landshark wrote:
>> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>> news:obp351hctj06sjdorvbub7oq7gee61bd4p@4ax.com...
>>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>> <Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>
>>>> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
>>>> news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
>>>>> <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> <snip>
>>>>>> Which one would be better?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>>>>
>>>>> Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>>>>
>>>> He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your
>>>> message unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>>>
>>>
>>> It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
>>> didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others
>>> might have the same or similar questions -- people who want to
>>> actually learn something so they don't need someone to hold their
>>> hand while installing an antenna.
>>
>>
>> Vinnie's not a bad guy Frank, you two should talk more
>> radio, you'll probably find a lot more in common. Now Geo
>> I see is still obsessed with me and male sex.....pretty sad.
>
> I'm trying to take the heat off you but his attention span doesn't seem to
> be too long. I'll step it up. ;-)
>
> Jeff

Don't worry about it dude, Geo's been doing this for
5 years now. Every time he posts, he shows what a troll
he is with the obsession for Mopar & I. Making up names,
false accusations, foul vulgar language just shows people
how sick he really is.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

1231. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 13:39:40 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wyw4e.16977$zl.2003@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198255

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:8sp451df0bqv96auc5thc25p8n2o2bh3l4@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 03:20:50 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <mun4e.16620$zl.5543@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>>> You must have me confused with someone else. I don't post political
>>>> diatribes.
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>
>>> then what do you call them?
>>
>>Both he, Twist & Frank do. The problem with politics is
>>it's like religion, no matter what side of the fence you sit
>>on, there will always be an opposite side that will have the
>>same convictions.
>
>
> And this is a country where you have the freedom to pursue both your
> politics and religion, provided those convictions don't diminish the
> rights of others to exercise different "core beliefs".

Agreed. That was the whole point, everyone has the right
to practice their religion and vote their politics. It's just no
matter who you are, what you believe, their will be someone
with an equal amount of the opposite beliefs.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1232. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 13:43:41 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d2u4md$de7$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 198257

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:ro0551d2ol04hda6gm1530bumj8oouo7cj@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 07:47:13 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>OK, I purchased the Imax-2000 which is a 5/8 wave antenna. There are 2
>>>options
>>>for a ground plane kit:
>>>
>>>1. First is a four fiberglass 6 foot radials, angled down (appears 45
>>>degrees),
>>>as seen here:
>>>
>>>
>>>http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=42819&cat=1684&page=1
>>>
>>>
>>>2. This is another kit. It has four aluminum 7 foot radials. This is
>>>horizontal,
>>>and not angeled, as seen here:
>>>
>>>
>>>http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/IMAGES/powersupply/Boomer
>>>
>>>
>>>Which one would be better?
>>>
>>
>>You should give Frank's suggestion a look as it does contain a lot of
>>information on antennas.
>>
>>But to answer your question, your particular type of antenna, like the
>>A-99, is designed to decouple with no radials. Adding radials to this
>>type of antenna does very little to improve performance. It's more
>>about marketing hype than actual performance improvement.
>>
>
>
> I should have been clearer. I wasn't trying to improve performance, since
> it is
> a 5/8. But from the reading I have done (no thanks to anything Frank

> posted), I
> was simply seeing if thise would lower the angle of radiation, and reduce
> potential for any king of interference. I am sorry id my lack of knowledge
> in
> this field, insults Frank.
>
> Vinnie S.

The Imax is actually longer than 5/8 wave. Like .64 wave.

How far off the ground? The lower it is the more effective the radials are due to take off angle, the higher you get the less effective. I have heard use them if the feed point is less than 36 feet. My Imax is less than that and I have no radials. It performs VERY well. But new tower is being contemplated!!!!

Damn..... BuryFlex, Imax, Sounds like we have a lot of the same stuff !!!!!!

Best
Chad

1233. FA: EIGHT(8) NOS HC-6 FT-243 RADIO CRYSTALS for 6 & 2mtr AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 13:57:16 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <%Ow4e.113$nI3.82@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198258
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

No Bids!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5764708002&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1234. FA: SHURE model 51S SONODYNE DYNAMIC MICROPHONE HARP-JAZZ 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:00:06 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <FRw4e.114$ZJ3.55@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198260
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.audio.pro,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cheep & nice shape!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48707&item=5764707920&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1235. FA: NICE HEATHKIT HM-102 SWR/WATTMETER, 2KW, REMOTE-ABLE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:01:05 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ASw4e.115$BO3.45@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198259
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

No Bids @$9.99
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48701&item=5764707935&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1236. FA: $9.99>HUSTLER #MOT 950MHZ MOBILE SCANNER ANTENNA Fire-POLICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:01:59 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <qTw4e.116$Qj3.86@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198261
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=5764707950&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1237. FA: FOUR(4) BIG VARIABLE HV CAPACITORS, CARDWELL NATIONAL:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:02:54 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <hUw4e.117$pP3.16@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198262
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Big $11:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4662&item=7505184298&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1238. FA: EIMAC 4-125A RF OUTPUT AMPLIFIER TUBE A NICE BIG ONE:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:03:58 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <hVw4e.118$OO3.18@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198263
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

No Bids Either @$9.99.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5764707993&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1239. FA: "TONS" (4lbs) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS Any Builders?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:04:59 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <eWw4e.119$NK3.93@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198264
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Great stuff here!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4664&item=7505184325&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1240. Re: $9.99>HUSTLER #MOT 950MHZ MOBILE SCANNER ANTENNA Fire-POLICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:06:30 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <GXw4e.17103$zl.287@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198265
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Rich, again could you just make one post with all the items?
You are in clear violation of ebay rules and are spamming
per your ISP. I do like some of your items, but don't want
to have a large amount of bandwidth wasted when you
make one post per item.

"cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:qTw4e.116$Qj3.86@fe10.lga...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=5764707950&rd=1&ssPage
Name=WDVW
>

1241. FA: FOUR(4) VINTAGE TRANSISTOR RADIO EARPHONES NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:10:01 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <X_w4e.122$bU3.14@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198266
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=932&item=6522762564&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1242. aRT! ART! arT! art! art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 14:31:15 UTC
From: Connie Lingus <no@maybe.yes>
Message-ID: <Tix4e.1720$qD2.1112@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198267

aRT! ART! arT! art! art! i LOVE ART BELL!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art!
aRT! ART! arT! art! art! HE IS HOLY IN MY TEMPLE!

SHING FU GAWU TO AFAB POSTERS DEAD NO LONGER FUNCTIONAL

ay!

!

1243. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 17:01:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <k3h5519t5fo4n3djmtklq5l0ioes5978tu@4ax.com>
Source record: 198268

On 05 Apr 2005 11:08:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On the other hand, If you don't want me to respond, then don't post.
>>
>That would make a good Hypocrite Hall Sig file.

What's hypocritical about that?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1244. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 17:11:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com>
Source record: 198269

On 05 Apr 2005 11:05:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>> >news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
>> >> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>
>> >>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>> >>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
>> >>>> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> >>>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who
>> >>>> is now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Dave
>> >>>> "Sandbagger"
>> >>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>> >>>
>> >>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>> >>>Mopar & I as childish?
>> >>>
>> >>> Landshark
>> >>
>> >> Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>> >>
>> >> Dave
>> >>
>> >>
>> > Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>> >on, your wrong.
>>
>> You are not the only one on the other side.
>>
>We see the side you've chosen to be on. Fine company you keep.

I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.

>> > Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>> >that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>> >language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>> >& accusations.
>>
>> Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
>> pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
>>

>Most here would say he deserves what gets as a consequence of his own
>actions. Or maybe he shouldn't fuck with someone like me that lives so
>close to him.

That goes both ways. Perhaps if you didn't put on the appearance of
being somewhat bothered by those guys, they wouldn't be so quick to
hassle you. Remember, they didn't come looking for you.

Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango. That was not meant as
a criticism, just a neutral observation.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1245. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 17:22:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <erh551hbp792osojhjo6uomp7ecc5a4na5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198271

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 08:25:09 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>>But to answer your question, your particular type of antenna, like the
>>A-99, is designed to decouple with no radials. Adding radials to this
>>type of antenna does very little to improve performance. It's more
>>about marketing hype than actual performance improvement.
>>
>
>
>I should have been clearer. I wasn't trying to improve performance, since it is
>a 5/8. But from the reading I have done (no thanks to anything Frank posted), I
>was simply seeing if thise would lower the angle of radiation, and reduce
>potential for any king of interference. I am sorry id my lack of knowledge in
>this field, insults Frank.

Well we all can't be masters of every facet of electronics and radio.
And those who are weren't always that way. Most of us learn a few
things every day or so.

That being said, I'll have to look in the archives, but I recall a
discussion some time back about exactly what you are proposing to do.
The consensus at that time, by those who seemed to be in the know on
the topic, was that those type of "stick" end-fed radial-less 1/2 wave
and 5/8th wave antennas were designed to not need radials, and adding
them affects them very little in the areas that matter. The A-99
especially has poor decoupling which allows the feedline to radiate to
some degree, which is why people claim that the antenna "bleeds".
Simply adding radials does not seem to affect the transformer
decoupling all that much and doesn't really help the problem.

I don't know if the IMAX suffers from the same issues, but you might
want to search around for some discussions on them. You might pick up
some useful information.

Personally, I much prefer the "old fashioned" Sigma 5/8th style
antenna with radials. Jay's Interceptor 10K antenna seems to be about
the best thing going these days.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1246. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 17:24:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ebi5519u179lhkfbog55le86ohigsie1t5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198270

On Tue, 5 Apr 2005 08:43:41 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote:

>The Imax is actually longer than 5/8 wave. Like .64 wave.

Not to be a smart ass or anything, but if you convert .64 into its
fractional equivalent, what do you get?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1247. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 18:30:47 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <o5m551l45legnqr7m6lk0glc8vsrlpo0q2@4ax.com>
Source record: 198272

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 17:14:18 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>>> http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>>>
>>>> Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>>>
>>>He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your message
>>>unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>>
>>
>>It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
>>didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others might
>>have the same or similar questions -- people who want to actually
>>learn something so they don't need someone to hold their hand while
>>installing an antenna.
>>
>>
>
>Didn't you mean holds their hand while they "think" about installing
>an antenna?

I haven't installed it mostly because I haven't received an Alpha Delta dipole, which is on backorder, which I plan to hang at the same time. And since there is trench digging involved, there is no reason to dig 2 trenches, days or weeks apart. That and getting help to run and get tools or such, and putting them in a rope and bucket, so I don't have to climb down. Better to knock off 2 birds with one stone.

Vinnie S.

1248. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 18:41:07 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vkm5515nkq57gq3r0o5uo1po604q442qu1@4ax.com>
Source record: 198273

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 13:22:20 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>Well we all can't be masters of every facet of electronics and radio.
>And those who are weren't always that way. Most of us learn a few
>things every day or so.
>
>That being said, I'll have to look in the archives, but I recall a
>discussion some time back about exactly what you are proposing to do.
>The consensus at that time, by those who seemed to be in the know on
>the topic, was that those type of "stick" end-fed radial-less 1/2 wave
>and 5/8th wave antennas were designed to not need radials, and adding
>them affects them very little in the areas that matter. The A-99
>especially has poor decoupling which allows the feedline to radiate to
>some degree, which is why people claim that the antenna "bleeds".
>Simply adding radials does not seem to affect the transformer
>decoupling all that much and doesn't really help the problem.
>
>I don't know if the IMAX suffers from the same issues, but you might
>want to search around for some discussions on them. You might pick up
>some useful information.
>
>Personally, I much prefer the "old fashioned" Sigma 5/8th style
>antenna with radials. Jay's Interceptor 10K antenna seems to be about
>the best thing going these days.

I plan on being about 40 feet at the feed. I just purchased the Imax yesterday. I never knew buying an antenna and asking questions about it, would absolutely piss off a bunch of people. I got one guy claiming I need my hand held. I got another guy claiming that I am only "thinking" of installing it. And then there is George and his sexual innuendo.

Should I return it? I think the purchase has affected too many lives?

Vinnie S.

1249. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 18:45:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <k5n55112606im4ld783o68lqes9481fnt5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198274

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 13:24:09 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 5 Apr 2005 08:43:41 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
>wrote:
>
>
>>The Imax is actually longer than 5/8 wave. Like .64 wave.
>
>
>Not to be a smart ass or anything, but if you convert .64 into its
>fractional equivalent, what do you get?

According to this, they're damn close:

http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/CB_Myths_Exploded.html

Vinnie S.

1250. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 20:02:50 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <19r5515g9el6n42aibpc4dcjgtnh48f6tr@4ax.com>
Source record: 198275

Any time you add radials, especially the drooping type it will add a slight amount of gain. The added gain is most likely only a fraction of what the radial manufacturer will quote. The gain would be in the order of 1db. Hardly worth the cost and extra wind load.

1251. Re: Hey Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 20:17:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405161753.671$uR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198277

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> I know you like playing with different browsers, have you seen this
> one?
>
> http://www.scitrav.com/
>
> Runs on a floppy..
>
Small is good. Have you tried it?

1252. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 20:19:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405161920.673$eO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198276

```
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 05 Apr 2005 11:05:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 14:31:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:t0rn41ptu5a067p5p0h78jtsocigrh1oa2@4ax.com...
> >> >> On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 14:15:36 GMT, "Landshark"
> >> >> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >> >>>news:dlal41tp0pgjh619s5l5ufrlgo7segbnrq@4ax.com...
> >> >>>> On Tue, 29 Mar 2005 21:04:01 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> >> >>>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>http://www.fuckqrz.com
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and
> >> >>>> who is now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> Dave
> >> >>>> "Sandbagger"
> >> >>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
> >> >>>Mopar & I as childish?
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Landshark
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes. And it takes two to tango.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dave
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
> >> >on, your wrong.
> >>
> >> You are not the only one on the other side.
> >>
```

> >We see the side you've chosen to be on. Fine company you keep.
>
> I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.
>
> >> > Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
> >> >that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
> >> >language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
> >> >& accusations.
> >>
> >> Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
> >> pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
> >>
>
> >Most here would say he deserves what gets as a consequence of his own
> >actions. Or maybe he shouldn't fuck with someone like me that lives so
> >close to him.
>
> That goes both ways. Perhaps if you didn't put on the appearance of
> being somewhat bothered by those guys, they wouldn't be so quick to
> hassle you. Remember, they didn't come looking for you.
>
> Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango. That was not meant as
> a criticism, just a neutral observation.
>
On the other hand, If you don't want me to respond, then don't post.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1253. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 20:46:54 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <11u551p0k1scm6humqb176lieh0mknksf3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198278

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 19:01:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>>Didn't you mean holds their hand while they "think" about installing
>>>an antenna?
>>
>>
>>I haven't installed it mostly because I haven't received an Alpha Delta dipole,
>>which is on backorder, which I plan to hang at the same time. And since there is
>>trench digging involved, there is no reason to dig 2 trenches, days or weeks
>>apart. That and getting help to run and get tools or such, and putting them in a
>>rope and bucket, so I don't have to climb down. Better to knock off 2 birds with
>>one stone.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>So you don't have any antennas up yet? Put yourself up a dipole,
>longwire. It sounds like you have quite a bit of room, why not put up
>several antennas? Don't limit yourself to one "well thought out"
>antenna. At least you will have the fun and learning experience of
>building antennas. And then you will have an antenna to compare any
>new antennas to.

I only have the desire to put up these 2. The Imax for CB and 10 meter, so I can talk to the locals, and the Alpha Delta for everything else. I have no interest in a beam. I have no interest in running 1,000 watts on my ham radio. I am somewhat interested in the "QRP" low power DX. I simply want to satify the same couriousity I had as a kid, that I have not done so in about 21 years. That is it. It is not that complicated. I had not picked up a CB, or a communications book, or antenna book, in over 21 years. This is why I am asking these questions. But apparently, this is some sort of crime, that needs criticizing and name calling.

Vinnie S.

1254. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 20:47:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <nau5515kuu6s49dkib766r0ih28oqhtfiq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198279

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 16:02:50 -0400, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>Any time you add radials, especially the drooping type it will add a
>slight amount of gain. The added gain is most likely only a fraction
>of what the radial manufacturer will quote. The gain would be in the
>order of 1db. Hardly worth the cost and extra wind load.

That is basically what the manufacturer said. They also said it would have more affect on Receive, than anything else.

Vinnie S.

1255. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 21:26:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <u10651tt1grga3aqdmc8sm8917gvbf7okr@4ax.com>
Source record: 198280

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:41:07 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <vkm5515nkq57gq3r0o5uo1po604q442qu1@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>Should I return it?

Probably. If you want a decent antenna that you can use for both CB
-and- ham you should check out that link for the $4 cheapie (that I
provided in a post without insult). It will probably cost -you- about
$20 more because it requires a tuner which you probably don't have.
The idea is to just throw a couple wires in the trees and load them up
with the tuner -- that's it. It works better than any Imax or Antron,
it can be used for whatever power and spectrum is handled by the tuner
(usually 2-30 MHz), you can change the antenna at any time, you don't
have to worry about SWR, it's cheap, and it's so easy even a Geico
customer can do it.

Now.... are you going to take some sound technical advice? Or would
you rather keep up with the crybaby routine?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1256. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 21:33:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405173344.451$N0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198281

iamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:o5m551l45legnqr7m6lk0glc8vsrlpo0q2@4ax.com:
>
> > I haven't installed it mostly because I haven't received an Alpha
> > Delta dipole, which is on backorder, which I plan to hang at the same
> > time. And since there is trench digging involved, there is no reason
> > to dig 2 trenches, days or weeks apart. That and getting help to run
> > and get tools or such, and putting them in a rope and bucket, so I
> > don't have to climb down. Better to knock off 2 birds with one stone.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> I will provide pictures of Ninnies antenna farm, just like steveo does of
> Leland and doug's.
>
Try as hard as you can to be me, but you are still chrissy the sissy busch.

1257. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 21:55:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kp06511l7idbgfe8b4fghku5pc7ceoiugo@4ax.com>
Source record: 198282

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 07:21:59 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <kls451tb8jrp4upkuokg45bgucsr9tc5od@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 13:40:48 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 07:23:43 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <b48251hba314ap7l1kt85gl7nt3pi35d82@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 17:17:22 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>>>On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>>David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>>>>Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:
>>>>>I believe the term you're looking for is
>>>>
>>>>>exploitation. But that's not the case here.
>>>>
>>>>Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
>>>>He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
>>>>was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
>>>>stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.
>>>>
>>>>>I can find no reference to that quote (or even
>>>>>parts of it) anywhere.
>>>
>>>>You have GOT to be kidding.
>>>
>>>I am not. If you have a site that backs your allegation, please
>>>provide it.
>>
>>
>>"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
>>elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
>>against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
>> -- Tom Delay
>>
>>You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
>>so you can hear it from his own mouth.
>
>It helps to get the quote correct. Twist did not, which explains why I

>was unable to find it. Thank you for posting the proper syntax.

It's not difficult, Dave. Just punch in the right keywords and scroll
through the list. It doesn't take long to find a partial quote. Then
do a search on the partial quote and up pops the whole transcript.
Took all of 10 minutes to do your work for you..... you're welcome.

>>>
>>>>Attacking another patriotic act
>>>>repeatedly is doing your position no good.
>>>
>>>There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively ignoring laws we don't
>>>agree with.
>>
>>
>>Ironically, that's exactly what the Patriot Act does.
>
>You might think that. But the greater good justifies it in this case.

Sacrificing the rights of a few to preserve the rights of the many is
not consistent with the precept that "all men are created equal".

>><snip>
>>>>This is not a republican or democrat issue, Dave, get over yourself.
>>>>This is an issue of abuse by the Bush administration.
>>>
>>>
>>>The Bush administration is not the one trying to stall the
>>>constitutional process, by illegal uses of filibustering
>>
>>
>>http://www.factcheck.org/article317.html
>
>
>Thanks for the link. That's a pretty good primer for what a filibuster
>is and how it's currently being abused, along with a look at how one
>organization is distorting the issue to sway public opinion. I would
>not want to see the filibuster completely eliminated. But I would
>favor a time limit on such, so that a 60 vote majority would not be
>required to override it. The filibuster was designed to promote
>further discussion of issues, not to obstruct the workings of congress

Maybe you didn't read the entire article, Dave. The filibuster wasn't
"designed" -- it was an accident. The only reason it has never been
remedied is because both sides want to keep the filibuster as a tool
for when their party holds the minority, and therefore prevent abuse
of the simple majority on important issues that probably should
require a super-majority, such as the appointment of a Supreme Court
Justice.

The fact is that Bush wants to push his judge through Congress, but he
can't negotiate a deal because he's used up all his cards, and he's so

desperate that he's willing to try to eliminate the filibuster. But he is so isolated from his own party that any vote to end the filibuster would probably be opposed by both Democrats -and- Republicans because they both want to -keep- the filibuster. Chances are that the stupid Democrats will block the vote with -- ironically -- a filibuster, thereby allowing the Republicans to save face and not look like they are opposed to Bush.

>We vote on many issues in congress using a simple 51% majority. That
>is what the constitution provides. Using a filibuster so that the
>minority can leverage the majority is unconstitutional.

Again, read the article. The filibuster can be used for both good and bad, depending on your point of view. Maybe you should read the Binder & Smith book that was referenced.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1258. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 22:34:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <di4651le72rdmj6an94lgcst34p10add7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 198283

On 05 Apr 2005 21:33:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>iamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
>> news:o5m551l45legnqr7m6lk0glc8vsrlpo0q2@4ax.com:
>>
>> > I haven't installed it mostly because I haven't received an Alpha
>> > Delta dipole, which is on backorder, which I plan to hang at the same
>> > time. And since there is trench digging involved, there is no reason
>> > to dig 2 trenches, days or weeks apart. That and getting help to run
>> > and get tools or such, and putting them in a rope and bucket, so I
>> > don't have to climb down. Better to knock off 2 birds with one stone.
>> >
>> > Vinnie S.
>>
>> I will provide pictures of Ninnies antenna farm, just like steveo does of
>> Leland and doug's.
>>
>Try as hard as you can to be me, but you are still chrissy the sissy busch.

That fucker would come within 20 miles of my self. He steps foot on my property,
and he is fair game !

Vinnie S.

1259. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-05 23:05:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050405190536.943$Fk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198284

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 05 Apr 2005 21:33:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >iamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:o5m551l45legnqr7m6lk0glc8vsrlpo0q2@4ax.com:
> >>
> >> > I haven't installed it mostly because I haven't received an Alpha
> >> > Delta dipole, which is on backorder, which I plan to hang at the
> >> > same time. And since there is trench digging involved, there is no
> >> > reason to dig 2 trenches, days or weeks apart. That and getting help
> >> > to run and get tools or such, and putting them in a rope and bucket,
> >> > so I don't have to climb down. Better to knock off 2 birds with one
> >> > stone.
> >> >
> >> > Vinnie S.
> >>
> >> I will provide pictures of Ninnies antenna farm, just like steveo does
> >> of Leland and doug's.
> >>
> >Try as hard as you can to be me, but you are still chrissy the sissy
> >busch.
>
> That fucker would come within 20 miles of my self. He steps foot on my
> property, and he is fair game !
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Forget about it. He's yella!

1260. FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 00:42:14 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <mfG4e.31$YQ3.22@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198285

And the race was???????????????

1261. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 04:03:02 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <WbJ4e.1936$qD2.1499@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198286

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com...
>>> >>>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who
>>> >>>> is now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>> >>>>
>>> >>>> Dave
>>> >>>> "Sandbagger"
>>> >>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>> >>>Mopar & I as childish?
>>> >>>
>>> >>> Landshark
>>> >>
>>> >> Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>>> >>
>>> >> Dave
>>> >
>>> >
Agreed, in the case of right vs. left it takes three to tango.

>>> > Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>>> >on, your wrong.
>>>
>>> You are not the only one on the other side.
>>>
>>We see the side you've chosen to be on. Fine company you keep.
>
> I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.
>

OK, This is just an observation, so please don't take offence.

>>> > Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>>> >that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>>> >language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>>> >& accusations.
>>>
>>> Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
>>> pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
>>

Again, remember that Geo & Doug for YEARS have been
making websites dedicated to Mopar & I, so where does the
fact that in just a year Mopar posted pics of Dougs antenna

say that he's started all this mess?

>>Most here would say he deserves what gets as a consequence of his own
>>actions. Or maybe he shouldn't fuck with someone like me that lives so
>>close to him.
>
> That goes both ways. Perhaps if you didn't put on the appearance of
> being somewhat bothered by those guys, they wouldn't be so quick to
> hassle you. Remember, they didn't come looking for you.
>

You sure about that Dave? They've posted numerous peoples
address's, phone #'s, job info, yup they didn't come looking for
us.

> Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango. That was not meant as
> a criticism, just a neutral observation.
>

Of course, if you stopped responding to Twist & Frank this
political crap would stop, but that was not meant as criticism,
just a neutral observation ;)

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1262. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 04:48:31 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <hfWdndzWMLy_987fRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 198287

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050405073346.496$be@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050401022029.816$Xg@newsreader.com...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > I've had more that one person tell me
> > > > personally they don't turn on the CB if they
> > have kids in the car. Now
> > > > that's a really sad comment coming from a
> > CBer. Then the kids repeat what
> > > > they learn without any regard to whom they are
> > talking to on the air.
> > > >
> > > They better not turn on the television with the
> > kids in the room either. Do
> > > all these people home school their children?
> >
> > http://www.detnews.com/2005/religion/0504/02/relig
> > -136809.htm
> >
> Is that your church?

See you can't read a map either.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1263. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 05:26:51 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <do6dnRGmdcGG7s7fRVn-oA@giganews.com>
Source record: 198288

Vinnie S wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:8505515r18rqkdmh18sk9rmru4ogsndcph@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 21:39:20 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>>>>>
>>>>> He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your
>>>>> message unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But
>>>> I didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others
>>>> might have the same or similar questions -- people who want to
>>>> actually learn something so they don't need someone to hold their
>>>> hand while installing an antenna.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>> that was a smack at him frank. his posts concerning his newfound
>>> amateur status was actually what this board is all about. Never mind
>>> its about an amatuer question but rather radio in general. He
>>> doesn't like you and the above post butresses his point. You are
>>> sounding like Eitner who is acidic also.
>>
>>
>> His post got piggy backed. What can I say about him. I could give two
>> shits about him. I have clearly moved him though. He can't avoid me.
>>
>> As far as education, Frank's parents clearly educated him in manner.
>> o, I will not use his advice, because his education is the type that
>> is of no use.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> Hey Vinnie, if you kill file someone it means you don't read the

> thread they wrote or any piggy back posts otherwise they arent
> killfiled and you look like a big dick like Landshark does.

Using someone else's name and you call him a big dick?

lol...

Pathetic. I'll bet you go to google and re-read all your stupid little posts, don't you? Here's an idea: just google "asshole cocksucker" and I'll bet you'll see all your posts.

Jeff

Jeff

1264. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 05:28:24 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4dadnWMo3fbl7s7fRVn-ig@giganews.com>
Source record: 198289

Dave Hall wrote:
> On Tue, 5 Apr 2005 08:43:41 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote:
>
>
>> The Imax is actually longer than 5/8 wave. Like .64 wave.
>
>
> Not to be a smart ass or anything, but if you convert .64 into its
> fractional equivalent, what do you get?

:-)

Jeff

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1265. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 05:33:50 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NcKdnbMoRswi6c7fRVn-qw@giganews.com>
Source record: 198290

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 16:02:50 -0400, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
>> Any time you add radials, especially the drooping type it will add a
>> slight amount of gain. The added gain is most likely only a fraction
>> of what the radial manufacturer will quote. The gain would be in the
>> order of 1db. Hardly worth the cost and extra wind load.
>
>
> That is basically what the manufacturer said. They also said it would
> have more affect on Receive, than anything else.

Probably not even enough to tell. Not by your ears anyway. I had an Antron with their stupid gpk-1, or whatever they called it, and I saw no diff at all. As stated earlier in this thread, I did see a lot more wind load.

Don't do it Vinnie! ;-)

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

1266. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 05:34:37 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <KZ6dnRVcj_1w6c7fRVn-gw@giganews.com>
Source record: 198291

jim wrote:
> And the race was???????????????

A crack up.

Jeff

1267. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 09:28:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k6a751h2mlg7oesspo0ta2nful257firnf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198292

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 04:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <WbJ4e.1936$qD2.1499@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com...
<snip>
>> I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.
>>
>
>OK, This is just an observation, so please don't take offence.

You're kidding, right? You actually believe that Dave Hall is walking
the line in the middle of the road? Come on, Shark..... did you turn
into a pussy?

<snip>
>> Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango. That was not meant as
>> a criticism, just a neutral observation.
>>
>
>Of course, if you stopped responding to Twist & Frank this
>political crap would stop, but that was not meant as criticism,
>just a neutral observation ;)

Ain't gonna happen. Not until the Rove/Bush regime leaves office.
Check out Frontline next week. I don't even have to watch it and
already I'm ready to freeband and run a linear in protest of the
current renegade administration. Yes.... ME, the most vocal antagonist
against illegals since Mark-whats-his-name. You think Twisty was bad?
Just wait and see who is "elected" as the next president......

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1268. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 10:51:01 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112784661.339420.212200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198293

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > > Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
> >
> > Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the
folks that
> > post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're such
a
> > crybaby.
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> I told him and nad I'd fight both of them at the same time...they
declined.

Wrong wrist flipping taxidermist, you get to take the package as it is delivered, not when you think you can deal with it. Only a fool would accept an adversary's terms. We'll play things our way. When you flip your wrist and whine over it you will then see that you were outmanuvered. Until then you still have no clue whats up, and we are smiling about that.

The bottom line is that nothing you have done or will ever do can stop the AKC. This has been proven over and over again, but your lust for same gender interplay clouds your thought process. You are an 8086 brain in a coppermine world.

1269. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 11:00:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <07g751pcsqhu3biqcm83rp8lp1uhgc3lo7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198294

On 6 Apr 2005 03:51:01 -0700, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
<1112784661.339420.212200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> You are an 8086
>brain in a coppermine world.

.......huh?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1270. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 11:22:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406072212.953$Kt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198295

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > > > Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
> > >
> > > Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the
> folks that
> > > post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're such
> a
> > > crybaby.
> > >
> > > Jeff
> > >
> > I told him and nad I'd fight both of them at the same time...they
> declined.
>
> Wrong wrist flipping taxidermist, you get to take the package as it is
> delivered, not when you think you can deal with it. Only a fool would
> accept an adversary's terms.
>
Translation: yer yella.

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have. Doug Adair

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

1271. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 11:23:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406072338.307$OQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198296

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> jim wrote:
> > And the race was???????????????
>
> A crack up.
>
> Jeff
>
Of course...rubbin' is racin' at Bristol!

1272. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 11:30:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406073022.110$rT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198297

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> And the race was???????????????
>
It was killer as usual, Harvick did a great job coming from the rear to win..he won both races. (RCR must be cheating again) :)

Sterling got caught up in the 10 car rumble and his car looked like a crushed Coors can afterward, but he still managed an 11th place finish after going a lap down.

The campground was a mud pit after we got 2 inches of rain fri/sat. Had to use 4 wheel drive to pull the camper outta there. Mud everywhere!!

1273. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 11:33:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406073354.223$Yu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198298

```
"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050405073346.496$be@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20050401022029.816$Xg@newsreader.com...
> > > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > > I've had more that one person tell me
> > > > > personally they don't turn on the CB if they
> > > have kids in the car. Now
> > > > > that's a really sad comment coming from a
> > > CBer. Then the kids repeat what
> > > > > they learn without any regard to whom they are
> > > talking to on the air.
> > > > >
> > > > They better not turn on the television with the
> > > kids in the room either. Do
> > > > all these people home school their children?
> > >
> > > http://www.detnews.com/2005/religion/0504/02/relig
> > > -136809.htm
> > >
> > Is that your church?
>
> See you can't read a map either.
>
Found madison hts. :^D
```

1274. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 12:07:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <l5k7519n5ri44ectskamnrh7bg9v6pkc0l@4ax.com>
Source record: 198299

On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 21:39:20 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote
in <X_l4e.22518$La7.8744@fe09.lga>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>>>news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
>>>><none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>Which one would be better?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html
>>>>
>>>>Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.
>>>
>>>He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your message
>>>unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.
>>
>>
>>
>> It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation and education. But I
>> didn't post the link for his benefit. I posted it because others might
>> have the same or similar questions -- people who want to actually
>> learn something so they don't need someone to hold their hand while
>> installing an antenna.
>>
>that was a smack at him frank. his posts concerning his newfound amateur
>status was actually what this board is all about. Never mind its about
>an amatuer question but rather radio in general. He doesn't like you and
>the above post butresses his point. You are sounding like Eitner who is
>acidic also.

Why should I be nice? I -was- nice. We have had civil converstations,
and I even gave him enough info to install the antenna in his attic,

which apparently worked quite well. Then he stuck his finger into the political lion cage and turned into a sniveling crybaby after getting scratched.

As for being a general-class amateur, there are literally hundreds of hammie websites that cover nothing but antennas, not to mention the ARRL manual. If he's so serious about radio, why beg a CB group for info on how to install a prefab antenna? J.H.F.C, how did he pass the exam without knowing a few antenna fundamentals? And if part of the hobby is to learn about radio comm, why ask a CB group for tech info when there are countless resources available for hams? Isn't anyone elmering the kid?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1275. Re: Hey Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 12:31:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406083130.126$Xq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198300

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 05 Apr 2005 20:17:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> I know you like playing with different browsers, have you seen this
> >> one?
> >>
> >> http://www.scitrav.com/
> >>
> >> Runs on a floppy..
> >>
> >Small is good. Have you tried it?
>
> Yes, I like it.. doesn't have java and all the frills.
>
Did you buy it? It appears to be shareware.

1276. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 12:52:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2sm75194pl4ltoquj0ljdu2cbutacg3qhf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198301

On Tue, 5 Apr 2005 22:26:51 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>> His post got piggy backed. What can I say about him. I could give two
>>> shits about him. I have clearly moved him though. He can't avoid me.
>>>
>>> As far as education, Frank's parents clearly educated him in manner.
>>> o, I will not use his advice, because his education is the type that
>>> is of no use.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> Hey Vinnie, if you kill file someone it means you don't read the
>> thread they wrote or any piggy back posts otherwise they arent
>> killfiled and you look like a big dick like Landshark does.
>
>Using someone else's name and you call him a big dick?
>
>lol...
>
>Pathetic. I'll bet you go to google and re-read all your stupid little
>posts, don't you? Here's an idea: just google "asshole cocksucker" and I'll
>bet you'll see all your posts.

He is a fool. He has no clue what a kill file is.

Vinnie S.

1277. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 13:35:44 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <QAR4e.2962$qD2.2013@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198302

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:k6a751h2mlg7oesspo0ta2nful257firnf@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 04:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <WbJ4e.1936$qD2.1499@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com...
> <snip>
>>> I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.
>>>
>>
>>OK, This is just an observation, so please don't take offence.
>
>
> You're kidding, right? You actually believe that Dave Hall is walking
> the line in the middle of the road? Come on, Shark..... did you turn
> into a pussy?
>

Nope, but you didn't read the rest of the post before responding
to that statement, did ya ;)

>
> <snip>
>>> Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango. That was not meant as
>>> a criticism, just a neutral observation.
>>>
>>
>>Of course, if you stopped responding to Twist & Frank this
>>political crap would stop, but that was not meant as criticism,
>>just a neutral observation ;)
>
>
> Ain't gonna happen. Not until the Rove/Bush regime leaves office.
> Check out Frontline next week. I don't even have to watch it and
> already I'm ready to freeband and run a linear in protest of the
> current renegade administration. Yes.... ME, the most vocal antagonist
> against illegals since Mark-whats-his-name. You think Twisty was bad?
> Just wait and see who is "elected" as the next president......

LOL!!!! That would be a real cold day in Hell
for you to start freebanding & running power, but I do
believe your political convictions, just like Twist &
Dave's. My point for Dave was, he makes the statement

"two to tango" and yet when Jim asks him when he's
going to stop posting his political diatribes, Dave says
"You must have me confused with someone else. I
don't post political diatribes".
Politics & Religion are a never ending debate, that's
why I am going to stay out of that subject.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1278. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 13:53:51 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d30plh$d3d$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 198303

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:ebi5519u179lhkfbog55le86ohigsie1t5@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 5 Apr 2005 08:43:41 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote:
>
>
>>The Imax is actually longer than 5/8 wave. Like .64 wave.
>
>
> Not to be a smart ass or anything, but if you convert .64 into its
> fractional equivalent, what do you get?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

.625 making the Imax longer than 5/8 wave. :) I know I know. Close enough.

Chad

1279. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 14:11:51 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24215-4253EE27-587@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198304

David T. Hall Jr. (sandbagger) N3CVJ wrote:
>That goes both ways. Perhaps if you didn't put
>on the appearance of being somewhat

>bothered by those guys, they wouldn't be so

>quick to hassle you.

Dave's speaking for Dougie, again.

>Remember, they didn't come looking for you.

As opposed to what, exactly? Mopar accepting Dogie's invite and threat?

>Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango.

>That was not meant as a criticism, just a

>neutral observation.

Sure, sure,,a "neutral" observation whose first knee jerk reaction when Dogie was busted was to jump to his defense and lie and say someone someone withdrew the complaint against him to the FCC. See Dave, when the FCC places a hammie on the Rain Report and informs the world they have evidence before them that indicates he was in violation of FCC law, and you come along and attempt to say otherwise and that the FCC could be wrong, is in no manner "neutral", It's simply another case (of many) where you are not in any remote sense of the word "neutral". The contingency recognizes this fact and several have illustrated and commented on your position and hypocrisy. The again, it is your right to insist on remaining detached from reality and refusing to view yourself as you really are, such as how others and the majority view you. You claiming the majority misunderstands you, via explaining what you "really" meant (usually accompanied by you applying incorrect definitions of words and terms) adds to the joke.

>David T. Hall

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

1280. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 14:28:22 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24215-4253F206-588@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198305

From: 3carroll@optonline.net (jim)
Frank Gilliland wrote:
On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
<none@coldmail.com> wrote:
<snip>
(Which one would be better?)

>http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html

>Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom.

(He don't like you Frank, your in his Killfile. He won't see your
message unless he is like Landshark and has a imaginary kill file.)

>It's clear that Vinnie avoids both confrontation

>and education. But I didn't post the link for his

>benefit. I posted it because others might have

>the same or similar questions -- people who

>want to actually learn something so they don't

>need someone to hold their hand while

>installing an antenna.

(that was a smack at him frank.)

Come on, Jim. Vinny takes his own "smacks" at people, unprovoked. If
you're gonna take one to task for "smacking" him, apply it evenly across
the board and direct the proper redress.

(his posts concerning his newfound amateur status was actually what this
board is all about. Never mind its about an amatuer question but rather
radio in general. He doesn't like you and the above post butresses his
point.)

Yet, Vinny expresses his own likes and dislikes in the same manner for
which you are chastising Frank. Vinny's personal dislikes and likes are
not any one elses problems except his own, yet he continues to foster
them upon the group by
redundantly invoking his imaginary killfile, fronting off by name those

he "includes" in such, yet, unyieldingly while not surprisingly, is unable to control his actions. This is illustrated by him replying indirectly to those he claims are killfiled, by incorrectly claiming he reads their posts when they are quoted by another.
You usually are pretty ambivalent about getting involved in the pissing matches, but when you decide to do so, ignoring Vinny's unprovoked attacks and illustrating others he is involved with, takes away from your objectivity.

1281. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 14:38:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24215-4253F483-590@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198306

From: s3buell@sbcglobal.net (Landshark)
"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in message
news:obp351hctj06sjdorvbub7oq7gee61bd4p@4ax.com...
On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
<none@coldmail.com> wrote:
<snip>
>   Vinnie's not a bad guy Frank,

So I used to think as well, until he lost personal control.
You saying he is not a bad guy is akin of me saying the same thing about
Geo regarding his attacks on you, the nature of such unprovoked attacks
notwithstanding. Did you miss Vinny
blowing of his top? The guy came apart over a typo that had nothing to
do with him...perhaps that was his malfunction for the day, but it shows
the guy's true self and how he was moved by a simple posting of a url.
Maybe if it was you he attacked for no reason other than incompetence
and inability to control his nasty nature, you would view things
differently, such as you do with Geo.

>you two

>should talk more radio, you'll probably find a

>lot more in common. Now Geo I see is still

>obsessed with me and male sex........pretty

>sad.

Now,,I invoke to you the same exact reasoning you use for many of your
complaints with Geo,,,,why would one attack another for no reason? Ask
Vinny,,,he employed this exact tactic. Geo is no more obsessed with
anything than Vinny is by continually speaking of his imaginary
killfile. Thing is..Frank admitted many times what he is about,,Vinny
has a weird penchant for camoflauge.

1282. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 14:40:29 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24215-4253F4DD-591@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198308

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
OK, I purchased the Imax-2000 which is a 5/8 wave antenna. There are 2
options for a ground plane kit:
1. First is a four fiberglass 6 foot radials, angled down (appears 45
degrees), as seen here:
http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=42819&cat=1684&page=1
2. This is another kit. It has four aluminum 7 foot radials. This is
horizontal, and not angeled, as seen here:
http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/IMAGES/powersupply/Boomer
Which one would be better?
Vinnie S.

–
Ground plane kits for fiberglass antennas are designed to only relieve
your wallet.

1283. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 14:45:20 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24215-4253F600-592@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198309

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 03:27:58 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:
s" at the bottom.
(Vinnie's not a bad guy Frank, you two should talk more
radio, you'll probably find a lot more in common. Now Geo I see is still
obsessed with me and male sex........pretty sad.)

>No chance. We habe nothing in common.

>Frank thrives on being an asshole. This post is
>a case in point. He could have simply just

>posted a link. But that is not his style. He has

>to be condescending, belittling, etc. And one

>thing is a common. His posts always contains

>a slap at your education, and plugs his own

>education. That smells of some type of

>inferiority complex. And he constantly points to
>Dave in comparison.

Not as much as you reference him.

>One thin is for sure,

>Dave would have never answered this post in

>that manner.

You are either incomptent or a liar and it's high time you are called on
such. The archives are chock full of Hall attacking people unprovoked
and calling names and getting personal with people and it begins with
his posting history, long before many of us ever arrived and taught him,
and you, better.

> Like I said before. I don't he went from being

>an engineer to bartender, because of choice.

>It was because he can't get along with people,
>and was sent packing. He is a petty,

>miserable, fat old man.

>Vinnie S.

Classic. That's the real Vinny, there. Illustrate how personal attacks are useless, then reduce yourself to same level. Kind of reeks of an inferiority complex.

1284. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 14:49:57 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24216-4253F715-166@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198307

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 19:01:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>I only have the desire to put up these 2. The

>Imax for CB and 10 meter, so I can talk to the

>locals, and the Alpha Delta for everything else.
>I have no interest in a beam. I have no interest
>in running 1,000 watts on my ham radio. I am

>somewhat interested in the "QRP" low power

>DX. I simply want to satify the same

>couriousity I had as a kid, that I have not done
>so in about 21 years. That is it. It is not that

>complicated. I had not picked up a CB, or a

>communications book, or antenna book, in

>over 21 years. This is why I am asking these

>questions. But apparently, this is some sort of

>crime, that needs criticizing and name calling.

>Vinnie S.

Unlike *your* act of disagreeing with the posting of a certain url and
viewing it as "some sort of crime" that needed "criticizing and name
calling" by yourself. Freakin' hypocrite!

1285. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 14:50:58 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24215-4253F752-593@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198310

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam (iamnotgeorge)
Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
news:o5m551l45legnqr7m6lk0glc8vsrlpo0q2@4ax.com:
I haven't installed it mostly because I haven't received an Alpha Delta
dipole, which is on backorder, which I plan to hang at the same time.
And since there is trench digging involved, there is no reason to dig 2
trenches, days or weeks apart. That and getting help to run and get
tools or such, and putting them in a rope and bucket, so I don't have to
climb down. Better to knock off 2 birds with one stone.
Vinnie S.

>I will provide pictures of Ninnies antenna farm,
>just like steveo does of Leland and doug's.

Why should that bother anyone?

1286. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 14:59:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9118-4253F93B-544@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198311

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge(in pa) wrote:
(Hey Vinnie, if you kill file someone it means you don't read the thread
they wrote or any piggy back posts otherwise they arent killfiled)

>He is a fool.

As opposed to those who regurgitate daily who is in their killfile, yet,
mamange to reply to those daily, sometimes on multiple occasions..

> He has no clue what a kill file is.

Now *that* is funny.

>Vinnie S.

1287. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:01:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9118-4253F9B2-545@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198312

Dogie a-dare wrote:
>You are an 8086 brain in a coppermine world.

What does that mean?

1288. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:06:26 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9118-4253FAF2-546@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198313

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 13:40:48 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 07:23:43 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <b48251hba314ap7l1kt85gl7nt3pi35d82@4ax.com>:
On Fri, 1 Apr 2005 17:17:22 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 31 Mar 2005 11:18:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
Regarding the Terry Schiavo issue:

>I believe the term you're looking for is

>exploitation. But that's not the case here.

Then you're not paying attention. Have a look at Tom Delay's statement.
He admitted it was about his agenda and not Terry's. In fact, he says it
was "God's" agenda that Terry was brought to the forefront of America to
stop the attacks against himself and the conservative movement.

>I can find no reference to that quote (or even

>parts of it) anywhere.

You have GOT to be kidding.

>I am not. If you have a site that backs your

>allegation, please provide it.

"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
      -- Tom Delay

(You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
so you can hear it from his own mouth.)

>It helps to get the quote correct. Twist did not,

>which explains why I was unable to find it.

You claimed you could not find it anywhere, despite proper instruction
to google "delay" and "schiavo" which would bring pages of examples.
Your continual blaming me for your incompetence has always been part of
what keeps me returning almost daily.

>Thank you for posting the proper syntax.

You were given proper syntax when you were instructed to google Dalay's name in addition to Schiavo's.

David T. Hall Jr.
N3CVJ
"Sandbagger"

1289. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:28:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9118-42540000-555@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198315

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
You have GOT to be kidding.

>I am not. If you have a site that backs your

>allegation, please provide it.

>I am therefore forced to

>consider that it was a lie.

I forgot that if you are unaware of something or unable to confirm it,
your position is such does not exist.

>That strategy worked for you in regards to the

>CB'er that got busted in Norristown in the late

>90's.

Of course it did. It proved you made up the entire issue..as you
say,,,since none can locate it, we are forced to consider it was a lie.

>Well then, you now find yourself in a catch 22.

>You can't have it both ways. For you to insist

>that I am a liar based on your inability to find

>any information on the incident in Norristown,

>then you are a liar based on my inability to

>locate the "quote" you misattribute to Tom

>Delay.

>When the shoe's on the other foot, you

>suddenly have a problem?

Oh, not at all. You are still free to post anything you wish to
illustrate why this group should not be forced to consider your claims
regarding such, as lies.

>There is no "the group" in this case. There is

>only you.

No, Dave. This *is* a group. It is not you and I alone.

http://64.233.187.104/search?q=cache:pIdkvlbf93gJ:southerngent.redstate.org/story/2005/3/27/32754/0737+Delay%27s+comments+Schiavo&hl=en

>A clip from a blog? That's no more credible

>than you posting here. In fact, how do I know

>that you and the "SouthernGent" in that post

>are not one and the same?

And this was juts one of thousands and thousands of hits when I entered Delay comments and Schiavo into the search engine.,,yet, you maintain you can not find these comments anywhere.

>I entered your misatribbuted quote directly into
>.google and came up with nothing. Zilch, zero,
>nada.

That's not what you claimed, Dave. You claimed you couldn't even find PARTS of it on the web, despite being properly instructed to google two simple names.

>I also cut out parts just to make the chanced

>of hits better, and still nothing resembling your
>"quote".

What treasures I am rewarded! That you fault myself for your demonstrated incompetence in managing to somehow place the only two pertinent terms ("Schiavo" and "Delay") of the issue together for a google search, is much more than I can ever ask of thee!

_
>You're the one who evokes "civil

>disobedience" as an excuse to ignore laws

>you don't believe in.

That is your flawed perception. If you cared to look up the definition, you would find the term is defined as the act of refusing to obey certain laws for a specific reason.

>There's a difference between legitimate civil

>disobedience (Which usually affects a great

>number of like-minded people), and a simple

>act of lawlessness.

Yes there is, but your demonstrated failure to grasp the differences between civil matters and criminal matters results not only in your feckless claim that dxers and freebanders are federal criminals, but in your astounding inability to comprehend the differences of civil penalties vs criminal penalties. When you can understand this, you can

only then begin to comprehend the astute differences between civil disobedience and criminal disobedience. Don't worry, Dave,,,your repeated intentional snipping of this education you much needed concerning this subject, tells us you are indeed learning, albeit reluctantly.

>The plain truth is that you are free to believe

>anything you want to believe. But that alone

>falls far short of any sort of evidence that

>proves my political leanings "wrong".

Such has nothing to do with your political leanings, Dave, just your ongoing ignrance of the law that governs your chosen hobbies.
I have always maintained some of those licensed for communications, know the least about it. You epitomize the example.

>You can go on and continue to blame all that

>is wrong in the world on G.W. Bush and his

>mythical band of "handlers". In reflection, that

>the left is so stirred up by this one man, that

>they froth all over themselves in public and in

>print, only makes me smile more.

Not as much as I am...all the way to the gas pump. report last week said oil may reach over 100 bucks per barrel to us and that we are finally being brought in line with the prices other nations pay. Nevertheless, your flocked sheople mentality of clinging to the desperate belief that only the left has issues with the man, illustrates (again), you know little of what you speak concerning the party you choose to espouse. Of course, you illustrated such regrading your non-knowledge of what your party leaders are saying and doing (Delay comments). Not having the faculties to know how to locate such, and going on record as admitting such, in addition to the inability to distinguish between civil and criminal disobedience and judicial law, leaves no doubt whatsoever about your unsolicited and self-professed qualifications.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1290. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:28:58 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <c00851l04i9vgms3ikag8ss1lgsnqmubbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198314

On 3 Apr 2005 00:28:54 -0800, "Psychiatrist to
keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>

Our house is so dirty, I saw the roaches using
matchbox u-hauls to move out.

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1291. Re: mopey the dopie and taxidermy...why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:35:56 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cc0851dl8htgekpknobg973u6hi1hm5fq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 29 Mar 2005 12:45:42 -0800, "Psychiatrist to
keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Inquiring AKC minds want to know. It can't be because of what we think
>it is...nobody is that weird. Maybe a trip to Medina County will reveal
>the answers.
>

Only difference between me and a drug dealer is I
just wash my crack and sells it again.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1292. Re: Dumb N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:39:38 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gi0851ttci83lvp058d27la2ecmf28mctv@4ax.com>
Source record: 198317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

The only reason I shave my bikini line is to make
swatting the crabs easier.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1293. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:41:11 UTC
From: bk49 <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <udydnQrsLfqNnsnfRVn-2A@giganews.com>
Source record: 198318

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050406072338.307$OQ@newsreader.com...
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> jim wrote:
>> > And the race was???????????????
>>
>> A crack up.
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> Of course...rubbin' is racin' at Bristol!

Roger..

Be careful when you use "rubbin'" in a sentence though. That word gets
certain "people" in this ng all excited, you know? ;-)

Jeff

1294. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:44:10 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6s085119bi2a2rfgldpg2ua118ok8b22jj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198319

On 3 Apr 2005 19:17:19 -0700, "Psychiatrist to
keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Jeff Mayner wrote:
>> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> > Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>>
>> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the folks
>that
>> post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder you're such a
>
>> crybaby.
>>
>> Jeff
>
>Look at this dummy weighing in. Too stupid to tell crying from
>laughter. Jeffie, we'll let ya slide, we know you weren't around to
>keep your dad's drunken urges at bay.
>

You are so ugly that when you look out the window
for mopar, you get arrested for mooning!!!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1295. Re: The question persists, amd N8WWM persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:46:47 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v01851huhhqfg1tvi1p6p04h87be394t8v@4ax.com>
Source record: 198320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 4 Apr 2005 01:28:23 -0700, N8WWM
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Jeff Mayner wrote:
>> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> > Jeff Mayner wrote:
>> >> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> >>> Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>> >>
>> >> Oh shutup you little pussy. It must be hard knowing 99% of the
>folks
>> >> that post on this ng can kick the shit out of you. No wonder
>you're
>> >> such a
>> >
>> >> crybaby.
>> >>
>> >> Jeff
>> >
>> > Look at this dummy weighing in. Too stupid to tell crying from
>> > laughter. Jeffie, we'll let ya slide, we know you weren't around to
>> > keep your dad's drunken urges at bay.
>>
>> Add snivelling to the list. I do hope you keep adequate supplies of
>tissue
>> around. Hell, what am I thinking? Looking at your picture tells me
>all I
>> need to know. You'll just use the tail of your shirt, right? lol...
>>
>> What a pussy. ;-)
>>
>> Jeff
>
>hahahahaha! WoooHOOOOO!!! I am terrified...listen to the mouse that
>roars. Tell, me...when you and mopey first kissed, had you already been
>a fatheaded dork, or did the little keyclown boy teach you himself?
>

What are you going to do for a face when the
babboon wants his ass back?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1296. Re: The question persists, amd N8WWM persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 15:51:30 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a91851l8m925glijvdreqt07ss4n6pq0i5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 15:38:08 GMT, Lancer
<lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 11:28:58 -0400, Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On 3 Apr 2005 00:28:54 -0800, N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
>>>
>>
>>Our house is so dirty, I saw the roaches using
>>matchbox u-hauls to move out.
>>
>
>
>LOL!! too funny..
>

Our house is so nasty, I tripped over a rat, and a
cockroach stole my wallet.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1297. Re: Dumb N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 16:02:28 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0q18511al0v22uol6makeok13flc6p69vf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On 4 Apr 2005 22:51:17 -0700, "Psychiatrist to
keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Well, I talked to Riley Hollingsworth in person on March 22, and he did
>say to look for a lot more enforcement action in the future.
>

My ass is so hairy it's like crapping through a
seive when I take a shit.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1298. Why I play with my cunt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 16:11:19 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ef2851hd3okdlukhfa8fbf3aig9la5aacu@4ax.com>
Source record: 198323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

BECAUSE I WAS NOT BREASTFED ï¿½ BECAUSE MY CRIB WAS
PADDED AND I LIKE THE FEEL
OF STEEL ï¿½ BECAUSE I WAS SPANKED BY MY BABYSITTER
ï¿½ BECAUSE THE KIDS USED TO
CALL ME HALF-BREED ï¿½ BECAUSE MY FATHER IGNORED ME
AND MY MOTHER FUCKED THE
BOTTLE ï¿½ BECAUSE MY BROTHER JERKED OFF TO AUNT
JAMIMA ï¿½ BECAUSE MY DOG WAS
KEPT IN BONDAGE TIL THE DAY HE DIED ï¿½ BECAUSE A
WHITEBOY TOOK MY VIRGINITY ï¿½
BECAUSE I WAS NEVER TAUGHT HOMOSEXUALITY IN HEALTH
CLASS ï¿½ BECAUSE THE
SANDMAN WAS A LESBIAN ï¿½ BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½
BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½
BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½ BECAUSE MY
PERIOD FEELS LIKE A BULLFIGHT ï¿½
BECAUSE I HATE THE SMELL OF PORK ï¿½ BECAUSE I LOVE
THE TASTE OF PUSSY ï¿½
BECAUSE I CAN'T FIST MY OWN ASSHOLE ï¿½ BECAUSE I
HAVE A LOT OF TIME ON MY
HANDS ï¿½ BECAUSE IT FEELS LIKE A BABY WHEN I SHAVE
IT ï¿½ BECAUSE MY PLATFORM
HEEL WON'T FIT INSIDE ï¿½ BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½
BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½
BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½ BECAUSE MY
VIBRATOR ISN'T POWERFUL ENOUGH
ï¿½ BECAUSE TRANSSEXUALS TURN ME THE FUCK ON ï¿½
BECAUSE SCENES FROM CALIGULA
RUN THROUGH MY HEAD ï¿½ BECAUSE CINDERELLA WASN'T MY
SLAVE ï¿½ BECAUSE IT THROBS
LIKE A DICK ï¿½ BECAUSE I SLEEP ALONE ï¿½ BECAUSE OF
THE QUESTION OF DEATH ï¿½
BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½ BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH
ï¿½ BECAUSE IT'S
SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½ BECAUSE FRIDAY FOSTER SAYS
I'M A PERVERT ï¿½ BECAUSE THE
THOUGHT OF TREE VIRGINS IN HORSETAILS ï¿½ BECAUSE MY
DOUBLE-DONG WAS STOLEN ï¿½
BECAUSE I SMOKED MY LAST CIGARETTE ï¿½ BECAUSE I
WANT TO SPIT-SHINE PRINCE'S
BOOTS ï¿½ BECAUSE I HATE SLOPPY BLOW-JOBS ï¿½ BECAUSE
IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½ BECAUSE MY
CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½ BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION

ï¿½ BECAUSE THERE ARE NO
MORE VIRGINS ï¿½ BECAUSE I CAN'T GIVE THE GOVERNMENT
AN ENEMA ï¿½ BECAUSE WHORES
BECAME EXPENSIVE ï¿½ BECAUSE I HATE THE THOUGHT OF
CLOTHING ï¿½ BECAUSE I'M THE
BORDERLINE OF A DYKE AND A BOY ï¿½ BECAUSE I WANT TO
BE SADDDLED AND TRAINED
LIKE A HORSE ï¿½ BECAUSE SLAVERY WAS IN MY ROOTS AND
I THIRST S&M ï¿½ BECAUSE IT
FEELS GOOD ï¿½ BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½ BECAUSE
IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½
BECAUSE I CAN NEVER GET ENOUGH PUSSY ï¿½ BECAUSE THE
SOUND OF A WOMAN'S VOICE
OVER THE PHONE ï¿½ BECAUSE WHEN IT'S WET IT GLAZES
MY FINGERS ï¿½ BECAUSE I WANT
TO BE GANG-BANGED BY FEMALE INMATES ï¿½ BECAUSE I
WANT TO FUCK FOR FOOD AND
WATER ï¿½ BECAUSE I WASN'T BORN IN A CHASTITY BELT ï¿½
BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ï¿½
BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ï¿½ BECAUSE IT'S
SELF-GRATIFICATION ï¿½ BECAUSE I
LIKE TO WORK MY PUSSY ï¿½ BECAUSE I WAS BORN A BITCH
ï¿½ BECAUSE I LIKE MORE
THAN ONE ORGASM ï¿½ BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE TO SAY 'I
LOVE YOU' TO ANYONE ï¿½
BECAUSE IN MY MIND I CAN BE FUCKING ANYONE I WANT
ï¿½ BECAUSE IT'S HEALTHY ï¿½
BECAUSE I'M A VAIN BITCH AND ONLY I KNOW HOW TO
LOVE MYSELF...

Here's me at Mardi Gras:

http://www.haydennet.com/1999/decadence99/miss_crisco_DSCN0160.JPG

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1299. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 19:06:11 UTC
From: Mad Dog <me@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <3biq8rF6hblu5U1@individual.net>
Source record: 198324

Horizontal radials work simply as a ground plane/counterpoise while radials
that are angled down and out at a 45 degree angle combine the benefits of a
counterpoise with a impedance matching network to lower the input impedance
of the antenna to a more friendly 50~ output impedance of the transmission
line......P.S. Hello to those of you that remember me from way back ans as
for the rest of you that have ruined this newsgroup........well, goodbye

--
Mad-Dog

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:p6c351dmq3ves24v9ntn9ei9rem0p6loj1@4ax.com...
> OK, I purchased the Imax-2000 which is a 5/8 wave antenna. There are 2
> options
> for a ground plane kit:
>
> 1. First is a four fiberglass 6 foot radials, angled down (appears 45
> degrees),
> as seen here:
>
>
> http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=42819&cat=1684&page=1
>
>
> 2. This is another kit. It has four aluminum 7 foot radials. This is
> horizontal,
> and not angeled, as seen here:
>
>
> http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/IMAGES/powersupply/Boomer
>
>
> Which one would be better?
>
>
>
> Vinnie S.

1300. Re: Hey Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 20:09:43 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FMadndv384Ca38nfRVn-ug@giganews.com>
Source record: 198325

Lancer wrote:
> I know you like playing with different browsers, have you seen this
> one?
>
> http://www.scitrav.com/
>
> Runs on a floppy..

Messed with it a little while. It's "OK".

Jeff

1301. Re: Why I play with my cunt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 20:14:28 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NcKdnZ1T8Le53snfRVn-ug@giganews.com>
Source record: 198326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Kathy Lee wrote:
> BECAUSE I WAS NOT BREASTFED • BECAUSE MY CRIB WAS
> PADDED AND I LIKE THE FEEL
> OF STEEL • BECAUSE I WAS SPANKED BY MY BABYSITTER
> • BECAUSE THE KIDS USED TO
> CALL ME HALF-BREED • BECAUSE MY FATHER IGNORED ME
> AND MY MOTHER FUCKED THE
> BOTTLE • BECAUSE MY BROTHER JERKED OFF TO AUNT
> JAMIMA • BECAUSE MY DOG WAS
> KEPT IN BONDAGE TIL THE DAY HE DIED • BECAUSE A
> WHITEBOY TOOK MY VIRGINITY •
> BECAUSE I WAS NEVER TAUGHT HOMOSEXUALITY IN HEALTH
> CLASS • BECAUSE THE
> SANDMAN WAS A LESBIAN • BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD •
> BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH •
> BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION • BECAUSE MY
> PERIOD FEELS LIKE A BULLFIGHT •
> BECAUSE I HATE THE SMELL OF PORK • BECAUSE I LOVE
> THE TASTE OF PUSSY •
> BECAUSE I CAN'T FIST MY OWN ASSHOLE • BECAUSE I
> HAVE A LOT OF TIME ON MY
> HANDS • BECAUSE IT FEELS LIKE A BABY WHEN I SHAVE
> IT • BECAUSE MY PLATFORM
> HEEL WON'T FIT INSIDE • BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD •
> BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH •
> BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION • BECAUSE MY
> VIBRATOR ISN'T POWERFUL ENOUGH
> • BECAUSE TRANSSEXUALS TURN ME THE FUCK ON •
> BECAUSE SCENES FROM CALIGULA
> RUN THROUGH MY HEAD • BECAUSE CINDERELLA WASN'T MY
> SLAVE • BECAUSE IT THROBS
> LIKE A DICK • BECAUSE I SLEEP ALONE • BECAUSE OF
> THE QUESTION OF DEATH •
> BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD • BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH
> • BECAUSE IT'S
> SELF-GRATIFICATION • BECAUSE FRIDAY FOSTER SAYS
> I'M A PERVERT • BECAUSE THE
> THOUGHT OF TREE VIRGINS IN HORSETAILS • BECAUSE MY
> DOUBLE-DONG WAS STOLEN •
> BECAUSE I SMOKED MY LAST CIGARETTE • BECAUSE I
> WANT TO SPIT-SHINE PRINCE'S
> BOOTS • BECAUSE I HATE SLOPPY BLOW-JOBS • BECAUSE

> IT FEELS GOOD &#65533; BECAUSE MY
> CUNT IS SELFISH &#65533; BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION
> &#65533; BECAUSE THERE ARE NO
> MORE VIRGINS &#65533; BECAUSE I CAN'T GIVE THE GOVERNMENT
> AN ENEMA &#65533; BECAUSE WHORES
> BECAME EXPENSIVE &#65533; BECAUSE I HATE THE THOUGHT OF
> CLOTHING &#65533; BECAUSE I'M THE
> BORDERLINE OF A DYKE AND A BOY &#65533; BECAUSE I WANT TO
> BE SADDDLED AND TRAINED
> LIKE A HORSE &#65533; BECAUSE SLAVERY WAS IN MY ROOTS AND
> I THIRST S&M &#65533; BECAUSE IT
> FEELS GOOD &#65533; BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH &#65533; BECAUSE
> IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION &#65533;
> BECAUSE I CAN NEVER GET ENOUGH PUSSY &#65533; BECAUSE THE
> SOUND OF A WOMAN'S VOICE
> OVER THE PHONE &#65533; BECAUSE WHEN IT'S WET IT GLAZES
> MY FINGERS &#65533; BECAUSE I WANT
> TO BE GANG-BANGED BY FEMALE INMATES &#65533; BECAUSE I
> WANT TO FUCK FOR FOOD AND
> WATER &#65533; BECAUSE I WASN'T BORN IN A CHASTITY BELT &#65533;
> BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD &#65533;
> BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH &#65533; BECAUSE IT'S
> SELF-GRATIFICATION &#65533; BECAUSE I
> LIKE TO WORK MY PUSSY &#65533; BECAUSE I WAS BORN A BITCH
> &#65533; BECAUSE I LIKE MORE
> THAN ONE ORGASM &#65533; BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE TO SAY 'I
> LOVE YOU' TO ANYONE &#65533;
> BECAUSE IN MY MIND I CAN BE FUCKING ANYONE I WANT
> &#65533; BECAUSE IT'S HEALTHY &#65533;
> BECAUSE I'M A VAIN BITCH AND ONLY I KNOW HOW TO
> LOVE MYSELF...
>
> Here's me at Mardi Gras:
>
> http://www.haydennet.com/1999/decadence99/miss_crisco_DSCN0160.JPG

I refuse to click that link.

Jeff

> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1302. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 20:40:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ksh851dbi8k1g514mfqj8dlevgq265vb75@4ax.com>
Source record: 198327

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 13:35:44 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <QAR4e.2962$qD2.2013@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:k6a751h2mlg7oesspo0ta2nful257firnf@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 04:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote in <WbJ4e.1936$qD2.1499@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com...
>> <snip>
>>>> I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.
>>>>
>>>
>>>OK, This is just an observation, so please don't take offence.
>>
>>
>> You're kidding, right? You actually believe that Dave Hall is walking
>> the line in the middle of the road? Come on, Shark..... did you turn
>> into a pussy?
>>
>
>Nope, but you didn't read the rest of the post before responding
>to that statement, did ya ;)

Yes, but I misread the quotes. I thought you wrote that ".....please
don't take offence" line. My bad.

>> <snip>
>>>> Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango. That was not meant as
>>>> a criticism, just a neutral observation.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Of course, if you stopped responding to Twist & Frank this
>>>political crap would stop, but that was not meant as criticism,
>>>just a neutral observation ;)
>>
>>
>> Ain't gonna happen. Not until the Rove/Bush regime leaves office.
>> Check out Frontline next week. I don't even have to watch it and
>> already I'm ready to freeband and run a linear in protest of the
>> current renegade administration. Yes.... ME, the most vocal antagonist
>> against illegals since Mark-whats-his-name. You think Twisty was bad?

>> Just wait and see who is "elected" as the next president......
>
> LOL!!!! That would be a real cold day in Hell
>for you to start freebanding & running power, but I do
>believe your political convictions, just like Twist &
>Dave's. My point for Dave was, he makes the statement
>"two to tango" and yet when Jim asks him when he's
>going to stop posting his political diatribes, Dave says
>"You must have me confused with someone else. I
>don't post political diatribes".

Just another shining example of Dave's hypocrisy.

> Politics & Religion are a never ending debate, that's
>why I am going to stay out of that subject.

Interesting perspective..... so any argument about religion or
politics can never be won because the argument will live longer than
ourselves?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1303. Re: Why I play with my cunt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 21:01:10 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <q6Y4e.37171$1H3.15336@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 198328

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:8gh8515ca6ckpnsqibhv8pt8aj01h4djgs@news.easynews.com...
> On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 13:14:28 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>> Here's me at Mardi Gras:
>>>
>>> http://www.haydennet.com/1999/decadence99/miss_crisco_DSCN0160.JPG
>>
>>I refuse to click that link.
>>
>>Jeff
>
> Come on chicken... its funny...
>
> Hmmm, might even be a real pic of her....

One thing about it, if it's her, she could take Doug in a nanosecond!

1304. Need a Ground Plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 21:07:26 UTC
From: Pcpro15@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112821646.014061.154420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198329

I want to use a mobile cb antenna on my house. I have mounting brackets and already have the power converted. I need a way to use my mobile 3/8 flex antenna outside and have an attiquate ground plane to keep my swr down. I saw a "tristar" on the internet which said it acted as a ground plane. I have not gotten an answer yet, but hopefully I will. I do not want to buy an no ground plane antenna because I will eventually move my old antenna to my truck when I get one. What can I use as a ground plane, and spending minimal amount of money.

Thanks in advance

Greg

1305. Re: DC Power cord for Realistic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 21:14:37 UTC
From: Pcpro15@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112822077.192986.146030@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198330

If you can travel to a truck stop, I am sure they will have one. I did not search for your particular cb model, but I did have a realistic cb and it had a three pin power cord. I did a search real quick and found this website.

http://www.kenselectronics.com/lists/pwrcord.htm

I am sure that you can find which end it is an be able to buy it for a cheap price. I would recomend going to a truck stop.

Hope this helps,

Greg

1306. Re: Why I play with my cunt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 21:15:11 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <yZmdnULVbsXCzMnfRVn-2Q@giganews.com>
Source record: 198331

U Know Who wrote:
> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
> news:8gh8515ca6ckpnsqibhv8pt8aj01h4djgs@news.easynews.com...
>> On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 13:14:28 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
>> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Here's me at Mardi Gras:
>>>>
>>>> http://www.haydennet.com/1999/decadence99/miss_crisco_DSCN0160.JPG
>>>
>>> I refuse to click that link.
>>>
>>> Jeff
>>
>> Come on chicken... its funny...
>>
>> Hmmm, might even be a real pic of her....
>
> One thing about it, if it's her, she could take Doug in a nanosecond!

I think that tells me all I need to know. ;-)

Jeff

1307. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 21:45:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406174547.230$ei@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198332

"bk49" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050406072338.307$OQ@newsreader.com...
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> jim wrote:
> >> > And the race was???????????????
> >>
> >> A crack up.
> >>
> >> Jeff
> >>
> > Of course...rubbin' is racin' at Bristol!
>
> Roger..
>
> Be careful when you use "rubbin'" in a sentence though. That word gets
> certain "people" in this ng all excited, you know? ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
True...they can't help themselves.

1308. EM27 Spares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 21:45:58 UTC
From: Andrew <anonnymouse_user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d31lmvton1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 198333

Does anyone know a low cost source (other than ebay) for any EM27 spares (new or used) or any generic cb equipment? Trying to help kit out a local charity with my old EM27 rig but it needs a bit of attention to get it into a usable condition since I am missing everything bar the rig and mic. Would really like to source another EM27 as well (not much use to them just having the one) and a couple of aerials too but since i'm footing the bill myself I'm looking to do it at the lowest possible cost.

Many thanks

--
Andrew

1309. Re: Need a Ground Plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 22:48:29 UTC
From: Pcpro15@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1112827709.530055.283420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198334

I was going to make it kind of mobile. If I want to use the cb, I would want to set it on the roof. I have no metal around my house except the garage door.

1310. Re: EM27 Spares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 23:23:57 UTC
From: Fred <fred@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cbe7fe97682157c989680@news.freeserve.com>
Source record: 198335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

In article <d2saqb$2fp$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>,
anonnymouse_user@hotmail.com says...
> Does anyone know a low cost source (other than ebay) for any EM27 spares
> (new or used) or any generic cb equipment? Trying to help kit out a local
> charity with my old EM27 rig but it needs a bit of attention to get it into
> a usable condition since I am missing everything bar the rig and mic. Would
> really like to source another EM27 as well (not much use to them just having
> the one) and a couple of aerials too but since i'm footing the bill myself
> I'm looking to do it at the lowest possible cost.
>
>
> Many thanks
>
>

Don't know much bout cb retailers to be honest, been a few years since I first started up. What part of the country are you? I take it you are UK since you are posting in uk.rec.radio.cb.

I might be able to help you and your charity out a bit though. Got a small box of assorted bits and bobs left over from my CB'ing days that's been lying around the house gathering dust for some time. Been meaning to do something with it for a while. Anyhow, you're more than welcome to anything from there if it is of any use to you - email me (see sig) and I'll send you a quick list of it all and if you want any of it I'll drop it in the post.

Best of luck with it all, I hope you get some support from a few others too. CB could do with a few youngsters to keep it alive - if my old things can help prolong the life of the CB you're more than welcome to them.

--
Fred

fred dot dinham at hotmail dot com

1311. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-06 23:34:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406193439.444$6r@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198336

```
KC8LDO truth@auctionslapper.com wrote:
> > "bk49" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20050406072338.307$OQ@newsreader.com...
> > > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >> jim wrote:
> > > >> > And the race was???????????????
> > > >>
> > > >> A crack up.
> > > >>
> > > >> Jeff
> > > >>
> > > > Of course...rubbin' is racin' at Bristol!
> > >
> > > Roger..
> > >
> > > Be careful when you use "rubbin'" in a sentence though. That word
> > > gets certain "people" in this ng all excited, you know? ;-)
> > >
> > > Jeff
> > >
> > True...they can't help themselves.
>
> Steviechicken talkin about homo stuff again...
>
Eat shit, lelnad.
```

1312. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:02:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406200233.781$D2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198337

KC8LDO truth@ebaypolice.com wrote:
> > KC8LDO truth@auctionslapper.com wrote:
> > > > "bk49" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20050406072338.307$OQ@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > >> jim wrote:
> > > > > >> > And the race was???????????????
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> A crack up.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> Jeff
> > > > > >>
> > > > > > Of course...rubbin' is racin' at Bristol!
> > > > >
> > > > > Roger..
> > > > >
> > > > > Be careful when you use "rubbin'" in a sentence though. That word
> > > > > gets certain "people" in this ng all excited, you know? ;-)
> > > > >
> > > > > Jeff
> > > > >
> > > > True...they can't help themselves.
> > >
> > > Steviechicken talkin about homo stuff again...
> > >
> > Eat shit, lelnad.
>
> Don't blame Leland you sawed-off mower-pushin' fool.
>
Nice coax run, tard-boi.

http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg

1313. Re: The question persists, amd dopey persists in obfuscating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:04:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406200414.417$io@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198338

KC8LDO truth@keyclownzrnuts.com wrote:
> > On 3 Apr 2005 00:28:54 -0800, "Psychiatrist to
> > keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Why has dopey mopey taken up taxidermy?
> > >
> >
> > Our house is so dirty, I saw the roaches using
> > matchbox u-hauls to move out.
> >
> > _____
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 120,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> Forge by mopey.
>
Guess again lelnad.

http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg

1314. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:14:16 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <epu851djjuma5m7amtiurg3gs257ggmt8l@4ax.com>
Source record: 198340

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 17:15:08 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>Why should I be nice? I -was- nice. We have had civil converstations,
>>and I even gave him enough info to install the antenna in his attic,
>>which apparently worked quite well. Then he stuck his finger into the
>>political lion cage and turned into a sniveling crybaby after getting
>>scratched.
>>
>>As for being a general-class amateur, there are literally hundreds of
>>hammie websites that cover nothing but antennas, not to mention the
>>ARRL manual. If he's so serious about radio, why beg a CB group for
>>info on how to install a prefab antenna? J.H.F.C, how did he pass the
>>exam without knowing a few antenna fundamentals? And if part of the
>>hobby is to learn about radio comm, why ask a CB group for tech info
>>when there are countless resources available for hams? Isn't anyone
>>elmering the kid?
>>
>>
>>
>
>I thought your were elmering him Frank?
>
>He really doesn't need one anyway, he buys his antennas. Even
>something as simple as a dipole, or sloper...

Do you have a problem with that? Show me the rules on antenna requirements,
please.

Vinnie S.

1315. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:14:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406201438.899$bI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198339

KC8LDO-leland@stevieyanker.com wrote:
> > KC8LDO truth@ebaypolice.com wrote:
> > > > KC8LDO truth@auctionslapper.com wrote:
> > > > > > "bk49" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:20050406072338.307$OQ@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> jim wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> > And the race was???????????????
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> A crack up.
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > >> Jeff
> > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > Of course...rubbin' is racin' at Bristol!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Roger..
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Be careful when you use "rubbin'" in a sentence though. That
> > > > > > > word gets certain "people" in this ng all excited, you know?
> > > > > > > ;-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Jeff
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > True...they can't help themselves.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steviechicken talkin about homo stuff again...
> > > > >
> > > > Eat shit, lelnad.
> > > >
> > > Don't blame Leland you sawed-off mower-pushin' fool.
> > >
> > Nice coax run, tard-boi.
> >
> > http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg
>
> Too scared to get a photo of the owner I see :)
>
You're too skeered to meet me...remember Woodward, chickenshit?

1316. Re: Dumb N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:18:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406201821.715$2u@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

KC8LDO
LELAND C SCOTT
32378 CONCORD DR BLDG 21 APT B
MADISON HEIGHTS MI 48071
USA

KC8LDO-truth@truth.com wrote:
> >
> > The only reason I shave my bikini line is to make
> > swatting the crabs easier.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 120,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com forging again
>
That's not me, lelnad.

1317. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:19:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406201956.359$pX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198342

poor_mopey@notleland.com wrote:
> > KC8LDO-leland@stevieyanker.com wrote:
> > > > KC8LDO truth@ebaypolice.com wrote:
> > > > > > KC8LDO truth@auctionslapper.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > "bk49" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:20050406072338.307$OQ@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > > > > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> jim wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >> > And the race was???????????????
> > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > >> A crack up.
> > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > >> Jeff
> > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > > Of course...rubbin' is racin' at Bristol!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Roger..
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Be careful when you use "rubbin'" in a sentence though.
> > > > > > > > > That word gets certain "people" in this ng all excited,
> > > > > > > > > you know? ;-)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Jeff
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > True...they can't help themselves.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steviechicken talkin about homo stuff again...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Eat shit, lelnad.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Don't blame Leland you sawed-off mower-pushin' fool.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nice coax run, tard-boi.
> > > > >
> > > > > http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg
> > > >
> > > > Too scared to get a photo of the owner I see :)
> > > >
> > > You're too skeered to meet me...remember Woodward, chickenshit?
> >
> > I'll meet ya right now. What's your home address? :-)
> >
If you're anyplace close to dogie, I'll find you at Dayton.

1318. Mopeydopey and his wrist flipping forges

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:21:02 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112833262.199118.45600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198343

HAHAHAHAH...post some more proof that you cant stop or influence a thing the AKC does, wrist flipper.

1319. Re: Mopeydopey and his wrist flipping forges

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:26:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406202638.416$4P@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198344

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> HAHAHAHAH...post some more proof that you cant stop or influence a
> thing the AKC does, wrist flipper.
>
http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif

1320. Leland is a sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:29:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406202918.345$y8_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198345

foolish_stevie@haha.com wrote:
> > poor_mopey@notleland.com wrote:
> > > > KC8LDO-leland@stevieyanker.com wrote:
> > > > > > KC8LDO truth@ebaypolice.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > KC8LDO truth@auctionslapper.com wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > "bk49" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > > news:20050406072338.307$OQ@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >> jim wrote:
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >> > And the race was???????????????
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >> A crack up.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >> Jeff
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >>
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Of course...rubbin' is racin' at Bristol!
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Roger..
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Be careful when you use "rubbin'" in a sentence
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > though. That word gets certain "people" in this ng
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > all excited, you know? ;-)
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Jeff
> > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > True...they can't help themselves.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steviechicken talkin about homo stuff again...
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Eat shit, lelnad.
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Don't blame Leland you sawed-off mower-pushin' fool.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Nice coax run, tard-boi.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Too scared to get a photo of the owner I see :)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You're too skeered to meet me...remember Woodward, chickenshit?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I'll meet ya right now. What's your home address? :-)
> > > > >

> > If you're anyplace close to dogie, I'll find you at Dayton.
>
> Do they let freebanders in at Dayton?
>
Yes.

1321. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:32:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2su85197rnkbci4sh1gv2ulqc6s6lgn3c0@4ax.com>
Source record: 198346

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 17:15:08 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>Why should I be nice?

That is a impossibility.

>I -was- nice. We have had civil converstations,
>>and I even gave him enough info to install the antenna in his attic,
>>which apparently worked quite well. Then he stuck his finger into the
>>political lion cage and turned into a sniveling crybaby after getting
>>scratched.

I said I liked Reagan. Nothing more. I didn't try to outdo your political knowledge. In fact, showing the phony you truly are, you complimented me on the fact I hate Bush Jr. Now I am a sniveling crybaby. How quickly we forget. Dave was right that once you find out what politcal side of the once someone is on, is how you treat a person afterwards. Simply because I liked Reagan.

Need I remind you that you changed your ID to avoid my killfile, to get in one last jab. I pissed you off so bad, you were practically banging youre head against a wall.

>>As for being a general-class amateur, there are literally hundreds of
>>hammie websites that cover nothing but antennas,

That is about the 3rd time you have cracked on be about being a general. WHy does that bother you so much?

>not to mention the
>>ARRL manual. If he's so serious about radio, why beg a CB group for
>>info on how to install a prefab antenna?

Because knowing antenna theory in full, is not a requirement for ham or CB. It's a good thing you don't make the rules.

>>J.H.F.C, how did he pass the
>>exam without knowing a few antenna fundamentals?

Exactly. A few fundementals.

>>And if part of the
>>hobby is to learn about radio comm, why ask a CB group for tech info
>>when there are countless resources available for hams?

Because the Imax, is for my CB, and this is a CB group.

You and Lancer are coming out smelling like roses here. You 2 epitomize this newsgroup in it's old form. I simply ask a couple questions regarding a ground plane. Nothing more. There was no politcal tone, not finger pointing, nothing.

You had to come on, and rip me a new asshole. Remember when you asked me why I didn't take Dave Hall to task for the same reasons, I took you? Well, here it is. Let everyone see what kind of person.

As far as Lancer, I don't know what is up his ass. I don't know him from a hole in the wall, but from some reason, he cracks on me like I fucked his wife or something. Making comments about how I "think" about installing an antenna, or cracking because I won't build one from scratch. All because I asked about a ground plane question in a CB newsgroup.

Keep it up, both of you. Keep it going. Let everyone on this newsgroup see you for who you truly are.

Frank, while you are at it, get me a beer. And Lancer, I didn't fuck your wife, nor anyone of your family, nor do I know wnyone in your family. So when you want to tell eveyone here why you hate me so much, please do so. I sure eveyone is curious.

Vinnie S.

1322. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:35:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <stv85194qu3askqf8ai84h37er8f16fnhi@4ax.com>
Source record: 198347

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 20:14:16 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <epu851djjuma5m7amtiurg3gs257ggmt8l@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 17:15:08 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>
>>>Why should I be nice? I -was- nice. We have had civil converstations,
>>>and I even gave him enough info to install the antenna in his attic,
>>>which apparently worked quite well. Then he stuck his finger into the
>>>political lion cage and turned into a sniveling crybaby after getting
>>>scratched.
>>>
>>>As for being a general-class amateur, there are literally hundreds of
>>>hammie websites that cover nothing but antennas, not to mention the
>>>ARRL manual. If he's so serious about radio, why beg a CB group for
>>>info on how to install a prefab antenna? J.H.F.C, how did he pass the
>>>exam without knowing a few antenna fundamentals? And if part of the
>>>hobby is to learn about radio comm, why ask a CB group for tech info
>>>when there are countless resources available for hams? Isn't anyone
>>>elmering the kid?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>I thought your were elmering him Frank?
>>
>>He really doesn't need one anyway, he buys his antennas. Even
>>something as simple as a dipole, or sloper...
>
>
>Do you have a problem with that? Show me the rules on antenna requirements,
>please.

Looks like Vinnie is going to be a General-class appliance operator.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1323. Re: Need a Ground Plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:43:58 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <lvv8519dd03elpbk73oap82fn84sf55gc5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198348

On 6 Apr 2005 14:07:26 -0700, Pcpro15@gmail.com wrote:

>I want to use a mobile cb antenna on my house. I have mounting
>brackets and already have the power converted. I need a way to use my
>mobile 3/8 flex antenna outside and have an attiquate ground plane to
>keep my swr down. I saw a "tristar" on the internet which said it
>acted as a ground plane. I have not gotten an answer yet, but
>hopefully I will. I do not want to buy an no ground plane antenna
>because I will eventually move my old antenna to my truck when I get
>one. What can I use as a ground plane, and spending minimal amount of
>money.
>
>Thanks in advance

Greg,

Let me answer you because someone will most likely start mocking you at some point. I just stuck a 6 foot Firestik in the attic. This information comes from advice I got here. Most of the people here agree on 9 foot wire or tube. Wire is cheaper, tube is easier. Use a minimum of 3 radials, preferably 4. I might have pictures if you want them (I have to look). The only problem with wire is it is not rigid, and you have to tie it down. If you have some old copper tubing, or such that would work. But don't buy it, it's expensive. I guess some Romex wire would be rigid enough.

This setup worked well for me for some DX. I hit Mexico, Alabama, on it. Didn't work great for locals. I am in the country. If you are in the city, or suburbs, you might do better for locals. So because of that, I am getting ready to install an Imax.

Vinnie S.

1324. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:46:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dk09519739mpa3p35glbk6oanbe4kbhk5d@4ax.com>
Source record: 198349

On Tue, 5 Apr 2005 22:33:50 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 16:02:50 -0400, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>>
>>> Any time you add radials, especially the drooping type it will add a
>>> slight amount of gain. The added gain is most likely only a fraction
>>> of what the radial manufacturer will quote. The gain would be in the
>>> order of 1db. Hardly worth the cost and extra wind load.
>>
>>
>> That is basically what the manufacturer said. They also said it would
>> have more affect on Receive, than anything else.
>
>Probably not even enough to tell. Not by your ears anyway. I had an Antron
>with their stupid gpk-1, or whatever they called it, and I saw no diff at
>all. As stated earlier in this thread, I did see a lot more wind load.
>
>Don't do it Vinnie! ;-)

The only reason I might do it, is because it will be much much easier to install now, than after it's up. I can't do anything until I get that dipole. I don't feeling like doing to trenches and 2 installs. Might as well do it once.

Vinnie S.

1325. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:47:54 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <fp0951d23c1oromefa52tb0sb14mak4at3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198350

On 07 Apr 2005 00:02:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg

Oh !!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

1326. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:51:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406205101.500$By@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198351

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 00:02:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg
>
> Oh !!!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Kids' talented! hehehe

1327. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:53:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <a21951tf2s670vuh3ktgrv2pd1jsesjeu2@4ax.com>
Source record: 198352

On 07 Apr 2005 00:51:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 07 Apr 2005 00:02:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg
>>
>> Oh !!!!!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Kids' talented! hehehe

Moped, are you going to tear me a new asshole? Seems like the in thing !

Vinnie S.

1328. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 00:57:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050406205740.453$RB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198353

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 00:51:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 07 Apr 2005 00:02:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >http://img183.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img183&image=scan00037wt.jpg
> >>
> >> Oh !!!!!!!
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Kids' talented! hehehe
>
> Moped, are you going to tear me a new asshole? Seems like the in thing !
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Hell no..I've always got along with you for years now..

1329. Re: FA(G) Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 01:06:35 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <pq1951l1pccuv3h3kla70thhjslevr6ilc@4ax.com>
Source record: 198354

On 07 Apr 2005 00:57:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Moped, are you going to tear me a new asshole? Seems like the in thing !
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Hell no..I've always got along with you for years now..

I was kidding. But how dare I ask a CB antenna question in a CB newsgoup. Of all the nerve ! This is not the place for it. Especially because I liked Reagan !!!!

Vinnie S.

1330. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 01:14:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <g40951l3qjqhmf7fa5jkspq57bk93nbtoh@4ax.com>
Source record: 198355

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 20:32:59 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <2su85197rnkbci4sh1gv2ulqc6s6lgn3c0@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 17:15:08 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>
>>>Why should I be nice?
>
>That is a impossibility.

It's possible as long as you don't throw tantrums.

>>I -was- nice. We have had civil converstations,
>>>and I even gave him enough info to install the antenna in his attic,
>>>which apparently worked quite well. Then he stuck his finger into the
>>>political lion cage and turned into a sniveling crybaby after getting
>>>scratched.
>
>I said I liked Reagan. Nothing more. I didn't try to outdo your political
>knowledge. In fact, showing the phony you truly are, you complimented me on the
>fact I hate Bush Jr.

And I even let the subject drop and gave you the last word.

> Now I am a sniveling crybaby. How quickly we forget. Dave
>was right that once you find out what politcal side of the once someone is on,
>is how you treat a person afterwards. Simply because I liked Reagan.

I really don't care if you liked him or not. I liked the guy myself at
the time. He came across as a strong leader. But the more I learned
about him and his secret and illegal antics the more I changed my
perspective.

So I'll explain this once again: I made my comments about Reagan
because I thought you were a reasonable person and maybe didn't know
just how shady his administration really was. Then you threw a fit and
have been whining about it ever since. So what other conclusion can I
draw other than you are not a reasonable person?

>Need I remind you that you changed your ID to avoid my killfile, to get in one
>last jab. I pissed you off so bad, you were practically banging youre head
>against a wall.

You killfiled me and -still- couldn't resist the urge to complain
about how I desecrated your ignorance. Now you are responding to me
through a piggy-back post. Like I said before, killfiles don't work.

>>>As for being a general-class amateur, there are literally hundreds of
>>>hammie websites that cover nothing but antennas,
>
>That is about the 3rd time you have cracked on be about being a general. WHy
>does that bother you so much?

If you read the ARRL manual sometime you might learn that the hobby
comprises a little bit more than hooking up prefab components. But if
you want to waste your money and learn nothing about the hobby then
that's your choice, just like it's your choice to put me in your
killfile (LOL!).

>>not to mention the
>>>ARRL manual. If he's so serious about radio, why beg a CB group for
>>>info on how to install a prefab antenna?
>
>Because knowing antenna theory in full, is not a requirement for ham or CB. It's
>a good thing you don't make the rules.

Who said that you should learn "antenna theory in full"? If you are
going to put up an antenna and don't know if it requires a ground
plane or not, then wouldn't it be a good idea to learn the basics of
how the thing works? .....oh, that's right, you -do- know how an
antenna works because you passed the written for the General.

Silly me.

>>>J.H.F.C, how did he pass the
>>>exam without knowing a few antenna fundamentals?
>
>Exactly. A few fundementals.

Since when did the fundamentals not include the fuction of a ground
plane?

>>>And if part of the
>>>hobby is to learn about radio comm, why ask a CB group for tech info
>>>when there are countless resources available for hams?
>
>Because the Imax, is for my CB, and this is a CB group.

So does that mean a CB antenna operates on different priciples than a
ham antenna?

>You and Lancer are coming out smelling like roses here. You 2 epitomize this
>newsgroup in it's old form. I simply ask a couple questions regarding a ground
>plane. Nothing more. There was no politcal tone, not finger pointing, nothing.
>You had to come on, and rip me a new asshole. Remember when you asked me why I
>didn't take Dave Hall to task for the same reasons, I took you? Well, here it
>is. Let everyone see what kind of person.

Everyone here already knows I'm an asshole. Big deal. But now they
also know that you are a crybaby that can't even use a killfile.

>As far as Lancer, I don't know what is up his ass. I don't know him from a hole
>in the wall, but from some reason, he cracks on me like I fucked his wife or
>something. Making comments about how I "think" about installing an antenna, or
>cracking because I won't build one from scratch. All because I asked about a
>ground plane question in a CB newsgroup.

I can't speak for Lancer, but I know there are many people who think
that people should do their -own- homework. It's just plain lazy for
you, a General Class amateur, to hop on a CB group and ask if your
antenna needs a ground plane, especially when you can take five
minutes to learn -by yourself- what they are, what they do, and
whether your antenna needs one or not. Did you even read the
instructions that came with the antenna? Sheesh.

>Keep it up, both of you. Keep it going. Let everyone on this newsgroup see you
>for who you truly are.

Gladly. They will also see your incessant whining.

>Frank, while you are at it, get me a beer. And Lancer, I didn't fuck your wife,
>nor anyone of your family, nor do I know wnyone in your family. So when you want
>to tell eveyone here why you hate me so much, please do so. I sure eveyone is
>curious.

Tell ya what, Vinnie: You go read all the posts between us from the
beginning. Then come back and tell me exactly what triggered this
perpetual tantrum you are throwing..... maybe we can resolve this
issue before you take a dive off the deep end.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1331. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 01:22:05 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ko29515f37t7offiirqevusneimlc3apng@4ax.com>
Source record: 198356

On 05 Apr 2005 23:05:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >>
>> >> I will provide pictures of Ninnies antenna farm, just like steveo does
>> >> of Leland and doug's.
>> >>
>> >Try as hard as you can to be me, but you are still chrissy the sissy
>> >busch.
>>
>> That fucker would come within 20 miles of my self. He steps foot on my
>> property, and he is fair game !
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Forget about it. He's yella!

I figured that. Seems like he's got company in these keyboard chest thumpers.

Vinnie S.

1332. Re: Need a Ground Plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 01:30:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <de2951t7qkauv1t7rlbr9mu2b041hhia4q@4ax.com>
Source record: 198357

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 20:43:58 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <lvv8519dd03elpbk73oap82fn84sf55gc5@4ax.com>:

>On 6 Apr 2005 14:07:26 -0700, Pcpro15@gmail.com wrote:
>
>>I want to use a mobile cb antenna on my house. I have mounting
>>brackets and already have the power converted. I need a way to use my
>>mobile 3/8 flex antenna outside and have an attiquate ground plane to
>>keep my swr down. I saw a "tristar" on the internet which said it
>>acted as a ground plane. I have not gotten an answer yet, but
>>hopefully I will. I do not want to buy an no ground plane antenna
>>because I will eventually move my old antenna to my truck when I get
>>one. What can I use as a ground plane, and spending minimal amount of
>>money.
>>
>>Thanks in advance
>
>
>Greg,
>
>Let me answer you because someone will most likely start mocking you at some
>point. I just stuck a 6 foot Firestik in the attic. This information comes from
>advice I got here.

From me.

> Most of the people here agree on 9 foot wire or tube.

That will make a 'resonant' groundplane, better known as a
'counterpoise'. You can make a 'non-resonant' groundplane that will
work even better by making the wires as long as possible. And they do
not need to be the same length -- in fact, it's better if they aren't.

> Wire is
>cheaper, tube is easier. Use a minimum of 3 radials, preferably 4.

More is better.

> I might have
>pictures if you want them (I have to look). The only problem with wire is it is
>not rigid, and you have to tie it down. If you have some old copper tubing, or
>such that would work. But don't buy it, it's expensive. I guess some Romex wire
>would be rigid enough.

The groundplane radials do not need to be rigid. In fact, they don't
even need to be straight.

>This setup worked well for me for some DX. I hit Mexico, Alabama, on it. Didn't
>work great for locals. I am in the country. If you are in the city, or suburbs,
>you might do better for locals.

Vinnie, you local performance is poor because your take-off angle is
high. Your take-off angle is high because you didn't follow my
instructions to make the radials as long as possible.

> So because of that, I am getting ready to
>install an Imax.

Greg, here's the link I provided when Vinnie asked his groundplane
question:

http://www.bencher.com/pdf_download.html

Scroll down to "Tech Notes" at the bottom. Those five files have just
about everything you want to know about groundplanes.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1333. Power levels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 01:53:36 UTC
From: user <user@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <11594i2bu6jsq2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198358

So, are you guys who do DX on CB... what power levels do you use? 4W AM, or 12W SSB? When the skip is in, how far do you get on 4W AM vs. 12W SSB (assuming a nice big antenna on your roof)? How about when the skip isn't real good?

Just curious...

1334. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 02:55:59 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3j15e.446$RQ7.20@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198359

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:ksh851dbi8k1g514mfqj8dlevgq265vb75@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 13:35:44 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <QAR4e.2962$qD2.2013@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:k6a751h2mlg7oesspo0ta2nful257firnf@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 04:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote in <WbJ4e.1936$qD2.1499@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com...
>>> <snip>
>>>>> I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>OK, This is just an observation, so please don't take offence.
>>>
>>>
>>> You're kidding, right? You actually believe that Dave Hall is walking
>>> the line in the middle of the road? Come on, Shark..... did you turn
>>> into a pussy?
>>>
>>
>>Nope, but you didn't read the rest of the post before responding
>>to that statement, did ya ;)
>
>
> Yes, but I misread the quotes. I thought you wrote that ".....please
> don't take offence" line. My bad.
>
>
Eh, don't worry about it, no bigge.

>>> <snip>
>>>>> Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango. That was not meant as
>>>>> a criticism, just a neutral observation.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Of course, if you stopped responding to Twist & Frank this
>>>>political crap would stop, but that was not meant as criticism,
>>>>just a neutral observation ;)

>>>
>>>
>>> Ain't gonna happen. Not until the Rove/Bush regime leaves office.
>>> Check out Frontline next week. I don't even have to watch it and
>>> already I'm ready to freeband and run a linear in protest of the
>>> current renegade administration. Yes.... ME, the most vocal antagonist
>>> against illegals since Mark-whats-his-name. You think Twisty was bad?
>>> Just wait and see who is "elected" as the next president......
>>
>> LOL!!!! That would be a real cold day in Hell
>>for you to start freebanding & running power, but I do
>>believe your political convictions, just like Twist &
>>Dave's. My point for Dave was, he makes the statement
>>"two to tango" and yet when Jim asks him when he's
>>going to stop posting his political diatribes, Dave says
>>"You must have me confused with someone else. I
>>don't post political diatribes".
>
>
> Just another shining example of Dave's hypocrisy.
>
>
>> Politics & Religion are a never ending debate, that's
>>why I am going to stay out of that subject.
>
>
> Interesting perspective..... so any argument about religion or
> politics can never be won because the argument will live longer than
> ourselves?

Sure, you know better than that. More wars have
been started over religion than anything, so that will
be the case for decades, if not centuries to come, long
after you and I are long gone.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1335. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 02:55:59 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3j15e.447$RQ7.112@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198360

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24215-4253F483-590@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net...
From: s3buell@sbcglobal.net (Landshark)
"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:obp351hctj06sjdorvbub7oq7gee61bd4p@4ax.com...
On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com:
On Mon, 04 Apr 2005 17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham
<none@coldmail.com> wrote:
<snip>
> Vinnie's not a bad guy Frank,

>So I used to think as well, until he lost personal control.

We all have at one time or another.

>You saying he is not a bad guy is akin of me saying the same >thing about
>Geo regarding his attacks on you,

Maybe, but far cry on accusations twist, so you are comparing
apples with oranges.

>the nature of such unprovoked attacks
>notwithstanding. Did you miss Vinny
>blowing of his top?

Nope, but that was the way he felt. I think it was
a little over board, but nothing that couldn't be overcome

>The guy came apart over a typo that had nothing to
>do with him

Again, I've seen the same thing from almost everyone here

> ...perhaps that was his malfunction for the day, but it shows
> the guy's true self

I don't think it show his "true self", but does show a flaw
that can't be cured.

> and how he was moved by a simple posting of a url.
> Maybe if it was you he attacked for no reason other than
> incompetence

Maybe, but I have been the target so long, as you, It really doesn't bother to much anymore.

> and inability to control his nasty nature, you would view
> things differently, such as you do with Geo.

I suppose if that was the case, but I don't see him chasing Franks posts, posting false accusation, harassing every post he makes, big difference.

>you two

>should talk more radio, you'll probably find a

>lot more in common. Now Geo I see is still

>obsessed with me and male sex........pretty

>sad.

> Now,,I invoke to you the same exact reasoning you use for
> many of your complaints with Geo

Why would Doug, Geo, Frank (in the past), Dave among others do the same to you? Because they didn't like you're ideology? Freebanding? political views? our were they just plain trolling?
Twist, I'm not going to go around with you on this. I'm sure just like Mopar & Frank, things got off to a bad start, hopefully in the future things will be better, though one of them most likely have to breakdown and bury the hatchet.

>,,,,why would one attack another for no reason?

Frank has a very condescending type of tone, but unfortunately when typing one can't tell the "tone" of the type if they haven't been around it for a while.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1336. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 03:10:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <e399519ivaav2fjqnh4vo4h6stcutcnk0v@4ax.com>
Source record: 198361

On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 15:06:11 -0400, "Mad Dog" <me@privacy.net> wrote:

>Horizontal radials work simply as a ground plane/counterpoise while radials
>that are angled down and out at a 45 degree angle combine the benefits of a
>counterpoise with a impedance matching network to lower the input impedance
>of the antenna to a more friendly 50~ output impedance of the transmission
>line......P.S. Hello to those of you that remember me from way back ans as
>for the rest of you that have ruined this newsgroup........well, goodbye

Thank you and please stay. This newsgroup isn't bad at all with the use of a
killfile.

Vinnie S.

1337. Re: Leland is a sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 04:09:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hhc951de8cbh4ok3aldgj7apktk7n60id3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198362

On 07 Apr 2005 00:29:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Do they let freebanders in at Dayton?
>>
>Yes.

Moped,

Don't forget to call me before Dayton. I might have you pick up a CB for the car. I don't have one in there anymore.

Vinnie S.

1338. Re: Leland is a sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 11:16:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407071621.075$1z@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198363

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 00:29:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> Do they let freebanders in at Dayton?
> >>
> >Yes.
>
> Moped,
>
> Don't forget to call me before Dayton. I might have you pick up a CB for
> the car. I don't have one in there anymore.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Will do.

1339. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 11:31:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tk5a51pad91h4qd29bevncvvva6sknfelm@4ax.com>
Source record: 198364

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:55:50 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>>>I can find no reference to that quote (or even
>>>>>parts of it) anywhere.
>>>>
>>>>You have GOT to be kidding.
>>>>
>>>>I am not. If you have a site that backs your allegation, please
>>>>provide it.
>>>
>>>
>>>"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
>>>elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
>>>against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
>>> -- Tom Delay
>>>
>>>You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
>>>so you can hear it from his own mouth.
>>
>>It helps to get the quote correct. Twist did not, which explains why I
>>was unable to find it. Thank you for posting the proper syntax.
>
>
>It's not difficult, Dave. Just punch in the right keywords and scroll
>through the list.

If I were to do, as Twist naively suggested, and put in the words
"Schiavo" and "Delay", I get 1,300,000 hits. Now I don't know about
either you or he, but I don't have the time (nor Frankly the interest)
to sift though that many sites.

Partial clips of what Twist posted came up with nothing.

> It doesn't take long to find a partial quote. Then
>do a search on the partial quote and up pops the whole transcript.
>Took all of 10 minutes to do your work for you..... you're welcome.

Now that we have the exact proper quote, I can say that in many ways,
I agree with it. There are many on the left who are trying to
eradicate the conservative movement and vilify those with conservative
leanings.

>>>>
>>>>>Attacking another patriotic act
>>>>>repeatedly is doing your position no good.

>>>>
>>>>There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively ignoring laws we don't
>>>>agree with.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ironically, that's exactly what the Patriot Act does.
>>
>>You might think that. But the greater good justifies it in this case.
>
>
>Sacrificing the rights of a few to preserve the rights of the many is
>not consistent with the precept that "all men are created equal".

But the concept of the needs of the many outweighing the needs of the
few, is consistent with logic. Something I thought you understood.

>>><snip>
>>>>>This is not a republican or democrat issue, Dave, get over yourself.
>>>>>This is an issue of abuse by the Bush administration.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The Bush administration is not the one trying to stall the
>>>>constitutional process, by illegal uses of filibustering
>>>
>>>
>>>http://www.factcheck.org/article317.html
>>
>>
>>Thanks for the link. That's a pretty good primer for what a filibuster
>>is and how it's currently being abused, along with a look at how one
>>organization is distorting the issue to sway public opinion. I would
>>not want to see the filibuster completely eliminated. But I would
>>favor a time limit on such, so that a 60 vote majority would not be
>>required to override it. The filibuster was designed to promote
>>further discussion of issues, not to obstruct the workings of congress
>
>
>Maybe you didn't read the entire article, Dave.

Yes I did.

>The filibuster wasn't
>"designed" -- it was an accident. The only reason it has never been
>remedied is because both sides want to keep the filibuster as a tool
>for when their party holds the minority, and therefore prevent abuse
>of the simple majority on important issues that probably should
>require a super-majority, such as the appointment of a Supreme Court
>Justice.

Abuse of the simple majority? That's what the constitution calls for
on many of these votes. A filibuster is a way to circumvent the wishes
of the constitutional framers. You've claimed to be someone who

upholds the letter of the constitution. You should, if anyone, understand this. If, for whatever reason the consensus of the majority of citizens believe that the constitution should be changed to super-majority votes for many issues, then the constitution should be amended to reflect that.

>
>The fact is that Bush wants to push his judge through Congress, but he
>can't negotiate a deal because he's used up all his cards, and he's so
>desperate that he's willing to try to eliminate the filibuster.

Bush is not the one pushing this. Opposition of the obstructionist politics of filibustering democrats comes straight from congressional republicans. I'm sure Bush is in favor, but he can't do much about it.

> But he
>is so isolated from his own party that any vote to end the filibuster
>would probably be opposed by both Democrats -and- Republicans because
>they both want to -keep- the filibuster.

You are so far off the mark on this one, you should stop right now.

> Chances are that the stupid
>Democrats will block the vote with -- ironically -- a filibuster,
>thereby allowing the Republicans to save face and not look like they
>are opposed to Bush.

They're not. Congressional republicans are the ones talking about the "Nuclear Option" and other such fillibuster-busting issues.

>>We vote on many issues in congress using a simple 51% majority. That
>>is what the constitution provides. Using a filibuster so that the
>>minority can leverage the majority is unconstitutional.

>Again, read the article. The filibuster can be used for both good and
>bad, depending on your point of view.

I did (again). It is irrelevant the intent or motive of the filibuster. That it obstructs the normal workings of congress is the issue. One that flies in the face of the constitution.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1340. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 11:36:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <kg6a5197mi72rcinn1132ig2jddjatt41a@4ax.com>
Source record: 198365

On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 11:06:26 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
>elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
>against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½-- Tom Delay
>
>(You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
>so you can hear it from his own mouth.)
>
>>It helps to get the quote correct. Twist did not,
>>which explains why I was unable to find it.
>
>
>You claimed you could not find it anywhere, despite proper instruction
>to google "delay" and "schiavo" which would bring pages of examples.

Yea, 1,300,000 examples using only "Delay" and "Schiavo". Maybe you
have no life and enjoy searching through a million+ sites, but I'm not
that interested. Besides, you added that condition after I could not
find your "quote" listed anywhere.

>Your continual blaming me for your incompetence has always been part of
>what keeps me returning almost daily.

No, your continual need for attention is what keeps you returning
daily.

If the shoe fits.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1341. Re: Leland is a sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 11:37:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407073712.894$9W@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198366

I_Am_Not_Leland@youfool.com wrote:
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > > On 07 Apr 2005 00:29:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >> Do they let freebanders in at Dayton?
> > > >>
> > > >Yes.
> > >
> > > Moped,
> > >
> > > Don't forget to call me before Dayton. I might have you pick up a CB
> > > for the car. I don't have one in there anymore.
> > >
> > > Vinnie S.
> > >
> > Will do.
>
> You had better hide your real name and address. Otherwise I would tell
> the management at the Dayton flea market gate that a "freebander" who
> harasses hams, hides outside their homes, takes pics, etc., in other
> words a "stalker", will be attending and give them your name.
>
Tell them you're a pussy.

1342. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 11:57:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <cr6a51d7pt8s93sijjbj5m77qnj4j340v1@4ax.com>
Source record: 198367

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 04:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com...
>>>> >>>> Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who
>>>> >>>> is now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
>>>> >>>>
>>>> >>>> Dave
>>>> >>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>> >>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Agreed. Do you think Doug's & Geo's attacks on
>>>> >>>Mopar & I as childish?
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Landshark
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Yes. And it takes two to tango.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Dave
>>>> >
>>>> >
>Agreed, in the case of right vs. left it takes three to tango.

Usually a lot more.

>>>> > Good. If you are implying that I am egging him
>>>> >on, your wrong.
>>>>
>>>> You are not the only one on the other side.
>>>>
>>>We see the side you've chosen to be on. Fine company you keep.
>>
>> I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.
>>
>
>OK, This is just an observation, so please don't take offence.

I never do.

>>>> > Remember Dave, were talking about sic individuals
>>>> >that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
>>>> >language, create web pages with all sorts of false images
>>>> >& accusations.
>>>>

>>>> Hmm, some could argue the same for the other side, with the posting of
>>>> pictures of certain individuals and their antennas and such.
>>>
>
>Again, remember that Geo & Doug for YEARS have been
>making websites dedicated to Mopar & I, so where does the
>fact that in just a year Mopar posted pics of Dougs antenna
>say that he's started all this mess?

Where did I ever say that you guys started it? I never made any such insinuation. But at this point it really doesn't matter who started it. But truth be told, it won't ever stop as long as BOTH sides continue to pour gas on the fire. That's what I meant when I said "it takes two to tango". I agree with you that the whole thing is childish. I can't believe that Stevo would actually go to the trouble of driving over to "Doug's" over an internet issue. He risked a potential trespassing or assault charge all over an internet dispute. That reminds me of the arguments and challenges to fights that used to happen over the CB years back. I learned back then that if a hobby got that serious, that it was time to take a break from it. The cyber world and the real world should never cross unless it's on mutually good terms.

You guys should just resolve to conclude that the guys hassling you are not worth your trouble. You guys are better than that.

>>>Most here would say he deserves what gets as a consequence of his own
>>>actions. Or maybe he shouldn't fuck with someone like me that lives so
>>>close to him.
>>
>> That goes both ways. Perhaps if you didn't put on the appearance of
>> being somewhat bothered by those guys, they wouldn't be so quick to
>> hassle you. Remember, they didn't come looking for you.
>>
>
>You sure about that Dave? They've posted numerous peoples
>address's, phone #'s, job info, yup they didn't come looking for
>us.

I don't recall anyone's correct addresses and phone numbers being posted. There was a lot of speculation and accusations IIRC. But that alone is not worth a grain of salt. But hell, my address is in the FCC license database, as is "Doug's" and "George's", assuming they really are who you claim.

>> Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango. That was not meant as
>> a criticism, just a neutral observation.
>>
>
>Of course, if you stopped responding to Twist & Frank this
>political crap would stop, but that was not meant as criticism,
>just a neutral observation ;)

So you contend that I am the only reason those guys post political crap on this board? Do you really think I'm that important?

But assuming you are correct, I'm not complaining about Twist and Frank posting political stuff, as off the wall and conspiracy laden as it might be. If the day comes that I do complain, you are cordially invited to remind me that it takes two (or three in this case) to tango. ;-)

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1343. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 12:01:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <g28a51ttv42aqm0037oioc1cloir46j6eu@4ax.com>
Source record: 198368

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 02:28:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 04:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>wrote in <WbJ4e.1936$qD2.1499@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com...
><snip>
>>> I have chosen neither side. I am merely making an observation.
>>>
>>
>>OK, This is just an observation, so please don't take offence.
>
>
>You're kidding, right? You actually believe that Dave Hall is walking
>the line in the middle of the road?

Why not? One can be capable of taking multiple sides on multiple
different issues, including no side at all. Surely you are not so
binary oriented to understand that

>Check out Frontline next week. I don't even have to watch it and
>already I'm ready to freeband and run a linear in protest of the
>current renegade administration. Yes.... ME, the most vocal antagonist
>against illegals since Mark-whats-his-name. You think Twisty was bad?
>Just wait and see who is "elected" as the next president......

This should be good. What sort of leftist propaganda are you now
buying into?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1344. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 12:04:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <m88a51do6fj4jmr674p27sgaqsvuqfhn27@4ax.com>
Source record: 198369

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 13:35:44 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

> LOL!!!! That would be a real cold day in Hell
>for you to start freebanding & running power, but I do
>believe your political convictions, just like Twist &
>Dave's. My point for Dave was, he makes the statement
>"two to tango" and yet when Jim asks him when he's
>going to stop posting his political diatribes, Dave says
>"You must have me confused with someone else. I
>don't post political diatribes".

Ah, I see you didn't understand the subtle differences. I told Jim
that I don't post political diatribes. That is factually accurate, as
I have never yet started a thread which was politically oriented. I
do, however RESPOND to other's posts on political matters.

> Politics & Religion are a never ending debate, that's
>why I am going to stay out of that subject.

Probably a wise decision, unless you're like me and enjoy watching the
other side dance.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1345. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 12:17:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <if8a5190crr39gsq6fapjul3b4v6e2q3er@4ax.com>
Source record: 198370

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 13:40:23 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>> LOL!!!! That would be a real cold day in Hell
>>for you to start freebanding & running power, but I do
>>believe your political convictions, just like Twist &
>>Dave's. My point for Dave was, he makes the statement
>>"two to tango" and yet when Jim asks him when he's
>>going to stop posting his political diatribes, Dave says
>>"You must have me confused with someone else. I
>>don't post political diatribes".
>
>
>Just another shining example of Dave's hypocrisy.

I think it's time for a refresher course for you people who throw that
word out so indiscriminately.

hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sy (P) Pronunciation Key (h-pkr-s)
n. pl. hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sies

1. The practice of professing beliefs, feelings, or virtues that one
does not hold or possess; falseness.

2. An act or instance of such falseness.

When have I been hypocritical?

>> Politics & Religion are a never ending debate, that's
>>why I am going to stay out of that subject.
>
>
>Interesting perspective..... so any argument about religion or
>politics can never be won because the argument will live longer than
>ourselves?

Interesting response. When I made a similar statement, about the folly
in arguing religion and politics, you scoffed at it.

Perhaps some of us just enjoy sparring, knowing all too well that the
issue will never be resolved.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1346. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 12:25:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <489a51ls087ap7q3hnpjirikhd0jcj11vq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198371

On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 10:11:51 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>That was not meant as a criticism, just a
>>neutral observation.
>
>Sure, sure,,a "neutral" observation whose first knee jerk reaction when
>Dogie was busted was to jump to his defense and lie and say someone
>someone withdrew the complaint against him to the FCC.

You're lying again. I never made any such claim.

> See Dave, when
>the FCC places a hammie on the Rain Report and informs the world they
>have evidence before them that indicates he was in violation of FCC law,
>and you come along and attempt to say otherwise and that the FCC could
>be wrong, is in no manner "neutral", It's simply another case (of many)
>where you are not in any remote sense of the word "neutral".

I simply offered that based on Doug's "notoriety", that it was
potentially possible that he could have been framed. Nothing more. Yet
you zizz and drip over this as if I shot and killed the Pope, further
illustrating you obsessiveness.

> The contingency....

....of one (you).

> recognizes this fact and several have illustrated and
>commented on your position and hypocrisy.

You don't know the meaning of the word.

>The again, it is your right to
>insist on remaining detached from reality and refusing to view yourself
>as you really are, such as how others and the majority view you.

Ah yes, the majority of people who you base support by the number of
magazines that sell which contain your fishing articles.

>You
>claiming the majority misunderstands you

No, you are claiming there's a "majority" (Which has been strangely
silent), the truth is there is only you.

>, via explaining what you
>"really" meant (usually accompanied by you applying incorrect

>definitions of words and terms) adds to the joke.

Provide even ONE example of my misuse of any term.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1347. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 12:39:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <gt9a51t86ph70n6rhknoa03mr9o4qe1mfo@4ax.com>
Source record: 198372

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 20:32:59 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 17:15:08 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>>And if part of the
>>>hobby is to learn about radio comm, why ask a CB group for tech info
>>>when there are countless resources available for hams?
>
>Because the Imax, is for my CB, and this is a CB group.

Gee, that's makes good sense to me. I wonder why other people didn't
understand that?

>Keep it up, both of you. Keep it going. Let everyone on this newsgroup see you
>for who you truly are.

That's true for all of us.

>
>Frank, while you are at it, get me a beer. And Lancer, I didn't fuck your wife,
>nor anyone of your family, nor do I know wnyone in your family. So when you want
>to tell eveyone here why you hate me so much, please do so. I sure eveyone is
>curious.

Welcome to the unwashed world of the internet. Since everyone is
comfortable behind their keyboards, there is no incentive for anyone
to be the least bit polite. So for anyone to remain here, you have to
learn to become hardened to that type of behavior. Some people truly
want to exchange ideas. Other people are only interested in "tweaking"
people for the pure entertainment value. I admit that I get a certain
amount of pleasure tweaking Twisty, as his responses are so
predictable.

If I started caring about the people who didn't like me and the
reasons why, I would have left here long ago. I'm especially
suspicious of the motives of people who take deliberate steps to hide
their identity. In many ways, I'm just like Frank. The difference is
that I don't get flippant with other people until they do so to me
first. I give everyone the benefit of the doubt initially. It's hard
to exchange ideas with people if everyone is pissed off at each other.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1348. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 12:44:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407084442.281$tu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198373

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>I can't believe that Stevo would actually go to the trouble
> of driving over to "Doug's" over an internet issue.
>
You don't know me very well then. I enjoy schooling keyboard tuff guys..or
anyone who's bark is bigger than their bite. It's how my Dad brought me up.

1349. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 12:53:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <njaa51t18rmur7ic5kuc2mcipa0g8b6inb@4ax.com>
Source record: 198374

On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 18:14:13 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>If you read the ARRL manual sometime you might learn that the hobby
>comprises a little bit more than hooking up prefab components. But if
>you want to waste your money and learn nothing about the hobby then
>that's your choice, just like it's your choice to put me in your
>killfile (LOL!).

There are a lot of ham appliance operators. While it smacks at the
original intended purpose of the service, there's nothing expressly
prohibited about it. There are also those guys who are subject matter
experts on one facet of the hobby, and who know very little about
others. Such is the nature of such a multi-faceted service.

>>>>And if part of the
>>>>hobby is to learn about radio comm, why ask a CB group for tech info
>>>>when there are countless resources available for hams?
>>
>>Because the Imax, is for my CB, and this is a CB group.
>
>
>So does that mean a CB antenna operates on different priciples than a
>ham antenna?

>I can't speak for Lancer, but I know there are many people who think
>that people should do their -own- homework. It's just plain lazy for
>you, a General Class amateur, to hop on a CB group and ask if your
>antenna needs a ground plane, especially when you can take five
>minutes to learn -by yourself- what they are, what they do, and
>whether your antenna needs one or not. Did you even read the
>instructions that came with the antenna? Sheesh.

A few things.

First off, despite what this group has devolved into in the last few
years, it originally was a place to discuss experiences with different
aspects of radio. It's one thing to read a 2-dimensional book about a
subject. It's another thing to actually talk with people who have
"been there and done that". Tapping that experience is far more
rewarding than generalized book comments.

I would also be skeptical about the antenna's instructions. The
company has a pecuniary interest in promoting "accessories" which have
questionable value from a performance standpoint.

Remember that the paper never refuses ink. Just because something is printed in a book, does not mean that it is 100% true, or accurate in all cases.

My hat's off to Vinnie for trying to at least swing the discussions back to radio related topics, as opposed to the sniping and bickering over politics and who's gay.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1350. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 13:05:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <1iba511qr06ri5mr49pcukhbv49k7cami9@4ax.com>
Source record: 198375

On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:26:18 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:41:07 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <vkm5515nkq57gq3r0o5uo1po604q442qu1@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Should I return it?
>
>
>Probably. If you want a decent antenna that you can use for both CB
>-and- ham you should check out that link for the $4 cheapie (that I
>provided in a post without insult). It will probably cost -you- about
>$20 more because it requires a tuner which you probably don't have.
>The idea is to just throw a couple wires in the trees and load them up
>with the tuner -- that's it. It works better than any Imax or Antron,
>it can be used for whatever power and spectrum is handled by the tuner
>(usually 2-30 MHz), you can change the antenna at any time, you don't
>have to worry about SWR, it's cheap, and it's so easy even a Geico
>customer can do it.

A tuner-fed non-resonent length dipole is not the best solution for
CB. It is woefully inefficient and would be the wrong polarity for the
majority of CB work. They worked well on the ham bands because most
H.F contacts are DX in nature and you're relying on atmospheric
propagation to do most of the work. Try to work another ham 30 miles
away on the H.F bands and it is surprising how difficult it can be
with those wire antennas.

I ran a home brewed wire dipole on CB years ago, and used it in
addition to my main 5/8th wave antenna. While the dipole worked well
when the skip was running, locally, the signal from the dipole was a
few "S" units less than the ground plane. With 4 watts of power, you
don't get much range on a horizontal wire dipole strung in a tree.

For ham band use, I agree with you, just not for CB.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1351. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 13:06:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <92ca51lnk1golchvit45d71krkufkgdck0@4ax.com>
Source record: 198376

On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 10:40:29 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnieï¿½S.)
>OK, I purchased the Imax-2000 which is a 5/8 wave antenna. There are 2
>options for a ground plane kit:
>1. First is a four fiberglass 6 foot radials, angled down (appears 45
>degrees), as seen here:
>http://www.durhamradio.com/s/customer/product.php?productid=42819&cat=1684&page=1
>2. This is another kit. It has four aluminum 7 foot radials. This is
>horizontal, and not angeled, as seen here:
>http://www.majestic-comm.com/products/IMAGES/powersupply/Boomer
>Which one would be better?
>Vinnie S.
>_
>Ground plane kits for fiberglass antennas are designed to only relieve
>your wallet.

For once, you and I are in full agreement.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1352. Mopeydopey flips his wrist some more! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 13:15:44 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112879744.291264.292200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198377

moparholic@hotmail.com wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > HAHAHAHAH...post some more proof that you cant stop or influence a
> > thing the AKC does, wrist flipper.
> >
> http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif

Inside your pus contaminated, malodorous lump of feces that passes for a brain, you are so desperate. However, wrist flipper, there is absolutely nothing you can do to stop the AKC.

Wouldn't it be nice for you to not have a little pea sized brain, mopeydopey? I bet you pray for a brain.

We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground, mopeydopey. We are not interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get excited.

Poor little mopeydopey, can't stop the AKC from doing a thing. It would sure be a bummer to be a wrist flipping keyclown like you. Why don't you stay home from the truckstops, get yid of that dog yer ole lady got, and start trying to NOT be such a winged wand carrier and taxidermist?

Wrist flipper cwys and cwys in fwustration. As usual, wrist flipper just gets laughed at. Poor widdle keycwon boy.

1353. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some more! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 13:22:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407092230.444$yE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198378

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif
>
> We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground, mopeydopey.
>
We are past the introduction, fugly felon. I introduced myself to
you in person already, remember?

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

>
> We are not
> interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get excited.
>
I asked you for a fair boxing ring match and you declined, remember that
when you see me again.

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have. Doug Adair

1354. Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 14:01:27 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112882487.621241.263910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198379

Steveo wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif
> >
> > We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground, mopeydopey.
> >
> We are past the introduction, fugly felon. I introduced myself to
> you in person already, remember?
>
> http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
>
> >
> > We are not
> > interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get excited.
> >
> I asked you for a fair boxing ring match and you declined, remember
that
> when you see me again.

Izzat so? Well, then you will have a chance to hide, since you think you know whats up. You have fallen spectacularly, dopeymopie...and it is a real laugh to watch. We notice that in your sissy reply you made no mention of the fact that nothing you do can stop the AKC. That's the bottom line, not your garbage, punk.

What fun it is to know that even now you don't have a clue whats going on around you. When you find out it will have already been known to almost everyone else.

Nothing you can do will stop the AKC, nothing. That is your entire reason for this impotent, wrist flipping rage. Must be bad to be completely unable to cause any change at all in something you just can't stand. It would be awful to be as sissy and frustrated as you are. For YEARS now, you have said you were gonna stop this and gonna stop that. ALL of your words have turned out to be BS. That's all this last post is too. You know it because look how you writhe and squeal like a stuck hog in your agony over being so impotent.

Writhe, cry, threaten, forge, whine, curse...whatever. You are to this day not one inch closer to stopping the AKC than when you foolishly decided to try. You know it and it eats you up. We know it and it cracks us up.

The simple fact is that you are a stupid, sissyboy, wrist flipping keyclown who cannot stop the AKC. That's the truth and you can't take it. That is all this is about. You spoiled baby, crying at age 4 for

candy and toys at wal mart. Crying now because of being trained to cry for your way from childhood. That's all you are doing and everyone knows it but you.

You can't take it, mopeydopey, keyclown boy. You can't take it.

1355. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 15:01:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407110152.380$VS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198380

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> > http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif
> > >
> > > We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground, mopeydopey.
> > >
> > We are past the introduction, fugly felon. I introduced myself to
> > you in person already, remember?
> >
> > http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
> >
> > >
> > > We are not
> > > interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get excited.
> > >
> > I asked you for a fair boxing ring match and you declined, remember
> that
> > when you see me again.
>
> Izzat so? Well, then you will have a chance to hide, since you think
> you know whats up.
>
Did -I- hide when I introduced myself to you in person? NO! -YOU- were
the one hiding, you fish hooked spined weakling. I will never hide from
the likes of you...quite the contrary, I've experienced first hand what
a fucking coward you are, and expect the same from you in May.

I'll have people there to make sure it's just you and I, felon-boi.

You made your bed, now you're gonna live in it.
>

> We notice that in your sissy reply you made
> no mention of the fact that nothing you do can stop the AKC.
>
We? you need your meds adjusted dogie. I'll adjust your attitude
for you real quick like.

How do you propose I 'stop the AKC (another keyboard coward) from
acting like a retarded fool. I coudn't care less about that.

Now it's down to doug vs steve and I've seen what you're all about. I
honestly feel sorry for you and the consequences you're about to
experience. Remember, you asked for it.

1356. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 15:15:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pija519perr68tp19gmdggfbdt1bhm28l2@4ax.com>
Source record: 198381

On 07 Apr 2005 12:44:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>I can't believe that Stevo would actually go to the trouble
>> of driving over to "Doug's" over an internet issue.
>>
>You don't know me very well then. I enjoy schooling keyboard tuff guys..or
>anyone who's bark is bigger than their bite. It's how my Dad brought me up.

Fair enough. But is a guy like Doug really worth all your effort?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1357. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 15:19:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407111952.070$dr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198382

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 12:44:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>I can't believe that Stevo would actually go to the trouble
> >> of driving over to "Doug's" over an internet issue.
> >>
> >You don't know me very well then. I enjoy schooling keyboard tuff
> >guys..or anyone who's bark is bigger than their bite. It's how my Dad
> >brought me up.
>
> Fair enough. But is a guy like Doug really worth all your effort?
>
There's really not been much extra effort so far. I had to go to
Flat Rock Michigan for some Mopar parts from a friend of mine up
there the last time I visited the felon. I'm going to do some radio
gear shopping in Dayton in May, so once again I'll be in the neighborhood.

A guy like Doug needs mental help real bad, I almost feel sorry for him.

1358. Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 15:35:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407113542.392$oA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198383

This will squash any legal leg you thought you had to stand on, felon-boi.

N8WWM makes threats to Dayton hamvention participants:

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
I volunteer to show ya how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have. Doug Adair

1359. Re: Leland is a sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 16:11:32 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EMmdnXtUYqEpxsjfRVn-uA@giganews.com>
Source record: 198384

Steveo wrote:
> I_Am_Not_Leland@youfool.com wrote:
>>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 07 Apr 2005 00:29:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>> Do they let freebanders in at Dayton?
>>>>>>
>>>>> Yes.
>>>>
>>>> Moped,
>>>>
>>>> Don't forget to call me before Dayton. I might have you pick up a
>>>> CB for the car. I don't have one in there anymore.
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>> Will do.
>>
>> You had better hide your real name and address. Otherwise I would
>> tell the management at the Dayton flea market gate that a
>> "freebander" who harasses hams, hides outside their homes, takes
>> pics, etc., in other words a "stalker", will be attending and give
>> them your name.
>>
> Tell them you're a pussy.

lol..

Give the guys at Dayton some credit. They'll be able to tell that
themselves. One look is all it'll take. ;-)

Jeff

1360. Re: Leland is a sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 16:20:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407122040.214$uv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198385

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > I_Am_Not_Leland@youfool.com wrote:
> >> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >>> On 07 Apr 2005 00:29:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> Do they let freebanders in at Dayton?
> >>>>
> >>>> Yes.
> >>>
> >>> Moped,
> >>>
> >>> Don't forget to call me before Dayton. I might have you pick up a
> >>> CB for the car. I don't have one in there anymore.
> >>>
> >>> Vinnie S.
> >>>
> >> Will do.
> >>
> >> You had better hide your real name and address. Otherwise I would
> >> tell the management at the Dayton flea market gate that a
> >> "freebander" who harasses hams, hides outside their homes, takes
> >> pics, etc., in other words a "stalker", will be attending and give
> >> them your name.
> >>
> > Tell them you're a pussy.
>
> lol..
>
> Give the guys at Dayton some credit. They'll be able to tell that
> themselves. One look is all it'll take. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
Hehehe...I'd sure like to hear him snivel to the guy at the gate!

1361. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 17:55:12 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112896512.748694.179190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198386

Steveo wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >> > http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif
> > > >
> > > > We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground, mopeydopey.
> > > >
> > > We are past the introduction, fugly felon. I introduced myself to
> > > you in person already, remember?
> > >
> > >
http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
> > >
> > > >
> > > > We are not
> > > > interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get
excited.
> > > >
> > > I asked you for a fair boxing ring match and you declined,
remember
> > that
> > > when you see me again.
> >
> > Izzat so? Well, then you will have a chance to hide, since you
think
> > you know whats up.
> >
> Did -I- hide when I introduced myself to you in person? NO! -YOU-
were
> the one hiding, you fish hooked spined weakling. I will never hide
from
> the likes of you...quite the contrary, I've experienced first hand
what
> a fucking coward you are, and expect the same from you in May.
>
> I'll have people there to make sure it's just you and I, felon-boi.
>
> You made your bed, now you're gonna live in it.
> >
>
> > We notice that in your sissy reply you made
> > no mention of the fact that nothing you do can stop the AKC.
> >

> We? you need your meds adjusted dogie. I'll adjust your attitude
> for you real quick like.
>
> How do you propose I 'stop the AKC (another keyboard coward) from
> acting like a retarded fool. I coudn't care less about that.
>
> Now it's down to doug vs steve and I've seen what you're all about. I
> honestly feel sorry for you and the consequences you're about to
> experience. Remember, you asked for it.

Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in the side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?

Face it, there's nothing you can do. Not one single thing. Poor dopeymopey, can't change a thing.

By the way, as for you "feeling sorry", I quite agree. You are sorry. Not apologetic, but for sure sorry. Come on gutless forge boy, tell us all how your frustrated self is gonna do this and do that. You know you aint, but it makes you feel better.

As for it being down to Doug and Steve, you sick gutless freek...Steve is not your name. Whine all you want, keyclown. You have provided endless amusement for many people, so we don't want ya to stop.

1362. Re: Leland is a sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 18:09:49 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mpCdnanRzbPx6sjfRVn-hg@giganews.com>
Source record: 198387

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> I_Am_Not_Leland@youfool.com wrote:
>>>>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 07 Apr 2005 00:29:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Do they let freebanders in at Dayton?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Yes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Moped,
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Don't forget to call me before Dayton. I might have you pick up a
>>>>>> CB for the car. I don't have one in there anymore.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>>>>
>>>>> Will do.
>>>>
>>>> You had better hide your real name and address. Otherwise I would
>>>> tell the management at the Dayton flea market gate that a
>>>> "freebander" who harasses hams, hides outside their homes, takes
>>>> pics, etc., in other words a "stalker", will be attending and give
>>>> them your name.
>>>>
>>> Tell them you're a pussy.
>>
>> lol..
>>
>> Give the guys at Dayton some credit. They'll be able to tell that
>> themselves. One look is all it'll take. ;-)
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> Hehehe...I'd sure like to hear him snivel to the guy at the gate!

Idiot: But, but,but...

Guy at the gate: I ain't no motorboat, boy. Git, git, git ,git, git, git on outta here... ;-)

Jeff

1363. Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 18:34:01 UTC
From: Big Kahuna <none@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <none-AA2BC6.11340107042005@news.stanford.edu>
Source record: 198388

Greets.

I drive across country twice a year in an '02 Toyota Camry. I was thinking that this time (next month), it might be fun to get a CB for the trip.

I've been away from radio for ~20 years so I have no clue on current gear. I don't want an expensive, in-dash installation. But I don't want a junker portable either. And what's the best solution for an antenna these days (I know that makes a *huge* difference in performance)? Roof magnetic? Trunk magnetic? Something else? Obviously, I'd prefer not to have some rinky-dink thing that plugs into the cig lighter, runs a wire through a permanently open window to a badly matched (horrible SWR) magnetic roof mount and gets horrible reception, etc.

Suggestions appreciated.

de N6OEF

1364. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 19:13:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407151319.451$7q@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198389

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > >> > http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif
> > > > >
> > > > > We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground, mopeydopey.
> > > > >
> > > > We are past the introduction, fugly felon. I introduced myself to
> > > > you in person already, remember?
> > > >
> > > >
> http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
> > > >
> > > > > We are not
> > > > > interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get
> excited.
> > > > >
> > > > I asked you for a fair boxing ring match and you declined,
> remember
> > > that
> > > > when you see me again.
> > >
> > > Izzat so? Well, then you will have a chance to hide, since you
> think
> > > you know whats up.
> > >
> > Did -I- hide when I introduced myself to you in person? NO! -YOU-
> were
> > the one hiding, you fish hooked spined weakling. I will never hide
> from
> > the likes of you...quite the contrary, I've experienced first hand
> what
> > a fucking coward you are, and expect the same from you in May.
> >
> > I'll have people there to make sure it's just you and I, felon-boi.
> >
> > You made your bed, now you're gonna live in it.
> > >
> >
> > > We notice that in your sissy reply you made
> > > no mention of the fact that nothing you do can stop the AKC.

> > >
> > We? you need your meds adjusted dogie. I'll adjust your attitude
> > for you real quick like.
> >
> > How do you propose I 'stop the AKC (another keyboard coward) from
> > acting like a retarded fool. I coudn't care less about that.
> >
> > Now it's down to doug vs steve and I've seen what you're all about. I
> > honestly feel sorry for you and the consequences you're about to
> > experience. Remember, you asked for it.
>
> Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in the
> side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?
>
I'm a fairly proficient boxer and 6 foot 220 lbs. I'll leave you to
guess what I have in store for you, fucktard.

Don't chickenshit out..can you use your 6 meter wire on your jaw?

Oh, I almost forgot to ask, will crisco kathy be there? My buddy
wants to bring this broad that fought Tanya Harding just for her. ha!

1365. Re: Need a Ground Plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 19:35:15 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20414-42558B73-766@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198390

From: Pcpro15@gmail.com
>I was going to make it kind of mobile. If I want

>to use the cb, I would want to set it on the

>roof.

That's a heck of a place for a cb, but it WILL get you some extra height
<g>.

1366. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 20:02:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20414-425591BA-769@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198393

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 04:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:55h5511oduma86k6lumnqm29piblh84101@4ax.com...
Yet another example of someone who had their feelings hurt and who is
now on a personal vendetta. It's childish in any case.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

(Remember Dave, were talking about sic
individuals that send you virus, threatening emails & posts, foul vulgar
language, create web pages with all sorts of false images & accusations.
)

>Hmm, some could argue the same for the

>other side, with the posting of pictures of

>certain individuals and their antennas and

>such.

The acts you describe will not support "some" who would argue such a
position.

(Again, remember that Geo & Doug for YEARS have been making websites
dedicated to Mopar & I, so where does the fact that in just a year Mopar
posted pics of Dougs antenna say that he's started all this mess?)

>Where did I ever say that you guys started it?

Umm,,this is where your failed memory comes into play, Davie. Go
ahead,,for the record and enjoyment benefit of those who may have missed
your claim,,,,,..deny you never claimed that illegal cbers started the
mess with Dogie by what you claimed was "flaunting" their illegal
actions. Off you go, now.

>I never made any such insinuation.

TYVM. You came right out and said it,,there was no "insinuation".

>But at this

>point it really doesn't matter who started it.

Not to you, because you support those who began it. This was illustrated
when the FCC busted Dogie and told the world via the Rain Report he was
breaking FCC rules by jamming. YOU, came on here and offered incompetent

armchair lawyering on Dogie's behalf, conjuring and lamenting to the group that someone withdrew the complaint against Dogie....all AFTER the fact the FCC reported him as jamming repeaters.

>But

>truth be told, it won't ever stop as long as

>BOTH sides continue to pour gas on the fire.

>That's what I meant when I said "it takes two

>to tango". I agree with you that the whole thing
>is childish. I can't believe that Stevo would

>actually go to the trouble of driving over to

>"Doug's" over an internet issue.

LOL..and many others can not believe an extra class hammie such as yourself, who continually self-qualifies himself as some type of knowledegable person regrading the laws that govern his hobby, would erroneously continue to claim dxers and freebanders are federal criminals, and not be able to comprehend the definition of civil disobedience verses criminal disobedience and all that pertain to such. Nevertheless, your education has been completed on this subject, as you were reduced and forced to admitting your views holding dxers as federal criminals all comes down to matter of perception (yours), which happens to be wrong (as illustrated by the US judicial system as to what differentiates civil verses criminal courts, actions, and penalties.)

>He risked a potential trespassing or assault

>charge all over an internet dispute.

He risked no assault charge. He was invited. If you need scholling on the legality of this issue as well, say the word.

zz>That reminds me of the arguments and

>challenges to fights that used to happen over

>the CB years back.

Yearn for the past, live in the past,,,it's not relevant.

(Most here would say he deserves what gets
as a consequence of his own actions. Or
maybe he shouldn't fuck with someone like me that lives so close to him.)

>That goes both ways. Perhaps if you didn't put
>on the appearance of being somewhat

>bothered by those guys, they wouldn't be so

>quick to hassle you. Remember, they didn't

>come looking for you.

(You sure about that Dave? They've posted numerous peoples address's, phone #'s, job info, yup they didn't come looking for us.)

>I don't recall anyone's correct addresses and

>phone numbers being posted.

Only because you impossibly hold yourself as some sort deity who thinks what is deemed correct and incorrect can only be judged by yourself.

> There was a lot of speculation and

>accusations IIRC. But that alone is not worth a
>grain of salt.

My electronic logs most certainly are, and it got several isp's yanked.

> But hell, my address is in the

>FCC license database, as is "Doug's" and

>"George's", assuming they really are who you

>claim.

You address is wrong, Dave. You have yet to provide the FCC with the correct address of where you lay your head at night.

>Like I said to Landshark, it takes two to tango.

>That was not meant as a criticism, just a

>neutral observation.

A "neutral" observation is not possible from one who claimed Dogie's FCC bust was recanted by a complainant who turned him in. This, in addition to the rest of your mess, shows you know shit about the law. When the FCC tells the world a hammie is guilty, their evidence regarding the hammie breaking the law is practically iron-clad.

(Of course, if you stopped responding to Twist & Frank this political crap would stop, but that was not meant as criticism, just a neutral observation ;)

>So you contend that I am the only reason

>those guys post political crap on this board?

>Do you really think I'm that important?

Only you exhibit an unquenchable thirst for status you are denied among us. The rest have been educated.

>But assuming you are correct, I'm not

>complaining about Twist and Frank posting

>political stuff, as off the wall and conspiracy

>laden as it might be.

Not everyone takes your personal complaining of Frank and myself as "off the wall" and "conspiracy laden"....that's your paranoia acting up..it just seems like everyone thinks that of you.

>If the day comes that I do complain, you are

>cordially invited to remind me that it takes two

>(or three in this case) to tango. ;-)

It doesn't really matter what is responsible for your years and years of complaints concerning illegal radio activity, as you are in denial it ever occurred. I will admit one thing, you have left one hell of a legacy for your child to read some day. Better hope you're dead and gone long before she finds it.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1367. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 20:09:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rn4b511apofofru02oqp8uudii12ud4alo@4ax.com>
Source record: 198392

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 07:31:08 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <tk5a51pad91h4qd29bevncvvva6sknfelm@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:55:50 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>>>>I can find no reference to that quote (or even
>>>>>>>parts of it) anywhere.
>>>>>
>>>>>>You have GOT to be kidding.
>>>>>
>>>>>I am not. If you have a site that backs your allegation, please
>>>>>provide it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
>>>>elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
>>>>against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
>>>> -- Tom Delay
>>>>
>>>>You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
>>>>so you can hear it from his own mouth.
>>>
>>>It helps to get the quote correct. Twist did not, which explains why I
>>>was unable to find it. Thank you for posting the proper syntax.
>>
>>
>>It's not difficult, Dave. Just punch in the right keywords and scroll
>>through the list.
>
>If I were to do, as Twist naively suggested, and put in the words
>"Schiavo" and "Delay", I get 1,300,000 hits. Now I don't know about
>either you or he, but I don't have the time (nor Frankly the interest)
>to sift though that many sites.
>
>Partial clips of what Twist posted came up with nothing.

Well, if you really want to brag about how you are too stupid to find
the referenced quote when it only took me ten minutes, I certainly
have no objection.

>> It doesn't take long to find a partial quote. Then
>>do a search on the partial quote and up pops the whole transcript.
>>Took all of 10 minutes to do your work for you..... you're welcome.
>

>Now that we have the exact proper quote, I can say that in many ways,
>I agree with it. There are many on the left who are trying to
>eradicate the conservative movement and vilify those with conservative
>leanings.

Flip-flop!

>>>>>
>>>>>>Attacking another patriotic act
>>>>>>repeatedly is doing your position no good.
>>>>>
>>>>>There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively ignoring laws we don't
>>>>>agree with.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Ironically, that's exactly what the Patriot Act does.
>>>
>>>You might think that. But the greater good justifies it in this case.
>>
>>
>>Sacrificing the rights of a few to preserve the rights of the many is
>>not consistent with the precept that "all men are created equal".
>
>But the concept of the needs of the many outweighing the needs of the
>few, is consistent with logic. Something I thought you understood.

Star Trek is fiction, Dave. Civil rights are applicable to each person
as individuals, not as "the many" or "the few".

>>>><snip>
>>>>>>This is not a republican or democrat issue, Dave, get over yourself.
>>>>>>This is an issue of abuse by the Bush administration.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The Bush administration is not the one trying to stall the
>>>>>constitutional process, by illegal uses of filibustering
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>http://www.factcheck.org/article317.html
>>>
>>>
>>>Thanks for the link. That's a pretty good primer for what a filibuster
>>>is and how it's currently being abused, along with a look at how one
>>>organization is distorting the issue to sway public opinion. I would
>>>not want to see the filibuster completely eliminated. But I would
>>>favor a time limit on such, so that a 60 vote majority would not be
>>>required to override it. The filibuster was designed to promote
>>>further discussion of issues, not to obstruct the workings of congress
>>
>>
>>Maybe you didn't read the entire article, Dave.

>
>Yes I did.
>
>>The filibuster wasn't
>>"designed" -- it was an accident. The only reason it has never been
>>remedied is because both sides want to keep the filibuster as a tool
>>for when their party holds the minority, and therefore prevent abuse
>>of the simple majority on important issues that probably should
>>require a super-majority, such as the appointment of a Supreme Court
>>Justice.
>
>Abuse of the simple majority? That's what the constitution calls for
>on many of these votes. A filibuster is a way to circumvent the wishes
>of the constitutional framers. You've claimed to be someone who
>upholds the letter of the constitution. You should, if anyone,
>understand this. If, for whatever reason the consensus of the majority
>of citizens believe that the constitution should be changed to
>super-majority votes for many issues, then the constitution should be
>amended to reflect that.

I agree -- it should be amended. It should also be amended to set an
upper limit on the number of people assigned to each Representative in
the House, among other things.

>>The fact is that Bush wants to push his judge through Congress, but he
>>can't negotiate a deal because he's used up all his cards, and he's so
>>desperate that he's willing to try to eliminate the filibuster.
>
>Bush is not the one pushing this.

Crawl out of your bunker, Dave -- the Bush administration is clearly
the motivating force behind the proposal because he has too many
issues coming up that are going to be facing a filibuster, such as the
impending Supreme Court vacancy, the renewal of the Patriot Act, etc,
etc. And since it's the intention of Rove to establish a permanent
Republican majority, the elimination of the filibuster is the next
logical step towards that objective.

> Opposition of the obstructionist
>politics of filibustering democrats comes straight from congressional
>republicans. I'm sure Bush is in favor, but he can't do much about it.

Republicans have also used the filibuster on many occasions -- don't
make it sound like it's a tool used exclusively by the evil Democrats.

>> But he
>>is so isolated from his own party that any vote to end the filibuster
>>would probably be opposed by both Democrats -and- Republicans because
>>they both want to -keep- the filibuster.
>
>You are so far off the mark on this one, you should stop right now.

I don't think so, Dave. In fact, I don't think the proposal will make
it to a Senate vote -because- of Republican dissent.

>> Chances are that the stupid
>>Democrats will block the vote with -- ironically -- a filibuster,
>>thereby allowing the Republicans to save face and not look like they
>>are opposed to Bush.
>
>They're not. Congressional republicans are the ones talking about the
>"Nuclear Option" and other such fillibuster-busting issues.

Talk is cheap. Votes are not. It won't get past the hill.

>>>We vote on many issues in congress using a simple 51% majority. That
>>>is what the constitution provides. Using a filibuster so that the
>>>minority can leverage the majority is unconstitutional.
>
>>Again, read the article. The filibuster can be used for both good and
>>bad, depending on your point of view.
>
>I did (again). It is irrelevant the intent or motive of the
>filibuster. That it obstructs the normal workings of congress is the
>issue. One that flies in the face of the constitution.

How is the filibuster unconstitutional, Dave? It doesn't obstruct the
normal workings of Congress -- it is -PART OF- the normal workings of
Congress. If it were unconstitutional it would have been repealed 200
years ago!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1368. Re: Power levels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 20:09:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fr4b51988v9qbmte8nuv6s2ik45vollll7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198391

On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 19:53:36 -0600, "user" <user@cableone.net> wrote in
<11594i2bu6jsq2b@corp.supernews.com>:

>So, are you guys who do DX on CB... what power levels do you use? 4W AM, or
>12W SSB? When the skip is in, how far do you get on 4W AM vs. 12W SSB
>(assuming a nice big antenna on your roof)? How about when the skip isn't
>real good?
>
>Just curious...

The 11-meter band is very fickle. When skip is rolling, you can hear
just about anything that puts out a couple watts. When DX is dead,
it's dead regardless of how much power you run. For local chatter,
legal power for SSB is almost ideal because if you can hear a station,
you can usually hit it with 12 watts SSB.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1369. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 20:21:41 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20414-42559655-771@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198395

From: s3buell@sbcglobal.net (Landshark)
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24215-4253F483-590@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net... From:
s3buell@sbcglobal.net (Landshark) "Frank Gilliland"
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in message
news:obp351hctj06sjdorvbub7oq7gee61bd4p@4ax.com... On Mon, 04 Apr 2005
19:20:17 -0500, landsharkdeepthroatsmen
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns962ECF3E7DAFC1234567890@216.196.97.136>: Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:4qh3515v7jvqfetpb21vqcmf689r2cvq2b@4ax.com: On Mon, 04 Apr 2005
17:53:15 -0400, Vinnie the Helpless Ham <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
<snip>
Vinnie's not a bad guy Frank,

So I used to think as well, until he lost
personal control.

>We all have at one time or another.

Yes, but......Tthe difference is, a decent human being can admit he's
wrong and offer an apology for such. You've done it, in addition to many
other regs here. Some have these -ego- problems that will not permit it.
You saying he is not a bad guy is akin of me saying the same thing about
Geo regarding his attacks on you,

>Maybe, but far cry on accusations twist, so

>you are comparing apples with oranges.

Unprovoked attacks accompanied with school yard name calling is what I
refer....
the nature of such unprovoked attacks
notwithstanding. Did you miss Vinny
blowing of his top?

>Nope, but that was the way he felt.

The you viewed his hypocrisy in singling one person out for a typo. If
he truly felt this way, his posts would be chock full of such complaints
and emotional uncontrols. The fact that his posts lack this, illustrate
another problem he is experiencing, one that goes well beyond a typo and
the way he feels about such.

>I think it was a little over board, but nothing

>that couldn't be overcome

The guy came apart over a typo that had nothing to do with him.

>Again, I've seen the same thing from almost

>everyone here

  I never see the majority crying and carrying on about typos.
..perhaps that was his malfunction for the day, but it shows the guy's
true self

>I don't think it show his "true self", but does

>show a flaw that can't be cured.

He exercised an optional choice to attack a poster as opposed to the
topic of the post, blamed it on a typo, and was called on it. Shows how
he was moved by a simple posting of a url. Maybe if it was you he
attacked for no reason other than incompetence

>Maybe, but I have been the target so long, as

>you, It really doesn't bother to much anymore.

It never bothered me. In fact, once I realized how much energy these
type devote to causes of which they are impotent, it became not just
entertaining, but amusing because of the control they willingly but not
consciously give up. In fact, those who go as far as to actually place
blame on other usenet particapants as the cause of their personal woes,
all share the same characteristics. Dogie blames Moped for his problems,
Geo blames you, and Dave blames me. While Geo seems to be more of a
loner, Dave and Dogie actually -look- to others for support. Such is
recognized by their deliberate misattributing quotes (Dave) to others,
lies (the three of them, including Lelnad) and the invocation of
un-named and unsolicited sources as supporting their positions (Dave and
Dogie).

>I suppose if that was the case, but I don't see

>him chasing Franks posts, posting false

>accusation, harassing every post he makes,

>big difference.

Check again. He's replying to those he claims are killfiled and calling
names in each post, taking about people's families and the such.

>you two

>should talk more radio, you'll probably find a

>lot more in common. Now Geo I see is still

>obsessed with me and male sex........pretty

>sad.

Now,,I invoke to you the same exact reasoning you use for many of your complaints with Geo

>Why would Doug, Geo, Frank (in the past),

>Dave among others do the same to you?

>Because they didn't like you're ideology?

>Freebanding? political views? our were they

>just plain trolling?

Ok. Since you asked, I'm going to spill some beans, here. Doug lost control when he came on here. When it was found that he had real problems of the mind, I immediately backed off my responses to him. It's not fair and it's not right to hit a child because they hit you. A simple reprimand every once in a while is sufficient. Mopar handles that quite well. In fact, the mere fact that Mopar handles Dogie as he does, is testament how serious he takes Dogie's threats.
  Frank?.....well, Frank and I went around concerning the legality issue. Now that the dx is much more sporadic and less, I'm more legal than Dave or Leland. After a few emails, I found Frank to be not that different from myself regarding certain key life skills, education. Even when we disagreed, I could learn something from Frank, as he was at least consistent with all his positions. Dave, otoh, has self-contradicted himself so many times and is known on this group by the majority as a major hypocrite. Such instantly compromises whatever integrity he managed to retain, which, after taking his posts concerning the defense of Dogie into consideration, is zero.

>Twist, I'm not going to go around with you on

>this. I'm sure just like Mopar & Frank, things

>got off to a bad start, hopefully in the future

>things will be better, though one of them most

>likely have to breakdown and bury the hatchet.
,,,,why would one attack another for no reason?
>Frank has a very condescending type of tone,

>but unfortunately when typing one can't tell the "
>tone" of the type if they haven't been around it

>for a while.

That's another parallel. Frank went as far as to come out and say "I'm an asshole" on occasion. Way back during my infancy of arrival, I stated I do not play well with others, do not much care what others think for the most part, and work better alone. This leads to self-created problems for a certain type of personality when they encounter people such as Frank or myself, especially when they possess a controlling personality, an unquenchable yearning and hunger for status, and are used to most people in their world deferring to them. Dave falls into

this category. He speaks of other's feelings being hurt because -his- feelings are hurt. He projects and regurgitates all over usenet, just as he did in trying to say he never blamed anyone for the Dogie/Geo mess. He most certianly has, on many occasion, and has used the legality/illegality as his excuse to act the fool.

Lelnad,,well, his hatred of cb is well documented, yet he remains here for the education. If that isn't enough, his unprovoked attacks against dxers (Nebo comes to mind immediately, just for starters) confirms his agenda.

There are those who have perfectly acceptable beefs concerning legalties and illegalities. The beefs belonging to the type of which I speak, are personal. Vinnie appears to be in this category. I base this opinion only on HIS comments and continual unprovoked attacks and responses to those he somehow feels are in his killfile. Nevertheless,.....
..when is your next fishing trip down south?

> Landshark

1370. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 20:26:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20414-4255977A-772@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198394

David T. Hall jr. (sandbagger) N3CVJ wrote:
>I admit that I get a certain amount of pleasure

>tweaking Twisty,

Shouting your ignorance to the world concerning you not knowing the difference between civil and criminal law as relates to your hobby and referring to it as "tweaking" another when that person educates you, is your right.

>as his responses are so

>predictable.

Sure they are, and everyone is aware my posts are predictable when responding to your inept claims, as my responses are a sound and accurate education regarding the law you fail to comprehend as relates to criminal and civil matters, laws, and disobediences. :)~

1371. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 20:35:31 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20414-42559993-774@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198396

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 11:06:26 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
      -- Tom Delay
(You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
so you can hear it from his own mouth.)

>It helps to get the quote correct. Twist did not,

>which explains why I was unable to find it.

You claimed you could not find it anywhere, despite proper instruction
to google "delay" and "schiavo" which would bring pages of examples.

>Yea, 1,300,000 examples using only "Delay"

>and "Schiavo".

Yet, you claimed you could not find it anywhere. Now you say 1,300,000
hits.

>Maybe you have no life and enjoy searching

>through a million+ sites, but I'm not that

>interested.

Click on the first three or four. They all contained the information.
But,,,,, you contradicted yourself. First you said you could find
nothing, then you claim over a million hits.

>Besides, you added that condition after I

>could not find your "quote" listed anywhere.

Only because you needed taught and properly instructed what key words to
search, as you did not possesss the faculties to do it on your own. you
admitted such. Your continual blaming me for your incompetence has
always been part of what
keeps me returning almost daily.

>No, your continual need for attention is what

>keeps you returning daily.

Actually, you faulting me for your life's failure is more fun.

>If the shoe fits.....

The only shoe that fits is the one you continually are made to chew regarding your steadfast refusal/inability to learn the difference between civil and criminal matters. Don't get mad and personal, Dave...get educated.

Now, since you admitted to agreeing with Delay, the world knows in addition to your warped admitted socialistic beliefs, you believe God brought Schiavo to the forefront to illustrate the attacks against the conservatives. Dave,,,far be it from me to argue your position as a radical religious fundamentalist. Frank is right,,,,your justification of the ends justifying the means is heavily dependent upon what you do not know.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cv

1372. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 20:46:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20414-42559C10-775@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198397

Also wanted to let you know, the feds came and banged on B-o-b Noxious' door last week,,,the day after his I mentioned his relocation party ...Hahahahahaha!!
The poor bastard in Tampa some say were responsible for the miss, was so embarrassed he had no comment to the press.
A party bash is being thrown as a fundraiser for Bob on April 24 at the Globe Coffee Lounge, 532 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg. (I'm not taking personal calls, but fan mail, as well as threats of violence will be recorded for posterity). Not only are we supporting B-o-b and his current show, we are hoping to raise enough cash so that he can buy bigger and better equipment...perhaps a boat...shit,,,you want to know how long a pirate radio station can last on a boat and heckle the FCC? I'll bet you would :)
I should have the article to post tomorrow.

1373. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 21:20:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <lq8b51lf8blvu8jbe16ukkae74q394msdj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198398

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 14:34:53 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 20:14:16 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>Do you have a problem with that? Show me the rules on antenna requirements,
>>please.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>Of course there aren't any Vinnie, you come into the group, ask
>questions about antennas. When someone trys to give you ideas about
>building one or how it works, you don't want to hear anything about
>it.

I don't have a problem with that. I have a problem with you making comments about me "thinking" of installing an antenna. Making comments snide comments about buying antennas. That is perfectly my choice.

>You have in your mind what you want, come here ask questions, and
>when the anwers aren't what you expected, you take it personal.

Maybe they should just answer the question. Hint, when I asked about a ground plane on an antenna, you answer "why don't you experiment and build your own antenna?".

Vinnie S.

1374. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 21:23:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <039b5156jrbtd5rmv9e8dn9gbiipi1cgci@4ax.com>
Source record: 198399

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 08:53:34 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 18:14:13 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>If you read the ARRL manual sometime you might learn that the hobby
>>comprises a little bit more than hooking up prefab components.

I took the hobby up a little over a month ago. I don't have a Frank intelligence pill, which I swallow and am all knowledgable in 2 hours.

>But if
>>you want to waste your money and learn nothing about the hobby then
>>that's your choice, just like it's your choice to put me in your
>>killfile (LOL!).

I want to get on the air in as short time as possible. Starting to build stuff from scratch, when you just entered the hobby, isn't the way to do tit.

Snipped the rest of the useless babble.

Vinnie S.

1375. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 21:27:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <c89b51178h43dugd9sic51l59d3qf3mt4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 198400

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 14:51:23 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>As far as Lancer, I don't know what is up his ass. I don't know him from a hole
>>in the wall, but from some reason, he cracks on me like I fucked his wife or
>>something. Making comments about how I "think" about installing an antenna, or
>>cracking because I won't build one from scratch. All because I asked about a
>>ground plane question in a CB newsgroup.
>
>First of all, learn to quote.
>
>Second, nothing is up my ass. I wouldn't call my responses to you as
>cracking on you. My only response to you about the Imax was " neither
>one" Because neither ground plane kits you listed would make a
>signicant difference to your antenna.
>
>I have also not made any wise ass cracks about fucking my wife. Maybe
>you should look back through the archives. The worst comment I have
>made to you is that 'I didn't mean to piss you off"
>
>Go through your list
>
>"Your family get-togethers must be a blast."
>
>Cracking on me and my family Vinnie?

Right. I remember perfectly well. That was because you cracked on my because I quoted the Firestik website as th antennas being an electrical 5/8. You made a snide comment, finished off by an LOL. You could have simply said the website was innaccurate. But no. You have to make the comment. The only thing I questioned was why that made you laugh out loud. And I said if that made you laugh so hard, you family gatherings must be a blast.

Vinnie S.

1376. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 21:31:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9e9b51hp32573n7b47fj2m79c82vfs5iis@4ax.com>
Source record: 198401

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 15:01:34 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 20:32:59 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 17:15:08 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>Frank, while you are at it, get me a beer. And Lancer, I didn't fuck your wife,
>>nor anyone of your family, nor do I know wnyone in your family. So when you want
>>to tell eveyone here why you hate me so much, please do so. I sure eveyone is
>>curious.
>>
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>Poor baby, get off my wife and family, if you can?
>
>BTW, I'm not worried about you fucking my wife or family.

I don't fuck anybody's wife, except my own.

>You would need an instruction manual for that, and we all know you
>don't read instruction manuals.

Good one !!!!!!

>
>Do what you always do, ask the group, and then when you don't get the
>exact answer you were looking for, get mad....

Keep it going. Let everyone see.

Vinnie S.

1377. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 21:34:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ho9b511vqv26bkt989hblr0i3mc8032bcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 198402

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 08:53:34 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>My hat's off to Vinnie for trying to at least swing the discussions
>back to radio related topics, as opposed to the sniping and bickering
>over politics and who's gay.

Totally fucking amazing. I ask a CB antenna question is a CB newsgroup, the the fucking demons come out. Well, I am glad about one thing. People here are finally getting to see who he assholes are.

Vinnie S.

1378. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 21:47:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1112910434.446498.162270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198403

moparholic@hotmail.com wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> > > > > >> > http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground, mopeydopey.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > We are past the introduction, fugly felon. I introduced
myself to
> > > > > you in person already, remember?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > >
http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > We are not
> > > > > > > interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get
> > excited.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I asked you for a fair boxing ring match and you declined,
> > remember
> > > > > that
> > > > > > > when you see me again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Izzat so? Well, then you will have a chance to hide, since you
> > think
> > > > > you know whats up.
> > > > > >
> > > Did -I- hide when I introduced myself to you in person? NO! -YOU-
> > were
> > > > the one hiding, you fish hooked spined weakling. I will never
hide
> > from
> > > > the likes of you...quite the contrary, I've experienced first
hand
> > what
> > > > a fucking coward you are, and expect the same from you in May.
> > > >
> > > I'll have people there to make sure it's just you and I,

felon-boi.
> > >
> > > You made your bed, now you're gonna live in it.
> > > >
> > >
> > > > We notice that in your sissy reply you made
> > > > no mention of the fact that nothing you do can stop the AKC.
> > > >
> > > We? you need your meds adjusted dogie. I'll adjust your attitude
> > > for you real quick like.
> > >
> > > How do you propose I 'stop the AKC (another keyboard coward) from
> > > acting like a retarded fool. I coudn't care less about that.
> > >
> > > Now it's down to doug vs steve and I've seen what you're all
about. I
> > > honestly feel sorry for you and the consequences you're about to
> > > experience. Remember, you asked for it.
> >
> > Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in
the
> > side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?
> >
> I'm a fairly proficient boxer and 6 foot 220 lbs. I'll leave you to
> guess what I have in store for you, fucktard.
>
> Don't chickenshit out..can you use your 6 meter wire on your jaw?
>
> Oh, I almost forgot to ask, will crisco kathy be there? My buddy
> wants to bring this broad that fought Tanya Harding just for her. ha!

It will be sunday. AKC will be in your home area on Sat. Bring yer ole lady too, and her dog. Very funny how you still aint said where yer gonna be, wristflipper.

Remember, you take it how it gets delivered, the only rule is that you don't make any. Your sissy self is a pathetic mewling pustule of idiocy.

The only fair fight is when you win, dum dum...don't expect people to act like you think they should. There isnt enough sissy in every other sissy on earth to make up 1% of the sissiness in you, so we couldnt if we wanted to.

Come on dopeymopey, ya tardboy wristflipper...tell us where yer gonna be and what vehicle to look for. Also, tell us what we are going to "experience" from you? Come on you turd with legs and a mouth, speak like a good trained keyclown.

We see how you ignored the true statement that it cant get down to Doug and Steve since your name isn't Steve. We see how you whine and cry, like you did as a kid in WalMart, whining for toys and candy.

The fun part is knowing whats gonna happen in advance, and knowing you don't.

1379. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:11:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9119-4255B012-238@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198408

>Ah, I see you didn't understand the subtle

>differences. I told Jim that I don't post political

>diatribes. That is factually accurate, as I have

>never yet started a thread which was politically
>oriented.

Hello, Dave. How's it been going? No one accused you of starting
anything relating to politics. You seem unduly paranoid lately.

>I do, however RESPOND to other's

>posts on political matters.

And you accomplish this with telepathy? No, you ~post~ your responses.

(Politics & Religion are a never ending

debate, that's why I am going to stay out of

that subject.)

>Probably a wise decision, unless you're like

>me and enjoy watching the other side dance.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

Still waging imaginary wars and taking up "sides". Will wonders never
cease?

1380. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:14:38 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9vbb515dns72l5av5ea22j6fudk0kanbqg@4ax.com>
Source record: 198404

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:04:58 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>Right. I remember perfectly well. That was because you cracked on my because I
>>quoted the Firestik website as th antennas being an electrical 5/8. You made a
>>snide comment, finished off by an LOL. You could have simply said the website
>>was innaccurate. But no. You have to make the comment. The only thing I
>>questioned was why that made you laugh out loud. And I said if that made you
>>laugh so hard, you family gatherings must be a blast.
>>
>>
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>No Vinnie;
> All my comments and LOL were against Firesticks WEB page.

Um, no. First, I will quote you:

"First of all, learn to quote:

So, I will quote:

"LOL! Looks like the same e-mail that firestick sent to me when I told
them they didn't have a 6 foot 5/8 wave antenna.

A 5/8 wave antenna a feedpoint impedance of about
150 - j600 ohms.

Now if you think that will match your CB, hey more power to you.
I have this bridge for sale... you know the rest... "

There it is. An exact quote. I am glad you taught me to quote. In any case, that
is a snide comment as I can tell. You could have simply said the website was
lying or inaccurate. But you took the high road.

>I'm
>sorry if you took that the wrong way. It wasn't the first time I have
>laughed at Firesticks claims, nor will it be the last. Please quit
>taking stuff so personal.

If that is an olive branch, I will accept. Please confirm.

Vinnie S.

1381. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:15:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407181551.305$xy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198405

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> moparholic@hotmail.com wrote:
> > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> > > > > > >> > http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground, mopeydopey.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > We are past the introduction, fugly felon. I introduced
> myself to
> > > > > > you in person already, remember?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > >
> http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > We are not
> > > > > > > interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get
> > > excited.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I asked you for a fair boxing ring match and you declined,
> > > remember
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > when you see me again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Izzat so? Well, then you will have a chance to hide, since you
> > > think
> > > > > you know whats up.
> > > > >
> > > Did -I- hide when I introduced myself to you in person? NO! -YOU-
> > > were
> > > > > the one hiding, you fish hooked spined weakling. I will never
> hide
> > > from
> > > > > the likes of you...quite the contrary, I've experienced first
> hand
> > > what
> > > > > a fucking coward you are, and expect the same from you in May.
> > > >

> > > > I'll have people there to make sure it's just you and I,
> felon-boi.
> > > > >
> > > > > You made your bed, now you're gonna live in it.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > We notice that in your sissy reply you made
> > > > > > no mention of the fact that nothing you do can stop the AKC.
> > > > > >
> > > > > We? you need your meds adjusted dogie. I'll adjust your attitude
> > > > > for you real quick like.
> > > > >
> > > > > How do you propose I 'stop the AKC (another keyboard coward) from
> > > > > acting like a retarded fool. I coudn't care less about that.
> > > > >
> > > > > Now it's down to doug vs steve and I've seen what you're all
> about. I
> > > > > honestly feel sorry for you and the consequences you're about to
> > > > > experience. Remember, you asked for it.
> > > >
> > > > Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in
> the
> > > > side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?
> > > >
> > > I'm a fairly proficient boxer and 6 foot 220 lbs. I'll leave you to
> > > guess what I have in store for you, fucktard.
> > >
> > Don't chickenshit out..can you use your 6 meter wire on your jaw?
> >
> > Oh, I almost forgot to ask, will crisco kathy be there? My buddy
> > wants to bring this broad that fought Tanya Harding just for her. ha!
>
> The only fair fight is when you win, dum dum
>
Yep, that's usually the end result dogie, and after seeing you in person
there's no doubt it will be the end result again.

Bring all your wares too...we're gonna have a dogie pound mess before
it's all said and done.

Bring lelnad too, I want to introduce him to my friend "Rude". hehehe

1382. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:21:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <nfcb51l5iea0t3vktffdm0nv11d0e25h10@4ax.com>
Source record: 198406

On 07 Apr 2005 19:13:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>> Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in the
>> side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?
>>
>I'm a fairly proficient boxer and 6 foot 220 lbs. I'll leave you to
>guess what I have in store for you, fucktard.

Hey Moped, you are a pretty good size for our over-30 baseball team. We play in a wood bat league. Can you pitch? Better yet, can you look mean and ugly, and pitch? You could be our Gossage !!!! We need a friggin closer. Our starters are out of gas after 7 innings.

Vinnie S.

1383. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:24:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dlcb5111s8r11eitia5eo0hg8tg1klt1mc@4ax.com>
Source record: 198407

On 07 Apr 2005 22:19:20 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Fuck him Lancer when the pics of his house and antennas go up on the web
>> you will see him cry like a baby. more so than he has done here in a
>> short period of time... Boy will this be fun.
>>
>What will stop Vin from going to
>
>1248 WARWICK PL
>ALLENTOWN PA 18104
>USA
>
>to take your pic, sissy?

LOL. I dare him to come to this house. Like I said, one foot on the property, and he is fair game.

Vinnie S.

1384. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:24:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407182445.354$Cr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198409

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 19:13:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >>
> >> Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in the
> >> side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?
> >>
> >I'm a fairly proficient boxer and 6 foot 220 lbs. I'll leave you to
> >guess what I have in store for you, fucktard.
>
> Hey Moped, you are a pretty good size for our over-30 baseball team. We
> play in a wood bat league. Can you pitch? Better yet, can you look mean
> and ugly, and pitch? You could be our Gossage !!!! We need a friggin
> closer. Our starters are out of gas after 7 innings.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Sign me up! I'm a lefty too. :D

1385. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:26:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <nqcb5193ib1tufd0i5hkmph6eqe5le49tt@4ax.com>
Source record: 198410

On 07 Apr 2005 22:24:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 07 Apr 2005 19:13:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >>
>> >> Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in the
>> >> side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?
>> >>
>> >I'm a fairly proficient boxer and 6 foot 220 lbs. I'll leave you to
>> >guess what I have in store for you, fucktard.
>>
>> Hey Moped, you are a pretty good size for our over-30 baseball team. We
>> play in a wood bat league. Can you pitch? Better yet, can you look mean
>> and ugly, and pitch? You could be our Gossage !!!! We need a friggin
>> closer. Our starters are out of gas after 7 innings.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Sign me up! I'm a lefty too. :D

Shit yeah !!!! There are like 3 lefty pitchers in the whole league !!!!! They
are impossible to find.

Vinnie S.

1386. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:28:20 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cvcb515j99tf3dq46021ppv86u3qtchcf9@4ax.com>
Source record: 198411

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:26:58 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>If that is an olive branch, I will accept. Please confirm.
>>
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>Absolutely...

Water under the bridge. No hard feelings.

Vinnie S.

1387. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:28:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407182842.850$I7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198412

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 22:24:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 07 Apr 2005 19:13:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in
> >> >> the side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?
> >> >>
> >> >I'm a fairly proficient boxer and 6 foot 220 lbs. I'll leave you to
> >> >guess what I have in store for you, fucktard.
> >>
> >> Hey Moped, you are a pretty good size for our over-30 baseball team.
> >> We play in a wood bat league. Can you pitch? Better yet, can you look
> >> mean and ugly, and pitch? You could be our Gossage !!!! We need a
> >> friggin closer. Our starters are out of gas after 7 innings.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Sign me up! I'm a lefty too. :D
>
> Shit yeah !!!! There are like 3 lefty pitchers in the whole league !!!!!
> They are impossible to find.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
My old man used to try and persuade me to pitch as a youngin' but I was
more into football and wimmin. I should of listened to him!

1388. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:29:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407182933.472$FX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198413

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:26:58 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
> >>If that is an olive branch, I will accept. Please confirm.
> >>
> >>
> >>Vinnie S.
> >
> >Absolutely...
>
> Water under the bridge. No hard feelings.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Cool. I like both you guys.

1389. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:31:53 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <apcb51lklv68hm7af0ufr1oi56d5gu0k94@4ax.com>
Source record: 198414

On Thu, 7 Apr 2005 16:35:31 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<20414-42559993-774@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 11:06:26 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>"One thing that God has brought to us is Terry Schiavo, to help us
>elevate the visibility of what is going on in America & of attacks
>against the conservative movement, against me and many others."
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½-- Tom Delay
>(You can find it all over the net. In fact, several sites have the MP3
>so you can hear it from his own mouth.)
>
>>It helps to get the quote correct. Twist did not,
>
>>which explains why I was unable to find it.
>
>You claimed you could not find it anywhere, despite proper instruction
>to google "delay" and "schiavo" which would bring pages of examples.
>
>>Yea, 1,300,000 examples using only "Delay"
>
>>and "Schiavo".
>
>Yet, you claimed you could not find it anywhere. Now you say 1,300,000
>hits.

Check this out:

A search for "delay" and "schiavo" gets 1,300,000 hits. Five of the
first ten hits referenced the remarks by Tom DeLay.

A search for "tom delay" and "schiavo" gets 553,000 hits. The first
ten hits displayed were direct links to either the full quote or to a
story about his remarks. In fact, the following link is the very first
hit and it contains the full quote:

http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,1040968,00.html

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1390. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:32:16 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <95db515tvs841ejhesav0nucd5n2r2tnic@4ax.com>
Source record: 198415

On 07 Apr 2005 22:28:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >>
>> >Sign me up! I'm a lefty too. :D
>>
>> Shit yeah !!!! There are like 3 lefty pitchers in the whole league !!!!!
>> They are impossible to find.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>My old man used to try and persuade me to pitch as a youngin' but I was
>more into football and wimmin. I should of listened to him!

Dude, you can play this. They throw about 75 mph average. This is a rec league.
Nothing more than exercise with nice uniforms. Much more fun than softball.

Vinnie S.

1391. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:34:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407183436.843$UI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198416

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 22:19:20 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >wrote:
> >> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> >> news:7abb51p07gst3m5tj35gn99r8d27uvklgo@news.easynews.com:
> >>
> >> > On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 17:27:29 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 14:51:23 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>>>As far as Lancer, I don't know what is up his ass. I don't know
> >> >>>>him from a hole in the wall, but from some reason, he cracks on me
> >> >>>>like I fucked his wife or something. Making comments about how I
> >> >>>>"think" about installing an antenna, or cracking because I won't
> >> >>>>build one from scratch. All because I asked about a ground plane
> >> >>>>question in a CB newsgroup.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>First of all, learn to quote.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Second, nothing is up my ass. I wouldn't call my responses to you
> >> >>>as cracking on you. My only response to you about the Imax was "
> >> >>>neither one" Because neither ground plane kits you listed would
> >> >>>make a signicant difference to your antenna.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>I have also not made any wise ass cracks about fucking my wife.
> >> >>>Maybe you should look back through the archives. The worst comment
> >> >>>I have made to you is that 'I didn't mean to piss you off"
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Go through your list
> >> >>>
> >> >>>"Your family get-togethers must be a blast."
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Cracking on me and my family Vinnie?
> >> >>
> >> >>Right. I remember perfectly well. That was because you cracked on my
> >> >>because I quoted the Firestik website as th antennas being an
> >> >>electrical 5/8. You made a snide comment, finished off by an LOL.
> >> >>You could have simply said the website was innaccurate. But no. You
> >> >>have to make the comment. The only thing I questioned was why that
> >> >>made you laugh out loud. And I said if that made you laugh so hard,

> >> >>you family gatherings must be a blast.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>Vinnie S.
> >> >
> >> > No Vinnie;
> >> > All my comments and LOL were against Firesticks WEB page. I'm
> >> > sorry if you took that the wrong way. It wasn't the first time I
> >> > have laughed at Firesticks claims, nor will it be the last. Please
> >> > quit taking stuff so personal.
> >>
> >> Fuck him Lancer when the pics of his house and antennas go up on the
> >> web you will see him cry like a baby. more so than he has done here in
> >> a short period of time... Boy will this be fun.
> >>
> >What will stop Vin from going to
> >
> >1248 WARWICK PL
> >ALLENTOWN PA 18104
> >USA
> >
> >to take your pic, sissy?
>
> I have a couple of 33' phased verticals he can take a picture of...
>
He'd have to make quite a hard right turn first wouldn't he? :)

1392. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:36:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407183625.002$1U@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198417

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 22:28:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >>
> >> >Sign me up! I'm a lefty too. :D
> >>
> >> Shit yeah !!!! There are like 3 lefty pitchers in the whole league
> >> !!!!! They are impossible to find.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >>My old man used to try and persuade me to pitch as a youngin' but I was
> >>more into football and wimmin. I should of listened to him!
>
> Dude, you can play this. They throw about 75 mph average. This is a rec
> league. Nothing more than exercise with nice uniforms. Much more fun than
> softball.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Sounds like more fun than the football league I was on last
year..tackle/flag with no gear. Shame on the mess.

1393. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:38:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <98db51hrt11rs5hs7qcl1ti2s8mhr4unhs@4ax.com>
Source record: 198419

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:30:07 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>> No Vinnie;
>>> All my comments and LOL were against Firesticks WEB page. I'm
>>> sorry if you took that the wrong way. It wasn't the first time I have
>>> laughed at Firesticks claims, nor will it be the last. Please quit
>>> taking stuff so personal.
>>
>>Fuck him Lancer when the pics of his house and antennas go up on the web
>>you will see him cry like a baby. more so than he has done here in a
>>short period of time... Boy will this be fun.
>
>He dosen't live that far from you does he?

Nope. And I was in Bethlehem today. And I am in Allentown quite often. I cover the eastern part of PA. But he is shit to me. He means nothing in my life. I won't go look for him, and waste my time. He issued the the challenge. I never issued an challenge to meet him. He came on this board, and put his challenge on the archive, and said he was going to take pictures of my antennas, and post them. There is no way he can do that, the way my property is laid out. He would physically have to go onto my property. And once he does that he is fair game. And since all this is in black and white, and I know his call sign, the FCC has the evidence they need.

Believe me. I have nothing to worry about.

Vinnie S.

1394. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:39:10 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4255b283$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 198418

I've got a radio shack TRC - 452 (1980s model) 40 channel AM Mobile CB.
I'm running a Wilson Li'l Wil Mag Mount on my 98 Jeep Cherokee Laredo. (may upgrade to Wilson 500 or 1000)

I have a Uniden Grant XL but it needs new Rf coils and retuning and alignment.

It's in great physical shape.

"Big Kahuna" <none@nothanks.com> wrote in message news:none-AA2BC6.11340107042005@news.stanford.edu...
> Greets.
>
> I drive across country twice a year in an '02 Toyota Camry. I was
> thinking that this time (next month), it might be fun to get a CB for
> the trip.
>
> I've been away from radio for ~20 years so I have no clue on current
> gear. I don't want an expensive, in-dash installation. But I don't
> want a junker portable either. And what's the best solution for an
> antenna these days (I know that makes a *huge* difference in
> performance)? Roof magnetic? Trunk magnetic? Something else?
> Obviously, I'd prefer not to have some rinky-dink thing that plugs into
> the cig lighter, runs a wire through a permanently open window to a
> badly matched (horrible SWR) magnetic roof mount and gets horrible
> reception, etc.
>
> Suggestions appreciated.
>
> de N6OEF

1395. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:42:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <b9db519d62sduj6or7iiegv2248dff9ihc@4ax.com>
Source record: 198420

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 17:23:46 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <039b5156jrbtd5rmv9e8dn9gbiipi1cgci@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 08:53:34 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 18:14:13 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>If you read the ARRL manual sometime you might learn that the hobby
>>>comprises a little bit more than hooking up prefab components.
>
>I took the hobby up a little over a month ago. I don't have a Frank intelligence
>pill, which I swallow and am all knowledgable in 2 hours.

You don't even try.

>>But if
>>>you want to waste your money and learn nothing about the hobby then
>>>that's your choice, just like it's your choice to put me in your
>>>killfile (LOL!).
>
>I want to get on the air in as short time as possible. Starting to build stuff
>from scratch, when you just entered the hobby, isn't the way to do tit.

Who says? Where in the rule book does it say that buying an antenna is
the quickest way to get on the air? It doesn't, and I'll tell you why
it doesn't -- because it's wrong. You can make a quick & dirty antenna
that works pretty darn good with nothing more than coax. Just strip 9'
of outer insulation, pull back the shield over the rest of the coax,
and =-presto-= you have a coaxial dipole. Hang it high or hang it low,
the match won't be too bad after you trim it, and it works better than
a 5' Firestick on the raingutter.

>Snipped the rest of the useless babble.

Ok, so you have chosen to do the crybaby routine. Go for it. I'm sure
Dave will lend a sympathetic ear since he's always looking for another
co-dependent relationship.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1396. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:43:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407184317.746$v9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198421

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?
>
It's KB3BEJ.

1397. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:45:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <1ldb51566n8lll8l2sa4ijq224kbh3kaue@4ax.com>
Source record: 198422

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:18:01 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

> Why are you so dead set against building an antenna?

Actually, I am not. Just not right now. I just want to get on the air, as soon as possible, hopefully by the end of April. I just got into this hobby, and have barely been in it a month. Most of it just studying code and Tech books. I didn't even study for General, but passed it anyway. I failed the extra. I plan on getting into the hobby full blast, and am interested in QRP. I know little about that. I kind of like the idea of lower power DX. It will feel like when you were a kid, talking to a CB station on a toy walkie talkie. I think QRP require home brews.

But as I said, I just want to get on the air. At least to learn the lingo a bit. My mind was kind of fried from the studying, so I want actually hands on. Once I can master that, we'll go the next step.

Vinnie S.

1398. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:46:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rudb515nqp1divv2v0co2pe1f4blq1g6sb@4ax.com>
Source record: 198423

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:30:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<p2db51h57s1o0lgq4dc4hnhkqrj1b0rujn@news.easynews.com>:

<snip>
>I have a couple of 33' phased verticals he can take a picture of...

Really? That sounds cool. What freq, and what's the spacing and phase
angle? Got a pic?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1399. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:48:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9120-4255B8B8-64@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198427

N3CVJ asked:
When have I ever been hypocritical?

>you wrote all of the following

And who would they report them to? The FCC has already shown time and time again that they have better things to do than worry about out-of-band CBers. I was involved in the DFing of a local 2 meter repeater jammer a few years back. We found him in short order, even though he was using a HT. He was reported to the FCC, and they sat on their laurels. Not until the SAME GUY was found causing malicious interference to the local police and fire frequencies, did the FCC finally get off of their collective butts and do something. After it was all said and done, the guy got what amounted to a wrist slapping. The point is, don't expect the feds to help rid the airwaves of Freebanders. And any ham that tries to "take the law into his own hands" will most likely
end up full of lead......
–

I want to put this to rest once and for all. It seems that many of our ham friends here, have used the excuse that "I can work the world with 1 watt, so YOU don't need an amplifier". Well, lets set the record straight:
Misleading statement: " I can work the world with 1 watt". Facts: You can work the world with 1 watt, IF the ionospheric conditions are proper AND you are on a suitable frequency band. You CANNOT do it anytime you want, you are at the mercy of mother nature. Secondly, what most of these QRP guys have also failed to meantion, is that their "working of the world" was most likely via the CW mode. CW, because of its narrow bandwidth, offers excellent signal to noise ratios, easily 10 db better than a typical CB. If a CW contact were running 1 watt, and they were at your noise threshold, they would need to jump to 10 watts to make the same contact on AM. These "fantastic" claims aren't worth a nickel on the CB band, where most of us just wand RELIABLE (Meaning at ANY time) communications with other LOCAL stations.

–
I take offense to the "holer than thou" attitude displayed by many hams toward Cbers. They flaunt their "superior equipment" and tell the CBers, to get ham licenses, and then are the first to complain to their ham buddies, that the reason the ham bands are going to pot, is because of all the CBers that are moving up there.
Ham radio and CB radio are both communications services. Both offer their respective users with a forum for enjoyment. Each service has it's

own unique appeal that is not duplicated on the other.
-
Ham radio is full of serious discussions and one can learn alot from just listening. On the other hand though, a constant diet of technobabble gets VERY boring fairly quickly. CBers are less technical, and as a result, are more like talking to "regular" people. Topics are more varied, and you can "fool around" without fear of upsetting the "kilocycle kops", and have more fun.
Dave
C & W Communications
CB Sales and Service
(610)272-8709/(610)539-8709
N3CVJ@N3CVJ.#EPA.PA.USA.NA
dhall@gic.gi.com

1400. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:48:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <61eb51p8rc36hier9h3ff5sled2t1e5c0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 198424

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:41:39 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>Nope. And I was in Bethlehem today. And I am in Allentown quite often. I cover
>>the eastern part of PA. But he is shit to me. He means nothing in my life. I
>>won't go look for him, and waste my time. He issued the the challenge. I never
>>issued an challenge to meet him. He came on this board, and put his challenge on
>>the archive, and said he was going to take pictures of my antennas, and post
>>them. There is no way he can do that, the way my property is laid out. He would
>>physically have to go onto my property. And once he does that he is fair game.
>>And since all this is in black and white, and I know his call sign, the FCC has
>>the evidence they need.
>>
>>Believe me. I have nothing to worry about.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?

I don't know what to believe from him. But if some lardass steps foot on my
property, I will look thru his wallet for ID will I hold his face in the dirt.
And if he doesn't have a wallet, then I will get the info from the car.

Vinnie S.

1401. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:49:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407184951.120$30@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198425

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 22:43:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?
> >>
> >It's KB3BEJ.
>
> I missed that, where did that come from?
>
A little birdie. qrz it

1402. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:52:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8ceb51lk181dct3jerf4ja4tn4h89v1rn2@4ax.com>
Source record: 198426

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:48:31 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <61eb51p8rc36hier9h3ff5sled2t1e5c0d@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:41:39 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>
>>>Nope. And I was in Bethlehem today. And I am in Allentown quite often. I cover
>>>the eastern part of PA. But he is shit to me. He means nothing in my life. I
>>>won't go look for him, and waste my time. He issued the the challenge. I never
>>>issued an challenge to meet him. He came on this board, and put his challenge on
>>>the archive, and said he was going to take pictures of my antennas, and post
>>>them. There is no way he can do that, the way my property is laid out. He would
>>>physically have to go onto my property. And once he does that he is fair game.
>>>And since all this is in black and white, and I know his call sign, the FCC has
>>>the evidence they need.
>>>
>>>Believe me. I have nothing to worry about.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?
>
>I don't know what to believe from him. But if some lardass steps foot on my
>property, I will look thru his wallet for ID will I hold his face in the dirt.
>And if he doesn't have a wallet, then I will get the info from the car.

.....oh brother, he's a crybaby -and- a tough-guy. This is just too
good to be true!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1403. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:54:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <b7eb51danqtcnmfkqfol1irg3hm5aolqsj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198428

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 11:34:01 -0700, Big Kahuna <none@nothanks.com> wrote:

>Greets.
>
>I drive across country twice a year in an '02 Toyota Camry. I was
>thinking that this time (next month), it might be fun to get a CB for
>the trip.
>
>I've been away from radio for ~20 years so I have no clue on current
>gear. I don't want an expensive, in-dash installation. But I don't
>want a junker portable either. And what's the best solution for an
>antenna these days (I know that makes a *huge* difference in
>performance)? Roof magnetic? Trunk magnetic? Something else?
>Obviously, I'd prefer not to have some rinky-dink thing that plugs into
>the cig lighter, runs a wire through a permanently open window to a
>badly matched (horrible SWR) magnetic roof mount and gets horrible
>reception, etc.
>
>Suggestions appreciated.
>
>de N6OEF

Roof magnetic is ideal, for temporary setups. I use it because I frequent parking garages, and need to remove it. Also, my car is a company lease vehicle, and I really can't drill holes. Uniden make some nice CBs, if you just want to use channel 19. Get a hump mount with a speaker.

As far as the antenna, both the K40 and Wilson 1000 are excellent for magnetmounts, if you plan to go barefoot. I got the same results with both. The Wilson handles power better. Anything close to 100 watts or more, go with the Wilson. Both come with permanent mounts, if you plan on drilling.

Vinnie S.

1404. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:58:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407185816.391$YW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198430

I_Am_NOT_Leland@readthis.com wrote:
> > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > moparholic@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> > > wrote:
> > > > > > > > >> > http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > We will introduce ourselves at the camp ground,
> > > > > > > > > mopeydopey.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > We are past the introduction, fugly felon. I introduced
> > > myself to
> > > > > > > > > you in person already, remember?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > We are not
> > > > > > > > > interested in any male to male actvities, so do not get
> > > > > > excited.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I asked you for a fair boxing ring match and you declined,
> > > > > > remember
> > > > > > > > > that
> > > > > > > > > when you see me again.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Izzat so? Well, then you will have a chance to hide, since
> > > > > > you
> > > > > > think
> > > > > > > > > you know whats up.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Did -I- hide when I introduced myself to you in person? NO!
> > > > > > -YOU-
> > > > > > were
> > > > > > > > the one hiding, you fish hooked spined weakling. I will never
> > > hide
> > > > > > from
> > > > > > > > the likes of you...quite the contrary, I've experienced first

> > > hand
> > > > > what
> > > > > > a fucking coward you are, and expect the same from you in May.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'll have people there to make sure it's just you and I,
> > > felon-boi.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You made your bed, now you're gonna live in it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > We notice that in your sissy reply you made
> > > > > > > no mention of the fact that nothing you do can stop the AKC.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > We? you need your meds adjusted dogie. I'll adjust your
> > > > > > > attitude for you real quick like.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > How do you propose I 'stop the AKC (another keyboard coward)
> > > > > > > from acting like a retarded fool. I coudn't care less about
> > > > > > > that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Now it's down to doug vs steve and I've seen what you're all
> > > about. I
> > > > > > > honestly feel sorry for you and the consequences you're about
> > > > > > > to experience. Remember, you asked for it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh? What consequences am I to experience, wrist flipper? Cramp in
> > > the
> > > > > > side from laughing too hard? Sore jaw muscles from grinning?
> > > > > >
> > > > > I'm a fairly proficient boxer and 6 foot 220 lbs. I'll leave you to
> > > > > guess what I have in store for you, fucktard.
> > > > >
> > > > Don't chickenshit out..can you use your 6 meter wire on your jaw?
> > > > >
> > > > Oh, I almost forgot to ask, will crisco kathy be there? My buddy
> > > > wants to bring this broad that fought Tanya Harding just for her.
> > > > ha!
> > > >
> > > The only fair fight is when you win, dum dum
> > >
> > Yep, that's usually the end result dogie, and after seeing you in
> > person there's no doubt it will be the end result again.
> >
> > Bring all your wares too...we're gonna have a dogie pound mess before
> > it's all said and done.
> >
> > Bring lelnad too, I want to introduce him to my friend "Rude". hehehe
>
> Buckin the law right up to the end, you is one crazy keyclown, stevie.
> Bring your bail bondsman LOL

>
Hi again, nad. I'm not bucking any law, thanks for your concern tho.

N8WWM makes threats to Dayton hamvention participants:

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
I volunteer to show ya how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have. Doug Adair

1405. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 22:59:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fmeb515i0eufsogqabep9fc4c11t7k3rsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 198429

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:

<snip>
> Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
>and I really can't drill holes.

So you deliver pizza just like Dave?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1406. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:00:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407190017.921$CU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198431

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
>
> <snip>
> > Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
> >and I really can't drill holes.
>
> So you deliver pizza just like Dave?
>
Do you serve pizza at the bar you work at?

1407. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:02:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407190231.145$GS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198433

Leland_Aint_Here@readme.com wrote:
> > This will squash any legal leg you thought you had to stand on,
> > felon-boi.
> >
> > N8WWM makes threats to Dayton hamvention participants:
> > ----------
> > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > Hey CUNT,
> > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
> > headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
> > name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
> > party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
> > KEYCLOWN.
> > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
> > it into your life.
> > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > CUNT
> > Your turn, CUNT!
> >
> > ----------
>
> STEVEO TO COP: but officer, I'm allowed to commit assault because some
> one called me names on the internet...
>
Should I bring dogies felony conviction too? cool, thanks!

1408. Re: Mopeydopey flips his wrist some AGAIN!!! HAHAAH!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:03:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ueb5192r3hmv72jia928ufeq9ioc2hetd@4ax.com>
Source record: 198432

On 07 Apr 2005 22:36:25 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Sounds like more fun than the football league I was on last
>year..tackle/flag with no gear. Shame on the mess.

I did full contact flag until I was about 28. No taclking, but you can block people and knock them on their ass. I got hury too many times. We realized that we could keep doing it after that. Recovery was taking longer.

Vinnie S.

1409. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:05:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <r0fb51pf9ssljsheg60u3qj7v00kct1ml1@4ax.com>
Source record: 198434

On 07 Apr 2005 23:00:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050407190017.921$CU@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> > Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
>> >and I really can't drill holes.
>>
>> So you deliver pizza just like Dave?
>>
>Do you serve pizza at the bar you work at?

I wish. It's a Chinese restaurant & bar. They do have an outstanding
"special" chow mein, though.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1410. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:05:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <53fb519hnh5ibq8k08i9ms2oau5hm2nelq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198436

On 07 Apr 2005 23:00:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> > Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
>> >and I really can't drill holes.
>>
>> So you deliver pizza just like Dave?

Yeah, I deliver all over eastern PA, you fucking moron. Learn to read.

Vinnie S.

1411. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:06:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <i6fb51p2t9403srkv5mipptrqkpma6fkje@4ax.com>
Source record: 198437

On 07 Apr 2005 23:00:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> > Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
>> >and I really can't drill holes.
>>
>> So you deliver pizza just like Dave?
>>
>Do you serve pizza at the bar you work at?

No. He gets the ice buckets. Washes dishes on occasion.

Vinnie S.

1412. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:06:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407190653.894$o5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198435

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 23:00:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050407190017.921$CU@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> > Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
> >> >and I really can't drill holes.
> >>
> >> So you deliver pizza just like Dave?
> >>
> >>Do you serve pizza at the bar you work at?
>
> I wish. It's a Chinese restaurant & bar. They do have an outstanding
> "special" chow mein, though.
>
Is their kitchen clean? I love Chinese food but I wonder about some of
that mystery meat and cleanliness in those joints.

1413. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:10:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4cfb51l87fj7tism85ln3q5ckqvi5cjd01@4ax.com>
Source record: 198438

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 19:05:49 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <53fb519hnh5ibq8k08i9ms2oau5hm2nelq@4ax.com>:

>On 07 Apr 2005 23:00:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>> > Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
>>> >and I really can't drill holes.
>>>
>>> So you deliver pizza just like Dave?
>
>Yeah, I deliver all over eastern PA, you fucking moron. Learn to read.

I read just fine -- Dave taught me how to read between the lines. He
also taught me how to use a crystal ball.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1414. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:12:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407191223.022$8L@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198439

Don't_Call_Me_Leland@notscott.net wrote:
> > Leland_Aint_Here@readme.com wrote:
> > > > This will squash any legal leg you thought you had to stand on,
> > > > felon-boi.
> > > >
> > > > N8WWM makes threats to Dayton hamvention participants:
> > > > --
> > > > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > > > Hey CUNT,
> > > > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a
> > > > red headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an
> > > > assumed name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit
> > > > hunk...wanna party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy
> > > > faggot ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN.
> > > > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can
> > > > bring it into your life.
> > > > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > > > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > > > CUNT
> > > > Your turn, CUNT!
> > > >
> > > > --
> > >
> > > STEVEO TO COP: but officer, I'm allowed to commit assault because
> > > some one called me names on the internet...
> > >
> > Should I bring dogies felony conviction too? cool, thanks!
>
> STEVOCHICKEN: Offocer, officer, that man over there called me names
> on the internet and I am powerless to stop him, WAHHH WAHHHH
> lol
>
Does Al Banys still think you're a faggot, lelnad?

1415. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:12:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <effb519r1sbm1dasm2dlirfrq0ljqm9pj3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198440

On 07 Apr 2005 23:06:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050407190653.894$o5@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 07 Apr 2005 23:00:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050407190017.921$CU@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
>> >>
>> >> <snip>
>> >> > Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
>> >> >and I really can't drill holes.
>> >>
>> >> So you deliver pizza just like Dave?
>> >>
>> >Do you serve pizza at the bar you work at?
>>
>> I wish. It's a Chinese restaurant & bar. They do have an outstanding
>> "special" chow mein, though.
>>
>Is their kitchen clean? I love Chinese food but I wonder about some of
>that mystery meat and cleanliness in those joints.

Clean kitchen, but I too have had suspicions about some of the
"meats". Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
Rocky Mountain Oysters.....

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1416. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:20:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407192048.003$Bo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198441

Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
> Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
>
LOL, ain't that goats balls?? That's on the menu?

1417. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:23:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rufb51pet1khif85fbhhfg87njvgjpv86s@4ax.com>
Source record: 198443

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 23:05:18 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<f2eb51hb6qcs510g1gv8qmtf6ko0p56c28@news.easynews.com>:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 15:46:04 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:30:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>><p2db51h57s1o0lgq4dc4hnhkqrj1b0rujn@news.easynews.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>I have a couple of 33' phased verticals he can take a picture of...
>>
>>
>>Really? That sounds cool. What freq, and what's the spacing and phase
>>angle? Got a pic?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>They are spaced 90 degrees, (34 feet)

Were you going for the null or the lobe?

> Equal lengths of RG-8 running
>to the house. I change the phasing by swapping coax loops in the
>house.

Have you checked the broadside gain while running them in-phase?

> I made them from 19' sections of chain link top rail with some
>scrap tv antenna masts

Those fence pipes sure do come in handy. I have two masts up right now
using them, one of them for my lowfer rig. Pretty hard to beat for the
price!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1418. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:24:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407192431.235$Tp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198442

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 23:05:18 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> <f2eb51hb6qcs510g1gv8qmtf6ko0p56c28@news.easynews.com>:
>
> >On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 15:46:04 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> ><w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >
> >>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:30:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> >><p2db51h57s1o0lgq4dc4hnhkqrj1b0rujn@news.easynews.com>:
> >>
> >><snip>
> >>>I have a couple of 33' phased verticals he can take a picture of...
> >>
> >>
> >>Really? That sounds cool. What freq, and what's the spacing and phase
> >>angle? Got a pic?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >They are spaced 90 degrees, (34 feet)
>
> Were you going for the null or the lobe?
>
> > Equal lengths of RG-8 running
> >to the house. I change the phasing by swapping coax loops in the
> >house.
>
> Have you checked the broadside gain while running them in-phase?
>
> > I made them from 19' sections of chain link top rail with some
> >scrap tv antenna masts
>
> Those fence pipes sure do come in handy. I have two masts up right now
> using them, one of them for my lowfer rig. Pretty hard to beat for the
> price!
>
I use them for a flag pole for my Nascar flags at the race..easy set-up
and tear down..handy!

1419. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:25:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k8gb51d6oq0g57d0031rognbs7he7i3u76@4ax.com>
Source record: 198444

On 07 Apr 2005 23:20:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050407192048.003$Bo@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
>> Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
>>
>LOL, ain't that goats balls?? That's on the menu?

Bovine, I think. And yes it's on the menu, they just use a different
name so people don't know what they are eating.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1420. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:27:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407192701.230$iH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198445

Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 23:20:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050407192048.003$Bo@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
> >>Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
> >> Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
> >>
> >LOL, ain't that goats balls?? That's on the menu?
>
> Bovine, I think. And yes it's on the menu, they just use a different
> name so people don't know what they are eating.
>
Reminds me of a movie where someone set the record for eating them (chevy chase maybe)but didn't know what they were.. too funny

1421. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:29:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fdgb51lvck30tb4sjpgk6u0l1k0mkt2sm0@4ax.com>
Source record: 198446

On 07 Apr 2005 23:24:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050407192431.235$Tp@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 23:05:18 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>> <f2eb51hb6qcs510g1gv8qmtf6ko0p56c28@news.easynews.com>:
>>
>> >On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 15:46:04 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> ><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:30:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>> >><p2db51h57s1o0lgq4dc4hnhkqrj1b0rujn@news.easynews.com>:
>> >>
>> >><snip>
>> >>>I have a couple of 33' phased verticals he can take a picture of...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Really? That sounds cool. What freq, and what's the spacing and phase
>> >>angle? Got a pic?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >
>> >They are spaced 90 degrees, (34 feet)
>>
>> Were you going for the null or the lobe?
>>
>> > Equal lengths of RG-8 running
>> >to the house. I change the phasing by swapping coax loops in the
>> >house.
>>
>> Have you checked the broadside gain while running them in-phase?
>>
>> > I made them from 19' sections of chain link top rail with some
>> >scrap tv antenna masts
>>
>> Those fence pipes sure do come in handy. I have two masts up right now
>> using them, one of them for my lowfer rig. Pretty hard to beat for the
>> price!
>>
>I use them for a flag pole for my Nascar flags at the race..easy set-up
>and tear down..handy!

I'm thinking of making a grill-guard for the truck with them. Some
idiot took out my roo-guard last month -- that brings my "hit count"
to 17, not including the garage. Do people drive that bad in Ohio?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1422. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:31:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pjgb515nou8qo7as0435h04ml9tbbeg5c8@4ax.com>
Source record: 198447

On 07 Apr 2005 23:27:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050407192701.230$iH@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 07 Apr 2005 23:20:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050407192048.003$Bo@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >>Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
>> >> Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
>> >>
>> >LOL, ain't that goats balls?? That's on the menu?
>>
>> Bovine, I think. And yes it's on the menu, they just use a different
>> name so people don't know what they are eating.
>>
>Reminds me of a movie where someone set the record for eating them (chevy
>chase maybe)but didn't know what they were.. too funny

"Funny Farm". Hilarious movie! But I think those were sheep balls
(like the difference is really important.....).

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1423. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:32:22 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Mpj5e.272$co2.102@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198448

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:18:01 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>
>
>> Why are you so dead set against building an antenna?
>
>
>
> Actually, I am not. Just not right now. I just want to get on the air, as soon
> as possible, hopefully by the end of April. I just got into this hobby, and have
> barely been in it a month. Most of it just studying code and Tech books. I
> didn't even study for General, but passed it anyway. I failed the extra. I plan
> on getting into the hobby full blast, and am interested in QRP. I know little
> about that. I kind of like the idea of lower power DX. It will feel like when
> you were a kid, talking to a CB station on a toy walkie talkie. I think QRP
> require home brews.
>
> But as I said, I just want to get on the air. At least to learn the lingo a bit.
> My mind was kind of fried from the studying, so I want actually hands on. Once I
> can master that, we'll go the next step.
>
> Vinnie S.
Nothing for nothing Vinnie but if you got your ticket why are you asking
questions concerning amateur matters in the cb forum? Granted there are
some very knowlegable folks here but did you try any other usenet groups?

1424. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:32:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198449

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 23:24:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050407192431.235$Tp@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 23:05:18 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> >> <f2eb51hb6qcs510g1gv8qmtf6ko0p56c28@news.easynews.com>:
> >>
> >> >On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 15:46:04 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> >> ><w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:30:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> >> >><p2db51h57s1o0lgq4dc4hnhkqrj1b0rujn@news.easynews.com>:
> >> >>
> >> >><snip>
> >> >>>I have a couple of 33' phased verticals he can take a picture of...
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>Really? That sounds cool. What freq, and what's the spacing and
> >> >>phase angle? Got a pic?
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >They are spaced 90 degrees, (34 feet)
> >>
> >> Were you going for the null or the lobe?
> >>
> >> > Equal lengths of RG-8 running
> >> >to the house. I change the phasing by swapping coax loops in the
> >> >house.
> >>
> >> Have you checked the broadside gain while running them in-phase?
> >>
> >> > I made them from 19' sections of chain link top rail with some
> >> >scrap tv antenna masts
> >>
> >> Those fence pipes sure do come in handy. I have two masts up right now
> >> using them, one of them for my lowfer rig. Pretty hard to beat for the
> >> price!
> >>
> >I use them for a flag pole for my Nascar flags at the race..easy set-up

> >and tear down..handy!
>
> I'm thinking of making a grill-guard for the truck with them. Some
> idiot took out my roo-guard last month -- that brings my "hit count"
> to 17, not including the garage. Do people drive that bad in Ohio?
>
I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
one of those too.

1425. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:33:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407193339.518$Bs@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198450

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 23:27:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050407192701.230$iH@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On 07 Apr 2005 23:20:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> <20050407192048.003$Bo@newsreader.com>:
> >>
> >> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >>Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
> >> >> Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
> >> >>
> >> >LOL, ain't that goats balls?? That's on the menu?
> >>
> >> Bovine, I think. And yes it's on the menu, they just use a different
> >> name so people don't know what they are eating.
> >>
> >Reminds me of a movie where someone set the record for eating them
> >(chevy chase maybe)but didn't know what they were.. too funny
>
> "Funny Farm". Hilarious movie! But I think those were sheep balls
> (like the difference is really important.....).
>
Yea, that's it..what a town!

1426. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:37:07 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mogb51ls7tskd55ce5n2c6rc2urendsmm3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198451

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 19:32:22 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:18:01 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> Why are you so dead set against building an antenna?
>>
>>
>>
>> Actually, I am not. Just not right now. I just want to get on the air, as soon
>> as possible, hopefully by the end of April. I just got into this hobby, and have
>> barely been in it a month. Most of it just studying code and Tech books. I
>> didn't even study for General, but passed it anyway. I failed the extra. I plan
>> on getting into the hobby full blast, and am interested in QRP. I know little
>> about that. I kind of like the idea of lower power DX. It will feel like when
>> you were a kid, talking to a CB station on a toy walkie talkie. I think QRP
>> require home brews.
>>
>> But as I said, I just want to get on the air. At least to learn the lingo a bit.
>> My mind was kind of fried from the studying, so I want actually hands on. Once I
>> can master that, we'll go the next step.
>>
>> Vinnie S.

>Nothing for nothing Vinnie but if you got your ticket why are you asking
>questions concerning amateur matters in the cb forum? Granted there are
>some very knowlegable folks here but did you try any other usenet groups?

I didn't. I asked about the Imax and the ground plane. Both are CB questions.
The only time I asked about a dipole, was to run one in my attic, for my CB. And
that led to the Firestik in the attic. I haven't asked a ham question since I
asked about the test info. I didn't ask a question about the ham dipole. I just
said I ws waiting on getting one so I didn't have to dig 2 trenches. I know
plenty of hams to ask question on the ham antennas. But none of them are on CB,
nor familiar with the Imax.

Vinnie S.

1427. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:44:59 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lsgb5152q3csuqtnamqh8sumi3bif1ojmp@4ax.com>
Source record: 198452

On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:

<snip>
>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>one of those too.

I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
least there was help available if someone got hurt.

Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1428. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-07 23:47:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gjhb51pe0brarq1fv9kse5udgejs3mir55@4ax.com>
Source record: 198453

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 19:37:07 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <mogb51ls7tskd55ce5n2c6rc2urendsmm3@4ax.com>:

<snip>
> I know
>plenty of hams to ask question on the ham antennas. But none of them are on CB,
>nor familiar with the Imax.

That should have been your first clue.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1429. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 00:03:26 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <TSj5e.275$QM2.118@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198454

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>>one of those too.
>
>
>
> I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
> the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
> by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
> I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
> while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
> once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
> least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>
> Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----
got hit by a beer distributor saleswoman (go figure) and enjoyed the
bennies of not reporting it hehehe

1430. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 00:13:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407201324.120$Sx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198455

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
> >the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
> >one of those too.
>
> I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
> the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
> by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
> I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
> while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
> once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
> least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>
> Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....
>
Dang..sounds like you have a bulls-eye painted on you. All you need
now is an ambulance chaser attorney to ride along with you. j/k :)

1431. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 00:15:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407201558.108$5z@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198456

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> > On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > <20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
> >
> > <snip>
> >
> >>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
> >>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
> >>one of those too.
> >
> >
> >
> > I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
> > the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
> > by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
> > I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
> > while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
> > once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
> > least there was help available if someone got hurt.
> >
> > Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> > News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> > World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
> > Total Privacy via Encryption =----
>
> got hit by a beer distributor saleswoman (go figure) and enjoyed the
> bennies of not reporting it hehehe
>
Ha! I knew one of those that drove her Bud van right thru the front
of a shot and beer bar. Too much sampling the product.

1432. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 00:20:16 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <F6k5e.278$GY2.175@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198457

```
Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>><20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>>>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>>>>one of those too.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
>>>the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
>>>by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
>>>I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
>>>while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
>>>once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
>>>least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>>>
>>>Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>---== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
>>>News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
>>>World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms -
>>>Total Privacy via Encryption =----
>>
>>got hit by a beer distributor saleswoman (go figure) and enjoyed the
>>bennies of not reporting it hehehe
>>
>
> Ha! I knew one of those that drove her Bud van right thru the front
> of a shot and beer bar. Too much sampling the product.
hey bud so bristol was almost a wash with all the rain eh? good race.
```

1433. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 00:24:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050407202413.913$q9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198458

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > >>>><20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
> > >>>
> > >>>><snip>
> > >>>
> > >>>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
> > >>>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
> > >>>>one of those too.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
> > >>>the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
> > >>>by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
> > >>>I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
> > >>>while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
> > >>>once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
> > >>>least there was help available if someone got hurt.
> > >>>
> > >>>Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>got hit by a beer distributor saleswoman (go figure) and enjoyed the
> > >>bennies of not reporting it hehehe
> > >>
> > >
> > > Ha! I knew one of those that drove her Bud van right thru the front
> > > of a shot and beer bar. Too much sampling the product.
> >
> > hey bud so bristol was almost a wash with all the rain eh? good race.
> >
Yea, Saturday was downright miserable, it went down below freezing at
night too but the furnace did the trick. That's a long camper haul up
and down those hills they call mountains in Virginia and W Virginia..

That place is killer!

1434. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 00:46:57 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Gvk5e.281$Ug3.48@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198459

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>>>><20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>>>>>
>>>>><snip>
>>>>>
>>>>>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>>>>>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>>>>>>one of those too.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
>>>>>the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
>>>>>by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
>>>>>I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
>>>>>while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
>>>>>once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
>>>>>least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>>>>>
>>>>>Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>got hit by a beer distributor saleswoman (go figure) and enjoyed the
>>>>bennies of not reporting it hehehe
>>>>
>>>
>>>Ha! I knew one of those that drove her Bud van right thru the front

>>>of a shot and beer bar. Too much sampling the product.
>>
>>hey bud so bristol was almost a wash with all the rain eh? good race.
>>
>
> Yea, Saturday was downright miserable, it went down below freezing at
> night too but the furnace did the trick. That's a long camper haul up
> and down those hills they call mountains in Virginia and W Virginia..
>
> That place is killer!
lets see, you drove from ohio and went through the verginnies? beautiful
country that part of the us of a...

1435. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 00:56:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <577-4255D6BB-834@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198460

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On 07 Apr 2005 22:19:20 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
(Fuck him Lancer when the pics of his house
and antennas go up on the web you will see him cry like a baby. more so
than he has done here in a short period of time... Boy will this be fun.
)

((What will stop Vin from going to
1248 WARWICK PL
ALLENTOWN PA 18104
USA
to take your pic, sissy?))

>LOL. I dare him to come to this house. Like I

>said, one foot on the property, and he is fair

>game.

>Vinnie S.

Oh, stop it. You act like you live in the sandpile or something.

MIAMI (AFP) - Florida's legislature has approved a bill that would give
residents the right to open fire against anyone they perceive as a
threat in public, instead of having to try to avoid a conflict as under
prevailing law.
AFP/File Photo
 Outraged opponents say the law will encourage Floridians to open fire
first and ask questions later, fostering a sort of statewide Wild West
shootout mentality. Supporters argue that criminals will think twice if
they believe they are likely to be promptly shot when they assault
someone.
Republican Governor Jeb Bush, who has said he plans to sign the bill,
says it is "a good, commonsense, anti-crime issue."
Current state law allows residents to "shoot to kill if their property,
such as their home or car, is invaded by an unknown assailant."
But it also states that if a resident is confronted or threatened in a
public place, he or she must first try to avoid the confrontation or
flee before taking any violent step in self defense against an
assailant.
The bill, supported by the influential National Rifle Association, was
approved by both houses of the Republican-run legislature on Tuesday.

1436. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 04:01:43 UTC
From: user <user@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <115c0d5a194am6c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198461

Well, if you don't want a dash install, you've got the Midland 75-822, which is probably one of the rinky-dink cigarette lighter radios you mention. There's also the Cobra "HH Roadtrip" which is basically a handheld that comes with a magmount kit to let you put the antenna on your roof. But that's probably another rinky-dink radio.

Or you could just throw any mobile radio on the passenger seat or floorboard.

1437. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 07:20:43 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fhq5e.2116$go4.736@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 198462

Uniden PRO-510, PRO-520 or something close to it. Really good modulation even in stock form. Just don't ever let anyone clip any modulation parts or you'll toast the audio chip. The resistor that sets it can be changed to a slightly different value for a little more modulation but try it stock first.

Chris

1438. Cobra HH34 Disassembly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 07:23:56 UTC
From: Dick <LeadWinger>
Message-ID: <d8cc51p9ek67u1qd9lh503e59sblo7gfnv@4ax.com>
Source record: 198463

I picked up a Cobra HH34 that needs repair to the antenna terminal. I haven't been able to get it apart. I took out the 4 obvious screws and got the back off. Something is still holding the circuit board in the front housing. Anyone know how to take these things apart?

1439. Re: Power levels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 07:25:01 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hlq5e.2117$go4.789@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 198464

Most people who DX on AM run as much power as they can get their hands on.
I've heard of 20,000 watts but I think 200 - 1000 watts is common. On SSB,
I've talked around the world on 12 watts.

Chris

1440. Re: Need a Ground Plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 07:29:15 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fpq5e.2119$go4.947@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 198465

How about aluminum ground wire for radials?

Chris

1441. Re: Need a Ground Plane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 12:46:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <09vc51t9idr8lmfcnfsh7bj7d449dlp5l0@4ax.com>
Source record: 198466

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 07:29:15 GMT, "Chris" <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>How about aluminum ground wire for radials?
>
>Chris
>

Will work fine.

Vinnie S.

1442. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 13:21:20 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kzv5e.21198$zl.17733@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198467

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050407202413.913$q9@newsreader.com...
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>
>> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >>><20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>> >>>
>> >>><snip>
>> >>>
>> >>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>> >>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>> >>>one of those too.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
>> >>>the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
>> >>>by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
>> >>>I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
>> >>>while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
>> >>>once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
>> >>>least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>> >>>
>> >>>Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>got hit by a beer distributor saleswoman (go figure) and enjoyed the
>> >>>bennies of not reporting it hehehe

Must have been a lot of beer or a very minor ding.

>> >
>> > Ha! I knew one of those that drove her Bud van right thru the front
>> > of a shot and beer bar. Too much sampling the product.
>>
>> hey bud so bristol was almost a wash with all the rain eh? good race.
>>

> Yea, Saturday was downright miserable, it went down below freezing at
> night too but the furnace did the trick.

I know how you feel Mopar. I was in Arizona a few weeks
back, off roading north of Phoenix and it rained all day
Saturday. Muddy as hell, still cleaning that crap off the
Bronco.

That's a long camper haul up
> and down those hills they call mountains in Virginia and W Virginia..

14 hour drive to and from for one of the guys that lives
local to me. I had my Bronco trucked down, then flew,
much easier.

>
> That place is killer!

Sounds like it, glad you had fun.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

1443. FA: Texas Star Modulator EX V-Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 14:11:55 UTC
From: "TIA@THANKS.com" <TIA@THANKS.COM>
Message-ID: <JdydnX0HUuT9DcvfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 198468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5766219062&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1Thanks for looking,73's

1444. Radio Pirate Bob Noxious

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 14:30:28 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17256-42569584-263@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198470

>By Chase Squires

St. Petersburg- The Pirate Radio Broadcaster calling himself Bob Noxious is temporarily off the air, claiming he had to shut down after federal agents banged on his apartment door last week and began asking agents about his on-air antics.
Noxious (not his real name), has been running an illegal station since January with a range extending about 5 miles from downtown St. Petersburg on 99.9 FM. He said Tuesday that he did not open his door when federal Communications Commission agents came to his apartment. He said he was not served with papers or formally notified of any pending charges, but said the agents identified themselves to neighbors.
Broadcasting without a license is a federal and state crime*. An FCC spokesperson declined to comment. Noxious said rather than risk his equipment to federal seizure, he has hidden it, vowing to return to the airwaves in about two weeks.
A fundraising benefit for Noxious' Pirate Radio Network is set for 3-7 PM April 24 at the Globe Coffee Lounge, 532 First Avenue N., St Petersburg. Globe owner JoEllen Schilke, who hosts an arts show on community radio station WMNF-88.5 FM, said she supports Noxious' right to broadcast. "The people own the airwaves, thats ours," she said. "The government controls it, and then gives it away to corporations."
The bands Fall on Purpose, Can't Do It, Car Bomb Driver, Burning Tree and Ryan Yoho are slated to perform. Donations will be collected during the show.

*As relates to the commercial portion of the spectrum.

1445. Re: Texas Star Sweet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 14:42:44 UTC
From: "TIA@THANKS.com" <TIA@THANKS.COM>
Message-ID: <a8SdndZRIq04CsvfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 198469

Well "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" or the "Supreme Being" as your probably called around your home. Believe it or not there are some people that don't read this group and your self imposed moderator rules ever few hours. Some of the world only pops in here for a few minutes every 6 months if you can believe it! WTF is a Keyclown anyway? Is that a term you made up to be some kind of jealousy? Ah sooner or later you will find another hobby like graffiti and you'll leave your 23 channel Pace radio out in the shed in the back yard!

Sorry if I offended any real operators out there. I shant be posting here again. Just so you can have your way DEEK

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1112680648.092987.121000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> like yeah, right. You bought it and let it sit unconverted and now are
> selling it at 1/2 price to be nice...uh huh. Reported to ebay, We told
> you keyclowns not to list auctions here...ahh well...there's always
> that 3% who dont get the word.
>

1446. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 14:54:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <q8qc51lp6el6t4ggupf72p27s4e97o03eg@4ax.com>
Source record: 198471

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 13:09:16 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>
>>>It's not difficult, Dave. Just punch in the right keywords and scroll
>>>through the list.
>>
>>If I were to do, as Twist naively suggested, and put in the words
>>"Schiavo" and "Delay", I get 1,300,000 hits. Now I don't know about
>>either you or he, but I don't have the time (nor Frankly the interest)
>>to sift though that many sites.
>>
>>Partial clips of what Twist posted came up with nothing.
>
>
>Well, if you really want to brag about how you are too stupid to find
>the referenced quote when it only took me ten minutes, I certainly
>have no objection.

There's nothing stupid about it. I cut and pasted his quote and came
up with nothing. I then tried parts of the quote, and came back with
little unrelated sites, and blog-referenced comments. THEN he chimes
in with the "Just enter Schiavo and Delay". Well, first off "Delay" is
not only a proper name in this case, it's also a verb, and the
combination of the two produced over 1.3 million hits. At that point I
wasn't about to sift through them as the search parameters were not
fine enough. I don't have the time to spend.

Twist made the claim, it's up to him to provide the source.

>>> It doesn't take long to find a partial quote. Then
>>>do a search on the partial quote and up pops the whole transcript.
>>>Took all of 10 minutes to do your work for you..... you're welcome.
>>
>>Now that we have the exact proper quote, I can say that in many ways,
>>I agree with it. There are many on the left who are trying to
>>eradicate the conservative movement and vilify those with conservative
>>leanings.
>
>
>Flip-flop!

In what way?

>>>>>>>Attacking another patriotic act
>>>>>>>repeatedly is doing your position no good.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively ignoring laws we don't
>>>>>>agree with.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Ironically, that's exactly what the Patriot Act does.
>>>>
>>>>You might think that. But the greater good justifies it in this case.
>>>
>>>
>>>Sacrificing the rights of a few to preserve the rights of the many is
>>>not consistent with the precept that "all men are created equal".
>>
>>But the concept of the needs of the many outweighing the needs of the
>>few, is consistent with logic. Something I thought you understood.
>
>
>Star Trek is fiction, Dave.

What does Star Trek have to do with this?

> Civil rights are applicable to each person
>as individuals, not as "the many" or "the few".

But if the act of honoring each and every person's "rights" seriously
limits our ability to protect ourselves from attacks, then I'd say
that we're putting ourselves at risk. I am more than glad to give a up
a FEW liberties in exchange for far better security.

>>>>>http://www.factcheck.org/article317.html

>>>The filibuster wasn't
>>>"designed" -- it was an accident. The only reason it has never been
>>>remedied is because both sides want to keep the filibuster as a tool
>>>for when their party holds the minority, and therefore prevent abuse
>>>of the simple majority on important issues that probably should
>>>require a super-majority, such as the appointment of a Supreme Court
>>>Justice.
>>
>>Abuse of the simple majority? That's what the constitution calls for
>>on many of these votes. A filibuster is a way to circumvent the wishes
>>of the constitutional framers. You've claimed to be someone who
>>upholds the letter of the constitution. You should, if anyone,
>>understand this. If, for whatever reason the consensus of the majority
>>of citizens believe that the constitution should be changed to
>>super-majority votes for many issues, then the constitution should be
>>amended to reflect that.
>
>
>I agree -- it should be amended.

Then I guess you should join those who agree with you to push this as an amendment. In the meantime, the current rules should stand and use of a filibuster is little more than an attempt by the minority to hold the senate hostage. I can understand your concern with preserving the voice of the minority, but I draw the line when the minority can hijack the wishes of the majority. That's the main reason why Wilson passed the "cloture" limit for filibustering.

> It should also be amended to set an
>upper limit on the number of people assigned to each Representative in
>the House, among other things.

What people are you referring to?

>>>The fact is that Bush wants to push his judge through Congress, but he
>>>can't negotiate a deal because he's used up all his cards, and he's so
>>>desperate that he's willing to try to eliminate the filibuster.
>>
>>Bush is not the one pushing this.
>
>
>Crawl out of your bunker, Dave -- the Bush administration is clearly
>the motivating force behind the proposal because he has too many
>issues coming up that are going to be facing a filibuster, such as the
>impending Supreme Court vacancy, the renewal of the Patriot Act, etc,
>etc.

Look at the other side of the story:

http://www.traditionalvalues.org/modules.php?sid=2185

>And since it's the intention of Rove to establish a permanent
>Republican majority, the elimination of the filibuster is the next
>logical step towards that objective.

C'mon Frank listen to yourself. Karl Rove is nothing more than a brilliant political strategist. He's not the "Anti-Christ "that the authors of your paranoid conspiracy sources would make you think.

And just HOW would Rove pull off this "Permanent Republican majority" anyway?

>> Opposition of the obstructionist
>>politics of filibustering democrats comes straight from congressional
>>republicans. I'm sure Bush is in favor, but he can't do much about it.
>
>
>Republicans have also used the filibuster on many occasions -- don't
>make it sound like it's a tool used exclusively by the evil Democrats.

It's wrong no matter who does it. The last time republicans did this to this level, was in 1968, in the matter of Abe Fortas.

>>> But he
>>>is so isolated from his own party that any vote to end the filibuster

>>>would probably be opposed by both Democrats -and- Republicans because
>>>they both want to -keep- the filibuster.
>>
>>You are so far off the mark on this one, you should stop right now.
>
>
>I don't think so, Dave. In fact, I don't think the proposal will make
>it to a Senate vote -because- of Republican dissent.

There may be some republicans who are hesitant to support this out of fear of political fallout. But anyone who wishes that the government continue should support the modification of such an obstructionist policy such as the filibuster.

>>> Chances are that the stupid
>>>Democrats will block the vote with -- ironically -- a filibuster,
>>>thereby allowing the Republicans to save face and not look like they
>>>are opposed to Bush.

It's expected that democrats will block this move. But I think you are seriously underestimating the support for it on the republican side.

>>They're not. Congressional republicans are the ones talking about the
>>"Nuclear Option" and other such fillibuster-busting issues.
>
>
>Talk is cheap. Votes are not. It won't get past the hill.

You might be right although, I suspect, not for the reasons you think.

>>>>We vote on many issues in congress using a simple 51% majority. That
>>>>is what the constitution provides. Using a filibuster so that the
>>>>minority can leverage the majority is unconstitutional.
>>
>>>Again, read the article. The filibuster can be used for both good and
>>>bad, depending on your point of view.
>>
>>I did (again). It is irrelevant the intent or motive of the
>>filibuster. That it obstructs the normal workings of congress is the
>>issue. One that flies in the face of the constitution.
>
>
>How is the filibuster unconstitutional, Dave?

It's not defined in the constitution. You said it yourself, it was an accident.

> It doesn't obstruct the
>normal workings of Congress -- it is -PART OF- the normal workings of
>Congress.

No, it's not a normal working of congress, it is an attempt to halt the workings of congress. It is obstructionism, pure and simple. It's

the minority kicking a screaming that they can't have their way, and
via their tantrum, prevent the majority from furthering senate
business.

> If it were unconstitutional it would have been repealed 200
>years ago!

You can't repeal something that was never codified in the first place.

First off, the first major use of a filibuster was not until 1841. It
was tolerated at the time. When they became more popular, in 1917
limits were placed on it, which was tested in the 1919 Treaty of
Versailles filibuster. Further limits were imposed in 1975, which
dropped the "super majority" down to 60 from 67 votes.

http://www.senate.gov/artandhistory/history/common/briefing/Filibuster_Cloture.htm

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1447. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 14:57:39 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17256-42569BE3-264@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198473

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 10:11:51 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>That was not meant as a criticism, just a

>neutral observation.

Sure, sure,,a "neutral" observation whose first knee jerk reaction when
Dogie was busted was to jump to his defense and lie and say someone
someone withdrew the complaint against him to the FCC.

>You're lying again. I never made any such

>claim.

See Dave, when
the FCC places a hammie on the Rain Report and informs the world they
have evidence before them that indicates he was in violation of FCC law,
and you come along and attempt to say otherwise and that the FCC could
be wrong, is in no manner "neutral", It's simply another case (of many)
where you are not in any remote sense of the word "neutral".

>I simply offered that based on Doug's

>"notoriety",

Your reasoning for your bizarre behavior means something only to
yourself.
Your first instinct was to deny it took place, call me a liar, then turn
around in your next sentence and attempt to explain WHY you made such a
claim. Very bizarre, David.

_
The contingency recognizes this fact and several have illustrated and
commented on your position and hypocrisy.

>....of one (you).

Despite your paranoia, I am not Frank, Jim, Shark, or anyone else that
has commented on your bizarre hypocrisy.

>You don't know the meaning of the word.

Then again, it is your right to
insist on remaining detached from reality and refusing to view yourself
as you really are, such as how others and the majority view you.

>Ah yes, the majority of people who you base

>support by the number of magazines that sell

>which contain your fishing articles.

Such was your gaffe, not mine.
You
claiming the majority misunderstands you via explaining what you
"really" meant after the fact (usually accompanied by you misapplying
definitions of words and terms) adds to the joke.

>No, you are claiming there's a "majority"

Yes, I did claim there was a majority on man occasion.

>(Which has been strangely silent),

You always default to denial mode when other people tell you your
behavior is hypocritical. It's called denial.

>the truth is there is only you.

Classic denial. I wasn't the one telling you about your hypocritical
political diatribes, despite your need to believe I am now posting as
Jim, or anyone else.

>Provide even ONE example of my misuse of

>any term.

Empirical evidence, for one.
But you outdone yourself concerning your knowledge of the laws governing
your hobby regarding Civil Disobedience. Despite being taught and
educated on this matter several times, you fecklessly insist such an act
(such as dxing) makes one a federal criminal. You very clearly are
suffering some sort of massive block, some type of learning disability
that prevents you from comprehending the differences between what
constitutes civil and criminal penalties, despite being properly
instructed each time you shout your ignorance concerning this subject.
You erroneously claimed, "it is perception" (yours, albeit wrong) that
distinguishes between such.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

Have yourself a good weekend, old man.

1448. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 15:03:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dq6d51ducihecep6kt5t14m05n3albf9j0@4ax.com>
Source record: 198472

On Thu, 7 Apr 2005 16:46:08 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

> Also wanted to let you know, the feds came and banged on B-o-b Noxious'
>door last week,,,the day after his I mentioned his relocation party
>...Hahahahahaha!!

You mean they came to his old place after he had already left? That's
funny, and so typical for the FCC.

> The poor bastard in Tampa some say were responsible for the miss, was
>so embarrassed he had no comment to the press.
>A party bash is being thrown as a fundraiser for Bob on April 24 at the
>Globe Coffee Lounge, 532 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg. (I'm not
>taking personal calls, but fan mail, as well as threats of violence will
>be recorded for posterity). Not only are we supporting B-o-b and his
>current show, we are hoping to raise enough cash so that he can buy
>bigger and better equipment.

Yea, but a serious FCC engineer can D.F. on his transmitter in a
matter of minutes.

On a related note, a few weeks back I read an article from your area
where they found an antenna that blew over, which was connected to a
pirate FM transmitter, which was controlled remotely, and linked
through another means. Slick......

>..perhaps a boat...shit,,,you want to know
>how long a pirate radio station can last on a boat and heckle the FCC?
>I'll bet you would :)

Look up the reverend Carl McIntyre. He did exactly that in the 1960's

>I should have the article to post tomorrow.

I know a similar story about the ineptness of the FCC with regard to
jammers. This involved hams and commercial services.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1449. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 15:09:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9c7d51hrafohsfh2ps0sdhqg52p1hbil0m@4ax.com>
Source record: 198474

On Thu, 7 Apr 2005 18:48:24 -0400, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>N3CVJ asked:
>When have I ever been hypocritical?
>
>>you wrote all of the following
>
>
>And who would they report them to? The FCC has already shown time and
>time again that they have better things to do than worry about
>out-of-band CBers. I was involved in the DFing of a local 2 meter
>repeater jammer a few years back. We found him in short order, even
>though he was using a HT. He was reported to the FCC, and they sat on
>their laurels. Not until the SAME GUY was found causing malicious
>interference to the local police and fire frequencies, did the FCC
>finally get off of their collective butts and do something. After it was
>all said and done, the guy got what amounted to a wrist slapping. The
>point is, don't expect the feds to help rid the airwaves of Freebanders.
>And any ham that tries to "take the law into his own hands" will most
>likely
>end up full of lead......

And this is wrong how?

>I want to put this to rest once and for all. It seems that many of our
>ham friends here, have used the excuse that "I can work the world with 1
>watt, so YOU don't need an amplifier". Well, lets set the record
>straight:
>Misleading statement: " I can work the world with 1 watt". Facts: You
>can work the world with 1 watt, IF the ionospheric conditions are proper
>AND you are on a suitable frequency band. You CANNOT do it anytime you
>want, you are at the mercy of mother nature. Secondly, what most of
>these QRP guys have also failed to meantion, is that their "working of
>the world" was most likely via the CW mode. CW, because of its narrow
>bandwidth, offers excellent signal to noise ratios, easily 10 db better
>than a typical CB. If a CW contact were running 1 watt, and they were at
>your noise threshold, they would need to jump to 10 watts to make the
>same contact on AM. These "fantastic" claims aren't worth a nickel on
>the CB band, where most of us just wand RELIABLE (Meaning at ANY time)
>communications with other LOCAL stations.

And this is hypocritical how?

>_
> I take offense to the "holer than thou" attitude displayed by many hams

>toward Cbers. They flaunt their "superior equipment" and tell the CBers,
>to get ham licenses, and then are the first to complain to their ham
>buddies, that the reason the ham bands are going to pot, is because of
>all the CBers that are moving up there.
>Ham radio and CB radio are both communications services. Both offer
>their respective users with a forum for enjoyment. Each service has it's
>own unique appeal that is not duplicated on the other.

Again?

>-
>Ham radio is full of serious discussions and one can learn alot from
>just listening. On the other hand though, a constant diet of
>technobabble gets VERY boring fairly quickly. CBers are less technical,
>and as a result, are more like talking to "regular" people. Topics are
>more varied, and you can "fool around" without fear of upsetting the
>"kilocycle kops", and have more fun.

Did you like me better back then?

But how is that hypocritical? I still PERSONALLY feel that way. But I
also recognize the letter of the law, and the penalties that go with
violating it.

You like to accuse me of confusing civil and criminal issues. But you
still can't seem to differentiate someone's personal opinion, from a
matter of fact and law.

Both of these statements are true:

If you freeband you are a federal lawbreaker.

I personally don't care if you do.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1450. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 15:12:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pl7d51l173f0ctnm987hi17od7uapt9hrt@4ax.com>
Source record: 198475

On Thu, 7 Apr 2005 18:11:30 -0400, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>>Ah, I see you didn't understand the subtle
>>differences. I told Jim that I don't post political
>>diatribes. That is factually accurate, as I have
>>never yet started a thread which was politically
>>oriented.
>
>
>Hello, Dave. How's it been going? No one accused you of starting
>anything relating to politics. You seem unduly paranoid lately.

What happened, the other sock get dirty so you changed back to this
one?

>>I do, however RESPOND to other's
>>posts on political matters.
>
>
>And you accomplish this with telepathy? No, you ~post~ your responses.

No, I enter them.

>
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½(Politics & Religion are a never ending
>debate, that's why I am going to stay out of
>that subject.)
>
>
>
>
>>Probably a wise decision, unless you're like
>>me and enjoy watching the other side dance.

>Still waging imaginary wars and taking up "sides". Will wonders never
>cease?

So you deny that there are"sides" to political and religious debates?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1451. Re: FA: Texas Star Modulator EX V-Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 15:13:23 UTC
From: dontspam <dontspam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mr7d51dgm10aoaqdjii7tep66tah4ea52d@4ax.com>
Source record: 198476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Is this a Illegal CB Amp???

On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 10:11:55 -0400, "TIA@THANKS.com" <TIA@THANKS.COM>
wrote:

>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5766219062&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1Thanks for looking,73's

1452. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 15:17:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <948d51ptn2luqlfdttlk1qm7k373vtqmc4@4ax.com>
Source record: 198477

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 17:34:18 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 08:53:34 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>
>>My hat's off to Vinnie for trying to at least swing the discussions
>>back to radio related topics, as opposed to the sniping and bickering
>>over politics and who's gay.
>
>
>Totally fucking amazing. I ask a CB antenna question is a CB newsgroup, the the
>fucking demons come out. Well, I am glad about one thing. People here are
>finally getting to see who he assholes are.
>

The silent majority have known for a long time.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1453. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 16:29:26 UTC
From: Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4256B166.7C39CF6@yapoo.com>
Source record: 198478

> >Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
> > Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
> >
> LOL, ain't that goats balls?? That's on the menu?

I always heard pig nuts. And they slap hot tar on the wound...

1454. GALAXY SARURN TURBO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 16:42:12 UTC
From: Liberty Valance <dlp33@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Avy5e.22043$Vx1.19129@attbi_s01>
Source record: 198479

Does anyone know which regulator circuit supplies the voltage that makes the numerals on the LCD display appear faint or dark against the backlight of the display on the Galaxy Saturn Turbo or who sells an accurate schematic? The RCI 2990 schematic appears to be slightly different though the two radios look to be the same!

Thanks for looking!

--
FREE "Dish TV" System! Pause Live TV etc. Free DVR Included + Up To Four Rooms Intalled FREE! Free Authorization Certificate! Act Now!

1455. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 17:28:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <cofd5198822ov4c9mmsucq0popcrfk8voc@4ax.com>
Source record: 198480

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 16:44:59 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
><20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>
><snip>
>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>>one of those too.
>
>
>I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
>the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
>by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
>I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
>while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
>once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
>least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>

There's something cosmic about that. I've had 2 major accidents in my
whole life, and both were in the same car. Neither were my fault.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1456. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 17:30:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <rsfd519fmcjcdgve0q6625hrpu08ar5944@4ax.com>
Source record: 198481

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 15:52:43 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:48:31 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <61eb51p8rc36hier9h3ff5sled2t1e5c0d@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 22:41:39 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Nope. And I was in Bethlehem today. And I am in Allentown quite often. I cover
>>>>the eastern part of PA. But he is shit to me. He means nothing in my life. I
>>>>won't go look for him, and waste my time. He issued the the challenge. I never
>>>>issued an challenge to meet him. He came on this board, and put his challenge on
>>>>the archive, and said he was going to take pictures of my antennas, and post
>>>>them. There is no way he can do that, the way my property is laid out. He would
>>>>physically have to go onto my property. And once he does that he is fair game.
>>>>And since all this is in black and white, and I know his call sign, the FCC has
>>>>the evidence they need.
>>>>
>>>>Believe me. I have nothing to worry about.
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?
>>
>>I don't know what to believe from him. But if some lardass steps foot on my
>>property, I will look thru his wallet for ID will I hold his face in the dirt.
>>And if he doesn't have a wallet, then I will get the info from the car.
>
>
>.....oh brother, he's a crybaby -and- a tough-guy. This is just too
>good to be true!

Maybe he is a true tough guy. Stranger things have happened.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1457. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 17:38:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <g6gd5156l91dnvckno1nvu2516p79rqkqk@4ax.com>
Source record: 198482

On Thu, 7 Apr 2005 16:21:41 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Ok. Since you asked, I'm going to spill some beans, here. Doug lost
>control when he came on here. When it was found that he had real
>problems of the mind, I immediately backed off my responses to him. It's
>not fair and it's not right to hit a child because they hit you. A
>simple reprimand every once in a while is sufficient. Mopar handles that
>quite well. In fact, the mere fact that Mopar handles Dogie as he does,
>is testament how serious he takes Dogie's threats.
>ï¿½ï¿½Frank?.....well, Frank and I went around concerning the legality
>issue. Now that the dx is much more sporadic and less, I'm more legal
>than Dave or Leland. After a few emails, I found Frank to be not that
>different from myself regarding certain key life skills, education.
>Even when we disagreed, I could learn something from Frank, as he was at
>least consistent with all his positions. Dave, otoh, has
>self-contradicted himself so many times and is known on this group by
>the majority as a major hypocrite. Such instantly compromises whatever
>integrity he managed to retain, which, after taking his posts concerning
>the defense of Dogie into consideration, is zero.
>
>>Twist, I'm not going to go around with you on
>
>>this. I'm sure just like Mopar & Frank, things
>
>>got off to a bad start, hopefully in the future
>
>>things will be better, though one of them most
>
>>likely have to breakdown and bury the hatchet.
>,,,,why would one attack another for no reason?
>>Frank has a very condescending type of tone,
>
>>but unfortunately when typing one can't tell the "
>>tone" of the type if they haven't been around it
>
>>for a while.
>
>
>That's another parallel. Frank went as far as to come out and say "I'm
>an asshole" on occasion. Way back during my infancy of arrival, I stated
>I do not play well with others, do not much care what others think for
>the most part, and work better alone. This leads to self-created
>problems for a certain type of personality when they encounter people

>such as Frank or myself, especially when they possess a controlling
>personality, an unquenchable yearning and hunger for status, and are
>used to most people in their world deferring to them. Dave falls into
>this category. He speaks of other's feelings being hurt because -his-
>feelings are hurt. He projects and regurgitates all over usenet, just as
>he did in trying to say he never blamed anyone for the Dogie/Geo mess.
>He most certianly has, on many occasion, and has used the
>legality/illegality as his excuse to act the fool.
>
>
>ï¿½ï¿½Lelnad,,well, his hatred of cb is well documented, yet he remains
>here for the education. If that isn't enough, his unprovoked attacks
>against dxers (Nebo comes to mind immediately, just for starters)
>confirms his agenda.
>

Yep. you're alright, it's the rest of the world that's crazy.

Once again, your inability to comprehend subtleties combined with your
confusion of which of your "bad guy of the day" said what, has you so
befuddled, you make erroneous accusations of others, and then call
them hypocrites when they correct your mistakes.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1458. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 17:59:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <udgd51d64mnkq6u4v84ta376qssv07v3fq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198483

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 13:07:20 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 09:05:25 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <1iba511qr06ri5mr49pcukhbv49k7cami9@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:26:18 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 05 Apr 2005 14:41:07 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>>wrote in <vkm5515nkq57gq3r0o5uo1po604q442qu1@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>Should I return it?
>>>
>>>
>>>Probably. If you want a decent antenna that you can use for both CB
>>>-and- ham you should check out that link for the $4 cheapie (that I
>>>provided in a post without insult). It will probably cost -you- about
>>>$20 more because it requires a tuner which you probably don't have.
>>>The idea is to just throw a couple wires in the trees and load them up
>>>with the tuner -- that's it. It works better than any Imax or Antron,
>>>it can be used for whatever power and spectrum is handled by the tuner
>>>(usually 2-30 MHz), you can change the antenna at any time, you don't
>>>have to worry about SWR, it's cheap, and it's so easy even a Geico
>>>customer can do it.
>>
>>A tuner-fed non-resonent length dipole is not the best solution for
>>CB.
>
>
>Neither is an Imax.

True. But the dipole solution will not be any better.

>
>> It is woefully inefficient
>
>
>Compared to what.... a 7-el beam?

Any vertical antenna with gain.

>> and would be the wrong polarity for the
>>majority of CB work.
>

>
>Doesn't matter. It gets the best of both worlds. That is, unless you
>are so anal that you think any dipole must be both horizontal and
>perfectly straight.

Polarity losses are negligible for DX work, but local talk is not so
forgiving.

>> They worked well on the ham bands because most
>>H.F contacts are DX in nature and you're relying on atmospheric
>>propagation to do most of the work. Try to work another ham 30 miles
>>away on the H.F bands and it is surprising how difficult it can be
>>with those wire antennas.
>
>
>Maybe you had difficulty, but there are a very large number of hams
>-and- CBers who don't share your ineptitude.

A dipole is a dipole. It has 0 db of gain, and that assumes a resonant
dipole . If the dipole is non-resonant and requires a tuner to force
an impedance match, it will have further losses. It won't stand a
chance against a commercially produced (or home made if you are
so-inclined) 5/8th wave vertical (with proper radials, not an Imax).

> I've done this type of
>antenna myself and never had any problems with local contacts -- in
>fact, it worked a lot better than the 9' whip on the truck.

I find that very hard to believe, assuming identical height and
conditions, as my own experiences prove otherwise.

>>I ran a home brewed wire dipole on CB years ago, and used it in
>>addition to my main 5/8th wave antenna. While the dipole worked well
>>when the skip was running, locally, the signal from the dipole was a
>>few "S" units less than the ground plane. With 4 watts of power, you
>>don't get much range on a horizontal wire dipole strung in a tree.
>
>
>Well there's your problem, Dave -- I didn't say anything about
>horizontal. On the contrary, it's better if it isn't.

Yea, if you're talking local. For DX, horizontal is usually better for
a number of reasons, most notably a lower noise floor and better take
off angle.

> Like I said
>before, just throw some wire up into the trees (or whatever tall
>object happens to be available). Didn't you read the link I posted?

Of course. But a dipole is a basic antenna. It has no gain. A properly
made purpose built CB antenna will out perform it.

Let's look at this from a practical standpoint. If a non-resonant, tuner fed dipole worked so well, then why aren't all CB'ers using one? Why would people want huge 5/8th wave antennas then? Better tell Jay to forget about selling his Interceptor, since a simple non-resonant dipole will work just as well and for far less money.

A non-resonant dipole has ONE big advantage. It's a compromise antenna that will work on all the HF bands. That's great if you don't have the room to put up single band antennas for each band. But like any compromise, it will not work as well as a dedicated antenna for each band. Such a compromise is usually acceptable for a ham who has 1500 watts on tap. But for a 4 watt CB'er, who needs to squeeze every watt of ERP he can for best local range, he needs a high gain efficient antenna.

>>For ham band use, I agree with you, just not for CB.
>
>
>What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

What's your call sign Frank?

Frank, your experience with both ham and CB radio is sorely lacking. You read a few books and web sites and think you have all the answers. OTOH, I've walked the walk for the last 30+ years and have played with more antennas and equipment than I can remember. I have also been in contact with people in the know when it comes to antennas. What I know, I know through experience, and that's worth 10X what you read in any book.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1459. Re: Power levels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 18:01:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <cnhd51teb55p3t9m8d1t1akr7t84vnp64d@4ax.com>
Source record: 198484

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 07:25:01 GMT, "Chris"
<cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Most people who DX on AM run as much power as they can get their hands on.
>I've heard of 20,000 watts but I think 200 - 1000 watts is common. On SSB,
>I've talked around the world on 12 watts.
>

Heh heh.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1460. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 18:04:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <bshd51tubfr4e6fk3rrioefrt7j1h4a0da@4ax.com>
Source record: 198485

On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 15:59:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
>
><snip>
>> Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
>>and I really can't drill holes.
>
>
>So you deliver pizza just like Dave?

Are you happy Frank? Another post started by someone looking for
honest radio advice, and you devolved it into yet another chapter in
people bashing.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1461. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 18:35:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <75id51lte9apqagegd0reu1r6f35qrdu3h@4ax.com>
Source record: 198486

On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 10:57:39 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 10:11:51 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>That was not meant as a criticism, just a
>>neutral observation.
>
>Sure, sure,,a "neutral" observation whose first knee jerk reaction when
>Dogie was busted was to jump to his defense and lie and say someone
>someone withdrew the complaint against him to the FCC.
>
>>You're lying again. I never made any such
>>claim.

>>I simply offered that based on Doug's
>>"notoriety", that he MIGHT have been framed.
>> Are you stating that this scenario is impossible?

>Your reasoning for your bizarre behavior means something only to
>yourself.
>Your first instinct was to deny it took place, call me a liar, then turn
>around in your next sentence and attempt to explain WHY you made such a
>claim. Very bizarre, David.

The only thing "bizarre" is your inability to comprehend simple
concepts. You accused me of stating that someone withdrew the
complaint. I made no such statement. That's a lie on your part,
predicated, no doubt, from your inability to remember who said what
over the years. You once tried to claim that I accused Keith of
something. When pressed on the issue, (While you scrambled through
google) you finally had to back off when you realized that you make a
mistake. But true to form, you would never be a man and admit it.

Are you man enough to apologize now, or will you just spin this some
more to lay down even more smoke?

>The contingency recognizes this fact and several have illustrated and
>commented on your position and hypocrisy.
>
>>....of one (you).
>
>Despite your paranoia, I am not Frank, Jim, Shark, or anyone else that
>has commented on your bizarre hypocrisy.

Despite your obvious paranoia, I never said you were.

I am no more hypocritical than any of those who have claimed the same
of me.

>You
>claiming the majority misunderstands you via explaining what you
>"really" meant after the fact (usually accompanied by you misapplying
>definitions of words and terms) adds to the joke.
>
>>No, you are claiming there's a "majority"
>
>
>Yes, I did claim there was a majority on man occasion.
>
>>(Which has been strangely silent),
>
>
>You always default to denial mode when other people tell you your
>behavior is hypocritical. It's called denial.

No, it's called correcting an error. You still cannot demonstrate
anything hypocritical that I've posted. I'm forced to conclude that
you don't know the meaning of the word. So for your edification:

hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sy (P) Pronunciation Key (h-pkr-s)
n. pl. hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sies

1.The practice of professing beliefs, feelings, or virtues that one
does not hold or possess; falseness.
2. An act or instance of such falseness.

Now, where have I ever professed a belief, virtue, or feeling that I
don't possess?

>>the truth is there is only you.
>
>
>Classic denial. I wasn't the one telling you about your hypocritical
>political diatribes, despite your need to believe I am now posting as
>Jim, or anyone else.

I never mentioned that. Guilty conscience?

>
>>Provide even ONE example of my misuse of
>
>>any term.
>
>
>Empirical evidence, for one.
>But you outdone yourself concerning your knowledge of the laws governing
>your hobby regarding Civil Disobedience.

You have absolutely no idea what the concept of civil disobedience is do you? You think it's your "get out of jail free card". You are so far off, it's not even funny.

> Despite being taught and
>educated on this matter several times,

You are not capable of educating anyone. Your legal and political views are akin to the malcontents and subversive slackers of the 1960's.

> you fecklessly insist such an act
>(such as dxing) makes one a federal criminal.

It does and it is. The FCC (a FEDERAL agency) via the communications act of 1934 clearly defines both civil AND criminal penalties for violation of the law. The fact that you haven't been caught yet does not change that.

> You very clearly are
>suffering some sort of massive block, some type of learning disability
>that prevents you from comprehending the differences between what
>constitutes civil and criminal penalties, despite being properly
>instructed each time you shout your ignorance concerning this subject.
>You erroneously claimed, "it is perception" (yours, albeit wrong) that
>distinguishes between such.

Your problem is that in your narcissistic mind, that you believe that you know what's "proper". Someone can't be "educated" when the "teacher" is further off the rails than the "student". Your knowledge of the law is the worst that I've ever seen anywhere. I have a cousin who's a lawyer and he just shakes his head at your ignorance.

Stick to fishing. It's probably the only subject you know anything about.

You really should take some remedial education courses, including a course on reading comprehension. That way you wouldn't be so quick to accuse others of saying things they never said.

>Have yourself a good weekend, old man.

Same to you. See you on Monday....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1462. I LOVE COCK!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 19:24:11 UTC
From: Chris Busch <KB3BEJ@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gimd51t7f19fas70qbdsbb7k43frt542ni@4ax.com>
Source record: 198487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

BIG COCK!!!!!

SMALL COCK!!!!!

ANY COCK WILL DO!!!!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1463. CB is for morons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 19:38:49 UTC
From: Post Office Bozo <supersecret@looselipssinkships.wow>
Message-ID: <d5B5e.21310$zl.20239@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198488

You gotta be brain dead and butt ugly to be a CB'er. Thank gawd I had the good sense to stay away!

1464. Re: CB is for morons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 20:22:38 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ybmdnf4FwbSQdcvfRVn-rQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 198489

Post Office Bozo wrote:
> You gotta be brain dead and butt ugly to be a CB'er. Thank gawd I had
> the good sense to stay away!

But you came back?

Jeff

1465. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 20:45:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050408164544.097$vX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198490

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> That's a long camper haul up
> > and down those hills they call mountains in Virginia and W Virginia..
>
> 14 hour drive to and from for one of the guys that lives
> local to me. I had my Bronco trucked down, then flew,
> much easier.
>
Man the fuel prices suck!

1466. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 20:46:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050408164614.099$IC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198491

Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:
> > >Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
> > > Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
> > >
> > LOL, ain't that goats balls?? That's on the menu?
>
> I always heard pig nuts. And they slap hot tar on the wound...
>
Hot tar...soothing.

1467. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 21:02:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <j4sd51pjrvjacagtqp0e5n27s9ndcucp6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 198492

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:30:51 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>>>>Believe me. I have nothing to worry about.
>>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?
>>>
>>>I don't know what to believe from him. But if some lardass steps foot on my
>>>property, I will look thru his wallet for ID will I hold his face in the dirt.
>>>And if he doesn't have a wallet, then I will get the info from the car.
>>
>>
>>.....oh brother, he's a crybaby -and- a tough-guy. This is just too
>>good to be true!

And it don't look like I am the only one !!!

I did a little search on you in the archives. This is precious.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_frm/thread/98d4a42ef486b9b0/6d052f
3b40d85682?q=wonders+of+wireless&rnum=2#6d052f3b40d85682

>Maybe he is a true tough guy. Stranger things have happened.

It's a amazing what a little info you can get on a poster's history on Google,
Dave. My favorite about this info on Frank, it the imaginary McDonald's, and the
fishing trip he had at the lake in a storm !!!!

Vinnie S.

1468. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 21:18:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050408171837.761$MV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198493

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 08 Apr 2005 20:45:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> That's a long camper haul up
> >> > and down those hills they call mountains in Virginia and W
> >> > Virginia..
> >>
> >> 14 hour drive to and from for one of the guys that lives
> >> local to me. I had my Bronco trucked down, then flew,
> >> much easier.
> >>
> >Man the fuel prices suck!
>
> $2.18 here Steve... Glad my car gets almost 30 mpg...
>
I spent about $300 in fuel round-trip to Bristol. ugh

1469. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 21:28:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050408172840.356$KW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198594

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 08 Apr 2005 21:18:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 08 Apr 2005 20:45:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> That's a long camper haul up
> >> >> > and down those hills they call mountains in Virginia and W
> >> >> > Virginia..
> >> >>
> >> >> 14 hour drive to and from for one of the guys that lives
> >> >> local to me. I had my Bronco trucked down, then flew,
> >> >> much easier.
> >> >>
> >> >Man the fuel prices suck!
> >>
> >> $2.18 here Steve... Glad my car gets almost 30 mpg...
> >>
> >I spent about $300 in fuel round-trip to Bristol. ugh
>
> Money isn't worth anything unless you spend it...
>
Words to live by..
```

1470. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 21:50:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <33vd51tjb0v4m1eqq0a30vbomgv1992oh3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198595

On 08 Apr 2005 21:18:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 08 Apr 2005 20:45:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> That's a long camper haul up
>> >> > and down those hills they call mountains in Virginia and W
>> >> > Virginia..
>> >>
>> >> 14 hour drive to and from for one of the guys that lives
>> >> local to me. I had my Bronco trucked down, then flew,
>> >> much easier.
>> >>
>> >Man the fuel prices suck!
>>
>> $2.18 here Steve... Glad my car gets almost 30 mpg...
>>
>I spent about $300 in fuel round-trip to Bristol. ugh

It's a good thing I don't have to spend money on fuel. Only on my wife's car,
but not mine.

Vinnie S.

1471. FS TRC - 449 40 Channel AM/SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 22:15:39 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NoD5e.61543$NC6.42161@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198597

Fair to decent condition. Seems to work ok. Make offers via reply to direct e-mail (remove NS spam block). Being sold AS IS. Top bid on E-Bay was a joke and didn't come close to the reserve, so I'm trying here. If you're interested, let me know. Can send a pic of it - with power applied. This will be advertised in a few places, so best offer wins.

Payment via Pay pal or Postal MO only.

cl

1472. Re: FS TRC - 449 40 Channel AM/SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 22:18:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <op0e51pjq10k0c6ctgd5i0c0gd7drc4ip1@4ax.com>
Source record: 198596

On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 18:15:39 -0400, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:

>Fair to decent condition. Seems to work ok. Make offers via reply to direct
>e-mail (remove NS spam block). Being sold AS IS. Top bid on E-Bay was a joke
>and didn't come close to the reserve, so I'm trying here. If you're
>interested, let me know. Can send a pic of it - with power applied. This
>will be advertised in a few places, so best offer wins.
>
>Payment via Pay pal or Postal MO only.
>
>cl
>

How high did it go? Those auctions usually take off in the last hours.

Vinnie S.

1473. Re: FS TRC - 449 40 Channel AM/SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 23:00:11 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <x2E5e.61546$NC6.56081@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198598

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:op0e51pjq10k0c6ctgd5i0c0gd7drc4ip1@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 18:15:39 -0400, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Fair to decent condition. Seems to work ok. Make offers via reply to
>>direct
>>e-mail (remove NS spam block). Being sold AS IS. Top bid on E-Bay was a
>>joke
>>and didn't come close to the reserve, so I'm trying here. If you're
>>interested, let me know. Can send a pic of it - with power applied. This
>>will be advertised in a few places, so best offer wins.
>>
>>Payment via Pay pal or Postal MO only.
>>
>>cl
>>
>
>
> How high did it go? Those auctions usually take off in the last hours.
>
> Vinnie S.

Like $22 - I'll keep it for that..... I'd trash it first........

cl

1474. Re: FS TRC - 449 40 Channel AM/SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-08 23:15:05 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <od3e5157bef68ellsp7d58n6crmj64nmn6@4ax.com>
Source record: 198599

On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 19:00:11 -0400, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:

>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:op0e51pjq10k0c6ctgd5i0c0gd7drc4ip1@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 18:15:39 -0400, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Fair to decent condition. Seems to work ok. Make offers via reply to
>>>direct
>>>e-mail (remove NS spam block). Being sold AS IS. Top bid on E-Bay was a
>>>joke
>>>and didn't come close to the reserve, so I'm trying here. If you're
>>>interested, let me know. Can send a pic of it - with power applied. This
>>>will be advertised in a few places, so best offer wins.
>>>
>>>Payment via Pay pal or Postal MO only.
>>>
>>>cl
>>>
>>
>>
>> How high did it go? Those auctions usually take off in the last hours.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Like $22 - I'll keep it for that..... I'd trash it first........
>
>cl
>

Right. Or just give it to a friend. But that isn't close.

Vinnie S.

1475. Re: I LOVE COCK!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 00:01:58 UTC
From: hair man <hairman@yoservice.cum>
Message-ID: <42571764_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 198600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

sure
804-301-4681
They calls me "hair man" or "gator"
"Chris Busch" <KB3BEJ@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:gimd51t7f19fas70qbdsbb7k43frt542ni@4ax.com...
> BIG COCK!!!!!
>
> SMALL COCK!!!!!
>
> ANY COCK WILL DO!!!!!
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1476. Re: CB is for morons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 00:04:36 UTC
From: hair man <hairman@yoservice.cum>
Message-ID: <42571801$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 198601

shut up, mud duck!
Go suck yo dog's cock!

Quit yo damn cryin!
"Post Office Bozo" <supersecret@looselipssinkships.wow> wrote in message
news:d5B5e.21310$zl.20239@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> You gotta be brain dead and butt ugly to be a CB'er. Thank gawd I had the
> good sense to stay away!
>
>

1477. Re: FS TRC - 449 40 Channel AM/SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 00:37:52 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8uF5e.61553$NC6.9028@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198602

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:od3e5157bef68ellsp7d58n6crmj64nmn6@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 19:00:11 -0400, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:op0e51pjq10k0c6ctgd5i0c0gd7drc4ip1@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 18:15:39 -0400, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Fair to decent condition. Seems to work ok. Make offers via reply to
>>>>direct
>>>>e-mail (remove NS spam block). Being sold AS IS. Top bid on E-Bay was a
>>>>joke
>>>>and didn't come close to the reserve, so I'm trying here. If you're
>>>>interested, let me know. Can send a pic of it - with power applied. This
>>>>will be advertised in a few places, so best offer wins.
>>>>
>>>>Payment via Pay pal or Postal MO only.
>>>>
>>>>cl
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> How high did it go? Those auctions usually take off in the last hours.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>Like $22 - I'll keep it for that..... I'd trash it first.....
>>
>>cl
>>
>
> Right. Or just give it to a friend. But that isn't close.
>
> Vinnie S.

I don't have any "Friends" who need a CB. Most have enough of their own -
most of which, don't use them. But, I know quite a few I could give it to,
and it would be the same as trashing it, because they "think" they're techs
and couldn't fix a radio if their ass depended on it. So, if they went to
modify it, you could consider it "trashed". Hmmm. Nah, I don't think I could
give that radio such a dreaded ending. Guess if I don't sell it, I'll hook
it up on my desk with the ole power supply.

cl

1478. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 01:27:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2vae519djqpctr0jm0je14hssmt26ahgqm@4ax.com>
Source record: 198603

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 10:54:09 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <q8qc51lp6el6t4ggupf72p27s4e97o03eg@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 13:09:16 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>>
>>>>It's not difficult, Dave. Just punch in the right keywords and scroll
>>>>through the list.
>>>
>>>If I were to do, as Twist naively suggested, and put in the words
>>>"Schiavo" and "Delay", I get 1,300,000 hits. Now I don't know about
>>>either you or he, but I don't have the time (nor Frankly the interest)
>>>to sift though that many sites.
>>>
>>>Partial clips of what Twist posted came up with nothing.
>>
>>
>>Well, if you really want to brag about how you are too stupid to find
>>the referenced quote when it only took me ten minutes, I certainly
>>have no objection.
>
>There's nothing stupid about it. I cut and pasted his quote and came
>up with nothing. I then tried parts of the quote, and came back with
>little unrelated sites, and blog-referenced comments.

Many of those blogs either quote the statement or provide a link to
it. Want a list?

> THEN he chimes
>in with the "Just enter Schiavo and Delay".

Because you obviously couldn't figure out how to construct even a
basic search query for the specified topic.

> Well, first off "Delay" is
>not only a proper name in this case, it's also a verb, and the
>combination of the two produced over 1.3 million hits.

"Tom DeLay" is not a verb, and it's pretty difficult for a search
engine to confuse the name with non-related subjects.

> At that point I
>wasn't about to sift through them as the search parameters were not
>fine enough. I don't have the time to spend.

As I stated in another post, half of the first ten hits displayed
(from those 1.3m hits) either reference or quote Delay's statement.
But you didn't even bother to read the summaries. Nor did you try to
narrow the search by modifying the search term "delay" to the proper
name "Tom DeLay", which would have given you 553k hits with the first
ten being direct references to the statement, and the exact quote in
very first hit. No, you just saw the 1.3m number and thought that
would be a reasonable justification for your ignorance. It isn't.

>Twist made the claim, it's up to him to provide the source.

You have made many claims for which you have provided no source. Do
you want to see that list again? Where are -your- facts, Dave?

>>>> It doesn't take long to find a partial quote. Then
>>>>do a search on the partial quote and up pops the whole transcript.
>>>>Took all of 10 minutes to do your work for you..... you're welcome.
>>>
>>>Now that we have the exact proper quote, I can say that in many ways,
>>>I agree with it. There are many on the left who are trying to
>>>eradicate the conservative movement and vilify those with conservative
>>>leanings.
>>
>>
>>Flip-flop!
>
>In what way?

Go back through the thread and look for the word "exploited".

>>>>>>>>Attacking another patriotic act
>>>>>>>>repeatedly is doing your position no good.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>There is nothing "patriotic" about selectively ignoring laws we don't
>>>>>>>agree with.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Ironically, that's exactly what the Patriot Act does.
>>>>>
>>>>>You might think that. But the greater good justifies it in this case.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Sacrificing the rights of a few to preserve the rights of the many is
>>>>not consistent with the precept that "all men are created equal".
>>>
>>>But the concept of the needs of the many outweighing the needs of the
>>>few, is consistent with logic. Something I thought you understood.
>>
>>
>>Star Trek is fiction, Dave.
>
>What does Star Trek have to do with this?

Because your statement is almost an exact quote from the show. And
knowing that you watch way too much TV it's a fair guess that you
plagiarized Roddenberry for your "logic".

>> Civil rights are applicable to each person
>>as individuals, not as "the many" or "the few".
>
>But if the act of honoring each and every person's "rights" seriously
>limits our ability to protect ourselves from attacks, then I'd say
>that we're putting ourselves at risk. I am more than glad to give a up
>a FEW liberties in exchange for far better security.

Wrong. A shepherd doesn't defend his flock by tossing a few sheep to
the wolves -- the wolves will come back and demand more sheep over and
over until the flock is gone. It's a bad idea to defend our rights and
freedoms by sacrificing -any- of them.

>>>>>>http://www.factcheck.org/article317.html
>
>
>>>>The filibuster wasn't
>>>>"designed" -- it was an accident. The only reason it has never been
>>>>remedied is because both sides want to keep the filibuster as a tool
>>>>for when their party holds the minority, and therefore prevent abuse
>>>>of the simple majority on important issues that probably should
>>>>require a super-majority, such as the appointment of a Supreme Court
>>>>Justice.
>>>
>>>Abuse of the simple majority? That's what the constitution calls for
>>>on many of these votes. A filibuster is a way to circumvent the wishes
>>>of the constitutional framers. You've claimed to be someone who
>>>upholds the letter of the constitution. You should, if anyone,
>>>understand this. If, for whatever reason the consensus of the majority
>>>of citizens believe that the constitution should be changed to
>>>super-majority votes for many issues, then the constitution should be
>>>amended to reflect that.
>>
>>
>>I agree -- it should be amended.
>
>Then I guess you should join those who agree with you to push this as
>an amendment.

No, I agree that the Constitution should be amended to require a super
majority on some issues that now only require a simple majority.

> In the meantime, the current rules should stand and use
>of a filibuster is little more than an attempt by the minority to hold
>the senate hostage. I can understand your concern with preserving the
>voice of the minority, but I draw the line when the minority can
>hijack the wishes of the majority. That's the main reason why Wilson
>passed the "cloture" limit for filibustering.

If a simple majority was sufficient to decide any and all issues then
there would have been no need for the government to require a super
majority vote on some issues, such as to overturn a presidential veto.
If the filibuster is repealed then what's next? Elimination of the
requirement for -any- vote that requires a super majority? If that's
the case then the veto power of the administrative branch is lost, and
the Constitution can be changed at will by any party holding a simple
majority in Congress. Bad idea, Dave.

>> It should also be amended to set an
>>upper limit on the number of people assigned to each Representative in
>>the House, among other things.
>
>What people are you referring to?

"WE THE PEOPLE...." If you can't find it in a search engine then let
me know -- I can give you a direct link to the full quote.

>>>>The fact is that Bush wants to push his judge through Congress, but he
>>>>can't negotiate a deal because he's used up all his cards, and he's so
>>>>desperate that he's willing to try to eliminate the filibuster.
>>>
>>>Bush is not the one pushing this.
>>
>>
>>Crawl out of your bunker, Dave -- the Bush administration is clearly
>>the motivating force behind the proposal because he has too many
>>issues coming up that are going to be facing a filibuster, such as the
>>impending Supreme Court vacancy, the renewal of the Patriot Act, etc,
>>etc.
>
>Look at the other side of the story:
>
>http://www.traditionalvalues.org/modules.php?sid=2185

Of course it's partisan politics. If Bush were to nominate a
non-partisan judge there probably wouldn't be this hassle. Don't you
think it's better to have a judge on the bench that is agreeable to
both political parties? It has happened in the past.

Didn't Bush campaign in 2000 on the promise to bring the parties
together? Well, when do you suspect he will begin to fulfill that
promise?

>>And since it's the intention of Rove to establish a permanent
>>Republican majority, the elimination of the filibuster is the next
>>logical step towards that objective.
>
>C'mon Frank listen to yourself. Karl Rove is nothing more than a
>brilliant political strategist. He's not the "Anti-Christ "that the
>authors of your paranoid conspiracy sources would make you think.
>
>And just HOW would Rove pull off this "Permanent Republican majority"

>anyway?

Tell ya what, Dave: I'll tape the next Frontline, and if I hear Rove
give the answer himself I'll transcribe it and post it just for you.

>>> Opposition of the obstructionist
>>>politics of filibustering democrats comes straight from congressional
>>>republicans. I'm sure Bush is in favor, but he can't do much about it.
>>
>>
>>Republicans have also used the filibuster on many occasions -- don't
>>make it sound like it's a tool used exclusively by the evil Democrats.
>
>
>It's wrong no matter who does it. The last time republicans did this
>to this level, was in 1968, in the matter of Abe Fortas.

I don't know to what "level" you are referring and it doesn't matter;
the fact is that both sides use and abuse the filibuster. You can make
your own judgment as to whether one party abuses it more than another
party, but that's just your opinion and doesn't mean squat. Neither of
us have all the facts needed to make such a judgment since neither of
us know just how many times a vote was blocked merely on the -threat-
of a filibuster, or through tactics -other than- the filibuster. And
we both know that if the tables were turned then the Republicans would
be doing the exact same thing that the Democrats are doing now.

>>>> But he
>>>>is so isolated from his own party that any vote to end the filibuster
>>>>would probably be opposed by both Democrats -and- Republicans because
>>>>they both want to -keep- the filibuster.
>>>
>>>You are so far off the mark on this one, you should stop right now.
>>
>>
>>I don't think so, Dave. In fact, I don't think the proposal will make
>>it to a Senate vote -because- of Republican dissent.
>
>There may be some republicans who are hesitant to support this out of
>fear of political fallout. But anyone who wishes that the government
>continue should support the modification of such an obstructionist
>policy such as the filibuster.

Nice buzzword -- "obstructionist policy". But I have a better name for
it and oddly enough it comes from electronics: "parasitic supression".
IOW, the filibuster, despite its abuse, does a very effective job at
preventing a majority party from running amok.

>>>> Chances are that the stupid
>>>>Democrats will block the vote with -- ironically -- a filibuster,
>>>>thereby allowing the Republicans to save face and not look like they
>>>>are opposed to Bush.

>
>It's expected that democrats will block this move. But I think you are
>seriously underestimating the support for it on the republican side.

Maybe. And if it ever comes to a vote we will see, won't we?

>>>They're not. Congressional republicans are the ones talking about the
>>>"Nuclear Option" and other such fillibuster-busting issues.
>>
>>
>>Talk is cheap. Votes are not. It won't get past the hill.
>
>You might be right although, I suspect, not for the reasons you think.

As I stated before, I think the Democrats are going to block it with a
filibuster or with the threat of a filibuster. You have another
scenario?

>>>>>We vote on many issues in congress using a simple 51% majority. That
>>>>>is what the constitution provides. Using a filibuster so that the
>>>>>minority can leverage the majority is unconstitutional.
>>>
>>>>Again, read the article. The filibuster can be used for both good and
>>>>bad, depending on your point of view.
>>>
>>>I did (again). It is irrelevant the intent or motive of the
>>>filibuster. That it obstructs the normal workings of congress is the
>>>issue. One that flies in the face of the constitution.
>>
>>
>>How is the filibuster unconstitutional, Dave?
>
>It's not defined in the constitution. You said it yourself, it was an
>accident.

Chocolate chip cookies are not defined in the Constitution. Does that
make them unconstitutional?

>> It doesn't obstruct the
>>normal workings of Congress -- it is -PART OF- the normal workings of
>>Congress.
>
>No, it's not a normal working of congress, it is an attempt to halt
>the workings of congress. It is obstructionism, pure and simple. It's
>the minority kicking a screaming that they can't have their way, and
>via their tantrum, prevent the majority from furthering senate
>business.

Sounds like SOP to me.

>> If it were unconstitutional it would have been repealed 200
>>years ago!
>

>You can't repeal something that was never codified in the first place.

How is it not codified? Unlimited discussion is permitted whenever a
time limit is not passed by a super majority. That's the law. Are you
suggesting the filibuster isn't codified because the law doesn't
include the word "filibuster"?

>First off, the first major use of a filibuster was not until 1841. It
>was tolerated at the time. When they became more popular, in 1917
>limits were placed on it, which was tested in the 1919 Treaty of
>Versailles filibuster. Further limits were imposed in 1975, which
>dropped the "super majority" down to 60 from 67 votes.
>
>http://www.senate.gov/artandhistory/history/common/briefing/Filibuster_Cloture.htm

So?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1479. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 01:27:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <erbe5155i6glfbgn4vnbgoo06ukrserdee@4ax.com>
Source record: 198604

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:59:47 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <udgd51d64mnkq6u4v84ta376qssv07v3fq@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>Maybe you had difficulty, but there are a very large number of hams
>>-and- CBers who don't share your ineptitude.
>
>A dipole is a dipole. It has 0 db of gain,

Wrong. A 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole has a gain of roughly +3 dBi in free
space. It has 0 dB when referenced to itself.

> and that assumes a resonant
>dipole .

That's an assumtion -you- made, and it's also wrong: A resonant dipole
can be any multiple of 1/2-wave, and has a gain that differs depending
upon the length and directionality.

> If the dipole is non-resonant and requires a tuner to force
>an impedance match, it will have further losses.

Wrong again. A tuner only adds insertion loss, which is usually quite
small if it's built with quality components. The insertion loss is
easily overcome by slightly increasing the length of the elements,
which is no big deal since the antenna is already non-resonant.

> It won't stand a
>chance against a commercially produced (or home made if you are
>so-inclined) 5/8th wave vertical (with proper radials, not an Imax).

Well guess what, Dave -- a 5/8-wave antenna is a non-resonant antenna
and requires some method of impedance matching. Thank you.

>> I've done this type of
>>antenna myself and never had any problems with local contacts -- in
>>fact, it worked a lot better than the 9' whip on the truck.
>
>I find that very hard to believe, assuming identical height and
>conditions, as my own experiences prove otherwise.

Oh, the humanity.....

>>>I ran a home brewed wire dipole on CB years ago, and used it in
>>>addition to my main 5/8th wave antenna. While the dipole worked well
>>>when the skip was running, locally, the signal from the dipole was a
>>>few "S" units less than the ground plane. With 4 watts of power, you
>>>don't get much range on a horizontal wire dipole strung in a tree.

>>
>>
>>Well there's your problem, Dave -- I didn't say anything about
>>horizontal. On the contrary, it's better if it isn't.
>
>Yea, if you're talking local. For DX, horizontal is usually better for
>a number of reasons, most notably a lower noise floor and better take
>off angle.

A horizontal dipole can have a wide variation in take-off angle
depending on height above ground, ground resistance, proximity to
other structures or objects, etc. And the noise floor may be slightly
lower but that's a product of it's directivity, as compared to a
vertical which is omnidirectional. A pair of phased verticals, as well
as any other directional antenna or antenna array, will also have the
effect of lowering the noise floor.

>> Like I said
>>before, just throw some wire up into the trees (or whatever tall
>>object happens to be available). Didn't you read the link I posted?
>
>Of course. But a dipole is a basic antenna. It has no gain.

Wrong again. As I said before, a 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole in free
space has about 3 dB gain over an isotropic antenna; but a dipole is
merely an antenna with two elements and is not limited to a 1/2-wave
Hertzian configuration. Not only that, but a tuner isn't limited to
dipole antennas -- they can load up a long-wire just as easily.

> A properly
>made purpose built CB antenna will out perform it.

Get a clue, Dave. You're an Extra, right? What's the name of that tech
school you claim to have attended?

>Let's look at this from a practical standpoint. If a non-resonant,
>tuner fed dipole worked so well, then why aren't all CB'ers using one?
>Why would people want huge 5/8th wave antennas then? Better tell Jay
>to forget about selling his Interceptor, since a simple non-resonant
>dipole will work just as well and for far less money.

This resounds back to your argument that roger-beeps were illegal
because most radios didn't include them. The problem is that a tuner
requires a little skill and practice to use, which is beyond the scope
of the intended purpose of the service (a plug-n-play radio service).
A tuner requires readjustment when changing channels within the CB,
and most CBers don't want to deal with the hassle. Regardless, there
are still many CBers that do indeed use a tuner, not just with prefab
antennas but also with homebrew and beverage-can hooks. And just about
every CBer who runs a tube linear uses a tuner because it's built into
the amp, which by itself blows big holes in your "practical" argument.
Then there is the issue of the non-resonant 5/8-wave antenna..... oh,

Dave, when will you learn?

>A non-resonant dipole has ONE big advantage. It's a compromise antenna
>that will work on all the HF bands. That's great if you don't have the
>room to put up single band antennas for each band. But like any
>compromise, it will not work as well as a dedicated antenna for each
>band. Such a compromise is usually acceptable for a ham who has 1500
>watts on tap. But for a 4 watt CB'er, who needs to squeeze every watt
>of ERP he can for best local range, he needs a high gain efficient
>antenna.

If that were the case then every CBer would have a 5-el yagi on a 30'
mast and a 9' whip on their vehicles.

>>>For ham band use, I agree with you, just not for CB.
>>
>>
>>What's the name of that tech school, Dave?
>
>What's your call sign Frank?

I'm not a ham. I answered your question, now you answer mine: what's
the name of your tech school, Dave?

>Frank, your experience with both ham and CB radio is sorely lacking.
>You read a few books and web sites and think you have all the answers.
>OTOH, I've walked the walk for the last 30+ years and have played with
>more antennas and equipment than I can remember. I have also been in
>contact with people in the know when it comes to antennas. What I
>know, I know through experience, and that's worth 10X what you read in
>any book.

Which explains why your theory is severely lacking. If you took the
time to actually learn why these things work and -then- applied them
to your experiences, you could be the guru you think you already are.
But you don't and you're not. Instead you do things backwards: you
theorize about radio based on your own experience, then read only
enough to validate your own conclusions whether they are right or not.

Check this out, Dave: Education doesn't come from a textbook that some
geek sat down and wrote just for shits and giggles. It's a compendium
of knowledge that has been collected from over a century of research,
experimentation and practical experience by experts in all aspects of
the field. Those experts didn't become experts simply by playing with
CB radios as a hobby for 30 years. And an education doesn't include a
couple textbooks, a few lectures and a test -- it also includes years
of experience with the practical application of that knowledge. When
you mock those that have a formal education and extensive experience
in electronic communication then you mock the same people who made the
television set you watch way too much, the telephone and cell-phone
infrastructure that keeps this world talking, the NASA and JPL
engineers who communicate with space probes billions of miles away,

etc, etc, etc. While I may not have participated in any world-changing technology, I certainly have an education and the experience in the field that puts you to shame. Yet -you- try to teach -me- theory based on your education from a third-rate vocational tech school, a few years in a CB shop, and your experience in =amateur= radio.

And here's the kicker: Do you have any idea where I came up with the idea to just throw a couple wires in the air and load them up with a tuner? A ham.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1480. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 01:27:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lrbe51do4550fgnntjb5mmcamgj6jmd176@4ax.com>
Source record: 198605

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:28:53 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <cofd5198822ov4c9mmsucq0popcrfk8voc@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 16:44:59 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>><20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>>>one of those too.
>>
>>
>>I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
>>the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
>>by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
>>I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
>>while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
>>once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
>>least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>>
>
>There's something cosmic about that. I've had 2 major accidents in my
>whole life, and both were in the same car. Neither were my fault.

Nothing is ever your fault, Dave. We discussed this problem in your
last therapy session.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1481. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 01:44:13 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <jrG5e.73$MA3.68@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198606

Lancer wrote:
> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 20:03:26 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>><20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>>>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>>>>one of those too.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
>>>the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
>>>by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
>>>I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
>>>while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
>>>once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
>>>least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>>>
>>>Ok, I'm stepping off the soap box now.....
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>>>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>>>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----
>>
>>got hit by a beer distributor saleswoman (go figure) and enjoyed the
>>bennies of not reporting it hehehe
>
>
> Ok, got to ask... beer or?
was on the way to a womans softball game (as i was the manager) with a
female in the truck so it was beer :(

1482. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 01:53:05 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <DzG5e.74$5Y3.32@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198607

Steveo wrote:

> Scott <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:
>
>>>>Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
>>>>Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
>>>>
>>>
>>>LOL, ain't that goats balls?? That's on the menu?
>>
>>I always heard pig nuts. And they slap hot tar on the wound...
>>
>
> Hot tar...soothing.
hint of homer? mmmmmmmmmmmmmm

1483. Re: Suggestion for Interstate radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 01:54:21 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <OAG5e.75$sZ3.19@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198608

Lancer wrote:

> On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 16:12:45 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:06:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>><20050407190653.894$o5@newsreader.com>:
>>
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:00:17 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>>><20050407190017.921$CU@newsreader.com>:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 18:54:50 -0400, Vinnie the Antenna Guru wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Also, my car is a company lease vehicle,
>>>>>>>and I really can't drill holes.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>So you deliver pizza just like Dave?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you serve pizza at the bar you work at?
>>>>
>>>>I wish. It's a Chinese restaurant & bar. They do have an outstanding
>>>>"special" chow mein, though.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Is their kitchen clean? I love Chinese food but I wonder about some of
>>>that mystery meat and cleanliness in those joints.
>>
>>
>>Clean kitchen, but I too have had suspicions about some of the
>>"meats". Hey, ya gotta pay attention at any restaurant that serves
>>Rocky Mountain Oysters.....
>>
>>
>>

>
>
> They are good if they are cooked correctly...Battered and deep
> fried...
and several shots beforehand...

1484. Re: CB is for morons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 12:55:17 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <NgQ5e.1507$1c1.407@fe02.lga>
Source record: 198610

If this post wasn't a troll, I'd probably say something like this in reply to your "clever" response:

"Yeah, that's really going to change his opinion about us... GREAT JOB IDIOT!"

"hair man" <hairman@yoservice.cum> wrote in message news:42571801$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> shut up, mud duck!
> Go suck yo dog's cock!
>
> Quit yo damn cryin!
> "Post Office Bozo" <supersecret@looselipssinkships.wow> wrote in message
> news:d5B5e.21310$zl.20239@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > You gotta be brain dead and butt ugly to be a CB'er. Thank gawd I had the
> > good sense to stay away!
> >
> >
>
>

1485. Re: Landshark caught in Transexual NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 13:02:03 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113049199.434820.7430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198609

itSorry Fagshark and another wrote:
> I am inclined to belive steve Parks is a bear type, thats why
> shark likes him so much. He brings my name up in his post yet says
geo is
> obsessed, another idotic statement by Mark Feminine Beck

Parks isnt his name...which would make him a cross between a chicken and a bear. Hmmm...an egg laying bear, maybe? Could be, since all his threats to AKC'ers have been a colossal goose egg.

1. In all his years of acting like he could actually stop the AKC somehow, he hasn't. That's cuz he can't.

2. In all his years of being on rec.radio.keyclown he has never given his true name. He never will because he is a sissy. He fears the AKC.

1486. FA: SHURE model 51S SONODYNE DYNAMIC MICROPHONE HARP-JAZZ 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 13:50:25 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <B4R5e.207$yl4.161@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198611
Cross-posted to: alt.audio.microphones,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48707&item=5764707920&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1487. FA: $10> NICE HEATHKIT HM-102 SWR/WATTMETER, 2KW, REMOTE-ABLE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 13:51:34 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <F5R5e.208$fp4.141@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198612
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48701&item=5764707935&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1488. FA: No Bids:-(HUSTLER #MOT 950MHZ MOBILE SCANNER ANTENNA Fire-POLICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 13:53:06 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <57R5e.209$mp4.118@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198613
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=14956&item=5764707950&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1489. FA: $10> FOUR(4) BIG VARIABLE HV CAPACITORS, CARDWELL NATIONAL:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 13:57:31 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ebR5e.210$hv4.77@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198614
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Best deal out there>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4662&item=7505184298&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1490. FA: $10> EIMAC 4-125A RF OUTPUT AMPLIFIER TUBE A NICE BIG ONE:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 13:58:36 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <gcR5e.211$qw4.123@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198615
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5764707993&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1491. FA: "TONS" (4lbs) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS Any Builders?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 13:59:58 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <xdR5e.213$ni4.180@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198616
Cross-posted to: alt.audio.microphones,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

At a big $12>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4664&item=7505184325&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1492. Re: Why I play with my cunt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 17:04:53 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42580d3f@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 198617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

This is seriously off topic. It has been reported to your ISP.

"Kathy Lee" <KC8QJP@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ef2851hd3okdlukhfa8fbf3aig9la5aacu@4ax.com...
<snip>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1493. Re: I LOVE COCK!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 17:09:51 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42580dd5@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 198618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

This is seriously off-topic and has been reported to your ISP.

"Chris Busch" <KB3BEJ@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:gimd51t7f19fas70qbdsbb7k43frt542ni@4ax.com...
<snip>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1494. Cobra 148 GTL frequency changes when cover is put back on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 17:38:21 UTC
From: Defnroc04595@aol.com
Message-ID: <425811b1.14298660@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198619

A friend brought over a Cobra 148 GTL that was off frequency on sideband (3 kHz or more). I put the radio back on frequency, but when I put the case back on it goes back off frequency by as much as 1 kHz. I have seen radios change frequency a little as the metal case gets put back on, but this one goes nuts. It also goes nuts if I put my hand near the circuit board. It is too big a change to be normal for these things. It had been moded for extra channels, and Iunsoldered all the extra wires off to see if that was behind the problem, but the frequency instability remains. Has anyone seen a Cobra 148 GTL do this before? This is the older model with the five pin mic plug on the side of the radio.

Defnroc

1495. Re: EM27 Spares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 18:21:45 UTC
From: Andrew <anonnymouse_user@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d396fuabt1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 198620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Fred" <fred@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1cbe7fe97682157c989680@news.freeserve.com...
>
> Don't know much bout cb retailers to be honest, been a few years since I
> first started up. What part of the country are you? I take it you are UK
> since you are posting in uk.rec.radio.cb.
>

Gloucestershire

> I might be able to help you and your charity out a bit though. Got a
> small box of assorted bits and bobs left over from my CB'ing days that's
> been lying around the house gathering dust for some time. Been meaning
> to do something with it for a while. Anyhow, you're more than welcome to
> anything from there if it is of any use to you - email me (see sig) and
> I'll send you a quick list of it all and if you want any of it I'll drop
> it in the post.

Wow, thanks that's very kind of you! Will drop you an email in a mo...

>
> Best of luck with it all, I hope you get some support from a few others
> too. CB could do with a few youngsters to keep it alive - if my old
> things can help prolong the life of the CB you're more than welcome to
> them.
>

Thanks once again. Yeah, it would be nice to try and keep CB going with the
next generation - if there are any retiring CB'ers who's kit has been
mothballed and resided to the loft I'd be happy to try and make full use of
it.

>
> --
> Fred
>
> fred dot dinham at hotmail dot com
>

Many thanks

1496. Re: I LOVE COCK!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 19:46:57 UTC
From: hair man <hairman@yoservice.cum>
Message-ID: <42582d18$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 198621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
Validating patstevens@yahoo.com...

Validation results
confidence rating: 3 - SMTP
The email address passed this level of validation
without an error. However, it is not guaranteed to be
a good address. more info
canonical address: <patstevens@yahoo.com>

MX records
preference exchange IP address (if included)
1 mx1.mail.yahoo.com [4.79.181.12]
1 mx2.mail.yahoo.com [67.28.114.36]
1 mx3.mail.yahoo.com [64.156.215.7]
5 mx4.mail.yahoo.com [68.142.202.11]

SMTP session
[Contacting mx1.mail.yahoo.com [4.79.181.12]...]
[Connected]
220 YSmtp mta104.mail.mud.yahoo.com ESMTP service ready
EHLO hexillion.com
250-mta104.mail.mud.yahoo.com
250-8BITMIME
250-SIZE 31981568
250 PIPELINING
VRFY patstevens
502 Command Unimplemented
RSET
250 reset ok
EXPN patstevens
502 Command Unimplemented
RSET
250 reset ok
MAIL FROM:<HexValidEmail@hexillion.com>
250 sender <hexvalidemail@hexillion.com> ok
RCPT TO:<patstevens@yahoo.com>
250 recipient <patstevens@yahoo.com> ok
RSET
250 reset ok
QUIT
221 mta104.mail.mud.yahoo.com
[Connection closed]

-- end --
```

"Pat Stevens" <patstevens@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:42580dd5@news.usenetzone.com...
> This is seriously off-topic and has been reported to your ISP.
>
> "Chris Busch" <KB3BEJ@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:gimd51t7f19fas70qbdsbb7k43frt542ni@4ax.com...
> <snip>
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1497. Re: I LOVE COCK!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 20:13:39 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42583938$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 198622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

So, what's your point? Were you attempting to report me for something?
Your information tells us nothing and points to nothing. It isn't even a
valid way to find someone's IP address.
It should look something like this:

Search results for: 69.21.140.11

OrgName: TDS TELECOM
OrgID: TDST
Address: 525 Junction Rd.
City: Madison
StateProv: WI
PostalCode: 53717
Country: US

NetRange: 69.21.0.0 - 69.21.255.255
CIDR: 69.21.0.0/16
NetName: NETBLK-TDSNET-BLK
NetHandle: NET-69-21-0-0-1
Parent: NET-69-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Allocation
NameServer: NS.TDS.NET
NameServer: A.DNS.TDS.NET
NameServer: B.DNS.TDS.NET
NameServer: C.DNS.TDS.NET
NameServer: D.DNS.TDS.NET
NameServer: E.DNS.TDS.NET
Comment:
RegDate: 2003-01-28
Updated: 2003-03-25

TechHandle: ASI5-ARIN
TechName: Sielaff, Alex
TechPhone: +1-608-664-4056
TechEmail: alexander.sielaff@tdstelecom.com

OrgAbuseHandle: ABUSE163-ARIN
OrgAbuseName: abuse
OrgAbusePhone: +1-800-358-3648
OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@tds.net

OrgTechHandle: SERVI6-ARIN
OrgTechName: Service Activation
OrgTechPhone: +1-608-664-4699
OrgTechEmail: serviceactivation@tdstelecom.com

```
OrgTechHandle: KR181-ARIN
OrgTechName: Roberts, Kevin
OrgTechPhone: +1-608-664-4690
OrgTechEmail: kevin.roberts@tdstelecom.com
```

"hair man" <hairman@yoservice.cum> wrote in message
news:42582d18$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...

```
> Validating patstevens@yahoo.com...
>
> Validation results
> confidence rating: 3 - SMTP
> The email address passed this level of validation
> without an error. However, it is not guaranteed to be
> a good address. more info
> canonical address: <patstevens@yahoo.com>
>
> MX records
> preference exchange IP address (if included)
> 1 mx1.mail.yahoo.com [4.79.181.12]
> 1 mx2.mail.yahoo.com [67.28.114.36]
> 1 mx3.mail.yahoo.com [64.156.215.7]
> 5 mx4.mail.yahoo.com [68.142.202.11]
>
> SMTP session
> [Contacting mx1.mail.yahoo.com [4.79.181.12]...]
> [Connected]
> 220 YSmtp mta104.mail.mud.yahoo.com ESMTP service ready
> EHLO hexillion.com
> 250-mta104.mail.mud.yahoo.com
> 250-8BITMIME
> 250-SIZE 31981568
> 250 PIPELINING
> VRFY patstevens
> 502 Command Unimplemented
> RSET
> 250 reset ok
> EXPN patstevens
> 502 Command Unimplemented
> RSET
> 250 reset ok
> MAIL FROM:<HexValidEmail@hexillion.com>
> 250 sender <hexvalidemail@hexillion.com> ok
> RCPT TO:<patstevens@yahoo.com>
> 250 recipient <patstevens@yahoo.com> ok
> RSET
> 250 reset ok
> QUIT
> 221 mta104.mail.mud.yahoo.com
> [Connection closed]
>
>
```

>
> -- end --

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1498. SBE repairs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 21:09:22 UTC
From: CaptHerp <CaptHerp@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113080962.492216.264070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198623

I have an old Console V base station made by SBE in the '70s. Great radio, but it's having problems -- the squelch isn't working anymore -- at least not the way it's supposed to. A fellow I know who has worked on it thinks it's something in an IC in the radio, but the parts are almost impossible to come by.

I have the manual and schematics -- anyone out there want to try their hand at going through it for me?

1499. Re: Power levels?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-09 22:49:21 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1113086961.000345.156380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198624

I agree... sometimes you can hear the skip great... have a couple hundred watts... and get nobody. Other times you get someone calling you when you're running legal power. The skip is extremely unpredictable.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

> The 11-meter band is very fickle. When skip is rolling, you can hear
> just about anything that puts out a couple watts. When DX is dead,
> it's dead regardless of how much power you run. For local chatter,
> legal power for SSB is almost ideal because if you can hear a
station,
> you can usually hit it with 12 watts SSB.

1500. Lafayette Comstat 25A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 02:37:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <zj06e.139$pn2.2753568@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 198627

I am looking for an schematic for an ancient CB radio. A Layfaette Comstat 25A, can anyone help me out?

Regards,
John

1501. FA CB Radio - TRC 449 AM-SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 02:44:55 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <er06e.61825$NC6.33627@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198625

Oh well... no takers to free ad, so back on E-Bay.

Item number - 5766491826

1502. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 03:19:02 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Vf6dncaK9862BsXfRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 198626

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050406073354.223$Yu@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050405073346.496$be@newsreader.com...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20050401022029.816$Xg@newsreader.com...
> > > > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > > > I've had more that one person tell me
> > > > > > personally they don't turn on the CB if they
> > > > have kids in the car. Now
> > > > > > that's a really sad comment coming from a
> > > > CBer. Then the kids repeat what
> > > > > > they learn without any regard to whom they are
> > > > talking to on the air.
> > > > > >
> > > > > They better not turn on the television with the
> > > > kids in the room either. Do
> > > > > all these people home school their children?
> > > >
> > > > http://www.detnews.com/2005/religion/0504/02/relig
> > > > -136809.htm
> > > >
> > > Is that your church?
> >
> > See you can't read a map either.
> >
> Found madison hts. :^D

Which one?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1503. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 07:03:22 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <w-OdnTqrX7IiUsXfRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 198628

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17234-424DC492-479@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
>>Don't like it then post to another news group.

>You're the one bitching and crying about opinions.

I don't give a flip about yours.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1504. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 11:00:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410070016.501$qN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

KC8LDO@readme.com wrote:
> > This will squash any legal leg you thought you had to stand on,
> > felon-boi.
> >
> > N8WWM makes threats to Dayton hamvention participants:
> > ----------
> > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > Hey CUNT,
> > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
> > headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
> > name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
> > party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
> > KEYCLOWN.
> > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
> > it into your life.
> > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > CUNT
> > Your turn, CUNT!
> >
> > ----------
>
> STEVEO TO COP: but officer, I'm allowed to commit assault because some
> one called me names on the internet...
>
The cops won't fight for you nad, you'll have to defend yourself or
act like a pussy like your boyfriend dogie.

1505. Re: Landshark caught in Transexual NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 11:06:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410070611.583$ou@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198630

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> itSorry Fagshark and another wrote:
> > I am inclined to belive steve Parks is a bear type, thats why
> > shark likes him so much. He brings my name up in his post yet says
> geo is
> > obsessed, another idotic statement by Mark Feminine Beck
>
> Parks isnt his name...which would make him a cross between a chicken
> and a bear. Hmmm...an egg laying bear, maybe? Could be, since all his
> threats to AKC'ers have been a colossal goose egg.
>
> 1. In all his years of acting like he could actually stop the AKC
> somehow, he hasn't. That's cuz he can't.
>
> 2. In all his years of being on rec.radio.keyclown he has never given
> his true name. He never will because he is a sissy. He fears the AKC.
>
STFU, pussy. You and your boyfriend nad still think my name is Peebles. lol

http://img192.exs.cx/img192/61/assfacedogie1qe.gif

N8WWM makes threats to Dayton hamvention participants:

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
I volunteer to show ya how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have. Doug Adair

1506. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 14:30:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kpednavvCboNycTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 198631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Sky King wrote:
> Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037

Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
for that kind of junk?

1507. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 16:36:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410123604.941$MN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Sky King wrote:
> > Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>
> Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
> for that kind of junk?
>
Which group, JJ? it's x-posted to 8 of them.

1508. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 17:27:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <7dOdnZPvF-Fx4MTfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 198639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Sky King wrote:
>>
>>>Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
>>>
>>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>>
>>Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
>>for that kind of junk?
>>
>
> Which group, JJ? it's x-posted to 8 of them.

My bad, FAs should only be posted to the .swap and .marketplace groups which were created for ads and let discussion groups be for discussion. However it seems that everyone who has something to sell on ebay thinks all groups were created so they would have a place to hawk their junk in hopes of getting an extra dollar. As can be seen, these FA were spammed to multiple groups without regards to the type of group.

1509. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 17:53:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410135355.666$HU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Dude_I_Am_Not_Leland@lookhere.com wrote:
> > KC8LDO@readme.com wrote:
> > > > This will squash any legal leg you thought you had to stand on,
> > > > felon-boi.
> > > >
> > > > N8WWM makes threats to Dayton hamvention participants:
> > > > ----------
> > > > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > > > Hey CUNT,
> > > > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a
> > > > red headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an
> > > > assumed name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit
> > > > hunk...wanna party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy
> > > > faggot ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN.
> > > > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can
> > > > bring it into your life.
> > > > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > > > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > > > CUNT
> > > > Your turn, CUNT!
> > > >
> > > > ----------
> > >
> > > STEVEO TO COP: but officer, I'm allowed to commit assault because
> > > some one called me names on the internet...
> > >
> > The cops won't fight for you nad, you'll have to defend yourself or
> > act like a pussy like your boyfriend dogie.
>
> If you really think I am Leland, then give me a phone call right now.
> If theres no answer then leave a number where you can be reached.
> Time to act your age, come out from behind your mask and
> communicate like two adults. Unless you are afraid to even have
> a conversation....
>
I've witnessed what an adult you are, aol-boi.

http://tinyurl.com/52aex

1510. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 18:55:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410145554.100$GQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

```
I_Ain't Leland@youstupidfuck.com wrote:
> > Dude_I_Am_Not_Leland@lookhere.com wrote:
> > > > KC8LDO@readme.com wrote:
> > > > > > This will squash any legal leg you thought you had to stand on,
> > > > > > felon-boi.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > N8WWM makes threats to Dayton hamvention participants:
> > > > > > ----------------------------------------------------------------
> > > > > > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > > > > > Hey CUNT,
> > > > > > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass
> > > > > > like a red headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy
> > > > > > hiding behind an assumed name who dont have any balls. Come on,
> > > > > > you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna party? I will kick your fukkin
> > > > > > ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN.
> > > > > > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I
> > > > > > can bring it into your life.
> > > > > > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > > > > > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > > > > > CUNT
> > > > > > Your turn, CUNT!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ----------------------------------------------------------------
> > > > >
> > > > > STEVEO TO COP: but officer, I'm allowed to commit assault because
> > > > > some one called me names on the internet...
> > > > >
> > > > The cops won't fight for you nad, you'll have to defend yourself or
> > > > act like a pussy like your boyfriend dogie.
> > > >
> > > If you really think I am Leland, then give me a phone call right now.
> > > If theres no answer then leave a number where you can be reached.
> > > Time to act your age, come out from behind your mask and
> > > communicate like two adults. Unless you are afraid to even have
> > > a conversation....
> > >
> > I've witnessed what an adult you are, aol-boi.
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/52aex
>
> The Google archives have nothing to do with you being afraid to
> talk to Leland on the phone this weekend. If you have a legit gripe
> against the guy, come out from hiding and tell him who you are and
```

> what you want from him. Anonymous internet threats and photo stalking
> are the chicken's way out.
>
What threats, anonymous aol-boi? Ewe got mail, nad.

1511. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 19:21:12 UTC
From: Viper GTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <y_e6e.59937$wo1.35609@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 198635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Steveo wrote:
> I_Ain't Leland@youstupidfuck.com wrote:
>> The Google archives have nothing to do with you being afraid to
>> talk to Leland on the phone this weekend. If you have a legit gripe
>> against the guy, come out from hiding and tell him who you are and
>> what you want from him. Anonymous internet threats and photo stalking
>> are the chicken's way out.
>>
> What threats, anonymous aol-boi? Ewe got mail, nad.

IamNotGeorge is still Leland's mouthpiece it seems. Man, you can leave
this group for 3 months and not miss a thing.

1512. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 19:22:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410152227.170$GS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > I_Ain't Leland@youstupidfuck.com wrote:
> >> The Google archives have nothing to do with you being afraid to
> >> talk to Leland on the phone this weekend. If you have a legit gripe
> >> against the guy, come out from hiding and tell him who you are and
> >> what you want from him. Anonymous internet threats and photo stalking
> >> are the chicken's way out.
> >>
> > What threats, anonymous aol-boi? Ewe got mail, nad.
>
> IamNotGeorge is still Leland's mouthpiece it seems. Man, you can leave
> this group for 3 months and not miss a thing.
>
Correction: IamNotGeorge is leland.

1513. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 19:24:13 UTC
From: Viper GTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <n1f6e.59939$wo1.37971@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 198638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Steveo wrote:
> Correction: IamNotGeorge is leland.

He is no longer George Bush? Dang; this group should come with a $5
program.

1514. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 19:40:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410154042.593$50@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Correction: IamNotGeorge is leland.
>
> He is no longer George Bush? Dang; this group should come with a $5
> program.
>
Or a sock organizer..

1515. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 19:50:32 UTC
From: ViperGTS <ViperGTS@Dodge.org>
Message-ID: <1qf6e.59946$wo1.53730@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 198640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

```
Steveo wrote:
> "Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Correction: IamNotGeorge is leland.
>>
>> He is no longer George Bush? Dang; this group should come with a $5
>> program.
>>
> Or a sock organizer..

Do those work by size or color?
```

1516. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 19:58:28 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ezf6e.62262$cg1.45327@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198641

Hey Vinnie.....I can help you. The formula for a 1/4 wavelength radiator is (234 / F) whereby F is the frequency in MHz. This will give you the length in feet. For the radials, (237 / F) will give you that length. Always remember...multiply the radiator length by 1.05 and that is another way of calculating the 1/4 wavelength radials.
The feedpoint impedance of a 1/4 wave ground plane is 37 ohms when you have the radials at a 90 degree angle with respect to the radiator. If you have the radials drooped at a 45 degree angle, the impedance rises to approximately 50 ohms.
If you have a single radial drooped at a 180 degree angle with respect to the radiator, the impedance rises to 75 ohms.
There was an article in RF Design magazine a few years back, explaining why certain impedances are used in the RF industry.
72 ohms was the impedance that produced minimum cable losses.....50 ohms is a happy medium.
On a final note.....at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to 1.....at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube output and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect. For a typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between the two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter would be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably wouldn't have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation. In this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it happen? Probably not.
I hope this helps.

Pete

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:stv85194qu3askqf8ai84h37er8f16fnhi@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 20:14:16 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote in <epu851djjuma5m7amtiurg3gs257ggmt8l@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 06 Apr 2005 17:15:08 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Why should I be nice? I -was- nice. We have had civil converstations,
>>>>and I even gave him enough info to install the antenna in his attic,
>>>>which apparently worked quite well. Then he stuck his finger into the
>>>>political lion cage and turned into a sniveling crybaby after getting
>>>>scratched.
>>>>
>>>>As for being a general-class amateur, there are literally hundreds of

>>>>hammie websites that cover nothing but antennas, not to mention the
>>>>ARRL manual. If he's so serious about radio, why beg a CB group for
>>>>info on how to install a prefab antenna? J.H.F.C, how did he pass the
>>>>exam without knowing a few antenna fundamentals? And if part of the
>>>>hobby is to learn about radio comm, why ask a CB group for tech info
>>>>when there are countless resources available for hams? Isn't anyone
>>>>elmering the kid?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>I thought your were elmering him Frank?
>>>
>>>He really doesn't need one anyway, he buys his antennas. Even
>>>something as simple as a dipole, or sloper...
>>
>>
>>Do you have a problem with that? Show me the rules on antenna
>>requirements,
>>please.
>
>
> Looks like Vinnie is going to be a General-class appliance operator.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

1517. FREE! "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" OF FREQ. MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 20:25:53 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <fu2j51dq1jkj37v274iafk5mlbe6qhr9hf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198494

FREE!!

For advanced techs and serious radio hobbyists, we're now offering a FREE copy of our previously published "CB EPROM DATA BOOK" by Martin Pickering. (EPROM = Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.) We sold it for many years but it's now out of print. This little manual of 60 illustrated pages is an absolute GEM of CB technical knowledge you'll just never find anywhere else. And it's yours for FREE from our Web site.

This book reveals the secrets of advanced channel programming, and picks up where our "CB PLL DATA BOOK" (www.cbcintl.com/pllbook.htm) leaves off. You'll get a thorough background in how these amazing programmable chips can be used to modify many popular CB PLL circuits for expanded frequency coverage. Covers the basic programming techniques, and even includes real PC board artwork for making EPROM circuits. Sample artwork includes EPROM boards for the popular MB8719, PLL02A, ï¿½PD2824, and ï¿½PD858 PLL circuits.

Best of all, the price is right--namely, FREE! Download your FREE copy of "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" (948 KB Adobe PDF) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/EpromBook.htm

Don't forget to check out all our other CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

1518. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 20:25:56 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <iu2j519f3m73lq75869v9eqv0erb689gl9@4ax.com>
Source record: 198642

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure
out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF
feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you
need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

1519. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 20:26:01 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <mu2j511ous7t5d7b93tp460uovkg0ltgn5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198643

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

1520. CB REPAIR & MODIFICATION BOOKS AT 40% OFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 20:26:04 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <ru2j51pdv1cp8gal85kakkila5m9apa0va@4ax.com>
Source record: 198496

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out!

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

1521. GALAXY-RANGER SERVICE MANUAL--2nd EDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 20:26:08 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <uu2j5112i562e0mhds6k3b8qv894kf7c12@4ax.com>
Source record: 198495

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

1522. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 21:14:52 UTC
From: Admiral Nelson <SEAVIEW5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <2Hg6e.1793$6P2.940@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

You're crying about an auction that ended 2 months ago?
Seems to me that the bay is here to stay.
Learn to use your filters.
Seems to be the only way for you not to see them.
Also, one mans junk in another mans treasure.....
It also seems that people who view these newsgroups
might be curious as to what's for sale elsewhere.
Many have gotten stuck buying on these groups with broken items.
If someone has integrity there is usually not a problem, but there is the
other side of the coin where broken items were sold. The new owner has no
recourse. At least on ebay there is feedback, not a perfect system but
one that works.
And with paypal you CAN get a refund a good part of the time if there is
a problem.
Been there and done that.

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> Sky King wrote:
>
>> Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>
>
> Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
> for that kind of junk?

1523. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 21:19:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410171915.742$zo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

I_Am_Not_Geo_Or_Leland@youfool.com wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Correction: IamNotGeorge is leland.
> >
> > He is no longer George Bush? Dang; this group should come with a $5
> > program.
> >
> >
>
> Your way off. Not Leland. Not George.
>
> And you still havent phoned Lee to discuss your beef like two
> grown men? If your scared, you can use a voice changer LOL
>
You're so scared you expect the law to protect you. Good luck.

1524. K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 21:35:19 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:

Posted: April 07 2005,04:48

So.. first I don't hear him on the air any more. I assumed that maybe Riley finally did him in.

Then, I do a lookup of his www.k1man.com website and it's no longer there. In fact, I can't find a remnant of the AARA anywhere.

He always made it out to seem as if the AARA had a large amount of members in its ranks. If this was the case, how come they aren't backing him up; i.e. getting his website back up and getting him back on the air. Did his entire "empire" go bankrupt?

Sorry for the re-hash.. but honestly, I felt this too funny not to post about.

Any info out there... let's hear it.

Chad Rudolph W1CAR

LWX Skywarn Amateur Radio Coordinator
http://www.147300.com

1525. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 21:40:15 UTC
From: Hoke <trash@trashanonhams.orge>
Message-ID: <d3c6ehkqt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 198500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
> the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
>
> Posted: April 07 2005,04:48
>
> So.. first I don't hear him on the air any more. I assumed that maybe
> Riley finally did him in.
>
> Then, I do a lookup of his www.k1man.com website and it's no longer
> there. In fact, I can't find a remnant of the AARA anywhere.
>
> He always made it out to seem as if the AARA had a large amount of
> members in its ranks. If this was the case, how come they aren't
> backing him up; i.e. getting his website back up and getting him back
> on the air. Did his entire "empire" go bankrupt?
>
> Sorry for the re-hash.. but honestly, I felt this too funny not to post
> about.
>
> Any info out there... let's hear it.
>
> --------------
> Chad Rudolph W1CAR
>
> LWX Skywarn Amateur Radio Coordinator
> http://www.147300.com
>

How long have you have you been abnormally obsessed with k1man?

1526. Re: aRT! ART! arT! art! art

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 23:14:11 UTC
From: youRate@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1113174851.514708.214360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198501

Hello art fart! I just started a free site for yall artist's who care
so peep it out and post up! www.youRateART.com

1527. Re: Repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 23:16:57 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rucj519gqve2i4qot27q3b10n8fuevks8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 198502

On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 15:24:13 -0400, "Viper GTS" <ViperGTS@Dodge.org> wrote:

>Steveo wrote:
>> Correction: IamNotGeorge is leland.
>
>He is no longer George Bush? Dang; this group should come with a $5
>program.
>

That is too funny !!!!!

Great line !!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

1528. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 23:22:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <em2j51pctp2nq26t1b9l46bg5reip88ga5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198503
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 19:58:28 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
wrote in
:

>Hey Vinnie.....I can help you. The formula for a 1/4 wavelength
>radiator is (234 / F) whereby F is the frequency in MHz. This will give you
>the length in feet. For the radials, (237 / F) will give you that length.
>Always remember...multiply the radiator length by 1.05 and that is another
>way of calculating the 1/4 wavelength radials.

It should be noted that these formulas are only appoximations. Actual
sizes are dependent upon the conductivity and diameter of the
elements, and the quantity and angle of the radials. When building a
resonant antenna it's a good idea to make the elements a little long
and trim to resonance.

>The feedpoint impedance of a 1/4 wave ground plane is 37 ohms when you have
>the radials at a 90 degree angle with respect to the radiator. If you have
>the radials drooped at a 45 degree angle, the impedance rises to
>approximately 50 ohms.
>If you have a single radial drooped at a 180 degree angle with respect to
>the radiator, the impedance rises to 75 ohms.

These are impedances for antennas in free space, and are practical
only if you can mount your antenna well above the ground and away from
any tall objects.

>There was an article in RF Design magazine a few years back, explaining why
>certain impedances are used in the RF industry.
>72 ohms was the impedance that produced minimum cable losses.....50
>ohms is a happy medium.

Close. For lowest loss, the optimum characteristic impedance of coax
is 76.9 ohms. 70-73 ohm coax is used as a compromise between low loss
coax and coax optimized for minimization of flashover, the latter
having an impedance of about 60 ohms.

And while many people have many different ideas as to why 50 ohm coax
is made, it is just a compromise between low-loss/low-flashover coax
of 72 ohms and coax optimized for handling power (about 30 ohms, which
is too lossy for practical transmission lines).

>On a final note.....at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to
>1.....at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of
>1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube output
>and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect. For a

>typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between the
>two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter would
>be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably wouldn't
>have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation. In
>this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it happen?
>Probably not.

.....uh, what?

Both tubes and transistors use matching networks, so I don't know what
distinction you are trying to make there. Power will be reflected from
an antenna/coax mismatch -regardless- of whether you have a tube or
transistor final. And what does an ALC circuit have to do with
transmission line propogation?

>I hope this helps.
>
>Pete

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1529. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-10 23:25:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <q1dj511vgh7nesj4p5enlbfv9t2bqoamkq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198504
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 19:58:28 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
wrote:

>Hey Vinnie.....I can help you. The formula for a 1/4 wavelength
>radiator is (234 / F) whereby F is the frequency in MHz. This will give you
>the length in feet. For the radials, (237 / F) will give you that length.
>Always remember...multiply the radiator length by 1.05 and that is another
>way of calculating the 1/4 wavelength radials.
>The feedpoint impedance of a 1/4 wave ground plane is 37 ohms when you have
>the radials at a 90 degree angle with respect to the radiator. If you have
>the radials drooped at a 45 degree angle, the impedance rises to
>approximately 50 ohms.

So far, that is what I have read. What I am going to do is this. I am going to add the 45 angled GP, simply because it is fairly cheap, and because it will be much easier to put it up now, than later. The formula you gave indicates that radials should be about 8.8 feet at 27 MHz. If these radials fair poorly, I will take Lancer's advice and build my own. For some reason, the radials are 6 feet. I don't know if they ran 9 feet of wire in there, or what.

>If you have a single radial drooped at a 180 degree angle with respect to
>the radiator, the impedance rises to 75 ohms.

I won't do this.

>There was an article in RF Design magazine a few years back, explaining why
>certain impedances are used in the RF industry.
>72 ohms was the impedance that produced minimum cable losses.....50
>ohms is a happy medium.
>On a final note.....at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to
>1.....at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of
>1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube output
>and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect. For a
>typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between the
>two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter would
>be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably wouldn't
>have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation. In
>this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it happen?
>Probably not.
>I hope this helps.
>
>Pete

It's does help, Thanks ! I am borrowing an Autek RF analyzer to check the antenna while I am up in the tree. Most people that have purchase this antenna,

say that it is tuned very well to 27.205. I should be flat up and down in CB and 10 meters for about 1 MHZ.

I will follow up.

Vinnie S.

1530. THE GREATEST NEWS EVER! ºº·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._ .ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº. ._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.· (newsgroup-post 130)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 00:26:41 UTC
From: RonGrossi_38223@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113179201.147790.20940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198505
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

The Greatest News Ever! (click link)
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/alt.politics.bush/browse_thread/thread/9b420fd9fc320e49/0215b46e845a8553#0215b46e845a8553

·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`º
º._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`
ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·.
_._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº.
._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº
·._._.ºº`ºº._._.·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·._.
_.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._
._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.ºº`ºº._._.·

The reason some people don't know for sure
if they are going to Heaven when they die
is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for
sure that you are going to Heaven which is
described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
it is to be saved so that we can live
forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
is Lord and believe in your heart that God
raised Him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel
supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
named Mary and lived a sinless life for
thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and in three days come back to life again and it happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real

Christian and you can know for sure that
you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid
sin(wrong doing), but if you do sin the
Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
write this to you so that you will not sin.
But if anybody does sin, we have one who
speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ,
Internet Evangelist
Ronald L. Grossi

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.bibledatabase.net

1531. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 00:39:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ioudnZxMyoemfsTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 198511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Admiral Nelson wrote:
> You're crying about an auction that ended 2 months ago?
> Seems to me that the bay is here to stay.
> Learn to use your filters.
> Seems to be the only way for you not to see them.
> Also, one mans junk in another mans treasure.....
> It also seems that people who view these newsgroups
> might be curious as to what's for sale elsewhere.
> Many have gotten stuck buying on these groups with broken items.
> If someone has integrity there is usually not a problem, but there is the
> other side of the coin where broken items were sold. The new owner has no
> recourse. At least on ebay there is feedback, not a perfect system but
> one that works.
> And with paypal you CAN get a refund a good part of the time if there is
> a problem.
> Been there and done that.

So why post FAs in groups that are for discussion? That is what the .swap and .marketplace groups are for. Why should I have to filter out FAs in a discussion group where FAs shouldn't be there in the first place? If people in a discussion group are curious about what may be for sale on ebay then they can check the .swap or .marketplace groups or go to ebay and search, it ain't rocket surgery.
Look at the boatanchor group as an example, which was set up to DISCUSS boatanchor type equipment, along comes the ebayers with a few FAs, then someone else sees the FAs, posts their FAs and pretty soon the group is more FA ads than discussion.

1532. Re: THE GREATEST NEWS EVER! °º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.·º°`°º·._._.· (newsgroup-post 130)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 01:33:28 UTC
From: Maple1 <aerophoto@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <4259D3D5.6DF6B4C2@shaw.ca>
Source record: 198506
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

It is time all you Jesus freaks pulled your heads out of your
brainwashed asses and realized that we are no more then animate
inanimation and that there is no god and never has been we are just a
fluke and no more, just a shit luck planet lucky that we developed
intelligence and no more then that so get it together and leave the rest
of us alone. If you cannot accept that or fear the fact that you are
nothing more to bad for you!!!!!! GET LOST It is time you all came out
of the 1400's and learned that. Grow up we now know the causes of
plagues and it is not a vengeful god kinda scary for all of you that
cant handle the truth.

RonGrossi_38223@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> The Greatest News Ever! (click link)
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/alt.politics.bush/browse_thread/thread/9b420fd9fc320
e49/0215b46e845a8553#0215b46e845a8553
>
> ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿
½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿
½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._.
_.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½
ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._
.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½
ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.
ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï
¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï
¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿
½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿
½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._.
_.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½
ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._
.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½`ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½._._.ï¿½
>
> The reason some people don't know for sure
> if they are going to Heaven when they die
> is because they just don't know.
>
> The good news is that you can know for
> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or

> pain.
>
> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
> it is to be saved so that we can live
> forever with Him in Heaven.
>
> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
> is Lord and believe in your heart that God
> raised Him from the dead, you
> WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
> to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
> shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
> our sin debt in full.
>
> Jesus Christ was born in Israel
> supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
> named Mary and lived a sinless life for
> thirty-three years.
>
> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
> scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
> onto His head then Jesus was crucified.
>
> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
> was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
> dead as Jesus said would happen before
> Jesus died.
>
> If someone tells you that they are going
> to die and in three days come back to life
> again and it happens then this person must
> be the real deal.
>
> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever
> lived a perfect sinless life.
>
> This is why Jesus is able to cover our
> sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because
> Jesus is sinless.
>
> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we
> have redemption through His blood, the
> forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>
> If you would like God to forgive you of
> your past, present and future sins just
> ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and
> Saviour.

>
> It doesn't matter how old you are or how
> many bad things that you have done in
> your life including lying and stealing
> all the way up to murder.
>
> Just pray the prayer below with your
> mouth and mean it from your heart and
> God will hear you and save you.
>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so
> that I can have a home in Heaven with You
> when I die. I agree with You that I am a
> sinner. I believe that You love me and want
> to save me. I believe that You bled and
> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my
> sins and that You rose from the dead.
> Please forgive my sins and come into my
> heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks
> Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and
> saving me through Your merciful grace.
> Amen.
>
> Welcome to the family of God if you just
> allowed God to save you. Now you are a real
> Christian and you can know for sure that
> you will live in Heaven forever when this
> life comes to an end.
>
> As a child of God we are to avoid
> sin(wrong doing), but if you do sin the
> Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
> write this to you so that you will not sin.
> But if anybody does sin, we have one who
> speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
> Christ, the Righteous One."
>
> Those of you that have not yet decided to
> place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
> may never get another chance to do so
> because you do not know when you will die.
>
> This means that if you die without
> trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
> Saviour you will be forever separated from
> the love of God in a place called Hell.
>
> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
> eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
> for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

>
> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
> allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
> Only then will you have true peace in your
> life knowing that no matter what happens you
> are on your way to Heaven.
>
> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ,
> Internet Evangelist
> Ronald L. Grossi
>
> ---
>
> Got Questions?
> http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
>
> Other Languages
> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>
> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
> Animation
> http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html
>
> The Passion Of The Christ
> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>
> Beware Of Cults
> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>
> About Hell
> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
> Is Jesus God?
> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>
> Free Online Bible
> http://www.bibledatabase.net

1533. More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 01:34:45 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.04.11.01.34.43.735681@arrl.net>
Source record: 198507

Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
<20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com [65.54.174.51])
by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id j3AIaKI08065 for
<kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received: from mail
pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005
11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID: <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
Received: from 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;
Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
moparholic@hotmail.com
From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To: kc8ldo@arrl.net
Subject: Your friend
Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10 Apr 2005
18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC] X-Evolution-Source:
pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net

Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with talking
to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with that in
person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now or ever.

The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you is a
sincere apoplogy.

If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The best layed
plans are in place.

1534. Re: Lafayette Comstat 25A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 01:41:32 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <gBk6e.63025$cg1.2667@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198509

http://www.att.net/cgi-bin/webdrill?catkey=gwd/Top/Recreation/Radio/Amateur/Boatanchors/Lafayette

1535. Re: SBE repairs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 01:42:52 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wCk6e.63029$cg1.12369@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198508

try replacing (testing) the pot
if that don't work, then go to the board.

1536. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 02:24:32 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Adl6e.17649$Xp.13989@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 198510

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.04.11.01.34.43.735681@arrl.net...
> Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com [65.54.174.51])
> by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id j3AIaKI08065 for
> <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received: from mail
> pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005
> 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID: <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> Received: from 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP;
> Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> moparholic@hotmail.com
> From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To: kc8ldo@arrl.net
> Subject: Your friend
> Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10 Apr 2005
> 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC] X-Evolution-Source:
> pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
>
> Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with talking
> to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with that in
> person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now or ever.
>
> The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you is a
> sincere apoplogy.
>
> If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The best layed
> plans are in place.

Sounds like you're scared. Although you can still apologize.

1537. Coax in door

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 03:04:53 UTC
From: nathanp0515@aol.com
Message-ID: <1113185981.206065.143160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198512

Will shutting a car door on the coax from the antenna do any harm? It creates an indention in the cable.

Nathan

1538. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 03:19:46 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <FtydncuUwM5FccTfRVn-hg@comcast.com>
Source record: 198513

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:Adl6e.17649$Xp.13989@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2005.04.11.01.34.43.735681@arrl.net...
> > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
[65.54.174.51])
> > by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id j3AIaKI08065
for
> > <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received: from mail
> > pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005
> > 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID: <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> > Received: from 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with
HTTP;
> > Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > moparholic@hotmail.com
> > From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To: kc8ldo@arrl.net
> > Subject: Your friend
> > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10 Apr
2005
> > 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC] X-Evolution-Source:
> > pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> >
> > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with talking
> > to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with that in
> > person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now or ever.
> >
> > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you is a
> > sincere apoplogy.
> >
> > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The best
layed
> > plans are in place.
>
> Sounds like you're scared.

Not a bit. His threats are fun to read and I thought I would share them with
the group just so everybody can see just how powerless he really is to do

anything.

>Although you can still apologize.

He doesn't deserve it nor has he done anything to earn it. And he most certainly isn't going to get any respect by demanding it either.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1539. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 03:29:23 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <-YCdnbQfj8CFcsTfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 198514

<Im_A_Leland_Fan@downwithsteve.com> wrote in message
news:yxl6e.31131$US.25169@news.ono.com...
> > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
[65.54.174.51])
> > by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id j3AIaKI08065
for
> > <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received: from mail
> > pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005
> > 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID: <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> > Received: from 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with
HTTP;
> > Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > moparholic@hotmail.com
> > From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To: kc8ldo@arrl.net
> > Subject: Your friend
> > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10 Apr
2005
> > 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC] X-Evolution-Source:
> > pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> >
> > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with talking
> > to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with that in
> > person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now or ever.
> >
> > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you is a
> > sincere apoplogy.
> >
> > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The best
layed
> > plans are in place.
>
> More fruitless stalking and more photos LOL.

Steveo is just a disgusting camera toting pervert with a predilection for
voyeuristic photography.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1540. Re: THE GREATEST NEWS EVER! ���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.

(newsgroup-post 130)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 03:33:30 UTC
From: Patrick <CaptRocket@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <eem6e.958956$6l.479792@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 198515
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Yup, this Jesus stuff is as realistic as the Blair Witch, it's all fiction.
While your alive it's a living hell to survive and heaven is when you die
and don't give a shit. Dig up your relatives and ask them how there
doing,because there is nothing after this.

<RonGrossi_38223@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113179201.147790.20940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
The Greatest News Ever! (click link)
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/alt.politics.bush/browse_thread/thread/9b420fd9fc320e4
9/0215b46e845a8553#0215b46e845a8553

`.`.`.

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and in three days come back to life again and it happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my

sins and that You rose from the dead.
Please forgive my sins and come into my
heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks
Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and
saving me through Your merciful grace.
Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just
allowed God to save you. Now you are a real
Christian and you can know for sure that
you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid
sin(wrong doing), but if you do sin the
Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
write this to you so that you will not sin.
But if anybody does sin, we have one who
speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ,
Internet Evangelist
Ronald L. Grossi

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.bibledatabase.net

1541. Re: Coax in door

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 03:34:29 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <g5Sdne0Eu87WbcTfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 198516

<nathanp0515@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1113185981.206065.143160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Will shutting a car door on the coax from the antenna do any harm? It
> creates an indention in the cable.

A "small" indention won't do any harm. However small is a relative term. If the indention is too big you'll short the outer shield to the center conductor. You don't want that to happen, period. If you have access to a SWR meter then check your match. If it's 2 to 1 or less then don't worry about it.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1542. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 03:35:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <36rj515h36n7575s1ocnru4a30rg0vu3ok@4ax.com>
Source record: 198517

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 17:02:43 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <j4sd51pjrvjacagtqp0e5n27s9ndcucp6q@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:30:51 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>>>>>Believe me. I have nothing to worry about.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>
>>>>>You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?
>>>>
>>>>I don't know what to believe from him. But if some lardass steps foot on my
>>>>property, I will look thru his wallet for ID will I hold his face in the dirt.
>>>>And if he doesn't have a wallet, then I will get the info from the car.
>>>
>>>
>>>.....oh brother, he's a crybaby -and- a tough-guy. This is just too
>>>good to be true!
>
>
>And it don't look like I am the only one !!!
>
>I did a little search on you in the archives. This is precious.
>
>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_frm/thread/98d4a42ef486b9b0/6d052
f3b40d85682?q=wonders+of+wireless&rnum=2#6d052f3b40d85682

Don't you think it's time you quit with the killfile charade? You
aren't fooling anyone when you reply to half my posts via piggybacks
and swim through Google juice to read even more of them.

>>Maybe he is a true tough guy. Stranger things have happened.
>
>
>
>It's a amazing what a little info you can get on a poster's history on Google,
>Dave. My favorite about this info on Frank, it the imaginary McDonald's, and the
>fishing trip he had at the lake in a storm !!!!

It's amazing how quickly some people resort to digging up the past
when they can't deal with the present. Heck, even Dave agrees with me
on this one -- every time I bring up Bush's DUI or business failures,
Dave says they are irrelevant. So Vinnie, are you calling Dave a liar?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups

----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1543. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 04:30:18 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u3n6e.7137$5F3.3333@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 198518

Thank fuck I don't live in the festering shithole called the USA!
"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns962F7A9A5CC21234567890@216.196.97.136...
>
> Before the
>
> Federal Communications Commission
>
> Washington, D.C. 20554
>
>
>
>
>
> In the Matter of)
>
>)
>
> Javes Williams, Jr.) File No. EB-04-DT-
>
> 560
>
>)
>
> Detroit, MI) NOV No.
>
> V20053236007
>
>)
>
>
>
> NOTICE OF VIOLATION
>
>
>
> Released: March 15,
>
> 2005
>
>
>
> By the District Director, Detroit Office, Northeast Region,

>
> Enforcement Bureau:
>
>
>
> 1. This is a Notice of Violation ("Notice") issued
>
> pursuant to Section 1.89 of the Commission's Rules,1 to
>
> Javes Williams, Jr.
>
>
>
> 2. On March 3, 2005, agents of the Commission's Detroit
>
> Office inspected your CB station located at your residence
>
> in Detroit, Michigan, and observed the following
>
> violation(s):
>
>
>
> a. 47 C.F.R. § 95.409(b): ``You must not make,
>
> or have made, any internal modification to a
>
> certificated CB transmitter...Any internal modification
>
> to a certificated CB transmitter cancels the
>
> certification, and use of such a transmitter voids your
>
> authority to operate the station." At the time of
>
> inspection, you had a Uniden Zachary T transmitter that
>
> had been illegally modified to operate on frequencies
>
> outside the 40 channels assigned to the CB Radio
>
> Service and a Uniden Washington transmitter that had
>
> been illegally modified to include a variable frequency
>
> oscillator which continuously varies the transmit
>
> frequency. Use of these transmitters voids your
>

> authority to operate the station.
>
>
>
> b. 47 C.F.R. § 95.423(a): ``If the FCC tells
>
> you that your CB station is causing interference for
>
> technical reasons you must follow all instructions in
>
> the official FCC notice. (This notice may require you
>
> to have technical adjustments made to your
>
> equipment.)." On December 10, 2004, you were
>
> instructed in writing by this office to ``Permanently
>
> install a low-pass filter between the transmitter
>
> output terminal and the antenna feedline." At the
>
> time of inspection, a low-pass filter was not installed
>
> at your station.
>
>
>
> 3. Pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of
>
> 1934, as amended,2 and Section 1.89 of the Commission's
>
> Rules, Javes Williams, Jr., must submit a written statement
>
> concerning this matter within 20 days of release of this
>
> Notice. The response must fully explain each violation,
>
> must contain a statement of specific actions(s) taken to
>
> correct each violation and preclude recurrence, and should
>
> include a time line for completion of pending corrective
>
> action(s). All replies and documentation sent in response
>
> to this Notice should be marked with the File No. and NOV
>
> No. specified above, and mailed to the following address:

>
>
>
> Federal Communications Commission
>
> Detroit Office
>
> 24897 Hathaway Street
>
> Farmington Hills, MI 48335-1552
>
>
>
> 4. This Notice shall be sent to Javes Williams, Jr., at
>
> the address of record.
>
>
>
> 5. The Privacy Act of 19743 requires that we advise you
>
> that the Commission will use all relevant material
>
> information before it, including any information disclosed
>
> in your reply, to determine what, if any, enforcement action
>
> is required to ensure compliance. Any false statement made
>
> knowingly and willfully in reply to this Notice is
>
> punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the
>
> U.S. Code.4
>
>
>
>
>
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
>
>
>
> --
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> See, I have proved he did those posts and
>> all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
>> anyone that disagree with him.

>>
>> Landshark

1544. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 05:05:27 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <zsednYLCtu8BmMffRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 198519

<Hooray_For_Leland@takethatsteve.com> wrote in message
news:DRm6e.31135$US.22351@news.ono.com...
> >
> > <Im_A_Leland_Fan@downwithsteve.com> wrote in message
> > news:yxl6e.31131$US.25169@news.ono.com...
> > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > [65.54.174.51])
> > > > by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id
j3AIaKI08065
> > for
> > > > <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received: from
mail
> > > > pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr
2005
> > > > 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
<BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> > > > Received: from 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with
> > HTTP;
> > > > Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > > > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > > > moparholic@hotmail.com
> > > > From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To: kc8ldo@arrl.net
> > > > Subject: Your friend
> > > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10 Apr
> > 2005
> > > > 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC] X-Evolution-Source:
> > > > pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> > > >
> > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with
talking
> > > > to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with that
in
> > > > person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now or
ever.
> > > >
> > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you is
a
> > > > sincere apoplogy.
> > > >

> > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The best
> > layed
> > > > > plans are in place.
> > > >
> > > More fruitless stalking and more photos LOL.
> > >
> > Steveo is just a disgusting camera toting pervert with a predilection
for
> > voyeuristic photography.
>
> He seems to have experience sneaking outside of peoples houses.
> Maybe the perv has a police record? No wonder he hides his real name.

You could be right. He seems to take extreme pleasure in posting candid snapshots of men and boasting about his adventures to obtain them. Makes you really wonder about his true choice of gender preference. If his neighbors knew they were living next to some creep who likes to slip around houses, shooting photos of men through their home windows, they would be protesting to the local police department not to let him live anywhere near them and their kids while checking the registered sex offender list on the Internet for his name. And this psychologically distubed person wants respect? That's the best joke I've heard yet.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1545. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 05:09:16 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <5omdnaroRKEfm8ffRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 198520

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns9634EDFA3532A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in
> news:pan.2005.04.11.01.34.43.735681@arrl.net:
>
> > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > [65.54.174.51]) by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP
> > id j3AIaKI08065 for <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500
> > Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft
> > SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> > <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl> Received: from
> > 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Sun, 10 Apr
> > 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > moparholic@hotmail.com From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > To: kc8ldo@arrl.net Subject: Your friend
> > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10 Apr
> > 2005 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> >
> > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with
> > talking to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with
> > that in person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me
> > now or ever.
> >
> > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you is a
> > sincere apoplogy.
> >
> > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The best
> > layed plans are in place.
>
>
> I hope the photomat is open he will be taking more pics and talking shit
> on usenet. I wouldnt worry about it Leland, he is a usenet blowhard who
> doesn't have the gumption to give his name or anything else. He would
> rather stalk people and remain a anonymous assclown.

I don't make a secret of who I am, and he calls me a coward while hiding
behind a fake ID on the Internet.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1546. Re: THE GREATEST NEWS EVER! °º._._.º°`°º.\_.\_.º°`°º._._.º°`°º.\_.\_.º°`°º._._.º°`°º.\_.\_.º°`°º._._.º°`°º.\_.\_.º°`°º._._.º°`°º.\_.\_.º°`°º._._.º°`°º.\_.\_.º°`°º._._.· (newsgroup-post 130)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 05:47:19 UTC
From: Roger Bryant <roger_bryant@spamblocked.com>
Message-ID: <Hbo6e.556537$w62.527875@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198521
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Thusly, it was spoken by the voices from within
RonGrossi_38223@yahoo.com's head on 4/10/2005 5:26 PM:
> The Greatest News Ever! (click link)
> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/alt.politics.bush/browse_thread/thread/9b420fd9fc320e49/0215b46e845a8553#0215b46e845a8553
>
<much usage of my delete key goes here>

Ok, sermon time!

You are a spammer.

Go to Hell.

Any questions?

--
Test, Jamie, Test.
Just remember: It's the shiny side out.

1547. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 06:46:47 UTC
From: BDK <kingratatatboy@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cc3e76252b914cd989862@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 198522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <d3c6ehkqt0@pita.alt.net>, trash@trashanonhams.orge says...
>
> <channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
> > the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
> >
> > Posted: April 07 2005,04:48
> >
> > So.. first I don't hear him on the air any more. I assumed that maybe
> > Riley finally did him in.
> >
> > Then, I do a lookup of his www.k1man.com website and it's no longer
> > there. In fact, I can't find a remnant of the AARA anywhere.
> >
> > He always made it out to seem as if the AARA had a large amount of
> > members in its ranks. If this was the case, how come they aren't
> > backing him up; i.e. getting his website back up and getting him back
> > on the air. Did his entire "empire" go bankrupt?
> >
> > Sorry for the re-hash.. but honestly, I felt this too funny not to post
> > about.
> >
> > Any info out there... let's hear it.
> >
> > --------------
> > Chad Rudolph W1CAR
> >
> > LWX Skywarn Amateur Radio Coordinator
> > http://www.147300.com
> >
>
>
> How long have you have you been abnormally obsessed with k1man?
>
>
>
>

I hear it's been since that one special night they spent together in
Paris... ;)

BDK

1548. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 08:03:21 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dbq6e.63852$cg1.9056@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198524
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Frank Gilliland" wrote in message
news:em2j51pctp2nq26t1b9l46bg5reip88ga5@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 19:58:28 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
> wrote in
> :
>
>>Hey Vinnie.....I can help you. The formula for a 1/4 wavelength
>>radiator is (234 / F) whereby F is the frequency in MHz. This will give
>>you
>>the length in feet. For the radials, (237 / F) will give you that length.
>>Always remember...multiply the radiator length by 1.05 and that is another
>>way of calculating the 1/4 wavelength radials.
>
>
> It should be noted that these formulas are only appoximations. Actual
> sizes are dependent upon the conductivity and diameter of the
> elements, and the quantity and angle of the radials. When building a
> resonant antenna it's a good idea to make the elements a little long
> and trim to resonance.

Very true.....I use a spectrum analyzer with a directional coupler and
trim for maximum return loww.
>
>
>>The feedpoint impedance of a 1/4 wave ground plane is 37 ohms when you
>>have
>>the radials at a 90 degree angle with respect to the radiator. If you have
>>the radials drooped at a 45 degree angle, the impedance rises to
>>approximately 50 ohms.
>>If you have a single radial drooped at a 180 degree angle with respect to
>>the radiator, the impedance rises to 75 ohms.
>
>
> These are impedances for antennas in free space, and are practical
> only if you can mount your antenna well above the ground and away from
> any tall objects.

Also true, but a good starting point.
>
>
>>There was an article in RF Design magazine a few years back, explaining
>>why
>>certain impedances are used in the RF industry.
>>72 ohms was the impedance that produced minimum cable losses.....50

>>ohms is a happy medium.
>
>
> Close. For lowest loss, the optimum characteristic impedance of coax
> is 76.9 ohms. 70-73 ohm coax is used as a compromise between low loss
> coax and coax optimized for minimization of flashover, the latter
> having an impedance of about 60 ohms.

Good memory.
>
> And while many people have many different ideas as to why 50 ohm coax
> is made, it is just a compromise between low-loss/low-flashover coax
> of 72 ohms and coax optimized for handling power (about 30 ohms, which
> is too lossy for practical transmission lines).
>
>
>>On a final note.....at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to
>>1.....at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of
>>1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube
>>output
>>and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect. For
>>a
>>typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between
>>the
>>two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter
>>would
>>be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably
>>wouldn't
>>have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation.
>>In
>>this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it
>>happen?
>>Probably not.
>
>
> .....uh, what?
>
> Both tubes and transistors use matching networks, so I don't know what
> distinction you are trying to make there. Power will be reflected from
> an antenna/coax mismatch -regardless- of whether you have a tube or
> transistor final. And what does an ALC circuit have to do with
> transmission line propogation?

This pertains to solid state amateur transceivers that don't have an
adjustable output matching network.....most of today's units have
fixed-tuned bandpass filters after the output stage. I am not referring to
transmission line propagation; I am referring to the fact that, with a
fixed-tuned output network that expects to see a 50 ohm characteristic
impedance, the ALC can fold back the power. Usually, that doesn't occur
until a VSWR of 2 to 1 is reached. My explanation is for illustrative
purposes only.

>
>
>>I hope this helps.
>>
>>Pete
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

1549. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 08:05:36 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kdq6e.63858$cg1.26940@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198526
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Sounds good, Vinnie.....feel free to e-mail me directly if
you have any questions.

Pete

"Vinnie S." wrote in message
news:q1dj511vgh7nesj4p5enlbfv9t2bqoamkq@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 19:58:28 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
>
> wrote:
>
>>Hey Vinnie.....I can help you. The formula for a 1/4 wavelength
>>radiator is (234 / F) whereby F is the frequency in MHz. This will give
>>you
>>the length in feet. For the radials, (237 / F) will give you that length.
>>Always remember...multiply the radiator length by 1.05 and that is another
>>way of calculating the 1/4 wavelength radials.
>>The feedpoint impedance of a 1/4 wave ground plane is 37 ohms when you
>>have
>>the radials at a 90 degree angle with respect to the radiator. If you have
>>the radials drooped at a 45 degree angle, the impedance rises to
>>approximately 50 ohms.
>
> So far, that is what I have read. What I am going to do is this. I am
> going to
> add the 45 angled GP, simply because it is fairly cheap, and because it
> will be
> much easier to put it up now, than later. The formula you gave indicates
> that
> radials should be about 8.8 feet at 27 MHz. If these radials fair poorly,
> I will
> take Lancer's advice and build my own. For some reason, the radials are 6
> feet.
> I don't know if they ran 9 feet of wire in there, or what.
>
>
>>If you have a single radial drooped at a 180 degree angle with respect to
>>the radiator, the impedance rises to 75 ohms.
>
> I won't do this.
>
>>There was an article in RF Design magazine a few years back, explaining
>>why
>>certain impedances are used in the RF industry.

>>72 ohms was the impedance that produced minimum cable losses..........50
>>ohms is a happy medium.
>>On a final note..........at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to
>>1.................at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of
>>1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube
>>output
>>and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect. For
>>a
>>typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between
>>the
>>two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter
>>would
>>be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably
>>wouldn't
>>have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation.
>>In
>>this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it
>>happen?
>>Probably not.
>>I hope this helps.
>>
>>Pete
>
>
> It's does help, Thanks ! I am borrowing an Autek RF analyzer to check the
> antenna while I am up in the tree. Most people that have purchase this
> antenna,
> say that it is tuned very well to 27.205. I should be flat up and down in
> CB and
> 10 meters for about 1 MHZ.
>
> I will follow up.
>
> Vinnie S.

1550. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 08:10:55 UTC
From: Admiral Nelson <SEAVIEW5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3iq6e.1288$x86.372@fe12.lga>
Source record: 198525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

That's a good point. It use to piss me off when these FA started to
show up on the groups.
We can still discuss stuff here but it seems it is the way of the world.
It's like the price of gas, nobody likes it, but it keeps going up and
we still have to buy it.

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote

So why post FAs in groups that are for discussion? That is what the
.swap and .marketplace groups are for. Why should I have to filter out
FAs in a discussion group where FAs shouldn't be there in the first
place? If people in a discussion group are curious about what may be for
sale on ebay then they can check the .swap or .marketplace groups or go
to ebay and search, it ain't rocket surgery.
Look at the boatanchor group as an example, which was set up to DISCUSS
boatanchor type equipment, along comes the ebayers with a few FAs, then
someone else sees the FAs, posts their FAs and pretty soon the group is
more FA ads than discussion.

:

> Admiral Nelson wrote:
>
>> You're crying about an auction that ended 2 months ago?
>> Seems to me that the bay is here to stay.
>> Learn to use your filters.
>> Seems to be the only way for you not to see them.
>> Also, one mans junk in another mans treasure.....
>> It also seems that people who view these newsgroups
>> might be curious as to what's for sale elsewhere.
>> Many have gotten stuck buying on these groups with broken items.
>> If someone has integrity there is usually not a problem, but there is
>> the
>> other side of the coin where broken items were sold. The new owner
>> has no
>> recourse. At least on ebay there is feedback, not a perfect system
>> but one that works.
>> And with paypal you CAN get a refund a good part of the time if there
>> is a problem.
>> Been there and done that.
>
>

> So why post FAs in groups that are for discussion? That is what the
> .swap and .marketplace groups are for. Why should I have to filter out
> FAs in a discussion group where FAs shouldn't be there in the first
> place? If people in a discussion group are curious about what may be
> for sale on ebay then they can check the .swap or .marketplace groups
> or go to ebay and search, it ain't rocket surgery.
> Look at the boatanchor group as an example, which was set up to
> DISCUSS boatanchor type equipment, along comes the ebayers with a few
> FAs, then someone else sees the FAs, posts their FAs and pretty soon
> the group is more FA ads than discussion.

1551. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 08:16:14 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <inq6e.63880$cg1.50653@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198523
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Oops.....that should have been maximum return loss. Touch
typing.....you know!

Pete

"Pete KE9OA" wrote in message
news:dbq6e.63852$cg1.9056@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Frank Gilliland" wrote in message
> news:em2j51pctp2nq26t1b9l46bg5reip88ga5@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 19:58:28 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
>> wrote in
>> :
>>
>>>Hey Vinnie.....I can help you. The formula for a 1/4 wavelength
>>>radiator is (234 / F) whereby F is the frequency in MHz. This will give
>>>you
>>>the length in feet. For the radials, (237 / F) will give you that length.
>>>Always remember...multiply the radiator length by 1.05 and that is
>>>another
>>>way of calculating the 1/4 wavelength radials.
>>
>>
>> It should be noted that these formulas are only appoximations. Actual
>> sizes are dependent upon the conductivity and diameter of the
>> elements, and the quantity and angle of the radials. When building a
>> resonant antenna it's a good idea to make the elements a little long
>> and trim to resonance.
>
> Very true.....I use a spectrum analyzer with a directional coupler
> and trim for maximum return loww.
>>
>>
>>>The feedpoint impedance of a 1/4 wave ground plane is 37 ohms when you
>>>have
>>>the radials at a 90 degree angle with respect to the radiator. If you
>>>have
>>>the radials drooped at a 45 degree angle, the impedance rises to
>>>approximately 50 ohms.
>>>If you have a single radial drooped at a 180 degree angle with respect to
>>>the radiator, the impedance rises to 75 ohms.
>>
>>
>> These are impedances for antennas in free space, and are practical

>> only if you can mount your antenna well above the ground and away from
>> any tall objects.
>
> Also true, but a good starting point.
>>
>>
>>>There was an article in RF Design magazine a few years back, explaining
>>>why
>>>certain impedances are used in the RF industry.
>>>72 ohms was the impedance that produced minimum cable losses..........50
>>>ohms is a happy medium.
>>
>>
>> Close. For lowest loss, the optimum characteristic impedance of coax
>> is 76.9 ohms. 70-73 ohm coax is used as a compromise between low loss
>> coax and coax optimized for minimization of flashover, the latter
>> having an impedance of about 60 ohms.
>
> Good memory.
>>
>> And while many people have many different ideas as to why 50 ohm coax
>> is made, it is just a compromise between low-loss/low-flashover coax
>> of 72 ohms and coax optimized for handling power (about 30 ohms, which
>> is too lossy for practical transmission lines).
>>
>>
>>>On a final note..........at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to
>>>1.................at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of
>>>1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube
>>>output
>>>and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect.
>>>For a
>>>typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between
>>>the
>>>two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter
>>>would
>>>be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably
>>>wouldn't
>>>have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation.
>>>In
>>>this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it
>>>happen?
>>>Probably not.
>>
>>
>> .....uh, what?
>>
>> Both tubes and transistors use matching networks, so I don't know what
>> distinction you are trying to make there. Power will be reflected from
>> an antenna/coax mismatch -regardless- of whether you have a tube or

>> transistor final. And what does an ALC circuit have to do with
>> transmission line propogation?
>
> This pertains to solid state amateur transceivers that don't have an
> adjustable output matching network.....most of today's units have
> fixed-tuned bandpass filters after the output stage. I am not referring to
> transmission line propagation; I am referring to the fact that, with a
> fixed-tuned output network that expects to see a 50 ohm characteristic
> impedance, the ALC can fold back the power. Usually, that doesn't occur
> until a VSWR of 2 to 1 is reached. My explanation is for illustrative
> purposes only.
>>
>>
>>>I hope this helps.
>>>
>>>Pete
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
>> News==----
>> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
>> Newsgroups
>> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
>> =----
>
>

1552. Re: Coax in door

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 11:07:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411070754.370$EG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198527

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> <nathanp0515@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1113185981.206065.143160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Will shutting a car door on the coax from the antenna do any harm? It
> > creates an indention in the cable.
>
> A "small" indention won't do any harm. However small is a relative term.
> If the indention is too big you'll short the outer shield to the center
> conductor. You don't want that to happen, period. If you have access to a
> SWR meter then check your match. If it's 2 to 1 or less then don't worry
> about it.
>
Leland is a coax expert. Check out his high tech coax run.

http://img57.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035xn.jpg

1553. Re: Coax in door

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 12:25:51 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <115kr6gobou0pd1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198528

Hello Nathan 0515:

I use RG-142 Coax, it is the same size as RG-58 only its bullet proof.

New it will cost almost 2 dollars a foot, but I have slamed the truck
door on it for years and years.

Jay in the Mojave

nathanp0515@aol.com wrote:

> Will shutting a car door on the coax from the antenna do any harm? It
> creates an indention in the cable.
>
> Nathan
>

1554. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 12:59:14 UTC
From: forkinthetoaster <oinparten60@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 198529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
> the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:

It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
too..............

(grin)

1555. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 14:52:04 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oaw6e.1617$%v6.795@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 198531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

It is called spam. Especially, when they cross-post to so many groups.
I just look at it like this...if they can't seem to follow netiquette,
then I don't want to do business with them. Unfortunately, there are so
many people online that are ignorant, it will never go away. So, I just
report them.

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:kpednavvCboNycTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com...
> Sky King wrote:
> > Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>
> Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
> for that kind of junk?

1556. Re: CB is for morons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 14:58:30 UTC
From: "P. Daw" <pdaw@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Sew6e.47505$vL3.29138@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 198530

I bet post office has to ask his mom to go out and play too.
"Post Office Bozo" <supersecret@looselipssinkships.wow> wrote in message
news:d5B5e.21310$zl.20239@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> You gotta be brain dead and butt ugly to be a CB'er. Thank gawd I had the
> good sense to stay away!
>

1557. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 17:45:12 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dcmdnRW0a60-TsffRVn-2A@gbronline.com>
Source record: 198538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Tom Terrific wrote:
> "forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
>><channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
>>news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
>>>the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
>>
>>It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
>>too..............
>
>
>
> Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!
>
>
>
How do you figure that?

1558. CB with two finals 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 17:48:44 UTC
From: HarryHydro <harryhydro@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113241724.591036.9960@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198536

Hi Folks:
A long time ago, a guy who worked for the consolidated waste here gave me a box of CB's. My neighbor and I fixed up a few. Two radios, that were alike, each had two TO-220 case finals and a single driver. It might have been a 23 channel radio. I remember they were hugh mobile units. We made one good one out of the two. Well, the neighbor wanted this radio bad, so I let him have it. Does anyone know what brand CB had 2 finals, i suspect class AB?
Thanks!
Harry

1559. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 18:04:53 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1omdnTG8b9zcIcffRVn-uQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 198537

Trev 999 wrote:
> Thank fuck I don't live in the festering shithole called the USA!

Thank you for not living here. I think it's a very good idea, for you, to remain where you are and not entertain any thoughts of visiting.

Jeff

> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
> news:Xns962F7A9A5CC21234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>
>> Before the
>>
>> Federal Communications Commission
>>
>> Washington, D.C. 20554
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> In the Matter of)
>>
>>)
>>
>> Javes Williams, Jr.) File No. EB-04-DT-
>>
>> 560
>>
>>)
>>
>> Detroit, MI) NOV No.
>>
>> V20053236007
>>
>>)
>>
>>
>>
>> NOTICE OF VIOLATION
>>
>>
>>

>> Released: March 15,
>>
>> 2005
>>
>>
>>
>> By the District Director, Detroit Office, Northeast Region,
>>
>> Enforcement Bureau:
>>
>>
>>
>> 1. This is a Notice of Violation ("Notice") issued
>>
>> pursuant to Section 1.89 of the Commission's Rules,1 to
>>
>> Javes Williams, Jr.
>>
>>
>>
>> 2. On March 3, 2005, agents of the Commission's Detroit
>>
>> Office inspected your CB station located at your residence
>>
>> in Detroit, Michigan, and observed the following
>>
>> violation(s):
>>
>>
>>
>> a. 47 C.F.R. § 95.409(b): ``You must not make,
>>
>> or have made, any internal modification to a
>>
>> certificated CB transmitter...Any internal modification
>>
>> to a certificated CB transmitter cancels the
>>
>> certification, and use of such a transmitter voids your
>>
>> authority to operate the station.'' At the time of
>>
>> inspection, you had a Uniden Zachary T transmitter that
>>
>> had been illegally modified to operate on frequencies
>>
>> outside the 40 channels assigned to the CB Radio
>>
>> Service and a Uniden Washington transmitter that had

>>
>> been illegally modified to include a variable frequency
>>
>> oscillator which continuously varies the transmit
>>
>> frequency. Use of these transmitters voids your
>>
>> authority to operate the station.
>>
>>
>>
>> b. 47 C.F.R. § 95.423(a): ``If the FCC tells
>>
>> you that your CB station is causing interference for
>>
>> technical reasons you must follow all instructions in
>>
>> the official FCC notice. (This notice may require you
>>
>> to have technical adjustments made to your
>>
>> equipment.)." On December 10, 2004, you were
>>
>> instructed in writing by this office to ``Permanently
>>
>> install a low-pass filter between the transmitter
>>
>> output terminal and the antenna feedline." At the
>>
>> time of inspection, a low-pass filter was not installed
>>
>> at your station.
>>
>>
>>
>> 3. Pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of
>>
>> 1934, as amended,2 and Section 1.89 of the Commission's
>>
>> Rules, Javes Williams, Jr., must submit a written statement
>>
>> concerning this matter within 20 days of release of this
>>
>> Notice. The response must fully explain each violation,
>>
>> must contain a statement of specific actions(s) taken to
>>
>> correct each violation and preclude recurrence, and should
>>

>> include a time line for completion of pending corrective
>>
>> action(s). All replies and documentation sent in response
>>
>> to this Notice should be marked with the File No. and NOV
>>
>> No. specified above, and mailed to the following address:
>>
>>
>>
>> Federal Communications Commission
>>
>> Detroit Office
>>
>> 24897 Hathaway Street
>>
>> Farmington Hills, MI 48335-1552
>>
>>
>>
>> 4. This Notice shall be sent to Javes Williams, Jr., at
>>
>> the address of record.
>>
>>
>>
>> 5. The Privacy Act of 19743 requires that we advise you
>>
>> that the Commission will use all relevant material
>>
>> information before it, including any information disclosed
>>
>> in your reply, to determine what, if any, enforcement action
>>
>> is required to ensure compliance. Any false statement made
>>
>> knowingly and willfully in reply to this Notice is
>>
>> punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the
>>
>> U.S. Code.4
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
>>
>>

>>
>> --
>> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
>> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>> See, I have proved he did those posts and
>>> all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
>>> anyone that disagree with him.
>>>
>>> Landshark

1560. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 18:21:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113243675.136628.163860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198534

Steveo isnt steveo, he is mopeydopey. And niether of the 2 is his real name.

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns9634EDFA3532A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in
> > news:pan.2005.04.11.01.34.43.735681@arrl.net:
> >
> > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > > [65.54.174.51]) by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with
ESMTP
> > > id j3AIaKI08065 for <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20
-0500
> > > Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft
> > > SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> > > <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl> Received: from
> > > 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Sun,
10 Apr
> > > 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > > moparholic@hotmail.com From: Moparholic Steve
<moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > To: kc8ldo@arrl.net Subject: Your friend
> > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10
Apr
> > > 2005 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> > >
> > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with
> > > talking to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away
with
> > > that in person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to
me
> > > now or ever.
> > >
> > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you
is a

> > > sincere apoplogy.
> > >
> > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The
best
> > > layed plans are in place.
> >
> >
> > I hope the photomat is open he will be taking more pics and talking
shit
> > on usenet. I wouldnt worry about it Leland, he is a usenet blowhard
who
> > doesn't have the gumption to give his name or anything else. He
would
> > rather stalk people and remain a anonymous assclown.
>
> I don't make a secret of who I am, and he calls me a coward while
hiding
> behind a fake ID on the Internet.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1561. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 18:25:08 UTC
From: Tom Terrific <commander@suprmeradcmd.net>
Message-ID: <d3efcj$575$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 198533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> <channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
>> the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
>
> It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
> too.............

Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!

1562. mopeydopey hallucinatory again, dribbling more squirrle turds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 18:37:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113244631.962583.136440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198532

Dopeymopey also known as his false name steveo because he is too scared to admit his real one, moparholic@hotmail.com, meweled the following, further proving his womanliness:

"Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with talking to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with that in person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now or ever."

AKC REPLIES WITH AUTHORITY AND FLOURISH, BRANDISHING THE USUAL WEAPON, THE TRUTH:

1. Do we "think"? Nope, we KNOW.

2. If "there aint a man alive" and you have acted like a perverted fool and clown since childhood, you musta done a lotta murders or something...cuz anybody with a lick of sense knows you are a fool and a punk, and they would surely have said so. We quake in our boots, sissy.

3. Nobody cares what is acceptable to you. Nobody. There's nothing you can do to stop the AKC, and everyone knows it. Now that your boyfriend twithead has wiped up, you'll have to continue under the delusion that you matter. You don't.

4. You can't do anything to make yourself matter, either. All your threats and blab are just that, threats and blab. Bring yer camera, stalkerboy, snap some more pictures. You take it how we deliver it, wrist flipper. Believe that, cuz you have absolutely no idea whats up.

Now, threaten, blab, make nasty statements, forge, lie, just as you always have. Nobody cares, dummy. You are the sissy who hides and posts all this stuff. Thank you, it is amusing. That's what you sissy keyclowns are here for, idiot. Amusing the AKC is why you were born.

Well, gotta get back to work, so we'll hoe ya more later.

1563. dopeymopey impotently whines more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 18:38:24 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113244704.214164.248960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198535

Dopeymopey also known as his false name steveo because he is too scared to admit his real one, moparholic@hotmail.com, meweled the following, further proving his womanliness:

"Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with talking to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with that in person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now or ever."

AKC REPLIES WITH AUTHORITY AND FLOURISH, BRANDISHING THE USUAL WEAPON, THE TRUTH:

1. Do we "think"? Nope, we KNOW.

2. If "there aint a man alive" and you have acted like a perverted fool and clown since childhood, you musta done a lotta murders or something...cuz anybody with a lick of sense knows you are a fool and a punk, and they would surely have said so. We quake in our boots, sissy.

3. Nobody cares what is acceptable to you. Nobody. There's nothing you can do to stop the AKC, and everyone knows it. Now that your boyfriend twithead has wiped up, you'll have to continue under the delusion that you matter. You don't.

4. You can't do anything to make yourself matter, either. All your threats and blab are just that, threats and blab. Bring yer camera, stalkerboy, snap some more pictures. You take it how we deliver it, wrist flipper. Believe that, cuz you have absolutely no idea whats up.

Now, threaten, blab, make nasty statements, forge, lie, just as you always have. Nobody cares, dummy. You are the sissy who hides and posts all this stuff. Thank you, it is amusing. That's what you sissy keyclowns are here for, idiot. Amusing the AKC is why you were born.

Well, gotta get back to work, so we'll hoe ya more later.

1564. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 20:46:07 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5gol51pamni4u72dhp7gbv592e20ue5ad9@4ax.com>
Source record: 198539
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 08:03:21 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
wrote in
:

>>>On a final note.....at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to
>>>1.....at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of
>>>1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube
>>>output
>>>and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect. For
>>>a
>>>typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between
>>>the
>>>two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter
>>>would
>>>be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably
>>>wouldn't
>>>have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation.
>>>In
>>>this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it
>>>happen?
>>>Probably not.
>>
>>
>> .....uh, what?
>>
>> Both tubes and transistors use matching networks, so I don't know what
>> distinction you are trying to make there. Power will be reflected from
>> an antenna/coax mismatch -regardless- of whether you have a tube or
>> transistor final. And what does an ALC circuit have to do with
>> transmission line propogation?
>
>This pertains to solid state amateur transceivers that don't have an
>adjustable output matching network.....most of today's units have
>fixed-tuned bandpass filters after the output stage. I am not referring to
>transmission line propagation; I am referring to the fact that, with a
>fixed-tuned output network that expects to see a 50 ohm characteristic
>impedance, the ALC can fold back the power. Usually, that doesn't occur
>until a VSWR of 2 to 1 is reached. My explanation is for illustrative
>purposes only.

I understood that much. My point was that whenever an antenna/coax
mismatch occurs, tuning the output tank (as with a tube final) doesn't
cure the mismatch or the resulting signal loss. All it does is protect
the final from the reflected power. The output tank should be matched

to the characteristic impedance of the coax whether the final is a tube or a transistor. If the coax shows standing waves, the mismatch should be fixed at the point of mismatch, not at the radio. I realize that this is not always practical, but people should know that such a conjugate match just dissipates that reflected power somewhere else, usually from the outside of the coax or the radio.

As for ALC, I thought you were referring to a different type of limiting. My bad.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1565. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 21:03:58 UTC
From: ME TOO! <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1113253438.844528.41460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198540

Lancer wrote:
> On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 11:04:53 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Trev 999 wrote:
> >> Thank fuck I don't live in the festering shithole called the USA!
> >
> >Thank you for not living here. I think it's a very good idea, for
you, to
> >remain where you are and not entertain any thoughts of visiting.
> >
> >Jeff
> >
>
> Come on Jeff, quit making fun of him. He's from a 3rd world country
> that can't manufacture their own airplanes. Maybe he could row here
> in his outrigger?

I agree with Trev, WHO THE HELL WANTS TO LIVE IN A COMMIE COUNTRY LIKE THE USA RUN BY STUPID RETARDED DICKTATORS LIKE BUSH AND THE FCC!!!! AND THATS THE BOTTOM LINE CAUSE I SAID SO, SO GO CHOKE ON THAT YOU STUPID USELESS RETARD AMERICANS

1566. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 21:52:02 UTC
From: BH <radiobillxx@!!gbronline.com>
Message-ID: <B9idne88z9vhkMbfRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 198552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Tom Terrific wrote:
>>
>>>"forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>><channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
>>>>>the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
>>>>
>>>>It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
>>>>too.............
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>How do you figure that?
>>
>
> I'm not listed..is that a compliment? :)

Now don't go getting personal Steve.

1567. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 22:56:42 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <KgD6e.53053$1H3.52410@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 198541

"ME TOO!" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
news:1113253438.844528.41460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lancer wrote:
>> On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 11:04:53 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
>> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Trev 999 wrote:
>> >> Thank fuck I don't live in the festering shithole called the USA!
>> >
>> >Thank you for not living here. I think it's a very good idea, for
> you, to
>> >remain where you are and not entertain any thoughts of visiting.
>> >
>> >Jeff
>> >
>>
>> Come on Jeff, quit making fun of him. He's from a 3rd world country
>> that can't manufacture their own airplanes. Maybe he could row here
>> in his outrigger?
>
> I agree with Trev, WHO THE HELL WANTS TO LIVE IN A COMMIE COUNTRY LIKE
> THE USA RUN BY STUPID RETARDED DICKTATORS LIKE BUSH AND THE FCC!!!! AND
> THATS THE BOTTOM LINE CAUSE I SAID SO, SO GO CHOKE ON THAT YOU STUPID
> USELESS RETARD AMERICANS
>

Well, you do have a point about the leadership and government, but no one in
their right mind would want to live in a country with 10 months of winter
and 2 months of bad sledding. Well, except Eskimos I suppose. The only
decent Canadians left that ice-hole and migrated to Louisiana.

Also, you might want to stop hanging onto our coattails. We don't need you,
and if it were not for us, you'd be speaking Japanese now. We are the sole
reason you were not taken during WWII.

Now that IS the bottom line.

1568. KC8LDO drunk on a Sunday night at 1am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:13:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411191319.144$uN_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198542

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> <Hooray_For_Leland@takethatsteve.com> wrote in message
> news:DRm6e.31135$US.22351@news.ono.com...
> > >
> > > <Im_A_Leland_Fan@downwithsteve.com> wrote in message
> > > news:yxl6e.31131$US.25169@news.ono.com...
> > > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > > [65.54.174.51])
> > > > > by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id
> j3AIaKI08065
> > > for
> > > > > <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received: from
> mail
> > > > > pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr
> 2005
> > > > > 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> > > > > Received: from 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com
> > > > > with
> > > HTTP;
> > > > > Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > > > > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > > > > moparholic@hotmail.com
> > > > > From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To:
> > > > > kc8ldo@arrl.net
> > > > > Subject: Your friend
> > > > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10
> > > > > Apr
> > > 2005
> > > > > 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > > > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> > > > >
> > > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with
> talking
> > > > > to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with
> > > > > that
> in
> > > > > person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now
> > > > > or

> ever.
> > > > >
> > > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you
> > > > > is
> a
> > > > > sincere apoplogy.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The
> > > > > best
> > > layed
> > > > > plans are in place.
> > > >
> > > > More fruitless stalking and more photos LOL.
> > >
> > > Steveo is just a disgusting camera toting pervert with a predilection
> for
> > > voyeuristic photography.
> >
> > He seems to have experience sneaking outside of peoples houses.
> > Maybe the perv has a police record? No wonder he hides his real name.
>
> You could be right. He seems to take extreme pleasure in posting candid
> snapshots of men and boasting about his adventures to obtain them. Makes
> you really wonder about his true choice of gender preference.
>
That's a new approach nad, call me gay. I have $500 that says you will
not say that to my face. Care to collect it?

Have some more cheap vodka for nerve, and post after 1am on a Sunday night.

I have some inducto shit to get busy with.

1569. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:22:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411192232.922$IK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198543

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 11 Apr 2005 14:03:58 -0700, "ME TOO!" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
> wrote:
>
> And another worthless 3rd world country heard from...
>
> Ehh?
>
The all caps post really drive it home. Put him on a raft back to Cuba.

1570. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:23:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411192331.080$Fd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198544

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "ME TOO!" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> news:1113253438.844528.41460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Lancer wrote:
> >> On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 11:04:53 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
> >> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Trev 999 wrote:
> >> >> Thank fuck I don't live in the festering shithole called the USA!
> >> >
> >> >Thank you for not living here. I think it's a very good idea, for
> > you, to
> >> >remain where you are and not entertain any thoughts of visiting.
> >> >
> >> >Jeff
> >> >
> >>
> >> Come on Jeff, quit making fun of him. He's from a 3rd world country
> >> that can't manufacture their own airplanes. Maybe he could row here
> >> in his outrigger?
> >
> > I agree with Trev, WHO THE HELL WANTS TO LIVE IN A COMMIE COUNTRY LIKE
> > THE USA RUN BY STUPID RETARDED DICKTATORS LIKE BUSH AND THE FCC!!!! AND
> > THATS THE BOTTOM LINE CAUSE I SAID SO, SO GO CHOKE ON THAT YOU STUPID
> > USELESS RETARD AMERICANS
> >
>
> Well, you do have a point about the leadership and government, but no one
> in their right mind would want to live in a country with 10 months of
> winter and 2 months of bad sledding. Well, except Eskimos I suppose. The
> only decent Canadians left that ice-hole and migrated to Louisiana.
>
> Also, you might want to stop hanging onto our coattails. We don't need
> you, and if it were not for us, you'd be speaking Japanese now. We are
> the sole reason you were not taken during WWII.
>
> Now that IS the bottom line.
>
Rogo, over and out!

1571. Re: dopeymopey impotently whines more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:40:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411194015.184$EZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198547

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Dopeymopey also known as his false name steveo
>
My name is Steve. You will appreciate that some day soon.

1572. Re: Cobra 148 GTL frequency changes when cover is put back on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:41:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ri2m511dbeu5tqjlao24eu2dgvomq0r4en@4ax.com>
Source record: 198545

On Sat, 09 Apr 2005 17:38:21 GMT, Defnroc04595@aol.com (Def N Roc) wrote:

>
> A friend brought over a Cobra 148 GTL that was off frequency on
>sideband (3 kHz or more). I put the radio back on frequency, but when
>I put the case back on it goes back off frequency by as much as 1 kHz.
>I have seen radios change frequency a little as the metal case gets
>put back on, but this one goes nuts. It also goes nuts if I put my
>hand near the circuit board. It is too big a change to be normal for
>these things. It had been moded for extra channels, and Iunsoldered
>all the extra wires off to see if that was behind the problem, but the
>frequency instability remains. Has anyone seen a Cobra 148 GTL do
>this before? This is the older model with the five pin mic plug on
>the side of the radio.
>
>Defnroc

Does that have the old MB8719 PLL? If it has the newer RCI8719, it could be the cause. I read on a website that RCI8719 was designed with one pin floating, that causes it to go haywire with a channel mod.

http://www.radiomods.co.nz/addon_mb8719manual.html

Vinnie S.

1573. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:41:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411194159.922$gA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198546

Leland_Is_Cool@readitsteve.com wrote:
> >
> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote in message news:Xns9634EDFA3532A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in
> > > news:pan.2005.04.11.01.34.43.735681@arrl.net:
> > >
> > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > > > [65.54.174.51]) by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with
> > > > ESMTP id j3AIaKI08065 for <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005
> > > > 13:36:20 -0500 Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com
> > > > with Microsoft
> > > > SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> > > > <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl> Received: from
> > > > 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Sun, 10
> > > > Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > > > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > > > moparholic@hotmail.com From: Moparholic Steve
> > > > <moparholic@hotmail.com> To: kc8ldo@arrl.net Subject: Your friend
> > > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10
> > > > Apr
> > > > 2005 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> > > >
> > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with
> > > > talking to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away
> > > > with that in person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable
> > > > to me now or ever.
> > > >
> > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you is
> > > > a sincere apoplogy.
> > > >
> > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The
> > > > best layed plans are in place.
> > >
> > >
> > > I hope the photomat is open he will be taking more pics and talking
> > > shit on usenet. I wouldnt worry about it Leland, he is a usenet
> > > blowhard who doesn't have the gumption to give his name or anything

> > > else. He would rather stalk people and remain a anonymous assclown.
> >
> > I don't make a secret of who I am, and he calls me a coward while
> > hiding behind a fake ID on the Internet.
>
> Lee we have all seen movies where the stalker has a wall of photos
> in his room of the victim.
>
Victim..interesting choice of words.

1574. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:42:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411194259.751$F7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Tom Terrific wrote:
> > "forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> >><channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
> >>news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue fighting
> >>>the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
> >>
> >>It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
> >>too.............
> >
> >
> >
> > Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!
> >
> >
> >
> How do you figure that?
>
I'm not listed..is that a compliment? :)

1575. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:44:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411194431.695$mt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198549

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Trev 999 wrote:
> > Thank fuck I don't live in the festering shithole called the USA!
>
> Thank you for not living here. I think it's a very good idea, for you, to
> remain where you are and not entertain any thoughts of visiting.
>
> Jeff
>
He fucks kangaroo's.

1576. Re: dopeymopey impotently whines more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:48:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411194819.196$l7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198550

N8WWM (felon)<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Dopeymopey also known as his false name steveo
>
N8WWM is an indigent felon child abuser.

It's illegal to abuse children, you fugly felon.

N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
SWANSON appointed as counsel.
Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
Sue Swanson
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court.
Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
of probation.
Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
agreement.
Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
associated with being granted probation. Payment of

financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
fees.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1577. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:51:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411195130.712$y3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198551

KC8LDO Leland_Is_Right@truth.com wrote:
> > Leland_Is_Cool@readitsteve.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> > > > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
> > > > news:Xns9634EDFA3532A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> > > > > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in
> > > > > news:pan.2005.04.11.01.34.43.735681@arrl.net:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > > > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > > > > > [65.54.174.51]) by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0)
> > > > > > with ESMTP id j3AIaKI08065 for <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr
> > > > > > 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received: from mail pickup service by
> > > > > > hotmail.com
> > > > > > with Microsoft
> > > > > > SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> > > > > > <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl> Received: from
> > > > > > 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Sun,
> > > > > > 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > > > > > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > > > > > moparholic@hotmail.com From: Moparholic Steve
> > > > > > <moparholic@hotmail.com> To: kc8ldo@arrl.net Subject: Your
> > > > > > friend Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime:
> > > > > > 10
> > > > > > Apr
> > > > > > 2005 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > > > > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away
> > > > > > with talking to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who
> > > > > > gets away with that in person, so being on Usenet doesn't make
> > > > > > it acceptable to me now or ever.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of
> > > > > > you is a sincere apoplogy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The
> > > > > > best layed plans are in place.
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > > I hope the photomat is open he will be taking more pics and
> > > > > talking shit on usenet. I wouldnt worry about it Leland, he is a
> > > > > usenet blowhard who doesn't have the gumption to give his name or
> > > > > anything else. He would rather stalk people and remain a
> > > > > anonymous assclown.
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't make a secret of who I am, and he calls me a coward while
> > > > > hiding behind a fake ID on the Internet.
> > > >
> > > > Lee we have all seen movies where the stalker has a wall of photos
> > > > in his room of the victim.
> > > >
> > > Victim..interesting choice of words.
> >
> LOL you probably do have Leland pics on your bedroom wall.
> Maybe inducto will let you sniff his chair. Good luck. ROTFLMAO
>
Talking about yourself in the third person again nad.

Inducto on speed-dial. hehehe

1578. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:52:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411195258.505$sO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

BH <radiobillxx@!!gbronline.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >>Tom Terrific wrote:
> >>
> >>>"forkinthetoaster" <oinparten60@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:88b96$425a74a1$d1cc45da$17339@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>><channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1113168919.492076.315960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>K1MAN seems to have lost his website and his will to continue
> >>>>>fighting the ARRL and FCC. This is posted on qrz.com:
> >>>>
> >>>>It also appears that the folks at QRZ.com have de-listed him
> >>>>too.............
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Not being listed by QRZ is a compliment!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>How do you figure that?
> >>
> >
> > I'm not listed..is that a compliment? :)
>
> Now don't go getting personal Steve.
>
Never. I still remember my CB call from the late 60's. :)

1579. Re: KC8LDO drunk on a Sunday night at 1am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-11 23:54:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411195401.741$gi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198554

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 11 Apr 2005 23:13:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> >> <Hooray_For_Leland@takethatsteve.com> wrote in message
> >> news:DRm6e.31135$US.22351@news.ono.com...
> >> > >
> >> > > <Im_A_Leland_Fan@downwithsteve.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:yxl6e.31131$US.25169@news.ono.com...
> >> > > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> >> > > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> >> > > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> >> > > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> >> > > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> >> > > [65.54.174.51])
> >> > > > > by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id
> >> j3AIaKI08065
> >> > > for
> >> > > > > <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received:
> >> > > > > from
> >> mail
> >> > > > > pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10
> >> > > > > Apr
> >> 2005
> >> > > > > 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> >> <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> >> > > > > Received: from 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com
> >> > > > > with
> >> > > HTTP;
> >> > > > > Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP:
> >> > > > > [65.54.174.200] X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com]
> >> > > > > X-Sender:
> >> > > > > moparholic@hotmail.com
> >> > > > > From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To:
> >> > > > > kc8ldo@arrl.net
> >> > > > > Subject: Your friend
> >> > > > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> >> > > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime:
> >> > > > > 10
> >> > > > > Apr
> >> > > 2005
> >> > > > > 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> >> > > > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> >> > > > >
```

> >> > > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away
> >> > > > > with
> >> talking
> >> > > > > to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with
> >> > > > > that
> >> in
> >> > > > > person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me
> >> > > > > now or
> >> ever.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of
> >> > > > > you is
> >> a
> >> > > > > sincere apoplogy.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences.
> >> > > > > The best
> >> > > layed
> >> > > > > plans are in place.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > More fruitless stalking and more photos LOL.
> >> > > >
> >> > > Steveo is just a disgusting camera toting pervert with a
> >> > > predilection
> >> for
> >> > > voyeuristic photography.
> >> >
> >> > He seems to have experience sneaking outside of peoples houses.
> >> > Maybe the perv has a police record? No wonder he hides his real
> >> > name.
> >>
> >> You could be right. He seems to take extreme pleasure in posting
> >> candid snapshots of men and boasting about his adventures to obtain
> >> them. Makes you really wonder about his true choice of gender
> >> preference.
> >>
> >That's a new approach nad, call me gay. I have $500 that says you will
> >not say that to my face. Care to collect it?
> >
> >Have some more cheap vodka for nerve, and post after 1am on a Sunday
> >night.
> >
> >I have some inducto shit to get busy with.
>
> Whats inducto shit?
>
It's where nad sweeps the floor.

1580. Re: dopeymopey impotently whines more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 00:38:56 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113266336.641106.59740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198557

Dopeymopey also known as his false name steveo, the queen of NE Ohio, whined again on command of the AKC! YAHOOOOO!

Here ya are, posting the same tired ass shit for a year...whoopie.

Just shows again how totally impotent at stopping the AKC you are. Thank you for barking on our command.

Here's a biscuit, wristflipper.

1581. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 00:41:36 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113266496.125312.83040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198559

Inducto shit is when mopeydopey induces squirrel turds in his sissy,
man loving mouth.

1582. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 00:42:20 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113266540.432688.109080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198558

Imax is a piece of garbage. Get a dipole.

1583. Dogie - criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 00:42:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411204231.573$sk_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Dopeymopey also known as his false name steveo, the queen of NE Ohio,
> whined again on command of the AKC! YAHOOOOO!
>
> Here ya are, posting the same tired ass shit for a year...whoopie.
>
Sucks to be you, indigent criminal.

N8WWM is an indigent felon child abuser.

It's illegal to abuse children, you fugly felon.

N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
SWANSON appointed as counsel.
Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
Sue Swanson
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court.
Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
of probation.
Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek

Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding agreement.
Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees associated with being granted probation. Payment of financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution, supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel fees.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1584. No matter what wristflipper mopey says

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 00:48:29 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113266909.322203.246720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198555

He still can't do one single thing to stop the AKC from crushing keyclownism. He is a frustrated little boy cuz he never learned to function as an adult. He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart as a child, and she always gave in...to avoid a big scene.

As an adult he thinks that he can still do that, and if he rants enough the AKC will quake in fear. NOT. Mopeydopie, also known as moparholic@hotmail.com is a childish baby with a big mouth a small mind, and a smaller male endowment.

The poor nutless child can't win and it kills him.

1585. N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 00:55:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>
Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your children,
oh indigent one?

N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
SWANSON appointed as counsel.
Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
Sue Swanson
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court.
Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
of probation.
Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
agreement.
Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is

further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees associated with being granted probation. Payment of financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution, supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel fees.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1586. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 00:56:37 UTC
From: ME TOO! <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1113267396.953511.133430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198561

Us, hanging on to YOUR coattails? I don't think so, oh and BTW, it's YOU who need US canadians NOT the other way around like you americans have always got it bass ackwards and speaking of snow and ice what about Denver, Colorado they got snow and ice today and they're in the states so what about that, NUMBNUTS. WE are not the only "cold" country in North America you know. OUR country is and always will be THE BEST THERE IS, THE BEST THERE WAS AND THE BEST THERE EVER WILL BE. 10-4

1587. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 00:58:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411205825.523$YX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198562

"ME TOO!" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca> wrote:
> Us, hanging on to YOUR coattails? I don't think so, oh and BTW, it's
> YOU who need US canadians NOT the other way around like you americans
> have always got it bass ackwards and speaking of snow and ice what
> about Denver, Colorado they got snow and ice today and they're in the
> states so what about that, NUMBNUTS. WE are not the only "cold" country
> in North America you know. OUR country is and always will be THE BEST
> THERE IS, THE BEST THERE WAS AND THE BEST THERE EVER WILL BE. 10-4
>
You french fucks fight with your face.

1588. Circular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 01:18:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411211800.089$Bg_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

That would make a real nice flyer.
>
>
> N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> > candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
> >
> Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
> children, oh indigent one?
>
> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> Sue Swanson
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> ADAIR present in court.
> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> of probation.
> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding

> agreement.
> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> fees.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> SENTENCE C-184-502
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> terminated unsuccesfully
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1589. Re: Circular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 01:27:58 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XtF6e.1118$mm4.892@fe09.lga>
Source record: 198564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Steveo wrote:
> That would make a real nice flyer.
>
>>
>>N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
>>>candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>>>
>>
>>Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
>>children, oh indigent one?
>>
>> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
>> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
>> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
>> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
>> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
>> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
>> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
>> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
>> Sue Swanson
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
>> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
>> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
>> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
>> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
>> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
>> ADAIR present in court.
>> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
>> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
>> of probation.
>> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
>> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
>> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
>> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is

>> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
>> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
>>Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
>> agreement.
>> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
>> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
>> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
>> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
>> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
>> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
>> fees.
>> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
>> SENTENCE C-184-502
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
>> terminated unsuccesfully
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
why bother? ya feed him he feeds you. enough with this crap bud. he is a
looser. i know it you know it this ng knows it...

1590. Re: Circular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 01:34:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411213410.615$Ed@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > That would make a real nice flyer.
> >
> >>
> >>N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> >>>candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
> >>children, oh indigent one?
> >>
> >> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> >> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> >> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> >> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> >> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> >> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> >> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> >> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> >> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> >> Sue Swanson
> >> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> >> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> >> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> >> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> >> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION
> >> CONTINUE Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA
> >> CORPE ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S.
> >> SWANSON present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant,
> >> DOUGLAS ADAIR present in court.
> >> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> >> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> >> of probation.
> >> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of
> >> Nonsupport of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code
> >> Section 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.

> >> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> >> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> >> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> >>Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> >> agreement.
> >> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> >> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> >> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> >> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> >> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> >> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> >> fees.
> >> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> >> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> >> SENTENCE C-184-502
> >> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> >> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> >> terminated unsuccesfully
> >> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>
> why bother?
>
He likes to sell trash out of his trunk at certain venues.
>
> ya feed him he feeds you. enough with this crap bud. he is a
> looser. i know it you know it this ng knows it...
>
I'll toss google posts back on a filter again. Sorry bud.

1591. CB Repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 01:35:49 UTC
From: Barry <whatup@nss.com>
Message-ID: <ab9m511oidbdvss8qre99mt1v8ipg1baml@4ax.com>
Source record: 198566

I bought a Realistic TRC 465 SSB which receives but only hums on transmit. Can anyone recommend a GOOD cb shop for repairs? I really want to use this radio and would like it fixed. Thanks.

1592. re: cb repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 01:43:50 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0KF6e.62277$NC6.29175@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198567

what sort of power supply are you using, that could be the problem!

cl

1593. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 01:45:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8LF6e.108$913.1938505@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 198570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

You my fine feathered friend are a embarassment to society and humanity...
such an attack on anyone is so disgusting as to be vulgar--if you are the
new breed of ham, the future is hopeless...
Where are you people comming from????

John

--
I would like to point out, I do appreciate the "Been there--done that!"
posts. Indeed, now your observations, comments and discourse should be
filled with wisdom--I am listening!!!
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
>> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>>
> Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your children,
> oh indigent one?
>
>
> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> Sue Swanson
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> ADAIR present in court.

> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> of probation.
> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> agreement.
> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> fees.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> SENTENCE C-184-502
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> terminated unsuccesfully
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1594. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 02:37:30 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ur-dnY6mM5T7qcbfRVn-ig@giganews.com>
Source record: 198568

Steveo wrote:
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 11 Apr 2005 14:03:58 -0700, "ME TOO!" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
>> wrote:
>>
>> And another worthless 3rd world country heard from...
>>
>> Ehh?
>>
> The all caps post really drive it home. Put him on a raft back to
> Cuba.

I think you shouldn't be dissin' the Cubans. They don't want this fuka
either. ;-)

Jeff

1595. Re: Dumb Cber

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 02:52:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411225225.560$lb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198569

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 11 Apr 2005 14:03:58 -0700, "ME TOO!" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> And another worthless 3rd world country heard from...
> >>
> >> Ehh?
> >>
> > The all caps post really drive it home. Put him on a raft back to
> > Cuba.
>
> I think you shouldn't be dissin' the Cubans.
>
Yore right..they make the Lewinski cigar!
>
> They don't want this fuka
> either. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
He don't have to live like a refugee. ($1 Petty)

1596. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 03:00:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050411230053.351$hi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

You don't feed your kids either? Bad boy.

>
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> You my fine feathered friend are a embarassment to society and
> humanity... such an attack on anyone is so disgusting as to be vulgar--if
> you are the new breed of ham, the future is hopeless...
> Where are you people comming from????
>
> John
>
> --
> I would like to point out, I do appreciate the "Been there--done that!"
> posts. Indeed, now your observations, comments and discourse should be
> filled with wisdom--I am listening!!!
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
> > N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> >> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
> >>
> > Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
> > children, oh indigent one?
> >
> >
> > N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> > present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> > SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> > Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> > the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> > Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> > Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> > Sue Swanson
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> > RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED

> > 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> > Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> > ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> > present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> > ADAIR present in court.
> > Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> > having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> > of probation.
> > Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> > of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> > 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> > ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> > Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> > Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> > agreement.
> > Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> > any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> > further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> > associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> > financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> > supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> > fees.
> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> > SENTENCE C-184-502
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> > terminated unsuccesfully
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1597. RCI 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 04:21:27 UTC
From: barry <fibercastmold@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113279687.449042.231670@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198572

Need some advice, my son hooked the positive to ground is the radio destroyed ?

1598. Re: Coax in door

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 04:42:10 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <G7ednUGsMtU6zMbfRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 198573

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050411070754.370$EG@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > <nathanp0515@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1113185981.206065.143160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > Will shutting a car door on the coax from the antenna do any harm? It
> > > creates an indention in the cable.
> >
> > A "small" indention won't do any harm. However small is a relative term.
> > If the indention is too big you'll short the outer shield to the center
> > conductor. You don't want that to happen, period. If you have access to
a
> > SWR meter then check your match. If it's 2 to 1 or less then don't worry
> > about it.
> >
> Leland is a coax expert. Check out his high tech coax run.

18,400+ look ups on QRZ and counting considering I don't even do HF. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows
>
> http://img57.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035xn.jpg

1599. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 04:45:49 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <cbGdncZdILUcz8bfRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 198574

<Leland_Is_Cool@readitsteve.com> wrote in message
news:Uox6e.31199$US.9049@news.ono.com...
> It is well documented that stalking perverts steal something from
> their victims, an item of clothing, etc. for a keepsake. You may
> wanna lock your stuff up, esp. you underwear LOL

So we have yet another jockstrap collector in the group.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1600. Re: KC8LDO drunk on a Sunday night at 1am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 04:50:39 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Q8adnQjn3c05zsbfRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 198575

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050411191319.144$uN_-_@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > <Hooray_For_Leland@takethatsteve.com> wrote in message
> > news:DRm6e.31135$US.22351@news.ono.com...
> > > >
> > > > <Im_A_Leland_Fan@downwithsteve.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:yxl6e.31131$US.25169@news.ono.com...
> > > > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > > > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > > > [65.54.174.51])
> > > > > > by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id
> > j3AIaKI08065
> > > > for
> > > > > > <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received:
from
> > mail
> > > > > > pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10
Apr
> > 2005
> > > > > > 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> > <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> > > > > > Received: from 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com
> > > > > > with
> > > > HTTP;
> > > > > > Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > > > > > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > > > > > moparholic@hotmail.com
> > > > > > From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To:
> > > > > > kc8ldo@arrl.net
> > > > > > Subject: Your friend
> > > > > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10
> > > > > > Apr
> > > > 2005
> > > > > > 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > > > > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with
> > talking
> > > > > > to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with

> > > > > > that
> > in
> > > > > > > person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me now
> > > > > > > or
> > ever.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you
> > > > > > > is
> > a
> > > > > > > sincere apoplogy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The
> > > > > > > best
> > > > > layed
> > > > > > > plans are in place.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > More fruitless stalking and more photos LOL.
> > > > >
> > > > Steveo is just a disgusting camera toting pervert with a
predilection
> > for
> > > > voyeuristic photography.
> > >
> > He seems to have experience sneaking outside of peoples houses.
> > > Maybe the perv has a police record? No wonder he hides his real name.
> >
> > You could be right. He seems to take extreme pleasure in posting candid
> > snapshots of men and boasting about his adventures to obtain them. Makes
> > you really wonder about his true choice of gender preference.
> >
> That's a new approach nad, call me gay. I have $500 that says you will
> not say that to my face. Care to collect it?

So you deny taking the pictures?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1601. Re: RCI 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 05:09:13 UTC
From: ME TOO! <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1113282553.153339.287690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198576

YEP, that radio is TOAST! File under G for Garbage!

1602. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 05:25:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <vZI6e.124$Qh5.3100760@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 198577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

You have me wishing you were my child... you would indeed find food in short
supply my friend! <grin>

Regards,
John

--
I would like to point out, I do appreciate the "Been there--done that!"
posts. Indeed, now your observations, comments and discourse should be
filled with wisdom--I am listening!!!
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050411230053.351$hi@newsreader.com...
> You don't feed your kids either? Bad boy.
>
>>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> You my fine feathered friend are a embarassment to society and
>> humanity... such an attack on anyone is so disgusting as to be vulgar--if
>> you are the new breed of ham, the future is hopeless...
>> Where are you people comming from????
>>
>> John
>>
>> --
>> I would like to point out, I do appreciate the "Been there--done that!"
>> posts. Indeed, now your observations, comments and discourse should be
>> filled with wisdom--I am listening!!!
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
>> > N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
>> >> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>> >>
>> > Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
>> > children, oh indigent one?
>> >
>> >
>> > N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
>> > present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
>> > SWANSON appointed as counsel.
>> > Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
>> > the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
>> > Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
>> > Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.

>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
>> > Sue Swanson
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
>> > RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
>> > 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
>> > Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
>> > ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
>> > present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
>> > ADAIR present in court.
>> > Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
>> > having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
>> > of probation.
>> > Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
>> > of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
>> > 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
>> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
>> > ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
>> > Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
>> > Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
>> > agreement.
>> > Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
>> > any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
>> > further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
>> > associated with being granted probation. Payment of
>> > financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
>> > supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
>> > fees.
>> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
>> > SENTENCE C-184-502
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
>> > terminated unsuccesfully
>> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1603. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 07:33:05 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <RQK6e.67292$cg1.51188@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 198578
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Point taken.....it sounds like you are either also in the business, or
have quite a bit of interest. I understand that an output matching network
only tunes out the imaginary terms, and that matching the impedance at the
antenna feedpoint is the best approach.

"Frank Gilliland" wrote in message
news:5gol51pamni4u72dhp7gbv592e20ue5ad9@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 08:03:21 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
> wrote in
> :
>
>
>>>>On a final note.....at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to
>>>>1.....at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of
>>>>1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube
>>>>output
>>>>and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect.
>>>>For
>>>>a
>>>>typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between
>>>>the
>>>>two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter
>>>>would
>>>>be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably
>>>>wouldn't
>>>>have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation.
>>>>In
>>>>this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it
>>>>happen?
>>>>Probably not.
>>>
>>>
>>> .....uh, what?
>>>
>>> Both tubes and transistors use matching networks, so I don't know what
>>> distinction you are trying to make there. Power will be reflected from
>>> an antenna/coax mismatch -regardless- of whether you have a tube or
>>> transistor final. And what does an ALC circuit have to do with
>>> transmission line propogation?
>>
>>This pertains to solid state amateur transceivers that don't have an
>>adjustable output matching network.....most of today's units have
>>fixed-tuned bandpass filters after the output stage. I am not referring to

>>transmission line propagation; I am referring to the fact that, with a
>>fixed-tuned output network that expects to see a 50 ohm characteristic
>>impedance, the ALC can fold back the power. Usually, that doesn't occur
>>until a VSWR of 2 to 1 is reached. My explanation is for illustrative
>>purposes only.
>
>
> I understood that much. My point was that whenever an antenna/coax
> mismatch occurs, tuning the output tank (as with a tube final) doesn't
> cure the mismatch or the resulting signal loss. All it does is protect
> the final from the reflected power. The output tank should be matched
> to the characteristic impedance of the coax whether the final is a
> tube or a transistor. If the coax shows standing waves, the mismatch
> should be fixed at the point of mismatch, not at the radio. I realize
> that this is not always practical, but people should know that such a
> conjugate match just dissipates that reflected power somewhere else,
> usually from the outside of the coax or the radio.
>
> As for ALC, I thought you were referring to a different type of
> limiting. My bad.
>

That's ok.....sometimes, I tend to think in Greek!

Pete Gianakopoulos

1604. Re: KC8LDO drunk on a Sunday night at 1am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 11:02:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412070238.611$4v@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198582

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050411191319.144$uN_-_@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > <Hooray_For_Leland@takethatsteve.com> wrote in message
> > > news:DRm6e.31135$US.22351@news.ono.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > <Im_A_Leland_Fan@downwithsteve.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:yxl6e.31131$US.25169@news.ono.com...
> > > > > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > > > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > > > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > > > > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > > > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > > > > [65.54.174.51])
> > > > > > > by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id
> > > j3AIaKI08065
> > > > > for
> > > > > > > <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received:
> from
> > > mail
> > > > > > > pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun, 10
> Apr
> > > 2005
> > > > > > > 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> > > <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> > > > > > > Received: from 65.54.174.200 by
> > > > > > > by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com
> > > > > > > with
> > > > > HTTP;
> > > > > > > Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP:
> > > > > > > [65.54.174.200] X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com]
> > > > > > > X-Sender:
> > > > > > > moparholic@hotmail.com
> > > > > > > From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To:
> > > > > > > kc8ldo@arrl.net
> > > > > > > Subject: Your friend
> > > > > > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime:
> > > > > > > 10
> > > > > > > Apr
> > > > > 2005
> > > > > > > 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > > > > > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away
> > > > > > > > with
> > > talking
> > > > > > > > to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away with
> > > > > > > > that
> > > in
> > > > > > > > person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me
> > > > > > > > now or
> > > ever.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of
> > > > > > > > you is
> > > a
> > > > > > > > sincere apoplogy.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences.
> > > > > > > > The best
> > > > > > layed
> > > > > > > > plans are in place.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > More fruitless stalking and more photos LOL.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steveo is just a disgusting camera toting pervert with a
> predilection
> > > for
> > > > > > voyeuristic photography.
> > > > >
> > > > He seems to have experience sneaking outside of peoples houses.
> > > > Maybe the perv has a police record? No wonder he hides his real
> > > > name.
> > >
> > > You could be right. He seems to take extreme pleasure in posting
> > > candid snapshots of men and boasting about his adventures to obtain
> > > them. Makes you really wonder about his true choice of gender
> > > preference.
> > >
> > That's a new approach nad, call me gay. I have $500 that says you will
> > not say that to my face. Care to collect it?
>
> So you deny taking the pictures?
>
That kept you from saying I wasn't there. Works like a charm.

Have another drink.

1605. Re: N8WWM makes rain report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 11:04:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412070416.132$Mf_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> You have me wishing you were my child... you would indeed find food in
> short supply my friend! <grin>
>
> Regards,
> John
>
Look up your new found friend (n8wwm) on the rain report big daddy.
>

1606. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 11:04:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <mn9n51p32fml6smd26hou7djlc3oi1plmt@4ax.com>
Source record: 198581

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 19:31:47 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>Dave;
> I know this was directed to Frank, hope you don't mind if I
>comment?

This is a public forum, comments from participants is what it's all
about.

>>A dipole is a dipole. It has 0 db of gain, and that assumes a resonant
>>dipole . If the dipole is non-resonant and requires a tuner to force
>>an impedance match, it will have further losses. It won't stand a
>>chance against a commercially produced (or home made if you are
>>so-inclined) 5/8th wave vertical (with proper radials, not an Imax).
>
>Because a dipole/antenna is non-resonant it doesn't automatically mean
>it will be a more "lossy" antenna. A non-resonant dipole fed with
>ladder line can/will end up being a more efficient antenna than a
>resonant dipole fed with coax and a balun.

In what way? If the length of the dipole is at a no resonant length,
you have to "force" it to a proper impedance match through the use of
a tuner. Standing waves will still be present after the tuner, and
efficiency will suffer as a result.

Why have a resonant antenna at all if a non-resonant antenna works
better? It doesn't make sense.

I've tried loading up different antennas that I've had to run on bands
they were not designed for, and while I could certainly get better
than a 1.5:1 SWR, they might as well be a load of bed springs for as
well as it worked.

>I have built non-resonant antennas that beat a 5/8ths. A 5/8ths is
>hard pressed achieve the claims for gain that it has.The only
>commercial 5/8th that I seen that was properly decoupled was an
>Isotron.

An Isopole maybe? IIRC, that's a 2 meter antenna. I never particularly
liked them. I've had better performance from a Hustler G7. Especially
on VHF, you don't see people running non-resonant dipoles. Gain is
particularly important there.

Proper decoupling can be achieved through the proper use of a radial
counterpoise, which is what is lacking in the "stick" style antennas
we're discussing on CB

>>> I've done this type of
>>>antenna myself and never had any problems with local contacts -- in
>>>fact, it worked a lot better than the 9' whip on the truck.
>>
>>I find that very hard to believe, assuming identical height and
>>conditions, as my own experiences prove otherwise.
>>
>>
>>>>I ran a home brewed wire dipole on CB years ago, and used it in
>>>>addition to my main 5/8th wave antenna. While the dipole worked well
>>>>when the skip was running, locally, the signal from the dipole was a
>>>>few "S" units less than the ground plane. With 4 watts of power, you
>>>>don't get much range on a horizontal wire dipole strung in a tree.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well there's your problem, Dave -- I didn't say anything about
>>>horizontal. On the contrary, it's better if it isn't.
>>
>>Yea, if you're talking local. For DX, horizontal is usually better for
>>a number of reasons, most notably a lower noise floor and better take
>>off angle.
>
>Horizontal antennas are dependant on height above ground and the
>conductivity of the ground they are above. The closer to the ground
>and more lossy the ground, the higher the take off angle.

That is correct. For best performance, a dipole needs to be at least
1/2 wave above the ground.

> Since most
>man made noise tends to be vertically polarized, a horizontal antenna
>tends to quieter.

Also correct.

>>> Like I said
>>>before, just throw some wire up into the trees (or whatever tall
>>>object happens to be available). Didn't you read the link I posted?
>>
>>Of course. But a dipole is a basic antenna. It has no gain. A properly
>>made purpose built CB antenna will out perform it.
>>
>>Let's look at this from a practical standpoint. If a non-resonant,
>>tuner fed dipole worked so well, then why aren't all CB'ers using one?
>>Why would people want huge 5/8th wave antennas then? Better tell Jay
>>to forget about selling his Interceptor, since a simple non-resonant
>>dipole will work just as well and for far less money.
>>
>>A non-resonant dipole has ONE big advantage. It's a compromise antenna
>>that will work on all the HF bands. That's great if you don't have the
>>room to put up single band antennas for each band. But like any
>>compromise, it will not work as well as a dedicated antenna for each

>>band. Such a compromise is usually acceptable for a ham who has 1500
>>watts on tap. But for a 4 watt CB'er, who needs to squeeze every watt
>>of ERP he can for best local range, he needs a high gain efficient
>>antenna.
>>
>
>Again, non-resonant deosn't mean that its not efficient. Your looking
>at a non-resonant antenna as a chunk of wire thats just tossed in a
>tree. A resonant antenna doesn't have any magical gain over a
>non-resonant antenna just because its resonant.

An antenna radiates most efficiently at its resonant frequency.
Practical experience with local communications pretty much supports my
observations (or more accurately is the basis for them). I've made
many dipoles over the years, and none of them have ever matched or
even came close to the 5/8th wave ground plane for local work on CB.
DX is another matter. Dipoles work very well there. Perhaps that's why
many H.F. hams have several different antennas to switch to, to take
advantage of varying DX conditions or groundwave, as their
communications dictate. When working 40 meter groundwave, a ground
mounted vertical is often a superior choice.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1607. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 11:06:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412070633.388$aa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:8LF6e.108$913.1938505@news.sisna.com:
>
> > You my fine feathered friend are a embarassment to society and
> > humanity... such an attack on anyone is so disgusting as to be
> > vulgar--if you are the new breed of ham, the future is hopeless...
> > Where are you people comming from????
> >
> > John
>
> He isnt a ham just one that likes to harrass them and stalk them. He cant
> seperate usenet from real life.
>
Here's what -YOU- contribute to Usenet:

Since December 12 2004

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> destination.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have

> any balls

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> correctional Facility i am locked up.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> Nagger you are a liar,
> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
> him trip over himself repying to this message.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> ever heard ofsub seven??

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Insane in the membrane.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You queer assclown

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking clueless douchebag.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You suck and lancer swallows.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> out and burns down your trailer.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> exactly i dont you stupid fuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> oxycotnin.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> guess again assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL, you fucking sissy...

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i am not a sock puppet but you are

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> steveo taslks shit
> without ever backing it up oh yes

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Negro please

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I know you wont to pussy to do so.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> your a fucking jew aren't you?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats not george you clueless assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> read what the fuck I tpye

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did yur mother have anything that lived?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you befriendung him for other
> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as usual.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
> now she is a real twat from what i hear.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No one is on your leg dickhead, now go suck some more dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> hahaha you are truely clueless you ass loving faggot. That is a 100% lie

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> his wife is out getting banged while he sits at homne on the usenet

>

1608. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 11:12:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <40bn51h2qip0g6jqshk13d35jiaabb1v9q@4ax.com>
Source record: 198580

On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 20:35:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 17:02:43 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <j4sd51pjrvjacagtqp0e5n27s9ndcucp6q@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:30:51 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>Believe me. I have nothing to worry about.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?
>>>>>
>>>>>I don't know what to believe from him. But if some lardass steps foot on my
>>>>>property, I will look thru his wallet for ID will I hold his face in the dirt.
>>>>>And if he doesn't have a wallet, then I will get the info from the car.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>.....oh brother, he's a crybaby -and- a tough-guy. This is just too
>>>>good to be true!
>>
>>
>>And it don't look like I am the only one !!!
>>
>>I did a little search on you in the archives. This is precious.
>>
>>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_frm/thread/98d4a42ef486b9b0/6d05
2f3b40d85682?q=wonders+of+wireless&rnum=2#6d052f3b40d85682
>
>
>Don't you think it's time you quit with the killfile charade? You
>aren't fooling anyone when you reply to half my posts via piggybacks
>and swim through Google juice to read even more of them.
>
>
>>>Maybe he is a true tough guy. Stranger things have happened.
>>
>>
>>
>>It's a amazing what a little info you can get on a poster's history on Google,
>>Dave. My favorite about this info on Frank, it the imaginary McDonald's, and the
>>fishing trip he had at the lake in a storm !!!!
>

>
>It's amazing how quickly some people resort to digging up the past
>when they can't deal with the present.

But the past is what sets a pattern of behavior. Look at what they're
digging up from Mikey Jackson's past. Looks like Vinnie has exposed
some hypocrisy from you. So maybe you should be a little less zealous
to call other people hypocrites in the future.

> Heck, even Dave agrees with me
>on this one -- every time I bring up Bush's DUI or business failures,
>Dave says they are irrelevant. So Vinnie, are you calling Dave a liar?

You should leave the spin and deflection tactics to Twisty. He's had
way more experience.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1609. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 11:52:30 UTC
From: pctech <mospam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2EO6e.8514$44.5288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 198585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

I could really give a shit about some ass who supposedly wont feed his kids
, I read this group to get info on Amateur antennas,NOT the local gossip
spewed by another ASS who is pissed off at someone and has to post this shit
across the internet . Get a life moron ! you are no better than he is ,

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
>> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>>
> Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your children,
> oh indigent one?
>
>
> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> Sue Swanson
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> ADAIR present in court.
> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> of probation.
> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section

> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> agreement.
> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> fees.
> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> SENTENCE C-184-502
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> terminated unsuccesfully
> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1610. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 12:02:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <t9bn515apcpqi2i74i3la6j6p0umg927l5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198584

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 18:27:15 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:59:47 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <udgd51d64mnkq6u4v84ta376qssv07v3fq@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>Maybe you had difficulty, but there are a very large number of hams
>>>-and- CBers who don't share your ineptitude.
>>
>>A dipole is a dipole. It has 0 db of gain,
>
>
>Wrong. A 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole has a gain of roughly +3 dBi in free
>space.

Isotropic is a theoretical spherical reference model which does not
exist in reality. A dipole is THE reference antenna, by which most
credible gain claims are based against.

> It has 0 dB when referenced to itself.

Isn't that what I just said?

>> and that assumes a resonant
>>dipole .
>
>
>That's an assumtion -you- made, and it's also wrong: A resonant dipole
>can be any multiple of 1/2-wave, and has a gain that differs depending
>upon the length and directionality.

Then it's no longer a half wave dipole. You are now talking double
ended zepp designs, which do exhibit gain over a 1/2 wave dipole

>
>
>> If the dipole is non-resonant and requires a tuner to force
>>an impedance match, it will have further losses.

>Wrong again. A tuner only adds insertion loss, which is usually quite
>small if it's built with quality components. The insertion loss is
>easily overcome by slightly increasing the length of the elements,
>which is no big deal since the antenna is already non-resonant.

You fail to consider the standing waves which will still be present in
the feedline due to the mismatch. A tuner only presents a proper match

to the transmitter. With a high mismatch in the feedline, losses
increase as well and creating a tendency to radiate from the feedline.
In order to compensate for this the tuner should be mounted at the
feedpoint of the 4:1 balun that is normally used to feed 450 Ohm
ladder line to such designs. But such is impractical for guys who
change bands and frequency often, unless the tuner is automatic.

But keeping with the original perspective, of a simple antenna system
for Vinnie, this falls far short of that objective.

>
>
>> It won't stand a
>>chance against a commercially produced (or home made if you are
>>so-inclined) 5/8th wave vertical (with proper radials, not an Imax).
>
>
>Well guess what, Dave -- a 5/8-wave antenna is a non-resonant antenna
>and requires some method of impedance matching. Thank you.

A 5/8 wave is most certainly resonant. It's just that the feedpoint
impedance is high and needs to be transformed. It's a different issue
than forcing a match from something that is not resonant naturally.

You can have a 1:1 SWR but not be 50 Ohms.

Time to read some more books. Or better yet, befriend an experienced
ham to show you.

>>> I've done this type of
>>>antenna myself and never had any problems with local contacts -- in
>>>fact, it worked a lot better than the 9' whip on the truck.
>>
>>I find that very hard to believe, assuming identical height and
>>conditions, as my own experiences prove otherwise.
>
>
>Oh, the humanity.....

This coming from someone who looks at people who share healthy
relationships as being "co-dependant", and prefers instead the
isolation of "independence".

>>>>I ran a home brewed wire dipole on CB years ago, and used it in
>>>>addition to my main 5/8th wave antenna. While the dipole worked well
>>>>when the skip was running, locally, the signal from the dipole was a
>>>>few "S" units less than the ground plane. With 4 watts of power, you
>>>>don't get much range on a horizontal wire dipole strung in a tree.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well there's your problem, Dave -- I didn't say anything about
>>>horizontal. On the contrary, it's better if it isn't.
>>

>>Yea, if you're talking local. For DX, horizontal is usually better for
>>a number of reasons, most notably a lower noise floor and better take
>>off angle.
>
>
>A horizontal dipole can have a wide variation in take-off angle
>depending on height above ground, ground resistance, proximity to
>other structures or objects, etc.

Yea, so?

> And the noise floor may be slightly
>lower but that's a product of it's directivity, as compared to a
>vertical which is omnidirectional.

No, it's a product of the fact that most atmospheric and man-made
noise tends to be vertically polarized.

> A pair of phased verticals, as well
>as any other directional antenna or antenna array, will also have the
>effect of lowering the noise floor.

Not if the noise isn't concentrated in a single direction.

>>> Like I said
>>>before, just throw some wire up into the trees (or whatever tall
>>>object happens to be available). Didn't you read the link I posted?
>>
>>Of course. But a dipole is a basic antenna. It has no gain.
>
>
>Wrong again. As I said before, a 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole in free
>space has about 3 dB gain over an isotropic antenna; but a dipole is
>merely an antenna with two elements and is not limited to a 1/2-wave
>Hertzian configuration. Not only that, but a tuner isn't limited to
>dipole antennas -- they can load up a long-wire just as easily.

Ah, so you're changing the parameters of the discussion. Long wires
are inefficient on CB as well. Been there, done that.

>
>
>> A properly
>>made purpose built CB antenna will out perform it.
>
>
>Get a clue, Dave. You're an Extra, right? What's the name of that tech
>school you claim to have attended?

What's your call sign Frank?

Frank, all the king's horses and all the books you read will not make
up for your glaring lack of experience. Get out from behind the table

and DO something, and then report back.

>
>
>>Let's look at this from a practical standpoint. If a non-resonant,
>>tuner fed dipole worked so well, then why aren't all CB'ers using one?
>>Why would people want huge 5/8th wave antennas then? Better tell Jay
>>to forget about selling his Interceptor, since a simple non-resonant
>>dipole will work just as well and for far less money.
>
>
>This resounds back to your argument that roger-beeps were illegal
>because most radios didn't include them. The problem is that a tuner
>requires a little skill and practice to use, which is beyond the scope
>of the intended purpose of the service (a plug-n-play radio service).

Antenna tuners were available as an accessory for CB for less than the
cost of a good SWR meter. Granted they were simple coaxial in and out
tuners.

>A tuner requires readjustment when changing channels within the CB,
>and most CBers don't want to deal with the hassle.

So you are now claiming that CB'ers are too "dumb" to handle that?

And to add further evidence to your lack of experience with CB, it was
common that "matchboxes" were often used by CB'ers to "correct" a
mismatch within their antennas. Instead of taking the time to properly
tune the antenna, they forced the match with the tuner. The radio was
happy, as it saw a 1:1 SWR, but the signal was not so good. When a
more knowledgable person would finally retune the antenna, and the
tuner was removed, signal improved considerably.

> Regardless, there
>are still many CBers that do indeed use a tuner, not just with prefab
>antennas but also with homebrew and beverage-can hooks.

None of which are work a tinker's dam for local CB communications.

> And just about
>every CBer who runs a tube linear uses a tuner because it's built into
>the amp, which by itself blows big holes in your "practical" argument.

Not at all. A tube amplifier requires a tuner because the impedance of
a tube is much higher than 50 ohms, and needs to be transformed. It's
not practicality, it's necessity.

>Then there is the issue of the non-resonant 5/8-wave antenna..... oh,
>Dave, when will you learn?

The question is Frank, when will you start?

>>A non-resonant dipole has ONE big advantage. It's a compromise antenna
>>that will work on all the HF bands. That's great if you don't have the

>>room to put up single band antennas for each band. But like any
>>compromise, it will not work as well as a dedicated antenna for each
>>band. Such a compromise is usually acceptable for a ham who has 1500
>>watts on tap. But for a 4 watt CB'er, who needs to squeeze every watt
>>of ERP he can for best local range, he needs a high gain efficient
>>antenna.
>
>
>If that were the case then every CBer would have a 5-el yagi on a 30'
>mast and a 9' whip on their vehicles.

Most high performance CB'ers do.

>>>>For ham band use, I agree with you, just not for CB.
>>>
>>>
>>>What's the name of that tech school, Dave?
>>
>>What's your call sign Frank?
>
>
>I'm not a ham.

Yet you are trying to impart your opinion on a subject you have not
participated in?

> I answered your question, now you answer mine: what's
>the name of your tech school, Dave?

The fact that you keep asking, makes me all the less likely to tell
you.

>>Frank, your experience with both ham and CB radio is sorely lacking.
>>You read a few books and web sites and think you have all the answers.
>>OTOH, I've walked the walk for the last 30+ years and have played with
>>more antennas and equipment than I can remember. I have also been in
>>contact with people in the know when it comes to antennas. What I
>>know, I know through experience, and that's worth 10X what you read in
>>any book.
>
>
>Which explains why your theory is severely lacking. If you took the
>time to actually learn why these things work and -then- applied them
>to your experiences, you could be the guru you think you already are.
>But you don't and you're not. Instead you do things backwards: you
>theorize about radio based on your own experience, then read only
>enough to validate your own conclusions whether they are right or not.

If the evidence supports the theory, then it's valid. If not, then the
theory is wrong. You are a perfect example of someone who is
book-smart and street foolish. You will sit there and tell people, who
do these things every day, exactly why they can't possibly work. While

we sit here and laugh at you, because we've been there and done that.

>Check this out, Dave: Education doesn't come from a textbook that some
>geek sat down and wrote just for shits and giggles. It's a compendium
>of knowledge that has been collected from over a century of research,
>experimentation and practical experience by experts in all aspects of
>the field. Those experts didn't become experts simply by playing with
>CB radios as a hobby for 30 years. And an education doesn't include a
>couple textbooks, a few lectures and a test -- it also includes years
>of experience with the practical application of that knowledge.

No kidding. You seemed to have failed the "practical application"
aspect. Hell, you never even heard of the term "drying out" in
reference to electrolytic capacitor aging, and you try to cover this
glaring hole by claiming a pompous, superior attitude as if this was
beneath you.

> When
>you mock those that have a formal education and extensive experience
>in electronic communication then you mock the same people who made the
>television set you watch way too much, the telephone and cell-phone
>infrastructure that keeps this world talking, the NASA and JPL
>engineers who communicate with space probes billions of miles away,
>etc, etc, etc.

I'm not mocking them. They know what they are doing. And I work with
many of them on a daily basis. You probably have products in your home
(or will) that I have had a part to play in their development. I
work in the field every day. I am not mocking them, I am mocking you.
You who CLAIM to be educated, but evidence suggests you are sorely
lacking in practical application of whatever it is you might have
learned.

> While I may not have participated in any world-changing
>technology, I certainly have an education and the experience in the
>field that puts you to shame.

While you tend bar and drive a 20+ year old truck......

> Yet -you- try to teach -me- theory based
>on your education from a third-rate vocational tech school, a few
>years in a CB shop, and your experience in =amateur= radio.

As far as you know. But it is evidently light years ahead of those
internet websites you lift your information from while you sit at home
alone with no other people to "relate" to.

>And here's the kicker: Do you have any idea where I came up with the
>idea to just throw a couple wires in the air and load them up with a
>tuner? A ham.

Not all hams are mensa candidates. And most hams know that one antenna
solution, which may be great in one application, is not necessarily

good in another. In ham radio, there is no "one size fits all" answer.
But then again, you wouldn't know that. You're not a ham (or CB'er).

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1611. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 12:04:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <i6en515g31okrk9b1f8ohst4uou8nb8jlt@4ax.com>
Source record: 198586

On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 18:27:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:28:53 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <cofd5198822ov4c9mmsucq0popcrfk8voc@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 16:44:59 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On 07 Apr 2005 23:32:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>><20050407193245.471$mv@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>I suppose they drive like that everyplace..it's a matter of being in
>>>>the wrong place at the wrong time, or your truck is a magnet. I have
>>>>one of those too.
>>>
>>>
>>>I think -I'm- the magnet. The Dart I drove in college was hit 5 times,
>>>the LTD got hit twice before it was totalled, the S-15 was rear-ended
>>>by an ambulance, the LeBaron was hit three times in two weeks, etc.
>>>I've had the S-10 for two years and it's been hit twice -- both times
>>>while it was parked! All in Spokane. Fortunately, I've only been hit
>>>once when I had a passenger, and that was the ambulance wreck so at
>>>least there was help available if someone got hurt.
>>>
>>
>>There's something cosmic about that. I've had 2 major accidents in my
>>whole life, and both were in the same car. Neither were my fault.
>
>
>Nothing is ever your fault, Dave. We discussed this problem in your
>last therapy session.

What therapy session? The one where you exposed yet another
shortcoming in your insight?

I find it interesting that someone who shuns regular human contact
would attempt to pass themselves off as someone with some experience
at it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1612. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 12:10:38 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <seen51ldb3rnvpfiuqf77ckc91d6bk0o22@4ax.com>
Source record: 198645

On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 13:46:07 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>> Both tubes and transistors use matching networks, so I don't know what
>>> distinction you are trying to make there. Power will be reflected from
>>> an antenna/coax mismatch -regardless- of whether you have a tube or
>>> transistor final. And what does an ALC circuit have to do with
>>> transmission line propogation?
>>
>>This pertains to solid state amateur transceivers that don't have an
>>adjustable output matching network.....most of today's units have
>>fixed-tuned bandpass filters after the output stage. I am not referring to
>>transmission line propagation; I am referring to the fact that, with a
>>fixed-tuned output network that expects to see a 50 ohm characteristic
>>impedance, the ALC can fold back the power. Usually, that doesn't occur
>>until a VSWR of 2 to 1 is reached. My explanation is for illustrative
>>purposes only.
>
>
>I understood that much. My point was that whenever an antenna/coax
>mismatch occurs, tuning the output tank (as with a tube final) doesn't
>cure the mismatch or the resulting signal loss. All it does is protect
>the final from the reflected power. The output tank should be matched
>to the characteristic impedance of the coax whether the final is a
>tube or a transistor. If the coax shows standing waves, the mismatch
>should be fixed at the point of mismatch, not at the radio.

So much for running a non-resonant length antenna through a tuner.

Sounds like you read a different book this time.

> I realize
>that this is not always practical, but people should know that such a
>conjugate match just dissipates that reflected power somewhere else,
>usually from the outside of the coax or the radio.

Which is the main reason why a non-resonant, tuner matched antenna is
less efficient than one that is resonant. But you'll argue that with
me just to argue.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1613. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 12:16:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <boen51tvmtoouklsckns15qpjnkmmmasor@4ax.com>
Source record: 198644
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 08:03:21 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
wrote:

>> It should be noted that these formulas are only appoximations. Actual
>> sizes are dependent upon the conductivity and diameter of the
>> elements, and the quantity and angle of the radials. When building a
>> resonant antenna it's a good idea to make the elements a little long
>> and trim to resonance.
>
>Very true.....I use a spectrum analyzer with a directional coupler and
>trim for maximum return loww.

So do I. It gives a much better picture than a simple SWR bridge.

>>>The feedpoint impedance of a 1/4 wave ground plane is 37 ohms when you
>>>have
>>>the radials at a 90 degree angle with respect to the radiator. If you have
>>>the radials drooped at a 45 degree angle, the impedance rises to
>>>approximately 50 ohms.
>>>If you have a single radial drooped at a 180 degree angle with respect to
>>>the radiator, the impedance rises to 75 ohms.
>>
>>
>> These are impedances for antennas in free space, and are practical
>> only if you can mount your antenna well above the ground and away from
>> any tall objects.
>
>Also true, but a good starting point.

Some people like to make the subtle conditions into much greater
points than they need to be.

>> .....uh, what?
>>
>> Both tubes and transistors use matching networks, so I don't know what
>> distinction you are trying to make there. Power will be reflected from
>> an antenna/coax mismatch -regardless- of whether you have a tube or
>> transistor final. And what does an ALC circuit have to do with
>> transmission line propogation?
>
>This pertains to solid state amateur transceivers that don't have an
>adjustable output matching network.....most of today's units have
>fixed-tuned bandpass filters after the output stage. I am not referring to
>transmission line propagation; I am referring to the fact that, with a
>fixed-tuned output network that expects to see a 50 ohm characteristic

>impedance, the ALC can fold back the power. Usually, that doesn't occur
>until a VSWR of 2 to 1 is reached. My explanation is for illustrative
>purposes only.

Not only that, but the bandpass filter characteristics of the output
stages can change if the load impedance changes significantly,
increasing loss and broadening the cutoff points.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1614. Re: SBE repairs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 12:30:07 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ekfn51h57pneo4mivn7bu62gns9nkdfrqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198590

On 9 Apr 2005 14:09:22 -0700, "CaptHerp" <CaptHerp@gmail.com> wrote:

>I have an old Console V base station made by SBE in the '70s. Great
>radio, but it's having problems -- the squelch isn't working anymore --
>at least not the way it's supposed to. A fellow I know who has worked
>on it thinks it's something in an IC in the radio, but the parts are
>almost impossible to come by.
>
>I have the manual and schematics -- anyone out there want to try their
>hand at going through it for me?

I seem to recall that there is a germanium PNP transistor (a 2SB-type)
in there (One of very few), that has a tendency to go bad. I once
worked on a Console V with a similar problem years ago. I don't have
the schematic for the radio any more or I'd give you the part number.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1615. Re: CB with two finals 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 12:39:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <b4gn519m4u5sufjnss81b9viclta627oti@4ax.com>
Source record: 198646

On 11 Apr 2005 10:48:44 -0700, "HarryHydro" <harryhydro@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Hi Folks:
> A long time ago, a guy who worked for the consolidated waste here
>gave me a box of CB's. My neighbor and I fixed up a few. Two radios,
>that were alike, each had two TO-220 case finals and a single driver.
>It might have been a 23 channel radio. I remember they were hugh
>mobile units. We made one good one out of the two. Well, the neighbor
>wanted this radio bad, so I let him have it. Does anyone know what
>brand CB had 2 finals, i suspect class AB?

To the best of my knowledge there were no older 23 channel radios with
"dual R.F. finals". The Cobra 135 had a separate final for AM and
SSB, but they were not run in parallel. Most radios did, however have
dual audio output "finals", at least before integrated audio amp IC's
hit the scene.

If they were newer "export" type radios, there are several which have
dual R.F. finals. The President Grant, Several Galaxy models, and the
RCI-2950 just to name a few.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1616. Re: RCI 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:18:49 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
Message-ID: <d3ghrr$o21@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 198592

barry wrote:
> Need some advice, my son hooked the positive to ground is the radio
> destroyed ?
>

Not necessarily. It's common to have built in protection against
hooking something like this up backwards. This protection is commonly
in the form of a diode that blows an internal fuse, when hooked up
backwards. The fuse is usually on the circuit board and not accessible
from the outside. You could open it up and look around the area
where the power enters the unit. If you see just crispy blackened
circuit board, throw it away. If not, look for a fuse or possible
blown diode. A fuse may be in a clip, making it easy to replace,
but sometimes they use fuses with pigtails and are soldered in.
If you are not competent with a soldering iron, find someone who
is and have them replace it for you. You can probably get a replacement
fuse at Radio Shack.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.com
(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

1617. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:40:10 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_cQ6e.1278$t85.874@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM and another individual have been harassing CB'ers
for 5 plus years now in Rec.Radio.CB. Sending threatening Emails, signing
you up for Spam, sending virus, false
accusation, vulgar language, making vulgar web pages all
this while changing screen nics to bypass filters so that you
don't have the chance to filter them out.
Rec.Radio.CB used to talk about radio both legal & illegal,
but these two people came on to the group both supporting
and condoning illegal activities, but found it was mush more
fun to harrass and flood the group with useless posts, sometimes 100 to 200
posts a day!
Being Steveo is close to these people, he's called them on
their offers of, "Go ahead, we invite you to show up". Well
Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.

Landshark

Now watch the responses they throw out ;)

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

"pctech" <mospam(remove)@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2EO6e.8514$44.5288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I could really give a shit about some ass who supposedly wont > feed his
>kids , I read this group to get info on Amateur antennas,NOT the local
>gossip spewed by another ASS who is pissed off at someone and has > post
>this shit across the internet . Get a life moron ! you are no better than
>is ,
>>
>> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
>> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
>> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
>> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
>> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
>> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
>> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
>> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
>> Sue Swanson
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03

>> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
>> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
>> Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
>> ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
>> present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
>> ADAIR present in court.
>> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
>> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
>> of probation.
>> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
>> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
>> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
>> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
>> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
>> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
>> Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
>> agreement.
>> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
>> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
>> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
>> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
>> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
>> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
>> fees.
>> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
>> SENTENCE C-184-502
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
>> terminated unsuccesfully
>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>
>

1618. FA: TWO(2) WESTERN ELECTRIC US ARMY 313C TUBES WWII 1944 NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:41:12 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <WdQ6e.1055$Ff3.233@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198587
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.tubes,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5766239980&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1619. FA: TWO(2) USA 6146B RF OUTPUT TUBES Tested-Handy to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:42:05 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <LeQ6e.1056$Yc3.894@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198593
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5766240014&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1620. FA: TWO(2) RCA CUNNINGHAM type 01-A & 112A RADIO TUBES OLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:42:57 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <AfQ6e.1057$dg3.1030@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198588
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=73379&item=5766240035&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1621. FA: TWO(2) NOS 6JS6C RF OUTPUT TUBES Tested-Handy to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:43:51 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <qgQ6e.1058$Hg3.1027@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

$15.00>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5766240057&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1622. FA: $10> EIGHT(8) VINTAGE GENERAL ELECTRIC 2N167 TRANSISTORS-'55

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:46:54 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <gjQ6e.1061$0h3.674@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198647
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4666&item=7506843054&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1623. FA: COLLINS R-390A 70H-12 PTO w/TUBE, NICE SHAPE & NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:47:45 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4kQ6e.1062$ai3.581@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198648
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,us.military.army

Big $32>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5766240109&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1624. FA: CLASSIC & POPULAR DRAKE T-4XB TRANSMITTER COMPLETE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 13:48:37 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UkQ6e.1063$Ed3.426@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198649
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Big $51>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=5766240147&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1625. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 14:28:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19318-425BDAF4-466@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198650

From: k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net (Leland C. Scott)
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17234-424DC492-479@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
>Don't like it then post to another news group.

You're the one bitching and crying about opinions.

>I don't give a flip about yours.

Which is why you are bitching and crying about it. Don't like it, post
to another news group.

>--

>Leland C. Scott

>KC8LDO

>Displaying picture perfect examples of

>poor amateur practice

1626. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 14:42:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19318-425BDE62-467@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198651

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 07 Apr 2005 13:09:16 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
(It's not difficult, Dave. Just punch in the right keywords and scroll
through the list.)

>If I were to do, as Twist naively suggested,

>and put in the words "Schiavo" and "Delay", I

>get 1,300,000 hits. Now I don't know about

>either you or he, but I don't have the time (nor

>Frankly the interest) to sift though that many

>sites.

Blame is always present in your posts, Dave, whenever your failures are
illustrated or on display. Lately, they needn't be illustrated by others
as much, as you are doing a fine job displaying your failures. The part
where blame anything other than yourself is always the extra treat.

>Partial clips of what Twist posted came up

>with nothing.

(Well, if you really want to brag about how you are too stupid to find
the referenced quote when it only took me ten minutes, I certainly have
no objection.)

>There's nothing stupid about it. I cut and

>pasted his quote and came up with nothing.

>I then tried parts of the quote, and came back

>with little unrelated sites, and blog-referenced

>comments. THEN he chimes in with the "Just

>enter Schiavo and Delay".

You needed proper instruction. Your admitted failure is testament.

>Well, first off "Delay" is not only a proper name
>in this case, it's also a verb,

Wrong, in this case it is most certainly a noun,,a pronoun. You claiming
it is also a verb in "this" case (when coupled with the other pronoun

"Schiavo") displays your incompetence regarding this entire issue.

> and the combination of the two produced over
>1.3 million hits. At that point I wasn't about to

>sift through them as the search parameters

>were not fine enough. I don't have the time to

>spend.

The first three results returned is no reason to invoke time as another in your long line of excuses.

>Twist made the claim, it's up to him to provide

>the source.

You don't subscribe to that rule, as you have had years to provide for your claims. In fact, Frank just gave you another chance at redemption concerning your unsolicited claim of attending a tech school.

1627. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 14:53:52 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19318-425BE100-468@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198652

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 7 Apr 2005 16:46:08 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
  Also wanted to let you know, the feds came and banged on B-o-b
Noxious' door last week,,,the day after his I mentioned his relocation
party ...Hahahahahaha!!

>You mean they came to his old place after he

>had already left? That's funny, and so typical

>for the FCC.

 The poor bastard in Tampa some say were responsible for the miss, was
so embarrassed he had no comment to the press. A party bash is being
thrown as a fundraiser for Bob on April 24 at the Globe Coffee Lounge,
532 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg. (I'm not taking personal calls,
but fan mail, as well as threats of violence will be recorded for
posterity). Not only are we supporting B-o-b and his current show, we
are hoping to raise enough cash so that he can buy bigger and better
equipment.

>Yea, but a serious FCC engineer can D.F. on

>his transmitter in a matter of minutes.

He can be as serious as a heart attack, but when one is dealing with an
incompetent like the thug in Tampa, he can't do jack by himself.

>On a related note, a few weeks back I read an
>article from your area where they found an

>antenna that blew over, which was connected

>to a pirate FM transmitter, which was

>controlled remotely, and linked through

>another means. Slick......

Pirate radio stations are a dime a dozen here. Everyone knows someone
who is involved some way or another. It's the great ones that get the
attention.

_
,you want to know how long a pirate radio
station can last on a boat and heckle the FCC? I'll bet you would :)

>Look up the reverend Carl McIntyre. He did

>exactly that in the 1960's

Now..imagine a group out there of members dedicated to nothing more than making certain a certain pirate radio station remians on the air. Imagine, if you would, one gets shut down for whatever reason, within minutes, another location picks up the slack and begins rebroadcasting. Now, think about thirty or so hard core activists who have the capability and are interested in nothing more than sticking it to Clear Channel and are willing to pay the piper should the need arise. This group is growing, and so far, several have come from the rank and file from Clear Channel's own employ.

>I know a similar story about the ineptness of

>the FCC with regard to jammers. This involved
>hams and commercial services.

Look how long it took them to find the infamous jammer of the new millenium on hammie radio. He got away with it for years. Some claim he is STILL jerking people around on the air, despite his current status of facing even more charges.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1628. Re: FA: TWO(2) RCA CUNNINGHAM type 01-A & 112A RADIO TUBES OLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 15:10:53 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <sNSdnf-riIvunMHfRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 198656
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

cooltube wrote:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/w
>
>
so why do you have to spam this group with your stupid ebay ads when
there are groups just for such purposes?

1629. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 15:41:29 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19318-425BEC29-476@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198653

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 10:57:39 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 6 Apr 2005 10:11:51 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>That was not meant as a criticism, just a

>neutral observation.

Sure, sure,,a "neutral" observation whose first knee jerk reaction when
Dogie was busted was to jump to his defense and lie and say someone
someone withdrew the complaint against him to the FCC.

>You're lying again. I never made any such

>claim.

>I simply offered that based on Doug's

>"notoriety", that he MIGHT have been framed.

>Are you stating that this scenario is

>impossible?

Your reasoning for your bizarre behavior means something only to
yourself.
Your first instinct was to deny it took place, call me a liar, then turn
around in your next sentence and attempt to explain WHY you made such a
claim. Very bizarre, David.

>The only thing "bizarre" is your inability to

>comprehend simple concepts.

Such as you saying.."I never made any such claim",,followed by "I only
offered that based on Dogie's notoriety." The market of any such
"inability" has been cornred by yourself, as you remove all doubt.

>You accused me of stating that someone

>withdrew the complaint. I made no such

>statement. That's a lie on your part,

>predicated, no doubt, from your inability to

>remember who said what over the years.

Nah,,,you said it.

>You once tried to claim that I accused Keith of

>something. When pressed on the issue,

>(While you scrambled through google) you f

>finally had to back off when you realized that

>you make a mistake. But true to form, you

>would never be a man and admit it.

Go on then and ask Keith, since you brought it up. You most certianly blamed him. And while you are invoking such past discussions, it would serve proper at this time if you were held to your own espoused standard that what took place in the past is irrelevant, as you just told another. Then again, you have a set of rules for everyone else, not adhered by yourself..aka, another glaring example of your hypocrisy.

>Are you man enough to apologize now, or will

>you just spin this some more to lay down even
>more smoke?

Look how far you ran from your initial denial of
defending Dogie. Off you go now, with all the smoke you can muster (a little puffy whiff).
The contingency recognizes this fact and several have illustrated and commented on your position and hypocrisy.

>....of one (you).

Despite your paranoia, I am not Frank, Jim, Shark, or anyone else that has commented on your bizarre hypocrisy.

>Despite your obvious paranoia, I never said

>you were.

As Frank, Jim, and Shark have all illustrated your hypocrisy AND commented on it, yet, for some perplexing reason known only to yourself, you acknowledge only myself as recognizing it and commenting of it.

>I am no more hypocritical than any of those

>who have claimed the same of me.

You
claiming the majority misunderstands you via
explaining what you "really" meant after the fact (usually accompanied by you misapplying definitions of words and terms) adds to the joke.

>No, you are claiming there's a "majority"

Yes, I did claim there was a majority on many occasion.

>(Which has been strangely silent),

You always default to denial mode when other people tell you your behavior is hypocritical. It's called denial.

>No, it's called correcting an error. You still

>cannot demonstrate anything hypocritical that

>I've posted.

You ask others to provide for their claims after you make unsolicited claims you felt important enough to invoke, but not provide (proof) yourself.

>I'm forced to conclude that you don't know the

>meaning of the word. So for your edification:

>hy·poc·ri·sy (P) Pronunciation Key (h-pkr-s)

>n. pl. hy·poc·ri·sies

>1.The practice of professing beliefs, feelings,

>or virtues that one does not hold or possess;

>falseness.

You asking for anyone to provide for any of their claims is hypocrisy, David, because you refuse to provide for for the majority fo your own. You can deny all you like. It's my pleasure.

>2. An act or instance of such falseness.

>Now, where have I ever professed a belief,

>virtue, or feeling that I don't possess?

See above.

>the truth is there is only you.

Classic denial. I wasn't the one telling you about your hypocritical political diatribes, despite your need to believe I am now posting as Jim, or anyone else.

>I never mentioned that.

LOL..exactly at what point does one recognize their daftness? Is it before, during, or after you claimed no one but myself illustrated or mentioned your hypocrisy?

>Guilty conscience?

Sociopaths do not have consciences. Reversing your uneducated opinions at your whim serves to illustrate only your ineptness regarding the area you fancy yourself educated.

>Provide even ONE example of my misuse of

>any term.

Empirical evidence, for one.
But you outdone yourself concerning your knowledge of the laws governing your hobby regarding Civil Disobedience.

>You have absolutely no idea what the concept
>of civil disobedience is do you? You think it's

>your "get out of jail free card". You are so far

>off, it's not even funny.

Despite being taught and
educated on this matter several times, you are unable to comprehend such.

>You are not capable of educating anyone.

> Your legal and political views are akin to the

>malcontents and subversive slackers of the

>1960's.

The definition of the term has not changed, your personal feelings and bleeding from the gums, not withstanding.
You fecklessly insist such an act
(such as dxing) makes one a federal criminal.

>It does and it is.

It doesn't. An inability to distinguish between federal, criminal, and civil acts displayed by yourself is not shared by anyone else, only you. You are assuming all rules and laws governed by a federal agency are criminal and this simply isn't so. Your error, is you mistakenly believe the term "federal" can be interchanged with the term "criminal" wehn relating to the rules and laws they govern. This is your bad, Dave, not anyone elses.

> The FCC (a FEDERAL

>agency)

> via the communications act of 1934 clearly

>defines both civil AND criminal penalties for

>violation of the law. The fact that you haven't

>been caught yet does not change that.

Yet, the fact one hasn't been convicted of such DOES change -your- mistaken position. The fact that you disagree with the US laws and justice system that does not allow anyone to refer to another as a

criminal unless they are found guilty and pronounced as such in a court of law, is irrelevant, as it again is your ignorance responsible for your mistaken belief.
Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.

_
You very clearly are
suffering some sort of massive block, some type of learning disability that prevents you from comprehending the differences between what constitutes civil and criminal penalties, despite being properly instructed each time you shout your ignorance concerning this subject. You erroneously claimed, "it is perception" (yours, albeit wrong) that distinguishes between such.

>Your problem is that in your narcissistic mind,

(snip)

Try and not permit my education of you to allow yourself to become angry, as when you become angry, you get off topic and personal and must be corrected and brought back in to the fold. AS much as your need dictates, such is not about me, it's about your inability to properly distinguish the differences between civil and criminal penalties, and the fact that a federal agency governs such, does not make it a federal crime, as you mistakenly and repeatedly maintain. You should have realized such when you were informed about the Federal DOT's existence (you denied their existence). The Federal DOT enforces many rules and laws, and they are all not of a criminal nature, despite your inability to comprehend such. If you need more examples, you may indicate such and they will be provided.

>Someone can't be "educated" when the "

>teacher" is further off the rails than the

>"student". Your knowledge of the law is the

>worst that I've ever seen anywhere.

Yet, it was I who taught you roger beeps were legal for cb (you had to confirm it with the FCC), after you cried for months that they were illegal.
Your reasons for doing so, are irrelevant, it merely illustrates whose knowledge of the law is compromised.
You are the only one taking issue, Dave. Ask anyone on ths group, anyone at all, if they agree with you regarding your claim of what constitutes a federal criminal. Off you go now...

>I have a cousin who's a lawyer

Hehehe,,,as I said,,,off you go now.
You find it important enough you feel you must mention you have a cousin who is a lawyer, but no identification, resutling in you not providing for your claim.. You found it important enough to claim you have a friend who was busted by the fcc, but will not provide for the claim. You feel it important enough to claim you have cops who are friends who gave you the wrong definition of Pa law, but of course you will not provide for the claim. You find it important enough to claim you went to a tech school, but will not provide for any claims. It's your pattern, David. "Statistical probablities" as you like to call it,,,,,,same as googling "anarchy". Socks and only your name comes up.

>Stick to fishing. It's probably the only subject

>you know anything about.

I also know boats, and that you were seen coming a mile away when you bought yours.

>You really should take some remedial

>education courses, including a course on

>reading comprehension. That way you

>wouldn't be so quick to accuse others of

>saying things they never said.

That's pretty funny, considering the source, but let's remain focused. Your gaffe of using "criminal", "civil" and "federal" as similes when referring to the dx law just because such is administered by a federal agency, is wrong. That's all there is to it.

Have yourself a good weekend, old man.

>Same to you. See you on Monday....

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

1630. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 15:45:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19319-425BED1D-132@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198654

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)

>I've had 2 major accidents in my whole life,

>and both were in the same car. Neither were

>my fault.

But of course they weren't. You're Dave Hall.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1631. Re: FA: TWO(2) USA 6146B RF OUTPUT TUBES Tested-Handy to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 17:00:17 UTC
From: "Billy Boy (78's Rule!)" <bcrowell@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1113325217.138791.199760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198655
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Have you ever wondered how some ruully, rully, big RF tubes would work
as audio amplifiers? Like maybe a 3-500Z?

1632. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 17:30:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <500o511sdssm47g64kflfiufl9bvqtmh80@4ax.com>
Source record: 198658

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 11:41:29 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>The only thing "bizarre" is your inability to
>>comprehend simple concepts.

>>You accused me of stating that someone
>>withdrew the complaint. I made no such
>>statement. That's a lie on your part,
>>predicated, no doubt, from your inability to
>>remember who said what over the years.
>
>
>Nah,,,you said it.

Then provide the google link as proof.

>>You once tried to claim that I accused Keith of
>>something. When pressed on the issue,
>>(While you scrambled through google) you f
>>finally had to back off when you realized that
>>you make a mistake. But true to form, you
>>would never be a man and admit it.
>
>
>
>Go on then and ask Keith, since you brought it up. You most certianly
>blamed him.

You want to eat crow again for something you had to reluctantly back
off from before? You really don't learn your lessons.

Until you can provide the proof, you're simply spinning yarns.

>>Are you man enough to apologize now, or will
>
>>you just spin this some more to lay down even
>>more smoke?
>
>
>
>Look how far you ran from your initial denial of
>defending Dogie.

Look how far you go to deflect the topic (again!).

You made a specific accusation, and cannot back it up. Not you try to
change the subject.

>You always default to denial mode when other people tell you your
>behavior is hypocritical. It's called denial.
>
>>No, it's called correcting an error. You still
>
>>cannot demonstrate anything hypocritical that
>
>>I've posted.
>
>
>
>You ask others to provide for their claims after you make unsolicited
>claims you felt important enough to invoke, but not provide (proof)
>yourself.

Translation: You are unable to provide the needed proof, so you resort
to your predictable deflection tactic.

>
>
>>I'm forced to conclude that you don't know the
>>meaning of the word. So for your edification:
>>hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sy ï¿½ (P) Pronunciation Key (h-pkr-s)
>>n. pl. hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sies
>>1.The practice of professing beliefs, feelings,
>>or virtues that one does not hold or possess;
>>falseness.
>
>
>You asking for anyone to provide for any of their claims is hypocrisy,
>David, because you refuse to provide for for the majority fo your own.
>You can deny all you like. It's my pleasure.

Which came first, the chicken or the egg? Such is the circular nature
of your reasoning.

>
>>Guilty conscience?
>
>
>Sociopaths do not have consciences. Reversing your uneducated opinions
>at your whim serves to illustrate only your ineptness regarding the area
>you fancy yourself educated.

Ah, so you now admit to being a sociopath? That's a progressive sign.
Thank you for answering my question. You did see the (?) at the end of
my question right?

>>You are not capable of educating anyone.
>> Your legal and political views are akin to the

>>malcontents and subversive slackers of the
>>1960's.
>
>
>The definition of the term has not changed, your personal feelings and
>bleeding from the gums, not withstanding.
>You fecklessly insist such an act
>(such as dxing) makes one a federal criminal.
>
>>It does and it is.
>
>
>
>It doesn't. An inability to distinguish between federal, criminal, and
>civil acts displayed by yourself is not shared by anyone else, only you.
>You are assuming all rules and laws governed by a federal agency are
>criminal and this simply isn't so. Your error, is you mistakenly believe
>the term "federal" can be interchanged with the term "criminal" wehn
>relating to the rules and laws they govern. This is your bad, Dave, not
>anyone elses.

The real joke is that you don't even bother to read the links your
posted to the stories about your boy "Bob Noxious". In them they state
that it's a criminal violation to operate an unlicensed transmitter.
The only difference between the FM broadcast band and the freeband is
the frequency, and the visibility to the public.

>> The FCC (a FEDERAL
>>agency)
>> via the communications act of 1934 clearly
>>defines both civil AND criminal penalties for
>>violation of the law. The fact that you haven't
>>been caught yet does not change that.
>
>
>Yet, the fact one hasn't been convicted of such DOES change -your-
>mistaken position. The fact that you disagree with the US laws and
>justice system that does not allow anyone to refer to another as a
>criminal unless they are found guilty and pronounced as such in a court
>of law, is irrelevant, as it again is your ignorance responsible for
>your mistaken belief.

Once again you base your mistaken opinion on technicalities and
semantics. Someone who murders someone is still guilty of a criminal
act regardless if he's been caught yet. Being pronounced guilty is
only a formality. The same holds true for the FCC rules.

>Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact
>that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most
>certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the
>fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.

Yep, the old subversive ploy of thinking that "it's only guilty if
you're caught" mentality. Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.

>The Federal DOT enforces many rules and
>laws, and they are all not of a criminal nature, despite your inability
>to comprehend such. If you need more examples, you may indicate such and
>they will be provided.

There are no federal traffic cops. There is no federal speed limit.

Besides, they are not the FCC.

>>I have a cousin who's a lawyer
>
>
>
>Hehehe,,,as I said,,,off you go now.
>You find it important enough you feel you must mention you have a cousin
>who is a lawyer, but no identification, resutling in you not providing
>for your claim.. You found it important enough to claim you have a
>friend who was busted by the fcc, but will not provide for the claim.
>You feel it important enough to claim you have cops who are friends who
>gave you the wrong definition of Pa law, but of course you will not
>provide for the claim. You find it important enough to claim you went to
>a tech school, but will not provide for any claims. It's your pattern,
>David.

Far be it for you to chastise anyone for not providing for their
claims when you can't even reveal your own name. You who claims to
embrace the concepts of anonymity. You want me to give you personal
information, yet you can't even come from behind that clock of gutless
anonymity.

>>Stick to fishing. It's probably the only subject
>
>>you know anything about.
>
>
>I also know boats, and that you were seen coming a mile away when you
>bought yours.

Oh, this should be good. Another subject where I'll clean your clock
and not even break a sweat. What could you possibly know about my boat
or any boat in general?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1633. Re: FA: TWO(2) USA 6146B RF OUTPUT TUBES Tested-Handy to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 17:32:09 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <d3h0mp$4o2$6@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 198657
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

In <1113325217.138791.199760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com> (rec.radio.amateur.equipment), "Billy Boy (78's Rule!)" wrote:
> Have you ever wondered how some ruully, rully, big RF tubes would work
> as audio amplifiers? Like maybe a 3-500Z?

Many of the Eimac power triodes and tetrodes are rated for use at AF.

The 3CX400A7/8874 is a compact high-mu power triode
intended for use in zero bias Class B amplifiers in
audio or RF applications. Operation with zero bias
simplifies circuitry and cathode driven operation is
attractive since a power gain as high as twenty can be
obtained.

The 5CX1500A is designed for use as a Class AB1 linear
amplifier in audio or radio frequency applications. Its
characteristic low intermodulation distortion makes it
especially suitable for single sideband service. The
tube is also recommended for use as a Class C RF power
amplifier in CW, FM and AM service.

The 5CX1500A is good for 3200 Watts as a class AB1 audio power amp:

MAXIMUM RATINGS TYPICAL OPERATION
Class Type of Service Plate Voltage (Volts) Plate current (Amps) Plate Voltage (Volts) Screen Voltage (Volts) Plate Current (Amps) Drive Power (Watts) Output Power (kiloWatts)
AB1 AF Amplifier or Modulated 4,000 1.0 3,800 500 1.3 -- 3.22

A look through the catalog at <http://www.cpii.com/eimac/index.html>
is very instructive.

--
Mike Andrews, W5EGO
mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Tired old sysadmin

1634. Re: rec.radio.cb's own little pirate: F*** the FCC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 17:41:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <lh1o519dgqitlev8nohqng7bvprgu4tluk@4ax.com>
Source record: 198659

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 10:53:52 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Thu, 7 Apr 2005 16:46:08 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>ï¿½ï¿½Also wanted to let you know, the feds came and banged on B-o-b
>Noxious' door last week,,,the day after his I mentioned his relocation
>party ...Hahahahahaha!!
>
>>You mean they came to his old place after he
>>had already left? That's funny, and so typical
>>for the FCC.
>ï¿½
>ï¿½The poor bastard in Tampa some say were responsible for the miss, was
>so embarrassed he had no comment to the press. A party bash is being
>thrown as a fundraiser for Bob on April 24 at the Globe Coffee Lounge,
>532 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg. (I'm not taking personal calls,
>but fan mail, as well as threats of violence will be recorded for
>posterity). Not only are we supporting B-o-b and his current show, we
>are hoping to raise enough cash so that he can buy bigger and better
>equipment.
>
>>Yea, but a serious FCC engineer can D.F. on
>>his transmitter in a matter of minutes.
>
>He can be as serious as a heart attack, but when one is dealing with an
>incompetent like the thug in Tampa, he can't do jack by himself.

If they become serious, they can. Doppler DF equipment is very
precise.

>>On a related note, a few weeks back I read an
>>article from your area where they found an
>>antenna that blew over, which was connected
>>to a pirate FM transmitter, which was
>>controlled remotely, and linked through
>>another means. Slick......
>
>
>Pirate radio stations are a dime a dozen here. Everyone knows someone
>who is involved some way or another. It's the great ones that get the
>attention.
>_
>,you want to know how long a pirate radio

>station can last on a boat and heckle the FCC? I'll bet you would :)
>
>>Look up the reverend Carl McIntyre. He did
>>exactly that in the 1960's
>
>
>Now..imagine a group out there of members dedicated to nothing more than
>making certain a certain pirate radio station remians on the air.
>Imagine, if you would, one gets shut down for whatever reason, within
>minutes, another location picks up the slack and begins rebroadcasting.

You can, with enough work, put up a network of transmitters which
alternate randomly and quickly, thereby confusing tracking stations
(at least temporarily). But the source material has to get there
somehow. You either have to beam it there with some sort of a radio
link or send it via the internet. Either method is traceable back to
the source.

>Now, think about thirty or so hard core activists who have the
>capability and are interested in nothing more than sticking it to Clear
>Channel and are willing to pay the piper should the need arise. This
>group is growing, and so far, several have come from the rank and file
>from Clear Channel's own employ.

I can sympathize with their passion, I am no fan of the Clear Channel
borg collective. This is not all that much different in principle than
the battle between the money grubbing recording industry and those who
download songs for free on the internet. Every time the RIAA sticks it
to P2P'ers, they just find a new way to get it done. The original
rendition of Napster went down in flames, but many new decentralized
networks have sprung up in its place. Eventually the market will have
to adopt a new business model. Records (and CD's) are a thing of the
past.

>
>
>>I know a similar story about the ineptness of
>
>>the FCC with regard to jammers. This involved
>>hams and commercial services.
>
>
>
>Look how long it took them to find the infamous jammer of the new
>millenium on hammie radio.

I am not familiar with this story.

>He got away with it for years. Some claim he
>is STILL jerking people around on the air, despite his current status of
>facing even more charges.

Maybe it's Doug ;-)

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1635. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 20:26:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412162651.819$mg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"pctech" <mospam(remove)@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I could really give a shit about some ass who supposedly wont feed his
> kids , I read this group to get info on Amateur antennas,NOT the local
> gossip spewed by another ASS who is pissed off at someone and has to post
> this shit across the internet . Get a life moron ! you are no better than
> he is ,
>
Simple enough. Don't read it, fuckstick.
>
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com...
> > N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
> >> candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
> >>
> > Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
> > children, oh indigent one?
> >
> >
> > N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
> > present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
> > SWANSON appointed as counsel.
> > Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
> > the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
> > Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
> > Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
> > Sue Swanson
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
> > RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
> > 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION CONTINUE
> > Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA CORPE
> > ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S. SWANSON
> > present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant, DOUGLAS
> > ADAIR present in court.

> > Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
> > having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
> > of probation.
> > Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> > of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> > 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> > Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> > ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
> > Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> > Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
> > agreement.
> > Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
> > any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
> > further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
> > associated with being granted probation. Payment of
> > financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
> > supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
> > fees.
> > JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
> > SENTENCE C-184-502
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
> > 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
> > terminated unsuccesfully
> > PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

1636. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 20:28:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412162833.375$cU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "pctech" <mospam(remove)@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:2EO6e.8514$44.5288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>
> > I could really give a shit about some ass who supposedly wont feed his
> > kids , I read this group to get info on Amateur antennas,NOT the local
> > gossip spewed by another ASS who is pissed off at someone and has to
> > post this shit across the internet . Get a life moron ! you are no
> > better than he is ,
> >
>
> We have told him this, the best part is he goes to these hams homes and
> harasses them all because something he didnt like was said on usenet.
> Does this guy need help or what. he cut's lawns for a living in medina
> ohio area.
>
How cute, Chrissy Busch is humping my leg again.

1637. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 20:30:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412163017.704$t2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> N8WWM and another individual have been harassing CB'ers
> for 5 plus years now in Rec.Radio.CB. Sending threatening Emails, signing
> you up for Spam, sending virus, false
> accusation, vulgar language, making vulgar web pages all
> this while changing screen nics to bypass filters so that you
> don't have the chance to filter them out.
> Rec.Radio.CB used to talk about radio both legal & illegal,
> but these two people came on to the group both supporting
> and condoning illegal activities, but found it was mush more
> fun to harrass and flood the group with useless posts, sometimes 100 to
> 200 posts a day!
> Being Steveo is close to these people, he's called them on
> their offers of, "Go ahead, we invite you to show up". Well
> Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
> the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.
>
> Landshark
>
> Now watch the responses they throw out ;)
>
I couldn't have said it any better myself. Thank You, Shark.

1638. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 22:54:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rako51lue90d26jti313bk71b0hsblhv08@4ax.com>
Source record: 198663

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 13:40:10 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

> Well
>Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
>the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.

Damn, Moped. Are you that ugly?
;-)

Vinnie S.

1639. Re: FA: TWO(2) RCA CUNNINGHAM type 01-A & 112A RADIO TUBES OLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 23:20:02 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <qLWdnaCMUtUGysHfRVn-tw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 198664
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> cooltube wrote:
>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/w
>>
>>
> so why do you have to spam this group with your stupid ebay ads when
> there are groups just for such purposes?
Or put all your FA stuff in 1 post!

1640. AWESOME MOVIE! << This free movie is about real situations and I give it a big thumbs-up!! o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o 11o o o o

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 23:25:28 UTC
From: JavaDude_MSN248@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113346497.527336.104870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198666
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

```
http://www.moviefever.com/movie121 << Awesome Movie!
You can reply with your comments
about the movie if you would like.
```

1641. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-12 23:25:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050412192552.327$c8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198665

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 13:40:10 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> > Well
> >Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
> >the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.
>
> Damn, Moped. Are you that ugly?
> ;-)
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Sometimes. :)

1642. Re: RCI 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 00:25:31 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <TImdnWZPVZNr-8HfRVn-hw@giganews.com>
Source record: 198667

barry wrote:
> Need some advice, my son hooked the positive to ground is the radio
> destroyed ?

Send it back to Ranger and they'll fix it for you. They will put the radio back to what it was supposed to be, a 10 meter radio, if it has been modified to 11 meter. If you are planning to use it on the CB band, you'll have to modify it yourself, or get someone to do it for you. Very easily done, by the way. Be aware, it is against the rules to do so.

Jeff

1643. Re: CB with two finals 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 00:36:00 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1113352560.459235.297280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198668

Are you sure that those weren't export type radios... those are the
only ones I've ever seen with two bipolar finals in parallel.
Unfortunately, not a very good idea with bipolars.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

HarryHydro wrote:
> Hi Folks:
> A long time ago, a guy who worked for the consolidated waste here
> gave me a box of CB's. My neighbor and I fixed up a few. Two
radios,
> that were alike, each had two TO-220 case finals and a single driver.
> It might have been a 23 channel radio. I remember they were hugh
> mobile units. We made one good one out of the two. Well, the
neighbor
> wanted this radio bad, so I let him have it. Does anyone know what
> brand CB had 2 finals, i suspect class AB?
> Thanks!
> Harry

1644. Re: RCI 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 00:38:37 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1113352717.927745.112780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198669

What happens when you attempt to power it up now?

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

1645. Re: Circular?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 01:14:12 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Zm_6e.2561$7D7.946@fe09.lga>
Source record: 198670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>That would make a real nice flyer.
>>>
>>>
>>>>N8WWM <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>He ranted and whined and screamed for toys and
>>>>>candy when his mommy took him to Wal Mart.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Are you describing when you got that felony for not feeding your
>>>>children, oh indigent one?
>>>>
>>>> N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR
>>>> present in court. Indigency Hearing held. MARY S.
>>>> SWANSON appointed as counsel.
>>>> Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing. Pursuant to
>>>> the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for Probation
>>>> Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
>>>> Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
>>>> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>>>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> 11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
>>>> Sue Swanson
>>>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> 11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$ THRU9/23/03
>>>> RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
>>>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> 11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
>>>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> 12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
>>>> 671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL - PROBATION
>>>> CONTINUE Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA
>>>> CORPE ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S.
>>>> SWANSON present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant,
>>>> DOUGLAS ADAIR present in court.
>>>> Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
>>>> having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation

>>>> of probation.
>>>> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of
>>>> Nonsupport of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code
>>>> Section 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
>>>> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
>>>> ordered continued with the following added conditions: 1)
>>>> Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
>>>>Har Har ----> mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withholding
>>>> agreement.
>>>> Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution including
>>>> any fees associated with the appointment of counsel. It is
>>>> further ordered that the defendant pay any supervision fees
>>>> associated with being granted probation. Payment of
>>>> financial obligations shall be prioritized as restitution,
>>>> supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned counsel
>>>> fees.
>>>> JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
>>>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> 12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/23/03
>>>> SENTENCE C-184-502
>>>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>>> 3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
>>>> terminated unsuccesfully
>>>> PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
>>
>>why bother?
>>
>
> He likes to sell trash out of his trunk at certain venues.
>
>>ya feed him he feeds you. enough with this crap bud. he is a
>>looser. i know it you know it this ng knows it...
>>
>
> I'll toss google posts back on a filter again. Sorry bud.
no worries. i had him filtered until you responded to his crap. if it
wasn't for this ng i wonder what he would do with his life.

1646. Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 02:30:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2v%6e.1042$J12.441@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"iamnotgeorge" <mmnotgeorge@yahmoo.comSpam> wrote in message
news:Xns9636D9E9BC8521234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:_cQ6e.1278$t85.874@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> N8WWM and another individual have been harassing CB'ers
>> for 5 plus years now in Rec.Radio.CB. Sending threatening Emails,
>> signing you up for Spam, sending virus, false
>> accusation, vulgar language, making vulgar web pages all
>> this while changing screen nics to bypass filters so that you
>> don't have the chance to filter them out.
>> Rec.Radio.CB used to talk about radio both legal & illegal,
>> but these two people came on to the group both supporting
>> and condoning illegal activities, but found it was mush more
>> fun to harrass and flood the group with useless posts, sometimes 100
>> to 200 posts a day!
>> Being Steveo is close to these people, he's called them on
>> their offers of, "Go ahead, we invite you to show up". Well
>> Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
>> the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>> Now watch the responses they throw out ;)
>
> The responses are Google steveo and google landshark for past 5 years, go
> back about 9 to 10 years with landshark, he likes bear type transexuals,
> steveo fits the bill thats why they hump on each other. steveo? has never
> had the balls to have anyone confront him he stalks amatuer radio
> operators and has called me no less that 10 different people. he looks
> more like the fool with every name he thinks I am .. I laugh heartily as
> he is a putz without a clue...

Dear Mr. Busch WA3MOJ, I ask that you please stop changing your
screen nics to by pass newsfilters, per you're ISP's TOS you are in clear
violation doing that just to harrass me.
You have changed you screen nics 3 times this week alone,
with none of them to begin with as valid, so again, please stop
this.

C.c.

X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net Abuse@comcast.net

Path:
newssvr14.news.prodigy.com!newsdbm04.news.prodigy.com!newsdst01.news.prodigy.com!newsmst01
a.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.net!border1.nntp.dca.gig
anews.com!nntp.giganews.com!local01.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.comcast.com!news.comcast.co
m.POSTED!not-for-mail
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Apr 2005 20:25:16 -0500
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.
dogie.is.an.idiot
Subject: Re: N8WWM won't feed his kids...goes to jail
From: iamnotgeorge <mmnotgeorge@yahmoo.comSpam>
References: <1113266909.322203.246720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<20050411205517.538$ca_-_@newsreader.com>
<2EO6e.8514$44.5288@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
<_cQ6e.1278$t85.874@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9636D9E9BC8512345678900216.196.97.136>
User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25
X-No-Archive: yes
Date: Tue, 12 Apr 2005 20:25:16 -0500
Lines: 30
NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
X-Trace:
sv3-gdrq12uudUO6JKdslsUBqJ1cFJgy06bZ1Ju5TEosOI4Nyg90zqJ5FPI/vkRrv7wqEQ9OQemU3/Cx9rX!4wlQPc
wtIYC/q2Ffn5UOjQRQ4AEKh8na47miIEtn8Ucu7rUO+Sof1lL65mzeXwxEroGk
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint
properly
X-Postfilter: 1.3.32
Xref: newsmst01a.news.prodigy.com rec.radio.cb:383219
rec.radio.amateur.policy:280150 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:44979
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:246102

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:_cQ6e.1278$t85.874@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:

> N8WWM and another individual have been harassing CB'ers
> for 5 plus years now in Rec.Radio.CB. Sending threatening Emails,
> signing you up for Spam, sending virus, false
> accusation, vulgar language, making vulgar web pages all
> this while changing screen nics to bypass filters so that you
> don't have the chance to filter them out.
> Rec.Radio.CB used to talk about radio both legal & illegal,
> but these two people came on to the group both supporting
> and condoning illegal activities, but found it was mush more
> fun to harrass and flood the group with useless posts, sometimes 100
> to 200 posts a day!
> Being Steveo is close to these people, he's called them on
> their offers of, "Go ahead, we invite you to show up". Well
> Steveo did just that and the best they could do was peer out
> the windows and door, to afraid to stop out into the street.

>
> Landshark
>
> Now watch the responses they throw out ;)

The responses are Google steveo and google landshark for past 5 years, go back about 9 to 10 years with landshark, he likes bear type transexuals, steveo fits the bill thats why they hump on each other. steveo? has never had the balls to have anyone confront him he stalks amatuer radio operators and has called me no less that 10 different people. he looks more like the fool with every name he thinks I am .. I laugh heartily as he is a putz without a clue...

1647. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 04:47:24 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wv17e.1116$J12.470@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198672

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:500o511sdssm47g64kflfiufl9bvqtmh80@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 11:41:29 -0400,
>
> Once again you base your mistaken opinion on technicalities and
> semantics. Someone who murders someone is still guilty of a criminal
> act regardless if he's been caught yet. Being pronounced guilty is
> only a formality. The same holds true for the FCC rules.

Here we go again. DAVE, is Michael Jackson guilty?
Think before you answer, are you there? sitting in
the jury box? listening to the testimony? following
the judges orders concerning what type of evidence
you are going to hear? not formulating any opinion
until you and the rest of your fellow jurors are
deliberating the case? Of course not, so how can
you say because someone here is running a 1000
watts and talking on the freeband is a criminal?
You can't, you are not a sheriff, judge & jury, to
which is the only way someone can be classified
a criminal, after being convicted, before that they
are only a suspect.

>
>
>>Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact
>>that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most
>>certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the
>>fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.
>
> Yep, the old subversive ploy of thinking that "it's only guilty if
> you're caught" mentality. Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.

Nope, it's called a guilty conscience, to which you can only
be called guilty in front of the lord all-mighty, everything
else has to be done through a court of law.

> Dave

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

1648. Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 04:47:24 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wv17e.1115$J12.1072@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198673

Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
on the History Channel.

A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.

Landshark

--



1649. Re: FA: TWO(2) RCA CUNNINGHAM type 01-A & 112A RADIO TUBES OLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 04:56:41 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <dE17e.1119$J12.965@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198674
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:sNSdnf-riIvunMHfRVn-ug@gbronline.com...
> cooltube wrote:
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/w
>>
>>
> so why do you have to spam this group with your stupid ebay ads when there
> are groups just for such purposes?

Complain to his ISP and to EBAY. Make sure that you
include the entire post with headers, EBay does not like
it when their clients Spam and have strict policies.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1650. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 05:09:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2aap5196a7l6sch8mo8rh7qj1r40tl7thv@4ax.com>
Source record: 198675

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 07:12:51 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <40bn51h2qip0g6jqshk13d35jiaabb1v9q@4ax.com>:

>On Sun, 10 Apr 2005 20:35:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 17:02:43 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote in <j4sd51pjrvjacagtqp0e5n27s9ndcucp6q@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:30:51 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>>>Believe me. I have nothing to worry about.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>You don't believe his callsign is WA3MOJ do you?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I don't know what to believe from him. But if some lardass steps foot on my
>>>>>>>property, I will look thru his wallet for ID will I hold his face in the dirt.
>>>>>>>And if he doesn't have a wallet, then I will get the info from the car.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>.....oh brother, he's a crybaby -and- a tough-guy. This is just too
>>>>>good to be true!
>>>
>>>
>>>And it don't look like I am the only one !!!
>>>
>>>I did a little search on you in the archives. This is precious.
>>>
>>>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_frm/thread/98d4a42ef486b9b0/6d0
52f3b40d85682?q=wonders+of+wireless&rnum=2#6d052f3b40d85682
>>
>>
>>Don't you think it's time you quit with the killfile charade? You
>>aren't fooling anyone when you reply to half my posts via piggybacks
>>and swim through Google juice to read even more of them.
>>
>>
>>>>Maybe he is a true tough guy. Stranger things have happened.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>It's a amazing what a little info you can get on a poster's history on Google,

>>>Dave. My favorite about this info on Frank, it the imaginary McDonald's, and the
>>>fishing trip he had at the lake in a storm !!!!
>>
>>
>>It's amazing how quickly some people resort to digging up the past
>>when they can't deal with the present.
>
>But the past is what sets a pattern of behavior.

College brat, Nam-dodger, AWOL from the National Guard, DUI, two
bankrupt oil businesses..... yep, just like you said: "the past is
what sets a pattern of behavior".

> Look at what they're
>digging up from Mikey Jackson's past. Looks like Vinnie has exposed
>some hypocrisy from you. So maybe you should be a little less zealous
>to call other people hypocrites in the future.

What hypocrisy? Where did I contradict myself except to expose your
own hypocrisy? One day you claim that Bush's past is irrelevant, but
then you flip-flop in this thread and say that "the past sets a
pattern of behavior". Who's the hypocrite, Dave?

>> Heck, even Dave agrees with me
>>on this one -- every time I bring up Bush's DUI or business failures,
>>Dave says they are irrelevant. So Vinnie, are you calling Dave a liar?
>
>You should leave the spin and deflection tactics to Twisty. He's had
>way more experience.

Don't feel bad because you walked into a trap -- if you look back on
our previous discussions you will see that you have walked into -many-
traps I set for you. I have a couple traps set right now and I'm just
waiting for you to take the bait.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1651. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 05:09:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fcap5156fibjdpoo2uiggovmgbhaj9hfrq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198676

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 08:02:09 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <t9bn515apcpqi2i74i3la6j6p0umg927l5@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 18:27:15 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:59:47 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <udgd51d64mnkq6u4v84ta376qssv07v3fq@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>Maybe you had difficulty, but there are a very large number of hams
>>>>-and- CBers who don't share your ineptitude.
>>>
>>>A dipole is a dipole. It has 0 db of gain,
>>
>>
>>Wrong. A 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole has a gain of roughly +3 dBi in free
>>space.
>
>Isotropic is a theoretical spherical reference model which does not
>exist in reality. A dipole is THE reference antenna, by which most
>credible gain claims are based against.

Antennas are referenced to either or both because both are constants.

>> It has 0 dB when referenced to itself.
>
>Isn't that what I just said?

Unfortunately, yes, that's exactly what you said, and it's absolutely
dumb since -every- antenna has 0 dB gain in reference to itself.

>>> and that assumes a resonant
>>>dipole .
>>
>>
>>That's an assumtion -you- made, and it's also wrong: A resonant dipole
>>can be any multiple of 1/2-wave, and has a gain that differs depending
>>upon the length and directionality.
>
>Then it's no longer a half wave dipole. You are now talking double
>ended zepp designs, which do exhibit gain over a 1/2 wave dipole

But you didn't say "half wave dipole". You said only "dipole" which
could be any kind of dipole, be it a double-ended Zepp or the rabbit
ears perched on top of your overused television set.

>>> If the dipole is non-resonant and requires a tuner to force
>>>an impedance match, it will have further losses.
>
>
>>Wrong again. A tuner only adds insertion loss, which is usually quite
>>small if it's built with quality components. The insertion loss is
>>easily overcome by slightly increasing the length of the elements,
>>which is no big deal since the antenna is already non-resonant.
>
>You fail to consider the standing waves which will still be present in
>the feedline due to the mismatch. A tuner only presents a proper match
>to the transmitter. With a high mismatch in the feedline, losses
>increase as well and creating a tendency to radiate from the feedline.
>In order to compensate for this the tuner should be mounted at the
>feedpoint of the 4:1 balun that is normally used to feed 450 Ohm
>ladder line to such designs. But such is impractical for guys who
>change bands and frequency often, unless the tuner is automatic.

-YOU- failed to notice that I made no mention of a transmission line,
although I -did- reference a page from a ham that used ladder line. A
lot of inexpensive tuners include a 4:1 balun for a balanced output,
and SWR losses on ladder line are negligible. The only SWR you need to
worry about is between the radio and tuner.

>But keeping with the original perspective, of a simple antenna system
>for Vinnie, this falls far short of that objective.

Simplicity dictates buying an Imax or Antron and sticking it in a tree
or on a pole. Plug-n-play. You can't get much simpler than that. The
complexity arose when you threw a fit after my suggestion that Vinnie
might want to try and make his own antenna.

>>> It won't stand a
>>>chance against a commercially produced (or home made if you are
>>>so-inclined) 5/8th wave vertical (with proper radials, not an Imax).
>>
>>
>>Well guess what, Dave -- a 5/8-wave antenna is a non-resonant antenna
>>and requires some method of impedance matching. Thank you.
>
>A 5/8 wave is most certainly resonant. It's just that the feedpoint
>impedance is high and needs to be transformed. It's a different issue
>than forcing a match from something that is not resonant naturally.

You never fail to amaze me with your repeated offerings of technical
ignorance. Time for your next lesson:

A tuner is a device that is used to transform impedances. It has two
ends: an input and an output. Therefore, it connects to two devices: a
source (transmitter in Tx) and a load (antenna in Tx). The input of
the tuner is adjusted to match the output impedance of the source, and
the output of the tuner is adjusted to match the input impedance of
the load. And I hope it's safe to assume that you already know that

much.

But what you fail to realize is that impedance can be resistive,
reactive, or both. And since a tuner isn't limited to transformation
of resistances only, you can use a tuner to compensate for the
reactive component of the load (e.g, a feedline with SWR or a
non-resonant antenna).

Now what happens when you use a tuner to cancel the reactive component
of a load? Think hard, Dave..... reactive components cancel..... can
you figure it out by yourself? No, I didn't think so. The tuner and
the load become RESONANT. So you use a tuner to make a resonant
antenna -system- using a non-resonant antenna! And that's exactly what
is happening when the input impedance of a 5/8-wave antenna is
"transformed" so it can be driven from a resistive source -- a
non-resonant antenna is brought to resonance with a tuner.

Geez Dave, you sure are a dumbass.

>You can have a 1:1 SWR but not be 50 Ohms.

Where did I suggest anything of the sort?

>Time to read some more books. Or better yet, befriend an experienced
>ham to show you.

Speak for yourself, dummy.

>>>> I've done this type of
>>>>antenna myself and never had any problems with local contacts -- in
>>>>fact, it worked a lot better than the 9' whip on the truck.
>>>
>>>I find that very hard to believe, assuming identical height and
>>>conditions, as my own experiences prove otherwise.
>>
>>
>>Oh, the humanity.....
>
>This coming from someone who looks at people who share healthy
>relationships as being "co-dependant", and prefers instead the
>isolation of "independence".
>
>
>>>>>I ran a home brewed wire dipole on CB years ago, and used it in
>>>>>addition to my main 5/8th wave antenna. While the dipole worked well
>>>>>when the skip was running, locally, the signal from the dipole was a
>>>>>few "S" units less than the ground plane. With 4 watts of power, you
>>>>>don't get much range on a horizontal wire dipole strung in a tree.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well there's your problem, Dave -- I didn't say anything about
>>>>horizontal. On the contrary, it's better if it isn't.
>>>

>>>Yea, if you're talking local. For DX, horizontal is usually better for
>>>a number of reasons, most notably a lower noise floor and better take
>>>off angle.
>>
>>
>>A horizontal dipole can have a wide variation in take-off angle
>>depending on height above ground, ground resistance, proximity to
>>other structures or objects, etc.
>
>Yea, so?
>
>> And the noise floor may be slightly
>>lower but that's a product of it's directivity, as compared to a
>>vertical which is omnidirectional.
>
>No, it's a product of the fact that most atmospheric and man-made
>noise tends to be vertically polarized.

Wrong. Noise "tends" to have the polarity of the sources. The sources
of noise can be mostly vertical -or- horizontal depending on a number
of factors, not the least of which are geographic location, time of
day (as well as season), solar activity, band of operation......

>> A pair of phased verticals, as well
>>as any other directional antenna or antenna array, will also have the
>>effect of lowering the noise floor.
>
>Not if the noise isn't concentrated in a single direction.

......and direction of the antenna.

>>>> Like I said
>>>>before, just throw some wire up into the trees (or whatever tall
>>>>object happens to be available). Didn't you read the link I posted?
>>>
>>>Of course. But a dipole is a basic antenna. It has no gain.
>>
>>
>>Wrong again. As I said before, a 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole in free
>>space has about 3 dB gain over an isotropic antenna; but a dipole is
>>merely an antenna with two elements and is not limited to a 1/2-wave
>>Hertzian configuration. Not only that, but a tuner isn't limited to
>>dipole antennas -- they can load up a long-wire just as easily.
>
>Ah, so you're changing the parameters of the discussion. Long wires
>are inefficient on CB as well. Been there, done that.

I changed nothing. You probably had a problem with the long-wire
antenna because you were expecting an omnidirectional pattern, which a
long-wire does not have. It -does- have very sharp lobes with high
gain, and if you know where to point them then a long-wire antenna
works just dandy; as you say, "been there, done that".

>>> A properly
>>>made purpose built CB antenna will out perform it.
>>
>>
>>Get a clue, Dave. You're an Extra, right? What's the name of that tech
>>school you claim to have attended?
>
>What's your call sign Frank?

I understand that you have a problem with reading comprehension, but
what part of "I am not a ham" did you not understand? You are starting
to sound like Twisty -- are you going to start accusing me of being
five different hams?

>Frank, all the king's horses and all the books you read will not make
>up for your glaring lack of experience. Get out from behind the table
>and DO something, and then report back.

Are you suggesting that after being a CBer since I was a kid, serving
several years in the USMC working on radios from LF to microwaves,
several more years in a commercial radio shop, several -more- years as
a BE, being self-employed as a both a tech and an engineer for most of
my life, and currently experimenting with weak-signal reception on the
1750m band and below 9khz, that I don't have any experience in radio?

Dave, you're an idiot.

>>>Let's look at this from a practical standpoint. If a non-resonant,
>>>tuner fed dipole worked so well, then why aren't all CB'ers using one?
>>>Why would people want huge 5/8th wave antennas then? Better tell Jay
>>>to forget about selling his Interceptor, since a simple non-resonant
>>>dipole will work just as well and for far less money.
>>
>>
>>This resounds back to your argument that roger-beeps were illegal
>>because most radios didn't include them. The problem is that a tuner
>>requires a little skill and practice to use, which is beyond the scope
>>of the intended purpose of the service (a plug-n-play radio service).
>
>Antenna tuners were available as an accessory for CB for less than the
>cost of a good SWR meter. Granted they were simple coaxial in and out
>tuners.
>
>>A tuner requires readjustment when changing channels within the CB,
>>and most CBers don't want to deal with the hassle.
>
>So you are now claiming that CB'ers are too "dumb" to handle that?

Where did I suggest anything of the sort?

>And to add further evidence to your lack of experience with CB, it was
>common that "matchboxes" were often used by CB'ers to "correct" a
>mismatch within their antennas. Instead of taking the time to properly

>tune the antenna, they forced the match with the tuner. The radio was
>happy, as it saw a 1:1 SWR, but the signal was not so good. When a
>more knowledgable person would finally retune the antenna, and the
>tuner was removed, signal improved considerably.

Wrong. Those little matchboxes (I still have a couple Johnsons) were
popularized during a time when a 1:1 SWR was widely considered to be
the holy grail of antenna tuning, that you needed to squeeze every
milliwatt from the radio. That attitude changed, and fewer CB tuners
were built, as more and more CBers learned that a few points on the
meter make very little difference.

>> Regardless, there
>>are still many CBers that do indeed use a tuner, not just with prefab
>>antennas but also with homebrew and beverage-can hooks.
>
>None of which are work a tinker's dam for local CB communications.

You say that as if you have evaluated every antenna ever built. Yet
you haven't been here to evaluate -my- antennas..... have you been
playing with your crystal ball again, Dave?

>> And just about
>>every CBer who runs a tube linear uses a tuner because it's built into
>>the amp, which by itself blows big holes in your "practical" argument.
>
>Not at all. A tube amplifier requires a tuner because the impedance of
>a tube is much higher than 50 ohms, and needs to be transformed. It's
>not practicality, it's necessity.

It's not "necessity" since the amp is not a necessity -- there is
always the alternative of using a solid-state amp, or no amp at all.

>>Then there is the issue of the non-resonant 5/8-wave antenna..... oh,
>>Dave, when will you learn?
>
>The question is Frank, when will you start?

What's the name of your tech school, Dave?

>>>A non-resonant dipole has ONE big advantage. It's a compromise antenna
>>>that will work on all the HF bands. That's great if you don't have the
>>>room to put up single band antennas for each band. But like any
>>>compromise, it will not work as well as a dedicated antenna for each
>>>band. Such a compromise is usually acceptable for a ham who has 1500
>>>watts on tap. But for a 4 watt CB'er, who needs to squeeze every watt
>>>of ERP he can for best local range, he needs a high gain efficient
>>>antenna.
>>
>>
>>If that were the case then every CBer would have a 5-el yagi on a 30'
>>mast and a 9' whip on their vehicles.
>

>Most high performance CB'ers do.

So most high performance CBers spend their time and money on equipment
designed to maximize their 4 watts?

>>>>>For ham band use, I agree with you, just not for CB.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>What's the name of that tech school, Dave?
>>>
>>>What's your call sign Frank?
>>
>>
>>I'm not a ham.
>
>Yet you are trying to impart your opinion on a subject you have not
>participated in?

Antennas are reciprocal. What's the name of your tech school, Dave?

>> I answered your question, now you answer mine: what's
>>the name of your tech school, Dave?
>
>The fact that you keep asking, makes me all the less likely to tell
>you.

Yet another cop-out. You probably sent in for one of those mail-order
schools and never finished it.

>>>Frank, your experience with both ham and CB radio is sorely lacking.
>>>You read a few books and web sites and think you have all the answers.
>>>OTOH, I've walked the walk for the last 30+ years and have played with
>>>more antennas and equipment than I can remember. I have also been in
>>>contact with people in the know when it comes to antennas. What I
>>>know, I know through experience, and that's worth 10X what you read in
>>>any book.
>>
>>
>>Which explains why your theory is severely lacking. If you took the
>>time to actually learn why these things work and -then- applied them
>>to your experiences, you could be the guru you think you already are.
>>But you don't and you're not. Instead you do things backwards: you
>>theorize about radio based on your own experience, then read only
>>enough to validate your own conclusions whether they are right or not.
>
>If the evidence supports the theory, then it's valid. If not, then the
>theory is wrong.

Then where are the facts to support your theories, Dave?

.....gee, that sounds familiar..... I seem to recall challenging you
to produce facts in previous discussions, but you never came up with
any. Why should this time be any different?

> You are a perfect example of someone who is
>book-smart and street foolish. You will sit there and tell people, who
>do these things every day, exactly why they can't possibly work.

Where have I -ever- said anything of the sort?

> While
>we sit here and laugh at you, because we've been there and done that.

Who is "we"? You and your imaginary groupies?

>>Check this out, Dave: Education doesn't come from a textbook that some
>>geek sat down and wrote just for shits and giggles. It's a compendium
>>of knowledge that has been collected from over a century of research,
>>experimentation and practical experience by experts in all aspects of
>>the field. Those experts didn't become experts simply by playing with
>>CB radios as a hobby for 30 years. And an education doesn't include a
>>couple textbooks, a few lectures and a test -- it also includes years
>>of experience with the practical application of that knowledge.
>
>No kidding. You seemed to have failed the "practical application"
>aspect. Hell, you never even heard of the term "drying out" in
>reference to electrolytic capacitor aging,

Where did I say that I had never heard the term before? I didn't. What
I -did- say was that it was an inappropriate term. And not to forget
that the subject came up because you deviated from the topic of how
you used your crystal ball to divine the cause of a CB malfunction.

> and you try to cover this
>glaring hole by claiming a pompous, superior attitude as if this was
>beneath you.

No, -you- are beneath me, Dave, and that's where you'll stay until you
pull your head out of your ass.

>> When
>>you mock those that have a formal education and extensive experience
>>in electronic communication then you mock the same people who made the
>>television set you watch way too much, the telephone and cell-phone
>>infrastructure that keeps this world talking, the NASA and JPL
>>engineers who communicate with space probes billions of miles away,
>>etc, etc, etc.
>
>I'm not mocking them.

Of course you are. You mock the very idea that anyone with an
education could know more about radio than what you have learned from
playing with toys for 30 years. You think that just because you
learned something on your own that nobody else has the right to
profess such knowledge, and just because they didn't 'earn' it like
you did. Yet instead of improving yourself by learning something new
you focus on the biggest difference between us -- an education -- and
try to hold it against me as some kind of professional malfeasance.

Well, that has to be one of the most ridiculous arguments that was ever conceived: "You is dumber'n me cuz you gots a ed-u-kashun."

> They know what they are doing. And I work with
>many of them on a daily basis. You probably have products in your home
>(or will) that I have had a part to play in their development.

You delivered the pizza for the engineers when they were burning the midnight oil. BFD.

> I
>work in the field every day.

What "field" is that, Dave? The field of dreams?

> I am not mocking them, I am mocking you.
>You who CLAIM to be educated, but evidence suggests you are sorely
>lacking in practical application of whatever it is you might have
>learned.

Shall I make a list of what I have taught you about radio and electronics since the last list? How long ago was that.... just a couple months ago, wasn't it?

>> While I may not have participated in any world-changing
>>technology, I certainly have an education and the experience in the
>>field that puts you to shame.
>
>While you tend bar and drive a 20+ year old truck......

Now your memory is failing, Dave -- did you forget so soon that I'm currently designing and building a studio? It's going to take an extra week because of the late-season snow in the pass (can't get any decent acoustic panels in Spokane), but I didn't lose any money because of the delay -- I still get $5k for the job when it's done, which is a lot more than you get delivering pizzas in your lime-green Gremlin.

>> Yet -you- try to teach -me- theory based
>>on your education from a third-rate vocational tech school, a few
>>years in a CB shop, and your experience in =amateur= radio.
>
>As far as you know.

Don't even -hint- that you have any kind of technical or engineering job in the radio/electronics field because I'm not buying it for one second. You have proven your ignorance on the subject so many times that it's well beyond the realm of probability.

> But it is evidently light years ahead of those
>internet websites you lift your information from while you sit at home
>alone with no other people to "relate" to.
>
>
>>And here's the kicker: Do you have any idea where I came up with the

>>idea to just throw a couple wires in the air and load them up with a
>>tuner? A ham.
>
>Not all hams are mensa candidates.

Finally..... you have provided proof for one of your claims! Ok, so
you provided the proof -before- you made the claim, but at least it's
a start.

> And most hams know that one antenna
>solution, which may be great in one application, is not necessarily
>good in another. In ham radio, there is no "one size fits all" answer.

Who said there was?

>But then again, you wouldn't know that. You're not a ham (or CB'er).

Dave, go back to rec.boats and learn how to float -- your boat sank
here a long time ago.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1652. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 10:50:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nptp511j2cs3njdsml5ppdaui6pasllbrj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198677

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 22:09:32 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>>It's a amazing what a little info you can get on a poster's history on Google,
>>>>Dave. My favorite about this info on Frank, it the imaginary McDonald's, and the
>>>>fishing trip he had at the lake in a storm !!!!
>>>
>>>
>>>It's amazing how quickly some people resort to digging up the past
>>>when they can't deal with the present.
>>
>>But the past is what sets a pattern of behavior.
>
>
>College brat, Nam-dodger, AWOL from the National Guard, DUI, two
>bankrupt oil businesses..... yep, just like you said: "the past is
>what sets a pattern of behavior".

Now that you've been caught in you own web of hypocrisy, you try to
change the topic. Has Twisty been giving you lessons?

>> Look at what they're
>>digging up from Mikey Jackson's past. Looks like Vinnie has exposed
>>some hypocrisy from you. So maybe you should be a little less zealous
>>to call other people hypocrites in the future.
>
>
>What hypocrisy? Where did I contradict myself except to expose your
>own hypocrisy?

This isn't about me, although you are attempting to make it so. Making
it about me, deflects from the real story, which is your own blatant
hypocrisy.

> Who's the hypocrite, Dave?

You are. You got all over Vinnie for being a "tough guy", yet you are
on record behaving in an even more aggressive display of the same type
of behavior.

>>> Heck, even Dave agrees with me
>>>on this one -- every time I bring up Bush's DUI or business failures,
>>>Dave says they are irrelevant. So Vinnie, are you calling Dave a liar?
>>
>>You should leave the spin and deflection tactics to Twisty. He's had
>>way more experience.

>
>
>Don't feel bad because you walked into a trap -- if you look back on
>our previous discussions you will see that you have walked into -many-
>traps I set for you.

You do not possess the necessary social skills to "trap" me. Your
thinking is too rigid and binary. You lack "people skills".

> I have a couple traps set right now and I'm just
>waiting for you to take the bait.

If that were true, then why announce it?

Have you ever considered a career in agriculture?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1653. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 10:58:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413065839.469$vg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198678

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> Have you ever considered a career in agriculture?
>
Good luck, there's better than a 50% failure rate for new start-up business
in that field.

1654. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 12:11:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8u2q511b9a3usi5eus8v2q8volp98j5f30@4ax.com>
Source record: 198679
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 23:47:51 GMT, Lancer wrote in
<8e2m51lt2ke1qaipve24ibt12fea4bn170@news.easynews.com>:

>On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 13:46:07 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 11 Apr 2005 08:03:21 GMT, "Pete KE9OA"
>> wrote in
>>:
>>
>>
>>>>>On a final note.....at 37 ohms, you will have a VSWR of 1.3 to
>>>>>1.....at 75 ohms, you will have a VSWR of
>>>>>1.5 to 1. What is the difference here? For a transmitter with a tube
>>>>>output
>>>>>and an internal matching network, you wouldn't really see much effect. For
>>>>>a
>>>>>typical solid state transmitter, there would be some difference between
>>>>>the
>>>>>two antenna impedances, because the broadband solid state transmitter
>>>>>would
>>>>>be called upon to deliver more current to the antenna. It probably
>>>>>wouldn't
>>>>>have any effect, unless the ALC circuit was aggressive in its operation.
>>>>>In
>>>>>this case, power foldback would occur into the 37 ohm load. Would it
>>>>>happen?
>>>>>Probably not.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> .....uh, what?
>>>>
>>>> Both tubes and transistors use matching networks, so I don't know what
>>>> distinction you are trying to make there. Power will be reflected from
>>>> an antenna/coax mismatch -regardless- of whether you have a tube or
>>>> transistor final. And what does an ALC circuit have to do with
>>>> transmission line propogation?
>>>
>>>This pertains to solid state amateur transceivers that don't have an
>>>adjustable output matching network.....most of today's units have
>>>fixed-tuned bandpass filters after the output stage. I am not referring to
>>>transmission line propagation; I am referring to the fact that, with a
>>>fixed-tuned output network that expects to see a 50 ohm characteristic

>>>impedance, the ALC can fold back the power. Usually, that doesn't occur
>>>until a VSWR of 2 to 1 is reached. My explanation is for illustrative
>>>purposes only.
>>
>>
>>I understood that much. My point was that whenever an antenna/coax
>>mismatch occurs, tuning the output tank (as with a tube final) doesn't
>>cure the mismatch or the resulting signal loss. All it does is protect
>>the final from the reflected power. The output tank should be matched
>>to the characteristic impedance of the coax whether the final is a
>>tube or a transistor. If the coax shows standing waves, the mismatch
>>should be fixed at the point of mismatch, not at the radio. I realize
>>that this is not always practical, but people should know that such a
>>conjugate match just dissipates that reflected power somewhere else,
>>usually from the outside of the coax or the radio.
>>
>
>Frank;
> Why should I care about the antenna/coax match? If I run a 1/2
>wave of 450 ohm line to my dipole, my transmitter will "see" 75 ohms,
>same as a 1/2 wave of 75 ohm coax.

And that's fine if your transmitter output is also 75 ohms and you
operate on only one freq.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1655. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 12:18:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <q23q51phehqjgblotrb4r4ch186k8jaohl@4ax.com>
Source record: 198680

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 06:50:26 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <nptp511j2cs3njdsml5ppdaui6pasllbrj@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 22:09:32 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>>It's a amazing what a little info you can get on a poster's history on Google,
>>>>>Dave. My favorite about this info on Frank, it the imaginary McDonald's, and the
>>>>>fishing trip he had at the lake in a storm !!!!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It's amazing how quickly some people resort to digging up the past
>>>>when they can't deal with the present.
>>>
>>>But the past is what sets a pattern of behavior.
>>
>>
>>College brat, Nam-dodger, AWOL from the National Guard, DUI, two
>>bankrupt oil businesses..... yep, just like you said: "the past is
>>what sets a pattern of behavior".
>
>Now that you've been caught in you own web of hypocrisy, you try to
>change the topic. Has Twisty been giving you lessons?

Macho-Man Vinnie changed the topic, I didn't. But I did take advantage
of it to point out your hypocrisy.

>>> Look at what they're
>>>digging up from Mikey Jackson's past. Looks like Vinnie has exposed
>>>some hypocrisy from you. So maybe you should be a little less zealous
>>>to call other people hypocrites in the future.
>>
>>
>>What hypocrisy? Where did I contradict myself except to expose your
>>own hypocrisy?
>
>This isn't about me, although you are attempting to make it so. Making
>it about me, deflects from the real story, which is your own blatant
>hypocrisy.

You're right, the topic is about Vinnie thumping his chest.

>> Who's the hypocrite, Dave?
>
>You are. You got all over Vinnie for being a "tough guy", yet you are
>on record behaving in an even more aggressive display of the same type

>of behavior.

I guess that makes me more qualified than yourself to make any sort of
judgment on the subject.

>>>> Heck, even Dave agrees with me
>>>>on this one -- every time I bring up Bush's DUI or business failures,
>>>>Dave says they are irrelevant. So Vinnie, are you calling Dave a liar?
>>>
>>>You should leave the spin and deflection tactics to Twisty. He's had
>>>way more experience.
>>
>>
>>Don't feel bad because you walked into a trap -- if you look back on
>>our previous discussions you will see that you have walked into -many-
>>traps I set for you.
>
>You do not possess the necessary social skills to "trap" me. Your
>thinking is too rigid and binary. You lack "people skills".

More pop-psychology, Dave? Gawd, how boring.

>> I have a couple traps set right now and I'm just
>>waiting for you to take the bait.
>
>If that were true, then why announce it?

Because it's more fun to watch you fall into a trap when you are
looking for it. Do you want me to tell you when you are hot or cold?

>Have you ever considered a career in agriculture?

Not really, although I hear they make a pretty good living from
government subsidies.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1656. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 12:58:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6dup51tje29s95h1jcquifjdt7q640kj2r@4ax.com>
Source record: 198681

On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 22:09:38 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 08:02:09 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <t9bn515apcpqi2i74i3la6j6p0umg927l5@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 18:27:15 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:59:47 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <udgd51d64mnkq6u4v84ta376qssv07v3fq@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>Maybe you had difficulty, but there are a very large number of hams
>>>>-and- CBers who don't share your ineptitude.
>>>>
>>>A dipole is a dipole. It has 0 db of gain,
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong. A 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole has a gain of roughly +3 dBi in free
>>>space.
>>
>>Isotropic is a theoretical spherical reference model which does not
>>exist in reality. A dipole is THE reference antenna, by which most
>>credible gain claims are based against.
>
>
>Antennas are referenced to either or both because both are constants.

Yes but referencing a theoretical model whose characteristics cannot
be realized in the real world, is somewhat disingenuous. A 1/2 wave
dipole is a standard reference antenna in the real world.

>>> It has 0 dB when referenced to itself.
>>
>>Isn't that what I just said?
>
>
>Unfortunately, yes, that's exactly what you said, and it's absolutely
>dumb since -every- antenna has 0 dB gain in reference to itself.

But we don't reference an antenna against itself, we reference it
against a 1/2 wave dipole, a reference antenna which has unity gain.

>>
>>Then it's no longer a half wave dipole. You are now talking double

>>ended zepp designs, which do exhibit gain over a 1/2 wave dipole
>
>
>But you didn't say "half wave dipole". You said only "dipole" which
>could be any kind of dipole, be it a double-ended Zepp or the rabbit
>ears perched on top of your overused television set.

When the facts fail, argue semantics. When that fails, make unfounded
speculations about another's recreational habits.

But that just makes me smile :-)

>>>> If the dipole is non-resonant and requires a tuner to force
>>>>an impedance match, it will have further losses.
>>
>>
>>>Wrong again. A tuner only adds insertion loss, which is usually quite
>>>small if it's built with quality components. The insertion loss is
>>>easily overcome by slightly increasing the length of the elements,
>>>which is no big deal since the antenna is already non-resonant.
>>
>>You fail to consider the standing waves which will still be present in
>>the feedline due to the mismatch. A tuner only presents a proper match
>>to the transmitter. With a high mismatch in the feedline, losses
>>increase as well and creating a tendency to radiate from the feedline.
>>In order to compensate for this the tuner should be mounted at the
>>feedpoint of the 4:1 balun that is normally used to feed 450 Ohm
>>ladder line to such designs. But such is impractical for guys who
>>change bands and frequency often, unless the tuner is automatic.
>
>
>-YOU- failed to notice that I made no mention of a transmission line,
>although I -did- reference a page from a ham that used ladder line. A
>lot of inexpensive tuners include a 4:1 balun for a balanced output,
>and SWR losses on ladder line are negligible. The only SWR you need to
>worry about is between the radio and tuner.

Silly me for assuming that an antenna needs feedline to connect to it.

Only an idiot would run 200 feet or more of open 450 Ohm ladder line.
Unless of course, you don't care about feedline radiation and RFI
issues. Most antennas which are designed to use ladder line, are also
spec'ed for a certain fixed length of the ladder line as part of its
impedance match. At that point a balun is connected and the rest of
the feedline completes the usual configuration. If you were a ham,
you'd know this.

>>But keeping with the original perspective, of a simple antenna system
>>for Vinnie, this falls far short of that objective.
>
>
>Simplicity dictates buying an Imax or Antron and sticking it in a tree

>or on a pole. Plug-n-play. You can't get much simpler than that. The
>complexity arose when you threw a fit after my suggestion that Vinnie
>might want to try and make his own antenna.

I threw a "fit"? Hardly. I have no problem with anyone making their
own antennas. That's what ham radio is all about. BUT, when the
suggestions you made were for antennas which do not perform well for
the intended use, I felt compelled to point this out. You are not
going to throw a couple of wires up in a tree, tune them with a tuner
and expect it to perform as well as a commercial (or homemade if
you're so inclined) gain-type, purpose-built, vertical antenna.

>>>> It won't stand a
>>>>chance against a commercially produced (or home made if you are
>>>>so-inclined) 5/8th wave vertical (with proper radials, not an Imax).
>>>
>>>
>>>Well guess what, Dave -- a 5/8-wave antenna is a non-resonant antenna
>>>and requires some method of impedance matching. Thank you.
>>
>>A 5/8 wave is most certainly resonant. It's just that the feedpoint
>>impedance is high and needs to be transformed. It's a different issue
>>than forcing a match from something that is not resonant naturally.
>
>
>You never fail to amaze me with your repeated offerings of technical
>ignorance. Time for your next lesson:

Spare me your sanctimony. You still don't get it.

>A tuner is a device that is used to transform impedances. It has two
>ends: an input and an output. Therefore, it connects to two devices: a
>source (transmitter in Tx) and a load (antenna in Tx). The input of
>the tuner is adjusted to match the output impedance of the source, and
>the output of the tuner is adjusted to match the input impedance of
>the load. And I hope it's safe to assume that you already know that
>much.

Thanks for pointing that out. I was beginning to wonder if you knew
what a tuner actually did.

>But what you fail to realize is that impedance can be resistive,
>reactive, or both. And since a tuner isn't limited to transformation
>of resistances only, you can use a tuner to compensate for the
>reactive component of the load (e.g, a feedline with SWR or a
>non-resonant antenna).

My god! An antenna has reactive components? Who'd have thunk it?
That's for sharing yet another revelation.

>Now what happens when you use a tuner to cancel the reactive component
>of a load? Think hard, Dave..... reactive components cancel..... can
>you figure it out by yourself? No, I didn't think so. The tuner and

>the load become RESONANT. So you use a tuner to make a resonant
>antenna -system- using a non-resonant antenna! And that's exactly what
>is happening when the input impedance of a 5/8-wave antenna is
>"transformed" so it can be driven from a resistive source -- a
>non-resonant antenna is brought to resonance with a tuner.

A tuner forces a resonant load from something which isn't resonant
naturally. That's sort of like keeping someone alive with a
respirator.

You can (and I have) loaded up large metal objects (like rain gutters
and bedsprings), and force them to have a 1:1 SWR. But that doesn't
mean that the antenna is an efficient radiator. That's my whole point.

Now for your lesson in real life application of antenna principles:

I was completely out of CB between 1990 and 1993, and I took down all
of my CB antennas. When I got the bug to get back into CB again, I had
the following antennas: a horizontal 10 meter dipole, a 5/8th wave 2
meter Ringo Ranger 2, a 6 meter 3 element beam (horizontal), a 2 meter
10 element Cushcraft "twistbeam" (horizontal & vertical), and a
Diamond tri-band 146, 220 and 440 Mhz vertical.

Now, I also had at my disposal a tuner. I could load up every antenna
in that list on the CB band, with a less than 1.5:1 SWR. Out of that
list of antennas, the only one which worked somewhat acceptably was
the 2 meter Ringo Ranger, as it was about a 1/4 wave long at CB
frequencies. It was also at the top of my tower. The 10 meter dipole
loaded the easiest, but being horizontal, wasn't much use for local
talking.

Sometime later, I put my Avanti Sigma 4 back up, and it wasabout 2
"S" units stronger transmitting to others, on average, than the 2
meter Ringo through the tuner.

THAT is the difference between theory and practice. Sure you can load
up a non-resonant antenna and it will "work". But it won't work as
well as an antenna designed for the band.

>Geez Dave, you sure are a dumbass.

I'm not the one reading a book on antenna theory and trying to pass it
off as actual experience.

>>>A horizontal dipole can have a wide variation in take-off angle
>>>depending on height above ground, ground resistance, proximity to
>>>other structures or objects, etc.
>>
>>Yea, so?
>>
>>> And the noise floor may be slightly
>>>lower but that's a product of it's directivity, as compared to a
>>>vertical which is omnidirectional.

>>
>>No, it's a product of the fact that most atmospheric and man-made
>>noise tends to be vertically polarized.
>
>
>Wrong. Noise "tends" to have the polarity of the sources. The sources
>of noise can be mostly vertical -or- horizontal depending on a number
>of factors, not the least of which are geographic location, time of
>day (as well as season), solar activity, band of operation......

Time to read another book Frank. Or better yet ask a ham. The greatest
majority of noise is vertically polarized. Maybe if you have been
paying attention in those theory classes instead of "teaching" logic
classes, and trying to book whip your way through psychology 101, you
might know this.

>>>>> Like I said
>>>>>before, just throw some wire up into the trees (or whatever tall
>>>>>object happens to be available). Didn't you read the link I posted?
>>>>
>>>>Of course. But a dipole is a basic antenna. It has no gain.
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong again. As I said before, a 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole in free
>>>space has about 3 dB gain over an isotropic antenna; but a dipole is
>>>merely an antenna with two elements and is not limited to a 1/2-wave
>>>Hertzian configuration. Not only that, but a tuner isn't limited to
>>>dipole antennas -- they can load up a long-wire just as easily.
>>
>>Ah, so you're changing the parameters of the discussion. Long wires
>>are inefficient on CB as well. Been there, done that.
>
>
>I changed nothing. You probably had a problem with the long-wire
>antenna because you were expecting an omnidirectional pattern, which a
>long-wire does not have.

It does (at least in the "H" plane) if it's mounted vertically. But
that's impractical for HF use.

>It -does- have very sharp lobes with high
>gain, and if you know where to point them then a long-wire antenna
>works just dandy; as you say, "been there, done that".

Where Frank? Where have you "been there and done that? You don't have
a ham license. Commercial radio stations don't play with wire
antennas. Wire antennas are awkward and do not work well on CB for
local talking. So where Frank?

>>What's your call sign Frank?
>
>

>I understand that you have a problem with reading comprehension, but
>what part of "I am not a ham" did you not understand? You are starting
>to sound like Twisty -- are you going to start accusing me of being
>five different hams?

The point is, as it has always been, that you glaringly lack the
necessary experience to back up your claims.

>>Frank, all the king's horses and all the books you read will not make
>>up for your glaring lack of experience. Get out from behind the table
>>and DO something, and then report back.
>
>
>Are you suggesting that after being a CBer since I was a kid, serving
>several years in the USMC working on radios from LF to microwaves,
>several more years in a commercial radio shop, several -more- years as
>a BE, being self-employed as a both a tech and an engineer for most of
>my life, and currently experimenting with weak-signal reception on the
>1750m band and below 9khz, that I don't have any experience in radio?

Exactly. Other than the military (Which doesn't have the time to play
with gimmicky wire antennas) I have had way more experience. I was
playing with the 170 Khz band when I was a kid, along with 35 years
of CB experience, 24 years of ham experience, and being employed as a
tech and engineer for a few high tech firms as well as 30 years of
hands on CB, ham radio, and stereo repair.

>Dave, you're an idiot.

Takes one to know one ;-)

>>
>>Antenna tuners were available as an accessory for CB for less than the
>>cost of a good SWR meter. Granted they were simple coaxial in and out
>>tuners.
>>
>>>A tuner requires readjustment when changing channels within the CB,
>>>and most CBers don't want to deal with the hassle.
>>
>>So you are now claiming that CB'ers are too "dumb" to handle that?
>
>
>Where did I suggest anything of the sort?

By suggesting tha using a tuner is a "hassle". It's not if you
understand how to use it.

>>And to add further evidence to your lack of experience with CB, it was
>>common that "matchboxes" were often used by CB'ers to "correct" a
>>mismatch within their antennas. Instead of taking the time to properly
>>tune the antenna, they forced the match with the tuner. The radio was
>>happy, as it saw a 1:1 SWR, but the signal was not so good. When a
>>more knowledgable person would finally retune the antenna, and the

>>tuner was removed, signal improved considerably.
>
>
>Wrong. Those little matchboxes (I still have a couple Johnsons) were
>popularized during a time when a 1:1 SWR was widely considered to be
>the holy grail of antenna tuning, that you needed to squeeze every
>milliwatt from the radio. That attitude changed, and fewer CB tuners
>were built, as more and more CBers learned that a few points on the
>meter make very little difference.

Maybe that's what your inexperience has taught you, but that's not what actually happened. Yes, there were a few people out there who cringed when their SWR crept above 1.5:1. The difference that a matchbox provided did not overcome the insertion loss. But that's not the cases I was making. There were people who had serious mismatch problems (over 3:1) because they did not know how to properly tune the antenna. They then compensated for their blunder by forcing the SWR to 1:1 with a tuner. The radio was happy, but the antenna was not radiating efficiently and their signal potential was cut considerably. When the real problem was corrected, and the tuner removed, their signals increased.

The point, of course, is that if what you stated before was correct, you should be able to radiate a signal just as well with an antenna which was not in tune (non-resonant) through the use of a tuner to "force" a resonance, than with a naturally resonant antenna. My own personal experience in this exact scenario proves exactly the opposite.

>>> Regardless, there
>>>are still many CBers that do indeed use a tuner, not just with prefab
>>>antennas but also with homebrew and beverage-can hooks.
>>
>>None of which are worth a tinker's dam for local CB communications.
>
>
>You say that as if you have evaluated every antenna ever built.

No, but I have played with most of more the common home made antennas which a CB'er would be most likely to utilize. When you're young and have a lot of time, you tend to experiment alot. I did.

> Yet
>you haven't been here to evaluate -my- antennas..... have you been
>playing with your crystal ball again, Dave?
>

What, you have some "miracle" antenna design that no one else has ever played with? What could possibly be so special about YOUR antennas Frank? Let me guess, you spray them with Teflon?

You should give up on the crystal ball Frank. It didn't work for you,
so you should know that it wouldn't work for me.

>>> And just about
>>>every CBer who runs a tube linear uses a tuner because it's built into
>>>the amp, which by itself blows big holes in your "practical" argument.
>>
>>Not at all. A tube amplifier requires a tuner because the impedance of
>>a tube is much higher than 50 ohms, and needs to be transformed. It's
>>not practicality, it's necessity.
>
>
>It's not "necessity" since the amp is not a necessity -- there is
>always the alternative of using a solid-state amp, or no amp at all.

It's a necessity if you use a tube amp and want it to work. Please
stop with the semantics. If your argument is that weak, you should
just stop.

>>>>A non-resonant dipole has ONE big advantage. It's a compromise antenna
>>>>that will work on all the HF bands. That's great if you don't have the
>>>>room to put up single band antennas for each band. But like any
>>>>compromise, it will not work as well as a dedicated antenna for each
>>>>band. Such a compromise is usually acceptable for a ham who has 1500
>>>>watts on tap. But for a 4 watt CB'er, who needs to squeeze every watt
>>>>of ERP he can for best local range, he needs a high gain efficient
>>>>antenna.
>>>
>>>
>>>If that were the case then every CBer would have a 5-el yagi on a 30'
>>>mast and a 9' whip on their vehicles.
>>
>>Most high performance CB'ers do.
>
>
>So most high performance CBers spend their time and money on equipment
>designed to maximize their 4 watts?

A typical high performance CB'er will spend his money on the best
antennas that he can afford. Whether he stays at 4 watts is a personal
choice. It makes little sense to spend money on a big "honkin'" amp
and then piss that power into a poor antenna. The name of the game is
ERP, and that's a combination of transmitter power (minus feedline and
other losses) and antenna gain.

>>The fact that you keep asking, makes me all the less likely to tell
>>you.
>
>
>Yet another cop-out. You probably sent in for one of those mail-order
>schools and never finished it.

Sort of like that college degree that you allege that you have (yet
you tend bar). I don't have to provide any credentials to you or
anyone else. As long as there are people here who won't even fess up
to their real names, I owe no one any of my personal information.

>>>>Frank, your experience with both ham and CB radio is sorely lacking.
>>>>You read a few books and web sites and think you have all the answers.
>>>>OTOH, I've walked the walk for the last 30+ years and have played with
>>>>more antennas and equipment than I can remember. I have also been in
>>>>contact with people in the know when it comes to antennas. What I
>>>>know, I know through experience, and that's worth 10X what you read in
>>>>any book.
>>>
>>>
>>>Which explains why your theory is severely lacking. If you took the
>>>time to actually learn why these things work and -then- applied them
>>>to your experiences, you could be the guru you think you already are.
>>>But you don't and you're not. Instead you do things backwards: you
>>>theorize about radio based on your own experience, then read only
>>>enough to validate your own conclusions whether they are right or not.
>>
>>If the evidence supports the theory, then it's valid. If not, then the
>>theory is wrong.
>
>
>Then where are the facts to support your theories, Dave?

I provided them. The results of my experience.

>.....gee, that sounds familiar..... I seem to recall challenging you
>to produce facts in previous discussions, but you never came up with
>any. Why should this time be any different?

I've provided plenty of information when I felt you were worthy of
such. But after you discarded them as rubbish due to your intrinsic
bias, I decided that you were not one who wanted any enlightenment.
Your mind was made up and anything I provided, you would simply
categorize as "propaganda". When I provided a link to the usage of the
term "drying out" in reference to electrolytic capacitors, you
similarly discarded that as "erroneous". Therefore I am not about to
waste any of my time trying to prove anything to you. You have
basically joined Twisty in my bucket of punch clowns, a verbal
sparring partner.

Your biggest problem is your pompous arrogance.

>> You are a perfect example of someone who is
>>book-smart and street foolish. You will sit there and tell people, who
>>do these things every day, exactly why they can't possibly work.
>
>
>Where have I -ever- said anything of the sort?

I would be wasting my time to suggest that you revisit your past
performances here in an objective fashion.

>> While
>>we sit here and laugh at you, because we've been there and done that.
>
>
>Who is "we"? You and your imaginary groupies?

Look around and you'll see. Check the archives Frank. You've been on
the butt end of many other debates with people who possessed clearly
superior knowledge. All of the fancy obscure terminology and tap
dancing you used couldn't hide your lack of experience.

>>>Check this out, Dave: Education doesn't come from a textbook that some
>>>geek sat down and wrote just for shits and giggles. It's a compendium
>>>of knowledge that has been collected from over a century of research,
>>>experimentation and practical experience by experts in all aspects of
>>>the field. Those experts didn't become experts simply by playing with
>>>CB radios as a hobby for 30 years. And an education doesn't include a
>>>couple textbooks, a few lectures and a test -- it also includes years
>>>of experience with the practical application of that knowledge.
>>
>>No kidding. You seemed to have failed the "practical application"
>>aspect. Hell, you never even heard of the term "drying out" in
>>reference to electrolytic capacitor aging,
>
>
>Where did I say that I had never heard the term before? I didn't. What
>I -did- say was that it was an inappropriate term.

According to you. Many others, who I hold a much higher level of
respect for, don't see it that way.

> And not to forget
>that the subject came up because you deviated from the topic of how
>you used your crystal ball to divine the cause of a CB malfunction.

Based on my own extensive personal experience. A doctor doesn't have
to see a pathogen in a patient to properly diagnose it. He bases his
diagnoses on symptoms and past experience. It's not 100% accurate, but
it's a good start. It's better than random generic suggestions of
checking this and checking that.

>> and you try to cover this
>>glaring hole by claiming a pompous, superior attitude as if this was
>>beneath you.
>
>
>No, -you- are beneath me, Dave, and that's where you'll stay until you
>pull your head out of your ass.

You know, the no-code license is not that hard Frank. A guy with time
on his hands like you, should have no trouble with it....

>>> When
>>>you mock those that have a formal education and extensive experience
>>>in electronic communication then you mock the same people who made the
>>>television set you watch way too much, the telephone and cell-phone
>>>infrastructure that keeps this world talking, the NASA and JPL
>>>engineers who communicate with space probes billions of miles away,
>>>etc, etc, etc.
>>
>>I'm not mocking them.
>
>
>Of course you are. You mock the very idea that anyone with an
>education could know more about radio than what you have learned from
>playing with toys for 30 years.

I'm not mocking them, I am them. I just played in the sandbox of experimentation before I got my schooling. With that perspective, I was able to concentrate on practical theory and discard the stuff that we never use.

> You think that just because you
>learned something on your own that nobody else has the right to
>profess such knowledge, and just because they didn't 'earn' it like
>you did.

Now who's projecting with the crystal ball?

>Yet instead of improving yourself by learning something new
>you focus on the biggest difference between us -- an education -- and
>try to hold it against me as some kind of professional malfeasance.

But there is no real difference in education. You ASSUME that I don't have a comparable education to you because I refuse to devulge that information. But I can tell you that I'm not the one tending bar right now. I am putting my education AND experience to work for me.

>Well, that has to be one of the most ridiculous arguments that was
>ever conceived: "You is dumber'n me cuz you gots a ed-u-kashun."

No, you are not "dumber" than me because you have an education. You are "less informed" because you have much less practical experience.

My beef with your education is your over reliance on it and how you hold it up as some sort of badge of honor, not too unlike some hams do with their licenses. An education, without the ability to apply it, is almost useless.

>> They know what they are doing. And I work with
>>many of them on a daily basis. You probably have products in your home
>>(or will) that I have had a part to play in their development.
>
>
>You delivered the pizza for the engineers when they were burning the
>midnight oil. BFD.

When all else fails, resort to pure insults. What will you do, when your bar starts a delivery service and they ask you to participate? You are far closer to a delivery boy than I'll ever be. You might want to save now for a 10 year old vehicle that's more dependable.

>> I
>>work in the field every day.
>
>
>What "field" is that, Dave? The field of dreams?

The field of communications technology. I work in the field. You, on the other hand, are like the farmer, out standing in it.

>> I am not mocking them, I am mocking you.
>>You who CLAIM to be educated, but evidence suggests you are sorely
>>lacking in practical application of whatever it is you might have
>>learned.
>
>
>Shall I make a list of what I have taught you about radio and
>electronics since the last list? How long ago was that.... just a
>couple months ago, wasn't it?

You now arrogantly claim to have taught me something? You're as bad a Twisty who thinks he teaches me about radio law. Neither one of you do anything more than dance to smoke and mirrors and the twisting of words.

>>> While I may not have participated in any world-changing
>>>technology, I certainly have an education and the experience in the
>>>field that puts you to shame.
>>
>>While you tend bar and drive a 20+ year old truck......
>
>
>Now your memory is failing, Dave -- did you forget so soon that I'm
>currently designing and building a studio?

So you claim. That and 50 cents can't even buy a decent cup of coffee. I can claim that I'm installing the new digital video links at ABC too.

>It's going to take an extra
>week because of the late-season snow in the pass (can't get any decent
>acoustic panels in Spokane), but I didn't lose any money because of
>the delay -- I still get $5k for the job when it's done, which is a
>lot more than you get delivering pizzas in your lime-green Gremlin.

He he heh heeh hoo hooo hoo LOL. I love it when you get personal Frank. I can almost see the beads of spit on your monitor. My bonus this year was more than that, and I didn't have to drive through snow to get it.

>>> Yet -you- try to teach -me- theory based
>>>on your education from a third-rate vocational tech school, a few
>>>years in a CB shop, and your experience in =amateur= radio.
>>
>>As far as you know.
>
>
>Don't even -hint- that you have any kind of technical or engineering
>job in the radio/electronics field because I'm not buying it for one
>second. You have proven your ignorance on the subject so many times
>that it's well beyond the realm of probability.

Yet it is a true fact nonetheless , which only outlines just how far
off you are.

>> But it is evidently light years ahead of those
>>internet websites you lift your information from while you sit at home
>>alone with no other people to "relate" to.
>>
>>
>>>And here's the kicker: Do you have any idea where I came up with the
>>>idea to just throw a couple wires in the air and load them up with a
>>>tuner? A ham.
>>
>>Not all hams are mensa candidates.
>
>
>Finally..... you have provided proof for one of your claims! Ok, so
>you provided the proof -before- you made the claim, but at least it's
>a start.

Ironically, what I said was not "proof" of anything. Unless, you now
consider my personal observations and experience as proof.

>>But then again, you wouldn't know that. You're not a ham (or CB'er).
>
>
>Dave, go back to rec.boats and learn how to float -- your boat sank
>here a long time ago.

Frank, I was here long before you came, and I'll be here long after
you leave. Call me the B.S. meter, if you will. If it stinks, I'll
call attention to it. Right now you are high on the effluent level.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1657. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 13:00:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9i5q51p3tbs0phbjc57p7d79btlp4u78lv@4ax.com>
Source record: 198682

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 06:50:26 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>This isn't about me, although you are attempting to make it so. Making
>it about me, deflects from the real story, which is your own blatant
>hypocrisy.
>
>
>> Who's the hypocrite, Dave?
>
>You are. You got all over Vinnie for being a "tough guy", yet you are
>on record behaving in an even more aggressive display of the same type
>of behavior.

Actually, Frank has no clue, and sided with George. I did not challange to meet anyone. I did not threaten to go to someone's house and sneak onto their property, and then take pictures. I did not of these. George, Chris, or whomever then threatened to come over to my house and do such a thing. I don't don't why he chose me, but did so none the less. I simply stated that if such a thing happened, that George was fair game. I was more or less defending my privacy and property. Frank took it upon himself to call me a tough guy for that reason. And that about says it all for Frank.

And I take pleasure in showing the people here that Frank is the true tough guy, as shown my the link below.

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/browse_thread/thread/98d4a42ef486b9b0/6d052f3b40d85682?q=wonders+of+wireless&rnum=2#6d052f3b40d85682

So there it is, in historical fashion. In black and white. The imaginary McDonald's, the fishing trip in the storm, etc.

Vinnie S.

1658. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 13:02:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <316q51tri44jlnsr5lni50qrbgufapujel@4ax.com>
Source record: 198683

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 06:50:26 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>Have you ever considered a career in agriculture?

Why? He has a flourishing one as a waiter in a Chinese restaurant.

Vinnie S.

1659. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 13:08:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pu5q51p2558jsdtmqjusr8nneceor4de28@4ax.com>
Source record: 198684

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:500o511sdssm47g64kflfiufl9bvqtmh80@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 11:41:29 -0400,
>>
>> Once again you base your mistaken opinion on technicalities and
>> semantics. Someone who murders someone is still guilty of a criminal
>> act regardless if he's been caught yet. Being pronounced guilty is
>> only a formality. The same holds true for the FCC rules.
>
>
>Here we go again. DAVE, is Michael Jackson guilty?

I don't know. But whether or not the court pronounced him as such
doesn't change the acts that he may or may not have done.

>Think before you answer, are you there? sitting in
>the jury box? listening to the testimony? following
>the judges orders concerning what type of evidence
>you are going to hear? not formulating any opinion
>until you and the rest of your fellow jurors are
>deliberating the case? Of course not, so how can
>you say because someone here is running a 1000
>watts and talking on the freeband is a criminal?

If you witness someone killing another, do you need a jury verdict
before you know that that person is a murderer?

If the law defines a particular act as criminal, then if you engage in
that act, you are engaging in a criminal activity. Being labeled as
such by a court is only a formality and a convenient excuse for people
who want to thumb their nose at the law, and wish to ease their guilty
conscience, by trying to convince themselves that their activities
aren't really criminal because they haven't been caught yet..

>You can't, you are not a sheriff, judge & jury, to
>which is the only way someone can be classified
>a criminal, after being convicted, before that they
>are only a suspect.

Maybe in a legal sense, but that's a poor justification for engaging
in criminal behavior, and saying; "you can't call me a criminal
because a jury didn't convict me yet".

>>>Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact
>>>that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most
>>>certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the
>>>fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.
>>
>> Yep, the old subversive ploy of thinking that "it's only guilty if
>> you're caught" mentality. Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.
>
>Nope, it's called a guilty conscience, to which you can only
>be called guilty in front of the lord all-mighty

Isn't that enough?

>, everything
>else has to be done through a court of law.

You can't serve time and be branded a "criminal" until found guilty in
a court of law. But the fact that you might get away with a crime,
doesn't lessen what you truly are.

Playing word games doesn't hide that fact.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1660. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 13:09:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <sf6q51l4ekv7occ0fcef5qocbgtebibguo@4ax.com>
Source record: 198685

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
>on the History Channel.
>
> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
>did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.

An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1661. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 13:40:48 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Aj97e.1720$t85.511@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198686

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:pu5q51p2558jsdtmqjusr8nneceor4de28@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:500o511sdssm47g64kflfiufl9bvqtmh80@4ax.com...
>>> On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 11:41:29 -0400,
>>>
>>> Once again you base your mistaken opinion on technicalities and
>>> semantics. Someone who murders someone is still guilty of a criminal
>>> act regardless if he's been caught yet. Being pronounced guilty is
>>> only a formality. The same holds true for the FCC rules.
>>
>>
>>Here we go again. DAVE, is Michael Jackson guilty?
>
> I don't know. But whether or not the court pronounced him as such
> doesn't change the acts that he may or may not have done.

Doesn't change the acts he may or may not done? If he's
done something wrong, found guilty then he's a criminal.
If he done nothing wrong, went to court and was found
not guilty, he should still be labeled a criminal because
he's being accused?

>
>
>>Think before you answer, are you there? sitting in
>>the jury box? listening to the testimony? following
>>the judges orders concerning what type of evidence
>>you are going to hear? not formulating any opinion
>>until you and the rest of your fellow jurors are
>>deliberating the case? Of course not, so how can
>>you say because someone here is running a 1000
>>watts and talking on the freeband is a criminal?
>
> If you witness someone killing another, do you need a jury verdict
> before you know that that person is a murderer?

I heard someone on 2 meteres last night, swearing, threating
people, is he guilty of violating FCC rules?

>
> If the law defines a particular act as criminal, then if you engage in
> that act, you are engaging in a criminal activity. Being labeled as
> such by a court is only a formality and a convenient excuse for people
> who want to thumb their nose at the law, and wish to ease their guilty
> conscience, by trying to convince themselves that their activities
> aren't really criminal because they haven't been caught yet..

No, it's a fact. Going around chasing speeders, j-walkers,
litterbugs etc etc and calling them criminals will change
nothing. You'll have to start calling 4 out of 10 people
you know criminals then, because by a national survey
that's the percentage that speed.

>
>
>>You can't, you are not a sheriff, judge & jury, to
>>which is the only way someone can be classified
>>a criminal, after being convicted, before that they
>>are only a suspect.
>
> Maybe in a legal sense, but that's a poor justification for engaging
> in criminal behavior, and saying; "you can't call me a criminal
> because a jury didn't convict me yet".

A well known business man is accused by his ex-wife of
being a child molester. DA says that he won't prosecute
because lack of evidence and it doesn't look like he
really did anything. You start calling him a child molester
and criminal to friends and people that you know, that will
leave you open for a slander lawsuit, that's why you don't
run around accusing people of being criminals.

>
>
>>>>Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact
>>>>that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most
>>>>certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the
>>>>fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.
>>>
>>> Yep, the old subversive ploy of thinking that "it's only guilty if
>>> you're caught" mentality. Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.
>>
>>Nope, it's called a guilty conscience, to which you can only
>>be called guilty in front of the lord all-mighty
>
> Isn't that enough?

If you conscience bothers you, yes.

>
>>, everything
>>else has to be done through a court of law.

>
> You can't serve time and be branded a "criminal" until found guilty in
> a court of law. But the fact that you might get away with a crime,
> doesn't lessen what you truly are.
>
> Playing word games doesn't hide that fact.
>

Playing with meanings doesn't hide the fact either Dave,
that's why they are called suspects, not criminals.
Oh, by the way, that ham operator using the foul language
and threating people, his callsign he was using was N3CVJ.
By you're logic, that alone should brand you a criminal.
>
> Dave

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1662. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 15:56:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <e6gq511hna6hr1u5ihhtp8j6e6e64slm31@4ax.com>
Source record: 198687

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 09:02:01 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 06:50:26 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>Have you ever considered a career in agriculture?
>
>
>Why? He has a flourishing one as a waiter in a Chinese restaurant.
>

The answer is quite simple, if he became a farmer, he might actually
get the chance to be truly out standing in his field........

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1663. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 16:19:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <a9gq51prouemi4rs5jucdds5qv3md35qdf@4ax.com>
Source record: 198688

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 13:40:48 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:pu5q51p2558jsdtmqjusr8nneceor4de28@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:500o511sdssm47g64kflfiufl9bvqtmh80@4ax.com...
>>>> On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 11:41:29 -0400,
>>>>
>>>> Once again you base your mistaken opinion on technicalities and
>>>> semantics. Someone who murders someone is still guilty of a criminal
>>>> act regardless if he's been caught yet. Being pronounced guilty is
>>>> only a formality. The same holds true for the FCC rules.
>>>
>>>
>>>Here we go again. DAVE, is Michael Jackson guilty?
>>
>> I don't know. But whether or not the court pronounced him as such
>> doesn't change the acts that he may or may not have done.
>
>Doesn't change the acts he may or may not done? If he's
>done something wrong, found guilty then he's a criminal.
>If he done nothing wrong, went to court and was found
>not guilty, he should still be labeled a criminal because
>he's being accused?

What if he's done the acts he was accused of, but because of an
inability for the state to prove it, or the credibility of the
witnesses becomes cloudy and he walks, what does THAT make him?

>>>Think before you answer, are you there? sitting in
>>>the jury box? listening to the testimony? following
>>>the judges orders concerning what type of evidence
>>>you are going to hear? not formulating any opinion
>>>until you and the rest of your fellow jurors are
>>>deliberating the case? Of course not, so how can
>>>you say because someone here is running a 1000
>>>watts and talking on the freeband is a criminal?
>>
>> If you witness someone killing another, do you need a jury verdict

>> before you know that that person is a murderer?
>
>I heard someone on 2 meters last night, swearing, threating
>people, is he guilty of violating FCC rules?

Absolutely!

>>
>> If the law defines a particular act as criminal, then if you engage in
>> that act, you are engaging in a criminal activity. Being labeled as
>> such by a court is only a formality and a convenient excuse for people
>> who want to thumb their nose at the law, and wish to ease their guilty
>> conscience, by trying to convince themselves that their activities
>> aren't really criminal because they haven't been caught yet..
>
>No, it's a fact. Going around chasing speeders, j-walkers,
>litterbugs etc etc and calling them criminals will change
>nothing.

Nor will stating that a person clearly engaging in a particular
criminal activity isn't really a criminal because they haven't been
caught or convicted of it yet.

> You'll have to start calling 4 out of 10 people
>you know criminals then, because by a national survey
>that's the percentage that speed.

Speeding is not considered a criminal offense. Operating a radio
transmitter without a license is. Interesting that you lump illegally
operating a radio transmitter in with such trivial summary offenses as
jay-walking, speeding and simple littering. Those summary offenses do
not carry criminal penalties. Violation of certain FCC rules, on the
other hand, does. Some people used to think the same thing about
theft of cable TV service. Until the law changed and got some teeth.
Now people who sell cable theft devices face serious jail time.

>>>You can't, you are not a sheriff, judge & jury, to
>>>which is the only way someone can be classified
>>>a criminal, after being convicted, before that they
>>>are only a suspect.
>>
>> Maybe in a legal sense, but that's a poor justification for engaging
>> in criminal behavior, and saying; "you can't call me a criminal
>> because a jury didn't convict me yet".
>
>A well known business man is accused by his ex-wife of
>being a child molester. DA says that he won't prosecute
>because lack of evidence and it doesn't look like he
>really did anything. You start calling him a child molester
>and criminal to friends and people that you know, that will
>leave you open for a slander lawsuit, that's why you don't
>run around accusing people of being criminals.

Ah, but there is a fine difference. A person accused is presumed
innocent until proven guilty. But you know as well as I do that the
system is flawed, and many times guilty people walk for various
reasons. Conversely, some innocent people are wrongly convicted. But
if I witness a crime, I don't need a jury to tell me that the perp is
a criminal.

Did the alleged "child molester" brag to a bunch of people on an
internet forum that he did indeed molest children? Admitting to an
unlawful activity is the same thing in principle to pleading guilty in
a trial. It may be "unofficial" but that's all I need to see to make
up my mind.

If I arbitrarily call you a federal lawbreaking criminal for violation
of FCC rules on freebanding or power levels, and I can't prove it, it
becomes libel (Assuming you really aren't doing it).

If, on the other hand, I monitor you doing it, or you brag to other
people that you do it, then you are engaging in a criminal activity.

>>>>>Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact
>>>>>that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most
>>>>>certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the
>>>>>fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.
>>>>
>>>> Yep, the old subversive ploy of thinking that "it's only guilty if
>>>> you're caught" mentality. Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.
>>>
>>>Nope, it's called a guilty conscience, to which you can only
>>>be called guilty in front of the lord all-mighty
>>
>> Isn't that enough?
>
>If you conscience bothers you, yes.

If you are of sound moral principles, then it should. If not, then you
start bordering on sociopathic tendencies.

>>>, everything
>>>else has to be done through a court of law.
>>
>> You can't serve time and be branded a "criminal" until found guilty in
>> a court of law. But the fact that you might get away with a crime,
>> doesn't lessen what you truly are.
>>
>> Playing word games doesn't hide that fact.
>>
>
>Playing with meanings doesn't hide the fact either Dave,
>that's why they are called suspects, not criminals.

Once again, this is to accommodate a person's presumption of innocence
in the course of due process . And once again, if you witness a crime,

you don't need a jury to tell you what your senses already did.

>Oh, by the way, that ham operator using the foul language
>and threating people, his callsign he was using was N3CVJ.
>By you're logic, that alone should brand you a criminal.

No, since I did not do it, and the distance between us makes it very unlikely that you heard me. Now, if I stated that I did it and/or you witnessed ME doing it, and you could positively identify me, then you could factually make that statement.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1664. question on radar detectors f.s.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 16:56:34 UTC
From: carl wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <115qjq5r15ba97d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198689

does anyone have a good place to sell bel radar detectors in lots.. not one
at a time ,such as e-bay. maybe a dealer who could use 5-50 at a time
these are brand new in sealed boxes
thank you for your courteous answer
Carl & Dee
ffffffffffffffffff

1665. FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 19:53:33 UTC
From: Paul Calman <spam@trap.com>
Message-ID: <3c5blsF6k2bi7U1@individual.net>
Source record: 198690

Howdy. Is there a faq, before I ask all the same questions as everyone else new on this NG?

--
Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California

1666. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:01:51 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <s32r511bicot637uagn86jecoa91bdt5dj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198691

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 12:53:33 -0700, "Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com> wrote:

>Howdy. Is there a faq, before I ask all the same questions as everyone else
>new on this NG?

Paul, welcome to the group. Before you post, I would look at some posts, and monitor this group for a week, so you don't get sticker shock. And get ready to use your killfile.

Vinnie S.

1667. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:13:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23608-425D8B81-119@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198692

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 13:40:48 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:pu5q51p2558jsdtmqjusr8nneceor4de28@4ax.com... On Wed, 13 Apr 2005
04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:500o511sdssm47g64kflfiufl9bvqtmh80@4ax.com... On Tue, 12 Apr 2005
11:41:29 -0400,
>Once again you base your mistaken opinion

>on technicalities and semantics. Someone

>who murders someone is still guilty of a

>criminal act regardless if he's been caught yet.
>Being pronounced guilty is only a formality.

>The same holds true for the FCC rules.

(Here we go again. DAVE, is Michael Jackson guilty?)

>I don't know. But whether or not the court

>pronounced him as such doesn't change the

>acts that he may or may not have done.

Once one is found not guilty in the US by a court of law and/or a jury
of their peers, you can not claim he is guilty, regardless of what he
may have done as relating to his case. Do so and you'll be broke after
being sued for defamation of character along with anything else
concerning libel or slander laws.
-
(Doesn't change the acts he may or may not done? If he's done something
wrong, found guilty then he's a criminal. If he done nothing wrong, went
to court and was found not guilty, he should still be labeled a criminal
because he's being accused?)

>What if he's done the acts he was accused of,

>.but because of an inability for the state to

>prove it, or the credibility of the witnesses

>becomes cloudy and he walks, what does

>THAT make him?

Depends on the verdict. There are only three possibilities,,,,,innocent, not guilty, and guilty.

(Think before you answer, are you there? sitting in the jury box? listening to the testimony? following the judges orders concerning what type of evidence you are going to hear? not formulating any opinion until you and the rest of your fellow jurors are deliberating the case? Of course not, so how can you say because someone here is running a 1000 watts and talking on the freeband is a criminal?)

>If you witness someone killing another, do you
>need a jury verdict before you know that that

>person is a murderer?

You can "know" (translated in your case to being a simile for "believe") anything you wish, but even if you witnessed such an act, you are not permitted to publicly call him such IF he was tried and found other than guilty. Your belief is irrelevent.
-
(I heard someone on 2 meters last night, swearing, threating people, is he guilty of violating FCC rules?)

>Absolutely!

>If the law defines a particular act as criminal,

>then if you engage in that act, you are

>engaging in a criminal activity. Being labeled

>as such by a court is only a formality and a

>convenient excuse for people who want to

>thumb their nose at the law, and wish to ease

>their guilty conscience, by trying to convince

>themselves that their activities aren't really

>criminal because they haven't been caught

>yet..

(chuckle),,you go on trying to convince *yourself" you have the right to call one a criminal for what you perceive constitutes such. If you were to publicly refer to one with their proper name as as a criminal, based only what you present here and erroneously believe constitutes such criminal activity (such as maintaining, on more than one occasion, that one's posts in this group you -think- may belong to a certain identity, is "proof" enough (for you) to refer to the person as a criminal), a small filing fee would be paid (AFTER your criminal charges) and you would be buried in civil court by someone versed in what you mistakenly perceive as the law. After the criminal charges were applied you, the civil matter would be only a formality, based on your guilt from the

outcome of the criminal trial based on your libel/slander/defamatory comments, which are in turn based on -your- erroenous beliefs.

-
(No, it's a fact. Going around chasing speeders, j-walkers, litterbugs etc etc and calling them criminals will change nothing.)

>Nor will stating that a person clearly engaging

>in a particular criminal activity isn't really a

>criminal because they haven't been caught or

>convicted of it yet.

On the contrary,,that IS the law, David, and it does change things..

_
(You'll have to start calling 4 out of 10 people you know criminals then, because by a national survey that's the percentage that speed.)

>Speeding is not considered a criminal offense.
Neither is dxing

>Operating a radio transmitter without a license
>is.

CB.

>Interesting that you lump illegally operating

>a radio transmitter in with such trivial summary
>offenses as jay-walking, speeding and simple

>littering.

Yet, here you squat to piss, lumping in civil infractions with criminal infractions. Another case of you selectively doing so only when you agree with the premise.

>Those summary offenses do not carry criminal
>penalties. Violation of certain FCC rules, on

>the other hand, does.

Not dx.

>Some people used to

>think the same thing about theft of cable TV

>service.

The you should have no problem citing an example where one of these mystery people you are always invoking claimed they thought stealing from a cable TV service was legal/acceptable/non-criminal.

>Until the law changed and got some

>teeth. Now people who sell cable theft devices
>face serious jail time.

But not dxers.
Anyways, those who sell illegal cable boxes are a much different scenario than the first you invoked. Selling illegal converters carries a much harsher charge than merely using one, but it does illustrate how far off topic you are wliling to run.

(You can't, you are not a sheriff, judge & jury, to which is the only way someone can be classified a criminal, after being convicted, before that they are only a suspect.)

>Maybe in a legal sense,

LOL,,as opposed to what? The legal sense is the only manner in which you may legally refer to one a criminal. Do it ay other way and you are opening yourself to penalties. Using your own warped logic (admitting something on the internet is the same as a guilty plea in a court of law) concerning what is said among internet babble, the mere fact that you were informed of the law on many occasion, yet continue to refer to certain proper names as a criminal, can enhance your penalties because you continued to break said laws. Even though you may correctly plead ignorance, such is never held in a court of law as a valid excuse for one breaking the law.

>but that's a poor justification for engaging in

>criminal behavior, and saying; "you can't call

>me a criminal because a jury didn't convict me
>yet".

(A well known business man is accused by his ex-wife of being a child molester. DA says that he won't prosecute because lack of evidence and it doesn't look like he really did anything. You start calling him a child molester and criminal to friends and people that you know, that will leave you open for a slander lawsuit, that's why you don't run around accusing people of being criminals.)

>Ah, but there is a fine difference.

Only in your mind.

>A person accused is presumed innocent until

>proven guilty.

And only a court of law can determine such proof, not you, not your observational skills, not your "knowing" based on beliefs, and certainly not your (mis)interpretations of the law.

> But you know as well as I do

>that the system is flawed, and many times

>guilty people walk for various reasons.

Irrelevant.
You continue to express extreme difficulty in comprehending that you may not refer to these people as criminals.

>Conversely, some innocent people are

>wrongly convicted. But if I witness a crime, I

>don't need a jury to tell me that the perp is a

>criminal.

You most certainly do if you wish to say it publicly or to another.

>Did the alleged "child molester" brag to a

>bunch of people on an internet forum that he

>did indeed molest children?

More of your ignorance. I can say I shot Kennedy....it means shit, except to you.

>Admitting to an unlawful activity is the same

>thing in principle to pleading guilty in a trial.

Good gawd oh mighty. Here's where you get schooled again. not only do you have no clue who one is on the internet, you are incompetently and incorrectly claiming hearsay is the same thing as pleading guilty. Your ignorance of the law has no bounds,

>It may be "unofficial" but that's all I need to see
>to make up my mind.

Of course, you do. You have been mispronouncing people on the internet as "federal criminals" as long as you have been spoon-fed carefully scripted information. Now that you shout to the world your mistaken belief that what one posts on the internet is tantamount to an admission of guilt in a US court of law, all one can do is laugh at you or feel pity.
Besides, your position can get you sued, should you exercise it as you claim,

>If I arbitrarily call you a federal lawbreaking

>criminal for violation of FCC rules on

>freebanding or power levels, and I can't prove

>.it, it becomes libel (Assuming you really aren't
>doing it).

You have no way of knowing what someone, such as myself, does or doesn't. Because you mistakenly believe everyone that posts from webtv

is the twisted who rang your bell and a sock puppet, doesn't make it so. Because you weren't aware roger beeps were legal, did not make them illegal, as you ignorantly maintained. Because you denied the existence of a federal DOT, didnt alter the reality of it.

>If, on the other hand, I monitor you doing it, or

>you brag to other people that you do it,

Wrong again. I can sit here and tell you I robbed banks, killed Kennedy, was single-handedly responsible for the theft of the Star of India, and broker counterfeit Monets,,,it means shit,,,,,,of course,,,except to you, who has these warped beliefs regarding legalities responsible for so many errors in your comments.

>then you are engaging in a criminal activity. _

Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.

>Yep, the old subversive ploy of thinking that "

>it's only guilty if you're caught" mentality.

Actually, the fact that one is only guilty when assessed by a court of law, is not a mentality, David, it's US law reality. This mentality you speak of, is all yours. The law,,,, is reality.

>Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.

And your comments are typical of those consumed with hate and those of little tolerance for all but your own beliefs.

(Nope, it's called a guilty conscience, to which you can only be called guilty in front of the lord all-mighty)

>Isn't that enough?

(If you conscience bothers you, yes.)

>If you are of sound moral principles, then it

>should.

What you profess as sound moral principles, ranks right there with your denial the legality of roger beeps, the denial the existence of the federal DOT, and your claim there is no federal speed limit (there is, and it governs all commercial vehicles).

> If not, then you start bordering on

>.sociopathic tendencies.

The law disagrees with you.

(You can't serve time and be branded a
"criminal" until found guilty in a court of law.)

>But the fact that you might get away with a

>crime, doesn't lessen what you truly are.

But the fact that you claim internet babble is the same thing as a guilty plea in a court of law, most certainly governs when you may or may not publicly refer one as a criminal.
Referring to a person proper as a criminal based on what -you- believe is one's internet identity and what they may have posted, illustrates, no,,,"screams" ignorance regarding your knowledge of the law.

>Playing word games doesn't hide that fact.

(Playing with meanings doesn't hide the fact either Dave, that's why they are called suspects, not criminals.)
Once again, this is to accommodate a
person's presumption of innocence in the
course of due process .

>And once again, if you

>witness a crime, you don't need a jury to tell

>you what your senses already did.

And once again, -your- (non)senses alone are not proof by any definition, and are irrelevent except/unless directed by a court of law.

(Oh, by the way, that ham operator using the foul language and threating people, his callsign he was using was N3CVJ. By you're logic, that alone should brand you a criminal.)

>No, since I did not do it,

Holding with your logic, if one posts here with your call sign and spoke of such activity, it would make them a criminal. You haven't a clue, David, despite more and more people educating you each day.

>and the distance between us makes it very

>unlikely that you heard me. Now, if I stated

>that I did it and/or you witnessed ME doing it,

>and you could positively identify me, then you

>.could factually make that statement.

Wrong. Especially concerning radio laws. The FCC can NOT prosecute unless one of their agents witness the act. You can cry all day in your spilled, spoiled milk about it, but it will not change the facts of the law, of which you are unable to learn. The bottom line responsible for maiming your psyche, is that you can not refer to one as a criminal unless they were convicted in a court of law. It's comical watching you

attempt to explain the opposite of what our laws hold, and hypocritical for one who invokes the law so much,,,except, of course, in instances such as this where you disagree with the law, then it suddenly becomes about -your- perceptions and beliefs and no longer about the law. Not only do you epitomize the now widely-accepted "some of those licensed in communications seem to know the least about it and express the most difficulties", but serve
as a perfect example of those who like to fancy themselves skilled in a certain area, but are completely lost and inept regarding the subject that mesmerizes them so...in this case, the law and its components.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>.n3cvj

1668. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:36:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413173626.271$Zn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198693

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 19:31:47 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >
> >I have built non-resonant antennas that beat a 5/8ths. A 5/8ths is
> >hard pressed achieve the claims for gain that it has.The only
> >commercial 5/8th that I seen that was properly decoupled was an
> >Isotron.
>
> Sorry, I meant Isopole
>
Dan Marino used to give his OL those gloves. :)

1669. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:43:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413174318.486$2S@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198694

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 06:50:26 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
> >This isn't about me, although you are attempting to make it so. Making
> >it about me, deflects from the real story, which is your own blatant
> >hypocrisy.
> >
> >
> >> Who's the hypocrite, Dave?
> >
> >You are. You got all over Vinnie for being a "tough guy", yet you are
> >on record behaving in an even more aggressive display of the same type
> >of behavior.
>
> Actually, Frank has no clue, and sided with George. I did not challange
> to meet anyone. I did not threaten to go to someone's house and sneak
> onto their property, and then take pictures. I did not of these.
>
I did it by invitation and the fucksticks still try to throw me under
the bus, Enzo. Never mind trying to appease the three walkie-talkie
operators that hang here humping legs...one look at their antenna
or coax is all you need to know about their deficiencies.

1670. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:44:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413174441.569$MP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198695

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
> >on the History Channel.
> >
> > A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
> >did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
>
> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
>
I love war movies and such.

1671. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:49:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413174938.873$CK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198696

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:pu5q51p2558jsdtmqjusr8nneceor4de28@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> >>news:500o511sdssm47g64kflfiufl9bvqtmh80@4ax.com...
> >>> On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 11:41:29 -0400,
> >>>
> >>> Once again you base your mistaken opinion on technicalities and
> >>> semantics. Someone who murders someone is still guilty of a criminal
> >>> act regardless if he's been caught yet. Being pronounced guilty is
> >>> only a formality. The same holds true for the FCC rules.
> >>
> >>
> >>Here we go again. DAVE, is Michael Jackson guilty?
> >
> > I don't know. But whether or not the court pronounced him as such
> > doesn't change the acts that he may or may not have done.
>
> Doesn't change the acts he may or may not done? If he's
> done something wrong, found guilty then he's a criminal.
> If he done nothing wrong, went to court and was found
> not guilty, he should still be labeled a criminal because
> he's being accused?
>
I heard Jackson will literally cry if you don't let your pre-pubescent
son sleep in the same bed with him.

Fucker needs a bullet to separate him from his sick habit. The parents
need a bullet too for allowing their children to accommodate him.

1672. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:50:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413175043.911$9E@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198697

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> The answer is quite simple, if he became a farmer, he might actually
> get the chance to be truly out standing in his field........
>
OH! that one has crust on it!

1673. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:53:21 UTC
From: Paul Calman <spam@trap.com>
Message-ID: <3c5imhF6jqaa2U1@individual.net>
Source record: 198698

> Paul, welcome to the group. Before you post, I would look at some posts,
> and
> monitor this group for a week, so you don't get sticker shock. And get
> ready to
> use your killfile.

Thanks. i've been on the usenet for years, thought it rude to post my
questions without asking for the FAQ.
My filter is up and running.

--
Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California

1674. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:56:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413175643.574$Mo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198699

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> Did the alleged "child molester" brag to a bunch of people on an
> internet forum that he did indeed molest children?
>
What do you think about a dog that is making a living but refuses to
feed his children, to the point of getting tagged with a felony for it?

1675. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 21:57:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413175720.212$si@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198700

"Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com> wrote:
> Howdy. Is there a faq, before I ask all the same questions as everyone
> else new on this NG?
>
Ask away, Paul. :)

1676. Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 22:14:22 UTC
From: Paul Calman <spam@trap.com>
Message-ID: <3c5jtuF6m4nmnU1@individual.net>
Source record: 198701

Hi. I have a cb unit mounted on a motorcycle. it is a J&M, linked here, http://www.jmcorp.com/SeeProducts.asp?PF=24 , using the 3 foot Wilson antenna on the page.
It's a pretty decent unit (kinda expensive, mine came with another bike) and can be operated without looking at it, a good thing on a bike. I use it to talk with other riders, but the maker says about 1/2 mile is all the range I should get. On a straight piece of road it gets tough to use at 1 mile.
The reason I am told is that the bike has no ground plane, but I don't know whether that affects reception or transmission. What's the story here?
I know Linear amps are a no-no, but I don't intend on doing skip, using it often, or even talking much to base stations and truckers, and certainly don't want to run some giant power unit that stomps on others transmissions, but would be interested in using a small linear to boost transmission to a max range of about ten miles, an acceptable distance when traveling cross country with other bikes. Can any of you recommend what wattage would be sufficient for this use without going overboard? Some of the problems to be dealt with are a limited charging system, the inability to space wires far from current and ignition units, and physical size. I would prefer to build my own unit, rather that pay big bucks for garbage made in china. I have seen schematics for sale for 30, 50, and 100 watt units. Know of any free sources?
Other that a lecture on the illegality of linears, Do you have any useful advice?

--
Paul C.

1677. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 22:36:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23608-425D9EEB-134@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198703

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 11:41:29 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>You accused me of stating that someone

>withdrew the complaint. I made no such

>statement. That's a lie on your part,

>predicated, no doubt, from your inability to

>remember who said what over the years.

Nah,,,you said it.

>Then provide the google link as proof.

Do not ask others what you refuse to provide yourself..it's called
hypocrisy and myself, Frank, Jim, Shark, Mopar, and now Lancer
(regarding your lack of knowledge of antennas) have illustrated such.

>You once tried to claim that I accused Keith of

>something. When pressed on the issue,

>(While you scrambled through google) you f

>finally had to back off when you realized that

>you make a mistake. But true to form, you

>would never be a man and admit it.

AS opposed to you being wrong concerning the federal DOT (just to name a
single issue).

>You want to eat crow again for something you

>had to reluctantly back off from before?

You're the one choking on feathers in all your posts, especially since
you were instructed of the existsence of the DOT and the legaliyy of
roger beeps.

>You really don't learn your lessons.

>Until you can provide the proof, you're simply

>spinning yarns.

.said the one who provides countless un-named, un-substantiated, and unsolicitied claims to bolster your own position. No verifiable details concerning your initiated and unsolicited claims makes your claims unchallengeable giving way to classic demagoguery.

>Are you man enough to apologize now, or will

>you just spin this some more to lay down even
>more smoke?

Look how far you ran from your initial denial of defending Dogie.

>Look how far you go to deflect the topic

>(again!).

The topic wasn't Keith,,you invoked the off-topic.

>You made a specific accusation, and cannot

>back it up. Not you try to change the subject.

You always default to denial mode when other people tell you your behavior is hypocritical. It's called denial.

>No, it's called correcting an error.

And you certainly made your share of forced errors...forensics, DOT, PA State Law, Civil vs criminal law, roger beeps, empirical evidence,..

>You still

>cannot demonstrate anything hypocritical that

>I've posted.

You ask others to provide for their claims after you make unsolicited claims you felt important enough to invoke, but not provide (proof) yourself.

>Translation: You

> are unable to provide the

>.needed proof, so you resort

>to your predictable deflection tactic.

You initiated this tactic with your running from your past claims that were proved lies.

>I'm forced to conclude that you don't know the

>meaning of the word.

I force you to do plenty of things, but lately, it seems Frank has forced you more than anyone.

>So for your edification: hy·poc·ri·sy (P)

>Pronunciation Key (h-pkr-s)

>n. pl. hy·poc·ri·sies

>1.The practice of professing beliefs, feelings,

>or virtues that one does not hold or possess;

>falseness.

You asking for anyone to provide for any of their claims is hypocrisy, David, because you refuse to provide for for the majority fo your own. You can deny all you like. It's my pleasure.

>Which came first, the chicken or the egg?

>Such is the circular nature of your reasoning.

Such is the nature if your actions. As has been illustrated by others, you made more unsubstantiated claims than any.

>Guilty conscience?

Sociopaths do not have consciences.
Reversing your uneducated opinions at your whim serves to illustrate only your ineptness regarding the area you fancy yourself educated.
>Ah, so you now admit to being a sociopath?

Memory impairment is responsible for your floundering. One more time, I am whatever your ego needs and the one responsible for your -failures-.

>That's a progressive sign.

Then perhaps your physician can lower your medication dosage. By the way, how did that new med trial go? Thorazine, wasn't it?

>Thank you for

>answering my question. You did see the (?) at

>the end of my question right?

What everyone else sees is way different than what you claim to see. The light is blinding you.

>You are not capable of educating anyone.

I educated you when you denied existence of a Federal DOT. I educated you concerning your shouted ignorance (for a month) that roger beeps were illegal. I educated you on your mistaken definition of "empirical" evidence, Frank educated you on your mistaken..well, on a lot of your mistaken claims regarding radio, but most recently, of your embarrassing gaffe regarding the incorrect definition of "forensics", something you erroneously claimed you use in addition to empirical evidence. In fact, you have been educated on a host of things by a host of people. Now Lancer is providing your education concerning what you do not know about antennas. Yes, Dave, despite your denials, you most certainly have been

educated by several of us.

>Your legal and political views are akin to the

>malcontents and subversive slackers of the

>1960's.

The definition of the term has not changed, your personal feelings and
bleeding from the gums, not withstanding.
You fecklessly insist such an act
(such as dxing) makes one a federal criminal.

>It does and it is.

It doesn't. An inability to distinguish between federal, criminal, and
civil acts displayed by yourself is not shared by anyone else, only you.
You are assuming all rules and laws governed by a federal agency are
criminal and this simply isn't so. Your error, is you mistakenly believe
the term "federal" can be interchanged with the term "criminal" wehn
relating to the rules and laws they govern. This is your bad, Dave, not
anyone elses.

>The real joke is that you don't even bother to

>read the links your posted to the stories about

>your boy "Bob Noxious". In them they state

>that it's a criminal violation to operate an

>unlicensed transmitter.

Tut-tut,,when you have been reduced to wandering, you tend to make
invalid comparisons. What B-o-b does, and what I do (dx) are two very
different items,

>The only difference

>between the FM broadcast band and the

>freeband is the frequency, and the visibility to

>the public.

Hehe..no, Dave, you are dead wrong,,there are plenty of differences,
especially regarding legalities, but I have learned to be content
watching you deny existence of the things of which you are not educated.

>The FCC (a FEDERAL

>agency)

> via the communications act of 1934 clearly

>defines both civil AND criminal penalties for

>violation of the law.

So does the Federal DOT.

> The fact that you haven't

>.been caught yet does not change that.

Yet, the fact one hasn't been convicted of such DOES change -your-
mistaken position. The fact that you disagree with the US laws and
justice system that does not allow anyone to refer to another as a
criminal unless they are found guilty and pronounced as such in a court
of law, is irrelevant, as it again is your ignorance responsible for
your mistaken belief.

>Once again you base your mistaken opinion

>on technicalities and semantics.

What you call technicalities is the basis and foundation for our
judicial system. It's not perfect, but it works much better than your
pronouncing one a guilty criminal based only on your ignorance.

>Someone who murders someone is still guilty

>of a criminal act regardless if he's been caught
>yet.

Not if they haven't been convicted by a court of law. This is the ONLY
manner in which one can be "guilty" and called a criminal in the US. To
do so without the adjudication of guilt makes on guilty of slander or
libel, depending on the medium used.

>Being pronounced guilty is only a formality.

Says you, but you are wrong. It is THE ONLY basis for guilt.

>The same holds true for the FCC rules.

Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact
that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most
certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the
fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.

>Yep, the old subversive ploy of thinking that

>."it's only guilty if you're caught" mentality.

Again, your problem is with your disagreeing of the US judicial system.
This is not MY idea, Dave, this is the way our system is designed and
you have a problem with it. Get past your personal problems that have
you misperceiving the law as a mentality instead of the reality you can
not grasp.

>Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.

Again, take it to your congressman.

>There are no federal traffic cops.

Umm,,,there is. That is exactly what DOT officers are. In addition to the usual laws they enforce regaridng commercial carriers and transit, they are not LIMITED by them. A Federal officer may enforce ANY law in this country. Keep talking, Dave, as you continue to be educated.

>There is no federal speed limit.

This is your counter to your incorrect claim that there is no federal DOT? Man, you are a glutton for punishment. Sure there is, David, truckers must abide by it every day. As I said,,,keep talking.

>I have a cousin who's a lawyer

Hehehe,,,as I said,,,off you go now.
You find it important enough you feel you must mention you have a cousin who is a lawyer, but no identification, resutling in you not providing for your claim.. You found it important enough to claim you have a friend who was busted by the fcc, but will not provide for the claim. You feel it important enough to claim you have cops who are friends who gave you the wrong definition of Pa law, but of course you will not provide for the claim. You find it important enough to claim you went to a tech school, but will not provide for any claims. It's your pattern, David.

>Far be it for you to chastise anyone for not

>providing for their claims when you can't even

>reveal your own name.

Stay focused, Dave. By now, everyone understands your need to become personal when you are forced to learn, but it's off topic and serves only to illustrate your incompetence and lack of communication skill.

>You who claims to embrace the concepts of

>anonymity. You want me to give you personal

>information,

No Dave,,,you -chose- to give us personal info regarding this subject, .your claim was unsolicited.

>yet you can't even come from

>behind that clock of gutless anonymity.

Gutless is the threat you made about coming to "give you what you want". Reviews of that thread show how yellow you are and what a coward you have become, as well as the lies you made concerning your threats.

>Stick to fishing. It's probably the only subject

>you know anything about.

I also know boats, and that you were seen coming a mile away when you bought yours.

>Oh, this should be good.

Your education is always regarded as good,,,except, by yourself, and this is only because it pains you to be proven wrong..I was going to say "by myslef" and then considered saying"by cbers", but you have shown that all who prove you wrong, bring you great pains.

>Another subject

>where I'll clean your clock and not even break

>a sweat. What could you possibly know about

>my boat or any boat in general?

...asked the landlocked wannabe who gets maybe two, three months use per year of his boat. Yes, David, again, your hands-on experience over the years with your boats in Pennsylvania adds up to,,what...how many months? LOL. Even if you multiplied 4 months per year of your experience (and that's generous) for the last twenty five years, that gives you a total of what,,,,,,100 months experience? That's less than 10 years experience and it's not even consecutive.
You're still green and a lightweight, but your self-proclaimed experience regarding such, is my brass ring. In fact, all areas which you have professed unsolicited proficiency to the group, have been decimated by others who do understand the subjects you fancy yourself knowledgeable. That list is growing rapidly.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1678. Re: Just because Transhark says it doesn't make it so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 22:38:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23609-425D9F75-23@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198702

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam meplease
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:Aj97e.1720$t85.511
@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
(Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal,)

>Yeah ok, so you calling doug a fcc criminal

>doesnt count either, assclown.

The US courts adjuducated Dogie guilty in a court of law. Therefore,
anyone can publicly say he is a criminal.

1679. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 22:43:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23609-425DA074-24@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198704

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam (notgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:23608-425D8B81-119@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
You can "know" (translated in your case to being a simile for "believe") anything you wish, but even if you witnessed such an act, you are not permitted to publicly call him such IF he was tried and found other than guilty. Your belief is irrelevent.

>The noun criminal has one meaning:

>Meaning #1: someone who has committed (or

>been legally convicted of) a crime

>crim·i·nal

>n.

>1. One who has committed a crime

>2. A person who has been convicted of a

>crime

Get educated.
You say only one defintion exists for the noun, but list two..heheh. Doesn't matter. You will not see any paper or magazine calling OJ a murderer. Such answers what you fail to grasp.

1680. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 23:47:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413194731.841$SA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198705

CBFAQ@org.net wrote:
> > Howdy. Is there a faq, before I ask all the same questions as everyone
> > else new on this NG?
> >
> > --
> > Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California
> >
> >
>
> Yes paul there is a faq there is also a fag, his name is steveo, and if
> he disagrees with you he will threaten to punch you out. So the 1st
> mistake you made is giving your real name because steveo hides his
> identity. It is his MO to go to your house and take pics of you through
> doors and windows. He will also post your court records, wifes name, etc.
> so stay away from this nutcase.
>
I'm Steve Parks in Medina Ohio. Rt 71 and Rt 18.

Come and get me, aol-boi.

1681. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-13 23:55:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413195510.384$QX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198706

CBFAQ@net.org wrote:
> > CBFAQ@org.net wrote:
> > > > Howdy. Is there a faq, before I ask all the same questions as
> > > > everyone else new on this NG?
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Yes paul there is a faq there is also a fag, his name is steveo, and
> > > if he disagrees with you he will threaten to punch you out. So the
> > > 1st mistake you made is giving your real name because steveo hides
> > > his identity. It is his MO to go to your house and take pics of you
> > > through doors and windows. He will also post your court records,
> > > wifes name, etc. so stay away from this nutcase.
> > >
> > I'm Steve Parks in Medina Ohio. Rt 71 and Rt 18.
> >
> > Come and get me, aol-boi.
>
> No such name, no such address.
>
No shit. I own a business and keep my phone number unlisted. You have been
calling me Peebles for a year now nad so you are lost.
>
> See paul, hes starting up his antics already LOL
>
LOL yourself to sleep, drunkard.

-PLONK-

1682. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:21:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6odr515b6j36jsrrpcpudp2ipb1jrg7dn7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198707

On 13 Apr 2005 21:43:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I did it by invitation and the fucksticks still try to throw me under
>the bus, Enzo.

Right, which is what separates you from them. I didn't invite them, or challenge them. They decided to single me out for some reason. Well, if they decide to come here, then they are fair game.

>Never mind trying to appease the three walkie-talkie
>operators that hang here humping legs...one look at their antenna
>or coax is all you need to know about their deficiencies.

That is more than I got right now !

Vinnie S.

1683. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:23:01 UTC
From: jonz <overhere@overthere.com>
Message-ID: <425db858$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 198708

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050413195510.384$QX@newsreader.com...
> CBFAQ@net.org wrote:
> > > CBFAQ@org.net wrote:
> > > > > Howdy. Is there a faq, before I ask all the same questions as
> > > > > everyone else new on this NG?
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California
> > > > >
> > > > >shit snipped....
.. paul, as you noticed by the replies to your post, this
place is mostly populated by imbeciles and assorted brain dead idiots. you
will gain little info here. tho. you might get a laugh as they posture and
threaten each other. cheers, jonz
> > > >
> > > >

1684. Re: Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:28:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <b2er51loo55eclor52t47g22qmicbgq4eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 198709

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 15:14:22 -0700, "Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com> wrote:

I think the biggest problem is no ground plane. With my Wilson 1000 in the middle of my roof, I get 3-5 miles barefoot. I so shitty in the mountains. Range goes down to about 1/2 -1 mile. The smallest amps will draw about 15 amps or so. I am not familiar with motorcycle alternators or batteries, and don't know their limits.

>Hi. I have a cb unit mounted on a motorcycle. it is a J&M, linked here,
>http://www.jmcorp.com/SeeProducts.asp?PF=24 , using the 3 foot Wilson
>antenna on the page.
>It's a pretty decent unit (kinda expensive, mine came with another bike) and
>can be operated without looking at it, a good thing on a bike. I use it to
>talk with other riders, but the maker says about 1/2 mile is all the range I
>should get. On a straight piece of road it gets tough to use at 1 mile.
>The reason I am told is that the bike has no ground plane, but I don't know
>whether that affects reception or transmission. What's the story here?
>I know Linear amps are a no-no, but I don't intend on doing skip, using it
>often, or even talking much to base stations and truckers, and certainly
>don't want to run some giant power unit that stomps on others transmissions,
>but would be interested in using a small linear to boost transmission to a
>max range of about ten miles, an acceptable distance when traveling cross
>country with other bikes. Can any of you recommend what wattage would be
>sufficient for this use without going overboard? Some of the problems to be
>dealt with are a limited charging system, the inability to space wires far
>from current and ignition units, and physical size. I would prefer to build
>my own unit, rather that pay big bucks for garbage made in china. I have
>seen schematics for sale for 30, 50, and 100 watt units. Know of any free
>sources?
>Other that a lecture on the illegality of linears, Do you have any useful
>advice?

Vinnie S.

1685. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:31:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <neer5191297kaajkkuj0ilpm4db62uu7pc@4ax.com>
Source record: 198710

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 10:23:01 +1000, "jonz" <overhere@overthere.com> wrote:

> .. paul, as you noticed by the replies to your post, this
>place is mostly populated by imbeciles and assorted brain dead idiots. you
>will gain little info here. tho. you might get a laugh as they posture and
>threaten each other. cheers, jonz

Couldn't have said it better myself. But let's try anyway.

Vinnie S.

1686. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:31:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413203155.601$mm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198712

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 13 Apr 2005 21:43:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I did it by invitation and the fucksticks still try to throw me under
> >the bus, Enzo.
>
> Right, which is what separates you from them. I didn't invite them, or
> challenge them. They decided to single me out for some reason. Well, if
> they decide to come here, then they are fair game.
>
> >Never mind trying to appease the three walkie-talkie
> >operators that hang here humping legs...one look at their antenna
> >or coax is all you need to know about their deficiencies.
>
> That is more than I got right now !
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Fly me up there and we will 'git er done'.. and have time for a
joy ride to PA. Give me two weeks notice please. I can bring
a tree climber too..one of the boys that is going to Dayton
with me. This guy is fearless when it comes to heights, I'm
scared to death of anything more than 30 feet.

I can fly from Akron which is cheaper than Hopkins. :D

1687. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:32:14 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <BRi7e.1884$nt7.1060@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198711

Landshark wrote:
> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
> on the History Channel.
>
> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
>
> Landshark
>
>
aye sharkie one of the reasons i got hbo when it originally aired. have
the box set.

1688. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:32:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413203236.465$8z@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198713

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Landshark wrote:
> > Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
> > on the History Channel.
> >
> > A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
> > did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> aye sharkie one of the reasons i got hbo when it originally aired. have
> the box set.
>
Hi Jim. Do you watch Deadwood?

1689. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:43:25 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <40j7e.1890$iE7.603@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198714

Paul Calman wrote:

> Howdy. Is there a faq, before I ask all the same questions as everyone else
> new on this NG?
>
didn't b. eitner post a faq for this board or was it someone else?
google the name mate. there was one out there but the name escapes me.

1690. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:43:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4rer51d4q2pvslhvkqp4v0atnhttc3bii7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198715

On 14 Apr 2005 00:31:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >Never mind trying to appease the three walkie-talkie
>> >operators that hang here humping legs...one look at their antenna
>> >or coax is all you need to know about their deficiencies.
>>
>> That is more than I got right now !
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Fly me up there and we will 'git er done'.. and have time for a
>joy ride to PA. Give me two weeks notice please. I can bring
>a tree climber too..one of the boys that is going to Dayton
>with me. This guy is fearless when it comes to heights, I'm
>scared to death of anything more than 30 feet.
>
>I can fly from Akron which is cheaper than Hopkins. :D

I want to do it this Saturday. But I first have to take care of some flood erosion from that storm last week. I had a shitload of soil washed away, so I had 3 dump truck loads of fill delivered, and I am shoveling it by hand. Hopefully, this fill won't wash away, since it is a clay mix. I have completed about half of it. Man, I could use a John Deere tractor right now.

Vinnie S.

1691. Re: More Steveo Threats - Now I know for sure he's powerless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:44:43 UTC
From: jonz <overhere@overthere.com>
Message-ID: <425dbd6f@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 198716

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113243675.136628.163860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Steveo isnt steveo, he is mopeydopey. And niether of the 2 is his real
> name.
>
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote in message news:Xns9634EDFA3532A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in
> > > news:pan.2005.04.11.01.34.43.735681@arrl.net:
> > >
> > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21 +0000
> > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > > > [65.54.174.51]) by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with
> ESMTP
> > > > id j3AIaKI08065 for <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20
> -0500
> > > > Received: from mail pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft
> > > > SMTPSVC; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> > > > <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl> Received: from
> > > > 65.54.174.200 by by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com with HTTP; Sun,
> 10 Apr
> > > > 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP: [65.54.174.200]
> > > > X-Originating-Email: [moparholic@hotmail.com] X-Sender:
> > > > moparholic@hotmail.com From: Moparholic Steve
> <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > > To: kc8ldo@arrl.net Subject: Your friend
> > > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed X-OriginalArrivalTime: 10
> Apr
> > > > 2005 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> > > >
> > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away with
> > > > talking to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away
> with
> > > > that in person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to
> me
> > > > now or ever.
> > > >

> > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of you
> is a
> > > > > sincere apoplogy.
> > > > >
> > > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences. The
> best
> > > > > layed plans are in place.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I hope the photomat is open he will be taking more pics and talking
> shit
> > > > on usenet. I wouldnt worry about it Leland, he is a usenet blowhard
> who
> > > > doesn't have the gumption to give his name or anything else. He
> would
> > > > rather stalk people and remain a anonymous assclown.
> > >
> > > I don't make a secret of who I am, and he calls me a coward while
> hiding
> > > behind a fake ID on the Internet.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Leland C. Scott
> > > KC8LDO
> > >
> > > Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows
> >

geez, you blowhard cunts sure know how to waste bandwidth.......jonz

1692. JoGunn Ants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:50:24 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <C6j7e.1892$iI7.701@fe10.lga>
Source record: 198717

Anyone have any experience modifying these ants? I have a 5+5 star and have acquired pieces of a 4 element horizontal beam and am thinking of marrying the two before its put on a 40' tower.

1693. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:52:22 UTC
From: Paul Calman <spam@trap.com>
Message-ID: <3c5t66F6le42gU1@individual.net>
Source record: 198718

.. paul, as you noticed by the replies to your post, this
> place is mostly populated by imbeciles and assorted brain dead idiots. you
> will gain little info here. tho. you might get a laugh as they posture and
> threaten each other. cheers, jonz

You guys are rank amateurs compared to the herd of cats over on
rec.motorcycles.
We are professional imbeciles, there, and not two good brain cells in any of
them.
--
Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California

1694. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:52:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413205240.265$bW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198719

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Apr 2005 00:31:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Never mind trying to appease the three walkie-talkie
> >> >operators that hang here humping legs...one look at their antenna
> >> >or coax is all you need to know about their deficiencies.
> >>
> >> That is more than I got right now !
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Fly me up there and we will 'git er done'.. and have time for a
> >joy ride to PA. Give me two weeks notice please. I can bring
> >a tree climber too..one of the boys that is going to Dayton
> >with me. This guy is fearless when it comes to heights, I'm
> >scared to death of anything more than 30 feet.
> >
> >I can fly from Akron which is cheaper than Hopkins. :D
>
> I want to do it this Saturday. But I first have to take care of some
> flood erosion from that storm last week. I had a shitload of soil washed
> away, so I had 3 dump truck loads of fill delivered, and I am shoveling
> it by hand. Hopefully, this fill won't wash away, since it is a clay mix.
> I have completed about half of it. Man, I could use a John Deere tractor
> right now.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I'd rent a bobcat and consider some bull-nut 1's and 2's stone to
heal that problem for good. You can move and grade -tons- of material
for about $300 a day rental, that machine is slick.

You can soil over it if you must grow something there.

1695. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:54:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413205430.177$hs@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198720

"Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com> wrote:
> .. paul, as you noticed by the replies to your post, this
> > place is mostly populated by imbeciles and assorted brain dead idiots.
> > you will gain little info here. tho. you might get a laugh as they
> > posture and threaten each other. cheers, jonz
>
> You guys are rank amateurs compared to the herd of cats over on
> rec.motorcycles.
> We are professional imbeciles, there, and not two good brain cells in any
> of them.
>
Rock-on Paul. Thin skin and Usenet donut mix.

1696. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:57:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ltfr519v3159alq1uh7n1mre1nd7ccb30r@4ax.com>
Source record: 198721

On 14 Apr 2005 00:52:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I'd rent a bobcat and consider some bull-nut 1's and 2's stone to
>heal that problem for good. You can move and grade -tons- of material
>for about $300 a day rental, that machine is slick.
>
>You can soil over it if you must grow something there.

I don't have a truck to bring ir back in. At this point, I have done half the
job. I should rented it from the beginning.

Vinnie S.

1697. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 00:58:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <80gr51146gv7uok7nmmtp1nug180ai9fa3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198722

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 17:52:22 -0700, "Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com> wrote:

>.. paul, as you noticed by the replies to your post, this
>> place is mostly populated by imbeciles and assorted brain dead idiots. you
>> will gain little info here. tho. you might get a laugh as they posture and
>> threaten each other. cheers, jonz
>
>You guys are rank amateurs compared to the herd of cats over on
>rec.motorcycles.
>We are professional imbeciles, there, and not two good brain cells in any of
>them.

We encourage you to stay. You might have fun.

Vinnie S.

1698. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 01:10:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413211039.274$7h@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198723

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Apr 2005 00:52:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I'd rent a bobcat and consider some bull-nut 1's and 2's stone to
> >heal that problem for good. You can move and grade -tons- of material
> >for about $300 a day rental, that machine is slick.
> >
> >You can soil over it if you must grow something there.
>
> I don't have a truck to bring ir back in. At this point, I have done half
> the job. I should rented it from the beginning.
>
Yep, and most yards will deliver it for free if you're buying enough
of it. Oh well, if this fix washes you'll know another approach.

1699. Re: Just a reminder about Chris Busch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 02:50:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413225056.510$w1_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198724

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050413203236.465
> $8z@newsreader.com:
>
> > Hi Jim. Do you watch Deadwood?
>
> I hear that is what they call your old lady when you try to get laid.
>
Stale..... I really thought you had some decent in you
at one time, you even sent me emails that dogie sent you when I
thought we had made friends for a bit there, we actually got along.

I dunno what I ever did to you to make you talk to me like you
do? Cut your apron strings away from dogie, he's got troubles.

1700. Read about Mopey, Uhl love this one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 02:52:10 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113447130.375118.45930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198725

He has no clue, but if Uhl all stay tuned, Uhl see that we do.

1701. Re: Read about Mopey, Uhl love this one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 02:58:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413225810.305$1x@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Mopey aint too smart" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> He has no clue, but if Uhl all stay tuned, Uhl see that we do.
>
Node Data
Node Net Reg IP Address Location Node Name
18 1 1 205.162.217.34 Toledo
cblmdm205-162-217-34.buckeye-express.com

Sprint SPRINT-BLKE (NET-205-160-0-0-1)
205.160.0.0 - 205.163.255.255
Access Toledo,LTD. FON-34500014085311 (NET-205-162-216-0-1)
205.162.216.0 - 205.162.223.255
Buckeye Cablevision, Inc. TOOO-360 (NET-205-162-217-0-1)
205.162.217.0 - 205.162.217.255

ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-04-06 19:10

1702. Re: Just a reminder about Chris Busch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 03:28:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050413232833.509$I8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198727

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050413225056.510$w1_-
> _@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050413203236.465
> >> $8z@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > Hi Jim. Do you watch Deadwood?
> >>
> >> I hear that is what they call your old lady when you try to get laid.
> >>
> > Stale..... I really thought you had some decent in you
> > at one time, you even sent me emails that dogie sent you when I
> > thought we had made friends for a bit there, we actually got along.
> >
> > I dunno what I ever did to you to make you talk to me like you
> > do? Cut your apron strings away from dogie, he's got troubles.
>
> This has nothing to do with doug, iamnotchrisbusch either, you know the
> stunt you pulled after we had been talking via email.
>
No I really don't, Chris. It seems like you jump all over me everytime
I show dogie what a fool he is. I'm really easy to get along with, in
fact I can't tell you how many hams email me from this NG since there's
too many to count, and they don't want to be part of this pissing match.

I'm only here for friends and radio which is usually one in the same.

1703. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 03:50:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <l87q515gpcq9ff3hfp861n1lr528do863h@4ax.com>
Source record: 198740

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 08:58:50 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <6dup51tje29s95h1jcquifjdt7q640kj2r@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 22:09:38 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 08:02:09 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <t9bn515apcpqi2i74i3la6j6p0umg927l5@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 18:27:15 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 13:59:47 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>>wrote in <udgd51d64mnkq6u4v84ta376qssv07v3fq@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>>Maybe you had difficulty, but there are a very large number of hams
>>>>>-and- CBers who don't share your ineptitude.
>>>>
>>>>A dipole is a dipole. It has 0 db of gain,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Wrong. A 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole has a gain of roughly +3 dBi in free
>>>>space.
>>>
>>>Isotropic is a theoretical spherical reference model which does not
>>>exist in reality. A dipole is THE reference antenna, by which most
>>>credible gain claims are based against.
>>
>>
>>Antennas are referenced to either or both because both are constants.
>
>Yes but referencing a theoretical model whose characteristics cannot
>be realized in the real world, is somewhat disingenuous. A 1/2 wave
>dipole is a standard reference antenna in the real world.

......"disingenuous"? LOL!

>>>> It has 0 dB when referenced to itself.
>>>
>>>Isn't that what I just said?
>>
>>
>>Unfortunately, yes, that's exactly what you said, and it's absolutely
>>dumb since -every- antenna has 0 dB gain in reference to itself.

>
>But we don't reference an antenna against itself, we reference it
>against a 1/2 wave dipole, a reference antenna which has unity gain.

Time for your next lesson, Dave:

The decibel is the unit of measure in a comparative quantification system that establishes a value in relation to a specified 'standard' or 'reference'. In the case of antenna gain, the measurement is compared to either an isotropic antenna or a 1/2-wave dipole in free space (neither of which, FYI, are obtainable in the real world). A measurement in reference to the isotropic is labelled 'dBi', and when referenced to the dipole the label is 'dBd'. Look up antenna specs and you will see that both references are commonly used. Actually, dBi is more common because it gives higher numbers, which works better from a marketing perspective.

Now to say that "a dipole has "0 dB gain", or even "unity gain", means absolutely nothing because there is no reference. Even if you include the reference but the reference is to itself, that's no better than saying that an inch is equal to an inch -- even more meaningless. And just so you are very clear on this, a dipole (1/2-wave Hertzian) has a gain of 3 dBi -ONLY- in the direction of maximum gain; and again, that gain is realized -only- in free space. That being said.....

Antenna gain in the -real- world is first measured as field strength, then that value is compared against the calculated equivalent field strength of a free-space dipole and/or isotropic radiator. Here's the shocker, Dave: If you do that with a 1/2-wave dipole you will find that it does -not- have a gain of 3 dbi at the point of maximum gain for the simple reason that it is not in free space. IOW, your dipole with "unity gain" is nothing more than a theoretical fantasy.

>>>Then it's no longer a half wave dipole. You are now talking double
>>>ended zepp designs, which do exhibit gain over a 1/2 wave dipole
>>
>>
>>But you didn't say "half wave dipole". You said only "dipole" which
>>could be any kind of dipole, be it a double-ended Zepp or the rabbit
>>ears perched on top of your overused television set.
>
>When the facts fail, argue semantics. When that fails, make unfounded
>speculations about another's recreational habits.
>
>But that just makes me smile :-)

Smile all you want -- the difference between the terms is significant despite your self-defined assumptions.

>>>>> If the dipole is non-resonant and requires a tuner to force
>>>>>an impedance match, it will have further losses.
>>>

>>>
>>>>Wrong again. A tuner only adds insertion loss, which is usually quite
>>>>small if it's built with quality components. The insertion loss is
>>>>easily overcome by slightly increasing the length of the elements,
>>>>which is no big deal since the antenna is already non-resonant.
>>>
>>>You fail to consider the standing waves which will still be present in
>>>the feedline due to the mismatch. A tuner only presents a proper match
>>>to the transmitter. With a high mismatch in the feedline, losses
>>>increase as well and creating a tendency to radiate from the feedline.
>>>In order to compensate for this the tuner should be mounted at the
>>>feedpoint of the 4:1 balun that is normally used to feed 450 Ohm
>>>ladder line to such designs. But such is impractical for guys who
>>>change bands and frequency often, unless the tuner is automatic.
>>
>>
>>-YOU- failed to notice that I made no mention of a transmission line,
>>although I -did- reference a page from a ham that used ladder line. A
>>lot of inexpensive tuners include a 4:1 balun for a balanced output,
>>and SWR losses on ladder line are negligible. The only SWR you need to
>>worry about is between the radio and tuner.
>
>Silly me for assuming that an antenna needs feedline to connect to it.

Yep, you get yourself into a lot of trouble with your assumptions.

>Only an idiot would run 200 feet or more of open 450 Ohm ladder line.

Then there are a lot of idiots in this world, since most transmission
line runs longer than 200 feet are usually some sort of ladder line or
twin-lead as their attenuation is significantly lower than that of
most types of coax. And I don't think many hams use 3-1/8" pressurized
line, do they?

>Unless of course, you don't care about feedline radiation and RFI
>issues.

Ladder line is a balanced line -- it won't radiate unless the load or
source is unbalanced (assuming it's used at a frequency with a
wavelength significantly larger than the spacing).

> Most antennas which are designed to use ladder line, are also
>spec'ed for a certain fixed length of the ladder line as part of its
>impedance match.

And you claim to have experience with these things? LOL!

> At that point a balun is connected and the rest of
>the feedline completes the usual configuration. If you were a ham,
>you'd know this.

If you were as experienced as you claim then you would know that hams
rarely use baluns with ladder line, and not too often with twin-lead

either.

>>>But keeping with the original perspective, of a simple antenna system
>>>for Vinnie, this falls far short of that objective.
>>
>>
>>Simplicity dictates buying an Imax or Antron and sticking it in a tree
>>or on a pole. Plug-n-play. You can't get much simpler than that. The
>>complexity arose when you threw a fit after my suggestion that Vinnie
>>might want to try and make his own antenna.
>
>I threw a "fit"? Hardly. I have no problem with anyone making their
>own antennas. That's what ham radio is all about. BUT, when the
>suggestions you made were for antennas which do not perform well for
>the intended use,

The antenna worked fine for me. If you couldn't get it to work then
there's probably a reason that stems from your technical incompetence,
which really doesn't suprise me -- this may be one of the simplest
antennas that a person can make, but only -you- could foul it up with
all your assumptions and distorted theories.

> I felt compelled to point this out. You are not
>going to throw a couple of wires up in a tree, tune them with a tuner
>and expect it to perform as well as a commercial (or homemade if
>you're so inclined) gain-type, purpose-built, vertical antenna.

That's funny, Dave. Just a couple posts ago you were whining about me
saying; "You will sit there and tell people, who do these things every
day, exactly why they can't possibly work." Now you are doing what you
claim I do (but never have). Once again you have proven your own
hypocrisy.

>>>>> It won't stand a
>>>>>chance against a commercially produced (or home made if you are
>>>>>so-inclined) 5/8th wave vertical (with proper radials, not an Imax).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well guess what, Dave -- a 5/8-wave antenna is a non-resonant antenna
>>>>and requires some method of impedance matching. Thank you.
>>>
>>>A 5/8 wave is most certainly resonant. It's just that the feedpoint
>>>impedance is high and needs to be transformed. It's a different issue
>>>than forcing a match from something that is not resonant naturally.
>>
>>
>>You never fail to amaze me with your repeated offerings of technical
>>ignorance. Time for your next lesson:
>
>Spare me your sanctimony. You still don't get it.
>
>

>>A tuner is a device that is used to transform impedances. It has two
>>ends: an input and an output. Therefore, it connects to two devices: a
>>source (transmitter in Tx) and a load (antenna in Tx). The input of
>>the tuner is adjusted to match the output impedance of the source, and
>>the output of the tuner is adjusted to match the input impedance of
>>the load. And I hope it's safe to assume that you already know that
>>much.
>
>Thanks for pointing that out. I was beginning to wonder if you knew
>what a tuner actually did.
>
>
>>But what you fail to realize is that impedance can be resistive,
>>reactive, or both. And since a tuner isn't limited to transformation
>>of resistances only, you can use a tuner to compensate for the
>>reactive component of the load (e.g, a feedline with SWR or a
>>non-resonant antenna).
>
>My god! An antenna has reactive components? Who'd have thunk it?
>That's for sharing yet another revelation.
>
>
>>Now what happens when you use a tuner to cancel the reactive component
>>of a load? Think hard, Dave..... reactive components cancel..... can
>>you figure it out by yourself? No, I didn't think so. The tuner and
>>the load become RESONANT. So you use a tuner to make a resonant
>>antenna -system- using a non-resonant antenna! And that's exactly what
>>is happening when the input impedance of a 5/8-wave antenna is
>>"transformed" so it can be driven from a resistive source -- a
>>non-resonant antenna is brought to resonance with a tuner.
>
>A tuner forces a resonant load from something which isn't resonant
>naturally. That's sort of like keeping someone alive with a
>respirator.

Hmmmm..... the Schiavo antenna..... not a very good analogy, Dave.

>You can (and I have) loaded up large metal objects (like rain gutters
>and bedsprings), and force them to have a 1:1 SWR. But that doesn't
>mean that the antenna is an efficient radiator. That's my whole point.

You like to use the word "force"..... nothing is being "forced", Dave.

>Now for your lesson in real life application of antenna principles:
>
>I was completely out of CB between 1990 and 1993, and I took down all
>of my CB antennas. When I got the bug to get back into CB again, I had
>the following antennas: a horizontal 10 meter dipole, a 5/8th wave 2
>meter Ringo Ranger 2, a 6 meter 3 element beam (horizontal), a 2 meter
>10 element Cushcraft "twistbeam" (horizontal & vertical), and a
>Diamond tri-band 146, 220 and 440 Mhz vertical.

>
>Now, I also had at my disposal a tuner. I could load up every antenna
>in that list on the CB band, with a less than 1.5:1 SWR. Out of that
>list of antennas, the only one which worked somewhat acceptably was
>the 2 meter Ringo Ranger, as it was about a 1/4 wave long at CB
>frequencies. It was also at the top of my tower. The 10 meter dipole
>loaded the easiest, but being horizontal, wasn't much use for local
>talking.
>
>Sometime later, I put my Avanti Sigma 4 back up, and it wasabout 2
>"S" units stronger transmitting to others, on average, than the 2
>meter Ringo through the tuner.
>
>THAT is the difference between theory and practice. Sure you can load
>up a non-resonant antenna and it will "work". But it won't work as
>well as an antenna designed for the band.

Notice that all but one of your examples were shorter than a 1/4-wave.
Were you actually hoping for performance better than a rubber-ducky or
2-foot gutter-mount?

>>Geez Dave, you sure are a dumbass.
>
>I'm not the one reading a book on antenna theory and trying to pass it
>off as actual experience.

No, you are the one claiming to have much more experience than you
actually have.

>>>>A horizontal dipole can have a wide variation in take-off angle
>>>>depending on height above ground, ground resistance, proximity to
>>>>other structures or objects, etc.
>>>
>>>Yea, so?
>>>
>>>> And the noise floor may be slightly
>>>>lower but that's a product of it's directivity, as compared to a
>>>>vertical which is omnidirectional.
>>>
>>>No, it's a product of the fact that most atmospheric and man-made
>>>noise tends to be vertically polarized.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. Noise "tends" to have the polarity of the sources. The sources
>>of noise can be mostly vertical -or- horizontal depending on a number
>>of factors, not the least of which are geographic location, time of
>>day (as well as season), solar activity, band of operation......
>
>Time to read another book Frank. Or better yet ask a ham. The greatest
>majority of noise is vertically polarized. Maybe if you have been
>paying attention in those theory classes instead of "teaching" logic

>classes, and trying to book whip your way through psychology 101, you
>might know this.

Maybe if you had a Cushcraft CFB-8 you could have spent several years
switching between horizontal and vertical, and seen for yourself that
sometimes vertical is noisier than horizontal and sometimes it's the
other way around. (The ice storm in '96 took out my Cushcraft, the
rotor and the tower -- makes me sad because it was probably the best
CB antenna I ever owned).

>>>>>> Like I said
>>>>>>before, just throw some wire up into the trees (or whatever tall
>>>>>>object happens to be available). Didn't you read the link I posted?
>>>>>
>>>>>Of course. But a dipole is a basic antenna. It has no gain.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Wrong again. As I said before, a 1/2-wave Hertzian dipole in free
>>>>space has about 3 dB gain over an isotropic antenna; but a dipole is
>>>>merely an antenna with two elements and is not limited to a 1/2-wave
>>>>Hertzian configuration. Not only that, but a tuner isn't limited to
>>>>dipole antennas -- they can load up a long-wire just as easily.
>>>
>>>Ah, so you're changing the parameters of the discussion. Long wires
>>>are inefficient on CB as well. Been there, done that.
>>
>>
>>I changed nothing. You probably had a problem with the long-wire
>>antenna because you were expecting an omnidirectional pattern, which a
>>long-wire does not have.
>
>It does (at least in the "H" plane) if it's mounted vertically. But
>that's impractical for HF use.

Of course it's impractical since your lobes are shooting into the
ground and towards a few satellites.

>>It -does- have very sharp lobes with high
>>gain, and if you know where to point them then a long-wire antenna
>>works just dandy; as you say, "been there, done that".
>
>Where Frank? Where have you "been there and done that? You don't have
>a ham license. Commercial radio stations don't play with wire
>antennas.

Actually some of them do, although maybe not "officially".

> Wire antennas are awkward and do not work well on CB for
>local talking. So where Frank?

Long-wire antennas can indeed be awkward, but sometimes an opportunity
presents itself that can't be ignored. Maybe you don't have farms near

where you live but there are plenty around here, complete with wire fences. And there are also mountains to go camping, some of which have very nice slopes that are ideal for a long-wire or rhombic.

But like I said before (and you conveniently snipped), antennas are reciprocal. IOW, I don't need to have a license to receive on -any- band. I do a lot of SWL if you haven't guessed by now. Regardless, I have used long-wire antennas for transmitting on HF in the USMC, on the CB, and a few Part 15 experiments on HF, MF and LF. They work just fine -- for their intended purpose.

>>>What's your call sign Frank?
>>
>>
>>I understand that you have a problem with reading comprehension, but
>>what part of "I am not a ham" did you not understand? You are starting
>>to sound like Twisty -- are you going to start accusing me of being
>>five different hams?
>
>The point is, as it has always been, that you glaringly lack the
>necessary experience to back up your claims.

How much experience do I need before I know what I'm doing, Dave? More experience than you?

>>>Frank, all the king's horses and all the books you read will not make
>>>up for your glaring lack of experience. Get out from behind the table
>>>and DO something, and then report back.
>>
>>
>>Are you suggesting that after being a CBer since I was a kid, serving
>>several years in the USMC working on radios from LF to microwaves,
>>several more years in a commercial radio shop, several -more- years as
>>a BE, being self-employed as a both a tech and an engineer for most of
>>my life, and currently experimenting with weak-signal reception on the
>>1750m band and below 9khz, that I don't have any experience in radio?
>
>Exactly. Other than the military (Which doesn't have the time to play
>with gimmicky wire antennas)

Not only was there plenty of time to play with antennas, it was part of the training -- after all, what do you do when your antenna is destroyed by gunfire, shelling, or bad weather? Just sit there and sulk? Hell no, you scrounge some wire and run up a new antenna! The job is to maintain comm in the worst possible conditions. That means we spent a LOT of time "playing" with antennas. And it's a great way to pass the time when there's nothing to do, which happens quite often on field ops -and- in garrison. And near the end of the pay period when you don't have enough money to go to the stripper bars, you'd be suprised what kinds of things some of us used to occupy our liberty time. Myself, I liked the MRC-110 so much I decided to build one. So when I was at Lejeune I built a 4-400 linear for my CB that I stashed

in the back of the Volare SW. It was a big hit with the local REACT folks, although I never did get the auto-tuner finished.

The military doesn't have time to play with antennas? Damn, you sure are dumb, Dave!

> I have had way more experience. I was
>playing with the 170 Khz band when I was a kid, along with 35 years
>of CB experience, 24 years of ham experience, and being employed as a
>tech and engineer for a few high tech firms as well as 30 years of
>hands on CB, ham radio, and stereo repair.

I really don't care about your fantasy world, Dave -- you said I don't have any experience. You are wrong. If you want to count years then I can point out several hams who have a lot more years on the air than you and haven't learned any more than you have, and some kids who don't have any license at all and know more than both of us put together. You think time is the measure of knowledge? It isn't, not when you don't spend that time wisely and learn something from all that "experience". And in all those years of experience you claim to have, you still haven't learned one very important thing: There are other people who are smarter than you. You like to play the old salt when some bright, young whipper-snapper comes along because that gives you a sense of self-importance, making you think that you are the center of his attention. You aren't. Neither am I, but you can't bear the thought of someone else like me hogging the glory of your years of technical accomplishment. Well, get used to it -- there -are- smarter people than you, with more experience than you, and with a better education than you; and unlike you, some of them have an open mind to learning things they might not have learned the first time around the block.

>>Dave, you're an idiot.
>
>Takes one to know one ;-)
>
>>>
>>>Antenna tuners were available as an accessory for CB for less than the
>>>cost of a good SWR meter. Granted they were simple coaxial in and out
>>>tuners.
>>>
>>>>A tuner requires readjustment when changing channels within the CB,
>>>>and most CBers don't want to deal with the hassle.
>>>
>>>So you are now claiming that CB'ers are too "dumb" to handle that?
>>
>>
>>Where did I suggest anything of the sort?
>
>By suggesting tha using a tuner is a "hassle". It's not if you
>understand how to use it.

It -is- a hassle when changing channels is done as often as it is on
the CB.

>>>And to add further evidence to your lack of experience with CB, it was
>>>common that "matchboxes" were often used by CB'ers to "correct" a
>>>mismatch within their antennas. Instead of taking the time to properly
>>>tune the antenna, they forced the match with the tuner. The radio was
>>>happy, as it saw a 1:1 SWR, but the signal was not so good. When a
>>>more knowledgable person would finally retune the antenna, and the
>>>tuner was removed, signal improved considerably.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. Those little matchboxes (I still have a couple Johnsons) were
>>popularized during a time when a 1:1 SWR was widely considered to be
>>the holy grail of antenna tuning, that you needed to squeeze every
>>milliwatt from the radio. That attitude changed, and fewer CB tuners
>>were built, as more and more CBers learned that a few points on the
>>meter make very little difference.
>
>Maybe that's what your inexperience has taught you, but that's not
>what actually happened. Yes, there were a few people out there who
>cringed when their SWR crept above 1.5:1. The difference that a
>matchbox provided did not overcome the insertion loss. But that's not
>the cases I was making. There were people who had serious mismatch
>problems (over 3:1) because they did not know how to properly tune the
>antenna. They then compensated for their blunder by forcing the SWR to
>1:1 with a tuner.

.......oh brother

> The radio was happy, but the antenna was not
>radiating efficiently and their signal potential was cut considerably.
>When the real problem was corrected, and the tuner removed, their
>signals increased.
>
>The point, of course, is that if what you stated before was correct,
>you should be able to radiate a signal just as well with an antenna
>which was not in tune (non-resonant) through the use of a tuner to
>"force" a resonance, than with a naturally resonant antenna. My own
>personal experience in this exact scenario proves exactly the
>opposite.

Yet you contradict yourself by espousing the virtues of a 5/8-wave
antenna.

>>>> Regardless, there
>>>>are still many CBers that do indeed use a tuner, not just with prefab
>>>>antennas but also with homebrew and beverage-can hooks.
>>>
>>>None of which are worth a tinker's dam for local CB communications.
>>
>>
>>You say that as if you have evaluated every antenna ever built.

>
>No, but I have played with most of more the common home made antennas
>which a CB'er would be most likely to utilize. When you're young and
>have a lot of time, you tend to experiment alot. I did.

Nowdays your time is wasted on TV, video games, newsgroup posting and
pizza deliveries.

>> Yet
>>you haven't been here to evaluate -my- antennas..... have you been
>>playing with your crystal ball again, Dave?
>>
>
>What, you have some "miracle" antenna design that no one else has ever
>played with? What could possibly be so special about YOUR antennas
>Frank? Let me guess, you spray them with Teflon?

No "miracle" antenna, just a few practical applications of theory that
fly in the face of your vast and fully comprehensive "experience".

>You should give up on the crystal ball Frank. It didn't work for you,
>so you should know that it wouldn't work for me.
>
>>>> And just about
>>>>every CBer who runs a tube linear uses a tuner because it's built into
>>>>the amp, which by itself blows big holes in your "practical" argument.
>>>
>>>Not at all. A tube amplifier requires a tuner because the impedance of
>>>a tube is much higher than 50 ohms, and needs to be transformed. It's
>>>not practicality, it's necessity.
>>
>>
>>It's not "necessity" since the amp is not a necessity -- there is
>>always the alternative of using a solid-state amp, or no amp at all.
>
>It's a necessity if you use a tube amp and want it to work. Please
>stop with the semantics. If your argument is that weak, you should
>just stop.

Weak? You just said that a tuner is necessary for a tube amp to work.
Neglecting any unlikely variations, you are correct. Yet this is in
direct contradiction to your previous statments because the built-in
tuner is practical not just from the standpoint that it's required,
but that such amps usually tune over a wide spectrum that covers
several ham bands, which is one of the reasons I suggested to Vinnie
to build an antenna with a tuner. So if your argument about tube amps
isn't favorable of my suggestion to use a tuner then I don't know what
is. (And I can't believe you didn't see that trap even -after- I told
you about setting a few.....ROTFL!!!)

>>>>>A non-resonant dipole has ONE big advantage. It's a compromise antenna
>>>>>that will work on all the HF bands. That's great if you don't have the

>>>>>room to put up single band antennas for each band. But like any
>>>>>compromise, it will not work as well as a dedicated antenna for each
>>>>>band. Such a compromise is usually acceptable for a ham who has 1500
>>>>>watts on tap. But for a 4 watt CB'er, who needs to squeeze every watt
>>>>>of ERP he can for best local range, he needs a high gain efficient
>>>>>antenna.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>If that were the case then every CBer would have a 5-el yagi on a 30'
>>>>mast and a 9' whip on their vehicles.
>>>
>>>Most high performance CB'ers do.
>>
>>
>>So most high performance CBers spend their time and money on equipment
>>designed to maximize their 4 watts?
>
>A typical high performance CB'er will spend his money on the best
>antennas that he can afford. Whether he stays at 4 watts is a personal
>choice. It makes little sense to spend money on a big "honkin'" amp
>and then piss that power into a poor antenna. The name of the game is
>ERP, and that's a combination of transmitter power (minus feedline and
>other losses) and antenna gain.

.......uh, was that a 'yes' or a 'no'?

>>>The fact that you keep asking, makes me all the less likely to tell
>>>you.
>>
>>
>>Yet another cop-out. You probably sent in for one of those mail-order
>>schools and never finished it.
>
>Sort of like that college degree that you allege that you have (yet
>you tend bar).

I could scan my Diploma but you would probably dismiss it as a forgery
made by liberal journalists or Pentagon insiders.

> I don't have to provide any credentials to you or
>anyone else.

Because you don't have any. If you did you would be blowing those
horns just as loud as you blow your "experience" horn.

> As long as there are people here who won't even fess up
>to their real names, I owe no one any of my personal information.

Gee, it's not like you haven't provided any in the past.....LOL! But
that's ok, you just keep using that excuse since it works so well
against you.

>>>>>Frank, your experience with both ham and CB radio is sorely lacking.
>>>>>You read a few books and web sites and think you have all the answers.
>>>>>OTOH, I've walked the walk for the last 30+ years and have played with
>>>>>more antennas and equipment than I can remember. I have also been in
>>>>>contact with people in the know when it comes to antennas. What I
>>>>>know, I know through experience, and that's worth 10X what you read in
>>>>>any book.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Which explains why your theory is severely lacking. If you took the
>>>>time to actually learn why these things work and -then- applied them
>>>>to your experiences, you could be the guru you think you already are.
>>>>But you don't and you're not. Instead you do things backwards: you
>>>>theorize about radio based on your own experience, then read only
>>>>enough to validate your own conclusions whether they are right or not.
>>>
>>>If the evidence supports the theory, then it's valid. If not, then the
>>>theory is wrong.
>>
>>
>>Then where are the facts to support your theories, Dave?
>
>
>I provided them. The results of my experience.

ROTFLMMFAO!!!

>>.....gee, that sounds familiar..... I seem to recall challenging you
>>to produce facts in previous discussions, but you never came up with
>>any. Why should this time be any different?
>
>I've provided plenty of information when I felt you were worthy of
>such. But after you discarded them as rubbish due to your intrinsic
>bias,

I discarded them due to the facts that are available for anyone to
verify at any time -- even you.

> I decided that you were not one who wanted any enlightenment.
>Your mind was made up and anything I provided, you would simply
>categorize as "propaganda".

Whine, whine, whine. Quit with the "bias and propoganda" spin already.
It was old when you used it to try and squirm your way out of your
political BS. Deal with the facts. Where are -your- facts, Dave?

> When I provided a link to the usage of the
>term "drying out" in reference to electrolytic capacitors, you
>similarly discarded that as "erroneous". Therefore I am not about to
>waste any of my time trying to prove anything to you. You have
>basically joined Twisty in my bucket of punch clowns, a verbal
>sparring partner.
>

>Your biggest problem is your pompous arrogance.

-:-yawn-:-

>>> You are a perfect example of someone who is
>>>book-smart and street foolish. You will sit there and tell people, who
>>>do these things every day, exactly why they can't possibly work.
>>
>>
>>Where have I -ever- said anything of the sort?
>
>I would be wasting my time to suggest that you revisit your past
>performances here in an objective fashion.

That's just another cop-out, Dave. You can't back up your claim
because I have -never- said such a thing.

>>> While
>>>we sit here and laugh at you, because we've been there and done that.
>>
>>
>>Who is "we"? You and your imaginary groupies?
>
>Look around and you'll see. Check the archives Frank. You've been on
>the butt end of many other debates with people who possessed clearly
>superior knowledge.

HA!

> All of the fancy obscure terminology and tap
>dancing you used couldn't hide your lack of experience.

Speaking of "fancy obscure terminology", why don't you take a crack at
"bird watts"? If I were to say, "it's part of a bigger picture", would
you say that's a fair explanation for the operation of a grounded-grid
triode? Are you part of the crowd that thinks class-C amps are linear?
How do you get the flyback effect without a resonant output tank? You
better read those threads again, Dave.

>>>>Check this out, Dave: Education doesn't come from a textbook that some
>>>>geek sat down and wrote just for shits and giggles. It's a compendium
>>>>of knowledge that has been collected from over a century of research,
>>>>experimentation and practical experience by experts in all aspects of
>>>>the field. Those experts didn't become experts simply by playing with
>>>>CB radios as a hobby for 30 years. And an education doesn't include a
>>>>couple textbooks, a few lectures and a test -- it also includes years
>>>>of experience with the practical application of that knowledge.
>>>
>>>No kidding. You seemed to have failed the "practical application"
>>>aspect. Hell, you never even heard of the term "drying out" in
>>>reference to electrolytic capacitor aging,
>>
>>

>>Where did I say that I had never heard the term before? I didn't. What
>>I -did- say was that it was an inappropriate term.
>
>According to you. Many others, who I hold a much higher level of
>respect for, don't see it that way.

And you have yet to name just one.

>> And not to forget
>>that the subject came up because you deviated from the topic of how
>>you used your crystal ball to divine the cause of a CB malfunction.
>
>Based on my own extensive personal experience. A doctor doesn't have
>to see a pathogen in a patient to properly diagnose it. He bases his
>diagnoses on symptoms and past experience.

.....DUI, National Guard, AWOL, bankruptcy, etc, etc.

> It's not 100% accurate, but
>it's a good start. It's better than random generic suggestions of
>checking this and checking that.

Random? No, Dave, those suggestions were not picked at random. The
voltage regulator was nailed down by myself and Lance (and someone
else too, I think) because the symptoms pointed directly to it. And in
case you missed the results of the test, the regulator output was
indeed bad. So either we got really lucky (all making the same
"random" suggestion) or we knew what we were doing. Either way, we
were much closer than your "it's almost always a cap" diagnosis.

>>> and you try to cover this
>>>glaring hole by claiming a pompous, superior attitude as if this was
>>>beneath you.
>>
>>
>>No, -you- are beneath me, Dave, and that's where you'll stay until you
>>pull your head out of your ass.
>
>You know, the no-code license is not that hard Frank. A guy with time
>on his hands like you, should have no trouble with it....

Why would I want a license? To educate idiots like you? I do that
already in this newsgroup. I know a few decent hams, but the rest are
typified by those chronically depressed fossils who frequent the
whine-nets on 40m and the channel-masters on 2m that think they are
God's gift to radio. No thanks -- you are better qualified to occupy
the hammie bands than me.

>>>> When
>>>>you mock those that have a formal education and extensive experience
>>>>in electronic communication then you mock the same people who made the
>>>>television set you watch way too much, the telephone and cell-phone
>>>>infrastructure that keeps this world talking, the NASA and JPL

>>>>engineers who communicate with space probes billions of miles away,
>>>>etc, etc, etc.
>>>
>>>I'm not mocking them.
>>
>>
>>Of course you are. You mock the very idea that anyone with an
>>education could know more about radio than what you have learned from
>>playing with toys for 30 years.
>
>I'm not mocking them, I am them.

What a load of horse-shit. Next you'll be claiming that you can't
disclose the nature of your work because it's classified by the
government, or some other hogwash like that.

> I just played in the sandbox of
>experimentation before I got my schooling. With that perspective, I
>was able to concentrate on practical theory and discard the stuff that
>we never use.

....."practical theory"? That's a good one, Dave! LOL! So where did
you get your "schooling"?

>> You think that just because you
>>learned something on your own that nobody else has the right to
>>profess such knowledge, and just because they didn't 'earn' it like
>>you did.
>
>Now who's projecting with the crystal ball?

I didn't know a crystal ball could be used to project -- I always
thought of it more as a receiver than a transmitter. But if you
managed to get yours to transmit then maybe you are a better tech than
I thought!

>>Yet instead of improving yourself by learning something new
>>you focus on the biggest difference between us -- an education -- and
>>try to hold it against me as some kind of professional malfeasance.
>
>But there is no real difference in education. You ASSUME that I don't
>have a comparable education to you because I refuse to devulge that
>information.

No, I KNOW it because you don't have any grasp of the fundamental
theories behind electronics. Oh, but that might be a cover so nobody
suspects your employment as an engineer in radio cryptography, right?
Ok, I can keep a secret..... mum's the word, Dave (hehe).

> But I can tell you that I'm not the one tending bar right
>now. I am putting my education AND experience to work for me.

I'm having fun -and- making money doing -both-. Like I said, you should try doing a little bartending yourself -- it might loosen up your bowels. And you would get social feedback from -real- people instead of your imaginary groupies. But then that's probably why you could never hold a job that requires social interaction.

>>Well, that has to be one of the most ridiculous arguments that was
>>ever conceived: "You is dumber'n me cuz you gots a ed-u-kashun."
>
>No, you are not "dumber" than me because you have an education. You
>are "less informed" because you have much less practical experience.

Same difference -- you are using a quantification of your experience as the measure of comparison with no regard to qualification. You have 30 years experience with CB, so automatically you conclude that you are "more informed" than someone with 29-1/2 years experience. Time for another lesson, Dave: Just because one man has more money than most people, that doesn't mean his life is any richer than theirs. Or haven't you been watching the news lately?

>My beef with your education is your over reliance on it

Well now that's -beyond- stupid, Dave. What good is an education if you can't rely upon it? If I know certain facts, does that mean I should disregard them even when they are relevant? Or should I disregard them just to make you feel better about your -lack- of education?

> and how you
>hold it up as some sort of badge of honor,

Maybe because it -is- a badge of honor. It's not something I simply bought at the grocery store, it's something for which I worked very goddam hard. I EARNED my degree. And since you have no idea where I'm coming from I can tell that you DIDN'T.

> not too unlike some hams do
>with their licenses.

Just about anybody can pass a test when the answers are provided ahead of time. A ham license isn't something that's earned; nowdays they are handed out like vials of deadly flu strains.

> An education, without the ability to apply it, is
>almost useless.

For all practical purposes, you are right. What's your point?

>>> They know what they are doing. And I work with
>>>many of them on a daily basis. You probably have products in your home
>>>(or will) that I have had a part to play in their development.
>>
>>
>>You delivered the pizza for the engineers when they were burning the
>>midnight oil. BFD.

>
>When all else fails, resort to pure insults. What will you do, when
>your bar starts a delivery service and they ask you to participate?

I'll probably say 'no' since I don't think the state allows home
delivery of alcoholic beverages.

>You are far closer to a delivery boy than I'll ever be. You might want
>to save now for a 10 year old vehicle that's more dependable.

What makes you think my truck isn't dependable? Consider that I'm no
fan of Chevy to begin with, then know that this truck has NEVER failed
to start on the first turn of the key, even when the shop didn't get
the distributor cap locked down and it popped loose. It has run in
temps from -10 to 110 degrees, in rain, snow and ice, I've taken it
over the passes in the Cascades and Rockies several times without even
a groan, twice with a trailer, pulled a couple -newer- SUVs out of the
snow, towed a couple other cars, and all -after- it was hit. Yeah,
it's an ugly truck, but I wouldn't dare drive a new car in this town
especially after getting hit 17 times, which is why I don't drive the
Dodge (which is more than twice as old yet still runs like new).

So once again you have no idea what you are talking about, Dave.

>>> I
>>>work in the field every day.
>>
>>
>>What "field" is that, Dave? The field of dreams?
>
>The field of communications technology. I work in the field. You, on
>the other hand, are like the farmer, out standing in it.

Okay, we have narrowed it down to "communications technology". So you
don't deliver the pizzas -- you take the calls and pass them onto the
driver. What's the difference?

>>> I am not mocking them, I am mocking you.
>>>You who CLAIM to be educated, but evidence suggests you are sorely
>>>lacking in practical application of whatever it is you might have
>>>learned.
>>
>>
>>Shall I make a list of what I have taught you about radio and
>>electronics since the last list? How long ago was that.... just a
>>couple months ago, wasn't it?
>
>You now arrogantly claim to have taught me something? You're as bad a
>Twisty who thinks he teaches me about radio law.

He did.

> Neither one of you do
>anything more than dance to smoke and mirrors and the twisting of
>words.

No smoke or mirrors, Dave. The problem is that you can never admit
when you are wrong. You were wrong about roger-beeps, you were wrong
about speeding laws, you were wrong about capacitors, you were wrong
about non-resonant antennas and tuners..... the list is almost endless
at this point. But hey, it's not like you really -want- to make your
life easier by simply keeping your mouth shut about things you know
nothing about. You just can't resist pushing your way into the center
of the discussion whether by your electronic trouble-guessing, legal
assumptions, political propoganda, or during an ensuing argument. But
that's just the way you are, Dave. It's all summarized in the analysis
I did about your personality disorders.

>>>> While I may not have participated in any world-changing
>>>>technology, I certainly have an education and the experience in the
>>>>field that puts you to shame.
>>>
>>>While you tend bar and drive a 20+ year old truck......
>>
>>
>>Now your memory is failing, Dave -- did you forget so soon that I'm
>>currently designing and building a studio?
>
>So you claim. That and 50 cents can't even buy a decent cup of coffee.
>I can claim that I'm installing the new digital video links at ABC
>too.

You have made a lot of claims and haven't backed up -any- of them with
-any- facts. I have. Unlike you, I don't hide anything about me.
Consequently, my claims are far more credible than yours. That's how
to play the credibility game. You lost the game a long time ago, Dave,
and just like any other Gore loser you can't accept defeat. So you
hang around the board and keep playing your own little game, not
realizing that the real game continues despite you, and that you are
tolerated simply because you won't go away.

Go find another game, Dave.

>>It's going to take an extra
>>week because of the late-season snow in the pass (can't get any decent
>>acoustic panels in Spokane), but I didn't lose any money because of
>>the delay -- I still get $5k for the job when it's done, which is a
>>lot more than you get delivering pizzas in your lime-green Gremlin.
>
>He he heh heeh hoo hooo hoo LOL. I love it when you get personal
>Frank. I can almost see the beads of spit on your monitor. My bonus
>this year was more than that, and I didn't have to drive through snow
>to get it.

"beads of spit"?

>>>> Yet -you- try to teach -me- theory based
>>>>on your education from a third-rate vocational tech school, a few
>>>>years in a CB shop, and your experience in =amateur= radio.
>>>
>>>As far as you know.
>>
>>
>>Don't even -hint- that you have any kind of technical or engineering
>>job in the radio/electronics field because I'm not buying it for one
>>second. You have proven your ignorance on the subject so many times
>>that it's well beyond the realm of probability.
>
>Yet it is a true fact nonetheless , which only outlines just how far
>off you are.

uh-huh, sure Dave, whatever you say.

>>> But it is evidently light years ahead of those
>>>internet websites you lift your information from while you sit at home
>>>alone with no other people to "relate" to.
>>>
>>>
>>>>And here's the kicker: Do you have any idea where I came up with the
>>>>idea to just throw a couple wires in the air and load them up with a
>>>>tuner? A ham.
>>>
>>>Not all hams are mensa candidates.
>>
>>
>>Finally..... you have provided proof for one of your claims! Ok, so
>>you provided the proof -before- you made the claim, but at least it's
>>a start.
>
>Ironically, what I said was not "proof" of anything. Unless, you now
>consider my personal observations and experience as proof.

Pay attention, dummy: You said "not all hams are mensa candidates". I
agree. In fact, I agree 100%. Even if I didn't agree, you have already
offered irrefutable proof to support your claim -- your own ignorance.
NOW do you understand what I said in my previous post? Because if you
don't then you are just making your mountain of evidence even higher.

>>>But then again, you wouldn't know that. You're not a ham (or CB'er).
>>
>>
>>Dave, go back to rec.boats and learn how to float -- your boat sank
>>here a long time ago.
>
>Frank, I was here long before you came, and I'll be here long after
>you leave.

Wow, your crystal ball can tell the future, too?

> Call me the B.S. meter, if you will. If it stinks, I'll
>call attention to it. Right now you are high on the effluent level.

.....(blub, blub, blub).....aH-OOOO-gah!.....(blub, blub, blub).....
Abandon ship! Abandon ship! Aband...(gurgle)....

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1704. Re: Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:03:53 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <05qr515u1s0uop9e5k5m2k045sv67nq2jp@4ax.com>
Source record: 198743

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 15:14:22 -0700, "Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com>
wrote in <3c5jtuF6m4nmnU1@individual.net>:

>Hi. I have a cb unit mounted on a motorcycle. it is a J&M, linked here,
>http://www.jmcorp.com/SeeProducts.asp?PF=24 , using the 3 foot Wilson
>antenna on the page.
>It's a pretty decent unit (kinda expensive, mine came with another bike) and
>can be operated without looking at it, a good thing on a bike. I use it to
>talk with other riders, but the maker says about 1/2 mile is all the range I
>should get. On a straight piece of road it gets tough to use at 1 mile.
>The reason I am told is that the bike has no ground plane, but I don't know
>whether that affects reception or transmission. What's the story here?
>I know Linear amps are a no-no, but I don't intend on doing skip, using it
>often, or even talking much to base stations and truckers, and certainly
>don't want to run some giant power unit that stomps on others transmissions,
>but would be interested in using a small linear to boost transmission to a
>max range of about ten miles, an acceptable distance when traveling cross
>country with other bikes. Can any of you recommend what wattage would be
>sufficient for this use without going overboard? Some of the problems to be
>dealt with are a limited charging system, the inability to space wires far
>from current and ignition units, and physical size. I would prefer to build
>my own unit, rather that pay big bucks for garbage made in china. I have
>seen schematics for sale for 30, 50, and 100 watt units. Know of any free
>sources?
>Other that a lecture on the illegality of linears, Do you have any useful
>advice?

Forget about legalities -- the bike probably couldn't handle the
current of an amp. The problem is the lack of a ground plane. The bike
just isn't big enough to provide a good ground for the antenna. I know
of one solution but it requires a bit of shop work: Use a longer
antenna, and mount the bottom as low to the ground as possible, just a
few inches from the pavement, maybe on a bracket hanging from the rear
fender. The close proximity to the ground itself will provide a fairly
decent ground plane for the antenna even without a direct electrical
connection. I did this with my brother-in-law's Yamaha 1100 and it
worked great (well, it worked great the second time -- the first time
I mounted it too far to one side and it got scraped in a turn).

That's the best suggestion I have without getting a ham or GMRS
license and using a higher frequency.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1705. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:36:28 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <grm7e.3218$dT4.1615@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198728

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:40j7e.1890$iE7.603@fe10.lga...
> Paul Calman wrote:
>
>> Howdy. Is there a faq, before I ask all the same questions as everyone
>> else new on this NG?
>>
> didn't b. eitner post a faq for this board or was it someone else? google
> the name mate. there was one out there but the name escapes me.

John Wilkerson I think.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

1706. Re: Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:36:28 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <grm7e.3217$dT4.2055@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198732

"Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com> wrote in message
news:3c5jtuF6m4nmnU1@individual.net...
> Hi. I have a cb unit mounted on a motorcycle. it is a J&M, linked here,
> http://www.jmcorp.com/SeeProducts.asp?PF=24 , using the 3 foot Wilson
> antenna on the page.
> It's a pretty decent unit (kinda expensive, mine came with another bike)
> and can be operated without looking at it, a good thing on a bike. I use
> it to talk with other riders, but the maker says about 1/2 mile is all the
> range I should get. On a straight piece of road it gets tough to use at 1
> mile.
> The reason I am told is that the bike has no ground plane, but I don't
> know whether that affects reception or transmission. What's the story
> here?

Not much, that's part of the problem, length of the antenna is the other.
You sure as hell can't throw a larger antenna, it would look totally
stupid.

> I know Linear amps are a no-no, but I don't intend on doing skip, using it
> often, or even talking much to base stations and truckers, and certainly
> don't want to run some giant power unit that stomps on others
> transmissions, but would be interested in using a small linear to boost
> transmission to a max range of about ten miles, an acceptable distance
> when traveling cross country with other bikes.

Not going to happen, your bike alt sys couldn't handle much more than
what you are already running, so the box is out. Also, the problem
with your theory is that, even the other bikes would have to have boxes,
otherwise they could hear you, but you couldn't hear them.

> Can any of you recommend what wattage would be sufficient for this use
> without going overboard? Some of the problems to be dealt with are a
> limited charging system, the inability to space wires far from current and
> ignition units, and physical size. I would prefer to build my own unit,
> rather that pay big bucks for garbage made in china. I have seen
> schematics for sale for 30, 50, and 100 watt units. Know of any free
> sources?

Again, take your charging sys total output, now take all of the accessories
total amperage, add the amount of amps the box would draw and I would
suspect that your alt won't be able to handle it.

Here's a couple of links, there are dozens more that offer a wide
variety of products for your needs.

http://street-bikes.ridegear.com/store/section/Communications.html

http://www.autocomamerica.com/docs/home.cfm

> Other that a lecture on the illegality of linears, Do you have any useful
> advice?
>
> --
> Paul C.

Landshark

--
Any day riding, is better than
being a newsgroupie

73 Baja Stroppe Bronco
98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)

1707. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:36:28 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <grm7e.3219$dT4.296@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198733

"Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com> wrote in message
news:3c5imhF6jqaa2U1@individual.net...
>> Paul, welcome to the group. Before you post, I would look at some posts,
>> and
>> monitor this group for a week, so you don't get sticker shock. And get
>> ready to
>> use your killfile.
>
> Thanks. i've been on the usenet for years, thought it rude to post my
> questions without asking for the FAQ.
> My filter is up and running.
>
>
> --
> Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California

Remember, when certain individuals change there
screen nic to bypass the filters. Save the entire posts,
including headers and send it along with your complaint
to their abuse@XXXX addy.
The more people that complain about said people, the
faster those people will stop changing addys so killfiles
will work.

Landshark

--
Any day riding, is better than
being a newsgroupie

73 Baja Stroppe Bronco
98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)

1708. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:36:29 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hrm7e.3221$dT4.2538@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198729

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:BRi7e.1884$nt7.1060@fe10.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
>> on the History Channel.
>>
>> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
>> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> aye sharkie one of the reasons i got hbo when it originally aired. have
> the box set.

Likewise. Still enjoy watching it.

Landshark

--



1709. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:36:29 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hrm7e.3220$dT4.1603@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198730

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050413203155.601$mm@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 13 Apr 2005 21:43:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >I did it by invitation and the fucksticks still try to throw me under
>> >the bus, Enzo.
>>
>> Right, which is what separates you from them. I didn't invite them, or
>> challenge them. They decided to single me out for some reason. Well, if
>> they decide to come here, then they are fair game.
>>
>> >Never mind trying to appease the three walkie-talkie
>> >operators that hang here humping legs...one look at their antenna
>> >or coax is all you need to know about their deficiencies.
>>
>> That is more than I got right now !
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
> Fly me up there and we will 'git er done'.. and have time for a
> joy ride to PA. Give me two weeks notice please. I can bring
> a tree climber too..one of the boys that is going to Dayton
> with me. This guy is fearless when it comes to heights, I'm
> scared to death of anything more than 30 feet.
>
LMAO!!!! A big strong guy like you :)>

> I can fly from Akron which is cheaper than Hopkins. :D

I'm going to Fabulous Fords Forever this Weekend in
Los Angeles, should be good time. Got some killer pics
of the rig in Az offroading, might be heading to Tennessee
in the beginning of June, is that anywhere near Pa?

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1710. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:36:29 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hrm7e.3222$dT4.1644@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198731

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:a9gq51prouemi4rs5jucdds5qv3md35qdf@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 13:40:48 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:pu5q51p2558jsdtmqjusr8nneceor4de28@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:500o511sdssm47g64kflfiufl9bvqtmh80@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Tue, 12 Apr 2005 11:41:29 -0400,
>>>>>
>>>>> Once again you base your mistaken opinion on technicalities and
>>>>> semantics. Someone who murders someone is still guilty of a criminal
>>>>> act regardless if he's been caught yet. Being pronounced guilty is
>>>>> only a formality. The same holds true for the FCC rules.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Here we go again. DAVE, is Michael Jackson guilty?
>>>
>>> I don't know. But whether or not the court pronounced him as such
>>> doesn't change the acts that he may or may not have done.
>>
>>Doesn't change the acts he may or may not done? If he's
>>done something wrong, found guilty then he's a criminal.
>>If he done nothing wrong, went to court and was found
>>not guilty, he should still be labeled a criminal because
>>he's being accused?
>
> What if he's done the acts he was accused of, but because of an
> inability for the state to prove it, or the credibility of the
> witnesses becomes cloudy and he walks, what does THAT make him?
>

It make's him not guilty in a criminal court of law, sucks
but that the law.

>
>>>>Think before you answer, are you there? sitting in
>>>>the jury box? listening to the testimony? following
>>>>the judges orders concerning what type of evidence

>>>>you are going to hear? not formulating any opinion
>>>>until you and the rest of your fellow jurors are
>>>>deliberating the case? Of course not, so how can
>>>>you say because someone here is running a 1000
>>>>watts and talking on the freeband is a criminal?
>>>
>>> If you witness someone killing another, do you need a jury verdict
>>> before you know that that person is a murderer?

Yup, right now he's only a killer, but after the court
rules he's guilty, then he's a murderer.

>>
>>I heard someone on 2 meters last night, swearing, threating
>>people, is he guilty of violating FCC rules?
>
> Absolutely!
>
>>>
>>> If the law defines a particular act as criminal, then if you engage in
>>> that act, you are engaging in a criminal activity. Being labeled as
>>> such by a court is only a formality and a convenient excuse for people
>>> who want to thumb their nose at the law, and wish to ease their guilty
>>> conscience, by trying to convince themselves that their activities
>>> aren't really criminal because they haven't been caught yet..
>>
>>No, it's a fact. Going around chasing speeders, j-walkers,
>>litterbugs etc etc and calling them criminals will change
>>nothing.
>
> Nor will stating that a person clearly engaging in a particular
> criminal activity isn't really a criminal because they haven't been
> caught or convicted of it yet.
>

???, so you are saying that they are a suspect, good.
Cause they can't be a criminal unless they have been
convicted of doing that criminal act.

>
>> You'll have to start calling 4 out of 10 people
>>you know criminals then, because by a national survey
>>that's the percentage that speed.
>
> Speeding is not considered a criminal offense.

Sure it is, going 100 mph is construed as a misdemeanor,
thus punishable by up to 1 year in the county jail and/or
fine.

> Operating a radio
> transmitter without a license is. Interesting that you lump illegally

> operating a radio transmitter in with such trivial summary offenses as
> jay-walking, speeding and simple littering.

You consider 1 year in jail and $1000.00 fine as trivial?

>
Those summary offenses do
> not carry criminal penalties.

Both excessive speed and litter are misdemeanors and carry severe penalties

> Violation of certain FCC rules, on the
> other hand, does. Some people used to think the same thing about
> theft of cable TV service. Until the law changed and got some teeth.
> Now people who sell cable theft devices face serious jail time.
>
>
>>>>You can't, you are not a sheriff, judge & jury, to
>>>>which is the only way someone can be classified
>>>>a criminal, after being convicted, before that they
>>>>are only a suspect.
>>>
>>> Maybe in a legal sense, but that's a poor justification for engaging
>>> in criminal behavior, and saying; "you can't call me a criminal
>>> because a jury didn't convict me yet".

Might be, but is correct.

>>
>>A well known business man is accused by his ex-wife of
>>being a child molester. DA says that he won't prosecute
>>because lack of evidence and it doesn't look like he
>>really did anything. You start calling him a child molester
>>and criminal to friends and people that you know, that will
>>leave you open for a slander lawsuit, that's why you don't
>>run around accusing people of being criminals.
>
> Ah, but there is a fine difference. A person accused is presumed
> innocent until proven guilty.

Correct and you still go on defending calling people
criminals that haven't had their day in court? Doesn't
make sense.

> But you know as well as I do that the
> system is flawed, and many times guilty people walk for various
> reasons.

Correct, and they can then be sued in civil court, but they
still won't be construed as a criminal.

> Conversely, some innocent people are wrongly convicted. But
> if I witness a crime, I don't need a jury to tell me that the perp is a

> criminal

> Did the alleged "child molester" brag to a bunch of people on an
> internet forum that he did indeed molest children? Admitting to an
> unlawful activity is the same thing in principle to pleading guilty in
> a trial. It may be "unofficial" but that's all I need to see to make
> up my mind.

He might be one of those people that confess about everything,
it makes them fell important. That still doesn't make them a
criminal.
>
>
> If I arbitrarily call you a federal lawbreaking criminal for violation
> of FCC rules on freebanding or power levels, and I can't prove it, it
> becomes libel (Assuming you really aren't doing it).
>
> If, on the other hand, I monitor you doing it, or you brag to other
> people that you do it, then you are engaging in a criminal activity.
>
To which I am just a suspect, not a criminal.

>
>>>>>>Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact
>>>>>>that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most
>>>>>>certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the
>>>>>>fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.
>>>>>
>>>>> Yep, the old subversive ploy of thinking that "it's only guilty if
>>>>> you're caught" mentality. Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.
>>>>
>>>>Nope, it's called a guilty conscience, to which you can only
>>>>be called guilty in front of the lord all-mighty
>>>
>>> Isn't that enough?
>>
>>If you conscience bothers you, yes.
>
> If you are of sound moral principles, then it should. If not, then you
> start bordering on sociopathic tendencies.

Oh please Dave, that's crazy talk, sociopath tendencies.

>
>
>>>>, everything
>>>>else has to be done through a court of law.
>>>
>>> You can't serve time and be branded a "criminal" until found guilty in
>>> a court of law. But the fact that you might get away with a crime,
>>> doesn't lessen what you truly are.
>>>

>>> Playing word games doesn't hide that fact.
>>>
>>
>>Playing with meanings doesn't hide the fact either Dave,
>>that's why they are called suspects, not criminals.
>
> Once again, this is to accommodate a person's presumption of innocence
> in the course of due process . And once again, if you witness a crime,
> you don't need a jury to tell you what your senses already did.
>

Why is it that when a records check is done on a person,
they an arrest record & criminal record? why not just one?
Because it is just that, one is different from the other.

>
>>Oh, by the way, that ham operator using the foul language
>>and threating people, his callsign he was using was N3CVJ.
>>By you're logic, that alone should brand you a criminal.
>
> No, since I did not do it, and the distance between us makes it very
> unlikely that you heard me. Now, if I stated that I did it and/or you
> witnessed ME doing it, and you could positively identify me, then you
> could factually make that statement.
>

Gheez Dave, exactly what I've been saying with the exception
of the record of conviction.

> Dave

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1711. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:36:34 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hrm7e.3223$dT4.3121@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198734

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>
> Fucker needs a bullet to separate him from his sick habit. The parents
> need a bullet too for allowing their children to accommodate him.

Man you got that right, especially the parents for letting him
have the kid. They are nothing better than pimps.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1712. I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 04:41:39 UTC
From: EMPEROR OF THE UNIVERSE <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1113453699.349621.8750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198735

I only came back here to tell all you MORONS (AKC's) and such that I don't have time to waste on you idiots here cause I'm having TOO MUCH FUN shooting skip on channels above and below the CB band AND I'm using no less than 2000 watts minimum AND causing lots of tvi and interference on stereos in my area, SO GO AHEAD AND TELL RILLEY AND THE FCC ALL ABOUT ME AND WE'LL SEE WHO'S LEFT STANDING.
FREEBANDERS FOREVER!!!!

1713. Re: Read about Mopey, Uhl love this one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 06:25:58 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113459958.846351.303090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198736

and that is important? If I was hiding like you I would worry.

Don't worry, Uhl find out everything we know. Have known for 11 months,
that is.

1714. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 06:28:22 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113460102.812739.79620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198738

dummy doesn't even know how to spell his Mr. Hollingsworth's first
name.

1715. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 06:28:39 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113460119.339650.9190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198737

dummy doesn't even know how to spell his Mr. Hollingsworth's first
name.

1716. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 06:28:42 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113460122.417498.319160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198739

dummy doesn't even know how to spell his Mr. Hollingsworth's first
name.

1717. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 10:36:04 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <irhs51d6hmge5014jc94n07ffn16d35gt3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198741

On 13 Apr 2005 21:36:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 19:31:47 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >
>> >I have built non-resonant antennas that beat a 5/8ths. A 5/8ths is
>> >hard pressed achieve the claims for gain that it has.The only
>> >commercial 5/8th that I seen that was properly decoupled was an
>> >Isotron.
>>
>> Sorry, I meant Isopole
>>
>Dan Marino used to give his OL those gloves. :)

That's isoTONER..... ;-)

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1718. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 10:38:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qths51p54g9kldls2shbjakkutgkb09pbl@4ax.com>
Source record: 198742

On 13 Apr 2005 21:56:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> Did the alleged "child molester" brag to a bunch of people on an
>> internet forum that he did indeed molest children?
>>
>What do you think about a dog that is making a living but refuses to
>feed his children, to the point of getting tagged with a felony for it?

As a parent, I am particularly offended by it. Anyone who is found to
have been deliberately negligent to his children deserves far more
than my scorn though.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1719. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 11:38:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <71is51phi4era4jbd5plpg07f0thes9a5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 198844

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 04:36:29 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>>>Doesn't change the acts he may or may not done? If he's
>>>done something wrong, found guilty then he's a criminal.
>>>If he done nothing wrong, went to court and was found
>>>not guilty, he should still be labeled a criminal because
>>>he's being accused?
>>
>> What if he's done the acts he was accused of, but because of an
>> inability for the state to prove it, or the credibility of the
>> witnesses becomes cloudy and he walks, what does THAT make him?
>>
>
>It make's him not guilty in a criminal court of law, sucks
>but that the law.

In this case, I am not concerned with the determination of the law,
but with the truth. A criminal who beats the rap, is still a criminal
in my eyes. Note that I'm not talking about a truly innocent person
here, but one that I know is guilty and who beat the rap due to a
technicality or some other mitigating circumstance.

A criminal might fool the flawed legal system, but he still has to
face his maker one day.

>>>>>Think before you answer, are you there? sitting in
>>>>>the jury box? listening to the testimony? following
>>>>>the judges orders concerning what type of evidence
>>>>>you are going to hear? not formulating any opinion
>>>>>until you and the rest of your fellow jurors are
>>>>>deliberating the case? Of course not, so how can
>>>>>you say because someone here is running a 1000
>>>>>watts and talking on the freeband is a criminal?
>>>>
>>>> If you witness someone killing another, do you need a jury verdict
>>>> before you know that that person is a murderer?
>
>Yup, right now he's only a killer, but after the court
>rules he's guilty, then he's a murderer.

What? Are you really going to play these word games?

>>>I heard someone on 2 meters last night, swearing, threating
>>>people, is he guilty of violating FCC rules?
>>

>> Absolutely!
>>
>>>>
>>>> If the law defines a particular act as criminal, then if you engage in
>>>> that act, you are engaging in a criminal activity. Being labeled as
>>>> such by a court is only a formality and a convenient excuse for people
>>>> who want to thumb their nose at the law, and wish to ease their guilty
>>>> conscience, by trying to convince themselves that their activities
>>>> aren't really criminal because they haven't been caught yet..
>>>
>>>No, it's a fact. Going around chasing speeders, j-walkers,
>>>litterbugs etc etc and calling them criminals will change
>>>nothing.
>>
>> Nor will stating that a person clearly engaging in a particular
>> criminal activity isn't really a criminal because they haven't been
>> caught or convicted of it yet.
>>
>
>???, so you are saying that they are a suspect, good.
>Cause they can't be a criminal unless they have been
>convicted of doing that criminal act.

Again, you are wrapping yourself in the semantics of the law and
lulling yourself into a false sense of security. If you do the crime,
but don't get caught, you are still technically a criminal, whether or
not that "badge" can be legally applied to you.

>>> You'll have to start calling 4 out of 10 people
>>>you know criminals then, because by a national survey
>>>that's the percentage that speed.
>>
>> Speeding is not considered a criminal offense.
>
>Sure it is, going 100 mph is construed as a misdemeanor,
>thus punishable by up to 1 year in the county jail and/or
>fine.

I'm not sure that's the law in every state. Even if it is though, your
earlier ratio of 4 out of 10 people no longer applies since most
speeders don't exceed the speed limit by more than 20 MPH

>> Operating a radio
>> transmitter without a license is. Interesting that you lump illegally
>> operating a radio transmitter in with such trivial summary offenses as
>> jay-walking, speeding and simple littering.
>
>You consider 1 year in jail and $1000.00 fine as trivial?

I've never known anyone who went to jail for simple speeding,
jay-walking or littering. Now if the speeding charge was in
conjunction with something else like a DUI or a vehicular homicide,

well, that's a different story.

> Those summary offenses do
>> not carry criminal penalties.
>
>Both excessive speed and litter are misdemeanors and carry severe penalties

Not in my state. Littering carries a $300 max fine, and no jail time.

>>>> Maybe in a legal sense, but that's a poor justification for engaging
>>>> in criminal behavior, and saying; "you can't call me a criminal
>>>> because a jury didn't convict me yet".
>
>Might be, but is correct.
>
>
>>>
>>>A well known business man is accused by his ex-wife of
>>>being a child molester. DA says that he won't prosecute
>>>because lack of evidence and it doesn't look like he
>>>really did anything. You start calling him a child molester
>>>and criminal to friends and people that you know, that will
>>>leave you open for a slander lawsuit, that's why you don't
>>>run around accusing people of being criminals.
>>
>> Ah, but there is a fine difference. A person accused is presumed
>> innocent until proven guilty.
>
>Correct and you still go on defending calling people
>criminals that haven't had their day in court? Doesn't
>make sense.

If you are willingly engaging in a criminal activity, then you are
technically a criminal regardless whether you've been caught yet.
Again, you are arguing semantics. A conviction only makes it official
in the eyes of the law. Conversely, not being caught does not diminish
the severity of the criminal activity you have chosen to undertake.

>> But you know as well as I do that the
>> system is flawed, and many times guilty people walk for various
>> reasons.
>
>Correct, and they can then be sued in civil court, but they
>still won't be construed as a criminal.

I don't want to get side tracked by the seeming conflict in the
evidentiary methods to determine civil liability versus criminal
liability. If one is cleared of a criminal charge, then they should
not be liable civilly either. But we both know that this is not the
case. In the O.J Simpson case, he was criminally found not guilty, but
in a civil court he was found to be responsible for the "wrongful
death" of Ron Goldman and Nicole. So here we have a guy who's not a

criminal, but still responsible for the death of 2 people. Logically
that just doesn't make sense.

>> Conversely, some innocent people are wrongly convicted. But
>> if I witness a crime, I don't need a jury to tell me that the perp is a
>> criminal
>
>> Did the alleged "child molester" brag to a bunch of people on an
>> internet forum that he did indeed molest children? Admitting to an
>> unlawful activity is the same thing in principle to pleading guilty in
>> a trial. It may be "unofficial" but that's all I need to see to make
>> up my mind.
>
>He might be one of those people that confess about everything,
>it makes them fell important. That still doesn't make them a
>criminal.

It does if he was telling the truth.

>> If I arbitrarily call you a federal lawbreaking criminal for violation
>> of FCC rules on freebanding or power levels, and I can't prove it, it
>> becomes libel (Assuming you really aren't doing it).
>>
>> If, on the other hand, I monitor you doing it, or you brag to other
>> people that you do it, then you are engaging in a criminal activity.
>>
>To which I am just a suspect, not a criminal.

You would be clearly committing a criminal act. Again, I don't need a
jury verdict to convince me.

>>>If you conscience bothers you, yes.
>>
>> If you are of sound moral principles, then it should. If not, then you
>> start bordering on sociopathic tendencies.
>
>Oh please Dave, that's crazy talk, sociopath tendencies.

What's crazy about it? Sociopaths exhibit a clear lack of conscience.
That is well documented. But like most things in life, human
psychology is not a black and white issue. Most of us have varying
degrees of personality traits which fall on a scale somewhere. We are
considered "normal" if those traits fall into line with established
norms. A sociopath has little remorse, or guilt for the things that
they do. Other people are troubled by the simplest transgression that
they may inadvertently do. Someone who falls closer to the sociopath
on the conscience scale (Sociopathic tendencies) would be less
troubled by transgressions against society.

>> Once again, this is to accommodate a person's presumption of innocence
>> in the course of due process . And once again, if you witness a crime,
>> you don't need a jury to tell you what your senses already did.

>>
>
>Why is it that when a records check is done on a person,
>they an arrest record & criminal record? why not just one?
>Because it is just that, one is different from the other.

Exactly. Any time a police officer is called to your house, an
incident report is filed. Every time a person is arrested a report is
filed. That is just SOP.

I think you are still missing the point a bit. I'm not talking about
accusing an innocent person of a crime. I'm talking about people who
are clearly and willingly engaging in criminal activity, who try to
justify their criminal behavior by claiming that they're not really
criminals because they've managed to evade prosecution. As if that
makes it ok. But the act is the same whether they're caught or not.

>>>Oh, by the way, that ham operator using the foul language
>>>and threating people, his callsign he was using was N3CVJ.
>>>By you're logic, that alone should brand you a criminal.
>>
>> No, since I did not do it, and the distance between us makes it very
>> unlikely that you heard me. Now, if I stated that I did it and/or you
>> witnessed ME doing it, and you could positively identify me, then you
>> could factually make that statement.
>>
>
>Gheez Dave, exactly what I've been saying with the exception
>of the record of conviction.

But the difference is that I'm not here bragging about all the people
I jam on a nightly basis on ham radio. I'm not involved in criminal
activities. The people I make reference to as "federal criminals" are
those who admit to running in violation of FCC rules. I have never
accused anyone who hasn't claimed the same.

Yes, it's deliberately done with a bit of a shock value. It's to
counter the rampant mindset that someone isn't really doing anything
wrong simply because the FCC is too incompetent and understaffed to
catch them yet.

Dave

1720. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 11:40:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dils51h387h3u7j0v73ug57ifn5pk43l3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 198845

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 04:36:34 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> Fucker needs a bullet to separate him from his sick habit. The parents
>> need a bullet too for allowing their children to accommodate him.
>
>Man you got that right, especially the parents for letting him
>have the kid. They are nothing better than pimps.

Being around money and celebrity does some strange things to people's
judgement. Also some people are really dense when it comes to the true
potential ugliness in the world.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1721. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 12:43:28 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0qls51thcas5bv3qdo3n89osmkghnmdgqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 198847

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 18:36:27 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>Then provide the google link as proof.
>
>Do not ask others what you refuse to provide yourself..it's called
>hypocrisy and myself, Frank, Jim, Shark, Mopar, and now Lancer
>(regarding your lack of knowledge of antennas) have illustrated such.

Translation: You're lying again.

>>You once tried to claim that I accused Keith of
>>something. When pressed on the issue,
>>(While you scrambled through google) you f
>>finally had to back off when you realized that
>>you make a mistake. But true to form, you
>>would never be a man and admit it.
>
>AS opposed to you being wrong concerning the federal DOT (just to name a
>single issue).

There are no federal police. You can claim the opposite until the cows
come home. But until you can prove it, you're lying again.

>>You want to eat crow again for something you
>
>>had to reluctantly back off from before?
>
>You're the one choking on feathers in all your posts, especially since
>you were instructed of the existsence of the DOT and the legaliyy of
>roger beeps.

I admitted to my error with regard to the roger beep issue. As to the
rest of them, because you offer a dissenting opinion is not the same
as proving me wrong.

You were challenged to provide proof, and you continually fall back on
the same tired excuse that since I didn't prove one or two of my
allegations to your satisfaction, that you have no responsibility to
prove any of yours. That's such an obvious cop-out, but all too
typical for you.

>Look how far you ran from your initial denial of defending Dogie.

I've run nowhere. I maintain that that only "defense" that I ever
offered was the possibility that he may have been framed. The stuff
about me claiming that the charge was withdrawn or that I blamed Keith

for something is all coming from the bowels of your warped mind.

Google me and prove me wrong if you can (You can't), but you won't and
will still babble on about not having to prove anything.
>
>
>And you certainly made your share of forced errors...forensics, DOT, PA
>State Law, Civil vs criminal law, roger beeps, empirical evidence,..

With the exception of the roger beep issue, all of my other usages
were consistent with standard definitions as provided by established
resources. Try again.

>>You still
>>cannot demonstrate anything hypocritical that
>>I've posted.
>
>You ask others to provide for their claims after you make unsolicited
>claims you felt important enough to invoke, but not provide (proof)
>yourself.

>>Translation: You
>> are unable to provide the
>>.needed proof, so you resort
>>to your predictable deflection tactic.

>You initiated this tactic with your running from your past claims that
>were proved lies.

Proved how? Because you disagreed with them? You have yet to prove
anything you claim. You aren't even man enough to use your real name.
Don't even talk to me a about providing proof until you get over your
own hypocrisy.

>
>>I'm forced to conclude that you don't know the
>
>>meaning of the word.
>
>I force you to do plenty of things, but lately, it seems Frank has
>forced you more than anyone.

Frank is proving to be almost as mentally unstable as you are. No
wonder you've found so much in common.

>>So for your edification: hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sy ï¿½ (P)
>>Pronunciation Key (h-pkr-s)
>>n. pl. hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sies
>>1.The practice of professing beliefs, feelings,
>>or virtues that one does not hold or possess;
>>falseness.
>
>You asking for anyone to provide for any of their claims is hypocrisy,

>David, because you refuse to provide for for the majority fo your own.
>You can deny all you like. It's my pleasure.
>
>>Which came first, the chicken or the egg?
>>Such is the circular nature of your reasoning.
>
>Such is the nature if your actions. As has been illustrated by others,
>you made more unsubstantiated claims than any.

No I haven't, and you can't prove otherwise.

>>Thank you for
>>answering my question. You did see the (?) at
>>the end of my question right?
>
>What everyone else sees is way different than what you claim to see. The
>light is blinding you.

Ah, so you don't know what a (?) is. That explains much. Perhaps a
remedial reading course is in order. Then you can work on that GED.

>>You are not capable of educating anyone.
>
>I educated you when you denied existence of a Federal DOT.

You provided nothing but your own unsubstantiated claims. You
hypocritically take me to task for offering my experience as evidence,
yet you provide nothing to back your self up.

> I educated
>you concerning your shouted ignorance (for a month) that roger beeps
>were illegal.

You provided no proof to back up what you said. I had to get it myself
from the FCC.

> I educated you on your mistaken definition of "empirical"
>evidence,

Which was wrong (as usual). Look up the definition (I'll be glad to
provide it again for you so you can then claim that my dictionary is
"wrong")

> Frank educated you on your mistaken..well, on a lot of your
>mistaken claims regarding radio

Frank has his own issues, most notably a glaring lack of hands-on
experience with CB and ham radio.

>, but most recently, of your embarrassing
>gaffe regarding the incorrect definition of "forensics", something you
>erroneously claimed you use in addition to empirical evidence.

My usage of the word "forensics" was consistent with the definition.
Frank had a problem with that. But his beef is not with me, it's with
those who write the dictionaries. But such illustrates Frank's pompous
arrogance in that he feels that he knows more than those who define
these terms.

> In fact,
>you have been educated on a host of things by a host of people.

I've had people who have disagreed with me. None have provided any
proof otherwise.

> Now
>Lancer is providing your education concerning what you do not know about
>antennas. Yes, Dave, despite your denials, you most certainly have been
>educated by several of us.

None of you have provided any proof to back yourselves up. What does
that say?

>>Your legal and political views are akin to the
>>malcontents and subversive slackers of the
>>1960's.
>
>The definition of the term has not changed, your personal feelings and
>bleeding from the gums, not withstanding.
>You fecklessly insist such an act
>(such as dxing) makes one a federal criminal.
>
>>It does and it is.
>
>It doesn't. An inability to distinguish between federal, criminal, and
>civil acts displayed by yourself is not shared by anyone else, only you.
>You are assuming all rules and laws governed by a federal agency are
>criminal and this simply isn't so. Your error, is you mistakenly believe
>the term "federal" can be interchanged with the term "criminal" wehn
>relating to the rules and laws they govern. This is your bad, Dave, not
>anyone elses.
>
>>The real joke is that you don't even bother to
>>read the links your posted to the stories about
>>your boy "Bob Noxious". In them they state
>>that it's a criminal violation to operate an
>>unlicensed transmitter.
>
>
>Tut-tut,,when you have been reduced to wandering, you tend to make
>invalid comparisons. What B-o-b does, and what I do (dx) are two very
>different items,

No, they're basically not. Both of you are running illegal
transmitters on frequencies that you are not licensed for.

>
>>The only difference
>>between the FM broadcast band and the
>>freeband is the frequency, and the visibility to
>>the public.
>ï¿½ï¿½
>Hehe..no, Dave, you are dead wrong,,there are plenty of differences,
>especially regarding legalities, but I have learned to be content
>watching you deny existence of the things of which you are not educated.

Translation: You can't prove it, but if you repeat it enough maybe
someone will believe you.

>> The fact that you haven't
>>.been caught yet does not change that.
>
>Yet, the fact one hasn't been convicted of such DOES change -your-
>mistaken position. The fact that you disagree with the US laws and
>justice system that does not allow anyone to refer to another as a
>criminal unless they are found guilty and pronounced as such in a court
>of law, is irrelevant, as it again is your ignorance responsible for
>your mistaken belief.
>
>>Once again you base your mistaken opinion
>>on technicalities and semantics.
>
>What you call technicalities is the basis and foundation for our
>judicial system. It's not perfect, but it works much better than your
>pronouncing one a guilty criminal based only on your ignorance.

Denying the criminal nature of your acts simply because you have not
been officially convicted is disingenuous.

>>Someone who murders someone is still guilty
>>of a criminal act regardless if he's been caught
>>yet.

>Not if they haven't been convicted by a court of law. This is the ONLY
>manner in which one can be "guilty" and called a criminal in the US. To
>do so without the adjudication of guilt makes on guilty of slander or
>libel, depending on the medium used.

So it's your position that no crime was committed until the verdict is
in? That's sure comforting to the families and friends of the victims.

>>Being pronounced guilty is only a formality.

>Says you, but you are wrong. It is THE ONLY basis for guilt.

Officially yes. But if you did the crime, you are technically guilty
whether the law recognizes it or not.

>>The same holds true for the FCC rules.
>

>Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact
>that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most
>certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the
>fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.

I'm not the one twisting the law in some vain attempt at justifying
illegal behavior. "You're only guilty if you're caught" doesn't wash
with me.

>>Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.
>
>Again, take it to your congressman.
>
>>There are no federal traffic cops.
>
>Umm,,,there is. That is exactly what DOT officers are. In addition to
>the usual laws they enforce regaridng commercial carriers and transit,
>they are not LIMITED by them. A Federal officer may enforce ANY law in
>this country. Keep talking, Dave, as you continue to be educated.

Until you provide the proof, you are simply babbling a bunch of
nonsense.

>>There is no federal speed limit.
>
>This is your counter to your incorrect claim that there is no federal
>DOT?

I never said there was no federal DOT. I said that there are no
federal traffic cops. Once again you attempt to twist words.

> Man, you are a glutton for punishment. Sure there is, David,
>truckers must abide by it every day. As I said,,,keep talking.

As I said, put up the proof, or shut up. The federal 55 MPH speed
limit was repealed. There has been no new limit to replace it.

>>I have a cousin who's a lawyer
>
>Hehehe,,,as I said,,,off you go now.
>You find it important enough you feel you must mention you have a cousin
>who is a lawyer, but no identification, resutling in you not providing
>for your claim..

What difference would it make if I gave you his name? You would then
claim that I simply made it up. Speaking of names, what's yours?

>You found it important enough to claim you have a
>friend who was busted by the fcc, but will not provide for the claim.

I gave the particulars of the situation. Because you could find
nothing (assuming you actually looked) doesn't mean that it didn't
happen. Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence.

This is the exact tactic you played with me regarding the Roger Beep issue. How come you don't like the shoe when it's on the other foot?

>You feel it important enough to claim you have cops who are friends who
>gave you the wrong definition of Pa law, but of course you will not
>provide for the claim.

I've provided PA statute 3368, which substantiates my claim in the vast majority of cases.

> You find it important enough to claim you went to
>a tech school, but will not provide for any claims.

I went to far more than that. But until you tell everyone who you are, you are the epitome of hypocrisy to demand accountability from other people when you won't even identify yourself.

>>providing for their claims when you can't even
>>reveal your own name.
>
>Stay focused, Dave. By now, everyone understands your need to become
>personal when you are forced to learn, but it's off topic and serves
>only to illustrate your incompetence and lack of communication skill.

No it focuses attention on your true hypocrisy. You who demand that others provide for their claims, while you yourself hide like a sniveling child behind a cloak of anonymity. You haven't earned the right to demand accountability from anyone as long as you are too yellow to reveal yourself.

>>You who claims to embrace the concepts of
>>anonymity. You want me to give you personal
>
>>information,
>
>No Dave,,,you -chose- to give us personal info regarding this subject,
>.your claim was unsolicited.
>
>>yet you can't even come from
>
>>behind that clock of gutless anonymity.
>ï¿½
>ï¿½Gutless is the threat you made about coming to "give you what you
>want".

That was no threat, it was a challenge. Something a real man would not back away from. You are simply too afraid to reveal yourself. Which then begs the question of what you are hiding.

> Reviews of that thread show how yellow you are and what a coward
>you have become, as well as the lies you made concerning your threats.

I gave you the chance to meet face to face like a man, and you came up with all sorts of unreasonable conditions (Like demanding my credit

card number) and excuses. I'm not the one who backed down.

>
>I also know boats, and that you were seen coming a mile away when you
>bought yours.
>
>>Oh, this should be good.
>
>
>Your education is always regarded as good,,,except, by yourself, and
>this is only because it pains you to be proven wrong.

Which you have yet to do. You word alone does not constitute "proof".

>.I was going to say
>"by myslef" and then considered saying"by cbers", but you have shown
>that all who prove you wrong, bring you great pains.

You psychologically challenged few have tried, but keep missing the
mark. And that is what frustrates you.

>
>>Another subject
>>where I'll clean your clock and not even break
>>a sweat. What could you possibly know about
>>my boat or any boat in general?

>...asked the landlocked wannabe who gets maybe two, three months use
>per year of his boat. Yes, David, again, your hands-on experience over
>the years with your boats in Pennsylvania adds up to,,what...how many
>months? LOL. Even if you multiplied 4 months per year of your experience
>(and that's generous) for the last twenty five years, that gives you a
>total of what,,,,,,100 months experience? That's less than 10 years
>experience and it's not even consecutive.

That was not the claim. You made a specific claim about *MY* boat.

Besides, you learned to walk what, at 13 months or so? Does every year
that you walk beyond those first few make you any more proficient at
walking?

>You're still green and a lightweight, but your self-proclaimed
>experience regarding such, is my brass ring.

What "self proclaimed" experience are you talking about?

>In fact, all areas which
>you have professed unsolicited proficiency to the group, have been
>decimated by others who do understand the subjects you fancy yourself
>knowledgeable.

Not hardly. The fact that you try and fail miserably just makes me
smile. Even with the emotionally troubled Frank in your corner, you
still miss the mark.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1722. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 12:44:19 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pbps51tc182vpi4mska22pqmvld8qompam@4ax.com>
Source record: 198846

On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
>> >on the History Channel.
>> >
>> > A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
>> >did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
>>
>> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
>>
>I love war movies and such.

It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and how
great this country once was.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1723. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 13:40:56 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <lpu7e.3341$dT4.607@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198848

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:71is51phi4era4jbd5plpg07f0thes9a5c@4ax.com...
> Yes, it's deliberately done with a bit of a shock value. It's to
> counter the rampant mindset that someone isn't really doing anything
> wrong simply because the FCC is too incompetent and understaffed to
> catch them yet.
>
> Dave

Well Dave, I'm not going to continue, it's fruitless. In
closing you can go on your crusade against the FCC
scofflaws, but their "crime" as you like to put it is
very minor. If they are using Freeband or amps, their
actions are much less of a consequence. Litterbugs
add tons of garbage that costs millions a year to clean.
Speeders cost millions a year to enforce against and kill
hundreds of people while causing accidents because of speeding.
I just find that there are more important things to
worry about than someone that's using power or talking
on the freeband. I worry about speeders maybe hitting my
kids, drunk driver hitting someone I care about, drugs in
my kids school etc etc, not about labeling someone a
criminal for using a linear or freeband. You can go around
and see sociopath and anarchist around every corner, I fore
one am going to worry about what directly will affect my
family, not what is illegal and not going to affect virtually
anyone such as freeband use and linear.

Landshark

--



1724. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 13:55:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tfps519j9gfsavqi88biqb9ftfarvmmda0@4ax.com>
Source record: 198849

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 17:13:37 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
:29 -0400,
>>Once again you base your mistaken opinion
>>on technicalities and semantics. Someone
>>who murders someone is still guilty of a
>>criminal act regardless if he's been caught yet.
>>Being pronounced guilty is only a formality.
>
>>The same holds true for the FCC rules.
>
>(Here we go again. DAVE, is Michael Jackson guilty?)
>
>>I don't know. But whether or not the court
>
>>pronounced him as such doesn't change the
>
>>acts that he may or may not have done.
>
>Once one is found not guilty in the US by a court of law and/or a jury
>of their peers, you can not claim he is guilty, regardless of what he
>may have done as relating to his case. Do so and you'll be broke after
>being sued for defamation of character along with anything else
>concerning libel or slander laws.

I educated you once before on the conditions of libel cases. I'll be
glad to provide the links again. But the long and short of it is that
you can't just sue someone for libel unless you can prove that damages
were sustained as a result of the alleged libel claim.

>(Doesn't change the acts he may or may not done? If he's done something
>wrong, found guilty then he's a criminal. If he done nothing wrong, went
>to court and was found not guilty, he should still be labeled a criminal
>because he's being accused?)
>
>>What if he's done the acts he was accused of,
>>.but because of an inability for the state to
>>prove it, or the credibility of the witnesses
>>becomes cloudy and he walks, what does
>>THAT make him?
>
>Depends on the verdict. There are only three possibilities,,,,,innocent,
>not guilty, and guilty.

Technically, innocent and not guilty are the same thing. Most criminal
case verdicts are declared either guilty or not guilty.

>(Think before you answer, are you there? sitting in the jury box?
>listening to the testimony? following the judges orders concerning what
>type of evidence you are going to hear? not formulating any opinion
>until you and the rest of your fellow jurors are deliberating the case?
>Of course not, so how can you say because someone here is running a 1000
>watts and talking on the freeband is a criminal?)
>
>>If you witness someone killing another, do you
>>need a jury verdict before you know that that
>
>>person is a murderer?
>
>You can "know" (translated in your case to being a simile for "believe")
>anything you wish, but even if you witnessed such an act, you are not
>permitted to publicly call him such IF he was tried and found other than
>guilty. Your belief is irrelevent.

The truth is irrelevant? What a warped world you live in. A world
where labels mean more than the truth.

-
>(I heard someone on 2 meters last night, swearing, threating people, is
>he guilty of violating FCC rules?)
>
>>Absolutely!
>
>>If the law defines a particular act as criminal,
>>then if you engage in that act, you are
>>engaging in a criminal activity. Being labeled
>>as such by a court is only a formality and a
>>convenient excuse for people who want to
>>thumb their nose at the law, and wish to ease
>>their guilty conscience, by trying to convince
>>themselves that their activities aren't really
>>criminal because they haven't been caught
>>yet..
>
>(chuckle),,you go on trying to convince *yourself" you have the right to
>call one a criminal for what you perceive constitutes such. If you were
>to publicly refer to one with their proper name as as a criminal, based
>only what you present here and erroneously believe constitutes such
>criminal activity (such as maintaining, on more than one occasion, that
>one's posts in this group you -think- may belong to a certain identity,
>is "proof" enough (for you) to refer to the person as a criminal), a
>small filing fee would be paid (AFTER your criminal charges) and you
>would be buried in civil court by someone versed in what you mistakenly
>perceive as the law.

What criminal charge would I be liable for? There is no "crime" for stating that someone is a criminal. There is that little thing called the 1st amendment. You know, that little provision that allows nutcases and fruitcakes alike to spew all sorts of hate, rhetoric and nonsense. There might be a civil action depending on the conditions and the people involved. The National Enquirer and other tabloids are full of people who would like to sue, but for some reason don't. Why is that, do you suppose? Of course, as always you are more than welcome to provide some examples of case law to back yourself up.

> After the criminal charges were applied you, the
>civil matter would be only a formality, based on your guilt from the
>outcome of the criminal trial based on your libel/slander/defamatory
>comments, which are in turn based on -your- erroenous beliefs.

What crime would I be guilty of?

>>Speeding is not considered a criminal offense.

>Neither is dxing

Ah, there's a difference. If you are operating a legal part 95 type certified CB radio on authorized CB channels, and you talk some DX, I would be inclined to agree with you. You would be in violation of a minor rule, which would amount to a slap on the wrist.

However, once you set foot on the freeband, you lose your authorization by rule to operate a transmitter, and you are no longer considered a CB'er, but an unlicensed pirate radio transmitter. Operating an unlicensed transmitter on a frequency which you are not authorized for, is a far more serious offense, than simply DXing. It's not the DX'ing that will get you popped on the freeband, it's operating an unlicensed transmitter.

>The you should have no problem citing an example where one of these
>mystery people you are always invoking claimed they thought stealing
>from a cable TV service was legal/acceptable/non-criminal.

When you give me your name first.

>
>>Until the law changed and got some
>
>>teeth. Now people who sell cable theft devices
>>face serious jail time.
>
>But not dxers.

Not all DX'ers are guilty of criminal behavior. Those who freeband are.

>Anyways, those who sell illegal cable boxes are a much different
>scenario than the first you invoked. Selling illegal converters carries
>a much harsher charge than merely using one, but it does illustrate how
>far off topic you are wliling to run.

It was used as an illustration of how some people view such
"victimless" crimes as somehow less than serious enough to consider
criminal.

>(You can't, you are not a sheriff, judge & jury, to which is the only
>way someone can be classified a criminal, after being convicted, before
>that they are only a suspect.)
>
>>Maybe in a legal sense,
>
>LOL,,as opposed to what? The legal sense is the only manner in which you
>may legally refer to one a criminal. Do it ay other way and you are
>opening yourself to penalties.

Such as?

> Using your own warped logic (admitting
>something on the internet is the same as a guilty plea in a court of
>law) concerning what is said among internet babble, the mere fact that
>you were informed of the law on many occasion, yet continue to refer to
>certain proper names as a criminal, can enhance your penalties because
>you continued to break said laws. Even though you may correctly plead
>ignorance, such is never held in a court of law as a valid excuse for
>one breaking the law.

So go ahead, sue me.

>And only a court of law can determine such proof, not you, not your
>observational skills, not your "knowing" based on beliefs, and certainly
>not your (mis)interpretations of the law.

You are guilty. You know it, and I know it. The fact that you get away
with it in a legal venue, doesn't change that. You are simply playing
semantics games.

>> But you know as well as I do
>>that the system is flawed, and many times
>>guilty people walk for various reasons.
>
>
>
>Irrelevant.
> You continue to express extreme difficulty in comprehending that you
>may not refer to these people as criminals.

I can and I will. Sue me if you think you can. But you won't because
you'd have to reveal your identity, and your anonymity means more to
you.

>>Conversely, some innocent people are
>>wrongly convicted. But if I witness a crime, I
>>don't need a jury to tell me that the perp is a
>>criminal.
>

>You most certainly do if you wish to say it publicly or to another.

I can say anything I wish. The 1st amendment protects that.

>>Did the alleged "child molester" brag to a
>>bunch of people on an internet forum that he
>>did indeed molest children?
>
>More of your ignorance. I can say I shot Kennedy....it means shit,
>except to you.

Why would you admit to a crime you didn't commit?

More revealing is your admittance to the likelihood that you tend to
lie frequently.

>
>>Admitting to an unlawful activity is the same
>>thing in principle to pleading guilty in a trial.
>
>Good gawd oh mighty. Here's where you get schooled again. not only do
>you have no clue who one is on the internet, you are incompetently and
>incorrectly claiming hearsay is the same thing as pleading guilty. Your
>ignorance of the law has no bounds,

So, basically, you summed it up quite nicely, and anonymous non-person
admits to partaking in a criminal activity. So where's the problem? Is
this non-person engaging in criminal activity or not? The fact that he
hasn't been tagged by a court is irrelevant.

>
>>It may be "unofficial" but that's all I need to see
>>to make up my mind.
>
>
>Of course, you do. You have been mispronouncing people on the internet
>as "federal criminals" as long as you have been spoon-fed carefully
>scripted information.

They deserve what they get. If people want to pretend to be things
that they are not, then they can make no charge of libel, since these
"cartoon characters" do not really exist.

You can't have it both ways. Anonymity cuts both ways.

> Now that you shout to the world your mistaken
>belief that what one posts on the internet is tantamount to an admission
>of guilt in a US court of law, all one can do is laugh at you or feel
>pity.

I'm the one laughing. At you for getting your panties in a knot
defending the non-words to non-people over the internet.

>Besides, your position can get you sued, should you exercise it as you
>claim,

Nope. Not a chance. You'd have to prove actual damages. But first
you'd have to prove that "twistedhed" (or whatever other sock name you
use) is a real entity and subject to defamation.

Your anonymity is your enemy at that point.

Do you think tabloid newspapers would be in business if it was THAT
easy to win a libel case?

You know nothing about the reality of law. You, like Frank, read
words, but can't apply them in the real world.

>You have no way of knowing what someone, such as myself, does or
>doesn't.

No, I don't. But if you admit to operating on the freeband, then you
deserve to wear the badge of federal criminal. Only you (And your
hairdresser) knows for sure.

> Because you mistakenly believe everyone that posts from webtv
>is the twisted who rang your bell and a sock puppet, doesn't make it so.

No, but in this case it does. You can change your name, but not your
"personality".

>Wrong again. I can sit here and tell you I robbed banks, killed Kennedy,
>was single-handedly responsible for the theft of the Star of India, and
>broker counterfeit Monets,,,it means shit,,,,,,of course,,,except to
>you, who has these warped beliefs regarding legalities responsible for
>so many errors in your comments.

I can't convict you in a court of law, but that doesn't mean the court
of public opinion won't be influenced. The degree of proof in much
different. You can hide from the law, but you can't hide from yourself
or God. Your excuse that a court of law hasn't convicted you, is a
truly feeble excuse and poor justification for your anti-social
behavior, and won't protect you in the court of public opinion.

>>Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.
>
>
>And your comments are typical of those consumed with hate and those of
>little tolerance for all but your own beliefs.

If you are saying that I have a problem with people who disregard the
law at their own personal whim, and then fabricate weak excuses for
it, then you'd be correct.

>What you profess as sound moral principles, ranks right there with your
>denial the legality of roger beeps, the denial the existence of the
>federal DOT, and your claim there is no federal speed limit (there is,
>and it governs all commercial vehicles).

And until you can prove those claims, you are still pissing in the wind.

>But the fact that you claim internet babble is the same thing as a
>guilty plea in a court of law, most certainly governs when you may or
>may not publicly refer one as a criminal.

This is not a court of law. This is the court of public opinion. The standards of guilt are much different. As long as we have imaginary identities, committing alleged crimes, I will call them on it as long as I need to. You don't like it? Too bad.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1725. Re: Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 16:48:09 UTC
From: Paul Calman <spam@trap.com>
Message-ID: <3c7l62F6jeq6dU1@individual.net>
Source record: 198850

The 76 Goldwing has only a 350 Watt charging system. I have added a few things to the bike, like the CB, a GPS, a 90/130 Watt headlamp with high beam modulator, 5 tail lamps, and a little air horn,
http://home.goldrush.com/obsoelyt/Horn1.jpg
http://home.goldrush.com/obsoelyt/Horn2.jpg
http://home.goldrush.com/obsoelyt/Horn3.jpg
http://home.goldrush.com/obsoelyt/Horn4.jpg
http://home.goldrush.com/obsoelyt/Horn5.jpg
It seems to be ok, so far, the horn compressor added 4 A to the system, but doesn't run continously, and i understand an amplipfier doesn't use power unless you have keyed down.
I think i am going to install a headlamp kill switch, I don't need them when i am following someone, but usually i am in front navigating , and my new horns may make that position permanent.
Plan 1017 on this page,
http://electronickits.com/kit/plans/cbradio/cbradio.htm
looks promising, but it's most likely snake oil.
This one also looks like it could be adequate for the job,
http://www.quasarelectronics.com/1017.htm '
But I don't know if 25-30 Watts is going to do the job, but a 4-5 Amp load could be acceptable.
I will probably build 5 units for the people I regularly travel with, but even having some that can hear only is ok .
Moving the ant to ground level doesn't seem practicle, some guys tow trailers, and won't the bike block forward transmission? It would have to be centerline mounted, these mountain roads are all curves. We do a lot of 400-600 mile camping weekend trips, and in August spend 2 weeks on the road.

--
Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California

1726. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 16:52:20 UTC
From: Paul Calman <spam@trap.com>
Message-ID: <3c7ldrF6oa1veU1@individual.net>
Source record: 198852

That's usually a waste of time, and it's easier to just drop them into the twit filter. i have one guy in there under 27 aliases.
One guy did keep cross-posting political drivel all over the web from the school's server, the university he works for suspended his computer priveleges after about 300 people all called the dean to complain. dumb

--
Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California

1727. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 16:54:00 UTC
From: Paul Calman <spam@trap.com>
Message-ID: <3c7lgvF6leht5U1@individual.net>
Source record: 198851

I'l bet his mother is so proud.

--
Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California

1728. An important date in history for keyclowns, Uhl wanna know about this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 17:31:23 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113499883.845560.44900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198853

Way back many many years ago...Apollo 11 was a dream, Vietnam had not really heated up...Just a couple years before, Roger Maris hit 61 homers...Chevy Impalas looked cool, and you could get a 409 truck engine as an option...Kennedy was 11 months away from eternity...Nixon had made his "You won't have Nixon to kick around anymore" speech...ahhh yess...

A different time in America...before widespread use of color TV, before PC's, cellphones, satellite TV, and Blockbuster Video.

A different time indeed was December 22, 1962

December 22, 1962 was truly a novel day. The birth of the human amusement toy of the AKC. Uhl just have to keep staying tuned, as we slowly disseminate more of the story. As we have said before, Uhl just have to take it as delivered. We know Uhl continue to be entranced by the AKC.

1729. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 18:36:13 UTC
From: EMPEROR OF THE UNIVERSE <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1113503773.526661.279380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198854

Who the hell cares how it's spelled, HE'S STILL A NOBODY, AND THAT'S
THE BOTTOM LINE CAUSE I SAID SO, SO GO CHOKE ON THAT YOU STUPID
LAW-ABIDDING HAM OPERATOR

1730. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 18:52:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <278t51phlqndpi9u6llpjh5c2bh0pkfrnq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198855

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 20:50:39 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>Antennas are referenced to either or both because both are constants.
>>
>>Yes but referencing a theoretical model whose characteristics cannot
>>be realized in the real world, is somewhat disingenuous. A 1/2 wave
>>dipole is a standard reference antenna in the real world.
>
>
>......"disingenuous"? LOL!

What, do you need the definition explained to you? Ok:

disï¿½inï¿½genï¿½uï¿½ous (P) Pronunciation Key (dsn-jny-s)
adj.

1. Not straightforward or candid; insincere or calculating.

2. Pretending to be unaware or unsophisticated; faux-naï¿½f.

The usage of theoretical model which can never be realized in the real
world is deliberately misleading, and as such conforms to #!
definition above.

>>>>> It has 0 dB when referenced to itself.
>>>>
>>>>Isn't that what I just said?
>>>
>>>
>>>Unfortunately, yes, that's exactly what you said, and it's absolutely
>>>dumb since -every- antenna has 0 dB gain in reference to itself.
>>
>>But we don't reference an antenna against itself, we reference it
>>against a 1/2 wave dipole, a reference antenna which has unity gain.
>
>
>Time for your next lesson, Dave:

Please. Stop patting yourself on the back Frank, you'll pull a muscle.

>
>The decibel is the unit of measure in a comparative quantification
>system that establishes a value in relation to a specified 'standard'
>or 'reference'. In the case of antenna gain, the measurement is
>compared to either an isotropic antenna or a 1/2-wave dipole in free
>space (neither of which, FYI, are obtainable in the real world). A

>measurement in reference to the isotropic is labelled 'dBi', and when
>referenced to the dipole the label is 'dBd'. Look up antenna specs and
>you will see that both references are commonly used. Actually, dBi is
>more common because it gives higher numbers, which works better from a
>marketing perspective. Now to say that "a dipole has "0 dB gain", or even "unity gain",
means
>absolutely nothing because there is no reference.

I pretty much said the same thing on my website:

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj/antennagainmyth.htm

>
> Even if you include
>the reference but the reference is to itself, that's no better than
>saying that an inch is equal to an inch -- even more meaningless. And
>just so you are very clear on this, a dipole (1/2-wave Hertzian) has a
>gain of 3 dBi -ONLY- in the direction of maximum gain; and again, that
>gain is realized -only- in free space. That being said.....

This amounts to a simple tap dance in preparation for yet another weak
defense of your misleading information. You almost sound as if you
used to work for one of the more unscrupulous antenna companies

>Antenna gain in the -real- world is first measured as field strength,
>then that value is compared against the calculated equivalent field
>strength of a free-space dipole and/or isotropic radiator. Here's the
>shocker, Dave: If you do that with a 1/2-wave dipole you will find
>that it does -not- have a gain of 3 dbi at the point of maximum gain
>for the simple reason that it is not in free space. IOW, your dipole
>with "unity gain" is nothing more than a theoretical fantasy.

Which is why a dipole has an actual gain of 2.14 dbi, and not 3dbi. No
one is disputing that.

So in other words, what you are saying essentially is that you can't
trust any antenna gain specs, because the conditions by which they are
measured are never guaranteed to remain constant.

You said it yourself (and I agree) that a db is a unit of RELATIVE
measure. If I say that a dipole has unity gain, then it does, as
compared to a reference dipole tested in real world conditions.

>>>>Then it's no longer a half wave dipole. You are now talking double
>>>>ended zepp designs, which do exhibit gain over a 1/2 wave dipole
>>>
>>>
>>>But you didn't say "half wave dipole". You said only "dipole" which
>>>could be any kind of dipole, be it a double-ended Zepp or the rabbit
>>>ears perched on top of your overused television set.
>>
>>When the facts fail, argue semantics. When that fails, make unfounded
>>speculations about another's recreational habits.

>>
>>But that just makes me smile :-)
>
>
>Smile all you want -- the difference between the terms is significant
>despite your self-defined assumptions.

Keep telling yourself that. It's why you turn a disagreement over
semantics into a full blown pissing contest.

>>Silly me for assuming that an antenna needs feedline to connect to it.
>
>
>Yep, you get yourself into a lot of trouble with your assumptions.

Yea, silly me for making practical assumptions. There must be at least
one or two people who attach their radios right to their antennas
without feedline..... You have a habit of trying to make a case based
on the exception rather than the rule. Similar to what you tried to
pull with the Pa. State speeding laws. You tried to invalidate my
claim that a speed tolerance was wrong based on a small, virtually
unused method of measurement, rather than admit you were wrong in the
vast majority of cases. Even when the facts were presented to you, you
continued to try to play that exception.

It was getting painful to watch you hold on to it.

>>Only an idiot would run 200 feet or more of open 450 Ohm ladder line.
>
>
>Then there are a lot of idiots in this world, since most transmission
>line runs longer than 200 feet are usually some sort of ladder line or
>twin-lead as their attenuation is significantly lower than that of
>most types of coax. And I don't think many hams use 3-1/8" pressurized
>line, do they?

I don't know of many hams who run any longer runs of ladder line than
what is necessary to perform a proper impedance transfer. They usually
attach to a balun and then run coaxial cable to it.

>>Unless of course, you don't care about feedline radiation and RFI
>>issues.
>
>
>Ladder line is a balanced line -- it won't radiate unless the load or
>source is unbalanced (assuming it's used at a frequency with a
>wavelength significantly larger than the spacing).

And of course, we know that never happens.....

>> Most antennas which are designed to use ladder line, are also
>>spec'ed for a certain fixed length of the ladder line as part of its
>>impedance match.

>
>
>And you claim to have experience with these things? LOL!

I do.

>
>
>> At that point a balun is connected and the rest of
>>the feedline completes the usual configuration. If you were a ham,
>>you'd know this.
>
>
>If you were as experienced as you claim then you would know that hams
>rarely use baluns with ladder line, and not too often with twin-lead
>either.

Really? I guess I'd better get on 80 meters tonight and tell all those
good ol' boys that they've been doing it wrong for all these years.
I'll give them your e-mail address if that's ok.

>
>>>>But keeping with the original perspective, of a simple antenna system
>>>>for Vinnie, this falls far short of that objective.
>>>
>>>
>>>Simplicity dictates buying an Imax or Antron and sticking it in a tree
>>>or on a pole. Plug-n-play. You can't get much simpler than that. The
>>>complexity arose when you threw a fit after my suggestion that Vinnie
>>>might want to try and make his own antenna.
>>
>>I threw a "fit"? Hardly. I have no problem with anyone making their
>>own antennas. That's what ham radio is all about. BUT, when the
>>suggestions you made were for antennas which do not perform well for
>>the intended use,
>
>
>The antenna worked fine for me.

I though my 2 meter ringo running through a tuner was "fine" as well.
Until I put up something better and made the comparison. It's all
relative. Perhaps your standards are not as exacting as mine.

> If you couldn't get it to work then
>there's probably a reason that stems from your technical incompetence,
>which really doesn't suprise me -- this may be one of the simplest
>antennas that a person can make, but only -you- could foul it up with
>all your assumptions and distorted theories.

You said it yourself, it's a simple antenna. Trying to discredit my
findings by claiming that I screwed it up, does not detract from the
reality of performance comparisons. The antenna was constructed

correctly, the SWR was good, it was mounted properly, and it didn't
work as well as a gain-type vertical. Plain and simple.

>> I felt compelled to point this out. You are not
>>going to throw a couple of wires up in a tree, tune them with a tuner
>>and expect it to perform as well as a commercial (or homemade if
>>you're so inclined) gain-type, purpose-built, vertical antenna.
>
>
>That's funny, Dave. Just a couple posts ago you were whining about me
>saying; "You will sit there and tell people, who do these things every
>day, exactly why they can't possibly work." Now you are doing what you
>claim I do (but never have). Once again you have proven your own
>hypocrisy.

You're talking in circles again Frank. You're making me dizzy trying
to make sense of it. Try again when you're not nipping at the bottles
at the bar.

>>A tuner forces a resonant load from something which isn't resonant
>>naturally. That's sort of like keeping someone alive with a
>>respirator.
>
>
>Hmmmm..... the Schiavo antenna..... not a very good analogy, Dave.

Schiavo was not on a respirator Frank. Try to keep up.

>>You can (and I have) load up large metal objects (like rain gutters
>>and bedsprings), and force them to have a 1:1 SWR. But that doesn't
>>mean that the antenna is an efficient radiator. That's my whole point.
>
>
>You like to use the word "force"..... nothing is being "forced", Dave.

Yes it is. Resonance is a natural thing. A particular given antenna
has a natural resonance point. Trying to make it resonant at a point
other than that natural point is forcing it to resonance.

>
>
>>Now for your lesson in real life application of antenna principles:
>>
>>I was completely out of CB between 1990 and 1993, and I took down all
>>of my CB antennas. When I got the bug to get back into CB again, I had
>>the following antennas: a horizontal 10 meter dipole, a 5/8th wave 2
>>meter Ringo Ranger 2, a 6 meter 3 element beam (horizontal), a 2 meter
>>10 element Cushcraft "twistbeam" (horizontal & vertical), and a
>>Diamond tri-band 146, 220 and 440 Mhz vertical.
>>
>>Now, I also had at my disposal a tuner. I could load up every antenna
>>in that list on the CB band, with a less than 1.5:1 SWR. Out of that

>>list of antennas, the only one which worked somewhat acceptably was
>>the 2 meter Ringo Ranger, as it was about a 1/4 wave long at CB
>>frequencies. It was also at the top of my tower. The 10 meter dipole
>>loaded the easiest, but being horizontal, wasn't much use for local
>>talking.
>>
>>Sometime later, I put my Avanti Sigma 4 back up, and it wasabout 2
>>"S" units stronger transmitting to others, on average, than the 2
>>meter Ringo through the tuner.
>>
>>THAT is the difference between theory and practice. Sure you can load
>>up a non-resonant antenna and it will "work". But it won't work as
>>well as an antenna designed for the band.
>
>
>Notice that all but one of your examples were shorter than a 1/4-wave.

I forgot to mention my 6 meter 1/2 wave Ringo vertical. That is also
about a 1/4 wave at 27 Mhz, but for some reason didn't work as well as
the 2 meter version.

>Were you actually hoping for performance better than a rubber-ducky or
>2-foot gutter-mount?

There are limitations to the length of an antenna. Longer is not
always better. Loading up a 40 meter vertical on CB isn't all that
sweet either in the performance area.

>>>Geez Dave, you sure are a dumbass.
>>
>>I'm not the one reading a book on antenna theory and trying to pass it
>>off as actual experience.

>No, you are the one claiming to have much more experience than you
>actually have.

I never claimed to have extensive experience in all forms of antenna
usage. But I DO have extensive experience on what works well, and what
works poorly on the CB band.

>>>Wrong. Noise "tends" to have the polarity of the sources. The sources
>>>of noise can be mostly vertical -or- horizontal depending on a number
>>>of factors, not the least of which are geographic location, time of
>>>day (as well as season), solar activity, band of operation......
>>
>>Time to read another book Frank. Or better yet ask a ham. The greatest
>>majority of noise is vertically polarized. Maybe if you have been
>>paying attention in those theory classes instead of "teaching" logic
>>classes, and trying to book whip your way through psychology 101, you
>>might know this.
>
>

>Maybe if you had a Cushcraft CFB-8 you could have spent several years
>switching between horizontal and vertical, and seen for yourself that
>sometimes vertical is noisier than horizontal and sometimes it's the
>other way around. (The ice storm in '96 took out my Cushcraft, the
>rotor and the tower -- makes me sad because it was probably the best
>CB antenna I ever owned).

I am not familiar with that model antenna. Could you provide the
specs?

>>>>Ah, so you're changing the parameters of the discussion. Long wires
>>>>are inefficient on CB as well. Been there, done that.
>>>
>>>
>>>I changed nothing. You probably had a problem with the long-wire
>>>antenna because you were expecting an omnidirectional pattern, which a
>>>long-wire does not have.

What else would you want for local CB talking? Stay within the
parameters of the intended use. I never said that your suggestions
were bad designs or unsuitable in any application. But for the use we
were originally discussing, they fall far short.

>>It does (at least in the "H" plane) if it's mounted vertically. But
>>that's impractical for HF use.
>
>
>Of course it's impractical since your lobes are shooting into the
>ground and towards a few satellites.

Exactly my point.

>
>> Wire antennas are awkward and do not work well on CB for
>>local talking. So where Frank?
>
>
>Long-wire antennas can indeed be awkward, but sometimes an opportunity
>presents itself that can't be ignored. Maybe you don't have farms near
>where you live but there are plenty around here, complete with wire
>fences. And there are also mountains to go camping, some of which have
>very nice slopes that are ideal for a long-wire or rhombic.

A rhombic antenna has fantastic gain, but it's directional and
cumbersome to move. Again, it's impractical for local CB use.

>But like I said before (and you conveniently snipped), antennas are
>reciprocal. IOW, I don't need to have a license to receive on -any-
>band. I do a lot of SWL if you haven't guessed by now.

So how do you gauge relative antenna performance differences on a
simple receiver. Differences of 1 or two S units would not be easily
measured, especially on DX signals which constantly change with

conditions. I used to SWL too. I got what I thought was satisfactory performance just hanging a long wire out of my bedroom window. But how do you quantify that?

> Regardless, I
>have used long-wire antennas for transmitting on HF in the USMC, on
>the CB, and a few Part 15 experiments on HF, MF and LF. They work just
>fine -- for their intended purpose.

I agree. But that purpose is not for local talking on the CB band.

>>The point is, as it has always been, that you glaringly lack the
>>necessary experience to back up your claims.
>
>
>How much experience do I need before I know what I'm doing, Dave? More
>experience than you?

No, just enough that you stop making generic suggestions for things which require a specific application. Such actions are borne of ignorance.

>
>> I have had way more experience. I was
>>playing with the 170 Khz band when I was a kid, along with 35 years
>>of CB experience, 24 years of ham experience, and being employed as a
>>tech and engineer for a few high tech firms as well as 30 years of
>>hands on CB, ham radio, and stereo repair.
>
>
>I really don't care about your fantasy world, Dave

Only you insist on calling it that. But that doesn't make it so.

> -- you said I don't
>have any experience. You are wrong. If you want to count years then I
>can point out several hams who have a lot more years on the air than
>you and haven't learned any more than you have, and some kids who
>don't have any license at all and know more than both of us put
>together. You think time is the measure of knowledge?

No, but it is a measure of wisdom.

>It isn't, not
>when you don't spend that time wisely and learn something from all
>that "experience".

My experience tells me not to waste my time with mickey mouse wire antennas and tuners for local CB talking.

> And in all those years of experience you claim to
>have, you still haven't learned one very important thing: There are
>other people who are smarter than you.

I never said otherwise. You are putting thoughts in my head again Frank. Your assumptions in this case are very telling, and more likely a form of projection.

>You like to play the old salt
>when some bright, young whipper-snapper comes along because that gives >you a sense of self-importance, making you think that you are the >center of his attention.

Is that why you got let go from the radio station? Some fair-haired college kid whip you into a frenzy and for less money?

> You aren't. Neither am I, but you can't bear
>the thought of someone else like me hogging the glory of your years of >technical accomplishment.

Keep going Frank, this is indeed interesting. You've managed to spin a total yarn, based on nothing more than your own feelings. You do have some unresolved bitterness down there.

>Well, get used to it -- there -are- smarter
>people than you, with more experience than you, and with a better >education than you; and unlike you, some of them have an open mind to >learning things they might not have learned the first time around the >block.

I learn things every day. Like today, I have a better understanding of what's eating at you.

>>By suggesting tha using a tuner is a "hassle". It's not if you
>>understand how to use it.
>
>
>It -is- a hassle when changing channels is done as often as it is on >the CB.

Not for a dedicated high performance operator. These same guys would put up with the drift of a Siltronix VFO, deal with monster beams which dwarf their homes, and you think adjusting a simple tuner is too big of a "hassle"?

>>Maybe that's what your inexperience has taught you, but that's not
>>what actually happened. Yes, there were a few people out there who >>cringed when their SWR crept above 1.5:1. The difference that a >>matchbox provided did not overcome the insertion loss. But that's not >>the cases I was making. There were people who had serious mismatch >>problems (over 3:1) because they did not know how to properly tune the >>antenna. They then compensated for their blunder by forcing the SWR to >>1:1 with a tuner.
>
>
>.......oh brother

You have a habit of saying things like this when you're fed a dose of
reality. Why is that?

>> The radio was happy, but the antenna was not
>>radiating efficiently and their signal potential was cut considerably.
>>When the real problem was corrected, and the tuner removed, their
>>signals increased.
>>
>>The point, of course, is that if what you stated before was correct,
>>you should be able to radiate a signal just as well with an antenna
>>which was not in tune (non-resonant) through the use of a tuner to
>>"force" a resonance, than with a naturally resonant antenna. My own
>>personal experience in this exact scenario proves exactly the
>>opposite.
>
>
>Yet you contradict yourself by espousing the virtues of a 5/8-wave
>antenna.

There's nothing contradictory about a 5/8 wave antenna. It beats a 1/2
wave dipole hands down. And it is resonant, even if not at 50 ohms.

>>No, but I have played with most of more the common home made antennas
>>which a CB'er would be most likely to utilize. When you're young and
>>have a lot of time, you tend to experiment alot. I did.
>
>
>Nowdays your time is wasted on TV, video games, newsgroup posting and
>pizza deliveries.

I'm smiling again. ;-)

>>> Yet
>>>you haven't been here to evaluate -my- antennas..... have you been
>>>playing with your crystal ball again, Dave?
>>>
>>
>>What, you have some "miracle" antenna design that no one else has ever
>>played with? What could possibly be so special about YOUR antennas
>>Frank? Let me guess, you spray them with Teflon?
>
>
>No "miracle" antenna, just a few practical applications of theory that
>fly in the face of your vast and fully comprehensive "experience".

Bullshit, Frank. There's no other word for it.

>>You should give up on the crystal ball Frank. It didn't work for you,
>>so you should know that it wouldn't work for me.
>>
>>>>> And just about
>>>>>every CBer who runs a tube linear uses a tuner because it's built into
>>>>>the amp, which by itself blows big holes in your "practical" argument.

>>>>
>>>>Not at all. A tube amplifier requires a tuner because the impedance of
>>>>a tube is much higher than 50 ohms, and needs to be transformed. It's
>>>>not practicality, it's necessity.
>>>
>>>
>>>It's not "necessity" since the amp is not a necessity -- there is
>>>always the alternative of using a solid-state amp, or no amp at all.
>>
>>It's a necessity if you use a tube amp and want it to work. Please
>>stop with the semantics. If your argument is that weak, you should
>>just stop.
>
>
>Weak? You just said that a tuner is necessary for a tube amp to work.
>Neglecting any unlikely variations, you are correct. Yet this is in
>direct contradiction to your previous statments because the built-in
>tuner is practical not just from the standpoint that it's required,
>but that such amps usually tune over a wide spectrum that covers
>several ham bands, which is one of the reasons I suggested to Vinnie
>to build an antenna with a tuner. So if your argument about tube amps
>isn't favorable of my suggestion to use a tuner then I don't know what
>is. (And I can't believe you didn't see that trap even -after- I told
>you about setting a few.....ROTFL!!!)

THIS is your trap? Frank you need help. You are changing the
application and attempting to make a direct comparison when there
isn't one. I never said a tuner isn't useful. I said that a non
resonant antenna forced to a match on CB will not work as well as an
antenna designed for the band. There are no universal truths.
Situations are relative. Are you that desperate, that you need to
start twisting words? That trick didn't buy twisty any credibility and
it will do no more for you.

>>>So most high performance CBers spend their time and money on equipment
>>>designed to maximize their 4 watts?
>>
>>A typical high performance CB'er will spend his money on the best
>>antennas that he can afford. Whether he stays at 4 watts is a personal
>>choice. It makes little sense to spend money on a big "honkin'" amp
>>and then piss that power into a poor antenna. The name of the game is
>>ERP, and that's a combination of transmitter power (minus feedline and
>>other losses) and antenna gain.
>
>
>.......uh, was that a 'yes' or a 'no'?

What does it matter? Surely you've met a few guys like that in you
"years" of CBing. I know I did.

>>>>The fact that you keep asking, makes me all the less likely to tell
>>>>you.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yet another cop-out. You probably sent in for one of those mail-order
>>>schools and never finished it.
>>
>>Sort of like that college degree that you allege that you have (yet
>>you tend bar).
>
>
>I could scan my Diploma but you would probably dismiss it as a forgery
>made by liberal journalists or Pentagon insiders.

I could scan a bunch of my certificates and diplomas as well. But
you'd also claim that printshop could create them.

>> I don't have to provide any credentials to you or
>>anyone else.
>
>
>Because you don't have any. If you did you would be blowing those
>horns just as loud as you blow your "experience" horn.

I don't make a habit of bragging about my ham license either.. Other
people bring it up. No one likes a braggart Frank, especially me. My
revelation of my experience was in direct response to your claim that
I don't know what I'm doing. I don't need to play the "my school is
better than your school game" in this group.

>> As long as there are people here who won't even fess up
>>to their real names, I owe no one any of my personal information.
>
>
>Gee, it's not like you haven't provided any in the past.

Such as?

>>I've provided plenty of information when I felt you were worthy of
>>such. But after you discarded them as rubbish due to your intrinsic
>>bias,
>
>
>I discarded them due to the facts that are available for anyone to
>verify at any time -- even you.

Your "facts" were little more than other people's conclusions. Your
bias clouds you objectivity

>
>
>> I decided that you were not one who wanted any enlightenment.
>>Your mind was made up and anything I provided, you would simply
>>categorize as "propaganda".

>
>
>Whine, whine, whine. Quit with the "bias and propoganda" spin already.

If the shoe fits Frank.

>It was old when you used it to try and squirm your way out of your
>political BS. Deal with the facts. Where are -your- facts, Dave?

Where are yours?

>
>>>> While
>>>>we sit here and laugh at you, because we've been there and done that.
>>>
>>>
>>>Who is "we"? You and your imaginary groupies?
>>
>>Look around and you'll see. Check the archives Frank. You've been on
>>the butt end of many other debates with people who possessed clearly
>>superior knowledge.
>
>
>HA!
>
>
>> All of the fancy obscure terminology and tap
>>dancing you used couldn't hide your lack of experience.
>
>
>Speaking of "fancy obscure terminology", why don't you take a crack at
>"bird watts"?

That's not a true unit of measure. It was coined by a marginally
technical Cb'er in the same vein as "pounds" was coined to refer to
"S" units.

> If I were to say, "it's part of a bigger picture", would
>you say that's a fair explanation for the operation of a grounded-grid
>triode?

That depends on the context.

> Are you part of the crowd that thinks class-C amps are linear?

Hell no.

>How do you get the flyback effect without a resonant output tank? You
>better read those threads again, Dave.

It's tap dance terminology. Flyback effect has little to do with
linearizing an amp. It's mostly about DC bias.

>>>
>>>
>>>Where did I say that I had never heard the term before? I didn't. What
>>>I -did- say was that it was an inappropriate term.
>>
>>According to you. Many others, who I hold a much higher level of
>>respect for, don't see it that way.
>
>
>And you have yet to name just one.

What would it matter if I did? Would you know them?

>> It's not 100% accurate, but
>>it's a good start. It's better than random generic suggestions of
>>checking this and checking that.
>
>
>Random? No, Dave, those suggestions were not picked at random. The
>voltage regulator was nailed down by myself and Lance (and someone
>else too, I think) because the symptoms pointed directly to it.

The original poster was scared off by your jumping on my back for
suggesting the cap. We never saw the outcome and whether he actually
found the real problem.

> And in
>case you missed the results of the test, the regulator output was
>indeed bad. So either we got really lucky (all making the same
>"random" suggestion) or we knew what we were doing.

How could you? You know next to nothing about the inner workings of a
CB radio. Otherwise you wouldn't have made such an ass of yourself
trying to discredit my knowledge of the TRC 449/458/457/Cobra
138/139XLR chassis.

>Either way, we
>were much closer than your "it's almost always a cap" diagnosis.

Says you. The answer was never given to us.

>>>> and you try to cover this
>>>>glaring hole by claiming a pompous, superior attitude as if this was
>>>>beneath you.
>>>
>>>
>>>No, -you- are beneath me, Dave, and that's where you'll stay until you
>>>pull your head out of your ass.
>>
>>You know, the no-code license is not that hard Frank. A guy with time
>>on his hands like you, should have no trouble with it....
>
>
>Why would I want a license?

You claim to be a radio experimenter. What bigger playground is there
than ham radio?

>To educate idiots like you?

You won't last long with your attitude.

>I do that already in this newsgroup

The only thing we learn is that you a pompous arrogant blowhard who
tends bar for a living while claiming to be some sort of radio whiz.

>. I know a few decent hams, but the rest are
>typified by those chronically depressed fossils who frequent the
>whine-nets on 40m and the channel-masters on 2m that think they are
>God's gift to radio. No thanks -- you are better qualified to occupy
>the hammie bands than me.

Translation: You couldn't cut it. Even Voob tried to push you into it,
and you actually considered it. So when are you going to step up to
the plate?

>>I'm not mocking them, I am them.
>
>
>What a load of horse-shit. Next you'll be claiming that you can't
>disclose the nature of your work because it's classified by the
>government, or some other hogwash like that.

Not at all. But I do choose to keep my vocation quiet. After what
happened to Dennis O, I keep it to myself.

>> I just played in the sandbox of
>>experimentation before I got my schooling. With that perspective, I
>>was able to concentrate on practical theory and discard the stuff that
>>we never use.
>
>
>....."practical theory"? That's a good one, Dave!

What? Do I have to explain yet another term to you?

>LOL! So where did you get your "schooling"?

Several places. And not all at once.

>>> You think that just because you
>>>learned something on your own that nobody else has the right to
>>>profess such knowledge, and just because they didn't 'earn' it like
>>>you did.
>>
>>Now who's projecting with the crystal ball?
>
>
>I didn't know a crystal ball could be used to project -- I always

>thought of it more as a receiver than a transmitter.

Well technically, the crystal ball is the receiver. You are the
assumer and transmitter.

>>>Yet instead of improving yourself by learning something new
>>>you focus on the biggest difference between us -- an education -- and
>>>try to hold it against me as some kind of professional malfeasance.
>>
>>But there is no real difference in education. You ASSUME that I don't
>>have a comparable education to you because I refuse to devulge that
>>information.
>
>
>No, I KNOW it because you don't have any grasp of the fundamental
>theories behind electronics.

You mean that I don't use YOUR terms for it. You are very protective
of your terms. Just like your insistence that pulsating D.C. was
actually A.C.

>> But I can tell you that I'm not the one tending bar right
>>now. I am putting my education AND experience to work for me.
>
>
>I'm having fun -and- making money doing -both-. Like I said, you
>should try doing a little bartending yourself -- it might loosen up
>your bowels.

Why? Slinging drinks to people who need to drown their frustrations
while inhaling clouds of cigarette smoke is not my idea of "fun", not
even considering the large pay cut.

> And you would get social feedback from -real- people
>instead of your imaginary groupies.

I deal with hundreds of real people on a weekly basis. May of whom are
big customers. Others strategic partners. Some work in the factories
in other countries. I admit that I have trouble understanding people
who speak broken English, but I keep humbling myself by reminding
myself that their English is better than my Chinese or Spanish.

> But then that's probably why you
>could never hold a job that requires social interaction.

I've had 3 full time jobs in 30 years. My current job, I've had for 20
years as of last December. Want to try again?

>Same difference -- you are using a quantification of your experience
>as the measure of comparison with no regard to qualification. You have
>30 years experience with CB, so automatically you conclude that you
>are "more informed" than someone with 29-1/2 years experience.

Never said otherwise.

Conversely, someone with a degree from MIT is not necessarily more
versed in R.F. theory than someone with a degree from Drexel.

>>My beef with your education is your over reliance on it
>
>
>Well now that's -beyond- stupid, Dave. What good is an education if
>you can't rely upon it?

You do more than rely upon it. You flaunt it like some sort of new
spring dress.

You chastise me for relying on my experience, while you do the same
with your education. Can you say (or spell) hypocrisy Frank?

> If I know certain facts, does that mean I
>should disregard them even when they are relevant?

Why not, you're quick to discredit my facts, and use your "education"
as a justification.

> Or should I
>disregard them just to make you feel better about your -lack- of
>education?

Education is fine. But it only gives you the tools to learn. Actual
learning comes with applying education and gaining experience.

>> and how you
>>hold it up as some sort of badge of honor,
>
>
>Maybe because it -is- a badge of honor. It's not something I simply
>bought at the grocery store, it's something for which I worked very
>goddam hard. I EARNED my degree. And since you have no idea where I'm
>coming from I can tell that you DIDN'T.

Now you're sounding like a 20 WPM Extra who pouts at the thought of a
no-code license. I EARNED IT so everyone needs to.

I earned mine too. It took a lot longer than yours did. I did it for
the extra income potential.

>> not too unlike some hams do
>>with their licenses.
>
>
>Just about anybody can pass a test when the answers are provided ahead
>of time.

So what's your excuse again?

> A ham license isn't something that's earned; nowdays they are
>handed out like vials of deadly flu strains.

Everyone who's ever failed the exam makes similar comments.

>>>> I am not mocking them, I am mocking you.
>>>>You who CLAIM to be educated, but evidence suggests you are sorely
>>>>lacking in practical application of whatever it is you might have
>>>>learned.
>>>
>>>
>>>Shall I make a list of what I have taught you about radio and
>>>electronics since the last list? How long ago was that.... just a
>>>couple months ago, wasn't it?
>>
>>You now arrogantly claim to have taught me something? You're as bad a
>>Twisty who thinks he teaches me about radio law.
>
>
>He did.

Nothing of the sort. He knows nothing and cannot provide any
substantiation for any claim he makes.

>> Neither one of you do
>>anything more than dance to smoke and mirrors and the twisting of
>>words.
>
>
>No smoke or mirrors, Dave. The problem is that you can never admit
>when you are wrong. You were wrong about roger-beeps,

And I admitted it.

> you were wrong about speeding laws,

No, I wasn't. The Pa. statute clearly backs up my claim in the vast
majority of cases.

> you were wrong about capacitors,

No, you failed to prove me wrong about anything. All you did was tap
dance around differences in terminology.

> you were wrong
>about non-resonant antennas and tuners.

Prove it then, with something more substantive than your own
arrogance. Prove that a non-resonant antenna will perform as well or
better than a commercial gain antenna on the CB band. Until you can,
you haven't "proven" anything, other than you disagree with me.

.... the list is almost endless

As endless as your own mind.

>at this point. But hey, it's not like you really -want- to make your
>life easier by simply keeping your mouth shut about things you know
>nothing about.

What would be the fun in that? I'm making you froth and spew like no one before. It's a good thing you like to live alone. I'd hate to think that I might be responsible for a case of domestic violence.

> You just can't resist pushing your way into the center
>of the discussion whether by your electronic trouble-guessing, legal
>assumptions, political propoganda, or during an ensuing argument.

My troubleshooting has been right more often than not Frank. Most recently the diagnosis of final oscillation in an HR-2510.

> But
>that's just the way you are, Dave. It's all summarized in the analysis
>I did about your personality disorders.

Ah yes, another example of your glaring lack of experience. You know absolutely nothing about reading people, especially me. I'm having fun pushing your buttons, and you, like Twisty, never fail to perform when prodded.

>>>Now your memory is failing, Dave -- did you forget so soon that I'm
>>>currently designing and building a studio?
>>
>>So you claim. That and 50 cents can't even buy a decent cup of coffee.
>>I can claim that I'm installing the new digital video links at ABC
>>too.
>
>
>You have made a lot of claims and haven't backed up -any- of them with
>-any- facts. I have.

No, you haven't.

> Unlike you, I don't hide anything about me.

>Consequently, my claims are far more credible than yours.

Not when they're clearly wrong.

> That's how to play the credibility game. You lost the game a long time ago, Dave,
>and just like any other Gore loser you can't accept defeat. So you
>hang around the board and keep playing your own little game, not
>realizing that the real game continues despite you, and that you are
>tolerated simply because you won't go away.

All this assumes that I care what you think.

>Go find another game, Dave.

Why? This forum ceased to be a venue for anything constructive log ago. For me, my fun is tweaking mentally unstable and arrogant assholes. I'm having fun, aren't you?

>>>Don't even -hint- that you have any kind of technical or engineering
>>>job in the radio/electronics field because I'm not buying it for one
>>>second. You have proven your ignorance on the subject so many times

>>>that it's well beyond the realm of probability.
>>
>>Yet it is a true fact nonetheless , which only outlines just how far
>>off you are.
>
>
>uh-huh, sure Dave, whatever you say.

I got the paycheck to prove it. All else is irrelevant.

>Pay attention, dummy: You said "not all hams are mensa candidates". I
>agree. In fact, I agree 100%. Even if I didn't agree, you have already
>offered irrefutable proof to support your claim -- your own ignorance.

The joke loses it's effect when you have to explain it Frank. But you
do it anyway.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1731. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 19:00:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <svet519idglmad8edtp5keltrm1o8dmtps@4ax.com>
Source record: 198856

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 13:40:56 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:71is51phi4era4jbd5plpg07f0thes9a5c@4ax.com...
>> Yes, it's deliberately done with a bit of a shock value. It's to
>> counter the rampant mindset that someone isn't really doing anything
>> wrong simply because the FCC is too incompetent and understaffed to
>> catch them yet.
>>
>> Dave
>
>Well Dave, I'm not going to continue, it's fruitless. In
>closing you can go on your crusade against the FCC
>scofflaws, but their "crime" as you like to put it is
>very minor. If they are using Freeband or amps, their
>actions are much less of a consequence. Litterbugs
>add tons of garbage that costs millions a year to clean.
>Speeders cost millions a year to enforce against and kill
>hundreds of people while causing accidents because of speeding.
> I just find that there are more important things to
>worry about than someone that's using power or talking
>on the freeband. I worry about speeders maybe hitting my
>kids, drunk driver hitting someone I care about, drugs in
>my kids school etc etc, not about labeling someone a
>criminal for using a linear or freeband. You can go around
>and see sociopath and anarchist around every corner, I fore
>one am going to worry about what directly will affect my
>family, not what is illegal and not going to affect virtually
>anyone such as freeband use and linear.
>

Mark,

Please don't get me wrong here. I'm not on any "crusade" I'm simply
pointing out a matter of fact with regard to what the people who break
the law by operating out of band technically are. Once you key the
mike on the freeband, you are breaking the law. A law which carried
criminal penalties if and when one is caught. But that is only a
formality.

If those who rabidly attack this truth would simply own up to their
complicity in this area, then we can move on. As long as people live
in denial, there will be no progress.

Personally, I don't care about freebanding or DX. Hell, some of my best friends are freebanders. I used to do it myself. But I am not trying to kid myself or other people by attempting to downplay what this activity is in the eyes of the law.

Do what you want. I'm not turning people in. I'm only pointing out what it is that they are guilty of.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1732. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 20:13:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6i2a81tdpbcb88b76pi6mn0vrsfdn1dstc@4ax.com>
Source record: 198857

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 14:52:08 -0400, Domino's Dave
<nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

<snip>
>>uh-huh, sure Dave, whatever you say.
>
>I got the paycheck to prove it. All else is irrelevant.

Except for the fact that you just spent eight hours during normal
working hours replying to my post. I, on the other hand, have to get
back to work now since the panels finally arrived last night. I'll
pick this up again when I have as much time to waste as you do,
pizza-boi.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1733. Re: RCI 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 21:29:04 UTC
From: Admiral Nelson <SEAVIEW5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <425EE0A0.7000404@optonline.net>
Source record: 198858

Almost all radios have a diode right at the back of the power plug
inside the radio.
If the radio does not work now check the diode, most likely blown. If
it's not there
it most likely shattered in tiny little pieces. You can do a quick
check if it is there. Just jump it out and try
the radio again. If your lucky, it will work. Replace the diode, if
not and the radio still works, you run the risk
of wrecking the radio when you son does it again!
73

barry wrote:

>Need some advice, my son hooked the positive to ground is the radio
>destroyed ?
>
>
>

1734. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 21:32:21 UTC
From: LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CjB7e.3556$6H2.3551@fe12.lga>
Source record: 198859

[No readable article body recovered]

1735. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:01:46 UTC
From: General Johnson Jamieson <supersecret@looselipssinkships.wow>
Message-ID: <eLB7e.1730$J12.747@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198860

Riley is gone, Daryl Duckworth is the new Big Dog but don't worry, he could care less about ham or CB.

1736. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:19:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4nqt51ppjfqia1lsq60er0al09a6i8edcj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198861

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 22:07:26 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<79tt519rfhr70vd9l3dat0aqug9hv9198v@2355323778>:

<snip>
> Very few hams that play with, or design and build their own
>antennas use a balun. Most wire antennas are balanced antennas, why
>would you run your balanced ladder line into the balanced side of a
>balun, out the unbalanced end of a balun to feed a balanced antenna?

Because Dave's experience dictates the use of a balun; and his
experience is fact -despite- common sense, book-learnin', and the
widespread practices of most other hams. Heck, didn't you know that,
Lance? LOL!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1737. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:26:32 UTC
From: EMPEROR OF THE UNIVERSE <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1113517592.205953.274940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198862

"Daryl Duckworth"? Who is HE? And where did they dig him from?

1738. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:43:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414184324.539$q8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198863

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050413203155.601$mm@newsreader.com...
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 13 Apr 2005 21:43:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I did it by invitation and the fucksticks still try to throw me under
> >> >the bus, Enzo.
> >>
> >> Right, which is what separates you from them. I didn't invite them, or
> >> challenge them. They decided to single me out for some reason. Well,
> >> if they decide to come here, then they are fair game.
> >>
> >> >Never mind trying to appease the three walkie-talkie
> >> >operators that hang here humping legs...one look at their antenna
> >> >or coax is all you need to know about their deficiencies.
> >>
> >> That is more than I got right now !
>
A man must know his limitations Shark. :)

10 feet will kill ya, so who really cares how big of splat you leave??

1739. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:44:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414184446.251$vY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198864

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >
> > Fucker needs a bullet to separate him from his sick habit. The parents
> > need a bullet too for allowing their children to accommodate him.
>
> Man you got that right, especially the parents for letting him
> have the kid. They are nothing better than pimps.
>
> Landshark
>
Right on. $10 million hush money for a sleep over. Sick!

1740. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:45:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414184540.948$Vy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198865

"Mopey aint too smart" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> dummy doesn't even know how to spell his Mr. Hollingsworth's first
> name.
>
Fuck off.

plonk

1741. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:47:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414184713.655$6G@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198866

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 13 Apr 2005 21:36:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On Fri, 08 Apr 2005 19:31:47 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >I have built non-resonant antennas that beat a 5/8ths. A 5/8ths is
> >> >hard pressed achieve the claims for gain that it has.The only
> >> >commercial 5/8th that I seen that was properly decoupled was an
> >> >Isotron.
> >>
> >> Sorry, I meant Isopole
> >>
> >Dan Marino used to give his OL those gloves. :)
>
> That's isoTONER..... ;-)
>
I knew that but I couldn't resist acting like a dumbass..it comes
easy for me. :)

1742. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:49:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <erst51pdt46si4a5di2if9cgqgspl5b8e7@4ax.com>
Source record: 198867

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 22:28:11 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<t8vt515stsh1651us1dulm9h8qtrh01fti@2355323778>:

>On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 15:19:19 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 22:07:26 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>><79tt519rfhr70vd9l3dat0aqug9hv9198v@2355323778>:
>>
>><snip>
>>> Very few hams that play with, or design and build their own
>>>antennas use a balun. Most wire antennas are balanced antennas, why
>>>would you run your balanced ladder line into the balanced side of a
>>>balun, out the unbalanced end of a balun to feed a balanced antenna?
>>
>>
>>Because Dave's experience dictates the use of a balun; and his
>>experience is fact -despite- common sense, book-learnin', and the
>>widespread practices of most other hams. Heck, didn't you know that,
>>Lance? LOL!
>>
>>
>
>Yeah, who was that guy in this group that always wanted to put a balun
>on a ground plane?

Dave? You're kidding?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1743. Re: While we're on the subject of Doug Adair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:52:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414185207.823$bq_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198868

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 13 Apr 2005 21:56:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> Did the alleged "child molester" brag to a bunch of people on an
> >> internet forum that he did indeed molest children?
> >>
> >What do you think about a dog that is making a living but refuses to
> >feed his children, to the point of getting tagged with a felony for it?
>
> As a parent, I am particularly offended by it. Anyone who is found to
> have been deliberately negligent to his children deserves far more
> than my scorn though.
>
Exactly. I know there are child support disputes that are hard to rectify
and a few of my friends have been down that road but somehow it gets
resolved one way or another.

The only guy I know that abused the system so bad that he got a court
ordered head shrinker, and a felony out of it is N8WWM Doug Adair.

1744. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:53:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414185355.493$me@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198869

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 04:36:34 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> Fucker needs a bullet to separate him from his sick habit. The parents
> >> need a bullet too for allowing their children to accommodate him.
> >
> >Man you got that right, especially the parents for letting him
> >have the kid. They are nothing better than pimps.
>
> Being around money and celebrity does some strange things to people's
> judgement. Also some people are really dense when it comes to the true
> potential ugliness in the world.
>
Jonny cockring took that million dollar excuse to the grave.

1745. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 22:57:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414185751.335$ru@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198870

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
> >> >on the History Channel.
> >> >
> >> > A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
> >> >did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
> >>
> >> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
> >>
> >>I love war movies and such.
>
> It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and how
> great this country once was.
>
Agreed. It still is the best place to live on this planet.

1746. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:00:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414190051.556$sz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198871

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:71is51phi4era4jbd5plpg07f0thes9a5c@4ax.com...
> > Yes, it's deliberately done with a bit of a shock value. It's to
> > counter the rampant mindset that someone isn't really doing anything
> > wrong simply because the FCC is too incompetent and understaffed to
> > catch them yet.
> >
> > Dave
>
> Well Dave, I'm not going to continue, it's fruitless. In
> closing you can go on your crusade against the FCC
> scofflaws, but their "crime" as you like to put it is
> very minor. If they are using Freeband or amps, their
> actions are much less of a consequence. Litterbugs
> add tons of garbage that costs millions a year to clean.
> Speeders cost millions a year to enforce against and kill
> hundreds of people while causing accidents because of speeding.
> I just find that there are more important things to
> worry about than someone that's using power or talking
> on the freeband. I worry about speeders maybe hitting my
> kids, drunk driver hitting someone I care about, drugs in
> my kids school etc etc, not about labeling someone a
> criminal for using a linear or freeband. You can go around
> and see sociopath and anarchist around every corner, I fore
> one am going to worry about what directly will affect my
> family, not what is illegal and not going to affect virtually
> anyone such as freeband use and linear.
>
> Landshark
>
I'm still waiting for my first NAL. Reckon how many more years
than 27 I have to wait yet?

1747. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:04:15 UTC
From: EMPEROR OF THE UNIVERSE <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1113519855.430666.60310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198874

HUH??????????????????????????????????

1748. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:04:26 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <eKudnQXn9-Nka8PfRVn-iQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 198873

Steveo wrote:
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark"
>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
>>>>> on the History Channel.
>>>>>
>>>>> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
>>>>> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
>>>>
>>>> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
>>>>
>>> I love war movies and such.
>>
>> It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and how
>> great this country once was.
>>
> Agreed. It still is the best place to live on this planet.

Well, Fiji has a lot going for it. ;-)

Jeff

1749. Re: FAQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:04:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414190431.729$Xa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198872

"Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com> wrote:
> That's usually a waste of time, and it's easier to just drop them into
> the twit filter. i have one guy in there under 27 aliases.
> One guy did keep cross-posting political drivel all over the web from the
> school's server, the university he works for suspended his computer
> priveleges after about 300 people all called the dean to complain. dumb
>
Hi Paul. when I first looked at your screen name I thought it said
Paul Cotton..one guy from the band Poco that I really like.

Can you harmonize with Rusty Young? <g>

1750. Re: An important date in history for keyclowns, Uhl wanna know about this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:06:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414190640.647$W3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198875

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 14 Apr 2005 10:31:23 -0700, "Mopey aint too smart"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Way back many many years ago...Apollo 11 was a dream, Vietnam had not
> >really heated up...Just a couple years before, Roger Maris hit 61
> >homers...Chevy Impalas looked cool, and you could get a 409 truck
> >engine as an option...Kennedy was 11 months away from eternity...Nixon
> >had made his "You won't have Nixon to kick around anymore"
> >speech...ahhh yess...
> >
> >A different time in America...before widespread use of color TV, before
> >PC's, cellphones, satellite TV, and Blockbuster Video.
> >
> >A different time indeed was December 22, 1962
> >
> >December 22, 1962 was truly a novel day. The birth of the human
> >amusement toy of the AKC. Uhl just have to keep staying tuned, as we
> >slowly disseminate more of the story. As we have said before, Uhl just
> >have to take it as delivered. We know Uhl continue to be entranced by
> >the AKC.
>
> Is that when your daddy pissed in your mommy and 9 months later you
> where born?
>
Is un-packing a good shit considered being born, or exhausted?

1751. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:08:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414190813.758$3G@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198876

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 14:52:08 -0400, Domino's Dave
> <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >>uh-huh, sure Dave, whatever you say.
> > >
> > >I got the paycheck to prove it. All else is irrelevant.
>
> Except for the fact that you just spent eight hours during normal
> working hours replying to my post.\
>
I want a job like that!

1752. Re: An important date in history for keyclowns, Uhl wanna know about this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:09:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414190946.200$Zw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198877

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "Mopey aint too smart" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1113499883.845560.44900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > December 22, 1962
>
> Sounds like someone birthday
>
I wuz two years old then. Happy B-day.

1753. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:10:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414191037.666$0E@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198878

"General Johnson Jamieson" <supersecret@looselipssinkships.wow> wrote:
> Riley is gone, Daryl Duckworth is the new Big Dog but don't worry, he
> could care less about ham or CB.
>
Bandwidth turns out to be a cash cow.

1754. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:14:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414191401.221$GI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198879

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 15:49:30 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>
> >>Yeah, who was that guy in this group that always wanted to put a balun
> >>on a ground plane?
> >
> >
> >Dave? You're kidding?
> >
> >
>
> No, I think it was Toll Free
>
I miss Toll Free.

1755. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:16:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414191605.729$mw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198880

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark"
> >>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
> >>>>> on the History Channel.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
> >>>>> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
> >>>>
> >>>> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
> >>>>
> >>> I love war movies and such.
> >>
> >> It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and how
> >> great this country once was.
> >>
> > Agreed. It still is the best place to live on this planet.
>
> Well, Fiji has a lot going for it. ;-)
>
> Jeff
I can't afford the bait that they call sushi there!

1756. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:23:59 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <SLGdnauiXYkSZsPfRVn-pw@giganews.com>
Source record: 198881

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>> On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark"
>>>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
>>>>>>> on the History Channel.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
>>>>>>> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
>>>>>>
>>>>> I love war movies and such.
>>>>>
>>>> It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and how
>>>> great this country once was.
>>>>
>>> Agreed. It still is the best place to live on this planet.
>>
>> Well, Fiji has a lot going for it. ;-)
>>
>> Jeff
> I can't afford the bait that they call sushi there!

Stay away from the crowds and tourist traps. Buy a nice little fishing boat
and charter it. Spend your afternoons getting some sun and having great
looking native girls feed you pineapple by the slice while you laze away in
your hammock.

What's wrong with that???

Jeff

1757. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:45:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414194548.438$D5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198882

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>>> On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>>>>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark"
> >>>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
> >>>>>>> on the History Channel.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
> >>>>>>> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> I love war movies and such.
> >>>>>
> >>>> It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and how
> >>>> great this country once was.
> >>>>
> >>> Agreed. It still is the best place to live on this planet.
> >>
> >> Well, Fiji has a lot going for it. ;-)
> >>
> >> Jeff
> > I can't afford the bait that they call sushi there!
> >
> Stay away from the crowds and tourist traps. Buy a nice little fishing
> boat and charter it. Spend your afternoons getting some sun and having
> great looking native girls feed you pineapple by the slice while you laze
> away in your hammock.
>
> What's wrong with that???
>
> Jeff>
Looks good on paper Jeff. When can I hire you for my corporate day out??

1758. Re: Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-14 23:58:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qk0u511kpq0nejbq9eiqo8al0fitouv4pc@4ax.com>
Source record: 198883

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 09:48:09 -0700, "Paul Calman" <spam@trap.com>
wrote in <3c7l62F6jeq6dU1@individual.net>:

<snip>
>Moving the ant to ground level doesn't seem practicle, some guys tow
>trailers, and won't the bike block forward transmission?

Actually it's the other way around -- you get a little bit better
signal to the front when it's mounted in the rear.

> It would have to be
>centerline mounted, these mountain roads are all curves.

You shouldn't have to mount it -that- low. But I agree with the other
poster, you should think about GMRS or FRS. A lot of those FRS radios
have jacks for headsets, which would be dandy for motorcycles.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1759. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:03:23 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <twD7e.830$V02.759@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198884

Paul Calman wrote:
> I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>
Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding to the yutz you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a stand up guy...

1760. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:06:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414200620.616$r5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198885

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Paul Calman wrote:
> > I'l bet his mother is so proud.
> >
> Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
> without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding to
> the yutz
>
Ouch! stop that!
>
>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
> stand up guy...
>
Oh thanks for the flowers. :)

1761. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:09:46 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <tCD7e.832$V02.767@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198886

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Paul Calman wrote:
>>
>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>>
>>
>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
>>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding to
>> the yutz
>>
>
> Ouch! stop that!
>
>>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
>>stand up guy...
>>
>
> Oh thanks for the flowers. :)
hehehe i was only comparing you to the crap posters. still doesn't say
much for you :p

1762. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:13:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414201330.994$rl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198887

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Paul Calman wrote:
> >>
> >>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
> >>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding
> >>to
> >> the yutz
> >>
> >
> > Ouch! stop that!
> >
> >>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
> >>stand up guy...
> >>
> >
> > Oh thanks for the flowers. :)
>
> hehehe i was only comparing you to the crap posters. still doesn't say
> much for you :p
>
Ouch! Stop that again!

1763. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:21:42 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <FND7e.834$V02.722@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198888

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Paul Calman wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
>>>>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding
>>>>to
>>>> the yutz
>>>>
>>>
>>>Ouch! stop that!
>>>
>>>
>>>>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
>>>>stand up guy...
>>>>
>>>
>>>Oh thanks for the flowers. :)
>>
>>hehehe i was only comparing you to the crap posters. still doesn't say
>>much for you :p
>>
>
> Ouch! Stop that again!
hey I meant to respond on an earlier thread about Deadwood, no I havn't
seen it though I've been meaning to. on tonight?

1764. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:26:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414202629.079$1r@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198889

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Paul Calman wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
> >>>>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding
> >>>>to
> >>>> the yutz
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Ouch! stop that!
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
> >>>>stand up guy...
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Oh thanks for the flowers. :)
> >>
> >>hehehe i was only comparing you to the crap posters. still doesn't say
> >>much for you :p
> >>
> >
> > Ouch! Stop that again!
>
> hey I meant to respond on an earlier thread about Deadwood, no I havn't
> seen it though I've been meaning to. on tonight?
>
It's a new episode every Sunday night a 9 but they do re-play it
quite a bit during the week. It's almost as addicting as the
Sopranos after you get a-hold of what is going down in the camp

and all that is involved in the gold rush.

I think you will really like it if you can catch on to the charters.

1765. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:48:29 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <MaE7e.6162$hQ6.1456@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198891

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Paul Calman wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
>>>>>>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding
>>>>>>to
>>>>>>the yutz
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Ouch! stop that!
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
>>>>>>stand up guy...
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh thanks for the flowers. :)
>>>>
>>>>hehehe i was only comparing you to the crap posters. still doesn't say
>>>>much for you :p
>>>>

>>>
>>>Ouch! Stop that again!
>>
>>hey I meant to respond on an earlier thread about Deadwood, no I havn't
>>seen it though I've been meaning to. on tonight?
>>
>
> It's a new episode every Sunday night a 9 but they do re-play it
> quite a bit during the week. It's almost as addicting as the
> Sopranos after you get a-hold of what is going down in the camp
> and all that is involved in the gold rush.
>
> I think you will really like it if you can catch on to the charters.
watching the mets right now so it has to wait. will hook up with it as
it looks intriguing. thanx and stop feeding the cb ng mental midgets hehehe

1766. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:48:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dq3u511pcmsk83snkvtvjdcsq1ueids0bi@4ax.com>
Source record: 198890

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 20:03:23 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>Steve is a
>stand up guy...

Dude, sit the fuck down !!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

1767. Anybody have any dealing with this place?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:49:00 UTC
From: Mail Hauler <no@thanks.spam>
Message-ID: <115u3rpa62q6ef6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198893

http://www.radioactiveradios.com/

1768. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:49:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414204931.483$ro@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198892

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 20:03:23 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >Steve is a
> >stand up guy...
>
> Dude, sit the fuck down !!!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
-stumbles and looks back with a silly grin.-

1769. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:52:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414205205.123$ml@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198744

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Paul Calman wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
> >>>>>>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for
> >>>>>>responding to
> >>>>>>the yutz
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>Ouch! stop that!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
> >>>>>stand up guy...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>Oh thanks for the flowers. :)
> >>>>
> >>>hehehe i was only comparing you to the crap posters. still doesn't
> >>>say much for you :p

> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Ouch! Stop that again!
> >>
> >>hey I meant to respond on an earlier thread about Deadwood, no I havn't
> >>seen it though I've been meaning to. on tonight?
> >>
> >
> > It's a new episode every Sunday night a 9 but they do re-play it
> > quite a bit during the week. It's almost as addicting as the
> > Sopranos after you get a-hold of what is going down in the camp
> > and all that is involved in the gold rush.
> >
> > I think you will really like it if you can catch on to the charters.
> watching the mets right now so it has to wait. will hook up with it as
> it looks intriguing. thanx and stop feeding the cb ng mental midgets
> hehehe
>
Does that include the ones that donut put a > thingy between their
post and mine? :)

1770. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:55:38 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <k64u5191juk395cq27alpmflu98pq368d1@4ax.com>
Source record: 198747

On 15 Apr 2005 00:49:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 20:03:23 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Steve is a
>> >stand up guy...
>>
>> Dude, sit the fuck down !!!!!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
> -stumbles and looks back with a silly grin.-

I finished the rest of the fill today. I back is sore, and i have blisters on my hand you can build a condo on.

Vinnie S.

1771. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:55:51 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <IqydnVYSme2IjMLfRVn-og@giganews.com>
Source record: 198745

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>>> On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark"
>>>>>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
>>>>>>>>> on the History Channel.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
>>>>>>>>> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> I love war movies and such.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and
>>>>>> how great this country once was.
>>>>>>
>>>>> Agreed. It still is the best place to live on this planet.
>>>>
>>>> Well, Fiji has a lot going for it. ;-)
>>>>
>>>> Jeff
>>> I can't afford the bait that they call sushi there!
>>
>> Stay away from the crowds and tourist traps. Buy a nice little
>> fishing boat and charter it. Spend your afternoons getting some sun
>> and having great looking native girls feed you pineapple by the
>> slice while you laze away in your hammock.
>>
>> What's wrong with that???
>>
>> Jeff>
> Looks good on paper Jeff. When can I hire you for my corporate day
> out??

lol...

Any damn time. Let me ask the wife when I'll have some free time. She does run the appointment book. ;-)

Jeff

1772. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:57:05 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <PiE7e.6163$207.4373@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198746

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Paul Calman wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
>>>>>>>>>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for
>>>>>>>>>responding to
>>>>>>>>>the yutz
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Ouch! stop that!
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
>>>>>>>>stand up guy...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Oh thanks for the flowers. :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>hehehe i was only comparing you to the crap posters. still doesn't
>>>>>>say much for you :p
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Ouch! Stop that again!
>>>>
>>>>hey I meant to respond on an earlier thread about Deadwood, no I havn't
>>>>seen it though I've been meaning to. on tonight?
>>>>
>>>
>>>It's a new episode every Sunday night a 9 but they do re-play it
>>>quite a bit during the week. It's almost as addicting as the
>>>Sopranos after you get a-hold of what is going down in the camp
>>>and all that is involved in the gold rush.
>>>
>>>I think you will really like it if you can catch on to the charters.
>>
>>watching the mets right now so it has to wait. will hook up with it as
>>it looks intriguing. thanx and stop feeding the cb ng mental midgets
>>hehehe
>>
>
> Does that include the ones that donut put a > thingy between their
> post and mine? :)
hehehe you know it...

1773. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 00:58:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <U6CdnUv0towKjMLfRVn-3g@giganews.com>
Source record: 198748

jim wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Paul Calman wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this
>>>>>>> turd without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for
>>>>>>> responding to
>>>>>>> the yutz
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Ouch! stop that!
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a
>>>>>>> stand up guy...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Oh thanks for the flowers. :)
>>>>>
>>>>> hehehe i was only comparing you to the crap posters. still
>>>>> doesn't say much for you :p

>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Ouch! Stop that again!
>>>
>>> hey I meant to respond on an earlier thread about Deadwood, no I
>>> havn't seen it though I've been meaning to. on tonight?
>>>
>>
>> It's a new episode every Sunday night a 9 but they do re-play it
>> quite a bit during the week. It's almost as addicting as the
>> Sopranos after you get a-hold of what is going down in the camp
>> and all that is involved in the gold rush.
>>
>> I think you will really like it if you can catch on to the charters.
> watching the mets right now so it has to wait. will hook up with it as
> it looks intriguing. thanx and stop feeding the cb ng mental midgets
> hehehe

I'm still pissed my Dodgers _gave_ you Ishii for just about nothing. He pitched pretty damn well yesterday, huh?

Jeff

1774. Re: Anybody have any dealing with this place?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:01:06 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113526866.722764.153770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198749

They are about to be shut down...AKC has declared Jihad against them.

1775. Re: An important date in history for keyclowns, Uhl wanna know about this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:02:01 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113526921.856498.307460@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198750

Lying freekboi

1776. OT: Visio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:04:33 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <QpE7e.6167$i97.3663@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198751

Anyone have an opinion about this software suite? I need to redo the commercial power as-builts for the building I manage and this is what I have been supplied with.

1777. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:04:33 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hm4u5117hc5gr5pjf4vrm08994qsi2h3od@4ax.com>
Source record: 198752

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 17:58:00 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I'm still pissed my Dodgers _gave_ you Ishii for just about nothing. He
>pitched pretty damn well yesterday, huh?
>
>Jeff
>

Ishii was walking the ballpark. The Mets were hurt by the Trachsel injury, and had no choice. But having Zambrano and Ishii in the same rotation means to need a deep pen.

Vinnie S.

1778. Anyone care to discuss...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:06:58 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1113527218.025113.195680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198753

Anyone want to comment on this circuit?
http://www.cbcintl.com/images/DSPSCHEM.GIF

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

1779. Re: OT: Visio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:09:20 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1113527360.909305.204320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198754

I like it. Seems fairly intuitive...

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

1780. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:15:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414211534.830$TZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198755

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 15 Apr 2005 00:49:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 20:03:23 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Steve is a
> >> >stand up guy...
> >>
> >> Dude, sit the fuck down !!!!!!!
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> > -stumbles and looks back with a silly grin.-
>
> I finished the rest of the fill today. I back is sore, and i have
> blisters on my hand you can build a condo on.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I figured you had soft hands. I can wet sand a lacquer paint job
with my palms. <g>

1781. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:16:07 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <GAE7e.6172$XI7.3028@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198756

Vinnie S. wrote:

> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 17:58:00 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>>I'm still pissed my Dodgers _gave_ you Ishii for just about nothing. He
>>pitched pretty damn well yesterday, huh?
>>
>>Jeff
>>
>
>
>
> Ishii was walking the ballpark. The Mets were hurt by the Trachsel injury, and
> had no choice. But having Zambrano and Ishii in the same rotation means to need
> a deep pen.
>
> Vinnie S.

uh oh mets fans on the group. more attention is put on their starting
rotation being hurt with crap to backfill than their supposed weak
bullpen. last night was a nice 11 inning goose egg.

1782. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:16:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414211622.604$OB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198757

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>> Steveo wrote:
> >>>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>>>>> On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> >>>>>> wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark"
> >>>>>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
> >>>>>>>>> on the History Channel.
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
> >>>>>>>>> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> I love war movies and such.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and
> >>>>>> how great this country once was.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> Agreed. It still is the best place to live on this planet.
> >>>>
> >>>> Well, Fiji has a lot going for it. ;-)
> >>>>
> >>>> Jeff
> >>> I can't afford the bait that they call sushi there!
> >>
> >> Stay away from the crowds and tourist traps. Buy a nice little
> >> fishing boat and charter it. Spend your afternoons getting some sun
> >> and having great looking native girls feed you pineapple by the
> >> slice while you laze away in your hammock.
> >>
> >> What's wrong with that???
> >>
> >> Jeff>
> > Looks good on paper Jeff. When can I hire you for my corporate day
> > out??

>
> lol...
>
> Any damn time. Let me ask the wife when I'll have some free time. She
> does run the appointment book. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
That honey-do list is a load!

1783. Re: OT: Visio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:18:02 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <sCE7e.6173$Do7.2354@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198758

Professor wrote:

> I like it. Seems fairly intuitive...
>
> Professor
> www.telstar-electronics.com
>
easy to use? or should i say any qualms?

1784. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:41:39 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <jt6u51pj0hktqtagum79culdteddm0g96h@4ax.com>
Source record: 198759

On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 21:16:07 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 17:58:00 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>I'm still pissed my Dodgers _gave_ you Ishii for just about nothing. He
>>>pitched pretty damn well yesterday, huh?
>>>
>>>Jeff
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Ishii was walking the ballpark. The Mets were hurt by the Trachsel injury, and
>> had no choice. But having Zambrano and Ishii in the same rotation means to need
>> a deep pen.
>>
>> Vinnie S.

>uh oh mets fans on the group. more attention is put on their starting
>rotation being hurt with crap to backfill than their supposed weak
>bullpen. last night was a nice 11 inning goose egg.

I am a Yankees fan. Our pen is nothing to brag about. We're about 2 deep.

Vinnie S.

1785. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 01:45:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414214531.491$tz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198760

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> I am a Yankees fan.
>
I fart in yore general direction.

1786. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 02:04:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <388u511jmlbgeoqh6tfs33pvoj0dlt721j@4ax.com>
Source record: 198761

On 15 Apr 2005 01:45:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> I am a Yankees fan.
>>
>I fart in yore general direction.

Make sure it's not a wet one !

Vinnie S.

1787. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 02:13:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050414221336.290$1w@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198762

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 15 Apr 2005 01:45:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> I am a Yankees fan.
> >>
> >I fart in yore general direction.
>
> Make sure it's not a wet one !
>
A King George special?

1788. Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain. (newsgroup-post 138)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 03:10:08 UTC
From: RonGrossi382546@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113533686.864369.319310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198763
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

The reason some people don't know for sure
if they are going to Heaven when they die
is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for
sure that you are going to Heaven which is
described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
it is to be saved so that we can live
forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
is Lord and believe in your heart that God
raised Him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel
supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
named Mary and lived a sinless life for
thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
dead as Jesus said would happen before
Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going
to die and in three days come back to life
again and it happens then this person must

be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.bibledatabase.net

1789. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 03:34:00 UTC
From: Darin <meandbud@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ICG7e.1015195$Xk.1014778@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 198764
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

If all the religious bible thumpers are going to heaven then I would rather
go to hell.

<RonGrossi382546@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113533686.864369.319310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> The reason some people don't know for sure
> if they are going to Heaven when they die
> is because they just don't know.

1790. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 03:53:16 UTC
From: EMPEROR OF THE UNIVERSE <freebander4life@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <1113537195.951227.80990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198765

You "people" really get off the topic on these ng's don't you? Hear I am talking about using my radio on illegal frequencies and such and the topic turns to baseball? I wanna talk about using my CB illeglly, you know, on frequencies above and below the "normal" cb band and how to buy and use gear we're "not" supposed to have. Like Export radios and Linears and GOOD stuff like that, NOT BASEBALL for christ's sake. C'mon now lets talk about how to be REAL radio operators on the band (and let's not forget to use channel 19, that's 28.085 on some radios to talk the truckers on there. 10-4 good buddy? Breaker breaker.

1791. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:21:12 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YiH7e.1860$J12.1092@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198769

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:twD7e.830$V02.759@fe08.lga...
> Paul Calman wrote:
>> I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>
> Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd without
> doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding to the yutz
> you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a stand up
> guy...

OK Jim, you can get off of Steveo's leg now.. ;)>

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

1792. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:21:13 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZiH7e.1861$J12.1679@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198766

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050414214531.491$tz@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> I am a Yankees fan.
>>
> I fart in yore general direction.

OK Monty..... Here we go Giants!!!!

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

1793. Re: Just a reminder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:21:13 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZiH7e.1863$J12.458@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198767

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050414211622.604$OB@newsreader.com...
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> Steveo wrote:
>> >>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>>> Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>> On 13 Apr 2005 21:44:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>> >>>>>> wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>> On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:47:24 GMT, "Landshark"
>> >>>>>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>> Band of Brothers is on this week, playing
>> >>>>>>>>> on the History Channel.
>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>> A must see for what our Fathers & Grand Parents
>> >>>>>>>>> did to help keep this country & the rest of the world free.
>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>> An excellent series. Some of us need to really watch this.
>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>> I love war movies and such.
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>> It also gives a valuable perspective about true patriotism and
>> >>>>>>>> how great this country once was.
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>> Agreed. It still is the best place to live on this planet.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>> Well, Fiji has a lot going for it. ;-)
>> >>>>>
>> >>>> Jeff
>> >>> I can't afford the bait that they call sushi there!
>> >>>
>> >> Stay away from the crowds and tourist traps. Buy a nice little
>> >> fishing boat and charter it. Spend your afternoons getting some sun
>> >> and having great looking native girls feed you pineapple by the
>> >> slice while you laze away in your hammock.
>> >>
>> >> What's wrong with that???
>> >>
>> >> Jeff>
```

>> > Looks good on paper Jeff. When can I hire you for my corporate day
>> > out??
>>
>> lol...
>>
>> Any damn time. Let me ask the wife when I'll have some free time. She
>> does run the appointment book. ;-)
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> That honey-do list is a load!

CABO!!!!

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1794. Re: Imax ground plane question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:21:13 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZiH7e.1862$J12.1390@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198768

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050414184324.539$q8@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050413203155.601$mm@newsreader.com...
>> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 13 Apr 2005 21:43:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >I did it by invitation and the fucksticks still try to throw me under
>> >> >the bus, Enzo.
>> >>
>> >> Right, which is what separates you from them. I didn't invite them, or
>> >> challenge them. They decided to single me out for some reason. Well,
>> >> if they decide to come here, then they are fair game.
>> >>
>> >> >Never mind trying to appease the three walkie-talkie
>> >> >operators that hang here humping legs...one look at their antenna
>> >> >or coax is all you need to know about their deficiencies.
>> >>
>> >> That is more than I got right now !
>>
> A man must know his limitations Shark. :)
>
> 10 feet will kill ya, so who really cares how big of splat you leave??

Too funny. Just falling over on to the ground
and hitting your head will kill you.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1795. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:21:13 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZiH7e.1864$J12.1451@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198770

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050414190051.556$sz@newsreader.com...

> I'm still waiting for my first NAL. Reckon how many more years
> than 27 I have to wait yet?

LOL!! Go figure, since 1976 for me, still waiting,
you think we'll have to pay interest ;)

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

1796. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:21:13 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZiH7e.1865$J12.851@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198771

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:svet519idglmad8edtp5keltrm1o8dmtps@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 13:40:56 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:71is51phi4era4jbd5plpg07f0thes9a5c@4ax.com...
>>> Yes, it's deliberately done with a bit of a shock value. It's to
>>> counter the rampant mindset that someone isn't really doing anything
>>> wrong simply because the FCC is too incompetent and understaffed to
>>> catch them yet.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>
>>Well Dave, I'm not going to continue, it's fruitless. In
>>closing you can go on your crusade against the FCC
>>scofflaws, but their "crime" as you like to put it is
>>very minor. If they are using Freeband or amps, their
>>actions are much less of a consequence. Litterbugs
>>add tons of garbage that costs millions a year to clean.
>>Speeders cost millions a year to enforce against and kill
>>hundreds of people while causing accidents because of speeding.
>> I just find that there are more important things to
>>worry about than someone that's using power or talking
>>on the freeband. I worry about speeders maybe hitting my
>>kids, drunk driver hitting someone I care about, drugs in
>>my kids school etc etc, not about labeling someone a
>>criminal for using a linear or freeband. You can go around
>>and see sociopath and anarchist around every corner, I fore
>>one am going to worry about what directly will affect my
>>family, not what is illegal and not going to affect virtually
>>anyone such as freeband use and linear.
>>
>
> Mark,
>
> Please don't get me wrong here. I'm not on any "crusade" I'm simply
> pointing out a matter of fact with regard to what the people who break
> the law by operating out of band technically are. Once you key the
> mike on the freeband, you are breaking the law. A law which carried
> criminal penalties if and when one is caught. But that is only a
> formality.

Ok, fine. Understand Dave, you come across as an over-zealous
person on a crusade against "anyone" that does something illegal, not a
thing a normal person would do.

>
> If those who rabidly attack this truth would simply own up to their
> complicity in this area, then we can move on.

What? You mean turn themselves in? millions of people
in this country break laws everyday, are they all going to
walk down to the jail and say "I just broke this law,
arrest me". I'm sure they all sleep very soundly every night.

>As long as people live
> in denial, there will be no progress.
>
> Personally, I don't care about freebanding or DX. Hell, some of my
> best friends are freebanders. I used to do it myself. But I am not
> trying to kid myself or other people by attempting to downplay what
> this activity is in the eyes of the law.

It's illegal, as copying video tapes, DVD, CD, alcohol
across state lines, etc etc.

>
> Do what you want. I'm not turning people in. I'm only pointing out
> what it is that they are guilty of.
>
> Dave

I never thought you were turning people in.
They are not guilty of anything, other than
their conscience.

1797. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:22:48 UTC
From: RM <nobody@home.com>
Message-ID: <skH7e.1017448$8l.685016@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 198772
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Most people , including myself, know they are going to HELL, but just want to pretend they are NOT. Since most people will end up in HELL, chances are, you will NOT be alone down there. But there is a very good chance the Heaven is a lonely place. Now pick your choice. LOL.

BTW: You can BUY a ticket to Heaven too if you have ENOUGH cash; just keep building Churches, Temples, Mosques and do a lot of charity. Then you might secure your place in Heaven. Why do you think Bill Gate is giving away BILLIONS of money, see what I mean. LOL

In the Real World, we have lobbyists; lobbying "the leader" to get hand-outs.
In the Religious World, we have religious people; praying to take them to Heaven.

Do you see the parallel? LOL

<RonGrossi382546@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1113533686.864369.319310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> The reason some people don't know for sure
> if they are going to Heaven when they die
> is because they just don't know.
>
> The good news is that you can know for
> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
> pain.
>
> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
> it is to be saved so that we can live
> forever with Him in Heaven.
>
> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
> is Lord and believe in your heart that God
> raised Him from the dead, you
> WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
> to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to

> shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
> our sin debt in full.
>
> Jesus Christ was born in Israel
> supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
> named Mary and lived a sinless life for
> thirty-three years.
>
> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
> scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
> onto His head then Jesus was crucified.
>
> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
> was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
> dead as Jesus said would happen before
> Jesus died.
>
> If someone tells you that they are going
> to die and in three days come back to life
> again and it happens then this person must
> be the real deal.
>
> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever
> lived a perfect sinless life.
>
> This is why Jesus is able to cover our
> sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because
> Jesus is sinless.
>
> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we
> have redemption through His blood, the
> forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>
> If you would like God to forgive you of
> your past, present and future sins just
> ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and
> Saviour.
>
> It doesn't matter how old you are or how
> many bad things that you have done in
> your life including lying and stealing
> all the way up to murder.
>
> Just pray the prayer below with your
> mouth and mean it from your heart and
> God will hear you and save you.
>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so
> that I can have a home in Heaven with You
> when I die. I agree with You that I am a

> sinner. I believe that You love me and want
> to save me. I believe that You bled and
> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my
> sins and that You rose from the dead.
> Please forgive my sins and come into my
> heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks
> Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and
> saving me through Your merciful grace.
> Amen.
>
> Welcome to the family of God if you just
> allowed God to save you. Now you are a real
> Christian and you can know for sure that
> you will live in Heaven forever when this
> life comes to an end.
>
> As a child of God we are to avoid
> sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the
> Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
> write this to you so that you will not sin.
> But if anybody does sin, we have one who
> speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
> Christ, the Righteous One."
>
> Those of you that have not yet decided to
> place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
> may never get another chance to do so
> because you do not know when you will die.
>
> This means that if you die without
> trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
> Saviour you will be forever separated from
> the love of God in a place called Hell.
>
> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
> eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
> for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.
>
> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
> allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
> Only then will you have true peace in your
> life knowing that no matter what happens you
> are on your way to Heaven.
>
>
> Praise the Lord!
> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
> Ronald L. Grossi
>
> *Show this to your family and friends so

> they can know that they have a choice
> where they will spend eternity. Thanks!
>
> _____
>
>
>
> Got Questions?
> http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
>
> Other Languages
> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>
> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
> Animation
> http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation
>
> The Passion Of The Christ
> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>
> Beware Of Cults
> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>
> About Hell
> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
> Is Jesus God?
> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>
> Free Online Bible
> http://www.bibledatabase.net
>

1798. Re: KC8LDO drunk on a Sunday night at 1am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:42:07 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <DJKdnT9rELm428LfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 198773

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050412070238.611$4v@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050411191319.144$uN_-_@newsreader.com...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > <Hooray_For_Leland@takethatsteve.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:DRm6e.31135$US.22351@news.ono.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > <Im_A_Leland_Fan@downwithsteve.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:yxl6e.31131$US.25169@news.ono.com...
> > > > > > > > Received: from xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net ([216.37.46.9])
> > > > > > > > by rwcrmxc12.comcast.net (rwcrmxc12) with ESMTP id
> > > > > > > > <20050410183621r1200b3pp3e>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:21
+0000
> > > > > > > > X-Originating-IP: [216.37.46.9]
> > > > > > > > Received: from hotmail.com (bay103-f41.bay103.hotmail.com
> > > > > > > > [65.54.174.51])
> > > > > > > > by xlate1.mailsvcs.arrl.net (8.11.6/8.11.0) with ESMTP id
> > > > > j3AIaKI08065
> > > > > > > for
> > > > > > > > <kc8ldo@arrl.net>; Sun, 10 Apr 2005 13:36:20 -0500 Received:
> > from
> > > > > mail
> > > > > > > > pickup service by hotmail.com with Microsoft SMTPSVC; Sun,
10
> > Apr
> > > > > 2005
> > > > > > > > 11:36:18 -0700 Message-ID:
> > > > > <BAY103-F41EC9DB935AD4C1401C88DCF310@phx.gbl>
> > > > > > > > Received: from 65.54.174.200 by
> > > > > > > > by103fd.bay103.hotmail.msn.com
> > > > > > > > with
> > > > > > HTTP;
> > > > > > > > Sun, 10 Apr 2005 18:36:18 GMT X-Originating-IP:
> > > > > > > > [65.54.174.200] X-Originating-Email:
[moparholic@hotmail.com]
> > > > > > > > X-Sender:
> > > > > > > > moparholic@hotmail.com
> > > > > > > > From: Moparholic Steve <moparholic@hotmail.com> To:
> > > > > > > > kc8ldo@arrl.net
> > > > > > > > Subject: Your friend
> > > > > > > > Date: Sun, 10 Apr 2005 14:36:18 -0400 Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > > > > > > Content-Type: text/html; format=flowed

X-OriginalArrivalTime:
> > > > > > > > 10
> > > > > > > > Apr
> > > > > > 2005
> > > > > > > > 18:36:18.0514 (UTC) FILETIME=[2F55EB20:01C53DFC]
> > > > > > > > X-Evolution-Source: pop://lscott130088mi@mail.comcast.net
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Does you and your friend really think I'll let you get away
> > > > > > > > with
> > > > talking
> > > > > > > > to me like you do? There ain't a man alive who gets away
with
> > > > > > > > that
> > > > in
> > > > > > > > person, so being on Usenet doesn't make it acceptable to me
> > > > > > > > now or
> > > > ever.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The only thing that will change my attitude toward either of
> > > > > > > > you is
> > > > a
> > > > > > > > sincere apoplogy.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > If you two can't muster that, prepare for the consequences.
> > > > > > > > The best
> > > > > > layed
> > > > > > > > plans are in place.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > More fruitless stalking and more photos LOL.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steveo is just a disgusting camera toting pervert with a
> > predilection
> > > > for
> > > > > > > voyeuristic photography.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He seems to have experience sneaking outside of peoples houses.
> > > > > > Maybe the perv has a police record? No wonder he hides his real
> > > > > > name.
> > > > >
> > > > > You could be right. He seems to take extreme pleasure in posting
> > > > candid snapshots of men and boasting about his adventures to obtain
> > > > them. Makes you really wonder about his true choice of gender
> > > > preference.
> > > >
> > > That's a new approach nad, call me gay. I have $500 that says you will
> > > not say that to my face. Care to collect it?
> >
> > So you deny taking the pictures?
> >
> That kept you from saying I wasn't there. Works like a charm.

You weren't there.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1799. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 04:47:48 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <JpqdneQwL-vn2sLfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 198774

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19318-425BDAF4-466@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net...
From: k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net (Leland C. Scott)
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17234-424DC492-479@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...

>Which is why you are bitching and crying about it. Don't like it, post
>to another news group.

All you want to do is start an argument because you're bored to death. I'm
not biting.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1800. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 05:07:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050415010725.197$QD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198775

"EMPEROR OF THE UNIVERSE" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca> wrote:
> You "people" really get off the topic on these ng's don't you? Hear I
> am talking about using my radio on illegal frequencies and such and the
> topic turns to baseball? I wanna talk about using my CB illeglly, you
> know, on frequencies above and below the "normal" cb band and how to
> buy and use gear we're "not" supposed to have. Like Export radios and
> Linears and GOOD stuff like that, NOT BASEBALL for christ's sake. C'mon
> now lets talk about how to be REAL radio operators on the band (and
> let's not forget to use channel 19, that's 28.085 on some radios to
> talk the truckers on there. 10-4 good buddy? Breaker breaker.
>
Who's stopping you?

1801. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 05:11:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050415011144.736$Z9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198776

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050414190051.556$sz@newsreader.com...
>
> > I'm still waiting for my first NAL. Reckon how many more years
> > than 27 I have to wait yet?
>
> LOL!! Go figure, since 1976 for me, still waiting,
> you think we'll have to pay interest ;)
>
Yea well the 27 years is how long I've been freebanding and/or
running a kilowatt or less. My dad bought me my first CB in
1969 but he wouldn't spring for a linear or beams..cheap prick. :P

1802. Re: KC8LDO drunk on a Sunday night at 1am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 05:14:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050415011427.867$mP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198777

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > That kept you from saying I wasn't there. Works like a charm.
>
> You weren't there.
>
Weren't where?

1803. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 06:39:32 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FsSdnRnMJJo__MLfRVn-qQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 198778

jim wrote:
> Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 14 Apr 2005 17:58:00 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
>> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> I'm still pissed my Dodgers _gave_ you Ishii for just about
>>> nothing. He pitched pretty damn well yesterday, huh?
>>>
>>> Jeff
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Ishii was walking the ballpark. The Mets were hurt by the Trachsel
>> injury, and had no choice. But having Zambrano and Ishii in the
>> same rotation means to need a deep pen.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
> uh oh mets fans on the group. more attention is put on their starting
> rotation being hurt with crap to backfill than their supposed weak
> bullpen. last night was a nice 11 inning goose egg.

Mets fan? Hell no I say! I'm a Dodger fan. My list of Hate:

1. Giants
2. Yankees
3. Mets

Though the Giants are #1, the Yanks and the Mets are right up there. ;-)

Jeff

1804. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 06:49:52 UTC
From: Cactus Jammies <not@joshuatree.nemor>
Message-ID: <kuJ7e.28721$VF5.10434@edtnps89>
Source record: 198779
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

bastard muck in a good ng

fux up the real stuff

buzz off

"RM" <nobody@home.com> wrote in message
news:skH7e.1017448$8l.685016@pd7tw1no...
> Most people , including myself, know they are going to HELL, but just want
> to pretend they are NOT. Since most people will end up in HELL, chances
> are, you will NOT be alone down there. But there is a very good chance
> the Heaven is a lonely place. Now pick your choice. LOL.
>
> BTW: You can BUY a ticket to Heaven too if you have ENOUGH cash; just keep
> building Churches, Temples, Mosques and do a lot of charity. Then you
> might secure your place in Heaven. Why do you think Bill Gate is giving
> away BILLIONS of money, see what I mean. LOL
>
> In the Real World, we have lobbyists; lobbying "the leader" to get
> hand-outs.
> In the Religious World, we have religious people; praying to take them to
> Heaven.
>
> Do you see the parallel? LOL
>
> <RonGrossi382546@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1113533686.864369.319310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> The reason some people don't know for sure
>> if they are going to Heaven when they die
>> is because they just don't know.
>>
>> The good news is that you can know for
>> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
>> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
>> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
>> pain.
>>
>> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
>> it is to be saved so that we can live

>> forever with Him in Heaven.
>>
>> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
>> is Lord and believe in your heart that God
>> raised Him from the dead, you
>> WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>>
>> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
>> to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
>> shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
>> our sin debt in full.
>>
>> Jesus Christ was born in Israel
>> supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
>> named Mary and lived a sinless life for
>> thirty-three years.
>>
>> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
>> scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
>> onto His head then Jesus was crucified.
>>
>> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
>> was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
>> dead as Jesus said would happen before
>> Jesus died.
>>
>> If someone tells you that they are going
>> to die and in three days come back to life
>> again and it happens then this person must
>> be the real deal.
>>
>> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever
>> lived a perfect sinless life.
>>
>> This is why Jesus is able to cover our
>> sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because
>> Jesus is sinless.
>>
>> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we
>> have redemption through His blood, the
>> forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>>
>> If you would like God to forgive you of
>> your past, present and future sins just
>> ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and
>> Saviour.
>>
>> It doesn't matter how old you are or how
>> many bad things that you have done in
>> your life including lying and stealing

>> all the way up to murder.
>>
>> Just pray the prayer below with your
>> mouth and mean it from your heart and
>> God will hear you and save you.
>>
>> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so
>> that I can have a home in Heaven with You
>> when I die. I agree with You that I am a
>> sinner. I believe that You love me and want
>> to save me. I believe that You bled and
>> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my
>> sins and that You rose from the dead.
>> Please forgive my sins and come into my
>> heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks
>> Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and
>> saving me through Your merciful grace.
>> Amen.
>>
>> Welcome to the family of God if you just
>> allowed God to save you. Now you are a real
>> Christian and you can know for sure that
>> you will live in Heaven forever when this
>> life comes to an end.
>>
>> As a child of God we are to avoid
>> sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the
>> Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
>> write this to you so that you will not sin.
>> But if anybody does sin, we have one who
>> speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
>> Christ, the Righteous One."
>>
>> Those of you that have not yet decided to
>> place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
>> may never get another chance to do so
>> because you do not know when you will die.
>>
>> This means that if you die without
>> trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
>> Saviour you will be forever separated from
>> the love of God in a place called Hell.
>>
>> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
>> eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
>> for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.
>>
>> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
>> allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
>> Only then will you have true peace in your

>> life knowing that no matter what happens you
>> are on your way to Heaven.
>>
>>
>> Praise the Lord!
>> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
>> Ronald L. Grossi
>>
>> *Show this to your family and friends so
>> they can know that they have a choice
>> where they will spend eternity. Thanks!
>>
>> ----------
>>
>>
>>
>> Got Questions?
>> http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
>>
>> Other Languages
>> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>>
>> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
>> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>>
>> Animation
>> http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation
>>
>> The Passion Of The Christ
>> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>>
>> Beware Of Cults
>> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>>
>> About Hell
>> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>>
>> Is Jesus God?
>> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>>
>> Free Online Bible
>> http://www.bibledatabase.net
>>
>
>

1805. Re: Anyone care to discuss...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 10:28:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vk5v51t99t7q4s9ul653lf5ci4lijtpgo0@4ax.com>
Source record: 198780

On 14 Apr 2005 18:06:58 -0700, "Professor"
<briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
<1113527218.025113.195680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>Anyone want to comment on this circuit?
>http://www.cbcintl.com/images/DSPSCHEM.GIF

DSP? Looks like an analog clipper to me.....

For starters, set the voltage of your center rail (your "6.2 VDC bus")
with a voltage divider because the zener can make the op-amps lock up
on power-up, and any noise on the power supply is going to get
amplified like mad. For the same reason, don't isolate your inverting
inputs from DC -- tie them to the center rail with a high value
resistor (except for A2, more on that later). 1 Meg is fine and
shouldn't degrade the operation of the circuit. Add another 1 Meg to
ground on the mic side of C2 to prevent static noise when using a
crystal or ceramic mic (or any other mic that is DC isolated, such as
some power mics). Back to A2: ground the NC end of VR1 and feed A2
from the wiper (through R3) to keep the input impedance high, then
replace the electrolytic C3 with whatever you are using for C4 so you
can avoid polarity issues and prevent loading the output of A1 (which
will screw with your frequency response). You might also want to try
1N4148 diodes in the clipper because they tend to behave better than
1N914 switchers. This will also allow you to scale up the value of the
resistors in the clipper, and thus prevent overloading A3 (1K is a
pretty heavy load for the LM324).

I probably missed a couple things. I'll look at it more closely later.

Oh yeah -- get yourself an audio signal generator so you don't look
like an idiot by noting test voltages obtained from "loud whistling".
An old Heathkit or Eico shouldn't cost you more than $20 plus shipping
on ebay. If you can't find one there, drop me an email and I'll sell
you one of mine.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1806. Re: Anyone care to discuss...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 10:32:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <iv5v511enjjecaib2jom86kjckro8h1f10@4ax.com>
Source record: 198781

On Fri, 15 Apr 2005 03:28:01 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<vk5v51t99t7q4s9ul653lf5ci4lijtpgo0@4ax.com>:

<snip>
> ..... ground the NC end of VR1....

Correction: tie the NC end of VR1 to the center rail.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1807. Re: CB Repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 12:10:48 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <tbSdnSQPiJYAMsLfRVn-pA@bright.net>
Source record: 198782

Communication Experts

www.communicationsexperts.com

"Barry" <whatup@nss.com> wrote in message
news:ab9m511oidbdvss8qre99mt1v8ipg1baml@4ax.com...
>I bought a Realistic TRC 465 SSB which receives but only hums on
> transmit. Can anyone recommend a GOOD cb shop for repairs? I really
> want to use this radio and would like it fixed. Thanks.

1808. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 17:03:13 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113584593.506055.77910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198783
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Earth is hell for another universe. Some place about 3 billion light years away they are posting like that fruitcake and saying earth instead of hell.

1809. Re: Anyone care to discuss...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 17:49:22 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1113587362.593487.87390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198784

Yes... this circuit is a real piece of work. The distortion from this
circuit must be tremendous.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 14 Apr 2005 18:06:58 -0700, "Professor"
> <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> <1113527218.025113.195680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Anyone want to comment on this circuit?
> >http://www.cbcintl.com/images/DSPSCHEM.GIF
>
>
> DSP? Looks like an analog clipper to me.....
>
> For starters, set the voltage of your center rail (your "6.2 VDC
bus")
> with a voltage divider because the zener can make the op-amps lock up
> on power-up, and any noise on the power supply is going to get
> amplified like mad. For the same reason, don't isolate your inverting
> inputs from DC -- tie them to the center rail with a high value
> resistor (except for A2, more on that later). 1 Meg is fine and
> shouldn't degrade the operation of the circuit. Add another 1 Meg to
> ground on the mic side of C2 to prevent static noise when using a
> crystal or ceramic mic (or any other mic that is DC isolated, such as
> some power mics). Back to A2: ground the NC end of VR1 and feed A2
> from the wiper (through R3) to keep the input impedance high, then
> replace the electrolytic C3 with whatever you are using for C4 so you
> can avoid polarity issues and prevent loading the output of A1 (which
> will screw with your frequency response). You might also want to try
> 1N4148 diodes in the clipper because they tend to behave better than
> 1N914 switchers. This will also allow you to scale up the value of
the
> resistors in the clipper, and thus prevent overloading A3 (1K is a
> pretty heavy load for the LM324).
>
> I probably missed a couple things. I'll look at it more closely
later.
>
> Oh yeah -- get yourself an audio signal generator so you don't look
> like an idiot by noting test voltages obtained from "loud whistling".
> An old Heathkit or Eico shouldn't cost you more than $20 plus
shipping

> on ebay. If you can't find one there, drop me an email and I'll sell
> you one of mine.
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

1810. Re: Anyone care to discuss...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 20:25:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0v7061dm4kde4qfepmph5ggkah5o84es97@4ax.com>
Source record: 198785

On 15 Apr 2005 10:49:22 -0700, "Professor"
<briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
<1113587362.593487.87390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>Yes... this circuit is a real piece of work. The distortion from this
>circuit must be tremendous.

A4 is a low-pass filter so it shouldn't be too noisy if the clipper is
used in moderation. But I can say, just by looking at the schematic,
that the frequency response sucks and it will have some major problems
in a number of practical installations. The overall design looks like
it was pasted together from a circuit scrapbook.

One more thing I noticed: The clipper circuit would be better located
between A2 and A3. That way A3 can serve as a buffer between the
clipper and the filter.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1811. Re: Anyone care to discuss...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-15 20:40:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cp8061l1bshiasmk465ks4ta70lmkd224a@4ax.com>
Source record: 198786

On Fri, 15 Apr 2005 12:06:03 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<g4fv515va9hcfooqrnoqd3gfdpm6jvv2te@2355323778>:

>On Fri, 15 Apr 2005 03:32:29 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 15 Apr 2005 03:28:01 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>><vk5v51t99t7q4s9ul653lf5ci4lijtpgo0@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>> .... ground the NC end of VR1....
>>
>>
>>Correction: tie the NC end of VR1 to the center rail.
>>
>>
>>
>
>Why would you want the inverting input of A2 tied to DC potential?
>
>When you adjust VR1 won't you be setting the DC output level of A2?

The center rail (the "6.2 VDC bus") is a virtual ground. Fixing the
inverting input of A2 to this level prevents DC drift and/or lockup of
A2. For the other op-amps, the addition of a 1 Meg resistor from the
inverting input to this virtual ground provides the same protection.

I may not have explained that very well......

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1812. Re: OT: Visio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 00:04:12 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1113609284.383348.205840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198787

As easy as any... there's a learning-curve to every piece of software
as you most likely know.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

1813. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 00:33:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050415203325.558$Qb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198788
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

"Mopey aint too smart" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Earth is hell for another universe. Some place about 3 billion light
> years away they are posting like that fruitcake and saying earth
> instead of hell.
>
oh my, that bowling ball is my wife.

1814. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 00:43:24 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <YbZ7e.932$ZQ1.533@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198789

Landshark wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:twD7e.830$V02.759@fe08.lga...
>
>>Paul Calman wrote:
>>
>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>>
>>
>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd without
>>doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding to the yutz
>>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a stand up
>>guy...
>
>
> OK Jim, you can get off of Steveo's leg now.. ;)>
>
> Landshark
>
>
piss off ;)

1815. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 00:46:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050415204625.872$Bh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198791

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Landshark wrote:
> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:twD7e.830$V02.759@fe08.lga...
> >
> >>Paul Calman wrote:
> >>
> >>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
> >>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding
> >>to the yutz you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is
> >>a stand up guy...
> >
> >
> > OK Jim, you can get off of Steveo's leg now.. ;)>
> >
> > Landshark
> >
Hey I bought two of these bad boys a month a go. I'm not as tired
at the end of the day.

http://permagreen.com/

> >
> piss off ;)

1816. Re: OT: Visio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 00:47:19 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CfZ7e.933$ZQ1.185@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198790

Professor wrote:

> As easy as any... there's a learning-curve to every piece of software
> as you most likely know.
>
> Professor
> www.telstar-electronics.com
>
true. playing with it today and it has loads of possibilities though not
exactly what i was looking for. Thanx...

1817. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 01:04:39 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <TvZ7e.940$ZQ1.476@fe11.lga>
Source record: 198792

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Landshark wrote:
>>
>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>news:twD7e.830$V02.759@fe08.lga...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Paul Calman wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
>>>>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding
>>>>to the yutz you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is
>>>>a stand up guy...
>>>
>>>
>>>OK Jim, you can get off of Steveo's leg now.. ;)>
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>
> Hey I bought two of these bad boys a month a go. I'm not as tired
> at the end of the day.
>
> http://permagreen.com/
>
>
>>piss off ;)
yeah and dont you wish you were in the same location as that pic? hehehe

1818. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 02:20:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050415222049.123$6g@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198793

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Landshark wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > >>>news:twD7e.830$V02.759@fe08.lga...
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>Paul Calman wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd
> > >>>>without doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding
> > >>>>to the yutz you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve
> > >>>>is a stand up guy...
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>OK Jim, you can get off of Steveo's leg now.. ;)>
> > >>>
> > >>> Landshark
> > >>>
> > >
> > > Hey I bought two of these bad boys a month a go. I'm not as tired
> > > at the end of the day.
> > >
> > > http://permagreen.com/
> > >
> > >
> > >>piss off ;)
> > yeah and dont you wish you were in the same location as that pic? hehehe
> >
Yes but I got married in Maui on the beach with the conk shell blower, so
the novelty wears off quicker now.

I love stainless steel in so many ways. :)

1819. Mopathetic drunk every night by 1am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 04:51:23 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <UtydnY8yX6FQBP3fRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 198794

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050415011427.867$mP@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > That kept you from saying I wasn't there. Works like a charm.
> >
> > You weren't there.
> >
> Weren't where?

Strongsville has an out of the way beer joint. Mention was made about some short loud mouthed dude that stopped by some time back, couldn't remember exactly since it was a long time, after plowing snow for the night. Seems like the yahoo had a fantasy about screwing Paula Zahn while playing some Zeplin tunes on the stereo. Anybody you know in that line of work around there?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1820. Re: Leland drunk every night by 1am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 05:28:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050416012851.498$t3_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,alt.usenet.kooks

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050415011427.867$mP@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > That kept you from saying I wasn't there. Works like a charm.
> > >
> > > You weren't there.
> > >
> > Weren't where?
>
> Strongsville has an out of the way beer joint.
>
Strongsville has almost anything you need, Lelnad. Of course your
first concern would be where the beer joint is when -you- get to
town.
>
> Mention was made about
> some short loud mouthed dude that stopped by some time back, couldn't
> remember exactly since it was a long time, after plowing snow for the
> night. Seems like the yahoo had a fantasy about screwing Paula Zahn while
> playing some Zeplin tunes on the stereo. Anybody you know in that line of
> work around there?
>
Short is relative, I'm 6 feet tall..... are you Lurch?

Snow plow drivers run -a- plenty in N Ohio but I'm not certain even
a great plow driver like myself is capable of running coax cable
as well as you.

http://img116.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00039nz.jpg

Nice job man, almost stealthy.. you need that since your landlord
doesn't actually allow antenna's.

1821. DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 10:07:48 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113646068.876963.126750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.sport.golf,alt.gossip.celebrities,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ok Gang, Plans are final. My wife and I will be there to service our friends, old and new.

We are setting up a blow job booth in the parking lot. I will be there to suck off all Cb users as well as freebanders.

My wife will service the cocks of all amateur radio operators of all clases. CUM one CUM all !!

1822. Re: Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 10:13:04 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113646384.437954.265370@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.motorcycles,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals,alt.personals.gay

Paul Calman wrote:
> Hi. I have a cb unit mounted on a motorcycle. it is a J&M, linked
here,
> http://www.jmcorp.com/SeeProducts.asp?PF=24 , using the 3 foot Wilson

> antenna on the page.
> It's a pretty decent unit (kinda expensive, mine came with another
bike) and
> can be operated without looking at it, a good thing on a bike. I use
it to
> talk with other riders, but the maker says about 1/2 mile is all the
range I
> should get. On a straight piece of road it gets tough to use at 1
mile.
> The reason I am told is that the bike has no ground plane, but I
don't know
> whether that affects reception or transmission. What's the story
here?
> I know Linear amps are a no-no, but I don't intend on doing skip,
using it
> often, or even talking much to base stations and truckers, and
certainly
> don't want to run some giant power unit that stomps on others
transmissions,
> but would be interested in using a small linear to boost transmission
to a
> max range of about ten miles, an acceptable distance when traveling
cross
> country with other bikes. Can any of you recommend what wattage would
be
> sufficient for this use without going overboard? Some of the problems
to be
> dealt with are a limited charging system, the inability to space
wires far
> from current and ignition units, and physical size. I would prefer to
build
> my own unit, rather that pay big bucks for garbage made in china. I
have
> seen schematics for sale for 30, 50, and 100 watt units. Know of any
free
> sources?
> Other that a lecture on the illegality of linears, Do you have any
useful

> advice?
>
> --
> Paul C.

Hey Paul.....I'd like to mount you on your bike and fuck your
man-pussy!

1823. Re: DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 11:59:00 UTC
From: hlgjkfgfd@aol.com
Message-ID: <4260fdbf.1707685@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.sport.golf,alt.gossip.celebrities,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 16 Apr 2005 03:07:48 -0700, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Ok Gang, Plans are final. My wife and I will be there to service our
>friends, old and new.
>
>We are setting up a blow job booth in the parking lot. I will be there
>to suck off all Cb users as well as freebanders.
>
>My wife will service the cocks of all amateur radio operators of all
>clases. CUM one CUM all !!
>

No thanks. I know where she's been.

-An Amateer Radio Operator

1824. FA: $10>TWO(2) WESTERN ELECTRIC US ARMY 313C TUBES WWII 1944 NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 14:08:43 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <K%88e.1704$V02.61@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198799
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5766239980&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1825. FA:$10>TWO(2) USA 6146B RF OUTPUT TUBES Tested-Handy to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 14:09:22 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <l098e.1705$V02.771@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198800
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5766240014&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1826. FA: $10>TWO(2) RCA CUNNINGHAM type 01-A & 112A RADIO TUBES OLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 14:11:09 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <0298e.1706$V02.1480@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198801
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=73379&item=5766240035&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1827. FA: TWO(2) NOS 6JS6C RF OUTPUT TUBES Tested-Handy to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 14:12:02 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <R298e.1707$V02.362@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198802
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

CHEEP:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5766240057&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1828. FA: ICOM IC-O2AT HANDY-TALKIE for 2MTR FM, A CLASSIC & NEAT $40.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 14:13:05 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Q398e.1710$V02.1157@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198803
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Too Cheep!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40066&item=5766240083&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1829. FA: COLLINS R-390A 70H-12 PTO w/TUBE, NICE SHAPE & NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 14:13:45 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <s498e.1711$V02.491@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198804
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5766240109&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1830. FA: $50? CLASSIC & POPULAR DRAKE T-4XB TRANSMITTER COMPLETE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 14:14:40 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <j598e.1712$V02.810@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198805
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=5766240147&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1831. FA: "TONS" (4lbs) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS Any Builders?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 14:15:31 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <5698e.1713$V02.547@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198806
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

$10>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4664&item=7508516549&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1832. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 16:29:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <M3b8e.11560$hc1.8747136@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 198808

Morons? You refer to us as morons? You have any mirrors in your house...

Regards,
John

"EMPEROR OF THE UNIVERSE" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
news:1113453699.349621.8750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I only came back here to tell all you MORONS (AKC's) and such that I
> don't have time to waste on you idiots here cause I'm having TOO MUCH
> FUN shooting skip on channels above and below the CB band AND I'm using
> no less than 2000 watts minimum AND causing lots of tvi and
> interference on stereos in my area, SO GO AHEAD AND TELL RILLEY AND THE
> FCC ALL ABOUT ME AND WE'LL SEE WHO'S LEFT STANDING.
> FREEBANDERS FOREVER!!!!
>

1833. Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain. (newsgroup-post 140)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 17:10:47 UTC
From: RonGrossi382612@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113671447.894599.109370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198807
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

The reason some people don't know for sure
if they are going to Heaven when they die
is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for
sure that you are going to Heaven which is
described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
it is to be saved so that we can live
forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
is Lord and believe in your heart that God
raised Him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel
supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
named Mary and lived a sinless life for
thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
dead as Jesus said would happen before
Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going
to die and in three days come back to life
again and it happens then this person must

be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.bibledatabase.net

The Death of John Paul II

John Paul II gained the whole world but
forfeited his soul. Year after year he
was the most loved and admired man in
the world. The idolatrous veneration
and adoration of this man was
unprecedented. His devotees, who are now
singing his praises, are probably
ignorant of the strong rebuke of Jesus
who said, "Woe to you when all men speak
well of you" (Luke 6:26). Had the pope
been devoted to Jesus Christ and His
Gospel of grace, he would have been
hated and persecuted by the world.
No one can judge John Paul's heart but
we are all called to judge the fruit of
our spiritual leaders. Clearly this man
was blinded by the prince of this world
and never saw the light of the gospel or
the glory of Christ. I mourn the death
of this man, not for the reason the
world mourns, but because he rejected
Jesus as God's only provision for his
sins. I also have a solemn compassion
for those who blindly followed this pope
and his man-centered religion. My heart
is painfully burdened to see so many
"professing" Christians unable to
discern truth from error and genuine
Christianity from its counterfeit.

The rest of this article that was
written by Mike Gendron can be found
at >> http://www.pro-gospel.org/main/Topics/topic-027.php

1834. Re: THE GREATEST NEWS EVER! ���._._.���`���._._.���`���._._.���`

Regards,
John

"Maple1" <aerophoto@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:4259D3D5.6DF6B4C2@shaw.ca...
> It is time all you Jesus freaks pulled your heads out of your
> brainwashed asses and realized that we are no more then animate
> inanimation and that there is no god and never has been we are just a
> fluke and no more, just a shit luck planet lucky that we developed
> intelligence and no more then that so get it together and leave the rest
> of us alone. If you cannot accept that or fear the fact that you are
> nothing more to bad for you!!!!!! GET LOST It is time you all came out
> of the 1400's and learned that. Grow up we now know the causes of
> plagues and it is not a vengeful god kinda scary for all of you that
> cant handle the truth.
>
> RonGrossi_38223@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> The Greatest News Ever! (click link)
>> http://groups-beta.google.com/group/alt.politics.bush/browse_thread/thread/9b420fd9fc320e49/0215b46e845a8553
#0215b46e845a8553
>>
>> ?._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.??._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.??._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.??._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.??._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.??._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.??._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.??._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.??._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.???`???._._.?
>>
>> The reason some people don't know for sure
>> if they are going to Heaven when they die
>> is because they just don't know.
>>
>> The good news is that you can know for
>> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
>> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
>> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
>> pain.
>>
>> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
>> it is to be saved so that we can live
>> forever with Him in Heaven.
>>
>> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
>> is Lord and believe in your heart that God
>> raised Him from the dead, you
>> WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

>>
>> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
>> to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
>> shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
>> our sin debt in full.
>>
>> Jesus Christ was born in Israel
>> supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
>> named Mary and lived a sinless life for
>> thirty-three years.
>>
>> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
>> scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
>> onto His head then Jesus was crucified.
>>
>> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
>> was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
>> dead as Jesus said would happen before
>> Jesus died.
>>
>> If someone tells you that they are going
>> to die and in three days come back to life
>> again and it happens then this person must
>> be the real deal.
>>
>> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever
>> lived a perfect sinless life.
>>
>> This is why Jesus is able to cover our
>> sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because
>> Jesus is sinless.
>>
>> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we
>> have redemption through His blood, the
>> forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>>
>> If you would like God to forgive you of
>> your past, present and future sins just
>> ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and
>> Saviour.
>>
>> It doesn't matter how old you are or how
>> many bad things that you have done in
>> your life including lying and stealing
>> all the way up to murder.
>>
>> Just pray the prayer below with your
>> mouth and mean it from your heart and
>> God will hear you and save you.

>>
>> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so
>> that I can have a home in Heaven with You
>> when I die. I agree with You that I am a
>> sinner. I believe that You love me and want
>> to save me. I believe that You bled and
>> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my
>> sins and that You rose from the dead.
>> Please forgive my sins and come into my
>> heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks
>> Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and
>> saving me through Your merciful grace.
>> Amen.
>>
>> Welcome to the family of God if you just
>> allowed God to save you. Now you are a real
>> Christian and you can know for sure that
>> you will live in Heaven forever when this
>> life comes to an end.
>>
>> As a child of God we are to avoid
>> sin(wrong doing), but if you do sin the
>> Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
>> write this to you so that you will not sin.
>> But if anybody does sin, we have one who
>> speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
>> Christ, the Righteous One."
>>
>> Those of you that have not yet decided to
>> place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
>> may never get another chance to do so
>> because you do not know when you will die.
>>
>> This means that if you die without
>> trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
>> Saviour you will be forever separated from
>> the love of God in a place called Hell.
>>
>> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
>> eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
>> for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.
>>
>> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
>> allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
>> Only then will you have true peace in your
>> life knowing that no matter what happens you
>> are on your way to Heaven.
>>
>> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ,

>> Internet Evangelist
>> Ronald L. Grossi
>>
>> _____
>>
>> Got Questions?
>> http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
>>
>> Other Languages
>> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>>
>> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
>> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>>
>> Animation
>> http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html
>>
>> The Passion Of The Christ
>> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>>
>> Beware Of Cults
>> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>>
>> About Hell
>> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>>
>> Is Jesus God?
>> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>>
>> Free Online Bible
>> http://www.bibledatabase.net

1835. Mopathetic gets drunk every night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 17:28:21 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <X9WdnRZ2Xrir1vzfRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 198810

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050416012851.498$t3_-_@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050415011427.867$mP@newsreader.com...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > That kept you from saying I wasn't there. Works like a charm.
> > > >
> > > > You weren't there.
> > > >
> > > Weren't where?
> > >
> > Strongsville has an out of the way beer joint.
> >
> Strongsville has almost anything you need, Lelnad. Of course your
> first concern would be where the beer joint is when -you- get to
> town.
> >
> > Mention was made about
> > some short loud mouthed dude that stopped by some time back, couldn't
> > remember exactly since it was a long time, after plowing snow for the
> > night. Seems like the yahoo had a fantasy about screwing Paula Zahn
while
> > playing some Zeplin tunes on the stereo. Anybody you know in that line
of
> > work around there?
> >
> Short is relative, I'm 6 feet tall..... are you Lurch?

As you say short is relative, but then again I don't walk around with a
Parrot on my shoulder either.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

1836. Re: KC8LDO gets drunk every night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 17:50:17 UTC
From: Leland C Scott <KC8LDO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1113673994.a42f7c2aaccab4427a3082208fedf241@teranews>
Source record: 198811

```
"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:X9WdnRZ2Xrir1vzfRVn-iw@comcast.com...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050416012851.498$t3_-_@newsreader.com...
>> "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
>> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20050415011427.867$mP@newsreader.com...
>> > > "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
>> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > > > That kept you from saying I wasn't there. Works like a charm.
>> > > >
>> > > > You weren't there.
>> > > >
>> > > Weren't where?
>> >
>> > Strongsville has an out of the way beer joint.
>> >
>> Strongsville has almost anything you need, Lelnad. Of course your
>> first concern would be where the beer joint is when -you- get to
>> town.
>> >
>> > Mention was made about
>> > some short loud mouthed dude that stopped by some time back, couldn't
>> > remember exactly since it was a long time, after plowing snow for the
>> > night. Seems like the yahoo had a fantasy about screwing Paula Zahn
> while
>> > playing some Zeplin tunes on the stereo. Anybody you know in that line
> of
>> > work around there?
>> >
>> Short is relative, I'm 6 feet tall.......... are you Lurch?
>
> As you say short is relative, but then again I don't walk around with a
> Parrot on my shoulder either.
>
I like to have cock and balls on my nose!
```

1837. Re: DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 17:55:07 UTC
From: Leland C Scott <KC8LDO@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1113674254.fd18bf076733501023e66137ecd1bb58@teranews>
Source record: 198812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.sport.golf,alt.gossip.celebrities,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I like cock and balls on my nose!
>
"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113646068.876963.126750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Ok Gang, Plans are final. My wife and I will be there to service our
> friends, old and new.
>
> We are setting up a blow job booth in the parking lot. I will be there
> to suck off all Cb users as well as freebanders.
>
> My wife will service the cocks of all amateur radio operators of all
> clases. CUM one CUM all !!
>

1838. Re: Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 17:59:10 UTC
From: Paul Elliot <pelliot@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Onc8e.2285$J12.1030@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.motorcycles

Steveo wrote:
> Paul Calman wrote:
>
>>Hi. I have a cb unit mounted on a motorcycle. it is a J&M, linked
>
> here,
>
>>http://www.jmcorp.com/SeeProducts.asp?PF=24 , using the 3 foot Wilson
>
>
>>antenna on the page.
>>It's a pretty decent unit (kinda expensive, mine came with another
>
> bike) and
>
>>can be operated without looking at it, a good thing on a bike. I use
>
> it to
>
>>talk with other riders, but the maker says about 1/2 mile is all the
>
> range I
>
>>should get. On a straight piece of road it gets tough to use at 1
>
> mile.
>
>>The reason I am told is that the bike has no ground plane, but I
>
> don't know
>
>>whether that affects reception or transmission. What's the story
>
> here?
>
>>I know Linear amps are a no-no, but I don't intend on doing skip,
>
> using it
>
>>often, or even talking much to base stations and truckers, and
>
> certainly
>

>>don't want to run some giant power unit that stomps on others
>
> transmissions,
>
>>but would be interested in using a small linear to boost transmission
>
> to a
>
>>max range of about ten miles, an acceptable distance when traveling
>
> cross
>
>>country with other bikes. Can any of you recommend what wattage would
>
> be
>
>>sufficient for this use without going overboard? Some of the problems
>
> to be
>
>>dealt with are a limited charging system, the inability to space
>
> wires far
>
>>from current and ignition units, and physical size. I would prefer to
>
> build
>
>>my own unit, rather that pay big bucks for garbage made in china. I
>
> have
>
>>seen schematics for sale for 30, 50, and 100 watt units. Know of any
>
> free
>
>>sources?
>>Other that a lecture on the illegality of linears, Do you have any
>
> useful
>
>>advice?
>>
>>--
>>Paul C.
>
>
> Hey Paul.....I'd like to mount you on your bike and fuck your
> man-pussy!
>

Paul, I'd check with J&B about how to set up a ground plane for the bike. Going with a dual antenna rig may also help. I tried that on my old R75/5 a few years ago and it seemed to help. I currently have a chatterbox tank bag unit that sort of works, but has the extremely limited range problem that yours has. Recently I've been trying out the FRS and GMRS radios with some success. Much more compact and not tied to the bike.

HTH
Paul Elliot

1839. Re: FA CB Radio - TRC 449 AM-SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 19:40:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dgq2611b0fcg1dphetr9qodlrie38tc4bu@4ax.com>
Source record: 198814

On Sat, 9 Apr 2005 22:44:55 -0400, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote
in <er06e.61825$NC6.33627@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>:

>Oh well... no takers to free ad, so back on E-Bay.
>
>Item number - 5766491826

Looks like the second auction fared worse than the first. I wonder why
that was.....

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1840. Re: FA CB Radio - TRC 449 AM-SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-16 21:34:39 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zzf8e.63819$NC6.39758@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198815

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:dgq2611b0fcg1dphetr9qodlrie38tc4bu@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 9 Apr 2005 22:44:55 -0400, "cl" <clfurentN@Syahoo.com> wrote
> in <er06e.61825$NC6.33627@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>:
>
>>Oh well... no takers to free ad, so back on E-Bay.
>>
>>Item number - 5766491826
>
>
> Looks like the second auction fared worse than the first. I wonder why
> that was.....
>

Don't know, but plan on throwing it in a heap of used CBs until I decide what to do with it. Second thought, maybe I'll mount it by my work bench and "maybe" start BSing on CB again - maybe I can get in with another group, or at least listen. I've been away from CB for so long, I really don't miss it. The old gang I used to talk to, are either all dead or off CB. It's not fun anymore. So, that's why I'm "trying" to sell off the CB stuff. Have no "real" use for it. Probably should have taken the top bid off the first auction. Oh well! You live and learn. E-Bay made enough money off me this month in listing fees if not final value fees also, I'm not advertising anything else on there for a while, unless it sells like hot coffee on a cold morning. Had a hot streak on there for a while, now turned cold. Will sit back for a spell. Tomorrow, is Flea Market day. Maybe I'll take it and the rest of them - there. Had a lot of takers on the last batch I took. We'll see. Anyway, thanks to any who may have shown interest.

cl

1841. FS: MIDLAND 13-790 Vintage TALKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-17 11:52:02 UTC
From: HK <kennjd@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <oa-dnU5yXvg-0P_fRVn-ow@adelphia.com>
Source record: 198816

VINTAGE 5 Watt 23 Channel Walkie Talkie

Like New In Box. $95 firm - shipped. No trades.

Inquire at :

KENNJD AT ADELPHIA DOT NET

1842. Re: FS: MIDLAND 13-790 Vintage TALKIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-17 12:00:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050417080009.177$uv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198817

"HK" <kennjd@adelphia.net> wrote:
> VINTAGE 5 Watt 23 Channel Walkie Talkie
>
> Like New In Box. $95 firm - shipped. No trades.
>
Shouldn't that read $9.50? :)

1843. Need some radio advice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-17 13:45:43 UTC
From: Mail Hauler <no@thanks.spam>
Message-ID: <1164q3s1ol1ohdf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198818

I'm working in a slip-seat operation. My big ole Galaxy radio is just to big to lug around and the anteanna is a pain in the butt to set up each run. I'm looking to buy a very compact cb that isn't finicky about the pre installed cb anteannas and wiring found in most Freightliners. I need the radio to be compact so that I can just throw it in my bag and go. I've been looking at a Uniden ProXL 520 and at the Cobra 25 series. Any suggestions on which of these radios is the better of the two? Which one can be modded for a little better performance, etc? Any other suggestions on a good workhorse cb in a compact package?

TIA

1844. I need help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-17 15:31:25 UTC
From: Msimon101@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1113751885.697119.257480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198819

It's been a long time coming, but finally I have to appeal to the general population to donate for me to continue my college education. I come from a low income home where college was never an option for my brothers and sisters. I have worked hard to get federal loans and state grants, but the ammount of money they make avaliable to me is just very low. I scored great on my SATs and got into a wonderful college that I love, but because of money I may not be able to continue. I know that 99% of you will pass this over, but I could use the help and I would appreciate as much as anyone could spare. Write you name in the donation list and I will try to thank you later on in life.
-Michael

You can donate to my e-mail on Paypal. Msimon101@hotmail.com

1845. Re: I need help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-17 15:46:42 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1113752802.084115.63500@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198820

I've got an idea... how about a job.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

1846. Re: I need help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-17 16:17:53 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <z0w8e.63867$NC6.9280@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 198821

<Msimon101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113751885.697119.257480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> It's been a long time coming, but finally I have to appeal to the
> general population to donate for me to continue my college education.
> I come from a low income home where college was never an option for my
> brothers and sisters. I have worked hard to get federal loans and
> state grants, but the ammount of money they make avaliable to me is
> just very low. I scored great on my SATs and got into a wonderful
> college that I love, but because of money I may not be able to
> continue. I know that 99% of you will pass this over, but I could use
> the help and I would appreciate as much as anyone could spare. Write
> you name in the donation list and I will try to thank you later on in
> life.
> -Michael
>
>
> You can donate to my e-mail on Paypal. Msimon101@hotmail.com
>

Here's a couple ideas.... A job - as someone else mentioned, loans on your name from a bank - they are usually deferred until you graduate, grants - if you qualify, internships, perhaps a scholarship. Your approach isn't much different than a pan handler in any city "I'm poor, give me your spare change". If you were as smart as you say, you'd have found a way to satisfy your tuition requirements. You probably would have had a or a couple "scholarships" given to you. There are public agencies to turn to. This BS about you " "TRYING" TO THANK" the donators' later on in life, is a crock. You'll forget the names as soon as you get the money. Many bust their ass to work and go to school. You won't be any worse for the wear and tear by doing the same. Melt the lead out of your ass, get out and do something. Also, if you're low income, ever think of "Community" colleges? They're a lot more affordable than many other schools. OR perhaps consider a "Trade" school. Get a degree or certification, get a better job and put yourself through college that way. We can't always have what we want.
You have to live within your means. So you have to start at the bottom and work your way up.... lots of people did that.
Does Pay Pal permit scams like this to be conducted through their system???????

cl

1847. Re: I need help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-17 17:59:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xtx8e.24$3e3.552028@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 198822

These guys probably need the money more than you do...
Inquire in the newsgroup "republicans.for.bush" or similar...
they must be making money, they aren't complaining... yet...

Regards,
John
<Msimon101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113751885.697119.257480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> It's been a long time coming, but finally I have to appeal to the
> general population to donate for me to continue my college education.
> I come from a low income home where college was never an option for my
> brothers and sisters. I have worked hard to get federal loans and
> state grants, but the ammount of money they make avaliable to me is
> just very low. I scored great on my SATs and got into a wonderful
> college that I love, but because of money I may not be able to
> continue. I know that 99% of you will pass this over, but I could use
> the help and I would appreciate as much as anyone could spare. Write
> you name in the donation list and I will try to thank you later on in
> life.
> -Michael
>
>
> You can donate to my e-mail on Paypal. Msimon101@hotmail.com
>

1848. Re: I need help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 00:35:41 UTC
From: Dr Jack <jidamon@medfac.org>
Message-ID: <xhD8e.2727$J12.1494@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198823

!

INVESTING FOR YOUR RETIREMENT:

If you had purchased $1000.00 of Nortel stock one year ago, it would now be worth

$49.00.
-O-O-O-O-O-O-O-O-O

With Enron,

you would have had

$16.50

left of the original $1,000.00.

-O-O-O-O-O-O-O-O-O-O

With WorldCom,

you would have had less than

$5.00 left.

-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-

But, if you had purchased $1,000.00

worth of Beer one year ago,

drank all the beer,

then turned in the cans for the aluminum recycling REFUND,
you would have had

$214.00.

-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0

Based on the above,

current investment advice is to

drink heavily and
recycle.

It's called the 401-Keg Plan

1849. Re: I need help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 03:09:46 UTC
From: Joe <ozark333@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113793786.182605.163210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198824

Dr. Jack, I like it! Have you ever considered becoming a Motivational
Speaker!
401-Keg Plan! Too funny!
I bet your beer glass, is always, at least, Half Full!

1850. Re: Info requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 04:44:22 UTC
From: Paul Calman <spam@trap.com>
Message-ID: <3cgs8iF6iu6anU1@individual.net>
Source record: 198825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.motorcycles

Thanks. J&B isn't much help, but we'll figure something out. They said that in about 1.5 years they will have FRS combined with their CBs.

--
Paul Calman, Hathaway Pines, California

1851. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 05:16:39 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XoH8e.2803$J12.49@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198826

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:YbZ7e.932$ZQ1.533@fe11.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:twD7e.830$V02.759@fe08.lga...
>>
>>>Paul Calman wrote:
>>>
>>>>I'l bet his mother is so proud.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Ya know Paul you come in here asking for help then drop this turd without
>>>doing your homework. I've been smacking Steveo for responding to the yutz
>>>you agree with but in reality the yutz posts crap. Steve is a stand up
>>>guy...
>>
>>
>> OK Jim, you can get off of Steveo's leg now.. ;)>
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> piss off ;)

LOL!!!! Ok Ok. Had a great time in LA at
Fabulous Ford Forever.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1852. Re: I need help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 09:54:13 UTC
From: vintage kooky ham <9beersonthewall@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <4036$426383c8$d1cc41d0$8359@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 198827

<Msimon101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1113751885.697119.257480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

(much bs snipped)

Golly gee folks!
now the nigerians want 2 goto american college!
(...as if they don't get enuf handouts already from the US Govt.)

Hey KuntaKintae, try selling pirated DVD's just off of Times Square
out of some telephone booth shi+hole of a store like the smelly
Pakis are doin already...

1853. Looking for programming software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 11:50:59 UTC
From: jane link <one@two.net>
Message-ID: <42639f24$0$29864$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 198829

Hi there. I'm not sure if i have come to the right group, but maybe some1 can point me in the right direction. I am looking for the programming software for the SIMOCO PRM8010 (PRM80L). Also the wiring diagram for the data cable. If any1 could help, it would be much appreciated.
Cheers

1854. RE looking for programming software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 11:51:56 UTC
From: jane link <one@two.net>
Message-ID: <42639f5c$0$29865$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 198828

My email is meute@optusnet.com.au

1855. Re: DAYTON !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 18:05:21 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113847521.526317.36980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.sport.golf,alt.gossip.celebrities,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ya know, if he's being honest, this definitely is mopey dopey posting.

1856. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 18:08:22 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113847702.184319.8440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198831

wrong. He is a kneel down guy

1857. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 18:10:52 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113847852.936145.249740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198832

It is always a wet one, loaded with the scent of the trucker who just rented the space.

1858. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 18:12:01 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113847921.739987.159470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198833

No, a queen mopeydopey as usual

1859. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 18:13:30 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113848010.683179.69030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198834

it figures. anything to get mopeydopey to the petro faster.

1860. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 18:14:09 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113848049.408390.110660@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198835

its conch, not conk, fool

1861. Re: I'm BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-18 18:43:48 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tfednYb18KxCYv7fRVn-gw@giganews.com>
Source record: 198836

Mopey aint too smart wrote:
> wrong. He is a kneel down guy

Another poster that knows nothing about attribution. How is anyone supposed to know what you're responding to if you don't attribute?

Jeff

1862. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 00:30:22 UTC
From: John <janorfolk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.

1863. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 00:45:34 UTC
From: manisheretostay <Fan@manisheretostayho.net>
Message-ID: <d41k95$pq0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 198838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"John" <janorfolk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.
>

Much to chagrin of his detractors.

1864. Re: NAD IS BACK!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 00:47:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050418204757.385$X9_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Mopey aint too smart wrote:
> > wrong. He is a kneel down guy
>
> Another poster that knows nothing about attribution. How is anyone
> supposed to know what you're responding to if you don't attribute?
>
> Jeff
>
Hello Jeff.

It's lelnad again. I spanked him a little with the truth
in alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf so this is his payback. (shocking)

1865. Re: I need help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 01:27:33 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <b7Z8e.4410$V02.1391@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198840

vintage kooky ham wrote:
> <Msimon101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1113751885.697119.257480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> (much bs snipped)
>
> Golly gee folks!
> now the nigerians want 2 goto american college!
> (...as if they don't get enuf handouts already from the US Govt.)
>
> Hey KuntaKintae, try selling pirated DVD's just off of Times Square
> out of some telephone booth shi+hole of a store like the smelly
> Pakis are doin already...
>
they probably speak better english than you. stupid racist f*ckwit...

1866. Re: Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven wh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 02:12:29 UTC
From: Doogie Trapper <hiyall@outthere.com>
Message-ID: <jOZ8e.330$dm.60@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 198841
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Stop postin' this God stuff. It scares the Hell out a me! Bwaaahaaaaa
<wink>

<RonGrossi382612@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113671447.894599.109370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> The reason some people don't know for sure
> if they are going to Heaven when they die
> is because they just don't know.
>
> The good news is that you can know for
> sure that you are going to Heaven which is
> described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
> pain.
>
> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
> it is to be saved so that we can live
> forever with Him in Heaven.
>
> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
> is Lord and believe in your heart that God
> raised Him from the dead, you
> WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
> to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
> shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
> our sin debt in full.
>
> Jesus Christ was born in Israel
> supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
> named Mary and lived a sinless life for
> thirty-three years.
>
> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
> scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
> onto His head then Jesus was crucified.
>
> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and

> was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
> dead as Jesus said would happen before
> Jesus died.
>
> If someone tells you that they are going
> to die and in three days come back to life
> again and it happens then this person must
> be the real deal.
>
> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever
> lived a perfect sinless life.
>
> This is why Jesus is able to cover our
> sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because
> Jesus is sinless.
>
> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we
> have redemption through His blood, the
> forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>
> If you would like God to forgive you of
> your past, present and future sins just
> ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and
> Saviour.
>
> It doesn't matter how old you are or how
> many bad things that you have done in
> your life including lying and stealing
> all the way up to murder.
>
> Just pray the prayer below with your
> mouth and mean it from your heart and
> God will hear you and save you.
>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so
> that I can have a home in Heaven with You
> when I die. I agree with You that I am a
> sinner. I believe that You love me and want
> to save me. I believe that You bled and
> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my
> sins and that You rose from the dead.
> Please forgive my sins and come into my
> heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks
> Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and
> saving me through Your merciful grace.
> Amen.
>
> Welcome to the family of God if you just
> allowed God to save you. Now you are a real
> Christian and you can know for sure that

> you will live in Heaven forever when this
> life comes to an end.
>
> As a child of God we are to avoid
> sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the
> Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I
> write this to you so that you will not sin.
> But if anybody does sin, we have one who
> speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus
> Christ, the Righteous One."
>
> Those of you that have not yet decided to
> place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
> may never get another chance to do so
> because you do not know when you will die.
>
> This means that if you die without
> trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
> Saviour you will be forever separated from
> the love of God in a place called Hell.
>
> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
> eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
> for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.
>
> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
> allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
> Only then will you have true peace in your
> life knowing that no matter what happens you
> are on your way to Heaven.
>
>
> Praise the Lord!
> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
> Ronald L. Grossi
>
> *Show this to your family and friends so
> they can know that they have a choice
> where they will spend eternity. Thanks!
>
> ----------
>
>
>
> Got Questions?
> http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
>
> Other Languages
> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>

> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
> Animation
> http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation
>
> The Passion Of The Christ
> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>
> Beware Of Cults
> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>
> About Hell
> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
> Is Jesus God?
> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>
> Free Online Bible
> http://www.bibledatabase.net
>
>
> ----------
>
>
>
> The Death of John Paul II
>
> John Paul II gained the whole world but
> forfeited his soul. Year after year he
> was the most loved and admired man in
> the world. The idolatrous veneration
> and adoration of this man was
> unprecedented. His devotees, who are now
> singing his praises, are probably
> ignorant of the strong rebuke of Jesus
> who said, "Woe to you when all men speak
> well of you" (Luke 6:26). Had the pope
> been devoted to Jesus Christ and His
> Gospel of grace, he would have been
> hated and persecuted by the world.
> No one can judge John Paul's heart but
> we are all called to judge the fruit of
> our spiritual leaders. Clearly this man
> was blinded by the prince of this world
> and never saw the light of the gospel or
> the glory of Christ. I mourn the death
> of this man, not for the reason the
> world mourns, but because he rejected
> Jesus as God's only provision for his

> sins. I also have a solemn compassion
> for those who blindly followed this pope
> and his man-centered religion. My heart
> is painfully burdened to see so many
> "professing" Christians unable to
> discern truth from error and genuine
> Christianity from its counterfeit.
>
> The rest of this article that was
> written by Mike Gendron can be found
> at >> http://www.pro-gospel.org/main/Topics/topic-027.php
>
>
> ---
>

1867. Re: Anyone care to discuss...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 13:27:30 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <1113917250.811956.293130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198842

Works quite well. I have used this circuit in many radios. Works perfectly with UNpowered D104s. This is basically from the TRC-457/458 type radios.

Homer

1868. FA: Heathkit "BLUE Series" Test Gear Cheep @ $20 & $10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 15:03:17 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <T499e.3052$sG3.2603@fe09.lga>
Source record: 198843
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Here is the entire list>>
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

1869. FA:SIMPSON BUILT for WESTERN-UNION T-360 VOM> A BEAUTY>NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 15:04:27 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Z599e.3053$sG3.1816@fe09.lga>
Source record: 198894
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Here is the entire list>>
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

1870. FA: @$10> "TONS" (4lbs) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS>Any Builders?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 15:05:26 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <U699e.3054$sG3.1650@fe09.lga>
Source record: 198895
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Here is the entire list>>
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

1871. Quad for CB use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 18:03:58 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113933838.762913.10490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198896

I buit a quad several years ago for CB and worked like a charm. Had it set as a diamond. Cannot remember how I tied my 6' of TV coax in to make the antenna read 1/2 flat and 1/2 vertical. Know that one can set it as a square and go for either flat or horizontal if one doesn't have a turner on the driven end. Also have forgetten the measurement of the main beam the box (squared). Can anyone help me out on this project. Many thanks from a disabled vet.
Cliff
Ole Blue Dawg, Scotland International Airport, Scotland,TExas.

Talked with brisbane AU, Nova Scotia and many times British Columbia with an SBE Console II without a kicker on it. Was told that I was really coming 5x5 most of the time unless Tijuana Hanna or Puerto Rico Rumhead or even that black guy out in 29 palms CA. 29 Palms, when keyed he had to have been taking power fromt he Enterprise when it was in port because be broke my flipping needle one time. Stupid chinga puta!

1872. Quad for CB use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 18:04:03 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113933843.077728.296220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198897

I buit a quad several years ago for CB and worked like a charm. Had it set as a diamond. Cannot remember how I tied my 6' of TV coax in to make the antenna read 1/2 flat and 1/2 vertical. Know that one can set it as a square and go for either flat or horizontal if one doesn't have a turner on the driven end. Also have forgetten the measurement of the main beam the box (squared). Can anyone help me out on this project. Many thanks from a disabled vet.
Cliff
Ole Blue Dawg, Scotland International Airport, Scotland,TExas.

Talked with brisbane AU, Nova Scotia and many times British Columbia with an SBE Console II without a kicker on it. Was told that I was really coming 5x5 most of the time unless Tijuana Hanna or Puerto Rico Rumhead or even that black guy out in 29 palms CA. 29 Palms, when keyed he had to have been taking power fromt he Enterprise when it was in port because be broke my flipping needle one time. Stupid chinga puta!

1873. Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 19:29:01 UTC
From: "T.B. Parks" <konabean@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113938941.273183.274880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198898

My neighbor installed a CB radio antenna and now I am picking up his conversations via my computer speakers and answering machine. This has gotten to be a really big problem for me and my family. It even interferes with our fire radios.

Can anyone tell me why this is happening and how to fix it. (Short of going over and cutting the wires!?)

Thanks in advance,

Jade

1874. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 20:54:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Pde9e.131$XS6.1530975@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 198899

Drop a .001 capacitor across the two wire of the phone line (red and green) at each jack, this should eliminate the rf on the phone, maybe cure the computer speakers (if the rf is comming through the phone jack to the modem.)
What is a "fire radio" ?????

Regards,
John

"T.B. Parks" <konabean@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1113938941.273183.274880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> My neighbor installed a CB radio antenna and now I am picking up his
> conversations via my computer speakers and answering machine. This has
> gotten to be a really big problem for me and my family. It even
> interferes with our fire radios.
>
> Can anyone tell me why this is happening and how to fix it. (Short of
> going over and cutting the wires!?)
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Jade
>

1875. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 22:01:32 UTC
From: Jade <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mn.9c397d54bc06851e.29213@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Source record: 198900

John Smith submitted this idea :
> What is a "fire radio" ?????

Sorry about that. :D DH is a Vol. Fireman. and the radio is what they
use.

Jade

--
This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
Site : http://www.mesnews.net

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0516-2, 04/19/2005
Tested on: 4/19/2005 6:01:54 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2005 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

1876. Do You Want To Know For Sure That You Are Going To Heaven? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven which is described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain. (newsgroup-post 142)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-19 22:23:23 UTC
From: RonGrossi382713@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1113949403.129503.151250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198901
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

The reason some people don't know for sure
if they are going to Heaven when they die
is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for
sure that you are going to Heaven which is
described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
it is to be saved so that we can live
forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
is Lord and believe in your heart that God
raised Him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel
supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
named Mary and lived a sinless life for
thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
dead as Jesus said would happen before
Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going
to die and in three days come back to life
again and it happens then this person must

be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said "I am the way, the truth and
the life: no one can come to the
Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
(John 14:6)

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.browser.to/jesus-animation

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

1877. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 11:13:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050420071311.827$X1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198902
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

truth@tellthetruth.com wrote:
> >
> > "KU2S" <ku2s@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:onv861112a0hca81snvckh49befnbntoie@4ax.com...
> > > Strictly speaking, only the General class license requires that the
> > > code test be taken.
> > >
> > Can I blow a VE instead? I hate those beeps.
> >
> >
>
> Angry freebander moparholic@hotmail.com
>
I'm just as happy as can be.
>
> forging as Leland Scott.
>
Why do you talk about yourself in the third person, Leland? I see you're
still posting late at night after you get good and drunk, Leland.

Go back to your other nym <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> maybe that
version of you can handle it's booze better, drunken KC8LDO.

1878. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 12:24:10 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1113999850.659720.90200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198903

Hey Sissyholic, where you gonna be camping in Dayton?

1879. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 14:25:46 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <1114007146.196666.99390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198904

It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
antenna is too low.

1880. Re: Quad for CB use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 14:30:13 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <1114007413.428426.246430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198905

try this link:
http://www.softcom.net/users/kd6dks/quad.html

Homer

1881. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 18:24:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050420142405.607$98@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198906

truth@truth.com wrote:
> > Hey Sissyholic, where you gonna be camping in Dayton?
> >
>
> Hes gonna be HIDING a lot at Dayton.
>
Not if I find you and your coward felon boyfriend.
>
N8WWM wrote:
> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.
> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
>
>
Doug lays down the law for Dayton. hehehe

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:

You wanna rumble? OK, I will. Let's just leave the fest so we don't get
popped.

If you start a rumble, get ready to get the max. Bring it along, I will.

None of you know me by picture, sight, or otherwise. Bring your digicams,
some gayboys are in for a shock.

My wife will be with me or nearby. If you wanna rumble, wait 'til I tell
her what's up so she doesn't freak.

Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
>
>
> Word is any illegal cb freebander gear found being
> used or sold will be confiscated and the owner fined.
>
Cool. I'm not looking for any of that shit anyway.

1882. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 18:49:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050420144950.398$R1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198907

truth@truth.com wrote:
> > truth@truth.com wrote:
> > > > Hey Sissyholic, where you gonna be camping in Dayton?
> > > >
> > >
> > > Hes gonna be HIDING a lot at Dayton.
> > >
> > Not if I find you and your coward felon boyfriend.
>
> Then what will you do? Take digital photos? :-D
>
That too. This is gonna be GREAT!!!!
>
> Face it moped, er peepee, er whatever your name is, you HAVE
> to HIDE at Dayton. If anyone finds out your real name and address,
> your goose is cooked. You will no longer be able to harass licensed
> amateurs at their home or threaten them over the internet. If you
> DO show your face, you will probably be wearing a mask or disguise
> and carrying a fake ID.
>
Dogie knows what I look like from the last time he cowered. Watch
the fugly wankstain this time!! hahahahahahahaha HA!

1883. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 19:10:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050420151036.800$ut@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198908

truth@truth.com wrote:
> > truth@truth.com wrote:
> > > > truth@truth.com wrote:
> > > > > > Hey Sissyholic, where you gonna be camping in Dayton?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hes gonna be HIDING a lot at Dayton.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Not if I find you and your coward felon boyfriend.
> > >
> > > Then what will you do? Take digital photos? :-D
> > >
> > That too. This is gonna be GREAT!!!!
> > >
> > > Face it moped, er peepee, er whatever your name is, you HAVE
> > > to HIDE at Dayton. If anyone finds out your real name and address,
> > > your goose is cooked. You will no longer be able to harass licensed
> > > amateurs at their home or threaten them over the internet. If you
> > > DO show your face, you will probably be wearing a mask or disguise
> > > and carrying a fake ID.
> > >
> > Dogie knows what I look like from the last time he cowered.
>
> He said you were wearing a ski mask and running backwards with
> a camera covering your face. Is that your plan this time too?
>
He lied then. (shocking)
>
> Remember you dont want to attract any attention because that
> could lead to you being questioned by security. And that could
> lead to you having to produce your ID. And that...well, you *know*
> what that means, Steviechicken...
>
When was the last time you had your ass kicked, nad? Or should
O call Al Banys and ask him?

1884. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 19:23:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050420152341.757$2T@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198909

truth@truth.com wrote:
-snip pathetic lies-

> And by the way --- I am not Leland.
>
Shut the fuck up till May, nad. Pay the piper then.

1885. Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 22:08:14 UTC
From: Racer X <the_masked_racer_x@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ipA9e.77557$B12.68597@trnddc09>
Source record: 198910

Has anyone seen one of the new Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios in person yet?
I received a brochure from a Cobra customer service rep, scanned them, and
posted them here:

http://img7.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc193&image=9b0_Cobra200GTLDXFront.jpg

http://img1.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc169&image=d46_Cobra200GTLDXBack.jpg

http://img2.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc16&image=a04_Cobra150GTLDXFront.jpg

http://img5.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc148&image=46e_Cobra150GTLDXBack.jpg

73,
Racer X

1886. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 22:24:26 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i4CdnUzFFY0AS_vfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 198911

What the hell does grounding have to do with interference, Antenna to low?
hell put it up 300 feet high this should help.
I bet he is using POWER, dam CBer.
Better yet get yourself a CB and put up a antenna , I bet he gives up as his
receiver will be overloaded with your radio. Fight fire with fire as you
know.

<glapierre@brooke-ocean.com> wrote in message
news:1114007146.196666.99390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
> especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
> Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
> antenna is too low.
>

1887. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 23:15:58 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <G_2dnV1ZssBVYvvfRVn-og@gbronline.com>
Source record: 198914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Michael Gardner wrote:
> In article <d41k95$pq0$0@pita.alt.net>,
> "manisheretostay" <Fan@manisheretostayho.net> wrote:
>
>
>>"John" <janorfolk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.
>>>
>>
>>
>>Much to chagrin of his detractors.
>
>
> oh, I don't know.... its such a cheezy web site - its a lot like taking
> a "kick me" sign on his back only you don't have to sneak up on him to
> do it.

Those stupid animated goofy graphics really make it cheezy. Looks like
it was done by some 13 year old.

1888. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-20 23:17:31 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <3kod61p27mf6r54tqf4u251fj6s4ls26st@4ax.com>
Source record: 198912

On 20 Apr 2005 07:25:46 -0700, glapierre@brooke-ocean.com wrote:

>It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
>especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
>Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
>antenna is too low.

Transmitters of any type can create interference. It is not the
responsibility of the CB'er to get rid of the interference as long
as he is being legal.

1889. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 00:06:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8jrd611klbj8hudm6fnralb6nf8gm0r2pd@4ax.com>
Source record: 198913

On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 22:08:14 GMT, "Racer X" <the_masked_racer_x@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Has anyone seen one of the new Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios in person yet?
>I received a brochure from a Cobra customer service rep, scanned them, and
>posted them here:
>
>http://img7.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc193&image=9b0_Cobra200GTLDXFront.jpg
>
>http://img1.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc169&image=d46_Cobra200GTLDXBack.jpg
>
>http://img2.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc16&image=a04_Cobra150GTLDXFront.jpg
>
>http://img5.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc148&image=46e_Cobra150GTLDXBack.jpg
>
>73,
>Racer X
>

Never seen them before. Who makes them for Cobra?

Vinnie S.

1890. Re: Need some radio advice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 02:03:13 UTC
From: Mail Hauler <no@thanks.spam>
Message-ID: <116e2eajjhi0t08@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198915

"Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam> wrote in message
news:1164q3s1ol1ohdf@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm working in a slip-seat operation. My big ole Galaxy radio is just to
> big to lug around and the anteanna is a pain in the butt to set up each
run.
> I'm looking to buy a very compact cb that isn't finicky about the pre
> installed cb anteannas and wiring found in most Freightliners. I need the
> radio to be compact so that I can just throw it in my bag and go. I've
been
> looking at a Uniden ProXL 520 and at the Cobra 25 series. Any suggestions
> on which of these radios is the better of the two? Which one can be
modded
> for a little better performance, etc? Any other suggestions on a good
> workhorse cb in a compact package?
>
> TIA
>
>
>

Thanks for all the advice... I guess this newsgroup is just a bunch of
assholes accusing each other of being faggots!

1891. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 11:03:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421070323.135$2n@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198916

"T.B. Parks" <konabean@gmail.com> wrote:
> My neighbor installed a CB radio antenna and now I am picking up his
> conversations via my computer speakers and answering machine. This has
> gotten to be a really big problem for me and my family. It even
> interferes with our fire radios.
>
> Can anyone tell me why this is happening and how to fix it. (Short of
> going over and cutting the wires!?)
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Jade
>
Have you made him aware there is a problem? Maybe he would work with
you to rectify it.

1892. Re: Need some radio advice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 11:38:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <041f61l41u61ukbrl8u0tavhh4p9i2qb57@4ax.com>
Source record: 198917

On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 20:03:13 -0600, "Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam>
wrote in <116e2eajjhi0t08@corp.supernews.com>:

>
>"Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam> wrote in message
>news:1164q3s1ol1ohdf@corp.supernews.com...
>> I'm working in a slip-seat operation. My big ole Galaxy radio is just to
>> big to lug around and the anteanna is a pain in the butt to set up each
>run.
>> I'm looking to buy a very compact cb that isn't finicky about the pre
>> installed cb anteannas and wiring found in most Freightliners. I need the
>> radio to be compact so that I can just throw it in my bag and go. I've
>been
>> looking at a Uniden ProXL 520 and at the Cobra 25 series. Any suggestions
>> on which of these radios is the better of the two? Which one can be
>modded
>> for a little better performance, etc? Any other suggestions on a good
>> workhorse cb in a compact package?
>>
>> TIA
>>
>>
>>
>
>Thanks for all the advice... I guess this newsgroup is just a bunch of
>assholes accusing each other of being faggots!

No need to get pissy (.....and people complain about -my- attitude!).

My personal favorite is the Colt 357A, but 4 watts is 4 watts. Most
CBers don't have Hi-Fi receivers so any difference in audio quality
between stock radios isn't going to be noticed. Instead, get a GOOD
mic and a GOOD external speaker. This will make more of a difference
than anything, including modulation and/or power tweaks.

Speaking of tweaks, in order to double the range you need to quadruple
your power; i.e, 16 watts to just double the range. So tweaking the
radio for a couple extra watts won't make any measurable improvement,
and half of that extra power will most likely be lost as harmonics
that end up in someone's TV. Also, most CB "techs" are hacks that only
recently learned how to plug in a soldering gun and use instructions
found on the internet. They may claim to have X years of experience,
but since the modifications are illegal you shouldn't expect much
truth in their advertising, nor should you expect to get you money
back if they ruin your radio.

Summarized: Flip a coin, leave it stock, then buy a good mic and
speaker instead of a tweak-job.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1893. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 11:49:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d64f611f15trv18477o4p5bpaa82ggj88r@4ax.com>
Source record: 198918

On 19 Apr 2005 12:29:01 -0700, "T.B. Parks" <konabean@gmail.com>
wrote in <1113938941.273183.274880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>My neighbor installed a CB radio antenna and now I am picking up his
>conversations via my computer speakers and answering machine. This has
>gotten to be a really big problem for me and my family. It even
>interferes with our fire radios.
>
>Can anyone tell me why this is happening and how to fix it. (Short of
>going over and cutting the wires!?)
>
>Thanks in advance,
>
>Jade

First, communicate with your neighbor about the problem. If he refuses
to fix the problem, file a complaint (in conjuction with your FD) with
the FCC (www.fcc.gov) since he is interfering with an emergency radio
communication service. If all else fails, talk to an attorney about
the neighbor and local laws regarding nuisances.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1894. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 13:14:00 UTC
From: Jade <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mn.aa2a7d5489c3003e.29213@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Source record: 198919

It happens that tnom@mucks.net formulated :
> as he is being legal.

Dh talked to the guy last night and wouldn't you know it . He is not using legal equipment! Some people!!

Needless to say for the pass 2 nights we have had no problems what so ever. I have made recodings of him conversatosn as they come over our speakers just in case we need it to prove us case. Dh said he was none to happy about finding out we could hear every thing (and I do mean everything) he has said. ^^

Jade

--
This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
Site : http://www.mesnews.net

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0516-6, 04/20/2005
Tested on: 4/21/2005 9:14:05 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2005 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

1895. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios - PORN FREE LINKS INSIDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 14:06:29 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <GrO9e.16563$Ow2.13070@fe06.lga>
Source record: 198920

Why not link directly to the images, instead of providing a link with mountains of pornographic advertising? Not to mention that the images shrink to fit on the screen, instead of displaying full size so that you can actually see them clearly... Are you trying to get some "click-through" credits to porn sites?

Would only make sense...

http://img7.imagevenue.com/loc193/9b0_Cobra200GTLDXFront.jpg

http://img1.imagevenue.com/loc169/d46_Cobra200GTLDXBack.jpg

http://img2.imagevenue.com/loc16/a04_Cobra150GTLDXFront.jpg

http://img5.imagevenue.com/loc148/46e_Cobra150GTLDXBack.jpg

"Racer X" <the_masked_racer_x@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:ipA9e.77557$B12.68597@trnddc09...
> Has anyone seen one of the new Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios in person yet?
> I received a brochure from a Cobra customer service rep, scanned them, and
> posted them here:
>
> 73,
> Racer X
>
>

1896. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 16:25:54 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4267d192$1_3@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198921

<truth@truth.com> wrote in message news:l8B9e.37206$US.27327@news.ono.com...
> > Has anyone seen one of the new Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios in person
yet?
> > I received a brochure from a Cobra customer service rep, scanned them,
and
> > posted them here:
> >
> >
http://img7.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc193&image=9b0_Cobra200GTLDXFront.jpg
> >
> >
http://img1.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc169&image=d46_Cobra200GTLDXBack.jpg
> >
> >
http://img2.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc16&image=a04_Cobra150GTLDXFront.jpg
> >
> >
http://img5.imagevenue.com/img.php?loc=loc148&image=46e_Cobra150GTLDXBack.jpg
> >
> > 73,
> > Racer X
> >
> >
>
> Do hams use roger beep and echo on 10 meters?

No they don't. They also don't have echo and "feedback effects."

I very surprised that the FCC allows them to be type accepted. Yes I know
that ham equipment doesn't have to be type accepted to be used on ham bands
but it DOES need to be type accepted to be commercially sold.

Kevin, WB5RUE

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1897. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 16:28:55 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4267d247$1_5@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198922

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:3kod61p27mf6r54tqf4u251fj6s4ls26st@4ax.com...
> On 20 Apr 2005 07:25:46 -0700, glapierre@brooke-ocean.com wrote:
>
> >It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
> >especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
> >Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
> >antenna is too low.
>
> Transmitters of any type can create interference. It is not the
> responsibility of the CB'er to get rid of the interference as long
> as he is being legal.

If you are interfering with emergency equipment it's ALWAYS your
responsibility to fix it. Even if it means stopping your transmitting
altogether. Emergency equipment ALWAYS takes precedence.

Kevin, WB5RUE

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1898. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 16:31:10 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4267d2ce$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198923

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:i4CdnUzFFY0AS_vfRVn-ig@comcast.com...
> What the hell does grounding have to do with interference, Antenna to low?
> hell put it up 300 feet high this should help.
> I bet he is using POWER, dam CBer.
> Better yet get yourself a CB and put up a antenna , I bet he gives up as
his
> receiver will be overloaded with your radio. Fight fire with fire as you
> know.
>
>
>
>
>
> <glapierre@brooke-ocean.com> wrote in message
> news:1114007146.196666.99390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
> > especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
> > Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
> > antenna is too low.

It's more likely that the fire receiver and/or computer is not properly
grounded. However it still isn't your responsibility to fix the problem.
Legal or not it's his responsibility since it's happening to emergency
communication equipment.

Kevin, WB5RUE

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1899. Re: Need some radio advice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 17:13:09 UTC
From: Mail Hauler <no@thanks.spam>
Message-ID: <116fnp2rsur5oae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198924

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:041f61l41u61ukbrl8u0tavhh4p9i2qb57@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 20:03:13 -0600, "Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam>
> wrote in <116e2eajjhi0t08@corp.supernews.com>:
>
>
> No need to get pissy (.....and people complain about -my- attitude!).
>

Well, it took getting "pissy" just to get a response! Thanks for the info
Frank.

1900. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 17:16:49 UTC
From: Jade <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mn.ab1c7d540c78c60f.29213@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Source record: 198925

Kevin, WB5RUE used his keyboard to write :
> I bet he is using POWER, dam CBer.

Yeap, He is!!

Jade

--
This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
Site : http://www.mesnews.net

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0516-6, 04/20/2005
Tested on: 4/21/2005 1:16:55 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2005 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

1901. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 17:17:50 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4267ed1e.102155015@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 198926

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:28:55 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE"
<bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:3kod61p27mf6r54tqf4u251fj6s4ls26st@4ax.com...
>> On 20 Apr 2005 07:25:46 -0700, glapierre@brooke-ocean.com wrote:
>>
>> >It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
>> >especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
>> >Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
>> >antenna is too low.
>>
>> Transmitters of any type can create interference. It is not the
>> responsibility of the CB'er to get rid of the interference as long
>> as he is being legal.
>
>If you are interfering with emergency equipment it's ALWAYS your
>responsibility to fix it. Even if it means stopping your transmitting
>altogether. Emergency equipment ALWAYS takes precedence.
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE
>

Which F.C.C Regulation says that?

1902. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 17:49:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hrpf6112iv2oqcn5ig0gn2k2jacts0ljha@4ax.com>
Source record: 198927

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 17:17:50 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:28:55 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE"
><bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>>news:3kod61p27mf6r54tqf4u251fj6s4ls26st@4ax.com...
>>> On 20 Apr 2005 07:25:46 -0700, glapierre@brooke-ocean.com wrote:
>>>
>>> >It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
>>> >especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
>>> >Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
>>> >antenna is too low.
>>>
>>> Transmitters of any type can create interference. It is not the
>>> responsibility of the CB'er to get rid of the interference as long
>>> as he is being legal.
>>
>>If you are interfering with emergency equipment it's ALWAYS your
>>responsibility to fix it. Even if it means stopping your transmitting
>>altogether. Emergency equipment ALWAYS takes precedence.
>>
>>Kevin, WB5RUE
>>
>
>Which F.C.C Regulation says that?

I doubt he was causing interference on the whole band. Doesn't the rule specify
frequency only? I will have to take a look.

Vinnie S.

1903. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 17:50:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8upf61ldb31rkqtklaejrl8e2m77suh75p@4ax.com>
Source record: 198928

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:25:54 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:

>

>No they don't. They also don't have echo and "feedback effects."
>
>I very surprised that the FCC allows them to be type accepted. Yes I know
>that ham equipment doesn't have to be type accepted to be used on ham bands
>but it DOES need to be type accepted to be commercially sold.
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE

I hate echo. I simple roger beep doesn't bother me.

Vinnie S.

1904. Re: Need some radio advice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 18:51:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cnrf6190fbdm4pm0flh5h5rjfvvsm9jkl8@4ax.com>
Source record: 198929

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:13:09 -0600, "Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam>
wrote in <116fnp2rsur5oae@corp.supernews.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:041f61l41u61ukbrl8u0tavhh4p9i2qb57@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 20:03:13 -0600, "Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam>
>> wrote in <116e2eajjhi0t08@corp.supernews.com>:
>>
>>
>> No need to get pissy (.....and people complain about -my- attitude!).
>>
>
>Well, it took getting "pissy" just to get a response!

No, it didn't. It just took time. Trolls aside, most people have a
life outside the newsgroup. And remember that nobody here is under any
obligation to give you advice, let alone jump at your command. If you
don't get a response the first time you might try to exercise a little
patience and ask again later instead of copping an attitude.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1905. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 18:59:04 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114109944.233425.240700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198930

Well, mopeywristflipper...where ya gonna be? AKC will be there Sunday, where ever it may be. Saturday there's some stuff to do in Medina county.

1906. mopathetic squealing and whining some more, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 19:02:12 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114110132.352286.51490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198931

Hey Sissyholic, where you gonna be camping in Dayton?

> Hes gonna be HIDING a lot at Dayton.

We know she will, notice how the sissy wrist flipper won't say where
she is going to be?

Flip that wrist, flip that wrist

Flip that wrist so limp

moped is a chicken gal

With the IQ of a chimp

1907. FEAR mopathetic, he is so tewwifying! NOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 19:07:19 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114110439.142323.249100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198932

INSIDE THE MOPATHETIC MIND:

"Don't say anything bad about kecwowns or else I'ww dwive to your house and take pictuwes of it, and post them on the web. My mommy gave me toys and candy when I cwied and you should do what I say, too!"

Poor mopathetic, so out of it he doesn't even see his irrelevance. Stupid fool wristflipper has no clue at all.

1908. Re: FA: @$10> "TONS" (4lbs) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS>Any Builders?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 19:10:48 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114110648.081227.56970@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198933

mopathetic would bid, but he doesnt know the meaning or the application
of any particular type of electronic component.

Also, FYI...mopathetic is a wristflipper.

1909. Re: Need some radio advice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 19:45:55 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42680f8b.110968562@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 198934

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:51:29 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:13:09 -0600, "Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam>
>wrote in <116fnp2rsur5oae@corp.supernews.com>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:041f61l41u61ukbrl8u0tavhh4p9i2qb57@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 20 Apr 2005 20:03:13 -0600, "Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam>
>>> wrote in <116e2eajjhi0t08@corp.supernews.com>:
>>>
>>>
>>> No need to get pissy (.....and people complain about -my- attitude!).
>>>
>>
>>Well, it took getting "pissy" just to get a response!
>
>
>No, it didn't. It just took time. Trolls aside, most people have a
>life outside the newsgroup. And remember that nobody here is under any
>obligation to give you advice, let alone jump at your command. If you
>don't get a response the first time you might try to exercise a little
>patience and ask again later instead of copping an attitude.
>
>

He just might have had a few more responses if he hadn't gotten
pissy...

Well put Frank...

1910. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 19:48:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <om0g61hab4rntmnbq6rgu3faimg7lichc3@4ax.com>
Source record: 198935

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 13:49:43 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>>Which F.C.C Regulation says that?
>
>
>I doubt he was causing interference on the whole band. Doesn't the rule specify
>frequency only? I will have to take a look.
>
>Vinnie S.

I looked thru the rules. I couldn't find anything substantial. I have part 97. I do not have the CB rules. Most indicate that if you are causing harmful interference to a band on which you are secondary, that you must leave the frequency. I am not sure, but in this case, he might just be overloading the front end of the fire radio. Sounds like the guy was attempting to correct the problem.

Vinnie S.

1911. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios - PORN FREE LINKS INSIDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 20:12:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <o72g6110p4ha3vtnoccgs8jg3gvpn6cl7p@4ax.com>
Source record: 198936

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 09:06:29 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
<PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:

>Why not link directly to the images, instead of providing a link with
>mountains of pornographic advertising? Not to mention that the images
>shrink to fit on the screen, instead of displaying full size so that you can
>actually see them clearly... Are you trying to get some "click-through"
>credits to porn sites?
>
>Would only make sense...

Seriously. What the fuck was that about. It's almost like he really wasn't
asking about the radio at all.

Vinnie S.

1912. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios - PORN FREE LINKS INSIDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 20:23:42 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <P_udnY61Y-5OlvXfRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 198937

Still a piece of shit from China.

Their 148 NW had allot of design problems, poor engineering inside.

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:o72g6110p4ha3vtnoccgs8jg3gvpn6cl7p@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 09:06:29 -0500, "PowerHouse Communications"
> <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote:
>
>>Why not link directly to the images, instead of providing a link with
>>mountains of pornographic advertising? Not to mention that the images
>>shrink to fit on the screen, instead of displaying full size so that you
>>can
>>actually see them clearly... Are you trying to get some "click-through"
>>credits to porn sites?
>>
>>Would only make sense...
>
>
> Seriously. What the fuck was that about. It's almost like he really wasn't
> asking about the radio at all.
>
> Vinnie S.

1913. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios - PORN FREE LINKS INSIDE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 20:44:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <t24g61htnab6dcct4r59af879j1gp03rb0@4ax.com>
Source record: 198938

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 15:23:42 -0500, "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:

>Still a piece of shit from China.
>
>Their 148 NW had allot of design problems, poor engineering inside.

One problem I heard is, there are many cold solder joints. I would like to figure out who makes it.

Vinnie S.

1914. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 20:52:57 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <42681334$1_3@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198939

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:4267ed1e.102155015@news.easynews.com...
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:28:55 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE"
> <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> >news:3kod61p27mf6r54tqf4u251fj6s4ls26st@4ax.com...
> >> On 20 Apr 2005 07:25:46 -0700, glapierre@brooke-ocean.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
> >> >especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
> >> >Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
> >> >antenna is too low.
> >>
> >> Transmitters of any type can create interference. It is not the
> >> responsibility of the CB'er to get rid of the interference as long
> >> as he is being legal.
> >
> >If you are interfering with emergency equipment it's ALWAYS your
> >responsibility to fix it. Even if it means stopping your transmitting
> >altogether. Emergency equipment ALWAYS takes precedence.
> >
> >Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
>
> Which F.C.C Regulation says that?

You know, I shouldn't have to site a "regulation." It's a no-brainer to
those who will think about it. However it's not just an "FCC rule". It's
illegal to interfere with any kind of emergency communication regardless of
the source or method. If it's "unintentional" you are expected to either
stop or fix the problem. If you need statutes I can find them from all
levels and branches of government....or you can go look them up yourself.
Maybe you should do that, you might learn something.

Kevin, WB5RUE

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1915. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 20:57:19 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4268143a$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 198940

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:om0g61hab4rntmnbq6rgu3faimg7lichc3@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 13:49:43 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> >>Which F.C.C Regulation says that?
> >
> >
> >I doubt he was causing interference on the whole band. Doesn't the rule
specify
> >frequency only? I will have to take a look.
> >
> >Vinnie S.
>
> I looked thru the rules. I couldn't find anything substantial. I have part
97. I
> do not have the CB rules. Most indicate that if you are causing harmful
> interference to a band on which you are secondary, that you must leave the
> frequency. I am not sure, but in this case, he might just be overloading
the
> front end of the fire radio. Sounds like the guy was attempting to correct
the
> problem.
>
> Vinnie S.

Where Part 97 is concerned it does specify that we are secondary on some
bands. On the bands where we are primary we are still to avoid causing
interference any secondary users. We are also to have equipment that will
not cause interference to adjacent bands. If your stuff is operating
properly it's not real likely that you will cause interference to a fire or
police radio (that is also operating properly). HOWEVER, if you are next
door to a fire station and you are interfering with their equipment and
don't do anything about it yourself it is most likely that the FCC will make
a modification to your license reducing your power, operating hours or
operating frequencies. It happens. (No Lancer I don't have specific
examples but pick up some copies of QST.)

Kevin, WB5RUE

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1916. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:19:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421171906.997$zh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198941

"Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:
> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> news:3kod61p27mf6r54tqf4u251fj6s4ls26st@4ax.com...
> > On 20 Apr 2005 07:25:46 -0700, glapierre@brooke-ocean.com wrote:
> >
> > >It is the responsibilty of the CB'er to get rid of the interference,
> > >especially interference (if it is a) on a commercial "fire" radio.
> > >Sounds to me that the CB'er does not have proper grounding or his
> > >antenna is too low.
> >
> > Transmitters of any type can create interference. It is not the
> > responsibility of the CB'er to get rid of the interference as long
> > as he is being legal.
>
> If you are interfering with emergency equipment it's ALWAYS your
> responsibility to fix it. Even if it means stopping your transmitting
> altogether. Emergency equipment ALWAYS takes precedence.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
I totally agree, Kevin. That's why I asked the OP if anyone had told
the guy he's tearing stuff up.

1917. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:21:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421172110.904$Sg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198942

"Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net> wrote:
> Kevin, WB5RUE used his keyboard to write :
> > I bet he is using POWER, dam CBer.
>
> Yeap, He is!!
>
> Jade
>
Not surprised it that's true. btw, who's "T.B. Parks".

1918. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:22:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421172204.465$tE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198943

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:25:54 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
>
> >No they don't. They also don't have echo and "feedback effects."
> >
> >I very surprised that the FCC allows them to be type accepted. Yes I
> >know that ham equipment doesn't have to be type accepted to be used on
> >ham bands but it DOES need to be type accepted to be commercially sold.
> >
> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> I hate echo. I simple roger beep doesn't bother me.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
They both suck used cock. :D

1919. Re: Need some radio advice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:23:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421172355.574$g5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198944

truya@truth.com wrote:
> >
> > "Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam> wrote in message
> > news:1164q3s1ol1ohdf@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I'm working in a slip-seat operation. My big ole Galaxy radio is
> > > just to big to lug around and the anteanna is a pain in the butt to
> > > set up each
> > run.
> > > I'm looking to buy a very compact cb that isn't finicky about the pre
> > > installed cb anteannas and wiring found in most Freightliners. I
> > > need the radio to be compact so that I can just throw it in my bag
> > > and go. I've
> > been
> > > looking at a Uniden ProXL 520 and at the Cobra 25 series. Any
> > > suggestions on which of these radios is the better of the two? Which
> > > one can be
> > modded
> > > for a little better performance, etc? Any other suggestions on a
> > > good workhorse cb in a compact package?
> > >
> > > TIA
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Thanks for all the advice... I guess this newsgroup is just a bunch of
> > assholes accusing each other of being faggots!
>
> 100% of the problem is moparholic@hotmail.com and his sock puppets.
>
You have that backwards, KC8LDO. It's you and N8WWM that call everyone
that uses a CB gay.

1920. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:24:10 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@lixus.com>
Message-ID: <te6g619gfkdtavvjjdsrh21ilm5ulvsjau@4ax.com>
Source record: 198945

On 05 Apr 2005 11:18:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:
>> "untruth@liar.com" wrote:
>>
>> > .in rec.radio.cb and landshark leading the group.
>>
>> My linear is still connected to my CB!!
>>
>> ...A ham
>>
>Reckon how many more there are that have never even heard of Usenet. lol

That is so true and for just good measure I will fire up that big old
mobil linear with my big curly antenna!!!

1921. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:26:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421172625.339$px@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198946

trueya@truth.net wrote:
> > "T.B. Parks" <konabean@gmail.com> wrote:
> > > My neighbor installed a CB radio antenna and now I am picking up his
> > > conversations via my computer speakers and answering machine. This
> > > has gotten to be a really big problem for me and my family. It
> > > even interferes with our fire radios.
> > >
> > > Can anyone tell me why this is happening and how to fix it. (Short of
> > > going over and cutting the wires!?)
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance,
> > >
> > > Jade
> > >
> > Have you made him aware there is a problem? Maybe he would work with
> > you to rectify it.
>
> Or may be Steveo could sneak around his house taking digital photos.
>
Only by invitation. Reckon dogie thinks I'm sneaking in this one?

http://img244.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly8qv.jpg

1922. Re: Just because Transhark says it doesn't make it so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:38:11 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26728-42681D43-99@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198948

>I said FCC criminal, your communication

>deficit strikes again as you froth at the mouth

>to reply without reading the content.

I don't believe I referred him as such,,,what I DID refer to him as, was
a "criminal", as defined by the US courts by having been found guilty.

1923. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:38:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421173841.349$GR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198947

Just Me <JustMe@lixus.com> wrote:
> On 05 Apr 2005 11:18:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:
> >> "untruth@liar.com" wrote:
> >>
> >> > .in rec.radio.cb and landshark leading the group.
> >>
> >> My linear is still connected to my CB!!
> >>
> >> ...A ham
> >>
> >Reckon how many more there are that have never even heard of Usenet. lol
>
> That is so true and for just good measure I will fire up that big old
> mobil linear with my big curly antenna!!!
>
Curly antenna!? What the hell ya got?

1924. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:45:51 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26727-42681F0F-326@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198949

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, Apr 13, 2005, 9:12pm (EDT-1)
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject:Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining
websites.....
From: notiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25
X-No-Archive: yes
Date: Wed, Apr 13, 2005, 9:12pm (EDT-1) X-Trace:
sv3-H5vIE/nK0C7ZmXrLOU0NQ9a20MkH9EfUyXNqOBP0EX1e+N+tk6YrkM6OvM/cb00TE6/YUWYVrNXcw1K!Y6rz5H
o/WGpylnFkfJbucvtZugQKUquy326xS7WAjP8MDso1M92hfZeWDK/tTN45f4H3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy
of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to
process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:23609-425DA074-
24@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
Get educated.

>I did i looked up the "exact" defenition of the

>word from a dictionary and posted the "exact

>results.

You say only one defintion exists for the noun, but list two..heheh.

>hehe take it up with the web page dictionary

The dictionary wasn't the source claiming only one definition when there
are more. -You- made the mistake.

>they were derived from

Doesn't matter.

>What you think, you are correct. regardless of

>what you think the defenition is clear.

Yet, how can one expect you to comprehend it when you can't even realize
how many there may be?
You will not see any paper or magazine calling OJ a murderer. Such
answers what you fail to grasp.

>What's the difference between a civil and a

>criminal conviction?

>QUESTION:

>O.J. Simpson is NOT guilty as judged by

>criminal court. Yet a civil court held him

>accountable. I see him as NOT a murderer.

>So, how is the civil court's determination

>different from the criminal? And is O.J. still

>NOT guilty of murder?

>ANSWER:

>You are not alone in being confused about

>how a person acquitted of murder in a criminal
>trial can be held liable for a victim's wrongful

>death in a civil trial.

(snip incorrect explanation)

The ONLY mention and display of any confusion is from you, no one else.
Civil was when OJ was sued by a private party. Criminal was where he was
prosecuted and found not guilty.

1925. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 21:50:23 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26727-4268201F-327@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198950

NNTP-Posting-Date:Thu, Apr 14, 2005, 6:38am (EDT-1)
From Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining
websites.....
Date: Thu, Apr 14, 2005, 7:38am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
X-Trace:
sv3-qJoHfrmpBBdKztY7Kv3+1W6ewYvLY9HHPNoQARr8PDBjjAtlplPYBfZaBwwEeyArtmShc+EXDyfl8jD!I1ho+r
C8nYabp4wQAZuO+W71oZCPO7IK7A5iQg2aIrg8G1lkoyrDk4JZ/d1gkTKsZm8tYhsI9aAB!v4/ZMKBDhUw=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a
copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
>I've never known anyone who went to jail for

>simple speeding, jay-walking or littering.

You've never known anyone who went to jail for simple dxing or
freebanding, either.

>Now if the speeding charge was in conjunction
>with something else

....same with dxing and freebanding.

1926. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 22:56:43 UTC
From: Jerry <n9lya@blueriver.net>
Message-ID: <116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 198951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

I am not a proponent of K1MAN.... but you guys are just jealous.. 2+2 = 4 in this universe..

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:G_2dnV1ZssBVYvvfRVn-og@gbronline.com...
> Michael Gardner wrote:
>> In article <d41k95$pq0$0@pita.alt.net>,
>> "manisheretostay" <Fan@manisheretostayho.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"John" <janorfolk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>>>Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Much to chagrin of his detractors.
>>
>>
>> oh, I don't know.... its such a cheezy web site - its a lot like taking a
>> "kick me" sign on his back only you don't have to sneak up on him to do
>> it.
>
> Those stupid animated goofy graphics really make it cheezy. Looks like it
> was done by some 13 year old.

1927. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 23:14:01 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26728-426833B9-101@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198953

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Apr 14, 2005, 7:43am (EDT-1)
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining
websites.....
Date: Thu, Apr 14, 2005, 8:43am Organization:
home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace:
sv3-QyzEc9a3sv6vzMbHKyl1V33sWVXxUm0AMsawVG9/dTaYxKQOCW28tOcpt23bNlCN/+zlWSV3T6cduu4!aA6nJc
TjuI1wSG1ts3upWFOk2MfXuHECajAGOP5GjBU4e6//Hwc9vgJ6WOdF/u8tOIeeqVu7NFiH!ZfmT4YOQpyQ=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a
copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.32
On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 18:36:27 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>Then provide the google link as proof.

Do not ask others what you refuse to provide yourself..it's called
hypocrisy and myself, Frank, Jim, Shark, Mopar, and now Lancer
(regarding your lack of knowledge of antennas) have illustrated such.

>Translation: You're lying again.

And everyone else mentioned above who illustrated your hypocrisy is
lying, too?

>You once tried to claim that I accused Keith of
>something. When pressed on the issue,

>(While you scrambled through google) you f

>finally had to back off when you realized that

>you make a mistake. But true to form, you

>would never be a man and admit it.

AS opposed to you being wrong concerning the federal DOT (just to name a
single issue).

>There are no federal police. You can claim the
>opposite until the cows come home. But until

>you can prove it, you're lying again.

You were taught by myself, after you inquired "What federal agency
enforces traffic laws?". I taught you the FEderal DOT does just that,
and you are busy digesting feathers while playing semantics via
invoking the term "federal police". Now, DOT officers do exist, but you

keep on providing these jewels for your daughter to read in the future.

>You want to eat crow again for something you

>had to reluctantly back off from before?

You're the one choking on feathers in all your posts, especially since you were instructed of the existsence of the DOT and the legaliyy of roger beeps.

>I admitted to my error with regard to the roger

>beep issue. As to the rest of them, because

>you offer a dissenting opinion is not the same

>as proving me wrong.

My "dissenting opinion" has nothing to do with you not being aware of a federal agency's existence which enforces traffic laws.

>You were challenged to provide proof,

You were asking the educated contingency to prove a negative, one that existed only in your mind, concerning the roger beep issue,,,that's whacked, Dave.

> and you continually fall back on the same

>tired excuse that since I didn't prove one or

>two of my allegations to your satisfaction,

Tut-tut,,you have proved unsolicited your claims to no one's satisfaction.

>that you have no responsibility to prove any of

>yours. That's such an obvious cop-out, but all

>too typical for you.

Look how far you ran from your initial denial of defending Dogie.

>I've run nowhere. I maintain that that only

>"defense" that I ever offered was the

>possibility that he may have been framed. The
>stuff about me claiming that the charge was

>withdrawn or that I blamed Keith for something
>is all coming from the bowels of your warped

>mind.

>Google me and prove me wrong if you can

>(You can't), but you won't and will still babble

>on about not having to prove anything.

I don't think there is a single presence on this group that hasn't
proved you wrong at one time or another.
And you certainly made your share of forced errors...forensics, DOT, PA
State Law, Civil vs criminal law, roger beeps, empirical evidence,..

>With the exception of the roger beep issue, all

>of my other usages were consistent with

>standard definitions as provided by

>established resources.

Wrong, One is not a criminal for a civil matter, yet you continue to
fail to comprehen

>You still

>cannot demonstrate anything hypocritical that

>I've posted.

Hehe,,,in the last 2 weeks, check the names mentioned above..they ALL
noted your hypocrisy.
You ask others to provide for their claims after you make unsolicited
claims you felt important enough to invoke, but not provide (proof)
yourself.

>Translation: You

(snip)
Not about me, Dave...-you- asked forexamples, were given them, and the
first thing out of your mouth is " ...YOU"....... You're not even worthy
of dialog these days, David.
You initiated this tactic with your running from
your past claims that were proved lies.

>Proved how? Because you disagreed with

>them?

Because you are consistently wrong.

>You have yet to prove anything you claim.

Truth needs no proof, such as the roger beep issue you needed educated
on because of your ignorance of the law and invoked excuses of -why- you
profess such ignorance. Hint: no one cares why you believe the things
you do...all that matters is you were brought in to the educated arena
in whcih the rest of us dwell concerning the law.

>You aren't even man enough to use your real

>name.

Aww David, did I hurt your feelings again?

>Don't even talk to me a about providing proof

>until you get over your own hypocrisy.

Your personal issues regarding the identity of cbers is a monkey on
-your- back, no one elses. CB is handle-driven, not personal identity.
The problem , as always, originates within yourself.

>I'm forced to conclude that you don't know the

>meaning of the word.

I force you to do plenty of things, but lately, it seems Frank has
forced you more than anyone.

>Frank is proving to be almost as mentally

>unstable as you are.

Said the one with numerous unsolicited claims invoking fairies of
self-support, such as friends who are cops that give you incorrect
information regarding the law, cousins who are lawyers :) and all other
kinds of self-conjured support to lend your trampled psyche and ego.

> No wonder you've found so much in common.
>So for your edification: hy·poc·ri·sy (P)

>Pronunciation Key (h-pkr-s)

>n. pl. hy·poc·ri·sies

>1.The practice of professing beliefs, feelings,

>or virtues that one does not hold or possess;

>falseness.

You asking for anyone to provide for any of their claims is hypocrisy,
David, because you refuse to provide for for the majority fo your own.
You can deny all you like. It's my pleasure.

>Which came first, the chicken or the egg?

>Such is the circular nature of your reasoning.

Such is the nature of your actions. As has been illustrated by others,
you made more unsubstantiated claims than any.

>No I haven't, and you can't prove otherwise.

Proof is irrelevant, according to you, when we have "statistical
probablities"(that you like to invoke), such as the FACT that "anarchy"
and similar terms are used exclusively by yourself and the most
malicious sock posters on the group. Indeed.

>Thank you for

>answering my question.

You continue to learn, so I have reason for continuing to answer.

>You did see the (?) at

>the end of my question right?

What everyone else sees is way different than what you claim to see. The light is blinding you.

>Ah, so you don't know what a (?) is. That

>explains much. Perhaps a remedial reading

>course is in order. Then you can work on that

>GED.

Yep,,you're well shaken.

>You are not capable of educating anyone.

I educated you when you denied existence of a Federal DOT.

>You provided nothing but your own

>unsubstantiated claims.

Again,,you ignorantly inquired "What federal agency enforces traffic laws?" When you were taught the DOT does this, you ranted and began smoldering about what to call this entity of which you were not familiar, and embarassed yourself with "There are no federal police". Well, yes there are David,,the DOT employees in those cop looking cars are called DOT OFFICERS (more education for your half-wit) and the FBI, other agencies are certainly what you deny exists at all: Federal Officers.

>You hypocritically take me to task for offering

>my experience as evidence, yet you provide

>nothing to back your self up.

I educated
you concerning your shouted ignorance (for a month) that roger beeps were illegal.

>You provided no proof to back up what you

>said. I had to get it myself from the FCC.

Everyone else, including myself, had this "experience" you continually defend on our side regarding the law and evidently made it our business to be educated and informed on the law. This was due our experience and familiarity with the law. You, on the other hand, was not only NOT

familiar with the law, but hypocritically hold such personal experience as "no proof" when offered by others. LOL. What a card you are, but not a very bright one, despite Frank's initial assessment concerning your intellect. His reformed dissertation is very close to that of your shrink's.
-
 I educated you on your mistaken definition of "empirical" evidence,

>Which was wrong (as usual). Look up the

>definition (I'll be glad to provide it again for you
>so you can then claim that my dictionary is

>"wrong")

You claimed "empirical observations" derived from your personal experience constituted proof. You then invoked your personal experiments confirmed your positions. It was then you were properly instructed that once experiments were undertaken by yourself, your position was no longer derived from "empirical evidence" and voided your original use of the word. But hey,,,off you go now to provide it for "me" (chuckle)..... again! LMAO!

 Frank educated you on your mistaken..well, on a lot of your mistaken claims regarding radio

>Frank has his own issues, most notably a

>glaring lack of hands-on experience with CB

>and ham radio.

No David, that would be your knowledge of the law governing your chosen hobby.
_
Most recently, your embarrassing gaffe regarding the incorrect definition of "forensics", something you erroneously claimed you use in addition to empirical evidence.

>My usage of the word "forensics" was

>consistent with the definition. Frank had a

>problem with that. But his beef is not with me,

>it's with those who write the dictionaries.

The difference wasn't with the dictionary, only your incorrect useage.

>But such illustrates Frank's pompous

>arrogance in that he feels that he knows more

>than those who define these terms.

Or those who actually know the law and try to teach it your arrogant and pompous self.
In fact,
you have been educated on a host of things by a host of people.

>I've had people who have disagreed with me.

>None have provided any proof otherwise.

It's more fun to watch you run around huffing and puffing with your lactating chest protruding for a few days and finding out your were ignorant all by your lonesome. Brass RING!
Now
Lancer is providing your education concerning what you do not know about antennas. Yes, Dave, despite your denials, you most certainly have been educated by several of us.

>None of you have provided any proof to back

>yourselves up. What does that say?

It says no can tell David Hall Jr. anything because he believes he knows better than everyone else, even when a number of people are telling him the same thing. Wait till your daughter and Kimberly reads all this shit you post one day....they will be like "damn,,,and we thought he was just like that with us".

>Your legal and political views are akin to the

>.malcontents and subversive slackers of the

>1960's.

The definition of the term has not changed, your personal feelings and bleeding from the gums, not withstanding.
You fecklessly insist such an act
(such as dxing) makes one a federal criminal.

>It does and it is.

It doesn't. An inability to distinguish between federal, criminal, and civil acts displayed by yourself is not shared by anyone else, only you. You are assuming all rules and laws governed by a federal agency are criminal and this simply isn't so. Your error, is you mistakenly believe the term "federal" can be interchanged with the term "criminal" wehn relating to the rules and laws they govern. This is your bad, Dave, not anyone elses.

>The real joke is that you don't even bother to

>read the links your posted to the stories about

>your boy "Bob Noxious". In them they state

>that it's a criminal violation to operate an

>unlicensed transmitter.

Tut-tut,,when you have been reduced to wandering, you tend to make invalid comparisons. What B-o-b does, and what I do (dx) are two very different items,

>No, they're basically not. Both of you are

>running illegal transmitters on frequencies that
>you are not licensed for.

When ever your head is in the sand or up another's ass and you are stinging from being wrong so often, you lob bullshit you pull from your ass and hope it sticks. My Ten-tec is more legal than your Dave made.

>The only difference

>between the FM broadcast band and the

>freeband is the frequency, and the visibility to

>the public.

Hehe..no, Dave, you are dead wrong,,there are plenty of differences, especially regarding legalities, but I have learned to be content watching you deny existence of the things of which you are not educated. In fact, that statement serves as a reminder that some jackasses who are licensed for communications, such as yourself, know the least of it.

>Translation: You can't prove it, but if you

>repeat it enough maybe

>someone will believe you.

> The fact that you haven't

>been caught yet does not change that.

Yet, the fact one hasn't been convicted of such DOES change -your- mistaken position. The fact that you disagree with the US laws and justice system that does not allow anyone to refer to another as a criminal unless they are found guilty and pronounced as such in a court of law, is irrelevant, as it again is your ignorance responsible for your mistaken belief.

>Once again you base your mistaken opinion

>on technicalities and semantics.

No,,that's you desperately treading in your own fecal matter trying to find a way out of the darkness you conjured with the claim that there are no federal police officers when you had to be taught that the FEDERAL DOT enforces traffic laws..
What you call technicalities is the basis and foundation for our judicial system. It's not perfect, but it works much better than your pronouncing one a guilty criminal based only on your ignorance.

>.Denying the criminal nature of your acts

>simply because you have not been officially

>convicted is disingenuous.

.....and only the basis for innocent until proven and found guilty.

>Someone who murders someone is still guilty

>of a criminal act regardless if he's been caught
>..yet.

Not if they haven't been convicted by a court of law. This is the ONLY manner in which one can be "guilty" and called a criminal in the US. To do so without the adjudication of guilt makes on guilty of slander or libel, depending on the medium used.

>So it's your position that no crime was

>committed until the verdict is in? That's sure

>comforting to the families and friends of the

>victims.

You're wandering.

>Being pronounced guilty is only a formality.

Says you, but you are wrong. It is THE ONLY basis for guilt.

>Officially yes.

Which is what we are discussing,,the law. Your opinion to disagree with it means shit.

>But if you did the crime, you are technically

>guilty whether the law recognizes it or not.

Only in the eyes of those who do not embrace the US justice system.

>The same holds true for the FCC rules.

Only a court of law can refer to one as a criminal, and yes, the fact that one has NOT been caught yet (as you tried and failed with) most certainly abdicates them from being referred a criminal,,,,,again, the fact that you disagree with our justice system is YOUR bad.

>I'm not the one twisting the law in some vain

>attempt at justifying illegal behavior.

Nope..you're the one using your ignorcane of the law as a basis for claiming things are illegal (roger beeps) and do not exist (FEDERAL DOT AKA and "federal police" AKA..(insert any number of federal agencies here).

>"You're only guilty if you're caught" doesn't

>wash with me.

Watching you wander, it's apparent you don't wash at all.

>Typical of all slackers and scofflaws.

Again, take it to your congressman.

>There are no federal traffic cops.

Umm,,,there is. That is exactly what DOT officers are. In addition to the usual laws they enforce regaridng commercial carriers and transit, they are not LIMITED by them. A Federal officer may enforce ANY law in this country. Keep talking, Dave, as you continue to be educated.

>Until you provide the proof, you are simply

>babbling a bunch of nonsense.

More ignorance from one who knows little to nothing of the laws and agencies in this country.

>There is no federal speed limit.

This is, countrary to your incorrect claim that there is no federal DOT.

>I never said there was no federal DOT. I said

>that there are no federal traffic cops. Once

>again you attempt to twist words.

No, that's not what you originally said,,,you originally said there is no federal agency that enforces treaffic laws. When you were taught otherwise, you spun words with your invocation of "federal police". Now you change it again to "federal traffic cop", yet, that is exactly what a DOT officer is...a federal traffic cop.
Man, you are a glutton for punishment. And there is mandatory speeds, truckers must abide by them every day. As I said,,,keep talking.

>As I said, put up the proof, or shut up.

I'm content watching you suffer.

>The federal 55 MPH speed limit was repealed.
>There has been no new limit to replace it.

>I have a cousin who's a lawyer

Hehehe,,,as I said,,,off you go now.
You find it important enough you feel you must mention you have a cousin who is a lawyer, but no identification, resutling in you not providing for your claim..

>.What difference would it make if I gave you

>his

>name?

The same difference of importance you apparently felt compelled to
invoke such.

>You would then claim that I simply made it up.

>made it up.

Somebody else would have beat me to it.

>Speaking of names, what's yours?

You had your chance.
You found it important enough to claim you have a friend who was busted
by the fcc, but will not provide for the claim.

>I gave the particulars of the situation. Because
>you could find nothing (assuming you actually

>looked) doesn't mean that it didn't happen.

You should have applied this principle to yourself when you claimed
because -you- were unable to find a law specifically permitting roger
beeps, you were "forced" to consider them illegal. Your ignorance knows
no bounds.

>Absence of evidence is not evidence of

>absence.

This is the exact tactic you played with me
regarding the Roger Beep issue. How come you don't like the shoe when
it's on the other foot?
You feel it important enough to claim you have cops who are friends who
gave you the wrong definition of Pa law, but of course you will not
provide for the claim.

>I've provided PA statute 3368, which

>substantiates my claim in the vast majority of

>.cases.

Yet, according to you, this cop gave you incorrect information regarding
the law of the state he and you reside.
 You find it important enough to claim you went to a tech school, but
will not provide for any claims.

>I went to far more than that. But until you tell

>everyone who you are, you are the epitome of

>hypocrisy to demand accountability from other
>people when you won't even identify yourself.

I ask no one for accountability. That is reserved for jackasses like you who see themselves as some sort of person who others need answer or explain their ways, too. All I ask of you is to provide for your claims, and again, as always, the first thing out of your fecal filled mouth is "but..YOU". LOL.

>providing for their claims when you can't even

>reveal your own name.

Stay focused, Dave. By now, everyone understands your need to become personal when you are forced to learn, but it's off topic and serves only to illustrate your incompetence and lack of communication skill.

>No it focuses attention on your true hypocrisy.

>You who demand that others provide for their

>claims,

Not others, David, only you. as only you have been illustrated by no less than ahndful of regular posters as being hypocritical.

>while you yourself hide like a sniveling child

>behind a cloak of anonymity. You haven't

>earned the right to demand accountability from
>anyone as long as you are too yellow to reveal
>.yourself.

>You who claims to embrace the concepts of

>anonymity. You want me to give you personal

>information,

No Dave,,,you -chose- to give us personal info regarding this subject, your claim was unsolicited,,you tossed it out there.

>yet you can't even come from

>behind that clock of gutless anonymity.

Gutless is the threat you made about coming to "give you what you want".

>That was no threat, it was a challenge.

>Something a real man would not back away

>from.

So why did you, then? I see we need revisit that thread, eh?

>You are simply too afraid to reveal yourself.

Whcih is why when faced with no other out, you chose to stick your yellow tail between your legs and run from your "challenge". You claimed I asked for your credit card (only to secure a commercial trip) as our last exchange, but you lie. Our last exchange was when I offered to meet you at a specific location, and you claimed I wouldn't show. When I claimed I would take a picture of the day's paper (illustrating the date) under the clock tower where we were to meet, and post the pic online that day to probe I was there,,,you ran. You ran like the yellow cowardly cur you are and droped the thread. Need see it again?

>Which then begs the question of what you are

>.hiding.

You're the one who continues to provide the FCC with a bogus address, even after being informed such behavior was illegal.

_
I also know boats, and that you were seen
coming a mile away when you bought yours.

>Oh, this should be good.

Your education is always regarded as
good,,,except, by yourself, and this is only
because it pains you to be proven wrong.

>Which you have yet to do.

>You word alone does not constitute "proof".

Based on experience, and my familiarity with law confirms it (when compared to you), it most certainly does constitute proof,,at least, according to you,,but then again, everyone knows you dont play by the rules you spat.

>You psychologically challenged few have t

>ried, but keep missing the mark. And that is

>what frustrates you.

Frustrated? My goodness jr..I'm not the one crying and moaning like a bitch in heat about cbers personal identities,,,,that's reserved for losers like yourself.

>Another subject

>where I'll clean your clock and not even break

>a sweat. What could you possibly know about

>my boat or any boat in general?

..asked the landlocked wannabe who gets maybe two, three months use per year of his boat. Yes, David, again, your hands-on experience over the years with your boats in Pennsylvania adds up to,,what...how many months? LOL. Even if you multiplied 4 months per year of your experience

(and that's generous) for the last twenty five years, that gives you a total of what,,,,,,100 months experience? That's less than 10 years experience and it's not even consecutive.

>That was not the claim. You made a specific

>claim about *MY* boat.

And you answered including "any boat in general.

>"Besides, you learned to walk what, at 13

>months or so?

Just because Kimberly's inability to stop smoking was responsible for your child's abnormal development, please don't take anymore of your personal frustrations out on the group.

> Does every year that you walk beyond those

>first few make you any more proficient at

>walking?

You're still green and a lightweight, but your self-proclaimed experience regarding such, is my brass ring.

>What "self proclaimed" experience are you

>talking about?

All of them. Heck, everyone in this group has proved you wrong on a panoply of subjects.
In fact, all areas which
you have professed unsolicited proficiency to the group, have been decimated by others who do understand the subjects you fancy yourself knowledgeable.

>Not hardly. The fact that you try and fail

>miserably just makes me smile. Even with the

>emotionally troubled Frank in your corner, you

>still miss the mark.

I'm not the one getting personal, or at least I wasn't until you did. Hell , you denying Kimberly T. as your wife alone makes you a scumbag..then again, it holds perfectly with your fears,,,such as continuing to provide the FCC with a bogus address.

>David Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

1928. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 23:20:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421192046.620$Aw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198952

truth@trueya.org wrote:
> > truth@truth.com wrote:
> > -snip pathetic lies-
> >
> > > And by the way --- I am not Leland.
> > >
> > Shut the fuck up till May, nad. Pay the piper then.
>
> Who's Nad? I am not him, nor am I Leland. Just an interested
> observer.
>
> This is what's going to happen Steveo. If you approach KC8LDO
> or N8WWM at Dayton, you WILL be photographed by a 3rd party
> who will tail you to your campsite and ID your vehicle plates. Then
> your anonymity is over, forever.
>
I'm not anonymous. You know my name and the town in Ohio that I live
in, fuckstick.
>
> So I suggest the 3 of you meet there, shake hands, and forgive the
> past . No apologies, no demands, just a fresh start.
>
I tried that with you people, dogie couldn't stand the d&#65533;tente we had
via email for longer than a couple months, so he went back to his old ways
of posting how I blow my dog, or some sick shit about my wife.

Any wankstain that says that shit to my face catches a beatin'.

You seem to be attached at the hip to him nad, no accounting for
taste I spose'. So don't act all shocked when you get caught up
in the cross-fire.....motherfucker.

1929. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 23:26:03 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15257-4268368B-87@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198954

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Apr 14, 2005, 8:55am (EDT-1) From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites..... Date: Thu, Apr 14, 2005, 9:55am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace: sv3-4TLNFSFviReTwxAZTLO9SZ+NNQWhDaLLloia0z01/gSdHdmzT16+YmCkFOREsZETVNqk9S0YmPNZzEL!kWl9GOdxoMcGClNyHeKyPQveN4ZEULlJCuJrg16j4K6Wea6rzOcTj9fbve5QO+Pz4AtkmA6eHodl!2LfWXRRKUGc= X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31

On Wed, 13 Apr 2005 17:13:37 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (IAmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

29 -0400,

Once one is found not guilty in the US by a court of law and/or a jury of their peers, you can not claim he is guilty, regardless of what he may have done as relating to his case. Do so and you'll be broke after being sued for defamation of character along with anything else concerning libel or slander laws.

>I educated you once before on the conditions

>of libel cases.

Oh, but you are delusional. Google "libel" and "slander" in this group.

>I'll be glad to provide the links again.

Just provide the tinyurl :) Off you go.....again!

1930. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 23:41:28 UTC
From: "Joe S." <nobody@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <d49dn6020mp@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 198957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"John" <janorfolk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1113870622.673082.39310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> Sorry, folks, k1man.com is back up.
>

Just surfed around his site. This guy is a dingbat. Worse, he takes
himself seriously.

1931. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 23:46:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rXW9e.10066$An2.4273@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 198955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...

> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..

2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"

1932. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 23:53:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421195334.773$W9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>
> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>
Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)

1933. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 23:54:32 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15257-42683D38-88@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198958

David HalI Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>I educated you once before on the conditions

>of libel cases. I'll be glad to provide the links

>again.

That's ok, Dave. I found the links, and it was you being educated as
always, after embarrassing yourself with bullshit.
Folly you fancy yourself as twistedhead.
-
Twistedhed Jan 2 2003, 3:15 pm
show options
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbFrom: twisted...@webtv.net (Twistedhed) - Find
messages by this author
Date: Thu, 2 Jan 2003 18:01:43 -0500 (EST)Local: Thurs,Jan 2 2003
3:01 pm
Subject: Re: Free CB Crystal Mixing ChartsReply to Author | Forward |
Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse
From: nojunk_n3...@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: nojunk_n3...@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Twistedhed wrote:
From: rcra...@hotmail
comm (Git Some)
http://support.cox.net
/custsup/policies
/acceptableuse.shtml#aup_14
--
Again, when one requests certain information be posted and one responds
in kind, it is not spam

>And I'm sure you'd be kind enough to provide

>the posts where someone

>on this board has made this so-called

>"request"?

As would you with the cber that you say was busted.

> So far, no one has come forward and

>confirmed it.

Hypocrite.....pot...kettle...black..

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

I emailed him.

>You E-mailed him,

I know you have a communication deficit but I
didn't stutter.

>and requested that he SPAM the GROUP

>with advertisements?

Your words. Check 'em out and file 'em appropriately. It's not spam when
it's requested.

> Why couldn't he just E-mail you back?

My email is shut off except for those on my private email list.
Why can't you give us a name of the cber that you claim was busted for
disorderly?
I know, that's a strange concept, but.... it's no stranger than your
pathological lying for no reason other than to
lie. I offered to email you as well concerning your
dilemma

>I have no dilemma, except what you fabricate

>in your own sociopathic

>mind

LOL....uh......I didn't fabricate anything..YOU did..the cber getting
busted..the Phelps.....probably everything else you ever said here.
I asked you about YOUR posts... Everything I asked about was in response
to a post of yours.
Let us start again so you are able to comprehend it isn't in my mind but
very much something in your mind you wish to forget, only I won't permit
it.
Can you explain how your email came by way via the University when you
claimed that you only "borrowed" the account for a short time long ago?
Can you explain why it defaults to "Mark Salzyn" who appears to have
been the other half of so many of your conversations?
How about the Phlelps comment? I asked if you still had the Phelps and
you asked, and I quote "What Phelps? I wish I HAD a Phelps"..when it was
shown you indeed lied in a post long ago by claiming you had one. A few
days later you popped the lid on the cesspooole and say: "Oh, THAT
Phelps..well, see...um...it.....itwashitbylightningyeathatsitand why
icantremeberit"...
LMAO.....tell me Davey boy, does that shit work on the people you
surround yourself with?

–

But you refused and proceeded to air your laundry in front of the group

>You attempted to "air" what you thought you

>could make an issue of.

Not true, davey..I was the one that asked you many times to take your cries to email to AVOID making an issue in the group, to "avoid" airing your shortcomings and personal problems..everyone knows that Davey, I asked you many many times to go to email with your personal problems you bring to the group and you trying to lie about it now and say otherwise

>serves only to solidify your pathological status.
The fact that you fail at every attempt hasn't stopped you from continuing.
Your posts. I merely questioned them...I can't help it if you went to pieces and came gunning for me with flames and personal offtopic attacks....that's in your upbringing..you weren't taught any better or you simply don't know any better..either way,,you suffer a deficit.

>I can't wait to see the next pitiful attempt.

Again, "your" posts, Davey boy. To agree with me at this time that they were failures is redundant. The "pitiful attempts" have been limited to you attempting but failing to explain away your lies.
Besides Dave, asking questions of anothers business is paramount to sheer hypocrisy when you refuse/are unable to answer questions posed concerning comments you uttered and are unable to 'confirm" any of them...this is why they are called "lies
".
>#1 I don't owe you an explanation for anything.
Nope, you certainly don't.
Merely carry on your little hit parade for yourself and I'll continue to point out the bullshit as I see fit. You are most correct, Mr. Hall, that in all instances, attacking me on a
personal level and fancying
yourself educated in the matter of all you can not comprehend, is certainly more constructive and conducive than offering analysis or basis for all which you claim.

>What I *choose* to provide is for me to decide.
But of course, although I'm having none of it and for some reason that just galls you to the point where you are single-handedly reduced to reckless off-topic insults and self-professed slightly comical images....that of an educated man, no less. You have proven yourself a pathological liar. You have nothing to prove to me or provide, Davey boy..but when you pathetically, habitually and pathologically continue to throw bullshit lies on the group to futher your own bullshit agenda, such as your claim of the cber near you getting busted for disorderly conduct, be prepared to answer questions on it. A claim like that is incredibly easy to disprove by anyone with
internet access who knows

what they are doing or to the super
sleuth.....even an idiot can pick
up the phone and make the call to the precinct to disprove your lying,
lid, trolling hammie azz which is why your lying self got real quiet on
this issue..you were busted...instead of acting like a man and moving
on, you figure you're gonna get personal with me for calling attention
to it..you're a child, Hall..and as always, you never let us down with
your shining example of the worst of amateur radio...the perfect lid.

>If a serious, legitimate person requests

>information, I will be more

>than happy to oblige. But I see no point in

>providing information for

>no other reason than to satisfy another of

>your psychoses

A (ahem) "psychosis" has nothing to do with your pathological lying,
Dave, except that it is but one of many problems that ail you. Again,
you have to provide for nothing,,as there is nothing to provide for.
Your claims are just like you..bunk. At least folks are considering the
source when you post now.

>#2 the fact that something I said isn't

>verifyable by your own feeble

>means does not mean that it's a lie

My resources are anything but.
But you go on ahead and believe that I'm the only one that disproved
your lie concerning that claim..as I said..a few clicks. a mere phone
call, and you, my angry little lid, are as you have always
been.....full of shit.

>Rather it speaks of your own inabilities, or

>your clouded, obsessed

>judgement.

What part of my judgement was "clouded"
concerning asking you to provide a city, name, or year concerning *your*
claim that a local cber was convicted of disorderly conduct? The part
where I half-heartedly thought for once in your pathetic, pathological
lying life you may return an honest answer?
Hmmm...so I see..... I
know exactly just what you mean.

--
If you are feeling particularly adept at communicating today, I am not
the only one to have expressed an interest in your claim of how you

tamed the Davemade and TS into legality mode for your use on the
freeband

>Only you and your sycophantic counterpart,

>Nebo has made any comments.

>I don't consider either of you to be worthy of

>an answer

You don't have to answer, Davey boy,,your angry malicious hate filled
post and attacks speak quite clearly, as do your claims you refuse to
answer for even after you claimed you would..but that was before I drove
a stake through your black heart.. We wouldn't expect anything less..it
is how your type operate.

>And I have never used either amp on the

>freeband. I use them legally

>on 10 and 15 meters, where I am licensed to

>do so
.
Ok, when did you mod the Dvaemade and ditto for the TS? Was it your
equipment?
Surely out of all your claims you can choose and select better which to
respond than those of which you have managed to already mangle yourself,
eh? But thats ok,, a liar is a liar regardless of how many lies you
tells.
--
The "majority" of the posts seem to
disagree with your statement referring to them as legal amps for
hammies

>That "majority" of posts that you refer to, have

>been by yourself, so

>that's hardly an objective poll

That's not true at all....but I wouldn't expect any correct information
to escape from your bruised and damaged psyche. The posts I refer to
were the conensus that the amps are illegal for hammies or cbers.

>George may be a bit confused on certain

>aspects of the ruling, but

>he's essentially correct, and no amount of

>slander, on your part, can

>change that

I offered to educate you further but you merely wind up shooting yourself in the foot and dining on the crow I precariously prepare for you.
Slander is spoken, libel is written, my precocious angry, little lid. You may continue to set forth the notion you have a bit of education, but your uncouthness quickly dispells with any such notion. Continue to make blunder upon blunder or you can learn from your mistakes and move on from them.

–

HAHHAHHAHAHA..LMAO..yea, Davey,,you really educated people on your bullshit...when you made this post, you had no clue what libel is, as you incorrectly accused me of slandering you in this group. As always, you know shit, dave..everything else, you lie about, boy.

1934. Re: While we're on the subject of funny and entertaining websites.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-21 23:57:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15256-42683DF6-256@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198959

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)

>Do what you want. I'm not turning people in.

Wouldn't mean shit if you did. You're nobody and your word is shit.

>I'm only pointing out what it is that they are

>guilty of.

No one is guilty of anything simply because you pronounce it. Get over
the deity complex you hold of others but thirst for yourself.

>DaviD Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

1935. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 00:09:46 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15256-426840CA-258@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 198960

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Apr 14, 2005, 11:47pm (EDT-1)
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!
Date: Fri, Apr 15, 2005, 12:47am Organization: You Just
Had To Look X-Priority: 3 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Trace:
sv3-3xEdmRqbxiuTfXag2af5EZXbbIfDMbdvrWO+lja7P5HbkdNbQU3O+JxNqcb1G/XmAQj6fYt8KPefjvn!N+hE2Z
U/1Y4HTf+hD7aIdHGycd25Sythu6Jp59reD8vks6Gm2/V5ebPg62whOQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward
a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.32
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19318-425BDAF4-466@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net... From:
k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net (Leland C. Scott) "I AmnotGeorgeBush"
<iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17234-424DC492-479@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net... .

>All you want to do is start an argument

>because you're bored to death. I'm not biting.

Which is why you not only went out of your way to repond to an old post
of mine, but became instantly enraged and manifested your incompetence
with off-topic personal remarks when your ursine-like behavior was
illustrated.
  Mopar is right,,you're as deluded almost as much as the Hypocrite
Hall,,no wonder the group does fine when the two of you aren't posting
and sniffing each other's socks.

>--

>Leland C. Scott

>KC8LDO

>The alternative to Elmering

1936. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 00:21:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421202125.851$FA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198961

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> Mopar is right,,you're as deluded almost as much as the Hypocrite
> Hall,,
>
Nope. Nad is way yonder more fucked up in the head than Dave is.

1937. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 00:26:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <q4hg61dg166si8ah2q2o9181pdmun55sjq@4ax.com>
Source record: 198962

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 22:10:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>
>Nah, I don't feel like learning anything today, why don't you do it
>for me?

Here is something I learned. My 2M/440 handheld sets off my paper shredder when
it is within 3 feet. I call it remote control paper shredding.

Vinnie S.

1938. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 00:29:30 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114129770.442983.65940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198963

Where you gonna be at Dayton, wrist flipper?

1939. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 00:34:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421203415.873$Um@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198964

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 22:10:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Nah, I don't feel like learning anything today, why don't you do it
> >for me?
>
> Here is something I learned. My 2M/440 handheld sets off my paper
> shredder when it is within 3 feet. I call it remote control paper
> shredding.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.

1940. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 00:40:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <sqhg61lccd8dd4ish70lpkh58hscpsest8@4ax.com>
Source record: 198965

On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.

You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn tractor, and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the second pass. I killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it. But there is nothing you can do. They are all over, and they nest just belowe the grass roots, so you can't see them unless you step on them.

Vinnie S.

1941. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 00:48:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421204803.529$K4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198966

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
>
> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
> tractor, and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the
> second pass. I killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it.
> But there is nothing you can do. They are all over, and they nest just
> belowe the grass roots, so you can't see them unless you step on them.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Bah. I run them over all the time in my z-cart when we feed acres of
turf at a time commercially. The furry freaks pick the damnedest places
to build a nest..right in the middle of manicured turfgrass. I'm no
bunny expert but my guess is that lawn works for quick food for the
young'ins. I used to feel bad about it 20 some years ago.

1942. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 00:55:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <tpig61psut32soc4h3qecgbma2oup3m8hk@4ax.com>
Source record: 198967

On 22 Apr 2005 00:48:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
>>
>> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
>> tractor, and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the
>> second pass. I killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it.
>> But there is nothing you can do. They are all over, and they nest just
>> belowe the grass roots, so you can't see them unless you step on them.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Bah. I run them over all the time in my z-cart when we feed acres of
>turf at a time commercially. The furry freaks pick the damnedest places
>to build a nest..right in the middle of manicured turfgrass. I'm no
>bunny expert but my guess is that lawn works for quick food for the
>young'ins. I used to feel bad about it 20 some years ago.

And they have perfect camo. Wasn't pretty though. If they just stay in their
nest when I run them over, nothing will happen. The blades won't reach them.

Vinnie S.

1943. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:02:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421210215.446$Lk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198968

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 22 Apr 2005 00:48:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
> >>
> >> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
> >> tractor, and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the
> >> second pass. I killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about
> >> it. But there is nothing you can do. They are all over, and they nest
> >> just belowe the grass roots, so you can't see them unless you step on
> >> them.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Bah. I run them over all the time in my z-cart when we feed acres of
> >turf at a time commercially. The furry freaks pick the damnedest places
> >to build a nest..right in the middle of manicured turfgrass. I'm no
> >bunny expert but my guess is that lawn works for quick food for the
> >young'ins. I used to feel bad about it 20 some years ago.
>
> And they have perfect camo. Wasn't pretty though. If they just stay in
> their nest when I run them over, nothing will happen. The blades won't
> reach them.
>
Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's the
garlic bread!?

1944. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:06:02 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9fjg61p4a3c2dlu6us903e1munltinlr3m@4ax.com>
Source record: 198970

On 22 Apr 2005 01:02:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

ears ago.
>>
>> And they have perfect camo. Wasn't pretty though. If they just stay in
>> their nest when I run them over, nothing will happen. The blades won't
>> reach them.
>>
>Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's the
>garlic bread!?

Mmm. Garlic bread. Mmm.

Vinnie S.

1945. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:07:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421210713.184$gp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198969

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 22 Apr 2005 01:02:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> ears ago.
> >>
> >> And they have perfect camo. Wasn't pretty though. If they just stay in
> >> their nest when I run them over, nothing will happen. The blades won't
> >> reach them.
> >>
> >Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's the
> >garlic bread!?
>
> Mmm. Garlic bread. Mmm.
>
That ain't sauce, it's gravy.

1946. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:08:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421210820.697$aW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198971

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > On 22 Apr 2005 01:02:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > ears ago.
> > >>
> > >> And they have perfect camo. Wasn't pretty though. If they just stay
> > >> in their nest when I run them over, nothing will happen. The blades
> > >> won't reach them.
> > >>
> > >Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's
> > >the garlic bread!?
> >
> > Mmm. Garlic bread. Mmm.
> >
> That ain't sauce, it's gravy.
>
Hey, you by your phone, and is it too late to call you?

1947. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:11:22 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XaY9e.10777$V02.7776@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198972

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>>On 22 Apr 2005 00:48:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
>>>>
>>>>You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
>>>>tractor, and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the
>>>>second pass. I killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about
>>>>it. But there is nothing you can do. They are all over, and they nest
>>>>just belowe the grass roots, so you can't see them unless you step on
>>>>them.
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Bah. I run them over all the time in my z-cart when we feed acres of
>>>turf at a time commercially. The furry freaks pick the damnedest places
>>>to build a nest..right in the middle of manicured turfgrass. I'm no
>>>bunny expert but my guess is that lawn works for quick food for the
>>>young'ins. I used to feel bad about it 20 some years ago.
>>
>>And they have perfect camo. Wasn't pretty though. If they just stay in
>>their nest when I run them over, nothing will happen. The blades won't
>>reach them.
>>
>
> Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's the
> garlic bread!?
sick bastard :)

1948. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:13:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421211353.794$Bb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198973

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> > Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's
>> > the
> > garlic bread!?
>
> sick bastard :)
>
I thought I smelled you.

1949. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:15:39 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <e1kg611qpj6q67351drb8ijepmkhr50feg@4ax.com>
Source record: 198975

On 22 Apr 2005 01:08:20 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> > On 22 Apr 2005 01:02:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > ears ago.
>> > >>
>> > >> And they have perfect camo. Wasn't pretty though. If they just stay
>> > >> in their nest when I run them over, nothing will happen. The blades
>> > >> won't reach them.
>> > >>
>> > >Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's
>> > >the garlic bread!?
>> >
>> > Mmm. Garlic bread. Mmm.
>> >
>> That ain't sauce, it's gravy.
>>
>Hey, you by your phone, and is it too late to call you?

Call. I am watching the Yankees game.

Vinnie S.

1950. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:16:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <82kg61hp57445bubp39obobbuft328s71s@4ax.com>
Source record: 198974

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 21:11:22 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>sick bastard :)

I hate that trade.

Vinnie S.

1951. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:18:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421211812.617$vY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198976

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 22 Apr 2005 01:08:20 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> > On 22 Apr 2005 01:02:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > ears ago.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> And they have perfect camo. Wasn't pretty though. If they just
> >> > >> stay in their nest when I run them over, nothing will happen. The
> >> > >> blades won't reach them.
> >> > >>
> >> > >Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's
> >> > >the garlic bread!?
> >> >
> >> > Mmm. Garlic bread. Mmm.
> >> >
> >> That ain't sauce, it's gravy.
> >>
> >Hey, you by your phone, and is it too late to call you?
>
> Call. I am watching the Yankees game.
>
Stand by while I turn down the David Allan Coe and look for your
number again. :)

1952. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:22:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421212201.903$Ee@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198977

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 21:11:22 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >sick bastard :)
>
> I hate that trade.
>
I'm a trader. Hey I have your number here but it would save us both
time if you re-sent it now. I have to go get my dog away from the
cats. :)

1953. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:24:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5hkg61t07mjcsp74vim24ruke3g6qkgf9e@4ax.com>
Source record: 198978

On 22 Apr 2005 01:22:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 21:11:22 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>> >sick bastard :)
>>
>> I hate that trade.
>>
>I'm a trader. Hey I have your number here but it would save us both
>time if you re-sent it now. I have to go get my dog away from the
>cats. :)

I sent it before you posted the request.

Vinnie S.

1954. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:24:35 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <jnY9e.10781$V02.7732@fe08.lga>
Source record: 198979

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>>>Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's
>>>>the
>>>
>>>garlic bread!?
>>
>>sick bastard :)
>>
>
> I thought I smelled you.
just lurking tonight. mets are filleting the fish 10-1...

1955. Re: Need some radio advice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 01:45:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tGY9e.3958$__2.9342016@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 198989

YEAH!!! WHAT HE SAID!!!

John <grin>

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050421172355.574$g5@newsreader.com...
> truya@truth.com wrote:
>> >
>> > "Mail Hauler" <no@thanks.spam> wrote in message
>> > news:1164q3s1ol1ohdf@corp.supernews.com...
>> > > I'm working in a slip-seat operation. My big ole Galaxy radio is
>> > > just to big to lug around and the anteanna is a pain in the butt to
>> > > set up each
>> > run.
>> > > I'm looking to buy a very compact cb that isn't finicky about the pre
>> > > installed cb anteannas and wiring found in most Freightliners. I
>> > > need the radio to be compact so that I can just throw it in my bag
>> > > and go. I've
>> > been
>> > > looking at a Uniden ProXL 520 and at the Cobra 25 series. Any
>> > > suggestions on which of these radios is the better of the two? Which
>> > > one can be
>> > modded
>> > > for a little better performance, etc? Any other suggestions on a
>> > > good workhorse cb in a compact package?
>> > >
>> > > TIA
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > Thanks for all the advice... I guess this newsgroup is just a bunch of
>> > assholes accusing each other of being faggots!
>>
>> 100% of the problem is moparholic@hotmail.com and his sock puppets.
>>
> You have that backwards, KC8LDO. It's you and N8WWM that call everyone
> that uses a CB gay.

1956. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 02:00:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421220047.431$pb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198981

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 22 Apr 2005 01:22:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 21:11:22 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >sick bastard :)
> >>
> >> I hate that trade.
> >>
> >I'm a trader. Hey I have your number here but it would save us both
> >time if you re-sent it now. I have to go get my dog away from the
> >cats. :)
>
> I sent it before you posted the request.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
10-4 click click

1957. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 02:01:38 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rnmg61lr7frso5rvucueq42mubhm3jbm8n@4ax.com>
Source record: 198982

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 21:24:35 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>Steveo wrote:
>
>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>>>>Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's
>>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>garlic bread!?
>>>
>>>sick bastard :)
>>>
>>
>> I thought I smelled you.
>just lurking tonight. mets are filleting the fish 10-1...

I have Pedro !

Vinnie S.

1958. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 02:02:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421220214.997$1d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198980

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>>>Looked like mama mia's home made sauce coming out the deck? Where's
> >>>>the
> >>>
> >>>garlic bread!?
> >>
> >>sick bastard :)
> >>
> >
> > I thought I smelled you.
>
Cavs got eliminated last night. Lebron watches the playoffs from
his lazy-boy.
>
> just lurking tonight. mets are filleting the fish 10-1...

1959. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:05:26 UTC
From: LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <cdqg61pskrnkb8os2q85ice983mp0hgsfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 198983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>>
>> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>>
>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>>
>Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)

Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...

I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...

1960. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:19:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
> >> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
> >>
> >> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
> >>
> >> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
> >>
> >>Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>
> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>
> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>
Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1961. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:20:49 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <l4_9e.3915$J12.3846@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198985

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:sqhg61lccd8dd4ish70lpkh58hscpsest8@4ax.com...
> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
>
>
> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
> tractor,
> and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the second pass.
> I
> killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it. But there is
> nothing
> you can do. They are all over, and they nest just belowe the grass roots,
> so you
> can't see them unless you step on them.
>
> Vinnie S.

You need a good German Short Hair pointer,
they'll find them rascally rabbits.

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

1962. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:23:03 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <r6_9e.3916$J12.1494@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 198987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> >> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>> >>
>> >> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>> >>
>> >> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>> >>
>> >Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>>
>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>>
>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>>
> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
>
> --
> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
"fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
and went on board a Destroyer.....

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

1963. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:23:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421232326.891$7R@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198986

truthseeker@truth.com wrote:
> > truth@trueya.org wrote:
> > > > truth@truth.com wrote:
> > > > -snip pathetic lies-
> > > >
> > > > > And by the way --- I am not Leland.
> > > > >
> > > > Shut the fuck up till May, nad. Pay the piper then.
> > >
> > > Who's Nad? I am not him, nor am I Leland. Just an interested
> > > observer.
> > >
> > > This is what's going to happen Steveo. If you approach KC8LDO
> > > or N8WWM at Dayton, you WILL be photographed by a 3rd party
> > > who will tail you to your campsite and ID your vehicle plates. Then
> > > your anonymity is over, forever.
> > >
> > I'm not anonymous. You know my name and the town in Ohio that I live
> > in, fuckstick.
>
> Steve Parks is a fictional name, there is no such person in
> Medina Ohio.
>
If you can't handle reality there's no talking to you via the internet,so
it looks like we'll have to hash it out in person. I hope you have more
stones than your cowardly felon friend or stay away from him.

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1964. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:27:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421232720.343$Qg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
> > LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
> >> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> >> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> > 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
> >> >>
> >> >> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
> >> >>
> >> >Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
> >>
> >> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
> >>
> >> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
> >>
> > Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
> >
> > --
> > Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
> > leave.
>
> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
> and went on board a Destroyer.....
>
> Landshark
>
Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum
golf hunting is pretty good too.

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1965. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:31:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hurg61lkb5o692d2u62547qkdq63tp1ems@4ax.com>
Source record: 198990

On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 03:20:49 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:sqhg61lccd8dd4ish70lpkh58hscpsest8@4ax.com...
>> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
>>
>>
>> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
>> tractor,
>> and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the second pass.
>> I
>> killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it. But there is
>> nothing
>> you can do. They are all over, and they nest just belowe the grass roots,
>> so you
>> can't see them unless you step on them.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> You need a good German Short Hair pointer,
>they'll find them rascally rabbits.

My yellow lab does that well.

Vinnie S.

1966. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:43:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421234357.217$PS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198991

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 03:20:49 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:sqhg61lccd8dd4ish70lpkh58hscpsest8@4ax.com...
> >> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
> >>
> >>
> >> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
> >> tractor,
> >> and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the second
> >> pass. I
> >> killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it. But there
> >> is nothing
> >> you can do. They are all over, and they nest just belowe the grass
> >> roots, so you
> >> can't see them unless you step on them.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> > You need a good German Short Hair pointer,
> >they'll find them rascally rabbits.
>
> My yellow lab does that well.
>
My yellow lab, shepard, greyhound mut outruns them and has em for breakfast. I
swear I've never had a dog that can run and attack stuff as fast as this
one. I only got him about 8 months ago after my wifes brother died from
some really aggressive cancer. I already had a hound dog so I wasn't in
the market for another mooch but what the hey. He's fun to throw the
frisbee to, or a tree limb.

One of the first times my wife let him out of the house he bolted right
for a running bunny, ate his head and brung the carcass back. lol

I finally have a weekday off tomorrow, so I can wait for the plumber.

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1967. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:52:16 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114141936.938672.169920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198992

That's cause we're too busy having fun using our export radios on 25 to
30 Mhz to be on this stupid usenet. BTW I'm goin' to Dayton to pick up
some more export radios I hear there gonna be lots there to buy some
I'll be there with cash on hand to buy all kinds of cool export radios.
See ya there FCC
CB OUTLAW #1

1968. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 03:54:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050421235433.602$Oa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198993

"CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
> That's cause we're too busy having fun using our export radios on 25 to
> 30 Mhz to be on this stupid usenet. BTW I'm goin' to Dayton to pick up
> some more export radios I hear there gonna be lots there to buy some
> I'll be there with cash on hand to buy all kinds of cool export radios.
> See ya there FCC
> CB OUTLAW #1
>
I'll be the guy with the camera. lol

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1969. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 04:00:09 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114142409.864780.261360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198994

Camera? what camera? My face can break a lens in no time flat. Hi hi.
But I would like some pics of the export radio gear that I'm buying
there (for my export radio photo album) TNX

1970. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 04:04:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422000414.083$d5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198995

"CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Camera? what camera? My face can break a lens in no time flat. Hi hi.
> But I would like some pics of the export radio gear that I'm buying
> there (for my export radio photo album) TNX
>
Only for warranty purposes of course.

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1971. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 04:07:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <oltg61t0htc6n83thjlkqhn4iu3pu766j5@4ax.com>
Source record: 198996

On 22 Apr 2005 03:43:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> My yellow lab does that well.
>>
>My yellow lab, shepard, greyhound mut outruns them and has em for breakfast. I
>swear I've never had a dog that can run and attack stuff as fast as this
>one. I only got him about 8 months ago after my wifes brother died from
>some really aggressive cancer.

Oh my God. We have more in common than we thought. My wife's brother died the same way. He was diagnosed on 9-11. Go figure. He died 13 months later, exactly 2 weeks from us returning from our honeymoon. He was 38. Worst yet, I had to watch his entire family, parents, kids (9,12), watch him take his last breaths. My wife was very close to him. She had 4 brothers. I think the biggest memory I have from that night, other than his kids watching him die, was the look on his father's face, who never left his side. This is something I would never wish on my worst enemies. You are not supposed to bury your kids.

>I already had a hound dog so I wasn't in
>the market for another mooch but what the hey. He's fun to throw the
>frisbee to, or a tree limb.
>
>One of the first times my wife let him out of the house he bolted right
>for a running bunny, ate his head and brung the carcass back. lol

My dog chases them, gets to about 5 feet, and then they explode like lightning. He is fast, but they are just too small and too quick. It might have gotten close if I didn't have a fence.

>I finally have a weekday off tomorrow, so I can wait for the plumber.

Vinnie S.

1972. Re: Final few outlaw CBers hanging on...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 04:15:56 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114143356.237620.200470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198997

Oh, of couse (yeah, uhuh, fine, sure, whatever)lol

1973. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 04:25:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422002503.845$Sy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 198998

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 22 Apr 2005 03:43:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> My yellow lab does that well.
> >>
> >My yellow lab, shepard, greyhound mut outruns them and has em for
> >breakfast. I swear I've never had a dog that can run and attack stuff as
> >fast as this one. I only got him about 8 months ago after my wifes
> >brother died from some really aggressive cancer.
>
> Oh my God. We have more in common than we thought. My wife's brother died
> the same way. He was diagnosed on 9-11. Go figure. He died 13 months
> later, exactly 2 weeks from us returning from our honeymoon. He was 38.
> Worst yet, I had to watch his entire family, parents, kids (9,12), watch
> him take his last breaths. My wife was very close to him. She had 4
> brothers. I think the biggest memory I have from that night, other than
> his kids watching him die, was the look on his father's face, who never
> left his side. This is something I would never wish on my worst enemies.
> You are not supposed to bury your kids.
>
>
Man that's a tough row to hoe. This guy was a surgeon of sorts, he's
the guy that has his hands in you and is handing the specialist the
tools of the trade. He was some sort of an SA with the military and
then he went back to school so that he could bill his services independent
from the hospital.

It really struck me as ironic that a guy that had fixed so many people
with surgery could die so fast, and there ain't dick the highest paid
friends of his can do about it.

You're right about the kids too. I dunno what to say to them.

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1974. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 04:41:58 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114144918.623338.255320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 198999

I'd like to know if they can be easily modified to work the on CB channels and above and below. If they can be modifible, I'll definitly get one (or 2 or three or four or more)

1975. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 05:09:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422010948.690$zY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199000

truthseeker@truth.com wrote:
> > truthseeker@truth.com wrote:
> > > > truth@trueya.org wrote:
> > > > > > truth@truth.com wrote:
> > > > > > -snip pathetic lies-
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > And by the way --- I am not Leland.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Shut the fuck up till May, nad. Pay the piper then.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Who's Nad? I am not him, nor am I Leland. Just an interested
> > > > > observer.
> > > > >
> > > > > This is what's going to happen Steveo. If you approach KC8LDO
> > > > > or N8WWM at Dayton, you WILL be photographed by a 3rd party
> > > > > who will tail you to your campsite and ID your vehicle plates.
> > > > > Then your anonymity is over, forever.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm not anonymous. You know my name and the town in Ohio that I
> > > > live in, fuckstick.
> > > >
> > > Steve Parks is a fictional name, there is no such person in
> > > Medina Ohio.
> > >
> > If you can't handle reality there's no talking to you via the internet,
> > so it looks like we'll have to hash it out in person.
>
> First of all, I am not Leland. But if you are convinced I am him, then
> pick up the phone and call me.
>
What's your number, nad?

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1976. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 05:12:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422011241.112$6v@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199001

truthseeker@truth.com wrote:
> I'm beginning to think Doug's psychological analysis of you is correct.
>
This flooded one?

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=peepeeholic

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1977. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 05:22:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pS%9e.7176$BG7.10987614@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199002

I suggest you make friends with a ham, and see what you are getting into...
I have been both, freebander/ham. I don't claim to know-it-all.
But, I do know what I seen. Hams are a super-critical bunch. Many (I would say most) feel themselves rather special. Indeed, they post their ham licence as if it were a PHd. It is a damn licence to have a hobby radio...
Any ham with even a modicum of a sense of humor will find his way to the CB bands, at least now and then.
Since they tend to feel themselves special, they end up "control freaks", attempting to control who gets a license and who does not--they are a picky bunch--and they may find you lacking to their standards.
Have you ever noticed, you can count the hams on your fingers and toes?
Their conversations remind me much of conversations I overheard as a youth--at my grandmothers' bridge games...
Like the guy learned who was given three wishes--becareful what you wish for...

Regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050420071311.827$X1@newsreader.com...
> truth@tellthetruth.com wrote:
>> >
>> > "KU2S" <ku2s@arrl.net> wrote in message
>> > news:onv861112a0hca81snvckh49befnbntoie@4ax.com...
>> > > Strictly speaking, only the General class license requires that the
>> > > code test be taken.
>> > >
>> > Can I blow a VE instead? I hate those beeps.
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Angry freebander moparholic@hotmail.com
>>
> I'm just as happy as can be.
>>
>> forging as Leland Scott.
>>
> Why do you talk about yourself in the third person, Leland? I see you're
> still posting late at night after you get good and drunk, Leland.
>
> Go back to your other nym <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> maybe that
> version of you can handle it's booze better, drunken KC8LDO.

1978. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 05:41:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422014148.477$WG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199003

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> I suggest you make friends with a ham,
>
DOH, you mean besides my bench-tech, John Smith?

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1979. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 06:02:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sr0ae.7779$4z.11456645@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199004

You know, the hams just love to find a fool here, they all laugh about it
and act like all CB'ers/freebanders act the same--I remind "some of
them"--"Only some!"

Regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050422014148.477$WG@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> I suggest you make friends with a ham,
>>
> DOH, you mean besides my bench-tech, John Smith?
>
> --
> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1980. Uniden Washington audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 09:52:54 UTC
From: compwiz1 <floydvincent@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1114163574.775858.169970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199005

I have a Uniden washington base station. It works great but the receive audio is very muddy & garbled. Any Ideas?

Floyd

1981. Re: Uniden Washington audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 11:36:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <aqnh61duurlfea2g466u3fjf7rhe0sqt6u@4ax.com>
Source record: 199006

On 22 Apr 2005 02:52:54 -0700, "compwiz1" <floydvincent@verizon.net>
wrote in <1114163574.775858.169970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>I have a Uniden washington base station. It works great but the receive
>audio is very muddy & garbled. Any Ideas?
>
>Floyd

There are a lot of possibilities:

-- Bad speaker
-- Bad RF or IF receive section
-- Bad detector/discriminator
-- PLL not properly aligned
-- Listening to SSB transmissions while in AM mode
-- "With old radios like that, the problem is almost always related to
caps drying out."

The problem is that it's nearly impossible to troubleshoot your radio
with the little information you have provided. Best take it to a
competent shop.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1982. Need manual for Heathkit GWA-14-1 power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 12:05:12 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <YL5ae.1$Vp1.0@dfw-service2.ext.ray.com>
Source record: 199024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.cb

Looking for a manual and schematic for the Heathkit
GWA-14-1 power supply. This is the base-station p/s
for the GW-14A mobile CB radio. Anyone have this
manual and willing to make me a copy?

73,
Dean K5DH

1983. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 12:05:45 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4268f57c.169833593@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199007

On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 20:26:59 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 22:10:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Nah, I don't feel like learning anything today, why don't you do it
>>for me?
>
>
>Here is something I learned. My 2M/440 handheld sets off my paper shredder when
>it is within 3 feet. I call it remote control paper shredding.
>
>Vinnie S.

Now if you could only teach your shredder to pick up the papers you
want shredded?

1984. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 12:10:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <tdqh61lhpbucthscb1taqt63tdoobebo3b@4ax.com>
Source record: 199008

On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 12:05:45 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 20:26:59 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 22:10:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>
>>>Nah, I don't feel like learning anything today, why don't you do it
>>>for me?
>>
>>
>>Here is something I learned. My 2M/440 handheld sets off my paper shredder when
>>it is within 3 feet. I call it remote control paper shredding.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>Now if you could only teach your shredder to pick up the papers you
>want shredded?

Working on that !

Vinnie S.

1985. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 12:18:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <klqh6152qpc097apaurr9qpgmgq8plh5bo@4ax.com>
Source record: 199009

This was colon or stomach cancer. I can't recall. But it took him from a healthy well built individual, to a frail bone thin man, in 13 lousy months. I was wrong about when he died. It was 2 weeks after our wedding, not honeymoon. He did make it to our wedding, and stayed about 3 hours, which is incredible for the condition he was in. But you are right about the speed of decline. Specifically these pancreatic and stomach cancers.

Vinnie S.

1986. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 12:33:14 UTC
From: KAXN-9546 <ku2s@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3lrh615o940h8iv0219o5bcnd21fsjovdf@4ax.com>
Source record: 199010

On 21 Apr 2005 21:41:58 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I'd like to know if they can be easily modified to work the on CB
>channels and above and below. If they can be modifible, I'll definitly
>get one (or 2 or three or four or more)

Write to the FCC at

Federal Communications Commission
EB, ARS
1270 Fairfield Road
Gettysburg, PA 17325

Make sure to include your full name and address, they'll get back to
you about getting that radio modified.

Raymond Sirois
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

1987. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 12:42:29 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Vi6ae.4055$J12.1237@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199011

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:hurg61lkb5o692d2u62547qkdq63tp1ems@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 03:20:49 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:sqhg61lccd8dd4ish70lpkh58hscpsest8@4ax.com...
>>> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
>>>
>>>
>>> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
>>> tractor,
>>> and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the second
>>> pass.
>>> I
>>> killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it. But there is
>>> nothing
>>> you can do. They are all over, and they nest just belowe the grass
>>> roots,
>>> so you
>>> can't see them unless you step on them.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> You need a good German Short Hair pointer,
>>they'll find them rascally rabbits.
>
>
> My yellow lab does that well.
>
> Vinnie S.

I've got one of those too, perfect for cats ;)

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

1988. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 12:59:21 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BE8E6DB4.3B6C7%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 199012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

> From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.
> cb
> Date: 22 Apr 2005 03:27:20 GMT
> Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
>
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
>>> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
>>>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>>>>>>
>>>>> Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>>>>
>>>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>>>>
>>>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>>>>
>>> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
>>>
>>> --
>>> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
>>> leave.
>>
>> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
>> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
>> and went on board a Destroyer.....
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum
> golf hunting is pretty good too.
>

Did they ever figger out how to fix billyards to eat at the billyard table?

Greg

1989. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 14:34:45 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d4b225fhf1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199013

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050421204803.529$K4@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
>>
>> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
>> tractor, and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the
>> second pass. I killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it.
>> But there is nothing you can do. They are all over, and they nest just
>> belowe the grass roots, so you can't see them unless you step on them.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
> Bah. I run them over all the time in my z-cart when we feed acres of
> turf at a time commercially. The furry freaks pick the damnedest places
> to build a nest..right in the middle of manicured turfgrass. I'm no
> bunny expert but my guess is that lawn works for quick food for the
> young'ins. I used to feel bad about it 20 some years ago.

Dog got a full grown in the back yard the other night about 1AM. Wife
wanted me to do something with it. She freaked when I was going to bring it
in and clean it.

Rabbit on the barbie and I don't even have to pick out buckshot. The rednek
in me....

We did not end up eating the bunny.... It's not who wears the pants, it's
who looks more desireable then the pants are removed :)

1990. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 14:38:26 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d4b297fih1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199014

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050421234357.217$PS@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 03:20:49 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:sqhg61lccd8dd4ish70lpkh58hscpsest8@4ax.com...
>> >> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
>> >> tractor,
>> >> and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the second
>> >> pass. I
>> >> killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it. But there
>> >> is nothing
>> >> you can do. They are all over, and they nest just belowe the grass
>> >> roots, so you
>> >> can't see them unless you step on them.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >
>> > You need a good German Short Hair pointer,
>> >they'll find them rascally rabbits.
>>
>> My yellow lab does that well.
>>
> My yellow lab, shepard, greyhound mut outruns them and has em for
> breakfast. I
> swear I've never had a dog that can run and attack stuff as fast as this
> one. I only got him about 8 months ago after my wifes brother died from
> some really aggressive cancer. I already had a hound dog so I wasn't in
> the market for another mooch but what the hey. He's fun to throw the
> frisbee to, or a tree limb.
>
> One of the first times my wife let him out of the house he bolted right
> for a running bunny, ate his head and brung the carcass back. lol
>
> I finally have a weekday off tomorrow, so I can wait for the plumber.

>
> --
> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

Dude I think I own your dog's cousin..... Is it absolutely nuts? This one prolly can run down an indy car :) I'll have to get you a pic. Weird thing is she's blonde and had black skin.... I sometimes call her 'Lil Kim.

Chad

1991. Re: I got a ticket to ride !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 14:47:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23694-42690E85-35@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199015

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Mopar is right,,you're as deluded almost as
much as the Hypocrite Hall,,

>Nope. Nad is way yonder more fucked up in

>the head than Dave is.

Both are cowards. They both needlessly attack cbers via their socks and
make threats. Lelnad -knows- he is messed up and doesn't suffer from
denial, as he freely admits his anger and hatred with cbers. Hall, otoh,
is a pathological liar who foams at the snout on cue.

1992. Re: Uniden Washington audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 14:58:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <116i47q7l76i6e0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 199016

Hello Floyd:

The receiver can be checked with a signal tracer or audio amplifier with a RF probe, the distorted audio can be isolated to the offending stage.

Distortion is usually a bias problem, but can be a speaker, bad connection at a external speaker jack, or again the wrong voltages somewhere in the radio.

Touch the middle terminal on the volume control, you should hear a hum, and if the hum is distorted as the received radio signals you have isolated it to the audio stages.

Jay in the Mojave

compwiz1 wrote:

> I have a Uniden washington base station. It works great but the receive
> audio is very muddy & garbled. Any Ideas?
>
> Floyd
>

1993. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 17:40:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <XFaae.12$4p1.7@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 199017

Hello, Mopar

Well, I see not much has changed LOL.

Frontiernet has a news server so I still don't pay any more. In fact, I am now paying about $5.00 *less* per month by switching to 3 megabaud DSL.

Mere seconds for Windows updates and less than a second to see all of the same stuff pouring in from the newsgroups :))

Hams? What hams you discussing? Whazzup?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050422014148.477$WG@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> > I suggest you make friends with a ham,
> >
> DOH, you mean besides my bench-tech, John Smith?
>
> --
> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1994. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 18:21:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <%Aaae.4309$hg.3589@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 199018

Hello, Mopar

Well, I see not much has changed LOL.

Frontiernet has a news server so I still don't pay any more. In fact, I am now paying about $5.00 *less* per month by switching to 3 megabaud DSL.

Mere seconds for Windows updates and less than a second to see all of the same stuff pouring in from the newsgroups :))

Hams? What hams you discussing? Whazzup?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050422014148.477$WG@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> > I suggest you make friends with a ham,
> >
> DOH, you mean besides my bench-tech, John Smith?
>
> --
> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1995. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 19:28:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422152806.779$25@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199021

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> Hello, Mopar
>
> Well, I see not much has changed LOL.
>
> Frontiernet has a news server so I still don't pay any more. In fact, I
> am now paying about $5.00 *less* per month by switching to 3 megabaud
> DSL.
>
> Mere seconds for Windows updates and less than a second to see all of the
> same stuff pouring in from the newsgroups :))
>
> Hams? What hams you discussing? Whazzup?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
SoS, Jim. See how well your new connection loads this page. <eg>

http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1996. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 19:28:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422152859.115$le@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote:
> > From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> > Newsgroups:
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,
> > rec.radio. cb
> > Date: 22 Apr 2005 03:27:20 GMT
> > Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
> >
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
> >>> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >>>>>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
> >>>>
> >>>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
> >>>>
> >>>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
> >>>>
> >>> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
> >>>
> >>> --
> >>> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
> >>> leave.
> >>
> >> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
> >> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
> >> and went on board a Destroyer.....
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum

> > golf hunting is pretty good too.
> >
> Did they ever figger out how to fix billyards to eat at the billyard
> table?
>
> Greg
>
Gotta luv those pot-passers!

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1997. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 19:29:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422152948.525$t6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199019

"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050421204803.529$K4@newsreader.com...
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
> >>
> >> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman lawn
> >> tractor, and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the
> >> second pass. I killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about
> >> it. But there is nothing you can do. They are all over, and they nest
> >> just belowe the grass roots, so you can't see them unless you step on
> >> them.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> > Bah. I run them over all the time in my z-cart when we feed acres of
> > turf at a time commercially. The furry freaks pick the damnedest places
> > to build a nest..right in the middle of manicured turfgrass. I'm no
> > bunny expert but my guess is that lawn works for quick food for the
> > young'ins. I used to feel bad about it 20 some years ago.
>
> Dog got a full grown in the back yard the other night about 1AM. Wife
> wanted me to do something with it. She freaked when I was going to bring
> it in and clean it.
>
> Rabbit on the barbie and I don't even have to pick out buckshot. The
> rednek in me....
>
> We did not end up eating the bunny.... It's not who wears the pants, it's
> who looks more desireable then the pants are removed :)
>
No doubt about that, Chad. :)

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1998. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 19:30:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050422153051.498$yQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199022

"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050421234357.217$PS@newsreader.com...
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 03:20:49 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:sqhg61lccd8dd4ish70lpkh58hscpsest8@4ax.com...
> >> >> On 22 Apr 2005 00:34:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>>I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it as you key up.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> You're getting warmer. I was cutting the grass with my Craftsman
> >> >> lawn tractor,
> >> >> and I ran over a nest of rabbits. I didn't see them until the
> >> >> second pass. I
> >> >> killed 1, at least 4 got away. I felt pretty bad about it. But
> >> >> there is nothing
> >> >> you can do. They are all over, and they nest just belowe the grass
> >> >> roots, so you
> >> >> can't see them unless you step on them.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >
> >> > You need a good German Short Hair pointer,
> >> >they'll find them rascally rabbits.
> >>
> >> My yellow lab does that well.
> >>
> > My yellow lab, shepard, greyhound mut outruns them and has em for
> > breakfast. I
> > swear I've never had a dog that can run and attack stuff as fast as
> > this one. I only got him about 8 months ago after my wifes brother died
> > from some really aggressive cancer. I already had a hound dog so I
> > wasn't in the market for another mooch but what the hey. He's fun to
> > throw the frisbee to, or a tree limb.
> >
> > One of the first times my wife let him out of the house he bolted right
> > for a running bunny, ate his head and brung the carcass back. lol
> >

> > I finally have a weekday off tomorrow, so I can wait for the plumber.
> >
> > --
> > Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
> > leave.
>
> Dude I think I own your dog's cousin..... Is it absolutely nuts? This
> one prolly can run down an indy car :) I'll have to get you a pic.
> Weird thing is she's blonde and had black skin.... I sometimes call her
> 'Lil Kim.
>
> Chad
>
LOL. Twins perhaps.

--
Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

1999. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 20:58:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gAdae.75$lc3.1711241@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199023

. . . _ _ _ . . .

John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050422152806.779$25@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> Hello, Mopar
>>
>> Well, I see not much has changed LOL.
>>
>> Frontiernet has a news server so I still don't pay any more. In fact, I
>> am now paying about $5.00 *less* per month by switching to 3 megabaud
>> DSL.
>>
>> Mere seconds for Windows updates and less than a second to see all of the
>> same stuff pouring in from the newsgroups :))
>>
>> Hams? What hams you discussing? Whazzup?
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim
>>
> SoS, Jim. See how well your new connection loads this page. <eg>
>
> http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
>
> --
> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

2000. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 23:10:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dv0j61960pfj7at673ik62rm7ihis9mjrm@4ax.com>
Source record: 199025

On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 12:42:29 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>> My yellow lab does that well.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> I've got one of those too, perfect for cats ;)

I have a cat too !

The cat was my wife's, and I married it along with her. The dog and cat never had a problem from the beginning. But that might be because my wife's cat is battle tested. It grew up with 2 pit bulls (my father in-law's).

Vinnie S.

2001. Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·147

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-22 23:25:00 UTC
From: RonGrossi382820@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114212300.219881.166700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199026
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

The reason some people don't know for sure
if they are going to Heaven when they die
is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for
sure that you are going to Heaven which is
described in the Holy Bible as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or
pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple
it is to be saved so that we can live
forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus
is Lord and believe in your heart that God
raised Him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven
to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to
shed His blood and die on a cross to pay
our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel
supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
named Mary and lived a sinless life for
thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was
scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed
onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and
was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the
dead as Jesus said would happen before
Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going
to die and in three days come back to life
again and it happens then this person must

be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you. Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to
place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ
may never get another chance to do so
because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and
the life: no one can come to the
Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
(John 14:6)

This means that if you die without
trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and
Saviour you will be forever separated from
the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of
eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony
for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by
allowing Jesus Christ to save you today.
Only then will you have true peace in your
life knowing that no matter what happens you
are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

2002. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 00:20:51 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <g65j61p047ghsm67cb6d7dse9k0c017da3@4ax.com>
Source record: 199027

On 22 Apr 2005 19:28:06 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> Hello, Mopar
>>
>> Well, I see not much has changed LOL.
>>
>> Frontiernet has a news server so I still don't pay any more. In fact, I
>> am now paying about $5.00 *less* per month by switching to 3 megabaud
>> DSL.
>>
>> Mere seconds for Windows updates and less than a second to see all of the
>> same stuff pouring in from the newsgroups :))
>>
>> Hams? What hams you discussing? Whazzup?
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim
>>
>SoS, Jim. See how well your new connection loads this page. <eg>
>
>http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

Is that a semi-vertical antenna?

Vinnie S.

2003. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 01:26:37 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114219597.620893.57020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199028

OK thanx I'll do just that!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2004. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 01:37:55 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114220275.349890.315830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199029

BTW What does the FCC do anyways, can somebody tell me what it is that they do? In full detail would be appreciated, tnx.

2005. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 02:06:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_4iae.102$tC2.2618408@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199030

SHHHHH! They are listening for Osama Bin Ladin....

Regards,
John

"CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114220275.349890.315830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> BTW What does the FCC do anyways, can somebody tell me what it is that
> they do? In full detail would be appreciated, tnx.
>

2006. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 04:18:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <g0kae.4398$hg.272@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 199031

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050422152806.779$25@newsreader.com...
> SoS, Jim. See how well your new connection loads this page. <eg>
>
> http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
>
> --
> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription leave.

Hello again, Mopar

Hmmm ... that "install" looks like someone is trolling ... ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2007. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 04:24:58 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <u6kae.4653$J12.1184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Greg" <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:BE8E6DB4.3B6C7%gfight@tampabay.rr.com...
>
>
>> From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>> Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>> Newsgroups:
>> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.
>> cb
>> Date: 22 Apr 2005 03:27:20 GMT
>> Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
>>
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
>>>> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
>>>>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>>>>>
>>>>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>>>>>
>>>>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>>>>>
>>>> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
>>>> leave.
>>>
>>> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
>>> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
>>> and went on board a Destroyer.....
>>>

>>> Landshark
>>>
>> Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum
>> golf hunting is pretty good too.
>>
> Did they ever figger out how to fix billyards to eat at the billyard
> table?
>
> Greg

`Don't know, did they ever get the seement pond
drained?

Landshark

--



2008. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 04:24:58 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <u6kae.4652$J12.2110@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199033

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:dv0j61960pfj7at673ik62rm7ihis9mjrm@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 12:42:29 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>> My yellow lab does that well.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> I've got one of those too, perfect for cats ;)
>
>
> I have a cat too !
>
> The cat was my wife's, and I married it along with her. The dog and cat
> never
> had a problem from the beginning. But that might be because my wife's cat
> is
> battle tested. It grew up with 2 pit bulls (my father in-law's).
>
> Vinnie S.

LOL!!! Not me, never really like kitty Kats., neither
have my dogs.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

2009. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·147

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 05:19:08 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114233547.975778.185570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199034
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

You've put this out enough. Wh not go to another group that has not had the pleasure of your mo9ral leadership that you have given us.

2010. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 11:43:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050423074316.312$60@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199035

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 22 Apr 2005 19:28:06 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> Hello, Mopar
> >>
> >> Well, I see not much has changed LOL.
> >>
> >> Frontiernet has a news server so I still don't pay any more. In fact,
> >> I am now paying about $5.00 *less* per month by switching to 3
> >> megabaud DSL.
> >>
> >> Mere seconds for Windows updates and less than a second to see all of
> >> the same stuff pouring in from the newsgroups :))
> >>
> >> Hams? What hams you discussing? Whazzup?
> >>
> >> 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> Jim
> >>
> >SoS, Jim. See how well your new connection loads this page. <eg>
> >
> >http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
>
> Is that a semi-vertical antenna?
>
Fugly-stick skip shooter?

2011. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 11:45:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050423074511.910$si@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199036

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050422152806.779$25@newsreader.com...
> > SoS, Jim. See how well your new connection loads this page. <eg>
> >
> > http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
> >
> > --
> > Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
> > leave.
>
> Hello again, Mopar
>
> Hmmm ... that "install" looks like someone is trolling ... ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
It looks like something to hang your hat on as a so called
antenna manufacturer. :)

2012. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 11:47:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050423074709.648$Mp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199037

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:dv0j61960pfj7at673ik62rm7ihis9mjrm@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 22 Apr 2005 12:42:29 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> >>> My yellow lab does that well.
> >>>
> >>> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >> I've got one of those too, perfect for cats ;)
> >
> >
> > I have a cat too !
> >
> > The cat was my wife's, and I married it along with her. The dog and cat
> > never
> > had a problem from the beginning. But that might be because my wife's
> > cat is
> > battle tested. It grew up with 2 pit bulls (my father in-law's).
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> LOL!!! Not me, never really like kitty Kats., neither
> have my dogs.
>
> Landshark
>
They are a necessity in the barn, damn field mice would take over the
place otherwise.

2013. Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 13:35:41 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BE8FC7B8.3B7EC%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 199038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

```
> From: "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> Organization: SBC http://yahoo.sbc.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.
> cb
> Date: Sat, 23 Apr 2005 04:24:58 GMT
> Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
>
>
> "Greg" <gfight@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:BE8E6DB4.3B6C7%gfight@tampabay.rr.com...
>>
>>
>>> From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>> Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>>> Newsgroups:
>>> rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radi
>>> o.
>>> cb
>>> Date: 22 Apr 2005 03:27:20 GMT
>>> Subject: Re: K1MAN GONE FROM THE WWW AND FROM THE AIR
>>>
>>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:20050421231935.380$L1@newsreader.com...
>>>>> LRod <LRod-removethispart@pobox.com> wrote:
>>>>>> On 21 Apr 2005 23:53:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>> "Jerry" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:116gbtf79qlo49f@corp.supernews.com...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> 2+2 = 4 in this universe..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> 2+2 = 17 if you use sufficiently large values of "2"
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Wanna here ewe sipher yore gozinta's. ($- Jethro Bodine)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Naught and naught is naught; carry the naught...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> I love it when Jethro commences to cipherin'...
>>>>>>
>>>>> Ha! It's almost as good as Jethrine. Reckon?
```

>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
>>>>> leave.
>>>>
>>>> LOL!!! I liked the one when uncle Jed bought himself a new
>>>> "fishin boat" He came down to the docks dressed as an admiral
>>>> and went on board a Destroyer.....
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>>
>>> Killer. The one where they fetched sum golf clubs and commenced to sum
>>> golf hunting is pretty good too.
>>>
>> Did they ever figger out how to fix billyards to eat at the billyard
>> table?
>>
>> Greg
>
>
> `Don't know, did they ever get the seement pond
> drained?
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> __
> o /')
> /' (,
> __/') .' `;
> o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
> _.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--..._____. .'
> (_.)). `-._ <
> `\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
> `---......____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
> `-` `
>
Ellie Mae trained a cat to swim in that cement pond. Very unnatural. But
every time I think of Ellie Mae, unnatural acts come to mind, naturally!

Greg

2014. FA: LAST Day>HEATHKIT IB-5282 AUDIO GENERATOR "BLUE SERIES" EXC+ NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 14:57:50 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Nntae.11133$V02.800@fe08.lga>
Source record: 199039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Still at $11.50:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7508508575&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2015. FA:SIMPSON BUILT for WESTERN-UNION T-360 VOM> A BEAUTY>NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 15:00:45 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <wqtae.11136$V02.10475@fe08.lga>
Source record: 199041
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7508508556&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2016. FA: "TONS" (4lbs) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS>Any Builders?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 15:01:42 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ortae.11137$V02.3469@fe08.lga>
Source record: 199040
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Big $10>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7508516549&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2017. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 19:32:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050423153231.268$dp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199042

KC8LDO wrote:
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20050422152806.779$25@newsreader.com...
> > > > SoS, Jim. See how well your new connection loads this page. <eg>
> > > >
> > > > http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the subscription
> > > > leave.
> > >
> > > Hello again, Mopar
> > >
> > > Hmmm ... that "install" looks like someone is trolling ... ;)
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > It looks like something to hang your hat on as a so called
> > antenna manufacturer. :)
>
> Jim, that is steveo going to hams houses and taking photos
> without their permission.
>
Oh contraire there nad-boi. I go by invitation from your fugly felon
boyfriend. (see below for a reminder)

N8WWM spoke out his ass again with this idle threat:

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

Don'cha find it ironic that he threatened to "put me face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of me laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG?

I sure do.

http://img151.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly8hc.jpg

Now quit your fucking sniveling and go lay down by your bowl, sissy.

2018. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 19:55:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050423155523.774$nu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199043

truth@true.net wrote:
> > KC8LDO wrote:
> > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20050422152806.779$25@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > SoS, Jim. See how well your new connection loads this page.
> > > > > > <eg>
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Newsgroups die when the people who made it worth the
> > > > > > subscription leave.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hello again, Mopar
> > > > >
> > > > > Hmmm ... that "install" looks like someone is trolling ... ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > Jim
> > > > >
> > > > It looks like something to hang your hat on as a so called
> > > > antenna manufacturer. :)
> > >
> > > Jim, that is steveo going to hams houses and taking photos
> > > without their permission.
> > >
> > Oh contraire there nad-boi. I go by invitation from your fugly felon
> > boyfriend. (see below for a reminder)
> >
> > N8WWM spoke out his ass again with this idle threat:
> > ----------
> > Hey Peepeeholic:
> > Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
> > ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> > You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
> > the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
> > dumb idea.
> > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> > there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> > coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> > trainedpuppet.
> > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and

> > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > ----------
> >
> > Don'cha find it ironic that he threatened to "put me face down and
> > hurtin extreme, take pics of me laying there with a bumped up noggin,
> > and post 'em to the NG?
> >
> > I sure do.
> >
> > http://img151.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly8hc.jpg
> >
> > Now quit your fucking sniveling and go lay down by your bowl, sissy.
>
> Both you and Doug are living in a cartoon fantasy world. Neither one
> of you is going to beat up anyone in real life.
>
Well according to him he likes to rumble and break bones on purpose. lol

I know he's a keyboard coward, just like you are..

2019. Re: A question about amateur license examination in the US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 20:07:26 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114286846.906904.197150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199044

Where will you be camping, wrist flipper? We notice you still have not answered that question.

2020. Mopathetic admits he beats himself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 20:12:34 UTC
From: Mopey aint too smart <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114287154.901651.284870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199046

mopathetic, a wankstain posting forges, hiding behind anonymous servers, and all other sorts of chicken activities as well, femininely meweled the following:

"Any wankstain that says that shit to my face catches a beatin'"

This obviously means that the retard boy beats himself, as he is the leading poster of the very things he cwies the most about.

When will mopathetic say where he will be hiding out, er uh, camping I mean, at the Dayton Hamfest? Most wise bets say he never will.

2021. wrist flipper mopey LOVES Roy Orbison!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 20:14:31 UTC
From: mopathetic won't say where he is camping <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114287271.054040.142630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199045

Because he thinks "Pretty Woman" and "Crying" were written just for him!

2022. A Social Outcast's Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 20:29:53 UTC
From: Rabbi Roger <LampShade@noforeskin.com>
Message-ID: <d4eb8b$n2r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199047
Cross-posted to:
alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean everybody else does, imbecile." Roger Wiseman

"pompousity" Oops! Darn that keyboard, anyway!

"suergery" That is Devry for ya.

"aditting" Darn those typos!

"abckpedals" His greasy hair got in his eyes again

"shreeched" Wasn't he the guy in a sit com? Screech?

"stuid" Pot calling the kettle black?

"heared" That's West Virginia for heard.

"assuslted" Rog just makes em' up as he goes along.

"experieince" Oh, DUH!

"abouve" Is this a French word?

"Cosast" Some place by a body of water?

"mebership", Just a typo, right?

"retqards" Regards or Retards? Who can tell?

"coordinbator" Huh?

"Realy" Really?

"Nitoiced" Keyboard error or stupidity?

"Becasue" Well...

"Licence" Roger had to test twice for his license.

"Convienince"

"Myabe" Or then again, maybe not.

"occurance" Say what?

"srtuggling"

"definatrely"

"delapidated" What a dufus.

"picutre"

"permenatly" Ebonics again?

"Arest" You need a rest, Wogie.

"Sentenceing" No comment.

"Narcotices" What?

"Undre" Is this a city in Germany?

"Surgeoun" And this would be?

"Commuications" Who is laughing at who?

"Ovre" Ovre and out!

"Wondreful" It's a "wondreful" day in the neighborhood?

"Derivitave" Still no spell-checker, eh?

"You lose, shit for brians." Woger showing who real loser is.

"Deisowned" Deis what?

"Deeppest" Roger strikes again.

"Dodgeing". Is he talking about a car?

"Staedy" Steady?

"Linme" Transmission line?

"Getr" Nobody knows.

"Experieince" Wogie is good, ain't he?

"Appropiate" What kind of opiate?

"Laywer" Lay what?

"Visitpors" You never have any, ergo you don't know how to spell it.

"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)

"Irreevant" Good going, Wogie.

"Forwared" Forward HO!

"Glady" Glad Bags?

"Neighborhod" It's a wonderful day in Wogie's neighborhood.

"Mena" Mean? What you mean, Wogie?

"Notr" Not?

"Sassifyed" ALA Rolling Stones.No Sassifaction..

"Fantasises" That is "fantasizes", Wussman.

"Illarate" West BY GOD Virginny!

"Reveresed" Must be one of those off-brand keyboards.

"Soem".as in Some? What a loser.

"VIRIN".What Wogie was before he met the Lavender Lads.

"Minisule".he mussa meant miniscule. Like his IQ.

"Champoin".Whassup, Wiseman? No dictionaries in the mental ward?

"Anoinymous"...a cross between anonymous and annoying?

"Acident" Roger's mom got preggers by accident.

"Alreaedy" Alright, already!

"Amouints" Roger amounts to nothing.

"Aposterphe" This guy is a hoot, ain't he?

"Basios"...word Woger uses when he gets neeeerrrrvous.
"Behing"...a cross between being and behind?

"Coiward" As in peeking from behind his mommy's curtains.
"Feamle"...I think he meant female.
"Losser"...only loser Roger can do it better.
"Ignroant"...typical, considering the source.
"Sluprs"...only Rogie knows what this means.
"Travelled"...a Rogerism, replete with ignorance.
"Postss"...pssst...Roger..that should be Posts.
"Defgenerate"...well, it's Roger's word...
"Faity"...he meant to say Fairy, but you know Roger.

"Ghet" Get a load of Curtain Boy!
"Distribiution"...Roger likes using phonics.
"Biotch"...he meant Bitch, but his phonics took over again.
"Methjadone"...a West Virginia substitute for Heroin.
"Sumbliminal"...one of Roger's favorites.
"Recepticle"...either of Roger's moist cavaties.
"Cociane"...a West Virginia drug of choice?
"Tiome"...Roger meant to say Time...but his fingers were numb.
"Beraking"...no definition. Could mean 'beraking' the leaves,
"Amny"...he meant 'any',
"Nlay"...a town in Maylaysia? Vermont?
"Al;ong"...only Wussman knows what this means.
"Becasu"...well, becasu Roger is not the brightest bulb on the tree.
"Seperation". Why? Because Roger cannot "seperate" from his mommie!
"Sisnce" He meant Since, but his pudgy little fingers slipped off the keys.
"gnetically" What?
"provce" (prove?)
"Piuzza" (West Virginia Pizza)
"Schol" (school?)

"strill" (still?)
"shoitgun" 12 ga or 20 ga?
"Snoip" (How they spell "snip" in Glen Dale)
"Maturbater". Wiseman does it all the time, he just can't spell it.(leaves a sticky residue on his right hand)
"Coskscuking". It's a Roger thing, as in Lavender Lads.
"Waddleing". Only in Glen Dale, eh?
"Spaeaking". Curtain Boy did this after his second retest.

" Stgop" Roger's version of Stop.

"Fahter's" He meant Father's Day.

"fserting"..would that be festering?

"poists". Roger meant "posts".

"snadwich" As in peanut butter and jelly?

"regualr" Glen Dale rendition of "regular".

"aftrer" After? Aftrer what?

"soory". Sorry Roger fucks up again.

"didnb't" He didn't?

"whoile". He ate the whoile thing?

"Woamn" He's hooked on West Virginia phonics, all right.

"prrof" And he kin prove it, too.

"beforfe" Was that before he lurned to spell?

"yoiuy" Roger's version of "y'all".

"illerate" Only illiterate Roger can spell like this.

"haeart" Aw, how heartless of me to laugh!

"valule" Roger has no values. No wonder he can't spell it.

"proch" Doesn't everyone have one on their house?

"inmstitution" Where Roger's mom put him in June 1999.

"timne" It's Howdy Doody time?

"whgo" What? Who dat?

"gnetically" Only Roger knows what this one means.

"crfy" Like, cry, Roger, cry.

"stutrtering" Some stutter, Wiseman stutrters.

"Whjere" Where is Curtain Boy?

"Webiste" That's Website to the rest of us.

"Youire" Your? You're? Who knows.

"fiored" As in fired?

"Parety" Roger gave a party once. Nobody came.

"Bouynce" Bounce?

"Varification" Verifiable mental case.

"Probelms" Poor Rogie.has many problems of the mental sort.

"Partricipate" Oh, DUH!!!!

"Cryed" Gee, Wogie..cry me a river.you dolt!

"Stoick" What a dork. His old 386 has no spell check program.

"Partiall" More WV Phonics, I see.

"Obsucred" Either obscured or Rogie has "sucre" on his mind.

"Infinate" Stupid. Think finite, Rogie.

"Sustinance" As in Sustenance?

"Juvinile". This is the way Roger likes his boys.

"Piut" Put it where, Rogie?

"Toyus". "Toyus R Us?

"Diminuative". Roger's height.

"Definitely" Definitely

"Percriptions" Say what?

"Credibiliy" More Glen Dale intellect.

"Thsoe" Yet another Glen Dale gaffe.

2023. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 20:42:58 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <dryae.3270$RP1.328@fe10.lga>
Source record: 199048

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 21 Apr 2005 11:25:54 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>No they don't. They also don't have echo and "feedback effects."
>>>
>>>I very surprised that the FCC allows them to be type accepted. Yes I
>>>know that ham equipment doesn't have to be type accepted to be used on
>>>ham bands but it DOES need to be type accepted to be commercially sold.
>>>
>>>Kevin, WB5RUE
>>
>>I hate echo. I simple roger beep doesn't bother me.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>>
>
> They both suck used cock. :D
as opposed to unused cock? :p

2024. Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 22:50:06 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <anon@hamanonspam.net>
Message-ID: <d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
You freebanders better knock it off.
The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
```

2025. Re: A Social Outcast's Dictionary

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 22:51:30 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114296690.874661.176460@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199050
Cross-posted to: alt.flame,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Rabbi Roger wrote: <nothing but IKYABWAI>

"breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven
"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance
"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack
"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation session
"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also "forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!
"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things
"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>
"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson
"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of hair and all
"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd deciding "whether" or not to use correct English
"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead in life
"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?
"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in the morning, real "painful"
"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd
"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot
"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the dumpster
"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"
"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame Israel?
"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole axiom
"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere
"Cant" - Emmanuel?
"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters
"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of his stupidity
"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?
"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night
"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"
"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English
"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!
"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"

"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto
"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...
"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"
"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue
"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"
"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies
"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit
"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd
"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....
"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"
"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!
"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!
Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd
"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"
" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!
"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?
"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English
"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"
"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"
"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again
"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!
"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"
"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill
"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......
"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak
"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd
"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here
"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?
"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy
"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses
"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"
"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit
"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn
"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh
"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade
"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over English grammar

idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!
"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!
"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again
"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....
"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post
"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to
debate......
"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub
"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"
"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a
scat fetish
"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd
"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?
"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!
"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing
"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp
"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?
"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned
"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks
in
"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in
ignorance
"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his
favorite fag artist "Moby"
"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"
"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does
"dserve" those pizzas
"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"
"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is
"disgusting"
"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make
good sentence
"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of
skinny, in shape people
"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those
nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he
didn't "work out".
"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.
"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his
fucking stupidity.
"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus
spirit.....
"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"
"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school
"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an
education
"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"
"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that
ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven
"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of

tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".
"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.
"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good sentence!
"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream" of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment
"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of stupidity.
"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.
"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd talk tough!
"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"
"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's" them!
"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed
"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"
"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd revels in his ignorance!
"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head collide they have an "argument"
"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his stupidity, however.
"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!
"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging with Steve Holsten
"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.
"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school because he had no "sense"
"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.
"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!
"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said about Mongolloyd Davies?
"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.
"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad LARDASS didn't gain any language skills
"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd
"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.
"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears
"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu
"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!
"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and

write.
"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest
L-O-S-E-R!
"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy
apartment as a masturbation rag
"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has
"despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory
"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say
"sticker"
"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses"
on stupidity
"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.
"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity
and mental illness
"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!
"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.
"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't
care, though!
"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"
"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the
"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to,
to-mah-to.....
"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe
illiteracy is in his family.
"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get
rejected again!
"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that
I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not
here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.
"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby
tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane
"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the
impossible dream of thought
"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS
Lloyd didn't take and pass English
"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?
"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile
"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never
are though.
"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well
"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to
get English lessons and
not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"
"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never
had to pretend to be stupid, either!

"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza delivery and trashcan robots is really something to want, too, isn't it?
"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....
"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in the eyes of the Lord.
"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?
"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.
"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies makes "commnets".
"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?
"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!
"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the English language.
"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.
"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman." - I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.
"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm leaving" speech/lie)
"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!
"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so many cocks he's got a lisp!
"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's "doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.
"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something, anything.
"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total fuck-up Lloyd.
"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a Saturday night, since he has no date!
"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"
"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."
"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time" now.
"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."
"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."
"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.
"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.
"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!, and his stupidity is no riddle.
"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.

"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.
"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."
"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.
"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.
"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.
"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."
"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.
"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!
"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.
"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!
"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.
"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.
"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.
"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.
"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!
"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and pronouns!
"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.
"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!
"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites by now

"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in
Lardass' fat piehole.
"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten
in obsessing about dicks.
"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed
skull
"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.
"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't
he?
Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.
"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.
"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets
"harassment" from the drunk black winos!
"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite
the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".
"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino
nutsack goo!
"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide
"whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his
brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.
"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider
remedial English, FATASS!
"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a
cannon to be "canonized"
"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is
sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!
"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his
stupidity, either.
" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.
"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't
have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.
"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard
Lardass never notices when he fucks up.
"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very
literate, either.
"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never
contained anything to begin with.
"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.
"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck
ups.
"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.
"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.
"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".
"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity
"activations" ready to show themselves.
"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a
pizza order taker seriously.
Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!

"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.
"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good spell!
"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!
"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!
"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates the English language, too!
"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!
"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.
"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.
"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not "bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.
"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably discharged a year earlier?
"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a 90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA
"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.
"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking imbecile.
"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!
"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.
"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off" himself, instead of "being off".
"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so "useless,"
"that's what can be said" about him.
"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is useless on and offline.
"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper, "don't" he?
"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.
"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."
"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.
"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!
"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.
"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.
"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.
"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.
"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."
"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.
"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.
"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.
"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!
"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.
"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.
"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.
"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.
"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.
"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.
"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.
"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.
"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."
"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.
"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!
"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."
"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.
"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."
"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.
"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.
"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.
"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the

internet.
"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.
"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.
"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.
"scooterr" - Mongolloyd never could have a "scooter" his fatass was too heavy for one, even if they had semi-truck shocks and springs.
"stal" - Mongolloyd's "brain" is permanently on "stall".
"aymore" - Mongolloyd never tries, he just whines "anymore".
"talkjing" - Lardass never has any women "talking" to him.
"cant" - Mongolloyd "can't" use correct punctuation.
"im" - Mongolloyd, "I'm" sure you will die a virgin, you dumbass!
"i" - Lardass, "I" know you are a fat whining cunt.
"may as wel" - Mongolloyd "may as well" kill himself.
"lefty alone" - Lardass masturbates with which hand, "his "left alone."
"NIM Busters has run its coarse" - Of "course," Mongolloyd fucks up his sentence!
"Wlardass" - "WMongolloyd" "wfucks" "wup" "Wagain"....

"Wheating" - Lardass has "cheated" on everyhting he's every tried to do, since he's so incompetent and inferior, and he still fucks up.

"Wabbi Woger" - Mongolloyd thinks any dick is a good dick to suck.
"Woger" - Mongolloyd's been sucking dicks again
"others" - Mongolloyd can't fathom "others'" hatred for his useless fat ass!

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.
"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.

"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a nigger's asshole!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.
"tribes" - Mongolloyd's "tribe's" name is Retardos!
"abou" - "About" this time, Lardass the alcoholic fucks up!
"till" - "Until" Mongolloyd learns English, he'll always be ridiculed.
"din't" - Mongolloyd "didn't" ever finish high school!
"stuipd" - Lardass Davies is really "stupid," isn't he?
"are we know" - Mongolloyd has a hard time posting in English. Being more stupid, "are we now," Lardass?

Mongolloyd make good spells!

Nazi Davey's Dictionary:

"Nbot" - Nazi Davey's "not" all there!

2026. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 22:52:58 UTC
From: lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114296778.402688.36410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Nomen Nescio wrote:
> You freebanders better knock it off.
> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.

They are looking into no code techs like you who get on the General
portions of the bands, too, Davies.

2027. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-23 22:55:38 UTC
From: Johnny <wz751@hamenfnetspam.net>
Message-ID: <d4ejn3$8m4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199052
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
> You freebanders better knock it off.
> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>

I heard they are using hams as volunteers.

73 good dx,

Johnny

2028. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 01:31:47 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114306307.800989.52290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Good F'n luck too the FCC. I'm gonna challange them at Backlash(r) to a no DQ match and the winner takes all, in other words if I win at Backlash(r) the FCC loses ALL CONTROL and ALL FREEBANDERS CAN USE ANY FREQUENCY WE WANT TO from 0 khz to 50 Ghz. If they win (The fcc) then us freebanders will cocede defeat. What about it FCC you up to the No DQ challange at Backlash(r). BTW Vinnie Mac already appoved becuase then if We freedbanders win then he can broadcast the way he wants to without restrictions from stupid useless censors and the goody-two shoes idiots.By the way, ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW ECW

2029. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 03:00:59 UTC
From: mopathetic won't say where he is camping <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114311659.012343.297580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The law already wins automatically. Why should the FCC accept the terms of an idiot? You are already a loser from the jump, and have no hope.

2030. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 03:29:17 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114313357.327222.184070@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LAWS DO NOT APPLY TO ME AS I AM ABOVE ALL YOUR PUNY LAWS YOU STUPID USELESS PATHETIC LITTLE HUMUNIOD EARTHLING. HUMUNS ARE IRRELEVANT! YOU WILL BE ASSIMILETED! WE ARE THE BORG!

2031. To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 03:43:56 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114314236.261320.239060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199056

I'm goin' back to shootin' skip on the ol CB!You idiots can waste all the time you want to on here, I'm gonna go back to DX'n on the freeband where we all belong. 10-4 GOOD BUDDY!

2032. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 04:51:40 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114318300.334031.204770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

CB outlaw wrote:
> I'm goin' back to shootin' skip on the ol CB!You idiots can waste all
> the time you want to on here, I'm gonna go back to DX'n on the
freeband
> where we all belong. 10-4 GOOD BUDDY!

I want you inside my man-pus!

2033. Vinnie S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 04:55:54 UTC
From: "moparholic@hotmail.com" <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114318554.595445.223160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199058
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.satellite.tv

Thats my bitch boy. We suck off each other every chance we get!!

moparholic@hotmail.com

2034. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 05:16:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aZFae.939$z87.19621017@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hmmm, well, since we can count the hams on our toes and fingers.
And, since freebanders are EVERYWHERE and out number hams but a thousand
(and increasing daily) to one...
It will keep them busy with a un-ending fox hunt...

Regards,
John

"Johnny" <wz751@hamenfnetspam.net> wrote in message
news:d4ejn3$8m4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
> news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
>> You freebanders better knock it off.
>> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
>> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>>
>
>
> I heard they are using hams as volunteers.
>
> 73 good dx,
>
> Johnny
>
>
>

2035. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 06:01:21 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114322481.464293.110340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

GO TO HELL, YOU STUPID PATHETIC LITTLE MAN!

2036. FREE! "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" OF FREQ. MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 10:21:58 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <4qsm615iq9rqri5425bhlhg64uhkc7a62o@4ax.com>
Source record: 199063

FREE!!

For advanced techs and serious radio hobbyists, we're now offering a FREE copy of our previously published "CB EPROM DATA BOOK" by Martin Pickering. (EPROM = Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.) We sold it for many years but it's now out of print. This little manual of 60 illustrated pages is an absolute GEM of CB technical knowledge you'll just never find anywhere else. And it's yours for FREE from our Web site.

This book reveals the secrets of advanced channel programming, and picks up where our "CB PLL DATA BOOK" (www.cbcintl.com/pllbook.htm) leaves off. You'll get a thorough background in how these amazing programmable chips can be used to modify many popular CB PLL circuits for expanded frequency coverage. Covers the basic programming techniques, and even includes real PC board artwork for making EPROM circuits. Sample artwork includes EPROM boards for the popular MB8719, PLL02A, ï¿½PD2824, and ï¿½PD858 PLL circuits.

Best of all, the price is right--namely, FREE! Download your FREE copy of "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" (948 KB Adobe PDF) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/EpromBook.htm

Don't forget to check out all our other CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2037. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 10:22:02 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <8qsm61l11j90c2srn2vg1s742mi18gfnlk@4ax.com>
Source record: 199064

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure
out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF
feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you
need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2038. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 10:22:06 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <cqsm615rqpkaj9mgs9su7nvg7tbi5d93ck@4ax.com>
Source record: 199061

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2039. GALAXY-RANGER SERVICE MANUAL--2nd EDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 10:22:11 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <hqsm619jaknaug3u8qsnrdt4c4rvojlk7v@4ax.com>
Source record: 199062

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

2040. Re: Mopathetic admits he beats himself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 10:37:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050424063705.378$KI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199065

truth@trueman.biz wrote:
> > mopathetic, a wankstain posting forges, hiding behind anonymous
> > servers, and all other sorts of chicken activities as well, femininely
> > meweled the following:
> >
> > "Any wankstain that says that shit to my face catches a beatin'"
>
> Yes - he is talking about the sexual kind of "beating", i.e. he
> desires to stroke your male organ. What else could he mean?
> He follows men around as a sexual stalker, collects pictures
> of men, talks about them constantly, pleads to meet them at
> events, etc. it's clear he is a letent homosexual who has a crush
> on ham radio operators.
>
HA! Leland and fugly felon calling people gay, that's a new one nad. I
didn't see your felon friends post until now. He's gonna pay.

Tell me. What parking lot is directly behind this slum?

http://img168.echo.cx/my.php?image=lelandsaliar9cf.jpg

2041. Re: N8WWM in Trouble again!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 10:55:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050424065558.989$nf_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Nomen Nescio wrote:
> > You freebanders better knock it off.
> > The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> > already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>
> They are looking into no code techs like you
>
They got N8WWM already.

If you see the fugly felon doug at Dayton ask him these questions.

(he will be attempting to sell some trash there if I didn't
scare him away again)

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

Here's what the felon looks like:

http://img258.echo.cx/img258/8190/fuglydogiecloseupgif7bt.jpg

and

http://img258.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly2nk.jpg

2042. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 12:47:05 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1114346825.377843.196320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

Hey, don't get mad... it isn't worth the effort here.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

CB outlaw wrote:
> GO TO HELL, YOU STUPID PATHETIC LITTLE MAN!

2043. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 12:56:03 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1114347363.304998.202280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199068

The Chinese might assemble them... but they are engineered here in
Chicago.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

2044. FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 40 and ARGONNE CRYSTAL MIC ELEMENTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 17:04:18 UTC
From: LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <akQae.16993$ZQ1.12385@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199069

Posting this for a friend

Lafayette Dyna-Com 40
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5769800012

Argonne crystal mic elements, NEW
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5769860057

2045. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 17:43:03 UTC
From: BobC <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2faf0$426bdaf8$453d8f16$20321@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 199070

How about you figure out a few important things before you "stiff-arm" the guy.
Important question #1--Is the guy running a legal station?
" " #2--Are you using a decent radio to receive the fire frequency?
" " #3--Is your antenna system correctly installed using decent connectors etc?
" " #4--Is there some piece of metal on / near the roof corroded enough to cause Intermod?
These are a good start.
Next problem--the computer speakers etc.--Tuff cookies. If your scanner, telephone, clock radio, stereo, tv audio system, computer speakers & a host of other consumer devices are having a problem with cb energy close by....look in your own house for the problem first before you send the moustaches over to your neighbor. Most times these problems occur due to corroded or improper connector installation, poor shielding of the chassis, poor bypassing of leads entering the device to name a few errors.

In general, I doubt you will get anywhere with the fcc based on consumer device problems.
You might get their attention if the interference is constant on your fire radios.
However, by fire radios I mean bonafide units like Motorola, Icom, Kenwood, MA/COM, Vertex, Harris, Tait etc.
If you are referring to a typical scanner, that, falls back into the realm of consumer devices & the concern is not great there.

Even lowly cb'ers do have some rights.
One of them is that you must make sure your house is in order before you storm the castle in search of Frankenstein's monster.

Good luck.
bc

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:d64f611f15trv18477o4p5bpaa82ggj88r@4ax.com...
> On 19 Apr 2005 12:29:01 -0700, "T.B. Parks" <konabean@gmail.com>
> wrote in <1113938941.273183.274880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>My neighbor installed a CB radio antenna and now I am picking up his
>>conversations via my computer speakers and answering machine. This has
>>gotten to be a really big problem for me and my family. It even
>>interferes with our fire radios.
>>
>>Can anyone tell me why this is happening and how to fix it. (Short of

>>going over and cutting the wires!?)
>>
>>Thanks in advance,
>>
>>Jade
>
>
> First, communicate with your neighbor about the problem. If he refuses
> to fix the problem, file a complaint (in conjuction with your FD) with
> the FCC (www.fcc.gov) since he is interfering with an emergency radio
> communication service. If all else fails, talk to an attorney about
> the neighbor and local laws regarding nuisances.

2046. Re: Mopathetic admits he beats himself!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 17:50:27 UTC
From: mopathetic won't say where he is camping <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365027.078932.190260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199071

Not to mention the wrist flipper STILL has not said where he will be camping. You know the sissy never will.

2047. mopathetic at Petro again?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 17:53:18 UTC
From: mopathetic won't say where he is camping <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365198.257704.115320@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199072

Wrist flipper mopathetic talks junk, but has no balls. He wont say
where he is camping.

2048. Wrist flipper mopathetic dribbling more squirrel doo doo, as usual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 18:01:34 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365694.194457.320790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199073

Pont blank, wrist flipper...where are you gonna be camping at Dayton?

You don't scare anyone, fool. If you can't say where you are you are the one scared.

Come on, wrist flipper...tell us more about how big and bad you are and how yer gonna punch people's lights out, etc. You lying sack of moldy trash. We wanna read more about the big bad wristflipper who goes to people's houses and threatens them with cameras.

Is your doggie is looking pretty well satisfied? In fact, you should take a good look at him every time you come in from the Petro. I bet if you look closely for certain stains, etc. you will figure it allllllll out.

Maybe that web page with the doggie on it is still hanging out there in cyberspace...hmmm?
We know you go look at it everytime you wanna get worked up for a night at Petro.

Come on mopathetic, make some more threats. It has been boring around here lately, you know you are cornered now, and you seek to wiggle away.

2049. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 18:03:43 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365823.882852.20790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

mopathetic aint mad, he is just a ridiculous retard.

2050. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 18:04:17 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365857.942990.71510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame.jews,alt.politics.white-power

we KNOW that's the real mopathetic now!

2051. Re: Vinnie S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 18:06:05 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365965.549308.34010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199076
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.satellite.tv

I heard about that, sounds like mopathetic is expanding his turf. All the truckers at Petro aren't enough for him.

2052. Re: To hell with this dumb group!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 19:01:23 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114365896.685704.19890@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199077

Good, makes it easier to find and fine you, rabbithead.

2053. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 19:02:27 UTC
From: Scratch Dog Twice <ya@scrdotwices.org>
Message-ID: <d4gqdl$uh4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
> You freebanders better knock it off.
> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>

There were multiple coordinated busts in several cities last night. Freebanders could be in for some big trouble from Uncle Candy Pants. Tons of equipment seized. Ham radio operators assisted in all raids.

2054. Re: N8WWM in Trouble again!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-24 19:31:56 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <426bf49d_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 199079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050424065558.989$nf_-_@newsreader.com...
> lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Nomen Nescio wrote:
>> > You freebanders better knock it off.
>> > The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
>> > already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>>
>> They are looking into no code techs like you
>>
> They got N8WWM already.
>
> If you see the fugly felon doug at Dayton ask him these questions.
>
> (he will be attempting to sell some trash there if I didn't
> scare him away again)
>
>
I can answer these questions for you, I'm his felon common law wife.
>
>
> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>
Terrible, he is a bigger nut job now than ever!
>
> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>
Terrible, the dead beat daddy still lives off me unless he can swindle
someone with his
superskyhooks antenna scam!
>
> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>
Wage withholding? Would't he have to get a job for that to occur?
>
> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?
>
Probably, he's always bragging about cutting peoples coax and jamming
repeaters.
>
> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?
>

I doubt it. I have a hard time getting him to walk away from the window and
stop
shaking in fear after the last time someone came looking for him!
>
> Here's what the felon looks like:
>
> http://img258.echo.cx/img258/8190/fuglydogiecloseupgif7bt.jpg
>
> and
>
> http://img258.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly2nk.jpg
>
Yes, that's my little hog joweled felon. We are both felons so at
least we
have something besides living in the ghetto in common.

2055. Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·149

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 01:43:29 UTC
From: RonGrossi382871@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114393409.020632.6320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199080
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are,
nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they
are going to Heaven when they die is because they
just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that
you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus
said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and
then three days later come back to life and it
actually happens then this person must be the
real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
things that you have done in your life including
lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
children, I write this to you so that you will not
sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
chance to do so because you do not know when you
will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but
by me." (John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will be forever
separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so
they can know that they have a choice
where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

..·º°`°º.·..·º°`°º.·□_□_□.·`°º.`°º.·...·º°`°º.·

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

..·º°`°º.·..·º°`°º.·□_□_□.·`°º.`°º.·...·º°`°º.·

2056. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you ar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 02:10:43 UTC
From: Doug <dt12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <EkYae.18135$y31.14739@fe28.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 199081
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

I love that guy! Doug

.
<RonGrossi382871@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114393409.020632.6320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are,
nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they
are going to Heaven when they die is because they
just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that
you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then

Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and then three days later come back to life and it actually happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,

the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another chance to do so because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you have true peace in your life knowing that no matter what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

*Show this to your family and friends so they can know that they have a choice where they will spend eternity. Thanks!

..���`���...���`���.?_?_?.�`���`���....���`���.

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

..���`���...���`���.?_?_?.�`���`���....���`���.

2057. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you ar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 02:25:45 UTC
From: GWB <gwb3483@aol.compost>
Message-ID: <p7lo61ppd0655f2a70ta4udamcge8j1q3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 199082
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 22:10:43 -0400, "Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>I love that guy! Doug

Well, that make one of you.

2058. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 08:25:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <JP1be.82$MT5.2596982@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Really? Give us a URL/address on the web so we can see for ourselves....
With all the problems this country has, I cannot believe we have the luxury
of paying feds to play hide-and-seek with freebanders and attempting to keep
the hobby bands free for the hams elite...

Regards,
John
"Scratch Dog Twice" <ya@scrdotwices.org> wrote in message
news:d4gqdl$uh4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
> news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
>> You freebanders better knock it off.
>> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
>> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
>>
>
>
> There were multiple coordinated busts in several cities last
> night. Freebanders could be in for some big trouble from
> Uncle Candy Pants. Tons of equipment seized. Ham radio
> operators assisted in all raids.
>
>
>

2059. Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 08:30:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eU1be.83$fw.2747508@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199083

Anyone know any of these guys? Is it just possible the hams are playing you all for fools, planting rumors to titillate you?
A dog chasing its own tail is a funny sight...

Regards,
John

2060. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 09:14:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425051432.352$uv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

High John.

Reckon it's just a coincidence that both "Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net>
and "Scratch Dog Twice" <ya@scrdotwices.org> 's posts come from Chris
Caputo's servers and contain this in the header? :D

@pita.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Really? Give us a URL/address on the web so we can see for ourselves....
> With all the problems this country has, I cannot believe we have the
> luxury of paying feds to play hide-and-seek with freebanders and
> attempting to keep the hobby bands free for the hams elite...
>
> Regards,
> John
> "Scratch Dog Twice" <ya@scrdotwices.org> wrote in message
> news:d4gqdl$uh4$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
> > news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
> >> You freebanders better knock it off.
> >> The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they
> >> already cited a bunch of Freebanders.
> >>
> >
> >
> > There were multiple coordinated busts in several cities last
> > night. Freebanders could be in for some big trouble from
> > Uncle Candy Pants. Tons of equipment seized. Ham radio
> > operators assisted in all raids.
> >
> >
> >

2061. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·149

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 09:35:53 UTC
From: drpawansharma@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1114421753.472417.41320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199086
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

RonGrossi382871@yahoo.com wrote:
> This is the most important question of your life.
>
> The question is: Are you saved?
>
> It is not a question of how good you are,
> nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?
>
> Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?
>
> The reason some people don't know for sure if they
> are going to Heaven when they die is because they
> just don't know.
>
> The good news is that you can know for sure that
> you are going to Heaven.
>
> The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.
>
> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
> to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
> in Heaven.
>
> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
> and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
> the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
> in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
> and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.
>
> Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
> a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
> life for thirty-three years.
>
> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and

> had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
> Jesus was crucified.
>
> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
> placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus
> said would happen before Jesus died.
>
> If someone tells you that they are going to die and
> then three days later come back to life and it
> actually happens then this person must be the
> real deal.
>
> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
> perfect sinless life.
>
> This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
> with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.
>
> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
> redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
> sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>
> If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
> present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
> your Lord and Saviour.
>
> It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
> things that you have done in your life including
> lying and stealing all the way up to murder.
>
> Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
> it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.
>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
> have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
> with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
> me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
> that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
> and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
> Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
> me through Your merciful grace. Amen.
>
> Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
> to save you.
>
> Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
> sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this
> life comes to an end.

>
> As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
> but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
> children, I write this to you so that you will not
> sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
> to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
> the Righteous One."
>
> Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
> trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
> chance to do so because you do not know when you
> will die.
>
> Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
> no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but
> by me." (John 14:6)
>
> This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
> Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will be forever
> separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.
>
> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
> torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
> have rejected Jesus Christ.
>
> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
> Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
> have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
> what happens you are on your way to Heaven.
>
>
> Praise the Lord!
> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
> Ronald L. Grossi
>
>
> *Show this to your family and friends so
> they can know that they have a choice
> where they will spend eternity. Thanks!
>
>
>
..·º°`°º.…·º°`°º.·□_□_□.·`°º.`°º.·….·º°`°º.·.
>
>
> Got Questions?
> http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
>
> Other Languages

> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>
> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
> Animation
> http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html
>
> The Passion Of The Christ
> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>
> Beware Of Cults
> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>
> About Hell
> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
> Is Jesus God?
> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>
> Free Online Bible
> http://www.biblegateway.com
>
>
>
..·º°`°º·...·º°`°º·.□_□_□.·`°º.`°º·....·º°`°º·.

2062. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you ar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 11:41:25 UTC
From: Cactus Jammies <not@joshuatree.nemor>
Message-ID: <FH4be.63715$vt1.15590@edtnps90>
Source record: 199087
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

<drpawansharma@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1114421753.472417.41320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

RonGrossi382871@yahoo.com wrote:
> This is the most important question of your life.
>
> The question is: Are you saved?
>
> It is not a question of how good you are,
> nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?
>
> Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?
>
> The reason some people don't know for sure if they
> are going to Heaven when they die is because they
> just don't know.
>
> The good news is that you can know for sure that
> you are going to Heaven.
>
> The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
> place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.
>
> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
> to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
> in Heaven.
>
> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
> and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
> the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
> in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
> and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.
>
> Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
> a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
> life for thirty-three years.
>

> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
> had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
> Jesus was crucified.
>
> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
> placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus
> said would happen before Jesus died.
>
> If someone tells you that they are going to die and
> then three days later come back to life and it
> actually happens then this person must be the
> real deal.
>
> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
> perfect sinless life.
>
> This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
> with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.
>
> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
> redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
> sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>
> If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
> present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
> your Lord and Saviour.
>
> It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
> things that you have done in your life including
> lying and stealing all the way up to murder.
>
> Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
> it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.
>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
> have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
> with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
> me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
> that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
> and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
> Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
> me through Your merciful grace. Amen.
>
> Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
> to save you.
>
> Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
> sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this

> life comes to an end.
>
> As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
> but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
> children, I write this to you so that you will not
> sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
> to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
> the Righteous One."
>
> Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
> trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
> chance to do so because you do not know when you
> will die.
>
> Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
> no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but
> by me." (John 14:6)
>
> This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
> Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will be forever
> separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.
>
> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
> torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
> have rejected Jesus Christ.
>
> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
> Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
> have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
> what happens you are on your way to Heaven.
>
>
> Praise the Lord!
> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
> Ronald L. Grossi
>
>
> *Show this to your family and friends so
> they can know that they have a choice
> where they will spend eternity. Thanks!
>
>
>
..���`���...���`���.?_?_?.�`���`���....���`���.
>
>
> Got Questions?
> http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
>

> Other Languages
> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>
> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
> Animation
> http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html
>
> The Passion Of The Christ
> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>
> Beware Of Cults
> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>
> About Hell
> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
> Is Jesus God?
> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>
> Free Online Bible
> http://www.biblegateway.com
>
>
>
..���`���...���`���.?_?_?.�`���`���....���`���.

2063. Roger Wiseman sock puppet show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 12:02:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425080228.542$RX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sock puppet show, starring at least if not more than:

"Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net>

and

"Scratch Dog Twice" <ya@scrdotwices.org>

Courtesy of Chris Caputo's servers at Altopia.

Feel free to add more actors to the credit list.

2064. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 12:20:38 UTC
From: Jade <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mn.c9f47d544da9c6f9.29213@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Source record: 199089

BobC wrote :
> Even lowly cb'ers do have some rights.
> One of them is that you must make sure your house is in order before you
> storm the castle in search of Frankenstein's monster.
>
> Good luck.
> bc

We have to make sure our house is in order and this guy can interfere
with what ever right??? It is very apparent as to what he is doing.
One conversation was about causing trouble for others on differnet
channels. I have recorded all of the conversations and will forward
them to whom ever I need to.

Is it legal for he the boost power? lenears??!! Maybe this is why we
are having the problem.

As far as I a concerned he can play all day on the cb just don't bother
me with it.

Jade

--
This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
Site : http://www.mesnews.net

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0516-8, 04/24/2005
Tested on: 4/25/2005 8:20:56 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2005 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2065. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 12:38:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425083822.225$ce@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199090

"Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net> wrote:
> BobC wrote :
> > Even lowly cb'ers do have some rights.
> > One of them is that you must make sure your house is in order before
> > you storm the castle in search of Frankenstein's monster.
> >
> > Good luck.
> > bc
>
> We have to make sure our house is in order and this guy can interfere
> with what ever right???
>
No. But he still has rights to use his CB. Sometimes it's the cheap
consumer electronics that are to blame. I'm not saying that's the
case for you tho.
>
> It is very apparent as to what he is doing.
> One conversation was about causing trouble for others on differnet
> channels. I have recorded all of the conversations and will forward
> them to whom ever I need to.
>
Good luck.
>
> Is it legal for he the boost power? lenears??!! Maybe this is why we
> are having the problem.
>
Have you made him aware that he's causing problems, and if so, what was
his response?
>
> As far as I a concerned he can play all day on the cb just don't bother
> me with it.
>
> Jade
>
Agreed, Jade.

Btw, who is "T.B. Parks"?

2066. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 14:16:18 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114438578.143082.162260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199091

I think you are absolutly right, John> I think the "hams" are jeolous
of us CB'ers and freebanders cause we are PROFESSIONAL dx'ers while
they are just amateur dx'ers. What do you think?
Regards from
CB OUTLAW (beep <as in roger>)

2067. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 15:33:06 UTC
From: Jade <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mn.cab57d54e2a3497c.29213@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Source record: 199092

Steveo,

We have made him aware of the situation and the guy is not in any hurry to stop using his illegal equipment. DH spoke with a fellow at him job that know alot about cber cause he use to be one. he knew right off the bat what the guy was using before dh could say anything. I know he has the right to use his cb but we have the right not to hear his conversations. The computer speakers are connected to a dell computer so nothing cheap here. :D (I know what you are talking about) :D

TB Parks is another account I have to post to Google groups.

Jade

--
This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
Site : http://www.mesnews.net

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0517-0, 04/25/2005
Tested on: 4/25/2005 11:33:24 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2005 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2068. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 16:12:29 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <NF8be.23738$h6.784@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199093

"Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mn.cab57d54e2a3497c.29213@NOBEANS.earthlink.net...
> Steveo,
>
> We have made him aware of the situation and the guy is not in any hurry to
> stop using his illegal equipment. DH spoke with a fellow at him job that
> know alot about cber cause he use to be one. he knew right off the bat
> what the guy was using before dh could say anything. I know he has the
> right to use his cb but we have the right not to hear his conversations.
> The computer speakers are connected to a dell computer so nothing cheap
> here. :D

Oh really? Dell is the pitts! Build your own with a good motherboard. Dell
is just overpriced Intel junk.

(I know what you are talking about) :D
>
> TB Parks is another account I have to post to Google groups.
>
> Jade
>
> --
> This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
> Site : http://www.mesnews.net
>
>
>
> ---
> avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
> Virus Database (VPS): 0517-0, 04/25/2005
> Tested on: 4/25/2005 11:33:24 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2005 ALWIL Software.
> http://www.avast.com
>
>
>

2069. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 16:34:27 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rt6q61piu1fhek7k1l4u2ba5uou2nn2seh@4ax.com>
Source record: 199094

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 12:20:38 GMT, "Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
wrote:

>We have to make sure our house is in order and this guy can interfere
>with what ever right??? It is very apparent as to what he is doing.
>One conversation was about causing trouble for others on differnet
>channels. I have recorded all of the conversations and will forward
>them to whom ever I need to.
>
>Is it legal for he the boost power? lenears??!! Maybe this is why we
>are having the problem.
>
>As far as I a concerned he can play all day on the cb just don't bother
>me with it.
>
>Jade

Work with him. Try the frielndly approach first. Maybe he will try to slove the
problem. He might be running power, or he might have a legal power radio that is
hacked and splattering from over-modulation.

Vinnie S.

2070. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 16:37:43 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <426d1d5a$1_3@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 199095

"Professor" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1114347363.304998.202280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> The Chinese might assemble them... but they are engineered here in
> Chicago.
>
> Professor
> www.telstar-electronics.com

You can thank labor unions for that...and most other "Made in China"
products.

Kevin, WB5RUE

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2071. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 16:47:07 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <bd7q611o90uqsnoev546bcvoa69abrl62l@4ax.com>
Source record: 199096

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 11:37:43 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:

>You can thank labor unions for that...and most other "Made in China"
>products.
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE

I have to agree. I think the idea of unions was to protect abused workers from low pay and long ours. Since the beginning, the pendulum has swung way in favor of unions. The biggest thing I see is plants closing down in urban areas, and moving to cheaper rural areas. And then from there, just overseas. I would wish they would find a middle ground, where they can both be happy. I am not against unions, but I have seen some friends lose lifetime jobs in plants, where they where making $25 per hour, and the plant closed and moved to NC, and hired workers at $8-10. Now, they can't find any work for unless it's $10-15.

Vinnie S.

2072. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 17:03:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Op9be.34$HC1.797856@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199097

I think I have been both, freebander/ham, all I think I have seen is that the freebanders have more fun.

Also, I think the radio spectrum is for the use of all, there needs to be a good slice of radio spectrum set aside for freebanders, indeed, set aside for "We the People!"

Unfortunately, the hams have just become a self-serving bunch holding much of the spectrum to their hobby... they like nice clear spaces of spectrum where they and a few buddies carry on conversations like old women around a bridge table.

Unless one feels himself a child who needs a parent telling them what they CAN'T do--it is time for a change of thinking here...

I think the FCC needs to support radio use amongst the citizens of this country, not a self-serving group who feel themselves more deserving and special... I think we all need a better form of radio spectrum use--one which conforms to the people, NOT makes the people conform to ridiculous rules...

And, I think a whole lot more... but basically it all revolves around my seeing hams as self-serving/control-freaks who have a good thing going--and are getting away with it...

Regards,
John

"CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114438578.143082.162260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I think you are absolutly right, John> I think the "hams" are jeolous
> of us CB'ers and freebanders cause we are PROFESSIONAL dx'ers while
> they are just amateur dx'ers. What do you think?
> Regards from
> CB OUTLAW (beep <as in roger>)
>

2073. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 17:25:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZJ9be.38$dF1.799813@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199098

Here is a fellow who says it straight-up, and rather eloquently!
http://www.hamsexy.com/archives1.html

Regards,
John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Op9be.34$HC1.797856@news.sisna.com...
>I think I have been both, freebander/ham, all I think I have seen is that
>the freebanders have more fun.
>
> Also, I think the radio spectrum is for the use of all, there needs to be
> a good slice of radio spectrum set aside for freebanders, indeed, set
> aside for "We the People!"
>
> Unfortunately, the hams have just become a self-serving bunch holding much
> of the spectrum to their hobby... they like nice clear spaces of spectrum
> where they and a few buddies carry on conversations like old women around
> a bridge table.
>
> Unless one feels himself a child who needs a parent telling them what they
> CAN'T do--it is time for a change of thinking here...
>
> I think the FCC needs to support radio use amongst the citizens of this
> country, not a self-serving group who feel themselves more deserving and
> special... I think we all need a better form of radio spectrum use--one
> which conforms to the people, NOT makes the people conform to ridiculous
> rules...
>
> And, I think a whole lot more... but basically it all revolves around my
> seeing hams as self-serving/control-freaks who have a good thing
> going--and are getting away with it...
>
>
>
> Regards,
> John
>
>
>
> "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1114438578.143082.162260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>I think you are absolutly right, John> I think the "hams" are jeolous
>> of us CB'ers and freebanders cause we are PROFESSIONAL dx'ers while
>> they are just amateur dx'ers. What do you think?

>> Regards from
>> CB OUTLAW (beep <as in roger>)
>>
>
>

2074. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 17:54:07 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426d3b1c.19153015@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199099

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 10:03:40 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>I think I have been both, freebander/ham, all I think I have seen is that
>the freebanders have more fun.
>
>Also, I think the radio spectrum is for the use of all, there needs to be a
>good slice of radio spectrum set aside for freebanders, indeed, set aside
>for "We the People!"
>
>Unfortunately, the hams have just become a self-serving bunch holding much
>of the spectrum to their hobby... they like nice clear spaces of spectrum
>where they and a few buddies carry on conversations like old women around a
>bridge table.
>
>Unless one feels himself a child who needs a parent telling them what they
>CAN'T do--it is time for a change of thinking here...
>
>I think the FCC needs to support radio use amongst the citizens of this
>country, not a self-serving group who feel themselves more deserving and
>special... I think we all need a better form of radio spectrum use--one
>which conforms to the people, NOT makes the people conform to ridiculous
>rules...
>
>And, I think a whole lot more... but basically it all revolves around my
>seeing hams as self-serving/control-freaks who have a good thing going--and
>are getting away with it...
>
>
>
>Regards,
>John
>

I thought freeband was from 26.000 MHz up to channel 1, 26.965
MHz, and from above channel 40, 27.405 MHz, to the edge of the 10
Meter ham band, 28.000 MHz.

What does that have to do with Ham radio spectrum use?

Quit doing so much thinking, you're going to hurt yourself...

2075. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 17:54:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <de9q61lvsddtbqc73kgrqk5qsled2o2ohr@4ax.com>
Source record: 199198

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:47:55 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<Awsbe.113$yR2.4678785@news.sisna.com>:

>You my friend, are an idiot!!!

So I've been told on more than one occasion. But let's see what's in
-your- post......

>Most hams feel it takes a "musical ear" to be proficient with morse code--

Really? I've never heard that from -any- ham. I know Morse myself and
I wouldn't say it takes a musical ear, but then I'm not a ham -or- a
musician. So do you have any references to back up your statement that
"most hams" feel this way?

<snip>
>50Mhz and above works best with bounce, with enough power

Really? I've never heard that, either. So if I want to talk to someone
across town on, say, 2m, I should forget the repeaters, crank up the
amp and howl at the moon?

>(and there is
>something wrong with the ionosphere these days--holes yanno!) I suppose
>bounce at 27Mhz is a possibility--however, how many other cb'ers would have
>a ant pointed at the moon?

The ozone hole(s) might make a difference on 11m if you happen to live
in Nome, AK, but I doubt that the mainland US is going to see a big
difference anytime soon. As long as skip continues to happen then the
ionosphere is still in good shape, and you probably won't have too
much luck with CB EME.

But that didn't answer my question: Have you done moon bounce with CB?

>Expect no more answers from me--you are not on a level to be given this
>consideration. You are simply displaying the traits of a control freak, and
>attempting to use cheap shots to make others answerable to you--I have not
>the time--be interesting or be silent...

Your cop-out came earlier than I expected, but it -was- expected.

>I can clearly see you have the petty traits most hams display--you may be a
>lost soul... get your license!

By "petty traits" do you mean pointing out the absurdity of the
arguments you use to defend your anti-hammie attitude? Guilty as

charged.

>You are entitled to your opinions, if you think you will upset me with your
>rantings--think again...

I really don't care if you get upset or not. Why did you even bring it
up as a topic of discussion?

> I support free speech....

As do I. I even consider it a right.

> however, if you have no
>respect for logic and the obivious it will show and you will be known for
>such--proceed as you please...

....."logic and the obvious"? Like avoiding sat-comm because of
possible alien influence? And working moon bounce on CB? Of course you
realize that the moon is a natural satellite complete with alien mud
puddles, don't you? Then there is your statement that only musicians
can learn Morse code, your anti-government diatribe that came out of
nowhere, and your suggestion that education is a bad thing because it
will inevitably lead to Hitleresque facism in the US. "Logic and the
obvious" indeed.

John, you are a certifiable whacko. Welcome to RRCB!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2076. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 18:51:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1%abe.47$W44.1162382@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199100

I consider the upper freeband to be from 25Mhz to 30Mhz... at least, I have heard freebanders all across that 5Mhz bandwidth...

Regards,
John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:426d3b1c.19153015@news.easynews.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 10:03:40 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>I think I have been both, freebander/ham, all I think I have seen is that
>>the freebanders have more fun.
>>
>>Also, I think the radio spectrum is for the use of all, there needs to be
>>a
>>good slice of radio spectrum set aside for freebanders, indeed, set aside
>>for "We the People!"
>>
>>Unfortunately, the hams have just become a self-serving bunch holding much
>>of the spectrum to their hobby... they like nice clear spaces of spectrum
>>where they and a few buddies carry on conversations like old women around
>>a
>>bridge table.
>>
>>Unless one feels himself a child who needs a parent telling them what they
>>CAN'T do--it is time for a change of thinking here...
>>
>>I think the FCC needs to support radio use amongst the citizens of this
>>country, not a self-serving group who feel themselves more deserving and
>>special... I think we all need a better form of radio spectrum use--one
>>which conforms to the people, NOT makes the people conform to ridiculous
>>rules...
>>
>>And, I think a whole lot more... but basically it all revolves around my
>>seeing hams as self-serving/control-freaks who have a good thing
>>going--and
>>are getting away with it...
>>
>>
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>>
>

>
> I thought freeband was from 26.000 MHz up to channel 1, 26.965
> MHz, and from above channel 40, 27.405 MHz, to the edge of the 10
> Meter ham band, 28.000 MHz.
>
> What does that have to do with Ham radio spectrum use?
>
> Quit doing so much thinking, you're going to hurt yourself...

2077. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 18:52:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <30bbe.48$rO5.1235095@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199101

Well, 6Mhz bandwidth even...

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1%abe.47$W44.1162382@news.sisna.com...
>I consider the upper freeband to be from 25Mhz to 30Mhz... at least, I have
>heard freebanders all across that 5Mhz bandwidth...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
> news:426d3b1c.19153015@news.easynews.com...
>> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 10:03:40 -0700, "John Smith"
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>I think I have been both, freebander/ham, all I think I have seen is that
>>>the freebanders have more fun.
>>>
>>>Also, I think the radio spectrum is for the use of all, there needs to be
>>>a
>>>good slice of radio spectrum set aside for freebanders, indeed, set aside
>>>for "We the People!"
>>>
>>>Unfortunately, the hams have just become a self-serving bunch holding
>>>much
>>>of the spectrum to their hobby... they like nice clear spaces of spectrum
>>>where they and a few buddies carry on conversations like old women around
>>>a
>>>bridge table.
>>>
>>>Unless one feels himself a child who needs a parent telling them what
>>>they
>>>CAN'T do--it is time for a change of thinking here...
>>>
>>>I think the FCC needs to support radio use amongst the citizens of this
>>>country, not a self-serving group who feel themselves more deserving and
>>>special... I think we all need a better form of radio spectrum use--one
>>>which conforms to the people, NOT makes the people conform to ridiculous
>>>rules...
>>>
>>>And, I think a whole lot more... but basically it all revolves around my
>>>seeing hams as self-serving/control-freaks who have a good thing
>>>going--and

>>>are getting away with it...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Regards,
>>>John
>>>
>>
>>
>> I thought freeband was from 26.000 MHz up to channel 1, 26.965
>> MHz, and from above channel 40, 27.405 MHz, to the edge of the 10
>> Meter ham band, 28.000 MHz.
>>
>> What does that have to do with Ham radio spectrum use?
>>
>> Quit doing so much thinking, you're going to hurt yourself...
>
>

2078. Re: Roger Wiseman sock puppet show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 19:52:51 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114458771.634567.171840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

OK, how about all of yours, wristflipper?

2079. chickenboy mopathetic won't answer the AKC, shows himself to be a coward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 19:55:02 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114458902.480836.194930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199103

Why won't mopathetic say where he is going to be camping at Dayton?
Also, notice he aint threatening anyone all the sudden. AKC thinks
mopathetic got told.

2080. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 19:58:09 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114459089.116212.89320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199105

Thanks John for your comments and that link is excellent. I used to be a "ham" to but I found it to be VERY boring (here anyway) about just a bunch of OLD MEN talking about their poor health issues,"ohhhhh I got a really bad back" "that's nothin' i got double hernia" "i gotcha both beat, I'm actually DEAD" (i wish) Anyway you get my point? I WAS a ham but thankfully not anymore. I find CB AND the freeband to be a MUCH MORE relaxed atmosphere AND MORE FUN. And your are right , WE need less hams to be "sarcastic"? That's not the word I'm looking for as I'm trying to be nice right know, but I think you can understand what I'm getting at.
Thanks and 73's. I like to say 73's rather than just 73. 73 sounds TOOO hammie!
CB OUTLAW 10-4 <beep>

2081. Re: chickenboy mopathetic won't answer the AKC, shows himself to be a coward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 19:59:10 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114459150.433167.325110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199104

HUH?

2082. CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 20:27:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <cpcbe.61$3d4.1172617@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199107

Facts are...

There are millions of CB Radios out there. Some of these radios are in attics, basements and storage sheds--waiting and forgotten.

The hams picture themselves as the source of ALL communications (well, along with gov't) in the event of a major catastrophe. This is quite obliviously ridiculous. It will be truckers and 4-wheelers out on the road, with their radios, in vast numbers, which will first begin to set up communications. They will be providing information and aiding in re-routing traffic around hazardous, dangerous and questionable areas the hams will be monitoring their communications picking up important information as it is happening. CB radios will be supplying hundreds or even thousands (tens-of-thousands, hundreds-of-thousands?) as the few hams with their hobby radios.

Truckers are living in the real world, their radios are used in real world situations--reporting accidents, traffic conditions, emergencies and in conduction commerce--they WILL be the most valuable communication lines--their training has been continuous and ongoing....

Freebanders and CB'ers will shine in the event of such an event--let us all hope it never happens...

But, don't hang your head in shame as the hams would have it; hold it high, you are clearly head-and-shoulders in the defense, protection and communications of this great country!

Hopefully, either the hams have CB equipment--or can quickly modify their equipment and do a quick catch-up!!! <grin>

While debate and argument are good things and will help make it common knowledge that the hams have their heads up their A$$'s--the facts stated above are simply too obivious to argue. However, if/when you do run into hams which cannot face the real world--perhaps it would be a kindness to suggest a three-day observation in their local mental health facility....

Regards,
John

2083. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 20:29:38 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426d5fc1.28534281@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199106

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 11:51:39 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>I consider the upper freeband to be from 25Mhz to 30Mhz... at least, I have
>heard freebanders all across that 5Mhz bandwidth...
>
>Regards,
>John
>

I'd be willing to bet there are a lot of hams that don't even know
what "freeband" is. If freebanders stay off 10 meters, most hams
wouldn't even care..

2084. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 20:48:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <zIcbe.62$dF1.799813@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199108

Lancer:

I only wish you were correct. Hams are a tight-knit group which looks down on all other radio-users, with the possible exception of gov't.

Get to know some hams in your area, I think you will find a common "control freak" nature amongst them. If even another ham suggests possible changes to their ancient methods they "black ball" him/her.

Most of all their activities center around a "good ole boys rationale." You have to meet and participate with them to see just how deep this runs...

Get your ham license!!! But, play along with them, make it a mission to study and learn about them... I think you will be back to freeband/"Chicken Band", but you will have a greater appreciation of radio on these bands!

Regards,
John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:426d5fc1.28534281@news.easynews.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 11:51:39 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>I consider the upper freeband to be from 25Mhz to 30Mhz... at least, I
>>have
>>heard freebanders all across that 5Mhz bandwidth...
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>>
>
> I'd be willing to bet there are a lot of hams that don't even know
> what "freeband" is. If freebanders stay off 10 meters, most hams
> wouldn't even care..

2085. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 22:01:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pjpq61tq4sdf8njcs3d7uhprn86co0m2lt@4ax.com>
Source record: 199216

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 12:41:53 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<7Qwbe.136$ll2.5058592@news.sisna.com>:

>I as I said, exercise your free speech as you choose...
>If you come up with anything intelligent/interesting--I'll be here...

Assuming you could recognize "anything intelligent/interesting", why
should I care if you are here or not?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2086. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 22:50:33 UTC
From: BobC <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d4f2c$426d74ab$422ae9c6$25692@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 199110

> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 12:20:38 GMT, "Jade"
> <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>We have to make sure our house is in order and this guy can interfere
>with what ever right??? It is very apparent as to what he is doing.
>One conversation was about causing trouble for others on differnet
>channels. I have recorded all of the conversations and will forward
>them to whom ever I need to.
>
>Is it legal for he the boost power? lenears??!! Maybe this is why we
>are having the problem.
>
>As far as I a concerned he can play all day on the cb just don't bother
>me with it.
>
>Jade

Well Jade,
That's pretty much the drill.
You have no proof that this guy is running anything illegal.
You might keep saying it, but that doesn't make it true.
You have the obligation to ensure your consumer electronics are not at
fault.
That means adding whatever filtering is needed on your end.
If adding the proper filtering doesn't fix things, then you might have an
issue.
The Fed's already know all about cheap, unfiltered consumer gear.
When you call them, they'll make you aware of it.

Your Dell & speakers are not filtered for this interference.
This goes for your scanner, your toaster and whatever else.

Get your act together and then go after whomever.
Before you can even get someone else involved, they're going to
ask if you applied the correct filtering first. If not, they'll advise you
to
do so and call them back if it doesn't work.

Those are your rights.
Now stop whining & do your part.

2087. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 22:52:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <05sq61drjo1pkvasmvhi31jf44lemrntk0@4ax.com>
Source record: 199109

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:48:23 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<zIcbe.62$dF1.799813@news.sisna.com>:

>Lancer:
>
>I only wish you were correct. Hams are a tight-knit group which looks down
>on all other radio-users, with the possible exception of gov't.
>
>Get to know some hams in your area, I think you will find a common "control
>freak" nature amongst them. If even another ham suggests possible changes
>to their ancient methods they "black ball" him/her.
>
>Most of all their activities center around a "good ole boys rationale." You
>have to meet and participate with them to see just how deep this runs...

Some hams are just as you described, and need to be reminded on
occasion that they are, by definition, amateurs. But some hams don't
have that holier-than-thou attitude and are quite content belonging to
the human race. Lance fits better into the "human" category.

>Get your ham license!!! But, play along with them, make it a mission to
>study and learn about them... I think you will be back to freeband/"Chicken
>Band", but you will have a greater appreciation of radio on these bands!

Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
band.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2088. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 22:55:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425185552.500$zi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199111

"Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net> wrote:
-snip-

Good luck with the RF problem, 'Jade'.

>> TB Parks is another account I have to post to Google groups.
>
I figured it was you. My last name is Parks so you had me wondering
if we were shirt tail relatives. :)

2089. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:01:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425190139.583$Mw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199112

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> I thought freeband was from 26.000 MHz up to channel 1, 26.965
> MHz, and from above channel 40, 27.405 MHz, to the edge of the 10
> Meter ham band, 28.000 MHz.
>
> What does that have to do with Ham radio spectrum use?
>
> Quit doing so much thinking, you're going to hurt yourself...
>
That's right, Lancer. Although I'd suggest a little caution going
down the basement steps... 27.4 to 27.9 is worry free.

2090. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:08:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425190814.406$QL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199113

KC8LDO-truth@truth.com wrote:
> > Steveo,
> >
> > We have made him aware of the situation and the guy is not in any hurry
> > to stop using his illegal equipment. DH spoke with a fellow at him job
> > that know alot about cber cause he use to be one. he knew right off
> > the bat what the guy was using before dh could say anything. I know he
> > has the right to use his cb but we have the right not to hear his
> > conversations. The computer speakers are connected to a dell computer
> > so nothing cheap here. :D (I know what you are talking about) :D
>
> Jade, if he is operating ILLEGALLY --- he has NO RIGHTS.
>
Hey Jade, reckon you want to listen to a fool that does this with his coax?

http://img179.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00036ta.jpg

The gutless HT walkie-talkie ham operator bit off more than he can chew
this time at the freeband lunch counter.

2091. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:12:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hftq61ts8d65550pppes8e8fp3jl984928@4ax.com>
Source record: 199114

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:50:33 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
wrote in <d4f2c$426d74ab$422ae9c6$25692@FUSE.NET>:

>> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 12:20:38 GMT, "Jade"
>> <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>We have to make sure our house is in order and this guy can interfere
>>with what ever right??? It is very apparent as to what he is doing.
>>One conversation was about causing trouble for others on differnet
>>channels. I have recorded all of the conversations and will forward
>>them to whom ever I need to.
>>
>>Is it legal for he the boost power? lenears??!! Maybe this is why we
>>are having the problem.
>>
>>As far as I a concerned he can play all day on the cb just don't bother
>>me with it.
>>
>>Jade
>
>Well Jade,
>That's pretty much the drill.
>You have no proof that this guy is running anything illegal.

The fact that he's transmitting enough power to drive a pair of
speakers at a distance is a pretty good indication that it's a bit
more than 4 watts.

>You might keep saying it, but that doesn't make it true.
>You have the obligation to ensure your consumer electronics are not at
>fault.

Only if the station is operating legally.

>That means adding whatever filtering is needed on your end.
>If adding the proper filtering doesn't fix things, then you might have an
>issue.
>The Fed's already know all about cheap, unfiltered consumer gear.
>When you call them, they'll make you aware of it.

The Feds also know about cheap, unfiltered, Class-C "linears".

>Your Dell & speakers are not filtered for this interference.
>This goes for your scanner, your toaster and whatever else.
>

>Get your act together and then go after whomever.
>Before you can even get someone else involved, they're going to
>ask if you applied the correct filtering first. If not, they'll advise you
>to
>do so and call them back if it doesn't work.
>
>Those are your rights.
>Now stop whining & do your part.

Quit making excuses for irresponsible CBers.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2092. Re: chickenboy mopathetic won't answer the AKC, shows himself to be a coward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:15:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425191524.415$W9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
> HUH?
>
Just step over the sweat puddle that the fugly fuck-head felon leaves
as he trembles at my presence.

http://img154.echo.cx/img154/2799/dogiestation2ay.jpg

2093. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:19:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425191905.299$5U@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199116

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
-snip-
>> Freebanders and CB'ers will shine in the event of such an event--let us
> all hope it never happens...
>
Keep on rockin' in the free world. ($1- Neil Young the freebander)

2094. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:20:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425192014.209$PD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199117

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 11:51:39 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I consider the upper freeband to be from 25Mhz to 30Mhz... at least, I
> >have heard freebanders all across that 5Mhz bandwidth...
> >
> >Regards,
> >John
> >
>
> I'd be willing to bet there are a lot of hams that don't even know
> what "freeband" is. If freebanders stay off 10 meters, most hams
> wouldn't even care..
>
Amen and hallelujah!

2095. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:22:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425192215.476$Dg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199118

Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>> Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
> enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
> band.
>
Pass the bar rag, Frank. I have some clean up to do.

2096. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:23:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <keuq61pglqm5puadk2krmb1d03d7pmpml8@4ax.com>
Source record: 199119

On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 13:43:03 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
wrote in <2faf0$426bdaf8$453d8f16$20321@FUSE.NET>:

<snip>
>Even lowly cb'ers do have some rights.

CBers to not have the right to operate illegally. OTOH, the neighbors
-do- have the right to the peaceful enjoyment of their homes. That's
why almost all residential areas are subject to laws prohibiting noise
pollution and other nuisances.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2097. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:23:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <N_ebe.68$OL4.1663087@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199120

> Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
> enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
> band.

Hey! You aren't one of those hams which needs a three-day observation, are
ya?

What limitations do the freebanders suffer (other than danger of being
caught and prosecution?)

Or, are you claiming they can't read?

Stay coherent here!!!

Regards,
John

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:05sq61drjo1pkvasmvhi31jf44lemrntk0@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:48:23 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <zIcbe.62$dF1.799813@news.sisna.com>:
>
>>Lancer:
>>
>>I only wish you were correct. Hams are a tight-knit group which looks
>>down
>>on all other radio-users, with the possible exception of gov't.
>>
>>Get to know some hams in your area, I think you will find a common
>>"control
>>freak" nature amongst them. If even another ham suggests possible changes
>>to their ancient methods they "black ball" him/her.
>>
>>Most of all their activities center around a "good ole boys rationale."
>>You
>>have to meet and participate with them to see just how deep this runs...
>
>
> Some hams are just as you described, and need to be reminded on
> occasion that they are, by definition, amateurs. But some hams don't
> have that holier-than-thou attitude and are quite content belonging to
> the human race. Lance fits better into the "human" category.
>
>

>>Get your ham license!!! But, play along with them, make it a mission to
>>study and learn about them... I think you will be back to
>>freeband/"Chicken
>>Band", but you will have a greater appreciation of radio on these bands!
>
>
> Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
> enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
> band.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

2098. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:24:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425192452.406$Ay@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199121

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> Quit making excuses for irresponsible CBers.
>
Who listens? Who cares?

2099. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:26:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <c3vq61loemo2qug11m3tp01kup6fgm8ngf@4ax.com>
Source record: 199122

On 25 Apr 2005 23:22:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050425192215.476$Dg@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
>> enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
>> band.
>>
>Pass the bar rag, Frank. I have some clean up to do.

Spontaneous vomiting? or did you just spill your milk?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2100. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:29:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425192959.803$hy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199124

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 25 Apr 2005 23:22:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050425192215.476$Dg@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >>> Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
> >> enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
> >> band.
> >>
> >Pass the bar rag, Frank. I have some clean up to do.
>
> Spontaneous vomiting? or did you just spill your milk?
>
None of those. I'm pondering faking a trip with mapquest.

2101. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:31:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p6vq61t0r5ci30cto3uceg1mtsb9a9jivr@4ax.com>
Source record: 199123

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 16:23:36 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<N_ebe.68$OL4.1663087@news.sisna.com>:

>> Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
>> enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
>> band.
>
>Hey! You aren't one of those hams which needs a three-day observation, are
>ya?

I don't think so. I would have to get a license first, wouldn't I?

>What limitations do the freebanders suffer (other than danger of being
>caught and prosecution?)

Ever work EME on the CB/FB? Sat-comm? RACES?

>Or, are you claiming they can't read?
>
>
>
>Stay coherent here!!!

Speaking of coherent, ever work CCW on the CB/FB?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2102. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:33:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ngvq611cv9vuerjki3c51gulu7vr7pejbn@4ax.com>
Source record: 199125

On 25 Apr 2005 23:29:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050425192959.803$hy@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 25 Apr 2005 23:22:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050425192215.476$Dg@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >>> Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
>> >> enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
>> >> band.
>> >>
>> >Pass the bar rag, Frank. I have some clean up to do.
>>
>> Spontaneous vomiting? or did you just spill your milk?
>>
>None of those. I'm pondering faking a trip with mapquest.

Still sore about that, huh? Oh well.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2103. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:33:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425193320.602$Vt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199126

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 25 Apr 2005 23:01:39 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>> I thought freeband was from 26.000 MHz up to channel 1, 26.965
> >> MHz, and from above channel 40, 27.405 MHz, to the edge of the 10
> >> Meter ham band, 28.000 MHz.
> >>
> >> What does that have to do with Ham radio spectrum use?
> >>
> >> Quit doing so much thinking, you're going to hurt yourself...
> >>
> >That's right, Lancer. Although I'd suggest a little caution going
> >down the basement steps... 27.4 to 27.9 is worry free.
>
> LOL! Right now I'm staying away from basement steps...
>
ME too. The better half has a honey-do list on the chalk board
that's next to my tombstone down there! (also next to the pool
table)

2104. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:34:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425193403.584$cL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199127

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 25 Apr 2005 22:55:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net> wrote:
> > -snip-
> >
> >Good luck with the RF problem, 'Jade'.
> >
> >>> TB Parks is another account I have to post to Google groups.
> >>
> >I figured it was you. My last name is Parks so you had me wondering
> >if we were shirt tail relatives. :)
>
> I thought your last name was Peebles?
>
Only on the new HT I bought for Dayton.

2105. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:36:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425193602.867$RC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199128

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 25 Apr 2005 23:29:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >Pass the bar rag, Frank. I have some clean up to do.
> >>
> >> Spontaneous vomiting? or did you just spill your milk?
> >>
> >None of those. I'm pondering faking a trip with mapquest.
>
> Still sore about that, huh? Oh well.
>
Not at all. I have trouble with fence straddlers tho.

2106. CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:36:23 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wuqdna-POtlg4_DfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 199130

Why is it that every someone see your antenna
or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio , stupid
public. I guess it was from the days of Smoky and the bandit movies. There
should be a movie made for Hams then.
Call it PORKY the FAT ASS HAM radio operator.

2107. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:37:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425193735.939$xB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199129

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>
Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)

2108. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:39:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9rvq61544qsa0do878djpiu96h02cg97n0@4ax.com>
Source record: 199132

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:36:23 -0500, "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in
<wuqdna-POtlg4_DfRVn-tw@comcast.com>:

>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio , stupid
>public. I guess it was from the days of Smoky and the bandit movies. There
>should be a movie made for Hams then.
>Call it PORKY the FAT ASS HAM radio operator.

"Frequency". He was working a wormhole without a license, but it was
still a cool movie.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2109. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:40:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1uvq61t3d71jit90buq8c1lka8e6c596en@4ax.com>
Source record: 199131

On 25 Apr 2005 23:36:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050425193602.867$RC@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 25 Apr 2005 23:29:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >Pass the bar rag, Frank. I have some clean up to do.
>> >>
>> >> Spontaneous vomiting? or did you just spill your milk?
>> >>
>> >None of those. I'm pondering faking a trip with mapquest.
>>
>> Still sore about that, huh? Oh well.
>>
>Not at all. I have trouble with fence straddlers tho.

.......huh?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2110. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:45:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <s70r61lltddh33ektv735p3vipab31ndfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 199133

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:36:23 -0500, "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in
<wuqdna-POtlg4_DfRVn-tw@comcast.com>:

>....... There
>should be a movie made for Hams then.
>Call it PORKY the FAT ASS HAM radio operator.

Oh, you mean like Forest Whitaker in "Phenomenon"?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2111. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:46:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425194634.623$ok@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199134

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 25 Apr 2005 23:36:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050425193602.867$RC@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On 25 Apr 2005 23:29:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >Pass the bar rag, Frank. I have some clean up to do.
> >> >>
> >> >> Spontaneous vomiting? or did you just spill your milk?
> >> >>
> >> >None of those. I'm pondering faking a trip with mapquest.
> >>
> >> Still sore about that, huh? Oh well.
> >>
> >Not at all. I have trouble with fence straddlers tho.
>
> .....huh?
>
Never mind it all, Frank. I have no problem with you. :)

```
I amz' what I amz'. ($1-popeye)
```

2112. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-25 23:47:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425194730.919$Ll@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199135

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:36:23 -0500, "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in
> <wuqdna-POtlg4_DfRVn-tw@comcast.com>:
>
> >..... There
> >should be a movie made for Hams then.
> >Call it PORKY the FAT ASS HAM radio operator.
>
> Oh, you mean like Forest Whitaker in "Phenomenon"?
>
Does too much RF give ya the droop-eye?

2113. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 00:01:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Sxfbe.70$Dr7.1951785@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199143

Now here is the matter in question, "Whether people should design the radio to fit them--or, if the people should be designed to fit the radio?"

If you look at ham radio it is just un-progressive. If you can't see that--get new glasses!

The world is passing them by and they are becomming a road block to innovation... all for the sport of their hobby...
You are correct, NOT all hams are like this, I personally know of two who are not--and I am one of them... (OK, there are a few more who don't think themselves "radio Gods" and seek to have all non-hams placed in restraints...)

However, I am not here to worship innovative hams anymore than the others... but, the ("others") ones with self-serving nature, and control freak attitude are at need of repair and an attitude adjustment...

Anyway, I think most will agree this needs to be brought out in the light of day and examined and discussed. People need to be aware that a lot of their radio spectrum is being used just to give a chosen few some hobby privilages... in fact, write your congressman about this and complain!!!

And, you don't think there are "bootlegger hams?" Ones with LARGE-multi-kilowatt linears? Ones who chat with citizens of other countries on freqs not allowed by this country? THINK AGAIN!

The hams are like the gays, a few loud voices are controlling laws which affect us all! This is simply un-called for and unnecessary...

Warmest regards,
John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message news:sduq61dfsabs110jetp5b2ogvegtuhi3sq@news.easynews.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 13:48:23 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Lancer:
>>
>>I only wish you were correct. Hams are a tight-knit group which looks
>>down
>>on all other radio-users, with the possible exception of gov't.
>>
>>Get to know some hams in your area, I think you will find a common
>>"control
>>freak" nature amongst them. If even another ham suggests possible changes
>>to their ancient methods they "black ball" him/her.

>>
>>Most of all their activities center around a "good ole boys rationale."
>>You
>>have to meet and participate with them to see just how deep this runs...
>>
>>Get your ham license!!! But, play along with them, make it a mission to
>>study and learn about them... I think you will be back to
>>freeband/"Chicken
>>Band", but you will have a greater appreciation of radio on these bands!
>>
>>
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>>
>
> John, I think you are making to much of a generalization about
> hams. There are a lot iin this group, and so far no one has jumped on
> you about "freebanding"
> Some of the attitude real or not is from what a sewer CB has
> become. After all , look what you guys have done with the legal
> frequencies you were given. Why should you get any additional
> spectrum to trash up... You get the idea?
> Both groups have their trouble makers, I just don't see the vast
> majority as you describe..

2114. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 00:08:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NDfbe.72$cZ5.1751199@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199142

Fact it, they are just as much at the hams throats over antennas and
"comming in over the home stereo."

Just try to run a kilowatt on twenty-meters with a hidden antenna and see
how many still come knocking on your door... how do they know???? <grin>

Regards,
John

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:wuqdna-POtlg4_DfRVn-tw@comcast.com...
> Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio , stupid
> public. I guess it was from the days of Smoky and the bandit movies.
> There should be a movie made for Hams then.
> Call it PORKY the FAT ASS HAM radio operator.
>
>
>

2115. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 00:08:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425200816.527$Yg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199136

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> >> Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> >> or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> >> IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
> >>
> >Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>
> Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>
Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?

2116. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 00:09:30 UTC
From: Jade <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mn.ccb97d5437e5aa69.29213@NOBEANS.earthlink.net>
Source record: 199137

BobC was thinking very hard :
> Those are your rights.
> Now stop whining & do your part.

Another excuse maker!

Jade

--
This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
Site : http://www.mesnews.net

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0517-0, 04/25/2005
Tested on: 4/25/2005 8:09:49 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2005 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

2117. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 00:15:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425201542.791$4b@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199138

"Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net> wrote:
> This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
> Site : http://www.mesnews.net
>
I tried mesnews awhile back and liked it, but I never posted with
it. Is that tag line standard if you post?

2118. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 00:25:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425202548.136$0N@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199139

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> >> >> Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> >> >> or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> >> >> IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
> >> >>
> >> >Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
> >>
> >> Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
> >>
> >Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>
> Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>
Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I only
bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and Debbie.

2119. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 00:30:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425203044.163$zi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199140

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 00:15:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
> >> Site : http://www.mesnews.net
> >>
> >I tried mesnews awhile back and liked it, but I never posted with
> >it. Is that tag line standard if you post?
>
> Steve, have you tried that nascar scanner yet?
>
I have a scanner and the noise canceling headphones that I take to
the races. It's killer to be able to listen to the driver/spotter/crew
chief. I wouldn't go to a live Nascar race without it.

Fuck paying those jackass's for the frequency's when you can get them
for free here.

http://motorsports.thepaddock.com/freqs.html

2120. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:03:55 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Krgbe.5244$RP1.2105@fe10.lga>
Source record: 199141

Steveo wrote:
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>
>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>
>>>
>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>>
>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>
>
> Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I only
> bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and Debbie.
hey steve what ever happened to d squared?

2121. The Dukes are using a General Lee Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:35:25 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114479325.673319.152910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199144

I just saw a closeup of the CB radio they're using in the General Lee
car in the new Dukes of Hazzard movie and it's a General Lee
radio.Hummmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm!!!!!! Wonder if the FCC is going to
"confinscate" THAT radio. Isin't it an "export" radio?
Let's see now, FCC takes on the Dukes YEEEEEEHAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!!
"Just the good ol' boys" with a special nod to the ORIGINAL OUTLAW
The late great Waylon Jennings

2122. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:42:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425214209.550$Bg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199145

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> If you look at ham radio it is just un-progressive. If you can't see
> that--get new glasses!
>
Newbie clue: don't be ripping hams here.

Move on.

2123. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:44:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425214448.750$3I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199146

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 00:30:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 26 Apr 2005 00:15:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Jade" <coffeemuggs@NOBEANS.earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> >> This is an automatic signature of MesNews.
> >> >> Site : http://www.mesnews.net
> >> >>
> >> >I tried mesnews awhile back and liked it, but I never posted with
> >> >it. Is that tag line standard if you post?
> >>
> >> Steve, have you tried that nascar scanner yet?
> >>
> >I have a scanner and the noise canceling headphones that I take to
> >the races. It's killer to be able to listen to the driver/spotter/crew
> >chief. I wouldn't go to a live Nascar race without it.
> >
> >Fuck paying those jackass's for the frequency's when you can get them
> >for free here.
> >
> >http://motorsports.thepaddock.com/freqs.html
>
> I agree, but I was talking about raceday scanner on nascar.com.
>
> You can listen to them on your computer..plays in real player.
>
I did that track-pass thing for awhile, if that's sorta what you
are speaking of, but I ended up canceling it.
```

2124. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:48:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425214808.203$IX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199147

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >
> >>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> >>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> >>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
> >>>>>
> >>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
> >>>
> >>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
> >>>
> >>
> > Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I only
> > bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and Debbie.
>

> hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>
I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to have
disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.

2125. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:50:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kg7r61hlqkp5fnfv6md19mqf0cradmoj71@4ax.com>
Source record: 199170

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:24:49 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
wrote in <ad65$426db48f$422ae9c6$7990@FUSE.NET>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:hftq61ts8d65550pppes8e8fp3jl984928@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:50:33 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
>> wrote in <d4f2c$426d74ab$422ae9c6$25692@FUSE.NET>:
>>>
>>>Well Jade,
>>>That's pretty much the drill.
>>>You have no proof that this guy is running anything illegal.
>>
>> The fact that he's transmitting enough power to drive a pair of
>> speakers at a distance is a pretty good indication that it's a bit
>> more than 4 watts.
>
>Frank, you'll need to do beter than that.
>I've got a stock cobra in my pickup that can do this to telephones from 2
>driveways away.
>Stop to consider the circuitry in front of the speakers.
>A high gain audio amp of dubious quality, connected to a few feet of
>unshielded wire?
>Easily overloaded DAC's on a sound card?
>Little or no rf filtering on the hi level outputs / inputs to the sound
>card?
>Cheap, hi gain front ends on a scanner? (My assumption is he uses a scanner)
>Gimme a break.
>_________________

Ok, let's consider the circuitry in front of the speakers: Assuming
the speakers do not have their own power amps, the amps are enclosed
in a fully (or almost fully) shielded case. The only unshielded lines
into the case are pairs; i.e, only common mode currents can enter the
case. Excluding the power line (filtered by the power supply) and the
phone line (filtered by the modem transformer), the only means of
entry is through the speaker wires connected to a very low impedance
power amp. And assuming the power amp uses feedback (and that
rectification of the RF occurs at the power amp stage), it would take
just as much power to distort the amps' intended output (and therefore
cause audio feedback of the demodulated RF) as it would to drive the
speakers directly. That also assumes an efficient antenna -- resonant
lengths of speaker wire.

Now if the speakers are amplified externally (amp in the speakers),
they are more than likely driven by a shielded cable. Regardless,
almost all low-level preamps are designed for high common-mode
rejection (we're talking 60-90 dB+ at each stage); and since the low
impedance of the power amp is no less suseptible to RFI than if the
amp was enclosed in the computer case, it would -still- take a
considerable amount of RF power on the lines to drive the speakers.

This is not just theory but fact -- they are designed this way for the
specific purpose of eliminating that annoying AC hum and digital RFI
that permeates most houses, -especially- the horrific noise generated
by computer monitors and light dimmers. "Overloaded DAC's"? I don't
think so, Bob. How do you overload a DAC? YOU will have to do better
than THAT.

>>>You might keep saying it, but that doesn't make it true.
>>>You have the obligation to ensure your consumer electronics are not at
>>>fault.
>>
>> Only if the station is operating legally.
>>
>It's the other way around chief.
>You make sure the consumer devices are protected first.
>Those devices must accept interference from licensed transmitters.
>And yes, even cb's are licensed to transmit even though an operator's
>license is not required.
>_________________

Authorization to transmit with a CB is automatically revoked when the
station is operating illegally. Shall I cite the code?

>>>That means adding whatever filtering is needed on your end.
>>>If adding the proper filtering doesn't fix things, then you might have an
>>>issue.
>>>The Fed's already know all about cheap, unfiltered consumer gear.
>>>When you call them, they'll make you aware of it.
>>
>>
>> The Feds also know about cheap, unfiltered, Class-C "linears".
>
>Which is all well and good but until you've satisfied the feds that
>your consumer grade stuff is properly filtered, they aren't going to bother
>sending anyone out to check.
>_________________

They won't send anyone out regardless. They don't care about the CB.
But they do suck up to the ham community, and if it turns out that
this guy has a license they may indeed take action if they find he is
operating illegally (i.e, using power on the CB).

>>>Your Dell & speakers are not filtered for this interference.
>>>This goes for your scanner, your toaster and whatever else.
>>>

>>>Get your act together and then go after whomever.
>>>Before you can even get someone else involved, they're going to
>>>ask if you applied the correct filtering first. If not, they'll advise you
>>>to
>>>do so and call them back if it doesn't work.
>>>
>>>Those are your rights.
>>>Now stop whining & do your part.
>>
>>
>> Quit making excuses for irresponsible CBers.
>
>Right after holier than thou, know-it-all's step down from their hi horses
>& get a grip on reality.
>_____
>So far, I've not read from Jade anything that remotely suggests he or she
>has
>a serious interference complaint involving another radio service. I read
>"fire service"
>radio and I invited Jade to tell me what he/she considers a fire service
>radio.
>Scanners don't count.

Actually, they do. If someone is causing interference to a scanner,
it's very possible..... nay, -likely-..... that he will also cause
interference to an emergency service radio that happens to be in close
proximity. This is a problem because while the cops chase the killer
with the gun running through the neighborhood, Andy the Amphead keys
up and the guy gets away (or worse) because the cops lose comm.

>I haven't seen any real proof that the neighbor is running an illegal
>station.
>Jade has not indicated other neighbors are complaining of similar events.
>Such complaints might induce me to think the cb'er is running power.

Then that would be a good question to ask. So ask it.

>Unless or until someone brings more convincing proof of the allegations,
>I'm going with the notion it's a singular complaint due the quality of the
>consumer electronics invloved.
>
>You'll excuse me if I happen to believe in "reasonable doubt" &
>"due process" instead of conjecture & unfounded finger pointing.
>bc

How about "civic responsibility"?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2126. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:50:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3j7r6198pms46hddpmt2lu6mopg5p2fprc@4ax.com>
Source record: 199169

On 25 Apr 2005 19:48:48 -0700, "Cliff" <thecowboyace@yahoo.com> wrote
in <1114483728.950663.36650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>If a CB radio is legal on wattage out, he doesn't have to clean up that
>image on any of older TV models. Older TV models are horrific in
>picking up 'legal transmissions' The TV/computer owner wil need to put
>some filters inline to take care of the problem if the CB is legal.

Televisions have always had problems with CB radios because the second
harmonic falls right on channel 2. But that second harmonic is
supposed to be supressed (filtered) to a point where it's effect is
negligible. A stock, unmodified, untweaked radio will usually not
cause interference to a TV unless you mount the antenna right next to
the set.

The problem is the golden screwdrivers and internet techs who tweak &
peak their radios for modulation and/or power without regard to the
resulting increase of harmonics. Even if power is kept to the legal
limit, the modulation limiter can be modified for overmodulation, and
therefore cause a big increase in harmonics. This is why modification
of the radio is illegal. And modification of the radio voids your
authorization to use it, regardless of the RFI suseptibility of a TV.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2127. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:50:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8j7r6198k0rtsmdohrk8t8caoe1oakvion@4ax.com>
Source record: 199168

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:44:42 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<tWhbe.83$oB2.2427923@news.sisna.com>:

>Err; I have been known to bounce around a bit...

You have done moon-bounce with a CB? Right.

>I ain't no musician and don't like 'em much (but like the rock n' roll
>music!) And, anyone who taps code and fancies himself a muscian needs a
>three-day observation!

Where did that come from? I said nothing about musicians. CCW may be
an abbreviation for a band, but I was referring to Coherent CW which
is a slow type of Morse code used for weak-signal work.

>I am leery of anything circling this planet (ya never know, may be aliens
>whom crawled out of mud puddles!)

Then you should probably avoid cell-phones and long-distance carriers,
since most of them use satellites. And television is a no-no because
most of their feeds come the same route. Disregard weather forcasts
based on GEOS photos. In fact, just browsing the net can use a large
number of satellites in a short amount of time. But on the flip side,
those CIA spy satellites sure don't seem to work worth a damn.....
must be because of alien interference, huh?

>I tend to shy away from pseudo-governmental organizations--and the boys who
>like to wear the little uniforms, patches, badges and hats and play games...

Then you have a problem with the Shriners as well as most professional
sports organizations.

>etc, etc,...
>
>
>
>I still like the boy scouts, apple pie, Benjamin Franklin, the miltia--and
>wish every town and city had one--complete with radios--etc...

.....um, ok. So?

>If you think gov't is the answer and it is going to save you--think again...
>it will be the same way as when we began this country, there will be a
>government which IS the problem... it will be "We the people..." who provide
>the cure...

Oh great, another anarchist.

>I have something people have forgotten about, faith in my neighbors and
>countrymen, and a knowledge of how average men rise to meet great
>challenges, undertakings and disasters--again, our nation is a firm lesson
>in this--why does everyone keep thinking we are "the great civilization?"
>The Romans thought that yanno?
>
>
>
>A good lesson is Germany, it was the highly educated who helped Hitler the
>most--the doctors, judges, lawyers, etc... carried out his plans... without
>them, Hitler was nobody... they thought themselves "special" and
>"entitled", thank God they were shown different... it is not my education
>which makes me a valuable neighbor--it is my standing on the shoulders of my
>forefathers...

Yet you spit on their graves by encouraging lawlessness.

>If ever we face disaster, it will be more likely for some unheard of wino or
>schmuck or just everyday-Joe to rise to meet the occasion than a gov't
>entity...

Seems to me that we have had plenty of disasters over the years. And
if I recall, the government was able to handle each and every one of
them..... more or less. But what does that have to do with the topic?

> but politicians will be there to take the credit, hide the real
>heroes, and pick plastic heroes who will support their campaigns... no, it
>never changes, it is a vicious circle...
>
>
>
>Nothing has changed human nature... only some men have begun to dream in
>fantasy colored pictures again, and once again we are in danger...

You have issues.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2128. chickenboy mopathetic STILL won't answer the AKC, shows himself to be a coward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 01:59:12 UTC
From: "mopathetic is a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114480752.830449.90750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199148

Steveo wrote:
> "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > HUH?
> >
> Just step over the sweat puddle that the fugly fuck-head felon leaves
> as he trembles at my presence.
>
> http://img154.echo.cx/img154/2799/dogiestation2ay.jpg

Ohhh...we are so impressed...mopeathetic can copy a photo. Goooood boyyyyyy! Here's your biscuit! Yer getting slow though...pics were posted on the ozhelp site quite some time ago. No matter how much you cwy out your perves love, AKC are heterosexual. You can't change that. Must be hell on ya to be so utterly defeated.

What an assclown. If anyone trembles it is with laughter. You have PROVEN you are a garbage sack. Why don't you come out with where yer gonna be camping at Dayton, sissy?
Is it because you are afraid of the AKC?

2129. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:10:03 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1qhbe.78$aO1.2252909@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199159

Newbie, nah, if I haven't been around the block with this bunch, then it was another one which looked so much alike--might as well have been 'em...

I claim what I am saying is truth--if it isn't then tell me how so, we can be debate, we can argue--in the end, all which matters is the truth--let the chips fall where they might...

I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...

Regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050425214209.550$Bg@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> If you look at ham radio it is just un-progressive. If you can't see
>> that--get new glasses!
>>
> Newbie clue: don't be ripping hams here.
>
> Move on.

2130. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:34:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dv9r6114huvku8dvecfgdmnj9o3u2ocnuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 199149

On 26 Apr 2005 01:42:09 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> If you look at ham radio it is just un-progressive. If you can't see
>> that--get new glasses!
>>
>Newbie clue: don't be ripping hams here.
>
>Move on.

Hey Moped, I have to delay buying my ham gear. I took the dog to the vet because of ongoing skin allergies. The blood test was $375. That didn't include the steroid spray and office visit. Hopefully, they can determine what the hell he is allegeric to. I didn't have a choice. I have spent a ton of money on this problem already, and figured rather than relieving symtoms, I need to find out the cause and stop it.

I am going to try and hang the Imax this weekend, weather permitting.

Vinnie S.

2131. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:44:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tWhbe.83$oB2.2427923@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199158

Err; I have been known to bounce around a bit...

I ain't no musician and don't like 'em much (but like the rock n' roll music!) And, anyone who taps code and fancies himself a muscian needs a three-day observation!

I am leery of anything circling this planet (ya never know, may be aliens whom crawled out of mud puddles!)

I tend to shy away from pseudo-governmental organizations--and the boys who like to wear the little uniforms, patches, badges and hats and play games...

etc, etc,...

I still like the boy scouts, apple pie, Benjamin Franklin, the miltia--and wish every town and city had one--complete with radios--etc...

If you think gov't is the answer and it is going to save you--think again... it will be the same way as when we began this country, there will be a government which IS the problem... it will be "We the people..." who provide the cure...

I have something people have forgotten about, faith in my neighbors and countrymen, and a knowledge of how average men rise to meet great challenges, undertakings and disasters--again, our nation is a firm lesson in this--why does everyone keep thinking we are "the great civilization?" The Romans thought that yanno?

A good lesson is Germany, it was the highly educated who helped Hitler the most--the doctors, judges, lawyers, etc... carried out his plans... without them, Hitler was nobody... they thought themselves "special" and "entitled", thank God they were shown different... it is not my education which makes me a valuable neighbor--it is my standing on the shoulders of my forefathers...

If ever we face disaster, it will be more likely for some unheard of wino or schmuck or just everyday-Joe to rise to meet the occasion than a gov't entity... but politicians will be there to take the credit, hide the real heroes, and pick plastic heroes who will support their campaigns... no, it never changes, it is a vicious circle...

Nothing has changed human nature... only some men have begun to dream in fantasy colored pictures again, and once again we are in danger...

Regards,
John

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:p6vq61t0r5ci30cto3uceg1mtsb9a9jivr@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 16:23:36 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <N_ebe.68$OL4.1663087@news.sisna.com>:
>
>>> Probably true, assuming the person's interest in radio is narrow
>>> enough to fall within the limitations of the CB and/or the freeloader
>>> band.
>>
>>Hey! You aren't one of those hams which needs a three-day observation,
>>are
>>ya?
>
>
> I don't think so. I would have to get a license first, wouldn't I?
>
>
>>What limitations do the freebanders suffer (other than danger of being
>>caught and prosecution?)
>
>
> Ever work EME on the CB/FB? Sat-comm? RACES?
>
>
>>Or, are you claiming they can't read?
>>
>>
>>
>>Stay coherent here!!!
>
>
> Speaking of coherent, ever work CCW on the CB/FB?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

2132. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:47:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425224708.467$m9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199150

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 01:42:09 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> If you look at ham radio it is just un-progressive. If you can't see
> >> that--get new glasses!
> >>
> >Newbie clue: don't be ripping hams here.
> >
> >Move on.
>
> Hey Moped, I have to delay buying my ham gear. I took the dog to the vet
> because of ongoing skin allergies. The blood test was $375. That didn't
> include the steroid spray and office visit. Hopefully, they can determine
> what the hell he is allegeric to. I didn't have a choice. I have spent a
> ton of money on this problem already, and figured rather than relieving
> symtoms, I need to find out the cause and stop it.
>
> I am going to try and hang the Imax this weekend, weather permitting.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I can cure it for $.30 but you can't have the dog back. j/k

I know how that is, Vin, them moochers come before radio toys.

I spent something like $400 in surgery on my last hound, only
to have the vet tell me there was nothing he could do but put
the dog down...so go in there and say goobye...tears still
come to my eyes while I'm typing this..

2133. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:48:48 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114483728.950663.36650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199151

If a CB radio is legal on wattage out, he doesn't have to clean up that image on any of older TV models. Older TV models are horrific in picking up 'legal transmissions' The TV/computer owner wil need to put some filters inline to take care of the problem if the CB is legal.
Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 13:43:03 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <2faf0$426bdaf8$453d8f16$20321@FUSE.NET>:
>
> <snip>
> >Even lowly cb'ers do have some rights.
>
>
> CBers to not have the right to operate illegally. OTOH, the neighbors
> -do- have the right to the peaceful enjoyment of their homes. That's
> why almost all residential areas are subject to laws prohibiting
noise
> pollution and other nuisances.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

2134. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:48:50 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114483730.555443.12060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199154

If a CB radio is legal on wattage out, he doesn't have to clean up that image on any of older TV models. Older TV models are horrific in picking up 'legal transmissions' The TV/computer owner wil need to put some filters inline to take care of the problem if the CB is legal.
Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 13:43:03 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <2faf0$426bdaf8$453d8f16$20321@FUSE.NET>:
>
> <snip>
> >Even lowly cb'ers do have some rights.
>
>
> CBers to not have the right to operate illegally. OTOH, the neighbors
> -do- have the right to the peaceful enjoyment of their homes. That's
> why almost all residential areas are subject to laws prohibiting
noise
> pollution and other nuisances.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

2135. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:49:01 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114483741.127675.68880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199152

If a CB radio is legal on wattage out, he doesn't have to clean up that image on any of older TV models. Older TV models are horrific in picking up 'legal transmissions' The TV/computer owner wil need to put some filters inline to take care of the problem if the CB is legal.
Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 13:43:03 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <2faf0$426bdaf8$453d8f16$20321@FUSE.NET>:
>
> <snip>
> >Even lowly cb'ers do have some rights.
>
>
> CBers to not have the right to operate illegally. OTOH, the neighbors
> -do- have the right to the peaceful enjoyment of their homes. That's
> why almost all residential areas are subject to laws prohibiting
noise
> pollution and other nuisances.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

2136. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:49:01 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114483740.968183.188230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199153

If a CB radio is legal on wattage out, he doesn't have to clean up that image on any of older TV models. Older TV models are horrific in picking up 'legal transmissions' The TV/computer owner wil need to put some filters inline to take care of the problem if the CB is legal.
Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 24 Apr 2005 13:43:03 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <2faf0$426bdaf8$453d8f16$20321@FUSE.NET>:
>
> <snip>
> >Even lowly cb'ers do have some rights.
>
>
> CBers to not have the right to operate illegally. OTOH, the neighbors
> -do- have the right to the peaceful enjoyment of their homes. That's
> why almost all residential areas are subject to laws prohibiting
noise
> pollution and other nuisances.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

2137. Re: FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 02:53:51 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114484031.726428.6250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199155

I have a SBE Console II that needs a mike. Will a mike from an old JC Penney's radio work on it? I have a multi-meter if that might help me on diagnosing it.

2138. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 03:16:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0fcr61pc1rpsc89a06h2k09fnpq940iiir@4ax.com>
Source record: 199156

On 26 Apr 2005 02:47:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Hey Moped, I have to delay buying my ham gear. I took the dog to the vet
>> because of ongoing skin allergies. The blood test was $375. That didn't
>> include the steroid spray and office visit. Hopefully, they can determine
>> what the hell he is allegeric to. I didn't have a choice. I have spent a
>> ton of money on this problem already, and figured rather than relieving
>> symtoms, I need to find out the cause and stop it.
>>
>> I am going to try and hang the Imax this weekend, weather permitting.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>I can cure it for $.30 but you can't have the dog back. j/k
>
>I know how that is, Vin, them moochers come before radio toys.
>
>I spent something like $400 in surgery on my last hound, only
>to have the vet tell me there was nothing he could do but put
>the dog down...so go in there and say goobye...tears still
>come to my eyes while I'm typing this..

That's about right. I have no kids yet, so this dog and cat are my kids.
Luckily, it's just skin allergies. This total bill came to $431. But I have
spent money on this before. I just hope they nail the allergy. I read on the
net, that they can be allergic to one of 1,000 things. I think this tests tests
for 100 things.

Vinnie S.

2139. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 03:24:49 UTC
From: BobC <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ad65$426db48f$422ae9c6$7990@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 199157

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:hftq61ts8d65550pppes8e8fp3jl984928@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:50:33 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <d4f2c$426d74ab$422ae9c6$25692@FUSE.NET>:
>>
>>Well Jade,
>>That's pretty much the drill.
>>You have no proof that this guy is running anything illegal.
>
> The fact that he's transmitting enough power to drive a pair of
> speakers at a distance is a pretty good indication that it's a bit
> more than 4 watts.

Frank, you'll need to do beter than that.
I've got a stock cobra in my pickup that can do this to telephones from 2
driveways away.
Stop to consider the circuitry in front of the speakers.
A high gain audio amp of dubious quality, connected to a few feet of
unshielded wire?
Easily overloaded DAC's on a sound card?
Little or no rf filtering on the hi level outputs / inputs to the sound
card?
Cheap, hi gain front ends on a scanner? (My assumption is he uses a scanner)
Gimme a break.

_____
>>You might keep saying it, but that doesn't make it true.
>>You have the obligation to ensure your consumer electronics are not at
>>fault.
>
> Only if the station is operating legally.
>
It's the other way around chief.
You make sure the consumer devices are protected first.
Those devices must accept interference from licensed transmitters.
And yes, even cb's are licensed to transmit even though an operator's
license is not required.

_____

>>That means adding whatever filtering is needed on your end.
>>If adding the proper filtering doesn't fix things, then you might have an
>>issue.
>>The Fed's already know all about cheap, unfiltered consumer gear.
>>When you call them, they'll make you aware of it.
>

>
> The Feds also know about cheap, unfiltered, Class-C "linears".

Which is all well and good but until you've satisfied the feds that
your consumer grade stuff is properly filtered, they aren't going to bother
sending anyone out to check.

_____

>>Your Dell & speakers are not filtered for this interference.
>>This goes for your scanner, your toaster and whatever else.
>>
>>Get your act together and then go after whomever.
>>Before you can even get someone else involved, they're going to
>>ask if you applied the correct filtering first. If not, they'll advise you
>>to
>>do so and call them back if it doesn't work.
>>
>>Those are your rights.
>>Now stop whining & do your part.
>
>
> Quit making excuses for irresponsible CBers.

Right after holier than thou, know-it-all's step down from their hi horses
& get a grip on reality.

_____
So far, I've not read from Jade anything that remotely suggests he or she
has
a serious interference complaint involving another radio service. I read
"fire service"
radio and I invited Jade to tell me what he/she considers a fire service
radio.
Scanners don't count.

I haven't seen any real proof that the neighbor is running an illegal
station.
Jade has not indicated other neighbors are complaining of similar events.
Such complaints might induce me to think the cb'er is running power.

Unless or until someone brings more convincing proof of the allegations,
I'm going with the notion it's a singular complaint due the quality of the
consumer electronics invloved.

You'll excuse me if I happen to believe in "reasonable doubt" &
"due process" instead of conjecture & unfounded finger pointing.
bc

2140. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 03:29:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425232901.360$nq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199161

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 02:47:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I think this tests tests for 100 things.
>
Switch the mut to Ivory soap.

2141. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 03:30:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425233018.641$Vg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199160

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>
Then please don't top post so much.

2142. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 03:35:44 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kGibe.6889$J12.3593@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199162

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050425194634.623$ok@newsreader.com...
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 25 Apr 2005 23:36:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050425193602.867$RC@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> On 25 Apr 2005 23:29:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> >> >Pass the bar rag, Frank. I have some clean up to do.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Spontaneous vomiting? or did you just spill your milk?
>> >> >>
>> >> >>None of those. I'm pondering faking a trip with mapquest.
>> >>
>> >> Still sore about that, huh? Oh well.
>> >>
>> >Not at all. I have trouble with fence straddlers tho.
>>
>> .....huh?
>>
> Never mind it all, Frank. I have no problem with you. :)
>
> I amz' what I amz'. ($1-popeye)

```
Give him a spinach shot Frank ;)

Landshark

--
__
o /' )
/' ( ,
__/' ) .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
( _. )). `-._ <
`\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
`---......____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
`-` `
```

2143. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 03:35:44 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kGibe.6890$J12.5839@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199163

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050425224708.467$m9@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 26 Apr 2005 01:42:09 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> If you look at ham radio it is just un-progressive. If you can't see
>> >> that--get new glasses!
>> >>
>> >Newbie clue: don't be ripping hams here.
>> >
>> >Move on.
>>
>> Hey Moped, I have to delay buying my ham gear. I took the dog to the vet
>> because of ongoing skin allergies. The blood test was $375. That didn't
>> include the steroid spray and office visit. Hopefully, they can determine
>> what the hell he is allegeric to. I didn't have a choice. I have spent a
>> ton of money on this problem already, and figured rather than relieving
>> symtoms, I need to find out the cause and stop it.
>>
>> I am going to try and hang the Imax this weekend, weather permitting.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
> I can cure it for $.30 but you can't have the dog back. j/k
>
> I know how that is, Vin, them moochers come before radio toys.
>
> I spent something like $400 in surgery on my last hound, only
> to have the vet tell me there was nothing he could do but put
> the dog down...so go in there and say goobye...tears still
> come to my eyes while I'm typing this..

That's what I was going to say, when is a Dog
worth to much to save? I have always figured on the
age of the dog, severity of the problem, cost on whether
or not put the dog down.

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

2144. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 03:50:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050425235053.313$wx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199164

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> Give him a spinach shot Frank ;)
>
> __
o /')
/' (,
__/') .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
(_.)). `-._ <
`\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
`---.....____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
`-` `

>
Drown it in Olive oil!

2145. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 04:55:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eRjbe.87$6c4.3120160@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199165

Oh yeah! I'll get right on that!

John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050425233018.641$Vg@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>>
> Then please don't top post so much.

2146. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 06:04:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426020452.474$3c@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199166

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Oh yeah! I'll get right on that!
>
> John
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050425233018.641$Vg@newsreader.com...
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
> >>
> > Then please don't top post so much.
>
Top poster/sig file test.

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle

2147. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 06:13:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <0_kbe.95$q16.3374144@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199167

Stop posting! I am tired of responding!

John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050426020452.474$3c@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Oh yeah! I'll get right on that!
>>
>> John
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050425233018.641$Vg@newsreader.com...
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>> >>
>> > Then please don't top post so much.
>>
> Top poster/sig file test.
>
> --
> Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already
> know.
> I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been
> strictly
> touch and go. Steve Earle

2148. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 11:45:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <qcas61d0e7avjkqop63pt71mld9770289q@4ax.com>
Source record: 199171

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:24:49 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>You'll excuse me if I happen to believe in "reasonable doubt" &
>"due process" instead of conjecture & unfounded finger pointing.

Hehe. Great post.

Vinnie S.

2149. Big, brave mopathetic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 12:35:44 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114518944.316953.154720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199172

mopathetic squirming in fear from AKC? It would seem so. Come on,
mopathetic...make some more threats so the AKC can laugh some more.
Remember how you said you got out of your vehicle at a traffic light
and beat someone? Yep, WE remember it. Poor unstable, sickheaded,
sissy, lying ,mopeydopey. Poor cwybaby.

2150. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 12:37:45 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426e4272.86567062@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199173

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:10:03 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Newbie, nah, if I haven't been around the block with this bunch, then it was
>another one which looked so much alike--might as well have been 'em...
>
>I claim what I am saying is truth--if it isn't then tell me how so, we can
>be debate, we can argue--in the end, all which matters is the truth--let the
>chips fall where they might...
>
>I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>
>Regards,
>John
>

John;
So what was the intent of your original post?

The truth is most hams don't care about "freeband"

I also brought lunch, plus a 12 pack...

2151. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 12:38:52 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <427043f1.86950468@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199174

On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>>
>Then please don't top post so much.

Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..

2152. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 12:40:35 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426f433f.86772656@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199175

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:16:22 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On 26 Apr 2005 02:47:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>> Hey Moped, I have to delay buying my ham gear. I took the dog to the vet
>>> because of ongoing skin allergies. The blood test was $375. That didn't
>>> include the steroid spray and office visit. Hopefully, they can determine
>>> what the hell he is allegeric to. I didn't have a choice. I have spent a
>>> ton of money on this problem already, and figured rather than relieving
>>> symtoms, I need to find out the cause and stop it.
>>>
>>> I am going to try and hang the Imax this weekend, weather permitting.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>I can cure it for $.30 but you can't have the dog back. j/k
>>
>>I know how that is, Vin, them moochers come before radio toys.
>>
>>I spent something like $400 in surgery on my last hound, only
>>to have the vet tell me there was nothing he could do but put
>>the dog down...so go in there and say goobye...tears still
>>come to my eyes while I'm typing this..
>
>
>That's about right. I have no kids yet, so this dog and cat are my kids.
>Luckily, it's just skin allergies. This total bill came to $431. But I have
>spent money on this before. I just hope they nail the allergy. I read on the
>net, that they can be allergic to one of 1,000 things. I think this tests tests
>for 100 things.
>
>Vinnie S.

We had a springer that was allergic to half dozen different things.

We started giving her Cortisone Injections, they worked. Took a year
or two off her life, but at least she was happier. A lot cheaper
too...

2153. Re: The Dukes are using a General Lee Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 12:53:37 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4271471f.87764656@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199176

On 25 Apr 2005 18:35:25 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I just saw a closeup of the CB radio they're using in the General Lee
>car in the new Dukes of Hazzard movie and it's a General Lee
>radio.Hummmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm!!!!!! Wonder if the FCC is going to
>"confinscate" THAT radio. Isin't it an "export" radio?
>Let's see now, FCC takes on the Dukes YEEEEEEHAAAAAAAAA!!!!!!!
>"Just the good ol' boys" with a special nod to the ORIGINAL OUTLAW
>The late great Waylon Jennings

Guess again, the TV series had a Cobra 78x, the Movie had a Cobra 29
NW.

So no, its not an export radio..

2154. FA: $11?>CLASSIC HEATHKIT HR-10B HAM-BAND RECEIVER>Nicest Seen:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 13:51:05 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <bHrbe.1006$Hf6.950@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199177
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5769412511&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2155. FA: No Bids> GENERAL RADIO TYPE No. 1803-B VACUUM TUBE VOLTMETER NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 13:52:03 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <5Irbe.1007$Hf6.442@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199178
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7510330953&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2156. FA: RELM MINI-COM PTU46B 4watt UHF WALKY-TALKIE>COMPLETE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 13:53:13 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <bJrbe.1008$Hf6.128@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199179
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

A big $11 so far:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7510330919&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2157. FA: At $10>NOS USA MIL-SPEC TEST LEAD PROBE SET>Hi-QUALITY>D-BEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 13:54:25 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <jKrbe.1009$Hf6.996@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199180
Cross-posted to: alt.audio.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Won't find any better for less then $100>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7510335772&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2158. FA: US ARMY C-114-A COIL, LOADING MADE BY LIONEL 1945 WWII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 13:55:25 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <fLrbe.1010$Hf6.563@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199181
Cross-posted to: rec.collecting.military.insignia,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,us.military.army

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6527368907&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2159. FA: $10>TWO(2) WESTERN ELECTRIC US ARMY 313C TUBES>WWII 1944 NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 14:00:04 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CPrbe.1015$Hf6.242@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199182
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.collecting.military.insignia,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,us.military.army

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5769416557&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2160. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 14:47:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Awsbe.113$yR2.4678785@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199183

You my friend, are an idiot!!!
Most hams feel it takes a "musical ear" to be proficient with morse code--I think a simple mind is best, I struggle with a fast key--only use it for the test--quickly drop it after!!!
50Mhz and above works best with bounce, with enough power (and there is something wrong with the ionosphere these days--holes yanno!) I suppose bounce at 27Mhz is a possibility--however, how many other cb'ers would have a ant pointed at the moon?
Expect no more answers from me--you are not on a level to be given this consideration. You are simply displaying the traits of a control freak, and attempting to use cheap shots to make others answerable to you--I have not the time--be interesting or be silent...
I can clearly see you have the petty traits most hams display--you may be a lost soul... get your license!

You are entitled to your opinions, if you think you will upset me with your rantings--think again... I support free speech.... however, if you have no respect for logic and the obivious it will show and you will be known for such--proceed as you please...

John

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:8j7r6198k0rtsmdohrk8t8caoe1oakvion@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:44:42 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <tWhbe.83$oB2.2427923@news.sisna.com>:
>
>>Err; I have been known to bounce around a bit...
>
>
> You have done moon-bounce with a CB? Right.
>
>
>>I ain't no musician and don't like 'em much (but like the rock n' roll
>>music!) And, anyone who taps code and fancies himself a muscian needs a
>>three-day observation!
>
>
> Where did that come from? I said nothing about musicians. CCW may be
> an abbreviation for a band, but I was referring to Coherent CW which
> is a slow type of Morse code used for weak-signal work.
>
>
>>I am leery of anything circling this planet (ya never know, may be aliens

>>whom crawled out of mud puddles!)
>
>
> Then you should probably avoid cell-phones and long-distance carriers,
> since most of them use satellites. And television is a no-no because
> most of their feeds come the same route. Disregard weather forcasts
> based on GEOS photos. In fact, just browsing the net can use a large
> number of satellites in a short amount of time. But on the flip side,
> those CIA spy satellites sure don't seem to work worth a damn.....
> must be because of alien interference, huh?
>
>
>>I tend to shy away from pseudo-governmental organizations--and the boys
>>who
>>like to wear the little uniforms, patches, badges and hats and play
>>games...
>
>
> Then you have a problem with the Shriners as well as most professional
> sports organizations.
>
>
>>etc, etc,...
>>
>>
>>
>>I still like the boy scouts, apple pie, Benjamin Franklin, the miltia--and
>>wish every town and city had one--complete with radios--etc...
>
>
> .....um, ok. So?
>
>
>>If you think gov't is the answer and it is going to save you--think
>>again...
>>it will be the same way as when we began this country, there will be a
>>government which IS the problem... it will be "We the people..." who
>>provide
>>the cure...
>
>
> Oh great, another anarchist.
>
>
>>I have something people have forgotten about, faith in my neighbors and
>>countrymen, and a knowledge of how average men rise to meet great
>>challenges, undertakings and disasters--again, our nation is a firm lesson
>>in this--why does everyone keep thinking we are "the great civilization?"
>>The Romans thought that yanno?

>>
>>
>>
>>A good lesson is Germany, it was the highly educated who helped Hitler the
>>most--the doctors, judges, lawyers, etc... carried out his plans...
>>without
>>them, Hitler was nobody... they thought themselves "special" and
>>"entitled", thank God they were shown different... it is not my education
>>which makes me a valuable neighbor--it is my standing on the shoulders of
>>my
>>forefathers...
>
>
> Yet you spit on their graves by encouraging lawlessness.
>
>
>>If ever we face disaster, it will be more likely for some unheard of wino
>>or
>>schmuck or just everyday-Joe to rise to meet the occasion than a gov't
>>entity...
>
>
> Seems to me that we have had plenty of disasters over the years. And
> if I recall, the government was able to handle each and every one of
> them..... more or less. But what does that have to do with the topic?
>
>
>> but politicians will be there to take the credit, hide the real
>>heroes, and pick plastic heroes who will support their campaigns... no,
>>it
>>never changes, it is a vicious circle...
>>
>>
>>
>>Nothing has changed human nature... only some men have begun to dream in
>>fantasy colored pictures again, and once again we are in danger...
>
>
> You have issues.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+

> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

2161. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 14:49:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lxsbe.114$Te3.4713598@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199184

scroll down if your memory span is so short you have forgotten the
conversation...

John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:427043f1.86950468@news.easynews.com...
> On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>>>
>>Then please don't top post so much.
>
> Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..

2162. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 14:51:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Szsbe.115$_T2.4680794@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199185

If that is true, they CERTAINLY would not care about CB--they do!!!
Get real or chat with others who have no respect for reality...

John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:426e4272.86567062@news.easynews.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:10:03 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Newbie, nah, if I haven't been around the block with this bunch, then it
>>was
>>another one which looked so much alike--might as well have been 'em...
>>
>>I claim what I am saying is truth--if it isn't then tell me how so, we
>>can
>>be debate, we can argue--in the end, all which matters is the truth--let
>>the
>>chips fall where they might...
>>
>>I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>>
>
> John;
> So what was the intent of your original post?
>
> The truth is most hams don't care about "freeband"
>
> I also brought lunch, plus a 12 pack...

2163. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 15:07:01 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426e62e9.94877906@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199186

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:51:28 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>If that is true, they CERTAINLY would not care about CB--they do!!!
>Get real or chat with others who have no respect for reality...
>
>John

Your own experience would prove otherwise. You asked a lot of
questions about your freeband antenna in the amateur.antenna group.

No one put you down or batted an eye over it.

You could have ask the same questions about a "cb" antennas in that
group and received the answers that you were looking for.

Get Real?

Whatever John, your just looking for an arguement...

Have a nice day..

2164. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 15:08:07 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426f664f.95747796@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199187

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:49:10 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>scroll down if your memory span is so short you have forgotten the
>conversation...
>
>John
>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:427043f1.86950468@news.easynews.com...
>> On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>>>>
>>>Then please don't top post so much.
>>
>> Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..
>

Huh? What conversation are you talking about? Are you mixing up
posts now, answering one post in another?

2165. Re: Big, brave mopathetic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 15:10:45 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <VRsbe.21972$Ow2.19608@fe06.lga>
Source record: 199188

"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1114518944.316953.154720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> mopathetic squirming in fear from AKC? It would seem so. Come on,
> mopathetic...make some more threats so the AKC can laugh some more.
> Remember how you said you got out of your vehicle at a traffic light
> and beat someone? Yep, WE remember it. Poor unstable, sickheaded,
> sissy, lying ,mopeydopey. Poor cwybaby.
>
>

"fear from AKC?"

AKC = just -one- fat ass blow hard no-code loser from
Toledo, OH.

stfu you padded cell mental midget. LOL!

http://sj.blacksteel.com/padded-cell/Posey03.jpg

2166. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 15:37:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Fetbe.123$g04.4749361@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199189

```
Oh my... you are forgetting the conversation...

John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:426f664f.95747796@news.easynews.com...
> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:49:10 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>scroll down if your memory span is so short you have forgotten the
>>conversation...
>>
>>John
>>
>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>news:427043f1.86950468@news.easynews.com...
>>> On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>>>>>
>>>>Then please don't top post so much.
>>>
>>> Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..
>>
>
> Huh? What conversation are you talking about? Are you mixing up
> posts now, answering one post in another?
>
>
```

2167. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 15:38:18 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426e6de1.97685796@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199190

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 08:37:08 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Oh my... you are forgetting the conversation...
>
>John
>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:426f664f.95747796@news.easynews.com...
>> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:49:10 -0700, "John Smith"
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>scroll down if your memory span is so short you have forgotten the
>>>conversation...
>>>
>>>John
>>>
>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>news:427043f1.86950468@news.easynews.com...
>>>> On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>>>>>>
>>>>>Then please don't top post so much.
>>>>
>>>> Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..
>>>
>>
>> Huh? What conversation are you talking about? Are you mixing up
>> posts now, answering one post in another?
>>
>>
>
Oh My, you can't even a follow post... I mentioned that in another
post..

Please try and keep up...

2168. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 15:42:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ojtbe.124$f04.4748332@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199196

Hmmm, actually, that "freeband antenna" is operating on under my ham license
on 10 meters... The bandwidth of that ant is too narrow for freeband
work...
I use a beacon on Mt. Diablo in the San Joaquin Valley as a signal source
for ant testing... it operates 24/7 on Channel 14 on CB... sends the call
"AOH" @ 7 WPM repetitively...
You need to follow the conversations closer... perhaps you do need me to
bottom post...

Regards,
John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:426e62e9.94877906@news.easynews.com...
> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:51:28 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>If that is true, they CERTAINLY would not care about CB--they do!!!
>>Get real or chat with others who have no respect for reality...
>>
>>John
>
> Your own experience would prove otherwise. You asked a lot of
> questions about your freeband antenna in the amateur.antenna group.
>
> No one put you down or batted an eye over it.
>
> You could have ask the same questions about a "cb" antennas in that
> group and received the answers that you were looking for.
>
> Get Real?
>
> Whatever John, your just looking for an arguement...
>
> Have a nice day..

2169. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 16:18:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426121838.774$ms@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199192

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:10:03 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Newbie, nah, if I haven't been around the block with this bunch, then it
> >was another one which looked so much alike--might as well have been
> >'em...
> >
> >I claim what I am saying is truth--if it isn't then tell me how so, we
> >can be debate, we can argue--in the end, all which matters is the
> >truth--let the chips fall where they might...
> >
> >I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
> >
> >Regards,
> >John
> >
>
> John;
> So what was the intent of your original post?
>
> The truth is most hams don't care about "freeband"
>
> I also brought lunch, plus a 12 pack...
>
Hand me one of those please, Lance...no not that, a sandwich!

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle

2170. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 16:19:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426121956.455$XE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199191

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
> >>
> >Then please don't top post so much.
>
> Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..
>
A. Cuz if fucks up the thread.
Q. What's wrong with top posting?

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle

2171. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 16:25:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426122531.191$le@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199193

```
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> If that is true, they CERTAINLY would not care about CB--they do!!!
> Get real or chat with others who have no respect for reality...
>
> John
>
Stfu, top posting twit.
>
>
> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
> news:426e4272.86567062@news.easynews.com...
> > On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:10:03 -0700, "John Smith"
> > <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Newbie, nah, if I haven't been around the block with this bunch, then
> >>it was
> >>another one which looked so much alike--might as well have been 'em...
> >>
> >>I claim what I am saying is truth--if it isn't then tell me how so, we
> >>can
> >>be debate, we can argue--in the end, all which matters is the
> >>truth--let the
> >>chips fall where they might...
> >>
> >>I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
> >>
> >>Regards,
> >>John
> >>
> >
> > John;
> > So what was the intent of your original post?
> >
> > The truth is most hams don't care about "freeband"
> >
> > I also brought lunch, plus a 12 pack...

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle
```

2172. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 16:47:42 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426e7e34.101864843@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199194

On 26 Apr 2005 16:19:56 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>> >>
>> >Then please don't top post so much.
>>
>> Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..
>>
>A. Cuz if fucks up the thread.
>Q. What's wrong with top posting?

LOL!! Good Example...

2173. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 16:50:12 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426f7e8e.101955109@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199195

On 26 Apr 2005 16:18:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:10:03 -0700, "John Smith"
>> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Newbie, nah, if I haven't been around the block with this bunch, then it
>> >was another one which looked so much alike--might as well have been
>> >'em...
>> >
>> >I claim what I am saying is truth--if it isn't then tell me how so, we
>> >can be debate, we can argue--in the end, all which matters is the
>> >truth--let the chips fall where they might...
>> >
>> >I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>> >
>> >Regards,
>> >John
>> >
>>
>> John;
>> So what was the intent of your original post?
>>
>> The truth is most hams don't care about "freeband"
>>
>> I also brought lunch, plus a 12 pack...
>>
>Hand me one of those please, Lance...no not that, a sandwich!

I thought beer was a liquid sandwich..?

On that subject, do they sell Negro Modelo up in your neck of the
woods?

2174. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 17:46:24 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426e8a71.104997984@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199197

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 08:42:36 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Hmmm, actually, that "freeband antenna" is operating on under my ham license
>on 10 meters... The bandwidth of that ant is too narrow for freeband
>work...
>I use a beacon on Mt. Diablo in the San Joaquin Valley as a signal source
>for ant testing... it operates 24/7 on Channel 14 on CB... sends the call
>"AOH" @ 7 WPM repetitively...
>You need to follow the conversations closer... perhaps you do need me to
>bottom post...
>
>Regards,
>John
>

Your antenna is licensed?

I plotted your antenna, it easily has a 1 meg bandwidth.

Try bottom posting, you stand a better chance of understanding your
own posts..

2175. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 18:08:09 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426e911e.106707078@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199199

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 17:59:04 GMT, Justín Käse
<chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:

>In Message-ID:<426e7e34.101864843@news.easynews.com> posted on Tue, 26
>Apr 2005 16:47:42 GMT, Lancer wrote:
>
>>On 26 Apr 2005 16:19:56 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>> On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> >> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>>>> >>
>>>> >Then please don't top post so much.
>>>>
>>>> Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..
>>>>
>>>A. Cuz if fucks up the thread.
>>>Q. What's wrong with top posting?
>>
>>LOL!! Good Example...
>
>http://tinyurl.com/cta3q

Too Funny... thanks...

2176. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 18:19:22 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <426e86aa$1_4@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 199200

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:bd7q611o90uqsnoev546bcvoa69abrl62l@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 11:37:43 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>
>
> >You can thank labor unions for that...and most other "Made in China"
> >products.
> >
> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> I have to agree. I think the idea of unions was to protect abused workers
from
> low pay and long ours. Since the beginning, the pendulum has swung way in
favor
> of unions. The biggest thing I see is plants closing down in urban areas,
and
> moving to cheaper rural areas. And then from there, just overseas. I would
wish
> they would find a middle ground, where they can both be happy. I am not
against
> unions, but I have seen some friends lose lifetime jobs in plants, where
they
> where making $25 per hour, and the plant closed and moved to NC, and hired
> workers at $8-10. Now, they can't find any work for unless it's $10-15.
>
> Vinnie S.

$10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!

Kevin, WB5RUE

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2177. Re: $11?>CLASSIC HEATHKIT HR-10B HAM-BAND RECEIVER>Nicest Seen:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 18:43:04 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TNGdne4YsdknFvPfRVn-jQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 199201
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

List this shit on ebay only

"cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:bHrbe.1006$Hf6.950@fe11.lga...
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5769412511&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>

2178. Re: The Dukes are using a General Lee Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 19:39:13 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114544353.346918.15340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199202

Actually the TV series originaly had a realistic(tm) when they filmed
it in Georgia then they went to the cobra(tm) when they began filming
it in Hollywood. BUT the NEW movie (with Willie Nelson, Burt Reynolds,
and Jessica Simpson as Daisy) Does have a General Lee radio in the
General Lee car. Go to the warnerbros. site do a search on Dukes CB's
it'll show there.
YEEEEEHAAWWWWW!!!!!

2179. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 19:41:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7Qwbe.136$ll2.5058592@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199203

I as I said, exercise your free speech as you choose...
If you come up with anything intelligent/interesting--I'll be here...

Regards,
John

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:de9q61lvsddtbqc73kgrqk5qsled2o2ohr@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:47:55 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> <Awsbe.113$yR2.4678785@news.sisna.com>:
>
>>You my friend, are an idiot!!!
>
>
> So I've been told on more than one occasion. But let's see what's in
> -your- post.....
>
>
>>Most hams feel it takes a "musical ear" to be proficient with morse code--
>
>
> Really? I've never heard that from -any- ham. I know Morse myself and
> I wouldn't say it takes a musical ear, but then I'm not a ham -or- a
> musician. So do you have any references to back up your statement that
> "most hams" feel this way?
>
>
> <snip>
>>50Mhz and above works best with bounce, with enough power
>
>
> Really? I've never heard that, either. So if I want to talk to someone
> across town on, say, 2m, I should forget the repeaters, crank up the
> amp and howl at the moon?
>
>
>>(and there is
>>something wrong with the ionosphere these days--holes yanno!) I suppose
>>bounce at 27Mhz is a possibility--however, how many other cb'ers would
>>have
>>a ant pointed at the moon?
>
>

> The ozone hole(s) might make a difference on 11m if you happen to live
> in Nome, AK, but I doubt that the mainland US is going to see a big
> difference anytime soon. As long as skip continues to happen then the
> ionosphere is still in good shape, and you probably won't have too
> much luck with CB EME.
>
> But that didn't answer my question: Have you done moon bounce with CB?
>
>
>>Expect no more answers from me--you are not on a level to be given this
>>consideration. You are simply displaying the traits of a control freak,
>>and
>>attempting to use cheap shots to make others answerable to you--I have not
>>the time--be interesting or be silent...
>
>
> Your cop-out came earlier than I expected, but it -was- expected.
>
>
>>I can clearly see you have the petty traits most hams display--you may be
>>a
>>lost soul... get your license!
>
>
> By "petty traits" do you mean pointing out the absurdity of the
> arguments you use to defend your anti-hammie attitude? Guilty as
> charged.
>
>
>>You are entitled to your opinions, if you think you will upset me with
>>your
>>rantings--think again...
>
>
> I really don't care if you get upset or not. Why did you even bring it
> up as a topic of discussion?
>
>
>> I support free speech....
>
>
> As do I. I even consider it a right.
>
>
>> however, if you have no
>>respect for logic and the obivious it will show and you will be known for
>>such--proceed as you please...
>
>

> ....."logic and the obvious"? Like avoiding sat-comm because of
> possible alien influence? And working moon bounce on CB? Of course you
> realize that the moon is a natural satellite complete with alien mud
> puddles, don't you? Then there is your statement that only musicians
> can learn Morse code, your anti-government diatribe that came out of
> nowhere, and your suggestion that education is a bad thing because it
> will inevitably lead to Hitleresque facism in the US. "Logic and the
> obvious" indeed.
>
> John, you are a certifiable whacko. Welcome to RRCB!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

2180. Re: The Dukes are using a General Lee Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 19:47:43 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <426ea873.112679843@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199204

On 26 Apr 2005 12:39:13 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Actually the TV series originaly had a realistic(tm) when they filmed
>it in Georgia then they went to the cobra(tm) when they began filming
>it in Hollywood. BUT the NEW movie (with Willie Nelson, Burt Reynolds,
>and Jessica Simpson as Daisy) Does have a General Lee radio in the
>General Lee car. Go to the warnerbros. site do a search on Dukes CB's
>it'll show there.
>YEEEEEHAAWWWWW!!!!!

Check here...

http://www.hazzardretreat.com/buildageneral.asp

Try and find a cobra 78, they are collectors radios..

2181. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 19:49:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UWwbe.138$ko3.5136547@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199205

Create a msg rule and auto-delete my posts--it'll be easier on ya...

John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050426121956.455$XE@newsreader.com...
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 26 Apr 2005 03:30:18 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> I came and I ain't in a hurry, I brought a lunch...
>> >>
>> >Then please don't top post so much.
>>
>> Why? Everyone knows that its normal to read from bottom to top..
>>
> A. Cuz if fucks up the thread.
> Q. What's wrong with top posting?
>
> --
> Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already
> know.
> I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been
> strictly
> touch and go. Steve Earle

2182. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 19:51:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dZwbe.140$Pt4.5247068@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199206

Besides giving proof of ignorant freebanders/cb'ers, your posts are
useless....

Regards,
John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:426e8a71.104997984@news.easynews.com...
> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 08:42:36 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Hmmm, actually, that "freeband antenna" is operating on under my ham
>>license
>>on 10 meters... The bandwidth of that ant is too narrow for freeband
>>work...
>>I use a beacon on Mt. Diablo in the San Joaquin Valley as a signal source
>>for ant testing... it operates 24/7 on Channel 14 on CB... sends the call
>>"AOH" @ 7 WPM repetitively...
>>You need to follow the conversations closer... perhaps you do need me to
>>bottom post...
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>>
>
> Your antenna is licensed?
>
> I plotted your antenna, it easily has a 1 meg bandwidth.
>
> Try bottom posting, you stand a better chance of understanding your
> own posts..
>
>

2183. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 19:53:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2%wbe.141$vP5.5306433@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199207

And, even slaves are luckier than starving people!!!!

Regards,
John

"Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:426e86aa$1_4@127.0.0.1...
>
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:bd7q611o90uqsnoev546bcvoa69abrl62l@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 11:37:43 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>>
>>
>> >You can thank labor unions for that...and most other "Made in China"
>> >products.
>> >
>> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>>
>>
>> I have to agree. I think the idea of unions was to protect abused workers
> from
>> low pay and long ours. Since the beginning, the pendulum has swung way in
> favor
>> of unions. The biggest thing I see is plants closing down in urban areas,
> and
>> moving to cheaper rural areas. And then from there, just overseas. I
>> would
> wish
>> they would find a middle ground, where they can both be happy. I am not
> against
>> unions, but I have seen some friends lose lifetime jobs in plants, where
> they
>> where making $25 per hour, and the plant closed and moved to NC, and
>> hired
>> workers at $8-10. Now, they can't find any work for unless it's $10-15.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> $10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
>

> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

2184. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 20:16:48 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ykxbe.1176$Hf6.274@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199208

Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>>>>
>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>>>
>>>
>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I only
>>>bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and Debbie.
>>
>
>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>>
>

> I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to have
> disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.

2185. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 20:56:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rlat61dn7tqm81sitq75ob543tpvs62f8j@4ax.com>
Source record: 199209

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 13:19:22 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:

>$10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE

Not if you purchased a home, car, and pay property taxes based on your ability
to earn $25.

Vinnie S.

2186. K9 email filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 21:12:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <V8ybe.147$jF2.5068829@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199215

K9 email filter is free. It is an excellent way to deal with spam. And, it supports perl regular expressions. Set up correctly it can deal with 100% of spam--silently deleting it without a bother...
Without it, you cannot use usenet, with a valid email address, without being spammed to death--with it, you don't know the spammers exist...
http://keir.net/k9.html

Regards,
John

2187. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 21:36:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426173603.637$FP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199210

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 16:18:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> I also brought lunch, plus a 12 pack...
> >>
> >Hand me one of those please, Lance...no not that, a sandwich!
>
> I thought beer was a liquid sandwich..?
>
> On that subject, do they sell Negro Modelo up in your neck of the
> woods?
>
I dunno about that piss water, we do have Rolling Rock tho..

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle

2188. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 21:37:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426173714.682$Ld@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199211

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> I thought beer was a liquid sandwich..?
>
^^^^ sig file material?^^^^

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle

2189. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 21:39:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426173946.647$fM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199212

Just�n K�se <chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:
> Posting at the top because that's where the cursor happened to be
> is like shitting in your pants because that's where your asshole
> happened to be.
>
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^Sig file material?^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ ha

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle

2190. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 21:41:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426174153.372$9e@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199213

"Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:
> $10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
No doubt. Hi Kevin, have you heard from Dave or Debby lately? Hope
everything is good with you and your family.

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle

2191. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 21:48:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426174806.809$Bf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199214

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> >>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> >>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
> >>>>>
> >>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I only
> >>>bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and Debbie.
> >>
> >
> >>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
> >>

> >
> > I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
> > have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>

> one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>

No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
with the top down.

It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
miles and break bread with me.

--
Well it ain't the first close call I ever had as I'm sure you already know.
I had some help from you Lord and the devil himself, but it's been strictly
touch and go. Steve Earle

2192. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 23:54:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <exAbe.155$VN2.5586058@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199217

Why do you think I would even consider doing things back-asswards?
I don't want to scroll though a bunch of chit I have already seen... if you
want to, cut and paste the old text at the top!

Regards,
John

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:ckkt61hnh3drealhs52v480at9am3c0db0@news.easynews.com...
> On 26 Apr 2005 21:39:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Just&#65533;n K&#65533;se <chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:
>>> Posting at the top because that's where the cursor happened to be
>>> is like shitting in your pants because that's where your asshole
>>> happened to be.
>>>
>> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^Sig file material?^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ ha
>
> Now that would be a good one..
>
> That response was to someone that was using outlook express and
> couldn't figure out how to move his cursor down to bottom post..
>
> Now what does John Smith use, Hmmm that would be OE...

2193. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 23:57:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426195733.258$qF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199219

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 21:36:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 26 Apr 2005 16:18:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> I also brought lunch, plus a 12 pack...
> >> >>
> >> >Hand me one of those please, Lance...no not that, a sandwich!
> >>
> >> I thought beer was a liquid sandwich..?
> >>
> >> On that subject, do they sell Negro Modelo up in your neck of the
> >> woods?
> >>
> >I dunno about that piss water, we do have Rolling Rock tho..
>
> We have that here also, not as good as Grain Belt.
>
> Piss water ehh? Its actually pretty good..
>
I wuz only kidding about the piss water. I'll have to try it.
```

2194. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-26 23:58:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426195835.786$nb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199218

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 26 Apr 2005 21:39:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Just?n K?se <chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:
> >> Posting at the top because that's where the cursor happened to be
> >> is like shitting in your pants because that's where your asshole
> >> happened to be.
> >>
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^Sig file material?^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ ha
>
> Now that would be a good one..
>
> That response was to someone that was using outlook express and
> couldn't figure out how to move his cursor down to bottom post..
>
> Now what does John Smith use, Hmmm that would be OE...
>
He'z a lazy bastard. That scrolling down is taxing.

2195. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 00:07:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nJAbe.156$na6.5406748@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199220

Here ya go, now you will not have all that text you are worried about...

John

2196. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 00:15:06 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XPAbe.17671$V02.9960@fe08.lga>
Source record: 199221

Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?

>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I only
>>>>>bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and Debbie.
>>>>
>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>>>>
>>>
>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
>>>have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>>
>
>
>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>>
>
>
> No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
> North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
> Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
> with the top down.
>
> It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
> miles and break bread with me.
>
was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?

2197. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 00:17:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426201745.506$fd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199222

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> >>>>>>>>wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> >>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> >>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
> >>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I
> >>>>>only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
> >>>>>Debbie.
> >>>>
> >>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
> >>>have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
> >>
> > >
> > >
> > >>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
> >>
> > >
> > >
> > > No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
> > > North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
> > > Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
> > > with the top down.
> > >
> > > It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
> > > miles and break bread with me.
> > >
> > was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
> >
He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.

2198. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 00:27:26 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <w%Abe.17682$V02.6835@fe08.lga>
Source record: 199223

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:

>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I
>>>>>>>only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
>>>>>>>Debbie.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
>>>>>have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>>>>
>>>
>>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
>>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
>>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
>>>with the top down.
>>>
>>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
>>>miles and break bread with me.
>>>
>>
>>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
>>
>
> He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
> bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.
i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)

2199. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 00:29:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426202951.074$3l@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199224

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> >>>>>>>>wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> >>>>>>>>>>wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> >>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> >>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I
> >>>>>>>only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
> >>>>>>>Debbie.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
> >>>>>have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
> >>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
> >>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
> >>>with the top down.
> >>>
> >>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
> >>>miles and break bread with me.
> >>>
> >>
> >>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
> >>
> >
> > He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
> > bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.

>

> i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)
>
The craxy Bronco buster!?

2200. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 00:33:28 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <95Bbe.17690$V02.15018@fe08.lga>
Source record: 199225

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I
>>>>>>>>>>only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
>>>>>>>>>>Debbie.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
>>>>>>>>have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
>>>>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
>>>>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
>>>>>with the top down.
>>>>>
>>>>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
>>>>>miles and break bread with me.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
>>>>
>>>
>>>He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
>>>bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>>i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)
>>
>
> The craxy Bronco buster!?
one and only. claims to do some serious fishin' in cabo. probably
watches 'the spanish fly' and says i wish i can do that hehehe

2201. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 00:41:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050426204111.428$Zx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199226

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> >>>>>>>>>>wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>

> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>radio
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do)
> >>>>>>>>>>I only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
> >>>>>>>>>>Debbie.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems
> >>>>>>>>to have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
> >>>>>>

> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
> >>>>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
> >>>>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
> >>>>>with the top down.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
> >>>>>miles and break bread with me.
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
> >>>bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.
> >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >>i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)
> >>
> > >
> > > The craxy Bronco buster!?
> >
> > one and only. claims to do some serious fishin' in cabo. probably
> > watches 'the spanish fly' and says i wish i can do that hehehe
> >
Is he realated to the red rocker, Sammy Hagar? His cabo is outta wabo.

2202. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 00:46:27 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <khBbe.17701$V02.12388@fe08.lga>
Source record: 199227

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>radio
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do)
>>>>>>>>>>>I only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
>>>>>>>>>>>Debbie.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems
>>>>>>>>>to have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
>>>>>>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
>>>>>>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
>>>>>>>with the top down.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
>>>>>>>miles and break bread with me.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
>>>>>bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)
>>>>
>>>

>>>The craxy Bronco buster!?
>>
>>one and only. claims to do some serious fishin' in cabo. probably
>>watches 'the spanish fly' and says i wish i can do that hehehe
>>
>
> Is he realated to the red rocker, Sammy Hagar? His cabo is outta wabo.
am waiting for him to take the bait hehehe. i like hagar. party animal.

2203. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 01:51:19 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13351-426EF017-785@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199228

>From: assemblywizard@gmail.com

>Fact it, they are just as much at the hams

>throats over antennas and "comming in over

>the home stereo."

>Just try to run a kilowatt on twenty-meters with
>a hidden antenna and see how many still

>come knocking on your door... how do they

>know???? <grin>

>Regards,

>John

Because some of them enjoy snitching on each other more than they enjoy
the actual hobby of radio.

2204. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 02:00:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13351-426EF245-786@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199230

From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 11:51:39 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
I consider the upper freeband to be from 25Mhz to 30Mhz... at least, I
have
heard freebanders all across that 5Mhz bandwidth...
Regards,
John

>I'd be willing to bet there are a lot of hams that
>don't even know what "freeband" is.

Very true. Many simply refer to freebanders as out-of-banders or
pirates.

> If freebanders stay off 10 meters, most hams

>wouldn't even care..

I think most freebanders do stay off 10 meters. It seems most illegal
intrusions there come from truckers who aren't aware of a hammie band
starting at 28 megs (let alone even knowing what freq they are on) and
are just flipping two bands "up" on their exports looking for a quiet or
private channel.

2205. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 02:07:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13353-426EF3CA-90@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199229

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:44:42 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<tWhbe.83$oB2.2427923@news.sisna.com>:

>Oh great, another anarchist.

Ha,,,,this room is getting crowded.

2206. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 02:13:58 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13352-426EF566-272@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199232

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>SHHHHH! They are listening for Osama Bin

>Ladin....

>Regards,

>John

Yep they are,,,and still failing.

2207. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 02:20:28 UTC
From: BobC <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aea71$426ef6ec$422ae71e$23361@FUSE.NET>
Source record: 199231

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:kg7r61hlqkp5fnfv6md19mqf0cradmoj71@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:24:49 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <ad65$426db48f$422ae9c6$7990@FUSE.NET>:
>
>
> Ok, let's consider the circuitry in front of the speakers: Assuming
> the speakers do not have their own power amps, the amps are enclosed
> in a fully (or almost fully) shielded case. The only unshielded lines
> into the case are pairs; i.e, only common mode currents can enter the
> case. Excluding the power line (filtered by the power supply) and the
> phone line (filtered by the modem transformer), the only means of
> entry is through the speaker wires connected to a very low impedance
> power amp. And assuming the power amp uses feedback (and that
> rectification of the RF occurs at the power amp stage), it would take
> just as much power to distort the amps' intended output (and therefore
> cause audio feedback of the demodulated RF) as it would to drive the
> speakers directly. That also assumes an efficient antenna -- resonant
> lengths of speaker wire.
>
> Now if the speakers are amplified externally (amp in the speakers),
> they are more than likely driven by a shielded cable. Regardless,
> almost all low-level preamps are designed for high common-mode
> rejection (we're talking 60-90 dB+ at each stage); and since the low
> impedance of the power amp is no less suseptible to RFI than if the
> amp was enclosed in the computer case, it would -still- take a
> considerable amount of RF power on the lines to drive the speakers.
>
> This is not just theory but fact -- they are designed this way for the
> specific purpose of eliminating that annoying AC hum and digital RFI
> that permeates most houses, -especially- the horrific noise generated
> by computer monitors and light dimmers. "Overloaded DAC's"? I don't
> think so, Bob. How do you overload a DAC? YOU will have to do better
> than THAT.

```
Common mode shmommen mode.
In a perfect world your model works.
But you're not explaining why I can eat up 2 out of 4 hi end audio cards.
And if you're not cognizent of how you eat a DAC's lunch, go read.
You may also notice that modems don't always use transformers anymore.
Modems are just as susceptible to rf on the lines as anything else.
Sound cards have fairly high imp, non-balanced inputs and hi gain.
Your model assumes no (-) or (+) supply rail changes from rf.
Your model only survives within the puter itself.
```

Add rf on a mic, spkr or phone lead and you have a great receiver.
It doesn't need to overload the main audio amp, just a prior stage.
The xformers you mentioned have enough cap across the windings to pass rf.
The leads you mentioned are not the only ones connected to the puter.
There are monitors, scanners, mice, cameras etc.

> Authorization to transmit with a CB is automatically revoked when the
> station is operating illegally. Shall I cite the code?

Not necessary. Just prove that the station is illegal.
It wouldn't hurt to have some decent field strength readings.
Maybe a witness attesting to the actual use of an amp?

>>Which is all well and good but until you've satisfied the feds that
>>your consumer grade stuff is properly filtered, they aren't going to
>>bother
>>sending anyone out to check.

> They won't send anyone out regardless. They don't care about the CB.
> But they do suck up to the ham community, and if it turns out that
> this guy has a license they may indeed take action if they find he is
> operating illegally (i.e, using power on the CB).

Which brings us back to doing the necessary part of filtering before
calling.

>>So far, I've not read from Jade anything that remotely suggests he or she
>>has a serious interference complaint involving another radio service.

>>I read "fire service" radio and I invited Jade to tell me what he/she
>>considers a fire service radio.

>>Scanners don't count.

> Actually, they do. If someone is causing interference to a scanner,
> it's very possible..... nay, -likely-..... that he will also cause
> interference to an emergency service radio that happens to be in close
> proximity. This is a problem because while the cops chase the killer
> with the gun running through the neighborhood, Andy the Amphead keys
> up and the guy gets away (or worse) because the cops lose comm.

Are you trying to present that a "real radios" front end isn't any better
than a scanner?

I'm sure the folks paying for those $3000 MA/COM's & Motorolas
would love to know about that. Even the Kenwood & Icom users.

You're also back to calling the guy guilty before you have proof.
Reread the part about innocent till proven guilty.

>>I haven't seen any real proof that the neighbor is running an illegal
>>station.
>>Jade has not indicated other neighbors are complaining of similar events.

>>Such complaints might induce me to think the cb'er is running power.
>
> Then that would be a good question to ask. So ask it.

I believe I already did.

>>Unless or until someone brings more convincing proof of the allegations,
>>I'm going with the notion it's a singular complaint due the quality of the
>>consumer electronics invloved.
>>
>>You'll excuse me if I happen to believe in "reasonable doubt" &
>>"due process" instead of conjecture & unfounded finger pointing.
>>bc
>
>
> How about "civic responsibility"?

How about Constitutional Law?
bc

2208. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 02:34:42 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <6TCbe.43676$hu5.16593@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199233

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns96445B9505D9A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:3j7r6198pms46hddpmt2lu6mopg5p2fprc@4ax.com:
>
>> On 25 Apr 2005 19:48:48 -0700, "Cliff" <thecowboyace@yahoo.com> wrote
>> in <1114483728.950663.36650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>>>If a CB radio is legal on wattage out, he doesn't have to clean up
>>>that image on any of older TV models. Older TV models are horrific in
>>>picking up 'legal transmissions' The TV/computer owner wil need to
>>>put some filters inline to take care of the problem if the CB is
>>>legal.
>>
>>
>> Televisions have always had problems with CB radios because the second
>> harmonic falls right on channel 2. But that second harmonic is
>> supposed to be supressed (filtered) to a point where it's effect is
>> negligible. A stock, unmodified, untweaked radio will usually not
>> cause interference to a TV unless you mount the antenna right next to
>> the set.
>>
>> The problem is the golden screwdrivers and internet techs who tweak &
>> peak their radios for modulation and/or power without regard to the
>> resulting increase of harmonics. Even if power is kept to the legal
>> limit, the modulation limiter can be modified for overmodulation, and
>> therefore cause a big increase in harmonics. This is why modification
>> of the radio is illegal. And modification of the radio voids your
>> authorization to use it, regardless of the RFI suseptibility of a TV.
>>
>
> Now this is the Old Frank that I have come to know and love ... 2 thumbs
> up Frank!!!
>

Awww! Ain't just the sweetest thing you've ever written?

2209. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 03:17:23 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114571843.077285.140860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199234

That is EXCACTLY the point I was making a long time ago (in a galaxy radio far far away) The truckers on 28.085 is channel 19 on most export radios on Band f or g or d or c or..... you get my point, hams!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2210. Re: K9 email filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 03:40:50 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <n4ydnS4wM_1elPLfRVn-rQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 199235

John Smith wrote:
> K9 email filter is free. It is an excellent way to deal with spam. And,
> it supports perl regular expressions. Set up correctly it can
> deal with 100% of spam--silently deleting it without a bother...
> Without it, you cannot use usenet, with a valid email address,
> without being spammed to death--with it, you don't know the spammers
> exist... http://keir.net/k9.html
>
> Regards,
> John

I'm gonna check it out. If it blows up my system I'm gonna get really angry.

Jeff

2211. Re: K9 email filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 04:05:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dcEbe.530$Ow7.6049887@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199236

Naaa, just follow the setup instructions... if you take the time to learn it and set it up--you'll love it...

Regards,
John

2212. Magnum 257 issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 04:17:18 UTC
From: "Johnson X. Jones" <"Johnson _X_Jones"@JXJ.biz>
Message-ID: <426f0d87$1_1@rover.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 199237

has anyone had a problem with the magnum 257 dropping down 10 khz when the power is turned on? this happens when the on-off knob is turned to the on position. i thought it maybe an issue with my truck but it happened when wired direct to the battery as well as inside on a power supply. this issue doesn't affect the way the radio talks. works fine when on the supply going into the 5/8 wave ground plane.

thanks in advance

johnsonX

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 100,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1.6 Terabytes per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

2213. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 10:43:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <36ru61d8chf0khtdtftedulc7npksgn0ne@4ax.com>
Source record: 199238

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 22:07:06 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net (Frankï¿½Gilliland)
>On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:44:42 -0700, "John Smith"
><assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
><tWhbe.83$oB2.2427923@news.sisna.com>:
>
>>Oh great, another anarchist.
>
>Ha,,,,this room is getting crowded.

And you thought that I was the only one who used that term.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2214. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 10:45:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <iaru61t8p6c3dvrlcv28hukk02d5r05ve9@4ax.com>
Source record: 199239

On 26 Apr 2005 20:17:23 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>That is EXCACTLY the point I was making a long time ago (in a galaxy
>radio far far away) The truckers on 28.085 is channel 19 on most export
>radios on Band f or g or d or c or..... you get my point,
>hams!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

What point was that?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2215. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 10:49:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9gru61h1gqo999c43bj7u2s8atmk8rbch2@4ax.com>
Source record: 199240

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 10:54:39 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 07:47:55 -0700, "John Smith"
><assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
><Awsbe.113$yR2.4678785@news.sisna.com>:
>
>>You my friend, are an idiot!!!
>
>
>So I've been told on more than one occasion. But let's see what's in
>-your- post......
>
>
>>Most hams feel it takes a "musical ear" to be proficient with morse code--
>
>
>Really? I've never heard that from -any- ham. I know Morse myself and
>I wouldn't say it takes a musical ear, but then I'm not a ham -or- a
>musician. So do you have any references to back up your statement that
>"most hams" feel this way?
>
>
><snip>
>>50Mhz and above works best with bounce, with enough power
>
>
>Really? I've never heard that, either. So if I want to talk to someone
>across town on, say, 2m, I should forget the repeaters, crank up the
>amp and howl at the moon?
>
>
>>(and there is
>>something wrong with the ionosphere these days--holes yanno!) I suppose
>>bounce at 27Mhz is a possibility--however, how many other cb'ers would have
>>a ant pointed at the moon?
>
>
>The ozone hole(s) might make a difference on 11m if you happen to live
>in Nome, AK, but I doubt that the mainland US is going to see a big
>difference anytime soon. As long as skip continues to happen then the
>ionosphere is still in good shape, and you probably won't have too
>much luck with CB EME.
>

>But that didn't answer my question: Have you done moon bounce with CB?
>
>
>>Expect no more answers from me--you are not on a level to be given this
>>consideration. You are simply displaying the traits of a control freak, and
>>attempting to use cheap shots to make others answerable to you--I have not
>>the time--be interesting or be silent...
>
>
>Your cop-out came earlier than I expected, but it -was- expected.
>
>
>>I can clearly see you have the petty traits most hams display--you may be a
>>lost soul... get your license!
>
>
>By "petty traits" do you mean pointing out the absurdity of the
>arguments you use to defend your anti-hammie attitude? Guilty as
>charged.
>
>
>>You are entitled to your opinions, if you think you will upset me with your
>>rantings--think again...
>
>
>I really don't care if you get upset or not. Why did you even bring it
>up as a topic of discussion?
>
>
>> I support free speech....
>
>
>As do I. I even consider it a right.
>
>
>> however, if you have no
>>respect for logic and the obivious it will show and you will be known for
>>such--proceed as you please...
>
>
>....."logic and the obvious"? Like avoiding sat-comm because of
>possible alien influence? And working moon bounce on CB? Of course you
>realize that the moon is a natural satellite complete with alien mud
>puddles, don't you? Then there is your statement that only musicians
>can learn Morse code, your anti-government diatribe that came out of
>nowhere, and your suggestion that education is a bad thing because it
>will inevitably lead to Hitleresque facism in the US. "Logic and the
>obvious" indeed.
>
>John, you are a certifiable whacko. Welcome to RRCB!

Hey Frank, who's your new friend?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2216. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 10:50:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tiru61ptahlnrhavtshu3b43lb23i78m0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 199241

On 25 Apr 2005 07:16:18 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>I think you are absolutly right, John> I think the "hams" are jeolous
>of us CB'ers and freebanders cause we are PROFESSIONAL dx'ers while
>they are just amateur dx'ers. What do you think?
>Regards from
>CB OUTLAW (beep <as in roger>)

I think you should stay away from those magic mushrooms.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2217. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 11:31:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nkru6113rcil3bhcstn684hosfmo7s00dk@4ax.com>
Source record: 199242

On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 10:03:40 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>I think I have been both, freebander/ham, all I think I have seen is that
>the freebanders have more fun.

That depends on your definition of "fun".

>Also, I think the radio spectrum is for the use of all, there needs to be a
>good slice of radio spectrum set aside for freebanders, indeed, set aside
>for "We the People!"

There is. 40 channels worth. There's also FRS and MURS too.

>Unfortunately, the hams have just become a self-serving bunch holding much
>of the spectrum to their hobby... they like nice clear spaces of spectrum
>where they and a few buddies carry on conversations like old women around a
>bridge table.

Are you a ham? If not, then where do you get your stereotypical
information?

>Unless one feels himself a child who needs a parent telling them what they
>CAN'T do--it is time for a change of thinking here...

Ah, another one who can't live under the rules of society.

>I think the FCC needs to support radio use amongst the citizens of this
>country, not a self-serving group who feel themselves more deserving and
>special...

What do "the citizens" do to deserve such treatment?

>I think we all need a better form of radio spectrum use--one
>which conforms to the people, NOT makes the people conform to ridiculous
>rules...

Making subjective value judgments is a flaw in your logic. Those
"ridiculous" rules are there for a reason. They're not there to ruin
your fun, they are there to ensure that all radio users have a fair
chance and equal access.

>And, I think a whole lot more... but basically it all revolves around my
>seeing hams as self-serving/control-freaks who have a good thing going--and
>are getting away with it...

Well, lets see, hams do have to pass a test to prove some level of
technical proficiency. That is worth a lot more than simply doing -
Nothing! Hams earned the right to that "good thing". And it's not an

exclusive club either! You are welcome to earn the privilege and join the ranks. The problem is that you want what they have, but don't want to work to earn it. It's no different than a welfare slacker complaining that their hard working neighbor owns a nice house and a BMW, and laments that "they have a good thing and are getting away with it". Yet he somehow feels that he deserves the same considerations while doing nothing to earn it. You probably feel that the rich don't deserve what they have either.

When you work for and earn a privilege (as opposed to crying and whining for it) you tend to respect what it stands for. That is why most hams are more aware of, and tend to follow more closely, the rules of the radio service. Like your first car. If you earned the money to buy your first car by years of paper routes and cutting lawns, you will be more likely to take care of it, than if Dad just gave the car to you.

The problem is that you want the privileges of ham radio, but do not want to work for it. The test is not that difficult. Here is where guys like you then claim that they could easily pass the test, but they don't "want to", and then cite all sorts of "issues" about the politics of ham radio that they find repulsive. Yea, hams don't use roger beeps, noise toys, echo boxes, and they don't normally cuss every other word. It's the difference between a broadway play and WWE smackdown. Not that there's anything wrong with WWE smackdown. But you don't expect those who want to watch a broadway play to have to put up with the antics of the WWE. That's what choice is about.

So now, you want the privileges of ham radio, without earning them, and without the presence of some people who may think and act a little differently than you do. So you want the FCC to kick hams off the ham bands and then turn the service over to a bunch of unlicensed, lawless individuals to turn into the RF equivalent of the wild, wild west? Does that about sum it up for you? Forgetting about the technical issues that would certainly crop up, do you think this is a good plan?

Even if, hypothetically, the FCC were to create a "legal" freeband service from say, 26-28 Mhz, there would still be those who would venture outside of those limits. For those people, it's not about having fun on a radio service, but about the thrill of breaking the law, in much the same way that a 17 year old drinks. There's a certain psychological thrill that comes with "getting away" with breaking the law, or "sticking it to the man". For those who look at societal rules as a personal affront, I can only tell you that you have a long, hard, and uphill battle before you. Happiness will not be easy to find either.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2218. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 12:57:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4f2v61d4d9i5dti3gg07g96n54uskfro09@4ax.com>
Source record: 199243

On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 22:20:28 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
wrote in <aea71$426ef6ec$422ae71e$23361@FUSE.NET>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:kg7r61hlqkp5fnfv6md19mqf0cradmoj71@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:24:49 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
>> wrote in <ad65$426db48f$422ae9c6$7990@FUSE.NET>:
>>
>>
>> Ok, let's consider the circuitry in front of the speakers: Assuming
>> the speakers do not have their own power amps, the amps are enclosed
>> in a fully (or almost fully) shielded case. The only unshielded lines
>> into the case are pairs; i.e, only common mode currents can enter the
>> case. Excluding the power line (filtered by the power supply) and the
>> phone line (filtered by the modem transformer), the only means of
>> entry is through the speaker wires connected to a very low impedance
>> power amp. And assuming the power amp uses feedback (and that
>> rectification of the RF occurs at the power amp stage), it would take
>> just as much power to distort the amps' intended output (and therefore
>> cause audio feedback of the demodulated RF) as it would to drive the
>> speakers directly. That also assumes an efficient antenna -- resonant
>> lengths of speaker wire.
>>
>> Now if the speakers are amplified externally (amp in the speakers),
>> they are more than likely driven by a shielded cable. Regardless,
>> almost all low-level preamps are designed for high common-mode
>> rejection (we're talking 60-90 dB+ at each stage); and since the low
>> impedance of the power amp is no less suseptible to RFI than if the
>> amp was enclosed in the computer case, it would -still- take a
>> considerable amount of RF power on the lines to drive the speakers.
>>
>> This is not just theory but fact -- they are designed this way for the
>> specific purpose of eliminating that annoying AC hum and digital RFI
>> that permeates most houses, -especially- the horrific noise generated
>> by computer monitors and light dimmers. "Overloaded DAC's"? I don't
>> think so, Bob. How do you overload a DAC? YOU will have to do better
>> than THAT.
>
>Common mode shmommen mode.
>In a perfect world your model works.

It's not -my- model, and it works in the real world just fine. If it
didn't there would be so much noise coming from the speakers that they
would be almost useless.

>But you're not explaining why I can eat up 2 out of 4 hi end audio cards.

I have my suspicions.....

>And if you're not cognizent of how you eat a DAC's lunch, go read.

Read what? A DAC datasheet? Heck, I get those as junk mail every month (and I wish they would quit sending them). Maybe you should learn what a DAC actually does before you start spouting off about subjects you know very little about. DAC stands for "Digital to Analog Converter", and the only way to overload them (aside from blowing them up with too much Vcc) is to push all the inputs to the same logic level, in which case you will not get audio from the output but a steady DC signal. So how is it that you think that you can overload a soundcard's DAC with AM (analog) RF and get demodulated audio from the output? If you can then you got some serious voodoo happening.

>You may also notice that modems don't always use transformers anymore.
>Modems are just as susceptible to rf on the lines as anything else.

You might have noticed yourself that the modem is usually a seperate and isolated card, that the line inputs always include RFI protection by law (transformer or chokes), that they have excellent common-mode signal rejection, and that the line impedance is quite low when it's off-hook. Any RF on the phone line stops at the modem for the same reason that I explained about the speakers -- because they are designed to reject environmental RF hash. The only way a stray RF signal can hop the phone line, skip past the modem, infiltrate the power supply and drive the sound card, with a demodulation stage happening somewhere in that path, is if the RF has some significant power.

>Sound cards have fairly high imp, non-balanced inputs and hi gain.

Unbalanced lines use shielded cables.

>Your model assumes no (-) or (+) supply rail changes from rf.

Your criticizm assumes no power supply regulation, no bypass caps on the chips, no capacitance between power traces and the ground plane layer, no inductive losses from the straight traces on the bus, etc. IOW, you are reaching.

>Your model only survives within the puter itself.
>Add rf on a mic, spkr or phone lead and you have a great receiver.

Not even close for the reasons I already explained (and apparently you couldn't understand). Yet I forgot to mention that the only way for the impedance of an RF signal to be low enough to force it's way past the hardware, the line must be both resonant -and- terminate at the computer at a low-impedance node, -and- provide a signal with sufficient strength to defeat the protections and/or output power. That's a pretty tall order for a speaker line -- especially when you realize that most of the time the excess line is wound up and tied, making a pretty good RF choke.

>It doesn't need to overload the main audio amp, just a prior stage.

I didn't say "overload", I said "distort". There is a difference. And while I have no problem with RFI interfering with a stage prior to the output, the most obvious route is the feedback loop which I already addressed. Or weren't you paying attention?

>The xformers you mentioned have enough cap across the windings to pass rf.

No they don't, simply because they either have electrostatic shielding between the layers (somewhat old-fashioned) or use tandem windings on the bobbin (much more common these days, and a whole lot cheaper). But they do have enough -inductance- to choke any RF on the line.

>The leads you mentioned are not the only ones connected to the puter.
>There are monitors,

Shielded.

> scanners,

Shielded, (except for USB, which is a balanced pair).

> mice,

Shielded.

> cameras

USB.

> etc.

Keyboard: Shielded.
Power cable: Filtered.

And you should be aware that the same engineering standards used to prevent RFI from -exiting- the computer case also serve to prevent RFI from -entering- the computer case.

Got any more lame excuses?

>_____
>> Authorization to transmit with a CB is automatically revoked when the
>> station is operating illegally. Shall I cite the code?
>
>Not necessary. Just prove that the station is illegal.
>It wouldn't hurt to have some decent field strength readings.
>Maybe a witness attesting to the actual use of an amp?

How about just setting up a legal CB radio next to the computer and see if it causes the same problems described by the OP? I have done so many times and never experienced a problem. In fact, I have a Tram 60 sitting right beside my computer and use it frequently with no ill effects to the computer, although the computer does tend to cause RFI to the radio.....

>_____________________
>>>Which is all well and good but until you've satisfied the feds that
>>>your consumer grade stuff is properly filtered, they aren't going to
>>>bother
>>>sending anyone out to check.
>
>> They won't send anyone out regardless. They don't care about the CB.
>> But they do suck up to the ham community, and if it turns out that
>> this guy has a license they may indeed take action if they find he is
>> operating illegally (i.e, using power on the CB).
>
>Which brings us back to doing the necessary part of filtering before
>calling.

A local AM station (KGA, I think) had a problem a few years back. They
were pumping so much power that you could hear the audio sounding from
the chain-link fence at the nearby school. Are you suggesting that it
is the school's responsibilty to filter the fence?

>_____________________
>>>So far, I've not read from Jade anything that remotely suggests he or she
>>>has a serious interference complaint involving another radio service.
>
>>>I read "fire service" radio and I invited Jade to tell me what he/she
>>>considers a fire service radio.
>
>>>Scanners don't count.
>
>> Actually, they do. If someone is causing interference to a scanner,
>> it's very possible..... nay, -likely-..... that he will also cause
>> interference to an emergency service radio that happens to be in close
>> proximity. This is a problem because while the cops chase the killer
>> with the gun running through the neighborhood, Andy the Amphead keys
>> up and the guy gets away (or worse) because the cops lose comm.
>
>Are you trying to present that a "real radios" front end isn't any better
>than a scanner?
>
>I'm sure the folks paying for those $3000 MA/COM's & Motorolas
>would love to know about that. Even the Kenwood & Icom users.

If the harmonic falls on the operating frequency then it doesn't
matter how well the front end is built -- interference is the
inevitable result. But even the best receivers are not immune to
overload.

>You're also back to calling the guy guilty before you have proof.
>Reread the part about innocent till proven guilty.

I'm convinced with the information that was given. I suspect that you
are also convinced but are simply making excuses. If you are not
convinced then either you have very little experience or are woefully
ignorant about the subject.

>_____________________
>>>I haven't seen any real proof that the neighbor is running an illegal
>>>station.
>>>Jade has not indicated other neighbors are complaining of similar events.
>>>Such complaints might induce me to think the cb'er is running power.
>>
>> Then that would be a good question to ask. So ask it.
>
>I believe I already did.

I looked back through the thread and I saw no such question. Perhaps
my news server didn't pick it up -- care to cite the post?

>_____________________
>>>Unless or until someone brings more convincing proof of the allegations,
>>>I'm going with the notion it's a singular complaint due the quality of the
>>>consumer electronics invloved.
>>>
>>>You'll excuse me if I happen to believe in "reasonable doubt" &
>>>"due process" instead of conjecture & unfounded finger pointing.
>>>bc
>>
>>
>> How about "civic responsibility"?
>
>How about Constitutional Law?

How about it? Although it has fallen by the wayside during the Bush
administration, there is nothing I suggested that would deprive anyone
of their Constitutional rights. I'm not a court and I don't execute
due process. But if I have good reason to believe that someone is
violating a law then I don't keep my mouth shut because of some
whacko's ultra-literal interpretation of the Constitution. Due process
is not pre-empted by a presumption of innocence -- OTOH, due process
must be initiated before it can occur, and reasonable suspicion is
enough to begin that process. That's the law. If you don't like it,
work to change it. If you don't then quit whining and learn to live
with the system the way it is.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2219. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:10:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4962-426F8F2C-21@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199244

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 22:07:06 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 19:44:42 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<tWhbe.83$oB2.2427923@news.sisna.com>:
(Oh great, another anarchist.)

Ha,,,,this room is getting crowded.

>And you thought that I was the only one who

>used that term.....

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

No,,what I said was to google the term "anarchy" in this group and the results will illustrate that only you and malicious sock puppets use the term on a regular basis. It was at this point I invoked a term you often use and prefer to claim,,that "statistical probablility" is accepted proof by your standards, and when this accepted proof you invoke is applied to the posts, you are one guilty sucka for screwing with a vast majority of posters.

2220. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:12:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4962-426F8FD7-22@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199245

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 26 Apr 2005 20:17:23 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:
That is EXCACTLY the point I was making a long time ago (in a galaxy
radio far far away) The truckers on 28.085 is channel 19 on most export
radios on Band f or g or d or c or..... you get my point,
hams!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>What point was that?

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

That most freebanders do not enter the hammie bands, despite Oxendine's
and your sock protests against cbers.

2221. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:25:36 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4963-426F92D0-4@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199246

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 25 Apr 2005 07:16:18 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:
I think you are absolutly right, John> I think the "hams" are jeolous of
us CB'ers and freebanders cause we are PROFESSIONAL dx'ers while they
are just amateur dx'ers. What do you think? Regards from
CB OUTLAW (beep <as in roger>)

>I think you should stay away from those magic
>mushrooms.....

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

You can deny it to your little black heart's content, but the fact
remains many cbers get licenses (especialy the no-codes) only to return
to the cb and freeband. Some of those who obtained licenses can never go
back because their voices are too easily recognized and their hammie
"friends" will report their ass for freebanding. They end up being
pissed off (then issed upon) hammies.
The anger toward such freebanders can be seen in your own posts. Several
years ago you were making posts chastising hammies as being too uptight
and uppity and technical who look down on cbers. During your admitted
freebanding and illegal operating years, not once will you find a post
by yourself calling others names or expressing nosey concern for other
people's business that does not affect you. However, after having your
clock cleaned in reec.radio.cb by cbers for your oft extended hypocrisy,
you began to take on a different persona. That you attribute such
behavior (name calling, attacking those who merely dx or freeband) to
"growing up", illustrates the fact you were an incredible late bloomer
and extremely slow learner who hasn't fully matured yet, as your
behavior continued well in to your thirties. In fact, you still are
illegal and have no right to say anything to anyone, as for starters,
the address you provided the FCC is not your primary residence and the
fact that you fail to correct this matter with the FCC even after being
informed you are illegal, leaves you no credibility with anything you
may say regarding other's actions.

2222. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:33:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4962-426F949A-23@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199252

From: bob_c_89431@yahoo.com (BobC)
"Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote in message
news:hftq61ts8d65550pppes8e8fp3jl984928@4ax.com...
On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 18:50:33 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com> wrote
in <d4f2c$426d74ab$422ae9c6$25692@FUSE.NET>:
>You'll excuse me if I happen to believe in

>"reasonable doubt" & "due process" instead of

>conjecture & unfounded finger pointing. bc

Ain't that the truth. We have an extra class hammie here (Dave Hall Jr.,
N3CVJ) who talks that un-American smack all the time by attempting to
espouse unsolicited claims regading what he views as his education,
experience and accomplishments. He actually made the comment that what
one posts on the internet regarding illegal actions (the conversation
was referring to dx and freebanding) is "the same as a guilty plea in a
court of law". With such ignorant arm-chair lawyering from hammies that
are supposed to know better, it is little wonder the ranks of hammiedom
are in disarray and losing ground each day.

2223. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:41:53 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BEMbe.1343$Gd7.7@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199247

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:w%Abe.17682$V02.6835@fe08.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I
>>>>>>>>only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
>>>>>>>>Debbie.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
>>>>>>have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
>>>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
>>>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
>>>>with the top down.
>>>>
>>>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
>>>>miles and break bread with me.
>>>>
>>>
>>>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
>>>
>>
>> He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
>> bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.

> i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)

Hey hey hey, I don't talk religion fella.... LOL!!!

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

2224. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:41:53 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BEMbe.1342$Gd7.428@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199248

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:nJAbe.156$na6.5406748@news.sisna.com...
> Here ya go, now you will not have all that text you are worried about...
>
> John

What text would that be?

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

2225. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:41:53 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BEMbe.1344$Gd7.447@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199249

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:95Bbe.17690$V02.15018@fe08.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I
>>>>>>>>>>>only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
>>>>>>>>>>>Debbie.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
>>>>>>>>>have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
>>>>>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
>>>>>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
>>>>>>with the top down.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
>>>>>>miles and break bread with me.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
>>>>bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)
>>>
>>
>> The craxy Bronco buster!?
> one and only. claims to do some serious fishin' in cabo. probably watches
> 'the spanish fly' and says i wish i can do that hehehe

Too old for the fly.

We normally go to this place in Cabo:
http://marina-fiesta.com/

Thinking about go here this year:
http://losbarrileshotel.com/

Cabo's become pretty expensive compared to when we went
first started going there 20 years ago.

Landshark

That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug

2226. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:41:53 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BEMbe.1341$Gd7.319@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199250

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:khBbe.17701$V02.12388@fe08.lga...
> am waiting for him to take the bait hehehe. i like hagar. party animal.

Red Red, I want red, there's no substitute for red.

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

2227. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:41:53 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BEMbe.1345$Gd7.1084@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199251

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050426204111.428$Zx@newsreader.com...
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>
>> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Steveo wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>> >>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>

>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>radio
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>> >>>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>> >>>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do)
>> >>>>>>>>>I only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
>> >>>>>>>>>Debbie.
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems
>> >>>>>>>to have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>> >>>>>>

```
>> >>>>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
>> >>>>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
>> >>>>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
>> >>>>>with the top down.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
>> >>>>>miles and break bread with me.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
>> >>>bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)
>> >>
>> >
>> > The craxy Bronco buster!?
>>
>> one and only. claims to do some serious fishin' in cabo. probably
>> watches 'the spanish fly' and says i wish i can do that hehehe
>>
> Is he realated to the red rocker, Sammy Hagar? His cabo is outta wabo.
```

Been there done that. Look up Squid Roe & Lord Blacks
in Cabo ;)

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end

because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

2228. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:47:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4962-426F97DC-24@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199253

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 22:20:28 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com> wrote
in <aea71$426ef6ec$422ae71e$23361@FUSE.NET>:
(How about Constitutional Law?)

>How about it? Although it has fallen by the

>wayside during the Bush administration, there

>is nothing I suggested that would deprive

>anyone of their Constitutional rights. I'm not a

>court and I don't execute due process. But if I

>have good reason to believe that someone is

>violating a law then I don't keep my mouth

>shut because of some whacko's ultra-literal

>interpretation of the Constitution. Due process

>is not pre-empted by a presumption of

>innocence -- OTOH, due process must be

>initiated before it can occur, and reasonable

>suspicion is enough to begin that process.

>That's the law. If you don't like it, work to

>change it. If you don't then quit whining and

>learn to live with the system the way it is.

Fwiw, Due Process (according to the Supreme Court) is a difficult thing
to define. It has been said DP is merely the law of the land. The Fifth
and Fourteenth Amendments refer only to federal agency protection with
regards to DP. DP is basically how and why laws are enforced, and
questions "Is the law fair?" as in "does a law presume guilt?" The end
result is the law (as it applies to all persons) must be clear and
concise and it absolutely MUST have a presumption of innocence to comply
with Due Process.

2229. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 13:53:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4963-426F9957-5@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199254

From: wz751@hamenfnetspam.net (Johnny)
"Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
(You freebanders better knock it off.
The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they already cited a
bunch of Freebanders.)

>I heard they are using hams as volunteers.

>73 good dx,

>Johnny

Old news. K4KWH initiated this long before any other hammies thought of
it. He was padrooling the interstates long ago for truckers who wander
into 10 meters and reporting them to the federal incompetents.
Unlicensed ops shouldn't mess with hammie freqs.

2230. Re: N8WWM in Trouble again!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:01:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4962-426F9B51-25@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199255

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
lardass_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
Nomen Nescio wrote:
(You freebanders better knock it off.
The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they already cited a
bunch of Freebanders.)

>They are looking into no code techs like you

>They got N8WWM already.

Here's how it went down...Dogie pretty much got himself busted. He was shitting all over himself reading posts on this group by those who actually enjoy the cb hobby and dx and freebanding. He was newly licensed and wrongly felt since the cbers could do what they wanted with the FCC's blessing, he could, too. This is a perfect example of a cber getting a
nocode license and being instantly sorry which eventually gave way to anger. His actions and words in this group perfectly mirrored his actions on the hammie bands. He found his chickens came home to roost when his behavior was not only not tolerated, but not permitted. This led to him being popped by the feds for intentional jamming of the Toledo Amateur Club's repeater on several occasions. They had several audio tapes of his illegal broadcasts. In the meantime, he self-appointed himself as internet speech censorer and tried playing radio cop in the group until his psyche deteriorated further.

2231. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:05:41 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4962-426F9C35-26@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199256

From: ya@scrdotwices.org (Scratch Dog Twice)
"Nomen Nescio" <anon@hamanonspam.net> wrote in message
news:d4ejcj$83d$0@pita.alt.net...
(You freebanders better knock it off.
The FCC just formed a Freeband Strike Unit, and they already cited a
bunch of Freebanders.)

.said chicken little to madam hennypenny.

>There were multiple coordinated busts in

>several cities last night. Freebanders could

>be in for some big trouble from Uncle Candy

>Pants. Tons of equipment seized. Ham radio

>operators assisted in all raids.

Hammie radio ops assist in the majority of the busts. Why the hell you
think so many hammies get busted in lieu of cbers? I have always
maintained the feds are right on concerning this area of enforcement..go
after the worst violators..and by virtue of the fed's actions, hammies
continue to be their own worst nightmare and enemy.

2232. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:15:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <I7Nbe.1973$vN6.919581@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199258

I think too much text probably confuses old steveo, we should just keep our thext to "yes" and "no" for him...

Regards,
John

2233. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:22:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <keNbe.2043$co6.822377@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199257

Well, you are just ONE BIG argument for more control. The least gov't is the best gov't, 400 million people need the radio spectrum more than a handful of hams and the public servants we have hired to the people business.

Write your congressman today! He will do nothing unless he knows there is a problem!

Regards,
John

2234. Re: N8WWM in Trouble again!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:25:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MhNbe.2069$rv4.559241@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199259

Well, that all translates to, "Hams make poor buddies--they'll turn you in like a bunch of old jealous women. Ands, hams don't make good pets either, they soil spaces..."

Regards,
John

2235. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:34:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050427103402.565$Zy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199260

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> I think too much text probably confuses old steveo, we should just keep
> our thext to "yes" and "no" for him...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
Top posting nit-wit "John Smith" has nothing to say anyway.

2236. cowardly mopathetic proves AGAIN she is all hot air!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:42:32 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114612952.584348.273300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199261

Gonna tell us, dopey?

2237. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:42:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <949v61duui7m9v64ncfnsfjks6nrrt7d18@4ax.com>
Source record: 199262

On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 09:12:55 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On 26 Apr 2005 20:17:23 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>That is EXCACTLY the point I was making a long time ago (in a galaxy
>radio far far away) The truckers on 28.085 is channel 19 on most export
>radios on Band f or g or d or c or..... you get my point,
>hams!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>>What point was that?
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>N3CVJ
>
>That most freebanders do not enter the hammie bands, despite Oxendine's
>and your sock protests against cbers.

The law does not distinguish between ignorance and intent. The facts
are that there ARE illegal intruders on 10 meters. The how's and why's
are irrelevant.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2238. Re: Magnum 257 issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:43:26 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d4o8eelru1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199263

"Johnson X. Jones" <Johnson _X_Jones@JXJ.biz> wrote in message
news:426f0d87$1_1@rover.100ProofNews.com...
> has anyone had a problem with the magnum 257 dropping down 10 khz when the
> power is turned on? this happens when the on-off knob is turned to the on
> position. i thought it maybe an issue with my truck but it happened when
> wired direct to the battery as well as inside on a power supply. this
> issue doesn't affect the way the radio talks. works fine when on the
> supply going into the 5/8 wave ground plane.
>
> thanks in advance
>
> johnsonX

Are you still using the stock mic? Keep in mind that the stock mic's
up/down button is pulsed with with the either the 12V coming up the mic cord
or ground, I can't remember off the top of my head. If you have this wire
tied to another it may see the turn on as a channel change. when rewiring a
mic with no channel change the pin 6 and pin 4 must be disconnected and
insulated off.

I can get you more details if you need them.

Here pin out of the mic socket
1- audio
2- Receive
3- Transmit
4- CH up/down
5- Ground
6- 12V from power input with NO CURRENT LIMITING.

Chad

2239. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:44:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050427104454.658$hb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199264

KB3BEJ chrissy the sissy busch
whined<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Steveo is the only assclown to complain about top posters
>
STFU, sissy.

Since December 12 2004

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> destination.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have
> any balls

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> correctional Facility i am locked up.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> Nagger you are a liar,
> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
> him trip over himself repying to this message.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> ever heard ofsub seven??

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Insane in the membrane.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You queer assclown

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking clueless douchebag.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You suck and lancer swallows.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> out and burns down your trailer.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> exactly i dont you stupid fuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> oxycotnin.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> guess again assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL, you fucking sissy...

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i am not a sock puppet but you are

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> steveo taslks shit
> without ever backing it up oh yes

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Negro please

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I know you wont to pussy to do so.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> your a fucking jew aren't you?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats not george you clueless assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> read what the fuck I tpye

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did yur mother have anything that lived?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you befriendung him for other
> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as usual.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
> now she is a real twat from what i hear.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No one is on your leg dickhead, now go suck some more dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> hahaha you are truely clueless you ass loving faggot. That is a 100% lie

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> his wife is out getting banged while he sits at homne on the usenet

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No that i fucked your ex- old lady was better.

2240. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 14:48:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <p79v61drvmut2qd2c2qufrvp8i3q7nrr6i@4ax.com>
Source record: 199265

On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 07:22:08 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Well, you are just ONE BIG argument for more control.

No, I'm for reasonable control for the greater public good. At least
until people (and your examples aren't helping me to believe it) can
demonstrate that they can handle the responsibility alone. So far, in
every example, less regulation leads to chaos.

> The least gov't is the best gov't,

To an extent, I agree. But a total absence of rules and laws is an
extreme that I cannot support.

>400 million people need the radio spectrum more than a
>handful of hams and the public servants we have hired to the people
>business.

Why do 400 million people "need" radio spectrum? What spectrum "needs"
are not currently being addressed?

Ham radio is not an exclusive club. ANY one of those "400 million" are
welcome to join, provided they agree to follow the rules.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2241. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 15:03:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <hRNbe.2406$qV4.639048@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199271

You get the question backwards...
Why do these public servants need most of "MY" radio spectrum, I am against giving the garbage collector more than a couple of chans too...
Hams don't need special treatment, they can share with the rest of the people... giving them those bits of paper with "Licence" written on it just confuses 'em and makes 'em feel "special", if they were all that special, we would spend more and put 'em in "special education classes."
We don't need to design people to fit radios, we need to design radios to fit people--it is easy to see how that got backwards--back when everyone was afraid of electricity and radio had a "mysterious" quality I can see how a bunch of under-educated people set this up--but today things are much different... We need to shake the hams and FCC out of the rut which has been established...

I am telling you, "Write, email, fax or otherwise contact your congressman today!!!"

Regards,
John

2242. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 15:22:40 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d4oao0mkn1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199266

"BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aea71$426ef6ec$422ae71e$23361@FUSE.NET...
>
> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:kg7r61hlqkp5fnfv6md19mqf0cradmoj71@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 23:24:49 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
>> wrote in <ad65$426db48f$422ae9c6$7990@FUSE.NET>:
>>
>>
>> Ok, let's consider the circuitry in front of the speakers: Assuming
>> the speakers do not have their own power amps, the amps are enclosed
>> in a fully (or almost fully) shielded case. The only unshielded lines
>> into the case are pairs; i.e, only common mode currents can enter the
>> case. Excluding the power line (filtered by the power supply) and the
>> phone line (filtered by the modem transformer), the only means of
>> entry is through the speaker wires connected to a very low impedance
>> power amp. And assuming the power amp uses feedback (and that
>> rectification of the RF occurs at the power amp stage), it would take
>> just as much power to distort the amps' intended output (and therefore
>> cause audio feedback of the demodulated RF) as it would to drive the
>> speakers directly. That also assumes an efficient antenna -- resonant
>> lengths of speaker wire.
>>
>> Now if the speakers are amplified externally (amp in the speakers),
>> they are more than likely driven by a shielded cable. Regardless,
>> almost all low-level preamps are designed for high common-mode
>> rejection (we're talking 60-90 dB+ at each stage); and since the low
>> impedance of the power amp is no less suseptible to RFI than if the
>> amp was enclosed in the computer case, it would -still- take a
>> considerable amount of RF power on the lines to drive the speakers.
>>
>> This is not just theory but fact -- they are designed this way for the
>> specific purpose of eliminating that annoying AC hum and digital RFI
>> that permeates most houses, -especially- the horrific noise generated
>> by computer monitors and light dimmers. "Overloaded DAC's"? I don't
>> think so, Bob. How do you overload a DAC? YOU will have to do better
>> than THAT.
>
> Common mode shmommen mode.
> In a perfect world your model works.
> But you're not explaining why I can eat up 2 out of 4 hi end audio cards.

Most often, even wahat you consider "high end" cards do not have the audio sheild grounded properly. If you are running into problems try running the sheild to case ground or better yet use transformers.

> And if you're not cognizent of how you eat a DAC's lunch, go read.

Prove yourself wrong and go see. There are countless DAC's sitting under big power AM/FM transmitters with much more RF saturation than the common splatter box can produce from a neighbor's house. AND if RF creeps into the front end of a DAC you will NOT hear it (program audio) over the speakers, it will simply raise the error rate and cause the audio to be glitched or muted.

> You may also notice that modems don't always use transformers anymore.

Most do, motherboard integrated ones are starting not to. Which sucks IMHO, if something goes wrong "mother nature" it's new mobo time!

> Modems are just as susceptible to rf on the lines as anything else.

No kidding, but their design dictates that unless their audio output is run to the speakers, audio will not get ino the sound card.

> Sound cards have fairly high imp, non-balanced inputs and hi gain.

If the input is not being used it is shorted to "ground" as stated earlier this is not always a trusty ground, Shorting it to true ground or shutting that input off in the control panel will solve the problem. if one input or output sheild is grounded to a trustworthy ground it will all be good as they share ground with no buffering. If this input is tied to an output device the impedance of the output device is usually low enough to negate problems

> Your model assumes no (-) or (+) supply rail changes from rf.

HEH there are no +/- rails in consumer audio cards, they are single ended. Until you get into the external pro cards you will see this.

> Your model only survives within the puter itself.
> Add rf on a mic, spkr or phone lead and you have a great receiver.

Ground the sheild and the problem will go away.

Tell us about that phone lead and why.

> It doesn't need to overload the main audio amp, just a prior stage.

> The xformers you mentioned have enough cap across the windings to pass rf.

To what?

> The leads you mentioned are not the only ones connected to the puter.
> There are monitors, scanners, mice, cameras etc.

All not associated with audio, concentrate on the audio card. Hell, my cell phone drives them nuts till they are properly taken care of. one ground and the problem goes away.

Another question to raise is is there even a ground? how old is the house
and has the ground rod rotted away, better yet, is there even a ground rod?

Chad

> _____________________
>> Authorization to transmit with a CB is automatically revoked when the
>> station is operating illegally. Shall I cite the code?
>
> Not necessary. Just prove that the station is illegal.
> It wouldn't hurt to have some decent field strength readings.
> Maybe a witness attesting to the actual use of an amp?
> _____________________
>>>Which is all well and good but until you've satisfied the feds that
>>>your consumer grade stuff is properly filtered, they aren't going to
>>>bother
>>>sending anyone out to check.
>
>> They won't send anyone out regardless. They don't care about the CB.
>> But they do suck up to the ham community, and if it turns out that
>> this guy has a license they may indeed take action if they find he is
>> operating illegally (i.e, using power on the CB).
>
> Which brings us back to doing the necessary part of filtering before
> calling.
> _____________________
>>>So far, I've not read from Jade anything that remotely suggests he or she
>>>has a serious interference complaint involving another radio service.
>
>>>I read "fire service" radio and I invited Jade to tell me what he/she
>>>considers a fire service radio.
>
>>>Scanners don't count.
>
>> Actually, they do. If someone is causing interference to a scanner,
>> it's very possible..... nay, -likely-..... that he will also cause
>> interference to an emergency service radio that happens to be in close
>> proximity. This is a problem because while the cops chase the killer
>> with the gun running through the neighborhood, Andy the Amphead keys
>> up and the guy gets away (or worse) because the cops lose comm.
>
> Are you trying to present that a "real radios" front end isn't any better
> than a scanner?
>
> I'm sure the folks paying for those $3000 MA/COM's & Motorolas
> would love to know about that. Even the Kenwood & Icom users.
>
> You're also back to calling the guy guilty before you have proof.
> Reread the part about innocent till proven guilty.
> _____________________
>>>I haven't seen any real proof that the neighbor is running an illegal
>>>station.

>>>Jade has not indicated other neighbors are complaining of similar events.
>>>Such complaints might induce me to think the cb'er is running power.
>>
>> Then that would be a good question to ask. So ask it.
>
> I believe I already did.
> _____________________
>>>Unless or until someone brings more convincing proof of the allegations,
>>>I'm going with the notion it's a singular complaint due the quality of
>>>the
>>>consumer electronics invloved.
>>>
>>>You'll excuse me if I happen to believe in "reasonable doubt" &
>>>"due process" instead of conjecture & unfounded finger pointing.
>>>bc
>>
>>
>> How about "civic responsibility"?
>
> How about Constitutional Law?
> bc
>

2243. FA: Connex Confederate HP w/ Astatic Mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 15:31:51 UTC
From: cfm <Cmac@radio.com>
Message-ID: <QfObe.24712$Ow2.13477@fe06.lga>
Source record: 199267

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/89879266?

2244. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 15:36:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nk9v61le33fig3dj8b9eh3e5v5ejdeg1ah@4ax.com>
Source record: 199268

On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 09:25:36 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>I think you should stay away from those magic
>>mushrooms.....
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>You can deny it to your little black heart's content, but the fact
>remains many cbers get licenses (especialy the no-codes) only to return
>to the cb and freeband.

I won't try to argue that point as I agree that some people
conceivably do "return" (or never left in the first place) to the CB
and freeband. I'm still somewhat active on both to this day.

>Some of those who obtained licenses can never go
>back because their voices are too easily recognized and their hammie
>"friends" will report their ass for freebanding.

I'm not so sure that this is as prevalent as you claim.

> They end up being
>pissed off (then issed upon) hammies.

You discount the possibility that while exposed to ham radio, that
many people find respect for the rules, and have a change of attitude.

>The anger toward such freebanders can be seen in your own posts.

Despite your many claims, I harbor no "anger". Stating facts that
doesn't sit well with you, is not the same thing as "anger".

> Several
>years ago you were making posts chastising hammies as being too uptight
>and uppity and technical who look down on cbers.

Because in many cases, it's true. There are hams who are stuffy and
uptight. But they are legal. The converse is also true. Many outlaw
CB'ers feel that they have a right to do just what (and where) they
please without due regard for the rights of anyone else. RFI, direct
interference, and public nuisance issues do not seem to affect them.

Either behavior is reprehensible, and I've defended each respective group when on the receiving end of such stereotypical prejudice. Lately it's the hams who are unfairly on the receiving end of this prejudice.

>During your admitted
>freebanding and illegal operating years, not once will you find a post
>by yourself calling others names or expressing nosey concern for other
>people's business that does not affect you.

I still don't. I'm not the one trying desperately to find out personal information (often incorrectly like the name of my wife) about other people.

Also worthy of note is the time you spend reviewing my 10 year span of messages to this newsgroup. One might consider that as bordering on obsession.

> However, after having your
>clock cleaned in reec.radio.cb by cbers for your oft extended hypocrisy,

reec (reek?) a freudian slip?

You are not qualified to make that determination. Your ability to be objective is compromised by your subjective bias.

>you began to take on a different persona. That you attribute such
>behavior (name calling, attacking those who merely dx or freeband) to
>"growing up", illustrates the fact you were an incredible late bloomer
>and extremely slow learner who hasn't fully matured yet, as your
>behavior continued well in to your thirties.

Well, then if I'm still "growing up", then you have yet to start because you are still engaged in that illegal behavior.

If you consider bringing reality into focus in the same manner as a simple unfounded ad-hominem attack, then I can see your issue. But they're not the same.

> In fact, you still are
>illegal and have no right to say anything to anyone,

I assume you have some proof of this? Yea I know, you have tons of proof, but you're not about to post it.

> as for starters, the address you provided the FCC is not your primary residence and the
>fact that you fail to correct this matter with the FCC even after being
>informed you are illegal, leaves you no credibility with anything you
>may say regarding other's actions.

You really, REALLY need to go back to whatever source of information gathering you use and either fire them, demand your money back, or something. Because, quite frankly, you are embarrassing yourself every time you make these erroneous claims. My listed address in the FCC database is exactly my primary (only) residence. I am doing nothing

illegal.

So the real question is, Who do you think I really am (today)? What do you think is my "real" address?

The only thing I can figure is that the commonality of my name (Next after Smith and Jones), has you so confused, that you believe I'm someone different than who I actually am (There are 3 Dave Hall's in my company's phone directory, talk about confusion). The fact that my phone number is unlisted removes me from many people searches. That also explains why you keep insisting that my wife's name is "Kimberly T. Hall", and that she's a "teacher". In conclusion, you continue to trust unreliable sources which provide you erroneous information and then accuse others of things which are incorrect. It is not my credibility that is in question here, it's yours.

But wait? Wasn't it you who just accused ME of trying to obtain people's personal information? And you call me hypocritical. Sheesh.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2245. Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 15:58:14 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <qEObe.1143857$8l.460663@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 199269
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Radio Shack Canada will be no more.

Check out the details here.

http://www.ve5jl.com/

Also, check out our Radio Forums here:

http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

2246. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 16:03:51 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <HJObe.30927$h6.28866@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199270

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns96455B101109E1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:6TCbe.43676$hu5.16593
> @tornado.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote in message news:Xns96445B9505D9A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
>>> news:3j7r6198pms46hddpmt2lu6mopg5p2fprc@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On 25 Apr 2005 19:48:48 -0700, "Cliff" <thecowboyace@yahoo.com> wrote
>>>> in <1114483728.950663.36650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>>>
>>>>>If a CB radio is legal on wattage out, he doesn't have to clean up
>>>>>that image on any of older TV models. Older TV models are horrific
> in
>>>>>picking up 'legal transmissions' The TV/computer owner wil need to
>>>>>put some filters inline to take care of the problem if the CB is
>>>>>legal.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Televisions have always had problems with CB radios because the
> second
>>>> harmonic falls right on channel 2. But that second harmonic is
>>>> supposed to be supressed (filtered) to a point where it's effect is
>>>> negligible. A stock, unmodified, untweaked radio will usually not
>>>> cause interference to a TV unless you mount the antenna right next to
>>>> the set.
>>>>
>>>> The problem is the golden screwdrivers and internet techs who tweak &
>>>> peak their radios for modulation and/or power without regard to the
>>>> resulting increase of harmonics. Even if power is kept to the legal
>>>> limit, the modulation limiter can be modified for overmodulation, and
>>>> therefore cause a big increase in harmonics. This is why modification
>>>> of the radio is illegal. And modification of the radio voids your
>>>> authorization to use it, regardless of the RFI suseptibility of a TV.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Now this is the Old Frank that I have come to know and love ... 2
> thumbs
>>> up Frank!!!
>>>

>>
>> Awww! Ain't just the sweetest thing you've ever written?
>
> No that i fucked your ex- old lady was better.

More power to ya, bud!

2247. Re: Freebanders in Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 17:01:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TzPbe.2750$fD3.2065501@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199274

Exactly... Up in the Sierra Mountain foothills there is one
ham/freebander/CB'er living in a remote location.
His 160M ant looks like a giant spider made out of heliarced aluminum
irrigation tubing...
Among his equipment is one 50,000 watt Collins transmitter... it is simply
awesome in operation...

Regards,
John

2248. Re: Big, brave mopathetic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 17:17:57 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114622277.944099.258540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199272

```
Happy Yawning Festival wrote:
> "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1114518944.316953.154720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
> > > mopathetic squirming in fear from AKC? It would seem so. Come on,
> > > mopathetic...make some more threats so the AKC can laugh some more.
> > > Remember how you said you got out of your vehicle at a traffic
light
> > > and beat someone? Yep, WE remember it. Poor unstable, sickheaded,
> > > sissy, lying ,mopeydopey. Poor cwybaby.
> > >
> > >
>
>
> "fear from AKC?"
>
> AKC = just -one- fat ass blow hard no-code loser from
> Toledo, OH.
>
> stfu you padded cell mental midget. LOL!
>
> http://sj.blacksteel.com/padded-cell/Posey03.jpg

hehehe...nice boy...good boy...here's a biscuit. Now, roll over and
play brain dead. Oops, ya already are.
```

2249. Stevo fuck up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 17:22:59 UTC
From: Anonymous <Apple2Remailer@bigapple.dynalias.net>
Message-ID: <BA8JA29Y38469.5576273148@anonymous>
Source record: 199273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20050425080228.542$RX@newsreader.com>
Steveo <moparho...@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Sock (You should try changing yours more than one a month,
Fatass)

Still not paying your rent for your HUD living space on time,
Loser?

-=-
This message was sent via two or more anonymous remailing services.

2250. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 17:24:57 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114644584.38ddd6df9fa626c30fff90c553f67b73@teranews>
Source record: 199285

On 27 Apr 2005 11:50:19 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>If you don't get the point, then your dumber than a rock!!!!

anyone that makes a response to post and doesn't quote the original
post so that we know what the hell you are talking about is dumber
than a fucking ice cube!!!!

2251. Re: K9 email filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 18:40:13 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4sudnQ33J44QQfLfRVn-1A@giganews.com>
Source record: 199275

John Smith wrote:
> Naaa, just follow the setup instructions... if you take the time to
> learn it and set it up--you'll love it...

It's doing well so far. Of course I was being facetious with my earlier comment. I grabbed a couple of other little programs off the site to mess around with as well.

Good post.

Jeff

>
> Regards,
> John

2252. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 18:50:19 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114627819.872278.315180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199276

If you don't get the point, then your dumber than a rock!!!!

2253. Re: K9 email filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 19:05:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eoRbe.2762$U_6.2862189@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199278

Yes, K9 is the best solution to my personal spam problems, which I have found. I was sure it would benefit others.
It really becomes powerful if you drop the pcre.dll into the k9 directory--then it can do perl regular expressions through the white and black list.... Then filtering for words like "v.i.a.g.r.a", v_i_@_g_r_@, "P e n | s", etc... becomes easy and VERY effective! There is even a test option in the K9 app where you can test your reg expression before implementing it...

Regards,
John

2254. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 19:09:43 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <XrRbe.2763$V87.2904177@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199279

I wonder if God will punish them? Or, only the ones attempting to keep 10 meters as it is?
And, I am puzzled just how to rate this "crime" on a one-to-ten scale--against, murder, rape, child molestation, grand theft, and spitting on the sidewalk...

Regards,
John

2255. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 19:25:12 UTC
From: Intra <use@use.net>
Message-ID: <use-4AD70F.15251227042005@nr-tor01.bellnexxia.net>
Source record: 199277
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <qEObe.1143857$8l.460663@pd7tw1no>,
"VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:

> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
> Check out the details here.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
> Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

You almost gave me a heart-attack. My friend owns and operates
the local shack, and it's a flagship store. I thought you were
going to say the chain was in liquidation or something!

I will miss the old Radio Shack name fore sure, i have great
childhood associations with theoutlet. ah well, life goes on
and so do the radiowaves

2256. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 20:52:35 UTC
From: Patrick <jimmyk@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <nYSbe.217$V01.209@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 199280
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Intra" <use@use.net> wrote in message
news:use-4AD70F.15251227042005@nr-tor01.bellnexxia.net...
> In article <qEObe.1143857$8l.460663@pd7tw1no>,
> "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
> > Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
> >
> > Check out the details here.
> >
> > http://www.ve5jl.com/
> >
> > Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
> >
> > http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>
> You almost gave me a heart-attack. My friend owns and operates
> the local shack, and it's a flagship store. I thought you were
> going to say the chain was in liquidation or something!
>
> I will miss the old Radio Shack name fore sure, i have great
> childhood associations with theoutlet. ah well, life goes on
> and so do the radiowaves

This is not just Canada. A friend of mine in middle management
for a region has told me that within a few years there will only be
one RS store per major metro area, maybe two for big areas with
lots of suburbs like Boston, Chicago, NYC, LA, but those will be
the exceptions. 1 store in Minneapolis, 1 in Richmond VA, 1
in Miami, etc.

They will be doing most of their stuff through the web and by phone.

Frankly who cares anymore, they carry so little, try to get a good resister
or diode and you are SOL.

Patrick
W4PHM

2257. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 21:34:41 UTC
From: "helmsman@mindspring.com" <helmsman@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <p61071hiud1t7pmu6jigrm7jof94ffkast@4ax.com>
Source record: 199281
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:

>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>Check out the details here.
>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
So what's the new name, mobil fone store?

2258. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 21:51:53 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1114638715.536497@www.vif.com>
Source record: 199282
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
> "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>Check out the details here.
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>
>
> So what's the new name, mobil fone store?
Idiot!

More than 900 Canadian electronics stores to become
THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY

Richmond, Va. and Barrie, ON, April 27, 2005 - Circuit City Stores, Inc. and InterTAN Canada Ltd. today announced that on or before June 30, 2005, all stores currently operated by InterTAN Canada Ltd. under license from RadioShack Corporation will be re-branded as THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY. The introduction of THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY stores begins today with the unveiling of the Toronto Eaton Centre location.

"Ninety percent of all Canadians live within a 10-minute drive to one of our locations. By June 30, THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY will have the same national coverage," said Brian Levy, president and chief executive officer of InterTAN Canada Ltd. "Customers will continue to see quality, national and international electronics brands as well as an emphasis on personal and portable devices."

THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY will be the local destination for personal electronics such as MP3 players, digital cameras, LCD TVs and a broad selection of personal and portable consumer electronics. THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY will also offer a diverse mix of communication, computer and household electronic products.

The Eaton Centre store in Toronto is the first location to be re-branded THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY and will be followed by other locations in the Greater Toronto Area and other major markets. The introduction of THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY will be supported by a major advertising campaign featuring television and radio commercials as well as print and outdoor advertising.
--

2259. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 21:56:43 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rvidnbtwFbkUl-3fRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 199283
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<helmsman@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:p61071hiud1t7pmu6jigrm7jof94ffkast@4ax.com...
> "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>Check out the details here.
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>
> So what's the new name, mobil fone store?

Thanks to Canadia's truth-in-advertising laws,
it will be renamed Radio Shit.

"Radio Shit: You've got money, we've got pockets."

73,

Steve
--
Steve Lawrence
Burnsville, Minnesota

Every moment of a human life is an act of worship.

Coincidence is God's way of being anonymous.

2260. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 22:50:26 UTC
From: LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <uGUbe.1461$Hf6.647@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199284
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

they suck anyway!!
You need something? They have nothing!!
You have questions? We give you dumb looks..... DUH

VE5JL wrote:

>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
>Check out the details here.
>
>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
>Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
>http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>
>
>
>
>

2261. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 23:43:42 UTC
From: marika <marika5000@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114645422.951176.14940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199286
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.legends.lester-mosley,rec.radio.cb

John Smith wrote:
> You my friend, are an idiot!!!

wow

mk5000

"The April 21 show will go on as scheduled, the band's promoter aid
Tuesday. More than 50,000 tickets were sold for the first show, raising
$850,000 for a $5 million endowment the band is creating through its
Bama
Works fund, which supports community service organizations in central
Virginia."--assoc press

2262. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 23:55:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZDVbe.2787$Zy5.3439777@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199288

It's ok, don't worry--be happy!!!

If you are either unwilling or unable to follow from post-to-post, if you can't set up your newreader to organize the thread into main posts with subposts--so you can intelligently follow it--it is all probably a moot point anyway....

Regards,
John

2263. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-27 23:56:40 UTC
From: "news.vif.com" <root@NoReply-AntiSpam.com>
Message-ID: <1114646202.252593@www.vif.com>
Source record: 199287
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Thanks to Canadia's truth-in-advertising laws,
> it will be renamed Radio Shit.
>
> "Radio Shit: You've got money, we've got pockets."
>
> 73,
>
> Steve

THE SOURCE BY CIRCUIT CITY ak. radio shit
Some of their product line includes: (scanner radio/cb equipment),
last time i remember them selling that crap was couple years ago.
--

2264. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 00:51:29 UTC
From: CB outlaw <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114649489.391461.38480@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199289

On 26 Apr 2005 20:17:23 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4l...@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>That is EXCACTLY the point I was making a long time ago (in a galaxy
>radio far far away) The truckers on 28.085 is channel 19 on most
export
>radios on Band f or g or d or c or..... you get my point,
>hams!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

What point was that?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

Reply
Thats the point, 10-4

2265. Re: CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 00:55:59 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <AwWbe.67$FE3.34@fe12.lga>
Source record: 199290

Landshark wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:95Bbe.17690$V02.15018@fe08.lga...
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>On 26 Apr 2005 00:08:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>On 25 Apr 2005 23:37:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Why is it that every someone see your antenna
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>or radio the first thing that comes out of their mouths is
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>IS THAT A CB RADIO, why is that when It might be a Ham radio
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Cuz this is rec.radio.cb :)
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Maybe he has a "Barney Phife" antenna?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>Like the 108" tree pruner on the back of the squad car?
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Yes, That was something I'll always remember about that show..
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Begs the question, do you still have an operational one? (i do) I
>>>>>>>>>>>only bolt it on for rare occasions tho. I got it from Dave and
>>>>>>>>>>>Debbie.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hey steve what ever happened to d squared?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>I wish I knew, Jim. He used to email me quite a bit but he seems to
>>>>>>>>>have disappeared. I hope he's still breathing.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>one of the more 'interesting' posters on this board.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>No doubt about it. I met him at the CiCi's Mexican restaurant in
>>>>>>>North Olmsted Ohio and bought him supper. He was driving a 57
>>>>>>>Chevy, and I was in my 66 Belvedere on a warm summer evening
>>>>>>>with the top down.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It was kinda slick that he had the stones to drive at least 20
>>>>>>>miles and break bread with me.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>was he as sanctimonious as he was on this board?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>He has a whole different way of putting things in perspective. The
>>>>>bible has more than a little influence. Nice guy in person.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>i'm sure he is. now lets talk about that shark fella ;)
>>>>
>>>

>>>The craxy Bronco buster!?
>>
>>one and only. claims to do some serious fishin' in cabo. probably watches
>>'the spanish fly' and says i wish i can do that hehehe
>
>
> Too old for the fly.
>
> We normally go to this place in Cabo:
> http://marina-fiesta.com/
>
> Thinking about go here this year:
> http://losbarrileshotel.com/
>
> Cabo's become pretty expensive compared to when we went
> first started going there 20 years ago.
>
> Landshark
>
> That does suck..sometimes you're the
> windshield..sometimes you're the bug
>
>
might be nice to fish where they are plentiful. try fishing where they
aint. thats where its more enjoyable...

2266. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 01:10:03 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <427037E7.67A46DBD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 199291
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Patrick wrote:
>
> This is not just Canada. A friend of mine in middle management
> for a region has told me that within a few years there will only be
> one RS store per major metro area, maybe two for big areas with
> lots of suburbs like Boston, Chicago, NYC, LA, but those will be
> the exceptions. 1 store in Minneapolis, 1 in Richmond VA, 1
> in Miami, etc.
>
> They will be doing most of their stuff through the web and by phone.

They dropped their catalog, and their website stinks. That's two for
two. If you can't find it on their website and don't have a catalog to
do phone orders from they might as well lock the doors now.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2267. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 01:39:42 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <D9idnb1ZmOV9o-3fRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 199292
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There is no loss whatsoever if RS just up and disappeared. This seemed evident when they dropped their catalog, and started selling cellphones as their main items.

--James--

2268. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 01:44:18 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <SridneYpmZ3wwe3fRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 199296
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:
> "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>Check out the details here.
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>
>
> So what's the new name, mobil fone store?

The new slogan will be, "You got questions? We've got a cell phone to
sell you."

2269. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 01:54:26 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns9645CA850E803conanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159>
Source record: 199293
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:427037E7.67A46DBD@earthlink.net:

> Patrick wrote:
>>
>> This is not just Canada. A friend of mine in middle management
>> for a region has told me that within a few years there will only be
>> one RS store per major metro area, maybe two for big areas with
>> lots of suburbs like Boston, Chicago, NYC, LA, but those will be
>> the exceptions. 1 store in Minneapolis, 1 in Richmond VA, 1
>> in Miami, etc.
>>
>> They will be doing most of their stuff through the web and by phone.
>
>
> They dropped their catalog, and their website stinks. That's two for
> two. If you can't find it on their website and don't have a catalog to
> do phone orders from they might as well lock the doors now.
>

Radio Shack canada stores (Intertan) still have a catalog... and they still
sell a decent amount of parts.

2270. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 02:02:42 UTC
From: Conan Ford <conanford@com.hotmail>
Message-ID: <Xns9645CBEBEEFCDconanfordhotmailcom1@24.71.223.159>
Source record: 199294
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote in news:qEObe.1143857$8l.460663
@pd7tw1no:

> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
> Check out the details here.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
> Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>
>
>
>

Radio Shack Canada (sorry, The Source now) is a little more true to their
roots than the USA Radio Shack.

Example, compare these two searches at their respective sites:
(search is for "shortwave")

Canada:
http://makeashorterlink.com/?Y5C425AFA

USA:
http://makeashorterlink.com/?K2D452AFA

2271. Re: Magnum 257 issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 03:19:35 UTC
From: "Johnson X. Jones" <"Johnson _X_Jones"@JXJ.biz>
Message-ID: <42705173$1_11@rover.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 199295

"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in message
news:d4o8ee$1ru$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu...
>
> "Johnson X. Jones" <Johnson _X_Jones@JXJ.biz> wrote in message
> news:426f0d87$1_1@rover.100ProofNews.com...
>> has anyone had a problem with the magnum 257 dropping down 10 khz when
>> the power is turned on? this happens when the on-off knob is turned to
>> the on position. i thought it maybe an issue with my truck but it
>> happened when wired direct to the battery as well as inside on a power
>> supply. this issue doesn't affect the way the radio talks. works fine
>> when on the supply going into the 5/8 wave ground plane.
>>
>> thanks in advance
>>
>> johnsonX
>
>
> Are you still using the stock mic? Keep in mind that the stock mic's
> up/down button is pulsed with with the either the 12V coming up the mic
> cord or ground, I can't remember off the top of my head. If you have this
> wire tied to another it may see the turn on as a channel change. when
> rewiring a mic with no channel change the pin 6 and pin 4 must be
> disconnected and insulated off.
>
> I can get you more details if you need them.
>
> Here pin out of the mic socket
> 1- audio
> 2- Receive
> 3- Transmit
> 4- CH up/down
> 5- Ground
> 6- 12V from power input with NO CURRENT LIMITING.
>
>
> Chad
HelloChad and thanks for the reply.
I thought of the mic, too. I tried a radio shack mic from a HTX-10, which
used the same type mic with up and down buttons. I also wired a road king
mic which has no up/down buttons, but still had the same problem. I also e
mailed a couple of shops but everybody said they had never heard of this
issue before. Its kind of strange because it in now way affects the
performance of the radio. A few years ago I had another 257 that had the
orange display and never had this issue. Other than that it's not a bad

little radio for what it is (price range). It picks up a little more noise than some of the Galaxy/Connex type radios but I figured it was a compromise I could live with because of the smaller size.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 100,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1.6 Terabytes per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

2272. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 03:54:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Y7Zbe.2825$PH2.4338756@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199298
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Their new theme song:
Don't worry, Be happy! -- Bobby McFerrin

John

2273. Re: antenna engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 04:15:35 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_uOdneD6yO_3_u3fRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 199297

I would apply but its too far to move, I hate Texas.

<johnllaneta@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114627460.914849.293960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
CalAmp is a leading provider of comprehensive breakthrough
communications access and content delivery solutions, services, and
products.

We have an exciting opportunity for an experienced Antenna Engineer in
Oxnard, CA.

Description:
The ideal candidate will possess a wide range of antenna engineering
capabilities ranging from high-level research and development to full
product development. The successful candidate must be able to create
innovative solutions for ultra high volume and ultra low cost products,
as well as have an open mind for new fields and designs. This person
will work with minimal guidance and support

Essential Qualifications:

• Design of millimeter wave (Ku, K, Ka) antennas and components such
as horn antennas (corrugated and non), reflector antennas, patch
antennas (microstrip), OMT's, polarizers, waveguide hybrids and
couplers.
• Intimate knowledge of simulation tools, such as HFSS, TICRA, and
ensemble.
• Hands on capability of building, testing and fine tuning antennas
during prototype and early production stages.
• Must be proficient in measuring techniques such as Far-Field, near
field ranges, using lab test equipment such as spectrum and network
analyzers.
• Experience in interacting with mechanical engineers, technicians,
and production personnel during the full product life cycle.

Frequency Range Experience:

• Ku band
• K Band
• K (10-15GHz, 17-26.5GHz, 30GHz)
• L band (1.5GHz)
• 2.4GHz
• 5.8GHz

Please submit your resume to mailto:resumes@calamp.com
Please use reference code: 25000633 - ANTENG in the subject line.

Equal employment opportunity is basic to our hiring and employment philosophy. Our company believes work results are what count, regardless of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin, or disability. The company seeks to give all qualified veterans of military service full consideration for employment.

2274. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 07:37:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3l4171ptsm7ljgqqip18ng63qojo5ue4dd@4ax.com>
Source record: 199299

On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 10:42:45 -0400, after sulking for many days in exile and no longer feeling the sting of embarrasment over his many previous demonstrations of ignorance, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> armed himself with a wet noodle and jumped back into the battle with:

>On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 09:12:55 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>On 26 Apr 2005 20:17:23 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>That is EXCACTLY the point I was making a long time ago (in a galaxy
>>radio far far away) The truckers on 28.085 is channel 19 on most export
>>radios on Band f or g or d or c or..... you get my point,
>>hams!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>>>What point was that?
>>
>>>Dave
>>
>>>"Sandbagger"
>>
>>>N3CVJ
>>
>>That most freebanders do not enter the hammie bands, despite Oxendine's
>>and your sock protests against cbers.
>
>The law does not distinguish between ignorance and intent.

It most certainly does. It's the difference between premeditated murder and negligent manslaughter. Although homocide has nothing to do with the FCC, the commission usually sends a warning to an alleged violator prior to issuing an NAL. If the warning is ignored then the subsequent NAL is prosecuted as a violation that was done both willingly and -intentionally-.

> The facts
>are that there ARE illegal intruders on 10 meters. The how's and why's
>are irrelevant.

Intent is -very- relevant because some of those dopes don't know that they are operating illegally. And many of those that -do- know they are illegal are not aware of the severity of the consequences if they get caught. Hence the FCC's pre-NAL warning letter to notify them that such operation is illegal and subject to heavy penalties, giving them

the opportunity to rectify their ignorance before they get popped for
several thousand dollars.

As usual, Dave, you are clueless.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2275. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 07:45:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1m4171h2t877kg3lcrcvjv7suqhhm8618n@4ax.com>
Source record: 199300

On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 09:47:08 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<4962-426F97DC-24@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>:

>From: wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net (Frankï¿½Gilliland)
>On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 22:20:28 -0400, "BobC" <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com> wrote
>in <aea71$426ef6ec$422ae71e$23361@FUSE.NET>:
>(How about Constitutional Law?)
>
>>How about it? Although it has fallen by the
>
>>wayside during the Bush administration, there
>
>>is nothing I suggested that would deprive
>
>>anyone of their Constitutional rights. I'm not a
>
>>court and I don't execute due process. But if I
>
>>have good reason to believe that someone is
>
>>violating a law then I don't keep my mouth
>
>>shut because of some whacko's ultra-literal
>
>>interpretation of the Constitution. Due process
>
>>is not pre-empted by a presumption of
>
>>innocence -- OTOH, due process must be
>
>>initiated before it can occur, and reasonable
>
>>suspicion is enough to begin that process.
>
>>That's the law. If you don't like it, work to
>
>>change it. If you don't then quit whining and
>
>>learn to live with the system the way it is.
>
>Fwiw, Due Process (according to the Supreme Court) is a difficult thing
>to define. It has been said DP is merely the law of the land. The Fifth
>and Fourteenth Amendments refer only to federal agency protection with

>regards to DP. DP is basically how and why laws are enforced, and
>questions "Is the law fair?" as in "does a law presume guilt?" The end
>result is the law (as it applies to all persons) must be clear and
>concise and it absolutely MUST have a presumption of innocence to comply
>with Due Process.

I think the disagreement is with how "presumed innocent" is applied.
The phrase simply means that the accused must be aquitted unless guilt
can be proven. It does not prohibit any presumption of guilt by the
accuser, the victim, the press, the public, or anyone else except the
judge/jury; i.e, presumption of innocence applies only to those that
are charged with making the -determination- of guilt or innocence.

Now as for "due process".....

According to the 1855 Supreme Court opinion to which you referred,
"due process of law" applies to any law that is (1) not otherwise
unconstitutional, and (2) consistent with "those settled usages and
modes of proceeding existing in the common and statute law..."

For an example of the first condition, Bush's Patriot Act is a direct
violation of "due process of law" because it infringes on the right to
a fair and speedy trial, the right to contest all accusatory evidence,
the right to an attorney, presumption of innocence, etc, etc..... it's
a quite a long list. Therefore, the Act is unconstitutional under the
Fifth Amendment because it's unconstitutional in other respects. It's
kind of a double-whammy.

As for the second condition, Bush's Patriot Act is a voyage into
uncharted legal waters. He fabricated new laws that have no foundation
in common or statutory law. And while the Act itself is statutory,
most of its laws are unprecedented; i.e, they are not derived from
"settled usages and modes of proceeding". Bush's Patriot Act is, once
again, in violation of the Fifth Amendment because it contains laws
that are not based on any legal precedent.

In fact, the passing of the Act by Congress was itself a violation of
the Fifth Amdendment because it was done in direct contradiction to
the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Amendment: "It is manifest
that it was not left to the legislative power to enact any process
which might be devised. The article is a restraint on the legislative
as well as on the executive and judicial powers of the government, and
cannot be so construed as to leave Congress free to make any process
'due process of law,' by its mere will."

Ok, that was way off topic, but you get the drift.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2276. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 08:10:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nk51719lucoopftj2crs8fp65r9hu5tbsg@4ax.com>
Source record: 199301

On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 10:22:40 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote in <d4oao0mkn1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:

<snip>
>Most often, even wahat you consider "high end" cards do not have the audio
>sheild grounded properly.

Very good point. Some cards have shield connectors that are DC
isolated from the chassis to prevent ground loops with other audio
equipment. In such cards the shield is coupled to chassis ground with
caps, but line noise can be a problem (and the cap must be shorted)
because the caps may not large enough to fully shunt low frequencies.
But the caps do shunt RF very well, and if they can keep the local AM
broadcast stations out of the soundcard then the neighbor's legal CB
radio shouldn't be a problem.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2277. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 11:09:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6gg171hjdn93kokohgt3a0t9b622in5074@4ax.com>
Source record: 199306

On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 12:09:43 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>I wonder if God will punish them?

Why would God even care?

> Or, only the ones attempting to keep 10
>meters as it is?

Why shouldn't they?

>And, I am puzzled just how to rate this "crime" on a one-to-ten
>scale--against, murder, rape, child molestation, grand theft, and spitting
>on the sidewalk...

Ah, another one who attempts to justify breaking the law by down
playing the relative importance of it.

It's an indication of a person's moral compass, by what point they
consider a law to be "trivial" enough to ignore.

By your logic, we should probably draw a line through all crimes below
the "violent" level, since they are "no big deal" in the grand scheme
of things. Then once those crimes have been ignored, we can then
reclassify all violent crimes as well, and the slippery slope
begins..... It's not such a leap for an ultra liberal ACLU- type
lawyer to interpret the prosecution of someone's criminal actions as
an infringement of some perceived "right".

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2278. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 11:24:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <q2h171l2k21d7ib7v1os2mcau49chpaq8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 199302

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 00:37:35 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>That most freebanders do not enter the hammie bands, despite Oxendine's
>>>and your sock protests against cbers.
>>
>>The law does not distinguish between ignorance and intent.
>
>
>It most certainly does. It's the difference between premeditated
>murder and negligent manslaughter.

I was not talking about those crimes. I was referring to illegal
intrusion into radio spectrum allocated for a specific purpose.

> Although homocide has nothing to do
>with the FCC,

Then why bring it up?

>the commission usually sends a warning to an alleged
>violator prior to issuing an NAL. If the warning is ignored then the
>subsequent NAL is prosecuted as a violation that was done both
>willingly and -intentionally-.

Ok, you win that one. That is usually the case.

>> The facts
>>are that there ARE illegal intruders on 10 meters. The how's and why's
>>are irrelevant.
>
>
>Intent is -very- relevant because some of those dopes don't know that
>they are operating illegally.

(and there are those who think we should open up the whole spectrum to
dopes like that. A perfect example of why there are licenses and
rules)

Ignorance of the law is no excuse. At least it didn't used to be. With
all the liberals running around looking to paint every lawbreaker as a
victim, I guess ignorance might be a legitimate excuse now.

> And many of those that -do- know they
>are illegal are not aware of the severity of the consequences if they
>get caught.

Since you saw fit to bring violent crimes into the picture in the beginning, I'll refer back to them when I make the point that someone claiming that he didn't know the gun was loaded, would hardly be absolved of the crime of killing someone based on that excuse alone.

> Hence the FCC's pre-NAL warning letter to notify them that
>such operation is illegal and subject to heavy penalties, giving them
>the opportunity to rectify their ignorance before they get popped for
>several thousand dollars.

Do you get a warning when you get pulled over for speeding? Sometimes you do, sometimes not. Do you get a warning when you rob a bank? Rape someone? Assault? Do you get a chance to claim ignorance and promise that you won't do it again?

It's true that the FCC usually sends out warning notices first, but they don't have to. That's called discretion (the better part of valor).

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2279. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 11:57:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050428075700.315$Gs@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199303

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050427104454.658$hb@newsreader.com:
>
> > KB3BEJ chrissy the sissy busch
> > whined<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Steveo is the only assclown to complain about top posters
> >>
> > STFU, sissy.
>
> LOL there he goes again accusing innocent people.
>
There's nothing innocent about you, Chris.
>
>why not come to my
> house pussy boy Ill pay the gas
>
I'd get the same response from you that I got from your hero.

http://img141.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly4un.jpg

2280. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 12:19:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <h9j171hhivu4q4laoqopv9jvraatig3i79@4ax.com>
Source record: 199304

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 07:24:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <q2h171l2k21d7ib7v1os2mcau49chpaq8m@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 00:37:35 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>>That most freebanders do not enter the hammie bands, despite Oxendine's
>>>>and your sock protests against cbers.
>>>
>>>The law does not distinguish between ignorance and intent.
>>
>>
>>It most certainly does. It's the difference between premeditated
>>murder and negligent manslaughter.
>
>I was not talking about those crimes. I was referring to illegal
>intrusion into radio spectrum allocated for a specific purpose.
>
>
>> Although homocide has nothing to do
>>with the FCC,
>
>Then why bring it up?

Because it has some parallels to the topic.

>>the commission usually sends a warning to an alleged
>>violator prior to issuing an NAL. If the warning is ignored then the
>>subsequent NAL is prosecuted as a violation that was done both
>>willingly and -intentionally-.
>
>Ok, you win that one. That is usually the case.
>
>
>>> The facts
>>>are that there ARE illegal intruders on 10 meters. The how's and why's
>>>are irrelevant.
>>
>>
>>Intent is -very- relevant because some of those dopes don't know that
>>they are operating illegally.
>
>(and there are those who think we should open up the whole spectrum to
>dopes like that. A perfect example of why there are licenses and

>rules)
>
>Ignorance of the law is no excuse. At least it didn't used to be. With
>all the liberals running around looking to paint every lawbreaker as a
>victim, I guess ignorance might be a legitimate excuse now.

I see it a little differently: When we the people are subject to
mountains of laws that can be fully understood only by an army of
lawyers, ignorance can be a very reasonable excuse in many cases. It's
simply impractical (and nearly impossible) for the average citizen to
know and understand all the laws that apply to every circumstance.

>> And many of those that -do- know they
>>are illegal are not aware of the severity of the consequences if they
>>get caught.
>
>Since you saw fit to bring violent crimes into the picture in the
>beginning, I'll refer back to them when I make the point that someone
>claiming that he didn't know the gun was loaded, would hardly be
>absolved of the crime of killing someone based on that excuse alone.

It has happened. But even when it didn't, the lack of intent has
certainly been a factor in determining the punishment.

>> Hence the FCC's pre-NAL warning letter to notify them that
>>such operation is illegal and subject to heavy penalties, giving them
>>the opportunity to rectify their ignorance before they get popped for
>>several thousand dollars.
>
>Do you get a warning when you get pulled over for speeding? Sometimes
>you do, sometimes not. Do you get a warning when you rob a bank? Rape
>someone? Assault? Do you get a chance to claim ignorance and promise
>that you won't do it again?

There is a defense known as 'justifiable homocide'. I understand that
it's rarely used, but it has been a successful defense in some cases.

>It's true that the FCC usually sends out warning notices first, but
>they don't have to. That's called discretion (the better part of
>valor).

Actually, they do need to send out those notices in almost all cases.
The reason behind it is the FCC's pseudo-constitutional system of law
enforcement and the need to establish "willful and malicious" conduct
of the violator. This bypasses the criminal court system, forwards the
forfeiture order directly to the DOJ for collection, and pre-empts
evasion of payment if the violator files for bankruptcy -- an NAL is a
debt that cannot be discharged under any chapter of bankruptcy law. If
the debt -was- dischargeable then the FCC would be forced to file an
adversarial complaint and subsequently defend their law enforcement
practices in Federal court, which is something they have no intention
of doing because they would lose.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2281. Re: Magnum 257 issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 13:12:49 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d4qngbdkq1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199305

"Johnson X. Jones" <Johnson _X_Jones@JXJ.biz> wrote in message
news:42705173$1_11@rover.100ProofNews.com...
>
> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in message
> news:d4o8eelru1@news.ks.uiuc.edu...
>>
>> "Johnson X. Jones" <Johnson _X_Jones@JXJ.biz> wrote in message
>> news:426f0d87$1_1@rover.100ProofNews.com...
>>> has anyone had a problem with the magnum 257 dropping down 10 khz when
>>> the power is turned on? this happens when the on-off knob is turned to
>>> the on position. i thought it maybe an issue with my truck but it
>>> happened when wired direct to the battery as well as inside on a power
>>> supply. this issue doesn't affect the way the radio talks. works fine
>>> when on the supply going into the 5/8 wave ground plane.
>>>
>>> thanks in advance
>>>
>>> johnsonX
>>
>>
>> Are you still using the stock mic? Keep in mind that the stock mic's
>> up/down button is pulsed with with the either the 12V coming up the mic
>> cord or ground, I can't remember off the top of my head. If you have
>> this wire tied to another it may see the turn on as a channel change.
>> when rewiring a mic with no channel change the pin 6 and pin 4 must be
>> disconnected and insulated off.
>>
>> I can get you more details if you need them.
>>
>> Here pin out of the mic socket
>> 1- audio
>> 2- Receive
>> 3- Transmit
>> 4- CH up/down
>> 5- Ground
>> 6- 12V from power input with NO CURRENT LIMITING.
>>
>>
>> Chad
> HelloChad and thanks for the reply.
> I thought of the mic, too. I tried a radio shack mic from a HTX-10, which
> used the same type mic with up and down buttons. I also wired a road king
> mic which has no up/down buttons, but still had the same problem. I also e
> mailed a couple of shops but everybody said they had never heard of this

> issue before. Its kind of strange because it in now way affects the
> performance of the radio. A few years ago I had another 257 that had the
> orange display and never had this issue. Other than that it's not a bad
> little radio for what it is (price range). It picks up a little more noise
> than some of the Galaxy/Connex type radios but I figured it was a
> compromise I could live with because of the smaller size.
>
>
I have one too and love it, compact, cheap, stellar SSB performance!

If it is a new blue one try calling RF Limited and see what's up, hell, it may still be under warranty.

Good luck, But I have had excellent results from RF Limited's customer service.

Chad

2282. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 14:11:08 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d4qqtsemi1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199307

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:nk51719lucoopftj2crs8fp65r9hu5tbsg@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 10:22:40 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote in <d4oao0mkn1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:
>
> <snip>
>>Most often, even wahat you consider "high end" cards do not have the audio
>>sheild grounded properly.
>
>
> Very good point. Some cards have shield connectors that are DC
> isolated from the chassis to prevent ground loops with other audio
> equipment. In such cards the shield is coupled to chassis ground with
> caps, but line noise can be a problem (and the cap must be shorted)
> because the caps may not large enough to fully shunt low frequencies.
> But the caps do shunt RF very well, and if they can keep the local AM
> broadcast stations out of the soundcard then the neighbor's legal CB
> radio shouldn't be a problem.
>
>

Problem is that they don't do all that well with AM Broadcast. When I was a conglomo radio engineer we had a 50K FM and a 500W AM in a residential area. I would get complaints-o-plenty of the AM coming thru computer speakers, cheapo HT systems and phones. Of course we had to do what we could to eliminate the problem and usually I would pull the sound card, DC ground it with 1 ohm 1/4W resistors and the problem went away. 90% of my problems called in were ratified with proper grounding. Fortunately most of the construction in the area is newer as that tower used to be outside city limits but due to urban sprawl it is no longer.

As for my personal soundcards I use pro models with a separate breakout box that's balanced. The other card in that computer and other computers in the house are SB audigy models with the mini jacks removed and XLR whips out in their place. This whip then goes to a breakout box with 6 Jensen transformers in it 4 for output and 2 for input. The mic input is shorted down permanently. I have zero noise problems, if you have an Audigy card it does sound good! You just have to help it out :)

The transformers..... When radio engineering and upgrading EAS systems in all the stations I found that all the old receivers that we were throwing out were loaded with Jensen transformers! What a salvage find!!!!! I had many-o-dumpster diving missions, then adopted a Chad's gotta pilfer it pile. Lots of transformers and power supplies were gutted :)

Chad

2283. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 14:22:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050428102202.151$xV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

```
steveParkspussbag <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050428075700.315
> $Gs@newsreader.com:
>
> > There's nothing innocent about you, Chris.
>
> I thought I was george..LOL you clueless jackass...
>
George is your old man. It's a plain shame you had to drag his
name in here while using his puter.
>
> > I'd get the same response from you that I got from your hero.
>
http://img141.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly4un.jpg
>
> I doubt that you dont have the gumption to try me assclown.
>
Funny. That's what your hero thought the last time he invited
me over.

-------------------------------------------------------

From:N8WWM Auntie Keyclown (keyclownz...@theglobe.com)
Subject: calling out Peepeeholic
View: Complete Thread (4 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-08-08 09:09:21 PST
```

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
----------�----------

2284. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 14:32:36 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1918-4270F404-191@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199309

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 25 Apr 2005 10:03:40 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
(I think I have been both, freebander/ham, all I think I have seen is
that the freebanders have more fun.)

>That depends on your definition of "fun".

Actually, it depends on -your-definition of fun.

(Also, I think the radio spectrum is for the use of all, there needs to
be a good slice of radio spectrum set aside for freebanders, indeed, set
aside for "We the People!")

>There is. 40 channels worth. There's also FRS
>and MURS too.

Yea,,those freqs are good, too.

(Unfortunately, the hams have just become a self-serving bunch holding
much of the spectrum to their hobby... they like nice clear spaces of
spectrum where they and a few buddies carry on conversations like old
women around a bridge table.)

>Are you a ham?

Irrelevant. One needs not be a football player to note correct
observations of the game. One needs not be a baseball player, or even
know anything about baseball, in order to correctly state illegal drugs
(steroids) are rampant.

>If not, then where do you get your

>stereotypical information?

Perhaps the technique you claim to employ flawlessly to arrive at such
derivatives works for others, too. Empirical observation, you called
it.. Turn on the radio and give a listen.

(Unless one feels himself a child who needs a parent telling them what
they CAN'T do--it is time for a change of thinking here...)

>Ah, another one who can't live under the rules

>of society.

It's not a coincidence those whose views with which you disagree are
always referred by yourself as one who can not conform or love by the
rules of society. It's always the other person, never you, that has

problems with society, despite -your- position always being the minority.
Taking into consideration your comments concerning cbers as federal criminals, and that because one merely SPEAKS (or in this case, posts) of talking dx, it somehow constitutes and equals the same as a guilty plea in a court of law, and it has never been more crystal clear that not only do you not have a clue concerning how the laws work, but you clearly do not share the mindset of the majority, or in this case, as you say "society".

(I think the FCC needs to support radio use amongst the citizens of this country, not a self-serving group who feel themselves more deserving and special...)

>What do "the citizens" do to deserve such

>treatment?

"Deserve" has nothing to do with it. Life isn't always fair and people don't always get what they deserve. In fact, your bellowings above reiterate your pompous and arrogant
position that hammies are somehow more "deserving" simply by virtue of their sending a few bucks in to get a license. Newsflash: you are no more "deserving" of the right to transmit than a cber.

(I think we all need a better form of radio spectrum use--one which conforms to the people, NOT makes the people conform to ridiculous rules...)

>Making subjective value judgments is a flaw in
>your logic.

LOL...yet, all those subjective judgements you make of others based on nothing more than your mistaken perception and interpretation of self-taught empirical observations, is not, somehow.

>Those "ridiculous" rules are there for a reason.
Tell us the reason for the dx rule relating to cb.

>They're not there to ruin your fun, they are

>there to ensure that all radio users have a fair

>chance and equal access.

Your claim that ALL radio users have a fair chance is not valid. Think about it a few weeks and you may realize why.

(And, I think a whole lot more... but basically it all revolves around my seeing hams as self-serving/control-freaks who have a good thing going--and are getting away with it...

>Well, lets see, hams do have to pass a test to

>prove some level of technical proficiency.

What level of technical competence is gained by obtaining the nocode license?

>That is worth a lot more than simply doing -

>Nothing! Hams earned the right to that "good

>thing".

You are assuming everyone shares your opinion that getting a hammie license is something earned, like an accomplishment. For children or the learning impaired, this may be true. For the (ahem), majority of citizens, it is no accomplishment. Getting a driver's license takes more studying. Most people do not feel obtaining a driver's license is any major accomplishment (again, this makes exceptions for children, the infirm or handicaps, or the learning impaired, foreigners, etc.) and is simply another manner of paying a governmental fee for a privilege.

> And it's not an exclusive club either!

Make up your mind..you first cite how this group "earned" something by the simple process of paying a fee, whcih you claim makes one "entitled" to benefits others are not, then you claim obtaining a license makes one technically competent. If such were true (it isn't), all who held a license would be "technically competent", equaling, by default, an "exclusive" group (those licensed AND competent). Neither is true.

>You are welcome to earn the privilege and join
>the ranks. The problem is that you want what

>they have, but don't want to work to earn it.

Most here recognized your problem for what it is. It is you that wants what many of us have,,the ability to do both (cb, dx, and hammie-play), only you screwed up your chance so now you cry about other's actions concerning what you can no longer get away with..

>It's no different than a welfare slacker

>complaining that their hard working neighbor

>owns a nice house and a BMW, and laments

>that "they have a good thing and are getting

>away with it".

Perfect analogy. When it was you that was on that welfare only a few short years ago, it most certianly was different, as you have spent much time trying to explain away why it is acceptable for you to participate in such behavior when you saw fit, but no one else.

> Yet he somehow feels that he deserves the

>same considerations while doing nothing to

>earn it.

You are projecting your own personal feelings. That you felt that way during your illegal operations doesn't mean anyone else shares your position. In fact, as has been demonstrated time and time again, no one shares your position concerning this.

> You probably feel that the rich don't deserve

>.what they have either.

Again, what one deserves is irrelevant...try and remain focused and not allow your hammie-induced dementia to cloud your already skewered "subjective" judgements that you blame on others.

>When you work for and earn a privilege (as

>opposed to crying and whining for it) you tend

>to respect what it stands for.

Here we go with your Rodey Dangerfield dilemma. You get no respect because you have demonstrated you "deserve" none. Your licensure status means shit, regarding respect. This is part of your problem that governs your actions and bizarre behavior among these pages. You hilariously cling to this mythical idea you conjured that you somehow are deserving of respect because you have a license. You're not.

>That is why most hams are more aware of,

>and tend to follow more closely, the rules of

>the radio service.

"Most hams"? Certainly you arn't invoking more of this "subjective logic" you claim illegitimizes any point you are attempting.

>Like your first car. If you earned the money to

>buy your first car by years of paper routes and
>cutting lawns, you will be more likely to take

>care of it, than if Dad just gave the car to you.

But of course, More of your self-projection. Some of us comprehend value and the origination of the item is irrelevant. In fact, just the opposite is often true. If your mother gave you a car, would you not be MORE apt to take care of it? Other's don't often share your personal projections, Davie, you are a minority with your freaked up beliefs. Many would be more apt to take care of something a parent gave, not less.

>The problem is that you want the privileges of

>ham radio, but do not want to work for it.

Again, this is your projection of your personal thinking regarding why -you- did such things,,mistakenly feeling you can subjectively but correctly claim such subjective logic is valid only

when you utilize it is the joke and you are the punch line.

>The test is not that difficult.

It's not at all difficult.

>Here is where

>guys like you then claim that they could easily

>pass the test, but they don't "want to", and

>then cite all sorts of "issues" about the politics

>of ham radio that they find repulsive.

No one on this group has ever said they find hammie radio repulsive,
only elitist pricks like yourself that ruin hammie radio's reputation
for others..

> Yea, hams don't use roger beeps, noise toys,

>echo boxes, and they don't normally cuss

>every other word.

> It's the difference between a

>broadway play and WWE smackdown.

LMAO,,you take this hobby way too serious. No wonder you are always
constipated when posting. According to you,posts on usenet equal a
guilty plea in a court of law, and now hammie radio equals a broadway
play. Your linkage needs adjusted, again.

>Not that there's anything wrong with WWE

>smackdown. But you don't expect those who

>want to watch a broadway play to have to put

>up with the antics of the WWE. That's what

>choice is about.

Ahhh,,but you self-righteously feel those who enjoy the latter should
have respect for the other simply because you say so. Doesn't work out
that way, though, and the problem is manifested in your..complaints
concerning such.

>So now, you want the privileges of ham radio,

More subjective logic from you...those privileges are already there for
many of us. Just because you never learned how to competently enjoy both
worlds, you mistakenly feel others are as ignorant as yourself.

>without earning them, and without the

>presence of some people who may think and

>act a little differently than you do.

You are deluding yourself.

>So you want the FCC to kick hams off the ham
>bands and then turn the service over to a

>bunch of unlicensed, lawless individuals to

>turn into the RF equivalent of the wild, wild

>west?

Poor poor David. You need do something regarding these continued self-conjured hallucinations you continue to suffer. Try reading only what is there and placing an end to your subjective assumptions of what you think.

>Does that about sum it up for you?

That summed it up for you. Only you mentioned such ignorance.

>Forgetting about the technical issues that

>would certainly crop up, do you think this is a

>good plan?

Your proposition are incredibly shallow.

>Even if, hypothetically, the FCC were to create
>a "legal" freeband service from say, 26-28

>Mhz, there would still be those who would

>venture outside of those limits.

>For those people, it's not about having fun on

>a radio service, but about the thrill of breaking

>the law, in much the same way that a 17 year

>old drinks.

More self-projection based only on personal experience.

>There's a certain psychological thrill

(snip)

Go back to your shrink, Dave. You have impressed no one with your self-qualifications regarding your much invoked but always erroneous pop psychology.

> Dave

>."Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

2285. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 14:36:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1918-4270F4F2-192@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199310

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 09:12:55 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 26 Apr 2005 20:17:23 -0700, "CB outlaw" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:
(That is EXCACTLY the point I was making a long time ago (in a galaxy
radio far far away) The truckers on 28.085 is channel 19 on most export
radios on Band f or g or d or c or..... you get my point,
hams!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!)

>What point was that?

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

That most freebanders do not enter the hammie bands, despite Oxendine's
and your sock protests against cbers.

>The law does not distinguish between

>ignorance and intent.

The law has nothing to do with the poster's point of which you inquired
and asked to have explained. Invoking such reveals the extent you need
explaining.

>   The facts are that there ARE illegal

>intruders

>on 10 meters.

No one ever said otherwise.

>The how's and why's are irrelevant.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

If that were true, export radios that covered those freqs wouldn't be a
problem. K4KDH Jerry Oxendine very much disagrees with you on this
point, as do the majority of radio society participants and hammies, as

export radios are blamed for the majority of the intrusions of which you speak.
You're welcome.

2286. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 14:56:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ros1719u50fieqogghs1bof8v6ula417mq@4ax.com>
Source record: 199311

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 05:19:51 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 07:24:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <q2h171l2k21d7ib7v1os2mcau49chpaq8m@4ax.com>:
>
>
>>>the commission usually sends a warning to an alleged
>>>violator prior to issuing an NAL. If the warning is ignored then the
>>>subsequent NAL is prosecuted as a violation that was done both
>>>willingly and -intentionally-.
>>
>>Ok, you win that one. That is usually the case.
>>
>>
>>>> The facts
>>>>are that there ARE illegal intruders on 10 meters. The how's and why's
>>>>are irrelevant.
>>>
>>>
>>>Intent is -very- relevant because some of those dopes don't know that
>>>they are operating illegally.
>>
>>(and there are those who think we should open up the whole spectrum to
>>dopes like that. A perfect example of why there are licenses and
>>rules)
>>
>>Ignorance of the law is no excuse. At least it didn't used to be. With
>>all the liberals running around looking to paint every lawbreaker as a
>>victim, I guess ignorance might be a legitimate excuse now.
>
>
>I see it a little differently: When we the people are subject to
>mountains of laws that can be fully understood only by an army of
>lawyers, ignorance can be a very reasonable excuse in many cases. It's
>simply impractical (and nearly impossible) for the average citizen to
>know and understand all the laws that apply to every circumstance.

I agree, the law was never intended to be so complicated that only a
legal expert can comprehend all the nuances of it. I tend to believe
that lawyers do this deliberately to justify and continue their
existence.

Even worse is when a seemingly cut and dry law get's "what-if'd" to
death in a courtroom battle of hypotheticals which may never occur.

This is why you are required to sign dozens of forms for what should
be a simple transaction in many cases.

>>> And many of those that -do- know they
>>>are illegal are not aware of the severity of the consequences if they
>>>get caught.
>>
>>Since you saw fit to bring violent crimes into the picture in the
>>beginning, I'll refer back to them when I make the point that someone
>>claiming that he didn't know the gun was loaded, would hardly be
>>absolved of the crime of killing someone based on that excuse alone.
>
>
>It has happened.

Sure it has happened. When someone desperately wants to escape for
responsibility, they (and by proxy, their lawyer) will do and try
anything to get them off. The absurdity of such defense tactics, just
makes me shake my head.

> But even when it didn't, the lack of intent has
>certainly been a factor in determining the punishment.

Well sure, killing someone completely by accident is a far different
thing than deliberately murdering someone.

But what I was talking about, but probably didn't use the best example
in doing so, is that you can't kill someone in cold blood and then
claim that you didn't know it was wrong or illegal, and expect much
leniency.

The same thing applies to radio operation to some degree. The claim
that you didn't know you were running on an illegal frequency may not
hold much water, since the FCC requires that you read and understand
the rules before operating, and by the act of your operation, they
assume that you know the rules. It's sort of the same language they
use for software licenses. "By installing this product, you agree to
the terms of the license" etc.

I hate to bring this up, since I can't "prove it", but I once read an
account in a CB magazine (It may have been S9's Washington Outlook),
back in the day, which covered FCC busts of illegal CB'ers. This one
bust involved a group of SSB'ers who had formed a club on a freeband
channel. One of the guys who was busted made a claim that he didn't
know he was operating illegally, since the radio he was using had been
purchased by another person, who had (unbeknownst to him allegedly)
swapped a standard channel crystal (it was a 23 channel rig) for one
which would produce an illegal channel. The operator further claimed
that he assumed he was operating on channel 23 (or whatever it
actually was, I don't remember), as that is what his channel selector
showed. The FCC didn't buy his story, based on other evidence that
indicated that he was all too aware of what was going on.

>>> Hence the FCC's pre-NAL warning letter to notify them that
>>>such operation is illegal and subject to heavy penalties, giving them
>>>the opportunity to rectify their ignorance before they get popped for
>>>several thousand dollars.
>>
>>Do you get a warning when you get pulled over for speeding? Sometimes
>>you do, sometimes not. Do you get a warning when you rob a bank? Rape
>>someone? Assault? Do you get a chance to claim ignorance and promise
>>that you won't do it again?
>
>
>There is a defense known as 'justifiable homocide'. I understand that
>it's rarely used, but it has been a successful defense in some cases.

Yes, there is a "justifiable homicide" defense. Usually this works
best in abusive domestic situations, where severe emotional and
physical abuse leads to violence as the only solution. By definition,
self defense is also considered a justifiable homicide.

But justifiable homicide is not the same thing as claiming ignorance
of the existence of homicide law.

>>It's true that the FCC usually sends out warning notices first, but
>>they don't have to. That's called discretion (the better part of
>>valor).
>
>
>Actually, they do need to send out those notices in almost all cases.
>The reason behind it is the FCC's pseudo-constitutional system of law
>enforcement and the need to establish "willful and malicious" conduct
>of the violator. This bypasses the criminal court system, forwards the
>forfeiture order directly to the DOJ for collection, and pre-empts
>evasion of payment if the violator files for bankruptcy -- an NAL is a
>debt that cannot be discharged under any chapter of bankruptcy law. If
>the debt -was- dischargeable then the FCC would be forced to file an
>adversarial complaint and subsequently defend their law enforcement
>practices in Federal court, which is something they have no intention
>of doing because they would lose.

This is interesting. The FCC has in the past taken certain violators
to criminal court. In the vast majority of cases though, you are
correct. There would seem to be some threshold which determines their
course of action.

What I am especially curious about is your assertion that if the FCC
took a clear violator to federal court that they would lose. Why do
you feel that way? I would presume that once the FCC decided to act
upon a violator that they would have enough evidence to prove their
case.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2287. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 15:15:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1918-4270FDFB-199@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199313

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 09:25:36 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>I think you should stay away from those magic
>mushrooms.....

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

You can deny it to your little black heart's content, but the fact
remains many cbers get licenses (especialy the no-codes) only to return
to the cb and freeband.

>I won't try to argue that point as I agree that

>some people conceivably do "return" (or never
>left in the first place) to the CB and freeband.

>I'm still somewhat active on both to this day.

So, you began breaking the law again by returning to the freeband after
you told the group you no longer do so because you "grew up" and are
setting responsible behavior patterns for your daughter by folllowing
the law.

-
Some of those who obtained licenses can
never go back because their voices are too easily recognized and their
hammie "friends" will report their ass for freebanding.

>I'm not so sure that this is as prevalent as you

>claim.

That's cool...but entertain, for a moment, if you would, the notion you
are subscribing. If you do not believe me, then you mistakenly believe
the FCC is actively patrolling the air for violators. This info can be
found simply by extolling a little leg work.....but I'm telling you, the
FCC does NOT actively patrol the air seeking violations by hammies or
cbers.

_
 They end up being
pissed off (then issed upon) hammies.

>You discount the possibility that while exposed
>to ham radio, that many people find respect

>for the rules, and have a change of attitude.

I didn't discount it at all, as I know what you say to be true, but what I say is just as true. Members belong to both camps.

_
The anger toward such freebanders can be seen in your own posts.

>Despite your many claims, I harbor no "anger".
>Stating facts that doesn't sit well with you, is

>not the same thing as "anger".

Stating facts has you chasing your tail with semantics. You call people criminals with nothing more than your mistaken ignorance that "saying it on usenet is the same as a guilty plea in a court of law". Although you have been given the correct information regarding the judicial system, you continue to mistakenly believe you may publicly refer to one as a criminal even if they were not convicted in a court of law. THIS, is fact.

_
Several
years ago you were making posts chastising hammies as being too uptight and uppity and technical who look down on cbers.

>Because in many cases, it's true.

Not "in many cases"..you stated "For the most part" in your post when you referred to the hammies... using the same sterotyping you just hypocritically took another to task for employing. .

>There are hams who are stuffy and uptight.

>But they are legal. The converse is also true.

>Many outlaw CB'ers feel that they have a right

>to do just what (and where) they please

>without due regard for the rights of anyone

>else.

Same is true with many hammies, yet for some reason, you do not voice your concern for those you hold in higher regards, higher esteem,,,you know,,those who are supposed to lead by example. In other words, you shit all over the hammie creed.

> RFI, direct interference, and public nuisance

>issues do not seem to affect them.

"Them" is not limited exclusively to cb users.

> Either behavior is reprehensible, and I've

>defended each respective group when on the

>receiving end of such stereotypical prejudice.

Yet, you continue to employ it yourself.

>Lately it's the hams who are unfairly on the

>receiving end of this prejudice.

Why is it unfair to illustrate the same behavior
committed by hammies that you complain about when committed by cbers?

_
During your admitted
freebanding and illegal operating years, not once will you find a post
by yourself calling others names or expressing nosey concern for other
people's business that does not affect you.

>I still don't. I'm not the one trying desperately

>to find out personal information (often

>incorrectly like the name of my wife) about

>other people.

Yes, you were. In fact, you initiated the personal info game with me and
everyone knows it. You were told long ago to stay out of the personal,
off-topic arena. Once you violate this, you have nothing to say when
your initiated behavior is returned.

>Also worthy of note is the time you spend

>reviewing my 10 year span of messages to

>this newsgroup. One might consider that as

>bordering on obsession.

One might, but I have a photographic memory. There,, now there's another
little tidbit of information for you to wallow in. I remember just about
everything, and in most cases you refer, I merely have to type in the
pertinent key words of your past posts and voila!....no time at all
spent other than three clicks right to the passage needed to illustrate
your incorrectness, hypocrisy, and double-talk, and lies.

_
However, after having your
clock cleaned in reec.radio.cb by cbers for your oft extended hypocrisy,

>reec (reek?) a freudian slip?

Which speaks volumes of how you think.

>You are not qualified to make that

>determination.

Yet, based on your unsolicited self-qualifications regarding psychology, you somehow present yourself as qualified to determine whether others are qualified for anything.

–
That you attribute such behavior (name calling, attacking those who merely dx or freeband) to "growing up", illustrates the fact you were an incredible late bloomer and extremely slow learner who hasn't fully matured yet, as your behavior continued well in to your thirties.

>Well, then if I'm still "growing up", then you

>have yet to start because you are still

>engaged in that illegal behavior.

As are you, according to your statement above at the beginning of this post.

>If you consider bringing reality into focus in the
>same manner as a simple unfounded

>ad-hominem attack, then I can see your issue.

Reality is you are not permitted to refer one a criinal based on nothing more than your ignorance regarding the laws of the land, yet, this somehow presents a great inner difficult struggle for you, regarding the comprehension of such a simple concept that is solidified by law. In fact, you still are
illegal and have no right to say anything to
anyone,

>I assume you have some proof of this? Yea I

>know, you have tons of proof, but you're not

>about to post it.

No Dave, that isn't the standard reply, but I'll remind you since you strugge with memory impairment. You initiated unsolicited claims,,many of them. When challenged for proof, you declined for personal reasons. You are still free to provide proof for any of your unasnwered claims, and then, as proper decorum and communicative technique dictates, will have your inquiries answered with proof in turn. You continue to struggle with such simple techniques of proper communication, but it does illustrate perfectly what i have always maintained,,,that some of those licensed for communications, know the lease about it.

–
  as for starters, the address you provided the
FCC is not your primary residence and the fact that you fail to correct this matter with the FCC even after being informed you are illegal, leaves you no credibility with anything you may say regarding other's actions.

>You really, REALLY need to go back to

>whatever source of information gathering you

>use and either fire them, demand your money

>back, or something. Because, quite frankly,

>you are embarrassing yourself every time you

>make these erroneous claims. My listed

>address in the FCC database is exactly my

>primary (only) residence. I am doing nothing

>illegal.

>So the real question is, Who do you think I

>really am (today)? What do you think is my

>"real" address?

Not concerned with your personal world, Dave,,that;s reserved for you to cause yourself great pains concerning others.

>The only thing I can figure is that the

>commonality of my name (Next after Smith

>and Jones), has you so confused, that you

>believe I'm someone different than who I

>actually am (There are 3 Dave Hall's in my

>company's phone directory, talk about

>confusion).

Agreed. Perhaps you can explain how you mistakenly feel your unsolicited but invoked claim regarding a company directory relates to anything, but then again, keeping with your continued behavior that when you are not aware of something, it must not exist, speaks volumes.

> The fact that my phone number is unlisted

>removes me from many people searches.

You go on thinking that.

> That also explains why you keep insisting that
>my wife's name is "Kimberly

>T. Hall", and that she's a "teacher".

She may not teach now, but she tried to at elast once.

>In

>conclusion, you continue to trust unreliable

>sources which provide you erroneous

>information and then accuse others of things

>.which are incorrect. It is not my credibility that
>is in question here, it's yours.

>But wait? Wasn't it you who just accused ME

>of trying to obtain people's personal

>information?

It was also myself that instructed you years ago that off-topic personal information is not relevant to these pages, and were instructed that you should practice the golden rule, in other words, do not do to others that you do not want done to yourself, but you continued with off-topic personal information. When it is returned to its place of origination, you cry foul.

>And you call me hypocritical. Sheesh.......

Nothing hypocitiical at all about giving you back your initiated behavior. In fact, you were warned on many occasion that this is what your initiated behavior would degrade to. Again, if the information is incorrect, ignore it and toss it out the window...but for some obvious reasons, you chose to bitch about it.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2288. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 15:18:23 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1918-4270FEBF-200@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199312

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>I wonder if God will punish them?

Look at the given examples. We have an extra who must be spoon fed by the contingency. Even though he was informed by the majority of regs concerning the law, he steadfastly refused to believe anyone at all, illustrating the arrogance and disdain he embraces toward anyone else's knowledge relating to radio and radio law. (chuckle) yes,,I say these types most certainly are punished.

2289. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 15:20:30 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1918-4270FF3E-202@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199314

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)

>LOL there he goes again accusing innocent

>people. why not come to my house pussy boy

>Ill pay the gas

Off you go now,,disappear for a week and reappear the day Dave Hall
comes back, why don't you :)

2290. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 15:27:29 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1918-427100E1-206@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199315

Yep, I do get the drift. Beautifully illustrated with a great analogy.
You ought write that up in a neat letter to your local editor concerning
the (un)Patriot Act,,or even a national editor.

2291. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 15:34:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <so7ce.13768$iq7.7982230@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199318

Open your eyes, if you haven't noticed MANY don't seem to have any respect for the law--pay attention. If it isn't the same in your city I am happy for you--but here it is just getting damn dangerous!!!
The downright silly decisions the judges are making is ONE MAJOR cause, the youngsters are laughing behind judges backs...
Would you even begin to attempt to convince me that you get anything more than the justice you can afford?
Those lawyers are not going to be bothered with freebanders/CB'ers--they simply don't have the type of money the lawyers need to fill their pockets....

Get real...

Regards,
John

2292. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 15:40:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5u7ce.13880$uH6.7837797@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199316

Those hams are just about to get one BIG surprise...
Those export radios are ending up in Mexico, with BIG Liinears!
I hope all those hams can speak Spanish. And, furthere south, the South American skip should just get better and better! <grin>

Regards,
John

2293. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 15:47:04 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Yz7ce.13996$DA7.8032359@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199317

You waste your time, attempting to show logic to Dave, he is obivously a ham
or "ham groupie."
He is just here to stop any progressive changes--write your congressmen!!!
Anyway, whether he does what he does or not--the winds of change begin to
blow....

Regards,
John

2294. Re: N8WWM in Trouble again!?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 15:55:51 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1918-42710787-218@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199319

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Well, that all translates to, "Hams make poor

>buddies--they'll turn you in like a bunch of old

>jealous women.

There IS a certain element that take it upon themselves to assume status
they never were able to manage in other areas of their life.

>Ands, hams don't make good pets either, they

>soil spaces..."

Seen Wu's pigs on Deadwood? Betcha that ain't perfume they're farting.

>Regards,

>John

3's

2295. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 16:04:46 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1918-4271099E-219@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199320

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>You waste your time, attempting to show logic

>to Dave, he is obivously a ham or "ham

>groupie."

>He is just here to stop any progressive

>changes--write your congressmen!!! Anyway,

>whether he does what he does or not--the

>winds of change begin to blow....

>Regards,

>John

Dave is capable of giving good entertainment when he remains cool, stays
on topic, and steers clear of emotional outbursts.

2296. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 16:59:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jb5271l26l406e1hf668k6336hg5ld2r0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 199321

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 08:34:48 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Open your eyes, if you haven't noticed MANY don't seem to have any respect
>for the law--pay attention.

So you're saying it's ok to ignore the law as long as there are a
bunch of others as morally bankrupt? Justification by consensus?

> If it isn't the same in your city I am happy
>for you--but here it is just getting damn dangerous!!!

Radio operators are dangerous?

>The downright silly decisions the judges are making is ONE MAJOR cause, the
>youngsters are laughing behind judges backs...

Which is when we need less bleeding heart liberal judges and more hard
nose, by the book style conservative ones.

>Would you even begin to attempt to convince me that you get anything more
>than the justice you can afford?

It's not about justice you can afford, it's about the justice you
truly deserve.

>Those lawyers are not going to be bothered with freebanders/CB'ers--they
>simply don't have the type of money the lawyers need to fill their
>pockets....

Then I guess if the FCC ever decides to really crack down on illegal
radio ops, they should be concerned then?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2297. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 17:10:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <zO8ce.15535$CI.8229958@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199322

Your arguments ALL would call for a CHANGE!--I am simply stating what IS...

GET REAL!

Regards,
John

2298. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 17:48:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <v66271tsb2ue1e1i0qr1suon8ivqj2s943@4ax.com>
Source record: 199324

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:15:07 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 09:25:36 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>I think you should stay away from those magic
>>mushrooms.....
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>n3cvj
>
>You can deny it to your little black heart's content, but the fact
>remains many cbers get licenses (especialy the no-codes) only to return
>to the cb and freeband.
>
>>I won't try to argue that point as I agree that
>
>>some people conceivably do "return" (or never
>>left in the first place) to the CB and freeband.
>
>>I'm still somewhat active on both to this day.
>
>
>So, you began breaking the law again by returning to the freeband after
>you told the group you no longer do so because you "grew up" and are
>setting responsible behavior patterns for your daughter by folllowing
>the law.

No, I am not on the freeband. I am active on both Ham radio AND CB.
Leave it to you to draw the wrong conclusion from a statement. But
then again, that's how you are.

-
>Some of those who obtained licenses can
>never go back because their voices are too easily recognized and their
>hammie "friends" will report their ass for freebanding.
>
>>I'm not so sure that this is as prevalent as you
>>claim.
>ï¿½
>

>That's cool...but entertain, for a moment, if you would, the notion you
>are subscribing. If you do not believe me, then you mistakenly believe
>the FCC is actively patrolling the air for violators. This info can be
>found simply by extolling a little leg work.....but I'm telling you, the
>FCC does NOT actively patrol the air seeking violations by hammies or
>cbers.

Just how do you KNOW that?

>ï¿½They end up being
>pissed off (then issed upon) hammies.
>
>>You discount the possibility that while exposed
>>to ham radio, that many people find respect
>>for the rules, and have a change of attitude.
>
>
>
>I didn't discount it at all, as I know what you say to be true, but what
>I say is just as true. Members belong to both camps.

Yet you lambast me for my change in attitude.

>The anger toward such freebanders can be seen in your own posts.
>
>>Despite your many claims, I harbor no "anger".
>>Stating facts that doesn't sit well with you, is
>>not the same thing as "anger".

>Stating facts has you chasing your tail with semantics. You call people
>criminals with nothing more than your mistaken ignorance that "saying it
>on usenet is the same as a guilty plea in a court of law".

An admission of guilt is an admission of guilt. This is not a court of
law. There is no judge or jury. If you admit to partaking in an
illegal activity, then you did it. Anything more is simply a
formality.

> Although you
>have been given the correct information regarding the judicial system,
>you continue to mistakenly believe you may publicly refer to one as a
>criminal even if they were not convicted in a court of law.

You do not need to be convicted of an action to make the reality of
that action known. You continue to fall back on the flawed concept
that you're only guilty when caught and convicted. As if you really
are not breaking the law because the feds never witnessed you doing
it. That's as absurd as the philosophical question of whether a tree
still makes noise when it falls in the forest, and no one was there to
hear it.

>Several
>years ago you were making posts chastising hammies as being too uptight

>and uppity and technical who look down on cbers.
>
>>Because in many cases, it's true.
>
>
>
>Not "in many cases"..you stated "For the most part" in your post when
>you referred to the hammies... using the same sterotyping you just
>hypocritically took another to task for employing. .

So now you're back to your old game of obfuscation and semantic word
games.

>
>>There are hams who are stuffy and uptight.
>>But they are legal. The converse is also true.
>>Many outlaw CB'ers feel that they have a right
>>to do just what (and where) they please
>>without due regard for the rights of anyone
>>else.
>
>
>Same is true with many hammies,

But they are not here claiming any perceived right to do so.

> yet for some reason, you do not voice
>your concern for those you hold in higher regards, higher esteem,,,you
>know,,those who are supposed to lead by example.

So, you posit that because there is a certain percentage of "bad hams"
who break the rules, that that gives you a right to do it on the
freeband?

>> RFI, direct interference, and public nuisance
>>issues do not seem to affect them.
>
>
>"Them" is not limited exclusively to cb users.

No, but responsible radio operators on either service, will cooperate
to minimize such issues.

>>ï¿½ï¿½Either behavior is reprehensible, and I've
>>defended each respective group when on the
>>receiving end of such stereotypical prejudice.

>Yet, you continue to employ it yourself.

Not at all.

>>Lately it's the hams who are unfairly on the
>>receiving end of this prejudice.
>

>
>Why is it unfair to illustrate the same behavior
>committed by hammies that you complain about when committed by cbers?

Because as a matter of percentage, the number of law breaking hams
compared to licensed users, is much smaller than the amount of illegal
operators compared to legal CB'ers.

Everyone on the freeband is illegal. You don't need fancy equipment to
figure it out. Mere presence of a station there is all that is needed
to make the very accurate empirical observation.

On the other hand, a ham running twice the power output on 75 meters
would not be readily apparent to someone listening as the signal would
only be 3db stronger.

>During your admitted
>freebanding and illegal operating years, not once will you find a post
>by yourself calling others names or expressing nosey concern for other
>people's business that does not affect you.
>
>>I still don't. I'm not the one trying desperately
>>to find out personal information (often
>>incorrectly like the name of my wife) about
>>other people.
>
>
>Yes, you were. In fact, you initiated the personal info game with me and
>everyone knows it. You were told long ago to stay out of the personal,
>off-topic arena. Once you violate this, you have nothing to say when
>your initiated behavior is returned.

I did nothing of the sort. I attempted no such action against you or
anyone else. I challenge you to prove otherwise. Believe me, if you
were that important enough to make me want to find out information, I
would have. And trust me, it would not be wrong.

>>Also worthy of note is the time you spend
>>reviewing my 10 year span of messages to
>>this newsgroup. One might consider that as
>>bordering on obsession.
>
>
>One might, but I have a photographic memory.

Yes, but you weren't around here when those beginning posts were first
left almost 10 years ago. You had to deliberately review them.

Besides, that "photographic memory" of yours is flawed, otherwise you
would be accusing me of things that I never did. Such as claiming the
case against Doug was "withdrawn", or that Keith was somehow involved,
or any other such accusation you have confused with another person (or
just plain invented) and then tried to attribute to me.

> There,, now there's another
>little tidbit of information for you to wallow in. I remember just about
>everything, and in most cases you refer, I merely have to type in the
>pertinent key words of your past posts and voila!....no time at all
>spent other than three clicks right to the passage needed to illustrate
>your incorrectness, hypocrisy, and double-talk, and lies.

Sure, that's why you keep making those glaring errors.

>
>_
>However, after having your
>clock cleaned in reec.radio.cb by cbers for your oft extended hypocrisy,
>
>>reec (reek?) a freudian slip?
>
>Which speaks volumes of how you think.

On a higher plain than you? Probably.

>That you attribute such behavior (name calling, attacking those who
>merely dx or freeband) to "growing up", illustrates the fact you were an
>incredible late bloomer and extremely slow learner who hasn't fully
>matured yet, as your behavior continued well in to your thirties.
>
>>Well, then if I'm still "growing up", then you
>>have yet to start because you are still
>>engaged in that illegal behavior.
>
>
>As are you, according to your statement above at the beginning of this
>post.

Your initial error continues to be compounded. And even when
corrected, I'm sure you'll still come back sometime in the future and
claim that I "admitted" to still actively freebanding.

> In fact, you still are
>illegal and have no right to say anything to
>anyone,
>
>
>>I assume you have some proof of this? Yea I
>>know, you have tons of proof, but you're not
>>about to post it.
>
>
>No Dave, that isn't the standard reply, but I'll remind you since you
>strugge with memory impairment. You initiated unsolicited claims,,many
>of them. When challenged for proof, you declined for personal reasons.
>You are still free to provide proof for any of your unasnwered claims,
>and then, as proper decorum and communicative technique dictates, will
>have your inquiries answered with proof in turn.

Like I said..... You have no proof.

>ï¿½ï¿½as for starters, the address you provided the
>FCC is not your primary residence and the fact that you fail to correct
>this matter with the FCC even after being informed you are illegal,
>leaves you no credibility with anything you may say regarding other's
>actions.
>
>>You really, REALLY need to go back to
>>whatever source of information gathering you
>>use and either fire them, demand your money
>>back, or something. Because, quite frankly,
>>you are embarrassing yourself every time you
>>make these erroneous claims. My listed
>>address in the FCC database is exactly my
>>primary (only) residence. I am doing nothing
>>illegal.
>
>>So the real question is, Who do you think I
>>really am (today)? What do you think is my
>>"real" address?
>
>Not concerned with your personal world, Dave,,that;s reserved for you to
>cause yourself great pains concerning others.

You are the one making the personal claims. It obviously concerns you.
Your words say one thing, but your actions betray your true
motivations.

>>The only thing I can figure is that the
>>commonality of my name (Next after Smith
>>and Jones), has you so confused, that you
>>believe I'm someone different than who I
>>actually am (There are 3 Dave Hall's in my
>>company's phone directory, talk about
>>confusion).
>
>
>
>Agreed. Perhaps you can explain how you mistakenly feel your unsolicited
>but invoked claim regarding a company directory relates to anything,

It's an example of just how common my name is.

It's the ultimate irony for someone like you, who craves anonymity.
You go to great pains to hide who you are, while I gladly reveal my
name. Yet even when armed with that information, your efforts to seek
further information about me have proved to be dismal failures. When
you have a name as common as mine, you really can hide in plain sight.
Perhaps now you will finally "get it".....

>> The fact that my phone number is unlisted
>>removes me from many people searches.

>
>
>You go on thinking that.

Oh so you have looked? Call me sometime then big boy......

>> That also explains why you keep insisting that
>>my wife's name is "Kimberly
>>T. Hall", and that she's a "teacher".
>
>
>She may not teach now, but she tried to at elast once.

Yea, ok, whatever you say.

>>In
>>conclusion, you continue to trust unreliable
>>sources which provide you erroneous
>>information and then accuse others of things
>>.which are incorrect. It is not my credibility that
>>is in question here, it's yours.

>>But wait? Wasn't it you who just accused ME
>>of trying to obtain people's personal
>>information?

>
>It was also myself that instructed you years ago that off-topic personal
>information is not relevant to these pages,

Yet here you are demonstrating to the world just how much effort you
go through to do just that when you make personal information comments
about other people. And lest you try to use the excuse that it's my
fault, how many people have you accused Frank of being over the years?

>
>>And you call me hypocritical. Sheesh.......
>
>Nothing hypocitiical at all about giving you back your initiated
>behavior.

Bad behavior is inexcusable regardless of who started it. When you
were growing up and in school, it didn't matter who started the fight,
you BOTH got suspended. Both are equally culpable.

Using the excuse; "Well, he started it!" is truly feeble and a poor
justification for your own foibles.

> In fact, you were warned on many occasion that this is what
>your initiated behavior would degrade to.

But I never claimed or threatened to find out personal information
about anyone.

> Again, if the information is
>incorrect, ignore it and toss it out the window...but for some obvious
>reasons, you chose to bitch about it.

Only to illustrate your obvious hypocrisy

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2299. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 17:49:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <uf8271dqan4c6evsu778k1tr81ku9hl64c@4ax.com>
Source record: 199323

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 12:04:46 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (Johnï¿½Smith)
>>You waste your time, attempting to show logic
>
>>to Dave, he is obivously a ham or "ham
>
>>groupie."
>
>>He is just here to stop any progressive
>
>>changes--write your congressmen!!! Anyway,
>
>>whether he does what he does or not--the
>
>>winds of change begin to blow....
>
>>Regards,
>
>>John
>
>
>Dave is capable of giving good entertainment when he remains cool, stays
>on topic, and steers clear of emotional outbursts.

Why thank you! That's the closest thing to a compliment that I've seen
in a while. Now how 'bout a big hug?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2300. Re: K9 email filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 18:12:18 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
Message-ID: <d4r923$a0s1@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 199325

John Smith wrote:
> Yes, K9 is the best solution to my personal spam problems, which I have
> found. I was sure it would benefit others.
> It really becomes powerful if you drop the pcre.dll into the k9
> directory--then it can do perl regular expressions through the white and
> black list.... Then filtering for words like "v.i.a.g.r.a", v_i_@_g_r_@, "P
> e n | s", etc... becomes easy and VERY effective! There is even a test
> option in the K9 app where you can test your reg expression before
> implementing it...
>
> Regards,
> John

This is just my $0.02, but you might also want to consider using
Netscape as your mail client.

Netscape 7.2 comes with the spam filter already installed. It sounds
very similar to the K9 filter, is incredibly easy to use, and is
very effective. When you start, you just mark anything that you
consider span with a single mouse click. Over time, Netscape uses
the information in the marked messages to create a very effective
filter. You still have the option of creating your own filters to
steer messages into special folders.

One or two pieces of spam will get by, from time to time, but a
click of the mouse and you never see it again. The filtering of
those special words, like you listed, is automatic. No need to
deal with, ugh, regular expressions.

There is a Beta version of Netscape 8.0 out right now, but I will
wait a little longer. For users of Internet Explorer, Netscape offers
a free popup blocker. It installs in minutes and is also very
effective. A popup blocker is standard with Netscape 7.2.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.com
(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

2301. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 18:22:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wR9ce.16850$Xo2.8724499@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199341

I am afraid Dave is not even good entertainment... more of a boring/repetitive slug...

He reminds me of an old woman with nothing better to do than harass anyone in disagreement with them.

. a Chihuahua, nipping at ones ankles--best ignored.

However, when not here, he is most likely in his mobile, pursuing truckers (complete with a rotating caution light on his trunk and wearing a bunch of pseudo-official badges, patches and ball caps purchased at ham fests), I suppose it is better to have him here than out annoying the truckers, at least they can get some honest work done then! <grin>

Regards,

John

2302. Re: K9 email filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 18:36:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <43ace.17119$CJ2.8972421@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199330

Yes, there are other filters and methods. However, if a person is knowledgeable in the use of regular expressions, there is just no comparison to the power of K9 with Netscapes basic capabilities... regular expressions are just not that difficult to pick up... K9 has other capabilities beyond the basic supplied by Netscape also...

Regards,
John

2303. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 18:42:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <z8ace.17228$GU2.9076868@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199332

Yeah, we know that is YOUR opinion... big deal...

John

2304. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 18:43:17 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <89ace.17241$GW2.9081921@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199331

Read my above post, it is still valid here...

Regards,
John

2305. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 18:44:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8aace.17261$KW2.9082968@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199333

Read my top, new, post, still valid here...

John

2306. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 18:49:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1face.17357$eY2.9086003@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199334

Uh, from now on, just refer to my first post on this subject, or attempt to memorize it--it is only ONE LINE FOR CRISSAKES!!!

John

2307. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:10:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <yyace.17632$Fb3.9138266@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199338

Forget that!
Just write this down on a piece of paper, "REMEMBER! Next doctors visit, ask him about a medication for Alzheimers."
And, pin it on your chest!

John

2308. Antenna Engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:17:26 UTC
From: johnllaneta@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114715846.700802.120380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199336

CalAmp is a leading provider of comprehensive breakthrough communications access and content delivery solutions, services, and products.

We have an exciting opportunity for an experienced Antenna Engineer in Oxnard, CA.

Description:
The ideal candidate will possess a wide range of antenna engineering capabilities ranging from high-level research and development to full product development. The successful candidate must be able to create innovative solutions for ultra high volume and ultra low cost products, as well as have an open mind for new fields and designs. This person will work with minimal guidance and support

Essential Qualifications:

· Design of millimeter wave (Ku, K, Ka) antennas and components such as horn antennas (corrugated and non), reflector antennas, patch antennas (microstrip), OMT's, polarizers, waveguide hybrids and couplers.
· Intimate knowledge of simulation tools, such as HFSS, TICRA, and ensemble.
· Hands on capability of building, testing and fine tuning antennas during prototype and early production stages.
· Must be proficient in measuring techniques such as Far-Field, near field ranges, using lab test equipment such as spectrum and network analyzers.
· Experience in interacting with mechanical engineers, technicians, and production personnel during the full product life cycle.

Frequency Range Experience:

· Ku band
· K Band
· K (10-15GHz, 17-26.5GHz, 30GHz)
· L band (1.5GHz)
· 2.4GHz
· 5.8GHz

Please submit your resume to resumes@calamp.com
Please use reference code: 25000633 - ANTENG in the subject line.

Equal employment opportunity is basic to our hiring and employment philosophy. Our company believes work results are what count, regardless of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin, or disability. The company seeks to give all qualified veterans of

military service full consideration for employment.

2309. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:30:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <tde271hie7i5v2ujdecufpumr4bir8dg50@4ax.com>
Source record: 199339

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:27:29 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<1918-427100E1-206@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:

>Yep, I do get the drift. Beautifully illustrated with a great analogy.
>You ought write that up in a neat letter to your local editor concerning
>the (un)Patriot Act,,or even a national editor.

Thank you. Plagiarize it as you see fit.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2310. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:30:40 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114713296.47681a9ad5d9cf03fb9a7a53eee77cfd@teranews>
Source record: 199326

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 08:34:48 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Open your eyes, if you haven't noticed MANY don't seem to have any respect
>for the law--pay attention. If it isn't the same in your city I am happy
>for you--but here it is just getting damn dangerous!!!
>The downright silly decisions the judges are making is ONE MAJOR cause, the
>youngsters are laughing behind judges backs...
>Would you even begin to attempt to convince me that you get anything more
>than the justice you can afford?
>Those lawyers are not going to be bothered with freebanders/CB'ers--they
>simply don't have the type of money the lawyers need to fill their
>pockets....
>
>Get real...
>
>Regards,
>John
>

anyone that makes a response to post and doesn't quote the original
post so that we know who the hell you are responding to, is dumber
than a fucking ice cube!!!!

2311. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:31:01 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114713317.d1ae3cb87a67a5323ae71286776becc5@teranews>
Source record: 199327

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 08:40:49 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Those hams are just about to get one BIG surprise...
>Those export radios are ending up in Mexico, with BIG Liinears!
>I hope all those hams can speak Spanish. And, furthere south, the South
>American skip should just get better and better! <grin>
>
>Regards,
>John
>

anyone that makes a response to post and doesn't quote the original
post so that we know who the hell you are responding to, is dumber
than a fucking ice cube!!!!

2312. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:31:15 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114713331.8afd6cd4134cff0914d70a4f82e3f84a@teranews>
Source record: 199328

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 08:47:04 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>You waste your time, attempting to show logic to Dave, he is obivously a ham
>or "ham groupie."
>He is just here to stop any progressive changes--write your congressmen!!!
>Anyway, whether he does what he does or not--the winds of change begin to
>blow....
>
>Regards,
>John
>

anyone that makes a response to post and doesn't quote the original
post so that we know who the hell you are responding to, is dumber
than a fucking ice cube!!!!

2313. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:31:30 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114713347.7a69b512c83ef3b81bed5b006bcd06c2@teranews>
Source record: 199329

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 10:10:55 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Your arguments ALL would call for a CHANGE!--I am simply stating what IS...
>
>GET REAL!
>
>Regards,
>John
>
anyone that makes a response to post and doesn't quote the original
post so that we know who the hell you are responding to, is dumber
than a fucking ice cube!!!!

2314. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:31:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3ee271p8c19gdhtugfhdahb7vs8p3rq8gh@4ax.com>
Source record: 199335

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 09:11:08 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote in <d4qqtsemi1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:nk51719lucoopftj2crs8fp65r9hu5tbsg@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 10:22:40 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
>> wrote in <d4oao0mkn1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>Most often, even wahat you consider "high end" cards do not have the audio
>>>sheild grounded properly.
>>
>>
>> Very good point. Some cards have shield connectors that are DC
>> isolated from the chassis to prevent ground loops with other audio
>> equipment. In such cards the shield is coupled to chassis ground with
>> caps, but line noise can be a problem (and the cap must be shorted)
>> because the caps may not large enough to fully shunt low frequencies.
>> But the caps do shunt RF very well, and if they can keep the local AM
>> broadcast stations out of the soundcard then the neighbor's legal CB
>> radio shouldn't be a problem.
>>
>>
>
>
>Problem is that they don't do all that well with AM Broadcast. When I was a
>conglomo radio engineer we had a 50K FM and a 500W AM in a residential area.
>I would get complaints-o-plenty of the AM coming thru computer speakers,
>cheapo HT systems and phones.

Sounds like we had similar jobs -- do the daily checks, fill out the
daily reports, then spend the rest of the day making cables, cleaning
cart machines and waiting for something to break?

> Of course we had to do what we could to
>eliminate the problem and usually I would pull the sound card, DC ground it
>with 1 ohm 1/4W resistors and the problem went away. 90% of my problems
>called in were ratified with proper grounding. Fortunately most of the
>construction in the area is newer as that tower used to be outside city
>limits but due to urban sprawl it is no longer.
>
>As for my personal soundcards I use pro models with a separate breakout box
>that's balanced. The other card in that computer and other computers in the
>house are SB audigy models with the mini jacks removed and XLR whips out in

>their place. This whip then goes to a breakout box with 6 Jensen
>transformers in it 4 for output and 2 for input. The mic input is shorted
>down permanently. I have zero noise problems, if you have an Audigy card it
>does sound good! You just have to help it out :)

I use the Extigy -- it's great because you can locate the box some
distance away from the noisy computer and ground it directly to the
mixer.

But for complaints to the station, I got them to order a huge box of
4" jumpers that have pigtails to the shield. Just plug them into the
soundcard (or whatever audio equipment is getting the interference),
screw the pigtail to the chassis, and 'presto' -- problem solved. No
invasive surgery, and you're out of the house in a matter of minutes.

>The transformers..... When radio engineering and upgrading EAS systems in
>all the stations I found that all the old receivers that we were throwing
>out were loaded with Jensen transformers! What a salvage find!!!!!

No kidding!

> I had
>many-o-dumpster diving missions, then adopted a Chad's gotta pilfer it pile.
>Lots of transformers and power supplies were gutted :)

Those old Bogen and Rauland paging amps have some pretty sweet
transformers, too. One of these days we gotta exchange inventory lists
of our scrounge bins.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2315. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:32:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ahe27191tml1fo6mn98e1s3huhoks7s6tj@4ax.com>
Source record: 199337

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 10:56:45 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ros1719u50fieqogghs1bof8v6ula417mq@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 05:19:51 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 07:24:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <q2h171l2k21d7ib7v1os2mcau49chpaq8m@4ax.com>:
>>
>>
>>>>the commission usually sends a warning to an alleged
>>>>violator prior to issuing an NAL. If the warning is ignored then the
>>>>subsequent NAL is prosecuted as a violation that was done both
>>>>willingly and -intentionally-.
>>>
>>>Ok, you win that one. That is usually the case.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> The facts
>>>>>are that there ARE illegal intruders on 10 meters. The how's and why's
>>>>>are irrelevant.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Intent is -very- relevant because some of those dopes don't know that
>>>>they are operating illegally.
>>>
>>>(and there are those who think we should open up the whole spectrum to
>>>dopes like that. A perfect example of why there are licenses and
>>>rules)
>>>
>>>Ignorance of the law is no excuse. At least it didn't used to be. With
>>>all the liberals running around looking to paint every lawbreaker as a
>>>victim, I guess ignorance might be a legitimate excuse now.
>>
>>
>>I see it a little differently: When we the people are subject to
>>mountains of laws that can be fully understood only by an army of
>>lawyers, ignorance can be a very reasonable excuse in many cases. It's
>>simply impractical (and nearly impossible) for the average citizen to
>>know and understand all the laws that apply to every circumstance.
>
>I agree, the law was never intended to be so complicated that only a
>legal expert can comprehend all the nuances of it. I tend to believe
>that lawyers do this deliberately to justify and continue their

>existence.

Maybe a few think that way, but I think it's mostly to protect against
the ambulance-chasing opportunists that force court interpretation of
every little flaw, as you stated with the following:

>Even worse is when a seemingly cut and dry law get's "what-if'd" to
>death in a courtroom battle of hypotheticals which may never occur.
>This is why you are required to sign dozens of forms for what should
>be a simple transaction in many cases.
>
<snip>
>>>It's true that the FCC usually sends out warning notices first, but
>>>they don't have to. That's called discretion (the better part of
>>>valor).
>>
>>
>>Actually, they do need to send out those notices in almost all cases.
>>The reason behind it is the FCC's pseudo-constitutional system of law
>>enforcement and the need to establish "willful and malicious" conduct
>>of the violator. This bypasses the criminal court system, forwards the
>>forfeiture order directly to the DOJ for collection, and pre-empts
>>evasion of payment if the violator files for bankruptcy -- an NAL is a
>>debt that cannot be discharged under any chapter of bankruptcy law. If
>>the debt -was- dischargeable then the FCC would be forced to file an
>>adversarial complaint and subsequently defend their law enforcement
>>practices in Federal court, which is something they have no intention
>>of doing because they would lose.
>
>
>This is interesting. The FCC has in the past taken certain violators
>to criminal court. In the vast majority of cases though, you are
>correct. There would seem to be some threshold which determines their
>course of action.
>
>What I am especially curious about is your assertion that if the FCC
>took a clear violator to federal court that they would lose. Why do
>you feel that way? I would presume that once the FCC decided to act
>upon a violator that they would have enough evidence to prove their
>case.

It's not a matter of taking someone to court on criminal charges, it's
about the NAL system of enforcement. The evidence may be overwhelming
and uncontested, but the procedure is probably unconstitutional as the
Supreme Court has suggested in at least one opinion. So the FCC avoids
any constitutional challenge to the NAL, even to the point of settling
before it goes to court. You suggested that there is some threshold
they use to decide which actions to take, and I would suggest that you
are right -- a critera based on the willingness and resourcefulness of
the accused to mount a legal challenge against the FCC's NAL system.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2316. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 19:57:14 UTC
From: Ninth Commandment <ninthcommandment@electionsareinthebible.ca>
Message-ID: <jof2711muiugqlht9u55i7phq8o92ls47m@4ax.com>
Source record: 199340
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 01:10:03 GMT, "Michael A. Terrell"
<mike.terrell@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Patrick wrote:
>>
>> This is not just Canada. A friend of mine in middle management
>> for a region has told me that within a few years there will only be
>> one RS store per major metro area, maybe two for big areas with
>> lots of suburbs like Boston, Chicago, NYC, LA, but those will be
>> the exceptions. 1 store in Minneapolis, 1 in Richmond VA, 1
>> in Miami, etc.
>>
>> They will be doing most of their stuff through the web and by phone.
>
>
> They dropped their catalog, and their website stinks. That's two for
>two. If you can't find it on their website and don't have a catalog to
>do phone orders from they might as well lock the doors now.

Spent around $75 at Radio Shack last year here at Surrey Center. I
know a guy who used to run one up north and he lost the business.

Haven't seen any ham plates in a long time. See the veteran plates
everyday all over.

2317. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 21:01:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Gacce.18006$Ty4.9292960@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199347
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Fact is, most of the "new breed" of hams don't build equip., probably NOT
even the antenna(s) they are using...
If it isn't a commercial product--they are clueless...
Hams have been very successful at preserving their "good ole boys club",
even to the point of its' slow decline to death...
Those parts will not be missed much--and if they are--the web will provide a
resource...

Regards,
John

2318. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 21:14:40 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114719536.c738a3ba440221b23b4ba91066b80628@teranews>
Source record: 199344

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:42:39 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Yeah, we know that is YOUR opinion... big deal...
>
>John
>

you are just an ignorant freebander, still dumber than an ice cube.

Hams Rule!!

2319. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 21:15:27 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114719583.af0394b08fe55f9930205cf13e5943a9@teranews>
Source record: 199343

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:43:17 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Read my above post, it is still valid here...
>
>Regards,
>John
>

read my post above still valid here.

down with freedand!!!!

2320. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 21:16:25 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114719648.dc2af8cf32b18de868201cc0fc5e26e0@teranews>
Source record: 199342

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:44:21 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Read my top, new, post, still valid here...
>
>John
>
more proof that you are dumber than dog shit

Hams Rule the world!!!!

2321. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 21:18:25 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114719767.1dd6923715edccf78fe9b7914c0bfa9b@teranews>
Source record: 199345

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:49:34 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Uh, from now on, just refer to my first post on this subject, or attempt to
>memorize it--it is only ONE LINE FOR CRISSAKES!!!
>
>John
>
your posts make no sense,ice cube mentality!!!

2322. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 21:20:10 UTC
From: Freebandersblowgoats <freebandersblowgoats@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114719879.a394f12df9dd0eda96cd933bdab5e21c@teranews>
Source record: 199346

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 12:10:23 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Forget that!
>Just write this down on a piece of paper, "REMEMBER! Next doctors visit, ask
>him about a medication for Alzheimers."
>And, pin it on your chest!
>
>John
>

quote text, than you won't look so ignorant

Hams Rules the world!!!

freebanders are ignorant wanna be hams

2323. Where are you camping, mopathetic?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 21:42:08 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114724528.318957.122750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199348

The AKC asked, mopathetic refused to answer. There it is!

2324. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 23:03:28 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <-LadneLSLaxf9uzfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 199349
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Couldn't ever get that ticket, huh John ???

--K4PYT--

Fact is, most of the "new breed" of hams don't build equip., probably NOT
even the antenna(s) they are using...
If it isn't a commercial product--they are clueless...
Hams have been very successful at preserving their "good ole boys club",
even to the point of its' slow decline to death...
Those parts will not be missed much--and if they are--the web will provide a
resource...

Regards,
John

2325. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 23:38:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4uece.6$sd.501820@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199351
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

LOL!!! CQ DX 160 METERS!!!!

<grin>
John

2326. Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 23:49:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050428194935.765$i9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199350

Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?

The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?

2327. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-28 23:59:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050428195934.298$rg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199352
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> LOL!!! CQ DX 160 METERS!!!!
>
> <grin>
> John
>
Is Outhouse Express too complicated for you, John? (no flame)

2328. Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 00:14:14 UTC
From: Yooper <cudighiman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DRece.26251$Jg7.1746@fe03.lga>
Source record: 199353

I've just temp installed a cb in my truck and can't hear anything except for some guy who says he's in Puerto Rico. Needless to say, I haven't had a cb hooked up before. The only way I can hear that guy is if I turn up the squelch. Is there a FAQ where I can get some answers or can I ask on here?

2329. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 00:18:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <33fce.10$yR.613449@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199354
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Go try reverse-psychology on the lesser minds... or, even on those willing to jump your hoops...

Don't you get enough people who don't like you in the real world--yanno, you might fool them here--if you were but a tad bit brighter....

Either you lacked attention as a child and even negative attention is valued by you--or, else you could have been a spoiled child, and now try in vain to restore unwarranted attention--even to the point of accepting it being paid in the negative sense...

Your mind, tactics, methods and actions are very childlike--of course, you are either unable or unwilling to be able to face that. You may very well be fooling yourself--I don't think you are very successful with others...

While you think others are looking at what your mind sees, and you are running the show--what is really occuring is that others are given a demonstration of how small a man you are (well, unless you are a woman who hasn't completed her sex change yet!)

WAKE UP MAN!!!

Regards,
John

2330. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 00:43:13 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <c9Cdnawz28S-HuzfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 199355
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John, you could get some books from the ARRL, study, and get that ticket in
a matter of weeks .

Then, you wouldn't be so frustrated !!!!

K4PYT

2331. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 01:00:56 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9Hfce.19$z82.955507@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199356
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ARRL (African Rebel Republican Loonies?), hmmm, I think of that group of incontinent old timers in the same light as "communist party!"

Those guys would sell their sisters used tampon for a profit!

Hey, didn't I hear the leader of that died? Have they elected a new pope yet? <grin>

Regards,
John

2332. Re: antenna engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 01:16:39 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UVfce.284$FE3.99@fe12.lga>
Source record: 199357

John wrote:
> I would apply but its too far to move, I hate Texas.
>
>
>
>
> <johnllaneta@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1114627460.914849.293960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> CalAmp is a leading provider of comprehensive breakthrough
> communications access and content delivery solutions, services, and
> products.
>
> We have an exciting opportunity for an experienced Antenna Engineer in
> Oxnard, CA.
>
> Description:
> The ideal candidate will possess a wide range of antenna engineering
> capabilities ranging from high-level research and development to full
> product development. The successful candidate must be able to create
> innovative solutions for ultra high volume and ultra low cost products,
> as well as have an open mind for new fields and designs. This person
> will work with minimal guidance and support
>
> Essential Qualifications:
>
> ï¿½ Design of millimeter wave (Ku, K, Ka) antennas and components such
> as horn antennas (corrugated and non), reflector antennas, patch
> antennas (microstrip), OMT's, polarizers, waveguide hybrids and
> couplers.
> ï¿½ Intimate knowledge of simulation tools, such as HFSS, TICRA, and
> ensemble.
> ï¿½ Hands on capability of building, testing and fine tuning antennas
> during prototype and early production stages.
> ï¿½ Must be proficient in measuring techniques such as Far-Field, near
> field ranges, using lab test equipment such as spectrum and network
> analyzers.
> ï¿½ Experience in interacting with mechanical engineers, technicians,
> and production personnel during the full product life cycle.
>
> Frequency Range Experience:
>
> ï¿½ Ku band
> ï¿½ K Band
> ï¿½ K (10-15GHz, 17-26.5GHz, 30GHz)

> ï¿½ L band (1.5GHz)
> ï¿½ 2.4GHz
> ï¿½ 5.8GHz
>
>
>
> Please submit your resume to mailto:resumes@calamp.com
> Please use reference code: 25000633 - ANTENG in the subject line.
>
>
> Equal employment opportunity is basic to our hiring and employment
> philosophy. Our company believes work results are what count,
> regardless of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin, or
> disability. The company seeks to give all qualified veterans of
> military service full consideration for employment.
>
>
They wouldn't have you as an employee anyhoo considering you cant read
the post saying the job is in Oxnard Ca and relating it to be in Texas.

2333. REPOST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 01:28:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050428212825.714$Cp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

In mental constipation, dogie drooled:
N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
None of you know me by picture, sight, or otherwise. Bring your digicams,
some gayboys are in for a shock.

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

---

No doubt about it dogie. that fugly mug of your's is SHOCKING!

2334. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 01:47:04 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UvSdnQNaQZCHD-zfRVn-vg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 199359
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What the heck does the ARRL have to do with rat shack or Canada for that
matter?

John Smith wrote:
> ARRL (African Rebel Republican Loonies?), hmmm, I think of that group of
> incontinent old timers in the same light as "communist party!"
>
> Those guys would sell their sisters used tampon for a profit!
>
>
>
> Hey, didn't I hear the leader of that died? Have they elected a new pope
> yet? <grin>
>
>
> Regards,
> John
>
>

2335. Re: Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 01:56:06 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Uugce.20913$RP1.3844@fe10.lga>
Source record: 199360

Yooper wrote:
> I've just temp installed a cb in my truck and can't hear anything except for
> some guy who says he's in Puerto Rico. Needless to say, I haven't had a cb
> hooked up before. The only way I can hear that guy is if I turn up the
> squelch. Is there a FAQ where I can get some answers or can I ask on here?
>
> try this.

http://support.radioshack.com/support_tutorials/communications/cfq-rdio030.htm

2336. Re: antenna engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 02:12:49 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BKgce.10712$J12.1585@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199361

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:UVfce.284$FE3.99@fe12.lga...
> John wrote:
>> I would apply but its too far to move, I hate Texas.

> They wouldn't have you as an employee anyhoo considering you cant read the
> post saying the job is in Oxnard Ca and relating it to be in Texas.

LOL!!!, you noticed that too.

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

2337. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 02:22:46 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114741366.063050.131320@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199362

FRANK, U B CORRECT ON THIS.

2338. Mopathetic still ignoring his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 04:09:06 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114747746.390131.110870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199363

Steveo wrote:
> In mental retardation, mopathetic drooled drooled over the pink
panties he found in the dog's house:
>
> No doubt about it dogie. that fugly mug of your's is SHOCKING!

Where are ya gonna camp, wrist flipper? Come on...make some more
threats about what yer gonna do to people. Come on, mr tourette's,
threaten some more. That's all well and good, but if you can't say
where yer gonna be camping YOU are the sissy boy.

2339. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 06:15:25 UTC
From: Peter <bra&#65533;nbuster@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <4271d0df.0@entanet>
Source record: 199364

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > Here is something I learned. My 2M/440 handheld sets
> > off my paper shredder when it is within 3 feet.
> >
>
> I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it
> as you key up.

What's for breakfast...

Shredded Tweet :~)

Regards,

Peter
http://www.citizensband.radiouk.com/

2340. Re: Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 06:37:33 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nak371hjnmv5q5ji14th303j3cchresmlb@4ax.com>
Source record: 199366

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 19:14:14 -0500, "Yooper" <cudighiman@yahoo.com>
wrote in <DRece.26251$Jg7.1746@fe03.lga>:

>I've just temp installed a cb in my truck and can't hear anything except for
>some guy who says he's in Puerto Rico.

Assuming you aren't in Puerto Rico, what you are hearing is probably
'skip' -- a radio signal that 'skips' off the ionosphere and back down
to your antenna.

> Needless to say, I haven't had a cb
>hooked up before. The only way I can hear that guy is if I turn up the
>squelch. Is there a FAQ where I can get some answers or can I ask on here?

Jim linked a very good FAQ, but feel free to ask any questions to the
group. Just ignore the trolls and occasional bickering.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2341. Re: antenna engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 06:37:53 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zdydndIPP__dS-zfRVn-jw@giganews.com>
Source record: 199365

jim wrote:
> John wrote:
>> I would apply but its too far to move, I hate Texas.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <johnllaneta@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1114627460.914849.293960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> CalAmp is a leading provider of comprehensive breakthrough
>> communications access and content delivery solutions, services, and
>> products.
>>
>> We have an exciting opportunity for an experienced Antenna Engineer
>> in Oxnard, CA.
>>
>> Description:
>> The ideal candidate will possess a wide range of antenna engineering
>> capabilities ranging from high-level research and development to full
>> product development. The successful candidate must be able to create
>> innovative solutions for ultra high volume and ultra low cost
>> products, as well as have an open mind for new fields and designs. This
>> person will work with minimal guidance and support
>>
>> Essential Qualifications:
>>
>> • Design of millimeter wave (Ku, K, Ka) antennas and components such
>> as horn antennas (corrugated and non), reflector antennas, patch
>> antennas (microstrip), OMT's, polarizers, waveguide hybrids and
>> couplers.
>> • Intimate knowledge of simulation tools, such as HFSS, TICRA, and
>> ensemble.
>> • Hands on capability of building, testing and fine tuning antennas
>> during prototype and early production stages.
>> • Must be proficient in measuring techniques such as Far-Field, near
>> field ranges, using lab test equipment such as spectrum and network
>> analyzers.
>> • Experience in interacting with mechanical engineers, technicians,
>> and production personnel during the full product life cycle.
>>
>> Frequency Range Experience:
>>
>> • Ku band

>> • K Band
>> • K (10-15GHz, 17-26.5GHz, 30GHz)
>> • L band (1.5GHz)
>> • 2.4GHz
>> • 5.8GHz
>>
>>
>>
>> Please submit your resume to mailto:resumes@calamp.com
>> Please use reference code: 25000633 - ANTENG in the subject line.
>>
>>
>> Equal employment opportunity is basic to our hiring and employment
>> philosophy. Our company believes work results are what count,
>> regardless of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin, or
>> disability. The company seeks to give all qualified veterans of
>> military service full consideration for employment.
>>
>>
> They wouldn't have you as an employee anyhoo considering you cant read
> the post saying the job is in Oxnard Ca and relating it to be in
> Texas.

Well hell. I wonder what they would say if I showed up? Oxnard is about 10 minutes away.

"Yeah, man. I saw your shit on Usenet! I'm here to apply." ;-)

Jeff

2342. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 10:49:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <or3471lajgobbev0anqcqap8dk1f12s9si@4ax.com>
Source record: 199367

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 12:32:41 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

><snip>
>>>>It's true that the FCC usually sends out warning notices first, but
>>>>they don't have to. That's called discretion (the better part of
>>>>valor).
>>>
>>>
>>>Actually, they do need to send out those notices in almost all cases.
>>>The reason behind it is the FCC's pseudo-constitutional system of law
>>>enforcement and the need to establish "willful and malicious" conduct
>>>of the violator. This bypasses the criminal court system, forwards the
>>>forfeiture order directly to the DOJ for collection, and pre-empts
>>>evasion of payment if the violator files for bankruptcy -- an NAL is a
>>>debt that cannot be discharged under any chapter of bankruptcy law. If
>>>the debt -was- dischargeable then the FCC would be forced to file an
>>>adversarial complaint and subsequently defend their law enforcement
>>>practices in Federal court, which is something they have no intention
>>>of doing because they would lose.
>>
>>
>>This is interesting. The FCC has in the past taken certain violators
>>to criminal court. In the vast majority of cases though, you are
>>correct. There would seem to be some threshold which determines their
>>course of action.
>>
>>What I am especially curious about is your assertion that if the FCC
>>took a clear violator to federal court that they would lose. Why do
>>you feel that way? I would presume that once the FCC decided to act
>>upon a violator that they would have enough evidence to prove their
>>case.
>
>
>It's not a matter of taking someone to court on criminal charges, it's
>about the NAL system of enforcement. The evidence may be overwhelming
>and uncontested, but the procedure is probably unconstitutional as the
>Supreme Court has suggested in at least one opinion.

"Probably" unconstitutional? How so?

>So the FCC avoids
>any constitutional challenge to the NAL, even to the point of settling
>before it goes to court. You suggested that there is some threshold
>they use to decide which actions to take, and I would suggest that you

>are right -- a critera based on the willingness and resourcefulness of
>the accused to mount a legal challenge against the FCC's NAL system.

If, as you allege, the NAL system is constitutionally flawed, there would be far more people challenging FCC NAL's and winning. This goes beyond simple citizen's 2-way radio issues. Big guns like Howard Stern have been fined by the FCC, and his respective companies forced to pay. They can afford some heavy legal muscle. If the NAL system was unconstitutional, you would think that these fines would have been overturned in a legal battle on that basis. Then, of course, the FCC would have to refine their methods if they wish to remain effective in enforcement.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2343. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 11:16:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7c44715sa9o6h63nbbt3fuc7ejv1s1bkka@4ax.com>
Source record: 199368

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:22:20 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>I am afraid Dave is not even good entertainment... more of a
>boring/repetitive slug...
>
>He reminds me of an old woman with nothing better to do than harass anyone
>in disagreement with them.
>
>. a Chihuahua, nipping at ones ankles--best ignored.
>
>However, when not here, he is most likely in his mobile, pursuing truckers
>(complete with a rotating caution light on his trunk and wearing a bunch of
>pseudo-official badges, patches and ball caps purchased at ham fests), I
>suppose it is better to have him here than out annoying the truckers, at
>least they can get some honest work done then! <grin>

I see stereotyping is a standard method of operation for you. As
opposed to actually dissecting your issues and discussing their
relative worth.

Any society that expects to live together must enact rules which set
limits on what people can do to one another, and generally set's
boundaries on an individual's right of access and right of property.

Anyone who thinks that these rules are overly restrictive or otherwise
"wrong" need to do some serious research on history and sociology.

This applies to the radio spectrum as well. There are millions of
licensed users who are granted the privilege of operating on a
specified band of frequencies to accomplish a particular task. Things
like wireless internet, cell phones, GPS, the drive-in at a fast food
joint, long haul telephone service, satellite and broadcast radio,
television, and many more conveniences that some of us take for
granted, need clear spectrum to operate properly. That is why the FCC
controls who goes where. What do you suppose would happen if the FCC
were to disappear and anyone was allowed to transmit on any frequency
they pleased?

Common citizens have access to certain portions of spectrum to conduct
personal business or engage in hobby talk. As you demonstrate
increased responsibility and technical competence, you are granted
increased privileges. That is why ham radio has more bands, modes, and
power. A ham license is a certificate that demonstrates that the
holder has passed tests showing that he understands the FCC
operational rules, as well as possessing enough technical skill to

operate higher power transmitters, erect proper antenna systems, and mitigate interference complaints.

That is not to say that there aren't CB operators equally qualified in these areas. But until they prove their competence, the FCC does not recognize it.

Eliminating ham radio and replacing it with a "no-holds-barred" radio service where people are allowed to run class "C" amps on AM, or running 10 KW into a poorly decoupled antenna, generating RFI, etc., is a recipe for disaster.

One way to illustrate how anarchy is a poor choice for RF spectrum management, is by turning the tables. If there are no rules, then you might think it's a good thing. But what if you were engaged in a radio activity that you enjoyed. All of a sudden that activity was interrupted by another station creating interference. You could no longer partake in what it is that you enjoy, thanks to the interference from the other station. Now, what do you do? There is no FCC to complain to right? Who stands up for your right of access?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2344. Re: antenna engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 11:23:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429072311.494$dh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199369

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> They wouldn't have you as an employee anyhoo considering you cant read
> the post saying the job is in Oxnard Ca and relating it to be in Texas.
>
Mere details. :)

2345. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 11:24:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429072427.504$Pd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199370

"Peter" <bra&#65533;nbuster@lineone.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote...
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > Here is something I learned. My 2M/440 handheld sets
> > > off my paper shredder when it is within 3 feet.
> > >
> >
> > I hope your parakeet doesn't land on it
> > as you key up.
>
> What's for breakfast...
>
> Shredded Tweet :~)
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter
> http://www.citizensband.radiouk.com/
>
OH! please tip your waitress, he'll be here all week!

2346. Re: antenna engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:08:58 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42743155.76758015@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199372

On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 21:16:39 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
wrote:

>John wrote:
>> I would apply but its too far to move, I hate Texas.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> <johnllaneta@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1114627460.914849.293960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> CalAmp is a leading provider of comprehensive breakthrough
>> communications access and content delivery solutions, services, and
>> products.
>>
>> We have an exciting opportunity for an experienced Antenna Engineer in
>> Oxnard, CA.
>>
>> Description:
>> The ideal candidate will possess a wide range of antenna engineering
>> capabilities ranging from high-level research and development to full
>> product development. The successful candidate must be able to create
>> innovative solutions for ultra high volume and ultra low cost products,
>> as well as have an open mind for new fields and designs. This person
>> will work with minimal guidance and support
>>
>> Essential Qualifications:
>>
>> · Design of millimeter wave (Ku, K, Ka) antennas and components such
>> as horn antennas (corrugated and non), reflector antennas, patch
>> antennas (microstrip), OMT's, polarizers, waveguide hybrids and
>> couplers.
>> · Intimate knowledge of simulation tools, such as HFSS, TICRA, and
>> ensemble.
>> · Hands on capability of building, testing and fine tuning antennas
>> during prototype and early production stages.
>> · Must be proficient in measuring techniques such as Far-Field, near
>> field ranges, using lab test equipment such as spectrum and network
>> analyzers.
>> · Experience in interacting with mechanical engineers, technicians,
>> and production personnel during the full product life cycle.
>>
>> Frequency Range Experience:
>>

>> · Ku band
>> · K Band
>> · K (10-15GHz, 17-26.5GHz, 30GHz)
>> · L band (1.5GHz)
>> · 2.4GHz
>> · 5.8GHz
>>
>>
>>
>> Please submit your resume to mailto:resumes@calamp.com
>> Please use reference code: 25000633 - ANTENG in the subject line.
>>
>>
>> Equal employment opportunity is basic to our hiring and employment
>> philosophy. Our company believes work results are what count,
>> regardless of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin, or
>> disability. The company seeks to give all qualified veterans of
>> military service full consideration for employment.
>>
>>
>They wouldn't have you as an employee anyhoo considering you cant read
>the post saying the job is in Oxnard Ca and relating it to be in Texas.

Nah, I think he meant he is Texas and doesn't like it, but California
is to far to move too..

2347. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:09:10 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42732feb.76396578@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199371

On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
>
>The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?

Nice radio, I don't have one, but have had a chance to play with them.

Have you seen one? They are really small for the features they have..

Should work for a Nascar receiver?

2348. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:16:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429081659.790$GM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199373

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
> >
> >The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
>
> Nice radio, I don't have one, but have had a chance to play with them.
>
> Have you seen one? They are really small for the features they have..
>
> Should work for a Nascar receiver?
>
I have this customer that wants to trade an almost brand new one for
the last $100 of a seed job I'm going to do for him. He bought it for
his son as a Christmas present but the kid doesn't want it. It looks
brand new and comes with the box and manual so I'm trying to decide
if I want it or not. You're right about the size..tiny radio.

It seems to get mostly good reviews in the net from what I've seen.

2349. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:35:21 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42723762.304312@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199374

On 29 Apr 2005 12:16:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
>> >
>> >The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
>>
>> Nice radio, I don't have one, but have had a chance to play with them.
>>
>> Have you seen one? They are really small for the features they have..
>>
>> Should work for a Nascar receiver?
>>
>I have this customer that wants to trade an almost brand new one for
>the last $100 of a seed job I'm going to do for him. He bought it for
>his son as a Christmas present but the kid doesn't want it. It looks
>brand new and comes with the box and manual so I'm trying to decide
>if I want it or not. You're right about the size..tiny radio.
>
>It seems to get mostly good reviews in the net from what I've seen.

I'd pay $100.00 for one, seems like a good deal.. When they show up
on E-bay they always run more than $100.00

2350. Get Rock Hard Erection With .... PANADEX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:42:13 UTC
From: hutress2222 <newjersylove321@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114778532.979024.173060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199375

PANADEX - An amazing herbal formulation, strengthens the erection like a length of steel pipe, enables you to have ROCK HARD,THROBBING ERECTIONS that will last ALL NIGHT LONG! You'll be able to achieve ROCK HARD erections ANY TIME you want and last in bed like a porn star!A powerful herbal formulation, used from ancient time by emprerors,lords and kings,for playing sex every day with many ladiesat a time. It removes impotence, when taken alongwith Herbaalex herbal tonic.For details

http://www.herbaalex.com/panadex.htm

2351. Herbaalex - Most Powerful Herbal Sex Tonic In The World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:43:21 UTC
From: hutress2222 <newjersylove321@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114778601.146773.162020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199376

Herbaalex most powerful herbal sex tonic in the world,Removes premature ejaculation permanently. It is also a guaranteed treatment for Impotence.It cures erectile dysfunction and improves the prostate health.Herbaalex enhancesthe sex desire in men and women. An amazing formulation of herbs,nothing can compete it's unique formula. No side effects . 100 % money back guarantee, if Herbaalex does not cure your sex problem.

http://www.herbaalex.com/herbaalex.htm

2352. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:44:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429084400.305$CK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199377

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 29 Apr 2005 12:16:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
> >> >
> >> >The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
> >>
> >> Nice radio, I don't have one, but have had a chance to play with them.
> >>
> >> Have you seen one? They are really small for the features they have..
> >>
> >> Should work for a Nascar receiver?
> >>
> >I have this customer that wants to trade an almost brand new one for
> >the last $100 of a seed job I'm going to do for him. He bought it for
> >his son as a Christmas present but the kid doesn't want it. It looks
> >brand new and comes with the box and manual so I'm trying to decide
> >if I want it or not. You're right about the size..tiny radio.
> >
> >It seems to get mostly good reviews in the net from what I've seen.
>
> I'd pay $100.00 for one, seems like a good deal.. When they show up
> on E-bay they always run more than $100.00
>
That's what I thought, thanks man.

2353. Most Effective Herbal Treatment For Acne Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:44:48 UTC
From: hutress2222 <newjersylove321@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114778688.226367.270720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199378

Clear skin attracts to every one. Remove pimples and blackheads from your face or any part ofbody with Acne-Care tablets.Acne-Care is a guaranteed herbal cure for acne.By using acne-Care Tablets,acne can betreated successfully in virtually every case.If you want clear,blemish-free skin,Acne-Care is a proven effective treatment for acne removal.Acne needs to be treated as soon as possible.When Acne-Care istaken as directed, you will see results in less than Four weeks.With Acne-Care,persistence pays off!

http://www.herbaalex.com/acne.htm

2354. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:45:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <32b4711ii67um5k1h6tope4hp4mppji93o@4ax.com>
Source record: 199380

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 06:49:08 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <or3471lajgobbev0anqcqap8dk1f12s9si@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>It's not a matter of taking someone to court on criminal charges, it's
>>about the NAL system of enforcement. The evidence may be overwhelming
>>and uncontested, but the procedure is probably unconstitutional as the
>>Supreme Court has suggested in at least one opinion.
>
>"Probably" unconstitutional? How so?

For many of the same reasons Bush's Patriot Act is unconstitutional:
you don't have the right to a fair trial or to contest all evidence;
the accused is not afforded the right to be "presumed innocent until
proven guilty" because guilt is presumed (or, in the words of the FCC,
"liability" is "apparent"); and guilt is determined by the accuser
which is a conflict of interest. Not only that, but the procedure was
concocted without any legal history or precedent -- they just came up
with the idea and made it law. According the the Supreme Court, that's
not "due process of law".

>>So the FCC avoids
>>any constitutional challenge to the NAL, even to the point of settling
>>before it goes to court. You suggested that there is some threshold
>>they use to decide which actions to take, and I would suggest that you
>>are right -- a critera based on the willingness and resourcefulness of
>>the accused to mount a legal challenge against the FCC's NAL system.
>
>If, as you allege, the NAL system is constitutionally flawed, there
>would be far more people challenging FCC NAL's and winning. This goes
>beyond simple citizen's 2-way radio issues. Big guns like Howard Stern
>have been fined by the FCC, and his respective companies forced to
>pay. They can afford some heavy legal muscle. If the NAL system was
>unconstitutional, you would think that these fines would have been
>overturned in a legal battle on that basis. Then, of course, the FCC
>would have to refine their methods if they wish to remain effective in
>enforcement.

"Heavy muscle" costs money, and big businesses make their decisions
based on monetary values, not moral principles. Recent examples are
Proctor & Gamble's decision to pull their commercials from "Queer Eye"
and "Will & Grace", and Bill Gates pulling his support of gay rights
legislation, all because of boycotts by some culturally intolerant
right-wing religious homophobes. The god-squads do not represent the
majority by any means, but they can put a dent in the profits of these

corps who, BTW, will eventually reverse their decisions after the gay rights groups begin their own boycotts. Money, not constitutionality, is the motivating factor behind the decisions of big business.

Back to the topic.... The FCC fines have increased over the years but have never exceeded the cost of mounting a full-scale legal challenge; so the companies just take the hit, pay the fine and kiss FCC butt. I'm sure that someday the FCC will slap someone with an NAL based on a faulty financial assessment of a company and get challenged, but I'm also sure they have a contingency plan in case that ever happens. After all, they have had plenty of time to plan strategies to defend against any possible legal confrontation. But until that day comes, the FCC will continue operating as a rouge government outside the boundries of the Constitution.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2355. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:45:26 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <427339e8.950359@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199381

On 29 Apr 2005 12:44:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 29 Apr 2005 12:16:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
>> >> >
>> >> >The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
>> >>
>> >> Nice radio, I don't have one, but have had a chance to play with them.
>> >>
>> >> Have you seen one? They are really small for the features they have..
>> >>
>> >> Should work for a Nascar receiver?
>> >>
>> >I have this customer that wants to trade an almost brand new one for
>> >the last $100 of a seed job I'm going to do for him. He bought it for
>> >his son as a Christmas present but the kid doesn't want it. It looks
>> >brand new and comes with the box and manual so I'm trying to decide
>> >if I want it or not. You're right about the size..tiny radio.
>> >
>> >It seems to get mostly good reviews in the net from what I've seen.
>>
>> I'd pay $100.00 for one, seems like a good deal.. When they show up
>> on E-bay they always run more than $100.00
>>
>That's what I thought, thanks man.

Hey just think, buy that and then you could by one of Dougs piece of
crap 6 meter antennas...

2356. See the miracles !!!! Repairs skin and eliminate eczema

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 12:46:07 UTC
From: hutress2222 <newjersylove321@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1114778767.669333.276160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199379

Eczemax- There are several different types of eczema,many of which look similar but have very different causes and treatments. The first step in effective treatment of eczema is a correct diagnosis.We are providing herbal treatment for the cure of eczema.All kinds of eczema has been treated successfully.

http://www.herbaalex.com/eczemax.htm

2357. Re: Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 13:03:43 UTC
From: Yooper <cudighiman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <R6qce.26590$c42.13980@fe07.lga>
Source record: 199382

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:nak371hjnmv5q5ji14th303j3cchresmlb@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 19:14:14 -0500, "Yooper" <cudighiman@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <DRece.26251$Jg7.1746@fe03.lga>:
>
> >I've just temp installed a cb in my truck and can't hear anything except
for
> >some guy who says he's in Puerto Rico.
>
>
> Assuming you aren't in Puerto Rico, what you are hearing is probably
> 'skip' -- a radio signal that 'skips' off the ionosphere and back down
> to your antenna.
>
>
> > Needless to say, I haven't had a cb
> >hooked up before. The only way I can hear that guy is if I turn up the
> >squelch. Is there a FAQ where I can get some answers or can I ask on
here?
>
>
> Jim linked a very good FAQ, but feel free to ask any questions to the
> group. Just ignore the trolls and occasional bickering.
>

Thanks for the replies jim and Frank. Off to do some reading.

2358. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 14:11:26 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d4tfaf$7p2$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199383

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:3ee271p8c19gdhtugfhdahb7vs8p3rq8gh@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 09:11:08 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote in <d4qqtsemi1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:nk51719lucoopftj2crs8fp65r9hu5tbsg@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 10:22:40 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
>>> wrote in <d4oao0mkn1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>Most often, even wahat you consider "high end" cards do not have the
>>>>audio
>>>>sheild grounded properly.
>>>
>>>
>>> Very good point. Some cards have shield connectors that are DC
>>> isolated from the chassis to prevent ground loops with other audio
>>> equipment. In such cards the shield is coupled to chassis ground with
>>> caps, but line noise can be a problem (and the cap must be shorted)
>>> because the caps may not large enough to fully shunt low frequencies.
>>> But the caps do shunt RF very well, and if they can keep the local AM
>>> broadcast stations out of the soundcard then the neighbor's legal CB
>>> radio shouldn't be a problem.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>Problem is that they don't do all that well with AM Broadcast. When I was
>>a
>>conglomo radio engineer we had a 50K FM and a 500W AM in a residential
>>area.
>>I would get complaints-o-plenty of the AM coming thru computer speakers,
>>cheapo HT systems and phones.
>
>
> Sounds like we had similar jobs -- do the daily checks, fill out the
> daily reports, then spend the rest of the day making cables, cleaning
> cart machines and waiting for something to break?
>

And spring storms... Arrrgh. Had a ground come loose once and I got the page "Chad oldie's console is on fire!"

That was the worst electrical storm I have ever seen, My tower got hit 53 times in one day. I went thru an ass load of OpAmps that day! I was actually second in command, the CE was corporate, so, often in the spring and summer he was off building stations which put me in charge. It was a great relationship as the Engineering dept was least hasseled and my "boss" the CE and I are best friends.

I'm still over there helping out from time to time. BEs are like farmers, always willing to help each other out, I like that!

>
>> Of course we had to do what we could to
>>eliminate the problem and usually I would pull the sound card, DC ground
>>it
>>with 1 ohm 1/4W resistors and the problem went away. 90% of my problems
>>called in were ratified with proper grounding. Fortunately most of the
>>construction in the area is newer as that tower used to be outside city
>>limits but due to urban sprawl it is no longer.
>>
>>As for my personal soundcards I use pro models with a separate breakout
>>box
>>that's balanced. The other card in that computer and other computers in
>>the
>>house are SB audigy models with the mini jacks removed and XLR whips out
>>in
>>their place. This whip then goes to a breakout box with 6 Jensen
>>transformers in it 4 for output and 2 for input. The mic input is shorted
>>down permanently. I have zero noise problems, if you have an Audigy card
>>it
>>does sound good! You just have to help it out :)
>
>
> I use the Extigy -- it's great because you can locate the box some
> distance away from the noisy computer and ground it directly to the
> mixer.

Yeah, those are great consumer cards! I went with the original audigy because they were dirt cheap at the time and sound good. My big sound card for my mastering system is a MOTU 828mkII. I love it! I recently bought it to upgrade an old Echo LAYLA, still nice but I wanted firewire.
>
> But for complaints to the station, I got them to order a huge box of
> 4" jumpers that have pigtails to the shield. Just plug them into the
> soundcard (or whatever audio equipment is getting the interference),
> screw the pigtail to the chassis, and 'presto' -- problem solved. No
> invasive surgery, and you're out of the house in a matter of minutes.
>

Unfortunately I was across the street from the local community college, so the surrounding apartments had a lot of student housing. The kids would go

home for the summer and convieninetly loose the jumpers. I had some too (jumpers) and would use them for the more established residents but for the kids I would do the solder in to keep from having to come back. I got pretty quick with it so I could be in and out fast. Took longer to explain what I was going to do than do it :)

>
>>The transformers..... When radio engineering and upgrading EAS systems
>>in
>>all the stations I found that all the old receivers that we were throwing
>>out were loaded with Jensen transformers! What a salvage find!!!!!
>
>
> No kidding!
>
>
>> I had
>>many-o-dumpster diving missions, then adopted a Chad's gotta pilfer it
>>pile.
>>Lots of transformers and power supplies were gutted :)
>
>
> Those old Bogen and Rauland paging amps have some pretty sweet
> transformers, too. One of these days we gotta exchange inventory lists
> of our scrounge bins.
>
My bins are slowly deteriorating as I've been out of the loop a while but I have another build this summer I said I would help on :) After that I should be back in action for a while!

My best find was 3 Invonics AM broadcast processors I think they are LVP201's. I was a touring sound engineer and I used one for lead vocal and 2 for squashing the drums. I would have the drums on a VCA and then send them to the "loud drums subgroup" for discretionary use. This would make the drums "louder" without chewing up subwoofers. Great for a drum solo and what it did for vocals was amazing. I got some pretty funny looks from other engineers on the road though :)

I sold two of them to a local studio and now have one at home on my.... u guessed it.... CB:) Talk about loud audio, screw swing, I have NO swing, the way it should be :)

I got those units from a dumpster because they had LED's burnt out, HA!

Chad

2359. Re: Mopathetic still ignoring his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 14:14:22 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <2jrce.26599$c42.21694@fe07.lga>
Source record: 199384

"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1114747746.390131.110870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

>
> Steveo wrote:
>> In mental retardation, mopathetic drooled drooled over the pink
> panties he found in the dog's house:
>>
>> No doubt about it dogie. that fugly mug of your's is SHOCKING!
>
> Where are ya gonna camp, wrist flipper? Come on...make some more
> threats about what yer gonna do to people. Come on, mr tourette's,
> threaten some more. That's all well and good, but if you can't say
> where yer gonna be camping YOU are the sissy boy.
>
>

Hey dogie, you reside in a padded cell, wearing a spiffy
looking straight jacket....so why would you be concerned
about -where- someone might be camping at??? LMAO!

YOU are a quite the silly loon, are you not?!? :))

BTW, How do you type your loony drivel, while being
bound and restricted in that straight jacket??

http://sj.blacksteel.com/padded-cell/Posey03.jpg

2360. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 14:54:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429105422.705$ml@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199385

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 29 Apr 2005 12:44:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 29 Apr 2005 12:16:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
> >> >>
> >> >> Nice radio, I don't have one, but have had a chance to play with
> >> >> them.
> >> >>
> >> >> Have you seen one? They are really small for the features they
> >> >> have..
> >> >>
> >> >> Should work for a Nascar receiver?
> >> >>
> >> >I have this customer that wants to trade an almost brand new one for
> >> >the last $100 of a seed job I'm going to do for him. He bought it for
> >> >his son as a Christmas present but the kid doesn't want it. It looks
> >> >brand new and comes with the box and manual so I'm trying to decide
> >> >if I want it or not. You're right about the size..tiny radio.
> >> >
> >> >It seems to get mostly good reviews in the net from what I've seen.
> >>
> >> I'd pay $100.00 for one, seems like a good deal.. When they show up
> >> on E-bay they always run more than $100.00
> >>
> >That's what I thought, thanks man.
>
> Hey just think, buy that and then you could by one of Dougs piece of
> crap 6 meter antennas...
>
Ha. Dunno if I ever care to transmit on it..copying the mail may be
interesting from time to time tho.
```

2361. Re: Mopathetic still ignoring his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 14:57:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429105733.417$UF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199386

Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote:
> "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1114747746.390131.110870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> In mental retardation, mopathetic drooled drooled over the pink
> > panties he found in the dog's house:
> >>
> >> No doubt about it dogie. that fugly mug of your's is SHOCKING!
> >
> > Where are ya gonna camp, wrist flipper? Come on...make some more
> > threats about what yer gonna do to people. Come on, mr tourette's,
> > threaten some more. That's all well and good, but if you can't say
> > where yer gonna be camping YOU are the sissy boy.
> >
> >
>
> Hey dogie, you reside in a padded cell, wearing a spiffy
> looking straight jacket....so why would you be concerned
> about -where- someone might be camping at??? LMAO!
>
> YOU are a quite the silly loon, are you not?!? :))
>
> BTW, How do you type your loony drivel, while being
> bound and restricted in that straight jacket??
>
> http://sj.blacksteel.com/padded-cell/Posey03.jpg
>
Nice likeness. He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
can hump a cops leg and cry about me.

2362. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 15:14:29 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15053-42724F55-442@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199387

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 11:15:07 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 27 Apr 2005 09:25:36 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

So, you began breaking the law again by returning to the freeband after
you told the group you no longer do so because you "grew up" and are
setting responsible behavior patterns for your daughter by folllowing
the law.
>No, I am not on the freeband. I am active on

>both Ham radio AND CB. Leave it to you to

>draw the wrong conclusion from a statement.

Let's see again what you said...

>I won't try to argue that point as I agree that

>some people conceivably do "return" (or never
>left in the first place) to the CB and freeband.

>I'm still somewhat active on both to this day.

Leave it to you to say something that explicity claims one thing, and
then come back and try and explain what you "really meant" because
you're communiction skills suck in conveying "what you really mean". Gee
Dave, since you're entrance in this group your posts are chock full of
you coming back and telling people what you really meant with your
words..the problem is with you, not the contingency who you need
re-explain yourself..but then again, that's how you are, always blaming
everyone but yourself.

-
Some of those who obtained licenses can
never go back because their voices are too
easily recognized and their hammie "friends"
will report their ass for freebanding.

>I'm not so sure that this is as prevalent as you

>claim.

You're not sure of all kinds of things, so what....only -you- claimed
anything was "prevalent" . You're having difficulties again, Davie.
That's cool...but entertain, for a moment, if you would, the notion you

are subscribing. If you do not believe me, then you mistakenly believe the FCC is actively patrolling the air for violators. This info can be found simply by extolling a little leg work.....but I'm telling you, the FCC does NOT actively patrol the air seeking violations by hammies or cbers.

>Just how do you KNOW that?

I've told you on many occasion how I know this. Just because you can't remember or comprehend such does not mean it merits redundant repeating for your benefit. All you need know is that I know it, just like the laws surrounding the roger beep issue which you did not know. Needing the hows and WHY other people are educated on matters you are not is off-topic and serves only to illustrate you have a great deal difficulty believing anything if you are not already clued in on it ore aware of it and for some odd reason, when others know something you do not, you begin to twitch andget cornfused and your sudden fascination with how others are educated on subjects you are not begins to magically morph into your preferred topic as opposed to the original one.

_
 They end up being
pissed off (then issed upon) hammies.

>You discount the possibility that while exposed
>to ham radio, that many people find respect

>for the rules, and have a change of attitude.

I didn't discount it at all, as I know what you say to be true, but what I say is just as true.
Members belong to both camps.

>Yet you lambast me for my change in attitude.

Never. I cite your double-speak and hypocrisy.
The anger toward such freebanders can be seen in your own posts.

>Despite your many claims, I harbor no "anger".
>Stating facts that doesn't sit well with you, is

>not the same thing as "anger".

Stating facts has you chasing your tail with semantics. You call people criminals with nothing more than your mistaken ignorance that "saying it on usenet is the same as a guilty plea in a court of law".

>An admission of guilt is an admission of guilt.

>This is not a court of law. There is no judge or

>jury. If you admit to partaking in an illegal

>activity, then you did it.

I was the third man on the grassy knoll.

>Anything more is simply a formality.

Your education is shining, David. Another future moment to embrace
regarding your kid's perusing of your posts some day.

_
Although you
have been given the correct information regarding the judicial system,
you continue to mistakenly believe you may publicly refer to one as a
criminal even if they were not convicted in a court of law.

>You do not need to be convicted of an action

>to make the reality of that action known.

There is no reality of any action once the courts find you anything
other than guilty. Why is this so difficult for you?

>You continue to fall back on the flawed

>concept that you're only guilty when caught

>and convicted.

This "flawed concept" you invoke is THE basis for the US justice system.
Just because you take issue with certain laws protecting those from
libel or slander that you would undeservedly and illegallly refer,
does not mean you get to ignore those laws. Again, your hypocrisy is
illustrated brilliantly..

>As if you really are not breaking the law

>because the feds never witnessed you doing

>it.

Stay with me, David,,as you are sinking fast trying desperately again to
misattribute things to othersthat are said only by your lips.

> That's as absurd as the philosophical

>question of whether a tree still makes noise

>when it falls in the forest, and no one was

>there to hear it.

It is, but keep in mind only -you- are putting forth such a position and
it is great that you can now regognize your blather for what it
is..absurd.
-
Several
years ago you were making posts chastising hammies as being too uptight
and uppity and technical who look down on cbers.

>Because in many cases, it's true.

Not "in many cases"..you stated "For the most part" in your post when
you referred to the hammies... using the same sterotyping you just
hypocritically took another to task for employing. .

>So now you're back to your old game of

>obfuscation and semantic word games.

You mean this is where you come back and say you meant something else
and need to explain just what you REALLY meant when you said "for the
most part".

>There are hams who are stuffy and uptight.

>But they are legal. The converse is also true.

>Many outlaw CB'ers feel that they have a right

>to do just what (and where) they please

>without due regard for the rights of anyone

>else.

Same is true with many hammies,

>But they are not here claiming any perceived

>right to do so.

You have it half right,,,"preceived" was finally a correct term, as
-you- are the only one speaking of any "right" to break the law. Come to
think of it, it has always been you falsely accusing cbers of claiming
some "right" to break the law, yet no one is furthering that
position,,except YOU.
_
yet for some reason, you do not voice
your concern for those you hold in higher regards, higher esteem,,,you
know,,those who are supposed to lead by example.

>So, you posit that because there is a certain

>percentage of "bad hams" who break the

>rules, that that gives you a right to do it on the

>freeband?

I posit nothing of the sort. Your piss-poor suppositioning is
responsible for your incoherent assumptions.

> RFI, direct interference, and public nuisance

>issues do not seem to affect them.

"Them" is not limited exclusively to cb users.

>No, but responsible radio operators on either

>service, will cooperate to minimize such

>issues.

Such as many good and decent freebanders. You were taught this long ago when you had your clock cleaned reegarding any interference you claim can come from use of the freeband to other services. This subject took a little clarifying on your behalf, as you couldn't handle the truth that the band parallels the ten meter band in capabilities and conditions leading to any imagined interference you claim. This defeat led to you down the path of of more assumptions on your behalf and the invocation that freebanders are more prone to use dirty amps than ten meter ops. Your off topic desperate ploy signaled your defeat.

> Either behavior is reprehensible, and I've

>defended each respective group when on the

>receiving end of such stereotypical prejudice.

Yet, you continue to employ it yourself.

>Not at all.

Of course, That ever-growing list of regs here that have told you your actions has been hypocritical are all wrong and you are correct.

>Lately it's the hams who are unfairly on the

>receiving end of this prejudice.

Why is it unfair to illustrate the same behavior committed by hammies that you complain about when committed by cbers?

>Because as a matter of percentage, the

>number of law breaking hams compared to

>licensed users, is much smaller than the

>amount of illegal operators compared to legal

>CB'ers.

Going by the numbers provided by the FCC, you are dead wrong.

>Everyone on the freeband is illegal.

Very good, David. Now try and explain away how this translates into your claim that there are A) More users on the freeband than illegal hammie ops and B) supply anyything at all that supports your contention, other than invoking your subjective and incorrect "empirical observation", because when we invoke another of your terms (statistical probability) and employ its methodology (inof supplied by the FCC) you are dead wrong, as such proves just what the feds correctly deem a more serious problem. You have always had a hard-on over the manner in which the feds enforce hammie radio but not cb and it manifests in your heathen-like

behavior among our fine little group of freebanders and cbers, who, for the most part, are better ops than yoursel, as you shit all over the hammie creed based on your personal incorrect judgements, ignorance of the law, and anger over such.

>You don't need fancy equipment to figure it

>out. Mere presence of a station there is all that
>is needed to make the very accurate empirical
>observation.

Such techniques are flawed in your employ, as you are unable to comprehend the correct definition of it. Need shown again where you muffed the term and claimed it was something it wasn't? It would be my pleasure,,really.

>On the other hand, a ham running twice the

>power output on 75 meters would not be

>readily apparent to someone listening as the

>signal would only be 3db stronger.

During your admitted
freebanding and illegal operating years, not
once will you find a post by yourself calling
others names or expressing nosey concern for other people's business
that does not affect
you.

>I still don't.

Dude, you have been calling anyone who disagrees with you names for years, (often accompanied by the obligatory self-excusing your behavior with the now infamous but pathetic and defeated "if the shoe fits" line) but you go on and illustrate your manias via denial.

> I'm not the one trying desperately to find out

>personal information (often

>incorrectly like the name of my wife) about

>other people.

Yes, you were. In fact, you initiated the personal info game with me and everyone knows it. You were told long ago to stay out of the personal, off-topic arena. Once you violate this, you have nothing to say when your initiated behavior is returned.

>.I did nothing of the sort.

Sure you did, Davie..you were told time and time again that your personal comments regardng anyone that posted things you had problems with were off-topic and to remain on topic.

>I attempted no such action against you or

>anyone else. I challenge you to prove

>otherwise. Believe me, if you were that

>important enough to make me want to find out

>information, I would have. And trust me, it

>would not be wrong.

I call your bluff again, David, as I have been doing for years. You're
all talk.

>Also worthy of note is the time you spend

>reviewing my 10 year span of messages to

>this newsgroup. One might consider that as

>bordering on obsession.

One might, but I have a photographic memory.

>Yes, but you weren't around here when those

>beginning posts were first left almost 10 years

>ago. You had to deliberately review them.

Some folks relax by hitting a baseball, some folks relax by playing
checkers....I relax by playing usenet. The particulars are an item and
topic only to yourself.

>Besides, that "photographic memory" of yours

>is flawed, otherwise you would be accusing

>me of things that I never did. Such as claiming
>the case against Doug was "withdrawn", or

>that Keith was somehow involved, or any

>other such accusation you have confused with
>another person (or just plain invented) and

>then tried to attribute to me.

"Anarchy" plus sock puppets = statistical probablity.

_
There,, now there's another
little tidbit of information for you to wallow in. I remember just about
everything, and in most cases you refer, I merely have to type in the
pertinent key words of your past posts and voila!....no time at all
spent other than three clicks right to the passage needed to illustrate
your incorrectness, hypocrisy, and double-talk, and lies.

>Sure, that's why you keep making those

>glaring errors.

The face of your much-tried lies are the errors. Let's revisit the thread where you lost your cool, threatened me, and lied not once, not twice, but every time your threat was mentioned....you have a real problem with that one.

_
However, after having your
clock cleaned in reec.radio.cb by cbers for your oft extended hypocrisy,

>reec (reek?) a freudian slip?

Which speaks volumes of how you think.

>On a higher plain than you? Probably.

Of course, all those people who tried to gently tell you that you suffer a great deal from frequent hypocrisy couldn't possibly be correct, because they are all wrong and you are right. In fact, you are always right and everone else that illustrates your negativty is somehow collectively wrong.

_
That you attribute such behavior (name calling, attacking those who merely dx or freeband) to "growing up", illustrates the fact you were an incredible late bloomer and extremely slow learner who hasn't fully matured yet, as your behavior continued well in to your thirties.

>Well, then if I'm still "growing up", then you

>have yet to start because you are still

>engaged in that illegal behavior.

I'm not the one that made the farcical connection between the two...again -you- did.

>And even when corrected, I'm sure you'll still

>come back sometime in the future and claim

>that I "admitted" to still actively freebanding.

So now you are saying you never did?
Gee Dave, you're really tweaked. I apologize if I am rendering you completely incoherent, but such happens with malicious liars not unlike yourself.

_
In fact, you still are
illegal and have no right to say anything to anyone,

>I assume you have some proof of this? Yea I

>know, you have tons of proof, but you're not

>about to post it.

No Dave, that isn't the standard reply, but I'll
remind you since you struggle with memory impairment. You initiated
unsolicited claims,,many of them. When challenged for proof, you
declined for personal reasons. You are still free to provide proof for
any of your unasnwered claims, and then, as proper decorum and
communicative technique dictates, will have your inquiries answered with
proof in turn.

>Like I said........ You have no proof.

And like I said,,,you lie. About everything.

–
as for starters, the address you provided the FCC is not your primary
residence and the fact that you fail to correct this matter with the FCC
even after being informed you are illegal, leaves you no credibility
with anything you may say regarding other's actions.

>You really, REALLY need to go back to

>whatever source of information gathering you

>use and either fire them, demand your money

>back, or something. Because, quite frankly,

>you are embarrassing yourself every time you

>make these erroneous claims. My listed

>address in the FCC database is exactly my

>primary (only) residence. I am doing nothing

>illegal.

>So the real question is, Who do you think I

>really am (today)? What do you think is my

>"real" address?

Not concerned with your personal world, Dave,,that;s reserved for you to
cause yourself great pains concerning others.

>You are the one making the personal claims.

I toss things back over the sharp fence post upon which you sit.

>It

>obviously concerns you. Your words say one

>thing, but your actions betray your true

>motivations.

There's that crystal ball again. Tell me, oh delusional seer of all,
these motivations only you see expressed in my entrance to the group.

>The only thing I can figure is that the

>commonality of my name (Next after Smith

>and Jones), has you so confused, that you

>believe I'm someone different than who I

>actually am (There are 3 Dave Hall's in my

>company's phone directory, talk about

>confusion).

Agreed. Perhaps you can explain how you mistakenly feel your unsolicited but invoked claim regarding a company directory relates to anything,

>It's an example of just how common my name

>is.

Your name is nothing. Your license plate was confirmation. Wake up, David. You're not up to this type game.

>It's the ultimate irony for someone like you,

>who craves anonymity.

There goes your self-projection and problems with cb..lol.

>You go to great pains to hide who you are,

>while I gladly reveal my name.

Not only did I offer to meet with you even after your direct threat, I offered to post pics of me in this group at the designated place on the designated day with the day's newspaper date visible to prove I was there after you cowardly tried to say I wouldn't show after YOUR great pains and threats to find ME. Get over yourself. You're all bullshit.

>Yet even when armed with that information,

>your efforts to seek further information about

>me have proved to be dismal failures. When

>you have a name as common as mine, you

>really can hide in plain sight. Perhaps now you
>will finally "get it".....

> The fact that my phone number is unlisted

>removes me from many people searches.

You go on thinking that.

>Oh so you have looked? Call me sometime

>then big boy......

You had your chance, tough guy, and ran like the yellow cur you are.

> That also explains why you keep insisting that
>my wife's name is "Kimberly

>T. Hall", and that she's a "teacher".

She may not teach now, but she tried to at least once.

>Yea, ok, whatever you say.

Hahahah,,it's not what I say, its what SHE said.
No wonder your dithering blather gives way to nervous paranoia.

>In

>conclusion, you continue to trust unreliable

>sources which provide you erroneous

>information and then accuse others of things

>.which are incorrect.

Gee Dave,,,when someone emails me your webpage to alert me to the fact
that your license plate was visible in the picture, and the address the
plate traced to doesn't match the one you provided to the FCC as your
personal address, you may have to explain those discrepancies some day.

>It is not my credibility that

>is in question here, it's yours.

>But wait? Wasn't it you who just accused ME

>of trying to obtain people's personal

>information?

It was also myself that instructed you years ago that off-topic personal
information is not relevant to these pages,

>Yet here you are demonstrating to the world

>just how much effort you go through to do just

>that when you make personal information

>comments about other people. And lest you

>try to use the excuse that it's my fault, how

>.many people have you accused Frank of

>being over the years?

And how many people have you hurled unsolicited claims regaridng your education to, but fail to provide when asked? IOW, your regurgitations are important enough, according to you, to invoke, but not provide for....now THAT is the Davie we have all come to know and remember as hypocritical, cowardy, and a liar.

>And you call me hypocritical. Sheesh.......

So does a growing list of regs in here.
But nothing hypocitiical at all about giving you back your initiated behavior.

>Bad behavior is inexcusable regardless of who
>started it.

You would be amazed at how much would evaporate once you cease initiating it, but you are unable, as you lack self-control and emotional stability, whcih leads you to find fault with everyone you disagree and go off on off-topic tangents regarding self-invoked unsolicited and always unsubstantiated claims
about others.

> When you were growing up and in school, it

>didn't matter who started the fight, you BOTH

>got suspended. Both are equally culpable.

Ummm..no. When I was going to school, we didn't get suspended for fighting, Dave. Fights were so common where I went to school that the only thing that would get one suspended was hitting a staff member, drugs, or possession of a weapon.

>Using the excuse; "Well, he started it!" is truly

>feeble and a poor justification for your own

>foibles.

The same justification you set forward regarding the invasion of Afghanistan,,then,,,Iraq. Again, your hypocrisy brilliantly illustrated when you are held to your own bullshit...ha..I love it,,,what better way to begin my workweek(end)?

–
 In fact, you were warned on many occasion that this is what your initiated behavior would degrade to.

>But I never claimed or threatened to find out

>personal information about anyone.

You did, Dave, and continue to do it to all you disagree in the form of unsolicited claims regarding your education and your imagined and oft-hallucinations and fancying yourself schooled in medical diagnoses. But, again, if the information is
incorrect, ignore it and toss it out the window...but for some obvious

reasons, you chose to bitch about it.

>Only to illustrate your obvious hypocrisy

Tel us again the last exchange we had after you threatened to come down here and give me something.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2363. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 15:16:10 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15053-42724FBA-443@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199389

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 12:04:46 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
(You waste your time, attempting to show logic
to Dave, he is obivously a ham or "ham
groupie."
He is just here to stop any progressive
changes--write your congressmen!!! Anyway,
whether he does what he does or not--the
winds of change begin to blow....
Regards,
John)

Dave is capable of giving good entertainment when he remains cool, stays
on topic, and steers clear of emotional outbursts.

>Why thank you! That's the closest thing to a

>compliment that I've seen in a while. Now how
>'bout a big hug?

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

I'll settle for staying on topic in the future. Maybe it can even lead
to a Fresca some day.

2364. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 15:17:32 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15053-4272500C-444@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199388

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
(I am afraid Dave is not even good entertainment... more of a
boring/repetitive slug...
He reminds me of an old woman with nothing better to do than harass
anyone in disagreement with them.
a Chihuahua, nipping at ones ankles--best ignored.
However, when not here, he is most likely in his mobile, pursuing
truckers (complete with a rotating caution light on his trunk and
wearing a bunch of pseudo-official badges, patches and ball caps
purchased at ham fests), I suppose it is better to have him here than
out annoying the truckers, at least they can get some honest work done
then! <grin>
Regards,
John)

-

Well, when he is here he isn't on the air, at least.

2365. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 15:20:05 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15053-427250A5-445@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199390

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:1918-4270FF3E-
202@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>LOL there he goes again accusing innocent

>people. why not come to my house pussy boy

>Ill pay the gas

Off you go now,,disappear for a week and reappear the day Dave Hall
comes back, why don't you :)

>get your stories straight tipsy you said I was

>dave hall a few months ago then reniged, and

>stevoe says I am chris busch... you both

>havent the foggiest do you.

What scares the living hell out of you, is one of us is correct.

2366. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 15:39:04 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <iij471h837f8e4lust7pbeniubtvekr9no@4ax.com>
Source record: 199391

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 05:45:19 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 06:49:08 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <or3471lajgobbev0anqcqap8dk1f12s9si@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>It's not a matter of taking someone to court on criminal charges, it's
>>>about the NAL system of enforcement. The evidence may be overwhelming
>>>and uncontested, but the procedure is probably unconstitutional as the
>>>Supreme Court has suggested in at least one opinion.
>>
>>"Probably" unconstitutional? How so?
>
>
>For many of the same reasons Bush's Patriot Act is unconstitutional:
>you don't have the right to a fair trial or to contest all evidence;
>the accused is not afforded the right to be "presumed innocent until
>proven guilty" because guilt is presumed (or, in the words of the FCC,
>"liability" is "apparent"); and guilt is determined by the accuser
>which is a conflict of interest.

It's not much different than getting a speeding ticket. You are
presumed guilty by virtue of the citation, and have to go to court to
prove innocence, if you are so inclined. Nothing unusual about that.

>Not only that, but the procedure was
>concocted without any legal history or precedent -- they just came up
>with the idea and made it law.

The idea that every law has to have "legal history" is ludicrous. At
some point in history a precedent has to be set based on little more
than circumstances. Laws which deal with technology which did not
exist 200+ years ago, cannot have much history. There has to be a
definite beginning.

>According the the Supreme Court, that's
>not "due process of law".

Not in the sense of a criminal court. But then again, neither would
the handling of summary offenses such as littering or speeding.

>>>So the FCC avoids
>>>any constitutional challenge to the NAL, even to the point of settling
>>>before it goes to court. You suggested that there is some threshold
>>>they use to decide which actions to take, and I would suggest that you

>>>are right -- a critera based on the willingness and resourcefulness of
>>>the accused to mount a legal challenge against the FCC's NAL system.
>>
>>If, as you allege, the NAL system is constitutionally flawed, there
>>would be far more people challenging FCC NAL's and winning. This goes
>>beyond simple citizen's 2-way radio issues. Big guns like Howard Stern
>>have been fined by the FCC, and his respective companies forced to
>>pay. They can afford some heavy legal muscle. If the NAL system was
>>unconstitutional, you would think that these fines would have been
>>overturned in a legal battle on that basis. Then, of course, the FCC
>>would have to refine their methods if they wish to remain effective in
>>enforcement.
>
>
>"Heavy muscle" costs money, and big businesses make their decisions
>based on monetary values, not moral principles.

That may be true, but at some point, it becomes cheaper to fight the
charge than to continually pay fines as was the case with Stern.

> Recent examples are
>Proctor & Gamble's decision to pull their commercials from "Queer Eye"
>and "Will & Grace", and Bill Gates pulling his support of gay rights
>legislation, all because of boycotts by some culturally intolerant
>right-wing religious homophobes.

What you call a "right wing homophobe", others might call correcting
blind acceptance of abhorrent behavior. You open that door, and it
becomes only a matter of subjective degree as increasingly more
decadent behavior is attempted to be justified by those who partake in
it.

> The god-squads do not represent the
>majority by any means, but they can put a dent in the profits of these
>corps who, BTW, will eventually reverse their decisions after the gay
>rights groups begin their own boycotts.

Most businesses don't care what they think. The most vocal of these
activists do not represent significant buying power. And, as you
pointed out so accurately, it's all about money.

> Money, not constitutionality,
>is the motivating factor behind the decisions of big business.

True in most cases.

>Back to the topic.... The FCC fines have increased over the years but
>have never exceeded the cost of mounting a full-scale legal challenge;

I'm not so sure of that. But if it is true, that only serves to
illustrate just how lawyers have corralled the legal field beyond the
means of anyone who wishes to challenge perceived unfair practices.

>so the companies just take the hit, pay the fine and kiss FCC butt.
>I'm sure that someday the FCC will slap someone with an NAL based on a
>faulty financial assessment of a company and get challenged, but I'm
>also sure they have a contingency plan in case that ever happens.

Are you implying that the FCC only contemplates fining those who they
feel cannot mount a successful legal challenge? I'm not sure I buy
into this level of conspiratorial thought.

>After all, they have had plenty of time to plan strategies to defend
>against any possible legal confrontation. But until that day comes,
>the FCC will continue operating as a rouge government outside the
>boundries of the Constitution.

The Constitution does not specifically define many agencies and
policies in the federal government, such as the NSA, black ops, Area
51etc.. The Constitution could not possibly foresee the need for many
of them, including the FCC. The creation of the FCC is perfectly
legal, as it falls under the discretion of the congress, even if you
may disagree with their tactics.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2367. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 15:40:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429114053.591$KT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199392

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:1918-4270FF3E-
> 202@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> >LOL there he goes again accusing innocent
>
> >people. why not come to my house pussy boy
>
> >Ill pay the gas
>
> Off you go now,,disappear for a week and reappear the day Dave Hall
> comes back, why don't you :)
>
> >get your stories straight tipsy you said I was
>
> >dave hall a few months ago then reniged, and
>
> >stevoe says I am chris busch... you both
>
> >havent the foggiest do you.
>
> What scares the living hell out of you, is one of us is correct.
>
Bagged and tagged. ;)

2368. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 15:55:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <MNsce.39$jw7.2622587@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199393

Yes, and we have great laws which protect us from unthinkable evils!!!
1) Can't spit on sidewalk...
2) Can't be naked in public...
3) Can't use profane language in public...
4) No urninating on public lands.. (but, sometimes I just can't hold it!)
5) No fishing without a licence...
6) No hunting without a licence...
7) No using the ham bands without a licence...

Now, the bleeding heart liberals are going to cry when we start executing
people for violating these laws, but, YOU MUST HAVE ORDER!!!!

John

2369. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 15:57:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sPsce.40$wH7.2670700@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199394

Yes, and he IS HERE A LOT!!!
Even his fellow hams are about as anxious to be anonyed by him as we
are--or, at least it seems that way...

Regards,
John

2370. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 16:26:58 UTC
From: John Smith <johnsmith1234@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114987398.0119ae814b636ca1b10f5aa370a8e4ee@teranews>
Source record: 199483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was HAM radios, sent as
emergency communications... the CB's had a very secondary part...
now, if we could just teach CB'ers to speak english they might be of
more value <grin>

On Sun, 1 May 2005 13:55:30 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
>emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now, with
>all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should vastly
>improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
>

2371. Re: antenna engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 16:54:51 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <VaidnWq9q9x9--_fRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 199395

Your right Lancer, the others does not have the Brains to figure it out.

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:42743155.76758015@news.easynews.com...
> On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 21:16:39 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
>>John wrote:
>>> I would apply but its too far to move, I hate Texas.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> <johnllaneta@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1114627460.914849.293960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> CalAmp is a leading provider of comprehensive breakthrough
>>> communications access and content delivery solutions, services, and
>>> products.
>>>
>>> We have an exciting opportunity for an experienced Antenna Engineer in
>>> Oxnard, CA.
>>>
>>> Description:
>>> The ideal candidate will possess a wide range of antenna engineering
>>> capabilities ranging from high-level research and development to full
>>> product development. The successful candidate must be able to create
>>> innovative solutions for ultra high volume and ultra low cost products,
>>> as well as have an open mind for new fields and designs. This person
>>> will work with minimal guidance and support
>>>
>>> Essential Qualifications:
>>>
>>> • Design of millimeter wave (Ku, K, Ka) antennas and components such
>>> as horn antennas (corrugated and non), reflector antennas, patch
>>> antennas (microstrip), OMT's, polarizers, waveguide hybrids and
>>> couplers.
>>> • Intimate knowledge of simulation tools, such as HFSS, TICRA, and
>>> ensemble.
>>> • Hands on capability of building, testing and fine tuning antennas
>>> during prototype and early production stages.
>>> • Must be proficient in measuring techniques such as Far-Field, near
>>> field ranges, using lab test equipment such as spectrum and network
>>> analyzers.

>>> • Experience in interacting with mechanical engineers, technicians,
>>> and production personnel during the full product life cycle.
>>>
>>> Frequency Range Experience:
>>>
>>> • Ku band
>>> • K Band
>>> • K (10-15GHz, 17-26.5GHz, 30GHz)
>>> • L band (1.5GHz)
>>> • 2.4GHz
>>> • 5.8GHz
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Please submit your resume to mailto:resumes@calamp.com
>>> Please use reference code: 25000633 - ANTENG in the subject line.
>>>
>>>
>>> Equal employment opportunity is basic to our hiring and employment
>>> philosophy. Our company believes work results are what count,
>>> regardless of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin, or
>>> disability. The company seeks to give all qualified veterans of
>>> military service full consideration for employment.
>>>
>>>
>>They wouldn't have you as an employee anyhoo considering you cant read
>>the post saying the job is in Oxnard Ca and relating it to be in Texas.
>
> Nah, I think he meant he is Texas and doesn't like it, but California
> is to far to move too..

2372. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 16:55:29 UTC
From: John Smith <johnsmith1234@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114989096.9097ee76ec7229a0ed6d0b25e471121c@teranews>
Source record: 199484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NO! Channel 9 was abandoned because childish CB'ers were to busy
trashing Channel 9 just like they have done to all the channels.

Damn dumbass childish CB'ers keep trying to rewrite history!!!!

On Sun, 1 May 2005 13:52:33 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>NO! Channel 9 was abandoned for the cell phone--but, I assume many cells
>will be down in an emergency--then you will see the object replaced the by
>the cell phone--re-emerge, and that is/was NOT ham radio, it WAS CB...
>Damn hams keep re-writing history!!!!
>
>Regards,
>John

2373. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 18:01:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429140102.840$7Y@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199396

truth@truth.com wrote:
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > > On 29 Apr 2005 12:44:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > > >> On 29 Apr 2005 12:16:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > >> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > > >> >> On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > >> >> wrote:
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> >Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> >The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> Nice radio, I don't have one, but have had a chance to play
> > > >> >> with them.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> Have you seen one? They are really small for the features they
> > > >> >> have..
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> Should work for a Nascar receiver?
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> >I have this customer that wants to trade an almost brand new one
> > > >> >> >for the last $100 of a seed job I'm going to do for him. He
> > > >> >> >bought it for his son as a Christmas present but the kid doesn't
> > > >> >> >want it. It looks brand new and comes with the box and manual so
> > > >> >> >I'm trying to decide if I want it or not. You're right about the
> > > >> >> >size..tiny radio.
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> >It seems to get mostly good reviews in the net from what I've
> > > >> >> >seen.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> I'd pay $100.00 for one, seems like a good deal.. When they show
> > > >> >> up on E-bay they always run more than $100.00
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> >That's what I thought, thanks man.
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Hey just think, buy that and then you could by one of Dougs piece of
> > > >> > crap 6 meter antennas...
> > > >> >
> > > >> Ha. Dunno if I ever care to transmit on it..copying the mail may be
> > > >> interesting from time to time tho.

>
> You are already bootlegging on 10 and 11 meters,
>
I've never transmitted on 10 meters.
>
> so why
> not do it on VHF?
>
Cuz I don't want to talk to assholes like you.

2374. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 20:13:39 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4272a26b.27705109@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199397

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 20:00:01 GMT, Justín Käse
<chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:

>In Message-ID:<42732feb.76396578@news.easynews.com> posted on Fri, 29
>Apr 2005 12:09:10 GMT, Lancer wrote:
>
>>On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
>>>
>>>The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
>>
>>Nice radio, I don't have one, but have had a chance to play with them.
>>
>>Have you seen one? They are really small for the features they have..
>>
>>Should work for a Nascar receiver?
>
>Sure, and you can even talk back to them too. <g>

Now that might be fun...I bet you could hack a lot of people off...

2375. make tons of fast cash through paypal!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 21:48:01 UTC
From: frankieg <frankkg123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114811280.962888.35600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199398

COPY THIS EMAIL AND MAKE A FEW $1000 BY THIS WEEKEND
THIS IS FUN!! THIS IS WORKING GUYS!! DO THIS!!
DO IT RIGHT NOW AND HAVE SOME CASH FOR THIS
WEEKEND!!!!!!!
THE REASON IT WORKS IS BECAUSE THERE ARE ONLY 2
NAMES ON THE LIST. VERY VERY FAST MONEY & ABOUT 80%
RESPONSE!!
Follow the directions below and by the weekend you'll
have a few $1000 to spend. Why? Because most people
respond due to low investment and high profit
potential.
Just donate ten dollars ($10.00) to one (1) person.
That`s it, thats all you have to do!!!!
Now let me tell the simple details. Log into your
PayPal
account, and send the first (1st) person`s e-mail on
the list a $10.00 donation.
If you don`t have Paypal then sign up as a member, you
get $5 just for doing that!!! Paypal is the fastest
way to receive money worldwide.
Click Here:
https://www.paypal.com/row/mrb/pal=HBXZV79M4EQLA
Once you sign up continue reading....
Log in to Paypal.
Click on "send money"
PayPal will ask you to select type, select: service,
and put $10.00 donation for the subject.
Remember, only the first person on the list gets your
$10.00 donation. Then remove that person`s name/e-mail
from the list, and move the person in position 2 into
Position One. Add your name and e-mail to Position
two.
After you have retyped the names in the new order,
send to as many people as possible as fast as
possible!!
The quicker you forward this on the quicker we all
make money!!!!
That`s all there is to it. When your name reaches the
first position in about 2 days (or less if you act
right now), it will be your turn to receive donations!
They will be sent to you by approximately two to three
hundred people like yourself who are willing to invest
$10.00 to receive a few $1000. What are you waiting
for ..... do this now and have some spending money

for this weekend!
POSITION 1: macandcheese123...@yahoo.com
POSITION 2: directmo...@gmail.com
Integrity and honesty make this plan work. At this
time
participants have been receiving an 80% return!
80%!!!!!!!!
The majority have received about $2000 to $3000 so
far.
What could you buy this weekend with all that cash??
.....
This is not a chain letter. You can refer to title 18,
Section 1302 of the Postal and Lottery Code.
Remember - TIME is of the essence! Forward this to as
many people as you can as quickly as possible ....
lets
make some money!!!!!
Have a Great Day and Take Care
P.S. I am in the same SAFELIST group as you. This is not SPAM.
Thank you
for
considering my offer. Click here to get started now!
https://www.paypal.com/row/mrb/pal=HBXZV79M4EQLA

You are receieving this e-mail for one or the other reasons below:
- You are in our Opt-in list
- You have requested the information
- Your friends/others requested the details by using your e-mail ID
- Your e-mail ID s in the mailing-list we purchased
- Your e-mail ID is found in the FFA-pages

2376. get your paypal account to flood with money!!!!!!!!! 100% LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 21:48:45 UTC
From: frankieg <frankkg123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114811325.010372.74360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199399

COPY THIS EMAIL AND MAKE A FEW $1000 BY THIS WEEKEND
THIS IS FUN!! THIS IS WORKING GUYS!! DO THIS!!
DO IT RIGHT NOW AND HAVE SOME CASH FOR THIS
WEEKEND!!!!!!!
THE REASON IT WORKS IS BECAUSE THERE ARE ONLY 2
NAMES ON THE LIST. VERY VERY FAST MONEY & ABOUT 80%
RESPONSE!!
Follow the directions below and by the weekend you'll
have a few $1000 to spend. Why? Because most people
respond due to low investment and high profit
potential.
Just donate ten dollars ($10.00) to one (1) person.
That`s it, thats all you have to do!!!!
Now let me tell the simple details. Log into your
PayPal
account, and send the first (1st) person`s e-mail on
the list a $10.00 donation.
If you don`t have Paypal then sign up as a member, you
get $5 just for doing that!!! Paypal is the fastest
way to receive money worldwide.
Click Here:
https://www.paypal.com/row/mrb/pal=HBXZV79M4EQLA
Once you sign up continue reading....
Log in to Paypal.
Click on "send money"
PayPal will ask you to select type, select: service,
and put $10.00 donation for the subject.
Remember, only the first person on the list gets your
$10.00 donation. Then remove that person`s name/e-mail
from the list, and move the person in position 2 into
Position One. Add your name and e-mail to Position
two.
After you have retyped the names in the new order,
send to as many people as possible as fast as
possible!!
The quicker you forward this on the quicker we all
make money!!!!
That`s all there is to it. When your name reaches the
first position in about 2 days (or less if you act
right now), it will be your turn to receive donations!
They will be sent to you by approximately two to three
hundred people like yourself who are willing to invest
$10.00 to receive a few $1000. What are you waiting
for ..... do this now and have some spending money

for this weekend!
POSITION 1: macandcheese123...@yahoo.com
POSITION 2: directmo...@gmail.com
Integrity and honesty make this plan work. At this
time
participants have been receiving an 80% return!
80%!!!!!!!!
The majority have received about $2000 to $3000 so
far.
What could you buy this weekend with all that cash??
.....
This is not a chain letter. You can refer to title 18,
Section 1302 of the Postal and Lottery Code.
Remember - TIME is of the essence! Forward this to as
many people as you can as quickly as possible ....
lets
make some money!!!!!
Have a Great Day and Take Care
P.S. I am in the same SAFELIST group as you. This is not SPAM.
Thank you
for
considering my offer. Click here to get started now!
https://www.paypal.com/row/mrb/pal=HBXZV79M4EQLA

You are receieving this e-mail for one or the other reasons below:
- You are in our Opt-in list
- You have requested the information
- Your friends/others requested the details by using your e-mail ID
- Your e-mail ID s in the mailing-list we purchased
- Your e-mail ID is found in the FFA-pages

2377. MAKE A SMALL INVESTMENT THAT WILL PAY OFF WITH 1000 OR MORE DOLLARS IN YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 21:49:37 UTC
From: frankieg <frankkg123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114811377.084776.77500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199400

COPY THIS EMAIL AND MAKE A FEW $1000 BY THIS WEEKEND
THIS IS FUN!! THIS IS WORKING GUYS!! DO THIS!!
DO IT RIGHT NOW AND HAVE SOME CASH FOR THIS
WEEKEND!!!!!!!
THE REASON IT WORKS IS BECAUSE THERE ARE ONLY 2
NAMES ON THE LIST. VERY VERY FAST MONEY & ABOUT 80%
RESPONSE!!
Follow the directions below and by the weekend you'll
have a few $1000 to spend. Why? Because most people
respond due to low investment and high profit
potential.
Just donate ten dollars ($10.00) to one (1) person.
That`s it, thats all you have to do!!!!
Now let me tell the simple details. Log into your
PayPal
account, and send the first (1st) person`s e-mail on
the list a $10.00 donation.
If you don`t have Paypal then sign up as a member, you
get $5 just for doing that!!! Paypal is the fastest
way to receive money worldwide.
Click Here:
https://www.paypal.com/row/mrb/pal=HBXZV79M4EQLA
Once you sign up continue reading....
Log in to Paypal.
Click on "send money"
PayPal will ask you to select type, select: service,
and put $10.00 donation for the subject.
Remember, only the first person on the list gets your
$10.00 donation. Then remove that person`s name/e-mail
from the list, and move the person in position 2 into
Position One. Add your name and e-mail to Position
two.
After you have retyped the names in the new order,
send to as many people as possible as fast as
possible!!
The quicker you forward this on the quicker we all
make money!!!!
That`s all there is to it. When your name reaches the
first position in about 2 days (or less if you act
right now), it will be your turn to receive donations!
They will be sent to you by approximately two to three
hundred people like yourself who are willing to invest
$10.00 to receive a few $1000. What are you waiting

for ..... do this now and have some spending money
for this weekend!
POSITION 1: macandcheese123...@yahoo.com
POSITION 2: directmo...@gmail.com
Integrity and honesty make this plan work. At this
time
participants have been receiving an 80% return!
80%!!!!!!!!
The majority have received about $2000 to $3000 so
far.
What could you buy this weekend with all that cash??
.....
This is not a chain letter. You can refer to title 18,
Section 1302 of the Postal and Lottery Code.
Remember - TIME is of the essence! Forward this to as
many people as you can as quickly as possible ....
lets
make some money!!!!!
Have a Great Day and Take Care
P.S. I am in the same SAFELIST group as you. This is not SPAM.
Thank you
for
considering my offer. Click here to get started now!
https://www.paypal.com/row/mrb/pal=HBXZV79M4EQLA
_____
You are receieving this e-mail for one or the other reasons below:
- You are in our Opt-in list
- You have requested the information
- Your friends/others requested the details by using your e-mail ID
- Your e-mail ID s in the mailing-list we purchased
- Your e-mail ID is found in the FFA-pages

2378. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 21:58:50 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <u6yce.1167780$6l.176834@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 199401
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

if they could, who wouldn't? (sell sis' used tampons for profit)

--
73
Tom
VA7FAB

2379. FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 40 --ONE DAY LEFT / and ARGONNE NEW CRYSTAL ELEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-29 23:44:39 UTC
From: LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <uFzce.2356$o32.879@fe09.lga>
Source record: 199402

I'm listing this for a friend.

Dyna-Com 40

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5769800012
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5769800012&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

Argonne Crystal microphone elements, NEW

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48707&item=5769860057
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48707&item=5769860057&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

2380. Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 00:06:57 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114819617.294995.238350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199403

mopathetic whined:
> >
> Nice likeness. He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
> can hump a cops leg and cry about me.

Bullcrap. We want it so we can introduce ourselves. You said before you
wanted us to show up. You chickenboy of a urinal cake sniffer, you.

2381. Mopathetic is telling lies and whining cowardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 00:10:35 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114819835.221774.251830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199404

mopathetic whined like the PONKAZ she is:
"He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
can hump a cops leg and cry about me."

Wrong toilet licker. We want to introduce ourselves. You are just
afraid of the AKC, so you have to make up some BS as covering for your
quivering.

Uhl whine and whine like that cuz you dont want to be shown up as a
queenboy, but you are one.

2382. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 00:43:21 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_vAce.101$No2.5135466@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199413
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, I quite well know, honor, dignity, conducting ones-self to inspire
respect, and moral fiber are rare... <frown>
Still, there are a few left...

Regards,
John

2383. Re: Mopathetic is telling lies and whining cowardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 00:46:25 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <BzAce.31462$AE6.17344@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199405

"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114819835.221774.251830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> mopathetic whined like the PONKAZ she is:
> "He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
> can hump a cops leg and cry about me."
>
> Wrong toilet licker. We want to introduce ourselves. You are just
> afraid of the AKC, so you have to make up some BS as covering for your
> quivering.
>
> Uhl whine and whine like that cuz you dont want to be shown up as a
> queenboy, but you are one.
>

Oh Gawd! Afraid of what? A demented loser who likes to pretend he is the AKC? And to beat all, Doug doesn't care if his children are cared for, but has a hardon for anyone who violates any CB rules and chases them to no end on the Internet, all the while ignoring court ordered specifics to obtain psychological treatment and find employment.

2384. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 00:48:15 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jBAce.31466$AE6.29068@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199406

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns9647C06A3648D1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:15053-427250A5-
> 445@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:1918-4270FF3E-
>> 202@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:
>> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>>>LOL there he goes again accusing innocent
>>
>>>people. why not come to my house pussy boy
>>
>>>Ill pay the gas
>>
>> Off you go now,,disappear for a week and reappear the day Dave Hall
>> comes back, why don't you :)
>>
>>>get your stories straight tipsy you said I was
>>
>>>dave hall a few months ago then reniged, and
>>
>>>stevoe says I am chris busch... you both
>>
>>>havent the foggiest do you.
>>
>> What scares the living hell out of you, is one of us is correct.
>
> You keep telling yourselves that, like you have if you keep guessing one
> day you may be right so far you guys are in left field and I truely mean
> that.

But then again, who really gives a shit? BTW, was my ex any good?

2385. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 01:48:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fto57151rk1ev81i5ih1rkq1424tm5hhv4@4ax.com>
Source record: 199407

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 09:11:26 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote in <d4tfaf$7p2$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:

<snip>
>> Sounds like we had similar jobs -- do the daily checks, fill out the
>> daily reports, then spend the rest of the day making cables, cleaning
>> cart machines and waiting for something to break?
>>
>
>And spring storms... Arrrgh. Had a ground come loose once and I got the
>page "Chad oldie's console is on fire!"
>
>That was the worst electrical storm I have ever seen, My tower got hit 53
>times in one day. I went thru an ass load of OpAmps that day! I was
>actually second in command, the CE was corporate, so, often in the spring
>and summer he was off building stations which put me in charge. It was a
>great relationship as the Engineering dept was least hasseled and my "boss"
>the CE and I are best friends.
>
>I'm still over there helping out from time to time. BEs are like farmers,
>always willing to help each other out, I like that!

I know what you mean. A couple months ago they asked me if I wanted to
change the bulbs in the tower and I did -- the view is incredible if
you don't mind all the bird shit.

<snip>
>> I use the Extigy -- it's great because you can locate the box some
>> distance away from the noisy computer and ground it directly to the
>> mixer.
>
>
>Yeah, those are great consumer cards! I went with the original audigy
>because they were dirt cheap at the time and sound good. My big sound card
>for my mastering system is a MOTU 828mkII. I love it! I recently bought it
>to upgrade an old Echo LAYLA, still nice but I wanted firewire.

The LAYLA? Heck, that's almost as old as my Tropez!

>> But for complaints to the station, I got them to order a huge box of
>> 4" jumpers that have pigtails to the shield. Just plug them into the
>> soundcard (or whatever audio equipment is getting the interference),
>> screw the pigtail to the chassis, and 'presto' -- problem solved. No
>> invasive surgery, and you're out of the house in a matter of minutes.
>>
>

>Unfortunately I was across the street from the local community college, so
>the surrounding apartments had a lot of student housing. The kids would go
>home for the summer and convieninetly loose the jumpers. I had some too
>(jumpers) and would use them for the more established residents but for the
>kids I would do the solder in to keep from having to come back. I got
>pretty quick with it so I could be in and out fast. Took longer to explain
>what I was going to do than do it :)

I had a different problem -- half of this town is retired so I usually
got stuck being the geriatric-therapist-du-jour.

<snip>
>> .....One of these days we gotta exchange inventory lists
>> of our scrounge bins.
>>
>My bins are slowly deteriorating as I've been out of the loop a while but I
>have another build this summer I said I would help on :) After that I should
>be back in action for a while!
>
>My best find was 3 Invonics AM broadcast processors I think they are
>LVP201's. I was a touring sound engineer and I used one for lead vocal and 2
>for squashing the drums. I would have the drums on a VCA and then send them
>to the "loud drums subgroup" for discretionary use. This would make the
>drums "louder" without chewing up subwoofers. Great for a drum solo and
>what it did for vocals was amazing. I got some pretty funny looks from
>other engineers on the road though :)

Hey, ya use what ya got. Nowdays if the band is mobile it's a lot
easier on the vertebrae to just get a Behringer with all the bells and
whistles. They are a little expensive but a lot easier than lugging
around an effects rack.

>I sold two of them to a local studio and now have one at home on my.... u
>guessed it.... CB:) Talk about loud audio, screw swing, I have NO swing,
>the way it should be :)
>
>I got those units from a dumpster because they had LED's burnt out, HA!

That sounds about right. You can talk some of these stations out of
just about anything by telling them they forgot to change the oil.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2386. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 01:52:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dvo571hv90ttto97e2hiavdv4aav6flgi8@4ax.com>
Source record: 199408

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 11:39:04 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <iij471h837f8e4lust7pbeniubtvekr9no@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 05:45:19 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 06:49:08 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <or3471lajgobbev0anqcqap8dk1f12s9si@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>It's not a matter of taking someone to court on criminal charges, it's
>>>>about the NAL system of enforcement. The evidence may be overwhelming
>>>>and uncontested, but the procedure is probably unconstitutional as the
>>>>Supreme Court has suggested in at least one opinion.
>>>
>>>"Probably" unconstitutional? How so?
>>
>>
>>For many of the same reasons Bush's Patriot Act is unconstitutional:
>>you don't have the right to a fair trial or to contest all evidence;
>>the accused is not afforded the right to be "presumed innocent until
>>proven guilty" because guilt is presumed (or, in the words of the FCC,
>>"liability" is "apparent"); and guilt is determined by the accuser
>>which is a conflict of interest.
>
>It's not much different than getting a speeding ticket. You are
>presumed guilty by virtue of the citation, and have to go to court to
>prove innocence, if you are so inclined.

Not in my state. You aren't found guilty unless you admit to the
infraction, ignore the ticket, or lose the contest in court. I've
already hashed this out with Leland a while back.

> Nothing unusual about that.
>
>>Not only that, but the procedure was
>>concocted without any legal history or precedent -- they just came up
>>with the idea and made it law.
>
>The idea that every law has to have "legal history" is ludicrous. At
>some point in history a precedent has to be set based on little more
>than circumstances. Laws which deal with technology which did not
>exist 200+ years ago, cannot have much history. There has to be a
>definite beginning.

Actually, the common and statutory laws of England were adopted for
the US, and the laws that were contrary to the Constitution were
thrown out. You can't just make up laws on a whim -- they have to be
founded on pre-existing law, be it statute, common or case law.

>>According the the Supreme Court, that's
>>not "due process of law".
>
>Not in the sense of a criminal court. But then again, neither would
>the handling of summary offenses such as littering or speeding.

Call them 'summary offenses' or 'civil infractions', they are still
violations of law and subject to due process under the Constitution.
You still have the right to appear in court, to contest the evidence,
to appeal the ruling, etc, etc. And unless you can find a state that
convicts upon being cited, you are still innocent until proven guilty.

>>>>So the FCC avoids
>>>>any constitutional challenge to the NAL, even to the point of settling
>>>>before it goes to court. You suggested that there is some threshold
>>>>they use to decide which actions to take, and I would suggest that you
>>>>are right -- a critera based on the willingness and resourcefulness of
>>>>the accused to mount a legal challenge against the FCC's NAL system.
>>>
>>>If, as you allege, the NAL system is constitutionally flawed, there
>>>would be far more people challenging FCC NAL's and winning. This goes
>>>beyond simple citizen's 2-way radio issues. Big guns like Howard Stern
>>>have been fined by the FCC, and his respective companies forced to
>>>pay. They can afford some heavy legal muscle. If the NAL system was
>>>unconstitutional, you would think that these fines would have been
>>>overturned in a legal battle on that basis. Then, of course, the FCC
>>>would have to refine their methods if they wish to remain effective in
>>>enforcement.
>>
>>
>>"Heavy muscle" costs money, and big businesses make their decisions
>>based on monetary values, not moral principles.
>
>That may be true, but at some point, it becomes cheaper to fight the
>charge than to continually pay fines as was the case with Stern.

It appears that they found it cheaper to eliminate Howard Stern than
risk further fines. Indirect censorship at it's finest (and no, I
don't like Howard Stern).

>> Recent examples are
>>Proctor & Gamble's decision to pull their commercials from "Queer Eye"
>>and "Will & Grace", and Bill Gates pulling his support of gay rights
>>legislation, all because of boycotts by some culturally intolerant
>>right-wing religious homophobes.
>
>What you call a "right wing homophobe", others might call correcting
>blind acceptance of abhorrent behavior. You open that door, and it

>becomes only a matter of subjective degree as increasingly more
>decadent behavior is attempted to be justified by those who partake in
>it.

Oh man..... I could stand on this soap box for hours, but I won't.
I'll just give you one glaring example of what's -really- abhorrent: A
TV censorship system where war and murder are suitable for all viewers
but Janet Jackson's nipples are strictly off limits. And if you need
more examples just ask, cause I have a long list of things "abhorrent"
ranging from the Bush administration to dandelions.

But back to the topic, it's not up to you or any right-wing fanatic
group to dictate how others should live their lives. If you are a
Christian, great, but don't push your religion on me. You have your
values, I have mine, and others have theirs. And if you can't accept
the concept of "E Pluribus Unum" then leave.

>> The god-squads do not represent the
>>majority by any means, but they can put a dent in the profits of these
>>corps who, BTW, will eventually reverse their decisions after the gay
>>rights groups begin their own boycotts.
>
>Most businesses don't care what they think.

Hogwash. I just gave you two recent examples of major corps bowing to
pressure from these fanatics.

> The most vocal of these
>activists do not represent significant buying power. And, as you
>pointed out so accurately, it's all about money.

They don't represent a -significant- part of the economy but they
-can- put a dent in the profits, which is what I already said. Corps
make their decisions based on numbers, and if they think that dropping
ads from a couple shows is going to be less expensive than a dent in
profits from a small boycott then you can bet your bottom dollar that
the ads will be yanked.

>> Money, not constitutionality,
>>is the motivating factor behind the decisions of big business.
>
>True in most cases.
>
>
>>Back to the topic.... The FCC fines have increased over the years but
>>have never exceeded the cost of mounting a full-scale legal challenge;
>
>I'm not so sure of that. But if it is true, that only serves to
>illustrate just how lawyers have corralled the legal field beyond the
>means of anyone who wishes to challenge perceived unfair practices.

That's a very good point. Justice is for the rich because lawyers are
greedy bastards.

>>so the companies just take the hit, pay the fine and kiss FCC butt.
>>I'm sure that someday the FCC will slap someone with an NAL based on a
>>faulty financial assessment of a company and get challenged, but I'm
>>also sure they have a contingency plan in case that ever happens.
>
>Are you implying that the FCC only contemplates fining those who they
>feel cannot mount a successful legal challenge?

Cannot or will not.

> I'm not sure I buy
>into this level of conspiratorial thought.

Well, conspiracies do exist. And if my conclusions are true, the FCC
wouldn't call it a conspiracy. In fact, I wouldn't either. I -would-
call it a grossly unethical and unfair method of law enforcement. The
FCC would probably call it "cost effective management" or some similar
euphamism.

>>After all, they have had plenty of time to plan strategies to defend
>>against any possible legal confrontation. But until that day comes,
>>the FCC will continue operating as a rouge government outside the
>>boundries of the Constitution.
>
>The Constitution does not specifically define many agencies and
>policies in the federal government, such as the NSA, black ops, Area
>51etc.. The Constitution could not possibly foresee the need for many
>of them, including the FCC. The creation of the FCC is perfectly
>legal, as it falls under the discretion of the congress, even if you
>may disagree with their tactics.

I never claimed the FCC was established illegally. My contention is
that they are using procedures that are unconstitutional.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2387. Re: Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 02:21:38 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <SYBce.26549$Ow2.6435@fe06.lga>
Source record: 199409

"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1114819617.294995.238350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

>
> mopathetic whined:
>> >
>> Nice likeness. He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
>> can hump a cops leg and cry about me.
>
> Bullcrap. We want it so we can introduce ourselves. You said before
> you wanted us to show up. You chickenboy of a urinal cake sniffer,
> you.
>
>

Yeah Right.. as if you miraculously developed a spine
in recent days????

You'd never ever show up, chump. Heeyahahahahahaha!!!!!

2388. Re: Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 02:42:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429224248.183$7D@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199410

truth@truth.com wrote:
> >
> > mopathetic whined:
> > > >
> > > Nice likeness. He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
> > > can hump a cops leg and cry about me.
> >
> > Bullcrap. We want it so we can introduce ourselves. You said before you
> > wanted us to show up. You chickenboy of a urinal cake sniffer, you.
> >
>
> Mopey will be easy to find. Just look in the mens public toilets.
>
Ever see an almost human punching bag? Watch what this face turns
into after I'm done with it. I intend to disassemble this shit-stain
as soon as I find him. I'll be easy to find, nad.

http://img259.echo.cx/img259/297/fuglydogiecloseupgif9db.jpg

2389. Re: Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 02:45:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050429224514.650$oI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199411

Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote:
> "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1114819617.294995.238350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > mopathetic whined:
> >> >
> >> Nice likeness. He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
> >> can hump a cops leg and cry about me.
> >
> > Bullcrap. We want it so we can introduce ourselves. You said before
> > you wanted us to show up. You chickenboy of a urinal cake sniffer,
> > you.
> >
> >
>
> Yeah Right.. as if you miraculously developed a spine
> in recent days????
>
> You'd never ever show up, chump. Heeyahahahahahaha!!!!!
>
You should have seen what a coward he was when I went to his door. hehehe

2390. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 04:51:47 UTC
From: editor@netpath.net
Message-ID: <1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199412

Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in much of the U.S. at many times of day.

No $4 to park! No $6 admission!
http://stores.ebay.com/INTERNET-GUN-SHOW

2391. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 07:22:18 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114845738.635278.232780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199414

Freebandersblowgoats wrote:
> On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 12:10:23 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Forget that!
> >Just write this down on a piece of paper, "REMEMBER! Next doctors
visit, ask
> >him about a medication for Alzheimers."
> >And, pin it on your chest!
> >
> >John
> >
>
> quote text, than you won't look so ignorant
>
> Hams Rules the world!!!
>
> freebanders are ignorant wanna be hams

Wrong wrong wrong you hams are ignorant, while us freebanders RULE the
universe

2392. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 10:00:11 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <3HIce.388$D91.170@fed1read01>
Source record: 199415

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

editor@netpath.net wrote:

> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
>
> No $4 to park! No $6 admission!
> http://stores.ebay.com/INTERNET-GUN-SHOW
CB radio, when used properly be individuals with a modecum of
professionalism can be a great addition to ones tools. unfortunately, with
all the bucketmouths and alligator stations who don't give a sh*t about
anyone but their own mouths, well..... you see the picture.

I Have seen the above with regular frequency during the summer monsoon down
here. the people on the air here in phoenix don't care oif someone is hurt,
in danger, etc. as far as they are concerned, its just a radio toy. so far,
no one has died as a result of this type of attitude, but that may happen.
can't wait to see the wrongful death lawsuit someone brings as a result.

meanwhile, the phoenix scene is much the same as ever. there are even some
stupid people that are showing up on ham radio (case in point is an ongoing
problem on 147.36 up state).

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCc1c8homUgK3bdaYRAr+jAKDSiF6PkpV6Skyx5yF1BH4gLgXjsACfRCZg
K657HnGOHX7LjyoxKtZ99l4=
=eD1V
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2393. N8WWM@arrl.net threatens Dayton hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 12:12:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430081203.364$TV_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199416

truthspeaker@truth.com wrote:
> > truth@truth.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > mopathetic whined:
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nice likeness. He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
> > > > > can hump a cops leg and cry about me.
> > > > >
> > > > Bullcrap. We want it so we can introduce ourselves. You said before
> > > > you wanted us to show up. You chickenboy of a urinal cake sniffer,
> > > > you.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Mopey will be easy to find. Just look in the mens public toilets.
> > >
> > Ever see an almost human punching bag? Watch what this face turns
> > into after I'm done with it. I intend to disassemble this shit-stain
> > as soon as I find him. I'll be easy to find, nad.
> >
> > http://img259.echo.cx/img259/297/fuglydogiecloseupgif9db.jpg
>
> You have been making threats of physical assault in this NG for
> years now and continue to be ignored. You're a joke.
>
No threats. What happens next will be a direct response to THESE threats.

N8WWM threatens Dayton hamvention participants:

From N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
I volunteer to show ya how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

From:N8WWM Auntie Keyclown (keyclownz...@theglobe.com)
Subject: calling out Peepeeholic
View: Complete Thread (4 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-08-08 09:09:21 PST

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

From N8WWM@arrl.net

Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

---

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

2394. FA: CLASSIC HEATHKIT HR-10B HAM-BAND RECEIVER>Nicest Seen:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 13:50:31 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <F2Mce.21090$RP1.5648@fe10.lga>
Source record: 199417
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5769412511&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2395. FA: RELM MINI-COM PTU46B 4watt UHF WALKY-TALKIE>COMPLETE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 13:51:54 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Y3Mce.21092$RP1.9998@fe10.lga>
Source record: 199418
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7510330919&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2396. FA: $10>TWO(2) WESTERN ELECTRIC US ARMY 313C TUBES>WWII 1944 NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 13:57:10 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <U8Mce.21097$RP1.13162@fe10.lga>
Source record: 199419
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.tubes,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5769416557&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2397. FA: Tubes, Receivers, NOS Test Leads, Antennas, etc NO JUNK:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 14:00:22 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UbMce.21099$RP1.20217@fe10.lga>
Source record: 199420
Cross-posted to: alt.audio.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.photo.marketplace.35mm,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

2398. Re: antenna engineer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 14:34:46 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <aIMce.3757$Gd7.2994@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199421

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:VaidnWq9q9x9--_fRVn-gQ@comcast.com...
> Your right Lancer, the others does not have the Brains to figure it out.
>
>
> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
> news:42743155.76758015@news.easynews.com...
>> On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 21:16:39 -0400, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>John wrote:
>>>> I would apply but its too far to move, I hate Texas.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> <johnllaneta@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1114627460.914849.293960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>> CalAmp is a leading provider of comprehensive breakthrough
>>>> communications access and content delivery solutions, services, and
>>>> products.
>>>>
>>>> We have an exciting opportunity for an experienced Antenna Engineer in
>>>> Oxnard, CA.
>>>>
>>>> Description:
>>>> The ideal candidate will possess a wide range of antenna engineering
>>>> capabilities ranging from high-level research and development to full
>>>> product development. The successful candidate must be able to create
>>>> innovative solutions for ultra high volume and ultra low cost products,
>>>> as well as have an open mind for new fields and designs. This person
>>>> will work with minimal guidance and support
>>>>
>>>> Essential Qualifications:
>>>>
>>>> • Design of millimeter wave (Ku, K, Ka) antennas and components such
>>>> as horn antennas (corrugated and non), reflector antennas, patch
>>>> antennas (microstrip), OMT's, polarizers, waveguide hybrids and
>>>> couplers.
>>>> • Intimate knowledge of simulation tools, such as HFSS, TICRA, and
>>>> ensemble.
>>>> • Hands on capability of building, testing and fine tuning antennas
>>>> during prototype and early production stages.

>>>> • Must be proficient in measuring techniques such as Far-Field, near
>>>> field ranges, using lab test equipment such as spectrum and network
>>>> analyzers.
>>>> • Experience in interacting with mechanical engineers, technicians,
>>>> and production personnel during the full product life cycle.
>>>>
>>>> Frequency Range Experience:
>>>>
>>>> • Ku band
>>>> • K Band
>>>> • K (10-15GHz, 17-26.5GHz, 30GHz)
>>>> • L band (1.5GHz)
>>>> • 2.4GHz
>>>> • 5.8GHz
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Please submit your resume to mailto:resumes@calamp.com
>>>> Please use reference code: 25000633 - ANTENG in the subject line.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Equal employment opportunity is basic to our hiring and employment
>>>> philosophy. Our company believes work results are what count,
>>>> regardless of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin, or
>>>> disability. The company seeks to give all qualified veterans of
>>>> military service full consideration for employment.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>They wouldn't have you as an employee anyhoo considering you cant read
>>>the post saying the job is in Oxnard Ca and relating it to be in Texas.
>>
>> Nah, I think he meant he is Texas and doesn't like it, but California
>> is to far to move too..

Nope, he's in Cali.

"Hmmm, actually, that "freeband antenna" is operating on under my ham
license
on 10 meters... The bandwidth of that ant is too narrow for freeband
work...
I use a beacon on Mt. Diablo in the San Joaquin Valley as a signal source
for ant testing... it operates 24/7 on Channel 14 on CB... sends the call
"AOH" @ 7 WPM repetitively...
You need to follow the conversations closer... perhaps you do need me to
bottom post...

Regards,
John "

http://www.parks.ca.gov/default.asp?page_id=517

"Exactly... Up in the Sierra Mountain foothills there is one
ham/freebander/CB'er living in a remote location.
His 160M ant looks like a giant spider made out of heliarced aluminum
irrigation tubing...
Among his equipment is one 50,000 watt Collins transmitter... it is simply
awesome in operation...

Regards,
John "

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

2399. Re: N8WWM@arrl.net threatens Dayton hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 14:48:24 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ik6771t6144vjvhamc2a0800ragv5iakls@4ax.com>
Source record: 199422

On 30 Apr 2005 12:12:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
>headed step-child.

Hey Moped, I thought he was a red-headed step child?

Vinnie S.

2400. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 14:54:35 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hm6771dlgbntsb9eapt74lpt534ht1rc65@4ax.com>
Source record: 199423

On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
>
>The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?

One of my friends that got me into ham has one. He let me borrow his FT-530 since he got the VX-5R. He loves it. I am nto not in love with it because it's too small. But he loves it.

Now, the guys who threw the ham test that I took, all said to stay away from Yaesu. They said they are all garbage, and only ex-CBers buy that brand. When I told that to other hams, they laughed. And since I threw myself in the fire and know little about each brand, I just keep asking. One thing though. Those boneheads that said Yaesu is for ex-CBers, are all affilitated with a local Kenwood dealer, so it might just be nothing more than advertising.

Vinnie S.

2401. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 17:03:11 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199424

Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the amateur bands.
By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands down!
Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands (me included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using the radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the radio as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along here--go use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them have what makes 'em happy!!!
Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and get in petty quibbles with the natives....

Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!

Warmest regards,
John

2402. Re: N8WWM@arrl.net threatens Dayton hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 18:45:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430144535.139$ys@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199425

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 30 Apr 2005 12:12:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> N8WWM@arrl.netwrote:
> > I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
> >headed step-child.
>
> Hey Moped, I thought he was a red-headed step child?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
His mom shit him out.

2403. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 18:48:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430144831.589$qa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199426

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
> >
> >The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
>
> One of my friends that got me into ham has one. He let me borrow his
> FT-530 since he got the VX-5R. He loves it. I am nto not in love with it
> because it's too small. But he loves it.
>
> Now, the guys who threw the ham test that I took, all said to stay away
> from Yaesu. They said they are all garbage, and only ex-CBers buy that
> brand. When I told that to other hams, they laughed. And since I threw
> myself in the fire and know little about each brand, I just keep asking.
> One thing though. Those boneheads that said Yaesu is for ex-CBers, are
> all affilitated with a local Kenwood dealer, so it might just be nothing
> more than advertising.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Yea it sure is a tiny rig, it would probably take some getting used to
the multi-function buttons. I'll probably take it for $100 tho.

I don't know one brand from another when it comes to those things.

2404. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 18:49:29 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 199427

In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only talk to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time with the Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area) tried to report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it to their weather school, they would not listen.

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the
> amateur
> bands.
> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands down!
> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands (me
> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using the
> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the
> radio
> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along here--go
> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them have
> what
> makes 'em happy!!!
> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and get
> in petty quibbles with the natives....
>
> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
>

2405. Re: Mopathetic is telling lies and whining cowardly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 19:05:14 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114887914.191665.240320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199428

U Know Who wrote:
> "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1114819835.221774.251830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > mopathetic whined like the PONKAZ she is:
> > "He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
> > can hump a cops leg and cry about me."
> >
> > Wrong toilet licker. We want to introduce ourselves. You are just
> > afraid of the AKC, so you have to make up some BS as covering for
your
> > quivering.
> >
> > Uhl whine and whine like that cuz you dont want to be shown up as a
> > queenboy, but you are one.
> >
>
> Oh Gawd! Afraid of what? A demented loser who likes to pretend he is
the
> AKC? And to beat all, Doug doesn't care if his children are cared
for, but
> has a hardon for anyone who violates any CB rules and chases them to
no end
> on the Internet, all the while ignoring court ordered specifics to
obtain
> psychological treatment and find employment.

Another PONKAZ, and a crackhead ta boot.

2406. coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 19:08:45 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114888125.260797.76590@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199429

Where will you be camping, mopathetic? We want to come introduce ourselves. Now, bear in mind we ARE heterosexual, but if you can handle meeting us without continued begging for our manly bodies we will stop by.

Basically, you are a coward who has proven her cowardice by not rising to a level playing field type challenge. You will never be allowed to forget it, either.

2407. PileupBuster.com - Domain Name For Sale or For Rent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 20:26:56 UTC
From: wmtell@gmail.com
Message-ID: <580f28b8.0504301226.270a7b29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 199430

.

The "PileupBuster.com" domain name is For Sale or For Rent.

For less then the price of an HF rig you can own the ultimate Ham/CB
Radio domain name.

The PileupBuster.com domain has immediate name recognition for
everyone familiar with CB and Ham Radio. The sales and marketing
benefits of
owning this domain are obvious. This is probably the best Ham/CB
Radio domain name available.

Click on the link below to see the traffic and more informormation
about the domain.

http://PileupBuster.com

73s,

Bill

2408. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 23:02:00 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ui3871l9iejcs0fe85fldlest1g3coif83@4ax.com>
Source record: 199431

On 30 Apr 2005 18:48:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 28 Apr 2005 23:49:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Hey Lance, do you have any experience with tri-band HT's?
>> >
>> >The Yaesu VX-5R specifically?
>>
>> One of my friends that got me into ham has one. He let me borrow his
>> FT-530 since he got the VX-5R. He loves it. I am nto not in love with it
>> because it's too small. But he loves it.
>>
>> Now, the guys who threw the ham test that I took, all said to stay away
>> from Yaesu. They said they are all garbage, and only ex-CBers buy that
>> brand. When I told that to other hams, they laughed. And since I threw
>> myself in the fire and know little about each brand, I just keep asking.
>> One thing though. Those boneheads that said Yaesu is for ex-CBers, are
>> all affilitated with a local Kenwood dealer, so it might just be nothing
>> more than advertising.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Yea it sure is a tiny rig, it would probably take some getting used to
>the multi-function buttons. I'll probably take it for $100 tho.
>
>I don't know one brand from another when it comes to those things.

$100 sounds like a steal.

Vinnie S.

2409. Re: Tubes, Receivers, NOS Test Leads, Antennas, etc NO JUNK:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 23:11:57 UTC
From: ergo99@comcast.net
Message-ID: <7-OdnZoBgIsnjenfRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 199432
Cross-posted to: alt.audio.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.photo.marketplace.35mm,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

TUBES????
go away

"cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:UbMce.21099$RP1.20217@fe10.lga...
> http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
>

2410. Re: FA: CLASSIC HEATHKIT HR-10B HAM-BAND RECEIVER>Nicest Seen:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 23:36:39 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <7ISdnXRd5r52runfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 199438
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

cooltube wrote:
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5769412511&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>
>

So how many times do you think you need to clutter up the groups with
your auctions. You already posted this once which is more than enough.

2411. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 23:54:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430195431.253$mq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199433

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> Steve;
> If you decide you would rather have the money, I'll buy it from
> you..
>
Ok Lance, I'll keep that in mind.

2412. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-04-30 23:56:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430195618.887$Xt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199434

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 30 Apr 2005 18:48:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I don't know one brand from another when it comes to those things.
>
> $100 sounds like a steal.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
AES is getting something like $199 plus shipping and tax.

2413. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 00:07:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6d7871910r2fdqe3dh7u7chh8oq0kpahe7@4ax.com>
Source record: 199435

On 30 Apr 2005 23:54:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> Steve;
>> If you decide you would rather have the money, I'll buy it from
>> you..
>>
>Ok Lance, I'll keep that in mind.

Why can't I see Lancer? I needed to see him on Piggy back. I see him everywhere else.

Vinnie S.

2414. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 00:10:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430201021.457$it@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199436

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 30 Apr 2005 23:54:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> Steve;
> >> If you decide you would rather have the money, I'll buy it from
> >> you..
> >>
> >Ok Lance, I'll keep that in mind.
>
> Why can't I see Lancer?
>
Open your eyes, jackass. :D

2415. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 00:33:47 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <7v8871lkdepusroogtbtlhg0h6svjinhg3@4ax.com>
Source record: 199437

On 01 May 2005 00:10:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 30 Apr 2005 23:54:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> Steve;
>> >> If you decide you would rather have the money, I'll buy it from
>> >> you..
>> >>
>> >Ok Lance, I'll keep that in mind.
>>
>> Why can't I see Lancer?
>>
>Open your eyes, jackass. :D

Oh !

Vinnie S.

2416. Re: Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 02:04:30 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <0dudndwT6YespOnfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 199439

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050429224514.650$oI@newsreader.com...
> > You'd never ever show up, chump. Heeyahahahahahaha!!!!!
> >
> You should have seen what a coward he was when I went to his door. hehehe

So what other kind of treats does the Basset Hound like to eat when he's not humping your leg? With the right treats they would rather eat than bark. To bad you don't have any kids for the poor thing to play with.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows

2417. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 02:28:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <n9Xce.269$oD5.9516167@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199440

I, for one, accept this as valid and real... are hams even aware of its' existance? I wonder...

Regards,
John

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
| In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only talk to
| Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time with the Ham
| BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area) tried to report a
| bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it to their weather
| school, they would not listen.
|
|
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
| > Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
| > We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the
| > amateur
| > bands.
| > By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands down!
| > Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands (me
| > included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using the
| > radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the
| > radio
| > as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along here--go
| > use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them have
| > what
| > makes 'em happy!!!
| > Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and get
| > in petty quibbles with the natives....
| >
| > Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
| >
| > Warmest regards,

```
| > John
| >
| >
|
|
```

2418. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 02:38:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430223828.683$Br@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199441

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Sat, 30 Apr 2005 20:07:32 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >On 30 Apr 2005 23:54:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>> Steve;
> >>> If you decide you would rather have the money, I'll buy it from
> >>> you..
> >>>
> >>Ok Lance, I'll keep that in mind.
> >
> >
> >Why can't I see Lancer? I needed to see him on Piggy back. I see him
> >everywhere else.
> >
> >Vinnie S.
>
> I have my cloaking device enabled...
>
Damn klingon's!

2419. Re: Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 02:39:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430223949.843$WL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199442

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050429224514.650$oI@newsreader.com...
> > > You'd never ever show up, chump. Heeyahahahahahaha!!!!!
> > >
> > You should have seen what a coward he was when I went to his door.
> > hehehe
>
> So what other kind of treats does the Basset Hound like to eat when he's
> not humping your leg? With the right treats they would rather eat than
> bark. To bad you don't have any kids for the poor thing to play with.
>
What the fuck are you babbling about, you drunken fool?

2420. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 02:42:51 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <LmXce.7437$cZ6.5006@fe02.lga>
Source record: 199443

"You will never be allowed to forget it, either."

hardeeharharharhar....!!!!! :))

January 6, 2003

Toledo Amateur Radio Club
7234 Latcha Road
Perrysburg, OH 43551
Attn: Trustee John C. Lagger, AA8XS

RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Repeater W8RZM

Dear Mr. Lagger:

Our records indicate that you are the trustee for the above repeater system. Enclosed are recordings of violations on the repeater by N8WWM. The transmissions continued for a considerable time and there was no evidence of a control operator.

Since you are the trustee for the repeater, it is important for you to understand that you are responsible for its proper operation. The decision to operate a repeater is a totally voluntarily one. Repeaters are a convenience in the Amateur Radio Service, not a necessity. A repeater is a station just like any other station; and under Section 97.205 of the Commission's rules, all stations must have a licensee and control operator. Under Sections 97.103(a) and 97.105, control operators must ensure the immediate proper operation of a station, regardless of the type of station control. Furthermore, "automatic" control does not mean "unattended" operation. Unattended operation is not authorized under the rules.

The repeater licensee/trustee is responsible for all recurring violations and violations that are not inadvertent, just as a licensee is responsible for a base station HF station operation in the licensee's home. You must prevent recurring and deliberate violations on the repeater by locking rule violators out, using tones, warning users, limiting its operation, or taking whatever steps necessary commensurate with your responsibility as the licensee of the station. If you are unable to do so, then you must shut down your station.

If you are unwilling or unable to prevent violations on the W8RZM repeater, then your operator and station license will be subject to enforcement action by the Commission. Such action may include monetary forfeiture, operator license suspension or station license revocation.

2421. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 02:46:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430224656.721$7K@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199445

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 May 2005 00:10:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 30 Apr 2005 23:54:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> Steve;
> >> >> If you decide you would rather have the money, I'll buy it
> >> >> from you..
> >> >>
> >> >Ok Lance, I'll keep that in mind.
> >>
> >> Why can't I see Lancer?
> >>
> >Open your eyes, jackass. :D
>
> Oh !
>
That was my best jerky boys imitation.

2422. Re: Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 02:47:14 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9pg871dfkeflo8esadjbv5o8vp7p25ervj@4ax.com>
Source record: 199444

On 01 May 2005 02:39:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050429224514.650$oI@newsreader.com...
>> > > You'd never ever show up, chump. Heeyahahahahahaha!!!!!
>> > >
>> > You should have seen what a coward he was when I went to his door.
>> > hehehe
>>
>> So what other kind of treats does the Basset Hound like to eat when he's
>> not humping your leg? With the right treats they would rather eat than
>> bark. To bad you don't have any kids for the poor thing to play with.
>>
>What the fuck are you babbling about, you drunken fool?

LOL

Vinnie S.

2423. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 03:00:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2hh871pp1lkqm79ggh8r80j28gjuuirehc@4ax.com>
Source record: 199446

On 01 May 2005 02:46:56 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> Why can't I see Lancer?
>> >>
>> >Open your eyes, jackass. :D
>>
>> Oh !
>>
>That was my best jerky boys imitation.

Oh my God. When the blew up Ozzy's equipment in the bar, I almost shit.

Vinnie S.

2424. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 03:09:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430230921.584$IB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199447

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 May 2005 02:46:56 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> Why can't I see Lancer?
> >> >>
> >> >Open your eyes, jackass. :D
> >>
> >> Oh !
> >>
> >That was my best jerky boys imitation.
>
> Oh my God. When the blew up Ozzy's equipment in the bar, I almost shit.
>
Ha! you might need a pair of Sol Rosenberg's reading glasses.

2425. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 03:39:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050430233913.650$06@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199448

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
> news:LmXce.7437$cZ6.5006@fe02.lga:
>
> Hello moparholic... aka Steveo
>
Hi Chris. Guess again.

2426. Nice Working Hallicrafters HT-18 Ham VFO Exciter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 04:14:11 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114920851.433091.230400@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199449

Just wondering about that thing above: Is it a stand alone that one
can attach a mic to and start talking or what else is needing to get
into CW?

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=5771426887&rd=1

Nice Working Hallicrafters HT-18 Ham VFO Exciter

It has seven bands from 3.5 Mhz to 29.7 Mhz. It can also be crystal
controlled and has sockets for three crystals. Included are a 3.729 Mhz
and a 3.735 Mhz crystal. The output can be AM, CW or narrow band FM.

Thanks
TC GB
cliff

2427. Re: Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 05:52:50 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <S8_ce.50526$hu5.42887@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199450

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:0dudndwT6YespOnfRVn-uA@comcast.com...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050429224514.650$oI@newsreader.com...
>> > You'd never ever show up, chump. Heeyahahahahahaha!!!!!
>> >
>> You should have seen what a coward he was when I went to his door. hehehe
>
> So what other kind of treats does the Basset Hound like to eat when he's
> not
> humping your leg? With the right treats they would rather eat than bark.
> To
> bad you don't have any kids for the poor thing to play with.
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Linux - The alternative OS to Micro$oft Windows
>
>

Have another drink. Maybe you'll make sense later.

2428. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 06:59:07 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114930747.540908.182820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199451

```
Happy Yawning Festival wrote:
> "You will never be allowed to forget it, either."
>
> hardeeharharharhar....!!!!! :))
>
>
> ----
>
>
> January 6, 2003
>
> Toledo Amateur Radio Club
> 7234 Latcha Road
> Perrysburg, OH 43551
> Attn: Trustee John C. Lagger, AA8XS
>
> RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Repeater W8RZM
>
> Dear Mr. Lagger:
>
>
> Our records indicate that you are the trustee for the above repeater
> system. Enclosed are recordings of violations on the repeater by
N8WWM.
> The transmissions continued for a considerable time and there was no
> evidence of a control operator.
>
>
> Since you are the trustee for the repeater, it is important for you
to
> understand that you are responsible for its proper operation. The
> decision to operate a repeater is a totally voluntarily one.
Repeaters
> are a convenience in the Amateur Radio Service, not a necessity. A
> repeater is a station just like any other station; and under Section
> 97.205 of the Commission's rules, all stations must have a licensee
and
> control operator. Under Sections 97.103(a) and 97.105, control
operators
> must ensure the immediate proper operation of a station, regardless
of
> the type of station control. Furthermore, "automatic" control does
not
> mean "unattended" operation. Unattended operation is not authorized
```

> under the rules.
>
>
> The repeater licensee/trustee is responsible for all recurring
> violations and violations that are not inadvertent, just as a
licensee
> is responsible for a base station HF station operation in the
licensee's
> home. You must prevent recurring and deliberate violations on the
> repeater by locking rule violators out, using tones, warning users,
> limiting its operation, or taking whatever steps necessary
commensurate
> with your responsibility as the licensee of the station. If you are
> unable to do so, then you must shut down your station.
>
>
> If you are unwilling or unable to prevent violations on the W8RZM
> repeater, then your operator and station license will be subject to
> enforcement action by the Commission. Such action may include
monetary
> forfeiture, operator license suspension or station license
revocation.

A crackhead says what?

2429. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 07:00:08 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114930808.256969.94390@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199452

Thats Chistine...and NOTICE...mopathetic aint telling where he is camping!

2430. why is mopathetic a coward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 07:06:25 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114931185.367733.259600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199453

Because he can't ambush or sneak around like he so loves, that's why. mopathetic will always be reminded of his wrist flipping cowardice and his sexual urges toward ham radio operators.

mopathetic has so many awful perverse urges he is almost as bad as crackhead randy, who has publicly stated he would perform gay sexual acts for crack.

Chase that dog away, mopathetic...wash the sheets, air out the mattress, send your wife to reform school. Also, quit being a coward and cuddling with crackhead randy...and tell us where you are camping so we can introduce ourselves. Oops, I forgot...sissies like you won't confront anyone on equal terms.

That's OK...Uhl love seeing us in Dayton. We will have on our orange and white AKC shirts.

2431. Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 07:48:51 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <DR%ce.35374$h6.35138@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199454

```
Ping 205.162.217.34
[cblmdm205-162-217-34.buckeye-express.com]

Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 56 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 48 ms

Average time over 10 pings: 51.4 ms
```

2432. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 07:56:39 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <XY%ce.35377$h6.5158@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199455

```
"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:DR%ce.35374$h6.35138@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> Ping 205.162.217.34
> [cblmdm205-162-217-34.buckeye-express.com]
>
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 56 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 48 ms
>
>
> Average time over 10 pings: 51.4 ms
>
>

Ping 68.41.138.160
[bgp987471bgs.madsnh01.mi.comcast.net]

Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 49 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 48 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 49 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 47 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 60 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 61 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 73 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 52 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 64 ms
Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 62 ms

Average time over 10 pings: 56.5 ms

Ping time coincidence? Nah.
```

2433. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 10:37:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501063725.524$hP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199456

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> Ping 205.162.217.34
> [cblmdm205-162-217-34.buckeye-express.com]
>
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 56 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 48 ms
>
> Average time over 10 pings: 51.4 ms
>
Here's what I got on the first ping:

http://img133.echo.cx/img133/4491/dogiestation3sl.jpg

Second ping:

http://img66.echo.cx/img66/7799/assfacedogie9vv.gif

2434. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 10:41:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501064104.453$yr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199457

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:DR%ce.35374$h6.35138@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> > Ping 205.162.217.34
> > [cblmdm205-162-217-34.buckeye-express.com]
> >
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 56 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
> > Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 48 ms
> >
> >
> > Average time over 10 pings: 51.4 ms
> >
> >
>
> Ping 68.41.138.160
> [bgp987471bgs.madsnh01.mi.comcast.net]
>
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 49 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 48 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 49 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 47 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 60 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 61 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 73 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 52 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 64 ms
> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 62 ms
>
> Average time over 10 pings: 56.5 ms
>
> Ping time coincidence? Nah.
>
Who do we know in madison hts?

http://img145.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00032pg.jpg

OH!

2435. N8WWM@arrl.net threatens Dayton hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 11:20:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501072009.736$Ew@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199458

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> truthspeaker@truth.com wrote:
> > > truth@truth.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > mopathetic whined:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Nice likeness. He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
> > > > > > can hump a cops leg and cry about me.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Bullcrap. We want it so we can introduce ourselves. You said
> > > > > before you wanted us to show up. You chickenboy of a urinal cake
> > > > > sniffer, you.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Mopey will be easy to find. Just look in the mens public toilets.
> > >
> > Ever see an almost human punching bag? Watch what this face turns
> > into after I'm done with it. I intend to disassemble this shit-stain
> > as soon as I find him. I'll be easy to find, nad.
> >
> > http://img259.echo.cx/img259/297/fuglydogiecloseupgif9db.jpg
> >
> You have been making threats of physical assault in this NG for
> years now and continue to be ignored. You're a joke.
>
> No threats. What happens next will be a direct response to THESE threats.
>
> N8WWM threatens Dayton hamvention participants:
> ----------
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Hey CUNT,
> Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
> headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
> name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
> party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
> KEYCLOWN.
> Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
> it into your life.
> I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> I volunteer to show ya how.
> CUNT
> Your turn, CUNT!

>
> ---
>
> From:N8WWM Auntie Keyclown (keyclownz...@theglobe.com)
> Subject: calling out Peepeeholic
> View: Complete Thread (4 articles)
> Original Format
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2001-08-08 09:09:21 PST
>
> Hey Peepeeholic:
> Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
> ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
> the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
> dumb idea.
> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.
> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> ----------
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
> bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't
> have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
>
> ---
>
> http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg
>
There's more:

From N8WWM@arrl.net

You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna
hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for all
you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?

2436. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 13:06:00 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <Yu4de.7599$cZ6.6836@fe02.lga>
Source record: 199459

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in news:Xns9648EE37B25151234567890@216.196.97.136:

> Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
> news:LmXce.7437$cZ6.5006@fe02.lga:
>
>
>
> Hello moparholic... aka Steveo

Hello clueless chrissy... aka curious george.

How does that song go, geo? :))

I see you still X-No-Archive, what up wit dat?

2437. CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 13:16:45 UTC
From: ex-Ham <anon@exhamnbeans.net>
Message-ID: <d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
> much of the U.S. at many times of day.

2438. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 13:18:31 UTC
From: ex-Ham <anon@exhamnbeans.net>
Message-ID: <d52ksa$659$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only talk
> to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time with the
> Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area) tried to
> report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it to
> their weather school, they would not listen.
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
>> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
>> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the
>> amateur
>> bands.
>> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands
>> down!
>> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands (me
>> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using the
>> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the
>> radio
>> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along here--go
>> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them have
>> what
>> makes 'em happy!!!
>> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and
>> get
>> in petty quibbles with the natives....
>>
>> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>>
>
>

2439. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 13:24:08 UTC
From: ex-Ham <anon@exhamnbeans.net>
Message-ID: <d52l6r$717$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Several years ago I observed a ham attempt to enter a CB radio
report of a tornado touch down into a ham net. The hams would not
accept the report, because it was not in proper "format," the observer
had not been "trained," and the observer did not have a ham call
sign. While the hams continued their petty nit picking, the tornado
touched down. This is the mentality of ham radio today.

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:n9Xce.269$oD5.9516167@news.sisna.com...
> I, for one, accept this as valid and real... are hams even aware of its'
> existance? I wonder...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> | In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only talk
> to
> | Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time with the
> Ham
> | BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area) tried to
> report
> a
> | bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it to their
> weather
> | school, they would not listen.
> |
> |
> |
> | "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> | news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
> | > Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
> | > We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the
> | > amateur
> | > bands.
> | > By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands
> down!
> | > Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands
> (me
> | > included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using
> the
> | > radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the

> | > radio
> | > as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
> here--go
> | > use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
> have
> | > what
> | > makes 'em happy!!!
> | > Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and
> get
> | > in petty quibbles with the natives....
> | >
> | > Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
> | >
> | > Warmest regards,
> | > John
> | >
> | >
> |
> |
>
>

2440. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 13:32:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ex-Ham wrote:
> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
talk
> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
with the
> > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
tried to
> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it
to
> > their weather school, they would not listen.

Nice try.

Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an Amateur allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is a "CBer" if they are using a CB radio.

"Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur, commercial, military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.

And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT the medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at 462/467MHZ FM.

> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
> >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
> >> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly",
the
> >> amateur
> >> bands.
> >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
hands
> >> down!
> >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
bands (me
> >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
using the
> >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of
the

> >> radio
> >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
here--go
> >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
have
> >> what
> >> makes 'em happy!!!
> >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous
and
> >> get
> >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
> >>
> >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!

There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's and
Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
communications either!

CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on occassion.
But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means of
emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.

Steve, K4YZ

2441. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 13:42:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501094214.361$gP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199464

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
> news:Yu4de.7599$cZ6.6836@fe02.lga:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote in news:Xns9648EE37B251512345678900@216.196.97.136:
> >
> >> Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
> >> news:LmXce.7437$cZ6.5006@fe02.lga:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Hello moparholic... aka Steveo
> >
> >
> > Hello clueless chrissy... aka curious george.
> >
> > How does that song go, geo? :))
> >
> > I see you still X-No-Archive, what up wit dat?
> \
> am i chrissy or geo . moped make up ur mind
>
Chrissy, the clueless sissy.
```

2442. Re: Mopathetic still showing his own cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 13:47:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501094744.890$nm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199465

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Made sense to me shit for brains
>
Nad is shit for brains too. No wonder it makes sense.

2443. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 14:06:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501100625.538$cV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199466

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
> news:LmXce.7437$cZ6.5006@fe02.lga:
>
> Hello moparholic... aka Steveo
>
Do you order your pizza from Attilio's or Parma Pizza, Busch?

2444. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 14:28:52 UTC
From: TS Kid <rebeccarose@charter.net>
Message-ID: <JI5de.7611$cZ6.7244@fe02.lga>
Source record: 199467
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They have NO more radio techs on staff.....only mornic salepeople who argue that they never sold diodes or BNC connectors even when when I showed them a receipt!
OR they sold me a Pro 2067 but the pc software to program it was discontinued with NO prior notice! OR I bought my daughter a DVD played for the car for $249 with a $50 rebate that after 1 year they tell me my forms never arrived..... Radio Shit? naw Radio Rapiest ? YES! Bend over for their CEOoooooooooooooooooo
"LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS" <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net> wrote in message news:uGUbe.1461$Hf6.647@fe11.lga...
> they suck anyway!!
> You need something? They have nothing!!
> You have questions? We give you dumb looks..... DUH
>
>
> VE5JL wrote:
>
>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>
>>Check out the details here.
>>
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>
>>Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>>
>>http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>>
>>
>>
>>

2445. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 16:17:03 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115158991.a127050f5ccc25b2a766bab8e39a7cde@bubbanews>
Source record: 199722

On Tue, 3 May 2005 16:59:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
><snip>
>-
>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>-
>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do
>figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>Stu
>
>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.

why don't you tell us what the name is? don't know do you?
why not ask a stupid freebander, maybe they can pull the wool over
your eyes better. now carry on and off with you.

2446. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 16:19:25 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115159130.9ed8124b9af7d68c5235b286d05c6b91@bubbanews>
Source record: 199723

On Tue, 3 May 2005 17:00:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>Stu
>
>>freebanders don't use spread spectrum
>
>Others learn what they do not know. You deny. Carry on and off you go
>now....

links, info? more off the top of your head with no proof?
figures, your batting zip today on proving your point.
carry on.

2447. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 16:21:07 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115159233.aa2716f4d1b1703d32d7e3049fcc45de@bubbanews>
Source record: 199724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 03 May 2005 17:05:11 -0400, KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
wrote:

>
>
>John Smith wrote:
>> CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
>> We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
>> mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
>> general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
>> The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>>
>> Think MAN!!! Think!
>>
>> Regards,
>> John
>>
>YEP, you'll be right out there in the neighborhoods with the looters and
>thief's, who will in turn kick your butt, steal your car and radio!
>Goto toads page and leave him a message, he builds all his equipment and
>he hates everybody, hams and cb'ers!
>http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

but they will have them thar cb radios to find out the good loot to
steal .

4-10 good buddy

2448. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 17:02:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <IY7de.2189$pe3.1238@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 199468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams, but
it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
news:d52l6r$717$0@pita.alt.net...
> Several years ago I observed a ham attempt to enter a CB radio
> report of a tornado touch down into a ham net. The hams would not
> accept the report, because it was not in proper "format," the observer
> had not been "trained," and the observer did not have a ham call
> sign. While the hams continued their petty nit picking, the tornado
> touched down. This is the mentality of ham radio today.

2449. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 17:18:41 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <JcOdnaXPutbvkujfRVn-qw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 199469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ex-Ham wrote:

> Several years ago I observed a ham attempt to enter a CB radio
> report of a tornado touch down into a ham net. The hams would not
> accept the report, because it was not in proper "format," the observer
> had not been "trained," and the observer did not have a ham call
> sign. While the hams continued their petty nit picking, the tornado
> touched down. This is the mentality of ham radio today.

You say that you "observed a ham attempt to enter a CB radio report
of a tornado touch down into a ham net."
Then you say "and the observer did not have a ham call sign."

A ham without a callsign?

> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:n9Xce.269$oD5.9516167@news.sisna.com...
>
>>I, for one, accept this as valid and real... are hams even aware of its'
>>existance? I wonder...
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>>
>>"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
>>news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>>| In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only talk
>>to
>>| Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time with the
>>Ham
>>| BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area) tried to
>>report
>>a
>>| bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it to their
>>weather
>>| school, they would not listen.
>>|
>>|
>>|
>>| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>| news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
>>| > Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
>>| > We already have one location where radio can be used "properly", the
>>| > amateur

>>| > bands.
>>| > By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins hands
>>down!
>>| > Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur bands
>>(me
>>| > included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop using
>>the
>>| > radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of the
>>| > radio
>>| > as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
>>here--go
>>| > use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
>>have
>>| > what
>>| > makes 'em happy!!!
>>| > Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous and
>>get
>>| > in petty quibbles with the natives....
>>| >
>>| > Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
>>| >
>>| > Warmest regards,
>>| > John
>>| >
>>| >
>>|
>>|
>>
>>
>
>
>

2450. Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·153

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 18:47:30 UTC
From: Ronald700032@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1114973250.879155.271360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199470
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are,
nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they
are going to Heaven when they die is because they
just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that
you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus
said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and
then three days later come back to life and it
actually happens then this person must be the
real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
things that you have done in your life including
lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
children, I write this to you so that you will not
sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
chance to do so because you do not know when you
will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but
by me." (John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your
sins and be forever separated from the love of God in
a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.

* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.

* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.

`°º.¸..¸.º°`°º.¸..¸.º°`°º.¸□¸..¸.º°`°º.¸..¸.º°`°º.¸..¸.º°`

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

`°º.¸¸.º°`°º.¸¸.º°`°º.¸□¸¸.º°`°º.¸¸.º°`°º.¸¸.º°`

2451. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 19:05:57 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <rM9de.760$D91.652@fed1read01>
Source record: 199471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Bill Sohl wrote:

> No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams,
> but
> it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
yeah. that is most certainly true.
unfortunately, some of those "idiots" tend to end up in positions of
power/responsibility and that's where their stupidity can endanger folks.
(

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdSiWhomUgK3bdaYRAt6XAKDChcvvYew0El2ge1rOnxtI1VR3lwCg02h5
pbkRdEE/tDJCAJBwO3RgeeQ=
=kzWx
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2452. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 19:38:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501153805.404$BF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199472

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050501100625.538
> $cV@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
> >> news:LmXce.7437$cZ6.5006@fe02.lga:
> >>
> >> Hello moparholic... aka Steveo
> >>
> > Do you order your pizza from Attilio's or Parma Pizza, Busch?
>
> you dumb fuck i dont live anywhere near there,
>
You live -right- there, liar.
>
> but I must really matter
> to you too look up pizza parlors in allentown.. .LOL bagged and tagged
>
Two mouse clicks.

http://tinyurl.com/9qdo8

I also noticed you only moved one mile from your daddy. Afraid to stray
too far from the nest.

I also found this sorta strange:

Buschta Brothers Painting
1358 Devon Cir, Allentown, PA (0.11 miles away)
610-504-4575

Your dad's (George Busch) address is 1385 DEVON CIR

Is that a typo or a neighbor?! Buschta?? lol

2453. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 19:46:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8saa715ar41o4tqju1tr49lpr43o3vknkb@4ax.com>
Source record: 199473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 May 2005 06:32:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>ex-Ham wrote:
>> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
>talk
>> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
>with the
>> > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
>tried to
>> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it
>to
>> > their weather school, they would not listen.
>
> Nice try.
>
> Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an Amateur
>allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is a
>"CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
>
> "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
>talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
>efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur, commercial,
>military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.

CB radio was -THE- method of emergency comm in the three natural
disasters I have experienced. And in all three the hams couldn't quit
posturing and bickering about procedure long enough to accomplish
squat.

> And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT the
>medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
>States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
>462/467MHZ FM.

Doesn't look that way to me:

http://www.reactintl.org/

>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
>> >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.

>> >> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly",
>the
>> >> amateur
>> >> bands.
>> >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
>hands
>> >> down!
>> >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
>bands (me
>> >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
>using the
>> >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of
>the
>> >> radio
>> >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
>here--go
>> >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
>have
>> >> what
>> >> makes 'em happy!!!
>> >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous
>and
>> >> get
>> >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
>> >>
>> >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
>
> There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's and
>Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
>communications either!
>
> CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on occassion.
>But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means of
>emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.

Despite your personal opinion, CB radio is -very- useful for emergency
comm because a) there are so many of them; b) most of them are mobile;
c) most of them are off the grid; d) they are easy to use; e) there is
a well-established organization in place to utilize the band.... there
are several more reasons. But what has been proven time and time again
is that when landlines fail in an emergency, CBers heed the call to
duty just like every other citizen. After all, it's not called the
Citizen's Band for nothing.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2454. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·153

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 19:56:37 UTC
From: Maple1 <aerophoto@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <42753469.5E28E81E@shaw.ca>
Source record: 199474
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

GIVE IT UP ASSHOLE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<SNIP>

2455. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 20:19:29 UTC
From: LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <bRade.4307$Hf6.2498@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199475
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Did they ever?? Not here.....

TS Kid wrote:

>They have NO more radio techs on staff.....only mornic salepeople who
>argue that they never sold diodes or BNC connectors even when when I showed
>them a receipt!
>OR they sold me a Pro 2067 but the pc software to program it was
>discontinued with NO prior notice! OR I bought my daughter a DVD played for
>the car for $249 with a $50 rebate that after 1 year they tell me my forms
>never arrived..... Radio Shit? naw Radio Rapiest ? YES! Bend over for
>their CEOoooooooooooooooooo
>"LAFAYETTE RADIO ELECTRONICS" <LAFAYETTE2@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:uGUbe.1461$Hf6.647@fe11.lga...
>
>
>>they suck anyway!!
>>You need something? They have nothing!!
>>You have questions? We give you dumb looks..... DUH
>>
>>
>>VE5JL wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>>
>>>Check out the details here.
>>>
>>>http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>>
>>>Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>>>
>>>http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
>

>

2456. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 20:27:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501162742.492$fS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199476

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> I find it very very starnge you looking up people names and addresses who
> dont even come to this NG. I am sure who ever has a case against you can
> use the cooberating evidence
>
>
If you wanna play, you're gonna pay. Keep begging for my attention. I
will happily supply it.
> >
> > Buschta Brothers Painting
> > 1358 Devon Cir, Allentown, PA (0.11 miles away)
> > 610-504-4575
> >
> > Your dad's (George Busch) address is 1385 DEVON CIR
>
> Clueless
>
> >
> > Is that a typo or a neighbor?! Buschta?? lol
>
> more clueless

2457. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 20:36:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501163638.195$3x@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

KC8LDO - truth@truthsayer.org wrote:
> > "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> > > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
> > > talk to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
> > > with the Ham BS
>
> What about the CB BS? "tan fer thar mercy sakes alive no doubt about it
> come on thar ya got that one gravedigger 186 world wide on the side
> wavin a hand from the sand pile mercy me no doubt no doubt the grave
> digger said that we gone BEEEEeeeEEEYYYEP Honk Squueeeeek"
>
LOL, check out Nad's coax run.

http://img205.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00036uz.jpg

I'm sure even a lowly CBer could do a better job than that, Nad.

2458. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 20:52:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kkbde.43$hQ7.8513654@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

NO! Channel 9 was abandoned for the cell phone--but, I assume many cells will be down in an emergency--then you will see the object replaced the by the cell phone--re-emerge, and that is/was NOT ham radio, it WAS CB... Damn hams keep re-writing history!!!!

Regards,
John
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
|
| ex-Ham wrote:
| > "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
| > news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
| > > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
| talk
| > > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
| with the
| > > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
| tried to
| > > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it
| to
| > > their weather school, they would not listen.
|
| Nice try.
|
| Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an Amateur
| allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is a
| "CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
|
| "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
| talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
| efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur, commercial,
| military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.
|
| And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT the
| medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
| States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
| 462/467MHZ FM.
|
| > > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| > > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
| > >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
| > >> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly",

| the
| > >> amateur
| > >> bands.
| > >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
| hands
| > >> down!
| > >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
| bands (me
| > >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
| using the
| > >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of
| the
| > >> radio
| > >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
| here--go
| > >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
| have
| > >> what
| > >> makes 'em happy!!!
| > >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous
| and
| > >> get
| > >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
| > >>
| > >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
|
| There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's and
| Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
| communications either!
|
| CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on occassion.
| But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means of
| emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.
|
| Steve, K4YZ
|

2459. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 20:55:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5nbde.44$y_7.8560784@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now, with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should vastly improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>

Regards,
John

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:8saa715ar41o4tqju1tr49lpr43o3vknkb@4ax.com...
| On 1 May 2005 06:32:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
| <1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
|
| >
| >ex-Ham wrote:
| >> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
| >> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
| >> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
| >talk
| >> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
| >with the
| >> > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
| >tried to
| >> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make it
| >to
| >> > their weather school, they would not listen.
| >
| > Nice try.
| >
| > Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an Amateur
| >allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is a
| >"CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
| >
| > "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
| >talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
| >efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur, commercial,
| >military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.
|
|
| CB radio was -THE- method of emergency comm in the three natural
| disasters I have experienced. And in all three the hams couldn't quit
| posturing and bickering about procedure long enough to accomplish
| squat.

|
|
| > And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT the
| >medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
| >States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
| >462/467MHZ FM.
|
|
| Doesn't look that way to me:
|
| http://www.reactintl.org/
|
|
| >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| >> > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
| >> >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard it.
| >> >> We already have one location where radio can be used "properly",
| >the
| >> >> amateur
| >> >> bands.
| >> >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
| >hands
| >> >> down!
| >> >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
| >bands (me
| >> >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
| >using the
| >> >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use of
| >the
| >> >> radio
| >> >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get along
| >here--go
| >> >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let them
| >have
| >> >> what
| >> >> makes 'em happy!!!
| >> >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be anonymous
| >and
| >> >> get
| >> >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
| >> >>
| >> >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for it!!!!
| >
| > There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's and
| >Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
| >communications either!
| >
| > CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on occassion.
| >But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means of

| >emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.
|
|
| Despite your personal opinion, CB radio is -very- useful for emergency
| comm because a) there are so many of them; b) most of them are mobile;
| c) most of them are off the grid; d) they are easy to use; e) there is
| a well-established organization in place to utilize the band.... there
| are several more reasons. But what has been proven time and time again
| is that when landlines fail in an emergency, CBers heed the call to
| duty just like every other citizen. After all, it's not called the
| Citizen's Band for nothing.
|
|
|
|
|
|
| ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
| http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
| ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

2460. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 21:10:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_Abde.233$8g.77@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 199479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Good thing I don't have to retest. Dang! I wasn't aware that amateur radio was dependent upon repeaters. I can't remember what repeaters I've used to use on 160 meters (not to mention 75, 40, 20, or 15). I did use the 10 meter repeater from a 440 port a couple of times to chat with Australia, but that is, of course, not appropriate for an emergency.

Of course, if it actually is, you'll be happy to know we have a few repeaters that are *not* connected to the mains. Windmill and solar do work, but I wouldn't expect one of your obvious intellectual ability to understand those concepts.

LOL

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
> news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
> > out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
> > to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
> > useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
> > find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
> > America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
> > much of the U.S. at many times of day.
>
>

2461. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·153

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 21:44:35 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114983874.994513.266740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199480
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Enough is enough. Start your on rec.GodisGreat group and post to your heart's delight. This has not one thing to do with rec.radio.cb.

2462. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 21:52:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050501175205.935$xS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

LOL, check out Nad's coax run.

http://img205.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00036uz.jpg

I'm sure even a lowly CBer could do a better job than that, Nad.

2463. Last rites declaration of Ioannes Paulus PP. II (Karol Wojtyla)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 23:35:06 UTC
From: "Ioannes Paulus PP. II" <john_paul_ii@vatican.va>
Message-ID: <cabc036e.a9d58fef@news.vatican.va>
Source record: 199489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.video.dvd.misc,rec.photo.darkroom

"The unforgiveable sins this earth must confront and overcome are Nationalism, capitalism, and hoarding. The idea of every nation should be forgot, price should be struck from the commons, and princes should be seen for the devils they are. The sins include our church, secret societies, and other religions which make of the spirit of God a divide."

Last rites declaration of Ioannes Paulus PP. II (Karol Wojtyla)
2nd April 2005

2464. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-01 23:39:03 UTC
From: Tex Wycoff <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net>
Message-ID: <d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.

Good Numbers,

Tex

"ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
> news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
>> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
>> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
>> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
>> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
>> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
>> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
>
>

2465. ooops...mopathetic needs a brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 00:08:56 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114992536.741614.212900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199486

Chicken boy threatens people then won't back it up. Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic? We want to stop by and introduce ourselves.

2466. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 00:09:33 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114992573.363265.251480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199487

uhhh, no. Who needs a firewall?

2467. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 00:13:55 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1114992834.967937.253770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199488

mopathetic wants to be gay with ham radio operators. He has had no success so far, and now he is whining for someone else. See how he whines and plays. Moron is too dumb to know he will never win.

Why doncha tell us where yer camping at Dayton, mopathetic? We know why...you are scared. We don't see why, though, since we are just wanting to introduce ourselves.

2468. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 01:07:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <43fde.90$zT3.10358893@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and the
EMP sizzles their front ends...

Regards,
John

"Tex Wycoff" <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote in message
news:d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net...
| Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
| and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
| hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
|
| Good Numbers,
|
| Tex
|
|
|
| "ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
| news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
| >
| > <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
| > news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
| >> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
| >> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
| >> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
| >> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
| >> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
| >> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
| >> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
| >
| >
|
|

2469. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 01:18:47 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com>
Source record: 199547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and the
> EMP sizzles their front ends...
>
> Regards,
> John

If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are that
generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
be worried about a few repeaters.

2470. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 02:39:05 UTC
From: pIxEL8eD_nITs <not_my_email@nospam.gmail.com>
Message-ID: <427592C7.1B25155B@nospam.gmail.com>
Source record: 199490
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Good riddance. Radio Crap!

VE5JL wrote:
>
> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
> Check out the details here.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
> Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

2471. Astatic Golden Eagle - Excellent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 02:52:18 UTC
From: Manytrails@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1115002338.068266.258900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199492

In excellent condition......no stratches, dings, wear, etc. I used it with the gold faced Kenwood R599D twins. The finish on this one is very,very good. Its 18K gold plated. Use it, or display it as a showpiece. Amplified base...works with virtually all ham gear. $150 shipped CONUS....or would consider a trade for an excellent MC-60A or an MC-85 to go with my TS-440SAT. Photo here.....

www.members.aol.com/ka0scm/dsc00509.jpg

Thanks......Bill in Missouri

2472. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 02:54:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <IDgde.286$wa3.254@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 199493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yeah, Tex

And when we had the big ice storm back in the early 90s, why was the police department in one area severely affected switched to an amateur repeater?

Ever been around after a big blow? Ever notice that it is the *large* trees, not the small ones, that get blown over?

Try a google search on "wind loading" and see if you can figure it out.

BTW, after that ice storm, power and telephone service was cut for hundreds of miles. They were asking for volunteer amateur *hf* operators. They needed reliable communications covering hundreds of miles.

I am aware that a number of cbers run excessive power. Should you run hundreds or thousands of watts, you will be heard for 100 miles or perhaps a bit more. 70 miles, for certain. Unfortunately, 90% of the folks that do hear you and answer you won't be able to hear. Unless, of course, they are also running far far in excess of the 12 watts pep ssb. This is not ground wave, nor is it space wave. It is scatter. Try a google search on that and see what you come up with.

The answer to continuous communications over hundreds of miles (continuous from zero miles to several hundred) depends upon being able to shift frequency. Not a few megahertz; rather a range approaching a 10 to 1 range (from 3.5 to 30 MHz).

Meanwhile, go do that search on "wind loading", keeping in mind about the small trees surviving winds that blow over large trees.

With luck, you may figure it out.

As to small "disasters", such as an auto accident, cell phones are far more likely to supply the information than cb or amateur radio.

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Tex Wycoff" <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote in message
news:d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net...
> Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
> Good Numbers,
>
> Tex

>
>
>
> "ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
> news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
> > news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
> >> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
> >> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
> >> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
> >> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
> >> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
> >> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
> >
> >
>
>

2473. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 03:27:56 UTC
From: Don Brady <dbrady@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <kd7b71d9fdvatpgn97k2ncmsj61f5ku8kk@4ax.com>
Source record: 199544
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 02 May 2005 02:39:05 GMT, pIxEL8eD_nITs <not_my_email@nospam.gmail.com>
wrote:

>Good riddance. Radio Crap!
>
>VE5JL wrote:
>>
>> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>>
>> Check out the details here.
>>
>> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>>

You're going to get the Radio Shack brand right back again.

Radio Shack (U.S) will start opening its own stores in Canada under its own
name imedialtey, now that they have back rights to the name.

There was a news story tot hat effect in the alst day or two.....

2474. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 03:32:06 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Wahde.35880$h6.10973@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199545

"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114992834.967937.253770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> mopathetic wants to be gay with ham radio operators. He has had no
> success so far, and now he is whining for someone else. See how he
> whines and plays. Moron is too dumb to know he will never win.
>
> Why doncha tell us where yer camping at Dayton, mopathetic? We know
> why...you are scared. We don't see why, though, since we are just
> wanting to introduce ourselves.
>

Dude! Get off his leg! And you talk about others being gay? Stop begging to
meet him.

2475. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 03:35:43 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jehde.35882$h6.17437@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:43fde.90$zT3.10358893@news.sisna.com...
> AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and
> the
> EMP sizzles their front ends...

What about your home?

2476. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 04:26:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tZhde.123$af6.11318418@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short duration--just long enough to fry the front end...

Regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
| John Smith wrote:
| > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and the
| > EMP sizzles their front ends...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
|
| If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are that
| generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
| be worried about a few repeaters.

2477. Re: coward mopathetic tries weaseling out of his own lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 11:10:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502071047.671$tk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199549

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1114992834.967937.253770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > mopathetic wants to be gay with ham radio operators. He has had no
> > success so far, and now he is whining for someone else. See how he
> > whines and plays. Moron is too dumb to know he will never win.
> >
> > Why doncha tell us where yer camping at Dayton, mopathetic? We know
> > why...you are scared. We don't see why, though, since we are just
> > wanting to introduce ourselves.
> >
>
> Dude! Get off his leg! And you talk about others being gay? Stop begging
> to meet him.
>
He's already met me, he wouldn't come outside tho, too skeered.

Don't worry dogie, you'll have ample opportunity to reap what you've
sown. I only hope you show some spine this time, instead of cowering
like the chickenshit demented freak that you are.

Hope you're bringing crisco K with you too, I have someone that wants
to introduce herself to her.

2478. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 11:33:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ad0c71hq0m0t1i8lvr7uerhtthcud04rnn@4ax.com>
Source record: 199550

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 18:52:55 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 11:39:04 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <iij471h837f8e4lust7pbeniubtvekr9no@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 05:45:19 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 06:49:08 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <or3471lajgobbev0anqcqap8dk1f12s9si@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>It's not a matter of taking someone to court on criminal charges, it's
>>>>about the NAL system of enforcement. The evidence may be overwhelming
>>>>and uncontested, but the procedure is probably unconstitutional as the
>>>>Supreme Court has suggested in at least one opinion.
>>>>
>>>"Probably" unconstitutional? How so?
>>>
>>>
>>>For many of the same reasons Bush's Patriot Act is unconstitutional:
>>>you don't have the right to a fair trial or to contest all evidence;
>>>the accused is not afforded the right to be "presumed innocent until
>>>proven guilty" because guilt is presumed (or, in the words of the FCC,
>>>"liability" is "apparent"); and guilt is determined by the accuser
>>>which is a conflict of interest.
>>
>>It's not much different than getting a speeding ticket. You are
>>presumed guilty by virtue of the citation, and have to go to court to
>>prove innocence, if you are so inclined.
>
>
>Not in my state. You aren't found guilty unless you admit to the
>infraction, ignore the ticket, or lose the contest in court. I've
>already hashed this out with Leland a while back.
>

I guess it all depends on your perspective. You are given a ticket.
You can either accept it (Admit guilt) or fight it. If you fight it,
your only chance is to hope that either the cop doesn't show up, or
that the conditions were such that the judge might agree that you
might not "deserve" the ticket. But it basically amounts to you
"fighting" for your innocence. The court tends to side with the police
barring concrete evidence to the contrary.

>> Nothing unusual about that.
>>
>>>Not only that, but the procedure was
>>>concocted without any legal history or precedent -- they just came up
>>>with the idea and made it law.
>>
>>The idea that every law has to have "legal history" is ludicrous. At
>>some point in history a precedent has to be set based on little more
>>than circumstances. Laws which deal with technology which did not
>>exist 200+ years ago, cannot have much history. There has to be a
>>definite beginning.
>
>
>Actually, the common and statutory laws of England were adopted for
>the US, and the laws that were contrary to the Constitution were
>thrown out.

Our whole system of government was modeled after the parliamentary
system in England. It was, after all, the most prevalent model for the
founding fathers at the time. They took the time to "correct" what
they perceived were the flaws in the English system.

> You can't just make up laws on a whim -- they have to be
>founded on pre-existing law, be it statute, common or case law.

But every law had to start sometime. If there was never a condition
that needed a specific law before, you can't very well have one to
base it on.

>
>
>>>According the the Supreme Court, that's
>>>not "due process of law".
>>
>>Not in the sense of a criminal court. But then again, neither would
>>the handling of summary offenses such as littering or speeding.
>
>
>Call them 'summary offenses' or 'civil infractions', they are still
>violations of law and subject to due process under the Constitution.
>You still have the right to appear in court, to contest the evidence,
>to appeal the ruling, etc, etc. And unless you can find a state that
>convicts upon being cited, you are still innocent until proven guilty.

But in most of those cases, the burden of proving that innocence is
yours, even if it might not be worded quite that way.

>>>"Heavy muscle" costs money, and big businesses make their decisions
>>>based on monetary values, not moral principles.
>>
>>That may be true, but at some point, it becomes cheaper to fight the
>>charge than to continually pay fines as was the case with Stern.
>

>
>It appears that they found it cheaper to eliminate Howard Stern than
>risk further fines. Indirect censorship at it's finest (and no, I
>don't like Howard Stern).

First off, WNBC thought it better to eliminate Stern. Then he moved to infinity and the rest was history. He was such a cash cow for them that the fines were justifiable compared to revenue realized. In fact the publicity surrounding the FCC and Stern actually increased interest (ratings) in his show.

Infinity did not "eliminate" Stern. Stern quit to move to Sirius Satellite radio where, he feels, his "creativity" would not be so constrained by the FCC rules of decency. The gamble he's making is whether the tongue dragging set that lives and dies by his every guffaw will shell out the money to listen to him on Sirius. Mel Karmazin, Viacom's COO who jumped ship to become the CEO of Sirius, seems to think so.

I'm waiting until the FCC gets into the Satellite radio scene.

>>What you call a "right wing homophobe", others might call correcting
>>blind acceptance of abhorrent behavior. You open that door, and it
>>becomes only a matter of subjective degree as increasingly more
>>decadent behavior is attempted to be justified by those who partake in
>>it.
>
>
>Oh man..... I could stand on this soap box for hours, but I won't.

Probably a wise move. There's way too much subjectivity.

>I'll just give you one glaring example of what's -really- abhorrent: A
>TV censorship system where war and murder are suitable for all viewers
>but Janet Jackson's nipples are strictly off limits. And if you need
>more examples just ask, cause I have a long list of things "abhorrent"
>ranging from the Bush administration to dandelions.

What I find abhorrent is a decline in social morality, and a growing sense that tolerance should be universal and unlimited.

>But back to the topic, it's not up to you or any right-wing fanatic
>group to dictate how others should live their lives.

Why not? A group of people sat together and drew up the framework of the laws that we live under today.

> If you are a
>Christian, great, but don't push your religion on me.

This has little to do with any specific religion.

> You have your
>values, I have mine, and others have theirs.

So, then who get's to pick the "right" choices?

> And if you can't accept
>the concept of "E Pluribus Unum" then leave.

I accept the concepts of "one nation Under God", and "endowed by our
creator".

>>> The god-squads do not represent the
>>>majority by any means, but they can put a dent in the profits of these
>>>corps who, BTW, will eventually reverse their decisions after the gay
>>>rights groups begin their own boycotts.
>>
>>Most businesses don't care what they think.
>
>
>Hogwash. I just gave you two recent examples of major corps bowing to
>pressure from these fanatics.

Gay fanatics? That's who I was referring to. They don't represent
enough buying power to make any difference.

>> The most vocal of these
>>activists do not represent significant buying power. And, as you
>>pointed out so accurately, it's all about money.
>
>
>They don't represent a -significant- part of the economy but they
>-can- put a dent in the profits, which is what I already said.

So who would you rather piss off, a group of abhorrent activists, or
mainstream Christians?

> Corps
>make their decisions based on numbers, and if they think that dropping
>ads from a couple shows is going to be less expensive than a dent in
>profits from a small boycott then you can bet your bottom dollar that
>the ads will be yanked.

Well sure. It is all about money. So far mainstream Christians still
outnumber gay groups.

>>> Money, not constitutionality,
>>>is the motivating factor behind the decisions of big business.
>>
>>True in most cases.
>>
>>
>>>Back to the topic.... The FCC fines have increased over the years but
>>>have never exceeded the cost of mounting a full-scale legal challenge;
>>
>>I'm not so sure of that. But if it is true, that only serves to
>>illustrate just how lawyers have corralled the legal field beyond the
>>means of anyone who wishes to challenge perceived unfair practices.

>
>
>That's a very good point. Justice is for the rich because lawyers are
>greedy bastards.

Exactly! And that only underscores the point that with enough money
you can hire enough defensive legal power to beat almost any criminal
or civil charge. ABSCAM alumnus Ozzie Meyers was once quoted as
saying "If you have enough money in this country, you can do almost
anything".

O.J. is a free man today because of such practices.

>>>so the companies just take the hit, pay the fine and kiss FCC butt.
>>>I'm sure that someday the FCC will slap someone with an NAL based on a
>>>faulty financial assessment of a company and get challenged, but I'm
>>>also sure they have a contingency plan in case that ever happens.
>>
>>Are you implying that the FCC only contemplates fining those who they
>>feel cannot mount a successful legal challenge?
>
>
>Cannot or will not.
>
>
>> I'm not sure I buy
>>into this level of conspiratorial thought.
>
>
>Well, conspiracies do exist.

Yes, but I'm concerned about the ones you choose to believe in.

>And if my conclusions are true, the FCC
>wouldn't call it a conspiracy.

In this case "IF" is a mighty big word. One that is not
interchangeable for fact.

> In fact, I wouldn't either. I -would-
>call it a grossly unethical and unfair method of law enforcement. The
>FCC would probably call it "cost effective management" or some similar
>euphamism.

There have been cases over the years brought by people who tried to
claim that the IRS and income tax itself was unconstitutional. There
seems to be some credibility to the claim. But in every case, it gets
shot down. I suspect that a similar claim against the FCC would be
treated in a similar fashion.

>>>After all, they have had plenty of time to plan strategies to defend
>>>against any possible legal confrontation. But until that day comes,
>>>the FCC will continue operating as a rouge government outside the

>>>boundries of the Constitution.

Down with the man!

>>
>>The Constitution does not specifically define many agencies and
>>policies in the federal government, such as the NSA, black ops, Area
>>51etc.. The Constitution could not possibly foresee the need for many
>>of them, including the FCC. The creation of the FCC is perfectly
>>legal, as it falls under the discretion of the congress, even if you
>>may disagree with their tactics.
>
>
>I never claimed the FCC was established illegally. My contention is
>that they are using procedures that are unconstitutional.

I would be very interested in the hows and whys of this alleged
unconstitutionality.

I suspect a great deal of subjectivity in this interpretation. Many
people who claim to adhere to the strict wording of the constitution,
would find many of our laws and governmental agencies to be
"unconstitutional". The whole abortion law, as a result of Roe V.
Wade, is one such example, as it was established as a result of a
judicial ruling rather than a legislative action.

Like the Bible, and religion in general, it's surprising at how many
different interpretations you can find from a single source of
information.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2479. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 11:35:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <b04c71t3fbo1tdg6hb83lkffvs3p98l6fe@4ax.com>
Source record: 199551

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 11:16:10 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Thu, 28 Apr 2005 12:04:46 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (Johnï¿½Smith)
>(You waste your time, attempting to show logic
>to Dave, he is obivously a ham or "ham
>groupie."
>He is just here to stop any progressive
>changes--write your congressmen!!! Anyway,
>whether he does what he does or not--the
>winds of change begin to blow....
>Regards,
>John)
>
>Dave is capable of giving good entertainment when he remains cool, stays
>on topic, and steers clear of emotional outbursts.
>
>>Why thank you! That's the closest thing to a
>>compliment that I've seen in a while. Now how
>>'bout a big hug?

>I'll settle for staying on topic in the future.

I'll stay on topic if you will.

> Maybe it can even lead to a Fresca some day.

You'd have to agree to a face-face for that.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2480. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 11:36:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <744c71t45t7r5mb9tjif1alnfhror2v7nk@4ax.com>
Source record: 199552

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 11:17:32 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (Johnï¿½Smith)
>(I am afraid Dave is not even good entertainment... more of a
>boring/repetitive slug...
>He reminds me of an old woman with nothing better to do than harass
>anyone in disagreement with them.
> a Chihuahua, nipping at ones ankles--best ignored.
>However, when not here, he is most likely in his mobile, pursuing
>truckers (complete with a rotating caution light on his trunk and
>wearing a bunch of pseudo-official badges, patches and ball caps
>purchased at ham fests), I suppose it is better to have him here than
>out annoying the truckers, at least they can get some honest work done
>then! <grin>
>Regards,
>John)
>
>
>-
>
>Well, when he is here he isn't on the air, at least.

Well that's true. I'm usually on the air at night.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2481. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 11:39:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <g54c71ttiovho40tgpqh3d4bsr1bkfr2en@4ax.com>
Source record: 199553

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 08:57:12 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Yes, and he IS HERE A LOT!!!
>Even his fellow hams are about as anxious to be annoyed by him as we
>are--or, at least it seems that way...

Yet you have yet to justify your position that the FCC should just
give away radio spectrum to unlicensed users. Taking pot shots at me
tells me that you have no substance to back up your claims.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2482. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 13:25:05 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <TSpde.3489$D91.3289@fed1read01>
Source record: 199554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
> emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now,
> with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should vastly
> improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
>
>
>
> Regards,
> John
now *who* is complaining about rewriting history?

your assertion that ham played only a small "secondary part" in the tsunami
distaster is simply put: false.

it was reporting in even a number of respectable sources throughout the
region that ham radio allowed government ministry personally to keep in
contact with the many islands that still had capability. mo where (I REPEAT
*NO WHERE*) was CB radio ever mentioned as a valid or utilized
communications medium.

if you can prove otherwise, please show me the links.

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdioxhomUgK3bdaYRAhPIAJ4q+E6RbtL7oy7gC0CAncsrl18TDwCdESlk
HRQh2JkIelSqnWihv4OgOn0=
=/3Rg
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2483. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 13:28:24 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <_Vpde.3490$D91.950@fed1read01>
Source record: 199555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Tex Wycoff wrote:

> Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
> Good Numbers,
>
> Tex
who said that operations had to be limited to bands where there are
repeaters?

I spent a lot of time listening across the HF bands during the tsunami
distaster and I heard plenty of strong signals on the band passing
health/welfare traffic from the western pacific rim. I don't think I ever
even heard mention of repeater use at all in all of that traffic.

of course, you are right about 1 point: cell service was non-existant (save
for those with sat phones).

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdir4homUgK3bdaYRAkbzAKCJRKq/pyAevsz4wIYkgm5uYY+towCgx0jw
Ys2Vz575byu+O20KjUPAXFY=
=APON
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2484. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 13:29:07 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115039977.ae9f28d9959d4d6c514e2b3e39a53c4a@bubbanews>
Source record: 199556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 May 2005 18:07:15 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and the
>EMP sizzles their front ends...
>
>Regards,
>John
>

of course all CB's are EMP hardened, and an EMP pulse wouldn't sizzle
their front ends. your an idiot john smith.

2485. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 13:31:48 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115040138.737f125a19dc048240aa4ab3afc59d0b@bubbanews>
Source record: 199558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 May 2005 19:39:03 -0400, "Tex Wycoff"
<tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote:

>Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
>and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
>hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
>Good Numbers,
>
>Tex
>
>

not all ham radio depends on repeaters. dumbass cb'ers would be to
busy asking for smokey reports to help in an emergency. simple. even
a low life cb'er can comprehend that. no, nevermind. they can't.

4-10 good buddy.

2486. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 13:32:29 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <PZpde.3491$D91.3418@fed1read01>
Source record: 199557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and
> the EMP sizzles their front ends...
>
> Regards,
> John
same could be asked for most consumer grade electronics devices (including
CB radios). Believe me, they haven't yet built a cb or a ham rig that can
handle a close lightning strike (same effect as an emp blast at 70 miles
up) unless you are talking about old tube gear.

anymore, most everything solid state is made to be as "cheap" as possible.
to harden against the effects of EMP and other "radiation" sources would
make everything almost unaffordable (unless you are a government or
military org).

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdivthomUgK3bdaYRAoXXAKCk8426TaR4sQYxnuSyU5CXgJgLGwCeIB72
BOpwei32TemisxqBI08SgQM=
=ZiPU
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2487. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 14:09:03 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d55ca0$pq5$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199559

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:fto57151rk1ev81i5ih1rkq1424tm5hhv4@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 09:11:26 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote in <d4tfaf$7p2$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:
>
> <snip>
>>> Sounds like we had similar jobs -- do the daily checks, fill out the
>>> daily reports, then spend the rest of the day making cables, cleaning
>>> cart machines and waiting for something to break?
>>>
>>
>>And spring storms... Arrrgh. Had a ground come loose once and I got the
>>page "Chad oldie's console is on fire!"
>>
>>That was the worst electrical storm I have ever seen, My tower got hit 53
>>times in one day. I went thru an ass load of OpAmps that day! I was
>>actually second in command, the CE was corporate, so, often in the spring
>>and summer he was off building stations which put me in charge. It was a
>>great relationship as the Engineering dept was least hasseled and my
>>"boss"
>>the CE and I are best friends.
>>
>>I'm still over there helping out from time to time. BEs are like farmers,
>>always willing to help each other out, I like that!
>
>
> I know what you mean. A couple months ago they asked me if I wanted to
> change the bulbs in the tower and I did -- the view is incredible if
> you don't mind all the bird shit.
>

No Fucking way! I get nervous standing on a stool!

One day The tower crew's radios were not working. I had a landline phone in the shack and it was imparative that I be able to talk to them so I sent them up with my cell phone. I was talking to the head guy when I heard this "click, click oh, fuuu... cassssshhhhh" My phone had just fallen 250' I slammed the battery on the back and powered it up. Aside from having to put some tape on the battery for reinforcement the phone worked flawlessy for another year and a half till the dog ate it :) I will ALWAYS buy Nokia phones :)

>
> <snip>

>>> I use the Extigy -- it's great because you can locate the box some
>>> distance away from the noisy computer and ground it directly to the
>>> mixer.
>>
>>
>>Yeah, those are great consumer cards! I went with the original audigy
>>because they were dirt cheap at the time and sound good. My big sound
>>card
>>for my mastering system is a MOTU 828mkII. I love it! I recently bought
>>it
>>to upgrade an old Echo LAYLA, still nice but I wanted firewire.
>
>
> The LAYLA? Heck, that's almost as old as my Tropez!
>

Yeah I know, I run 'em till the wheels fall off :)

>
>>> But for complaints to the station, I got them to order a huge box of
>>> 4" jumpers that have pigtails to the shield. Just plug them into the
>>> soundcard (or whatever audio equipment is getting the interference),
>>> screw the pigtail to the chassis, and 'presto' -- problem solved. No
>>> invasive surgery, and you're out of the house in a matter of minutes.
>>>
>>
>>Unfortunately I was across the street from the local community college, so
>>the surrounding apartments had a lot of student housing. The kids would
>>go
>>home for the summer and convieninetly loose the jumpers. I had some too
>>(jumpers) and would use them for the more established residents but for
>>the
>>kids I would do the solder in to keep from having to come back. I got
>>pretty quick with it so I could be in and out fast. Took longer to
>>explain
>>what I was going to do than do it :)
>
>
> I had a different problem -- half of this town is retired so I usually
> got stuck being the geriatric-therapist-du-jour.
>
>

Worse than a bartender :) :) :)

>
> Hey, ya use what ya got. Nowdays if the band is mobile it's a lot
> easier on the vertebrae to just get a Behringer with all the bells and
> whistles. They are a little expensive but a lot easier than lugging
> around an effects rack.
>

Yamaha PM1D for a bunch of shows, I was way over the Behringer league when in it full swing.

http://www.yamaha.ca/content/proaudio/products/mixers/PM1D/keyfeatures.jsp

Still quite heavy but really cuts down on gates and comps. The invonics were money channel comps, I still had to carry a rack for the analog shows.

For smaller scale I like the 02R, and the Innovason compact live. Mackie (arrgh) just introduced, well just started shipping, the TT24 which looks cool but there are only a handful out there now, I would like to use one sometime.

Problem is that your stuck with one brand of effects. I wish digital console manufacturers would get together and adopt a plug-in or memory card type standard for effect engines :) I'm a T.C. Electronic guy myself!

Chad

2488. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 14:43:39 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-42763C9B-240@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199560

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net
(For many of the same reasons Bush's Patriot Act is unconstitutional:
you don't have the right to a fair trial or to contest all evidence; the
accused is not afforded the right to be "presumed innocent until proven
guilty"
because guilt is presumed (or, in the words of the FCC, "liability" is
"apparent"); and guilt is determined by the accuser which is a conflict
of interest.)

>It's not much different than getting a speeding

>ticket.

Correct. Both are NON-criminal matters,

>You are presumed guilty by virtue of the

>citation, and have to go to court to prove

>innocence, if you are so inclined. Nothing

>unusual about that.

You have it backwards. There is no "virtue" of being presumed guilty and
never was. The court must prove your guilt, not the other way around.
When you walk into court, you say nothing initially, as it is up to the
other party to prove your guilt. Your entire concept of the justice
system is wrong.

>The idea that every law has to have "legal

>history" is ludicrous. At some point in history a

>precedent has to be set based on little more

>than circumstances.

The "precedent" becomes the history and the laws were derived from the
colonist's home land except those deemed to infringe upon the new
concept of freedom they were employing.

(According the the Supreme Court, that's not
"due process of law".)

>Not in the sense of a criminal court.

The matters you peak of are non-criminal in nature and in law.

>But then again, neither would the handling of

>summary offenses such as littering or

>speeding.

And freebanding and dxing also would NOT be handled by a criminal court.

2489. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 14:55:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-42763F54-242@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199561

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>First off, WNBC thought it better to eliminate

>Stern. Then he moved to infinity and the rest

>was history. He was such a cash cow for them
>that the fines were justifiable compared to

>revenue realized. In fact the publicity

>surrounding the FCC and Stern actually

>increased interest (ratings) in his show.

>Infinity did not "eliminate" Stern. Stern quit to

>move to Sirius Satellite radio where, he feels,

>his "creativity" would not be so constrained by

>the FCC rules of decency.

Stern did not quit. He is fulfilling the remainder of his contract and
chose not to renew it. If he quit, he would not have fulfilled the time
terms of his contract, which he is honoring.

>The gamble he's making is whether the

>tongue dragging set that lives and dies by his

>every guffaw will shell out the money to listen

>to him on Sirius.

No gamble by him,,it's a gamble by his sponsors.

>Mel Karmazin, Viacom's COO who jumped

>ship to become the CEO of Sirius, seems to

>think so.

Because Karmazin sees the entire picture and has the FACTS.

>I'm waiting until the FCC gets into the Satellite

>radio scene.

You'll be waiting a long, long time. Think of it as radio HBO. The feds
can't touch it in the manner you refer.

2490. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 15:00:17 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-42764081-243@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199563

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net

>You'd have to agree to a face-face for that.

How many more times do you need me to agree to that before you stop
running from your shadow?

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2491. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 15:04:31 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-4276417F-244@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199562

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 08:57:12 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
(Yes, and he IS HERE A LOT!!!
Even his fellow hams are about as anxious to be annoyed by him as we
are--or, at least it seems that way...)

>Yet you have yet to justify your position

>that

>the FCC should just give away radio spectrum
>to unlicensed users.

Ha ha ha.....no he doesn't. Only you feel that way. He needs justify his
actions and beliefs to no one, The fact you feel otherwise illustrates
how impotent and vastly insignificant you realize you are.

>Taking pot shots at me tells me that you have

>no substance to back up your claims.

Believing others need somehow justify their actions and beliefs to you
tells the world there is no credibility in your substance.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2492. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 15:12:25 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <NLydnd9P5oGF_evfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 199566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
> generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the
> radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
> And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
> duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
>
> Regards,
> John

Since all my radios, save for one two meter rig, are tube type, then I
will still be on the air.

2493. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 15:14:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <NLydnd5P5oEb_evfRVn-qg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 199567

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
>>emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now,
>>with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should vastly
>>improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
>>
>>
>>
>>Regards,
>>John
>
> now *who* is complaining about rewriting history?
>
> your assertion that ham played only a small "secondary part" in the tsunami
> distaster is simply put: false.

I even heard reports on the news media of ham radio helping out in the
Tsunami, never heard a single word about CB.

2494. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 16:17:14 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <427652fd$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 199564

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:rlat61dn7tqm81sitq75ob543tpvs62f8j@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 13:19:22 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>
>
> >$10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!
> >
> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> Not if you purchased a home, car, and pay property taxes based on your
ability
> to earn $25.
>
> Vinnie S.

It all comes down to priorities. You don't NEED a new car, 2500sqft house,
health club membership, Platinum MasterCard w/$10k balance....
If you pay cash for everything and save what you don't spend then a job loss
or salary reduction isn't so devastating. Yes I know the "American way" is
to spend more than you make and then, hopefully, be able to make the
payments between spending sprees.

Kevin, WB5RUE

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2495. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 16:59:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8jmc711svoiqnna5rttr4ja0c93v43ln1q@4ax.com>
Source record: 199565

On Mon, 2 May 2005 11:17:14 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:rlat61dn7tqm81sitq75ob543tpvs62f8j@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 13:19:22 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>>
>>
>> >$10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!
>> >
>> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>>
>>
>> Not if you purchased a home, car, and pay property taxes based on your
>ability
>> to earn $25.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>It all comes down to priorities. You don't NEED a new car, 2500sqft house,
>health club membership, Platinum MasterCard w/$10k balance....

Nonsense. I have a 1300 Sq foot house, 1900 if you count the finished basement. I paid $225,000. I have a car I bought new for $17,500. I put $9,000 down. I have about $400 outstanding credit card bills. No kids. I do not have any re-occcuring bills like a health club. If I lose my job and make $10 per hour, I am losing everything listed above.

>If you pay cash for everything and save what you don't spend then a job loss
>or salary reduction isn't so devastating.

So what you are telling me, is that if your family took a 60% pay cut, you would be OK? I don't think so.

>Yes I know the "American way" is
>to spend more than you make and then, hopefully, be able to make the
>payments between spending sprees.

There is alot of people like me, whose only bills are a mortgage and a car payment, aside from the utilities/etc. If you cut their pay by 60%, their all in trouble.

BTW, I took precaution and have 1 year's worth of mortgage payments in the bank, in case this ever happens. So to answer your question, I would have 1 year before I would be in trouble.

Vinnie S.

2496. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 18:22:00 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115252892.d6e4f4b6c98a4bfa03fa37d83f4ff8cb@bubbanews>
Source record: 199691

On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:12:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>On Tue, 3 May 2005 17:00:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate. Stu
>>freebanders don't use spread spectrum
>
>Others learn what they do not know. You deny. Carry on and off you go
>now....
>
>>teach us oh great one.
>
>
>I've been teaching you for years, oh vision of lackluster supreme!
>
>>tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter cb
>
>>radios
>
>Watch your temper now, junior. I never claimed there was a spread
>spectrum 11 meter cb radio. Let's go back to the grammar school level
>since you are so easily confused. You said freebanders don't use spread
>spectrum, and as always, just like a fingerprint, since you can think of
>no manner in which this technology can apply to the freeband, you deny
>it's existence. On your troubled and confused second thought and
>approach, you ASSume for this technology to exist,,(you said it didn't,
>now you posit yourself for the possibility based on your very own
>ignorance) it must be in the form of a spread spectrum 11 meter cb
>radio...a term only you invoked. Dude, you know jack, and you know him
>well.

really? your first response to me on the subject was after i posted

>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.

what part of 11 meter dont you understand?
if you think i'm wrong in denying the existence of 11 meter cb spread
spectrum, easy prove me wrong
so now you watch your temper little boy, you need grammar lessons, for
some reason your mind doesn't register 11 meters

2497. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 18:26:28 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115253159.7424ef3a9cc1e88e4826b227c9c3d76a@bubbanews>
Source record: 199752

On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:29:25 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>
>>where can we find one?
>
>
>The technology is there,,you just have to -learn- it. Tell you
>what,,think about part 15 transceivers,,,,think about chips,..... now
>think about different modes,,outside the box....think about
>UHF.....now,,,if you still are confused, please explain why automatic
>hopping of freqs on the freeband is such a difficult concept for you.
>Since you can't seem to grasp this idea, forget about even casually
>mentioning digital sequencing to you,,,,you'd win the pool!!! :)

no,the subject says 11 meters. not part 15 transceivers, not uhf.
what part of 11 meters cant you understand?

now answer the question,where can i find an 11 meter spread spectrum
cb radio? if you don't know admit it!

you just have to learn, you shouldnt make posts stating something as
fact unless you can back up your statements with facts.
you fall way short of that little boy

2498. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 18:30:56 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115253426.05e12bc5274aed119d140835b943c0b5@bubbanews>
Source record: 199745

On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:14:47 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>>why don't you tell us what the name is?
>
>Because you said it doesn't exist. Far be it from me to change your
>beliefs. If I continued to do that too frequently, I might get bored
>with you.
>
>>you can easily prove your point,
>
>Wasn't my point, it was yours.

no, you challenged my statement of

>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.

now back up your challenge

>
>>tell us about it.
>
>
>Ask the person you refer to as "us" when posting. You need all the help
>you can get. Of course, you realized this years ago...look to where I
>singlehandedly drove you with the greatest of ease.
>

yes you drove me to prove you wrong,thanks

>> far be it it from you to make a statement and
>
>>then not be able to back it up.
>
>Correct.

thats quite true,you made a statement and now you can't backit up
go away now, i'm done playing with you

2499. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 18:32:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Pmude.293$8g.49@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 199568

"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1114992573.363265.251480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> uhhh, no. Who needs a firewall?
>

Everyone should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible, your machine will respond to pinging.

Your machine is vulnerable without a firewall, *especially* if you are on broadband. Regardless, even a dial-up should have a good firewall as well as an anti-virus program running at all times whilst on the Internet. It is also a good idea to have an anti-spyware program as well.

Do a google search and see what you turn up.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2500. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 18:32:42 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115253532.7294c95be7c8e0297566f0745a811cc0@bubbanews>
Source record: 199751

On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:17:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>On Wed, 4 May 2005 12:02:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>right now. I'm still listening.
>
>>are they using spread spectrum?
>
>You're beginning to convince the contingency you don't even understand
>the technology of that you speak.

i guess they are using part 15 radios, or uhf then?
because they are not using 11 meter spread spectrum

2501. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 18:44:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115059497.524050.16370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 1 May 2005 06:32:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >ex-Ham wrote:
> >> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
> >> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> >> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams
only
> >talk
> >> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
> >with the
> >> > Ham BS, like in our area a person (the only one in his area)
> >tried to
> >> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make
it
> >to
> >> > their weather school, they would not listen.
> >
> > Nice try.
> >
> > Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an
Amateur
> >allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is
a
> >"CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
> >
> > "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
> >talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
> >efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur,
commercial,
> >military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR
timeliness.
>
>
> CB radio was -THE- method of emergency comm in the three natural
> disasters I have experienced. And in all three the hams couldn't quit
> posturing and bickering about procedure long enough to accomplish
> squat.

What "three natural disasters"...?!?!

Locations? Nature of disaster? Place? Dates?

> > And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT
the
> >medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
> >States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
> >462/467MHZ FM.
>
>
> Doesn't look that way to me:
>
> http://www.reactintl.org/

Better go back and re-read some of those press releases...Almost
every one about GMRS.

UHF-CB, AKA GMRS, is the prefered method of operation for REACT
teams.

And if CB is all-that for emergency communications, why is the
federal goverment putting money into the ARRL and ARES and >>NOT<<
REACT...???

> >> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:mTOce.185$cs1.7156881@news.sisna.com...
> >> >> Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute and discard
it.
> >> >> We already have one location where radio can be used
"properly",
> >the
> >> >> amateur
> >> >> bands.
> >> >> By the public simply "voting" with their use of radio, CB wins
> >hands
> >> >> down!
> >> >> Even if you were to force most of these people into the amateur
> >bands (me
> >> >> included) and "proper use of the radio", they would simply stop
> >using the
> >> >> radio and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see their use
of
> >the
> >> >> radio
> >> >> as "desirable", "proper" and "logical", ok, we can all get
along
> >here--go
> >> >> use it that way--simply stop trying to control others and let
them
> >have
> >> >> what
> >> >> makes 'em happy!!!
> >> >> Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair down", be
anonymous
> >and

> >> >> get
> >> >> in petty quibbles with the natives....
> >> >>
> >> >> Get real! CB exists because there is a "real" demand for
it!!!!
> >
> > There's also a very real demand for prophylactics, Game-boy's
and
> >Penthouse magazines...but they are of no use in emergency
> >communications either!
> >
> > CB radio serves a purpose. I have one and I use it on
occassion.
> >But it has long ago passed any expectation of being a viable means
of
> >emergency communications. As I said...even REACT has abandoned it.
>
> Despite your personal opinion, CB radio is -very- useful for
emergency
> comm because a) there are so many of them;

There are a great many of them. Quantity does absolutely no good
unless they are in the hands of trained operators.

b) most of them are mobile;

Can't argue that one.

> c) most of them are off the grid;

Waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay off the grid!

d) they are easy to use;

Obviously. IQ is not a dermining factor for turning one on.

e) there is a well-established organization in place to utilize the
band....

There is an organization. They do use the band. But they conduct
most of their operations on GMRS. They have even submitted a proposal
to the FCC to allow greater flexibility in then use of GMRS by
501(c)(3) organizations.

Note no mention of using 11 meters for emergency or "homeland
security" purposes.

> there are several more reasons. But what has been proven time and
time again
> is that when landlines fail in an emergency, CBers heed the call to
> duty just like every other citizen. After all, it's not called the
> Citizen's Band for nothing.

There are a great many Patriotic, well-intentioned persons who own a CB and would gladly lend it's use to any meaningful emergency purpose, no doubt.

However the Citizen's Band Radio Service (11 meters) is nothing more than a footnote in disaster communications planning and practice. The unpredictable propagation, lack of trained persons and the overall lawlessness and shennanigans that goes on the service make it all but useless for practical use.

But when the Federal, State or local governments or the Armed Forces need a RELIABLE method of anciliary communicaitons they turn to ARES, RACES or MARS for those needs.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2502. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 19:55:30 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115063730.147841.172190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199570

Kevin, WB5RUE wrote:
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:rlat61dn7tqm81sitq75ob543tpvs62f8j@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 13:19:22 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE"
<bitbucket@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >$10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!
> > >
> > >Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> >
> > Not if you purchased a home, car, and pay property taxes based on
your
> ability
> > to earn $25.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> It all comes down to priorities. You don't NEED a new car, 2500sqft
house,
> health club membership, Platinum MasterCard w/$10k balance....
> If you pay cash for everything and save what you don't spend then a
job loss
> or salary reduction isn't so devastating. Yes I know the "American
way" is
> to spend more than you make and then, hopefully, be able to make the
> payments between spending sprees.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

What the heck does this have to do with Cobra's 10 meter radios, Huh?

2503. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:02:46 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UHvde.44$vx4.3257404@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199573

Vinnie:

You wrote: "BTW, I took precaution and have 1 year's worth of mortgage payments in the bank,
in case this ever happens. So to answer your question, I would have 1 year before I would be in trouble. "

NO my friend, you are in trouble now! In one year, "YOU ARE DOOMED!!!"

Warmest regards,
John

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message news:8jmc711svoiqnna5rttr4ja0c93v43ln1q@4ax.com...
| On Mon, 2 May 2005 11:17:14 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:
|
| >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
| >news:rlat61dn7tqm81sitq75ob543tpvs62f8j@4ax.com...
| >> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 13:19:22 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
| >wrote:
| >>
| >>
| >> >$10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!
| >> >
| >> >Kevin, WB5RUE
| >>
| >>
| >> Not if you purchased a home, car, and pay property taxes based on your
| >ability
| >> to earn $25.
| >>
| >> Vinnie S.
| >
| >It all comes down to priorities. You don't NEED a new car, 2500sqft house,
| >health club membership, Platinum MasterCard w/$10k balance....
|
| Nonsense. I have a 1300 Sq foot house, 1900 if you count the finished basement.
| I paid $225,000. I have a car I bought new for $17,500. I put $9,000 down. I
| have about $400 outstanding credit card bills. No kids. I do not have any
| re-occcuring bills like a health club. If I lose my job and make $10 per

hour, I
| am losing everything listed above.
|
|
| >If you pay cash for everything and save what you don't spend then a job
loss
| >or salary reduction isn't so devastating.
|
| So what you are telling me, is that if your family took a 60% pay cut, you
would
| be OK? I don't think so.
|
| >Yes I know the "American way" is
| >to spend more than you make and then, hopefully, be able to make the
| >payments between spending sprees.
|
| There is alot of people like me, whose only bills are a mortgage and a car
| payment, aside from the utilities/etc. If you cut their pay by 60%, their
all in
| trouble.
|
|
| BTW, I took precaution and have 1 year's worth of mortgage payments in the
bank,
| in case this ever happens. So to answer your question, I would have 1 year
| before I would be in trouble.
|
| Vinnie S.

2504. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:19:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <oXvde.48$UD1.3018892@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199572

I am not arguing that the FCC should do ANYTHING!!! Well, other than assist the people in using "THEIR" radio spectrum... the radio spectrum is NOT theirs to do anything with!!!

Regards,
John

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message news:g54c71ttiovho40tgpqh3d4bsr1bkfr2en@4ax.com...
| On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 08:57:12 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >Yes, and he IS HERE A LOT!!!
| >Even his fellow hams are about as anxious to be annoyed by him as we
| >are--or, at least it seems that way...
|
|
| Yet you have yet to justify your position that the FCC should just
| give away radio spectrum to unlicensed users. Taking pot shots at me
| tells me that you have no substance to back up your claims.
|
|
| Dave
| "Sandbagger"
| http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2505. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:23:03 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42768aef.29697671@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 199571

On Mon, 02 May 2005 18:32:15 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>
>"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
><keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1114992573.363265.251480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> uhhh, no. Who needs a firewall?
>>
>
>*Everyone* should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible, your
>machine will respond to pinging.

If I have a router in between you won't see my PC, firewall or not.
My router doesn't broadcast my local machine address's.

>
>Your machine is vulnerable without a firewall, *especially* if you are on
>broadband. Regardless, even a dial-up should have a good firewall as well
>as an anti-virus program running at all times whilst on the Internet. It is
>also a good idea to have an anti-spyware program as well.

A dialup is one of the biggest cases where you need a firewall.
Almost all dialups are directly to the internet. Your local PC IP
address is your dialup internet address.

>
>Do a google search and see what you turn up.
>
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>

2506. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:24:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_%vde.51$qx4.3256459@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199575

One would have to go down there and ask the "people in the streets."
As, I suspect their gov't would tell us quite another thing... same thing
here, that is why this discussion is taking place in the first place--CB
radio needs no "justification", it is much more active than amateur simply
because it it more useful and the public has more of a demand for it... I
suspect the gov't here does not find it that attractive--and neither do the
hams... I suspect the gov't there (and hams) to be the same!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:TSpde.3489$D91.3289@fed1read01...
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
| Hash: SHA1
|
| John Smith wrote:
|
| > In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
| > emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now,
| > with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should
vastly
| > improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
| >
| >
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
| now *who* is complaining about rewriting history?
|
| your assertion that ham played only a small "secondary part" in the
tsunami
| distaster is simply put: false.
|
| it was reporting in even a number of respectable sources throughout the
| region that ham radio allowed government ministry personally to keep in
| contact with the many islands that still had capability. mo where (I
REPEAT
| *NO WHERE*) was CB radio ever mentioned as a valid or utilized
| communications medium.
|
| if you can prove otherwise, please show me the links.
|
| - --
| DE N7ZZT

| Eric Oyen
| Phoenix, Arizona
| e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
| the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
| has its limits.
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
| Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)
|
| iD8DBQFCdioxhomUgK3bdaYRAhPIAJ4q+E6RbtL7oy7gC0CAncsrl18TDwCdESlk
| HRQh2JkIelSqnWihv4OgOn0=
| =/3Rg
| -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2507. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:26:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <W1wde.52$iB.2945057@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199574

My sister works for a religious charity, she was there, I am just going on what she told me...
You are right, the news made no mention which I seen--however, I see a lot which fails to be mentioned, or at least "correctly mentioned" on the news...

Regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message news:NLydnd5P5oEb_evfRVn-qg@gbronline.com...
| N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
| > -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
| > Hash: SHA1
| >
| > John Smith wrote:
| >
| >
| >>In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
| >>emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now,
| >>with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should vastly
| >>improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
| >>
| >>
| >>
| >>Regards,
| >>John
| >
| > now *who* is complaining about rewriting history?
| >
| > your assertion that ham played only a small "secondary part" in the tsunami
| > distaster is simply put: false.
|
| I even heard reports on the news media of ham radio helping out in the
| Tsunami, never heard a single word about CB.

2508. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:30:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <E6wde.54$D65.3306609@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No. But, a huge number of those CB's in attics and basements will be drug
out (they will work fine, they havent' been hooked to an antenna.)
Some truckers will be behind mountains, in tunnels, under underpasses, etc,
etc...
The HUGE NUMBER of CB radios vs. the number of ham radios, by the law of
probability and statistics, is what guarantees there will be GOBS of CB's
around...
Think man!!! Think!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115039977.ae9f28d9959d4d6c514e2b3e39a53c4a@bubbanews...
| On Sun, 1 May 2005 18:07:15 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and
the
| >EMP sizzles their front ends...
| >
| >Regards,
| >John
| >
|
| of course all CB's are EMP hardened, and an EMP pulse wouldn't sizzle
| their front ends. your an idiot john smith.

2509. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:31:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <G6wde.55$tw1.3009645@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199577

See my other posts, I have just answered this above...

Regards,
John

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:PZpde.3491$D91.3418@fed1read01...
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
| Hash: SHA1
|
| John Smith wrote:
|
| > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and
| > the EMP sizzles their front ends...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
| same could be asked for most consumer grade electronics devices (including
| CB radios). Believe me, they haven't yet built a cb or a ham rig that can
| handle a close lightning strike (same effect as an emp blast at 70 miles
| up) unless you are talking about old tube gear.
|
| anymore, most everything solid state is made to be as "cheap" as possible.
| to harden against the effects of EMP and other "radiation" sources would
| make everything almost unaffordable (unless you are a government or
| military org).
|
| - --
| DE N7ZZT
| Eric Oyen
| Phoenix, Arizona
| e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
| the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
| has its limits.
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
| Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)
|
| iD8DBQFCdivthomUgK3bdaYRAoXXAKCk8426TaR4sQYxnuSyU5CXgJgLGwCeIB72
| BOpwei32TemisxqBI08SgQM=
| =ZiPU
| -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2510. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:34:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <h9wde.56$i73.3131517@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Smokey reports, would quickly be replaced with disaster reports... even the
smokeys would realize the time had come to watch their A$$E$ and the
truckers could then concentrate on helping!!!

But, your point is well taken, right now the smokeys are the biggest
problem, and CB is an excellent tool... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115040138.737f125a19dc048240aa4ab3afc59d0b@bubbanews...
| On Sun, 1 May 2005 19:39:03 -0400, "Tex Wycoff"
| <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote:
|
| >Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
| >and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
| >hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
| >
| >Good Numbers,
| >
| >Tex
| >
| >
|
| not all ham radio depends on repeaters. dumbass cb'ers would be to
| busy asking for smokey reports to help in an emergency. simple. even
| a low life cb'er can comprehend that. no, nevermind. they can't.
|
| 4-10 good buddy.

2511. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:54:05 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <jswde.3519$D91.3237@fed1read01>
Source record: 199579

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> One would have to go down there and ask the "people in the streets."
> As, I suspect their gov't would tell us quite another thing... same thing
> here, that is why this discussion is taking place in the first place--CB
> radio needs no "justification", it is much more active than amateur simply
> because it it more useful and the public has more of a demand for it... I
> suspect the gov't here does not find it that attractive--and neither do
> the hams... I suspect the gov't there (and hams) to be the same!!!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

"People in the streets"? come off it. no reports of any kind relevant to cb radio use ever made it into ANY media reports from that part of the world. if CB were being used as part of disaster relief, there would be at least some mention of it.

at the time of the disaster, the government was concentrating on 1 thing: getting and dispensing information. cell phones and traditional infrastructure bound communications systems were out or severely overloaded. ham radio (and there are far more hams in that part of the world per percentage of population than here) basically saved their bacon.

lastly, your assertion that cb radio is more active than ham may or may not be entirely correct. here in the continental US, that may be true. in other places across the planet (where such "unlicensed" operations would be strictly controlled) this may not be the case. I am afraid you are going to have to prove this point with real facts and figures from credible sources before I can take it seriously.

btw, I happen to have come up from the land of the "great unwashed" cb'ers. I left a lot of the old bad habits behind when I took the test and got my ticket. to this day, I spend a potload more time listening than talking (it is amazing what one learns when one decides to engage the brain and close the mouth). what I find most pleasing about ham radio is the comradery and respect I get from fellow amateurs in the community. a lot of my friends moved up to ham after I did and we still yack on simplex. most of what I got from cb was "cuss this and threat that" and a lot of heat and discontent. mind you, there are some good folks there, but I heardly ever hear them on anymore.

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdpOOhomUgK3bdaYRAsBDAJ4hcK/7AE9yfzAleJDTJOVF3WEvAQCfZeDA
yWuxHD7HAGSk06fxAMig7eE=
=xp60
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2512. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:56:14 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <Ntwde.3520$D91.2728@fed1read01>
Source record: 199580

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> My sister works for a religious charity, she was there, I am just going on
> what she told me...
> You are right, the news made no mention which I seen--however, I see a lot
> which fails to be mentioned, or at least "correctly mentioned" on the
> news...
>
> Regards,
> John
I wasn't specifically referring to the news media (agreed that they don't
always report whats relevent). However, given the great many sources of
independant (and credible) information available, one would think that at
least a handful of mentions might have made it out.

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdpPuhomUgK3bdaYRAkDEAKCFvT7ZNVjCMj16Hu+FZ2Xv0vCsPwCgyARk
LDMRYDq9Xr2Z00bMelIL2Ao=
=2tD3
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2513. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 20:57:42 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <9vwde.3522$D91.2190@fed1read01>
Source record: 199581

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> See my other posts, I have just answered this above...
>
> Regards,
> John
with regards to emp, yeah, I got that. however, how many people actually
have workable old tube gear stowed in their basements, attaics or storage
lockers. not many these days. its starting to even get hard to find
replacement tubes for the 101ee I have sitting here on the desk.

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdpRGhomUgK3bdaYRAtOEAKCNbNXJ7rIHgN/iZTBbKdLLIF6+uACfRYd/
QbxS8viW9+lEXr+rSSi3NsY=
=4W5c
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2514. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:02:40 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9a5d71l0khlkpb2hgkismrqm4nghjhaa6u@4ax.com>
Source record: 199582

On 2 May 2005 12:55:30 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>What the heck does this have to do with Cobra's 10 meter radios, Huh?

I own one years' worth of mortgage, in Cobra 10 meter radios !

Vinnie S.

2515. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:11:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <kp5d71h1s8lijnfpb542f8msbp58hvd4bl@4ax.com>
Source record: 199583

On Mon, 02 May 2005 20:23:03 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Mon, 02 May 2005 18:32:15 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
><aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
>><keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1114992573.363265.251480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>> uhhh, no. Who needs a firewall?
>>>
>>
>>*Everyone* should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible, your
>>machine will respond to pinging.
>
>If I have a router in between you won't see my PC, firewall or not.
>My router doesn't broadcast my local machine address's.

I too have a router, but am not sure if I am invisible. I do use the router
firewall and software firewall.

Vinnie S.

2516. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:13:46 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <luGdnUwovq2bBevfRVn-hQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 199584

```
Steveo wrote:
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
>> news:DR%ce.35374$h6.35138@tornado.texas.rr.com...
>>> Ping 205.162.217.34
>>> [cblmdm205-162-217-34.buckeye-express.com]
>>>
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 56 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
>>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 48 ms
>>>
>>>
>>> Average time over 10 pings: 51.4 ms
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Ping 68.41.138.160
>> [bgp987471bgs.madsnh01.mi.comcast.net]
>>
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 49 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 48 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 49 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 47 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 60 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 61 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 73 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 52 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 64 ms
>> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 62 ms
>>
>> Average time over 10 pings: 56.5 ms
>>
>> Ping time coincidence? Nah.
>>
> Who do we know in madison hts?
>
> http://img145.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00032pg.jpg
```

>
> OH!

I rated that image "Astonishing!". ;-)

Jeff

2517. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:33:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502173355.964$nY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
> > generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding
> > the radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get
> > another.... And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf
> > for a short duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
> >
> > Regards,
> > John
>
> Since all my radios, save for one two meter rig, are tube type, then I
> will still be on the air.
>
ewe collins show off.

2518. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:39:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <l6xde.59$qn6.3409023@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199496

Well, ok, let's accept, for the moment, that CB is used by idiots and stupid people who cuss and make threats--that is still no reason they don't need a radio, if that's how they choose to express themselves--this is America--more power to 'em.

Now, if the FORCE others to listen, or to partake with them... or worse, to deny the hams use of their radios--THAT WOULD BE BAD!!!

Indeed, then they would be no better than the hams!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:jswde.3519$D91.3237@fed1read01...
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
| Hash: SHA1
|
| John Smith wrote:
|
| > One would have to go down there and ask the "people in the streets."
| > As, I suspect their gov't would tell us quite another thing... same thing
| > here, that is why this discussion is taking place in the first place--CB
| > radio needs no "justification", it is much more active than amateur simply
| > because it it more useful and the public has more of a demand for it... I
| > suspect the gov't here does not find it that attractive--and neither do
| > the hams... I suspect the gov't there (and hams) to be the same!!!
| >
| > Warmest regards,
| > John
|
| "People in the streets"? come off it. no reports of any kind relevant to cb
| radio use ever made it into ANY media reports from that part of the world.
| if CB were being used as part of disaster relief, there would be at least
| some mention of it.
|
| at the time of the disaster, the government was concentrating on 1 thing:
| getting and dispensing information. cell phones and traditional
| infrastructure bound communications systems were out or severely
| overloaded. ham radio (and there are far more hams in that part of the
| world per percentage of population than here) basically saved their bacon.

```
|
| lastly, your assertion that cb radio is more active than ham may or may
not
| be entirely correct. here in the continental US, that may be true. in
other
| places across the planet (where such "unlicensed" operations would be
| strictly controlled) this may not be the case. I am afraid you are going
to
| have to prove this point with real facts and figures from credible sources
| before I can take it seriously.
|
| btw, I happen to have come up from the land of the "great unwashed"
cb'ers.
| I left a lot of the old bad habits behind when I took the test and got my
| ticket. to this day, I spend a potload more time listening than talking
(it
| is amazing what one learns when one decides to engage the brain and close
| the mouth). what I find most pleasing about ham radio is the comradery and
| respect I get from fellow amateurs in the community. a lot of my friends
| moved up to ham after I did and we still yack on simplex. most of what I
| got from cb was "cuss this and threat that" and a lot of heat and
| discontent. mind you, there are some good folks there, but I heardly ever
| hear them on anymore.
|
| - --
| DE N7ZZT
| Eric Oyen
| Phoenix, Arizona
| e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
| the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
| has its limits.
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
| Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)
|
| iD8DBQFCdpOOhomUgK3bdaYRAsBDAJ4hcK/7AE9yfzAleJDTJOVF3WEvAQCfZeDA
| yWuxHD7HAGSk06fxAMig7eE=
| =xp60
| -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2519. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:42:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502174205.285$bm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199586

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>> I even heard reports on the news media of ham radio helping out in the
> Tsunami, never heard a single word about CB.
>
Well that seals it then, JJ. Cber's are only good for dusting your
britches.

2520. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:46:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <rexde.60$hx6.3536015@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199495

Transistor "gear" would survive, if NOT hooked to an antenna, especially, if "shielded" or in a metal enclosure--mobile home, metal cabinet, file cabinet, basement, etc, etc....

Fact is, there are MILLIONS of more CB's than ham radios... indeed, many of the late 60's-70's right up today are still used...

If you would even argue that the number of ham radios, in comparison to CB's--would make a pimple on the number of CB radios A$$--a three-day observation would be in order... there is one in every truck out there!!! (well, literally!)

Every listen on CB? Have you noticed all the Mexican stations and So. American stations there now? The numbers are GROWING!!!! In fact, take a spanish class... and, those stations are showing up on 10 meters too!!!

Then start counting the other ones....

Warmest regards,
John
"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:9vwde.3522$D91.2190@fed1read01...
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
| Hash: SHA1
|
| John Smith wrote:
|
| > See my other posts, I have just answered this above...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
| with regards to emp, yeah, I got that. however, how many people actually
| have workable old tube gear stowed in their basements, attaics or storage
| lockers. not many these days. its starting to even get hard to find
| replacement tubes for the 101ee I have sitting here on the desk.
|
| - --
| DE N7ZZT
| Eric Oyen
| Phoenix, Arizona
| e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
| the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
| has its limits.
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
| Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)
|

```
| iD8DBQFCdpRGhomUgK3bdaYRAtOEAKCNbNXJ7rIHgN/iZTBbKdLLIF6+uACfRYd/
| QbxS8viW9+lEXr+rSSi3NsY=
| =4W5c
| -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2521. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:48:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502174837.827$IX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199587

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> BTW, I took precaution and have 1 year's worth of mortgage payments in
> the bank, in case this ever happens. So to answer your question, I would
> have 1 year before I would be in trouble.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
How many cement shoes does that equate to, Enzo?

2522. Leland hopes to meet a women someday.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 21:58:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502175819.235$1g_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199588

truthsayer@thetruth.com wrote:
> You want to attack Doug and your wife wants to attack his
> wife? You are queerer than I thought.
>
Maybe someday if you ever sober up, you may meet a women too Leland.

Till then it looks like your only reason to be here is to call everyone
gay in hopes of finding a date. I don't swing that way, aol-boi.

2523. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 22:03:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502180341.869$FW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199589

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1114992573.363265.251480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > uhhh, no. Who needs a firewall?
> >
>
> *Everyone* should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible,
> your machine will respond to pinging.
>
Hello Jim.

Save your time explaining anything to dogie except maybe proper antenna installation. :D

http://img64.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogie049cy.jpg

2524. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 22:08:00 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <4xxde.32609$AE6.31480@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199590

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:kp5d71h1s8lijnfpb542f8msbp58hvd4bl@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 02 May 2005 20:23:03 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 02 May 2005 18:32:15 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
>><aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
>>>><keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>news:1114992573.363265.251480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>> uhhh, no. Who needs a firewall?
>>>>
>>>
>>>*Everyone* should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible,
>>>your
>>>machine will respond to pinging.
>>
>>If I have a router in between you won't see my PC, firewall or not.
>>My router doesn't broadcast my local machine address's.
>
> I too have a router, but am not sure if I am invisible. I do use the
> router
> firewall and software firewall.
>
>
> Vinnie S.

I can't see you. But then again, you may just be too far away. <g>

2525. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 22:18:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502181831.207$PW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> Waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay off the grid!
>
> d) they are easy to use;
>
> Obviously. IQ is not a dermining factor for turning one on.
>
Nor is throwing rocks at them. Some of you ham guys (not all) can't get enough
of it. Todd is on line one for you, asking bout how to turn his radio on. :D
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2526. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 22:22:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502182211.079$Vy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199593

```
"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> >> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> >> news:DR%ce.35374$h6.35138@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> >>> Ping 205.162.217.34
> >>> [cblmdm205-162-217-34.buckeye-express.com]
> >>>
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 56 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 51 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 47 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 57 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 50 ms
> >>> Round trip time to 205.162.217.34: 48 ms
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Average time over 10 pings: 51.4 ms
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >> Ping 68.41.138.160
> >> [bgp987471bgs.madsnh01.mi.comcast.net]
> >>
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 49 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 48 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 49 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 47 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 60 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 61 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 73 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 52 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 64 ms
> >> Round trip time to 68.41.138.160: 62 ms
> >>
> >> Average time over 10 pings: 56.5 ms
> >>
> >> Ping time coincidence? Nah.
> >>
> > Who do we know in madison hts?
> >
```

> > http://img145.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00032pg.jpg
> >
> > OH!
>
> I rated that image "Astonishing!". ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
It's almost priceless, Jeff. ;)

2527. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 22:22:33 UTC
From: anon <anon@cbrusnronetenfour.net>
Message-ID: <d5694edvn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

One has only to attend any hamfest to see what buffoons hams
are. Old unshaven obese men with five handi-talkies on their belt,
their belt buckle hidden by rolls of fat and the filthy nacho cheese
stained t-shirts they wear. Always whining about how great ham
radio *used* to be, giving newcomers at their club meeting
the cold shoulder, then wondering why ham radio is becoming
ever more insignificant, while CB radio grows bigger each year.

2528. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 22:30:16 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <YRxde.32641$AE6.9069@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 199494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"anon" <anon@cbrusnronetenfour.net> wrote in message
news:d5694edvn0@pita.alt.net...
> One has only to attend any hamfest to see what buffoons hams
> are. Old unshaven obese men with five handi-talkies on their belt,
> their belt buckle hidden by rolls of fat and the filthy nacho cheese
> stained t-shirts they wear. Always whining about how great ham
> radio *used* to be, giving newcomers at their club meeting
> the cold shoulder, then wondering why ham radio is becoming
> ever more insignificant,

True that, the above part anyway. There's always the new crowd....you know the types....the one's you walked up behing in grade school carrying about 20 books....and that one in middle fell out! For them, ham radio has taken the place of women. The part below, doubtful.

while CB radio grows bigger each year.
>
>
>
>

2529. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 23:02:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <tkyde.791$ip7.3814508@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199497

I am not positive here (and I am no lawyer--but the man you stalk needs to take your posts and pics to one), but you seem in violation of the stalking laws--I think if he now sues your A$$ he will have gobs of money to feed his kids with!!!

Regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:20050502180341.869$FW@newsreader.com...
| "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
| > "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
| > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
| > news:1114992573.363265.251480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
| > > uhhh, no. Who needs a firewall?
| > >
| >
| > *Everyone* should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible,
| > your machine will respond to pinging.
| >
| Hello Jim.
|
| Save your time explaining anything to dogie except maybe proper antenna
| installation. :D
|
| http://img64.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogie049cy.jpg

2530. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 23:07:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502190742.246$2S@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199498

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> I am not positive here
>
>>SHOCKING<<

2531. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 23:57:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <f7zde.1850$B5.4248734@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199510

No. NOT SHOCKING...
ECCONOMICLY BURDENING!!!--to the party sued...

Regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050502190742.246$2S@newsreader.com...
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
| > I am not positive here
| >
| >>SHOCKING<<

2532. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 23:58:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mkfd7112s13bvuhd3lrtmtk429ql3kav02@4ax.com>
Source record: 199499

On Mon, 02 May 2005 22:08:00 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>>>>"mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping"
>>>><keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1114992573.363265.251480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> uhhh, no. Who needs a firewall?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>*Everyone* should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible,
>>>>your
>>>>machine will respond to pinging.
>>>
>>>If I have a router in between you won't see my PC, firewall or not.
>>>My router doesn't broadcast my local machine address's.
>>
>> I too have a router, but am not sure if I am invisible. I do use the
>> router
>> firewall and software firewall.
>>
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>I can't see you. But then again, you may just be too far away. <g>

Get some glasses !!!

Vinnie S.

2533. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-02 23:59:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rlfd7192lnp4j4gcginjd0d46aujlmhrm8@4ax.com>
Source record: 199500

On 02 May 2005 21:48:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>> BTW, I took precaution and have 1 year's worth of mortgage payments in
>> the bank, in case this ever happens. So to answer your question, I would
>> have 1 year before I would be in trouble.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>How many cement shoes does that equate to, Enzo?

Alot in shoes, much less in Cobra 10 meter radios !

Vinnie S.

2534. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 00:00:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502200029.677$FF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199501

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> Get some glasses !!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Sol's reading glasses?

2535. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 00:02:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502200210.278$bD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199502

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 02 May 2005 21:48:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >>> BTW, I took precaution and have 1 year's worth of mortgage payments
> >>> in
> >> the bank, in case this ever happens. So to answer your question, I
> >> would have 1 year before I would be in trouble.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >How many cement shoes does that equate to, Enzo?
>
> Alot in shoes, much less in Cobra 10 meter radios !
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Dang, you might have cataracts from all that RF over the years. Want
Sol's eye doctor's number?

2536. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 00:38:31 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-4276C807-307@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199503

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>I am not positive here (and I am no

>lawyer--but the man you stalk needs to take

>your posts and pics to one), but you seem in

>violation of the stalking laws--

Cite the single passage you misinterpret him violating as pertains to
stalking laws.

>I think if he now sues your A$$ he will have

>gobs of money to feed his kids with!!!

>Regards,

>John

No chance at all. Mopar was publicly invited by Dogie. -You- need to
talk to a lawyer and have him properly explain what constitutes
stalking, as you are misinformed. In the meantime, try not to think
about such things.

2537. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 00:43:56 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-4276C94C-309@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199504

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute

>and discard it. We already have one location

>where radio can be used "properly", the

>amateur bands.

Listen to some of those bands. You can find hate and discontent on the
air even when you can't find it on the cb.

>By the public simply "voting" with their use of

>radio, CB wins hands down! Even if you were

>to force most of these people into the amateur
>bands (me included) and "proper use of the

>radio", they would simply stop using the radio

>and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see

>their use of the radio as "desirable", "proper"

>and "logical", ok, we can all get along here--go
>use it that way--simply stop trying to control

>others and let them have what makes 'em

>happy!!!

Exactly. Hammie radidio makes for a great tool after tsunami's,
hurricanes, and earthquakes.

>Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair

>down", be anonymous and get in petty

>quibbles with the natives....

So do many of the hammies,,,that's whey they reinvent themselves on the
internet.

>Get real! CB exists because there is a "real"

>demand for it!!!!

>Warmest regards,

>John

As long as we have truckers and highways we will have cb.

2538. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 00:51:03 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-4276CAF7-311@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199507

From: k4yz@aol.com (K4YZ)
ex-Ham wrote:
"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>"In real cases in a emergency CB will reach

>more people as Hams only talk to Hams,

>CBers will talk to any body and they do not

>waste time with the Ham BS, like in our area a
>person (the only one in his area) tried to

>report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but

>since he did not make it to their weather

>school, they would not listen."

-

>   Nice try.

>   Hams only talk to Hams since no one

>else should be on an Amateur allocation.

>ANYone, whether they have an Amateur

>license or not, is a "CBer" if they are using a

>CB radio.

>   "Emergency communications" is NOT

>about how many people you can talk to...It's

>about conducting disaster-mitigating

>operations in an efficient and timely

>manner...whether that is on Amateur,

>commercial, military, etc. CB radio is NOT

>conducive to efficiency OR timeliness.

I disagree. Emergency communications is deemed "whatever steps necessary
to make contact", and if that means firing up a multi-kilowatt Davemade
or some other big linear to make contact, so be it. In fact, in this
scenario, the cb would be very conducive, efficient, and timely in

multiple scenarios and examples.

> And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11

>meters, anyway...) is NOT the medium of

>choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in

>the United States. Even REACT has

>abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at

>462/467MHZ FM.

REACT is alive and well in certain areas of the country and channel 9 in addition to 19 is still monitored. REACT did not abandon cb, they still use it in addition to cell phones, lap tops, pc's, what-have-you.

2539. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 00:54:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9845-4276CBDA-92@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199506

From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZT - Eric Oyen)
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1
Bill Sohl wrote:
No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams,
but
it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.
Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>yeah. that is most certainly true.

>unfortunately, some of those "idiots" tend to

>end up in positions of power/responsibility and
>that's where their stupidity can endanger folks.
And some of those idiots that can't achieve positions of
power/responsibility but long for it, come to usenet to seek it in the
form of bitching about other's actions.

>DE N7ZZT

>Eric Oyen

>Phoenix, Arizona

>>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

>the difference between intelligence and

>stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

Too bad ignorance isn't painful.

2540. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 00:56:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502205642.814$xZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199505

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John=A0Smith)
> >I am not positive here (and I am no
>
> >lawyer--but the man you stalk needs to take
>
> >your posts and pics to one), but you seem in
>
> >violation of the stalking laws--
>
> Cite the single passage you misinterpret him violating as pertains to
> stalking laws.
>
> >I think if he now sues your A$$ he will have
>
> >gobs of money to feed his kids with!!!
>
> >Regards,
>
> >John
>
> No chance at all. Mopar was publicly invited by Dogie. -You- need to
> talk to a lawyer and have him properly explain what constitutes
> stalking, as you are misinformed. In the meantime, try not to think
> about such things.
>
Thanks Twist. One only needs to read 4 or 5 of "John Smiths" posts
to realize he is a kOOk. Anyone that has an inkling of taking me
to court best have a strong enough case to pay for the counter suit,
from a snake in the grass attorney. I hate lawyers enough to have a
few as customers, so I can keep up with the shit that goes down.

2541. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 01:00:13 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-4276CD1D-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199509

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Good thing I don't have to retest. Dang! I wasn't aware that amateur
radio was dependent upon repeaters. I can't remember what repeaters I've
used to use on 160 meters (not to mention 75, 40, 20, or 15). I did use
the 10 meter repeater from a 440 port a couple of times to chat with
Australia, but that is, of course, not appropriate for an emergency.
Of course, if it actually is, you'll be happy to know we have a few
repeaters that are *not* connected to the mains. Windmill and solar do
work, but I wouldn't expect one of your obvious intellectual ability to
understand those concepts.
LOL
Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
-
"ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
<editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in much
of the U.S. at many times of day.
-
You mean you didn't know life begins and ends on 2 meter for some of our
resident nocodes? Oh sure,,,6 meter (rolls one good eye) ,,now that was
the real joke on hammie radio funner arounders <g>,,,but hell, SOMETHING
was needed in order to lend the impression of "technical" to such a
class.

2542. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 01:05:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-4276CE5E-317@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199508

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Yeah, Tex
And when we had the big ice storm back in the early 90s, why was the
police department in one area severely affected switched to an amateur
repeater?
Ever been around after a big blow? Ever notice that it is the *large*
trees, not the small ones, that get blown over?
Try a google search on "wind loading" and see if you can figure it out.
BTW, after that ice storm, power and telephone service was cut for
hundreds of miles. They were asking for volunteer amateur *hf*
operators. They needed reliable communications covering hundreds of
miles.
I am aware that a number of cbers run excessive power. Should you run
hundreds or thousands of watts, you will be heard for 100 miles or
perhaps a bit more. 70 miles, for certain. Unfortunately, 90% of the
folks that do hear you and answer you won't be able to hear. Unless, of
course, they are also running far far in excess of the 12 watts pep ssb.

_
Heya Jimbo!

During a critical emergency, it wouldn't matter if you could hear those
who could hear you or not. Just keep repeating your SOS asking anyone
within earshot to send help. Keep pluggin' away at it..help will
come,,,,,the speed in which assistance arrives should be directily
proportional to the amount of watts you are running <g>. I agree with
the rest of your post.

2543. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 01:21:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9844-4276D226-321@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199513

From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZT - Eric Oyen)
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1
John Smith wrote:
In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part... now,
with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should
vastly improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
Regards,
John

>now *who* is complaining about rewriting

>history?

>your assertion that ham played only a small

>"secondary part" in the tsunami distaster is

>simply put: false.

>it was reporting in even a number of

>respectable sources throughout the region

>that ham radio allowed government ministry

>personally to keep in contact with the many

>islands that still had capability. mo where (I

>REPEAT *NO WHERE*) was CB radio ever

>mentioned as a valid or utilized

>communications medium.

As usual, you're wrong, Eric.

>if you can prove otherwise, please show me

>the links.

Links? How about off the top of my head I give you the proper info and
you do your own homework and if you fail to locate the educational
material you have been given, come on back and I'll spoon feed you the
links.
For starters, check the ACBRO TEAM INC in Australia. Or how about the
Lions Club in the UK with their praise for cb over what you say didn't

occur....help with the tsunami.
Just for kicks, a few other organizations that come to mind that not only depend upon cb but require it.. check the Disaster Management Plan belonging to the Coast Guard of India. This was put into law and devised right after the Barren volcano eruption in the early nineties. Of course, there are countless more, but this ought keep you occupied for the time being.

- --
>DE N7ZZT

>Eric Oyen

>Phoenix, Arizona

>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2544. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 01:26:49 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sq2dnRmLqOD8TuvfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 199511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

We all know that the 146MHz Band with no repeater needed goes father for the same amount of power, and audio is much better compared to CB . Also the talkies on this band has a good range for its antenna size, where as the CB talkies are useless. The FRS or any 460MHz equipment sucks for any range, some say good for 6 miles, yeh right, maybe in a line of site arrangement, in most cases .5 to one mile Max.

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:h9wde.56$i73.3131517@news.sisna.com...
> Smokey reports, would quickly be replaced with disaster reports... even
> the
> smokeys would realize the time had come to watch their A$$E$ and the
> truckers could then concentrate on helping!!!
>
> But, your point is well taken, right now the smokeys are the biggest
> problem, and CB is an excellent tool... <grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1115040138.737f125a19dc048240aa4ab3afc59d0b@bubbanews...
> | On Sun, 1 May 2005 19:39:03 -0400, "Tex Wycoff"
> | <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote:
> |
> | >Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> | >and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> | >hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
> | >
> | >Good Numbers,
> | >
> | >Tex
> | >
> | >
> |
> | not all ham radio depends on repeaters. dumbass cb'ers would be to
> | busy asking for smokey reports to help in an emergency. simple. even
> | a low life cb'er can comprehend that. no, nevermind. they can't.
> |
> | 4-10 good buddy.
>
>

2545. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 01:32:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050502213204.424$gp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199512

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> No. NOT SHOCKING...
> ECCONOMICLY BURDENING!!!--to the party sued...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050502190742.246$2S@newsreader.com...
> | "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> | > I am not positive here
> | >
> | >>SHOCKING<<
>
Do ewe soo the mall when you fall down in the parking lot too, F U Bailey?

2546. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 01:37:28 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115084248.917371.5200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199514

My god! this is going on and on and on and on! WHEN WILL THIS EVER
STOPPPPPPP!!!!!!!!!!!!

2547. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 02:00:54 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07>
Source record: 199515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeez,

CBers vs. Hams

What's the point in debating which is better?

Both have positives and negatives.

CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of the national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver for a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous, you can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency, can't get away from a high noise floor.

Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of riff-raft, frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance you're looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and access numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham bands also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity of techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be hard for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters or 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.

I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big cities. Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to use for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.

At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you can do with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to channel 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to them. They are always gratefull.

When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I made with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with now-a-days is antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.

I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this thread. If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go get

a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get a ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too hard for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses out.

They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has no signal.

I'll choose to remain anonymous here.

Guy

2548. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you ar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 02:28:32 UTC
From: Doug <dt12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <klBde.10$Nk3.1@fe18.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 199516
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Yah, enough is enough about Jesus, Savior of your world. I want to hear more about Phudda the vacuum salesman. Doug...

"Cliff" <thecowboyace@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1114983874.994513.266740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Enough is enough. Start your on rec.GodisGreat group and post to your
> heart's delight. This has not one thing to do with rec.radio.cb.
>

2549. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? GOOGLE?NEWSGROUP?POST?153

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 02:51:00 UTC
From: "Colin B." <cbigam@somewhereelse.nucleus.com>
Message-ID: <4276e6ea@news.nucleus.com>
Source record: 199517
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

In calgary.forsale Ronald700032@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> This is the most important question of your life.
>
> The question is: Are you Ronald Grossi, evangelist, of

964 Grand Blvd
Westbury, NY 11590-6127
(516) 333-9522

2550. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:10:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IYBde.5634$bo3.5278814@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199518

I said "I am not a lawyer", at this point, if it were me, I'd be consulting one....

John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:9844-4276C807-307@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>I am not positive here (and I am no

>lawyer--but the man you stalk needs to take

>your posts and pics to one), but you seem in

>violation of the stalking laws--

Cite the single passage you misinterpret him violating as pertains to stalking laws.

>I think if he now sues your A$$ he will have

>gobs of money to feed his kids with!!!

>Regards,

>John

No chance at all. Mopar was publicly invited by Dogie. -You- need to talk to a lawyer and have him properly explain what constitutes stalking, as you are misinformed. In the meantime, try not to think about such things.

2551. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:15:39 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <r1Cde.5741$P33.5130355@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199520

Exactly, I agree...
They think they can though, like our politicians...
Funny how those who can't get in the positons which require someone who
can... <frown>

Regards,
John

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:rM9de.760$D91.652@fed1read01...
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
| Hash: SHA1
|
| Bill Sohl wrote:
|
| > No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams,
| > but
| > it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.
| >
| > Cheers,
| > Bill K2UNK
| yeah. that is most certainly true.
| unfortunately, some of those "idiots" tend to end up in positions of
| power/responsibility and that's where their stupidity can endanger folks.
| (
|
| - --
| DE N7ZZT
| Eric Oyen
| Phoenix, Arizona
| e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
| the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
| has its limits.
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
| Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)
|
| iD8DBQFCdSiWhomUgK3bdaYRAt6XAKDChcvvYew0El2ge1rOnxtI1VR3lwCg02h5
| pbkRdEE/tDJCAJBwO3RgeeQ=
| =kzWx
| -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2552. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:21:06 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <B6Cde.3547$D91.3545@fed1read01>
Source record: 199519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

anon wrote:

> One has only to attend any hamfest to see what buffoons hams
> are. Old unshaven obese men with five handi-talkies on their belt,
> their belt buckle hidden by rolls of fat and the filthy nacho cheese
> stained t-shirts they wear. Always whining about how great ham
> radio *used* to be, giving newcomers at their club meeting
> the cold shoulder, then wondering why ham radio is becoming
> ever more insignificant, while CB radio grows bigger each year.
overgeneralizing a bit, are we?

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCdu4ihomUgK3bdaYRAml/AJ0ZzL1QZHKpF1LzWHwZG8KQZzvFpQCg0Mbm
+U5yqRhAwAFckBXoUxMeB80=
=44Yr
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2553. mopathetic weaseling and whining some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:30:12 UTC
From: "mopathetic is STILL a chickenboy, won't say where he is camping" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115091012.442705.116840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199521

Level playing field scares mopathetic. We know.

2554. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:32:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
MENTION!!!!

One drawback:
You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught... so, remember to keep
that radio in your vehicle, don't leave home without it, and don't talk at
home!!!!!

John

"Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
| Jeez,
|
| CBers vs. Hams
|
| What's the point in debating which is better?
|
| Both have positives and negatives.
|
| CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of the
| national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
| detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver
for
| a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous, you
| can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency, can't
get
| away from a high noise floor.
|
| Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
riff-raft,
| frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance you're
| looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in
| emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
access
| numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
| monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham bands
| also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity of
| techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be hard
| for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters or
| 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
|
| I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big cities.

| Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to
use
| for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
| scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
| days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
|
| At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you can
do
| with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to channel
| 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to
them.
| They are always gratefull.
|
| When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
| experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
made
| with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like
| that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with now-a-days
is
| antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some
| work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
|
| I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
thread.
| If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go
get
| a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get a
| ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
hard
| for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses out.
|
| They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has no
| signal.
|
| I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
|
| Guy
|

2555. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:33:52 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <wiCde.6096$vQ3.5328949@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199523

Well, in the event of a "BIG ONE", I will have both ham and CB here... but I
will be chatting with MANY more on the CB--you can't fool me!!!!

Regards,
John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9844-4276C94C-309@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Well, I see the point you present, but I dispute

>and discard it. We already have one location

>where radio can be used "properly", the

>amateur bands.

Listen to some of those bands. You can find hate and discontent on the
air even when you can't find it on the cb.

>By the public simply "voting" with their use of

>radio, CB wins hands down! Even if you were

>to force most of these people into the amateur
>bands (me included) and "proper use of the

>radio", they would simply stop using the radio

>and grab a cell phone.... I know amateurs see

>their use of the radio as "desirable", "proper"

>and "logical", ok, we can all get along here--go
>use it that way--simply stop trying to control

>others and let them have what makes 'em

>happy!!!

Exactly. Hammie radidio makes for a great tool after tsunami's,
hurricanes, and earthquakes.

>Personally, I like CB where I can "let my hair

>down", be anonymous and get in petty

>quibbles with the natives....

So do many of the hammies,,,that's whey they reinvent themselves on the internet.

>Get real! CB exists because there is a "real"

>demand for it!!!!

>Warmest regards,

>John

As long as we have truckers and highways we will have cb.

2556. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:40:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <NoCde.6226$zk3.5258296@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199524

Well, frankly, I don't know any CB'er which runs less than 100 watts, and most laugh at 100... I think 400-600 is more or less "standard."
And, some run "import linears" or even the russian multi-kilowatt rigs (just a few I know admit it, I suspect many more)... especially in remote locations away from cities...

Regards,
John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:9844-4276CE5E-317@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net...
From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Yeah, Tex
And when we had the big ice storm back in the early 90s, why was the police department in one area severely affected switched to an amateur repeater?
Ever been around after a big blow? Ever notice that it is the *large* trees, not the small ones, that get blown over?
Try a google search on "wind loading" and see if you can figure it out.
BTW, after that ice storm, power and telephone service was cut for hundreds of miles. They were asking for volunteer amateur *hf* operators. They needed reliable communications covering hundreds of miles.
I am aware that a number of cbers run excessive power. Should you run hundreds or thousands of watts, you will be heard for 100 miles or perhaps a bit more. 70 miles, for certain. Unfortunately, 90% of the folks that do hear you and answer you won't be able to hear. Unless, of course, they are also running far far in excess of the 12 watts pep ssb.

_
Heya Jimbo!

During a critical emergency, it wouldn't matter if you could hear those who could hear you or not. Just keep repeating your SOS asking anyone within earshot to send help. Keep pluggin' away at it..help will come,,,,,the speed in which assistance arrives should be directily proportional to the amount of watts you are running <g>. I agree with the rest of your post.

2557. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you ar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:44:21 UTC
From: "Anon E. Mouse" <nospam@nobaloney.com>
Message-ID: <d56s2j$v95$1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 199525
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

"Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:klBde.10$Nk3.1@fe18.usenetserver.com...
> Yah, enough is enough about Jesus, Savior of your world. I want to hear
> more about Phudda the vacuum salesman. Doug...

Why? Are your floors dirty?

2558. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 03:52:50 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75 air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized chunk of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course, skip would be worldwide during the day...

On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because the hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And, there are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...

Regards,
John

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:sq2dnRmLqOD8TuvfRVn-tQ@comcast.com...
| We all know that the 146MHz Band with no repeater needed goes father for
the
| same amount of power, and audio is much better compared to CB . Also the
| talkies on this band has a good range for its antenna size, where as the
CB
| talkies are useless. The FRS or any 460MHz equipment sucks for any range,
| some say good for 6 miles, yeh right, maybe in a line of site arrangement,
| in most cases .5 to one mile Max.
|
|
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:h9wde.56$i73.3131517@news.sisna.com...
| > Smokey reports, would quickly be replaced with disaster reports... even
| > the
| > smokeys would realize the time had come to watch their A$$E$ and the
| > truckers could then concentrate on helping!!!
| >
| > But, your point is well taken, right now the smokeys are the biggest
| > problem, and CB is an excellent tool... <grin>
| >
| > Warmest regards,
| > John
| >
| > "Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
| > news:1115040138.737f125a19dc048240aa4ab3afc59d0b@bubbanews...
| > | On Sun, 1 May 2005 19:39:03 -0400, "Tex Wycoff"
| > | <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote:

```
| > |
| > | >Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
| > | >and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
| > | >hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
| > | >
| > | >Good Numbers,
| > | >
| > | >Tex
| > | >
| > | >
| > |
| > | not all ham radio depends on repeaters. dumbass cb'ers would be to
| > | busy asking for smokey reports to help in an emergency. simple. even
| > | a low life cb'er can comprehend that. no, nevermind. they can't.
| > |
| > | 4-10 good buddy.
| >
| >
|
|
```

2559. FS:::SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 04:18:01 UTC
From: FINE-FELLOW@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17429-4276FB79-388@storefull-3135.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199526

I have a Superstar 3900HP Dual Final unit for sale.. Rogerbeep off/on uses channel 9switch.. 10Kjump uses anl... Clarifier is opened. Variable power control for am/fm. 40 watts PEP on sideband. Carrier control 1-15am/fm...Radio is not even a month old works great..email for more details

2560. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you ar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 05:22:40 UTC
From: Doug <dt12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zUDde.2$Ap1.0@fe19.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 199527
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

"Anon E. Mouse" <nospam@nobaloney.com> wrote in message
news:d56s2j$v95$1@news.netins.net...
>
> "Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:klBde.10$Nk3.1@fe18.usenetserver.com...
>> Yah, enough is enough about Jesus, Savior of your world. I want to hear
>> more about Phudda the vacuum salesman. Doug...
>
> Why? Are your floors dirty?

deeeeesgustingly dust bunnies aaaarrrgggggghhhhhhhh!!!

Doug...

2561. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 08:53:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mvee71tpifd7ibajotgarqjnuv6qknep9n@4ax.com>
Source record: 199530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 02 May 2005 02:54:32 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in <IDgde.286$wa3.254@news02.roc.ny>:

<snip>
>The answer to continuous communications over hundreds of miles (continuous
>from zero miles to several hundred) depends upon being able to shift
>frequency. Not a few megahertz; rather a range approaching a 10 to 1 range
>(from 3.5 to 30 MHz).

For point-to-point communications, HF is not nearly as reliable as
sat-comm or MF/LF/VLF. Hams have satellites, 160m -and- HF, which is
all well and fine. But the issue is that the bulk of radio comm is
needed -within- the disaster area. Outside lines are pretty well
covered because almost all of the emergency long-distance comm these
days is done with portable sat-phones that are already in the hands of
emergency organizations and government agencies. IOW, long distance
emergency communication works just fine without hams. Although in my
own experiences, hams -did- provide some public service by helping
people contact distant family members, but that's about it.

Slightly OT, I should also mention that some of the HF ham traffic I
heard during Mt. St. Helens and hurricane Gloria was absolutely
disgraceful -- some sick bastards think it's cool to get a QSL card
from a disaster zone. If hams want to criticize CBers for poor
behavior they should at least hold themselves to a higher standard.

>Meanwhile, go do that search on "wind loading", keeping in mind about the
>small trees surviving winds that blow over large trees.

The same applies to antennas.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2562. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 08:53:21 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <svee71ds9l38s72q542umvpuvtduo9hq68@4ax.com>
Source record: 199529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On 2 May 2005 11:44:57 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1115059497.524050.16370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 1 May 2005 06:32:24 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1114954344.398919.32050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >ex-Ham wrote:
>> >> "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> >> > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams
>only
>> >talk
>> >> > to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
>> >with the
>> >> > Ham BS, like in our area a person ( the only one in his area )
>> >tried to
>> >> > report a bad storm possibly a tornado, but since he did not make
>it
>> >to
>> >> > their weather school, they would not listen.
>> >
>> > Nice try.
>> >
>> > Hams only talk to Hams since no one else should be on an
>Amateur
>> >allocation. ANYone, whether they have an Amateur license or not, is
>a
>> >"CBer" if they are using a CB radio.
>> >
>> > "Emergency communications" is NOT about how many people you can
>> >talk to...It's about conducting disaster-mitigating operations in an
>> >efficient and timely manner...whether that is on Amateur,
>commercial,
>> >military, etc. CB radio is NOT conducive to efficiency OR
>timeliness.
>>
>>
>> CB radio was -THE- method of emergency comm in the three natural
>> disasters I have experienced. And in all three the hams couldn't quit
>> posturing and bickering about procedure long enough to accomplish
```

>> squat.
>
> What "three natural disasters"...?!?!
>
> Locations? Nature of disaster? Place? Dates?

Mt. St. Helens, 1980; Hurricane Gloria, 1985; and Spokane's Ice Storm
of 1996.

>> > And ego's-be-danged...CB radio (11 meters, anyway...) is NOT
>the
>> >medium of choice of ANY disaster mitigation agency in the United
>> >States. Even REACT has abandoned 11 meters in favor of GMRS at
>> >462/467MHZ FM.
>>
>>
>> Doesn't look that way to me:
>>
>> http://www.reactintl.org/
>
> Better go back and re-read some of those press releases...Almost
>every one about GMRS.

Where does it say that they have abandoned CB radio?

> UHF-CB, AKA GMRS, is the prefered method of operation for REACT
>teams.
>
> And if CB is all-that for emergency communications, why is the
>federal goverment putting money into the ARRL and ARES and >>NOT<<
>REACT...???

Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?

<snip>
> There are a great many Patriotic, well-intentioned persons who own
>a CB and would gladly lend it's use to any meaningful emergency
>purpose, no doubt.
>
> However the Citizen's Band Radio Service (11 meters) is nothing
>more than a footnote in disaster communications planning and practice.
>The unpredictable propagation, lack of trained persons and the overall
>lawlessness and shennanigans that goes on the service make it all but
>useless for practical use.

Yet they still come in mighty handy during a disaster. Feel free to
try and rationalize that paradox.

> But when the Federal, State or local governments or the Armed
>Forces need a RELIABLE method of anciliary communicaitons they turn to
>ARES, RACES or MARS for those needs.

Not even close. Both FEMA and the military have their own, 100%
self-contained communications networks complete with dedicated
satellites; and the military has more backup systems than you can
imagine. Most state and local governments have some sort of emergency
management agency or plan that evolved out of the old civil defense
networks initiated during the cold war. Usually it consists of the
LERN network, the Emergency Alert System, "hardened" land lines, and
the wide availability of satellite communications.

The fact is that long-distance radio communication has evolved well
beyond the need to rely on hams during emergencies. You should have
seen that coming when Sputnik was launched.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2563. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 08:53:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <30fe71p8611rh7aaqbj1lsb0b0us1ap7qq@4ax.com>
Source record: 199532

On Mon, 02 May 2005 07:33:16 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ad0c71hq0m0t1i8lvr7uerhtthcud04rnn@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>>It's not much different than getting a speeding ticket. You are
>>>presumed guilty by virtue of the citation, and have to go to court to
>>>prove innocence, if you are so inclined.
>>
>>
>>Not in my state. You aren't found guilty unless you admit to the
>>infraction, ignore the ticket, or lose the contest in court. I've
>>already hashed this out with Leland a while back.
>>
>
>I guess it all depends on your perspective. You are given a ticket.
>You can either accept it (Admit guilt) or fight it. If you fight it,
>your only chance is to hope that either the cop doesn't show up, or
>that the conditions were such that the judge might agree that you
>might not "deserve" the ticket. But it basically amounts to you
>"fighting" for your innocence. The court tends to side with the police
>barring concrete evidence to the contrary.

The court gives weight to the citation because it's basically an
affidavit by the LEO who witnessed the infraction. IOW, the citation
itself is evidence. If you contest the ticket then you must challenge
that evidence (or the law). That's the way it works in criminal court,
too.

<snip>
>> You can't just make up laws on a whim -- they have to be
>>founded on pre-existing law, be it statute, common or case law.
>
>But every law had to start sometime.

Law evolves (or de-evolves) in tandem with civilization. It does so
because it is a necessary part of any social order.

> If there was never a condition
>that needed a specific law before, you can't very well have one to
>base it on.

Sure you can -- it's called a "constitution".

>>>>According the the Supreme Court, that's
>>>>not "due process of law".
>>>

>>>Not in the sense of a criminal court. But then again, neither would
>>>the handling of summary offenses such as littering or speeding.
>>
>>
>>Call them 'summary offenses' or 'civil infractions', they are still
>>violations of law and subject to due process under the Constitution.
>>You still have the right to appear in court, to contest the evidence,
>>to appeal the ruling, etc, etc. And unless you can find a state that
>>convicts upon being cited, you are still innocent until proven guilty.
>
>But in most of those cases, the burden of proving that innocence is
>yours, even if it might not be worded quite that way.

Wrong. The burden is on the accuser to prove guilt. If there is no evidence to convict then the verdict must be not guilty. But the sworn affidavit of the LEO (the ticket itself) is strong evidence. So it is not the burden of the accused to prove his innocence but to discredit the evidence against him.

>>>>"Heavy muscle" costs money, and big businesses make their decisions
>>>>based on monetary values, not moral principles.
>>>
>>>That may be true, but at some point, it becomes cheaper to fight the
>>>charge than to continually pay fines as was the case with Stern.
>>
>>
>>It appears that they found it cheaper to eliminate Howard Stern than
>>risk further fines. Indirect censorship at it's finest (and no, I
>>don't like Howard Stern).
>
>First off, WNBC thought it better to eliminate Stern. Then he moved to
>infinity and the rest was history. He was such a cash cow for them
>that the fines were justifiable compared to revenue realized. In fact
>the publicity surrounding the FCC and Stern actually increased
>interest (ratings) in his show.
>
>Infinity did not "eliminate" Stern. Stern quit to move to Sirius
>Satellite radio where, he feels, his "creativity" would not be so
>constrained by the FCC rules of decency. The gamble he's making is
>whether the tongue dragging set that lives and dies by his every
>guffaw will shell out the money to listen to him on Sirius. Mel
>Karmazin, Viacom's COO who jumped ship to become the CEO of Sirius,
>seems to think so.

Whatever the situation, the point is that sometimes it's cheaper to eliminate the source of the problem than to pay fines to the FCC.

>I'm waiting until the FCC gets into the Satellite radio scene.

I'm waiting until the FCC starts regulating the internet.

>>>What you call a "right wing homophobe", others might call correcting
>>>blind acceptance of abhorrent behavior. You open that door, and it
>>>becomes only a matter of subjective degree as increasingly more
>>>decadent behavior is attempted to be justified by those who partake in
>>>it.
>>
>>
>>Oh man..... I could stand on this soap box for hours, but I won't.
>
>Probably a wise move. There's way too much subjectivity.

That's a matter of opinion.....

>>I'll just give you one glaring example of what's -really- abhorrent: A
>>TV censorship system where war and murder are suitable for all viewers
>>but Janet Jackson's nipples are strictly off limits. And if you need
>>more examples just ask, cause I have a long list of things "abhorrent"
>>ranging from the Bush administration to dandelions.
>
>What I find abhorrent is a decline in social morality, and a growing
>sense that tolerance should be universal and unlimited.

I'll worry about declining morality when the government declares that murder is a God-given right, or grants constitutional protection from discrimination for sex-offenders, or permits human sacrifice in the exercise of a religious ceremony. Because -that- would be abhorrent. What people do in the privacy of their own homes is none of your business. You may not like knowing that homosexuality exists, but the fact is that it does.

More than a hundred years ago it was "abhorrent" for a woman to expose her bare ankles in public. Is that immoral? Now take a little time to remember your history classes; it wasn't that long ago when cocaine and heroin were sold over the counter, children worked 16 hours/day in sweat shops for pennies, wife beating was not only tolerated but often encouraged, slavery was legal in half the country, and people were burned at the stake on the mere accusation of witchcraft (sounds a lot like some of our present-day "allies").

A mere half-century ago there were some people who didn't like the idea of treating black people as equals. They especially didn't want blacks to be able to vote. Some even used the argument that a black vote would diminish the value of their own vote, which was a weak rationalization of their racist attitudes.

You are no different than those racists, Dave. You don't like the idea that gays have just as much right to "life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness" as yourself, and you use the excuse that gay marriage will somehow diminish -your- values. And ironically, you are right because your values are founded on generations of discrimination and bigotry.

And in your ignorance, you think this country is in a state of moral decline because more and more people are recognizing the fact that

homosexuality isn't evil. But you are wrong, Dave -- the state of public morality is actually improving -despite- your best efforts to prevent it. For example, in the past 20 years the public perception surrounding AIDS has changed from one of gay-bashing to one of acceptance. It changed because it was learned that the disease was -not- the product of rampant homosexuality as it was first assumed. Science therefore vindicated the gay community. But it also exposed people to their own misperceptions about homosexuality. Apparently you weren't paying attention.

So the problem you face is not one of moral decline. What you refuse to see is that the -church- is in a state of decline. More and more people are getting educated and learning that the world works according to the laws of science and logic, -not- according to the fatalistic and vengeful edicts of some big, scary 'god'. Religion is losing customers and you feel threatened. Well, kick back and pop a brew, Dave, because this is America, and you have the right to practice your religion as you see fit -- just let the rest of us do the same.

>>But back to the topic, it's not up to you or any right-wing fanatic
>>group to dictate how others should live their lives.
>
>Why not? A group of people sat together and drew up the framework of
>the laws that we live under today.

Maybe you skipped history class that day, but the Constitution was constructed to -prevent- minority rule.

As for television, the number of viewers determines the ratings of a show, so let the ratings determine what companies sponser what shows. That's how a free-market economy works. Or do you support the position of right-wing conservative Christians who say (by their actions) that any participation of fags in America's free-market economy should be supressed?

>> If you are a
>>Christian, great, but don't push your religion on me.
>
>This has little to do with any specific religion.

Sure it does. You talk of morality but fail to understand that different religions impose different moralities. According to Christianity, I'm immoral because I commit blasphemy. Yet there is nothing in the Constitution that forbids atheism. On the contrary, it -protects- my beliefs, right or wrong. It also seperates religion from the government so my rights cannot be compromised by lawmakers who think that atheism should be illegal just because -they- think it is immoral.

>> You have your
>>values, I have mine, and others have theirs.

>
>So, then who get's to pick the "right" choices?

The "right" choice is any choice that isn't unconstitutional.

>> And if you can't accept
>>the concept of "E Pluribus Unum" then leave.
>
>I accept the concepts of "one nation Under God",

The phrase "under God" was added in the 50's for whatever reason.
Considering that it was done at the height of the cold war and that
the Soviet Union had outlawed religion, I think it was a good idea.
But guess what, Dave: the cold war is over.

> and "endowed by our
>creator".

My "creator" is Mother Nature. If you choose to reject science and
logic, that's your business. If I'm wrong then you can rest assured
that I'll pay for my indiscretions -- but that's -my- business, not
your's.

>>>> The god-squads do not represent the
>>>>majority by any means, but they can put a dent in the profits of these
>>>>corps who, BTW, will eventually reverse their decisions after the gay
>>>>rights groups begin their own boycotts.
>>>
>>>Most businesses don't care what they think.
>>
>>
>>Hogwash. I just gave you two recent examples of major corps bowing to
>>pressure from these fanatics.
>
>Gay fanatics? That's who I was referring to. They don't represent
>enough buying power to make any difference.

Religious fanatics, gay fanatics, save-the-whales fanatics..... it
doesn't make any difference. The point is that a minority group can
force a corporation to make a decision based on discrimination and
intolerance. It happens.

>>> The most vocal of these
>>>activists do not represent significant buying power. And, as you
>>>pointed out so accurately, it's all about money.
>>
>>
>>They don't represent a -significant- part of the economy but they
>>-can- put a dent in the profits, which is what I already said.
>
>So who would you rather piss off, a group of abhorrent activists, or
>mainstream Christians?

Is there some reason you can't understand the simple concepts involved
when a company evaluates cost, profit and risk?

>> Corps
>>make their decisions based on numbers, and if they think that dropping
>>ads from a couple shows is going to be less expensive than a dent in
>>profits from a small boycott then you can bet your bottom dollar that
>>the ads will be yanked.
>
>Well sure. It is all about money. So far mainstream Christians still
>outnumber gay groups.

Does that make it right? Are Christians permitted to subjugate and
subvert the lives of other Americans simply because they outnumber
them? These "Christians" really need to start practicing what they
preach. Or at least how to live and let live.

>>>> Money, not constitutionality,
>>>>is the motivating factor behind the decisions of big business.
>>>
>>>True in most cases.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Back to the topic.... The FCC fines have increased over the years but
>>>>have never exceeded the cost of mounting a full-scale legal challenge;
>>>
>>>I'm not so sure of that. But if it is true, that only serves to
>>>illustrate just how lawyers have corralled the legal field beyond the
>>>means of anyone who wishes to challenge perceived unfair practices.
>>
>>
>>That's a very good point. Justice is for the rich because lawyers are
>>greedy bastards.
>
>Exactly! And that only underscores the point that with enough money
>you can hire enough defensive legal power to beat almost any criminal
>or civil charge. ABSCAM alumnus Ozzie Meyers was once quoted as
>saying "If you have enough money in this country, you can do almost
>anything".
>
>O.J. is a free man today because of such practices.

And many people are on death row because of the same practices. But
again you fail to address the fact that many times it's cheaper to
settle or take the hit than to fight the charge in court, and the
decision to fight is usually one based on money. -My- contention is
that the FCC uses this fact to it's advantage when it decides who to
fine and how much to fine them.

>>>>so the companies just take the hit, pay the fine and kiss FCC butt.
>>>>I'm sure that someday the FCC will slap someone with an NAL based on a
>>>>faulty financial assessment of a company and get challenged, but I'm
>>>>also sure they have a contingency plan in case that ever happens.

>>>
>>>Are you implying that the FCC only contemplates fining those who they
>>>feel cannot mount a successful legal challenge?
>>
>>
>>Cannot or will not.
>>
>>
>>> I'm not sure I buy
>>>into this level of conspiratorial thought.
>>
>>
>>Well, conspiracies do exist.
>
>Yes, but I'm concerned about the ones you choose to believe in.

You should concern yourself with the conflict between facts and your
"core beliefs".

>>And if my conclusions are true, the FCC
>>wouldn't call it a conspiracy.
>
>In this case "IF" is a mighty big word. One that is not
>interchangeable for fact.

I never said it was fact, Dave. Or haven't you been paying attention?

>> In fact, I wouldn't either. I -would-
>>call it a grossly unethical and unfair method of law enforcement. The
>>FCC would probably call it "cost effective management" or some similar
>>euphamism.
>
>There have been cases over the years brought by people who tried to
>claim that the IRS and income tax itself was unconstitutional. There
>seems to be some credibility to the claim. But in every case, it gets
>shot down.

It probably has something to do with the Sixteenth Amendment.

> I suspect that a similar claim against the FCC would be
>treated in a similar fashion.

We'll never know until it gets there.

>>>>After all, they have had plenty of time to plan strategies to defend
>>>>against any possible legal confrontation. But until that day comes,
>>>>the FCC will continue operating as a rouge government outside the
>>>>boundries of the Constitution.
>
>
>Down with the man!
>
>

>>>
>>>The Constitution does not specifically define many agencies and
>>>policies in the federal government, such as the NSA, black ops, Area
>>>51etc.. The Constitution could not possibly foresee the need for many
>>>of them, including the FCC. The creation of the FCC is perfectly
>>>legal, as it falls under the discretion of the congress, even if you
>>>may disagree with their tactics.
>>
>>
>>I never claimed the FCC was established illegally. My contention is
>>that they are using procedures that are unconstitutional.
>
>I would be very interested in the hows and whys of this alleged
>unconstitutionality.

Then feel free to read through the rrcb archives.

>I suspect a great deal of subjectivity in this interpretation. Many
>people who claim to adhere to the strict wording of the constitution,
>would find many of our laws and governmental agencies to be
>"unconstitutional". The whole abortion law, as a result of Roe V.
>Wade, is one such example, as it was established as a result of a
>judicial ruling rather than a legislative action.

Once again you are confused, Dave. It was statutory law that initiated
the Constitutional challenge. The statute was -overthrown- by the
Supreme Court, not established. And case law is just as much 'law' as
statutory law because of the system of 'checks and balances' -- to
suggest that a law is something less because it is a "judicial ruling"
is completely bogus.

>Like the Bible, and religion in general, it's surprising at how many
>different interpretations you can find from a single source of
>information.

It doesn't affect you one bit if two homosexuals get married. You can
interpret it any way you want, but it's simply none of your business.
Just like it's none of your business if your neighbor gets his dick
pierced, or your Aunt Bertha gets orgasms riding a Hog. If that's how
they get their kicks then more power to them. Live and let live.

As for abortion, I'll wait until the discovery process is finished.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2564. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 08:53:33 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <80fe719l9sadceujq7pdie7okqfq9pb7s1@4ax.com>
Source record: 199531

On Mon, 2 May 2005 09:09:03 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote in <d55ca0$pq5$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:

<snip>
>Problem is that your stuck with one brand of effects. I wish digital console
>manufacturers would get together and adopt a plug-in or memory card type
>standard for effect engines :) I'm a T.C. Electronic guy myself!

Hey, there's always the MidiVerb!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2565. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 11:10:51 UTC
From: Stu <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
Message-ID: <d57m51$4n8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

There is an increasing level of packet & spread spectrum
activity by CB stations in the traditional freeband ranges, which
indicates the ever growing ability of cb to provide reliable
worldwide comms and experiment with nontraditional modes.
There are at least three international cb packet nets. Amazing
what one observes, if you point your receiver outside the ham
bands.

Stu

2566. RF interference in mobile CB from wheels of car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 11:47:34 UTC
From: roj blake <roj_blake42@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115120854.082046.280680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199534

Hi all,

appreciate some help with this question. Recently installed a 477mhz (australian) CB radio in vehicle. Now getting interference on the CB radio
which is related to how fast the wheels are spinning. I get no interfernece if the vehicle is not moving even if I rev up the engine (i.e. the problem is not alternator or ignition system related). I also get the interference when I use a handheld CB which does not have power or antenna connected to the car.

Therefore, the interference is not coming through a power or antenna cable and the usual suggestions of "use a noise filter" will not apply in this case.

Some people I have been speaking to have suggested that the problem could be either:

1. Speedometer drive circuitry - It is possible that the speedo sensor is acting as a transmitter, even with the ignition off, as it is electro-mechanical, and may generate pulses even if there is nothing turned on to read them.

2. ABS sensor - sends data to computer about wheel movement - could be causing interference

3. Magetic wheels / tyres - could be creating interference.

Can anyone tell me:

1. could the speedo / ABS units be causing the interference?

2 if so, where are the speedo / ABS units located and how can I stop this problem? I.e. can they be shielded using copper cable or can I noise filter be applied to them.

thanks in advance

Roj

2567. mopathetic's bassett hound has more guts than he does

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 11:54:49 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115121289.232585.161960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199535

hey mopathetic, where ya gonna be camping at Dayton? The AKC wants to come by and say hello.

2568. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 11:56:14 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <nGJde.4298$Ri4.142@okepread07>
Source record: 199536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and 28 during the last peak (we're in the trough of the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't understand why those people don't just break down and get a ham license.

It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're trying to remain anonymous.

Is it the excitement of doing something illegal and not getting caught? Is that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do it?

Guy

John Smith wrote:

> You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
> ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
> MENTION!!!!
>
> One drawback:
> You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught... so, remember to keep
> that radio in your vehicle, don't leave home without it, and don't talk at
> home!!!!!
>
> John
>
> "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
> news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
> | Jeez,
> |
> | CBers vs. Hams
> |
> | What's the point in debating which is better?
> |
> | Both have positives and negatives.
> |
> | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
> | the national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
> | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver
> for
> | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
> | you can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency,
> | can't
> get
> | away from a high noise floor.
> |
> | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of

> riff-raft,
> | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
> | you're looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable
> | in emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
> access
> | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
> | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham bands
> | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
> | of
> | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be hard
> | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters
> | or 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
> |
> | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big cities.
> | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to
> use
> | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
> | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
> | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
> |
> | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you can
> do
> | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
> | channel 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give
> | it to
> them.
> | They are always gratefull.
> |
> | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
> | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
> made
> | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like
> | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with now-a-days
> is
> | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some
> | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
> |
> | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
> thread.
> | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go
> get
> | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get
> | a
> | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
> hard
> | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
> | out.
> |
> | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has no
> | signal.

> |
> | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
> |
> | Guy
> |

2569. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 12:23:48 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115122458.9263d825813999e37a075380aeadadc6@bubbanews>
Source record: 199537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 2 May 2005 20:52:50 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75
>air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a
>valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER
>ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized chunk
>of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
>skip would be worldwide during the day...
>
>On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because the
>hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And, there
>are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
>
>

your antenna is a toy compared to most ham antennas. if you have
trouble chatting with somone on 10 meters, maybe you should learn to
speak english. most freebanders are quite illiterate.

2570. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 12:26:36 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115122626.7e97a3a83f2413a658ce1e34400f4f62@bubbanews>
Source record: 199538

On Tue, 03 May 2005 06:56:14 -0500, Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote:

>Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and 28 during the last peak (we're in
>the trough of the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't understand why those
>people don't just break down and get a ham license.
>
>It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're trying to remain anonymous.
>
>Is it the excitement of doing something illegal and not getting caught? Is
>that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do it?
>
>Guy
>
they are too ignorant to get a license, and they enjoy pissing and
moaning when someone tells them they need a license to use 10 meters.

2571. Re: Cb Radio problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 12:52:57 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d57s6d$i4q$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 199539

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:80fe719l9sadceujq7pdie7okqfq9pb7s1@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 2 May 2005 09:09:03 -0500, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote in <d55ca0$pq5$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:
>
> <snip>
>>Problem is that your stuck with one brand of effects. I wish digital
>>console
>>manufacturers would get together and adopt a plug-in or memory card type
>>standard for effect engines :) I'm a T.C. Electronic guy myself!
>
>
> Hey, there's always the MidiVerb!
>

MicroverbIII, screw MIDI :)

I used to have guys come to me when I did tech support for a high end music
store and say "Hey man, I wanna buy a MIDI." Best one was someone saying
"I need a cable to jack off the back of my head"

Chad

2572. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9ble715jt736le8dai3pqe2hmq8gtovgvq@4ax.com>
Source record: 199540

On Tue, 03 May 2005 01:53:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>I guess it all depends on your perspective. You are given a ticket.
>>You can either accept it (Admit guilt) or fight it. If you fight it,
>>your only chance is to hope that either the cop doesn't show up, or
>>that the conditions were such that the judge might agree that you
>>might not "deserve" the ticket. But it basically amounts to you
>>"fighting" for your innocence. The court tends to side with the police
>>barring concrete evidence to the contrary.
>
>
>The court gives weight to the citation because it's basically an
>affidavit by the LEO who witnessed the infraction. IOW, the citation
>itself is evidence. If you contest the ticket then you must challenge
>that evidence (or the law). That's the way it works in criminal court,
>too.

But in criminal court the state must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
in order to gain a guilty verdict. You are presumed innocent until
then. Traffic court is not quite the same. While they may not
specifically say it this way, the fact that you got a ticket, is
evidence of guilt, and you have to try your best to prove that you're
not guilty. The word of one cop is enough, in most cases, to render a
"guilty" verdict, unless you're damn lucky and can somehow "prove"
your innocence.

><snip>
>>> You can't just make up laws on a whim -- they have to be
>>>founded on pre-existing law, be it statute, common or case law.
>>
>>But every law had to start sometime.
>
>
>Law evolves (or de-evolves) in tandem with civilization. It does so
>because it is a necessary part of any social order.

Absolutely. Now if we could only convince those anarchists.......

>> If there was never a condition
>>that needed a specific law before, you can't very well have one to
>>base it on.
>
>
>Sure you can -- it's called a "constitution".

The constitution can not be expected to address each and every social condition that may develop as a result of unforseen advances in technology, education, and awareness.

>>But in most of those cases, the burden of proving that innocence is
>>yours, even if it might not be worded quite that way.
>
>
>Wrong. The burden is on the accuser to prove guilt. If there is no
>evidence to convict then the verdict must be not guilty. But the sworn
>affidavit of the LEO (the ticket itself) is strong evidence.

In most cases, it is not concrete evidence. It's no better than "he said-she said" testimony.

> So it is
>not the burden of the accused to prove his innocence but to discredit
>the evidence against him.

Why play word games? The end result is the same. The accuser has to prove his innocence, by discrediting the evidence against him. The cop is not required to demonstrate 6 different ways from Sunday how the accuser is guilty "beyond a reasonable doubt". He only has to show up and testify that the accuser ran such and such red light, and it's his word against the accuser's. So who does the court tend to believe? How is this a "presumption of innocence"?

>>>>>"Heavy muscle" costs money, and big businesses make their decisions
>>>>>based on monetary values, not moral principles.
>>>>
>>>>That may be true, but at some point, it becomes cheaper to fight the
>>>>charge than to continually pay fines as was the case with Stern.
>>>
>>>
>>>It appears that they found it cheaper to eliminate Howard Stern than
>>>risk further fines. Indirect censorship at it's finest (and no, I
>>>don't like Howard Stern).
>>
>>First off, WNBC thought it better to eliminate Stern. Then he moved to
>>infinity and the rest was history. He was such a cash cow for them
>>that the fines were justifiable compared to revenue realized. In fact
>>the publicity surrounding the FCC and Stern actually increased
>>interest (ratings) in his show.
>>
>>Infinity did not "eliminate" Stern. Stern quit to move to Sirius
>>Satellite radio where, he feels, his "creativity" would not be so
>>constrained by the FCC rules of decency. The gamble he's making is
>>whether the tongue dragging set that lives and dies by his every
>>guffaw will shell out the money to listen to him on Sirius. Mel
>>Karmazin, Viacom's COO who jumped ship to become the CEO of Sirius,
>>seems to think so.
>
>

>Whatever the situation, the point is that sometimes it's cheaper to
>eliminate the source of the problem than to pay fines to the FCC.

Sure. The radio archives are full of Stern wannabees who pushed the
envelope just a little too far. Guys like the Greaseman, Opie and
Anthony, and others who I can't remember right now.

>>I'm waiting until the FCC gets into the Satellite radio scene.
>
>
>I'm waiting until the FCC starts regulating the internet.

It's coming.

>>>>What you call a "right wing homophobe", others might call correcting
>>>>blind acceptance of abhorrent behavior. You open that door, and it
>>>>becomes only a matter of subjective degree as increasingly more
>>>>decadent behavior is attempted to be justified by those who partake in
>>>>it.
>>>
>>>
>>>Oh man..... I could stand on this soap box for hours, but I won't.
>>
>>Probably a wise move. There's way too much subjectivity.
>
>
>That's a matter of opinion.....

;-) Chuckle. That's clever. I'm glad you have a sense of humor.

>>>I'll just give you one glaring example of what's -really- abhorrent: A
>>>TV censorship system where war and murder are suitable for all viewers
>>>but Janet Jackson's nipples are strictly off limits. And if you need
>>>more examples just ask, cause I have a long list of things "abhorrent"
>>>ranging from the Bush administration to dandelions.
>>
>>What I find abhorrent is a decline in social morality, and a growing
>>sense that tolerance should be universal and unlimited.
>
>
>I'll worry about declining morality when the government declares that
>murder is a God-given right, or grants constitutional protection from
>discrimination for sex-offenders, or permits human sacrifice in the
>exercise of a religious ceremony.

The difference between you and I is only a matter of degree. When you
make relative value judgements, this is the danger you run into. When
you apply logic in the justification for allowing certain behaviors,
the same logic can be applied to a successive list of increasingly
abhorrent behaviors and practices. It comes down to what you are
willing to tolerate. Once you start down that slippery slope, there's
no turning back, without abandoning your logic and adopting some sort

of "bigotry".

>Because -that- would be abhorrent.
>What people do in the privacy of their own homes is none of your
>business.

The fact that someone hides behind the walls of their house is
irrelevant. Murder is still murder, if it is done on a public street
or in the bedroom. The same principle applies for other behaviors.

> You may not like knowing that homosexuality exists, but the
>fact is that it does.

As does a number of mental illnesses. It still does not make it right.

>More than a hundred years ago it was "abhorrent" for a woman to expose
>her bare ankles in public.

And judging by the increasingly immoral tendencies in the mainstream,
it won't be long before women are parading around bare chested. Yet we
are somehow supposed to embrace this as a sign of "progress" or
"enlightenment."

>Is that immoral?

That depends on what it was alleged to signify.

>Now take a little time to
>remember your history classes; it wasn't that long ago when cocaine
>and heroin were sold over the counter, children worked 16 hours/day in
>sweat shops for pennies, wife beating was not only tolerated but often
>encouraged, slavery was legal in half the country, and people were
>burned at the stake on the mere accusation of witchcraft (sounds a lot
>like some of our present-day "allies").
>
>A mere half-century ago there were some people who didn't like the
>idea of treating black people as equals. They especially didn't want
>blacks to be able to vote. Some even used the argument that a black
>vote would diminish the value of their own vote, which was a weak
>rationalization of their racist attitudes.

You are confusing racism with morality. They're not the same, even if
some of the methods seem similar.

>You are no different than those racists, Dave. You don't like the idea
>that gays have just as much right to "life, liberty and the pursuit of
>happiness" as yourself, and you use the excuse that gay marriage will
>somehow diminish -your- values.

Homosexuality is a disorder of the brain. Not much different than
schizophrenia, bipolar, or a host of other disorders. We should be
looking for ways to treat and correct it, not for reasons to excuse
it.

A marriage is a symbolic ritual of bonding that occurs between
biologically compatible couples. There can be no natural procreation
in a homosexual union. So yes, those values ARE diminished.

> And ironically, you are right because
>your values are founded on generations of discrimination and bigotry.

You forgot the most important one - biology.

>And in your ignorance, you think this country is in a state of moral
>decline because more and more people are recognizing the fact that
>homosexuality isn't evil.

The prisons are full of people who didn't think they did anything
wrong either......

> But you are wrong, Dave

No, I'm not.

> -- the state of
>public morality is actually improving -despite- your best efforts to
>prevent it.

You consider tolerance of an increasing list of bad behaviors and
habits as "improving"?

> For example, in the past 20 years the public perception
>surrounding AIDS has changed from one of gay-bashing to one of
>acceptance. It changed because it was learned that the disease was
>-not- the product of rampant homosexuality as it was first assumed.

The number one group most responsible of the proliferation of AIDS is
still, to this day, homosexual males having unprotected sex .

http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/stats/hasr1102.pdf

If you lead a monogamous lifestyle and do not engage in dangerous
recreational habits, your chances of contracting AIDS is minuscule.

>Science therefore vindicated the gay community. But it also exposed
>people to their own misperceptions about homosexuality. Apparently you
>weren't paying attention.

I was paying attention. To the facts.

There are many who believe that AIDS is the work of God, sent to
punish those who engage in "unworthy" behavior. It's easy for those
who have little faith in a supreme being to deny this possibility. But
it's interesting in where the highest percentages of HIV cases are,
and what activities place people at the most risk. Coincidence?

>So the problem you face is not one of moral decline. What you refuse
>to see is that the -church- is in a state of decline.

After watching the hubub surrounding the Pope, I'd say that religion
is alive and well.

> More and more
>people are getting educated and learning that the world works
>according to the laws of science and logic, -not- according to the
>fatalistic and vengeful edicts of some big, scary 'god'.

You call that "education"? I call that institutionalized ignorance.
Science and logic are not necessarily diametrically opposed to the
concepts of intelligent design. In fact they work hand in hand.

> Religion is losing customers and you feel threatened.

Actually no. The more the world plunges into civil unrest, school
shootings, terrorism, general decay in decency and morality, the more
religion is making a comeback. In the period following 9/11, there was
a sharp increase in religious belief and interest in spirituality.

>Well, kick back and pop a
>brew, Dave, because this is America,

A nation founded by Christian people based on Christian doctrine, even
if the 1st amendment decries that there is no "official" state
sponsored religion.

> and you have the right to
>practice your religion as you see fit -- just let the rest of us do
>the same.

As long as what you do doesn't infringe on what I do or diminish the
values that this country was originally founded on.

>>>But back to the topic, it's not up to you or any right-wing fanatic
>>>group to dictate how others should live their lives.
>>
>>Why not? A group of people sat together and drew up the framework of
>>the laws that we live under today.
>
>
>Maybe you skipped history class that day, but the Constitution was
>constructed to -prevent- minority rule.

But it was still drawn up and approved by a specific group of people.

>As for television, the number of viewers determines the ratings of a
>show, so let the ratings determine what companies sponser what shows.
>That's how a free-market economy works. Or do you support the position
>of right-wing conservative Christians who say (by their actions) that
>any participation of fags in America's free-market economy should be
>supressed?

Sometimes politics is at odds with economic considerations. Sometimes
you have to cut off your own nose to make a much larger point. That's
called "principle"

>>> If you are a
>>>Christian, great, but don't push your religion on me.

>>
>>This has little to do with any specific religion.
>
>
>Sure it does. You talk of morality but fail to understand that
>different religions impose different moralities.

Morality does not necessarily have to go hand in hand with any
specific religion.

> According to
>Christianity, I'm immoral because I commit blasphemy. Yet there is
>nothing in the Constitution that forbids atheism. On the contrary, it
>-protects- my beliefs, right or wrong. It also seperates religion from
>the government so my rights cannot be compromised by lawmakers who
>think that atheism should be illegal just because -they- think it is
>immoral.

Well, you make a gamble. Hopefully, when your body becomes worm food,
you won't find that you made the wrong choice.

>>> You have your
>>>values, I have mine, and others have theirs.
>>
>>So, then who get's to pick the "right" choices?
>
>
>The "right" choice is any choice that isn't unconstitutional.

The constitution is relative as well. It was framed by Christian
people with their religious inspired morality contained within its
wording.

>>> And if you can't accept
>>>the concept of "E Pluribus Unum" then leave.
>>
>>I accept the concepts of "one nation Under God",
>
>
>The phrase "under God" was added in the 50's for whatever reason.
>Considering that it was done at the height of the cold war and that
>the Soviet Union had outlawed religion, I think it was a good idea.

It's STILL a good idea. Especially when you contrast our country with
the anti-religious Soviet Union, and the communists.

>But guess what, Dave: the cold war is over.

No, it's not. We just changed players.

>> and "endowed by our
>>creator".
>

>
>My "creator" is Mother Nature.

Call it whatever you wish. But there is a creator.

>If you choose to reject science and
>logic, that's your business.

Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of a creator or, more
generally, the concept of intelligent design. Our whole ecosystem, the
intricate specialization of the various functions of our bodies and
other aspects of nature are far too complex to have occurred and
evolved at random. There is simply not enough order in chaos for this
to happen.

>If I'm wrong then you can rest assured
>that I'll pay for my indiscretions -- but that's -my- business, not
>your's.

Yes, it is. But it's my business if you try to poison others by
"immoral" thinking. And hence we have the classic "moral dilemma".

>>>Hogwash. I just gave you two recent examples of major corps bowing to
>>>pressure from these fanatics.
>>
>>Gay fanatics? That's who I was referring to. They don't represent
>>enough buying power to make any difference.
>
>
>Religious fanatics, gay fanatics, save-the-whales fanatics..... it
>doesn't make any difference. The point is that a minority group can
>force a corporation to make a decision based on discrimination and
>intolerance. It happens.

I don't believe that tolerance should be universal.

>>>> The most vocal of these
>>>>activists do not represent significant buying power. And, as you
>>>>pointed out so accurately, it's all about money.
>>>
>>>
>>>They don't represent a -significant- part of the economy but they
>>>-can- put a dent in the profits, which is what I already said.
>>
>>So who would you rather piss off, a group of abhorrent activists, or
>>mainstream Christians?
>
>
>Is there some reason you can't understand the simple concepts involved
>when a company evaluates cost, profit and risk?

I understand fully. Hence my comment on who they'd rather piss off.
Piss off the small potatoes to keep the larger ones happy. After all,

the larger ones have the most money.

>>> Corps
>>>make their decisions based on numbers, and if they think that dropping
>>>ads from a couple shows is going to be less expensive than a dent in
>>>profits from a small boycott then you can bet your bottom dollar that
>>>the ads will be yanked.
>>
>>Well sure. It is all about money. So far mainstream Christians still
>>outnumber gay groups.
>
>
>Does that make it right?

No, but it doesn't make it wrong either.

> Are Christians permitted to subjugate and
>subvert the lives of other Americans simply because they outnumber
>them?

Well, there is the concept of majority rules.

> These "Christians" really need to start practicing what they
>preach. Or at least how to live and let live.

Not when abhorrent behavior is cancerous to their way of life. They
have a right to fight for what they believe in, just as much as those
who would throw traditional morality to the wind in support of the
latest hedonistic pop-culture fad.

>>>That's a very good point. Justice is for the rich because lawyers are
>>>greedy bastards.
>>
>>Exactly! And that only underscores the point that with enough money
>>you can hire enough defensive legal power to beat almost any criminal
>>or civil charge. ABSCAM alumnus Ozzie Meyers was once quoted as
>>saying "If you have enough money in this country, you can do almost
>>anything".
>>
>>O.J. is a free man today because of such practices.
>
>
>And many people are on death row because of the same practices.

Unfortunately true. But neither case should be acceptable. Justice
SHOULD be about finding the truth, not about the lawyer who puts on
the best show for the jury.

> But
>again you fail to address the fact that many times it's cheaper to
>settle or take the hit than to fight the charge in court, and the
>decision to fight is usually one based on money.

I agree. But in many cases the decision to fight also hinges on the
chances of winning. If the truth is on your side, then you should
fight. If your case is weak, then perhaps not.

> -My- contention is
>that the FCC uses this fact to it's advantage when it decides who to
>fine and how much to fine them.

I'd be more inclined to believe your allegation of institutionalized
discrimination, if there were specific examples that highlight this
pattern.

In the personal radio arena, the FCC often takes into consideration
the ability of the defendant to pay the fine. In many cases, the fines
were lowered if the defendant can prove hardship.

>>>>Are you implying that the FCC only contemplates fining those who they
>>>>feel cannot mount a successful legal challenge?
>>>
>>>
>>>Cannot or will not.
>>>
>>>
>>>> I'm not sure I buy
>>>>into this level of conspiratorial thought.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, conspiracies do exist.
>>
>>Yes, but I'm concerned about the ones you choose to believe in.
>
>
>You should concern yourself with the conflict between facts and your
>"core beliefs".

As should you.

>
>>>And if my conclusions are true, the FCC
>>>wouldn't call it a conspiracy.
>>
>>In this case "IF" is a mighty big word. One that is not
>>interchangeable for fact.
>
>
>I never said it was fact, Dave. Or haven't you been paying attention?

No but you present it as if it were. I'm merely putting the situation
in its proper perspective.

>>>I never claimed the FCC was established illegally. My contention is
>>>that they are using procedures that are unconstitutional.
>>
>>I would be very interested in the hows and whys of this alleged

>>unconstitutionality.
>
>
>Then feel free to read through the rrcb archives.

Them's a mighty big group. Specifics please.

>>I suspect a great deal of subjectivity in this interpretation. Many
>>people who claim to adhere to the strict wording of the constitution,
>>would find many of our laws and governmental agencies to be
>>"unconstitutional". The whole abortion law, as a result of Roe V.
>>Wade, is one such example, as it was established as a result of a
>>judicial ruling rather than a legislative action.
>
>
>Once again you are confused, Dave. It was statutory law that initiated
>the Constitutional challenge. The statute was -overthrown- by the
>Supreme Court, not established.

Exactly. But what right should a branch of government which is
supposed to interpret and apply the law, have in making or overturning
standing law? That is the job for the legislature.

> And case law is just as much 'law' as
>statutory law because of the system of 'checks and balances' -- to
>suggest that a law is something less because it is a "judicial ruling"
>is completely bogus.

I call it "overstepping their bounds". No law that is made by the
legislature should be struck down by a panel of judges without debate,
which should include the legislature who passed the law in the first
place.

>>Like the Bible, and religion in general, it's surprising at how many
>>different interpretations you can find from a single source of
>>information.
>
>
>It doesn't affect you one bit if two homosexuals get married.

Yes it does. It diminishes the sanctity of the institution of
marriage. One that has existed for thousands of years.

> You can
>interpret it any way you want, but it's simply none of your business.

But it is.

>Just like it's none of your business if your neighbor gets his dick
>pierced,

Fine, just don't parade it in front of me or (more importantly) my
kids. And excuse me while a laugh my ass off at his stupidity.

> or your Aunt Bertha gets orgasms riding a Hog.

That's deviant.

>If that's how
>they get their kicks then more power to them. Live and let live.

Some people get their kicks by having sex with small children. Should we allow them to just live and let live? If not, then why not? Then explain how this logic differs from any other justification for other immoral behavior. Then we're back to the slippery slope.

>As for abortion, I'll wait until the discovery process is finished.

Killing an innocent life, with no means of defense, is murder.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2573. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:01:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tfte71dvga8jqkr6ova3ecg1653t2vn3uu@4ax.com>
Source record: 199541

On Mon, 2 May 2005 13:19:33 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>I am not arguing that the FCC should do ANYTHING!!! Well, other than assist
>the people in using "THEIR" radio spectrum... the radio spectrum is NOT
>theirs to do anything with!!!

Then neither is your car. I hope you won't mind if I "borrow" it. You
don't mind a little mud do you?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2574. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:29:43 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26540-42777CC7-33@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199542

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 03 May 2005 01:53:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>But in criminal court the state must prove

>beyond a reasonable doubt in order to gain a

>guilty verdict. You are presumed innocent until
>then. Traffic court is not quite the same. While

>they may not specifically say it this way, the

>fact that you got a ticket, is evidence of guilt,

>and you have to try your best to prove that

>you're not guilty.

In Florida, if one has a clean license (no tickets on record) and is
issued a simple traffic ticket, such as for exceeding the speed limit
(but less than 15 mph over the legal limit, as speeding more than 15 mph
over the limit requires a mandatory court appearance) and challenges it
in court, the ticket is practically always dismissed.

>The word of one cop is enough, in most

>cases, to render a "guilty" verdict, unless

>you're damn lucky and can somehow "prove"

>your innocence.

You are assuming the majority of tickets issued are not dismissed.

2575. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:31:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26539-42777D36-108@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199598

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 2 May 2005 13:19:33 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
I am not arguing that the FCC should do ANYTHING!!! Well, other than
assist the people in using "THEIR" radio spectrum... the radio spectrum
is NOT theirs to do anything with!!!

>Then neither is your car. I hope you won't

>mind if I "borrow" it. You don't mind a little mud
>do you?

>Dave

"Sandbagger"

A valid comparison can not be reached when you present an intangible vs
a tangible.

2576. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:36:48 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26538-42777E70-344@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199599

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
Well, in the event of a "BIG ONE", I will have both ham and CB here...
but I
will be chatting with MANY more on the CB--you can't fool me!!!!
Regards,
John
-
Ha..rock on!

2577. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:40:43 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26539-42777F5B-109@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199597

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Well, frankly, I don't know any CB'er which

>runs less than 100 watts, and

>most laugh at 100... I think 400-600 is more or
>less "standard."

An ex-military dude once presented the "squared" wattage output formula when transmitting from the water....those 100 watts took on dimensions of greatness.

>and, some run "import linears" or even the

>russian multi-kilowatt rigs (just

>a few I know admit it, I suspect many more)...

>especially in remote

>locations away from cities...

>Regards,

>John

No doubt about it...after this flatlander spent time in the woods of the northeast, I can see why huge watts can fall flat just over that next mountain.

2578. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:42:32 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26538-42777FC8-345@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199604

From: t181@stusnetrelay.net (Stu)
There is an increasing level of packet & spread spectrum activity by CB stations in the traditional freeband ranges, which indicates the ever growing ability of cb to provide reliable worldwide comms and experiment with nontraditional modes. There are at least three international cb packet nets. Amazing what one observes, if you point your receiver outside the ham bands.
Stu

_
Next there will be SSTV <g>!

2579. FA: ORIGINAL TENTEC 505 QRP ARGONAUT, SSB/CW & 80-10mtrs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:44:45 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <gfLde.7929$Hf6.5869@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199543
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Cheep!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986110&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2580. FA: $10>HEATHKIT IM-13 TUBE TYPE VTVM with TEST PROBE, HANDY NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:45:39 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <6gLde.7930$Hf6.5632@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199596
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

No Bids>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7512015721&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2581. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:46:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26538-4277809A-346@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199605

From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
>Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and

>28 during the last peak (we're in the trough of

>the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't

>understand why those people don't just break

>down and get a ham license.

And some of those you were listening to can't understand why you did.

>It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're

>trying to remain anonymous.

Yet, as you observed, is done daily. What's that tell you? It says those trading the cards are not concerned in the slightest about anonymity. In fact, it has ALWAYS been hammies who are concerned with the anonymity of cbers, and only a few select rotten apples.

>Is it the excitement of doing something illegal

>and not getting caught?

Or changing your socks..

>Is that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do
>it?

>Guy

They do it for the same reason the hammies do it.

2582. FA: BEAUTIFUL SIMPSON 260 SERIES 8 VOM for PARTS/REPAIR NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:46:22 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <NgLde.7931$Hf6.2395@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199594
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7512015774&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2583. FA: $10> TWO(2) RAYTHEON USA 6146A RF OUTPUT TUBES>Tested-Handy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:47:13 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <AhLde.7932$Hf6.5838@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199595
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986076&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2584. FA: $10>DRAKE TV-3300-LP TVI LOW-PASS FILTER>1000WATTS, BEST:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:48:40 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XiLde.7934$Hf6.2863@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199601
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986187&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2585. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:48:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26538-4277813D-347@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199606

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Tue, 03 May 2005 06:56:14 -0500, Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote:
Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and 28 during the last peak (we're in the trough of the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't understand why those people don't just break down and get a ham license.
It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're trying to remain anonymous.
Is it the excitement of doing something illegal and not getting caught?
Is that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do it?
Guy

>they are too ignorant to get a license,

..said the jaded nocode.

>and they enjoy pissing and moaning when

>someone tells them they need a license to use
>10 meters.

10 meter is empty compared to the freeband and freebanders don't use 10 meter. You're getting blue in the face. Perhaps you shouldn't piss and moan so much. You might win the pool.

2586. FA: $10>DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:49:49 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <%jLde.7935$Hf6.2301@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199600
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

No Bids, easily worth $10:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2587. FA: 23 VINTAGE TEXAS INTRUMENTS TI482 & TI484 TRANSISTORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:50:46 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UkLde.7936$Hf6.6824@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199602
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

No Bids yets:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7512015787&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2588. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:50:49 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZkLde.12198$J12.4429@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199603

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:9ble715jt736le8dai3pqe2hmq8gtovgvq@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 03 May 2005 01:53:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:

> While they may not
> specifically say it this way, the fact that you got a ticket, is
> evidence of guilt, and you have to try your best to prove that you're
> not guilty. The word of one cop is enough, in most cases, to render a
> "guilty" verdict, unless you're damn lucky and can somehow "prove"
> your innocence

Um Dave, when the officer hands the book to you and says "sign here"
he always says: " This is not an admission of guilt, but a promissory to
appear in court", so how does your statement apply? It doesn't.
If you didn't do anything wrong, you have the RIGHT to appear in
court, present your evidence to the JUDGE and let him make the
decision, not a bunch of people sitting around a table, drinking
coffee, eating donuts and then saying....."um, this guy said SH*T,
let's fine him.....$25,000.00, yeah, that's a good amount"
You have a better chance of beating a ticket if your inocent than
an FCC fine, at least you appear before a Judge and you can request
a jury trial, try to do that with a FCC NAL.

>
> Why play word games? The end result is the same. The accuser has to
> prove his innocence, by discrediting the evidence against him. The cop
> is not required to demonstrate 6 different ways from Sunday how the
> accuser is guilty "beyond a reasonable doubt". He only has to show up
> and testify that the accuser ran such and such red light, and it's his
> word against the accuser's. So who does the court tend to believe? How
> is this a "presumption of innocence"?

What word games? The officer presents his evidence, then you have your
turn, do you think that just because he's a police officer he's always
right?
If you present the correct type of evidence, witness's, you will be found
innocent.

>
>
>
>>>I'm waiting until the FCC gets into the Satellite radio scene.
>>
>>
>>I'm waiting until the FCC starts regulating the internet.

>
>
> It's coming.

That's a very sad statement Dave, if you can't regulate what you
hear and watch, you have to have the governmet do it for you.
A clear case.......... Lack of self control.

>
> Homosexuality is a disorder of the brain. Not much different than
> schizophrenia, bipolar, or a host of other disorders. We should be
> looking for ways to treat and correct it, not for reasons to excuse
> it.
>

LOL!!!! Dr. Sigmund Hall is in the office..... Too funny

> A marriage is a symbolic ritual of bonding that occurs between
> biologically compatible couples. There can be no natural procreation
> in a homosexual union. So yes, those values ARE diminished.
>

Symbolic Yes. I'm not sure what you mean by "biologically compatible"
Do you mean, if your gay, you can't be a compatible couple?
Don't get me wrong Dave, I agree with you on "Gay marriage", I think
your words are wrong though.

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Too much stuff to comment on here Dave, you have got way to much time
on your hands, I for one have to get to work, see yaa.................

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

2589. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 13:56:03 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26538-427782F3-349@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199608

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:9844-4276C807-
307@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
I am not positive here (and I am no
lawyer--but the man you stalk needs to take your posts and pics to one),
but you seem in violation of the stalking laws--
Cite the single passage you misinterpret him violating as pertains to
stalking laws.
I think if he now sues your A$$ he will have gobs of money to feed his
kids with!!!
Regards,
John
-
No chance at all. Mopar was publicly invited by Dogie. -You- need to
talk to a lawyer and have him properly explain what constitutes
stalking, as you are misinformed. In the meantime, try not to think
about such things.
-
>You have no idea who made the invite,

The rest of your post assumes the above to be true. You have discounted
the possibility the identity of one who made such a physical threat was
found immediately after the post and logged with the isp's he utilized,
due to them being contacted and instructed future legal ramifications
may arise referring this specific user due his violation of the law.
But I'll play devil's advocate for you.

>some one says they are george bush and to

>come to the white house you have carte

>blanche to go there?

Good point. I taught N3CVJ this a few posts ago when he said saying
something on usenet is the same as a guilty plea in a court of law.
Where were you then? :)

> Dont be so fucking stupid. just the same as I

>AM NOW CHRIS BUSCH loL this assclown is

>as clueless as you tipsy.. and thats bad

(shrug) Clueless is providing the FCC a false address. It's also a
crime.

2590. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:01:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26539-4277844E-112@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199609

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>I said "I am not a lawyer", at this point, if it

>were me, I'd be consulting one....

>John

In a nut shell,,,consider he initiated physical threats, is a felon, indigent, not of sound mind (as per the courts), and designated (by the FCC) repeater jammer, how many lawyers you think will represent this individual in a civil case, pro bono? Otoh, one can not initiate any court actions on their own behalf if they are not of sound mind. One is appointed on their behalf to represent their best interests.

2591. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:04:12 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115128482.4512c3b0889ad89e89ea860ab54846e1@bubbanews>
Source record: 199607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 1 May 2005 21:26:05 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
>generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the
>radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
>And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
>duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
>

Other interesting findings made by The National Communications System
(NCS), a governmental entity made up from 22 different Federal
agenciesengineers were that portable generators, such as Honda types,
were not likely to be adversely effected by EMP at all. Neither were
hand-held walkie-talkie type portable radios, particularly those with
short stubby "rubber duck" antennas.

looks like hams with HT's is the best thing to have during an EMP
blast.

2592. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:17:39 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <8KLde.3596$D91.1076@fed1read01>
Source record: 199610

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> Transistor "gear" would survive, if NOT hooked to an antenna, especially,
> if "shielded" or in a metal enclosure--mobile home, metal cabinet, file
> cabinet, basement, etc, etc....

care to test that theory?

>
> Fact is, there are MILLIONS of more CB's than ham radios... indeed, many
> of the late 60's-70's right up today are still used...

yeah, and a lot of them get junked after a while. part are becomming
difficult to get, especially for the older rigs. cb repair shops aren't
nearly as plentiful as they once were (only 6 left in phoenix when there
used to be over 30 during the great CB heyday)

>
> If you would even argue that the number of ham radios, in comparison to
> CB's--would make a pimple on the number of CB radios A$$--a three-day
> observation would be in order... there is one in every truck out there!!!
> (well, literally!)

no. there isn't.... in point of fact, in just about every truck anymore,
there is a mix of gear. some trucking companies no longer allow drivers to
install "personal equipment". I know this because I have a family member
thats a road super for swift trucking (ogden utah division) and the company
policy is this: "no user install equipment allowed. anyone caught will be
terminated". just for your info: swift is not a small, third rate trucking
company. they happen to be one of the "big 10" of the western states.

>
> Every listen on CB? Have you noticed all the Mexican stations and So.
> American stations there now? The numbers are GROWING!!!! In fact, take a
> spanish class... and, those stations are showing up on 10 meters too!!!

I usually hear them from mexico. of late, the number of actual users on the
band has diminished since 10 years ago. I can actually find quiet
frequencies in that spectrum that might not have people on them for hours.

>
> Then start counting the other ones....

gee, nearly continuous traffic levels on the amateur bands (when conditions
are in for each band). sometimes, the noise rivals that of even channle 19

on a saturday night.

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCd4gDhomUgK3bdaYRAunyAJ43pLR71BtqEvTsVZQSQbhl6GA+OQCfTybC
72+/jHOa0J6qORPf5nGHWW0=
=b4cG
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2593. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:20:08 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <uMLde.3597$D91.2496@fed1read01>
Source record: 199611

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> Well, ok, let's accept, for the moment, that CB is used by idiots and
> stupid people who cuss and make threats--that is still no reason they
> don't need a radio, if that's how they choose to express themselves--this
> is America--more power to 'em.

careful about assumptions man..... :)

>
> Now, if the FORCE others to listen, or to partake with them... or worse,
> to deny the hams use of their radios--THAT WOULD BE BAD!!!

agreed.

>
> Indeed, then they would be no better than the hams!!!

well, considering I am from the "diaper band" originally, I often look at
folks who "fuss and cuss" with some disdain (couldn't they be just a tad
smarter?). I'm finding, more and more, that the smarter ones are moving to
MURS or FRS for their local chats. less of a problem on those bands.

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCd4iYhomUgK3bdaYRAhItAKC25AlptQsd4KvtA/8MEWidvae6TQCePNUc
ijuvE2jB/Le0JN4Slse+uus=
=nAYD
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2594. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:23:21 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <vPLde.3598$D91.574@fed1read01>
Source record: 199612

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

> From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZTï¿½-ï¿½Ericï¿½Oyen)
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> No, that may be the mentality of a particular ham or one group of hams,
> but
> it isn't every ham. There are idiots in any large group of people.
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>>yeah. that is most certainly true.
>
>>unfortunately, some of those "idiots" tend to
>
>>end up in positions of power/responsibility and
>>that's where their stupidity can endanger folks.
> And some of those idiots that can't achieve positions of
> power/responsibility but long for it, come to usenet to seek it in the
> form of bitching about other's actions.
if it weren't for bitching, sometimes nothing would get done. :)

>
> Too bad ignorance isn't painful.
that would be a very healthy way of weeding out the less fit. :)

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCd4lZhomUgK3bdaYRAoivAJ9/VDaRTXM7QirwC0PpgMBJh1MTJACgiYT2
YBtmTJju26bN+O6lTRtQo00=
=FUyC
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2595. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:26:20 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 199624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>
>
> <snip>

The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.

2596. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:32:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <p-SdnSo5NfCjNerfRVn-iA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 199625

Guy wrote:
> Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and 28 during the last peak (we're in
> the trough of the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't understand why those
> people don't just break down and get a ham license.
>
> It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're trying to remain anonymous.
>
> Is it the excitement of doing something illegal and not getting caught? Is
> that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do it?
>
> Guy

Too dumb to get a license.

2597. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:37:08 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <h7adnbwfdZgAEerfRVn-sg@comcast.com>
Source record: 199613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Besides he needs to read it again, he is using a beam, that is worthless for local emergency communications, the point is that in a local disaster use the two meter band works out allllllooottt better than CB.

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1115122458.9263d825813999e37a075380aeadadc6@bubbanews...
> On Mon, 2 May 2005 20:52:50 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75
>>air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a
>>valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER
>>ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized
>>chunk
>>of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
>>skip would be worldwide during the day...
>>
>>On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because
>>the
>>hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And,
>>there
>>are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
>>
>>
>
> your antenna is a toy compared to most ham antennas. if you have
> trouble chatting with somone on 10 meters, maybe you should learn to
> speak english. most freebanders are quite illiterate.

2598. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 14:37:43 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <_0Mde.3599$D91.1349@fed1read01>
Source record: 199614

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

>>islands that still had capability. mo where (I
>
>>REPEAT *NO WHERE*) was CB radio ever
>
>>mentioned as a valid or utilized
>
>>communications medium.
>
>
> As usual, you're wrong, Eric.

care to qualify that statement?

>
>>if you can prove otherwise, please show me
>
>>the links.
>
>
>
> Links? How about off the top of my head I give you the proper info and
> you do your own homework and if you fail to locate the educational
> material you have been given, come on back and I'll spoon feed you the
> links.

its now "put up or shut up" time. provide the proof and I'll shut up.
continue to argue and I will be as stubborn to my point of view as
possible. the fastest way to disarm an arguement is with a few well placed
facts. so far, I haven't seen any.

> For starters, check the ACBRO TEAM INC in Australia. Or how about the
> Lions Club in the UK with their praise for cb over what you say didn't
> occur....help with the tsunami.

pardon? ACVRO Team has NOTHING on their site relevant to the tsunami. even
did a google search with those terms and the acbro site came up WITHOUT any
mention of Tsunami communications relief. NEXT!

*** from the Lions club UK site:
"Two Indonesian radio amateurs, Soejat Harto, YB6HB, & Zulkarman Syafrin,
YC6PLG, are among the team of volunteers who have gone to Nias Island
following the latest earthquake on 28 March. The quake measured 8.7 on the

Richter scale and around 1000 lives may have been lost. Soejat is a medical doctor and a vice-chairman of the North Sumatra Province branch of ORARI, the Indonesian national amateur radio society, while Zulkarman is a member of the Indonesian Amateur Radio Emergency Service.

Amateur radio is not C.B., worthy though Citizens Band Radio is. Amateur Radio is a unique & fascinating hobby that captivates millions of people world wide. It encompasses a huge range of activities, from people communicating locally in the same town or city, to people communicating with others right around the world, or beyond by talking with other licensed amateur radio operators in the international space station."
***
not the phrase "Amateur radio is not C.B.". where in there (or anywhere else on their site does it mention Cb as being used prominently in the tsunami distaster? IT DOESN'T!. nice try. you lose.

> Just for kicks, a few other organizations that come to mind that not
> only depend upon cb but require it.. check the Disaster Management Plan
> belonging to the Coast Guard of India. This was put into law and devised
> right after the Barren volcano eruption in the early nineties. Of
> course, there are countless more, but this ought keep you occupied for
> the time being.

try again. cb radio was not mentioned anywhere on their pages as a primary communications system, and only its mention as a system having been phased out last decade.

you really need to try harder.

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCd4y4homUgK3bdaYRAqcFAJ9MroFLqHyfZLxfu/kGdtV10NWeFQCfSZZL
zEpN9ioRYcNKiGFBXiJYCVw=
=Col+
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2599. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:02:35 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ukMde.152834$vK6.53894@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 199615

<editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
> much of the U.S. at many times of day.

.....why do people who *porport* to be "hams" always seem to think that ham radio is always and solely dependent on repeaters? Just asking.

J
>
> No $4 to park! No $6 admission!
> http://stores.ebay.com/INTERNET-GUN-SHOW
>

2600. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:10:08 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zrMde.152835$vK6.51177@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 199616

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:W1wde.52$iB.2945057@news.sisna.com...
> My sister works for a religious charity, she was there, I am just going on
> what she told me...
> You are right, the news made no mention which I seen--however, I see a lot
> which fails to be mentioned, or at least "correctly mentioned" on the
> news...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:NLydnd5P5oEb_evfRVn-qg@gbronline.com...
> | N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> | > -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> | > Hash: SHA1
> | >
> | > John Smith wrote:
> | >
> | >
> | >>In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
> | >>emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part...
> now,
> | >>with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should
> vastly
> | >>improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
> | >>
> | >>
> | >>
> | >>Regards,
> | >>John

>Ah HA! Hearsay! So 'n so told so 'n so and uncle Bubba told Jim Bob, and
>Jim Bob told Cousin Billy.....;)

J
>

2601. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:20:45 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <xBMde.152836$vK6.60083@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 199617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com...
> You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
> ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
> MENTION!!!!
>
> One drawback:
> You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught...
>
> John

What a myth! Amazing how the untrained "think" they can't be caught simply
because they are mobile. There's
quite a few truckers that thought that when they got caught for playing
around on 10 Meters. :)

J
>
> "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
> news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
> | Jeez,
> |
> | CBers vs. Hams
> |
> | What's the point in debating which is better?
> |
> | Both have positives and negatives.
> |
> | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
> the
> | national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
> | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver
> for
> | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
> you
> | can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency, can't
> get
> | away from a high noise floor.
> |
> | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
> riff-raft,
> | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
> you're
> | looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in

> | emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
> access
> | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
> | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham bands
> | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
> of
> | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be hard
> | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters
> or
> | 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
> |
> | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big cities.
> | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to
> use
> | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
> | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
> | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
> |
> | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you can
> do
> | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
> channel
> | 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to
> them.
> | They are always gratefull.
> |
> | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
> | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
> made
> | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like
> | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with now-a-days
> is
> | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some
> | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
> |
> | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
> thread.
> | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go
> get
> | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get
> a
> | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
> hard
> | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
> out.
> |
> | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has no
> | signal.
> |
> | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.

> |
> | Guy
> |
>
>

2602. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:20:53 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115133084.64efc8826d45b9644f96a9990bd71d8a@bubbanews>
Source record: 199618

On Tue, 03 May 2005 07:37:43 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>Hash: SHA1
>
>I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
>>>islands that still had capability. mo where (I
>>
>>>REPEAT *NO WHERE*) was CB radio ever
>>
>>>mentioned as a valid or utilized
>>
>>>communications medium.
>>
>>
>> As usual, you're wrong, Eric.
>
>care to qualify that statement?
>
>>
>>>if you can prove otherwise, please show me
>>
>>>the links.
>>
>>
>>
>> Links? How about off the top of my head I give you the proper info and
>> you do your own homework and if you fail to locate the educational
>> material you have been given, come on back and I'll spoon feed you the
>> links.
>
>its now "put up or shut up" time. provide the proof and I'll shut up.
>continue to argue and I will be as stubborn to my point of view as
>possible. the fastest way to disarm an arguement is with a few well placed
>facts. so far, I haven't seen any.

you mean facts off the top of his head arent enough proof?

>
>> For starters, check the ACBRO TEAM INC in Australia. Or how about the
>> Lions Club in the UK with their praise for cb over what you say didn't
>> occur....help with the tsunami.
>

>pardon? ACVRO Team has NOTHING on their site relevant to the tsunami. even
>did a google search with those terms and the acbro site came up WITHOUT any
>mention of Tsunami communications relief. NEXT!
>
>*** from the Lions club UK site:
>"Two Indonesian radio amateurs, Soejat Harto, YB6HB, & Zulkarman Syafrin,
>YC6PLG, are among the team of volunteers who have gone to Nias Island
>following the latest earthquake on 28 March. The quake measured 8.7 on the
>Richter scale and around 1000 lives may have been lost. Soejat is a medical
>doctor and a vice-chairman of the North Sumatra Province branch of ORARI,
>the Indonesian national amateur radio society, while Zulkarman is a member
>of the Indonesian Amateur Radio Emergency Service.
>
>
> Amateur radio is not C.B., worthy though Citizens Band Radio is. Amateur
>Radio is a unique & fascinating hobby that captivates millions of people
>world wide. It encompasses a huge range of activities, from people
>communicating locally in the same town or city, to people communicating
>with others right around the world, or beyond by talking with other
>licensed amateur radio operators in the international space station."
>***
>not the phrase "Amateur radio is not C.B.". where in there (or anywhere else
>on their site does it mention Cb as being used prominently in the tsunami
>distaster? IT DOESN'T!. nice try. you lose.
>
>
>> Just for kicks, a few other organizations that come to mind that not
>> only depend upon cb but require it.. check the Disaster Management Plan
>> belonging to the Coast Guard of India. This was put into law and devised
>> right after the Barren volcano eruption in the early nineties. Of
>> course, there are countless more, but this ought keep you occupied for
>> the time being.
>
>try again. cb radio was not mentioned anywhere on their pages as a primary
>communications system, and only its mention as a system having been phased
>out last decade.
>
>
>you really need to try harder.

2603. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:21:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <Ia2dnc_xL89KKerfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 199635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Freeband sucks wrote:
> On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>>>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>>>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>
>>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>>
>>
>>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
>>do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>>
>>Stu
>>
>>
>
>
> 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>
> 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
>

It sure doesn't fit in the emergency plans of NORAD and Homeland
Security. I would be curious as to just which national emergency plans
(US Government) include any cb spread spectrum.

2604. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:23:06 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <JDMde.152838$vK6.57585@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 199619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050501163638.195$3x@newsreader.com...
> KC8LDO - truth@truthsayer.org wrote:
>> > "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in message
>> > news:UMydneOFVLqiTu7fRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> > > In real cases in a emergency CB will reach more people as Hams only
>> > > talk to Hams, CBers will talk to any body and they do not waste time
>> > > with the Ham BS
>>
>> What about the CB BS? "tan fer thar mercy sakes alive no doubt about it
>> come on thar ya got that one gravedigger 186 world wide on the side
>> wavin a hand from the sand pile mercy me no doubt no doubt the grave
>> digger said that we gone BEEEEeeeEEEYYYEP Honk Squueeeeek"
>>
> LOL, check out Nad's coax run.
>
LMAO!

2605. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:28:41 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <XIMde.152839$vK6.39684@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 199620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Tex Wycoff" <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote in message
news:d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net...
> Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters
> and cell towers. What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even
> hams can comprehend that. No, nevermind. They can't.
>
> Good Numbers,
>
> Tex

What's left? Hmmmm. Lessee. There's 160 Meters, 75,
40, 30, 20 17, 15, and 10 meters. None of which depend on "repeaters.
Nothing to "comprehend".

All them "good numbers thar"! ;)

LMAO!
>
>
>
> "ex-Ham" <anon@exhamnbeans.net> wrote in message
> news:d52kp1$5tm$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> <editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
>> news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find
>>> out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity
>>> to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more
>>> useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to
>>> find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in
>>> America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in
>>> much of the U.S. at many times of day.
>>
>>
>
>

2606. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:30:18 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tKMde.152840$vK6.124127@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 199621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:tZhde.123$af6.11318418@news.sisna.com...
> An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
> generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the
> radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
> And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
> duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
> | John Smith wrote:
> | > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast
> and
> the
> | > EMP sizzles their front ends...
> | >
> | > Regards,
> | > John
> |
> | If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are that
> | generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
> | be worried about a few repeaters.

.....and if it fries the ham rigs, it will fry the CB rigs,
too!

>
>

2607. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:34:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0e6f71hv5pich9g0r1pfa1kov6ilt29pn6@4ax.com>
Source record: 199622

On Tue, 3 May 2005 09:31:34 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Mon, 2 May 2005 13:19:33 -0700, "John Smith"
><assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>I am not arguing that the FCC should do ANYTHING!!! Well, other than
>assist the people in using "THEIR" radio spectrum... the radio spectrum
>is NOT theirs to do anything with!!!
>
>>Then neither is your car. I hope you won't
>
>>mind if I "borrow" it. You don't mind a little mud
>>do you?
>
>>Dave
>
>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>A valid comparison can not be reached when you present an intangible vs
>a tangible.

The fact that you refuse to view radio spectrum as "tangible" is not
my problem.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2608. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 15:40:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qh6f71t155qpf8lg2966cpnjvj1qbpdots@4ax.com>
Source record: 199623

On Tue, 3 May 2005 09:29:43 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 03 May 2005 01:53:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>But in criminal court the state must prove
>
>>beyond a reasonable doubt in order to gain a
>
>>guilty verdict. You are presumed innocent until
>>then. Traffic court is not quite the same. While
>
>>they may not specifically say it this way, the
>
>>fact that you got a ticket, is evidence of guilt,
>
>>and you have to try your best to prove that
>
>>you're not guilty.
>
>
>
>In Florida, if one has a clean license (no tickets on record) and is
>issued a simple traffic ticket, such as for exceeding the speed limit
>(but less than 15 mph over the legal limit, as speeding more than 15 mph
>over the limit requires a mandatory court appearance) and challenges it
>in court, the ticket is practically always dismissed.

>
>
>
>The word of one cop is enough, in most
>
>>cases, to render a "guilty" verdict, unless
>
>>you're damn lucky and can somehow "prove"
>
>>your innocence.
>
>You are assuming the majority of tickets issued are not dismissed.

I do not have statistics on this, but from my (again nameless) police
friends, I am told that most times the tickets stand, as long as the
cop appears, and the offense is not easily disputable. That's why, in

Pa, they normally give you 5 - 10 MPH over the speed limit, so as to eliminate the dispute over accuracies. In many cases the cop does not appear, and you can consider that a "gimme". But there's no way to predict who will show and in which case.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2609. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 16:35:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nLNde.21508$vp3.11630645@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199626

So, you think that harassing a man, such as being done here, would NOT be
found to be offensive to the general public???
You think the general public would support your abuse of this man???

Well, maybe so, or maybe you should rethink that....

Regards,
John
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26538-427782F3-349@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:9844-4276C807-
307@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
I am not positive here (and I am no
lawyer--but the man you stalk needs to take your posts and pics to one),
but you seem in violation of the stalking laws--
Cite the single passage you misinterpret him violating as pertains to
stalking laws.
I think if he now sues your A$$ he will have gobs of money to feed his
kids with!!!
Regards,
John
-
No chance at all. Mopar was publicly invited by Dogie. -You- need to
talk to a lawyer and have him properly explain what constitutes
stalking, as you are misinformed. In the meantime, try not to think
about such things.
-
>You have no idea who made the invite,

The rest of your post assumes the above to be true. You have discounted
the possibility the identity of one who made such a physical threat was
found immediately after the post and logged with the isp's he utilized,
due to them being contacted and instructed future legal ramifications
may arise referring this specific user due his violation of the law.
But I'll play devil's advocate for you.

>some one says they are george bush and to

>come to the white house you have carte

>blanche to go there?

Good point. I taught N3CVJ this a few posts ago when he said saying something on usenet is the same as a guilty plea in a court of law. Where were you then? :)

> Dont be so fucking stupid. just the same as I

>AM NOW CHRIS BUSCH loL this assclown is

>as clueless as you tipsy.. and thats bad

(shrug) Clueless is providing the FCC a false address. It's also a crime.

2610. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 16:39:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QONde.21581$dd3.11587640@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199627

The car, as is the radio spectrum, mine!!!
My car is NOT the DMV's, my radio spectrum is NOT the FCC's....

Regards,
John

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:tfte71dvga8jqkr6ova3ecg1653t2vn3uu@4ax.com...
| On Mon, 2 May 2005 13:19:33 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >I am not arguing that the FCC should do ANYTHING!!! Well, other than
assist
| >the people in using "THEIR" radio spectrum... the radio spectrum is NOT
| >theirs to do anything with!!!
|
|
| Then neither is your car. I hope you won't mind if I "borrow" it. You
| don't mind a little mud do you?
|
| Dave
| "Sandbagger"

2611. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 16:48:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TXNde.21772$St3.11640950@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199628

```
Well, do we even know what radios the citizen group(s) are using who are
down patroling the Mexican/American border, right now?
Bet CB's are there, and cell phones--bet ham radios' part is
insignificant!!!
If that isn't a "disaster", I don't know what is!

Regards,
John

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:zrMde.152835$vK6.51177@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:W1wde.52$iB.2945057@news.sisna.com...
| > My sister works for a religious charity, she was there, I am just going
on
| > what she told me...
| > You are right, the news made no mention which I seen--however, I see a
lot
| > which fails to be mentioned, or at least "correctly mentioned" on the
| > news...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
| >
| > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| > news:NLydnd5P5oEb_evfRVn-qg@gbronline.com...
| > | N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
| > | > -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
| > | > Hash: SHA1
| > | >
| > | > John Smith wrote:
| > | >
| > | >
| > | >>In the latest REAL disaster, the Tsunami, it was CB radios, sent as
| > | >>emergency communications... the hams had a very secondary part...
| > now,
| > | >>with all those CB's sitting down there, skip from that area should
| > vastly
| > | >>improve--BOTH on 11 and 10 meters <grin>
| > | >>
| > | >>
| > | >>
| > | >>Regards,
| > | >>John
```

```
|
| >Ah HA! Hearsay! So 'n so told so 'n so and uncle Bubba told Jim Bob,
and
| >Jim Bob told Cousin Billy.....;)
|
| J
| >
|
|
```

2612. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 16:52:19 UTC
From: Stu <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
Message-ID: <d58a4rfqn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>
>>
>> <snip>
>
> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.

Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.

Stu

2613. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 16:54:05 UTC
From: Stu <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
Message-ID: <d58a85$g1c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.

Stu

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:xBMde.152836$vK6.60083@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com...
>> You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
>> ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
>> MENTION!!!!
>>
>> One drawback:
>> You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught...
>>
>> John
>
>
> What a myth! Amazing how the untrained "think" they can't be caught
> simply because they are mobile. There's
> quite a few truckers that thought that when they got caught for playing
> around on 10 Meters. :)
>
> J
>>
>> "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
>> news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
>> | Jeez,
>> |
>> | CBers vs. Hams
>> |
>> | What's the point in debating which is better?
>> |
>> | Both have positives and negatives.
>> |
>> | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
>> the
>> | national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
>> | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new transceiver
>> for
>> | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
>> you
>> | can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency, can't

>> get
>> | away from a high noise floor.
>> |
>> | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
>> riff-raft,
>> | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
>> you're
>> | looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in
>> | emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
>> access
>> | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
>> | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham
>> bands
>> | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
>> of
>> | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be
>> hard
>> | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75 meters
>> or
>> | 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
>> |
>> | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big
>> cities.
>> | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible to
>> use
>> | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
>> | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
>> | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
>> |
>> | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you
>> can
>> do
>> | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
>> channel
>> | 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to
>> them.
>> | They are always gratefull.
>> |
>> | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
>> | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
>> made
>> | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams like
>> | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with
>> now-a-days
>> is
>> | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with. Some
>> | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
>> |
>> | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
>> thread.

>> | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least go
>> get
>> | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to get
>> a
>> | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
>> hard
>> | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
>> out.
>> |
>> | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has
>> no
>> | signal.
>> |
>> | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
>> |
>> | Guy
>> |
>>
>>
>
>

2614. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 17:15:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hiaf71lll3urk2g3ko2f49p2k3up4hunoj@4ax.com>
Source record: 199631

On Tue, 03 May 2005 13:50:49 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:9ble715jt736le8dai3pqe2hmq8gtovgvq@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 03 May 2005 01:53:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>> While they may not
>> specifically say it this way, the fact that you got a ticket, is
>> evidence of guilt, and you have to try your best to prove that you're
>> not guilty. The word of one cop is enough, in most cases, to render a
>> "guilty" verdict, unless you're damn lucky and can somehow "prove"
>> your innocence
>
>Um Dave, when the officer hands the book to you and says "sign here"
>he always says: " This is not an admission of guilt, but a promissory to
>appear in court", so how does your statement apply?

In Pa, you sign to acknowledge receipt of the citation. You then have
the choice to either plead guilty and remit the amount of the fine to
the address included. Or, you can plead "not guilty" and take your
chances fighting in court. But unless you have some means of actually
proving your innocence beyond that of playing he said- he said with a
cop, you pretty much have the cards stacked against you. Oh, and you
will be assessed court costs in addition to your fine if found guilty.

>If you didn't do anything wrong, you have the RIGHT to appear in
>court, present your evidence to the JUDGE and let him make the
>decision, not a bunch of people sitting around a table, drinking
>coffee, eating donuts and then saying....."um, this guy said SH*T,
>let's fine him.....$25,000.00, yeah, that's a good amount"

Whether or not you did something wrong or not may be open to
subjective interpretation. Most cops would not bother to write someone
a ticket for not coming completely to a stop and waiting the required
3 seconds before proceeding at a stop sign. But the point between
what's an acceptable stop and one that's not, is a subjective gray
area, and not one that you will usually win. Most traffic law issues
are not always black and white. Yes, if you actually feel that you
were unfairly targeted, you might be tempted to fight. But if you are
still guilty of violating the letter of the law, if not the intent,
you might find it a tough battle.

On the other hand, if you piss off a cop and he pulls you over and "invents" a few charges to stick you with, you will still have a hard time proving that you did not commit them unless, of course, the cop in question has a history of abusing his power in such a way.

Is this fair? No. But is it a fact of life? Certainly.

> You have a better chance of beating a ticket if your inocent than
>an FCC fine, at least you appear before a Judge and you can request
>a jury trial, try to do that with a FCC NAL.

You can fight an NAL as well. In most cases the FCC gives fair warning before handing out the NAL. If you heed the warning, in most cases that will be the end of it. I haven't read any accounts of people popped by the FCC who weren't guilty of the offense charged. In most cases, their "defense" consists of crying poor, or somehow trying to justify their actions. Some of the excuses given are quite laughable.

>> Why play word games? The end result is the same. The accuser has to
>> prove his innocence, by discrediting the evidence against him. The cop
>> is not required to demonstrate 6 different ways from Sunday how the
>> accuser is guilty "beyond a reasonable doubt". He only has to show up
>> and testify that the accuser ran such and such red light, and it's his
>> word against the accuser's. So who does the court tend to believe? How
>> is this a "presumption of innocence"?
>
>What word games? The officer presents his evidence, then you have your
>turn, do you think that just because he's a police officer he's always
>right?

No, he's not always right, but he's more credible in the eyes of the court than Joe Average Citizen. Cops are viewed with a certain amount of public trust. If it comes down to a battle of testimony between you and the cop, the court will side with the cop in most cases.

>If you present the correct type of evidence, witness's, you will be found
>innocent.

Tell me, just how great are your chances of having an impartial witness around when you need them, or having some other form of concrete exculpatory evidence?

You are talking how things should be in theory. I'm talking about how they are in reality. In an ideal world, all innocent people would never be accused or wrongly convicted of a crime. The real world paints an entirely different picture. I'm not saying it's right. I'm just acknowledging that it happens.

>>>>I'm waiting until the FCC gets into the Satellite radio scene.
>>>
>>>
>>>I'm waiting until the FCC starts regulating the internet.
>>
>>

>> It's coming.
>
>
>That's a very sad statement Dave, if you can't regulate what you
>hear and watch, you have to have the governmet do it for you.
>A clear case.......... Lack of self control.

It's an unfortunate truth that there are some people out there that can't exercise self control and, by their lack of respect for others, pollute the public venues with inappropriate behavior. Barring vigilante justice, government intervention is often the only sensible alternative.

Ironically, I tend to be a "minimal governmental intrusion" advocate. But as more and more people adopt an "in your face" attitude with respect to their perceived rights, I start to understand the need for stricter controls.

>> Homosexuality is a disorder of the brain. Not much different than
>> schizophrenia, bipolar, or a host of other disorders. We should be
>> looking for ways to treat and correct it, not for reasons to excuse
>> it.
>>
>
>LOL!!!! Dr. Sigmund Hall is in the office..... Too funny

You disagree? You obviously haven't read the studies on the subject.

>
>> A marriage is a symbolic ritual of bonding that occurs between
>> biologically compatible couples. There can be no natural procreation
>> in a homosexual union. So yes, those values ARE diminished.
>>
>
>Symbolic Yes. I'm not sure what you mean by "biologically compatible"
>Do you mean, if your gay, you can't be a compatible couple?

You cannot procreate, which is the whole point of marriage and family.

>Don't get me wrong Dave, I agree with you on "Gay marriage", I think
>your words are wrong though.

In what way?

>Too much stuff to comment on here Dave, you have got way to much time
>on your hands, I for one have to get to work, see yaa.

I'm already here. I'm on lunch right now. My day is 2/3rds over. This small distraction is hardly a dent in my work day.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2615. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 17:24:07 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews>
Source record: 199632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
wrote:

>
>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>
>>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>>
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>
>> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>
>
>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
>do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>
>Stu
>
>

11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.

11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.

2616. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 17:25:18 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115140548.1a320617e93e5875545e89b2be1b9aeb@bubbanews>
Source record: 199633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
wrote:

>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>
>Stu
>
>

freebanders don't use spread spectrum

2617. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 17:26:59 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <DwOde.4299$Ri4.2355@okepread07>
Source record: 199634

I just tuned around the FREEBAND and didn't hear anything. I would say it's just about useless at this time.

Now... if I go down to 14 Mhz or up to 144 Mhz I hear a bunch of stuff.

Guy

2618. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 17:32:17 UTC
From: Stu <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
Message-ID: <d58cfpkoj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115140548.1a320617e93e5875545e89b2be1b9aeb@bubbanews...
> On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>>
>>Stu
>>
>>
>
> freebanders don't use spread spectrum

Sure do *and* have been for quite a while.

Stu

2619. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 17:33:03 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115141014.98e4a855719c70362ecb023ced37961d@bubbanews>
Source record: 199637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Tue, 3 May 2005 13:32:17 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
wrote:

>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1115140548.1a320617e93e5875545e89b2be1b9aeb@bubbanews...
>> On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>>>
>>>Stu
>>>
>>>
>>
>> freebanders don't use spread spectrum
>
>
>Sure do *and* have been for quite a while.
>
>Stu
>
>

on 11 meters? what type of radios are they using?
```

2620. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 18:10:33 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8Pde.22901$xE5.12298402@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!

Think MAN!!! Think!

Regards,
John

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews...
| On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
| wrote:
|
| >
| >"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| >news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
| >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
| >>>
| >>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
| >>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
| >>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
| >>>
| >>>
| >>> <snip>
| >>
| >> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
| >> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
| >
| >
| >Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
| >do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
| >
| >Stu
| >
| >
|
| 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
|
| 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
|

2621. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 18:14:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gcPde.22957$NK5.12408927@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No. I don't see CB helping NORAD, the gov't, the FCC, George Bush or my
OTHER PUBLIC SERVANTS, the cities' mayor, etc... they will just have to do
the best they can... <grin>
I see it helping myself, my neighbor and other fellow citizens....

However, I hope the damn hams will finally crawl down off their high horse
and take my traffic when they see all those needing REAL help!!!!
They just might not.... and here lies the real problem!

Regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:Ia2dnc_xL89KKerfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com...
| Freeband sucks wrote:
| > On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
| > wrote:
| >
| >
| >>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| >>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
| >>
| >>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
| >>>
| >>>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
| >>>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
| >>>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
| >>>>
| >>>>
| >>>><snip>
| >>>
| >>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
| >>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
| >>
| >>
| >>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
| >>do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
| >>
| >>Stu
| >>
| >>
| >
| >
| > 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.

| >
| > 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
| >
|
| It sure doesn't fit in the emergency plans of NORAD and Homeland
| Security. I would be curious as to just which national emergency plans
| (US Government) include any cb spread spectrum.

2622. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 18:16:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_dPde.22982$pJ5.12395637@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199640

I got into QSL cards, I out-grew them--I outgrew baseball cards too....

Warmest regards,
John

"Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
news:nGJde.4298$Ri4.142@okepread07...
| Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and 28 during the last peak (we're
in
| the trough of the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't understand why
those
| people don't just break down and get a ham license.
|
| It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're trying to remain anonymous.
|
| Is it the excitement of doing something illegal and not getting caught?
Is
| that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do it?
|
| Guy
|
| John Smith wrote:
|
| > You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
| > ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
| > MENTION!!!!
| >
| > One drawback:
| > You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught... so, remember to
keep
| > that radio in your vehicle, don't leave home without it, and don't talk
at
| > home!!!!!
| >
| > John
| >
| > "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
| > news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
| > | Jeez,
| > |
| > | CBers vs. Hams
| > |
| > | What's the point in debating which is better?
| > |
| > | Both have positives and negatives.

```
| > |
| > | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
| > | the national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
| > | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new
transceiver
| > for
| > | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
| > | you can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency,
| > | can't
| > get
| > | away from a high noise floor.
| > |
| > | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
| > riff-raft,
| > | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
| > | you're looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be
reliable
| > | in emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones
and
| > access
| > | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
| > | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham
bands
| > | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
| > | of
| > | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be
hard
| > | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75
meters
| > | or 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
| > |
| > | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big
cities.
| > | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible
to
| > use
| > | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
| > | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
| > | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
| > |
| > | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you
can
| > do
| > | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
| > | channel 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I
give
| > | it to
| > them.
| > | They are always gratefull.
| > |
```

| > | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
| > | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
| > made
| > | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams
like
| > | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with
now-a-days
| > is
| > | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with.
Some
| > | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
| > |
| > | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
| > thread.
| > | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least
go
| > get
| > | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to
get
| > | a
| > | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
| > hard
| > | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
| > | out.
| > |
| > | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has
no
| > | signal.
| > |
| > | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
| > |
| > | Guy
| > |
|

2623. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 18:18:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <RfPde.23010$q16.12586077@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh well, life is not a guaranteed thing...

Let me know when you have caught 'em all!!!

Regards,
John

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:xBMde.152836$vK6.60083@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com...
| > You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
| > ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
| > MENTION!!!!
| >
| > One drawback:
| > You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught...
| >
| > John
|
|
| What a myth! Amazing how the untrained "think" they can't be caught
simply
| because they are mobile. There's
| quite a few truckers that thought that when they got caught for playing
| around on 10 Meters. :)
|
| J
| >
| > "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
| > news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
| > | Jeez,
| > |
| > | CBers vs. Hams
| > |
| > | What's the point in debating which is better?
| > |
| > | Both have positives and negatives.
| > |
| > | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because of
| > the
| > | national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a radar
| > | detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new

transceiver
| > for
| > | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain anonymous,
| > you
| > | can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in an emergency,
can't
| > get
| > | away from a high noise floor.
| > |
| > | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
| > riff-raft,
| > | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
| > you're
| > | looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be reliable in
| > | emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones and
| > access
| > | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
| > | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham
bands
| > | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a fraternity
| > of
| > | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be
hard
| > | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75
meters
| > or
| > | 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
| > |
| > | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big
cities.
| > | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible
to
| > use
| > | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch to
| > | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
| > | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
| > |
| > | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you
can
| > do
| > | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
| > channel
| > | 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I give it to
| > them.
| > | They are always gratefull.
| > |
| > | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
| > | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact I
| > made
| > | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams

like
| > | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with
now-a-days
| > is
| > | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with.
Some
| > | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
| > |
| > | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
| > thread.
| > | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least
go
| > get
| > | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to
get
| > a
| > | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is too
| > hard
| > | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham licenses
| > out.
| > |
| > | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone has
no
| > | signal.
| > |
| > | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
| > |
| > | Guy
| > |
| >
| >
|
|

2624. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 18:22:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <mjPde.23061$DE5.12304496@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jerry:

Yes, but loosing 80% of the millions of CB radios, and loosing 80% of the thousands of ham radios are two VERY different things!!!!

It is all in the numbers, like roulette yanno!!!

Regards,
John

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:tKMde.152840$vK6.124127@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:tZhde.123$af6.11318418@news.sisna.com...
| > An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
| > generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding the
| > radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
| > And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
| > duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
| >
| > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| > news:wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
| > | John Smith wrote:
| > | > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast and
| > the
| > | > EMP sizzles their front ends...
| > | >
| > | > Regards,
| > | > John
| > |
| > | If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are that
| > | generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
| > | be worried about a few repeaters.
|
| .....and if it fries the ham rigs, it will fry the CB rigs,
| too!

|
| >
| >
|
|

2625. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 18:26:49 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115144240.ace3140f585fbe1bbba6399f8db3ff02@bubbanews>
Source record: 199643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:10:33 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
>We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
>mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
>general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
>The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>
>Think MAN!!! Think!
>
>Regards,
>John

think MAN!!! Think! where are your 11 meter spread spectrum radios?
answer the question,if you can.

>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews...
>| On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
>| wrote:
>|
>| >
>| >"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>| >news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>| >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>| >>>
>| >>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>| >>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>| >>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>| >>>
>| >>>
>| >>> <snip>
>| >>
>| >> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>| >> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>| >
>| >
>| >Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
>| >do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>| >
>| >Stu
>| >

>| >
>|
>| 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>|
>| 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
>|
>

2626. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 18:29:04 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115144375.899316668fdb6e8e68eaf230fe9d7022@bubbanews>
Source record: 199694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:14:38 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>No. I don't see CB helping NORAD, the gov't, the FCC, George Bush or my
>OTHER PUBLIC SERVANTS, the cities' mayor, etc... they will just have to do
>the best they can... <grin>
>I see it helping myself, my neighbor and other fellow citizens....
>
>However, I hope the damn hams will finally crawl down off their high horse
>and take my traffic when they see all those needing REAL help!!!!
>They just might not.... and here lies the real problem!
>
>Regards,
>John
>

well at least that way, you will all the smokey reports and know where
all the hookers all.

however, I hope the damn cb'ers will finally crawl down off their high
horse and take my traffic reports when they see all those needing REAL
help!!!!
they just might not.... and here lies the real problem!

>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>news:Ia2dnc_xL89KKerfRVn-hQ@gbronline.com...
>| Freeband sucks wrote:
>| > On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
>| > wrote:
>| >
>| >
>| >>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>| >>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>| >>
>| >>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>| >>>
>| >>>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>| >>>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>| >>>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>| >>>>
>| >>>>
>| >>>><snip>
>| >>>
>| >>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in

>| >>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>| >>
>| >>
>| >>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
>| >>do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>| >>
>| >>Stu
>| >>
>| >>
>| >
>| >
>| > 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>| >
>| > 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
>| >
>|
>| It sure doesn't fit in the emergency plans of NORAD and Homeland
>| Security. I would be curious as to just which national emergency plans
>| (US Government) include any cb spread spectrum.
>

2627. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 18:31:10 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115144501.5cb715318b8b3ee8e92e90df7ea7cb31@bubbanews>
Source record: 199695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:22:12 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Jerry:
>
>Yes, but loosing 80% of the millions of CB radios, and loosing 80% of the
>thousands of ham radios are two VERY different things!!!!
>
>It is all in the numbers, like roulette yanno!!!
>
>Regards,
>John
>

true, who would miss a dumbass worthless cb'er. they are a dime a
dozen. but worth every penny for each, or is that worthless?

>"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:tKMde.152840$vK6.124127@bignews3.bellsouth.net...
>|
>| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>| news:tZhde.123$af6.11318418@news.sisna.com...
>| > An EMP blast is not designed to destroy buildings and life, simply to
>| > generate enormous amounts of rf... you will probably still be holding
>the
>| > radio which no longer works, wondering where you will get another....
>| > And, "close" is VERY FAR.... those will be gigawatts of rf for a short
>| > duration--just long enough to fry the front end...
>| >
>| > Regards,
>| > John
>| >
>| > "Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>| > news:wrOdnVzGtrv0POjfRVn-3g@gbronline.com...
>| > | John Smith wrote:
>| > | > AND, how many of those repeaters are EMP hardened, nuclear air blast
>| > and
>| > the
>| > | > EMP sizzles their front ends...
>| > | >
>| > | > Regards,
>| > | > John
>| > |
>| > | If there has been a nuclear explosion close enough to where you are
```

>that
>| > | generated an EMP that destroyed ss equipment, I doubt you are going to
>| > | be worried about a few repeaters.
>|
>| ....and if it fries the ham rigs, it will fry the CB
>rigs,
>| too!
>|
>| >
>| >
>|
>|
>

2628. FS::::SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 19:35:34 UTC
From: FINE-FELLOW@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14491-4277D286-472@storefull-3138.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199697

Like new only a month old..Roger Beep off/onch9 switch Anl is 10k jump..Variable power control for am/fm carrier 1-15watts This is the dual final high output radio. Clarifer is opened awesome on SSB 40wattPEP...Email me with guestions

2629. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 19:39:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115149166.683930.202200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have
them!!!
> We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in
our
> mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable
to the
> general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
> The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>
> Think MAN!!! Think!

They won't have to "hunt up" anyone, assuming this is anything
other than a direct nuclear hit where there's NOone to "hunt up"
anyway.

Those who are parts of various volunteer teams, whether it's ARES,
REACT, Red Cross, Civil Air Patrol, etc etc etc, will know where to go
and what to do if the need arises. They won't need an "invitation" to
show up and they'll already know what to do.

And the only good an 11 meter CB radio will do is get "smokey"
reports along the way to their staging point.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2630. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 19:58:23 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115149734.53cb2c199c1c74af272f0ca56d3c05ec@bubbanews>
Source record: 199698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

funny the california office of emergency services recognizes RACES but
not cb or freeband. why is that? cb'ers and freebanders show no self
control or discipline. during a emergency there will be hundreds of
the dummies all trying to talk over the top of each other.

breaker how bout a radio check,just want to see if this things gettin
out.

On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:10:33 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
>We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
>mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
>general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
>The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>
>Think MAN!!! Think!
>
>Regards,
>John
>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews...
>| On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
>| wrote:
>|
>| >
>| >"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>| >news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>| >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>| >>>
>| >>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>| >>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>| >>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>| >>>
>| >>>
>| >>> <snip>
>| >>
>| >> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>| >> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>| >
>| >
>| >Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes

>| >do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>| >
>| >Stu
>| >
>| >
>|
>| 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>|
>| 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
>|
>

2631. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 19:59:02 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <nLQde.4302$Ri4.117@okepread07>
Source record: 199699

Ya, CBers do act more like adults, don't they.

Guy

John Smith wrote:

> I got into QSL cards, I out-grew them--I outgrew baseball cards too....
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
> news:nGJde.4298$Ri4.142@okepread07...
> | Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and 28 during the last peak (we're
> in
> | the trough of the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't understand why
> those
> | people don't just break down and get a ham license.
> |
> | It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're trying to remain anonymous.
> |
> | Is it the excitement of doing something illegal and not getting caught?
> Is
> | that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do it?
> |
> | Guy
> |
> | John Smith wrote:
> |
> | > You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
> | > ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
> | > MENTION!!!!
> | >
> | > One drawback:
> | > You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught... so, remember to
> keep
> | > that radio in your vehicle, don't leave home without it, and don't
> | > talk
> at
> | > home!!!!!
> | >
> | > John
> | >
> | > "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
> | > news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...

> | > | Jeez,
> | > |
> | > | CBers vs. Hams
> | > |
> | > | What's the point in debating which is better?
> | > |
> | > | Both have positives and negatives.
> | > |
> | > | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous, because
> | > | of the national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than a
> | > | radar detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new
> transceiver
> | > for
> | > | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain
> | > | anonymous, you can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in
> | > | an emergency, can't
> | > get
> | > | away from a high noise floor.
> | > |
> | > | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
> | > riff-raft,
> | > | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
> | > | you're looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be
> reliable
> | > | in emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL tones
> and
> | > access
> | > | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who always
> | > | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham
> bands
> | > | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a
> | > | fraternity of
> | > | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would be
> hard
> | > | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75
> meters
> | > | or 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
> | > |
> | > | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big
> cities.
> | > | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it impossible
> to
> | > use
> | > | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch
> | > | to
> | > | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
> | > | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
> | > |
> | > | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what you
> can

> | > do
> | > | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
> | > | channel 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I
> give
> | > | it to
> | > them.
> | > | They are always gratefull.
> | > |
> | > | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
> | > | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first contact
> | > | I
> | > made
> | > | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams
> like
> | > | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with
> now-a-days
> | > is
> | > | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with.
> Some
> | > | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
> | > |
> | > | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in this
> | > thread.
> | > | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At least
> go
> | > get
> | > | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much to
> get
> | > | a
> | > | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is
> | > | too
> | > hard
> | > | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham
> | > | licenses out.
> | > |
> | > | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone
> | > | has
> no
> | > | signal.
> | > |
> | > | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
> | > |
> | > | Guy
> | > |
> |

2632. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:00:31 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qMQde.24380$fD.13334673@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Shortly after a LARGE EMP blast...

shhhhhhh, one will be able to hear a mouse whisper!!!!

Regards,
John

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115144240.ace3140f585fbe1bbba6399f8db3ff02@bubbanews...
| On Tue, 3 May 2005 11:10:33 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
| >We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
| >mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to
the
| >general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
| >The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
| >
| >Think MAN!!! Think!
| >
| >Regards,
| >John
|
| think MAN!!! Think! where are your 11 meter spread spectrum radios?
| answer the question,if you can.
|
| >
| >"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
| >news:1115140478.86b5d4f64404ca2af49ace004df4fcec@bubbanews...
| >| On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
| >| wrote:
| >|
| >| >
| >| >"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
| >| >news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
| >| >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
| >| >>>
| >| >>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a
government
| >| >>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a
link
| >| >>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from
where?

```
| >| >>>
| >| >>>
| >| >>> <snip>
| >| >>
| >| >> The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio
in
| >| >> their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
| >| >
| >| >
| >| >Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes
| >| >do figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and
packet.
| >| >
| >| >Stu
| >| >
| >| >
| >|
| >| 11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
| >|
| >| 11 meter cb fits no where in any national plans.
| >|
| >
|
```

2633. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:01:28 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sMQde.24381$qC.13290690@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, Jules Verne wrote fiction too... you just might have a career
there!!!

Regards,
John

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115149166.683930.202200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
|
| John Smith wrote:
| > CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have
| them!!!
| > We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in
| our
| > mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable
| to the
| > general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
| > The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
| >
| > Think MAN!!! Think!
|
| They won't have to "hunt up" anyone, assuming this is anything
| other than a direct nuclear hit where there's NOone to "hunt up"
| anyway.
|
| Those who are parts of various volunteer teams, whether it's ARES,
| REACT, Red Cross, Civil Air Patrol, etc etc etc, will know where to go
| and what to do if the need arises. They won't need an "invitation" to
| show up and they'll already know what to do.
|
| And the only good an 11 meter CB radio will do is get "smokey"
| reports along the way to their staging point.
|
| 73
|
| Steve, K4YZ
|

2634. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:16:24 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <q_Qde.24577$Mm1.15254552@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199715

CB'ers are most likely like the general population--maybe even like
hams--some are, some aren't...

Regards,
John

"Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
news:nLQde.4302$Ri4.117@okepread07...
| Ya, CBers do act more like adults, don't they.
|
| Guy
|
| John Smith wrote:
|
| > I got into QSL cards, I out-grew them--I outgrew baseball cards too....
| >
| > Warmest regards,
| > John
| >
| > "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
| > news:nGJde.4298$Ri4.142@okepread07...
| > | Even when I tuned around between 27.5 and 28 during the last peak
(we're
| > in
| > | the trough of the 11 sunspot cycle right now), I don't understand why
| > those
| > | people don't just break down and get a ham license.
| > |
| > | It's hard to exchange QSL cards when you're trying to remain
anonymous.
| > |
| > | Is it the excitement of doing something illegal and not getting
caught?
| > Is
| > | that the adreniline rush? Is that why they do it?
| > |
| > | Guy
| > |
| > | John Smith wrote:
| > |
| > | > You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!!
| > | > ALL of the positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T
| > | > MENTION!!!!
| > | >
| > | > One drawback:

| > | > You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught... so, remember
to
| > keep
| > | > that radio in your vehicle, don't leave home without it, and don't
| > | > talk
| > at
| > | > home!!!!!
| > | >
| > | > John
| > | >
| > | > "Guy" <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote in message
| > | > news:5YAde.4264$Ri4.1378@okepread07...
| > | > | Jeez,
| > | > |
| > | > | CBers vs. Hams
| > | > |
| > | > | What's the point in debating which is better?
| > | > |
| > | > | Both have positives and negatives.
| > | > |
| > | > | CB - Positives: No license required, can remain anonymous,
because
| > | > | of the national fraternity of truck drivers, it works better than
a
| > | > | radar detector, you *can* find friendly people, you can buy a new
| > transceiver
| > | > for
| > | > | a dollar a channel. Negatives: limited range, can remain
| > | > | anonymous, you can always find a troublemaker, can't rely on it in
| > | > | an emergency, can't
| > | > get
| > | > | away from a high noise floor.
| > | > |
| > | > | Ham - Positives: can't remain anonymous which prevents a lot of
| > | > riff-raft,
| > | > | frequencies all over the spectrum so you can plan for the distance
| > | > | you're looking for, as much power as you need (legally), can be
| > reliable
| > | > | in emergencies if you know the right repeater frequencies, PL
tones
| > and
| > | > access
| > | > | numbers or if you know some folks personally in the area who
always
| > | > | monitor. Negatives: You can find malicious interferes on the ham
| > bands
| > | > | also (more so now-a-days than a few years ago), no longer a
| > | > | fraternity of
| > | > | techies (which is the original reason I became a ham.) It would
be

| > hard
| > | > | for me to determine sometimes if I was listening to voices on 75
| > meters
| > | > | or 11 meters these days if I didn't look at the dial.
| > | > |
| > | > | I do both. On the highways, I'm on channel 19 except in the big
| > cities.
| > | > | Once you get in the big cities, the troublemakers make it
impossible
| > to
| > | > use
| > | > | for more than a couple hundred yards. Then I slow down and switch
| > | > | to
| > | > | scanning 2 meters. I rely on my cell phone for emergencies these
| > | > | days--it's hard to find a place it doesn't work.
| > | > |
| > | > | At home, I still like to work CW on HF. It still amazes me what
you
| > can
| > | > do
| > | > | with a watt and a half on 20 or 15 meters. Sometimes I listen to
| > | > | channel 19 to hear what's going on and if someone asks for help, I
| > give
| > | > | it to
| > | > them.
| > | > | They are always gratefull.
| > | > |
| > | > | When I first became a ham back in 1975, all my ham friends were
| > | > | experimenters. I can't describe the feeling I had the first
contact
| > | > | I
| > | > made
| > | > | with my homemade 6L6 CW transmitter and a kit Eico receiver. Hams
| > like
| > | > | that don't exist anymore. The only thing to play around with
| > now-a-days
| > | > is
| > | > | antennas. And there's only so many antennas to play around with.
| > Some
| > | > | work better than others depending on what you're trying to do.
| > | > |
| > | > | I don't understand all these hard-line statements being made in
this
| > | > thread.
| > | > | If you like it, do it. If it pisses you off, don't do it. At
least
| > go
| > | > get
| > | > | a ham license, that way you have a choice. It doesn't take much
to

| > get
| > | > | a
| > | > | ham license these days. And if passing that simple little test is
| > | > | too
| > | > hard
| > | > | for you, there are enough corrupt VECs out there handing ham
| > | > | licenses out.
| > | > |
| > | > | They both make good back-ups to the cell phone if your cell phone
| > | > | has
| > no
| > | > | signal.
| > | > |
| > | > | I'll choose to remain anonymous here.
| > | > |
| > | > | Guy
| > | > |
| > |
|

2635. Re: Cobra 10 Meter Amateur Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:28:13 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4277df4d$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 199700

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:8jmc711svoiqnna5rttr4ja0c93v43ln1q@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 2 May 2005 11:17:14 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>
> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:rlat61dn7tqm81sitq75ob543tpvs62f8j@4ax.com...
> >> On Tue, 26 Apr 2005 13:19:22 -0500, "Kevin, WB5RUE"
<bitbucket@yahoo.com>
> >wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> >$10/hour is MUCH better than 0$/hour!
> >> >
> >> >Kevin, WB5RUE
> >>
> >>
> >> Not if you purchased a home, car, and pay property taxes based on your
> >ability
> >> to earn $25.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >It all comes down to priorities. You don't NEED a new car, 2500sqft
house,
> >health club membership, Platinum MasterCard w/$10k balance....
>
> Nonsense. I have a 1300 Sq foot house, 1900 if you count the finished
basement.
> I paid $225,000. I have a car I bought new for $17,500. I put $9,000 down.
I
> have about $400 outstanding credit card bills. No kids. I do not have any
> re-occcuring bills like a health club. If I lose my job and make $10 per
hour, I
> am losing everything listed above.

Again, it all comes down to priorities. Do you NEED that $225k house? If necessary you COULD live in an apartment. I'm not saying that you should sell your house and move so let's not go there. Did you NEED that new car or was it just nice to have? You could have bought very good a used car for $9000 of that and had NO car payment. Do you mean $400 balance in credit cards or $400/month payments? $400/month payments means you have quite a balance and are spending more than you make.

>
> >If you pay cash for everything and save what you don't spend then a job
loss
> >or salary reduction isn't so devastating.
>
> So what you are telling me, is that if your family took a 60% pay cut, you
would
> be OK? I don't think so.

I have a wife and five kids, no house payment (house is paid-for), no car payments (paid cash), no CC balances, they get paid in full every month. So yes if I took a "60% pay cut" we would survive. We could do without cell phones, high speed internet, satellite TV, eating out, trips to the beach, etc. We would have enough for food, electricity, etc.

> >Yes I know the "American way" is
> >to spend more than you make and then, hopefully, be able to make the
> >payments between spending sprees.
>
> There is alot of people like me, whose only bills are a mortgage and a car
> payment, aside from the utilities/etc. If you cut their pay by 60%, their
> all in trouble.

Then they aren't saving enough for that "rainy day." If you are "living from paycheck to paycheck" then you need to cut back no matter how big your paycheck is.

>
>
> BTW, I took precaution and have 1 year's worth of mortgage payments in the
> bank,
> in case this ever happens. So to answer your question, I would have 1 year
> before I would be in trouble.
>
> Vinnie S.

You are very wise! Many would be in trouble by the time the next paycheck is scheduled to arrive!

Kevin, WB5RUE

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2636. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:33:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aeRde.5991$BE3.5417@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 199701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1115149166.683930.202200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

>
> And the only good an 11 meter CB radio will do is get "smokey"
> reports along the way to their staging point.
>

During the floods here on the Red and Minnesota rivers a few years ago when Grand Forks burned, the 11M guys patrolled the dikes and reported problems just as effectively as the hams did. Reality trumps myth every time.

de Hans, K0HB

2637. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:43:19 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25241-4277E267-114@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199703

From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZT - Eric Oyen)
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1
I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>islands that still had capability. mo where (I

>REPEAT *NO WHERE*) was CB radio ever

>mentioned as a valid or utilized

>communications medium.

As usual, you're wrong, Eric.

>care to qualify that statement?

Nah,,I'll take it back.

>if you can prove otherwise, please show me

>the links.

Links? How about off the top of my head I give you the proper info and
you do your own homework and if you fail to locate the educational
material you have been given, come on back and I'll spoon feed you the
links.

>its now "put up or shut up" time. provide the

>proof and I'll shut up. continue to argue and I

>will be as stubborn to my point of view as

>possible. the fastest way to disarm an

>arguement is with a few well placed facts. so

>far, I haven't seen any.

Awrighty,,,,they'll be coming in email.

_
For starters, check the ACBRO TEAM INC in Australia. Or how about the
Lions Club in the UK with their praise for cb over what you say didn't
occur....help with the tsunami.

>pardon? ACVRO Team has NOTHING on

>their site relevant to the tsunami.

Who said anything about their site? I didn't even know they had one....the club is on one of the cards I received with a newsletter detailing their activities. I talk with Australia on a regular basis and several of my contacts are in the cue. Email the club (I'm assuming their webpage lists a contact addy) and ask them. Of course, I can always scan my newsletter this weekend and send it to you Monday or Tuesday, depending on the weather.

>even did a google search with those terms

>and the acbro site came up WITHOUT any

>mention of Tsunami communications relief.

Oh,,I see. Another hammie who believes since they are not aware of something and are unable to locate info pertaining to such on the web, it must-not-be-possible or exist.

>NEXT!

Right.

>*** from the Lions club UK site:

>"Two Indonesian radio amateurs, Soejat

>Harto, YB6HB, & Zulkarman Syafrin, YC6PLG,
>are among the team of volunteers who have

>gone to Nias Island following the latest

>earthquake on 28 March. The quake

>measured 8.7 on the Richter scale and around
>1000 lives may have been lost. Soejat is a

>medical doctor and a vice-chairman of the

>North Sumatra Province branch of ORARI, the
>Indonesian national amateur radio society,

>while Zulkarman is a member of the

>Indonesian Amateur Radio Emergency

>Service.

The Lions club assists with disasters all over, not just in Indonesia. Again, there were several members giving aid to the tsunami ravaged area and cb was used for many of their communications. Again, you have only to email the Lions Club (of the UK) and ask them what extent cb plays in their fantastic efforts.

> Amateur radio is not C.B., worthy though

>Citizens Band Radio is. Amateur Radio is a

>unique & fascinating hobby that captivates

>millions of people world wide.

I agree,,,but so does cb.

>It encompasses a huge range of activities,

>from people communicating locally in the

>same town or city, to people communicating

>with others right around the world, or beyond

So far, everything you listed can be achieved by both services.

>by talking with other licensed amateur radio

>operators in the international space station."

Talking with the astronauts in the space station is looked upon by many as is HF skip by others,,,,,,..not a big deal. I prefer to watch it go up instead of talking to it, especially the night launches. In fact, we (those in Fl. fortunate enough to be outdoors a few nights ago at the precise time) were just treated to one of the most incredible Cape Canaveral spectacles in years. The secret Titan rocket went up unannounced, and in addition to looking like a normal shuttle launch in the night sky (fantabulous) "broke" with a bright flash into two smaller pieces which did NOT fall, but seemed to remain in orbit, with their own red lights. Less than three minutes later, an incredible flash lit up the ebony heavens and *another* separation of two objects that also remained, also with red lights. The "main" body of the rocket continued skyward. Check out one of the launches and leave the damn communicating to the astronauts to who should be communicating with them,,,,,the kids in the classrooms.

>not the phrase "Amateur radio is not C.B.".

>where in there (or anywhere else on their site

>does it mention Cb as being used prominently

>.in the tsunami distaster? IT DOESN'T!. nice

>try. you lose.

Well, that's what you get for placing all your eggs in one basket and assuming the web is the final be-all of the last word. Take this as a learning experience.
-
Just for kicks, a few other organizations that come to mind that not only depend upon cb but require it.. check the Disaster Management Plan belonging to the Coast Guard of India. This was put into law and devised right after the Barren volcano eruption in the early nineties. Of course, there are countless more, but this ought keep you occupied for the time being.

>try again. cb radio was not mentioned

>anywhere on their pages as a primary

>communications system, and only its mention

>as a system having been phased out last

>decade.

Again, I didn't read their site, but remember it (cb) is official via
their disaster management plan in times of critical emergency disasters.
Read the plan as administered by the head of their guard, not just a
website telling you ABOUT the guard. There are way more cb's in many
foreign countries than amateur radios.

>you really need to try harder.

You are learning something new each day. Be thankful for it.

>DE N7ZZT

>Eric Oyen

>Phoenix, Arizona

>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

>the difference between intelligence and

>stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

>Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

>iD8DBQFCd4y4homUgK3bdaYRAqcFAJ9Mro

>FLqHyfZLxfu/kGdtV10NWeFQCfSZZL

>zEpN9ioRYcNKiGFBXiJYCVw=

>=Col+

>-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2638. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:46:19 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25240-4277E31B-427@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199702

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry)
<editor@netpath.net> wrote in message
news:1114836707.954150.61300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
Being a ham who's used 2 meters ham simplex in a major disaster to find out which were the few gas stations in my county left with electricity to pump gas - and who used to diss CB - I now think CB is much more useful in emergencies. It doesn't depend on repeaters - and is sure to find SOMEONE local if you're driving on almost any major road in America. Ham may get you people on Pluto - but nobody near you - in much of the U.S. at many times of day.

>.....why do people

>who *porport* to be "hams" always seem to

>think that ham radio is always and solely

>dependent on repeaters? Just asking.

>J

Dude said he was an ex-hammie. But it may have something to do with the same reasoning you feel freebanders are out to steal your spectrum with the collusion of truckers around that instrestate junction you backed off a while back.

2639. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:53:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25240-4277E4D6-429@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199704

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry) K4KWH
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:UgCde.6061$qQ3.5326990@news.sisna.com...
You forgot one IMPORTANT group there, FREEBANDERS!!!! ALL of the
positives you mentioned!!!! And, EVERYTHING YOU DIDN'T MENTION!!!!
One drawback:
You have to remain mobile to prevent being caught...
John

>What a myth!

I agree. I've been in the same place for years and years.

> Amazing how the untrained

>"think" they can't be caught simply because

>they are mobile. There's

>quite a few truckers that thought that when

>they got caught for playing around on 10

>Meters. :)

None of them got caught. They received letters from the FCC very clearly
stating "information received by the FCC INDICATES.....". Nowhere did it
say they WERE operating illegally, only that the information supplied
them indicated such. Ever since the FCC changed the manner in which you
supply them info, you have upset yourself. LMAO! Go stand in the corner
with N3CVJ, as you hunger for the same status, but the fact of the
matter is, you're as impotent as ever.

>JO

2640. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:57:23 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25240-4277E5B3-430@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199706

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry) k4kwh
"Tex Wycoff" <tex@bigrigcbernospam.net> wrote in message
news:d53p7p$pk8$0@pita.alt.net...
Big wind comes. Big wind blows down ham radio repeaters and cell towers.
What's left? CB radio. Simple. Even hams can comprehend that.
  No, nevermind. They can't.
Good Numbers,
Tex
-
>What's left? Hmmmm. Lessee. There's 160

>Meters, 75, 40, 30, 20 17, 15, and 10 meters.

>None of which depend on "repeaters. Nothing

>to "comprehend".

>All them "good numbers thar"! ;)

>LMAO!

What's up with your status in the CAP, Jerry? Conduct unbecoming of an
officer and all that seems to have exacted a toll upon you.

2641. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 20:59:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25241-4277E64A-116@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199707

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
Frank Gilliland wrote:
Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
<snip>
-
The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
-
Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do
figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
Stu

>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.

2642. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:00:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25240-4277E686-431@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199710

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
Stu

>freebanders don't use spread spectrum

Others learn what they do not know. You deny. Carry on and off you go
now....

2643. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:05:11 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <f6qdnRMr6a8SeurfRVn-pg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 199705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

John Smith wrote:
> CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have them!!!
> We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
> mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to the
> general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
> The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
>
> Think MAN!!! Think!
>
> Regards,
> John
>
YEP, you'll be right out there in the neighborhoods with the looters and
thief's, who will in turn kick your butt, steal your car and radio!
Goto toads page and leave him a message, he builds all his equipment and
he hates everybody, hams and cb'ers!
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

2644. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:07:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25242-4277E829-45@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199709

From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
>I just tuned around the FREEBAND and didn't

>hear anything. I would say it's just about

>useless at this time.

>Now... if I go down to 14 Mhz or up to 144

>Mhz I hear a bunch of stuff.

>Guy

The freeband encompasses many freqs. There is ALWAYS activity going on somewhere there. Freqs used to be posted in here, but then we caused a stroke or two among some nocodes by daring to speak of freebanding among these pages when they insisted otherwise, they retaliated by trashing their reputation among these pages and on those freqs. For the sake of keeping out the degenerates, those freqs are no longer posted.

2645. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:08:56 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <f6qdnRIr6a_yderfRVn-pg@centurytel.net>
Source record: 199708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Freeband sucks wrote:

> funny the california office of emergency services recognizes RACES but
> not cb or freeband. why is that? cb'ers and freebanders show no self
> control or discipline. during a emergency there will be hundreds of
> the dummies all trying to talk over the top of each other.
>
> breaker how bout a radio check,just want to see if this things gettin
> out.

All Chiefs and no Indians.

2646. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:10:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25240-4277E8DE-434@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199712

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
I got into QSL cards, I out-grew them--I outgrew baseball cards too....
Warmest regards,
John

_
I know someone whose entire retirement came from baseball cards,
football cards, comic books, and sixties memorabilia. He fares better
than many who collect a pension.

2647. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:19:46 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25241-4277EAF2-117@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199711

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
Oh well, life is not a guaranteed thing...
Let me know when you have caught 'em all!!!
Regards,
John

_
He didn't catch any. He padrooled the interstate
and wrote down truckers numbers and told the FCC he witnessed their
violations. The FCC sends the truckers a letter claiming the information
they received "indicates" a violation has taken place and warn them not
to do it again. As you probably already guessed by now, the majority of
these warnings go straight to the trash and the truckers become educated
in the way of radio law and learn the FCC must witness a violation for
any real action to be taken. Ironically, this often results in the very
opposite of what JO K4KWH is trying to achieve, as once the truckers
learn there is NO cb enforcement on TOP of their newly learned
education that the feds can't touch them through such tactics,
well,,,,you figure it out. :)

2648. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:24:11 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25240-4277EBFB-438@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199717

From: KC8GXW@centurytel.net (KC8GXW)
Freeband sucks wrote:
funny the california office of emergency services recognizes RACES but not cb or freeband. why is that? cb'ers and freebanders show no self control or discipline. during a emergency there will be hundreds of the dummies all trying to talk over the top of each other.

>All Chiefs and no Indians.

Exactly. Everyone knows there are no egos or status starved individuals to be found in hammie radio. What were you possibly thinking?

2649. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:29:19 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25241-4277ED2F-118@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199716

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 09:31:34 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

ANYTHING!!! Well, other than assist the
people in using "THEIR" radio spectrum... the
radio spectrum is NOT theirs to do anything
with!!!

>Then neither is your car. I hope you won't

>mind if I "borrow" it. You don't mind a little mud
>do you?

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

A valid comparison can not be reached when you present an intangible vs
a tangible.

>The fact that you refuse to view radio

>.spectrum as "tangible" is not my problem.

Nope, it certainly isn't. But the fact that you do not understand the
definition of "tangible" certainly is your problem.

>Dave

>?."Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2650. fs: Maas+sohn SWR-WATT Meter.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 21:43:29 UTC
From: radio52@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <5gSde.1155$Jz2.491@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199718

This meter is in excellent working condition and is made for 26mhz-28Mhz.
Cross needle type. Pictures available on request
50.00 shipped

2651. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 22:06:57 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ZoudnfnbSOuOa-rfRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 199719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:gcPde.22957$NK5.12408927@news.sisna.com...
> No. I don't see CB helping NORAD, the gov't, the FCC, George Bush or my
> OTHER PUBLIC SERVANTS, the cities' mayor, etc... they will just have to
> do
> the best they can... <grin>
> I see it helping myself, my neighbor and other fellow citizens....
>
> However, I hope the damn hams will finally crawl down off their high horse
> and take my traffic when they see all those needing REAL help!!!!
> They just might not.... and here lies the real problem!
>
> Regards,
> John
>

Some of the problem is not really ham related. It is due to the fact that the various emergency services will NOT accept an unconfirmed report from an untrained individual whether or not they are a ham or anyone else.

For example, if Joe Blow Citizen calls in a tornado on a land line, the report will be considered only a possible tornado not a confirmed tornado unless he is a trained storm spotter.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

2652. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 22:16:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <YYSdnbJ88PSvZerfRVn-sQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 199720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com...
> On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75
> air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a
> valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER
> ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized
> chunk
> of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
> skip would be worldwide during the day...
>
> On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because
> the
> hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And,
> there
> are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
>
> Regards,
> John

Last time I looked, the FCC regulations don't allow CB antennas to exceed 20
feet in height.

2653. Re: Good morning or good evening depending upon your location. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. Your joy or sorrow for all eternity depends upon your answer. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you ar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 22:19:52 UTC
From: "Anon E. Mouse" <nospam@nobaloney.com>
Message-ID: <d58tdv$f5p$1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 199721
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

```
"Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:zUDde.2$Ap1.0@fe19.usenetserver.com...
>
> "Anon E. Mouse" <nospam@nobaloney.com> wrote in message
> news:d56s2j$v95$1@news.netins.net...
>>
>> "Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:klBde.10$Nk3.1@fe18.usenetserver.com...
>>> Yah, enough is enough about Jesus, Savior of your world. I want to hear
>>> more about Phudda the vacuum salesman. Doug...
>>
>> Why? Are your floors dirty?
>
> deeeeesgustingly dust bunnies aaaarrrgggggghhhhhhhh!!!
>
> Doug...

Get a cat. They like to chase bunnies.
```

2654. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-03 23:36:51 UTC
From: Rob LaChance <none@noradiomailspam.net>
Message-ID: <d591se$1i0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25241-4277EAF2-117@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
Oh well, life is not a guaranteed thing...
Let me know when you have caught 'em all!!!
Regards,
John

_
He didn't catch any. He padrooled the interstate
and wrote down truckers numbers and told the FCC he witnessed their
violations. The FCC sends the truckers a letter claiming the information
they received "indicates" a violation has taken place and warn them not
to do it again. As you probably already guessed by now, the majority of
these warnings go straight to the trash and the truckers become educated
in the way of radio law and learn the FCC must witness a violation for
any real action to be taken. Ironically, this often results in the very
opposite of what JO K4KWH is trying to achieve, as once the truckers
learn there is NO cb enforcement on TOP of their newly learned
education that the feds can't touch them through such tactics,
well,,,,you figure it out. :)

Moreover, many truckers now install marine radio equipment in
their trucks, or otoh, they open their hf xcvrs and communicate
most anywhere in the hf spectrum. I have heard long haul truckers
in the 6, 7, 8, 10, & 14 mHz bands. Tune between 5500 kHz to
7000 at night, and you can pick up a few long haul trucker nets.
They chatter all night while driving.

2655. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 00:42:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9UUde.28287$CN5.50312286@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, I won't be waiting for someone with a radio to save me...
And, I won't be waiting for someone with a gun to save me either--I will
take my own <grin>

Regards,
John

"KC8GXW" <KC8GXW@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:f6qdnRMr6a8SeurfRVn-pg@centurytel.net...
|
|
| John Smith wrote:
| > CB fits into national disaster plans simply because "we all" have
them!!!
| > We will use them, we will use them to help others, we will be out in our
| > mobiles, we will be in neighbor hoods, we will be highly accessable to
the
| > general public, and right next to people who need help, etc, etc....
| > The people in need will NOT be hunting up a ham to help them!!!!
| >
| > Think MAN!!! Think!
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
| >
| YEP, you'll be right out there in the neighborhoods with the looters and
| thief's, who will in turn kick your butt, steal your car and radio!
| Goto toads page and leave him a message, he builds all his equipment and
| he hates everybody, hams and cb'ers!
| http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/
|

2656. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 00:47:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TYUde.28383$0X5.50787357@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh my, well, are you sure--you see, it also is the ant I use on 10 meters
and I can't just remove the 11 meter part!!!! <grin>

Regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:YYSdnbJ88PSvZerfRVn-sQ@comcast.com...
|
| "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
| news:iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com...
| > On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach
50-75
| > air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on
a
| > valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have
NEVER
| > ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized
| > chunk
| > of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
| > skip would be worldwide during the day...
| >
| > On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because
| > the
| > hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And,
| > there
| > are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
|
| Last time I looked, the FCC regulations don't allow CB antennas to exceed
20
| feet in height.
|
|
|

2657. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 03:42:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1115178135.000346.212020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1115149166.683930.202200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> >
> > And the only good an 11 meter CB radio will do is get "smokey"
> > reports along the way to their staging point.
> >
>
> During the floods here on the Red and Minnesota rivers a few years
ago when
> Grand Forks burned, the 11M guys patrolled the dikes and reported
problems just
> as effectively as the hams did. Reality trumps myth every time.

Congratulations, Hans.

ONE example.

Out of...thousands...of incidents...???

A very small group in once incident from one community...?!?!

Sure was a SMALL myth!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2658. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 04:27:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SaYde.5331$HL2.4439@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 199729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> A very small group in once incident from one community

Hi Steve,

ONE community?

A VERY SMALL group?

Check a map, Twenty-one counties in Minnesota and eleven counties in North Dakota were in the flood zone of the two rivers and their tributaries. Cities and farmsteads all up and down both sides of both rivers were diked, well over 180 miles of dikes were patrolled for almost three weeks.

de Hans, K0HB

2659. Why won't mopathetic step up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 04:39:16 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115181556.169687.103640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199730

Because all mopathetic can do is whine and make threats. When it comes to a level playing field he cries and runs away.

2660. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 04:39:44 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kmYde.1858$5o2.654@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199731

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:hiaf71lll3urk2g3ko2f49p2k3up4hunoj@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 03 May 2005 13:50:49 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:9ble715jt736le8dai3pqe2hmq8gtovgvq@4ax.com...
>>> On Tue, 03 May 2005 01:53:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>> While they may not
>>> specifically say it this way, the fact that you got a ticket, is
>>> evidence of guilt, and you have to try your best to prove that you're
>>> not guilty. The word of one cop is enough, in most cases, to render a
>>> "guilty" verdict, unless you're damn lucky and can somehow "prove"
>>> your innocence
>>
>>Um Dave, when the officer hands the book to you and says "sign here"
>>he always says: " This is not an admission of guilt, but a promissory to
>>appear in court", so how does your statement apply?
>
> In Pa, you sign to acknowledge receipt of the citation. You then have
> the choice to either plead guilty and remit the amount of the fine to
> the address included. Or, you can plead "not guilty" and take your
> chances fighting in court. But unless you have some means of actually
> proving your innocence beyond that of playing he said- he said with a
> cop, you pretty much have the cards stacked against you. Oh, and you
> will be assessed court costs in addition to your fine if found guilty.
>

Same here. Yes, if you don't do your homework and submit
the evidence correctly, you are at the mercy of the court. Of
course if your guilty, why would you be there? If not, do
your homework, present a good case with evidence & witness's
and you will be found innocent.

>
>>If you didn't do anything wrong, you have the RIGHT to appear in
>>court, present your evidence to the JUDGE and let him make the
>>decision, not a bunch of people sitting around a table, drinking
>>coffee, eating donuts and then saying....."um, this guy said SH*T,
>>let's fine him.....$25,000.00, yeah, that's a good amount"
>

> Whether or not you did something wrong or not may be open to
> subjective interpretation. Most cops would not bother to write someone
> a ticket for not coming completely to a stop and waiting the required
> 3 seconds before proceeding at a stop sign.

Why not? if they didn't wait, they could get the ticket. You have
"unnamed" cops that are friends, do they tell you that they only
ticket people that they see, 100% do something wrong? If they
say yes, they are probably lying.

> But the point between
> what's an acceptable stop and one that's not, is a subjective gray
> area, and not one that you will usually win.

That's some of my point. If he really didn't see you not stop, he's
guessing. If so, then you have your witness's, do your homework
and present it accordingly. I was sighted once for that offence,
there was no way he could have seen me stop, let alone not stop.
I took my pictures, measurements and showed in court that there
was no way that the officer could have seen me stop, let alone run
the stop sign from the position he said he was in. I won, and I was
only 18 years old then.

> Most traffic law issues
> are not always black and white. Yes, if you actually feel that you
> were unfairly targeted, you might be tempted to fight. But if you are
> still guilty of violating the letter of the law, if not the intent,
> you might find it a tough battle.
>

Maybe, but if your speedo is off, you can go to court, show that
it was off and also show that it was repaired, most of the time the
judge will dismiss the case.

> On the other hand, if you piss off a cop and he pulls you over and
> "invents" a few charges to stick you with, you will still have a hard
> time proving that you did not commit them unless, of course, the cop
> in question has a history of abusing his power in such a way.

Maybe again. But if you have someone with you, that type of stuff
is less likely to happen (witness factor)

>
> Is this fair? No. But is it a fact of life? Certainly.
>
>
>
>> You have a better chance of beating a ticket if your inocent than
>>an FCC fine, at least you appear before a Judge and you can request
>>a jury trial, try to do that with a FCC NAL.
>
> You can fight an NAL as well. In most cases the FCC gives fair warning
> before handing out the NAL.

Warnings are not NAL, so there is nothing to fight.

> If you heed the warning, in most cases
> that will be the end of it. I haven't read any accounts of people
> popped by the FCC who weren't guilty of the offense charged.

It doesn't matter Dave, you can't fight a NAL, you either pay it
or have a lein on your property or wages garnished.

> In most
> cases, their "defense" consists of crying poor, or somehow trying to
> justify their actions. Some of the excuses given are quite laughable.

Same as a real court.

>
>
>>> Why play word games? The end result is the same. The accuser has to
>>> prove his innocence, by discrediting the evidence against him. The cop
>>> is not required to demonstrate 6 different ways from Sunday how the
>>> accuser is guilty "beyond a reasonable doubt". He only has to show up
>>> and testify that the accuser ran such and such red light, and it's his
>>> word against the accuser's. So who does the court tend to believe? How
>>> is this a "presumption of innocence"?
>>
>>What word games? The officer presents his evidence, then you have your
>>turn, do you think that just because he's a police officer he's always
>>right?
>
> No, he's not always right, but he's more credible in the eyes of the
> court than Joe Average Citizen.

Why? He's a person just like anyone else. His credibility rides on
what he presents and how he does it, just like you.

> Cops are viewed with a certain amount
> of public trust. If it comes down to a battle of testimony between
> you and the cop, the court will side with the cop in most cases.

Again, if you did something wrong, why waste the time of the courts,
but if you are innocent and present your case in a legit way, you
will probably get off.

>
>>If you present the correct type of evidence, witness's, you will be found
>>innocent.
>
> Tell me, just how great are your chances of having an impartial
> witness around when you need them, or having some other form of
> concrete exculpatory evidence?

I made a right hand turn onto another street, I proceeded into the
right side of the lane partially into the bike lane (legal if with-in
a certain distance of the intersection). Cop saw it and gave me a

ticket for passing on the right. I showed with both video, pictures
and measurements, after the officer said I passed on the right some
1000 to 1300' before the intersection. That being said I would have had
to pass him on the right before the previous light (I had turned onto the
road in front of him, so how did I pass him?) I was found innocent
via US mail and the judge enclosed a note saying that I had come to
the court very well prepared with my evidence in proper sequence.

>
> You are talking how things should be in theory. I'm talking about how
> they are in reality. In an ideal world, all innocent people would
> never be accused or wrongly convicted of a crime. The real world
> paints an entirely different picture. I'm not saying it's right. I'm
> just acknowledging that it happens.

Sorry, in the real world I'll bet you more people that do the right thing
while in court get off more than you think.

>
>
>>>>>I'm waiting until the FCC gets into the Satellite radio scene.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'm waiting until the FCC starts regulating the internet.
>>>
>>>
>>> It's coming.
>>
>>
>>That's a very sad statement Dave, if you can't regulate what you
>>hear and watch, you have to have the governmet do it for you.
>>A clear case.......... Lack of self control.
>
> It's an unfortunate truth that there are some people out there that
> can't exercise self control and, by their lack of respect for others,
> pollute the public venues with inappropriate behavior.

If you don't like Stern, change the channel, if you don't like Queer
eye for the straight guy, don't watch it, but don't ask the government
to stand in and say " this is bad, people don't want to watch this,
take it off the air. You would have culture shock if you ever get
over to Europe.

> Barring
> vigilante justice, government intervention is often the only sensible
> alternative.

So when the public is tired of seeing televangelist all day and night,
politicians are tired of it, it's ok to bar them from TV? Because you
don't have the common sense to turn it off or change channels?
That's messed up, you had better hope that the country never gets
to that stage.

>
> Ironically, I tend to be a "minimal governmental intrusion" advocate.
> But as more and more people adopt an "in your face" attitude with
> respect to their perceived rights, I start to understand the need for
> stricter controls.
>
>
>>> Homosexuality is a disorder of the brain. Not much different than
>>> schizophrenia, bipolar, or a host of other disorders. We should be
>>> looking for ways to treat and correct it, not for reasons to excuse
>>> it.
>>>
>>
>>LOL!!!! Dr. Sigmund Hall is in the office..... Too funny
>
> You disagree? You obviously haven't read the studies on the subject.
>
>

Nor do I care too, it's not anything that I'm concerned about.

>>
>>> A marriage is a symbolic ritual of bonding that occurs between
>>> biologically compatible couples. There can be no natural procreation
>>> in a homosexual union. So yes, those values ARE diminished.
>>>
>>
>>Symbolic Yes. I'm not sure what you mean by "biologically compatible"
>>Do you mean, if your gay, you can't be a compatible couple?
>
> You cannot procreate, which is the whole point of marriage and family.

Hummm, maybe, but it's not the only reason for marriage.

>
>
>>Don't get me wrong Dave, I agree with you on "Gay marriage", I think
>>your words are wrong though.
>
> In what way?

I think they can have what ever type of saying they want, but marriage is
and
has always been between a man & a woman, not a man & man or woman &
woman.

>
>
>>Too much stuff to comment on here Dave, you have got way to much time
>>on your hands, I for one have to get to work, see yaa.
>
> I'm already here. I'm on lunch right now. My day is 2/3rds over. This
> small distraction is hardly a dent in my work day.

>

Well I have bigger things to do than this group, so time is more precious
to me.

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>

Landshark

--



2661. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 04:45:01 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <irYde.5869$D91.4883@fed1read01>
Source record: 199732

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

John Smith wrote:

> Well, do we even know what radios the citizen group(s) are using who are
> down patroling the Mexican/American border, right now?
> Bet CB's are there, and cell phones--bet ham radios' part is
> insignificant!!!
> If that isn't a "disaster", I don't know what is!
>
> Regards,
> John

hate to say it, but your wrong (again).
ham radio is playing a rather significant part alongside cell phone (where
service is available).

I haven't heard much regarding cb operations down there (there is some, but
given the nature of the situation, I am not sure they will be taken
seriously).

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCeFNOhomUgK3bdaYRAgFxAJ93bGVenB5OGkzShewBv3iHfNIkLgCfSPxq
ur2ZdsgOjiKCHQRceb8l0aQ=
=U1/R
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2662. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 04:47:51 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <YtYde.5903$D91.2208@fed1read01>
Source record: 199733

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

Freeband sucks wrote:

>>its now "put up or shut up" time. provide the proof and I'll shut up.
>>continue to argue and I will be as stubborn to my point of view as
>>possible. the fastest way to disarm an arguement is with a few well placed
>>facts. so far, I haven't seen any.
>
> you mean facts off the top of his head arent enough proof?

no. they aren't. facts that are qualified and bonified I'll accept. facts
that are verifiable, I'll accept. facts that are spewed out without such
qualifications are suspect (at best).

- --
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFCeFP3homUgK3bdaYRAmB+AJ9ZtEbNEQ/ZvmVI40AuNGfovOB6jQCgzVaR
PNjt2dCxQrEL98FIQkFGDQc=
=pDYU
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

2663. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 05:36:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <PbZde.33777$GW3.59182204@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199734

Like me, you are entitled to your opinion...

Reality has already demonstrated itself--and CB was there...

Regards,
John

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:irYde.5869$D91.4883@fed1read01...
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
| Hash: SHA1
|
| John Smith wrote:
|
| > Well, do we even know what radios the citizen group(s) are using who are
| > down patroling the Mexican/American border, right now?
| > Bet CB's are there, and cell phones--bet ham radios' part is
| > insignificant!!!
| > If that isn't a "disaster", I don't know what is!
| >
| > Regards,
| > John
|
| hate to say it, but your wrong (again).
| ham radio is playing a rather significant part alongside cell phone (where
| service is available).
|
| I haven't heard much regarding cb operations down there (there is some,
but
| given the nature of the situation, I am not sure they will be taken
| seriously).
|
|
| - --
| DE N7ZZT
| Eric Oyen
| Phoenix, Arizona
| e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
| the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
| has its limits.
| -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
| Version: GnuPG v1.2.4 (GNU/Linux)
|
| iD8DBQFCeFNOhomUgK3bdaYRAgFxAJ93bGVenB5OGkzShewBv3iHfNIkLgCfSPxq
| ur2ZdsgOjiKCHQRceb8l0aQ=

```
| =U1/R
| -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

2664. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 06:24:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ekqg71hqtv8mlc3d84e5v9nfbpfb9o2bj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 199736

On Tue, 03 May 2005 09:00:00 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <9ble715jt736le8dai3pqe2hmq8gtovgvq@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>The difference between you and I is only a matter of degree. When you
>make relative value judgements, this is the danger you run into. When
>you apply logic in the justification for allowing certain behaviors,
>the same logic can be applied to a successive list of increasingly
>abhorrent behaviors and practices. It comes down to what you are
>willing to tolerate. Once you start down that slippery slope, there's
>no turning back, without abandoning your logic and adopting some sort
>of "bigotry".

That "degree of morality" is established by society, not you, and not
any religious activist group. The overwhelming majority of people
(>99%) feel that murder, sex crimes, etc are 'immoral'. But there
isn't much of a majority condemning homosexuality. -That's- how you
make a "relative value judgment".

<snip>
>>A mere half-century ago there were some people who didn't like the
>>idea of treating black people as equals. They especially didn't want
>>blacks to be able to vote. Some even used the argument that a black
>>vote would diminish the value of their own vote, which was a weak
>>rationalization of their racist attitudes.
>
>You are confusing racism with morality. They're not the same, even if
>some of the methods seem similar.

Now -there's- a quote for the Dave Hall hall-of-shame.

<snip>
>If you lead a monogamous lifestyle and do not engage in dangerous
>recreational habits, your chances of contracting AIDS is minuscule.

HIV doesn't care if you are gay or straight. And maybe you missed the
boat on this one too, but a lot of people got the disease from blood
transfusions. So if you are going to suggest that AIDS is a disease
that is contracted only by evil people then you are even more ignorant
than you have so far demonstrated.

>>Science therefore vindicated the gay community. But it also exposed
>>people to their own misperceptions about homosexuality. Apparently you
>>weren't paying attention.
>
>I was paying attention. To the facts.

>
>There are many who believe that AIDS is the work of God, sent to
>punish those who engage in "unworthy" behavior. It's easy for those
>who have little faith in a supreme being to deny this possibility. But
>it's interesting in where the highest percentages of HIV cases are,
>and what activities place people at the most risk. Coincidence?

I was wrong about you, Dave. I thought you were intelligent but a
little misguided. Now I see that you are a certifiable holy-roller
racist whacko.

<snip>
>>Well, kick back and pop a
>>brew, Dave, because this is America,
>
>A nation founded by Christian people based on Christian doctrine, even
>if the 1st amendment decries that there is no "official" state
>sponsored religion.

Not just the First Amendment, Dave. The concept is reflected in the
main body of the Constitution; "...no religious test shall ever be
required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the
United States," a clause which was unanimously adopted by the
Constitutional Convention.

This country was founded on the principle of religious freedom (as
well as other important principles). After being forced by England to
practice only one religion (the religion of the state), the new
Americans wanted people to have the freedom to practice religion
according to their belief, be it Christianity, Judaism, Islam,
Bhuddism, Taoism, Monoanimism..... or even no religion at all.

The USA is not, nor has it ever been, a Christian state.

>> and you have the right to
>>practice your religion as you see fit -- just let the rest of us do
>>the same.
>
>As long as what you do doesn't infringe on what I do or diminish the
>values that this country was originally founded on.

Gay marriage doesn't change your legal rights and responsibilities. It
doesn't change your tax filing status. It doesn't give your kids birth
defects. It doesn't invalidate your will. It doesn't change your life
insurance policy premiums, or any other bills you pay. It doesn't
change the color of your house, the mileage of your car, or how fast
the weeds grow in your garden. It doesn't affect you in any way except
the way you feel.

And -you- are responsible for the way you feel, not -anybody- else.
There is nothing in the Constitution that dictates how a person should
feel. If gay marriage weakens the value of your marriage, it does so
only because you let it, and that's nobody's fault but your own.

If your bigoted brain had any intelligence you would realize that gay marriage would -strengthen- the value of your marriage because it provides a contrast to your own definition of the union. But that's not the case. You just hate homosexuals. It's as simple as that, isn't it, Dave?

<snip>
>>..... Or do you support the position
>>of right-wing conservative Christians who say (by their actions) that
>>any participation of fags in America's free-market economy should be
>>supressed?
>
>Sometimes politics is at odds with economic considerations. Sometimes
>you have to cut off your own nose to make a much larger point. That's
>called "principle"

A simple 'yes' would have sufficed.

<snip>
>>The "right" choice is any choice that isn't unconstitutional.
>
>The constitution is relative as well. It was framed by Christian
>people with their religious inspired morality contained within its
>wording.

You have obviously never read it.

<snip>
>>If you choose to reject science and
>>logic, that's your business.
>
>Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of a creator or, more
>generally, the concept of intelligent design. Our whole ecosystem, the
>intricate specialization of the various functions of our bodies and
>other aspects of nature are far too complex to have occurred and
>evolved at random. There is simply not enough order in chaos for this
>to happen.

I see you slept through history -and- science.

>>If I'm wrong then you can rest assured
>>that I'll pay for my indiscretions -- but that's -my- business, not
>>your's.
>
>Yes, it is. But it's my business if you try to poison others by
>"immoral" thinking. And hence we have the classic "moral dilemma".

No, it's -not- your business if I try to "poison" others by stating that I'm an atheist. You have just as much right to declare that you are a Christian (or whatever warped permutation of religion you have adopted to validate your "core beliefs"). And no group of Christians, no matter how large, has the right to impose it's version of morality on me. This is 21st Century America, not the dark ages or the Spanish Inquisition. But it -is- your business to abide by the Constitution

the United States, which clearly states that religion has no place in
this government. If that makes you feel bad, tuff shit. Learn to live
with it or get out. I would highly recommend the latter.

<snip>
>> These "Christians" really need to start practicing what they
>>preach. Or at least how to live and let live.
>
>Not when abhorrent behavior is cancerous to their way of life. They
>have a right to fight for what they believe in, just as much as those
>who would throw traditional morality to the wind in support of the
>latest hedonistic pop-culture fad.

Rock and roll is here to stay, Dave.

<snip>
>>Once again you are confused, Dave. It was statutory law that initiated
>>the Constitutional challenge. The statute was -overthrown- by the
>>Supreme Court, not established.
>
>Exactly. But what right should a branch of government which is
>supposed to interpret and apply the law, have in making or overturning
>standing law? That is the job for the legislature.

Oh dear god, you really don't have any clue about how the government
works, do you? Three branches of government? Checks and balances?
Seperataion of powers? But why should I be suprised -- you haven't
even read the Constitution.

>> And case law is just as much 'law' as
>>statutory law because of the system of 'checks and balances' -- to
>>suggest that a law is something less because it is a "judicial ruling"
>>is completely bogus.
>
>I call it "overstepping their bounds". No law that is made by the
>legislature should be struck down by a panel of judges without debate,
>which should include the legislature who passed the law in the first
>place.

When I realize that people like you vote, it comes as no suprise that
Bush was re-elected..... he has great appeal with the ignorant.

It's clear that you never learned the basics of your own government.
Just like you never learned the basics of electronics. The problem is
that you can't possibly make an informed decision about who to vote
for if you don't even know the job description! Climb down off your
morality horse and learn about your own country before you wage a holy
war against other Americans. And yes, even homosexuals can be American
citizens. Does that diminish the value of your citizenship? If it does
then you know what you can do, don't you Dave?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups

-----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2665. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 06:24:29 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115187869.133063.227710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199737

JEEEEZZZZZZ ENOUGH OF THIS GARBAGE. Lets get back to CB'in and Freebanding. QSL? <insert roger beep and\or noise toy here>

2666. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 06:24:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mkqg71de6jtal09u2p6h8c7mhot23cjj70@4ax.com>
Source record: 199735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Tue, 03 May 2005 10:26:20 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote
in :

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>
>>
>>
>
>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.

The range of CB radio is 5 miles or less. Why would it be considered
as a method of communication for NORAD or the Department of Homeland
Secrecy? Regardless:

http://tinyurl.com/9s39v

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2667. Re: Why won't mopathetic step up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 06:25:53 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115187953.190490.119970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199738

Who the HELL ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT ANYWAY?

2668. Re: Why won't mopathetic step up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 10:02:00 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115200920.695257.286980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199739

Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! wrote:
> Because all mopathetic can do is whine and make threats. When it comes
> to a level playing field he cries and runs away.

Give it a rest you fucking monkey...you're both fuck up's !!!! Why don't you suck each others dicks and be done with it.
Warm regards.

2669. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 10:49:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6r9h71p0egkohrmh520hb38j4ccv3i80o7@4ax.com>
Source record: 199740

On Tue, 3 May 2005 17:29:19 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>A valid comparison can not be reached when you present an intangible vs
>a tangible.
>
>>The fact that you refuse to view radio
>>.spectrum as "tangible" is not my problem.

>Nope, it certainly isn't. But the fact that you do not understand the
>definition of "tangible" certainly is your problem.

You asked for it. Pay particular attention to definition #3:

tanï¿½giï¿½ble (P) Pronunciation Key (tnj-bl)
adj.

1 a. Discernible by the touch; palpable: a tangible roughness of the
skin.
b. Possible to touch.
c. Possible to be treated as fact; real or concrete: tangible
evidence.
2. Possible to understand or realize: the tangible benefits of the
plan.
3. Law. That can be valued monetarily: tangible property.

Is not the RF spectrum given a monetary value by virtue of the FCC
auctioning it off to the highest commercial bidders?

That makes it a tangible asset. No different than a piece of property.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2670. ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 10:50:19 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115203819.921375.20740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199741

FINE-FEL...@webtv.net(bka twithed) wrote:
> Like new only a month old..Roger Beep off/onch9 switch Anl is 10k
> jump..Variable power control for am/fm carrier 1-15watts This is the
> dual final high output radio. Clarifer is opened awesome on SSB
> 40wattPEP...Email me with guestions

Illegal radio. You know we told you keyclowns to stop that advertising
of illegal stuff.

2671. Re: Why won't mopathetic step up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 10:53:27 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115204007.340993.77670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199742

that's what mopathetic wants, but the AKC is heterosexual. His hopes are doomed.

2672. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 10:53:38 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <26ah71hmp585srk6timki10vei4h4m45c0@4ax.com>
Source record: 199743

On Tue, 3 May 2005 09:39:13 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>The car, as is the radio spectrum, mine!!!
>My car is NOT the DMV's, my radio spectrum is NOT the FCC's....

The FCC owns the rights to the radio spectrum in this country. They
are the ones authorized to sell spectrum to people with a legitimate
need. It's no different than government owned land.

Your car is yours as is your radio gear. But the privilege to operate
both is granted by the government, and can be revoked for the proper
cause.

Another way to look at it. You own your car, but not the roads you
drive on. You may own your radio, but not the airwaves you broadcast
on.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2673. Re: Why won't mopathetic step up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 10:54:19 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115204059.554122.49320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199644

Figure it out, goofyboy.

2674. Mopathetic's a chickenboi, won't play on a level field!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 10:56:56 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115204216.948527.60530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199645

Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic? You made all kinds of threats to people and now when we want to come introduce ourselves you hide and cry like the wimp you are. LOL!

Surely Uhl tell us where Uhl be camping, woncha mopathetic?

2675. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 11:00:59 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115204459.329648.27850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199646

He wants a petrolube sandwich...we know this to be true.

2676. Re: Leland hopes to meet a women someday.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 11:03:01 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115204581.781831.182970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199647

BS, mopeathetic...you know you are a wristflipper. Too chicken to say where you are camping at Dayton, too. Well, Black Sabbath said they wore boots, but in your case you are carrying a wand.

2677. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 11:04:40 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115204680.406640.111440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199648

You need one of Lassie's poopie piles for a bran.

2678. EasyLoad:::Download the newest Music, help out and post some of your favorite songs or other sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 12:13:56 UTC
From: mbastadotcom@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1115208836.379967.299840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199649

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/easyload

2679. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 12:17:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jkah711742s8rav8j5en1v5i30jrglsnlm@4ax.com>
Source record: 199650

On Wed, 04 May 2005 04:39:44 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:hiaf71lll3urk2g3ko2f49p2k3up4hunoj@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 03 May 2005 13:50:49 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:9ble715jt736le8dai3pqe2hmq8gtovgvq@4ax.com...
>>>> On Tue, 03 May 2005 01:53:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>> While they may not
>>>> specifically say it this way, the fact that you got a ticket, is
>>>> evidence of guilt, and you have to try your best to prove that you're
>>>> not guilty. The word of one cop is enough, in most cases, to render a
>>>> "guilty" verdict, unless you're damn lucky and can somehow "prove"
>>>> your innocence
>>>
>>>Um Dave, when the officer hands the book to you and says "sign here"
>>>he always says: " This is not an admission of guilt, but a promissory to
>>>appear in court", so how does your statement apply?
>>
>> In Pa, you sign to acknowledge receipt of the citation. You then have
>> the choice to either plead guilty and remit the amount of the fine to
>> the address included. Or, you can plead "not guilty" and take your
>> chances fighting in court. But unless you have some means of actually
>> proving your innocence beyond that of playing he said- he said with a
>> cop, you pretty much have the cards stacked against you. Oh, and you
>> will be assessed court costs in addition to your fine if found guilty.
>>
>
>Same here. Yes, if you don't do your homework and submit
>the evidence correctly, you are at the mercy of the court. Of
>course if your guilty, why would you be there? If not, do
>your homework, present a good case with evidence & witness's
>and you will be found innocent.

No argument. But finding those witnesses and the evidence is the
normally the problem. Too often all you have is your own account of
the altercation, and that is not enough to overturn the professional
account of a trained police officer, unless you get real lucky.

>>>If you didn't do anything wrong, you have the RIGHT to appear in
>>>court, present your evidence to the JUDGE and let him make the
>>>decision, not a bunch of people sitting around a table, drinking
>>>coffee, eating donuts and then saying....."um, this guy said SH*T,
>>>let's fine him.....$25,000.00, yeah, that's a good amount"
>>
>> Whether or not you did something wrong or not may be open to
>> subjective interpretation. Most cops would not bother to write someone
>> a ticket for not coming completely to a stop and waiting the required
>> 3 seconds before proceeding at a stop sign.
>
>Why not?

Because there is a difference between the letter of the law and the
intent of the law. I know very few people who come to a complete stop
and count to 3 before proceeding. Most of the cops I know will not
cite someone if they make the effort to stop, even if the wheel may
still be moving slightly. And that's the whole point. The point where
a so-called "California stop" is tolerated is often up to the opinion
of the cop.

>if they didn't wait, they could get the ticket. You have
>"unnamed" cops that are friends, do they tell you that they only
>ticket people that they see, 100% do something wrong? If they
>say yes, they are probably lying.

I'm not sure exactly what you are asking here. Are you asking me if I
know of cases where the cops I know write tickets for infractions
which are illegitimate? If that's the case, than no, I don't know of
any. Then again, if they did, I'm sure they wouldn't tell me that.
Although one of my friends is retired now, so his risk exposure is
more limited now.

>> But the point between
>> what's an acceptable stop and one that's not, is a subjective gray
>> area, and not one that you will usually win.
>
>That's some of my point. If he really didn't see you not stop, he's
>guessing.

Well, yea, I would think so. But if he didn't actually see you do it,
then why chase you down and ticket you in the first place? And even if
he did guess, it's still your word against his in court. How do you
PROVE that you complied fully with the law?

> If so, then you have your witness's, do your homework
>and present it accordingly.

What witnesses? You are driving alone in the car, and the only people
who can testify to what happened are you and the cop.

> I was sighted once for that offence,
>there was no way he could have seen me stop, let alone not stop.
>I took my pictures, measurements and showed in court that there

>was no way that the officer could have seen me stop, let alone run
>the stop sign from the position he said he was in. I won, and I was
>only 18 years old then.

Then the cop did a poor job of presenting his case, and you got off on a technicality. Your whole defense hinged on the cop's ability to see you based on their location. Something they are not required to tell you at the time they give the ticket. But congrats anyway. You managed to successfully prove your innocence. My whole point in the first place. Had you not been able to prove your innocence, the citation would have stood. Hence my original claim that you are presumed guilty and must prove your innocence in these cases.

I was also once cited for a stop sign violation. In my case, I was in an unfamiliar area, and the stop sign was not in an expected place and somewhat hidden and I blew right through it unconsciously. I drove quite a few blocks before I even noticed the cop behind me. I had no idea where he was when he "saw" me, and he didn't tell me. When he asked me if I knew I had run the stop sign, my response was "What stop sign?". He had me dead to rights and I paid the fine. But even if I had seen the stop sign and stopped at it, I would not have known where he was to mount the sort of defense that you did had he chosen to cite me for an arguably "poor" stop. In retrospect, when a cop stakes out a residential area like that, it's usually in response to neighborhood complaints. Evidently a lot of people blow through that particular stop sign. Which likely is a result of its poor placement. Something they should look into.

>> Most traffic law issues
>> are not always black and white. Yes, if you actually feel that you
>> were unfairly targeted, you might be tempted to fight. But if you are
>> still guilty of violating the letter of the law, if not the intent,
>> you might find it a tough battle.
>>
>
>Maybe, but if your speedo is off, you can go to court, show that
>it was off and also show that it was repaired, most of the time the
>judge will dismiss the case.

Probably. Again, that's why in Pa, they usually give such a wide tolerance before citing people. It's a lot harder to argue accuracy issues when you're 15 MPH or more over.

>> On the other hand, if you piss off a cop and he pulls you over and
>> "invents" a few charges to stick you with, you will still have a hard
>> time proving that you did not commit them unless, of course, the cop
>> in question has a history of abusing his power in such a way.
>
>Maybe again. But if you have someone with you, that type of stuff
>is less likely to happen (witness factor)

LESS likely. But remember the court usually takes the personal bias of
a passenger into consideration.

>> Is this fair? No. But is it a fact of life? Certainly.
>>
>>
>>
>>> You have a better chance of beating a ticket if your inocent than
>>>an FCC fine, at least you appear before a Judge and you can request
>>>a jury trial, try to do that with a FCC NAL.
>>
>> You can fight an NAL as well. In most cases the FCC gives fair warning
>> before handing out the NAL.
>
>Warnings are not NAL, so there is nothing to fight.

Yes, but they should give you fair warning that your on the FCC's
radar, and plan accordingly.

>> If you heed the warning, in most cases
>> that will be the end of it. I haven't read any accounts of people
>> popped by the FCC who weren't guilty of the offense charged.
>
>It doesn't matter Dave, you can't fight a NAL, you either pay it
>or have a lein on your property or wages garnished.

Not if you can prove that the fine is a financial burden. In many
cases, the fine will be lowered.

>> In most
>> cases, their "defense" consists of crying poor, or somehow trying to
>> justify their actions. Some of the excuses given are quite laughable.
>
>Same as a real court.

Yes.

>>>> Why play word games? The end result is the same. The accuser has to
>>>> prove his innocence, by discrediting the evidence against him. The cop
>>>> is not required to demonstrate 6 different ways from Sunday how the
>>>> accuser is guilty "beyond a reasonable doubt". He only has to show up
>>>> and testify that the accuser ran such and such red light, and it's his
>>>> word against the accuser's. So who does the court tend to believe? How
>>>> is this a "presumption of innocence"?
>>>
>>>What word games? The officer presents his evidence, then you have your
>>>turn, do you think that just because he's a police officer he's always
>>>right?
>>
>> No, he's not always right, but he's more credible in the eyes of the
>> court than Joe Average Citizen.
>
>Why? He's a person just like anyone else. His credibility rides on

>what he presents and how he does it, just like you.

A cop is a professional law enforcement officer, trained in the law,
and in observational skills. For that reason alone, you have to be
better able to present your case. All things being equal, the cop is
given more credibility by virtue of his job.

>> Cops are viewed with a certain amount
>> of public trust. If it comes down to a battle of testimony between
>> you and the cop, the court will side with the cop in most cases.
>
>Again, if you did something wrong, why waste the time of the courts,

Some people can't admit that they did something wrong, or feel that
they were somehow "singled out" over everyone else.

>but if you are innocent and present your case in a legit way, you
>will probably get off.

Yes, but the burden is on you to prove it so. Which is contrary to the
idea of "Innocent until proven guilty".

>>>If you present the correct type of evidence, witness's, you will be found
>>>innocent.
>>
>> Tell me, just how great are your chances of having an impartial
>> witness around when you need them, or having some other form of
>> concrete exculpatory evidence?
>
>I made a right hand turn onto another street, I proceeded into the
>right side of the lane partially into the bike lane (legal if with-in
>a certain distance of the intersection). Cop saw it and gave me a
>ticket for passing on the right.

Who did you pass?

>I showed with both video, pictures
>and measurements, after the officer said I passed on the right some
>1000 to 1300' before the intersection. That being said I would have had
>to pass him on the right before the previous light (I had turned onto the
>road in front of him, so how did I pass him?) I was found innocent
>via US mail and the judge enclosed a note saying that I had come to
>the court very well prepared with my evidence in proper sequence.

I guess you had to be there. I don't see how a video dramatization
after the fact absolves you of what the cop claimed you did.

>> You are talking how things should be in theory. I'm talking about how
>> they are in reality. In an ideal world, all innocent people would
>> never be accused or wrongly convicted of a crime. The real world
>> paints an entirely different picture. I'm not saying it's right. I'm
>> just acknowledging that it happens.
>

>Sorry, in the real world I'll bet you more people that do the right thing
>while in court get off more than you think.

I don't know many people who would go to all the trouble that you did
to "prove" your case. Most just appear and "plead" their case
verbally. Again, that's why the speed law here has a high threshold,
because the court historically will throw out speed infractions
tickets which are within the realm of reasonable accuracy disputes.

>>>>>I'm waiting until the FCC starts regulating the internet.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It's coming.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's a very sad statement Dave, if you can't regulate what you
>>>hear and watch, you have to have the governmet do it for you.
>>>A clear case.......... Lack of self control.
>>
>> It's an unfortunate truth that there are some people out there that
>> can't exercise self control and, by their lack of respect for others,
>> pollute the public venues with inappropriate behavior.
>
>If you don't like Stern, change the channel, if you don't like Queer
>eye for the straight guy, don't watch it, but don't ask the government
>to stand in and say " this is bad, people don't want to watch this,
>take it off the air. You would have culture shock if you ever get
>over to Europe.

A perfect example of why I don't want us going down that path.

The fundamental problem is one of lack of respect. Many people feel
that their right to express themselves supersedes their responsibility
to respect the rights and feelings of others. Too often those people
place the burden of responsibility to other people to clean up after,
or avoid their social infractions. The whole "if you don't like it,
turn it off (or leave)" mentality. I find that mentality to be
extremely selfish, and inconsiderate.

>> Barring
>> vigilante justice, government intervention is often the only sensible
>> alternative.
>
>So when the public is tired of seeing televangelist all day and night,
>politicians are tired of it, it's ok to bar them from TV?

If they violate a specific indecency law, I would say that they're
fair game.

>Because you
>don't have the common sense to turn it off or change channels?

Sometimes, it's too late.

Case in point, the whole Janet Jackson escapade. People have an
expectation for a certain level of programming and are unexpectedly
"shocked" by something that is not within that expectation. At the
very least, the government should impose strict guidelines for
programmers. If you want "racy" programming, then there should be
specific channels for it, and there needs to be all sorts of warnings
associated with it that warn people ahead of time what they will be
subjected to. So-called "family rated" channels would not be allowed
to carry anything in the least bit sexually or violently explicit.
Then at least people might have some choice as to what they can see
and not see. With the advent of digital TV and the ability to cram 10
or more MPEG compressed services (at 256 QAM) into the same space as
one analog TV channel, bandwidth is not a problem.

Regulation does not mean an outright ban.

>That's messed up, you had better hope that the country never gets
>to that stage.

I'd rather hope that the human race rediscovers the concepts of
morality, and both personal and civic responsibility.

>> Ironically, I tend to be a "minimal governmental intrusion" advocate.
>> But as more and more people adopt an "in your face" attitude with
>> respect to their perceived rights, I start to understand the need for
>> stricter controls.
>>
>>
>>>> Homosexuality is a disorder of the brain. Not much different than
>>>> schizophrenia, bipolar, or a host of other disorders. We should be
>>>> looking for ways to treat and correct it, not for reasons to excuse
>>>> it.
>>>>
>>>
>>>LOL!!!! Dr. Sigmund Hall is in the office..... Too funny
>>
>> You disagree? You obviously haven't read the studies on the subject.
>>
>>
>
>Nor do I care too, it's not anything that I'm concerned about.

Why not? I am interested in any aspect of society that has the
potential to affect me.

>>>> A marriage is a symbolic ritual of bonding that occurs between
>>>> biologically compatible couples. There can be no natural procreation
>>>> in a homosexual union. So yes, those values ARE diminished.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Symbolic Yes. I'm not sure what you mean by "biologically compatible"
>>>Do you mean, if your gay, you can't be a compatible couple?
>>

>> You cannot procreate, which is the whole point of marriage and family.
>
>
>Hummm, maybe, but it's not the only reason for marriage.

No, but that was the original intent.

>>>Don't get me wrong Dave, I agree with you on "Gay marriage", I think
>>>your words are wrong though.
>>
>> In what way?
>
>I think they can have what ever type of saying they want, but marriage is
>and
>has always been between a man & a woman, not a man & man or woman &
>woman.

I agree with this, so how is this wrong? BTW, I support the idea of a "civil union" for gay people for the purpose of securing secular benefits (and liabilities) that society normally offers to married couples. I just don't want it called "marriage".

I believe that if the gay community would back off from their belligerent insistence on "gay marriage" and concentrate instead on creating civil unions, they'd have far less opposition.

>>>Too much stuff to comment on here Dave, you have got way to much time
>>>on your hands, I for one have to get to work, see yaa.
>>
>> I'm already here. I'm on lunch right now. My day is 2/3rds over. This
>> small distraction is hardly a dent in my work day.
>>
>
>Well I have bigger things to do than this group, so time is more precious
>to me.

My boss would probably agree with you. But my workload ebbs and flows. A week or so ago, I was very busy, and I couldn't spend much time here. Next week looks to be a busy one too. I play things by ear.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2680. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 12:18:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <safh71p4olsvbgoetiajnmbb90rj26b4dl@4ax.com>
Source record: 199651

On 3 May 2005 23:24:29 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
<cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:

>JEEEEZZZZZZ ENOUGH OF THIS GARBAGE. Lets get back to CB'in and
>Freebanding. QSL? <insert roger beep and\or noise toy here>

Yea really. We wouldn't want to give the impression that radio people
have areas of interest which go beyond CB radio......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2681. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 13:09:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ldfh71dc4t1gi9u0l4q28hnhui1a6f984e@4ax.com>
Source record: 199652

On Tue, 03 May 2005 23:24:18 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 03 May 2005 09:00:00 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <9ble715jt736le8dai3pqe2hmq8gtovgvq@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>The difference between you and I is only a matter of degree. When you
>>make relative value judgements, this is the danger you run into. When
>>you apply logic in the justification for allowing certain behaviors,
>>the same logic can be applied to a successive list of increasingly
>>abhorrent behaviors and practices. It comes down to what you are
>>willing to tolerate. Once you start down that slippery slope, there's
>>no turning back, without abandoning your logic and adopting some sort
>>of "bigotry".
>
>
>That "degree of morality" is established by society, not you, and not
>any religious activist group. The overwhelming majority of people
>(>99%) feel that murder, sex crimes, etc are 'immoral'. But there
>isn't much of a majority condemning homosexuality. -That's- how you
>make a "relative value judgment".

Based on what statistics?

><snip>
>>If you lead a monogamous lifestyle and do not engage in dangerous
>>recreational habits, your chances of contracting AIDS is minuscule.
>
>
>HIV doesn't care if you are gay or straight.

No, but how you get it most definitely depends on how sexually
promiscuous you are.

>And maybe you missed the
>boat on this one too, but a lot of people got the disease from blood
>transfusions.

If you read the link I provided, you'd find that the percentage of
those people are less than 2%.

> So if you are going to suggest that AIDS is a disease
>that is contracted only by evil people then you are even more ignorant
>than you have so far demonstrated.

If you are suggesting that sexual promiscuity and gender orientation
have no part in it, then you are more ignorant that you are accusing
me of.

If you want to drastically slow down the spread of AIDS, the answer is
quite simple. Abstain from sex.

>>>Science therefore vindicated the gay community. But it also exposed
>>>people to their own misperceptions about homosexuality. Apparently you
>>>weren't paying attention.
>>
>>I was paying attention. To the facts.
>>
>>There are many who believe that AIDS is the work of God, sent to
>>punish those who engage in "unworthy" behavior. It's easy for those
>>who have little faith in a supreme being to deny this possibility. But
>>it's interesting in where the highest percentages of HIV cases are,
>>and what activities place people at the most risk. Coincidence?
>
>
>I was wrong about you, Dave. I thought you were intelligent but a
>little misguided. Now I see that you are a certifiable holy-roller
>racist whacko.

I am far from a holy roller, but I also cannot deny both the timing
and the groups of people who have had the most affect from this
disease. I'm not one who believes in random coincidences, so I am
forced to consider the possibility that this could be deliberate. It's
called having an open mind. Something you have demonstrated that you
do not have by discarding it and covering it by an ad-hominem attack
at me.

BTW, how is this "racist"?

>
>
><snip>
>>>Well, kick back and pop a
>>>brew, Dave, because this is America,
>>
>>A nation founded by Christian people based on Christian doctrine, even
>>if the 1st amendment decries that there is no "official" state
>>sponsored religion.
>
>
>Not just the First Amendment, Dave. The concept is reflected in the
>main body of the Constitution; "...no religious test shall ever be
>required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the
>United States," a clause which was unanimously adopted by the
>Constitutional Convention.

Yet the democrats are using this exact criteria to DENY appointees to
the court. In their minds, a strong belief in faith should be regarded

as a reason to disqualify someone from serving in public office.

>This country was founded on the principle of religious freedom (as
>well as other important principles). After being forced by England to
>practice only one religion (the religion of the state), the new
>Americans wanted people to have the freedom to practice religion
>according to their belief, be it Christianity, Judaism, Islam,
>Bhuddism, Taoism, Monoanimism..... or even no religion at all.
>
>The USA is not, nor has it ever been, a Christian state.

Not "officially", but our whole government is littered with Christian
references. The ten commandments in judicial buildings. The swearing
on the Bible, and others. Things that have been here for many years,
yet liberals are now fighting to have removed.

>>> and you have the right to
>>>practice your religion as you see fit -- just let the rest of us do
>>>the same.
>>
>>As long as what you do doesn't infringe on what I do or diminish the
>>values that this country was originally founded on.
>
>
>Gay marriage doesn't change your legal rights and responsibilities. It
>doesn't change your tax filing status. It doesn't give your kids birth
>defects. It doesn't invalidate your will. It doesn't change your life
>insurance policy premiums, or any other bills you pay.

Actually it may. If insurance is forced to extend benefits to same sex
partners, the increased the pool of insured, which will mean that
everyone's rates will ultimately increase to cover it.

> It doesn't
>change the color of your house, the mileage of your car, or how fast
>the weeds grow in your garden. It doesn't affect you in any way except
>the way you feel.

It tarnishes the sanctity of marriage. If you are someone who does not
believe strongly in anything, then nothing can affect you. Who was it
that said that "those who will not stand for anything, stand for
nothing"?

>And -you- are responsible for the way you feel, not -anybody- else.
>There is nothing in the Constitution that dictates how a person should
>feel. If gay marriage weakens the value of your marriage, it does so
>only because you let it, and that's nobody's fault but your own.

It diminishes the value of the whole institution. It's not much
different in principle than earning a college degree. If they change
the requirements for a college degree to only needing 2 years of
course study, then it diminishes the value of that degree for those
who put in their 4 years.

>If your bigoted brain had any intelligence you would realize that gay
>marriage would -strengthen- the value of your marriage because it
>provides a contrast to your own definition of the union.

How does diluting an institution strengthen it?

> But that's
>not the case. You just hate homosexuals. It's as simple as that, isn't
>it, Dave?

It's easy for people like you to vilify and demonize any opposing
views as hate. That's an overly simplistic justification and usually a
sign of someone who's afraid to take on the topic and discuss it to
the level that's needed. A common tactic employed by liberals. Are you
SURE you're not a liberal Frank?

I don't "hate" anyone Frank. But I do acknowledge that homosexuals are
biologically and mentally anomalous. It's not "normal" behavior no
matter how much anyone wishes to sugar coat it. I also resent efforts
to "normalize" obvious abnormal behavior rather than trying harder to
correct it.

><snip>
>>>..... Or do you support the position
>>>of right-wing conservative Christians who say (by their actions) that
>>>any participation of fags in America's free-market economy should be
>>>supressed?
>>
>>Sometimes politics is at odds with economic considerations. Sometimes
>>you have to cut off your own nose to make a much larger point. That's
>>called "principle"
>
>
>A simple 'yes' would have sufficed.

But would have been incorrect.

><snip>
>>>The "right" choice is any choice that isn't unconstitutional.
>>
>>The constitution is relative as well. It was framed by Christian
>>people with their religious inspired morality contained within its
>>wording.
>
>
>You have obviously never read it.

I have. Many times.

Maybe you should. Here it is:

http://www.house.gov/Constitution/Constitution.html

>>>If you choose to reject science and
>>>logic, that's your business.

>>
>>Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of a creator or, more
>>generally, the concept of intelligent design. Our whole ecosystem, the
>>intricate specialization of the various functions of our bodies and
>>other aspects of nature are far too complex to have occurred and
>>evolved at random. There is simply not enough order in chaos for this
>>to happen.
>
>
>I see you slept through history -and- science.

No, actually those were my strongest subjects. I had to explain to my
4th grade teacher how nuclear fission worked. I have also studied the
intricacies of our planet and its ecosystem. It is far too complex to
have evolved totally randomly.

>>>If I'm wrong then you can rest assured
>>>that I'll pay for my indiscretions -- but that's -my- business, not
>>>your's.
>>
>>Yes, it is. But it's my business if you try to poison others by
>>"immoral" thinking. And hence we have the classic "moral dilemma".
>
>
>No, it's -not- your business if I try to "poison" others by stating
>that I'm an atheist.

You can state anything you want. But don't get mad if those who
disagree with you, challenge you.

> You have just as much right to declare that you
>are a Christian (or whatever warped permutation of religion you have
>adopted to validate your "core beliefs"). And no group of Christians,
>no matter how large, has the right to impose it's version of morality
>on me.

Nor do you have the right to denounce any display of religiously
inspired morality.

> This is 21st Century America, not the dark ages or the Spanish
>Inquisition. But it -is- your business to abide by the Constitution
>the United States, which clearly states that religion has no place in
>this government. If that makes you feel bad, tuff shit. Learn to live
>with it or get out. I would highly recommend the latter.

I just wish all those sore losers at the end of the 2004 election
would make good on their threats to leave this country and join
Canada. Then this country can return to more traditional values.

>>> These "Christians" really need to start practicing what they
>>>preach. Or at least how to live and let live.
>>
>>Not when abhorrent behavior is cancerous to their way of life. They

>>have a right to fight for what they believe in, just as much as those
>>who would throw traditional morality to the wind in support of the
>>latest hedonistic pop-culture fad.
>
>
>Rock and roll is here to stay, Dave.

Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,
and that new ideas are always better than old ones right? March like
the rest of the lemming right off the cliff........

<snip>
>>>Once again you are confused, Dave. It was statutory law that initiated
>>>the Constitutional challenge. The statute was -overthrown- by the
>>>Supreme Court, not established.
>>
>>Exactly. But what right should a branch of government which is
>>supposed to interpret and apply the law, have in making or overturning
>>standing law? That is the job for the legislature.
>
>
>Oh dear god, you really don't have any clue about how the government
>works, do you? Three branches of government? Checks and balances?
>Seperataion of powers? But why should I be suprised -- you haven't
>even read the Constitution.

I told you before. But you don't seem to know how government works.
The legislative branch makes the laws. The executive branch enacts
them. The judicial branch applies them. Those are your checks and
balances.

>>> And case law is just as much 'law' as
>>>statutory law because of the system of 'checks and balances' -- to
>>>suggest that a law is something less because it is a "judicial ruling"
>>>is completely bogus.
>>
>>I call it "overstepping their bounds". No law that is made by the
>>legislature should be struck down by a panel of judges without debate,
>>which should include the legislature who passed the law in the first
>>place.
>
>
>When I realize that people like you vote, it comes as no suprise that
>Bush was re-elected..... he has great appeal with the ignorant.

Then you should have voted for him. No, strike that. You're not
ignorant. You're worse. You think you know things, but what you know
is twisted.

>It's clear that you never learned the basics of your own government.

Because I don't agree with your warped view of it?

>Just like you never learned the basics of electronics. The problem is
>that you can't possibly make an informed decision about who to vote
>for if you don't even know the job description!

I'm not the one who threw away my vote on a non-candidate. One who is the most socialist leaning of any of them. Yet you claim to support the constitution. You are a person of contradictions Frank. No wonder you can't understand what I'm telling you.

>Climb down off your morality horse

Morality is the foundation of any successful government. You have yet to learn that.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2682. Earn money searching the Web. It really works.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 13:15:19 UTC
From: alandavis91310@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115212519.655783.40910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199653

Hey, any of you checked this out? Basically, you register & each time you search for something, you get paid for it! Crazy idea but it does work!

Give it a try here: http://netbux.org/?r=97549

It's literally a 2 minute job to register & you start earning straight away.

There is NO spyware, adware (or any kind of -ware) & no upfront costs or anything!

2683. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 15:11:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <423-4278E61F-49@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199654

Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>Most cops would not bother to write someone

>a ticket for not coming completely to a stop

>and waiting the required 3 seconds before

>proceeding at a stop sign.

They will bust your ass here in the high tourist area for that exact offense. There are way too many bicyclists and pedestrians around here and the cops vehemently enforce what is commonly known as the "California Stop" or the "Rolling Stop" through a stop sign.

2684. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 15:20:49 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <427-4278E851-14@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199655

Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of
>a creator or, more generally, the concept of

>intelligent design.

Science is based on logic. Nowhere does science support your position.

>Our whole ecosystem, the intricate

>specialization of the various functions of our

>bodies and other aspects of nature are far too

>complex to have occurred and evolved at

>random.

Now THAT is one hell of a subjective opinion.
But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may mistake for "random".

>There is simply not enough order in chaos for

>this to happen.

You are claiming this oxymoron (chaos in order) does not exist. I agree.
Whiole Darwin's theory has yet to be proved because of a single missing link, it is the most widely accepted scholarly and scientific (IE: logic, logical) belief.

2685. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 15:24:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <427-4278E946-16@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199656

From: cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com (A PROUD FREEBANDER)
>JEEEEZZZZZZ ENOUGH OF THIS

>GARBAGE. Lets get back to CB'in and

>Freebanding. QSL? <insert roger beep and\or

>noise toy here>

Aayy,,I kinda enjoy when an intellectual exchange occurs,,even if it is
often one sided.
ANyways,,I'm listening. We just had one hell of a rain, so the dx is a
hoppin'.

2686. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 15:38:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <423-4278EC5C-59@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199657

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 17:29:19 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
A valid comparison can not be reached when you present an intangible vs
a tangible.

>The fact that you refuse to view radio

>.spectrum as "tangible" is not my problem.

Nope, it certainly isn't. But the fact that you do not understand the
definition of "tangible" certainly is your problem.

>You asked for it. Pay particular attention to

>definition #3:

Why? Does it somehow discount #1 and #2?

>tan·gi·ble   (P) Pronunciation Key (tnj-bl)

>adj.

>1 a. Discernible by the touch; palpable: a

>tangible roughness of the skin.

>   b. Possible to touch.

>   c. Possible to be treated as fact; real or

>concrete: tangible evidence.

>2. Possible to understand or realize: the

>tangible benefits of the plan.

> 3. Law. That can be valued monetarily:

>tangible property.

LAW? Bullshit....THE definitive source accepted worldwide by the AP is
the AP Stylebook. Others may refer to the ONLY other acceptable
source,,Websters Encyclopedic Unabridged
Dictionary of the English Language. Neither of these contain the word
"law". In fact, I checked a few online definitions and none of those
contain the word "law".

>Is not the RF spectrum given a monetary

>value by virtue of the FCC auctioning it off to

>.the highest commercial bidders?

Monetary value is not the same as tangible.

>That makes it a tangible asset.

No,,you may not touch it,,you may not fell it, as a requirement by
virtue of the definition.

>No different than a piece of property.

Very different, but you are apt to believe that the something "tangible"
can be something one can not grasp or feel. You're wrong. The be-all
definition and final word of this definition you refer is as quoted:
-
" Of an asset having actual physical existence, as real estate or
chattels, and (note the wording. It says 'AND", not "or")
therefore being assigned a value in monetary terms". Something
tangible, a tangible asset."

-
Again, in order for something to be tangible, it needs physical
properties one can touch, discernible by material or substance. The
sepctrum does not meet those parameters and you merely added the term
"law" on your own to the definition. You are quite the card, David.
Dishonest as hell, but quite the card.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2687. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 15:43:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <427-4278ED8C-21@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199658

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 09:39:13 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
The car, as is the radio spectrum, mine!!! My car is NOT the DMV's, my
radio spectrum is NOT the FCC's....
>The FCC owns the rights to the radio

>spectrum in this country.

That is ludicrous. They do not. They merely are charged with
administrating such. The spectrum does not stop at the borders.

>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum

>to people with a legitimate need. It's no

>different than government owned land.

Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were
already taught.

>Your car is yours as is your radio gear. But the
>privilege to operate both is granted by the

>government, and can be revoked for the

>proper cause.

Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate
my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.

>Another way to look at it. You own your car,

>but not the roads you drive on.

Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.

>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves

>you broadcast on.

Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.

>David Hall Jr.

>."Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2688. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 15:50:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <423-4278EF49-61@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199659

Dave Hall (N3CVJ) Jr. wrote:
(That "degree of morality" is established by society, not you, and not any religious activist group. The overwhelming majority of people (>99%) feel that murder, sex crimes, etc are 'immoral'. But there isn't much of a majority condemning homosexuality. -That's- how you make a "relative value judgment".)

>Based on what statistics?

No statistics..just votes making laws giving the queers equal rights. The fact that states are now permitting same sex unions is another example. Your current batch of thugs in Washington is also catering to the gays ever since there was found to be so many of them in the hiarchy of the current administration.

2689. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 15:55:30 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <429-4278F072-1@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199660

From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
>(Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk!

..says the chickenhawk :)

2690. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 15:58:01 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <423-4278F109-64@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199661

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.

>why don't you tell us what the name is?

Because you said it doesn't exist. Far be it from me to change your
beliefs. If I continued to do that too frequently, I might get bored
with you.

>don't know do you? why not ask a stupid

>freebander, maybe they can pull the wool over
>your eyes better. now carry on and off with

>you.

Can't say you haven't been educated by the masses lately.

2691. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 16:01:05 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <429-4278F1C1-2@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199663

From: deeflint01@comcast.net (Dee Flint)
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com...
>Last time I looked, the FCC regulations don't

>allow CB antennas to exceed 20 feet in height.

Try 60 feet in height or 20 feet above the roof.

2692. Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 16:02:09 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <423-4278F201-66@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199662

.right now. I'm still listening.

2693. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 16:14:03 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <427-4278F4CB-25@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199664

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
news:lYBde.5634$bo3.5278814@news.sisna.com:
I said "I am not a lawyer", at this point, if it were me, I'd be
consulting one....
John

>John he is no lawyer either thats why his

>advise sucks wind.

No chance at all. Mopar was publicly invited by Dogie. -You- need to
talk to a lawyer and have him properly explain what constitutes
stalking, as you are misinformed. In the meantime, try not to think
about such things.

>6 W. Gay St., Suite 311, Columbus, OH 43215
>614-221-1255 PHONE | 888-622-9315

>TOLL-FREE | 614-221-6357 FAX |

>www.actionohio.org

>OHIO LAW

>Menacing by Stalking

>"No person by engaging in a pattern of

>conduct shall knowingly cause another to

>believe

>that the offender will cause physical harm to

>the other person or cause mental distress to

>the

>other person."

Daaaamn,,,,Dogie is guilty fo this not with one person not with two
people here, but with a boatload.

>"Pattern of conduct" is defined as "two or more
>actions or incidents closely related in time,

>whether or not there has been a prior

>.conviction based on any of those actions or

>.incidents.

The "actions' you speak of were initiated and perpetuated by Dogie. He could not sue one for behaviors he initiated and committed countless times against others.

>The law has been amended to expand the

>definition of "pattern of conduct" to include

>cyberstalking (e.g. such as sending

>.threatening messages, posting such

>messages on a

>computer bulletin board, using a computer

>.bulletin board or listserv to induce a third

>person

Just what did you consider the threats Dogie posted? Oh, that's right, you claim you can't be sure it's him, but you can be sure it's Mopar..lol.

>to engage in stalking conduct against the

>victim, or using the Global Positioning System

>to

>track the whereabouts of the victim).

>Actions or incidents that prevent, obstruct, or

>delay the performance by a public official,

>firefighter, rescuer, emergency medical

>services person, or emergency facility person

>of any

>authorized act within the public official's,

>firefighter's, rescuer's emergency medical

>services

>person's, or emergency facility person's official
>capacity may constitute a 'pattern of

>conduct.'"

Yep,,,once could certainly argue that jamming a repeater as Dogie did constitutes the prevention, delay or obstruction of possible

emergencies.

>"Physical harm" is defined as "any injury,

>illness, or other physiological impairment,

>regardless of its gravity or duration."

Yea,,this goes with the word "tangible" you can't comprehend.

>"Mental distress" is defined as "any mental

>illness or condition that involves some

>temporary substantial incapacity or any mental
>illness or condition that would normally

>require psychiatric treatment, psychological

>treatment, or other mental health services

>whether or not any person requested or

>received psychiatric treatment, psychological

>treatment, or other mental health services."

Yet, Dogie received mandatory court ordered treatment for his mental instability resulting in crimes against children and the state.

>The law prohibits stalking or harassing

>someone repeatedly through electronic

>means, such

>as by e-mail,

Cool,,,,I knew I saved all the threats he made for a reason.

> web chat room, or message board.

Those too.

>Posting false information on the Internet to

>cause another person to stalk an individual is

>.also illegal.

LOL,,,,y'all should be shaking in your fairie boots right about now with such an overwhelming amount of evidence against Dogie.

>(The Ohio Domestic Violence Benchbook - A

>Practical Guide to Competence for Judges &

>Magistrates,

>Second

>Edition, Family Violence Prevention Center,

>Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services.)

Now,,if only you could cite a single source that claims "law" as a definition of "tangible"...LMFAO!

2694. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 16:32:05 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <442-4278F905-13@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199666

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>So, you think that harassing a man, such as

>being done here, would NOT be found to be

>offensive to the general public???

I believe "harassment" is something that must be proved beyond a doubt by a court of law, not an internet usenet opinion. In this specific incident,Dogie not only initiated and began the harassment of many on here, mopar included, he libeled many good folks here. Once the court was made aware Dogie not only initiated such behavior, but continued a campaign of personal electronic "harassment" for many years, they would conclude he has no ground for suit. Of this, I am absolutely confident.

>You think the general public would support

>your abuse of this man???

Ummm,,,,what I 'think", is the hordes would come out of the woodwork to give proper testimony (via a notarized statement) to the court concerning Dogie's abuse along with proper examples. Mopar's statements are quite benign compared to the psycho libelant Dogie's comments and threats.

>Well, maybe so, or maybe you should rethink

>that....

>Regards,

>John

Dude,,,you ought check this libelant's entrance into the group. Google N8WWM in this group, place his posts in chronological order and enjoy a classic textbook piece of usenet history of one being driven underground because of his own criminality, unprovoked attacks, incompetence, and sickness. A real treat.

2695. Special Activations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 16:37:20 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <442-4278FA40-14@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199665

...are up and running on the freeband.

2696. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 16:47:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <w07ee.41$4U6.2100241@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

WOW! Five miles huh? I am going to have to go tell my neighbor, he is chatting with Australia on ch 38 LSB right now!!! In fact, he does that rather consistantly!!!

Or, he probably only thinks he is--still, I wonder who that guy with the aussie accent is, which is deceiving him???

John

"Frank Gilliland" wrote in message news:mkqg71de6jtal09u2p6h8c7mhot23cjj70@4ax.com...
| On Tue, 03 May 2005 10:26:20 -0400, Cmd Buzz Corey wrote
| in :
|
| >Frank Gilliland wrote:
| >>
| >> Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
| >> supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
| >> that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
| >>
| >>
| >>
| >
| >The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
| >their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
|
|
| The range of CB radio is 5 miles or less. Why would it be considered
| as a method of communication for NORAD or the Department of Homeland
| Secrecy? Regardless:
|
| http://tinyurl.com/9s39v
|
|
|
|
|
|
| ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
| http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
| ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption

=----

2697. Important please read this Thank you!

`°o.._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._□_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._□_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°`
`°o.._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._□_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._□_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°`
`°o.._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._□_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._□_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°`
`°o.._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._□_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._□_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°`

GOOGLE·NEWSGROUP·POST·156

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 17:09:51 UTC
From: Ronald700094@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115226591.709848.274870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199668
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are,
nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they
are going to Heaven when they die is because they
just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that
you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus

said would happen before Jesus died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and
then three days later come back to life and it
actually happens then this person must be the
real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
things that you have done in your life including
lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this
life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
children, I write this to you so that you will not
sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another

chance to do so because you do not know when you
will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but
by me." (John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your
sins and be forever separated from the love of God in
a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.

* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.

* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.

`°º.¸..¸.oº`°º.¸..¸.oº`°º.¸□..¸.oº`°º.¸..¸.oº`°º.¸..¸.oº`

Got Questions?
http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

`°º.…..º°`°º.…..º°`°º.¸□..¸º°`°º.…..º°`°º.¸.º°`

2698. Re: Amateur Radio is MUCH more valuable than CB Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 17:17:28 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115226480.fb5eac57b84471f7d9ac81ae5469c31e@bubbanews>
Source record: 199669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 05 May 2005 02:07:35 +1000, Barry OGrady
<atheist.xxx@gmail.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 03 May 2005 07:23:48 -0500, Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 2 May 2005 20:52:50 -0700, "John Smith"
>><assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>On 11 meters, with my 100 ft tower with a two element beam, I reach 50-75
>>>air miles consistantly(at night when the chan is quiet)... but, I am on a
>>>valley floor... and that is 4.5 watts output... and you know I have NEVER
>>>ran more power than that!!!! (But, if I did, it would be a good sized chunk
>>>of this state, well, the valley walls would be a limit) And, of course,
>>>skip would be worldwide during the day...
>>>
>>>On 10 meters, it is not that good (same tower, same ant), mainly because the
>>>hams don't have as good as antennas as the CB'ers (height wise.) And, there
>>>are just not as many hams here to chat with on 10 meters...
>>>
>>>
>>
>>your antenna is a toy compared to most ham antennas. if you have
>>trouble chatting with somone on 10 meters, maybe you should learn to
>>speak english. most freebanders are quite illiterate.
>
>Funny you should say that, because in english its 10 metres.
>
>Barry

Funny you should say that, because in the Merriam-Webster english
dictionary its meters. metres is a chiefly British variant of METER

2699. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 17:21:03 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115226695.5f36eddccd6c13c5d4ffba071e2a7d6c@bubbanews>
Source record: 199671

On Tue, 3 May 2005 16:59:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freebandï¿½sucks)
>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
><snip>
>-
>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>-
>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do
>figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>Stu
>
>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.

and what would that other name be? tell us about the spread spectrum
11 meter cb radios. maybe elmer can help you?

2700. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 17:21:59 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115226751.b335be362c067febc953526bebde5ff7@bubbanews>
Source record: 199670

On Tue, 3 May 2005 17:00:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freebandï¿½sucks)
>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>Stu
>
>>freebanders don't use spread spectrum
>
>Others learn what they do not know. You deny. Carry on and off you go
>now....
teach us oh great one. tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter cb
radios

2701. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 17:32:17 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115227369.9112bc7d602d407087a5b1a57e327a2d@bubbanews>
Source record: 199674

On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:58:01 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freebandï¿½sucks)
>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.
>
>>why don't you tell us what the name is?
>
>
>Because you said it doesn't exist. Far be it from me to change your
>beliefs. If I continued to do that too frequently, I might get bored
>with you.

you can easily prove your point, tell us about it. far be it it from
you to make a statement and then not be able to back it up.

2702. ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter cb radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 17:33:50 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115227462.5c89f8258ab2613a4a2bcc3547f89d62@bubbanews>
Source record: 199673

where can we find one?

2703. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 17:34:41 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115227513.2ccaf715b3073aa90eb0b20d0bbf2b99@bubbanews>
Source record: 199672

On Wed, 4 May 2005 12:02:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>.right now. I'm still listening.
are they using spread spectrum?

2704. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 18:06:06 UTC
From: anon <none@hotopsclubpres.net>
Message-ID: <d5b2rc$f3l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1115226695.5f36eddccd6c13c5d4ffba071e2a7d6c@bubbanews...
> On Tue, 3 May 2005 16:59:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>><snip>
>>-
>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>>-
>>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do
>>figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>>Stu
>>
>>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.
>
> and what would that other name be? tell us about the spread spectrum
> 11 meter cb radios. maybe elmer can help you?

Do a Google search, limiting your search to rec.radio.cb
Then do a general web search, using Google. Spread Spectrum,
Packet, and other digital modes have been discussed in
rec.radio.cb with posts dating back to 1991. Typical ham, you
want all the work done for you. And when are you going to
upgrade from No Code Tech?

2705. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 19:36:02 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115234794.8c902adf54050ceaddcf5a878b9dc0c8@bubbanews>
Source record: 199676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 4 May 2005 14:06:06 -0400, "anon" <none@hotopsclubpres.net>
wrote:

>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1115226695.5f36eddccd6c13c5d4ffba071e2a7d6c@bubbanews...
>> On Tue, 3 May 2005 16:59:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>>>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>>>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>>>"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>>>news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
>>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
>>>supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
>>>that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
>>><snip>
>>>-
>>>The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
>>>their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.
>>>-
>>>Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do
>>>figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
>>>Stu
>>>
>>>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>>>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>>>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.
>>
>> and what would that other name be? tell us about the spread spectrum
>> 11 meter cb radios. maybe elmer can help you?
>
>
>Do a Google search, limiting your search to rec.radio.cb
>Then do a general web search, using Google. Spread Spectrum,
>Packet, and other digital modes have been discussed in
>rec.radio.cb with posts dating back to 1991. Typical ham, you
>want all the work done for you. And when are you going to
>upgrade from No Code Tech?
>
ok, do the search what do you find? talk about backwards swing, 800
and 900 mhz telephones. nothing about 11 meter cb spread spectrum.

something being discussed is not the same as having one or using one.
now answer the original question, where can i find a 11 meter spread
spectrum radio? typical freebander, not even smart enough to be a
no-code tech.

2706. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 20:03:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7U9ee.5684$GQ5.271@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 199677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote

> where can i find a 11 meter spread spectrum radio?

Why the phuck would you want one?

de Hans, K0HB

2707. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 20:18:25 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115237337.40a6865dbd31b0f6057ed41d7da112ac@bubbanews>
Source record: 199678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 04 May 2005 20:03:15 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>> where can i find a 11 meter spread spectrum radio?
>
>Why the phuck would you want one?
>
>de Hans, K0HB
>
>

I phucking don't want one,I phucking just want to see one,ok?
why the phuch do you care so much?

2708. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter cb radios.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 20:51:33 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <vDaee.4388$Ri4.2339@okepread07>
Source record: 199679

Freeband sucks wrote:

> where can we find one?

His version of spread-spectrum is turning that power microphone *way* up,
that way, your signal spreads out.

Sorry, had to get that in there...

Guy

2709. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 22:54:58 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <494-427952C2-27@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199680

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:427-4278F4CB-25
@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
Just what did you consider the threats Dogie posted? Oh, that's right,
you claim you can't be sure it's him, but you can be sure it's
Mopar..lol.
>Listen real close assjuice,

Keep your mother's bodily fluids out of the topic and you'll do fine.

> moped has pictures of someones house he

>went to that is a known fact,

Fact #1..he was invited there.
Fact#2...it is not illegal to take pictures. See paparazzi.

> the persons house he went to may not have

>made those posts.

Fact #3..the person who took those pictures may not be Moparholic.
Fact #4..the person who posted those pics may no be moparholic.

> That is also fact,

Nope. Your useof the term "may" excludes it from being a fact. A fact is
certain, you are not.

>now go wash off

Yes, you may now go wash off or whatever it is you do after the behavior
that has you preoccupied with
the term 'ass' and a penchant for speaking of bodily fluids when
speaking to other men.

2710. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 22:57:46 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <493-4279536A-109@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199681

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>You have no clue if this person is actually n8

>because if your evidance is the same as when
>you say i am wa3moj you guys are in a world

>of hurt.

See what you can be taught by cbers when they tell you those you libel
on the web could have set you up like a bowling pin. Again, your posts
continue to reinforce just who has a clue. You've been a flaming failure
for years on this group.

2711. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 23:02:44 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <495-42795494-6@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199682

freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>where can we find one?

Ask the other person you refer by use of the term "we" in your posts, or
else you can continue to foster your lack of intellect in all you touch
and approach.

2712. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 23:05:48 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <493-4279554C-111@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199683

From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
Freeband sucks wrote:
where can we find one?

>His version of spread-spectrum is turning that

>power microphone *way* up, that way, your

>signal spreads out.

>Sorry, had to get that in there...

>Guy

Hey,,don't be sorry. You serve a valuable lesson: you reinforce the ignorance of select hammies who are licensed for communications but are clueless and incompetent when it comes to such. Perhaps that's why you feel the need to say such things about others, after all, if you were confident and satisfied in your abilities in life, there would be no need for such actions toward others. Carry on, my child.

2713. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 23:12:09 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <493-427956C9-112@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199688

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 17:00:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate. Stu
>freebanders don't use spread spectrum

Others learn what they do not know. You deny. Carry on and off you go
now....

>teach us oh great one.

I've been teaching you for years, oh vision of lackluster supreme!

>tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter cb

>radios

Watch your temper now, junior. I never claimed there was a spread
spectrum 11 meter cb radio. Let's go back to the grammar school level
since you are so easily confused. You said freebanders don't use spread
spectrum, and as always, just like a fingerprint, since you can think of
no manner in which this technology can apply to the freeband, you deny
it's existence. On your troubled and confused second thought and
approach, you ASSume for this technology to exist,,(you said it didn't,
now you posit yourself for the possibility based on your very own
ignorance) it must be in the form of a spread spectrum 11 meter cb
radio...a term only you invoked. Dude, you know jack, and you know him
well.

2714. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 23:14:47 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <493-42795767-114@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199686

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>why don't you tell us what the name is?

Because you said it doesn't exist. Far be it from me to change your beliefs. If I continued to do that too frequently, I might get bored with you.

>you can easily prove your point,

Wasn't my point, it was yours.

>tell us about it.

Ask the person you refer to as "us" when posting. You need all the help you can get. Of course, you realized this years ago...look to where I singlehandedly drove you with the greatest of ease.

> far be it it from you to make a statement and

>then not be able to back it up.

Correct.

2715. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 23:16:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <493-427957D2-115@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199687

From: groupk0hb@earthlink.net (KØHB)
"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote
where can i find a 11 meter spread spectrum radio?

>Why the phuck would you want one?

>de Hans, K0HB

Because the jackass feeles this is the only way digital spread
technology could be applied the freeband.

2716. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 23:17:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <493-4279581E-116@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199685

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Wed, 4 May 2005 12:02:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
right now. I'm still listening.

>are they using spread spectrum?

You're beginning to convince the contingency you don't even understand
the technology of that you speak.

2717. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 23:29:25 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <493-42795AD5-117@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199689

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)

>where can we find one?

The technology is there,,you just have to -learn- it. Tell you what,,think about part 15 transceivers,,,,think about chips,..... now think about different modes,,outside the box....think about UHF.....now,,,if you still are confused, please explain why automatic hopping of freqs on the freeband is such a difficult concept for you. Since you can't seem to grasp this idea, forget about even casually mentioning digital sequencing to you,,,,you'd win the pool!!! :)

2718. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-04 23:44:59 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vpmi71d6jgapt4gi28ga8qdjdd0g9sukjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 199684

On Wed, 04 May 2005 09:09:37 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ldfh71dc4t1gi9u0l4q28hnhui1a6f984e@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>><snip>
>>>If you lead a monogamous lifestyle and do not engage in dangerous
>>>recreational habits, your chances of contracting AIDS is minuscule.
>>
>>
>>HIV doesn't care if you are gay or straight.
>
>No, but how you get it most definitely depends on how sexually
>promiscuous you are.

No it doesn't. It only takes one exposure to get the bug.

>>And maybe you missed the
>>boat on this one too, but a lot of people got the disease from blood
>>transfusions.
>
>If you read the link I provided, you'd find that the percentage of
>those people are less than 2%.

I suppose those are acceptable losses, huh?

<snip>
>>Not just the First Amendment, Dave. The concept is reflected in the
>>main body of the Constitution; "...no religious test shall ever be
>>required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the
>>United States," a clause which was unanimously adopted by the
>>Constitutional Convention.
>
>Yet the democrats are using this exact criteria to DENY appointees to
>the court. In their minds, a strong belief in faith should be regarded
>as a reason to disqualify someone from serving in public office.

You are -totally- off your rocker, Dave.

>>This country was founded on the principle of religious freedom (as
>>well as other important principles). After being forced by England to
>>practice only one religion (the religion of the state), the new
>>Americans wanted people to have the freedom to practice religion
>>according to their belief, be it Christianity, Judaism, Islam,
>>Bhuddism, Taoism, Monoanimism..... or even no religion at all.
>>
>>The USA is not, nor has it ever been, a Christian state.

>
>Not "officially",

Or "unofficially".

> but our whole government is littered with Christian
>references. The ten commandments in judicial buildings.

As well as religious symbols of other faiths.

> The swearing
>on the Bible,

And here's proof that you have never read the Constitution -- the
passage I quoted above is in direct reference to the requirement for
an Oath of Affirmation.

> and others. Things that have been here for many years,
>yet liberals are now fighting to have removed.

Better late than never.

<snip>
>> It doesn't
>>change the color of your house, the mileage of your car, or how fast
>>the weeds grow in your garden. It doesn't affect you in any way except
>>the way you feel.
>
>It tarnishes the sanctity of marriage. If you are someone who does not
>believe strongly in anything, then nothing can affect you. Who was it
>that said that "those who will not stand for anything, stand for
>nothing"?

Unlike you, I believe in the Constitution.

>>And -you- are responsible for the way you feel, not -anybody- else.
>>There is nothing in the Constitution that dictates how a person should
>>feel. If gay marriage weakens the value of your marriage, it does so
>>only because you let it, and that's nobody's fault but your own.
>
>It diminishes the value of the whole institution. It's not much
>different in principle than earning a college degree. If they change
>the requirements for a college degree to only needing 2 years of
>course study, then it diminishes the value of that degree for those
>who put in their 4 years.

If you are so worried about the "institution" of marriage then you
have bigger issues than gays. In case you haven't noticed, nearly half
of all marriages end in divorce, and a large number of people get
married more than once. There is also the issue of polygamy -- if you
are going to claim that marriage is a religious value or that it is
traditionally monogamous then you better change your tune because
that's not the case. For example, King Solomon (a "servant of God")
had 600 wives and 900 concubines... or was it 900 wives and 600

concubines.... whichever, it doesn't really matter. The point is that the "traditional Christian marriage" is just as much a farce in definition as it is in practice; and gay marriage has far less impact on it's "value" than legalized divorce, secular ceremonies, Joseph Smith, or even the holy doctrine of your own faith.

>>If your bigoted brain had any intelligence you would realize that gay
>>marriage would -strengthen- the value of your marriage because it
>>provides a contrast to your own definition of the union.
>
>How does diluting an institution strengthen it?

Referring back to your "college degree" analogy, if the standards are lowered then my degree becomes more valuable. Here's another analogy: If some of the auto makers start making cars of lower quality, what happens to the value of the vehicles made by other manufacturers? Duh.

>> But that's
>>not the case. You just hate homosexuals. It's as simple as that, isn't
>>it, Dave?
>
>It's easy for people like you to vilify and demonize any opposing
>views as hate. That's an overly simplistic justification and usually a
>sign of someone who's afraid to take on the topic and discuss it to
>the level that's needed. A common tactic employed by liberals. Are you
>SURE you're not a liberal Frank?
>
>I don't "hate" anyone Frank.

Yes you do. You hate homosexuals. You also hate blacks. It's written all over your posts despite your efforts to hide the fact. You can justify your hatred any way you want -- even to yourself. But the more you write about such subjects, the harder you try to skirt an open admission, and the easier it is to see the bigotry in your writings. It's that 'perception window' thing I suggested you read about. Or haven't you found that book yet?

> But I do acknowledge that homosexuals are
>biologically and mentally anomalous. It's not "normal" behavior no
>matter how much anyone wishes to sugar coat it. I also resent efforts
>to "normalize" obvious abnormal behavior rather than trying harder to
>correct it.

There is nothing in the Constitution that requires a person to be "normal". In fact, the world would be a pretty boring place if everyone fit within one standard deviation. But the Constitution -does- say that you can do anything you want as long as it doesn't infringe on anyone else's rights. So how does gay marriage infringe on your rights, Dave?

<snip>
>>I see you slept through history -and- science.

>
>No, actually those were my strongest subjects. I had to explain to my
>4th grade teacher how nuclear fission worked. I have also studied the
>intricacies of our planet and its ecosystem. It is far too complex to
>have evolved totally randomly.

That's an argument used by primitive civilations to explain things
which they do not understand. If you want to be a part of an
enlightened society, the first thing you need to learn is that
complexity does not require divine providence. But if that concept is
beyond your level of comprehension you can always take up astrology,
voodoo, crystal ball gazing..... or even republican economics.

<snip>
>> You have just as much right to declare that you
>>are a Christian (or whatever warped permutation of religion you have
>>adopted to validate your "core beliefs"). And no group of Christians,
>>no matter how large, has the right to impose it's version of morality
>>on me.
>
>Nor do you have the right to denounce any display of religiously
>inspired morality.

I have just as much right to denouce it as you do to display it. It's
called "freedom of speech". But that freedom doesn't extend to the
point that it conflicts with the constitutional precept that seperates
church and state.

>> This is 21st Century America, not the dark ages or the Spanish
>>Inquisition. But it -is- your business to abide by the Constitution
>>the United States, which clearly states that religion has no place in
>>this government. If that makes you feel bad, tuff shit. Learn to live
>>with it or get out. I would highly recommend the latter.
>
>I just wish all those sore losers at the end of the 2004 election
>would make good on their threats to leave this country and join
>Canada. Then this country can return to more traditional values.

Yeah, it was a whole lot better when women didn't have the right to
vote, the blacks that weren't slaves had their own schools and public
facilities, chemical waste could be dumped anywhere, working 16/7 for
just enough to eat..... those were the good ol' days, huh?

>>>> These "Christians" really need to start practicing what they
>>>>preach. Or at least how to live and let live.
>>>
>>>Not when abhorrent behavior is cancerous to their way of life. They
>>>have a right to fight for what they believe in, just as much as those
>>>who would throw traditional morality to the wind in support of the
>>>latest hedonistic pop-culture fad.
>>
>>

>>Rock and roll is here to stay, Dave.
>
>Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,

Wrong. I believe that change is inevitable.

>and that new ideas are always better than old ones right? March like
>the rest of the lemming right off the cliff........

History proves that those who don't accept the changes that come with
time are destined to be left behind. That's why the Constitution is
considered to be a "living" document -- it adapts to change. You,
OTOH, can't.

<snip>
>>Oh dear god, you really don't have any clue about how the government
>>works, do you? Three branches of government? Checks and balances?
>>Seperataion of powers? But why should I be suprised -- you haven't
>>even read the Constitution.
>
>I told you before. But you don't seem to know how government works.
>The legislative branch makes the laws. The executive branch enacts
>them. The judicial branch applies them. Those are your checks and
>balances.

You have verified my suspicions nicely. Thank you.

<snip>
>>Just like you never learned the basics of electronics. The problem is
>>that you can't possibly make an informed decision about who to vote
>>for if you don't even know the job description!
>
>I'm not the one who threw away my vote on a non-candidate.

The only people who waste their votes are the people who fall for any
bullshit propoganda which proclaims that not voting for a democrat or
republican is "wasting your vote".

<snip>
>>Climb down off your morality horse
>
>Morality is the foundation of any successful government. You have yet
>to learn that.

"Morality" has been the -DOWNFALL- of more governments than you can
imagine. When a government starts dictating morality is when the
people usually seek a new government -- one way or another. And the
best example of this in recent history is when the 13 colonies signed
the Declaration of Independence.

BTW, what's the name of that tech school you claim to have attended?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups

-----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2719. Wanted Delco AM/FM/CB/Cassette Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 00:05:50 UTC
From: Eagle2005 <eaglesnest1@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115249997.037171.113310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199690

Hello

As the subject says looking for those manuals which were installed in
GM 80's automobiles.
Please let me know in detail what you have and asking price.

thanks,

Greg

2720. Re: FA: TWO(2) USA 6146B RF OUTPUT TUBES Tested-Handy to have!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 00:40:47 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <42796B8B.3C4AB7CA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 199692
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

"Billy Boy (78's Rule!)" wrote:
>
> Have you ever wondered how some ruully, rully, big RF tubes would work
> as audio amplifiers? Like maybe a 3-500Z?

Think "AM broadcast band modulator". Find a copy of the old "RCA
Transmitting Tube Handbook" for some examples.

--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2721. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 00:44:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504204423.821$sh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199693

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 3 May 2005 23:24:29 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
> <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >JEEEEZZZZZZ ENOUGH OF THIS GARBAGE. Lets get back to CB'in and
> >Freebanding. QSL? <insert roger beep and\or noise toy here>
>
> Yea really. We wouldn't want to give the impression that radio people
> have areas of interest which go beyond CB radio......
>
Especially in rec.radio.cb .

2722. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 00:45:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504204548.805$mi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199744

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: deeflint01@comcast.net (Dee=A0Flint)
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com...
> >Last time I looked, the FCC regulations don't
>
> >allow CB antennas to exceed 20 feet in height.
>
> Try 60 feet in height or 20 feet above the roof.
>
I did, it works fine. :)

2723. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 00:53:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504205350.817$cv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199746

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
> news:lYBde.5634$bo3.5278814@news.sisna.com:
> I said "I am not a lawyer", at this point, if it were me, I'd be
> consulting one....
> John
>
> >John he is no lawyer either thats why his
>
> >advise sucks wind.
>
> No chance at all. Mopar was publicly invited by Dogie. -You- need to
> talk to a lawyer and have him properly explain what constitutes
> stalking, as you are misinformed. In the meantime, try not to think
> about such things.
>
Nad and dogie are all up in arms now, Twist. They thought they could
run their pie-holes behind a keyboard without consequence.

When the buzzards start circling they run to the law. This ain't
the first time Ive seen a dogie who's bark is -much- bigger than
his bite.

He won't be at the convention either.

2724. Re: Special Activations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 00:55:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504205506.191$YM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199747

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> ...are up and running on the freeband.
>
Do they auto activate my vox?

2725. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 00:59:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504205920.921$4U@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199749

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:427-4278F4CB-25
> @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > Just what did you consider the threats Dogie posted? Oh, that's right,
> > you claim you can't be sure it's him, but you can be sure it's
> > Mopar..lol.
>
> Listen real close assjuice, moped has pictures of someones house he went
> to that is a known fact, the persons house he went to may not have made
> those posts. That is also fact, now go wash off.
>
The new pope might not be catholic too. You haven't seen all the emails
with his addy on them yet either, chrissy. Disgusting shit man.

2726. SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 00:59:32 UTC
From: Big Kahuna <none@nothanks.com>
Message-ID: <none-9560DB.17593204052005@news.stanford.edu>
Source record: 199748

Ahoy.

I have a new CB and a new Wilson Lil Wil antenna. The instructions with the Lil Wil say to:

Check SWR on channel 1
Check SWR on channel 40
If channel 1 has a lower SWR than channel 40, cut 1/4" from the antenna top and measure again...continue until the SWR for channel 1 and 40 is about equal.

Channel 1 gave me a nice low 1:1.4
Channel 40 gave me a nasty 1:2.8

So I snipped off 1/4" and measured again.

Channel 1 gave me 1:1.3
Channel 40 gave me 1:2.5

So my question is, snipping off that 1/4" really improved the channel 1 SWR but only dropped channel 40 a little bit. How many 1/4" increments am I gonna hafta snip before these two equal out?

Also, I'm guessing that at some point, channel 1 is going to start to go back up (instead of improving)...should I stop there and live with whatever the higher channels are displaying? Rather than continuing to snip until 1 and 40 are about equal?

Thanks,

Kahuna

2727. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 01:01:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504210138.389$44@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199750

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:427-4278F4CB-25
> @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
> Just what did you consider the threats Dogie posted? Oh, that's right,
> you claim you can't be sure it's him, but you can be sure it's
> Mopar..lol.
> >Listen real close assjuice,
>
> Keep your mother's bodily fluids out of the topic and you'll do fine.
>
> > moped has pictures of someones house he
>
> >went to that is a known fact,
>
> Fact #1..he was invited there.
> Fact#2...it is not illegal to take pictures. See paparazzi.
>
> > the persons house he went to may not have
>
> >made those posts.
>
> Fact #3..the person who took those pictures may not be Moparholic.
> Fact #4..the person who posted those pics may no be moparholic.
>
> > That is also fact,
>
> Nope. Your useof the term "may" excludes it from being a fact. A fact is
> certain, you are not.
>
> >now go wash off
>
> Yes, you may now go wash off or whatever it is you do after the behavior
> that has you preoccupied with
> the term 'ass' and a penchant for speaking of bodily fluids when
> speaking to other men.
>
Chrissy sees the same buzzards. The 'another keyboard coward' club (AKC)
is all up in arms now. hehehe

2728. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 01:33:07 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nJeee.26407$V02.26401@fe08.lga>
Source record: 199753

Freeband sucks wrote:
> On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:17:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>
>>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>>On Wed, 4 May 2005 12:02:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>right now. I'm still listening.
>>
>>
>>>are they using spread spectrum?
>>
>>You're beginning to convince the contingency you don't even understand
>>the technology of that you speak.
>
>
> i guess they are using part 15 radios, or uhf then?
> because they are not using 11 meter spread spectrum
wtf?

2729. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 01:50:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ltui71li2aseao40qvjqk63sbn6lpqcdl8@4ax.com>
Source record: 199754

On Wed, 04 May 2005 17:59:32 -0700, Big Kahuna <none@nothanks.com> wrote:

>Ahoy.
>
>I have a new CB and a new Wilson Lil Wil antenna. The instructions with
>the Lil Wil say to:
>
>Check SWR on channel 1
>Check SWR on channel 40
>If channel 1 has a lower SWR than channel 40, cut 1/4" from the antenna
>top and measure again...continue until the SWR for channel 1 and 40 is
>about equal.
>
>Channel 1 gave me a nice low 1:1.4
>Channel 40 gave me a nasty 1:2.8

Nasty?

>
>So I snipped off 1/4" and measured again.
>
>Channel 1 gave me 1:1.3
>Channel 40 gave me 1:2.5
>
>So my question is, snipping off that 1/4" really improved the channel 1
>SWR but only dropped channel 40 a little bit. How many 1/4" increments
>am I gonna hafta snip before these two equal out?
>
>Also, I'm guessing that at some point, channel 1 is going to start to go
>back up (instead of improving)...should I stop there and live with
>whatever the higher channels are displaying? Rather than continuing to
>snip until 1 and 40 are about equal?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Kahuna

Vinnie S.

2730. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 01:53:32 UTC
From: Buzzard <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504215332.144$RB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199755

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> Nasty?
>
Nasty azz ewe wanna be? ($1-two live)

2731. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 02:15:20 UTC
From: easynuh <easynuhman@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9hfee.4177$Fm.2654@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 199756

Keep clipping, chn 1 may go up, but even if it goes up don't go over 1.5,
then depends on where you will talk more, 1-20 or 20-40 try to keep that
section below 1.5.
Rich.

"Big Kahuna" <none@nothanks.com> wrote in message
news:none-9560DB.17593204052005@news.stanford.edu...
> Ahoy.
>
> I have a new CB and a new Wilson Lil Wil antenna. The instructions with
> the Lil Wil say to:
>
> Check SWR on channel 1
> Check SWR on channel 40
> If channel 1 has a lower SWR than channel 40, cut 1/4" from the antenna
> top and measure again...continue until the SWR for channel 1 and 40 is
> about equal.
>
> Channel 1 gave me a nice low 1:1.4
> Channel 40 gave me a nasty 1:2.8
>
> So I snipped off 1/4" and measured again.
>
> Channel 1 gave me 1:1.3
> Channel 40 gave me 1:2.5
>
> So my question is, snipping off that 1/4" really improved the channel 1
> SWR but only dropped channel 40 a little bit. How many 1/4" increments
> am I gonna hafta snip before these two equal out?
>
> Also, I'm guessing that at some point, channel 1 is going to start to go
> back up (instead of improving)...should I stop there and live with
> whatever the higher channels are displaying? Rather than continuing to
> snip until 1 and 40 are about equal?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Kahuna

2732. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 02:58:12 UTC
From: Buzzard <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050504225812.679$SF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> > From: deeflint01@comcast.net (Dee=A0Flint)
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:iACde.6465$nA3.5309589@news.sisna.com...
> > >Last time I looked, the FCC regulations don't
> >
> > >allow CB antennas to exceed 20 feet in height.
> >
> > Try 60 feet in height or 20 feet above the roof.
> >
> I did, and it works just fine. :)

2733. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 03:11:17 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <vradnURPzbKUDeTfRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 199758

WWWWOOOOOWWW Dual finals.
Hell give me a TS 50 it has dual finals with 200 watts p-p and clean. Danm it even works the freeband with no power loss. Oh thats right it does not roger beep, shit I do not know what to do without roger beep.

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:429-4278F072-1@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net...
From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
>(Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk!

..says the chickenhawk :)

2734. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 03:27:30 UTC
From: tylernt@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1115263650.095552.43520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199759

Anything below 1:1.5 is fine. I'd say, keep snipping. Even if you use the lower channels most, you should keep clipping at least until you get channel 40 under 1:2 so you don't hurt anything on the rare occasion you do want to go all the way up to 40.

2735. Attic antenna not doing much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 03:56:02 UTC
From: tylernt@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1115265362.382788.289700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199760

So I bought a magmount CB antenna from Radio Schmack and just stuck it in my front room for testing purposes, connected to a Midland 77-104 . Using a borrowed handheld CB, range was barely half a mile (I live in a city), but that could have just been the handheld.

I have the magmount up in the attic on a cookie sheet now. I don't have the handheld anymore for testing purposes, but I have heard nothing on channel 9 or 19 for several days, even after soliciting contacts a few times. I've got someone with a CB about 6 miles away and I have a freeway (i.e., truckers) also about 6 miles away... still can't make contact with anyone.

Is 6 miles out of reach for an attic magmount? Do I need a better ground plane or should I just give it up as a lost cause?

2736. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 04:07:20 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115266040.666216.217220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199761

WHAT Puerto Rico? I don't here a THING on Freeband, let alone on the
"normal" CB channels. <insert roger beep and/or echo, and noise toy
here>
Over and out.

2737. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 04:11:27 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115266287.888892.107440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199762

Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!

2738. Re: Attic antenna not doing much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 04:33:21 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6q7j71pfld7gbktiggsmi33v5u4k110kua@4ax.com>
Source record: 199763

On 4 May 2005 20:56:02 -0700, tylernt@gmail.com wrote in
<1115265362.382788.289700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>Is 6 miles out of reach for an attic magmount?

If it's on a cookie sheet? Probably.

> Do I need a better
>ground plane or should I just give it up as a lost cause?

First, lose the mag-mount. Trade it in on the tallest whip you can fit
in your attic and a standard 3/8-24 stud mount that you can secure to
a beam or the attic floor. Then scrounge all the wire you can, connect
them to the ground (shield) connection on the mount, and string them
out for ground radials. The more the better. Don't worry about cutting
them to any specific length, but make them as long as possible. If you
have the entire attic floor to play with, use it. The following file
might help:

www.bencher.com/pdfs/00361ZZV.pdf

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2739. Re: Important please read this Thank you!

`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 06:03:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qGiee.5618$vg4.6370341@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199764
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Ronald:

Don't let these guys get to ya, I'll say a prayer for ya--so maybe you can
quit your bad habits--such as, "Spamming for God!"

John

"Maple1" <aerophoto@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:4279A300.C535662E@shaw.ca...
|
AHHH

| > The question is: Are you saved?
| >
| > It is not a question of how good you are,
| > nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?
| >
| > Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?
| >
| > The reason some people don't know for sure if they
| > are going to Heaven when they die is because they
| > just don't know.
| >
| > The good news is that you can know for sure that
| > you are going to Heaven.
| >
| > The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful
| > place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.
| >
| > God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is
| > to be saved so that we can live forever with Him
| > in Heaven.
| >
| > "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord
| > and believe in your heart that God raised Him from
| > the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
| >
| > Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth
| > in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood
| > and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.
| >
| > Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to
| > a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
| > life for thirty-three years.
| >
| > At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
| > had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
| > Jesus was crucified.
| >
| > Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was
| > placed in a grave Jesus rose from the dead as Jesus
| > said would happen before Jesus died.
| >
| > If someone tells you that they are going to die and
| > then three days later come back to life and it
| > actually happens then this person must be the
| > real deal.
| >
| > Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
| > perfect sinless life.
| >

| > This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
| > with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.
| >
| > The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have
| > redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of
| > sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
| >
| > If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
| > present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
| > your Lord and Saviour.
| >
| > It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
| > things that you have done in your life including
| > lying and stealing all the way up to murder.
| >
| > Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean
| > it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.
| >
| > Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
| > have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
| > with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
| > me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
| > died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
| > that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
| > and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
| > Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
| > me through Your merciful grace. Amen.
| >
| > Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
| > to save you.
| >
| > Now you are a real Christian and you can know for
| > sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this
| > life comes to an end.
| >
| > As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing),
| > but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear
| > children, I write this to you so that you will not
| > sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
| > to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ,
| > the Righteous One."
| >
| > Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
| > trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
| > chance to do so because you do not know when you
| > will die.
| >
| > Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life:
| > no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but

| > by me." (John 14:6)
| >
| > This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus
| > Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your
| > sins and be forever separated from the love of God in
| > a place called Hell.
| >
| > The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
| > torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
| > have rejected Jesus Christ.
| >
| > The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
| > Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
| > have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
| > what happens you are on your way to Heaven.
| >
| > Praise the Lord!
| > Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
| > Ronald L. Grossi
| >
| > * Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.
| >
| > * This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.
| >
| > * Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.
| >
| > `���...���`���...���`���.?..���`���...���`���..���`
| >
| > Got Questions?
| > http://www.gotquestions.org/archive.html
| >
| > Other Languages
| > http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
| >
| > Free Movie: To Hell and Back
| > http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
| >
| > Animation
| > http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html
| >
| > The Passion Of The Christ
| > http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
| >
| > Beware Of Cults
| > http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
| >
| > About Hell
| > http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
| >

| > Is Jesus God?
| > http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
| >
| > Free Online Bible
| > http://www.biblegateway.com
| >
| > `���...���`���...���`���.?..���`���...���`���..���`

2740. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 07:14:00 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115277240.631828.161120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199765

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
> >(Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk!
>
>
You will also have the same luck as mopathetic, twitlips...AKC is heterosexual, give it up and go back to FUGGLY kid.

2741. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 07:15:48 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115277348.287187.176170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199766

Only in the sense that keyclowns spread for anyone in the spectrum.

2742. Re: Important please read this Thank you!

`°o.._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.?_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.?_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°`
`°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.?_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.?_.o°`°o._.o°`°o._.o°` `°o._.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 07:17:11 UTC
From: Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY! <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115277431.008673.142970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199767
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Chukkee, you already give religion a bad name. Christians don't make
gun threats. You do.

2743. Where is mopathetic camping in Dayton?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 07:20:31 UTC
From: mopathetic is scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115277631.228174.297020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199768

mopathetic wont tell, he is a coward. See, when things are on even terms mopathetic whines and weasels. All we wanna do is introduce ourselves.

2744. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 10:56:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <vrtj719coj467ugesv5cqrnmt3gefdadp8@4ax.com>
Source record: 199769

On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:38:04 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Nope, it certainly isn't. But the fact that you do not understand the
>definition of "tangible" certainly is your problem.
>
>>You asked for it. Pay particular attention to
>
>>definition #3:
>
>
>Why? Does it somehow discount #1 and #2?

No, but it does apply specifically to this situation.

>
>>tanï¿½giï¿½ble ï¿½ (P) Pronunciation Key (tnj-bl)
>>adj.

>>1 a. Discernible by the touch; palpable: a
>>tangible roughness of the skin.
>>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½b. Possible to touch.

>>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½c. Possible to be treated as fact; real or
>>concrete: tangible evidence.

>>2. Possible to understand or realize: the
>>tangible benefits of the plan.
>
>>ï¿½ï¿3. Law. That can be valued monetarily:
>>tangible property.
>
>
>
>LAW? Bullshit....THE definitive source accepted worldwide by the AP is
>the AP Stylebook. Others may refer to the ONLY other acceptable
>source,,Websters Encyclopedic Unabridged
>Dictionary of the English Language. Neither of these contain the word
>"law". In fact, I checked a few online definitions and none of those
>contain the word "law".

Typical of you. When you are shown the error of your ways, your first
defense is to attack the sources. When you claim that I am wrong on a
particular usage of a word, and I provide the definition which
supports my usage, you attack my dictionary. When you claimed that the
last sunspot cycle was the strongest, and I provided, not one, but

several web sites which claimed the opposite, you then claimed that
those sources were all wrong and the only definitive source was a
place in Belgium, which (not so) coincidentally has no web site to
either confirm or deny your claim. When you made a claim that
littering was a felony in Florida, and I provided a link to the
Florida statute which showed that littering is a simple summary
offense, you made some obscure claim about "greenways", presumably as
allusion to a special environmental situation where an infraction
would be considered a felony. But that's not simple littering.

You are doing it again, with your attempt to weasel out of yet another
of your erroneous claims. My source, and you can read it yourself, is
easy:

http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=tangible

>
>>Is not the RF spectrum given a monetary
>>value by virtue of the FCC auctioning it off to
>>.the highest commercial bidders?
>
>Monetary value is not the same as tangible.

It certainly can be construed as such. The FCC seems to think so.

>
>>That makes it a tangible asset.
>
>No,,you may not touch it,,you may not fell it, as a requirement by
>virtue of the definition.

Being able to physically touch something is only ONE aspect of the
definition of tangible. It is not the ONLY one.

>>No different than a piece of property.
>
>
>Very different, but you are apt to believe that the something "tangible"
>can be something one can not grasp or feel.

No, that's only part of it. Tangible is also applicable to something
that can be realized and treated as fact. It does not have to have
physical properties.

>You're wrong. The be-all
>definition and final word of this definition you refer is as quoted:
>-
> " Of an asset having actual physical existence, as real estate or
>chattels, and (note the wording. It says 'AND", not "or")
> therefore being assigned a value in monetary terms". Something
>tangible, a tangible asset."

Again, that's only ONE aspect of it.

-
>Again, in order for something to be tangible, it needs physical
>properties one can touch, discernible by material or substance.

No, it doesn't.

> The
>sepctrum does not meet those parameters and you merely added the term
>"law" on your own to the definition.

Boy are you in for a plate of crow. Go to the site that I provided,
and see for your self.

Apology accepted.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2745. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 11:09:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4vuj715se0ru18d9me3ap8unaja7jeekhq@4ax.com>
Source record: 199770

On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:43:08 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 3 May 2005 09:39:13 -0700, "John Smith"
><assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>The car, as is the radio spectrum, mine!!! My car is NOT the DMV's, my
>radio spectrum is NOT the FCC's....
>>The FCC owns the rights to the radio
>>spectrum in this country.
>
>
>That is ludicrous. They do not. They merely are charged with
>administrating such. The spectrum does not stop at the borders.

No, but while inside the borders, you will pay (Sometimes dearly) the
FCC for the right to play on the airwaves. Ask any cell phone company
owner/administrator.

>>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum
>>to people with a legitimate need. It's no
>>different than government owned land.
>
>
>Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were
>already taught.

Yes, it is different in some ways, but the ways that are similar are
what I am talking about. It's a fact that the FCC sells off chunks of
spectrum to commercial interests, sometimes for outrageous amounts. If
the FCC was not in the position to claim "ownership" of that spectrum,
how could they auction it off?

>>Your car is yours as is your radio gear. But the
>>privilege to operate both is granted by the
>>government, and can be revoked for the
>>proper cause.
>
>
>Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate
>my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.

Right! On you own land. But venture out on the public street, and they
have all the authority. Same goes for radio. If you can somehow
prevent your signal from escaping the borders of your property (Which
is covered by FCC Part 15), you could do what you want. Once those

signals escape into the public venue, they are under the control of
the federal government.

>>Another way to look at it, You own your car,
>>but not the roads you drive on.
>
>
>
>Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.

And administered by the government.

>>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves
>>you broadcast on.
>
>Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.

For all practical purposes, yes they do in this country.

You do not have a "right" to transmit beyond the confines of your own
property. You are granted a "privilege" to do so by the government in
the proxy of the FCC. As a condition of that privilege comes your
responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in various FCC parts
depending on which service you are using.

You may not like it, but that's the way it is.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2746. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 11:15:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hovj71pu6vv2qvmakb891cu74jr5ch04ud@4ax.com>
Source record: 199771

On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:11:27 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>>Most cops would not bother to write someone
>
>>a ticket for not coming completely to a stop
>
>>and waiting the required 3 seconds before
>
>>proceeding at a stop sign.
>
>
>They will bust your ass here in the high tourist area for that exact
>offense. There are way too many bicyclists and pedestrians around here
>and the cops vehemently enforce what is commonly known as the
>"California Stop" or the "Rolling Stop" through a stop sign.

No argument (and I'll keep that in mind for the next time I visit
there). I'm sure it is very much "area dependant". It is also at the
discretion of the cop. The letter of the law gives them the authority
to be as strict as they want in applying the law. But just like
speeding, there are enough blatant violators out there that they don't
have to nit pick with those borderline cases. Cops don't want to risk
citing someone like Landshark who actually is savvy enough to win his
case. At least not in my area. But I live in a semi-rural area, where
there is more likely to be horse drawn wagons than hordes of
pedestrians.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2747. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 11:21:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <e20k71lks8ju81h9fc74o2p2vq055o6sar@4ax.com>
Source record: 199772

On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:50:33 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Dave Hall (N3CVJ) Jr. wrote:
>(That "degree of morality" is established by society, not you, and not
>any religious activist group. The overwhelming majority of people (>99%)
>feel that murder, sex crimes, etc are 'immoral'. But there isn't much of
>a majority condemning homosexuality. -That's- how you make a "relative
>value judgment".)
>
>>Based on what statistics?
>
>No statistics..just votes making laws giving the queers equal rights.

What votes? When have we voted on anything remotely resembling such?
Most "gay friendly" laws have come as a result of judicial rulings as
a result of a circuit court's interpretation. A duty which is beyond
the scope of their responsibilities. It's up to the legislature to
make such laws.

>The fact that states are now permitting same sex unions is another
>example.

A few states have enacted such legislation. Several other states have
definitively banned them. And some of those states have done so by
popular vote, and have won by a significant majority.

> Your current batch of thugs in Washington is also catering to
>the gays ever since there was found to be so many of them in the hiarchy
>of the current administration.

Catering? In what way?

Dave
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2748. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 12:06:44 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <R1oee.4466$Ri4.3138@okepread07>
Source record: 199773

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

> From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
> Freeband sucks wrote:
> where can we find one?
>
>>His version of spread-spectrum is turning that
>>power microphone *way* up, that way, your
>>signal spreads out.
>
>>Sorry, had to get that in there...
>
>>Guy
>
> Hey,,don't be sorry. You serve a valuable lesson: you reinforce the
> ignorance of select hammies who are licensed for communications but are
> clueless and incompetent when it comes to such. Perhaps that's why you
> feel the need to say such things about others, after all, if you were
> confident and satisfied in your abilities in life, there would be no
> need for such actions toward others. Carry on, my child.

There it is...

Without knowing anything about me, without knowing what I do for a living, without knowing the things I've done over the past three decades in the field of computers and radio, without knowing who I am, you make a huge jump in logic and begin to personnally attack me verbally. You couldn't just laugh with me and then drop it, could you?

You've shown me that you do know something. You're able to convey meaning over these posts. The trouble is, the meaning you convey creates a sense of ill-will and *you know it*. You don't add anything of value to these posts. You've made it clear you are not a team player. You do it because of the false sense of superiority created when you come out with these baseless one-liners and cause confusion, anger, chaos. You think you're superior because you don't follow the rules. You don't feel you need to follow the rules. You think you're above the rules. You think rules are created for just *some* people. You think rules are for the ignorant. You are not ignorant, therefore you feel obligated to disobey the rules to make a statement.

I'll be the first to admit there are some hams who are clueless these days. But at least they've proven a certain level of knowledge by passing a simple test. Hams have shown they can meet *some* standard (albeit not much of a standard these days) compared to others in society. You seem to be a reasonably smart guy, why don't you get a ham license? Just because

you're a ham doesn't mean you can't continue FREEBANDING. Getting a ham license will only increase your opportunities. You've shown you can talk the talk but for some reason refuse to walk the talk. Unless you can write something down and explain why you refuse to walk the talk, I have to come to the conclusion you can't walk the talk.

The difference between CBers and Hams is patently obvious to anyone who tunes around HF.

The next step is name calling. After that comes the vandalism. When I catch you vandalizing my property you will attack me physically. When I defend myself, your lawyer will say I violated your rights.

You win, oh great one, you win.

Go ahead, get in at least one more personal attack. Go ahead, tell me I can't count sentences. Go ahead, make another statement about how CBers are superior to hams. Go ahead, tell me the reason you don't get a ham license is because hams are "poopie-heads." Go ahead, insert, "I know you are but what am I?" in between each of the above paragraphs. Go ahead, ask me some stupid questions, and when I don't answer them, use them in future "one-liner" posts to show how ignorant hams are.

SK

Sincerely, with warmest regards and the best of intentions,
Guy

2749. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 12:44:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <j65k71tp8susmfidt9ks1kpq66qm1ck10m@4ax.com>
Source record: 199774

On 05 May 2005 01:53:32 GMT, Buzzard <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Nasty?
>>
>Nasty azz ewe wanna be? ($1-two live)

I misread his post. The Little Wil's are notorius for wicked SWR, and so-so performance. He was probably better off with the Wilson 1000 or the K40. Both are superior antennas to that little wil.

Vinnie S.

2750. Re: Attic antenna not doing much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 12:49:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <1b5k71pl1caejtbeoctritd88t90jg540h@4ax.com>
Source record: 199775

On 4 May 2005 20:56:02 -0700, tylernt@gmail.com wrote:

>So I bought a magmount CB antenna from Radio Schmack and just stuck it
>in my front room for testing purposes, connected to a Midland 77-104 .
>Using a borrowed handheld CB, range was barely half a mile (I live in a
>city), but that could have just been the handheld.
>
>I have the magmount up in the attic on a cookie sheet now. I don't have
>the handheld anymore for testing purposes, but I have heard nothing on
>channel 9 or 19 for several days, even after soliciting contacts a few
>times. I've got someone with a CB about 6 miles away and I have a
>freeway (i.e., truckers) also about 6 miles away... still can't make
>contact with anyone.
>
>Is 6 miles out of reach for an attic magmount? Do I need a better
>ground plane or should I just give it up as a lost cause?

I have a 6 foot Firestick in the attic, but I have 8 wires as a ground plane. They are about 9 feet long. I did OK, and even shot skip. But I figured 10 miles was tops locally. I am installing a Imax in a tree on Sat and Sun. SO the Firestick will be out of service. My suggestion is if you have limited space, use a dipole which would be about 18 feet long, and you can hang in horizontally along the peak of your attic. It should improve your local contacts. Not great, but better than a magmount.

Vinnie S.

2751. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 13:32:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <be0k71lrjtqh4nemri28n2b8c2uam5ub8s@4ax.com>
Source record: 199776

On Wed, 04 May 2005 16:44:59 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 04 May 2005 09:09:37 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <ldfh71dc4t1gi9u0l4q28hnhui1a6f984e@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>><snip>
>>>>If you lead a monogamous lifestyle and do not engage in dangerous
>>>>recreational habits, your chances of contracting AIDS is minuscule.
>>>
>>>
>>>HIV doesn't care if you are gay or straight.
>>
>>No, but how you get it most definitely depends on how sexually
>>promiscuous you are.
>
>
>No it doesn't. It only takes one exposure to get the bug.

And if you and your partner are monogamous, this is highly unlikely.

>>>And maybe you missed the
>>>boat on this one too, but a lot of people got the disease from blood
>>>transfusions.
>>
>>If you read the link I provided, you'd find that the percentage of
>>those people are less than 2%.
>
>
>I suppose those are acceptable losses, huh?

Whether or not they are "acceptable" is irrelevant to this discussion.

><snip>
>>>Not just the First Amendment, Dave. The concept is reflected in the
>>>main body of the Constitution; "...no religious test shall ever be
>>>required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the
>>>United States," a clause which was unanimously adopted by the
>>>Constitutional Convention.
>>
>>Yet the democrats are using this exact criteria to DENY appointees to
>>the court. In their minds, a strong belief in faith should be regarded
>>as a reason to disqualify someone from serving in public office.
>
>

>You are -totally- off your rocker, Dave.

Am I? I guess you haven't been following the struggle for the
appointment of judicial nominees. It is quite obvious that the ones
who the dems oppose the most are people with a strong religious
faith.

Some light reading for you to come up to speed on this issues.

http://www.federalistjournal.com/fedblog/?cat=3

http://quante.blogspot.com/2005/04/you-cant-legislate-morality.html

http://www.captainsquartersblog.com/mt/archives/cat_national_politics.php

>>>This country was founded on the principle of religious freedom (as
>>>well as other important principles). After being forced by England to
>>>practice only one religion (the religion of the state), the new
>>>Americans wanted people to have the freedom to practice religion
>>>according to their belief, be it Christianity, Judaism, Islam,
>>>Bhuddism, Taoism, Monoanimism..... or even no religion at all.
>>>
>>>The USA is not, nor has it ever been, a Christian state.
>>
>>Not "officially",
>
>
>Or "unofficially".

The majority of Christian citizens would probably disagree.

>> but our whole government is littered with Christian
>>references. The ten commandments in judicial buildings.
>
>
>As well as religious symbols of other faiths.

Such as?

>
>
>> The swearing
>>on the Bible,
>
>
>And here's proof that you have never read the Constitution -- the
>passage I quoted above is in direct reference to the requirement for
>an Oath of Affirmation.

What passage have you quoted? And how does that diminish the fact that
swearing on the bible is a confirmation that Judeo-Christian
influences have been intertwined in our government from the beginning?

>> and others. Things that have been here for many years,
>>yet liberals are now fighting to have removed.
>
>
>Better late than never.

Says you. I look at it as heading down the wrong path. Sort of like
the de-evolution of CB radio over the last 35 years.

>
>
><snip>
>>> It doesn't
>>>change the color of your house, the mileage of your car, or how fast
>>>the weeds grow in your garden. It doesn't affect you in any way except
>>>the way you feel.
>>
>>It tarnishes the sanctity of marriage. If you are someone who does not
>>believe strongly in anything, then nothing can affect you. Who was it
>>that said that "those who will not stand for anything, stand for
>>nothing"?
>
>
>Unlike you, I believe in the Constitution.

No, you don't. Like other leftists, you wear the constitution like a
badge of honor when it suits you, but conveniently ignore the parts
that do not further your agenda.

>>>And -you- are responsible for the way you feel, not -anybody- else.
>>>There is nothing in the Constitution that dictates how a person should
>>>feel. If gay marriage weakens the value of your marriage, it does so
>>>only because you let it, and that's nobody's fault but your own.
>>
>>It diminishes the value of the whole institution. It's not much
>>different in principle than earning a college degree. If they change
>>the requirements for a college degree to only needing 2 years of
>>course study, then it diminishes the value of that degree for those
>>who put in their 4 years.
>
>
>If you are so worried about the "institution" of marriage then you
>have bigger issues than gays. In case you haven't noticed, nearly half
>of all marriages end in divorce,

Not true. You are not keeping current.

http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls

> and a large number of people get
>married more than once. There is also the issue of polygamy -- if you
>are going to claim that marriage is a religious value or that it is
>traditionally monogamous then you better change your tune because

>that's not the case. For example, King Solomon (a "servant of God")
>had 600 wives and 900 concubines... or was it 900 wives and 600
>concubines.... whichever, it doesn't really matter. The point is that
>the "traditional Christian marriage" is just as much a farce in
>definition as it is in practice; and gay marriage has far less impact
>on it's "value" than legalized divorce, secular ceremonies, Joseph
>Smith, or even the holy doctrine of your own faith.

Typical tactic. Justify a particular abhorrent behavior by making
unrelated comparisons to other abhorrent behaviors.

A traditional monogamous marriage has been the norm for over 1000
years. At no time in our history was a same sex union ever been
justified. The fact that polygamy might have been acceptable once does
not mean that a gay marriage should be now. Your comparison of such is
an example of a weak analogy logical fallacy.

>
>
>>>If your bigoted brain had any intelligence you would realize that gay
>>>marriage would -strengthen- the value of your marriage because it
>>>provides a contrast to your own definition of the union.
>>
>>How does diluting an institution strengthen it?
>
>
>Referring back to your "college degree" analogy, if the standards are
>lowered then my degree becomes more valuable.

Only to you. After a few years go by, everyone will then consider a BS
degree to be a 2 year course. You will have to remind people (and by
doing so be construed as bragging in much the same way that a 20 WPM
Extra reminds people that he passed a tougher code exam than the
current 5 WPM extra) of the fact that you had an additional 2 years of
study, thereby propping up your perceived value. The public at large
will not be immediately aware of your "extra" work. Therefore it has
diminished in value.

> Here's another analogy:
>If some of the auto makers start making cars of lower quality, what
>happens to the value of the vehicles made by other manufacturers? Duh.

That's not a good analogy in this situation. For this analogy to be
applicable, you would have to offer 2 different "marriage systems".
One allowing gays, and one not. Then a relative value comparison
between two distinct entities can accurately be assessed.

>>> But that's
>>>not the case. You just hate homosexuals. It's as simple as that, isn't
>>>it, Dave?
>>
>>It's easy for people like you to vilify and demonize any opposing

>>views as hate. That's an overly simplistic justification and usually a
>>sign of someone who's afraid to take on the topic and discuss it to
>>the level that's needed. A common tactic employed by liberals. Are you
>>SURE you're not a liberal Frank?
>>
>>I don't "hate" anyone Frank.
>
>
>Yes you do. You hate homosexuals. You also hate blacks.

What? Now who's playing crystal ball Frank? Or are you simply
projecting?

> It's written
>all over your posts despite your efforts to hide the fact. You can
>justify your hatred any way you want -- even to yourself. But the more
>you write about such subjects, the harder you try to skirt an open
>admission, and the easier it is to see the bigotry in your writings.

Where have I ever made any statement about blacks in a negative way?

You are yet again adopting liberal "debate" topics by demonizing the
opposition by referring to them with words that end in -ist. The
tactic of course is to silence opposition, rather than encourage open
debate of the issues. By branding the opposition with such a label as
"racist" you attempt to discredit any perspective that they may have
by virtue of a perceived badge of unworthiness.

There are problems within many of the ethnic, racial and gender-based
communities. Calling attention to these issues does not make one
(Insert word here) -ist.

I also noticed that you snipped the part of your last response where
you called me a "holy roller religious wacko". After retrospection,
I'm sure you realized that in making such a statement, you are
practicing the very same intolerance and bigotry for other viewpoints
as you had accused me of doing, thereby exposing your own hypocrisy.

But I did notice.

>It's that 'perception window' thing I suggested you read about. Or
>haven't you found that book yet?
>
>
>> But I do acknowledge that homosexuals are
>>biologically and mentally anomalous. It's not "normal" behavior no
>>matter how much anyone wishes to sugar coat it. I also resent efforts
>>to "normalize" obvious abnormal behavior rather than trying harder to
>>correct it.
>
>
>There is nothing in the Constitution that requires a person to be
>"normal".

The constitution has nothing to do with the institution of marriage.
That is a bond formed before God.

> In fact, the world would be a pretty boring place if
>everyone fit within one standard deviation.

But a lot easier to live in.

> But the Constitution
>-does- say that you can do anything you want as long as it doesn't
>infringe on anyone else's rights. So how does gay marriage infringe on
>your rights, Dave?

No, it doesn't say that. That is your openly liberal interpretation of
it.

>
>
><snip>
>>>I see you slept through history -and- science.
>>
>>No, actually those were my strongest subjects. I had to explain to my
>>4th grade teacher how nuclear fission worked. I have also studied the
>>intricacies of our planet and its ecosystem. It is far too complex to
>>have evolved totally randomly.
>
>
>That's an argument used by primitive civilations to explain things
>which they do not understand.

No, magic is usually used to explain that.

> If you want to be a part of an
>enlightened society, the first thing you need to learn is that
>complexity does not require divine providence.

But the synergistic and symbiotic nature of our complex ecosystem is
such that suggestions that it all evolved randomly is a ludicrous
position to take. Order does not come from chaos without help.

This is not an endorsement for any particular religion. Just an
observation that the probability is high, that there has been an
intelligent hand guiding the development of life on this planet.

What facts can you point to that discounts the likelihood of an
intelligent design?

>>> You have just as much right to declare that you
>>>are a Christian (or whatever warped permutation of religion you have
>>>adopted to validate your "core beliefs"). And no group of Christians,
>>>no matter how large, has the right to impose it's version of morality
>>>on me.
>>
>>Nor do you have the right to denounce any display of religiously
>>inspired morality.

>
>
>I have just as much right to denouce it as you do to display it. It's
>called "freedom of speech".

And it works both ways, much to the consternation of liberals all
around.

> But that freedom doesn't extend to the
>point that it conflicts with the constitutional precept that seperates
>church and state.

There is no place in the constitution where it calls for "separation
of church and state". What we have is the establishment clause, which
only states that the government shall not establish any religion as a
"state" religion, or prohibit the free exercise of religion. Nowhere
does it say that matters of state shall not have religious inspiration
or influence.

You haven't truly read it have you?

>
>
>>> This is 21st Century America, not the dark ages or the Spanish
>>>Inquisition. But it -is- your business to abide by the Constitution
>>>the United States, which clearly states that religion has no place in
>>>this government. If that makes you feel bad, tuff shit. Learn to live
>>>with it or get out. I would highly recommend the latter.
>>
>>I just wish all those sore losers at the end of the 2004 election
>>would make good on their threats to leave this country and join
>>Canada. Then this country can return to more traditional values.
>
>
>Yeah, it was a whole lot better when women didn't have the right to
>vote, the blacks that weren't slaves had their own schools and public
>facilities, chemical waste could be dumped anywhere, working 16/7 for
>just enough to eat..... those were the good ol' days, huh?

Another typical liberal tactic. The use of extreme strawman
comparisons in order to polarize and vilify the opposing position.
These strawman examples bare a sight resemblance or relationship to
the main topic, but are a weaker argument and very easy to knock down
and discredit, (And by the false analogy fallacy, associate to the
main topic) thereby avoiding discussing the main points of the debate.

>>>Rock and roll is here to stay, Dave.
>>
>>Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,
>
>
>Wrong. I believe that change is inevitable.

Even if it's bad? Is it not our right to oppose bad changes? Is it civically responsible to allow bad things to happen because "change is inevitable"? That smacks of a cop out to me.

>>and that new ideas are always better than old ones right? March like
>>the rest of the lemmings right off the cliff........
>
>
>History proves that those who don't accept the changes that come with
>time are destined to be left behind.

That's why the Catholic Church is still here. It's survived some pretty radical social changes over the years.

> That's why the Constitution is
>considered to be a "living" document -- it adapts to change.

When? If you are a true constitutionalist, as you have claimed, then how can you, on one hand, claim to uphold the values and insight of the framers, and on the other hand, claim that the constitution should "adapt to the changing times". Either the constitution is a document of incredible insight and wisdom, that remains timeless, or it is a "living document" which can change its meaning at any time, including changes to prohibit such things as gay marriage.

So which is it?

Another in a series of contradictions in your logic Frank.

> You,
>OTOH, can't.

Eu Contraire. I see the big picture all too clearly. I am just amused at guys like you who spin your wheels defending little pieces of it. You are too engrossed in the "nuts and bolts" aspects to be able to transcend above that.

><snip>
>>>Just like you never learned the basics of electronics. The problem is
>>>that you can't possibly make an informed decision about who to vote
>>>for if you don't even know the job description!
>>
>>I'm not the one who threw away my vote on a non-candidate.
>
>
>The only people who waste their votes are the people who fall for any
>bullshit propoganda which proclaims that not voting for a democrat or
>republican is "wasting your vote".

Yet you voted for a what amounts to socialist. What does that say about your principles, and support of democracy?

><snip>
>>>Climb down off your morality horse
>>

>>Morality is the foundation of any successful government. You have yet
>>to learn that.
>
>
>"Morality" has been the -DOWNFALL- of more governments than you can
>imagine.

Really? Name some.

> When a government starts dictating morality is when the
>people usually seek a new government -- one way or another. And the
>best example of this in recent history is when the 13 colonies signed
>the Declaration of Independence.

The United States came to be when they adopted their own
interpretation of morality. But the government of Great Britain did
not cease to exist as a result of it.

There's a difference between intrinsic morality, and a desperate
attempt to reign in an out of control population by legislating it.
Once you get to that level, your done. We are gradually heading that
way.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2752. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 13:44:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <k48k71lfj32hds0t5omk2s8b8i6fq79o4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 199778

On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:20:49 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>>Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of
>>a creator or, more generally, the concept of
>
>>intelligent design.
>
>
>Science is based on logic. Nowhere does science support your position.

Well, one of two possibilities exist. Either the earth cooled, formed
water, created primordial amino acids which somehow morphed into
single celled life, which then somehow determined the need to further
specialize and diversify, and all species evolved from there. Somehow
they knew that we'd need plants to make oxygen, for the animals that
need it. Some species would become food for others. All of this raises
many questions, the biggest of which is what force drove these single
celled organisms to improve and specialize themselves? What drives
evolution? Can accidental random mutation answer these questions
satisfactorily.

The other possibility is that our existence was carefully guided by an
intelligent force. Applying Occam's razor, which scenario is easier
to believe?

>
>>Our whole ecosystem, the intricate
>>specialization of the various functions of our
>>bodies and other aspects of nature are far too
>>complex to have occurred and evolved at
>>random.
>
>
>Now THAT is one hell of a subjective opinion.

Yes, but it based on probability.

>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
>mistake for "random".

But what drives evolution? If random mutations are the basis for
evolution, then what prevents "bad mutations" or several different
mutations from leading us down even more diverse paths?

Natural selection only answers some of those questions.

>>There is simply not enough order in chaos for
>>this to happen.
>
>
> You are claiming this oxymoron (chaos in order) does not exist. I
>agree.
>Whiole Darwin's theory has yet to be proved because of a single missing
>link, it is the most widely accepted scholarly and scientific (IE:
>logic, logical) belief.

There is much scientific evidence to support the theory of evolution.
I am not trying to discount it at all. Quite the opposite, I totally
endorse the concept of evolution. The difference is that I believe
that evolution was "helped" along by an outside intelligence.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2753. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 13:45:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ir8k71t3104448qug0homhe3k16pnaqjs0@4ax.com>
Source record: 199777

On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
<cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
>sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
>CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!

What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2754. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 13:54:47 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@my_nospam_realbox.com>
Message-ID: <d5d8j7$kk41@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 199780

Big Kahuna wrote:
> Ahoy.
>
> I have a new CB and a new Wilson Lil Wil antenna. The instructions with
> the Lil Wil say to:
>
> Check SWR on channel 1
> Check SWR on channel 40
> If channel 1 has a lower SWR than channel 40, cut 1/4" from the antenna
> top and measure again...continue until the SWR for channel 1 and 40 is
> about equal.
>
> Channel 1 gave me a nice low 1:1.4
> Channel 40 gave me a nasty 1:2.8
>
> So I snipped off 1/4" and measured again.
>
> Channel 1 gave me 1:1.3
> Channel 40 gave me 1:2.5
>
> So my question is, snipping off that 1/4" really improved the channel 1
> SWR but only dropped channel 40 a little bit. How many 1/4" increments
> am I gonna hafta snip before these two equal out?
>
> Also, I'm guessing that at some point, channel 1 is going to start to go
> back up (instead of improving)...should I stop there and live with
> whatever the higher channels are displaying? Rather than continuing to
> snip until 1 and 40 are about equal?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Kahuna

You are correct, it is possible to cut too much off, and the Channel 1 SWR will start going up. But, there is nothing terribly wrong with the readings your getting. It's always nice to get a better match, so I would continue clipping, but only until you get the Channel 40 SWR down below 1:2. Then don't worry about it. The Channel 1 SWR is already very good, and you are never going to notice the slight mismatch at the high end.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.com
(remove _nospam_ from my email to reply)

2755. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 14:01:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qu8k71dtqq7065p34qe3gmoiac5kccniff@4ax.com>
Source record: 199779

On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:29:25 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freebandï¿½sucks)
>
>>where can we find one?
>
>
>The technology is there,,you just have to -learn- it. Tell you
>what,,think about part 15 transceivers,,,,think about chips,..... now
>think about different modes,,outside the box....think about
>UHF.....now,,,if you still are confused, please explain why automatic
>hopping of freqs on the freeband is such a difficult concept for you.
>Since you can't seem to grasp this idea, forget about even casually
>mentioning digital sequencing to you,,,,you'd win the pool!!! :)

I've been watching this topic with some interest. IMHO the only true
method of SS is the direct sequencing method. Frequency hopping sort
of "cheats" the concept of a wideband and barely distinguishable SS
system. Direct sequence is also more secure than FH.

Nonetheless, frequency hopping is considered spread spectrum in
today's marketplace.

As far as using SS on 11 meters, well..... First off, there are no
commercial CB radios made with this technology. Even hams have been
slow to embrace it. That's not to say that enterprising experimenters
have not played with it on 11 meters. Utilizing cordless phones and
transverters might yield satisfactory results. I'm sure there may be a
few that have. But it's hardly in widespread use.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2756. Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 14:36:39 UTC
From: anon <cbking@cbkingchanfour.net>
Message-ID: <d5dauh$k95$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 199781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Saw a spread spectrum set up in a long haul trucker rig yesterday.
Driver would not say exactly where they are being sold, except
somewhere in Illinois. His rig also had a Icom HF rig in it and
what looked like a marine radio. Too bad he wouldn't talke more.
A couple years ago these guys were very talkative. My guess, the
FCC letters are classic "unintended consequences." Meaning. these
guys are now much more security aware.

2757. twitlips cooing to mopathetic to show his love

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 14:37:48 UTC
From: mopathetic is scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115303868.389106.140080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199783

IAmnotGeorgeBush (bka twitlips)meweled:
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:427-4278F4CB-25
> @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
> Just what did you consider the threats Dogie posted? Oh, that's
right,
> you claim you can't be sure it's him, but you can be sure it's
> Mopar..lol.
> >Listen real close assjuice,
twitlips is retarded, he can't listen to anything but his lusts.
>
Keep your FUGGLY's bodily fluids out of your mouth and you'll do fine,
twitlips.
>
> > mopathetic has pictures of someones house he
>
> >went to that is a known fact,
>
>
> Fact #1..he was invited there.

He got told what would happen if he stepped in the door. You and FUGGLY
just want him so much you will say anything to hump his leg.

> Fact#2...it is not illegal to take pictures. See paparazzi.
Nobody cares.

> > the persons house he went to may not have
>
> >made those posts.
>
> Fact #3..the person who took those pictures may not be Mopathetic.
> Fact #4..the person who posted those pics may no be mopathetic.
>
Baloney, he has publicly admitted it. Of course, if the main thesis is
that he is a slimy lying garbage sack, then your words make sense. You
and FUGGLY musta wore Dweebo out, now you are slobbering over
mopathetic.

This whole deal is twithed's way of trying to deflect light from the
fact that his boyfriend mopathetic won't say where he is camping at
Dayton. Either it is cuz mopathetic is scared of the AKC, or they are
both afraid the AKC will do some photo work of their own and catch them

and FUGGLY in an intimate moment. Six one, 1/2 dozen of other...no biggie. Likely it is both.

2758. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 14:40:02 UTC
From: mopathetic is scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115304002.377211.300580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199782

so where are you camping, limpwrist? And OH YESSSS...the AKC will be at Dayton. Absolutely.

Where are you camping? If Uhl tell us we will come introduce ourselves. Your lies can't change that.

2759. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 14:40:44 UTC
From: mopathetic is scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115304044.602730.288900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199784

Yeah, mopathetic has to take pictures...he aint too good at text.

2760. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 14:42:06 UTC
From: mopathetic is scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115304126.408400.198860@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199785

We haven't run to the law at all. That's your lying lame excuse for not telling us where you are camping, sissy.

2761. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 14:44:27 UTC
From: mopathetic is scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115304267.383451.216120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199786

wrong, twitlips. Nobody is undergound here. It could be however, that those jockstraps you wear on your head are blocking your vision. We were told that they are heavily stained from you using them to act out your fantasies, so it is likely that even the sheerest ones in your collection would block eyesight.

2762. Why won't mopeadope say where he is camping?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 14:48:06 UTC
From: mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115304486.027172.154240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199787

Come on mopathetic, if you tell us we will all come introduce ourselves. You already know when, so just tell us where. Was it hard to find a campground that accepts drunken, sickheaded morons, or do you just plan to squat somewhere? That's likely, considering how your goofy, wristflipping keyclown self squats on radio spectrum without any right to be there.

2763. Re: Important please read this Thank you!

`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...`...`...`.?..`...`...`...`..`
`...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 16:25:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <HNree.8030$FI2.8176776@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199788
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Gun Threat? Hey, that is no threat, you threaten my life, health or property (well, property isn't that big a thing--a mans life is worth more--I'd have to make that decision based on the events of the time) and the gun ISN'T a threat!!! It is a tool to insure my survival!!!

John

"Bawwwwwk Bawwwk Bawwwk Bawwwwk! mopathetic chickens out when terms are even! SISSY!" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1115277431.008673.142970@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
| Chukkee, you already give religion a bad name. Christians don't make
| gun threats. You do.
|

2764. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 17:18:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <f7lk71h24e2qd3t0gnslqr7m1mmvpn04g3@4ax.com>
Source record: 199789

On Thu, 05 May 2005 07:06:44 -0500, Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote:

>I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
>> From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
>> Freeband sucks wrote:
>> where can we find one?
>>
>>>His version of spread-spectrum is turning that
>>>power microphone *way* up, that way, your
>>>signal spreads out.
>>
>>>Sorry, had to get that in there...
>>
>>>Guy
>>
>> Hey,,don't be sorry. You serve a valuable lesson: you reinforce the
>> ignorance of select hammies who are licensed for communications but are
>> clueless and incompetent when it comes to such. Perhaps that's why you
>> feel the need to say such things about others, after all, if you were
>> confident and satisfied in your abilities in life, there would be no
>> need for such actions toward others. Carry on, my child.
>
>There it is...
>
>Without knowing anything about me, without knowing what I do for a living,
>without knowing the things I've done over the past three decades in the
>field of computers and radio, without knowing who I am, you make a huge
>jump in logic and begin to personnally attack me verbally. You couldn't
>just laugh with me and then drop it, could you?
>
>You've shown me that you do know something. You're able to convey meaning
>over these posts. The trouble is, the meaning you convey creates a sense
>of ill-will and *you know it*. You don't add anything of value to these
>posts. You've made it clear you are not a team player. You do it because
>of the false sense of superiority created when you come out with these
>baseless one-liners and cause confusion, anger, chaos. You think you're
>superior because you don't follow the rules. You don't feel you need to
>follow the rules. You think you're above the rules. You think rules are
>created for just *some* people. You think rules are for the ignorant. You
>are not ignorant, therefore you feel obligated to disobey the rules to make
>a statement.
>
>I'll be the first to admit there are some hams who are clueless these days.

>But at least they've proven a certain level of knowledge by passing a
>simple test. Hams have shown they can meet *some* standard (albeit not
>much of a standard these days) compared to others in society. You seem to
>be a reasonably smart guy, why don't you get a ham license? Just because
>you're a ham doesn't mean you can't continue FREEBANDING. Getting a ham
>license will only increase your opportunities. You've shown you can talk
>the talk but for some reason refuse to walk the talk. Unless you can write
>something down and explain why you refuse to walk the talk, I have to come
>to the conclusion you can't walk the talk.
>
>The difference between CBers and Hams is patently obvious to anyone who
>tunes around HF.
>
>The next step is name calling. After that comes the vandalism. When I
>catch you vandalizing my property you will attack me physically. When I
>defend myself, your lawyer will say I violated your rights.
>
>You win, oh great one, you win.
>
>Go ahead, get in at least one more personal attack. Go ahead, tell me I
>can't count sentences. Go ahead, make another statement about how CBers
>are superior to hams. Go ahead, tell me the reason you don't get a ham
>license is because hams are "poopie-heads." Go ahead, insert, "I know you
>are but what am I?" in between each of the above paragraphs. Go ahead, ask
>me some stupid questions, and when I don't answer them, use them in future
>"one-liner" posts to show how ignorant hams are.
>
>SK
>
>Sincerely, with warmest regards and the best of intentions,

Gee for a new guy (no pun intended), you sure have a fairly accurate
accounting of the situation here. Nicely put.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2765. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 18:09:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Djtee.535$8g.446@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 199790

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:qu8k71dtqq7065p34qe3gmoiac5kccniff@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:29:25 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
> >From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
> >
> >>where can we find one?
> >
> >
> >The technology is there,,you just have to -learn- it. Tell you
> >what,,think about part 15 transceivers,,,,think about chips,..... now
> >think about different modes,,outside the box....think about
> >UHF.....now,,,if you still are confused, please explain why automatic
> >hopping of freqs on the freeband is such a difficult concept for you.
> >Since you can't seem to grasp this idea, forget about even casually
> >mentioning digital sequencing to you,,,,you'd win the pool!!! :)
>
>
> I've been watching this topic with some interest. IMHO the only true
> method of SS is the direct sequencing method. Frequency hopping sort
> of "cheats" the concept of a wideband and barely distinguishable SS
> system. Direct sequence is also more secure than FH.
>
> Nonetheless, frequency hopping is considered spread spectrum in
> today's marketplace.
>
> As far as using SS on 11 meters, well..... First off, there are no
> commercial CB radios made with this technology. Even hams have been
> slow to embrace it. That's not to say that enterprising experimenters
> have not played with it on 11 meters. Utilizing cordless phones and
> transverters might yield satisfactory results. I'm sure there may be a
> few that have. But it's hardly in widespread use.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Hello, Dave

The problem would be SWR with the requisite bandwidth. Of course, if anyone has any of those old Heathkit Cantennas :)

There is a thought. 1.1 to 1 SWR from something like zero to 30 MHz. Not bad somewhat above too; just add oil and turn on your amplifier LOL.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim - cooking at 3 megabaud

2766. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 18:15:57 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <498-427A62DD-219@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199791

From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
Freeband sucks wrote:
where can we find one?
>His version of spread-spectrum is turning that

>power microphone *way* up, that way, your

>signal spreads out.

>Sorry, had to get that in there...

>Guy
Hey,,don't be sorry. You serve a valuable lesson: you reinforce the ignorance of select hammies who are licensed for communications but are clueless and incompetent when it comes to such. Perhaps that's why you feel the need to say such things about others, after all, if you were confident and satisfied in your abilities in life, there would be no need for such actions toward others. Carry on, my child.

>There it is...

Yep,,and you lobbed the first volley,

>Without knowing anything about me, without

>knowing what I do for a living, without knowing
>the things I've done over the past three

>decades in the field of computers and radio,

>without knowing who I am, you make a huge

>jump in logic and begin to personnally attack

>me verbally.

Witout knowing anything about me, without knowing the things I;ve done over the past three decades, without knowing any damn thing about me, you make a huge jump in logic and begin to assume to know what and how I think. In addition, you ASSume I am of the "turn it all the way to the right" crowd. Stop throwing stones while you sit constipated in a glass house, hypocrite.

> You couldn't just laugh with me and then drop
>it, could you?

You mean, take your unprovoked cut directed toward me in silence? That's always been your childish problem. Get over yourself.

>You've shown me that you do know

>something. You're able to convey meaning

>over these posts. The trouble is, the meaning

>you convey creates a sense of ill-will and *you
>know it*.

What part of you assuming to know what I think regarding spread spectrum and turning the mic all the way up do you attribute to good will? Hyuk!

> You don't add anything of value to these

>posts.

I'm about to,,,stay with me for a few more secs..

> You've made it clear you are not a team

>player.

Years ago. What's your point, besides the one atop your head?

>You do it because of the false sense of

>superiority created when you come out with

>these baseless one-liners

.you initiated it. Get over yourself and that small man syndrome may depart.

>and cause

>confusion, anger, chaos. You think you're

>superior because you don't follow the rules.

There you go again with that jackass ignorance of ASSuming you know how I think. You're a freakin' hypocrite of the worst magnitude.

>You don't feel you need to follow the rules.

Not at all,,I don't feel I need to explain myself to the likes of someone like you with a mentality that feels unprovoked and unsolicited barbs toward another by yourself constitute anything but ill-will. Note that to excuse your initated cut, you shrug it off as a joke. Now wipe your chin before the drool reaches the bib that catches your hypocrisy.

>You think you're above the rules.

I'm merely adding to your self-image. Of course, you presenting yourself as knowing what and how I think is somehow done of your good will,,,,especially when taken into consideration your deliberate false

misattributions that make you such a loser.

>You think rules are created for just *some*

>people. You think rules are for the ignorant.

No at all. I think you are bordering on ignorant, by initiating a barb and defecating all over yourself when it was returned.

>You are not ignorant, therefore you feel

>obligated to disobey the rules to make a

>.statement.

Perhaps that is default mode to your warped interpretation of things you can not grasp.

>I'll be the first to admit there are some hams

>who are clueless these days. But at least

>they've proven a certain level of knowledge by
>passing a simple test. Hams have shown they

>can meet *some* standard (albeit not much of

>a standard these days) compared to others in

>society. You seem to be a reasonably smart

>guy, why don't you get a ham license?

Stay relevant. A hammie license has nothing to do with these pages or cb.

>Just because you're a ham doesn't mean you

>can't continue FREEBANDING.

Check the archives. I've made that point on many occasions. Thing is, it's more difficult because too many jerkoffs like yourself are out there who feel when they are not given a satisfactory reason by others for their business and behavior, it's perfectly acceptable to conjure up reasons on your own and ASSume them of those who won't give you the time of day. That and your bullshit belief you are owed some sort of explanation for other's behavior. It's because of your shitty attitude,,,,and THAT, will never change. Again, you are owed nothing,,,nada,,zip,,let alone a reason,for other's actions.

> Getting a ham license will only increase your

>opportunities. You've shown you can talk the

>.talk but for some reason refuse to walk the

>talk.

I see your position, but it is ONLY because -you- continue to ASSume many conjured misbeliefs.

>Unless you can write something down and

>explain why you refuse to walk the talk, I have

>to come to the conclusion you can't walk the

>talk.

And with this post, you have solidified beyond a doubt you are a hypocrite of the N'th magnitude.

>The difference between CBers and Hams is

>patently obvious to anyone who tunes around

>HF.

Yet, you continue to express difficulty with such a conceptual difference by claiming you can not understand why one would not get a license. Again, and as always, this is YOUR problem you seek to foster on others who have nothing to do with your beliefs or demons. Oh,,wait a second, I'M your demon,,,LMAO!

>The next step is name calling.

You mean aftre your little unprovoked insult you say is a joke that I should have laughed at?
You're not that skilled in communications...here we go again.....you epitomize the fact that some of those licensed for communications are the least adept and skilled at such. Otherwise, you would never expect a "stranger" to insult another person of whcih they "know nothing of me" and expect them to laugh. In fact, the next step, as you say, is where I factly state you would never do such to a person on the street. Your demeanor affirms it, your communication skill reaffirms it.

>After that

>comes the vandalism. When I catch you

>vandalizing my property you will attack me

>physically. When I defend myself, your lawyer

>will say I violated your rights.

Man, you must have really had your wings clipped in this group for you to ASSume so damn much of others you know nothing about...lmao!

>You win, oh great one, you win.

>Go ahead, get in at least one more personal

>attack. Go ahead, tell me I can't count

>sentences. Go ahead, make another

>statement about how CBers are superior to

>hams.

Heheh..well, you are a liar, as well. Not one time in this group, ever, have I ever said cbers are superior to hammies, but your rigid position at trying to steadfastly misattribute your false ASSumptions to myself, illustrates what a jack off you are, also.

>Go ahead, tell me the reason you don't get a

>ham license is because hams are

>"poopie-heads." Go ahead, insert, "I know you

>are but what am I?" in between each of the

>above paragraphs. Go ahead, ask me some

>stupid questions, and when I don't answer

>them, use them in future "one-liner" posts to

>show how ignorant hams are.

>SK

You are doing a bangup job all by yoursef illustrating the type hammie you are.
But, to your misfortune and woe, not all hammies are asses like yourself. In fact, you are the rabid minority.

>Sincerely, with warmest regards and the best

>of intentions, Guy

Ditto.

2767. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 18:23:24 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <499-427A649C-56@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199792

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:29:25 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
where can we find one?
-
The technology is there,,you just have to -learn- it. Tell you
what,,think about part 15 transceivers,,,,think about chips,..... now
think about different modes,,outside the box....think about
UHF.....now,,,if you still are confused, please explain why automatic
hopping of freqs on the freeband is such a difficult concept for you.
Since you can't seem to grasp this idea, forget about even casually
mentioning digital sequencing to you,,,,you'd win the pool!!! :)

>I've been watching this topic with some

>interest. IMHO the only true method of SS is

>the direct sequencing method.

Yea,,that's what i meant when I said "digital" sequencing.

>Frequency

>hopping sort of "cheats" the concept of a

>wideband and barely distinguishable SS

>system.

Yea,,I guess it could be seen that way,,,but,,why couldn't the
technology be programmed to hop "wider" (for lack of a better term at
the moment)?

>Direct sequence is also more secure than FH.

I'm not up on the latest encryption technique regrading this technology,
but take your word for it. But,,,why would a direct sequence (definite
pattern) be more secire than a random pattern?

>Nonetheless, frequency hopping is considered
>spread spectrum in today's marketplace.

Yep it is.

>As far as using SS on 11 meters, well..... First
>off, there are no commercial CB radios made

>with this technology.

I never said there was. I said the technology was there and blew a gasket in a certain poster's gray matter and now he is all wrapped up in himself blathering incoherently about a cb radio when I referred the freeband.

>Even hams have been slow to embrace it.

>That's not to say that enterprising

>experimenters have not played with it on 11

>.meters.

BINGO!

> Utilizing cordless phones and transverters

>might yield satisfactory results. I'm sure there

>may be a few that have. But it's hardly in

>widespread use.

Agreed.

>Dave

>."Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2768. Muja Power Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 18:41:49 UTC
From: cellphonetreo650 <cellphonetreo650@emailplus.org>
Message-ID: <1115318509.433934.282210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,dk.teknik.telefoni,rec.bicycles.marketplace,rec.bicycles.tech,sci.med

federal police have arrested Marty Wallace late Wednesday for
rape. The girl was raped at the Muja Power Station
Investigators said wallace is a Janitor at Muja Power Station
and he was taken into custody at the Muja Power Station and that
he lied to police when he was first questioned about the incident.
Marty Wallace who is a Janitor at the Muja Power Station
phone +61897342316 or +616045507000

Marty Wallace www.mart@geo.net.au wastes an astonishing amount of ...
Marty Wallace wastes an astonishing amount of time
annoying people on the internet from The Muja Power Station
The Collie Valley Marathon ...

this has been emailed to:
scholarships@westernpower.com.au
info@westernpower.com.au
vi.nguyen@westernpower.com.au.
karyne.wong@westernpower.com.au
shane.exell@westernpower.com.au
plohmann@bigpond.net.au
rodney.pearson@westernpower.com.au
adrian.chegwidden@wpcorp.com.au
leung@watri.uwa.edu.au
daniel.thompson@westernpower.com.au
jennifer.sai@westernpower.com.au
kgreenock@davidmoss.com.au
richard.atkinson@westernpower.com.au
ehab.zabaneh@westernpower.com.au.
Wong@westernpower.com.au.
www.alintagas.com.au.Sheth@westernpower.com.au
andrew.waugh@westernpower.com.au
J.Franke@exchange.curtin.edu.au
flick@westernpower.com.au
andrea.ling@westernpower.com.au
http://www.greenedge.org/roving/feedback.htm
albert.plummer@westernpower.com.au
tvickers@bigpond.com
Trevor.Bowland@westernpower.com.au
John.Driessen@westernpower.com.au
cvst@westernpower.com.au
chris.milne@westernpower.com.au
neil.smith@westernpower.com.au
editor@postnewspapers.com.au,subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,
subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,george@postnewspapers.com.au,

judy@postnewspapers.com.au,linda@postnewspapers.com.au.
debbie@postnewspapers.com.au,sarah@postnewspapers.com.au,
aja@postnewspapers.com.au,alison@postnewspapers.com.au,
mcgov@postnewspapers.com.au,trina@postnewspapers.com.au
advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
kara@postnewspapers.com.au
advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
classifieds@postnewspapers.com.au
trina@postnewspapers.com.au
kara@postnewspapers.com.au
michelle@postnewspapers.com.au
olivia@postnewspapers.com.au
matt@postnewspapers.com.au
judym@postnewspapers.com.au
karena@postnewspapers.com.au
lesley@postnewspapers.com.au
production@postnewspapers.com.au
accounts@postnewspapers.com.au
craig@postnewspapers.com.au
absetrp@postnewspapers.com.au
Neil Hamilton
Tony Iannello
Jenny Seabrook
Harvey Collins
John O'Connor
Mervyn Davies
Alan Mulgrew
Charlotte Stockwell
Sue Wilson

2769. Re: Muja Power Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 19:12:05 UTC
From: tlasting <tlasting@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115320325.530606.254610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,dk.teknik.telefoni,aus.bicycle,sci.energy

cellphonetreo650 wrote:
> federal police have arrested Marty Wallace late Wednesday for
> rape. The girl was raped at the Muja Power Station
> Investigators said wallace is a Janitor at Muja Power Station
> and he was taken into custody at the Muja Power Station and that
> he lied to police when he was first questioned about the incident.
> Marty Wallace who is a Janitor at the Muja Power Station
> phone +61897342316 or +616045507000
>
>
>
> Marty Wallace www.mart@geo.net.au wastes an astonishing amount of ...
> Marty Wallace wastes an astonishing amount of time
> annoying people on the internet from The Muja Power Station
> The Collie Valley Marathon ...
>
>
> this has been emailed to:
> scholarships@westernpower.com.au
> info@westernpower.com.au
> vi.nguyen@westernpower.com.au.
> karyne.wong@westernpower.com.au
> shane.exell@westernpower.com.au
> plohmann@bigpond.net.au
> rodney.pearson@westernpower.com.au
> adrian.chegwidden@wpcorp.com.au
> leung@watri.uwa.edu.au
> daniel.thompson@westernpower.com.au
> jennifer.sai@westernpower.com.au
> kgreenock@davidmoss.com.au
> richard.atkinson@westernpower.com.au
> ehab.zabaneh@westernpower.com.au.
> Wong@westernpower.com.au.
> www.alintagas.com.au.Sheth@westernpower.com.au
> andrew.waugh@westernpower.com.au
> J.Franke@exchange.curtin.edu.au
> flick@westernpower.com.au
> andrea.ling@westernpower.com.au
> http://www.greenedge.org/roving/feedback.htm
> albert.plummer@westernpower.com.au
> tvickers@bigpond.com
> Trevor.Bowland@westernpower.com.au
> John.Driessen@westernpower.com.au

> cvst@westernpower.com.au
> chris.milne@westernpower.com.au
> neil.smith@westernpower.com.au
> editor@postnewspapers.com.au,subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,
> subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,george@postnewspapers.com.au,
> judy@postnewspapers.com.au,linda@postnewspapers.com.au.
> debbie@postnewspapers.com.au,sarah@postnewspapers.com.au,
> aja@postnewspapers.com.au,alison@postnewspapers.com.au,
> mcgov@postnewspapers.com.au,trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> classifieds@postnewspapers.com.au
> trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> michelle@postnewspapers.com.au
> olivia@postnewspapers.com.au
> matt@postnewspapers.com.au
> judym@postnewspapers.com.au
> karena@postnewspapers.com.au
> lesley@postnewspapers.com.au
> production@postnewspapers.com.au
> accounts@postnewspapers.com.au
> craig@postnewspapers.com.au
> absetrp@postnewspapers.com.au
> Neil Hamilton
> Tony Iannello
> Jenny Seabrook
> Harvey Collins
> John O'Connor
> Mervyn Davies
> Alan Mulgrew
> Charlotte Stockwell
> Sue Wilson

yep

2770. Re: Muja Power Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 19:12:05 UTC
From: tlasting <tlasting@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115320325.125993.154550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,dk.teknik.telefoni,aus.bicycle,sci.energy

cellphonetreo650 wrote:
> federal police have arrested Marty Wallace late Wednesday for
> rape. The girl was raped at the Muja Power Station
> Investigators said wallace is a Janitor at Muja Power Station
> and he was taken into custody at the Muja Power Station and that
> he lied to police when he was first questioned about the incident.
> Marty Wallace who is a Janitor at the Muja Power Station
> phone +61897342316 or +616045507000
>
>
>
> Marty Wallace www.mart@geo.net.au wastes an astonishing amount of ...
> Marty Wallace wastes an astonishing amount of time
> annoying people on the internet from The Muja Power Station
> The Collie Valley Marathon ...
>
>
> this has been emailed to:
> scholarships@westernpower.com.au
> info@westernpower.com.au
> vi.nguyen@westernpower.com.au.
> karyne.wong@westernpower.com.au
> shane.exell@westernpower.com.au
> plohmann@bigpond.net.au
> rodney.pearson@westernpower.com.au
> adrian.chegwidden@wpcorp.com.au
> leung@watri.uwa.edu.au
> daniel.thompson@westernpower.com.au
> jennifer.sai@westernpower.com.au
> kgreenock@davidmoss.com.au
> richard.atkinson@westernpower.com.au
> ehab.zabaneh@westernpower.com.au.
> Wong@westernpower.com.au.
> www.alintagas.com.au.Sheth@westernpower.com.au
> andrew.waugh@westernpower.com.au
> J.Franke@exchange.curtin.edu.au
> flick@westernpower.com.au
> andrea.ling@westernpower.com.au
> http://www.greenedge.org/roving/feedback.htm
> albert.plummer@westernpower.com.au
> tvickers@bigpond.com
> Trevor.Bowland@westernpower.com.au
> John.Driessen@westernpower.com.au

> cvst@westernpower.com.au
> chris.milne@westernpower.com.au
> neil.smith@westernpower.com.au
> editor@postnewspapers.com.au,subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,
> subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,george@postnewspapers.com.au,
> judy@postnewspapers.com.au,linda@postnewspapers.com.au.
> debbie@postnewspapers.com.au,sarah@postnewspapers.com.au,
> aja@postnewspapers.com.au,alison@postnewspapers.com.au,
> mcgov@postnewspapers.com.au,trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> classifieds@postnewspapers.com.au
> trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> michelle@postnewspapers.com.au
> olivia@postnewspapers.com.au
> matt@postnewspapers.com.au
> judym@postnewspapers.com.au
> karena@postnewspapers.com.au
> lesley@postnewspapers.com.au
> production@postnewspapers.com.au
> accounts@postnewspapers.com.au
> craig@postnewspapers.com.au
> absetrp@postnewspapers.com.au
> Neil Hamilton
> Tony Iannello
> Jenny Seabrook
> Harvey Collins
> John O'Connor
> Mervyn Davies
> Alan Mulgrew
> Charlotte Stockwell
> Sue Wilson

yep

2771. Re: Muja Power Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 19:12:06 UTC
From: tlasting <tlasting@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115320326.678955.286340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,dk.teknik.telefoni,aus.bicycle,sci.energy

cellphonetreo650 wrote:
> federal police have arrested Marty Wallace late Wednesday for
> rape. The girl was raped at the Muja Power Station
> Investigators said wallace is a Janitor at Muja Power Station
> and he was taken into custody at the Muja Power Station and that
> he lied to police when he was first questioned about the incident.
> Marty Wallace who is a Janitor at the Muja Power Station
> phone +61897342316 or +616045507000
>
>
>
> Marty Wallace www.mart@geo.net.au wastes an astonishing amount of ...
> Marty Wallace wastes an astonishing amount of time
> annoying people on the internet from The Muja Power Station
> The Collie Valley Marathon ...
>
>
> this has been emailed to:
> scholarships@westernpower.com.au
> info@westernpower.com.au
> vi.nguyen@westernpower.com.au.
> karyne.wong@westernpower.com.au
> shane.exell@westernpower.com.au
> plohmann@bigpond.net.au
> rodney.pearson@westernpower.com.au
> adrian.chegwidden@wpcorp.com.au
> leung@watri.uwa.edu.au
> daniel.thompson@westernpower.com.au
> jennifer.sai@westernpower.com.au
> kgreenock@davidmoss.com.au
> richard.atkinson@westernpower.com.au
> ehab.zabaneh@westernpower.com.au.
> Wong@westernpower.com.au.
> www.alintagas.com.au.Sheth@westernpower.com.au
> andrew.waugh@westernpower.com.au
> J.Franke@exchange.curtin.edu.au
> flick@westernpower.com.au
> andrea.ling@westernpower.com.au
> http://www.greenedge.org/roving/feedback.htm
> albert.plummer@westernpower.com.au
> tvickers@bigpond.com
> Trevor.Bowland@westernpower.com.au
> John.Driessen@westernpower.com.au

> cvst@westernpower.com.au
> chris.milne@westernpower.com.au
> neil.smith@westernpower.com.au
> editor@postnewspapers.com.au,subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,
> subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,george@postnewspapers.com.au,
> judy@postnewspapers.com.au,linda@postnewspapers.com.au.
> debbie@postnewspapers.com.au,sarah@postnewspapers.com.au,
> aja@postnewspapers.com.au,alison@postnewspapers.com.au,
> mcgov@postnewspapers.com.au,trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> classifieds@postnewspapers.com.au
> trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> michelle@postnewspapers.com.au
> olivia@postnewspapers.com.au
> matt@postnewspapers.com.au
> judym@postnewspapers.com.au
> karena@postnewspapers.com.au
> lesley@postnewspapers.com.au
> production@postnewspapers.com.au
> accounts@postnewspapers.com.au
> craig@postnewspapers.com.au
> absetrp@postnewspapers.com.au
> Neil Hamilton
> Tony Iannello
> Jenny Seabrook
> Harvey Collins
> John O'Connor
> Mervyn Davies
> Alan Mulgrew
> Charlotte Stockwell
> Sue Wilson

yep

2772. Re: Muja Power Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 19:12:06 UTC
From: tlasting <tlasting@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115320326.340179.70200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,dk.teknik.telefoni,aus.bicycle,sci.energy

cellphonetreo650 wrote:
> federal police have arrested Marty Wallace late Wednesday for
> rape. The girl was raped at the Muja Power Station
> Investigators said wallace is a Janitor at Muja Power Station
> and he was taken into custody at the Muja Power Station and that
> he lied to police when he was first questioned about the incident.
> Marty Wallace who is a Janitor at the Muja Power Station
> phone +61897342316 or +616045507000
>
>
>
> Marty Wallace www.mart@geo.net.au wastes an astonishing amount of ...
> Marty Wallace wastes an astonishing amount of time
> annoying people on the internet from The Muja Power Station
> The Collie Valley Marathon ...
>
>
> this has been emailed to:
> scholarships@westernpower.com.au
> info@westernpower.com.au
> vi.nguyen@westernpower.com.au.
> karyne.wong@westernpower.com.au
> shane.exell@westernpower.com.au
> plohmann@bigpond.net.au
> rodney.pearson@westernpower.com.au
> adrian.chegwidden@wpcorp.com.au
> leung@watri.uwa.edu.au
> daniel.thompson@westernpower.com.au
> jennifer.sai@westernpower.com.au
> kgreenock@davidmoss.com.au
> richard.atkinson@westernpower.com.au
> ehab.zabaneh@westernpower.com.au.
> Wong@westernpower.com.au.
> www.alintagas.com.au.Sheth@westernpower.com.au
> andrew.waugh@westernpower.com.au
> J.Franke@exchange.curtin.edu.au
> flick@westernpower.com.au
> andrea.ling@westernpower.com.au
> http://www.greenedge.org/roving/feedback.htm
> albert.plummer@westernpower.com.au
> tvickers@bigpond.com
> Trevor.Bowland@westernpower.com.au
> John.Driessen@westernpower.com.au

> cvst@westernpower.com.au
> chris.milne@westernpower.com.au
> neil.smith@westernpower.com.au
> editor@postnewspapers.com.au,subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,
> subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,george@postnewspapers.com.au,
> judy@postnewspapers.com.au,linda@postnewspapers.com.au.
> debbie@postnewspapers.com.au,sarah@postnewspapers.com.au,
> aja@postnewspapers.com.au,alison@postnewspapers.com.au,
> mcgov@postnewspapers.com.au,trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> classifieds@postnewspapers.com.au
> trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> michelle@postnewspapers.com.au
> olivia@postnewspapers.com.au
> matt@postnewspapers.com.au
> judym@postnewspapers.com.au
> karena@postnewspapers.com.au
> lesley@postnewspapers.com.au
> production@postnewspapers.com.au
> accounts@postnewspapers.com.au
> craig@postnewspapers.com.au
> absetrp@postnewspapers.com.au
> Neil Hamilton
> Tony Iannello
> Jenny Seabrook
> Harvey Collins
> John O'Connor
> Mervyn Davies
> Alan Mulgrew
> Charlotte Stockwell
> Sue Wilson

yep

2773. Re: Muja Power Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 19:12:06 UTC
From: tlasting <tlasting@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115320326.012471.276560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,dk.teknik.telefoni,aus.bicycle,sci.energy

cellphonetreo650 wrote:
> federal police have arrested Marty Wallace late Wednesday for
> rape. The girl was raped at the Muja Power Station
> Investigators said wallace is a Janitor at Muja Power Station
> and he was taken into custody at the Muja Power Station and that
> he lied to police when he was first questioned about the incident.
> Marty Wallace who is a Janitor at the Muja Power Station
> phone +61897342316 or +616045507000
>
>
>
> Marty Wallace www.mart@geo.net.au wastes an astonishing amount of ...
> Marty Wallace wastes an astonishing amount of time
> annoying people on the internet from The Muja Power Station
> The Collie Valley Marathon ...
>
>
> this has been emailed to:
> scholarships@westernpower.com.au
> info@westernpower.com.au
> vi.nguyen@westernpower.com.au.
> karyne.wong@westernpower.com.au
> shane.exell@westernpower.com.au
> plohmann@bigpond.net.au
> rodney.pearson@westernpower.com.au
> adrian.chegwidden@wpcorp.com.au
> leung@watri.uwa.edu.au
> daniel.thompson@westernpower.com.au
> jennifer.sai@westernpower.com.au
> kgreenock@davidmoss.com.au
> richard.atkinson@westernpower.com.au
> ehab.zabaneh@westernpower.com.au.
> Wong@westernpower.com.au.
> www.alintagas.com.au.Sheth@westernpower.com.au
> andrew.waugh@westernpower.com.au
> J.Franke@exchange.curtin.edu.au
> flick@westernpower.com.au
> andrea.ling@westernpower.com.au
> http://www.greenedge.org/roving/feedback.htm
> albert.plummer@westernpower.com.au
> tvickers@bigpond.com
> Trevor.Bowland@westernpower.com.au
> John.Driessen@westernpower.com.au

> cvst@westernpower.com.au
> chris.milne@westernpower.com.au
> neil.smith@westernpower.com.au
> editor@postnewspapers.com.au,subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,
> subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,george@postnewspapers.com.au,
> judy@postnewspapers.com.au,linda@postnewspapers.com.au.
> debbie@postnewspapers.com.au,sarah@postnewspapers.com.au,
> aja@postnewspapers.com.au,alison@postnewspapers.com.au,
> mcgov@postnewspapers.com.au,trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> classifieds@postnewspapers.com.au
> trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> michelle@postnewspapers.com.au
> olivia@postnewspapers.com.au
> matt@postnewspapers.com.au
> judym@postnewspapers.com.au
> karena@postnewspapers.com.au
> lesley@postnewspapers.com.au
> production@postnewspapers.com.au
> accounts@postnewspapers.com.au
> craig@postnewspapers.com.au
> absetrp@postnewspapers.com.au
> Neil Hamilton
> Tony Iannello
> Jenny Seabrook
> Harvey Collins
> John O'Connor
> Mervyn Davies
> Alan Mulgrew
> Charlotte Stockwell
> Sue Wilson

yep

2774. Re: Muja Power Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 19:12:08 UTC
From: tlasting <tlasting@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115320328.140931.310110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,dk.teknik.telefoni,aus.bicycle,sci.energy

cellphonetreo650 wrote:
> federal police have arrested Marty Wallace late Wednesday for
> rape. The girl was raped at the Muja Power Station
> Investigators said wallace is a Janitor at Muja Power Station
> and he was taken into custody at the Muja Power Station and that
> he lied to police when he was first questioned about the incident.
> Marty Wallace who is a Janitor at the Muja Power Station
> phone +61897342316 or +616045507000
>
>
>
> Marty Wallace www.mart@geo.net.au wastes an astonishing amount of ...
> Marty Wallace wastes an astonishing amount of time
> annoying people on the internet from The Muja Power Station
> The Collie Valley Marathon ...
>
>
> this has been emailed to:
> scholarships@westernpower.com.au
> info@westernpower.com.au
> vi.nguyen@westernpower.com.au.
> karyne.wong@westernpower.com.au
> shane.exell@westernpower.com.au
> plohmann@bigpond.net.au
> rodney.pearson@westernpower.com.au
> adrian.chegwidden@wpcorp.com.au
> leung@watri.uwa.edu.au
> daniel.thompson@westernpower.com.au
> jennifer.sai@westernpower.com.au
> kgreenock@davidmoss.com.au
> richard.atkinson@westernpower.com.au
> ehab.zabaneh@westernpower.com.au.
> Wong@westernpower.com.au.
> www.alintagas.com.au.Sheth@westernpower.com.au
> andrew.waugh@westernpower.com.au
> J.Franke@exchange.curtin.edu.au
> flick@westernpower.com.au
> andrea.ling@westernpower.com.au
> http://www.greenedge.org/roving/feedback.htm
> albert.plummer@westernpower.com.au
> tvickers@bigpond.com
> Trevor.Bowland@westernpower.com.au
> John.Driessen@westernpower.com.au

> cvst@westernpower.com.au
> chris.milne@westernpower.com.au
> neil.smith@westernpower.com.au
> editor@postnewspapers.com.au,subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,
> subeditor@postnewspapers.com.au,george@postnewspapers.com.au,
> judy@postnewspapers.com.au,linda@postnewspapers.com.au.
> debbie@postnewspapers.com.au,sarah@postnewspapers.com.au,
> aja@postnewspapers.com.au,alison@postnewspapers.com.au,
> mcgov@postnewspapers.com.au,trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> advertising@postnewspapers.com.au
> classifieds@postnewspapers.com.au
> trina@postnewspapers.com.au
> kara@postnewspapers.com.au
> michelle@postnewspapers.com.au
> olivia@postnewspapers.com.au
> matt@postnewspapers.com.au
> judym@postnewspapers.com.au
> karena@postnewspapers.com.au
> lesley@postnewspapers.com.au
> production@postnewspapers.com.au
> accounts@postnewspapers.com.au
> craig@postnewspapers.com.au
> absetrp@postnewspapers.com.au
> Neil Hamilton
> Tony Iannello
> Jenny Seabrook
> Harvey Collins
> John O'Connor
> Mervyn Davies
> Alan Mulgrew
> Charlotte Stockwell
> Sue Wilson

yep

2775. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 19:19:02 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115320177.3b017c38bd0671124273e0be25ae2df1@bubbanews>
Source record: 199800

On Thu, 5 May 2005 14:23:24 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:29:25 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
>where can we find one?
>-
>The technology is there,,you just have to -learn- it. Tell you
>what,,think about part 15 transceivers,,,,think about chips,..... now
>think about different modes,,outside the box....think about
>UHF.....now,,,if you still are confused, please explain why automatic
>hopping of freqs on the freeband is such a difficult concept for you.
>Since you can't seem to grasp this idea, forget about even casually
>mentioning digital sequencing to you,,,,you'd win the pool!!! :)
>
>>I've been watching this topic with some
>
>>interest. IMHO the only true method of SS is
>
>>the direct sequencing method.
>
>
>Yea,,that's what i meant when I said "digital" sequencing.
>
>>Frequency
>
>>hopping sort of "cheats" the concept of a
>
>>wideband and barely distinguishable SS
>
>>system.
>
>
>
>
>Yea,,I guess it could be seen that way,,,but,,why couldn't the
>technology be programmed to hop "wider" (for lack of a better term at
>the moment)?
>
>
>>Direct sequence is also more secure than FH.
>

>
>I'm not up on the latest encryption technique regrading this technology,
>but take your word for it. But,,,why would a direct sequence (definite
>pattern) be more secire than a random pattern?
>
>
>>Nonetheless, frequency hopping is considered
>>spread spectrum in today's marketplace.
>
>
>Yep it is.
>
>>As far as using SS on 11 meters, well..... First
>>off, there are no commercial CB radios made
>
>>with this technology.
>
>
>
>I never said there was. I said the technology was there and blew a
>gasket in a certain poster's gray matter and now he is all wrapped up in
>himself blathering incoherently about a cb radio when I referred the
>freeband.
>

really? my original post that you responded too said nothing about
freeband. in fact it said

>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
nothing about freeband there
your response was

>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.

hmm, nothing about freeband from you either.
the only gasket you blew in someones gray matter was your own.
and now you are so wrapped up in yourself bathering about freeband.
blather in little boy

2776. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 19:26:56 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115320652.a9e68809e25011d817dd063478797949@bubbanews>
Source record: 199801

On Thu, 5 May 2005 14:15:57 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
>I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
>Freeband sucks wrote:
>where can we find one?
>>His version of spread-spectrum is turning that
>
>>power microphone *way* up, that way, your
>
>>signal spreads out.
>
>>Sorry, had to get that in there...
>
>>Guy
>Hey,,don't be sorry. You serve a valuable lesson: you reinforce the
>ignorance of select hammies who are licensed for communications but are
>clueless and incompetent when it comes to such. Perhaps that's why you
>feel the need to say such things about others, after all, if you were
>confident and satisfied in your abilities in life, there would be no
>need for such actions toward others. Carry on, my child.
>
>>There it is...
>
>Yep,,and you lobbed the first volley,
>
>>Without knowing anything about me, without
>
>>knowing what I do for a living, without knowing
>>the things I've done over the past three
>
>>decades in the field of computers and radio,
>
>>without knowing who I am, you make a huge
>
>>jump in logic and begin to personnally attack
>
>>me verbally.
>
>
>Witout knowing anything about me, without knowing the things I;ve done
>over the past three decades, without knowing any damn thing about me,

>you make a huge jump in logic and begin to assume to know what and how I
>think. In addition, you ASSume I am of the "turn it all the way to the
>right" crowd. Stop throwing stones while you sit constipated in a glass
>house, hypocrite.
>
>
>
>> You couldn't just laugh with me and then drop
>>it, could you?
>
>
>You mean, take your unprovoked cut directed toward me in silence? That's
>always been your childish problem. Get over yourself.
>
>
>>You've shown me that you do know
>
>>something. You're able to convey meaning
>
>>over these posts. The trouble is, the meaning
>
>>you convey creates a sense of ill-will and *you
>>know it*.
>
>
>What part of you assuming to know what I think regarding spread spectrum
>and turning the mic all the way up do you attribute to good will? Hyuk!
>
>> You don't add anything of value to these
>
>>posts.
>
>
>I'm about to,,,stay with me for a few more secs..
>
>> You've made it clear you are not a team
>
>>player.
>
>Years ago. What's your point, besides the one atop your head?
>
>>You do it because of the false sense of
>
>>superiority created when you come out with
>
>>these baseless one-liners
>
>
>.you initiated it. Get over yourself and that small man syndrome may
>depart.

>
>
>>and cause
>
>>confusion, anger, chaos. You think you're
>
>>superior because you don't follow the rules.
>
>
>There you go again with that jackass ignorance of ASSuming you know how
>I think. You're a freakin' hypocrite of the worst magnitude.
>
>>You don't feel you need to follow the rules.
>
>
>
>Not at all,,I don't feel I need to explain myself to the likes of
>someone like you with a mentality that feels unprovoked and unsolicited
>barbs toward another by yourself constitute anything but ill-will. Note
>that to excuse your initated cut, you shrug it off as a joke. Now wipe
>your chin before the drool reaches the bib that catches your hypocrisy.
>
>
>>You think you're above the rules.
>
>
>I'm merely adding to your self-image. Of course, you presenting yourself
>as knowing what and how I think is somehow done of your good
>will,,,,especially when taken into consideration your deliberate false
>misattributions that make you such a loser.
>
>>You think rules are created for just *some*
>
>>people. You think rules are for the ignorant.
>
>
>No at all. I think you are bordering on ignorant, by initiating a barb
>and defecating all over yourself when it was returned.
>
>>You are not ignorant, therefore you feel
>
>>obligated to disobey the rules to make a
>
>>.statement.
>
>
>Perhaps that is default mode to your warped interpretation of things you
>can not grasp.
>
>

>>I'll be the first to admit there are some hams
>
>>who are clueless these days. But at least
>
>>they've proven a certain level of knowledge by
>>passing a simple test. Hams have shown they
>
>>can meet *some* standard (albeit not much of
>
>>a standard these days) compared to others in
>
>>society. You seem to be a reasonably smart
>
>>guy, why don't you get a ham license?
>
>
>Stay relevant. A hammie license has nothing to do with these pages or
>cb.
>
>
>>Just because you're a ham doesn't mean you
>
>>can't continue FREEBANDING.
>
>
>Check the archives. I've made that point on many occasions. Thing is,
>it's more difficult because too many jerkoffs like yourself are out
>there who feel when they are not given a satisfactory reason by others
>for their business and behavior, it's perfectly acceptable to conjure up
>reasons on your own and ASSume them of those who won't give you the time
>of day. That and your bullshit belief you are owed some sort of
>explanation for other's behavior. It's because of your shitty
>attitude,,,,and THAT, will never change. Again, you are owed
>nothing,,,nada,,zip,,let alone a reason,for other's actions.
>
>
>
>> Getting a ham license will only increase your
>
>>opportunities. You've shown you can talk the
>
>>.talk but for some reason refuse to walk the
>
>>talk.
>
>
>
>I see your position, but it is ONLY because -you- continue to ASSume
>many conjured misbeliefs.
>

>>Unless you can write something down and
>
>>explain why you refuse to walk the talk, I have
>
>>to come to the conclusion you can't walk the
>
>>talk.
>
>And with this post, you have solidified beyond a doubt you are a
>hypocrite of the N'th magnitude.
>
>
>>The difference between CBers and Hams is
>
>>patently obvious to anyone who tunes around
>
>>HF.
>
>
>
>Yet, you continue to express difficulty with such a conceptual
>difference by claiming you can not understand why one would not get a
>license. Again, and as always, this is YOUR problem you seek to foster
>on others who have nothing to do with your beliefs or demons. Oh,,wait a
>second, I'M your demon,,,LMAO!
>
>
>
>>The next step is name calling.
>
>
>You mean aftre your little unprovoked insult you say is a joke that I
>should have laughed at?
>You're not that skilled in communications...here we go again.....you
>epitomize the fact that some of those licensed for communications are
>the least adept and skilled at such. Otherwise, you would never expect a
>"stranger" to insult another person of whcih they "know nothing of me"
>and expect them to laugh. In fact, the next step, as you say, is where I
>factly state you would never do such to a person on the street. Your
>demeanor affirms it, your communication skill reaffirms it.
>
>>After that
>
>>comes the vandalism. When I catch you
>
>>vandalizing my property you will attack me
>
>>physically. When I defend myself, your lawyer
>
>>will say I violated your rights.

>
>
>
>Man, you must have really had your wings clipped in this group for you
>to ASSume so damn much of others you know nothing about...lmao!
>
>>You win, oh great one, you win.
>
>>Go ahead, get in at least one more personal
>
>>attack. Go ahead, tell me I can't count
>
>>sentences. Go ahead, make another
>
>>statement about how CBers are superior to
>
>>hams.
>
>
>Heheh..well, you are a liar, as well. Not one time in this group, ever,
>have I ever said cbers are superior to hammies, but your rigid position
>at trying to steadfastly misattribute your false ASSumptions to myself,
>illustrates what a jack off you are, also.
>
>>Go ahead, tell me the reason you don't get a
>
>>ham license is because hams are
>
>>"poopie-heads." Go ahead, insert, "I know you
>
>>are but what am I?" in between each of the
>
>>above paragraphs. Go ahead, ask me some
>
>>stupid questions, and when I don't answer
>
>>them, use them in future "one-liner" posts to
>
>>show how ignorant hams are.
>
>>SK
>
>
>You are doing a bangup job all by yoursef illustrating the type hammie
>you are.
>But, to your misfortune and woe, not all hammies are asses like
>yourself. In fact, you are the rabid minority.
>
>>Sincerely, with warmest regards and the best
>

>>of intentions, Guy
>
>Ditto.

now where is that spread spectrum 11 meter cb radio?
i said they didn't exist, you blew a hemroid
over it.
if you dont know say so.

2777. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 20:46:18 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <NGvee.4470$Ri4.3137@okepread07>
Source record: 199802

Dave Hall wrote:

> On Thu, 05 May 2005 07:06:44 -0500, Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote:
>
>>I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>>
>>> From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
>>> Freeband sucks wrote:
>>> where can we find one?
>>>
>>>>His version of spread-spectrum is turning that
>>>>power microphone *way* up, that way, your
>>>>signal spreads out.
>>>
>>>>Sorry, had to get that in there...
>>>
>>>>Guy
>>>
>>> Hey,,don't be sorry. You serve a valuable lesson: you reinforce the
>>> ignorance of select hammies who are licensed for communications but are
>>> clueless and incompetent when it comes to such. Perhaps that's why you
>>> feel the need to say such things about others, after all, if you were
>>> confident and satisfied in your abilities in life, there would be no
>>> need for such actions toward others. Carry on, my child.
>>
>>There it is...
>>
>>Without knowing anything about me, without knowing what I do for a living,
>>without knowing the things I've done over the past three decades in the
>>field of computers and radio, without knowing who I am, you make a huge
>>jump in logic and begin to personnally attack me verbally. You couldn't
>>just laugh with me and then drop it, could you?
>>
>>You've shown me that you do know something. You're able to convey meaning
>>over these posts. The trouble is, the meaning you convey creates a sense
>>of ill-will and *you know it*. You don't add anything of value to these
>>posts. You've made it clear you are not a team player. You do it because
>>of the false sense of superiority created when you come out with these
>>baseless one-liners and cause confusion, anger, chaos. You think you're
>>superior because you don't follow the rules. You don't feel you need to
>>follow the rules. You think you're above the rules. You think rules are
>>created for just *some* people. You think rules are for the ignorant.
>>You are not ignorant, therefore you feel obligated to disobey the rules to
>>make a statement.

>>
>>I'll be the first to admit there are some hams who are clueless these
>>days. But at least they've proven a certain level of knowledge by passing
>>a
>>simple test. Hams have shown they can meet *some* standard (albeit not
>>much of a standard these days) compared to others in society. You seem to
>>be a reasonably smart guy, why don't you get a ham license? Just because
>>you're a ham doesn't mean you can't continue FREEBANDING. Getting a ham
>>license will only increase your opportunities. You've shown you can talk
>>the talk but for some reason refuse to walk the talk. Unless you can
>>write something down and explain why you refuse to walk the talk, I have
>>to come to the conclusion you can't walk the talk.
>>
>>The difference between CBers and Hams is patently obvious to anyone who
>>tunes around HF.
>>
>>The next step is name calling. After that comes the vandalism. When I
>>catch you vandalizing my property you will attack me physically. When I
>>defend myself, your lawyer will say I violated your rights.
>>
>>You win, oh great one, you win.
>>
>>Go ahead, get in at least one more personal attack. Go ahead, tell me I
>>can't count sentences. Go ahead, make another statement about how CBers
>>are superior to hams. Go ahead, tell me the reason you don't get a ham
>>license is because hams are "poopie-heads." Go ahead, insert, "I know you
>>are but what am I?" in between each of the above paragraphs. Go ahead,
>>ask me some stupid questions, and when I don't answer them, use them in
>>future "one-liner" posts to show how ignorant hams are.
>>
>>SK
>>
>>Sincerely, with warmest regards and the best of intentions,
>
>
> Gee for a new guy (no pun intended), you sure have a fairly accurate
> accounting of the situation here. Nicely put.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Thanks. He goes on to prove a couple of my points, like, accusing me of doing what he does, calling me names, demonstrating he can't read what I wrote, not claiming to be what he has claimed to be in other posts, cursing at me, etc. If you read it, you can almost feel that vein in his forehead about to explode. I'll lay off him for a while. He's so predictable, it's not even fun.

Thanks once again.

Guy

2778. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 21:55:28 UTC
From: Buzzard <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050505175528.289$UM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199803

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
> <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
> >sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
> >CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!
>
> What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio......
>
Just clang two garbage can lids together.

2779. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-05 22:04:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050505180410.607$5t@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199804

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> There is a thought. 1.1 to 1 SWR from something like zero to 30 MHz.
> Not bad somewhat above too; just add oil and turn on your amplifier LOL.
>
Sparrow never tasted so good.

2780. easy money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 01:16:22 UTC
From: stonecold_908142@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1115342181.981849.119160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199805

$$$$MAKE EASY AND QUICK CASH WITH 6 DOLLARS$$$$
MAKE EASY AND QUICK CASH MONEY by doing
almost nothing (NO JOKE, NO SCAM, AND VERY
LEGAL) Please read on! You will be very
thankful you did!
A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups,
just like you are now, and came across an article similar
to this that said you could make thousands of dollars
within weeks with only an initial investment of$6.00! So
I thought," Yeah, right, this must be a scam", but like
most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it
said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
address stated in the article. You then place your own
name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and
post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are
thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it
over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about
trying it. I figured what have I got to lose except 6
stamps and $6.00, right? Like most of us I was a little
skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of
it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office
(1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed
legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!...
Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I
was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money
just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00.
By the end of the second week I had made a total of over
$1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and
it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I
have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still
coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6
stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let
me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it
works.... also, make sure you print a copy of this article
NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need
it.
STEP 1
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on
each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST"
along your name and address Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and
place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the
bill will not be seen through the envelope to prevent
thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes
and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes,

each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. Your product is simply a service of helping develop mail lists. Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:
#1]
Devin Wiggins
4517 Runnymeade rd
owings mills, MD 21117
#2]
J. Willard
2845 Rockford Rd
Boonville, NC 27011
#3]
D. Wiggins
4517 Runnymeade rd
owings mills, MD 21117
#4]
Markarabee Fields
1580 Belleville Rd
Orangeburg, SC 29115
#5]
S. Gibbon
P.O. BOX 2454
BUNDABERG Q 4670 AUSTRALIA
#6]
Joseph Anderson
15317 Rayen St. North Hills CA. 91343
USA
STEP 2
Now take the #1 name and address off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name and address as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3
Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make!
---DIRECTIONS--HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to

the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computers memory.
Step 2
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.
Step 3
Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different sittings, you'll always have this file to go back to.
Step 4
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O. Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.**
----NOW THE WHY PART-------
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name and address at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name and address at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name and address at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name

and address at #3 and only receive 5 replies each,
I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is
the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a
MINIMUM 200 letters with my name and address at #2
and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made
me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver
this message to 200 newsgroups with my name and
address at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200
newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an
original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When
your name is no longer on the list, you just
take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send
out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your
name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
thing to remember is, do you realize that thousands
of people all over the world are joining the
internet and reading these articles everyday, JUST
LIKE YOU are now!! So can you afford $6.00 and see
if it really works?? I think so... People have said,
"what if the plan is played out and no one sends you
the money? So what! What are the chances of that
happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet
and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a
try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users,
every day, with thousands of those joining the
actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY
and this will work.
ALSO REMEMBER: SEND YOUR $1 OUT TO EVERYONE ON THE
LIST, EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT FROM YOUR COUNTRY.
WHAT ARE YOU WAITING FOR???
GOOD LUCK!!
Go to google.com and search for MAKE MONEY FAST AND EASY$$ and
you will find alot of message boards!
MAKE MONEY FAST AND EASY$$

2781. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 02:42:20 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <gQAee.1614$X21.890@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199806

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050505180410.607$5t@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> There is a thought. 1.1 to 1 SWR from something like zero to 30 MHz.
>> Not bad somewhat above too; just add oil and turn on your amplifier LOL.
>>
> Sparrow never tasted so good.

Is that an African Sparrow carrying a coconut?

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

2782. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 06:35:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <06vl71dh9203fkciv2240ill91oj7fllq0@4ax.com>
Source record: 199807

On Thu, 05 May 2005 09:32:11 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <be0k71lrjtqh4nemri28n2b8c2uam5ub8s@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>No it doesn't. It only takes one exposure to get the bug.
>
>And if you and your partner are monogamous, this is highly unlikely.

Unless your partner is infected.

<snip>
>>>>Not just the First Amendment, Dave. The concept is reflected in the
>>>>main body of the Constitution; "...no religious test shall ever be
>>>>required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the
>>>>United States," a clause which was unanimously adopted by the
>>>>Constitutional Convention.
>>>
>>>Yet the democrats are using this exact criteria to DENY appointees to
>>>the court. In their minds, a strong belief in faith should be regarded
>>>as a reason to disqualify someone from serving in public office.
>>
>>
>>You are -totally- off your rocker, Dave.
>
>Am I? I guess you haven't been following the struggle for the
>appointment of judicial nominees. It is quite obvious that the ones
>who the dems oppose the most are people with a strong religious
>faith.
>
>Some light reading for you to come up to speed on this issues.
>
>http://www.federalistjournal.com/fedblog/?cat=3
>
>http://quante.blogspot.com/2005/04/you-cant-legislate-morality.html
>
>http://www.captainsquartersblog.com/mt/archives/cat_national_politics.php

Blog, blog, blog. If you think that Democrats are godless heathens,
maybe you should take a second look at the Carter administration.

<snip>
>>>>The USA is not, nor has it ever been, a Christian state.
>>>
>>>Not "officially",
>>
>>

>>Or "unofficially".
>
>The majority of Christian citizens would probably disagree.

Despite the fact that this majority of Christian citizens is not a
majority of American citizens, seperation of church and state is not
subject to a majority vote.

I think this page says it best:

http://www.au.org/site/PageServer?pagename=issues

>>> but our whole government is littered with Christian
>>>references. The ten commandments in judicial buildings.
>>
>>
>>As well as religious symbols of other faiths.
>
>Such as?

Go search the net for a picture of the wall behind the Supreme Court
bench.

>>> The swearing
>>>on the Bible,
>>
>>
>>And here's proof that you have never read the Constitution -- the
>>passage I quoted above is in direct reference to the requirement for
>>an Oath of Affirmation.
>
>What passage have you quoted?

Don't you know? Haven't you read the Constitution?

> And how does that diminish the fact that
>swearing on the bible is a confirmation that Judeo-Christian
>influences have been intertwined in our government from the beginning?

Swearing on a bible is -not- required to take an oath of affirmation.
The clause forbidding a "religous test" was added to -prevent- exactly
what you claim. It was added because, at the time, some states had
oaths that required the person entering office to declare a belief in
God or a "divine inspiration". Such an oath was a "religious test"
that was required to qualify for the office, and excluded anyone that
didn't share the same religious beliefs. This violated the seperation
of church and state, so the clause was added to prohibit such tests,
and the office would be available to any citizen -despite- religious
beliefs.

And once again, the clause was added by unanimous consent.

<snip>
>>Unlike you, I believe in the Constitution.

>
>No, you don't. Like other leftists, you wear the constitution like a
>badge of honor when it suits you, but conveniently ignore the parts
>that do not further your agenda.

What parts do I ignore? Let me clue you in here, Dave: Many years ago
I took an oath to "protect and defend the Constitution against all
enemies, foreign and domestic". I took that oath seriously. In fact, I
took it so seriously that I took the time to learn more about what I
swore to defend with my life. Maybe if you had taken that oath
yourself you might have done the same. If you had, we wouldn't be
having this conversation right now.

And although I was discharged 20 years ago, I still hold myself to
that oath. Meaning that I will still defend it with my life if it
comes under attack -- even if that attack comes from a large group of
people claiming to be Christians.

<snip>
>>If you are so worried about the "institution" of marriage then you
>>have bigger issues than gays. In case you haven't noticed, nearly half
>>of all marriages end in divorce,
>
>Not true. You are not keeping current.
>
>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls

No, it's very true. You skipped right over the line, "The U.S. Census
Bureau does not collect the number of marriages and divorces that take
place in a given year." That statistic is collected by the CDC. And
for the year of 2003, the divorce rate was -almost exactly- half the
rate of marriage:

http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr52/nvsr52_22.pdf

>> and a large number of people get
>>married more than once. There is also the issue of polygamy -- if you
>>are going to claim that marriage is a religious value or that it is
>>traditionally monogamous then you better change your tune because
>>that's not the case. For example, King Solomon (a "servant of God")
>>had 600 wives and 900 concubines... or was it 900 wives and 600
>>concubines.... whichever, it doesn't really matter. The point is that
>>the "traditional Christian marriage" is just as much a farce in
>>definition as it is in practice; and gay marriage has far less impact
>>on it's "value" than legalized divorce, secular ceremonies, Joseph
>>Smith, or even the holy doctrine of your own faith.
>
>
>Typical tactic. Justify a particular abhorrent behavior by making
>unrelated comparisons to other abhorrent behaviors.

It's a very logical and justifiable tactic, Dave. If the foundation of your argument is that gay marriage weakens the value of marriage, it's both fair and reasonable to compare gay marriage to other factors that would affect the value of marriage. And after such a comparison, gay marriage is -barely- significant, if at all. Yet you are whining about it like a stuck pig while denying those other, much more significant factors. The conclusion is obvious: this has absolutely nothing to do with the value of marriage. You simply hate homosexuals.

<snip>
> The fact that polygamy might have been acceptable once does
>not mean that a gay marriage should be now.

I never suggested it did. I was pointing out that your "traditional Christian values" regarding marriage are, at best, hypocritical.

<snip>
>>Referring back to your "college degree" analogy, if the standards are
>>lowered then my degree becomes more valuable.
>
>Only to you.

Hardly. If the standards are lowered then I have an education that meets a higher standard, and I am therefore more qualified -- out of the gate -- than someone with a lesser education.

> After a few years go by, everyone will then consider a BS
>degree to be a 2 year course. You will have to remind people (and by
>doing so be construed as bragging in much the same way that a 20 WPM
>Extra reminds people that he passed a tougher code exam than the
>current 5 WPM extra) of the fact that you had an additional 2 years of
>study, thereby propping up your perceived value. The public at large
>will not be immediately aware of your "extra" work. Therefore it has
>diminished in value.

Because people like you exist, some will undoubtedly see it that way. But some, like myself, will already understand that those two extra years mean the difference between 'good' and 'better'.

>> Here's another analogy:
>>If some of the auto makers start making cars of lower quality, what
>>happens to the value of the vehicles made by other manufacturers? Duh.
>
>That's not a good analogy in this situation. For this analogy to be
>applicable, you would have to offer 2 different "marriage systems".
>One allowing gays, and one not. Then a relative value comparison
>between two distinct entities can accurately be assessed.

I'm not going to make justifications for your bigotry, Dave. How you feel about your own marriage is not dependent upon anyone else but yourself. The Constitution is not going to change just because a couple gays getting married affects the way you feel about your own marriage.

<snip>
>I also noticed that you snipped the part of your last response where
>you called me a "holy roller religious wacko". After retrospection,
>I'm sure you realized that in making such a statement, you are
>practicing the very same intolerance and bigotry for other viewpoints
>as you had accused me of doing, thereby exposing your own hypocrisy.
>
>But I did notice.

I snipped a lot of stuff. Unlike you, I have to work for a living, and
I simply don't have the time to play your game. So if you want to
start whining about my dumping the excess baggage you add to your
posts then feel free -- it will get snipped just like all the other
crap you use to water down the topics.

<snip>
>>>Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,
>>
>>
>>Wrong. I believe that change is inevitable.
>
>
>Even if it's bad?

Moron.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2783. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 07:06:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k64m71dsmqo175k97mfqbjgtvqenh1teis@4ax.com>
Source record: 199808

On Thu, 05 May 2005 09:44:26 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <k48k71lfj32hds0t5omk2s8b8i6fq79o4u@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:20:49 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>>>Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of
>>>a creator or, more generally, the concept of
>>
>>>intelligent design.
>>
>>
>>Science is based on logic. Nowhere does science support your position.
>
>Well, one of two possibilities exist. Either the earth cooled, formed
>water, created primordial amino acids which somehow morphed into
>single celled life, which then somehow determined the need to further
>specialize and diversify, and all species evolved from there. Somehow
>they knew that we'd need plants to make oxygen, for the animals that
>need it. Some species would become food for others. All of this raises
>many questions, the biggest of which is what force drove these single
>celled organisms to improve and specialize themselves? What drives
>evolution? Can accidental random mutation answer these questions
>satisfactorily.

That's about the most ignorant pseudo-scientific argument I have ever
heard in favor of creationsim. If you are going to play biochemist at
least show a little knowledge of the subject. You could at least
address the fact that an imbalance in a complex equilibrium will
result in a more complex equilibrium. Or that an ocean full of
primordial soup doesn't just sit there and stew in a state of
homeostatis -- it's under a constant barrage from a large number of
ionizing radiations that can change it's chemistry. After a couple
billion years it's hardly inconceivable that symbiotic relationships
not only could exist on a planetary scale, but that a threshold of
self-sustaining complexity could occur. In fact, it's far more
plausible than concluding that everything was willed into existence by
some super-ghost.

>The other possibility is that our existence was carefully guided by an
>intelligent force. Applying Occam's razor, which scenario is easier
>to believe?

See above.

<snip>
>>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
>>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
>>mistake for "random".
>
>
>But what drives evolution? If random mutations are the basis for
>evolution, then what prevents "bad mutations" or several different
>mutations from leading us down even more diverse paths?

A little concept called "survival of the fittest".

>Natural selection only answers some of those questions.

Only if you slept through the class like you did during American
History and Social Studies.

>>>There is simply not enough order in chaos for
>>>this to happen.
>>
>>
>> You are claiming this oxymoron (chaos in order) does not exist. I
>>agree.
>>Whiole Darwin's theory has yet to be proved because of a single missing
>>link, it is the most widely accepted scholarly and scientific (IE:
>>logic, logical) belief.
>
>There is much scientific evidence to support the theory of evolution.
>I am not trying to discount it at all. Quite the opposite, I totally
>endorse the concept of evolution. The difference is that I believe
>that evolution was "helped" along by an outside intelligence.

Instead of being wishy-washy about the issue, why not consider the
possibility that evolution is, very simply, one of God's creations?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2784. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 07:13:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <h76m71lfqoqti4rnatqqpldfhuvdv56hfv@4ax.com>
Source record: 199809

On Thu, 05 May 2005 09:44:26 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:20:49 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>>>Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of
>>>a creator or, more generally, the concept of
>>
>>>intelligent design.
>>
>>
>>Science is based on logic. Nowhere does science support your position.
>
>Well, one of two possibilities exist. Either the earth cooled, formed
>water, created primordial amino acids which somehow morphed into
>single celled life, which then somehow determined the need to further
>specialize and diversify, and all species evolved from there. Somehow
>they knew that we'd need plants to make oxygen, for the animals that
>need it. Some species would become food for others. All of this raises
>many questions, the biggest of which is what force drove these single
>celled organisms to improve and specialize themselves? What drives
>evolution? Can accidental random mutation answer these questions
>satisfactorily.

That's about the most ignorant pseudo-scientific argument I have ever
heard in favor of creationsim. If you are going to play biochemist at
least show a little knowledge of the subject. You could at least
address the fact that an imbalance in a complex equilibrium will
result in a more complex equilibrium. Or that an ocean full of
primordial soup doesn't just sit there and stew in a state of
homeostatis -- it's under a constant barrage from a large number of
ionizing radiations that can change it's chemistry. After a couple
billion years it's hardly inconceivable that symbiotic relationships
not only could exist on a planetary scale, but that a threshold of
self-sustaining complexity could occur. In fact, it's far more
plausible than concluding that everything was willed into existence by
some super-ghost.

>The other possibility is that our existence was carefully guided by an
>intelligent force. Applying Occam's razor, which scenario is easier
>to believe?

See above.

<snip>
>>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
>>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
>>mistake for "random".
>
>
>But what drives evolution? If random mutations are the basis for
>evolution, then what prevents "bad mutations" or several different
>mutations from leading us down even more diverse paths?

A little concept called "survival of the fittest".

>Natural selection only answers some of those questions.

Only if you slept through the class like you did during American
History and Social Studies.

>>>There is simply not enough order in chaos for
>>>this to happen.
>>
>>
>> You are claiming this oxymoron (chaos in order) does not exist. I
>>agree.
>>Whiole Darwin's theory has yet to be proved because of a single missing
>>link, it is the most widely accepted scholarly and scientific (IE:
>>logic, logical) belief.
>
>There is much scientific evidence to support the theory of evolution.
>I am not trying to discount it at all. Quite the opposite, I totally
>endorse the concept of evolution. The difference is that I believe
>that evolution was "helped" along by an outside intelligence.

Instead of being wishy-washy about the issue, why not consider the
possibility that evolution is, very simply, one of God's creations?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2785. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 11:23:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8mkm71hla5jscabqrq4rhl2ou4n4ppb0kp@4ax.com>
Source record: 199810

On Thu, 05 May 2005 18:09:39 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in <Djtee.535$8g.446@news01.roc.ny>:

<snip>
>Hello, Dave
>
>The problem would be SWR with the requisite bandwidth. Of course, if anyone
>has any of those old Heathkit Cantennas :)

Or a discone. That's one of the preferred commercial antennas for SS
on VHF/UHF. SWR isn't flat but it's low over a 10:1 range of spectrum.
The only problem is the poor gain. I wonder if anyone has ever tried
to build a phased discone array.....

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2786. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 13:41:00 UTC
From: mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115386860.394964.41080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199811

Buzzard wrote:
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> > On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
> > <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I
put a
> > >sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real
real
> > >CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!
> >
> > What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio......
> >
> Just clang two garbage can lids together.

better yet, clang 2 keyklown heads together, then the crap that passes
for brains in a keyklown head will slosh around some.

2787. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 13:43:29 UTC
From: mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115387009.824550.61080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199812

what can I say? twitlips has admitted he collects men's jock straps...

2788. Why is mopathetic hiding from the AKC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 13:45:54 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115387154.699978.97050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199813

Where ya camping at Dayton, squatter boy?

2789. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 14:24:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <563-427B7E37-373@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199816

From: JF@comcast.net (John)
WWWWOOOOOWWW Dual finals.
    Hell give me a TS 50 it has dual finals with 200 watts p-p
and clean. Danm it even works the freeband with no power loss. Oh thats
right it does not roger beep, shit I do not know what to do without
roger beep.

_
I prefer an ever-so-slight tinge of echo over roger beep....not a
repeat, not several echoes, but a single, solitary, slight
echo,,somewhere between one and two on the Galaxy setting...on many
radios it sounds like one is in an empty room,,,but on certain radios,
it does exactly what the echoeffect intended. There is a reason all
those commercial ads on commercial radio have a very slight echo
effect....they simply stand out above the rest. Have yourself a very
merry weekend!

2790. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 14:27:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <563-427B7EEA-374@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199814

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
<cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!

>What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio......

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Riiight! This neatly explains why so many bucks are spent on those ads
with echo on commercial radio. Just because you dislike something
doesn't make it wrong or less effective....but then again, that's always
the case with your minority opinions.

2791. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 14:29:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <563-427B7F59-375@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199815

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Buzzard)
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
<cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!

(What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio.....)

>Just clang two garbage can lids together.

Sadelta is a mic that gives that empty room type hollow sound I would
assume........?

2792. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 14:40:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <564-427B81E6-98@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199817

Dave Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:

(I see you slept through history -and- science.)

>No, actually those were my strongest subjects.
>I had to explain to my 4th grade teacher how

>nuclear fission worked.

Oh brother!

>I have also studied the intricacies of our planet
>and its ecosystem.

Self taught based on nothing more than personal thought you mistakenly refer as empirical observation isn't a valid method.

>It is far too complex to have evolved totally

>randomly.

(That's an argument used by primitive civilations to explain things which they do not understand. If you want to be a part of an enlightened society, the first thing you need to learn is that complexity does not require divine providence. But if that concept is beyond your level of comprehension you can always take up astrology, voodoo, crystal ball gazing..... or even republican economics.)

The ancients sacrificed each other to the "Gods" of the sky when they were angry (thunder, heavy storms)...same goes for the Gods of the sea, the four winds, etc. St. Elmo's Fire was attributed to the Gods by the old salts and still is in superstitious circles of the old time fishermen...

2793. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 15:19:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <563-427B8B16-381@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199818

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:43:08 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 09:39:13 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
(The car, as is the radio spectrum, mine!!! My car is NOT the DMV's, my
radio spectrum is NOT the FCC's....)

>The FCC owns the rights to the radio

>spectrum in this country.

That is ludicrous. They do not. They merely are charged with
administrating such. The spectrum does not stop at the borders.

>.No, but while inside the borders, you will pay

>(Sometimes dearly) the FCC for the right to

>play on the airwaves.

So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
paltry bucks..

>Ask any cell phone company

>owner/administrator.

Your selection of cell phone admins does not discount the countless
freebanders, cbers or hammies who play on it for free or on the extreme
cheap.

>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum

>to people with a legitimate need. It's no

>different than government owned land.

Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were
already taught.

>Yes, it is different in some ways, but the ways

>that are similar are what I am talking about. It's
>a fact that the FCC sells off chunks of

>spectrum to commercial interests, sometimes

>for outrageous amounts. If the FCC was not in
>the position to claim "ownership" of that

>spectrum, how could they auction it off?

By virtue of administration. Auctions are held daily all over the place.
They do not own what they auction, but like the FCC, are merely charged
with the administering of such.

>Your car is yours as is your radio gear. But the
>privilege to operate both is granted by the

>government, and can be revoked for the

>proper cause.

Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate
my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.

>Right! On you own land. But venture out on

>.the public street, and they have all the

>authority. Same goes for radio. If you can

>somehow prevent your signal from escaping

>the borders of your property (Which is covered
>by FCC Part 15), you could do what you want.

Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?

>Once those signals escape into the public

>venue, they are under the control of the

>federal government.

How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over
such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can
tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public
broadcast?

>Another way to look at it, You own your car,

>but not the roads you drive on.

Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.

>And administered by the government.

>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves

>you broadcast on.

Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.

>For all practical purposes, yes they do in this

>country.

>You do not have a "right" to transmit beyond

>the confines of your own property.

That is what the cb does.

>You are granted a "privilege" to do so by the

>government in the proxy of the FCC.

This "privilege" is availabe to anyone, so how can it be referred a privilege? I know you elitist hammies believe this to be true about your ticket, but it simply does not apply to cb, as practically any American citizen is granted the "right" to broadcast, via a cb, simply by ownership of one. This does not exactly equate to any "privilege".

>As a

>condition of that privilege comes your

>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in

>various FCC parts depending on which

>service you are using.

>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.

Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right now and have said so on many occasion. They rightly and deservedly go after those they deem the most important and damaging to our hobby. It is yourself that does not like the "way it is" nor agree with it.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2794. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 15:23:35 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <564-427B8BF7-99@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199819

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:11:27 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>Most cops would not bother to write someone

>a ticket for not coming completely to a stop

>and waiting the required 3 seconds before

>proceeding at a stop sign.

They will bust your ass here in the high tourist area for that exact
offense. There are way too many bicyclists and pedestrians around here
and the cops vehemently enforce what is commonly known as the
"California Stop" or the "Rolling Stop" through a stop sign.

>No argument (and I'll keep that in mind for the

>next time I visit there). I'm sure it is very much

>"area dependant". It is also at the discretion of

>the cop.

Not always. For example, in Ybor City, an area of Tampa, There are
cameras on the poles that record every move. This led to remote-sent
traffic tickets, such as are found at many toll booths around the
country. Run the toll, get a ticket in the mail.

> The letter of the law gives them the

>authority to be as strict as they want in

>applying the law. But just like speeding, there

>are enough blatant violators out there that

>they don't have to nit pick with those

>borderline cases. Cops don't want to risk citing
>someone like Landshark who actually is savvy
>enough to win his case. At least not in my

>area. But I live in a semi-rural area, where

>there is more likely to be horse drawn wagons

>than hordes of pedestrians.

Yea,,,those Mennonites and their charmed ways.

>Dave

>."Sandbagger"

>n3cv

2795. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 15:34:48 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <563-427B8E98-385@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199820

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:20:49 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of
>a creator or, more generally, the concept of

>intelligent design.

Science is based on logic. Nowhere does science support your position.

>Well, one of two possibilities exist.

Why are you limiting yourself to two possibilities? There are a myriad
of possibilities and a certain amount of fact to support more than two
possibilities.

>Either the

>earth cooled, formed water, created primordial
>amino acids which somehow morphed into

>single celled life, which then somehow

>determined the need to further specialize and

>diversify, and all species evolved from there.

>Somehow they knew that we'd need plants to

>make oxygen, for the animals that need it.

Ummm,,,in all bio classes, we learn plant life was here before primates.

>Some species would become food for others.

>All of this raises many questions, the biggest

>of which is what force drove these single

>celled organisms to improve and specialize

>themselves?

Natural selection,,,bio-evolvement.

>What drives evolution? Can accidental

>random mutation answer these questions

>satisfactorily.

Science can. If a culture is underground for years and years, they will take on a different appearance. Their skin would be fairer. Their eyes would be slightly larger...and so on it goes.

>The other possibility is that our existence was

>carefully guided by an intelligent force.

>Applying Occam's razor, which scenario is

>easier to believe?

Depends if one places their core belief in faith or science.

>Our whole ecosystem, the intricate

>specialization of the various functions of our

>bodies and other aspects of nature are far too

>complex to have occurred and evolved at

>random.

Now THAT is one hell of a subjective opinion.

>Yes, but it based on probability.

So were the human sacrifices to the Gods, in their time. You can't possibly believe we reached the pinnacle of all intellectualism and physical traits. People continue to live longer, grow larger and stronger, etc.
But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may mistake for "random".

>But what drives evolution? If random

>mutations are the basis for evolution, then

>what prevents "bad mutations" or several

>different mutations from leading us down even
>more diverse paths?

Nothing, There are plenty of "bad mutations" running around...screwed up or damaged or incomplete chromosomes, sequences, and gray matter. Mongoloids are but a single example..

>Natural selection only answers some of those

>questions.

>There is simply not enough order in chaos for

>this to happen.

You are claiming this oxymoron (chaos in order) does not exist. I agree.
Darwin's theory has yet to be proved because of a single missing link, it is the most widely accepted scholarly and scientific (IE: logic, logical) belief.

>There is much scientific evidence to support

>the theory of evolution. I am not trying to

>discount it at all. Quite the opposite, I totally

>endorse the concept of evolution. The

>difference is that I believe that evolution was

>"helped" along by an outside intelligence.

Christians do not believe cavemen existed as science depicts. Do you?

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2796. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 18:00:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jhOee.6665$vu7.3485865@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199821

Well, unless I am mistaken, the following appears in our constitution:
". . . endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights . . ."
Now, I am an AMERIAN with GREAT respect for the founders and traditions of
this country--if the "rule of God" was good enough for them, it is good
enough for me, end of story!!!!

Regards,
John

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:06vl71dh9203fkciv2240ill91oj7fllq0@4ax.com...
| On Thu, 05 May 2005 09:32:11 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
| wrote in <be0k71lrjtqh4nemri28n2b8c2uam5ub8s@4ax.com>:
|
| <snip>
| >>No it doesn't. It only takes one exposure to get the bug.
| >
| >And if you and your partner are monogamous, this is highly unlikely.
|
|
| Unless your partner is infected.
|
|
| <snip>
| >>>>Not just the First Amendment, Dave. The concept is reflected in the
| >>>>main body of the Constitution; "...no religious test shall ever be
| >>>>required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the
| >>>>United States," a clause which was unanimously adopted by the
| >>>>Constitutional Convention.
| >>>
| >>>Yet the democrats are using this exact criteria to DENY appointees to
| >>>the court. In their minds, a strong belief in faith should be regarded
| >>>as a reason to disqualify someone from serving in public office.
| >>
| >>
| >>You are -totally- off your rocker, Dave.
| >
| >Am I? I guess you haven't been following the struggle for the
| >appointment of judicial nominees. It is quite obvious that the ones
| >who the dems oppose the most are people with a strong religious
| >faith.
| >
| >Some light reading for you to come up to speed on this issues.
| >

| >http://www.federalistjournal.com/fedblog/?cat=3
| >
| >http://quante.blogspot.com/2005/04/you-cant-legislate-morality.html
| >
| >http://www.captainsquartersblog.com/mt/archives/cat_national_politics.php
|
|
| Blog, blog, blog. If you think that Democrats are godless heathens,
| maybe you should take a second look at the Carter administration.
|
|
| <snip>
| >>>>The USA is not, nor has it ever been, a Christian state.
| >>>
| >>>Not "officially",
| >>
| >>
| >>Or "unofficially".
| >
| >The majority of Christian citizens would probably disagree.
|
|
| Despite the fact that this majority of Christian citizens is not a
| majority of American citizens, seperation of church and state is not
| subject to a majority vote.
|
| I think this page says it best:
|
| http://www.au.org/site/PageServer?pagename=issues
|
|
| >>> but our whole government is littered with Christian
| >>>references. The ten commandments in judicial buildings.
| >>
| >>
| >>As well as religious symbols of other faiths.
| >
| >Such as?
|
|
| Go search the net for a picture of the wall behind the Supreme Court
| bench.
|
|
| >>> The swearing
| >>>on the Bible,
| >>
| >>
| >>And here's proof that you have never read the Constitution -- the

| >>passage I quoted above is in direct reference to the requirement for
| >>an Oath of Affirmation.
| >
| >What passage have you quoted?
|
|
| Don't you know? Haven't you read the Constitution?
|
|
| > And how does that diminish the fact that
| >swearing on the bible is a confirmation that Judeo-Christian
| >influences have been intertwined in our government from the beginning?
|
|
| Swearing on a bible is -not- required to take an oath of affirmation.
| The clause forbidding a "religous test" was added to -prevent- exactly
| what you claim. It was added because, at the time, some states had
| oaths that required the person entering office to declare a belief in
| God or a "divine inspiration". Such an oath was a "religious test"
| that was required to qualify for the office, and excluded anyone that
| didn't share the same religious beliefs. This violated the seperation
| of church and state, so the clause was added to prohibit such tests,
| and the office would be available to any citizen -despite- religious
| beliefs.
|
| And once again, the clause was added by unanimous consent.
|
|
| <snip>
| >>Unlike you, I believe in the Constitution.
| >
| >No, you don't. Like other leftists, you wear the constitution like a
| >badge of honor when it suits you, but conveniently ignore the parts
| >that do not further your agenda.
|
|
| What parts do I ignore? Let me clue you in here, Dave: Many years ago
| I took an oath to "protect and defend the Constitution against all
| enemies, foreign and domestic". I took that oath seriously. In fact, I
| took it so seriously that I took the time to learn more about what I
| swore to defend with my life. Maybe if you had taken that oath
| yourself you might have done the same. If you had, we wouldn't be
| having this conversation right now.
|
| And although I was discharged 20 years ago, I still hold myself to
| that oath. Meaning that I will still defend it with my life if it
| comes under attack -- even if that attack comes from a large group of
| people claiming to be Christians.
|

|
| <snip>
| >>If you are so worried about the "institution" of marriage then you
| >>have bigger issues than gays. In case you haven't noticed, nearly half
| >>of all marriages end in divorce,
| >
| >Not true. You are not keeping current.
| >
| >http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
|
|
| No, it's very true. You skipped right over the line, "The U.S. Census
| Bureau does not collect the number of marriages and divorces that take
| place in a given year." That statistic is collected by the CDC. And
| for the year of 2003, the divorce rate was -almost exactly- half the
| rate of marriage:
|
| http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr52/nvsr52_22.pdf
|
|
| >> and a large number of people get
| >>married more than once. There is also the issue of polygamy -- if you
| >>are going to claim that marriage is a religious value or that it is
| >>traditionally monogamous then you better change your tune because
| >>that's not the case. For example, King Solomon (a "servant of God")
| >>had 600 wives and 900 concubines... or was it 900 wives and 600
| >>concubines.... whichever, it doesn't really matter. The point is that
| >>the "traditional Christian marriage" is just as much a farce in
| >>definition as it is in practice; and gay marriage has far less impact
| >>on it's "value" than legalized divorce, secular ceremonies, Joseph
| >>Smith, or even the holy doctrine of your own faith.
| >
| >
| >Typical tactic. Justify a particular abhorrent behavior by making
| >unrelated comparisons to other abhorrent behaviors.
|
|
| It's a very logical and justifiable tactic, Dave. If the foundation of
| your argument is that gay marriage weakens the value of marriage, it's
| both fair and reasonable to compare gay marriage to other factors that
| would affect the value of marriage. And after such a comparison, gay
| marriage is -barely- significant, if at all. Yet you are whining about
| it like a stuck pig while denying those other, much more significant
| factors. The conclusion is obvious: this has absolutely nothing to do
| with the value of marriage. You simply hate homosexuals.
|
|
| <snip>
| > The fact that polygamy might have been acceptable once does

| >not mean that a gay marriage should be now.
|
|
| I never suggested it did. I was pointing out that your "traditional
| Christian values" regarding marriage are, at best, hypocritical.
|
|
| <snip>
| >>Referring back to your "college degree" analogy, if the standards are
| >>lowered then my degree becomes more valuable.
| >
| >Only to you.
|
|
| Hardly. If the standards are lowered then I have an education that
| meets a higher standard, and I am therefore more qualified -- out of
| the gate -- than someone with a lesser education.
|
|
| > After a few years go by, everyone will then consider a BS
| >degree to be a 2 year course. You will have to remind people (and by
| >doing so be construed as bragging in much the same way that a 20 WPM
| >Extra reminds people that he passed a tougher code exam than the
| >current 5 WPM extra) of the fact that you had an additional 2 years of
| >study, thereby propping up your perceived value. The public at large
| >will not be immediately aware of your "extra" work. Therefore it has
| >diminished in value.
|
|
| Because people like you exist, some will undoubtedly see it that way.
| But some, like myself, will already understand that those two extra
| years mean the difference between 'good' and 'better'.
|
|
| >> Here's another analogy:
| >>If some of the auto makers start making cars of lower quality, what
| >>happens to the value of the vehicles made by other manufacturers? Duh.
| >
| >That's not a good analogy in this situation. For this analogy to be
| >applicable, you would have to offer 2 different "marriage systems".
| >One allowing gays, and one not. Then a relative value comparison
| >between two distinct entities can accurately be assessed.
|
|
| I'm not going to make justifications for your bigotry, Dave. How you
| feel about your own marriage is not dependent upon anyone else but
| yourself. The Constitution is not going to change just because a
| couple gays getting married affects the way you feel about your own
| marriage.

|
|
| <snip>
| >I also noticed that you snipped the part of your last response where
| >you called me a "holy roller religious wacko". After retrospection,
| >I'm sure you realized that in making such a statement, you are
| >practicing the very same intolerance and bigotry for other viewpoints
| >as you had accused me of doing, thereby exposing your own hypocrisy.
| >
| >But I did notice.
|
|
| I snipped a lot of stuff. Unlike you, I have to work for a living, and
| I simply don't have the time to play your game. So if you want to
| start whining about my dumping the excess baggage you add to your
| posts then feel free -- it will get snipped just like all the other
| crap you use to water down the topics.
|
|
| <snip>
| >>>Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,
| >>
| >>
| >>Wrong. I believe that change is inevitable.
| >
| >
| >Even if it's bad?
|
|
| Moron.
|
|
|
|
|
|
| ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
| http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
| ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

2797. Re: Attic antenna not doing much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 18:59:48 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <1115405988.096614.158020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199822

No do not hang it horizontally as everybody else is vertical. Just add
5 or 6 9 foot wires to your cookie sheet and you should be fine.

2798. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 19:18:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <32gn71pldmld4rr2tbl58o3cqbuvohdrt2@4ax.com>
Source record: 199823

On Fri, 6 May 2005 11:00:49 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<jhOee.6665$vu7.3485865@news.sisna.com>:

>Well, unless I am mistaken, the following appears in our constitution:
>". . . endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights . . ."

You -are- mistaken -- that's from the Declaration of Independence.

>Now, I am an AMERIAN with GREAT respect for the founders and traditions of
>this country--if the "rule of God" was good enough for them, it is good
>enough for me, end of story!!!!

But it -wasn't- good enough for them, which is why Madison and
Jefferson fought so hard against the Conventicle Act and the Test Act,
and why the Bill of Rights includes a clause that establishes both
freedom of religion and the seperation of church and state.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2799. Re: Attic antenna not doing much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 21:00:20 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <498-427BDAE4-433@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199825

From: tylernt@gmail.com
So I bought a magmount CB antenna from Radio Schmack and just stuck it in my front room for testing purposes, connected to a Midland 77-104 . Using a borrowed handheld CB, range was barely half a mile (I live in a city), but that could have just been the handheld.
I have the magmount up in the attic on a cookie sheet now. I don't have the handheld anymore for testing purposes, but I have heard nothing on channel 9 or 19 for several days, even after soliciting contacts a few times. I've got someone with a CB about 6 miles away and I have a freeway (i.e., truckers) also about 6 miles away... still can't make contact with anyone.
Is 6 miles out of reach for an attic magmount? Do I need a better ground plane or should I just give it up as a lost cause?

_
For continuous reliable communications, get a base antenna if at all possible,,it will give you better results than a mag mount. 6 miles is an unrealistic goal in the city for reliable continuous communications with your current set-up..unless you're on the top floor of a multi-story tall building. If there is any way you cacn erect a base antenna, even an antron,..go for it.,

2800. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 21:07:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <M0Ree.9680$qB3.5948531@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199824

You are right, that is the bill of rights--the two documents are inseperable in my mind--I often refer to them both as one...

And, you are right again, we do indeed have freedom of religion--the "state" cannot establish a "state religion" is what that means... I didn't want them to anyway ... with my luck they'd pick the "Jehovah Witnesses"--I'd have gov't people knocking on my door on the weekend!!!! <grin>

Regards,
John

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:32gn71pldmld4rr2tbl58o3cqbuvohdrt2@4ax.com...
| On Fri, 6 May 2005 11:00:49 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
| <jhOee.6665$vu7.3485865@news.sisna.com>:
|
| >Well, unless I am mistaken, the following appears in our constitution:
| >". . . endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights . . ."
|
|
| You -are- mistaken -- that's from the Declaration of Independence.
|
|
| >Now, I am an AMERIAN with GREAT respect for the founders and traditions of
| >this country--if the "rule of God" was good enough for them, it is good
| >enough for me, end of story!!!!
|
|
| But it -wasn't- good enough for them, which is why Madison and
| Jefferson fought so hard against the Conventicle Act and the Test Act,
| and why the Bill of Rights includes a clause that establishes both
| freedom of religion and the seperation of church and state.
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
| ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----

| http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
| ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2801. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 21:30:43 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <498-427BE203-436@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199826

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Thu, 5 May 2005 14:23:24 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (DaveÂ Hall)
On Wed, 4 May 2005 19:29:25 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (FreebandÂ sucks)
The technology is there,,you just have to -learn- it. Tell you
what,,think about part 15 transceivers,,,,think about chips,..... now
think about different modes,,outside the box....think about
UHF.....now,,,if you still are confused, please explain why automatic
hopping of freqs on the freeband is such a difficult concept for you.
Since you can't seem to grasp this idea, forget about even casually
mentioning digital sequencing to you,,,,you'd win the pool!!! :)

(I've been watching this topic with some
interest. IMHO the only true method of SS is
the direct sequencing method.)

Yea,,that's what i meant when I said "digital" sequencing.

(Frequency
hopping sort of "cheats" the concept of a
wideband and barely distinguishable SS
system.)

Yea,,I guess it could be seen that way,,,but,,why couldn't the
technology be programmed to hop "wider" (for lack of a better term at
the moment)?

(Direct sequence is also more secure than FH.)

I'm not up on the latest encryption technique regarding this technology,
but take your word for it. But,,,why would a direct sequence (definite
pattern) be more secure than a random pattern?

(Nonetheless, frequency hopping is considered spread spectrum in today's
marketplace.)

Yep it is.

(As far as using SS on 11 meters, well..... First off, there are no
commercial CB radios made
with this technology.)

I never said there was. I said the technology was there and blew a
gasket in a certain poster's gray matter and now he is all wrapped up in

himself blathering incoherently about a cb radio when I referred the
freeband.

>really?

Really.

>my original post that you responded too said

>nothing about freeband.

LMAO,what a lying POS you are...that's why you changed the topic
header,,so the post I replied to regarding freeband would be
forgot...what a CARD!

> in fact it said

>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>nothing about freeband there

Only because you suffer a great moronic communcation deficit..as it is
there plainly for the entire world to see, but you, for some odd reason,
express magnicent failure regarding your memory, intellect, and
communication skill. Here it is again,,,open wide:

From: Freeband sucks <freeband_su...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...
References: <1115140548.1a320617e93e5875545e89b2be1b9aeb@bubbanews>
<25240-4277E686-431@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.91/32.564
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 16
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 May 2005 17:25:30 EST
Message-ID: <1115159130.9ed8124b9af7d68c5235b286d05c6b91@bubbanews>
X-Abuse-Report: http://www.usenetabuse.com
X-Abuse-Notes: Abuse reports must be submited via the usenetabuse.com
portal listed above.
X-Abuse-Notes2: Reports sent via any other method will not be processed.
X-Abuse-Notes3: Any other abuse reporting headers in this article are
fraudulent.
X-Orginal-Message-ID: <k80a71lmq6mgclo3bah0h8u9grmrttb...@4ax.com>
Date: Sun, 01 May 2005 11:19:25 -0500
On Tue, 3 May 2005 17:00:54 -0400, iamnotgeo...@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>From: freeband_su...@yahoo.com (FreebandÂ sucks)
>On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t...@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
>Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate.
>Stu
>
>>freebanders don't use spread spectrum

>

Others learn what they do not know. You deny. Carry on and off you go,
you magnificent failure, you!!. :)~

2802. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 21:39:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <498-427BE421-442@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199827

From: cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com (A PROUD FREEBANDER)
WHAT Puerto Rico? I don't here a THING on Freeband, let alone on the
"normal" CB channels. <insert roger beep and/or echo, and noise toy
here>
Over and out.
-
I am usually pointed slightly northeast across the Atlantic pond.
Puerto Rico was coming in the back door like gangbusters when I posted.
I can always find espanol taking place on the freedial somewhere...as
well as other dx happenings...plenty of what you hear depends on
location location location. If I was way inland in the northern states,
I don't think I would use any vertical antenna ..maybe a dual polarity
beam.

2803. Re: Special Activations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 21:44:13 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <499-427BE52D-112@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199828

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
..are up and running on the freeband.

>Do they auto activate my vox?

I never messed with auto-voice...I think they were a bunch of Alpha
Tangos doin' their thang....you know them guys will do anything for a
few cents or stamps. But lately I heard rumors claiming inflation is now
causing paper money to be exchanged. Perish the thought!!

2804. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 22:01:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050506180152.843$1d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199829

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050505180410.607$5t@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> There is a thought. 1.1 to 1 SWR from something like zero to 30 MHz.
> >> Not bad somewhat above too; just add oil and turn on your amplifier
> >> LOL.
> >>
> > Sparrow never tasted so good.
>
> Is that an African Sparrow carrying a coconut?
>
> Landshark
>
Amazon parrot bringing rum!

2805. Re: Special Activations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 22:11:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050506181120.609$iP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199830

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>I heard rumors claiming inflation is now
> causing paper money to be exchanged. Perish the thought!!
>
That's old news man. Those rag heads only think they are making more
dollars with that oil. Reckon why they keep trading in dollars?

2806. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 22:18:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3ipn715n88dj2h2a3vl4rspu4eclipd1e7@4ax.com>
Source record: 199831

On Fri, 6 May 2005 14:07:53 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<M0Ree.9680$qB3.5948531@news.sisna.com>:

>You are right, that is the bill of rights--the two documents are inseperable
>in my mind--I often refer to them both as one...

That's because they -are- one. The Bill of Rights is just a name used
for the first ten Amendments to the Constitution. Actually, the bill
contained twelve amendments, but the first two didn't pass. One of
those two amendments passed 150 years later. The other one deserves
reconsideration -- it would establish an upper limit on the number of
people that can be represented by a member of the House of Reps.

>And, you are right again, we do indeed have freedom of religion--the "state"
>cannot establish a "state religion" is what that means...

Absolutely right. Religious freedom is for -everybody-, not just the
majority. If the government starts playing favorites then the freedoms
enjoyed by the minorities are stifled, and we regress back to the same
type of government that ruled over the colonies until they declared
independence from Britain.

> I didn't want them
>to anyway ... with my luck they'd pick the "Jehovah Witnesses"--I'd have
>gov't people knocking on my door on the weekend!!!! <grin>

Hmmmm..... Jehovah's Witness IRS agents..... now -that's- scary!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2807. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 22:19:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050506181921.362$gy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199832

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com (A=A0PROUD=A0FREEBANDER)
> WHAT Puerto Rico? I don't here a THING on Freeband, let alone on the
> "normal" CB channels. <insert roger beep and/or echo, and noise toy
> here>
> Over and out.
> -
> I am usually pointed slightly northeast across the Atlantic pond.
> Puerto Rico was coming in the back door like gangbusters when I posted.
> I can always find espanol taking place on the freedial somewhere...as
> well as other dx happenings...plenty of what you hear depends on
> location location location. If I was way inland in the northern states,
> I don't think I would use any vertical antenna ..maybe a dual polarity
> beam.
>
Can you strap a pdl-II to the tuna tower?!

2808. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-06 22:28:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050506182858.913$mE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199833

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Buzzard)
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
> <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
> sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
> CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!
>
> (What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio.....)
>
> >Just clang two garbage can lids together.
>
> Sadelta is a mic that gives that empty room type hollow sound I would
> assume........?
>
Sorta like a non-amplified 104 in the basement, next to the pool table?

2809. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 00:04:03 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115423685.2d48782008c750cbc337378010bb42b1@bubbanews>
Source record: 199834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 6 May 2005 17:30:43 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>my original post that you responded too said
>
>>nothing about freeband.
>
>LMAO,what a lying POS you are...that's why you changed the topic
>header,,so the post I replied to regarding freeband would be
>forgot...what a CARD!

LMAO,what a lying POS you are...thats why you changed the topic to
freeband. so the post that i made regarding 11 meters would be
forgot..what a card!
>
>> in fact it said
>
>>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
>>nothing about freeband there
>
>
>Only because you suffer a great moronic communcation deficit..as it is
>there plainly for the entire world to see, but you, for some odd reason,
>express magnicent failure regarding your memory, intellect, and
>communication skill. Here it is again,,,open wide:
>

you suffer from moronic communication deficet, there was nothing there
about freeband. so here it is again,open wide little boy:

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net>
wrote:
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com...
Frank Gilliland wrote:
Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?
<snip>
-
The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration.

-
Not sure about A3E & J3E modes, but at least two advanced CB modes do
figure in to national plans. They are CB spread-spectrum and packet.
Stu

>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.

---

now off you go little boy,thanks for playing, next time come back with
your thinking cap on!

2810. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 05:32:54 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <aqYee.3713$5o2.816@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199835

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050506180152.843$1d@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050505180410.607$5t@newsreader.com...
>> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> >> There is a thought. 1.1 to 1 SWR from something like zero to 30 MHz.
>> >> Not bad somewhat above too; just add oil and turn on your amplifier
>> >> LOL.
>> >>
>> > Sparrow never tasted so good.
>>
>> Is that an African Sparrow carrying a coconut?
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Amazon parrot bringing rum!

Damn Parrot Heads..... Cheese Burger
in Paradise.....

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

2811. 14dn326

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 10:27:15 UTC
From: djslam92@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115461635.696741.150740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199836

aller voirt mon site personnel d'amateur radio et passionner de dx 11m
(http://www.14dn326.fr.ct)et laisser moi des message sur mon livre
d'or merci 73 51 les plus QRO

2812. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 11:33:49 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115465629.628615.301550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199837

Steveo wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> > From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Buzzard)
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> > On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
> > <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put
a
> > sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real
real
> > CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!
> >
> > (What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio.....)
> >
> > >Just clang two garbage can lids together.
> >
> > Sadelta is a mic that gives that empty room type hollow sound I
would
> > assume........?
> >
> Sorta like a non-amplified 104 in the basement, next to the pool
table?

Sorta like a spectrum squatting keyclown named mopathetic, ay?

2813. wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 11:35:08 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115465708.349708.222590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199838

Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?

2814. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 15:30:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050507113030.588$AQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199839

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>
> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of stalking
> and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him why contribute
> more/.
>
I don't read his drivel, dumbass.

Take a good look at this cowardly mouth breather, it's no wonder
he wouldn't come outside. lol

http://img138.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly0zm.jpg

2815. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 15:31:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050507113108.451$LM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199840

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050506180152.843$1d@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050505180410.607$5t@newsreader.com...
> >> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> >> There is a thought. 1.1 to 1 SWR from something like zero to 30
> >> >> MHz. Not bad somewhat above too; just add oil and turn on your
> >> >> amplifier LOL.
> >> >>
> >> > Sparrow never tasted so good.
> >>
> >> Is that an African Sparrow carrying a coconut?
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Amazon parrot bringing rum!
>
> Damn Parrot Heads.............. Cheese Burger
> in Paradise.............
>
> Landshark
>
Boat drinks!
```

2816. New email service!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 16:20:35 UTC
From: richammond@lunaris.ath.cx
Message-ID: <1115482835.835806.187780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199841

Dear All,
This is just an email to share with you the new messaging service i found, handling more than million mailboxes and competing with the world's leaders : Lunaris Mail.

UNLIMITED mailbox space
Lunaris Mail does not have a space limit as yahoo or hotmail (250 Mb) or even Gmail (1Gb). Something no provider did before : free unlimited space for your messages. You can store as much as email/attachements as you want!

AND ALL THIS FOR FREE

Sign up your unlimited mail box account : http://www.lunaris.ath.cx

Note : To prevent spammers and idle users, your account is initially limited to 5Mb, but you can claim immediately your unlimited space by writing to admin@lunaris.ath.cx - Your account will then be provided with full space in seconds.

Lunaris mail is growing fast and fine!! To keep this service alive, the only one which supplies you with unlimited space for your emails, please make a donation in order to keep Lunaris alive!!
http://www.lunaris.ath.cx/donate.html

We are also looking (for FREE) for people who are at least 10 hrs/day online. For the tasks please check: http://www.lunaris.ath.cx/jobs.html

Download Logos, ringtones and Games for your mobile phone
You have INCREDIBLE choice, always UP TO DATE contents!!!
www.lunaris.ath.cx ---> section Mobile

Regards

2817. Re: Plenty of Puerto Rico on freeband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 19:31:48 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2Y-dnVJv9II-iuDfRVn-2g@giganews.com>
Source record: 199842

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (Freeband sucks)
> On Wed, 4 May 2005 12:02:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> right now. I'm still listening.
>
>> are they using spread spectrum?
>
> You're beginning to convince the contingency you don't even understand
> the technology of that you speak.

Beginning?

Jeff

2818. Re: Special Activations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 19:34:19 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fvKdnXi-FbqkheDfRVn-2A@giganews.com>
Source record: 199843

Steveo wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> I heard rumors claiming inflation is now
>> causing paper money to be exchanged. Perish the thought!!
>>
> That's old news man. Those rag heads only think they are making more
> dollars with that oil. Reckon why they keep trading in dollars?

Uh, could it be that when Saddam threatened to go on the Euro we blew him
and his country back to the stone-age?

Jeff

2819. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 19:38:25 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0_WdnXeoXpKyhODfRVn-og@giganews.com>
Source record: 199844

Guy wrote:
> I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
>> From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
>> Freeband sucks wrote:
>> where can we find one?
>>
>>> His version of spread-spectrum is turning that
>>> power microphone *way* up, that way, your
>>> signal spreads out.
>>
>>> Sorry, had to get that in there...
>>
>>> Guy
>>
>> Hey,,don't be sorry. You serve a valuable lesson: you reinforce the
>> ignorance of select hammies who are licensed for communications but
>> are clueless and incompetent when it comes to such. Perhaps that's
>> why you feel the need to say such things about others, after all, if
>> you were confident and satisfied in your abilities in life, there
>> would be no need for such actions toward others. Carry on, my child.
>
> There it is...
>
> Without knowing anything about me, without knowing what I do for a
> living, without knowing the things I've done over the past three
> decades in the field of computers and radio, without knowing who I
> am, you make a huge jump in logic and begin to personnally attack me
> verbally. You couldn't just laugh with me and then drop it, could
> you?
>
> You've shown me that you do know something. You're able to convey
> meaning over these posts. The trouble is, the meaning you convey
> creates a sense of ill-will and *you know it*. You don't add
> anything of value to these posts. You've made it clear you are not a
> team player. You do it because of the false sense of superiority
> created when you come out with these baseless one-liners and cause
> confusion, anger, chaos. You think you're superior because you don't
> follow the rules. You don't feel you need to follow the rules. You
> think you're above the rules. You think rules are created for just
> *some* people. You think rules are for the ignorant. You are not
> ignorant, therefore you feel obligated to disobey the rules to make a
> statement.
>

> I'll be the first to admit there are some hams who are clueless these
> days. But at least they've proven a certain level of knowledge by
> passing a simple test. Hams have shown they can meet *some* standard
> (albeit not much of a standard these days) compared to others in
> society. You seem to be a reasonably smart guy, why don't you get a
> ham license? Just because you're a ham doesn't mean you can't
> continue FREEBANDING. Getting a ham license will only increase your
> opportunities. You've shown you can talk the talk but for some
> reason refuse to walk the talk. Unless you can write something down
> and explain why you refuse to walk the talk, I have to come to the
> conclusion you can't walk the talk.
>
> The difference between CBers and Hams is patently obvious to anyone
> who tunes around HF.
>
> The next step is name calling. After that comes the vandalism. When
> I catch you vandalizing my property you will attack me physically.
> When I defend myself, your lawyer will say I violated your rights.
>
> You win, oh great one, you win.
>
> Go ahead, get in at least one more personal attack. Go ahead, tell
> me I can't count sentences. Go ahead, make another statement about
> how CBers are superior to hams. Go ahead, tell me the reason you
> don't get a ham license is because hams are "poopie-heads." Go
> ahead, insert, "I know you are but what am I?" in between each of the
> above paragraphs. Go ahead, ask me some stupid questions, and when I
> don't answer them, use them in future "one-liner" posts to show how
> ignorant hams are.
>
> SK
>
> Sincerely, with warmest regards and the best of intentions,
> Guy

Damn Guy. A simple "Fuck You" would have suficed, wouldn't it?

But then again, it wouldn't have allowed you to show how intelligent and witty you are, right?

Yep. You are the man.

Jeff

2820. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 19:41:44 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Y7OdnYYDoJlqhODfRVn-qg@giganews.com>
Source record: 199845

Buzzard wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Nasty?
>>
> Nasty azz ewe wanna be? ($1-two live)

That's what I call inflation! Used to be just a nickle.

Jeff

2821. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 19:46:09 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <iNSdnRv31sOdhuDfRVn-rQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 199846

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>
> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him why
> contribute more/.

That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open invitation, why would that be considered stalking.

You are one stupid fuck.

Jeff

2822. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 21:07:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <j6afe.690$8g.629@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 199847

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050507113030.588$AQ@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> > "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> >
> > He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of stalking
> > and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him why contribute
> > more/.
> >
> I don't read his drivel, dumbass.
>
> Take a good look at this cowardly mouth breather, it's no wonder
> he wouldn't come outside. lol
>
> http://img138.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly0zm.jpg

Hello, Mopar

Might I gently remind you of rule #1 of the newsgroups?

1) Don't feed the trolls.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2823. Re: Special Activations...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 21:12:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_aafe.709$pH.437@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 199848

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fvKdnXi-FbqkheDfRVn-2A@giganews.com...
> Steveo wrote:
> > iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >> I heard rumors claiming inflation is now
> >> causing paper money to be exchanged. Perish the thought!!
> >>
> > That's old news man. Those rag heads only think they are making more
> > dollars with that oil. Reckon why they keep trading in dollars?
>
> Uh, could it be that when Saddam threatened to go on the Euro we blew him
> and his country back to the stone-age?
>
> Jeff
>
>

Hi, gang

Keep in mind that our national debt is over $100,000 for *every* man, woman, and child in the United States. Not to throw cold water on any celebration, but I have been nervous for a while and am getting more so every day.

The U.K. groups want to get rid of Tony Blair; I have offered to trade George Bush for him, but no one wants Bush either. In fact, they want Bush even less than Blair.

I'll have to try some other groups LOL.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

2824. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 22:15:49 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1115504149.121357.201580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199849

Your theory is right but your numbers don't make sense to me. If you snipped off some and the ch40 reading went down... then the ch1 reading should have increased. By the way... Lil Wils are not much good. They are notoroius for bad matching characteristics because of their very short length. The performance of that short an antenna is very poor.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

Big Kahuna wrote:
> Ahoy.
>
> I have a new CB and a new Wilson Lil Wil antenna. The instructions with
> the Lil Wil say to:
>
> Check SWR on channel 1
> Check SWR on channel 40
> If channel 1 has a lower SWR than channel 40, cut 1/4" from the antenna
> top and measure again...continue until the SWR for channel 1 and 40 is
> about equal.
>
> Channel 1 gave me a nice low 1:1.4
> Channel 40 gave me a nasty 1:2.8
>
> So I snipped off 1/4" and measured again.
>
> Channel 1 gave me 1:1.3
> Channel 40 gave me 1:2.5
>
> So my question is, snipping off that 1/4" really improved the channel 1
> SWR but only dropped channel 40 a little bit. How many 1/4" increments
> am I gonna hafta snip before these two equal out?
>
> Also, I'm guessing that at some point, channel 1 is going to start to go
> back up (instead of improving)...should I stop there and live with
> whatever the higher channels are displaying? Rather than continuing to
> snip until 1 and 40 are about equal?
>

> Thanks,
>
> Kahuna

2825. Re: Attic antenna not doing much

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 22:24:46 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1115504686.046101.92780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199850

Cookie sheet... LOL.... what is your match???
I'm afraid that may not be a big enough ground plane. If you want a
better ground plane you need to run some radials (maybe four or more)
that have perhaps a quarter wavelength length. You could use aluminum
grounding wire which is available from Radio Sack. Keep in mind that
signals in the CB frequencies have poor penetrating power through solid
objects... like your roof. You'd do much better to move whatever
installation you choose outside.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

2826. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 22:55:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2nhq71thto7469mhdi5192fb19inh1s0kr@4ax.com>
Source record: 199851

On 7 May 2005 15:15:49 -0700, "Professor" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <1115504149.121357.201580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>Your theory is right but your numbers don't make sense to me. If you
>snipped off some and the ch40 reading went down... then the ch1 reading
>should have increased.

Only if the dip is between 1 and 40. His antenna was too long for
channel 1 so shortening it reduced the SWR across the band.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2827. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-07 23:04:36 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uK-dndF5uple1ODfRVn-pw@giganews.com>
Source record: 199852

truth@truth.com wrote:
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
>>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
>>> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>
>>>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>>>
>>> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
>>> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
>>> why contribute more/.
>>
>> That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
>> dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
>> invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
>
> Stupid ass Mayner. Use your brain.

Evidently, you didn't.

The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking accusation,
period.

Use _your_ brain.

Jeff

>
> Show me a post where Leland or Doug invited Mopey to "come over and
> take
> photos and then publish them on the internet along with court records,
> wifes name, boss name, place of employment phone number, etc."
>
> You can't.
>
> Therefore it's stalking, according to the definition in the Ohio
> statute.
>
> "Menacing by stalking" is a crime under Ohio law. Ohio Revised Code
> (R.C.) Section 2903.211. It is also
> grounds for seeking and obtaining a Civil Stalking Protection Order
> (CPO) or a Criminal Stalking
> Protection Order. Ohio's menacing by stalking law provides:
>
> No person by engaging in a pattern of conduct shall knowingly cause

> another to believe that the offender
> will cause physical harm to the other person or cause mental distress
> to the other person.
>
> Any conduct that is in violation of this law constitutes "menacing by
> stalking." A "pattern of conduct"
> means two or more actions or incidents closely related in time,
> whether or not there has been a prior
> conviction based on any of those actions or incidents. This very
> broad definition of a "pattern of conduct" constituting menacing by
> stalking includes, for example, such conduct as: following or
> pursuing the
> victim; making harassing phone calls to the victim or sending the
> victim harassing letters; verbal threats
> of physical harm to the victim; driving around the victim's house;
> harassing the victim at his/her school or place of employment; and
> any other type of conduct intended to threaten, frighten, or
> intimidate the
> victim. A victim may file a petition for a civil stalking protection
> order without filing criminal menacing by stalking charges against
> his/her stalker. Any violation of a stalking criminal or civil
> protection order is itself a crime punishable as contempt of court or
> as a separate crime under Ohio Revised
> Code (R.C.) Section 2919.27. A motion for a criminal stalking civil
> protection order may only be filed when
> the victim also files criminal menacing by stalking charges against
> his/her stalker, but a petition for a stalking civil protection order
> may be filed at any time. A civil stalking protection order will
> remain in effect for a longer period of time and may provide greater
> protection than a criminal stalking protection order.
>
> Downloadable Files:
> * 10.03-A: A Motion for Criminal Stalking Protection Order
> * 10.03-B: Criminal Stalking Protection Order (SPO)
> * 10.03-D: Petition for Civil Stalking Protection Order (CSPO)
> * 10.03-E: Civil Stalking Protection Order (CSPO) EX PARTE
> * 10.03-F: Civil Stalking Protection Order (CSPO) Full Hearing
> * 10.03-G: Instructions For Obtaining Civil Stalking Protection Order
> (CSPO)
> * 10.03-H: Warning Concerning Attached Stalking Protection Order
> * FULL PACKAGE - All Stalking Court Forms and Instructions
>
> Privacy Policy | Copyright © 2001 Ohio State Legal Services
> Association. All rights reserved.

2828. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:36 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512716.369175.247440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199853

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2829. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:36 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512716.280717.318280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199854

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2830. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:38 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512718.314312.36610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199855

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2831. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:42 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512722.787585.92080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199856

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2832. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:43 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512723.709530.102320@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199858

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2833. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:44 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512724.150788.31070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199859

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2834. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:49 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512729.554272.99410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199857

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2835. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:51 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512731.816651.252000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199861

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you
are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right,
this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at
#6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that
was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought
about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I
started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the
money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I
had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's
still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and
it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more
than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information
off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2836. MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 00:38:54 UTC
From: shrek_9000_donkey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115512734.267566.252270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199860

MAKE ALOT OF MONEY!!! NOT A SCAM
PLZ READ

Posted By: David
Date: Saturday, 24 April 2005

"Hi, I'm 23 and I make more money than my parents and so can you"
Turn $6.00 into $60,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroups, just like you are now, and came
across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks
with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam",
but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading.
Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in
the article.
You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the
article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are millions) No catch, that was it.
So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I
figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?"
Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting
money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total
of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in
rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the
lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure
you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need

it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more
money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your
investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)
IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really
works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary
dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60
days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not
solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money
made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you
and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill
will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE PUT ME ON
YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate
service and you are paying for it!

Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all.
So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it
is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1)S.R. Johnson : 3285 Lewis Ave. : Signal Hill, CA 90807
#2)B. Hooper : 3 Warringah Gve. : Petrie, QLD, Australia 4502
#3)Matthew Speight : 6 Charnwood Ave. : Belfast : N. Ireland : BT15 5DH
#4)Andrew Hunter . 7520-1 South Aragon Blvd . Sunrise, FL 33313
#5)Salvatore De Luca . 102 Overlook Ave . North Haledon, NJ 07508
#6)D.Crosby . 80 Fulling Mill Lane: Fairfield, CT. 06824

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up
(6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are
close to 2.4million groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the
more money you make!
This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the
Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need
money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and
integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this
way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others
have received will come your way.
NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard
copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a
service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk
of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be
reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!

What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six
people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your
cursor to the bottom
of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy
the entire letter into
the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the
blank page. From the
'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into
notepad so that you can
add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt
file. If you want to
do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
go back to.
Step 4)
Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various
newsgroups (on-line
forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by
highlighting the text
OF this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the
Subject, this will be the
header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings
in a particular group,
click the post message button. You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after
you get the hang
of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY
YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within
days! You may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you
will receive. If you
wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the
postman will deliver
it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made
$5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter.

Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings,
each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is
another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at
#4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3
and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters
with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1
and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on
the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to
names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are
joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users
and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are
willing to give it a try?
Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining
the actual internet.
REMEMBER, PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND
THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU!!!

2837. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 01:22:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <b9qq71p38o36rudmrf1qqbqqqu89d2ebsg@4ax.com>
Source record: 199862

On Sat, 7 May 2005 16:04:36 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>truth@truth.com wrote:
>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
>>>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
>>>> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>>>>
>>>> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
>>>> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
>>>> why contribute more/.
>>>
>>> That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
>>> dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
>>> invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
>>
>> Stupid ass Mayner. Use your brain.
>
>Evidently, you didn't.
>
>The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking accusation,
>period.
>
>Use _your_ brain.

He's an idiot. He calls him a stalker, and he threatened to do the same to me,
only I didn't dare him to. He chose my of all the people here.

Section 8 white trash at its finest.

Vinnie S.

2838. mopathetic flips his lips and his wrist some more, but is still a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 02:11:44 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic flips his lips and his wrists some more <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115518304.431442.183970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199863

Steveo meweled femininely:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> > "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> >
> > He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
stalking
> > and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him why
contribute
> > more/.
> >
> I don't read his drivel, dumbass.

You read every word, you lying dogfart...you said you archive it all. We control even your computer. You have to read it all, you have a masochistic desire to be stimulated by us, all based on your unrequited love for male ham operators. Caught in another lie, wristflipper. Why don't you make some more threats, wristflipper? Come on, we'd love to see what you *aren't* gonna do. Lol!

You remind us all of Stan's dog on South Park. "Sit"...he sits..."Fetch"...he fetches..."Now, DON'T be gay!"

It's so funny to see you whine and lie. Why won't you tell the AKC where you are camping, little snow queen? Uhl be doing something you have never done before, and Uhl like it, wristflipper.

TTYL, wristflipper.

2839. Make money searching Google, Yahoo, eyc. Get up to 80 cents & $1 per day :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 04:01:47 UTC
From: Vmialik@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1115524907.624027.200610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199864

Follow these easy steps:

1) Sign up and register here:http://netbux.org/?r=87559
2) Login and begin searching on Google or your prefered search engine!
3) Do this everyday and tell your friends to make even more money!

Do the same steps for pay-pup
http://pay-pup.com/?r=42891
Enter 42891 In the referer box if not already there

Note: Netbux works same way but you can earn 80 cents a day insted of $1.00
How Pay-Pup Works
At Pay-Pup, we pay our members to search the internet. There are no strings or gimmicks. You simply register with Pay-Pup and you earn money instantly from your very first search. You will earn $0.02 for every search you make for up to 50 paid searches per day, therefore the maximum pay per day for your individual searching is $1.00. Note: A paid search is one that is unique to your other searches, meaning that you will not get paid for searching the same thing twice.

To make things even better, you will also earn $0.02 for every search that your referrals make for up to 50 searches a day as well! Therefore the maximum pay per day for each referral is $1.00. The more referrals, the more money! Upon registration, you will be given a unique referral url (also located in your 'stats' area) that you can give out to your friends, post it on your website, blog, etc. We also have some images that you can use to help advertise online with.

An amazing feature with the referral program is that if someone comes here by way of your referral url, their ip address is recorded and attached to your account so if they close the browser, disconnect (only static ip's), turn off their computer (only static ip's), you will still get credit if they ever return! You only get paid for one level of referrals but you may already see an enormous earning opportunity here.

There really isn't much to it. You search the net and you earn money. You refer your friends and you'll earn even more money. Your member statistics are updated instantly so you can always stay on top of your earned monies, referrals, searches, etc. We pay you at the beginning of every month via PayPal once you have reached $50 or more.

This is no SPAM, it actually works. I made over 10$ in the the first 2 days!

BTW when you sign up for Pay-Pup, set your homepage to http://pay-pup.com/index.php so that your homepage becomes one line, w/o the adverisements, but you still get paid for your searches! (No one knows this but me)

2840. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 09:11:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508051105.681$Pf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199865

truth@truth.com wrote:
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> > > "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> > > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> > > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > >
> > >> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> > >
> > > He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> > > stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him why
> > > contribute more/.
> >
> > That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
> > dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
> > invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
>
> Stupid ass Mayner. Use your brain.
>
Go buy a box of tissues nad. Your lame backyard attorney act isn't
fooling anyone.

2841. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 09:12:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508051216.532$bo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199866

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Sat, 7 May 2005 12:46:09 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> >> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> >> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> >> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> >>
> >> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> >> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him why
> >> contribute more/.
> >
> >That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
> >dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
> >invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
> >
> >You are one stupid fuck.
> >
> >Jeff
> >
>
> Jeff;
> Are you having a bad day?
>
Seems like a question better asked of chris busch.

2842. Re: Ping Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 09:12:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508051258.900$c0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199867

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> Hey Mister Rolling Rock,
> check this out:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/chg6t
>
> <g>
>
Seems like a waste of the rock!

2843. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 09:14:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508051447.231$q6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199868

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050507113030.588$AQ@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> > > "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> > > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> > > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > >
> > > > Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> > >
> > > He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> > > stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him why
> > > contribute more/.
> > >
> > I don't read his drivel, dumbass.
> >
> > Take a good look at this cowardly mouth breather, it's no wonder
> > he wouldn't come outside. lol
> >
> > http://img138.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly0zm.jpg
>
> Hello, Mopar
>
> Might I gently remind you of rule #1 of the newsgroups?
>
> 1) Don't feed the trolls.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
Hi Jim.

They are trying their best judge wapner routine now. too funny.

2844. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 09:15:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508051537.600$Gd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199869

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Sat, 7 May 2005 16:04:36 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >truth@truth.com wrote:
> >>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> >>>> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> >>>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> >>>> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >>>>
> >>>>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> >>>>
> >>>> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> >>>> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
> >>>> why contribute more/.
> >>>
> >>> That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
> >>> dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
> >>> invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
> >>
> >> Stupid ass Mayner. Use your brain.
> >
> >Evidently, you didn't.
> >
> >The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking accusation,
> >period.
> >
> >Use _your_ brain.
>
> He's an idiot. He calls him a stalker, and he threatened to do the same
> to me, only I didn't dare him to. He chose my of all the people here.
>
> Section 8 white trash at its finest.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
He's just sore that I asked him about his neighbors. :)

2845. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 09:56:28 UTC
From: jonz <overhere@overthere.com>
Message-ID: <427de2c7$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 199870

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050508051105.681$Pf@newsreader.com...
> truth@truth.com wrote:
> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> > > > "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> > > > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> > > > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > >
> > > >> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> > > >
> > > > He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> > > > stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
why
> > > > contribute more/.
> > >
> > > That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
> > > dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
> > > invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
> >
> > Stupid ass Mayner. Use your brain.
> >
> Go buy a box of tissues nad. Your lame backyard attorney act isn't
>
fooling anyone.
geez,,,you stupid fag yanks never stop!!! why don`t
you start a new group...say, poof radio, or poofs `r` us or `hello flipper`
and get the fuck outa here....!!! yid ruin anyones day..cheers sweets...
jonz

2846. Re: Ping Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 12:41:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <h72s71trnpj15iqt5o5e0d6ggb7c79pme1@4ax.com>
Source record: 199871

On 08 May 2005 09:12:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> Hey Mister Rolling Rock,
>> check this out:
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/chg6t
>>
>> <g>
>>
>Seems like a waste of the rock!

I have done the beer can chicken. It's only a half waste of a Rock. But the other half is well worth it. Chicken is great.

Vinnie S.

2847. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 12:44:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <f92s71ln8librjvdcvkit5leidojdqv3k3@4ax.com>
Source record: 199872

On 08 May 2005 09:15:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking accusation,
>> >period.
>> >
>> >Use _your_ brain.
>>
>> He's an idiot. He calls him a stalker, and he threatened to do the same
>> to me, only I didn't dare him to. He chose my of all the people here.
>>
>> Section 8 white trash at its finest.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>He's just sore that I asked him about his neighbors. :)

Looks like the Imax is going up today. I went in the tree 25 feet, but was shaking because the damn thing wasn't perfectly straight. I cut all the limb to about 35 feet. I purchased a 30 foot telescoping mast from Lowe's, and will bolt it to the side of the tree. I will be about about 36 feet. Will report back tonight.

Vinnie S.

2848. Re: SWR question: shorten antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 13:04:52 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1115557492.795390.173320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199873

OK, that makes sense...

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

2849. Re: MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 13:09:24 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1115557764.090019.77980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199874

These really are amusing... wouldn't you think if you could make so much money... the people that are offering this would keep it all for themselves.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

2850. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 13:12:07 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic flips his lips and his wrists some more <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115557927.710470.214740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199875

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > On Sat, 7 May 2005 16:04:36 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
<jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >truth@truth.com wrote:
> > >>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> > >>>> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the
AKC"
> > >>>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1115465708.349708.222590
> > >>>> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > >>>>
> > >>>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> > >>>>
> > >>>> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> > >>>> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst
him
> > >>>> why contribute more/.
> > >>>
> > >>> That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
> > >>> dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
> > >>> invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
> > >>
> > >> Stupid ass Mayner. Use your brain.
> > >
> > >Evidently, you didn't.
> > >
> > >The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking
accusation,
> > >period.
> > >
> > >Use _your_ brain.
> >
> > He's an idiot. He calls him a stalker, and he threatened to do the
same
> > to me, only I didn't dare him to. He chose my of all the people
here.
> >
> > Section 8 white trash at its finest.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
> >

> He's just sore that I asked him about his neighbors. :)

Why wont mopathetic answer the AKC? He is a coward, that's why.

2851. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 13:12:55 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic flips his lips and his wrists some more <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115557975.964095.97450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199876

Another keyclown is born.

2852. Re: Ping Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 13:15:24 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic flips his lips and his wrists some more <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115558124.125157.162350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199877

Ask Chapwoman, he knows all about rock.

Hey wristflipper, why aincha giving out the location where yer camping?

2853. Re: Canadian Radio Forum is up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:00:34 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lr6s71t97ut6aggo33hnofg52o5meb4k4m@4ax.com>
Source record: 199878
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 08 May 2005 13:53:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:

>Check out Http://www.ve5jl.com/message
>
>
>There you will find a new message board dedicated to Radio.
>
>There is also a section there for Flight Simulator.
>
>Enjoy.
>
>73's
>
>Joe VE5JL
>
>
No satellite radio?

2854. FA: ORIGINAL TENTEC 505 QRP ARGONAUT>TONIGHT>Reasonable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:06:49 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <X1pfe.16351$FE3.14810@fe12.lga>
Source record: 199879
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Very Reasonable
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986110&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2855. FA: DENTRON MLA-2500B DESK-TOP LEGAL LIMIT RF AMPLIFIER>Tonite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:07:35 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <F2pfe.16352$FE3.12908@fe12.lga>
Source record: 199880
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5772433793&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2856. FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:10:10 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <45pfe.16355$FE3.7885@fe12.lga>
Source record: 199881
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

No Bids @$10, gotta see.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2857. TWO(2) RAYTHEON USA 6146A RF OUTPUT TUBES>Tested-HandyFA: $10 tonite>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:10:50 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <J5pfe.16356$FE3.3281@fe12.lga>
Source record: 199882
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986076&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2858. FA: $10> 23 VINTAGE TEXAS INTRUMENTS TI482 & TI484 TRANSISTORS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:12:21 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <77pfe.16358$FE3.11903@fe12.lga>
Source record: 199883
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7512015787&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2859. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:14:18 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_8pfe.34$Y81.14@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199884

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050508051447.231$q6@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050507113030.588$AQ@newsreader.com...
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> > > "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
>> > > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
>> > > @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> > >
>> > > > Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>> > >
>> > > He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
>> > > stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him why
>> > > contribute more/.
>> > >
>> > I don't read his drivel, dumbass.
>> >
>> > Take a good look at this cowardly mouth breather, it's no wonder
>> > he wouldn't come outside. lol
>> >
>> > http://img138.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly0zm.jpg
>>
>> Hello, Mopar
>>
>> Might I gently remind you of rule #1 of the newsgroups?
>>
>> 1) Don't feed the trolls.
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim
>>
> Hi Jim.
>
> They are trying their best judge wapner routine now. too
> funny.

That they are LOL!!!
Please pass on to you're better half a very Happy Mothers Day!

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

2860. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:14:18 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_8pfe.35$Y81.31@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199885

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:f92s71ln8librjvdcvkit5leidojdqv3k3@4ax.com...
> On 08 May 2005 09:15:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>> >The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking
>>> >accusation,
>>> >period.
>>> >
>>> >Use _your_ brain.
>>>
>>> He's an idiot. He calls him a stalker, and he threatened to do the same
>>> to me, only I didn't dare him to. He chose my of all the people here.
>>>
>>> Section 8 white trash at its finest.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>He's just sore that I asked him about his neighbors. :)
>
>
> Looks like the Imax is going up today. I went in the tree 25 feet, but was
> shaking because the damn thing wasn't perfectly straight. I cut all the
> limb to
> about 35 feet. I purchased a 30 foot telescoping mast from Lowe's, and
> will bolt
> it to the side of the tree. I will be about about 36 feet. Will report
> back
> tonight.
>
> Vinnie S.

We sort of wove the mast in the top of the tree, in
the branches. Then used stainless steel straps so that as
the tree grows, the antenna itself will become part of the
tree.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

2861. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:14:18 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_8pfe.36$Y81.2@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199886

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050507113108.451$LM@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050506180152.843$1d@newsreader.com...
>> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:20050505180410.607$5t@newsreader.com...
>> >> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> >> >> There is a thought. 1.1 to 1 SWR from something like zero to 30
>> >> >> MHz. Not bad somewhat above too; just add oil and turn on your
>> >> >> amplifier LOL.
>> >> >>
>> >> > Sparrow never tasted so good.
>> >>
>> >> Is that an African Sparrow carrying a coconut?
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> > Amazon parrot bringing rum!
>>
>> Damn Parrot Heads.............. Cheese Burger
>> in Paradise.............
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Boat drinks!

AAAAAhhhhhhh, sounds of Cabo ;-)

Landshark

--
__
o /' )
/' ( ,
__/' ) .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
( _. )). `-._ <
`\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
`---.....____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
`-` `
```

2862. Re: FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:38:40 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <427E2470.4E785B35@milestones.com>
Source record: 199887
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

cooltube wrote:

> No Bids @$10, gotta see.
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

As opposed to 'fake' copper wire?

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2863. Re: FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:42:18 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <o49s71hb2591ffr7b4k6pbueaf04m812qi@4ax.com>
Source record: 199888
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 8 May 2005 10:10:10 -0400, "cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net>
wrote:

>No Bids @$10, gotta see.
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:I
T
>
>
That wire looks really fragile. Can you do it in steel? Braided
copper?

2864. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:51:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508105148.291$N2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199889

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050508051447.231$q6@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050507113030.588$AQ@newsreader.com...
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> > > "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the
> >> > > AKC" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> > > news:1115465708.349708.222590 @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> > >
> >> > > > Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> >> > >
> >> > > He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> >> > > stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
> >> > > why contribute more/.
> >> > >
> >> > I don't read his drivel, dumbass.
> >> >
> >> > Take a good look at this cowardly mouth breather, it's no wonder
> >> > he wouldn't come outside. lol
> >> >
> >> > http://img138.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly0zm.jpg
> >>
> >> Hello, Mopar
> >>
> >> Might I gently remind you of rule #1 of the newsgroups?
> >>
> >> 1) Don't feed the trolls.
> >>
> >> 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> Jim
> >>
> > Hi Jim.
> >
> > They are trying their best judge wapner routine now. too
> > funny.
>
> That they are LOL!!!
> Please pass on to you're better half a very Happy Mothers Day!
>
> Landshark
>

Back at your honey, Shark. :)

2865. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 14:53:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508105345.724$ud@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199890

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 08 May 2005 09:15:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking
> >> >accusation, period.
> >> >
> >> >Use _your_ brain.
> >>
> >> He's an idiot. He calls him a stalker, and he threatened to do the
> >> same to me, only I didn't dare him to. He chose my of all the people
> >> here.
> >>
> >> Section 8 white trash at its finest.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >He's just sore that I asked him about his neighbors. :)
>
> Looks like the Imax is going up today. I went in the tree 25 feet, but
> was shaking because the damn thing wasn't perfectly straight. I cut all
> the limb to about 35 feet. I purchased a 30 foot telescoping mast from
> Lowe's, and will bolt it to the side of the tree. I will be about about
> 36 feet. Will report back tonight.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Sinking some ground rods too? Good luck, Vin.

2866. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 15:57:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508115730.946$Yz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199891

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508051216.532
> $bo@newsreader.com:
>
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On Sat, 7 May 2005 12:46:09 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
> >> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> >> >> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
> >> >> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
> >> >> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> >>
> >> >>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> >> >>
> >> >> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> >> >> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
> why
> >> >> contribute more/.
> >> >
> >> >That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
> >> >dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
> >> >invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
> >> >
> >> >You are one stupid fuck.
> >> >
> >> >Jeff
> >> >
> >>
> >> Jeff;
> >> Are you having a bad day?
> >>
> > Seems like a question better asked of chris busch.
>
> Yeah go ask him he will laugh at your incompetance ...LOL I say ask your
> wife mopedick... she likes the taste of my cock.
>
Still begging for my attention with more lame wife stuff? hehe

Who's David Fleming?

2867. Re: FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 15:58:48 UTC
From: yea right <spam@spam.spam>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.08.15.58.45.850069@spam.spam>
Source record: 199892
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 08 May 2005 10:38:40 -0400, dxAce wrote:

>
>
> cooltube wrote:
>
>> No Bids @$10, gotta see.
>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>
> As opposed to 'fake' copper wire?
>
> dxAce
> Michigan

Most all copper wire on the market is soft draw and will break after a few
years of constant tension. Hard draw copper wire is very tough and robust.
I use 18g lamp safety wire. This is the thin copper wire that servers as
a safety ground and as a safety wire in case a link in the lamp chain
breaks in hanging chandeliers.

2868. Re: FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 16:15:21 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <khes71hb8haj1f1jnaeo3d46rkbfiqc1b3@4ax.com>
Source record: 199893
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 08 May 2005 09:58:48 -0600, yea right <spam@spam.spam> wrote:

>On Sun, 08 May 2005 10:38:40 -0400, dxAce wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> cooltube wrote:
>>
>>> No Bids @$10, gotta see.
>>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>>
>> As opposed to 'fake' copper wire?
>>
>> dxAce
>> Michigan
>
>Most all copper wire on the market is soft draw and will break after a few
>years of constant tension. Hard draw copper wire is very tough and robust.
>I use 18g lamp safety wire. This is the thin copper wire that servers as
>a safety ground and as a safety wire in case a link in the lamp chain
>breaks in hanging chandeliers.

I have 50' of thin braided copper wire from Home Depot that's been
under constant tension for 6 years 9 months. Enough tension to
deflect the top of a 20' support (two fence rails, a spike base and a
building clamp at 9') 2 to 3 inches.

2869. Warwick place address ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 16:26:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508122658.447$l2_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199944

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050508115730.946$Yz@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508051216.532
> >> $bo@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> On Sat, 7 May 2005 12:46:09 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
> >> >> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> >> >> >> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the
> >> >> >> AKC" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> news:1115465708.349708.222590 @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
> >> >> >> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst
> >> >> >> him
> >> why
> >> >> >> contribute more/.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
> >> >> >dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
> >> >> >invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >You are one stupid fuck.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Jeff
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Jeff;
> >> >> Are you having a bad day?
> >> >>
> > > Seems like a question better asked of chris busch.
> >>
> >> Yeah go ask him he will laugh at your incompetance ...LOL I say ask
> >> your wife mopedick... she likes the taste of my cock.
> >>

> > Still begging for my attention with more lame wife stuff? hehe
>
> I am not begging for anything she willingly does it for me.
>
> >
> > Who's David Fleming?
>
> Is this a trick question?
>
Strange hits for your address, chris.

WTF is up wit dis!?!? lmao!

Sexcounter and gay pics

http://tinyurl.com/898qt

2870. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 16:29:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508122909.397$Av@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199945

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508105148.291$N2
> @newsreader.com:
>
> >> That they are LOL!!!
> >> Please pass on to you're better half a very Happy Mothers Day!
>
> >> Landshark
>
> > Back at your honey, Shark. :)
>
> His honey is a bear type guy...LOL
>
No wonder you're hooked on gay shit. Look what I found with -your-
address attached to it. LOL (start making excuses)

http://tinyurl.com/898qt

2871. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 16:56:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <l2hs71pkk18bcm1umc5dpvm2c2b8rmtcks@4ax.com>
Source record: 199946

On 08 May 2005 14:53:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 08 May 2005 09:15:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> >The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking
>> >> >accusation, period.
>> >> >
>> >> >Use _your_ brain.
>> >>
>> >> He's an idiot. He calls him a stalker, and he threatened to do the
>> >> same to me, only I didn't dare him to. He chose my of all the people
>> >> here.
>> >>
>> >> Section 8 white trash at its finest.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >He's just sore that I asked him about his neighbors. :)
>>
>> Looks like the Imax is going up today. I went in the tree 25 feet, but
>> was shaking because the damn thing wasn't perfectly straight. I cut all
>> the limb to about 35 feet. I purchased a 30 foot telescoping mast from
>> Lowe's, and will bolt it to the side of the tree. I will be about about
>> 36 feet. Will report back tonight.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Sinking some ground rods too? Good luck, Vin.

Yep, got ground rods, but suffered a minor setback. I bottom part of the antenna sound like something was rattling on the inside. I measured the resistance from the center conductor to the ground it was a dead short. I thought this should be open.

Vinnie S.

2872. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 17:05:12 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f-qdnTIUz_db2-PfRVn-tQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 199947

Steveo wrote:
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On Sat, 7 May 2005 12:46:09 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
>> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
>>>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
>>>> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>>>
>>>>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>>>>
>>>> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
>>>> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
>>>> why contribute more/.
>>>
>>> That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
>>> dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
>>> invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
>>>
>>> You are one stupid fuck.
>>>
>>> Jeff
>>>
>>
>> Jeff;
>> Are you having a bad day?

Oops. I missed this one.

Nope, not having a bad day at all. Somebodies gotta call these braindead fools on their crap at least once in a while. I know it'd be easier if I just plonked 'em. I have plonked one guy in all my years of usenet. That was because he was a rascist ass who spouted the most rediculous crap I'd ever heard. It was crazy-shit. Made the "Turner Diaries" read like "Little Red Ridinghood".

These guys are just petty little liars that are easily discounted. Just a little exercise. ;-)

Jeff

>>
> Seems like a question better asked of chris busch.

2873. Re: MONEY FAST AND LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 17:37:58 UTC
From: "Gen. Geroge Hammond" <TOKRA@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <J7sfe.12177$Hf6.2193@fe11.lga>
Source record: 199948

they would if they could, but it does not work that way.
My postal inspector friend told me this is legal. You
are after all sending a report to the folks on your list.

ta ta

Professor wrote:

>These really are amusing... wouldn't you think if you could make so
>much money... the people that are offering this would keep it all for
>themselves.
>
>Professor
>www.telstar-electronics.com
>
>
>

2874. Re: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 18:31:25 UTC
From: Beau <Beau@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <1807a$427e5ad0$8b372fdc$14030@ALLTEL.NET>
Source record: 199949
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Would be a real deal if you would keep the cheap STEEL plastic coated wire
and just offer the insulators alone.....I like the wire ties you have on the
wire....made for tying reinforcment steel in concrete.....so is the cheap
antenna wire you offer with the insulators.

a BAAAAAAAD deal!

"cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:45pfe.16355$FE3.7885@fe12.lga...
> No Bids @$10, gotta see.
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>
>

2875. Re: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 19:36:25 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <0hqs71t79aum0togg8a1jm08u1c6fmh7q8@4ax.com>
Source record: 199950
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 8 May 2005 14:31:25 -0400, "Beau" <Beau@alltel.net> wrote:

>Would be a real deal if you would keep the cheap STEEL plastic coated wire
>and just offer the insulators alone.....I like the wire ties you have on the
>wire....made for tying reinforcment steel in concrete.....so is the cheap
>antenna wire you offer with the insulators.
>
>a BAAAAAAAD deal!
>
>
>"cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:45pfe.16355$FE3.7885@fe12.lga...
>> No Bids @$10, gotta see.
>>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:I
T
>>
>>
>
>
25g steel wire makes excellent long random wires.

2876. Re: Canadian Radio Forum is up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 19:40:54 UTC
From: Larry Weil <kc1ih@mac.com>
Message-ID: <kc1ih-AC73A2.15405408052005@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 199951
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lr6s71t97ut6aggo33hnofg52o5meb4k4m@4ax.com>,
David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

> On Sun, 08 May 2005 13:53:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
> >Check out Http://www.ve5jl.com/message
> >
> >
> >There you will find a new message board dedicated to Radio.
> >
>
> No satellite radio?

There should also be a separate section for broadcast (MW and FM) radio
rather than limping it all in with Shortwave.

--
Larry Weil
Lake Wobegone, NH

2877. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 21:02:29 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <F7vfe.131$3%4.36@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199952

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050508122909.397$Av@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508105148.291$N2
>> @newsreader.com:
>>
>> >> That they are LOL!!!
>> >> Please pass on to you're better half a very Happy Mothers Day!
>>
>> >> Landshark
>>
>> > Back at your honey, Shark. :)
>>
>> His honey is a bear type guy...LOL
>>
> No wonder you're hooked on gay shit. Look what I found with -your-
> address attached to it. LOL (start making excuses)
>
> http://tinyurl.com/898qt

He's just jealous dude. This is where I took the family to this
morning for Brunch:

http://www.ironstonevineyards.com/

Pretty damn good, with an excellent view.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

2878. Re: Leland gets pink slip from Al Banys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 21:05:12 UTC
From: Al Banys <LelandsBoss@inductoheat.net>
Message-ID: <427e79dd$0$24300$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 199953

<truth@absolutetruth.com> wrote in message
news:oRufe.43642$US.18807@news.ono.com...
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> On Sat, 7 May 2005 12:46:09 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
>> >> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> >>>> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
>> >>>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
>> >>>> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>> >>>>
>> >>>> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
>> >>>> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
>> >>>> why contribute more/.
>> >>>
>> >>> That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
>> >>> dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
>> >>> invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
>> >>>
>> >>> You are one stupid fuck.
>> >>>
>> >>> Jeff
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> Jeff;
>> >> Are you having a bad day?
>> >
>> Oops. I missed this one.
>>
>> Nope, not having a bad day at all. Somebodies gotta call these braindead
>> fools on their crap at least once in a while.
>
> Then call mopeydopey on his stalking crap whydontya.
>
Sorry Leland but please report to my office, you are busted for lying on the
job again!
>
> Either Mope is a victim or a perpetrator. You can't have it both ways
> Mayner. I
> don't see where Doug or Leland ever visited Mopey's home

> Leland C Scott wrote on 16 Oct 2004 :

> steveo you have no proof those pics were taken anywhere near Toledo,
> or that they are Doug or anyone for that matter. Next you will be
> posting pics of the moon and say you visited there LOL. since you
> cannot identify who you are, whatver you claim cannot be backed up,
> its all just BS like you..

You can't have it both ways nadia. See ya soon! har har har!

2879. Re: wrist flipper doug adair hiding with AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 21:11:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508171107.959$hC_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199954

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050508122909.397$Av@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508105148.291$N2
> >> @newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> >> That they are LOL!!!
> >> >> Please pass on to you're better half a very Happy Mothers Day!
> >>
> >> >> Landshark
> >>
> >> > Back at your honey, Shark. :)
> >>
> >> His honey is a bear type guy...LOL
> >>
> > No wonder you're hooked on gay shit. Look what I found with -your-
> > address attached to it. LOL (start making excuses)
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
>
> He's just jealous dude. This is where I took the family to this
> morning for Brunch:
>
> http://www.ironstonevineyards.com/
>
> Pretty damn good, with an excellent view.
>
> Landshark
>
Cool! I just got back from taking the wife for a cruise for some
ice cream in the Belvedere with the top down. The new 440 is
breathing strong!

2880. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 21:13:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508171313.955$Dt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199955

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 08 May 2005 14:53:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 08 May 2005 09:15:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> >The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking
> >> >> >accusation, period.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Use _your_ brain.
> >> >>
> >> >> He's an idiot. He calls him a stalker, and he threatened to do the
> >> >> same to me, only I didn't dare him to. He chose my of all the
> >> >> people here.
> >> >>
> >> >> Section 8 white trash at its finest.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >He's just sore that I asked him about his neighbors. :)
> >>
> >> Looks like the Imax is going up today. I went in the tree 25 feet, but
> >> was shaking because the damn thing wasn't perfectly straight. I cut
> >> all the limb to about 35 feet. I purchased a 30 foot telescoping mast
> >> from Lowe's, and will bolt it to the side of the tree. I will be about
> >> about 36 feet. Will report back tonight.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Sinking some ground rods too? Good luck, Vin.
>
> Yep, got ground rods, but suffered a minor setback. I bottom part of the
> antenna sound like something was rattling on the inside. I measured the
> resistance from the center conductor to the ground it was a dead short. I
> thought this should be open.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
It's rattling inside? have you tested the swr?

2881. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 21:15:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050508171514.202$7c@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199956

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508122909.397
> $Av@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508105148.291$N2
> >> @newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> >> That they are LOL!!!
> >> >> Please pass on to you're better half a very Happy Mothers Day!
> >>
> >> >> Landshark
> >>
> >> > Back at your honey, Shark. :)
> >>
> >> His honey is a bear type guy...LOL
> >>
> > No wonder you're hooked on gay shit. Look what I found with -your-
> > address attached to it. LOL (start making excuses)
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
>
> I dont have to make any excuses you should it,
>
Notice your nerves make you create typos lmao!
>
> is sunday afternoon nice
> as can be outside and you are looking up the innocent peoples addresses
> on google...LOL is right
>
I just got home, dummy.

Why do you share an address with this?

http://tinyurl.com/898qt

2882. New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 21:15:52 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <sa0t71t6mfoq9p3h5pek7t38he65oe79em@4ax.com>
Source record: 199957

http://www.k8cpa.org

it's nice to be back to work... and have money for a change...

:-)

-Chuck

2883. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 21:28:24 UTC
From: Al Banys <LelandsBoss@inductoheat.nut>
Message-ID: <427e7f49$0$24281$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 199958

<truth@truth.com> wrote in message news:FXbfe.43501$US.35837@news.ono.com...
>> truth@truth.com wrote:
>> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> >>> "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the AKC"
>> >>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1115465708.349708.222590
>> >>> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> >>>
>> >>>> Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>> >>>
>> >>> He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
>> >>> stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
>> >>> why contribute more/.
>> >>
>> >> That's a pretty stupid observation right there. If he replys to
>> >> dumbass's post to, or about, him, in other words a basic open
>> >> invitation, why would that be considered stalking.
>> >
>> > Stupid ass Mayner. Use your brain.
>>
>> Evidently, you didn't.
>>
>> The op asks a specific question. That mitigates any stalking accusation,
>> period.
>>
>> Use _your_ brain.
>>
>> Jeff
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> WTF??? Show me the Ohio Law that states if " the op asks a specific
> question.
> That mitigates any stalking accusation"
>
You wrote on Oct 16 2004:
> hey assflap, you can't prove those pics are real so go ahead keep
> avoiding the issue it only makes you look more like a liar LOL.

Squirm, squirm, ,,,squirm, squirm squirming. aaaaaaaaaeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee!

Report to my office, nadia.

2884. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 21:43:41 UTC
From: Ken <spam@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8JKdnX6v08C4FePfRVn-ow@comcast.com>
Source record: 199959
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Circuit City bought out InterTAN and now owns the RadioShack franchise they ran in Canada. They sold whatever thay wanted...including stuff from RadioShack. Now They'll sell different stuff.

"VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote in message news:qEObe.1143857$8l.460663@pd7tw1no...
> Radio Shack Canada will be no more.
>
> Check out the details here.
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/
>
> Also, check out our Radio Forums here:
>
> http://www.ve5jl.com/message/
>
>
>

2885. Re: Canadian Radio Forum is up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-08 23:59:47 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <TJxfe.1284630$Xk.1001475@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 199960
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Done!!!

Take a look

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:lr6s71t97ut6aggo33hnofg52o5meb4k4m@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 08 May 2005 13:53:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
>
> >Check out Http://www.ve5jl.com/message
> >
> >
> >There you will find a new message board dedicated to Radio.
> >
> >There is also a section there for Flight Simulator.
> >
> >Enjoy.
> >
> >73's
> >
> >Joe VE5JL
> >
> >
> No satellite radio?
>

2886. Re: Canadian Radio Forum is up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 00:02:44 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <EMxfe.1284673$Xk.465539@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 199961
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Larry, it's up and running too..

Medium Wave as well as FM radio.

73's Enjoy

Joe

"Larry Weil" <kc1ih@mac.com> wrote in message
news:kc1ih-AC73A2.15405408052005@news.supernews.com...
> In article <lr6s71t97ut6aggo33hnofg52o5meb4k4m@4ax.com>,
> David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 08 May 2005 13:53:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@SpamHater.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Check out Http://www.ve5jl.com/message
> > >
> > >
> > >There you will find a new message board dedicated to Radio.
> > >
> >
> > No satellite radio?
>
> There should also be a separate section for broadcast (MW and FM) radio
> rather than limping it all in with Shortwave.
>
> --
> Larry Weil
> Lake Wobegone, NH

2887. Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 00:34:14 UTC
From: farmerjoe1 <farmerjoe1@charter.net>
Message-ID: <1115598854.180208.227060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199962

Late last summer we had a very close lightning hit,now my SWR's are high.Any way i can run some sort of test to see if the Antrton is shotred out?

2888. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 01:02:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9hdt71p9usujljphiliu0fr2i6fsddlth8@4ax.com>
Source record: 199963

On 08 May 2005 21:13:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>> Yep, got ground rods, but suffered a minor setback. I bottom part of the
>> antenna sound like something was rattling on the inside. I measured the
>> resistance from the center conductor to the ground it was a dead short. I
>> thought this should be open.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>It's rattling inside? have you tested the swr?

Rattling is normal, from what I hear. The dead short is normal too. I think it's the 1/4 wave that shows open. I am getting them confused. Anayway, the antenna is not up yet, but the mast and mounts are up. I want to make a dry run so it's straight. Now, it's a matter of attaching the antenna.

Vinnie S.

2889. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 01:13:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <t9et71dc4jqjn9u41aucllkril036ldsnm@4ax.com>
Source record: 199964

On 8 May 2005 17:34:14 -0700, "farmerjoe1" <farmerjoe1@charter.net> wrote:

>Late last summer we had a very close lightning hit,now my SWR's are
>high.Any way i can run some sort of test to see if the Antrton is
>shotred out?

Nuke it and get the Imax 2000 or Maco.

Vinnie S.

2890. Re: wrist flipper doug adair hiding with AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 01:21:02 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2Wyfe.340$Y81.244@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199965

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050508171107.959$hC_-_@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050508122909.397$Av@newsreader.com...
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508105148.291$N2
>> >> @newsreader.com:
>> >>
>> >> >> That they are LOL!!!
>> >> >> Please pass on to you're better half a very Happy Mothers Day!
>> >>
>> >> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> >> > Back at your honey, Shark. :)
>> >>
>> >> His honey is a bear type guy...LOL
>> >>
>> > No wonder you're hooked on gay shit. Look what I found with -your-
>> > address attached to it. LOL (start making excuses)
>> >
>> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
>>
>> He's just jealous dude. This is where I took the family to this
>> morning for Brunch:
>>
>> http://www.ironstonevineyards.com/
>>
>> Pretty damn good, with an excellent view.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Cool! I just got back from taking the wife for a cruise for some
> ice cream in the Belvedere with the top down. The new 440 is
> breathing strong!

I had the Bronco cleaned and ready to go. They had
forecast rain by late afternoon, it hit early in the morning.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.

I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

2891. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 01:36:00 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic flips his lips and his wrists some more <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115602560.453876.159480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199966

Landshark wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050508122909.397$Av@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050508105148.291$N2
> >> @newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> >> That they are LOL!!!
> >> >> Please pass on to you're better half a very Happy Mothers Day!
> >>
> >> >> Landshark
> >>
> >> > Back at your honey, Shark. :)
> >>
> >> His honey is a bear type guy...LOL
> >>
> > No wonder you're hooked on gay shit. Look what I found with -your-
> > address attached to it. LOL (start making excuses)
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
>
> He's just jealous dude. This is where I took the family to
this
> morning for Brunch:
>
> http://www.ironstonevineyards.com/
>
> Pretty damn good, with an excellent view.
>
> Landshark
>
Did you find any truckers to take to the toilet and offset the tab?

2892. Bottom line here...you can't escape it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 01:40:27 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is a wrist flipper like Markie <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115602827.022784.25410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199967

You made physical threats, and you got proven to be a BS artist. You won't give your info out about camping in Dayton cus you are scared of the AKC, even when they said all they wanted to do was introduce themselves.

Threaten some more, sissy. Tell us how bad you are some more, sissy...Oh, sorry...we forgot...MEN do that. T/S wimps like you just hide.

2893. This guys' webpage blows me away...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 02:14:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <mIzfe.8971$7a7.3666073@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199968

And some worry about the FCC--this guy thinks he is invinceble!!
http://www.angelfire.com/nj/earplugger/

John
--
When Viagra fails to work--you are DOOMED!!!

2894. Re: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 02:18:07 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <427EC859.AAA62F48@earthlink.net>
Source record: 199969
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Beau wrote:
>
> Would be a real deal if you would keep the cheap STEEL plastic coated wire
> and just offer the insulators alone.....I like the wire ties you have on the
> wire....made for tying reinforcment steel in concrete.....so is the cheap
> antenna wire you offer with the insulators.
>
> a BAAAAAAAD deal!

Like the stainless steel messenger wire used in figure-8 CATV drops?
It has a plastic jacket and is made to support more than its own weight
for years. 100 foot drops of RG59 or RG6 are common and the messenger
wire will peel away from the coax. I had several thousand feet of it,
but my retired dad found my stash and has used all of it for his yard
and garden projects. The only bad thing is trying to solder to it, but
a clamp and N0-OX will take care of that.
--
Former professional electron wrangler.

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

2895. Re: This guys' webpage blows me away...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 02:54:48 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <N_idndgcl_ZrTePfRVn-1Q@giganews.com>
Source record: 199970

John Smith wrote:
> And some worry about the FCC--this guy thinks he is invinceble!!
> http://www.angelfire.com/nj/earplugger/
>
> John

No, it's their radios that are. ;-)

Jeff

2896. Subject: Looking for more information.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 08:51:54 UTC
From: sam_brandt50@samsroverdogs.com
Message-ID: <1115628714.138288.149490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199971

Hi I am interested in more information. Where is the best spot? You
can email me at any of the following addresses:
Thanks, Sam
masontss148@samsroverdogs.com alex148@gantabot.com
james148@slickbillspoliticalbiz.com grant148@getthefourthedition.com
dave148@slowmovingtrains.org harlo148@gillionpossibilities.com
bob148@spacemanspifflandsonthemoon.com
mary148@goodmedicalinformation.org jason148@spanishtires.net
domingo148@goodwalkinggroup.com carlo148@spotteddogteam.com
randy148@greateditionz.com jeff148@stillthebomb.com
vaughn148@greatshowforyou.biz chee148@stingingrose.com
edward148@greenapplez.com

2897. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 09:51:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509055106.980$xg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199972

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 8 May 2005 17:34:14 -0700, "farmerjoe1" <farmerjoe1@charter.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Late last summer we had a very close lightning hit,now my SWR's are
> >high.Any way i can run some sort of test to see if the Antrton is
> >shotred out?
>
> Nuke it and get the Imax 2000 or Maco.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Nuke all of those and get what I use for a ground plane.

http://www.a1antennas.com/

2898. Re: Subject: Looking for more information.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 10:18:32 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115633912.780421.182280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199973

fuck off monkey.

2899. Re: New email service!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 10:31:27 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115634687.757202.43400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199974

fuck off dildo !

2900. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 10:32:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509063248.999$ae@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199975

truth@truthfulwords.com wrote:
> You certainly won't dare to show your face in person. LOL.
>
Where?

2901. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 10:34:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509063458.349$Og@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199976

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508171514.202
> > Why do you share an address with this?
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
>
> You are 1 sick demented individual
>
Here's a great example of a sick demented individual.

Since December 12 2004

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> destination.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have
> any balls

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> correctional Facility i am locked up.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> Nagger you are a liar,
> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
> him trip over himself repying to this message.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> ever heard ofsub seven??

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Insane in the membrane.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You queer assclown

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking clueless douchebag.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You suck and lancer swallows.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> out and burns down your trailer.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> exactly i dont you stupid fuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> oxycotnin.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> guess again assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL, you fucking sissy...

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i am not a sock puppet but you are

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> steveo taslks shit
> without ever backing it up oh yes

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Negro please

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I know you wont to pussy to do so.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> your a fucking jew aren't you?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats not george you clueless assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> read what the fuck I tpye

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did yur mother have anything that lived?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you befriendung him for other
> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as usual.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
> now she is a real twat from what i hear.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No one is on your leg dickhead, now go suck some more dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> hahaha you are truely clueless you ass loving faggot. That is a 100% lie

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> his wife is out getting banged while he sits at homne on the usenet

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No that i fucked your ex- old lady was better.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> ur wife usually makes a special delivery and takes a load home with her.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL I say ask your
> wife mopedick... she likes the taste of my cock.

2902. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 11:52:50 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115639570.104600.213240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199977

Heck, make a 2 element quad and you'll be way ahead of the game. Even make your own ground plane, yagi. Cheap and much better gain. At least 11dB or bettter than the A99

2903. Re: This guys' webpage blows me away...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 12:29:52 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is a wrist flipper like Markie <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115641792.417349.60170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199978

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > And some worry about the FCC--this guy thinks he is invinceble!!
> > http://www.angelfire.com/nj/earplugger/
> >
> > John
>
> No, it's their radios that are. ;-)
>
> Jeff

Typical keyclown. A squatter with an illegal station.

2904. mopathetic scurrying to cover up cowardice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 12:31:09 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is a wrist flipper like Markie <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115641868.923022.52660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199979

Where's your camp site at Dayton, mopathetic? AKC wants to visit and introduce ourselves.

2905. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 12:32:19 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is a wrist flipper like Markie <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115641939.810216.162450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199980

Antrons are junk, get a coathanger.

2906. Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 13:15:39 UTC
From: cl <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <wwJfe.70801$NC6.42183@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 199981

Can someone give me a ball park figure on what a Cobra 138XLR in decent shape with original hand mike, manuals and maybe even the original box - would be worth? A local widow who used it, is selling out. I'm trying to find out how much she should be able to expect for it.

Thanks,

cl

2907. Re: Cobra 138XLR "CORRECTION"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 13:46:16 UTC
From: cl <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <1_Jfe.70802$NC6.64098@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 199982

"cl" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote in message
news:wwJfe.70801$NC6.42183@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> Can someone give me a ball park figure on what a Cobra 138XLR in decent
> shape with original hand mike, manuals and maybe even the original box -
> would be worth? A local widow who used it, is selling out. I'm trying to
> find out how much she should be able to expect for it.
>
> Thanks,
>
> cl
>
>

I've been out of CBs for a while as to buying/selling - can't even remember all the makes and models as I once was able to. I looked up the 138 XLR on E-Bay of which there are two of. This lady's radio is a "BASE" radio, not mobile. Maybe the 139???? She said it was a Cobra XLR 40 channel side-band set. "I" didn't see the radio - she called me on the phone about it - also suggested a 138. Due to age, probably got a number wrong. It is boxed up from what she had said. Sorry for confusion.

cl

2908. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 14:45:51 UTC
From: Sir_Oblivion <sir@oblivion.org>
Message-ID: <4e94c$427f77aa$4076f12c$19198@NETROX.NET>
Source record: 199983

Cobra 138XLR if I recall correctly used the 858 chip. Easy to modify but has to be at least twenty-five years old from my estimation. I wouldn't pay more than $25-$30.

"cl" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote in message news:wwJfe.70801$NC6.42183@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
> Can someone give me a ball park figure on what a Cobra 138XLR in decent
> shape with original hand mike, manuals and maybe even the original box -
> would be worth? A local widow who used it, is selling out. I'm trying to
> find out how much she should be able to expect for it.
>
> Thanks,
>
> cl
>
>

2909. Where is mopedope camping at the Dayton hamfest?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 14:50:19 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115650219.149453.131330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199984

He won't say, cuz he is running scared. All the AKC wants to do is introduce ourselves.

2910. Re: N8WWM@arrl.net threatens Dayton hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 14:54:43 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115650483.096720.222840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199985

Still holds true, only you are too much of a sissy to tell us where you are camping, queenboy wristflipper.

2911. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 15:28:48 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-427F81B0-324@storefull-3272.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199986

i bought two old johnson messagers 250 plus an 135xlr the johnson work
fine but the cobra is off freq all for 50$ my 2 cents worth

2912. Re: New email service!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 15:57:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GLLfe.14$N36.325787@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199987

Yeah!!! What he said!

John
--
When Viagra fails to work--you are DOOMED!!!

<johnvonlaws@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1115634687.757202.43400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
| fuck off dildo !
|

2913. Mopathetic still showing his own whining sissified cowardice.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 18:38:26 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115663906.270665.235530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199989

Steveo wrote:
> truth@truth.com wrote:
> > >
> > > mopathetic whined:
> > > > >
> > > > Nice likeness. He wants to know where I'm camping so that he
> > > > can hump a cops leg and cry about me.
> > >
> > > Bullcrap. We want it so we can introduce ourselves. You said
before you
> > > wanted us to show up. You chickenboy of a urinal cake sniffer,
you.
> > >
> >
> > Mopey will be easy to find. Just look in the mens public toilets.
> >
> Ever see an almost human punching bag? Watch what this face turns
> into after I'm done with it. I intend to disassemble this shit-stain
> as soon as I find him. I'll be easy to find, nad.

You will likely to be easy to find cuz you will be at LAMBDA's booth
mewling for men.

More threats, HA! More forges! HA! What a sissy boy you are. Nice to
see you threatening and posturing...we know the AKC has you thinking
fretfully. HAHAH!

Threaten some more, sissyboy. It's funny.

2914. Read this to understand mopathetic's mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 18:43:14 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115664194.421548.314490@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199988

Flip that wrist, flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a keyclown boy
With the IQ of a chimp

You have to understand the motivation behind Mopathetic's visits. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Mopathetic is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Mopathetic tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To Mopathetic, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that Mopathetic shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or

otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

Mopathetic's reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:
> You'll have to forgive GoogleBoy.

I bet you wish you were good at computer stuff, eh pedohile? GM tracks
every C5 they sell by VIN. You could have found my address from my 2003,
2001, or 1998 C5. Disney keeps records of who is on their ships. You could have found me by my Disney Cruise earlier this year. If you hurry
up
and call Ford, you can find my address by the 2005 Jaguar S-Type I bought
last month. And if you wait a few more months, you can locate my address
by my FAA Pilot License when I get it. Did you ever bother calling your
congressman to ask if every major branch of your Federal Government is implementing SAP? Just out of curiosity, why does the Megan Database show
that not only are you living in Brackenridge, but that you used to live
in
Saxonburg, Tarentum, and Pittsburgh? It also says you used to be married
to a Diane Pendergast. Why did she leave? Was it the pedophilia conviction?

Megan Law, Pennsylvania State database:

Pendergast, Thomas

818 9th Ave,
Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
(724)224-7546

601 E Eighth Ave
Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
(724) 224-7546

Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

2915. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 18:49:56 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115664596.669178.120870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199990

Chuck found a newly discovered part of the bible...where it says Jesus threatened people with guns...lol!

2916. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 20:06:38 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <IMSdnXHslKVPX-LfRVn-vw@giganews.com>
Source record: 199991

chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC wrote:
> Chuck found a newly discovered part of the bible...where it says Jesus
> threatened people with guns...lol!

Was that before or after he threw out the moneychangers?

2917. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 21:44:41 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FD9C9.6398758B@yapoo.com>
Source record: 199992

Got a ham here that's blind, won't work, wants everything handed to him, spent his HF radio money on a new computer, canceled his high speed internet because he couldn't afford it (spent his wad on the new computer) and wants someone to give him a record player so he can make his records into CD's.

He's told us on 2 meters, his only band, that he worked once, didn't like it, and won't do it again. He brags about all the stuff the state will get him (didn't pan out!!!). He gets up at 4:30 in the morning just to talk to the folks driving to work. I laugh my ass off when one of the guys brags about the HF contacts he makes while driving.

He won't buy a used HF radio because he bought a used radio once and it had some problems. I've bought broken 2 meter stuff cheap, fixed it and sold it. I picked up a broken IC-2100 and fixed it with a part from a broken HTX-212. I kept the IC-2100.

Maybe you should have a talk with him. Oh yeah, he's one of those hams that bought himself a vanity callsign, too. You two should see each other eye to eye!

He's an atheist that always says, "God bless America" at the end of the old farts net when he's net control, that hypocrite!!!

> it's nice to be back to work... and have money for a change...

I'm glad I have technical skills...

2918. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 21:47:48 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FDA84.A528BA79@yapoo.com>
Source record: 199993

Cliff wrote:
>
> Heck, make a 2 element quad and you'll be way ahead of the game. Even
> make your own ground plane, yagi. Cheap and much better gain. At
> least 11dB or bettter than the A99

That's dbi, right? Can we get a real life gain figure?
You can't build an isotropic antenna.

2919. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 21:51:09 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115675469.558406.113700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199894

Chuck wrote:
> http://www.k8cpa.org
>
> it's nice to be back to work... and have money for a change...
>
> :-)
>
> -Chuck

Yeah, those sores on your lips woulda put off the drivers at the truck stops, good thinking to sit back and heal up, Chukkee..
HINT: Stay away from truckstops in NE Ohio. Mopathetic works them, and he might beat you over the head with his pink sack of quarters.

2920. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 21:57:16 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FDCBC.951FED1A@yapoo.com>
Source record: 199895

Jim Hampton wrote:
> *Everyone* should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible, your
> machine will respond to pinging.
>
> Your machine is vulnerable without a firewall, *especially* if you are on
> broadband. Regardless, even a dial-up should have a good firewall as well
> as an anti-virus program running at all times whilst on the Internet. It is
> also a good idea to have an anti-spyware program as well.

I just have my cable/DSL router between my modem and PC's.

I find those programs cause more grief then they save.

2921. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 22:02:41 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FDE01.3B28B8C@yapoo.com>
Source record: 199896
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> >Radio Shack Canada will be no more.

> So what's the new name, mobil fone store?

PhoneShack

2922. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 22:04:03 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FDE53.E306D777@yapoo.com>
Source record: 199897
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > So what's the new name, mobil fone store?
>
> The new slogan will be, "You got questions? We've got a cell phone to
> sell you."

...so you can call someone that knows shit!

2923. Re: Say good-by to Radio Shack Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 22:06:51 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <427FDEFB.1C2B6D84@yapoo.com>
Source record: 199898
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,can.general,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.arrl.org/arrlvec/pools.html

James wrote:
>
> John, you could get some books from the ARRL, study, and get that ticket in
> a matter of weeks .
>
> Then, you wouldn't be so frustrated !!!!
>
> K4PYT

2924. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 22:49:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509184959.478$63@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199899

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050509063458.349$Og@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508171514.202
> >> > Why do you share an address with this?
> >> >
> >> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
> >>
> >> You are 1 sick demented individual
> >>
> > Here's a great example of a sick demented individual.
>
> No the sick individual is you stalking me and other people, your last
> posts confirms what others have said. You are a STALKER!!!!
>
> as well as a pussy
>
Buzzards come home to roost and you resume squirm, squirm, squirming.

Leave me alone and I will do the same for you.

Deal?

2925. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 22:53:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509185304.310$G9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199900

truth@ispeaktruth.net wrote:
> > truth@truthfulwords.com wrote:
> > > You certainly won't dare to show your face in person. LOL.
> > >
> > Where?
>
> at any hamfest, at any hams home, at any hams work
>
I'll be in Dayton.

2926. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 22:56:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509185645.929$Ef@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199901

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > Chuck found a newly discovered part of the bible...where it says Jesus
> > threatened people with guns...lol!
>
> Was that before or after he threw out the moneychangers?
>
Ever see the sick shit doug posted about him? He re-arranged Chucks
bible thump into some of the sickest gay shit I've ever seen posted
to Usenet. That fucker is sick in the dome.

2927. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 23:27:33 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ioudnVFv1J5_bOLfRVn-gw@giganews.com>
Source record: 199902

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
>>> Chuck found a newly discovered part of the bible...where it says
>>> Jesus threatened people with guns...lol!
>>
>> Was that before or after he threw out the moneychangers?
>>
> Ever see the sick shit doug posted about him? He re-arranged Chucks
> bible thump into some of the sickest gay shit I've ever seen posted
> to Usenet. That fucker is sick in the dome.

You bet he is. It's all to Freudian, right? These guys want in your pants in the worst way. I wouldn't go near the bastards if I were you.

Jeff

2928. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-09 23:57:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <h7uv71t29hj0u6ejjsaj0subeu96pi3npl@4ax.com>
Source record: 199903

On Mon, 9 May 2005 09:15:39 -0400, "cl" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote:

>Can someone give me a ball park figure on what a Cobra 138XLR in decent
>shape with original hand mike, manuals and maybe even the original box -
>would be worth? A local widow who used it, is selling out. I'm trying to
>find out how much she should be able to expect for it.
>
>Thanks,
>
>cl
>

Looks like they get a nice penny:

http://search-completed.ebay.com/cobra-138_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcompareQQcoentrypageZsearchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ1QQfsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsbrftogZ1QQsofocusZso

Vinnie S.

2929. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 00:07:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509200715.438$MG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199904

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> >>> Chuck found a newly discovered part of the bible...where it says
> >>> Jesus threatened people with guns...lol!
> >>
> >> Was that before or after he threw out the moneychangers?
> >>
> > Ever see the sick shit doug posted about him? He re-arranged Chucks
> > bible thump into some of the sickest gay shit I've ever seen posted
> > to Usenet. That fucker is sick in the dome.
>
> You bet he is. It's all to Freudian, right? These guys want in your pants
> in the worst way. I wouldn't go near the bastards if I were you.
>
> Jeff
>
I'm going to Dayton with two of my friends, we'll see what happens. I
will say if I see him anyplace close to my camper, it won't be the best
day he's ever had. That's -no- threat, it's reality.

He is having another melt-down.

2930. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 00:08:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509200846.948$bK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199905

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Mon, 9 May 2005 09:15:39 -0400, "cl" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote:
>
> >Can someone give me a ball park figure on what a Cobra 138XLR in decent
> >shape with original hand mike, manuals and maybe even the original box -
> >would be worth? A local widow who used it, is selling out. I'm trying to
> >find out how much she should be able to expect for it.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >cl
> >
>
> Looks like they get a nice penny:
>
> http://search-completed.ebay.com/cobra-138_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcompar
> eQQcoentrypageZsearchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ1QQ
> fsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsbrft
> ogZ1QQsofocusZso
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Hey Enzo, didja get my voice-mail?

2931. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 00:47:14 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115686034.893446.308340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199906

When compared to a stated db in a ground plane you should get at least 10db better with a 2 element quard. Go to: http://www.signalengineering.com/ultimate/

and it will tell you all about it. Have made a 2 element quad on 3/8wave and have talked to Nova Scotia, Hawaii, Australia, New Zealand on a SBE Console II 23 ch am/usb/lsb radio with a std ceramic mic. My design came from a ham operator in No.Ctrl Tx that runs over 2Kw on it and goes wherever he wants, and almost makes his own skip.

But this is the way to go.

2932. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 01:16:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6q2081h1asdb5i20rcv472pg5e392o5ar8@4ax.com>
Source record: 199907

On 10 May 2005 00:08:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Mon, 9 May 2005 09:15:39 -0400, "cl" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote:
>>
>> >Can someone give me a ball park figure on what a Cobra 138XLR in decent
>> >shape with original hand mike, manuals and maybe even the original box -
>> >would be worth? A local widow who used it, is selling out. I'm trying to
>> >find out how much she should be able to expect for it.
>> >
>> >Thanks,
>> >
>> >cl
>> >
>>
>> Looks like they get a nice penny:
>>
>> http://search-completed.ebay.com/cobra-138_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcompar
>> eQQcoentrypageZsearchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ1QQ
>> fsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsbrft
>> ogZ1QQsofocusZso
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Hey Enzo, didja get my voice-mail?

No. When did you call? I called you yesterday. I am going to try and finish it
tomorrow.

Vinnie S.

2933. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 01:44:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509214440.462$SO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199908

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 10 May 2005 00:08:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Mon, 9 May 2005 09:15:39 -0400, "cl" <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Can someone give me a ball park figure on what a Cobra 138XLR in
> >> >decent shape with original hand mike, manuals and maybe even the
> >> >original box - would be worth? A local widow who used it, is selling
> >> >out. I'm trying to find out how much she should be able to expect for
> >> >it.
> >> >
> >> >Thanks,
> >> >
> >> >cl
> >> >
> >>
> >> Looks like they get a nice penny:
> >>
> >> http://search-completed.ebay.com/cobra-138_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcom
> >> par
> >> eQQcoentrypageZsearchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ
> >> 1QQ
> >> fsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsb
> >> rft ogZ1QQsofocusZso
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Hey Enzo, didja get my voice-mail?
>
> No. When did you call? I called you yesterday. I am going to try and
> finish it tomorrow.
>
Maybe I called your cell, it was an hour or so ago. Did you leave a
message when you called me?

2934. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 01:47:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509214748.461$GE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199909

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050509184959.478$63
> @newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050509063458.349$Og@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508171514.202
> >> >> > Why do you share an address with this?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
> >> >>
> >> >> You are 1 sick demented individual
> >> >>
> >> > Here's a great example of a sick demented individual.
> >>
> >> No the sick individual is you stalking me and other people, your last
> >> posts confirms what others have said. You are a STALKER!!!!
> >>
> >> as well as a pussy
> >>
> > Buzzards come home to roost and you resume squirm, squirm, squirming.
> >
> > Leave me alone and I will do the same for you.
> >
> > Deal?
>
> You are the one on a wild goose chase
>
Nope.

Do we just agree to disagree now, or do we keep going?

Just leave me alone already, I haven't spent the 10 bucks to get
your vitals yet.

2935. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 01:49:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509214910.359$Gm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199910

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050509185304.310$G9
> @newsreader.com:
>
> > truth@ispeaktruth.net wrote:
> >> > truth@truthfulwords.com wrote:
> >> > > You certainly won't dare to show your face in person. LOL.
> >> > >
> >> > Where?
> >>
> >> at any hamfest, at any hams home, at any hams work
> >>
> > I'll be in Dayton.
>
> So will 20,000 other people
>
But not you. lol
>
.. what a fucking pussy
>
^^^^ see above ^^^^

2936. KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 01:51:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199911

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
>> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and pointers for pic's of
> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
>
Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?

2937. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 03:01:27 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <buVfe.15054$J12.4935@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199912

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050509214748.461$GE@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050509184959.478$63
>> @newsreader.com:
>>
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> news:20050509063458.349$Og@newsreader.com:
>> >>
>> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050508171514.202
>> >> >> > Why do you share an address with this?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
>> >> >>
>> >> >> You are 1 sick demented individual
>> >> >>
>> >> > Here's a great example of a sick demented individual.
>> >>
>> >> No the sick individual is you stalking me and other people, your last
>> >> posts confirms what others have said. You are a STALKER!!!!
>> >>
>> >> as well as a pussy
>> >>
>> > Buzzards come home to roost and you resume squirm, squirm, squirming.
>> >
>> > Leave me alone and I will do the same for you.
>> >
>> > Deal?
>>
>> You are the one on a wild goose chase
>>
> Nope.
>
> Do we just agree to disagree now, or do we keep going?
>
> Just leave me alone already, I haven't spent the 10 bucks to get
> your vitals yet.
```

Good luck Mopar. I've let him alone, and don't
plan on giving him much attention in anyway.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

2938. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 03:01:27 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <buVfe.15055$J12.9219@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199913

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050509200715.438$MG@newsreader.com...
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
>> >>> Chuck found a newly discovered part of the bible...where it says
>> >>> Jesus threatened people with guns...lol!
>> >>
>> >> Was that before or after he threw out the moneychangers?
>> >>
>> > Ever see the sick shit doug posted about him? He re-arranged Chucks
>> > bible thump into some of the sickest gay shit I've ever seen posted
>> > to Usenet. That fucker is sick in the dome.
>>
>> You bet he is. It's all to Freudian, right? These guys want in your pants
>> in the worst way. I wouldn't go near the bastards if I were you.
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> I'm going to Dayton with two of my friends, we'll see what happens. I
> will say if I see him anyplace close to my camper, it won't be the best
> day he's ever had. That's -no- threat, it's reality.
>
> He is having another melt-down.

PLEASE DUDE!!!! Who's lariat you jerking? Do you really
think that he's going to be anywhere near you? He'll be
watching his back, just because of all the enemies he's
made being such a jerk.

landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

2939. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 03:01:27 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <buVfe.15056$J12.1575@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199914

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>>> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and pointers for pic's of
>> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
>>
> Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?

Not enough money for bus fare (grin)

Landshark

--

```
__
o /' )
/' ( ,
__/' ) .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--..._____. .'
( _. )). `-._ <
`\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
`---......____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
`-` `
```

2940. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 03:24:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509232416.254$TZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199915

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050509200715.438$MG@newsreader.com...
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> >> >>> Chuck found a newly discovered part of the bible...where it says
> >> >>> Jesus threatened people with guns...lol!
> >> >>
> >> >> Was that before or after he threw out the moneychangers?
> >> >>
> >> > Ever see the sick shit doug posted about him? He re-arranged Chucks
> >> > bible thump into some of the sickest gay shit I've ever seen posted
> >> > to Usenet. That fucker is sick in the dome.
> >>
> >> You bet he is. It's all to Freudian, right? These guys want in your
> >> pants in the worst way. I wouldn't go near the bastards if I were you.
> >>
> >> Jeff
> >>
> > I'm going to Dayton with two of my friends, we'll see what happens. I
> > will say if I see him anyplace close to my camper, it won't be the best
> > day he's ever had. That's -no- threat, it's reality.
> >
> > He is having another melt-down.
>
> PLEASE DUDE!!!! Who's lariat you jerking? Do you really
> think that he's going to be anywhere near you? He'll be
> watching his back, just because of all the enemies he's
> made being such a jerk.
>
> landshark
>
We have a film crew this time... mpegs anyone? <eg>

2941. Check out nads coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 03:27:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509232731.214$3h_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199917

truth@iamtellingyoutruth.com wrote:
>There are already
> 2 cases started against him, a third can just add to his problems.
>
What kinda dumbass thinks his landlord won't see this?

http://img110.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00039zm.jpg

What a fool! lol

2942. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 03:28:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050509232830.782$Z2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199916

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >>> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and pointers for pic's
> >>> of
> >> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
> >>
> > Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?
>
> Not enough money for bus fare (grin)
>
> Landshark
>
No balls, all keyboard. (akc)

2943. Re: This guys' webpage blows me away...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 03:34:25 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115696065.844198.132960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199918

So what's wrong with having a station like that? I want one JUST LIKE
THAT! 10-4!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2944. 1976 Cobra 77X

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 04:20:01 UTC
From: Totalrod2@aol.com
Message-ID: <1115698801.882013.13790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199919

I need to find a mic that'll work on my Cobra 77X. I had a couple of spares laying around (both Cobras), neither of which worked. Can someone steer me in the right direction here? I don't feel like playing hit-or-miss until I finally find one that works. Any info is appreciated.
Bryan
P.S. I know the radio itself works because I've used it in the past. But the original mic was lost, and the radio won't operate without it.

2945. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 04:47:53 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-42803CF9-432@storefull-3272.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199920

my cb friend made me an 2 element quad few years ago only 20 ft high
talking all over the place plus across the pond with an barefoot radio
73s

2946. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 04:53:05 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-42803E31-433@storefull-3272.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199921

3/8 wave ant tell me more about it please that sounds better a mini quad
.

2947. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 10:52:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2f4181hbc0n21r72rrj10pan3i9p8avckg@4ax.com>
Source record: 199922

On Fri, 6 May 2005 10:40:38 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Self taught based on nothing more than personal thought you mistakenly
>refer as empirical observation isn't a valid method.

Why not?

>
>>It is far too complex to have evolved totally
>>randomly.
>
>(That's an argument used by primitive civilations to explain things
>which they do not understand. If you want to be a part of an enlightened
>society, the first thing you need to learn is that complexity does not
>require divine providence. But if that concept is beyond your level of
>comprehension you can always take up astrology, voodoo, crystal ball
>gazing..... or even republican economics.)
>
>
>
>The ancients sacrificed each other to the "Gods" of the sky when they
>were angry (thunder, heavy storms)...same goes for the Gods of the sea,
>the four winds, etc. St. Elmo's Fire was attributed to the Gods by the
>old salts and still is in superstitious circles of the old time
>fishermen...

Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from
magic....

The more answers you learn, the more questions you discover. It's a
never ending quest.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2948. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 11:22:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tj41815neshha5ehpekb2qp8jfsm8d5uda@4ax.com>
Source record: 199923

On Fri, 6 May 2005 11:19:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>The FCC owns the rights to the radio
>>spectrum in this country.
>
>That is ludicrous. They do not. They merely are charged with
>administrating such. The spectrum does not stop at the borders.
>
>>.No, but while inside the borders, you will pay
>>(Sometimes dearly) the FCC for the right to
>>play on the airwaves.
>
>
>
>So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
>damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
>paltry bucks..

Illegally. Just as there are people who trespass on private or
otherwise posted land, and never get caught either. But it's still
illegal.

>>Ask any cell phone company
>>owner/administrator.
>
>
>Your selection of cell phone admins does not discount the countless
>freebanders, cbers or hammies who play on it for free or on the extreme
>cheap.

Illegally, or on bands where public access is set aside. Much like a
public park.

>>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum
>>to people with a legitimate need. It's no
>>different than government owned land.
>
>Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were
>already taught.
>
>>Yes, it is different in some ways, but the ways
>>that are similar are what I am talking about. It's
>>a fact that the FCC sells off chunks of
>>spectrum to commercial interests, sometimes
>>for outrageous amounts. If the FCC was not in

>>the position to claim "ownership" of that
>>spectrum, how could they auction it off?
>
>
>
>By virtue of administration. Auctions are held daily all over the place.
>They do not own what they auction, but like the FCC, are merely charged
>with the administering of such.

Semantics.

>>Your car is yours as is your radio gear. But the
>>privilege to operate both is granted by the
>>government, and can be revoked for the
>>proper cause.
>
>Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate
>my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.
>
>>Right! On you own land. But venture out on
>>.the public street, and they have all the
>>authority. Same goes for radio. If you can
>>somehow prevent your signal from escaping
>>the borders of your property (Which is covered
>>by FCC Part 15), you could do what you want.
>
>
>Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?

Pushing which law and in what way?

>>Once those signals escape into the public
>>venue, they are under the control of the
>>federal government.
>
>
>
>How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over
>such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can
>tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public
>broadcast?

Most of those situations employ carrier current transmitters which
radiate only a short distance from their "antenna" wires, thereby
limiting range beyond the intended service area. The biggest uses for
this technique is on college campuses, travel, and road alert systems.

As you know, RF degrades gradually and it is impossible to "brick
wall" stop a broadcast at the limits of physical property. But unless
you are very close, you will likely not hear a carrier current
transmission.

>>Another way to look at it, You own your car,
>>but not the roads you drive on.

>Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.

>>And administered by the government.

>>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves
>>you broadcast on.
>
>Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.

>>For all practical purposes, yes they do in this
>>country.
>>You do not have a "right" to transmit beyond
>>the confines of your own property.
>
>That is what the cb does.

Yes, but the authorization to operate a CB is a "privilege", not a
"right".

>>You are granted a "privilege" to do so by the
>>government in the proxy of the FCC.
>
>
>This "privilege" is availabe to anyone, so how can it be referred a
>privilege?

Not true. You have to be a U.S. citizen, and not convicted of other
FCC rule violations.

> I know you elitist hammies believe this to be true about your
>ticket, but it simply does not apply to cb, as practically any American
>citizen is granted the "right" to broadcast, via a cb, simply by
>ownership of one. This does not exactly equate to any "privilege".

Instead of arguing with me, try looking into the rules governing each
service, and find out for yourself. Despite the relative ease by which
a person may operate a CB radio, it is still not a "right" to do so,
it is a privilege granted by the FCC, as the service is authorized by
rule, even if a license is not required.

>>As a
>>condition of that privilege comes your
>>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in
>>various FCC parts depending on which
>>service you are using.
>>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.
>
>
>
>Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right
>now and have said so on many occasion.

Sure. The FCC is not as effective as they should be, and freeload....
er, freebanders get away with trespassing on other government
administered frequencies with little chance of getting caught. But
that doesn't mean that it's legal or proper.

>They rightly and deservedly go
>after those they deem the most important and damaging to our hobby.

You mean those who project the highest profile, or those who impact
operators who paid dearly for the right to use their part of the
spectrum.

> It
>is yourself that does not like the "way it is" nor agree with it.

Well, that's true. I do wish the FCC had more teeth.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2949. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 11:39:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pb6181h57d88m98fu18hsp7lk8f8359rhm@4ax.com>
Source record: 199924

On Fri, 06 May 2005 00:06:12 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 05 May 2005 09:44:26 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <k48k71lfj32hds0t5omk2s8b8i6fq79o4u@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 4 May 2005 11:20:49 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Hall (N3CVJ) wrote:
>>>>Quite contrary. Logic supports the existence of
>>>>a creator or, more generally, the concept of
>>>
>>>>intelligent design.
>>>
>>>
>>>Science is based on logic. Nowhere does science support your position.
>>
>>Well, one of two possibilities exist. Either the earth cooled, formed
>>water, created primordial amino acids which somehow morphed into
>>single celled life, which then somehow determined the need to further
>>specialize and diversify, and all species evolved from there. Somehow
>>they knew that we'd need plants to make oxygen, for the animals that
>>need it. Some species would become food for others. All of this raises
>>many questions, the biggest of which is what force drove these single
>>celled organisms to improve and specialize themselves? What drives
>>evolution? Can accidental random mutation answer these questions
>>satisfactorily.
>
>
>That's about the most ignorant pseudo-scientific argument I have ever
>heard in favor of creationsim. If you are going to play biochemist at
>least show a little knowledge of the subject. You could at least
>address the fact that an imbalance in a complex equilibrium will
>result in a more complex equilibrium. Or that an ocean full of
>primordial soup doesn't just sit there and stew in a state of
>homeostatis -- it's under a constant barrage from a large number of
>ionizing radiations that can change it's chemistry. After a couple
>billion years it's hardly inconceivable that symbiotic relationships
>not only could exist on a planetary scale, but that a threshold of
>self-sustaining complexity could occur. In fact, it's far more
>plausible than concluding that everything was willed into existence by
>some super-ghost.

Your anti-God bias is showing. You would rather believe that the
complexity of our ecosystem occurred due to just the right random,
combinations of factors and events to produce all the diversified
species, which all have a key part to play in the total picture,
rather than consider the likelihood that an intelligent force was
somehow responsible for guiding it.

>>The other possibility is that our existence was carefully guided by an
>>intelligent force. Applying Occam's razor, which scenario is easier
>>to believe?
>
>
>See above.

Yes, see above.

><snip>
>>>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
>>>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
>>>mistake for "random".

But what motivates natural evolution? Who decides whether a mutation
is "beneficial" or not? Natural selection, otherwise known as
survival of the fittest, assumes that gene mutations which result in a
"better" species, would survive while the "lesser' versions of the
species would die out. Yet, it is said that homo-sapiens evolved from
apes. Why then are apes still around if we are the "new and improved"
version of the ape?

Evolution only explains a small part of the puzzle.

>>But what drives evolution? If random mutations are the basis for
>>evolution, then what prevents "bad mutations" or several different
>>mutations from leading us down even more diverse paths?
>
>
>A little concept called "survival of the fittest".

Then why are smaller mammals still here? Why are apes still here? Why
do humans have self-awareness? Why do we posses an intelligence that
allows us to contemplate the unknown, and live beyond the programming
of instinctive behavior? What about the concept of a soul?

>>Natural selection only answers some of those questions.
>
>
>Only if you slept through the class like you did during American
>History and Social Studies.

I have an open mind. Something you evidently do not.

>>There is much scientific evidence to support the theory of evolution.
>>I am not trying to discount it at all. Quite the opposite, I totally
>>endorse the concept of evolution. The difference is that I believe

>>that evolution was "helped" along by an outside intelligence.
>
>
>Instead of being wishy-washy about the issue, why not consider the
>possibility that evolution is, very simply, one of God's creations?

It very well might be. It's all part of the bigger plan. Like I said,
I totally accept the concepts of evolution. I just believe that the
process has been "managed" by a higher order intelligence, the
definition of which, has yet to be revealed. I am not advocating any
specific religious interpretation of "God", only that one exists.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2950. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 12:14:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <kq71815f1e7cqd2g55f8pk4loln7g9j3eq@4ax.com>
Source record: 199925

On Thu, 05 May 2005 23:35:19 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 05 May 2005 09:32:11 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <be0k71lrjtqh4nemri28n2b8c2uam5ub8s@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>No it doesn't. It only takes one exposure to get the bug.
>>
>>And if you and your partner are monogamous, this is highly unlikely.
>
>
>Unless your partner is infected.

Which won't likely happen if you are both monogamous.

><snip>
>>>>>Not just the First Amendment, Dave. The concept is reflected in the
>>>>>main body of the Constitution; "...no religious test shall ever be
>>>>>required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the
>>>>>United States," a clause which was unanimously adopted by the
>>>>>Constitutional Convention.
>>>>
>>>>Yet the democrats are using this exact criteria to DENY appointees to
>>>>the court. In their minds, a strong belief in faith should be regarded
>>>>as a reason to disqualify someone from serving in public office.
>>>
>>>
>>>You are -totally- off your rocker, Dave.
>>
>>Am I? I guess you haven't been following the struggle for the
>>appointment of judicial nominees. It is quite obvious that the ones
>>who the dems oppose the most are people with a strong religious
>>faith.
>>
>>Some light reading for you to come up to speed on this issues.
>>
>>http://www.federalistjournal.com/fedblog/?cat=3
>>
>>http://quante.blogspot.com/2005/04/you-cant-legislate-morality.html
>>
>>http://www.captainsquartersblog.com/mt/archives/cat_national_politics.php
>
>
>Blog, blog, blog. If you think that Democrats are godless heathens,

>maybe you should take a second look at the Carter administration.

Why? Carter's administration has no relevance to this discussion.

><snip>
>>>>>The USA is not, nor has it ever been, a Christian state.
>>>>
>>>>Not "officially",
>>>
>>>
>>>Or "unofficially".
>>
>>The majority of Christian citizens would probably disagree.
>
>
>Despite the fact that this majority of Christian citizens is not a
>majority of American citizens, seperation of church and state is not
>subject to a majority vote.

There is no mandated "separation of church and state". Only an
establishment clause prohibiting a state sponsored religion.

>I think this page says it best:
>
>http://www.au.org/site/PageServer?pagename=issues
>
>
>>>> but our whole government is littered with Christian
>>>>references. The ten commandments in judicial buildings.
>>>
>>>
>>>As well as religious symbols of other faiths.
>>
>>Such as?
>
>
>Go search the net for a picture of the wall behind the Supreme Court
>bench.
>
>
>>>> The swearing
>>>>on the Bible,
>>>
>>>
>>>And here's proof that you have never read the Constitution -- the
>>>passage I quoted above is in direct reference to the requirement for
>>>an Oath of Affirmation.
>>
>>What passage have you quoted?
>
>

>Don't you know? Haven't you read the Constitution?

Yes, have you? What passage are you referring?

>
>
>> And how does that diminish the fact that
>>swearing on the bible is a confirmation that Judeo-Christian
>>influences have been intertwined in our government from the beginning?
>
>
>Swearing on a bible is -not- required to take an oath of affirmation.

No, but it is used in every court case, to "swear in" a witness.

>The clause forbidding a "religous test" was added to -prevent- exactly
>what you claim.

Then why is it still being done on a daily basis?

> It was added because, at the time, some states had
>oaths that required the person entering office to declare a belief in
>God or a "divine inspiration". Such an oath was a "religious test"
>that was required to qualify for the office, and excluded anyone that
>didn't share the same religious beliefs. This violated the seperation
>of church and state, so the clause was added to prohibit such tests,
>and the office would be available to any citizen -despite- religious
>beliefs.

We're talking about court cases here, not job applications.

><snip>
>>>If you are so worried about the "institution" of marriage then you
>>>have bigger issues than gays. In case you haven't noticed, nearly half
>>>of all marriages end in divorce,
>>
>>Not true. You are not keeping current.
>>
>>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
>
>
>No, it's very true. You skipped right over the line, "The U.S. Census
>Bureau does not collect the number of marriages and divorces that take
>place in a given year." That statistic is collected by the CDC. And
>for the year of 2003, the divorce rate was -almost exactly- half the
>rate of marriage:
>
>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr52/nvsr52_22.pdf

You should read the link I posted again. The very first line tells the
story. The divorce rate is only 9.6%.

>>> and a large number of people get
>>>married more than once. There is also the issue of polygamy -- if you
>>>are going to claim that marriage is a religious value or that it is
>>>traditionally monogamous then you better change your tune because
>>>that's not the case. For example, King Solomon (a "servant of God")
>>>had 600 wives and 900 concubines... or was it 900 wives and 600
>>>concubines.... whichever, it doesn't really matter. The point is that
>>>the "traditional Christian marriage" is just as much a farce in
>>>definition as it is in practice; and gay marriage has far less impact
>>>on it's "value" than legalized divorce, secular ceremonies, Joseph
>>>Smith, or even the holy doctrine of your own faith.
>>
>>
>>Typical tactic. Justify a particular abhorrent behavior by making
>>unrelated comparisons to other abhorrent behaviors.
>
>
>It's a very logical and justifiable tactic, Dave.

No, it's a logical fallacy.

http://www.datanation.com/fallacies/falsean.htm

> If the foundation of
>your argument is that gay marriage weakens the value of marriage, it's
>both fair and reasonable to compare gay marriage to other factors that
>would affect the value of marriage.

Not if they have little in common, other than the idea of marriage
itself.

> And after such a comparison, gay
>marriage is -barely- significant, if at all.

When you base your conclusion on a false premise, I can understand
your error.

> Yet you are whining about
>it like a stuck pig while denying those other, much more significant
>factors.

So, because there might be other factors which may be more
significant, we should ignore the small ones? That's the same sort of
twisted logic that freebanders use to justify illegal radio pirating.

Bad is bad no matter how large or small it may be.

>The conclusion is obvious: this has absolutely nothing to do
>with the value of marriage. You simply hate homosexuals.

Once again, your chain of logic is based on flawed conclusions based
on fallacious logic, and your own internal bias.

><snip>
>> The fact that polygamy might have been acceptable once does

>>not mean that a gay marriage should be now.
>
>
>I never suggested it did. I was pointing out that your "traditional
>Christian values" regarding marriage are, at best, hypocritical.

So we should abandon all moral values now since they may change
sometime in the future?

><snip>
>>>Referring back to your "college degree" analogy, if the standards are
>>>lowered then my degree becomes more valuable.
>>
>>Only to you.
>
>
>Hardly. If the standards are lowered then I have an education that
>meets a higher standard, and I am therefore more qualified -- out of
>the gate -- than someone with a lesser education.

But YOU will have to prove that.

>> After a few years go by, everyone will then consider a BS
>>degree to be a 2 year course. You will have to remind people (and by
>>doing so be construed as bragging in much the same way that a 20 WPM
>>Extra reminds people that he passed a tougher code exam than the
>>current 5 WPM extra) of the fact that you had an additional 2 years of
>>study, thereby propping up your perceived value. The public at large
>>will not be immediately aware of your "extra" work. Therefore it has
>>diminished in value.
>
>
>Because people like you exist, some will undoubtedly see it that way.

Most people will eventually see it that way.

>But some, like myself, will already understand that those two extra
>years mean the difference between 'good' and 'better'.

Yes, but you will have to "educate" those who will quickly forget
that.

>>> Here's another analogy:
>>>If some of the auto makers start making cars of lower quality, what
>>>happens to the value of the vehicles made by other manufacturers? Duh.
>>
>>That's not a good analogy in this situation. For this analogy to be
>>applicable, you would have to offer 2 different "marriage systems".
>>One allowing gays, and one not. Then a relative value comparison
>>between two distinct entities can accurately be assessed.
>
>
>I'm not going to make justifications for your bigotry, Dave.

Then settle for a good analogy. The one you presented was not a good
comparison for the reasons I gave.

>How you
>feel about your own marriage is not dependent upon anyone else but
>yourself. The Constitution is not going to change just because a
>couple gays getting married affects the way you feel about your own
>marriage.

It just might.

><snip>
>>I also noticed that you snipped the part of your last response where
>>you called me a "holy roller religious wacko". After retrospection,
>>I'm sure you realized that in making such a statement, you are
>>practicing the very same intolerance and bigotry for other viewpoints
>>as you had accused me of doing, thereby exposing your own hypocrisy.
>>
>>But I did notice.
>
>
>I snipped a lot of stuff. Unlike you, I have to work for a living, and
>I simply don't have the time to play your game.

Excuses excuses.....

>So if you want to
>start whining about my dumping the excess baggage you add to your
>posts then feel free -- it will get snipped just like all the other
>crap you use to water down the topics.

I water down the topics? Pointing out your own intolerance and
hypocrisy is very much pertinent to the discussion as it becomes
testimony as to your objectivity and credibility on this subject.

>>>>Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong. I believe that change is inevitable.
>>
>>
>>Even if it's bad?
>
>
>Moron.

What's the matter Frank? Are you that incapable of participating in an
open discussion that challenges your preconceived notions?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2951. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 13:44:51 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115732691.535306.57210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199926

```
Steveo wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050509184959.478$63
> > @newsreader.com:
> >
> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > > wrote:
> > >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > >> news:20050509063458.349$Og@newsreader.com:
> > >>
> > >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > >> > wrote:
> > >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050508171514.202
> > >> >> > Why do you share an address with this?
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> > http://tinyurl.com/898qt
> > >> >>
> > >> >> You are 1 sick demented individual
> > >> >>
> > >> > Here's a great example of a sick demented individual.
> > >>
> > >> No the sick individual is you stalking me and other people, your
last
> > >> posts confirms what others have said. You are a STALKER!!!!
> > >>
> > >> as well as a pussy
> > >>
> > > Buzzards come home to roost and you resume squirm, squirm,
squirming.
> > >
> > > Leave me alone and I will do the same for you.
> > >
> > > Deal?
> >
> > You are the one on a wild goose chase
> >
> Nope.
>
> Do we just agree to disagree now, or do we keep going?
>
```

> Just leave me alone already, I haven't spent the 10 bucks to get
> your vitals yet.

HAH! No matter what you do, as has been proven for years now, you cant stop the AKC, wristflipper.

2952. mopathetic threatens people, but is scared to post where he's camping at Dayton! LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 13:47:01 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115732821.802510.89290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199927

We don't know why he trembles in fear, but we have proven he does. We all just wanna introduce ourselves. How about it mopathetic, ya gonna tell us where yer camping? We don't wanna go to the LAMBDA booth to talk to you.

2953. Inside retardo mopathetic's head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 13:51:10 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115733070.241781.95840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 199928

Flip that wrist, flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a keyclown boy
With the IQ of a chimp

You have to understand the motivation behind Mopathetic's visits. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Mopathetic is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and

cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Mopathetic tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To Mopathetic, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam

the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that Mopathetic shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all

keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

Mopathetic's reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented.

Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

2954. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 14:15:20 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <beg181tf5p7944ea6ue6up8ga5voslcok2@4ax.com>
Source record: 199929

On 10 May 2005 03:28:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com...
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> >>> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and pointers for pic's
>> >>> of
>> >> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
>> >>
>> > Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?
>>
>> Not enough money for bus fare (grin)
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>No balls, all keyboard. (akc)

I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I wasn't home, and sneak
onto my property. I knew he didn't have any balls.

Vinnie S.

2955. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 14:16:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cgg18197nosilbk81hp25jaknes9lpjn7o@4ax.com>
Source record: 199930

On 10 May 2005 01:44:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> http://search-completed.ebay.com/cobra-138_W0QQcatrefZC6QQcoactionZcom
>> >> par
>> >> eQQcoentrypageZsearchQQcopagenumZ1QQfisZ2QQfposZ08085QQfromZR10QQfsooZ
>> >> 1QQ
>> >> fsopZ1QQftrtZ1QQftrvZ1QQsacatZQ2d1QQsadisZ200QQsargnZQ2d1QQsaslcZ2QQsb
>> >> rft ogZ1QQsofocusZso
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Hey Enzo, didja get my voice-mail?
>>
>> No. When did you call? I called you yesterday. I am going to try and
>> finish it tomorrow.
>>
>Maybe I called your cell, it was an hour or so ago. Did you leave a
>message when you called me?

No. No worries. I am going to try and finish it today.

Vinnie S.

2956. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 16:51:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5ip181lpqvfej1318i92sn0ia3mc8bapcg@4ax.com>
Source record: 199931

On Tue, 10 May 2005 10:16:10 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>No. No worries. I am going to try and finish it today.
>
>Vinnie S.

Steve, no message on either phone. Always call me on my cell during the day.
Don't worry about minutes. I have a shitload of them.

Vinnie S.

2957. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 17:31:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ke6ge.139$IK3.7605344@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199933

Dave:

I actually agree with much of what you are about--and you have demonstrated a high understanding and ability to use logic effectively...

I diverge from your thought on "the radio spectrum"...

I am endowed to full use of the radio spectrum by my creator--however, in the interest of public good and organization--I am willing to provide my public servants with a necessary portion of this spectrum so they may carry out business which is beneficial to the citizens of the United States.... and IS the BUSINESS of THE CITIZENS of the UNITED STATES...

I am also open to them providing a section of this spectrum to specialized hobbies and for experimentation... however, the majority of it is mine--to share with my other citizens, since it as much endowed to them by their creator (whether they recognize him/her or not)... the public needs much expanded education programs in the use and exercise of their radio spectrum--that is where gov't should spend their efforts...

control and governing of the citizens flows from the people, to the congress, and back to the people--it does not flow from an elite of group of governing citizens to the people...

.... this simply needs to be straightened out...

Warmest regards,
John
--
When Viagra fails to work--you are DOOMED!!!

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:tj41815neshha5ehpekb2qp8jfsm8d5uda@4ax.com...
| On Fri, 6 May 2005 11:19:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
| AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
|
| >>The FCC owns the rights to the radio
| >>spectrum in this country.
| >
| >That is ludicrous. They do not. They merely are charged with
| >administrating such. The spectrum does not stop at the borders.
| >
| >>.No, but while inside the borders, you will pay
| >>(Sometimes dearly) the FCC for the right to
| >>play on the airwaves.
| >
| >

| >
| >So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
| >damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
| >paltry bucks..
|
| Illegally. Just as there are people who trespass on private or
| otherwise posted land, and never get caught either. But it's still
| illegal.
|
|
| >>Ask any cell phone company
| >>owner/administrator.
| >
| >
| >Your selection of cell phone admins does not discount the countless
| >freebanders, cbers or hammies who play on it for free or on the extreme
| >cheap.
|
| Illegally, or on bands where public access is set aside. Much like a
| public park.
|
|
| >>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum
| >>to people with a legitimate need. It's no
| >>different than government owned land.
| >
| >Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were
| >already taught.
| >
| >>Yes, it is different in some ways, but the ways
| >>that are similar are what I am talking about. It's
| >>a fact that the FCC sells off chunks of
| >>spectrum to commercial interests, sometimes
| >>for outrageous amounts. If the FCC was not in
| >>the position to claim "ownership" of that
| >>spectrum, how could they auction it off?
| >
| >
| >
| >By virtue of administration. Auctions are held daily all over the place.
| >They do not own what they auction, but like the FCC, are merely charged
| >with the administering of such.
|
| Semantics.
|
|
| >>Your car is yours as is your radio gear. But the
| >>privilege to operate both is granted by the
| >>government, and can be revoked for the
| >>proper cause.

| >
| >Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate
| >my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.
| >
| >>Right! On you own land. But venture out on
| >>.the public street, and they have all the
| >>authority. Same goes for radio. If you can
| >>somehow prevent your signal from escaping
| >>the borders of your property (Which is covered
| >>by FCC Part 15), you could do what you want.
| >
| >
| >Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?
|
| Pushing which law and in what way?
|
|
| >>Once those signals escape into the public
| >>venue, they are under the control of the
| >>federal government.
| >
| >
| >
| >How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over
| >such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can
| >tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public
| >broadcast?
|
| Most of those situations employ carrier current transmitters which
| radiate only a short distance from their "antenna" wires, thereby
| limiting range beyond the intended service area. The biggest uses for
| this technique is on college campuses, travel, and road alert systems.
|
| As you know, RF degrades gradually and it is impossible to "brick
| wall" stop a broadcast at the limits of physical property. But unless
| you are very close, you will likely not hear a carrier current
| transmission.
|
|
| >>Another way to look at it, You own your car,
| >>but not the roads you drive on.
|
| >Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.
|
| >>And administered by the government.
|
| >>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves
| >>you broadcast on.
| >
| >Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.

|
| >>For all practical purposes, yes they do in this
| >>country.
| >>You do not have a "right" to transmit beyond
| >>the confines of your own property.
| >
| >That is what the cb does.
|
| Yes, but the authorization to operate a CB is a "privilege", not a
| "right".
|
| >>You are granted a "privilege" to do so by the
| >>government in the proxy of the FCC.
| >
| >
| >This "privilege" is availabe to anyone, so how can it be referred a
| >privilege?
|
| Not true. You have to be a U.S. citizen, and not convicted of other
| FCC rule violations.
|
|
| > I know you elitist hammies believe this to be true about your
| >ticket, but it simply does not apply to cb, as practically any American
| >citizen is granted the "right" to broadcast, via a cb, simply by
| >ownership of one. This does not exactly equate to any "privilege".
|
| Instead of arguing with me, try looking into the rules governing each
| service, and find out for yourself. Despite the relative ease by which
| a person may operate a CB radio, it is still not a "right" to do so,
| it is a privilege granted by the FCC, as the service is authorized by
| rule, even if a license is not required.
|
|
| >>As a
| >>condition of that privilege comes your
| >>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in
| >>various FCC parts depending on which
| >>service you are using.
| >>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.
| >
| >
| >
| >Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right
| >now and have said so on many occasion.
|
| Sure. The FCC is not as effective as they should be, and freeload....
| er, freebanders get away with trespassing on other government
| administered frequencies with little chance of getting caught. But
| that doesn't mean that it's legal or proper.

|
|
| >They rightly and deservedly go
| >after those they deem the most important and damaging to our hobby.
|
| You mean those who project the highest profile, or those who impact
| operators who paid dearly for the right to use their part of the
| spectrum.
|
|
| > It
| >is yourself that does not like the "way it is" nor agree with it.
|
| Well, that's true. I do wish the FCC had more teeth.
|
| Dave
| "Sandbagger"
| http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2958. Re: wrist flipper mopathetic hiding from AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 17:35:44 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <Qh6ge.1126$sV7.573@fe02.lga>
Source record: 199932

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in news:Xns96505FAD8A9711234567890@216.196.97.136:

> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in
> news:j6afe.690$8g.629@news01.roc.ny:
>
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050507113030.588$AQ@newsreader.com...
>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>> > "chicken boy coward mopathetic lying and running scared of the
>>> > AKC" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>> > news:1115465708.349708.222590 @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>>> >
>>> > > Why woncha say where yer camping at Dayton, wristflipper?
>>> >
>>> > He wont reply as he knows it would fall under the Ohio law of
>>> > stalking and harassment he has already enough evidance aginst him
>>> > why contribute more/.
>>> >
>>> I don't read his drivel, dumbass.
>>>
>>> Take a good look at this cowardly mouth breather, it's no wonder
>>> he wouldn't come outside. lol
>>>
>>> http://img138.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly0zm.jpg
>>
>>
>> Hello, Mopar
>>
>> Might I gently remind you of rule #1 of the newsgroups?
>>
>> 1) Don't feed the trolls.
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim
>
> You dont get it Jim, Moped is a troll.

..And you're NOT a troll....????????

You sure are one to talk, you circus freak!!! LOL!!

2959. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 19:47:12 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24695-42810FC0-147@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199934

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 6 May 2005 10:40:38 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Self taught based on nothing more than personal thought you mistakenly
refer as empirical observation isn't a valid method.

>Why not?

Because opinion does not equate empirical data.

>It is far too complex to have evolved totally

>randomly.

(That's an argument used by primitive civilations to explain things
which they do not understand. If you want to be a part of an enlightened
society, the first thing you need to learn is that complexity does not
require divine providence. But if that concept is beyond your level of
comprehension you can always take up astrology, voodoo, crystal ball
gazing..... or even republican economics.)

The ancients sacrificed each other to the "Gods" of the sky when they
were angry (thunder, heavy storms)...same goes for the Gods of the sea,
the four winds, etc. St. Elmo's Fire was attributed to the Gods by the
old salts and still is in superstitious circles of the old time
fishermen...

>Any sufficiently advanced technology is

>indistinguishable from magic....

It wasn't referred as magic.

>The more answers you learn, the more

>questions you discover. It's a never ending

>quest.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

At least I'm enjoying the ride.

2960. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 20:03:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24694-42811384-488@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199935

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 6 May 2005 11:19:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>The FCC owns the rights to the radio

>spectrum in this country.

That is ludicrous. They do not. They merely are charged with
administrating such. The spectrum does not stop at the borders.

>.No, but while inside the borders, you will pay

>(Sometimes dearly) the FCC for the right to

>play on the airwaves.

(So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
paltry bucks..)

>Illegally. Just as there are people who

>trespass on private or otherwise posted land,

>and never get caught either.

Physical trespass can carry a *criminal* charge..talking on the freeband
can not. Once again, this is the difference between what constitutes a
criminal act vs a civil act. The penalties are not the same.

>But it's still illegal.

(shrug),,,,which has -never- been contested by anyone here, yet, for
some curious reason unbeknownst to all but yourself, you have taken it
upon yourself to assume status and annoint yourself some sort of
imaginary right to confront others concerning their non-criminal act. I
would hedge zero times have you actually confronted a real criminal or
law breaker in the act and in person.

_
>Ask any cell phone company

>owner/administrator.

Your selection of cell phone admins does not
discount the countless freebanders, cbers or
hammies who play on it for free or on the
extreme cheap.

>Illegally,

And legally.

>or on bands where public access is

>set aside.

Or not. Don't forget many of the freqs that have been abandoned. I'll reiterate what you already found in google on many occasion,,,,,education is the key.

> Much like a public park.

Nothing like a public park, as breaking the law you speak of (trespass) can result in criminal charges, unlike talking on the freeband. This concept has proved nearly impossible for you to grasp. Perhaps it because you so vehemently disagree with the law.

>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum

>to people with a legitimate need. It's no

>different than government owned land.

Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were already taught.

>Yes, it is different in some ways, but the ways

>that are similar are what I am talking about.

But,,,,,,it's not

>It's a fact that the FCC sells off chunks of

>spectrum to commercial interests, sometimes

>for outrageous amounts. If the FCC was not in
>the position to claim "ownership" of that

>spectrum, how could they auction it off?

By virtue of administration. Auctions are held daily all over the place. They do not own what they auction, but like the FCC, are merely charged with the administering of such.

>Semantics.

No,,facts. You can't call facts you disagree with "semantics".

>Your car is yours as is your radio gear. But the
>privilege to operate both is granted by the

>government, and can be revoked for the

>proper cause.

Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.

>Right! On you own land. But venture out on .

>the public street, and they have all the

>authority. Same goes for radio.

Again,,,,,(sigh),,the analogy of the car is invalid as it can result in criminal charges, while operating on the freeband does not.

>If you can somehow prevent your signal from

>escaping the borders of your property (Which

>is covered by FCC Part 15), you could do

>what you want.

Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?

>Pushing which law and in what way?

Transmitting, albeit, under the guise of part 15, to a much broader audience than permitted.

>Once those signals escape into the public

>venue, they are under the control of the

>federal government.

How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public broadcast?

>Most of those situations employ carrier current
>transmitters which radiate only a short

>distance from their "antenna" wires, thereby

>limiting range beyond the intended service

>area. The biggest uses for this technique is on
>.college campuses, travel, and road alert

>systems.

Yes,,,but my question remains and is still valid.

>As you know, RF degrades gradually and it is

>impossible to "brick wall" stop a broadcast at

>the limits of physical property. But unless you

>are very close, you will likely not hear a carrier
>current transmission.

Or on an unobstructed waterway with a visual on the proper/transmitter.

>Another way to look at it, You own your car,

>but not the roads you drive on.

Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.

>And administered by the government.

>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves

>you broadcast on.

Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.

>For all practical purposes, yes they do in this

>country.

>You do not have a "right" to transmit beyond

>the confines of your own property.

That is what the cb does.

>Yes, but the authorization to operate a CB is a
>"privilege", not a "right".

>You are granted a "privilege" to do so by the

>government in the proxy of the FCC.

This "privilege" is availabe to anyone, so how can it be referred a
privilege?

> Not true. You have to be a U.S. citizen, and

>not convicted of other FCC rule violations.

Ok,,proverbially "everyone".

_
 I know you elitist hammies believe this to be true about your ticket,
but it simply does not apply to cb, as practically any American citizen
is granted the "right" to broadcast, via a cb, simply by ownership of
one. This does not exactly equate to any "privilege".

>Instead of arguing with me, try looking into the
>rules governing each service, and find out for

>yourself. Despite the relative ease by which a

>person may operate a CB radio, it is still not a

>"right" to do so, it is a privilege granted by the

>FCC, as the service is authorized by rule,

>even if a license is not required.

And if that law were serious, one would NOT be able to buy, plug and
play. What stops an immigrant from using a cb? Nothing,,they all se them
in the fruit fields.

>As a

>condition of that privilege comes your

>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in

>various FCC parts depending on which

>service you are using.

>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.

Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right
now and have said so on many occasion.

>Sure. The FCC is not as effective as they

>should be, and freeload.... er, freebanders get

>away with trespassing on other government

>administered frequencies with little chance of

>getting caught. But that doesn't mean that it's

>legal or proper.

Again, not one person ever made such a claim in all my years of visiting
thse pages. Just who is it you are trying to convince?
-
They rightly and deservedly go
after those they deem the most important and damaging to our hobby.

>You mean those who project the highest

>profile, or those who impact operators who

>paid dearly for the right to use their part of the

>spectrum.

Those who present a direct safety issue.

_
It
is yourself that does not like the "way it is" nor agree with it.

>Well, that's true. I do wish the FCC had more

>teeth.

They have plenty of teeth. Their bite is interested in chomping away
with censorship of television.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2961. Re: New Domain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 22:26:27 UTC
From: Al Banys <Lelandsboss@inductoheat.nut>
Message-ID: <42813692$1_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 199936

<truth@truth.com> wrote in message news:V%6ge.43941$US.36254@news.ono.com...
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20050509200715.438$MG@newsreader.com...
>> > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > >> Steveo wrote:
>> > >> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > >> >> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
>> > >> >>> Chuck found a newly discovered part of the bible...where it says
>> > >> >>> Jesus threatened people with guns...lol!
>> > >> >>
>> > >> >> Was that before or after he threw out the moneychangers?
>> > >> >>
>> > >> > Ever see the sick shit doug posted about him? He re-arranged
>> > >> > Chucks
>> > >> > bible thump into some of the sickest gay shit I've ever seen
>> > >> > posted
>> > >> > to Usenet. That fucker is sick in the dome.
>> > >>
>> > >> You bet he is. It's all to Freudian, right? These guys want in your
>> > >> pants in the worst way. I wouldn't go near the bastards if I were
>> > >> you.
>
> I say anyone who drives an hour to take photos of someones house is the
> guy that wants "in their pants".
>
>> > > I'm going to Dayton with two of my friends, we'll see what happens. I
>> > > will say if I see him anyplace close to my camper, it won't be the
>> > > best
>> > > day he's ever had. That's -no- threat, it's reality.
>
> But you threatened to beat people up there. Now you're hiding. Is it
> because
> you can be ID'd there? Come on, tell the truth.
>
Hahahahahahahahaha!

You sure are a hypocrite nadia. I'm going to have to write you up again.

From:N8WWM Auntie Keyclown (keyclownz...@theglobe.com)
Subject: calling out Peepeeholic
View: Complete Thread (4 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-08-08 09:09:21 PST

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
----------�----------
From N8WWM@arrl.net

Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

---

http://img115.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img115&image=dogieupclosefugly7qn.jpg

Al Banys.

2962. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 22:43:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25011-42813926-162@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199937

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 05 May 2005 23:35:19 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Thu, 05 May 2005 09:32:11 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <be0k71lrjtqh4nemri28n2b8c2uam5ub8s@4ax.com>:
<snip>
(No it doesn't. It only takes one exposure to get the bug.)

>And if you and your partner are monogamous,
>this is highly unlikely.

(Unless your partner is infected.)

>Which won't likely happen if you are both

>monogamous.

Being monogamous with your wife/husband/partner has nothing to do with
your past. There are instances where the HIV virus is semi-dormant for
years and years (10 to 15 year spans are on record) and then it suddenly
appears,,,the same can be said of AIDS..it's manageable in many cases
until,...poof,,it morphs to full blown AIDS. The ONLY "cure" is found in
the prevention..in other words, abstaining from pre-marital sex then
both parties getting a thorough CBC (complete blood count) prior to
tying the knot.

2963. Re: This guys' webpage blows me away...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 22:50:25 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25011-42813AB1-163@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199939

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>And some worry about the FCC--this guy

>thinks he is invinceble!!

>http://www.angelfire.com/nj/earplugger/

>John
--

Dunno know about that, but he is certainly untouchable. All the guys on the superbowl are.
Besides, Eaerplugga has been around for a long, long time. He's one of the big strappers. No reason for FCC worry. They are busy protecting people from offending themselves when they exercise their choice of media.

2964. Re: FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 22:58:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25010-42813CB3-511@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199938

From: spam@spam.spam (yea right)
On Sun, 08 May 2005 10:38:40 -0400, dxAce wrote:
cooltube wrote:
No Bids @$10, gotta see.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
As opposed to 'fake' copper wire?
dxAce
Michigan

Hahaha..

>Most all copper wire on the market is soft draw
>and will break after a few years of constant

>tension. Hard draw copper wire is very tough

>and robust. I use 18g lamp safety wire. This is

>the thin copper wire that servers as a safety

>ground and as a safety wire in case a link in

>the lamp chain breaks in hanging chandeliers.

Copper wire should NEVER be used as your ground because (the reasons
you stated, in addition to...) the inductance value is piss poor.
Copper strap is always desirable over wire. FWIW, many people, hammies
included, feel they are using copper wire when they are in reality using
copper COATED wire. No matter,,, copper wire doesn't compare to copper
strap.

2965. Re: FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 23:01:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25011-42813D34-164@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199940

From: rickets@knac.com (David)

>I have 50' of thin braided copper wire from

> Home Depot that's been under constant

>tension for 6 years 9 months. Enough tension

>to deflect the top of a 20' support (two fence

>rails, a spike base and a building clamp at 9')

>2 to 3 inches.

Home Depot doesn't sell solid copper wire. What you are using is copper coated wire.

2966. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 23:17:58 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25012-42814126-50@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199942

From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> Group: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy Subject: Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ... NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, May 6, 2005, 6:54pm (EDT-1) X-Abuse-Report: http://www.usenetabuse.com X-Abuse-Notes: Abuse reports must be submited via the usenetabuse.com portal listed above. X-Abuse-Notes2: Reports sent via any other method will not be processed. X-Abuse-Notes3: Any other abuse reporting headers in this article are fraudulent. X-Orginal-Message-ID: <tq0o71tb7ae3ib0dkb50p1i1jgb8vl575j@4ax.com> Date: Fri, May 6, 2005, 7:04pm (EDT-1)
On Fri, 6 May 2005 17:30:43 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>my original post that you responded too said

>nothing about freeband.

LMAO,what a lying POS you are...that's why you changed the topic header,,so the post I replied to regarding freeband would be forgot...what a CARD!

>in fact it said

>11 meter cb spread spectrum?

>i don't think so. nothing about freeband there

Only because you suffer a great moronic communcation deficit..as it is there plainly for the entire world to see, but you, for some odd reason, express magnicent failure regarding your memory, intellect, and communication skill. Here it is again,,,open wide:

>From: Freeband sucks

That's you.

From: Freeband sucks
<freeband_su...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: CB Radio is MUCH more valuable than Amateur Radio...
References: <1115140548.1a320617e93e5875545e89b2be1b9aeb@bubbanews>
<25240-4277E686-431@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net> X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.91/32.564 MIME-Version: 1.0 Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8 Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit Lines: 16
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 May 2005 17:25:30 EST Message-ID: <1115159130.9ed8124b9af7d68c5235b286d05c6b91@bubbanews> X-Abuse-Report: http://www.usenetabuse.com X-Abuse-Notes: Abuse reports must be submited

via the usenetabuse.com portal listed above.
X-Abuse-Notes2: Reports sent via any other method will not be processed.
X-Abuse-Notes3: Any other abuse reporting headers in this article are
fraudulent.
X-Orginal-Message-ID: <k80a71lmq6mgclo3bah0h8u9grmrttb...@4ax.com>
Date: Sun, 01 May 2005 11:19:25 -0500
On Tue, 3 May 2005 17:00:54 -0400, iamnotgeo...@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: freeband_su...@yahoo.com (FreebandÂ sucks)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:54:05 -0400, "Stu" <t...@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
Mobile spread-spectrum ops are virtually impossible to locate. Stu

>freebanders don't use spread spectrum

Others learn what they do not know. You deny. Carry on and off you go,
you magnificent failure, you!!. :)~

From: freeband_sucks@yahoo.com (FreebandÂ sucks)
On Tue, 3 May 2005 12:52:19 -0400, "Stu" <t181@stusnetrelay.net> wrote:
"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:p-SdnSs5NfA9O-rfRVn-iA@gbronline.com... Frank Gilliland wrote:
(Now that's interesting. I didn't know that the ARRL was a government
supported organization, or that REACT was -not- supported. Got a link
that tells how much funding each organization receives and from where?)
-
"The federal government, NORAD and Homeland Security, have ham radio in
their planning for emergency comms, CB isn't even a consideration. "
-
" They are CB spread-spectrum and packet. Stu "

>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.
Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.
Now off you go little boy,thanks for playing, next time come back with
your thinking cap on, as digital spread, has most certainly been applied
to -such- ("such" being 11 meter which encompasses the freeband). Again,
what you don''t know has always been your worst nightmare. Only one in
this group suffers from
such a great deficiency that results in him denying the possibilities on
which he is ignorant regarding,,well, everything.
The conversation alluded to the freeband long before you began gagging
on your self-imposed term of "11 meter cb". Now, please vomit your
regurgitative douche in another direction. You've been santized and your
cleaning lesson is over.

2967. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 23:20:47 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25010-428141CF-512@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 199994

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote: From:
moparholic@hotmail.com (Buzzard) Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
<cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
(Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!)

(What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio.....)

"Just clang two garbage can lids together."

Sadelta is a mic that gives that empty room type hollow sound I would
assume........?

>Sorta like a non-amplified 104 in the

>basement, next to the pool table?

I prefer Turner or Shure. I'm always buying Turners. I won't part with
any of them.
No basements in Florida. We have screened or enclosed lanais.

2968. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 23:24:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050510192402.709$ll@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199941

truth@itellonlythetruth.com wrote:
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> > news:beg181tf5p7944ea6ue6up8ga5voslcok2@4ax.com:
> >
> > > On 10 May 2005 03:28:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > >>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >>> news:20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com...
> > >>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > >>> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> > >>> >> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and pointers for
> > >>> >> pic's of
> > >>> >> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
> > >>> >>
> > >>> > Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?
> > >>>
> > >>> Not enough money for bus fare (grin)
> > >>>
> > >>> Landshark
> > >>>
> > >>>>No balls, all keyboard. (akc)
> > >>
> > >
> > > I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I wasn't home,
> > > and sneak onto my property. I knew he didn't have any balls.
> > >
> > > Vinnie S.
> >
> > If i come when you are home Ninnie it wont be to take pictures. I said
> > i would come when you werent home just to make sure I get the pictures
> > because I ll bet dollars to donuts you would call the police. unless
> > you want to give me the invite right now.
>
> If you are going to stalk, do it like Steveo does it.
>
> Find some post Vinnie made where he says he isn't afraid of you, and use
> that as permission to show up uninvited.
>
Hehehe

Like this one?

> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some

> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.
> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

> Then call to make sure he isn't home and leave an anonymous harassing
> message on his phone machine. Theh go there and sneak around taking pics
> of his house.
>
Ha! Like this? Nice job with that coax, nad.

http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035js.jpg
>

> If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he comes to
> the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.
>
More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to run your
pie hole to my face. lol

http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
>
> Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to imitate him.
>
You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.

2969. Re: Cobra 138XLR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 23:26:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050510192653.906$FT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 199943

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Tue, 10 May 2005 10:16:10 -0400, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
> >No. No worries. I am going to try and finish it today.
> >
> >Vinnie S.
>
> Steve, no message on either phone. Always call me on my cell during the
> day. Don't worry about minutes. I have a shitload of them.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Hrm...I must have dialed it wrong. Someone is scrathing their head
wondering who the hell left that voice-mail. :)

2970. Easy to file a FCC complaint...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-10 23:39:05 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gDbge.172$Lz.12530728@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 199995

Just for those few who may have missed it, it is easy to file a FCC complaint online, here is the URL:

http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/complaints.html

John
--
When Viagra fails to work--you are DOOMED!!!

2971. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 00:13:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3hj281dsl9hnf958llff3mtkhcpfdm6ntd@4ax.com>
Source record: 199997

On Tue, 10 May 2005 08:14:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <kq71815f1e7cqd2g55f8pk4loln7g9j3eq@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>>>No it doesn't. It only takes one exposure to get the bug.
>>>
>>>And if you and your partner are monogamous, this is highly unlikely.
>>
>>
>>Unless your partner is infected.
>
>Which won't likely happen if you are both monogamous.

And virgins.

<snip>
>>Blog, blog, blog. If you think that Democrats are godless heathens,
>>maybe you should take a second look at the Carter administration.
>
>Why? Carter's administration has no relevance to this discussion.

Sure it does. You made a reference to the Democrats. Carter is a
Democrat, isn't he? Ok, so he doesn't hold an office right now. But
how many Democrats currently holding office are athiests? By their own
admissions, not many. Your argument is bogus -- I think Jeanine
Garafalo (sp?) had it right: The conservative's definition of
'liberal' is any judge that upholds the law. Or something like that.

<snip>
>There is no mandated "separation of church and state". Only an
>establishment clause prohibiting a state sponsored religion.

Since you refuse to read it yourself, here's that clause from First
Amendment: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of
religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof..." Sounds to me
like that is a mandate defining the seperation of church and state. Or
are you going to argue that a bird with a flat bill and webbed feet
that flys, swims, quacks, and waddles when it walks isn't a duck
because the word "duck" isn't engraved anywhere on its body?

>>I think this page says it best:
>>
>>http://www.au.org/site/PageServer?pagename=issues

Too liberal for ya, huh Dave?

<snip>
>>>>> The swearing
>>>>>on the Bible,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>And here's proof that you have never read the Constitution -- the
>>>>passage I quoted above is in direct reference to the requirement for
>>>>an Oath of Affirmation.
>>>
>>>What passage have you quoted?
>>
>>
>>Don't you know? Haven't you read the Constitution?
>
>Yes, have you? What passage are you referring?

The same passage I have been referring to all along -- Article VI.
Since you haven't read it, let me quote if for you, and in full:

"All Debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the
Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United
States under this Constitution as under the Confederation.

"This Constituion, and the Laws of the United States which shall be
made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be
made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme
Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby,
any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary
notwithstanding.

"The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members
of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial
Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall
be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no
religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office
or public Trust under the United States.

You now have no excuse for such stupid questions.

>>> And how does that diminish the fact that
>>>swearing on the bible is a confirmation that Judeo-Christian
>>>influences have been intertwined in our government from the beginning?
>>
>>
>>Swearing on a bible is -not- required to take an oath of affirmation.
>
>No, but it is used in every court case, to "swear in" a witness.

Once again you demonstrate your ignorance by talking of things about
which you know little or nothing: An oath on the bible is used in
every court but not for every person. There are different oaths for
different faiths -- there is even an oath for athiests and agnostics.

>>The clause forbidding a "religous test" was added to -prevent- exactly
>>what you claim.
>
>Then why is it still being done on a daily basis?

Because you watch too much TV.

>> It was added because, at the time, some states had
>>oaths that required the person entering office to declare a belief in
>>God or a "divine inspiration". Such an oath was a "religious test"
>>that was required to qualify for the office, and excluded anyone that
>>didn't share the same religious beliefs. This violated the seperation
>>of church and state, so the clause was added to prohibit such tests,
>>and the office would be available to any citizen -despite- religious
>>beliefs.
>
>We're talking about court cases here, not job applications.

We're talking about the seperation of church and state.

>><snip>
>>>>If you are so worried about the "institution" of marriage then you
>>>>have bigger issues than gays. In case you haven't noticed, nearly half
>>>>of all marriages end in divorce,
>>>
>>>Not true. You are not keeping current.
>>>
>>>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
>>
>>
>>No, it's very true. You skipped right over the line, "The U.S. Census
>>Bureau does not collect the number of marriages and divorces that take
>>place in a given year." That statistic is collected by the CDC. And
>>for the year of 2003, the divorce rate was -almost exactly- half the
>>rate of marriage:
>>
>>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr52/nvsr52_22.pdf
>
>
>You should read the link I posted again. The very first line tells the
>story. The divorce rate is only 9.6%.

You sure did sleep a lot in school. It's clear that you don't
understand statistics either. I don't have Excell (well, I have it, I
just don't have it installed because I never used it when I did), so I
downloaded the equivalent PDF file:

http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.pdf

This is nothing but a breakdown of marriage -status-, not the marriage
and divorce rates. You can't calculate marriage and divorce rates from
this data for the simple fact that many people are, or have been,
married more than once.

You are also ignoring the fact that the divorce rate says nothing by itself. According to the CDC, during the year 2003 there were 7.5 marriages per thousand people. There were also 3.8 divorces per thousand people. This means (to anyone that can handle 5th grade math) that the divorce rate is half (50.7%) the rate of marriage.

And here's the fun part, Dave: Since half of all marriages end in divorce, and if the majority of citizens are right-wing conservative Christians that hold marriage to be a sacred value, then there sure are a lot of hypocrites that call themselves Christians.

As for the "tradition" of marriage, remember that the filibuster has been an American tradition for almost 200 years. IOW, you are a hypocrite, Dave.

>>>> and a large number of people get
>>>>married more than once. There is also the issue of polygamy -- if you
>>>>are going to claim that marriage is a religious value or that it is
>>>>traditionally monogamous then you better change your tune because
>>>>that's not the case. For example, King Solomon (a "servant of God")
>>>>had 600 wives and 900 concubines... or was it 900 wives and 600
>>>>concubines.... whichever, it doesn't really matter. The point is that
>>>>the "traditional Christian marriage" is just as much a farce in
>>>>definition as it is in practice; and gay marriage has far less impact
>>>>on it's "value" than legalized divorce, secular ceremonies, Joseph
>>>>Smith, or even the holy doctrine of your own faith.
>>>
>>>
>>>Typical tactic. Justify a particular abhorrent behavior by making
>>>unrelated comparisons to other abhorrent behaviors.
>>
>>
>>It's a very logical and justifiable tactic, Dave.
>
>No, it's a logical fallacy.
>
>http://www.datanation.com/fallacies/falsean.htm

You are trying to build an inductive argument using information that only tells one side of the story (and heavily biased in favor of your conclusion). I merely presented some information that you conveniently overlooked. And it so happens that your premise loses almost all of it's weight when -all- the facts are known.

>> If the foundation of
>>your argument is that gay marriage weakens the value of marriage, it's
>>both fair and reasonable to compare gay marriage to other factors that
>>would affect the value of marriage.
>
>Not if they have little in common, other than the idea of marriage
>itself.

The common denominator is the value of marriage. That alone makes such issues relevant to the discussion and exposes your argument as nothing more than an exercise in bigotry. And that's no different than KKK tactics which use the very same type of lame justifications to defend racism (religion, tradition, morality, etc.).

<snip>
>> Yet you are whining about
>>it like a stuck pig while denying those other, much more significant
>>factors.
>
>So, because there might be other factors which may be more
>significant, we should ignore the small ones? That's the same sort of
>twisted logic that freebanders use to justify illegal radio pirating.

If what you say were true then CB radio would be all over the news. It isn't. OTOH, opposition to gay marriage -is- all over the news, and that's because of all the attention it's given. If gay marriage is getting all this attention because it threatens the value of marriage then there would be much -greater- attention to the divorce rate (and there would probably be a few right-wing fanatic groups lobbying to outlaw divorce). But, in fact, there -isn't- any political outcry against divorce. The only reasonable conclusion is that these factions of "Christianity" (at least one of which I'm sure you are a member) are hypocrites that are practicing church-sponsored bigotry.

>Bad is bad no matter how large or small it may be.

Well, what's worse, Dave: A couple homos getting married? or a large group of bigots trying to subvert the Constitution under the guise of Christianity?

>>The conclusion is obvious: this has absolutely nothing to do
>>with the value of marriage. You simply hate homosexuals.
>
>Once again, your chain of logic is based on flawed conclusions based
>on fallacious logic, and your own internal bias.

When will you get it through your thick Republican head that bias has nothing to do with it, Dave? I'm not homo, I don't have any friends that are homo, I don't like "Queer Eye" or "Will & Grace"..... and personally, I find the homosexual lifestyle somewhat repulsive. But there's nothing in the Constitution that prohibits two gays from getting married if that's how they get their kicks. So it's not my place to judge. And that would be even -more- true if I was a Christian, the doctrine being that you should forgive others of their sins (assuming you see homosexuality as a sin), and that judgment should be left to God.

You hate gays. So do a lot of other people. Big deal. But my "bias" leans towards the Constitution, not my aversion to homosexuality. They have the same rights as me, and I treat them with the same amount of respect that I do anyone else whether I like them or not. That's the

difference between tolerance and bigotry. I am tolerant. You are not.
You are a bigot.

>><snip>
>>> The fact that polygamy might have been acceptable once does
>>>not mean that a gay marriage should be now.
>>
>>
>>I never suggested it did. I was pointing out that your "traditional
>>Christian values" regarding marriage are, at best, hypocritical.
>
>So we should abandon all moral values now since they may change
>sometime in the future?

Well gee Dave, we probably should, now that you suggested it, huh?
Idiot.

<snip>
>>Hardly. If the standards are lowered then I have an education that
>>meets a higher standard, and I am therefore more qualified -- out of
>>the gate -- than someone with a lesser education.
>
>But YOU will have to prove that.

Easy enough. It's called a "diploma".

>>> After a few years go by, everyone will then consider a BS
>>>degree to be a 2 year course. You will have to remind people (and by
>>>doing so be construed as bragging in much the same way that a 20 WPM
>>>Extra reminds people that he passed a tougher code exam than the
>>>current 5 WPM extra) of the fact that you had an additional 2 years of
>>>study, thereby propping up your perceived value. The public at large
>>>will not be immediately aware of your "extra" work. Therefore it has
>>>diminished in value.
>>
>>
>>Because people like you exist, some will undoubtedly see it that way.
>
>Most people will eventually see it that way.

I don't think so, Dave. I really think that most people have more
smarts than you give them credit for.

>>But some, like myself, will already understand that those two extra
>>years mean the difference between 'good' and 'better'.
>
>Yes, but you will have to "educate" those who will quickly forget
>that.

There's no point in trying to educate people who refuse to look at the
facts objectively and make reasonable and logical conclusions. The
only reason I continue to try is because you aren't the only person
reading my posts. And it's nice to know that your ignorance is being

recorded for posterity.

<snip>
>Then settle for a good analogy. The one you presented was not a good
>comparison for the reasons I gave.

I'll consider a good analogy when you provide a good reason.

>>How you
>>feel about your own marriage is not dependent upon anyone else but
>>yourself. The Constitution is not going to change just because a
>>couple gays getting married affects the way you feel about your own
>>marriage.
>
>It just might.

No, it won't. And when you make your next regular visit to your
doctor, tell him that you want to back off the dosage on those
anti-depressants.

>><snip>
>>>I also noticed that you snipped the part of your last response where
>>>you called me a "holy roller religious wacko". After retrospection,
>>>I'm sure you realized that in making such a statement, you are
>>>practicing the very same intolerance and bigotry for other viewpoints
>>>as you had accused me of doing, thereby exposing your own hypocrisy.
>>>
>>>But I did notice.
>>
>>
>>I snipped a lot of stuff. Unlike you, I have to work for a living, and
>>I simply don't have the time to play your game.
>
>Excuses excuses..........

Financial responsibilities, time that could be used more
productively......... If you cut in half the time you waste on the
computer and spent that extra time reading the Constitution you could
probably cut in half the time you waste on the computer.

>>So if you want to
>>start whining about my dumping the excess baggage you add to your
>>posts then feel free -- it will get snipped just like all the other
>>crap you use to water down the topics.
>
>I water down the topics? Pointing out your own intolerance and
>hypocrisy is very much pertinent to the discussion as it becomes
>testimony as to your objectivity and credibility on this subject.

Sounds great! So when do you plan to implement your new policy?

>>>>>Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>Wrong. I believe that change is inevitable.
>>>
>>>
>>>Even if it's bad?
>>
>>
>>Moron.
>
>What's the matter Frank? Are you that incapable of participating in an
>open discussion that challenges your preconceived notions?

You thrive on being publically disgraced, don't you?

inevitable -- [< Latin, 'in', not + 'evitabilis', avoidable] that
which cannot be avoided; certain to happen.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2972. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 00:13:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fhj2819m87jqgt0br46q5m5tmqu9ud16fe@4ax.com>
Source record: 199996

On Tue, 10 May 2005 07:39:33 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <pb6181h57d88m98fu18hsp7lk8f8359rhm@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>Your anti-God bias is showing. You would rather believe that the
>complexity of our ecosystem occurred due to just the right random,
>combinations of factors and events to produce all the diversified
>species, which all have a key part to play in the total picture,
>rather than consider the likelihood that an intelligent force was
>somehow responsible for guiding it.

There's nothing "random" about it -- when you consider that the bell
curve consists of a population as great as the number of events that
occur in the Universe within any period of time, it becomes utterly
-ridiculous- to think that life requires divine intervention. And if
there -is- evidence of guidance by some intelligent force, it's far
more likely that this "force" is not God but some sort of ETI. May the
force be with you, Dave!

<snip>
>>>>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
>>>>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
>>>>mistake for "random".
>
>But what motivates natural evolution?

Natural variation, and adaptability to a dynamic environment.

> Who decides whether a mutation
>is "beneficial" or not? Natural selection, otherwise known as
>survival of the fittest, assumes that gene mutations which result in a
>"better" species, would survive while the "lesser' versions of the
>species would die out. Yet, it is said that homo-sapiens evolved from
>apes. Why then are apes still around if we are the "new and improved"
>version of the ape?

Because you assume that the "'lesser' versions of the species would
die out", which is not necessarily the case. There can be many
circumstances where a variation doesn't compete for the same resources
as it's progenitor. This explains why there are so many speices of
birds that have but slight variations -- many birds are migratory. And
so are many species of primates.

>Evolution only explains a small part of the puzzle.

No, you have only -learned- a small part of the puzzle.

<snip>
>......Why
>do humans have self-awareness? Why do we posses an intelligence that
>allows us to contemplate the unknown, and live beyond the programming
>of instinctive behavior? What about the concept of a soul?

Evolution is science. The questions you ask are philosophical. But
before you start putting the human race on a pedestal, maybe you
better think twice about what you assume are the differences between
humans and other animals.

<snip>
>>Instead of being wishy-washy about the issue, why not consider the
>>possibility that evolution is, very simply, one of God's creations?
>
>It very well might be. It's all part of the bigger plan. Like I said,
>I totally accept the concepts of evolution. I just believe that the
>process has been "managed" by a higher order intelligence, the
>definition of which, has yet to be revealed. I am not advocating any
>specific religious interpretation of "God", only that one exists.

The problem is that you don't fully understand the vast multitude of
variations that can occur in the processes of evolution. Neither do
the scientists that study it. But the scientists don't insert God into
the equation whenever something doesn't add up -- they look for other
factors and they usually find them.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2973. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 00:24:10 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Pgcge.178$9L1.13385834@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200000

It might surprise you, Steven Hawkings (possibly the greatest mind alive--if not--close) often refers to "God" when chatting and writing about his thoughts... I don't know Steven's present stand on the existance of "God", however, I do NOT think he has claimed his/her/its' existance is impossible...

Steven is quite aware of the fact that probability and statistics make it very hard for evolution to be the sole reason for our state of existance...

Here is a link to some of his musings and quotes, a search of the net will provide more:
http://www.spaceandmotion.com/Physics-Stephen-Hawking.htm

Warmest regards,
John
--
When Viagra fails to work--you are DOOMED!!!

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:fhj2819m87jqgt0br46q5m5tmqu9ud16fe@4ax.com...
| On Tue, 10 May 2005 07:39:33 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
| wrote in <pb6181h57d88m98fu18hsp7lk8f8359rhm@4ax.com>:
|
| <snip>
| >Your anti-God bias is showing. You would rather believe that the
| >complexity of our ecosystem occurred due to just the right random,
| >combinations of factors and events to produce all the diversified
| >species, which all have a key part to play in the total picture,
| >rather than consider the likelihood that an intelligent force was
| >somehow responsible for guiding it.
|
|
| There's nothing "random" about it -- when you consider that the bell
| curve consists of a population as great as the number of events that
| occur in the Universe within any period of time, it becomes utterly
| -ridiculous- to think that life requires divine intervention. And if
| there -is- evidence of guidance by some intelligent force, it's far
| more likely that this "force" is not God but some sort of ETI. May the
| force be with you, Dave!
|
|
| <snip>
| >>>>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
| >>>>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
| >>>>mistake for "random".

| >
| >But what motivates natural evolution?
|
|
| Natural variation, and adaptability to a dynamic environment.
|
|
| > Who decides whether a mutation
| >is "beneficial" or not? Natural selection, otherwise known as
| >survival of the fittest, assumes that gene mutations which result in a
| >"better" species, would survive while the "lesser' versions of the
| >species would die out. Yet, it is said that homo-sapiens evolved from
| >apes. Why then are apes still around if we are the "new and improved"
| >version of the ape?
|
|
| Because you assume that the "'lesser' versions of the species would
| die out", which is not necessarily the case. There can be many
| circumstances where a variation doesn't compete for the same resources
| as it's progenitor. This explains why there are so many speices of
| birds that have but slight variations -- many birds are migratory. And
| so are many species of primates.
|
|
| >Evolution only explains a small part of the puzzle.
|
|
| No, you have only -learned- a small part of the puzzle.
|
|
| <snip>
| >.....Why
| >do humans have self-awareness? Why do we posses an intelligence that
| >allows us to contemplate the unknown, and live beyond the programming
| >of instinctive behavior? What about the concept of a soul?
|
|
| Evolution is science. The questions you ask are philosophical. But
| before you start putting the human race on a pedestal, maybe you
| better think twice about what you assume are the differences between
| humans and other animals.
|
|
| <snip>
| >>Instead of being wishy-washy about the issue, why not consider the
| >>possibility that evolution is, very simply, one of God's creations?
| >
| >It very well might be. It's all part of the bigger plan. Like I said,
| >I totally accept the concepts of evolution. I just believe that the

| >process has been "managed" by a higher order intelligence, the
| >definition of which, has yet to be revealed. I am not advocating any
| >specific religious interpretation of "God", only that one exists.
|
|
| The problem is that you don't fully understand the vast multitude of
| variations that can occur in the processes of evolution. Neither do
| the scientists that study it. But the scientists don't insert God into
| the equation whenever something doesn't add up -- they look for other
| factors and they usually find them.
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
| ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
| http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups
| ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

2974. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 00:24:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Qgcge.894$ul3.462@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 199998

"Scott in Baltimore" <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote in message
news:427FDCBC.951FED1A@yapoo.com...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > *Everyone* should have a firewall. If you aren't set up as invisible,
your
> > machine will respond to pinging.
> >
> > Your machine is vulnerable without a firewall, *especially* if you are
on
> > broadband. Regardless, even a dial-up should have a good firewall as
well
> > as an anti-virus program running at all times whilst on the Internet.
It is
> > also a good idea to have an anti-spyware program as well.
>
>
> I just have my cable/DSL router between my modem and PC's.
>
> I find those programs cause more grief then they save.

Hello, Scott

Long time no hear. You have a hardware solution (the router) and it is an *excellent* solution of course. I was speaking in generalities, of course, and the hardware solution is actually the preferred solution. But no solution can leave one very vulnerable. I just sent a URL to a neighbor to run the on-line virus scan (house call). She had a problem before when a "tech" reformatted her hard drive and didn't put the anti-virus nor firewall in place.

She upgraded to XP and I had to inform her she wanted to set up an account for herself as a user and not log in as administrator and leave the computer on 24/7 (she is on cable).

Yep, Monday evening she told me she had problems again. The last time it took me two trips over there and I am not doing it again. If she is still capable of accessing the Internet, she can do the on-line scan and probably pretty much fix the thing (for a short while). Hmmmm ... wonder if she knows about system restore? I don't know; I do know that I'm not going through that again (at least for free LOL). Come to think of it, I don't really want to go over there again - regardless.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2975. Re: FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 00:39:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvcge.914$8g.584@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 199999

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25010-42813CB3-511@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
From: spam@spam.spam (yea right)
On Sun, 08 May 2005 10:38:40 -0400, dxAce wrote:
cooltube wrote:
No Bids @$10, gotta see.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
As opposed to 'fake' copper wire?
dxAce
Michigan

Hahaha..

>Most all copper wire on the market is soft draw
>and will break after a few years of constant

>tension. Hard draw copper wire is very tough

>and robust. I use 18g lamp safety wire. This is

>the thin copper wire that servers as a safety

>ground and as a safety wire in case a link in

>the lamp chain breaks in hanging chandeliers.

Copper wire should NEVER be used as your ground because (the reasons
you stated, in addition to...) the inductance value is piss poor.
Copper strap is always desirable over wire. FWIW, many people, hammies
included, feel they are using copper wire when they are in reality using
copper COATED wire. No matter,,, copper wire doesn't compare to copper
strap.

Strap is better, but due to skin effect, you could copper coat steel and it
would work as well for rf as solid copper. Hmmmm .... come to think of it,
they do make that stuff.

I used to use 8 gauge copper wire for my longwire antenna. Despite being
held by several trees and anchored at the house, nothing ever brought it
down. Nothing like a *lot* of copper. Come to think of it, it was several
hundred feet long and worked gangbusterly on 160 as well as the other bands.
25 watts and I was solid pretty much across the country at night; during the
daytime, I still pounded into Washington D.C. from Rochester, NY using 65
watts. That was on 160. 15 and 20 meters and 75 watts worked the world
with no problem - other than the fact that the pattern of that antenna was
real strange. I never got more than a fair report from England, but Norway,
Sweden, and Denmark would always give me a report of a very strong signal.

Same with Italy.

Regardless, I'd never use anything as light as 18 gauge wire for anything.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2976. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 01:28:10 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <hcdge.8229$Ri4.4748@okepread07>
Source record: 200001

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

>
> Only because you suffer a great moronic communcation deficit..as it is
> there plainly for the entire world to see, but you, for some odd reason,
> express magnicent failure regarding your memory, intellect, and
> communication skill. Here it is again,,,open wide:

Boy, everyone who disagrees with you has a communications deficit, don't they?

How can we all be that stupid? How can you be the only one who knows how to communicate? How is it that you are the only one who has any memory, intellect, and communication skills?

You've been asked a very simple question "I AmnotGeorgeBush." I have not seen you answer it for several days now.

"What we have here is a failure to communicate."

Guy

2977. Why is mopathetic hiding where he is camping?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 01:39:09 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115775548.953133.253230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200002

We would like to introduce ourselves, mopathetic. And NO, we ain't coming to the LAMBDA boothe to see you.

2978. FA: DENTRON MLA-2500B DESK-TOP LEGAL LIMIT RF AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 01:52:03 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <5zdge.1183$Bg4.847@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200003
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5772433793&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2979. FA: $10>NINE(9) VINTAGE GE 2N167 & 4JX1B802 TRANSISTORS-1955

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 01:55:34 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <pCdge.1187$Bg4.693@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200004
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7513731382&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

2980. This is crazy, sorry George but its funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 02:22:12 UTC
From: brstormer@adelphia.net
Message-ID: <1115778132.667061.326810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200005

http://www.weoughttomakethepiehigher.blogspot.com/

2981. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 03:11:11 UTC
From: Nathan <ndameese700@aol.com>
Message-ID: <c486$428177cc$d1cc7c39$22256@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 200006
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

This has got to be the biggest load of bullshit I've seen come
down the pike in quite a long time.

What a load of HORSECRAPOLA !!

(...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
looks like a freak convention)

"KWAR" <KWAR@abc.net> wrote in message
news:1182he2fimsoud2@corp.supernews.com...
> Psychological Testing of Amateur Radio Applicants...
> The Cure for Spectrum Rage by Emil Heisseluft
>
> "The FCC is in the process of developing rules that will mandate
> the administration of psychological tests to new amateur radio
> applicants as well as to operators applying for the renewal of
> their licenses."
>
> http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/April%202005%20Highlights.html
>

2982. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 03:13:37 UTC
From: Bert Hyman <bert@iphouse.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9652E222D3475VeebleFetzer@news.iphouse.com>
Source record: 200007
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

In news:c486$428177cc$d1cc7c39$22256@snip.allthenewsgroups.com "Nathan" <ndameese700@aol.com> wrote:

> (...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
> go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
> looks like a freak convention)

That's because hamfests have been taken over by computer folks; almost no radios to be found these days.

--
Bert Hyman St. Paul, MN bert@iphouse.com

2983. Re: FCC developing psychological test for ham spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 04:31:02 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <UbSdnf6mFr8RFxzfRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 200008
Cross-posted to: alt.politics,alt.politics.bush,alt.politics.gw-bush,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.politics.misc

Nathan wrote:
> This has got to be the biggest load of bullshit I've seen come
> down the pike in quite a long time.
>
> What a load of HORSECRAPOLA !!
>
> (...and if it's true then 80% of the current hams would fail just
> go to any hamfest and look around at the people in attendence.
> looks like a freak convention)
>
> "KWAR" <KWAR@abc.net> wrote in message
> news:1182he2fimsoud2@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Psychological Testing of Amateur Radio Applicants...
>> The Cure for Spectrum Rage by Emil Heisseluft
>>
>>"The FCC is in the process of developing rules that will mandate
>> the administration of psychological tests to new amateur radio
>> applicants as well as to operators applying for the renewal of
>> their licenses."
>>
>>http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/April%202005%20Highlights.html
>>
>

Are you related to the toad or just another moron? That was an April
fools joke, look at the date.

2984. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 09:36:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3uf3819stfjqvmunfgdbfk4j1aj7r3v4h1@4ax.com>
Source record: 200009

On Tue, 10 May 2005 17:24:10 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
<Pgcge.178$9L1.13385834@news.sisna.com>:

>It might surprise you, Steven Hawkings (possibly the greatest mind alive--if
>not--close) often refers to "God" when chatting and writing about his
>thoughts... I don't know Steven's present stand on the existance of "God",
>however, I do NOT think he has claimed his/her/its' existance is
>impossible...
>
>Steven is quite aware of the fact that probability and statistics make it
>very hard for evolution to be the sole reason for our state of existance...
>
>Here is a link to some of his musings and quotes, a search of the net will
>provide more:
>http://www.spaceandmotion.com/Physics-Stephen-Hawking.htm

Stephen Hawking could be considered to be the contemporary equivalent
of John Tyndall, a scientist about 100 years ago that was popular
because of his ability to communicate scientific principles to the
masses, but was consistently (and safely) a decade or two behind the
current state of mainstream research. For example, the theory that
matter is composed of spherical waves is nothing new. It was even
proposed (and subsequently ridiculed) in Tyndall's day. There has
always been criticizm of the big-bang theory which, after several
decades, is finally receiving due attention. And the Michelson-Morley
experiment is -only now- getting a second look by the mainstream
scientific community because of attention drawn to the logical fallacy
used by the experimenters to reach their conclusion. Until now their
conclusion was accepted as fact because it was the foundation of
Einstein's theory of Special Relativity, and nobody dared criticize
-that- man despite his own admissions that he might have been wrong.
Which brings us to the -real- problem.....

The politics of science is often more important than the science
itself. It's a proven fact that the Earth is undergoing a period of
global warming, and that it's caused by the influence of man on the
environment. But politics plays the game that such facts are nothing
more than speculations made by a few fringe researchers looking to get
their names in the journals. And while I may not agree with some of
the currently accepted scientific theories or conclusions, nothing in
science is written in stone -- it is theory that is subject to change
upon new discoveries that are being made all the time, and will
continue to be made as long as there are people who are less than
fully satisfied with the current level of understanding. With that in

mind, it's easy to see how so many profound discoveries were made by malcontents living under religious authoritarian governments. In my opinion, there should be a seperation of science and state just like there is (supposed to be) a seperation of church and state. I think Galileo might agree with me on that one.

As to whether life exists by accident or design, feel free to believe what you want. It's clear that science is far more complex than any one person can possibly comprehend, so to believe that the Universe works on purely scientific principles is, like any religion, simply a matter of faith. I place my faith not just in science, but also in the logical priciples upon which the scientific process works. The current state of science may not be perfect but at least it continues to grow and evolve, seeking deeper understandings of why things are the way they are, instead of stagnating like so many religious beliefs that were stalled by the blind acceptance of myths, legends, traditions and ancient literature.

How did life come to be? Who cares? The only fact we know is that it -does- exist. So let's just make the most of it while it lasts.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2985. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 10:46:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511064633.735$KM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200010

truth@nothingbutthetruth.com wrote:
> > truth@itellonlythetruth.com wrote:
> > > > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> > > > news:beg181tf5p7944ea6ue6up8ga5voslcok2@4ax.com:
> > > >
> > > > > On 10 May 2005 03:28:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > > > >>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > >>> news:20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com...
> > > > >>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > > > >>> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> > > > >>> >> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and pointers
> > > > >>> >> for pic's of
> > > > >>> >> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
> > > > >>> >>
> > > > >>> > Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> Not enough money for bus fare (grin)
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> Landshark
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>>No balls, all keyboard. (akc)
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > > I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I wasn't
> > > > > home, and sneak onto my property. I knew he didn't have any
> > > > > balls.
> > > > >
> > > > > Vinnie S.
> > > >
> > > > If i come when you are home Ninnie it wont be to take pictures. I
> > > > said i would come when you werent home just to make sure I get the
> > > > pictures because I ll bet dollars to donuts you would call the
> > > > police. unless you want to give me the invite right now.
> > >
> > > If you are going to stalk, do it like Steveo does it.
> > >
> > > Find some post Vinnie made where he says he isn't afraid of you, and
> > > use that as permission to show up uninvited.
> > >
> > Hehehe
> >

> > Like this one?
> >
> > > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> > > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
> > > laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I
> > > relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your
> > > wife, the trainedpuppet.
> > > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> > > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > >
> > > Then call to make sure he isn't home and leave an anonymous harassing
> > > message on his phone machine. Theh go there and sneak around taking
> > > pics of his house.
> > >
> > Ha! Like this? Nice job with that coax, nad.
> >
> > http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035js.jpg
> > >
> >
> > > If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he comes to
> > > the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.
> > >
> > More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to run your
> > pie hole to my face. lol
> >
> > http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
> > >
> > > Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to imitate
> > > him.
> > >
> > You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.
>
> See Vinnie? Mopathetic Steve admits he brought it all on himself.
>
I brought your whining and sniveling on all by myself, agreed.
>
> And by the way I am not Leland. My name is Mario Andrettis, like the
> race car driver, but with an "s".
>
Your name is mud here, nad.

2986. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 10:48:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511064843.187$IW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200011

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote: From:
> moparholic@hotmail.com (Buzzard) Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
> <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
> (Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
> sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
> CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!)
>
> (What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio.....)
>
> "Just clang two garbage can lids together."
>
> Sadelta is a mic that gives that empty room type hollow sound I would
> assume.....?
>
> >Sorta like a non-amplified 104 in the
>
> >basement, next to the pool table?
>
> I prefer Turner or Shure. I'm always buying Turners. I won't part with
> any of them.
> No basements in Florida. We have screened or enclosed lanais.
>
Basement would be an indoor pool down in those parts? :)

2987. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 11:27:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <euq381tg4q81vpeo9aqq4ba5v4kef1gbtg@4ax.com>
Source record: 200012

On Tue, 10 May 2005 19:20:47 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote: From:
>moparholic@hotmail.com (Buzzard) Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
><cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
>(Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
>sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
>CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er. BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!)
>
>(What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio.....)
>
>"Just clang two garbage can lids together."
>
>Sadelta is a mic that gives that empty room type hollow sound I would
>assume........?
>
>>Sorta like a non-amplified 104 in the
>
>>basement, next to the pool table?
>
>
>I prefer Turner or Shure. I'm always buying Turners. I won't part with
>any of them.

They're getting harder to find in good condition. I've always liked
Turner's mobile mics, especially the +3. The +3 desk mike was a
favorite of mine for SSB.

>No basements in Florida. We have screened or enclosed lanais.

A basement in Florida comes with a mandatory swimming pool. ;-)

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2988. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 11:30:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <45r381p40ata4gi061pt02pdc68hjc13dm@4ax.com>
Source record: 200013

On Tue, 10 May 2005 20:28:10 -0500, Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam> wrote:

>I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
>
>>
>> Only because you suffer a great moronic communcation deficit..as it is
>> there plainly for the entire world to see, but you, for some odd reason,
>> express magnicent failure regarding your memory, intellect, and
>> communication skill. Here it is again,,,open wide:
>
>Boy, everyone who disagrees with you has a communications deficit, don't
>they?
>
>How can we all be that stupid? How can you be the only one who knows how to
>communicate? How is it that you are the only one who has any memory,
>intellect, and communication skills?
>
>You've been asked a very simple question "I AmnotGeorgeBush." I have not
>seen you answer it for several days now.
>
>"What we have here is a failure to communicate."
>
>Guy

Now you will be accused of being a sock puppet of mine.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2989. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 12:06:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <chr381th6kl8g2qk2umplsk1cjjq6rtf7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 200014

On Tue, 10 May 2005 16:03:16 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>(So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
>damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
>paltry bucks..)
>
>>Illegally. Just as there are people who
>
>>trespass on private or otherwise posted land,
>
>>and never get caught either.
>
>Physical trespass can carry a *criminal* charge..talking on the freeband
>can not.

There are criminal provisions in the communications act of 1934. But
the point is that nothing will happen if you are never caught. But the
fact that you are not likely to get caught does not diminish the
illegality and societal irresponsibility of engaging in the acts.

> Once again, this is the difference between what constitutes a
>criminal act vs a civil act. The penalties are not the same.
>
>>But it's still illegal.
>
>(shrug),,,,which has -never- been contested by anyone here, yet, for
>some curious reason unbeknownst to all but yourself, you have taken it
>upon yourself to assume status and annoint yourself some sort of
>imaginary right to confront others concerning their non-criminal act.

The FCC rules do carry criminal as well as civil penalties should they
choose to apply them, if the case warrants it.

>I would hedge zero times have you actually confronted a real criminal or
>law breaker in the act and in person.

I certainly would if the opportunity presented itself.

>>Ask any cell phone company
>>owner/administrator.
>
>Your selection of cell phone admins does not
>discount the countless freebanders, cbers or
>hammies who play on it for free or on the
>extreme cheap.

>
>>Illegally,
>
>
>
>And legally.

Hams and legal CB'ers perhaps. But not freebanders.

>>or on bands where public access is
>>set aside.
>
>
>Or not. Don't forget many of the freqs that have been abandoned.

Abandoned does not mean "open". There are many abandoned buildings
around. But you are still not allowed to trespass there.

> I'll
>reiterate what you already found in google on many
>occasion,,,,,education is the key.
>
>> Much like a public park.
>
>
>Nothing like a public park, as breaking the law you speak of (trespass)
>can result in criminal charges, unlike talking on the freeband.

Look at FCC regs again. There are certainly criminal penalties
associated with them. Ask your buddy "Bob-noxious" about the criminal
penalties associated with pirate radio.

>This
>concept has proved nearly impossible for you to grasp. Perhaps it
>because you so vehemently disagree with the law.

Your whole justification revolves around your perception that unless a
law has serious, visible teeth, then it doesn't deserve our respect,
and we are justified in ignoring it. That is anti-social behavior.

>
>>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum
>>to people with a legitimate need. It's no
>>different than government owned land.

>Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were
>already taught.
>
>>Yes, it is different in some ways, but the ways
>>that are similar are what I am talking about.
>
>
>But,,,,,,it's not

>
>>It's a fact that the FCC sells off chunks of
>>spectrum to commercial interests, sometimes
>>for outrageous amounts. If the FCC was not in
>>the position to claim "ownership" of that
>>spectrum, how could they auction it off?
>
>By virtue of administration. Auctions are held daily all over the place.
>They do not own what they auction, but like the FCC, are merely charged
>with the administering of such.

>>Semantics.
>
>No,,facts. You can't call facts you disagree with "semantics".

You want to talk about facts? The facts are that the FCC can and does
auction off chunks of spectrum to commercial entities to use. They
also regulate those chunks. They also set aside some spectrum for
"public use". Yes, they administer it, as an arm and representative
proxy of the U.S. government. So, while the FCC might not directly
"own" the airwaves, the U.S. government does.

>Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate
>my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.
>
>>Right! On you own land. But venture out on .
>>the public street, and they have all the
>>authority. Same goes for radio.

>Again,,,,,(sigh),,the analogy of the car is invalid as it can result in
>criminal charges, while operating on the freeband does not.

Yes it can.

>>If you can somehow prevent your signal from
>>escaping the borders of your property (Which
>>is covered by FCC Part 15), you could do
>>what you want.
>
>
>Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?
>
>>Pushing which law and in what way?
>
>Transmitting, albeit, under the guise of part 15, to a much broader
>audience than permitted.

Well, look into any "low power" pirate broadcaster. Some have tried to
claim that their power is legal (even if their antennas are not).

>>Once those signals escape into the public
>>venue, they are under the control of the
>>federal government.

>
>How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over
>such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can
>tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public
>broadcast?
>
>>Most of those situations employ carrier current
>>transmitters which radiate only a short
>>distance from their "antenna" wires, thereby
>>limiting range beyond the intended service
>>area. The biggest uses for this technique is on
>>.college campuses, travel, and road alert
>>systems.
>
>
>Yes,,,but my question remains and is still valid.

The reality is that even a carrier current system needs to be
authorized by the FCC. So a radio system capable of covering a 2500
acre church camp would need FCC permission to operate.

>>As you know, RF degrades gradually and it is
>>impossible to "brick wall" stop a broadcast at
>>the limits of physical property. But unless you
>>are very close, you will likely not hear a carrier
>>current transmission.
>
>
>
>Or on an unobstructed waterway with a visual on the proper/transmitter.
>
>>Another way to look at it, You own your car,
>>but not the roads you drive on.

>Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.

>>And administered by the government.
>>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves
>>you broadcast on.

>Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.

>>For all practical purposes, yes they do in this
>>country.
>>You do not have a "right" to transmit beyond
>>the confines of your own property.

>That is what the cb does.

>>Yes, but the authorization to operate a CB is a
>>"privilege", not a "right".
>>You are granted a "privilege" to do so by the
>>government in the proxy of the FCC.

>This "privilege" is availabe to anyone, so how can it be referred a
>privilege?
>
>>ï¿½ï¿½Not true. You have to be a U.S. citizen, and
>>not convicted of other FCC rule violations.

>Ok,,proverbially "everyone".

But it's not "everyone". Even though the CB radio service is
authorized by rule, there are still restrictions (albeit small) on its
use. It's not a "right", it's a "privilege".

>ï¿½I know you elitist hammies believe this to be true about your ticket,
>but it simply does not apply to cb, as practically any American citizen
>is granted the "right" to broadcast, via a cb, simply by ownership of
>one. This does not exactly equate to any "privilege".
>
>>Instead of arguing with me, try looking into the
>>rules governing each service, and find out for
>>yourself. Despite the relative ease by which a
>>person may operate a CB radio, it is still not a
>>"right" to do so, it is a privilege granted by the
>>FCC, as the service is authorized by rule,
>>even if a license is not required.

>And if that law were serious, one would NOT be able to buy, plug and
>play. What stops an immigrant from using a cb? Nothing,,they all se them
>in the fruit fields.

This is true, the FCC isn't checking the immigration status of every
CB operator, and it won't come up unless the person is cited for other
rule violations. It's sort of like the seatbelt law in many states.
You can't get stopped for it alone, but if you are stopped for another
violation, they can cite you for failing to wear a seatbelt at the
same time.

Again, it seems that you justify ignoring rules based on the
unlikelihood of being cited.

>>As a
>>condition of that privilege comes your
>>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in
>>various FCC parts depending on which
>>service you are using.
>>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.

>Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right
>now and have said so on many occasion.

>>Sure. The FCC is not as effective as they
>>should be, and freeload.... er, freebanders get
>>away with trespassing on other government
>>administered frequencies with little chance of
>>getting caught. But that doesn't mean that it's

>>legal or proper.

>Again, not one person ever made such a claim in all my years of visiting
>thse pages. Just who is it you are trying to convince?

But you guys who are operating illegally are using all sort of excuses
to justify or downplay this illegality. The fact that the FCC isn't
actively pursuing freebanders, is not a justification or a silent nod
allowing you to operate there.

>They rightly and deservedly go
>after those they deem the most important and damaging to our hobby.
>
>>You mean those who project the highest
>>profile, or those who impact operators who
>>paid dearly for the right to use their part of the
>>spectrum.

>Those who present a direct safety issue.

Very few people fall into this category.

>It
>is yourself that does not like the "way it is" nor agree with it.
>
>>Well, that's true. I do wish the FCC had more
>>teeth.
>
>They have plenty of teeth. Their bite is interested in chomping away
>with censorship of television.

It's much easier for them to enforce. They don't have to track down
anyone. They can administer from their offices.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2990. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 12:32:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <egt381dhr9l8cdlq2ib8311g6837oddt67@4ax.com>
Source record: 200015

On Tue, 10 May 2005 17:13:43 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 10 May 2005 07:39:33 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <pb6181h57d88m98fu18hsp7lk8f8359rhm@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Your anti-God bias is showing. You would rather believe that the
>>complexity of our ecosystem occurred due to just the right random,
>>combinations of factors and events to produce all the diversified
>>species, which all have a key part to play in the total picture,
>>rather than consider the likelihood that an intelligent force was
>>somehow responsible for guiding it.
>
>
>There's nothing "random" about it

Well, no, that's my whole point. Something has to "guide" the
development of life. Something has to make the decision whether 2 legs
are better than four, and whether a fifth finger makes for a more
effective tool, yet 6 fingers is overkill etc.

>-- when you consider that the bell
>curve consists of a population as great as the number of events that
>occur in the Universe within any period of time, it becomes utterly
>-ridiculous- to think that life requires divine intervention.

You're just too hung up of formal religion. It's preventing you to
consider the possibility.

>And if
>there -is- evidence of guidance by some intelligent force, it's far
>more likely that this "force" is not God but some sort of ETI.

Well now, you ARE making progress. You opened your mind for a split
second. Tell me Frank, what is the definition of "God"?

> May the
>force be with you, Dave!

It always has been.

><snip>
>>>>>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
>>>>>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
>>>>>mistake for "random".
>>

>>But what motivates natural evolution?
>
>
>Natural variation, and adaptability to a dynamic environment.

Based on what criteria? There has to be a purpose for life. What
drives that purpose?

>> Who decides whether a mutation
>>is "beneficial" or not? Natural selection, otherwise known as
>>survival of the fittest, assumes that gene mutations which result in a
>>"better" species, would survive while the "lesser' versions of the
>>species would die out. Yet, it is said that homo-sapiens evolved from
>>apes. Why then are apes still around if we are the "new and improved"
>>version of the ape?
>
>
>Because you assume that the "'lesser' versions of the species would
>die out", which is not necessarily the case.

If not, then that's negates much of the evolutionary theory. If the
purpose of evolution is gradual improvement or a species, then the
"old" should die off as it is replaced by the "new".

>There can be many
>circumstances where a variation doesn't compete for the same resources
>as it's progenitor. This explains why there are so many speices of
>birds that have but slight variations -- many birds are migratory. And
>so are many species of primates.

This explains subtle variations within a specific species, but that
doesn't explain how a bird came to be in the first place. Are you
proposing that a winged creature suddenly appeared by accident, as a
mutation from a land-based critter, and it proliferated all by itself.
What taught it to fly in the first place? How could a genetic anomaly
take into consideration the dynamics of flight?

>>Evolution only explains a small part of the puzzle.

>No, you have only -learned- a small part of the puzzle.

This is true. There are very few facts and a whole host of theories
which cropped up to try to explain the facts.

The theory of intelligent design is no more far-fetched than the idea
that life began here spontaneously and proliferated into a diverse eco
system, totally at random.

>>......Why
>>do humans have self-awareness? Why do we posses an intelligence that
>>allows us to contemplate the unknown, and live beyond the programming
>>of instinctive behavior? What about the concept of a soul?
>

>
>Evolution is science. The questions you ask are philosophical.

Yes, but it all relates in the bigger picture.

> But
>before you start putting the human race on a pedestal, maybe you
>better think twice about what you assume are the differences between
>humans and other animals.

Are you suggesting that other animal species are capable of possessing
similar intellectual capabilities as we have? In some cases certain
primate species have displayed social structures which transcend
simple instinctive behavior. They have also been observed fashioning
crude tools to obtain food. Dolphins and whales seem to communicate
with a rudimentary language. But not one other species can do it all,
in the same way that we do.

><snip>
>>>Instead of being wishy-washy about the issue, why not consider the
>>>possibility that evolution is, very simply, one of God's creations?
>>
>>It very well might be. It's all part of the bigger plan. Like I said,
>>I totally accept the concepts of evolution. I just believe that the
>>process has been "managed" by a higher order intelligence, the
>>definition of which, has yet to be revealed. I am not advocating any
>>specific religious interpretation of "God", only that one exists.
>
>
>The problem is that you don't fully understand the vast multitude of
>variations that can occur in the processes of evolution.

I don't accept the theory that if you place a group of monkeys in a
cage with a bunch of typewriters that they'll eventually write every
great piece of literary works. They might type out every letter that
is contained within those works, but they will not get the order
correct. Such is the nature of chaos and randomness. It lacks
structure, direction, and order, and those elements are required for
meaningful results to occur.

> Neither do
>the scientists that study it. But the scientists don't insert God into
>the equation whenever something doesn't add up -- they look for other
>factors and they usually find them.

There are still far too many unanswered questions to discount the
theory of intelligent design.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2991. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 12:34:24 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dou3819bnjmpsptlp67drs32ba55036utj@4ax.com>
Source record: 200016

On 10 May 2005 23:24:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> > > I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I wasn't home,
>> > > and sneak onto my property. I knew he didn't have any balls.
>> > >
>> > > Vinnie S.
>> >
>> > If i come when you are home Ninnie it wont be to take pictures. I said
>> > i would come when you werent home just to make sure I get the pictures
>> > because I ll bet dollars to donuts you would call the police. unless
>> > you want to give me the invite right now.

You have no invite. You come at your own will. But if you do come on your own
will, please come when I am home, and ring the bell. Only then will you find out
if I am afraid or not.

>> If you are going to stalk, do it like Steveo does it.
>>
>> Find some post Vinnie made where he says he isn't afraid of you, and use
>> that as permission to show up uninvited.
>>
>Hehehe
>
>Like this one?
>
>> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
>> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
>> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
>> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
>> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
>> trainedpuppet.
>> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
>> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
>
>> Then call to make sure he isn't home and leave an anonymous harassing
>> message on his phone machine. Theh go there and sneak around taking pics
>> of his house.
>>
>Ha! Like this? Nice job with that coax, nad.
>
>http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035js.jpg
>>
>
>> If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he comes to
>> the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.

>>
>More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to run your
>pie hole to my face. lol
>
>http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
>>
>> Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to imitate him.
>>
>You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.

Precious !

Vinnie S.

2992. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 12:40:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <90v3819keett149i3eeood49npi0mcso7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 200017

On Wed, 11 May 2005 02:36:52 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>The politics of science is often more important than the science
>itself. It's a proven fact that the Earth is undergoing a period of
>global warming, and that it's caused by the influence of man on the
>environment. But politics plays the game that such facts are nothing
>more than speculations made by a few fringe researchers looking to get
>their names in the journals.

There has been no conclusive proof that global warming is primarily
the result of man's influence over the environment. In fact there has
been clear evidence that this planet has experienced major cyclical
climatic changes over the eons. The current warming trend may just be
a part of that process, and man's contribution to it may be much less
significant than what the environmental alarmists would lead us to
believe.

>How did life come to be? Who cares? The only fact we know is that it
>-does- exist. So let's just make the most of it while it lasts.
>

Existentialism. IMHO a rather selfish and closed mindset.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2993. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 12:43:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nav381prf75l3r3kcmfacmje7906q5m10e@4ax.com>
Source record: 200018

On Tue, 10 May 2005 18:43:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>Which won't likely happen if you are both
>
>>monogamous.
>
>
>Being monogamous with your wife/husband/partner has nothing to do with
>your past.

Never said that it did.

> There are instances where the HIV virus is semi-dormant for
>years and years (10 to 15 year spans are on record) and then it suddenly
>appears,,,the same can be said of AIDS..it's manageable in many cases
>until,...poof,,it morphs to full blown AIDS.

Monogamous doesn't mean act like a slut throughout your "formative"
years and then decide to "stay with one person at age 30.

>The ONLY "cure" is found in
>the prevention..in other words, abstaining from pre-marital sex then
>both parties getting a thorough CBC (complete blood count) prior to
>tying the knot.

Now you are finally seeing the light. Congratulations!

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2994. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 13:07:26 UTC
From: farmerjoe1 <farmerjoe1@charter.net>
Message-ID: <1115816846.759309.198300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200019

Thanks to all.

2995. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 13:27:30 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <aLnge.12$ae7.772190@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200021

Well, that is certainly "YOUR OPINION"--I see little else there...

Interesting you should cram Steven into such a small bottle--where are you lecturing this year--if it will be in a city close--I may come and see what you have to say...

Warmest regards,
John
--
Sit down the six pack--step away!!! ... and go do something...

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:3uf3819stfjqvmunfgdbfk4j1aj7r3v4h1@4ax.com...
| On Tue, 10 May 2005 17:24:10 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in
| <Pgcge.178$9L1.13385834@news.sisna.com>:
|
| >It might surprise you, Steven Hawkings (possibly the greatest mind alive--if
| >not--close) often refers to "God" when chatting and writing about his
| >thoughts... I don't know Steven's present stand on the existance of "God",
| >however, I do NOT think he has claimed his/her/its' existance is
| >impossible...
| >
| >Steven is quite aware of the fact that probability and statistics make it
| >very hard for evolution to be the sole reason for our state of existance...
| >
| >Here is a link to some of his musings and quotes, a search of the net will
| >provide more:
| >http://www.spaceandmotion.com/Physics-Stephen-Hawking.htm
|
|
| Stephen Hawking could be considered to be the contemporary equivalent
| of John Tyndall, a scientist about 100 years ago that was popular
| because of his ability to communicate scientific principles to the
| masses, but was consistently (and safely) a decade or two behind the
| current state of mainstream research. For example, the theory that
| matter is composed of spherical waves is nothing new. It was even
| proposed (and subsequently ridiculed) in Tyndall's day. There has
| always been criticizm of the big-bang theory which, after several
| decades, is finally receiving due attention. And the Michelson-Morley

| experiment is -only now- getting a second look by the mainstream
| scientific community because of attention drawn to the logical fallacy
| used by the experimenters to reach their conclusion. Until now their
| conclusion was accepted as fact because it was the foundation of
| Einstein's theory of Special Relativity, and nobody dared criticize
| -that- man despite his own admissions that he might have been wrong.
| Which brings us to the -real- problem.....
|
| The politics of science is often more important than the science
| itself. It's a proven fact that the Earth is undergoing a period of
| global warming, and that it's caused by the influence of man on the
| environment. But politics plays the game that such facts are nothing
| more than speculations made by a few fringe researchers looking to get
| their names in the journals. And while I may not agree with some of
| the currently accepted scientific theories or conclusions, nothing in
| science is written in stone -- it is theory that is subject to change
| upon new discoveries that are being made all the time, and will
| continue to be made as long as there are people who are less than
| fully satisfied with the current level of understanding. With that in
| mind, it's easy to see how so many profound discoveries were made by
| malcontents living under religious authoritarian governments. In my
| opinion, there should be a seperation of science and state just like
| there is (supposed to be) a seperation of church and state. I think
| Galileo might agree with me on that one.
|
| As to whether life exists by accident or design, feel free to believe
| what you want. It's clear that science is far more complex than any
| one person can possibly comprehend, so to believe that the Universe
| works on purely scientific principles is, like any religion, simply a
| matter of faith. I place my faith not just in science, but also in the
| logical priciples upon which the scientific process works. The current
| state of science may not be perfect but at least it continues to grow
| and evolve, seeking deeper understandings of why things are the way
| they are, instead of stagnating like so many religious beliefs that
| were stalled by the blind acceptance of myths, legends, traditions and
| ancient literature.
|
| How did life come to be? Who cares? The only fact we know is that it
| -does- exist. So let's just make the most of it while it lasts.
|
|
|
|
|
|
|
| ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
| http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+

Newsgroups
| ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

2996. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 13:40:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lXnge.14$XZ6.669806@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200022

Dave:

You said, "So, while the FCC might not directly
"own" the airwaves, the U.S. government does."

This is grossly mis-leading!!! The gov't owns NOTHING!!!! They are a group of indivduals who are elected, appointed, and hired to do the citizens business. They have a job to do--if they either cannot or will not do it without becomming self-serving employees--they simply need fired.

These public servants, from the president on down, need to be worried about what the citizens of this country need and want--and what the citizens of this country are telling them to do.

Just like if I hired an employee to help me in a private business--they are directly answerable to their employers...

What the gov't needs to do is set aside a bit of the radio spectrum for use in conducing the citizens business--some for commercial use--some for hobby-expermental use... and the rest IS THE CITIZENS!!!!!

Warmest regards,
John
--
Sit down the six-pack!!! STEP AWAY!!! ...and go do something...
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:chr381th6kl8g2qk2umplsk1cjjq6rtf7f@4ax.com...
| On Tue, 10 May 2005 16:03:16 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
| AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
|
| >(So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
| >damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
| >paltry bucks..)
| >
| >>Illegally. Just as there are people who
| >
| >>trespass on private or otherwise posted land,
| >
| >>and never get caught either.
| >
| >Physical trespass can carry a *criminal* charge..talking on the freeband
| >can not.
|
| There are criminal provisions in the communications act of 1934. But
| the point is that nothing will happen if you are never caught. But the
| fact that you are not likely to get caught does not diminish the

| illegality and societal irresponsibility of engaging in the acts.
|
| > Once again, this is the difference between what constitutes a
| >criminal act vs a civil act. The penalties are not the same.
| >
| >>But it's still illegal.
| >
| >(shrug),,,,which has -never- been contested by anyone here, yet, for
| >some curious reason unbeknownst to all but yourself, you have taken it
| >upon yourself to assume status and annoint yourself some sort of
| >imaginary right to confront others concerning their non-criminal act.
|
| The FCC rules do carry criminal as well as civil penalties should they
| choose to apply them, if the case warrants it.
|
|
| >I would hedge zero times have you actually confronted a real criminal or
| >law breaker in the act and in person.
|
| I certainly would if the opportunity presented itself.
|
|
| >>Ask any cell phone company
| >>owner/administrator.
| >
| >Your selection of cell phone admins does not
| >discount the countless freebanders, cbers or
| >hammies who play on it for free or on the
| >extreme cheap.
| >
| >>Illegally,
| >
| >
| >
| >And legally.
|
| Hams and legal CB'ers perhaps. But not freebanders.
|
|
| >>or on bands where public access is
| >>set aside.
| >
| >
| >Or not. Don't forget many of the freqs that have been abandoned.
|
| Abandoned does not mean "open". There are many abandoned buildings
| around. But you are still not allowed to trespass there.
|
|
| > I'll

| >reiterate what you already found in google on many
| >occasion,,,,,education is the key.
| >
| >> Much like a public park.
| >
| >
| >Nothing like a public park, as breaking the law you speak of (trespass)
| >can result in criminal charges, unlike talking on the freeband.
|
| Look at FCC regs again. There are certainly criminal penalties
| associated with them. Ask your buddy "Bob-noxious" about the criminal
| penalties associated with pirate radio.
|
|
| >This
| >concept has proved nearly impossible for you to grasp. Perhaps it
| >because you so vehemently disagree with the law.
|
| Your whole justification revolves around your perception that unless a
| law has serious, visible teeth, then it doesn't deserve our respect,
| and we are justified in ignoring it. That is anti-social behavior.
|
|
| >
| >>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum
| >>to people with a legitimate need. It's no
| >>different than government owned land.
|
| >Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were
| >already taught.
| >
| >>Yes, it is different in some ways, but the ways
| >>that are similar are what I am talking about.
| >
| >
| >But,,,,,,it's not
| >
| >>It's a fact that the FCC sells off chunks of
| >>spectrum to commercial interests, sometimes
| >>for outrageous amounts. If the FCC was not in
| >>the position to claim "ownership" of that
| >>spectrum, how could they auction it off?
| >
| >By virtue of administration. Auctions are held daily all over the place.
| >They do not own what they auction, but like the FCC, are merely charged
| >with the administering of such.
|
| >>Semantics.
| >
| >No,,facts. You can't call facts you disagree with "semantics".

|
| You want to talk about facts? The facts are that the FCC can and does
| auction off chunks of spectrum to commercial entities to use. They
| also regulate those chunks. They also set aside some spectrum for
| "public use". Yes, they administer it, as an arm and representative
| proxy of the U.S. government. So, while the FCC might not directly
| "own" the airwaves, the U.S. government does.
|
|
| >Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate
| >my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.
| >
| >>Right! On you own land. But venture out on .
| >>the public street, and they have all the
| >>authority. Same goes for radio.
|
|
| >Again,,,,,(sigh),,the analogy of the car is invalid as it can result in
| >criminal charges, while operating on the freeband does not.
|
| Yes it can.
|
|
| >>If you can somehow prevent your signal from
| >>escaping the borders of your property (Which
| >>is covered by FCC Part 15), you could do
| >>what you want.
| >
| >
| >Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?
| >
| >>Pushing which law and in what way?
| >
| >Transmitting, albeit, under the guise of part 15, to a much broader
| >audience than permitted.
|
| Well, look into any "low power" pirate broadcaster. Some have tried to
| claim that their power is legal (even if their antennas are not).
|
|
| >>Once those signals escape into the public
| >>venue, they are under the control of the
| >>federal government.
| >
| >How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over
| >such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can
| >tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public
| >broadcast?
| >
| >>Most of those situations employ carrier current

| >>transmitters which radiate only a short
| >>distance from their "antenna" wires, thereby
| >>limiting range beyond the intended service
| >>area. The biggest uses for this technique is on
| >>.college campuses, travel, and road alert
| >>systems.
| >
| >
| >Yes,,,but my question remains and is still valid.
|
| The reality is that even a carrier current system needs to be
| authorized by the FCC. So a radio system capable of covering a 2500
| acre church camp would need FCC permission to operate.
|
| >>As you know, RF degrades gradually and it is
| >>impossible to "brick wall" stop a broadcast at
| >>the limits of physical property. But unless you
| >>are very close, you will likely not hear a carrier
| >>current transmission.
| >
| >
| >
| >Or on an unobstructed waterway with a visual on the proper/transmitter.
| >
| >>Another way to look at it, You own your car,
| >>but not the roads you drive on.
|
| >Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.
|
| >>And administered by the government.
| >>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves
| >>you broadcast on.
|
| >Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.
|
| >>For all practical purposes, yes they do in this
| >>country.
| >>You do not have a "right" to transmit beyond
| >>the confines of your own property.
|
| >That is what the cb does.
|
| >>Yes, but the authorization to operate a CB is a
| >>"privilege", not a "right".
| >>You are granted a "privilege" to do so by the
| >>government in the proxy of the FCC.
|
| >This "privilege" is availabe to anyone, so how can it be referred a
| >privilege?
| >

| >> Not true. You have to be a U.S. citizen, and
| >>not convicted of other FCC rule violations.
|
| >Ok,,proverbially "everyone".
|
| But it's not "everyone". Even though the CB radio service is
| authorized by rule, there are still restrictions (albeit small) on its
| use. It's not a "right", it's a "privilege".
|
|
| > I know you elitist hammies believe this to be true about your ticket,
| >but it simply does not apply to cb, as practically any American citizen
| >is granted the "right" to broadcast, via a cb, simply by ownership of
| >one. This does not exactly equate to any "privilege".
| >
| >>Instead of arguing with me, try looking into the
| >>rules governing each service, and find out for
| >>yourself. Despite the relative ease by which a
| >>person may operate a CB radio, it is still not a
| >>"right" to do so, it is a privilege granted by the
| >>FCC, as the service is authorized by rule,
| >>even if a license is not required.
|
|
| >And if that law were serious, one would NOT be able to buy, plug and
| >play. What stops an immigrant from using a cb? Nothing,,they all se them
| >in the fruit fields.
|
| This is true, the FCC isn't checking the immigration status of every
| CB operator, and it won't come up unless the person is cited for other
| rule violations. It's sort of like the seatbelt law in many states.
| You can't get stopped for it alone, but if you are stopped for another
| violation, they can cite you for failing to wear a seatbelt at the
| same time.
|
| Again, it seems that you justify ignoring rules based on the
| unlikelihood of being cited.
|
|
| >>As a
| >>condition of that privilege comes your
| >>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in
| >>various FCC parts depending on which
| >>service you are using.
| >>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.
|
| >Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right
| >now and have said so on many occasion.
|
| >>Sure. The FCC is not as effective as they

| >>should be, and freeload.... er, freebanders get
| >>away with trespassing on other government
| >>administered frequencies with little chance of
| >>getting caught. But that doesn't mean that it's
| >>legal or proper.
|
| >Again, not one person ever made such a claim in all my years of visiting
| >thse pages. Just who is it you are trying to convince?
|
| But you guys who are operating illegally are using all sort of excuses
| to justify or downplay this illegality. The fact that the FCC isn't
| actively pursuing freebanders, is not a justification or a silent nod
| allowing you to operate there.
|
|
| >They rightly and deservedly go
| >after those they deem the most important and damaging to our hobby.
| >
| >>You mean those who project the highest
| >>profile, or those who impact operators who
| >>paid dearly for the right to use their part of the
| >>spectrum.
|
| >Those who present a direct safety issue.
|
| Very few people fall into this category.
|
| >It
| >is yourself that does not like the "way it is" nor agree with it.
| >
| >>Well, that's true. I do wish the FCC had more
| >>teeth.
| >
| >They have plenty of teeth. Their bite is interested in chomping away
| >with censorship of television.
|
| It's much easier for them to enforce. They don't have to track down
| anyone. They can administer from their offices.
|
|
| Dave
| "Sandbagger"
| http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
|

2997. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 13:45:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hgv381p9nbsncepdf9vtqf88o0jp7i30dr@4ax.com>
Source record: 200020

On Tue, 10 May 2005 17:13:37 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>Which won't likely happen if you are both monogamous.
>
>
>And virgins.

And your point?

><snip>
>>>Blog, blog, blog. If you think that Democrats are godless heathens,
>>>maybe you should take a second look at the Carter administration.
>>
>>Why? Carter's administration has no relevance to this discussion.
>
>
>Sure it does. You made a reference to the Democrats.

Yes, that it primarily the left who are spearheading an intensified
effort to remove all signs of religion from government processes, even
though most have been around since this country was founded.

> Carter is a
>Democrat, isn't he? Ok, so he doesn't hold an office right now. But
>how many Democrats currently holding office are athiests? By their own
>admissions, not many. Your argument is bogus -- I think Jeanine
>Garafalo (sp?) had it right: The conservative's definition of
>'liberal' is any judge that upholds the law. Or something like that.

Jeanine Garafalo is a hopelessly biased liberal on a liberal radio
network which is failing miserably.

><snip>
>>There is no mandated "separation of church and state". Only an
>>establishment clause prohibiting a state sponsored religion.
>
>
>Since you refuse to read it yourself, here's that clause from First
>Amendment: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of
>religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof..." Sounds to me
>like that is a mandate defining the seperation of church and state.

No, it's an establishment clause that states (quite plainly) that
congress shall not establish an "official" religion, and may not
prevent anyone from exercising their own personal religious beliefs.
Nowhere can you state accurately that that statement implies that

there shall be no religious influences in the day to day operation of
the government.

Maybe you did read the constitution, but you don't seem to understand
it.

>
>>>I think this page says it best:
>>>
>>>http://www.au.org/site/PageServer?pagename=issues
>
>
>Too liberal for ya, huh Dave?

Much. They are taking this to an extreme. And here again, you are
displaying yet another of your contradictions. You who claim to
support the constitution and the wisdom of our forefathers (who were
all religious people), yet now advocate that we go above and beyond
the definitions called for in the constitution, and to totally
eradicate all religious influences from our government, even though
they have been intrinsically intertwined in it from the start.

>>>>What passage have you quoted?
>>>
>>>
>>>Don't you know? Haven't you read the Constitution?
>>
>>Yes, have you? What passage are you referring?
>
>
>The same passage I have been referring to all along -- Article VI.
>Since you haven't read it, let me quote if for you, and in full:
>
> "All Debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the
>Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United
>States under this Constitution as under the Confederation.
>
> "This Constituion, and the Laws of the United States which shall be
>made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be
>made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme
>Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby,
>any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary
>notwithstanding.
>
> "The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members
>of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial
>Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall
>be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no
>religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office
>or public Trust under the United States.
>

>You now have no excuse for such stupid questions.

You've never participated in a jury trial have you?

>>>> And how does that diminish the fact that
>>>>swearing on the bible is a confirmation that Judeo-Christian
>>>>influences have been intertwined in our government from the beginning?
>>>
>>>
>>>Swearing on a bible is -not- required to take an oath of affirmation.
>>
>>No, but it is used in every court case, to "swear in" a witness.
>
>
>Once again you demonstrate your ignorance by talking of things about
>which you know little or nothing: An oath on the bible is used in
>every court but not for every person. There are different oaths for
>different faiths -- there is even an oath for athiests and agnostics.

I've never seen any such offering. At least in the court trials that
I've been a part of. I imagine if someone made enough of a stink about
it, the "PC" police would provide an acceptable substitute.

>>>The clause forbidding a "religous test" was added to -prevent- exactly
>>>what you claim.
>>
>>Then why is it still being done on a daily basis?
>
>
>Because you watch too much TV.

No, I participate in the REAL world.

>>> It was added because, at the time, some states had
>>>oaths that required the person entering office to declare a belief in
>>>God or a "divine inspiration". Such an oath was a "religious test"
>>>that was required to qualify for the office, and excluded anyone that
>>>didn't share the same religious beliefs. This violated the seperation
>>>of church and state, so the clause was added to prohibit such tests,
>>>and the office would be available to any citizen -despite- religious
>>>beliefs.
>>
>>We're talking about court cases here, not job applications.
>
>
>We're talking about the seperation of church and state.
>
>
>>><snip>
>>>>>If you are so worried about the "institution" of marriage then you
>>>>>have bigger issues than gays. In case you haven't noticed, nearly half
>>>>>of all marriages end in divorce,

>>>>
>>>>Not true. You are not keeping current.
>>>>
>>>>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
>>>
>>>
>>>No, it's very true. You skipped right over the line, "The U.S. Census
>>>Bureau does not collect the number of marriages and divorces that take
>>>place in a given year." That statistic is collected by the CDC. And
>>>for the year of 2003, the divorce rate was -almost exactly- half the
>>>rate of marriage:
>>>
>>>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr52/nvsr52_22.pdf
>>
>>
>>You should read the link I posted again. The very first line tells the
>>story. The divorce rate is only 9.6%.
>
>
>You sure did sleep a lot in school. It's clear that you don't
>understand statistics either.

When you're under the gun, you insult your opposition. It's not an
elegant debate tactic Frank, and it sure doesn't buy you any points.

> I don't have Excell (well, I have it, I
>just don't have it installed because I never used it when I did), so I
>downloaded the equivalent PDF file:
>
>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.pdf
>
>This is nothing but a breakdown of marriage -status-, not the marriage
>and divorce rates.

Marital status is a more accurate reflection of the institution of
marriage.

>You can't calculate marriage and divorce rates from
>this data for the simple fact that many people are, or have been,
>married more than once.

And some people remain married for 50 years. Once they are married,
they are not counted again in "marriage rates" but they still count
as a matter of marital status. Marital status gives a much better
picture of the state of marriage as it is.

>You are also ignoring the fact that the divorce rate says nothing by
>itself. According to the CDC, during the year 2003 there were 7.5
>marriages per thousand people. There were also 3.8 divorces per
>thousand people. This means (to anyone that can handle 5th grade math)
>that the divorce rate is half (50.7%) the rate of marriage.

But that doesn't take into consideration the marriages from previous
years who are STILL married, but not counted as a new marriage.

>And here's the fun part, Dave: Since half of all marriages end in
>divorce, and if the majority of citizens are right-wing conservative
>Christians that hold marriage to be a sacred value, then there sure
>are a lot of hypocrites that call themselves Christians.

Half of all marriages do not end in divorce. Only 9.6% of the
population is divorced.

>As for the "tradition" of marriage, remember that the filibuster has
>been an American tradition for almost 200 years. IOW, you are a
>hypocrite, Dave.

As are you Frank. You're just on the other side of the coin.

>>>>Typical tactic. Justify a particular abhorrent behavior by making
>>>>unrelated comparisons to other abhorrent behaviors.
>>>
>>>
>>>It's a very logical and justifiable tactic, Dave.
>>
>>No, it's a logical fallacy.
>>
>>http://www.datanation.com/fallacies/falsean.htm
>
>
>You are trying to build an inductive argument using information that
>only tells one side of the story (and heavily biased in favor of your
>conclusion). I merely presented some information that you conveniently
>overlooked. And it so happens that your premise loses almost all of
>it's weight when -all- the facts are known.

But your method is still drawing a false analogy.

>>> If the foundation of
>>>your argument is that gay marriage weakens the value of marriage, it's
>>>both fair and reasonable to compare gay marriage to other factors that
>>>would affect the value of marriage.
>>
>>Not if they have little in common, other than the idea of marriage
>>itself.
>
>
>The common denominator is the value of marriage. That alone makes such
>issues relevant to the discussion and exposes your argument as nothing
>more than an exercise in bigotry.

Based on your own hypocritical bias.

> And that's no different than KKK
>tactics which use the very same type of lame justifications to defend
>racism (religion, tradition, morality, etc.).

Another false analogy fallacy. You're just full of them.

>>> Yet you are whining about
>>>it like a stuck pig while denying those other, much more significant
>>>factors.
>>
>>So, because there might be other factors which may be more
>>significant, we should ignore the small ones? That's the same sort of
>>twisted logic that freebanders use to justify illegal radio pirating.
>
>
>If what you say were true then CB radio would be all over the news. It
>isn't. OTOH, opposition to gay marriage -is- all over the news, and
>that's because of all the attention it's given. If gay marriage is
>getting all this attention because it threatens the value of marriage
>then there would be much -greater- attention to the divorce rate (and
>there would probably be a few right-wing fanatic groups lobbying to
>outlaw divorce). But, in fact, there -isn't- any political outcry
>against divorce.

Because it's only 9.6% of the population. Your conclusion is erroneous
because your premise is flawed.

> The only reasonable conclusion is that these factions
>of "Christianity" (at least one of which I'm sure you are a member)
>are hypocrites that are practicing church-sponsored bigotry.

Had enough of the false analogy fallacies, so you've switched to the
false dilemma fallacy?

>>Bad is bad no matter how large or small it may be.
>
>
>Well, what's worse, Dave: A couple homos getting married? or a large
>group of bigots trying to subvert the Constitution under the guise of
>Christianity?

The only people trying to subvert the constitution are left wing
liberals who are attempting to derive new meanings from words which
the rest of us have understood and upheld for the last 200+ years.

>>>The conclusion is obvious: this has absolutely nothing to do
>>>with the value of marriage. You simply hate homosexuals.
>>
>>Once again, your chain of logic is based on flawed conclusions based
>>on fallacious logic, and your own internal bias.
>
>
>When will you get it through your thick Republican head that bias has
>nothing to do with it, Dave?

Because it does Frank. Even if you won't admit it (even to yourself).

>I'm not homo, I don't have any friends
>that are homo, I don't like "Queer Eye" or "Will & Grace"..... and
>personally, I find the homosexual lifestyle somewhat repulsive.

And you call me a bigot?

> But
>there's nothing in the Constitution that prohibits two gays from
>getting married if that's how they get their kicks.

There's nothing in the constitution that prohibits you from marrying
Dolly the cloned sheep either. But other "rules" would have a problem
with it.

Your problem is that you see the constitution as the be all and end
all of all rules and laws. The constitution does not address each and
every life situation that could have been foreseen 200+ years ago.

> So it's not my
>place to judge. And that would be even -more- true if I was a
>Christian, the doctrine being that you should forgive others of their
>sins (assuming you see homosexuality as a sin), and that judgment
>should be left to God.

It's one thing to forgive sin. It's totally another to condone and
encourage further participation in it.

A Christian would forgive someone who stole their car. But that
doesn't mean the law should be changed to allow theft.

>You hate gays.

No, I don't. If I hated gays, I'd want them exterminated.

> So do a lot of other people. Big deal. But my "bias"
>leans towards the Constitution, not my aversion to homosexuality. They
>have the same rights as me, and I treat them with the same amount of
>respect that I do anyone else whether I like them or not.

So then based on your "faith" in the constitution, you'd have no
objection to pedophiles having the right to marry?

>That's the
>difference between tolerance and bigotry. I am tolerant.

No, you are not. You are a hypocrite. You "tolerate" things you have a
personal agreement with or indifference to. But you have little
tolerance for those who do not share your viewpoint. The reckless
assigning of vitriolic names like "bigot" is proof of that.

>You are not.
>You are a bigot.

No, I am someone who values traditional morality.

>>><snip>
>>>> The fact that polygamy might have been acceptable once does
>>>>not mean that a gay marriage should be now.
>>>
>>>
>>>I never suggested it did. I was pointing out that your "traditional
>>>Christian values" regarding marriage are, at best, hypocritical.
>>
>>So we should abandon all moral values now since they may change
>>sometime in the future?
>
>
>Well gee Dave, we probably should, now that you suggested it, huh?

That's basically what you are proposing. Once you start down that slippery slope of justifying deviant, abhorrent behavior, it becomes only a matter of subjective degree where you draw the line between acceptance and rejection.

>Idiot.

You are certainly acting like one.

>
>
><snip>
>>>Hardly. If the standards are lowered then I have an education that
>>>meets a higher standard, and I am therefore more qualified -- out of
>>>the gate -- than someone with a lesser education.
>>
>>But YOU will have to prove that.
>
>
>Easy enough. It's called a "diploma".

But you will have to go to the pains to prove it. It will not be readily apparent. Perhaps you can wear your diploma on your head so everyone would see it.

>>>> After a few years go by, everyone will then consider a BS
>>>>degree to be a 2 year course. You will have to remind people (and by
>>>>doing so be construed as bragging in much the same way that a 20 WPM
>>>>Extra reminds people that he passed a tougher code exam than the
>>>>current 5 WPM extra) of the fact that you had an additional 2 years of
>>>>study, thereby propping up your perceived value. The public at large
>>>>will not be immediately aware of your "extra" work. Therefore it has
>>>>diminished in value.
>>>
>>>
>>>Because people like you exist, some will undoubtedly see it that way.
>>
>>Most people will eventually see it that way.
>

>
>I don't think so, Dave. I really think that most people have more
>smarts than you give them credit for.

It's not a matter of smarts, it's a matter of contemporary educational
standards.

>
>
>>>But some, like myself, will already understand that those two extra
>>>years mean the difference between 'good' and 'better'.
>>
>>Yes, but you will have to "educate" those who will quickly forget
>>that.
>
>
>There's no point in trying to educate people who refuse to look at the
>facts objectively and make reasonable and logical conclusions. The
>only reason I continue to try is because you aren't the only person
>reading my posts. And it's nice to know that your ignorance is being
>recorded for posterity.

My "ignorance" is only a matter of your bigoted intolerance and bias
and manifested by your pompous arrogance.

><snip>
>>Then settle for a good analogy. The one you presented was not a good
>>comparison for the reasons I gave.
>
>
>I'll consider a good analogy when you provide a good reason.

Always an excuse......

>
>
>>>How you
>>>feel about your own marriage is not dependent upon anyone else but
>>>yourself. The Constitution is not going to change just because a
>>>couple gays getting married affects the way you feel about your own
>>>marriage.
>>
>>It just might.
>
>
>No, it won't. And when you make your next regular visit to your
>doctor, tell him that you want to back off the dosage on those
>anti-depressants.

More personal insults. You really are losing this debate.....

>>
>>Excuses excuses..........

>
>
>Financial responsibilities, time that could be used more
>productively.

Like in a more gainful job than tending bar........

>........ If you cut in half the time you waste on the
>computer and spent that extra time reading the Constitution you could
>probably cut in half the time you waste on the computer.

I've read the constitution many times over. We spent a whole course in
high school studying it. There is NOTHING that you can tell me about
the constitution. But I'll admit, I find it amusing that you try.

The time I spend on the computer is minuscule. When you are parked on
a T1 line, you can take breaks and drink a cup or two between tasks
while getting a little entertainment. And I don't have to mix drinks
or smell cigarettes and bad breath doing it.

>>>So if you want to
>>>start whining about my dumping the excess baggage you add to your
>>>posts then feel free -- it will get snipped just like all the other
>>>crap you use to water down the topics.
>>
>>I water down the topics? Pointing out your own intolerance and
>>hypocrisy is very much pertinent to the discussion as it becomes
>>testimony as to your objectivity and credibility on this subject.
>
>
>Sounds great! So when do you plan to implement your new policy?

What new policy?

>
>
>>>>>>Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Wrong. I believe that change is inevitable.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Even if it's bad?
>>>
>>>
>>>Moron.
>>
>>What's the matter Frank? Are you that incapable of participating in an
>>open discussion that challenges your preconceived notions?
>
>
>You thrive on being publically disgraced, don't you?

No, I thrive on making you disgrace yourself.

>inevitable -- [< Latin, 'in', not + 'evitabilis', avoidable] that
>which cannot be avoided; certain to happen.

I am fully aware of the definition of the word Frank. Don't patronize
me.

I challenge the notion that change is inevitable. What you are
embracing is the idea of predetermination. Something I would not
expect from a existential atheist.

If we can effect change, should we not have the responsibility to
prevent change that would promote demoralization?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2998. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:06:41 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12902-42821F81-39@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200023

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 10 May 2005 16:03:16 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
(So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
paltry bucks..)

>Illegally. Just as there are people who

>trespass on private or otherwise posted land,

>and never get caught either.

Physical trespass can carry a *criminal* charge..talking on the freeband
can not.

>There are criminal provisions in the

>communications act of 1934.

We are speaking of freebanders on the eleven meter band.

>But the point is that nothing will happen if you

>are never caught. But the fact that you are not

>likely to get caught does not diminish the

>illegality

No one ever said it did.

> and societal irresponsibility of

>engaging in the acts.

In order for you to claim such a "societal irresponsibility" exists,
there first must exist a "societal responsibility" somehwere other than
your mind regarding such (cb radio)....can you cite it?
 Once again, this is the difference between
what constitutes a criminal act vs a civil act.
The penalties are not the same.

>But it's still illegal.

(shrug),,,,which has -never- been contested by anyone here, yet, for
some curious reason unbeknownst to all but yourself, you have taken it
upon yourself to assume status and annoint yourself some sort of
imaginary right to confront others concerning their non-criminal act.

>The FCC rules do carry criminal as well as

>civil penalties should they choose to apply

>them, if the case warrants it.

Please cite these criminal penalties referring the freeband or simple dx.

_
I would hedge zero times have you actually confronted a real criminal or law breaker in the act and in person.

>I certainly would if the opportunity presented

>itself.

It presents itself daily to you in the form of speeders,,a act that can cause physical damage or death when violated, which carry real criminal pealties, unlike dxing or freebanding. When was the last time you confronted one and how was it done?

>Ask any cell phone company

>owner/administrator.

Your selection of cell phone admins does not discount the countless freebanders, cbers or hammies who play on it for free or on the extreme cheap.

>Illegally,

And legally.

>Hams and legal CB'ers perhaps. But not

>freebanders.

Yuh,,

>or on bands where public access is

>set aside.

Or not. Don't forget many of the freqs that have been abandoned.

>Abandoned does not mean "open".

Right,,,it means not being used.To use your analogy regarding physical property,,,,if a lot or property is abandoned, and one tends the ground, takes care of it, and pays the tax on it for x amount of years, the often land becomes the property of the caretaker who has been taking care of it and paying the taxes.

>There are many abandoned buildings around.

>But you are still not allowed to trespass there.

Yet, many people use these abandon buildings on a regular basis with
immunity. Bums,,,vagrants, crackheads, etc.
See above for examples of a form of citizen eminent domain.

_
I'll
reiterate what you already found in google on many
occasion,,,,,education is the key.

>Much like a public park.

Nothing like a public park, as breaking the law you speak of (trespass)
can result in criminal charges, unlike talking on the freeband.

>Look at FCC regs again. There are certainly

>criminal penalties associated with them.

There is,,,but not with simple dx or freebanding.

>Ask your buddy "Bob-noxious" about the

>criminal penalties associated with pirate radio.

Another realm.

_
This
concept has proved nearly impossible for you to grasp. Perhaps it
because you so vehemently disagree with the law.

>Your whole justification revolves around your

>perception that unless a law has serious,

>visible teeth, then it doesn't deserve our

>respect, and we are justified in ignoring it.

What justification? The fact that you continue to incorrectly claim I
justified anything over the years has dogged you.

> That is anti-social behavior.

So is the behavior of sports fanatics and religious zealots, both a very
real part of the fabric that weaves America. But most people understand
tolerance is a necessary gem to a successful America and certain acts
are placed in to proper perspective by the majority...a perfect example
is the majority of the populace do not consider speeders "criminals"
like yourself.

>They are the ones authorized to sell spectrum

>to people with a legitimate need. It's no

>different than government owned land.

Again, it is very different for many reasons, several of which you were
already taught.

>.Yes, it is different in some ways, but the ways
>that are similar are what I am talking about.

But,,,,,,it's not.

>It's a fact that the FCC sells off chunks of

>spectrum to commercial interests, sometimes

>for outrageous amounts. If the FCC was not in
>the position to claim "ownership" of that

>spectrum, how could they auction it off?

By virtue of administration. Auctions are held daily all over the place.
They do not own what they auction, but like the FCC, are merely charged
with the administering of such.

>Semantics.

No,,facts. You can't call facts you disagree with "semantics".

>You want to talk about facts? The facts are

>that the FCC can and does auction off chunks

>of spectrum to commercial entities to use.

>They also regulate those chunks. They also

>set aside some spectrum for "public use". Yes,
>they administer it, as an arm and

>representative proxy of the U.S. government.

Who is charged with administering what belongs to the public via their
tax dollars. Not much different than an auction.

>So, while the FCC might not directly "own" the

>airwaves, the U.S. government does.

Nope. The citizens of the US "own" the airwaves by virtue of their tax
dollars paying for all that is related to it.

_
Wrong again. The government has absolutey zero authority how I operate
my vehicle on my own lan and can not revoke my privilege to do so.

>Right! On you own land. But venture out on .

>the public street, and they have all the

>authority. Same goes for radio.

Again,,,,,(sigh),,the analogy of the car is invalid as it can result in criminal charges, while operating on the freeband does not.

>Yes it can.

Only when combined with other acts. If you feel simple freebanding (the context of which we speak) carries criminal charges, feel free to cite the passage or an example,,even one.

>If you can somehow prevent your signal from

>escaping the borders of your property (Which

>is covered by FCC Part 15), you could do

>what you want.

Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?

>Pushing which law and in what way?

Transmitting, albeit, under the guise of part 15, to a much broader audience than permitted.

>Well, look into any "low power" pirate

>broadcaster. Some have tried to claim that

>their power is legal (even if their antennas are

>not).

Once one is pirating, any legal guise under Part 15 vanishes.

>Once those signals escape into the public

>venue, they are under the control of the

>federal government.

How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public broadcast?

>Most of those situations employ carrier current
>transmitters which radiate only a short

>distance from their "antenna" wires, thereby

>limiting range beyond the intended service

>area. The biggest uses for this technique is on
>.college campuses, travel, and road alert

>systems.

Yes,,,but my question remains and is still valid.

>The reality is that even a carrier current

>system needs to be authorized by the FCC.

>So a radio system capable of covering a 2500

>acre church camp would need FCC

>permission to operate.

Sure,,,,,but again,,,if one was to zero in and receive the signal from property not owned by the entity transmitting under Part 15, what then? Isn't this a technical violation?

>As you know, RF degrades gradually and it is

>impossible to "brick wall" stop a broadcast at

>the limits of physical property. But unless you

>are very close, you will likely not hear a carrier
>current transmission.

Or on an unobstructed waterway with a visual on the proper/transmitter.

>.Another way to look at it, You own your car,

>but not the roads you drive on.

Public means owned by the public,,,paid for by tax dollars.

>And administered by the government.

>You may own your radio, but not the airwaves

>you broadcast on.

Neither does the FCC like you mistakenly believe.

>For all practical purposes, yes they do in this

>country.

>You do not have a "right" to transmit beyond

>the confines of your own property.

That is what the cb does.

>Yes, but the authorization to operate a CB is a
>"privilege", not a "right".

You are granted a "privilege" to do so by the government in the proxy of the FCC.
This "privilege" is availabe to anyone, so how can it be referred a privilege?

> Not true. You have to be a U.S. citizen, and

>not convicted of other FCC rule violations.

Ok,,proverbially "everyone".

>But it's not "everyone". Even though the CB

>radio service is authorized by rule, there are

>still restrictions (albeit small) on its use. It's not
>a "right", it's a "privilege".

I know you elitist hammies believe this to be true about your ticket, but it simply does not apply to cb, as practically any American citizen is granted the "right" to broadcast, via a cb, simply by ownership of one. This does not exactly equate to any "privilege".

>Instead of arguing with me, try looking into the
>rules governing each service, and find out for

>yourself. Despite the relative ease by which a

>person may operate a CB radio, it is still not a

>"right" to do so, it is a privilege granted by the

>FCC, as the service is authorized by rule,

>even if a license is not required.

And if that law were serious, one would NOT be able to buy, plug and play. What stops an immigrant from using a cb? Nothing,,they all se them in the fruit fields.

>This is true, the FCC isn't checking the

>immigration status of every CB operator,

The immigration use was but one example. There are countless more of how anyone can use a cb simply by purchasing one off the shelf or from anotehr party.

>and it won't come up unless the person is

>cited for other rule violations. It's sort of like

>the seatbelt law in many states. You can't get

>stopped for it alone, but if you are stopped for

>another violation, they can cite you for failing

>to wear a seatbelt at the same time.

Yea,,well they just changed the law here,,they can pull one over for not wearing it,,it's no longer a secondary offense (in Fl) , but a primary offense.

>Again, it seems that you justify ignoring rules

>based on the unlikelihood of being cited.

When I began selectively ignoring specific rules for a specific purpose (which happens to be THE definition of civil disobedience), most weren't even aware such rules existed, which nullifies any possible position presented by yourself regarding ignoring rules on the unlikelihood of not being cited. In fact, when cbers were sliding up one or in between to "channel 22a", most had no clue it was illegal.

>As a

>condition of that privilege comes your

>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in

>various FCC parts depending on which

>service you are using.

>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.

Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right now and have said so on many occasion.

>Sure. The FCC is not as effective as they

>should be,

The country disagrees with you, simply by virtue of what the FCC enforces.

>and freeload.... er, freebanders get away with

>trespassing on other government administered
>frequencies with little chance of getting

>caught.

Because it's ractically a non-issue with the majority of Americans.

> But that doesn't mean that it's legal or proper.

Again, not one person ever made such a claim in all my years of visiting thse pages. Just who is it you are trying to convince?

>But you guys who are operating illegally are

>using all sort of excuses to justify or downplay

>this illegality.

Then you should have no problem illustrating substance concerning your accusations, but you have failed to do so to date regarding any of these "guys" you incorrectly invoke.

> The fact that the FCC isn't actively pursuing

>freebanders, is not a justification or a silent

>nod allowing you to operate there.

They rightly and deservedly go
after those they deem the most important and damaging to our hobby.

>You mean those who project the highest

>profile, or those who impact operators who

>paid dearly for the right to use their part of the

>spectrum.

Those who present a direct safety issue.

>Very few people fall into this category.

All hammies who jam repeaters and talk where they are not permitted (on
the hammie band) fall into this category.
It
is yourself that does not like the "way it is" nor agree with it.

>Well, that's true. I do wish the FCC had more

>teeth.

They have plenty of teeth. Their bite is interested in chomping away
with censorship of television.

>It's much easier for them to enforce.

Actually, the hammies are much easier to enforce.

> They don't have to track down anyone.

"Tracking down" in the manner you believe is a thing of the past. The
High Frequency Directional Finder in Laurel, Maryland pinpoints
transmissions anywhere in the country immediately with no effort. Ask
Scott about it.

>They can administer from their offices.

Yet,,they choose not to concerning all but those deemed the most
important regarding enforcement.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

2999. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:29:58 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9276-428224F6-9@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200024

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 10 May 2005 18:43:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
(Which won't likely happen if you are both
monogamous.)

Being monogamous with your wife/husband/partner has nothing to do with
your past.

>Never said that it did.

You said one will not likely catch AIDS if one practices monogamy. This
would only hold true if both were virgins when getting married..,not
practical when applied to present reality, as the vast majority have a
sexual past history.

_
 There are instances where the HIV virus is semi-dormant for years and
years (10 to 15 year spans are on record) and then it suddenly
appears,,,the same can be said of AIDS..it's manageable in many cases
until,...poof,,it morphs to full blown AIDS.

>Monogamous doesn't mean act like a slut

>throughout your "formative" years and then

>decide to "stay with one person at age 30.

That you consider a past sexual history equals "acting like a slut"
reveals several interesting facts of your beliefs regarding this topic.

_
The ONLY "cure" is found in
the prevention..in other words, abstaining from pre-marital sex then
both parties getting a thorough CBC (complete blood count) prior to
tying the knot.

>Now you are finally seeing the light.

I've known this since 1980 when the disease was traced to a cave in
Africa and suspected of being contracted from bat guano (the initial
host.....believed by scholars) or a rhesus monkey. Google "The Hot Zone"
and the parallels are there for the reading. Better yet, read the book.
Now if only you could understand that monogamy today does not discount
one's past, as the majority of people have a sexual past history prior
to marriage and monogamy. Your claim that monogamy decreases the chance
of acquiring AIDS assumes incorrectly these people had no sexual past
history. The "clean" mark was originally 5 years, then 10, then
15,,,it's now believed that 20 years is the "safe" mark regarding past

sexual activity..in other words, if you have een monogamous for 20 years with your partner, and your partner has also been monogamous for that amount of time, the likelihood of contracting the virus decreases substantially, but is -still- not discounted totally.

>Congratulations!

I'd like to say the same regarding your beliefs of contracting this disease, but I think your moral beliefs are heavily biasing and preventing you from obtaining the facts regarding such.

>Dave

"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

3000. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:35:22 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9273-4282263A-55@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200025

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
Well, that is certainly "YOUR OPINION"--I see little else there...
Interesting you should cram Steven into such a small bottle--where are
you lecturing this year--if it will be in a city close--I may come and
see what you have to say...
Warmest regards,
John
--
Sit down the six pack--step away!!! ... and go do something...

_
He was a novelty in the eighties, but is nowhere near those who are
considered tops in the field these days. He is no longer the "go to" guy
regarding space philosophy and astro physics.
The guy is more a cultural icon than definitive authority on any matter.

3001. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:38:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9273-42822700-57@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200026

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>Yes, that it primarily the left who are

>spearheading an intensified effort to remove

>all signs of religion from government

>processes, even though most have been

>around since this country was founded.

So has crime. What is wrong with seeking to remove that of which the law clearly defines? You are one of the most vocal in this group to redundantly invoke that just because something is practiced far and wide doesn't make it legal or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree with it.

3002. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:49:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9273-4282296C-60@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200027

From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
Only because you suffer a great moronic communcation deficit..as it is there plainly for the entire world to see, but you, for some odd reason, express magnicent failure regarding your memory, intellect, and communication skill. Here it is again,,,open wide:

>Boy, everyone who disagrees with you has a

>communications deficit, don't they?

Not everyone,,,a select group of three,,,which would include yourself. I base this upon watching the entire world witness you go above and beyond and out of your way to send Dave warm fuzzys and stroke his ego and front me upon your entrance to this group, not once, not twice, not three times, but four times, based on nothing more than your initial and unprovoked diatribe.

>How can we all be that stupid?

At least you recognize it.

>How can you be the only one who knows how

>to communicate?

Hehehe..the majority knows ho to communicate perfectly,,just because you gave great reason illustrating you have deficiencies in such, doesn't mean they are shared by others.

> How is it that you are the only one who has

>any memory, intellect, and communication

>skills?

>You've been asked a very simple question "I

>AmnotGeorgeBush."

A question regarding a term I never employed. LMAO,,,such illustrates your failures in communcations. You should look to the one who used the term for such questions, not one who never used the term. See, this is illustrates perfectly your inaibilties regarding proper communication skill.

>I have not

>seen you answer it for several days now.

>"What we have here is a failure to

>communicate."

>Guy

Not anyone elses fault that you suffer, but recognizing you belong with those who indeed suffer from such a malady by including yourself (via your use of the term "we") in that small group of rabid hammies numbering three is both exhilarating and promising regarding your road to recovery and education. Bravo!

3003. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:50:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9275-428229AF-16@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200028

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>Basement would be an indoor pool down in

>those parts? :)

Yea,,there ya' go!

3004. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:51:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9273-42822A19-61@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200030

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>Now you will be accused of being a sock

>puppet of mine.....

Based on nothing more than the amount of attention and fanny pinching
you have publicly done to each other? Nonsense.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

3005. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:53:35 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9273-42822A7F-62@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200029

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 10 May 2005 19:20:47 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote: From:
moparholic@hotmail.com (Buzzard) Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
On 4 May 2005 21:11:27 -0700, "A PROUD FREEBANDER"
<cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote:
(Yeah I still use my old trust FT-101E on the freeband too.But I put a
sadelta Echomaster + on it so I can sound LIKE A REAL REAL real real
CB'er CB'er cb'er cb'er.
BEEEEEPPPPPP!!!!!!)
(What a way to ruin a perfectly good radio.....)
"Just clang two garbage can lids together."

Sadelta is a mic that gives that empty room type hollow sound I would
assume.....?

>Sorta like a non-amplified 104 in the

>basement, next to the pool table?

I prefer Turner or Shure. I'm always buying Turners. I won't part with
any of them.

>They're getting harder to find in good

>condition.

Especially on Ebay. Ebay is practically all junk now.

>I've always liked Turner's mobile mics,

>especially the +3. The +3 desk mike was a

>favorite of mine for SSB.

Mine too,,,and NOT the Sidekick model.

_
No basements in Florida. We have screened or enclosed lanais.

>A basement in Florida comes with a

>mandatory swimming pool. ;-)

Yea,,it certainly would.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3006. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:58:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <e1qge.28$AJ.1257586@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200032

What is wrong with correcting the law... instead of applying patches to a worn out system? Write your congressman today!!!

Most of these ancient institutions and methods were created when people were still afraid of electricity--thought it took a genius to use radio--INDEED--the ignorant masses viewed radio as almost "Magic!"

Times have changed, kids run 10 watt Ghz transmitters on 2100 Mhz and tear up business wireless networks for miles--important business and public communications are affected--these kids need an outlet for their energies....

The world has changed drastically--the laws still reflect stoneage beliefs and structure...

Warmest regards,
John
--
Sit down the six-pack!!! STEP AWAY!!! ...and go do something...
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:9273-42822700-57@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>Yes, that it primarily the left who are

>spearheading an intensified effort to remove

>all signs of religion from government

>processes, even though most have been

>around since this country was founded.

So has crime. What is wrong with seeking to remove that of which the law clearly defines? You are one of the most vocal in this group to redundantly invoke that just because something is practiced far and wide doesn't make it legal or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree with it.

3007. Re: FA: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 15:59:10 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9273-42822BCE-63@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200031

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25010-42813CB3-511@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net... From:
spam@spam.spam (yea right) On Sun, 08 May 2005 10:38:40 -0400, dxAce
wrote: cooltube wrote:
No Bids @$10, gotta see.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
As opposed to 'fake' copper wire?
dxAce
Michigan
_
Hahaha..

(Most all copper wire on the market is soft draw and will break after a
few years of constant
tension. Hard draw copper wire is very tough
and robust. I use 18g lamp safety wire. This is
the thin copper wire that servers as a safety
ground and as a safety wire in case a link in
the lamp chain breaks in hanging chandeliers.)

Copper wire should NEVER be used as your ground because (the reasons
you stated, in addition to...) the inductance value is piss poor.
Copper strap is always desirable over wire. FWIW, many people, hammies
included, feel they are using copper wire when they are in reality using
copper COATED wire. No matter,,, copper wire doesn't compare to copper
strap.

>Strap is better, but due to skin effect, you

>could copper coat steel and it would work as

>well for rf as solid copper.

Skin effect changes the inductance value to equal that of copper strap?

> Hmmmm .... come to think of it, they do make
>that stuff.

>I used to use 8 gauge copper wire for my

>longwire antenna. Despite being held by

>several trees and anchored at the house,

>nothing ever brought it down. Nothing like a

>*lot* of copper. Come to think of it, it was

>several hundred feet long and worked

>gangbusterly on 160 as well as the other

>bands. 25 watts and I was solid pretty much

>across the country at night; during the

>daytime, I still pounded into Washington D.C.

>from Rochester, NY using 65 watts. That was

>on 160. 15 and 20 meters and 75 watts

>worked the world with no problem - other than

>the fact that the pattern of that antenna was

>real strange. I never got more than a fair

>report from England, but Norway, Sweden,

>and Denmark would always give me a report

>of a very strong signal. Same with Italy.

>Regardless, I'd never use anything as light as

>18 gauge wire for anything.

I wish I had the room and free space to play with long wires.

>73 from Rochester, NY

>Jim

Looks like summer is on the way for you guys. Enjoy.

3008. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 16:17:00 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2eqge.29$x21.1338530@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200033

Oh no, he is nothing special alright... I imagine your IQ has been tested and blows him away...

And, surely you have rubbed elbows with colleges such as he has, perhaps you even share many of the same friends--too bad about Carl Sagans' passing--I bet you miss him... and hold many as close personal friends--the rich exchange you have with them keeps you quite up to date--I can tell from your text...

Nope, no one would ever confuse you with an "Arm-Chair-Genius."

Warmest regards,
John
--
Sit down the six-pack!!! STEP AWAY!!! ...and go do something...
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:9273-4282263A-55@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
Well, that is certainly "YOUR OPINION"--I see little else there... Interesting you should cram Steven into such a small bottle--where are you lecturing this year--if it will be in a city close--I may come and see what you have to say...
Warmest regards,
John
--
Sit down the six pack--step away!!! ... and go do something...
_
He was a novelty in the eighties, but is nowhere near those who are considered tops in the field these days. He is no longer the "go to" guy regarding space philosophy and astro physics.
The guy is more a cultural icon than definitive authority on any matter.

3009. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 16:58:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <evd481d4ltrfmn924qu5gqk8g6jvhrokvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 200034

On Wed, 11 May 2005 06:40:20 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Dave:
>
>You said, "So, while the FCC might not directly
>"own" the airwaves, the U.S. government does."
>
>This is grossly mis-leading!!! The gov't owns NOTHING!!!!

Well then they are certainly operating as if they do. They collect the
proceeds from spectrum auctions. That makes the government a de-facto
owner of this commodity.

>They are a group
>of indivduals who are elected, appointed, and hired to do the citizens
>business. They have a job to do--if they either cannot or will not do it
>without becomming self-serving employees--they simply need fired.

Evidently the "citizens",collectively, do not see the problem with the
FCC administering the airwaves in the manner than they currently do.

>These public servants, from the president on down, need to be worried about
>what the citizens of this country need and want--and what the citizens of
>this country are telling them to do.

By and large, the citizens are not screaming for mass chunks of radio
spectrum to use.

>Just like if I hired an employee to help me in a private business--they are
>directly answerable to their employers...
>
>What the gov't needs to do is set aside a bit of the radio spectrum for use
>in conducing the citizens business--some for commercial use--some for
>hobby-expermental use... and the rest IS THE CITIZENS!!!!!

To do what with? Besides, after you divide up the spectrum between
legitimate commercial services (which are usually available to the
citizens as in cell phone and wireless internet), public services
(police, fire, EMS etc.) government entities (FBI, USGS, Forest
service, military etc.) and hobby use (Ham and CB), there isn't much
left.

That's why the FCC operates as it does.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3010. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 17:13:09 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6arge.42$bl2.2174075@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200039

Well, yeah, property is pretty scarce out there .5 Mhz to tens or hundreds of Ghz... hardly a spare megahertz anywhere... and yeah, a quick tune across just a 30 Mhz sw is proof this is all in use and quite congested...

...but, I don't think so... and the citizens do own the spectrum--even if a dicatator claims it in a foreign land--he is mistaken--and even if a whole gov't claims it--they are mistaken... even if the public servants of the USA think it is theirs--they are mistaken...

Really, this truth is so obivious I can't even imagine anyone arguing it... although some may hold "religious views" on it, or be willing to wage "holy wars" over it to serve their self-serving reasons, beliefs...

Warmest regards,
John
--
Sit down the six-pack!!! STEP AWAY!!! ...and go do something...

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:evd481d4ltrfmn924qu5gqk8g6jvhrokvd@4ax.com...
| On Wed, 11 May 2005 06:40:20 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >Dave:
| >
| >You said, "So, while the FCC might not directly
| >"own" the airwaves, the U.S. government does."
| >
| >This is grossly mis-leading!!! The gov't owns NOTHING!!!!
|
| Well then they are certainly operating as if they do. They collect the
| proceeds from spectrum auctions. That makes the government a de-facto
| owner of this commodity.
|
|
| >They are a group
| >of indivduals who are elected, appointed, and hired to do the citizens
| >business. They have a job to do--if they either cannot or will not do it
| >without becomming self-serving employees--they simply need fired.
|
| Evidently the "citizens",collectively, do not see the problem with the
| FCC administering the airwaves in the manner than they currently do.
|
| >These public servants, from the president on down, need to be worried
about

| >what the citizens of this country need and want--and what the citizens of
| >this country are telling them to do.
|
| By and large, the citizens are not screaming for mass chunks of radio
| spectrum to use.
|
|
| >Just like if I hired an employee to help me in a private business--they
are
| >directly answerable to their employers...
| >
| >What the gov't needs to do is set aside a bit of the radio spectrum for
use
| >in conducing the citizens business--some for commercial use--some for
| >hobby-expermental use... and the rest IS THE CITIZENS!!!!!
|
| To do what with? Besides, after you divide up the spectrum between
| legitimate commercial services (which are usually available to the
| citizens as in cell phone and wireless internet), public services
| (police, fire, EMS etc.) government entities (FBI, USGS, Forest
| service, military etc.) and hobby use (Ham and CB), there isn't much
| left.
|
| That's why the FCC operates as it does.
|
| Dave
| "Sandbagger"
| http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3011. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 17:50:04 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ade48194amqcmuijaudbirmagvkptp4rnr@4ax.com>
Source record: 200035

On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:06:41 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 10 May 2005 16:03:16 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>(So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
>damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
>paltry bucks..)
>
>>Illegally. Just as there are people who
>
>>trespass on private or otherwise posted land,
>
>>and never get caught either.
>
>Physical trespass can carry a *criminal* charge..talking on the freeband
>can not.
>
>>There are criminal provisions in the
>>communications act of 1934.

>We are speaking of freebanders on the eleven meter band.

Who are radio pirates, operating unauthorized radio transmitters. The
provisions in the communications act of 1934 do not differentiate
which bands unauthorized transmitters can incur criminal penalties.
If the FCC chose to do so, freebanders can be charged criminally. The
fact that they have not chosen to do any more than sporadic citations,
does not diminish the fact that they could if they chose to.

>
>
>>But the point is that nothing will happen if you
>>are never caught. But the fact that you are not
>>likely to get caught does not diminish the
>>illegality
>
>
>No one ever said it did.
>
>> and societal irresponsibility of
>>engaging in the acts.
>ï¿½
>In order for you to claim such a "societal irresponsibility" exists,

>there first must exist a "societal responsibility" somehwere other than
>your mind regarding such (cb radio)....can you cite it?

Societal responsibility goes far beyond CB radio. It goes hand in hand
with morality, consideration, and just plain old fashioned good
manners.

>
>>The FCC rules do carry criminal as well as
>>civil penalties should they choose to apply
>>them, if the case warrants it.
>
>
>Please cite these criminal penalties referring the freeband or simple
>dx.

Please refer to the communications act of 1934 and related parts.

>
>_
>I would hedge zero times have you actually confronted a real criminal or
>law breaker in the act and in person.
>
>>I certainly would if the opportunity presented
>>itself.
>
>
>It presents itself daily to you in the form of speeders,,a act that can
>cause physical damage or death when violated, which carry real criminal
>pealties, unlike dxing or freebanding. When was the last time you
>confronted one and how was it done?

If I were to confront one speeder, I'd have to confront all of them,
and I cannot do that. I have, on occasion, prevented speeding by
paralleling someone in the right lane holding the legal speed limit.

Besides, speeding is not a criminal offense, it's a simple summary
offense. What it may or may not lead to is irrelevant, and calls for
speculation.

>>or on bands where public access is
>>set aside.
>
>Or not. Don't forget many of the freqs that have been abandoned.
>
>>Abandoned does not mean "open".
>
>Right,,,it means not being used.To use your analogy regarding physical
>property,,,,if a lot or property is abandoned, and one tends the ground,
>takes care of it, and pays the tax on it for x amount of years, the
>often land becomes the property of the caretaker who has been taking
>care of it and paying the taxes.

Squatters rights. And interesting angle. I wonder if someone has tried
that tactic on the FCC in regard to the freeband area of 11 meters.
The principle is similar.

>>There are many abandoned buildings around.
>
>
>>But you are still not allowed to trespass there.
>
>Yet, many people use these abandon buildings on a regular basis with
>immunity. Bums,,,vagrants, crackheads,

.... Freebanders. I see the similarities.

>See above for examples of a form of citizen eminent domain.

Yes, and I'm waiting to see someone attempt to use this reasoning to
obtain the legal authorization of the freeband

_
>I'll
>reiterate what you already found in google on many
>occasion,,,,,education is the key.
>
>>Much like a public park.
>
>Nothing like a public park, as breaking the law you speak of (trespass)
>can result in criminal charges, unlike talking on the freeband.
>
>>Look at FCC regs again. There are certainly
>>criminal penalties associated with them.
>
>
>There is,,,but not with simple dx or freebanding.

Again, the regs do not differentiate which bands will carry those
criminal penalties for unauthorized use. Simple dx on the legal 40
channels is a nothing citation. But couple it with running on
unauthorized freqs, and the severity increases. The only thing you
have in your favor is that the FCC is not motivated enough to do much
about it. It's not that it's any less illegal, it's only that they
don't care enough.

>
>>Ask your buddy "Bob-noxious" about the
>>criminal penalties associated with pirate radio.

>Another realm.

No, it's not. Not in principle. The only thing that's different is
that Bob's visibility to commercial (paying) interests is what forces
the FCC to pay closer attention.

_
>This
>concept has proved nearly impossible for you to grasp. Perhaps it
>because you so vehemently disagree with the law.
>
>>Your whole justification revolves around your
>>perception that unless a law has serious,
>>visible teeth, then it doesn't deserve our
>>respect, and we are justified in ignoring it.
>
>
>What justification? The fact that you continue to incorrectly claim I
>justified anything over the years has dogged you.

Then what would you call it. When you claim that freebanding is a
simple infraction, that's a justification. Would you still freeband if
the FCC actively pursued freebanders and fined them heavily?

>> That is anti-social behavior.
>
>So is the behavior of sports fanatics and religious zealots, both a very
>real part of the fabric that weaves America.

In what way?

> But most people understand
>tolerance is a necessary gem to a successful America and certain acts
>are placed into proper perspective by the majority...a perfect example
>is the majority of the populace do not consider speeders "criminals"
>like yourself.

I don't either. Speeding is not a criminal offense. I never stated
otherwise. You were the one who compared speeders to "real" criminals
a few lines above, not me.

>No,,facts. You can't call facts you disagree with "semantics".
>
>>You want to talk about facts? The facts are
>>that the FCC can and does auction off chunks
>>of spectrum to commercial entities to use.
>>They also regulate those chunks. They also
>>set aside some spectrum for "public use". Yes,
>>they administer it, as an arm and
>>representative proxy of the U.S. government.

>Who is charged with administering what belongs to the public via their
>tax dollars. Not much different than an auction.

Then why is the public not seeing the proceeds of these sales?

>>So, while the FCC might not directly "own" the
>>airwaves, the U.S. government does.
>
>

>Nope. The citizens of the US "own" the airwaves by virtue of their tax
>dollars paying for all that is related to it.

I would argue that the sale of that same bandwidth pays for much of
the FCC's budget.

>Only when combined with other acts. If you feel simple freebanding (the
>context of which we speak) carries criminal charges, feel free to cite
>the passage or an example,,even one.

What is "simple" freebanding? Again, I refer you to the com act of
1934 and associated regulations regarding unauthorized transmitters.

>Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?
>
>>Pushing which law and in what way?
>
>Transmitting, albeit, under the guise of part 15, to a much broader
>audience than permitted.
>
>>Well, look into any "low power" pirate
>>broadcaster. Some have tried to claim that
>>their power is legal (even if their antennas are
>>not).
>
>
>
>Once one is pirating, any legal guise under Part 15 vanishes.

You can legally operate a part 15 transmitter on the broadcast band. I
built one such transmitter when I was a kid. But the antenna
restrictions specified no longer than a 5 foot wire.

I could hear my "station" up to about a block away.

>How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over
>such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can
>tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public
>broadcast?
>
>>Most of those situations employ carrier current
>>transmitters which radiate only a short
>>distance from their "antenna" wires, thereby
>>limiting range beyond the intended service
>>area. The biggest uses for this technique is on
>>.college campuses, travel, and road alert
>>systems.
>
>Yes,,,but my question remains and is still valid.

>>The reality is that even a carrier current
>>system needs to be authorized by the FCC.
>>So a radio system capable of covering a 2500

>>acre church camp would need FCC
>>permission to operate.
>
>
>
>
>Sure,,,,,but again,,,if one was to zero in and receive the signal from
>property not owned by the entity transmitting under Part 15, what then?
>Isn't this a technical violation?

That depends on the circumstances. An authorized carrier current
station operating within the technical requirements is not responsible
for incidental radiation beyond it's physical boundaries.

Cordless phones are part 15 devices, yet they can carry beyond your
property lines.

>>Instead of arguing with me, try looking into the
>>rules governing each service, and find out for
>>yourself. Despite the relative ease by which a
>>person may operate a CB radio, it is still not a
>>"right" to do so, it is a privilege granted by the
>>FCC, as the service is authorized by rule,
>>even if a license is not required.

>And if that law were serious, one would NOT be able to buy, plug and
>play. What stops an immigrant from using a cb? Nothing,,they all se them
>in the fruit fields.
>
>>This is true, the FCC isn't checking the
>>immigration status of every CB operator,
>
>The immigration use was but one example. There are countless more of how
>anyone can use a cb simply by purchasing one off the shelf or from
>anotehr party.

Well, that's a big glaring example of how reality can defy or obstruct
the rules. The fact that this happens does not diminish the letter of
the law.

One could say that the presence of a law which is unenforceable is
grounds for its revocation. Maybe that time is now.

>>and it won't come up unless the person is
>>cited for other rule violations. It's sort of like
>>the seatbelt law in many states. You can't get
>>stopped for it alone, but if you are stopped for
>>another violation, they can cite you for failing
>>to wear a seatbelt at the same time.
>
>
>

>Yea,,well they just changed the law here,,they can pull one over for not
>wearing it,,it's no longer a secondary offense (in Fl) , but a primary
>offense.

I believe that's true in Pa, as well now. But it's still secondary in
other states.

>
>>Again, it seems that you justify ignoring rules
>>based on the unlikelihood of being cited.
>
>
>When I began selectively ignoring specific rules for a specific purpose
>(which happens to be THE definition of civil disobedience), most weren't
>even aware such rules existed, which nullifies any possible position
>presented by yourself regarding ignoring rules on the unlikelihood of
>not being cited. In fact, when cbers were sliding up one or in between
>to "channel 22a", most had no clue it was illegal.

I have a hard time believing that these bright, intelligent CB
operators would be so ignorant as to the legality of what they were
doing. In any case, ignorance of the law is no excuse. In those days,
as a condition of your CB license (You did have a license right?), it
was required that you read and understand the part 95 rule book. You
couldn't plead ignorance, without opening yourself to the charge of
making a false statement on your license registration form.

No one in my area ever believed that sliding through channels outside
of the 23 standard channels was in any way legal. We took our chances
based on the unlikelihood of getting popped. Had there been more
busts, most of us would have been too scared to venture out of band.
In fact there were regular rumors that the FCC was "in town" and many
of us toned down our antics, hid the amps in the garage, and stayed on
the legal channels, at least until the "alert" passed.

The point being that we all knew exactly what we were doing then.

>>As a
>>condition of that privilege comes your
>>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in
>>various FCC parts depending on which
>>service you are using.
>>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.
>
>Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right
>now and have said so on many occasion.
>
>>Sure. The FCC is not as effective as they
>>should be,

>The country disagrees with you, simply by virtue of what the FCC
>enforces.

No, the FCC disagrees with me. The last time I looked, the rank and
file citizen has no input on what the FCC considers a priority.

>
>>and freeload.... er, freebanders get away with
>
>>trespassing on other government administered
>>frequencies with little chance of getting
>
>>caught.
>
>
>Because it's ractically a non-issue with the majority of Americans.

The majority of Americans forgot about CB radio when Burt Reynold's
hair turned gray, and computers and cell phones satiated their gadget
fix.

>
>>But you guys who are operating illegally are
>>using all sort of excuses to justify or downplay
>>this illegality.
>
>
>Then you should have no problem illustrating substance concerning your
>accusations, but you have failed to do so to date regarding any of these
>"guys" you incorrectly invoke.

What substance do you want? Do you deny that people other than you
operate illegally, and don't care about it?

>Those who present a direct safety issue.
>
>>Very few people fall into this category.
>
>All hammies who jam repeaters and talk where they are not permitted (on
>the hammie band) fall into this category.

How does jamming a repeater create a safety issue? how do illegal
freebanding hams create a safety issue over than of illegal CB
freebanders (As if there really is a difference?)

They have plenty of teeth. Their bite is interested in chomping away
>with censorship of television.
>
>>It's much easier for them to enforce.
>
>Actually, the hammies are much easier to enforce.

Not really. they still have to physically identify the illegal
operator. That means moving beyond the confines of their cushy
offices.

>"Tracking down" in the manner you believe is a thing of the past. The
>High Frequency Directional Finder in Laurel, Maryland pinpoints
>transmissions anywhere in the country immediately with no effort. Ask
>Scott about it.

If true, then your buddy "Bob" should be dropping loads in his pants
right now.

You cannot pinpoint transmissions from a single point. It requires at
least 3 points to do with any accuracy. Why do you think there are so
many GPS satellites in position in order to find a precise bearing?

There was a rumor a few years back, and in fact I knew a guy who once
claimed to work on this system, where the GPS satellites could be made
to work "in reverse" and pinpoint any radio transmission emanating
from earth with the same accuracy as a GPS. But I cannot verify this.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
j

3012. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 17:54:29 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115833507.bd14e4abe0ce45dc06258a447a965091@bubbanews>
Source record: 200036

On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:49:00 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
>
>>You've been asked a very simple question "I
>
>>AmnotGeorgeBush."
>
>
>A question regarding a term I never employed. LMAO,,,such illustrates
>your failures in communcations. You should look to the one who used the
>term for such questions, not one who never used the term. See, this is
>illustrates perfectly your inaibilties regarding proper communication
>skill.
>

it is a term that you were all too happy to respond to mr.
communication skills

On Tue, 03 May 2005 12:24:07 -0500, Freeband sucks
<freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>11 meter cb spread spectrum? i don't think so.

On Tue, 3 May 2005 16:59:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Digital Spread has another name when applied to such. Ask an
>upperclassman hammie to elmer you with this difficulty you profess.

so yes, you did use in your quoted response.LMAO
11 meter cb didgital spread spectrum has another name when applied to
such (at least according to you) what is it?

3013. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 18:01:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <1qh481pklifcab4odaq10u9c2sthqs8h55@4ax.com>
Source record: 200037

On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:38:40 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>Yes, that it primarily the left who are
>
>>spearheading an intensified effort to remove
>
>>all signs of religion from government
>
>>processes, even though most have been
>
>>around since this country was founded.
>
>So has crime. What is wrong with seeking to remove that of which the law
>clearly defines?

Nothing, if that's indeed the case. But those religious influences are
adorned all over our government buildings and in our government
business. Why is it only now do certain people find exception to it?

>You are one of the most vocal in this group to
>redundantly invoke that just because something is practiced far and wide
>doesn't make it legal or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree
>with it.

When it's not illegal, I agree with it.

The fact is that despite recent misinterpretations of the
establishment clause in the constitution by left wing zealots, we have
had religious influences in our government from the very beginning. I
would argue that it was those influences which made this country one
of strong moral and ethical principles. It's no coincidence that the
decline in governmental ethics and morality correspond with efforts to
eradicate religious influences from our lives.

There was once a day when democrats and republicans practiced a little
thing called compromise.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3014. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 18:31:06 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115836266.554629.312320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200038

Will get to you on the measurements. Going to find out whether a 3/8 3 element quad will out perform a 1/2 2 element.

3015. maopathetic the sissy coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 21:31:25 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115847085.269316.211820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200040

```
Steveo wrote:
> truth@nothingbutthetruth.com wrote:
> > > truth@itellonlythetruth.com wrote:
> > > > > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> > > > > news:beg181tf5p7944ea6ue6up8ga5voslcok2@4ax.com:
> > > > >
> > > > > > On 10 May 2005 03:28:30 GMT, Steveo
<moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > > > > >>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >>> news:20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com...
> > > > > >>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > > > > >>> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> > > > > >>> >>> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and
pointers
> > > > > >>> >>> for pic's of
> > > > > >>> >> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
> > > > > >>> >>
> > > > > >>> > Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> Not enough money for bus fare (grin)
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> Landshark
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >> No balls, all keyboard. (akc)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I
wasn't
> > > > > > home, and sneak onto my property. I knew he didn't have any
> > > > > > balls.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Vinnie S.
> > > > >
> > > > > If i come when you are home Ninnie it wont be to take
pictures. I
> > > > > said i would come when you werent home just to make sure I
get the
> > > > > pictures because I ll bet dollars to donuts you would call
the
> > > > > police. unless you want to give me the invite right now.
> > > >
```

> > > > If you are going to stalk, do it like Steveo does it.
> > > >
> > > > Find some post Vinnie made where he says he isn't afraid of
you, and
> > > > use that as permission to show up uninvited.
> > > >
> > > Hehehe
> > >
> > > Like this one?
> > >
> > > > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > > > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star
some
> > > > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
> > > > laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I
> > > > relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told
your
> > > > wife, the trainedpuppet.
> > > > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> > > > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > >
> > > > Then call to make sure he isn't home and leave an anonymous
harassing
> > > > message on his phone machine. Theh go there and sneak around
taking
> > > > pics of his house.
> > > >
> > > Ha! Like this? Nice job with that coax, nad.
> > >
> > > http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035js.jpg
> > > >
> > >
> > > > If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he
comes to
> > > > the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.
> > > >
> > > More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to
run your
> > > pie hole to my face. lol
> > >
> > > http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
> > > >
> > > > Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to
imitate
> > > > him.
> > > >
> > > You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.
> >
> > See Vinnie? Mopathetic Steve admits he brought it all on himself.
> >

> I brought your whining and sniveling on all by myself, agreed.
> >
> > And by the way I am not Leland. My name is Mario Andrettis, like
the
> > race car driver, but with an "s".
> >
> Your name is mud here, nad.

Your name is wristflipper here, mopathetic

3016. maopathetic the sissy coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 21:31:30 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115847090.427892.212180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200041

```
Steveo wrote:
> truth@nothingbutthetruth.com wrote:
> > > truth@itellonlythetruth.com wrote:
> > > > > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> > > > > news:beg181tf5p7944ea6ue6up8ga5voslcok2@4ax.com:
> > > > >
> > > > > > On 10 May 2005 03:28:30 GMT, Steveo
<moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > > > > >>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >>> news:20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com...
> > > > > >>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > > > > >>> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> > > > > >>> >>> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and
pointers
> > > > > >>> >>> for pic's of
> > > > > >>> >> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
> > > > > >>> >>
> > > > > >>> > Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> Not enough money for bus fare (grin)
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> Landshark
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>No balls, all keyboard. (akc)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I
wasn't
> > > > > > home, and sneak onto my property. I knew he didn't have any
> > > > > > balls.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Vinnie S.
> > > > >
> > > > > If i come when you are home Ninnie it wont be to take
pictures. I
> > > > > said i would come when you werent home just to make sure I
get the
> > > > > pictures because I ll bet dollars to donuts you would call
the
> > > > > police. unless you want to give me the invite right now.
> > > >
```

> > > > If you are going to stalk, do it like Steveo does it.
> > > >
> > > > Find some post Vinnie made where he says he isn't afraid of
you, and
> > > > use that as permission to show up uninvited.
> > > >
> > > Hehehe
> > >
> > > Like this one?
> > >
> > > > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > > > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star
some
> > > > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
> > > > laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I
> > > > relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told
your
> > > > wife, the trainedpuppet.
> > > > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> > > > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > >
> > > > Then call to make sure he isn't home and leave an anonymous
harassing
> > > > message on his phone machine. Theh go there and sneak around
taking
> > > > pics of his house.
> > > >
> > > Ha! Like this? Nice job with that coax, nad.
> > >
> > > http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035js.jpg
> > > >
> > >
> > > > If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he
comes to
> > > > the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.
> > > >
> > > More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to
run your
> > > pie hole to my face. lol
> > >
> > > http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
> > > >
> > > > Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to
imitate
> > > > him.
> > > >
> > > You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.
> >
> > See Vinnie? Mopathetic Steve admits he brought it all on himself.
> >

> I brought your whining and sniveling on all by myself, agreed.
> >
> > And by the way I am not Leland. My name is Mario Andrettis, like
the
> > race car driver, but with an "s".
> >
> Your name is mud here, nad.

Your name is wristflipper here, mopathetic

3017. maopathetic the sissy coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 21:31:45 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115847105.109134.238090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200043

```
Steveo wrote:
> truth@nothingbutthetruth.com wrote:
> > > truth@itellonlythetruth.com wrote:
> > > > > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> > > > > news:beg181tf5p7944ea6ue6up8ga5voslcok2@4ax.com:
> > > > >
> > > > > > On 10 May 2005 03:28:30 GMT, Steveo
<moparholic@hotmail.com>
> > > > > > wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > > > > >>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > >>> news:20050509215131.302$bh_-_@newsreader.com...
> > > > > >>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > > > > >>> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> > > > > >>> >>> Hey steveo perhaps you can give me some ideas and
pointers
> > > > > >>> >>> for pic's of
> > > > > >>> >> enzo's antenna farm/house. .
> > > > > >>> >>
> > > > > >>> > Why can't you make it to Dayton, Chris?
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> Not enough money for bus fare (grin)
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> Landshark
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >> No balls, all keyboard. (akc)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I
wasn't
> > > > > > home, and sneak onto my property. I knew he didn't have any
> > > > > > balls.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Vinnie S.
> > > > >
> > > > > If i come when you are home Ninnie it wont be to take
pictures. I
> > > > > said i would come when you werent home just to make sure I
get the
> > > > > pictures because I ll bet dollars to donuts you would call
the
> > > > > police. unless you want to give me the invite right now.
> > > >
```

> > > > If you are going to stalk, do it like Steveo does it.
> > > >
> > > > Find some post Vinnie made where he says he isn't afraid of
you, and
> > > > use that as permission to show up uninvited.
> > > >
> > > Hehehe
> > >
> > > Like this one?
> > >
> > > > N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> > > > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star
some
> > > > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
> > > > laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I
> > > > relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told
your
> > > > wife, the trainedpuppet.
> > > > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> > > > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > >
> > > > Then call to make sure he isn't home and leave an anonymous
harassing
> > > > message on his phone machine. Theh go there and sneak around
taking
> > > > pics of his house.
> > > >
> > > Ha! Like this? Nice job with that coax, nad.
> > >
> > > http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035js.jpg
> > > >
> > >
> > > > If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he
comes to
> > > > the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.
> > > >
> > > More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to
run your
> > > pie hole to my face. lol
> > >
> > > http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
> > > >
> > > > Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to
imitate
> > > > him.
> > > >
> > > You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.
> >
> > See Vinnie? Mopathetic Steve admits he brought it all on himself.
> >

> I brought your whining and sniveling on all by myself, agreed.
> >
> > And by the way I am not Leland. My name is Mario Andrettis, like
the
> > race car driver, but with an "s".
> >
> Your name is mud here, nad.

Your name is wristflipper here, mopathetic

3018. mopathetic won't tell where he's camping cuz he is a chickenboi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 21:33:28 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115847208.412658.244300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200042

Tell us, mopeydopey...we will all come introduce ourselves. Now we have to tell you in advance we are 100% heterosexual. Any thoughts you may be having of hooking up are doomed.

3019. Re: ATTN: I AmnotGeorgeBush,tell us about spread spectrum 11 meter ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 21:37:11 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115847431.810277.41970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200044

If anyone would know about warm fuzzies, strokes, and fanny pinching among men, it would be twitlips. Ask him about what he does with FUGGLY at the truckstops.

3020. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 23:04:51 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <ncwge.80519$hu5.5401@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 200045

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns9653B08F26FD1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:dou3819bnjmpsptlp67drs32ba55036utj@4ax.com:
>
>> On 10 May 2005 23:24:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> > > I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I wasn't
>>>> > > home, and sneak onto my property. I knew he didn't have any
>>>> > > balls.
>>>> > >
>>>> > > Vinnie S.
>>>> >
>>>> > If i come when you are home Ninnie it wont be to take pictures. I
>>>> > said i would come when you werent home just to make sure I get the
>>>> > pictures because I ll bet dollars to donuts you would call the
>>>> > police. unless you want to give me the invite right now.
>
>
>
>> You have no invite. You come at your own will. But if you do come on
>> your own will, please come when I am home, and ring the bell. Only
>> then will you find out if I am afraid or not.
>
> Wow Ninnie you taking lessons from steve? on how to be a bad ass.
> Ok so you said come when you are home and ring the Bell.... Will do
> sounds like an invite to me. I wont be sure when you are home so you
> never know when I will show... guess it's pot luck when you're home.
> thanks for the invitation.

Never happen.

3021. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 23:29:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8855815410hcoh7bn2e8g9bu83t39lq81n@4ax.com>
Source record: 200046

On Wed, 11 May 2005 23:04:51 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>>> You have no invite. You come at your own will. But if you do come on
>>> your own will, please come when I am home, and ring the bell. Only
>>> then will you find out if I am afraid or not.
>>
>> Wow Ninnie you taking lessons from steve? on how to be a bad ass.
>> Ok so you said come when you are home and ring the Bell.... Will do
>> sounds like an invite to me. I wont be sure when you are home so you
>> never know when I will show... guess it's pot luck when you're home.
>> thanks for the invitation.
>
>Never happen.

I guess he can't comprehend the term "no invite", and come at your own will.
Boy, he is a dumb fuck.

BTW, I agree. Never happen.

Vinnie S.

3022. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 23:36:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511193636.196$KT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200047

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 10 May 2005 23:24:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> > > I'll give him one thing. He said he would come when I wasn't home,
> >> > > and sneak onto my property. I knew he didn't have any balls.
> >> > >
> >> > > Vinnie S.
> >> >
> >> > If i come when you are home Ninnie it wont be to take pictures. I
> >> > said i would come when you werent home just to make sure I get the
> >> > pictures because I ll bet dollars to donuts you would call the
> >> > police. unless you want to give me the invite right now.
>
> You have no invite. You come at your own will. But if you do come on your
> own will, please come when I am home, and ring the bell. Only then will
> you find out if I am afraid or not.
>
> >> If you are going to stalk, do it like Steveo does it.
> >>
> >> Find some post Vinnie made where he says he isn't afraid of you, and
> >> use that as permission to show up uninvited.
> >>
> >Hehehe
> >
> >Like this one?
> >
> >> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> >> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> >> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> >> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> >> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> >> trainedpuppet.
> >> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> >> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> >>
> >> Then call to make sure he isn't home and leave an anonymous harassing
> >> message on his phone machine. Theh go there and sneak around taking
> >> pics of his house.
> >>
> >Ha! Like this? Nice job with that coax, nad.
> >
> >http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00035js.jpg
> >>
> >>

> >> If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he comes to
> >> the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.
> >>
> >More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to run your
> >pie hole to my face. lol
> >
> >http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
> >>
> >> Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to imitate
> >> him.
> >>
> >You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.
>
> Precious !
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Redundant!

3023. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-11 23:47:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <tc6581dr4aqorjiqj8itrmhqgav817s8i3@4ax.com>
Source record: 200048

On 11 May 2005 23:36:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he comes to
>> >> the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.
>> >>
>> >More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to run your
>> >pie hole to my face. lol
>> >
>> >http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
>> >>
>> >> Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to imitate
>> >> him.
>> >>
>> >You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.
>>
>> Precious !
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Redundant!

You get my email?

Vinnie S.

3024. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 00:45:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511204536.353$ep@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200049

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 11 May 2005 23:36:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> If he is home, stand in the street calling his name until he comes
> >> >> to the door. Then snap a pic as you are running to your car.
> >> >>
> >> >More your speed is to stand there like a sissy, too skeered to run
> >> >your pie hole to my face. lol
> >> >
> >> >http://img52.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly7ad.jpg
> >> >>
> >> >> Steveo has got the method all worked out, you just have to imitate
> >> >> him.
> >> >>
> >> >You don't have the spine to face me nadia. Woodward proved that.
> >>
> >> Precious !
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Redundant!
>
> You get my email?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Yes. Should I photoshop it yet? <g>

3025. Re: ILLEGAL SUPERSTAR 3900GHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 00:48:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511204840.803$PB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200050

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> >Basement would be an indoor pool down in
>
> >those parts? :)
>
> Yea,,there ya' go!
>
Kickin' and a scratchin' in the mud the blood and the beer. ($1-Cash)

3026. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 00:53:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511205324.738$78@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200051

truth@truthseeker.com wrote:
> And by the way Steveo, all harassing phone calls are reported and traced.
>
Trace this, fuckstick.

```
*******
* *
* *
*********
* *
* *
* *
******* *******
* * * *
* * * ******
* * * * *
****** * * * * *
* * * * * * *
* * * *
* * *
* *
* *
* *
* *
* *
```

3027. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 00:55:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511205511.525$OW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200052

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> > Wow Ninnie you taking lessons from steve? on how to be a bad ass.
>
Ya can't teach that unless you start young, crissy.

3028. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 01:02:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vna581t4bnsbs1fod9l7g9er1p2q311lq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 200053

On 12 May 2005 00:53:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>truth@truthseeker.com wrote:
>> And by the way Steveo, all harassing phone calls are reported and traced.
>>
>Trace this, fuckstick.

Is this the Cingular bars ? LOL

> *******
> * *
> * *
> *********
> * *
> * *
> * *
> ******* *******
> * * * *
> * * * ******
> * * * * *
> ****** * * * * *
> * * * * * * *
> * * * *
> * * *
> * *
> * *
> * *
> * *
> * *

Vinnie S.

3029. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 01:03:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511210326.246$g8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200054

```
Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 12 May 2005 00:53:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >truth@truthseeker.com wrote:
> >> And by the way Steveo, all harassing phone calls are reported and
> >> traced.
> >>
> >Trace this, fuckstick.
>
> Is this the Cingular bars ? LOL
>
That's from the school of hard knocks. :)
>
>
>
> > *******
> > * *
> > * *
> > *********
> > * *
> > * *
> > * *
> > ******* *******
> > * * * *
> > * * * ******
> > * * * * *
> > ****** * * * * *
> > * * * * * * *
> > * * * *
> > * * *
> > * * *
> > * * *
> > * * *
> > * * *
>
> Vinnie S.
```

3030. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 01:45:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050511214547.347$q6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200055

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050511210326.246$g8
> > That's from the school of hard knocks. :)
>
> sorry
>
Tell it to mama.

3031. Re: KB3BEJ pleas for more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 04:00:29 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <xxAge.15593$J12.10054@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200056

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050511205324.738$78@newsreader.com...
> truth@truthseeker.com wrote:
>> And by the way Steveo, all harassing phone calls are reported and traced.
>>
> Trace this, fuckstick.
>
> *******
> * *
> * *
> *********
> * *
> * *
> * *
> ******* *******
> * * * *
> * * * ******
> * * * * *
> ****** * * * * *
> * * * * * * * *
> * * * *
> * * *
> * *
> * *
> * *
> * *

LMAO!!!!!!!

Landshark

--
__
o /' )
/' ( ,
__/' ) .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
( _. )). `-._ <
`\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
`---......____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
`-` `
```

3032. Re: mopathetic won't tell where he's camping cuz he is a chickenboi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 07:41:38 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1115883698.819850.158290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200057

Why don't both of you monkey's suck each other off and make up....

3033. Re: This guys' webpage blows me away...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 07:54:11 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@lixus.com>
Message-ID: <ks2681dar9rldae8qla4p6d8kd7rof6hqf@4ax.com>
Source record: 200058

On Tue, 10 May 2005 18:50:25 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (Johnï¿½Smith)
>>And some worry about the FCC--this guy
>
>>thinks he is invinceble!!
>
>>http://www.angelfire.com/nj/earplugger/
>
>>John

Some pretty famous people on his list!

3034. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 10:36:23 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115894183.142543.84650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200059

Why don't they put a price tag out there on them thar thangs!

3035. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 10:39:23 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115894363.409785.74790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200060

Have you figured out how you are going to make your spreader bars, what are you going to use for the boom, are you going to go horizontal or vertical with it, or a combination of same, how high is your tower, mast pole, are you mechanically challenged or are you one who can challenge mechanics, what rotor will you use on it?

That be about all the questions for you at this time.

3036. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 11:18:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <v7d681phsaf6gmebb03aola70u1odl4qp0@4ax.com>
Source record: 200061

On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:29:58 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 10 May 2005 18:43:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>(Which won't likely happen if you are both
>monogamous.)
>
>Being monogamous with your wife/husband/partner has nothing to do with
>your past.
>
>>Never said that it did.
>ï¿½
>
>You said one will not likely catch AIDS if one practices monogamy. This
>would only hold true if both were virgins when getting married..,not
>practical when applied to present reality, as the vast majority have a
>sexual past history.

And the less promiscuous that past is, the less likely that one will
catch AIDS.

Besides, you imply that it's next to impossible or, at the very least,
unrealistic for someone to wait until marriage to engage in sexual
relations. There is nothing honorable or otherwise noteworthy about
becoming sexually active in your teenaged years, despite the image
that the major media outlets try to paint to those overly
impressionable teenagers.

_
>ï¿½There are instances where the HIV virus is semi-dormant for years and
>years (10 to 15 year spans are on record) and then it suddenly
>appears,,,the same can be said of AIDS..it's manageable in many cases
>until,...poof,,it morphs to full blown AIDS.

Which means nothing if you've never been exposed to it.

>>Monogamous doesn't mean act like a slut
>>throughout your "formative" years and then
>>decide to "stay with one person at age 30.
>
>
>
>That you consider a past sexual history equals "acting like a slut"
>reveals several interesting facts of your beliefs regarding this topic.

Yes, it reveals that I don't believe that sex should be engaged as a
casual activity. Sex is a part of an act of love, to be shared with
someone who you have a much deeper emotional bond with. Not something
for two people, who are barely friends, who are simply looking to kill
a few hours.

>The ONLY "cure" is found in
>the prevention..in other words, abstaining from pre-marital sex then
>both parties getting a thorough CBC (complete blood count) prior to
>tying the knot.
>
>>Now you are finally seeing the light.
>
>I've known this since 1980 when the disease was traced to a cave in
>Africa and suspected of being contracted from bat guano (the initial
>host.....believed by scholars) or a rhesus monkey. Google "The Hot Zone"
>and the parallels are there for the reading. Better yet, read the book.
>Now if only you could understand that monogamy today does not discount
>one's past, as the majority of people have a sexual past history prior
>to marriage and monogamy.

That's part of the problem.

> Your claim that monogamy decreases the chance
>of acquiring AIDS assumes incorrectly these people had no sexual past
>history.

It's not an "all or nothing" proposition. While total abstinence
before marriage is a concept that's lost on this latest hedonistic
generation, the simple truth is that the less partners you have had,
the less your chances of catching AIDS. The type of partners you have
had also affects your chances. Frequent patronage of prostitutes, for
instance, greatly increases your chances of getting the disease.

>The "clean" mark was originally 5 years, then 10, then
>15,,,it's now believed that 20 years is the "safe" mark regarding past
>sexual activity..in other words, if you have been monogamous for 20 years
>with your partner, and your partner has also been monogamous for that
>amount of time, the likelihood of contracting the virus decreases
>substantially, but is -still- not discounted totally.

Better that than hooking up with someone who's rear end has seen more
bedsheets than underwear. My wife and I recently celebrated our 20
year wedding anniversary. So I guess we're safe ;-)

>>Congratulations!

>I'd like to say the same regarding your beliefs of contracting this
>disease, but I think your moral beliefs are heavily biasing and
>preventing you from obtaining the facts regarding such.

The facts are quite simple. The less sex you engage in, the lesser
your chances of getting AIDS. Those who contract the disease have only
themselves, by virtue of their activities, to blame in most cases.

I am quite certain that my risk of contracting AIDS is less than my chances of getting hit by a meteor. I am far more concerned with cancer and heart disease as these pose a much greater risk to the members of my family.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3037. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 11:29:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ggf6811pah21dad6q0h50pa1n8end7rvqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 200064

On Wed, 11 May 2005 09:45:42 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <hgv381p9nbsncepdf9vtqf88o0jp7i30dr@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 10 May 2005 17:13:37 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>Which won't likely happen if you are both monogamous.
>>
>>
>>And virgins.
>
>And your point?

My point is that you are an idiot if you think AIDS is limited to
sinners.

>><snip>
>>>>Blog, blog, blog. If you think that Democrats are godless heathens,
>>>>maybe you should take a second look at the Carter administration.
>>>
>>>Why? Carter's administration has no relevance to this discussion.
>>
>>
>>Sure it does. You made a reference to the Democrats.
>
>Yes, that it primarily the left who are spearheading an intensified
>effort to remove all signs of religion from government processes, even
>though most have been around since this country was founded.

Like I said before: Better late than never. But if you want to know
why -now- instead of 20 or 100 years ago, just take a look at the
demographics of the Republican base. They have turned their party into
the "unofficial" party of the conservative Christians because it's an
easy crowd, and they are trying to make every election a vote about
religious convictions instead of government issues. The idea is
certainly creative, but it will eventually fail because people don't
want the government meddling with their religious freedoms.

>> Carter is a
>>Democrat, isn't he? Ok, so he doesn't hold an office right now. But
>>how many Democrats currently holding office are athiests? By their own
>>admissions, not many. Your argument is bogus -- I think Jeanine
>>Garafalo (sp?) had it right: The conservative's definition of
>>'liberal' is any judge that upholds the law. Or something like that.
>

>Jeanine Garafalo is a hopelessly biased liberal on a liberal radio
>network which is failing miserably.

True on both counts. But her statement was still accurate.

>><snip>
>>>There is no mandated "separation of church and state". Only an
>>>establishment clause prohibiting a state sponsored religion.
>>
>>
>>Since you refuse to read it yourself, here's that clause from First
>>Amendment: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of
>>religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof..." Sounds to me
>>like that is a mandate defining the seperation of church and state.
>
>No, it's an establishment clause that states (quite plainly) that
>congress shall not establish an "official" religion,

That's only part of the scope. Read it again: The Amendment prohibits
Congress from making laws that would -respect- any religion. More
specifically, they can't help create a religious institution, nor can
they prevent them from existing. If some people want to establish a
religion that worships Satan, or Baal, or even Thomas Edison, there's
nothing the government can do to about it one way or another. THAT'S
what it means. And that -includes- prohibiting Congress from making
the US an "unofficial" Christian state whether it be by tradition or
by majority. Seperation of church and state is the -only- way to
preserve the right of religious freedom for everyone, and the First
Amendment does exactly that.

> and may not
>prevent anyone from exercising their own personal religious beliefs.

At least you got -that- part right.

>Nowhere can you state accurately that that statement implies that
>there shall be no religious influences in the day to day operation of
>the government.

Influence is not law, nor is it a majority vote (as exampled on a
regular basis by corporate lobbyists and SIGs).

>Maybe you did read the constitution, but you don't seem to understand
>it.
>
>
>>
>>>>I think this page says it best:
>>>>
>>>>http://www.au.org/site/PageServer?pagename=issues
>>
>>
>>Too liberal for ya, huh Dave?

>
>Much. They are taking this to an extreme. And here again, you are
>displaying yet another of your contradictions. You who claim to
>support the constitution and the wisdom of our forefathers (who were
>all religious people),

All of them? Careful, Dave.....

> yet now advocate that we go above and beyond
>the definitions called for in the constitution, and to totally
>eradicate all religious influences from our government, even though
>they have been intrinsically intertwined in it from the start.

Despite the horrendous grammatical construction of your claim, what
part of the Constitution requires, or even -suggests-, that religious
influence should play any role in the government? There is ONLY ONE
reference that could even come -close- to what you claim, and that
would be in the final Article, where the date of its ratification is
written as "...the Seventeenth Day of September in the Year of our
Lord...". That's quite a stretch, Dave.

>>>>>What passage have you quoted?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Don't you know? Haven't you read the Constitution?
>>>
>>>Yes, have you? What passage are you referring?
>>
>>
>>The same passage I have been referring to all along -- Article VI.
>>Since you haven't read it, let me quote if for you, and in full:
>>
>> "All Debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the
>>Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United
>>States under this Constitution as under the Confederation.
>>
>> "This Constituion, and the Laws of the United States which shall be
>>made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be
>>made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme
>>Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby,
>>any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary
>>notwithstanding.
>>
>> "The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the Members
>>of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial
>>Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall
>>be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no
>>religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office
>>or public Trust under the United States.
>>
>>You now have no excuse for such stupid questions.

>
>You've never participated in a jury trial have you?

As a matter of fact I have. I have been sworn as a juror twice, a few
times as a witness, four times as a complaintant, and even a couple
times as a defendant. I also swore an oath when I enlisted. And every
time I took an oath it was an oath that was administered to atheists.
Usually, the phrase "so help me God" is replaced by something along
the lines of, "under penalty of perjury in the State of so-and-so", or
something along those lines. I have also heard Jews and Muslims take
oaths that are different than those taken by people calling themselves
Christians. And if -you- haven't heard these oaths then -you- haven't
spent much time in a -real- courtroom. So get your face out of the TV
and learn about the -real- world instead of accepting as fact anything
you see or hear on "CSI Fargo", "Jury Crossing" or whatever Hollywood
rendition of 'forensics' you waste your time watching.

>>>>> And how does that diminish the fact that
>>>>>swearing on the bible is a confirmation that Judeo-Christian
>>>>>influences have been intertwined in our government from the beginning?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Swearing on a bible is -not- required to take an oath of affirmation.
>>>
>>>No, but it is used in every court case, to "swear in" a witness.
>>
>>
>>Once again you demonstrate your ignorance by talking of things about
>>which you know little or nothing: An oath on the bible is used in
>>every court but not for every person. There are different oaths for
>>different faiths -- there is even an oath for athiests and agnostics.
>
>I've never seen any such offering. At least in the court trials that
>I've been a part of. I imagine if someone made enough of a stink about
>it, the "PC" police would provide an acceptable substitute.

Unless you are an idiot and are handling your case pro-se, you will be
called by an attorney who will ask you, prior to the hearing, if you
have any problem with swearing an oath on the Bible. That's his/her
job. Even in small claims court where you don't have an attorney, the
court gives you an instruction pamphlet that tells you about different
oaths that are used depending on your religious beliefs; all you have
to do is mention it to the court clerk and they will give you the
appropriate oath. No "stink" required.

>>>>The clause forbidding a "religous test" was added to -prevent- exactly
>>>>what you claim.
>>>
>>>Then why is it still being done on a daily basis?
>>
>>

>>Because you watch too much TV.
>
>No, I participate in the REAL world.

That's why you don't know shit about what happens in a REAL courtroom,
huh?

<snip>
>>>>>>If you are so worried about the "institution" of marriage then you
>>>>>>have bigger issues than gays. In case you haven't noticed, nearly half
>>>>>>of all marriages end in divorce,
>>>>>
>>>>>Not true. You are not keeping current.
>>>>>
>>>>>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No, it's very true. You skipped right over the line, "The U.S. Census
>>>>Bureau does not collect the number of marriages and divorces that take
>>>>place in a given year." That statistic is collected by the CDC. And
>>>>for the year of 2003, the divorce rate was -almost exactly- half the
>>>>rate of marriage:
>>>>
>>>>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr52/nvsr52_22.pdf
>>>
>>>
>>>You should read the link I posted again. The very first line tells the
>>>story. The divorce rate is only 9.6%.
>>
>>
>>You sure did sleep a lot in school. It's clear that you don't
>>understand statistics either.
>
>When you're under the gun, you insult your opposition. It's not an
>elegant debate tactic Frank, and it sure doesn't buy you any points.
>
>> I don't have Excell (well, I have it, I
>>just don't have it installed because I never used it when I did), so I
>>downloaded the equivalent PDF file:
>>
>>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.pdf
>>
>>This is nothing but a breakdown of marriage -status-, not the marriage
>>and divorce rates.
>
>Marital status is a more accurate reflection of the institution of
>marriage.
>
>>You can't calculate marriage and divorce rates from
>>this data for the simple fact that many people are, or have been,
>>married more than once.

>
>And some people remain married for 50 years. Once they are married,
>they are not counted again in "marriage rates" but they still count
>as a matter of marital status. Marital status gives a much better
>picture of the state of marriage as it is.

You really -are- an idiot, aren't you? Heck, and I thought I was just being snotty.....

I made the statement that "nearly half of all marriages end in divorce". This is easily verified by any kid that watched two or more episodes of Sesame Street: Compare the marriage rate to the divorce rate. If you have 100 marriages and 50 of them end because of divorce, it is obvious -- even to the most casual observer -- that half of those marriages ended in divorce.

What the marriage status data -can't- tell is the number of times a person gets married in a given year, or the number of people who are married and divorced within the same year. But I would really like to see how you divined a 9.6% divorce rate from that data -- it should be good for a laugh.

>>You are also ignoring the fact that the divorce rate says nothing by
>>itself. According to the CDC, during the year 2003 there were 7.5
>>marriages per thousand people. There were also 3.8 divorces per
>>thousand people. This means (to anyone that can handle 5th grade math)
>>that the divorce rate is half (50.7%) the rate of marriage.
>
>But that doesn't take into consideration the marriages from previous
>years who are STILL married, but not counted as a new marriage.

If people married in previous years have a lower rate of divorce then the divorce rate is -higher- among recent marriages. Or vice-versa. The figures are still valid no matter how you slice it -- half of all marriages end up in divorce. Period.

>>And here's the fun part, Dave: Since half of all marriages end in
>>divorce, and if the majority of citizens are right-wing conservative
>>Christians that hold marriage to be a sacred value, then there sure
>>are a lot of hypocrites that call themselves Christians.
>
>Half of all marriages do not end in divorce. Only 9.6% of the
>population is divorced.

Let's assume for a moment that your figure is accurate: Ok, then what percentage of the population is still married? And how did you calculate those numbers?

>>As for the "tradition" of marriage, remember that the filibuster has
>>been an American tradition for almost 200 years. IOW, you are a
>>hypocrite, Dave.
>
>As are you Frank. You're just on the other side of the coin.

I knew you couldn't address that statement directly, but I -didn't-
think you would toss it off with a comment so abstractly stupid as
"You're just on the other side of the coin". Shame on me.

>>>>>Typical tactic. Justify a particular abhorrent behavior by making
>>>>>unrelated comparisons to other abhorrent behaviors.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It's a very logical and justifiable tactic, Dave.
>>>
>>>No, it's a logical fallacy.
>>>
>>>http://www.datanation.com/fallacies/falsean.htm
>>
>>
>>You are trying to build an inductive argument using information that
>>only tells one side of the story (and heavily biased in favor of your
>>conclusion). I merely presented some information that you conveniently
>>overlooked. And it so happens that your premise loses almost all of
>>it's weight when -all- the facts are known.
>
>But your method is still drawing a false analogy.

My method didn't "draw" -any- analogies. Or don't you even know the
definition of 'analogy'?

>>>> If the foundation of
>>>>your argument is that gay marriage weakens the value of marriage, it's
>>>>both fair and reasonable to compare gay marriage to other factors that
>>>>would affect the value of marriage.
>>>
>>>Not if they have little in common, other than the idea of marriage
>>>itself.
>>
>>
>>The common denominator is the value of marriage. That alone makes such
>>issues relevant to the discussion and exposes your argument as nothing
>>more than an exercise in bigotry.
>
> Based on your own hypocritical bias.

Based on the facts. The fact is that the Bible (God) not only allows
polygamy, but quite literally -encourages- taking of more than one
wife -as well as- fucking any receptive concubines. That "tradition"
dates back to well before Abraham and continues to this day in many
cultures, including some factions of Christianity. That's a fact,
-not- the product of my "hypocritical bias".

>> And that's no different than KKK
>>tactics which use the very same type of lame justifications to defend
>>racism (religion, tradition, morality, etc.).
>
>Another false analogy fallacy. You're just full of them.

Nothing false about it. You wear the same feathers. You can take any
of your arguments, substitute the word "gay marriage" for "nigger",
and you sound just like a klansman without a pillow-case hiding his
face.

>>>> Yet you are whining about
>>>>it like a stuck pig while denying those other, much more significant
>>>>factors.
>>>
>>>So, because there might be other factors which may be more
>>>significant, we should ignore the small ones? That's the same sort of
>>>twisted logic that freebanders use to justify illegal radio pirating.
>>
>>
>>If what you say were true then CB radio would be all over the news. It
>>isn't. OTOH, opposition to gay marriage -is- all over the news, and
>>that's because of all the attention it's given. If gay marriage is
>>getting all this attention because it threatens the value of marriage
>>then there would be much -greater- attention to the divorce rate (and
>>there would probably be a few right-wing fanatic groups lobbying to
>>outlaw divorce). But, in fact, there -isn't- any political outcry
>>against divorce.
>
>Because it's only 9.6% of the population. Your conclusion is erroneous
>because your premise is flawed.

Your statistics are flawed, and so is your argument: If all of those
alleged 9.6% divorcees were Christians (which they are not, but let's
assume for the moment that they are), then that's at least 9.6% of the
church that doesn't value marriage as much as you claim. OTOH, if all
those 9.6% are -not- Christians then that's a significant chunk of the
-rest- of the population that doesn't share your concerns. Either way,
if 9.6% of the population were rapists or arsonists, you can bet that
there would be a HUGE ruckus from the other 90.4%. But I don't hear a
huge ruckus, Dave -- only a few very loud, obnoxious, holier-than-thou
zealots trying to force -their- interpretation of 'morality' on the
majority.

>> The only reasonable conclusion is that these factions
>>of "Christianity" (at least one of which I'm sure you are a member)
>>are hypocrites that are practicing church-sponsored bigotry.
>
>Had enough of the false analogy fallacies, so you've switched to the
>false dilemma fallacy?

Keep reaching for the stars, Dave -- maybe some day you'll catch one.

>>>Bad is bad no matter how large or small it may be.
>>
>>
>>Well, what's worse, Dave: A couple homos getting married? or a large
>>group of bigots trying to subvert the Constitution under the guise of
>>Christianity?

>
>The only people trying to subvert the constitution are left wing
>liberals who are attempting to derive new meanings from words which
>the rest of us have understood and upheld for the last 200+ years.

Which words are those, Dave?

>>>>The conclusion is obvious: this has absolutely nothing to do
>>>>with the value of marriage. You simply hate homosexuals.
>>>
>>>Once again, your chain of logic is based on flawed conclusions based
>>>on fallacious logic, and your own internal bias.
>>
>>
>>When will you get it through your thick Republican head that bias has
>>nothing to do with it, Dave?
>
>Because it does Frank. Even if you won't admit it (even to yourself).
>
>
>>I'm not homo, I don't have any friends
>>that are homo, I don't like "Queer Eye" or "Will & Grace"..... and
>>personally, I find the homosexual lifestyle somewhat repulsive.
>
>And you call me a bigot?

I do. You are.

>> But
>>there's nothing in the Constitution that prohibits two gays from
>>getting married if that's how they get their kicks.
>
>There's nothing in the constitution that prohibits you from marrying
>Dolly the cloned sheep either. But other "rules" would have a problem
>with it.

I'm pretty sure they would since I think beastiality is illegal in
every state (except Texas, and maybe Montana).

>Your problem is that you see the constitution as the be all and end
>all of all rules and laws.

It is the "Law of the Land", like it or not.

> The constitution does not address each and
>every life situation that could have been foreseen 200+ years ago.

That's why it has a provision for amendment. That's why it's
considered to be a "living document". And I can't believe you slept
through -that- in school -- did you sit in the back of the class? Have
a learning disorder? Home-schooled by ignorant parents? I mean, come
on Dave -- this is shit that immigrants are required to learn before
they can become citizens. Or do you still have your green card?

>> So it's not my
>>place to judge. And that would be even -more- true if I was a
>>Christian, the doctrine being that you should forgive others of their
>>sins (assuming you see homosexuality as a sin), and that judgment
>>should be left to God.
>
>It's one thing to forgive sin. It's totally another to condone and
>encourage further participation in it.

"It's one thing to allow inter-racial marriage. It's totally another
to condone and encourage further participation in it." See?

>A Christian would forgive someone who stole their car. But that
>doesn't mean the law should be changed to allow theft.

Does gay marriage deprive you of your car? Come to think of it, you
are still avoiding the big question: how does gay marriage deprive you
of your rights, Dave?

>>You hate gays.
>
>No, I don't. If I hated gays, I'd want them exterminated.

You basically want to 'exterminate' any legal homosexual marriage. So
you hate legal homosexual marriage. But the only difference between
homosexual marriage and heterosexual marriage is the sexual preference
of the partners. Therefore, the source of your hatred can -only- be
attributed to homosexuality. You hate gays. You are a bigot, Dave.

>> So do a lot of other people. Big deal. But my "bias"
>>leans towards the Constitution, not my aversion to homosexuality. They
>>have the same rights as me, and I treat them with the same amount of
>>respect that I do anyone else whether I like them or not.
>
>So then based on your "faith" in the constitution, you'd have no
>objection to pedophiles having the right to marry?

Pedophiles have rights, too. If they can live their lives without
violating the law or infringing on the rights of others, great, I
couldn't care less what they do.

So how does gay marriage infringe on -your- rights, Dave?

>>That's the
>>difference between tolerance and bigotry. I am tolerant.
>
>No, you are not. You are a hypocrite. You "tolerate" things you have a
>personal agreement with or indifference to. But you have little
>tolerance for those who do not share your viewpoint. The reckless
>assigning of vitriolic names like "bigot" is proof of that.

But you -are- a bigot. Other people live their lives in a way that is
none of your business. Yet you feel that some of their choices are
intolerable and that they should be prohibited from exercising the

same rights and freedoms you enjoy simply because they don't comply
with your definition of 'tradition'. In a nutshell, they are different
and you want them to stop being different because you don't like it.
If that doesn't fall within the definition of 'bigotry' then I don't
know what does.

And for the record, I have great tolerance for just about everything;
even Bush, and even stupidity. But I have little or no tolerance for
ignorance.

>>You are not.
>>You are a bigot.
>
>No, I am someone who values traditional morality.

I'm sure the same has been said by many klansman in defense of their
racism.

>>>><snip>
>>>>> The fact that polygamy might have been acceptable once does
>>>>>not mean that a gay marriage should be now.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I never suggested it did. I was pointing out that your "traditional
>>>>Christian values" regarding marriage are, at best, hypocritical.
>>>
>>>So we should abandon all moral values now since they may change
>>>sometime in the future?
>>
>>
>>Well gee Dave, we probably should, now that you suggested it, huh?
>
>
>That's basically what you are proposing. Once you start down that
>slippery slope of justifying deviant, abhorrent behavior, it becomes
>only a matter of subjective degree where you draw the line between
>acceptance and rejection.

That line is drawn by society. It is not drawn by me, by you, or by a
few poorly-educated homophobes that would probably draw a bead on some
other 'deviant' behavior if they were to win this battle. The problem
is that if they are allowed to get their way, nobody knows what group
will be next or where they will stop. And if you think that "ethnic
cleansing" or some other form of genocide is ridiculous, go to the
library and study up on pre-WWII Germany, or Eastern Europe after the
fall of the USSR -- or read about Africa in the news of today.

You propose to allow a few fanatic groups the ability to dictate
religion, morality, or even tradition -- now THAT'S a slippery slope!

>>Idiot.
>

>You are certainly acting like one.

You are acting like a third grader that's losing an argument in the schoolyard -- complete with witty comebacks that I haven't heard since the day I watched that Pee-Wee Herman movie.

>>
>>
>><snip>
>>>>Hardly. If the standards are lowered then I have an education that
>>>>meets a higher standard, and I am therefore more qualified -- out of
>>>>the gate -- than someone with a lesser education.
>>>
>>>But YOU will have to prove that.
>>
>>
>>Easy enough. It's called a "diploma".
>
>But you will have to go to the pains to prove it. It will not be
>readily apparent. Perhaps you can wear your diploma on your head so
>everyone would see it.

Wouldn't it be much easier to include a copy with my resume?

And wouldn't it be much easier to admit that you are wrong instead of trying to come up with ridiculous scenarios in an attempt to win an argument?

>>>>> After a few years go by, everyone will then consider a BS
>>>>>degree to be a 2 year course. You will have to remind people (and by
>>>>>doing so be construed as bragging in much the same way that a 20 WPM
>>>>>Extra reminds people that he passed a tougher code exam than the
>>>>>current 5 WPM extra) of the fact that you had an additional 2 years of
>>>>>study, thereby propping up your perceived value. The public at large
>>>>>will not be immediately aware of your "extra" work. Therefore it has
>>>>>diminished in value.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Because people like you exist, some will undoubtedly see it that way.
>>>
>>>Most people will eventually see it that way.
>>
>>
>>I don't think so, Dave. I really think that most people have more
>>smarts than you give them credit for.
>
>It's not a matter of smarts, it's a matter of contemporary educational
>standards.

Speaking of which, what's the name of that tech school you claim to have attended, Dave?

>>>>But some, like myself, will already understand that those two extra
>>>>years mean the difference between 'good' and 'better'.
>>>
>>>Yes, but you will have to "educate" those who will quickly forget
>>>that.
>>
>>
>>There's no point in trying to educate people who refuse to look at the
>>facts objectively and make reasonable and logical conclusions. The
>>only reason I continue to try is because you aren't the only person
>>reading my posts. And it's nice to know that your ignorance is being
>>recorded for posterity.
>
>My "ignorance" is only a matter of your bigoted intolerance and bias
>and manifested by your pompous arrogance.

Big words only work if you know how to use them correctly.

>><snip>
>>>Then settle for a good analogy. The one you presented was not a good
>>>comparison for the reasons I gave.
>>
>>
>>I'll consider a good analogy when you provide a good reason.
>
>Always an excuse......

The only excuses tendered on this topic have been yours; I claimed
that 4 years of education is better than 2 years, and you have tried
every excuse in the book to refute it. So far none of them have
worked, not even the "always an excuse" excuse. Got any new excuses?
Maybe something from "Pee-Wee Herman's Big Book of Excuses"?

Heck, if you can't even read the Constitution, what reason is there to
think that you can understand children's literature.....

>>>>How you
>>>>feel about your own marriage is not dependent upon anyone else but
>>>>yourself. The Constitution is not going to change just because a
>>>>couple gays getting married affects the way you feel about your own
>>>>marriage.
>>>
>>>It just might.
>>
>>
>>No, it won't. And when you make your next regular visit to your
>>doctor, tell him that you want to back off the dosage on those
>>anti-depressants.
>
>More personal insults. You really are losing this debate.....

Just bored. It would be much more interesting if you could stay on
topic and present facts and logical arguments. Maybe then I wouldn't

be so inclined to comment on your lack of emotional stability.

>>>
>>>Excuses excuses.....
>>
>>
>>Financial responsibilities, time that could be used more
>>productively.
>
>Like in a more gainful job than tending bar........

Actually, I was thinking about starting up a yard-care biz. Pays
pretty well, lots of exercise (which would make my doctor happy), and
a heck of a lot more fun than changing kegs, carding teenies and
86'ing obnoxious drunks. I might give it a go as soon as I can figure
out why my weed-whacker keeps overheating. Maybe it's vapor-lock.....

>>........ If you cut in half the time you waste on the
>>computer and spent that extra time reading the Constitution you could
>>probably cut in half the time you waste on the computer.
>
>I've read the constitution many times over. We spent a whole course in
>high school studying it. There is NOTHING that you can tell me about
>the constitution.

HAHAHAHA!!! Yeah, right. That's why I had to quote Article VI to show
you where it prohibits a religious test. Sure, Dave -- you know
-everything- there is to know about the Constitution, I'm sure. So
what part says that the US is a Christian state? Where does the
Constitution require or suggest that religious influence should play
-any- role in the government?

> But I'll admit, I find it amusing that you try.

And how does gay marriage infringe on your rights?

>The time I spend on the computer is minuscule. When you are parked on
>a T1 line, you can take breaks and drink a cup or two between tasks
>while getting a little entertainment. And I don't have to mix drinks
>or smell cigarettes and bad breath doing it.

That's funny because I'm a heavy smoker. But I don't think anyone is
smelling my breath when I type on the computer. Not unless there is
some technology in my computer that I don't know about -- cyber-smell
or something like that. Is that why they have T1 and T3 lines? Or is
it just so you can download the porno pics faster? Heck, I'd get a T1
line for -that-!

>>>>So if you want to
>>>>start whining about my dumping the excess baggage you add to your
>>>>posts then feel free -- it will get snipped just like all the other
>>>>crap you use to water down the topics.
>>>

>>>I water down the topics? Pointing out your own intolerance and
>>>hypocrisy is very much pertinent to the discussion as it becomes
>>>testimony as to your objectivity and credibility on this subject.
>>
>>
>>Sounds great! So when do you plan to implement your new policy?
>
>What new policy?

Dave,..... oh, forget it. You wouldn't understand it anyway.

>>>>>>>Yep, you're one of those guys who believes that change is always good,
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Wrong. I believe that change is inevitable.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Even if it's bad?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Moron.
>>>
>>>What's the matter Frank? Are you that incapable of participating in an
>>>open discussion that challenges your preconceived notions?
>>
>>
>>You thrive on being publically disgraced, don't you?
>
>No, I thrive on making you disgrace yourself.
>
>
>>inevitable -- [< Latin, 'in', not + 'evitabilis', avoidable] that
>>which cannot be avoided; certain to happen.
>
>I am fully aware of the definition of the word Frank. Don't patronize
>me.
>
>I challenge the notion that change is inevitable.

Then your challenge is a lost cause from the get-go. Should you
succeed in preventing anything from changing, that in and of itself is
a change because the norm is change. Therefore you have defeated your
own objective by 'changing the rate of change'. And even if you
dismiss that as nothing more than a temporal card-trick, the fact
remains that events happen beyond the control of humans, that cannot
be prevented. Volcanos, hurricanes, asteroids, earthquakes..... change
is inevitable. It's a fact.

> What you are
>embracing is the idea of predetermination. Something I would not
>expect from a existential atheist.

Existentialism is not fatalism. If you are going to dive into that end
of the philosophical pool you should at least grab DesCartes for a
floatation device or you -will- sink.

>If we can effect change, should we not have the responsibility to
>prevent change that would promote demoralization?

That's a neat idea, but Bush already tried that angle -- he failed
because there were no WMDs. And if that flew over your head like
everything else so far, go find someone that can explain it to you.
Isn't there an Amish settlement in your area?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3038. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 11:29:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ngf681hkrjkapcak6cdnaoellpnc0p49sg@4ax.com>
Source record: 200062

On Wed, 11 May 2005 08:40:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <90v3819keett149i3eeood49npi0mcso7s@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 11 May 2005 02:36:52 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>The politics of science is often more important than the science
>>itself. It's a proven fact that the Earth is undergoing a period of
>>global warming, and that it's caused by the influence of man on the
>>environment. But politics plays the game that such facts are nothing
>>more than speculations made by a few fringe researchers looking to get
>>their names in the journals.
>
>There has been no conclusive proof that global warming is primarily
>the result of man's influence over the environment.

Yes, there is indeed conclusive proof.

> In fact there has
>been clear evidence that this planet has experienced major cyclical
>climatic changes over the eons. The current warming trend may just be
>a part of that process, and man's contribution to it may be much less
>significant than what the environmental alarmists would lead us to
>believe.

That's what I was talking about when I said "the politics of science".

>>How did life come to be? Who cares? The only fact we know is that it
>>-does- exist. So let's just make the most of it while it lasts.
>>
>
>Existentialism. IMHO a rather selfish and closed mindset.

Gee, and I thought you said that you were a realist.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3039. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 11:29:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0hf681pdl8iu5a7vhv2lg55u9f9vps7a3r@4ax.com>
Source record: 200063

On Wed, 11 May 2005 08:32:45 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <egt381dhr9l8cdlq2ib8311g6837oddt67@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 10 May 2005 17:13:43 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 10 May 2005 07:39:33 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <pb6181h57d88m98fu18hsp7lk8f8359rhm@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>Your anti-God bias is showing. You would rather believe that the
>>>complexity of our ecosystem occurred due to just the right random,
>>>combinations of factors and events to produce all the diversified
>>>species, which all have a key part to play in the total picture,
>>>rather than consider the likelihood that an intelligent force was
>>>somehow responsible for guiding it.
>>
>>
>>There's nothing "random" about it
>
>Well, no, that's my whole point. Something has to "guide" the
>development of life.

Why?

> Something has to make the decision whether 2 legs
>are better than four, and whether a fifth finger makes for a more
>effective tool, yet 6 fingers is overkill etc.

Why must it be decided? Why can't it just evolve that way because
that's what happens to work best? Do you think that rain must come
from God because we don't know how it gets into the sky? ....oh, wait
a sec, we -do- know how it gets into the sky. Bad example. So do you
think that the Earth is at the center of the Univ..... uh, forget that
one, Galileo really shamed the church when he proved that the Earth
orbits the Sun. Ok, how about this: The rainbow -must- be proof of God
because it..... nope, Newton shot that one down in flames. Well how
about music? God must have invented music, right? After all, how did
birds learn how to sing? oops, another bad example.....

Gee Dave, it sure looks like all of God's "creations" are slowly being
discovered to be nothing more than natural phenomena. Except maybe for
Michael Jackson.

>>-- when you consider that the bell
>>curve consists of a population as great as the number of events that

>>occur in the Universe within any period of time, it becomes utterly
>>-ridiculous- to think that life requires divine intervention.
>
>You're just too hung up of formal religion. It's preventing you to
>consider the possibility.

Just because a certain part of the ocean is unexplored doesn't mean
it's inhabited with monsters. -You- are too hung up on religion to
realize that randomness (aka, 'chaos') is nothing more than a term
used to describe the collective effect of dynamic systems that are
either so numerous or complex that their components -have yet- to be
isolated and identified. That doesn't mean a seemingly random process
-doesn't- have a logical and scientific explanation, only that the
process is as yet unidentified. And if you can't understand that much
then you probably still check under your bed every night for the
boogie man.

>>And if
>>there -is- evidence of guidance by some intelligent force, it's far
>>more likely that this "force" is not God but some sort of ETI.
>
>Well now, you ARE making progress. You opened your mind for a split
>second. Tell me Frank, what is the definition of "God"?

ROTFLMMFAO!!! You aren't suggesting that God is a collective of little
grey humanoids from the planet Zorkon, are you? Beam me up, Scotty!

>> May the
>>force be with you, Dave!
>
>It always has been.

OB1 has taught you well, young Jedi. But here is something you must
know: I am your father, Dave. At least that's what your mother told me
after she lost two other paternity suits.

>><snip>
>>>>>>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
>>>>>>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
>>>>>>mistake for "random".
>>>
>>>But what motivates natural evolution?
>>
>>
>>Natural variation, and adaptability to a dynamic environment.
>
>Based on what criteria? There has to be a purpose for life.

Why? Because you say so? Because you can't figure out what to do with
your life? Or did you adopt that idea as part of a twelve-step
program?

> What
>drives that purpose?

When Moses asked God what the people should call him, God responded, "I am that I am." IOW, God exists for the sake of himself. For us mortals it isn't much different -- life is spent propogating ourselves. For human males that consists of impregnating as many females as possible, hence the common characteristic of men to "love 'em and leave 'em", and their willingness to screw just about anything that is receptive to their advances. The female reproductive role is more complex. Traditionally it has been to nurture and protect the larvae until they can be kicked out of the house. This explains why some women are gold-diggers (money = security, taken to an extreme).

Ironically, monogomy isn't common with humans, their behavior being more like some species of birds. The female chooses a mate that is a 'provider', one she feels is also competent in a nurturing role. Yet she seeks a different male for breeding, looking for characteristics such as aggressiveness and healthiness, and other attributes that are carried genetically and will give her offspring a better chance at survival. With two 'mates' she gets the best of both worlds, since one male with all those traits is nearly impossible to find. Meanwhile, the males are just trying to dip their wicks anywhere they can.

BTW, this isn't my theory. It's from a well-documented study on human behavior that has been supported by numerous independent studies.

But if you need to find a purpose that transcends natural biology, try the simple fact that we -can- transcend biology. That, by itself, as a "purpose" for life, is reflected heavily in the Bhuddist faith and to some extent with the Hindu. The 'challenge' of life, therefore, is to overcome our animal instincts and attain a higher level of being.

Flip the coin and you have people that think you should live hard and die young. It's doubtful that they have any regrets since they don't have much time to think about such things.

Of course you could always take a perspective from Monty Python, but I think Monty Python itself is reason enough to live.

And what else is important is what goes through your mind in your final moments of life. Did you make the right choices? Could you have done any better? Will anyone remember you for who you really are? And are you sure they really -do- know who you are? But that's assuming, of course, that anyone cares if you are on your death bed. If you ever visit a nursing home you will find that it's more common for people to die alone, especially if they don't have money or property to pass on in their will. Will that be the case with you? Or will your "loved ones" view your life more intrinsically? And will you have doubts about life after death, or will you resign yourself to lies that you used to convince yourself one way or the other so you wouldn't have to worry about it? Which brings me to my own philosophy regarding the matter:

It's hard to evaluate life until you have something to compare it to.
Most people who have come close to death consider it a life-altering
experience, and their lives are improved afterwards. It's not a good
idea to die just so you can live better, but at least you can explore
the ideas and perspectives of some of the best minds on the subject.
For that line of philosophy I would recommend yet another good book:
"Thinking Through Death" by Dr. Scott Kramer. If you want a copy just
drop me an email, I have a couple spares.

>>> Who decides whether a mutation
>>>is "beneficial" or not? Natural selection, otherwise known as
>>>survival of the fittest, assumes that gene mutations which result in a
>>>"better" species, would survive while the "lesser' versions of the
>>>species would die out. Yet, it is said that homo-sapiens evolved from
>>>apes. Why then are apes still around if we are the "new and improved"
>>>version of the ape?
>>
>>
>>Because you assume that the "'lesser' versions of the species would
>>die out", which is not necessarily the case.
>
>If not, then that's negates much of the evolutionary theory.

I don't recall that being part of the theory at all. The theory is
that variations which can adapt to a changing environment will survive
-irrespective- of their origins.

> If the
>purpose of evolution is gradual improvement or a species, then the
>"old" should die off as it is replaced by the "new".

That's only an assumption on your part because you have never studied
the subject. If you -had- studied the subject you would know better
than to make such an ignorant remark.

>>There can be many
>>circumstances where a variation doesn't compete for the same resources
>>as it's progenitor. This explains why there are so many speices of
>>birds that have but slight variations -- many birds are migratory. And
>>so are many species of primates.
>
>This explains subtle variations within a specific species, but that
>doesn't explain how a bird came to be in the first place. Are you
>proposing that a winged creature suddenly appeared by accident, as a
>mutation from a land-based critter, and it proliferated all by itself.
>What taught it to fly in the first place? How could a genetic anomaly
>take into consideration the dynamics of flight?

I suppose I should start with Rocky and Bulwinkle. You see, Rocky is a
"flying squirrel". They don't really fly, but glide from one place to
another using skin that has overgrown. The skin probably evolved
because the critters kept falling out of the trees, and the species
with the variation of loose skin allowed more of them to survive the

falls. Easy enough. The next logical step would be an variation of
their "wings" that would allow them to glide for longer periods of
time, and over greater distances. Perhaps even a variation where
muscle movement gives a little extra flight time. Eventually, over a
few hundred thousand years and thousands of generations, there will
probably be a squirrel that can really fly.

But you propose that one day there was a rat, then a miracle occured
and *poof* there was a bat? I don't think so, Dave.

>>>Evolution only explains a small part of the puzzle.
>
>>No, you have only -learned- a small part of the puzzle.
>
>This is true. There are very few facts and a whole host of theories
>which cropped up to try to explain the facts.

Such is science. Some theories will be dismissed while others will be
proven as fact. And it's doubtful that divine providence will be a
factor in any scientific theory.

>The theory of intelligent design is no more far-fetched than the idea
>that life began here spontaneously and proliferated into a diverse eco
>system, totally at random.

You are assuming that "life began here spontaneously" and evolved
"totally at random". Research strongly suggests that neither are true.

>>>......Why
>>>do humans have self-awareness? Why do we posses an intelligence that
>>>allows us to contemplate the unknown, and live beyond the programming
>>>of instinctive behavior? What about the concept of a soul?
>>
>>
>>Evolution is science. The questions you ask are philosophical.
>
>Yes, but it all relates in the bigger picture.

Talk to Skippy about your "bigger picture" cause that type of BS
doesn't wash with me. I don't even buy into the concept of a "grand
unified theory".

>> But
>>before you start putting the human race on a pedestal, maybe you
>>better think twice about what you assume are the differences between
>>humans and other animals.
>
>Are you suggesting that other animal species are capable of possessing
>similar intellectual capabilities as we have? In some cases certain
>primate species have displayed social structures which transcend
>simple instinctive behavior. They have also been observed fashioning
>crude tools to obtain food. Dolphins and whales seem to communicate
>with a rudimentary language. But not one other species can do it all,

>in the same way that we do.

So you have noticed that animals are different and have different characteristics. Congratulations. What you -haven't- learned that the same is true within the human species.

Yes, animals possess some intellectual capabilities. Beavers are pretty good engineers, and nobody can tell me that their behavior is purely instinctual since the circumstances for every beaver dam are different, and requires some intelligence in order to build those "crude" tools. Did you know that dolphins have sex just for fun? They also seem to learn things faster and easier than most teenage humans. And just about every animal has some form of communication, not just dolphins and a few others. Ants communicate with chemicals, bees communicate by 'dancing', dogs communicate by pissing on trees and smelling each others butts, etc, etc.

But on the other hand, why would anyone think that human behavior is anything more than extentions of natural instinct? Everything we do somehow revolves around basic natural urges, whether it be breathing, sleeping, eating, sex, reproduction, dying, etc. Probably the only two characteristics that set us apart from the rest of the animal kingdom is our propensity to destroy ourselves and our ability to show mercy. But then again, the former doesn't differentiate us from lemmings, and the latter is more a recognition of the futility of life than it is a divine influence. Either way, man can be just as cruel as nature and frequently proves that to be a fact.

So what's the difference between man and animal? Human arrogance in thinking he is something more than just another product of nature.

>><snip>
>>>>Instead of being wishy-washy about the issue, why not consider the
>>>>possibility that evolution is, very simply, one of God's creations?
>>>
>>>It very well might be. It's all part of the bigger plan. Like I said,
>>>I totally accept the concepts of evolution. I just believe that the
>>>process has been "managed" by a higher order intelligence, the
>>>definition of which, has yet to be revealed. I am not advocating any
>>>specific religious interpretation of "God", only that one exists.
>>
>>
>>The problem is that you don't fully understand the vast multitude of
>>variations that can occur in the processes of evolution.
>
>I don't accept the theory that if you place a group of monkeys in a
>cage with a bunch of typewriters that they'll eventually write every
>great piece of literary works.

I don't either. Whose theory was that?

> They might type out every letter that
>is contained within those works, but they will not get the order
>correct. Such is the nature of chaos and randomness. It lacks
>structure, direction, and order, and those elements are required for
>meaningful results to occur.

Again, who suggested that such a thing was possible?

>> Neither do
>>the scientists that study it. But the scientists don't insert God into
>>the equation whenever something doesn't add up -- they look for other
>>factors and they usually find them.
>
>There are still far too many unanswered questions to discount the
>theory of intelligent design.

Discount it? No. But neither does it mean that we should jump to that
conclusion because we haven't learned everything we can.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3040. Re: DENTRON MLA-2500B DESK-TOP LEGAL LIMIT RF AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 13:08:05 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pY2dnTHrHISlyB7fRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200065
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Good amp in their days, tubes cost more than the amp now, but a good looking
amp also. Great for CBers.

"cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:5zdge.1183$Bg4.847@fe10.lga...
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5772433793&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>

3041. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 14:00:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8602-42836167-220@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200068

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>What is wrong with correcting the law...

>instead of applying patches to a worn out

>system? Write your congressman today!!!

>Most of these ancient institutions and methods
>were created when people were still afraid of

>electricity--thought it took a genius to use

>radio--INDEED--the ignorant masses viewed

>radio as almost "Magic!"

>Times have changed, kids run 10 watt Ghz

>transmitters on 2100 Mhz and tear up

>business wireless networks for

>miles

Where did you hear this taking place? Have an example? The FCC data bank has no information regarding this, so I assume you are speaking of an isolated incident to which you have personal knowledge. Isolated incidents such as this do not merit such measures. BPL presents a real problem as opposed to a single incident with kids funning around.

>--important business and public

>communications are affected--these kids need
>an outlet for their energies....

Again,,,,please cite these examples or the source you used for obtaining such information. You are claiming multiple businesses are being affected...somehow, I don't believe that to be the case.

>The world has changed drastically--the laws

>still reflect stoneage beliefs and structure...

>Warmest regards,

>John

Especially among a certain nocode faction who maintain the sky is falling in regards to hammie radio and all related. It's not and never was.

3042. impressing women?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 14:11:13 UTC
From: aidil85@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1115907073.812757.37480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200066
Cross-posted to: rec.music.makers.guitar.jazz,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.music.hip-hop,rec.radio.cb

There are 4 ways you can catch the women of your dreams and/or impress the woman in your life...

1. Attracting women with your confidence and suave -

http://www.AttractWomenNow.com/clicks/clickthrough.html?a=thirdreich

2. Attracting women with your confidence emanating from your bodily pheromones -

http://www.NexusPheromones.com/clicks/clickthrough.html?a=thirdreich

3. Keeping the women in your life by making sure they thirst for your long-hung dick -

http://www.VigRX.com/clicks/clickthrough.html?a=thirdreich

4. Last but not least, impress her with the amount of cum you can produce -

http://www.semenax.com/clicks/clickthrough.html?a=thirdreich

Cheers and have fun!

3043. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 14:14:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8602-428364D2-227@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200069

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Oh no, he is nothing special alright... I imagine
>your IQ has been tested

>and blows him away...

Your "imagination" is limited only yourself. Nothing odd about how you continue to prefer to change the topic to one of a poster instead of the topic. You see, this is a flub of the communication-challenged. Denial is not a river in Egypt. Try and remain focused on the topic and not allow your personal emotions to dictate poor communication form. Once again, remaining on topic is the preferred MO. If you continue to struggle with such, you may wish to examine your present agenda.

>And, surely you have rubbed elbows with

>colleges such as he has, perhaps you even

>share many of the same friends--too bad

>about Carl Sagans' passing--I bet you miss

>him...

And my "bet" is proving more valid with each uncontrollable emotion of yours that manifests in the most entertaining of manners. With a single post, I have not only captivated yourr attention, but created an entity of obsession so intense, your can focus on nothing but your newly chosen "topic...."me". (makes sign of cross, blesses the unsavory and newfound church member wearing Halloween mask) .

> and hold many as close personal friends--

Claiming you knew Sagan personally means nothing to the masses, so forgive my curiosity for inquiring as to why you felt it to important to mention? Feeling bad about yourself and needing a pick-me-up? LOL..

>the rich exchange you have with them keeps

>you quite up to date--I can tell from your text...

And the contingency can tell quite more from your multiple posts "suddenly" (LMAO) focusing on nothing but myself. Now,,,THAT is the defnition of rich,,but you continue with the gaffes, so it's more than worth the entertainment.

>Nope, no one would ever confuse you with an

>"Arm-Chair-Genius."

Nor you with managing to remain on topic and focus on the subject instead of changing it to one of a poster you became fixated upon with your manias. Of course, people like yourself need reminded that usenet participants should focus on subject matter and not that of the poster, but like you said, no one would -ever- confuse you with someone who comprehended proper communication etiquette.

>Warmest regards,

>John

Right backatcha!!

3044. Re: impressing women?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 14:15:09 UTC
From: sidoze <sidozey@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115907309.292695.235820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200067
Cross-posted to: rec.music.makers.guitar.jazz,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.music.hip-hop,rec.radio.cb

>There are 4 ways you can catch the women of your dreams

Nah, there's only one: learn Russian

3045. Re: impressing women?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 14:33:57 UTC
From: "Matthew B. Tepper" <oy@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Xns96544CEF67CEFquackandflap@207.217.125.201>
Source record: 200070
Cross-posted to: rec.music.makers.guitar.jazz,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.music.hip-hop,rec.radio.cb

aidil85@gmail.com appears to have caused the following letters to be typed
in news:1115907073.812757.37480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> There are 4 ways you can catch the women of your dreams and/or impress
> the woman in your life...

Wrong. Just one, and it REALLY works: Killing a spammer.

Watch your back!

--
Matthew B. Tepper: WWW, science fiction, classical music, ducks!
My personal home page -- http://home.earthlink.net/~oy/index.html
My main music page --- http://home.earthlink.net/~oy/berlioz.html
To write to me, do for my address what Androcles did for the lion
Take THAT, Daniel Lin, Mark Sadek, James Lin & Christopher Chung!

3046. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 14:34:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8602-42836966-235@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200071

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:06:41 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 10 May 2005 16:03:16 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
(So you have been mistakenly telling us for years, yet, there is no
damper affecting those of us who play on it regularly for free or a few
paltry bucks..)

>Illegally. Just as there are people who

>trespass on private or otherwise posted land,

>and never get caught either.

Physical trespass can carry a *criminal* charge..talking on the freeband
can not.

>There are criminal provisions in the

>communications act of 1934.

We are speaking of freebanders on the eleven meter band.

>Who are radio pirates, operating unauthorized

>radio transmitters. The provisions in the

>communications act of 1934 do not

>differentiate which bands unauthorized

>transmitters can incur criminal penalties. If the

>FCC chose to do so, freebanders can be

>charged criminally. The fact that they have not
>chosen to do any more than sporadic

>citations, does not diminish the fact that they

>could if they chose to.

Whoaaa.....you are invoking what does not take place, only what you
pontificate can take place. Reality is,,it doesn't take place. End of
story.

>But the point is that nothing will happen if you

>are never caught. But the fact that you are not

>likely to get caught does not diminish the

>illegality

No one ever said it did.

> and societal irresponsibility of

>engaging in the acts.

In order for you to claim such a "societal irresponsibility" exists,
there first must exist a "societal responsibility" somehwere other than
your mind regarding such (cb radio)....can you cite it?

>Societal responsibility goes far beyond CB

>radio. It goes hand in hand with morality,

>consideration, and just plain old fashioned

>good manners.

Try again.....in regards to cb radio, please cite this non-existent
"societal responsibility" concept that has you confounded.

>The FCC rules do carry criminal as well as

>civil penalties should they choose to apply

>them, if the case warrants it.

Please cite these criminal penalties referring the freeband or simple
dx.

>Please refer to the communications act of

>1934 and related parts.

I went to the source. I see no criminal charges, merely civil charges.
Can you cite this exception of which you speak?

_
I would hedge zero times have you actually
confronted a real criminal or law breaker in the act and in person.

>I certainly would if the opportunity presented

>itself.

It presents itself daily to you in the form of speeders,,an act that can
cause physical damage or death when violated, which carry real criminal
pealties, unlike dxing or freebanding. When was the last time you
confronted one and how was it done?

>If I were to confront one speeder, I'd have to

>confront all of them,

A fallacy.

>and I cannot do that.

There is a mitigating difference between "can't" and "won't". Even so...keeping with your claim,,..how is it you confront all freebanders and lawbreakers regarding cb and freebanding?

> I have, on occasion, prevented speeding by

>paralleling someone in the right lane holding

>the legal speed limit.

A massive ticket here in Florida, AND in Pa from what I read. A perfect example of you hypocritically breaking the law to commit an act of what you mistakenly believe upholds another.

>Besides, speeding is not a criminal offense,

>it's a simple summary offense.

And the cb infractions are civil in nature, not criminal.

> What it may or may not lead to is irrelevant,

>and calls for speculation.

..except when you invoked the possibilities of cbers running huge power interfering with emergency communications in a long ago conversation. Speculation is acceptable only when invoked by yourself to suppport your hypocrisy.

>or on bands where public access is

>set aside.

Or not. Don't forget many of the freqs that have been abandoned.

>Abandoned does not mean "open".

Right,,,it means not being used.To use your analogy regarding physical property,,,,if a lot or property is abandoned, and one tends the ground, takes care of it, and pays the tax on it for x amount of years, the often land becomes the property of the caretaker who has been taking care of it and paying the taxes.

>Squatters rights. And interesting angle.

And a valid one.

> I wonder if someone has tried that tactic on

>the FCC in regard to the freeband area of 11

>meters. The principle is similar.

Only to your misguided education or beliefs or whatever is responsible
for you not grasping such a concept. It has not been tried with the FCC
because even the lowly cbers seem to comprehend the spectrum is 1) not
owned by the FCC and 2) not tangible property.

>There are many abandoned buildings around.

>But you are still not allowed to trespass there.

Yet, many people use these abandon buildings on a regular basis with
immunity. Bums,,,vagrants, crackheads,

>.... Freebanders. I see the similarities.

You really have a low opinion of yourself, Dave.
I always said you had a serious ego and self-esteem problem. The mere
admittance that you held yourself in such company confirms such.

_
See above for examples of a form of citizen eminent domain.

>Yes, and I'm waiting to see someone attempt

>to use this reasoning to obtain the legal

>authorization of the freeband

Only you could.

_
I'll
reiterate what you already found in google on many
occasion,,,,,education is the key.

>Much like a public park.

Nothing like a public park, as breaking the law you speak of (trespass)
can result in criminal charges, unlike talking on the freeband.

>Look at FCC regs again. There are certainly

>criminal penalties associated with them.

There is,,,but not with simple dx or freebanding.
>Again, the regs do not differentiate which

>bands will carry those criminal penalties for

>unauthorized use. Simple dx on the legal 40

>channels is a nothing citation.

>But couple it

>with running on unauthorized freqs, and the

>severity increases.

Your words: "What it may or may not lead to is irrelevant,

>The only thing you have in your favor is that

>the FCC is not motivated enough to do much

>about it.

You have nothing in your favor. It's all blatant hypocrisy.

> It's not that it's any less illegal, it's only that

>they don't care enough.

Because it is rightly a non-issue to the majority, of which you clearly do not belong, leading to the fact that you are a minority wishing to dictate your beliefs to the masses. Doesn't work that way.

3047. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 15:23:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8603-428374E4-50@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200072

>Ask your buddy "Bob-noxious" about the

>criminal penalties associated with pirate radio.
Another realm.

>No, it's not. Not in principle.

We are speaking of cut and dry law,,you know,,,,reality.

>The only thing that's different is that Bob's

>visibility to commercial (paying) interests is

>what forces the FCC to pay closer attention.

And enact harsher penalties compared to the cb/freeband. Read the rules
you claim to comprehend for crying out loud.._

This
concept has proved nearly impossible for you to grasp. Perhaps it
because you so vehemently disagree with the law.

>.Your whole justification revolves around your

>perception that unless a law has serious,

>visible teeth, then it doesn't deserve our

>respect, and we are justified in ignoring it.

What justification? The fact that you continue to incorrectly claim I
justified anything over the years has dogged you.

>Then what would you call it.

Call what?

>When you claim that freebanding is a simple

>infraction, that's a justification.

It's a realty,,much unlike the manner you incorrectly claim speeding is
a summary offense (over 15 mph of the posted limit and it is no longer a
sumary offense,,,in Fl AND other states). But this serevs to illustrate
you either A) invoke only the portion of the law you want or B) invoke
only the portion of the law you understand.

>Would you still freeband if the FCC actively

>pursued freebanders and fined them heavily?

You said they did ever since your breakdown occurred in this group. What a change of view. in fact, I am proud to have been a part of usenet history in which you have done so many flips in your life.

>That is anti-social behavior.

I don't know about anti-social, but it certainly is unbecoming or indicative of one who is easily swayed in their core beliefs, especially when it was done by one of your age..several times.

_
So is the behavior of sports fanatics and religious zealots, both a very real part of the fabric that weaves America.

>In what way?

Breaking the law,,isn't that what you refer to as "anti-social" behavior? But then again, you have astutely illustrated you had no concept of the definition or the term "civil disobedience" and needed proper instruction regarding such..your problem is you disagree with how it is applied and in your rush to condemn the act, gaffed by attacking the very real and longstanding American and patriotic concept of civil disobedience.
But most people understand
tolerance is a necessary gem to a successful America and certain acts are placed into proper perspective by the majority...a perfect example is the majority of the populace do not consider speeders "criminals" like yourself.

>I don't either. Speeding is not a criminal

>offense.

Another position of whcih you chose opposite end of the argument when taught. I'm proud of you.

> I never stated otherwise. You were the one

>who compared speeders to "real" criminals a

>few lines above, not me.

Umm...you invoked speeding as a misplaced analogy on many occasion. Introducing a subject and crying foul when another uses it for a comparison is hypocritica. Do not introduce a subject if you do not wish it picked proeprly apart by others. You should have learned this with your unsolicited invocation of un-named sources and un-confirmed claims. The fact that you feel strong enough concerning a subject to claim such things but not provide for them, is telling.

_
No,,facts. You can't call facts you disagree with "semantics".

>You want to talk about facts? The facts are

>that the FCC can and does auction off chunks

>of spectrum to commercial entities to use.

>They also regulate those chunks. They also

>set aside some spectrum for "public use". Yes,
>they administer it, as an arm and

>representative proxy of the U.S. government.

Who is charged with administering what belongs to the public via their tax dollars. Not much different than an auction.

>Then why is the public not seeing the

>proceeds of these sales?

Gee Dave,,they are..in the form of regulation and enforcement. You looking for some type procedural handout from an entity simply since it is tax dollar supported? That is the most hypocritical thing a republican can say,,,,I'm with Frank..you slept through class.

>So, while the FCC might not directly "own" the

>airwaves, the U.S. government does.

_
Only when combined with other acts. If you feel simple freebanding (the context of which we speak) carries criminal charges, feel free to cite the passage or an example,,even one.

>What is "simple" freebanding? Again, I refer

>you to the com act of 1934 and associated

>regulations regarding unauthorized

>transmitters.

The portion regarding unauthorized transmitters goes right out the window, Dave. Why can't you understand that? You incorrectly assume freebanders are all using unauthorized transmitters. How do you get through life with so many false assumptions responsible for your oft repeated gaffes?

_
Know of any test cases pushing the limit on this law?

>Pushing which law and in what way?

Transmitting, albeit, under the guise of part 15, to a much broader audience than permitted.

>Well, look into any "low power" pirate

>broadcaster. Some have tried to claim that

>their power is legal (even if their antennas are

>not).

Once one is pirating, any legal guise under Part 15 vanishes.

>You can legally operate a part 15 transmitter

>on the broadcast band.

That invalidates your statement, then. If one is legal, why did you mistakenly refer to such as a pirate? You said "check into any low power pirate".

>I built one such transmitter when I was a kid.

>But the antenna restrictions specified no

>longer than a 5 foot wire.

>I could hear my "station" up to about a block

>away.

How is such defined? If a church camp own 2500 acres and broadcasts over such, and I sit on the public lake adjourning their property and can tune in their broadcast..is it now simply approached as a public broadcast?

>Most of those situations employ carrier current
>transmitters which radiate only a short

>distance from their "antenna" wires, thereby

>limiting range beyond the intended service

>area. The biggest uses for this technique is on
>.college campuses, travel, and road alert

>systems.

Yes,,,but my question remains and is still valid.

>The reality is that even a carrier current

>system needs to be authorized by the FCC.

>So a radio system capable of covering a 2500

>acre church camp would need FCC

>permission to operate.

Sure,,,,,but again,,,if one was to zero in and receive the signal from property not owned by the entity transmitting under Part 15, what then? Isn't this a technical violation?

>That depends on the circumstances.

I gave you the circumstances.

> An authorized carrier current station operating
>within the technical requirements is not

>responsible for incidental radiation beyond it's

>physical boundaries.

My parameters clearly defined the circumstances and the receiving end proved the example was not incidental but fixed and regular.

>Cordless phones are part 15 devices, yet they

>can carry beyond your property lines.

Cordless phones are no required anywhere in the rules to stop transmitting at the end of your property. How did you make such a glaring error and from what rule did you misinterpret this?

>Instead of arguing with me, try looking into the
>rules governing each service, and find out for

>yourself. Despite the relative ease by which a

>person may operate a CB radio, it is still not a

>"right" to do so, it is a privilege granted by the

>FCC, as the service is authorized by rule,

>even if a license is not required.

And if that law were serious, one would NOT be able to buy, plug and play. What stops an immigrant from using a cb? Nothing,,they all se them in the fruit fields.

>This is true, the FCC isn't checking the

>immigration status of every CB operator,

The immigration use was but one example. There are countless more of how anyone can use a cb simply by purchasing one off the shelf or from anotehr party.

>Well, that's a big glaring example of how

>reality can defy or obstruct the rules. The fact

>that this happens does not diminish the letter

>of the law.

What is happening (reality) has nothing to do with what the law says. I'm curious as to how you continue to always wind up back at making such an obscure and remote invalid connection between the two.

>One could say that the presence of a law

>which is unenforceable is grounds for its

>revocation. Maybe that time is now.

>and it won't come up unless the person is

>cited for other rule violations.

Some rulesand laws need no changing because they are rightly not
enforced..like blue laws still on the books.

>It's sort of like the seatbelt law in many states.

>You can't get stopped for it alone, but if you

>are stopped for another violation, they can cite
>you for failing to wear a seatbelt at the same

>time.

Yea,,well they just changed the law here,,they can pull one over for not
wearing it,,it's no longer a secondary offense (in Fl) , but a primary
offense.

>I believe that's true in Pa, as well now. But it's

>still secondary in other states.

>Again, it seems that you justify ignoring rules

>based on the unlikelihood of being cited.

When I began selectively ignoring specific rules for a specific purpose
(which happens to be THE definition of civil disobedience), most weren't
even aware such rules existed, which nullifies any possible position
presented by yourself regarding ignoring rules on the unlikelihood of
not being cited. In fact, when cbers were sliding up one or in between
to "channel 22a", most had no clue it was illegal.

>I have a hard time believing that these bright,

>intelligent CB operators would be so ignorant

>as to the legality of what they were doing.

Yea..all those kids tinkering and swapping crystals really took to regs
and rules with their Archer walkie-talkies like a scholarly piece.
Again, reality takes precedence over your beliefs.

>In any case, ignorance of the law is no

>excuse.

Don't you find it the least bit curious that only you feel obsessively
and unreasonaly compelled to seek reasons why people do
things.....especially cbers and freebanders, of all things.

>In those days, as a condition of your CB

>license (You did have a license right?),

Not until the mid seventies and not for several years.

>it was required that you read and understand

>the part 95 rule book.

How many kids read that wit their WT's they got under the tree?

> You couldn't plead ignorance, without

>opening yourself to the charge of making a

>false statement on your license registration

>form.

There was no need.you are entertaining somethhing that ever occurred,,,the FCC didn't mess with kids funning around with swapping crystals, Dave, no matter how illegal it was.

>No one in my area ever believed that sliding

>through channels outside of the 23 standard

>channels was in any way legal.

>We took our chances based on the

>unlikelihood of getting popped. Had there

>been more busts, most of us would have been
>too scared to venture out of band. In fact there
>were regular rumors that the FCC was "in

>town" and many of us toned down our antics,

>hid the amps in the garage, and stayed on the

>legal channels, at least until the "alert" passed.

Hahaha,,based on nothing more than a phantom voice on the air. At least you remain true to one core belief,...it a strange voice on the radio that compelled you to move to the spirit back then, now it's a strange cartoon name on the internet.

>The point being that we all knew exactly what

>we were doing then.

Some of us know what we are doing now.

>As a

>condition of that privilege comes your

>responsibility to abide by the rules set fort in

>various FCC parts depending on which

>service you are using.

>You may not like it, but that's the way it is.

Actually, I love the manner in which the FCC enforces radio law right now and have said so on many occasion.

>Sure. The FCC is not as effective as they

>should be,

The country disagrees with you, simply by virtue of what the FCC
enforces.

>No, the FCC disagrees with me.

>The last time I looked, the rank and file citizen

>has no input on what the FCC considers a

>priority.

Umm..they do. Please check the manner in which a grievance is filed.
Such are not reserved exclusively for those who get busted and
fined......in fact, that is but a single application.

>and freeload.... er, freebanders get away with

>trespassing on other government administered
>frequencies with little chance of getting

>caught.

Because it's practically a non-issue with the majority of Americans.

>The majority of Americans forgot about CB

>radio when Burt Reynold's hair turned gray,

>and computers and cell phones satiated their

>gadget fix.

Which is why your personal bane remains a non-issue among the majority
of the world.

>But you guys who are operating illegally are

>using all sort of excuses to justify or downplay

>this illegality.

Then you should have no problem illustrating substance concerning your
accusations, but you have failed to do so to date regarding any of these
"guys" you incorrectly invoke.

>What substance do you want? Do you deny

>that people other than you operate illegally,

>and don't care about it?

Stay with me, Dave. You not being provided a satisfactory explanation of
why people do what they do simply because of the reality you are owed
nothing by anyone, does not equate anyone justifying anything.

–

>Then who should they go after

Those who present a direct safety issue.

>Very few people fall into this category.

All hammies who jam repeaters and talk where they are not permitted (on the hammie band) fall into this category.

>How does jamming a repeater create a safety

>issue?

You're an extra class hammie,,wait,,let me check,,N3CVJ,,,,yep,,you're an extra class hammie and can;t comprehend why jamming a repeater can present a safety issue? Classic and indicative of one who ironically is licensed for communications but knows little of it.

>how do illegal freebanding hams create a

>safety issue over than of illegal CB

>freebanders (As if there really is a difference?)

I never said they should go after illegal freebanding hammies..you are losing your train of thought again..
-
They have plenty of teeth. Their bite is interested in chomping away with censorship of television.

>It's much easier for them to enforce.

Actually, the hammies are much easier to enforce.

>Not really. they still have to track down

>the illegal operator. That means moving

>beyond the confines of their cushy offices.

"Tracking down" in the manner you believe is a thing of the past. The High Frequency Directional Finder in Laurel, Maryland pinpoints transmissions anywhere in the country immediately with no effort. Ask Scott about it.

>If true,

Then read about it if you don't want to ask Scott.

>then your buddy "Bob" should be dropping

>loads in his pants right now.

Not at all. The technology is there so..I have to be careful how I say this,,,,,,,,,a sort of roving watered down signal is there. Those involved with B-o-B are confident his extremely selective transmissions will not be pinpointed,,and even if one or two were,,,,by the time they acted upon it,,,too late.

>You cannot pinpoint transmissions from a

>single point. It requires at least 3 points to do

>with any accuracy. Why do you think there are
>so many GPS satellites in position in order to

>find a precise bearing?

Ummmm..instead of arguing with me, you may try reading about this
technology in Laurel, MD, you claim doesn't exist.

>There was a rumor a few years back, and in

>fact I knew a guy who once claimed to work

>on this system, where the GPS satellites could
>be made to work "in reverse" and pinpoint any

>radio transmission emanating from earth with

>the same accuracy as a GPS. But I cannot

>verify this.

What do you think the Titan rocket is?

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

3048. Re: mopathetic won't tell where he's camping cuz he is a chickenboi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 15:40:50 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115912450.482451.87670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200073

johnvonl...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Why don't both of you monkey's suck each other off and make up....

You can suck off who you want, fella. AKC is 100% heterosexual.
mopathetic will do you at the Petro, if that's what ya want

3049. FS Radio Shack SSB CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 15:41:52 UTC
From: cl <NOSPAM@NOSPAM.COM>
Message-ID: <dQKge.72411$NC6.48571@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 200074

FS Mobile Radio Shack 40 channel SSB/AM CB set. Older model. Case is in fair to reasonable condition.Seems to work ok, but may need tuned up. Comes with Stock Mic. $20 PLUS SHIPPING. Postal MO only and radio will ship same day or next postal day of money received.

Reply to lf08357@yahoo.com

3050. mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 15:45:27 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115912727.670240.12590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200075

Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic? Tell us so we can come and introduce ourselves. We know you are too much of a coward to face us on equal terms, so you will never do it.

3051. Re: FS Radio Shack SSB CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 15:47:07 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1115912827.083209.105920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200076

what's the model number? As in TRC-***, TRC-449, TRC-490, whatever?

3052. Re: impressing women?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 15:56:20 UTC
From: dohgrant@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <1115913380.264881.97800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200077
Cross-posted to: rec.music.makers.guitar.jazz,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.music.hip-hop,rec.radio.cb

sidoze wrote:
> >There are 4 ways you can catch the women of your dreams
>
> Nah, there's only one: learn Russian

Alternatively: Rach 3

JG
http://www.pianosociety.com/index.php?id=98

3053. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 16:10:53 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <heLge.3766$i03.275@fe06.lga>
Source record: 200078

"chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1115912727.670240.12590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic? Tell us so we can come
> and introduce ourselves. We know you are too much of a coward to face
> us on equal terms, so you will never do it.
>
>

"equal terms" ?? <---- LMAO!! :))

Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort to rigged games.

Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??

3054. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 17:16:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050512131636.446$vb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200079

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > On 8 May 2005 17:34:14 -0700, "farmerjoe1" <farmerjoe1@charter.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Late last summer we had a very close lightning hit,now my SWR's are
> > >high.Any way i can run some sort of test to see if the Antrton is
> > >shotred out?
> >
> > Nuke it and get the Imax 2000 or Maco.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
> >
> Nuke all of those and get what I use for a ground plane.
>
> http://www.a1antennas.com/
>
Like this?

http://www.a1antennas.com/order.html

3055. Re: impressing women?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 18:31:20 UTC
From: Tony <voxpopoli@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1115922680.628235.117300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200080
Cross-posted to: rec.music.makers.guitar.jazz,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.music.hip-hop,rec.radio.cb

dohgr...@sympatico.ca wrote:
> sidoze wrote:
> > >There are 4 ways you can catch the women of your dreams
> >
> > Nah, there's only one: learn Russian
>
> Alternatively: Rach 3
>

It worked for David Helfgott. Oh no, wait, all he did was jump and
down wearing headphones, acting like a fool.

3056. Re: impressing women?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 20:22:54 UTC
From: Tone <a.kolarik@computer.org>
Message-ID: <1115929374.605065.280090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200081
Cross-posted to: rec.music.makers.guitar.jazz,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.music.hip-hop,rec.radio.cb

> 4. Last but not least, impress her with the amount of cum you can
produce

Right!!

I'd noticed that some women (the classier ones) get out a measuring
cup after sex... I always wondered what that was about, thanks!

3057. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-12 21:55:49 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <FhQge.69026$h6.16940@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 200082

"Happy Yawning Festival" <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in message
news:heLge.3766$i03.275@fe06.lga...
> "chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1115912727.670240.12590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic? Tell us so we can come
>> and introduce ourselves. We know you are too much of a coward to face
>> us on equal terms, so you will never do it.
>>
>>
>
> "equal terms" ?? <---- LMAO!! :))
>
> Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort to rigged games.
>
> Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??
>
>

Doug and Leland.

3058. Re: impressing women?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 01:30:40 UTC
From: "Matthew B. Tepper" <oy@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9654BC4E7A0D6quackandflap@207.217.125.201>
Source record: 200083
Cross-posted to: rec.music.makers.guitar.jazz,rec.music.classical.recordings,rec.music.hip-hop,rec.radio.cb

"Tone" <a.kolarik@computer.org> appears to have caused the following
letters to be typed in news:1115929374.605065.280090
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

>> 4. Last but not least, impress her with the amount of cum you can
> produce
>
> Right!!
>
> I'd noticed that some women (the classier ones) get out a measuring
> cup after sex... I always wondered what that was about, thanks!

Silly, it's all about whether she needs one or two gulps to swallow all of
it.

--
Matthew B. Tepper: WWW, science fiction, classical music, ducks!
My personal home page -- http://home.earthlink.net/~oy/index.html
My main music page --- http://home.earthlink.net/~oy/berlioz.html
To write to me, do for my address what Androcles did for the lion
Take THAT, Daniel Lin, Mark Sadek, James Lin & Christopher Chung!

3059. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 10:39:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <rp0981da56760pa8fss375rvte6kse9u3l@4ax.com>
Source record: 200084

On Thu, 12 May 2005 04:29:35 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 11 May 2005 08:40:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <90v3819keett149i3eeood49npi0mcso7s@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 11 May 2005 02:36:52 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>The politics of science is often more important than the science
>>>itself. It's a proven fact that the Earth is undergoing a period of
>>>global warming, and that it's caused by the influence of man on the
>>>environment. But politics plays the game that such facts are nothing
>>>more than speculations made by a few fringe researchers looking to get
>>>their names in the journals.
>>
>>There has been no conclusive proof that global warming is primarily
>>the result of man's influence over the environment.
>
>
>Yes, there is indeed conclusive proof.

No there isn't, for the simple reason that we do not have enough
climatic history to determine just how and when the climate shifts
normally as a reference before we can accurately gauge the additional
effects of humans.

>>>How did life come to be? Who cares? The only fact we know is that it
>>>-does- exist. So let's just make the most of it while it lasts.
>>>
>>
>>Existentialism. IMHO a rather selfish and closed mindset.
>
>
>Gee, and I thought you said that you were a realist.

I am. But I'm not so close minded that I'm just going to "accept" that
I exist and not ponder why.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3060. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 11:11:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <km2981t5b86n3feip3lga5ov5thdmfe8db@4ax.com>
Source record: 200085

On Fri, 13 May 2005 06:39:37 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <rp0981da56760pa8fss375rvte6kse9u3l@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 12 May 2005 04:29:35 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 11 May 2005 08:40:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <90v3819keett149i3eeood49npi0mcso7s@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Wed, 11 May 2005 02:36:52 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>The politics of science is often more important than the science
>>>>itself. It's a proven fact that the Earth is undergoing a period of
>>>>global warming, and that it's caused by the influence of man on the
>>>>environment. But politics plays the game that such facts are nothing
>>>>more than speculations made by a few fringe researchers looking to get
>>>>their names in the journals.
>>>
>>>There has been no conclusive proof that global warming is primarily
>>>the result of man's influence over the environment.
>>
>>
>>Yes, there is indeed conclusive proof.
>
>No there isn't......

Yes, there is. Ice cores are an excellent record of climatic history,
and are good for over 500,000 years.

>>>>How did life come to be? Who cares? The only fact we know is that it
>>>>-does- exist. So let's just make the most of it while it lasts.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Existentialism. IMHO a rather selfish and closed mindset.
>>
>>
>>Gee, and I thought you said that you were a realist.
>
>I am. But I'm not so close minded that I'm just going to "accept" that
>I exist and not ponder why.

What part of existentialism dictates that one must must not "ponder"
their own existence?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3061. SUV question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 11:13:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7t2981pjnf96no9j8kaueuum41300gjl5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 200086

Who is willing to sacrifice their children so I can afford to drive a
Chevy Suburban?

Anybody?

What about you, Dave? You believe in "the cause", don't you? So can we
send your kids into a war zone for cheap gas? .....oh, that's right,
gas ain't cheap any more. So can we send your kids over there anyway?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3062. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 12:24:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <101981988dqo87kjrpeigqri4bqljmheb1@4ax.com>
Source record: 200087

On Thu, 12 May 2005 10:34:14 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>There are criminal provisions in the
>>communications act of 1934.

>We are speaking of freebanders on the eleven meter band.

>>Who are radio pirates, operating unauthorized
>>radio transmitters. The provisions in the
>>communications act of 1934 do not
>>differentiate which bands unauthorized
>>transmitters can incur criminal penalties. If the
>>FCC chose to do so, freebanders can be
>>charged criminally. The fact that they have not
>>chosen to do any more than sporadic
>>citations, does not diminish the fact that they
>>could if they chose to.
>
>
>Whoaaa.....you are invoking what does not take place, only what you
>pontificate can take place. Reality is,,it doesn't take place. End of
>story.

Police do not usually cite people for Jaywalking, but they could at
any time. The point is that just because a law is not actively
enforced does not mean that it's ok to break it.

>
>>But the point is that nothing will happen if you
>>are never caught. But the fact that you are not
>>likely to get caught does not diminish the
>>illegality
>
>No one ever said it did.
>
>>ï¿½ï¿½and societal irresponsibility of
>>engaging in the acts.
>ï¿½
>In order for you to claim such a "societal irresponsibility" exists,
>there first must exist a "societal responsibility" somehwere other than
>your mind regarding such (cb radio)....can you cite it?
>
>>Societal responsibility goes far beyond CB
>>radio. It goes hand in hand with morality,
>>consideration, and just plain old fashioned

>>good manners.
>
>
>Try again.....in regards to cb radio, please cite this non-existent
>"societal responsibility" concept that has you confounded.

Not everything in life is codified, especially morality. If you need a
specific guide on how to be a responsible citizen and a good neighbor,
you can start with Miss Manners and work your way up from there.

>>The FCC rules do carry criminal as well as
>>civil penalties should they choose to apply
>>them, if the case warrants it.

>Please cite these criminal penalties referring the freeband or simple
>dx.

>>Please refer to the communications act of
>>1934 and related parts.

>I went to the source. I see no criminal charges, merely civil charges.
>Can you cite this exception of which you speak?

Start with Title IV, section 401 and work your way from there.

>
>There is a mitigating difference between "can't" and "won't". Even
>so...keeping with your claim,,..how is it you confront all freebanders
>and lawbreakers regarding cb and freebanding?
>
>> I have, on occasion, prevented speeding by
>>paralleling someone in the right lane holding
>>the legal speed limit.
>
>
>A massive ticket here in Florida, AND in Pa from what I read.

Based on what charge? A person is under no obligation, and in fact is
prohibited from exceeding the posted speed limit regardless of which
lane you are in.

>A perfect
>example of you hypocritically breaking the law to commit an act of what
>you mistakenly believe upholds another.

I broke no law.

>
>>Besides, speeding is not a criminal offense,
>>it's a simple summary offense.
>
>
>And the cb infractions are civil in nature, not criminal.

Until they become habitual and flagrant.

>> What it may or may not lead to is irrelevant,
>>and calls for speculation.

>..except when you invoked the possibilities of cbers running huge power
>interfering with emergency communications in a long ago conversation.

Which happens.

>Speculation is acceptable only when invoked by yourself to suppport your
>hypocrisy.

Nothing I have said is hypocritical. However you may wish to reexamine
the context of which you pull your information before making invalid
comparisons.

>>or on bands where public access is
>>set aside.
>
>Or not. Don't forget many of the freqs that have been abandoned.
>
>>Abandoned does not mean "open".
>
>Right,,,it means not being used.To use your analogy regarding physical
>property,,,,if a lot or property is abandoned, and one tends the ground,
>takes care of it, and pays the tax on it for x amount of years, the
>often land becomes the property of the caretaker who has been taking
>care of it and paying the taxes.
>
>>Squatters rights. And interesting angle.
>
>
>And a valid one.

And for it to apply, then you would have to concede that radio
spectrum is treated in the same way as "real" property.

>> I wonder if someone has tried that tactic on
>>the FCC in regard to the freeband area of 11
>>meters. The principle is similar.
>
>
>Only to your misguided education or beliefs or whatever is responsible
>for you not grasping such a concept. It has not been tried with the FCC
>because even the lowly cbers seem to comprehend the spectrum is 1) not
>owned by the FCC and 2) not tangible property.

Then the concept of squatter's rights does not apply to radio
spectrum. So I'm curious why you brought it up in that context.

>
>>There are many abandoned buildings around.
>>But you are still not allowed to trespass there.

>
>Yet, many people use these abandon buildings on a regular basis with
>immunity. Bums,,,vagrants, crackheads,
>
>>.... Freebanders. I see the similarities.
>
>You really have a low opinion of yourself, Dave.

No, not me, only scofflaws.

>I always said you had a serious ego and self-esteem problem. The mere
>admittance that you held yourself in such company confirms such.

That was then, this is now. Everyone can repent, even you. It's not
too late to atone for the error of your ways.

>See above for examples of a form of citizen eminent domain.
>
>>Yes, and I'm waiting to see someone attempt
>>to use this reasoning to obtain the legal
>>authorization of the freeband
>
>
>Only you could.

I've seen far more ridiculous claims come forth by misguided citizens
against the government. So I would not be surprised if someone tried
the "squatter's rights" angle with respect to radio spectrum.

Then again, some people would rather just operate illegally rather
than going through the trouble to have an perceived unjust rule
changed. Those people are simply weak.

>>The only thing you have in your favor is that
>>the FCC is not motivated enough to do much
>>about it.
>
> You have nothing in your favor. It's all blatant hypocrisy.

What have I said, that could be considered hypocritical?
Is operation on the freeband not illegal? Should the law not be
respected? How many more excuses are you going to invent to hide,
obfuscate, justify, or otherwise downplay the fact that you willingly
ignore a federal law?

>> It's not that it's any less illegal, it's only that
>>they don't care enough.
>
>
>Because it is rightly a non-issue to the majority, of which you clearly
>do not belong, leading to the fact that you are a minority wishing to
>dictate your beliefs to the masses. Doesn't work that way.

Sort of like the democratic party trying to subvert the constitution
by an abusive application of a filibuster to block judicial
nominees......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3063. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 12:27:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <o87981pr6dcv3qfout1runbgaahjicjtm3@4ax.com>
Source record: 200088

On Thu, 12 May 2005 10:14:42 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (Johnï¿½Smith)
>>Oh no, he is nothing special alright... I imagine
>>your IQ has been tested
>
>>and blows him away...
>
>
>Your "imagination" is limited only yourself. Nothing odd about how you
>continue to prefer to change the topic to one of a poster instead of the
>topic. You see, this is a flub of the communication-challenged. Denial
>is not a river in Egypt. Try and remain focused on the topic and not
>allow your personal emotions to dictate poor communication form. Once
>again, remaining on topic is the preferred MO. If you continue to
>struggle with such, you may wish to examine your present agenda.
>
>>And, surely you have rubbed elbows with
>
>>colleges such as he has, perhaps you even
>
>>share many of the same friends--too bad
>
>>about Carl Sagans' passing--I bet you miss
>
>>him...
>
>
>And my "bet" is proving more valid with each uncontrollable emotion of
>yours that manifests in the most entertaining of manners. With a single
>post, I have not only captivated yourr attention, but created an entity
>of obsession so intense, your can focus on nothing but your newly chosen
>"topic...."me". (makes sign of cross, blesses the unsavory and newfound
>church member wearing Halloween mask) .
>
>
>> and hold many as close personal friends--
>
>Claiming you knew Sagan personally means nothing to the masses, so
>forgive my curiosity for inquiring as to why you felt it to important to
>mention? Feeling bad about yourself and needing a pick-me-up? LOL..
>
>

>>the rich exchange you have with them keeps
>
>>you quite up to date--I can tell from your text...
>
>
>And the contingency can tell quite more from your multiple posts
>"suddenly" (LMAO) focusing on nothing but myself. Now,,,THAT is the
>defnition of rich,,but you continue with the gaffes, so it's more than
>worth the entertainment.
>
>>Nope, no one would ever confuse you with an
>
>>"Arm-Chair-Genius."
>
>
>Nor you with managing to remain on topic and focus on the subject
>instead of changing it to one of a poster you became fixated upon with
>your manias. Of course, people like yourself need reminded that usenet
>participants should focus on subject matter and not that of the poster,
>but like you said, no one would -ever- confuse you with someone who
>comprehended proper communication etiquette.
>
>>Warmest regards,
>
>>John
>
>Right backatcha!!

Be kind to John. He shares your opinion that people should be allowed
to transmit anywhere.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3064. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 13:44:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pa79815bniu7dvmtsjgaa5l59hgir8pqr0@4ax.com>
Source record: 200089

On Thu, 12 May 2005 04:29:41 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 11 May 2005 08:32:45 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <egt381dhr9l8cdlq2ib8311g6837oddt67@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Tue, 10 May 2005 17:13:43 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 10 May 2005 07:39:33 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <pb6181h57d88m98fu18hsp7lk8f8359rhm@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>Your anti-God bias is showing. You would rather believe that the
>>>>complexity of our ecosystem occurred due to just the right random,
>>>>combinations of factors and events to produce all the diversified
>>>>species, which all have a key part to play in the total picture,
>>>>rather than consider the likelihood that an intelligent force was
>>>>somehow responsible for guiding it.
>>>
>>>
>>>There's nothing "random" about it
>>
>>Well, no, that's my whole point. Something has to "guide" the
>>development of life.
>
>
>Why?

Otherwise there would be no progress, only a random jumbling of
unrelated mutations. Something has to determine which mutations are an
"improvement", and whether those traits will be carried on.

>
>
>> Something has to make the decision whether 2 legs
>>are better than four, and whether a fifth finger makes for a more
>>effective tool, yet 6 fingers is overkill etc.
>
>
>Why must it be decided? Why can't it just evolve that way because
>that's what happens to work best?

What determines what works best? If, as you suggest, all advances in
evolution occur as a random mutation (Which it would have to be if it

were not deliberately introduced), what feedback mechanism exists to determine whether that single mutation will proliferate to other species, or even descendants of the same species?

> Do you think that rain must come
>from God because we don't know how it gets into the sky? ....oh, wait
>a sec, we -do- know how it gets into the sky. Bad example. So do you
>think that the Earth is at the center of the Univ..... uh, forget that
>one, Galileo really shamed the church when he proved that the Earth
>orbits the Sun. Ok, how about this: The rainbow -must- be proof of God
>because it..... nope, Newton shot that one down in flames. Well how
>about music? God must have invented music, right? After all, how did
>birds learn how to sing? oops, another bad example.....
>
>Gee Dave, it sure looks like all of God's "creations" are slowly being
>discovered to be nothing more than natural phenomena. Except maybe for
>Michael Jackson.

So because some examples of physics can be accurately demonstrated, that there is no possibility of intelligent design and guidance?

>>>-- when you consider that the bell
>>>curve consists of a population as great as the number of events that
>>>occur in the Universe within any period of time, it becomes utterly
>>>-ridiculous- to think that life requires divine intervention.
>>
>>You're just too hung up of formal religion. It's preventing you to
>>consider the possibility.
>
>
>Just because a certain part of the ocean is unexplored doesn't mean
>it's inhabited with monsters.

Doesn't mean that it isn't either.

> -You- are too hung up on religion to
>realize that randomness (aka, 'chaos') is nothing more than a term
>used to describe the collective effect of dynamic systems that are
>either so numerous or complex that their components -have yet- to be
>isolated and identified.

Random and chaos are exactly that, actions which occur with no pattern or forethought.

> That doesn't mean a seemingly random process
>-doesn't- have a logical and scientific explanation, only that the
>process is as yet unidentified.

But you can't build order from chaos. At least not without some intelligence guiding it.

> And if you can't understand that much
>then you probably still check under your bed every night for the

>boogie man.

Why? More false analogy fallacies? Because we know enough to determine
that there is no "boogieman", does not mean that we know enough to
discount the existence of "God".

>>>And if
>>>there -is- evidence of guidance by some intelligent force, it's far
>>>more likely that this "force" is not God but some sort of ETI.
>>
>>Well now, you ARE making progress. You opened your mind for a split
>>second. Tell me Frank, what is the definition of "God"?
>
>
>ROTFLMMFAO!!! You aren't suggesting that God is a collective of little
>grey humanoids from the planet Zorkon, are you? Beam me up, Scotty!

Why not? Is it not within the realm of possibilities that what we
consider "God" may be a superior intelligence which created this
planet for who knows what reason (Other than 42)?

>>> May the
>>>force be with you, Dave!
>>
>>It always has been.
>
>
>OB1 has taught you well, young Jedi. But here is something you must
>know: I am your father, Dave. At least that's what your mother told me
>after she lost two other paternity suits.

Since it is likely that I am older than you, that is a physical
impossibility. But the increasing personal insults is a sure sign that
you have run out of facts and logic, and have resorted to ad-hominem
to make you case. The best you can hope for in this discussion is a
stalemate. There are simply not enough facts to make your case.

>>><snip>
>>>>>>>But keeping with that, who said it was random? Natural evolution and
>>>>>>>selection explains away any coincidental occurrences that you may
>>>>>>>mistake for "random".
>>>>
>>>>But what motivates natural evolution?
>>>
>>>
>>>Natural variation, and adaptability to a dynamic environment.
>>
>>Based on what criteria? There has to be a purpose for life.
>
>
>Why? Because you say so? Because you can't figure out what to do with
>your life? Or did you adopt that idea as part of a twelve-step

>program?

More insults? The fact is that you can't answer the question, and
chose instead to mock me.

You believe what you want to believe based on nothing more than pure
faith, which is no different than what I do. But the difference is
that you arrogantly insist that I am somehow "wrong". You tell
yourself that facts and logic back you up, but the truth is that there
are little facts available to make a positive determination on whether
life here evolved purely at random, and without any outside influence.
You further re-enforce your faith by telling yourself that the facts
are out there, and that we just haven't found them yet. And while this
is most likely true, you should be careful what you wish for. For the
answers you seek may not be the ones you want to hear.

>> What
>>drives that purpose?
>
>
>When Moses asked God what the people should call him, God responded,
>"I am that I am." IOW, God exists for the sake of himself.

Interesting that you quote something that you deny the existence of,
to make a point. Of course the irony of that position was not lost on
me.

> For us
>mortals it isn't much different -- life is spent propogating
>ourselves. For human males that consists of impregnating as many
>females as possible, hence the common characteristic of men to "love
>'em and leave 'em", and their willingness to screw just about anything
>that is receptive to their advances. The female reproductive role is
>more complex. Traditionally it has been to nurture and protect the
>larvae until they can be kicked out of the house. This explains why
>some women are gold-diggers (money = security, taken to an extreme).

That is a hopelessly jaded position to take. You basically stated that
all males have an intrinsic excuse for infidelity, in that they are
instinctively hard wired for such behavior. The fact that was can
transcend instinctive behavior plays no part in this I guess....

>
>Ironically, monogomy isn't common with humans, their behavior being
>more like some species of birds.

And many mammals.

>The female chooses a mate that is a
>'provider', one she feels is also competent in a nurturing role. Yet
>she seeks a different male for breeding, looking for characteristics
>such as aggressiveness and healthiness, and other attributes that are
>carried genetically and will give her offspring a better chance at

>survival. With two 'mates' she gets the best of both worlds, since one
>male with all those traits is nearly impossible to find. Meanwhile,
>the males are just trying to dip their wicks anywhere they can.
>
>BTW, this isn't my theory. It's from a well-documented study on human
>behavior that has been supported by numerous independent studies.

I know all too well. The Learning Channel had an very interesting series on human behavior and covered this topic and the parallels in the animal world. I also used this information in a debate once with a hard core feminist, who was forwarding the " all men are pigs" notion, and defended their behavior as instinctive programming. Of course, I did it just for the reaction. I believe that using primitive instinctive traits to justify unacceptable social behavior is simply a lame excuse for those who have a weak will.

>But if you need to find a purpose that transcends natural biology, try
>the simple fact that we -can- transcend biology. That, by itself, as a
>"purpose" for life, is reflected heavily in the Bhuddist faith and to
>some extent with the Hindu. The 'challenge' of life, therefore, is to
>overcome our animal instincts and attain a higher level of being.

Hence the enlightened and evolved call for a monogamous union.

>Flip the coin and you have people that think you should live hard and
>die young. It's doubtful that they have any regrets since they don't
>have much time to think about such things.

No, I guess not.

>Of course you could always take a perspective from Monty Python, but I
>think Monty Python itself is reason enough to live.

I was never that much of a Python fan.

>
>And what else is important is what goes through your mind in your
>final moments of life.

What lies next.

> Did you make the right choices? Could you have
>done any better? Will anyone remember you for who you really are? And
>are you sure they really -do- know who you are? But that's assuming,
>of course, that anyone cares if you are on your death bed. If you ever
>visit a nursing home you will find that it's more common for people to
>die alone, especially if they don't have money or property to pass on
>in their will. Will that be the case with you? Or will your "loved
>ones" view your life more intrinsically? And will you have doubts
>about life after death, or will you resign yourself to lies that you
>used to convince yourself one way or the other so you wouldn't have to
>worry about it? Which brings me to my own philosophy regarding the
>matter:

>
>It's hard to evaluate life until you have something to compare it to.
>Most people who have come close to death consider it a life-altering
>experience, and their lives are improved afterwards. It's not a good
>idea to die just so you can live better, but at least you can explore
>the ideas and perspectives of some of the best minds on the subject.
>For that line of philosophy I would recommend yet another good book:
>"Thinking Through Death" by Dr. Scott Kramer. If you want a copy just
>drop me an email, I have a couple spares.

See, this is what's so puzzling about you Frank. Once in a while you
unload with a brilliant piece of perspective, which is at total odds
with your status in life. You're one hell of an underachiever.

>>>> Who decides whether a mutation
>>>>is "beneficial" or not? Natural selection, otherwise known as
>>>>survival of the fittest, assumes that gene mutations which result in a
>>>>"better" species, would survive while the "lesser' versions of the
>>>>species would die out. Yet, it is said that homo-sapiens evolved from
>>>>apes. Why then are apes still around if we are the "new and improved"
>>>>version of the ape?
>>>
>>>
>>>Because you assume that the "'lesser' versions of the species would
>>>die out", which is not necessarily the case.
>>
>>If not, then that's negates much of the evolutionary theory.
>
>
>I don't recall that being part of the theory at all. The theory is
>that variations which can adapt to a changing environment will survive
>-irrespective- of their origins.

But what decides which mutation, many of which could adapt to the
environment (a 3rd or 4th eye for instance), actually survives enough
to become incorporated into the mainstream?

>> If the
>>purpose of evolution is gradual improvement or a species, then the
>>"old" should die off as it is replaced by the "new".
>
>
>That's only an assumption on your part because you have never studied
>the subject. If you -had- studied the subject you would know better
>than to make such an ignorant remark.

I have studied the subject and the questions I raise are analytical
and logical in nature. Either evolution is designed to improve the
species, or not. If it is, then what feedback determines what is
actually an improvement. If not, then what does improve the species?

>>>There can be many
>>>circumstances where a variation doesn't compete for the same resources
>>>as it's progenitor. This explains why there are so many speices of
>>>birds that have but slight variations -- many birds are migratory. And
>>>so are many species of primates.
>>
>>This explains subtle variations within a specific species, but that
>>doesn't explain how a bird came to be in the first place. Are you
>>proposing that a winged creature suddenly appeared by accident, as a
>>mutation from a land-based critter, and it proliferated all by itself.
>>What taught it to fly in the first place? How could a genetic anomaly
>>take into consideration the dynamics of flight?
>
>
>I suppose I should start with Rocky and Bulwinkle. You see, Rocky is a
>"flying squirrel". They don't really fly, but glide from one place to
>another using skin that has overgrown. The skin probably evolved
>because the critters kept falling out of the trees, and the species
>with the variation of loose skin allowed more of them to survive the
>falls.

Then why do other squirrels not have loose skin? Do they not fall from
trees as well? Why only the "flying" squirrel? And what determined
whether that skin actually helped them, other those other squirrels
who don't?

> Easy enough. The next logical step would be an variation of
>their "wings" that would allow them to glide for longer periods of
>time, and over greater distances. Perhaps even a variation where
>muscle movement gives a little extra flight time. Eventually, over a
>few hundred thousand years and thousands of generations, there will
>probably be a squirrel that can really fly.

Better late than never? Birds already fly. Why would a squirrel need
to fly now? What tactical advantage would that provide it over
non-flying squirrels? Would those random mutations also thin its
bones, and provide the proper lift/drag ratio in order to attain
sustained flight?

>But you propose that one day there was a rat, then a miracle occured
>and *poof* there was a bat? I don't think so, Dave.

Despite the fact that you don't "think" so, does not mean that that's
exactly what might have happened. It's certainly easier to rationalize
than a series of random mutations adding up to a viable new species.

>>>>Evolution only explains a small part of the puzzle.
>>
>>>No, you have only -learned- a small part of the puzzle.
>>
>>This is true. There are very few facts and a whole host of theories
>>which cropped up to try to explain the facts.
>

>
>Such is science. Some theories will be dismissed while others will be
>proven as fact. And it's doubtful that divine providence will be a
>factor in any scientific theory.

Again, your religious prejudice is blinding you from considering the
likely notion of intelligent design and guidance.

>
>
>>The theory of intelligent design is no more far-fetched than the idea
>>that life began here spontaneously and proliferated into a diverse eco
>>system, totally at random.
>
>
>You are assuming that "life began here spontaneously" and evolved
>"totally at random". Research strongly suggests that neither are true.

The someone had to "plant" it.

>>>>......Why
>>>>do humans have self-awareness? Why do we posses an intelligence that
>>>>allows us to contemplate the unknown, and live beyond the programming
>>>>of instinctive behavior? What about the concept of a soul?
>>>
>>>
>>>Evolution is science. The questions you ask are philosophical.
>>
>>Yes, but it all relates in the bigger picture.
>
>
>Talk to Skippy about your "bigger picture" cause that type of BS
>doesn't wash with me. I don't even buy into the concept of a "grand
>unified theory".

Who is "Skippy"?

>
>So you have noticed that animals are different and have different
>characteristics. Congratulations. What you -haven't- learned that the
>same is true within the human species.

Yea some are good and other not -so. But none can fly on their own.

>Yes, animals possess some intellectual capabilities. Beavers are
>pretty good engineers, and nobody can tell me that their behavior is
>purely instinctual since the circumstances for every beaver dam are
>different, and requires some intelligence in order to build those
>"crude" tools.

Yea, it's called "teeth". Who taught them how to build those dams?

>Did you know that dolphins have sex just for fun?

They are one of only two so far that seem to. Although I don't know
how we can determine what passes for "fun" in the mind of a dolphin,
since we cannot directly communicate with them.

> They
>also seem to learn things faster and easier than most teenage humans.

Most animals learn to walk long before a human child. At age 1, many
animals are much more intelligent and self sustaining than an
equivalent aged human. So what's your point?

>And just about every animal has some form of communication, not just
>dolphins and a few others. Ants communicate with chemicals, bees
>communicate by 'dancing', dogs communicate by pissing on trees and
>smelling each others butts, etc, etc.

Rudimentary at best. Nothing as complex as what humans have achieved.

>But on the other hand, why would anyone think that human behavior is
>anything more than extentions of natural instinct? Everything we do
>somehow revolves around basic natural urges, whether it be breathing,
>sleeping, eating, sex, reproduction, dying, etc. Probably the only two
>characteristics that set us apart from the rest of the animal kingdom
>is our propensity to destroy ourselves and our ability to show mercy.

Boy are you cynically misguided. You think that all that humans do
revolves around eating, sleeping and sex? What about those who create?
Those who philosophize? Those who teach? Those who excel at physical
activity? Those to seek answers to larger questions? Those who achieve
greatness in any number of fields?

>But then again, the former doesn't differentiate us from lemmings, and
>the latter is more a recognition of the futility of life than it is a
>divine influence. Either way, man can be just as cruel as nature and
>frequently proves that to be a fact.

So what you are in essence saying is that since we will all eventually
die, what's the point of living?

>So what's the difference between man and animal? Human arrogance in
>thinking he is something more than just another product of nature.

I'd like to think we are the most advanced product of the divine
intelligence.

>>>>It very well might be. It's all part of the bigger plan. Like I said,
>>>>I totally accept the concepts of evolution. I just believe that the
>>>>process has been "managed" by a higher order intelligence, the
>>>>definition of which, has yet to be revealed. I am not advocating any
>>>>specific religious interpretation of "God", only that one exists.
>>>
>>>
>>>The problem is that you don't fully understand the vast multitude of
>>>variations that can occur in the processes of evolution.

I understand them perfectly. I just do not accept that complexity can
result from randomness.

>
>>I don't accept the theory that if you place a group of monkeys in a
>>cage with a bunch of typewriters that they'll eventually write every
>>great piece of literary works.
>
>
>I don't either. Whose theory was that?

http://www.angelfire.com/in/hypnosonic/Parable_of_the_Monkeys.html

There are many others. I'm surprised that in that vast storehouse of
knowledge that you claim to posses, that you have not stumbled on this
before.

>> They might type out every letter that
>>is contained within those works, but they will not get the order
>>correct. Such is the nature of chaos and randomness. It lacks
>>structure, direction, and order, and those elements are required for
>>meaningful results to occur.
>
>
>Again, who suggested that such a thing was possible?

Look and see.

>>> Neither do
>>>the scientists that study it. But the scientists don't insert God into
>>>the equation whenever something doesn't add up -- they look for other
>>>factors and they usually find them.
>>
>>There are still far too many unanswered questions to discount the
>>theory of intelligent design.
>
>
>Discount it? No. But neither does it mean that we should jump to that
>conclusion because we haven't learned everything we can.

I conclude nothing of the sort. But I have an especially hard time
accepting the totally at random theory of evolution, and prefer to
believe that evolution was guided by an unseen intelligent force.

We may disagree on the exact definition of that intelligence, and
without facts, it's pointless to debate it beyond that point other
than from a purely philosophical perspective.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3065. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 14:25:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12520-4284B8EE-421@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200090

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:38:40 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>it primarily the left who are

>spearheading an intensified effort to remove

>all signs of religion from government

>processes, even though most have been

>around since this country was founded.

So has crime. What is wrong with seeking to remove that of which the law
clearly defines?

>Nothing, if that's indeed the case.

You just said it was. You are second guessing only yourself.

>But those

>religious influences are adorned all over our

>government buildings and in our government

>business. Why is it only now do certain people
>find exception to it?

You are one of the most vocal in this group to redundantly invoke that
just because something is practiced far and wide doesn't make it legal
or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree with it.

>When it's not illegal, I agree with it.

>The fact is that despite recent

>misinterpretations of the establishment clause

>in the constitution by left wing zealots, we

>have had religious influences in our

>government from the very beginning.

That's rich..and wrong. Once again I ask you to explain how anything
these "left wingers" say or do or interpret can matter at all regarding
this issue while the republicans control the house and senate.
Nevertheless, the misinterpretation has been all yours even though Frank

neatly wrapped it all up and presented you with the facts clearly indicating congress shall keep the clause of separation of church and state intact. Because you agree with the religious zealots and have on many occasion admitted that your moral views are to be fostered upon others and if they do not subscribe to your radical positions and admitted (on many occasion) socialistic tendencies, you mistakenly hold them as an enemy of yourself, seeking to take away that of which you believe.

>I would argue that it was those influences

>which made this country one of strong moral

>and ethical principles.

In one sentence you claim the moral and ethical principles of this country have degraded terribly and even said society was reflected on the air. Now you say the country is once again of strong moral fiber and ethical principle. You flip flop more than Bush. And please don't ask for examples of Bush flip flopping, as you attempted this in the past, were given a major list of examples, and began to spin excuses for WHY he flip flopped, distancing yourself from your claim that he never did.

> It's no coincidence that

>the decline in governmental ethics and

>morality

There you go again,,,flip...flop!

>correspond with efforts to eradicate

>religious influences from our lives.

I wouldn't go so far as to put it blatantly in those terms, but I do believe taking God and physical punishment out of the schools was a serious mistake.

>There was once a day when democrats and

>republicans practiced a little thing called

>compromise.

There was also a day when the working guys of each party could think for themselves instead of widely swallowing their party line rhetoric and blaming those who aren't anywhere near leadership positions in this country for all the woes and incompetence of your own party. That is the most pedestrian act you have attempted to date. Scary thing is, you appear to actually believe yourself when you post such drivel. You simply can not handle the responsibility of the buck stopping with the leader you selected. In other words, you seek to blame others when responsibility for your leader's action must be taken. Blaming another political party for the last four and a half years of confirmed failures

illustrate you really have too great a deal to learn in order to effectively discuss the political process.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

3066. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 14:51:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12520-4284BEFC-424@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200091

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:29:58 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 10 May 2005 18:43:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>Which won't likely happen if you are both

>monogamous.

Being monogamous with your wife/husband/partner has nothing to do with
your past.

>Never said that it did.

You said one will not likely catch AIDS if one practices monogamy. This
would only hold true if both were virgins when getting married..,not
practical when applied to present reality, as the vast majority have a
sexual past history.

>And the less promiscuous that past is, the less
>likely that one will catch AIDS.

No one ever said differently. That statement still does nothing to
support or validate
your erred comment that now practicing monogamy will likely prevent one
from catching AIDS, as it discounts your past. You are unable to
distinguish between the differences.

>Besides, you imply that it's next to impossible

>or, at the very least, unrealistic for someone to
>wait until marriage to engage in sexual

>relations.

Yes, it is extremely unrealistic to expect the majority will suddenly
adhere to abstinence.

> There is nothing honorable or otherwise

>noteworthy about becoming sexually active in

>your teenaged years,

No one said differently.Where do you get these things?

>despite the image that

>the major media outlets try to paint to those

>overly impressionable teenagers.

Oh yea,,,,your tv watching,,...._

_
 There are instances where the HIV virus is semi-dormant for years and
years (10 to 15 year spans are on record) and then it suddenly
appears,,,the same can be said of AIDS..it's manageable in many cases
until,...poof,,it morphs to full blown AIDS.

>Which means nothing if you've never been

>exposed to it.

Please try and remain at least semi-relative to your comments.

>Monogamous doesn't mean act like a slut

>throughout your "formative" years and then

>decide to "stay with one person at age 30.

That you consider a past sexual history equals "acting like a slut"
reveals several interesting facts of your beliefs regarding this topic.

>Yes, it reveals that I don't believe that sex

>should be engaged as a casual activity.

Again,, you are in left field positing yourself against comments only
you make. There was no intention to make comprehending difficult for
youslef, but you are doggedly determined.

>Sex is a part of an act of love, to be shared

>with someone who you have a much deeper

>emotional bond with. Not something for two

>people, who are barely friends, who are simply

>looking to kill a few hours.

Man,,you have been losing more ground each day with your posts, Dave.
I'm glad it's almost summer up there. Cabin fever and that winter
depression sure took its toll this year on you.

_
The ONLY "cure" is found in
the prevention..in other words, abstaining from pre-marital sex then
both parties getting a thorough CBC (complete blood count) prior to
tying the knot.

>Now you are finally seeing the light.

I've known this since 1980 when the disease was traced to a cave in
Africa and suspected of being contracted from bat guano (the initial

host.....believed by scholars) or a rhesus monkey. Google "The Hot Zone" and the parallels are there for the reading. Better yet, read the book. Now if only you could understand that monogamy today does not discount one's past, as the majority of people have a sexual past history prior to marriage and monogamy.

>That's part of the problem.

Your claim that monogamy decreases the chance of acquiring AIDS assumes incorrectly these people had no sexual past history.

>It's not an "all or nothing" proposition.

You're losing yourself again. Onec again, your rattle has nothing to with my comment. Try again.....-your- claim that monogamy decreases the chance of acquiring AIDS assumes incorrectly these people had no sexual past history.

>While total abstinence before marriage is a

>concept that's lost on this latest hedonistic

>generation, the simple truth is that the less

>partners you have had, the less your chances

>of catching AIDS.

Again and over and over,, you are presenting an argument to which only yourself appears to be unconvinced.

>The type of partners you have had also affects
>your chances. Frequent patronage of

>prostitutes, for instance, greatly increases

>your chances of getting the disease.

It would depend upon the act. For example, the chance of the transmission of AIDS while a man receives oral sex from a woman is lower than your chances of getting killed in an automobile accident.

_
The "clean" mark was originally 5 years, then 10, then 15,,,it's now believed that 20 years is the "safe" mark regarding past sexual activity..in other words, if you have been monogamous for 20 years with your partner, and your partner has also been monogamous for that amount of time, the likelihood of contracting the virus decreases substantially, but is -still- not discounted totally.

>Better that than hooking up with someone

>who's rear end has seen more bedsheets than
>underwear.

>My wife and I recently celebrated our 20 year

>wedding anniversary. So I guess we're safe ;-)

Only if you were both virgins when you married.

_
>Congratulations!

I'd like to say the same regarding your beliefs of contracting this disease, but I think your moral beliefs are heavily biasing and preventing you from obtaining the facts regarding such.

>The facts are quite simple. The less sex you

>engage in, the lesser your chances of getting

>AIDS.

Now try injecting reality into your equation. If it was as simple as you present, the AIDS epidemic would not exist.

>Those who contract the disease have only

>themselves, by virtue of their activities, to

>blame in most cases.

The same can be said of your wife if she or your daughter contract lung cancer, asthma or pulmonary emboli related problems down the line because of her smoking while she was pregnant. If you are going to hold people to the flame for all their abhorrant behavior, you must begin in your own backyard, lest you have no right to confront others and your soap box is nothing more than a mirage.

>I am quite certain that my risk of contracting

>AIDS is less than my chances of getting hit by

>a meteor.

Since no one can recall the last time one had been struck with a meteor, that's a hell of a scholarly and meritous claim.

>I am far more concerned with

>cancer and heart disease as these pose a

>much greater risk to the members of my

>family.

Diseases that, in large part, can be blamed on your family members by virtue of their poor choices and actions..smoking.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

3067. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 15:00:26 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12520-4284C10A-427@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200092

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 12 May 2005 04:29:35 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Wed, 11 May 2005 08:40:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <90v3819keett149i3eeood49npi0mcso7s@4ax.com>:
On Wed, 11 May 2005 02:36:52 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
(The politics of science is often more important than the science
itself. It's a proven fact that the Earth is undergoing a period of
global warming, and that it's caused by the influence of man on the
environment. But politics plays the game that such facts are nothing
more than speculations made by a few fringe researchers looking to get
their names in the journals)

>There has been no conclusive proof that

>global warming is primarily the result of man's

>influence over the environment.

(Yes, there is indeed conclusive proof.)

>No there isn't, for the simple reason that we do
>not have enough climatic history to determine

>just how and when the climate shifts normally

>as a reference before we can accurately

>gauge the additional effects of humans.

The history of the earth's climate is well documented back to the
begining of the earth's creation...grammar school basic earth and
science taught this. Carbon dating confirms much ad plays a large part
of the techniques used to arrive at such widely accepted and mainstream
taught scientific facts.
Chloroflourocarbons released by the burning of fossil fuels is directly
linked to global warming. Global warming was proved by the continual
shrinkage of the polar ice cap confirmed by 24-7 high tech monitoring of
such. Villages that reside in the frozen tundra watch their mountains of
ice shrink each year.

>Existentialism. IMHO a rather selfish and

>closed mindset.

>I am. But I'm not so close minded that I'm just

>going to "accept" that I exist and not ponder

>why.

Some feel the same about poorly crafted laws, but you take issue with
those free-thinkers and it moves you toward the goblin that you are
unable to cast out and exercise of yourself.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

3068. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 15:58:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6784-4284CE90-285@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200093

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 12 May 2005 10:34:14 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>If the FCC chose to do so, freebanders can be

>charged criminally. The fact that they have not
>chosen to do any more than sporadic

>citations, does not diminish the fact that they

>could if they chose to.

Whoaaa.....you are invoking what does not take place, only what you
pontificate can take place.
Reality is,,it doesn't take place. End of story.

>Police do not usually cite people for

>Jaywalking, but they could at any time.

Also reality,,but, stay relevant to reality and not what "may" happen.
Dreaming is nice, but not reality.

>The point is that just because a law is not

>actively enforced does not mean that it's ok to

>break it.

It does in many cases where the law is not enforced. Blue laws are but a
single example,,,an example you felt so valid, you snipped it.

>But the point is that nothing will happen if you

>are never caught. But the fact that you are not

>likely to get caught does not diminish the

>illegality

No one ever said it did.

> and societal irresponsibility of

>engaging in the acts.

In order for you to claim such a "societal irresponsibility" exists,
there first must exist a "societal responsibility" somehwere other than
your mind regarding such (cb radio)....can you cite it?

>Societal responsibility goes far beyond CB

>radio. It goes hand in hand with morality,

>consideration, and just plain old fashioned

>good manners.

Try again.....in regards to cb radio, please cite this non-existent "societal responsibility" concept that has you confounded.

>Not everything in life is codified, especially

> morality.

Then you have no right to hold others to your view of what is and isn't acceptable, despite your claims to the contrary.

>If you need a specific guide on how to be a

>responsible citizen and a good neighbor, you

>can start with Miss Manners and work your

>way up from there.

And since you admittedly can not comprehend why one jamming repeater frequencies canbe present a safety hazard, you should begin your radio education as relates to hammie radio, anew.

>The FCC rules do carry criminal as well as

>civil penalties should they choose to apply

>them, if the case warrants it.

Please cite these criminal penalties referring the freeband or simple dx.

>Please refer to the communications act of

>1934 and related parts.

I went to the source. I see no criminal charges, merely civil charges. Can you cite this exception of which you speak?

>Start with Title IV, section 401 and work your

>way from there.

Waffling will not distance yourself from your incorrect claim, David. I have yet to find a criminal charge for simple dxing. It does not exist.

_
I would hedge zero times have you actually confronted a real criminal or law breaker in the act and in person.

>I certainly would if the opportunity presented

>itself.

It presents itself daily to you in the form of speeders,,an act that can cause physical damage or death when violated, which carry real criminal pealties, unlike dxing or freebanding. When was the last time you confronted one and how was it done?

>If I were to confront one speeder, I'd have to

>confront all of them,

A fallacy.

>and I cannot do that.

There is a mitigating difference between "can't" and "won't". Even so...keeping with your claim,,..how is it you confront all freebanders and lawbreakers regarding cb and freebanding?

> I have, on occasion, prevented speeding by

>paralleling someone in the right lane holding

>the legal speed limit.

A massive ticket here in Florida, AND in Pa from what I read.

>Based on what charge?

Left lane is for passing only. Again you don;t know the laws of your own state.

>A person is under no obligation, and in fact is

>prohibited from exceeding the posted speed

>limit regardless of which lane you are in.

A perfect
example of you hypocritically breaking the law to commit an act of what you mistakenly believe upholds another.

>I broke no law.

You did. ASk your unnamed but often invoked nameless and numerous cop "friends".

>Besides, speeding is not a criminal offense,

>it's a simple summary offense.

And the cb infractions are civil in nature, not criminal.

>Until they become habitual and flagrant.

I use your own words:

> What it may or may not lead to is irrelevant,

>and calls for speculation.

.except when you invoked the possibilities of cbers running huge power interfering with emergency communications in a long ago conversation. Of course, it isno linger irrelevant when you invoke such.

>Which happens.

Speculation is acceptable only when invoked by yourself to suppport your hypocrisy.

>Nothing I have said is hypocritical. However

>you may wish to reexamine the context of

>which you pull your information before making

>invalid comparisons.

Since Frank taught you the proper definition of "analogy", it really doesn't matter.

>or on bands where public access is

>set aside.

Or not. Don't forget many of the freqs that have been abandoned.

>Abandoned does not mean "open".

Right,,,it means not being used.To use your analogy regarding physical property,,,,if a lot or property is abandoned, and one tends the ground, takes care of it, and pays the tax on it for x amount of years, the often land becomes the property of the caretaker who has been taking care of it and paying the taxes.

>Squatters rights. And interesting angle.

And a valid one.

>And for it to apply, then you would have to

>concede that radio spectrum is treated in the

>same way as "real" property.

It doesn't apply to the radoio spectrum, which is what you are being properly instructed upon.

> I wonder if someone has tried that tactic on

>the FCC in regard to the freeband area of 11

>meters. The principle is similar.

Only to your misguided education or beliefs or whatever is responsible for you not grasping such a concept. It has not been tried with the FCC because even the lowly cbers seem to comprehend the spectrum is 1) not

owned by the FCC and 2) not tangible property.

>Then the concept of squatter's rights does not

>apply to radio spectrum.

Only you said it did.

>So I'm curious why you brought it up in that

>context.

To make you understand your error.

>There are many abandoned buildings around.
>But you are still not allowed to trespass there.

Yet, many people use these abandon buildings on a regular basis with
immunity.
Bums,,,vagrants, crackheads,

>..... Freebanders. I see the similarities.

You really have a low opinion of yourself, Dave.
>No, not me, only scofflaws.

I always said you had a serious ego and self-esteem problem. The mere
admittance that you held yourself in such company confirms such.

>That was then, this is now.

No matter. I could say my esteem is that of which my character was never
held in the company of whcih you refer yourself, past or present.

>Everyone can repent, even you.

Repent? To who? ANd for what? Is it a sin to talk on the freeband? Dave,
you're losing nd, here.

>It's not too late to atone for the error of your

>ways.

See above for examples of a form of civil disobedience..

>Yes, and I'm waiting to see someone attempt

>to use this reasoning to obtain the legal

>authorization of the freeband

Only you could.

>I've seen far more ridiculous claims come forth
>by misguided citizens against the government.
>So I would not be surprised if someone tried

>the "squatter's rights" angle with respect to

>radio spectrum.

Most understand the concept can not be applied to such, You, on the
other hand, are expressing great difficulty with the concept.

>Then again, some people would rather just

>operate illegally rather than going through the

>trouble to have an perceived unjust rule

>changed. Those people are simply weak.

Like yourself,,,who is reactive but never proactive. Great analogy,

>The only thing you have in your favor is that

>the FCC is not motivated enough to do much

>about it.

You have nothing in your favor. It's all blatant hypocrisy.

>What have I said, that could be considered

>hypocritical?

too many things to list, but many regs have illustrated it for
you,,,it;s not their fault you can;t comprehend it.

> Is operation on the freeband not illegal?

>Should the law not be respected? How many

>more excuses are you going to invent to hide,

>obfuscate, justify, or otherwise downplay the

>fact that you willingly ignore a federal law?

It really galls you that you were never given any reasons, let alone
conjured excuses of which onlu you hallucinate.

> It's not that it's any less illegal, it's only that

>they don't care enough.

Because it is rightly a non-issue to the majority, of which you clearly
do not belong, leading to the fact that you are a minority wishing to
dictate your beliefs to the masses. Doesn't work that way.

>Sort of like the democratic party trying to

>subvert the constitution by an abusive

>application of a filibuster to block judicial

>nominees......

You said you were behind all legal activities. You're a hypocrite.
Fillibusters are legal.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

3069. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 16:47:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <AS4he.28$E93.1186917@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200094

Dave:

"Be kind to John. He shares your opinion that people should be allowed to transmit anywhere."

That is NOT what I said at all, they should ONLY be able to transmit in the radio spectrum which is theirs--off the top of my head, this would only, very roughly, be about one-half of the full radio spectrum... the other half split up between various other users... and the rest being used by citizens...

... without it being organized, and the necessary freqs set aside for the various uses... and the ridiculous restrictions... the result may well be--people transmitting all over the spectrum... but that is a rather insane system...

Warmest regards,
John
--
Sit down the six-pack!!! STEP AWAY!!! ...and go do something...

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message news:o87981pr6dcv3qfout1runbgaahjicjtm3@4ax.com...
| On Thu, 12 May 2005 10:14:42 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
| AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
|
| >From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
| >>Oh no, he is nothing special alright... I imagine
| >>your IQ has been tested
| >
| >>and blows him away...
| >
| >
| >Your "imagination" is limited only yourself. Nothing odd about how you
| >continue to prefer to change the topic to one of a poster instead of the
| >topic. You see, this is a flub of the communication-challenged. Denial
| >is not a river in Egypt. Try and remain focused on the topic and not
| >allow your personal emotions to dictate poor communication form. Once
| >again, remaining on topic is the preferred MO. If you continue to
| >struggle with such, you may wish to examine your present agenda.
| >
| >>And, surely you have rubbed elbows with
| >
| >>colleges such as he has, perhaps you even
| >
| >>share many of the same friends--too bad

| >
| >>about Carl Sagans' passing--I bet you miss
| >
| >>him...
| >
| >
| >And my "bet" is proving more valid with each uncontrollable emotion of
| >yours that manifests in the most entertaining of manners. With a single
| >post, I have not only captivated yourr attention, but created an entity
| >of obsession so intense, your can focus on nothing but your newly chosen
| >"topic...."me". (makes sign of cross, blesses the unsavory and newfound
| >church member wearing Halloween mask) .
| >
| >
| >> and hold many as close personal friends--
| >
| >Claiming you knew Sagan personally means nothing to the masses, so
| >forgive my curiosity for inquiring as to why you felt it to important to
| >mention? Feeling bad about yourself and needing a pick-me-up? LOL..
| >
| >
| >>the rich exchange you have with them keeps
| >
| >>you quite up to date--I can tell from your text...
| >
| >
| >And the contingency can tell quite more from your multiple posts
| >"suddenly" (LMAO) focusing on nothing but myself. Now,,,THAT is the
| >defnition of rich,,but you continue with the gaffes, so it's more than
| >worth the entertainment.
| >
| >>Nope, no one would ever confuse you with an
| >
| >>"Arm-Chair-Genius."
| >
| >
| >Nor you with managing to remain on topic and focus on the subject
| >instead of changing it to one of a poster you became fixated upon with
| >your manias. Of course, people like yourself need reminded that usenet
| >participants should focus on subject matter and not that of the poster,
| >but like you said, no one would -ever- confuse you with someone who
| >comprehended proper communication etiquette.
| >
| >>Warmest regards,
| >
| >>John
| >
| >Right backatcha!!
|
|

| Be kind to John. He shares your opinion that people should be allowed
| to transmit anywhere.
|
| Dave
| "Sandbagger"

3070. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 18:42:35 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116009755.340516.95270@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200095

U Know Who wrote:
> "Happy Yawning Festival" <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
message
> news:heLge.3766$i03.275@fe06.lga...
> > "chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
> > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1115912727.670240.12590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> >
> >> Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic? Tell us so we can
come
> >> and introduce ourselves. We know you are too much of a coward to
face
> >> us on equal terms, so you will never do it.
> >>
> >>
> >
> > "equal terms" ?? <---- LMAO!! :))
> >
> > Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort to rigged games.
> >
> > Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??
> >
> >
>
> Doug and Leland.

Wrong. More people than you think.

3071. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 18:43:14 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116009794.909597.228420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200096

Ahh, another of mopathetics lovers pops up!

3072. mopathetic insults and blabbers, but won't face up on equal terms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 18:44:47 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116009887.210692.212650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200097

Where ya camping, mopathetic? Tell us and we will come introduce
ourselves. Chickenboy won't do it cuz he is scared.

3073. Re: SUV question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 18:49:18 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116010158.140259.117230@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200098

This is how all pseudointellectuals debate. Assert that something is a given, even if it patently is not, and then form all subsequent repartee as having to turn on the initial lie. I am impressed, Frank. You musta studied Goebbels.

3074. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 18:58:51 UTC
From: Freeband sucks <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116010170.3e6f1873b1407f9d5191a59369c56138@bubbanews>
Source record: 200099

On Fri, 13 May 2005 09:47:29 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Dave:
>
>"Be kind to John. He shares your opinion that people should be allowed to
>transmit anywhere."
>
>That is NOT what I said at all, they should ONLY be able to transmit in the
>radio spectrum which is theirs--off the top of my head, this would only,
>very roughly, be about one-half of the full radio spectrum... the other
>half split up between various other users... and the rest being used by
>citizens...
>
>... without it being organized, and the necessary freqs set aside for the
>various uses... and the ridiculous restrictions... the result may well
>be--people transmitting all over the spectrum... but that is a rather
>insane system...
>
>Warmest regards,
>John

On Tue, 10 May 2005 10:31:49 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

I am also open to them providing a section of this spectrum to
specialized hobbies and for experimentation... however, the majority
of it is mine

is it half or the majority?

3075. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 19:05:56 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ytadnVlUfclOZxnfRVn-3g@giganews.com>
Source record: 200100

chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC wrote:
> Ahh, another of mopathetics lovers pops up!

Attribute you dumb shit. How is anyone supposed to know who you're whining about?

3076. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 20:21:27 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <j53a81huo3ciemej2dv6brd26s0kgndqve@4ax.com>
Source record: 200101

On 9 May 2005 17:47:14 -0700, "Cliff" <thecowboyace@yahoo.com> wrote:

>When compared to a stated db in a ground plane you should get at least
>10db better with a 2 element quard. Go to:
>http://www.signalengineering.com/ultimate/
*****

I perused this page and for most of the info is adequate explaination.
I think he really lacks good explanation is some areas.

james

3077. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 21:07:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6G8he.51$wq2.9330847@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200104

Well, granting the "other users"--gov't, military, community transportation, hobbists, etc... a whole half is probably over-kill--but better to be generous than not...

Warmest regards,
John
--
Marbles can be used in models with excellent results! However, if forced to keep using all of mine up... I may end up at a disadvantage... I seem to have misplaced some!!!

"Freeband sucks" <freeband_sucks@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1116010170.3e6f1873b1407f9d5191a59369c56138@bubbanews...
| On Fri, 13 May 2005 09:47:29 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| >Dave:
| >
| >"Be kind to John. He shares your opinion that people should be allowed to
| >transmit anywhere."
| >
| >That is NOT what I said at all, they should ONLY be able to transmit in the
| >radio spectrum which is theirs--off the top of my head, this would only,
| >very roughly, be about one-half of the full radio spectrum... the other
| >half split up between various other users... and the rest being used by
| >citizens...
| >
| >... without it being organized, and the necessary freqs set aside for the
| >various uses... and the ridiculous restrictions... the result may well
| >be--people transmitting all over the spectrum... but that is a rather
| >insane system...
| >
| >Warmest regards,
| >John
|
| On Tue, 10 May 2005 10:31:49 -0700, "John Smith"
| <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
|
| I am also open to them providing a section of this spectrum to
| specialized hobbies and for experimentation... however, the majority
| of it is mine
|
| is it half or the majority?

3078. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 21:08:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16606-42851758-466@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200102

>Be kind to John.

I have been. I have treated him in kind response.

> He shares your opinion that

>people should be allowed to transmit

>anywhere.

>David Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

Such an opinion appears nowhere except in forges and your repetitious
references to such. The extent at which you defile yourself is nothing
short of astonishing.

3079. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 21:21:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16606-42851A4C-469@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200103

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
Dave:
"Be kind to John. He shares your opinion that people should be allowed
to transmit anywhere."

>That is NOT what I said at all,

Yea,,,well, N3CVJ has a bad habit of deliberately misattributing claims
to those he admires. Congratulations.

>Warmest regards,

>John

backatchacottonpicker

3080. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 22:04:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8602-42852485-382@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200106

From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
(chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC)
U Know Who wrote:
"Happy Yawning Festival" <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
message news:heLge.3766$i03.275@fe06.lga...
"chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1115912727.670240.12590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic?
(Tell us so we can come and introduce ourselves. We know you are too
much of a coward to face us on equal terms, so you will never do it.)

"equal terms" ?? <---- LMAO!! :))

-Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort

-to rigged games.

(Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??)

-Doug and Leland.

>Wrong. More people than you think.

No one would identify themself as being even remotely associated with
Dogie except lelnad. They're two undesirables with much in common.

3081. Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ. The good news is that you can be sure you are going to Heaven when this life is over if you allow Jesus Christ to save you today. Do not wait until later to be saved because you do not know exactly when you will die. [Posted by: RonaldGrossi3823@yahoo.com on May 13, 2005] [6:14:35 pm]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 22:14:16 UTC
From: RonaldGrossi3823@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116022456.305355.239250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200105
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

http://www.gotquestions.org/sinners-prayer.html << I saw this site on a search directory. Great Resource!

3082. Ping Leland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 22:23:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050513182330.595$kh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200107

Speed channel is running a show about Woodward tonight at 8:30 called cruise.

3083. Re: Leland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 22:28:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050513182832.577$11_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200108

truth@truenough.net wrote:
> ...is always pathetic
>
zzzzzz

3084. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 22:30:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050513183012.165$rn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200109

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC wrote:
> > Ahh, another of mopathetics lovers pops up!
>
> Attribute you dumb shit. How is anyone supposed to know who you're
> whining about?
>
Who cares besides his PO? lol

3085. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 22:32:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050513183213.410$dk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200110

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
> (chicken=A0boy=A0coward=A0mopathetic=A0is=A0scared=A0of=A0AKC)
> U Know Who wrote:
> "Happy Yawning Festival" <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
> message news:heLge.3766$i03.275@fe06.lga...
> "chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1115912727.670240.12590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic?
> (Tell us so we can come and introduce ourselves. We know you are too
> much of a coward to face us on equal terms, so you will never do it.)
>
> "equal terms" ?? <---- LMAO!! :))
>
> -Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort
>
> -to rigged games.
>
> (Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??)
>
> -Doug and Leland.
>
> >Wrong. More people than you think.
>
> No one would identify themself as being even remotely associated with
> Dogie except lelnad. They're two undesirables with much in common.
>
Dogie looks sorta like my dick, after I'm done fucking.

3086. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-13 22:33:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050513183321.318$ZG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200111

truth@truth.com wrote:
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > > > On 8 May 2005 17:34:14 -0700, "farmerjoe1" <farmerjoe1@charter.net>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Late last summer we had a very close lightning hit,now my SWR's
> > > > >are high.Any way i can run some sort of test to see if the Antrton
> > > > >is shotred out?
> > > >
> > > > Nuke it and get the Imax 2000 or Maco.
> > > >
> > > > Vinnie S.
> > > >
> > > Nuke all of those and get what I use for a ground plane.
> > >
> > > http://www.a1antennas.com/
> > >
> > Like this?
> >
> > http://www.a1antennas.com/order.html
>
> STFU, asplundh boi.
>
I know those guys! Well, a few of them anyway. good tree doods.

3087. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 00:06:40 UTC
From: You must be Kidding <Iam@here.com>
Message-ID: <kibhe.1342870$8l.624707@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 200112
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

If your Jesus has anything to sell here, then your welcome to post here.
But I heard someone was saying they killed him.
So take your Jesus and Bible to
alt.Iamabeliever.jesus.thesaviour.letmesaveyou.sinnersarewelcome.org
newsgroup!!!

<RonaldGrossi3823@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116022456.305355.239250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.gotquestions.org/sinners-prayer.html << I saw this site on a
> search directory. Great Resource!
>

3088. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 00:27:29 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <RBbhe.78632$h6.27364@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 200113

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050513183213.410$dk@newsreader.com...
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
>> (chicken=A0boy=A0coward=A0mopathetic=A0is=A0scared=A0of=A0AKC)
>> U Know Who wrote:
>> "Happy Yawning Festival" <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
>> message news:heLge.3766$i03.275@fe06.lga...
>> "chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1115912727.670240.12590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>> Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic?
>> (Tell us so we can come and introduce ourselves. We know you are too
>> much of a coward to face us on equal terms, so you will never do it.)
>>
>> "equal terms" ?? <---- LMAO!! :))
>>
>> -Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort
>>
>> -to rigged games.
>>
>> (Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??)
>>
>> -Doug and Leland.
>>
>> >Wrong. More people than you think.
>>
>> No one would identify themself as being even remotely associated with
>> Dogie except lelnad. They're two undesirables with much in common.
>>
> Dogie looks sorta like my dick, after I'm done fucking.

Aw man Mopar! That's almost like dissing the girl you're with!

3089. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 00:32:30 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hoha811796k7vghc296cj1u6sp92ulu10g@4ax.com>
Source record: 200114

On 13 May 2005 22:32:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
AO!! :))
>>
>> -Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort
>>
>> -to rigged games.
>>
>> (Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??)
>>
>> -Doug and Leland.
>>
>> >Wrong. More people than you think.
>>
>> No one would identify themself as being even remotely associated with
>> Dogie except lelnad. They're two undesirables with much in common.
>>
>Dogie looks sorta like my dick, after I'm done fucking.

Too much information !!!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

3090. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 00:42:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050513204236.113$MC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200115

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>> > Dogie looks sorta like my dick, after I'm done fucking.
>
> Aw man Mopar! That's almost like dissing the girl you're with!
>
Is that anything like coyote ugly? (arm chew off)

3091. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 00:48:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050513204810.374$dW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200116

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 13 May 2005 22:32:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> AO!! :))
> >>
> >> -Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort
> >>
> >> -to rigged games.
> >>
> >> (Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??)
> >>
> >> -Doug and Leland.
> >>
> >> >Wrong. More people than you think.
> >>
> >> No one would identify themself as being even remotely associated with
> >> Dogie except lelnad. They're two undesirables with much in common.
> >>
> >Dogie looks sorta like my dick, after I'm done fucking.
>
> Too much information !!!!!!!!
>
True-dat.

3092. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ. The good news is that you can be sure you are going to Heaven when this life is over if you allow Jesus Christ to save you today. Do not wait until later to be saved because you do not know exactly when you will die. [Posted by: RonaldGrossi3823@yahoo.com on May 13, 2005] [6:14:35 pm]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 00:58:01 UTC
From: enilkira@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1116032281.125974.123570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200117
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Are you serious? Do you realzie that Jeesus was just a fable made up by the Christians to keep power over the mases? It is a government fear based relidion that has lead to the demise of miillions, take your crap elsewhere.

3093. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 01:08:32 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lcche.87$Q54.34667639@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200119
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Luckly, I was able to purchase Jesus's full supply of "holy water" before
they killed him....

If you order before midnight tonight, I am selling these 1 oz. bottles of
Jewish Holy Water for the unbelievable price of only $9.99!!!!

John
--
Marbles can be used in models with excellent results! However, if forced
to keep using all of mine up... I may end up at a disadvantage... I seem
to have misplaced some!!!

"You must be Kidding" <Iam@here.com> wrote in message
news:kibhe.1342870$8l.624707@pd7tw1no...
| If your Jesus has anything to sell here, then your welcome to post here.
| But I heard someone was saying they killed him.
| So take your Jesus and Bible to
| alt.Iamabeliever.jesus.thesaviour.letmesaveyou.sinnersarewelcome.org
| newsgroup!!!
|
| <RonaldGrossi3823@yahoo.com> wrote in message
| news:1116022456.305355.239250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
| > http://www.gotquestions.org/sinners-prayer.html << I saw this site on a
| > search directory. Great Resource!
| >
|
|

3094. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who have rejected Jesus Christ. The good news is that you can be sure you are going to Heaven whe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 01:27:58 UTC
From: bh@ms.net
Message-ID: <428552f5.334562@news.sasktel.net>
Source record: 200118
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Jesus is as dead as Elvis, Hitler and JFK but he is still making lots
of money (give me all your money and when you die you go to heaven)
Jesus will go down in history as the most succesfull get rich quick
artist in the world

Bill

On 13 May 2005 17:58:01 -0700, enilkira@gmail.com wrote:

>Are you serious? Do you realzie that Jeesus was just a fable made up by
>the Christians to keep power over the mases? It is a government fear
>based relidion that has lead to the demise of miillions, take your crap
>elsewhere.
>

3095. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 01:48:09 UTC
From: Alias
Message-ID: <oNche.44460$US.33836@news.ono.com>
Source record: 200120
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

"Bill" <bh@ms.net> wrote
> Jesus is as dead as Elvis, Hitler and JFK but he is still making lots
> of money (give me all your money and when you die you go to heaven)
> Jesus will go down in history as the most succesfull get rich quick
> artist in the world
>
> Bill

Well said. Those who believe in non existant gods and The Church,
unfortunately, are too numerous to count. No wonder the world is in such a
mess.

Alias
>
> On 13 May 2005 17:58:01 -0700, enilkira@gmail.com wrote:
>
>>Are you serious? Do you realzie that Jeesus was just a fable made up by
>>the Christians to keep power over the mases? It is a government fear
>>based relidion that has lead to the demise of miillions, take your crap
>>elsewhere.
>>
>

3096. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 01:51:42 UTC
From: Alias
Message-ID: <JQche.39820$dr.14939@news.ono.com>
Source record: 200121
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

"Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:EuGdnSlM9N5FyhjfRVn-rQ@adelphia.com...
> Ya mention Jesus the son of God and all the loonies come out, but I guess
> that's why He came into the world in the first place. (See Cactus I
> figured out how not to respond crosspostingly,

How could you deprive others of your incisive wisdom, Doug?

> now if I could figure out how to uncross my eyeballs) Doug

Never happen.

Alias
>
>
>
> "Bill" <bh@ms.net> wrote in message
> news:428552f5.334562@news.sasktel.net...
>> Jesus is as dead as Elvis, Hitler and JFK but he is still making lots
>> of money (give me all your money and when you die you go to heaven)
>> Jesus will go down in history as the most succesfull get rich quick
>> artist in the world
>>
>> Bill
>>
>> On 13 May 2005 17:58:01 -0700, enilkira@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Are you serious? Do you realzie that Jeesus was just a fable made up by
>>>the Christians to keep power over the mases? It is a government fear
>>>based relidion that has lead to the demise of miillions, take your crap
>>>elsewhere.
>>>
>>
>
>

3097. Re: Where did we go? (MA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 01:54:19 UTC
From: Alias
Message-ID: <aTche.39821$dr.29097@news.ono.com>
Source record: 200122
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,rec.radio.cb

"Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:xaudnQKUPMuExBjfRVn-hw@adelphia.com...
>
>
>>
>> Not at all. To people who don't have reading comprehension problems,
>> that's not what I said, although the double entendere was clever and
>> cute, you must admit. Why would *anyone* *want* to have a period!?
>>
>
> I want a period bad.

Here ya go:

.

I'll show yo what I mean. I'm done talking about your
> secretary fantasies PERIOD. See? ouch... Doug

Huh?

Alias

3098. Re: I was invited to a busibess in aransa to interview for a job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 01:56:49 UTC
From: Alias
Message-ID: <wVche.44461$US.5778@news.ono.com>
Source record: 200123
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,rec.radio.cb

"Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote

> Is this a Buddist principle? Or.... do really just post the daily sausage
> to aggravate people? Doug

Buddhism is common sense. Sorry if that escapes you, Doug. 'Course your uninformed preconceptions don't help much either.

Alias
>
>
>
> "Alias" <aka@[not me]maskedandanonymous.eu> wrote in message
> news:uOche.39819$dr.19866@news.ono.com...
>>
>> "d" <drdigger@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>>
>>> upon arrival I was informed that they don't hire HCV people.
>>> big Compnay (5000)
>>> Any ideas>
>>
>> Yeah, next time LIE.
>>
>> Alias
>>
>
>

3099. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 02:01:58 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <r_che.93$9H6.40275056@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200124
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

Stop these abominations!!!

I worship the one true God and know all the unbelievers will be banished to hell!!!!

The "Mud Hole King" is the one true God who set forth the laws of evolution so that we might all crawl out of a mud hole and think we are better than we really are!!!!

A bunch of mud ducks... <grin>

John
--
Marbles can be used in models with excellent results! However, if forced to keep using all of mine up... I may end up at a disadvantage... I seem to have misplaced some!!!

<enilkira@gmail.com> wrote in message news:1116032281.125974.123570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
| Are you serious? Do you realzie that Jeesus was just a fable made up by
| the Christians to keep power over the mases? It is a government fear
| based relidion that has lead to the demise of miillions, take your crap
| elsewhere.
|

3100. Re: I was invited to a busibess in aransa to interview for a job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 02:03:35 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <X%che.94$fD6.40108183@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200125
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,rec.radio.cb

Buddhism is great, but it is a philosophy... not a religion...

John
--
Marbles can be used in models with excellent results! However, if forced
to keep using all of mine up... I may end up at a disadvantage... I seem
to have misplaced some!!!

"Alias" <aka@[not me]maskedandanonymous.eu> wrote in message
news:wVche.44461$US.5778@news.ono.com...
|
| "Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote
|
| > Is this a Buddist principle? Or.... do really just post the daily
sausage
| > to aggravate people? Doug
|
| Buddhism is common sense. Sorry if that escapes you, Doug. 'Course your
| uninformed preconceptions don't help much either.
|
| Alias
| >
| >
| >
| > "Alias" <aka@[not me]maskedandanonymous.eu> wrote in message
| > news:uOche.39819$dr.19866@news.ono.com...
| >>
| >> "d" <drdigger@sbcglobal.net> wrote
| >>
| >>> upon arrival I was informed that they don't hire HCV people.
| >>> big Compnay (5000)
| >>> Any ideas>
| >>
| >> Yeah, next time LIE.
| >>
| >> Alias
| >>
| >
| >
|
|

3101. Re: I was invited to a busibess in aransa to interview for a job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 02:04:20 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <G0dhe.95$5N6.40547422@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200126
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,rec.radio.cb

... BOW DOWN and worship the Mud Hole King--or be banished to hell!!!!

John
--
Marbles can be used in models with excellent results! However, if forced
to keep using all of mine up... I may end up at a disadvantage... I seem
to have misplaced some!!!

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:X%che.94$fD6.40108183@news.sisna.com...
| Buddhism is great, but it is a philosophy... not a religion...
|
| John
| --
| Marbles can be used in models with excellent results! However, if forced
| to keep using all of mine up... I may end up at a disadvantage... I seem
| to have misplaced some!!!
|
|
| "Alias" <aka@[not me]maskedandanonymous.eu> wrote in message
| news:wVche.44461$US.5778@news.ono.com...
||
|| "Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote
||
|| > Is this a Buddist principle? Or.... do really just post the daily
| sausage
|| > to aggravate people? Doug
||
|| Buddhism is common sense. Sorry if that escapes you, Doug. 'Course your
|| uninformed preconceptions don't help much either.
||
|| Alias
|| >
|| >
|| >
|| > "Alias" <aka@[not me]maskedandanonymous.eu> wrote in message
|| > news:uOche.39819$dr.19866@news.ono.com...
|| >>
|| >> "d" <drdigger@sbcglobal.net> wrote
|| >>
|| >>> upon arrival I was informed that they don't hire HCV people.
|| >>> big Compnay (5000)
|| >>> Any ideas>
|| >>

|| >> Yeah, next time LIE.
|| >>
|| >> Alias
|| >>
|| >
|| >
||
||
|
|

3102. Re: I was invited to a busibess in aransa to interview for a job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 02:04:29 UTC
From: Doug <dt12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <4Z6dnZRLTK8xwRjfRVn-jA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200127
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,rec.radio.cb

Alias, lying is not common sense. Doug

"Alias" <aka@[not me]maskedandanonymous.eu> wrote in message >>> Yeah, next time LIE.
>>>
>>> Alias
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3103. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 02:07:32 UTC
From: Doug <dt12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <y-udnZQCK_f-wBjfRVn-2A@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200128
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

quuuuuuaaaaaaaaccccck quack..... Doug

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:r_che.93$9H6.40275056@news.sisna.com...
> Stop these abominations!!!
>
> I worship the one true God and know all the unbelievers will be banished
> to
> hell!!!!
>
> The "Mud Hole King" is the one true God who set forth the laws of
> evolution
> so that we might all crawl out of a mud hole and think we are better than
> we
> really are!!!!
>
> A bunch of mud ducks... <grin>
>
> John
> --
> Marbles can be used in models with excellent results! However, if forced
> to keep using all of mine up... I may end up at a disadvantage... I seem
> to have misplaced some!!!
>
>
> <enilkira@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1116032281.125974.123570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> | Are you serious? Do you realzie that Jeesus was just a fable made up by
> | the Christians to keep power over the mases? It is a government fear
> | based relidion that has lead to the demise of miillions, take your crap
> | elsewhere.
> |
>
>

3104. Re: I was invited to a busibess in aransa to interview for a job

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 02:07:39 UTC
From: Alias <aka@[notme]>
Message-ID: <G3dhe.44462$US.35301@news.ono.com>
Source record: 200129
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,rec.radio.cb

"Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote

> Alias, lying is not common sense. Doug

A lie.

Alias
>
>
> "Alias" <aka@[not me]maskedandanonymous.eu> wrote in message >>> Yeah,
> next time LIE.
>>>>
>>>> Alias
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3105. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 03:37:59 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <roehe.8767$i03.3856@fe06.lga>
Source record: 200130

"chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1116009794.909597.228420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>>> Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic? Tell us so we can come
>>> and introduce ourselves. We know you are too much of a coward to
>>> face us on equal terms, so you will never do it.

>> "equal terms" ?? <---- LMAO!! :))

>> Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort to rigged games.

>> Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??

> Ahh, another of mopathetics lovers pops up!

So Loonland Scott is your other akc flunky/boi-pal, eh?

3106. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 05:22:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dn2b811ajbh2h2588ungj5558ljdlub238@4ax.com>
Source record: 200131

On Fri, 13 May 2005 09:44:09 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <pa79815bniu7dvmtsjgaa5l59hgir8pqr0@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>>Well, no, that's my whole point. Something has to "guide" the
>>>development of life.
>>
>>
>>Why?
>
>Otherwise there would be no progress, only a random jumbling of
>unrelated mutations. Something has to determine which mutations are an
>"improvement", and whether those traits will be carried on.

It seems pretty simple to me -- a frog with poisonous skin doesn't get
eaten, and a tiger without teeth doesn't eat. It takes about as much
intelligence to figure out which species survives as it does to figure
out why. So why can't you figure it out?

<snip>
>What determines what works best? If, as you suggest, all advances in
>evolution occur as a random mutation (Which it would have to be if it
>were not deliberately introduced), what feedback mechanism exists to
>determine whether that single mutation will proliferate to other
>species, or even descendants of the same species?

When a mutation survives long enough to reproduce. Or was that a trick
question?

>> Do you think that rain must come
>>from God because we don't know how it gets into the sky? ....oh, wait
>>a sec, we -do- know how it gets into the sky. Bad example. So do you
>>think that the Earth is at the center of the Univ..... uh, forget that
>>one, Galileo really shamed the church when he proved that the Earth
>>orbits the Sun. Ok, how about this: The rainbow -must- be proof of God
>>because it..... nope, Newton shot that one down in flames. Well how
>>about music? God must have invented music, right? After all, how did
>>birds learn how to sing? oops, another bad example.....
>>
>>Gee Dave, it sure looks like all of God's "creations" are slowly being
>>discovered to be nothing more than natural phenomena. Except maybe for
>>Michael Jackson.
>
>So because some examples of physics can be accurately demonstrated,
>that there is no possibility of intelligent design and guidance?

I didn't say anything of the sort. I said, but you can't understand, that when something isn't fully understood or remains undiscovered it isn't automatically considered to be an act of God. That process of thought may have been the 'tradition' for several thousand years but not any longer. At least not for most of us.

>>>>-- when you consider that the bell
>>>>curve consists of a population as great as the number of events that
>>>>occur in the Universe within any period of time, it becomes utterly
>>>>-ridiculous- to think that life requires divine intervention.
>>>
>>>You're just too hung up of formal religion. It's preventing you to
>>>consider the possibility.
>>
>>
>>Just because a certain part of the ocean is unexplored doesn't mean
>>it's inhabited with monsters.
>
>Doesn't mean that it isn't either.

So because the possibility exists, we should conclude that those monsters -must- exist? Of course not. You -believe- they exist because that's what -you- want to believe. The rest of us hoist sail and go discover the facts.

>> -You- are too hung up on religion to
>>realize that randomness (aka, 'chaos') is nothing more than a term
>>used to describe the collective effect of dynamic systems that are
>>either so numerous or complex that their components -have yet- to be
>>isolated and identified.
>
>Random and chaos are exactly that, actions which occur with no pattern
>or forethought.

Haven't you been paying attention, Dave? Nothing occurs "with no pattern". The patterns are there, they are just too numerous or complex to identify. Weather was once thought to be random and/or chaotic. But thanks to people that are more intelligent than you we have learned patterns of weather well enough to predict, with some degree of certainty, what it will do in the future.

>> That doesn't mean a seemingly random process
>>-doesn't- have a logical and scientific explanation, only that the
>>process is as yet unidentified.
>
> But you can't build order from chaos. At least not without some
>intelligence guiding it.

Wrong. Nature if full of examples of where order grew out of chaos -without- intelligent guidance. It happens all the time. You can duplicate the process yourself with a child's science experiment: growing crystals.

>> And if you can't understand that much
>>then you probably still check under your bed every night for the
>>boogie man.
>
>Why? More false analogy fallacies? Because we know enough to determine
>that there is no "boogieman", does not mean that we know enough to
>discount the existence of "God".

That's the difference between you and me, Dave: You believe what you
want to believe until it can be proven wrong (and sometimes even proof
isn't enough). I, on the other hand, need proof -before- I'll believe
in something so outlandish as an omniscient, omnipotent super-being
that 'willed' the Universe into existence.

>>>>And if
>>>>there -is- evidence of guidance by some intelligent force, it's far
>>>>more likely that this "force" is not God but some sort of ETI.
>>>
>>>Well now, you ARE making progress. You opened your mind for a split
>>>second. Tell me Frank, what is the definition of "God"?
>>
>>
>>ROTFLMMFAO!!! You aren't suggesting that God is a collective of little
>>grey humanoids from the planet Zorkon, are you? Beam me up, Scotty!
>
>Why not? Is it not within the realm of possibilities that what we
>consider "God" may be a superior intelligence which created this
>planet for who knows what reason (Other than 42)?

Adams was pointing out that the Universe can't be reduced to a simple
equation. I agree, but his implication was that there must be some
divine influence, to which I don't agree. Adams is not an authority on
the subject. And for you to cite his work in a context contrary to its
meaning is proof that you are not an authority on Adams.

>>>> May the
>>>>force be with you, Dave!
>>>
>>>It always has been.
>>
>>
>>OB1 has taught you well, young Jedi. But here is something you must
>>know: I am your father, Dave. At least that's what your mother told me
>>after she lost two other paternity suits.
>
>Since it is likely that I am older than you, that is a physical
>impossibility. But the increasing personal insults is a sure sign that
>you have run out of facts and logic, and have resorted to ad-hominem
>to make you case. The best you can hope for in this discussion is a
>stalemate. There are simply not enough facts to make your case.

You keep on believing that, Dave. Include it in your bedtime prayers
to the saucer men -- right after you make sure the boogie man isn't

lurking under the bed.

<snip>
>More insults? The fact is that you can't answer the question, and
>chose instead to mock me.

What can I say -- your arguments are illogical and repetitive, you show no ability to think independently, your opinions are founded on ignorance, the 'facts' you present are fabrications based on your own assumptions, your vocabulary includes words you don't understand, and your rhetoric is no better than that of a grade-school bully. Yet you continue to put on your facade that you are somehow outsmarting me at every turn and refuse to see just how profoundly stupid you sound when you try. So how can I possibly resist? I mock you because you ask for it, Dave. In fact, I have been mocking you for months but you are too stupid to understand how. The difference is that now I've dumbed-down my remarks to a level low enough that you can see them for what they really are.

>You believe what you want to believe based on nothing more than pure
>faith, which is no different than what I do. But the difference is
>that you arrogantly insist that I am somehow "wrong".

Because you -are- wrong, and here's a little experiment you can do to demonstrate just how wrong you are. First, find a wall made of brick or concrete. Then sit you butt down, pray to the saucer men, and ask them to turn the wall into jello. Draw upon your faith to believe that when you perform the next step in the experiment that the wall will most certainly be jello. Then curl up your little fist and hit the wall just as hard as you can.

By the time the pain subsides you will understand that, even though you can -choose- to believe anything you want, facts define reality.

> You tell
>yourself that facts and logic back you up, but the truth is that there
>are little facts available to make a positive determination on whether
>life here evolved purely at random, and without any outside influence.
>You further re-enforce your faith by telling yourself that the facts
>are out there, and that we just haven't found them yet. And while this
>is most likely true, you should be careful what you wish for. For the
>answers you seek may not be the ones you want to hear.

.....oh brother.

>>> What
>>>drives that purpose?
>>
>>
>>When Moses asked God what the people should call him, God responded,
>>"I am that I am." IOW, God exists for the sake of himself.
>
>Interesting that you quote something that you deny the existence of,

The Bible doesn't exist?

>to make a point. Of course the irony of that position was not lost on
>me.
>
>> For us
>>mortals it isn't much different -- life is spent propogating
>>ourselves. For human males that consists of impregnating as many
>>females as possible, hence the common characteristic of men to "love
>>'em and leave 'em", and their willingness to screw just about anything
>>that is receptive to their advances. The female reproductive role is
>>more complex. Traditionally it has been to nurture and protect the
>>larvae until they can be kicked out of the house. This explains why
>>some women are gold-diggers (money = security, taken to an extreme).
>
>That is a hopelessly jaded position to take. You basically stated that
>all males have an intrinsic excuse for infidelity, in that they are
>instinctively hard wired for such behavior. The fact that was can
>transcend instinctive behavior plays no part in this I guess....

I never claimed it was an excuse, nor did I suggest that we can't
overcome our instincts.

<snip>
>>BTW, this isn't my theory. It's from a well-documented study on human
>>behavior that has been supported by numerous independent studies.
>
>I know all too well. The Learning Channel had an very interesting
>series on human behavior and covered this topic and the parallels in
>the animal world. I also used this information in a debate once with a
>hard core feminist, who was forwarding the " all men are pigs" notion,
>and defended their behavior as instinctive programming. Of course, I
>did it just for the reaction. I believe that using primitive
>instinctive traits to justify unacceptable social behavior is simply a
>lame excuse for those who have a weak will.

Where did I suggest that instinctive behavior should be used to
justify anything? Are you using that crystal ball again, Dave?

>>But if you need to find a purpose that transcends natural biology, try
>>the simple fact that we -can- transcend biology. That, by itself, as a
>>"purpose" for life, is reflected heavily in the Bhuddist faith and to
>>some extent with the Hindu. The 'challenge' of life, therefore, is to
>>overcome our animal instincts and attain a higher level of being.
>
>Hence the enlightened and evolved call for a monogamous union.

If that's your choice.

<snip>
>>Of course you could always take a perspective from Monty Python, but I
>>think Monty Python itself is reason enough to live.
>

>I was never that much of a Python fan.

I'm not suprised.

<snip>
>See, this is what's so puzzling about you Frank. Once in a while you
>unload with a brilliant piece of perspective, which is at total odds
>with your status in life. You're one hell of an underachiever.

Dr. Kramer probably wouldn't agree. Do you want the book or not?

<snip>
>But what decides which mutation, many of which could adapt to the
>environment (a 3rd or 4th eye for instance), actually survives enough
>to become incorporated into the mainstream?

Survival of the fittest. Variations that improve survivability are
regenerated. Variations that are useless aren't regenerated because
they hinder survivability; i.e, they are excess baggage.

<snip>
>I have studied the subject and the questions I raise are analytical
>and logical in nature. Either evolution is designed to improve the
>species, or not. If it is, then what feedback determines what is
>actually an improvement. If not, then what does improve the species?

Making sure that mutants like you don't reproduce.

<snip>
>>I suppose I should start with Rocky and Bulwinkle. You see, Rocky is a
>>"flying squirrel". They don't really fly, but glide from one place to
>>another using skin that has overgrown. The skin probably evolved
>>because the critters kept falling out of the trees, and the species
>>with the variation of loose skin allowed more of them to survive the
>>falls.
>
>Then why do other squirrels not have loose skin? Do they not fall from
>trees as well? Why only the "flying" squirrel? And what determined
>whether that skin actually helped them, other those other squirrels
>who don't?

Why do you need me to explain these things to you? Don't you have a
brain? Can't you figure it out for yourself? Try it. Put yourself into
a logical frame of mind, temporarily adopt my method of thought, and
try answering your own question for once.

>> Easy enough. The next logical step would be an variation of
>>their "wings" that would allow them to glide for longer periods of
>>time, and over greater distances. Perhaps even a variation where
>>muscle movement gives a little extra flight time. Eventually, over a
>>few hundred thousand years and thousands of generations, there will
>>probably be a squirrel that can really fly.
>

>Better late than never? Birds already fly. Why would a squirrel need
>to fly now? What tactical advantage would that provide it over
>non-flying squirrels? Would those random mutations also thin its
>bones, and provide the proper lift/drag ratio in order to attain
>sustained flight?

Why not? It worked with dinosaurs, didn't it?

>>But you propose that one day there was a rat, then a miracle occured
>>and *poof* there was a bat? I don't think so, Dave.
>
>Despite the fact that you don't "think" so, does not mean that that's
>exactly what might have happened. It's certainly easier to rationalize
>than a series of random mutations adding up to a viable new species.

It's easier to believe in instantaneous transformation when your mind
is too small to fathom the vast amounts of time nature has had to
'play God', so to speak.

<snip>
>Again, your religious prejudice is blinding you from considering the
>likely notion of intelligent design and guidance.

First of all, it's not "prejudice". I have 'prejudged' nothing. You,
OTOH, have done exactly that -- you conclude that God is responsible
for certain things -before- you have all the facts. Secondly, the idea
of divine intervention is not "likely" at all since every phenomonon
that was ever attributed to God is being discovered to have been
caused by some natural process. Statistically, religion is dying. And
it's too bad that people don't see that as a good thing -- or did you
forget the part about the Babelfish?

>>>The theory of intelligent design is no more far-fetched than the idea
>>>that life began here spontaneously and proliferated into a diverse eco
>>>system, totally at random.
>>
>>
>>You are assuming that "life began here spontaneously" and evolved
>>"totally at random". Research strongly suggests that neither are true.
>
>The someone had to "plant" it.

The rooster came first, eh?

>>>>>.....Why
>>>>>do humans have self-awareness? Why do we posses an intelligence that
>>>>>allows us to contemplate the unknown, and live beyond the programming
>>>>>of instinctive behavior? What about the concept of a soul?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Evolution is science. The questions you ask are philosophical.
>>>
>>>Yes, but it all relates in the bigger picture.

>>
>>
>>Talk to Skippy about your "bigger picture" cause that type of BS
>>doesn't wash with me. I don't even buy into the concept of a "grand
>>unified theory".
>
>Who is "Skippy"?

One of the voodoo amp-techs that used to hang out in this group until
he tried to explain the operation of a grounded-grid triode with:
"it's part of a bigger picture".

>>So you have noticed that animals are different and have different
>>characteristics. Congratulations. What you -haven't- learned that the
>>same is true within the human species.
>
>Yea some are good and other not -so. But none can fly on their own.

I didn't know that was a requirement for species diversity.

>>Yes, animals possess some intellectual capabilities. Beavers are
>>pretty good engineers, and nobody can tell me that their behavior is
>>purely instinctual since the circumstances for every beaver dam are
>>different, and requires some intelligence in order to build those
>>"crude" tools.
>
>Yea, it's called "teeth". Who taught them how to build those dams?

Another loaded question: You are assuming that they needed to be
taught. And by "'crude' tools" I was referring to the dams, not teeth.
The fact remains that a certain amount of intelligence and ingenuity
is required of the species in order to build such structures in such a
wide variety of locations and circumstances. Which brings us back to
the pertinent question: Which came first, the intelligence or the dam?
According to -your- beliefs, the rooster came first.

<snip>
>>And just about every animal has some form of communication, not just
>>dolphins and a few others. Ants communicate with chemicals, bees
>>communicate by 'dancing', dogs communicate by pissing on trees and
>>smelling each others butts, etc, etc.
>
>Rudimentary at best. Nothing as complex as what humans have achieved.

Assuming that's correct, are you suggesting that human complexity is
what comprises a 'soul'?

>>But on the other hand, why would anyone think that human behavior is
>>anything more than extentions of natural instinct? Everything we do
>>somehow revolves around basic natural urges, whether it be breathing,
>>sleeping, eating, sex, reproduction, dying, etc. Probably the only two
>>characteristics that set us apart from the rest of the animal kingdom
>>is our propensity to destroy ourselves and our ability to show mercy.

>
>Boy are you cynically misguided. You think that all that humans do
>revolves around eating, sleeping and sex? What about those who create?

What makes you think that art and music are something other than
extentions of instinctual behaviors? Animals attract mates with
singing, dancing, showing their plumage, building nests, etc. It's
also a method of communication that extends beyond verbal language.

>Those who philosophize?

Ever read "The Rubaiyat of Omar Khayyam"?

> Those who teach?

Procreation -- "It takes a village to raise a child."

> Those who excel at physical
>activity?

Demonstrations of physical prowness, rutting, etc.

> Those to seek answers to larger questions?

Isn't that the same as philosophy?

> Those who achieve
>greatness in any number of fields?

Like politics?

>>But then again, the former doesn't differentiate us from lemmings, and
>>the latter is more a recognition of the futility of life than it is a
>>divine influence. Either way, man can be just as cruel as nature and
>>frequently proves that to be a fact.
>
>So what you are in essence saying is that since we will all eventually
>die, what's the point of living?

No, but it's been suggested by others more than once.

>>So what's the difference between man and animal? Human arrogance in
>>thinking he is something more than just another product of nature.
>
>I'd like to think we are the most advanced product of the divine
>intelligence.

Like I said before: You can believe what you want to believe but the
facts define reality.

<snip>
>>>>The problem is that you don't fully understand the vast multitude of
>>>>variations that can occur in the processes of evolution.
>
>I understand them perfectly.

Then you have an understanding that exceeds that of the entire
scientific community.

> I just do not accept that complexity can
>result from randomness.

I'm assuming you mean 'order from chaos', but either way you are
wrong. Just walk into any jewelry store and look at the diamonds.

>>>I don't accept the theory that if you place a group of monkeys in a
>>>cage with a bunch of typewriters that they'll eventually write every
>>>great piece of literary works.
>>
>>
>>I don't either. Whose theory was that?
>
>http://www.angelfire.com/in/hypnosonic/Parable_of_the_Monkeys.html
>
>There are many others. I'm surprised that in that vast storehouse of
>knowledge that you claim to posses, that you have not stumbled on this
>before.

I have, I just didn't know who said it.

<snip>
>>>There are still far too many unanswered questions to discount the
>>>theory of intelligent design.
>>
>>
>>Discount it? No. But neither does it mean that we should jump to that
>>conclusion because we haven't learned everything we can.
>
>I conclude nothing of the sort.

That's -exactly- what you claim when you say that God is responsible
for any missing evolutionary link. And you ignore the fact that those
links are gradually being found.

> But I have an especially hard time
>accepting the totally at random theory of evolution, and prefer to
>believe that evolution was guided by an unseen intelligent force.
>
>We may disagree on the exact definition of that intelligence, and
>without facts, it's pointless to debate it beyond that point other
>than from a purely philosophical perspective.

Great. Maybe now we can get back to the original topic.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3107. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 07:05:20 UTC
From: JD Leeder <jdleeder@telus.net>
Message-ID: <Qqhhe.59397$HR1.40756@clgrps12>
Source record: 200132
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

May God help you all!!!! I can't believe grown adults can act like
children. I feel sorry for all of you!

"Doug" <dt12(remove)@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:y-udnZQCK_f-wBjfRVn-2A@adelphia.com...
> quuuuuuaaaaaaaaccccck quack..... Doug
>
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:r_che.93$9H6.40275056@news.sisna.com...
>> Stop these abominations!!!
>>
>> I worship the one true God and know all the unbelievers will be banished
>> to
>> hell!!!!
>>
>> The "Mud Hole King" is the one true God who set forth the laws of
>> evolution
>> so that we might all crawl out of a mud hole and think we are better than
>> we
>> really are!!!!
>>
>> A bunch of mud ducks... <grin>
>>
>> John
>> --
>> Marbles can be used in models with excellent results! However, if
>> forced
>> to keep using all of mine up... I may end up at a disadvantage... I
>> seem
>> to have misplaced some!!!
>>
>>
>> <enilkira@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1116032281.125974.123570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> | Are you serious? Do you realzie that Jeesus was just a fable made up by
>> | the Christians to keep power over the mases? It is a government fear
>> | based relidion that has lead to the demise of miillions, take your crap

>> | elsewhere.
>> |
>>
>>
>
>

3108. Re: Jesus said, "watch out for crossposting to intolerant lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 09:18:19 UTC
From: Alias <aka@[notme]>
Message-ID: <qnjhe.44481$US.14848@news.ono.com>
Source record: 200133
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

"Cactus Jammies" <not@joshuatree.nemor> wrote in message
news:Hkihe.115442$3V3.72176@edtnps89...
> Doug,
> I get to zap this bug in a few minutes, he has enough space on my hard
> drive. Judging from his lack of more expansive and empathetic vocabulary
> and his continued and pedantic use of limited cause and effect arguments,
> he is a kid of about fifteen. Not hardly long enough for a stage two
> level three liver biopsy assay. So he mocks us. He has changed his
> address at least twenty three individual times since November, in this
> reality we call ash sea. what a frikkin goshboyo hero like some crazy
> irish guy with a clothes closet full of guilt and grief for the world.
>
> I do agree with him though on this napkin stuff, I archived the heidi
> meets the tampex episode. It does get a little raw, it is not very civil
> for sure, but I would not have read that far in the string if I thought
> some nitwit was just ffffn with my mind, even in an amatuer way like Ale y
> ass. I kept waiting for the truth to pop out, failed ex christian guilt
> and all the rest of the huzzah guiding my way. I just thought the
> tempation for the original poster was an instant of uncontrollable urge
> rather than from any campaign of disgust and finger wagging nannyisms.
> like that 15 year old with half a grip.
>
> I deleted all the other lists in the newsgroups to header before I sent
> this,
> don't forget Hitler shot his dog after he shot his wife.
>
> cactus jammies

My, another poster who thinks it cute and clever to insult me because he
can't address the issues and knows full well that I am right.

Alias
>
> "Alias" <aka@[not me]maskedandanonymous.eu> wrote in message
> news:oNche.44460$US.33836@news.ono.com...
>>
>> "Bill" <bh@ms.net> wrote
>>> Jesus is as dead as Elvis, Hitler and JFK but he is still making lots
>>> of money (give me all your money and when you die you go to heaven)
>>> Jesus will go down in history as the most succesfull get rich quick
>>> artist in the world
>>>
>>> Bill
>>

>> Well said. Those who believe in non existant gods and The Church,
>> unfortunately, are too numerous to count. No wonder the world is in such
>> a mess.
>>
>> Alias
>>>
>>> On 13 May 2005 17:58:01 -0700, enilkira@gmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>>Are you serious? Do you realzie that Jeesus was just a fable made up by
>>>>the Christians to keep power over the mases? It is a government fear
>>>>based relidion that has lead to the demise of miillions, take your crap
>>>>elsewhere.
>>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3109. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 13:30:51 UTC
From: "Anon E. Mouse" <nospam@nobaloney.com>
Message-ID: <d64ui1$ev9$1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 200134
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

"JD Leeder" <jdleeder@telus.net> wrote in message
news:Qqhhe.59397$HR1.40756@clgrps12...
> May God help you all!!!! I can't believe grown adults can act like
> children

You can't? I see it all the time.

3110. LIFETIME COLLECTION ANTIQUE RADIO AUCTION Sunday May 15, 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 13:48:16 UTC
From: americana <markblondy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116078496.397577.254600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200135

LIFETIME COLLECTION ANTIQUE RADIO AUCTION Sunday May 15, 2005
Warren Michigan

Sunday May 15, 2005 11AM
(3) 10x 20 UNITS! (3) 10x 20 UNITS!

The Lifetime Collection of a John Cottec Local Collector and Repair Technician to be auctioned off to the highest bidder!

Highlights include Vintage Antique Tube Radios. Floor Models as well as Tabletop. Antique Speakers, Stereo Equipment, Tuners, Over 1,000 Tubes in
original boxes, Tube Testing Equipment, Oscilloscopes, Tube Amplifiers,
Troubleshooting guides, (5) File Cabinets of Sam's Photo Facts Schematic books,
Many Boxes of Parts, knobs, Caps, Resistors, Controls and other parts of many
kinds, Turntables and Record Players, Record Albums, 8-Track & Reel to Reel
Tapes. Some radios are in working condition and some are in need of restoration.

Some of the brand names include... Sparton Blue Mirror Tabletop, Fada Bullet, Lafayette, Scott, Fisher, Hickok, Kenwood, Heathkit, Stewart Warner, Delco, Capehart, Motorola, Bogen Presto, Acoustic Research, Zenith, Harman Kardan, Recordio, Jans Zen, Stromberg Carlson, Detrola, Dewald, Marantz, Goplana, Philco, Eico, Bic, Atwater Kent, General Electric, Roberts, Superior, Telefunken, Kingston, Dual,
Blonder Tongue and Air King to name a few!

The auction will be held at the Safety Mini Storage facility located at 2420 E. 14 Mile Rd. in Warren, Michigan.

Preview the auction from 9AM. Auction will begin promptly at 11!

The Records will be sold 2 for a Buck during the pre-view!
markblondy.com for more details and photos!

3111. FA: TONITE>DENTRON MLA-2500B DESK-TOP LEGAL LIMIT RF AMPLIFIER>WOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 14:03:20 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Fynhe.12722$NZ1.10757@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200136
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5772433793&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3112. Re: Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me." (John 14:6) This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be forever sepa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 14:03:54 UTC
From: Alias <aka@[notme]>
Message-ID: <8znhe.44557$US.10298@news.ono.com>
Source record: 200137
Cross-posted to: misc.news.internet.discuss,alt.support.hepatitis-c,alt.books.tom-clancy,calgary.forsale,rec.radio.cb

"Anon E. Mouse" <nospam@nobaloney.com> wrote
>
> "JD Leeder" <jdleeder@telus.net> wrote

>> May God help you all!!!! I can't believe grown adults can act like
>> children
>
> You can't? I see it all the time.

LOL!

Alias

3113. FA: 2500mhz Bird Load, Lionel Key, Power Mosfets, RCA VTVM, Heath SPKR TOO MUCH TO LIST!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 14:08:47 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <MDnhe.12726$NZ1.2014@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200138
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

3114. Re: mopathetic hides and whines, but won't come clean, lol!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 21:27:42 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116106061.898596.136600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200139

Steveo wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> > From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
> > (chicken=A0boy=A0coward=A0mopathetic=A0is=A0scared=A0of=A0AKC)
> > U Know Who wrote:
> > "Happy Yawning Festival" <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote in
> > message news:heLge.3766$i03.275@fe06.lga...
> > "chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
> > <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1115912727.670240.12590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > Where are you camping at Dayton, mopathetic?
> > (Tell us so we can come and introduce ourselves. We know you are
too
> > much of a coward to face us on equal terms, so you will never do
it.)
> >
> > "equal terms" ?? <---- LMAO!! :))
> >
> > -Nah! Obsessed stalkers like you always resort
> >
> > -to rigged games.
> >
> > (Btw: Why do you always refer to your cowardly self as "we"??)
> >
> > -Doug and Leland.
> >
> > >Wrong. More people than you think.
> >
> > No one would identify themself as being even remotely associated
with
> > Dogie except lelnad. They're two undesirables with much in common.
> >
> Dogie looks sorta like my dick, after I'm done fucking.

In the sense that I have brown hair and you have turds on your dick
after that, I guess you are right. Why woncha say where you are gonna
be camping, coward boy?

3115. mopathetic still talking trash but not coming clean, she is a coward!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 21:31:33 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116106293.629512.172870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200140

Where will you be camping, mopathetic? We all wanna come introduce ourselves. You cannot do any gay stuff with us, cuz we are heterosexual. However, you could break out the 12 gauge digicam so you can have some stroke pics.

3116. Re: Ping Leland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 21:33:01 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116106381.791974.309420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200141

Is that where you went to do gay things with other keyclowns?

3117. Re: Leland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 21:33:42 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116106422.026823.184730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200142

must have a full belly from the Petro toilet to sleep like that.

3118. holy cow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-14 22:34:11 UTC
From: Yankees23 <brstormer@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1116110051.939656.84680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200143

wow, check out the steinbrenner article.

http://www.weoughttomakethepiehigher.blogspot.com/

3119. mopathetic quivering in fear, wont say where he is camping cuz he is a sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-15 04:16:27 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116130587.196528.116640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200144

Tell us, we will introduce ourselves!

3120. Re: FA: TONITE>DENTRON MLA-2500B DESK-TOP LEGAL LIMIT RF AMPLIFIER>WOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-15 12:20:39 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <118efkqth0407ef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 200145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

Hello Cooltube:

You got 725 US Dollars for that linear amp, not bad.

Didn't Dentron make a amp with a pair of 4CX250's running class AB1,
that had a control on the front panel that you could dial in additional
attenuation that allowed different drive levels?!??!?!!?

Or was that a Telstar amp?!?!????!

Jay in the Mojave

cooltube wrote:
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5772433793&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>
>

3121. Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-15 18:43:18 UTC
From: Al Banys <LelandsBoss@inductoheat.nut>
Message-ID: <42879306$0$24311$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 200146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

From N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
I volunteer to show ya how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

From N8WWM@arrl.net

You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for all you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?

From:N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
----------�----------
From N8WWM@arrl.net

Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

3122. Re: Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-15 18:57:29 UTC
From: KC8GXW <KC8GXW@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <iOCdnd2qwNQEBhrfRVn-ig@centurytel.net>
Source record: 200147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Now we know where n9ogl gets it from, he's really a 10-4 gud buddy

Al Banys wrote:

> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Hey CUNT,
> Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
> headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
> name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
> party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
> KEYCLOWN.
> Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
> it into your life.
> I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> I volunteer to show ya how.
> CUNT
> Your turn, CUNT!
>
> ---
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
> wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
> introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
> like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna
> hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for all
> you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
> By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?
> ---
>
> From:N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Hey Peepeeholic:
> Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
> ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
> the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
> dumb idea.
> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.

> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> ------------------------------ï¿½--------------------------
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
> bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have
> the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
>
>
>
>

3123. Breaker breaker 19, how 'bout a radio check out there, c'mon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-15 19:35:03 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116185703.247507.293120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200148

Yeah we're lookin' for a radio cheack out there, anybody got a copy on me, c'mon?

3124. Lunaris Mail has a new address

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 02:25:55 UTC
From: richammond@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1116210355.320853.13550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200149

Dear All,
This is just an email to share with you the new messaging service i found, competing with the world's leaders : Lunaris Mail.

The service has RECENTLY changed from ATH.CX to LIR.DK
This means that :
--> All previous account have successfully migrated your adress now ends with lir.dk instead of ath.cx
--> The home page is www.lunaris.lir.dk

Lunaris Mail does not have a space limit as yahoo or hotmail (250 Mb) or even Gmail (1Gb). Something no provider did before : free UNLIMITED mailbox space for your messages. You can store as much as email/attachements as you want!

AND ALL THIS FOR FREE

Sign up your unlimited mail box account : http://www.lunaris.lir.dk

Note : To prevent spammers and idle users, your account is initially limited to 5Mb, but you can claim immediately your unlimited space by writing to admin@lunaris.lir.dk - Your account will then be provided with full space in seconds.

Lunaris mail is growing fast and fine!! To keep this service alive, please make a donation!
http://www.lunaris.lir.dk/donate.html

The team is also looking (for FREE) for people who are at least 10 hrs/day online. For the tasks please check:
http://www.lunaris.lir.dk/jobs.html

Download Games, ringtones, wallpapers and logos at VERY LOW COST :
http://lunaris.ringtone-logo-game.com/index.php

Best
Regards

3125. Re: Breaker breaker 19, how 'bout a radio check out there, c'mon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 07:03:23 UTC
From: J'in Fer Good Buddy <JinFer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116227002.983669.307350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200150

A PROUD FREEBANDER wrote:
> Yeah we're lookin' for a radio cheack out there, anybody got a copy
on
> me, c'mon?

J'in Fer good buddy! J'in Fer.

3126. Re: Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 07:18:07 UTC
From: chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116227887.793263.34510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

KC8GXW wrote:
> Now we know where n9ogl gets it from, he's really a 10-4 gud buddy
>
> Al Banys wrote:
>
> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Hey CUNT,
> > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a
red
> > headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an
assumed
> > name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit
hunk...wanna
> > party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot
ILLEGAL
> > KEYCLOWN.
> > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can
bring
> > it into your life.
> > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > CUNT
> > Your turn, CUNT!
> >
> >

> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
> > wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
> > introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
> > like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am
gonna
> > hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for
all
> > you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
> > By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?
> >

> >
> > From:N8WWM@arrl.net
> >

> > Hey Peepeeholic:
> > Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you
or
> > ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> > You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back
to
> > the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a
real
> > dumb idea.
> > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
laying
> > there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> > coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> > trainedpuppet.
> > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > ----------
> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and
broken
> > bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or
don't have
> > the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
> >
> >
> >
> >

First off, you cant send from arrl.net, which clearly shows the post to
be a forgery.

Second, There is no threat there unless the individual was disturbed.

3127. Re: SUV question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 10:30:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5htg81tulpb5qk88h8pp12p4ga77r6vmuq@4ax.com>
Source record: 200152

On Fri, 13 May 2005 04:13:05 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>Who is willing to sacrifice their children so I can afford to drive a
>Chevy Suburban?

Why, are you looking to load it up with all sorts of illegal
amplifiers and make a "loud and proud" statement on the CB band?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3128. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 10:58:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3ktg81lct7dkjps2d2dsmsufmvnjom75tm@4ax.com>
Source record: 200153

On Fri, 13 May 2005 10:25:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:38:40 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>it primarily the left who are
>
>>spearheading an intensified effort to remove
>>all signs of religion from government
>>processes, even though most have been
>>around since this country was founded.
>
>So has crime. What is wrong with seeking to remove that of which the law
>clearly defines?
>
>>Nothing, if that's indeed the case.
>
>You just said it was. You are second guessing only yourself.

The "law" has been defined in regard to religious influences, since
the inception of this country. It was not a problem in 1805, 1905, and
1955, so it should not be a problem in 2005.

>
>
>>But those
>>religious influences are adorned all over our
>>government buildings and in our government
>>business. Why is it only now do certain people
>>find exception to it?
>
>You are one of the most vocal in this group to redundantly invoke that
>just because something is practiced far and wide doesn't make it legal
>or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree with it.

In the case of religious influences in this country, the majority have
accepted and endorsed it since the beginning. It's only now that a
small, but vocal MINORITY that has a problem with it.

>>When it's not illegal, I agree with it.
>>The fact is that despite recent
>>misinterpretations of the establishment clause
>>in the constitution by left wing zealots, we
>>have had religious influences in our

>>government from the very beginning.
>
>That's rich..and wrong.

No, it's not. You'd either have to be blind or hopelessly biased not
to see it.

>Once again I ask you to explain how anything
>these "left wingers" say or do or interpret can matter at all regarding
>this issue while the republicans control the house and senate.

In theory, it should mean nothing. But you know those obstructionist
democrats trying to use a filibuster to leverage their minority into a
controlling influence.

>Nevertheless, the misinterpretation has been all yours even though Frank
>neatly wrapped it all up and presented you with the facts clearly
>indicating congress shall keep the clause of separation of church and
>state intact.

It was never there in the first place. At least not to the degree that
the zealots are calling for now.

> Because you agree with the religious zealots and have on
>many occasion admitted that your moral views are to be fostered upon
>others and if they do not subscribe to your radical positions and
>admitted (on many occasion) socialistic tendencies, you mistakenly hold
>them as an enemy of yourself, seeking to take away that of which you
>believe.

I and many others who are currently in the majority. You know, the
ones who reelected G.W. Bush.

>>I would argue that it was those influences
>>which made this country one of strong moral
>>and ethical principles.
>
>In one sentence you claim the moral and ethical principles of this
>country have degraded terribly and even said society was reflected on
>the air. Now you say the country is once again of strong moral fiber and
>ethical principle.

No, I said that this country was FOUNDED on strong moral and ethical
principles. You should learn to read for content, before making
another of your erroneous conclusions.

>You flip flop more than Bush.

No, you misinterpret and assume such as a result of your
misinterpretations.

>I wouldn't go so far as to put it blatantly in those terms, but I do
>believe taking God and physical punishment out of the schools was a
>serious mistake.

Then you and I do share some agreement in this area. But the reason why God was taken out of public schools was a direct result of anti-religious zealots trying to leverage an extreme interpretation of "separation of church and state" to accomplish this "unfortunate" feat.

>
>>There was once a day when democrats and
>>republicans practiced a little thing called
>>compromise.

>There was also a day when the working guys of each party could think for
>themselves instead of widely swallowing their party line rhetoric and
>blaming those who aren't anywhere near leadership positions in this
>country for all the woes and incompetence of your own party.

Such as?

>That is the
>most pedestrian act you have attempted to date. Scary thing is, you
>appear to actually believe yourself when you post such drivel. You
>simply can not handle the responsibility of the buck stopping with the
>leader you selected.

What failures can be blamed on our leader?

>In other words, you seek to blame others when
>responsibility for your leader's action must be taken.

Well, it might be nice to blame Bush for the failure of Social Security, but the democrats will not even allow his plan to come to a full vote, while offering nothing of their own to counter it. They'd rather just pretend that there's no problem (Even though prominent leaders of their own party were running around like chicken little about SS failing when Clinton was in office).

>Blaming another
>political party for the last four and a half years of confirmed failures
>illustrate you really have too great a deal to learn in order to
>effectively discuss the political process.

Your opinion notwithstanding, there is not one thing you can definitively pin on Bush as a "failure". On the other hand, for the last 4 years, the democratic party has become the party of hatred and obstruction. If it looks smells or tastes like it came from a republican, their first instinct is to oppose it.

Like I said before, before the extreme polarization of the political parties in Washington, you could actually get things done with a little compromise.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3129. Re: Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 11:05:29 UTC
From: Al Banys <Lelandsboss@inductoheat.nut>
Message-ID: <42887fe1_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 200154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

N8WWM@arrl.net posting as:
"chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116227887.793263.34510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

KC8GXW wrote:
> Now we know where n9ogl gets it from, he's really a 10-4 gud buddy
>
> Al Banys wrote:
>
> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Hey CUNT,
> > Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a
red
> > headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an
assumed
> > name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit
hunk...wanna
> > party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot
ILLEGAL
> > KEYCLOWN.
> > Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can
bring
> > it into your life.
> > I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> > I volunteer to show ya how.
> > CUNT
> > Your turn, CUNT!
> >
> >

> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
> > wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
> > introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
> > like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am
gonna
> > hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for
all
> > you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
> > By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?

> >

> >
> > From:N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Hey Peepeeholic:
> > Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you
or
> > ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> > You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back
to
> > the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a
real
> > dumb idea.
> > Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you
laying
> > there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> > coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> > trainedpuppet.
> > Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> > building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> > ----------
> > From N8WWM@arrl.net
> >
> > Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and
broken
> > bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or
don't have
> > the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
> >
> >
> >
> >

First off, you cant send from arrl.net, which clearly shows the post to
be a forgery.
>
No. It is his (your) email address. Since when does he (you) post from his
(your) email box?
>

Second, There is no threat there unless the individual was disturbed.
>
Oh there is -NO- doubt you are a disturbed individual, N8WWM.

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=felon%20n8wwm

The funny part is you are nothing more than a sick weakling, that has no
place making threats to people. You don't have
enough ass to pay for the check your loud mouth writes...one look says it

all!

http://img147.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly0gy.jpg

3130. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 12:56:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <98vg81522in0bpc28d4tftqbh9hjoo2kvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 200155

On Fri, 13 May 2005 22:22:08 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 13 May 2005 09:44:09 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <pa79815bniu7dvmtsjgaa5l59hgir8pqr0@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>>Well, no, that's my whole point. Something has to "guide" the
>>>>development of life.
>>>
>>>
>>>Why?
>>
>>Otherwise there would be no progress, only a random jumbling of
>>unrelated mutations. Something has to determine which mutations are an
>>"improvement", and whether those traits will be carried on.
>
>
>It seems pretty simple to me -- a frog with poisonous skin doesn't get
>eaten, and a tiger without teeth doesn't eat. It takes about as much
>intelligence to figure out which species survives as it does to figure
>out why. So why can't you figure it out?

Because for any improvement to be gauged as effective, there has to be
a means of feedback. One mutated frog with poisonous skin will
eventually die of it's own accord. So what determines whether this
mutation is worthy of being carried on?

><snip>
>>What determines what works best? If, as you suggest, all advances in
>>evolution occur as a random mutation (Which it would have to be if it
>>were not deliberately introduced), what feedback mechanism exists to
>>determine whether that single mutation will proliferate to other
>>species, or even descendants of the same species?
>
>
>When a mutation survives long enough to reproduce. Or was that a trick
>question?

But random mutations occur frequently. Many of them could conceivably
reproduce. What decided which ones are beneficial, and which are
merely "different"? Some new traits (like flight for instance) require
more than one mutation to fully accomplish. What are the chances that
each necessary mutation would occur in the same species randomly and
at the same time to facilitate these new traits?

What force drove water borne life to crawl out onto the shore? How could random mutations know the precise mutation to give those creatures the ability to breath air? Do you understand the nature of randomness? Randomness is exactly that. Things which occur for no reason. You may need lungs, but you might get a third eye, or longer fins. The minute you start looking into purpose driven mutations, you (however unwittingly) are accepting intelligent guidance.

>>So because some examples of physics can be accurately demonstrated,
>>that there is no possibility of intelligent design and guidance?
>
>
>I didn't say anything of the sort. I said, but you can't understand,
>that when something isn't fully understood or remains undiscovered it
>isn't automatically considered to be an act of God. That process of
>thought may have been the 'tradition' for several thousand years but
>not any longer. At least not for most of us.

Yes, and some people may spend their whole lives looking for a "scientific" answer that will forever elude them, rather than admit that there are forces and events out there that we cannot explain.

>>>Just because a certain part of the ocean is unexplored doesn't mean
>>>it's inhabited with monsters.
>>
>>Doesn't mean that it isn't either.
>
>
>So because the possibility exists, we should conclude that those
>monsters -must- exist?

No, but neither should we discard it.

>Of course not. You -believe- they exist because
>that's what -you- want to believe. The rest of us hoist sail and go
>discover the facts.

That's great if the facts are there to find. We can tell, for instance that dinosaurs roamed the earth, that there were big climatic and geological changes over the years. But we cannot factually answer the question of why these things all happened, and what precipitated it all. I'm sorry, but I cannot accept that it all started as a random happenstance. There are far too many variables and too much random chaos for this level of intricate sophistication to have occurred and evolved by any other means than intelligent design and guidance.

We can argue about the definition of "god", or whether such cosmic intelligence warrants the label of "god", but as far as I'm concerned, such a force exists. The evidence is all around us.

>
>
>>> -You- are too hung up on religion to
>>>realize that randomness (aka, 'chaos') is nothing more than a term

>>>used to describe the collective effect of dynamic systems that are
>>>either so numerous or complex that their components -have yet- to be
>>>isolated and identified.
>>
>>Random and chaos are exactly that, actions which occur with no pattern
>>or forethought.
>
>
>Haven't you been paying attention, Dave? Nothing occurs "with no
>pattern". The patterns are there, they are just too numerous or
>complex to identify.

Is that the excuse you use to try to turn chaos into order? Do you see
pictures in TV snow too?

> Weather was once thought to be random and/or
>chaotic.

And to some degree it still is. Despite the modern level of technology
that meteorologists have, they still cannot get a weather forecast
right in most cases

> But thanks to people that are more intelligent than you we
>have learned patterns of weather well enough to predict, with some
>degree of certainty, what it will do in the future.

We can determine within a certain level of error, what SHOULD happen.
But despite computer models, the weather often makes unpredicted
shifts. Which is why we sit here expecting a foot of snow, and get a
dusting, or a rogue storm develops out of thin air and levels a
block's worth of trees.

>>> That doesn't mean a seemingly random process
>>>-doesn't- have a logical and scientific explanation, only that the
>>>process is as yet unidentified.
>>
>> But you can't build order from chaos. At least not without some
>>intelligence guiding it.
>
>
>Wrong. Nature if full of examples of where order grew out of chaos
>-without- intelligent guidance.

How do you know there was no guidance if you cannot identify it?

> It happens all the time. You can
>duplicate the process yourself with a child's science experiment:
>growing crystals.

There is nothing orderly about that. No two crystals grows exactly the
same.

>>> And if you can't understand that much
>>>then you probably still check under your bed every night for the
>>>boogie man.
>>
>>Why? More false analogy fallacies? Because we know enough to determine
>>that there is no "boogieman", does not mean that we know enough to
>>discount the existence of "God".
>
>
>That's the difference between you and me, Dave: You believe what you
>want to believe until it can be proven wrong (and sometimes even proof
>isn't enough).

No, I believe what makes the most sense based on the facts known and
the principle of Occam's Razor.

> I, on the other hand, need proof -before- I'll believe
>in something so outlandish as an omniscient, omnipotent super-being
>that 'willed' the Universe into existence.

Let's hope for your sake that you're right.

>>>ROTFLMMFAO!!! You aren't suggesting that God is a collective of little
>>>grey humanoids from the planet Zorkon, are you? Beam me up, Scotty!
>>
>>Why not? Is it not within the realm of possibilities that what we
>>consider "God" may be a superior intelligence which created this
>>planet for who knows what reason (Other than 42)?
>
>
>Adams was pointing out that the Universe can't be reduced to a simple
>equation. I agree, but his implication was that there must be some
>divine influence, to which I don't agree. Adams is not an authority on
>the subject. And for you to cite his work in a context contrary to its
>meaning is proof that you are not an authority on Adams.

It was a joke son! Adams writes humor.

>>
>>Since it is likely that I am older than you, that is a physical
>>impossibility. But the increasing personal insults is a sure sign that
>>you have run out of facts and logic, and have resorted to ad-hominem
>>to make you case. The best you can hope for in this discussion is a
>>stalemate. There are simply not enough facts to make your case.
>
>
>You keep on believing that, Dave. Include it in your bedtime prayers
>to the saucer men

No, that's Twisty. He talks to the Saucer men. I merely believe that
considering the vastness of the universe, that it statistically
improbable that life only exists here.

>
><snip>
>>More insults? The fact is that you can't answer the question, and
>>chose instead to mock me.
>
>
>What can I say -- your arguments are illogical and repetitive, you
>show no ability to think independently, your opinions are founded on
>ignorance, the 'facts' you present are fabrications based on your own
>assumptions, your vocabulary includes words you don't understand, and
>your rhetoric is no better than that of a grade-school bully.

Projecting again Frank? Where is your "logic"? You mock my "faith"
with little more than your own "counterfaith".

>Yet you
>continue to put on your facade that you are somehow outsmarting me at
>every turn

And only your own narcissistic arrogance continues to push you into
coming back to get smacked down again and again.

>o how can I possibly resist? I mock you because you ask for
>it, Dave. In fact, I have been mocking you for months but you are too
>stupid to understand how. The difference is that now I've dumbed-down
>my remarks to a level low enough that you can see them for what they
>really are.

Frank, you are merely a diversion from my otherwise challenging work
schedule. You provide me with comic relief. You are so rigid and
pompous, I can now totally understand why you "chose" to be a loner.
Your "people skills" are seriously lacking.

>>You believe what you want to believe based on nothing more than pure
>>faith, which is no different than what I do. But the difference is
>>that you arrogantly insist that I am somehow "wrong".

>Because you -are- wrong,

Based on what factual evidence?

>and here's a little experiment you can do to
>demonstrate just how wrong you are. First, find a wall made of brick
>or concrete. Then sit you butt down, pray to the saucer men, and ask
>them to turn the wall into jello. Draw upon your faith to believe that
>when you perform the next step in the experiment that the wall will
>most certainly be jello. Then curl up your little fist and hit the
>wall just as hard as you can.

It doesn't work that way. Intelligent design does not mean that we
command magical powers.

>By the time the pain subsides you will understand that, even though
>you can -choose- to believe anything you want, facts define reality.

I see how you spin your "facts". Because the cosmic intelligence chose
not to respond to my "request", that means that it does not exist?

I have a similar experiment for you Frank. Stand out in the middle of
a field until you get hit by an asteroid. How long will you stand
there until you conclude that asteroids don't exist?

>
>
>> You tell
>>yourself that facts and logic back you up, but the truth is that there
>>are little facts available to make a positive determination on whether
>>life here evolved purely at random, and without any outside influence.
>>You further re-enforce your faith by telling yourself that the facts
>>are out there, and that we just haven't found them yet. And while this
>>is most likely true, you should be careful what you wish for. For the
>>answers you seek may not be the ones you want to hear.
>
>
>.....oh brother.

When reduced to the nuts and bolts of reality, this is all you can
usually respond with.

>>>When Moses asked God what the people should call him, God responded,
>>>"I am that I am." IOW, God exists for the sake of himself.
>>
>>Interesting that you quote something that you deny the existence of,
>
>
>The Bible doesn't exist?

I was referring to God.

>>>But if you need to find a purpose that transcends natural biology, try
>>>the simple fact that we -can- transcend biology. That, by itself, as a
>>>"purpose" for life, is reflected heavily in the Bhuddist faith and to
>>>some extent with the Hindu. The 'challenge' of life, therefore, is to
>>>overcome our animal instincts and attain a higher level of being.
>>
>>Hence the enlightened and evolved call for a monogamous union.
>
>
>If that's your choice.
>
>
><snip>
>>>Of course you could always take a perspective from Monty Python, but I
>>>think Monty Python itself is reason enough to live.
>>
>>I was never that much of a Python fan.
>
>

>I'm not suprised.

Now, I suppose, you'll dazzle us with your theory of how Python is
the humor of the intellectual?

>
><snip>
>>See, this is what's so puzzling about you Frank. Once in a while you
>>unload with a brilliant piece of perspective, which is at total odds
>>with your status in life. You're one hell of an underachiever.
>
>
>Dr. Kramer probably wouldn't agree. Do you want the book or not?

Frank, I can get as much information as I need right from the
internet. It's a lot better than finding places to keep all those
books.

>
><snip>
>>But what decides which mutation, many of which could adapt to the
>>environment (a 3rd or 4th eye for instance), actually survives enough
>>to become incorporated into the mainstream?
>
>
>Survival of the fittest. Variations that improve survivability are
>regenerated.

Sometimes a mutation does not do anything to improve survivability. Do
those not regenerate as well?

>Variations that are useless aren't regenerated because
>they hinder survivability; i.e, they are excess baggage.

Perhaps not. Mutations such as a 3rd eye may not make any difference
at all in survivability.

><snip>
>>I have studied the subject and the questions I raise are analytical
>>and logical in nature. Either evolution is designed to improve the
>>species, or not. If it is, then what feedback determines what is
>>actually an improvement. If not, then what does improve the species?
>
>
>Making sure that mutants like you don't reproduce.

Lacking a logical and reasoned answer, Frank predictably falls back on
his tried and true tactic of insult.

>>>I suppose I should start with Rocky and Bulwinkle. You see, Rocky is a
>>>"flying squirrel". They don't really fly, but glide from one place to
>>>another using skin that has overgrown. The skin probably evolved
>>>because the critters kept falling out of the trees, and the species

>>>with the variation of loose skin allowed more of them to survive the
>>>falls.
>>
>>Then why do other squirrels not have loose skin? Do they not fall from
>>trees as well? Why only the "flying" squirrel? And what determined
>>whether that skin actually helped them, other those other squirrels
>>who don't?
>
>
>Why do you need me to explain these things to you? Don't you have a
>brain? Can't you figure it out for yourself? Try it. Put yourself into
>a logical frame of mind, temporarily adopt my method of thought, and
>try answering your own question for once.

I've been there and done all that Frank. Haven't you figured it out yet? I was where you are now. I asked the same questions, made the same observations, believed the same thing. I once believed that there wasn't a question out there that (if given enough time) science couldn't answer. Why do you think I keep giving you questions which you cannot answer other than to theorize? I chuckle watching you stumble, postulate, and then attack me while trying.

I had a series of life changing events which then put other similar events into perspective. Since I am not one to believe in random coincidences, these occurrences, taken together, spelled out a specific and seemingly deliberate series of events which appeared to have a purpose.

Every event that you undertake in life has specific consequences. Most people do not ponder such things, unless they have a reason to do so. But to put it simply, an event happened to me, which caused me to do something, which led to something else, and so on down the chain. Had those significant events not happened, I would be in a totally different place and situation right now.

It all started with my premonition of my father's death the night before it happened when I was 9 years old. What science can explain E.S.P. and similar phenomena? What about ghosts? If life is simply random and meaningless? What explains short glimpses into the "great beyond"? Are all witnesses of ghosts mentally "out there"? What about people with accurate ESP predictions? The military was impressed enough with this that they had programs to develop "remote viewers" to spy on enemies. But for some reason, traditional "nuts and bolts" scientists stray away from such study, and, in fact, try to discredit those who do. Are you one of those close minded people who deal only with those subjects that you can touch and discount anything else?

>
>
>>> Easy enough. The next logical step would be an variation of
>>>their "wings" that would allow them to glide for longer periods of
>>>time, and over greater distances. Perhaps even a variation where

>>>muscle movement gives a little extra flight time. Eventually, over a
>>>few hundred thousand years and thousands of generations, there will
>>>probably be a squirrel that can really fly.
>>
>>Better late than never? Birds already fly. Why would a squirrel need
>>to fly now? What tactical advantage would that provide it over
>>non-flying squirrels? Would those random mutations also thin its
>>bones, and provide the proper lift/drag ratio in order to attain
>>sustained flight?
>
>
>Why not? It worked with dinosaurs, didn't it?

You once again are assuming that it all happened at random.

>
>
>>>But you propose that one day there was a rat, then a miracle occured
>>>and *poof* there was a bat? I don't think so, Dave.
>>
>>Despite the fact that you don't "think" so, does not mean that that's
>>exactly what might have happened. It's certainly easier to rationalize
>>than a series of random mutations adding up to a viable new species.
>
>
>It's easier to believe in instantaneous transformation when your mind
>is too small to fathom the vast amounts of time nature has had to
>'play God', so to speak.

A few million years are but a blink of an eye in the grand scheme of
things. But without purposeful guidance, there's about as much chance
of our complex ecosystem developing totally at random, as there is
that a bunch of monkeys can randomly type the complete works of
Shakespeare.

>>Again, your religious prejudice is blinding you from considering the
>>likely notion of intelligent design and guidance.
>
>
>First of all, it's not "prejudice". I have 'prejudged' nothing.

You have. You would rather believe unproven, and bordering on
ridiculous theories rather than accept the possibility of an
intelligent force.

> You,
>OTOH, have done exactly that -- you conclude that God is responsible
>for certain things -before- you have all the facts.

Sometimes, there are forces at work that preclude the need for hard
facts.

> Secondly, the idea
>of divine intervention is not "likely" at all since every phenomonon
>that was ever attributed to God is being discovered to have been
>caused by some natural process.

That is totally wrong. Besides, what is a "natural process" anyway? If there is a God, then he can make any number of "natural processes" at his will.

> Statistically, religion is dying. And
>it's too bad that people don't see that as a good thing

Why should that be a good thing? Religion has helped to rein in many primitive barbaric behaviors and helped civilization become refined and productive. Without such guidance, we wouldn't be much more than our animal cousins, living only for ourselves, and doing what we needed to do just to survive.

>>>Talk to Skippy about your "bigger picture" cause that type of BS
>>>doesn't wash with me. I don't even buy into the concept of a "grand
>>>unified theory".
>>
>>Who is "Skippy"?
>
>
>One of the voodoo amp-techs that used to hang out in this group until
>he tried to explain the operation of a grounded-grid triode with:
>"it's part of a bigger picture".

A different bigger picture.

>>>Yes, animals possess some intellectual capabilities. Beavers are
>>>pretty good engineers, and nobody can tell me that their behavior is
>>>purely instinctual since the circumstances for every beaver dam are
>>>different, and requires some intelligence in order to build those
>>>"crude" tools.
>>
>>Yea, it's called "teeth". Who taught them how to build those dams?
>
>
>Another loaded question: You are assuming that they needed to be
>taught. And by "'crude' tools" I was referring to the dams, not teeth.
>The fact remains that a certain amount of intelligence and ingenuity
>is required of the species in order to build such structures in such a
>wide variety of locations and circumstances. Which brings us back to
>the pertinent question: Which came first, the intelligence or the dam?
>According to -your- beliefs, the rooster came first.

So you believe that animals possess some analytical skills? Maybe so. One could also argue that animals were simply "Version 1.0, 2.05, and 3.01" of the species experiment. The sobering conclusion to that is that we humans are likely not the end result either.

><snip>
>>>And just about every animal has some form of communication, not just
>>>dolphins and a few others. Ants communicate with chemicals, bees
>>>communicate by 'dancing', dogs communicate by pissing on trees and
>>>smelling each others butts, etc, etc.
>>
>>Rudimentary at best. Nothing as complex as what humans have achieved.
>
>
>Assuming that's correct, are you suggesting that human complexity is
>what comprises a 'soul'?

Not at all. The concept of a soul transcends traditional nuts and
bolts science, and bridges such things as philosophy with
parapsychology and spirituality, and yes, religion.

Some people believe in reincarnation. The idea that our "souls"
recycle our bodies and assume physical form here more than once. And
some also theorize that these souls could be placed into animals as
well. Hence the Hindu tradition of animal worship, the so-called
"sacred cow".

Before you totally poo-poo such a concept, you should research it a
bit. There have been compelling studies of people who, under deep
hypnosis, have recounted a past life with remarkable detail, which
could not have been obtained through present day observation or
research. If nothing else, it leaves one with more questions than
answers.

>>>But on the other hand, why would anyone think that human behavior is
>>>anything more than extentions of natural instinct? Everything we do
>>>somehow revolves around basic natural urges, whether it be breathing,
>>>sleeping, eating, sex, reproduction, dying, etc. Probably the only two
>>>characteristics that set us apart from the rest of the animal kingdom
>>>is our propensity to destroy ourselves and our ability to show mercy.
>>
>>Boy are you cynically misguided. You think that all that humans do
>>revolves around eating, sleeping and sex? What about those who create?
>
>
>What makes you think that art and music are something other than
>extentions of instinctual behaviors? Animals attract mates with
>singing, dancing, showing their plumage, building nests, etc. It's
>also a method of communication that extends beyond verbal language.

So concert musicians, renowned painters and sculptors, and even Martha
Stewart are just looking to get laid?

>>Those who philosophize?

>Ever read "The Rubaiyat of Omar Khayyam"?

No, did you?

>> Those who teach?
>
>Procreation -- "It takes a village to raise a child."

Hillary Clinton? Please.... There is more to teaching than
procreation.

>> Those who excel at physical
>>activity?
>
>
>Demonstrations of physical prowness, rutting, etc.

Again, the purpose of such is not necessarily a function of sexual
need.

>> Those to seek answers to larger questions?

>Isn't that the same as philosophy?

No, not always. Some are "scientists".

>> Those who achieve
>>greatness in any number of fields?
>
>
>Like politics?

Great leaders.

But you do seem to have some sort of deep rooted sexual issues. I'd
check them out if I were you. Living alone has you fixating on sex.

>>>But then again, the former doesn't differentiate us from lemmings, and
>>>the latter is more a recognition of the futility of life than it is a
>>>divine influence. Either way, man can be just as cruel as nature and
>>>frequently proves that to be a fact.
>>
>>So what you are in essence saying is that since we will all eventually
>>die, what's the point of living?
>
>
>No, but it's been suggested by others more than once.

People with depressive negative psychological issues.

Which brings up another biological point. We know that our lifespan is
controlled by our genetics. Who determined what the optimal lifespan
for a human should be?

>>>So what's the difference between man and animal? Human arrogance in
>>>thinking he is something more than just another product of nature.
>>
>>I'd like to think we are the most advanced product of the divine
>>intelligence.

>
>
>Like I said before: You can believe what you want to believe but the
>facts define reality.

I'm still waiting to see your "facts". So far all you have given is
counter faith and hypotheses.

>
>
><snip>
>>>>>The problem is that you don't fully understand the vast multitude of
>>>>>variations that can occur in the processes of evolution.
>>
>>I understand them perfectly.
>
>
>Then you have an understanding that exceeds that of the entire
>scientific community.

I understand that they exist. I do not know their exact definitions.
But I don't need to.

>> I just do not accept that complexity can
>>result from randomness.
>
>
>I'm assuming you mean 'order from chaos', but either way you are
>wrong. Just walk into any jewelry store and look at the diamonds.

Which is just as wrong as your "growing crystal" analogy. Each
specimen is unique in its virgin state. Jewelers cut and polish to
some semblance of uniformity.

>>>>I don't accept the theory that if you place a group of monkeys in a
>>>>cage with a bunch of typewriters that they'll eventually write every
>>>>great piece of literary works.
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't either. Whose theory was that?
>>
>>http://www.angelfire.com/in/hypnosonic/Parable_of_the_Monkeys.html
>>
>>There are many others. I'm surprised that in that vast storehouse of
>>knowledge that you claim to posses, that you have not stumbled on this
>>before.
>
>
>I have, I just didn't know who said it.

Does it really matter?

>
>
><snip>
>>>>There are still far too many unanswered questions to discount the
>>>>theory of intelligent design.
>>>
>>>
>>>Discount it? No. But neither does it mean that we should jump to that
>>>conclusion because we haven't learned everything we can.
>>
>>I conclude nothing of the sort.
>
>
>That's -exactly- what you claim when you say that God is responsible
>for any missing evolutionary link. And you ignore the fact that those
>links are gradually being found.

So what? Even if every link is found, that only proves that evolution
occurred, but not what drives it.

>> But I have an especially hard time
>>accepting the totally at random theory of evolution, and prefer to
>>believe that evolution was guided by an unseen intelligent force.
>>
>>We may disagree on the exact definition of that intelligence, and
>>without facts, it's pointless to debate it beyond that point other
>>than from a purely philosophical perspective.
>
>
>Great. Maybe now we can get back to the original topic.

Like I said, a stalemate. Glad you finally understand.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3131. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 12:59:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <f46h811g6pndpamnd4f2e45l7efb4ffggi@4ax.com>
Source record: 200156

On Fri, 13 May 2005 09:47:29 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Dave:
>
>"Be kind to John. He shares your opinion that people should be allowed to
>transmit anywhere."
>
>That is NOT what I said at all, they should ONLY be able to transmit in the
>radio spectrum which is theirs--off the top of my head, this would only,
>very roughly, be about one-half of the full radio spectrum... the other
>half split up between various other users... and the rest being used by
>citizens...

Forgive me then. I seemed to remember you first saying that ALL the
spectrum belonged to the citizens. I'm sorry if I got that wrong.

But if all of the spectrum doesn't belong to the citizens, then who
does it belong to?

>
>... without it being organized, and the necessary freqs set aside for the
>various uses... and the ridiculous restrictions... the result may well
>be--people transmitting all over the spectrum... but that is a rather
>insane system...

I would agree, and it seems that you've modified your original
proposal for "radio anarchy" to one of "looser regulation".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3132. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 13:20:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hd6h81pookdhvrn94b0jntttc6sitif7he@4ax.com>
Source record: 200157

On Fri, 13 May 2005 04:11:08 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 13 May 2005 06:39:37 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <rp0981da56760pa8fss375rvte6kse9u3l@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 12 May 2005 04:29:35 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 11 May 2005 08:40:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <90v3819keett149i3eeood49npi0mcso7s@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 11 May 2005 02:36:52 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>The politics of science is often more important than the science
>>>>>itself. It's a proven fact that the Earth is undergoing a period of
>>>>>global warming, and that it's caused by the influence of man on the
>>>>>environment. But politics plays the game that such facts are nothing
>>>>>more than speculations made by a few fringe researchers looking to get
>>>>>their names in the journals.
>>>>
>>>>There has been no conclusive proof that global warming is primarily
>>>>the result of man's influence over the environment.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yes, there is indeed conclusive proof.
>>
>>No there isn't......
>
>
>Yes, there is. Ice cores are an excellent record of climatic history,
>and are good for over 500,000 years.

Yes, and that evidence shows the extent of the climatic shifts over
that time period. What it does not show is what precipitated those
changes, nor can it predict the additional effects of man's influence
over the environment.

>>>>>How did life come to be? Who cares? The only fact we know is that it
>>>>>-does- exist. So let's just make the most of it while it lasts.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Existentialism. IMHO a rather selfish and closed mindset.
>>>

>>>
>>>Gee, and I thought you said that you were a realist.
>>
>>I am. But I'm not so close minded that I'm just going to "accept" that
>>I exist and not ponder why.
>
>
>What part of existentialism dictates that one must must not "ponder"
>their own existence?

Existentialism is more concerned with "how", rather than "why". Well
that also depends on which purveyor of modern existentialism you tend
to follow.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3133. Bought a Kenwood TS-480SAT and converted it to CB - ts-480_mars-cap_mod.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 13:52:31 UTC
From: Fudgepacker <longlive@pirateradio.org>
Message-ID: <hk7h81d9qrm67h756e20rga9tecddls6bk@4ax.com>
Source record: 200158

About 6 weeks ago, I plunked down $950 and bought a TS-480SAT to use as a new 11m base rig. I have been very happy with it's performance. After making sure that it was working 100%, I performed the modification found on http://mods.dk/. I used a sharp exacto knife to lift off the SMT R221. You have to use a magnifying glass to get a good eyeball on it. It has an antenna tuner built in and it has 100 w of SSB. It has DSP so you can enhance your audio on TX-RX. You can control it with various software proggies (very handy for SWL). On AM I get very favorable radio reports. On SSB, I worked a station in Newfoundland and he said he was "very impressed" with the audio. I have never heard it on air, but Kenwood's tend have more bass in their audio. It has nice speech processing, but I suspect my Turner +3 defeats it, so I leave it off. I doesn't need any special filters for it to sound right, as you control that with the DSP. So if your considering buying a new 11 meter base radio, get one of these instead a RCI or Melaka.

Love live pirate radio!!!!!!!!! AKC smokes Cock!!!!!!!!!

73's
FudgePacker

3134. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 14:09:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27876-4288A9B2-353@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200159

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 13 May 2005 10:25:50 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 11 May 2005 11:38:40 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>it primarily the left who are

>spearheading an intensified effort to remove

>all signs of religion from government

>processes, even though most have been

>around since this country was founded.

So has crime. What is wrong with seeking to remove that of which the law
clearly defines?

>Nothing, if that's indeed the case.

You just said it was. You are second guessing only yourself.

>The "law" has been defined in regard to

>religious influences, since the inception of this

>country. It was not a problem in 1805, 1905,

>and 1955, so it should not be a problem in

>2005.

Only to those who are trapped in the past and who are afraid of and
reject change and progress.

>But those

>religious influences are adorned all over our

>government buildings and in our government

>business.

So are other religious symbols besides Christianity.

>Why is it only now do certain people find

>exception to it?

You would have to ask one. My guess would be a certain faction is trying to cram their religious beliefs down otehr's throats.

–
You are one of the most vocal in this group to
redundantly invoke that just because something is practiced far and wide doesn't make it legal or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree with it.

>In the case of religious influences in this

>country, the majority have accepted and

>endorsed it since the beginning. It's only now

>that a small, but vocal MINORITY that has a

>problem with it.

You still demonstrate hypocrisy here,,.the reason you set forth for justifying it, valid to only yourself.

>When it's not illegal, I agree with it.

Except when the law doesn't agree with your point of view or actions. You claim ignorance of the law is no excuse, but you arrogantly claim you break the law intentionally (holding up traffic in the passing lane, paralelling the car in the right lane) in order to enforce another law. Pa law states the left lane is for passing only. You're an uninformed (regarding the law in your own state) hypocrite.

>The fact is that despite recent

>misinterpretations of the establishment clause

>in the constitution by left wing zealots, we

>have had religious influences in our

>government from the very beginning.

That's rich..and wrong.
Once again I ask you to explain how anything these "left wingers" say or do or interpret can matter at all regarding this issue while the republicans control the house and senate.

>No, it's not. You'd either have to be blind or

>hopelessly biased not to see it.

Well, then feel free to ahead and explain away how these "left winger misinterpretations" affect religious laws when the republicans are the only party in charge of both the senate and the house....ie: the country.

>In theory, it should mean nothing. But you

>know those obstructionist democrats trying to

>use a filibuster to leverage their minority into a
>controlling influence.

That's one biased opinion. The other side of the coin you seek to ignore is that the fillibuster is the last legal refuge to place an end to the republicans seeking to end and change laws that would prevent a one party rule...theirs.
Nevertheless, the misinterpretation has been all yours even though Frank neatly wrapped it all up and presented you with the facts clearly indicating congress shall keep the clause of separation of church and state intact.

>It was never there in the first place.

Denial is your best trait.,,but denial when presented proof is learned ignorance.

>At least not to the degree that the zealots are

>calling for now.

The only zealots that mean anything are the ones in charge...repubs.
  Because you agree with the religious zealots and have on many occasion admitted that your moral views are to be fostered upon others and if they do not subscribe to your radical positions and admitted (on many occasion) socialistic tendencies, you mistakenly hold them as an enemy of yourself, seeking to take away that of which you believe.

>I and many others who are currently in the

>majority. You know, the ones who reelected

>G.W. Bush.

The majority didn't vote, David. Someone with your caliber of education should know better, but then again, youare also of the rabid pack who continue to erroneously claim Bush had a mandate....if he did, it was with Jeff Gannon.

>I would argue that it was those influences

>which made this country one of strong moral

>and ethical principles.

In one sentence you claim the moral and ethical principles of this country have degraded terribly and even said society was reflected on the air.
Now you say the country is once again of strong moral fiber and ethical principle.

>No, I said that this country was FOUNDED on

>strong moral and ethical principles.

No,,you said,,,"Which made this country one of strong moral fiber".

>You should learn to read for content, before

>making another of your erroneous

>conclusions.

You flip flop more than Bush.

>No, you misinterpret and assume such as a

>result of your misinterpretations.

The only misinterpretation here, is the initial impression I had of you and your education. I thought you were reasonably schooled at one point, until the several weeks, between your gaffes and unlearned comments regrading the law of your own state and the glaring holes in your civics and history knowledge, law knowledge, and FCC knowledge.

_
I wouldn't go so far as to put it blatantly in those terms, but I do believe taking God and physical punishment out of the schools was a serious mistake.

>Then you and I do share some agreement in

>this area. But the reason why God was taken

>out of public schools was a direct result of

>anti-religious zealots trying to leverage an

>extreme interpretation of "separation of church
>and state" to accomplish this "unfortunate"

>feat.

I disagree. One doesn't need be anti-religious in order to disagree with Christian dogma being displayed in public areas. This is your own short sightedness.

>There was once a day when democrats and

>republicans practiced a little thing called

>compromise.

There was also a day when the working guys of each party could think for themselves instead of widely swallowing their party line rhetoric and blaming those who aren't anywhere near leadership positions in this country for all the woes and incompetence of your own party.

>Such as?

Your entire religious argument regarding the left.
That is the
most pedestrian act you have attempted to date. Scary thing is, you appear to actually believe yourself when you post such drivel. You simply can not handle the responsibility of the buck stopping with the

leader you selected.

>What failures can be blamed on our leader?

Lately? Dharfur. N Korea. Providing adequate armor to the troops that
would save lives. Balancing the budget...just for an immediate start.

_
In other words, you seek to blame others
when responsibility for your leader's action must be taken.

>.Well, it might be nice to blame Bush for the

>failure of Social Security, but the democrats

>will not even allow his plan to come to a full

>vote,

There is no failure of SS, unless Bush is permitted to monkey with it.

> while offering nothing of their own to counter

>it.

Lockbox.

>They'd rather just pretend that there's no

>problem (Even though prominent leaders of

>their own party were running around like

>chicken little about SS failing when Clinton

>was in office).

Blaming another
political party for the last four and a half years of confirmed failures
illustrate you really have too great a deal to learn in order to
effectively discuss the political process.

>Your opinion notwithstanding,

My "opinion" that blaming the left for Bush failures illustrates you
really have a great deal to learn is no opinion, but fact.

> there is not one thing you can definitively pin

>on Bush as a "failure".

See above.

> On the other hand, for the last 4 years, the

>democratic party has become the party of

>hatred and obstruction.

Demos have nothing to do with it. An attempt to cloud the topic that you keep failing with by invoking the left when faced with Bush failures is useless.

-
>If it looks smells or tastes like it came from a

>republican, their first instinct is to oppose it.

You continue to invoke demos for all the republican failures. Classic.

>Like I said before, before the extreme

>polarization of the political parties in

>Washington, you could actually get things

>done with a little compromise.

And like I said, before your elected president successfully redefined and mis-defined the term "liberal" to mean anyone who dares oppose him, many repubs actually thought for themselves instead of buying into failed party rhetoric from which most intelligent and true GOP'er have distanced themselves.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

3135. Re: Felon N8WWM threatens Dayton Hamvention participants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 14:13:47 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27876-4288AA9B-355@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200160

From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
(chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC)
KC8GXW wrote:
Now we know where n9ogl gets it from, he's really a 10-4 gud buddy
Al Banys wrote:
From N8WWM@arrl.net
Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit
hunk...wanna
party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass. I volunteer to show ya
how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

From N8WWM@arrl.net
You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be wearing a sign with my
call on it, front and back. Come up and introduce yourself and get a
lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just like your wife pus bag twistie. I
fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna hafta start smokin cigars or
something to generate enough flegm for all you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?

From:N8WWM@arrl.net
Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess. You wanna
come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to the MISTAKE
ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and building
jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

From N8WWM@arrl.net
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken

bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

>First off, you cant send from arrl.net, which

>clearly shows the post to be a forgery.

Nothing said it was posted from ARRL. in fact, if you look at the headers, they very clearly do not come from arrl. Those not suffering from a communication deficit can see such things.

>Second, There is no threat there unless the

>individual was disturbed.

That's not true, but keeping with your bullshit, you are -very- disturbed. Your sickness validated and documented.

3136. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 15:55:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ah7h81d22ure4rmlkvdj7nk45ohnu9st40@4ax.com>
Source record: 200161

On Fri, 13 May 2005 11:58:08 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Thu, 12 May 2005 10:34:14 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>If the FCC chose to do so, freebanders can be
>
>>charged criminally. The fact that they have not
>>chosen to do any more than sporadic
>
>
>>citations, does not diminish the fact that they
>
>>could if they chose to.
>
>Whoaaa.....you are invoking what does not take place, only what you
>pontificate can take place.
>Reality is,,it doesn't take place. End of story.

Reality is that it DOES take place. Only in very rare situations.
Which, I'm sure you consider the same as never doing it.

>
>>Police do not usually cite people for
>>Jaywalking, but they could at any time.
>
>
>Also reality,,but, stay relevant to reality and not what "may" happen.
>Dreaming is nice, but not reality.

As long as the law is on the books, you are required to follow it.
Although there really are some silly laws that need to be done away
with. Once that happens, then it's a different story.

>>The point is that just because a law is not
>>actively enforced does not mean that it's ok to
>>break it.
>
>
>It does in many cases where the law is not enforced. Blue laws are but a
>single example,,,an example you felt so valid, you snipped it.

So FCC rules are a "blue law" to you?

>>But the point is that nothing will happen if you
>>are never caught. But the fact that you are not
>>likely to get caught does not diminish the
>>illegality

>No one ever said it did.

>>ï¿½ï¿½and societal irresponsibility of
>>engaging in the acts.
>ï¿½
>In order for you to claim such a "societal irresponsibility" exists,
>there first must exist a "societal responsibility" somehwere other than
>your mind regarding such (cb radio)....can you cite it?
>
>>Societal responsibility goes far beyond CB
>>radio. It goes hand in hand with morality,
>>consideration, and just plain old fashioned
>>good manners.
>
>Try again.....in regards to cb radio, please cite this non-existent
>"societal responsibility" concept that has you confounded.

It's hard to quantify an abstract concept. But if you look real hard
you can find out about such things as civic and societal
responsibility, with regard to many aspect of our lives. These things
are generic in how we live in a society. There needs not be one
"special" to CB radio. Good manners and respect is proper in all that
we do.

>>Not everything in life is codified, especially
>> morality.
>
>
>Then you have no right to hold others to your view of what is and isn't
>acceptable, despite your claims to the contrary.

Well, if you want to lead the charge for immorality, then be my guest.
But don't be surprised that those of us who still harbor some sense of
morality, do what we can to stop you.

>
>>If you need a specific guide on how to be a
>>responsible citizen and a good neighbor, you
>>can start with Miss Manners and work your
>>way up from there.
>
>
>And since you admittedly can not comprehend why one jamming repeater
>frequencies can present a safety hazard, you should begin your radio
>education as relates to hammie radio, anew.

Jamming a repeater which spends 98% of it's time as a home for hams to
chew the fat on, is hardly a safety hazard. I agree that jamming a

repeater is improper behavior, just like jamming CB channels with high
power and on unauthorized channels. But there's no "safety hazard"
there nay more than on any given CB channel.

>Please cite these criminal penalties referring the freeband or simple
>dx.

>>Please refer to the communications act of
>>1934 and related parts.

>I went to the source. I see no criminal charges, merely civil charges.
>Can you cite this exception of which you speak?
>
>>Start with Title IV, section 401 and work your
>>way from there.
>
>
>Waffling will not distance yourself from your incorrect claim, David. I
>have yet to find a criminal charge for simple dxing. It does not exist.

You keep playing word games, oh purveyor of waffling. The charge is
not "simple DX". The charge is transmitting on unauthorized
frequencies.

>
>There is a mitigating difference between "can't" and "won't". Even
>so...keeping with your claim,,..how is it you confront all freebanders
>and lawbreakers regarding cb and freebanding?

It's not my job to "confront" anyone. However I do present my opinion.

>>ï¿½I have, on occasion, prevented speeding by
>>paralleling someone in the right lane holding
>>the legal speed limit.

>A massive ticket here in Florida, AND in Pa from what I read.

>>Based on what charge?
>
>Left lane is for passing only. Again you don;t know the laws of your own
>state.

Then perhaps you can tell me how someone can legally pass a car in the
right lane that's already at the posted speed limit?

>.except when you invoked the possibilities of cbers running huge power
>interfering with emergency communications in a long ago conversation. Of
>course, it isno linger irrelevant when you invoke such.
>
>>Which happens.
>
>Speculation is acceptable only when invoked by yourself to suppport your
>hypocrisy.
>

>>Nothing I have said is hypocritical. However
>>you may wish to reexamine the context of
>>which you pull your information before making
>>invalid comparisons.

>Since Frank taught you the proper definition of "analogy", it really
>doesn't matter.

You two couldn't teach someone to find their rear ends with both
hands.

>Right,,,it means not being used.To use your analogy regarding physical
>property,,,,if a lot or property is abandoned, and one tends the ground,
>takes care of it, and pays the tax on it for x amount of years, the
>often land becomes the property of the caretaker who has been taking
>care of it and paying the taxes.
>
>>Squatters rights. And interesting angle.
>
>And a valid one.

>>And for it to apply, then you would have to
>>concede that radio spectrum is treated in the
>>same way as "real" property.

>It doesn't apply to the radoio spectrum, which is what you are being
>properly instructed upon.

Then why did YOU bring it up?

>>ï¿½I wonder if someone has tried that tactic on
>>the FCC in regard to the freeband area of 11
>>meters. The principle is similar.

>Only to your misguided education or beliefs or whatever is responsible
>for you not grasping such a concept. It has not been tried with the FCC
>because even the lowly cbers seem to comprehend the spectrum is 1) not
>owned by the FCC and 2) not tangible property.
>
>>Then the concept of squatter's rights does not
>>apply to radio spectrum.
>
>
>Only you said it did.

YOU brought it up.

>>So I'm curious why you brought it up in that
>>context.

>To make you understand your error.

I made no error.

> >There are many abandoned buildings around.
>>But you are still not allowed to trespass there.
>
>Yet, many people use these abandon buildings on a regular basis with
>immunity.
>Bums,,,vagrants, crackheads,
>
>>..... Freebanders. I see the similarities.
>
>You really have a low opinion of yourself, Dave.

>>No, not me, only scofflaws.
>
>
>
>I always said you had a serious ego and self-esteem problem. The mere
>admittance that you held yourself in such company confirms such.
>
>>That was then, this is now.
>
>
>No matter. I could say my esteem is that of which my character was never
>held in the company of whcih you refer yourself, past or present.

You could say that the moon is made of green cheese for all the
difference it would make.

>>Everyone can repent, even you.
>
>
>Repent? To who? ANd for what? Is it a sin to talk on the freeband? Dave,
>you're losing nd, here.

You can correct yourself from your bad habits.

>>It's not too late to atone for the error of your
>>ways.
>
>See above for examples of a form of civil disobedience..

Civil disobedience is not a catch-all concept for scofflaws to use as
an excuse to ignore laws that they, as individuals, have some deep
rooted psychological issue with.

>>Then again, some people would rather just
>>operate illegally rather than going through the
>>trouble to have an perceived unjust rule
>>changed. Those people are simply weak.
>
>
>Like yourself,,,who is reactive but never proactive. Great analogy,

I am not the one with the problem. I once wanted more bandwidth, I
earned a ham license. No more problem.

>>The only thing you have in your favor is that
>>the FCC is not motivated enough to do much
>>about it.

>You have nothing in your favor. It's all blatant hypocrisy.
>>What have I said, that could be considered
>>hypocritical?

>too many things to list, but many regs have illustrated it for
>you,,,it;s not their fault you can;t comprehend it.

Yet you cannot list them. Another excuse. You and Frank are both full
of lame excuses these days. You like to recklessly throw around the
word "hypocritical", yet I am seriously beginning to believe that you
have no idea what it truly means.

>> Is operation on the freeband not illegal?
>>Should the law not be respected? How many
>>more excuses are you going to invent to hide,
>>obfuscate, justify, or otherwise downplay the
>>fact that you willingly ignore a federal law?

>It really galls you that you were never given any reasons, let alone
>conjured excuses of which onlu you hallucinate.

I'll take that as another excuse, and a concession that you cannot
counter the points that I presented.

>>ï¿½It's not that it's any less illegal, it's only that
>>they don't care enough.

>Because it is rightly a non-issue to the majority,

Of which you have absolutely no idea who they are, or how they feel.

> of which you clearly
>do not belong, leading to the fact that you are a minority wishing to
>dictate your beliefs to the masses. Doesn't work that way.
>
>>Sort of like the democratic party trying to
>>subvert the constitution by an abusive
>>application of a filibuster to block judicial
>>nominees......
>
>You said you were behind all legal activities. You're a hypocrite.
>Fillibusters are legal.

Not in the manner in which they've been used as of late. Note another
quick, reckless, and incorrect application of the word "hypocrite"

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3137. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 16:32:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <vmgh81lmesam5p1h42h0pj91nsei56qd9a@4ax.com>
Source record: 200162

On Fri, 13 May 2005 10:51:40 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>You said one will not likely catch AIDS if one practices monogamy. This
>would only hold true if both were virgins when getting married..,not
>practical when applied to present reality, as the vast majority have a
>sexual past history.
>
>>And the less promiscuous that past is, the less
>>likely that one will catch AIDS.
>
>No one ever said differently. That statement still does nothing to
>support or validate
>your erred comment that now practicing monogamy will likely prevent one
>from catching AIDS, as it discounts your past. You are unable to
>distinguish between the differences.

So, you are of the theory that if you have a "sexual past" that it's
not worth being more careful now? Sort of like the defeatist analogy,
"Hell, I smoked for the last 10 years, so what's the point of stopping
now?"

You fail to consider statistical probability. The more partners you
have, the more likely you will find one who has AIDS. If you practice
monogamy, even now, will greatly lower your overall chances of
catching the disease.

>>Besides, you imply that it's next to impossible
>>or, at the very least, unrealistic for someone to
>>wait until marriage to engage in sexual
>>relations.
>
>
>
>Yes, it is extremely unrealistic to expect the majority will suddenly
>adhere to abstinence.

Why? Is the human race not capable of mastering its little urges?

Let's put it another way, Abstinence is a great incentive to those who
want to live, rather than risk contracting a deadly disease. Call it a
matter of priority.

_
>ï¿½There are instances where the HIV virus is semi-dormant for years and
>years (10 to 15 year spans are on record) and then it suddenly

>appears,,,the same can be said of AIDS..it's manageable in many cases
>until,...poof,,it morphs to full blown AIDS.
>
>>Which means nothing if you've never been
>>exposed to it.

>Please try and remain at least semi-relative to your comments.

I'm sorry your comprehensive skills are so poor.

>>Sex is a part of an act of love, to be shared
>>with someone who you have a much deeper
>>emotional bond with. Not something for two
>>people, who are barely friends, who are simply
>>looking to kill a few hours.
>
>
>
>Man,,you have been losing more ground each day with your posts, Dave.

How? I'm sorry if your morally bankrupt viewpoint clashes with my
solid moral foundation. But there's always hope for you. It's not too
late to change.

>ï¿½Your claim that monogamy decreases the chance of acquiring AIDS
>assumes incorrectly these people had no sexual past history.
>
>>It's not an "all or nothing" proposition.
>
>
>You're losing yourself again.

No, I'm evidently losing you, as you once again failed to comprehend
my point.

I'll explain it again at a level you should be able to understand.
Monogamy may not 100% eliminate the risk of AIDS for those with a
sordid sexual past, but it will REDUCE the chances of catching AIDS,
as the risk exposure is minimized to a great degree.

> Onec again, your rattle has nothing to
>with my comment. Try again.....-your- claim that monogamy decreases the
>chance of acquiring AIDS assumes incorrectly these people had no sexual
>past history.

You seem hell bent on confusing the term "decreases" with
"eliminates".

>>While total abstinence before marriage is a
>>concept that's lost on this latest hedonistic
>>generation, the simple truth is that the less
>>partners you have had, the less your chances
>>of catching AIDS.

>
>
>Again and over and over,, you are presenting an argument to which only
>yourself appears to be unconvinced.

I admit it's tough trying to get through to someone with your apparent
learning comprehension disability.

>It would depend upon the act. For example, the chance of the
>transmission of AIDS while a man receives oral sex from a woman is lower
>than your chances of getting killed in an automobile accident.

Which means what in the grand scheme of things?

>>The facts are quite simple. The less sex you
>>engage in, the lesser your chances of getting
>>AIDS.
>
>
>Now try injecting reality into your equation. If it was as simple as you
>present, the AIDS epidemic would not exist.

It's not my fault that a great percentage of the population does not
take the AIDS issue seriously enough to override their hedonistic
desires, and they continue to engage in risky sexual practices. I have
no pity for them if they learn the lesson the hard way.

>>Those who contract the disease have only
>>themselves, by virtue of their activities, to
>>blame in most cases.
>
>
>The same can be said of your wife if she or your daughter contract lung
>cancer, asthma or pulmonary emboli related problems down the line
>because of her smoking while she was pregnant.

Ah, another hypocritical statement from someone who once claimed to
be unconcerned with the personal lives of others. To make it even more
laughable, I can add this to the growing list of things you have
worked to find out about me, which are 100% wrong.

My wife smoked up until she became pregnant. Then something (God?)
changed her chemistry such that the taste of a cigarette became
physically sickening. She quit immediately and never went back, and
she's almost 6 years now smoke free.

So you can add this to the growing list of gaffes that you have made
about my personal life (Which you claimed to not care about)
including:

Abuse at the hands of my grandfather.
My wife's name being Kimberly T. Hall.
My wife being a teacher.

My wife and I being separated/divorced.
My not being allowed to see my daughter, except under supervision.
My home address being wrong on my FCC license.

I'm sure there's more, but I can't remember all of them. You make far
too many "oopses" to count.

> If you are going to hold
>people to the flame for all their abhorrant behavior, you must begin in
>your own backyard, lest you have no right to confront others and your
>soap box is nothing more than a mirage.

So you espouse that no one has any right to criticize events, or
behavior based on the likelihood that they also have "baggage" of
their own?

Gee, it's a good thing that the major media, and politicians don't
have to abide by this, or we'd hear nothing but quiet every day.

>>I am quite certain that my risk of contracting
>>AIDS is less than my chances of getting hit by
>>a meteor.
>
>
>Since no one can recall the last time one had been struck with a meteor,
>that's a hell of a scholarly and meritous claim.

Exactly.

>>I am far more concerned with
>>cancer and heart disease as these pose a
>>much greater risk to the members of my
>>family.
>
>
>Diseases that, in large part, can be blamed on your family members by
>virtue of their poor choices and actions..smoking.

Despite research that links certain lifestyle choices to increases in
cancer or heart disease risk, there are also certain genetic
predispositions. There are no genetic predispositions to catching
AIDS.

AIDS risk can be reduced to minuscule levels if people would take the
proactive step in modifying their lifestyles.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3138. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 16:39:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <apih81h6mbbpka62vkc70re0j71ovd25uc@4ax.com>
Source record: 200163

On Fri, 13 May 2005 11:00:26 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>There has been no conclusive proof that
>>global warming is primarily the result of man's
>>influence over the environment.

>(Yes, there is indeed conclusive proof.)

>>No there isn't, for the simple reason that we do
>>not have enough climatic history to determine
>>just how and when the climate shifts normally
>>as a reference before we can accurately
>>gauge the additional effects of humans.
>
>
>
>The history of the earth's climate is well documented back to the
>begining of the earth's creation...grammar school basic earth and
>science taught this. Carbon dating confirms much ad plays a large part
>of the techniques used to arrive at such widely accepted and mainstream
>taught scientific facts.

Like I told Frank, science can tell us that, for instance, it was once
tropical in Montana, and that Glaciers covered much of the northern
United States during different time periods. This proves that the
earth's climate has vacillated in a fairly wide range. But what this
DOESN'T tell us is how much of the current global warming cycle can be
attributed to natural cyclic climatic changes, and how much of it is a
direct result of man made pollution. Without a point of reference, it
is extremely difficult to positively determine how much we are
changing the climate.

>Chloroflourocarbons released by the burning of fossil fuels is directly
>linked to global warming. Global warming was proved by the continual
>shrinkage of the polar ice cap confirmed by 24-7 high tech monitoring of
>such. Villages that reside in the frozen tundra watch their mountains of
>ice shrink each year.

How much of that shrinkage would still be occurring without man made
pollution?

>Some feel the same about poorly crafted laws, but you take issue with
>those free-thinkers and it moves you toward the goblin that you are
>unable to cast out and exercise of yourself.

Once again, you don't get it (Why should I be surprised?). You want to get rid of what you refer to as "poorly crafted laws"? Then great! Go for it! You have my support. But until then, you are bound to respect and obey the current laws as they stand.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3139. Re: Bought a Kenwood TS-480SAT and converted it to CB - ts-480_mars-cap_mod.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 17:39:32 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <btudnYoiTY9MRxXfRVn-2w@giganews.com>
Source record: 200164

Fudgepacker wrote:
> About 6 weeks ago, I plunked down $950 and bought a TS-480SAT to use
> as a new 11m base rig. I have been very happy with it's performance.
> After making sure that it was working 100%, I performed the
> modification found on http://mods.dk/. I used a sharp exacto knife to
> lift off the SMT R221. You have to use a magnifying glass to get a
> good eyeball on it. It has an antenna tuner built in and it has 100 w
> of SSB. It has DSP so you can enhance your audio on TX-RX. You can
> control it with various software proggies (very handy for SWL). On
> AM I get very favorable radio reports. On SSB, I worked a station in
> Newfoundland and he said he was "very impressed" with the audio. I
> have never heard it on air, but Kenwood's tend have more bass in their
> audio. It has nice speech processing, but I suspect my Turner +3
> defeats it, so I leave it off. I doesn't need any special filters for
> it to sound right, as you control that with the DSP. So if your
> considering buying a new 11 meter base radio, get one of these instead
> a RCI or Melaka.

1000 bucks to use that radio on CB? Man, that's a dedicated CB'r. ;-)

>
> Love live pirate radio!!!!!!!!! AKC smokes Cock!!!!!!!!!
>
> 73's
> FudgePacker

3140. Re: Bought a Kenwood TS-480SAT and converted it to CB - ts-480_mars-cap_mod.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 19:07:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2rrh81hdslfbdn2ascg63iso8di86irqp7@4ax.com>
Source record: 200165

On Mon, 16 May 2005 10:39:32 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Fudgepacker wrote:
>> About 6 weeks ago, I plunked down $950 and bought a TS-480SAT to use
>> as a new 11m base rig. I have been very happy with it's performance.
>> After making sure that it was working 100%, I performed the
>> modification found on http://mods.dk/. I used a sharp exacto knife to
>> lift off the SMT R221. You have to use a magnifying glass to get a
>> good eyeball on it. It has an antenna tuner built in and it has 100 w
>> of SSB. It has DSP so you can enhance your audio on TX-RX. You can
>> control it with various software proggies (very handy for SWL). On
>> AM I get very favorable radio reports. On SSB, I worked a station in
>> Newfoundland and he said he was "very impressed" with the audio. I
>> have never heard it on air, but Kenwood's tend have more bass in their
>> audio. It has nice speech processing, but I suspect my Turner +3
>> defeats it, so I leave it off. I doesn't need any special filters for
>> it to sound right, as you control that with the DSP. So if your
>> considering buying a new 11 meter base radio, get one of these instead
>> a RCI or Melaka.
>
>1000 bucks to use that radio on CB? Man, that's a dedicated CB'r. ;-)

I was thinking the same thing.

Vinnie S.

3141. I Max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 20:03:58 UTC
From: NCB555@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20799-4288FCAE-478@storefull-3211.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200166

Can I bypass the cap in the center section of this antenna ?.
What is the reason for this capicator?

Triple 5
Trip 555
BIG in TEXAS

3142. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 20:46:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kuth815jpu5nnjrt3e35frp24rfmm5ma1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 200167

On Mon, 16 May 2005 08:56:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <98vg81522in0bpc28d4tftqbh9hjoo2kvd@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>>See, this is what's so puzzling about you Frank. Once in a while you
>>>unload with a brilliant piece of perspective, which is at total odds
>>>with your status in life. You're one hell of an underachiever.
>>
>>
>>Dr. Kramer probably wouldn't agree. Do you want the book or not?
>
>Frank, I can get as much information as I need right from the
>internet. It's a lot better than finding places to keep all those
>books.

Hence the source of your ingnorance and the reason you find me to be
so "puzzling". But you go right ahead and limit yourself to the
internet for your sole source of information -- let me know when you
find the winding specifications for an Ajax M-2-145T, or the firearm
most preferred by Deep-River Jim, or why Bessie slashed up her own
portrait. Find a link that explains why you can see the Douglas Firs
towering above you in the middle of the woods on a pitch-black and
starless night. Download the feelings of watching Israeli officers
picking off Palistinian schoolkids running out of a burning building
like they were ducks in a shooting gallery. I'm sure you can find a
site that has the cyber-smell file of a Northwest sawmill. And I'm
sure there's some adapter you can plug into the USB port that will let
you enjoy the unmatched hospitality (and world-class pastries) offered
by a family of Norwegians when all you did was ask to fill up your
water can.

The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature,
or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you
need from your computer. You are a fool, Dave.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3143. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 21:04:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2344-42890AEA-370@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200168

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 13 May 2005 11:58:08 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 12 May 2005 10:34:14 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>If the FCC chose to do so, freebanders can be
>charged criminally. The fact that they have not
>chosen to do any more than sporadic

>citations, does not diminish the fact that they

>could if they chose to.

Whoaaa.....you are invoking what does not take place, only what you
pontificate can take place.
Reality is,,it doesn't take place. End of story.

>Reality is that it DOES take place.

Yet, you have not provided nothing for this claim other than reasons why
you do not.
Again, feel free to illustrate where one was criinally prosecuted fro
the mere act of simple freebanding.

>Only in very

>rare situations.

So rare, only you are aware of such.

>Which, I'm sure you consider the same as

>never doing it.

Absence of proof when applied to your claims, is definitely proof of
absence.

>Police do not usually cite people for

>Jaywalking, but they could at any time.

Also reality,,but, stay relevant to reality and not what "may" happen.
Dreaming is nice, but not reality.

>As long as the law is on the books, you are

>required to follow it.

Yet, you are unable to distinguish between civil (cb) and criminal matters (everything else you invoked), despite being taught repeatedly.

>Although there really are some silly laws that

>need to be done away with. Once that

>happens, then it's a different story.

Only to you.

>The point is that just because a law is not

>actively enforced does not mean that it's ok to

>break it.

It does in many cases where the law is not enforced. Blue laws are but a single example,,,an example you felt so valid, you snipped it.

>So FCC rules are a "blue law" to you?

Many of their rules are antiquated and should be done away. The fact they do not enforce certain rules is exactly -why- I do not become involved in actively seeking to change them. IF they enforced these laws, I would become proacative, as opposed to your self-righteous reactive approach. Such would be much more effective,,but you are tilting windmills, as they don't care. Channel 6 is a perfect example. That you experience TIA's over such facts, is beside the point.

>But the point is that nothing will happen if you

>are never caught. But the fact that you are not

>likely to get caught does not diminish the

>illegality

No one ever said it did.

> and societal irresponsibility of

>engaging in the acts.

In order for you to claim such a "societal irresponsibility" exists, there first must exist a "societal responsibility" somehwere other than your mind regarding such (cb radio)....can you cite it?

>Societal responsibility goes far beyond CB

>radio. It goes hand in hand with morality,

>consideration, and just plain old fashioned

>good manners.

Try again.....in regards to cb radio, please cite this non-existent "societal responsibility" concept that has you confounded.

>It's hard to quantify an abstract concept.

So stop trying, no one asked you to COUNT it, Dave. Frank nailed it,,
you continue to use terms you have no clue what they mean and you apply
your own incorrect definition to such.
This disease took hold when you permitted Bush to incorrectly redefine
"liberal" to the suckered masses that wax sympathetic to such an idiot.

>But if you look real hard you can find out about
>such things as civic and societal responsibility,
>with regard to many aspect of our lives.

And if you look real hard you may find the proper definition of
"quantify".

>These things are generic in how we live in a

>society. There needs not be one "special" to

>CB radio. Good manners and respect is

>proper in all that we do.

So by breaking the law one is a criminal and not worthy of respect or
good manners...of course such would not apply to yourself when you
admittedly break Pa law and parallel a driver in the right lane in order
to hold traffic back to the posted limit..as you're a hypocrite.
A very pissed off, one apparently. You need better things to do in the
wee hours than the behavior that has you screwing up so poorly each day
among our sacred and hallowed pages..

>Not everything in life is codified, especially

>morality.

Then you have no right to hold others to your view of what is and isn't
acceptable, despite your claims to the contrary.

>Well, if you want to lead the charge for

>immorality, then be my guest.

Such radical views are shared by only yourself, Bush, and Hitler. You
were taught this once before when you posted right or wrong, you were
behind Bush all the way. First, such totalitari
Secondly, it was Hitler to who you are now reparaphrasing and adhering,
as he also agreed with you that "If one is not with us, then they are
against us"

> But don't be surprised that those of us who

>still harbor some sense of morality, do what

>we can to stop you.

I've been asking you this for years...so since you are alluding to such
again, in what manner are you proactive in stopping anybody from doing

anything? I mean, besides breaking the law on the Pa roads like you do? And remember your earlier claim that what one says on usenet is paramount to a guilty plea in court (snicker).

>If you need a specific guide on how to be a

>responsible citizen and a good neighbor, you

>can start with Miss Manners and work your

>way up from there.

And since you admittedly can not comprehend why one jamming repeater frequencies can present a safety hazard, you should begin your radio education as relates to hammie radio, anew.

>Jamming a repeater which spends 98% of it's

>time as a home for hams to chew the fat on, is
>hardly a safety hazard.

The FCC disagrees with you.

>I agree that jamming a repeater is improper

>behavior, just like jamming CB channels with

>high power and on unauthorized channels. But
>there's no "safety hazard" there nay more than
>on any given CB channel.

Ask the FCC, just like you did with the roger beep legality dilemma that had you all confused.
-
Please cite these criminal penalties referring the freeband or simple dx.

>Please refer to the communications act of

>1934 and related parts.

I went to the source. I see no criminal charges, merely civil charges. Can you cite this exception of which you speak?

>Start with Title IV, section 401 and work your

>way from there.

Waffling will not distance yourself from your incorrect claim, David. I have yet to find a criminal charge for simple dxing. It does not exist.

>You keep playing word games, oh purveyor of

>waffling. The charge is not "simple DX". The

>charge is transmitting on unauthorized

>frequencies.

There is a mitigating difference between "can't" and "won't". Even
so...keeping with your claim,,..how is it you confront all freebanders
and lawbreakers regarding cb and freebanding?

>It's not my job to "confront" anyone.

That's not what you said earlier. Flip-flop.

>However I

>do present my opinion.

> I have, on occasion, prevented speeding by

>paralleling someone in the right lane holding

>the legal speed limit.

A massive ticket here in Florida, AND in Pa from what I read.

>Based on what charge?

Left lane is for passing only. Again you don't know the laws of your own
state.

>Then perhaps you can tell me how someone

>can legally pass a car in the right lane that's

>already at the posted speed limit?

Irrelevant. You were the one in the left lane and not passing,,,which is
why you were breaking the law. Ignorance is no excuse. It's for passing
only. The fact that you couldn't pass is the criteria for you to be in
the right lane. Ask your unnamed, unsolicited but always invoked,
unidentified "several cop friends" who continue to plague your mind with
poor advice...

_
except when you invoked the possibilities of
cbers running huge power interfering with emergency communications in a
long ago conversation. Of course, it isno linger irrelevant when you
invoke such.

>Which happens.

It has since then, It hadn't up until that point. And the person that
did it all was a hammie like you..one who doesn't care about any other's
rights except your own.

>Speculation is acceptable only when invoked

>by yourself to suppport your hypocrisy.

>Nothing I have said is hypocritical. However

>you may wish to reexamine the context of

>which you pull your information before making

>invalid comparisons.

You broke the law, intentionally, and are beside yourself making excuses for your actions..in other words,,,you were forced to break the law...(mmmmph)...just like you do with your political views,,it was you who said "breaking the law is beaking the law, the hows and whys don't matter". Of course, except when you break the law.
Since Frank taught you the proper definition of "analogy", it really doesn't matter.

>You two couldn't teach someone to find their

>rear ends with both hands.

To use your analogy regarding physical property,,,,if a lot or property is abandoned, and one tends the ground, takes care of it, and pays the tax on it for x amount of years, the often land becomes the property of the caretaker who has been taking care of it and paying the taxes.
>Squatters rights. And interesting angle.

And a valid one.

>And for it to apply, then you would have to

>concede that radio spectrum is treated in the

>same way as "real" property.

It doesn't apply to the radio spectrum, which is what you are being properly instructed upon.

>Then why did YOU bring it up?

You brought up physical property comparison,,,you used the car as an example. What's the matter with you these days, David? Is it being shown your mistakes so much that has you teething on crow, or is it something else <g>?

>I wonder if someone has tried that tactic on

>the FCC in regard to the freeband area of 11

>meters. The principle is similar.

Only to your misguided education or beliefs or whatever is responsible for you not grasping such a concept. It has not been tried with the FCC because even the lowly cbers seem to comprehend the spectrum is 1) not owned by the FCC and 2) not tangible property.

>Then the concept of squatter's rights does not

>apply to radio spectrum.

Only you said it did.

>YOU brought it up.

Pay attention,,read it s-l-o-w,,read it over and over if you must. You brought up physical property (car) as a poor and invalid comparison to the spectrum. I referred back to your initial invoked statement regarding physical property, selecting another example (empty lots, buildings) to illustrate just how ridiculous are your failed comparisons. But that's ok, Dave, as now you seem to be thoroughly confused.

>So I'm curious why you brought it up in that

>context.

To make you understand your error.

>I made no error.

Sure you did. You initiated physical property as a poor and invalid comparison to the spectrum. I once made the comment "too bad ignorance isn't painful",,however, you realizing your errors, is apparently and obviously very painful.

>There are many abandoned buildings around.

>But you are still not allowed to trespass there.

Yet, many people use these abandon buildings on a regular basis with immunity.

>Bums,,,vagrants, crackheads,

>..... Freebanders. I see the similarities.

You really have a low opinion of yourself, Dave.
>No, not me, only scofflaws.

I always said you had a serious ego and self-esteem problem. The mere admittance that you held yourself in such company confirms such.

>That was then, this is now.

No matter. I could say my esteem is that of which my character was never held in the company of whcih you refer yourself, past or present.

>You could say that the moon is made of green

>cheese for all the difference it would make.

If it came from me, it would make all the difference in the world. You, on the other hand, are stuck with accepting the fact you will never have integrity among these pages.

>Everyone can repent, even you.

Repent? To who? ANd for what? Is it a sin to talk on the freeband? Dave, you're losing ground, here.

>You can correct yourself from your bad habits.
>It's not too late to atone for the error of your

>ways.

See above for examples of a form of civil disobedience..

>Civil disobedience is not a catch-all concept

>for scofflaws to use as an excuse to ignore

>laws that they, as individuals, have some deep

>rooted psychological issue with.

You're learning. It's applied very discriminating to select laws.

>Then again, some people would rather just

>operate illegally rather than going through the

>trouble to have an perceived unjust rule

>changed.

>Those people are simply weak.

Like yourself,,,who is reactive but never proactive. Great analogy,

>I am not the one with the problem.

You mentioned many problems you have with cb and hammie radio and freebanders and all kinds of things over the years. Need reminded, or would a list better serve you?

>I once wanted more bandwidth, I earned a

>ham license. No more problem.

>David Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

You also want more enforcement to non-important matters (as judged by the FCC),,but you're not going to get it. Again, there is a litany of things that plague you. Perhaps, now, with your latest flip-flop claim that you have no problems, you will again be looked to for radio advice (only time and your attitude will tell) and not have to use sock puppets to soothe your worn down and broken ego. But since it's all about what other's think with you, perhaps you have seen the light,,,but I doubt it.

3144. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 21:16:13 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2345-42890D9D-110@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200169

>The only thing you have in your favor is that

>the FCC is not motivated enough to do much

>about it.

You have nothing in your favor. It's all blatant hypocrisy.

>What have I said, that could be considered

>hypocritical?

"When one breaks the law, the hows and whys don't matter. Ignorance is
no excuse.'"
So watching you attempt to devise a "why" to justify your law breaking,
is pretty funny.
–

Also, too many things to list, but many regs have
illustrated it for you,,,it;s not their fault you can;t comprehend it.

>Yet you cannot list them.

Eye for an eye. Tech school?
Cop's names? Departements?

>Another excuse.

Then stop with them already.

>You and Frank are both full of lame excuses

>these days.

And anyone else who disagrees with you...

>You like to recklessly throw around the word

>"hypocritical", yet I am seriously beginning to

>believe that you have no idea what it truly

>means.

I wouldn't doubt it, as you have been thoroughly schooled on many terms
that leave the masses howling when you misapply them.."quantify" was
great!!!

> Is operation on the freeband not illegal?

>Should the law not be respected? How many

>more excuses are you going to invent to hide,

>obfuscate, justify, or otherwise downplay the

>fact that you willingly ignore a federal law?

It really galls you that you were never given any reasons, let alone conjured excuses of which only you hallucinate.

>I'll take that as another excuse,

You have no choice but to take it the only way it is presented to you. Perfectly gift wrapped with a great big razzzzzzzzberry.

>and a concession that you cannot counter the

>points that I presented.

By all means,,,again, the manner in which you take things has been shown to be so off that its a wonder anyone is left to correct you.

>It's not that it's any less illegal, it's only that

>they don't care enough.

Because it is rightly a non-issue to the majority,

> Of which you have absolutely no idea who

>they are, or how they feel.

Make up your mind. Does the squeaky wheel get the grease or not? Oh,,I see,,only when it serves a failed point of which you are trying to lobby. Yes, the fact that the FCC does not deem such as important as yourself, most certainly reaffirms my position and my "idea". Sorry your ego is so damaged.

–
you are
a minority wishing to dictate your beliefs to the masses. Doesn't work that way.

>Sort of like the democratic party trying to

>subvert the constitution by an abusive

>application of a filibuster to block judicial

>nominees......

You said you were behind all legal activities. You're a hypocrite. Fillibusters are legal.

>Not in the manner in which they've been used

>as of late.

Again, you show your lack of knowledge concerning the government of the US. In what specifically crafted law does the present use of the

fillbuster to which you refer, indicate illegality?

> Note another quick, reckless, and incorrect

>application of the word "hypocrite"

The shoe fits.

>David Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

3145. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 21:59:19 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2344-428917B7-378@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200171

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 13 May 2005 10:51:40 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
You said one will not likely catch AIDS if one practices monogamy. This
would only hold true if both were virgins when getting married..,not
practical when applied to present reality, as the vast majority have a
sexual past history.

>And the less promiscuous that past is, the less

>likely that one will catch AIDS.

No one ever said differently. That statement still does nothing to
support or validate
your erred comment that now practicing monogamy will likely prevent one
from catching AIDS, as it discounts your past. You are unable to
distinguish between the differences.

>So, you are of the theory that if you have a

>"sexual past" that it's not worth being more

>careful now?

You reach your own incorrect conclusions concerning the majority of
people of who you have disagreed. This is part of your flawed logic and
communication deficit. I won't go in to detail (unless asked) as it has
been shown throughout your posting history that you have one bitch of a
time comprehending other's communications, indicating a severe deficit
in one of your nodes. Your postings are littered with "So did you
mean..."..."so what you really are saying..".."Just what did you
mean?"....

>Sort of like the defeatist analogy,

Exactly. You proved beyond a shadow of a doubt you are your own worst
enemy.

> "Hell, I smoked for the last 10 years, so

>what's the point of stopping now?"

Ask Kimberly.

>You fail to consider statistical probability.

No,I counted it,,but you immediately discount it when applied to your
self and posts. I said that the malicous sock puppets and your posts are
the majority to accuse cbers of anarchy and use the term frequently. You

asked "what of it"? I invoked your oft cried "statistical
probability"...yet it ceased the postings.
You also said there is no "george"..I invoked that leaves only you, as
ONLY you and he have EVER posted about Amish country when I mentioned I
visited there...statistical probability.
It can not be selettively applied in corelation with your beliefs,
David, as the word "statistical" connotates the opposite anddoes not
take into account belief.

>The

>more partners you have, the more likely you

>will find one who has AIDS.

Or green eyes.

>If you practice

>monogamy,

There you go again,,invoking something that is not a reality. The fact
is the majority of people have NOT practiced monogamy.

>even now, will greatly lower your

>overall chances of catching the disease.

>Besides, you imply that it's next to impossible

>or, at the very least, unrealistic for someone to
>wait until marriage to engage in sexual

>relations.

Yes, it is extremely unrealistic to expect the majority will suddenly
adhere to abstinence.

>Why?

Sheeehs!

> Is the human race not capable of mastering

>its little urges?

Apparently not, Dave, since you hold yourself above the rest.

>Let's put it another way, Abstinence is a great

>incentive to those who want to live, rather than
>risk contracting a deadly disease. Call it a

>matter of priority.

No. Call it education. Education, as I have been telling you since your
breakdown's appearance in this group, is the key to everything that

plagues you.

_
 There are instances where the HIV virus is semi-dormant for years and years (10 to 15 year spans are on record) and then it suddenly appears,,,the same can be said of AIDS..it's manageable in many cases until,...poof,,it morphs to full blown AIDS.

>Which means nothing if you've never been

>exposed to it.

Please try and remain at least semi-relative to your comments.

>I'm sorry your comprehensive skills are so

>poor.

And that is getting funnier and funnier with each mistaken word you misuse in your posts.

>Sex is a part of an act of love, to be shared

>with someone who you have a much deeper

>emotional bond with. Not something for two

>people, who are barely friends, who are simply
>looking to kill a few hours.

Man,,you have been losing more ground each day with your posts, Dave.

>How? I'm sorry if your morally bankrupt

>viewpoint clashes with my solid moral

>foundation.

What's the matter, Dave? Things going on outside the group we should know about <g>?

>. But there's always hope for you. It's not too

>late to change.

 Your claim that monogamy decreases the chance of acquiring AIDS assumes incorrectly these people had no sexual past history.

>It's not an "all or nothing" proposition.

But that's exactly what it is. Stay celibate, or screw at your own risk.

_
You're losing yourself again.

>No, I'm evidently losing you, as you once

>again failed to comprehend my point.

Only because your sentence structure and points are valid only to yourself, illustrated in part, by your never-comprehending anyone who tries teaching you those things you don't already know. For some unknown reason, you become defensive when instructed or informed of a subject of whcih you are not familiar. Your feelings and ego become so hurt, you defensively defend the reasons -why- you present an incorrect position...(roger beeps) and incorrectly fool (into a false soothing state) your narcisstic self with statements that those who instructed you, were making lucky guesses. You're a mess, David, and on a downward spiral. I never saw one who actually suffers pain when they are wrong, until I came across yourself.

>I'll explain it again at a level you should be

>able to understand.

Are you going to "quantify" it, for another's benefit, also, Dave?

> Monogamy may not 100% eliminate the risk

>of AIDS for those with a sordid sexual past,

>but it will REDUCE the chances of catching

>AIDS, as the risk exposure is minimized to a

>great degree.

Once again, your rattle has nothing to
with my comment. Try again.....-your- claim that monogamy decreases the chance of acquiring AIDS assumes incorrectly these people had no sexual past history.

>You seem hell bent on confusing the term

>"decreases" with "eliminates".

You seem hell bent on believing monogamy somehow reduces the chance of your past history affecting you.

>While total abstinence before marriage is a

>concept that's lost on this latest hedonistic

>generation, the simple truth is that the less

>partners you have had, the less your chances

>of catching AIDS.

Again and over and over,, you are presenting an argument to which only yourself appears to be unconvinced. I admit it's tough trying to get through to
someone with your apparent learning comprehension disability.

_
It would depend upon the act. For example,
the chance of the transmission of AIDS while

a man receives oral sex from a woman is lower than your chances of getting killed in an automobile accident.

>Which means what in the grand scheme of

>.things?

Which means the chance of catching AIDS from receiving head from a prostitute is no more greater than you catching it from having intercourse in your past.

>The facts are quite simple. The less sex you

>engage in, the lesser your chances of getting

>AIDS.

That's bullshit..unless, of course, in your self-titled, self-invoked, unsolicited puritan and high moral world, sex is defined only by the act of intercourse.
Now try injecting reality into your equation. If it was as simple as you present, the AIDS epidemic would not exist.

>It's not my fault that a great percentage of the

>population does not take the AIDS issue

>seriously enough to override their hedonistic

>desires, and they continue to engage in risky

>sexual practices.

Exactly, It's your fault for not having the cognitive ability to distinguish between reality and your conjured daydream of utopia and how it "ought to be". .such practice has dogged you for some time..

>I have no pity for them if they learn the lesson

>the hard way.

Same can be said for those who smoke in your family.

>Those who contract the disease have only

>themselves, by virtue of their activities, to

>blame in most cases.

The same can be said of your wife if she or your daughter contract lung cancer, asthma or pulmonary emboli related problems down the line because of her smoking while she was pregnant.

>Ah, another hypocritical statement from

>someone who once claimed to be

>unconcerned with the personal lives of others.

No hypocritical staetement at all. In fact, if your disability permitted you to remember all you initiate, you would find you were told on more than one occasion to take your personal issues to email or to remain on subject. This was your inititated game of getting personal. You were warned you would receive back what you hurl, only ten fold....something at which I am quite adept.

>To make it even more laughable, I can add

>this to the growing list of things you have

>worked to find out about me, which are 100%

>wrong.

Which is why you have the need to explain it away,,,because only one thing matters to you,,what others think.

>My wife smoked up until she became

>pregnant. Then something (God?) changed

>her chemistry such that the taste of a cigarette
>became physically sickening. She quit

>immediately and never went back, and she's

>almost 6 years now smoke free.

Gee Dave,,,that's not the way she told it,,,,but hey....you go on explaining away my mistakes,

>So you can add this to the growing list of

>gaffes that you have made about my personal

>life (Which you claimed to not care about)

>including:

>Abuse at the hands of my grandfather.

Entered after your self-qualifications to make a diagnosis reserved only for physicians, however, your own mental diminished capacitive state record lifted the veil
on your preoccupation with those you admire.

>My wife's name being Kimberly T. Hall.

It is.

>My wife being a teacher.

She was.

>My wife and I being separated/divorced.

That was incorrect. The rest are true. You were separated.

>My not being allowed to see my daughter,

>except under supervision.

(shrug) Something led to the counseling.

>My home address being wrong on my FCC

>license.

It is wrong. It doesn't match your license plate. Do I have your express and implied and explicit permission to publicly post such information here and let the masses have their input?

>I'm sure there's more, but I can't remember all

>of them. You make far too many "oopses" to

>count.

See above.

If you are going to hold
people to the flame for all their abhorrant
behavior, you must begin in your own backyard, lest you have no right to confront others and your soap box is nothing more than a mirage.

>So you espouse that no one has any right to

>criticize events, or behavior based on the

>likelihood that they also have "baggage" of

>their own?

Not when it comes down to the initiating party becoming so frustrated, they resort to threats.

>Gee, it's a good thing that the major media,

>and politicians don't have to abide by this, or

>.we'd hear nothing but quiet every day.

The media deals with threats on a regular basis, which is why yours was laughed at.

>I am quite certain that my risk of contracting

>AIDS is less than my chances of getting hit by

>a meteor.

Since no one can recall the last time one had been struck with a meteor, that's a hell of a scholarly and meritous claim.

>Exactly.

>I am far more concerned with

>cancer and heart disease as these pose a

>much greater risk to the members of my

>family.

Diseases that, in large part, can be blamed on your family members by virtue of their poor choices and actions..smoking.

>Despite research that links certain lifestyle

>choices to increases in cancer or heart

>disease risk, there are also certain genetic

>predispositions.

That can be changed with proper diet AND exercise from early on. This has been proved.

> There are no genetic predispositions to

>catching AIDS.

Wellllllllll, since YOU brought it up,,,you made the claim gays are more prone to catching AIDS,,,and since scientists and researchers have found homosexuality to be a genetic trait, your claims continue to be flubs.

>AIDS risk can be reduced to minuscule levels

>if people would take the proactive step in

>modifying their lifestyles.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

But it was also -your- claim that one can not teach certain cultures anything..this was one of your arguments for bombing Afghanistan,,,,or was it Iraq? :)

3146. OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 22:04:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050516180449.161$aA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200170

Mopar! (where's daisey?)

http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg

3147. Re: Bought a Kenwood TS-480SAT and converted it to CB - ts-480_mars-cap_mod.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 22:13:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050516181324.561$Wt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200172

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Fudgepacker wrote:
> > About 6 weeks ago, I plunked down $950 and bought a TS-480SAT to use
> > as a new 11m base rig. I have been very happy with it's performance.
> > After making sure that it was working 100%, I performed the
> > modification found on http://mods.dk/. I used a sharp exacto knife to
> > lift off the SMT R221. You have to use a magnifying glass to get a
> > good eyeball on it. It has an antenna tuner built in and it has 100 w
> > of SSB. It has DSP so you can enhance your audio on TX-RX. You can
> > control it with various software proggies (very handy for SWL). On
> > AM I get very favorable radio reports. On SSB, I worked a station in
> > Newfoundland and he said he was "very impressed" with the audio. I
> > have never heard it on air, but Kenwood's tend have more bass in their
> > audio. It has nice speech processing, but I suspect my Turner +3
> > defeats it, so I leave it off. I doesn't need any special filters for
> > it to sound right, as you control that with the DSP. So if your
> > considering buying a new 11 meter base radio, get one of these instead
> > a RCI or Melaka.
>
> 1000 bucks to use that radio on CB? Man, that's a dedicated CB'r. ;-)
>
I wouldn't sell my base CB for a grand. :)

3148. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-16 22:17:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2345-42891C04-118@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200173

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 13 May 2005 11:00:26 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
There has been no conclusive proof that
global warming is primarily the result of man's influence over the
environment.
(Yes, there is indeed conclusive proof.)

>No there isn't, for the simple reason that we do
>not have enough climatic history to determine

>just how and when the climate shifts normally

>as a reference before we can accurately

>gauge the additional effects of humans.

The history of the earth's climate is well documented back to the
begining of the earth's creation...grammar school basic earth and
science taught this. Carbon dating confirms much and plays a large part
of the techniques used to arrive at such widely accepted and mainstream
taught scientific facts.

>Like I told Frank, science can tell us that, for

>instance, it was once tropical in Montana, and

>that Glaciers covered much of the northern

>United States during different time periods.

>This proves that the earth's climate has

>vacillated in a fairly wide range. But what this

>DOESN'T tell us is how much of the current

>global warming cycle can be attributed to

>natural cyclic climatic changes, and how much
>of it is a direct result of man made pollution.

Sure it can, and does. The amount of many chemical releases in the
atmosphere are mand made. Many are not man made. Some are both. However,
science has methods of measuring each,,including natural occurring vs.
manmade chemicals,,,such as methane gases.

>Without a point of reference, it is extremely

>difficult to positively determine how much we

>are changing the climate.

The point of reference is the richness/ concentration of the gas. An example can be the amount of methane in a predetermined air sample. Higher concentrations of the gas can be attributed to manmade releases and emissions. It's elementary for anyone with a fair retainment value that took college science classes.

_
Chloroflourocarbons released by the burning of fossil fuels is directly linked to global warming.
Global warming was proved by the continual shrinkage of the polar ice cap confirmed by 24-7 high tech monitoring of such. Villages that reside in the frozen tundra watch their mountains of ice shrink each year.

>How much of that shrinkage would still be

>occurring without man made pollution?

As you referred, the climate is thought to adhere to cycles, When the cycles suddenly deviate substantially from the norm, it's dedeucedly decided and accepted that something is amiss. When the glaciers continue shrinking at an alarming rate that deviates from the projected models of which you referred predictable climatic cycles, and the amount of junk released in the air we KNOW has increased,..it's widely accepted by even the republicans at this point. Do you even know what your own party says on this issue now, Dave? You appear to be aruing with -them-.

_
You take issue with those free-thinkers and it moves you toward the goblin that you are unable to cast out and exercise of yourself.

>Once again, you don't get it (Why should I be

>surprised?).

You won't be, because you continue to be on the defensive of everyone that corrects you. You find fault with all of them. It's not us, Dave, it's you. It's apparent it is glaringly painful when you are wrong and corrected, but dammit, man, its not personal.

>You want to get rid of what you refer to as

>"poorly crafted laws"? Then great! Go for it!

No,,I love the laws and the manner in whcih they are enforced. They keep dicks like you off the freeband and allow the rest of us to play carefree and unfettered from you being reactive (oposed to proactive) from the confines of your own home, much as you do on the internet. It's yourself that has messed all over yourself time and again whining about the lack of enforcement.

> You have my support. But until then, you are

>bound to respect and obey the current laws as
>they stand.

Regarding this law and dx, I discriminately and selectively invoke Civil Disobedience. Because you have difficulty comprehending the definitions of words these days, you may seek to "quantify",,,er,,,qualify it. Sorry,,,couldn't resist.

>David Hall Jr,

>."Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

3149. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 01:10:05 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <xvbie.11980$yx.8654@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200174

Steveo wrote:

> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>
> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get all
excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...

3150. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 01:30:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050516213050.387$kk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200175

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >
> > http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>
> hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
> together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get all
> excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...
>
Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code walkie-talkie
operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than poke CBer's with a
pointy stick.

At any rate, did you watch that video?

3151. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 01:45:16 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <x0cie.9371$Bg4.3576@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200176

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>
>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>
>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get all
>>excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...
>>
>
> Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code walkie-talkie
> operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than poke CBer's with a
> pointy stick.
>
> At any rate, did you watch that video?
nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it out...

3152. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 02:07:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050516220746.324$8F@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200177

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Steveo wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> > >>>
> > >>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> > >>
> > >>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
> > >>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get
> > >>all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...
> > >>
> > >
> > > Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code walkie-talkie
> > > operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than poke CBer's with a
> > > pointy stick.
> > >
> > > At any rate, did you watch that video?
> > nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
> > cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it out...
> >
You've tried the eze-out?

3153. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 03:25:02 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <iudie.18198$J12.16125@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200178

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:xvbie.11980$yx.8654@fe08.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>
>> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get together
> for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get all excited
> and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...

LOL!!!!

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature, or
direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you need from
your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

3154. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 03:25:02 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hudie.18197$J12.18125@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200179

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050516180449.161$aA@newsreader.com...
> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>
> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg

Pretty cool.

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature, or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you need from your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

3155. Re: I Max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 03:44:02 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AfWdnTtNoJj19RTfRVn-1g@comcast.com>
Source record: 200180

Why would you want to do that for.

"H Nelson BIG in TEXAS!!!!" <NCB555@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20799-4288FCAE-478@storefull-3211.bay.webtv.net...
> Can I bypass the cap in the center section of this antenna ?.
> What is the reason for this capicator?
>
> Triple 5
> Trip 555
> BIG in TEXAS
>

3156. Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 05:56:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hi gang!

Just for some grins, check this out:
http://www.lildobe.net/video/

It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I listened to about 37 years ago ....

The more things change, the more they stay the same.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3157. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 05:58:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <cKfie.1379$8g.604@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200182

<Fudgepacker> wrote in message
news:187h81t1eac4jh39mqcmc9gkst8c55k8f4@4ax.com...
>

ZBM-2

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

3158. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 11:32:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <erij811hq4ouj5e5n88iqhmarafuinb4m4@4ax.com>
Source record: 200183

On Mon, 16 May 2005 13:46:48 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 16 May 2005 08:56:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <98vg81522in0bpc28d4tftqbh9hjoo2kvd@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>>See, this is what's so puzzling about you Frank. Once in a while you
>>>>unload with a brilliant piece of perspective, which is at total odds
>>>>with your status in life. You're one hell of an underachiever.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dr. Kramer probably wouldn't agree. Do you want the book or not?
>>
>>Frank, I can get as much information as I need right from the
>>internet. It's a lot better than finding places to keep all those
>>books.
>
>
>Hence the source of your ingnorance and the reason you find me to be
>so "puzzling".

No, I find you puzzling because you are so pompous and arrogant about
what you claim as "knowledge" yet, the application of such knowledge
in your own life has been dismally short of achievement. You are
either an accomplished liar, or a severe underachiever.

For a guy who claims to know as much, and has done as much as you have
claimed, all you have to show for yourself is a job as a bartender,
driving a 20+ year old vehicle? You're a regular Cliff Clavin.
Now you muse about starting a lawn care service. No offense to Steveo,
but that's not exactly the skill level job that a man of your supposed
"credentials" should be aspiring to.

So, what's your (latest) excuse?

A man who truly knows the things that you try to pass off to the rest
of us here, would be in a high level engineering or marketing
position, or perhaps a stint as a university professor, or maybe a
government contractor. Or maybe you'd work with me.

The bottom line Frank, is that you talk a great line, but you produce
very little. I can tell that by the way you approach CB radio
troubleshooting. You offer only generic troubleshooting 101 solutions
to problems, which indicates that you have very little direct
experience with actually repairing a CB radio, which have known

problem areas.

> But you go right ahead and limit yourself to the
>internet for your sole source of information

Once again you make assumptions, a repetitive pattern for you. I never said the internet was my SOLE source of information. But it is the fastest and easiest source of information on a variety of topics, especially current events. It was the internet, that first blew the lid off of "Rathergate", and exposed it as the propaganda smear that it was intended to be. The Blog has become a powerful tool to expose media bias and helps to parse the stories in order to gain the truth. Sure you can read about something in a book, but the internet is instantaneous, interactive, and ever evolving. There are decisive advantages to that.

> -- let me know when you
>find the winding specifications for an Ajax M-2-145T, or the firearm
>most preferred by Deep-River Jim, or why Bessie slashed up her own
>portrait.

If I had any interest in those subjects, I'm sure I'd find them, assuming these people are significant.

But while we're on the subject, I have found much information on the local history of my local area, and the trolley, rail, and canal lines that used to run through here over the turn of the century. I have found the horsepower specifications for the triple expansion 4 cylinder piston engines in the Titanic, as well as the Parson's Turbine center engine. I have tracked radio wave propagation, tides, a web cam of my favorite lake, and my friend's pool. There is nothing you can read in print, than can't be scanned into a web page, or pdf file. I have the complete Cisco router manuals on CD ROM. I have access to repeater user's groups where we can seek out and share each other's expertise to solve problems. The list is endless Frank.

I might read an intriguing novel by the fireplace on a cool winter's night, but if it's information that I want, the fingers fly to the keyboard.

> Find a link that explains why you can see the Douglas Firs
>towering above you in the middle of the woods on a pitch-black and
>starless night.

I'd rather just witness that myself first hand. I do a lot of camping you know.

> Download the feelings of watching Israeli officers
>picking off Palistinian schoolkids running out of a burning building
>like they were ducks in a shooting gallery.

And what? You read that in a book? I've come close though. I have corresponded, via E-Mail, with U.S. army folks fighting in Iraq, in

order to get their personal perspective on the situation. It's a far different picture than what the mainstream media wants us to think.

> I'm sure you can find a
>site that has the cyber-smell file of a Northwest sawmill.

As I'm sure you can from a book. But you can go to a Home Depot and get a similar effect.

>And I'm
>sure there's some adapter you can plug into the USB port that will let
>you enjoy the unmatched hospitality (and world-class pastries) offered
>by a family of Norwegians when all you did was ask to fill up your
>water can.

When have you been to Norway? Did you need to order some new imported beer for the bar?

>The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature,
>or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you
>need from your computer. You are a fool, Dave.

I never claimed to get ALL of my information from the internet. Only that I can research any topic that I wish on the internet and get the same or better information a heck of a lot quicker and easier than using the old fashioned method of buying (or borrowing) a book.

You, on the other hand, need to get away from the left coast. It's really affecting your perception.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3159. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 12:40:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <knmj819cko85353n6j0ogo6144dcjl9jh2@4ax.com>
Source record: 200184

On Tue, 17 May 2005 07:32:43 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <erij811hq4ouj5e5n88iqhmarafuinb4m4@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 16 May 2005 13:46:48 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 16 May 2005 08:56:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <98vg81522in0bpc28d4tftqbh9hjoo2kvd@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>>See, this is what's so puzzling about you Frank. Once in a while you
>>>>>unload with a brilliant piece of perspective, which is at total odds
>>>>>with your status in life. You're one hell of an underachiever.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Dr. Kramer probably wouldn't agree. Do you want the book or not?
>>>
>>>Frank, I can get as much information as I need right from the
>>>internet. It's a lot better than finding places to keep all those
>>>books.
>>
>>
>>Hence the source of your ingnorance and the reason you find me to be
>>so "puzzling".
>
>No, I find you puzzling because you are so pompous and arrogant about
>what you claim as "knowledge" yet, the application of such knowledge
>in your own life has been dismally short of achievement. You are
>either an accomplished liar, or a severe underachiever.

You ignore any other possibilities.

>For a guy who claims to know as much, and has done as much as you have
>claimed, all you have to show for yourself is a job as a bartender,
>driving a 20+ year old vehicle?

Only if that's how you measure "achievement". I'm not that
superficial.

> You're a regular Cliff Clavin.

Even if I did work for the USPS, I'd rather deliver mail than pizzas.

>Now you muse about starting a lawn care service. No offense to Steveo,
>but that's not exactly the skill level job that a man of your supposed
>"credentials" should be aspiring to.

By your standards. But you can't seem to understand that not everyone
lives by your standards, Dave.

>So, what's your (latest) excuse?
>
>A man who truly knows the things that you try to pass off to the rest
>of us here, would be in a high level engineering or marketing
>position,

Done that. Boring.

> or perhaps a stint as a university professor,

Done that. Fun, but the pay sucks until you get tenure.

> or maybe a
>government contractor.

No thanks, I've taken a look at a few government contracts. They
barely fit the definition of "legally binding".

> Or maybe you'd work with me.

Doubtful. I -order- pizzas, I don't deliver them.

>The bottom line Frank, is that you talk a great line, but you produce
>very little. I can tell that by the way you approach CB radio
>troubleshooting. You offer only generic troubleshooting 101 solutions
>to problems, which indicates that you have very little direct
>experience with actually repairing a CB radio, which have known
>problem areas.

Uh-huh, that's why I narrowed the buzzing-radio problem down to the
voltage regulator while you were busy defending your highly
generalized assumption that the problem is "almost always caps", huh?

>> But you go right ahead and limit yourself to the
>>internet for your sole source of information
>
>Once again you make assumptions, a repetitive pattern for you. I never
>said the internet was my SOLE source of information.

Wrong. You said, "I can get as much information as I need right from
the internet." You can try and spin the semantics all you want but it
means the same thing.

> But it is the
>fastest and easiest source of information on a variety of topics,
>especially current events. It was the internet, that first blew the
>lid off of "Rathergate", and exposed it as the propaganda smear that
>it was intended to be. The Blog has become a powerful tool to expose
>media bias and helps to parse the stories in order to gain the truth.
>Sure you can read about something in a book, but the internet is
>instantaneous, interactive, and ever evolving. There are decisive
>advantages to that.

Speaking of 'media bias', are you keeping up-to-date on the status of
one of your staunchly anti-gay, conservative Republicans that happens
to be the mayor of my home town?

http://www.spokesmanreview.com/jimwest/

>> -- let me know when you
>>find the winding specifications for an Ajax M-2-145T, or the firearm
>>most preferred by Deep-River Jim, or why Bessie slashed up her own
>>portrait.
>
>If I had any interest in those subjects, I'm sure I'd find them,
>assuming these people are significant.

I doubt you could find them even if you wanted to.

>But while we're on the subject, I have found much information on the
>local history of my local area, and the trolley, rail, and canal lines
>that used to run through here over the turn of the century. I have
>found the horsepower specifications for the triple expansion 4
>cylinder piston engines in the Titanic, as well as the Parson's
>Turbine center engine. I have tracked radio wave propagation, tides, a
>web cam of my favorite lake, and my friend's pool. There is nothing
>you can read in print, than can't be scanned into a web page, or pdf
>file.

Yet so much -hasn't- been scanned.

> I have the complete Cisco router manuals on CD ROM. I have
>access to repeater user's groups where we can seek out and share each
>other's expertise to solve problems. The list is endless Frank.

It's far from endless, Dave. It doesn't even have endless potential.

>I might read an intriguing novel by the fireplace on a cool winter's
>night, but if it's information that I want, the fingers fly to the
>keyboard.
>
>
>> Find a link that explains why you can see the Douglas Firs
>>towering above you in the middle of the woods on a pitch-black and
>>starless night.
>
>I'd rather just witness that myself first hand. I do a lot of camping
>you know.

No, I don't know. If you haven't witnessed what I described then maybe
you haven't done as much camping as you claim.

>> Download the feelings of watching Israeli officers
>>picking off Palistinian schoolkids running out of a burning building
>>like they were ducks in a shooting gallery.
>
>And what? You read that in a book?

No, I was there, fool. How long have you -really- been in this
newsgroup?

> I've come close though. I have
>corresponded, via E-Mail, with U.S. army folks fighting in Iraq, in
>order to get their personal perspective on the situation. It's a far
>different picture than what the mainstream media wants us to think.

You don't have a clue, Dave. I'm sure you can exercise your
imagination, but there are experiences in combat situations that have
no comparison or common frame of reference to pizza delivery drivers.

>> I'm sure you can find a
>>site that has the cyber-smell file of a Northwest sawmill.
>
>As I'm sure you can from a book. But you can go to a Home Depot and
>get a similar effect.

It's not the same. It's like saying you know what a homemade apple pie
tastes like because you once bought a Hostess pastry at the 7/11.

>>And I'm
>>sure there's some adapter you can plug into the USB port that will let
>>you enjoy the unmatched hospitality (and world-class pastries) offered
>>by a family of Norwegians when all you did was ask to fill up your
>>water can.
>
>When have you been to Norway?

1984.

> Did you need to order some new imported
>beer for the bar?

No, but I did buy a large 'Norwegian' Bud at a small grocery store. I
think I still have the label stashed away somewhere. I also might have
a couple labels from bottles of Maccabe beer from Israel. I'm pretty
sure I have a couple phone tokens and a few sheckels in coins.

>>The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature,
>>or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you
>>need from your computer. You are a fool, Dave.
>
>I never claimed to get ALL of my information from the internet. Only
>that I can research any topic that I wish on the internet and get the
>same or better information a heck of a lot quicker and easier than
>using the old fashioned method of buying (or borrowing) a book.

That's only true if the info is available on the net. So much info
-isn't- on the net.

>You, on the other hand, need to get away from the left coast. It's
>really affecting your perception.

"The West is the best".

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3160. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 13:19:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ejlj81p410bi1512ghp19sed24uov8qmum@4ax.com>
Source record: 200185

On Mon, 16 May 2005 10:09:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>The "law" has been defined in regard to
>>religious influences, since the inception of this
>>country. It was not a problem in 1805, 1905,
>>and 1955, so it should not be a problem in
>>2005.
>
>Only to those who are trapped in the past and who are afraid of and
>reject change and progress.

Not all change is actually "progress". It's a matter of some
subjectivity depending on your perspective.

>>But those
>>religious influences are adorned all over our
>>government buildings and in our government
>>business.
>
>
>So are other religious symbols besides Christianity.

Not many. Most are Christian. But even so, it illustrates the
influence of God, no matter what faith you choose to worship him with.

>>Why is it only now do certain people find
>>exception to it?
>
>You would have to ask one. My guess would be a certain faction is trying
>to cram their religious beliefs down otehr's throats.

Those beliefs have been a part of our culture since this country was
founded. The perception that religion is "suddenly" being "Crammed
down other people's throats" is held by those who have been
conspicuously absent from any religious influences in their lives and
see any display of religion as excessive. Yet it is those same people
who are the ones at odds with our society, as history will testify to.

>_
>You are one of the most vocal in this group to
>redundantly invoke that just because something is practiced far and wide
>doesn't make it legal or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree
>with it.
>
>>In the case of religious influences in this

>>country, the majority have accepted and
>>endorsed it since the beginning. It's only now
>>that a small, but vocal MINORITY that has a
>>problem with it.

>You still demonstrate hypocrisy here,,.the reason you set forth for
>justifying it, valid to only yourself.

You still demonstrate not knowing the meaning of the word hypocrisy.
Nothing in my statement is hypocritical.

>
>>When it's not illegal, I agree with it.
>
>Except when the law doesn't agree with your point of view or actions.
>You claim ignorance of the law is no excuse, but you arrogantly claim
>you break the law intentionally (holding up traffic in the passing lane,
>paralelling the car in the right lane) in order to enforce another law.
>Pa law states the left lane is for passing only. You're an uninformed
>(regarding the law in your own state) hypocrite.

It is not illegal to run in the left lane as long as you are either
passing or maintaining the posted speed limit. Do you honestly expect
everyone to run in the right lane once they've hit the posted limit?
That's ludicrous. Especially considering the volume of traffic in this
area.

>>The fact is that despite recent
>>misinterpretations of the establishment clause
>>in the constitution by left wing zealots, we
>>have had religious influences in our
>>government from the very beginning.
>
>That's rich..and wrong.

No, it's not wrong. Just look at the Supreme court building and
observe the sculpture of Moses holding the 10 commandments. And that
is but one example of many.

>>No, it's not. You'd either have to be blind or
>>hopelessly biased not to see it.
>
>
>Well, then feel free to ahead and explain away how these "left winger
>misinterpretations" affect religious laws when the republicans are the
>only party in charge of both the senate and the house....ie: the
>country.

If what you allege was the case, the whole "PC" movement would have
been expunged from the country by now. It's not so simple to overturn
a few decades of liberal indoctrination, But at least the mainstream
is now awake and aware of what had previously been a fairly low
profile covert operation. But now all the underhanded, erroneous,

immoral, and hypocritical actions of the left are put up for all to
see and to judge accordingly.

>>In theory, it should mean nothing. But you
>>know those obstructionist democrats trying to
>>use a filibuster to leverage their minority into a
>>controlling influence.
>
>
>That's one biased opinion. The other side of the coin you seek to ignore
>is that the fillibuster is the last legal refuge to place an end to the
>republicans seeking to end and change laws that would prevent a one
>party rule...theirs.

How? There is still a vote. In a vote,the majority rules. That's the
way any vote works. I suppose you'd rather apply a "filibuster-ike"
rule to challenge any majority vote. Maybe we should be filibustering
the last election, so that you PEST sufferers could leverage your
minority rule to place Kerry in office.

That's all a filibuster is, a desperate attempt by the minority to
overturn the wishes of the majority. So tell me, how is THAT any more
fair, than having a straight up or down vote? And in typical
democratic hypocrisy, the same people who are screaming to save the
filibuster now, were on record as in favor of removing it, over ten
years back, when the democrats were in the majority in congress.

>Nevertheless, the misinterpretation has been all yours even though Frank
>neatly wrapped it all up and presented you with the facts clearly
>indicating congress shall keep the clause of separation of church and
>state intact.
>
>>It was never there in the first place.
>
>
>Denial is your best trait.,,but denial when presented proof is learned
>ignorance.

Where is the proof? There is NOTHING in the constitution which calls
for the complete separation of church and state. All it does is
prevent the establishment of a state sponsored or endorsed religion,
and prevents the government from denying someone the right to observe
their religion of choice. Nowhere does the constitution claim or imply
that congress persons, the president, justices, or other people shall
not be people of faith. Nor does it ban the practices of referring to
God in an oath, or during any other proceeding of the government. Did
you know that every session of congress begins with a prayer, lead by
a staff preacher who is paid for by taxpayer dollars?

Did you know that there are Bible verses etched in stone all over the
federal buildings and monuments in D.C.? There are pictures of the 10
commandments inside the supreme court?

There has NEVER been a complete separation of church and state in this government. The whole idea of any separation in the beginning was not to protect government from religion, it was to protect religion from government.

Now go do some research before you buy into left wing propaganda.

>The only zealots that mean anything are the ones in charge...repubs.
>ï¿½ï¿½Because you agree with the religious zealots and have on many
>occasion admitted that your moral views are to be fostered upon others
>and if they do not subscribe to your radical positions and admitted (on
>many occasion) socialistic tendencies, you mistakenly hold them as an
>enemy of yourself, seeking to take away that of which you believe.
>
>>I and many others who are currently in the
>
>>majority. You know, the ones who reelected
>
>>G.W. Bush.
>
>
>The majority didn't vote, David.

The majority of those who voted, voted for Bush. As for the rest, who's to say who they would have favored. Any speculation on your part, is just that. Besides, those who don't play an active part in their government, have no right to complain about it.

>>I would argue that it was those influences
>>which made this country one of strong moral
>>and ethical principles.
>
>In one sentence you claim the moral and ethical principles of this
>country have degraded terribly and even said society was reflected on
>the air.
>Now you say the country is once again of strong moral fiber and ethical
>principle.
>
>>No, I said that this country was FOUNDED on
>>strong moral and ethical principles.
>
>
>
>No,,you said,,,"Which made this country one of strong moral fiber".

Yes, MADE as in FOUNDED, as in "past tense". I know you have trouble comprehending, but I didn't think I had to drop to this level to explain it to you.

>The only misinterpretation here, is the initial impression I had of you
>and your education. I thought you were reasonably schooled at one point,
>until the several weeks, between your gaffes and unlearned comments
>regrading the law of your own state and the glaring holes in your civics

>and history knowledge, law knowledge, and FCC knowledge.

I have provided for each and every legal, grammatical, historical point that I have made. In every case that you've challenged me, I have proven you wrong, from your ridiculous comments regarding the term "forensic", to the usage of "empirical observation", to your erroneous claim that the Commonwealth of Pa does not give at least a 5 MPH speed tolerance for speeders. When you can't weasel out of that, you change the subject and invent a lie that I never said, and then try to attribute it to me. Your inept comprehension of the constitution, and your hopelessly biased sense of politics is more than just a little apparent. Your understanding of how government works is not much different than that of the naive protesters who burned their draft cards on college lawns in the 60's. They were clueless and impressionable, and so are you. Prime candidates for indoctrination into the communist party then, or liberal propaganda now.

So tell me again about education, fishing boat boy. You and Frank should go into that lawn care business together. Both of you are severe underachievers.

>_
>I wouldn't go so far as to put it blatantly in those terms, but I do
>believe taking God and physical punishment out of the schools was a
>serious mistake.
>
>>Then you and I do share some agreement in
>>this area. But the reason why God was taken
>>out of public schools was a direct result of
>>anti-religious zealots trying to leverage an
>>extreme interpretation of "separation of church
>>and state" to accomplish this "unfortunate"
>>feat.
>
>
>I disagree. One doesn't need be anti-religious in order to disagree with
>Christian dogma being displayed in public areas. This is your own short
>sightedness.

What has changed in the last 100 years, in that regard, and why is it no longer valid? Is it "dogma" to continue to believe in God? If you truly believe that religion and faith are stagnant "dogma", then what you are saying is that you no longer believe. So how can you be concerned with taking God out of schools, when you call your own "faith", "Christian dogma"?

>
>
>>There was once a day when democrats and
>>republicans practiced a little thing called
>>compromise.

>
>There was also a day when the working guys of each party could think for
>themselves instead of widely swallowing their party line rhetoric and
>blaming those who aren't anywhere near leadership positions in this
>country for all the woes and incompetence of your own party.
>
>>Such as?
>
>Your entire religious argument regarding the left.

You deny that the left are engaged in a propaganda war for the hearts
and minds of easily mislead individuals? If I thought you would
understand, I would gladly engage you in a debate comparing the
relative merits of the ideology of the left versus the right. But I
fear it would be a total waste of my time.

>
>>What failures can be blamed on our leader?
>
>
>Lately? Dharfur. N Korea. Providing adequate armor to the troops that
>would save lives. Balancing the budget...just for an immediate start.

How is any of that a failure, when both are actions still in motion?
It can only be deemed a failure when we are defeated.

_
>In other words, you seek to blame others
>when responsibility for your leader's action must be taken.
>
>>.Well, it might be nice to blame Bush for the
>>failure of Social Security, but the democrats
>>will not even allow his plan to come to a full
>>vote,
>
>There is no failure of SS, unless Bush is permitted to monkey with it.

Bill Clinton, and other prominent democrats are on record as
disagreeing with you. At least before Bush was in office, and it was
their platform to make.

Perhaps you would tell the class why having direct control of your own
interest bearing retirement account is less desirable than having the
government administer it. Someone who claims to embrace less
government would be happy to have the government out of the SS
picture.

Personally I want ALL of my S.S. money to be diverted into my existing
401K. THAT is the solution that I would want. But Bush needs to move
gradually as there are a lot of people who paid into SS< and are
expecting something out of it. But a gradual shift over to private
accounts over time, makes the most sense.

>> while offering nothing of their own to counter
>>it.
>
>
>Lockbox.

Which means nothing. It's status quo with a fancy name attached to it.
Since SS is based on treasury bonds, it is affected by budgets and
debt, so it can never really be in a "lock box"

>>They'd rather just pretend that there's no
>>problem (Even though prominent leaders of
>>their own party were running around like
>>chicken little about SS failing when Clinton
>>was in office).

>Blaming another
>political party for the last four and a half years of confirmed failures
>illustrate you really have too great a deal to learn in order to
>effectively discuss the political process.

The truth was always something which eludes you. Look it up if you
don't believe me. Clinton made SS a campaign issue.

>>Your opinion notwithstanding,
>
>
>My "opinion" that blaming the left for Bush failures illustrates you
>really have a great deal to learn is no opinion, but fact.

There have been no "failures" to accurately blame on Bush.

>> On the other hand, for the last 4 years, the
>>democratic party has become the party of
>>hatred and obstruction.
>
>
>Demos have nothing to do with it. An attempt to cloud the topic that you
>keep failing with by invoking the left when faced with Bush failures is
>useless.

And you are a tongue dragging, lock step liberal, the more you deny
your own party's failings. No one is more shrill than Al Gore, or
Howard Dean. No one is as clueless as Nancy Pelosi. No one twists the
facts like Barbara Boxer. No one is more hypocritical than Harry Reid.
Even Hillary Clinton realizes the hopelessness of her own party's
liberal ideology and has been engaged in the political equivalent of
"extreme makeover", by trying to re-invent herself as a much more
moderate than a liberal. Her latest stunt of teaming up with Newt
Gingrich is further evidence of that. All of this, of course, is to
make her an electable candidate in '08. But it's all a lie.

>>If it looks smells or tastes like it came from a
>>republican, their first instinct is to oppose it.

>
>You continue to invoke demos for all the republican failures. Classic.

Please provide a list of all "failures".

At least republicans are willing to do something. A democrat's
favorite word is "No".

>And like I said, before your elected president successfully redefined
>and mis-defined the term "liberal" to mean anyone who dares oppose him,

How did Bush manage to do that? I don't recall him ever standing up
and declaring that the "enemy" was not liberals (Although in some
respects, that's true)

>many repubs actually thought for themselves instead of buying into
>failed party rhetoric from which most intelligent and true GOP'er have
>distanced themselves.

You keep claiming that the party mission has "failed", yet there is
nothing that indicates anything of the sort. The economy is growing,
the war in Iraq is gradually going our way. There is a surge in a
desire for democracy in the middle east. While the price of oil is
high, there is not much that our government can do to affect it. We
have tried to present an energy policy, to hopefully mitigate some of
the energy concerns, but once again the democrats are trying to block
it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3161. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 13:34:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <68sj815qbefoqh4idbavir5hofoj3br1hm@4ax.com>
Source record: 200186

On Mon, 16 May 2005 17:59:19 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
You know, you are hopeless.

I should follow my own advice: "Try to tell a nut that he's nuts, and
he'll swear that you're crazy".

You go ahead and believe what you want. It really makes no difference
to me in the grand scheme of things.

You have failed to carry on an intelligent debate with anyone on this
board. You are incapable of such. When the facts fall against you you
change the topic and get personal.

Roger beeps do not relate to AIDS. My wife once smoking means little
in a discussion of failed liberal ideological issues. Speeding means
little to a discussion about breaking federal communications law.

Deflection. That's been your mantra from the start. When you get your
head handed to you with regard to one topic, you abruptly change it to
one where you feel you have better traction. It's a disingenuous
tactic, one usually associated with someone who's a cad.

My last word on this subject.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3162. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 13:45:31 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%zmie.51$mK.3@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200187

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:ejlj81p410bi1512ghp19sed24uov8qmum@4ax.com...
>>>Why is it only now do certain people find
>>>exception to it?
>>
>>You would have to ask one. My guess would be a certain faction is trying
>>to cram their religious beliefs down otehr's throats.
>
> Those beliefs have been a part of our culture since this country was
> founded. The perception that religion is "suddenly" being "Crammed
> down other people's throats" is held by those who have been
> conspicuously absent from any religious influences in their lives and
> see any display of religion as excessive. Yet it is those same people
> who are the ones at odds with our society, as history will testify to.
>
>

The problem is both sides. One person find offense with
something of a religious over tone in government, he then
finds the ACLU and wants it removed. Now the religious
zealots start banging the drums in defense of religion.

>
>>_
>>You are one of the most vocal in this group to
>>redundantly invoke that just because something is practiced far and wide
>>doesn't make it legal or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree
>>with it.
>>
>>>In the case of religious influences in this
>>>country, the majority have accepted and
>>>endorsed it since the beginning. It's only now
>>>that a small, but vocal MINORITY that has a
>>>problem with it.
>>
>>>When it's not illegal, I agree with it.
>>
>>Except when the law doesn't agree with your point of view or actions.
>>You claim ignorance of the law is no excuse, but you arrogantly claim
>>you break the law intentionally (holding up traffic in the passing lane,
>>paralelling the car in the right lane) in order to enforce another law.
>>Pa law states the left lane is for passing only. You're an uninformed
>>(regarding the law in your own state) hypocrite.
>
> It is not illegal to run in the left lane as long as you are either

> passing or maintaining the posted speed limit. Do you honestly expect
> everyone to run in the right lane once they've hit the posted limit?
> That's ludicrous. Especially considering the volume of traffic in this
> area.

I can't answer for PA, but in California you would get a ticket
for impeding the flow of traffic. I've seen it, so please don't
say it doesn't happen.

> Both of you are
> severe underachievers.

I don't think so. I don't think you are either. I do think that
you all are on the far end of political and religious spectrum,
as such, this argument between you three will never end.

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature, or
direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you need from
your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

3163. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:06:27 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d6d19jaoe1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 200188

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050516180449.161$aA@newsreader.com...
> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>
> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg

That's nuts!

Chad

3164. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:12:54 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d6d1lmatp1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 200189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> Hi gang!
>
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> listened
> to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign, where
these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call sign in
there?

Chad

3165. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:20:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28878-428A0BDB-593@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200190

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 16 May 2005 10:09:54 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>The "law" has been defined in regard to

>religious influences, since the inception of this

>country. It was not a problem in 1805, 1905,

>and 1955, so it should not be a problem in

>2005.

Only to those who are trapped in the past and who are afraid of and
reject change and progress.

>Not all change is actually "progress".

Sure it is. What you are trying to convey is progress isn't always a
good thing. But change is inevitable, and you are always playing
cath-up. Hell, you are years behind in the knowledge of radio law and
government law.

>It's a matter of some subjectivity depending on
>your perspective.

>But those

>religious influences are adorned all over our

>government buildings and in our government

>business.

So are other religious symbols besides Christianity.

>Not many.

Haha,,talk about subjective terms.

>Most are Christian.

You have never been west of the Mississippi, obviously. Have you ever
been west of Pa?

> But even so, it illustrates the influence of God,

>no matter what faith you choose to worship

>him with.

So you worship Allah.,,,the same God you worship, but with a different name.

>Why is it only now do certain people find

>exception to it?

You would have to ask one. My guess would be a certain faction is trying to cram their religious beliefs down otehr's throats.

>Those beliefs have been a part of our culture

>since this country was founded.

But they were never FORCED until now.

>The perception that religion is "suddenly"

>being "Crammed down other people's throats"

>is held by those who have been conspicuously

>absent from any religious influences in their

>lives and see any display of religion as

>excessive.

What a hypocrite you continue to be,,,you talk morebullshit than a fertilizer farm. One simple christian thing here, DAve.."Thou shall not judge".

>Yet it is those same people who are the ones

>at odds with our society, as history will testify

>to.

Your beliefs are not in any way representative of society and society is very diversified, despite your zero toerance for those expressing different religious or lifestyles from your own.

_
You are one of the most vocal in this group to redundantly invoke that just because something is practiced far and wide doesn't make it legal or right,,,,but of course, it does when you agree with it.

>In the case of religious influences in this

>country, the majority have accepted and

>endorsed it since the beginning.

How was it endorsed? "Congress shall make no law........"..it was never endorsed, you just chose to misapply another term when you found yourself talking ahead of your brain.

>It's only now that a small, but vocal MINORITY
>that has a problem with it.

Which you continue to blame for the Bush failures.

_
You still demonstrate hypocrisy here,,.the
reason you set forth for justifying it, valid to only yourself.

>You still demonstrate not knowing the

>meaning of the word hypocrisy. Nothing in my

>statement is hypocritical.

>When it's not illegal, I agree with it.

Except when the law doesn't agree with your point of view or actions.
You claim ignorance of the law is no excuse, but you arrogantly claim
you break the law intentionally (holding up traffic in the passing lane,
paralelling the car in the right lane) in order to enforce another law.
Pa law states the left lane is for passing only.
You're an uninformed (regarding the law in your own state) hypocrite.

>It is not illegal to run in the left lane as long as

>you are either passing or maintaining the

>posted speed limit.

Wrong,,,it is not permitted to "cruise" in the right lane in Pa.

> Do you honestly expect everyone to run in the
>right lane once they've hit the posted limit?

>That's ludicrous. Especially considering the

>volume of traffic in this area.

Your personal dislikes and opinions of the law are irrelevant to your
hypocrisy of offering excuses why you break the law. Your words were
"There is NO excuse for breaking the law. Ignorance is no excuse. The
hows and whys are irrelevant. You break the law, you're a criminal. :
And my favorite "If you don;t like the law, you are bound to obey them
or lobby to have them legally changed". So go ahead David, instead of
bitching about it and doing a siren's dance around your hypocrisy and
crying about how the law is written and whining about traffic, take your
own advice and change the law you break, you criminal, you.

>The fact is that despite recent

>misinterpretations of the establishment clause

>in the constitution by left wing zealots, we

>have had religious influences in our

>government from the very beginning.

That's rich..and wrong.

>No, it's not wrong. Just look at the Supreme

>court building and observe the sculpture of

>Moses holding the 10 commandments. And

>that is but one example of many.

You snipped my post and to what you replied "no it's not"....you lost this point. Next subject.

_
Well, then feel free to ahead and explain away how these "left winger misinterpretations" affect religious laws when the republicans are the only party in charge of both the senate and the house....ie: the country.

>If what you allege was the case,

Allege? Are not the repubs in charge? Yet, you continue ot blame those whoare not in charge. Classic abdication of those responsible...your practicied behavior that is almost secod-nature to you.

>the whole "PC" movement would have been

>expunged from the country by now. It's not so

>simple to overturn a few decades of liberal

>indoctrination,

Liberals founded this country. Your hatred towards such founding principles and favoring socialistic government is well documented.

>But at least the mainstream is now awake and

>aware of what had previously been a fairly low

>profile covert operation.

Agree,..which is why the Bush approval rating in Iraq is nearing an all time low again.

>But now all the underhanded, erroneous,

>immoral, and hypocritical actions of the left are

>put up for all to see and to judge accordingly.

Yet, the left's behavior has you so preoccupied when the repubs are in charge. It kills you.

>In theory, it should mean nothing. But you

>know those obstructionist democrats trying to

>use a filibuster to leverage their minority into a

>controlling influence.

That's one biased opinion. The other side of the coin you seek to ignore is that the fillibuster is the last legal refuge to place an end to the republicans seeking to end and change laws that would prevent a one party rule...theirs.

>How? There is still a vote.

Except ythe repubs seek to cancel the demos.

> In a vote,the majority rules. That's the way

>any vote works. I suppose you'd rather apply a
>"filibuster-ike" rule to challenge any majority

>vote.

(sig) Frank taught you the origins of the filibuster. You continue to have hatred for more American designed security designed to protect us from such fascism.

>Maybe we should be filibustering the last

>election, so that you PEST sufferers could

>leverage your minority rule to place Kerry in

>office.

See what a poor retainment value you employ.....your hatred is so rabid, you erreoneously referred to myself and Frank as demos and Kerry supporters. That downslide is really messing you up.

>That's all a filibuster is, a desperate attempt by

>the minority to overturn the wishes of the

>majority.

That is only your misinterpretation of another definition. In fact, what makes this so shocking, is you were given the exact origination of the fillibuster in addition to its proper definition, but you are dogged determined to wallow in your own ignorance.

>So tell me, how is THAT any more fair, than

>having a straight up or down vote? And in

>typical democratic hypocrisy, the same people

>who are screaming to save the filibuster now,

>were on record as in favor of removing it, over

>ten years back, when the democrats were in

>the majority in congress.

Nevertheless, the misinterpretation has been
all yours even though Frank neatly wrapped it all up and presented you
with the facts clearly indicating congress shall keep the clause of
separation of church and state intact.

>It was never there in the first place.

Denial is your best trait.,,but denial when presented proof is learned
ignorance.

>Where is the proof?

Your mistake (almost everytime you post this week) is in believing their
is some type law doing just what the clause prohibits.

>There is NOTHING in the

>constitution which calls for the complete

>separation of church and state. All it does is

>prevent the establishment of a state

>sponsored or endorsed religion,

Wait a second,,a few paragraphs above you said it WAS endorsed,,in
fact,, that's the exact
word you used,,let's see it again..

>In the case of religious influences in this

>country, the majority have accepted and

>endorsed it since the beginning.

HAhahhaa,,what a card you have become, largely opposing yourself and
self-contradictions galore.

>and prevents the government from denying

>someone the right to observe their religion of

>choice. Nowhere does the constitution claim

>or imply that congress persons, the president,

>justices, or other people shall not be people of

>faith.

No one said otherwise. Your deficit has you confused and focusing on
topic only you invoke and conjure.
But while you're at it, it also says nothing of your claim that such was
"endorsed".

>Nor does it ban the practices of referring to

>God in an oath, or during any other

>proceeding of the government.

See above concerning your conjured ramblings taken from outter space.

>Did you know that every session of congress

>begins with a prayer, lead by a staff preacher

>who is paid for by taxpayer dollars?

>Did you know that there are Bible verses

>etched in stone all over the federal buildings

>and monuments in D.C.? There are pictures of

>the 10 commandments inside the supreme

>court?

And E Pluribus Unum is on the buck.

>There has NEVER been a complete

>separation of church and state in this

>government.

Yes, there has. Your misinterpretation has you believing that a faith or belief is equal to an established or endorsed religion or church.

>The whole idea of any separation in the

>beginning was not to protect government from

>religion, it was to protect religion from

>government.

And you arrived at such a conclusion exactly how....?

>Now go do some research before you buy into

>left wing propaganda.

And that would be another erroneous claim that I am a demo or Kerry supporter,,,hmmm,,,,,it really bugs you when the repubs are made to answer for their incompetence, especially yours.
The only zealots that mean anything are the ones in charge...repubs.
  Because you agree with the religious zealots and have on many occasion admitted that your moral views are to be fostered upon others and if they do not subscribe to your radical positions and admitted (on many occasion) socialistic tendencies, you mistakenly hold them as an enemy of yourself, seeking to take away that of which you believe.

>I and many others who are currently in the

>majority. You know, the ones who reelected

>G.W. Bush.

The majority didn't vote, David.

>The majority of those who voted, voted for

>Bush.

That's a far, far, cry from claiming a majority or a mandate. The ony mandate Bush had was with Jeff Gannon..

>As for the rest, who's to say who they would

>have favored. Any speculation on your part, is

>just that.

As yours. At least I'm not going around illustrating to the world I believe Bush had a mandate.

> Besides, those who don't play an active part

>in their government, have no right to complain

>about it.

Such as you and your issues relating to radio of which your life has become largely reactive as opposed to proactivity. You eally sould take your own advice, but hypocrites rarely do.

>I would argue that it was those influences

>which made this country one of strong moral

>and ethical principles.

In one sentence you claim the moral and ethical principles of this country have degraded terribly and even said society was reflected on the air.
Now you say the country is once again of strong moral fiber and ethical principle.

>No, I said that this country was FOUNDED on

>strong moral and ethical principles.

No,,you said,,,"Which made this country one of strong moral fiber".

>Yes, MADE as in FOUNDED, as in "past

>tense". I know you have trouble

>comprehending, but I didn't think I had to drop

>to this level to explain it to you.

Only because you are the only one that understands yourself.
The only misinterpretation here, is the initial
impression I had of you and your education. I thought you were

reasonably schooled at one point, until the several weeks, between your gaffes and unlearned comments regrading the law of your own state and the glaring holes in your civics and history knowledge, law knowledge, and FCC knowledge.

3166. Re: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:49:23 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <6ooie.12097$yx.2805@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200191
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I can't believe that No one reads anymore?
The wire is Hard Drawn Copper, not plastic coated or Copper clad!
Someone got a nice deal for $9.99, went to Canada where maybe they have
other things to do but complain:-)

"Beau" <Beau@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:1807a$427e5ad0$8b372fdc$14030@ALLTEL.NET...
> Would be a real deal if you would keep the cheap STEEL plastic coated wire
> and just offer the insulators alone.....I like the wire ties you have on
> the
> wire....made for tying reinforcment steel in concrete.....so is the cheap
> antenna wire you offer with the insulators.
>
> a BAAAAAAAD deal!
>
>
> "cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:45pfe.16355$FE3.7885@fe12.lga...
>> No Bids @$10, gotta see.
>>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5770986208&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>>
>>
>
>

3167. FA: WESTERN ELECTRIC CW-701A PULSE RADAR TUBE BIG WWII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:50:45 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <npoie.12098$yx.12050@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200193
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48710&item=5774360824&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3168. FA: SIGNAL CORPS LIONEL J-38 MORSE CODE TELEGRAPH KEY WWII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:51:26 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <0qoie.12099$yx.8585@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200192
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48706&item=5774360968&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3169. FA: RCA WV-97A SENIOR VOLTOHMYST TUBE VTVM with PROBES:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:52:20 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Sqoie.12100$yx.4955@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200194
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,us.military.army

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5774361091&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3170. Re: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:52:45 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <428A134D.F618955F@milestones.com>
Source record: 200195
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

cooltube wrote:

> I can't believe that No one reads anymore?
> The wire is Hard Drawn Copper, not plastic coated or Copper clad!
> Someone got a nice deal for $9.99, went to Canada where maybe they have
> other things to do but complain:-)

They're not known for being terribly bright up there in CanaDuh.

3171. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:52:59 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116345179.086051.226140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hampton wrote:
> Hi gang!
>
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
listened
> to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Here's some nuts & bolts details on the skit via the Elecraft & FRC
reflectors:

- - - -

In case anyone is interested, here's something K6CTW posted on the
Elecraft list last night. K3PH:

- - - -

Well to answer some of the questions I have received:

1. Ben was just getting ready to start entering the last 2 words when I
was done.

2. None of us had any idea of the text we would be sending, not only
for the "show" but also for the 3 rehersals (in which we smoked em
every time)

3. Chip, K7JA was sending and I, Ken, K6CTW was receiving.

4. The radios were FT-817's provided by Chip's company Yaesu and HRO.
Reason for that choice was that we needed the most frequency agile
radios we could get. When I talked to the technical folks, they
recommended we START at 2 meters and go up because of all of the lower
frequency noise and RFI from the other TV equipment. When I got there,

we took out a spectrum analyzer and studied all of the interference possibilities. I ended up choosing 432.200 MHz because that guaranteed no RFI from their equipment and we were high enough not to overload their front ends either. This was then verified and it was what we were using at the FT-817's lowest setting.

5. I already knew that 28-30 wpm would easily keep us in front of even the current world record holder, and also it is the fastest speed that I can make nice readable copy on paper with a "stick".

6. The telegrapher "costumes" were the producer's idea but it was fun for both Chip and I.

7. In rehersal I, K6CTW had a number of lines which would have really done a nice job of plugging ham radio and telegraphy however at our last dress rehersal, they decided to cut them out to make the segment "fit". (maybe next time).

8. I decided that I would be the receiver because I'm not bothered by crowd noise etc. If you enjoyed the segment, PLEASE email, write, etc. to let "The Tonight Show" know about it. That way we may have a chance for a bigger segment next time. Thanks for the kind comments from all and let's keep on having fun! (It is a hobby after all). 73, Ken, K6CTW

- - - - -

*A few more points for clarification: When my XYL, Connie, originally answered the call, at my home, from the producers of the show, she explained that I operated both codes and that I considered myself to be a good but not exceptional operator. However, she is the one who "sold" the producers that the skit could work. She also did a VERY credible job of explaining Morse telegraphy and the radio code and its uses. (You all might think to send her a thanks too as without her, this would never have happened cjcarroll2 at earthlink.net) When they contacted me later that afternoon, I explained that my wife was correct, I am a competent CW and Morse operator.

Your point is interesting in that they did try and get the fastest text messengers they could fly in. I did however explain that if the Tonight Show folks had been able to get the equivalent world record holder in CW to do this, it would have been at lmost TRIPLE the speed we were going at.

The reason, as I have explained before, that we worked at about 28 or so wpm is that this is the fastest speed that I can make nice readable copy with a pencil as they did not have the ability to let me use a typewriter for copy (and I thought they were going to do a camera shot of my copy for verification).

Also, since we did not have the time to set up headphones, I just added some extra volume for me, had the stage folks cut my mike (to prevent feedback and overload) and copied off of the speaker. To re-emphasize the point, I'm just an average CW op who ccasionally operates the CW SS

QRP, whith my K2 of course, and really enjoys CW, and traffic handling.
By the way, the radios used were Chip's not from Yaesu and the backup
radios were from HRO. 73, Ken, K6CTW *

- - - -

w3rv

3172. FA: NOS USA MIL-SPEC TEST LEAD PROBE SET BIG $100 VALUE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:53:11 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Eroie.12101$yx.11310@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200196
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.rec.audio.high-end,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=50971&item=7515750115&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3173. FA: HEATHKIT AK-7 MOBILE SPEAKER for RECEIVERS/TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:53:52 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <isoie.12102$yx.1812@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200197
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48709&item=5774361145&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3174. FA: Cheep>BIRD 8201 TERMALINE COAXIAL RESISTOR DUMMY LOAD 2500mz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:55:57 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <fuoie.12104$yx.8712@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200200
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5774361272&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3175. FA: ANTIQUE MILVAY DECADE RESISTANCE BOX, WOOD & BAKELITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:56:30 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Muoie.12105$yx.10405@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200199
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5774361293&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3176. FA: $10> DRAKE TV-1000-LP TVI LOW-PASS FILTER 1000WATTS, BEST:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 15:58:13 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nwoie.12107$yx.3673@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200201
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5774361238&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3177. Re: FA: Cheep>BIRD 8201 TERMALINE COAXIAL RESISTOR DUMMY LOAD 2500mz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 16:05:59 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws_@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qh5k8110v9kcnlho3uc9qcuurc7t2dkoce@4ax.com>
Source record: 200202
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 17 May 2005 11:55:57 -0400, "cooltube"

How fitting. A cheeping Bird.

3178. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 16:20:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116346857.106194.269640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Chad Wahls wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> > Hi gang!
> >
> >
> > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> >
> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is
worth
> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
It
> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I

> > listened
> > to about 37 years ago ....
> >
> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
>
> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
where
> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call sign
in
> there?

What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
ID.

FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes if
the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
long.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3179. Re: I Max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 18:14:23 UTC
From: Fudgepacker <longlive@pirateradio.org>
Message-ID: <18ck815ae3vki32vsi6aovamnnsi7tu5oc@4ax.com>
Source record: 200204

I used to get a mediocre match on my I-maxx, until I added a
counter-poise to it. The difference was dramatic. I cut 4 lengths of
14g wire to 9 ft, crimped a lug on it and attached it to the bottom
of my tri-pod. It brought it down from a 1.5:1 on 555 to a flat 1.1:1
from 26.285 to 27.999! Without touching the tuning rings, I could
make quite dramatic adjustments with the wires themselves. I tried
spreading out in 90* fashion, but my best result was when I braided
them together and dropped them as close to straight down as my roof
allowed.

73's
Fudge Packer

Death to all
Republicans!!!
!!
!!
!!

On Mon, 16 May 2005 14:03:58 -0600, NCB555@webtv.net (H Nelson BIG in
TEXAS!!!!) wrote:

>Can I bypass the cap in the center section of this antenna ?.
>What is the reason for this capicator?
>
>Triple 5
>Trip 555
>BIG in TEXAS

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3180. Re: DIPOLE KIT FOR 40-10mtrs>Real Copper wire & Ceramic NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 18:41:02 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <isdk815a3555mvperjsm1csgh91q9f04jh@4ax.com>
Source record: 200205
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 17 May 2005 11:49:23 -0400, "cooltube"
<cooltube@optonline.net> wrote:

>I can't believe that No one reads anymore?
>The wire is Hard Drawn Copper, not plastic coated or Copper clad!
>Someone got a nice deal for $9.99, went to Canada where maybe they have
>other things to do but complain:-)
******

Even hard drawn copper stretches with time.

Copper clad is not that bad if the cladding is thick enough. A vendor
can improve profits if the copper cladding is kept to bare minimum.
There are two real problem with copper cladded steel. One is the
plating process itself. If it is not uniform and thick enough t hen
mositure can penetrate the copper plating and cause the underlying
steel to rust. Once that happens the radiation resistance goes south
big times. The second issue is that any protective coating on the
copper is missing or damgaed will cause copper to oxide. Copper
oxides are not conducting. The antenna performance then goes south in
a big hurry.

Even hard drawn copper is subject to oxidation if not protected. While
the effects of copper oxides on the very thin surface is marginal at
frequencies below 30 MHz., they can be disastorous at frequencies in
the VHF regions and higher.

james

3181. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 19:06:35 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28095-428A4ECB-591@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200206

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 16 May 2005 17:59:19 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>You know, you are hopeless.

Apology accepted.

3182. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 22:40:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517184030.148$59@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200207

truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> Shut up.
>
Make me.

3183. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 22:52:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517185238.849$gD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200208

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050516180449.161$aA@newsreader.com...
> > Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >
> > http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>
> Pretty cool.
>
> Landshark
>
Slick driving..I would be afraid of tearing up some sheet metal to the tune of $100's of thousands of dollars if it was me behind the wheel in that stunt.

There's quite a few late models that he's sliding past in that clip and it's raining.

3184. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 23:03:54 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <65WdnfVIyv7E5RffRVn-sQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 200209

Steveo wrote:
> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>
> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg

I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old Charger get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the blonde chick's ass (her name escapes me) a little bit but it's mostly about the car.

Honest! ;-)

3185. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 23:46:34 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ih0l811gurmd2nr85lljlgirq0mghfhgpk@4ax.com>
Source record: 200210

On 17 May 2005 22:40:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>truth@trueguy.com wrote:
>> Shut up.
>>
>Make me.

Shut up, stalk me, and put up pictures of my antenna !!!!

Vinnie S.

3186. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-17 23:50:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <oo0l819mbac16ioo6gaigkaiftdgk8alhk@4ax.com>
Source record: 200211

On Tue, 17 May 2005 16:03:54 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Steveo wrote:
>> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>
>> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>
>I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old Charger
>get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the blonde chick's ass
>(her name escapes me) a little bit but it's mostly about the car.
>
>Honest! ;-)
>

Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?

Vinnie S.

3187. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 00:30:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517203000.356$Qm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> Hi gang!
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> listened to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
Hello Jim.

Is CW considered a binary of sorts?

Are you enjoying your new internet pipe?

3188. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 00:31:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517203115.207$p8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200213

"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050516180449.161$aA@newsreader.com...
> > Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >
> > http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>
> That's nuts!
>
> Chad
>
Dood gets up on the wheel.

3189. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 00:33:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517203312.431$PE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

truth@itellyathetruth.com wrote:
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> > > Hi gang!
> > >
> > >
> > > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> > >
> > > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> > > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
> > > It sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands
> > > I listened
> > > to about 37 years ago ....
> > >
> > > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > >
> > >
> >
> > When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign, where
> > these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call sign
> > in there?
>
> They were legit on 440 mhz, Chad. Low power, short range. Maybe callsigns
> were transmitted right after the stunt?
>
Nice coax run, nad.

3190. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 00:40:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517204007.921$T2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200215

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >
> > http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>
> I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old
> Charger get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the blonde
> chick's ass (her name escapes me) a little bit but it's mostly about the
> car.
>
> Honest! ;-)
>
Oh it is -all- about the car and the hicks driving it. Ass-cheeks hanging
out of cut-offs is just eye candy. I think I hate the skank they have
playing daisey. <g>

3191. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 00:40:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517204051.552$YD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200216

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 17 May 2005 22:40:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> >> Shut up.
> >>
> >Make me.
>
> Shut up, stalk me, and put up pictures of my antenna !!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Ok now you dun asked for it!

3192. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 00:42:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517204257.446$bt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200217

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Tue, 17 May 2005 16:03:54 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Steveo wrote:
> >> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >>
> >> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> >>
> >I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old
> >Charger get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the blonde
> >chick's ass (her name escapes me) a little bit but it's mostly about the
> >car.
> >
> >Honest! ;-)
> >
>
> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Sure did, and that's a plain shame. They had muti General Lee's to
destroy in the name of boss hog and rosco.

3193. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 00:52:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <gd4l81tfnkqap52tq2k8cocg7hae9il7lo@4ax.com>
Source record: 200219

On 18 May 2005 00:42:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >Honest! ;-)
>> >
>>
>> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Sure did, and that's a plain shame. They had muti General Lee's to
>destroy in the name of boss hog and rosco.

When they did the Starsky and Hutch movie, they had trouble getting the Torinos.

Vinnie S.

3194. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 00:52:34 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <de4l81pltd8qr568untkv5kmb9p7558gp1@4ax.com>
Source record: 200218

On 18 May 2005 00:40:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 17 May 2005 22:40:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >truth@trueguy.com wrote:
>> >> Shut up.
>> >>
>> >Make me.
>>
>> Shut up, stalk me, and put up pictures of my antenna !!!!
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Ok now you dun asked for it!

Oh no !!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

3195. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:01:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517210146.083$OA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200220

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >>>>>
> >>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> >>>>
> >>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
> >>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get
> >>>>all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code walkie-talkie
> >>>operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than poke CBer's with a
> >>>pointy stick.
> >>>
> >>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
> >>
> >>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
> >>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
> >>out...
> >>
> >
> > You've tried the eze-out?
>

> just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
> securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow let
> coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused it to
> rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its going to
> cost several hundred to fix.
>

I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head gaskets and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that vehicle?

3196. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:13:58 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga>
Source record: 200221

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>>>>>
>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get
>>>>>>all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code walkie-talkie
>>>>>operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than poke CBer's with a
>>>>>pointy stick.
>>>>>
>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
>>>>
>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
>>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
>>>>out...
>>>>
>>>
>>>You've tried the eze-out?

>>
>
>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow let
>>coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused it to
>>rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its going to
>>cost several hundred to fix.
>>
>
> I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head gaskets
> and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
> vehicle?
61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the new
engine.

3197. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:26:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517212607.388$SC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200222

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 18 May 2005 00:42:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Honest! ;-)
> >> >
> >>
> >> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Sure did, and that's a plain shame. They had muti General Lee's to
> >destroy in the name of boss hog and rosco.
>
> When they did the Starsky and Hutch movie, they had trouble getting the
> Torinos.
>
Didn't they re-cycle torinos into pinto's?

3198. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:26:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517212658.854$45@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200224

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 18 May 2005 00:40:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 17 May 2005 22:40:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> >> >> Shut up.
> >> >>
> >> >Make me.
> >>
> >> Shut up, stalk me, and put up pictures of my antenna !!!!
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Ok now you dun asked for it!
>
> Oh no !!!!!!!
>
The best part is, I have the pic and won't post it. :)

3199. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:28:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517212856.568$OV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200225

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
> >>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts
> >>>>>>get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
> >>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
> >>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
> >>>>
> >>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
> >>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
> >>>>out...
> >>>>
> >>>

> >>>You've tried the eze-out?
> >>
> >
> >>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
> >>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow let
> >>coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused it to
> >>rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its going
> >>to cost several hundred to fix.
> >>
> >
> > I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
> > gaskets and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on
> > that vehicle?
>
> 61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
> was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the new
> engine.
>
Is that how many total miles are on the vehicle?

3200. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:31:07 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8m6l81d9tk255qaorhsal6eblrrsv6c3c4@4ax.com>
Source record: 200223

On 18 May 2005 01:26:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 18 May 2005 00:40:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 17 May 2005 22:40:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >truth@trueguy.com wrote:
>> >> >> Shut up.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Make me.
>> >>
>> >> Shut up, stalk me, and put up pictures of my antenna !!!!
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Ok now you dun asked for it!
>>
>> Oh no !!!!!!!
>>
>The best part is, I have the pic and won't post it. :)

You have a pic ?

Stalker !!!!!!!

;-)

Vinnie S.

3201. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:32:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9n6l81dvl98hhmk6m68blp792t0fj87f26@4ax.com>
Source record: 200226

On 18 May 2005 01:26:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 18 May 2005 00:42:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> >Honest! ;-)
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Sure did, and that's a plain shame. They had muti General Lee's to
>> >destroy in the name of boss hog and rosco.
>>
>> When they did the Starsky and Hutch movie, they had trouble getting the
>> Torinos.
>>
>Didn't they re-cycle torinos into pinto's?

I think they used something like 11 Torinos. But had trouble because most were
gone. I think they had to use collectors' cars.

Vinnie S.

3202. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:47:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517214755.946$LB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200227

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 18 May 2005 01:26:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 18 May 2005 00:40:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 17 May 2005 22:40:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> >> >> >> Shut up.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >Make me.
> >> >>
> >> >> Shut up, stalk me, and put up pictures of my antenna !!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >Ok now you dun asked for it!
> >>
> >> Oh no !!!!!!!
> >>
> >The best part is, I have the pic and won't post it. :)
>
> You have a pic ?
>
> Stalker !!!!!!!
>
> ;-)
>
Your black helicopter repellent is failing you, Enzo. Please put some
blinds on yore windows too!

3203. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:50:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517215016.585$sm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200228

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 18 May 2005 01:26:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 18 May 2005 00:42:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> >Honest! ;-)
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >Sure did, and that's a plain shame. They had muti General Lee's to
> >> >destroy in the name of boss hog and rosco.
> >>
> >> When they did the Starsky and Hutch movie, they had trouble getting
> >> the Torinos.
> >>
> >Didn't they re-cycle torinos into pinto's?
>
> I think they used something like 11 Torinos. But had trouble because most
> were gone. I think they had to use collectors' cars.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Remember the Mustang II? What a shit hunk, except those chassis work well
for many other applications.

3204. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:51:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517215112.008$6P@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200229

truthteller@truth.com wrote:
> > truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> > > Shut up.
> > >
> > Make me.
>
> OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your address?
>
14 mile road, motherfucker.

3205. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:56:16 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2o2dnYtNS_tUPRffRVn-gw@giganews.com>
Source record: 200230

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Tue, 17 May 2005 16:03:54 -0700, "Jeff Mayner"
> <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>
>>> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>
>> I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old
>> Charger get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the
>> blonde chick's ass (her name escapes me) a little bit but it's
>> mostly about the car.
>>
>> Honest! ;-)
>>
>
>
> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?

Not sure it was that many but I don't like to think about it.

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

3206. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:56:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517215627.510$S2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200231

What about a squat to piss weakling that is all keyboard and no spine
crying about my posts?

See ya in the funny papers, wankstain.
>

youcantstandthetruth@truth.net wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> > > Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> > >
> > > http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> > hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
> > together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get
> > all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...
>
> Hey Jim. What about the numbnuts who posted this crap?
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>
> I'm gonna enjoy beating you to a pulp.
>
> ---------- ----------
> ---------- Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>
> I'll kick both of your asses at the same time, putz.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> news:20040422201910.568$GG@newsreader.com:
>
> Just keep looking over your shoulder
> What comes around goes around.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
> Steveo wrote in
> news:20040422201910.568$GG@newsreader.com:
>
> He's gonna mess around and get hurt.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote

>
> > iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote
> > I am not george and I hate to see you think you are going
> > to intimidate anyone.
>
> Too late for that, besides it's called paybacks.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040509215943.303$7d@newsrea der.com>
>
> What say, Lee? Got time to get your ass kicked on lunch hour?
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040509214504.289$Co@newsrea der.com>
>
> > Meet Steve here and bring sparring gear:
>
> > Medina YMCA
> > 3076-B Remsen Road
> > Medina, OH 44256
> > Phone: 330-725-3098
>
> Fuck him. I want Leland.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
>
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040514193201.527$xd@newsrea der.com>
>
> Look, jackass..the only reason you're still running your pie hole
> is because you don't live close to me. Otherwise I'd have taught
> you an old school lesson too.
>
> Keep fanning the flames, you could be next, Busch.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
>
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040520130842.916...@newsrea der.com>
>
> Well I for one am not the least bit afraid to go to madison hts
> or toledo on a whim. In fact, after seeing dogie, I'd fight him
> and nad at the same time at inductoheat.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
>
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040520075458.569...@newsrea der.com>

>
> This ain't no social call, nad. You'll need to meet me in the
> parking lot..and if you have the nerve to say your spew to my
> face, your nose won't be much use to you for a while anyway.
>
> ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------

3207. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:57:03 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <762dnRuXV_lhPRffRVn-1A@giganews.com>
Source record: 200232

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 18 May 2005 00:42:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>> Honest! ;-)
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>> Sure did, and that's a plain shame. They had muti General Lee's to
>>> destroy in the name of boss hog and rosco.
>>
>> When they did the Starsky and Hutch movie, they had trouble getting
>> the Torinos.
>>
> Didn't they re-cycle torinos into pinto's?

Ouch! ;-)

3208. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 01:58:02 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <y-idnZsNsZiyPBffRVn-1w@giganews.com>
Source record: 200233

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 18 May 2005 01:26:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 18 May 2005 00:42:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Honest! ;-)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>>>>
>>>>> Sure did, and that's a plain shame. They had muti General Lee's to
>>>>> destroy in the name of boss hog and rosco.
>>>>
>>>> When they did the Starsky and Hutch movie, they had trouble getting
>>>> the Torinos.
>>>>
>>> Didn't they re-cycle torinos into pinto's?
>>
>> I think they used something like 11 Torinos. But had trouble because
>> most were gone. I think they had to use collectors' cars.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
> Remember the Mustang II? What a shit hunk, except those chassis work
> well for many other applications.

The front end. The rest is some sort of Pinto-Capri mish mosh.

Jeff

3209. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:00:06 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vLadnbOMsdQ0PBffRVn-uw@giganews.com>
Source record: 200234

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>
>>> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>
>> I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old
>> Charger get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the
>> blonde chick's ass (her name escapes me) a little bit but it's
>> mostly about the car.
>>
>> Honest! ;-)
>>
> Oh it is -all- about the car and the hicks driving it. Ass-cheeks
> hanging out of cut-offs is just eye candy. I think I hate the skank
> they have playing daisey. <g>

Yeah, but she has a bod that goes on for days. Physically, she puts the original Daisey on the trailer and I used to like the original. Ah, modern tech. It's a good thing. ;-)

Jeff

3210. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:01:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517220154.634$M9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200235

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 18 May 2005 01:26:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >>>> On 18 May 2005 00:42:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>>>>> Honest! ;-)
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Didn't they destroy like 200 Chargers in the series?
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Vinnie S.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Sure did, and that's a plain shame. They had muti General Lee's to
> >>>>>>> destroy in the name of boss hog and rosco.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> When they did the Starsky and Hutch movie, they had trouble getting
> >>>>>> the Torinos.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>> Didn't they re-cycle torinos into pinto's?
> >>>>
> >>>> I think they used something like 11 Torinos. But had trouble because
> >>>> most were gone. I think they had to use collectors' cars.
> >>>>
> >>>> Vinnie S.
> >>>>
> > Remember the Mustang II? What a shit hunk, except those chassis work
> > well for many other applications.
>
> The front end. The rest is some sort of Pinto-Capri mish mosh.
>
> Jeff
>
Yea it bolts up with a little modification to other vintage body's too.
They're still in demand for just that.

3211. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:03:36 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <x-qdnf-Q2tfkPxffRVn-jA@giganews.com>
Source record: 200236

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 17 May 2005 22:40:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
>>> Shut up.
>>>
>> Make me.
>
>
> Shut up, stalk me, and put up pictures of my antenna !!!!

Dammit boy? Yawl know who you done bin jawin' ayat? Gutdam... gotta be careful aroun' this here ng!

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

3212. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:03:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517220346.927$FF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200237

truth@youhatethetruth.com wrote:
> > truthteller@truth.com wrote:
> > > > truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> > > > > Shut up.
> > > > >
> > > > Make me.
> > >
> > > OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your address?
> > >
> > 14 mile road, motherfucker.
>
> Hiding again?
>
I could see where ya might think that. Afterall, only chickenshit
cocksuckers live on or off that road.

3213. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:05:15 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <i5qdnb9VkqZDPxffRVn-gg@giganews.com>
Source record: 200238

Steveo wrote:
> truthteller@truth.com wrote:
>>> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
>>>> Shut up.
>>>>
>>> Make me.
>>
>> OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your address?
>>
> 14 mile road, motherfucker.

You beat me to it, Well, at least the motherfucker part. ;-)

3214. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:05:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517220521.503$IU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200239

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >>>
> >>> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> >>
> >> I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old
> >> Charger get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the
> >> blonde chick's ass (her name escapes me) a little bit but it's
> >> mostly about the car.
> >>
> >> Honest! ;-)
> >>
> > Oh it is -all- about the car and the hicks driving it. Ass-cheeks
> > hanging out of cut-offs is just eye candy. I think I hate the skank
> > they have playing daisey. <g>
>
> Yeah, but she has a bod that goes on for days. Physically, she puts the
> original Daisey on the trailer and I used to like the original. Ah,
> modern tech. It's a good thing. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
She'd cry if she got cum in her hair..that aint no daisey duke!

3215. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:07:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517220732.353$8z@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200240

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Vinnie S. wrote:
> > On 17 May 2005 22:40:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> >>> Shut up.
> >>>
> >> Make me.
> >
> >
> > Shut up, stalk me, and put up pictures of my antenna !!!!
>
> Dammit boy? Yawl know who you done bin jawin' ayat? Gutdam... gotta be
> careful aroun' this here ng!
>
He better be..I now have his wedding and antenna pics. I got em right
where I want em now! <g>

3216. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:09:23 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EI6dnYgqFdx0PhffRVn-qw@giganews.com>
Source record: 200242

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>>>
>>>>> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>>>
>>>> I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old
>>>> Charger get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the
>>>> blonde chick's ass (her name escapes me) a little bit but it's
>>>> mostly about the car.
>>>>
>>>> Honest! ;-)
>>>>
>>> Oh it is -all- about the car and the hicks driving it. Ass-cheeks
>>> hanging out of cut-offs is just eye candy. I think I hate the skank
>>> they have playing daisey. <g>
>>
>> Yeah, but she has a bod that goes on for days. Physically, she puts
>> the original Daisey on the trailer and I used to like the original.
>> Ah, modern tech. It's a good thing. ;-)
>>
>> Jeff
>>
> She'd cry if she got cum in her hair..that aint no daisey duke!

Oh man...

You gotta warn me or sumpin... The keyboard is taking a beatin!

<snort>

3217. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:09:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517220927.969$EG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200241

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > truthteller@truth.com wrote:
> >>> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> >>>> Shut up.
> >>>>
> >>> Make me.
> >>
> >> OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your address?
> >>
> > 14 mile road, motherfucker.
>
> You beat me to it, Well, at least the motherfucker part. ;-)
>
Ha! Great minds..

3218. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 02:12:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050517221226.578$UF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200243

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>> Steveo wrote:
> >>>>> Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >>>>>
> >>>>> http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> >>>>
> >>>> I'm actually going to go see the new edition just to watch that old
> >>>> Charger get around. Okay, I may check out the daisey-dukes on the
> >>>> blonde chick's ass (her name escapes me) a little bit but it's
> >>>> mostly about the car.
> >>>>
> >>>> Honest! ;-)
> >>>>
> >>> Oh it is -all- about the car and the hicks driving it. Ass-cheeks
> >>> hanging out of cut-offs is just eye candy. I think I hate the skank
> >>> they have playing daisey. <g>
> >>
> >> Yeah, but she has a bod that goes on for days. Physically, she puts
> >> the original Daisey on the trailer and I used to like the original.
> >> Ah, modern tech. It's a good thing. ;-)
> >>
> >> Jeff
> >>
> > She'd cry if she got cum in her hair..that aint no daisey duke!
>
> Oh man...
>
> You gotta warn me or sumpin... The keyboard is taking a beatin!
>
> <snort>
>
We need a keyboard relief fund here.

3219. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 03:26:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <CByie.447$hd5.152@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 200244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050517203000.356$Qm@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > Hi gang!
> >
> > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> >
> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> > listened to about 37 years ago ....
> >
> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> Hello Jim.
>
> Is CW considered a binary of sorts?
>
> Are you enjoying your new internet pipe?

Hello, Mopar

Some call it binary, but the fact that there are both short and long characters as well as short and long spaces (spacing between characters is shorter than spacing between words) leads me to not consider it "binary". When I wrote a Morse code decoder, I was using a base 3 system to decode it. At least if I recall correctly. I still have the source code but haven't looked at it for about a decade.

I am *loving* this new pipe! I booted the computer, the wave file played as Windows started up, I hadn't clicked on Explorer, I bent over to pick up a magazine, and when I looked at the computer, the anti-virus had already downloaded and installed the update! :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3220. Welcome to my concise encyclopedia,thanks!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 05:36:41 UTC
From: macrocoro <52mail@163.com>
Message-ID: <1116394601.457264.134940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200245

All you want can be found here,welcom thanks!
http://www.infohoo.com

3221. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 07:52:28 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116402748.883844.123600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200246

Just wondering where there is the 'lacking of good explanation' areas?
Shoot, I thought it was a fairly well done site! Much better than most
of us can truly understand. I wished that when I built the first
antenna I had had acess to that site. Would have kept a lot of 'trial
and error' work from going on.

james wrote:
> On 9 May 2005 17:47:14 -0700, "Cliff" <thecowboyace@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >When compared to a stated db in a ground plane you should get at
least
> >10db better with a 2 element quard. Go to:
> >http://www.signalengineering.com/ultimate/
> *****
>
> I perused this page and for most of the info is adequate
explaination.
> I think he really lacks good explanation is some areas.
>
> james

3222. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 11:49:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jh6m8157b3c9kftgo0k3f5nhulirmdu3e7@4ax.com>
Source record: 200247

On Tue, 17 May 2005 05:40:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 17 May 2005 07:32:43 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <erij811hq4ouj5e5n88iqhmarafuinb4m4@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Mon, 16 May 2005 13:46:48 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 16 May 2005 08:56:31 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <98vg81522in0bpc28d4tftqbh9hjoo2kvd@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>>See, this is what's so puzzling about you Frank. Once in a while you
>>>>>unload with a brilliant piece of perspective, which is at total odds
>>>>>with your status in life. You're one hell of an underachiever.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Dr. Kramer probably wouldn't agree. Do you want the book or not?
>>>>
>>>>Frank, I can get as much information as I need right from the
>>>>internet. It's a lot better than finding places to keep all those
>>>>books.
>>>
>>>
>>>Hence the source of your ingnorance and the reason you find me to be
>>>so "puzzling".
>>
>>No, I find you puzzling because you are so pompous and arrogant about
>>what you claim as "knowledge" yet, the application of such knowledge
>>in your own life has been dismally short of achievement. You are
>>either an accomplished liar, or a severe underachiever.
>
>
>You ignore any other possibilities.

Yes, I ignored many possibilities in order to focus on the two most
likely PROBABILITIES.

>>For a guy who claims to know as much, and has done as much as you have
>>claimed, all you have to show for yourself is a job as a bartender,
>>driving a 20+ year old vehicle?
>
>
>Only if that's how you measure "achievement". I'm not that

>superficial.

Yea, we all know how superficial the pursuit of a person's greatest
potential is.

>> You're a regular Cliff Clavin.
>
>
>Even if I did work for the USPS, I'd rather deliver mail than pizzas.

So would I. The bennies are pretty good when you work for the
government.

>>Now you muse about starting a lawn care service. No offense to Steveo,
>>but that's not exactly the skill level job that a man of your supposed
>>"credentials" should be aspiring to.
>
>
>By your standards. But you can't seem to understand that not everyone
>lives by your standards, Dave.

Yea, I know, you're an underachiever by choice. That's what they all
say.......

>>So, what's your (latest) excuse?
>>
>>A man who truly knows the things that you try to pass off to the rest
>>of us here, would be in a high level engineering or marketing
>>position,
>
>
>Done that. Boring.
>
>
>> or perhaps a stint as a university professor,
>
>
>Done that. Fun, but the pay sucks until you get tenure.

An interesting comment from someone who just a few lines back claimed
to be "not that superficial".

>> or maybe a
>>government contractor.
>
>
>No thanks, I've taken a look at a few government contracts. They
>barely fit the definition of "legally binding".

Now, let's take a closer look at this, and expose just why these
answers that you gave are highly unlikely. You once claimed that you
were in the military in the mid 80's, then worked for a while. Then
you went back to school (presumably because you had trouble finding a

decent job). Now a BS program requires a 4 year course study at a minimum. Assuming you're not living at home sponging off mon and dad, you're going to have to work to make ends meet, which means a leaner curriculum, and a longer time frame. Personal experience has shown me that a night school BS degree can take up to 8 years to complete. But even so, you likely did not receive your degree until the 90's. Now, a BS in engineering does not, in itself qualify you to teach. You would also need additional education to receive a teaching certificate. Then there is the issue of experience. Most guys straight out of school are not worth a heck of a lot, and are usually hired at entry level positions in order to gain experience. During this time, you've also claimed to have worked as a broadcast engineer at 2 different radio stations (which is probably the only truth).

So, in a period of time that spans no more than about 10 to 15 years, you want us to believe that you've been a broadcast engineer, worked in marketing and design engineering (even with no previous related experience), and taught at a university (Even without any teaching experience in "lower" educational institutions). Man, you're one busy guy Frank. So, I guess the stint tending bar is just a result of "burnout" from all that achieving?

Either you just can't seem to figure out what you want to do for a living, or you like to tell tall tales.

>> Or maybe you'd work with me.
>
>
>Doubtful. I -order- pizzas, I don't deliver them.

Ah yes, the other defense mechanism typical from an underachiever with self esteem issues. It is certainly more comforting, to someone in your predicament, to accuse and believe that others are worse off than you are thereby justifying, to some degree, your own failures. So if you want to believe that I deliver pizza's for a living (And supporting a family, a large home, a boat, camper, and 3 vehicles on that salary) so that you can feel better about yourself, then I'll go along with it. Heck, I'd hate to think you went for the razor blades or the old rubber hose from the tailpipe on my account.

>
>>The bottom line Frank, is that you talk a great line, but you produce
>>very little. I can tell that by the way you approach CB radio
>>troubleshooting. You offer only generic troubleshooting 101 solutions
>>to problems, which indicates that you have very little direct
>>experience with actually repairing a CB radio, which have known
>>problem areas.
>
>
>Uh-huh, that's why I narrowed the buzzing-radio problem down to the
>voltage regulator while you were busy defending your highly
>generalized assumption that the problem is "almost always caps", huh?

The final answer to that question was never realized. Your continued
egotistical need to belittle me in that thread most likely chased the
original poster away.

>>> But you go right ahead and limit yourself to the
>>>internet for your sole source of information
>>
>>Once again you make assumptions, a repetitive pattern for you. I never
>>said the internet was my SOLE source of information.
>
>
>Wrong. You said, "I can get as much information as I need right from
>the internet." You can try and spin the semantics all you want but it
>means the same thing.

Which means exactly that, I can get the factual information that I
need from the internet. That does not mean that I get life EXPERIENCE
from the internet, as you attempted to claim with later examples.

>> But it is the
>>fastest and easiest source of information on a variety of topics,
>>especially current events. It was the internet, that first blew the
>>lid off of "Rathergate", and exposed it as the propaganda smear that
>>it was intended to be. The Blog has become a powerful tool to expose
>>media bias and helps to parse the stories in order to gain the truth.
>>Sure you can read about something in a book, but the internet is
>>instantaneous, interactive, and ever evolving. There are decisive
>>advantages to that.
>
>
>Speaking of 'media bias', are you keeping up-to-date on the status of
>one of your staunchly anti-gay, conservative Republicans that happens
>to be the mayor of my home town?
>
>http://www.spokesmanreview.com/jimwest/
>

No, I'm more interested in the criminal activities surrounding the
associates of the democratic mayor of Philadelphia in a "Pay to play"
scandal. It is, after all, more regionally relevant for me.

http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.htm

>
>>> -- let me know when you
>>>find the winding specifications for an Ajax M-2-145T, or the firearm
>>>most preferred by Deep-River Jim, or why Bessie slashed up her own
>>>portrait.
>>
>>If I had any interest in those subjects, I'm sure I'd find them,
>>assuming these people are significant.
>
>

>I doubt you could find them even if you wanted to.

If that's truly the case, then it's equally likely that I'd have
little interest.

>
>
>>But while we're on the subject, I have found much information on the
>>local history of my local area, and the trolley, rail, and canal lines
>>that used to run through here over the turn of the century. I have
>>found the horsepower specifications for the triple expansion 4
>>cylinder piston engines in the Titanic, as well as the Parson's
>>Turbine center engine. I have tracked radio wave propagation, tides, a
>>web cam of my favorite lake, and my friend's pool. There is nothing
>>you can read in print, than can't be scanned into a web page, or pdf
>>file.
>
>
>Yet so much -hasn't- been scanned.

Key Word: "Yet".

All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools of the internet and
computers back when I had to do term papers. The task would have been
much less tedious and actually somewhat interesting, and fun.

There will be a time, in the not so distant future, where all
published works will be delivered in electronic format. Printed books
will become museum attractions, for those desiring a return to the
"quaint old days". I am currently involved in projects that enable
much of the concept of a seamless central home voice, video, and data
model.

>> I have the complete Cisco router manuals on CD ROM. I have
>>access to repeater user's groups where we can seek out and share each
>>other's expertise to solve problems. The list is endless Frank.
>
>
>It's far from endless, Dave. It doesn't even have endless potential.

Ok, so it's "virtually" (no pun) endless.

>>I might read an intriguing novel by the fireplace on a cool winter's
>>night, but if it's information that I want, the fingers fly to the
>>keyboard.
>>
>>
>>> Find a link that explains why you can see the Douglas Firs
>>>towering above you in the middle of the woods on a pitch-black and
>>>starless night.
>>
>>I'd rather just witness that myself first hand. I do a lot of camping
>>you know.

>
>
>No, I don't know. If you haven't witnessed what I described then maybe
>you haven't done as much camping as you claim.

I have seen trees of all sorts towering over me at night. There's no
mystery to this. Even on a moonless and cloudy night, there is still
enough ambient light to show the silhouettes of the trees.

>>> Download the feelings of watching Israeli officers
>>>picking off Palistinian schoolkids running out of a burning building
>>>like they were ducks in a shooting gallery.
>>
>>And what? You read that in a book?
>
>
>No, I was there, fool. How long have you -really- been in this
>newsgroup?

Oh, that's right, you were in the military.

>> I've come close though. I have
>>corresponded, via E-Mail, with U.S. army folks fighting in Iraq, in
>>order to get their personal perspective on the situation. It's a far
>>different picture than what the mainstream media wants us to think.
>
>
>You don't have a clue, Dave.

So, are you claiming that these people are lying to me? People that I
am related to or friends with?

> I'm sure you can exercise your
>imagination, but there are experiences in combat situations that have
>no comparison or common frame of reference to pizza delivery drivers.

Ah, that underachiever's ego feel good justification rears its ugly
head once again. It's curious (maybe not so) that you stoop to that
level when you cannot counter my points with any facts. That leave
nothing but insults. Your case gets weaker by the day.

>>> I'm sure you can find a
>>>site that has the cyber-smell file of a Northwest sawmill.
>>
>>As I'm sure you can from a book. But you can go to a Home Depot and
>>get a similar effect.

>It's not the same. It's like saying you know what a homemade apple pie
>tastes like because you once bought a Hostess pastry at the 7/11.

Assuming I have a desire to make an apple pie.

>>>And I'm
>>>sure there's some adapter you can plug into the USB port that will let

>>>you enjoy the unmatched hospitality (and world-class pastries) offered
>>>by a family of Norwegians when all you did was ask to fill up your
>>>water can.
>>
>>When have you been to Norway?
>
>
>1984.

Ah, another port of call for the traveling military grunt on Uncle Sam's nickel. It's a far different experience traveling, when you can stay at a 4 or 5 star hotel on the company tab. The downside though is that the food can get a bit rich and that tends to make the job of maintaining my boyish figure a bit more difficult ;-)

I've never been to Norway, although I came close to hitting Amsterdam. I managed to get out of going because I didn't want to leave my wife and then infant daughter home alone for a few weeks. I don't like traveling to foreign countries anyway. Especially with the anti-American sentiment going around. But there are a lot of very nice U.S. cities that I've liked. Mostly I remember them due to interesting eateries the I've found there. The "Wattaburger" in Brownsville Texas, Dickies Ribs in Dallas, Farmer Jones' Red Barn in Lakeland Florida, Nandell's Inn in Seattle, The Airplane themed pub (the name escapes me) across from Mitchell airport in Milwaukee, and many others.

We could talk about airports too. Even those folks in Denver and San Francisco want their pizza's delivered hot and fresh, even if it takes 5 hours to get there.

>> Did you need to order some new imported
>>beer for the bar?
>
>
>No, but I did buy a large 'Norwegian' Bud at a small grocery store. I
>think I still have the label stashed away somewhere. I also might have
>a couple labels from bottles of Maccabe beer from Israel. I'm pretty
>sure I have a couple phone tokens and a few sheckels in coins.

Ah, souvenirs. I have a can of Junkanoo punch from the Bahamas, a can of Taiwan beer from Taipei, the strange looking "old fashioned" styled Coke bottle from Mexico (The water sucks in Mexico, but the Coca-Cola is much better).

>>>The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature,
>>>or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you
>>>need from your computer. You are a fool, Dave.
>>
>>I never claimed to get ALL of my information from the internet. Only
>>that I can research any topic that I wish on the internet and get the
>>same or better information a heck of a lot quicker and easier than
>>using the old fashioned method of buying (or borrowing) a book.

>
>
>That's only true if the info is available on the net. So much info
>-isn't- on the net.

I agree, but I haven't run into that situation on the things that I am
interested in.

>>You, on the other hand, need to get away from the left coast. It's
>>really affecting your perception.
>
>
>"The West is the best".

The west is far too liberal. A weird blend of cynicism mixed with
naive idealism.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3223. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 12:30:19 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ovam81t0gniu7619v46486uq0ju69me5ns@4ax.com>
Source record: 200248

On Tue, 17 May 2005 13:45:31 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:ejlj81p410bi1512ghp19sed24uov8qmum@4ax.com...
>>>>Why is it only now do certain people find
>>>>exception to it?
>>>
>>>You would have to ask one. My guess would be a certain faction is trying
>>>to cram their religious beliefs down otehr's throats.
>>
>> Those beliefs have been a part of our culture since this country was
>> founded. The perception that religion is "suddenly" being "Crammed
>> down other people's throats" is held by those who have been
>> conspicuously absent from any religious influences in their lives and
>> see any display of religion as excessive. Yet it is those same people
>> who are the ones at odds with our society, as history will testify to.
>>
>>
>
>The problem is both sides. One person find offense with
>something of a religious over tone in government, he then
>finds the ACLU and wants it removed. Now the religious
>zealots start banging the drums in defense of religion.

You are exactly right. That is why this is reaching a head. Someone
finds something offensive, gets the muscle of a group such as the ACLU
to have it removed (Like lame Holiday party names instead of
Christians parties). It's not surprising that the people who support
these traditions will fight to retain them.

>>>Except when the law doesn't agree with your point of view or actions.
>>>You claim ignorance of the law is no excuse, but you arrogantly claim
>>>you break the law intentionally (holding up traffic in the passing lane,
>>>paralelling the car in the right lane) in order to enforce another law.
>>>Pa law states the left lane is for passing only. You're an uninformed
>>>(regarding the law in your own state) hypocrite.
>>
>> It is not illegal to run in the left lane as long as you are either
>> passing or maintaining the posted speed limit. Do you honestly expect
>> everyone to run in the right lane once they've hit the posted limit?
>> That's ludicrous. Especially considering the volume of traffic in this
>> area.
>

>I can't answer for PA, but in California you would get a ticket
>for impeding the flow of traffic. I've seen it, so please don't
>say it doesn't happen.

So, the alternative is to allow people to exceed the posted limit?
That seem somewhat contradictory to me.

So basically, the way the law is written, it pretty much forces people
to break the speed limit in order to "justify" using the left lane?

You do understand the logic here right? If you are legally bound to
maintain the posted speed limit, then you cannot pass someone already
doing the legal limit, and the great majority of traffic would be
bound to remain in the right lane, except to pass those occasional
slow pokes. I'm sure I don't need to explain heavy traffic to a
California resident, but can you imagine if everyone tried to stay in
the right lane?

>> Both of you are
>> severe underachievers.
>
>I don't think so. I don't think you are either. I do think that
>you all are on the far end of political and religious spectrum,
>as such, this argument between you three will never end.

I am hardly a religious zealot. I don't even go to church. I am not
even a practicing Christian. But I do believe in a "God" and I do
believe in intelligent design, and I believe in keeping morality as a
guide to responsible social behavior. I am for preserving proven
tradition and do not believe that change is automatically a good
thing. I am also a political conservative (As are you IIRC), and tend
to favor smaller government, personal responsibility and
accountability, a free market and a strong morality based system of
law and order in order to punish those who cannot act properly in a
civilized society.

The arguments between Frank, Twisty and I are much more complex than a
simple ideological disagreement. Twisty is twisty. His actions need no
further explanation. Frank has been stung ever since I admitted that I
supported Bush. A revelation that seems to have affected him
personally. Frank has since been trying to prove that support of Bush
(and republicans in general) is wrong based solely on his subjective
opinion that only an idiot would support him so, consequently, he has
been since trying to prove that I'm that idiot. But during the course
of the ensuing "debates", Frank has revealed much about his
personality, and has given me an insight into his own inner demons. I
can now see why he and Twisty have found common ground. They both have
a profound distrust of corporations and any form of "the
establishment". And, if their level of knowledge and education is as
they claim, they are both underachievers. Frank, who once claimed to
teach college courses, and claims to hold a BS degree in engineering,
working as a bartender. Twisty, who claims to be well versed in law,

and an "accomplished" writer, takes snowbirds out to fish on a charter boat, and can't even afford a real computer. No wonder he hides behind an anonymous pseudonym.

It had been fun from a purely psychological standpoint. But I am beginning to tire of this almost constant off-topic banter. I am actually longing for the days when we talked about amplifiers and mods to radios. I never guessed that a simple ideological disagreement would turn into several years worth of trash talking.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3224. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 13:01:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <l8em81tkvansin0n1f0jckvcmol94hd0ka@4ax.com>
Source record: 200249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 18 May 2005 03:26:26 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
>
>Hello, Mopar
>
>Some call it binary, but the fact that there are both short and long
>characters as well as short and long spaces (spacing between characters is
>shorter than spacing between words) leads me to not consider it "binary".
>When I wrote a Morse code decoder, I was using a base 3 system to decode it.
>At least if I recall correctly. I still have the source code but haven't
>looked at it for about a decade.
>
>I am *loving* this new pipe! I booted the computer, the wave file played as
>Windows started up, I hadn't clicked on Explorer, I bent over to pick up a
>magazine, and when I looked at the computer, the anti-virus had already
>downloaded and installed the update! :))

Broadband is very nice. Once you get a taste of it, you'll never go
back. Combined with wireless access points, I can move all over the
house and access the internet with my laptop. I can access streaming
audio to pipe to my computer and stereo in my garage, when I'm outside
working. Internet video content can be viewed on the TV. I can even
monitor the environmental controls in the house from remote locations.
Technology is great. The future is now.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3225. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 13:31:49 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <9tHie.443$mK.60@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200250

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:ovam81t0gniu7619v46486uq0ju69me5ns@4ax.com...

>
>>>>Except when the law doesn't agree with your point of view or actions.
>>>>You claim ignorance of the law is no excuse, but you arrogantly claim
>>>>you break the law intentionally (holding up traffic in the passing lane,
>>>>paralelling the car in the right lane) in order to enforce another law.
>>>>Pa law states the left lane is for passing only. You're an uninformed
>>>>(regarding the law in your own state) hypocrite.
>>>
>>> It is not illegal to run in the left lane as long as you are either
>>> passing or maintaining the posted speed limit. Do you honestly expect
>>> everyone to run in the right lane once they've hit the posted limit?
>>> That's ludicrous. Especially considering the volume of traffic in this
>>> area.
>>
>>I can't answer for PA, but in California you would get a ticket
>>for impeding the flow of traffic. I've seen it, so please don't
>>say it doesn't happen.
>
> So, the alternative is to allow people to exceed the posted limit?
> That seem somewhat contradictory to me.
>
>
> So basically, the way the law is written, it pretty much forces people
> to break the speed limit in order to "justify" using the left lane?
>

Nope, it's called impeding the flow of traffic. If the basic
traffic flow is going 65 to 70mph and you get three cars
all going 65mph. The back up behind is more of a hazard
than the people breaking the basic speed law.

(Big snip)

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''

This game that we animals play is a winner.

3226. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 13:31:49 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <9tHie.444$mK.73@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200251

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050517185238.849$gD@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050516180449.161$aA@newsreader.com...
>> > Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>> >
>> > http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>
>> Pretty cool.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Slick driving..I would be afraid of tearing up some sheet metal to the
> tune
> of $100's of thousands of dollars if it was me behind the wheel in that
> stunt.
>
> There's quite a few late models that he's sliding past in that clip and
> it's raining.

I've done about 1/4 of that before, never again ;)

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature, or
direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you need from
your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

3227. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 13:31:49 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <9tHie.445$mK.261@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200252

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
>>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts get
>>>>>>>all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny actually...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code walkie-talkie
>>>>>>operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than poke CBer's with a
>>>>>>pointy stick.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
>>>>>
>>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
>>>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
>>>>>out...
>>>>>

>>>>
>>>>You've tried the eze-out?
>>>
>>
>>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
>>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow let
>>>coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused it to
>>>rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its going to
>>>cost several hundred to fix.
>>>
>>
>> I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
>> gaskets
>> and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
>> vehicle?
> 61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it was
> a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the new
> engine.

New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

3228. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 13:41:56 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8adm815c71l28uqupr6ne27d9a67n2vgbs@4ax.com>
Source record: 200253

On Wed, 18 May 2005 07:49:36 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <jh6m8157b3c9kftgo0k3f5nhulirmdu3e7@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>Speaking of 'media bias', are you keeping up-to-date on the status of
>>one of your staunchly anti-gay, conservative Republicans that happens
>>to be the mayor of my home town?
>>
>>http://www.spokesmanreview.com/jimwest/
>>
>
>No, I'm more interested in the criminal activities surrounding the
>associates of the democratic mayor of Philadelphia in a "Pay to play"
>scandal. It is, after all, more regionally relevant for me.
>
>http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.htm

Gee Dave, after all your sermons about morality -- you don't care
about a pedophile that not only used his government office for
cyber-sex but promised internships to young boys in exchange for
'dates'? What happened to your morality, Dave? Did it suddenly get
lost because West is a conservative Republican?

<snip>
>All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools of the internet and
>computers back when I had to do term papers. The task would have been
>much less tedious and actually somewhat interesting, and fun.

Where did you go to college, Dave? And BTW, what was the name of that
tech school you claimed to have attended? Who does the majority party
represent if not the majority? Where does the Constitution require, or
even suggest, that religious influence should play any role in the
government? How does gay and lesbian marriage infringe on your rights?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3229. DX Activations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 13:49:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4190-428B47CE-618@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200254

19IR04/EU-1462005-01-01-2005 to 12-31-05
EU-14619

175IR/02005-02-202005-06-20-14IR0011

265IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0431

320/224IR0112005-01-012005-12-31OC-0181IR7492

320IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0181

3230. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 13:54:03 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4191-428B48FB-219@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200255

Dave Hall, N3CVJ wrote:
>Now, let's take a closer look at this, and

>expose just why these answers that you gave

>are highly unlikely. You once claimed that you

>were in the military in the mid 80's, then

>worked for a while. Then you went back to

>school (presumably because you had trouble

>finding a decent job). Now a BS program

>requires a 4 year course study at a minimum.

Frank was in the military (can earn you college credits) and it doesn't take 4 years minimum to get a BS degree. It is often done in 3 or less. In fact, there are many who do it in less time. Many major universities and schools have BS programs that take less than 4 years.

3231. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 14:02:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4192-428B4ADE-59@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200256

Dave Hall, N3CVJ wrote:
(I can't answer for PA, but in California you would get a ticket for impeding the flow of traffic. I've seen it, so please don't say it doesn't happen.)

>So, the alternative is to allow people to exceed

>the posted limit?

You have no choice in the matter.It is not you that is permitted to enforce the trafficl laws, despite your need for assumed status over others.

> That seem somewhat contradictory to me.

Only because you are ignorant of the law and express difficulty understanding it.
Cruising in the left lane is illegal...period, Your feelings and objections are irrelevant.

>So basically, the way the law is written, it

>pretty much forces people to break the speed

>limit in order to "justify" using the left lane?

Not at all. You are not to be in the left lane AT ALL unless you are passing. If the person in front of you in the right lane is doing the speed limit, you have no business in the left or passing lane. Now you are expressing difficulties comprehending your lawbreaking ways that illustrate your hypocrisy.

>You do understand the logic here right?

The logic is that you lived ot be as old as you are but still are a hypocrite and can;t comprehend you are breaking the law and any "logic" you feel is related to your behavior is justification for you to break the law.

>If you are legally bound to maintain the posted
>speed limit, then you cannot pass someone

>already doing the legal limit, and the great

>majority of traffic would be bound to remain in

>the right lane, except to pass those occasional

>slow pokes.

That is the law...very good, David. SSince you disagree with it so vehemently, it is suggested you take your own advice .."You are bound to adhere to the law. If you don't agree with it, lobby to have it changed. Breaking the law is no excuse."

> I'm sure I don't need to explain heavy traffic to

>a California resident, but can you imagine if

>everyone tried to stay in the right lane?

The only thing imagined here is you believing you are not a hypocrite for your law breaking ways. According your own words, you're a criminal, also.

3232. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 14:17:19 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4190-428B4E6F-625@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200257

Dave Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>When you get your head handed to you with

>regard to one topic

Pot,,kettle,,black.

I'm not sure what has you hopping around like the easter bunny who has to take a very bad piss, but I can guess.

Was it the application of your invoked "statistical probability" factor to yourself after you made the comment "there is no George"?

Was it your goof concerning your misuse and misapplication of the word "Quantify"?

Was it your self-contradiction where you first claimed an "endorsement" of religion by the people, then turned around in the same post and claimed there was no endorsement of such?

Perhaps it was because you were accusing me of being wrong in all my claims concerning you, but refused to give explicit permission to post such "wrong" information.

Then again, it could have been your claim that sex, in regards to catching an STD, is not an all or nothing proposition, when that is most certainly what it is,,,,,stay celibate or screw at your own risk.

Or the Bush failures you asked for, was provided, then you vanished.

Maybe it was that old habit you have of denying the existence of everything you are not aware, such as your claim that there is no reference point in which to measure global warming. then again, it could have been the fact that your own party acknowledges such, and just like your chosen hobby, you have no clue concerning those who govern your world.

Then again, it was probably the fact you were conned into admitting you were a criminal (your word) by breaking Pa traffic law.

Yep. You sure handed me my head. I can't wait for you to do it again. In the meantime, enoy your rest, take a few deep breaths, regroup, refresh, douche, powder, whatever you have to do to cleanse your wickedness and become a proper contributing member to society instead of a law breaking criminal who is on the level of crackheads and vagrants (also your words).

3233. ALL HAM RADIO OPS ARE LATENT HOMOSEXUALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 17:24:39 UTC
From: Fudgepacker <longlive@pirateradio.org>
Message-ID: <hdum81tkbc8v8qbot712448d79png3p3nt@4ax.com>
Source record: 200258

My neighbor is a ham, and he has performed oral sex on me numerious
times.

73's
fudgepacker

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3234. Re: ALL HAM RADIO OPS ARE LATENT HOMOSEXUALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 18:20:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <lq1n81th8dgbp0mp5f6v7rqggo38c0cuit@4ax.com>
Source record: 200259

On Wed, 18 May 2005 13:24:39 -0400, Fudgepacker
<longlive@pirateradio.org> wrote:

>My neighbor is a ham, and he has performed oral sex on me numerious
>times.

Numerous times eh? I guess you must have enjoyed it......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3235. Re: ALL HAM RADIO OPS ARE LATENT HOMOSEXUALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 18:29:05 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <428b8906.2247328@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 200260

On Wed, 18 May 2005 13:24:39 -0400, Fudgepacker
<longlive@pirateradio.org> wrote:

>My neighbor is a ham, and he has performed oral sex on me numerious
>times.
>
>73's
>fudgepacker
>

It doesn't matter which end of the dick you are on, its still
homosexual sex...

3236. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 18:41:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm

>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>> > Hi gang!

>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
where
>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
sign in
>> there?
>
>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
ID.

Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)

When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
feed?

You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
"insider information" again? :-)

>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
if
>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
>long.

Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely
careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
[they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)

=======

The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a
journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With
the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the
tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge,
more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with
the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads
and ignorant people.

A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]

Cell phones were never designed or implemented with text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text messaging was possible and that was incorporated into subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication" that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear. That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)

"Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago. [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the entertainment media and most have never experienced first-hand any live communications with that...they know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]

It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the audience amused by poking fun at current fads.

Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was NEVER intended as a true contest.

For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual communications means, pit an experienced typist pair using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper or manual typewriters to record received "morse code." Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show the errors made by the morsemen.

Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No. There's no real Entertainment value except for a very small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to

win out eventually since their throughput is sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse code" means.

The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in actual practice and found "morse code" to be the LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on "morse code" for communications. The die-hard fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code" as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in the fantasy and mythology of olden times.

That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's over. Get a grip. Go home.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3237. Re: Antron99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 18:45:07 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <0u0n819kpgo07h537qoud8s7ekds6neb4h@4ax.com>
Source record: 200262

On 18 May 2005 00:52:28 -0700, "Cliff" <thecowboyace@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Just wondering where there is the 'lacking of good explanation' areas?
>Shoot, I thought it was a fairly well done site! Much better than most
>of us can truly understand. I wished that when I built the first
>antenna I had had acess to that site. Would have kept a lot of 'trial
>and error' work from going on.
>

*****
Overall it is a very good site. It is by far better than many that I have seen in the past. But when some try to explain transmission line issues with a small working knowldge of them, the all to often too simple explanations or are lacking or misleading.

In the explanation of why coax affects SWR. While it is not bad, it is typically and over symplistic. The real problem is that comon mode currents are often not understood as to how they are generated and what effects they have on SWR meters. Another thing is that the SWR bridges made for CB and many for Ham use are poor instruments to measure SWR. They are only good to about 3:1 and after that their accuracy suffers greatly. The best way to measure the SWR of the antenna is with a dual directional coupler. Preferably the power measurements should be a spectrum analzer.

Also coax impeadance is determined by ratio of the inside diameter of the outer conductor to the outside diamter of the inner conductor and teh dielctric constant of the medium in between. Not just solely the ratio of the diameter. That would be true only of air dielectric coax.

Coax loss is solely determined by the dielectric and not the shield. As long as the shield is in relatively good shape the sole called "leakage" loss is hardly measureable, even in relatively high power RF transmissions. A shield of 80% is still nearly 100% effective even at frequencies as low as 10 MHz. The openings in the shield would have to be at least 1/16 of a wavelength in order to start to be able to measure leakage. At 10M that is 1.25 meters.

What causes dielectrtic breakdown is chlorine in the PVC, PolyvinalChloride, to leach into the dielectric and cause the characteristic impeadance to change. Also chlorine from sea spray that can leak into the coax from poorly assembled coax connectors. The site is right in that cox does need to be changed. Even the best installations require changing at least once every ten to fifteen yrs.

One thing also about antenna design and installations. Unless you model the ground (Earth) for your area, you have missed a major influience on the performance of any antenna design or installation. There is a deal of hunt and peck to tame some designs. Especially dipoles that are not at least a wavelength above ground. Some designs behave better than others.

james
>
>james wrote:
>> On 9 May 2005 17:47:14 -0700, "Cliff" <thecowboyace@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >When compared to a stated db in a ground plane you should get at
>least
>> >10db better with a 2 element quard. Go to:
>> >http://www.signalengineering.com/ultimate/
>> *****
>>
>> I perused this page and for most of the info is adequate
>explaination.
>> I think he really lacks good explanation is some areas.
>>
>> james

3238. New CB-Regulations in Germany! 80 FM + 40 AM/SSB and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 19:01:30 UTC
From: Henning Gajek <hrgajek@nurfuerspam.de>
Message-ID: <d6g527$63r$05$2@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 200264
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,free.nl.radio.cb,pl.rec.radio.cb,pt.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Hello CBers,

breaking news:
New CB-Regulations in Germany since today May 18th 2005

80 Channels FM (26.565...27.405 MHz) Output 4.0 Watt
40 Channels AM (!) (26.965...27.405 MHz) 4.0 Watts PEP (1.0W Carrier)
40 Channels SSB (!) (26.965...27.405 MHz) 4.0 Watts PEP

AM/SSB is no longer restricted to Channel 4...15 !

2 new Channels for Datatransmissions 40 (27.405) and 41 (26.565)

Data-Transmissions (e.g. Packet-Radio) on CH 6,7,24,25,40,41,52,53,76,77

Connection CB-Data-Transmissions between CB-Radio and Internet is
allowed.

Connection CB-Speech-Transmissions between CB-Radio via Internet is
prohibited.

For more details see (in german language)

http://www.funkmagazin.de/18055.htm

Download of the offical bulletin in PDF Format avaiblabe there.

Best 73 and ufb DX

--
73 & 55 (Gruss)
Henning Gajek
CB: 13TH523 or DAK223
http://www.gajek.de/cb-funk (in german language)

3239. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 19:28:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518152825.714$i7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200263

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
> > Steveo wrote:
> >
> >> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
> >>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts
> >>>>>>>get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny
> >>>>>>>actually...
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
> >>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
> >>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
> >>>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it

> >>>>>out...
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>You've tried the eze-out?
> >>>
> >>
> >>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
> >>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
> >>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused
> >>>it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its
> >>>going to cost several hundred to fix.
> >>>
> >>
> >> I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
> >> gaskets
> >> and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
> >> vehicle?
> > 61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
> > was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the
> > new engine.
>
> New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
>
> Landshark
>
Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.

3240. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Scares Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 19:35:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518153505.839$li_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amatuer.policy

Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling crybaby?
>
>

Leland C Scott aka truth@itsalltrue.com wrote:
> ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>
> I'm gonna enjoy beating you to a pulp.
>
> ------------------------------ ------------------------------
> ------------- Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>
> I'll kick both of your asses at the same time, putz.
>
> ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> news:20040422201910.568$GG@newsreader.com:
>
> Just keep looking over your shoulder
> What comes around goes around.
>
> ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
> Steveo wrote in
> news:20040422201910.568$GG@newsreader.com:
>
> He's gonna mess around and get hurt.
>
> ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote
>
> > iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote
> > I am not george and I hate to see you think you are going
> > to intimidate anyone.
>
> Too late for that, besides it's called paybacks.
>
> ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040509215943.303$7d@newsrea der.com>

>
> What say, Lee? Got time to get your ass kicked on lunch hour?
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040509214504.289$Co@newsrea der.com>
>
> > Meet Steve here and bring sparring gear:
>
> > Medina YMCA
> > 3076-B Remsen Road
> > Medina, OH 44256
> > Phone: 330-725-3098
>
> Fuck him. I want Leland.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
>
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040514193201.527$xd@newsrea der.com>
>
> Look, jackass..the only reason you're still running your pie hole
> is because you don't live close to me. Otherwise I'd have taught
> you an old school lesson too.
>
> Keep fanning the flames, you could be next, Busch.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
>
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040520130842.916...@newsrea der.com>
>
> Well I for one am not the least bit afraid to go to madison hts
> or toledo on a whim. In fact, after seeing dogie, I'd fight him
> and nad at the same time at inductoheat.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------
>
> Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> Message-id: <20040520075458.569...@newsrea der.com>
>
> This ain't no social call, nad. You'll need to meet me in the
> parking lot..and if you have the nerve to say your spew to my
> face, your nose won't be much use to you for a while anyway.
>
> ---------- ---------- ---------

3241. FA: Johnson Messenger Model 4140 40ch CB Radio WORKING!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 20:40:38 UTC
From: jimbradley@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1116448838.877796.215850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200266

Johnson Messenger Model 4140 40ch CB Radio WORKING!!!!!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5775924842

3242. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 21:48:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518174804.752$PM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Truth@true.com wrote:
> > Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling crybaby?
>
> Are you going to threaten to beat up the next person who tells
> you freebanding is illegal? :-)
>
No. Do you have the nerve to insult me in person? Otherwise you're nothing
more than another keyboard blowhard.

3243. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 21:56:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hudn81lr2pc3u8r4kga6n5j4v0apmjtg8n@4ax.com>
Source record: 200268

On Wed, 18 May 2005 09:54:03 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<4191-428B48FB-219@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:

>Dave Hall, N3CVJ wrote:
>>Now, let's take a closer look at this, and
>
>>expose just why these answers that you gave
>
>>are highly unlikely. You once claimed that you
>
>>were in the military in the mid 80's, then
>
>>worked for a while. Then you went back to
>
>>school (presumably because you had trouble
>
>>finding a decent job). Now a BS program
>
>>requires a 4 year course study at a minimum.
>
>
>
>Frank was in the military (can earn you college credits)

Yep. Tarheel U. has (had?) a program set up for jarheads in Camp
Lejeune.

> and it doesn't
>take 4 years minimum to get a BS degree. It is often done in 3 or less.
>In fact, there are many who do it in less time. Many major universities
>and schools have BS programs that take less than 4 years.

Dave would know that already if he actually attended college.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3244. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 21:58:35 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <eUOie.35$rp.33@fed1read07>
Source record: 200269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>
>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > Hi gang!
>
>
>>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
> where
>>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
> sign in
>>> there?
>>
>>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
> ID.
>
> Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
> TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)
>
> When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
> At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
> feed?
>
> You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
> Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
> "insider information" again? :-)
>
>>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
> if
>>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
>>long.
>
> Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely
> careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
> [they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)
>
> =======
>
> The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a
> journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With

> the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the
> tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge,
> more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with
> the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads
> and ignorant people.
>
> A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text
> messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a
> big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the
> USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]
>
> Cell phones were never designed or implemented with
> text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the
> wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog
> cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text
> messaging was possible and that was incorporated into
> subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form
> of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication"
> that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear.
> That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)
>
> "Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications
> means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling
> of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using
> radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago.
> [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the
> entertainment media and most have never experienced
> first-hand any live communications with that...they
> know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]
>
> It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is
> faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell
> phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show
> was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of
> "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more
> item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the
> audience amused by poking fun at current fads.
>
> Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about
> "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in
> that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall
> by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was
> NEVER intended as a true contest.
>
> For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual
> communications means, pit an experienced typist pair
> using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair
> of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper
> or manual typewriters to record received "morse code."
> Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show

> the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show
> the errors made by the morsemen.
>
> Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No.
> There's no real Entertainment value except for a very
> small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is
> less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus
> 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't
> have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to
> win out eventually since their throughput is
> sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse
> code" means.
>
> The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in
> actual practice and found "morse code" to be the
> LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on
> "morse code" for communications. The die-hard
> fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code"
> as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in
> the fantasy and mythology of olden times.
>
> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
> over. Get a grip. Go home.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
Blah Blah

THE CELLPHONERS LOST TO 160 Year Old Technology

3245. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 22:03:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518180332.979$PC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050517203000.356$Qm@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > Hi gang!
> > >
> > > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> > >
> > > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> > > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
> > > It sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands
> > > I listened to about 37 years ago ....
> > >
> > > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > >
> > Hello Jim.
> >
> > Is CW considered a binary of sorts?
> >
> > Are you enjoying your new internet pipe?
>
> Hello, Mopar
>
> Some call it binary, but the fact that there are both short and long
> characters as well as short and long spaces (spacing between characters
> is shorter than spacing between words) leads me to not consider it
> "binary". When I wrote a Morse code decoder, I was using a base 3 system
> to decode it. At least if I recall correctly. I still have the source
> code but haven't looked at it for about a decade.
>
Too much info you CW machine you! :) I've heard it wasn't intended as
a binary but it's not totally unlike one.
>
> I am *loving* this new pipe! I booted the computer, the wave file played
> as Windows started up, I hadn't clicked on Explorer, I bent over to pick
> up a magazine, and when I looked at the computer, the anti-virus had
> already downloaded and installed the update! :))
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY

> Jim AA2QA
>
I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
my old age. Rock-on.

3246. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 22:05:38 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <T_Oie.9845$Bg4.3041@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:eUOie.35$rp.33@fed1read07...
>
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>>
>>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> > Hi gang!
>>
>>
>>>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
>> where
>>>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
>> sign in
>>>> there?
>>>
>>>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
>> ID.
>>
>> Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
>> TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)
>>
>> When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
>> At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
>> feed?
>>
>> You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
>> Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
>> "insider information" again? :-)
>>
>>>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
>> if
>>>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
>>>long.
>>
>> Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely
>> careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
>> [they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)
>>
>> =======

>>
>> The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a
>> journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With
>> the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the
>> tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge,
>> more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with
>> the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads
>> and ignorant people.
>>
>> A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text
>> messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a
>> big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the
>> USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]
>>
>> Cell phones were never designed or implemented with
>> text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the
>> wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog
>> cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text
>> messaging was possible and that was incorporated into
>> subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form
>> of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication"
>> that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear.
>> That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)
>>
>> "Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications
>> means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling
>> of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using
>> radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago.
>> [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the
>> entertainment media and most have never experienced
>> first-hand any live communications with that...they
>> know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]
>>
>> It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is
>> faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell
>> phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show
>> was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of
>> "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more
>> item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the
>> audience amused by poking fun at current fads.
>>
>> Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about
>> "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in
>> that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall
>> by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was
>> NEVER intended as a true contest.
>>
>> For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual
>> communications means, pit an experienced typist pair
>> using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair

>> of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper
>> or manual typewriters to record received "morse code."
>> Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show
>> the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show
>> the errors made by the morsemen.
>>
>> Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No.
>> There's no real Entertainment value except for a very
>> small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is
>> less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus
>> 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't
>> have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to
>> win out eventually since their throughput is
>> sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse
>> code" means.
>>
>> The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in
>> actual practice and found "morse code" to be the
>> LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on
>> "morse code" for communications. The die-hard
>> fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code"
>> as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in
>> the fantasy and mythology of olden times.
>>
>> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
>> over. Get a grip. Go home.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
> Blah Blah
>
> THE CELLPHONERS LOST TO 160 Year Old Technology

Just bagged SV8/DF7XE/P on 20! Won't find Macedonia popping in on your cell
phone very often, not to mention the associated thrill of the catch.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

3247. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 22:24:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518182424.349$iT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200272

truthiamtellingyou@true.com wrote:
> > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > truthteller@truth.com wrote:
> > > >>> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> > > >>>> Shut up.
> > > >>>>
> > > >>> Make me.
> > > >>
> > > >> OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your address?
> > > >>
> > > > 14 mile road, motherfucker.
> > > >
> > > You beat me to it, Well, at least the motherfucker part. ;-)
> > >
> > Ha! Great minds..
>
> More like gay minds, LOL.
>
Only a faggot would think that. Sorry lelnad, we don't swing that way.

3248. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 22:28:12 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <oLudncCKC6IMXBbfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:T_Oie.9845$Bg4.3041@fe10.lga...
> "Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
> news:eUOie.35$rp.33@fed1read07...
>>
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>> news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>>>
>>>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>>> > Hi gang!

[big snip]

>>> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
>>> over. Get a grip. Go home.
>>>
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>>
>> Blah Blah
>>
>> THE CELLPHONERS LOST TO 160 Year Old Technology
>
> Just bagged SV8/DF7XE/P on 20! Won't find Macedonia popping in on your
> cell phone very often, not to mention the associated thrill of the catch.
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384/CC #1736
> QRP ARCI #11782

Yeah know what you mean Bert. Last month I got Oman on 20m CW. How sweet
it is!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3249. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 22:30:27 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1116455427.556288.116400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Caveat Lector wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message

> > over. Get a grip. Go home.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> Blah Blah
>
> THE CELLPHONERS LOST TO 160 Year Old Technology

Ayup. But you're wasting the bandwidth by even responding to his
bafflegab, it's his "condition" in play you see. Pore 'ole thing.

w3rv

3250. Re: DX Activations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 22:54:28 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <sKCdnT0UtsUxWhbfRVn-hA@crocker.com>
Source record: 200275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yep, self assigned cb calls. the first number is the country number, then the IR is the club name, then the number after that is the member number. they have their own dxcc awards to give themselves and iota and all that stuff for illegal activity.

<truth@itellyathetruth.net> wrote in message news:NfLie.46319$US.24740@news.ono.com...
> > 19IR04/EU-1462005-01-01-2005 to 12-31-05
> > EU-14619
> >
> >
> > 175IR/02005-02-202005-06-20-14IR0011
> >
> >
> > 265IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0431
> >
> >
> > 320/224IR0112005-01-012005-12-31OC-0181IR7492
> >
> >
> > 320IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0181
> >
>
> What the heck are those? Made up callsigns???

3251. Overdrive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 23:37:22 UTC
From: John <JF@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KNSdnZvbmq-zTxbfRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 200276

Why is it that in the world of CBing that most users love to overdrive.
First they take a radio, cut the clipper out, add a mic with 10x tooo much
audio to the poor radio, then over drive a amplifier to full output (way
into compressiom) before any modulation is applied.
Now they should know they sound like crap.

3252. Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 23:44:52 UTC
From: Crapper <crap@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <iJidnePj29LrThbfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 200277

Its pretty bad when you have to hide a CB radio. When friends come over have you noticed the need to get out of the CB room as the embarrassment that they might see you around a CB. Most people think CBers are retarded, dumb, homosexual, gay, and queer, so we need to hide them.

3253. Re: Overdrive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-18 23:49:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <22ln81pbqq5qvkn64umj9imgp55b1dknt0@4ax.com>
Source record: 200278

On Wed, 18 May 2005 18:37:22 -0500, "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in
<KNSdnZvbmq-zTxbfRVn-1A@comcast.com>:

> Why is it that in the world of CBing that most users love to overdrive.
> First they take a radio, cut the clipper out, add a mic with 10x tooo much
>audio to the poor radio, then over drive a amplifier to full output (way
>into compressiom) before any modulation is applied.
> Now they should know they sound like crap.

They want to sound bad because it attracts far more attention than
anything they have to say.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3254. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 00:02:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <nrln81llghnon931tp3ssb2rfgkj3qfr46@4ax.com>
Source record: 200279

On 18 May 2005 21:48:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Truth@true.com wrote:
>> > Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling crybaby?
>>
>> Are you going to threaten to beat up the next person who tells
>> you freebanding is illegal? :-)
>>
>No. Do you have the nerve to insult me in person? Otherwise you're nothing
>more than another keyboard blowhard.

Moped, freebanding is illegal !!!!!

Vinnie S.

3255. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 00:04:29 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <hvln81do1a5h3mkjmjn6ckoc6m4qm0q86d@4ax.com>
Source record: 200280

On Wed, 18 May 2005 18:44:52 -0500, "Crapper" <crap@comcast.net>
wrote:

>Its pretty bad when you have to hide a CB radio. When friends come over have
>you noticed the need to get out of the CB room as the embarrassment that
>they might see you around a CB. Most people think CBers are retarded, dumb,
>homosexual, gay, and queer, so we need to hide them.
>

Microsoft Windows XP [Version 5.1.2600]
(C) Copyright 1985-2001 Microsoft Corp.

C:\Documents and Settings\Pastor Chuck>tracert 67.162.231.159

Tracing route to c-67-162-231-159.hsd1.tx.comcast.net [67.162.231.159]
over a maximum of 30 hops:

1 9 ms 8 ms 10 ms 10.105.64.1
2 9 ms 9 ms 9 ms 10.105.64.1
3 8 ms 11 ms 9 ms
fe-2-6-ur01.southgate.mi.mich.comcast.net [68.87
.61.169]
4 9 ms 9 ms 10 ms
srp-0-1-ar01.taylor.mi.mich.comcast.net [68.87.6
1.129]
5 12 ms 11 ms 11 ms 12.118.112.13
6 11 ms 12 ms 11 ms tbr1-p012401.dtrmi.ip.att.net
[12.123.139.53]
7 27 ms 27 ms 26 ms 12.122.12.186
8 27 ms 27 ms 29 ms tbr2-cl2.sl9mo.ip.att.net
[12.122.9.142]
9 40 ms 40 ms 44 ms tbr2-cl6.dlstx.ip.att.net
[12.122.10.90]
10 39 ms 37 ms 38 ms gbr1-p70.dlstx.ip.att.net
[12.122.12.78]
11 39 ms 39 ms 40 ms gar1-p360.dlstx.ip.att.net
[12.123.16.233]
12 41 ms 40 ms 39 ms 12.119.124.150
13 48 ms 38 ms 40 ms 68.87.207.105
14 39 ms 44 ms 41 ms 68.87.207.21
15 40 ms 39 ms 42 ms 68.87.207.30
16 40 ms 43 ms 39 ms 68.87.207.42
17 * * * Request timed out.
18 53 ms 50 ms 49 ms c-67-162-231-159.hsd1.tx.comcast.net
[67.162.231
.159]

Trace complete.

Hmmmm... doug has freinds in Texas?

Interesting...

3256. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 00:07:21 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <65mn81d9294gf11lre2r287lkqgjglvmkh@4ax.com>
Source record: 200281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Tracing route to ACAC9E83.ipt.aol.com [172.172.158.131]
over a maximum of 30 hops:

1 10 ms 8 ms 9 ms 10.105.64.1
2 9 ms 7 ms 7 ms 10.105.64.1
3 8 ms 10 ms 10 ms
fe-2-6-ur01.southgate.mi.mich.comcast.net
.61.169]
4 11 ms 9 ms 9 ms
srp-0-1-ar01.taylor.mi.mich.comcast.net [6
1.129]
5 12 ms 9 ms 10 ms 12.119.243.37
6 10 ms 9 ms 10 ms gbr2-p30.dtrmi.ip.att.net
[12.123.139.34]
7 10 ms 17 ms 13 ms tbr2-p012601.dtrmi.ip.att.net
[12.122.12.1
8 19 ms 19 ms 17 ms tbr2-cl1.cgcil.ip.att.net
[12.122.10.134]
9 16 ms 17 ms 18 ms ggr2-p3120.cgcil.ip.att.net
[12.123.6.69]
10 18 ms 18 ms 17 ms pop2-chi-P5-3.atdn.net [66.185.132.97]
11 19 ms 19 ms 28 ms bb2-chi-P1-0.atdn.net [66.185.148.66]
12 33 ms 34 ms 33 ms bb2-vie-P14-0.atdn.net
[66.185.152.215]
13 33 ms 33 ms 35 ms pop2-vie-P1-0.atdn.net
[66.185.139.131]
14 35 ms 36 ms 35 ms dar2-mtc-S1-0-0.atdn.net
[66.185.139.138]
15 * * * Request timed out.
16 * * * Request timed out.
17 36 ms 33 ms 33 ms ipt-mtcf13.proxy.aol.com
[64.12.120.173]
18 96 ms 100 ms 96 ms ACAC9E83.ipt.aol.com [172.172.158.131]

Trace complete.

AOL troll, go figure...

On Wed, 18 May 2005 21:04:41 GMT, Truth@true.com wrote:

>> Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling crybaby?
>
>
```

>Are you going to threaten to beat up the next person who tells
>you freebanding is illegal? :-)
>
>
>> truth@itsalltrue.com wrote:
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > I'm gonna enjoy beating you to a pulp.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------
>> > ------------- Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > I'll kick both of your asses at the same time, putz.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > news:20040422201910.568$GG@newsreader.com:
>> >
>> > Just keep looking over your shoulder
>> > What comes around goes around.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo wrote in
>> > news:20040422201910.568$GG@newsreader.com:
>> >
>> > He's gonna mess around and get hurt.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote
>> >
>> > > iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote
>> > > I am not george and I hate to see you think you are going
>> > > to intimidate anyone.
>> >
>> > Too late for that, besides it's called paybacks.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040509215943.303$7d@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > What say, Lee? Got time to get your ass kicked on lunch hour?
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040509214504.289$Co@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > > Meet Steve here and bring sparring gear:

>> >
>> > > Medina YMCA
>> > > 3076-B Remsen Road
>> > > Medina, OH 44256
>> > > Phone: 330-725-3098
>> >
>> > Fuck him. I want Leland.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> >
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040514193201.527$xd@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > Look, jackass..the only reason you're still running your pie hole
>> > is because you don't live close to me. Otherwise I'd have taught
>> > you an old school lesson too.
>> >
>> > Keep fanning the flames, you could be next, Busch.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> >
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040520130842.916...@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > Well I for one am not the least bit afraid to go to madison hts
>> > or toledo on a whim. In fact, after seeing dogie, I'd fight him
>> > and nad at the same time at inductoheat.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------
>> >
>> > Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>> > Message-id: <20040520075458.569...@newsrea der.com>
>> >
>> > This ain't no social call, nad. You'll need to meet me in the
>> > parking lot..and if you have the nerve to say your spew to my
>> > face, your nose won't be much use to you for a while anyway.
>> >
>> > ------------------------------ ------------------------------ ---------

3257. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 00:21:13 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <428bd6db$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 200282

nah, dude.

CB is still COOL!

I have a ham license but I use the CB as a local means to communicate
locally.

I would be rude to my friends, family, and guests if I didn't come out of my
radio room.

Don't hide your radios.
Represent yo radio!

CBers are neither dumb, retarded,homo,gay, nor queer.
(neither are Hams)

It's the personality that's chosen by the CB user.

I wanna give a shout-out to all my Realistic, Uniden, Cobra, RCI, Galaxy,
and Connex users! Word UP!

Represent yo'self and yo radio!

"Crapper" <crap@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:iJidnePj29LrThbfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> Its pretty bad when you have to hide a CB radio. When friends come over
have
> you noticed the need to get out of the CB room as the embarrassment that
> they might see you around a CB. Most people think CBers are retarded,
dumb,
> homosexual, gay, and queer, so we need to hide them.
>
>

3258. Re: Overdrive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 00:39:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5790-428BE05F-267@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200283

From: JF@comcast.net (John)
>   Why is it that in the world of CBing that

>most users love to overdrive.

Channel six gets your goat, eh? They aren't all like that, but after listening to nothing but FM duplex then hearing power mics booming on AM, one can see where you would draw that incorrect conclusion.

>First they take a radio, cut the clipper out,

Hammies brought this technology to cb. This is their baby-mama Frankenstein.

>add

>a mic with 10x tooo much

>audio to the poor radio,

If it's too loud, you're too old..

> then over drive a

>amplifier to full output (way into compressiom)

>before any modulation is applied.

I prefer 3 watt deadkeys.

> Now they should know they sound like crap.

I've never been told that. In fact, I know what my radio sounds like. I hear it all the time when I'm on the saltwater dx playground. Ya' can't fool me! Where ya' at? I'll swing the beam your way and you can listen to the magic.

3259. Re: Overdrive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 00:45:51 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5789-428BE1BF-829@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200284

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Wed, 18 May 2005 18:37:22 -0500, "John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote in
<KNSdnZvbmq-zTxbfRVn-1A@comcast.com>:
 >They want to sound bad because it attracts

>far more attention than anything they have to

>say.

Unfortunately, I find some of that behavior here and there, also. But cb use has picked up plenty in the area, especially since those three little storms we had last year. They are having a tax free week here on everything designated "hurricane supplies" and two way radios qualify,,unfortunately, the radios have to be under thirty bucks, but the hand held FRS and others are flying off the shelves. There is always activity on those bands down here,,beaches, theme parks, car caravans,...wait till echo starts showing up on those bands..whoops,,,I think it already has.

3260. Re: DX Activations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 00:53:10 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5789-428BE376-830@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200285

<truth@itellyathetruth.net> wrote in message
news:NfLie.46319$US.24740@news.ono.com...
19IR04/EU-1462005-01-01-2005 to 12-31-05 EU-14619

175IR/02005-02-202005-06-20-14IR0011

265IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0431

320/224IR0112005-01-012005-12-31OC-0181I
R7492

320IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0181

>What the heck are those? Made up

>callsigns???

(yep, self assigned cb calls. the first number is the country number,
then the IR is the club name, then the number after that is the member
number. they have their own dxcc awards to give themselves and iota and
all that stuff for illegal activity.)

Last I checked it wasn't illegal in all places.
Some of the countries that participate have no laws at all governing
those frequencies and those that do have less enforcement than the US.
There are some really cool dx cards to be had among the world. And
unlike the next step up, there are always folks on (what is known as the
HF cb freeband in the US) these freqs ready to make contact. Unless a
contest is kicking on the hammie freqs, you're going to wait a long time
to get a fraction of the contacts you get here.

3261. Re: DX Activations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:05:35 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <BDRie.23071$NZ1.2631@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:sKCdnT0UtsUxWhbfRVn-hA@crocker.com...
> yep, self assigned cb calls. the first number is the country number, then
> the IR is the club name, then the number after that is the member number.
> they have their own dxcc awards to give themselves and iota and all that
> stuff for illegal activity.
>
> <truth@itellyathetruth.net> wrote in message
> news:NfLie.46319$US.24740@news.ono.com...
>> > 19IR04/EU-1462005-01-01-2005 to 12-31-05
>> > EU-14619
>> >
>> >
>> > 175IR/02005-02-202005-06-20-14IR0011
>> >
>> >
>> > 265IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0431
>> >
>> >
>> > 320/224IR0112005-01-012005-12-31OC-0181IR7492
>> >
>> >
>> > 320IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0181
>> >
>>
>> What the heck are those? Made up callsigns???

Pretty much on target. A picture is worth a thousand words.

http://www.sugar-delta.org/

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782

3262. Re: Overdrive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:41:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518214127.439$r2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200287

"John" <JF@comcast.net> wrote:
> Why is it that in the world of CBing that most users love to overdrive.
> First they take a radio, cut the clipper out, add a mic with 10x tooo
> much audio to the poor radio, then over drive a amplifier to full output
> (way into compressiom) before any modulation is applied.
> Now they should know they sound like crap.
>
Too much attraction to AM.

3263. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:42:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518214252.493$Oh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200288

"Crapper" <crap@comcast.net> wrote:
> Its pretty bad when you have to hide a CB radio. When friends come over
> have you noticed the need to get out of the CB room as the embarrassment
> that they might see you around a CB. Most people think CBers are
> retarded, dumb, homosexual, gay, and queer, so we need to hide them.
>
I've had two of them stolen in the last 30 years..you do the math.

3264. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:47:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518214727.765$ZR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200289

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 18 May 2005 21:48:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Truth@true.com wrote:
> >> > Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling crybaby?
> >>
> >> Are you going to threaten to beat up the next person who tells
> >> you freebanding is illegal? :-)
> >>
> >No. Do you have the nerve to insult me in person? Otherwise you're
> >nothing more than another keyboard blowhard.
>
> Moped, freebanding is illegal !!!!!
>
'I heard the story, and I read the book' ($1 to Neil Young who is
recovering from a brain aneurysm (sp)that he had surgery for. doing well)

Is this a news flash now, or a flashback from 30 years ago?

3265. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:49:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <f2sn81tmusov4hg2rrspg52hdrdmb4pf6r@4ax.com>
Source record: 200291

On 19 May 2005 01:47:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 18 May 2005 21:48:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Truth@true.com wrote:
>> >> > Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling crybaby?
>> >>
>> >> Are you going to threaten to beat up the next person who tells
>> >> you freebanding is illegal? :-)
>> >>
>> >No. Do you have the nerve to insult me in person? Otherwise you're
>> >nothing more than another keyboard blowhard.
>>
>> Moped, freebanding is illegal !!!!!
>>
> 'I heard the story, and I read the book' ($1 to Neil Young who is
>recovering from a brain aneurysm (sp)that he had surgery for. doing well)
>
>Is this a news flash now, or a flashback from 30 years ago?

I don't know. But I still think it's funny. Maybe one day, I can talk to you on
freeband !!!!!

Vinnie S.

3266. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:49:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <s4sn8156e4p3oukj7tnsacm1urm538b8ol@4ax.com>
Source record: 200290

On 19 May 2005 01:42:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Crapper" <crap@comcast.net> wrote:
>> Its pretty bad when you have to hide a CB radio. When friends come over
>> have you noticed the need to get out of the CB room as the embarrassment
>> that they might see you around a CB. Most people think CBers are
>> retarded, dumb, homosexual, gay, and queer, so we need to hide them.
>>
>I've had two of them stolen in the last 30 years..you do the math.

Out of your house?

Vinnie S.

3267. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:54:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518215449.561$fn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200292

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 May 2005 01:47:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 18 May 2005 21:48:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Truth@true.com wrote:
> >> >> > Do you have a point besides the top of your head, sniveling
> >> >> > crybaby?
> >> >>
> >> >> Are you going to threaten to beat up the next person who tells
> >> >> you freebanding is illegal? :-)
> >> >>
> >> >No. Do you have the nerve to insult me in person? Otherwise you're
> >> >nothing more than another keyboard blowhard.
> >>
> >> Moped, freebanding is illegal !!!!!
> >>
> > 'I heard the story, and I read the book' ($1 to Neil Young who is
> >recovering from a brain aneurysm (sp)that he had surgery for. doing
> >well)
> >
> >Is this a news flash now, or a flashback from 30 years ago?
>
> I don't know. But I still think it's funny. Maybe one day, I can talk to
> you on freeband !!!!!
>
We are in the dead zone for that. I don't recall talking to many people
in your neck of the woods on the freeband. Perhaps we can hook up on the
next cycle peak and compare how much it sucks to grow old. I do get above
you up the eastern sea board pretty well at times.
>

3268. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:57:58 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6isn81p3g2avm9e9dnnq3d16p5h7uvtrrm@4ax.com>
Source record: 200293

On 19 May 2005 01:54:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >Is this a news flash now, or a flashback from 30 years ago?
>>
>> I don't know. But I still think it's funny. Maybe one day, I can talk to
>> you on freeband !!!!!
>>
>We are in the dead zone for that. I don't recall talking to many people
>in your neck of the woods on the freeband. Perhaps we can hook up on the
>next cycle peak and compare how much it sucks to grow old. I do get above
>you up the eastern sea board pretty well at times.
>>

I had a wierd scenerio during the last peak DX cycle. During the winter, I was getting nothing east of LA, except FL. Then during the summer, I started getting OH, VA, Iowa.

So, it's possible. I just need a car rig.

Vinnie S.

3269. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 01:59:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518215957.565$35@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200344

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 May 2005 01:42:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Crapper" <crap@comcast.net> wrote:
> >> Its pretty bad when you have to hide a CB radio. When friends come
> >> over have you noticed the need to get out of the CB room as the
> >> embarrassment that they might see you around a CB. Most people think
> >> CBers are retarded, dumb, homosexual, gay, and queer, so we need to
> >> hide them.
> >>
> >I've had two of them stolen in the last 30 years..you do the math.
>
> Out of your house?
>
No out of a car and a van. But that's not big odds when you consider
I had CB's in damn near everything I drove at one time. Bastards took
the ssb portable and the amp both times.

They left my music selection/collection alone both times too. <snicker>

3270. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:05:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518220548.536$DS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200345

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 May 2005 01:54:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Is this a news flash now, or a flashback from 30 years ago?
> >>
> >> I don't know. But I still think it's funny. Maybe one day, I can talk
> >> to you on freeband !!!!!
> >>
> >We are in the dead zone for that. I don't recall talking to many people
> >in your neck of the woods on the freeband. Perhaps we can hook up on the
> >next cycle peak and compare how much it sucks to grow old. I do get
> >above you up the eastern sea board pretty well at times.
> >>
>
> I had a wierd scenerio during the last peak DX cycle. During the winter,
> I was getting nothing east of LA, except FL. Then during the summer, I
> started getting OH, VA, Iowa.
>
> So, it's possible. I just need a car rig.
>
Car rig? What kinda shit is that?

Didn't you just put an antenna up at home? Although I'd suggest putting
up the biggest freakin beam you can afford to turn. Flat side can make
a big difference sometimes..I only have a y-quad but I can hit it with
a kilowatt of clean power if I want to, not that you need that.

Antenna is the key, and car models don't stack up.

3271. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:25:58 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <d7un81lid2ddo36b2883p06a55bf36jsla@4ax.com>
Source record: 200346

On 19 May 2005 02:05:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 May 2005 01:54:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> >Is this a news flash now, or a flashback from 30 years ago?
>> >>
>> >> I don't know. But I still think it's funny. Maybe one day, I can talk
>> >> to you on freeband !!!!!
>> >>
>> >We are in the dead zone for that. I don't recall talking to many people
>> >in your neck of the woods on the freeband. Perhaps we can hook up on the
>> >next cycle peak and compare how much it sucks to grow old. I do get
>> >above you up the eastern sea board pretty well at times.
>> >>
>>
>> I had a wierd scenerio during the last peak DX cycle. During the winter,
>> I was getting nothing east of LA, except FL. Then during the summer, I
>> started getting OH, VA, Iowa.
>>
>> So, it's possible. I just need a car rig.
>>
>Car rig? What kinda shit is that?

I need a mobile. I drive all day.

>Didn't you just put an antenna up at home? Although I'd suggest putting
>up the biggest freakin beam you can afford to turn. Flat side can make
>a big difference sometimes..I only have a y-quad but I can hit it with
>a kilowatt of clean power if I want to, not that you need that.
>
>Antenna is the key, and car models don't stack up.

Well, when I am at home, I'll try you from home. I am in the car most of the
day.

Vinnie S.

3272. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:26:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <aaun81phkeho735evuq92gh4uuikm9qae1@4ax.com>
Source record: 200347

On 19 May 2005 01:59:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> Out of your house?
>>
>No out of a car and a van. But that's not big odds when you consider
>I had CB's in damn near everything I drove at one time. Bastards took
>the ssb portable and the amp both times.
>
>They left my music selection/collection alone both times too. <snicker>

Did it have some Allman Bros?

Vinnie S.

3273. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:39:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518223907.243$9h@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200348

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 May 2005 01:59:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> Out of your house?
> >>
> >No out of a car and a van. But that's not big odds when you consider
> >I had CB's in damn near everything I drove at one time. Bastards took
> >the ssb portable and the amp both times.
> >
> >They left my music selection/collection alone both times too. <snicker>
>
> Did it have some Allman Bros?
>
As a matter of fact it did in my econoline van intrusion. 'I'm no angel' 8
track..iirc.

3274. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:42:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518224237.410$EC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200349

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 May 2005 02:05:48 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 19 May 2005 01:54:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> >Is this a news flash now, or a flashback from 30 years ago?
> >> >>
> >> >> I don't know. But I still think it's funny. Maybe one day, I can
> >> >> talk to you on freeband !!!!!
> >> >>
> >> >We are in the dead zone for that. I don't recall talking to many
> >> >people in your neck of the woods on the freeband. Perhaps we can hook
> >> >up on the next cycle peak and compare how much it sucks to grow old.
> >> >I do get above you up the eastern sea board pretty well at times.
> >> >>
> >>
> >> I had a wierd scenerio during the last peak DX cycle. During the
> >> winter, I was getting nothing east of LA, except FL. Then during the
> >> summer, I started getting OH, VA, Iowa.
> >>
> >> So, it's possible. I just need a car rig.
> >>
> >Car rig? What kinda shit is that?
>
> I need a mobile. I drive all day.
>
> >Didn't you just put an antenna up at home? Although I'd suggest putting
> >up the biggest freakin beam you can afford to turn. Flat side can make
> >a big difference sometimes..I only have a y-quad but I can hit it with
> >a kilowatt of clean power if I want to, not that you need that.
> >
> >Antenna is the key, and car models don't stack up.
>
> Well, when I am at home, I'll try you from home. I am in the car most of
> the day.
>
If all else fails call me on the phone. <----- 10-4

3275. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:46:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <sevn81psmt0fheaqpd8dohpcm8q9fnktar@4ax.com>
Source record: 200350

On 19 May 2005 02:39:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 May 2005 01:59:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> Out of your house?
>> >>
>> >No out of a car and a van. But that's not big odds when you consider
>> >I had CB's in damn near everything I drove at one time. Bastards took
>> >the ssb portable and the amp both times.
>> >
>> >They left my music selection/collection alone both times too. <snicker>
>>
>> Did it have some Allman Bros?
>>
>As a matter of fact it did in my econoline van intrusion. 'I'm no angel' 8
>track..iirc.

I have to dig out some of those CDs.

Vinnie S.

3276. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:47:30 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <lfvn81hddihbn5rlsmj5oq1gpa5akm8qdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 200351

On 19 May 2005 02:42:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> I had a wierd scenerio during the last peak DX cycle. During the
>> >> winter, I was getting nothing east of LA, except FL. Then during the
>> >> summer, I started getting OH, VA, Iowa.
>> >>
>> >> So, it's possible. I just need a car rig.
>> >>
>> >Car rig? What kinda shit is that?
>>
>> I need a mobile. I drive all day.
>>
>> >Didn't you just put an antenna up at home? Although I'd suggest putting
>> >up the biggest freakin beam you can afford to turn. Flat side can make
>> >a big difference sometimes..I only have a y-quad but I can hit it with
>> >a kilowatt of clean power if I want to, not that you need that.
>> >
>> >Antenna is the key, and car models don't stack up.
>>
>> Well, when I am at home, I'll try you from home. I am in the car most of
>> the day.
>>
>If all else fails call me on the phone. <----- 10-4

Hell yeah. I am trying to stay up and watch a Yankees game on the left coast. I used to watch them routinely in the past. This year, I have been dozing off by 10:30. This is overdrive.

Vinnie S.

3277. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:49:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050518224927.119$DW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200352

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> I am trying to stay up and watch a Yankees game, I used to watch them
> routinely in the past. This year, I have been dozing off by 10:30. This
> is overdrive.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
It sucks to be old.

3278. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:55:48 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116471348.151428.86620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Do you have the nerve to say where you will be camping so we can
introduce ourselves?? Nope!

3279. Re: Freebander Mopathetic (moparholic@hotmail.com) Harasses Hams N8WWM and KC8LDO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:58:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <e60o811qt0kk89u8f5hql2iits6o5a2aqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 200354

On 19 May 2005 02:49:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> I am trying to stay up and watch a Yankees game, I used to watch them
>> routinely in the past. This year, I have been dozing off by 10:30. This
>> is overdrive.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>It sucks to be old.

Well, I am done. Good night there, Steve !!!!!!!!

Vinnie S.

3280. Mopathetic making hams laugh at his sissiness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 02:59:18 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116471558.143741.198390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

If hams are so scared of you then why are you too sissyboy to say where you are camping, nutjob? You are just a sick freak with a tiny brain who just can't stand not to be taken seriously. Face it, you can't do squat about the AKC. You are just a pathetic little wimp who won't accept that he is powerless over the Anti's. LOL!

Tell us where you are camping and we will come say it to your face, sissyboy. Will you? NOPE! LOL! COWARD!

3281. Re: ALL HAM RADIO OPS ARE LATENT HOMOSEXUALS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 03:01:23 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116471683.413383.130120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200356

That's mopathetic posting that drivel, he needs to cover up his own limpwristedness

3282. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 03:13:09 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116472389.487597.64580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200357

Chukkee doesn't have ANY friends. He threatens them with guns and they run off.

3283. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 03:14:34 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116472474.409763.30960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200358

Dig out the Tiny Tim records...mopathetic sings to Tiny Tim on his walkwoman while he is at Petro

3284. Re: Overdrive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 05:47:02 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <PMydnc7r6N_LtRHfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 200359

On Wed, 18 May 2005 20:45:51 -0400, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

> They are having a tax free week here on
> everything designated "hurricane supplies" and two way radios
> qualify,,unfortunately, the radios have to be under thirty bucks, but
> the hand held FRS and others are flying off the shelves. There is always
> activity on those bands down here,,beaches, theme parks, car
> caravans,...wait till echo starts showing up on those bands..whoops,,,I
> think it already has.

I would pick up MURS radios instead of the FRS type. They have a bit more power and at VHF they will carry a bit further and you can use external antennas too. The external antenna is nothing more that a slightly modified Ham 2m type. You can't use external antennas on FRS radios. Some combo FRS and GMRS types you might be able to do it, but they're hard to find. Also depending on the model of MURS radio you may be able to program in the local VHF weather channel on 162.xxx MHz.

So are you ready for the hurricane season? You got lucky last year I think. I flew in to West Palm Beach about a month ago to drive out to the Indiantown area. I saw plenty of roof work still being done as the plane came in for a landing.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3285. New CB-Regulations in Germany! 80 FM + 40 AM/SSB and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 08:26:16 UTC
From: Henning Gajek <hrgajek@nurfuerspam.de>
Message-ID: <d6hik1$d4v$02$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 200360
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,free.nl.radio.cb,pl.rec.radio.cb,pt.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Hello CBers,

breaking news:
New CB-Regulations in Germany since today May 18th 2005

80 Channels FM (26.565...27.405 MHz) Output 4.0 Watt
40 Channels AM (!) (26.965...27.405 MHz) 4.0 Watts PEP (1.0W Carrier)
40 Channels SSB (!) (26.965...27.405 MHz) 4.0 Watts PEP

AM/SSB is no longer restricted to Channel 4...15 !

2 new Channels for Datatransmissions 40 (27.405) and 41 (26.565)

Data-Transmissions (e.g. Packet-Radio) on CH 6,7,24,25,40,41,52,53,76,77

Connection for CB-Data-Transmissions between CB-Radio and Internet is
allowed.

Connection for CB-Speech-Transmissions (e.g. e-qso)
between CB-Radio via Internet is prohibited.

For more details see (in german language)

http://www.funkmagazin.de/18055.htm

Download of the offical bulletin in PDF Format avaiblabe there.

Best 73 and ufb DX

--
73 & 55 (Gruss)
Henning Gajek
CB: 13TH523 or DAK223
http://www.gajek.de/cb-funk (in german language)

3286. Lunaris Mail : UNLIMITED Mail Box size

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 10:21:13 UTC
From: richammond@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1116498073.123666.83910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200361

Dear All,
This is just an email to share with you the new messaging service i found, competing with the world's leaders : Lunaris Mail.

The service has RECENTLY changed from ATH.CX to LIR.DK
This means that :
--> All previous account have successfully migrated your adress now ends with lir.dk instead of ath.cx
--> The home page is www.lunaris.lir.dk

Lunaris Mail does not have a space limit as hotmail (250 Mb) or Yahoo / Gmail (1Gb).
Upgrade at very low cost and in minutes from 5Mb to Unlimited ! You can store as much as email/attachements as you want!

Sign up here : http://www.lunaris.lir.dk

Lunaris mail is growing fast and fine!! To keep this service alive, please make a donation!
http://www.lunaris.lir.dk/donate.html

The team is also looking (for FREE) for people who are at least 10 hrs/day online. For the tasks please check:
http://www.lunaris.lir.dk/jobs.html

Download Games, ringtones, wallpapers and logos at VERY LOW COST :
http://lunaris.ringtone-logo-game.com/index.php

Best
Regards

3287. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 10:44:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <n3ro81hi953mrr2u2kdm0go6umeb2t5mot@4ax.com>
Source record: 200362

On Wed, 18 May 2005 14:56:11 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 18 May 2005 09:54:03 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
><4191-428B48FB-219@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:
>
>>Dave Hall, N3CVJ wrote:
>>>Now, let's take a closer look at this, and
>>
>>>expose just why these answers that you gave
>>
>>>are highly unlikely. You once claimed that you
>>
>>>were in the military in the mid 80's, then
>>
>>>worked for a while. Then you went back to
>>
>>>school (presumably because you had trouble
>>
>>>finding a decent job). Now a BS program
>>
>>>requires a 4 year course study at a minimum.
>>
>>
>>
>>Frank was in the military (can earn you college credits)
>
>
>Yep. Tarheel U. has (had?) a program set up for jarheads in Camp
>Lejeune.
>
>
>> and it doesn't
>>take 4 years minimum to get a BS degree. It is often done in 3 or less.
>>In fact, there are many who do it in less time. Many major universities
>>and schools have BS programs that take less than 4 years.
>
>
>Dave would know that already if he actually attended college.

Heck, you can earn a college "degree" these days without ever setting
a foot in a classroom. But 20 years ago, that just wasn't the case.
You had a certain amount of credits that you had to earn, and a
required course curriculum. Yes, it was possible to do it in less than

four years, but that required an overly ambitious fast-paced schedule. Most people are not up for that.

Night school allowed people to avoid many of the "nonsense" courses, which were unrelated to your major, that the full time day programs usually required you to take. But the people who took the night school route usually were, like me, working full time during the day, and could not take as many courses per semester and, consequently, it could take close to 8 years to earn the B.S.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3288. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 10:50:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ufro815kp7b9ulfudv9vhr74cfu4catl17@4ax.com>
Source record: 200363

On Wed, 18 May 2005 09:54:03 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Dave Hall, N3CVJ wrote:
>>Now, let's take a closer look at this, and
>
>>expose just why these answers that you gave
>
>>are highly unlikely. You once claimed that you
>
>>were in the military in the mid 80's, then
>
>>worked for a while. Then you went back to
>
>>school (presumably because you had trouble
>
>>finding a decent job). Now a BS program
>
>>requires a 4 year course study at a minimum.
>
>
>
>Frank was in the military (can earn you college credits) and it doesn't
>take 4 years minimum to get a BS degree. It is often done in 3 or less.

For engineering? We're not talking about liberal arts here.

>In fact, there are many who do it in less time. Many major universities
>and schools have BS programs that take less than 4 years.

Name them. And also list what the student has to do in order to cram 4
years worth of work and study into "less than" 4 years.

A B.S. degree requires a certain amount of credits and certain
required courses. So either you have to take more classes in less
time, or you are somehow "getting around" certain required classes.
That starts to bring up Frank's earlier analogy of the "new" 2 year
Bachelor's degree, and how the "old" 4 year degree would be worth more
by virtue of more time spend in the classroom.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3289. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 11:01:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <grro81p3f7gka686t5anekvrb2tra1u8qj@4ax.com>
Source record: 200364

On Wed, 18 May 2005 06:41:56 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 18 May 2005 07:49:36 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <jh6m8157b3c9kftgo0k3f5nhulirmdu3e7@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>Speaking of 'media bias', are you keeping up-to-date on the status of
>>>one of your staunchly anti-gay, conservative Republicans that happens
>>>to be the mayor of my home town?
>>>
>>>http://www.spokesmanreview.com/jimwest/
>>>
>>
>>No, I'm more interested in the criminal activities surrounding the
>>associates of the democratic mayor of Philadelphia in a "Pay to play"
>>scandal. It is, after all, more regionally relevant for me.
>>
>>http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.htm
>
>
>Gee Dave, after all your sermons about morality -- you don't care
>about a pedophile that not only used his government office for
>cyber-sex but promised internships to young boys in exchange for
>'dates'? What happened to your morality, Dave? Did it suddenly get
>lost because West is a conservative Republican?

Geeze Frank, don't get your panties into a bunch here. This has
nothing to do with my "morality", only that you live 3000 miles away
from me and, as such, the events which occur there take a back seat in
the local news to events which are also occurring here. I was simply
not aware for what you were referring.

Your "Jim West" is a scumbag, plain and simple. Being a republican
does not excuse him from human flaws or the consequences of acting out
on them.

><snip>
>>All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools of the internet and
>>computers back when I had to do term papers. The task would have been
>>much less tedious and actually somewhat interesting, and fun.
>
>
>Where did you go to college, Dave? And BTW, what was the name of that
>tech school you claimed to have attended?

Give me one good reason why I should tell you.

> Who does the majority party represent if not the majority?

Is this a loaded question?

> Where does the Constitution require, or
>even suggest, that religious influence should play any role in the
>government?

Where does the Constitution require or even suggest that religious influences should NOT play any role in the government?

> How does gay and lesbian marriage infringe on your rights?

It is not a matter of infringing on my "rights". It's matter of tarnishing an institution that is based on religious practices. The government has no right to do such. The only thing the government can or should do is offer a civil union option, to provide gay couples the same civil rights and responsibilities as straight couples when dealing with secular issues.

You, a big advocate for separation of church and state, should understand where the line is drawn here. If you advocate that church doctrine should not be infused into the workings of the government, then the converse is also true. Otherwise you are practicing hypocrisy.

I have no problem with secular civil unions. I have a big problem with gay marriages.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3290. Re: DX Activations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 11:19:20 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <GF_ie.9199$Ri4.7334@okepread07>
Source record: 200365

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

>
> <truth@itellyathetruth.net> wrote in message
> news:NfLie.46319$US.24740@news.ono.com...
> 19IR04/EU-1462005-01-01-2005 to 12-31-05 EU-14619
>
> 175IR/02005-02-202005-06-20-14IR0011
>
> 265IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0431
>
> 320/224IR0112005-01-012005-12-31OC-0181I
> R7492
>
> 320IR0012004-01-010000-00-00OC-0181
>
>>What the heck are those? Made up
>
>>callsigns???
>
> (yep, self assigned cb calls. the first number is the country number,
> then the IR is the club name, then the number after that is the member
> number. they have their own dxcc awards to give themselves and iota and
> all that stuff for illegal activity.)
>
>
>
> Last I checked it wasn't illegal in all places.
> Some of the countries that participate have no laws at all governing
> those frequencies and those that do have less enforcement than the US.
> There are some really cool dx cards to be had among the world. And
> unlike the next step up, there are always folks on (what is known as the
> HF cb freeband in the US) these freqs ready to make contact. Unless a
> contest is kicking on the hammie freqs, you're going to wait a long time
> to get a fraction of the contacts you get here.

Caught you.

You told me a couple of weeks ago that you out-grew collecting QSL cards.
You compared them to baseball cards. By saying this, you insinuated that I
was a child.

You just like to piss people off, don't you? I believe I've already made
this point. And in your usual fashion, you responded by calling me names
and saying that I have trouble communicating.

As Bill Engvall would say, "Here's your sign."

Warmest regards,

Guy

3291. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 11:42:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0pso81taoe52j43ni2h46etoo5t3pscmu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 200366

On Thu, 19 May 2005 06:44:10 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <n3ro81hi953mrr2u2kdm0go6umeb2t5mot@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>Dave would know that already if he actually attended college.
>
>Heck, you can earn a college "degree" these days without ever setting
>a foot in a classroom.

Sure. Just send a few bucks to a PO box listed in an advertisement in
the back of Rolling Stone magazine.

> But 20 years ago, that just wasn't the case.
>You had a certain amount of credits that you had to earn, and a
>required course curriculum. Yes, it was possible to do it in less than
>four years, but that required an overly ambitious fast-paced schedule.
>Most people are not up for that.

It depends on the person. For some people, three hours a day in the
lecture halls is mindbogglingly slow. For others the challenge is
overwhelming. The trick is to choose classes each quarter/semester
that make the most efficient use of study time required -outside- the
classroom. And not to waste your time at keggers.

Also, some colleges and most Universities offer equivalency tests as
well as credits for prior experience directly related to the field. I
cut down my time by almost a full year by testing out of first-year
electricity courses, math all the way through calculus, and was given
credits for being a radio tech in the USMC.

>Night school allowed people to avoid many of the "nonsense" courses,
>which were unrelated to your major, that the full time day programs
>usually required you to take.

Those "nonsense" courses have very legitimate purposes. Suppose your
major is electrical engineering. You need to study economics so you
can do a cost/benefit analysis for a design project, as well as be
able to run a business if the opportunity arises. For the same reason
it's a good idea to study a little business law and accounting. There
is no substitute for good communication skills, -especially- English
composition, and because my objective required strong communication
skills I decided to minor in the field. Along the same lines, a few
courses in humanities and history are also a very good idea since a
lot of current events either revolve around cultural differences and
issues, or require some understanding of the history surrounding the
events. Etc, etc, etc. You pick any course required for a BS and I'll

explain how and why it's related to your field of study.

Or is it that you don't like the idea of a liberal education because
it's 'liberal'?

> But the people who took the night school
>route usually were, like me, working full time during the day, and
>could not take as many courses per semester and, consequently, it
>could take close to 8 years to earn the B.S.

If that's the way they choose to do it then that's their choice. I
worked my way through college while working at night -- bartending.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3292. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 12:04:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <fkso81hphmlq0qm5ut62hfkcaobdehodfn@4ax.com>
Source record: 200367

On Mon, 16 May 2005 18:17:40 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>The history of the earth's climate is well documented back to the
>begining of the earth's creation...grammar school basic earth and
>science taught this. Carbon dating confirms much and plays a large part
>of the techniques used to arrive at such widely accepted and mainstream
>taught scientific facts.

Again, we know what the climate was, but not conclusively how it got
that way. There are many good theories, but that's all they are.

>>Like I told Frank, science can tell us that, for
>>instance, it was once tropical in Montana, and
>>that Glaciers covered much of the northern
>>United States during different time periods.
>>This proves that the earth's climate has
>>vacillated in a fairly wide range. But what this
>>DOESN'T tell us is how much of the current
>>global warming cycle can be attributed to
>>natural cyclic climatic changes, and how much
>>of it is a direct result of man made pollution.

>Sure it can, and does. The amount of many chemical releases in the
>atmosphere are man made. Many are not man made.

Exactly, which is why it is extremely difficult to make a positive
determination as to the percentage of man's contribution to the total
amount of global warming.

>Some are both. However,
>science has methods of measuring each,,including natural occurring vs.
>manmade chemicals,,,such as methane gases.

Yes, and that "science" is in much dispute right now as there are many
scientists who do not accept the findings of others as conclusive.
There are still many assumptions being made.

>>Without a point of reference, it is extremely
>>difficult to positively determine how much we
>>are changing the climate.
>
>The point of reference is the richness/ concentration of the gas.

Which we cannot positively ascertain because we do not know how much
of that gas truly came as a result of man-made pollution versus that
which is naturally occurring. One large volcano eruption, for

instance, can drastically effect the concentration of methane gasses
in the atmosphere.

> An
>example can be the amount of methane in a predetermined air sample.
>Higher concentrations of the gas can be attributed to manmade releases
>and emissions.

Or a volcano eruption. Methane gas does not have a "tag" which says
"man made" or natural. We can only measure the total concentration.

> It's elementary for anyone with a fair retainment value
>that took college science classes.

Since you called it "elementary", it's obvious that you've never
studied it, as it is far too complex a process to be called
"elementary". By attempting to make this issue simpler than it really
is, you also disparage the scientists who do this for a living.

>Chloroflourocarbons released by the burning of fossil fuels is directly
>linked to global warming.

No argument. But you can't positively determine the rate of global
warming that might still be occurring if we suddenly stopped using
fossil fuels today.

>Global warming was proved by the continual shrinkage of the polar ice
>cap confirmed by 24-7 high tech monitoring of such. Villages that reside
>in the frozen tundra watch their mountains of ice shrink each year.
>
>>How much of that shrinkage would still be
>>occurring without man made pollution?

>As you referred, the climate is thought to adhere to cycles, When the
>cycles suddenly deviate substantially from the norm, it's dedeucedly

dedeucedly? Do you mean deductively? And you chastise MY vocabulary
and grammar.......

>decided and accepted that something is amiss.

First of all, there is no "norm" when it comes to climatic shifts.
Many of those shifts occurred as the direct result of an external
random event, such as the asteroid strike which is generally the
current accepted theory for precipitating the extinction of the
dinosaurs at the end of the Cretaceous period. There are other craters
all over the planet, as evidence of other such strikes. There is also
evidence of large volcano eruptions, which can spew enough particulate
matter into the atmosphere, that an "ice age" would likely result. The
climatic shifts which occur between these significant events is likely
only the result of climatic balance or a normalization from the
extremes caused by the random external events. It's also conceivable
that over the last billion years, that the solar energy output from
the sun could have deviated to some degree as well, which can

certainly affect surface temperature here.

We may still be "recovering" from the last ice age, as early evidence shows that this planet was a great deal warmer millions of years ago.

>When the glaciers continue
>shrinking at an alarming rate that deviates from the projected models of
>which you referred predictable climatic cycles

There have been more than a few major volcano eruptions in the last 25 years, which may play a role in this.

>, and the amount of junk
>released in the air we KNOW has increased,..it's widely accepted by even
>the republicans at this point. Do you even know what your own party says
>on this issue now, Dave? You appear to be aruing with -them-.

This is science, not politics. I'm arguing that we don't definitively know the relative effects of man's pollution on the total amount of global warming because there are still too many variables to make a responsible conclusion.

You also failed to address the other side of the coin. Not only is man's pollution a factor, but the gradual deforesting, by land developers, can have a profound effect on the amount of CO2 in the air (A major greenhouse gas)

_
>You take issue with those free-thinkers and it moves you toward the
>goblin that you are unable to cast out and exercise of yourself.

I have nothing against "free thinkers" (I am one), but I have a problem with reckless thinking, and drawing alarmist conclusions when all of the facts are not yet known.

>>Once again, you don't get it (Why should I be
>>surprised?).

>You won't be, because you continue to be on the defensive of everyone
>that corrects you.

It's not my problem that you are wrong in most cases (The roger beep issue notwithstanding)

> You find fault with all of them.

"Them", consists of you, and now recently, Frank.

> It's not us, Dave, it's you.

Yet you've failed to prove me wrong in virtually every dispute that we've had.

> It's apparent it is glaringly painful when you are wrong and
>corrected, but dammit, man, its not personal.

It takes more than simply stating your opposing opinion and the citing
of a mythical "majority" support group, that you, as a freelance
fishing rag contributor, claim to have the inside track with to prove
me wrong. Where are your facts?

Simply claiming that "the majority disagrees with you" is meaningless,
without corroborating proof.

>>You want to get rid of what you refer to as
>>"poorly crafted laws"? Then great! Go for it!
>
>
>No,,I love the laws and the manner in whcih they are enforced.

You'd rather break the law. Socially irresponsible.

> They keep
>dicks like you off the freeband and allow the rest of us to play
>carefree and unfettered from you being reactive (oposed to proactive)
>from the confines of your own home, much as you do on the internet. It's
>yourself that has messed all over yourself time and again whining about
>the lack of enforcement.

Yea, silly me, for wishing that more people would accept more personal
responsibility and understand their societal obligations, in the same
way that their grandparents did.

>> You have my support. But until then, you are
>>bound to respect and obey the current laws as
>>they stand.
>
>
>Regarding this law and dx, I discriminately and selectively invoke Civil
>Disobedience.

A lame excuse from a simple scofflaw who narcissistically places their
own idea of self worth above the value of society itself.

>Because you have difficulty comprehending the definitions
>of words these days, you may seek to "quantify",,,er,,,qualify it.
>Sorry,,,couldn't resist.

That's ok, I would never have "deduecedy" figured that out without
your help.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3293. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 12:08:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8c0p811efs6unvnk9rq7malat1f5sibm0b@4ax.com>
Source record: 200368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 18 May 2005 22:03:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
>my old age. Rock-on.

Don't worry Steveo, by the time you are in your "old age" a broadband
video/voice/data line will be as common as standard phone service is
today.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3294. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 12:11:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <etuo811feem6jo2npmleqpru1kcrnjbegp@4ax.com>
Source record: 200369

On Thu, 19 May 2005 06:50:17 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ufro815kp7b9ulfudv9vhr74cfu4catl17@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 18 May 2005 09:54:03 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>Dave Hall, N3CVJ wrote:
>>>Now, let's take a closer look at this, and
>>
>>>expose just why these answers that you gave
>>
>>>are highly unlikely. You once claimed that you
>>
>>>were in the military in the mid 80's, then
>>
>>>worked for a while. Then you went back to
>>
>>>school (presumably because you had trouble
>>
>>>finding a decent job). Now a BS program
>>
>>>requires a 4 year course study at a minimum.
>>
>>
>>
>>Frank was in the military (can earn you college credits) and it doesn't
>>take 4 years minimum to get a BS degree. It is often done in 3 or less.
>
>For engineering? We're not talking about liberal arts here.
>
>>In fact, there are many who do it in less time. Many major universities
>>and schools have BS programs that take less than 4 years.
>
>Name them.

EWU, UW, WSU, OSU, USC..... pretty much most of them.

> And also list what the student has to do in order to cram 4
>years worth of work and study into "less than" 4 years.

Work hard.

>A B.S. degree requires a certain amount of credits and certain
>required courses. So either you have to take more classes in less
>time, or you are somehow "getting around" certain required classes.

Or simply don't waste your time partying, buckle down and study.

>That starts to bring up Frank's earlier analogy of the "new" 2 year
>Bachelor's degree,

That was -your- analogy, Dave. And it's irrelevant to this discussion since the concept of a "2 year degree" was to offer a BS after completing only half the current academic requirements. This topic deals with completing the current academic requirements in different amounts of time.

> and how the "old" 4 year degree would be worth more
>by virtue of more time spend in the classroom.

Not "time", Dave -- the amount that is learned. If you really need an analogy, just look at the requirements for an Extra-class ham license. The code test is down to 5 wpm, which more than a few hams feel has "diminished the value" of their license. You used the "2 year degree" analogy as an argument against gay marriage when you -should- be using it as an argument against dropping the code requirement. But I don't hear you screaming about that at all.

Regardless, if a person can complete a 4 year BS program in 2 years, more power to him. But that's not the same as cutting the cirriculum in half in order to reduce a 4 year program to only 2 years. That's the same as dumbing down the course..... in fact, it's not just the -same- as dumbing down the course, it -IS- dumbing down the course.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3295. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 13:02:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ur0p819ahpna0mvut3k60ni8j4olk4kac8@4ax.com>
Source record: 200370

On Thu, 19 May 2005 07:01:51 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <grro81p3f7gka686t5anekvrb2tra1u8qj@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 18 May 2005 06:41:56 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 18 May 2005 07:49:36 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <jh6m8157b3c9kftgo0k3f5nhulirmdu3e7@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>Speaking of 'media bias', are you keeping up-to-date on the status of
>>>>one of your staunchly anti-gay, conservative Republicans that happens
>>>>to be the mayor of my home town?
>>>>
>>>>http://www.spokesmanreview.com/jimwest/
>>>>
>>>
>>>No, I'm more interested in the criminal activities surrounding the
>>>associates of the democratic mayor of Philadelphia in a "Pay to play"
>>>scandal. It is, after all, more regionally relevant for me.
>>>
>>>http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.htm
>>
>>Gee Dave, after all your sermons about morality -- you don't care
>>about a pedophile that not only used his government office for
>>cyber-sex but promised internships to young boys in exchange for
>>'dates'? What happened to your morality, Dave? Did it suddenly get
>>lost because West is a conservative Republican?
>
>Geeze Frank, don't get your panties into a bunch here. This has
>nothing to do with my "morality", only that you live 3000 miles away
>from me and, as such, the events which occur there take a back seat in
>the local news to events which are also occurring here.

Gee, so a couple gays getting married in San Francisco should be about
as unimportant as the mayor of Spokane, huh?

> I was simply
>not aware for what you were referring.
>
>
>Your "Jim West" is a scumbag, plain and simple. Being a republican
>does not excuse him from human flaws or the consequences of acting out
>on them.

That's it? That's all you have to say about the subject? No diatribe about how it diminishes the credibility of your own mayor? No sermon on how his sexual perversions are a moral abomination? Instead you refer to his homosexuality and pedophilia as "human flaws" when you have consistently referred to such behavior in much stronger language?

Hey, it's not like I'm suprised -- it's ok to call Kerry a criminal when there has been no trial; but Bush, who was tried and convicted of a DUI, is guilty of nothing more than a "civil infraction". And all the while it was -you- that said that anyone who breaks the law is a criminal. So instead of condemning people in your own camp with your own standards, you simply use softer words. How nice.

Liberal pedophile: guilty of a moral sin.
Conservative pedophile: victim of a human flaw.

You're a trip, Dave.

>><snip>
>>>All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools of the internet and
>>>computers back when I had to do term papers. The task would have been
>>>much less tedious and actually somewhat interesting, and fun.
>>
>>
>>Where did you go to college, Dave? And BTW, what was the name of that
>>tech school you claimed to have attended?
>
>Give me one good reason why I should tell you.

Because if you don't then your claim has no credibility, and I will be reiterating that fact for as long as you post in this group.

>> Who does the majority party represent if not the majority?
>
>Is this a loaded question?

Not at all. Bush and the Republicans ignored the voices of the vast majority of Americans when they tried to meddle in the Shiavo case. If they were not acting on behalf of the majority of Americans then what was their motive? IOW, who does the majority party represent if not the majority?

>> Where does the Constitution require, or
>>even suggest, that religious influence should play any role in the
>>government?
>
>Where does the Constitution require or even suggest that religious
>influences should NOT play any role in the government?

You claimed that the Constitution included words that defined this country to be a Christian state. Where does it say anything of the sort?

>> How does gay and lesbian marriage infringe on your rights?
>
>It is not a matter of infringing on my "rights".

That's absolutely correct, Dave.

> It's matter of
>tarnishing an institution that is based on religious practices.

Which has absolutely nothing to do with the government or the
Constitution.

> The
>government has no right to do such.

The government cannot prevent people from practicing their religion as
they see fit, even if their religion includes a definition of marriage
that's different than your's.

> The only thing the government can
>or should do is offer a civil union option, to provide gay couples the
>same civil rights and responsibilities as straight couples when
>dealing with secular issues.

You can call it a "civil union" if you want. They can call it a
"marriage" if -they- want. That's -their- right. It's not -your- right
to prevent them from exercising -their- rights.

>You, a big advocate for separation of church and state, should
>understand where the line is drawn here. If you advocate that church
>doctrine should not be infused into the workings of the government,
>then the converse is also true. Otherwise you are practicing
>hypocrisy.

I agree, the government should not impose upon any religion. How does
gay marriage impose government upon religion?

I see your point, Dave. But what you refuse to accept is that marriage
is not exclusive to religion. It may have been formally defined under
religion, but I wasn't there so I don't know for sure. Regardless, the
concept of marriage is not only secular but universal. And as I have
pointed out several times before, the Christian definition of marriage
is, at best, poorly defined.

>I have no problem with secular civil unions. I have a big problem with
>gay marriages.

You don't want homosexuals to be able to walk down the same street
that you do. You are a bigot.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3296. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 13:11:20 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28878-428C9078-930@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200371

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 18 May 2005 14:56:11 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Wed, 18 May 2005 09:54:03 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
<4191-428B48FB-219@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:
Dave Hall, N3CVJ wrote:
>Now, let's take a closer look at this, and

>expose just why these answers that you gave

>are highly unlikely. You once claimed that you

>were in the military in the mid 80's, then

>worked for a while. Then you went back to

>school (presumably because you had trouble

>finding a decent job). Now a BS program

>requires a 4 year course study at a minimum.

Frank was in the military (can earn you college credits)

"Yep. Tarheel U. has (had?) a program set up for jarheads in Camp
Lejeune."

and it doesn't
take 4 years minimum to get a BS degree. It is often done in 3 or less.
In fact, there are many who do it in less time. Many major universities
and schools have BS programs that take less than 4 years.

"Dave would know that already if he actually attended college."

Heck, you can earn a college "degree" these days without ever setting a
foot in a classroom.

>But 20 years ago, that just wasn't the case.

That's not what you said. Let's take a look at what you said, again....

>Now a BS degree program requires a 4 year

>course study at a minimum.

Again,,no it doesn't.

> You had a certain amount of credits that you

>had to earn, and a required course curriculum.

And many of those credits can often be earned on the job..

>Yes, it was possible to do it in less than four

>years,

You're not a bit self-contradictory,,you're lenty self-contradictory.

> but that required an overly ambitious

>fast-paced schedule. Most people are not up

>for that.

Yea, your view of your fellow man has always been one of disdain and
beneath yourself.

>Night school allowed people to avoid many of

>the "nonsense" courses, which were unrelated
>to your major, that the full time day programs

>usually required you to take. But the people

>who took the night school route usually were,

>like me, working full time during the day, and

>could not take as many courses per semester

>and, consequently, it could take close to 8

>years to earn the B.S.

>Dave Hall

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

You were wrong.

3297. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 13:25:41 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28878-428C93D5-932@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200372

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 18 May 2005 09:54:03 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Dave Hall, N3CVJ wrote:
"Now, let's take a closer look at this, and
expose just why these answers that you gave
are highly unlikely. You once claimed that you
were in the military in the mid 80's, then
worked for a while. Then you went back to
school (presumably because you had trouble
finding a decent job). Now a BS program
requires a 4 year course study at a minimum."
-
Frank was in the military (can earn you college credits) and it doesn't
take 4 years minimum to get a BS degree. It is often done in 3 or less.

>For engineering?

Stop assuming, but since you did, I will lay odds years working in the
engineering field can translate into credits applied toward a related
degree. Credit for real work experience was conceived in the eighties
and took off in the nineties.

>We're not talking about liberal arts here.

In fact, there are many who do it in less time.
Many major universities and schools have BS programs that take less than
4 years.

>Name them.

There's that hypocrisy....(sigh),,you know, your refusal to answer for
your unsolicited and unbelievable claims haunts you so, which is why you
have lost all credibility. You provide for nothing these days, Dave, yet
find yourself on all fours begging others for confirmation of things the
generally educated public already know to be valid.
Try your own state's "bitch" of the Ivy League, Penn State. Look under
"fast track" and if you still can't find it, I'll place you in touch
with an admission's counselor who can try and make you understand such a
concept that is lost upon your forty-plus year old self.

>And also list what the student has to do in

>order to cram 4 years worth of work and study

>into "less than" 4 years.

Simple. Summer classes is but a single method. But again, you are assuming it takes 4 years work -and- study,,,it does not. Your answer can never be correct when your equation is wrong from the beginning.

>A B.S. degree requires a certain amount of

>credits and certain required courses. So either

>you have to take more classes in less time,

Ka-Ching! Give the man an affirmation for hitting on but a single example that invalidates his original remark.

>or

>.you are somehow "getting around" certain

>required classes. That starts to bring up

>Frank's earlier analogy of the "new" 2 year

Bachelor's degree, and how the "old" 4 year

>degree would be worth more by virtue of more
>time spend in the classroom.

>Dave Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

You came full circle from denying it takes place (BS in less than 4 years) to not only acknowledging it happens, but giving your own examples. See what you are capable of when others force you to think. You sometimes just need a little kick of motivation.

3298. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 13:34:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28878-428C95F5-934@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200373

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 18 May 2005 06:41:56 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Wed, 18 May 2005 07:49:36 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <jh6m8157b3c9kftgo0k3f5nhulirmdu3e7@4ax.com>:
<snip>
"Speaking of 'media bias', are you keeping up-to-date on the status of
one of your staunchly anti-gay, conservative Republicans that happens to
be the mayor of my home town?
http://www.spokesmanreview.com/jimwest/ "

>No, I'm more interested in the criminal

>activities surrounding the associates of the

>democratic mayor of Philadelphia in a "Pay to

>play" scandal. It is, after all, more regionally

>relevant for me.

>http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.h

>tm

Philly is the scumbag capital of the country. They have nothing to be
proud of in the last twenty five years. Jobs, crime, housing,
education,..all past the brink. Medical care is the only thing that is
even half decent in that area, and even that is a joke when all is taken
in to consideration.

"Gee Dave, after all your sermons about morality -- you don't care about
a pedophile that not only used his government office for cyber-sex but
promised internships to young boys in exchange for 'dates'? What
happened to your morality, Dave? Did it suddenly get lost because West
is a conservative Republican?"

>Geeze Frank, don't get your panties into a

>bunch here. This has nothing to do with my

>"morality", only that you live 3000 miles away

>from me and, as such, the events which occur

>there take a back seat in the local news to

>events which are also occurring here. I was

>simply not aware for what you were referring.

>Your "Jim West" is a scumbag, plain and

>simple. Being a republican does not excuse

>him from human flaws or the consequences of
>acting out on them.

Except when those flaws belong to Bush, then those consequences go out
the window and people like you blame the demos for his incompetence.

<snip>

>All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools

>of the internet and computers back when I had
>to do term papers. The task would have been

>much less tedious and actually somewhat

>interesting, and fun.

Chances are you would have cheated.

"Where did you go to college, Dave? And
BTW, what was the name of that tech school
you claimed to have attended?

>Give me one good reason why I should tell

>you.

Because you lost all credibility for all your claims for many valid
reasons. In the past, your claims were suspect at best, with a chance of
being correct. You removed all doubt with your hypocrisy. Your claims
now are now on par with what is to be found on www.snopes.com....

>David HAll Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

3299. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 14:01:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28879-428C9C51-285@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200375

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 16 May 2005 18:17:40 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
The history of the earth's climate is well documented back to the
begining of the earth's creation...grammar school basic earth and
science taught this. Carbon dating confirms much and plays a large part
of the techniques used to arrive at such widely accepted and mainstream
taught scientific facts.

>Again, we know what the climate was, but not

>conclusively how it got that way.

It's called weather. Weather coupled with other events.

>There are

>many good theories, but that's all they are.

Changing the topic from one of you learning how global warming is
defined, studied, and confirmed to another serves only to solidify your
pain in ebing incorrect.

>Like I told Frank, science can tell us that, for

>instance, it was once tropical in Montana, and

>that Glaciers covered much of the northern

>United States during different time periods.

>This proves that the earth's climate has

>vacillated in a fairly wide range. But what this

>DOESN'T tell us is how much of the current

>global warming cycle can be attributed to

>natural cyclic climatic changes, and how much
>of it is a direct result of man made pollution.

Sure it can, and does. The amount of many chemical releases in the
atmosphere are man made. Many are not man made.

>Exactly, which is why it is extremely difficult to

>make a positive determination as to the

>percentage of man's contribution to the total

>amount of global warming.

It's not difficult at all. I just taught you that the concentration of such gases, such as methane gas, is but a single method by which is measured.
Some are both. However,
science has methods of measuring
each,,including natural occurring vs. manmade chemicals,,,such as methane gases.

>Yes, and that "science" is in much dispute

>right now as there are many scientists who do

>not accept the findings of others as

>conclusive. There are still many assumptions

>being made.

Not regarding global warming. Twenty years ago, yes..today, it is widely accepted and taught mainstream.

>Without a point of reference, it is extremely

>difficult to positively determine how much we

>are changing the climate.

The point of reference is the richness/ concentration of the gas.

>Which we cannot positively ascertain because

>we do not know how much of that gas truly

>came as a result of man-made pollution

>versus that which is naturally occurring.

But we do.

>One large volcano eruption, for instance, can

>drastically effect the concentration of methane

>.gasses in the atmosphere.

Yes, but it does not dilute or enrich what is already there, it simply adds quantity to one or the other. Such an example is very easily taken into consideration and calculations allow for the exact molecular configuration when determining such factors. This is done by the precise and absolute measuremtn of related contributions, such as time of eruption, length of eruption, velocity of eruption, etc., etc.

_
An
example can be the amount of methane in a predetermined air sample. Higher concentrations of the gas can be attributed to manmade releases

and emissions.

>Or a volcano eruption. Methane gas does not

>have a "tag" which says "man made" or

>natural.

> We can only measure the total concentration.

Which is the exact manner in which to tell man-made from natural.
 It's elementary for anyone with a fair retainment value that took
college science classes.

>Since you called it "elementary", it's obvious

>that you've never studied it, as it is far too

>complex a process to be called "elementary".

Umm,,no. Go back and reread just what I called "elementary"... not what
you felt the need to misrepresent here.

>By attempting to make this issue simpler than

>it really is, you also disparage the scientists

>who do this for a living.

It is very simple for anyone who has ever taken college science classes,
but gases are introduced in elementary school science.

_
Chloroflourocarbons released by the burning of fossil fuels is directly
linked to global warming.

>No argument. But you can't positively

>determine the rate of global warming that

>might still be occurring if we suddenly stopped
>using fossil fuels today.

Sure you can. One measures the rate of speed the studied glaciers melt.
If they suddenly stopped melting and began growing, the figured
equations and calculations are all that's left to give you the answer
you seek.
Global warming was proved by the continual shrinkage of the polar ice
cap confirmed by 24-7 high tech monitoring of such. Villages that reside
in the frozen tundra watch their mountains of ice shrink each year.

>How much of that shrinkage would still be

>occurring without man made pollution?

As you referred, the climate is thought to adhere to cycles, When the
cycles suddenly deviate substantially from the norm, it's dedeucedly

>dedeucedly? Do you mean deductively?

Ha,,no I meant "deucedly", as in wickedly confirmed. I was watching the penguin on the Dudley Doright cartoons and my fingers did their own thing..

>And

>you chastise MY vocabulary and grammar.......

Huge difference. I admit my mistakes whereas you scream bloody murder or try to ignore yours because of the pain they cause you.

_
decided and accepted that something is
amiss.

>First of all, there is no "norm" when it comes to

>clim`atic shifts.

When "deviation from the norm" is used in such a reference, it means deviation from the usual patterns. -You- were first to claim weather patterns in his topic, now you again, self-contradict yourself.

> Many of those shifts occurred as the direct

>result of an external random event, such as

>the asteroid strike which is generally the

>current accepted theory for precipitating the

>extinction of the dinosaurs at the end of the

>Cretaceous period.

Not any more. Perhaps when you were i grammar school, but the most compelling andgenerally accepted theory now is they simply died out. The meteor that caused the ice age that was originally thought to have brought about the extinction of such creatures is now believed to have occured many, many years after the dinosaurs have already become extinct.

>There are other craters all over the planet, as

>evidence of other such strikes.

Yea,,and if you ever were west of the Mississippi, you would have undoubtedly had the chance to see one.

>There is also

>evidence of large volcano eruptions,

.in 'patterns' of eras of high activity.

>which

>can spew enough particulate matter into the

>atmosphere, that an "ice age" would likely

>result.

And did.

> The climatic shifts which occur between

>these significant events is likely only the result
>of climatic balance or a normalization from the
>extremes caused by the random external

>events. It's also conceivable that over the last

>billion years, that the solar energy output from

>the sun could have deviated to some degree

>as well, which can certainly affect surface

>temperature here.

It's not conceivable, it's been proved the sun's harmful rays have
intensified over time. This is because of the damage in the ozone layer.
This is called global warming.
Again, you come full circle. My work on this topic is done.

3300. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 14:02:32 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <p7ydnXLnirflARHfRVn-iQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 200374

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 19 May 2005 01:59:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>> Out of your house?
>>>
>> No out of a car and a van. But that's not big odds when you consider
>> I had CB's in damn near everything I drove at one time. Bastards took
>> the ssb portable and the amp both times.
>>
>> They left my music selection/collection alone both times too.
>> <snicker>
>
> Did it have some Allman Bros?

It was you???

>
> Vinnie S.

3301. Re: DX Activations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 14:07:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28878-428C9DAA-939@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200376

From: nospam@nospam.nospam (Guy)
I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>*Caught you.*

>You told me a couple of weeks ago that you

>out-grew collecting QSL cards.

Umm...no,,you have me cornfused with someone else.

> You compared them to baseball cards. By

>saying this, you insinuated that I was a child.

Retrieve your memory at the door on your way out.

>You just like to piss people off, don't you? I

>believe I've already made this point.

Show it.

>And in

>your usual fashion, you responded by calling

>me names and saying that I have trouble

>communicating.

Stop drooling on yourself and shore up your poor memory and
communication skill. You need remember who cut you off at the knees.

>As Bill Engvall would say, "Here's your sign."

>Warmest regards,

>.Guy

Backatcha. Try not to make so many mistakes in the future.

3302. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 14:20:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050519102026.669$rk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 18 May 2005 22:03:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
> >my old age. Rock-on.
>
> Don't worry Steveo, by the time you are in your "old age" a broadband
> video/voice/data line will be as common as standard phone service is
> today.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
Yea it will probably be part of my wrist watch, and a keyboard will be
a thing of the past..

3303. Re: Overdrive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 14:27:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28878-428CA238-942@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200378

From: kc8ldo@arrl.net (Leland C. Scott)
On Wed, 18 May 2005 20:45:51 -0400, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
They are having a tax free week here on
everything designated "hurricane supplies"
and two way radios qualify,,unfortunately, the
radios have to be under thirty bucks, but the
hand held FRS and others are flying off the
shelves. There is always activity on those
bands down here,,beaches, theme parks, car
caravans,...wait till echo starts showing up on
those bands..whoops,,,I think it already has.

>I would pick up MURS radios instead of the

>FRS type. They have a bit more power and at

>VHF they will carry a bit further and you can

>use external antennas too.

I have VHF covered.

>The external antenna is nothing more that a

>slightly modified Ham 2m type. You can't use

>external antennas on FRS radios. Some

>combo FRS and GMRS types you might be

>able to do it, but they're hard to find. Also

>depending on the model of MURS radio you

>may be able to program in the local VHF

>weather channel on 162.xxx MHz.

I listen to the report from Cedar Key.

>So are you ready for the hurricane season?

I've pretty much always been well equipped down here, but since I spent
a wad of cash this time, I'm fairly confident when I say we will never
have another hurricane here. Hurricane kit is full, generators are on
standby, plenty of gas I keep rotating to keep fresh, plenty of bottled
water, medicine kit, flashlights, lanterns, candles, canned goods,
radios, batteries, new window unit A/C.....I'm getting too old to simply
be content on riding it out and waiting for services to be restored....I

took steps to make sure I'm damn comfortable. An 110 A/C unit I can run off a generator when we're camped in the safe room was the final ticket.

>You got lucky last year I think.

Plenty of folks took damage, including us, but ours was minimal.

>I flew in to West

>Palm Beach about a month ago to drive out to

>the Indiantown area. I saw plenty of roof work

>still being done as the plane came in for a

>landing.

You were right by Lake Okeechobee..you should have brought a pole. Those little canals and offshoots of waterways you saw driving on 710 are among the best in the country for fishing....that's why you always see pickups and cars prked in the middle of nowhere on that road.
You should have checked out this coast inland of Punta Gorda and the areas that were hit squarely by all three storms. People were still living in tents as of last Christmas and many still haven't received insurance settlement checks. No roofs at all in some of the smaller towns...all blue tarps.

>Regards,

>Leland C. Scott

>.KC8LDO

3304. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 16:40:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <qk3je.1576$8g.199@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050519102026.669$rk@newsreader.com...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> > On 18 May 2005 22:03:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
> > >my old age. Rock-on.
> >
> > Don't worry Steveo, by the time you are in your "old age" a broadband
> > video/voice/data line will be as common as standard phone service is
> > today.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> Yea it will probably be part of my wrist watch, and a keyboard will be
> a thing of the past..

I always wanted a real Dick Tracy 2 way wrist tv. It is close ... :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3305. Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 16:53:38 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3f3ukjF5q996U1@individual.net>
Source record: 200380

Which is a better unit?

3306. OT - Fishing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 18:06:35 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <jtGdnaipX-Y3SBHfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 200381

On Thu, 19 May 2005 10:27:04 -0400, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

> I've pretty much always been well equipped down here, but since I spent
> a wad of cash this time, I'm fairly confident when I say we will never
> have another hurricane here.

Never say never again, right? 8-))

> Hurricane kit is full, generators are on
> standby, plenty of gas I keep rotating to keep fresh, plenty of bottled
> water, medicine kit, flashlights, lanterns, candles, canned goods,
> radios, batteries, new window unit A/C.....I'm getting too old to simply
> be content on riding it out and waiting for services to be restored....I
> took steps to make sure I'm damn comfortable. An 110 A/C unit I can run
> off a generator when we're camped in the safe room was the final ticket.

I talked my mom and step dad up here in to installing a natural gas
powered generator. So they went out and got either a 12KW or a 15KW unit
with automatic switch over and self test. They're both retired and my mom
has a bit of a hard time getting around, she needs two knee replacements
done. They've lost power several times where they live, not counting the
huge blackout the other year. Where they live it's about a 30 mile hike up
to their lake to check up on them. Knowing they have power for heat etc.
even in the winter time is a big relief.

>>I flew in to West
>
>>Palm Beach about a month ago to drive out to
>
>>the Indiantown area. I saw plenty of roof work
>
>>still being done as the plane came in for a
>
>>landing.
>
> You were right by Lake Okeechobee..

That's where I stayed. I did go downtown to see the lake. All they had
was a small sand beach and that tee shaped observation pier. I didn't see
much else. Sure doesn't look much like a tourist area.

> you should have brought a pole. Those
> little canals and offshoots of waterways you saw driving on 710 are
> among the best in the country for fishing....that's why you always see
> pickups and cars prked in the middle of nowhere on that road.

I'm not really in to fishing but yeah I did see people doing like you said. What about those, looks like, canals that run around the lake? Seems to me they have some kind of levee on the north side and several dams with spillways along there. What's that all about?

> You should
> have checked out this coast inland of Punta Gorda and the areas that
> were hit squarely by all three storms. People were still living in tents
> as of last Christmas and many still haven't received insurance
> settlement checks.

That really sucks!

> No roofs at all in some of the smaller towns...all
> blue tarps.

I saw a lot of those from the air just flying in to West Palm Beach.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott

KC8LDO

3307. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 19:19:51 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <7mpp8110bg3qk223qd3gijpdj0ftk2anfp@4ax.com>
Source record: 200382

On Thu, 19 May 2005 07:02:32 -0700, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On 19 May 2005 01:59:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> Out of your house?
>>>>
>>> No out of a car and a van. But that's not big odds when you consider
>>> I had CB's in damn near everything I drove at one time. Bastards took
>>> the ssb portable and the amp both times.
>>>
>>> They left my music selection/collection alone both times too.
>>> <snicker>
>>
>> Did it have some Allman Bros?
>
>It was you???

Ouch !!!!

Vinnie S.

3308. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 19:25:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vmpp81pn0oqedlpifvs8pesicmg7vqcljk@4ax.com>
Source record: 200383

On Thu, 19 May 2005 11:53:38 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:

>Which is a better unit?
>

I had a Galaxy 949. Great on AM. Drifted like an unanchored boat on SSB. It was terrible on SSB. The Midland is notorious for terrible adjacent channel interference.

You will need to install the Channelguard filter on the Midland. Here is some info:

http://www.cbgazette.com/79-290_review.html

I would try to get a Uniden Grant, Grant XL, Grant LT, or their equiv, if you serious into SSB. If you are just going to AM, then the 959 is fine.

Vinnie S.

3309. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 20:28:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <nF6je.1597$8g.1169@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200384

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050518182424.349$iT@newsreader.com...
> truthiamtellingyou@true.com wrote:
> > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > truthteller@truth.com wrote:
> > > > >>> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> > > > >>>> Shut up.
> > > > >>>>
> > > > >>> Make me.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your
address?
> > > > >>
> > > > > 14 mile road, motherfucker.
> > > > >
> > > > You beat me to it, Well, at least the motherfucker part. ;-)
> > > >
> > > Ha! Great minds..
> > >
> > More like gay minds, LOL.
> >
> Only a faggot would think that. Sorry lelnad, we don't swing that way.

Hello, Mopar

Don't keep falling for the trolls. I'm just curious as to which group will
finally get back to it's original intent - rec.radio.cb or
rec.radio.amateur.policy.

Unbelievable. Don't try to match wits with unarmed opponents. You have to
realize that you are dealing with modest double-digit I.Q.s in both groups
;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

3310. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 20:37:50 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3f4bovF5v3gjU1@individual.net>
Source record: 200385

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:vmpp81pn0oqedlpifvs8pesicmg7vqcljk@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 19 May 2005 11:53:38 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >Which is a better unit?
> >
>
>
> I had a Galaxy 949. Great on AM. Drifted like an unanchored boat on SSB.
It was
> terrible on SSB. The Midland is notorious for terrible adjacent channel
> interference.
>
> You will need to install the Channelguard filter on the Midland. Here is
some
> info:
>
> http://www.cbgazette.com/79-290_review.html
>
> I would try to get a Uniden Grant, Grant XL, Grant LT, or their equiv, if
you
> serious into SSB. If you are just going to AM, then the 959 is fine.
>
> Vinnie S.

Thanks Vinnie. I see these on Ebay all the time. Aren't these pretty old? I
havent CB'd for years and was gonna giver er a try again.

3311. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 21:56:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050519175603.723$Fz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200386

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050518182424.349$iT@newsreader.com...
> > truthiamtellingyou@true.com wrote:
> > > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > truthteller@truth.com wrote:
> > > > > >>> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> > > > > >>>> Shut up.
> > > > > >>>>
> > > > > >>> Make me.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your
> address?
> > > > > >>
> > > > > > 14 mile road, motherfucker.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You beat me to it, Well, at least the motherfucker part.
> > > > > ;-)
> > > > >
> > > > Ha! Great minds..
> > > >
> > > More like gay minds, LOL.
> > >
> > Only a faggot would think that. Sorry lelnad, we don't swing that way.
>
> Hello, Mopar
>
> Don't keep falling for the trolls. I'm just curious as to which group
> will finally get back to it's original intent - rec.radio.cb or
> rec.radio.amateur.policy.
>
> Unbelievable. Don't try to match wits with unarmed opponents. You have
> to realize that you are dealing with modest double-digit I.Q.s in both
> groups ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
Good points Jim.

I'm hoping to run into one or two of them this weekend. ;)

3312. mopathetic still whining and crying, admits what he is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 21:57:49 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116539869.045111.231790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200387

Steveo wrote:
> truth@youhatethetruth.com wrote:
> > > truthteller@truth.com wrote:
> > > > > truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> > > > > > Shut up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Make me.
> > > >
> > > OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your
address?
> > >
> > 14 mile road, motherfucker.
> >
> > Hiding again?
> >
> I could see where ya might think that. Afterall, only chickenshit
> cocksuckers live on or off that road.

Well, in the first insult...you have been proven to be one, seeing as
how you are too scared to say where you are camping at Dayton.

As for the second one, we all already knew you were one, seeing as how
you advertise on channel 19 around the Petro in Girard, Ohio.

Mopathetic, that's his name

Being a coward, that's his game

3313. mopathetic's cowardice is getting even better

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 22:03:47 UTC
From: keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116540227.788302.241360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200388

Since she is too chicken to say where he is camping, after repeatedly making threats to hams and acting like she is billy bad ass, she has now been conclusively proven to be charlie chicken ass.

We have given this wristflipper a solid month to say where she is camping. Since she hasn't said where, there are 2 possible conclusions:

1> she is a coward (most likely)

2> she is not going to Dayton (not likely because she is going to have nude pics taken while kissing 6LQ6 tubes for LAMBDA's 2006 calendar)

3314. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 23:02:00 UTC
From: mopathetic is still acting like a wristflipping sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116543720.922055.270640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200389

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 19 May 2005 11:53:38 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
wrote:
>
> >Which is a better unit?
> >
>
>
> I had a Galaxy 949. Great on AM. Drifted like an unanchored boat on
SSB. It was
> terrible on SSB. The Midland is notorious for terrible adjacent
channel
> interference.
>
> You will need to install the Channelguard filter on the Midland. Here
is some
> info:
>
> http://www.cbgazette.com/79-290_review.html
>
> I would try to get a Uniden Grant, Grant XL, Grant LT, or their
equiv, if you
> serious into SSB. If you are just going to AM, then the 959 is fine.
>
> Vinnie S.

They all weigh about the same, so the scrap metal value is rougly identical. Garbage, avoid illegal keyclowning. Get your ham license so you are not exposed to wristflipping keyclowns like mopathetic.

3315. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-19 23:10:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <U19je.7455$w21.5619@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Poor Len, just can't keep on subject.

Dan/W4NTI

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>
>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > Hi gang!
>
>
>>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
> where
>>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
> sign in
>>> there?
>>
>>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
> ID.
>
> Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
> TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)
>
> When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
> At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
> feed?
>
> You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
> Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
> "insider information" again? :-)
>
>>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
> if
>>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
>>long.
>
> Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely
> careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
> [they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)
>
> =======

>
> The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a
> journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With
> the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the
> tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge,
> more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with
> the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads
> and ignorant people.
>
> A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text
> messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a
> big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the
> USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]
>
> Cell phones were never designed or implemented with
> text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the
> wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog
> cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text
> messaging was possible and that was incorporated into
> subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form
> of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication"
> that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear.
> That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)
>
> "Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications
> means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling
> of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using
> radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago.
> [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the
> entertainment media and most have never experienced
> first-hand any live communications with that...they
> know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]
>
> It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is
> faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell
> phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show
> was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of
> "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more
> item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the
> audience amused by poking fun at current fads.
>
> Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about
> "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in
> that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall
> by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was
> NEVER intended as a true contest.
>
> For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual
> communications means, pit an experienced typist pair
> using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair

> of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper
> or manual typewriters to record received "morse code."
> Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show
> the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show
> the errors made by the morsemen.
>
> Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No.
> There's no real Entertainment value except for a very
> small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is
> less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus
> 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't
> have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to
> win out eventually since their throughput is
> sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse
> code" means.
>
> The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in
> actual practice and found "morse code" to be the
> LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on
> "morse code" for communications. The die-hard
> fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code"
> as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in
> the fantasy and mythology of olden times.
>
> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
> over. Get a grip. Go home.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

3316. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 00:54:57 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <t3dq815g1ae5u6u9c20majcvl1firg75dp@4ax.com>
Source record: 200391

On Thu, 19 May 2005 15:37:50 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:

>> http://www.cbgazette.com/79-290_review.html
>>
>> I would try to get a Uniden Grant, Grant XL, Grant LT, or their equiv, if
>you
>> serious into SSB. If you are just going to AM, then the 959 is fine.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Thanks Vinnie. I see these on Ebay all the time. Aren't these pretty old? I
>havent CB'd for years and was gonna giver er a try again.

Most CB technology has been unchanged in the last 20 years, with a couple exceptions. Midland made what appears to be state of the art display, but their receiver sucks. What many people is get a modded 10 meter radio and use it on CB. The Grants are somewhat old, but very well made. You have to find one that hasn't been hacked. There are a bunch of Grant equivilent radios that use the same board. Uniden still makes excellent AM radio today, if you want just AM. Or you can go with the Galaxy. I would go with Uniden.

Vinnie S.

3317. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 01:20:37 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CXaje.21897$Ee6.3685@fe12.lga>
Source record: 200392

```
Steveo wrote:
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
>>>>>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts
>>>>>>>>>>get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny
>>>>>>>>>>actually...
```

>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
>>>>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
>>>>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
>>>>>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
>>>>>>>out...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You've tried the eze-out?
>>>>>
>>>>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
>>>>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
>>>>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused
>>>>>it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its
>>>>>going to cost several hundred to fix.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
>>>>gaskets
>>>>and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
>>>>vehicle?
>>>
>>>61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
>>>was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the
>>>new engine.
>>
>>New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>
> Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.
please, if that was the case then i'd still be driving the coronet 440.
the final tally on the frozen plug in the head was the head had cracks
around all 3 cylinders. bye bye head and 800 bux...

3318. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 03:44:29 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <x2dje.1089$mK.83@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200294

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050518152825.714$i7@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
>> > Steveo wrote:
>> >
>> >> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>> >>>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
>> >>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts
>> >>>>>>>get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny
>> >>>>>>>actually...
>> >>>>>>>
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
>> >>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
>> >>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
>> >>>>>>
>> >>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
>> >>>>>

>> >>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
>> >>>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
>> >>>>>out...
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>You've tried the eze-out?
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
>> >>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
>> >>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused
>> >>>it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its
>> >>>going to cost several hundred to fix.
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
>> >> gaskets
>> >> and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
>> >> vehicle?
>> > 61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
>> > was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the
>> > new engine.
>>
>> New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.

The Bronco is 32years old, so it's no spring chicken.

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature, or
direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you need from
your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

3319. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 03:44:29 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <x2dje.1090$mK.914@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200393

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:CXaje.21897$Ee6.3685@fe12.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
>>>>>>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts

>>>>>>>>>>get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny
>>>>>>>>>>actually...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
>>>>>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
>>>>>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
>>>>>>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
>>>>>>>>out...
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>You've tried the eze-out?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
>>>>>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
>>>>>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused
>>>>>>it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its
>>>>>>going to cost several hundred to fix.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
>>>>>gaskets
>>>>>and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
>>>>>vehicle?
>>>>
>>>>61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
>>>>was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the
>>>>new engine.
>>>
>>>New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>
>> Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.
> please, if that was the case then i'd still be driving the coronet 440.
> the final tally on the frozen plug in the head was the head had cracks
> around all 3 cylinders. bye bye head and 800 bux...

Bummer. I thought I'd lost a valve last week,
lucky though just a bad carburetor.

Landshark

--
Kindness is the language which the deaf
can hear and the blind can see.

3320. FS: NOS slidtray mounts with 259's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 04:01:02 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <2idje.9131$_f7.1877@trndny01>
Source record: 200295

NOS slidtray Radio mounts with lock keys, these eare a
bit HEAVEY NOS 70's stash
$25 for locking
$20 (smaller Audiovox without lock)
includes shipping Local US
These have the PL and SO 239's

---Joe
Remove NOSPAM to email
Pics available

3321. FS: Comstate 25B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 04:02:48 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <ljdje.14372$E05.5219@trndny09>
Source record: 200296

```
Lafayette Comstate 25B with stock mic, Pick up LIE exit 33 (Long Island)
$20
Email for pic's

-Joe remove NOSPAM
```

3322. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 05:37:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1116567422.455440.75730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: "Dan/W4NTI" on Thurs,May 19 2005 11:10 pm

>Poor Len, just can't keep on subject.

Dannyboy, I was ON the subject. :-)

Sigh...I'll have to repeat what I said...

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
>news:1116441719.072292.75800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm
>>
>>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>>>"Jim Hampton" <a...@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>>> > Hi gang!
>>
>>
>>>> When he read what was on the paper it did not include a callsign,
where
>>>> these legit ham radios? Did another fast code reader get a call
sign in
>>>> there?
>>
>>>What you see on TV is taped and edited. They cut out things like the
ID.
>>
>> Good old Jimmie Noserve jumping in as the "expert" on
>> TV broadcast production (years of work in that...). :-)
>>
>> When is the actual NBC "Tonight Show" taped, Jimmie?
>> At what time? How long before the NBC TV network
>> feed?
>>
>> You've been there, right? Waiting in line along
>> Alameda with the other tourists? Or do you have
>> "insider information" again? :-)
>>
>>>FCC requires that you ID at the *end* of a QSO, and every 10 minutes
if
>>>the QSO goes on longer than 10 minutes. The clip is only 3 minutes
long.
>>
>> Oh, my, yes, I'm sure the producers were most extremely

>> careful to NEVER break any amateur radio regulations!
>> [they might ALL lose their JOBS over such a gaffe!] :-)
>>
>> =======

The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT. It isn't a journalism show. It isn't a documentary show. With the new production company featuring Jay Leno, the tone of the "Tonight Show" has taken on a sharper edge, more biting, as compared to De Cordova's company with the late, great Johnny Carson. Leno likes to twit fads and ignorant people.

A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text messages over cell phones having that feature. It's a big thing among teeners all over the country now. [the USA has over 100 million cell phone subscriberships]

Cell phones were never designed or implemented with text messaging in mind. They were done to extend the wired VOICE telephone. However, as the original analog cell phone converted to digital, a limited form of text messaging was possible and that was incorporated into subscribers' sets. Teeners picked up on that as a form of "very personal, like 'private talk' communication" that grown-ups and others couldn't immediately overhear. That 'privacy' thing is a big hit with teeners. ;-)

"Morse code" is the first BIG instant-communications means and debuted 160 years ago. The On-Off signalling of "morse code" was the ONLY PRACTICAL means of using radio for communications, demonstrated 109 years ago. [most people are AWARE of "morse code" through the entertainment media and most have never experienced first-hand any live communications with that...they know it is OLD and obsolete for practical communications]

It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the audience amused by poking fun at current fads.

Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was NEVER intended as a true contest.

For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual communications means, pit an experienced typist pair using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper or manual typewriters to record received "morse code." Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show the errors made by the morsemen.

Will TV Entertainment shows ever consider such? No. There's no real Entertainment value except for a very small niche-interest fraction of an audience. This is less of a show-biz SETUP than modern "TXT-ing" versus 160-year-old manual "morse code." Audiences won't have anything to LAUGH at. Teleprinters are going to win out eventually since their throughput is sustainable and less error-prone than manual "morse code" means.

The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in actual practice and found "morse code" to be the LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on "morse code" for communications. The die-hard fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code" as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in the fantasy and mythology of olden times.

That's not SHOW-BIZ, Dannyboy, that's REALITY. Show's over. Get a grip. Go home.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

3323. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 11:18:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050520071854.336$36@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200299

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >
> >>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >>news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
> >>
> >>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny

> >>>>>>>>>actually...
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
> >>>>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
> >>>>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in
> >>>>>>>the cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get
> >>>>>>>it out...
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>You've tried the eze-out?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
> >>>>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
> >>>>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which
> >>>>>caused it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head
> >>>>>and its going to cost several hundred to fix.
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
> >>>>gaskets
> >>>>and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
> >>>>vehicle?
> >>>
> >>>61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
> >>>was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the
> >>>new engine.
> >>
> >>New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> >
> > Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.
> please, if that was the case then i'd still be driving the coronet 440.
> the final tally on the frozen plug in the head was the head had cracks
> around all 3 cylinders. bye bye head and 800 bux...
>
Never fails...magna-flux them, then throw them away. :(

3324. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 11:20:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050520072014.444$oy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200298

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050518152825.714$i7@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >> news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
> >> > Steveo wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Steveo wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
> >> >>>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to
> >> >>>>>>>get together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the
> >> >>>>>>>numbnuts get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda
> >> >>>>>>>funny actually...
> >> >>>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
> >> >>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
> >> >>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
> >> >>>>>>
> >> >>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?

> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in
> >> >>>>>the cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to
> >> >>>>>get it out...
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>You've tried the eze-out?
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
> >> >>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
> >> >>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which
> >> >>>caused it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the
> >> >>>head and its going to cost several hundred to fix.
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >> I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
> >> >> gaskets
> >> >> and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
> >> >> vehicle?
> >> > 61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said
> >> > it was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with
> >> > the new engine.
> >>
> >> New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.
>
> The Bronco is 32years old, so it's no spring chicken.
>
> Landshark
>
Heard that, 39 years for the Belvedere.

3325. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 11:24:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <e8fr819g83cm3sdbomlevda3jvt4kpg9ep@4ax.com>
Source record: 200300

On Thu, 19 May 2005 06:02:17 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 19 May 2005 07:01:51 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <grro81p3f7gka686t5anekvrb2tra1u8qj@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 18 May 2005 06:41:56 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 18 May 2005 07:49:36 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <jh6m8157b3c9kftgo0k3f5nhulirmdu3e7@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>Speaking of 'media bias', are you keeping up-to-date on the status of
>>>>one of your staunchly anti-gay, conservative Republicans that happens
>>>>to be the mayor of my home town?
>>>>
>>>>http://www.spokesmanreview.com/jimwest/
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No, I'm more interested in the criminal activities surrounding the
>>>>associates of the democratic mayor of Philadelphia in a "Pay to play"
>>>>scandal. It is, after all, more regionally relevant for me.
>>>>
>>>>http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.htm
>>>
>>>
>>>Gee Dave, after all your sermons about morality -- you don't care
>>>about a pedophile that not only used his government office for
>>>cyber-sex but promised internships to young boys in exchange for
>>>'dates'? What happened to your morality, Dave? Did it suddenly get
>>>lost because West is a conservative Republican?
>>
>>Geeze Frank, don't get your panties into a bunch here. This has
>>nothing to do with my "morality", only that you live 3000 miles away
>>from me and, as such, the events which occur there take a back seat in
>>the local news to events which are also occurring here.
>
>
>Gee, so a couple gays getting married in San Francisco should be about
>as unimportant as the mayor of Spokane, huh?

A totally different issue. One single gay couple in San Fran, is a
minor footnote. The broader reaching implications of such behavior, is
what concerns me and most Americans.

>> I was simply
>>not aware for what you were referring.
>>
>>
>>Your "Jim West" is a scumbag, plain and simple. Being a republican
>>does not excuse him from human flaws or the consequences of acting out
>>on them.
>
>
>That's it? That's all you have to say about the subject?

What do you want me to say?

> No diatribe
>about how it diminishes the credibility of your own mayor?

Why should it? It's not the whole institution of mayor's which is at
the heart of the issue. It's simply one person's sick, perverted
behavior.

> No sermon
>on how his sexual perversions are a moral abomination?

I would think you'd already know that. If my assumption was wrong
then, I apologize.

> Instead you
>refer to his homosexuality and pedophilia as "human flaws" when you
>have consistently referred to such behavior in much stronger language?

How much stronger than "scumbag" do you want me to go? I'd like to
keep this at a "PG" level.

>Hey, it's not like I'm suprised -- it's ok to call Kerry a criminal
>when there has been no trial; but Bush, who was tried and convicted of
>a DUI, is guilty of nothing more than a "civil infraction".

I'm sorry if the truth bothers you. Bush's conviction was for a motor
vehicle violation at that time. Not a criminal offense. Despite the
fact that Kerry never saw a courtroom for his perjurious and arguably
treasonous behavior, his actions are still contemptible.

> And all
>the while it was -you- that said that anyone who breaks the law is a
>criminal. So instead of condemning people in your own camp with your
>own standards, you simply use softer words. How nice.

You really need to get over yourself Frank. You spend far too much
time analyzing my words, and attempting to imply meanings which are
not there.

>Liberal pedophile: guilty of a moral sin.
>Conservative pedophile: victim of a human flaw.

All pedophiles are guilty of moral sins, which happens to be a human
flaw.

>You're a trip, Dave.

No, your interpretations are.

>>><snip>
>>>>All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools of the internet and
>>>>computers back when I had to do term papers. The task would have been
>>>>much less tedious and actually somewhat interesting, and fun.
>>>
>>>
>>>Where did you go to college, Dave? And BTW, what was the name of that
>>>tech school you claimed to have attended?
>>
>>Give me one good reason why I should tell you.
>
>
>Because if you don't then your claim has no credibility, and I will be
>reiterating that fact for as long as you post in this group.

Then neither do any of yours for, as Twisty is so fond of pointing
out, USENET is an anonymous service. Very little about anyone is
verifiable. Being a ham puts me at somewhat of a disadvantage, as my
name and address can be obtained from my call sign. But any personal
information beyond that is revealed by personal choice at your own
risk. As long as guys like Twisty can be a continual disruption and
can safely hide from the consequences behind his cloak of anonymity, I
feel no obligation to provide any more of my personal information,
just to satiate your credibility issues. Remembering what happened to
Dennis O, when his place of employment was found out, is further
incentive for me to remain quiet about those aspects of my personal
life.

If you can't handle that, Tough.

>>> Who does the majority party represent if not the majority?
>>
>>Is this a loaded question?
>
>
>Not at all. Bush and the Republicans ignored the voices of the vast
>majority of Americans when they tried to meddle in the Shiavo case. If
>they were not acting on behalf of the majority of Americans then what
>was their motive? IOW, who does the majority party represent if not
>the majority?

Principles, Character, Morality. Most of which the majority posses and
agree with.

>>> Where does the Constitution require, or
>>>even suggest, that religious influence should play any role in the
>>>government?

>>
>>Where does the Constitution require or even suggest that religious
>>influences should NOT play any role in the government?
>
>
>You claimed that the Constitution included words that defined this
>country to be a Christian state. Where does it say anything of the
>sort?

I never made any such claim. But I'm sure you've misinterpreted one of
my past statements and think I said it.

I did say that the constitution was written by religious, God
respecting people, most of whom were Christian.

>>> How does gay and lesbian marriage infringe on your rights?
>>
>>It is not a matter of infringing on my "rights".
>
>
>That's absolutely correct, Dave.

Of course it is, I said it.

>> It's matter of
>>tarnishing an institution that is based on religious practices.
>
>
>Which has absolutely nothing to do with the government or the
>Constitution.
>
>
>> The
>>government has no right to do such.
>
>
>The government cannot prevent people from practicing their religion as
>they see fit, even if their religion includes a definition of marriage
>that's different than your's.
>
>
>> The only thing the government can
>>or should do is offer a civil union option, to provide gay couples the
>>same civil rights and responsibilities as straight couples when
>>dealing with secular issues.
>
>
>You can call it a "civil union" if you want. They can call it a
>"marriage" if -they- want. That's -their- right. It's not -your- right
>to prevent them from exercising -their- rights.

From a secular point of view, they have the right to live in sin, but no true Christian church would recognize such a union. And any institution that would, cheapens and tarnishes that institution.

>>You, a big advocate for separation of church and state, should
>>understand where the line is drawn here. If you advocate that church
>>doctrine should not be infused into the workings of the government,
>>then the converse is also true. Otherwise you are practicing
>>hypocrisy.
>
>
>I agree, the government should not impose upon any religion. How does
>gay marriage impose government upon religion?

By forcing universal recognition of gay marriages as legitimate, which they are not in the eyes of God.

>I see your point, Dave. But what you refuse to accept is that marriage
>is not exclusive to religion.

But it started there.

>It may have been formally defined under
>religion, but I wasn't there so I don't know for sure. Regardless, the
>concept of marriage is not only secular but universal.

By necessity, not by choice. And the secular definition of marriage simply adopted the religious definition of marriage in order to define the civil rights that married couples would gain. Creating a new definition of marriage, that is not endorsed by the church, is not acceptable.

Think of it as similar to a copyrighted trademark. The church has "licensed" the term "marriage" to the government to use for civil purposes, as long as they abide by the terms of the license. Unless the church decides to condone a gay union (Not likely), then the government has no right to apply the term "marriage" to a secular gay union.

Like I said before, I have no problem with the government creating a gay civil union, with the same civil rights and responsibilities given to married couples, just don't call it marriage.

> And as I have
>pointed out several times before, the Christian definition of marriage
>is, at best, poorly defined.

But it has been widely accepted in this country for hundreds of years. Marriage is only recognized in the secular arena, due to the additional legal rights and responsibilities that couples get. Before the complexities of secular society necessitated such civil recognition of marriage, the only thing a couple needed to do was have the marriage blessed before God at a church service. That was all that was necessary to legitimize a marriage.

>>I have no problem with secular civil unions. I have a big problem with
>>gay marriages.
>
>
>You don't want homosexuals to be able to walk down the same street
>that you do. You are a bigot.

Ah, you're back to making unfounded speculative assumptions and then drawing an erroneous conclusion based on those unfounded speculations. That's yet another example of your poor logic. You never could stick to the facts Frank. But your liberal demonization tactic doesn't work on me Frank. Labeling me will not change the very real legitimacy of the issue.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3326. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 11:34:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <h8ir81l1pav2q8g4e6b9ib3r3264q44lod@4ax.com>
Source record: 200301

On Thu, 19 May 2005 09:34:45 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.h
>
>>tm
>
>
>
>Philly is the scumbag capital of the country. They have nothing to be
>proud of in the last twenty five years. Jobs, crime, housing,
>education,..all past the brink. Medical care is the only thing that is
>even half decent in that area, and even that is a joke when all is taken
>in to consideration.

I agree with you. Then again, Other than the fact that NYC has more
money surging through it, it isn't any better. I'm not a fan of cities
anyway.

>
>
>"Gee Dave, after all your sermons about morality -- you don't care about
>a pedophile that not only used his government office for cyber-sex but
>promised internships to young boys in exchange for 'dates'? What
>happened to your morality, Dave? Did it suddenly get lost because West
>is a conservative Republican?"
>
>
>
>>Geeze Frank, don't get your panties into a
>
>>bunch here. This has nothing to do with my
>
>>"morality", only that you live 3000 miles away
>
>>from me and, as such, the events which occur
>
>>there take a back seat in the local news to
>
>>events which are also occurring here. I was
>
>>simply not aware for what you were referring.
>
>>Your "Jim West" is a scumbag, plain and
>

>>simple. Being a republican does not excuse
>
>>him from human flaws or the consequences of
>>acting out on them.
>
>
>Except when those flaws belong to Bush, then those consequences go out
>the window and people like you blame the demos for his incompetence.

Because those "flaws" were largely invented by the left leaning media. There has been none to date which have been proven. Rathergate, is a glaring example of one such smear which got discovered before any real damage could be done. Then there is the more recent Newsweek gaffe about flushing the Koran down a toilet (How does one flush a book down a toilet anyway?). Did you know that the gutless clowns at Newsweek had the nerve to actually blame the repercussions of their erroneous reporting on the Bush administration, for not denying it quickly enough? They print a lie, and they blame Bush for not denying it, as the reason why those people were killed in the protests. Unbelievable! But there is no character on the left side of the aisle. And it becomes more apparent with each passing day, and each further shrill speech a democrat makes.

>>All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools
>
>>of the internet and computers back when I had
>>to do term papers. The task would have been
>>much less tedious and actually somewhat
>>interesting, and fun.

>Chances are you would have cheated.

I've never cheated on any assignment that I've ever done. I've never had to.

>
>"Where did you go to college, Dave? And
>BTW, what was the name of that tech school
>you claimed to have attended?
>
>>Give me one good reason why I should tell
>>you.
>
>
>Because you lost all credibility for all your claims for many valid
>reasons.

What you think is irrelevant, and contrary to your wild imagination, you do not represent the majority. But I'll make a deal with you, I'll tell you every place where I went to school, when you give me your real name and address. Deal?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3327. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 11:52:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9rir81tksfn4s5ljb1k56u5iqi6ljlq3to@4ax.com>
Source record: 200302

On Thu, 19 May 2005 04:42:26 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 19 May 2005 06:44:10 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <n3ro81hi953mrr2u2kdm0go6umeb2t5mot@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>Dave would know that already if he actually attended college.
>>
>>Heck, you can earn a college "degree" these days without ever setting
>>a foot in a classroom.
>
>
>Sure. Just send a few bucks to a PO box listed in an advertisement in
>the back of Rolling Stone magazine.
>
>
>> But 20 years ago, that just wasn't the case.
>>You had a certain amount of credits that you had to earn, and a
>>required course curriculum. Yes, it was possible to do it in less than
>>four years, but that required an overly ambitious fast-paced schedule.
>>Most people are not up for that.
>
>
>It depends on the person. For some people, three hours a day in the
>lecture halls is mindbogglingly slow. For others the challenge is
>overwhelming. The trick is to choose classes each quarter/semester
>that make the most efficient use of study time required -outside- the
>classroom. And not to waste your time at keggers.

It should be that simple. But you forgot to consider, that not all
courses are offered each semester, pretty much forcing you to do
things "their way". Trying to transfer credits from other schools was
also sometimes problematic. Sometimes they would refuse to give
credit, other times they would only give partial credit. Colleges are
somewhat competitive, and have no incentive to have students give
another school money, and then accept that as credit in their school.
At least that's the way it was 20+ years ago.

>Also, some colleges and most Universities offer equivalency tests as
>well as credits for prior experience directly related to the field. I
>cut down my time by almost a full year by testing out of first-year
>electricity courses, math all the way through calculus, and was given
>credits for being a radio tech in the USMC.

Yes, I am aware of "testing out" I did similar things, with my
previous tech school credits.

>>Night school allowed people to avoid many of the "nonsense" courses,
>>which were unrelated to your major, that the full time day programs
>>usually required you to take.
>
>
>Those "nonsense" courses have very legitimate purposes.

Really? I don't think you understand what I refer to as "nonsense".

> Suppose your
>major is electrical engineering. You need to study economics so you
>can do a cost/benefit analysis for a design project, as well as be
>able to run a business if the opportunity arises. For the same reason
>it's a good idea to study a little business law and accounting. There
>is no substitute for good communication skills, -especially- English
>composition, and because my objective required strong communication
>skills I decided to minor in the field. Along the same lines, a few
>courses in humanities and history are also a very good idea since a
>lot of current events either revolve around cultural differences and
>issues, or require some understanding of the history surrounding the
>events. Etc, etc, etc. You pick any course required for a BS and I'll
>explain how and why it's related to your field of study.

Ok, then explain to me the necessity of phys-ed courses? Those are the
ones that I was referring to as "nonsense". The examples you gave
above I totally agree with. It's interesting that you'd think I was
referring to them as "nonsense".

>
>Or is it that you don't like the idea of a liberal education because
>it's 'liberal'?

A liberal Arts degree is basically useless if making serious money is
one of your goals. Seen on a bumper sticker: "I have a Liberal Arts
degree, Do you want fries with that?"_

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3328. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 12:00:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5sjr81hr5fpeoqnq7qsj468nv7j3kpc3sl@4ax.com>
Source record: 200303

On Thu, 19 May 2005 09:11:20 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>You were wrong.

Possibly, in an extreme case. But I was using standard norms (Which is
4 years) to base my timeline on. Not many people have what it takes
(Both from an academic and emotional standpoint) to complete a true 4
year program in less time.

But even if I was wrong and Frank earned his degree a year earlier, it
doesn't change much of what I put down on the timeline, and doesn't
change the suspicion that Frank has been a little too restless for the
time that he had.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3329. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 12:03:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2dkr819hvl6uhl8d75elje17teemq0kr3o@4ax.com>
Source record: 200304

On Thu, 19 May 2005 09:25:41 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>You came full circle from denying it takes place (BS in less than 4
>years) to not only acknowledging it happens, but giving your own
>examples. See what you are capable of when others force you to think.
>You sometimes just need a little kick of motivation.

I never said it wasn't possible, only that it's not likely. You like
to cite extreme examples to make (or counter) a point. On the other
hand, I tend to take my examples from the means of the bell curve.
Most people fall into the 70% part of the bell curve, not the outside
30%.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3330. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 12:16:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <uhkr81tlf4qknvk5pombk7st1efcp9mdjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 200305

On Thu, 19 May 2005 10:01:53 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>> It's also conceivable that over the last
>>billion years, that the solar energy output from
>>the sun could have deviated to some degree
>>as well, which can certainly affect surface
>>temperature here.
>
>
>
>It's not conceivable, it's been proved the sun's harmful rays have
>intensified over time. This is because of the damage in the ozone layer.

I'm not talking about the ozone layer, I'm talking about the sun's
actual energy output. Check this out:

http://www.nationalcenter.org/NPA203.html

>This is called global warming.
>Again, you come full circle. My work on this topic is done.

No one ever denied that global warming is occurring. The point of
contention is how much of it can truly be definitively attributed to
man's actions.

Some light reading for you to bring you up to speed:

http://www.geocraft.com/WVFossils/greenhouse_data.html

http://www.intellicast.com/DrDewpoint/Library/1305/

http://www.intellicast.com/DrDewpoint/Library/1395/

Your work on this topic is just beginning.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3331. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 12:19:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ialr8198rc40a5e11r3d4crqcmpkdi70oa@4ax.com>
Source record: 200306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 19 May 2005 16:40:54 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20050519102026.669$rk@newsreader.com...
>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> > On 18 May 2005 22:03:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > >I can't imagine ever going back to dial-up unless I end up broke in
>> > >my old age. Rock-on.
>> >
>> > Don't worry Steveo, by the time you are in your "old age" a broadband
>> > video/voice/data line will be as common as standard phone service is
>> > today.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> > "Sandbagger"
>> >
>> Yea it will probably be part of my wrist watch, and a keyboard will be
>> a thing of the past..
>
>
>I always wanted a real Dick Tracy 2 way wrist tv. It is close ... :)

Its closer than you think. Once we create a WIFI-like wireless data
network to blanket most areas, this will become a reality. Cellular
can already do this to some extent, but the cellular network cannot
handle the increased bandwidth required for full motion video.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3332. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 12:20:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <sflr81p5e3reu5nm3me8fg7lpm35nrkc4m@4ax.com>
Source record: 200307

On 19 May 2005 21:56:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050518182424.349$iT@newsreader.com...
>> > truthiamtellingyou@true.com wrote:
>> > > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > > > > Steveo wrote:
>> > > > > > truthteller@truth.com wrote:
>> > > > > >>> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
>> > > > > >>>> Shut up.
>> > > > > >>>>
>> > > > > >>> Make me.
>> > > > > >>
>> > > > > >> OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your
>> address?
>> > > > > >>
>> > > > > > 14 mile road, motherfucker.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > You beat me to it, Well, at least the motherfucker part.
>> > > > > ;-)
>> > > > >
>> > > > Ha! Great minds..
>> > >
>> > More like gay minds, LOL.
>> >
>> > Only a faggot would think that. Sorry lelnad, we don't swing that way.
>>
>> Hello, Mopar
>>
>> Don't keep falling for the trolls. I'm just curious as to which group
>> will finally get back to it's original intent - rec.radio.cb or
>> rec.radio.amateur.policy.
>>
>> Unbelievable. Don't try to match wits with unarmed opponents. You have
>> to realize that you are dealing with modest double-digit I.Q.s in both
>> groups ;)
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim
>>
>Good points Jim.
>
>I'm hoping to run into one or two of them this weekend. ;)

What do you think the odds are of that actually happening?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3333. Re: Steveo mopathetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 12:25:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050520082515.297$1d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200308

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 19 May 2005 21:56:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050518182424.349$iT@newsreader.com...
> >> > truthiamtellingyou@true.com wrote:
> >> > > > "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> >> > > > > > truthteller@truth.com wrote:
> >> > > > > >>> truth@trueguy.com wrote:
> >> > > > > >>>> Shut up.
> >> > > > > >>>>
> >> > > > > >>> Make me.
> >> > > > > >>
> >> > > > > >> OK I'll meet you on your front lawn tomorrow. What's your
> >> address?
> >> > > > > >>
> >> > > > > > 14 mile road, motherfucker.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > You beat me to it, Well, at least the motherfucker part.
> >> > > > > ;-)
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > Ha! Great minds..
> >> > > >
> >> > > More like gay minds, LOL.
> >> > >
> >> > Only a faggot would think that. Sorry lelnad, we don't swing that
> >> > way.
> >>
> >> Hello, Mopar
> >>
> >> Don't keep falling for the trolls. I'm just curious as to which group
> >> will finally get back to it's original intent - rec.radio.cb or
> >> rec.radio.amateur.policy.
> >>
> >> Unbelievable. Don't try to match wits with unarmed opponents. You
> >> have to realize that you are dealing with modest double-digit I.Q.s in
> >> both groups ;)
> >>
> >> 73 from Rochester, NY
> >> Jim
> >>
> >Good points Jim.

> >
> >I'm hoping to run into one or two of them this weekend. ;)
>
> What do you think the odds are of that actually happening?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
Well one of them is a notorious trash peddler at swap meets and since Dayton is the biggie, they may be good. That's where I'll be spending most of my time looking for a rig. (outdoor vendor area)

Why do you ask?

3334. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 12:34:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1116592452.870126.236460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on May 17, 12:20 pm

> The "Tonight Show" is ENTERTAINMENT.

Sure glad we have you here to remind us of that.

> Leno likes to twit fads and ignorant people.

As opposed to YOU who IS a twit and an ignorant person.
>
> A current fad is "TXT-ing," that of sending short text
> messages over cell phones having that feature.

> It is a no-brainer that old, obsolete "morse code" is
> faster than the new fad of "TXT-ing" messages via a cell
> phone. The "demonstration contest" on the Leno show
> was a SETUP to deliberately POKE FUN at the fad of
> "TXT-ing." It wasn't anything else...just one more
> item of "humor" a la the Leno company to get the
> audience amused by poking fun at current fads.

Surrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrre it was.....

I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and with 100%
accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?

> Ham radio morsemen Lifestylers want to wave flags about
> "morse code" and how triumphant was their "success" in
> that show-biz SETUP that was a guaranteed pratfall
> by the "TXT-ers." That short Entertainment bit was
> NEVER intended as a true contest.

I >>K N E W<< that Lennie would try to talk his way out of this
one...!

> For a REAL contest, live, [rehearsed or not] manual
> communications means, pit an experienced typist pair
> using old, surplus 60 WPM teleprinters against a pair
> of experienced morsemen using either pencil-and-paper
> or manual typewriters to record received "morse code."
> Use "over-the-shoulder" live TV camera angles to show
> the "incoming" text for the audience...and to show
> the errors made by the morsemen.

They didn't do "over the shoulder" video on Leno, Lennie, however
the Morse guys apparently did 100% copy.

I was able to hear 90% from TV audio, and the receiving guy
apparently got it 100% right.

> The radio world has LONG AGO done the "contest" bit in
> actual practice and found "morse code" to be the
> LOSER. The rest of the radio world has GIVEN UP on
> "morse code" for communications. The die-hard
> fanatics in amateur radio still cling to "morse code"
> as "good" or even "best" because they are still lost in
> the fantasy and mythology of olden times.

"fantasy"...?!?! "mythology"...?!?!

The Leno program shot a BIG hole in THOSE adjective for you,
Lennie.

> That's not SHOW-BIZ, Jimmie, that's REALITY. Show's
> over. Get a grip. Go home.

Lame Loser Lennie...Barking orders again...

Mad when no one listens or heeds him....

Maybe because he's wrong so often...???

Steve, K4YZ

3335. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 12:56:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fjir81lml5u4si9ehmf2uqkhlfo39h72vo@4ax.com>
Source record: 200310

On Fri, 20 May 2005 07:24:56 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <e8fr819g83cm3sdbomlevda3jvt4kpg9ep@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 19 May 2005 06:02:17 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 19 May 2005 07:01:51 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <grro81p3f7gka686t5anekvrb2tra1u8qj@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Wed, 18 May 2005 06:41:56 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 18 May 2005 07:49:36 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>>wrote in <jh6m8157b3c9kftgo0k3f5nhulirmdu3e7@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>>Speaking of 'media bias', are you keeping up-to-date on the status of
>>>>>one of your staunchly anti-gay, conservative Republicans that happens
>>>>>to be the mayor of my home town?
>>>>>
>>>>>http://www.spokesmanreview.com/jimwest/
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No, I'm more interested in the criminal activities surrounding the
>>>>associates of the democratic mayor of Philadelphia in a "Pay to play"
>>>>scandal. It is, after all, more regionally relevant for me.
>>>>
>>>>http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.htm
>>>>
>>>>Gee Dave, after all your sermons about morality -- you don't care
>>>>about a pedophile that not only used his government office for
>>>>cyber-sex but promised internships to young boys in exchange for
>>>>'dates'? What happened to your morality, Dave? Did it suddenly get
>>>>lost because West is a conservative Republican?
>>>
>>>Geeze Frank, don't get your panties into a bunch here. This has
>>>nothing to do with my "morality", only that you live 3000 miles away
>>>from me and, as such, the events which occur there take a back seat in
>>>the local news to events which are also occurring here.
>>
>>Gee, so a couple gays getting married in San Francisco should be about
>>as unimportant as the mayor of Spokane, huh?

>
>
>A totally different issue. One single gay couple in San Fran, is a
>minor footnote. The broader reaching implications of such behavior, is
>what concerns me and most Americans.

So a mayor that's a homosexual and a pedophile doesn't have any
"broader reaching implications"?

>>> I was simply
>>>not aware for what you were referring.
>>>
>>>
>>>Your "Jim West" is a scumbag, plain and simple. Being a republican
>>>does not excuse him from human flaws or the consequences of acting out
>>>on them.
>>
>>
>>That's it? That's all you have to say about the subject?
>
>What do you want me to say?
>
>
>> No diatribe
>>about how it diminishes the credibility of your own mayor?
>
>Why should it? It's not the whole institution of mayor's which is at
>the heart of the issue. It's simply one person's sick, perverted
>behavior.

So the behavior is seperate from the office?

>> No sermon
>>on how his sexual perversions are a moral abomination?
>
>I would think you'd already know that. If my assumption was wrong
>then, I apologize.
>
>
>> Instead you
>>refer to his homosexuality and pedophilia as "human flaws" when you
>>have consistently referred to such behavior in much stronger language?
>
>How much stronger than "scumbag" do you want me to go? I'd like to
>keep this at a "PG" level.
>
>
>>Hey, it's not like I'm suprised -- it's ok to call Kerry a criminal
>>when there has been no trial; but Bush, who was tried and convicted of
>>a DUI, is guilty of nothing more than a "civil infraction".
>

>I'm sorry if the truth bothers you. Bush's conviction was for a motor
>vehicle violation at that time. Not a criminal offense. Despite the
>fact that Kerry never saw a courtroom for his perjurious and arguably
>treasonous behavior, his actions are still contemptible.
>
>
>> And all
>>the while it was -you- that said that anyone who breaks the law is a
>>criminal. So instead of condemning people in your own camp with your
>>own standards, you simply use softer words. How nice.
>
>You really need to get over yourself Frank. You spend far too much
>time analyzing my words, and attempting to imply meanings which are
>not there.

You can complain about how I "analyze" your words all you want, but
the fact remains that you change their definitions and context when
forced to defend yourself against your own words.

>>Liberal pedophile: guilty of a moral sin.
>>Conservative pedophile: victim of a human flaw.
>
>All pedophiles are guilty of moral sins, which happens to be a human
>flaw.

Then why do you refer to a homosexuality as immoral, yet a homosexual
that is a conservative Republican merely has "human flaws"? This has
to do with the strength of your wording, Dave. You use strong words
when addressing people you despise, but much softer words when
describing bad behavior of people you favor. This isn't a recent
observation, Dave -- it's one of the common characteristics of your
postings for a very long time. And it's very hypocritical.

>>You're a trip, Dave.
>
>No, your interpretations are.
>
>
>>>><snip>
>>>>All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools of the internet and
>>>>computers back when I had to do term papers. The task would have been
>>>>much less tedious and actually somewhat interesting, and fun.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Where did you go to college, Dave? And BTW, what was the name of that
>>>>tech school you claimed to have attended?
>>>
>>>Give me one good reason why I should tell you.
>>
>>
>>Because if you don't then your claim has no credibility, and I will be

>>reiterating that fact for as long as you post in this group.
>
>Then neither do any of yours for, as Twisty is so fond of pointing
>out, USENET is an anonymous service. Very little about anyone is
>verifiable. Being a ham puts me at somewhat of a disadvantage, as my
>name and address can be obtained from my call sign. But any personal
>information beyond that is revealed by personal choice at your own
>risk. As long as guys like Twisty can be a continual disruption and
>can safely hide from the consequences behind his cloak of anonymity, I
>feel no obligation to provide any more of my personal information,
>just to satiate your credibility issues. Remembering what happened to
>Dennis O, when his place of employment was found out, is further
>incentive for me to remain quiet about those aspects of my personal
>life.
>
>If you can't handle that, Tough.

How would a simple call to the administration of this alleged academic institution to verify your attendance get you fired from your present job? Or did you get your job by lying on your resume like you lie in this newsgroup?

You never attended any sort of post-graduate education, Dave. You probably took a high-school shop class and glorified it with your imagination.

>>>> Who does the majority party represent if not the majority?
>>>
>>>Is this a loaded question?
>>
>>
>>Not at all. Bush and the Republicans ignored the voices of the vast
>>majority of Americans when they tried to meddle in the Shiavo case. If
>>they were not acting on behalf of the majority of Americans then what
>>was their motive? IOW, who does the majority party represent if not
>>the majority?
>
>Principles, Character, Morality. Most of which the majority posses and
>agree with.

Apparently not, since the majority didn't agree with the "principles, character, morality" that the Republicans attempted to impose. So who does the majority party represent if not the majority? And why are you having such a difficult time answering such a simple question?

>>>> Where does the Constitution require, or
>>>>even suggest, that religious influence should play any role in the
>>>>government?
>>>
>>>Where does the Constitution require or even suggest that religious
>>>influences should NOT play any role in the government?
>>

>>
>>You claimed that the Constitution included words that defined this
>>country to be a Christian state. Where does it say anything of the
>>sort?
>
>I never made any such claim. But I'm sure you've misinterpreted one of
>my past statements and think I said it.

You most certainly did make that claim, and more than once:

"The constitution is relative as well. It was framed by Christian
people with their religious inspired morality contained within its
wording."

"A nation founded by Christian people based on Christian doctrine,
even if the 1st amendment decries that there is no 'official' state
sponsored religion."

"You who claim to support the constitution and the wisdom of our
forefathers (who were all religious people), yet now advocate that we
go above and beyond the definitions called for in the constitution..."

Need more examples?

>I did say that the constitution was written by religious, God
>respecting people, most of whom were Christian.

No, you said they were -all- Christians. Need a quote there too?

>>>> How does gay and lesbian marriage infringe on your rights?
>>>
>>>It is not a matter of infringing on my "rights".
>>
>>
>>That's absolutely correct, Dave.
>
>Of course it is, I said it.
>
>
>>> It's matter of
>>>tarnishing an institution that is based on religious practices.
>>
>>
>>Which has absolutely nothing to do with the government or the
>>Constitution.
>>
>>
>>> The
>>>government has no right to do such.
>>
>>
>>The government cannot prevent people from practicing their religion as
>>they see fit, even if their religion includes a definition of marriage

>>that's different than your's.
>>
>>
>>> The only thing the government can
>>>or should do is offer a civil union option, to provide gay couples the
>>>same civil rights and responsibilities as straight couples when
>>>dealing with secular issues.
>>
>>
>>You can call it a "civil union" if you want. They can call it a
>>"marriage" if -they- want. That's -their- right. It's not -your- right
>>to prevent them from exercising -their- rights.
>
>From a secular point of view, they have the right to live in sin, but
>no true Christian church would recognize such a union.

And the law doesn't require them to do anything of the sort. It only requires that you respect their -legal- rights. And if they choose to exercise their right to freedom of expression by calling their "civil union" a marriage, or if their religion formally recognizes homosexual marriage, then you have no right to prevent them from exercising their rights. It's a very simple concept, Dave. Why is it so hard for you to understand?

> And any
>institution that would, cheapens and tarnishes that institution.

Then that's the choice of the institution, not the government.

>>>You, a big advocate for separation of church and state, should
>>>understand where the line is drawn here. If you advocate that church
>>>doctrine should not be infused into the workings of the government,
>>>then the converse is also true. Otherwise you are practicing
>>>hypocrisy.
>>
>>
>>I agree, the government should not impose upon any religion. How does
>>gay marriage impose government upon religion?
>
>By forcing universal recognition of gay marriages as legitimate, which
>they are not in the eyes of God.

Where, in the Constitution, does it require that any law must be viewed as legitimate in the eyes of God? And even if it did, who exactly would make that determination? God's legal representative?

>>I see your point, Dave. But what you refuse to accept is that marriage
>>is not exclusive to religion.
>
>But it started there.

So? Christmas and Easter originated with Christianity but eventually merged with pagan festivals. Why aren't you bitching about that? The Sabbath is on Saturday but the Christians worship it on Sundays, despite the first of the Ten Commandments. Why aren't you bitching about that? The Bible embraces slavery, but it's now illegal in the US. Why aren't you bitching about that?

You aren't bitching about those things because you are only concerned with homosexuality. You are a bigot, Dave. And a hypocrite.

>>It may have been formally defined under
>>religion, but I wasn't there so I don't know for sure. Regardless, the
>>concept of marriage is not only secular but universal.
>
>By necessity, not by choice. And the secular definition of marriage
>simply adopted the religious definition of marriage in order to define
>the civil rights that married couples would gain. Creating a new
>definition of marriage, that is not endorsed by the church, is not
>acceptable.

Yet it already exists, like it or not.

>Think of it as similar to a copyrighted trademark. The church has
>"licensed" the term "marriage" to the government to use for civil
>purposes, as long as they abide by the terms of the license. Unless
>the church decides to condone a gay union (Not likely), then the
>government has no right to apply the term "marriage" to a secular gay
>union.

The church has a "copyright" on marriage? ROTFLMMFAO!!! Dave, marriage outside the sphere of religion has been socially recognized for many, many centuries -- perhaps even longer than religion!

>Like I said before, I have no problem with the government creating a
>gay civil union, with the same civil rights and responsibilities given
>to married couples, just don't call it marriage.

That's not your choice. Deal with it.

>> And as I have
>>pointed out several times before, the Christian definition of marriage
>>is, at best, poorly defined.
>
>But it has been widely accepted in this country for hundreds of years.
>Marriage is only recognized in the secular arena, due to the
>additional legal rights and responsibilities that couples get. Before
>the complexities of secular society necessitated such civil
>recognition of marriage, the only thing a couple needed to do was have
>the marriage blessed before God at a church service. That was all
>that was necessary to legitimize a marriage.

Times change, Dave. You can either change with the times or spend the rest of your life in a bitter depression.

>>>I have no problem with secular civil unions. I have a big problem with
>>>gay marriages.
>>
>>
>>You don't want homosexuals to be able to walk down the same street
>>that you do. You are a bigot.
>
>
>Ah, you're back to making unfounded speculative assumptions and then
>drawing an erroneous conclusion based on those unfounded speculations.
>That's yet another example of your poor logic. You never could stick
>to the facts Frank. But your liberal demonization tactic doesn't work
>on me Frank. Labeling me will not change the very real legitimacy of
>the issue.

Why not? You slap the "liberal" label on me all the time.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3336. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 13:05:58 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rpnr81hp5ap41d3bdf6kl6i4cvc94edbgj@4ax.com>
Source record: 200311

On Fri, 20 May 2005 07:52:17 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <9rir81tksfn4s5ljb1k56u5iqi6ljlq3to@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>Ok, then explain to me the necessity of phys-ed courses? Those are the
>ones that I was referring to as "nonsense". The examples you gave
>above I totally agree with. It's interesting that you'd think I was
>referring to them as "nonsense".

Considering that brain function is physiological, it is therefore
dependent upon general health and wellness of the body. And I can tell
that because you feel physical education is "nonsense" that you were
probably one of those fat little kids that couldn't get your chin over
the bar, or run a lap around the track without puking. And always the
last pick for dodge-ball.

>>Or is it that you don't like the idea of a liberal education because
>>it's 'liberal'?
>
>A liberal Arts degree is basically useless if making serious money is
>one of your goals. Seen on a bumper sticker: "I have a Liberal Arts
>degree, Do you want fries with that?"_

Well if it's on a bumper sticker then it -must- be true!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3337. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 13:11:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and with 100%
> accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?
>

Doesn't account for much!

It's kind of like a speed contest between a turtle and a snail --- mildly interesting, but inconsequential when comparing them to the speed of a cheetah.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

3338. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 13:30:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <JDlje.4886$uR4.592@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>> I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and
>> with 100% accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?
>
> Doesn't account for much!
> It's kind of like a speed contest between a turtle and a snail --- mildly
> interesting, but inconsequential when comparing them to the speed of a
> cheetah.
> de Hans, K0HB

Hans has it nailed pretty much. The value of the Leno
Sjow contest was the publicity for ham radio. Beyond
that, it was just a mildly interesting win for the hams.
Other than that, what else does the morse vs text
messaging contest win "account for"?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3339. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 14:23:19 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3f6a6oF61funU1@individual.net>
Source record: 200314

"mopathetic is still acting like a wristflipping sissy"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116543720.922055.270640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Vinnie S. wrote:
> > On Thu, 19 May 2005 11:53:38 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > >Which is a better unit?
> > >
> >
> >
> > I had a Galaxy 949. Great on AM. Drifted like an unanchored boat on
> SSB. It was
> > terrible on SSB. The Midland is notorious for terrible adjacent
> channel
> > interference.
> >
> > You will need to install the Channelguard filter on the Midland. Here
> is some
> > info:
> >
> > http://www.cbgazette.com/79-290_review.html
> >
> > I would try to get a Uniden Grant, Grant XL, Grant LT, or their
> equiv, if you
> > serious into SSB. If you are just going to AM, then the 959 is fine.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> They all weigh about the same, so the scrap metal value is rougly
> identical. Garbage, avoid illegal keyclowning. Get your ham license so
> you are not exposed to wristflipping keyclowns like mopathetic.
>
I get a ham license I might be exposed to idiots like you, no thanks.

3340. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 15:53:38 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dv1s815u8ldkedfb5f42k54uaakheoesdk@4ax.com>
Source record: 200315

On Fri, 20 May 2005 09:23:19 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote:

>> They all weigh about the same, so the scrap metal value is rougly
>> identical. Garbage, avoid illegal keyclowning. Get your ham license so
>> you are not exposed to wristflipping keyclowns like mopathetic.

>I get a ham license I might be exposed to idiots like you, no thanks.

Great point.

Vinnie S.

3341. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 17:32:08 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d6l6upnno1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 200316

"mopathetic is still acting like a wristflipping sissy"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116543720.922055.270640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Thu, 19 May 2005 11:53:38 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
> wrote:
>>
>> >Which is a better unit?
>> >
>>
>>
>> I had a Galaxy 949. Great on AM. Drifted like an unanchored boat on
> SSB. It was
>> terrible on SSB. The Midland is notorious for terrible adjacent
> channel
>> interference.
>>
>> You will need to install the Channelguard filter on the Midland. Here
> is some
>> info:
>>
>> http://www.cbgazette.com/79-290_review.html
>>
>> I would try to get a Uniden Grant, Grant XL, Grant LT, or their
> equiv, if you
>> serious into SSB. If you are just going to AM, then the 959 is fine.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> They all weigh about the same, so the scrap metal value is rougly
> identical. Garbage, avoid illegal keyclowning. Get your ham license so
> you are not exposed to wristflipping keyclowns like mopathetic.
>

Both type accepted, esp the 959, been over this....

Chad

3342. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 19:29:38 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FoqdnTG0pq48pxPfRVn-gw@giganews.com>
Source record: 200317

Chad Wahls wrote:
> "mopathetic is still acting like a wristflipping sissy"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1116543720.922055.270640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Vinnie S. wrote:
>>> On Thu, 19 May 2005 11:53:38 -0500, "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>> Which is a better unit?
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I had a Galaxy 949. Great on AM. Drifted like an unanchored boat on
>>> SSB. It was terrible on SSB. The Midland is notorious for terrible
>>> adjacent channel interference.
>>>
>>> You will need to install the Channelguard filter on the Midland.
>>> Here is some info:
>>>
>>> http://www.cbgazette.com/79-290_review.html
>>>
>>> I would try to get a Uniden Grant, Grant XL, Grant LT, or their
>>> equiv, if you serious into SSB. If you are just going to AM, then
>>> the 959 is fine. Vinnie S.
>>
>> They all weigh about the same, so the scrap metal value is rougly
>> identical. Garbage, avoid illegal keyclowning. Get your ham license
>> so you are not exposed to wristflipping keyclowns like mopathetic.
>>
>
> Both type accepted, esp the 959, been over this....

And over, and over, and over....

>
> Chad

3343. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 19:40:52 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2364-428E3D44-307@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200320

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 19 May 2005 09:34:45 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/10995886.h
tm
Philly is the scumbag capital of the country. They have nothing to be
proud of in the last twenty five years. Jobs, crime, housing,
education,..all past the brink. Medical care is the only thing that is
even half decent in that area, and even that is a joke when all is taken
in to consideration.

>I agree with you. Then again, Other than the

>fact that NYC has more money surging

>through it, it isn't any better.

Agreed.

> I'm not a fan of cities anyway.

Me neither.
-
"Gee Dave, after all your sermons about morality -- you don't care about
a pedophile that not only used his government office for cyber-sex but
promised internships to young boys in exchange for 'dates'? What
happened to your morality, Dave? Did it suddenly get lost because West
is a conservative Republican?"

>Geeze Frank, don't get your panties into a

>bunch here. This has nothing to do with my

>"morality", only that you live 3000 miles away

>from me and, as such, the events which occur

>there take a back seat in the local news to

>events which are also occurring here. I was

>simply not aware for what you were referring.

>Your "Jim West" is a scumbag, plain and

>simple. Being a republican does not excuse

>him from human flaws or the consequences of
>acting out on them.

Except when those flaws belong to Bush, then those consequences go out the window and people like you blame the demos for his incompetence.

>Because those "flaws" were largely invented

>by the left leaning media.

Get reality in your life. Failing to balance the budget,... the report by the Pentagon two days ago that "Iraq war not fairing as well as originally thought".....the lack of protection for the troops he sent in to battle underequipped and ill prepared.,,the list goes on and his failures have nothing to do with the demos, despite your hatred.

>There has been none to date which have been

>proven.

Then show me the balanced budget. Tell it to the military sons and daughters and parents who have lost loved ones for the very preventable reason of not having proper protection, supplies and equipment.
Now Bush is cutting bases in the US to pay for his tax cuts and failing (admitted by the Pentagon) war, the same thing you blasted Clinton for daring to entertain a few years ago, and he didn't even do it.

>Rathergate, is a glaring example of one such

>smear which got discovered before any real

>damage could be done.

You are wired to focus on anything but repsonsibility. You seek abdication of the Bush failures through unrealistic self-denial,

>Then there is the more recent Newsweek

>gaffe about flushing the Koran down a toilet

>(How does one flush a book down a toilet

>anyway?).

They have port- a-potty's in Gunatanamo, not toilets.

>Did you know that the gutless clowns at

>Newsweek had the nerve to actually blame

>the repercussions of their erroneous reporting

>on the Bush administration, for not denying it

>quickly enough?

The BUsh administration began the phoney reporting with their bogus "press releases" when it was found to be nothing of the sort, but you are not surprisingly silent when the Bush party fails with the same tactics.

>They print a lie, and they blame Bush for not

>denying it, as the reason why those people

>.were killed in the protests. Unbelievable!

Not as unbelievable as a homosexual prostitute circumventing general WH
security protocol reserved for only those with higher clearance with
zero explanation of how and why the security FAILED.

>But

>there is no character on the left side of the

>aisle. And it becomes more apparent with

>each passing day, and each further shrill

>speech a democrat makes.

>All I can say is that I sure wish I had the tools

>of the internet and computers back when I had
>to do term papers. The task would have been

>much less tedious and actually somewhat

>interesting, and fun.

Chances are you would have cheated.

>I've never cheated on any assignment that I've
>ever done. I've never had to.

Then why did you not provide the 2914 Stony Creek Rd address to the FCC
as required by law?

_
"Where did you go to college, Dave? And
BTW, what was the name of that tech school you claimed to have attended?

>.Give me one good reason why I should tell

>you.

Because you lost all credibility for all your claims for many valid
reasons.

>What you think is irrelevant,

It's not what I think, it's what more and more regs are conveying to you
on a regualr basis.

>and contrary to your wild imagination, you do

>not represent the majority.

Contrary to your claims that have been corrected by the majority of the
regs, it is yourself that is of the most radical, hypocritical, and of a

minority position that is usually incorrect.

>But I'll make a deal with you, I'll tell you every

>place where I went to school, when you give

>me your real name and address.

You invoked your schooling of your own free will. Your personal obsessive mania concerning my personal life has nothing to do with your unsolicited claims posted by yourself in order to lend your hurt feelings and soiled ago an image of support. This is done only because of your need for validation. You can find no support on or of your own.

>Deal?

Checkmate.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

3344. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 19:47:15 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2365-428E3EC3-81@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200319

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 19 May 2005 09:11:20 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
You were wrong.

>Possibly, in an extreme case.

Yea,,,the majority of times you are wrong are the result of your most
extreme -and- uninformed positions.

>But I was using

>standard norms (Which is 4 years) to base my
>timeline on.

If it's completed in less than four years, there is nothing standard
about it.

>Not many people have what it

>takes (Both from an academic and emotional

>standpoint) to complete a true 4 year program

>in less time.

It's happening all over America and is much more prevalent than you
know.

>But even if I was wrong and Frank earned his

>degree a year earlier, it doesn't change much

>of what I put down on the timeline,

Only by a year or so,,which makes a huge impact on your original claim.

>and doesn't

>change the suspicion that Frank has been a

>little too restless for the time that he had.

Any suspicion is yours.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

I'll wave a hand to your call this weekend. If you monitor the dial, you may even hear it.

3345. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 19:52:41 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2363-428E4009-1007@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200321

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 19 May 2005 09:25:41 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
You came full circle from denying it takes place (BS in less than 4
years) to not only acknowledging it happens, but giving your own
examples. See what you are capable of when others force you to think.
You sometimes just need a little kick of motivation.

>I never said it wasn't possible, only that it's not
>likely.

It's more than likely. It happens regularly at most major universities.

> You like to cite extreme examples to make (or

>counter) a point.

The fact that a four year degree is completed in less than four years
all over America each year by many is not an extreme example, it's an
accurate statement of the norm. You claiming such isn't likely is the
extreme deviation.

> On the other hand, I tend to take my

>examples from the means of the bell curve.

No you don't. You tend to work off of poor memory retainment and how
things "used to be".

>Most people fall into the 70% part of the bell

>curve, not the outside 30%.

While your percentages can be argued, it nevertheless illustrates a
comprehension difficulty regarding all those you are unable or not
permitted to effectively pigeonhole.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

3346. Re: Galaxy 959 or Midland 79-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 19:53:55 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d6lf8kqaq1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 200318

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:FoqdnTG0pq48pxPfRVn-gw@giganews.com...
> And over, and over, and over....
>

:)

3347. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 20:12:24 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2365-428E44A8-82@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200322

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 19 May 2005 10:01:53 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> It's also conceivable that over the last billion

>years, that the solar energy output from the

>sun could have deviated to some degree as

>well, which can certainly affect surface

>temperature here.

It's not conceivable (its definite), it's been proved the sun's harmful
rays have intensified over time. This is because of the damage in the
ozone layer.

>I'm not talking about the ozone layer, I'm

>talking about the sun's actual energy output.

>Check this out:

>http://www.nationalcenter.org/NPA203.html

>This is called global warming.

Again, you come full circle. My work on this topic is done.

>No one ever denied that global warming is

>occurring. The point of contention is how

>much of it can truly be definitively attributed to

>man's actions.

>Some light reading for you to bring you up to

>speed:

http://www.geocraft.com/WVFossils/greenhouse_data.html

http://www.intellicast.com/DrDewpoint/Library/1305/

http://www.intellicast.com/DrDewpoint/Library/1395/

>Your work on this topic is just beginning.......

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

The intellicast links aren't compatible with webtv. Here are a few for you, concerning your errors. Note the date on my references. They are last word on the subject. Of course, if you have data confirmed since by a verifiable source, feel free to post it....

http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/world/la-sci-icecap20may20,1,6006596.story?ctrack=1&cset=true

THE WORLD
As Climate Shifts, Antarctic Ice Sheet Is Growing
Increased snowfall on the central icecap partly offsets effects of melting glaciers, researchers say.
By Robert Lee Hotz, Times Staff Writer
As glaciers from Greenland to Kilimanjaro recede at record rates, the central icecap of Antarctica has been steadily growing for 11 years, partially offsetting the rise in seas from the melt waters of global warming, researchers said Thursday.
The vast East Antarctic Ice Sheet ☐ a 2-mile-thick wasteland larger than Australia, drier than the Sahara and as cold as a Martian spring ☐ increased in mass every year from 1992 to 2003 because of additional annual snowfall, an analysis of satellite radar measurements showed.
"It is an effect that has been predicted as a likely result of climate change," said David Vaughan, an independent expert on the ice sheets at the British Antarctic Survey in Cambridge, England.
In a region known for the lowest temperatures recorded on Earth, it normally is too cold for snow to form across the 2.7 million square miles of the ice sheet. Any additional annual snowfall in East Antarctica, therefore, is almost certainly attributable to warmer temperatures, four experts on Antarctica said.
"As the atmosphere warms, it should hold more moisture," said climatologist Joseph R. McConnell at the Desert Research Institute in Reno, who helped conduct the study. "In East Antarctica, that means there should be more snowfall."
The additional snowfall is enough to account for 45 billion tons of water added to the ice sheet every year, just about equal to the amount of water flowing annually into the ocean from the melting Greenland icecap, the scientists reported in research published online Thursday by the journal Science.
Rising sea level, which could swamp many coastal and island communities, is considered one of the most serious potential consequences of global warming, according to the most recent assessment by the United Nations' Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
Overall, sea level is estimated to be rising by 1.8 millimeters a year worldwide because of the expansion of warming water and the added outwash from melting glaciers in Greenland, Alaska, tropical highlands and some areas of Antarctica.
Every millimeter of increased sea level corresponds to about 350 billion tons of water a year.
The growth in the East Antarctic icecap is enough to slow sea-level rise

by a fraction of that ☐ about 0.12 millimeter a year ☐ the researchers reported.
All told, the fresh water locked up in the ice of East Antarctica is enough to raise the level of the oceans by about 196 feet, experts said.
If it continues to grow as expected, the ice sheet could buffer some, but not all, of the effects of anticipated sea-level rise for much of the coming century, the researchers said.
"It is the only large body of ice absorbing sea level rise, not contributing to it," said Curt H. Davis, a radar mapping expert at the University of Missouri-Columbia, who led the research team.
The researchers based their conclusions on an analysis of 347 million radar altimeter measurements made by the European Space Agency's ERS-1 and ERS-2 satellites from June 1992 to May 2003.
They determined that the icecap appeared to be thickening at the rate of 1.8 centimeters every year. The ice is thinning in West Antarctica and other regions of the continent.
"The changes in the ice look like those expected for a warming world," said glaciologist Richard Alley at Pennsylvania State University. "The new result in no way disproves global warming; if anything, the new result supports global warming."

–

One more for the road....

http://pqasb.pqarchiver.com/sptimes/842083261.html?MAC=4833b3286405896410dab46b4c26c9fe&did=842083261&FMT=FT&FMTS=FT&date=May+19%2C+2005&author=&printformat=&desc=Report%3A+Iraq+assessment+bleaker

http://pqasb.pqarchiver.com/sptimes/842083261.html?MAC=4833b3286405896410dab46b4c26c9fe&did=842083261&FMT=FT&FMTS=FT&date=May+19%2C+2005&author=&printformat=&desc=Report%3A+Iraq+assessment+bleaker

Spin it again and tell us how Iraq is getting better, Dave.

3348. OT Fishing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 21:25:13 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28878-428E55B9-1136@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200323

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, May 19, 2005, 1:06pm (EDT-1) From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> Organization: Fedora Core 3 Subject: OT - Fishing Date: Thu, May 19, 2005, 2:06pm Group: rec.radio.cb X-Trace: sv3-lK1apBvyweuMbsdAPYOKSsP7aCywBc60xJ3iBZ0Ufhbx8pA1OQOIPd1l4mbsvIUULcANwOY2Edl8vKO!03IABf 1St3ofcb5uGb2DtrZyCTg5unSobd57hb9T1L7/3po+weCBQQ2LphaD/w== X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
On Thu, 19 May 2005 10:27:04 -0400, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
You were right by Lake Okeechobee..

>That's where I stayed. I did go downtown to

>see the lake. All they had was a small sand

>beach and that tee shaped observation pier. I

>didn't see much else. Sure doesn't look much

>like a tourist area.

It's not. It's a world class fishing destination only. There are also airboat rides, as you probably know.

_
You should have brought a pole. Those
little canals and offshoots of waterways you saw driving on 710 are
among the best in the country for fishing....that's why you always see
pickups and cars prked in the middle of nowhere on that road.

>I'm not really in to fishing but yeah I did see

>people doing like you said. What about those,

>looks like, canals that run around the lake?

>Seems to me they have some kind of levee on
>the north side and several dams with spillways
>along there. What's that all about?

They built those things around the lake years ago to protect the area from flooding, but they really screwed up the lake and Everglades in doing so. Most people think the Everglades is way down at the bottom of the state, but the truth is the entire area from way up north Fl (St. Johns River) all the way to the keys is a slow moving mega-river (that includes dozens and dozens of rivers, bays, estuaries, canals, and waterways) and part of the Everglades. There is a huge movement to

restore the glades as close as they can to its original condition and it would include fixing what you recognized immediately (the goofy levees and dams) around the lake, as well as a bunch of other well intended but misguided similar projects done years ago by the Army Corp of Engineers.

3349. Re: Breaker breaker 19, how 'bout a radio check out there, c'mon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-20 21:40:48 UTC
From: A PROUD FREEBANDER <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116625248.657186.325860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200324

A PROUD FREEBANDER wrote:
> Yeah we're lookin' for a radio check out there, anybody got a copy on
> me, c'mon?

Yeah we're still lookin' for a radio check out there, c'mom. Break,
break 10-4?

3350. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 00:01:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <sTuje.93$g13.4945964@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200335

I don't hide the CB, just the BIG A$$ LINEAR!!!!

John

"Crapper" <crap@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:iJidnePj29LrThbfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> Its pretty bad when you have to hide a CB radio. When friends come over
> have you noticed the need to get out of the CB room as the embarrassment
> that they might see you around a CB. Most people think CBers are retarded,
> dumb, homosexual, gay, and queer, so we need to hide them.
>
>

3351. Re: Hide radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 00:04:53 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GWuje.95$O23.4992120@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200325

Then too, my radio covers 25-30Mhz... I just tune to the ham bands and let
my company listen to all the intellectuals... <grin>

John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:sTuje.93$g13.4945964@news.sisna.com...
>I don't hide the CB, just the BIG A$$ LINEAR!!!!
>
> John
>
> "Crapper" <crap@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:iJidnePj29LrThbfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> Its pretty bad when you have to hide a CB radio. When friends come over
>> have you noticed the need to get out of the CB room as the embarrassment
>> that they might see you around a CB. Most people think CBers are
>> retarded, dumb, homosexual, gay, and queer, so we need to hide them.
>>
>>
>
>

3352. off to Medina county!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 01:17:03 UTC
From: mopathetic is still acting like a wristflipping sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116638223.785774.37640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200326

We'll be back with great pix and webpages for keyclowns very soon.
Dayton or bust!

3353. Re: OT Fishing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 01:18:17 UTC
From: mopathetic is still acting like a wristflipping sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116638297.689398.45120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200327

Reduced to talking to AKC'ers since your boyfriend mopey is flouncing down to Dayton, ay?

3354. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 01:35:47 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ofwje.31475$Bg4.21678@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200328

Steveo wrote:
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:20050518152825.714$i7@newsreader.com...
>>
>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
>>>>
>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg

>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to
>>>>>>>>>>>get together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the
>>>>>>>>>>>numbnuts get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda
>>>>>>>>>>>funny actually...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
>>>>>>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
>>>>>>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in
>>>>>>>>>the cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to
>>>>>>>>>get it out...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>You've tried the eze-out?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
>>>>>>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
>>>>>>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which
>>>>>>>caused it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the
>>>>>>>head and its going to cost several hundred to fix.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
>>>>>>gaskets
>>>>>>and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
>>>>>>vehicle?
>>>>>
>>>>>61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said
>>>>>it was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with
>>>>>the new engine.
>>>>
>>>>New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>>
>>>
>>>Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.
>>
>> The Bronco is 32years old, so it's no spring chicken.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>
> Heard that, 39 years for the Belvedere.
38 for my schwinn with the big tires :p

3355. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 01:36:50 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ngwje.31476$Bg4.143@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200329

Landshark wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:CXaje.21897$Ee6.3685@fe12.lga...
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
>>>>>>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts
>>>>>>>>>>>get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny
>>>>>>>>>>>actually...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
>>>>>>>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
>>>>>>>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in the
>>>>>>>>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
>>>>>>>>>>out...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>You've tried the eze-out?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
>>>>>>>>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
>>>>>>>>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which caused
>>>>>>>>>it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and its
>>>>>>>>>going to cost several hundred to fix.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
>>>>>>>>gaskets
>>>>>>>>and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
>>>>>>>>vehicle?
>>>>>
>>>>>61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
>>>>>was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the
>>>>>new engine.
>>>>
>>>>New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>>

>>>
>>>Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.
>>
>>please, if that was the case then i'd still be driving the coronet 440.
>>the final tally on the frozen plug in the head was the head had cracks
>>around all 3 cylinders. bye bye head and 800 bux...
>
>
> Bummer. I thought I'd lost a valve last week,
> lucky though just a bad carburetor.
>
> Landshark
>
>
good part is that my neighbor has lent me his mustang gt ragtop for the
week...

3356. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 20, 2005 10:17:41 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 02:17:11 UTC
From: RLG101130@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116641831.778194.133540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200330
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION OF YOUR LIFE

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you
are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they are
going to Heaven when they die is because they just
don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that you
are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful place
with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be
saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and
believe in your heart that God raised Him from the
dead, you will be saved." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in
the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die
on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a
virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed
in a grave He rose from the dead as He said would
happen before He died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die
and then three days later come back to life and it
actually happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption
through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..."
(Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
things that you have done in your life including
lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it
from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure
that you will live in Heaven forever when this life
comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but
if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children,
I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if
anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father
in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
chance to do so because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one
can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
(John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ
as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be
forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.

* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.

* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.

[Please Visit These Excellent Websites]

Free Video
http://fathersloveletter.com/fllpreviewlarge.html

Free Jesus Videos
http://www.jesusvideo.org/videos/index.php

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cult_list.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

3357. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 20, 2005 10:17:41 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 03:23:57 UTC
From: fastlarry@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <1116645837.120738.50850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200331
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

I'll stand up and answer this, I am saved, I am born again, I am going to heaven because I love Jesus and follow his teachings. There is a heaven, I have been there. fl.

3358. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 20, 2005 10:17:41 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 03:58:38 UTC
From: Donald Link <linkd@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <2bct81t5nof5j3osj5ck9glj3357cubv0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 200332
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Fuck Jesus Christ that dirty old pervert that molests children and
does not use condems. He does not take a bath and is lice ridden and
does not wipe his ass with paper but uses the same hand he eats with.
Besides Budda says Jesus Christ need to chill out and quit beating his
meat.

On 20 May 2005 19:17:11 -0700, RLG101130@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION OF YOUR LIFE
>
>
>This is the most important question of your life.
>
>
>The question is: Are you saved?
>
>
>It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you
>are a church member, but are you saved?
>
>
>Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?
>
>
>The reason some people don't know for sure if they are
>going to Heaven when they die is because they just
>don't know.
>
>
>The good news is that you can know for sure that you
>are going to Heaven.
>
>
>The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful place
>with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.
>

>
>God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be
>saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.
>
>
>"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and
>believe in your heart that God raised Him from the
>dead, you will be saved." (Romans 10:9)
>
>
>Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in
>the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die
> on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.
>
>
>Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a
>virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
>life for thirty-three years.
>
>
>At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
>had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
>Jesus was crucified.
>
>
>Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed
>in a grave He rose from the dead as He said would
>happen before He died.
>
>
>If someone tells you that they are going to die
>and then three days later come back to life and it
>actually happens then this person must be the real deal.
>
>
>Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
>perfect sinless life.
>
>
>This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
>with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.
>
>
>The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption
>through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..."
>(Ephesians 1:7)
>
>
>If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
>present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be

>your Lord and Saviour.
>
>
>It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
>things that you have done in your life including
>lying and stealing all the way up to murder.
>
>
>Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it
>from your heart and God will hear you and save you.
>
>
>Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
>have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
>with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
>me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
>died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
>that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
>and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
>Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
>me through Your merciful grace. Amen.
>
>
>Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
>to save you.
>
>
>Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure
>that you will live in Heaven forever when this life
>comes to an end.
>
>
>As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but
>if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children,
>I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if
>anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father
>in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."
>
>
>Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
>trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
>chance to do so because you do not know when you will die.
>
>
>Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one
>can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
>(John 14:6)
>
>
>This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ

>as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be
>forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.
>
>
>The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
>torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
>have rejected Jesus Christ.
>
>
>The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
>Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
>have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
>what happens you are on your way to Heaven.
>
>
>Praise the Lord!
>Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
>Ronald L. Grossi
>
>
>* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.
>
>
>* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.
>
>
>* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.
>
>
>
>[Please Visit These Excellent Websites]
>
>
>Free Video
>http://fathersloveletter.com/fllpreviewlarge.html
>
>
>Free Jesus Videos
>http://www.jesusvideo.org/videos/index.php
>
>
>Free Movie: To Hell and Back
>http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
>
>Other Languages
>http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>
>
>The Passion Of The Christ

>http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>
>
>Beware Of Cults
>http://www.carm.org/cults/cult_list.htm
>
>
>About Hell
>http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
>
>Is Jesus God?
>http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>
>
>Free Online Bible
>http://www.biblegateway.com

3359. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 04:01:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <goyje.182$AR4.5834825@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200333
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

REPENT SINNER!!! Pull yer head out of yer bum, ya ain't good enough for GOD
to wipe his bum on...

John

<fastlarry@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1116645837.120738.50850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I'll stand up and answer this, I am saved, I am born again, I am going
> to heaven because I love Jesus and follow his teachings. There is a
> heaven, I have been there. fl.
>

3360. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 04:03:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1qyje.219$4U4.5863492@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200334
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

I had a neighbor just like you...

Finally the police caught him, all the neigbors are relieved, life is bliss--rejoice!!! ...one day you are going to make a whole neighborhood happy...

John

"Donald Link" <linkd@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:2bct81t5nof5j3osj5ck9glj3357cubv0e@4ax.com...
> Fuck Jesus Christ that dirty old pervert that molests children and
> does not use condems. He does not take a bath and is lice ridden and
> does not wipe his ass with paper but uses the same hand he eats with.
> Besides Budda says Jesus Christ need to chill out and quit beating his
> meat.
>
>
> On 20 May 2005 19:17:11 -0700, RLG101130@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>
>>THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION OF YOUR LIFE
>>
>>
>>This is the most important question of your life.
>>
>>
>>The question is: Are you saved?
>>
>>
>>It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you
>>are a church member, but are you saved?
>>
>>
>>Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?
>>
>>
>>The reason some people don't know for sure if they are
>>going to Heaven when they die is because they just
>>don't know.
>>

>>
>>The good news is that you can know for sure that you
>>are going to Heaven.
>>
>>
>>The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful place
>>with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.
>>
>>
>>God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be
>>saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.
>>
>>
>>"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and
>>believe in your heart that God raised Him from the
>>dead, you will be saved." (Romans 10:9)
>>
>>
>>Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in
>>the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die
>> on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.
>>
>>
>>Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a
>>virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
>>life for thirty-three years.
>>
>>
>>At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
>>had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
>>Jesus was crucified.
>>
>>
>>Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed
>>in a grave He rose from the dead as He said would
>>happen before He died.
>>
>>
>>If someone tells you that they are going to die
>>and then three days later come back to life and it
>>actually happens then this person must be the real deal.
>>
>>
>>Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
>>perfect sinless life.
>>
>>
>>This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
>>with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.
>>

>>
>>The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption
>>through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..."
>>(Ephesians 1:7)
>>
>>
>>If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
>>present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
>>your Lord and Saviour.
>>
>>
>>It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
>>things that you have done in your life including
>>lying and stealing all the way up to murder.
>>
>>
>>Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it
>>from your heart and God will hear you and save you.
>>
>>
>>Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
>>have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
>>with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
>>me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
>>died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
>>that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
>>and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
>>Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
>>me through Your merciful grace. Amen.
>>
>>
>>Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
>>to save you.
>>
>>
>>Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure
>>that you will live in Heaven forever when this life
>>comes to an end.
>>
>>
>>As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but
>>if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children,
>>I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if
>>anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father
>>in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."
>>
>>
>>Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
>>trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
>>chance to do so because you do not know when you will die.

>>
>>
>>Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one
>>can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
>>(John 14:6)
>>
>>
>>This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ
>>as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be
>>forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.
>>
>>
>>The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
>>torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
>>have rejected Jesus Christ.
>>
>>
>>The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
>>Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
>>have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
>>what happens you are on your way to Heaven.
>>
>>
>>Praise the Lord!
>>Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
>>Ronald L. Grossi
>>
>>
>>* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.
>>
>>
>>* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.
>>
>>
>>* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.
>>
>>
>>
>>[Please Visit These Excellent Websites]
>>
>>
>>Free Video
>>http://fathersloveletter.com/fllpreviewlarge.html
>>
>>
>>Free Jesus Videos
>>http://www.jesusvideo.org/videos/index.php
>>
>>
>>Free Movie: To Hell and Back

>>http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>>
>>
>>Other Languages
>>http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>>
>>
>>The Passion Of The Christ
>>http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>>
>>
>>Beware Of Cults
>>http://www.carm.org/cults/cult_list.htm
>>
>>
>>About Hell
>>http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>>
>>
>>Is Jesus God?
>>http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>>
>>
>>Free Online Bible
>>http://www.biblegateway.com
>

3361. Alternatives to declining 11 meter conditions -- phunny phreekin'cies!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 04:24:26 UTC
From: Sparky <sparky_unit_412@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116649466.903750.317390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200336

Simply put, Amateur Radio has become terribly boring. CB radio may have its share of occasional light hearted moments, but the real excitement and thrill of the magic of radio has certainly disappeared due to various factors of which we are all most familiar.

For those of you who are searching for the excitement and thrill you once experienced when you first discovered the mystical allure of radio communication, there's a place where you just might find for what you've long been searching.

Let me invite you to check out and ultimately join:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/all-band_radio_renegades_and_outlaws

This group is specifically designed to provide bored Amateur Radio Operators, CB'ers, Pirate Broadcasters, Commercial Radio Station owners, engineers, on-air talent, RF Technicians, Shortwave Listeners, Legal-Beagles, Newbies and non-techies a place where one can regain a sense of wonder at the sheer exploration of what we like to refer to as "Phunny Phreekin'cies". Modify your radios, put 'em on phreekin'cies on which they were not intended to operate, and enjoy a little harmless game of "cat & mouse". We've done and are doing the exhaustive research as to what operating phreekin'cies are not going to cause any disruption of official, life-dependant traffic. Our first and foremost concern is that we do not jeopardize existing services and their operations. Fellow bootleggers, there are TONS of phreekin'cies just waiting to be populated by a bunch of fun-loving renegades and radio outlaws! Remember, the 11 meter freeband is again taking it's cyclical demise, so we have to go "where the weather suits our clothes", so to speak.

Right now, we're playing around with 6.800 MHz LSB and 13.555 MHz USB (the 45 meter and 22 meter bands respectively). So what are you waiting for? When you finish listening to your favorite Pirate Broadcaster on 6.925 MHz or thereabouts, QSY to the chit-chat channel of 6.800 and discuss the programming you've just heard. Or get on and call CQ and see who comes back!

Please join us at:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/all-band_radio_renegades_and_outlaws

...and put a little fun back into your two radio operations before your radios become homes for mice, insects, and various genus of mold.

73 -- ~Sparky~

Often imitated -- NEVER duplicated.
Sparky -- AM/FM/SSB/CW from the ol' Cornpatch Mobile:
KALL-2671 -- CB Radio;
Unit 412/RF412/CO3026 -- Freeband 26-28MHz;
Radio Outlaw 412 -- 6.800 LSB & 13.555 USB;
W9*** -- Amateur (Ham) Radio;
WQRM313 -- GMRS;
M/V Mysterious Traveller -- Marine;
plus FRS, MURS, Shortwave... in other words DC to Daylight.
...got frequencies? ...got phun phreekin'cies?

Please join us at:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/all-band_radio_renegades_and_outlaws/

3362. FREE! "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" OF FREQ. MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 05:22:10 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <0cht819ikh6v713n8sqe34tqhcm9qqdpaf@4ax.com>
Source record: 200338

FREE!!

For advanced techs and serious radio hobbyists, we're now offering a FREE copy of our previously published "CB EPROM DATA BOOK" by Martin Pickering. (EPROM = Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.) We sold it for many years but it's now out of print. This little manual of 60 illustrated pages is an absolute GEM of CB technical knowledge you'll just never find anywhere else. And it's yours for FREE from our Web site.

This book reveals the secrets of advanced channel programming, and picks up where our "CB PLL DATA BOOK" (www.cbcintl.com/pllbook.htm) leaves off. You'll get a thorough background in how these amazing programmable chips can be used to modify many popular CB PLL circuits for expanded frequency coverage. Covers the basic programming techniques, and even includes real PC board artwork for making EPROM circuits. Sample artwork includes EPROM boards for the popular MB8719, PLL02A, ï¿½PD2824, and ï¿½PD858 PLL circuits.

Best of all, the price is right--namely, FREE! Download your FREE copy of "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" (948 KB Adobe PDF) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/EpromBook.htm

Don't forget to check out all our other CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3363. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 05:22:15 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <3cht81l93nqu6oh82va5uv2ceuuc6voh29@4ax.com>
Source record: 200337

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3364. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 05:22:18 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <7cht81p8qlua53v7bc529ti1b0gdilf5t3@4ax.com>
Source record: 200341

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3365. GALAXY-RANGER SERVICE MANUAL--2nd EDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 05:22:25 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <echt8193c906b6s5dtqc0auptto5n721du@4ax.com>
Source record: 200340

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

3366. GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 05:22:31 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <kcht81t1g72klqb8gbfh0tns00rc1ht1ge@4ax.com>
Source record: 200339

Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog of CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics (TriPower RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger Beep, etc.) and other CB technical articles at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

3367. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 10:55:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1116672933.371626.94380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill Sohl wrote:

> The value of the Leno
> Sjow contest was the publicity for ham radio. Beyond
> that, it was just a mildly interesting win for the hams.
> Other than that, what else does the morse vs text
> messaging contest win "account for"?

Actually, Bill, I think the main focus of the Leno show contest and its Australian predecessor wasn't ham radio at all.

There's a certain mindset that automatically connects "newer" with "faster/better/easier". There's even a word for it: "neophilia", meaning unreasonable love of new things.

The Leno clip shows that mindset in action in the way the woman being interviewed by Jay Leno immediately assumes text messaging will be faster than Morse Code. You also see it in the way the audience agrees. Text messaging is more than 150 years newer than Morse code - it must be faster, right?

Of course Jay knows the outcome is almost certain to be very different - that's the whole point of the segment. And when the Morse Code team wins the contest, the point is clear: Newer isn't always better, and old isn't always bad or useless.

--

There was a segment on the NPR radio quiz show "Wait, Wait, Don't Tell Me" on May 14, in which a caller was asked to identify the one true story out of three. One story was about the Australian text-vs.-Morse contest, and the other two were made-up along the lines of a new product having some surprising characteristics, like tomatoes that were flammable. (The caller got it right).

73 de Jim, N2EY

3368. FA: SIGNAL CORPS LIONEL J-38 MORSE CODE TELEGRAPH KEY>WWII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:32:42 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ZLGje.23926$NZ1.14722@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200343
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Ends Sunday Nite>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5774360968&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3369. FA: 3- NOS 40/50 pc. lots of Hitachi Power Mosfet Transistors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:35:14 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <kOGje.23927$NZ1.6389@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200445
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

This is for all my stuff, including these Power Mosfet's>
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

3370. FA: NOS>WESTERN ELECTRIC CW-701A PULSE RADAR TUBE>BIG> WWII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:36:40 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <HPGje.23928$NZ1.21225@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200444
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.collecting.military.insignia,rec.collecting.military.vehicles,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,us.military.army

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5774360824&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3371. FA: RCA WV-97A SENIOR VOLTOHMYST TUBE VTVM with PROBES:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:37:30 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <sQGje.23929$NZ1.17444@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200446
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5774361091&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3372. FA: NEW>BIRD 8201 TERMALINE COAXIAL RESISTOR>DUMMY LOAD>2500mz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:38:44 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CRGje.23931$NZ1.16761@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200447
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Practically a give-away at this price($103), cost well over $500>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5774361272&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3373. FA: $10>HEATHKIT AK-7 MOBILE SPEAKER for RECEIVERS/TRANSCEIVERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:39:53 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ISGje.23932$NZ1.13863@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200448
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

No Bids, only one day left!>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5774361145&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3374. FA: $10>NOS USA MIL-SPEC TEST LEAD PROBE SET>BIG $100 VALUE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:40:51 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <BTGje.23933$NZ1.21282@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200450
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

No Bids, ends tomorrow nite!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7515750115&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3375. FA: $10>DRAKE TV-1000-LP TVI LOW-PASS FILTER>1000WATTS, BEST:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:41:42 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <oUGje.23934$NZ1.16549@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200449
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5774361238&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3376. FA: ANTIQUE MILVAY DECADE RESISTANCE BOX, WOOD & BAKELITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:42:44 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <mVGje.23935$NZ1.3119@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200451
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Big $10>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5774361293&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3377. Re: Breaker breaker 19, how 'bout a radio check out there, c'mon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 13:48:04 UTC
From: J'in Fer Good Buddy <JinFer@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116683284.072229.133900@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200452

I Said "J'in Fer" Good Buddy!....ya's gittin up!

3378. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 15:13:17 UTC
From: fastlarry@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <1116688397.393396.225360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200453
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

The post that donald link made just proves rsb is the devils hq and what infests it are his imperial troops and his best soldiers. They are all going to hell. Let them, try and save the few who have a chance. None of these pool bums deserve heaven.

3379. http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram << THIS IS A GREAT VIDEO! Just click link to view the free video. May 21, 2005 3:09:38 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-21 19:09:03 UTC
From: AOLfan250113@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116702543.161210.86000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200454
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram << THIS IS A GREAT VIDEO! Just click link to view the free video. May 21, 2005 3:09:40 pm

3380. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 00:18:51 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116721131.347647.138740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
> >> I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and
> >> with 100% accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?
> >
> > Doesn't account for much!
> > It's kind of like a speed contest between a turtle and a snail ---
mildly
> > interesting, but inconsequential when comparing them to the speed
of a
> > cheetah.
> > de Hans, K0HB
>
> Hans has it nailed pretty much. The value of the Leno
> Sjow contest was the publicity for ham radio. Beyond
> that, it was just a mildly interesting win for the hams.
> Other than that, what else does the morse vs text
> messaging contest win "account for"?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Bill, are you and Hans trying to tell me that scores and scores of
youthful white males aren't going to go out and nail the 5aka13-15WPM
Farnsworth exam at the next Hamfest???

I'm inclined to agree. What were they thinking???

3381. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 00:20:45 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116721244.996114.291480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > The value of the Leno
> > Sjow contest was the publicity for ham radio. Beyond
> > that, it was just a mildly interesting win for the hams.
> > Other than that, what else does the morse vs text
> > messaging contest win "account for"?
>
> Actually, Bill, I think the main focus of the Leno show
> contest and its Australian predecessor wasn't ham radio
> at all.
>
> There's a certain mindset that automatically connects
> "newer" with "faster/better/easier". There's even a
> word for it: "neophilia", meaning unreasonable love
> of new things.

Like your new favorite word, "neocon?"

3382. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 02:29:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just so others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe to grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are gone to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return... however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...

Regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> Hi gang!
>
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> listened
> to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

3383. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 20, 2005 10:17:41 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 08:37:24 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116751044.332824.85440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200458
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Why don't you just post your stuff somewhere else and just ask people to click on your site instead of causing all of the trouble that you do. When you push stuff onto people they react in not so nice ways. Before you posted this, the, what I consider vulgar and non-necessary belligerent posts would not even been thought of, much less written down and posted here.

This is not a religious site. I don't think God has anything in the Bible covering the recreational use of CB radios. It refers to living a good life but why not take it elsewhere? I fear you and your postings more than I fear going to Hell.

3384. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 20, 2005 10:17:41 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 14:49:05 UTC
From: samsloan <sloan@ishipress.com>
Message-ID: <1116773345.327518.257960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200459
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Yes. I am saved. I was a pawn down but then he hung his bishop, so I
was saved.

3385. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 16:11:16 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Ea2ke.42$rY6.1@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200460

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Ngwje.31476$Bg4.143@fe10.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:CXaje.21897$Ee6.3685@fe12.lga...
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:7Fwie.22369$HJ2.15126@fe11.lga...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>Mopar! (where's daisey?)
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>http://home.bresnan.net/~spunbearing/GeneralLee.mpeg
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>hey, you ever realize that when you or anyone else decide to get
>>>>>>>>>>>>together for say Dayton or some other hoe down that the numbnuts
>>>>>>>>>>>>get all excited and post all sorts of crap. Kinda funny
>>>>>>>>>>>>actually...
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Yea I realize it Jim. It's a shame two or three no code
>>>>>>>>>>>walkie-talkie operators have nothing better to do on Usenet than
>>>>>>>>>>>poke CBer's with a pointy stick.
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>At any rate, did you watch that video?
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>nope didn't see it yet. still pissed that i broke a sparkplug in
>>>>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>>>>cylinder head of the truck. gonna have to pull the head to get it
>>>>>>>>>>out...
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>You've tried the eze-out?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>just talked to the mechanic and it seems there was a bolt that was
>>>>>>>>securing a plate over the exhaust manifold that snapped and somehow
>>>>>>>>let coolant drip into the spark plug opening in the head which
>>>>>>>>caused
>>>>>>>>it to rust into the head. f ing eh they have to pull the head and
>>>>>>>>its
>>>>>>>>going to cost several hundred to fix.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I've been there with that shit, Jim. Oh well, you'll have new head
>>>>>>>gaskets
>>>>>>>and such from the deal. How long were those plugs installed on that
>>>>>>>vehicle?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>61k miles. only one head as the other side looks ok. mechanic said it
>>>>>>was a problem with the old 318's but this is the first time with the
>>>>>>new engine.

>>>>>
>>>>>New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
>>>>>
>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.
>>>
>>>please, if that was the case then i'd still be driving the coronet 440.
>>>the final tally on the frozen plug in the head was the head had cracks
>>>around all 3 cylinders. bye bye head and 800 bux...
>>
>>
>> Bummer. I thought I'd lost a valve last week,
>> lucky though just a bad carburetor.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> good part is that my neighbor has lent me his mustang gt ragtop for the
> week...

Aren't you the lucky neighbor ;)

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature, or
direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you need from
your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

3386. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 16:17:28 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116778648.799139.63050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John Smith wrote:
> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom...
just so
> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe
to
> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
gone
> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to
return...
> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>
> Regards,
> John

If it can't be the ham radio that they knew in their youth, they do
want it destroyed, gone, dead. Several have made such claims.

3387. Need relay and socket - TRC-447, 457, or 458

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 16:22:00 UTC
From: Rbethman <rbethman@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <OdWdnVNvxps8LA3fRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 200462

Subject line says it. Please contact off-list

Bob

3388. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 20, 2005 10:17:41 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 16:42:06 UTC
From: fastlarry@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <1116780126.526561.156370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200463
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

All of the RSB front line Devils imperial troops and his best imperial guard must now repent and be saved, or youse is all doooooooooooooooooooomed. The end days are now here, youse is out of time. Or youse will be swimming in a pool of molted camel she-yit for eternity.

3389. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 17:30:05 UTC
From: RLG101152@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116783005.740017.114350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200464
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION OF YOUR LIFE

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and believe in your heart that God raised Him from the dead, you will be saved." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed in a grave He rose from the dead as He said would happen before He died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die and then three days later come back to life and it actually happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds) with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past, present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad things that you have done in your life including lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure that you will live in Heaven forever when this life comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another chance to do so because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one
can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
(John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ
as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be
forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.
* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.
* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.

[Please Visit These Excellent Websites]

Free Video
http://fathersloveletter.com/fllpreviewlarge.html

Free Jesus Videos
http://www.jesusvideo.org/videos/index.php

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cult_list.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

3390. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 19:17:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <6V4ke.4872$Mb6.2211985@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The number of hams--worldwide, is fewer in number than the number of illegal aliens in California... they have already done it--their alzheimers may just be too advanced for them to realize it...

Warmest regards,
John

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116778648.799139.63050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom...
> just so
>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe
> to
>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
> gone
>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to
> return...
>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>
>> Regards,
>> John
>
> If it can't be the ham radio that they knew in their youth, they do
> want it destroyed, gone, dead. Several have made such claims.
>

3391. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 19:50:56 UTC
From: fastlarry@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <1116791456.726679.14380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200465
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

All you sinners and the devils front line troops, Ripp, Smorgie, Deb the deathstar, repent now, or fry in hell, Jesus is coming.

3392. FA: Johnson Messenger Model 4140 40ch CB Radio - the real deal ! ! ! !! ! ! ! !!!!! !! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 20:58:58 UTC
From: jimbradley@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1116795538.160960.310940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200467

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5775924842

3393. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 21:17:46 UTC
From: Ed Light <nobody@nobody.there>
Message-ID: <_F6ke.906$rr.863@fed1read01>
Source record: 200468
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Yes. I am saved. God was working on his computer one day, had a great inspiration, keyed it in, clicked up Save As, typed in my name, and clicked OK. Ever since then, greatness has happened.

--
Ed Light

Smiley :-/
MS Smiley :-\

Send spam to the FTC at
uce@ftc.gov
Thanks, robots.

3394. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 21:23:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <lL6ke.4882$U87.2392154@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200469
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Ed:

Naaa, he just sold your email to a bunch of "spammers from hell"... ill winds now blow a bad foreboding of your future...<frown>

Warmest regards,
John

"Ed Light" <nobody@nobody.there> wrote in message news:_F6ke.906$rr.863@fed1read01...
> Yes. I am saved. God was working on his computer one day, had a great
> inspiration, keyed it in, clicked up Save As, typed in my name, and
> clicked OK. Ever since then, greatness has happened.
>
> --
> Ed Light
>
> Smiley :-/
> MS Smiley :-\
>
> Send spam to the FTC at
> uce@ftc.gov
> Thanks, robots.
>
>

3395. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 22:01:19 UTC
From: David Ames <worldrecord@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116799279.317506.227600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200470
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Yeah, well ...

3396. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 22:25:44 UTC
From: Angelo DePalma <adpspammersgotohell@tellurian.net>
Message-ID: <OP6dnRiBfOyemgzfRVn-2g@garden.net>
Source record: 200471
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Only the God of FastLarry could think up such a diabolical punishment.

<fastlarry@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1116780126.526561.156370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> All of the RSB front line Devils imperial troops and his best imperial
> guard must now repent and be saved, or youse is all
> doooooooooooooooooooomed. The end days are now here, youse is out of
> time. Or youse will be swimming in a pool of molted camel she-yit for
> eternity.
>

3397. Mods for 2 radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 22:54:31 UTC
From: Jim <james@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fLydnXS2MfABkAzfRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200472

Can anybody help me with mods for a Cobra 148 NW ST or an old Sharp CB 2460.
I have looked but cant find anything anywhere.

Thanx

3398. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 23:30:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Nice try, John

In the original post I stated "just for grins".

As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day when the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure out what my change was. She had to call a manager!

I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am active in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service for a week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use my Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without mains. Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There are two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!

I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no sanity test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to high power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.

What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a knot over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.

As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's license as well ....

Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but the underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for *everyone*. This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a repeater which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television, aircraft guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more. Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles came about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think there might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally, there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the world, total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology progressed, there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300 gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed many times since.

At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I have another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.

Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down on the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't like my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet. It is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box turned up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning. Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share should this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the mp3 cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack on the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv. I hope they enjoy my tunes:

1) Washington Post March
2) Anchors aweigh
3) The Thunderer
4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)

I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))

With all due regards from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just so
> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe to
> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are gone
> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return...
> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
> > Hi gang!
> >
> >
> > Just for some grins, check this out:
> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
> >
> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
> > listened
> > to about 37 years ago ....
> >
> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
> >
> >

> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> >
>
>

3399. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 23:30:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <eC8ke.1847$8g.548@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xmlje.3504$X92.644@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > I guess the fact that the Morse guys did it faster and with 100%
> > accuracy doesn't account for anything, eh?
> >
>
> Doesn't account for much!
>
> It's kind of like a speed contest between a turtle and a snail --- mildly
> interesting, but inconsequential when comparing them to the speed of a
cheetah.
>
> dit dit
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

Hello, Hans

Very true. One interesting thing of note - text messaging I'd consider similar to cw in one thing - it may be fun, but not highly useful. If one needs to send a lot of text, a computer and the Internet just might be considerably faster (assuming one can touch type at a reasonable rate). Via radio, there are digital modes, of course, and text can be reliably sent much faster with many of the digital modes (even the very dated RTTY).

I have to chuckle at the length of this thread; I thought it an interesting link and figured there would be a couple of cw detractors that would answer, but the thread turned out a bit longer than I figured. I keep forgetting how heated the cw vs no cw arguement can get.

I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and .... well, I probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can vertical :)

Fortunately, the better half didn't get upset ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3400. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-22 23:46:02 UTC
From: KØHB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1116805562.454085.58650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hampton wrote:

>
> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and ....
well, I
> probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
> vertical :)
>

Ah yes, a vertical 'beverage' antenna! Our FD group had one for 20M,
but we had to quit using it when our FD QTH changed to the football
field of a local High School.

Anyhow, Happy Birthday!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3401. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 00:08:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time when dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come and gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having to learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however, because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type after they realize the need...

... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have been made even simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and continues to do so--

... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios are so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too expensive with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away in the streets and not improve that...

... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has killed ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have stood by and watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID have all their mental facilities about them and still allowed it...

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny...
> Nice try, John
>
> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>
> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
> when
> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure out
> what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>
> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am active
> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service for
> a
> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use my
> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without mains.
> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There are

> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>
> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no sanity
> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
> high
> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>
> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a knot
> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>
> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's license
> as
> well ....
>
> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
> the
> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
> *everyone*.
> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
> repeater
> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television, aircraft
> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles came
> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think there
> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
> world,
> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology progressed,
> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300
> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
> many
> times since.
>
> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I have
> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>
> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down on
> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't like
> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet. It
> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box turned
> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
> should
> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
> mp3

> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack on
> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv. I
> hope they enjoy my tunes:
>
> 1) Washington Post March
> 2) Anchors aweigh
> 3) The Thunderer
> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>
> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just
>> so
>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe to
>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
>> gone
>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return...
>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>
>> Regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>> > Hi gang!
>> >
>> >
>> > Just for some grins, check this out:
>> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>> >
>> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
>> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
>> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
>> > listened
>> > to about 37 years ago ....
>> >
>> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA

>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

3402. GREAT VIDEO! >> http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram << Just click link to view the free video..... May 22, 2005 8:20:34 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 01:05:35 UTC
From: AOLfan250136@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116807596.851164.153620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200477
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

```
GREAT VIDEO! >> http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram <<
Just click link to view the free video.......... May 22, 2005 8:20:35 pm
```

3403. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 01:36:38 UTC
From: Ratchet <ratchet@greenapple.com>
Message-ID: <429133A6.1000505@greenapple.com>
Source record: 200478
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

fastlarry@bellsouth.net wrote:
> All you sinners and the devils front line troops, Ripp, Smorgie, Deb
> the deathstar, repent now, or fry in hell, Jesus is coming.
>

With you as his prophet ? Take me first , please lord !!

3404. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 02:04:13 UTC
From: fastlarry@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <1116813853.782416.302900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200480
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Rachet says:
Ratchet May 22, 9:36 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.sport.billiard, rec.video.desktop,
rec.games.chess.politics, rec.radio.cb, rec.juggling
From: Ratchet <ratc...@greenapple.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: Sun, 22 May 2005 21:36:38 -0400
Local: Sun,May 22 2005 9:36 pm
Subject: Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

fastla...@bellsouth.net wrote:
> All you sinners and the devils front line troops, Ripp, Smorgie, Deb
> the deathstar, repent now, or fry in hell, Jesus is coming.

With you as his prophet ? Take me first , please lord !!
FL SAYS; Dude, I am no prophet, just a simple messenger who KNOWS the end days are here and is just issuing you sinners a simple warning, repent, your time is now very short.

3405. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 02:04:19 UTC
From: fastlarry@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <1116813859.566301.63940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200479
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Rachet says:
Ratchet May 22, 9:36 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.sport.billiard, rec.video.desktop,
rec.games.chess.politics, rec.radio.cb, rec.juggling
From: Ratchet <ratc...@greenapple.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: Sun, 22 May 2005 21:36:38 -0400
Local: Sun,May 22 2005 9:36 pm
Subject: Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your
life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good
you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you
sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't
know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they
just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are
going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

fastla...@bellsouth.net wrote:
> All you sinners and the devils front line troops, Ripp, Smorgie, Deb
> the deathstar, repent now, or fry in hell, Jesus is coming.

With you as his prophet ? Take me first , please lord !!
FL SAYS; Dude, I am no prophet, just a simple messenger who KNOWS the
end days are here and is just issuing you sinners a simple warning,
repent, your time is now very short.

3406. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 03:56:53 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <9wcke.204$kS3.11@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Sent: Sunday, May 22, 2005 4:30 PM
Subject: Re: Just for grins - CW

> Nice try, John
>
> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>
> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
> when
> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure out
> what my change was. She had to call a manager!

OMG, she couldn't count backwards? This is what the
future has to look forward too.

>
> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am active
> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service for
> a
> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use my
> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without mains.
> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There are
> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>
> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no sanity
> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
> high
> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.

No test for people in life, you & I both know that :)

>
> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a knot
> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>
> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's license
> as
> well ....

>
> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
> the
> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
> *everyone*.
> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
> repeater
> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television, aircraft
> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles came
> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think there
> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
> world,
> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology progressed,
> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300
> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
> many
> times since.
>
> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I have
> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>
> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down on
> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't like
> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet. It
> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box turned
> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
> should
> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
> mp3
> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack on
> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv. I
> hope they enjoy my tunes:

LOL!!!, the 60's 70 are over big guy ;)

>
> 1) Washington Post March
> 2) Anchors aweigh
> 3) The Thunderer
> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>
> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
>
>
> With all due regards from Rochester, NY

> Jim AA2QA

Oh Yeah..... Go to hear from You Jim.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

3407. MAKE FAST EASY MONEY USING YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 05:04:26 UTC
From: cinlee <leech76@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <42916442_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 200482

MAKE FAST EASY MONEY USING YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT!
Comments:
MAKE FAST EASY MONEY USING YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT!
MAKE MONEY FAST WITH ONLY $3.00. THIS REALLY WORKS !
Make from $20,000 to $50,000 in as little as 4 -6 weeks with only an initial investment of $3,by using a simple secure internet account called Paypal, that takes only a few minutes to join and get started.
I've actually taken the 10 minutes it requires to join this wacky bunch of optimists and I'm hoping that there are others out there that will spare the change to try this.
READ ON : I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. "A little while back, I was browsing through news groups and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $3.00!
So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send only $1.00 to both of the 3 email addresses stated in this article.
You then place your name and address at the bottom of the list at the #3th position and email it to your friends as well as post this message (with your email address in the list) to at least 200 newsgroups.
(There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it.
I figured "what have I got to lose except $3.00, right?" So I invested the measly $3.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!
Within days, I started getting money coming into my Pay Pal account! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00 which means that 25 people joined the group after they saw my posted messages.
By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $3.00, and the few minutes it took to join Paypal.
I have spent more than that on the lottery!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works. Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it, as you need it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions EXACTLY, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
SUGGESTION:
Read this entire message carefully! (Print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's that easy.
It's legal. And, your investment is only $3.00.
IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and

it is virtually no risk-it really works! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends.
AGAIN, PLEASE NOTE: Follow these directions EXACTLY, and $20,000 or more can be yours in 4 to 6 weeks. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants.
Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list.
Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
STEP 1:
Take a few minutes to join Paypal:
The Internet's most trusted Internet banking system for your future income.
When creating your Paypal account make sure you use the same Email address as your user name in the list below so people can send money to your account.
STEP 2
Once you have a Paypal account running, use Paypal to post $1 to EACH of the 3 Email Addresses below because you pay people with an email address in Paypal.
To do this you may need to use a credit card, which is very easy, and secure so nobody but Paypal may see it. If you don't have a credit card show this great lucrative offer to someone that has one and get them to help you pay the $3.
What you are doing is creating a service. This is absolutely legal! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it!
Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. But I looked around and did some homework and found it was perfectly legal.
Here are the 3 individual Email addresses that you have to send $1 to through Paypal for this to work properly and for you to receive money.
(If you don't send the money and decide to post the message with your name in it anyway, Paypal will instantly pick this up and terminate your account, immediately, besides the other penalties!!
If you did this you could get into serious trouble because it is fraud. (So follow the instructions and post the $3 and you will make lots of money with no hassles.)
Now send $1 to each of these following email - addresses through your paypal account: (Just in case you still haven't one yet, use this link to open one in your name,
and pay $1 to each the following 3 email- addresses

1.) lucky_leester@yahoo.com

2.) quynhtuong@hotmail.com

3.) leech76@iprimus.com.au

STEP 3
Now take the #1 Email Address off the list that you see above, move the other Email Addresses up (3 becomes 2, 2 becomes 1) and add Your Email Address as number 3 on the list.
STEP 4
Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to the original as possible.
Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups (there are thousands of groups).
And email your message to as many people you can But remember, the more you post and email the more money you make! This is perfectly legal!
If you have any doubts, refer to Sec. 1302 & 1241 of the Postal Lottery laws.
Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions.
You are simply paying into a money making chain of participants and if the chain is broken you are screwing someone else out of their fair share.
So, as each person who joins the family and the directions are followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
You then insert your Email Address into the list and it will move up geometrically. So that when your name reaches the #1 position you will have potentially received thousands of dollars into your account!!!
What an opportunity for only a total of $3.00 !! $1 for each of the first three people listed above
To begin with, please send you're joining fee now and then add your own Email Address to the list and you're in business!
HOW TO POST TO NEWS GROUPS?
Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for various newsgroups(on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Log on any search engine like yahoo.com,google.com,altavista.com, excite.com, etc., then search with the subject ''millionaire message board'' or''money making message board'' or ''employment message board''or ''money making discussions'' or ''money making forum'' or ''business message board'' etc.
You will find thousands & thousands of message boards. Click on them one by one and you will find the option 'post a new message'. Then Post this article as a 'new message' by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting copy then paste from the edit menu.
Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button.
You're done with your first one! It only takes about 60 seconds to complete postings on message boards after you catch on.
REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!!
BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200!That is it You will begin receiving money in your account from around the world within days!
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.

Now the WHY part out of 200 postings: Say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #3 on the letter.
A - M - A - Z - I - N - G - !!
When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $3.00 to Email Addresses using Paypal on the list, putting your name at number 3 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is that thousands of people all over the world are joining the Internet and reading these articles everyday.
JUST LIKE YOU ARE NOW!! So, can you afford $3.00 to see if it really works??
I think so!
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what!
What are the chances of that happenin when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet & newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try ??
Anyway, it is only $3.00 for a chance at thousands. Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual Internet.
Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.
There's no use trying to cheat for only $3.00 ***
With warm wishes, bless you and your loved ones

3408. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 05:12:14 UTC
From: Ed Light <nobody@nobody.there>
Message-ID: <NCdke.969$rr.876@fed1read01>
Source record: 200483
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:lL6ke.4882$U87.2392154@news.sisna.com...
> Ed:
>
> Naaa, he just sold your email to a bunch of "spammers from hell"... ill
> winds now blow a bad foreboding of your future...<frown>

Aaak!!! :-)

--
Ed Light

Smiley :-/
MS Smiley :-\

Send spam to the FTC at
uce@ftc.gov
Thanks, robots.

3409. Re: MAKE FAST EASY MONEY USING YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 05:17:25 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GHdke.8420$bb2.4948100@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200484

Write your name on a $100 dollar bill, wrap it in a sheet of paper and send it to me... I will pray to St. Jude for you... it will DOUBLE the amount of people who go for your ignorant scam--don't be an unbelieving heathen... do it now!!! You are losing money as you read this, time is of importance here...

John

"cinlee" <leech76@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message news:42916442_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> MAKE FAST EASY MONEY USING YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT!
> Comments:
> MAKE FAST EASY MONEY USING YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT!
> MAKE MONEY FAST WITH ONLY $3.00. THIS REALLY WORKS !
> Make from $20,000 to $50,000 in as little as 4 -6 weeks with only an
> initial investment of $3,by using a simple secure internet account called
> Paypal, that takes only a few minutes to join and get started.
> I've actually taken the 10 minutes it requires to join this wacky bunch of
> optimists and I'm hoping that there are others out there that will spare
> the change to try this.
> READ ON : I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. "A
> little while back, I was browsing through news groups and came across an
> article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars
> within weeks with only an initial investment of $3.00!
> So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I
> was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send only $1.00
> to both of the 3 email addresses stated in this article.
> You then place your name and address at the bottom of the list at the #3th
> position and email it to your friends as well as post this message (with
> your email address in the list) to at least 200 newsgroups.
> (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over,
> and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it.
> I figured "what have I got to lose except $3.00, right?" So I invested the
> measly $3.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!
> Within days, I started getting money coming into my Pay Pal account! I was
> shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in.
> In my first week, I made about $25.00 which means that 25 people joined
> the group after they saw my posted messages.
> By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1000.00! In the
> third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
> This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00
> and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $3.00, and the few
> minutes it took to join Paypal.
> I have spent more than that on the lottery!
> Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works. Also,

> make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the
> information off of it, as you need it.
> I promise you that if you follow the directions EXACTLY, that you will
> start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so
> easy!
> SUGGESTION:
> Read this entire message carefully! (Print it out or download it.)
> Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's that easy.
> It's legal. And, your investment is only $3.00.
> IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal;
> and it is virtually no risk-it really works! If all of the following
> instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends.
> AGAIN, PLEASE NOTE: Follow these directions EXACTLY, and $20,000 or more
> can be yours in 4 to 6 weeks. This program remains successful because of
> the honesty and integrity of the participants.
> Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. In
> this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You
> are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
> Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists.
> However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income
> which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that
> list.
> Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
> STEP 1:
> Take a few minutes to join Paypal:
> The Internet's most trusted Internet banking system for your future
> income. When creating your Paypal account make sure you use the same Email
> address as your user name in the list below so people can send money to
> your account.
> STEP 2
> Once you have a Paypal account running, use Paypal to post $1 to EACH of
> the 3 Email Addresses below because you pay people with an email address
> in Paypal.
> To do this you may need to use a credit card, which is very easy, and
> secure so nobody but Paypal may see it. If you don't have a credit card
> show this great lucrative offer to someone that has one and get them to
> help you pay the $3.
> What you are doing is creating a service. This is absolutely legal! You
> are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it!
> Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the
> legal aspects of it all. But I looked around and did some homework and
> found it was perfectly legal.
> Here are the 3 individual Email addresses that you have to send $1 to
> through Paypal for this to work properly and for you to receive money.
> (If you don't send the money and decide to post the message with your name
> in it anyway, Paypal will instantly pick this up and terminate your
> account, immediately, besides the other penalties!!
> If you did this you could get into serious trouble because it is fraud.
> (So follow the instructions and post the $3 and you will make lots of
> money with no hassles.)

> Now send $1 to each of these following email - addresses through your
> paypal account: (Just in case you still haven't one yet, use this link to
> open one in your name,
> and pay $1 to each the following 3 email- addresses
>
> 1.) lucky_leester@yahoo.com
>
> 2.) quynhtuong@hotmail.com
>
> 3.) leech76@iprimus.com.au
>
> STEP 3
> Now take the #1 Email Address off the list that you see above, move the
> other Email Addresses up (3 becomes 2, 2 becomes 1) and add Your Email
> Address as number 3 on the list.
> STEP 4
> Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to the
> original as possible.
> Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups (there are
> thousands of groups).
> And email your message to as many people you can But remember, the more
> you post and email the more money you make! This is perfectly legal!
> If you have any doubts, refer to Sec. 1302 & 1241 of the Postal Lottery
> laws.
> Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you
> can use it again and again.
> PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the
> honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering
> to the directions.
> You are simply paying into a money making chain of participants and if the
> chain is broken you are screwing someone else out of their fair share.
> So, as each person who joins the family and the directions are followed,
> six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer
> with one dollar each.
> You then insert your Email Address into the list and it will move up
> geometrically. So that when your name reaches the #1 position you will
> have potentially received thousands of dollars into your account!!!
> What an opportunity for only a total of $3.00 !! $1 for each of the first
> three people listed above
> To begin with, please send you're joining fee now and then add your own
> Email Address to the list and you're in business!
> HOW TO POST TO NEWS GROUPS?
> Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for various
> newsgroups(on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
> Log on any search engine like yahoo.com,google.com,altavista.com,
> excite.com, etc., then search with the subject ''millionaire message
> board'' or''money making message board'' or ''employment message board''or
> ''money making discussions'' or ''money making forum'' or ''business
> message board'' etc.
> You will find thousands & thousands of message boards. Click on them one

> by one and you will find the option 'post a new message'. Then Post this
> article as a 'new message' by highlighting the text of this letter and
> selecting copy then paste from the edit menu.
> Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they
> scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post
> message button.
> You're done with your first one! It only takes about 60 seconds to
> complete postings on message boards after you catch on.
> REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!!
> BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200!That is it You will begin receiving
> money in your account from around the world within days!
> JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
> Now the WHY part out of 200 postings: Say I receive only 5 replies (a very
> low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #3 on the letter.
> A - M - A - Z - I - N - G - !!
> When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting
> in the newsgroups, and send out another $3.00 to Email Addresses using
> Paypal on the list, putting your name at number 3 again. And start posting
> again.
> The thing to remember is that thousands of people all over the world are
> joining the Internet and reading these articles everyday.
> JUST LIKE YOU ARE NOW!! So, can you afford $3.00 to see if it really
> works?? I think so!
> People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the
> money? So what!
> What are the chances of that happenin when there are tons of new honest
> users and new honest people who are joining the internet & newsgroups
> everyday and are willing to give it a try ??
> Anyway, it is only $3.00 for a chance at thousands. Estimates are at
> 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the
> actual Internet.
> Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.
> There's no use trying to cheat for only $3.00 ***
> With warm wishes, bless you and your loved ones
>
>
>
>
>
>

3410. AKC still here, ratting out auctions left and right!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 07:00:50 UTC
From: Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116831650.333786.4320@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200485

Hope some of these are yours!

5776705963,5776698089,5776696913,5776694785,5776691646
5776688430,5776683712,5776677490,5776640723,5776635033
5776635033,5776608975,5776608971,5776608159,5776604756
5776603968,5776603364,5776603370,5776602696,5776602694
5776602281,5776602277,5776563631,5776505681,5776494178
5776442599,5776262487,5776211358,5776112902,5776108248
5776068342,5775809934

3411. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 12:09:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523080905.721$ev@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and .... well,
> I probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
> vertical :)
>
> Fortunately, the better half didn't get upset ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
Happy belated B-day, Jim. Many more returns! 160 meter half wave, is
that anything like enough beer to float a battleship? <g>

3412. Re: OT Killer Charger video

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 12:10:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523081010.373$eg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200487

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >>>>New in 1975 ;) 30 years old and only 61k and one bum plug.
> >>>>
> >>>> Landshark
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Not bad service! Jim keeps his vehicles for at least 30 years.
> >>
> >> The Bronco is 32years old, so it's no spring chicken.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> >
> > Heard that, 39 years for the Belvedere.
>
> 38 for my schwinn with the big tires :p
>
Peewee Herman style?

3413. Re: Mods for 2 radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 13:01:20 UTC
From: HarryHydro <harryhydro@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1116853280.094350.104710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200488

I have the Cobra. Cool radio. Love the display. What you need to
know?
Hydro

3414. Re: Mods for 2 radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 13:27:21 UTC
From: Sir_Oblivion <sir@oblivion.org>
Message-ID: <9b8d1$4291ea78$3f8749be$10835@NETROX.NET>
Source record: 200489

"Jim" <james@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:fLydnXS2MfABkAzfRVn-uA@comcast.com...
> Can anybody help me with mods for a Cobra 148 NW ST or an old Sharp CB
> 2460.
> I have looked but cant find anything anywhere.

All I have on the Sharp 2460 is RF adjustment T304, L302, L303. AMC is R112.
Hope this helps.

3415. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 15:04:19 UTC
From: Hamsoldandnew <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net>
Message-ID: <1cd26$4291f0f0$d1cc59c0$3860@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 200490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> them off.

If they exhibited their typical condescending
mentality or mannerisms like they do to the paying
dealers/vendors in the outside flea market, this would
not suprise me at all. I'd not even donate a cheap prize
pair of dollar store headphones. BTW we really liked the
condition of the dealer's parking lot this year!
The blacktop of the Hara Arena reminded me of a
cross between the Lunar landscape and the San Andreas
Fault in some places. As someone on the live chat
commented quite accurately: '..the Hara should rent out
their parking lot to Range Rover for Customer Test Drives
during the weekdays'.

> Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> area when in past years it was mostly full.

Year after year less and less sellers. I attribute this to
e-Bay, the cost of selling at the Hamvention and the
ever diminishing returns. 9:00 AM on Sunday was
positively dismal. I would say that fully 40% of the
outside Vendors packed up and left on Saturday night.

This year was our last, Dayton is just not worth it anymore.
Better to sell it online and have the UPS Truck
come to your house and pick the stuff up for
delivery instead of carting it 500+ miles just to bring
it all back home rain dampened again...

> I also heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> on the flea market rental since they are going out
> of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> arena too.

We heard the same story making the rounds
among the dealers on Saturday. Probably true.

> They spaced out many of the inside
> vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> the number of vendors was way down.
> What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> isn't a long term trend.

Those spaces were a combination of that and
a small number of dealers who decided not to
go at all the last minute. The hamfest committee
was hoping that alot of people would show up
and pay for a space...like that was going to happen. (!)

I also saw several tables of 100% cell phone and computer
junk which was a major disappointment. I would be
rather PO'ed also if I paid $40 to get in and I saw
standard flea market type vendors selling stuff that
I could see, at any weekend mart FOR FREE and
with no admission charge!

Forget it folks..
IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.

3416. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 15:22:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> Forget it folks..
> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>
I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
the outside vendors booths.

3417. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 15:25:29 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1116861929.363818.46950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last trip to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

3418. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 15:27:55 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <4291F67B.49A3E9D5@milestones.com>
Source record: 200493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Steveo wrote:

> "Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> > Forget it folks..
> > IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> > It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> > despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> > Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> > equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> > Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >
> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
> the outside vendors booths.

In the Hamventions defense it's not their fault as to what is brought inside for sale. They're probably trying to do the best they can to put on a good event.

Agreed, most stuff is going to eBay these days, and no problem with that. Can't really fault a seller for wanting to move his/her equipment rather than waiting another year for Dayton.

I've seen the same here even with local fest's over the last number of years.

dxAce (N8KDV)
Michigan
USA

3419. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 17:39:14 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <429214de.20632687@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 200394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
>> Forget it folks..
>> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
>> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
>> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
>> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
>> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
>> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>>
>I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention at
>the outside vendors booths.

Was the entrance fee really $40.00?

3420. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 17:45:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> >> Forget it folks..
> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >>
> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention
> >at the outside vendors booths.
>
> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
>
We paid $25.

3421. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 19:00:22 UTC
From: g*d <Unknownable9@tunspoken.com>
Message-ID: <7Lpke.29769$3R6.1254621@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 200396
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

"Ratchet" <ratchet@greenapple.com> wrote in message
news:429133A6.1000505@greenapple.com...
> fastlarry@bellsouth.net wrote:
>> All you sinners and the devils front line troops, Ripp, Smorgie, Deb
>> the deathstar, repent now, or fry in hell, Jesus is coming.
>>
> With you as his prophet ? Take me first , please lord !!
>

Jesus was supposed to bring me some General Tao Chicken.
Have you seen him?

3422. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 22, 2005 1:30:37 pm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 19:16:02 UTC
From: Donald Link <linkd@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at7491t8319tellpsqd2aoob0c2e1c1hh3@4ax.com>
Source record: 200397
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Is Jesus crossing at the Rio Grande or somewhere in Arizona????

On 22 May 2005 12:50:56 -0700, fastlarry@bellsouth.net wrote:

>All you sinners and the devils front line troops, Ripp, Smorgie, Deb
>the deathstar, repent now, or fry in hell, Jesus is coming.

3423. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 20:32:15 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <k5rke.5310$Dz7.5974131@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200398
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

I seen him, he was on the way to "Su Ling's Massage Parlor"... rumor has it that a hooker there checked him out, found out he has big bills in his wallet and had him mugged when he left, by her pimp--have you contacted the hospitals are jails in the area? Most likely find him there...

Warmest regards,
John

"g*d" <Unknownable9@tunspoken.com> wrote in message
news:7Lpke.29769$3R6.1254621@weber.videotron.net...
> "Ratchet" <ratchet@greenapple.com> wrote in message
> news:429133A6.1000505@greenapple.com...
>> fastlarry@bellsouth.net wrote:
>>> All you sinners and the devils front line troops, Ripp, Smorgie, Deb
>>> the deathstar, repent now, or fry in hell, Jesus is coming.
>>>
>> With you as his prophet ? Take me first , please lord !!
>>
>
> Jesus was supposed to bring me some General Tao Chicken.
> Have you seen him?
>
>

3424. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 20:39:21 UTC
From: Pioneer 8 <maytheschwartzbewithyou@wookiesonendor.com>
Message-ID: <9a4c6$42923fb6$d8536f58$31465@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 200399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com...

> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage
> sale than a hamvention at the outside vendors
> booths.

The Bottom Line:

Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".

3425. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 20:46:58 UTC
From: bob <noone@nowhere.org>
Message-ID: <whrke.7222$5u4.24045@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 200400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That would be the Laffer Curve.
BTW: tickets were $20 advance, $25 at door.

>
>
> The Bottom Line:
>
> Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
> and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
> Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".
>
>

3426. RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 21:11:52 UTC
From: svtyellowcobra@aol.com
Message-ID: <1116882712.282426.262140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200401

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5777071588

Im selling a Ranger RCI-2950 mobile transceiver with an after market Astatic D104 hand held microphone (stock mic included), and a Trip Lite 7 amp power supply.

The 2950 was modified to cover from 26Mhz - 32Mhz this included the 11 Meter Citizens Band. The radio was also modified for louder audio and higher modulating power.
I paid $359.99 for the radio new.

The Astatic D104 hand held is well known in the CB world as the best mic you can buy for mobile use. The mic is wired for the RCI-2950 using the 6 pin plug from the stock mic.
These sell anywhere for $50-80 bucks

The Trip Lite power supply is only 7 amps and will power the 2950 but should be upgraded to at least a 15 amp supply. I'm only including it because I no longer have a use for it. I bought this item used and it has surface rust on the metal casing.

This bundleis worth well over $400.00 new and I will part with the lot for as little as $200.00 that is what I set the reserve at on ebay. The starting bid is $5.00
Happy Bidding.

PS there are photos of all the listed items on the ebay listing.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5777071588

3427. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 23:01:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Chtke.6562$M36.1056@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I have a "use for CW". I use it to communicate with others using the same mode. I use it to participate in Amateur Radio.

If you or your ilk don't care to do so. Stay the hell off my frequency.

Have a good day idiot.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com...
> You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
> dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time when
> dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come and
> gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having to
> learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however,
> because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type after
> they realize the need...
>
> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have been
> as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking" could
> study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have been made even
> simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and continues to do so--
>
> ... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of
> communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios
> are so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too
> expensive with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away in
> the streets and not improve that...
>
> ... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has
> killed ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have
> stood by and watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID have
> all their mental facilities about them and still allowed it...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny...
>> Nice try, John
>>
>> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>>

>> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
>> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
>> when
>> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure
>> out
>> what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>>
>> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am
>> active
>> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service
>> for a
>> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use
>> my
>> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without
>> mains.
>> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There are
>> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>>
>> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
>> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no sanity
>> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
>> high
>> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>>
>> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a knot
>> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>>
>> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
>> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
>> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's license
>> as
>> well ....
>>
>> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
>> the
>> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
>> *everyone*.
>> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
>> repeater
>> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television, aircraft
>> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
>> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles came
>> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
>> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think there
>> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
>> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
>> world,
>> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology progressed,
>> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
>> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300

>> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
>> many
>> times since.
>>
>> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I have
>> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>>
>> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down on
>> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't
>> like
>> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet.
>> It
>> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box
>> turned
>> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
>> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
>> should
>> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
>> mp3
>> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack on
>> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv.
>> I
>> hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>
>> 1) Washington Post March
>> 2) Anchors aweigh
>> 3) The Thunderer
>> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>>
>> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
>> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
>>
>>
>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
>>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just
>>> so
>>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe to
>>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
>>> gone
>>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return...
>>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>>
>>> Regards,
>>> John

>>>
>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > Hi gang!
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Just for some grins, check this out:
>>> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>>> >
>>> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
>>> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
>>> > It
>>> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
>>> > listened
>>> > to about 37 years ago ....
>>> >
>>> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3428. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 23:15:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <outke.6565$M36.2074@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1116805562.454085.58650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
>>
>> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and ....
> well, I
>> probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
>> vertical :)
>>
>
> Ah yes, a vertical 'beverage' antenna! Our FD group had one for 20M,
> but we had to quit using it when our FD QTH changed to the football
> field of a local High School.
>
> Anyhow, Happy Birthday!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Happy B-day guy.

Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans for a
Field Day one year.

Dan/W4NTI

3429. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-23 23:18:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Rwtke.6567$M36.5016@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523080905.721$ev@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>>> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and .... well,
>> I probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
>> vertical :)
>>
>> Fortunately, the better half didn't get upset ;)
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
> Happy belated B-day, Jim. Many more returns! 160 meter half wave, is
> that anything like enough beer to float a battleship? <g>

Only if it tied to a blivit.

Dan/W4NTI

3430. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 00:34:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <OEuke.1964$8g.1541@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dan,

I must ask ... did you rotate the whole county to turn the beam? :)

73,
Jim AA2QA

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:outke.6565$M36.2074@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:1116805562.454085.58650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and ....
> > well, I
> >> probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
> >> vertical :)
> >>
> >
> > Ah yes, a vertical 'beverage' antenna! Our FD group had one for 20M,
> > but we had to quit using it when our FD QTH changed to the football
> > field of a local High School.
> >
> > Anyhow, Happy Birthday!
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
> Happy B-day guy.
>
> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans for
a
> Field Day one year.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

3431. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 00:43:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <MMuke.1966$8g.1628@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523080905.721$ev@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> I'd have responded earlier, but yesterday was my birthday and ....
well,
> > I probably consumed enough beer to build a 160 meter half wave beer can
> > vertical :)
> >
> > Fortunately, the better half didn't get upset ;)
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> Happy belated B-day, Jim. Many more returns! 160 meter half wave, is
> that anything like enough beer to float a battleship? <g>

Hello, Mopar

No, but my head would have believe do the next day :))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3432. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 00:45:55 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <the.sky.is.falling@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 200407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 22 May 2005 17:08:37 -0700, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
: You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
: dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time when

Difficult premise, coupled with a fuzzy definition. What does "dying"
mean to you? If you're going to assert that amateur radio is dying,
then you need to come up with a working definition of "dying" so that
others can decide if you're right.

My problem is that, when I review the statistics, there isn't any such
process which can be clearly identified. True, over the very recent
past the total number of licenses has declined, but that decline is on
the order of 2% since April, 2004. If the baseline is made longer,
and totals going back to 1995 are examined, a 2% change in the total
amateur population is seen to lie well within the noise (two year
variance). It is therefore difficult to decide if it is significant
without resorting to a detailed statistical analysis.

My sense is that it isn't significant (yet). It looks as though the
trend line (least-squares, linear fit) is horizontal, and only
additional time will tell if it really has a negative slope.

To reiterate, those who run around claiming that "amateur radio is
dying" can't seem to either articulate what "dying" means or to prove
their case.

And as the old Shania Twain song says, "That don't impress me much."

3433. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 00:52:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8Vuke.5333$4d2.6615057@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

... dream on...

John

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:the.sky.is.falling@houston-hunks.com...
> On Sun, 22 May 2005 17:08:37 -0700, John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
> wrote:
> : You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
> : dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time
> when
>
> Difficult premise, coupled with a fuzzy definition. What does "dying"
> mean to you? If you're going to assert that amateur radio is dying,
> then you need to come up with a working definition of "dying" so that
> others can decide if you're right.
>
> My problem is that, when I review the statistics, there isn't any such
> process which can be clearly identified. True, over the very recent
> past the total number of licenses has declined, but that decline is on
> the order of 2% since April, 2004. If the baseline is made longer,
> and totals going back to 1995 are examined, a 2% change in the total
> amateur population is seen to lie well within the noise (two year
> variance). It is therefore difficult to decide if it is significant
> without resorting to a detailed statistical analysis.
>
> My sense is that it isn't significant (yet). It looks as though the
> trend line (least-squares, linear fit) is horizontal, and only
> additional time will tell if it really has a negative slope.
>
> To reiterate, those who run around claiming that "amateur radio is
> dying" can't seem to either articulate what "dying" means or to prove
> their case.
>
> And as the old Shania Twain song says, "That don't impress me much."

3434. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 00:53:18 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <8Wuke.5334$1p2.6682766@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dan:

You will never find me using cw... you will never finding me talking to
anyone of your ilk... fear not...

John

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Chtke.6562$M36.1056@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>I have a "use for CW". I use it to communicate with others using the same
>mode. I use it to participate in Amateur Radio.
>
> If you or your ilk don't care to do so. Stay the hell off my frequency.
>
> Have a good day idiot.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com...
>> You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
>> dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time
>> when dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come
>> and gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having
>> to learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however,
>> because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type after
>> they realize the need...
>>
>> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have
>> been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking"
>> could study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have been
>> made even simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and continues to
>> do so--
>>
>> ... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of
>> communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios
>> are so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too
>> expensive with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away
>> in the streets and not improve that...
>>
>> ... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has
>> killed ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have
>> stood by and watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID
>> have all their mental facilities about them and still allowed it...

>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny...
>>> Nice try, John
>>>
>>> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>>>
>>> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
>>> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
>>> when
>>> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure
>>> out
>>> what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>>>
>>> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am
>>> active
>>> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service
>>> for a
>>> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use
>>> my
>>> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without
>>> mains.
>>> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There
>>> are
>>> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>>>
>>> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
>>> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no
>>> sanity
>>> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
>>> high
>>> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>>>
>>> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a
>>> knot
>>> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>>>
>>> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
>>> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
>>> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's
>>> license as
>>> well ....
>>>
>>> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
>>> the
>>> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
>>> *everyone*.

>>> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
>>> repeater
>>> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television,
>>> aircraft
>>> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
>>> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles
>>> came
>>> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
>>> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think
>>> there
>>> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
>>> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
>>> world,
>>> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology
>>> progressed,
>>> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
>>> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300
>>> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
>>> many
>>> times since.
>>>
>>> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I
>>> have
>>> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>>>
>>> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down
>>> on
>>> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't
>>> like
>>> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet.
>>> It
>>> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box
>>> turned
>>> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
>>> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
>>> should
>>> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
>>> mp3
>>> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack
>>> on
>>> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv.
>>> I
>>> hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>>
>>> 1) Washington Post March
>>> 2) Anchors aweigh
>>> 3) The Thunderer
>>> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>>>
>>> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a

>>> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
>>>
>>>
>>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>> Jim AA2QA
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
>>>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom... just
>>>> so
>>>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe
>>>> to
>>>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
>>>> gone
>>>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to return...
>>>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>>>
>>>> Regards,
>>>> John
>>>>
>>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> > Hi gang!
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > Just for some grins, check this out:
>>>> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>>>> >
>>>> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
>>>> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
>>>> > It
>>>> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
>>>> > listened
>>>> > to about 37 years ago ....
>>>> >
>>>> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>

>
>

3435. attention please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 00:59:35 UTC
From: macrocoro <52mail@163.com>
Message-ID: <1116896375.284429.39790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200410

visit the site to find you want!
http://www.infohoo.com

3436. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 01:21:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qkvke.5336$lf2.6639684@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

First they would have to get someone young enough to realize anything in a position where they could help... krist, hamvention... that looks more like a convenction of geriatric patients at a knitting competition...

Well, it was bright and lit up well--all those bald heads relecting the light... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Pioneer 8" <maytheschwartzbewithyou@wookiesonendor.com> wrote in message news:9a4c6$42923fb6$d8536f58$31465@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050523112213.845$SO@newsreader.com...
>
>> I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage
>> sale than a hamvention at the outside vendors
>> booths.
>
> The Bottom Line:
>
> Ham Op's in general and the Hamvention Committee
> and the DARA has yet to grasp that timeless law of
> Business MBA called: "The Law of Diminishing Returns".
>
> ---------
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3437. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 01:24:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gnvke.5058$X92.5027@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote

>
> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans for a
> Field Day one year.
>

Hey Dan,

Do you know how to tell the difference between a fairy-tale and a sea-story?

Scroll down

A fairy-tale starts out --- "Once upon a time....."

A sea-story starts out --- "Now this is no shit....."

dit dit

73, de Hans, K0HB

3438. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 01:25:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bovke.5338$zM2.6778972@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200415

All I have ever seen anyone use a D104 for is making a cheap radio sound like an even cheaper walmart, price reduced, sale item (those guys sure never heard quality audio in their life!)... who wants to talk to some idiot that sounds like a mentally impared weirdo screaming into a mike with a bad lisp and naturally distorted voice...

Warmest regards,
John

<svtyellowcobra@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1116882712.282426.262140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5777071588
>
> Im selling a Ranger RCI-2950 mobile transceiver with an after market
> Astatic D104 hand held microphone (stock mic included), and a Trip Lite
> 7 amp power supply.
>
> The 2950 was modified to cover from 26Mhz - 32Mhz this included the 11
> Meter Citizens Band. The radio was also modified for louder audio and
> higher modulating power.
> I paid $359.99 for the radio new.
>
> The Astatic D104 hand held is well known in the CB world as the best
> mic you can buy for mobile use. The mic is wired for the RCI-2950 using
> the 6 pin plug from the stock mic.
> These sell anywhere for $50-80 bucks
>
> The Trip Lite power supply is only 7 amps and will power the 2950 but
> should be upgraded to at least a 15 amp supply. I'm only including it
> because I no longer have a use for it. I bought this item used and it
> has surface rust on the metal casing.
>
> This bundleis worth well over $400.00 new and I will part with the lot
> for as little as $200.00 that is what I set the reserve at on ebay. The
> starting bid is $5.00
> Happy Bidding.
>
> PS there are photos of all the listed items on the ebay listing.
>
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5777071588
>

3439. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 01:36:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Jyvke.5339$_m3.6952050@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Naaa, it is true--I was the one who drank all the beer so they'd have those cans to use... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"K HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:gnvke.5058$X92.5027@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans
>> for a Field Day one year.
>>
>
> Hey Dan,
>
> Do you know how to tell the difference between a fairy-tale and a
> sea-story?
>
> Scroll down
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> A fairy-tale starts out --- "Once upon a time....."
>
> A sea-story starts out --- "Now this is no shit....."
>
>
> dit dit
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

3440. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 02:01:36 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John S. wrote:
> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
trip
> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

3441. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 02:04:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <eZvke.1969$8g.1953@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com...
> You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
> dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time when
> dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come and
> gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having to
> learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however,
> because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type after
> they realize the need...
>
> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have been
> as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test taking" could
> study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have been made even
> simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and continues to do so--
>
> ... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of
> communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios
are
> so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too expensive
> with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away in the
streets
> and not improve that...
>
> ... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has
killed
> ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have stood by
and
> watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID have all their
> mental facilities about them and still allowed it...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Hello, John

I suspect that Morse testing will be dropped. When, I'm not sure, but some countries have done so already. I honestly believe (but could be wrong) that most of the older amateurs (say the 20 wpm extra gang of which I am one) do not believe that Morse should be required.

Hopefully, that will end some of the contention amongst some of us. The reality is that amateur radio does need some infusion of young blood. Having just celebrated my 58th birthday, I can appreciate that LOL.

There are a lot of different forms of communication. I suspect that some people will be attracted to amateur radio simply because of the vast amount of experimentation that can be done at reasonable powers (you don't need kilowatts, but a few milliwatts may not suffice).

I've been moderating one Yahoo group (and now co-own it) which is devoted to helping folks get their tickets. The biggest thing is not to have the hams flame the cbers or scanner enthusiasts. We are all interested in radio (or television - or other modes, for that matter) and the flame wars are the biggest deterrent (not Morse code), at least in my mind. Flame wars do *not* happen. Moderation until folks calm down and removal or even banning if they continue. There are too many good folks in cb and amateur radio (not to mention scanner and sw folks) to let the flamers wreck everything.

As far as mental ability, I tried like heck to kill mine when I celebrated my birthday. The only result was a big headache LOL.

You and I might have a minor disagreement, but it appears you are willing to discuss it. So am I. As to typing, I had an interview some number of years ago and when it was mentioned that the individual that was to be replaced was producing their newsletter, I mentioned that I could type over 65 words per minute on a bad day into a headwind. When they said "we don't type anymore, we use computers", I stated that I believed it was time to call a halt to the interview. Certainly keyboards have changed a bit (I remember close to 50 years ago, my father had an old typewriter with a "cents" figure on it), but the keys (alpha and numerics) are laid out the same. Yes, the computer keyboard has an extra set of keys with numbers (use the numbers lock) on it. Still, I have no problem with 65 words per minute. I admit I'm down a bit from the time I had to fight a 100 word per minute teletype to get burst of 92 words per minute out, but I still have no real problem either typing or programming.

Some of us are trying to pass the torch to younger folks. The plain fact is that amateur radio need younger folks (not to mention more women).

If you might be interested in joining, please e-mail me off group. The address is aa2qa@frontiernet.net

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3442. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 02:48:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <l8udnXtrpeuKCw_fRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 200417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:

> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3443. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 06:43:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <f2Ake.1983$8g.1939@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:9wcke.204$kS3.11@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
> Sent: Sunday, May 22, 2005 4:30 PM
> Subject: Re: Just for grins - CW
>
>
> > Nice try, John
> >
> > In the original post I stated "just for grins".
> >
> > As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the kid
> > punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
> > when
> > the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure
out
> > what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>
> OMG, she couldn't count backwards? This is what the
> future has to look forward too.
>
> >
> > I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am
active
> > in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service
for
> > a
> > week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use
my
> > Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without
mains.
> > Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There
are
> > two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
> >
> > I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
> > stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no
sanity
> > test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
> > high
```

> > power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>
> No test for people in life, you & I both know that :)
>
> >
> > What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a
knot
> > over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
> >
> > As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I suspect
> > that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test
> > ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's
license
> > as
> > well ....
> >
> > Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
> > the
> > underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
> > *everyone*.
> > This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
> > repeater
> > which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television,
aircraft
> > guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
> > Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles
came
> > about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
> > lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think
there
> > might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio. Originally,
> > there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
> > world,
> > total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology
progressed,
> > there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
> > everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to 300
> > gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
> > many
> > times since.
> >
> > At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I
have
> > another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
> >
> > Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down
on
> > the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't
like
> > my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet.

It
> > is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box
turned
> > up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
> > Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
> > should
> > this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
> > mp3
> > cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack
on
> > the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv.
I
> > hope they enjoy my tunes:
>
> LOL!!!, the 60's 70 are over big guy ;)
>
> >
> > 1) Washington Post March
> > 2) Anchors aweigh
> > 3) The Thunderer
> > 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
> >
> > I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
> > feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden :))
> >
> >
> > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> Oh Yeah..... Go to hear from You Jim.
>
> Landshark
>

Hello, Sharkie

My bad. I meant 700 watt system - not something from the 70s LOL. All Bose speakers. A couple hundred watts on the bass alone (and it does shake, although I keep the volume down).

Good to hear from you too. I'm not really mad, just disappointed that folks don't understand the need for regulation. I have a dog and she stays in the house for the most part. When I have her out, if she barks more than a few times, I bring her in. The neighbors don't need to hear a dog barking for 20 minutes or more. Same thing with the stereo system.

Heck, I've made a mistake in the past. Had a party with the Hammond turned up pretty well (as well as the Leslies) and the cops showed up. I apologized and shut it off. Never done that since (and that was 25 years ago). No biggie; anyone can make a mistake.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3444. RCI 2950 on EBAY-RATTED OUT TO EBAY SECURITY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 08:03:44 UTC
From: Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116921824.921452.241080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200419

svtyellowcobra@aol.com wrote:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5777071588
>
> Im selling a Ranger RCI-2950 mobile transceiver with an after market
> Astatic D104 hand held microphone (stock mic included), and a Trip
Lite
> 7 amp power supply.
>
> The 2950 was modified to cover from 26Mhz - 32Mhz this included the
11
> Meter Citizens Band. The radio was also modified for louder audio and
> higher modulating power.
> I paid $359.99 for the radio new.
>
> The Astatic D104 hand held is well known in the CB world as the best
> mic you can buy for mobile use. The mic is wired for the RCI-2950
using
> the 6 pin plug from the stock mic.
> These sell anywhere for $50-80 bucks
>
> The Trip Lite power supply is only 7 amps and will power the 2950 but
> should be upgraded to at least a 15 amp supply. I'm only including it
> because I no longer have a use for it. I bought this item used and it
> has surface rust on the metal casing.
>
> This bundleis worth well over $400.00 new and I will part with the
lot
> for as little as $200.00 that is what I set the reserve at on ebay.
The
> starting bid is $5.00
> Happy Bidding.
>
> PS there are photos of all the listed items on the ebay listing.
>
>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5777071588

Illegal, ratted out...ehhehe

3445. Still there as of this AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 10:28:53 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116930533.063179.248560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200420

> Illegal, ratted out...ehhehe

Yaaawwwnnnn....

3446. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 11:03:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <br06919cj6uiop0vbr2i879ubokqbis4l9@4ax.com>
Source record: 200421

On Fri, 20 May 2005 15:40:52 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>him from human flaws or the consequences of
>>acting out on them.
>
>Except when those flaws belong to Bush, then those consequences go out
>the window and people like you blame the demos for his incompetence.
>
>>Because those "flaws" were largely invented
>
>>by the left leaning media.
>
>
>
>Get reality in your life. Failing to balance the budget,

Bush never promised to balance the budget at this time. That's to come
in the future.

>... the report
>by the Pentagon two days ago that "Iraq war not fairing as well as
>originally thought".

According to whom? Link please. That statement in itself is
meaningless. "Not fairing well" could simply means that we're a little
behind schedule. I suppose you consider the establishment of an
independent Iraqi government as not an important achievement?

>.....the lack of protection for the troops he sent
>in to battle underequipped and ill prepared.

I suppose you've forgotten this now infamous quote: "I actually voted
for the 87 billion, before I voted against it". What do you think that
87 billion was for?

>,,the list goes on and his
>failures have nothing to do with the demos, despite your hatred.

Yea sure. When you stand up for what is right, you're bound to take a
few on the chin in the process. Those who refuse to stand up, out of
fear of taking those few on the chin, are the ones to be very afraid
of.

>>There has been none to date which have been
>>proven.
>

>
>
>Then show me the balanced budget.

Never promised.

>Tell it to the military sons and
>daughters and parents who have lost loved ones for the very preventable
>reason of not having proper protection, supplies and equipment.

A very valid reason why John F. Kerry is not the president today.

>Now Bush is cutting bases in the US to pay for his tax cuts and failing
>(admitted by the Pentagon) war, the same thing you blasted Clinton for
>daring to entertain a few years ago, and he didn't even do it.

When did I "blast" Clinton for closing military bases?

>>Rathergate, is a glaring example of one such
>>smear which got discovered before any real
>>damage could be done.

>You are wired to focus on anything but repsonsibility. You seek
>abdication of the Bush failures through unrealistic self-denial,

I seek the truth, and I place blame where the blame belongs, and that
starts with those who seek to destroy this country out of a
ideological hatred of our way of life. I don't blame the one leader
with the cajones to call it like it is and stand up to it.

The liberals, on the other hand, when the truth cleverly evades them,
make up their own version of the truth to justify actions which would,
in an earlier generation, be considered treason.

>>Then there is the more recent Newsweek
>>gaffe about flushing the Koran down a toilet
>>(How does one flush a book down a toilet
>>anyway?).
>
>
>They have port- a-potty's in Gunatanamo, not toilets.

I'm really interested in how you would know that with any accuracy.

BTW, port-a-potti's don't flush.

>>Did you know that the gutless clowns at
>>Newsweek had the nerve to actually blame
>>the repercussions of their erroneous reporting
>>on the Bush administration, for not denying it
>>quickly enough?

>The BUsh administration began the phoney reporting with their bogus
>"press releases" when it was found to be nothing of the sort, but you
>are not surprisingly silent when the Bush party fails with the same

>tactics.

This isn't about Bush, this is about a trusted news organization which recklessly printed a story which turned out to be bogus, which resulted in the deaths of 17 people, and incited further anti-U.S. behavior. Which begs the question , who's side is Newsweek on?

>>They print a lie, and they blame Bush for not
>>denying it, as the reason why those people
>>.were killed in the protests. Unbelievable!
>
>
>Not as unbelievable as a homosexual prostitute circumventing general WH
>security protocol reserved for only those with higher clearance with
>zero explanation of how and why the security FAILED.

Gee, that one never made the front page. Must have been one of Clinton's leftovers. That's why the mainstream news didn't fly with it.

>Chances are you would have cheated.
>
>>I've never cheated on any assignment that I've
>>ever done. I've never had to.
>
>
>Then why did you not provide the 2914 Stony Creek Rd address to the FCC
>as required by law?

Ah, so you've decided to print the information without my permission eh? I knew you couldn't resist the urge.

BTW, you need to either upgrade or trash your "Spy" software (Or ask for a refund of that $9.95). THAT was my OLD address. Stony creek road was were I was born and raised and spent most of my CB career. I moved from there in 1999.

You can verify this by going on QRZ and loading the 1993 version of the callbook, and then look at what address my call is listed with.

I accept (once again) your apology.

>Because you lost all credibility for all your claims for many valid
>reasons.
>
>>What you think is irrelevant,
>
>
>It's not what I think, it's what more and more regs are conveying to you
>on a regualr basis.

Name them.

>>and contrary to your wild imagination, you do
>>not represent the majority.
>
>
>Contrary to your claims that have been corrected by the majority of the
>regs, it is yourself that is of the most radical, hypocritical, and of a
>minority position that is usually incorrect.

Prove it. Other that you, Frank, and occasionally Landshark, who
actually even gives enough of a crap about these jabs that we
exchange, to even chime in?

>>But I'll make a deal with you, I'll tell you every
>>place where I went to school, when you give
>>me your real name and address.
>
>
>
>You invoked your schooling of your own free will.

I did not offer to provide specific details.

>Your personal
>obsessive mania concerning my personal life

Yet it is you who is obsessively looking up personal info about me
(And getting most of it wrong in the process).

The facts betray you.

>has nothing to do with your
>unsolicited claims posted by yourself in order to lend your hurt
>feelings and soiled ago an image of support. This is done only because
>of your need for validation. You can find no support on or of your own.

I'm not the one who feels the need to continually convince myself of
the existence of a mythical "majority" who's support doesn't really
exist.

>>Deal?
>
>Checkmate.

Yep, that's my boat.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3447. Re: Still there as of this AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 12:05:16 UTC
From: Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116936316.528770.315990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200422

Yeah, that's ɪight...a keyclown's mouth often yawns.

3448. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 12:09:29 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1116936569.488836.23090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

bb wrote:
> John S. wrote:
> > If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
> trip
> > to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment
for
> > sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> > hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio
electronic
> > gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> > vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
JS> Very YL as in pre-teens?

>
> > In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> > because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> > itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.
>
> Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

JS> I suspect that hams are already well represented in the AARP.

3449. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 12:12:33 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@milestones.com>
Message-ID: <42931A31.F5F7E0E3@milestones.com>
Source record: 200424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John S." wrote:

> bb wrote:
> > John S. wrote:
> > > If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
> > trip
> > > to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment
> for
> > > sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> > > hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio
> electronic
> > > gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> > > vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
> >
> > ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
> JS> Very YL as in pre-teens?
>
> >
> > > In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> > > because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> > > itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.
> >
> > Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...
>
> JS> I suspect that hams are already well represented in the AARP.

One only needs to be 50 to join AARP. Don't need no kids, lids or space cadets.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

3450. Re: Still there as of this AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 12:24:09 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aO-dnRBvToF7gQ7fRVn-jw@giganews.com>
Source record: 200425

Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! wrote:
> Yeah, that's right...a keyclown's mouth often yawns.

You just get lamer and lamer. Is there no end to your bitching?

"Often yawns"? Only when you're in the room, you boring dick.

3451. Re: Still there as of this AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:21:55 UTC
From: Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116940915.865940.246360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200426

Made you whine and moan, so the day is not at all wasted. Good boy, jeffie...here's yer biscuit! Goooooood boy...speaks on command every time!

3452. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:39:01 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <4IWdnfeYptqI0Q7fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 200440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John Smith wrote:
> Dan:
>
> You will never find me using cw...

Well, you don't need it on CB.

3453. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:43:56 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <PMGdnele5vbf0A7fRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 200441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Stagger Lee wrote:

>
> To reiterate, those who run around claiming that "amateur radio is
> dying" can't seem to either articulate what "dying" means or to prove
> their case.
>
> And as the old Shania Twain song says, "That don't impress me much."

Those who are too dumb or lazy to get a license like to parrot the old
standard, 'ham radio is dying". They can't make the grade so they like
to think ham radio will someday go away then they won't feel so inferior.

3454. FA: UNBUILT HEATHKIT EK-1 VOLT-OHM-METER, PARTIAL KIT PARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:53:05 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3lGke.675$So7.125@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200427
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Big $10:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48701&item=5776258266&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3455. FA: $10>THREE J.H. BUNNELL type 2.5 RELAYS EASY RESTORE MORSE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:54:01 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XIGke.676$So7.626@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200428
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=130&item=6534064488&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3456. FA: RELM MINI-COM PTU46B 4watt UHF WALKY-TALKIE COMPLETE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:54:52 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <KmGke.677$So7.267@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200430
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=46539&item=7517681738&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3457. FA Big$10>RARE LAFAYETTE HE-35 AM 6-MTR TUBE TRANSCEIVER W/MIKE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:55:47 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <BnGke.678$So7.124@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200429
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

WORKING too!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=5776258328&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3458. FA: FORTY(40) NOS HITACHI K176 POWER MOSFET TRANSISTOR T-03

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:56:52 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CoGke.679$So7.59@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200431
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.highend,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.audio.pro,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Big $16>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4666&item=7517681753&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3459. FA: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:57:34 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <gpGke.680$So7.285@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200432
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5776258373&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3460. FA: BEAUTIFUL HEATHKIT IB-2A RLC IMPEDANCE BRIDGE Nicest:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 13:58:15 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <VpGke.681$So7.585@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200433
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5776258383&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3461. FA: BRAND-NEW USA MADE RACK-HANDLES & CERAMIC SWITCHES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 14:01:09 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <DsGke.682$So7.630@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200434
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

No Bids on either yet!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=73167&item=7517681793&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=36338&item=7517681800&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3462. FA: $10>"TONS" (4lbs++) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS 4-Builders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 14:02:05 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vtGke.683$So7.659@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200435
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

No Bids
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4664&item=7517681811&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3463. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 14:17:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11850-42933782-124@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200436

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 20 May 2005 15:40:52 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>him from human flaws or the consequences of
>acting out on them.

Except when those flaws belong to Bush, then those consequences go out
the window and people like you blame the demos for his incompetence.

>Because those "flaws" were largely invented

>by the left leaning media.

Get reality in your life. Failing to balance the budget,

>Bush never promised to balance the budget at
>this time. That's to come in the future.

.. the report
by the Pentagon two days ago that "Iraq war not fairing as well as
originally thought".

>According to whom?

The Pentagon.

>Link please.

Already posted it once.

> That statement in itself is

>meaningless. "Not fairing well" could simply

>means that we're a little behind schedule. I

>suppose you consider the establishment of an

>independent Iraqi government as not an

>important achievement?

Ask the Pentagon.

....the lack of protection for the troops he sent in to battle
underequipped and ill prepared.

>I suppose you've forgotten this now infamous

>quote: "I actually voted for the 87 billion,

>before I voted against it". What do you think

>that 87 billion was for?

Blaming anyone but Bush for over three years of inadequate supply,
protection, and gear
for our troops shows exactly how well you comprehend your government.

,,the list goes on and his
failures have nothing to do with the demos, despite your hatred.

>Yea sure. When you stand up for what is right,

>you're bound to take a few on the chin in the

>process.

Placing unprepared troops and others in battle is not standing up, it is
a failure and illustration of the president's strategy and incompetence.
Ignoring Iran, Dharfur, and N Korea is not standing up.

> Those who refuse to stand up, out of fear of

>taking those few on the chin, are the ones to

>be very afraid of.

Sort of like yourself in regards to radio law,,you do nothing that can
remotely be considered proactive (standing up) and offer nothing but
reactive lipeservice.

>There has been none to date which have been
>proven.

Then show me the balanced budget.

>Never promised.

No one said it was, but it is part of the president's job that he failed
to manage. Cllaiming that if something isn't promised by the president,
it's ok if he is derelict in ignoring his duties, confirms your lack of
knowledge regarding the position of president.

_
Tell it to the military sons and
daughters and parents who have lost loved ones for the very preventable
reason of not having proper protection, supplies and equipment.

>.A very valid reason why John F. Kerry is not

>.the president today.

Did Kerry bang Kimberly, or do you continuosly harbor unnatural feelings
and hatred for him for other reasons?
Bush is cutting military funding and it has nthing to do with Kerry.
Once again, you are not even aware of what your own party is
undertaking.
Now Bush is cutting bases in the US to pay for his tax cuts and failing

(admitted by the Pentagon) war, the same thing you blasted Clinton for daring to entertain a few years ago, and he didn't even do it.

> When did I "blast" Clinton for closing military

>bases?

You blasted Clinton and claimed he was seeking to dismantle and "weaken" the military through budget cuts. You have a piss poor memory, Dave.

>Rathergate, is a glaring example of one such

>smear which got discovered before any real

>damage could be done.

You are wired to focus on anything but responsibility. You seek abdication of the Bush failures through unrealistic self-denial,

>I seek the truth, and I place blame where the

>blame belongs,

Except with the leader of the country...as I said, you seek abdication of responsibility.

> and that starts with those who seek to destroy

>this country out of a ideological hatred of our

>way of life.

Wrong,,,it begins and ends with the president.

> I don't blame the one leader with the cajones

>to call it like it is and stand up to it.

But's NOT standing up for anything...he's ignoring Dharfur, which is much worse bllodshed than Hussein EVER committed, he failed to stop the proliferation and spread of nukes, and N Korea is continuing to produce them,,three more nuke warheads by year's end with the rods they recently and publicly collected and announced that they are using them for nukes. Of course, Iran has solidified several more nukes in the time Bush has been lording over the oil glut,,,on it goes, yet you know little of it.

>The liberals, on the other hand, when the truth
>cleverly evades them, make up their own

>version of the truth to justify actions which

>would, in an earlier generation, be considered

>treason.

Bush was the only one to flipflop on his reasons for war, yet when thse reasons are applied equally to hostile countries, his position evaporates.

>Then there is the more recent Newsweek

>gaffe about flushing the Koran down a toilet

>(How does one flush a book down a toilet

>anyway?).

They have port- a-potty's in Guantanamo, not toilets.

>I'm really interested in how you would know

>that with any accuracy.

Stay focused and try not to fall off track and delve into personal realms again, Davey. I know it in the same manner I knew your party acknowledged global warming and you didn't.

>BTW, port-a-potti's don't flush.

All the more reason the story was suspect from the beginning.

>Did you know that the gutless clowns at

>Newsweek had the nerve to actually blame

>the repercussions of their erroneous reporting

>on the Bush administration, for not denying it

>quickly enough?

The BUsh administration began the phoney reporting with their bogus "press releases" when it was found to be nothing of the sort, but you are not surprisingly silent when the Bush party fails with the same tactics.

>This isn't about Bush,

Yea,,right,,I forgot who I was talking to for a second.

>this is about a trusted news organization

>which recklessly printed a story which turned

>out to be bogus,

Sort of like the fabricated press releases from what is to be a trusted organization (WH) to do whta is best for the people, but who recklessly fabricated not one, not two, not three, but months and months worth of phoney bullshit
and deliberately misrepresented the fluff as authentic news press releases.

> which resulted in the deaths of 17 people,

>and incited further anti-U.S. behavior.

Not as much as the fabricated war Bush employed.

>Which begs the question , who's side is

>Newsweek on?

>They print a lie, and they blame Bush for not

>denying it, as the reason why those people

>.were killed in the protests. Unbelievable!

Not as unbelievable as a homosexual prostitute circumventing general WH security protocol reserved for only those with higher clearance with zero explanation of how and why the security FAILED.

>Gee, that one never made the front page.

Sure it did, Dave,,,you just are uninformed regrading everything these days.

>Must have been one of Clinton's leftovers.

>That's why the mainstream news didn't fly with
>.it.

Mainstream did fly with it. Missed it.

>I've never cheated on any assignment that I've
>ever done. I've never had to.

Then why did you not provide the 2914 Stony Creek Rd address to the FCC as required by law?

>Ah, so you've decided to print the information

>without my permission eh? I knew you couldn't
>resist the urge.

I don't need your permission to ask what is in the public domain.

>BTW, you need to either upgrade or trash your
>"Spy" software (Or ask for a refund of that

>$9.95). THAT was my OLD address.

Yet, you brokke FCC law by not providing it to the FCC.

>Stony creek road was were I was born and

>raised and spent most of my CB career. I

>moved from there in 1999.

>You can verify this by going on QRZ and

>loading the 1993 version of the callbook, and

>then look at what address my call is listed

>with.

I accept (once again) your apology.
Because you lost all credibility for all your claims for many valid reasons.

>What you think is irrelevant,

Aparently what I think is very relevant, as you deny the truth and menstruate over it. I now have you in such a freakin' tizzy, you are denying your own wife's name when it has been confirmed. In fact, she used to reside on Gravers Road, but you go on denying she is your wife because of the shame you rained down upon yourself.

It's not what I think, it's what more and more
regs are conveying to you on a regualr basis.

>Name them.

Google hypocrite and your name, and you will find those who taught you better.

>and contrary to your wild imagination, you do

>not represent the majority.

Contrary to your claims that have been corrected by the majority of the regs, it is yourself that is of the most radical, hypocritical, and of a minority position that is usually incorrect.

>Prove it. Other that you, Frank, and

>occasionally Landshark, who actually even

>gives enough of a crap about these jabs that

>we exchange, to even chime in?

You are again under the mistaken and erroneous belief one must "chime in" to all exchanges in order to express they care? Dude, you are so far out, you can never regain composure.

>But I'll make a deal with you, I'll tell you every

>place where I went to school, when you give

>me your real name and address.

You invoked your schooling of your own free will.

>I did not offer to provide specific details.

You invoked your schooling of your own free will. This is where your piss poor communication skill comes in to play. When one enters a topic

in to a conversation, be prepared to substantiate it. Your constant excuses and whining about not having to defend your claims portray you exactly how you are viewed.....by the majority :)
Your personal
obsessive mania concerning my personal life

>Yet it is you who is obsessively looking up

>personal info about me

I looked up nothing...you put it out there. In fact, you put it all out there, you just are so inept and have such a piss poor memory and tell so many lies, you can't keep them all straight.
In fact, you initiated the personal game and were told many times to lay off the personal remarks. Now that you are getting your own game crammed down your throat, you can only cry foul and lie,,,,but lying about your wife is an entirely new (at least when you do it publicly) concept you have demonstrated to the public.
You have shamed your name, Dave and disgraced your wife. Deal with it, move on from it, and stop becoming personal with others and you will find you will stop hating life and blaming others for your personal created hell in which you wallow.

> (And getting most of it

>wrong in the process).

Tell us again which parts are wrong, Dave,,,,I thoroughly enjoy watching you squirm over your past lies. Tell us again how Kimberly T is not your wife.

>The facts betray you.

No,,,the facts confirm what I say. You're so ignorant in the ways of the world and law, you are beside yourself because -you- are unable to correctly ascertain the info that you have been begging me for years. Meanwhile, the game you initiated has come full circel and slapped you in your head.

has nothing to do with your
unsolicited claims posted by yourself in order to lend your hurt feelings and soiled ago an image of support. This is done only because of your need for validation. You can find no support on or of your own.

>I'm not the one who feels the need to

>continually convince myself of the existence of
>a mythical "majority" who's support doesn't

>really exist.

That's exactly what you do each time you
claim a superior morality and adherence to religion.

>Deal?

Checkmate.

>Yep, that's my boat.

No offers, yet, huh? Well, that's what happens when you purchase a used overpriced POS.
Just because you overpaid doesn't mean others will subscribe to your ignorance.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>n3cvj

3464. N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 14:37:09 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11849-42933C15-406@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200437

How many links do you need, Dave?

Here are a few more, just to keep you entertained.

From the Times wires:

Before the Iraq invasion, the Bush administration asserted that Iraq possessed chemical and biological weapons and was developing nuclear weapons. Officials also allege Iraq was working on prohibited long-range missiles and drones that could disperse biological agents. The presidential intelligene commison examined each of the US intelligence community's prewar assertions on Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and reached these conclusions:

Nuclear Weapons: Wrong
Analysts wrongly interpreted the purpose of some metal tubes Iraq tried to import, arguing they were for centrifuges to enrich uranium when they were for conventional artillery rockets. Other imports of materials with more than one potential use were also similarily misinterpreted.

Biological Weapons: Wrong
Agencies trusted several Iraqi defectors who were lying, ignoring inconsistencies in their statements and other warning signs that these defectors were providing false information.

Chemical Weapons: WRONG
The intelligence committe drew its conclusions
from satellite photos of trucks and buildings and other sources that were suspicious but ultimately ambiguous, and trusted human sources who made claims that Hussein had accomplished things that are technically impossiible.

WMD-Armed Drones: Wrong
Analysts concluded the drones were for WMD based on limited information; Iraq;s drones turned out to be for reconnaissance.

Hussein's Intentions: Wrong
Intelligence agencies did not seriously consider Hussein could have given up his WMD ambitions and destroyed his stockpiles. Although several intel sources asserted before the war that Iraq did not have any WMD, US analysts have regarded this as disinformation.

End of Times wire report.
--
Want more, Dave? The list is endless but these should have you spinning your wheels a bit more than usual since your first knee-jerk reaction was to deny any Bush failures, then

beg for examples.

3465. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 14:49:03 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11849-42933EDF-410@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200438

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>All I have ever seen anyone use a D104 for is

>making a cheap radio sound like an even

>cheaper walmart, price reduced, sale item

Pity. Most familiar with cb are very familar with the quality hand held D104s like the one you refer, but are just learning about. Two models, the M6b and the M6c are very stealth and they rock! Nothing at all like the lollipop D104 sound.

>(those guys sure never heard quality audio in

>their life!)...

Cb doesn't look for FM broadcast-like audio,,,,,when one becomes that concerned with their audio and they blame everyone else on the band for poor audio, it's time to move on to hammie radio, completely bypassing the nocode and going to the next level.

> who wants to talk to some idiot that sounds

>like a mentally impared weirdo screaming into

>a mike with a bad lisp and naturally distorted

>voice... Warmest regards,

That's what some here come across as, especially when they can't properly read or comprehend the post they respond.

>John

The real John!

3466. I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 24, 2005 11:28:16 am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 15:27:40 UTC
From: RLG101175@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116948460.801531.169370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200439
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION OF YOUR LIFE

This is the most important question of your life.

The question is: Are you saved?

It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved?

Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?

The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know.

The good news is that you can know for sure that you are going to Heaven.

The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful place with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.

God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.

"For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and believe in your heart that God raised Him from the dead, you will be saved." (Romans 10:9)

Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.

Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless life for thirty-three years.

At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then Jesus was crucified.

Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed
in a grave He rose from the dead as He said would
happen before He died.

If someone tells you that they are going to die
and then three days later come back to life and it
actually happens then this person must be the real deal.

Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
perfect sinless life.

This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.

The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption
through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..."
(Ephesians 1:7)

If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
your Lord and Saviour.

It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
things that you have done in your life including
lying and stealing all the way up to murder.

Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it
from your heart and God will hear you and save you.

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
to save you.

Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure
that you will live in Heaven forever when this life
comes to an end.

As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but
if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children,
I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if
anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father
in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
chance to do so because you do not know when you will die.

Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one
can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
(John 14:6)

This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ
as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be
forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.

The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
have rejected Jesus Christ.

The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
what happens you are on your way to Heaven.

Praise the Lord!
Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
Ronald L. Grossi

* Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.
* This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.
* Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.

[Please Visit These Excellent Websites]

Free Video
http://fathersloveletter.com/fllpreviewlarge.html

Free Jesus Videos
http://www.jesusvideo.org/videos/index.php

Free Movie: To Hell and Back
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Other Languages
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cult_list.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

3467. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 17:03:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <f8h69199m7ta3tukkrc4vj2md68bd6dpb1@4ax.com>
Source record: 200442

On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:17:38 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Fri, 20 May 2005 15:40:52 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>him from human flaws or the consequences of
>>acting out on them.
>
>Except when those flaws belong to Bush, then those consequences go out
>the window and people like you blame the demos for his incompetence.
>
>>Because those "flaws" were largely invented
>
>>by the left leaning media.
>
>Get reality in your life. Failing to balance the budget,
>
>>Bush never promised to balance the budget at
>>this time. That's to come in the future.
>
>.. the report
>by the Pentagon two days ago that "Iraq war not fairing as well as
>originally thought".
>
>>According to whom?
>
>The Pentagon.
>
>
>>Link please.
>
>Already posted it once.

When? And don't just say "the pentagon", post a complete link to the
"news" source from which you base your biased claims.

>....the lack of protection for the troops he sent in to battle
>underequipped and ill prepared.
>
>>I suppose you've forgotten this now infamous
>>quote: "I actually voted for the 87 billion,
>>before I voted against it". What do you think
>>that 87 billion was for?
>

>
>
>Blaming anyone but Bush for over three years of inadequate supply,
>protection, and gear
>for our troops shows exactly how well you comprehend your government.
>

And you would be equally ignorant to ignore the years of neglect that
our military suffered at the hands of Clinton, who had no great love
for it.

>,,the list goes on and his
>failures have nothing to do with the demos, despite your hatred.
>
>>Yea sure. When you stand up for what is right,
>
>>you're bound to take a few on the chin in the
>
>>process.
>
>
>Placing unprepared troops and others in battle is not standing up, it is
>a failure and illustration of the president's strategy and incompetence.
>Ignoring Iran, Dharfur, and N Korea is not standing up.

Who says we're ignoring it? All in good time. We don't have unlimited
military resources. Unless, of course, you want the draft brought
back.....

>
>
>> Those who refuse to stand up, out of fear of
>>taking those few on the chin, are the ones to
>>be very afraid of.
>
>
>
>Sort of like yourself in regards to radio law,,you do nothing that can
>remotely be considered proactive (standing up) and offer nothing but
>reactive lipeservice.

Stay focused. This isn't about me, as much as you'd like it to be.

>>There has been none to date which have been
>>proven.
>
>Then show me the balanced budget.
>
>>Never promised.
>
>
>No one said it was

You implied as much

>, but it is part of the president's job that he failed
>to manage. Cllaiming that if something isn't promised by the president,
>it's ok if he is derelict in ignoring his duties, confirms your lack of
>knowledge regarding the position of president.

There are far more pressing issues than a balanced budget. Ridding the
world of maniacal terrorists is a bit higher on the pecking list.

>_
>Tell it to the military sons and
>daughters and parents who have lost loved ones for the very preventable
>reason of not having proper protection, supplies and equipment.
>
>>.A very valid reason why John F. Kerry is not
>>.the president today.
>
>
>
>Did Kerry bang Kimberly, or do you continuosly harbor unnatural feelings
>and hatred for him for other reasons?

No, it's a simple matter of you wanting to pin every failing on Bush,
when, if you truly understood how the government operates, you would
not make such an ignorant, uninformed claim. Military budgets have to
be approved by congress, a congress in which Kerry voted against
(after he voted for) the necessary money to provide that equipment you
feel we were glaringly lacking.

>Bush is cutting military funding and it has nthing to do with Kerry.

He is merely doing the same things as many corporations. Making due
with less. Unless, you want a large tax increase.

>Once again, you are not even aware of what your own party is
>undertaking.
>Now Bush is cutting bases in the US to pay for his tax cuts and failing
>(admitted by the Pentagon) war, the same thing you blasted Clinton for
>daring to entertain a few years ago, and he didn't even do it.
>
>>ï¿½ï¿½When did I "blast" Clinton for closing military
>>bases?
>
>
>You blasted Clinton and claimed he was seeking to dismantle and "weaken"
>the military through budget cuts. You have a piss poor memory, Dave.

Not the same thing. Bush is not eliminating any crucial bases or
programs.

>>Rathergate, is a glaring example of one such
>>smear which got discovered before any real
>>damage could be done.

>
>You are wired to focus on anything but
>responsibility. You seek abdication of the Bush failures through
>unrealistic self-denial,
>
>>I seek the truth, and I place blame where the
>
>>blame belongs,
>
>
>Except with the leader of the country...as I said, you seek abdication
>of responsibility.
>
>> and that starts with those who seek to destroy
>
>>this country out of a ideological hatred of our
>
>>way of life.
>
>
>
>Wrong,,,it begins and ends with the president.

The president didn't fly airplanes into the trade towers or the pentagon. The president didn't try to annex a neighboring country. The president didn't exterminate hundreds of thousands of his own people. The president didn't blow a hole in the USS Cole. The president didn't blow up embassies. The list goes on.

>
>> I don't blame the one leader with the cajones
>>to call it like it is and stand up to it.
>
>
>
>But's NOT standing up for anything...he's ignoring Dharfur, which is
>much worse bllodshed than Hussein EVER committed

Dharfur does not threaten this country in any way.

>, he failed to stop the
>proliferation and spread of nukes, and N Korea is continuing to produce
>them,,three more nuke warheads by year's end with the rods they recently
>and publicly collected and announced that they are using them for nukes.

The former soviet union has nukes. The Chinese have nukes. So what? Now if Osama Bin Laden had a few, I'd be concerned. Or if Saddam had been allowed to finish his nuke program, I'd be concerned.

>Of course, Iran has solidified several more nukes in the time Bush has
>been lording over the oil glut,,,on it goes, yet you know little of it.

What oil glut? Do you not read the commodities page?

>>The liberals, on the other hand, when the truth
>>cleverly evades them, make up their own
>>version of the truth to justify actions which
>>would, in an earlier generation, be considered
>>treason.
>
>
>
>Bush was the only one to flipflop on his reasons for war, yet when thse
>reasons are applied equally to hostile countries, his position
>evaporates.

He has not flip flopped on any of his reasons. They remain the same as
always.

>
>>Then there is the more recent Newsweek
>>gaffe about flushing the Koran down a toilet
>>(How does one flush a book down a toilet
>>anyway?).

>They have port- a-potty's in Guantanamo, not toilets.

>>I'm really interested in how you would know
>>that with any accuracy.
>
>
>Stay focused and try not to fall off track and delve into personal
>realms again, Davey. I know it in the same manner I knew your party
>acknowledged global warming and you didn't.

Which is how exactly? And for the record, I never denied global
warming, just questioned the amount of effect that humanity has truly
had on it. The evidence is still inconclusive on this point, as I have
provided in the links.

>>BTW, port-a-potti's don't flush.
>
>
>
>All the more reason the story was suspect from the beginning.

Yet you were ready to embrace it as another reason to throw a dagger
at Bush.

>
>Then why did you not provide the 2914 Stony Creek Rd address to the FCC
>as required by law?
>
>>Ah, so you've decided to print the information
>>without my permission eh? I knew you couldn't
>>resist the urge.

>
>
>
>I don't need your permission to ask what is in the public domain.

The why did you ask in the first place?

>>BTW, you need to either upgrade or trash your
>>"Spy" software (Or ask for a refund of that
>>$9.95). THAT was my OLD address.
>
>
>Yet, you brokke FCC law by not providing it to the FCC.

Are you retarded, or can you simply not read? You are mistaken about my current address. Your "Cyber detective" software is out of date. My current address IS the one on my FCC license. The one you have is the OLD one.

>>Stony creek road was were I was born and
>>raised and spent most of my CB career. I
>>moved from there in 1999.
>>You can verify this by going on QRZ and
>>loading the 1993 version of the callbook, and
>>then look at what address my call is listed
>>with.

>I accept (once again) your apology.

And again.

>Because you lost all credibility for all your claims for many valid
>reasons.
>
>>What you think is irrelevant,
>
>Aparently what I think is very relevant, as you deny the truth and
>menstruate over it. I now have you in such a freakin' tizzy, you are
>denying your own wife's name when it has been confirmed.

How has it been confirmed? Because YOU think it is? I am telling you, you are dead wrong about my wife's name.

> In fact, she
>used to reside on Gravers Road, but you go on denying she is your wife
>because of the shame you rained down upon yourself.

I never even heard of Gravers road. Once again you are mistaken (We must be up to a dozen things you've been wrong about now). This is what happens when you play with cyperspy wannabe software for $9.95.

>It's not what I think, it's what more and more
>regs are conveying to you on a regualr basis.
>

>>Name them.
>
>Google hypocrite and your name, and you will find those who taught you
>better.

Nice dodge. But I drive a Ford. Once again, name the people who agree
with your position and disagree with mine.

>
>
>>and contrary to your wild imagination, you do
>>not represent the majority.
>
>Contrary to your claims that have been corrected by the majority of the
>regs, it is yourself that is of the most radical, hypocritical, and of a
>minority position that is usually incorrect.

Prove it. Post the names, posts and other references.

>>Prove it. Other that you, Frank, and
>>occasionally Landshark, who actually even
>>gives enough of a crap about these jabs that
>>we exchange, to even chime in?
>
>
>
>You are again under the mistaken and erroneous belief one must "chime
>in" to all exchanges in order to express they care?

Well gee, how then are we supposed to know that they disagree? Did you
buy Frank's crystal ball?

> Dude, you are so far out, you can never regain composure.

I'm not the one who's suggesting that I can read minds in order to
glean the opinions of people who do not post their opinions here.

>
>You invoked your schooling of your own free will. This is where your
>piss poor communication skill comes in to play. When one enters a topic
>in to a conversation, be prepared to substantiate it.

Just like you gave us the names and addresses of all of your
publishing gigs when you once claimed to be a "professional
journalist"?

If you are going to make the rules, you have to play by them too.
Otherwise, you're a hypocrite.

> Your constant
>excuses and whining about not having to defend your claims portray you
>exactly how you are viewed.....by the majority :)

Like I said, you have not provided for one single piece of information
you have provided here. Yet you expect others to do it. Hypocrisy.

>Your personal
>obsessive mania concerning my personal life
>
>>Yet it is you who is obsessively looking up
>>personal info about me
>
>
>I looked up nothing...you put it out there.

I put my old home address out there? I put the incorrect name of my
wife out there? C'mon, you can do better.

>In fact, you put it all out
>there, you just are so inept and have such a piss poor memory and tell
>so many lies, you can't keep them all straight.

When and where have I put any of the things that you've conjured out
of thin air out there?

>In fact, you initiated the personal game

No, I didn't, you did.

> and were told many times to lay
>off the personal remarks.

While you continued to engage in the exact behavior yourself, either
ignorant of, or indifferent to your apparent hypocrisy.

> Now that you are getting your own game crammed
>down your throat, you can only cry foul and lie,,,,but lying about your
>wife is an entirely new (at least when you do it publicly) concept you
>have demonstrated to the public.

I have told no lies. You got suckered into paying some of your hard
earned and short on supply cash to some company to get personal
information about me, and much of it is wrong. But you'd rather
believe that I'm lying than believe that you got taken.

>You have shamed your name, Dave and disgraced your wife.

How?

> Deal with it,
>move on from it, and stop becoming personal with others and you will
>find you will stop hating life and blaming others for your personal
>created hell in which you wallow.

The only hell is the one that you're stuck in and which you are
desperately trying to use me in order to vindicate yourself from.

>> (And getting most of it
>>wrong in the process).

>
>Tell us again which parts are wrong, Dave,,,,I thoroughly enjoy watching
>you squirm over your past lies. Tell us again how Kimberly T is not your
>wife.

She's not. That's NOT my wife's name. The address on my ham license is
correct.

And G.W. Bush will probably be remembered in history as one of
America's greatest presidents, once he tames the barbarism in the
middle east.

>>The facts betray you.
>
>
>No,,,the facts confirm what I say. You're so ignorant in the ways of the
>world and law, you are beside yourself because -you- are unable to
>correctly ascertain the info that you have been begging me for years.

I haven't begged you for anything. I am merely holding you up to the
hypocrisy spotlight for demanding information from other people that
you're not willing to give of yourself.

>Checkmate.
>
>>Yep, that's my boat.
>
>
>No offers, yet, huh? Well, that's what happens when you purchase a used
>overpriced POS.

I bought it brand new in 1996. And even 9 years later, it's still
worth more than that scow you take people out fishing in order to earn
the money to pay for your webTV subscription.

>Just because you overpaid doesn't mean others will subscribe to your
>ignorance.

My boat is worth every penny that I'm asking for it, according to most
surveys that I've researched. It's not like in Florida where the sun
kills gelcoat in a year's time, and salt water exposure corrodes
everything metallic. My boat is garage kept and as clean as the day I
bought it. The summer season hasn't even hit yet. The weather isn't
all that great right now. Sooner or later I expect some interested
buyer activity.

But I'm not in a hurry. If I don't sell it this year, there's always
next. I just had an itch for a new boat, but I can wait. Next year's
bonus added in might give me enough extra money to buy one without
selling the old one right away.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3468. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 17:08:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2nn691p0nvbufsqpmtc0u6k40evgrpvkft@4ax.com>
Source record: 200443

On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

> How many links do you need, Dave?

Just one good and accurate one would be nice, but you haven't posted
any. Just snippets of your own out of context interpretations of some
biased, agenda-driven news report.

Post the whole link, you know, something that begins with "http://",
so we can all read it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3469. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 17:31:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZxJke.19892$J63.15822970@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200498

How old are you, you know, they have medication for that now--allows one to be more coherent!

Consult your geriatric physician, he can help, there is hope!

Warmest regards,
John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:11849-42933EDF-410@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>All I have ever seen anyone use a D104 for is

>making a cheap radio sound like an even

>cheaper walmart, price reduced, sale item

Pity. Most familiar with cb are very familar with the quality hand held D104s like the one you refer, but are just learning about. Two models, the M6b and the M6c are very stealth and they rock! Nothing at all like the lollipop D104 sound.

>(those guys sure never heard quality audio in

>their life!)...

Cb doesn't look for FM broadcast-like audio,,,,,when one becomes that concerned with their audio and they blame everyone else on the band for poor audio, it's time to move on to hammie radio, completely bypassing the nocode and going to the next level.

> who wants to talk to some idiot that sounds

>like a mentally impared weirdo screaming into

>a mike with a bad lisp and naturally distorted

>voice... Warmest regards,

That's what some here come across as, especially when they can't properly read or comprehend the post they respond.

>John

The real John!

3470. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 17:48:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9OJke.20155$y43.15813767@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Don't need it anywhere... well, the amateur exam... then one can move on...

Warmest regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:4IWdnfeYptqI0Q7fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> Dan:
>>
>> You will never find me using cw...
>
> Well, you don't need it on CB.

3471. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 17:51:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <pQJke.20192$DC3.15877235@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying--don't you realize the
population is growing in leaps and bounds... and still hams dwindle (and
inactive numbers are LARGE)--get some geriatric medication for that
alzheimers man!

Warmest regards,
John

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:PMGdnele5vbf0A7fRVn-iQ@gbronline.com...
> Stagger Lee wrote:
>
>>
>> To reiterate, those who run around claiming that "amateur radio is
>> dying" can't seem to either articulate what "dying" means or to prove
>> their case.
>>
>> And as the old Shania Twain song says, "That don't impress me much."
>
> Those who are too dumb or lazy to get a license like to parrot the old
> standard, 'ham radio is dying". They can't make the grade so they like to
> think ham radio will someday go away then they won't feel so inferior.

3472. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 18:30:56 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <59q691ldlre32j2g87cr2sl7qo07runsk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 200496

On Tue, 24 May 2005 13:08:22 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>> How many links do you need, Dave?
>
>
>Just one good and accurate one would be nice, but you haven't posted
>any. Just snippets of your own out of context interpretations of some
>biased, agenda-driven news report.
>
>Post the whole link, you know, something that begins with "http://",
>so we can all read it.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
****

try this link.

http://www.unmovic.org/

It is gives all the reporrtts to present of the "on goning
inspections"

This is the link to the UN Security Council Working Document as
presented to the UN Security Council on Mach 3 of 2003. Please pay
attention to Annex 2. It is quite revealing. It in fact shows how well
the UNSCOM inpsections were working prior to being booted out in 1998.

http://www.un.org/Depts/unmovic/new/documents/draft_work_programme.pdf

We may never know how much there really was or how much was destroyed.
But it is now becoming a foregone conclusions that the large
potentials that Colin Powell claimed in his Power Point Presentation
on Feb. 5, 2003 are at best in retrospect worst case analysis. Did
this administration inflate the potentials of WMD? Maybe not, but they
did harp extensively on the worst case potentials. As time has shown
the reality is that either Saddam destroyed alot just before the
invasion or there never were the large stock piles of WMD that Bush
and company had envisioned.

There are more links. You can also start at www.fas.org. Look not for
just what supports ones belief but look at all the facts presented. In
between the two extremes will really lie the truth. IF you start to

dig further into the past, you may starrtt to derive some other conclusions. I came to an understanding in late 2002 that Iraq and any invasion was not about WMD or OIL. It is far more deeper. The true paranoia that this administration has is a great fear of a large Islamic state existing from Pakistan to Syria. Including Iran, Iraq, Saudia Arabia and a few others.

Just think what if Radical Islam controled over half the oil production in the world?

james

3473. Download a whole website to your computer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 18:36:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%uKke.20889$x25.16092287@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200497

Sometimes, a guy just finds a whole website he would like to examine in detail--when offline. Such as downloading it your laptop and taking it on vacation with you, on a plane, lunch hour at work, etc...

Here is a link to Httrack, a program which will do that for you... becareful about setting it to ignore robot rules and turning it lose on a LARGE website... you could be downloading for days...
http://www.httrack.com

Warmest regards,
John
--
Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right before my eyes--in real time!
Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?

3474. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 21:52:53 UTC
From: "Sammy \"The Bull\" Gravano" <Sammy@witnessprotection.gov>
Message-ID: <qkNke.27362$J25.24848@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 200499
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

I've been a real shit. When I die, I am going to New Jersey!!

-Sammy

<RLG101175@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116948460.801531.169370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION OF YOUR LIFE
>
> This is the most important question of your life.
>
> The question is: Are you saved?
>
> It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you
> are a church member, but are you saved?
>
> Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?
>
> The reason some people don't know for sure if they are
> going to Heaven when they die is because they just
> don't know.
>
> The good news is that you can know for sure that you
> are going to Heaven.
>
> The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful place
> with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.
>
> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be
> saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.
>
> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and
> believe in your heart that God raised Him from the
> dead, you will be saved." (Romans 10:9)
>
> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in
> the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die
> on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.
>
> Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a

> virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
> life for thirty-three years.
>
> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
> had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
> Jesus was crucified.
>
> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed
> in a grave He rose from the dead as He said would
> happen before He died.
>
> If someone tells you that they are going to die
> and then three days later come back to life and it
> actually happens then this person must be the real deal.
>
> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
> perfect sinless life.
>
> This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
> with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.
>
> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption
> through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..."
> (Ephesians 1:7)
>
> If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
> present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
> your Lord and Saviour.
>
> It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
> things that you have done in your life including
> lying and stealing all the way up to murder.
>
> Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it
> from your heart and God will hear you and save you.
>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
> have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
> with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
> me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and
> that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
> and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
> Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
> me through Your merciful grace. Amen.
>
> Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
> to save you.
>
> Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure

> that you will live in Heaven forever when this life
> comes to an end.
>
> As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but
> if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children,
> I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if
> anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father
> in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."
>
> Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
> trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
> chance to do so because you do not know when you will die.
>
> Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one
> can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
> (John 14:6)
>
> This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ
> as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be
> forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.
>
> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
> torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
> have rejected Jesus Christ.
>
> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
> Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
> have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
> what happens you are on your way to Heaven.
>
>
> Praise the Lord!
> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
> Ronald L. Grossi
>
>
> * Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.
> * This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.
> * Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.
>
>
> [Please Visit These Excellent Websites]
>
> Free Video
> http://fathersloveletter.com/fllpreviewlarge.html
>
> Free Jesus Videos
> http://www.jesusvideo.org/videos/index.php
>
> Free Movie: To Hell and Back

> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
> Other Languages
> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>
> The Passion Of The Christ
> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>
> Beware Of Cults
> http://www.carm.org/cults/cult_list.htm
>
> About Hell
> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
> Is Jesus God?
> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>
> Free Online Bible
> http://www.biblegateway.com
>

3475. Re: Mods for 2 radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 22:11:18 UTC
From: Jim <james@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rdGdnSIbKrbhOw7fRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200500

I am looking for a "peak and tune" mod. What I have found is very vague in that all it says is "VR10 and VR11. Well, what the hell do I do with VR10 and 11. If I could find a schematic somewhere and some better instructions then what I want to do is Boost AM power and SSB Power.

Thanx for the reply guys!

"Jim" <james@comcast.net> wrote in message news:fLydnXS2MfABkAzfRVn-uA@comcast.com...
> Can anybody help me with mods for a Cobra 148 NW ST or an old Sharp CB 2460.
> I have looked but cant find anything anywhere.
>
> Thanx
>
>

3476. KEYCLOWNS RULE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 22:14:08 UTC
From: KEYCLOWNS RULE!!!!!!!!! <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116972848.709771.8120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200501

AND THAT'S THE BOTTOM LINE CAUSE I SAID SO!!!!!!!!!!

3477. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 22:18:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <PKNke.24216$_Q2.17826952@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200502
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

```
Yes, Heaven is out of the question, most likely for me too, Puerto Vallarta
with two hookers and an endless supply of tequila and I could get by--my
needs are modest...

Warmest regards,
John

"Sammy "The Bull" Gravano" <Sammy@witnessprotection.gov> wrote in message
news:qkNke.27362$J25.24848@bignews6.bellsouth.net...
> I've been a real shit. When I die, I am going to New Jersey!!
>
> -Sammy
>
> <RLG101175@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1116948460.801531.169370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> THE MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION OF YOUR LIFE
>>
>> This is the most important question of your life.
>>
>> The question is: Are you saved?
>>
>> It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you
>> are a church member, but are you saved?
>>
>> Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die?
>>
>> The reason some people don't know for sure if they are
>> going to Heaven when they die is because they just
>> don't know.
>>
>> The good news is that you can know for sure that you
>> are going to Heaven.
>>
>> The Holy Bible describes Heaven as a beautiful place
>> with no death, sorrow, sickness or pain.
>>
>> God tells us in the Holy Bible how simple it is to be
>> saved so that we can live forever with Him in Heaven.
>>
```

>> "For if you confess with your mouth Jesus is Lord and
>> believe in your heart that God raised Him from the
>> dead, you will be saved." (Romans 10:9)
>>
>> Over 2000 years ago God came from Heaven to earth in
>> the person of Jesus Christ to shed His blood and die
>> on a cross to pay our sin debt in full.
>>
>> Jesus Christ was born in Israel supernaturally to a
>> virgin Jewish woman named Mary and lived a sinless
>> life for thirty-three years.
>>
>> At the age of thirty-three Jesus was scourged and
>> had a crown of thorns pressed onto His head then
>> Jesus was crucified.
>>
>> Three days after Jesus died on a cross and was placed
>> in a grave He rose from the dead as He said would
>> happen before He died.
>>
>> If someone tells you that they are going to die
>> and then three days later come back to life and it
>> actually happens then this person must be the real deal.
>>
>> Jesus Christ is the only person that ever lived a
>> perfect sinless life.
>>
>> This is why Jesus is able to cover our sins(misdeeds)
>> with His own blood because Jesus is sinless.
>>
>> The Holy Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption
>> through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..."
>> (Ephesians 1:7)
>>
>> If you would like God to forgive you of your past,
>> present and future sins just ask Jesus Christ to be
>> your Lord and Saviour.
>>
>> It doesn't matter how old you are or how many bad
>> things that you have done in your life including
>> lying and stealing all the way up to murder.
>>
>> Just pray the prayer below with your mouth and mean it
>> from your heart and God will hear you and save you.
>>
>> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can
>> have a home in Heaven with You when I die. I agree
>> with You that I am a sinner. I believe that You love
>> me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
>> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins and

>> that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins
>> and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour.
>> Thanks Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving
>> me through Your merciful grace. Amen.
>>
>> Welcome to the family of God if you just allowed God
>> to save you.
>>
>> Now you are a real Christian and you can know for sure
>> that you will live in Heaven forever when this life
>> comes to an end.
>>
>> As a child of God we are to avoid sin(wrongdoing), but
>> if you do sin the Holy Bible says, "My dear children,
>> I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if
>> anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father
>> in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."
>>
>> Those of you that have not yet decided to place your
>> trust in the Lord Jesus Christ may never get another
>> chance to do so because you do not know when you will die.
>>
>> Jesus said, "I am the way, the truth and the life: no one
>> can come to the Father(God)(in Heaven), but by me."
>> (John 14:6)
>>
>> This means that if you die without trusting in Jesus Christ
>> as your Lord and Saviour you will die in your sins and be
>> forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell.
>>
>> The Holy Bible descibes Hell as a place of eternal
>> torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who
>> have rejected Jesus Christ.
>>
>> The good news is that you can avoid Hell by allowing
>> Jesus Christ to save you today. Only then will you
>> have true peace in your life knowing that no matter
>> what happens you are on your way to Heaven.
>>
>>
>> Praise the Lord!
>> Servant of the Lord Jesus Christ
>> Ronald L. Grossi
>>
>>
>> * Show this to your family and friends so they can also be saved.
>> * This message may get deleted so you may want to print a copy.
>> * Just press the [Ctrl][P] keys on your keyboard to print this page.
>>
>>

>> [Please Visit These Excellent Websites]
>>
>> Free Video
>> http://fathersloveletter.com/fllpreviewlarge.html
>>
>> Free Jesus Videos
>> http://www.jesusvideo.org/videos/index.php
>>
>> Free Movie: To Hell and Back
>> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>>
>> Other Languages
>> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps.html
>>
>> The Passion Of The Christ
>> http://www.thepassionofthechrist.com
>>
>> Beware Of Cults
>> http://www.carm.org/cults/cult_list.htm
>>
>> About Hell
>> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>>
>> Is Jesus God?
>> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>>
>> Free Online Bible
>> http://www.biblegateway.com
>>
>
>

3478. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 22:19:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <4MNke.7131$M36.4662@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:OEuke.1964$8g.1541@news01.roc.ny...
> Dan,
>
> I must ask ... did you rotate the whole county to turn the beam? :)
>
>
> 73,
> Jim AA2QA
>
I don't remember.......

Dan/W4NTI

3479. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 22:20:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <0NNke.7134$M36.689@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gnvke.5058$X92.5027@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
>
>>
>> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans
>> for a Field Day one year.
>>
>
> Hey Dan,
>
> Do you know how to tell the difference between a fairy-tale and a
> sea-story?
>
> Scroll down
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> A fairy-tale starts out --- "Once upon a time....."
>
> A sea-story starts out --- "Now this is no shit....."
>
>
> dit dit
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

Wow Hans, thats the same way in the Army and Air Force. The Marines
believe anything.....just kidding.

Dan/W4NTI

3480. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 22:30:15 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2cOdnTxD7e-eNg7fRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:pQJke.20192$DC3.15877235@news.sisna.com...
> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying--don't you realize the
> population is growing in leaps and bounds... and still hams dwindle (and
> inactive numbers are LARGE)--get some geriatric medication for that
> alzheimers man!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>

The general population is NOT growing by leaps and bounds.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3481. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 22:33:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <zYNke.9930$w21.5795@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Then explain to me what it is you just did?

Dan/W4NTI
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:8Wuke.5334$1p2.6682766@news.sisna.com...
> Dan:
>
> You will never find me using cw... you will never finding me talking to
> anyone of your ilk... fear not...
>
> John
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:Chtke.6562$M36.1056@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>I have a "use for CW". I use it to communicate with others using the same
>>mode. I use it to participate in Amateur Radio.
>>
>> If you or your ilk don't care to do so. Stay the hell off my frequency.
>>
>> Have a good day idiot.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:da9ke.4897$nh.2864287@news.sisna.com...
>>> You ask me "what is wrong with cw?" I ask you, "Why is amateur radio
>>> dying?"--I think the answer to both is a single one--there was a time
>>> when dropping code would have saved ham radio--I fear that time has come
>>> and gone... cw has no real use to anyone, anymore... it is like having
>>> to learn to type to use the internet... no such requirement... however,
>>> because typing DOES have a use--you will find many learning to type
>>> after they realize the need...
>>>
>>> ... the "anateur exams" are certainly no hinderence, they always have
>>> been as simple as pie--a college grad trained in the art of "test
>>> taking" could study for a day and pass the most challenging... they have
>>> been made even simplier still... yet amateur radio declined and
>>> continues to do so--
>>>
>>> ... now "critical mass" has been reached, so many other forms of
>>> communication exist that amateur radio is on its' death march... radios
>>> are so far and few between... and so little demand... they are too
>>> expensive with most to bother with... you could give ham licences away

>>> in the streets and not improve that...
>>>
>>> ... no, the OMs' alzheimers and lack of ability to see the future has
>>> killed ham radio--you'd think the old guys must have hated it--to have
>>> stood by and watched it die--if what you say is correct--and they DID
>>> have all their mental facilities about them and still allowed it...
>>>
>>> Warmest regards,
>>> John
>>>
>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:eC8ke.1846$8g.450@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> Nice try, John
>>>>
>>>> In the original post I stated "just for grins".
>>>>
>>>> As far as Alzheimer's disease, I know the change I am due before the
>>>> kid
>>>> punches the cash tendered into the register. I had to chuckle one day
>>>> when
>>>> the gal punched in as though I had made exact change and had to figure
>>>> out
>>>> what my change was. She had to call a manager!
>>>>
>>>> I am familiar with how great the Internet is and surf a bit plus am
>>>> active
>>>> in several Yahoo groups. Do you remember 911? I lost my AT&T service
>>>> for a
>>>> week as they had some central switching station in there. I had to use
>>>> my
>>>> Juno account as a backup. The radios, however, work - even without
>>>> mains.
>>>> Come to think of it, some of the repeaters work without mains. There
>>>> are
>>>> two of them around here that aren't even connected to the mains!
>>>>
>>>> I have no axe to grind. Oh, it bothers me when a couple of high power
>>>> stations are talking across town on 20 meters, however there is no
>>>> sanity
>>>> test for an amateur license. Come to think of it, the same applies to
>>>> high
>>>> power CBs. It causes interference thousands of miles away.
>>>>
>>>> What I cannot understand is why so many folks get their panties in a
>>>> knot
>>>> over CW. If you enjoy it, use it; if not, don't. No big deal there.
>>>>
>>>> As far as the folks who love to condemn those who enjoy Morse, I
>>>> suspect
>>>> that many of them are also lacking in theory and really want a no test

>>>> ticket. Perhaps we could also do away with a test for a driver's
>>>> license as
>>>> well ....
>>>>
>>>> Granted, folks will point out there is a big difference. There is, but
>>>> the
>>>> underlying problem is trying to make the airwaves available for
>>>> *everyone*.
>>>> This includes cell-phones (think duplex walk-talkie connected to a
>>>> repeater
>>>> which is connected to the telephone company), radio, television,
>>>> aircraft
>>>> guidance systems, satellite tv, satellite radio, gps, and so much more.
>>>> Originally, there were no driver's licenses, but as more automobiles
>>>> came
>>>> about, there was a need for regulation. Should we get rid of traffic
>>>> lights? How about the flashing red lights on school busses? Think
>>>> there
>>>> might be a need for regulation? The same applies to radio.
>>>> Originally,
>>>> there were no regulations for radio. If you have 100 stations in the
>>>> world,
>>>> total, there is really no need for regulation. As technology
>>>> progressed,
>>>> there were more demands made for radio spectrum (I say radio, but mean
>>>> everything using the electro-magnetic spectrum from perhaps 3 kHz to
>>>> 300
>>>> gHz). Regulations came into being and have been revisited and changed
>>>> many
>>>> times since.
>>>>
>>>> At least we can discuss this problem with regulating the airwaves; I
>>>> have
>>>> another problem that I am going to have to "discuss" a different way.
>>>>
>>>> Our new chief of police is claiming he is going to start cracking down
>>>> on
>>>> the loud stereos. One guy wrote an editorial stating "you just don't
>>>> like
>>>> my music". Well, I finally got one guy fined and he is staying quiet.
>>>> It
>>>> is disconcerting, to say the least, when someone has their boom box
>>>> turned
>>>> up 10 dB above distort at midnight and I have to get up in the morning.
>>>> Some of us actually have jobs. I have some "tunes" that I will share
>>>> should
>>>> this start again. I'm not going to bother burning a cd as I've got the
>>>> mp3
>>>> cuts on the computer. Just plug an audio line from the headphone jack
>>>> on

>>>> the computer speakers to the 700 stereo system I have for satellite tv.
>>>> I
>>>> hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>>>
>>>> 1) Washington Post March
>>>> 2) Anchors aweigh
>>>> 3) The Thunderer
>>>> 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>>>>
>>>> I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
>>>> feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden
>>>> :))
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>>> Jim AA2QA
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:h8Sje.20099$Bg3.13159443@news.sisna.com...
>>>>> It is a shame that hams are riding a sinking ship to the bottom...
>>>>> just so
>>>>> others can't ride... weird really... those old guys really have a axe
>>>>> to
>>>>> grind with someone... but, maybe it is as they claim--their minds are
>>>>> gone
>>>>> to alzheimers and they simply await for the need for morse to
>>>>> return...
>>>>> however, long before then--amateur radio will be dead...
>>>>>
>>>>> Regards,
>>>>> John
>>>>>
>>>>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:hIfie.1378$8g.388@news01.roc.ny...
>>>>> > Hi gang!
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Just for some grins, check this out:
>>>>> > http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>>>>> >
>>>>> > It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
>>>>> > remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records.
>>>>> > It
>>>>> > sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I
>>>>> > listened
>>>>> > to about 37 years ago ....
>>>>> >
>>>>> > The more things change, the more they stay the same.

>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>> > 73 from Rochester, NY
>>>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>>> >
>>>>> >
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

3482. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 23:02:19 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <%nOke.24895$GA3.18133098@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Just the increase in illegal aliens qualifies for "leaps and bounds"
status...

Warmest regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:2cOdnTxD7e-eNg7fRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pQJke.20192$DC3.15877235@news.sisna.com...
>> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying--don't you realize the
>> population is growing in leaps and bounds... and still hams dwindle (and
>> inactive numbers are LARGE)--get some geriatric medication for that
>> alzheimers man!
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>
> The general population is NOT growing by leaps and bounds.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3483. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 23:25:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> John Smith wrote:
> > Dan:
> >
> > You will never find me using cw...
>
> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>
It's been done, JJ.

3484. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 23:27:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <TLOke.25296$Gq4.18392158@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers... give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>

So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that for them... <evil'er grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>> John Smith wrote:
>> > Dan:
>> >
>> > You will never find me using cw...
>>
>> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>>
> It's been done, JJ.

3485. Re: Mods for 2 radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 23:29:33 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <93e791p2magj58n2jma7pi8v2lrqeh15oc@4ax.com>
Source record: 200508

On Tue, 24 May 2005 18:11:18 -0400, "Jim" <james@comcast.net> wrote:

>I am looking for a "peak and tune" mod. What I have found is very vague in
>that all it says is "VR10 and VR11. Well, what the hell do I do with VR10
>and 11. If I could find a schematic somewhere and some better instructions
>then what I want to do is Boost AM power and SSB Power.
>
>Thanx for the reply guys!
>
>"Jim" <james@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:fLydnXS2MfABkAzfRVn-uA@comcast.com...
>> Can anybody help me with mods for a Cobra 148 NW ST or an old Sharp CB
>2460.
>> I have looked but cant find anything anywhere.
>>
>> Thanx

This is a swing mod:

http://cbworldinformer.com/cb_world_961101.htm

Cobra 2000/148 & Uniden Grant XL ALC & AM Modulation Modification

See the schematic and instructions at the bottom of the page

Here is the VR10 and VR11 instructions:

http://www.radiomods.co.nz/grantxl8719.html

AM POWER: VR10
SSB POWER: VR11

Vinnie S.

3486. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 23:40:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524194035.301$Ud@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200509
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
> "K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:gnvke.5058$X92.5027@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote
> >
> >>
> >> Our club group built a 3 element 160 meter yagi out of steel beer cans
> >> for a Field Day one year.
> >>
> >
> > Hey Dan,
> >
> > Do you know how to tell the difference between a fairy-tale and a
> > sea-story?
> >
> > Scroll down
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > A fairy-tale starts out --- "Once upon a time....."
> >
> > A sea-story starts out --- "Now this is no shit....."
> >
> >
> > dit dit
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Wow Hans, thats the same way in the Army and Air Force. The Marines
> believe anything.....just kidding.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
This looks like submarine duty down here.

3487. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-24 23:54:26 UTC
From: bb <billybleepeer@jumbo>
Message-ID: <d70er1esc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 200510
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

John S. wrote:
> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
trip
> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.

ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.

Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...

...or had Rely dispensors in the bathrooms....

3488. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 00:02:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <KgPke.25845$iN4.18576452@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How about offering free eye exams and bottles of laxitives?

Warmest regards,
John

"bb" <billybleepeer@jumbo> wrote in message
news:d70er1esc0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> John S. wrote:
>> If it was so bad in years past, why did you go this year. My last
> trip
>> to Dayton was about 1995 and my impression of the used equipment for
>> sale outside was that it had been carted between too many other
>> hamfests. And there was a surprising amount of non-radio electronic
>> gear and parts. The inside was the usual glitzy trade show and one
>> vendor actually had bikini clad women prancing around.
>
> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>
>> In my experience hamfests in general are showing lower attendance
>> because of both internet-based competition and the ham radio hobby
>> itself being on the far side of the growth and maturity curve.
>
> Maybe if the Hamvention ran an advertisement in AARP...
>
> ...or had Rely dispensors in the bathrooms....
>
>

3489. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 00:05:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <xjPke.2065$8g.1213@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200514

Hello, Dave

I've been really laughing over this thread. Yep, the deficit is *huge* ... and when folks wake up, the Democrats will get blamed for "raising taxes". We are in deep doo - doo here. Reaganomics didn't work then and it doesn't work now.

As to the marriage protection act that Bush was for, have we heard anything else about that, or was it to get a big reaction (along with votes) from the religious folks?

One question begs for an answer: what is the divorce rate in this country? Care to help Social Security? I'd suggest that once a couple divorces, they can no longer give nor receive Social Security benefits from another person (sole exception being to children). I've heard the divorce rate is close to 50%, but I honestly don't know.

I worked for a manager who was on his third or fourth wife. Hmmmm .... I'm wondering if a woman (or man, for that matter) ever questions why their intended has been divorced three times. I knew a guy (he passed away over 30 years ago) that was marrying his *fifth* wife! When he passed away, he was living with a girl friend a good 20 years younger than he.

A lot of interesting questions come to mind with many of these threads, but few answers.

Nope, I'm not for gay marriage, but I question what is it that drives these big knee-jerk reactions. I fear that once folks wake up and smell the coffee, it will be too late. In fact, it may already be too late. If other countries stop "lending" us money and allowing our deficit to continue, we are in for a crash. 1929 will look like a picnic. Many countries are fearing us. Not only for the "Rambo" style of Bush, but our deficit. If we crash, it will have a huge effect on the rest of the world as well.

Why do you think OPEC doesn't peg their target to the US dollar anymore? (hint: the dollar is falling and OPEC wants to make more. I read an article that Saudi Arabia wants to build an indoor skiing resort (talk about an air conditioning bill!) as well as an underwater hotel.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

3490. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 00:12:35 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <TpPke.1085$2B2.256@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 200515

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:2nn691p0nvbufsqpmtc0u6k40evgrpvkft@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
> > How many links do you need, Dave?
>
>
> Just one good and accurate one would be nice, but you haven't posted
> any. Just snippets of your own out of context interpretations of some
> biased, agenda-driven news report.
>
> Post the whole link, you know, something that begins with "http://",
> so we can all read it.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Hello, Dave

Since being in contact with a few friends who are so severely on the extreme right, I have found the best way to get news is *outside* of the United States. Whatever article is written here, it is dismissed as work of the demonic liberal left.

I have found the U.K., Canada, and Australia to be pretty nifty places. Of course, the world is just loaded with the liberal left ... :))

Hitler did a pretty good job convincing his people as to what was right and wrong.

I am not so easily persuaded.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

3491. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 00:12:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
>
> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that for
> them... <evil'er grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> > Dan:
> >> >
> >> > You will never find me using cw...
> >>
> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
> >>
> > It's been done, JJ.
>
>
>
>
>
>
You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.

3492. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 00:20:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <AxPke.26126$qk5.18706476@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200527

Jim:

You hit on the heart of the matter there, don't count on getting any news
which is accurate, unbiased or of assistance to the American public...
either threats or bribes control the news... but it ain't good...

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:TpPke.1085$2B2.256@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:2nn691p0nvbufsqpmtc0u6k40evgrpvkft@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>> > How many links do you need, Dave?
>>
>>
>> Just one good and accurate one would be nice, but you haven't posted
>> any. Just snippets of your own out of context interpretations of some
>> biased, agenda-driven news report.
>>
>> Post the whole link, you know, something that begins with "http://",
>> so we can all read it.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>
> Hello, Dave
>
> Since being in contact with a few friends who are so severely on the
> extreme
> right, I have found the best way to get news is *outside* of the United
> States. Whatever article is written here, it is dismissed as work of the
> demonic liberal left.
>
> I have found the U.K., Canada, and Australia to be pretty nifty places.
> Of
> course, the world is just loaded with the liberal left ... :))
>
> Hitler did a pretty good job convincing his people as to what was right
> and

> wrong.
>
> I am not so easily persuaded.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

3493. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 00:22:40 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <jzPke.26155$n05.18636911@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo:

You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
<grin>

Top posters bother gays yanno...

Warmest regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
>> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
>>
>> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that for
>> them... <evil'er grin>
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
>> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >> > Dan:
>> >> >
>> >> > You will never find me using cw...
>> >>
>> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>> >>
>> > It's been done, JJ.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
> You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.

3494. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 00:25:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <YBPke.2066$8g.69@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200517

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:2nn691p0nvbufsqpmtc0u6k40evgrpvkft@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
> > How many links do you need, Dave?
>
>
> Just one good and accurate one would be nice, but you haven't posted
> any. Just snippets of your own out of context interpretations of some
> biased, agenda-driven news report.
>
> Post the whole link, you know, something that begins with "http://",
> so we can all read it.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Hello, Dave

I ranted a bit about our deficit and economy. We know many jobs went to
Mexico and now to China.

Yep, we are the real technocracy leaders. Guess who launched Direct TV's
new satellite? Perhaps I should send you a link:
http://www.universetoday.com/am/publish/proton_directv8.html?2352005

Really reassuring, isn't it.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

3495. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY-RATTED OUT TO EBAY SECURITY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 00:47:57 UTC
From: Crapper <crap@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WOmdnVJSUKqiVg7fRVn-qA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200518

Its bad when a radio cannot be sold, because someone
is a dumb ass.

"Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116921824.921452.241080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> svtyellowcobra@aol.com wrote:
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5777071588
>>
>> Im selling a Ranger RCI-2950 mobile transceiver with an after market
>> Astatic D104 hand held microphone (stock mic included), and a Trip
> Lite
>> 7 amp power supply.
>>
>> The 2950 was modified to cover from 26Mhz - 32Mhz this included the
> 11
>> Meter Citizens Band. The radio was also modified for louder audio and
>> higher modulating power.
>> I paid $359.99 for the radio new.
>>
>> The Astatic D104 hand held is well known in the CB world as the best
>> mic you can buy for mobile use. The mic is wired for the RCI-2950
> using
>> the 6 pin plug from the stock mic.
>> These sell anywhere for $50-80 bucks
>>
>> The Trip Lite power supply is only 7 amps and will power the 2950 but
>> should be upgraded to at least a 15 amp supply. I'm only including it
>> because I no longer have a use for it. I bought this item used and it
>> has surface rust on the metal casing.
>>
>> This bundleis worth well over $400.00 new and I will part with the
> lot
>> for as little as $200.00 that is what I set the reserve at on ebay.
> The
>> starting bid is $5.00
>> Happy Bidding.
>>
>> PS there are photos of all the listed items on the ebay listing.
>>
>>
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5777071588

>
>
> Illegal, ratted out...ehhehe
>

3496. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 01:13:42 UTC
From: RJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ajQke.247674$cg1.76951@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 200519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Plus $8.00 for the busing for a total of $33.00.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com...
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
>> >> Forget it folks..
>> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
>> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
>> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
>> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
>> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
>> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>> >>
>> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a hamvention
>> >at the outside vendors booths.
>>
>> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
>>
> We paid $25.

3497. Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 01:24:49 UTC
From: germ714 <germ714@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QZ6dnaEb_M-FSQ7fRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 200520

It seems my cb is coming through my
am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
My question is do they make some
kind of filter to help prevent this.

3498. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 01:26:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524212604.323$kp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"RJ" <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Plus $8.00 for the busing for a total of $33.00.
>
>
Why did you pay $8 more than me, did you buy nerd protection..er parking? :)
>
>

> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050523134547.444$U9@newsreader.com...
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 23 May 2005 15:22:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote:
> >> >> Forget it folks..
> >> >> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> >> >> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> >> >> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> >> >> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> >> >> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> >> >> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
> >> >>
> >> >I agree. It looked more like a drive-in rummage sale than a
> >> >hamvention at the outside vendors booths.
> >>
> >> Was the entrance fee really $40.00?
> >>
> > We paid $25.

3499. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 01:29:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524212916.820$Kg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200522

"germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote:
> It seems my cb is coming through my
> am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
> and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
> the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
> My question is do they make some
> kind of filter to help prevent this.
>
Make sure you have good grounds on both. A low pass filter might not
hurt, but I'm not sure if it will help either. Swr looks good?

3500. re: FA:RCI 2950 & D104 HAND HELD & TripLite 7 amp PS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 01:39:45 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <BHQke.3710$Xh.1933@fed1read07>
Source record: 200523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

looks like someone ran afoul of ebay. nice rig, but marketed wrong.

******** the following item(s) have been removed *********

Please be aware that the following listing:

Item Number - 5777071588
Item Title - RCI 2950 & D104 HAND HELD & TripLite 7 amp PS

has been removed by eBay for violating of one or more of our policies. Any offers or bids placed on this listing are now null and void. We advise you not to finalize this transaction with the seller. As stated in the eBay User Agreement, neither seller nor buyer should engage in transactions that violate the law or eBay policy.

Please review eBay's Prohibited and Restricted Items guidelines and User Agreement at the following locations:

http://pages.ebay.com/help/sell/item_allowed.html
http://pages.ebay.com/help/policies/user-agreement.html

We thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Regards,

eBay Trust & Safety
****
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

3501. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 01:47:35 UTC
From: germ714 <germ714@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <sLWdndD7kN_0RA7fRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200524

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050524212916.820$Kg@newsreader.com...
> "germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote:
> > It seems my cb is coming through my
> > am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
> > and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
> > the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
> > My question is do they make some
> > kind of filter to help prevent this.
> >
> Make sure you have good grounds on both. A low pass filter might not
> hurt, but I'm not sure if it will help either. Swr looks good?

Swr is good, antenna ground could be better.

3502. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:05:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524220514.856$0L@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200526

"germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050524212916.820$Kg@newsreader.com...
> > "germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote:
> > > It seems my cb is coming through my
> > > am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
> > > and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
> > > the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
> > > My question is do they make some
> > > kind of filter to help prevent this.
> > >
> > Make sure you have good grounds on both. A low pass filter might not
> > hurt, but I'm not sure if it will help either. Swr looks good?
>
> Swr is good, antenna ground could be better.
>
Sink two copper rods and see if that helps. It will certainly improve
your lightning protection a bit if nothing else.

3503. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:09:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5hn7919rnm4g6c45rvqrvv95s32p65u3rq@4ax.com>
Source record: 200528

On 25 May 2005 02:05:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050524212916.820$Kg@newsreader.com...
>> > "germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote:
>> > > It seems my cb is coming through my
>> > > am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
>> > > and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
>> > > the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
>> > > My question is do they make some
>> > > kind of filter to help prevent this.
>> > >
>> > Make sure you have good grounds on both. A low pass filter might not
>> > hurt, but I'm not sure if it will help either. Swr looks good?
>>
>> Swr is good, antenna ground could be better.
>>
>Sink two copper rods and see if that helps. It will certainly improve
>your lightning protection a bit if nothing else.

Hey there Moped !!!!!

Tired and going to bed. G-night !!!!

Vinnie S.

3504. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:10:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524221014.665$Ph@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo:
>
> You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
> wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
> <grin>
>
> Top posters bother gays yanno...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com...
> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
> >> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
> >>
> >> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that
> >> for them... <evil'er grin>
> >>
> >> Warmest regards,
> >> John
> >>
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
> >> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> >> >> John Smith wrote:
> >> >> > Dan:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > You will never find me using cw...
> >> >>
> >> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
> >> >>
> >> > It's been done, JJ.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.
>

-top post corrected-
>
> You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
> wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
> <grin>
>
> Top posters bother gays yanno...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
Oh calling CBer's gay is a new approach, fuckstick. I'm done reading
yore tripe. -buh bye-

3505. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:11:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050524221128.389$Op@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200530

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 25 May 2005 02:05:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050524212916.820$Kg@newsreader.com...
> >> > "germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote:
> >> > > It seems my cb is coming through my
> >> > > am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
> >> > > and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
> >> > > the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
> >> > > My question is do they make some
> >> > > kind of filter to help prevent this.
> >> > >
> >> > Make sure you have good grounds on both. A low pass filter might not
> >> > hurt, but I'm not sure if it will help either. Swr looks good?
> >>
> >> Swr is good, antenna ground could be better.
> >>
> >Sink two copper rods and see if that helps. It will certainly improve
> >your lightning protection a bit if nothing else.
>
> Hey there Moped !!!!!
>
> Tired and going to bed. G-night !!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Hi Enzo. Going to bed already? Good nite. :)

3506. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:17:59 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qfRke.27692$R4.19764396@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200531

Real trick to get the RFI with the radio off... however, it isn't comming
though the powerlines is it, can the radio be moved to another rooms outlet
(farther from the outlet of the transmitters?)

Better yet, the am/fm isn't dual power and you can unplug it from the wall
and see if it still happens on batteries?

Warmest regards,
John

"germ714" <germ714@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:QZ6dnaEb_M-FSQ7fRVn-3g@comcast.com...
> It seems my cb is coming through my
> am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
> and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
> the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
> My question is do they make some
> kind of filter to help prevent this.
>
>

3507. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:21:41 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <ViRke.27731$ZH7.19652778@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hey, it is ok to be gay, you can't help it (true you did come into the world defecating a size one, and now a size eleven--EWWWWWWWWW!)... don't go away mad, just go away... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050524221014.665$Ph@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Steveo:
>>
>> You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
>> wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
>> <grin>
>>
>> Top posters bother gays yanno...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com...
>> > "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
>> >> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
>> >>
>> >> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that
>> >> for them... <evil'er grin>
>> >>
>> >> Warmest regards,
>> >> John
>> >>
>> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
>> >> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>> >> >> John Smith wrote:
>> >> >> > Dan:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > You will never find me using cw...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>> >> >>
>> >> > It's been done, JJ.

>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> > You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.
>>
> -top post corrected-
>>
>> You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
>> wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
>> <grin>
>>
>> Top posters bother gays yanno...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
> Oh calling CBer's gay is a new approach, fuckstick. I'm done reading
> yore tripe. -buh bye-

3508. Re: Still there as of this AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:50:29 UTC
From: KEYCLOWNS RULE!!!!!!!!! <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116989429.243832.320800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200533

Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! wrote:
> Made you whine and moan, so the day is not at all wasted. Good boy,
> jeffie...here's yer biscuit! Gooooood boy...speaks on command every
> time!
You STUPID HUMANIOD, Don't you know that keyclowns have the MOST FUN on
the air when they are using SUPERIOR RADIOS LIKE RCI's Galaxy's,
Superstars, and such! Export radios are THE only radios to use cause
THEY are the most fun to use. You STUPID HUMANIOD!!!!!!!!!

3509. Re: Still there as of this AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:56:02 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <4PRke.28030$gA.19971195@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200535

... yeah, what he said...

Warmest regards,
John

"KEYCLOWNS RULE!!!!!!!!!" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116989429.243832.320800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! wrote:
>> Made you whine and moan, so the day is not at all wasted. Good boy,
>> jeffie...here's yer biscuit! Goooooood boy...speaks on command every
>> time!
> You STUPID HUMANIOD, Don't you know that keyclowns have the MOST FUN on
> the air when they are using SUPERIOR RADIOS LIKE RCI's Galaxy's,
> Superstars, and such! Export radios are THE only radios to use cause
> THEY are the most fun to use. You STUPID HUMANIOD!!!!!!!!!
>

3510. BroadcastDB - Live Radios and TV database: Just added:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 02:56:11 UTC
From: admin@broadcastdb.com
Message-ID: <1116989771.415615.202540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BroadcastDB - Live radios and TV database: Just added:

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

Listen - Live radios:

Active 103.9 FM (64K) - CHR - Ystad / Sweden
City 105.9 FM (56K) - CHR / Södra Dalarna - Sweden
City 107.3 FM (56K) - CHR - Göteborg / Sweden
Studio 107.5 - CHR - Göteborg / Sweden
Mix megapol - Music, news, top 40 - Göteborg / Sweden
The voice - Talk radio - Göteborg / Sweden
Vinyl 107 - Talk radio - Göteborg / Sweden
Cross Net Rock Christian Radio, Gospel - Stockholm / Sweden
Decibel 107.4 FM - CHR, pop - Sundsvall / Sweden
Falkoping 90.8 FM (100K) - Oldies - Falkoping / Sweden
Gold 104.9 FM (40k) - Adult Contemporary - Södermland / Sweden
Goteborgs 103.1 FM (56K) - College - Goteborg / Sweden
Guld 106.6 FM - Classics Hits - Sundsvall / Sweden
Hit FM 105.8 - CHR, Top 40 - Kalmar / Sweden
Kramfors 90.5 FM (56K) - Kramfors / Sweden
Lugna Favoriter 104.7 FM - Adult Contemporary - Stockholm / Sweden
Match 105.5 FM - CHR, Rock - Boras / Sweden
Metro 101.9 FM - Pop - Stockholm / Sweden
Mix Megapol (56K) - CHR - Stockholm / Sweden
Nord 98.3 FM (56K) - CHR - Stockholm / Sweden
NRJ Sverige 105.1 FM (64K) - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
RIX FM - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
Lugna Favoriter - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
Svenska Favoriter - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
Bandit Rock 106-3 - CHR, Top 40 - Stockholm / Sweden
Power Hit 106.3 FM (100K) - Dance, Top 40, CHR - Stockholm / Sweden
Pite 92.8 FM (200K) - Pop, CHR - Pitea / Sweden
Radio AF 99.1 FM - Adult Contemporay - Lunds / Sweden
Right on Radio 89.2 FM - Rock, Classic Rock - Gotland / Sweden
Rocket 95.3 FM (100K) - Hard Rock - Stockholm / Sweden
Rock Klassiker 106.7 FM (56K) - Classic Rock - Stockholm / Sweden
Sotenas 95.3 FM - CHR - Kungshamn / Sweden
Radio Stella 106.0 FM - Top 40, Rock, News, Full Service - Helsingborg
/ Sweden
Studentradio 95.3 FM - Classical, Regional Music - Stockholm / Sweden
Stockholm City Radio 88.0 FM - News, Culture - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige P1 - 92.4 FM (64K) - World music, News, talk - Stockholm /
Sweden

Sverige P2 Musik FM (64K) - Classical - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige P3 - 99.3 FM - Pop, Rock, CHR - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige P4 - 93.8 FM (100K) - News, Talk - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige P5 - 103.3 FM (100K) - Adult contemporary - Stockholm /
Sweden
Sverige Sisuradio - News, Talk - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige SR X (100K) - Rock - Stockholm / Sweden
Sverige Klassiskt (100K) - Classical, Opera - Stockholm / Sweden
The Voice 105.9 FM - CHR, Pop - Stockholm / Sweden
Viking 101.4 FM (64K) - Oldies, Variety - Ekerö / Sweden
Vinyl 107.3 FM (56K) - Oldies, Classics - Stockholm / Sweden
WebRadio Nightfly (128K) - Trance, Dance, techno - Stockholm / Sweden
WebRadio Soundic Radio (128K) - Electronica - Stockholm / Sweden
3 Fach 97.7 FM (56K) - Dance, CHR - Lucerne / Switzerland
Aktuell 99.2 FM - St. Gallen / Switzerland
Argovia 90.3 FM (64K) - Adult Contemporary - Brugge / Switzerland
Basel-1 101.7 FM - Top 40, CHR - Basel / Switzerland
Basilisk 94.6 FM (128K) - Mix - Basel / Switzerland
BE 1 FM 101.7 (128K) - Top 40, Dance, CHR - Berne / Switzerland
Beo Berner Oberland 96.8 FM - Adult Contemporary, Oldies - Interlaken /
Switzerland
Central 95.2 FM - Oldies, News, Sports - Brunnen / Switzerland
Couleur-3 100.1 FM (64K) - Alternative - Lausanne / Switzerland
DRS 1 FM (40K) - News, Talk / Switzerland
DRS 2 FM (40K) - News, Classical / Switzerland
DRS 3 FM (40K) - News, Classical / Switzerland
DRS MW 531 AM (40K) - Folk Music - Zurich / Switzerland
DRS Virus (40K) - Pop Rock Music - Zurich / Switzerland
Emme 106.0 FM - College, News, talk - Langnau / Switzerland
Energy Radio Z 100.9 FM - Adult Contemporary - Zurich / Switzerland
Espace 2 94.2 FM - Classical, Opera - Geneva / Switzerland
Radio Eviva 95.2 FM (40K) - Folk Music - Zurich / Switzerland
Extra 97.7 FM - Classic Rock, CHR, Sports - Berna / Switzerland
Fiume Ticino 90.6 FM (64K) - Webcam, Italian Hits, Top 40 - Pianezzo /
Switzerland
Framboise 101.9 FM - CHR, dance - Plateau / Switzerland
Frequence Banane 92.4 FM (64K) -College - University - Lausanne /
Switzerland
Fribourg 89.4 FM -CHR, Dance - Freiburg/ Switzerland
Global FM (64K) -DAB, CHR, Adult Contemporary, Variety / Switzerland
Grischa 107 FM -Dance - Chur / Switzerland
Iischers Vibration 99.7 FM (128K) - Oldies, Dance, Hot Adult
Contemporary - Sierre / Switzerland
Jazz International - Jazz - Crissier / Switzerland
Kanal K 107.9 FM - Latin Music, Ambient, techno, Hip Hop - Aarau/
Switzerland
Lac 91.8 FM - Pop, Rock, Fench Music - Geneva/ Switzerland
La Premiere 94.8 FM - Pop, Rock - Zurich / Switzerland
Lausanne 103.3 FM (128K) - Dance, Adult Contemporary - Lausanne /
Switzerland

Lora 97.5 FM - Zurich / Switzerland
Lounge-Radio.com (64K) - Brazil electro, ambient, tempo -Zurich / Switzerland
Lune 91.2 FM (96K) - Cable Radio, Pop Rock - Neuchatel / Switzerland
M24 94.6 FM (96K) - Mix, Dance, Rap, Hip-hop - Geneva / Switzerland
MFM Meyrin 99.0 FM (128K) - Adult Contemporary - Meyrin / Switzerland
One FM 107 (128K) - CHR - Geneva / Switzerland
Option Musique 90.8 FM - French Music - Zurich / Switzerland
Power Radio 95.6 FM - Rock Station - Mellingen / Switzerland
Radio Pilatus 104.9 FM (56K) - Alternative - Lucerne / Switzerland
R3iii 106.5 FM - CHR - Lugano/ Switzerland
Rabe 95.6 FM - Brazilian music, latin, MPB, World Music - Berna / Switzerland
Radio-24 102.8 FM -Top 40, CHR - Zurich / Switzerland
Radio 32 (64K) - Oldies - Solothurn / Switzerland
Radio 105 FM (40K) - Mix, Dance, CHR, Classics, Rock - Basle/ Switzerland
Radio X 94.5 Mhz FM (64K) - Radio X 94.5 Mhz FM (64K) / Switzerland
Rhône 104.3 FM - Adult Contemporary - Valais / Switzerland
Rottu Oberwallis 102.2 FM (56K) - Webcam, Classic, Oldies, Sports - Visp / Switzerland
RTN 95.8 FM (128K) - CHR, Adult Contemporary - La Neuveville / Switzerland
Ruckus Radio - Rock/Punk/Post Hardcore FFAF, TBS, Underoath, Dandy warhols, oasis - Ontario / Canada
Cross Rhythms - Christian, Rap, Also available on digital satellite in Europe (Sky channel 876) and via the Internet - United Kingdom

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

- Search our database of live radios and TV's
- Save a list of your favorite stations
- Rate the stations - let other people know who has the best music
- Add stations, help us build the biggest stream database on the web

Listen live stations by format:

Talk, News, Sports, Variety, Religious, Classical, Jazz, Latin, Brasilian music, Easy listening, Ambient, Lounge, Alternative, College, CHR, Top 40,
Dance, Urban, Rock, classic rock, Adult contemporary, Classics, Oldies, Country, Techno.

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

----

http://www.broadcastdb.com
Radios, TV, live streams on the web!
A database of all Live broadcast stations on the Internet

3511. Satellite TV only $19.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 04:14:00 UTC
From: marcscharaffa@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1116994440.749123.48360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200536

Dish Network #1 in Customer Satisfaction.
FREE 4-Room System - FREE Professional installation - FREE Digital
Video Recorders - FREE HDTV Receivers - FREE Lifetime Warranty
Over 180 channels only $19.99/month. 65 channels of Sirius music. To
order, click this link:
http://www.vmcsatellite.com/channels/dish_splash.cfm?aid=171387

3512. Re: Still there as of this AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 05:04:28 UTC
From: KEYCLOWNS RULE!!!!!!!!! <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1116997468.668129.87440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200537

John Smith wrote:
> ... yeah, what he said...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "KEYCLOWNS RULE!!!!!!!!!" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1116989429.243832.320800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! wrote:
> >> Made you whine and moan, so the day is not at all wasted. Good boy,
> >> jeffie...here's yer biscuit! Goooooood boy...speaks on command every
> >> time!
> > You STUPID HUMANIOD, Don't you know that keyclowns have the MOST FUN on
> > the air when they are using SUPERIOR RADIOS LIKE RCI's Galaxy's,
> > Superstars, and such! Export radios are THE only radios to use cause
> > THEY are the most fun to use. You STUPID HUMANIOD!!!!!!!!!
> >Thanks John!

3513. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 05:08:07 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XKTke.1336$rY6.572@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200538

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:TpPke.1085$2B2.256@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:2nn691p0nvbufsqpmtc0u6k40evgrpvkft@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>> > How many links do you need, Dave?
>>
>>
>> Just one good and accurate one would be nice, but you haven't posted
>> any. Just snippets of your own out of context interpretations of some
>> biased, agenda-driven news report.
>>
>> Post the whole link, you know, something that begins with "http://",
>> so we can all read it.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>
> Hello, Dave
>
> Since being in contact with a few friends who are so severely on the
> extreme
> right, I have found the best way to get news is *outside* of the United
> States. Whatever article is written here, it is dismissed as work of the
> demonic liberal left.
>
> I have found the U.K.

I kind of prefer the BBC, much netter than the slanted
US new networks.

>, Canada, and Australia to be pretty nifty places. Of
> course, the world is just loaded with the liberal left ... :))
>
> Hitler did a pretty good job convincing his people as to what was right
> and
> wrong.
>
> I am not so easily persuaded.
>

Agreed, but you are comparing Apples to rotten oranges ;)

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

3514. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 05:08:07 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XKTke.1337$rY6.977@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:f2Ake.1983$8g.1939@news01.roc.ny...

> I
>> > hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>
>> LOL!!!, the 60's 70 are over big guy ;)
>>
>> >
>> > 1) Washington Post March
>> > 2) Anchors aweigh
>> > 3) The Thunderer
>> > 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>> >
>> > I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have a
>> > feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden
>> > :))
>> >
>> >
>> > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>> > Jim AA2QA
>>
>> Oh Yeah.............. Go to hear from You Jim.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>
> Hello, Sharkie
>
> My bad. I meant 700 watt system - not something from the 70s LOL. All
> Bose
> speakers. A couple hundred watts on the bass alone (and it does shake,
> although I keep the volume down).
>
> Good to hear from you too. I'm not really mad, just disappointed that
> folks
> don't understand the need for regulation. I have a dog and she stays in
> the
> house for the most part. When I have her out, if she barks more than a
> few
> times, I bring her in. The neighbors don't need to hear a dog barking for
> 20 minutes or more.

I know the feeling. I also know, neighbors should work things out rather
than call the police over such trivial things.

> Same thing with the stereo system.
>
> Heck, I've made a mistake in the past. Had a party with the Hammond
> turned
> up pretty well (as well as the Leslies) and the cops showed up. I
> apologized and shut it off. Never done that since (and that was 25 years
> ago). No biggie; anyone can make a mistake.

Know the feeling :) I had my cousins out last year, Thursday night and was
out in my backyard 2 minutes past 10, started heading into the house and
they came a running out and said the music was keeping their kid awake. Went
over the next morning, Six pack & bottle of wine in hand as an apology, the
wife says "oh you were keeping me awake". I mean, it's not like I do this
but once every 3 years, show a little patience., I do when they start firing
off fireworks into the air, midweek after
10pm.

>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

Landshark

--
Kindness is the language which the deaf
can hear and the blind can see.

3515. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 05:19:55 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <PVTke.28145$P93.21341325@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hmmm, construct a HERF... that'll keep the neighbors busy...

Warmest regards,
John

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:XKTke.1337$rY6.977@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:f2Ake.1983$8g.1939@news01.roc.ny...
>
>> I
>>> > hope they enjoy my tunes:
>>>
>>> LOL!!!, the 60's 70 are over big guy ;)
>>>
>>> >
>>> > 1) Washington Post March
>>> > 2) Anchors aweigh
>>> > 3) The Thunderer
>>> > 4) The Stars and Stripes Forever (my favorite)
>>> >
>>> > I've got others on CDs. From all of the services. Funny, but I have
>>> > a
>>> > feeling that they will be clamoring for "regulation" all of a sudden
>>> > :))
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > With all due regards from Rochester, NY
>>> > Jim AA2QA
>>>
>>> Oh Yeah..... Go to hear from You Jim.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>
>> Hello, Sharkie
>>
>> My bad. I meant 700 watt system - not something from the 70s LOL. All
>> Bose
>> speakers. A couple hundred watts on the bass alone (and it does shake,
>> although I keep the volume down).
>>
>> Good to hear from you too. I'm not really mad, just disappointed that

>> folks
>> don't understand the need for regulation. I have a dog and she stays in
>> the
>> house for the most part. When I have her out, if she barks more than a
>> few
>> times, I bring her in. The neighbors don't need to hear a dog barking
>> for
>> 20 minutes or more.
>
> I know the feeling. I also know, neighbors should work things out rather
> than call the police over such trivial things.
>
>> Same thing with the stereo system.
>>
>> Heck, I've made a mistake in the past. Had a party with the Hammond
>> turned
>> up pretty well (as well as the Leslies) and the cops showed up. I
>> apologized and shut it off. Never done that since (and that was 25 years
>> ago). No biggie; anyone can make a mistake.
>
> Know the feeling :) I had my cousins out last year, Thursday night and was
> out in my backyard 2 minutes past 10, started heading into the house and
> they came a running out and said the music was keeping their kid awake.
> Went over the next morning, Six pack & bottle of wine in hand as an
> apology, the wife says "oh you were keeping me awake". I mean, it's not
> like I do this but once every 3 years, show a little patience., I do when
> they start firing off fireworks into the air, midweek after
> 10pm.
>
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim AA2QA
>>
>>
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Kindness is the language which the deaf
> can hear and the blind can see.
>

3516. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 06:05:23 UTC
From: sloan@ishipress.com
Message-ID: <42941586.50688359@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 200541
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Can't we get rid of this guy somehow?

3517. KEYCLOWNS RULE THE TRUCKSTOP TOILETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 07:10:14 UTC
From: Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117005014.358954.313010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200542

KEYCLOWNS RULE!!!!!!!!! wrote:
> AND THAT'S THE BOTTOM LINE CAUSE I SAID SO!!!!!!!!!!

And that's the bottom line 'cuz the truckers tell us!

3518. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 11:13:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6gl891ljc67a3d3435ng4mlkog8qia2oo7@4ax.com>
Source record: 200543

On Wed, 25 May 2005 00:05:49 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>Hello, Dave
>
>I've been really laughing over this thread. Yep, the deficit is *huge* ...
>and when folks wake up, the Democrats will get blamed for "raising taxes".

If. in fact, they do "raise taxes", it would be their fault. I do not
let a large deficit bother me. We've had large deficits before, and it
didn't kill us

>We are in deep doo - doo here. Reaganomics didn't work then and it doesn't
>work now.

I don't know about that. The end of a recession and the beginning of
the biggest period of economic growth followed "Reaganomics".

>As to the marriage protection act that Bush was for, have we heard anything
>else about that, or was it to get a big reaction (along with votes) from the
>religious folks?

That's a good question. One answer might be that there are more
pressing issues right now (Run away filibusters, the war, getting an
energy bill passed etc.).

>One question begs for an answer: what is the divorce rate in this country?

According to the stats from:

http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls

the percentage of divorced people is 9.6%. For some reason, Frank was
unable (or unwilling) to read the columns and see the actual numbers,
but if you believe the census bureau, that's what it is.

>Care to help Social Security?

The best way to help it is to remove it, and divert all former SS
withholdings into individual 401K accounts. Of course that penalizes
those who have already given into the SS program for their entire
working lives. So the transition has to be gradual so to be fair to
everyone.

>I'd suggest that once a couple divorces, they
>can no longer give nor receive Social Security benefits from another person
>(sole exception being to children). I've heard the divorce rate is close to
>50%, but I honestly don't know.

9.6% according to the 2003 census.

>I worked for a manager who was on his third or fourth wife. Hmmmm .... I'm
>wondering if a woman (or man, for that matter) ever questions why their
>intended has been divorced three times.

That would certainly send up a red flag for me. But, like they say,
love is blind and it's hard to be rational when all of your blood is
rushing to another organ in your body.

> I knew a guy (he passed away over
>30 years ago) that was marrying his *fifth* wife! When he passed away, he
>was living with a girl friend a good 20 years younger than he.

Then hopefully he died a happy man, although I would question his
inability to remain faithful, and wonder if there weren't some
"issues" affecting him.

>A lot of interesting questions come to mind with many of these threads, but
>few answers.

That's the nature of debate, especially on subjects where answers are
elusive and somewhat subjective. There would be no point is debating
if the earth is round or whether the moon is made of green cheese, as
we know the answers to those questions.

>Nope, I'm not for gay marriage, but I question what is it that drives these
>big knee-jerk reactions.

I usually apply Newton's law of action vs. reaction. Someone does
something extreme and the opposite side responds with a equal and
opposite reaction. There wouldn't be such an outpouring of opposition
to gay marriage if there wasn't such a push to legalize it.

> I fear that once folks wake up and smell the
>coffee, it will be too late. In fact, it may already be too late. If other
>countries stop "lending" us money and allowing our deficit to continue, we
>are in for a crash.

That won't happen, because, like it or not, we live in a global
economy, and if we "crash", we take the rest of the world with us.

> 1929 will look like a picnic. Many countries are
>fearing us. Not only for the "Rambo" style of Bush, but our deficit. If we
>crash, it will have a huge effect on the rest of the world as well.

Exactly! I don't doubt for a minute that the pseudo-elitist socialist
Europeans would pass up any opportunity to put the screws to us
economically. But even they realize that if they do, they do so at
their own peril.

>Why do you think OPEC doesn't peg their target to the US dollar anymore?

By basing their target price on another currency, they get more U.S.
dollars if the dollar is weak. OPEC knows that the dollar will rise
again, and so will the value of their "investment". It's no surprise

that oil prices have been falling as of late, which is coincident with
a strengthening dollar.

>(hint: the dollar is falling and OPEC wants to make more. I read an article
>that Saudi Arabia wants to build an indoor skiing resort (talk about an air
>conditioning bill!) as well as an underwater hotel.

Hey, if they have the money, more power to them. Although, that sort
of materialism smacks against the core values of Wahabbism and radical
Islam. Maybe we are affecting the middle east more than we thought....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3519. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 11:19:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117019960.563519.190810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John Smith wrote:
> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying

Well, shrinking, anyway. The total number of US hams is down slightly from the peak of a few years ago, while the total US population continues to grow.

But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written testing for all available license classes. IOW, making the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in sustained growth.

Looking further back, examine the growth from 1990 or 1991 to 2000. (1990 is when medical waivers made it possible to get any amateur license with a 5 wpm test, and 1991 is when the Technician lost its code test. Then compare the growth in that 9 year period to the growth in an equal period of time before 1990 or 1991. You'll find that the overall increase in the '80s was *greater* than in the '90s.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3520. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 11:45:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <uhn891tldhede48sb6pm0ekvqtqmi7vikp@4ax.com>
Source record: 200545

On Tue, 24 May 2005 18:30:56 GMT, james <george@washington.edu> wrote:

>There are more links. You can also start at www.fas.org. Look not for
>just what supports ones belief but look at all the facts presented. In
>between the two extremes will really lie the truth. IF you start to
>dig further into the past, you may starrtt to derive some other
>conclusions. I came to an understanding in late 2002 that Iraq and any
>invasion was not about WMD or OIL. It is far more deeper. The true
>paranoia that this administration has is a great fear of a large
>Islamic state existing from Pakistan to Syria. Including Iran, Iraq,
>Saudia Arabia and a few others.

That would not be a good thing, and our efforts in trying to prevent
it from happening is probably a good thing.

>Just think what if Radical Islam controlled over half the oil
>production in the world?

So if that is the case, are we not justified in trying to prevent it
from happening?

Do we have to wait until the "west" (Which includes more than just the
U.S,) is brought to its knees economically before we act?

How much bloodshed could have been averted if Hitler had been taken
out of the picture in the 1920's?

Does the average citizen need to know, or have the capacity to
understand, the complete truth assuming we can definitively identify
it amongst all the free flowing propaganda?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3521. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 12:09:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3cp8919kitbtqkdjsb5eqc7qttq48a43o5@4ax.com>
Source record: 200546

On Wed, 25 May 2005 00:12:35 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:2nn691p0nvbufsqpmtc0u6k40evgrpvkft@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>> > How many links do you need, Dave?
>>
>>
>> Just one good and accurate one would be nice, but you haven't posted
>> any. Just snippets of your own out of context interpretations of some
>> biased, agenda-driven news report.
>>
>> Post the whole link, you know, something that begins with "http://",
>> so we can all read it.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>
>Hello, Dave
>
>Since being in contact with a few friends who are so severely on the extreme
>right, I have found the best way to get news is *outside* of the United
>States. Whatever article is written here, it is dismissed as work of the
>demonic liberal left.

There is a great deal of truth in that. The major news media has been
infiltrated, as have much of academia, with the followers of left
leaning politics. This has been going on in ernest since the 60's and
the Vietnam war, when it was realized that the only way for a small
political minority to win their objective of large scale governmental
reform, was from within through slow, careful indoctrination and
propaganda. The two best places to achieve that goal are in the
agencies which bring us the news, and the institutions which educate
our impressionable young.

It had been working fairly well, until the advent of the internet,
talk radio, and independent news services such as Fox News. The
ability to cross check the news and parse out the spin, essentially
put the spotlight on the mainstream news media, and people like Dan
Rather and Jayson Blair. Exposure of such radical leftists in teaching

roles such as Ward Churchill, and countless others, is slowly revealing the true intentions of these seemingly unconnected (except for ideology) people. The rise of conservative groups and watchdog organizations to balance the prejudice of the left on university campuses, will hopefully slow and eventually correct much of the damage that the left has done in the last 30 years.

>I have found the U.K., Canada, and Australia to be pretty nifty places. Of
>course, the world is just loaded with the liberal left ... :))

You have to understand that in much of the world, especially in the socialist-leaning countries like France and Germany, there is a decidedly anti-capitalist, anti-US slant. So if you think you will get an objective news piece from any of them, you are seriously naive. As for the U.K., Canada, and Australia, they are more balanced but depending on which factions are backing the "news", you could be reading either left or right wing slant. It helps to dig deeply into who backs these groups in order to determine just how "objective" they may or may not be.

>Hitler did a pretty good job convincing his people as to what was right and
>wrong.

Yes, and just like Hitler blamed all or most of Germany's problems on the Jews, so to are the operatives on the left trying to blame most of America's problems on rich, God respecting, white people.

>I am not so easily persuaded.

Neither am I.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3522. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 12:12:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <eqq891lf6qgeu9f2gsitcugmd8qgjujj4a@4ax.com>
Source record: 200547

On Wed, 25 May 2005 00:25:28 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:2nn691p0nvbufsqpmtc0u6k40evgrpvkft@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>> > How many links do you need, Dave?
>>
>>
>> Just one good and accurate one would be nice, but you haven't posted
>> any. Just snippets of your own out of context interpretations of some
>> biased, agenda-driven news report.
>>
>> Post the whole link, you know, something that begins with "http://",
>> so we can all read it.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>
>Hello, Dave
>
>
>I ranted a bit about our deficit and economy. We know many jobs went to
>Mexico and now to China.
>
>Yep, we are the real technocracy leaders. Guess who launched Direct TV's
>new satellite? Perhaps I should send you a link:
>http://www.universetoday.com/am/publish/proton_directv8.html?2352005
>
>Really reassuring, isn't it.

Outsourcing is inevitable until the standard of living in the rest of
the world equalizes with our own.

It's not good news for us, but it's an unfortunate reality.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3523. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 12:30:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <njp891t3qa874e9mftttketq5a9f1n26lo@4ax.com>
Source record: 200609

On Thu, 26 May 2005 07:32:30 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <sgcb9156rd8fmcsc6qg8101si17e5l73gi@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 20:15:04 GMT, james <george@washington.edu> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:23:42 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>>>Son I have a great deal of intreped feelings when a
>>>>>President says to me trust me I am keeping the best interests of the
>>>>>American People at heart and then proceeds to beat around the bush, no
>>>>>pun intended, trying to justify a preemptive invasion.
>>>
>>>There is a reason why we have a representative democracy and not a
>>>direct democracy. We elect people who are supposedly trained in the
>>>skills necessary to carry out our business.
>>*****
>>
>>Go ahead and just believe that those elected have y our best
>>interests in mind. I hear the shears are being prepared for y ou
>>sheep.
>
>
>Yea I know, our government has pledged it's true allegiance to the
>"corporate machine", the free masons, Skull and bones, a "shadow
>government" consisting of the descendants of Howard Hughes and the
>"Old money" cronies of the industrial age and maybe even gray aliens
>from Zeti-Reticuli.

Dave, you're a friggin' loon.

>You complain about the motives of our elected officials, yet insist
>that our form of government is the only way to go. That seems to be an
>inconsistent position to take. If you don't like your elected
>officials, then vote them out next term. But don't complain if the
>majority of voters differ from your opinion and override your
>selection. That's what majority rule is all about. For every one who
>gets what they want, someone else will be unhappy. That's life.

Even after -MONTHS- of discussion on the topic you -STILL- don't get
it. I'll make this -really- simple so even -you- can understand it:

This is not a "majority rule" country -- it's a country based on the
recognition of individual rights and freedoms. You have the right to
think freely, to speak your opinions openly, to exercise religion as
you see fit, to make your own decisions without government influence,

etc, etc; and these rights and freedoms are guaranteed -REGARDLESS- of the opinions of any special-interest group, EVEN IF they represent the majority, and EVEN IF you are a member of that "majority".

The USA is NOT a democracy -- it's a country based on EQUAL RIGHTS and FREEDOMS for EVERY citizen, the "Moral Majority" be damned. If you don't like it, leave -- hell, I'll even buy your plane ticket! But if you decide to stay, shut the fuck up because you are effectively undermining the integrity of this country with your lies, propoganda, and warped interpretations of the Constitution; and I won't sit by and let that happen because I took an oath to defend both the Constitution and the country.

Either you are for the Constitution or you are against it. So it's time for you to make a choice, Dave -- are you an American or not?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3524. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 13:15:01 UTC
From: Patrick Johnson <patrick.johnsonREMOVE@THIScomcast.net>
Message-ID: <pT_ke.1626$rk.784@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200548
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Ignoring him is the only way to salvation.

Sam Sloan wrote:

> Can't we get rid of this guy somehow?

3525. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 13:30:23 UTC
From: Matt Nemmers <qcchess@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <1117027823.538397.66940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200549
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Sam Sloan wrote:
> Can't we get rid of this guy somehow?

It's annoying when someone crossposts irrelevent, off-topic bile to
multiple groups, isn't it, Sloan?

MN

3526. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 13:52:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Sq%ke.32$s64.9@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the
> April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written
> testing for all available license classes. IOW, making
> the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in
> sustained growth.
>

Two questions:

1) Is this shrinkage due to...
a. Less new applicants
b. Increased attrition

2) Are easier tests the cause of the shrinkage...
a. Yes
b. No

dit dit
(Note Farnsworth spacing)

de Hans, K0HB

3527. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 14:06:01 UTC
From: BJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dD%ke.249529$cg1.160317@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 200551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yaesu gave away caps that are worth a lot more than a little blue sticker
from Icom. I'm sure the caps cost a few thousand dollars and everyone could
have one.
Bob, AA8X

X
"Hamsoldandnew" <drippydimbulbsatdayton@arrl.fish.net> wrote in message
news:1cd26$4291f0f0$d1cc59c0$3860@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:GvGdnVkZy6GpiwzfRVn-uQ@comcast.com...
> > When I turned in my ticket at the Hamvention I
> > normally check out what the prizes are. This year
> > I couldn't see even one item from Yaesu. Kenwood
> > and Icom etc. had items on display, but not Yaesu.
> > I wonder if the Hamvention did something to tick
> > them off.
>
> If they exhibited their typical condescending
> mentality or mannerisms like they do to the paying
> dealers/vendors in the outside flea market, this would
> not suprise me at all. I'd not even donate a cheap prize
> pair of dollar store headphones. BTW we really liked the
> condition of the dealer's parking lot this year!
> The blacktop of the Hara Arena reminded me of a
> cross between the Lunar landscape and the San Andreas
> Fault in some places. As someone on the live chat
> commented quite accurately: '..the Hara should rent out
> their parking lot to Range Rover for Customer Test Drives
> during the weekdays'.
>
> > Also I would say that both the flea market and the
> > inside vendor numbers were down by at least 20%.
> > There were MANY empty spaces in the flea market
> > area when in past years it was mostly full.
>
> Year after year less and less sellers. I attribute this to
> e-Bay, the cost of selling at the Hamvention and the
> ever diminishing returns. 9:00 AM on Sunday was
> positively dismal. I would say that fully 40% of the
> outside Vendors packed up and left on Saturday night.
>
> This year was our last, Dayton is just not worth it anymore.

> Better to sell it online and have the UPS Truck
> come to your house and pick the stuff up for
> delivery instead of carting it 500+ miles just to bring
> it all back home rain dampened again...
>
> > I also heard that Mendelson wanted more space and a break
> > on the flea market rental since they are going out
> > of business. The Hamvention, based I what I heard
> > around, said no dice, so they didn't even bother
> > to show up and they're only a few miles from the
> > arena too.
>
> We heard the same story making the rounds
> among the dealers on Saturday. Probably true.
>
> > They spaced out many of the inside
> > vendor booth areas so it wasn't too obvious that
> > the number of vendors was way down.
> > What did anybody else notice? I hope the above
> > isn't a long term trend.
>
> Those spaces were a combination of that and
> a small number of dealers who decided not to
> go at all the last minute. The hamfest committee
> was hoping that alot of people would show up
> and pay for a space...like that was going to happen. (!)
>
> I also saw several tables of 100% cell phone and computer
> junk which was a major disappointment. I would be
> rather PO'ed also if I paid $40 to get in and I saw
> standard flea market type vendors selling stuff that
> I could see, at any weekend mart FOR FREE and
> with no admission charge!
>
> Forget it folks..
> IMHO Dayton is finished, stick a fork into it.
> It will never go back to what it once was Years ago
> despite all the marketing and hype that they make it.
> Oh there will always be the camaraderie and the new
> equipment showings, but the flea market is just about finished.
> Stick to hamfests located with 30 miles of your QTH.
>

3528. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 14:08:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cqu891ln6ofdj1nitmvvl89ksthetfk40k@4ax.com>
Source record: 200612

On Thu, 26 May 2005 09:09:44 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <tqeb91trvnt5ujdvte0j9gi6qnngtro7fs@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>No, the evidence is most certainly conclusive, as my links were dated of
>>last week.
>
>Which is meaningless, as new evidence is always being obtained. There
>has been no definitive decision made with regard to man's affect on
>global warming, as there are too many unexplained variable. The
>antarctic ice pack increasing as the arctic ice pack melts is but one
>example.

Which, ironically, provides proof of what you deny. See below.

>> Once again, because you are unable to grasp the methods in
>>which concentrations of certain gases can ascertain and pinpoint with
>>extreme accuracy what is manmade and what is naturally occurring and
>>released into the atmosphere, does not make it any less so.
>
>Gas is gas, there is no way to determine where it all came from once
>it is all mixed into a large swirl.

Wrong. Ice provides carbon dioxide samples that are available for any
given year. These samples are measured for C14 concentrations, fossil
fuels having a much lower concentration of C14 than natural processes.
The difference is quantified as the percentage of CO2 contributed by
combustion of fossil fuels. Therefore, the contribution of atmospheric
CO2 from human sources is very accurately measured.

<snip>
>>Well, sure,,,Frank taugh you better regarding radio technical
>>competency,
>
>Frank has some issues as well. He failed to recognize common industry
>terms, and discredited my explanations of common electronic circuits
>because they didn't fit within his own narrow "education".

I recognized the "terms" as being poorly defined slang used by some
who are without formal education in the field. And your explanations
don't fit within any educational (or engineering) standards, despite
your bogus claim to have had some formal education in electronics.

>> you called him names and took issue with his career.
>
>I was he who first started to degrade my education and career. I only

>kept the same level of civility.

You may have matched my level of 'civility' (subject to debate), but
you didn't even come close to my level of education and experience in
the field of electronics. On the contrary, you tried to denounce me
with nothing but ignorance, generalizations and subjective opinions.
So once again I ask: Where are your facts, Dave?

<snip>
>.... No one is perfect. If the best you
>can come up with is 2 mistakes that I made in 10 years worth of
>posting, I'd say that's a pretty good percentage.

You may have -admitted- two of the many mistakes you have made in 10
years. IMO, that's a pretty -poor- percentage.

>> Jim
>>tried talking to you about foreign news sources, and you called him
>>naive.
>
>If someone truly thinks that a foreign news service is any less likely
>to be affected by political bias, then they are naive.

Yet you claim that domestic news services are heavily biased to the
left. If that's true then foreign news services are -more- likely to
be -less- biased, which makes -you- naive.

<snip>
>>Care is not a "simile" for "disagree". When you figure that out, you may
>>ask such questions.

You need to learn the difference between a 'simile' and a 'metaphor'.
Didn't you ever watch that Danny DeVito movie where he played Kotter
to a group of Army dimwits?

>Your word games and semantic shuffle will not allow you to wiggle out
>of that so easily. If one does not post their opinions, how do we know
>what they think on any topic?

Several people have posted opinions that are contrary to your's.
Nobody (except one of your sock puppets) has posted -any- opinion that
supports or defends -your- opinions, even in rec.boats.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3529. Re: Outsourcing and the economy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 14:14:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <jq19915bc12eumb720dtv2g1rq733nfdrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 200613

On Thu, 26 May 2005 07:57:10 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <c2db91l21r9ni2bv2vg4mmg8hul1honknb@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>So, here we have a double edged sword. We live in a world economy,
>with companies from all over the world competing for market share. So,
>what's a U.S. based corporation to do? Should it:
>
>A. Keep its U.S. work force in order to altruistically keep the
>American work force employed?
>
>B. Outsource to a foreign country where labor and overhead is much
>cheaper?

The answer is A because loyalty must be earned, and American's have a
very good long-term memory.

>Considering that other countries have no objection to using cheap
>foreign labor, and producing products cheaper, the U.S. company is now
>at a competitive disadvantage with those products which they are in
>direct competition from foreign companies.

American workers could be easily protected with import tariffs; but
Bush's butt has been kissed (and licked, sucked, wiped and powdered)
by corporations seeking cheap labor, so he is pushing for open-border
trade agreements with third-world countries.

>Tell me, would you pay 50 - 100% more for a TV or some other product
>just to keep the U.S. company here? Considering that the government is
>squeezing more and more money out of us in the form of taxes, and the
>costs of things like fuel are skyrocketing, we look for the best
>bargains in everything we buy.

Because the taxes are on the Americans, not on the import corporations
(e.g, Walmart, aka 'China Inc.') where they should be.

>And that doesn't cover the foreign market. Would a European pay more
>for a U.S. made product over a foreign made product?

Depends on where that 'foreign' product was made.

>What ultimately happens to a U.S. corporation who loses a competitive
>edge?

Any US corp that chooses to cut American jobs instead of lobbying for
import tariffs against foreign competitors is, in the most tactful of
terms, economically nearsighted.

>>What happens when there are no more cheap labor countries like China?
>>Can you spell double digit inflation??? How about 20% per yr for about
>>ten yrs. Maybe even longer or higher inflation rates.
>
>Yes, inflation is a very real fear.

No, it's not. It's a hope. Inflation, in a free market economy, is an 'equalizer' -- it's an effect of a surplus of cash in circulation, which usually ends up in the hands of those who need it the most. Historically, inflation hurts the rich and benefits the poor, which is something you never hear from the "left-wing, liberally biased media".

> But when the standard of living
>equalizes, then there will be no further incentive to manufacture
>overseas. Then factors such as shipping costs will make domestic
>manufacturing attractive again for the U.S. market. Inflation may also
>be mitigated by market pressures. If people cannot afford to buy as
>much, demand goes down. When demand goes down, so does the price.
>That's free market 101.

You obviously failed Economics 101, and probably never took Macro- or Micro-Economics.

>>Yes as the world's standard of living increases, so will inflation. I
>>forsee 10 to 20% annual inflation rates somewhere in the 2030 to 2040
>>time frame. By then the world will dream of 4% inflation rates.
>
>
>>Out sourcing is eventually going to drag the US' standard of living
>>down to the rest of the world's as their's rises towards.
>
>That's what I meant when I said equalize the world's standard of
>living. Not only will the 3rd world catch up, but we will fall
>somewhat. That is the price we pay for living in a world market. 50
>years ago, when most of our goods were made here, we controlled the
>market. Now we're just one of many players.

Cheap labor will always be available in any country that's poor in natural resources. There are many, and that's not going to change anytime soon. The fact that Iraq's new "government" refused to allow labor unions (a law imposed by Saddam) should be a good indication as to where the next market for cheap labor will be found.

>> You can't get something for nothing.
>
>You don't know just how much truth there is in that statement.

Damn straight. Freedom isn't free. Other people paid for your freedoms, Dave. Maybe you should take the time to try and understand why.

>> In time the US will suffer. Prepare for
>>China owning more an dmore of teh US debt and consequently the US'
>>economy .

>
>Ok, We pretty much agree that the road ahead will be a bit bumpy. So
>what do we do about it? Can we do anything about it?

Push your elected officials to do their job -- make them understand
that they are lobbyists for their constituents, not the constituents
of lobbyists for special interest groups or corporations.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3530. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 14:25:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20880-42948AE1-32@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200552

Reply to: "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
From: "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY
Organization: W.W.O.C.W. X-Priority: 3 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Original
Date: Tue, May 24, 2005, 10:31am (EDT-3) X-Complaints-To:
newsabuse@ikano.com X-Trace: news.sisna.com 1116955897 66.81.40.30 (Tue,
24 May 2005 11:31:37 MDT) NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, May 24, 2005,
11:31am (EDT-2) X-Received-Date: Tue, May 24, 2005, 11:31am
(EDT-2)
>How old are you,

Put the moves on someone else. There are groups for your sort of
preferences and behavior, but this isn't it.

>you know, they have

>medication for that now--

No, I didn't know, mostly because such behavior is genetic. Have you had
any luck trying to shake such a label?

>allows one to be

>more coherent!

Yet, here you are unable to follow the poster's topic. He was speaking
of a hand held D104, yet you began choking and spewing about something
else altogether.

>Consult your geriatric physician,

My physician is 47. If that's geriatric, you were recently welped.

>he can help,

He usually does on his days off. I've taken him fishing and he's taken
me flying in his Cessna.

>there is hope!

Everyone knows that, but you continue to feel so small of yourself.

>Warmest regards,

>John

I really didn't intend to make you feel so small, again.

The real John
-

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11849-42933EDF-410@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net... From:
assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>All I have ever seen anyone use a D104 for is

>making a cheap radio sound like an even

>cheaper walmart, price reduced, sale item

Pity. Most familiar with cb are very familar with the quality hand held
D104s like the one you refer, but are just learning about. Two models,
the M6b and the M6c are very stealth and they rock! Nothing at all like
the lollipop D104 sound.
>those guys sure never heard quality audio in

>their life!...

Cb doesn't look for FM broadcast-like audio,,,,,when one becomes that
concerned with their audio and they blame everyone else on the band for
poor audio, it's time to move on to hammie radio, completely bypassing
the nocode and going to the next level.

>who wants to talk to some idiot that sounds

>like a mentally impared weirdo screaming into

>a mike with a bad lisp and naturally distorted

>voice... Warmest regards,

That's what some here come across as, especially when they can't
properly read or comprehend the post they respond.

>John

>The real John!

3531. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 14:31:52 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20880-42948C58-34@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200553

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Steveo:

>You name is almost as cute as a girls, you

>sound gay... there is nothing wrong with gay

There is when you start wearing your dress in a cb forum, prancing around, speaking of your fondness for such. In fact, you have a run in your hose and your slip is showing.

>people yanno, but better if you stay with your

>own kind... <grin>

>Top posters bother gays yanno...

>Warmest regards,

>John

Didn't take this freak long for his genetic trait to come out in the group. He just can't help himself.

3532. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 14:41:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k22991l04a2lot0vmechio7se0morkg4k6@4ax.com>
Source record: 200614

On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:13:35 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <6gl891ljc67a3d3435ng4mlkog8qia2oo7@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>One question begs for an answer: what is the divorce rate in this country?
>
>According to the stats from:
>
>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
>
>the percentage of divorced people is 9.6%. For some reason, Frank was
>unable (or unwilling) to read the columns and see the actual numbers,
>but if you believe the census bureau, that's what it is.

For some reason, you were unable (or unwilling) to accept the clear
statement by the Census Bureau that they do not keep track of marraige
and divorce rates. And for some reason, you were unable (or unwilling)
to explain how you derived the divorce rate from the table you cited.
And for some reason, you were unable (or unwilling) to provide the
marriage rate so that it could be compared to the divorce rate you
'divined' from the census data.

>>Care to help Social Security?
>
>The best way to help it is to remove it, and divert all former SS
>withholdings into individual 401K accounts. Of course that penalizes
>those who have already given into the SS program for their entire
>working lives. So the transition has to be gradual so to be fair to
>everyone.

So your solution is to simply eliminate Social Security? Hey, neat
idea, but you can't "divert" what you don't have, and the Reps have
tapped the SS trust fund so deep that there isn't anything to
"divert". Bush's solution to SS is a "credit-card" retirement plan,
which isn't any better. Maybe you two should get together and figure
out what "promote the general Welfare" means.

>>I'd suggest that once a couple divorces, they
>>can no longer give nor receive Social Security benefits from another person
>>(sole exception being to children). I've heard the divorce rate is close to
>>50%, but I honestly don't know.
>
>9.6% according to the 2003 census.

http://www.census.gov/population/www/socdemo/marr-div.html

So what part of "The U.S. Census Bureau does not collect the number of
marriages and divorces that take place in a given year" do you not
understand?

<snip>
>>Nope, I'm not for gay marriage, but I question what is it that drives these
>>big knee-jerk reactions.
>
>I usually apply Newton's law of action vs. reaction. Someone does
>something extreme and the opposite side responds with a equal and
>opposite reaction. There wouldn't be such an outpouring of opposition
>to gay marriage if there wasn't such a push to legalize it.

"In a free society, you don't need a reason to make something legal.
You need a reason to make something illegal."

-- Donna Moss, "West Wing"

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3533. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 14:56:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5k3991pafdqvh1ld2753jjf01peha8pab4@4ax.com>
Source record: 200618

On Tue, 24 May 2005 07:03:13 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <br06919cj6uiop0vbr2i879ubokqbis4l9@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>.....the lack of protection for the troops he sent
>>in to battle underequipped and ill prepared.
>
>I suppose you've forgotten this now infamous quote: "I actually voted
>for the 87 billion, before I voted against it". What do you think that
>87 billion was for?

Dave, you've been educated about this on more than one occasion; Kerry
dropped his support after the Reps attached a bunch of pork-barrel
spending amendments to the bill. Any responsible Senator would do the
same thing, and many did.

>>,,the list goes on and his
>>failures have nothing to do with the demos, despite your hatred.
>
>Yea sure. When you stand up for what is right, you're bound to take a
>few on the chin in the process. Those who refuse to stand up, out of
>fear of taking those few on the chin, are the ones to be very afraid
>of.

So does that mean a fool is right because he stands up and takes "a
few on the chin"?

>>>There has been none to date which have been
>>>proven.
>>
>>
>>
>>Then show me the balanced budget.
>
>Never promised.

No, but he -did- promise to bring the parties together and reduce
partisan politics. He said he would be a "uniter, not a divider". So
when do you suppose he's going to start implementing that policy?

>>Tell it to the military sons and
>>daughters and parents who have lost loved ones for the very preventable
>>reason of not having proper protection, supplies and equipment.
>
>A very valid reason why John F. Kerry is not the president today.

Kerry isn't president for the same reason that Ivan the Terrible was
still popular with many of his people after he crumpled over the chess
board.

<snip>
>>You are wired to focus on anything but repsonsibility. You seek
>>abdication of the Bush failures through unrealistic self-denial,
>
>I seek the truth.....

You seek reasons to validate your interpretations of the truth.
Instead you should be seeking facts. Where are your facts, Dave?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3534. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits fai...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 15:05:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20880-42949449-49@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200554

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 25 May 2005 00:12:35 GMT, "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:2nn691p0nvbufsqpmtc0u6k40evgrpvkft@4ax.com...
On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:37:09 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
How many links do you need, Dave?

>Just one good and accurate one would be

>nice, but you haven't posted any.

You've been given several and like it has been correctly observed, you
dismiss all of them as a conspiracy of the left.

>Just snippets of your own out of context

>interpretations of some biased, agenda-driven

>news report.

There were no interpretations, only cut and pastes, but the content so
vehemently opposed what you say, it is perfectly understandable that
soneone of your intellect level would take such as being rewritten in
order to preserve your almost always wrong positions.

>Post the whole link, you know, something that

>begins with "http://", so we can all read it.

I already did. Your piss poor memory is stringing you along and forcing
you to wear the "kick me" sign again.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

(Hello, Dave
Since being in contact with a few friends who are so severely on the
extreme right, I have found the best way to get news is *outside* of the
United States. Whatever article is written here, it is dismissed as work
of the demonic liberal left.)

>There is a great deal of truth in that.

Yep,,and it's you doing all the dismissing.

>The major news media has been infiltrated, as
>have much of academia,

And the WH merely makes up junk and presents it as news, an issue you know you can not touch, as it defeats your position and ilustrates your hypocrisy, as you accept such behavior when commited by your party. Frank defeated your position and illustrated your hypocrisy by astutely showing several key areas where you refuse to apply your own set of standards when the outcome is not favorable to your personal views.

>with the followers of left leaning politics. This

>has been going on in ernest since the 60's and
>the Vietnam war, when it was realized that the
>only way for a small political minority to win

>their objective of large scale governmental

>reform, was from within through slow, careful

>indoctrination and propaganda.

That was called "Trcikle down" and it failed miserably.

>The two best places to achieve that goal are in
>the agencies which bring us the news, and the
>institutions which educate our impressionable

>young.

LMAO,,,now you have a problem with the educational system in that they have a secret agenda with "indoctrination of the young" and "propaganda". You should write for Dr. Who, but onlyafter you go to college and learn a few things.

>It had been working fairly well,

For example...

>until the advent

>of the internet, talk radio, and independent

>news services such as Fox News.

Bullshit. Reagan was elected for eight years during that time span, illustrating just the opposite of your warblings.

>The ability to cross check the news and parse

>out the spin, essentially put the spotlight on

>the mainstream news media, and people like

>Dan Rather and Jayson Blair.

But those WH deliberate false press releases
are perfectly acceptable. What a card!

> Exposure of such radical leftists in teaching

>roles such as Ward Churchill,

Or Tom Delay on the right.

> and countless others,

.like the majority.

>is slowly revealing the true intentions of these

>seemingly unconnected (except for ideology)

>people.

Hahaha....watch the little green men, Dave, when you're out there.

> The rise of conservative groups and

>watchdog organizations to balance the

>prejudice of the left on university campuses,

>will hopefully slow and eventually correct

>much of the damage that the left has done in

>the last 30 years.

You're just sore because the filibuster wasn't done away with,
illustrating you are at war with the laws and safety nets the government
put in place to protect the majority from angry radical loonies like
yourself.

(I have found the U.K., Canada, and Australia
to be pretty nifty places.)

> Of course, the world

>is just loaded with the liberal left ... :))

People with paranoia issues tend to feel like everyone else is against
them.

>You have to understand that in much of the

>world, especially in the socialist-leaning

>countries like France and Germany, there is a

>decidedly anti-capitalist, anti-US slant.

Bush made it that way. In addition, in countries around the world, it is
extremely unsafe for Americans to travel abroad. It was never like that
until Bush took office and the only thing he made safer was the bet that
he was going to take this country into debt and get a bunch of our
people killed for reasons that even you can't seem to place in proper
context.

>So if you think you will get an objective news

>piece from any of them, you are seriously

>naive.

There's that arrogance. No Dave, I am fairly comfortable when I say the majority would claim Jimbo, at almost 20 years your senior, is not naive in the ways of the world and their news. In fact, I would hedge that his experience abroad in the military exposed him to much more than yourself regarding worldwide knowledge and news, and therefore, it is your claim, if any, that is "naive".
You again are claiming the news is biased to the left. Since the news is all biased to the left, (you have been asked this before), what source is it from which you get your misinformation?

>As for the U.K., Canada, and Australia, they

>are more balanced but depending on which

>factions are backing the "news", you could be

>reading either left or right wing slant.

LMAO,,you know jack shitt!!! Name two "factions" for each.

>It helps to dig deeply into who backs these

>groups in order to determine just how

>"objective" they may or may not be.

And since you are talking shit again, you would have no problem illustrating two factions for each..you know,,,since you had to "dig deeply" for these factions.......

(Hitler did a pretty good job convincing his people as to what was right and wrong.)

>Yes, and just like Hitler blamed all or most of

>Germany's problems on the Jews, so to are

>the operatives on the left trying to blame most

>of America's problems on rich, God

>respecting, white people.

Oh, but you have problems. You have always neatly presented you hold other views (such as an American political party other than yours) in contempt, but to publicly claim the democrats are akin to nazis really illustrates your magnified ignorance. It is the Bush admin that has paraphrased Stalin and invoked the exact same rhetoric. When Bush-the-ignorant was informed that he paraphrased Stalin in one of his speeches, he never repeated it again and that was the end of it. The utterance? "You are either with us or against us". So now we

have YOUR party not only employing the rhetoric and behavior of those oppressive murderous thugs, but people like you telling any one who dares illustrate the misdeeds of your party they are "naive".

(I am not so easily persuaded.)

>Neither am I.

You're not easily taught, either.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

3535. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 15:10:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20881-4294956E-14@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200556

From: germ714@comcast.net (germ714)
It seems my cb is coming through my
am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio and the tip of my antenna
is about 50 feet off the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
My question is do they make some
kind of filter to help prevent this.

_
If you're coming through your am/fm radio when your cb is off, it's
haunted.

3536. Re: re: FA:RCI 2950 & D104 HAND HELD & TripLite 7 amp PS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 15:14:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20880-42949660-55@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200555

From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZT - Eric Oyen)
looks like someone ran afoul of ebay. nice rig, but marketed wrong.
******** the following item(s) have been removed *********
Please be aware that the following listing:
Item Number - 5777071588
Item Title - RCI 2950 & D104 HAND HELD & TripLite 7 amp PS
has been removed by eBay for violating of one or more of our policies.
Any offers or bids placed on this listing are now null and void. We
advise you not to finalize this transaction with the seller. As stated
in the eBay User Agreement, neither seller nor buyer should engage in
transactions that violate the law or eBay policy.
Please review eBay's Prohibited and Restricted Items guidelines and User
Agreement at the following locations:
    http://pages.ebay.com/help/sell/item_allowed.html
http://pages.ebay.com/help/policies/user-agreement.html
We thank you in advance for your cooperation.
Regards,
eBay Trust & Safety
****
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.
-
Hey ya' Eric,

Many folks post items just to get the advertising..then sell it off the
board. Ebay is wonderful like that. In fact, most sellers have no
problem circumventing ebay when they realy want something.

3537. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 15:37:52 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <ub6991d9revhqlfgern3kiugcbp32eofbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 200557

On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:45:33 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>On Tue, 24 May 2005 18:30:56 GMT, james <george@washington.edu> wrote:
>
>
>>There are more links. You can also start at www.fas.org. Look not for
>>just what supports ones belief but look at all the facts presented. In
>>between the two extremes will really lie the truth. IF you start to
>>dig further into the past, you may starrtt to derive some other
>>conclusions. I came to an understanding in late 2002 that Iraq and any
>>invasion was not about WMD or OIL. It is far more deeper. The true
>>paranoia that this administration has is a great fear of a large
>>Islamic state existing from Pakistan to Syria. Including Iran, Iraq,
>>Saudia Arabia and a few others.
>
>That would not be a good thing, and our efforts in trying to prevent
>it from happening is probably a good thing.
>
>>Just think what if Radical Islam controlled over half the oil
>>production in the world?
>
>So if that is the case, are we not justified in trying to prevent it
>from happening?
>
*****

No I think Iraq is means of gaining bases in a region that we can
better monitor and track the goings on of the Radical Islamic
Fundamentalist, both Shia and Suni.

>Do we have to wait until the "west" (Which includes more than just the
>U.S,) is brought to its knees economically before we act?
>
****

That is a tough decision. If you act to early on intelligence and it
is bad then you done things in bad faith. Wait to long and you have
dead people. The better question and also the most difficult to answer
is how many lives are expendable? If none is your answer then Bush
did well.

>How much bloodshed could have been averted if Hitler had been taken
>out of the picture in the 1920's?
>
*****

We can play that game back to Babylonian Kings of the third millenium BC. That is really a poor argument. The case for preemption is just that. In 2002 Bush never made a good case for preemption. Most of what I conclude was never presented to teh world population. Yes the Senate and the House knew of it, but the average American Public per se was not kept informed of these potentials.

>Does the average citizen need to know, or have the capacity to
>understand, the complete truth assuming we can definitively identify
>it amongst all the free flowing propaganda?
>
****

Duh! Last time I reviewed my civics and political science notes, I thought the American People were the government. You may find it acceptable to blindly follow your elected officials like those in Hitler Germany! Son I have a great deal of intreped feelings when a President says to me trust me I am keeping the best interests of the American People at heart and then proceeds to beat around the bush, no pun intended, trying to justify a preemptive invasion.

Hell yes the American People need to know. Secrecy is the death toll of a democracy and a republican form of government. This administrtation has been the most secret since Reagan's first term. Then I look and see who is advising GW Bush and then it all become to clearly now. Bush's advisors are out of the Cold War Era and need an enemy. I wonder if there is not one then have they created one?

james

3538. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 15:43:18 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <8KadnWChhI6JJgnfRVn-rg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 200569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> One possible explanation is that the real problem
> is publicity and image, not license requirements.
>
> If people don't know what ham radio is, the license
> requirements have no effect on them.

Ham radio just isn't very appealing to the current generation. There are too many other things to compete, computers, the Internet, vidoe games. Kids had rather be skilled at playing the latest video game than have technical skills in some outdated (to them) mode of communication. They had much rather build a computer than a radio. Who needs a ham radio station to talk to someone in another state or even in another country, just whip out the cell phone. Almost every teenager now has one.

3539. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfailures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:03:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5l1le.26$NN1.826462@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200558

Let's get real, there are two of our VERY LARGE, VERY expensive buildings missing in New York. Thousands of Americans died in those buildings. There was a large hole in our pentagon, site of our most holy protection for this land. One plane was headed towards the Whitehouse, another of our most holy political sites--before it was downed. There are a few airplanes of ours which were destroyed in these attacts--and American lives lost on each and everyone of these aircraft.

Anyone who does not want to go after those who did THAT, with every resource at our disposal, is either a coward, terrorist themselves, or an idiot. And, the radical muslims will point blank tell you that you have three choices--join them, be enslaved by them or die. Any rational person would choose a fourth--they die!

We simply don't have a choice in this matter...

Warmest regards,
John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:11849-42933C15-406@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
> How many links do you need, Dave?
>
> Here are a few more, just to keep you entertained.
>
>
> From the Times wires:
>
> Before the Iraq invasion, the Bush administration asserted that Iraq
> possessed chemical and biological weapons and was developing nuclear
> weapons. Officials also allege Iraq was working on prohibited long-range
> missiles and drones that could disperse biological agents. The
> presidential intelligene commison examined each of the US intelligence
> community's prewar assertions on Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
> reached these conclusions:
>
>
> Nuclear Weapons: Wrong
> Analysts wrongly interpreted the purpose of some metal tubes Iraq tried
> to import, arguing they were for centrifuges to enrich uranium when they
> were for conventional artillery rockets. Other imports of materials with
> more than one potential use were also similarily misinterpreted.
>
>
> Biological Weapons: Wrong

> Agencies trusted several Iraqi defectors who were lying, ignoring
> inconsistencies in their statements and other warning signs that these
> defectors were providing false information.
>
>
> Chemical Weapons: WRONG
> The intelligence committe drew its conclusions
> from satellite photos of trucks and buildings and other sources that
> were suspicious but ultimately ambiguous, and trusted human sources who
> made claims that Hussein had accomplished things that are technically
> impossiible.
>
>
> WMD-Armed Drones: Wrong
> Analysts concluded the drones were for WMD based on limited information;
> Iraq;s drones turned out to be for reconnaissance.
>
>
> Hussein's Intentions: Wrong
> Intelligence agencies did not seriously consider Hussein could have
> given up his WMD ambitions and destroyed his stockpiles. Although
> several intel sources asserted before the war that Iraq did not have any
> WMD, US analysts have regarded this as disinformation.
>
>
> End of Times wire report.
> --
> Want more, Dave? The list is endless but these should have you spinning
> your wheels a bit more than usual since your first knee-jerk reaction
> was to deny any Bush failures, then
> beg for examples.
>

3540. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:16:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tx1le.28$Yw1.822370@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

It is obvious there is a decline in interest in amateur radio, I think the reasons are many, since the gear is constructed for such a small "nitch" of users--the equip is expensive--this is only one more reason for the decline.

I have never heard anyone complain the exams were too difficult (of course, I am mainly around college age kids who go for a license), it is always the code--they hate it--some can be pushed to complete the code to get the license--after, they simply never use the code again...most of these young fellows are interested in GHz freqs and above...and how a computer can be interfaced with the radio...

Warmest regards,
John

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1117019960.563519.190810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> John Smith wrote:
>> By the ARRL own statistics, ham radio is dying
>
> Well, shrinking, anyway. The total number of US hams is down slightly
> from the peak of a few years ago, while the total US population
> continues to grow.
>
> But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the
> April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written
> testing for all available license classes. IOW, making
> the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in
> sustained growth.
>
> Looking further back, examine the growth from 1990 or 1991 to
> 2000. (1990 is when medical waivers made it possible to get
> any amateur license with a 5 wpm test, and 1991 is when the
> Technician lost its code test. Then compare the growth in that
> 9 year period to the growth in an equal period of time before
> 1990 or 1991. You'll find that the overall increase in the '80s
> was *greater* than in the '90s.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3541. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:19:25 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4294a4ff.16086406@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 200561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 24 May 2005 17:22:40 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20050524201258.135$Fv@newsreader.com...
>> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>>> Hmmm, I could record a long QSO on cw and play it for the truckers...
>>> give 'em something to appreciate... <grin>
>>>
>>> So the hams didn't feel left, could record the truckers and play that for
>>> them... <evil'er grin>
>>>
>>> Warmest regards,
>>> John
>>>
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050524192517.565$lw@newsreader.com...
>>> > Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
>>> >> John Smith wrote:
>>> >> > Dan:
>>> >> >
>>> >> > You will never find me using cw...
>>> >>
>>> >> Well, you don't need it on CB.
>>> >>
>>> > It's been done, JJ.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>> You can eat shit and die too for all I care, you top posting freak.
>

>Steveo:
>
>You name is almost as cute as a girls, you sound gay... there is nothing
>wrong with gay people yanno, but better if you stay with your own kind...
><grin>
>
>Top posters bother gays yanno...
>

>Warmest regards,
>John

Could be worse, after all your name could be Brett???
Now that would really suck..

3542. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:19:44 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <HA1Ie.29$8U1.835644@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200560

George:

Have you picked out a mobile unit for your coffin? And, what about the antenna?

Warmest regards,
John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:20880-42948AE1-32@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
Reply to: "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
From: "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY
Organization: W.W.O.C.W. X-Priority: 3 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Original
Date: Tue, May 24, 2005, 10:31am (EDT-3) X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@ikano.com X-Trace: news.sisna.com 1116955897 66.81.40.30 (Tue, 24 May 2005 11:31:37 MDT) NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, May 24, 2005, 11:31am (EDT-2) X-Received-Date: Tue, May 24, 2005, 11:31am (EDT-2)
>How old are you,

Put the moves on someone else. There are groups for your sort of preferences and behavior, but this isn't it.

>you know, they have

>medication for that now--

No, I didn't know, mostly because such behavior is genetic. Have you had any luck trying to shake such a label?

>allows one to be

>more coherent!

Yet, here you are unable to follow the poster's topic. He was speaking of a hand held D104, yet you began choking and spewing about something else altogether.

>Consult your geriatric physician,

My physician is 47. If that's geriatric, you were recently welped.

>he can help,

He usually does on his days off. I've taken him fishing and he's taken me flying in his Cessna.

>there is hope!

Everyone knows that, but you continue to feel so small of yourself.

>Warmest regards,

>John

I really didn't intend to make you feel so small, again.

The real John
-

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11849-42933EDF-410@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net... From:
assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>All I have ever seen anyone use a D104 for is

>making a cheap radio sound like an even

>cheaper walmart, price reduced, sale item

Pity. Most familiar with cb are very familar with the quality hand held
D104s like the one you refer, but are just learning about. Two models,
the M6b and the M6c are very stealth and they rock! Nothing at all like
the lollipop D104 sound.
>those guys sure never heard quality audio in

>their life!...

Cb doesn't look for FM broadcast-like audio,,,,,when one becomes that
concerned with their audio and they blame everyone else on the band for
poor audio, it's time to move on to hammie radio, completely bypassing
the nocode and going to the next level.

>who wants to talk to some idiot that sounds

>like a mentally impared weirdo screaming into

>a mike with a bad lisp and naturally distorted

>voice... Warmest regards,

That's what some here come across as, especially when they can't
properly read or comprehend the post they respond.

>John

>The real John!

3543. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:21:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117038079.311054.81300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> > But I would note that the shrinkage occurred *after* the
> > April 2000 reductions in both Morse Code and written
> > testing for all available license classes. IOW, making
> > the licenses easier to get in 2000 did not result in
> > sustained growth.

> Two questions:
>
> 1) Is this shrinkage due to...
> a. Less new applicants
> b. Increased attrition

>From what I can see at hamdata.com and AH0A.org, it seems to
me that the number of new hams has been slowly increasing
since at least 1997 (which is as far back as AH0A.org goes)
but attrition has been rising even faster.

How much of the attrition increase is due to "involuntary"
causes (SKs, hams in nursing homes, etc.) vs. "voluntary"
causes (loss of interest) is a matter of pure speculation.
I don't have good data on that one way or the other.

It does seem to me, however, that when a survey says 22% of
recently-licensed new hams interviewed have *never* set up
their own station and gotten on the air with it, something's
amiss in the "interest" department.

We sometimes see statistics about the "average age of US
hams today is XX" and predictions of doom for the future
as today's hams become SKs. What we don't see are statistics
on how the "average age" was computed (mean? median? mode?)
nor the age distribution (bell curve? exponential?). Nor
do we see stats on what the "average age" was 10, 20, 30
years ago.

Looking around at club meetings and hamfests isn't a good
sample because a lot of us don't go to those things very
often.
>
> 2) Are easier tests the cause of the shrinkage...
> a. Yes
> b. No

No good way to tell. One thing is certain: The test reductions have not resulted in a flood of new hams compared to before the test reductions.

One possible explanation is that the real problem is publicity and image, not license requirements.

If people don't know what ham radio is, the license requirements have no effect on them.

Another factor is that if the license requirements are made "too easy", what you may have are some folks who have a license but no station because it's "too difficult" for them to set one up. Then they forget about ham radio and go on to something else.

One thing I remember clearly from my newcomer days as a 12-13 year old is that once I found out what amateur radio was, and how to get started, the license requirements were "not a problem". They were simply a challenge. If there had not been a Novice license, I simply would have gone for General right out of the box.

A lot of the kids I knew then, and know now, are the same way when they are interested in something.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3544. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:23:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0s7991h4it156vkv006roc127atchp73mf@4ax.com>
Source record: 200562

On Wed, 25 May 2005 15:37:52 GMT, james <george@washington.edu> wrote:
>>> The true
>>>paranoia that this administration has is a great fear of a large
>>>Islamic state existing from Pakistan to Syria. Including Iran, Iraq,
>>>Saudia Arabia and a few others.
>>
>>That would not be a good thing, and our efforts in trying to prevent
>>it from happening is probably a good thing.
>>
>>>Just think what if Radical Islam controlled over half the oil
>>>production in the world?
>>
>>So if that is the case, are we not justified in trying to prevent it
>>from happening?
>>
>*****
>
>No I think Iraq is means of gaining bases in a region that we can
>better monitor and track the goings on of the Radical Islamic
>Fundamentalist, both Shia and Suni.

I would not disagree with that assessment. It is one that I also share
to some extent. It's also consistent with the Project for a new
American Century plan.

>>Do we have to wait until the "west" (Which includes more than just the
>>U.S,) is brought to its knees economically before we act?
>>
>****
>
>That is a tough decision. If you act to early on intelligence and it
>is bad then you done things in bad faith. Wait too long and you have
>dead people. The better question and also the most difficult to answer
>is how many lives are expendable? If none is your answer then Bush
>did well.

In theory, no lives should be expendable. Reality paints a different
picture. As long as the radical Islamists are willing to sacrifice
their own lives in order to take out "infidels", the dynamics of that
equation changes somewhat. When the value of human life differs from
one side to the other, our "leverage" becomes limited.

In the cold war, we managed to keep "the evil empire" at bay due to
the concept of mutually assured destruction. When your new enemy
consists of people who are not afraid to die (and their reward

received in Heaven) to advance their cause, a concept such as M.A.D.
starts to crumble.

>>How much bloodshed could have been averted if Hitler had been taken
>>out of the picture in the 1920's?
>>
>*****
>
>We can play that game back to Babylonian Kings of the third millenium
>BC. That is really a poor argument.

No, it's just placing a current situation against a backdrop of
historical perspective.

>The case for preemption is just
>that. In 2002 Bush never made a good case for preemption. Most of what
>I conclude was never presented to teh world population. Yes the Senate
>and the House knew of it, but the average American Public per se was
>not kept informed of these potentials.

For good reason I suspect.

>>Does the average citizen need to know, or have the capacity to
>>understand, the complete truth assuming we can definitively identify
>>it amongst all the free flowing propaganda?
>>
>********
>
>Duh! Last time I reviewed my civics and political science notes, I
>thought the American People were the government. You may find it
>acceptable to blindly follow your elected officials like those in
>Hitler Germany!

Woah! Back up and drop the Hitler metaphors. This is not about
dictatorship, but about the ineptitude, indifference, and general lack
of understanding of "big picture" politics by the average American. We
elect representatives to carry out America's business in our best
interests so that "we the people" do not have to. If the government
had to disclose each and every piece of intelligence with the
population at large, they would, at the very least, create a national
security issue, and at the worst create confusion and panic as the
average citizen tries to come to grips with what they've just been
told.

>Son I have a great deal of intreped feelings when a
>President says to me trust me I am keeping the best interests of the
>American People at heart and then proceeds to beat around the bush, no
>pun intended, trying to justify a preemptive invasion.

There is a reason why we have a representative democracy and not a
direct democracy. We elect people who are supposedly trained in the
skills necessary to carry out our business. The last thing we need to
do is second guess the motives of our leaders without concrete proof

that such questioning is warranted. Perpetuating the distrust of our leaders, are the minions of the news media, many of which are (consciously or not) furthering the agendas of people who would like nothing more than the fall of the democratic way of life in this country. What better way to incite an overthrow of a government than to create the impression that the leaders are "up to no good"? There are all sorts of conspiracies and supposed "reports" telling of all kinds of "dirty deals" done by our government for many years. They're freely available to anyone with the drive to research them. But not many of those stories are verifiable with hard facts. When you look into the backgrounds of those who print these stories, it becomes clear what their agendas are.

>Hell yes the American People need to know. Secrecy is the death toll
>of a democracy and a republican form of government.

There is such a thing as "need to know".

> This
>administrtation has been the most secret since Reagan's first term.

We are also the first since Vietnam, except for the brief Gulf war in 1991, to be actively engaged in long term military operations. That necessitates a certain amount of secrecy. Do you think our government was completely forthcoming with all intel during WWII or Vietnam?

>Then I look and see who is advising GW Bush and then it all become to
>clearly now. Bush's advisors are out of the Cold War Era and need an
>enemy. I wonder if there is not one then have they created one?

For that to be true then you would have to somewhat support the conspiracy theory which claims that 9/11/01 was orchestrated by our own government. Our enemy attacked us first. What happened afterward was just a succession of events placed into motion as a result of 9/11.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3545. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:29:24 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20880-4294A7E4-74@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200564

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 24 May 2005 10:17:38 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)

>Because those "flaws" were largely invented

>by the left leaning media.

Get reality in your life. Failing to balance the budget,

>Bush never promised to balance the budget at
>this time. That's to come in the future.

A president's job is to balance the budget.
He failed to do so in 4.5 years in office.

The report
by the Pentagon two days ago that "Iraq war not fairing as well as
originally thought".

>According to whom?

The Pentagon.

>Link please.

Already posted it once.

>When?

The other day.

>And don't just say "the pentagon", post a

>complete link to the "news" source from which

>you base your biased claims.

You missed the link...again If blaming me makes you feel better, I
volunteer. Anything to get you feeling more confident.

-
...the lack of protection for the troops he sent in to battle
underequipped and ill prepared.

>I suppose you've forgotten this now infamous

>quote: "I actually voted for the 87 billion,

>before I voted against it". What do you think

>that 87 billion was for?

Blaming anyone but Bush for over three years of inadequate supply,
protection, and gear
for our troops shows exactly how well you comprehend your government.

>And you would be equally ignorant to ignore

>the years of neglect that our military suffered

>at the hands of Clinton, who had no great love
>for it.

That's not being ignorant, that's refusing to argue history and what can
not be changed. "Ignorant" is publicly telling the world they are
ignorant for preferring to focus on the present failures of Bush instead
of arguing past history.
Stay focused.

-
The list goes on and his
failures have nothing to do with the demos, despite your hatred.

>Yea sure. When you stand up for what is right,

>you're bound to take a few on the chin in the

>process.

Placing unprepared troops and others in battle is not standing up, it is
a failure and illustration of the president's strategy and incompetence.
Ignoring Iran, Dharfur, and N Korea is not standing up.

>Who says we're ignoring it?

You think otherwise?

>All in good time.

And this information comes from.....

>We don't have unlimited military resources.

>Unless, of course, you want the draft brought

>back.....

Maybe if the kids of people like you who are hellbent on this farcical
war were drafted, I would support such an act.

>Those who refuse to stand up, out of fear of

>taking those few on the chin, are the ones to

>be very afraid of.

Sort of like yourself in regards to radio law,,you do nothing that can remotely be considered proactive (standing up) and offer nothing but reactive lipeservice.

>Stay focused. This isn't about me, as much as

>you'd like it to be.

Exactly, it's about your hypocrisy.

>There has been none to date which have been
>proven.

Then show me the balanced budget.

>Never promised.

No one said it was

>You implied as much

You haev a serious deficit. I implied nothing of the sort, in fact, I "implied" the opposite. I said your president failed to do his job, such as balance the budget. You implied if the president doesn't promise to his job, he can be derelict in it. LMAO!
It is part of the president's job that he failed to manage. Claiming that if something isn't promised by the president, it's ok if he is derelict in ignoring his duties, confirms your lack of knowledge regarding the position of president.

>There are far more pressing issues than a

>balanced budget.

You admitting your president is unable to fulfill his duties is a step in the right direction.

> Ridding the world of maniacal terrorists is a

>bit higher on the pecking list.

And an impossibility that only gullible people believe....one of Bush'most incompetent statements was "We will rid the world of terror".

_
Tell it to the military sons and
daughters and parents who have lost loved ones for the very preventable reason of not having proper protection, supplies and equipment.

>.A very valid reason why John F. Kerry is not

>.the president today.

Did Kerry bang Kimberly, or do you continuosly harbor unnatural feelings and hatred for him for other reasons?

>No, it's a simple matter of you wanting to pin

>every failing on Bush, when, if you truly

>understood how the government operates,

>you would not make such an ignorant,

>uninformed claim.

My claim simply was Bush failed to balance the budget. It's a fact.
Also is a fact, is it appears to have struck a nerve with you, as you
have gone from denying any Bush failures, to defending his failures.

>Military budgets have to be approved by

>congress, a congress in which Kerry voted

>against (after he voted for) the necessary

>money to provide that equipment you feel we

>were glaringly lacking.

Isn't me, it's the American families of the dead and the soldiers in the
field, and the high ranking officers who first brought this to light.
This month's Maxim magazine printed a bunch of letters from the troops,
signed with rank and names and where they are assigned in the middle
east. Stars and Stripes, a very republican publication, also printed
many letters from those serving. Number THREE on the soldiers complaint
list is RUMSFELD, and ALL their letters confirm the exact opposite of
everything you think you know about the war.
Bush is cutting military funding and it has nthing to do with Kerry.

>He is merely doing the same things as many

>corporations. Making due with less. Unless,

>you want a large tax increase.

Yes, i would much rather have a tax increase
to pay for adequate gear and protection for out soldiers. Many of us are
not so galavant when trivializing the lives of our soldiers, as many of
us don't put tax cuts, like yourself, above the lives of our soldiers.
Once again, you are not even aware of what your own party is
undertaking.
Now Bush is cutting bases in the US to pay for his tax cuts and failing
(admitted by the Pentagon) war, the same thing you blasted Clinton for
daring to entertain a few years ago, and he didn't even do it.

> When did I "blast" Clinton for closing military

>bases?

You blasted Clinton and claimed he was seeking to dismantle and "weaken"
the military through budget cuts. You have a piss poor memory, Dave.

>Not the same thing.

Right,,because Bush is doing the military slashing, it must be ok.

> Bush is not eliminating

>any crucial bases or programs.

Eliminate your poor choice of the subjective term "crucial" and your hypocrisy glares and you are left with no salient point.

>Rathergate, is a glaring example of one such

>smear which got discovered before any real

>damage could be done.

You are wired to focus on anything but responsibility. You seek abdication of the Bush failures through unrealistic self-denial,

>I seek the truth, and I place blame where the

>blame belongs,

Except with the leader of the country...as I said, you seek abdication of responsibility.

>and that starts with those who seek to destroy

>this country out of a ideological hatred of our

>way of life.

Wrong,,,it begins and ends with the president.

>The president didn't fly airplanes into the trade

>towers or the pentagon.

Right,,,,he just failed to prevent it, as the Official 911 Report suggests...you know,,,the Presidential Commission he was against, then for investigating his administrations ill-preparedness and response to 911.

>The president didn't try to annex a neighboring
>country.

Right....

> The president didn't exterminate hundreds of

>thousands of his own people.

He's on his way with the number of dead in this war.

> The president didn't blow a hole in the USS

>Cole.

Neither did Kerry.

>The president didn't blow up embassies. The

>list goes on.

So do his failures, such as curbing more and more of our rights under the false guise of keeping us safer, when we are under more danger than ever before. In fact, puppets like you are programmed to incorrectly parrot that Bush has protected us since 911, when nothing is further from the truth. We have had several attacks on US soil since then, but Bush, keeping a perfect record, fails to apprehend those responsible.

> I don't blame the one leader with the cajones

>to call it like it is and stand up to it.

But's NOT standing up for anything...he's ignoring Dharfur, which is much worse bllodshed than Hussein EVER committed

>Dharfur does not threaten this country in any

>way.

Neither did Hussein. Read the 911 Report.
Bush failed to stop the
proliferation and spread of nukes, and N Korea is continuing to produce them,,three more nuke warheads by year's end with the rods they recently and publicly collected and announced that they are using them for nukes.

>The former soviet union has nukes. The

>Chinese have nukes. So what? Now if Osama

>Bin Laden had a few, I'd be concerned. Or if

>Saddam had been allowed to finish his nuke

>program, I'd be concerned.

He wasn't working on one during the invasion. It's a shame you have to learn history for this group by denying it, then researching it for yourself when the proper links are placed in front of you.
-
Of course, Iran has solidified several more
nukes in the time Bush has been lording over the oil glut,,,on it goes, yet you know little of it.

>What oil glut? Do you not read the

>commodities page?

Clown. The commodities page has nothing to do with the world oil supply. It's a management problem, not one of supply and demand.

>The liberals, on the other hand, when the truth
>cleverly evades them, make up their own

>version of the truth to justify actions which

>would, in an earlier generation, be considered

>treason.

Bush was the only one to flipflop on his reasons for war, yet when thse reasons are applied equally to hostile countries, his position evaporates.

>.He has not flip flopped on any of his reasons.

Then perhaps you can explain what reasons were given the first time congress denied his plea to invade Iraq.

>They remain the same as always.

You're wrong. The reasons presented to congress each time had nothing to dow with each other. When he was denied the first time, he invoked a reason as "liberating Iraq". The second time, he claimed violations of the UN and that Hussein was amassing WMDS.

>Then there is the more recent Newsweek

>gaffe about flushing the Koran down a toilet

>(How does one flush a book down a toilet

>anyway?).

They have port- a-potty's in Guantanamo, not toilets.

>I'm really interested in how you would know

>that with any accuracy.

Stay focused and try not to fall off track and delve into personal realms again, Davey. I know it in the same manner I knew your party acknowledged global warming and you didn't.

>Which is how exactly?

Education. One that eludes you. I find it amusing you are always astonished at exactly "how" and "why" people know things you do not. This can partially be attributed to your narcism and refusal to accept anyone knows more than you.

>And for the record, I never denied global

>warming,

You did.

>just questioned the amount of effect that

>humanity has truly had on it.

Yes, after you initially denied it.

>The evidence is still inconclusive on this point,
>as I have provided in the links.

No, the evidence is most certainly conclusive, as my links were dated of last week. Once again, because you are unable to grasp the methods in which concentrations of certain gases can ascertain and pinpoint with extreme accuracy what is manmade and what is naturally occurring and released into the atmosphere, does not make it any less so.

>BTW, port-a-potti's don't flush.

All the more reason the story was suspect from the beginning.

>Yet you were ready to embrace it as another

>reason to throw a dagger at Bush.

I did no such thing..one can always tell when your ego is waning, as you harriedly and sloppily begin misattributing things that were never said to those you love.

>Ah, so you've decided to print the information

>without my permission eh? I knew you couldn't
>resist the urge.

I don't need your permission to ask what is in the public domain.

>The why did you ask in the first place?

I asked for your explicit and implied permission to post related information. Do I have it?

>BTW, you need to either upgrade or trash your
>"Spy" software (Or ask for a refund of that

>$9.95).

Keep guessing all that you will never know.

_
Yet, you brokke FCC law by not providing it to the FCC.

>Are you retarded, or can you simply not read?

>You are mistaken about my current address.

When you take to lying about your wife and everything else you have lied about in the past, nothig you can say can ever regain a reputation for credibility. You destroyed any you had long ago.

>.Your "Cyber detective" software is out of date.

I have no software,,,besides,,webtv doesn't use software. Off you go, now,,,

>My current address IS the one on my FCC

>license. The one you have is the OLD one.

>Stony creek road was were I was born and

>raised and spent most of my CB career. I

>.moved from there in 1999.

>You can verify this by going on QRZ and

>loading the 1993 version of the callbook, and

>then look at what address my call is listed

>with.

I accept (once again) your apology. No one claimed the Stony Creek was your curretn address, Davie. Stop being so paranoid, as it leads to incredible gaffes in your behalf.

>What you think is irrelevant,

Aparently what I think is very relevant, as you deny the truth and menstruate over it. I now have you in such a freakin' tizzy, you are denying your own wife's name when it has been confirmed and you are scrambling to explain awwy everything I posted.

>How has it been confirmed?

Ahhh,,,,,I prefer to remain content in wacthing you self-tighten that noose. The squirming you share with us is good for a bit longer.

> Because YOU think it is? I am telling you, you
>are dead wrong about my wife's name.

I know exactly what you say, but the fact is her exact name appeared on the change of address card submitted to the Post Office with the same address shared by you,,there. That's another small bit of information you were ignornat of..when one places a change of address card wioth the Post Office, if you fail to check the little box at the bottom that tells of your privacy, they SELL the information to listbrokers. Now, tell us how the Post Office gt it wrong, Dave...LMFAO!

_
In fact, she
used to reside on Gravers Road, but you go on denying she is your wife because of the shame you rained down upon yourself.

>I never even heard of Gravers road.

Really? You grew up near there and never heard of it? Need the exact address on Gravers Road and then you can use the mapblast, eh?
Ok,,she was born in 1963 and lived at 1819 Gravers Road in Norristown.

>again you are mistaken

And you're sweating like a stuck pig.

> (We must be up to a

>dozen things you've been wrong about now).

Cripes...this talk from you sounds just like it did when it was shown
you lied about having a Phelps Stationmaster antenna.

>This is what happens when you play with

>cyperspy wannabe software for $9.95.

Does that type software give that information? How about birthdates and
applications for marriage on file with the state,,,including addresses?

_
It's not what I think, it's what more and more
regs are conveying to you on a regualr basis.

>Name them.

Well, sure,,,Frank taugh you better regarding radio technical
competency, you called him names and took issue with his career. Shark
taught you better regarding your own state's driving laws, and he was
attacked by "Geo" all of a sudden with homo remarks,,BTW, where is "Geo"
these days? :)
Our British friend across the pond taught you about cb radios that come
type accepted with what are legal roger beeps, but you denied that as
well, screamed and begged for proof, was given it, and humbled. Jim
tried talking to you about foreign news sources, and you called him
naive. No Davie,,as is always the pattern, you blame everyone else when
the problem is yourself.

_
Google hypocrite and your name, and you will find those who taught you
better.

>.Nice dodge.

See above.

> But I drive a Ford.

A blue one whose license plates do not match the address given to the
FCC as provided by law. Go ahead,,,,'splain! LMAO...

> Once again, name the people who agree with
>your position and disagree with mine.

See above.

>and contrary to your wild imagination, you do

>not represent the majority.

Contrary to your claims that have been corrected by the majority of the
regs, it is yourself that is of the most radical, hypocritical, and of a
minority position that is usually incorrect.

>.Prove it. Post the names, posts and other

>references.

Na-na-nee-nee-noo-noo,,,,"pwove it"

>Prove it.

See above.

> Other that you, Frank, and

>occasionally Landshark, who actually even

>gives enough of a crap about these jabs that

>we exchange, to even chime in?

You are again under the mistaken and erroneous belief one must "chime
in" to all exchanges in order to express they care?

>Well gee, how then are we supposed to know

>that they disagree?

Care is not a "simile" for "disagree". When you figure that out, you may
ask such questions.

>Did you buy Frank's crystal ball?

Dude, you are so far out, you can never regain composure.

>I'm not the one who's suggesting that I can

>read minds in order to glean the opinions of

>people who do not post their opinions here.

You invoked your schooling of your own free will. This is where your
piss poor communication skill comes in to play. When one enters a topic
in to a conversation, be prepared to substantiate it.

>.Just like you gave us the names and

>addresses of all of your publishing gigs when

>you once claimed to be a "professional

>journalist"?

Exactly. I provided where I went to school and for who I was employed.
That horrible piss poor memory you have is partially responsible for
your communication deficits.
If you are going to make the rules, you have to play by them too.
Otherwise, you're a hypocrite. Your constant
excuses and whining about not having to defend your claims portray you
exactly how you are viewed.....by the majority :)
You have not provided for one
single piece of information you have provided
here. Yet you expect others to do it. Hypocrisy.

>Your personal

>obsessive mania concerning my personal life

Yet it is you who is obsessively begging for personal info about me.

>C'mon, you can do better.

When you take to providing a contingent of explanations, it reiterates
my perfect aim and accuracy.

3546. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:39:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20880-4294AA4E-75@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200565

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Let's get real, there are two of our VERY

>LARGE, VERY expensive buildings missing in
>New York.

In part because of the Bush admins failures. The failures listed below
all came after 911 and are independent of the acts against the US.

>Thousands of Americans died in those

>buildings.

See above.

> There was a large hole in our pentagon, site

>.of our most holy protection for this land.

Holy? Duuuuude,,,,

>One plane was headed towards the

>Whitehouse, another of our most holy political

>sites--

"Holy political"?

>before it was downed. There are a few

>airplanes of ours which were destroyed in

>these attacts--and American lives lost on each
>and everyone of these aircraft.

>Anyone who does not want to go after those

>who did THAT, with every resource at our

>disposal, is either a coward, terrorist

>themselves, or an idiot.

And only an idiot would believe BL is in Iraq, or that Iraq had anything
to do with what you described above.
But what you did here is what Bush often does, he uses the term "Iraq"
when speaking of 911 and BL, but I will admit, he has stopped doing this
since the 911 Report. Now if only his legions of sheople can manage to
emulate him in this regard.....

>And, the radical muslims will point blank tell

>you that you have three choices--join them, be
>enslaved by them or die.

Muslims don't run this country, and the oppression you speak of is not limited to just the Muslim world or Iraq .

>Any rational person would choose a

>fourth--they die!

Fortunately, we were never in such a position.

>We simply don't have a choice in this matter...

What matter is that? Going after BL and those responsible for 911, or the war in Iraq? Even Bush no longer tries to employ such long ago defeated rhetoric.

>Warmest regards,

John

From the Times wires:
Before the Iraq invasion, the Bush administration asserted that Iraq possessed chemical and biological weapons and was developing nuclear weapons. Officials also allege Iraq was working on prohibited long-range missiles and drones that could disperse biological agents. The presidential intelligene commison examined each of the US intelligence community's prewar assertions on Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and reached these conclusions:

Nuclear Weapons: Wrong
Analysts wrongly interpreted the purpose of some metal tubes Iraq tried to import, arguing they were for centrifuges to enrich uranium when they were for conventional artillery rockets. Other imports of materials with more than one potential use were also similarily misinterpreted.

Biological Weapons: Wrong
Agencies trusted several Iraqi defectors who were lying, ignoring inconsistencies in their statements and other warning signs that these defectors were providing false information.

Chemical Weapons: WRONG
The intelligence committe drew its conclusions from satellite photos of trucks and buildings and other sources that were suspicious but ultimately ambiguous, and trusted human sources who made claims that Hussein had accomplished things that are technically impossiible.

WMD-Armed Drones: Wrong
Analysts concluded the drones were for WMD based on limited information; Iraq;s drones turned out to be for reconnaissance.

Hussein's Intentions: Wrong
Intelligence agencies did not seriously consider Hussein could have

given up his WMD ambitions and destroyed his stockpiles. Although
several intel sources asserted before the war that Iraq did not have any
WMD, US analysts have regarded this as disinformation.
End of Times wire report.
--

3547. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:45:22 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20880-4294ABA2-76@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200566

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
George:
>Have you picked out a mobile unit for your

>coffin? And, what about the antenna?

>Warmest regards,

>John

Coffins are an item to help relieve the living of their money, as are most American funerals.
I much rather prefer to permit those like yourself to entertain us at your own expense...you have yet to disappoint. Fingerpainting in your own fecal matter has taken its toll on you over the years.

3548. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commissionadmitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 16:56:14 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <W62le.34$b53.1025122@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200571

George:

So then, Bush is our enemy--it is our fault radical muslims wish to destroy American property--and kill Americans.

You want to tie our militaries hands over the fact that they didn't have these weapons--which we got there first and stopped them from getting them. Your suggestion it that we should have waited until they had them--then instead of smashing our own planes into those buildings they could have been much more successful with those weapons (chemical, biological, nuclear.) And then, we would have a right to stop them...

You argument that Iraq and Sadam were not Bin Laden is shallow--they have the oil money which financed him, they hold the same radical ideas--this is enough for them to die... I am not pleased with Saudi Arabia...

I am one would support our LARGEST nuclear bomb dropped square in the middle of Iran--if they even threaten to be a threat to us... and if it would only save one innocent American life...

Warmest regards,
John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:20880-4294AA4E-75@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Let's get real, there are two of our VERY

>LARGE, VERY expensive buildings missing in
>New York.

In part because of the Bush admins failures. The failures listed below all came after 911 and are independent of the acts against the US.

>Thousands of Americans died in those

>buildings.

See above.

> There was a large hole in our pentagon, site

>.of our most holy protection for this land.

Holy? Duuuuude,,,,

>One plane was headed towards the

>Whitehouse, another of our most holy political

>sites--

"Holy political"?

>before it was downed. There are a few

>airplanes of ours which were destroyed in

>these attacts--and American lives lost on each
>and everyone of these aircraft.

>Anyone who does not want to go after those

>who did THAT, with every resource at our

>disposal, is either a coward, terrorist

>themselves, or an idiot.

And only an idiot would believe BL is in Iraq, or that Iraq had anything to do with what you described above.
But what you did here is what Bush often does, he uses the term "Iraq" when speaking of 911 and BL, but I will admit, he has stopped doing this since the 911 Report. Now if only his legions of sheople can manage to emulate him in this regard.....

>And, the radical muslims will point blank tell

>you that you have three choices--join them, be
>enslaved by them or die.

Muslims don't run this country, and the oppression you speak of is not limited to just the Muslim world or Iraq .

>Any rational person would choose a

>fourth--they die!

Fortunately, we were never in such a position.

>We simply don't have a choice in this matter...

What matter is that? Going after BL and those responsible for 911, or the war in Iraq? Even Bush no longer tries to employ such long ago defeated rhetoric.

>Warmest regards,

John

From the Times wires:
Before the Iraq invasion, the Bush administration asserted that Iraq possessed chemical and biological weapons and was developing nuclear weapons. Officials also allege Iraq was working on prohibited long-range missiles and drones that could disperse biological agents. The presidential intelligene commison examined each of the US intelligence community's prewar assertions on Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and

reached these conclusions:

Nuclear Weapons: Wrong
Analysts wrongly interpreted the purpose of some metal tubes Iraq tried to import, arguing they were for centrifuges to enrich uranium when they were for conventional artillery rockets. Other imports of materials with more than one potential use were also similarily misinterpreted.

Biological Weapons: Wrong
Agencies trusted several Iraqi defectors who were lying, ignoring inconsistencies in their statements and other warning signs that these defectors were providing false information.

Chemical Weapons: WRONG
The intelligence committe drew its conclusions from satellite photos of trucks and buildings and other sources that were suspicious but ultimately ambiguous, and trusted human sources who made claims that Hussein had accomplished things that are technically impossiible.

WMD-Armed Drones: Wrong
Analysts concluded the drones were for WMD based on limited information; Iraq;s drones turned out to be for reconnaissance.

Hussein's Intentions: Wrong
Intelligence agencies did not seriously consider Hussein could have given up his WMD ambitions and destroyed his stockpiles. Although several intel sources asserted before the war that Iraq did not have any WMD, US analysts have regarded this as disinformation.
End of Times wire report.
--

3549. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 17:16:54 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eq2le.40$Ag3.1081473@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200567

George:

Glad you enjoy, just don't agree with your take on the matter... have at it boy!

Warmest regards,
John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:20880-4294ABA2-76@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
George:
>Have you picked out a mobile unit for your

>coffin? And, what about the antenna?

>Warmest regards,

>John

Coffins are an item to help relieve the living of their money, as are most American funerals.
I much rather prefer to permit those like yourself to entertain us at your own expense...you have yet to disappoint. Fingerpainting in your own fecal matter has taken its toll on you over the years.

3550. AKC RULES THE TRUCKSTOP TOILETS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 17:23:40 UTC
From: KEYCLOWNS RULE!!!!!!!!! <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117041820.553115.234560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200568

CAUSE THAT'S WHAT THE TRUCKERS SAY!

3551. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 17:56:48 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <eNqdnacuKej8lQnfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200570

On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:39:42 -0400, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

>>And, the radical muslims will point blank tell
>
>>you that you have three choices--join them, be
>>enslaved by them or die.
>
>
> Muslims don't run this country, and the oppression you speak of is not
> limited to just the Muslim world or Iraq .

This sounds just like the crusades all over again. If you haven't seen the new movie "Kingdom of Heaven" I suggest that you do. It will remind you of what is happening in the middle east now, even if it wasn't the intent of the movie.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3552. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 19:47:34 UTC
From: Mutton <gradisher@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qD4le.1682$uu.557@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200572
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb

```
Matt Nemmers wrote:
> Sam Sloan wrote:
>
>>Can't we get rid of this guy somehow?
>
>
> It's annoying when someone crossposts irrelevent, off-topic bile to
> multiple groups, isn't it, Sloan?
>
> MN
>

Yes, I do agree.

- Andrew
```

3553. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfailures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:00:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <o9m9919v8674e7r9vj7thm1ach7pavaqub@4ax.com>
Source record: 200573

On Wed, 25 May 2005 09:03:07 -0700, "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
wrote:

>
>"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:11849-42933C15-406@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
>> How many links do you need, Dave?
>>
>> Here are a few more, just to keep you entertained.

Holy shit, he still didn't post links.

>>
>>
>> From the Times wires:
>>
>> Before the Iraq invasion, the Bush administration asserted that Iraq
>> possessed chemical and biological weapons and was developing nuclear
>> weapons. Officials also allege Iraq was working on prohibited long-range
>> missiles and drones that could disperse biological agents. The
>> presidential intelligene commison examined each of the US intelligence
>> community's prewar assertions on Iraq's weapons of mass destruction and
>> reached these conclusions:
>>
>>
>> Nuclear Weapons: Wrong
>> Analysts wrongly interpreted the purpose of some metal tubes Iraq tried
>> to import, arguing they were for centrifuges to enrich uranium when they
>> were for conventional artillery rockets. Other imports of materials with
>> more than one potential use were also similarily misinterpreted.
>>
>>
>> Biological Weapons: Wrong
>> Agencies trusted several Iraqi defectors who were lying, ignoring
>> inconsistencies in their statements and other warning signs that these
>> defectors were providing false information.
>>
>>
>> Chemical Weapons: WRONG
>> The intelligence committe drew its conclusions
>> from satellite photos of trucks and buildings and other sources that
>> were suspicious but ultimately ambiguous, and trusted human sources who
>> made claims that Hussein had accomplished things that are technically
>> impossiible.
>>

>>
>> WMD-Armed Drones: Wrong
>> Analysts concluded the drones were for WMD based on limited information;
>> Iraq;s drones turned out to be for reconnaissance.
>>
>>
>> Hussein's Intentions: Wrong
>> Intelligence agencies did not seriously consider Hussein could have
>> given up his WMD ambitions and destroyed his stockpiles. Although
>> several intel sources asserted before the war that Iraq did not have any
>> WMD, US analysts have regarded this as disinformation.
>>
>>
>> End of Times wire report.
>> --
>> Want more, Dave? The list is endless but these should have you spinning
>> your wheels a bit more than usual since your first knee-jerk reaction
>> was to deny any Bush failures, then
>> beg for examples.
>>
>

Vinnie S.

3554. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:13:54 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <krm991tkp3rt1qtpapq9qtc34gefrdos9n@4ax.com>
Source record: 200574

On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:23:42 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>>Duh! Last time I reviewed my civics and political science notes, I
>>thought the American People were the government. You may find it
>>acceptable to blindly follow your elected officials like those in
>>Hitler Germany!
>
>Woah! Back up and drop the Hitler metaphors. This is not about
>dictatorship, but about the ineptitude, indifference, and general lack
>of understanding of "big picture" politics by the average American. We
>elect representatives to carry out America's business in our best
>interests so that "we the people" do not have to. If the government
>had to disclose each and every piece of intelligence with the
>population at large, they would, at the very least, create a national
>security issue, and at the worst create confusion and panic as the
>average citizen tries to come to grips with what they've just been
>told.
******

no I elect representatives to do what I want. I don't elect them to go
off and do as they see fit. This may not be what th eaverage American
does but if they wish to jump off a cliff then so be it. Even a
representative democracy can desolve into facism and dictatorship.
Remember Hitler was elected and he did not gain his dictatorship
untill after he was in office. Then he convinced the Congress and the
poeple of Gernamy that it was in the best interest that he and the
leadership rebuild Germany. He asked for their trust in the
leaderships work and not to worry that they had their best interest
at heart.

The rate Congres s here is going in ten yrs we all will have to have
papers to travel around in the US. Members in Congress want even more
rigid Patriot Act enactment. I love that, they want the masses to give
up civl liberties and make them feel it is patriotic to do so! Even
call the law the "Patriot Act".

IF Americans don't wake up to the big picture it will be to late. In
fact so many things are no win place that it may now be to late. One
more 9/11 event and that may spell the end of most of our civil
liberties. I bet Jefferson is rolling in his grave at the blind sheep
the Americans have become.

james

3555. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:15:04 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <mdn9919eme8mcg9ho0ia5iec8hinvjmudn@4ax.com>
Source record: 200575

On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:23:42 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>>Son I have a great deal of intreped feelings when a
>>President says to me trust me I am keeping the best interests of the
>>American People at heart and then proceeds to beat around the bush, no
>>pun intended, trying to justify a preemptive invasion.
>
>There is a reason why we have a representative democracy and not a
>direct democracy. We elect people who are supposedly trained in the
>skills necessary to carry out our business.
*****

Go ahead and just believe that those elected have y our best
interests in mind. I hear the shears are being prepared for y ou
sheep.

james

3556. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:26:51 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <jgn991pndcht54hgga1g2difc4lmlp6fme@4ax.com>
Source record: 200576

On Wed, 25 May 2005 08:12:20 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>Outsourcing is inevitable until the standard of living in the rest of
>the world equalizes with our own.
>
>It's not good news for us, but it's an unfortunate reality.
******

You will sing a different tune when your $60K per yr job goes to China
and your planned retirement of $120K+ dwindles down to $36K per yr.

Think who benifits from outsourcing?

Corporations. Why?

Consumers demand lower prices and Corparations are doing their best to
give the consumers what they want. Sorry but cheap prices can't go on
for ever. Once the world's cheap labor is exploited, consumer prices
will rise like a Proton Rocket.

What happens when there are no more cheap labor countries like China?
Can you spell double digit inflation??? How about 20% per yr for about
ten yrs. Maybe even longer or higher inflation rates.

Yes as the world's standard of living increases, so will inflation. I
forsee 10 to 20% annual inflation rates somewhere in the 2030 to 2040
time frame. By then the world will dream of 4% inflation rates.

Out sourcing is eventually going to drag the US' standard of living
down to the rest of the world's as their's rises towards. You can't
get something for nothing. In time the US will suffer. Prepare for
China owning more an dmore of teh US debt and consequently the US'
economy .

james

3557. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfailures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:39:46 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <68o991l1e0fusmj3pr1qduu8oblntg4igq@4ax.com>
Source record: 200577

On Wed, 25 May 2005 09:03:07 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Let's get real, there are two of our VERY LARGE, VERY expensive buildings
>missing in New York. Thousands of Americans died in those buildings. There
>was a large hole in our pentagon, site of our most holy protection for this
>land. One plane was headed towards the Whitehouse, another of our most holy
>political sites--before it was downed. There are a few airplanes of ours
>which were destroyed in these attacts--and American lives lost on each and
>everyone of these aircraft.
>
*****

For starts about a third of the people that dies inteh WTC were
foreign nationals working here in the US. So before you get down and
pray to the missing phallic symbols, remember that not only Americans
dies in NY. There is no positive conclusive belief that the fourth
plane was targeted toteh Capitol Building or the Whitehouse. There is
circumstancial evedince only.

Secondly there is nothing "Holy" about the Pentagon or teh buildings
that house our legislature or executive offices.

******
>Anyone who does not want to go after those who did THAT, with every resource
>at our disposal, is either a coward, terrorist themselves, or an idiot.
>And, the radical muslims will point blank tell you that you have three
>choices--join them, be enslaved by them or die. Any rational person would
>choose a fourth--they die!
>
*****

Well then why are we in Iraq? UBL was never in Iraq nor were any of
his training bases. I will admit that he may have had recruiting
centers in Iraq. UBL is most likely in the Xinjiang province of China.
We had him cornered in Tora Bora and let him get away. So it seems
that the tough talking cowboy from Texas has lost his swagger or at
least his intention to go after UBL. Maybe it was tough talk and
nothing more.

>We simply don't have a choice in this matter...
>

*****
Yes we always have choices. All to often the mouth engages before the
brain and then choices are all to often removed.

james

3558. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:41:12 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <4vo991t3jkgnjhgjl9eibhii18h609vsd2@4ax.com>
Source record: 200578

On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:39:42 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>.of our most holy protection for this land.
>
>
>Holy? Duuuuude,,,,
*****

My sentiments also. I never felt that building s were "Holy"

james

3559. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:41:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <bq5le.57$jl1.1862721@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200579

James:

There is much truth in your words. The forefathers intended the least gov't is the best gov't--gov't should only serve the people and provide for their best interests and well being--down to the very last, one, single, citizen...

It is quite obvious this gov't has much bigger plans...

Warmest regards,
John

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message news:mdn9919eme8mcg9ho0ia5iec8hinvjmudn@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:23:42 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
>>>Son I have a great deal of intreped feelings when a
>>>President says to me trust me I am keeping the best interests of the
>>>American People at heart and then proceeds to beat around the bush, no
>>>pun intended, trying to justify a preemptive invasion.
>>
>>There is a reason why we have a representative democracy and not a
>>direct democracy. We elect people who are supposedly trained in the
>>skills necessary to carry out our business.
> *****
>
> Go ahead and just believe that those elected have y our best
> interests in mind. I hear the shears are being prepared for y ou
> sheep.
>
> james
>

3560. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:42:23 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Uq5le.58$CK7.1668117@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200580

James:

Gesus man, you are RIGHT ON!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:jgn991pndcht54hgga1g2difc4lmlp6fme@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2005 08:12:20 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Outsourcing is inevitable until the standard of living in the rest of
>>the world equalizes with our own.
>>
>>It's not good news for us, but it's an unfortunate reality.
> ******
>
> You will sing a different tune when your $60K per yr job goes to China
> and your planned retirement of $120K+ dwindles down to $36K per yr.
>
> Think who benifits from outsourcing?
>
> Corporations. Why?
>
> Consumers demand lower prices and Corparations are doing their best to
> give the consumers what they want. Sorry but cheap prices can't go on
> for ever. Once the world's cheap labor is exploited, consumer prices
> will rise like a Proton Rocket.
>
> What happens when there are no more cheap labor countries like China?
> Can you spell double digit inflation??? How about 20% per yr for about
> ten yrs. Maybe even longer or higher inflation rates.
>
> Yes as the world's standard of living increases, so will inflation. I
> forsee 10 to 20% annual inflation rates somewhere in the 2030 to 2040
> time frame. By then the world will dream of 4% inflation rates.
>
> Out sourcing is eventually going to drag the US' standard of living
> down to the rest of the world's as their's rises towards. You can't
> get something for nothing. In time the US will suffer. Prepare for
> China owning more an dmore of teh US debt and consequently the US'
> economy .
>
>

> james

3561. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:43:04 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <61p991tdegomoto9rb5v9d0m2drtk48cv7@4ax.com>
Source record: 200581

On Wed, 25 May 2005 13:56:48 -0400, "Leland C. Scott"
<kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:39:42 -0400, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
>>>And, the radical muslims will point blank tell
>>
>>>you that you have three choices--join them, be
>>>enslaved by them or die.
>>
>>
>> Muslims don't run this country, and the oppression you speak of is not
>> limited to just the Muslim world or Iraq .
>
>This sounds just like the crusades all over again. If you haven't seen the
>new movie "Kingdom of Heaven" I suggest that you do. It will remind you of
>what is happening in the middle east now, even if it wasn't the intent of
>the movie.
>
>Regards,
>
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
****

Fantastic movie. The best that I have seen in several years.

The messages were subtile but there. If one recognizes, then the movie
has great meaning.

james

3562. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfailures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:49:42 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Mx5le.59$CK7.1668117@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200582

James:

If you haven't noticed, we ARE right in the middle of the right place to find enemies... if you don't think there are tightly knit groups of radicals right in Iraq and most of the other surrounding countries, think again... better to fight them there than here... at least the gauntlet has been thrown down on foreign soil and the battles and war can take place there... life goes on as usual here, children attend school, retired people vacation and there are NO suicide bombings or terrorist attacks--there is enough there to busy their hands... let the war stay there...

Warmest regards,
John

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:68o991l1e0fusmj3pr1qduu8oblntg4igq@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2005 09:03:07 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Let's get real, there are two of our VERY LARGE, VERY expensive buildings
>>missing in New York. Thousands of Americans died in those buildings.
>>There
>>was a large hole in our pentagon, site of our most holy protection for
>>this
>>land. One plane was headed towards the Whitehouse, another of our most
>>holy
>>political sites--before it was downed. There are a few airplanes of ours
>>which were destroyed in these attacts--and American lives lost on each and
>>everyone of these aircraft.
>>
> *****
>
> For starts about a third of the people that dies inteh WTC were
> foreign nationals working here in the US. So before you get down and
> pray to the missing phallic symbols, remember that not only Americans
> dies in NY. There is no positive conclusive belief that the fourth
> plane was targeted toteh Capitol Building or the Whitehouse. There is
> circumstancial evedince only.
>
> Secondly there is nothing "Holy" about the Pentagon or teh buildings
> that house our legislature or executive offices.
>
> ******
>>Anyone who does not want to go after those who did THAT, with every
>>resource

>>at our disposal, is either a coward, terrorist themselves, or an idiot.
>>And, the radical muslims will point blank tell you that you have three
>>choices--join them, be enslaved by them or die. Any rational person would
>>choose a fourth--they die!
>>
> *****
>
> Well then why are we in Iraq? UBL was never in Iraq nor were any of
> his training bases. I will admit that he may have had recruiting
> centers in Iraq. UBL is most likely in the Xinjiang province of China.
> We had him cornered in Tora Bora and let him get away. So it seems
> that the tough talking cowboy from Texas has lost his swagger or at
> least his intention to go after UBL. Maybe it was tough talk and
> nothing more.
>
>
>>We simply don't have a choice in this matter...
>>
>
> *****
> Yes we always have choices. All to often the mouth engages before the
> brain and then choices are all to often removed.
>
> james
>
>

3563. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:51:47 UTC
From: Richard Crowley <richard.7.crowley@intel.com>
Message-ID: <d72oh3$m8l$1@news01.intel.com>
Source record: 200585
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb

```
"Mutton" <gradisher@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:qD4le.1682$uu.557@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
> Matt Nemmers wrote:
> > Sam Sloan wrote:
> >
> >>Can't we get rid of this guy somehow?
> >
> >
> > It's annoying when someone crossposts irrelevent, off-topic bile to
> > multiple groups, isn't it, Sloan?
> >
> > MN
> >
>
> Yes, I do agree.

Consider marking the thread as "ignore" and getting on with your lives.
```

3564. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:55:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050525165557.167$ie@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200583

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John=A0Smith)
> >Steveo:
>
> >You name is almost as cute as a girls, you
>
> >sound gay... there is nothing wrong with gay
>
> There is when you start wearing your dress in a cb forum, prancing
> around, speaking of your fondness for such. In fact, you have a run in
> your hose and your slip is showing.
>
> >people yanno, but better if you stay with your
>
> >own kind... <grin>
>
> >Top posters bother gays yanno...
>
> >Warmest regards,
>
> >John
>
> Didn't take this freak long for his genetic trait to come out in the
> group. He just can't help himself.
>
He's a fucking smelly sock. I'm done with him/it.

3565. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 20:57:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050525165719.521$mT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > One possible explanation is that the real problem
> > is publicity and image, not license requirements.
> >
> > If people don't know what ham radio is, the license
> > requirements have no effect on them.
>
> Ham radio just isn't very appealing to the current generation. There are
> too many other things to compete, computers, the Internet, vidoe games.
> Kids had rather be skilled at playing the latest video game than have
> technical skills in some outdated (to them) mode of communication. They
> had much rather build a computer than a radio. Who needs a ham radio
> station to talk to someone in another state or even in another country,
> just whip out the cell phone. Almost every teenager now has one.
>
IAWTP.

3566. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 21:18:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1117055889.528592.109310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> > One possible explanation is that the real problem
> > is publicity and image, not license requirements.

> > If people don't know what ham radio is, the license
> > requirements have no effect on them.

> Ham radio just isn't very appealing to the current generation. There are
> too many other things to compete, computers, the Internet, vidoe games.
> Kids had rather be skilled at playing the latest video game than have
> technical skills in some outdated (to them) mode of communication. They
> had much rather build a computer than a radio. Who needs a ham radio
> station to talk to someone in another state or even in another country,
> just whip out the cell phone. Almost every teenager now has one.

That's true of most of the population - but most of that has been true
for decades now.

I was high school class of 1972. In a school of over 2400 boys, with a
curriculum that emphasized math and science, we had no more than a
half-dozen hams.

Back then ham radio had "competition" (in no particular order) from
sports, school activities, music, counterculture events, antiwar
protests, CB, TV, radio, music, cars and girls. Also family chores,
schoolwork and after-school jobs.

We didn't have cell phones or the internet but we had the telephone and
we could get around pretty well, with or without cars.

In those days the #1 technical hobby for teenage boys was working on
cars. For less than the price of most ham rigs, you could buy a $100
used car and fix it up well enough to get around. Some lucky rich kids
got 10-year-old hand-me-down cars from the parental units, which they
then worked on to keep on the road. Cars were simpler then, and a
mechanically-minded kid knew all about how they worked long before
driving age.

So "competition" for kids' time is nothing new.

The most-often-asked questions about ham radio, then and now, are:

"Who do you talk to?"
"What do you talk about?" and
"Why go to all that trouble to talk to strangers?"

Most people back then "didn't get it". A few did. Same as today.

IMHO the prime time to attract kids to ham radio is middle school or earlier.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3567. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 21:39:09 UTC
From: David McCall <david.mccallUNDERLINE@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_tmdnbk1YMTmbQnfRVn-vA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200587
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb

"Richard Crowley" <richard.7.crowley@intel.com> wrote in message
news:d72oh3$m8l$1@news01.intel.com...
>
> Consider marking the thread as "ignore" and getting on with your lives.
>
Most of this spam just gets dumped here like so much
garbage out the window. It has no life unless we breathe
life into it. The unfortunate thing about crossposting in this
context is that there are lots of people seeing the message
and some of them will feel compelled to respond.

Richard is right. The only thing you can do to help is to ignore it.

David

3568. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 21:56:08 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117058168.902131.78280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Mon, 23 May 2005 19:01:36 -0700, bb wrote:
>
>
> > ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.
>
> I saw that and I don't know what the point was they were trying to make.
>
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Hans said it best:

"The correct terminology according to K4YZ is "Musta beem selling
SOMEthing!". I
don't know how you "beem" sell SOMEthing, but I'm sure "Steve" (alias
for K4YZ)
will provide a weasel-worded explanation."

I didn't get the bath robes either.

3569. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 23:17:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wdWdnZe6tKc1mgjfRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Tx1le.28$Yw1.822370@news.sisna.com...
> It is obvious there is a decline in interest in amateur radio, I think the
> reasons are many, since the gear is constructed for such a small "nitch"
> of users--the equip is expensive--this is only one more reason for the
> decline.
>
> I have never heard anyone complain the exams were too difficult (of
> course, I am mainly around college age kids who go for a license), it is
> always the code--they hate it--some can be pushed to complete the code to
> get the license--after, they simply never use the code again...most of
> these young fellows are interested in GHz freqs and above...and how a
> computer can be interfaced with the radio...
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

If all they are interested in is the GHz frequencies and up, they never need to bother with code for the license. The codeless Technician license gives them full privileges, full power levels, and all modes for all frequencies above 30 MHz.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3570. Re: TTY on CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-25 23:57:50 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <1117065469.990825.304390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200590

quoting:
> If the FCC only permits voice communication on CB, doesn't that
> prevent deaf people from using the band? I think TTY, as well as CW,
> should be permitted for that reason alone. If it became a petition,
> would it pass?

Channel 23 is shared with radio control, which allows all kinds of
things. If you did it on 23, you just might be ok.

3571. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 00:02:02 UTC
From: Matt Nemmers <qcchess@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <1117065722.813958.193100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200591
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb

```
Richard Crowley wrote:
> "Mutton" <gradisher@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qD4le.1682$uu.557@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
> > Matt Nemmers wrote:
> > > Sam Sloan wrote:
> > >
> > >>Can't we get rid of this guy somehow?
> > >
> > >
> > > It's annoying when someone crossposts irrelevent, off-topic bile to
> > > multiple groups, isn't it, Sloan?
> > >
> > > MN
> > >
> >
> > Yes, I do agree.
>
> Consider marking the thread as "ignore" and getting on with your lives.

You apparently didn't smell the sarcasm.

MN
```

3572. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 00:52:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <o59le.2169$8g.1695@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200592

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Uq5le.58$CK7.1668117@news.sisna.com...
> James:
>
> Gesus man, you are RIGHT ON!!!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
> "james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
> news:jgn991pndcht54hgga1g2difc4lmlp6fme@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 25 May 2005 08:12:20 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>Outsourcing is inevitable until the standard of living in the rest of
> >>the world equalizes with our own.
> >>
> >>It's not good news for us, but it's an unfortunate reality.
> > ******
> >
> > You will sing a different tune when your $60K per yr job goes to China
> > and your planned retirement of $120K+ dwindles down to $36K per yr.
> >
> > Think who benifits from outsourcing?
> >
> > Corporations. Why?
> >
> > Consumers demand lower prices and Corparations are doing their best to
> > give the consumers what they want. Sorry but cheap prices can't go on
> > for ever. Once the world's cheap labor is exploited, consumer prices
> > will rise like a Proton Rocket.
> >
> > What happens when there are no more cheap labor countries like China?
> > Can you spell double digit inflation??? How about 20% per yr for about
> > ten yrs. Maybe even longer or higher inflation rates.
> >
> > Yes as the world's standard of living increases, so will inflation. I
> > forsee 10 to 20% annual inflation rates somewhere in the 2030 to 2040
> > time frame. By then the world will dream of 4% inflation rates.
> >
> > Out sourcing is eventually going to drag the US' standard of living
> > down to the rest of the world's as their's rises towards. You can't
> > get something for nothing. In time the US will suffer. Prepare for
> > China owning more an dmore of teh US debt and consequently the US'

> > economy .
> >
> >
> > james
>

Hello, James

I don't know how much of the world will see huge inflation, but I will guarantee we, in the United States, *will* see it if the huge deficits aren't corrected.

Taxes are going to have to rise (actually, at the local level they are - sales tax, fees, etc.). Ever notice the "FCC fee" on your telephone bill? Eventually, they are going to have to tap the wealthy (including the capital gains), but if they wait too long, it will be too late. My guess is that if the Republicans loose some elections, the Democrats will raise taxes (which should have already been done, considering the deficit). Then, the Republicans will have new ammunition for the next elections.

Regardless, the Federal deficit, in my mind, is the most dangerous thing for the United States at this point in mind (closely followed by the current administration).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

3573. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 01:21:57 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <_w9le.89$7v6.2659479@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dee:

You suggest that to them... they will take it as personal slur on their intelligence... if they don't have the top licence--they don't want any--it is akin to getting an "A" in the class--you would never get the under-achievers to even bother--they'll just fire up IRC or P2P phone and chat to Australia all night long... or, whip out the cell phone their company internship is furnishing... <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:wdWdnZe6tKc1mgjfRVn-qQ@comcast.com...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Tx1le.28$Yw1.822370@news.sisna.com...
>> It is obvious there is a decline in interest in amateur radio, I think
>> the reasons are many, since the gear is constructed for such a small
>> "nitch" of users--the equip is expensive--this is only one more reason
>> for the decline.
>>
>> I have never heard anyone complain the exams were too difficult (of
>> course, I am mainly around college age kids who go for a license), it is
>> always the code--they hate it--some can be pushed to complete the code to
>> get the license--after, they simply never use the code again...most of
>> these young fellows are interested in GHz freqs and above...and how a
>> computer can be interfaced with the radio...
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>
>
> If all they are interested in is the GHz frequencies and up, they never
> need to bother with code for the license. The codeless Technician license
> gives them full privileges, full power levels, and all modes for all
> frequencies above 30 MHz.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3574. Re: Download a whole website to your computer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 02:37:45 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6KKdnbtR8-bkqwjfRVn-tQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 200594

John Smith wrote:
> Sometimes, a guy just finds a whole website he would like to examine
> in detail--when offline. Such as downloading it your laptop and
> taking it on vacation with you, on a plane, lunch hour at work, etc...
>
> Here is a link to Httrack, a program which will do that for you...
> becareful about setting it to ignore robot rules and turning it lose
> on a LARGE website... you could be downloading for days...
> http://www.httrack.com
>
> Warmest regards,
> John

Thanks John.

Something to play with! ;-)

Jeff

3575. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 03:31:50 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.

I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.

This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.

I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten years.

I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route as the hobby continues to die out.

TMT

3576. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 03:41:06 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <sfednXala-TP2AjfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 200596

On Wed, 25 May 2005 20:43:04 +0000, james wrote:

> On Wed, 25 May 2005 13:56:48 -0400, "Leland C. Scott"
> <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:39:42 -0400, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>>
>>>>And, the radical muslims will point blank tell
>>>
>>>>you that you have three choices--join them, be
>>>>enslaved by them or die.
>>>
>>>
>>> Muslims don't run this country, and the oppression you speak of is not
>>> limited to just the Muslim world or Iraq .
>>
>>This sounds just like the crusades all over again. If you haven't seen the
>>new movie "Kingdom of Heaven" I suggest that you do. It will remind you of
>>what is happening in the middle east now, even if it wasn't the intent of
>>the movie.
>>
>>Regards,
>>
>>Leland C. Scott
>>KC8LDO
>>
> *******
>
> Fantastic movie. The best that I have seen in several years.
>
> The messages were subtile but there. If one recognizes, then the movie
> has great meaning.

Glad you enjoyed it too. If you read between the lines you'll see how the events back then, and the movie, are a reflection of what is happening now in the middle east. They say those who don't know history are doomed to repeat it. I though the on-screen comment at the end of the movie was like the explanation point at the end of a sentence.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3577. Re: AKC RULES THE TRUCKSTOP TOILETS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 04:22:40 UTC
From: Cliff <thecowboyace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117081360.602733.14880@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200597

They talking about the dawgs milling around at the back of those lots?
LOL

3578. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some people don't know for sure if they are going to Heaven when they die is because they just don't know. The good news is that you can know for sure you are going to Heaven. May 24, 2005 11:28:16 am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 06:40:59 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1117089659.471217.128100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200598
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Fuck off you JEW DEVIL !

3579. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 07:01:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Puele.103$_f4.3943543@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200599
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Hey, why didn't I think of that, that'll stop 'em!!! <grin

John
<johnvonlaws@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1117089659.471217.128100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Fuck off you JEW DEVIL !
>

3580. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 07:33:30 UTC
From: Lou <FFSTA17@ACCESS995.COM>
Message-ID: <oZele.77110$NC6.42387@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 200600
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:Puele.103$_f4.3943543@news.sisna.com...
> Hey, why didn't I think of that, that'll stop 'em!!! <grin
>
> John
> <johnvonlaws@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1117089659.471217.128100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Fuck off you JEW DEVIL !
>>

Hate to disappoint you, but "Jews" in general don't believe in "CHRIST". Not those who are stern about their beliefs. They know of him, but they don't believe "he" is the messiah. So, therefore, this poster can't be jewish. Check into the religions, you'll see..... It is common knowledge.

3581. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 09:08:04 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117098484.707934.23070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200602
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

Snipped...

3582. OT - Ping Steveo - Dream Cruise fee's $25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 09:08:41 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <PaGdnS2fmaGEDwjfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200601

Read about the new optional dream cruise fee's?

http://www.detnews.com/2005/autosconsumer/0505/26/A01-194397.htm

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3583. Snipped...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 09:09:16 UTC
From: "Mr. Obvious" <obvious0001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117098556.507416.304850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200603

.

3584. Re: I want to ask you the most important question of your life. The question is: Are you saved? It is not a question of how good you are, nor if you are a church member, but are you saved? Are you sure you will go to Heaven when you die? The reason some pe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 09:31:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <XHgle.106$Pf6.4202564@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200604
Cross-posted to: rec.sport.billiard,rec.video.desktop,rec.games.chess.politics,rec.radio.cb,rec.juggling

You are absolutely correct, they only hold beliefs in the old testaments books--you know the "eye for an eye" bit... which the muslims also hold as part of their holy books... only Jesus was a kind and forgiving man... makes me move away from muslims and Jews...

John

"Lou" <FFSTA17@ACCESS995.COM> wrote in message news:oZele.77110$NC6.42387@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Puele.103$_f4.3943543@news.sisna.com...
>> Hey, why didn't I think of that, that'll stop 'em!!! <grin
>>
>> John
>> <johnvonlaws@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1117089659.471217.128100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Fuck off you JEW DEVIL !
>>>
>
> Hate to disappoint you, but "Jews" in general don't believe in "CHRIST".
> Not those who are stern about their beliefs. They know of him, but they
> don't believe "he" is the messiah. So, therefore, this poster can't be
> jewish. Check into the religions, you'll see..... It is common knowledge.
>

3585. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 11:24:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <c6ab91lnludtj3fg9i8253497akg7917oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 200605

On Wed, 25 May 2005 20:13:54 GMT, james <george@washington.edu> wrote:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:23:42 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote:
>
>>>Duh! Last time I reviewed my civics and political science notes, I
>>>thought the American People were the government. You may find it
>>>acceptable to blindly follow your elected officials like those in
>>>Hitler Germany!
>>
>>Woah! Back up and drop the Hitler metaphors. This is not about
>>dictatorship, but about the ineptitude, indifference, and general lack
>>of understanding of "big picture" politics by the average American. We
>>elect representatives to carry out America's business in our best
>>interests so that "we the people" do not have to. If the government
>>had to disclose each and every piece of intelligence with the
>>population at large, they would, at the very least, create a national
>>security issue, and at the worst create confusion and panic as the
>>average citizen tries to come to grips with what they've just been
>>told.
>******
>
>no I elect representatives to do what I want.

Along with everyone else. The reality is that no one gets exactly what
THEY want. We settle for elected officials who share our basic
ideology, values, and character.

>I don't elect them to go
>off and do as they see fit.

But that's exactly what they do, within reason. When was the last time
someone you helped to elect did exactly what you wanted them to do?
When was the last time they asked you what you wanted?

> This may not be what th eaverage American
>does but if they wish to jump off a cliff then so be it. Even a
>representative democracy can desolve into facism and dictatorship.
>Remember Hitler was elected and he did not gain his dictatorship
>untill after he was in office.

Actually Hitler gained his power after Paul Von Hindenburg died in
1934. Before that Hitler was just a chancellor and had been unable to
beat Hindenburg in the last election. So in many ways, fate was
responsible for Hilter's chance at power.

>Then he convinced the Congress and the
>poeple of Gernamy that it was in the best interest that he and the
>leadership rebuild Germany.

Yes, and like Clinton, Hitler took the credit for many of the economic improvements that had been occurring, and he was somewhat successful in convincing the less educated into believing that the root of their problems rested squarely on the shoulders of the Jews. Hitler used this as a rallying cry to unify the people into following his distorted views of how things should be.

>He asked for their trust in the
>leaderships work and not to worry that they had their best interest
>at heart.

No, he basically told them that Germans were superior, gave them someone else to blame (deflection) for their problems, and promised to "fix" it. When you tell people what they want to hear, it's not hard to gain their support.

>The rate Congres s here is going in ten yrs we all will have to have
>papers to travel around in the US.

Surely you have to realize just how exaggeratedly absurd that is. Besides, we already have "papers". It's called a driver's license.

> Members in Congress want even more
>rigid Patriot Act enactment. I love that, they want the masses to give
>up civl liberties and make them feel it is patriotic to do so! Even
>call the law the "Patriot Act".

Well, here's the deal. If we have total freedom and civil liberties, it becomes next to impossible to effectively protect us against outside infiltrators. So you have to make a choice. Either certain freedoms need to be modified or curtailed in order to make our borders more secure, make living and travel throughout our country more difficult for non-citizens, and obtaining forged documents by hostiles much tougher, or we have to learn to accept that the price of our open freedom might likely be a large scale terrorist attack.

You cannot realistically expect to have both total freedom and total protection. If you do not want the government taking steps to protect us from terrorists, then you have no right to complain when they attack. As long as they use our own laws against us, we remain vulnerable.

Most people are willing to give up some freedoms in order to gain better security. But that does not mean that we are "becoming a fascist state". As long as we can continue to elect our representatives, that will not happen. GW Bush will not be the president 4 years from now, and there will be a new leader for us to blame for all the trouble we're having.

>IF Americans don't wake up to the big picture it will be to late. In
>fact so many things are no win place that it may now be to late. One
>more 9/11 event and that may spell the end of most of our civil
>liberties.

I'd rather lose some civil liberties than worry that my family could be wiped from the planet in one fell swoop. Besides, some people take advantage of certain civil liberties in order to engage in activities that are either illegal or immoral. A greater individual accountability for those activities would not be a bad thing IMHO.

> I bet Jefferson is rolling in his grave at the blind sheep
>the Americans have become.

Yet, you would entrust these same blind sheep as worthy of knowing all intelligence information on our foreign affairs?.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3586. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 11:32:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <sgcb9156rd8fmcsc6qg8101si17e5l73gi@4ax.com>
Source record: 200606

On Wed, 25 May 2005 20:15:04 GMT, james <george@washington.edu> wrote:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:23:42 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote:
>
>>>Son I have a great deal of intreped feelings when a
>>>President says to me trust me I am keeping the best interests of the
>>>American People at heart and then proceeds to beat around the bush, no
>>>pun intended, trying to justify a preemptive invasion.
>>
>>There is a reason why we have a representative democracy and not a
>>direct democracy. We elect people who are supposedly trained in the
>>skills necessary to carry out our business.
>*****
>
>Go ahead and just believe that those elected have y our best
>interests in mind. I hear the shears are being prepared for y ou
>sheep.

Yea I know, our government has pledged it's true allegiance to the "corporate machine", the free masons, Skull and bones, a "shadow government" consisting of the descendants of Howard Hughes and the "Old money" cronies of the industrial age and maybe even gray aliens from Zeti-Reticuli.

You complain about the motives of our elected officials, yet insist that our form of government is the only way to go. That seems to be an inconsistent position to take. If you don't like your elected officials, then vote them out next term. But don't complain if the majority of voters differ from your opinion and override your selection. That's what majority rule is all about. For every one who gets what they want, someone else will be unhappy. That's life.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3587. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 11:34:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <avcb915cp6116mgi8jjod100cf6gn80s0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 200607

On Wed, 25 May 2005 13:41:38 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>James:
>
>There is much truth in your words. The forefathers intended the least gov't
>is the best gov't--gov't should only serve the people and provide for their
>best interests and well being--down to the very last, one, single,
>citizen...
>
>It is quite obvious this gov't has much bigger plans...

Well considering our history and standing as the world's richest and
most successful country, I'd say that our government has had our best
interests at heart in most cases.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3588. Re: Outsourcing and the economy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 11:57:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <c2db91l21r9ni2bv2vg4mmg8hul1honknb@4ax.com>
Source record: 200608

On Wed, 25 May 2005 20:26:51 GMT, james <george@washington.edu> wrote:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 08:12:20 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Outsourcing is inevitable until the standard of living in the rest of
>>the world equalizes with our own.
>>
>>It's not good news for us, but it's an unfortunate reality.
>******
>
>You will sing a different tune when your $60K per yr job goes to China
>and your planned retirement of $120K+ dwindles down to $36K per yr.

Why would I sing a different tune? The reality is the same whether I'm directly affected by it or not. I never said it was a good thing for American workers, but it is an understandable trend considering the economic dynamics of the world market.

>Think who benifits from outsourcing?

Long term or short?

>
>Corporations. Why?
>
>Consumers demand lower prices and Corparations are doing their best to
>give the consumers what they want. Sorry but cheap prices can't go on
>for ever. Once the world's cheap labor is exploited, consumer prices
>will rise like a Proton Rocket.

So, here we have a double edged sword. We live in a world economy, with companies from all over the world competing for market share. So, what's a U.S. based corporation to do? Should it:

A. Keep its U.S. work force in order to altruistically keep the American work force employed?

B. Outsource to a foreign country where labor and overhead is much cheaper?

Considering that other countries have no objection to using cheap foreign labor, and producing products cheaper, the U.S. company is now at a competitive disadvantage with those products which they are in direct competition from foreign companies.

Tell me, would you pay 50 - 100% more for a TV or some other product just to keep the U.S. company here? Considering that the government is squeezing more and more money out of us in the form of taxes, and the costs of things like fuel are skyrocketing, we look for the best bargains in everything we buy.

And that doesn't cover the foreign market. Would a European pay more for a U.S. made product over a foreign made product?

What ultimately happens to a U.S. corporation who loses a competitive edge?

>What happens when there are no more cheap labor countries like China?
>Can you spell double digit inflation??? How about 20% per yr for about
>ten yrs. Maybe even longer or higher inflation rates.

Yes, inflation is a very real fear. But when the standard of living equalizes, then there will be no further incentive to manufacture overseas. Then factors such as shipping costs will make domestic manufacturing attractive again for the U.S. market. Inflation may also be mitigated by market pressures. If people cannot afford to buy as much, demand goes down. When demand goes down, so does the price. That's free market 101.

>Yes as the world's standard of living increases, so will inflation. I
>forsee 10 to 20% annual inflation rates somewhere in the 2030 to 2040
>time frame. By then the world will dream of 4% inflation rates.

>Out sourcing is eventually going to drag the US' standard of living
>down to the rest of the world's as their's rises towards.

That's what I meant when I said equalize the world's standard of living. Not only will the 3rd world catch up, but we will fall somewhat. That is the price we pay for living in a world market. 50 years ago, when most of our goods were made here, we controlled the market. Now we're just one of many players.

> You can't get something for nothing.

You don't know just how much truth there is in that statement.

> In time the US will suffer. Prepare for
>China owning more an dmore of teh US debt and consequently the US'
>economy .

Ok, We pretty much agree that the road ahead will be a bit bumpy. So what do we do about it? Can we do anything about it?

3589. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 13:09:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tqeb91trvnt5ujdvte0j9gi6qnngtro7fs@4ax.com>
Source record: 200610

On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:29:24 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Education. One that eludes you. I find it amusing you are always
>astonished at exactly "how" and "why" people know things you do not.
>This can partially be attributed to your narcism and refusal to accept
>anyone knows more than you.
>
>>And for the record, I never denied global
>>warming,
>
>
>You did.

Not. Post any quote of mine where I said any such thing. You really do
have a reading comprehension problem.

>>just questioned the amount of effect that
>>humanity has truly had on it.

>Yes, after you initially denied it.

I never denied it.

>>The evidence is still inconclusive on this point,
>>as I have provided in the links.
>
>
>
>No, the evidence is most certainly conclusive, as my links were dated of
>last week.

Which is meaningless, as new evidence is always being obtained. There
has been no definitive decision made with regard to man's affect on
global warming, as there are too many unexplained variable. The
antarctic ice pack increasing as the arctic ice pack melts is but one
example.

> Once again, because you are unable to grasp the methods in
>which concentrations of certain gases can ascertain and pinpoint with
>extreme accuracy what is manmade and what is naturally occurring and
>released into the atmosphere, does not make it any less so.

Gas is gas, there is no way to determine where it all came from once
it is all mixed into a large swirl.

>>Ah, so you've decided to print the information
>>without my permission eh? I knew you couldn't
>>resist the urge.

>I don't need your permission to ask what is in the public domain.

>>The why did you ask in the first place?

>I asked for your explicit and implied permission to post related
>information. Do I have it?

Why ask, you claimed to not need permission. Why do you insist in
talking in circles?

>>BTW, you need to either upgrade or trash your
>>"Spy" software (Or ask for a refund of that
>>$9.95).
>
>
>Keep guessing all that you will never know.

As you seem to, like my wife's name.

_
>Yet, you brokke FCC law by not providing it to the FCC.
>
>>Are you retarded, or can you simply not read?
>>You are mistaken about my current address.
>
>
>When you take to lying about your wife and everything else you have lied
>about in the past, nothig you can say can ever regain a reputation for
>credibility. You destroyed any you had long ago.

What you think is irrelevant. Anyone else would clearly see that my
old address matches the 1993 QRZ database, and could easily determine
that I changed my address when I moved as required. But you are trying
to insist that my old address is my current address and accuse me of
not changing it (back) in the FCC database. You may have some skills
at cyber stalking but you clearly cannot comprehend what you find.

>>.Your "Cyber detective" software is out of date.
>
>I have no software,,,besides,,webtv doesn't use software. Off you go,
>now,,,

No, it's web-based, for a fee.

>>My current address IS the one on my FCC
>>license. The one you have is the OLD one.
>>Stony creek road was were I was born and
>>raised and spent most of my CB career. I
>>.moved from there in 1999.

>>You can verify this by going on QRZ and
>>loading the 1993 version of the callbook, and
>>then look at what address my call is listed
>>with.

>I accept (once again) your apology. No one claimed the Stony Creek was
>your curretn address, Davie.

That exactly what you claimed when you accused me of having an
incorrect address on my FCC license. Backpedal all that you want, but
I hope the crow tastes good.

> I now have you in such a freakin' tizzy, you are
>denying your own wife's name when it has been confirmed and you are
>scrambling to explain awwy everything I posted.
>
>>How has it been confirmed?
>
>
>Ahhh,,,,,I prefer to remain content in wacthing you self-tighten that
>noose. The squirming you share with us is good for a bit longer.

I other words, you're lying (again).

>> Because YOU think it is? I am telling you, you
>>are dead wrong about my wife's name.
>
>
>
>I know exactly what you say, but the fact is her exact name appeared on
>the change of address card submitted to the Post Office with the same
>address shared by you,,there. That's another small bit of information
>you were ignornat of..when one places a change of address card wioth the
>Post Office, if you fail to check the little box at the bottom that
>tells of your privacy, they SELL the information to listbrokers. Now,
>tell us how the Post Office gt it wrong, Dave...LMFAO!

No, your cyber spy site got it wrong. They've mixed up people with a
common last name. It wouldn't be the first time.

>_
>In fact, she
>used to reside on Gravers Road, but you go on denying she is your wife
>because of the shame you rained down upon yourself.

Well, unless you know her maiden name, you can't trace her roots
before we were married, and I never lived on "Graver's road", like I
said, I never even heard of it.

>>I never even heard of Gravers road.
>
>Really? You grew up near there and never heard of it? Need the exact
>address on Gravers Road and then you can use the mapblast, eh?
>Ok,,she was born in 1963 and lived at 1819 Gravers Road in Norristown.

Oh, this is just too easy.....

http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?searchtype=address&country=US&addtohistory=&searchtab
=home&address=1819+Gravers+Road&city=Norristown&state=PA&zipcode=

There is no such address in the mapquest database, as the link shows.
Once again, you're wrong, and I proved it.

>> (We must be up to a
>>dozen things you've been wrong about now).
>
>
>Cripes...this talk from you sounds just like it did when it was shown
>you lied about having a Phelps Stationmaster antenna.

How was that shown? You have nothing but your own misguided opinion.

>>This is what happens when you play with
>>cyperspy wannabe software for $9.95.
>
>
>Does that type software give that information? How about birthdates and
>applications for marriage on file with the state,,,including addresses?

Sure, for a fee. I find it funny that you spent money to try find out
my personal information. Most of which was either outdated or just
plain wrong. Yet you hypocritically accuse ME of seeking your personal
information. I have not posted one bit of information about you. Quite
frankly, I don't really care. You are just a newsgroup distraction,
the Jar-Jar Binks of rec.radio.cb.

_
>It's not what I think, it's what more and more
>regs are conveying to you on a regualr basis.
>
>>Name them.
>
>
>Well, sure,,,Frank taugh you better regarding radio technical
>competency,

Frank has some issues as well. He failed to recognize common industry
terms, and discredited my explanations of common electronic circuits
because they didn't fit within his own narrow "education".

> you called him names and took issue with his career.

I was he who first started to degrade my education and career. I only
kept the same level of civility.

>Shark taught you better regarding your own state's driving laws,

Shark basically helped me prove my point that you are basically guilty
until you prove your innocence in traffic court. He thought perhaps,
that he was countering what he thought was my contention that you

couldn't beat a traffic ticket. But by illustrating the effort that he went through to beat his traffic ticket, he proved my point that you can win, but you have to prove your innocence.

He also tried to counter that the law in my state is that in most cases, the cops have to give you at least 5 MPH tolerance before citing you. When I provided the exact statute that spelled this out, his argument then became that "a cop can write anything he wants", which, when placed against the context of his prowess at fighting tickets, should have clued him in to the fruitless nature of writing a ticket that would immediately get kicked out when someone slaps a copy of pa statute 3368 into evidence.

> and he was
>attacked by "Geo" all of a sudden with homo remarks,,BTW, where is "Geo"
>these days? :)

I wouldn't know. But I thought "George" was now actually "Chris". Besides, he's busy yanking Steveo's chain. And you can thank Frank for digging up the transsexual stuff that gets thrown at Shark.

>Our British friend across the pond taught you about cb radios that come
>type accepted with what are legal roger beeps, but you denied that as
>well, screamed and begged for proof, was given it, and humbled.

Yea well, first off, it was Bert Craig who set me straight. And considering that I've been here posting for close to 10 years now, I'm bound to get a few things wrong. No one is perfect. If the best you can come up with is 2 mistakes that I made in 10 years worth of posting, I'd say that's a pretty good percentage.

> Jim
>tried talking to you about foreign news sources, and you called him
>naive.

If someone truly thinks that a foreign news service is any less likely to be affected by political bias, then they are naive.

> No Davie,,as is always the pattern, you blame everyone else when
>the problem is yourself.

That's why you spent money to find out my information. You are fixated and obsessed with me.

_
>Google hypocrite and your name, and you will find those who taught you
>better.

You mean those hypocrites who hypocritically call other people hypocrites?

>>.Nice dodge.
>
>> But I drive a Ford.

>
>
>A blue one whose license plates do not match the address given to the
>FCC as provided by law.

No, actually the color is teal, but it shows up more blue in pictures.
Pictures that anyone can freely see on my web site. But there are no
license plates showing on my truck, so you're lying again.

Tell you what, since you can't figure out a simple problem of
determining which of my two addresses is my correct one, why don't you
call the FCC and complain. I'm sure they will get right to the bottom
of the issue.

>>and contrary to your wild imagination, you do
>>not represent the majority.
>
>Contrary to your claims that have been corrected by the majority of the
>regs, it is yourself that is of the most radical, hypocritical, and of a
>minority position that is usually incorrect.

Three people do not a majority make. And you don't count since your
mental faculties are out of sync with reality. So that leaves 2 1/2.

>> Other that you, Frank, and
>
>>occasionally Landshark, who actually even
>>gives enough of a crap about these jabs that
>>we exchange, to even chime in?
>
>You are again under the mistaken and erroneous belief one must "chime
>in" to all exchanges in order to express they care?
>
>>Well gee, how then are we supposed to know
>>that they disagree?
>
>
>Care is not a "simile" for "disagree". When you figure that out, you may
>ask such questions.

Your word games and semantic shuffle will not allow you to wiggle out
of that so easily. If one does not post their opinions, how do we know
what they think on any topic?

>>Did you buy Frank's crystal ball?

>Dude, you are so far out, you can never regain composure.
>
>>I'm not the one who's suggesting that I can
>>read minds in order to glean the opinions of
>>people who do not post their opinions here.
>
>You invoked your schooling of your own free will. This is where your

>piss poor communication skill comes in to play. When one enters a topic
>in to a conversation, be prepared to substantiate it.
>
>>.Just like you gave us the names and
>>addresses of all of your publishing gigs when
>>you once claimed to be a "professional
>>journalist"?
>
>
>
>Exactly. I provided where I went to school and for who I was employed.

Yes, and I could claim to be George W. Bush. Doesn't make it the truth
though. You are too paranoid to provide verifiable information. I
truly believe that if someone ever "outs" you and posts your name
address and other personal info, that you'd self destruct.

>That horrible piss poor memory you have is partially responsible for
>your communication deficits.

Sorry, but unlike you, who life's mission seems to be a fixation on
every post I've ever made (Many of which you still got wrong), I don't
remember the content of 3 year old posts. You are just not that
important.

>>Your personal
>>obsessive mania concerning my personal life

>Yet it is you who is obsessively begging for personal info about me.

Who's begging? I simply illustrate your hypocrisy when you demand
verifiable personal information, but refuse to give any of yourself.

>
>
>>C'mon, you can do better.
>
>
>When you take to providing a contingent of explanations, it reiterates
>my perfect aim and accuracy.

My explanations only serve to illustrate, to the casual observer,
just how off the wall you are. Most other people do not share your
comprehension disability.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3590. Re: Download a whole website to your computer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 13:32:17 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <7ckle.15572$bD5.3919@fe07.lga>
Source record: 200611

Or, save yourself the download and hassle, and just do it via your "favorites" menu, if you are using Internet Explorer...

- Add the site to your favorites, choose to "make this page available offline".
- Choose how many pages deep you want it to go, and even choose how much HD space you want to limit it to, should you want to limit it.
- Select exactly what you want it to download, images, sound and video, ActiveX and Java applets, and select if you want it to only follow links to HTML pages, or to everything...

Much less hassle...

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:%uKke.20889$x25.16092287@news.sisna.com...
> Sometimes, a guy just finds a whole website he would like to examine in
> detail--when offline. Such as downloading it your laptop and taking it on
> vacation with you, on a plane, lunch hour at work, etc...
>
> Here is a link to Httrack, a program which will do that for you... becareful
> about setting it to ignore robot rules and turning it lose on a LARGE
> website... you could be downloading for days...
> http://www.httrack.com
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
> --
> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
> before my eyes--in real time!
> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>
>

3591. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commissionadmitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 14:32:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24113-4295DE06-237@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200615

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
James:
>If you haven't noticed, we ARE right in the

>middle of the right place to find enemies...

We can find "enemies" all over the globe. Iraq was no threat to the US
and they were not connected to 911.

> if you don't think there are tightly knit groups

>of radicals right in Iraq and most of the other

>surrounding countries, think again...

And if you think Iraq had anything to do with 911, think again.

>better to

>fight them there than here...

When do we invade N Korea? Iran? Singapore? Malaysia? China?

> at least the gauntlet has been thrown down

>on foreign soil and the battles and war can

>take place there...

Bush tossed the gauntlet in the wrong country..meanwhile, the real
culprits responsile for 911, like BL, are laughing their collective
asses off at American's like you who believe the chicanery of Bush and
think 911 had anything to do with Iraq.

>life goes on as usual here, children attend

>school, retired people vacation and there are

>NO suicide bombings or terrorist

>attacks--there is enough there to busy their

>hands... let the war stay there...

Right....warmongerers like you are all for it as long as it doesn't
affect you or your family.

>Warmest regards,

>John

People like you like to employ the ostrich syndrome and hope others follow suit. You can deny deny deny, but just because you weren't aware of any attacks on US soil after 911 doesn't mean they did not occur. Bush not failed to prevent these attacks with the raping of our civil liberties, he can't even find the culprits. Hell, he swore up and down on national telivision that BL was his number one priority and he would not rest until he was captured...well, somewhere along the line Bush decided (all by himself) that BL was no longer the priority. In fact, we know BL was responsible for 911, but for some really odd reason, he is no longer THE priority, Bush lied...again, and only to get what he really wanted..Hussein,,,and that was for trying to kill his daddy. Now that Powell's words are coming true to Bush (you will OWN Iraq and their people, and all their problems for years to come), Bush is like a fish out of water....and its people like you that are gasping for air.

3592. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commissionadmitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 14:46:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24113-4295E138-238@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200616

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>George:

>So then, Bush is our enemy--it is our fault

>radical muslims wish to destroy American

>property--and kill Americans.

Why take the blame for something Bush did? Muslims hate us worldwide
because of Bush.

>You want to tie our militaries hands over the

>fact that they didn't have these

>weapons--

You are hallucinating. Our military is for protection from hostile
countries who invade or attack our country or interests. Listen
closely,,,,,Iraq did neither and it was already proven by the 911 report
that Bush was wrong concerning Hussein's intentions and developmental
program...the weapons Bush called WMDS were conventional, not wmds.

>which we got there first and stopped them

>from getting them.

You are the first person to ever claim such bullshit. It was claimed by
your president that he -had- them. Now you are claiming he was
developing them when it was proven beyond a shadow of a doubt, he
wasn't. Read the 911 report.

>Your suggestion it that we should have waited

>until they had them--

???You are hallucinating again. There was no such "suggestion", but go
ahead and destroy yourself with such self-created claims of others. One
more and you go to the dust bin where Mopar already tossed you. Control
yourself or be vanquished.

>then instead of smashing our own planes into

>.those buildings they could have been much

>more successful with those weapons

>(chemical, biological, nuclear.) And then, we

>would have a right to stop them...

>You argument that Iraq and Sadam were not

>Bin Laden is shallow--

And a fact.

>they have the oil money which financed him,

Iraq was not connected in any manner to 911.
In fact, Hussein is Sunni, a different faith than BL and they can't stand each other. Suggesting they colluded is tantamount to more hallucinations, as you nowhere does anything exist connecting the two. In fact, the 911 report claims the opposite, the opposite of all the bullshit you try to ply. Why is it so difficult for people like you to believe your leaders when they admit their mistakes?

>they hold the same radical ideas--

LMAO,,,,you have no clue what the difference between their religious positions entail.
Again, Hussein is Sunni.

>this is enough for them to die... I am not

>pleased with Saudi Arabia...

The country Bush coddles,,,

>I am one would support our LARGEST nuclear
>bomb dropped square in the middle of Iran--if

>they even threaten to be a threat to us...

Why not? Iraq was certainly no threat, but you claim based only on the Bush word concerning their intentions (which, was proven by the 911 report that Bush was wrong in trying to guess what they were) was good enough for war.

> and if it would only save one innocent

>American life...

Like you care about any lives in the war. YOu alrady said you are all for the war, but you curiously added a disclaimer akin to as long as the war is over there, you are for it. Here's a radical thought,,,save all the American lives in Iraq instead of just one, and bring the boys in Iraq home.

>Warmest regards,

>John

Backatacha,,,,but denying attacks post 911 on American soil is the beginning of your disappearance in this group. You've just never managed to stay in the loop,,,on anything.

3593. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 14:48:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24116-4295E1A7-3@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200617

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>George:

>Glad you enjoy, just don't agree with your take
>on the matter... have at

>it

>boy!

>Warmest regards,

>John

_
Only because you didn't understand the original poster's comments and
what type mic he was referring. You seem to have got past that now, so
you may be alright,,this time.

3594. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commissionadmitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 14:51:46 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24113-4295E282-240@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200619

ass.wizard wrote:

>So then, Bush is our enemy-

When a president is sworn in, he swears to uphold and protect the Constitution. As soon as he was sworn in, he launched an attack on parts of it. Nowhere in the oath does it say "And swear to uphold the Constitution EXCEPT in matters of.....(insert republican lunacy here)". Yes, Bush is the enemy of the people of the United States. Yesterday's poll showed 61% of the American people now believe Bush does NOT have the best interests of the country at heart..but I find solace in those stats. People need a wakeup a call in addition to the government they deserve.

3595. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits fai...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 15:12:23 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24113-4295E757-246@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200622

David T. Hall Jr. wrote:
>No, Hitler (Bush) basically told them that Germans (American
Christians) were

>superior, gave them someone else to

>blame (terrorists)

>(deflection) for their problems, and promised

>to "fix" it. When you tell people what they want
>to hear, it's not hard to gain their support.

You not only bought this bullshit lock, stock and barrel, you inhaled it
faster than Bush did cocaine at Yale.
-
(The rate Congres s here is going in ten yrs we all will have to have
papers to travel around in the US.)

>Surely you have to realize just how

>exaggeratedly absurd that is.

Surely you don't realize how clueless you are. If you kept up to date on
your own parties activity, you will find the proposal of a national ID
card is not only very real, but a probability,,,all in the name of
protection.

>Besides, we already have "papers". It's called

>a driver's license.

He said "national".,,all across America, not issued by the state, but
issued by the feds.

(Members in Congress want even more
rigid Patriot Act enactment. I love that, they want the masses to give
up civl liberties and make them feel it is patriotic to do so! Even call
the law the "Patriot Act".)

>Well, here's the deal. If we have total freedom

>and civil liberties, it becomes next to

>impossible to effectively protect us against

>outside infiltrators.

Exactly. And this country has always operated that way. Freedon does not come without its price.

>So you have to make a choice.

The choice has already been made. Bush seeks to change it.

>Either certain freedoms need to be modified or

>.curtailed in order to make our borders more

>secure,

> make living and travel throughout our

>country more difficult for non-citizens, and

>obtaining forged documents by hostiles much

>tougher, or we have to learn to accept that the
>.price of our open freedom might likely be a

>large scale terrorist attack.

In the first place, that you attempt but fail to make a lucid connection between cracking down on "terrorists" and curbing our rights is a highly laughable offense. People like you actually believe this shit.

>You cannot realistically expect to have both

>total freedom and total protection.

Correct. This country chose total freedom. Bush is trying to do away with it.

>If you do not want the government taking

>steps to protect us from terrorists,

The steps have proved fruitless. We lost rigts and attacks were still not prevented,

>have no right to complain when they attack.

Keeping with that incompetent mindset, if you are not serving in the war, or have no family there, or have never served, you have no right to complain about those who do and say the war in Iraq is wrong. Ludicrous.

> As long as they use our own laws against us,

>we remain vulnerable.

Open border policy and the freedom we enjoy has always made us vulnerable. That's the price we pay for the freedom we enjoy, it's a tradeoff risk we take.

>Most people are willing to give up some

>freedoms in order to gain better security.

Dead wrong. Most people still believe in our founding forefathers statements and still apply them today. Franklin said "Those who would sacrifice personal rights in order to obtain temporary security, deserve neither"

>But that does not mean that we are "becoming

>.a fascist state". As long as we can continue to

>elect our representatives, that will not happen.

>GW Bush will not be the president 4 years

>from now, and there will be a new leader for

>us to blame for all the trouble we're having.

And since you know it's going to be a democrat, you are already speaking of such blame 3 years away, but still suffer gastronomic pain when the Bush failures are illustrated.

(IF Americans don't wake up to the big picture it will be to late. In fact so many things are no win place that it may now be to late. One more 9/11 event and that may spell the end of most of our civil liberties.)

>I'd rather lose some civil liberties than worry

>that my family could be wiped from the planet

>.in one fell swoop.

As Franklin said, you deserve neither.

>Besides, some people take advantage of

>certain civil liberties in order to engage in

>activities that are either illegal or immoral.

(snip)

Have at it, David. You're certified.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

3596. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits fai...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 15:15:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24115-4295E82F-15@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200621

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>Well considering our history and standing as

>the world's richest and most successful

>country, I'd say that our government has had

>our best interests at heart in most cases.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

61% of the country disagrees with you and believes Bush does not have
the best interests of the country at heart, as of yesterday. Need a link
to see the poll, Dave, or can you find it yourself? Ah,I'll tell ya'
what, you tell me you can't find the link or info, I'll laugh at you,
you can say it doesn't exist, then everyone will laugh at you.

3597. FS: CB mic Phone style

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 15:16:17 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <1117120577.687274.85180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200620

only $10.00US plus shipping from Canada.

Homer

3598. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 16:06:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24114-4295F3F6-66@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200624

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 25 May 2005 12:29:24 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Education. One that eludes you. I find it amusing you are always
astonished at exactly "how" and "why" people know things you do not.
This can partially be attributed to your narcism and refusal to accept
anyone knows more than you.

>And for the record, I never denied global

>warming,

You did.

>Not. Post any quote of mine where I said any

>such thing. You really do have a reading

>comprehension problem.

>just questioned the amount of effect that

>humanity has truly had on it.

Yes, after you initially denied it.

>I never denied it.

>The evidence is still inconclusive on this point,
>as I have provided in the links.

No, the evidence is most certainly conclusive, as my links were dated of
last week.

>Which is meaningless, as new evidence is

>always being obtained.

So how do yours relate as valid? My links were dated later than yours,
since you believe that.

>There has been no definitive decision made

>with regard to man's affect on global warming,

>as there are too many unexplained variable.

The antarctic ice pack increasing as the arctic ice pack melts is but
one example.

Once again, because you are unable to
grasp the methods in which concentrations of certain gases can ascertain
and pinpoint with extreme accuracy what is manmade and what is naturally
occurring and released into the atmosphere, does not make it any less
so.

>Gas is gas, there is no way to determine

>where it all came from once it is all mixed into

>a large swirl.

There is David,,, the concentrations of the gases are precisely THE
manner in which such is accompished.

>Ah, so you've decided to print the information

>without my permission eh? I knew you couldn't
>resist the urge.

I don't need your permission to ask what is in the public domain.

>The why did you ask in the first place?

I asked for your explicit and implied permission to post related
information. Do I have it?

>Why ask, you claimed to not need permission.
For what is public domain, I don't.

>Why do you insist in talking in circles?

It just seems that way to you because you are ASSuming again, assuming
that everything is in the public domain, it's not. An example is below.

>BTW, you need to either upgrade or trash your
>"Spy" software (Or ask for a refund of that

>$9.95).

Keep guessing all that you will never know.

>As you seem to, like my wife's name.

Dead on with it.

_
Yet, you brokke FCC law by not providing it to the FCC.

>Are you retarded, or can you simply not read?

>You are mistaken about my current address.

When you take to lying about your wife and everything else you have lied
about in the past, nothing you can say can ever regain a reputation for
credibility. You destroyed any you had long ago.

>What you think is irrelevant. Anyone else

>would clearly see that my old address

>matches the 1993 QRZ database, and could

>easily determine that I changed my address

>when I moved as required. But you are trying

>to insist that my old address is my current

>address

I said nothing of the sort. Pay attention.
The address you reside is not the address you supplied the FCC as your primary residence.

>and accuse me of not changing it (back) in the
>FCC database.

Said nothing of the sort.

>You may have some skills at

>cyber stalking

Stalking? My goodness Dave, you always feel victimized.

> but you clearly cannot comprehend what you

>find.

>.Your "Cyber detective" software is out of date.
I have no software,,,besides,,webtv doesn't use software. Off you go, now,,,

>No, it's web-based, for a fee.

Well, I can tell you this much, I pay for nothing except my internet access. I told you once before, those services are for suckers like you who are wrapped up in other people's worlds but are too stupid to manage the info on their own.

>My current address IS the one on my FCC

>license. The one you have is the OLD one.

>Stony creek road was were I was born and

>raised and spent most of my CB career. I

>.moved from there in 1999.

>You can verify this by going on QRZ and

>loading the 1993 version of the callbook, and

>then look at what address my call is listed

>with.

I accept (once again) your apology. No one claimed the Stony Creek was your current address, Davie.

>That exactly what you claimed when you

>accused me of having an incorrect address on

>my FCC license.

It is incorrect. But that has nothing to do with the Stony Creek address..that was YOUR inference to take the heat off yourself.

>Backpedal all that you want, but I hope the

>crow tastes good.

I now have you in such a freakin' tizzy, you are denying your own wife's name when it has been confirmed and you are scrambling to explain awwy everything I posted.

>How has it been confirmed?

Ahhh,,,,,I prefer to remain content in wacthing you self-tighten that noose. The squirming you share with us is good for a bit longer.

In other words, you're lying (again).

> Because YOU think it is? I am telling you, you

>are dead wrong about my wife's name.

I know exactly what you say, but the fact is her exact name appeared on the change of address card submitted to the Post Office with the same address shared by you,,there. That's another small bit of information you were ignorant of..when one places a change of address card wioth the Post Office, if you fail to check the little box at the bottom that tells of your privacy, they SELL the information to listbrokers. Now, tell us how the Post Office gt it wrong, Dave...LMFAO!

>No, your cyber spy site got it wrong.

I have no cyber spy, Dave, but you -need- me to have one in order to shore up your excuse.

>They've mixed up people with a common last

>name. It wouldn't be the first time.

But it would be a miracle, because such exists only in the empty space between your ars.

_
In fact, she
used to reside on Gravers Road, but you go on denying she is your wife because of the shame you rained down upon yourself.

>Well, unless you know her maiden name, you

>can't trace her roots before we were married,

>and I never lived on "Graver's road", like I

>said, I never even heard of it.

>I never even heard of Gravers road.

Really? You grew up near there and never heard of it? Need the exact address on Gravers Road and then you can use the mapblast, eh? Ok,,she was born in 1963 and lived at 1819 Gravers Road in Norristown.

>.Oh, this is just too easy.....

http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?searchtype=address&country=US&addtohistory=&searchtab=home&address=1819+Gravers+Road&city=Norristown&state=PA&zipcode=

>There is no such address in the mapquest

>database, as the link shows. Once again,

>you're wrong, and I proved it.

You proved nothing. Go to google maps and try it again.

>(We must be up to a

>dozen things you've been wrong about now).

Cripes...this talk from you sounds just like it did when it was shown you lied about having a Phelps Stationmaster antenna.

>How was that shown? You have nothing but

>your own misguided opinion.

And the memory of every other radio freak that reads these pages. When one has ever owned a specific base antenna, no matter how many years ago, it can always be recalled. If one owned a moonraker in their day, one would recall it. Hell, even the antenna gurus on these pages that owned hundreds, perhaps thousands of antennas over their lifetime, would recall a specific antenna, at least the brand..yet, when you were questioned only a year or two after you made a comment about owning one, you had no clue what I meant when I asked about your "Phelps Stationmaster". In fact, you responded with "What Phelps stationmaster? The statistical probablility factor you love to employ works good here, as does your often invoked "majority rule" clause. The majority would remember their antenna, likewise, the majority would believe, corrrectly, that one who claimed they owned a certain antenna yet could not recall it when asked a few short years after their original claim, is a liar.

>This is what happens when you play with

>cyperspy wannabe software for $9.95.

Does that type software give that information? How about birthdates and applications for marriage on file with the state,,,including addresses?

>Sure, for a fee. I find it funny that you spent

>money to try find out my personal information.

Of course you do, as you need such a scenario in order to soothe yourself. You're way beyond your element, realize it, and this is your familiar mechanism of defense to stop your psyche from further cracking: conjured explanations for all you can not explain and do not know.

>Most of which was either outdated or just plain
>wrong. Yet you hypocritically accuse ME of

>seeking your personal information. I have not

>posted one bit of information about you.

Because you are incompetent and unable to do so, even though you have begged me for it for years, made pages of posts concerning your feelings of such.

>Quite frankly, I don't really care.

Exactly. Which is why you said you could find whatever you wanted, I called your bluff, and you made water.

> You are just a newsgroup distraction, the

>Jar-Jar Binks of rec.radio.cb.

Whose posts not even directed to you,so affected you, you were reduced to threats.

_
It's not what I think, it's what more and more regs are conveying to you on a regualr basis.

>Name them.

Well, sure,,,Frank taugh you better regarding radio technical competency,

>Frank has some issues as well.

Tut-tut, mah boy, you asked, now listen up.

>Shark taught you better regarding your own

>state's driving laws,

>Shark basically helped me prove my point that
>you are basically guilty until you prove your

>innocence in traffic court.

Your incorrect point was that one could not get a ticket for going less
than 5 MPH over the speed limit in Pa....shark proved you wrong with one
post and a single example of an exception proving you wrong.

BTW, where is "Geo" these days? :)

>I wouldn't know.

I think you do, but hey, what I think means nothing. :)

>But I thought "George" was now actually

>"Chris".

That was never my claim.

> Besides, he's busy yanking Steveo's chain.

Your slip is showing,,,,again.

>And you can thank Frank for digging up the

>transsexual stuff that gets thrown at Shark.

So if someone gets on your mother, wife, or kid, it's your fault because
you brought them here.

–
Our British friend across the pond taught you
about cb radios that come type accepted with what are legal roger beeps,
but you denied that as well, screamed and begged for proof, was given
it, and humbled.

>Yea well, first off, it was Bert Craig who set me

>straight.

No,,Bert simply offered you an example and confirmed what everyone was
telling you from jump regrading roger beeps.
Many people set you straight.

–
Jim
tried talking to you about foreign news sources, and you called him
naive.

>If someone truly thinks that a foreign news

>service is any less likely to be affected by

>political bias, then they are naive.

That was never Jim's claim, and you trying to deliberately misattribute
things to others when you get your foot stuck in your mouth is getting
old. You're lucky I still take you out and play with you. As you see,
most ignore you except for your sock.
 No Davie,,as is always the pattern, you blame everyone else when the
problem is yourself.

>That's why you spent money to find out my

>information.

Your need to believe your conjured hallucinations is secondary only to your manias.

> You are fixated and obsessed with me.

I am simply much more talented than yourself in giving back what is received....that's just the way it is. Some things will never change.

_
Google hypocrite and your name, and you will find those who taught you better.

>.You mean those hypocrites who hypocritically
>call other people hypocrites?

Now you're on to something,,,google sandbagger and "you mean" and you will see exaactly what I "mean". It will show you have on bitch of a comprehension problem going back way before I ever came along.

>Nice dodge But I drive a Ford.

A blue one whose license plates do not match the address given to the FCC as provided by law.

>.No, actually the color is teal, but it shows up

>more blue in pictures. Pictures that anyone

>can freely see on my web site. But there are

>no

>license plates showing on my truck, so you're

>lying again.

Tell ya' what...since you claim the plates aren;t visible, do I have your permission to post the plate, since, you know, you claim it isn't visible. You know what,,,I'm going to post my little paparazzi pic on my website, then others can go there and see if your plates weren't visible. Lying clown.

>Tell you what, since you can't figure out a

>simple problem of determining which of my

>two addresses is my correct one, why don't

>you call the FCC and complain. I'm sure they

>will get right to the bottom of the issue.

I've contacted the FCC on many occasion. In fact, I'm a regular, but I couldn't care less about your law breaking.

>and contrary to your wild imagination, you do

>not represent the majority.

Contrary to your claims that have been corrected by the majority of the regs, it is yourself that is of the most radical, hypocritical, and of a minority position that is usually incorrect.

>.Three people do not a majority make. And

>you

Three plus me, plus moped that already told you of hypocrisy,,,four regs out of what,,you, Lelnad, and Dogie? Yea,,I'd say that's a majority of regs.

>Other that you, Frank, and

>occasionally Landshark, who actually even

>gives enough of a crap about these jabs that

>we exchange, to even chime in?

You are again under the mistaken and erroneous belief one must "chime in" to all exchanges in order to express they care?

>.Well gee, how then are we supposed to know

>.that they disagree?

Care is not a "simile" for "disagree". When you figure that out, you may ask such questions.

>Your word games and semantic shuffle will not
>allow you to wiggle out of that so easily. If one

>does not post their opinions, how do we know

>.what they think on any topic?

You are the only one who expressed that others have to "chime in" in order to express they somehow care. The fact that they are reading.....hell, many are sandbaggers. I told you before, you have no clue how many sandbaggers there are. I know for a fact the FCC was reading this group a few year ago, adn I also know for a fact Riley used to check the group, but he's so washed up and up to his ears with bureaucratic bullshit, he no longer has time for Oxendine.

>Did you buy Frank's crystal ball?

Dude, you are so far out, you can never regain composure.

>I'm not the one who's suggesting that I can

>read minds in order to glean the opinions of

>people who do not post their opinions here.

You invoked your schooling of your own free will. This is where your
piss poor communication skill comes in to play. When one enters a topic
in to a conversation, be prepared to substantiate it.

>..Just like you gave us the names and

>addresses of all of your publishing gigs when

>you once claimed to be a "professional

>journalist"?

Exactly. I provided where I went to school and
for who I was employed.

>Yes, and I could claim to be George W. Bush.

>Doesn't make it the truth though.

It's folly how you make a false claim, are shown you are full of shit,
then proceed to make excuses or attack the other's claim.

>You are too paranoid to provide verifiable

>.information.

You said you didn't care.

>I truly believe that if someone ever "outs" you

>and posts your name address and other

>.personal info, that you'd self destruct.

Already been done, you're just too stupid to realize it.

3599. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 16:08:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <twmle.2241$8g.866@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 200623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:_w9le.89$7v6.2659479@news.sisna.com...
> Dee:
>
> You suggest that to them... they will take it as personal slur on their
> intelligence... if they don't have the top licence--they don't want
any--it
> is akin to getting an "A" in the class--you would never get the
> under-achievers to even bother--they'll just fire up IRC or P2P phone and
> chat to Australia all night long... or, whip out the cell phone their
> company internship is furnishing... <grin>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>

Hello, John

Are you suggesting just giving away the license? Heck, I learned grade 1.5 Braille in under two weeks (and I can see). I decided I wanted to as a friend is blind and he provided me a Braille slate (that was many decades ago). It was not a big deal; in fact my friend then got on my case asking if I could learn Braille in two weeks, what was the big deal with the code. The reality was that I was lazy. One week later, I was copying 18 words per minute. A few years later, I put 40 words per minute, perfect copy, on paper. It is all a matter of what you want.

As to the cell phone, heck - I can talk to someone anywhere anytime using a land-line telephone. Or my HT (yes, even Australia, thanks to the 10 meter repeater). Of course, amateur radio is not designed to replace the telephone.

I just had some good information from someone via the Internet on fixing a big Hammond X-66 from the 60s. I've repaired a lot of 'em, but this one had me bugged. The guy is in Mexico. The telephone would be of no use as I had to locate someone who knew something about it.

When folks start arguing against amateur radio, they usually bring up a subject that amateur radio is not ideally designed for. Heck, do you want to build a house using only a saw? Perhaps only a hammer? No, you choose the tools you need at the time you need them. In the case of getting help with the Hammond (gawd, I hated the thought of pouring paint thinner down into the scanner - but it worked!), how are you going to locate someone knowledgeable in the subject? Organ repair? No, the organ service guy in this area has referred a few folks with old Hammonds to me. I've always

been able to fix 'em even if he can't. But this time I was stuck. Calling the organ repair guy would have yielded no help as I have more knowledge than he. I did call organ repair service in Chicago as that is all that is left of the original Hammond company (it was sold to Ford and then Suzuki) as the new owners didn't want to handle the old stuff. They didn't know, so the telephone was no longer of any use.

Despite the failure of the telephone, I would not suggest that the telephone has no use.

Amateur radio has far to many facets to try and pinpoint exactly what it is. As far as someone not wanting the code, fine. It will go away, but when is unknown. Had I waited for a codeless tech license, I would have delayed 30 some years waiting for a ticket that didn't require cw.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3600. Here's a good amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 16:24:27 UTC
From: Stomper <st4924@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <%Kmle.1810$2u1.847@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200625

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1

3601. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 16:25:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <bMmle.1274$Gs3.735@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 200626

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:6gl891ljc67a3d3435ng4mlkog8qia2oo7@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2005 00:05:49 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
> >Hello, Dave
> >
> >I've been really laughing over this thread. Yep, the deficit is *huge*
...
> >and when folks wake up, the Democrats will get blamed for "raising
taxes".
>
> If. in fact, they do "raise taxes", it would be their fault. I do not
> let a large deficit bother me. We've had large deficits before, and it
> didn't kill us
>
>
> >We are in deep doo - doo here. Reaganomics didn't work then and it
doesn't
> >work now.
>
> I don't know about that. The end of a recession and the beginning of
> the biggest period of economic growth followed "Reaganomics".
>
>
> >As to the marriage protection act that Bush was for, have we heard
anything
> >else about that, or was it to get a big reaction (along with votes) from
the
> >religious folks?
>
> That's a good question. One answer might be that there are more
> pressing issues right now (Run away filibusters, the war, getting an
> energy bill passed etc.).
>
> >One question begs for an answer: what is the divorce rate in this
country?
>
> According to the stats from:
>
> http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
>
> the percentage of divorced people is 9.6%. For some reason, Frank was
> unable (or unwilling) to read the columns and see the actual numbers,

> but if you believe the census bureau, that's what it is.
>
>
> >Care to help Social Security?
>
> The best way to help it is to remove it, and divert all former SS
> withholdings into individual 401K accounts. Of course that penalizes
> those who have already given into the SS program for their entire
> working lives. So the transition has to be gradual so to be fair to
> everyone.
>
> >I'd suggest that once a couple divorces, they
> >can no longer give nor receive Social Security benefits from another
person
> >(sole exception being to children). I've heard the divorce rate is close
to
> >50%, but I honestly don't know.
>
> 9.6% according to the 2003 census.
>
> >I worked for a manager who was on his third or fourth wife. Hmmmm ....
I'm
> >wondering if a woman (or man, for that matter) ever questions why their
> >intended has been divorced three times.
>
> That would certainly send up a red flag for me. But, like they say,
> love is blind and it's hard to be rational when all of your blood is
> rushing to another organ in your body.
>
> > I knew a guy (he passed away over
> >30 years ago) that was marrying his *fifth* wife! When he passed away,
he
> >was living with a girl friend a good 20 years younger than he.
>
> Then hopefully he died a happy man, although I would question his
> inability to remain faithful, and wonder if there weren't some
> "issues" affecting him.
>
> >A lot of interesting questions come to mind with many of these threads,
but
> >few answers.
>
> That's the nature of debate, especially on subjects where answers are
> elusive and somewhat subjective. There would be no point is debating
> if the earth is round or whether the moon is made of green cheese, as
> we know the answers to those questions.
>
>
> >Nope, I'm not for gay marriage, but I question what is it that drives
these

> >big knee-jerk reactions.
>
> I usually apply Newton's law of action vs. reaction. Someone does
> something extreme and the opposite side responds with a equal and
> opposite reaction. There wouldn't be such an outpouring of opposition
> to gay marriage if there wasn't such a push to legalize it.
>
>
>
> > I fear that once folks wake up and smell the
> >coffee, it will be too late. In fact, it may already be too late. If
other
> >countries stop "lending" us money and allowing our deficit to continue,
we
> >are in for a crash.
>
> That won't happen, because, like it or not, we live in a global
> economy, and if we "crash", we take the rest of the world with us.
>
>
> > 1929 will look like a picnic. Many countries are
> >fearing us. Not only for the "Rambo" style of Bush, but our deficit. If
we
> >crash, it will have a huge effect on the rest of the world as well.
>
> Exactly! I don't doubt for a minute that the pseudo-elitist socialist
> Europeans would pass up any opportunity to put the screws to us
> economically. But even they realize that if they do, they do so at
> their own peril.
>
>
> >Why do you think OPEC doesn't peg their target to the US dollar anymore?
>
> By basing their target price on another currency, they get more U.S.
> dollars if the dollar is weak. OPEC knows that the dollar will rise
> again, and so will the value of their "investment". It's no surprise
> that oil prices have been falling as of late, which is coincident with
> a strengthening dollar.
>
>
> >(hint: the dollar is falling and OPEC wants to make more. I read an
article
> >that Saudi Arabia wants to build an indoor skiing resort (talk about an
air
> >conditioning bill!) as well as an underwater hotel.
>
> Hey, if they have the money, more power to them. Although, that sort
> of materialism smacks against the core values of Wahabbism and radical
> Islam. Maybe we are affecting the middle east more than we thought....
>

> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Hello, Dave

I mispoke. I meant the number of marriages that end up in divorce. Most divorced couples remarry. The "approaching 50%" is the number of first marriages that end up in divorce. Usually, one of the couple already has an intended and only needs the divorce to immediately remarry. By the end of a year or two, both are counted as married, although no longer to each other.

As to the dollar, I agree we have had deficits before; but this thing is huge. I also suspect that they want to change Social Security (and it will have to be changed) to an extent that they don't have to pay back all of those IOUs.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

3602. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 17:08:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <o5vb91l9ld5ck33q4vrbapkdb0ghqvg4bl@4ax.com>
Source record: 200627

On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:08:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 26 May 2005 09:09:44 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <tqeb91trvnt5ujdvte0j9gi6qnngtro7fs@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>No, the evidence is most certainly conclusive, as my links were dated of
>>>last week.
>>
>>Which is meaningless, as new evidence is always being obtained. There
>>has been no definitive decision made with regard to man's affect on
>>global warming, as there are too many unexplained variable. The
>>antarctic ice pack increasing as the arctic ice pack melts is but one
>>example.
>
>
>Which, ironically, provides proof of what you deny. See below.
>
>
>>> Once again, because you are unable to grasp the methods in
>>>which concentrations of certain gases can ascertain and pinpoint with
>>>extreme accuracy what is manmade and what is naturally occurring and
>>>released into the atmosphere, does not make it any less so.
>>
>>Gas is gas, there is no way to determine where it all came from once
>>it is all mixed into a large swirl.
>
>
>Wrong. Ice provides carbon dioxide samples that are available for any
>given year. These samples are measured for C14 concentrations, fossil
>fuels having a much lower concentration of C14 than natural processes.
>The difference is quantified as the percentage of CO2 contributed by
>combustion of fossil fuels. Therefore, the contribution of atmospheric
>CO2 from human sources is very accurately measured.

No they are not. Since CO2 can come from a variety of places including
volcanos, and large forest fires any of which can skew those results.
When the apparent variation in the sun's energy output is taken into
consideration, it becomes very difficult to determine the exact rate
of global warming and how much of it is part of the cyclic climatic
change and how much of it is caused strictly as a result of human
activity.

><snip>
>>>Well, sure,,,Frank taugh you better regarding radio technical
>>>competency,
>>
>>Frank has some issues as well. He failed to recognize common industry
>>terms, and discredited my explanations of common electronic circuits
>>because they didn't fit within his own narrow "education".
>
>
>I recognized the "terms" as being poorly defined slang used by some
>who are without formal education in the field.

I'm sure the senior level engineers who I work with would take
exception to your highly sheltered and quite ignorant claims.

>And your explanations
>don't fit within any educational (or engineering) standards, despite
>your bogus claim to have had some formal education in electronics.

Which only shows just how sheltered your own education and (more
importantly) your real world experience has been.

>
>>> you called him names and took issue with his career.
>>
>>I was he who first started to degrade my education and career. I only
>>kept the same level of civility.
>
>
>You may have matched my level of 'civility' (subject to debate), but
>you didn't even come close to my level of education and experience in
>the field of electronics.

Frank, like my mother once said: Self praise stinks, and boy do you
smell......

> On the contrary, you tried to denounce me
>with nothing but ignorance, generalizations and subjective opinions.

Which is exactly what you did.

>So once again I ask: Where are your facts, Dave?

Where are yours? Oh that's right, they're on that website right next
to the one with all the left wing anti-war propaganda.......

><snip>
>>.... No one is perfect. If the best you
>>can come up with is 2 mistakes that I made in 10 years worth of
>>posting, I'd say that's a pretty good percentage.
>
>
>You may have -admitted- two of the many mistakes you have made in 10
>years. IMO, that's a pretty -poor- percentage.

I'll leave it to you and your obsessed minion Twisty to dig up all of
my mistakes. Until then, your pissing in the wind.

>>> Jim
>>>tried talking to you about foreign news sources, and you called him
>>>naive.
>>
>>If someone truly thinks that a foreign news service is any less likely
>>to be affected by political bias, then they are naive.
>
>
>Yet you claim that domestic news services are heavily biased to the
>left. If that's true then foreign news services are -more- likely to
>be -less- biased, which makes -you- naive.

That statement makes absolutely no logical sense. Where is the logic
that supports your claim that a foreign news service bias is in any
way connected to domestic news services?

Of course your statement, however ignorant and illogical, still did
not address my claim which was that foreign news services are just as
likely to be politically swayed as any in this country. They are not
immune to agenda driven slant. But the exact degree of bias relative
to domestic services is irrelevant. You care to deny that? I suppose
you would find Al-Jazeera to be the bastion of objectivity?

>
>
><snip>
>>>Care is not a "simile" for "disagree". When you figure that out, you may
>>>ask such questions.
>
>
>You need to learn the difference between a 'simile' and a 'metaphor'.
>Didn't you ever watch that Danny DeVito movie where he played Kotter
>to a group of Army dimwits?

I wholeheartedly agree with you. Twisty should learn the difference
between those terms. You did know to whom you were directing your
comments right?

>>Your word games and semantic shuffle will not allow you to wiggle out
>>of that so easily. If one does not post their opinions, how do we know
>>what they think on any topic?
>
>
>Several people have posted opinions that are contrary to your's.

Several? Hardly. Other than you Twisty and sometimes Landshark (Who's
mostly annoyed at the continuing banter), who else has disagreed with
my advice on CB radio? If you want to talk about politics, there are
too few facts to make any definitive choice as to who is "right" or
"wrong".

>Nobody (except one of your sock puppets) has posted -any- opinion that
>supports or defends -your- opinions, even in rec.boats.

I have had many supporting opinions. Heck, in rec.boats, the
conservatives are pretty much even with the liberals. The
conservatives mount far better logical arguments. The liberals there
tend to limit their opinions to blindly regurgitating talking points
and cut and paste articles written by other people. So much for
independent thought.

And I have no sock puppets, your attempt to bolster your own sagging
credibility by trying to discredit mine notwithstanding. You are
becoming as paranoid and narcissistic as Twisty.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3603. Re: Here's a good amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 17:17:24 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117127844.411985.222670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200628

Stomper wrote:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1

AKC does not allow amp autions here in this NG. This one was ratted to
ebay.

3604. Re: AKC RULES THE TRUCKSTOP TOILETS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 17:19:12 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117127952.643021.112210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200629

No, about mopathetic in the indoor stalls.

3605. Re: OT - Ping Steveo - Dream Cruise fee's $25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 17:20:25 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117128025.527897.317440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200630

He will make enough doing an overnight at the Detroiter truckstop to
more than cover that fee.

3606. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 17:24:03 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117128243.257386.282290@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200631

Twathed, you forgot these "eminent" politicians' words....dummy!

"One way or the other, we are determined to deny Iraq the capacity to develop weapons of mass destruction and the missiles to deliver them. That is our bottom line."
- President Clinton, Feb. 4, 1998

"If Saddam rejects peace and we have to use force, our purpose is clear. We want to seriously diminish the threat posed by Iraq's weapons of mass destruction program."
- President Clinton, Feb. 17, 1998

"Iraq is a long way from [the USA], but what happens there matters a great deal here. For the risks that the leaders of a rogue state will use nuclear, chemical or biological weapons against us or our allies is the greatest security threat we face."
- Madeline Albright, Feb 18, 1998

"He will use those weapons of mass destruction again, as he has ten times since 1983."
- Sandy Berger, Clinton National Security Adviser, Feb, 18, 1998

"[W]e urge you, after consulting with Congress, and consistent with the U.S.Constitution and laws, to take necessary actions (including, if appropriate, air and missile strikes on suspect Iraqi sites) to respond effectively to the threat posed by Iraq's refusal to end its weapons of mass destruction programs."
- Letter to President Clinton, signed by Sens. Carl Levin,Tom Daschle, John Kerry, and others Oct. 9, 1998

"Saddam Hussein has been engaged in the development of weapons of mass destruction technology which is a threat to countries in the region and he has made a mockery of the weapons inspection process."
- Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D, CA), Dec. 16, 1998

"Hussein has ... chosen to spend his money on building weapons of mass destruction and palaces for his cronies."
- Madeline Albright, Clinton Secretary of State, Nov. 10, 1999

"There is no doubt that ... Saddam Hussein has invigorated his weapons programs. Reports indicate that biological, chemical and nuclear programs continue apace and may be back to pre-Gulf War status. In addition, Saddam continues to redefine delivery systems and is doubtless using the cover of a licit missile program to develop longer-range missiles that will threaten the United States and our allies."
- Letter to President Bush, Signed by Sen. Bob Graham (D, FL,)and

others, December 5, 2001

"We begin with the common belief that Saddam Hussein is a tyrant and a threat to the peace and stability of the region. He has ignored the mandated of the United Nations and is building weapons of mass destruction and the means of delivering them."
- Sen. Carl Levin (D, MI), Sept. 19, 2002

"We know that he has stored secret supplies of biological and chemical weapons throughout his country."
- Al Gore, Sept. 23, 2002

"Iraq's search for weapons of mass destruction has proven impossible to deter and we should assume that it will continue for as long as Saddam is in power."
- Al Gore, Sept. 23, 2002

"We have known for many years that Saddam Hussein is seeking and developing weapons of mass destruction."
- Sen. Ted Kennedy (D, MA), Sept. 27, 2002

"The last UN weapons inspectors left Iraq in October of 1998. We are confident that Saddam Hussein retains some stockpiles of chemical and biological weapons, and that he has since embarked on a crash course to build up his chemical and biological warfare capabilities. Intelligence reports indicate that he is seeking nuclear weapons..."
- Sen. Robert Byrd (D, WV), Oct. 3, 2002

"I will be voting to give the President of the United States the authority to use force-- if necessary-- to disarm Saddam Hussein because I believe that the deadly arsenal of weapons of mass destruction in his hands is a real and grave threat to our security."
- Sen. John F. Kerry (D, MA), Oct. 9, 2002

"There is unmistakable evidence that Saddam Hussein is working aggressively to develop nuclear weapons and will likely have nuclear weapons within the next five years ... We also should remember we have always underestimated the progress Saddam has made in development of weapons of mass destruction."
- Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D, WV), Oct 10, 2002

"He has systematically violated, over the course of the past 11 years, every significant UN resolution that has demanded that he disarm and destroy his chemical and biological weapons, and any nuclear capacity. This he has refused to do"
- Henry Waxman (D, CA), Oct. 10, 2002

"In the four years since the inspectors left, intelligence reports show that Saddam Hussein has worked to rebuild his chemical and biological weapons stock, his missile delivery capability, and his nuclear program. He has also given aid, comfort, and sanctuary to terrorists, including al Qaeda members .. It is clear, however, that if left unchecked, Saddam Hussein will continue to increase his capacity to

wage biological and chemical warfare, and will keep trying to develop nuclear weapons."
- Sen. Hillary Clinton (D, NY), Oct 10, 2002

"We are in possession of what I think to be compelling evidence that Saddam Hussein has, and has had for a number of years, a developing capacity for the production and storage of weapons of mass destruction."
- Sen. Bob Graham (D, FL), Dec. 8, 2002

"Without question, we need to disarm Saddam Hussein. He is a brutal,murderous dictator, leading an oppressive regime ... He presents a particularly grievous threat because he is so consistently prone to miscalculation ... And now he is miscalculating America's response to his continued deceit and his consistent grasp for weapons of mass destruction ... So the threat of Saddam Hussein with weapons of mass destruction is real ..."
- Sen. John F. Kerry ! (D, MA), Jan. 23. 2003

3607. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 17:32:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050526133222.218$Vg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200632

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> Ahh, come on Steve, if you don't respond to Brett, he will have to
> respond to himself.. (which he does quite often)
>
>He's a kOOk alright.

3608. Re: OT - Ping Steveo - Dream Cruise fee's $25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 17:34:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050526133449.275$3p@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200633

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> Read about the new optional dream cruise fee's?
>
> http://www.detnews.com/2005/autosconsumer/0505/26/A01-194397.htm
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
"win bragging rights"? why don't they raffle something decent if they want
to sell that?

3609. Re: Here's a good amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 17:58:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <S6ole.128$UA7.5788729@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200641

If one is smart enough to call it an "amateur amplifier" don't they let it be sold? Certainly every cb'er in the world is smart enough to know an amateur linear can be used on 11 meters with very little modification... Also, check out pages on russian linears, they have 3.5KW rigs which are very affordable... a friend going/living in canada can possibly grab one for you easily too... there are many ways around any roadblock they can throw up--retired engineers routinely build linears in their garages for "beer money."

Warmest regards,
John
"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117127844.411985.222670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> Stomper wrote:
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1
>
>
> AKC does not allow amp autions here in this NG. This one was ratted to
> ebay.
>

3610. Re: Download a whole website to your computer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:00:01 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <G8ole.129$Ne7.5743721@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200639

... that is NOT the same...

Anyone savvy in the web use will understand why Httrack is necessary...
those who don't think they need it--don't need to know...

Warmest regards,
John

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:7ckle.15572$bD5.3919@fe07.lga...
> Or, save yourself the download and hassle, and just do it via your
> "favorites" menu, if you are using Internet Explorer...
>
> - Add the site to your favorites, choose to "make this page available
> offline".
> - Choose how many pages deep you want it to go, and even choose how much
> HD
> space you want to limit it to, should you want to limit it.
> - Select exactly what you want it to download, images, sound and video,
> ActiveX and Java applets, and select if you want it to only follow links
> to
> HTML pages, or to everything...
>
> Much less hassle...
>
>
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%uKke.20889$x25.16092287@news.sisna.com...
>> Sometimes, a guy just finds a whole website he would like to examine in
>> detail--when offline. Such as downloading it your laptop and taking it
>> on
>> vacation with you, on a plane, lunch hour at work, etc...
>>
>> Here is a link to Httrack, a program which will do that for you...
> becareful
>> about setting it to ignore robot rules and turning it lose on a LARGE
>> website... you could be downloading for days...
>> http://www.httrack.com
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>> --
>> Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
>> before my eyes--in real time!

>> Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?
>>
>>
>
>

3611. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfai...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:03:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Dbole.130$yS5.5484669@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200640

We need a good strong militia here, something on a national level to oversee and watchdog our gov't--and have a basic plan if there ever arises a need to rise up and take control back from our gov't... you would think someone in the right position with enough money would already have something started, anyone know of a good group... nothing radical, just a group which swears to uphold the constitution, but will resort to force if necessary?

Warmest regards,
John
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24113-4295E757-246@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
> David T. Hall Jr. wrote:
>>No, Hitler (Bush) basically told them that Germans (American
> Christians) were
>
>>superior, gave them someone else to
>
>>blame (terrorists)
>
>>(deflection) for their problems, and promised
>
>>to "fix" it. When you tell people what they want
>>to hear, it's not hard to gain their support.
>
>
> You not only bought this bullshit lock, stock and barrel, you inhaled it
> faster than Bush did cocaine at Yale.
> -
> (The rate Congres s here is going in ten yrs we all will have to have
> papers to travel around in the US.)
>
>>Surely you have to realize just how
>
>>exaggeratedly absurd that is.
>
>
> Surely you don't realize how clueless you are. If you kept up to date on
> your own parties activity, you will find the proposal of a national ID
> card is not only very real, but a probability,,,all in the name of
> protection.
>
>>Besides, we already have "papers". It's called
>
>>a driver's license.

>
>
> He said "national".,,all across America, not issued by the state, but
> issued by the feds.
>
> (Members in Congress want even more
> rigid Patriot Act enactment. I love that, they want the masses to give
> up civl liberties and make them feel it is patriotic to do so! Even call
> the law the "Patriot Act".)
>
>>Well, here's the deal. If we have total freedom
>
>>and civil liberties, it becomes next to
>
>>impossible to effectively protect us against
>
>>outside infiltrators.
>
>
>
> Exactly. And this country has always operated that way. Freedon does not
> come without its price.
>
>>So you have to make a choice.
>
>
> The choice has already been made. Bush seeks to change it.
>
>>Either certain freedoms need to be modified or
>
>>.curtailed in order to make our borders more
>
>>secure,
>
>> make living and travel throughout our
>
>>country more difficult for non-citizens, and
>
>>obtaining forged documents by hostiles much
>
>>tougher, or we have to learn to accept that the
>>.price of our open freedom might likely be a
>
>>large scale terrorist attack.
>
>
>
>
> In the first place, that you attempt but fail to make a lucid connection
> between cracking down on "terrorists" and curbing our rights is a highly

> laughable offense. People like you actually believe this shit.
>
>>You cannot realistically expect to have both
>
>>total freedom and total protection.
>
>
>
> Correct. This country chose total freedom. Bush is trying to do away
> with it.
>
>>If you do not want the government taking
>
>>steps to protect us from terrorists,
>
>
> The steps have proved fruitless. We lost rigts and attacks were still
> not prevented,
>
>
>
>>have no right to complain when they attack.
>
>
> Keeping with that incompetent mindset, if you are not serving in the
> war, or have no family there, or have never served, you have no right to
> complain about those who do and say the war in Iraq is wrong. Ludicrous.
>
>
>> As long as they use our own laws against us,
>
>>we remain vulnerable.
>
>
>
> Open border policy and the freedom we enjoy has always made us
> vulnerable. That's the price we pay for the freedom we enjoy, it's a
> tradeoff risk we take.
>
>>Most people are willing to give up some
>
>>freedoms in order to gain better security.
>
>
> Dead wrong. Most people still believe in our founding forefathers
> statements and still apply them today. Franklin said "Those who would
> sacrifice personal rights in order to obtain temporary security, deserve
> neither"
>
>>But that does not mean that we are "becoming

>
>>.a fascist state". As long as we can continue to
>
>>elect our representatives, that will not happen.
>
>>GW Bush will not be the president 4 years
>
>>from now, and there will be a new leader for
>
>>us to blame for all the trouble we're having.
>
>
>
> And since you know it's going to be a democrat, you are already speaking
> of such blame 3 years away, but still suffer gastronomic pain when the
> Bush failures are illustrated.
>
>
>
> (IF Americans don't wake up to the big picture it will be to late. In
> fact so many things are no win place that it may now be to late. One
> more 9/11 event and that may spell the end of most of our civil
> liberties.)
>
>>I'd rather lose some civil liberties than worry
>
>>that my family could be wiped from the planet
>
>>.in one fell swoop.
>
>
> As Franklin said, you deserve neither.
>
>
>>Besides, some people take advantage of
>
>>certain civil liberties in order to engage in
>
>>activities that are either illegal or immoral.
>
>
>
> (snip)
>
> Have at it, David. You're certified.
>
>
>>David T. Hall Jr.
>
>>"Sandbagger"

>
>>N3CVJ
>

3612. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while PresidentialCommissionadmitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:06:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <leole.131$zO6.5659676@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200638

Yes, I very much think groups in Iraq and Saudi Arabia financed terrorism--in the case of the Saudis'--still do--and it "overlooked" by those in control there... if and when we find them as long as someone assures they end up dead I will be quite happy...
If they simply wish to retain different views and are peaceful--leave 'em alone...

Warmest regards,
John
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:24113-4295DE06-237@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
James:
>If you haven't noticed, we ARE right in the

>middle of the right place to find enemies...

We can find "enemies" all over the globe. Iraq was no threat to the US and they were not connected to 911.

> if you don't think there are tightly knit groups

>of radicals right in Iraq and most of the other

>surrounding countries, think again...

And if you think Iraq had anything to do with 911, think again.

>better to

>fight them there than here...

When do we invade N Korea? Iran? Singapore? Malaysia? China?

> at least the gauntlet has been thrown down

>on foreign soil and the battles and war can

>take place there...

Bush tossed the gauntlet in the wrong country..meanwhile, the real culprits responsile for 911, like BL, are laughing their collective asses off at American's like you who believe the chicanery of Bush and think 911 had anything to do with Iraq.

>life goes on as usual here, children attend

>school, retired people vacation and there are

>NO suicide bombings or terrorist

>attacks--there is enough there to busy their

>hands... let the war stay there...

Right....warmongerers like you are all for it as long as it doesn't affect you or your family.

>Warmest regards,

>John

People like you like to employ the ostrich syndrome and hope others follow suit. You can deny deny deny, but just because you weren't aware of any attacks on US soil after 911 doesn't mean they did not occur. Bush not failed to prevent these attacks with the raping of our civil liberties, he can't even find the culprits. Hell, he swore up and down on national telivision that BL was his number one priority and he would not rest until he was captured...well, somewhere along the line Bush decided (all by himself) that BL was no longer the priority. In fact, we know BL was responsible for 911, but for some really odd reason, he is no longer THE priority, Bush lied...again, and only to get what he really wanted..Hussein,,,and that was for trying to kill his daddy. Now that Powell's words are coming true to Bush (you will OWN Iraq and their people, and all their problems for years to come), Bush is like a fish out of water....and its people like you that are gasping for air.

3613. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while PresidentialCommissionadmitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:09:51 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Thole.132$iS6.5671024@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200643

Well, I agree, we need a militia to protect ourselves from such as bush and kerry--do you think the power and money is going to allow anyone into office who is for the common citizen--hell no, graft, corruption and bribes rule this gov't--I think that is quite obvious... they play us for fools--this is not a "two party" system--that is all a farce, little has changed from when clinton signed NAFTA and sold missle secrets to the chinese for bribes...

We are in deep chit here!

John
"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:24113-4295E282-240@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
ass.wizard wrote:

>So then, Bush is our enemy-

When a president is sworn in, he swears to uphold and protect the Constitution. As soon as he was sworn in, he launched an attack on parts of it. Nowhere in the oath does it say "And swear to uphold the Constitution EXCEPT in matters of.....(insert republican lunacy here)". Yes, Bush is the enemy of the people of the United States. Yesterday's poll showed 61% of the American people now believe Bush does NOT have the best interests of the country at heart..but I find solace in those stats. People need a wakeup a call in addition to the government they deserve.

3614. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:12:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ekole.133$Xi7.5755976@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200645

I have an RCI 2950, I have the exact mike he is speaking of--wouldn't use it if some paid me--I run an audio compressor--very much superior... I wouldn't even think of selling the mike to some idiot on CB, it is junk and the damn fool would make everyone miserable within the sound of his voice and roger beep!

John

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:24116-4295E1A7-3@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>George:

>Glad you enjoy, just don't agree with your take
>on the matter... have at

>it

>boy!

>Warmest regards,

>John

_
Only because you didn't understand the original poster's comments and what type mic he was referring. You seem to have got past that now, so you may be alright,,this time.

3615. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:14:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Slole.134$GL7.5812323@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200644

Steveo is even TOO GAY for the gays... <sad-frown>

John

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050526133222.218$Vg@newsreader.com...
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>> Ahh, come on Steve, if you don't respond to Brett, he will have to
>> respond to himself.. (which he does quite often)
>>
>>He's a kOOk alright.

3616. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:25:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ej4c91dgo9j1ha0i2qr1vipo9spqmr1vth@4ax.com>
Source record: 200634

On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:41:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:13:35 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <6gl891ljc67a3d3435ng4mlkog8qia2oo7@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>One question begs for an answer: what is the divorce rate in this country?
>>
>>According to the stats from:
>>
>>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
>>
>>the percentage of divorced people is 9.6%. For some reason, Frank was
>>unable (or unwilling) to read the columns and see the actual numbers,
>>but if you believe the census bureau, that's what it is.
>
>
>For some reason, you were unable (or unwilling) to accept the clear
>statement by the Census Bureau that they do not keep track of marraige
>and divorce rates.

Who cares about the RATE? The total amount of divorced people,
according to the chart is 9.6% as of 2003. You can break the numbers
down by age, race, gender, and income, but the total combined results
are 9.6%

> And for some reason, you were unable (or unwilling)
>to explain how you derived the divorce rate from the table you cited.

It's not the divorce rate, it is the percentage of the population that
is divorced. If you would read the spreadsheet, you'd see that.

>>>Care to help Social Security?
>>
>>The best way to help it is to remove it, and divert all former SS
>>withholdings into individual 401K accounts. Of course that penalizes
>>those who have already given into the SS program for their entire
>>working lives. So the transition has to be gradual so to be fair to
>>everyone.
>
>
>So your solution is to simply eliminate Social Security? Hey, neat
>idea, but you can't "divert" what you don't have, and the Reps have
>tapped the SS trust fund so deep that there isn't anything to
>"divert".

Care to substantiate that statement with some hard facts?

>Bush's solution to SS is a "credit-card" retirement plan,
>which isn't any better. Maybe you two should get together and figure
>out what "promote the general Welfare" means.

America was never meant to be a "Welfare state", despite the
objections of liberals who would socialize every program and service,
at the expense of the people who actually earn money.

>
>
>>>I'd suggest that once a couple divorces, they
>>>can no longer give nor receive Social Security benefits from another person
>>>(sole exception being to children). I've heard the divorce rate is close to
>>>50%, but I honestly don't know.
>>
>>9.6% according to the 2003 census.
>
>
>http://www.census.gov/population/www/socdemo/marr-div.html
>
>So what part of "The U.S. Census Bureau does not collect the number of
>marriages and divorces that take place in a given year" do you not
>understand?

What part of 9.6% of the total population is divorced do YOU not
understand?

>
>
><snip>
>>>Nope, I'm not for gay marriage, but I question what is it that drives these
>>>big knee-jerk reactions.
>>
>>I usually apply Newton's law of action vs. reaction. Someone does
>>something extreme and the opposite side responds with a equal and
>>opposite reaction. There wouldn't be such an outpouring of opposition
>>to gay marriage if there wasn't such a push to legalize it.
>
>
>"In a free society, you don't need a reason to make something legal.
>You need a reason to make something illegal."
>
>-- Donna Moss, "West Wing"

And you accuse ME of watching too much TV?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3617. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:30:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <c75c91dpikgl353jaavml57307t9kof6id@4ax.com>
Source record: 200635

On Thu, 26 May 2005 16:25:43 GMT, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>Hello, Dave
>
>I mispoke. I meant the number of marriages that end up in divorce. Most
>divorced couples remarry. The "approaching 50%" is the number of first
>marriages that end up in divorce. Usually, one of the couple already has an
>intended and only needs the divorce to immediately remarry. By the end of a
>year or two, both are counted as married, although no longer to each other.

Ah, well that's a different kettle of fish. Some people marry several
times. Others stay married for 50 years or more. Some never marry.

Depending on the agenda of the site, you can find numbers to support
the notion that the institution of marriage is in the crapper, or that
it is making a dramatic comeback. Take what you read with a grain of
salt.

>
>As to the dollar, I agree we have had deficits before; but this thing is
>huge. I also suspect that they want to change Social Security (and it will
>have to be changed) to an extent that they don't have to pay back all of
>those IOUs.

I would certainly feel more secure if my "SS" money was in my own
personal account, rather than depending on a government "IOU" that
might never be there come retirement.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3618. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:35:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dn0c91572cs20k92u8l8eu94g4pni18ebh@4ax.com>
Source record: 200636

On Thu, 26 May 2005 12:06:14 -0400, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>I don't need your permission to ask what is in the public domain.
>
>>The why did you ask in the first place?
>
>I asked for your explicit and implied permission to post related
>information. Do I have it?
>
>>Why ask, you claimed to not need permission.

>For what is public domain, I don't.

My former address is not in the public domain, yet you posted it.

>
>>As you seem to, like my wife's name.
>
>Dead on with it.

Dead on wrong with it. That's the breaks when you have a common name.

>I said nothing of the sort. Pay attention.
>The address you reside is not the address you supplied the FCC as your
>primary residence.

See, you make no sense. If you finally acknowledge that Stony Creek is
not my current address, then the one that's on my license IS the
correct one. I've only lived in two places in my entire life.

>>and accuse me of not changing it (back) in the
>>FCC database.
>
>Said nothing of the sort.

That is exactly what you said.

>>You may have some skills at
>
>>cyber stalking
>
>
>Stalking? My goodness Dave, you always feel victimized.

What would you call it? Digging up information (Admittedly not in the
public domain) about other newsgroup posters. If that isn't stalking,
then what is?

>Well, I can tell you this much, I pay for nothing except my internet
>access. I told you once before, those services are for suckers like you
>who are wrapped up in other people's worlds but are too stupid to manage
>the info on their own.

Yet I am not the one searching into other people's personal lives, and
here you are doing exactly that. You say one thing, but your actions
say something totally different. Something you can't lie your way out
of.

>I accept (once again) your apology. No one claimed the Stony Creek was
>your current address, Davie.
>
>>That exactly what you claimed when you
>>accused me of having an incorrect address on
>>my FCC license.
>
>
>
>It is incorrect. But that has nothing to do with the Stony Creek
>address..that was YOUR inference to take the heat off yourself.

Ok then. If you admit that Stony Creek is not my current address, and
you still claim that the address on my Amateur license is not the
correct one, then that leaves only one other possibility, and that is
that there is yet another address that I am supposedly living at. Ok,
you have my permission to post this elusive address that is supposed
to be my primary address. Heck, maybe someone left me some property
that I don't know about.

More likely though you probably stumbled across the address of another
David Hall (My phone book has at least a whole page full of them), and
crossed it with mine. His wife is probably the Kimberly T. Hall who
you insist is my wife and is a teacher (My wife is/was actually an
accounting professional)

>>No, your cyber spy site got it wrong.
>
>
>I have no cyber spy, Dave, but you -need- me to have one in order to
>shore up your excuse.

Whatever spy you have, it's wrong, and you should ask for your money
back.

>In fact, she
>used to reside on Gravers Road, but you go on denying she is your wife
>because of the shame you rained down upon yourself.
>
>>Well, unless you know her maiden name, you
>>can't trace her roots before we were married,
>>and I never lived on "Graver's road", like I
>>said, I never even heard of it.

>>I never even heard of Gravers road.
>
>Really? You grew up near there and never heard of it? Need the exact
>address on Gravers Road and then you can use the mapblast, eh? Ok,,she
>was born in 1963 and lived at 1819 Gravers Road in Norristown.
>
>>.Oh, this is just too easy.....
>
>
>http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?searchtype=address&country=US&addtohistory=&searchta
b=home&address=1819+Gravers+Road&city=Norristown&state=PA&zipcode=
>
>>There is no such address in the mapquest
>>database, as the link shows. Once again,
>>you're wrong, and I proved it.

>You proved nothing. Go to google maps and try it again.

Been there, done that. Nada. Besides, you can't use google maps. It
required a java version that I'm sure WebTV doesn't support. I had to
upgrade my IE to version 6 to access it properly.

Want to try again Sherlock?

>
>
>
>>(We must be up to a
>
>>dozen things you've been wrong about now).
>
>Cripes...this talk from you sounds just like it did when it was shown
>you lied about having a Phelps Stationmaster antenna.
>
>>How was that shown? You have nothing but
>>your own misguided opinion.
>
>
>And the memory of every other radio freak that reads these pages. When
>one has ever owned a specific base antenna, no matter how many years
>ago, it can always be recalled.

An assumption on several counts.

> If one owned a moonraker in their day,
>one would recall it. Hell, even the antenna gurus on these pages that
>owned hundreds, perhaps thousands of antennas over their lifetime, would
>recall a specific antenna, at least the brand..yet, when you were
>questioned only a year or two after you made a comment about owning one,
>you had no clue what I meant when I asked about your "Phelps
>Stationmaster".

Sorry Charlie, the original comment about the Stationmaster was made
in 1995, and you only showed up on the scene in 1999 or 2000, not a
"year or two later".

> In fact, you responded with "What Phelps stationmaster?

No, the correct quote was "What Phelps?".

>The statistical probablility factor you love to employ works good here,
>as does your often invoked "majority rule" clause. The majority would
>remember their antenna, likewise, the majority would believe,
>corrrectly, that one who claimed they owned a certain antenna yet could
>not recall it when asked a few short years after their original claim,
>is a liar.

Not when one considers that the antenna was for a repeater, and the
comment was made 4 or 5 years prior.

I never personally had a Stationmaster on my house. But I do have part
ownership of a 220 Mhz repeater, which makes the antenna technically
part "mine".

>Does that type software give that information? How about birthdates and
>applications for marriage on file with the state,,,including addresses?
>
>>Sure, for a fee. I find it funny that you spent
>>money to try find out my personal information.
>
>Of course you do, as you need such a scenario in order to soothe
>yourself. You're way beyond your element, realize it, and this is your
>familiar mechanism of defense to stop your psyche from further
>cracking: conjured explanations for all you can not explain and do not
>know.

I know far more about information that you think. I know who
generally has access to it, and how much is costs the average person
to gain access to it, assuming you have authorization. Certain medical
or financial records for instance, are generally not available to
unauthorized people

>
>>Most of which was either outdated or just plain
>>wrong. Yet you hypocritically accuse ME of
>
>>seeking your personal information. I have not
>>posted one bit of information about you.
>
>
>Because you are incompetent and unable to do so,

No, because I don't care enough to do so.

>
>> You are just a newsgroup distraction, the

>
>>Jar-Jar Binks of rec.radio.cb.
>
>
>Whose posts not even directed to you,so affected you, you were reduced
>to threats.
>_
>It's not what I think, it's what more and more regs are conveying to you
>on a regualr basis.
>
>>Name them.
>
>Well, sure,,,Frank taugh you better regarding radio technical
>competency,
>
>>Frank has some issues as well.
>
>
>Tut-tut, mah boy, you asked, now listen up.
>
>
>
>>Shark taught you better regarding your own
>
>>state's driving laws,
>
>>Shark basically helped me prove my point that
>>you are basically guilty until you prove your
>
>>innocence in traffic court.
>
>
>
>Your incorrect point was that one could not get a ticket for going less
>than 5 MPH over the speed limit in Pa.

In most cases. I do not argue absolutes. It's too easy to prove wrong.
All it takes is one example.

>...shark proved you wrong with one
>post and a single example of an exception proving you wrong.

Shark does not live in PA so he cannot "prove" me wrong in matters not
endemic to Pa. The law in PA, as of statute 3368, explicitly calls for
those tolerance speeds. A cop will not give a ticket , in most cases,
for any speed less than 5 MPH over the posted limit, as it is sure to
get thrown out.

You can play your word games, and Frank can dig up his stopwatch, but
the law is there in black and white, and it was actually fun watching
you guys, in your desperation to prove me wrong, argue against the

written law, and trying to find the smallest exceptions in order to
invalidate the law in the vast majority of cases.

>BTW, where is "Geo" these days? :)

>>I wouldn't know.
>
>
> but hey, what I think means nothing. :)

Hey, you can learn! Wow, I'm shocked.

>>But I thought "George" was now actually
>
>>"Chris".
>
>
>
>That was never my claim.

No, it came from other sock puppets.

_
>Our British friend across the pond taught you
>about cb radios that come type accepted with what are legal roger beeps,
>but you denied that as well, screamed and begged for proof, was given
>it, and humbled.
>
>
>>Yea well, first off, it was Bert Craig who set me
>>straight.
>
>No,,Bert simply offered you an example and confirmed what everyone was
>telling you from jump regrading roger beeps.
>Many people set you straight.
>_
>Jim
>tried talking to you about foreign news sources, and you called him
>naive.
>
>>If someone truly thinks that a foreign news
>>service is any less likely to be affected by
>>political bias, then they are naive.
>ï¿½
>
>That was never Jim's claim,

You're wrong (again) but I'll let Jim explain it too you, since you
can't understand the written word.

> and you trying to deliberately misattribute
>things to others when you get your foot stuck in your mouth is getting
>old. You're lucky I still take you out and play with you. As you see,

>most ignore you except for your sock.

As do you. No one pays any serious mind to you Jar-Jar. Your whole
purpose here is comic relief.

>ï¿½No Davie,,as is always the pattern, you blame everyone else when the
>problem is yourself.
>
>>That's why you spent money to find out my
>
>>information.
>
>
>Your need to believe your conjured hallucinations is secondary only to
>your manias.
>
>> You are fixated and obsessed with me.
>
>I am simply much more talented than yourself in giving back what is
>received.

You might think so. But when I put you back in your box, you go away.

...that's just the way it is. Some things will never change.
>_
>Google hypocrite and your name, and you will find those who taught you
>better.
>
>>.You mean those hypocrites who hypocritically
>>call other people hypocrites?
>
>
>Now you're on to something,,,google sandbagger and "you mean" and you
>will see exaactly what I "mean". It will show you have on bitch of a
>comprehension problem going back way before I ever came along.

I deal with confused people like you on a daily basis, who have
trouble arranging their thoughts into a coherent statement. I want
them to make sure they know exactly what they are saying before I
render my answers. It's far better to do that than jump to erroneous
conclusions like you are fond of doing, after making an incorrect
assumption.

>Nice dodge But I drive a Ford.
>
>A blue one whose license plates do not match the address given to the
>FCC as provided by law.
>
>>.No, actually the color is teal, but it shows up
>>more blue in pictures. Pictures that anyone
>>can freely see on my web site. But there are
>>no license plates showing on my truck, so you're

>>lying again.
>
>
>Tell ya' what...since you claim the plates aren;t visible, do I have
>your permission to post the plate, since, you know, you claim it isn't
>visible. You know what,,,I'm going to post my little paparazzi pic on my
>website, then others can go there and see if your plates weren't
>visible. Lying clown.

So you now claim to have someone (A "paparazzi") taking pictures of
my vehicles? So are you a liar or a stalker?

>
>>Tell you what, since you can't figure out a
>>simple problem of determining which of my
>>two addresses is my correct one, why don't
>>you call the FCC and complain. I'm sure they
>>will get right to the bottom of the issue.
>
>
>I've contacted the FCC on many occasion. In fact, I'm a regular, but I
>couldn't care less about your law breaking.

Yea, sure. More lies.

>Three plus me, plus moped that already told you of hypocrisy,,,four regs
>out of what,,you, Lelnad, and Dogie? Yea,,I'd say that's a majority of
>regs.

So you think this group is made up of only 7 people?

>You are the only one who expressed that others have to "chime in" in
>order to express they somehow care. The fact that they are
>reading.....hell, many are sandbaggers. I told you before, you have no
>clue how many sandbaggers there are. I know for a fact the FCC was
>reading this group a few year ago, adn I also know for a fact Riley used
>to check the group, but he's so washed up and up to his ears with
>bureaucratic bullshit, he no longer has time for Oxendine.

No argument. But if people are "lurking" or sandbagging, and they
don't post, how do you know how many are there, and how do you know
what they are thinking about any given topic?

>
>>Yes, and I could claim to be George W. Bush.
>
>>Doesn't make it the truth though.
>
>
>It's folly how you make a false claim, are shown you are full of shit,
>then proceed to make excuses or attack the other's claim.

No, it just proves that what you say isn't necessarily the truth, and in your case, is likely a lie.

>>You are too paranoid to provide verifiable
>>.information.
>
>
>
>You said you didn't care.

I don't, but you seem to.

>>I truly believe that if someone ever "outs" you
>>and posts your name address and other
>>.personal info, that you'd self destruct.
>
>
>Already been done, you're just too stupid to realize it.

You mean Frank was right when he called you Dave McCampbell?

It's far easier when you're up front about who you are from the beginning. I could have just as easily invented a cartoon character, hid behind it, and kept totally anonymous. But I have nothing to hide.

The funniest part is that when you reveal truthful information about me, I don't deny it, since I don't care. But when you lie (or are misinformed), it makes me laugh to see you defend your methods so vehemently. If and when you finally get the name of my wife correct, I'll admit it. Like I said, I have nothing to hide.

Keep digging.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3619. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:45:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pi5c91p526esr9mvdfluufiaobckqdesge@4ax.com>
Source record: 200637

On Wed, 25 May 2005 05:30:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>Yea I know, our government has pledged it's true allegiance to the
>>"corporate machine", the free masons, Skull and bones, a "shadow
>>government" consisting of the descendants of Howard Hughes and the
>>"Old money" cronies of the industrial age and maybe even gray aliens
>>from Zeti-Reticuli.
>
>
>Dave, you're a friggin' loon.

I'm just paraphrasing the conspiracy nuts who think our government is
in bed with big business and a host of other conglomerates.

>
>
>>You complain about the motives of our elected officials, yet insist
>>that our form of government is the only way to go. That seems to be an
>>inconsistent position to take. If you don't like your elected
>>officials, then vote them out next term. But don't complain if the
>>majority of voters differ from your opinion and override your
>>selection. That's what majority rule is all about. For every one who
>>gets what they want, someone else will be unhappy. That's life.
>
>
>Even after -MONTHS- of discussion on the topic you -STILL- don't get
>it. I'll make this -really- simple so even -you- can understand it:

Why, it's clear that YOU don't understand it.

>This is not a "majority rule" country -- it's a country based on the
>recognition of individual rights and freedoms.

Yes but every time we have an election, the majority picks the winner.

> You have the right to
>think freely, to speak your opinions openly, to exercise religion as
>you see fit, to make your own decisions without government influence,

Try to refuse to pay your taxes, cry fire in a crowded theater,
attempt to approach an elected official without permission, posses
contraband, or act in a manner which could be construed as suspicious.

Your "rights" are limited, to some extent, by the government. Some of
your "rights" are really privileges (try to drive a car without a
license).

>etc, etc; and these rights and freedoms are guaranteed -REGARDLESS- of
>the opinions of any special-interest group, EVEN IF they represent the
>majority, and EVEN IF you are a member of that "majority".

But if your guy loses on election day, tough cookies.

>The USA is NOT a democracy

No, it's a representative republic, loosely based on parliamentary
rule.

>-- it's a country based on EQUAL RIGHTS and
>FREEDOMS for EVERY citizen, the "Moral Majority" be damned.

You cannot give everyone what they want. Any fool (Except perhaps you)
knows that. When people group together with diametrically opposing
wishes and viewpoints, the largest group usually wins.

> If you
>don't like it, leave -- hell, I'll even buy your plane ticket! But if
>you decide to stay, shut the fuck up because you are effectively
>undermining the integrity of this country with your lies, propoganda,
>and warped interpretations of the Constitution; and I won't sit by and
>let that happen because I took an oath to defend both the Constitution
>and the country.

It's a shame that you took an oath to defend something that you don't
understand properly. You are a hopeless idealist. Reality is a concept
that escapes you. You don't even understand that the establishment
clause does not establish separation of church and state. Nowhere are
the words separation of church and state in there.

>
>Either you are for the Constitution or you are against it. So it's
>time for you to make a choice, Dave -- are you an American or not?

I am for it. But what you are is open for questioning.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3620. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 18:52:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <SVole.135$OY7.5887116@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I am saying, it really doesn't matter, it is too late, possibly if the exams were made twice as difficult so the intellectuals felt challenged and the code was dropped--perhaps it would appeal to more... however, I think there is little chance of any changes which will save it, ancient old men keep reciting the same mantras, are in denial that the methods they think will work, WON'T and keep trying to find answers reading tea leaves...

... truth is, there is not a large enough group of people in their teens and twentys to breath life into it... and I don't see anyway of getting them in... other than what I have already suggested... we need some of the brightest minds comming from college right now--I teach a course at a Jr. college... I have found the WILL NOT bother with the code... they would have no problem passing an exam ten times as tough... I have seen this in action...

A lot of people worry about their kids cell phone use and would like to limit it... I say put a code exam on the damn thing... problem would be cured overnight!

Well, they would probably just switch to IRC and instant messaging--if they are not already there--so put a damn code exam on those too!!! <grin>

Warmest regards,
John

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:twmle.2241$8g.866@news01.roc.ny...
>
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:_w9le.89$7v6.2659479@news.sisna.com...
>> Dee:
>>
>> You suggest that to them... they will take it as personal slur on their
>> intelligence... if they don't have the top licence--they don't want
> any--it
>> is akin to getting an "A" in the class--you would never get the
>> under-achievers to even bother--they'll just fire up IRC or P2P phone and
>> chat to Australia all night long... or, whip out the cell phone their
>> company internship is furnishing... <grin>
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>>
>
>

> Hello, John
>
> Are you suggesting just giving away the license? Heck, I learned grade
> 1.5
> Braille in under two weeks (and I can see). I decided I wanted to as a
> friend is blind and he provided me a Braille slate (that was many decades
> ago). It was not a big deal; in fact my friend then got on my case asking
> if I could learn Braille in two weeks, what was the big deal with the
> code.
> The reality was that I was lazy. One week later, I was copying 18 words
> per
> minute. A few years later, I put 40 words per minute, perfect copy, on
> paper. It is all a matter of what you want.
>
> As to the cell phone, heck - I can talk to someone anywhere anytime using
> a
> land-line telephone. Or my HT (yes, even Australia, thanks to the 10
> meter
> repeater). Of course, amateur radio is not designed to replace the
> telephone.
>
> I just had some good information from someone via the Internet on fixing a
> big Hammond X-66 from the 60s. I've repaired a lot of 'em, but this one
> had
> me bugged. The guy is in Mexico. The telephone would be of no use as I
> had
> to locate someone who knew something about it.
>
> When folks start arguing against amateur radio, they usually bring up a
> subject that amateur radio is not ideally designed for. Heck, do you want
> to build a house using only a saw? Perhaps only a hammer? No, you choose
> the tools you need at the time you need them. In the case of getting help
> with the Hammond (gawd, I hated the thought of pouring paint thinner down
> into the scanner - but it worked!), how are you going to locate someone
> knowledgeable in the subject? Organ repair? No, the organ service guy in
> this area has referred a few folks with old Hammonds to me. I've always
> been able to fix 'em even if he can't. But this time I was stuck.
> Calling
> the organ repair guy would have yielded no help as I have more knowledge
> than he. I did call organ repair service in Chicago as that is all that
> is
> left of the original Hammond company (it was sold to Ford and then Suzuki)
> as the new owners didn't want to handle the old stuff. They didn't know,
> so
> the telephone was no longer of any use.
>
> Despite the failure of the telephone, I would not suggest that the
> telephone
> has no use.
>

> Amateur radio has far to many facets to try and pinpoint exactly what it
> is.
> As far as someone not wanting the code, fine. It will go away, but when
> is
> unknown. Had I waited for a codeless tech license, I would have delayed
> 30
> some years waiting for a ticket that didn't require cw.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>

3621. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 19:00:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <31ple.136$KT5.5487704@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200646

First we would have to vote on who they are allowed to run, I don't see anyone there right now I would vote for, not hillary, not kerry, not even the congressman or senator who is "mine", it feels like someone else put him in office (in deed the largest developer here donates to his campaign and my elected official are bending over for him constantly--and the issues are somehow ever blocked from getting onto the ballot to be reversed)--he has done nothing for me... hope he has helped someone somewhere... what has your congressman done for you?

John

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:pi5c91p526esr9mvdfluufiaobckqdesge@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2005 05:30:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>Yea I know, our government has pledged it's true allegiance to the
>>>"corporate machine", the free masons, Skull and bones, a "shadow
>>>government" consisting of the descendants of Howard Hughes and the
>>>"Old money" cronies of the industrial age and maybe even gray aliens
>>>from Zeti-Reticuli.
>>
>>
>>Dave, you're a friggin' loon.
>
> I'm just paraphrasing the conspiracy nuts who think our government is
> in bed with big business and a host of other conglomerates.
>
>>
>>
>>>You complain about the motives of our elected officials, yet insist
>>>that our form of government is the only way to go. That seems to be an
>>>inconsistent position to take. If you don't like your elected
>>>officials, then vote them out next term. But don't complain if the
>>>majority of voters differ from your opinion and override your
>>>selection. That's what majority rule is all about. For every one who
>>>gets what they want, someone else will be unhappy. That's life.
>>
>>
>>Even after -MONTHS- of discussion on the topic you -STILL- don't get
>>it. I'll make this -really- simple so even -you- can understand it:
>
> Why, it's clear that YOU don't understand it.

>
>
>>This is not a "majority rule" country -- it's a country based on the
>>recognition of individual rights and freedoms.
>
> Yes but every time we have an election, the majority picks the winner.
>
>> You have the right to
>>think freely, to speak your opinions openly, to exercise religion as
>>you see fit, to make your own decisions without government influence,
>
> Try to refuse to pay your taxes, cry fire in a crowded theater,
> attempt to approach an elected official without permission, posses
> contraband, or act in a manner which could be construed as suspicious.
>
> Your "rights" are limited, to some extent, by the government. Some of
> your "rights" are really privileges (try to drive a car without a
> license).
>
>
>>etc, etc; and these rights and freedoms are guaranteed -REGARDLESS- of
>>the opinions of any special-interest group, EVEN IF they represent the
>>majority, and EVEN IF you are a member of that "majority".
>
> But if your guy loses on election day, tough cookies.
>
>
>>The USA is NOT a democracy
>
> No, it's a representative republic, loosely based on parliamentary
> rule.
>
>>-- it's a country based on EQUAL RIGHTS and
>>FREEDOMS for EVERY citizen, the "Moral Majority" be damned.
>
> You cannot give everyone what they want. Any fool (Except perhaps you)
> knows that. When people group together with diametrically opposing
> wishes and viewpoints, the largest group usually wins.
>
>> If you
>>don't like it, leave -- hell, I'll even buy your plane ticket! But if
>>you decide to stay, shut the fuck up because you are effectively
>>undermining the integrity of this country with your lies, propoganda,
>>and warped interpretations of the Constitution; and I won't sit by and
>>let that happen because I took an oath to defend both the Constitution
>>and the country.
>
> It's a shame that you took an oath to defend something that you don't
> understand properly. You are a hopeless idealist. Reality is a concept

> that escapes you. You don't even understand that the establishment
> clause does not establish separation of church and state. Nowhere are
> the words separation of church and state in there.
>
>
>
>>
>>Either you are for the Constitution or you are against it. So it's
>>time for you to make a choice, Dave -- are you an American or not?
>
> I am for it. But what you are is open for questioning.
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

3622. Re: Outsourcing and the economy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 19:05:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <sn6c919ojdp56m9qfbel9the4tihdjr1lb@4ax.com>
Source record: 200647

On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:14:41 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 26 May 2005 07:57:10 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <c2db91l21r9ni2bv2vg4mmg8hul1honknb@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>So, here we have a double edged sword. We live in a world economy,
>>with companies from all over the world competing for market share. So,
>>what's a U.S. based corporation to do? Should it:
>>
>>A. Keep its U.S. work force in order to altruistically keep the
>>American work force employed?
>>
>>B. Outsource to a foreign country where labor and overhead is much
>>cheaper?
>
>
>The answer is A because loyalty must be earned, and American's have a
>very good long-term memory.

Even if the American company is forced out of business by cheaper
foreign competitors?

>
>>Considering that other countries have no objection to using cheap
>>foreign labor, and producing products cheaper, the U.S. company is now
>>at a competitive disadvantage with those products which they are in
>>direct competition from foreign companies.
>
>
>American workers could be easily protected with import tariffs; but
>Bush's butt has been kissed (and licked, sucked, wiped and powdered)
>by corporations seeking cheap labor, so he is pushing for open-border
>trade agreements with third-world countries.

Tariffs are an overly naive and simplistic answer, which will not
help. I'll tell you why. First off, the import tariff will raise the
price of imported goods which drive up the costs that the American
consumer pays. Then the worker will demand more in raises to
compensate, and you now have inflation. Secondly, the U.S. is but ONE
consumer of goods. American companies trying to compete in foreign
markets will not have the protection of the tariff and they will
wither under strong foreign competition which they will not be able to
match. Also, other countries do not like tariff policies and would

likely impose tariffs on our goods in retaliation to our tariffs on
theirs. Surely you can figure out what would happen then.

>>Tell me, would you pay 50 - 100% more for a TV or some other product
>>just to keep the U.S. company here? Considering that the government is
>>squeezing more and more money out of us in the form of taxes, and the
>>costs of things like fuel are skyrocketing, we look for the best
>>bargains in everything we buy.
>
>
>Because the taxes are on the Americans, not on the import corporations
>(e.g, Walmart, aka 'China Inc.') where they should be.

See above.

>>And that doesn't cover the foreign market. Would a European pay more
>>for a U.S. made product over a foreign made product?
>
>
>Depends on where that 'foreign' product was made.

Does it matter? If it's cheaper, they will buy it.

>>What ultimately happens to a U.S. corporation who loses a competitive
>>edge?
>
>
>Any US corp that chooses to cut American jobs instead of lobbying for
>import tariffs against foreign competitors is, in the most tactful of
>terms, economically nearsighted.

So, then, you would rather an American company keep it's American
workforce in a patriotic corporate suicide attempt, as it folds under
unmatchable competition from abroad? What if all US companies fold or
move their corporate headquarters offshore? Then what?

>>>What happens when there are no more cheap labor countries like China?
>>>Can you spell double digit inflation??? How about 20% per yr for about
>>>ten yrs. Maybe even longer or higher inflation rates.
>>
>>Yes, inflation is a very real fear.
>
>
>No, it's not. It's a hope. Inflation, in a free market economy, is an
>'equalizer' -- it's an effect of a surplus of cash in circulation,
>which usually ends up in the hands of those who need it the most.
>Historically, inflation hurts the rich and benefits the poor, which is
>something you never hear from the "left-wing, liberally biased media".

Well that's true to an extent. Those who invest their money in fixed
rate securities (retired people) will earn more interest, while those
seeking to borrow, will pay more. But the rich are who generally
create the jobs that the rest of us work at. If inflation cuts into

their costs too much, they will have to reduce the workforce or make
other cuts (outsource?) to keep the margins.

>> But when the standard of living
>>equalizes, then there will be no further incentive to manufacture
>>overseas. Then factors such as shipping costs will make domestic
>>manufacturing attractive again for the U.S. market. Inflation may also
>>be mitigated by market pressures. If people cannot afford to buy as
>>much, demand goes down. When demand goes down, so does the price.
>>That's free market 101.
>
>
>You obviously failed Economics 101, and probably never took Macro- or
>Micro-Economics.

Sigh. You can't get through a post without an insult can you Mr
Bartender?

>Cheap labor will always be available in any country that's poor in
>natural resources. There are many, and that's not going to change
>anytime soon. The fact that Iraq's new "government" refused to allow
>labor unions (a law imposed by Saddam) should be a good indication as
>to where the next market for cheap labor will be found.

But Iraq is not poor in natural resources.

>>> You can't get something for nothing.
>>
>>You don't know just how much truth there is in that statement.
>
>
>Damn straight. Freedom isn't free. Other people paid for your
>freedoms, Dave. Maybe you should take the time to try and understand
>why.

I know that freedom is not unlimited.

>>> In time the US will suffer. Prepare for
>>>China owning more an dmore of teh US debt and consequently the US'
>>>economy .
>>
>>Ok, We pretty much agree that the road ahead will be a bit bumpy. So
>>what do we do about it? Can we do anything about it?
>
>
>Push your elected officials to do their job -- make them understand
>that they are lobbyists for their constituents, not the constituents
>of lobbyists for special interest groups or corporations.

Well then we need to outlaw all corporate election contributions.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3623. Re: Here's a good amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 20:47:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <OBqle.143$m01.6086701@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200648

Here is a guy which can help you out, or you can at least get ideas from his page...

A good 4KW to 5KW russian tube in a homebrew linear is just the ticket, especially if you just "idle" the tube at a 3.5 KW input... last you a lifetime and all the power you will ever need... someone should set up a home business building them...

Warmest regards,
John
"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:S6ole.128$UA7.5788729@news.sisna.com...
> If one is smart enough to call it an "amateur amplifier" don't they let it
> be sold? Certainly every cb'er in the world is smart enough to know an
> amateur linear can be used on 11 meters with very little modification...
> Also, check out pages on russian linears, they have 3.5KW rigs which are
> very affordable... a friend going/living in canada can possibly grab one
> for you easily too... there are many ways around any roadblock they can
> throw up--retired engineers routinely build linears in their garages for
> "beer money."
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1117127844.411985.222670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> Stomper wrote:
>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1
>>
>>
>> AKC does not allow amp autions here in this NG. This one was ratted to
>> ebay.
>>
>
>

3624. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 21:46:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <g8gc91tuan2hiniffeqviv66cmki5a79ka@4ax.com>
Source record: 200649

On Thu, 26 May 2005 14:25:39 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ej4c91dgo9j1ha0i2qr1vipo9spqmr1vth@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:41:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:13:35 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <6gl891ljc67a3d3435ng4mlkog8qia2oo7@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>One question begs for an answer: what is the divorce rate in this country?
>>>
>>>According to the stats from:
>>>
>>>http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/hh-fam/cps2003/tabA1-all.xls
>>>
>>>the percentage of divorced people is 9.6%. For some reason, Frank was
>>>unable (or unwilling) to read the columns and see the actual numbers,
>>>but if you believe the census bureau, that's what it is.
>>
>>
>>For some reason, you were unable (or unwilling) to accept the clear
>>statement by the Census Bureau that they do not keep track of marraige
>>and divorce rates.
>
>Who cares about the RATE? The total amount of divorced people,
>according to the chart is 9.6% as of 2003. You can break the numbers
>down by age, race, gender, and income, but the total combined results
>are 9.6%
>
>
>> And for some reason, you were unable (or unwilling)
>>to explain how you derived the divorce rate from the table you cited.
>
>It's not the divorce rate, it is the percentage of the population that
>is divorced. If you would read the spreadsheet, you'd see that.

Yet for some reason, you are -still- unable (or unwilling) to cough up
the marriage data so that it can be compared to the divorce data.

>>>>Care to help Social Security?
>>>
>>>The best way to help it is to remove it, and divert all former SS
>>>withholdings into individual 401K accounts. Of course that penalizes
>>>those who have already given into the SS program for their entire

>>>working lives. So the transition has to be gradual so to be fair to
>>>everyone.
>>
>>
>>So your solution is to simply eliminate Social Security? Hey, neat
>>idea, but you can't "divert" what you don't have, and the Reps have
>>tapped the SS trust fund so deep that there isn't anything to
>>"divert".
>
>Care to substantiate that statement with some hard facts?

Like the way -you- back up -your- statements with hard facts? Sure....
It's true because I say it's true.

>>Bush's solution to SS is a "credit-card" retirement plan,
>>which isn't any better. Maybe you two should get together and figure
>>out what "promote the general Welfare" means.
>
>America was never meant to be a "Welfare state", despite the
>objections of liberals who would socialize every program and service,
>at the expense of the people who actually earn money.

If you could quote any liberal who said that America should be a
"welfare state" I might agree.

>>>>I'd suggest that once a couple divorces, they
>>>>can no longer give nor receive Social Security benefits from another person
>>>>(sole exception being to children). I've heard the divorce rate is close to
>>>>50%, but I honestly don't know.
>>>
>>>9.6% according to the 2003 census.
>>
>>
>>http://www.census.gov/population/www/socdemo/marr-div.html
>>
>>So what part of "The U.S. Census Bureau does not collect the number of
>>marriages and divorces that take place in a given year" do you not
>>understand?
>
>What part of 9.6% of the total population is divorced do YOU not
>understand?

What percent of people are married, Dave?

>><snip>
>>>>Nope, I'm not for gay marriage, but I question what is it that drives these
>>>>big knee-jerk reactions.
>>>
>>>I usually apply Newton's law of action vs. reaction. Someone does
>>>something extreme and the opposite side responds with a equal and
>>>opposite reaction. There wouldn't be such an outpouring of opposition
>>>to gay marriage if there wasn't such a push to legalize it.

>>
>>
>>"In a free society, you don't need a reason to make something legal.
>>You need a reason to make something illegal."
>>
>>-- Donna Moss, "West Wing"
>
>And you accuse ME of watching too much TV?

You do, and that's why I used the quote.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3625. John Smith: Read the FCC rules on amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 21:47:18 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117144038.201632.173620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200650

John Smith wrote:
> If one is smart enough to call it an "amateur amplifier" don't they let it
> be sold? Certainly every cb'er in the world is smart enough to know an
> amateur linear can be used on 11 meters with very little modification...
> Also, check out pages on russian linears, they have 3.5KW rigs which are
> very affordable... a friend going/living in canada can possibly grab one
> for you easily too... there are many ways around any roadblock they can
> throw up--retired engineers routinely build linears in their garages for
> "beer money."
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1117127844.411985.222670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> >
> > Stomper wrote:
> >> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1
> >
> >
> > AKC does not allow amp autions here in this NG. This one was ratted to
> > ebay.
> >

If it works outta the box from 25-34 mHz, it is illegal.

3626. Re: OT - Ping Steveo - Dream Cruise fee's $25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 21:48:18 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117144098.143072.189710@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200651

mopathetic, why didn't you camp at Dayton?

3627. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 21:50:16 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117144216.563708.215670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200652

No, twithed...actually you think it is haunted 'cuz you are too much of a freekin' moron to know why it is happening. See what hanging out in truckstop toilets with FUGGLY does for ya?

3628. Re: John Smith: Read the FCC rules on amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 22:17:37 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <9Wrle.151$Bz1.6223995@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200656

If it doesn't cover at least 25-30 Mhz (2-50 Mhz is preferable) it isn't of any use to me! And, it isn't any problem to change most any linear to cover those freqs.

If you end up with a piece of equip which doesn't cover those freqs any college kid with an electronics major can do the mod for you, if you can find no one else...(sometimes as simple as just cutting a filter out of the circuit, input/output or both)

If all else fails, send me a scanned copy of the schematic diagram and I will pencil in the necessary changes for you... scan it, and send the changes back to you...

There are two kinds of people in this world:
1) Those with reasons why they can't.
2) Those who just go ahead and do it!

Warmest regards,
John

Warmest regards,
John
"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117144038.201632.173620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>> If one is smart enough to call it an "amateur amplifier" don't they let
>> it
>> be sold? Certainly every cb'er in the world is smart enough to know an
>> amateur linear can be used on 11 meters with very little modification...
>> Also, check out pages on russian linears, they have 3.5KW rigs which are
>> very affordable... a friend going/living in canada can possibly grab one
>> for you easily too... there are many ways around any roadblock they can
>> throw up--retired engineers routinely build linears in their garages for
>> "beer money."
>>
>> Warmest regards,
>> John
>> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
>> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1117127844.411985.222670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> >
>> > Stomper wrote:

>> >> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1
>> >
>> >
>> > AKC does not allow amp autions here in this NG. This one was ratted to
>> > ebay.
>> >
>
> If it works outta the box from 25-34 mHz, it is illegal.
>

3629. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 22:32:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bvgc91976do9dil4sree5uvrgcouj49d9r@4ax.com>
Source record: 200653

On Thu, 26 May 2005 13:08:30 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <o5vb91l9ld5ck33q4vrbapkdb0ghqvg4bl@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>Wrong. Ice provides carbon dioxide samples that are available for any
>>given year. These samples are measured for C14 concentrations, fossil
>>fuels having a much lower concentration of C14 than natural processes.
>>The difference is quantified as the percentage of CO2 contributed by
>>combustion of fossil fuels. Therefore, the contribution of atmospheric
>>CO2 from human sources is very accurately measured.
>
>No they are not. Since CO2 can come from a variety of places including
>volcanos, and large forest fires any of which can skew those results.

Wrong. Volcanos give off very little CO2 -- most of the gasses are
Hydrogen Sulfide and oxides of Sulfer. And the Carbon Dioxide from
forest fires is easily calculated. In fact, forest fires (both recent
and ancient) are studied for their impact on the environment and have
been found to cause very little variation in CO2 concentration simply
because they occur every year, and are actually -decreasing- in both
frequency and intensity.

>When the apparent variation in the sun's energy output is taken into
>consideration, it becomes very difficult to determine the exact rate
>of global warming and how much of it is part of the cyclic climatic
>change and how much of it is caused strictly as a result of human
>activity.

Wrong. Solar variations can be determined from tree ring growth, and
when compared to ice samples they can be differentiated from CO2
concentrations.

>><snip>
>>>>Well, sure,,,Frank taugh you better regarding radio technical
>>>>competency,
>>>
>>>Frank has some issues as well. He failed to recognize common industry
>>>terms, and discredited my explanations of common electronic circuits
>>>because they didn't fit within his own narrow "education".
>>
>>
>>I recognized the "terms" as being poorly defined slang used by some
>>who are without formal education in the field.
>
>I'm sure the senior level engineers who I work with would take
>exception to your highly sheltered and quite ignorant claims.

Yet for some reason, you are -still- unable (or unwilling) to provide
the names of those "senior level engineers".

>>And your explanations
>>don't fit within any educational (or engineering) standards, despite
>>your bogus claim to have had some formal education in electronics.
>
>Which only shows just how sheltered your own education and (more
>importantly) your real world experience has been.

Yet for some reason, you are -still- unable (or unwilling) to name the
tech school you claim to have attended.

>>>> you called him names and took issue with his career.
>>>
>>>I was he who first started to degrade my education and career. I only
>>>kept the same level of civility.
>>
>>
>>You may have matched my level of 'civility' (subject to debate), but
>>you didn't even come close to my level of education and experience in
>>the field of electronics.
>
>Frank, like my mother once said: Self praise stinks, and boy do you
>smell......

Probably because I've been busy working on my garage. But the fact
remains that, no matter how you would like to believe otherwise, your
education and experience in the field doesn't measure up to mine.

>> On the contrary, you tried to denounce me
>>with nothing but ignorance, generalizations and subjective opinions.
>
>Which is exactly what you did.

Wrong. I provided facts and logic. You choose to ignore any facts or
logic that isn't consistent with your "core beliefs".

>>So once again I ask: Where are your facts, Dave?
>
>Where are yours? Oh that's right, they're on that website right next
>to the one with all the left wing anti-war propaganda.......

I've provided fact after fact after fact. All the facts I have
provided can be independently verified by yourself and anyone else
willing to do so. You have provided nothing of the sort in -any-
topic.

>><snip>
>>>.... No one is perfect. If the best you
>>>can come up with is 2 mistakes that I made in 10 years worth of
>>>posting, I'd say that's a pretty good percentage.
>>
>>

>>You may have -admitted- two of the many mistakes you have made in 10
>>years. IMO, that's a pretty -poor- percentage.
>
>I'll leave it to you and your obsessed minion Twisty to dig up all of
>my mistakes. Until then, your pissing in the wind.
>
>
>>>> Jim
>>>>tried talking to you about foreign news sources, and you called him
>>>>naive.
>>>
>>>If someone truly thinks that a foreign news service is any less likely
>>>to be affected by political bias, then they are naive.
>>
>>
>>Yet you claim that domestic news services are heavily biased to the
>>left. If that's true then foreign news services are -more- likely to
>>be -less- biased, which makes -you- naive.
>
>That statement makes absolutely no logical sense.

Only because you are incapable of thinking logically.

> Where is the logic
>that supports your claim that a foreign news service bias is in any
>way connected to domestic news services?

That's not what I said, Dave. Learn to read instead of gazing into
your crystal ball.

>Of course your statement, however ignorant and illogical, still did
>not address my claim which was that foreign news services are just as
>likely to be politically swayed as any in this country. They are not
>immune to agenda driven slant. But the exact degree of bias relative
>to domestic services is irrelevant. You care to deny that?

Absolutely. Any news service is subject to bias simply because must
decide if any given article is newsworthy. US news services are biased
because of corporate ownership influences and target audience
demographics. IOW, the Dutch are far less concerned with American news
than Americans, so an independent Dutch news agency is going to have
far less bias than any US news service, NPR included.

> I suppose
>you would find Al-Jazeera to be the bastion of objectivity?

I don't think Jim claimed to get his news from Al-Jazeera. Or is that
something you divined from your crystal ball?

>>
>>
>><snip>
>>>>Care is not a "simile" for "disagree". When you figure that out, you may

>>>>ask such questions.
>>
>>
>>You need to learn the difference between a 'simile' and a 'metaphor'.
>>Didn't you ever watch that Danny DeVito movie where he played Kotter
>>to a group of Army dimwits?
>
>I wholeheartedly agree with you. Twisty should learn the difference
>between those terms. You did know to whom you were directing your
>comments right?

No, I didn't. But since you didn't spot his error, my statement
stands.

>>>Your word games and semantic shuffle will not allow you to wiggle out
>>>of that so easily. If one does not post their opinions, how do we know
>>>what they think on any topic?
>>
>>
>>Several people have posted opinions that are contrary to your's.
>
>Several? Hardly. Other than you Twisty and sometimes Landshark (Who's
>mostly annoyed at the continuing banter), who else has disagreed with
>my advice on CB radio?

After 10 years of posting I'm sure I could find more than a few in the
archives.

> If you want to talk about politics, there are
>too few facts to make any definitive choice as to who is "right" or
>"wrong".

Regardless, there are -many- people who have posted political opinions
that are contrary to your own warped and subjective whinings.

>>Nobody (except one of your sock puppets) has posted -any- opinion that
>>supports or defends -your- opinions, even in rec.boats.
>
>I have had many supporting opinions. Heck, in rec.boats, the
>conservatives are pretty much even with the liberals.

You must be cross-posting to an alternative universe because that's
not what comes up on my newsreader.

> The
>conservatives mount far better logical arguments. The liberals there
>tend to limit their opinions to blindly regurgitating talking points
>and cut and paste articles written by other people. So much for
>independent thought.

They "regurgitate" their arguments in order to find some path of
understanding through your thick skull and to your brain, assuming you
actually have a brain.

>And I have no sock puppets, your attempt to bolster your own sagging
>credibility by trying to discredit mine notwithstanding. You are
>becoming as paranoid and narcissistic as Twisty.

Doesn't matter since your only supporter has left the building.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3630. Re: John Smith: Read the FCC rules on amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 22:34:36 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <5asle.154$8J1.6257761@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200655

Yanno, I was wondering what goofball would hamper perfectly good engineers
with throwing throttles on perfectly working equipment--I should have known
it was some gov't bureaucracy!!! I guess those kind of idiots are plentiful
since reagan closed down the mental wards in California!

Warmest regards,
John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:9Wrle.151$Bz1.6223995@news.sisna.com...
> If it doesn't cover at least 25-30 Mhz (2-50 Mhz is preferable) it isn't
> of any use to me! And, it isn't any problem to change most any linear to
> cover those freqs.
>
> If you end up with a piece of equip which doesn't cover those freqs any
> college kid with an electronics major can do the mod for you, if you can
> find no one else...(sometimes as simple as just cutting a filter out of
> the circuit, input/output or both)
>
> If all else fails, send me a scanned copy of the schematic diagram and I
> will pencil in the necessary changes for you... scan it, and send the
> changes back to you...
>
> There are two kinds of people in this world:
> 1) Those with reasons why they can't.
> 2) Those who just go ahead and do it!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1117144038.201632.173620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>
>> John Smith wrote:
>>> If one is smart enough to call it an "amateur amplifier" don't they let
>>> it
>>> be sold? Certainly every cb'er in the world is smart enough to know an
>>> amateur linear can be used on 11 meters with very little modification...
>>> Also, check out pages on russian linears, they have 3.5KW rigs which are
>>> very affordable... a friend going/living in canada can possibly grab

>>> one
>>> for you easily too... there are many ways around any roadblock they can
>>> throw up--retired engineers routinely build linears in their garages for
>>> "beer money."
>>>
>>> Warmest regards,
>>> John
>>> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
>>> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1117127844.411985.222670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > Stomper wrote:
>>> >> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > AKC does not allow amp autions here in this NG. This one was ratted to
>>> > ebay.
>>> >
>>
>> If it works outta the box from 25-34 mHz, it is illegal.
>>
>
>

3631. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 22:54:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <MIudnew_hv92zgvfRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.
>
> I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO
> urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.
>
> This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten
> years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.
>
> I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten
> years.
>
> I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route
> as the hobby continues to die out.
>
> TMT
>

It won't die out unless prospective hams choose to believe false statements like "... as the hobby continues to die out". You are attempting to create a self fulfilling prophecy. There are bound to be fluctuations both up and down over the years but at over 600,000 licensed hams we are not yet in any danger of dying out unless people believe unsupported statements like yours.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3632. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 23:16:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pnjc911na0ib3g0pv4fli14p19ndqv8t9i@4ax.com>
Source record: 200657

On Thu, 26 May 2005 14:45:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <pi5c91p526esr9mvdfluufiaobckqdesge@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 05:30:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>Yea I know, our government has pledged it's true allegiance to the
>>>"corporate machine", the free masons, Skull and bones, a "shadow
>>>government" consisting of the descendants of Howard Hughes and the
>>>"Old money" cronies of the industrial age and maybe even gray aliens
>>>from Zeti-Reticuli.
>>
>>
>>Dave, you're a friggin' loon.
>
>I'm just paraphrasing the conspiracy nuts who think our government is
>in bed with big business and a host of other conglomerates.

Zeti-Reticuli?

>>>You complain about the motives of our elected officials, yet insist
>>>that our form of government is the only way to go. That seems to be an
>>>inconsistent position to take. If you don't like your elected
>>>officials, then vote them out next term. But don't complain if the
>>>majority of voters differ from your opinion and override your
>>>selection. That's what majority rule is all about. For every one who
>>>gets what they want, someone else will be unhappy. That's life.
>>
>>
>>Even after -MONTHS- of discussion on the topic you -STILL- don't get
>>it. I'll make this -really- simple so even -you- can understand it:
>
>Why, it's clear that YOU don't understand it.
>
>
>>This is not a "majority rule" country -- it's a country based on the
>>recognition of individual rights and freedoms.
>
>Yes but every time we have an election, the majority picks the winner.

Wrong. The majority of -voters- choose. And the person they choose is
not the "winner", as if being a public official was some sort of
prize. It's not. It's a job. And their job is to work in the best
interests of -ALL- their constituents, not just those that voted them

into office.

And just for your information, your right to vote is granted by the state, not guaranteed by the Constitution. There have been many efforts to add a Constitutional amendment that would guarantee every citizen the right to vote, but each attempt has been blocked by the Republicans. That's just another tidbit you never hear about from the "left-wing liberally biased news media".

>> You have the right to
>>think freely, to speak your opinions openly, to exercise religion as
>>you see fit, to make your own decisions without government influence,
>
>Try to refuse to pay your taxes,

Now -there's- a great idea -- demand that the goverment protect your country and your freedoms then squirm away when the bill comes.

> cry fire in a crowded theater,

Are you so uneducated that you don't even know where that phrase originated?

>attempt to approach an elected official without permission,

Attempt to enter my house without permission and see what happens.

> posses
>contraband,

Contraband, by definition, is illegal.

> or act in a manner which could be construed as suspicious.

You can blame Bush's Patriot Act for that one.

>Your "rights" are limited, to some extent, by the government.

Of course rights have some limitations because there are circumstances where exercising those rights can infringe on the rights of others. How does gay marriage infringe on -your- rights, Dave?

> Some of
>your "rights" are really privileges (try to drive a car without a
>license).

The lack of a driver's license doesn't prevent you from travelling freely, just not with a motor vehicle. Regardless, you can drive a motor vehicle without a license if you are on private property. Kids do it all the time at the go-kart tracks. Farmers do it all the time in their fields. Need more examples of your ignorance?

>>etc, etc; and these rights and freedoms are guaranteed -REGARDLESS- of
>>the opinions of any special-interest group, EVEN IF they represent the
>>majority, and EVEN IF you are a member of that "majority".

>
>But if your guy loses on election day, tough cookies.

If your guy loses on election day, you don't lose the rights and
freedoms that are guaranteed by the Constitution.

>>The USA is NOT a democracy
>
>No, it's a representative republic, loosely based on parliamentary
>rule.
>
>>-- it's a country based on EQUAL RIGHTS and
>>FREEDOMS for EVERY citizen, the "Moral Majority" be damned.
>
>You cannot give everyone what they want. Any fool (Except perhaps you)
>knows that. When people group together with diametrically opposing
>wishes and viewpoints, the largest group usually wins.

When you find a majority that is willing to give up the Constitution
then you let me know.

>> If you
>>don't like it, leave -- hell, I'll even buy your plane ticket! But if
>>you decide to stay, shut the fuck up because you are effectively
>>undermining the integrity of this country with your lies, propoganda,
>>and warped interpretations of the Constitution; and I won't sit by and
>>let that happen because I took an oath to defend both the Constitution
>>and the country.
>
>It's a shame that you took an oath to defend something that you don't
>understand properly. You are a hopeless idealist.

So were the founding fathers.

> Reality is a concept
>that escapes you. You don't even understand that the establishment
>clause does not establish separation of church and state. Nowhere are
>the words separation of church and state in there.

You tried that spin once before and it didn't work. Why would you
think it's going to work if you use it a second time?

>>Either you are for the Constitution or you are against it. So it's
>>time for you to make a choice, Dave -- are you an American or not?
>
>I am for it.

Excellent. Now learn something about it. For starters, try "The
Constitution of the United States: Its Sources and its Application" by
Thomas James Norton. This book should be kept on your desk right next
to your barely-used dictionary and over-worked computer.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3633. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 23:45:06 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <fctle.159$F62.6301808@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200658

```
... on gay marriage, they can do anything they want, but can't marry in my
church which holds gays to be an abomination (I don't share anything in
common with them either so they are best with others of their type, as I am
with mine) however, NO tax breaks for them, NO spousal benefits paid by the
gov't, and NO other hidden costs to taxpayers to support their "lifestyle."
Then let them "marry" all they want...

Regards,
John
```

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:pnjc911na0ib3g0pv4fli14p19ndqv8t9i@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 26 May 2005 14:45:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote in <pi5c91p526esr9mvdfluufiaobckqdesge@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 25 May 2005 05:30:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>><w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Yea I know, our government has pledged it's true allegiance to the
>>>>"corporate machine", the free masons, Skull and bones, a "shadow
>>>>government" consisting of the descendants of Howard Hughes and the
>>>>"Old money" cronies of the industrial age and maybe even gray aliens
>>>>from Zeti-Reticuli.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dave, you're a friggin' loon.
>>
>>I'm just paraphrasing the conspiracy nuts who think our government is
>>in bed with big business and a host of other conglomerates.
>
>
> Zeti-Reticuli?
>
>
>>>>You complain about the motives of our elected officials, yet insist
>>>>that our form of government is the only way to go. That seems to be an
>>>>inconsistent position to take. If you don't like your elected
>>>>officials, then vote them out next term. But don't complain if the
>>>>majority of voters differ from your opinion and override your
>>>>selection. That's what majority rule is all about. For every one who
>>>>gets what they want, someone else will be unhappy. That's life.
>>>
>>>

>>>Even after -MONTHS- of discussion on the topic you -STILL- don't get
>>>it. I'll make this -really- simple so even -you- can understand it:
>>
>>Why, it's clear that YOU don't understand it.
>>
>>
>>>This is not a "majority rule" country -- it's a country based on the
>>>recognition of individual rights and freedoms.
>>
>>Yes but every time we have an election, the majority picks the winner.
>
>
> Wrong. The majority of -voters- choose. And the person they choose is
> not the "winner", as if being a public official was some sort of
> prize. It's not. It's a job. And their job is to work in the best
> interests of -ALL- their constituents, not just those that voted them
> into office.
>
> And just for your information, your right to vote is granted by the
> state, not guaranteed by the Constitution. There have been many
> efforts to add a Constitutional amendment that would guarantee every
> citizen the right to vote, but each attempt has been blocked by the
> Republicans. That's just another tidbit you never hear about from the
> "left-wing liberally biased news media".
>
>
>>> You have the right to
>>>think freely, to speak your opinions openly, to exercise religion as
>>>you see fit, to make your own decisions without government influence,
>>
>>Try to refuse to pay your taxes,
>
>
> Now -there's- a great idea -- demand that the goverment protect your
> country and your freedoms then squirm away when the bill comes.
>
>
>> cry fire in a crowded theater,
>
>
> Are you so uneducated that you don't even know where that phrase
> originated?
>
>
>>attempt to approach an elected official without permission,
>
>
> Attempt to enter my house without permission and see what happens.
>

>
>> posses
>>contraband,
>
>
> Contraband, by definition, is illegal.
>
>
>> or act in a manner which could be construed as suspicious.
>
>
> You can blame Bush's Patriot Act for that one.
>
>
>>Your "rights" are limited, to some extent, by the government.
>
>
> Of course rights have some limitations because there are circumstances
> where exercising those rights can infringe on the rights of others.
> How does gay marriage infringe on -your- rights, Dave?
>
>
>> Some of
>>your "rights" are really privileges (try to drive a car without a
>>license).
>
>
> The lack of a driver's license doesn't prevent you from travelling
> freely, just not with a motor vehicle. Regardless, you can drive a
> motor vehicle without a license if you are on private property. Kids
> do it all the time at the go-kart tracks. Farmers do it all the time
> in their fields. Need more examples of your ignorance?
>
>
>>>etc, etc; and these rights and freedoms are guaranteed -REGARDLESS- of
>>>the opinions of any special-interest group, EVEN IF they represent the
>>>majority, and EVEN IF you are a member of that "majority".
>>
>>But if your guy loses on election day, tough cookies.
>
>
> If your guy loses on election day, you don't lose the rights and
> freedoms that are guaranteed by the Constitution.
>
>
>>>The USA is NOT a democracy
>>
>>No, it's a representative republic, loosely based on parliamentary
>>rule.

>>
>>>-- it's a country based on EQUAL RIGHTS and
>>>FREEDOMS for EVERY citizen, the "Moral Majority" be damned.
>>
>>You cannot give everyone what they want. Any fool (Except perhaps you)
>>knows that. When people group together with diametrically opposing
>>wishes and viewpoints, the largest group usually wins.
>
>
> When you find a majority that is willing to give up the Constitution
> then you let me know.
>
>
>>> If you
>>>don't like it, leave -- hell, I'll even buy your plane ticket! But if
>>>you decide to stay, shut the fuck up because you are effectively
>>>undermining the integrity of this country with your lies, propoganda,
>>>and warped interpretations of the Constitution; and I won't sit by and
>>>let that happen because I took an oath to defend both the Constitution
>>>and the country.
>>
>>It's a shame that you took an oath to defend something that you don't
>>understand properly. You are a hopeless idealist.
>
>
> So were the founding fathers.
>
>
>> Reality is a concept
>>that escapes you. You don't even understand that the establishment
>>clause does not establish separation of church and state. Nowhere are
>>the words separation of church and state in there.
>
>
> You tried that spin once before and it didn't work. Why would you
> think it's going to work if you use it a second time?
>
>
>>>Either you are for the Constitution or you are against it. So it's
>>>time for you to make a choice, Dave -- are you an American or not?
>>
>>I am for it.
>
>
> Excellent. Now learn something about it. For starters, try "The
> Constitution of the United States: Its Sources and its Application" by
> Thomas James Norton. This book should be kept on your desk right next
> to your barely-used dictionary and over-worked computer.
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
> Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----

3634. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 23:51:34 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <eitle.160$c_1.6296640@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee:

That is all fine and dandy, and a scope goat for the why "hams are NOT dying" mantra... what group(so) are a smaller minority than the hams, and why should anyone (even the FCC) bother with such a small group--when--it can be combined with other users and managed much more intelligently, fairly and cheaply... hams are just not that special--this is all ancient technology they have and deal with, the future needs to combine young engineers and scientists into communications so everyone ends up with a better use of the radio spectrum...

The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they build... within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are FRIGHTENING!!!!

Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...

Regards,
John
"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:MIudnew_hv92zgvfRVn-sA@comcast.com...
>
> "Too_Many_Tools" <too_many_tools@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1117078310.573154.258100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>I would agree...the days of hamfests are coming to a close.
>>
>> I was a faithful attendee of Dayton until 1999....since then I have NO
>> urge to go since Ebay serves my needs well.
>>
>> This last summer I went to our local hamfest after not going for ten
>> years...it confirmed that I had not missed anything by not going.
>>
>> I seriously doubt that the Dayton Hamvention will last another ten
>> years.
>>
>> I also suspect we will begin seeing manufacturers going the same route
>> as the hobby continues to die out.
>>
>> TMT
>>
>
> It won't die out unless prospective hams choose to believe false
> statements like "... as the hobby continues to die out". You are

> attempting to create a self fulfilling prophecy. There are bound to be
> fluctuations both up and down over the years but at over 600,000 licensed
> hams we are not yet in any danger of dying out unless people believe
> unsupported statements like yours.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

3635. Re: Outsourcing and the economy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 23:53:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7amc91di6susvgq1b55t6e5fb2mb5mta1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 200660

On Thu, 26 May 2005 15:05:25 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <sn6c919ojdp56m9qfbel9the4tihdjr1lb@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 25 May 2005 07:14:41 -0700, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 26 May 2005 07:57:10 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <c2db91l21r9ni2bv2vg4mmg8hul1honknb@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>So, here we have a double edged sword. We live in a world economy,
>>>with companies from all over the world competing for market share. So,
>>>what's a U.S. based corporation to do? Should it:
>>>
>>>A. Keep its U.S. work force in order to altruistically keep the
>>>American work force employed?
>>>
>>>B. Outsource to a foreign country where labor and overhead is much
>>>cheaper?
>>
>>
>>The answer is A because loyalty must be earned, and American's have a
>>very good long-term memory.
>
>Even if the American company is forced out of business by cheaper
>foreign competitors?

Obviously you didn't read the entire post before starting your reply.

>>>Considering that other countries have no objection to using cheap
>>>foreign labor, and producing products cheaper, the U.S. company is now
>>>at a competitive disadvantage with those products which they are in
>>>direct competition from foreign companies.
>>
>>
>>American workers could be easily protected with import tariffs; but
>>Bush's butt has been kissed (and licked, sucked, wiped and powdered)
>>by corporations seeking cheap labor, so he is pushing for open-border
>>trade agreements with third-world countries.
>
>Tariffs are an overly naive and simplistic answer, which will not
>help. I'll tell you why. First off, the import tariff will raise the
>price of imported goods which drive up the costs that the American
>consumer pays. Then the worker will demand more in raises to
>compensate, and you now have inflation.

Wrong. Import tariffs drive up the cost of -imported- products, which
in turn encourages -domestic- production and manufacturing. The prices
will go up, as will the wages; but the overall effect is that the
domestic economy is stimulated, which more than compensates for any
short-term dips. And for the record, it also reduces the amount paid
for welfare since more people are working.

> Secondly, the U.S. is but ONE
>consumer of goods. American companies trying to compete in foreign
>markets will not have the protection of the tariff and they will
>wither under strong foreign competition which they will not be able to
>match. Also, other countries do not like tariff policies and would
>likely impose tariffs on our goods in retaliation to our tariffs on
>theirs. Surely you can figure out what would happen then.

Wrong on both counts. American innovation and technology is, and has
always been, one of the primary exports of this country. Stimulate the
industrial base and you stimulate people and businesses to be more
innovative (instead of using the word as an advertising gimmick).

>>>Tell me, would you pay 50 - 100% more for a TV or some other product
>>>just to keep the U.S. company here? Considering that the government is
>>>squeezing more and more money out of us in the form of taxes, and the
>>>costs of things like fuel are skyrocketing, we look for the best
>>>bargains in everything we buy.
>>
>>
>>Because the taxes are on the Americans, not on the import corporations
>>(e.g, Walmart, aka 'China Inc.') where they should be.
>
>See above.
>
>
>
>>>And that doesn't cover the foreign market. Would a European pay more
>>>for a U.S. made product over a foreign made product?
>>
>>
>>Depends on where that 'foreign' product was made.
>
>Does it matter? If it's cheaper, they will buy it.

I guess that's why Mercedes, Jags and BMW's sell so well, huh? Didn't
you learn anything in our discussion about how a quality education is
often preferred over a lesser degree? If you did, what part of your
brain is unable to apply the underlying concept to other situations?

>>>What ultimately happens to a U.S. corporation who loses a competitive
>>>edge?
>>
>>
>>Any US corp that chooses to cut American jobs instead of lobbying for
>>import tariffs against foreign competitors is, in the most tactful of

>>terms, economically nearsighted.
>
>So, then, you would rather an American company keep it's American
>workforce in a patriotic corporate suicide attempt, as it folds under
>unmatchable competition from abroad? What if all US companies fold or
>move their corporate headquarters offshore? Then what?

What if all US companies lobbied for import tariffs?

>>>>What happens when there are no more cheap labor countries like China?
>>>>Can you spell double digit inflation??? How about 20% per yr for about
>>>>ten yrs. Maybe even longer or higher inflation rates.
>>>
>>>Yes, inflation is a very real fear.
>>
>>
>>No, it's not. It's a hope. Inflation, in a free market economy, is an
>>'equalizer' -- it's an effect of a surplus of cash in circulation,
>>which usually ends up in the hands of those who need it the most.
>>Historically, inflation hurts the rich and benefits the poor, which is
>>something you never hear from the "left-wing, liberally biased media".
>
>Well that's true to an extent. Those who invest their money in fixed
>rate securities (retired people) will earn more interest, while those
>seeking to borrow, will pay more. But the rich are who generally
>create the jobs that the rest of us work at.

Wrong. The failure of Reaganomics proved that people create their own
jobs when the rich get too greedy. They do so out of necessity.

> If inflation cuts into
>their costs too much, they will have to reduce the workforce or make
>other cuts (outsource?) to keep the margins.

It really doesn't matter since the US is no longer a free-market
economy -- the Federal Reserve has tight (and probably illegal)
control over the money supply and keeps the inflation rate down
artificially.

>>> But when the standard of living
>>>equalizes, then there will be no further incentive to manufacture
>>>overseas. Then factors such as shipping costs will make domestic
>>>manufacturing attractive again for the U.S. market. Inflation may also
>>>be mitigated by market pressures. If people cannot afford to buy as
>>>much, demand goes down. When demand goes down, so does the price.
>>>That's free market 101.
>>
>>
>>You obviously failed Economics 101, and probably never took Macro- or
>>Micro-Economics.
>
>Sigh. You can't get through a post without an insult can you Mr

>Bartender?

Nope. Can you get through a post without a demonstration of your
ignorance and lack of education?

>>Cheap labor will always be available in any country that's poor in
>>natural resources. There are many, and that's not going to change
>>anytime soon. The fact that Iraq's new "government" refused to allow
>>labor unions (a law imposed by Saddam) should be a good indication as
>>to where the next market for cheap labor will be found.
>
>But Iraq is not poor in natural resources.

But Iraq's natural resources are only partially owned and controlled
by Iraq. They were fully owned by Iraq under Saddam, but after his
overthrow many international conglomerates (mostly US and UK oil
companies, most of which include the Bush family as stockholders)
invoked claims that existed prior to Saddam. The people of Iraq are
going to see hardly any of the money that comes from their own
resources -- instead it's going right into the pockets of oil company
fat-cats.

>>>> You can't get something for nothing.
>>>
>>>You don't know just how much truth there is in that statement.
>>
>>
>>Damn straight. Freedom isn't free. Other people paid for your
>>freedoms, Dave. Maybe you should take the time to try and understand
>>why.
>
>I know that freedom is not unlimited.

Freedom isn't free. Period. Quit being a dumbass and learn why.

>>>> In time the US will suffer. Prepare for
>>>>China owning more an dmore of teh US debt and consequently the US'
>>>>economy .
>>>
>>>Ok, We pretty much agree that the road ahead will be a bit bumpy. So
>>>what do we do about it? Can we do anything about it?
>>
>>
>>Push your elected officials to do their job -- make them understand
>>that they are lobbyists for their constituents, not the constituents
>>of lobbyists for special interest groups or corporations.
>
>Well then we need to outlaw all corporate election contributions.

Well gee, Dave, what a novel idea.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups

----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3636. Re: Breaker breaker 19, how 'bout a radio check out there, c'mon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-26 23:59:20 UTC
From: Iman Station 0455 <Iman.Station.0455.1po78i@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iman.Station.0455.1po78i@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 200682

A PROUD FREEBANDER Wrote:
> Yeah we're lookin' for a radio cheack out there, anybody got a copy on
> me, c'mon?

Your Radio Is work'in

--
Iman Station 0455

3637. Re: Breaker breaker 19, how 'bout a radio check out there, c'mon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:05:23 UTC
From: Iman Station 0455 <Iman.Station.0455.1po78j@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iman.Station.0455.1po78j@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 200683

A PROUD FREEBANDER Wrote:
> Yeah we're lookin' for a radio cheack out there, anybody got a copy on
> me, c'mon?

I copy you and your radio's work'n

--
Iman Station 0455

3638. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:11:21 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."

JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.

Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the holders and how those numbers have trended over time.

3639. Re: John Smith: Read the FCC rules on amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:15:15 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117152915.481176.188830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200662

They didn't throttle anything except making it a bit harder for your average illegal CB dork to tear up his neighbors stuff. Not that it matters much, since the illegals still can get amps. By the way, that rule was made in 1978, when the peanut man ran the show.

3640. Re: John Smith: Read the FCC rules on amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:22:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7Ltle.162$oY2.6419591@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200668

Yes, bad ideas never pan out in the end... that is a GOOD THING!!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117152915.481176.188830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> They didn't throttle anything except making it a bit harder for your
> average illegal CB dork to tear up his neighbors stuff. Not that it
> matters much, since the illegals still can get amps. By the way, that
> rule was made in 1978, when the peanut man ran the show.
>

3641. twitlips, answer this stuff with some more Bush bashing lies, fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:22:40 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117153360.317824.87880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200663

Your DIMocrats said much of this stuff LONG before Bush was the President, and more afterwards...so here is the black and white proof you are full of it. Your Goebbelian methods are exposed for the cut and paste fraud they are. I would put a gag on my azz if I was you, you talk out of it way too much, fool.

"One way or the other, we are determined to deny Iraq the capacity to develop weapons of mass destruction and the missiles to deliver them. That is our bottom line."
- President Clinton, Feb. 4, 1998

"If Saddam rejects peace and we have to use force, our purpose is clear. We want to seriously diminish the threat posed by Iraq's weapons

of mass destruction program."
- President Clinton, Feb. 17, 1998

"Iraq is a long way from [the USA], but what happens there matters a great deal here. For the risks that the leaders of a rogue state will use nuclear, chemical or biological weapons against us or our allies is

the greatest security threat we face."
- Madeline Albright, Feb 18, 1998

"He will use those weapons of mass destruction again, as he has ten times since 1983."
- Sandy Berger, Clinton National Security Adviser, Feb, 18, 1998

"[W]e urge you, after consulting with Congress, and consistent with the

U.S.Constitution and laws, to take necessary actions (including, if appropriate, air and missile strikes on suspect Iraqi sites) to respond

effectively to the threat posed by Iraq's refusal to end its weapons of

mass destruction programs."
- Letter to President Clinton, signed by Sens. Carl Levin,Tom Daschle, John Kerry, and others Oct. 9, 1998

"Saddam Hussein has been engaged in the development of weapons of mass destruction technology which is a threat to countries in the region and

he has made a mockery of the weapons inspection process."
- Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D, CA), Dec. 16, 1998

"Hussein has ... chosen to spend his money on building weapons of mass destruction and palaces for his cronies."
- Madeline Albright, Clinton Secretary of State, Nov. 10, 1999

"There is no doubt that ... Saddam Hussein has invigorated his weapons programs. Reports indicate that biological, chemical and nuclear programs continue apace and may be back to pre-Gulf War status. In addition, Saddam continues to redefine delivery systems and is doubtless using the cover of a licit missile program to develop longer-range missiles that will threaten the United States and our allies."
- Letter to President Bush, Signed by Sen. Bob Graham (D, FL,)and others, December 5, 2001

"We begin with the common belief that Saddam Hussein is a tyrant and a threat to the peace and stability of the region. He has ignored the mandated of the United Nations and is building weapons of mass destruction and the means of delivering them."
- Sen. Carl Levin (D, MI), Sept. 19, 2002

"We know that he has stored secret supplies of biological and chemical weapons throughout his country."
- Al Gore, Sept. 23, 2002

"Iraq's search for weapons of mass destruction has proven impossible to

deter and we should assume that it will continue for as long as Saddam is in power."
- Al Gore, Sept. 23, 2002

"We have known for many years that Saddam Hussein is seeking and developing weapons of mass destruction."
- Sen. Ted Kennedy (D, MA), Sept. 27, 2002

"The last UN weapons inspectors left Iraq in October of 1998. We are confident that Saddam Hussein retains some stockpiles of chemical and biological weapons, and that he has since embarked on a crash course to

build up his chemical and biological warfare capabilities. Intelligence

reports indicate that he is seeking nuclear weapons..."
- Sen. Robert Byrd (D, WV), Oct. 3, 2002

"I will be voting to give the President of the United States the authority to use force-- if necessary-- to disarm Saddam Hussein because I believe that the deadly arsenal of weapons of mass destruction in his hands is a real and grave threat to our security."
- Sen. John F. Kerry (D, MA), Oct. 9, 2002

"There is unmistakable evidence that Saddam Hussein is working aggressively to develop nuclear weapons and will likely have nuclear weapons within the next five years ... We also should remember we have always underestimated the progress Saddam has made in development of weapons of mass destruction."
- Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D, WV), Oct 10, 2002

"He has systematically violated, over the course of the past 11 years, every significant UN resolution that has demanded that he disarm and destroy his chemical and biological weapons, and any nuclear capacity.

This he has refused to do"
- Henry Waxman (D, CA), Oct. 10, 2002

"In the four years since the inspectors left, intelligence reports show

that Saddam Hussein has worked to rebuild his chemical and biological weapons stock, his missile delivery capability, and his nuclear program. He has also given aid, comfort, and sanctuary to terrorists, including al Qaeda members .. It is clear, however, that if left unchecked, Saddam Hussein will continue to increase his capacity to wage biological and chemical warfare, and will keep trying to develop nuclear weapons."
- Sen. Hillary Clinton (D, NY), Oct 10, 2002

"We are in possession of what I think to be compelling evidence that Saddam Hussein has, and has had for a number of years, a developing capacity for the production and storage of weapons of mass destruction."
- Sen. Bob Graham (D, FL), Dec. 8, 2002

"Without question, we need to disarm Saddam Hussein. He is a brutal,murderous dictator, leading an oppressive regime ... He presents

a particularly grievous threat because he is so consistently prone to miscalculation ... And now he is miscalculating America's response to his continued deceit and his consistent grasp for weapons of mass destruction ... So the threat of Saddam Hussein with weapons of mass destruction is real ..."
- Sen. John F. Kerry ! (D, MA), Jan. 23. 2003

3642. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:25:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <mOtle.163$Af3.6461513@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200671

Oh yeah, I forgot...
They can take the money out of monopoly games, pretend it is real, and spend it among themselves to... just not in real stores... anything which makes 'em happy--it is their right in a free country...

Warmest regards,
John

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message news:fctle.159$F62.6301808@news.sisna.com...
> ... on gay marriage, they can do anything they want, but can't marry in my
> church which holds gays to be an abomination (I don't share anything in
> common with them either so they are best with others of their type, as I
> am with mine) however, NO tax breaks for them, NO spousal benefits paid by
> the gov't, and NO other hidden costs to taxpayers to support their
> "lifestyle." Then let them "marry" all they want...
>
> Regards,
> John
>
> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:pnjc911na0ib3g0pv4fli14p19ndqv8t9i@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 26 May 2005 14:45:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote in <pi5c91p526esr9mvdfluufiaobckqdesge@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Wed, 25 May 2005 05:30:06 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>><w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Yea I know, our government has pledged it's true allegiance to the
>>>>"corporate machine", the free masons, Skull and bones, a "shadow
>>>>government" consisting of the descendants of Howard Hughes and the
>>>>"Old money" cronies of the industrial age and maybe even gray aliens
>>>>from Zeti-Reticuli.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Dave, you're a friggin' loon.
>>>
>>>I'm just paraphrasing the conspiracy nuts who think our government is
>>>in bed with big business and a host of other conglomerates.
>>
>>
>> Zeti-Reticuli?
>>

>>
>>>>>You complain about the motives of our elected officials, yet insist
>>>>>that our form of government is the only way to go. That seems to be an
>>>>>inconsistent position to take. If you don't like your elected
>>>>>officials, then vote them out next term. But don't complain if the
>>>>>majority of voters differ from your opinion and override your
>>>>>selection. That's what majority rule is all about. For every one who
>>>>>gets what they want, someone else will be unhappy. That's life.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Even after -MONTHS- of discussion on the topic you -STILL- don't get
>>>>it. I'll make this -really- simple so even -you- can understand it:
>>>
>>>Why, it's clear that YOU don't understand it.
>>>
>>>
>>>>This is not a "majority rule" country -- it's a country based on the
>>>>recognition of individual rights and freedoms.
>>>
>>>Yes but every time we have an election, the majority picks the winner.
>>
>>
>> Wrong. The majority of -voters- choose. And the person they choose is
>> not the "winner", as if being a public official was some sort of
>> prize. It's not. It's a job. And their job is to work in the best
>> interests of -ALL- their constituents, not just those that voted them
>> into office.
>>
>> And just for your information, your right to vote is granted by the
>> state, not guaranteed by the Constitution. There have been many
>> efforts to add a Constitutional amendment that would guarantee every
>> citizen the right to vote, but each attempt has been blocked by the
>> Republicans. That's just another tidbit you never hear about from the
>> "left-wing liberally biased news media".
>>
>>
>>>> You have the right to
>>>>think freely, to speak your opinions openly, to exercise religion as
>>>>you see fit, to make your own decisions without government influence,
>>>
>>>Try to refuse to pay your taxes,
>>
>>
>> Now -there's- a great idea -- demand that the goverment protect your
>> country and your freedoms then squirm away when the bill comes.
>>
>>
>>> cry fire in a crowded theater,
>>

>>
>> Are you so uneducated that you don't even know where that phrase
>> originated?
>>
>>
>>>attempt to approach an elected official without permission,
>>
>>
>> Attempt to enter my house without permission and see what happens.
>>
>>
>>> posses
>>>contraband,
>>
>>
>> Contraband, by definition, is illegal.
>>
>>
>>> or act in a manner which could be construed as suspicious.
>>
>>
>> You can blame Bush's Patriot Act for that one.
>>
>>
>>>Your "rights" are limited, to some extent, by the government.
>>
>>
>> Of course rights have some limitations because there are circumstances
>> where exercising those rights can infringe on the rights of others.
>> How does gay marriage infringe on -your- rights, Dave?
>>
>>
>>> Some of
>>>your "rights" are really privileges (try to drive a car without a
>>>license).
>>
>>
>> The lack of a driver's license doesn't prevent you from travelling
>> freely, just not with a motor vehicle. Regardless, you can drive a
>> motor vehicle without a license if you are on private property. Kids
>> do it all the time at the go-kart tracks. Farmers do it all the time
>> in their fields. Need more examples of your ignorance?
>>
>>
>>>>etc, etc; and these rights and freedoms are guaranteed -REGARDLESS- of
>>>>the opinions of any special-interest group, EVEN IF they represent the
>>>>majority, and EVEN IF you are a member of that "majority".
>>>
>>>But if your guy loses on election day, tough cookies.

>>
>>
>> If your guy loses on election day, you don't lose the rights and
>> freedoms that are guaranteed by the Constitution.
>>
>>
>>>>The USA is NOT a democracy
>>>
>>>No, it's a representative republic, loosely based on parliamentary
>>>rule.
>>>
>>>>-- it's a country based on EQUAL RIGHTS and
>>>>FREEDOMS for EVERY citizen, the "Moral Majority" be damned.
>>>
>>>You cannot give everyone what they want. Any fool (Except perhaps you)
>>>knows that. When people group together with diametrically opposing
>>>wishes and viewpoints, the largest group usually wins.
>>
>>
>> When you find a majority that is willing to give up the Constitution
>> then you let me know.
>>
>>
>>>> If you
>>>>don't like it, leave -- hell, I'll even buy your plane ticket! But if
>>>>you decide to stay, shut the fuck up because you are effectively
>>>>undermining the integrity of this country with your lies, propoganda,
>>>>and warped interpretations of the Constitution; and I won't sit by and
>>>>let that happen because I took an oath to defend both the Constitution
>>>>and the country.
>>>
>>>It's a shame that you took an oath to defend something that you don't
>>>understand properly. You are a hopeless idealist.
>>
>>
>> So were the founding fathers.
>>
>>
>>> Reality is a concept
>>>that escapes you. You don't even understand that the establishment
>>>clause does not establish separation of church and state. Nowhere are
>>>the words separation of church and state in there.
>>
>>
>> You tried that spin once before and it didn't work. Why would you
>> think it's going to work if you use it a second time?
>>
>>
>>>>Either you are for the Constitution or you are against it. So it's

>>>>time for you to make a choice, Dave -- are you an American or not?
>>>
>>>I am for it.
>>
>>
>> Excellent. Now learn something about it. For starters, try "The
>> Constitution of the United States: Its Sources and its Application" by
>> Thomas James Norton. This book should be kept on your desk right next
>> to your barely-used dictionary and over-worked computer.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
>> News==----
>> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
>> Newsgroups
>> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
>> =----
>
>

3643. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:26:19 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117153579.217102.21740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

no, you got the truckstop toiletworker's discount!

3644. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:32:13 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <kUtle.164$oE3.6513729@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

that is an interesting question, I think statistics became so bad in 1999 to
2002 that they have began hiding them, what do you have?

Warmest regards,
John

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>
> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>
> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>

3645. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:35:29 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <nXtle.165$mY3.6614147@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

... well, it usually works like that, you find it just after you claim it is
hard to do, minutes later...
http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
http://ah0a.org/FCC/

Warmest regards,
John

"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>
> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>
> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>

3646. x-force amp 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:52:39 UTC
From: harrrlysbaby <harrrlysbaby@tds.net>
Message-ID: <42966f48_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 200665

i have a nice 2 pill (2879) amp for sale. it has high and low. built in cooling fan and is excellent condition. when i use my galaxy dx 66v with it i can get 400 watts out of it on a dosy meter it also has an ssb switch. $250 or best offer. e-mail harrrlysbaby@tds.net

--
Have a nice Day :)

3647. texas star 667v for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:54:35 UTC
From: harrrlysbaby <harrrlysbaby@tds.net>
Message-ID: <42966fbc_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 200666

this amy will do 500 watts, it's an ass kicker with my little set up. asking $275 or best offer. e-mail harrrlysbaby@tds.net

--
Have a nice Day :)

3648. Re: John Smith: Read the FCC rules on amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:55:07 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ndule.168$qk4.6783108@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200670

Yes, it was the peanut man, however, nixon, carter, raygun, clinton, bush--they all work for the same "man"--he who pays the piper calls the tune...

Those guys must really laugh when people can't tell that virtually NOTHING changes from one admin to another... this tune plays on without missing a beat...

Warmest regards,
John

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117152915.481176.188830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> They didn't throttle anything except making it a bit harder for your
> average illegal CB dork to tear up his neighbors stuff. Not that it
> matters much, since the illegals still can get amps. By the way, that
> rule was made in 1978, when the peanut man ran the show.
>

3649. base station wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 00:57:03 UTC
From: harrrlysbaby <harrrlysbaby@tds.net>
Message-ID: <42967050_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 200667

would like to purchase a cobra 2000gtl all original or a president dwight d
in excellent condition. e-mail harrrlysbaby@tds.net
--
Have a nice Day :)

3650. Re: base station wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 01:23:24 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <qjtc9196r4e36inu3c15jhq2l9h455j67l@4ax.com>
Source record: 200673

On Thu, 26 May 2005 20:57:03 -0400, "harrrlysbaby" <harrrlysbaby@tds.net> wrote:

>
>would like to purchase a cobra 2000gtl all original or a president dwight d
>in excellent condition. e-mail harrrlysbaby@tds.net

Good one !!!!!!

Get ready to ante up, and see you on ebay !

Vinnie S.

3651. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 01:26:58 UTC
From: nobody <nobody@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <119cufkp6ij7gcd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 200674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy slippers
is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying to associate
itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly wrong...

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1116900096.072591.322740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> ICOM had Very YL's in bathrobes and slippers.

3652. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 01:42:00 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <8juc91t64r8lbvlj1dgocots6sous95gik@4ax.com>
Source record: 200675

On Thu, 26 May 2005 07:32:30 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>You complain about the motives of our elected officials, yet insist
>that our form of government is the only way to go. That seems to be an
>inconsistent position to take. If you don't like your elected
>officials, then vote them out next term. But don't complain if the
>majority of voters differ from your opinion and override your
>selection. That's what majority rule is all about. For every one who
>gets what they want, someone else will be unhappy. That's life.
*****

First I never stated that our system was the only way to go. While it
has its problems, the good of our system overrides the bad. What I
have stated is that if the citizens do not stand in vigilance of their
elected officials this government will degrade into Facism or a
dictatorship.

Second since I vote, I have the right to complain whether you like it
or not.

Third if I don't like what the elected officials are doing I DO VOTE
against them.

Fourth we have a Constitution to protect the Rights of the Minority
and not the Rights of the Majority. The Majority never needs
protection.

Fifth I don't ask that everything that I want to be enacted. I do
waccept the rule of the majority. I do expect the majority to hear the
voice of the minority and compromise.

james

3653. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 01:51:52 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <m2vc91hjtupcsqsmpplkv3ga92h9ecaq19@4ax.com>
Source record: 200676

On Thu, 26 May 2005 14:45:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>
>I'm just paraphrasing the conspiracy nuts who think our government is
>in bed with big business and a host of other conglomerates.
****

I am not a conspiracy nut. All you have to do is follow the money
trail and it becomes as obvious as the nose on your face that Congress
has been influienced by "money" Senators and Congressmen don't need
warchests of millions of dollars but for one thing, to get relected.
Who contributes to these warchests? Mostly Corporate America and
Foreign Global Companies.

Look at the legislation passed. Who does it favor? Why does Bush and
key republicans want to weaken EPA laws? To help companies that emmit
pollutants. Why? Because the costs to add additional equiptment to
meet the standards impact profits. Lower profits means that either
companies relocate outside the US or pass on the increased costs to
consumers. Yes companies use blackmail to get legislation in their
favor. They don't always get what they want and at times they get none
of what they want. But if y ou think that Corporate America does not
have any influence on Congress then I suggest that you come out of
Wonderland and quit chasing rabbits.

james

3654. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 01:59:29 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <ejvc91h28ocvhopegngnkbs4qtaa3nn3q6@4ax.com>
Source record: 200677

On Thu, 26 May 2005 14:45:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>Yes but every time we have an election, the majority picks the winner.
*****

Actually a majority of the voters that vote. In reality, there has not
been a president elected in the past 50yrs with a majority of eligable
voters. The 1996 election had only 49.6% of voting age population
turnout to vote. IN 2000 it was 50.1%. In 1960 over 65% of Voting age
Population voted. Voter turnouts of all voting age population in
national elections have dropped steadily since 1964.

Basically GW Bush was elected with about 30% of all voting age
population in this country.

If you want to research more try www.fec.gov. That is the Federal
Elections Commision.

james

3655. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 02:04:54 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <330d91d39vftl5ne0l8f8ei575qrhct35i@4ax.com>
Source record: 200678

On Thu, 26 May 2005 14:45:02 -0400, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>>etc, etc; and these rights and freedoms are guaranteed -REGARDLESS- of
>>the opinions of any special-interest group, EVEN IF they represent the
>>majority, and EVEN IF you are a member of that "majority".
>
>But if your guy loses on election day, tough cookies.
******

Hello it is not tough cookies. The preisident does not have carte
blanche to just crush those that did not vote for him into the dirt.

G W Bush is not the president of republicans. He is the president of
all political parties. Any president has to realize that he must weigh
the needs of all the people of this country and not beholden to his
party. That is where the partizen politics have degraded in this
country over the past 40 yrs.

3656. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admits failures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 02:32:42 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <kb0d91hekfpqhgu20pcoucq7ejs961hhp4@4ax.com>
Source record: 200679

On Thu, 26 May 2005 16:16:32 -0700, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>Zeti-Reticuli?
******

I think he meant Zeta Reticuli. A binary star system in the
Constelation Reticulum. Composed of two stars Zeta1 and Zeta2. Both
are 5th magnitude stars and are visable from the most extreme southern
parts of the Northern Hemisphere.

james

3657. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfailures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 02:39:39 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <q12d91t4f7jguevasdfjgdbgp16jmlpqoh@4ax.com>
Source record: 200680

On Wed, 25 May 2005 13:49:42 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>James:
>
>If you haven't noticed, we ARE right in the middle of the right place to
>find enemies... if you don't think there are tightly knit groups of
>radicals right in Iraq and most of the other surrounding countries, think
>again... better to fight them there than here... at least the gauntlet has
>been thrown down on foreign soil and the battles and war can take place
>there... life goes on as usual here, children attend school, retired people
>vacation and there are NO suicide bombings or terrorist attacks--there is
>enough there to busy their hands... let the war stay there...
>
>Warmest regards,
>John
****

Personally I do not like the idea of fighting radicals like the
insurgents of Iraq on their home land. Not a good strategy. They will
play the game of attritian. They will die to remove us. The only way
to fight that kind of fight was lost two years ago. We should have
pacified the country and we did not. Now we have to fight a war in
which the enemy refuses to show their face.

They are willing to sacrifice bodies to kill us. Each one of them that
dies is a hero and a martyr. In reality we can never truly win in
Iraq. The best that we now can hope for is a situation where both
sides will grow so tired of fighting that they wish to quit. Five
years from now Iraq will be an Islamic state very much like Iran.
Irregardless of what we do now. I predict that the democracy that we
set up, will not last beyond five yrs.

james

3658. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 02:54:45 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117162485.610739.296400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Unfortunately I don't have much...only rumor and innuendo.

The FCC statistics are interesting and show what appears to be a gradual decline in membership - The total of renewals plus new licenses is less than total expirations. The FCC staff analysis in 2000 was not exactly glowing in it's projection about the future - kind of a gradual decline. It would be interesting to see the data over a longer period of time but I believe FCC changed it's methods around 2000.

What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to know the answer to.

There may be a point in the future where the new loss of members begins to increase but there is no way to forecast that because licenses are good for 10 years and there is no way to project future expirations because we don't have any idea what current license usage is.

3659. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while Presidential Commission admitsfailures.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:06:12 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <H8wle.180$ZP5.7283830@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200684

Well, a cleaver man (group) could escalate it, wipe 'em out and be back to
business... it has been done before--I think of this time period as giving
them a chance--frankly, I think that time has just about ended, I am ready
for a more aggressive stance...

Warmest regards,
John

"james" <george@washington.edu> wrote in message
news:q12d91t4f7jguevasdfjgdbgp16jmlpqoh@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 May 2005 13:49:42 -0700, "John Smith"
> <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>James:
>>
>>If you haven't noticed, we ARE right in the middle of the right place to
>>find enemies... if you don't think there are tightly knit groups of
>>radicals right in Iraq and most of the other surrounding countries, think
>>again... better to fight them there than here... at least the gauntlet
>>has
>>been thrown down on foreign soil and the battles and war can take place
>>there... life goes on as usual here, children attend school, retired
>>people
>>vacation and there are NO suicide bombings or terrorist attacks--there is
>>enough there to busy their hands... let the war stay there...
>>
>>Warmest regards,
>>John
> ****
>
> Personally I do not like the idea of fighting radicals like the
> insurgents of Iraq on their home land. Not a good strategy. They will
> play the game of attritian. They will die to remove us. The only way
> to fight that kind of fight was lost two years ago. We should have
> pacified the country and we did not. Now we have to fight a war in
> which the enemy refuses to show their face.
>
> They are willing to sacrifice bodies to kill us. Each one of them that
> dies is a hero and a martyr. In reality we can never truly win in
> Iraq. The best that we now can hope for is a situation where both
> sides will grow so tired of fighting that they wish to quit. Five
> years from now Iraq will be an Islamic state very much like Iran.
> Irregardless of what we do now. I predict that the democracy that we
> set up, will not last beyond five yrs.
>

> james
>

3660. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:18:38 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4296918E.E2006AFD@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200685

> Long time no hear. You have a hardware solution (the router) and it is an
> *excellent* solution of course. I was speaking in generalities, of course,

My EchoLink (AOL chatroom for hams) has been on at night. Give it a ring...

3661. Re: Oops, someone needs a firewall.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:19:36 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429691C8.C930DF7D@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200686

> My EchoLink (AOL chatroom for hams) has been on at night. Give it a ring...

I just added you to my alarms.

3662. Re: SUV question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:22:09 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42969261.7C062E91@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200687

Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> Who is willing to sacrifice their children so I can afford to drive a
> Chevy Suburban?

The hell with the Suburban. You can burn at least twice the gas in a Hummer!

3663. Re: FS Radio Shack SSB CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:23:18 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429692A6.89A05F1F@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200688

chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC wrote:
>
> what's the model number? As in TRC-***, TRC-449, TRC-490, whatever?

TRC-451 (Cobra 146)
TRC-465 (PC-122)

3664. Re: FS Radio Shack SSB CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:23:27 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429692AF.C30A2E19@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200689

chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC wrote:
>
> what's the model number? As in TRC-***, TRC-449, TRC-490, whatever?

TRC-451 (Cobra 146)
TRC-465 (PC-122)

3665. Re: FS Radio Shack SSB CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:23:38 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429692BA.D3CB006C@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200690

chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC wrote:
>
> what's the model number? As in TRC-***, TRC-449, TRC-490, whatever?

TRC-451 (Cobra 146)
TRC-465 (PC-122)

3666. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:24:50 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <42969302.1CD95F5E@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

-.-. --.- ?

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Hi gang!
>
> Just for some grins, check this out:
> http://www.lildobe.net/video/
>
> It will take a bit of time for the folks on dial-up, but it is worth
> remembering that those two guys were not setting any speed records. It
> sounded about like the commercial CW circuits on the marine bands I listened
> to about 37 years ago ....
>
> The more things change, the more they stay the same.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

3667. Re: Breaker breaker 19, how 'bout a radio check out there, c'mon?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 03:47:47 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FLwle.183$8R6.7476285@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200693

DAMN MUD-DUCK... git yerself a begger radidio!!!
<grin>

Regards,
John

"A PROUD FREEBANDER" <cb_outlaw4life@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1116185703.247507.293120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Yeah we're lookin' for a radio cheack out there, anybody got a copy on
> me, c'mon?
>

3668. Re: FS Radio Shack SSB CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 04:08:49 UTC
From: Lou <Spamnot@Nospam.com>
Message-ID: <n3xle.77205$NC6.6853@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 200692

"Scott in Baltimore" <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote in message
news:429692AF.C30A2E19@yapoo.com...
>
>
> chicken boy coward mopathetic is scared of AKC wrote:
>>
>> what's the model number? As in TRC-***, TRC-449, TRC-490, whatever?
>
> TRC-451 (Cobra 146)
> TRC-465 (PC-122)

Not sure if this is the post I had made or not - that you're replying to,
but I too had a RS SSB CB for sale and did advertise it on here - before my
last computer died. It is a TRC-449. In reasonable shape considering age.
Seems to work ok. Comes with stock mic. "MAY" need tuned up.

3669. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 05:40:47 UTC
From: Jack Hamilton <jfh@acm.org>
Message-ID: <n2cd915f7vkptdgamu36hip868j9hq9ng2@4ax.com>
Source record: 200694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they build...
>within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two
>decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are
>FRIGHTENING!!!!
>
>Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...

And people under 40 (or under 50, for that matter) aren't going to
bother with Morse Code, for the most part.

It will be interesting to see what the license numbers look like in a
few years in countries that have eliminated the code requirement. Will
the numbers be better there? For that matter, are other countries
seeing the same apparent declines that we see in the US?

--
Jack Hamilton
Sacramento, California
--
<> Qui vit sans folie n'est pas si sage qu'il croit.
<> Franï¿½ois VI, duc de La Rochefoucauld

3670. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 06:41:08 UTC
From: Roger <Glendale@WVByGod>
Message-ID: <d76fdh$21b$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 200695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I still think we should send bb a Get Well card.
Father's Day is upcoming and bb is not well.

3671. Re: twitlips, answer this stuff with some more Bush bashing lies, fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 07:25:53 UTC
From: johnvonlaws@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1117178753.607825.60600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200696

Do us all a favor and suck his dick.

3672. Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 13:07:49 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42971b29.3887484@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 200697

I know you always do a BBQ on memorial weekend. Whats on the BBQ
this weekend?

3673. Re: Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 13:36:04 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <8nFle.2053$rY6.360@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200698

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:42971b29.3887484@news.easynews.com...
> I know you always do a BBQ on memorial weekend. Whats on the BBQ
> this weekend?

Top of the morning to ya!!!

Funny you should mention that..... PRIME RIB!!!!
Going to use a soy/honey marinade the night before.
Then I have a rub I make that consists of: Onion powder,
cumin (spelling), hickory salt, Salt, Pepper, paprika and
a couple of other thrown it for good luck.
Then I'm going to sslllllllooooooowwwwwww cook
it approx 6 to 8 hours on the Q, using apple wood chips.
I've been doing a lot of slow, indirect smoking type cooking
as of late, turning out to be the best.
I've got a built-in brick Q, but I added a smoker style top
along with a smoker box that drops onto the coal (I use
mesquite charcoal), so indirect smoke cooking has been
great as of late.

Have a great Holiday! On Saturday I'm taking my son
to a train museum. Sunday, go for a ride on the bike
over to the Bay Area to a friends house and hangout around
his pool drinking beer. Monday, hangout with the family in
the backyard, slow cook that Prime Rib and soak in the Hot tub! It just
don't get much better ;)

Landshark

--
Kindness is the language which the deaf
can hear and the blind can see.

3674. Re: Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 14:06:39 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4298286d.7282875@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 200699

On Fri, 27 May 2005 13:36:04 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:42971b29.3887484@news.easynews.com...
>> I know you always do a BBQ on memorial weekend. Whats on the BBQ
>> this weekend?
>
> Top of the morning to ya!!!
>
> Funny you should mention that.......... PRIME RIB!!!!
>Going to use a soy/honey marinade the night before.
>Then I have a rub I make that consists of: Onion powder,
>cumin (spelling), hickory salt, Salt, Pepper, paprika and
>a couple of other thrown it for good luck.
>Then I'm going to sslllllllooooooowwwwwwww cook
>it approx 6 to 8 hours on the Q, using apple wood chips.
>I've been doing a lot of slow, indirect smoking type cooking
>as of late, turning out to be the best.
> I've got a built-in brick Q, but I added a smoker style top
>along with a smoker box that drops onto the coal (I use
>mesquite charcoal), so indirect smoke cooking has been
>great as of late.
>
> Have a great Holiday! On Saturday I'm taking my son
>to a train museum. Sunday, go for a ride on the bike
>over to the Bay Area to a friends house and hangout around
>his pool drinking beer. Monday, hangout with the family in
>the backyard, slow cook that Prime Rib and soak in the Hot tub! It just
>don't get much better ;)
>
> Landshark

Sounds good, we are going to try and perfect our baby backs this
weekend. My wife has her dry rub down pretty good, I just need to
settle on a mop sauce. And of course do some beer sampling. :-)
Any rate, its going to be a fun weekend rain or not. Supposed to rain
all weekend down here.

Have a great Holiday...

3675. Re: twitlips, answer this stuff with some more Bush bashing lies, fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 14:15:21 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117203321.554401.327270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200700

johnvonlaws@hotmail.com wrote:
> Do us all a favor and suck his dick.

The last refuge of those who cannot produce a coherent response,
remarks like that.

AKC doesnt do things like that,Keyclowns do. mopathetic and twitlips
especially. You could call 'em outta a truckstop toilet and buy 'em a
bus ticket, maybe.

3676. Re: x-force amp 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 14:21:06 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117203666.167479.293700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200701

ratted out

3677. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 14:38:36 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 200703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> interest wanes.

I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
prices higher than ever.

3678. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 15:21:21 UTC
From: Too_Many_Tools <too_many_tools@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117207281.823516.14040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dee,

I am basing my comments on what I see within the hobby.

When I went to Dayton, I was a member of a group of about 30 members who spent significant time and money to go and we did that for many years.

>From that group, now only less than five people go to Dayton and even those only go every couple years.

These are people who do hold licenses but use them less and less if at all. Many have since sold their stations.

Ham radio is in its twilight years and as the old guard dies off which is happening at an ever increasing rate, the slide into obscurity will accelerate.

As has been noted earlier, take a hard look at the demographics of the attendees of the Dayton Hamvention. It is obvious to the most casual observer that the age of the attendees is heavily weighted to the 50-60+ year range. Give them another ten years and the numbers decline further, it is doubtful that they will have the numbers to sustain the costs incurred to host the Hamvention.

I also think that manufacturers are preparing for this decline. The recent cancellation of the Drake R8B indicates that the hobby is not capable of supporting its equipment needs or the development of new product. The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as interest wanes.

Your thoughts?

TMT

3679. Re: Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 22:05:33 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <NQMle.15917$bD5.5358@fe07.lga>
Source record: 200704

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in news:Xns9663A663B57421234567890@216.196.97.136:

> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> news:42971b29.3887484@news.easynews.com:
>
>> I know you always do a BBQ on memorial weekend. Whats on the
>> BBQ
>> this weekend?
>>
>
> LandsharK said:
> Tube steak smothered in mens underwear with a side of transexual
> tossed salad...
>

..and my name is geo and I X-No-Archive my troll spew to
keep it off google. sorry i can't help myself...

3680. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:12:27 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <lP6dnUZzStrsWgrfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Source record: 200720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Siegfried Kemper wrote:
> In article <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>>
>>>The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>>>line is another indicator that money is being spent
>
> elsewhere as
>
>>>interest wanes.
>>
>>I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear
>
> going for
>
>>prices higher than ever.
>
>
> Of course they are, of course they are, because the obsolete
> license BOY says so!
>
>
>
Go back your stale beer and drugs wogie, no one is paying any attention
to what you have to say. Say, how many times do you use that
Restricted Radio Operators *Permit* you keep bragging about? You know,
the one where you don't even take a test, just fill out an FCC form.
What happened to that Second Class ticket you claimed to have? Did the
FCC make you retest for that one too and you failed?
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

3681. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:17:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527191730.305$Nh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> > interest wanes.
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> prices higher than ever.
>
There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner
it seemed.

3682. Re: Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:23:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527192324.108$au@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200706

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
>Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>

>I'm gonna enjoy beating you to a pulp.
>
Hi chrissy, remember when you used to use these nyms? <g>

Hi de Ho Crabby, Prozac, World Wide Proziac,
Rock Lobster, Reptile, Lennie Beecroft,
Black dragon, Whiskey, The Chosen One,
Auntie, Capn Jack, Professor Smokes Sausages,
BadaBing, Deep Blue Sea, Humphrey Cry Baby,
Helmut Sweeny, Voobie Brutha, Seven and Out,
Uncle Fester, Mugabi, China Grove, Auntie,
Dweebo Spanka, Smooth Criminal,
Man Child Lover, Rotec, Pro@Zac.com,
Crap out, Chocolate Lover, Hans Von Gruber,
Kilo Watt Kid, Proziac, Gay Old Man In SF,
Green Nat, Warlord, ProzacHolic, Green Mamba,
Popeye, 1Anti@aaron.com, Beer Bottle,
Moe Larry Curly, RecRadioPolice,
Black Dragon, Old Man In Pa., Davemade1,
Old Man, Amish Man, 3-500zees,
LandsharkSux@aol.com, "?" Riddler
Read Em, And Another, New World Order,
etc etc etc,

Now you grace us with such wisdom as this:

Since December 12 2004

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> destination.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have
> any balls

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> correctional Facility i am locked up.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> Nagger you are a liar,
> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
> him trip over himself repying to this message.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> ever heard ofsub seven??

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Insane in the membrane.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You queer assclown

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking clueless douchebag.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You suck and lancer swallows.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> out and burns down your trailer.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> exactly i dont you stupid fuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> oxycotnin.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> guess again assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL, you fucking sissy...

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i am not a sock puppet but you are

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> steveo taslks shit
> without ever backing it up oh yes

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Negro please

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I know you wont to pussy to do so.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> your a fucking jew aren't you?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats not george you clueless assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> read what the fuck I tpye

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did yur mother have anything that lived?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you befriendung him for other
> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as usual.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
> now she is a real twat from what i hear.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No one is on your leg dickhead, now go suck some more dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> hahaha you are truely clueless you ass loving faggot. That is a 100% lie

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> his wife is out getting banged while he sits at homne on the usenet

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> No that i fucked your ex- old lady was better.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> ur wife usually makes a special delivery and takes a load home with her.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL I say ask your
> wife mopedick... she likes the taste of my cock.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Ill tell you what Ninnie Ill stand in the street taking pictures what are
> you gonna do about it...degoboi

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> we shall see Ninnie, we shall see. Thanks fer the invite. hope ur are
> home when i come by

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry not even original..assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote
> yOUR WIFE SAID SHE LIKES MY DICK AFETR FUCKING HER. SHE SAID YOU LIKE
> MEN, LANDSHARK COMES TO MIND.

Carry on, retard.

3683. WTF is wrong with this coward?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:25:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527192526.290$ta@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200707

http://img185.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly3dc.jpg

3684. JOB WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:27:33 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4297ACE5.6113BC19@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200708

Subject: JOB WANTED

A man goes into the employment office in Los Angeles, there aren't many jobs so it doesn't take him long. Then, just as he's on his way out, he spots something.
"Wanted," it says, "Single man, willing to travel, must have own scissors. $500 a day guaranteed, plus company car and all expenses."
Well, it sounds a bit too good to be true, but he makes a note and walks up at the counter.
"I'd like to apply for this job," he says, "reference number E/784/B46."
"Oh, that one," says the clerk. "It's a model agency right here in Los Angeles. They're looking for a pubic hair snipper. "You see, they supply girls who model underwear and bathing suits, and before they go on the catwalk, they'd report to you and you have to snip off any wisps of pubic hair that are showing. It pays well, but there are a few drawbacks. It involves quite a lot of travel. The Bahamas, Tahiti, Paris, London... that sort of thing... and you have to get used to expense account living in first-class hotels."
"I reckon I could learn to live with all that," says the fellow. "I'd really like to apply for the job."
The clerk shrugs and says, "OK, here's an application form and a bus ticket to Fresno."
"Fresno? What do I wanna go to Fresno for?"
"Well," says the clerk, "that's where the end of the application line is at the moment!"

3685. Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:31:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527193131.821$zG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200709

Didja get my email?

3686. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:42:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527194231.360$SH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200710

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 27 May 2005 23:31:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Didja get my email?
>
> Nope, been on the road, will check it now...
>
No biggie. :)

3687. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:43:55 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <1hbf91p0vkbjp5b7967g8p9te0s6l8uu31@4ax.com>
Source record: 200711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
ebay.

to see what I mean go to the " radio: CB, Ham, & Shortwave "
category and type in Collins and then look at the prices that the
640 " closed out " items have brought and be stunned !!!!!!!

used drake gear is right there behind collins too ....

some examples of recent collins sales :
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776496330&rd=1
gasp........
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5776923356&rd=1
double gasp ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5776501846&rd=1
omg .....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=5775948196&rd=1
huh ???? ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=5774578908&rd=1
numbing ....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=5775229238&rd=1

and 100s more, recently .... simply shocking used prices
.... if this is a " drop " in prices I wouldn't want to see
the higher ones !!!!!!!!

k..............

On 27 May 2005 23:17:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
>> > interest wanes.
>>
>> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
>> prices higher than ever.
>>
>There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner
>it seemed.

3688. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-27 23:49:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527194920.242$M4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
> a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> ebay.
>
> to see what I mean go to the " radio: CB, Ham, & Shortwave "
> category and type in Collins and then look at the prices that the
> 640 " closed out " items have brought and be stunned !!!!!!!
>
> used drake gear is right there behind collins too ....
>
> some examples of recent collins sales :
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776496
> 330&rd=1 gasp........
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57769233
> 56&rd=1 double gasp ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57765018
> 46&rd=1 omg .....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4671&item=57759481
> 96&rd=1 huh ???? ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57745789
> 08&rd=1 numbing ....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=57752292
> 38&rd=1
>
> and 100s more, recently .... simply shocking used prices
> .... if this is a " drop " in prices I wouldn't want to see
> the higher ones !!!!!!!!
>
> k..............
>
> On 27 May 2005 23:17:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> >> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> >> > line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> >> > interest wanes.
> >>
> >> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> >> prices higher than ever.
> >>
> >There was lots of Collins stuff at Dayton. The 30-L1 was on every corner

> >it seemed.
>
I,ve had an S-line for at least 20 years or more, they are a very
dependable boat anchor and also forgiving to the occasional dumb-ass attack
that we all suffer from time to time.

3689. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 00:16:26 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1117239386.114474.179390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

nobody wrote:
> Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy slippers
> is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying to associate
> itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly wrong...

In 1976, outside of Ft Lee Army Installation, there were women shopping
at the grocery store with bathrobes and bare feet. The grocery store
was next to Section 8 housing.

3690. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 00:18:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527201836.100$IO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote:
> nobody wrote:
> > Been to your local mall lately?... Young girls in pajamas and fuzzy
> > slippers is apparently the "in" thing right now, but if Icom is trying
> > to associate itself with this type of crowd there's something terribly
> > wrong...
>
> In 1976, outside of Ft Lee Army Installation, there were women shopping
> at the grocery store with bathrobes and bare feet. The grocery store
> was next to Section 8 housing.
>
Soul train was a hit too? <g>

3691. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 00:22:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527202246.413$RH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200715

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 27 May 2005 23:42:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 27 May 2005 23:31:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Didja get my email?
> >>
> >> Nope, been on the road, will check it now...
> >>
> >No biggie. :)
>
> Check now, the answer is yes I know...
>
Cool, Have a great weekend man. I had the Plymouth out for about an hour
before it started raining, the old dog/new engine seems strong except I may
have to go to electric fans with cowling. It gets up to about 210 while
waiting for a train to pass. (minor details)

3692. Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 00:55:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527205537.243$2g@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200716

Do I still have two cases pending against me?

3693. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 01:07:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527210711.873$zf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200717

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 28 May 2005 00:22:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 27 May 2005 23:42:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> On 27 May 2005 23:31:31 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Didja get my email?
> >> >>
> >> >> Nope, been on the road, will check it now...
> >> >>
> >> >No biggie. :)
> >>
> >> Check now, the answer is yes I know...
> >>
> >Cool, Have a great weekend man. I had the Plymouth out for about an hour
> >before it started raining, the old dog/new engine seems strong except I
> >may have to go to electric fans with cowling. It gets up to about 210
> >while waiting for a train to pass. (minor details)
>
> Great, have a nice time Steve.. I have the same problem with my
> wife's 65 Mustang.. Driive fast, thats what she does...
>
Yea but that's just a notch too hot for my liking, some of it is due
to tbeing a brand new build so it's still a little too tight to
let er rip. Once I get 500 or so miles on it the operating temp will
drop 10-20 degrees. My problem (i think) is I don't have any cowling
around the flex-fan right now, so the cooling air isn't ducted to the
block it cools the hood instead.

Summit has a decent electric rig for about $200 with a thermosat that
will ease my worries soon. Not enough time in the day right now for
me. :)

3694. FS Cobra 142 GTL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 01:08:33 UTC
From: N1RSP <n1rsp@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <lwPle.2706$Lb.673@trndny05>
Source record: 200718

Have for sale a Cobra 142 GTL with two desk mikes.One is the infamous Silver Eagle which is wired for the radio.The other is a Turner Super Sidekick which is not wired for the radio.It has a three prong plug on it.
I have no idea what the package is worth,so I will take offers on it and will sell it to someone.It is hooked up now at my home and sounds great and I get good reports on my transmits.I have no clue as to if it has been modified or if it is stock.So lets here some offers and not a bunch of Bull---- .

--
73s
Grid 42
IRLP Node # 4162
The Home of The Perfect Storm

3695. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 01:11:10 UTC
From: Siegfried Kemper <bounce@parsifal.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <0CDJRMJI38499.8815509259@si93kru1.poster>
Source record: 200719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <4KKdnf8JN4Mm0wrfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> > The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> > line is another indicator that money is being spent
elsewhere as
> > interest wanes.
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear
going for
> prices higher than ever.

Of course they are, of course they are, because the obsolete
license BOY says so!

3696. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 01:33:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncijYaf0VwrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Too_Many_Tools wrote:
> Dee,
>
> I am basing my comments on what I see within the hobby.
>
> When I went to Dayton, I was a member of a group of about 30 members
> who spent significant time and money to go and we did that for many
> years.
>
>>From that group, now only less than five people go to Dayton and even
> those only go every couple years.
>
> These are people who do hold licenses but use them less and less if at
> all. Many have since sold their stations.

Well there ya go! These folk have run their course in Ham radio and are bored and dropping out. Happens in a lot of hobbies

> Ham radio is in its twilight years and as the old guard dies off which
> is happening at an ever increasing rate, the slide into obscurity will
> accelerate.

Any hobby dies out for the person that loses interest.

> As has been noted earlier, take a hard look at the demographics of the
> attendees of the Dayton Hamvention. It is obvious to the most casual
> observer that the age of the attendees is heavily weighted to the
> 50-60+ year range. Give them another ten years and the numbers decline
> further, it is doubtful that they will have the numbers to sustain the
> costs incurred to host the Hamvention.

Making a demographic out of the people at Dayton? Just how many young kids are you expecting to see there?

I don't doubt that the Ham radio population is likely an older one. I also don't doubt that demographics for a lot of hobbies are shifting. It wasn't until my 40s that I started to get enough spare time to even think about a hobby. I tried Amateur astronomy, but the wx in central PA is kinda like Seattle, and I looked into amateur radio. BTW, if you think Ham radio is graying, Amateur astronomy would shock you.

The young ones will come into the hobby. We just have to wait for them to grow up.

> I also think that manufacturers are preparing for this decline. The
> recent cancellation of the Drake R8B indicates that the hobby is not

> capable of supporting its equipment needs or the development of new
> product.

Yeah, how many hams do you think ever had a Drake R8B? And of those who do, how many use it as part of their station?

The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
> interest wanes.

Personally I think any price drop in Collins equipment is on account of potential buyers regaining sanity. At Dayton this year, there were a lot of them. Some sold, a fair number didn't. Especially at the one tent where the people were trying to sell them for way over their worth.

Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the price of a decent new rig.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3697. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 01:35:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncujYadpVwrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> Too_Many_Tools wrote:
>
>> The drop in the prices in collectibles such as the Collins
>> line is another indicator that money is being spent elsewhere as
>> interest wanes.
>
>
> I don't know where you are seeing that, I see Collins gear going for
> prices higher than ever.

So many came out this year at Dayton that potential buyers could pretty much call the shots. After all, you can ask for @ kilobucks for an old Collins, but is it worth that much if no one buys it for that much?

- Mike KB3EIA -

3698. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 01:39:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UtqdncqjYadPVgrfRVn-1g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula wrote:
>
> a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> ebay.
>

They look like they are down a bit too me. Certainly many of the brand displayed at Dayton had similar or higher prices.

Those sat too. I think the bloom is off that rose.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

3699. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 01:46:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050527214650.447$Tz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> krackula wrote:
> >
> > a number of collins gear models continue to bring in " staggering "
> > used prices .... this and the interest / prices keep rising on
> > ebay.
> >
>
> They look like they are down a bit too me. Certainly many of the
> brand displayed at Dayton had similar or higher prices.
>
> Those sat too. I think the bloom is off that rose.....
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
A lot -o- stuff sat at Dayton, in fact I don't recall many people carrying
anything besides their pocket-protector, and muti-ht's.

3700. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 02:17:18 UTC
From: Ted <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <OwQle.1801$MI4.295@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 200725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
>"John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote in message
>news:1117152681.153277.68190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> "Those under 40 would best pilot this ship..."
>>
>> JS> But look at the pilots of the ARRL.
>>
>> Do you know of a source for reliable data on ham licenses that drills
>> down below the often quoted total licenses outstanding. Are there any
>> studies that estimate the number of active licenses and ages of the
>> holders and how those numbers have trended over time.
>>

John Smith wrote in message ...
>... well, it usually works like that, you find it just after you claim it
is
>hard to do, minutes later...
>http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html
>http://ah0a.org/FCC/
>
>Warmest regards,
>John

It looks like the bottom line is that back in
June 1997 there were 678,473 licensed hams
and in May of 1995 there were 664,972 licensed hams.
Thats a decrease of 2 percent over 8 years. Its a decline but not by much.

Does anyone have statistics that go back three or four decades??

3701. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 03:03:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <9cRle.1422$OE4.187@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 200726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Scott in Baltimore" <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote in message
news:42969302.1CD95F5E@yapoo.com...
> -.-. --.- ?

Hello, Scott

Where the heck have you been of late? I gotta laugh, I have to make the sounds to copy the -.-. stuff on the computer. Dots and dashes make little sense to me - sounds, however, are something else.

I'm back on a DSL account again. Whew! Dial-up was a killer.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3702. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 03:18:40 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <i0nf91pohrmper5gfcfc1olr28jvpb6s2j@4ax.com>
Source record: 200727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>price of a decent new rig.
>
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
or it's applications ....

for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
, full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band. no comparison
at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.

obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job
.... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
...... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

k.................

3703. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 03:42:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <M_ednY96V-M3dQrfRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 200728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:30 -0400, Mike Coslo wrote:

> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
> price of a decent new rig.

Antiquities are only worth what people are willing to pay for them
including old tube junk. 10 to 15 years ago an old Chrysler Hot Rod from
the 60's or 70's wasn't worth much, now you can't touch one in very good
shape for less than 3 to 4 times the original selling price new. Go figure.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3704. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 04:08:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:

> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
> know the answer to.

What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would provide a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one. For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question, and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants, or you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market share, i.e. license numbers.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3705. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 04:26:14 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2nsf911jbvdterfig3iin9kfp500ok4rqs@4ax.com>
Source record: 200730

On 28 May 2005 00:55:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Do I still have two cases pending against me?

??????????

Vinnie S.

3706. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 04:31:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050528003159.089$d0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200731

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 28 May 2005 00:55:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Do I still have two cases pending against me?
>
> ??????????
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Before Dayton he threw out a couple lame-ass quivers, and that was one of
them. Somehow I don't expect him to admit it now tho..

I fart in his general direction.

3707. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 04:33:48 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <QwSle.75$Af5.3116198@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not interested in getting a ham ticket... I don't think they are lying... I'd almost bet they are telling the truth...

Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you find the right fix--you'll know it!!!

Warmest regards,
John

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message news:Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
> On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:
>
>
>> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
>> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
>> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
>> know the answer to.
>
> What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are
> put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would provide
> a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are
> better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example
> a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the
> technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one.
> For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question,
> and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer
> use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time
> promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in
> capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know
> how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants, or
> you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market
> share, i.e. license numbers.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

3708. Best game ever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 04:44:52 UTC
From: crimson blade <MG_Jobi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1117255492.899959.318710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200733

http://www.u-dominion.com/?r=72383

check it out

3709. Super RF amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 04:58:31 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com>
Message-ID: <XTSIe.2634$rk.2014@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200735

Here's a 140-watt RF amplifier I built that I'm selling. It works great
and it's very rugged. I'm selling it because I never used it much, I
just enjoyed building it. It's like the Abrams tank of amplifiers.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

3710. Re: Super RF amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 05:04:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050528010431.113$sD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200736

"Bruce W.1" <sorry@noDirectEmail.com> wrote:
> It's like the Abrams tank of amplifiers.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576
> 921&rd=1&ssPassonthis
>
Do you ship to Mexico, and how much for a gross of those??

3711. Re: KEYCLOWNS RULE THE TRUCKSTOP TOILETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 05:54:55 UTC
From: Opcom <eccm@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <PITle.12$Dq7.3@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200737

ok so what the hell is a keyclown? I aint never seen one, les I
didn't know what it was.

[[[

Just back from Dayton with some cheer for keyclowns! wrote:

>
> KEYCLOWNS RULE!!!!!!!!! wrote:
>
>>AND THAT'S THE BOTTOM LINE CAUSE I SAID SO!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> And that's the bottom line 'cuz the truckers tell us!
>

--

3712. FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 06:48:16 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37OdnaZB06cciQXfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 200738

I've been granted an FCC license for a GMRS radio (ZA category), which I use in my business, and found on their website that my call sign is... WQCTxxx. It is a seven character combination or capital letters and digits.

What does it mean and how can I use it? My "radio" is a small handheld walkie-talkie with 4W max power output. Where is the call number in there? Shall I use it when I talk to my counterpart: "Hello, this is WQCTxxx, please, report to me?" Is it all to it? Like a caller ID only in the air?

Is there a set of rules describing the proper use of it?

Thanks

3713. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 07:54:42 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ze6dneiG9MxfvgXfRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:

> Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket...

Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it is. The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio. The ideal place to find that information are the classes the ARRL is pushing under their educational program.

This is an important point because the kids can then make an "informed" choice based facts and first hand experience instead of something they read in a magazine or seen on TV / movies. Also just how many of those kids that do get licensed stick with the hobby? For those that don't these are the ones that have the answers as to why, for example the cell phone - instant messaging fits their needs and interest better. I don't know if the ARRL even bothers to try and collect this kind of data.

>I'd almost bet they are telling the truth...

Why wouldn't they?

> Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
> find the right fix--you'll know it!!!

If you're going to try random chance at least try to narrow down the number of options, improve the odds of success, a bit. That's only going to happen if the ARRL gets systematic about collecting some useful and meaningful data, otherwise known as market research.

Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts. Most of the arguments over licensing numbers are petty much useless since most don't have any hard statistical data to support their points. I have to admit some of the arguments I've read are very well constructed and logical, but without the hard data it's just all speculation.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3714. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 08:30:46 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Ac6dnVzSF8GrsQXfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200740

On Sat, 28 May 2005 02:48:16 -0400, ALEXB wrote:

> I've been granted an FCC license for a GMRS radio (ZA category), which I use
> in my business, and found on their website that my call sign is... WQCTxxx.
> It is a seven character combination or capital letters and digits.
>
> What does it mean and how can I use it? My "radio" is a small handheld
> walkie-talkie with 4W max power output. Where is the call number in there?

It is the 4-letter 3-digit sequence assigned to you by the FCC. This is
listed in the box labeled "Call Sign" on the middle left side of the
"Radio Station Authorization" form you got from the FCC in the mail.

> Shall I use it when I talk to my counterpart: "Hello, this is WQCTxxx,
> please, report to me?" Is it all to it? Like a caller ID only in the air?

95.119 Station identification.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (e), every GMRS station must transmit a
station identification:

(1) Following the transmission of communications or a series of
communications; and

(2) Every 15 minutes during a long transmission.

(b) The station identification is the call sign assigned to the GMRS station
or system.

(c) A unit number may be included after the call sign in the
identification.

(d) The station identification must be transmitted in:

(1) Voice in the English language; or

(2) International Morse code telegraphy.

(e) A station need not identify its transmissions if it automatically
retransmits communications from another station which are properly
identified.

> Is there a set of rules describing the proper use of it?

Try this URL: http://www.provide.net/~prsg/part95ae.htm

Enjoy the GMRS radio service.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

3715. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 08:37:47 UTC
From: General Mobile Radio Service |nformation <gmrsinfo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96642F2C8DBE6gmrsinfo@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 200741

"ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:37OdnaZB06cciQXfRVn-tw@comcast.com:

> I've been granted an FCC license for a GMRS radio (ZA category), which
> I use in my business, and found on their website that my call sign
> is... WQCTxxx. It is a seven character combination or capital letters
> and digits.
>
Your email bounced... I was going to send you more information.

3716. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 08:42:21 UTC
From: Tom <Extra@nospam>
Message-ID: <d79asq$h5c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 200742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is. The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio. The
> ideal place to find that information are the classes the ARRL is pushing
> under their educational program.
>
> This is an important point because the kids can then make an "informed"
> choice based facts and first hand experience instead of something they
> read in a magazine or seen on TV / movies. Also just how many of those
> kids that do get licensed stick with the hobby? For those that don't these
> are the ones that have the answers as to why, for example the cell phone -
> instant messaging fits their needs and interest better. I don't know if
> the ARRL even bothers to try and collect this kind of data.
>
>
>>I'd almost bet they are telling the truth...
>
>
> Why wouldn't they?
>
>
>>Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
>>find the right fix--you'll know it!!!
>
>
> If you're going to try random chance at least try to narrow down the
> number of options, improve the odds of success, a bit. That's only going
> to happen if the ARRL gets systematic about collecting some useful and
> meaningful data, otherwise known as market research.
>
> Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts.
> Most of the arguments over licensing numbers are petty much useless since
> most don't have any hard statistical data to support their points. I have
> to admit some of the arguments I've read are very well constructed and

> logical, but without the hard data it's just all speculation.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

The dilemma, if you want to describe it as that, of declining Ham licensees is little to worry about. It appears that some few hand-wringers are making much ado about nothing.

I have noted that, over the years, the majority of new Hams are not joining the ARRL. And for good reason, too.

The ARRL is a dinosaur, replete with septegenarians who are interested in doing things the 'old way'. Many new Hams look at the yearly fee to be a member and simply toss the application in the waste basket. I did this very thing some 25 years ago and never looked back.
After all, who really needs the ARRL? What do you get for your membership? A monthly magazine? Big deal.

I will leave the speculation and 'hard data' gathering processes to others who show an interest in data processing. Their efforts will be of little value in the long term scheme, other than they gathered some numbers and percentages that have little, if any, value in the long run.

Meanwhile, catch me on the HF bands. I'll leave the overcrowded two meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

All the more reason to upgrade.

3717. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 08:58:02 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.28.08.58.01.804956@arrl.net>
Source record: 200743

On Sat, 28 May 2005 00:26:14 -0400, Vinnie S. wrote:

> On 28 May 2005 00:55:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Do I still have two cases pending against me?
>
>
> ??????????

Vinnie, he doesn't have a clue who is yanking his choker-chain, not that I give a crap. He's so stupid he posted an IP address, in the message subject line belonging to AOL and I'm on the Comcast network. Talk about dumb, he wins the grand prize. Hey Mopathetic, you know how to do a "whois" look up? If not I suggest you learn real quick so you don't look so dumb next time.

Querying whois.arin.net]
[whois.arin.net]

OrgName: America Online
OrgID: AOL
Address: 22000 AOL Way
City: Dulles
StateProv: VA
PostalCode: 20166
Country: US

NetRange: 172.128.0.0 - 172.191.255.255
CIDR: 172.128.0.0/10
NetName: AOL-172BLK
NetHandle: NET-172-128-0-0-1
Parent: NET-172-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Allocation
NameServer: DAHA-01.NS.AOL.COM
NameServer: DAHA-02.NS.AOL.COM
NameServer: DAHA-07.NS.AOL.COM
Comment: ADDRESSES WITHIN THIS BLOCK ARE NON-PORTABLE
RegDate: 2000-03-24
Updated: 2003-08-08

TechHandle: AOL-NOC-ARIN
TechName: America Online, Inc.
TechPhone: +1-703-265-4670
TechEmail: domains@aol.net

OrgAbuseHandle: AOL382-ARIN
OrgAbuseName: Abuse
OrgAbusePhone: +1-703-265-4670
OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@aol.net

OrgNOCHandle: AOL236-ARIN
OrgNOCName: NOC
OrgNOCPhone: +1-703-265-4670
OrgNOCEmail: noc@aol.net

OrgTechHandle: AOL-NOC-ARIN
OrgTechName: America Online, Inc.
OrgTechPhone: +1-703-265-4670
OrgTechEmail: domains@aol.net

ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-05-27 19:10
Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott

3718. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 09:10:22 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <d79chv$ob6$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 200744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jack Hamilton" <jfh@acm.org> wrote in message
news:n2cd915f7vkptdgamu36hip868j9hq9ng2@4ax.com...
> "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >The younger hams should set the course, they will inherit what they
build...
> >within one decade many of those holding ham tickets will be gone, in two
> >decades the numbers are LARGE, in three decades those numbers are
> >FRIGHTENING!!!!
> >
> >Those under 40 would best pilot this ship...
>
> And people under 40 (or under 50, for that matter) aren't going to
> bother with Morse Code, for the most part.
>
> It will be interesting to see what the license numbers look like in a
> few years in countries that have eliminated the code requirement. Will
> the numbers be better there? For that matter, are other countries
> seeing the same apparent declines that we see in the US?

In VK, we continue to see a steady decline, both in absolute numbers and in
membership of our national radio body (Wireless institute of Australia)
despite the removal of CW as an comulsory entry requirement onto HF.
However, we are about to see a new licence system introduced similar to the
UK system (which I believe resulted in an increase in numbers there). Age
demographics are pretty much on the "old side" - but there continues tobe a
trickle of young amateurs coming on line - how it will go in the end, not
sure.
On a side note, the use of HF appears to have increased, but not
dramatically and it would be difficult to prove conclusively that this is
the case (based mainly on callback numbers to the weekly WIA broadcasts).

Matt

> --
> Jack Hamilton
> Sacramento, California
> --
> <> Qui vit sans folie n'est pas si sage qu'il croit.
> <> Fran&#65533;ois VI, duc de La Rochefoucauld

3719. Re: Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 09:47:34 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <W6Xle.16414$cP2.13315@fe06.lga>
Source record: 200745

itoldyouiamnotiamngeorge <itoldyouinotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote
in news:Xns96641D28B245F1234567890@216.196.97.136:

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in news:NQMle.15917$bD5.5358@fe07.lga:
>
> Time for you to upgrade loser
>
> User-Agent: Xnews/5.04.25
>
>

Whats the matter..you won't stand behind your childish tripe being
archived on google?? Why is that, geo???

Face it, you manly little pottymouth, the -only- reason you,ve been
using -Xnews- is the (((X-No-Archive))) feature, Right????

3720. Re: Just for grins - CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 11:29:15 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <4298560B.FBBD21DD@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jim Hampton wrote:
> > -.-. --.- ?
> Where the heck have you been of late? I gotta laugh, I have to make the
> sounds to copy the -.-. stuff on the computer. Dots and dashes make little
> sense to me - sounds, however, are something else.

I'm around, just not here. I can't believe that alpha-hotel is still at it!

> I'm back on a DSL account again. Whew! Dial-up was a killer.

Dial-up is like connecting a piece of fish tank airhose to a fire
hydrant and trying to get a glass of water when you're really thirsty!

3721. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 11:32:59 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429856EB.BF8A79EE@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I'll leave the overcrowded two
> meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

And they think they're hot shit with underpowered radios that barely
cover the noise on the repeater. Two meter simplex is cool, though.

3722. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 12:03:10 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1NOdndZ_uqLPwwXfRVn-rw@comcast.com>
Source record: 200748

"General Mobile Radio Service |nformation" <gmrsinfo@gmail.com> wrote in
message news:Xns96642E591BEA1gmrsinfo@207.115.63.158...
> "ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:37OdnaZB06cciQXfRVn-tw@comcast.com:
>
> > I've been granted an FCC license for a GMRS radio (ZA category), which
> > I use in my business, and found on their website that my call sign
> > is... WQCTxxx. It is a seven character combination or capital letters
> > and digits.
> >
> > What does it mean and how can I use it? My "radio" is a small handheld
> > walkie-talkie with 4W max power output. Where is the call number in
> > there? Shall I use it when I talk to my counterpart: "Hello, this is
> > WQCTxxx, please, report to me?" Is it all to it? Like a caller ID only
> > in the air?
> >
> > Is there a set of rules describing the proper use of it?
> >
>
> Sorry to tell you.... You wasted your money. GMRS use for businesses are
> prohibited. You used to be able to license for a business, but the rules
> have subsequently changed. Only those who have a grandfathered license
> from back when it was legal can do so.
> See regulation below:
>
> 95.5 License eligibility.
>
> (a) An individual (one man or one woman) is eligible to obtain, renew
> and have modified a GMRS system license if that individual is 18 years
> of age or older and is not a representative of a foreign government.
>
> (b) A non-individual (an entity other than an individual) is ineligible
> to obtain a new GMRS system license or make a major modification to an
> existing GMRS system license.
>
> (c) A GMRS system licensed to a non-individual before July 31, 1987, is
> eligible to renew that licenses and all subsequent licenses based upon
it
> if:
>
> (1) The non-individual is a partnership and each partner is 18 years
of
> age or older; a corporation; an association; a state,
territorial,

> or local government unit; or a legal entity;
>
> (2) The non-individual is not a foreign government; a representative
of
> a foreign government; or a federal government agency; and
>
> (3) The licensee has not been granted a major modification to its
GMRS
> system.
>
>
>
>
> The GMRS crowd hangs out on another newsgroup, alt.radio.family
>
> FCC regs can be found here: http://www.provide.net/~prsg/part95ae.htm
> Oficial GMRS FCC website is here:
http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/
>
>
> --
> John L. Wilkerson Jr.
>
> GMRS Consumer information website:
> http://www.geocities.com/johnlwilkers@sbcglobal.net/gmrs.html

Thanks for telling me that but I think I am fine. I am not incorporated. I have one employee. I applied as an individual. I filled out an application on their website at www.wireless.fcc.gov/usl/ and I do not remember if they asked me about my business name. Anyway, I used my office address as I recall. It is too confusing for me to understand in all its entirety since I have too many other things to worry about.

Let me ask you another question. For my counterpart to use their GMRS I have to get a second license (Yes/No)--I guess the answer is yes?

That second license can be had as if it is attached to my existing account which now has the first license on it that is discussed here (Yes/No)?

I don't really need a crowd to join. You, guys, are giving me almost more than I need to orient myself to the wireless world:)

Thanks.

3723. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 12:12:38 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MoudnYxc36oU_QXfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200749

"General Mobile Radio Service |nformation" <gmrsinfo@gmail.com> wrote in
message news:Xns96642F2C8DBE6gmrsinfo@207.115.63.158...
> "ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:37OdnaZB06cciQXfRVn-tw@comcast.com:
>
> > I've been granted an FCC license for a GMRS radio (ZA category), which
> > I use in my business, and found on their website that my call sign
> > is... WQCTxxx. It is a seven character combination or capital letters
> > and digits.
> >
> Your email bounced... I was going to send you more information.

Yes, I am fed up with people harvesting my email address and sending junk.
Until a legislation is enacted to protect Internet users I will remain
anonymous.

I just entered your email address gmrsinfo@gmail.com in my safe list of my
hotmail account and you can send it to alex_blaivas333@hotmail.com Please,
remove "333" before mailing. It is for protection.

I really appreciate your help. Thank you very much.

Happy Memorial weekend everyone.

Sorry.

3724. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 12:15:49 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G46dneZuSphh_QXfRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:QwSle.75$Af5.3116198@news.sisna.com...
> Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket... I don't think they are lying... I'd
> almost bet they are telling the truth...
>
> Yanno, you can do a lot of things to try to fix something--but when you
> find the right fix--you'll know it!!!
>
> Warmest regards,
> John
>

Need a lot bigger sample size though. The younger people that I know find
the code the most fascinating part. The resistance comes from people taking
up ham radio who are middle aged. However neither your sample nor mine is
large enough to draw conclusions.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:Hs6dnXdmFaFfcwrfRVn-pQ@comcast.com...
>> On Thu, 26 May 2005 19:54:45 -0700, John S. wrote:
>>
>>
>>> What is missing is how much current licenses are used. With that data
>>> we could guesstimate expirations. That would take a study that the FCC
>>> doesn't have the resources to fund and one the ARRL may not want to
>>> know the answer to.
>>
>> What would be of much more interest is the use to which the licenses are
>> put. A history of how the various classes operated over time would
>> provide
>> a big clue as to what is happening to the service now. Hard numbers are
>> better than the constant speculation I see here all the time. For example
>> a decline in some types of operations could reflect a trend where the
>> technology in use is either unpopular or being replaced by another one.
>> For example AM by SSB. This would even answer the ever present question,
>> and assertion, that Ham Radio is being supplemented by increased computer
>> use and the Internet. Knowing that then the ARRL could spend it's time
>> promoting those things that apparently Ham Radio still had the edge in
>> capabilities. If you want increased license numbers then you have to know
>> how to "market" Ham Radio, and if you don't know what the market wants,
>> or

>> you promote the wrong thing, then you end up with a declining market
>> share, i.e. license numbers.
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>
>

3725. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 12:26:07 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <119gor175n0mp75@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 200751

Hello Alexb:

I listen in on a bunch on vhf and uhf Frequencies on my scanner. Most GMRS and the commercial channels hardly any one uses call signs, except for a few hams that are using modified UHF radios to talk on the GMRS and FRS channels, they slip up and give their ham call sign, hehehehe

When call signs are used they mostly get it wrong or leave out letters or numbers kind of funny. Or a foreign accent adds to the "What was that they said"

If the general public was held for call sign accuracy we would all be in jail.

So I wouldn't loose any sleep over it.

We had a local vhf channel that at one time had Berts Pizza and a few delivery cars, a cement truck company, a school, and a fire fighting outfit showed on the same channel during a forest fire. No body used call signs, but it was very entertaining. We call it the "E" channel, hehehehehehehhehe

Jay in the Mojave

ALEXB wrote:
> I've been granted an FCC license for a GMRS radio (ZA category), which I use
> in my business, and found on their website that my call sign is... WQCTxxx.
> It is a seven character combination or capital letters and digits.
>
> What does it mean and how can I use it? My "radio" is a small handheld
> walkie-talkie with 4W max power output. Where is the call number in there?
> Shall I use it when I talk to my counterpart: "Hello, this is WQCTxxx,
> please, report to me?" Is it all to it? Like a caller ID only in the air?
>
> Is there a set of rules describing the proper use of it?
>
> Thanks
>
>

3726. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 12:26:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 200752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:d79chv$ob6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
>
> In VK, we continue to see a steady decline, both in absolute numbers and
> in
> membership of our national radio body (Wireless institute of Australia)
> despite the removal of CW as an comulsory entry requirement onto HF.
> However, we are about to see a new licence system introduced similar to
> the
> UK system (which I believe resulted in an increase in numbers there). Age
> demographics are pretty much on the "old side" - but there continues tobe
> a
> trickle of young amateurs coming on line - how it will go in the end, not
> sure.
> On a side note, the use of HF appears to have increased, but not
> dramatically and it would be difficult to prove conclusively that this is
> the case (based mainly on callback numbers to the weekly WIA broadcasts).
>
>
>
> Matt
>

Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has it been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.

Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3727. Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 12:28:09 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <119gouqfbvdo3fd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 200753

Hello All:

Looking for a moonraker 6 any condation.

Jay in the Mojave

3728. WTB:Magnum s-9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 12:45:39 UTC
From: Scan Da Bands <vzeip5vc@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <nqpg91dd9i8g6qqc1kb4f5snjjg2o0rcfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 200754

WANTED TO BUY - MAGNUM s-9 must be in great shape

Have following for trade purposes:

GALAXY 88 Used but 100% functional with various mods done to include:

Six different Roger beeps (88 beep still works in conjunction or without)

6 second record module

Very Clean and loud radio

also have:

REALISTIC PRO-2006 Scanner in great shape (no mods - can do speed and cell mod for you if wanted)

TRC - 485 AM/SSB Hardly used Excellent shape (nice radio for a confined space)

MAGNUM s-3 in the box with all in excellent condition (S-M-O-K-E-R!!!)

WILSON 5000 Mag mount

ASTATIC 1k with mag mount (unused)

Jo Gunn STARGUN antenna (never got around to installing this)

COMET UHV4 QUAD BAND (with MFJ tri-mag mount) MINT

DIAMOND VH-7A (with DIAMOND fold over mount) MINT

DIAMOND SG-7900 (no mount)

A/S Moni 52 Scanner antennas (UN-OPENED NOS)(2) BRAND NEW

A/S Mini 52 Scanner antenna (unused with mag mount) BRAND NEW

A/S Moni 33 Scanner antenna (unused with mag mount) BRAND NEW

RADIO SHACK 2 meter/70cm wideband RX with custom slipover mag mount

RADIO SHACK 2 meter/70cm wideband RX (unused) BRAND NEW

SKYSCAN Desktop Discone (excellent condition)

Any radio comes with a BRAND NEW D104m6b

Be best to e-mail me for further dealings , This is for the "normal" people in here , not the stupid "whackoffs" he he LOL , this used to

be a very good group , not anymore.

3729. FS CBs and such

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 12:49:13 UTC
From: Lou <spammenot@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <VKSIe.77897$NC6.50499@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 200734

Sorry for the intrusion with the FS, but I am trying to clear out some stuff, and this is one of the better places to tell folks. This stuff will not be listed here again after this listing. Chances are, it won't go to E-Bay either. Thanks in advance for your patience and reading.

FS

1 - Cobra 139 XLR Base AM-SSB CB, with box, stock mic and I believe - the papers too. Was said to be working ok. Owned by an elderly lady - clearing out. $50.

1 - TRC 449 40 Channel SSB CB - in reasonable condition, seems to be working. $20

1 - Courier Classic PLL 40 - chrome case, has built in AC supply. I don't have an AC cord for it at moment, will "try" to find one - but most any shaver type should work. The set is in decent shape and does or did work when last checked - except for PA, which doesn't seem to be hooked up via any function switch. According to the SAMS manual, they showed the PA not used in all models. This set is "mobile" sized and could make for a good mobile/base radio to take from car to house OR camper. $20.

1 - Realistic CB - Telephone handset type - AM - 40 channel (I believe). It is in my shop - out of sight as of this writing. It does or did work when last checked. $25

1 - Turner mobile power mic. Needs battery. It worked when pulled from service. No way to test now. $20.

2 - Astatic Lollipop style mics. In good physical shape. Was said to be working ok. Came from the elderly lady's house as mentioned above. $45 each.

1 - Cobra Power Mic - Never used on any radio, but the connector was changed for someone who was "supposed" to buy it and didn't. The original 5 pin connector will be on it or included for application. $18.

(?) quantity of Cobra stock mics - 4 and 5 pin. Appearing in good condition. $7.50 each.

(?) quantity of Realistic stock mics - din plugs or whatever may be on them - $7.50 each.

(?) quantity of 2 Way Radio mics. Can be used for CB or Ham if desired. All are pulls from service. $2.50 each.

Other CBs available also.. No other SSB units available. Prices are $15 or so depending on model, etc. Have also components (new in package), tubes, batteries, some antennas for CB/Ham and 2 way radio. Coax, Hardline, etc.

I can bench test the CBs for basic operation before shipping, mics I can give a quick look at. I am not going to hook them up to sets to try them. ALL items are sold AS IS - USED OR NOS unless the item is bought "new" - such as the Batteries, antennas, coax, hardline, etc. Those will come with manufacturer's warranty. Any questions as to new/used/warranty or as is, ask "before" buying. Shipping is NOT included. Payments are via Pay Pal or Postal MO only.

Also have some surplus parts. Have some old weird stuff too. If looking for anything, ask.... I may have it. I do NOT have any HAM equipment such as radios - at the moment. I do buy outs of business and Hams/CBers, never know what I may end up with from time to time. Keep me in mind for future needs if none immediately.

E-mail me at CLFURENT@Yahoo.Com All e-mails answered promptly.

Sorry for spam catcher address, but personal box is no longer being offered for just that reason. My E-Bay id is ffsta1713SO if you care to check my profile as to honesty. Was having computer problems, no pics available at moment, am working on it. Hope to have that issue resolved by end of weekend. If interested in any items, ask, maybe I can get a pic to you.

3730. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 13:14:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050528091424.724$yd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> Normally I just sandbag on the side in this news group reading the posts.
>
LOL, which NG is that? You're not counting your sock posting aol proxy
account (172.172.158.131) lelnad.

Nice job on the coax, btw.

3731. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 13:19:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050528091932.975$cV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200756

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 00:26:14 -0400, Vinnie S. wrote:
>
> > On 28 May 2005 00:55:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Do I still have two cases pending against me?
> >
> >
> > ??????????
>
> Vinnie, he doesn't have a clue who is yanking his choker-chain, not that
> I give a crap. He's so stupid he posted an IP address, in the message
> subject line belonging to AOL and I'm on the Comcast network.
>
You're still paying aol too, you stupid fuck.

How many cases do I have pending against me this week, you 4 in the morning posting drunken bastard?

Nice job with the coax there, lelnad...now sober up, shitstain.

http://img207.echo.cx/my.php?image=scan00030ul.jpg

3732. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 13:23:36 UTC
From: Al Banys <Lelandsboss@inductoheat.nut>
Message-ID: <d79rj0$o3b$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 200757

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.05.28.08.58.01.804956@arrl.net...
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 00:26:14 -0400, Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> On 28 May 2005 00:55:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Do I still have two cases pending against me?
>>
>>
>> ??????????
>
> Vinnie, he doesn't have a clue who is yanking his choker-chain, not that
> I give a crap. He's so stupid he posted an IP address, in the message
> subject line belonging to AOL and I'm on the Comcast network. Talk about
> dumb, he wins the grand prize. Hey Mopathetic, you know how to do a
> "whois" look up? If not I suggest you learn real quick so you don't look
> so dumb next time.
>
You're a fag.

3733. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 13:43:50 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117287830.616003.278890@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not interested in getting a ham ticket..."

I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways of communicating with one another and getting information about the world. Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are not on the bands. They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone with lots of people right now.

3734. FA: UNBUILT HEATHKIT EK-1 VOLT-OHM-METER, PARTIAL KIT PARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:29:54 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <zf%le.3341$So7.2078@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200759
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

[No readable article body recovered]

3735. FA: UNBUILT HEATHKIT EK-1 VOLT-OHM-METER, PARTIAL KIT PARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:30:34 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <bg%le.3342$So7.527@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200760
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48701&item=5776258266&rd=1

3736. FA: RELM MINI-COM PTU46B 4watt UHF WALKY-TALKIE COMPLETE-Sunday nite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:32:31 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <0i%le.3344$So7.1647@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200761
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=46539&item=7517681738&rd=1

3737. FA: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:33:55 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <kj%le.3346$So7.360@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200762
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5776258373&rd=1

3738. FA: BEAUTIFUL HEATHKIT IB-2A RLC IMPEDANCE BRIDGE Nicest:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:35:38 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Xk%le.3348$So7.3105@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200763
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BEAUTIFUL HEATHKIT IB-2A RLC IMPEDANCE BRIDGE Nicest:-)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5776258383&rd=1

3739. FA: $10>12 NOS RAF HI-QUALITY USA ELECTRONIC RACK PANEL HANDLES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:36:31 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <MI%le.3349$So7.2923@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200765
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

12 NOS RAF HI-QUALITY USA ELECTRONIC RACK PANEL HANDLES

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=73167&item=7517681793&rd=1

3740. FA: Big $10> 10 NOS OAK TECHNOLOGIES ROTARY CERAMIC SWITCHES USA:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:37:27 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Em%le.3350$So7.2796@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200764
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

10 NOS OAK TECHNOLOGIES ROTARY CERAMIC SWITCHES USA:-)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=36338&item=7517681800&rd=1

3741. FA: $10>"TONS" (4lbs++) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS 4-Builders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:38:33 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Gn%le.3352$So7.347@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200766
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.video.marketplace

"TONS" (4lbs++) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS 4-Builders!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4664&item=7517681811&rd=1

3742. FA: COMMUNICATIONS POWER Inc. CPI "RANGE PLUS" PRE-AMP RARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 14:41:51 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Mq%le.3355$So7.1318@fe10.lga>
Source record: 200767

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48696&item=5777874358&rd=1

3743. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 17:06:44 UTC
From: alexb <alexb32@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g_GdnRHsVIhtOQXfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 200768

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:119gor175n0mp75@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello Alexb:
>
> I listen in on a bunch on vhf and uhf Frequencies on my scanner. Most
> GMRS and the commercial channels hardly any one uses call signs, except
> for a few hams that are using modified UHF radios to talk on the GMRS
> and FRS channels, they slip up and give their ham call sign, hehehehe
>
> When call signs are used they mostly get it wrong or leave out letters
> or numbers kind of funny. Or a foreign accent adds to the "What was that
> they said"
>
> If the general public was held for call sign accuracy we would all be in
> jail.
>
> So I wouldn't loose any sleep over it.

That's what I thought too. Common sense pictured me a similar image or reality. Just wanted to find out what other people's experience is with it.

Aside from what you just said which is valuable, I want to point out that my communications, I hate to say it, are encrypted. That small GMRS has 15 or so codes and multiplied by the number of channels I can use (from the top of my head from the 12th up since I transmit at "high power" of 4W) makes it unlikely that somebody would instantly tune in. How in the world would I make a fool of myself blubbering that gibberish? There is nothing especially 'secret' about what I talk about. It is all about stocks, like, "sell 500 GOOG right now" or "do you want me to bring you a sandwich?" but I still do not want people with scanners like you hear it. I actually use the telephone line most of the time but need a back up system since once in a while the phones do fail.

How do you go about listening to encrypted conversations, I am curious?

You know I have a full respect for the law but I would hate to be ridiculous.

>
> We had a local vhf channel that at one time had Berts Pizza and a few
> delivery cars, a cement truck company, a school, and a fire fighting
> outfit showed on the same channel during a forest fire. No body used
> call signs, but it was very entertaining. We call it the "E" channel,
> hehehehehehehhehe
>
> Jay in the Mojave

>
> ALEXB wrote:
> > I've been granted an FCC license for a GMRS radio (ZA category), which I
use
> > in my business, and found on their website that my call sign is...
WQCTxxx.
> > It is a seven character combination or capital letters and digits.
> >
> > What does it mean and how can I use it? My "radio" is a small handheld
> > walkie-talkie with 4W max power output. Where is the call number in
there?
> > Shall I use it when I talk to my counterpart: "Hello, this is WQCTxxx,
> > please, report to me?" Is it all to it? Like a caller ID only in the
air?
> >
> > Is there a set of rules describing the proper use of it?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >

3744. Re: $10>"TONS" (4lbs++) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS 4-Builders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 17:18:22 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <CJ1me.103$gQ3.6445128@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200770
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.video.marketplace

Got any motorola MRF45?'s rf transistors, I need one for a linear which blew one...

Warmest regards,
John

"cooltube" <cooltube@optonline.net> wrote in message news:Gn%le.3352$So7.347@fe10.lga...
> "TONS" (4lbs++) of NOS RESISTORS-CAPACITORS 4-Builders!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4664&item=7517681811&rd=1
>
>
>
>

3745. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 18:20:33 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.05.28.18.20.32.743172@arrl.net>
Source record: 200769

On Sat, 28 May 2005 13:06:44 -0400, alexb wrote:

> Aside from what you just said which is valuable, I want to point out that my
> communications, I hate to say it, are encrypted. That small GMRS has 15 or
> so codes and multiplied by the number of channels I can use (from the top of
> my head from the 12th

I would take what he said about call sign usage with a grain of salt. It's no different than somebody telling you it's OK to do 20 over on the highway because they never saw a cop. Yeah you might get away with it for a long time, but sooner or later you'll get nailed. Also consider you're trying to use it for business, that gives the licensed users an extra incentive to report you.

Now for your comment above, if your talking about those so-called "privacy codes", forget it. They don't encrypt anything. What they're for is to keep other radios from opening up the squelch for every transmission on that channel except for the one using that code. That way you don't have to be bothered listening to transmission you're not interested in. Anybody with a scanner can still hear everything you say. One more thing, if you read the rules about station ID it states clearly that you must ID either in English or Mose Code on the GMRS channels. That basically ends any sort of encrypted transmission on GMRS. You may find FRS radios using "voice inversion" scrambling, but then again you have to have all matching radios typically from the same manufacture and most people who are serious monitors have ways to unscramble the signal anyway, either using hardware or some software based technique using a computer sound card. The best rule to observe is assume that somebody can hear you regardless of what kind of transmission you make scrambled, or in the clear.

Regards,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
WPYJ972

3746. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 19:40:59 UTC
From: General Mobile Radio Service |nformation <gmrsinfo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96649FA01BA1Egmrsinfo@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 200771

"ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:1NOdndZ_uqLPwwXfRVn-rw@comcast.com:

> Thanks for telling me that but I think I am fine. I am not
> incorporated. I have one employee. I applied as an individual. I
> filled out an application on their website at
> www.wireless.fcc.gov/usl/ and I do not remember if they asked me about
> my business name. Anyway, I used my office address as I recall. It is
> too confusing for me to understand in all its entirety since I have
> too many other things to worry about.
>

Regardless, you still cannot use GMRS to conduct business. GMRS is
strictly for families and non-business groups. Once you ID with your
callsign as required, you open yourself up to being reported.
Nonetheless, I applaud you for getting a license :) That is great :)

> Let me ask you another question. For my counterpart to use their GMRS
> I have to get a second license (Yes/No)--I guess the answer is yes?
>
Yes. The regs only permit family members to utilize the same
license/callsign.

> That second license can be had as if it is attached to my existing
> account which now has the first license on it that is discussed here
> (Yes/No)?

Nope. The other person must apply on their own name and such. They'll
beed their own FRN and all that.
>
> I don't really need a crowd to join. You, guys, are giving me almost
> more than I need to orient myself to the wireless world:)
>

Even so, a lot of useful information can be had. You'll also get a great
deal of good advice.

3747. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 20:37:22 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <119hlk578fr9u7f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 200772

Hello Alexb:

Yeah I wouldn't give the call sign thing too much concern especially with low low power and hand held operation. But I would ID if any one asked. Highly unlikely. And even more unlikely in areas that are not heavily populated.

I don't think the codes your talking about are encrypted your signal. I believe those are digital squelch codes that allow the other radio to open its squelch. So scanner radios will be able to hear the conversation.

I am sure you can talk around the subject that you are framilur with. I buy scanners at yard sales for something different to listen to. And just listen to what ever they have programed in, or what ever crystal channels are in the scanner. We have a local Tow Truck company here that talks around everything. Except when Marge gets hammered on Friday afternoons hehehehehehe.

I haven't a clue how to listen to encrypted signals?!?!?!?!? sorry. Why would you want to? Just a bunch of guys saying Ten-4 and 2180 to headquarters.

Jay in the Mojave

alexb wrote:
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:119gor175n0mp75@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Hello Alexb:
>>
>>I listen in on a bunch on vhf and uhf Frequencies on my scanner. Most
>>GMRS and the commercial channels hardly any one uses call signs, except
>>for a few hams that are using modified UHF radios to talk on the GMRS
>>and FRS channels, they slip up and give their ham call sign, hehehehe
>>
>>When call signs are used they mostly get it wrong or leave out letters
>>or numbers kind of funny. Or a foreign accent adds to the "What was that
>>they said"
>>
>>If the general public was held for call sign accuracy we would all be in
>>jail.
>>
>>So I wouldn't loose any sleep over it.
>
>
> That's what I thought too. Common sense pictured me a similar image or

> reality. Just wanted to find out what other people's experience is with it.
>
> Aside from what you just said which is valuable, I want to point out that my
> communications, I hate to say it, are encrypted. That small GMRS has 15 or
> so codes and multiplied by the number of channels I can use (from the top of
> my head from the 12th up since I transmit at "high power" of 4W) makes it
> unlikely that somebody would instantly tune in. How in the world would I
> make a fool of myself blubbering that gibberish? There is nothing especially
> 'secret' about what I talk about. It is all about stocks, like, "sell 500
> GOOG right now" or "do you want me to bring you a sandwich?" but I still do
> not want people with scanners like you hear it. I actually use the telephone
> line most of the time but need a back up system since once in a while the
> phones do fail.
>
> How do you go about listening to encrypted conversations, I am curious?
>
> You know I have a full respect for the law but I would hate to be
> ridiculous.
>
>
>>We had a local vhf channel that at one time had Berts Pizza and a few
>>delivery cars, a cement truck company, a school, and a fire fighting
>>outfit showed on the same channel during a forest fire. No body used
>>call signs, but it was very entertaining. We call it the "E" channel,
>>hehehehehehehhehe
>>
>>Jay in the Mojave
>>
>>ALEXB wrote:
>>
>>>I've been granted an FCC license for a GMRS radio (ZA category), which I
>
> use
>
>>>in my business, and found on their website that my call sign is...
>
> WQCTxxx.
>
>>>It is a seven character combination or capital letters and digits.
>>>
>>>What does it mean and how can I use it? My "radio" is a small handheld
>>>walkie-talkie with 4W max power output. Where is the call number in
>
> there?
>
>>>Shall I use it when I talk to my counterpart: "Hello, this is WQCTxxx,
>>>please, report to me?" Is it all to it? Like a caller ID only in the
>
> air?
>

>>>Is there a set of rules describing the proper use of it?
>>>
>>>Thanks
>>>
>>>
>
>
>

3748. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 22:54:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

krackula wrote:
>> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>>price of a decent new rig.
>>
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> or it's applications ....
>
> for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.

What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

> no comparison
> at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
> in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
>
> obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their power
supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
cool to me.

> .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both

> my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
> use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3749. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 23:00:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is.

Bingo!

> The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.

I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.

Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3750. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 23:15:08 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2Y6me.144$r_2.8274028@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and listened...

You think most other colleges are different?

Warmest regards,
John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
>
>
>>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
>>interested in getting a ham ticket...
>
>
> Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking point is
> many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know what it
> is.

Bingo!

> The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.

I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.

Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3751. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 23:18:45 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <s%6me.146$oa3.8361061@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a single russian tube (two tube in push-pull driving it--in the amp) which is outputting approx. 4.5KW... can you imagine what the transistor (mosfet) amp which could match it would look like--or cost!!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
krackula wrote:
>> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
>>price of a decent new rig.
>>
>>
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
> maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> or it's applications ....
>
> for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.

What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

> no comparison
> at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the value is
> in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
>
> obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their power

supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very cool to me.

> .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) ..... I do
> use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.

It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3752. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 23:53:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <INidnYsiz4LymQTfRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John S. wrote:
> "Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket..."
>
> I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question
> too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of
> communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as
> an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways
> of communicating with one another and getting information about the
> world.

Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to chat with your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want to do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do that.

They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and
> when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone
> with lots of people right now.

A person that gives that sort of answer is never going to be a Ham.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3753. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-28 23:57:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <INidnYoiz4LWmATfRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> I have a single russian tube (two tube in push-pull driving it--in the
> amp) which is outputting approx. 4.5KW... can you imagine what the
> transistor (mosfet) amp which could match it would look like--or cost!!!!

Hollow state definitely has its uses!

- Mike KB3EIA -

>
> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:ZYGdnYCsQdoCawXfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
> krackula wrote:
> >> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly
> worth the
> >>price of a decent new rig.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> > > or it's applications ....
> > >
> > > for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> > > , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> > > side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> > > r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> > > and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> > > is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> > > weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.
>
> What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
> stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.
>
>
>
> > no comparison
> > at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> > tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> > why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400

> > invested in mine , so far) or collins !! certainly the
> value is
> > in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> > looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
> >
> > obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job
>
> Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
> technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits and their
> power
> supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
> at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
> cool to me.
>
>
> > .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> > ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> > strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> > for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> > my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
> > use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.
>
> It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if
> all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3754. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 00:48:56 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DM-dnWCFAbdWjATfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200779

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:oKCdnRRvMY-uWgXfRVn-ug@comcast.com...
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 08:12:38 -0400, ALEXB wrote:
>
>
> > Yes, I am fed up with people harvesting my email address and sending
junk.
> > Until a legislation is enacted to protect Internet users I will remain
> > anonymous.
>
> They have but it doesn't seem to be doing much good as of now.
>
> You're not as anonymous as you may think. Your home IP shows in the
> headers. If you have a way to turn it off I would advise doing so unless
> you don't care or can't as many people find out. Also you should turn off
> your Ping (Echo) response too unless you need it on. Sometimes I turn mine
> on when I'm doing some testing or network configuration modifications. At
> least you don't seem to have any ports hanging open. I did a quick port
> scan of your system so if you have any logging enabled my port scanning
> it'll show up there so don't worry about it.
>
> ---
> Date: Sat, 28 May 2005 08:12:38 -0400
> From: "ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
> Lines: 28
> Message-ID: <MoudnYxc36oU_QXfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 May 2005 07:14:01 -0500
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.40.200.77
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Path:
local01.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.comcast.com!news.comcast.com.POSTED!not-f
or-mail
> References: <37OdnaZB06cciQXfRVn-tw@comcast.com>
<Xns96642F2C8DBE6gmrsinfo@207.115.63.158>
> Subject: Re: FCC license
> X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
> X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your
complaint properly
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
> X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
> X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1441
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1437
> X-Postfilter: 1.3.32

> X-Priority: 3
> X-Trace:
sv3-lEStMSy0m78LOK5D0y9rxiC5oMgZZ8PW8tR8EdFTJtkKWFi4JH09qYxEyUyJgDZZEJ0e9W3i
M6fJTJm!QorZ1PCHhzWQ/jr+fkCe2kSTBfAGku8SAvZ33Z4TLcSUruQaD/p776+UU3Uf0yU8D5dq
/LEGN3Gw!caM=
> Xref: number1.nntp.dca.giganews.com rec.radio.cb:398351
> MIME-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> --
>
>
> [Querying whois.arin.net]
> [whois.arin.net]
> Comcast Cable Communications, Inc. JUMPSTART-1 (NET-68-32-0-0-1)
> 68.32.0.0 - 68.63.255.255
> Comcast Cable Communications, Inc MICHIGAN-A-1 (NET-68-40-0-0-1)
> 68.40.0.0 - 68.41.255.255
>
> # ARIN WHOIS database, last updated 2005-05-27 19:10
> # Enter ? for additional hints on searching ARIN's WHOIS database.
>
> While that may not do much good for finding your E-mail address it gives
> them a start.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Thanks a lot, much appreciated. I have a ZoneAlarm. It probably guards the ports jealously, I assume.

I will take care of IP address shielding in the coming days since I am getting a static one next week.

3755. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 00:56:38 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <X-udnWprAJMFjgTfRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200780

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.05.28.18.20.32.743172@arrl.net...
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 13:06:44 -0400, alexb wrote:
>
>
> > Aside from what you just said which is valuable, I want to point out
that my
> > communications, I hate to say it, are encrypted. That small GMRS has 15
or
> > so codes and multiplied by the number of channels I can use (from the
top of
> > my head from the 12th
>
> I would take what he said about call sign usage with a grain of salt. It's
> no different than somebody telling you it's OK to do 20 over on the
> highway because they never saw a cop. Yeah you might get away with it for
> a long time, but sooner or later you'll get nailed. Also consider you're
> trying to use it for business, that gives the licensed users an extra
> incentive to report you.
>
> Now for your comment above, if your talking about those
> so-called "privacy codes", forget it. They don't encrypt anything. What
> they're for is to keep other radios from opening up the squelch for every
> transmission on that channel except for the one using that code. That way
> you don't have to be bothered listening to transmission you're not
> interested in. Anybody with a scanner can still hear everything you say.
> One more thing, if you read the rules about station ID it states clearly
> that you must ID either in English or Mose Code on the GMRS channels. That
> basically ends any sort of encrypted transmission on GMRS. You may find
> FRS radios using "voice inversion" scrambling, but then again you have to
> have all matching radios typically from the same manufacture and most
> people who are serious monitors have ways to unscramble the signal anyway,
> either using hardware or some software based technique using a computer
> sound card. The best rule to observe is assume that somebody can hear you
> regardless of what kind of transmission you make scrambled, or in the
> clear.
>
> Regards,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
> WPYJ972

Thanks, Do I have to get a second license for the second walkie-talkie that works vis-a-vie of mine?

I am not paranoid about encrypting. There is basically nothing I need to hide. I just do not want to broadcast what I am doing unnecessarily across town. When I talk to people I know I am very open about it. All I want to do is to take MINIMAL precautions with minimal cost involved.

Thanks.

3756. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:00:47 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7eednW344P4MiQTfRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 200782

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:119hlk578fr9u7f@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello Alexb:
>
> Yeah I wouldn't give the call sign thing too much concern especially
> with low low power and hand held operation. But I would ID if any one
> asked. Highly unlikely. And even more unlikely in areas that are not
> heavily populated.
>
> I don't think the codes your talking about are encrypted your signal. I
> believe those are digital squelch codes that allow the other radio to
> open its squelch. So scanner radios will be able to hear the conversation.
>
> I am sure you can talk around the subject that you are framilur with. I
> buy scanners at yard sales for something different to listen to. And
> just listen to what ever they have programed in, or what ever crystal
> channels are in the scanner. We have a local Tow Truck company here that
> talks around everything. Except when Marge gets hammered on Friday
> afternoons hehehehehehe.
>
> I haven't a clue how to listen to encrypted signals?!?!?!?!? sorry.
> Why would you want to? Just a bunch of guys saying Ten-4 and 2180 to
> headquarters.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

Thank you.

3757. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:01:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 200781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

John Smith wrote:
> Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at
> the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is
> a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do
> they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and
> listened...
>
> You think most other colleges are different?

Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends in
a Ham ticket being received.

My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish are
to banjos. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> >>interested in getting a ham ticket...
> >
> >
> > Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking
> point is
> > many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know
> what it
> > is.
>
> Bingo!
>
> > The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> > using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.
>
> I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
> Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
> mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3758. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:04:45 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <B-adnbuCbrYdiATfRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 200783

"General Mobile Radio Service |nformation" <gmrsinfo@gmail.com> wrote in
message news:Xns96649FA01BA1Egmrsinfo@207.115.63.158...
> "ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1NOdndZ_uqLPwwXfRVn-rw@comcast.com:
>
>
> > Thanks for telling me that but I think I am fine. I am not
> > incorporated. I have one employee. I applied as an individual. I
> > filled out an application on their website at
> > www.wireless.fcc.gov/usl/ and I do not remember if they asked me about
> > my business name. Anyway, I used my office address as I recall. It is
> > too confusing for me to understand in all its entirety since I have
> > too many other things to worry about.
> >
>
> Regardless, you still cannot use GMRS to conduct business. GMRS is
> strictly for families and non-business groups. Once you ID with your
> callsign as required, you open yourself up to being reported.
> Nonetheless, I applaud you for getting a license :) That is great :)
>
> > Let me ask you another question. For my counterpart to use their GMRS
> > I have to get a second license (Yes/No)--I guess the answer is yes?
> >
> Yes. The regs only permit family members to utilize the same
> license/callsign.
>
> > That second license can be had as if it is attached to my existing
> > account which now has the first license on it that is discussed here
> > (Yes/No)?
>
> Nope. The other person must apply on their own name and such. They'll
> beed their own FRN and all that.

Very interesting.
Thanks. You've saved me lots of time.

> >
> > I don't really need a crowd to join. You, guys, are giving me almost
> > more than I need to orient myself to the wireless world:)
> >
>
> Even so, a lot of useful information can be had. You'll also get a great
> deal of good advice.

3759. Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:14:26 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117329266.736111.28160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200784

See below. If you go to the thread you can read that this nutcase is still posting from various locations and is apparently proud of what he did.

Arguments on Internet message boards are nothing new, and often are a central component. But in San Diego, California an argument turned deadly on 25 May 2005, resulting in two murders, and two other attempted homicides.

"We've always had a lot of arguments and disputes on this board, but nothing like this, and I really don't think anyone saw it coming," said Radley Pingay, administrator of pirate969.org, in an email response.

"Bruce was always a little hostile, but so many people are like that online, it's hard to tell what's real and what's just shenanigans." Bruce is not only the username of the suspect in the double homicide, but is also his first name.

The online argument started in a thread (link) discussing a local band promoter. Bruce Pastuer, now wanted on two counts of homicide and two counts of assault with a deadly weapon, was one of the participants in the discussion.

Apparently two other board members and Pastuer exchanged insults, at which point Pastuer drove to the home of the two victims at approximately 3:00PM, and shot them both with a borrowed 12 gauge shotgun. The police have not recovered the weapon, and the names of the two victims have not been released pending notification of their relatives.

In the course of the shooting, two neighbors came to investigate, and Pastuer reportedly fired at them as well. His location is unknown, and anyone with information is requested to contact the San Diego Police Department (link).

Addendum: This guy Bruce is still posting to the site!

http://www.pirate969.org/mboard/showthread.php?t=18735

3760. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:22:38 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <GP8me.152$_w5.9277557@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Really, have you seen the new devices by nokia? Surf the web, instant message, download, upload.... cell phones are just about to take one GIANT step for mankind....
http://reviews-zdnet.com.com/Nokia_N770_Internet_Tablet/4505-3127_16-31396042-2.html

The new N770 Internet Tablet by Nokia uses Linux (no fees paid to Microsoft or anyone!--so os is free) will blow the socks off anyone not up-to-date....

Warmest regards,
John

"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com...
John Smith wrote:
> Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses at
> the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the instructor is
> a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams blindfolded... seldom do
> they see the need or have the desire when they have tuned the bands and
> listened...
>
> You think most other colleges are different?

Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends in
a Ham ticket being received.

My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish are
to banjos. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

> "Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net
> <mailto:mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net>> wrote in message
> news:vsqdnTA_lcSAZQXfRVn-qA@adelphia.com...
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > On Fri, 27 May 2005 21:33:48 -0700, John Smith wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> >>interested in getting a ham ticket...
> >
> >
> > Well that's straight forward enough at first glance. The sticking
> point is
> > many don't want a Ham ticket for any reason, they don't even know
> what it
> > is.

>
> Bingo!
>
> > The ones you need to ask are those who find they get what they want
> > using other means, computer - Internet, AFTER considering Ham Radio.
>
> I don't find the internet or cell phones to be any competition to
> Amateur radio at all. The internet requires the ability to click a
> mouse. Cell phones are for yacking on. Neither are technical hobbies.
>
> Cell phones as a hobby? Sounds odd! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3761. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:24:27 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <IR8me.153$kF5.9307258@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, I must have missed all the BIG and EXCITING things I can do with my rig--please enlighten me--I am missing something!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"Mike Coslo" <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:lNidnYsiz4LymQTfRVn-vg@adelphia.com...
John S. wrote:
> "Why not just do what I did, ask the younger people why they are not
> interested in getting a ham ticket..."
>
> I think the ARRL and the licensed hams need to consider that question
> too. Most young people don't see ham radio as an up-to-date way of
> communication. Rather they see the licensing and equipment required as
> an anachronism. They have numerous highly portable license-free ways
> of communicating with one another and getting information about the
> world.

Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to chat with your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want to do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do that.

They don't know what see you on 2 meters means - and
> when it is explained most of them say but I can do that on a cell phone
> with lots of people right now.

A person that gives that sort of answer is never going to be a Ham.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3762. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:31:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <gY8me.154$EP5.9360440@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200787

Yes, hard to tell the ones who are just good at debate and argument and the kooks... usually the kooks will threaten you with death before they attempt it though--beware of death threats! Those making such threats should really be removed and isolated as soon as possible... but, if you give such authority to people--they soon become "over-reactors" and "victimizers" themselves and use such power just to get rid of those who disagree with them-- a very vicious circle...

Warmest regards,
John
"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1117329266.736111.28160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
See below. If you go to the thread you can read that this nutcase is still posting from various locations and is apparently proud of what he did.

Arguments on Internet message boards are nothing new, and often are a central component. But in San Diego, California an argument turned deadly on 25 May 2005, resulting in two murders, and two other attempted homicides.

"We've always had a lot of arguments and disputes on this board, but nothing like this, and I really don't think anyone saw it coming," said Radley Pingay, administrator of pirate969.org, in an email response.

"Bruce was always a little hostile, but so many people are like that online, it's hard to tell what's real and what's just shenanigans." Bruce is not only the username of the suspect in the double homicide, but is also his first name.

The online argument started in a thread (link) discussing a local band promoter. Bruce Pastuer, now wanted on two counts of homicide and two counts of assault with a deadly weapon, was one of the participants in the discussion.

Apparently two other board members and Pastuer exchanged insults, at which point Pastuer drove to the home of the two victims at approximately 3:00PM, and shot them both with a borrowed 12 gauge shotgun. The police have not recovered the weapon, and the names of the two victims have not been released pending notification of their relatives.

In the course of the shooting, two neighbors came to investigate, and Pastuer reportedly fired at them as well. His location is unknown, and anyone with information is requested to contact the San Diego Police Department (link).

Addendum: This guy Bruce is still posting to the site!

http://www.pirate969.org/mboard/showthread.php?t=18735

3763. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:44:50 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117331090.397778.47370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200788

Yeah, we dealt with one such fool here...false Christian and perverted liar Chukkee, K8CPA. He made gun threats to people on here. He mellowed out when the poe-leeece showed up at his door.

3764. Re: WTB:Magnum s-9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:46:54 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117331214.262275.234590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200789

keyclown garbage

3765. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:49:26 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117331366.586942.50770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200790

boom too short, element spacing all wrong...would make a good 4 element beam if you did it all properly.

3766. Re: Super RF amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:54:47 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117331687.428474.157440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200791

mopathetic must be planning his hookups with truckers in toilet stalls
"south of da border"...we always knew he would branch out into
international service.

3767. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 01:57:33 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117331853.361434.301130@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200792

yes, a case of clap and a case of dementia praecox

3768. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 02:00:05 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117332005.271247.128980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200793

mopey, why didnt you camp out at Dayton, wristflipper? With all the hoo hah you made about what you were gonna do to us AKC's, etc...we thought you would at least wanna meet and say hello. Alas, your threats are just like your lips and bloomers...full of shit.

3769. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 02:01:35 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117332095.494251.108040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200794

You fart in any guys general direction...but you can stop that by ceasing to bend over so much.

3770. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 02:18:23 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-AFE751.19182228052005@newssvr13-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

In article <r-Sdne3XT4buiQTfRVn-2g@adelphia.com>,
Mike Coslo <mremovethiscoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:

> John Smith wrote:
> > Every student going though the electronics and engineering courses
> > at the college here knows very well what a ham ticket is (the
> > instructor is a ham), and darn well pass any of the exams
> > blindfolded... seldom do they see the need or have the desire when
> > they have tuned the bands and listened...
> >
> > You think most other colleges are different?
>
> Dunno. Where I am employed, one of the EE courses specifically ends
> in a Ham ticket being received.
>
> My point is that Internet and cell phones are to Ham radio as fish
> are to banjos. 8^)

This is starting to sound like an over the air Ham conversation.
Exchanges like this are why I have no desire to be one.

Maybe you could drop rec.radio.shortwave off the newsgroup header like I
did.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

3771. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 03:02:57 UTC
From: Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm>
Message-ID: <Bhame.15248$eR.2903@fe05.lga>
Source record: 200796

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1117332005.271247.128980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> mopey, why didnt you camp out at Dayton, wristflipper? With all the
> hoo hah you made about what you were gonna do to us AKC's, etc...we
> thought you would at least wanna meet and say hello. Alas, your
> threats are just like your lips and bloomers...full of shit.
>

And yet your own outrageous, hypocritical blather, echos endlessly
in eternity... You're bagged & tagged for life, little man Adair.

You might as well learn to live with it, shitbird.... LMAO!! :D

– – – – – –

From N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
I volunteer to show ya how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

From N8WWM@arrl.net

You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna
hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for all
you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?

From:N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
----------�----------
From N8WWM@arrl.net

```
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't
have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
```

3772. Where's Voob???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 03:53:52 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XrmdnbLdLJJToQTfRVn-tg@giganews.com>
Source record: 200797

That boob. ;-)

3773. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 04:48:03 UTC
From: Matt <pissoff@spammers.suck.com>
Message-ID: <d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 200798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has it
> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>
> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that there has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows. Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to the first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn from. Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the hobby, but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that we need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase numbers.

Matt

3774. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 05:16:52 UTC
From: "Gen. Geroge Hammond" <TOKRA@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Fecme.677$hg.471@fe12.lga>
Source record: 200799

Try unpluging the AC cord from the broadcast radio from the wall and
turn it around, if it's not polorized. If it is, get an adapter and
give it a try.
Then ground that radio

germ714 wrote:

>It seems my cb is coming through my
>am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
>and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
>the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
>My question is do they make some
>kind of filter to help prevent this.
>
>
>
>

3775. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 05:18:13 UTC
From: "Gen. Geroge Hammond" <TOKRA@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Wfcme.679$hg.184@fe12.lga>
Source record: 200800

Try unplugging the AC cord from the broadcast radio from the wall and
turn it around, if it's not polarized. If it is, get an adapter and
give it a try.
Then ground that radio

germ714 wrote:

>It seems my cb is coming through my
>am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
>and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
>the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
>My question is do they make some
>kind of filter to help prevent this.
>
>
>
>

3776. Re: Bleed through

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 08:19:12 UTC
From: Wiseman <ab8mq@nospam>
Message-ID: <d7bttbelh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 200801

"Gen. Geroge Hammond" <TOKRA@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Fecme.677$hg.471@fe12.lga...
Try unpluging the AC cord from the broadcast radio from the wall and
turn it around, if it's not polorized. If it is, get an adapter and
give it a try.
Then ground that radio

germ714 wrote:

>It seems my cb is coming through my
>am/fm radio when it's off. It's a stock radio
>and the tip of my antenna is about 50 feet off
>the ground. I am using rg-8 coax.
>My question is do they make some
>kind of filter to help prevent this.

Go to Wal-Mart and buy a brain. Then get off CB.
Your neighbors will thank you.

Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ

3777. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 10:33:28 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <-5OdnY8xj-RWBwTfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 200802

"General Mobile Radio Service |nformation" <gmrsinfo@gmail.com> wrote in
message news:Xns96649FA01BA1Egmrsinfo@207.115.63.158...
> "ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1NOdndZ_uqLPwwXfRVn-rw@comcast.com:
>
>
> > Thanks for telling me that but I think I am fine. I am not
> > incorporated. I have one employee. I applied as an individual. I
> > filled out an application on their website at
> > www.wireless.fcc.gov/usl/ and I do not remember if they asked me about
> > my business name. Anyway, I used my office address as I recall. It is
> > too confusing for me to understand in all its entirety since I have
> > too many other things to worry about.
> >
>
> Regardless, you still cannot use GMRS to conduct business. GMRS is
> strictly for families and non-business groups. Once you ID with your
> callsign as required, you open yourself up to being reported.
> Nonetheless, I applaud you for getting a license :) That is great :)

OK, what is the solution for me that won't run afoul with the law? Would a CB radio be the one? What type of radios can I use for a two way communications, conducted occasionally with high degree of reliability on demand? As I mentioned, The two points are five blocks apart downtown a Midwestern city with a lot of structures in between. The conversations will (or rather, may) be done during business hours between 9:00 and 4:00 EST. I want to make sure that when I turned that radio on: (1) I can contact my partner immediately (2) in any weather (3) there is minimal interference. As I mentioned, it is a back up system for me. I am using VoIP phone lines most of the time but my office on the other end of the communication line has to have at least one line landbased. In any event, on a few occasions because of construction nearby the lines have been interrupted recently. I need a backup to fall onto in case of future problems.

Thanks

3778. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 10:52:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <N46dnQQwR9aEAgTfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 200803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message
news:d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
>> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has
>> it
>> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
>> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>>
>> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
>> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There
> has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think
> that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a
> few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that
> there
> has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has
> yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios
> already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows.
> Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I
> took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to
> the
> first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest
> by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn
> from.
> Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the
> hobby,
> but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that
> we
> need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the
> new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date
> seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase
> numbers.
>
>
>
> Matt
>
>

I don't think a year is really long enough to tell. In addition, is the word getting out to prospective hams? Did the decrease in numbers come from lack of interest on the part of prospective hams or the failure to find prospective hams? Changes in licensing will have no noticeable impact when people don't even know that ham radio exists or barely know it exists but have no idea what it is all about.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

3779. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 11:17:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050529071719.084$qa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200804

Happy Yawning Festival <yawning_since_1999@nospam.comm> wrote:
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1117332005.271247.128980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> > mopey, why didnt you camp out at Dayton, wristflipper? With all the
> > hoo hah you made about what you were gonna do to us AKC's, etc...we
> > thought you would at least wanna meet and say hello. Alas, your
> > threats are just like your lips and bloomers...full of shit.
> >
>
> And yet your own outrageous, hypocritical blather, echos endlessly
> in eternity... You're bagged & tagged for life, little man Adair.
>
> You might as well learn to live with it, shitbird.... LMAO!! :D
>
LOL, the shitstain couldn't sell his trash this time..too skeered.

Mission accomplished. hehehe
> _ _ _ _ _ _
>
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Hey CUNT,
> Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
> headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
> name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
> party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
> KEYCLOWN.
> Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
> it into your life.
> I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
> I volunteer to show ya how.
> CUNT
> Your turn, CUNT!
>
> ---
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
> wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
> introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
> like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna
> hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for all

> you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
> By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?
> ---
>
> From:N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Hey Peepeeholic:
> Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
> ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
> You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
> the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
> dumb idea.
> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.
> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.
> ----------
> From N8WWM@arrl.net
>
> Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
> bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't
> have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.

3780. Re: Here's a good amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 11:55:07 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <qbbj91tfbvuhs1srp4dqth375ec0egmoeb@4ax.com>
Source record: 200805

On 26 May 2005 10:17:24 -0700, "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton!
CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>Stomper wrote:
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1
>
>
>AKC does not allow amp autions here in this NG. This one was ratted to
>ebay.

Must not have ratted it to well, it's still for sale, dougie

3781. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 12:01:17 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <bnbj9199697djtlm85g3supr5ucgcdjsac@4ax.com>
Source record: 200806

On Sat, 28 May 2005 05:28:09 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
<jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:

>Hello All:
>
>Looking for a moonraker 6 any condation.
>
>Jay in the Mojave

Why? so you can steal the design? like you did the rest of them
antennas you build?

;-P

-Chuck

3782. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 12:17:46 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <gkcj919jgue452bk5kpsdip9vml4pp9ok5@4ax.com>
Source record: 200807

On 28 May 2005 18:44:50 -0700, "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton!
CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Yeah, we dealt with one such fool here...false Christian and perverted
>liar Chukkee, K8CPA. He made gun threats to people on here. He mellowed
>out when the poe-leeece showed up at his door.

Oh Plllleeeeaaassseee... I never went and shot anyone... that dude is
wacked. and nobody EVER showed up here, ever! However, I did report
you to the FBI for making threats at me. might be why your in trouble
with the law now. Har de Har har!

Lying Bastard idiot.

-Chuck

3783. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 12:30:04 UTC
From: Scott Garvison <ka8jno@btc-bci.com>
Message-ID: <mBime.4$Cb3.1013@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 200808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you are into RACES/ARES or the like, then Ham radio is very useful. What happens when the cell towers, twisted pair & trunked radio systems are overloaded or damaged in a disaster? That is where ham radio can shine. Also it may not be "high tech", but when you build you own Yagi or cubical quad antenna & know that you have to think a little bit to make communication in a certain country (what band to use to make the hop) takes some thought vs. cell phone & internet which takes very little thought. My .02

"Matt" <pissoff@spammers.suck.com> wrote in message news:d7bhi3$1gu6$1@otis.netspace.net.au...
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TtKdnRXVkY7h_gXfRVn-1Q@comcast.com...
>> Keep in mind that any change in rules may take years to have a noticeable
>> impact on the number of licensees. How long has VK been codeless? Has
>> it
>> been long enough to have the hoped for impact? If you change licensing
>> systems, the same questions need considered before judging it.
>>
>> Here in the states, it seems that the last couple of changes in licensing
>> requirements has had no noticeable long term effect.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> CW as a requirement for access to HF was dropped as at 01 Jan 2004. There
> has not been the expected massive increase in amateur licences - I think
> that VK currently has around 10,000 licenced amateurs (plus or minus a
> few) - with numbers pretty stagnant/decreasing slightly. I feel that
> there
> has been enough time for the expected increase to be visible, but this has
> yet to occur - maybe the majority of those with an interest in radios
> already have their licences, or lost interest in the subject - who knows.
> Onto another part of the thread - age. Most amateurs are older - when I
> took out my licence aged 23, I was one of the youngest around - going to
> the
> first club meeting, I was somewhat dismayed to see that I was the youngest
> by at least ten years, but at least I had lots of experience to learn
> from.
> Nowdays (ten years later), I am seeing young people getting into the
> hobby,
> but not in the numbers that I would like to see, nor in the numbers that
> we
> need to have a healthy population. Buggered if I know what to do, but the

> new foundation licence that is due to come in (not sure when as the date
> seems to continue slipping right) has great promise to at least increase
> numbers.
>
>
>
> Matt
>
>

3784. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 12:30:24 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <rBime.26798$NZ1.10424@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200809

Chuck wrote:
> On 28 May 2005 18:44:50 -0700, "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton!
> CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Yeah, we dealt with one such fool here...false Christian and perverted
>>liar Chukkee, K8CPA. He made gun threats to people on here. He mellowed
>>out when the poe-leeece showed up at his door.
>
>
> Oh Plllleeeeaaassseee... I never went and shot anyone... that dude is
> wacked. and nobody EVER showed up here, ever! However, I did report
> you to the FBI for making threats at me. might be why your in trouble
> with the law now. Har de Har har!
>
> Lying Bastard idiot.
>
> -Chuck
>
>
piss off charles. your past posts on this board prove what an immature
wanker you are.

3785. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 12:31:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050529083107.673$N5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200810

Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org> wrote:
> On Sat, 28 May 2005 05:28:09 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
>
> >Hello All:
> >
> >Looking for a moonraker 6 any condation.
> >
> >Jay in the Mojave
>
> Why? so you can steal the design? like you did the rest of them
> antennas you build?
>
> ;-P
>
> -Chuck
>
STFU you fat maggot.

3786. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 13:40:47 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1117374047.063086.83390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Before the internet and cell phones, we had television and regular
phones. "
JS> That is true, we did have television and land line telephones,
with all of their inherent limitations. But the internet provides a
range of communication that is unmatched by any other method of
communication, including 13 channels of VHF, a smattering of channels
on UHF on TV and rotary dial phones.

> Why go through the hassle of getting a license and radio
> equipment only to find out that the people you work and play with are
> not on the bands.

"??? I guess your outlook and idea of what Ham radio is is to
chat with
your buds? In that respect, you are probably correct. If all you want
to
do is *that*, you are better served by chat rooms or the telephone. Why

on earth anyone with that as their main interest would become a ham is
beyond me. Ham radio is not the best hobby for that, although we can do

that. "

JS> Communication is what amateur radio is about...people talking with
one another usually about everyday things or as you say "chatting with
their buds". And it is about the camraderie of people enjoying and
talking about their equipment. And how could we forget band congesting
contesting - how many people one can have a 5 word conversation with
over a set period of time. The hobby isn't about providing useful
emergency communications however. The last time I heard a hurricane
net it descended into chaos with hams trampling over one another trying
to be the first to contact someone in the emergency area. Hams have
not "saved the day" other than in their dreams for a long long time.

If ham radio is about something else, please inform me as well as the
potential hams who see amateur radio as little more than an expensive
and self-limiting way of talking to one another. Or said another way
if you stripped the communications aspect from the hobby what would be
left. And how many people would queue up for licenses?

Hams take a cavalier attitude when it comes to explaining the value of
ham radio, they end up driving the young new entrants away. They find
it difficult to consider new ways that might attract new blood into the
hobby. Hams have to be able to explain what the benefits of being a
ham are. Unfortunately most adopt a bunker mentality that goes like

this: "The requirements are what they are because I had to take them long long ago. Yes morse code is important, but I really can't tell you why. If you have to ask what the benefits of being a ham are, then there is a good chance you won't enjoy being a ham. Please consider another hobby."

3787. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 13:44:53 UTC
From: General Mobile Radio Service |nformation <gmrsinfo@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns966563441F45Egmrsinfo@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 200812

"ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:-5OdnY8xj-RWBwTfRVn-tg@comcast.com:

> OK, what is the solution for me that won't run afoul with the law?
> Would a CB radio be the one? What type of radios can I use for a two
> way communications, conducted occasionally with high degree of
> reliability on demand? As I mentioned, The two points are five blocks
> apart downtown a Midwestern city with a lot of structures in between.
> The conversations will (or rather, may) be done during business hours
> between 9:00 and 4:00 EST. I want to make sure that when I turned that
> radio on: (1) I can contact my partner immediately (2) in any weather
> (3) there is minimal interference. As I mentioned, it is a back up
> system for me. I am using VoIP phone lines most of the time but my
> office on the other end of the communication line has to have at least
> one line landbased. In any event, on a few occasions because of
> construction nearby the lines have been interrupted recently. I need a
> backup to fall onto in case of future problems.
>

See the forum on GMRS..... It is entirely possible I was wrong before....
your assessment of the regs may have been correct. A business cannot
license itdelf.... BUT if each individual IN the business licenses, THEN
it may be legal. Discussion in the other forum may clear this up..... If
I was wrong... I apologize for the error.

As for VOIP..... That has created extreme controversy in the GMRS
community. We are waiting for an FCC ruling on theis. It appears it may
be totally illegal, since rules forbid any GMRS traffic being transmitted
over phone lines.

CB is NOT the way to go for business comms. Handheld radios are bulky,
other users are rude.

The license free Family Radio Service (FRS), The Multi Use Radio Service
(MURS), or the business radio service can be used. MURS and BRS both
have expensive equipment costs. FRS is similar to GMRS, except you can
only use handhelds with a 500 mW power limit.

Regardless.... since you are now on GMRS Web, you can get your questions
answered with zero margin for error.

3788. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 13:45:25 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <5Hjme.17274$cP2.5068@fe06.lga>
Source record: 200813

"ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:-5OdnY8xj-RWBwTfRVn-tg@comcast.com...
>
>
> OK, what is the solution for me that won't run afoul with the law? Would a
> CB radio be the one? What type of radios can I use for a two way
> communications, conducted occasionally with high degree of reliability on
> demand? As I mentioned, The two points are five blocks apart downtown a
> Midwestern city with a lot of structures in between. The conversations
will
> (or rather, may) be done during business hours between 9:00 and 4:00 EST.
I
> want to make sure that when I turned that radio on: (1) I can contact my
> partner immediately (2) in any weather (3) there is minimal interference.
As
> I mentioned, it is a back up system for me. I am using VoIP phone lines
most
> of the time but my office on the other end of the communication line has
to
> have at least one line landbased. In any event, on a few occasions because
> of construction nearby the lines have been interrupted recently. I need a
> backup to fall onto in case of future problems.
>

Personally, I'd recommend the new(er) MURS band. This is license free, and is definitely capable of going 5 blocks. Of course, blocks are different lengths in different locations, but either way, I wouldn't think that you would run into any problems.

MURS consists of 5 frequencies (channels) that were once used for licensed business communications, but are now available for public (and business) use, license free. There are three frequencies in the 151.XXX MHz range, and two in the 154.XXX MHz range. If you were to utilize one of the 151.XXX MHz freqs., you would minimize your chance of interference, as the most readily used freqs. were the 154.XXX MHz ones. This band can also utilize CTCSS tone codes to further minimize interference.

Another plus is that there are LOTS of high quality radios on the used market that utilize these frequencies because of the fact that they used to be licensed business band freqs. Do a little internet searching for MURS, I bet it will fit what you are looking for, and be a LOT cheaper to boot if you go with used equipment...

3789. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 13:59:01 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FUjme.22676$J12.12099@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200814

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:rBime.26798$NZ1.10424@fe09.lga...
> Chuck wrote:
>> On 28 May 2005 18:44:50 -0700, "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton!
>> CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Yeah, we dealt with one such fool here...false Christian and perverted
>>>liar Chukkee, K8CPA. He made gun threats to people on here. He mellowed
>>>out when the poe-leeece showed up at his door.
>>
>>
>> Oh Pllllleeeeaaassseee... I never went and shot anyone... that dude is
>> wacked. and nobody EVER showed up here, ever! However, I did report
>> you to the FBI for making threats at me. might be why your in trouble
>> with the law now. Har de Har har!
>>
>> Lying Bastard idiot.
>>
>> -Chuck
>>
>>
> piss off charles. your past posts on this board prove what an immature
> wanker you are.

Oh come on JC, look at who you're responding to
and who the original poster is. Either of them don't have
the brains to take off a child proof cap :)

Have a safe Memorial Day, don't eat to much of the
haggis!!!

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

3790. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 14:28:38 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117376918.668787.293950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200815

Right, Chukkee-Sukkee, right. We could always post the threats you made here again if you'd like to deny you made them. We could always post the police reports that were taken.We could always Post the threats you made to other people as well. And of course, we could always post the link to your website where you admit what a screw up you are.

The lying bastard idiot here is you, Chukkee-Sukkee.

3791. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 14:32:06 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117377126.143818.14100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200816

Steal? If you have evidence that someone has stolen something it is your duty as a phoney Christian and citizen to report them. Perhaps you could provide some proof of someone stealing a design and tell the original designer about it, Chukkee-Sukkee.

Since it is known that you are a liar, you have again proven that you are a false Christian. You have told a lie and borne false witness.

You are one messed up nutjob, Chukkee-Sukkee.

3792. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 14:33:54 UTC
From: Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <d696143f026473665caa35290a776f39@userbeam.net>
Source record: 200817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lP6dnUZzStrsWgrfRVn-2Q@gbronline.com>
Cmd Buzz Corey <m...@that.moc> wrote:

> Go back your (IKABWAI)

Go back to molesting your own kids and the neighbor's kids, Butt
Cornholer. Butt Cornholer here was recently arrested for
fondling his neighbor's kids. Nobody is paying attentiopn to
you, obsolete license boy. They found out you don't have a
commercial or pilot's license except in your mentally ill tiny
brain stem. Does gbrobnline.com know you are using their service
to download kiddie porn again?

3793. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 14:49:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <1117378143.587838.95910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Coslo wrote:
> krackula wrote:
> >> Collins is old tube stuff. Fine radios no doubt, but hardly worth the
> >>price of a decent new rig.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> >
> > maybe the value of the radio is in the beholder
> > or it's applications ....
> >
> > for example , I have a satori / sherwood modded
> > , full boat, drake r-4c and a fully loaded FT1000 field ....sitting
> > side by side .. here in the shack and the so called " old tube
> > r4-c " blows the doors off my much newer
> > and more expensive FT1000mp v . the FT1000 receiver
> > is an embarrassment as compared to the drake on
> > weak DX in a VERY crowded CW band.
>
> What I would like to see is some data to back that up. If the tube
> stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute.

No problem: http://www.sherweng.com/presentation.html

This guy obviously knows what he's talking about so shaddup and pay
attenetion, you just might learn something Michael.

> > no comparison
> > at all. people tell me that many of the collins receivers can be
> > tuned up to outperform even the drake r4-c receivers. maybe THAT is
> > why people pay more for a drake r4-c (I have $1200 to $1400
> > invested in mine , so far) or collins !!

Yeah . . I have a stock late S/N meatball S3B I just might juice up one
of these days. I also have a JRC NRD-545 you'd probably enjoy. Quirky
thing but once you get on top of it it really cooks.

> > certainly the value is
> > in the weak signal / crowded band performance to me and
> > looks like it is to a LOT of other people too.
> >
> > obviously , for your operating needs, solid state rigs do the job

>
> Perhaps not so obviously. I've been getting into Hollow state
> technology recently. Bought a couple olde tube Heathkits

100% dumpster ballast.

> and their power
> supplies at Dayton, and will restore them. I came into Ham radio (radio
> at all) too late for tube stuff, and frankly, the tube rigs seem very
> cool to me.
>
>
> > .... but for those of us that need competition grade cw receivers
> > ..... some tube receivers are still doing a better job. different
> > strokes for different folks. I've got the best of both worlds and ,
> > for me, tubes still do a better job in certain applications. (both
> > my amps < a alpha and a henry > have tubes too) .... I do
> > use my FT1000 for my transmitter / exciter tho .. ha ha ha ha ah.
>
> It would seem that you should sell that FT1000 and go strictly tubes if
> all you do is use it for transmitting. 8^)

Ouch: How do you spell clueless? I loves you dearly Michael, you're a
very nice guy and yer heart is in the right place but you have this
frustrating penchant for getting over yer head at warp speed when
discussions turn to even moderately deep-end techie sorts of topics.
You done it again.

This guy is obviously into low-band weak signal dxing on 160/80/40 etc.
Those of us take this game seriously understand what it takes in the
way of rcvr performance. He's talking about using his modded R4-C as an
outboard RX because of it's legendary front end performance, it's
blocking dynamic range and it's third order intercept points as they
relate to successfully dealing with very difficult band conditions.
Which leaves out your Heath treasures and all members of the FT-1000
clan in this particular pursuit.

"If the tube stuff is better, then I'd go for it in a minute" eh? Welp
there ya go.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

3794. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 14:56:02 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ffmdnb2Q2bLJRQTfRVn-hQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 200819

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:5Hjme.17274$cP2.5068@fe06.lga...
>
> "ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:-5OdnY8xj-RWBwTfRVn-tg@comcast.com...
> >
> >
> > OK, what is the solution for me that won't run afoul with the law? Would
a
> > CB radio be the one? What type of radios can I use for a two way
> > communications, conducted occasionally with high degree of reliability
on
> > demand? As I mentioned, The two points are five blocks apart downtown a
> > Midwestern city with a lot of structures in between. The conversations
> will
> > (or rather, may) be done during business hours between 9:00 and 4:00
EST.
> I
> > want to make sure that when I turned that radio on: (1) I can contact my
> > partner immediately (2) in any weather (3) there is minimal
interference.
> As
> > I mentioned, it is a back up system for me. I am using VoIP phone lines
> most
> > of the time but my office on the other end of the communication line has
> to
> > have at least one line landbased. In any event, on a few occasions
because
> > of construction nearby the lines have been interrupted recently. I need
a
> > backup to fall onto in case of future problems.
> >
>
> Personally, I'd recommend the new(er) MURS band. This is license free,
and
> is definitely capable of going 5 blocks. Of course, blocks are different
> lengths in different locations, but either way, I wouldn't think that you
> would run into any problems.
>
> MURS consists of 5 frequencies (channels) that were once used for licensed
> business communications, but are now available for public (and business)
> use, license free. There are three frequencies in the 151.XXX MHz range,
> and two in the 154.XXX MHz range. If you were to utilize one of the
151.XXX

> MHz freqs., you would minimize your chance of interference, as the most
> readily used freqs. were the 154.XXX MHz ones. This band can also utilize
> CTCSS tone codes to further minimize interference.
>
> Another plus is that there are LOTS of high quality radios on the used
> market that utilize these frequencies because of the fact that they used
to
> be licensed business band freqs. Do a little internet searching for MURS,
I
> bet it will fit what you are looking for, and be a LOT cheaper to boot if
> you go with used equipment...

Thanks, an online university for me.

3795. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 14:57:16 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xbWdnQgBOuECRQTfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 200820

"General Mobile Radio Service |nformation" <gmrsinfo@gmail.com> wrote in
message news:Xns966563441F45Egmrsinfo@207.115.63.158...
> "ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:-5OdnY8xj-RWBwTfRVn-tg@comcast.com:
>
> > OK, what is the solution for me that won't run afoul with the law?
> > Would a CB radio be the one? What type of radios can I use for a two
> > way communications, conducted occasionally with high degree of
> > reliability on demand? As I mentioned, The two points are five blocks
> > apart downtown a Midwestern city with a lot of structures in between.
> > The conversations will (or rather, may) be done during business hours
> > between 9:00 and 4:00 EST. I want to make sure that when I turned that
> > radio on: (1) I can contact my partner immediately (2) in any weather
> > (3) there is minimal interference. As I mentioned, it is a back up
> > system for me. I am using VoIP phone lines most of the time but my
> > office on the other end of the communication line has to have at least
> > one line landbased. In any event, on a few occasions because of
> > construction nearby the lines have been interrupted recently. I need a
> > backup to fall onto in case of future problems.
> >
>
> See the forum on GMRS..... It is entirely possible I was wrong before....
> your assessment of the regs may have been correct. A business cannot
> license itdelf.... BUT if each individual IN the business licenses, THEN
> it may be legal. Discussion in the other forum may clear this up..... If
> I was wrong... I apologize for the error.
>
> As for VOIP..... That has created extreme controversy in the GMRS
> community. We are waiting for an FCC ruling on theis. It appears it may
> be totally illegal, since rules forbid any GMRS traffic being transmitted
> over phone lines.
>
> CB is NOT the way to go for business comms. Handheld radios are bulky,
> other users are rude.
>
> The license free Family Radio Service (FRS), The Multi Use Radio Service
> (MURS), or the business radio service can be used. MURS and BRS both
> have expensive equipment costs. FRS is similar to GMRS, except you can
> only use handhelds with a 500 mW power limit.
>
> Regardless.... since you are now on GMRS Web, you can get your questions
> answered with zero margin for error.

Thank you.

3796. Excuse me !It's ad .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 15:15:37 UTC
From: macrocoro <52mail@163.com>
Message-ID: <1117379737.542764.247190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200821

http://www.infohoo.com

3797. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 15:22:21 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <D6lme.26809$NZ1.9148@fe09.lga>
Source record: 200822

Landshark wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:rBime.26798$NZ1.10424@fe09.lga...
>
>>Chuck wrote:
>>
>>>On 28 May 2005 18:44:50 -0700, "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton!
>>>CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Yeah, we dealt with one such fool here...false Christian and perverted
>>>>liar Chukkee, K8CPA. He made gun threats to people on here. He mellowed
>>>>out when the poe-leeece showed up at his door.
>>>
>>>
>>>Oh Plllleeeeaaassseee... I never went and shot anyone... that dude is
>>>wacked. and nobody EVER showed up here, ever! However, I did report
>>>you to the FBI for making threats at me. might be why your in trouble
>>>with the law now. Har de Har har!
>>>
>>>Lying Bastard idiot.
>>>
>>>-Chuck
>>>
>>>
>>
>>piss off charles. your past posts on this board prove what an immature
>>wanker you are.
>
>
> Oh come on JC, look at who you're responding to
> and who the original poster is. Either of them don't have
> the brains to take off a child proof cap :)
>
> Have a safe Memorial Day, don't eat to much of the
> haggis!!!
>
> Landshark
>
>
oh my! haggis is something you eat when on a piss.
today is enjoying the weather and a 'few cold ones'.
have a good one sharkie and to all the vets out there, Thanks....

3798. Free Radio Forum up and running

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 15:44:12 UTC
From: VE5JL <ve5jl@ve5jlHATES_SPAM.com>
Message-ID: <grlme.1510730$8l.1233293@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 200823
Cross-posted to: alt.games.microsoft.flight-sim,alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Visit my up and running Radio forum. http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

Topics range from:

Scanning
Shortwave Radio
Ham Radio
CB Radio
Radio Shack Stories
Satellite Radio
FM Radio
Medium Wave Radio

and a special section for my other love, Flight Simulator.

73's

Joe
VE4 & 5JL

BTW for Canadian Radio information, visit my website, http://www.ve5jl.com

3799. Re: Here's a good amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 19:26:26 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <FHome.60$uK3.21323923@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200824

Chuck:

Even Ebay and the FCC must grow tired of answering complaints from these guys acting like old complaining women...
... you can only respond to someone hollering "WOLF!" so many times--some of us learned that early in grade school...

Warmest regards,
John

"Chuck" <k8cpa@k8cpa.org> wrote in message
news:qbbj91tfbvuhs1srp4dqth375ec0egmoeb@4ax.com...
On 26 May 2005 10:17:24 -0700, "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton!
CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>Stomper wrote:
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5776576921&rd=1
>
>
>AKC does not allow amp autions here in this NG. This one was ratted to
>ebay.

Must not have ratted it to well, it's still for sale, dougie

3800. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 21:15:49 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <biqme.67$Ha1.36838473@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200825

Yes, grab a cb and a BIG "Leen-e-air" (you'll be stuck with a 5-watt walkie talkie if on foot <frown>) and join the rest of them!!!! Go freeband (just above cb freqs, or just below if you need less populated chans--couse, you will find a lot of mexicans on these freqs.)

Really too bad you are not a ham--then you could use your 10 meter equip (like a notable number of hams do) on 11 meters--those multi-kilowatt "leen-e-airs" some of the hams bootleg with are the real key!!!

Warmest regards,
John
"ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:-5OdnY8xj-RWBwTfRVn-tg@comcast.com...

"General Mobile Radio Service |nformation" <gmrsinfo@gmail.com> wrote in message news:Xns96649FA01BA1Egmrsinfo@207.115.63.158...
> "ALEXB" <alexb6865@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:1NOdndZ_uqLPwwXfRVn-rw@comcast.com:
>
>
> > Thanks for telling me that but I think I am fine. I am not
> > incorporated. I have one employee. I applied as an individual. I
> > filled out an application on their website at
> > www.wireless.fcc.gov/usl/ and I do not remember if they asked me about
> > my business name. Anyway, I used my office address as I recall. It is
> > too confusing for me to understand in all its entirety since I have
> > too many other things to worry about.
> >
>
> Regardless, you still cannot use GMRS to conduct business. GMRS is
> strictly for families and non-business groups. Once you ID with your
> callsign as required, you open yourself up to being reported.
> Nonetheless, I applaud you for getting a license :) That is great :)

OK, what is the solution for me that won't run afoul with the law? Would a CB radio be the one? What type of radios can I use for a two way communications, conducted occasionally with high degree of reliability on demand? As I mentioned, The two points are five blocks apart downtown a Midwestern city with a lot of structures in between. The conversations will (or rather, may) be done during business hours between 9:00 and 4:00 EST. I want to make sure that when I turned that radio on: (1) I can contact my partner immediately (2) in any weather (3) there is minimal interference. As I mentioned, it is a back up system for me. I am using VoIP phone lines most of the time but my office on the other end of the communication line has to have at least one line landbased. In any event, on a few occasions because of construction nearby the lines have been interrupted recently. I need a

backup to fall onto in case of future problems.

Thanks

3801. TO JAY IN THE MOJAVE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-29 23:58:05 UTC
From: Liberty Valance <dlp33@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <hGsme.18778$Is4.2665@attbi_s21>
Source record: 200826

HOW MUCH IS YOUR 10K GND PLANE?

--
FREE "Dish TV" System! Pause Live TV etc. Free DVR Included + Up To Four
Rooms Intalled FREE! Free Authorization Certificate! Act Now!

3802. Cobra 148GTL-DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 00:10:48 UTC
From: Venom <Venom@Venomous.com>
Message-ID: <1117411808.22947cd0cffa50a64ef25c5ea74fde80@teranews>
Source record: 200827

I am looking for an original NEW or MINT USED Cobra 148GTL-DX (PB-010
Board).
This is the UNIDEN model,similar to the Superstar 360 FM.
I know there is one out there someplace!
Original box,paperwork,bracket will be a bonus. Thanks!

3803. GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 02:04:29 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <b5tk91dlvu36juj7kmm55phme4ck7qv92s@4ax.com>
Source record: 200829

Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog of CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics (TriPower RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger Beep, etc.) and other CB technical articles at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

3804. GALAXY-RANGER SERVICE MANUAL--2nd EDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 02:04:33 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <f5tk91t2b9o0k05qpc7lmmshc9qvb8aogo@4ax.com>
Source record: 200830

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

3805. CB REPAIR & MODIFICATION BOOKS AT 40% OFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 02:04:37 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <j5tk91pji4ej397l130sqqk2oa467epatl@4ax.com>
Source record: 200828

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out!

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3806. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 02:04:41 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <n5tk911lqb31b7jtmvgqr2n0j5etvgehak@4ax.com>
Source record: 200832

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure
out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF
feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you
need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3807. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 02:04:49 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <t5tk919u65otbdtgi573s086eiq81bq2j6@4ax.com>
Source record: 200831

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3808. Re: TO JAY IN THE MOJAVE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 03:33:45 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429A8999.11D86F28@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200833

> HOW MUCH IS YOUR 10K GND PLANE?

http://www.a1antennas.com/

3809. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 03:40:09 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429A8B19.96FF5BC@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200834

> OK, what is the solution for me that won't run afoul with the law? Would a
> CB radio be the one? What type of radios can I use for a two way
> communications, conducted occasionally with high degree of reliability on
> demand? As I mentioned, The two points are five blocks apart downtown a
> Midwestern city with a lot of structures in between. The conversations will
> (or rather, may) be done during business hours between 9:00 and 4:00 EST. I
> want to make sure that when I turned that radio on: (1) I can contact my
> partner immediately (2) in any weather (3) there is minimal interference. As
> I mentioned, it is a back up system for me. I am using VoIP phone lines most
> of the time but my office on the other end of the communication line has to
> have at least one line landbased. In any event, on a few occasions because
> of construction nearby the lines have been interrupted recently. I need a
> backup to fall onto in case of future problems.

Try MURS, a Part 95 VHF radio service. It allows 2 watts, gain antennas,
and VHF works better in the simplex mode then UHF. The allowed freqs are:

151.820
151.880
151.940
154.570
154.600

The last 2 are used by fast food drive thru's but are wider bandwidth
frequencies allowing more equipment to be compatable.

More info can be found at http://www.provide.net/~prsg/
just don't believe all Stewart's BS.

3810. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 03:49:58 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429A8D66.1B8EA678@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200835

> Try MURS, a Part 95 VHF radio service. It allows 2 watts, gain antennas,
> and VHF works better in the simplex mode then UHF. The allowed freqs are:
>
> 151.820
> 151.880
> 151.940
> 154.570
> 154.600
>
> The last 2 are used by fast food drive thru's but are wider bandwidth
> frequencies allowing more equipment to be compatable.
>
> More info can be found at http://www.provide.net/~prsg/
> just don't believe all Stewart's BS.

Oh yeah, don't use freqs in VHF that you aren't allowed.
There is no room to play around in that range. There are
public service freqs above and below these freqs.

Laugh at these idiots:
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-258870A1.html
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-258056A1.html

My favorite idiot to laugh at, Jack Gerritsen:
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-256484A1.html
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-255042A1.html
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/Orders/2005/DA-05-534A1.html

3811. UNIDEN HR2600 FM problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 05:24:06 UTC
From: Mike <revellm@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Wrxme.921$4u.387@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200836

I recently acquired a Uniden HR2600. It seems to work fine except on FM. When in FM, the display light goes out and there is a burst of noise. No receive or transmit.

Anybody seen this problem. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely
Mike

3812. controlling marine radio remotely via VoIP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 08:53:57 UTC
From: Greg Alexander <galexand@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <1117443237.041086.164940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200837

Hello,
I'm a member of a volunteer marine rescue group in Australia.
I was chatting yesterday to a couple of members about the possibilities
of accessing our radio base's radios from home, via the internet.

The idea would be to have a virtual radio on the computer, transmitting
and receiving via the radios at the base. We'd have to make it secure
of course, and like the US we would have certain local regulations we
have to adhere to.

Anyway I thought I might ask online and see if anyone could help. I've
read a little on the echolink product and I don't think it's what we're
after, though I have to admit that alot of the terminology used is
beyond me (for now anyway).

So my questions
1) Is there an internet-based method of separating the physical
transmitter/receiver from the user (who needs his computer, mic, and
method to switch channels, adjust squelch etc)?
2) Are there software only and hardware based solutions?
3) what kind of costs are we looking at (besides the internet/pc cost)?

Thanks for any and all information and help!
Greg
ps. If this is the wrong group to ask on, please point me in the right
direction?

3813. Re: Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 09:52:52 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@lixus.com>
Message-ID: <0jol91hmeavum0fmpfpdih6cu2gmm7u9g0@4ax.com>
Source record: 200838

Reading all this makes george look very bad.

On 27 May 2005 23:23:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>>Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
>>Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
>
>>I'm gonna enjoy beating you to a pulp.
>>
>Hi chrissy, remember when you used to use these nyms? <g>
>
>Hi de Ho Crabby, Prozac, World Wide Proziac,
>Rock Lobster, Reptile, Lennie Beecroft,
>Black dragon, Whiskey, The Chosen One,
>Auntie, Capn Jack, Professor Smokes Sausages,
>BadaBing, Deep Blue Sea, Humphrey Cry Baby,
>Helmut Sweeny, Voobie Brutha, Seven and Out,
>Uncle Fester, Mugabi, China Grove, Auntie,
>Dweebo Spanka, Smooth Criminal,
>Man Child Lover, Rotec, Pro@Zac.com,
>Crap out, Chocolate Lover, Hans Von Gruber,
>Kilo Watt Kid, Proziac, Gay Old Man In SF,
>Green Nat, Warlord, ProzacHolic, Green Mamba,
>Popeye, 1Anti@aaron.com, Beer Bottle,
>Moe Larry Curly, RecRadioPolice,
>Black Dragon, Old Man In Pa., Davemade1,
>Old Man, Amish Man, 3-500zees,
>LandsharkSux@aol.com, "?" Riddler
>Read Em, And Another, New World Order,
>etc etc etc,
>---
>Now you grace us with such wisdom as this:
>
>Since December 12 2004
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more

>> ways than one.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
>> destination.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
>> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
>> school yards. .. 10-4
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have
>> any balls
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> correctional Facility i am locked up.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
>> Nagger you are a liar,
>> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
>> him trip over himself repying to this message.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
>> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
>> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.
>

>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
>> ever heard ofsub seven??
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
>> face it you like men and everything about them.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Insane in the membrane.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
>> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick
>
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> You queer assclown
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
>> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?
>

>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> you fucking clueless douchebag.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> You suck and lancer swallows.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
>> out and burns down your trailer.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote
>
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> exactly i dont you stupid fuck
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> sorry dick with ears
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
>> oxycotnin.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> guess again assfuck
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> LOL, you fucking sissy...
>
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> i am not a sock puppet but you are
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.
>
>

>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> steveo taslks shit
>> without ever backing it up oh yes
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Negro please
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> I know you wont to pussy to do so.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
>> threads until now, assfuck
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> your a fucking jew aren't you?
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> thats not george you clueless assfuck
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> read what the fuck I tpye
>

>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
>> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> did yur mother have anything that lived?
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> are you befriendung him for other
>> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
>> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
>> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as usual.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
>> dave McCampbell
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
>> now she is a real twat from what i hear.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> No one is on your leg dickhead, now go suck some more dick
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> hahaha you are truely clueless you ass loving faggot. That is a 100% lie

>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> his wife is out getting banged while he sits at homne on the usenet
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> No that i fucked your ex- old lady was better.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> ur wife usually makes a special delivery and takes a load home with her.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> LOL I say ask your
>> wife mopedick... she likes the taste of my cock.
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> Ill tell you what Ninnie Ill stand in the street taking pictures what are
>> you gonna do about it...degoboi
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> we shall see Ninnie, we shall see. Thanks fer the invite. hope ur are
>> home when i come by
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> sorry not even original..assfuck
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote
>> yOUR WIFE SAID SHE LIKES MY DICK AFETR FUCKING HER. SHE SAID YOU LIKE
>> MEN, LANDSHARK COMES TO MIND.
>--
>
>Carry on, retard.

3814. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 12:50:21 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4_Dme.1558$_Q2.758@fe11.lga>
Source record: 200839

Steveo wrote:
> Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 28 May 2005 05:28:09 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
>><jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Hello All:
>>>
>>>Looking for a moonraker 6 any condation.
>>>
>>>Jay in the Mojave
>>
>>Why? so you can steal the design? like you did the rest of them
>>antennas you build?
>>
>>;-P
>>
>>-Chuck
>>
>
> STFU you fat maggot.
second that motion...

3815. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 15:18:39 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <119mbmgollcsf7e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 200840

Just looking for the elements and such.

Do not need the Boom, Hubs, Boom to Mast Plate, or the Gamma Matches that break all the time. I have new billet aluminum hubs made to fit a stronger 2 inch boom, and a better boom to mast plate. And the Fiber glass rod truss will be replaced with a better nylon rope multiple truss system. And the matching system will upgraded to a lower loss matching system.

The last moonraker I got, had almost all the hubs broke!

I am rebuilding a Moonraker 6 for a friend. Will have a much stronger Boom and Hubs. Since these old moonraker beams worked so well the guy wants it bullet proofed! Since you will need a tower and a heavy duty rotor why add a little weight and make it last another 40 years or more. I should have photos if I can find the elements to save some money.

Jay in the Mojave

Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> Hello All:
>
> Looking for a moonraker 6 any condation.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

3816. Re: Here's a good amplifier for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 16:34:56 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <45gm911a0e8tae0rr7jmupbe1vjhg8dik2@4ax.com>
Source record: 200841

On Sun, 29 May 2005 12:26:26 -0700, "John Smith"
<assemblywizard@gmail.com> wrote:

>Chuck:
>
>Even Ebay and the FCC must grow tired of answering complaints from these guys acting like old complaining women...
>... you can only respond to someone hollering "WOLF!" so many times--some of us learned that early in grade school...
>
>Warmest regards,
>John
>
>

Agreed...

3817. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 16:36:58 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <o7gm91hjdpqb6kapdngqj44uuq71l096lo@4ax.com>
Source record: 200842

I hear ya man.... the new one's ain't nearly as good as the older
ones... FWIW, I was kidding about the design stealing.... :)

-Chuck

On Mon, 30 May 2005 08:18:39 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
<jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:

>Just looking for the elements and such.
>
>Do not need the Boom, Hubs, Boom to Mast Plate, or the Gamma Matches
>that break all the time. I have new billet aluminum hubs made to fit a
>stronger 2 inch boom, and a better boom to mast plate. And the Fiber
>glass rod truss will be replaced with a better nylon rope multiple truss
>system. And the matching system will upgraded to a lower loss matching
>system.
>
>The last moonraker I got, had almost all the hubs broke!
>
>I am rebuilding a Moonraker 6 for a friend. Will have a much stronger
>Boom and Hubs. Since these old moonraker beams worked so well the guy
>wants it bullet proofed! Since you will need a tower and a heavy duty
>rotor why add a little weight and make it last another 40 years or more.
>I should have photos if I can find the elements to save some money.
>
>Jay in the Mojave
>
>
>Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>> Hello All:
>>
>> Looking for a moonraker 6 any condation.
>>
>> Jay in the Mojave

3818. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 16:38:15 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa@k8cpa.org>
Message-ID: <nagm91ll90umgals2srsguuu7r0ph6077r@4ax.com>
Source record: 200843

On 29 May 2005 07:28:38 -0700, "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton!
CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Right, Chukkee-Sukkee, right. We could always post the threats you made
>here again if you'd like to deny you made them. We could always post
>the police reports that were taken.We could always Post the threats you
>made to other people as well. And of course, we could always post the
>link to your website where you admit what a screw up you are.
>
>The lying bastard idiot here is you, Chukkee-Sukkee.

Piss off dougie, get a job, better yet, get a life.

bastard moron...

3819. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 17:13:20 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cYqdndX4V6ie1wbfRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 200844

"Scott in Baltimore" <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote in message
news:429A8D66.1B8EA678@yapoo.com...
> > Try MURS, a Part 95 VHF radio service. It allows 2 watts, gain antennas,
> > and VHF works better in the simplex mode then UHF. The allowed freqs
are:
> >
> > 151.820
> > 151.880
> > 151.940
> > 154.570
> > 154.600
> >
> > The last 2 are used by fast food drive thru's but are wider bandwidth
> > frequencies allowing more equipment to be compatable.
> >
> > More info can be found at http://www.provide.net/~prsg/
> > just don't believe all Stewart's BS.
>
> Oh yeah, don't use freqs in VHF that you aren't allowed.
> There is no room to play around in that range. There are
> public service freqs above and below these freqs.
>
> Laugh at these idiots:
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-258870A1.html
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-258056A1.html
>
> My favorite idiot to laugh at, Jack Gerritsen:
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-256484A1.html
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-255042A1.html
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/Orders/2005/DA-05-534A1.html

Whoops, very interesting!

Personally, in fact, I do not have the know-how and inclination to transmit outside of what my purchased device would do and I have no need to do it. The channels I tried are pretty empty most of the time. Of course, the issue of the distance is a different story, but still I doubt I would go out on the lam with another category in a different frequency range. Still it is kind of interesting to know. Thanks a lot. Very valuable stuff. It will get stuck in my database forever.

A pretty dramatic world you are living in guys. I feel now I've got enough of basic knowledge. What I really need it to pick up some slang:)

Thanks,

3820. Broadcastdb - Over 6500+ Radio and TV stations : Just Added:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 19:32:56 UTC
From: broadcastdb <radio@broadcastdb.com>
Message-ID: <1117481576.955871.250940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Broadcastdb - Over 6500+ Live Radio and TV stations broadcasting over the Internet.

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

Watch:

The lastest movie Trailer: 'Mr. and Mrs. Smith'
A sexy action adventure. John and Jane Smith are an ordinary suburban couple with an ordinary, lifeless suburban marriage. But each of them has a secret: They are legendary assassins working for competing organizations. When the truth comes out, John and Jane end up in each other's cross-hairs.

Mr. and Mrs. Smith Trailer Brad Pitt Angelina Jolie Adam Brody - Action and Adventure

Listen - Just added: Live radios:

Radio Oriente 720 AM Porlamar - News, Music, Talk radio - Porlamar/Venezuela
Radio 880 AM Pto. Ordaz - News, Music, Talk radio - Pto. Ordaz/Venezuela
Radio Carúpano 1110 AM Carupano - News, Music, Talk radio - Carupano/Venezuela
Radio Venezuela 790 AM Caracas - News, Music, Talk radio - Caracas/Venezuela
Radio 880 AM Maracay - News, Music, Talk radio - Maracay/Venezuela
Radio Llanera 960 AM Acarigua - News, Music, Talk radio - Acarigua/Venezuela
Radio Valencia 1220 AM Valencia - News, Music, Talk radio - Valencia/Venezuela
Radio Ondas del Caribe 1150 Pto. Fijo - News, Music, Talk radio - Pto. Fijo/Venezuela
Radio Tricolor 990 AM Barquisimeto - News, Music, Talk radio - Barquisimeto/Venezuela
Mara Ritmo 900 AM Maracaibo - News, Music, Talk radio - Maracaibo/Venezuela
Radio Barinas 1190 AM Barinas - News, Music, Talk radio - Barinas/Venezuela
Nuevo Buinense 107.9 FM - Folkloric music, latin hits, news - Buin, Region Metropolitana / Chile
Radio Portales de Santiago 1180 AM - Tango, Folkloric music, latin hits, news - Santiago / Chile
Ràdio Balaguer - Top 40, CHR - Music and news 24 hours -

Cataluña/Spain
Tarrega 92.3 FM - Pop, dance, CHR - Tarrega/Lleida - Cataluña / Spain
KARR-AM 1460 - Religious,Christian - Kirkland / Washington - USA
KASB-FM 89.3 - College - Bellevue / Washington - USA
KJVH-FM 89.5 - Christian Contemporary - Longview / Washington - USA
KAYB-FM 88.1 - Religious - Sunnyside / Washington - USA
KMTT-FM 103.7 - Top 40, Alternative - Tacoma / Washington - USA
KNDD 107.7 FM - Alternative, Funk,Punk, Reggae, Rock `n`Roll, Wave -
Seattle / Washington - EUA
Radio Sibenik - Croatia - Listen live :) 24/7 - Sibenik/Croatia
Roots Radio - Americana & Roots - Turnhout/Belgium

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

- Search our database of live radios and TV's
- Save a list of your favorite stations
- Rate the stations - let other people know who has the best music
- Add stations, help us build the biggest stream database on the web

Listen live stations by format:

Talk, News, Sports, Variety, Religious, Classical, Jazz, Latin,
Contemporary Hit Radio(CHR),
Brasilian music, Easy listening, International, Ambient, Lounge,
Alternative, College, Modern Rock, Top 40,
Dance, Urban, Rock, classic rock, Adult contemporary, Classics, Oldies,
Country, Techno, Smooth Jazz,
World Music, Spanish.

http://www.broadcastdb.com/

----

http://www.broadcastdb.com
Radios, TV, live streams on the web!
A database of all Live broadcast stations on the Internet

3821. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 19:49:50 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117482590.708124.164900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200846

My IQ is 143 and my parents were legally married at the date of my conception. Wrong again.

You have now brought down the AKC on your false Christian self. Watch and learn, wristflipper.

3822. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 19:52:27 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117482747.708296.278760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200847

Blow it out your schnozz, phoney Christian. You try to make people think you are Christian but you threaten people with guns. What a loop de loop you are, sissy boy.

3823. Re: Ping Leland 172.172.158.131

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 19:56:00 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117482960.591820.121870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200848

Wrong, wristflipper. I was there. You were just too stupid to realize it. By the way, I never sell at Dayton. Boy are you dumb. Since you threatened what you were gonna do to AKC, etc at Dayton, why didnt you say where you would be? We know why, coward. You didnt camp there cuz you were afraid of what might happen. Thats how much of a wristflipping paranoid turdbag you are.

Seeya at Woodward, sissy.

3824. Re: Big trouble on the web out in Trans-carp land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 20:24:11 UTC
From: Landshark <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.net>
Message-ID: <LDKme.442$wy1.173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200849

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:D6lme.26809$NZ1.9148@fe09.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:rBime.26798$NZ1.10424@fe09.lga...
> >
> >>Chuck wrote:
> >>
> >>>On 28 May 2005 18:44:50 -0700, "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton!
> >>>CHICKEN BOY!" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Yeah, we dealt with one such fool here...false Christian and perverted
> >>>>liar Chukkee, K8CPA. He made gun threats to people on here. He
mellowed
> >>>>out when the poe-leeece showed up at his door.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Oh Plllleeeeaaassseee... I never went and shot anyone... that dude is
> >>>wacked. and nobody EVER showed up here, ever! However, I did report
> >>>you to the FBI for making threats at me. might be why your in trouble
> >>>with the law now. Har de Har har!
> >>>
> >>>Lying Bastard idiot.
> >>>
> >>>-Chuck
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>piss off charles. your past posts on this board prove what an immature
> >>wanker you are.
> >
> >
> > Oh come on JC, look at who you're responding to
> > and who the original poster is. Either of them don't have
> > the brains to take off a child proof cap :)
> >
> > Have a safe Memorial Day, don't eat to much of the
> > haggis!!!
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >

> oh my! haggis is something you eat when on a piss.
> today is enjoying the weather and a 'few cold ones'.
> have a good one sharkie and to all the vets out there, Thanks....

LOL!!!, it is an acquired type of taste isn't it. Man, it's a great day! 77 degree's, wife & kids playing the hotub, slow smoking a prime rib, sitting next to a keg of Gordon Birsch Marzen, working the new wireless network, pretty cool.

Landshark

3825. Re: Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 20:27:09 UTC
From: Landshark <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.net>
Message-ID: <xGKme.444$wy1.414@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 200851

"Just Me" <JustMe@lixus.com> wrote in message
news:0jol91hmeavum0fmpfpdih6cu2gmm7u9g0@4ax.com...
> Reading all this makes george look very bad.
>
> On 27 May 2005 23:23:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >wrote:
> >>Steveo moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote in
> >>Message-id: <20040417141637.901$t1@newsrea der.com>
> >>
> >>I'm gonna enjoy beating you to a pulp.
> >>
> >Hi chrissy, remember when you used to use these nyms? <g>
> >>
> >Hi de Ho Crabby, Prozac, World Wide Proziac,
> >Rock Lobster, Reptile, Lennie Beecroft,
> >Black dragon, Whiskey, The Chosen One,
> >Auntie, Capn Jack, Professor Smokes Sausages,
> >BadaBing, Deep Blue Sea, Humphrey Cry Baby,
> >Helmut Sweeny, Voobie Brutha, Seven and Out,
> >Uncle Fester, Mugabi, China Grove, Auntie,
> >Dweebo Spanka, Smooth Criminal,
> >Man Child Lover, Rotec, Pro@Zac.com,
> >Crap out, Chocolate Lover, Hans Von Gruber,
> >Kilo Watt Kid, Proziac, Gay Old Man In SF,
> >Green Nat, Warlord, ProzacHolic, Green Mamba,
> >Popeye, 1Anti@aaron.com, Beer Bottle,
> >Moe Larry Curly, RecRadioPolice,
> >Black Dragon, Old Man In Pa., Davemade1,
> >Old Man, Amish Man, 3-500zees,
> >LandsharkSux@aol.com, "?" Riddler
> >Read Em, And Another, New World Order,
> >etc etc etc,
> >----------
> >Now you grace us with such wisdom as this:
> >
> >Since December 12 2004
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> >> ways than one.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> >> destination.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped
by
> >> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> >> school yards. .. 10-4
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you
have
> >> any balls
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> correctional Facility i am locked up.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> >> Nagger you are a liar,
> >> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch
> >> him trip over himself repying to this message.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
> >>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger
> >

> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> >> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are..
hey
> >> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> >> ever heard ofsub seven??
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> >> face it you like men and everything about them.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Insane in the membrane.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> >> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick
> >
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

> >> You queer assclown
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> >> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> you fucking clueless douchebag.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> You suck and lancer swallows.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> >> out and burns down your trailer.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote
> >
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> exactly i dont you stupid fuck
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> sorry dick with ears
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> >> oxycotnin.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> guess again assfuck
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> LOL, you fucking sissy...
> >
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> i am not a sock puppet but you are
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

> >> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.
> >
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> steveo taslks shit
> >> without ever backing it up oh yes
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Negro please
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> I know you wont to pussy to do so.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> >> threads until now, assfuck
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> your a fucking jew aren't you?
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> thats not george you clueless assfuck
> >

> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> read what the fuck I tpye
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> >> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> did yur mother have anything that lived?
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> are you befriendung him for other
> >> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> >> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> >> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Again you have to reply and are a drugged up clueless assclown,as
usual.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> >> dave McCampbell
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> go tell your lies to another assclown like your wife
> >> now she is a real twat from what i hear.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

> >> wa3moj doesnt even post to this NG you dick sucking faggot.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> No one is on your leg dickhead, now go suck some more dick
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> hahaha you are truely clueless you ass loving faggot. That is a 100%
lie
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> his wife is out getting banged while he sits at homne on the usenet
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> No that i fucked your ex- old lady was better.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> ur wife usually makes a special delivery and takes a load home with
her.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> LOL I say ask your
> >> wife mopedick... she likes the taste of my cock.
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> Ill tell you what Ninnie Ill stand in the street taking pictures what
are
> >> you gonna do about it...degoboi
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> we shall see Ninnie, we shall see. Thanks fer the invite. hope ur are
> >> home when i come by
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> sorry not even original..assfuck
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote
> >> yOUR WIFE SAID SHE LIKES MY DICK AFETR FUCKING HER. SHE SAID YOU LIKE
> >> MEN, LANDSHARK COMES TO MIND.
> >>----------
> >
> >Carry on, retard.
>

He does that very well by himself as for looking bad.

Landshark

3826. Re: Hey Shark!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 20:27:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050530162749.228$Ww@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200850

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050527192324.108$au@newsreader.com:
>
> I'm gonna enjoy beating you to a pulp.
>
> bwaaahahahahah haw haw
>
LOL, take a good look at your cowardly felon hero...is this piece
of shit human??

http://img244.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly6mx.jpg

You should have seen the look on the fuckers face when I called him out!

Too funny!

3827. Re: Moonraker 6 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 22:06:58 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <119n3k3hvmqffaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 200852

Hello Chuck:

Is not a problem GI.

I saw your smilly face no biggie.

The Moonrakers worked really well. Just got a guy who wants all the good stuff to upgrade it. But then I have to drive over to Vagas to install it.

Take care and God Bless.

Jay in the Mojave

Chuck wrote:

> I hear ya man.... the new one's ain't nearly as good as the older
> ones... FWIW, I was kidding about the design stealing.... :)
>
> -Chuck
>
>
>
>
> On Mon, 30 May 2005 08:18:39 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Just looking for the elements and such.
>>
>>Do not need the Boom, Hubs, Boom to Mast Plate, or the Gamma Matches
>>that break all the time. I have new billet aluminum hubs made to fit a
>>stronger 2 inch boom, and a better boom to mast plate. And the Fiber
>>glass rod truss will be replaced with a better nylon rope multiple truss
>>system. And the matching system will upgraded to a lower loss matching
>>system.
>>
>>The last moonraker I got, had almost all the hubs broke!
>>
>>I am rebuilding a Moonraker 6 for a friend. Will have a much stronger
>>Boom and Hubs. Since these old moonraker beams worked so well the guy
>>wants it bullet proofed! Since you will need a tower and a heavy duty
>>rotor why add a little weight and make it last another 40 years or more.
>>I should have photos if I can find the elements to save some money.
>>
>>Jay in the Mojave
>>

>>
>>Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>>
>>>Hello All:
>>>
>>>Looking for a moonraker 6 any condation.
>>>
>>>Jay in the Mojave
>
>

3828. Questions from a CB Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 22:20:43 UTC
From: Sandra28 <sandra28@email2me.net>
Message-ID: <1117491643.439564.58220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200853

Hello I am new to the world of CB/Ham radio

I have not even invested in a radio yet questions

Should I buy secondhand if so from where is the best place?

Is Ebay.com really a good idea?

Could you tell me is AM or FM the legal freq in the UK and Ireland
and if so which most active used nowadays?

Whats the best kit to buy what President Lincoln Radio by Uniden like?

What are these www.chipswitch.com ?
Mobile Cbs versus Handheld Cb versus Basestation?????
So many questions oh well just learning.

Sandra

3829. Re: Big trouble in n8's pscho-dementia land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 22:34:04 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <wxMme.17591$eR.15129@fe05.lga>
Source record: 200854

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1117482590.708124.164900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> My IQ is 143 and my parents were legally married at the date of my
> conception. Wrong again.
>
> You have now brought down the AKC on your false Christian self. Watch
> and learn, wristflipper.
>

More idle threats from the walkie-talkie whack job..

zzzzzzzzzzzz.....

– – – – – –

From N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey CUNT,
Wanna meet at Dayton or before? I will whip your gayboy ass like a red
headed step-child. You are a punk-suck fagboy hiding behind an assumed
name who dont have any balls. Come on, you lap likkin shit hunk...wanna
party? I will kick your fukkin ass. You are a sissyboy faggot ILLEGAL
KEYCLOWN.
Go hump your wife Dweebo faggot. You can only talk smoke...I can bring
it into your life.
I think you have never opened a can of whip ass.
I volunteer to show ya how.
CUNT
Your turn, CUNT!

From N8WWM@arrl.net

You pissbag, I hope you go to Dayton. I will be
wearing a sign with my call on it, front and back. Come up and
introduce yourself and get a lunger hawked on your faggot ass, just
like your wife pus bag twistie. I fukkin dare ya. Looks like I am gonna
hafta start smokin cigars or something to generate enough flegm for all
you mutant nuttsak slurpers.
By the way, have you stopped molesting hamsters yet?

From:N8WWM@arrl.net

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or
ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to
the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real
dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

From N8WWM@arrl.net

```
Be forewarned: I like the kinda rumbles where there are cuts and broken
bones. If you have never deliberately broken someones bones, or don't
have the heart to cut, be civil. I have.
```

3830. Re: controlling marine radio remotely via VoIP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-30 23:23:31 UTC
From: Greg Alexander <galexand@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <1117495411.730878.80770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Should have posted this to r.r.a.e too.
If the cross post isn't relevant please answer just in the group you
think is relevant.

Greg Alexander wrote:
> Hello,
> I'm a member of a volunteer marine rescue group in Australia.
> I was chatting yesterday to a couple of members about the possibilities
> of accessing our radio base's radios from home, via the internet.
>
> The idea would be to have a virtual radio on the computer, transmitting
> and receiving via the radios at the base. We'd have to make it secure
> of course, and like the US we would have certain local regulations we
> have to adhere to.
>
> Anyway I thought I might ask online and see if anyone could help. I've
> read a little on the echolink product and I don't think it's what we're
> after, though I have to admit that alot of the terminology used is
> beyond me (for now anyway).
>
> So my questions
> 1) Is there an internet-based method of separating the physical
> transmitter/receiver from the user (who needs his computer, mic, and
> method to switch channels, adjust squelch etc)?
> 2) Are there software only and hardware based solutions?
> 3) what kind of costs are we looking at (besides the internet/pc cost)?
>
> Thanks for any and all information and help!
> Greg
> ps. If this is the wrong group to ask on, please point me in the right
> direction?

3831. Re: Big trouble in n8's pscho-dementia land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 02:06:11 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117505171.346591.287690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200856

Forges, false addys...originals prove it, mopathetic

3832. Re: TO JAY IN THE MOJAVE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 02:08:07 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117505287.833833.238960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200857

250 bucks for somthing you could make for 15 bucks worth of tubing from Home Depot...sheesh...rippoffs galore...the keyclowns are pretty stupid to buy that antenna.

3833. Re: TO JAY IN THE MOJAVE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 02:08:14 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117505294.594048.84940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200859

250 bucks for somthing you could make for 15 bucks worth of tubing from Home Depot...sheesh...rippoffs galore...the keyclowns are pretty stupid to buy that antenna.

3834. Re: TO JAY IN THE MOJAVE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 02:08:17 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117505297.808332.142690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200858

250 bucks for somthing you could make for 15 bucks worth of tubing from Home Depot...sheesh...rippoffs galore...the keyclowns are pretty stupid to buy that antenna.

3835. Re: Why no Yaesu Prizes at Hamvention?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 02:25:48 UTC
From: Dave Head <rally2xs@att.net>
Message-ID: <atin91p6pqu91uc57ii8ucm6grgpd48843@4ax.com>
Source record: 200860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 28 May 2005 03:42:21 -0500, Tom <Extra@nospam> wrote:

>The dilemma, if you want to describe it as that, of declining Ham
>licensees is little to worry about. It appears that some few
>hand-wringers are making much ado about nothing.
>
>I have noted that, over the years, the majority of new Hams are not
>joining the ARRL. And for good reason, too.
>
>The ARRL is a dinosaur, replete with septegenarians who are interested
>in doing things the 'old way'. Many new Hams look at the yearly fee to
>be a member and simply toss the application in the waste basket. I did
>this very thing some 25 years ago and never looked back.
>After all, who really needs the ARRL? What do you get for your
>membership? A monthly magazine? Big deal.

While the current administration would like to make out that the "economy is strong", there seems to be mounting evidence that it is harder for people to increase there economic situation, move up the ladder of success, etc. Immigrants who used to only take 3 generations to get from where they are to the mainstream middle class are now expected to take six generations to do it, if at all. The country is, reportedly, becoming less of a meritocracy, where people move up based on their ability, and more of a "caste system" where people are born to an economic level, and move up only with great diffuculty.

See:

http://www.csmonitor.com/2005/0523/p17s01-cogn.html

This is probably at work for holding back a lot of potential amateurs. You don't go spending the money you might once have spent on a radio if you're 30 yrs old and a technical geek - you now spend it on the rent.

Prosperity is becoming more of a rare thing, with really staggaring numbers of jobs being shipped overseas because their workers will build a software program at a pay of $30K per year, compared with a worker here that gets $80K per year. The general "think" on this by the American public seems to be that the $80K SW engineer here is just too greedy, and deserves to be out of a job in preference to the $30K a year Indian or Russian or whoever. IOW, grassroots opinion is supporting the outsourcing.

So this is probably the basis for how this hobby, and a lot of other hobbies requiring the spending of significant cash, is going to fail - not for lack of personal interest or ability, but from an economic lack of ability to reasonably pursue the hobby. Yeah, we've always sat around and wanted a bigger

tower like Chief Brody wanted a bigger boat - but the basic stuff of ham radio, a decent transciever, is likely harder for the newer hams than it used to be for us. Heck, we had Heathkit! Now, people either don't have good jobs, or their present jobs are so uncertain that they won't spend the money and go in debt for the radio or tower or whatever.

So, welcome to the 21st Century.

What to do about it? The income tax is largely at work here. Corporate taxes make producing things here more expensive. Companies ship their jobs overseas where the aren't taxed so much - if India were to tax a worker there 50%, and take that $30K a year SW engineer down to $15K, is that more or less expensive overall than using the Stateside SW engineer at a 28% rate for that level of salary. 28% of $80K is $22,400. So, that's _more_ $$$ down the drain for taxes here than a 50% tax overseas would be.

Get rid of the income tax, and espcially the corporate income tax, and replace it with a sales tax, and all of a sudden that cheap accounting software package from India that used to cost $200 now costs maybe $260. In the meanwhile, the equivalent product built here, for $250 or so, first has its price go down from the USA-based company not having to pay income tax, and then add the sales tax percentage, and you get a _much_ more competitive USA-built piece of software.

Hooray! Its what we need now... I mean _NOW_.

>I will leave the speculation and 'hard data' gathering processes to
>others who show an interest in data processing. Their efforts will be of
>little value in the long term scheme, other than they gathered some
>numbers and percentages that have little, if any, value in the long run.
>
>Meanwhile, catch me on the HF bands. I'll leave the overcrowded two
>meter repeaters to the handi-talkie newbies.

Well, there's nothing wrong with repeaters, handi-talkies, and newbies. We need the newbies, and having lotsa repeaters is a _good_ thing.

This is 2nd hand from a local ham telling the story of an emergency exercise involving FEMA and a communications network over a local repeater. Everything was going outstandingly when the FEMA guy thought he'd throw a monkey wrench into the works. He went over to the net control guy and told him to simulate the repeater going down. Net control just called the guy with the biggest home signal and told him to tell everybody to go to another local repeater, and the net was back on the air pretty much without skipping a beat. The FEMA fellow said, "How'd you _do_ that?" NC just smiled and said, "Hey, we have backups!"
>
>All the more reason to upgrade.

Always a good idea.

Dave Head

3836. Re: Big trouble in n8's pscho-dementia land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 03:28:56 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <YRQme.1173$xD2.740@fe02.lga>
Source record: 200861

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1117505171.346591.287690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Forges, false addys...originals prove it, mopathetic
>

Once again, your *ignorance* and childish, feminine mewling
has been noted...

And this bit from you (below) is truely a hoot.

So tell me something, just what the hell were you thinking
when you foolishly typed this??? LMAO!!!

"Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
trainedpuppet."

3837. Re: Big trouble in n8's pscho-dementia land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 03:43:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <N3Rme.1636$8Z6.732@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 200862

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:YRQme.1173$xD2.740@fe02.lga...
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1117505171.346591.287690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Forges, false addys...originals prove it, mopathetic
> >
>
> Once again, your *ignorance* and childish, feminine mewling
> has been noted...
>
>
> And this bit from you (below) is truely a hoot.
>
> So tell me something, just what the hell were you thinking
> when you foolishly typed this??? LMAO!!!
>
> ---------
>
> "Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet."
>

143?

You might be interesting to talk to - *if* you really have an I.Q. of 143
..... but to post the way you do would suggest that it is likely below 100.
Just my opinion, but ...

You have my e-mail addy.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

3838. Re: Questions from a CB Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 03:43:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <N3Rme.1635$8Z6.112@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 200863

"Sandra28" <sandra28@email2me.net> wrote in message
news:1117491643.439564.58220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Hello I am new to the world of CB/Ham radio
>
> I have not even invested in a radio yet questions
>
> Should I buy secondhand if so from where is the best place?
>
> Is Ebay.com really a good idea?
>
>
> Could you tell me is AM or FM the legal freq in the UK and Ireland
> and if so which most active used nowadays?
>
> Whats the best kit to buy what President Lincoln Radio by Uniden like?
>
> What are these www.chipswitch.com ?
> Mobile Cbs versus Handheld Cb versus Basestation?????
> So many questions oh well just learning.
>
> Sandra
>

Hello Sandra

There are a number of answers. Might I ask you to check out this URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HamRadioHelpGroup

The gang will try and answer you truthfully. I suspect there are no folks
that will flame you, but if someone tries I can guarantee you the will be
gone. Forever.

The answer is not totally straightforward, but I will try and answer and so
will many others. Please check the group out. We welcome CBers, scanner
enthusiasts, and others. We do try and present honest, balanced answers.
It is *not* a flame group.

BTW, we have members from many countries and that is why we can supply many
answers. None of us knows all of the facts, but between the group, we
usually can come up with the pertinent data.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

3839. Re: Questions from a CB Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 04:00:08 UTC
From: "deneb@bobdbob.com" <deneb@bobdbob.com>
Message-ID: <1117512008.295394.20360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200864

CB and ham are two different worlds, but you don't really have to choose just one. I'm a ham, but I've recently taken an interest in CB.

You can probably get into CB a little more easily and cheaply than ham radio. I guess you're in UK? Here in US, there is no license required for CB, and getting on the ham bands requires a somewhat lengthy licensing process. CB equipment is generally more affordable than ham, and the service is rather limited by its relatively high frequency, antenna restrictions, and the fact that most stations are now mobile (our truckers).

Here is a neat page with a lot of frequency information for various countries:
http://www.thiecom.de/cbforum.htm

Secondhand radios are usually a great place to start, and eBay is (and I hate saying this) a good place to get them. However, remember that if you buy internationally, you may get a radio that won't work on the legal frequencies in your area. Check which countries are compatible. Most new digital gear can be easily reprogrammed for regional differences.

According to a CB proprietor here who sold me my ham antenna mounting hardware, the top choice for CB in USA is Connex. They call it an "export radio," which I assume means it's made for other countries. Well, that and that it runs at ridiculously higher powers than are permitted by the FCC in this country. Uniden is the old favorite, but my dealer was not happy with the quality of their newer models.

Chipswitch is a modification that allows you to use a Uniden 10m ham rig on CB frequencies. It also adds memories and split operation, which for some reason the original radio didn't have.

Finally, I'd recommend getting a mobile rig, as base stations are typically just larger versions of mobiles with better speakers. You will have something you can use at home or on the road. A cheap 13.8V power supply will allow you to run it at home. Most ham equipment operates on DC, btw, so just about every amateur has a gigantic 13.8V supply under his or her desk anyway.

The above is not so much the case with ham gear, though. Most amateur mobiles have the same features as base stations, but they cram many features into a very few controls. They also tend to have reduced sensitivity when compared to base rigs, probably because cars are very noisy and have tiny antennas anyway. I've been using portable/mobile ham rigs all along, mostly because I can't afford a base station.

Hope this helps!

3840. Re: controlling marine radio remotely via VoIP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 08:15:08 UTC
From: John <jlwsecure-usenet3@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns96672B576F5B4jlwsecure@207.115.63.158>
Source record: 200865

"Greg Alexander" <galexand@ozemail.com.au> wrote in
news:1117443237.041086.164940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> Thanks for any and all information and help!
> Greg
> ps. If this is the wrong group to ask on, please point me in the right
> direction?
>

This is the wrong group. This is a CB radio group. No marine or VOIP
here.

3841. Re: Big trouble in n8's pscho-dementia land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 10:50:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050531065037.773$oo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200866

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
> <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1117505171.346591.287690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Forges, false addys...originals prove it, mopathetic
> >
>
> Once again, your *ignorance* and childish, feminine mewling
> has been noted...
>
> And this bit from you (below) is truely a hoot.
>
> So tell me something, just what the hell were you thinking
> when you foolishly typed this??? LMAO!!!
>
> ---------
>
> "Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet."
>
HAHAHAHA! That threat certainly backfired. Make plans for Findlay. lol

http://img145.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly8br.jpg

3842. Re: Big trouble in n8's pscho-dementia land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 10:54:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050531065424.488$Xz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:YRQme.1173$xD2.740@fe02.lga...
> > "mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
> > <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1117505171.346591.287690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > > Forges, false addys...originals prove it, mopathetic
> > >
> >
> > Once again, your *ignorance* and childish, feminine mewling
> > has been noted...
> >
> >
> > And this bit from you (below) is truely a hoot.
> >
> > So tell me something, just what the hell were you thinking
> > when you foolishly typed this??? LMAO!!!
> >
> > ---------
> >
> > "Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> > junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> > there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> > coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> > trainedpuppet."
> >
>
> 143?
>
> You might be interesting to talk to - *if* you really have an I.Q. of 143
> ..... but to post the way you do would suggest that it is likely below
> 100. Just my opinion, but ...
>
> You have my e-mail addy.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Hello Jim.

Reckon dogies court ordered head shrinker tested his IQ?? lol

3843. FA:CDE/ HYGAIN TELEX HAM-M or HAM IV ROTATOR, Nice for Spare :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:00:56 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <s6_me.4342$HP1.1745@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200869
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

CHEEP>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5777874322&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3844. FA: Beautiful/Cheep>COMMUNICATIONS POWER Inc. CPI "RANGE PLUS" PRE-AMP RARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:01:50 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <j7_me.4343$HP1.1966@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200868
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5777874358&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3845. FA: BEAUTIFUL SIMPSON 303 TUBE TYPE VTVM w/TEST LEADS-RARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:03:21 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <K8_me.4346$HP1.456@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200870
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7519312350&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3846. FA: $14>HEATHKIT IB-5280 RF OSCILLATOR "BLUE SERIES" EXC+ Neat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:05:51 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4b_me.4352$HP1.117@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200871
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7519312302&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3847. FA: $10>FIVE(5) 6 METER HAM-BAND CRYSTALS for GONSET & OTHERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:06:37 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ob_me.4353$HP1.3584@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200872
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5777874346&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3848. FA: ?TELEX TW-4 and TDR-4 FM TRANSMITTER & RECEIVER w/CASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:09:30 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ue_me.4357$HP1.377@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200874
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.video.marketplace

Cheep but Watizit:-)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=7519312230&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3849. FA: $10>W.M. WELCH SCEINTIFIC Co. CAPACITOR DECADE BOX, MINT-O!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:10:13 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9f_me.4358$HP1.3069@fe08.lga>
Source record: 200873
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.audio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6182495121&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3850. Re: N3CVJ denies failures, while PresidentialCommissionadmitsfail...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:18:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9773-429C7228-391@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200875

From: assemblywizard@gmail.com (John Smith)
>Yes, I very much think groups in Iraq and

>Saudi Arabia financed terrorism--

No one ever said otherwise. In fact, you can add South America, China, Malaysia, Singapore, Chad, Sudan, Phillipines, Central America, France, Russia, Ireland, N Korea,
to your revelation, but what you are unable, is establish or cite a link between Iraq and 911.
For that, you need look to BL, Afghanistan, and yes, Saudi Arabia..

>in the case of the Saudis'--still do--and it

>"overlooked" by those in control there... if and

>when we find them as long as someone

>assures they end up dead I will be quite

>happy...

Well, Bush swore on national tv in front of the entire country BL was responsible for 911 and he was our number one priority and that he would not rest until he was captured and that
he would spare no expense to captre him and would hunt him down to the "four corners" of the earth if necessary. Approximately a year later, Bush flip-flopped, claiming he no longer cared about BL and even said he was no longer a priority. So, you -have- been "assured" by Bush, but as he illustrates, it was lipservice and menat absolutely nothing.

>If they simply wish to retain different views and
>are peaceful--leave 'em alone...

There are dozens of countries who do not share your definition of what constitutes "peaceful". When do we invade?

>Warmest

>regards,

>John

Backatcha cha cha*

*(slight echo you love so much)

3851. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 14:32:10 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9773-429C756A-392@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200876

From: kc8ldo@arrl.net (Leland C. Scott)
On Sat, 28 May 2005 13:06:44 -0400, alexb wrote: I would take what he said about call sign usage with a grain of salt. It's no different than somebody telling you it's OK to do 20 over on the highway because they never saw a cop. Yeah you might get away with it for a long time, but sooner or later you'll get nailed.

_
Leland is confused. Contrary to what he claimed, sooner or later people do NOT get nailed for such actions. Listen to the cb, channel 6 or any other channel where dx is rampant. A quick peep into the records of the FCC busts reveals the way things really are. Leland likes to cite the exception and -not-the norm of how the feds operate. Even if you were to get the attention of the feds and get "nailed", you must be served with a warning notice alerting you to the violation and an order to cease the illegal activity, as Leland's examples cite. In fact, most cited are guilty of repeat offenses after receiving multiple warnings. The chances of getting busted are slim to slimmer unless someone complains. Even then, the feds must actually witness any violation before any action other than a warning notice is issued.

3852. Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 15:01:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9773-429C7C61-395@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200877

Reply to: "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
From: "John Smith" <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: RCI 2950 on EBAY Organization: W.W.O.C.W.
X-Priority: 3 X-MSMail-Priority: Normal X-RFC2646:
Format=Flowed;
Original Date: Thu, May 26, 2005, 11:12am (EDT-3)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@ikano.com
X-Trace: news.sisna.com 1117131172 66.81.213.64
(Thu, 26 May 2005 12:12:52 MDT) NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, May 26,
2005, 12:12pm (EDT-2) X-Received-Date: Thu, May 26, 2005, 12:12pm
(EDT-2)
>I have an RCI 2950, I have the exact mike he

>is speaking of--

Yours is messed up.

>wouldn't use it if some paid

>me--

Which may pose a question from the curious:
Then why do you keep it?

>I run an audio compressor--very much

>superior...

And very much unlike what most cbers run.

> I wouldn't even think of selling the mike to

>some idiot on CB, it is junk

D104's are excellent mics. Your minority and personal opinion does not
alter such a fact.

> and the damn fool would make everyone

>miserable within the sound of his voice and

>roger beep!

The D104 hand helds do not have roger beeps, but then again, you would
know that if you had one.

>J

3853. Re: Beware of hams planting dis-information...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 15:18:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9774-429C804D-108@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 200878

David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
Really? You grew up near there and never heard of it? Need the exact
address on Gravers Road and then you can use the mapblast, eh? Ok,,she
was born in 1963 and lived at 1819 Gravers Road in Norristown.

>.Oh, this is just too easy.....

http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?searchtype=address&country=US&addtohistory=&searchtab
=home&address=1819+Gravers+Road&city=Norristown&state=PA&zipcode=

>There is no such address in the mapquest

>database, as the link shows. Once again,

>you're wrong, and I proved it.

You proved nothing. Go to google maps and try it again.

>Been there, done that. Nada.

Wrong,,,try it again. Your incompetence coupled with desperation has you
claiming something that the rest of the world has no problem viewing.
Now, take a deep breath, and try it again...."google" then "google
maps". Enter "1819 Gravers Road Norristown, Pa."
View. Enjoy. Come back. Get laughed at for glaring error. Deny. Claim
information is incorrect. Change subject. Make more accusations. Go away
angry.

>Besides, you can't use google maps.

No, you have just demonstrated -you- can't use google maps.

3854. Re: TO JAY IN THE MOJAVE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 18:26:19 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <d7ia8b$78l$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 200879

"mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY!"
<keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1117505297.808332.142690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> 250 bucks for somthing you could make for 15 bucks worth of tubing from
> Home Depot...sheesh...rippoffs galore...the keyclowns are pretty stupid
> to buy that antenna.
>

Turn down the repeat on your echo mic.

3855. Re: FCC license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 18:35:45 UTC
From: ALEXB <alexb6865@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YaKdnZ4fu_NIMwHfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 200880

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9773-429C756A-392@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net...
From: kc8ldo@arrl.net (Leland C. Scott)
On Sat, 28 May 2005 13:06:44 -0400, alexb wrote: I would take what he
said about call sign usage with a grain of salt. It's no different than
somebody telling you it's OK to do 20 over on the highway because they
never saw a cop. Yeah you might get away with it for a long time, but
sooner or later you'll get nailed.

_
Leland is confused. Contrary to what he claimed, sooner or later people
do NOT get nailed for such actions. Listen to the cb, channel 6 or any
other channel where dx is rampant. A quick peep into the records of the
FCC busts reveals the way things really are. Leland likes to cite the
exception and -not-the norm of how the feds operate. Even if you were to
get the attention of the feds and get "nailed", you must be served with
a warning notice alerting you to the violation and an order to cease the
illegal activity, as Leland's examples cite. In fact, most cited are
guilty of repeat offenses after receiving multiple warnings. The chances
of getting busted are slim to slimmer unless someone complains. Even
then, the feds must actually witness any violation before any action
other than a warning notice is issued.

You do not understand. Everything you said is formally correct. On a
practical level a great degree of tolerance exists in such matters. I got it
even before I posted here.

The issue for me was to learn the amplitude of trouble a person can get in,
potentially, under extreme circumstances. The license costs just $80.00
which is next to nothing for me. It is much better for me to pay 80 bucks
and forget about the matter otherwise in some extreme circumstances
somebody, not necessarily the Feds, can point their finger at me and say:
BTW, he operates a RADIO TRANSMITTER without a license or in some illegal
way.

This is the point.

3856. Russian Linears...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 19:55:16 UTC
From: John Smith <assemblywizard@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <Di3ne.179$k%2.7652499@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 200883

How to get a BIG, russian made (made like a dern battleship!),
Linear--cheap!...

1) Go to the shipping port closest to you.
2) Find a Russian crewman who can speak english.
4) Negotiate with him.
5)
a) either have him bring a linear back to port next sail.
b) have him put you in contact with a russian company.
6) Pick up you linear and have at it.

... if you wish to purchase a linear from another country--simply choose
the appropriate ship at the port...
Don't be afraid to modify the above steps to suit your purpose(s)....

I won't even mention what can be done at a private airport...

Warmest regards,
John
--
Watching the cutting edge of yesterday replay--in virtual reality, right
before my eyes--in real time!
Thirty year old technology--wasn't it amazing?

3857. Re: Questions from a CB Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 19:57:19 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429CC19F.5B174353@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200881

> Here in US, there is no license required
> for CB, and getting on the ham bands requires a somewhat lengthy
> licensing process.

Huh? I got on the FCC's ULS site, got an FRN, went to arrl.org, found a test session, took my test and passed it.

It took one week from thought to license.

Of course, after 25 years in CB radios, scanning, SWL and such with an electronics background, it was a no-brainer. It took no studying!

3858. Re: Big trouble in n8's pscho-dementia land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 19:59:29 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com>
Message-ID: <429CC221.9ED70D2C@yapoo.com>
Source record: 200882

> http://img145.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly8br.jpg

Stop posting that! Everytime I click on it, I burn up another monitor!

3859. Re: Big trouble in n8's pscho-dementia land!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 20:48:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050531164807.531$pW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 200884

Scott in Baltimore <scott_unit_69@yapoo.com> wrote:
> > http://img145.echo.cx/my.php?image=dogieupclosefugly8br.jpg
>
> Stop posting that! Everytime I click on it, I burn up another monitor!
>
Sorry. I should post a warning with the url. We need a monitor relief
fund. ;)

3860. Re: TO JAY IN THE MOJAVE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 21:54:39 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117576479.615741.50780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200885

10-4 Chadclown

3861. mopathetic spent Saturday at Dayton, didn't camp over. TOLD YA HE WAS CHICKEN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 21:57:15 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117576635.786270.127300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200886

mopathetic, why did you brag about being in Dayton all weekend, what you were gonna do to AKC, etc...and then weasel away? We asked you all month for where you'd be camping, but you didn't tell cuz you already knew what you said was a lie and that you were not camping. Chickenboi.

3862. It must be tough for mopeydopie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-05-31 22:01:11 UTC
From: mopathetic didn't camp at Dayton! CHICKEN BOY! <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1117576871.876037.65120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 200887

Hard to run your nutslurpers all year about what yer gonna do and then be shown up for being the man with a paper rectum, ay sissy?

AKC was there, mopathetic hid. Fact. Proven. no discussion. Certified.